INSPERITY, INC. Form DEF 14A May 27, 2016

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material under Rule 14a-12

INSPERITY, INC.

(Name of registrant as specified in its charter)

(Name of person(s) filing proxy statement, if other than the registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:
- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for o which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- (3) Filing Party:
- (4) Date Filed:

Paul J. Sarvadi Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

May 27, 2016

Dear Stockholder:

On behalf of your Board of Directors and management, you are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held at Insperity's Corporate Headquarters, Centre I in the Auditorium, located at 19001 Crescent Springs Drive, Kingwood, Texas 77339, on June 30, 2016, at 10:00 a.m. Houston, Texas time.

It is important that your shares are represented at the meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, please complete and return the enclosed proxy card in the accompanying envelope or vote using the telephone or Internet procedures that may be provided to you. Please note that using any of these methods to vote will not prevent you from attending the meeting and voting in person.

You will find information regarding the matters to be voted on at the meeting in the following pages. Our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 is also enclosed with these materials.

Your interest in Insperity is appreciated, and we look forward to seeing you at the meeting.

Sincerely,

/s/ Paul J. Sarvadi

Paul J. Sarvadi

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

INSPERITY, INC.

A Delaware Corporation

19001 Crescent Springs Drive

Kingwood, Texas 77339-3802

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held June 30, 2016

Kingwood, Texas

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Insperity, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), will be held at the Company's Corporate Headquarters in Centre I in the Auditorium, located at 19001 Crescent Springs Drive, Kingwood, Texas 77339, on June 30, 2016, at 10:00 a.m. (Houston, Texas time), for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect three nominees to the Board of Directors;
- 2. To cast an advisory vote to approve the Company's executive compensation ("say-on-pay" vote); and
- 3. To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2016.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials: A full set of all proxy materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on June 30, 2016 is enclosed with this Notice. Additionally, the Company's proxy statement, most recent annual report on Form 10-K, and other proxy materials are available at www.insperity.com/annualmeeting.

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on May 9, 2016 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the meeting.

It is

important

that your

shares be

represented

at the Annual

Meeting of

Stockholders

regardless of

whether you

plan to

attend.

Therefore,

please mark,

sign, date and

return the

enclosed

proxy. If you

are present at

the meeting,

and wish to

do so, you

may revoke the proxy and vote in person.

By Order of the Board of Directors /s/ Daniel D. Herink Daniel D. Herink Senior Vice President of Legal, General Counsel and Secretary May 27, 2016 Kingwood, Texas

<u>Table of Contents</u>	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	
<u>Solicitation</u>	1
Voting Information	<u>1</u>
Security Ownership	
Proposal Number 1	<u>4</u>
<u>General</u>	<u>4</u>
Agreements with Starboard	<u>5</u>
Voting; Approval Requirements	<u>7</u>
Nominees for Director	3 4 4 5 7 7 8 11 11
Directors Not Currently Subject to Election	8
Summary of Committee Memberships	<u>11</u>
Corporate Governance	<u>11</u>
Corporate Governance Guidelines	<u>11</u>
Director Independence	<u>11</u>
Selection of Nominees for the Board of Directors	12
Board of Directors Leadership	<u>13</u>
Board of Director's Role in Risk Oversight	<u>13</u>
Prohibition on Hedging and Pledging of Company Common Stock	14
Code of Business Conduct and Ethics	11 12 13 13 14 14 15 15 15
Stockholder Communications	14
Meetings and Committees of the Board of Directors	15
The Board of Directors	<u>15</u>
Executive Sessions of the Board of Directors and the Lead Independent or Presiding Director	
Committees of the Board of Directors	<u>15</u>
Executive Compensation	15 16 16 34 35
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	<u>16</u>
Compensation Committee Report	<u>34</u>
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	<u>35</u>
Summary Compensation Table	<u> 36</u>
Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table	<u>37</u>
Outstanding Equity Awards Table at 2015 Fiscal-Year End	36 37 38 39
Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table for Fiscal Year 2015	
Securities Reserved for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans Table	<u>40</u>
Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control	<u>40</u>
<u>Director Compensation</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Directors' Compensation Table</u>	<u>42</u>
Report of the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee	<u>43</u>
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	<u>4</u> 4
Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	<u>4</u> 4
Proposal Number 2	<u>45</u>
Proposal Number 3	<u>47</u>
<u>General</u>	<u>47</u>
Fees of Ernst & Young	<u>47</u>
Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy for Audit and Non-Audit Services	47
Required Affirmative Vote	48
Additional Information	48
Delivery of Proxy Statement	48
Stockholder Proposals and Director Nominations for 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders Stockholder Proposals for 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders	48

Stockholder Director Nominations	for	2017	Annual	Meeting	of Stoc	khold	ers
Financial Information							

<u>49</u> <u>49</u>

INSPERITY, INC.

A Delaware Corporation
19001 Crescent Springs Drive
Kingwood, Texas 77339-3802
PROXY STATEMENT
FOR THE
ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS OF
INSPERITY, INC.
TO BE HELD ON THURSDAY, JUNE 30, 2016
Solicitation

The accompanying proxy is solicited by the Board of Directors ("the Board") of Insperity, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company" or "Insperity"), for use at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on June 30, 2016, and at any reconvened meeting after an adjournment thereof. The 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held at 10:00 a.m. (Houston, Texas time), at the Company's Corporate Headquarters, Centre I in the Auditorium located at

Voting Information

You may vote in one of four ways:

•by attending the meeting and voting in person;

19001 Crescent Springs Drive, Kingwood, Texas 77339.

- by signing, dating and returning your proxy in the envelope provided;
- by submitting your proxy via the Internet at the address listed on your proxy card; or
- by submitting your proxy using the toll-free telephone number listed on your proxy card.

For stockholders of record, if your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm or bank, you may submit your voting instructions by signing and timely returning the enclosed voting instruction form, by Internet at the address shown on your voting instruction form, by telephone using the toll-free number shown on that form, or by providing other proper voting instructions to the registered owner of your shares. If shares are held in street name through a broker and the broker is not given direction on how to vote, the broker will not have discretion to vote such shares on non-routine matters, including the election of directors.

For stockholders of record, if you either return your signed proxy or submit your proxy using the Internet or telephone procedures that may be available to you, your shares will be voted as you direct. If the accompanying proxy is properly executed and returned, but no voting directions are indicated thereon, the shares represented thereby will be voted FOR the election as directors of the nominees listed herein, and FOR Proposals 2 and 3. In addition, the proxy confers discretionary authority to the persons named in the proxy authorizing those persons to vote, in their discretion, on any other matters properly presented at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Board is not currently aware of any such other matters. Any stockholder of record giving a proxy has the power to revoke it at any time before it is voted by: (i) submitting written notice of revocation to the Secretary of the Company at the address listed above; (ii) submitting another proxy that is properly signed and later dated; (iii) submitting a proxy again on the Internet or by telephone; or (iv) voting in person at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Stockholders who hold their shares through a nominee or broker are invited to attend the meeting but must obtain a signed proxy from their nominee or broker in order to vote in person.

The Company pays the expense of preparing, printing and mailing proxy materials to our stockholders. We have retained Innisfree M&A Incorporated ("Innisfree"), a proxy solicitation firm, to assist us in soliciting proxies for the proposals described in this proxy statement. We will pay Innisfree a fee for such service, which is not expected to

exceed \$15,000 plus expenses. In addition to solicitation by mail, certain of our officers or employees (none of whom will receive additional compensation), and certain officers or employees of Innisfree, may solicit the return of proxies by telephone, email or personal interview. We will also reimburse brokerage houses and other nominees for their reasonable expenses in forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners of our common stock.

The approximate date on which this proxy statement and the accompanying proxy card will first be sent to stockholders is June 2, 2016.

At the close of business on May 9, 2016, the record date for the determination of stockholders of the Company entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or any reconvened meeting after an adjournment thereof, 21,384,413

Table of Contents

shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "Common Stock"), were outstanding. Each share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote upon each of the matters to be voted on at the meeting. The presence, in person or by proxy, of a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock is required for a quorum. If a quorum is present at the meeting, under the Company's Bylaws, action on a matter or to elect director nominees shall be approved if the votes cast in favor of the matter or nominee exceed the votes cast opposing the matter or such nominee, as applicable.

In determining the number of votes cast, shares abstaining from voting or not voted on a matter will not be treated as votes cast. Accordingly, although proxies containing broker non-votes (which result when a broker holding shares for a beneficial owner has not received timely voting instructions on certain matters from such beneficial owner and when the broker does not otherwise have discretionary power to vote on a particular matter) are considered "shares present" in determining whether there is a quorum present at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, they are not treated as votes cast with respect to the election of directors, and thus will not affect the outcome of the voting on the election of directors or any of the other proposals on non-routine matters to be voted on at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. However, a broker holding shares for a beneficial owner will have the discretion to vote such shares for a beneficial owner with respect to routine matters, such as the ratification of the appointment of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP

The table below sets forth, as of May 9, 2016, certain information with respect to the shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by: (i) each person known by the Company to beneficially own 5% or more of the Company's Common Stock; (ii) each director and director nominee of the Company; (iii) each of the executive officers of the Company identified in the Summary Compensation Table; and (iv) all directors, director nominees and executive officers of the Company as a group.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership ¹	Percent of Class
Michael W. Brown	36,846	*
Peter A. Feld	$3,338,886^2$	15.61%
Eli Jones	_	*
Carol R. Kaufman	10,627	*
Michelle McKenna-Doyle	1,447	*
John M. Morphy		*
Richard G. Rawson	625,382 ³	2.92 %
Paul J. Sarvadi	1,611,7974	7.54 %
Norman R. Sorensen	2,646	*
Austin P. Young	31,042	*
A. Steve Arizpe	110,408 5	*
Jay E. Mincks	47,508	*
Douglas S. Sharp	25,252	*
Starboard Value LP	3,335,9766	15.60%
BlackRock Fund Advisors	2,008,6787	9.39 %
The Vanguard Group, Inc.	1,564,8448	7.32 %
Executive Officers and Directors as a Group (14 Persons)	5,874,084	27.47%

^{*} Represents less than 1%.

Except as otherwise indicated, each of the stockholders has sole voting and investment power with respect to the ¹ securities shown to be owned by such stockholder. The address for each officer and director is in care of Insperity, Inc., 19001 Crescent Springs Drive, Kingwood, Texas 77339-3802.

The number of shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by each person includes options exercisable on May 9, 2016, or within 60 days after May 9, 2016, and excludes options not exercisable within 60 days after May 9, 2016 (currently there are no unvested stock options). The number of shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by each person also includes unvested shares of restricted stock as of May 9, 2016 and excludes LTIP shares that are not available within 60 days after May 9, 2016. Each owner of restricted stock has the right to vote his or her shares but may not transfer them until they have vested.

Options		
Exercisable	e Exercisable	Unvested Restricted Stock
20,513	_	_
_	_	1,447
_	_	_
_		647
_		1,447
_		
_		1,447
7,813		_
_		25,254
_		25,254
_		25,254
_		42,509
_	_	16,581
	20,513	Exercisable Not Exercisable 20,513 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —

Based on a Schedule 13D/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on March 15, 2016. Mr.

Includes 263,676 shares owned by the RDKB Rawson LP, 229,512 shares owned by the R&D Rawson LP, and 350 shares owned by Dawn M. Rawson (spouse). Mr. Rawson shares voting and investment power over all such shares with his wife, except for 350 shares owned by his wife.

Includes 917,396 shares owned by Our Ship Limited Partnership, Ltd., 453,069 shares owned by the Sarvadi Children's Limited Partnership, 16,651 shares owned by Paul J. Sarvadi and Vicki D. Sarvadi (spouse), JT WROS and 19,644 shares owned by six education trusts established for the benefit of the children of Paul J. Sarvadi.

- ⁴ Mr. Sarvadi shares voting and investment power over all such shares with his spouse. Also includes 220,000 shares pledged to banks as collateral for loans. The Board determined the amount of shares pledged by Mr. Sarvadi was insignificant under the Company's pledging policy (see "Corporate Governance Prohibition on Hedging and Pledging of Company Common Stock").
- ₅ Includes 3,139 shares owned by A. Steve Arizpe and Charissa Arizpe (spouse). Mr. Arizpe shares voting and investment power over all such shares with his wife.

Based on a Schedule 13D/A filed with the SEC on March 15, 2016, pursuant to which (a) each of Starboard Value LP, Starboard Value GP LLC, Starboard Principal Co LP and Starboard Principal Co GP LLC reported sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 3,335,976 shares; (b) Starboard Value and Opportunity Master Fund Ltd reported sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 1,986,958 shares; (c) Starboard Value and Opportunity S

LLC reported sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 444,820 shares; (d) each of Starboard Value and Opportunity C LP, Starboard Value R LP and Starboard Value R GP LLC reported sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 241,324 shares; (e) each of Jeffrey C. Smith and Mark R. Mitchell reported shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 3,335,976 shares and (f) Peter A. Feld reported sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 1,120 shares and shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 3,335,976 shares. The address of the reporting persons is 777 Third Avenue, 18th Floor, New York, NY 10017.

² Feld reported shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 3,335,976 shares and 2,910 shares held directly. See footnote 6 below for further information.

Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on January 26, 2016. BlackRock, Inc. reported sole voting power with respect to 1,944,675 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 2,008,678 shares. The address of BlackRock, Inc. is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022.

Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 10, 2016. The Vanguard Group reported sole voting power with respect to 43,000 shares; sole dispositive power with respect to 1,522,844 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 42,000 shares with Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company. The address of the Vanguard Group is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355.

PROPOSAL NUMBER 1:

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

General

The Company's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws provide that the number of directors on the Board of Directors (the "Board") shall be fixed from time to time by the Board but shall not be less than three nor more than 15 persons. The number of members constituting the Board is currently fixed at ten.

In accordance with the Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, the members of the Board are divided into three classes. The Certificate of Incorporation also provides that such classes shall be as nearly equal in number as possible. The terms of office of the Class I, Class II and Class III directors expire at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2017, 2018 and 2016, respectively. The term of office of each of Michael Brown, Eli Jones, John Morphy and Richard Rawson, who comprise the current Class III directors, expires at

Table of Contents

the time of the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or as soon thereafter as their successors are elected and qualified. As previously announced, Dr. Jones has decided not to stand for re-election to the Board and to retire from the Board at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Messrs. Brown, Morphy and Rawson have been nominated for re-election to the Board as described below. All nominees have consented to be named in this proxy statement and to serve as a director if elected.

Agreements with Starboard

2015 Agreement

On March 21, 2015, the Company entered into an Agreement (the "2015 Agreement") with Starboard Value LP and certain of its affiliates named therein (collectively, "Starboard"). Pursuant to the 2015 Agreement, the Company appointed (a) Peter A. Feld and Michelle McKenna-Doyle as Class I directors; and (b) Norman R. Sorensen as a Class II director. In addition, pursuant to the 2015 Agreement, the Company nominated for election at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (a) Carol Kaufman, Paul Sarvadi and Norman R. Sorensen for election to the Board as Class II directors with terms expiring at the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders; and (b) Austin Young as a Class I director with a term expiring at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. In addition, Starboard agreed to vote its shares of the Company's Common Stock for the election of each of Ms. Kaufman and Messrs. Sarvadi, Sorensen and Young at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Substantially concurrently with the adjournment of the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, Dr. Jones and Mr. Brown resigned as Class I directors with terms expiring at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and were immediately reappointed by the Board as Class III directors with terms expiring at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

2016 Agreement

On May 18, 2016, the Company entered into an Agreement (the "2016 Agreement") with Starboard, which supersedes and replaces the 2015 Agreement. The following is a summary of the material terms of the 2016 Agreement. The following summary does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the 2016 Agreement, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 19, 2016 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Pursuant to the 2016 Agreement, immediately following the execution of the 2016 Agreement, the Company (i) appointed John Morphy as a Class III director with a term expiring at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and (ii) set the size of the Board at ten directors.

The Company agreed that, promptly following the execution of the 2016 Agreement, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will take all necessary actions to (i) commence a search for one new independent director (the "New Independent Director") and (ii) retain a nationally-recognized director search firm to assist with such search. The New Independent Director shall (a) meet the independence requirements of the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"), (b) meet the requirements of the Company's guidelines and policies with respect to service on the Board, (c) be independent of Starboard and (d) other than with respect to the Company, have not been nominated by Starboard to serve on any other board of directors and not serve on another board of directors with any other director of the Company. Subject to the selection procedures described in the 2016 Agreement, the Company will appoint the New Independent Director as a Class II director with a term expiring at the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. After the appointment of the New Independent Director and during the Standstill Period (as defined below), the Company agreed not to (x) increase the size of the Board to more than ten directors or (y) seek to change the classes on which the Board members serve, in each case without the prior consent of Starboard.

Starboard agreed, on behalf of itself and its affiliates, to irrevocably withdraw, concurrently with the execution of the 2016 Agreement, its notice of stockholder nomination of individuals for election as directors at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders previously submitted to the Company.

The Company also agreed that the Board shall take all action necessary to nominate Michael W. Brown, Richard G. Rawson and John Morphy for re-election to the Board at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders as Class III

directors. In addition, Carol R. Kaufman executed and delivered to the Company an irrevocable letter pursuant to which she agreed to reduce her term of service as a director on the Board, to end at the conclusion of the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders; provided that such reduction shall be revocable by Ms. Kaufman if, at any time prior to the conclusion of the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, either (i) Starboard's aggregate beneficial ownership of Common Stock decreases to less than the Minimum Ownership Threshold (as defined below) or (ii) the Board resolves that such reduction may be revoked.

The 2016 Agreement further provides that Starboard will vote all shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by Starboard as of May 9, 2016, the record date for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, (i) for the election of each of the nominees for director at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and (ii) in favor of Proposal 2 and Proposal 3.

Table of Contents

Starboard agreed that it will not nominate or recommend for nomination any person for election at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, submit proposals for consideration or otherwise bring any business before the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, nor will it engage in certain activities related to "withhold" or similar campaigns with respect to the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Under the terms of the 2016 Agreement, until the earlier of (i) 15 business days prior to the deadline for the submission of stockholder nominations for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to the Bylaws of the Company and (ii) the date that is 100 days prior to the first anniversary of the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Standstill Period"), Starboard agreed to not to, among other things, solicit proxies regarding any matter to come before any annual or special meeting of stockholders, including for the election of directors, or enter into a voting agreement or any group with shareholders other than Starboard affiliates and current group members. In addition, among other standstill provisions, Starboard agreed that, during the Standstill Period, it (i) will not make any offer or proposal (with or without conditions) with respect to any merger, acquisition, recapitalization, restructuring, disposition or other business combination involving Starboard and the Company, (ii) unless authorized by the Board, will not affirmatively solicit any third party, on an unsolicited basis, in making, any offer or proposal with respect to any merger, acquisition, recapitalization, restructuring, disposition or other business combination involving the Company or encourage, initiate or support any third party in making such an offer or proposal, (iii) will not publicly comment on any third party proposal regarding any merger, acquisition, recapitalization, restructuring, disposition, or other business combination with respect to the Company by such third party prior to such proposal becoming public and (iv) will not seek, or encourage any person, to submit nominees in furtherance of a contested solicitation for the election or removal of directors.

If Peter A. Feld or Michelle McKenna-Doyle (or any replacement director therefor) is unable or unwilling to serve, resigns or is removed as a director prior to the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or if Norman R. Sorensen (or any replacement director therefor) is unable or unwilling to serve, resigns or is removed as a director prior to the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, and at such time Starboard beneficially owns in the aggregate at least the lesser of (i) 3.0% of the Company's then outstanding shares of Common Stock and (ii) 641,581 shares of Common Stock (the "Minimum Ownership Threshold"), Starboard has the ability to recommend a replacement director in accordance with the terms of the 2016 Agreement.

If Mr. Morphy (or any replacement director therefor) is unable or unwilling to serve, resigns or is removed as a director prior to the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or if the New Independent Director (or any replacement director therefor) is unable or unwilling to serve, resigns or is removed as a director prior to the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, and at such time Starboard beneficially owns in the aggregate at least the Minimum Ownership Threshold, a substitute director shall be appointed in accordance with the terms of the 2016 Agreement.

Pursuant to the 2015 Agreement, Mr. Feld previously executed and delivered to the Company an irrevocable resignation letter pursuant to which he shall resign from the Board if, at any time, Starboard's aggregate beneficial ownership of Common Stock decreases to less than the Minimum Ownership Threshold. Pursuant to the 2016 Agreement, such resignation letter will continue in full force in all respects. Additionally, Starboard agreed to obtain a similar irrevocable resignation letter from any replacement director for Mr. Feld who is an employee of Starboard or otherwise not independent of Starboard.

The Company also agreed to reimburse Starboard for its reasonable, documented out-of-pocket fees and expenses, including legal expenses, in connection with matters related to the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and the negotiation and execution of the 2016 Agreement, up to a maximum of \$100,000.

Each of the parties to the 2016 Agreement also agreed to mutual non-disparagement obligations. In addition, the parties agreed that the confidentiality agreement entered into by Mr. Feld, Starboard and the Company pursuant to the 2015 Agreement will continue in full force in all respects.

Under the terms of the 2016 Agreement, the Board agreed to take all actions necessary to ensure that during the Standstill Period, each committee of the Board includes at least one of Mr. Morphy, Ms. McKenna-Doyle, Mr. Sorensen and Mr. Feld (or a replacement director therefor). In connection with his appointment to the Board, the Board determined that Mr. Morphy qualified as an independent director under the listing standards of the NYSE and applicable SEC rules. Additionally, in connection with the 2016 Agreement, the Board approved certain changes to the composition of the committees of the Board, including (i) effective as of the conclusion of the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the appointment of Mr. Morphy to the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee of the Board, (ii) effective as of the conclusion of the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the appointment of Ms. McKenna-Doyle to, and Mr. Brown as chairperson of, the Compensation Committee of the Board, and (iii) effective upon the execution of the 2016 Agreement, the appointment of Ms. McKenna-Doyle to and as chairperson of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board. See "— Summary of Committee Memberships" for a further description of the composition of these committees as a result of the 2016 Agreement.

As of the date of the appointment, Mr. Morphy has not entered into or proposed to enter into any transactions required to be reported under Item 404(a) of Regulation S-K. Mr. Morphy will be entitled to receive the Initial Director Award (as defined in the Company's Directors Compensation Plan) effective as of the date of the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and to receive retainer fees from the date of the 2016 Agreement as contemplated by the Company's Director Compensation Plan. Mr. Morphy will not be entitled to an Annual Director Award (as defined in the Company's Director Compensation Plan) on the date of the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Voting; Approval Requirements

All proxies will be voted in favor of the nominees named below unless a stockholder has indicated otherwise. The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by holders of the Common Stock present in person or by proxy at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is required for election of the nominees. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be deemed votes not cast. Under our Bylaws and in accordance with Delaware law, a director's term extends until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified, or until he or she resigns or is removed from office. Thus, an incumbent director who fails to receive the required vote for re-election at our Annual Meeting of Stockholders would continue serving as a director (sometimes referred to as a "holdover director"), generally until the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders. However, as a condition to being nominated to continue to serve as a director, the incumbent director nominees have submitted an irrevocable letter of resignation that is effective upon and only in the event that (i) such nominee fails to receive the required vote; and (ii) the Board accepts such resignation. In such an event, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is required to make a recommendation to the Board as to whether the Board should accept the resignation, and the Board is required to decide whether to accept the resignation and to disclose its decision-making process within 90 days from the certification of the election results. In addition, if Mr. Feld, Ms. McKenna-Doyle, or Mr. Sorensen is not re-elected, then, pursuant to the 2016 Agreement, Starboard may have the right to nominate a replacement director as described above.

If, at the time of or prior to the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, any of the nominees should be unable or decline to serve, the discretionary authority provided in the proxy may be used to vote for a substitute or substitutes designated by the Board. The Board has no reason to believe that any substitute nominee or nominees will be required. No proxy will be voted for a greater number of persons than the number of nominees named herein. Nominees for Director

The following individuals have been nominated for re-election to the Board as Class III directors with terms expiring at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders:

Michael W. Brown. Mr. Brown, age 70, joined the Company as a director in November 1997. Mr. Brown is the past chairman of the NASDAQ Stock Market Board of Directors and a past governor of the National Association of Securities Dealers. Mr. Brown joined Microsoft Corporation in 1989 as its treasurer and became its chief financial officer in 1993, in which capacity he served until his retirement in July 1997. Prior to joining Microsoft, Mr. Brown spent 18 years with Deloitte & Touche LLP. Mr. Brown is also a director of EMC Corporation (NYSE: EMC), Stifel Financial Corporation (NYSE: SF) and VMware, Inc. (NYSE: VMW). He serves on the audit and finance committees of EMC Corporation; audit and compensation committees of VMware, Inc.; and risk management/corporate governance committee of Stifel Financial Corporation. Mr. Brown also serves or has served as a director, trustee or advisor of several private businesses, civic and charitable organizations. Mr. Brown holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Economics from the University of Washington in Seattle.

Mr. Brown brings to the Board substantial expertise that includes an extensive knowledge of the complex financial and operational issues affecting large companies, and a deep understanding of accounting principles and financial reporting rules and regulations. His prior experience in public accounting and as a chief financial officer of a global technology company brings an important perspective to the Board. Mr. Brown also serves on the boards, as well as the audit committees and compensation committees, of multiple publicly traded companies in both the technology and financial services sectors, which provides us with valuable insight on technological and strategic issues affecting the

Company. Mr. Brown's prior service as chairman of the Nasdaq Stock Market Board of Directors and as a past governor of the National Association of Securities Dealers provides experience with issues affecting a publicly traded company as well as demonstrating Mr. Brown's leadership and business acumen.

John M. Morphy. Mr. Morphy, age 68, joined the Company as a Class III director in May 2016 pursuant to the 2016 Agreement. Mr. Morphy previously served as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Treasurer of Paychex, Inc. (NASDAQ:PAYX), a leading provider of payroll, human resource, and benefits outsourcing solutions for small to medium-sized businesses ("Paychex"), from October 1996 until June 2011, at which time he was appointed vice president of finance at Paychex until he retired in January 2012. As chief financial officer of Paychex, Mr. Morphy reported directly to the chief executive officer and was responsible for all finance, legal, shareholder relations, purchasing, real estate and travel functions. Prior to joining Paychex in 1995, he served as the chief financial officer of Goulds Pumps, Inc. ("Goulds"), a then publicly traded global manufacturer of pumps for industrial, commercial

Table of Contents

and water supply markets, from 1985 to 1993, and as group Vice President over industrial products at Goulds through 1995. From 1976 to 1985, Mr. Morphy was vice president and controller for Computer Consoles, Inc., and before that he was an accountant at Arthur Andersen & Company, an accounting firm. Mr. Morphy also previously served as a director of Inforte Corp., a then publicly traded customer and demand management consultancy, from April 2003 to August 2004. He earned his Bachelor of Science in Accounting from LeMoyne College and his Certified Public Accountant certificate in 1973.

Mr. Morphy's more than 20 years of financial leadership experience for various public corporations and experience in many facets of finance within varied environments, including rapid growth companies, global Fortune 500 industrial companies and major accounting firms, would make him a valuable member of the Board.

Richard G. Rawson. Mr. Rawson, age 67, President of the Company and the majority of its subsidiaries, has been a director of the Company since 1989. He has been President of the Company since August 2003. Before being elected president, he served as executive vice president of administration, chief financial officer and treasurer of the Company from February 1997 until August 2003. Prior to that, he served as senior vice president, chief financial officer and treasurer of the Company since 1989. Prior to joining the Company in 1989, Mr. Rawson served as a senior financial officer and controller for several companies in the manufacturing and seismic data processing industries. He has served NAPEO as president, first vice president, second vice president and treasurer, as well as chairman of the Accounting Practices Committee. Mr. Rawson has a Bachelor of Business Administration degree in Finance from the University of Houston and currently serves as a member of the board for the C.T. Bauer College of Business.

Mr. Rawson brings financial and operational experience to the Board. His lengthy service as president of the Company, as well as his prior service as chief financial officer and treasurer of the Company, provide in-depth knowledge and insight of Company operations and financial matters to the Board.

The Board recommends that stockholders vote "For" all of the nominees listed above, and proxies executed and returned will be so voted unless contrary instructions are indicated thereon.

Directors Not Currently Subject to Election

The following directors are not subject to election at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders:

Class III Director

Eli Jones. Dr. Jones, age 54, joined the Company as a director in April 2004. Dr. Jones has announced that he will not stand for re-election to the Board and will retire from the Board when his term expires at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Since July 2015, Dr. Jones has served as the Dean of the Mays Business School at Texas A&M University. Prior to his current position, from 2012, he was the Dean of the Sam M. Walton College of Business at the University of Arkansas and holder of the Sam M. Walton Leadership Chair in Business. Prior to joining the faculty at the University of Arkansas, he was Dean of the E. J. Ourso College of Business and Ourso Distinguished Professor of Business at Louisiana State University ("LSU") from 2008 to 2012; Professor of Marketing and Associate Dean at the C.T. Bauer College of Business at the University of Houston from 2007 to 2008; an Associate Professor of Marketing from 2002 to 2007; and an assistant professor from 1997 until 2002. He taught at Texas A&M University for several years before joining the faculty of the University of Houston. Dr. Jones served as the executive director of the Program for Excellence in Selling and the founding director of the Sales Excellence Institute at the University of Houston from 1997 to 2007. Before becoming a professor, he worked in sales and sales management for three Fortune 100 companies: Quaker Oats, Nabisco and Frito-Lay. He received his Bachelor of Science degree in Journalism in 1982, his MBA in 1986, and his Ph.D. in 1997, all from Texas A&M University.

Dr. Jones brings to the Board significant experience and cutting-edge knowledge and expertise. He is considered a "sales scientist" in that he conducts and publishes cutting-edge research in sales, sales management, marketing strategy, leadership and customer relationship management based on data from organizations world-wide, which are areas critical to the Company. Dr. Jones is able to draw upon his research to provide the Board knowledge with respect to the Insperity sales force. Dr. Jones' prior service as Dean of the E. J. Ourso College of Business and Ourso Distinguished Professor of Business at LSU and as Dean of the Sam M. Walton College of Business at the University of Arkansas and holder of the Sam M. Walton Leadership Chair in Business, as well as his new role as Dean of the Mays Business School at Texas A&M University, demonstrate his leadership and broad-based business acumen.

Class I Directors

Peter A. Feld, Mr. Feld, age 37, joined the Company as a director in March 2015 following his nomination by Starboard pursuant to the 2015 Agreement. Mr. Feld is a Managing Member and Head of Research of Starboard Value LP, a New York-based investment adviser with a focused and fundamental approach to investing in publicly traded U.S. companies, a position he has held since April 2011. From November 2008 to April 2011, Mr. Feld served as a Managing Director of Ramius LLC and a Portfolio Manager of Ramius Value and Opportunity Master Fund Ltd. From February 2007 to November 2008, Mr. Feld served as a Director at Ramius LLC. Since January 2016, he has served as a member of the board of directors of The Brink's Company, a global leader in security-related services. Mr. Feld previously served as a member of the boards of directors of Darden Restaurants, Inc. (NYSE: DRI), a full service restaurant company from October 2014 to September 2015; Tessera Technologies, Inc. (Nasdaq: TSRA), which develops, invests in, licenses and delivers innovative miniaturization technologies and products for next-generation electronic devices, from June 2013 to April 2014; Integrated Device Technology, Inc. (Nasdaq: IDTI), a company which designs, develops, manufactures and markets a range of semiconductor solutions for the advanced communications, computing and consumer industries, from June 2012 to February 2014; Unwired Planet, Inc. (Nasdaq: UPIP) f/k/a Openwave Systems, Inc., a company with a portfolio of patents many of which are considered foundational to mobile communications, and span smart devices, cloud technologies and unified messaging, from July 2011 to March 2014 and as chairman from September 2011 to July 2013; and SeaChange International, Inc. (Nasdaq: SEAC), a leading global multi-screen video software company, from December 2010 to January 2013. Mr. Feld has also served as a member of the audit, compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees of several of the boards of directors on which he has served. Mr. Feld received a BA in economics from Tufts University. Mr. Feld's extensive knowledge of the capital markets and corporate governance practices as a result of his investment and private equity background makes him a valuable asset to the Board.

Michelle McKenna-Doyle. Ms. McKenna-Doyle, age 51, joined the Company as a director in April 2015 following her nomination by Starboard pursuant to the 2015 Agreement. Since October 2012, Ms. McKenna-Doyle has served as the Senior Vice President ("SVP") and Chief Information Officer ("CIO") of the NFL, a professional American football league. Prior to joining the NFL, from May 2011 to October 2012, she served as CIO at Constellation Energy Group, Inc., an energy supplier, where she implemented major technology strategic initiatives and led the company's integration with Exelon in connection with the merger of the two companies. Ms. McKenna-Doyle served as the President of Vision Interactive Media Group, a global digital interactive media solutions nonprofit company, from September 2010 to June 2011. From May 2007 to May 2010, she served as SVP and CIO at Universal Orlando Resort, a theme park resort owned by NBCUniversal, and from April 2006 to May 2007 she served as CIO of Centex Destination Properties, a division of Centex Corporation, a home builder. She previously spent more than 13 years at the Walt Disney World Company, an American diversified multinational mass media corporation, where she held senior leadership positions in finance, marketing and information technology. In March 2015, Ms. McKenna-Doyle was appointed to the board of directors of RingCentral, Inc. (NYSE: RNG), where she serves on the audit and compensation committees. Ms. McKenna-Doyle received a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from Auburn University and an MBA from the Crummer Graduate School of Business, Rollins College. She was formerly licensed as a certified public accountant in the State of Georgia. She has extensive experience in the media and entertainment industry.

Ms. McKenna-Doyle brings to the Board extensive experience with technology management and senior leadership, including at service-related businesses, as well as financial and accounting acumen. Her background with information technology and data security further provides the Board with a key perspective on such matters that are increasingly important to the Company.

Austin P. Young. Mr. Young, age 75, joined the Company as a director in January 2003. He is the Company's Lead Independent Director, chair of the Company's Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee and a member of the Company's Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. He was also a member of the Company's Independent

Advisory Committee. Mr. Young served as senior vice president, chief financial officer and treasurer of CellStar Corporation from 1999 to December 2001, when he retired. From 1996 to 1999, he served as executive vice president - finance and administration of Metamor Worldwide, Inc. Mr. Young also held the position of senior vice president and chief financial officer of American General Corporation for over eight years and was a partner in the Houston and New York offices of KPMG before joining American General. Mr. Young has served as a director of Amerisafe, Inc. (Nasdaq: AMSF) since November 2005, where he is also chairman of the audit committee. He served as a director and chairman of the audit committees of Tower Group International, Ltd. (former Nasdaq-listed company) and its predecessor company from 2004 until September 2014. He is a member of the Houston and State Chapters of the Texas Society of CPAs, the American Institute of CPAs, and the Financial Executives International. He holds an accounting degree from The University of Texas.

Mr. Young brings extensive financial and accounting experience to the Board. His prior experience as a partner in an international accounting firm, as a senior financial officer of large companies, and his service on the audit committees of publicly traded companies provide Mr. Young with a thorough understanding of generally accepted accounting principles and financial statements. Additionally, Mr. Young's prior experience provides a solid background for him to advise and consult with the Board on financial and audit-related matters as chairperson of the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee, and to serve as the designated audit committee financial

Table of Contents

expert of the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee. Mr. Young's service on other boards and his extensive knowledge of the Company and its business provide us with additional valuable perspective on issues affecting the Company.

Class II Directors

Carol R. Kaufman. Ms. Kaufman, age 66, joined the Company as a director in November 2013. Ms. Kaufman is the executive vice president, secretary, chief administrative officer and chief governance officer of The Cooper Companies, Inc., a global medical device company, where she has served since October 1995, including as vice president of legal affairs beginning in March 1996, senior vice president beginning in October 2004 and her current position beginning in July 2011. From January 1989 through September 1995, she served as vice president, secretary and chief administrative officer of Cooper Development Company, a former affiliate of The Cooper Companies, Inc. Beginning in 1971, Ms. Kaufman held several financial positions, including deputy corporate controller, with Cooper Laboratories, Inc., the former parent of The Cooper Companies, Inc. Ms. Kaufman served as a director of Chindex, Inc. (former Nasdaq-listed company) from November 2000 until September 2014, serving on its audit and compensation committees and as chair of its governance and nominating committee. Ms. Kaufman earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Mathematics in 1971 from Boston University.

Ms. Kaufman brings extensive financial, accounting and business experience, including in corporate governance, to the Board. Her varied roles within The Cooper Companies, Inc. provide the Board with additional expertise on accounting and controls, and on evaluating and executing strategic initiatives.

Paul J. Sarvadi. Mr. Sarvadi, age 59, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and co-founder of the Company and its subsidiaries, has been a director since the Company's inception in 1986. He has also served as the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since 1989 and as president of the Company from 1989 to August 2003. He attended Rice University and the University of Houston prior to starting and operating several small companies. Mr. Sarvadi has served as president of the National Association of Professional Employer Organizations ("NAPEO") and was a member of its Board of Directors for five years. In 2001, Mr. Sarvadi was selected as the 2001 National Ernst & Young Entrepreneur of the Year ® for service industries. In 2004, he received the Conn Family Distinguished New Venture Leader Award from Mays Business School at Texas A&M University. In 2007, he was inducted into the Texas Business Hall of Fame.

Mr. Sarvadi brings substantial business and operational experience to the Board, including an extensive knowledge of sales, customer relationships, and issues affecting small to medium-sized businesses. Mr. Sarvadi's role as a co-founder of the Company and lengthy service as chief executive officer of the Company provide to the Board extensive knowledge and insight of our operations and issues affecting the Company as well as the broader professional employer organization ("PEO") industry. Mr. Sarvadi's previous experience starting and operating several small businesses, as well as his frequent interaction with the Company's clients, provide valuable insight to the challenges facing small to medium-sized businesses, which is a principal focus of the Company.

Norman R. Sorensen. Mr. Sorensen, age 70, joined the Company as a director in March 2015 following his nomination by Starboard pursuant to the 2015 Agreement. Mr. Sorensen formerly served as Chairman of the International Insurance Society, Inc., a professional organization for the insurance industry, from January 2010 to June 2013. Mr. Sorensen has served as a director of the International Insurance Society, Inc. since January 2005. Previously, from November 2011 until December 2012, he was Chairman of the International Advisory Council of Principal Financial Group, Inc., a global financial investment management company. He was Chairman of Principal International, Inc., from June 2011 to October 2012, and President and CEO of International Asset Management and Accumulation of Principal International, Inc., from January 2001 to June 2011. Mr. Sorensen has served as a director of Encore Capital Group, Inc. (Nasdaq: ECPG), a consumer banking company, since November 2011. Mr. Sorensen

also served as a director of Sara Lee Corporation (former NYSE-listed company), an American consumer-goods company, from January 2007 to November 2011. He has served as Executive Vice President of both Principal Financial Group, Inc. and Principal Life Insurance Company, a life insurance company, since January 2007, and held a number of other senior management positions since 1998. Mr. Sorensen also served as Chairman of the U.S. Coalition of Service Industries, a leading forum for the services sector, from January 2003 to March 2005. Mr. Sorensen served as a senior executive of American International Group, Inc., an insurance services company, from 1989 to December 1998. He also formerly served as Chairman and director of DE Master Blenders 1753, a Dutch NYSE/Euronext-listed consumer goods company, from December 2011 until September 2013.

Mr. Sorensen's qualifications include his experience as an executive officer of an international financial services and asset management company, with responsibility over international operations and oversight over asset management and financial services functions and multiple divisional chief financial officers. He has also served as an executive officer of several publicly traded companies.

Summary of Committee Memberships

The following table summarizes the committees to which each director will belong both before and after the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, including after giving effect to the covenants set forth in the 2016 Agreement and assuming that each of the nominees for director at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are elected:

	Current	After 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders
Compensation Committee	Jones (Chair) Brown Feld	Brown (Chair) Feld McKenna-Doyle New Director ¹
Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee	Young (Chair) Kaufman McKenna-Doyle Sorensen	Young (Chair) Kaufman Morphy Sorensen
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee ²	McKenna-Doyle (Chair) Brown Feld Young	McKenna-Doyle (Chair) Brown Feld Young

Refers to the new independent director to be appointed by the Board pursuant to the 2016 Agreement. See "— General — Agreements with Starboard — 2016 Agreement."

Corporate Governance Guidelines

Insperity has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines, which include guidelines for, among other things, director responsibilities, qualifications and independence. The Board regularly monitors developments in corporate governance practices and regulatory changes and periodically assesses the adequacy of and modifies its Corporate Governance Guidelines and committee charters as warranted in light of such developments. You can access the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines in their entirety on the Company's website at www.insperity.com in the Corporate Governance section under the Investor Relations tab. The information on our website is not, and shall not be deemed to be, a part of this proxy statement.

On an annual basis, each director and named executive officer is obligated to complete a questionnaire that requires disclosure of any transactions with the Company in which the director or executive officer, or any member of his or her immediate family, has a direct or indirect material interest, and must promptly advise us of any changes to the information previously provided.

Director Independence

Under rules of the NYSE, the Company must have a majority of independent directors. No director qualifies as independent unless the Board affirmatively determines that the director has no material relationship with the Company (either directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company). In evaluating each director's independence, the Board considered all relevant facts and circumstances, and relationships and transactions between each director, her or his family members or any business, charity or other entity in which the director has an interest on the one hand, and the Company, its affiliates, or the Company's senior management on the

² Immediately prior to the effectiveness of the 2016 Agreement, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee was comprised as follows: Ms. Kaufman (Chair), and Messrs. Brown, Feld, Jones and Young. CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

other. As a result of this review, at its meeting held on February 19, 2016, the Board affirmatively determined that all of the Company's directors are independent from the Company and its management, with the exception of Messrs. Sarvadi and Rawson, both of whom are members of the senior management of the Company.

The Board has considered what types of disclosure should be made relating to the process of determining director independence. To assist the Board in making disclosures regarding its determinations of independence, the Board has adopted categorical standards as contemplated under the listing standards of the NYSE then in effect. Under the rules then in effect, relationships that were within the categorical standards were not required to be disclosed and their impact on independence was not required to be separately discussed, although the categorical standards, by themselves, did not determine the independence of a particular director. The Board considers all

Table of Contents

relevant facts and circumstances in determining whether a director is independent. A relationship satisfies the categorical standards adopted by the Board if it:

- is not a relationship that would preclude a determination of independence under Section 303A.02(b) of the NYSE Listed Company Manual;
- consists of charitable contributions made by Insperity to an organization where a director is an executive officer and does not exceed the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the organization's gross revenue in any of the last three years; and •is not required to be, and it is not otherwise, disclosed herein.

In the course of the Board's determination regarding the independence of directors other than Messrs. Sarvadi and Rawson, it considered all transactions, relationships and arrangements in which such directors and Insperity were participants. The Board also considered any transactions amongst the directors, even if they did not involve Insperity. In particular, with respect to each of the most recent three fiscal years, the Board evaluated, with respect to Dr. Jones, its long-time employment of an individual who became Dr. Jones' son-in-law. The Board has determined that this relationship is not material. In making this determination with respect to Dr. Jones, the Board considered that Dr. Jones' son-in-law was employed as a manager of lead generation, held such position for several years prior to becoming a member of Dr. Jones' family, and his salary was between the 25th and 75th percentile for the position. Selection of Nominees for the Board of Directors

Identifying Candidates

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee solicits ideas for potential candidates for membership on the Board from a number of sources including members of the Board, executive officers of the Company, individuals personally known to the members of the Board, and research. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also has sole authority to select and compensate a third-party executive search firm to help identify candidates, if it deems advisable. In addition, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider candidates for the Board submitted by stockholders. Any such submissions should include the candidate's name and qualifications for Board membership and should be directed to the Corporate Secretary of Insperity at 19001 Crescent Springs Drive, Kingwood, Texas 77339. Although the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does not require the stockholder to submit any particular information regarding the qualifications of the stockholder's candidate, the level of consideration that the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will give to the stockholder's candidate will be commensurate with the quality and quantity of information about the candidate that the stockholder makes available to the Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider all candidates identified through the processes described above, and will evaluate each of them on the same basis. In addition, the Bylaws of the Company permit stockholders to nominate directors for election at an annual stockholders meeting whether or not such nominee is submitted to and evaluated by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. To nominate a director using this process, the stockholder must follow the procedures described under "Additional Information — Stockholder Director Nominations for 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders." Further, pursuant to the 2016 Agreement, the Company agreed to appoint Mr. Morphy to the Board and to nominate Messrs. Brown, Morphy and Rawson for re-election as Class III directors at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Evaluating Candidates

Each candidate must meet certain minimum qualifications, including:

- the ability to represent the interests of all stockholders of the Company and not just one particular constituency;
- •independence of thought and judgment;
- the ability to dedicate sufficient time, energy and attention to the performance of her or his duties, taking into consideration the prospective nominee's service on other public company boards; and
- skills and expertise that are complementary to the existing Board members' skills; in this regard, the Board will consider the Board's need for operational, sales, management, financial, governmental or other relevant expertise. In addition, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers other qualities that it may deem to be desirable from time to time, such as the extent to which the prospective nominee contributes to the diversity of the Board with diversity being construed broadly to include a variety of perspectives, opinions, experiences and

backgrounds. However, diversity is just one factor that the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may consider, and the Board does not have any particular policy with regard to

diversity. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may also consider the ability of the prospective nominee to work within the then-existing interpersonal dynamics of the Board and her or his ability to contribute to the collaborative culture among Board members.

Generally, based on this initial evaluation, the chairperson of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will determine whether to interview the nominee, and if warranted, will recommend that one or more members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, other members of the Board and senior management, as appropriate, interview the nominee in person or by telephone. After completing this evaluation and interview process, the Committee makes a recommendation to the full Board as to the persons who should be nominated by the Board, and the Board determines the nominees after considering the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Board of Directors Leadership

The Company does not have a policy with respect to whether the positions of Chairman of the Board and chief executive officer ("CEO") should be held by the same person or two separate individuals, and believes that it is in the best interest of the Company to consider that question from time to time in the context of succession planning. At this time, the Board believes that it is in the best interest of the Company and an appropriate leadership structure to have the CEO also serve as Chairman of the Board. Combining the CEO and Chairman of the Board roles provides an efficient and effective leadership model that promotes unambiguous accountability and alignment on corporate strategy. Mr. Sarvadi co-founded the Company in 1986 and has served as Chairman of the Board and CEO since 1989. The Board believes that Mr. Sarvadi's intimate knowledge of the daily operations of and familiarity with the Company and industry put him in the best position to provide leadership to the Board on setting the agenda, emerging issues facing the Company and the PEO industry, and strategic opportunities. Additionally, Mr. Sarvadi's substantial financial stake in the Company creates a strong alignment of interests with other stockholders. Mr. Sarvadi's combined roles also ensure that a unified message is conveyed to stockholders, employees and clients.

The Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines established the position of lead independent director in 2012. Mr. Young is currently the lead independent director. The Board reevaluates the lead independent director position annually. The lead independent director has the following responsibilities in addition to the regular duties of a director:

- prepare and set the agenda for and chair executive sessions of the outside directors;
- •call or convene executive sessions of the outside directors;
- •authority to set the agenda for meetings of the Board;
- preside at all meetings of the Board where the Chairman of the Board is not present or has a potential conflict of interest;
- serve as liaison and facilitate communications between the independent directors and the Chairman of the Board and CEO;
- consult with the Chairman of the Board and CEO on matters relating to corporate governance and performance of the Board; and
- collaborate with the rest of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee on possible director conflicts of interest or breaches of the Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Board of Directors' Role in Risk Oversight

The Board is responsible for overseeing the Company's overall risk profile and assisting management in addressing specific risks. The Company's Enterprise Risk Management Steering Committee (the "ERM Steering Committee") is responsible for formally identifying and evaluating risks that may affect the Company's ability to execute its corporate strategy and fulfill its business objectives. The ERM Steering Committee employs a disciplined approach to identifying, documenting, evaluating, communicating, and monitoring enterprise risk management within the Company. The ERM Steering Committee is chaired by the Company's chief financial officer and includes the Company's general counsel, internal audit director and other members of management. The ERM Steering Committee reports to the Board and the CEO. During 2015, the ERM Steering Committee completed a comprehensive review and update of the Company's risks, including strategic, operational, financial, legal, regulatory and reputational risks. The

ERM Steering Committee further reviewed and updated the mitigating factors associated with such risks, and prioritized the identified risks based upon the subjectively determined likelihood of the occurrence and the estimated resulting impact on the Company if the risk occurred. The ERM Steering Committee is charged with periodically reviewing the Company's overall risk profile, as well as any significant identified risks, with both the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee and the entire Board.

The Board executes its risk oversight function both directly and through its standing committees, each of which assists the Board in overseeing a part of the Company's overall risk management. Throughout the year, the Board and each such committee spend a portion

Table of Contents

of their time reviewing and discussing specific risk factors, and risk assessments are part of all major decision making. The Board is kept informed of each committee's risk oversight and related activities through regular reports from such committees. The Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee is assigned primary responsibility for oversight of risk assessment with financial implications. In its periodic meetings with management, internal auditors and independent auditors, the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee reviews and monitors many factors relating to enterprise risk, including:

- •the financial affairs of the Company;
- •the integrity of the Company's financial statements and internal controls;
- •the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;
- •the independent auditor's qualifications, independence and performance;
- •the performance of the personnel responsible for the Company's internal audit function and independent auditors; and
- •the Company's policies and procedures with respect to risk management.

The Compensation Committee has the primary responsibility to consider material risk factors relating to the Company's compensation policies and practices. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee monitors governance and succession risks. As part of its review and approval of the Company's capital budget, major acquisitions, material contracts, compensation and other similar matters, the Board retains ultimate authority over assessing the risks and their impacts on the Company's business.

Prohibition on Hedging and Pledging of Company Common Stock

The Company has established strict standards regarding the speculative trading of Company Common Stock. In February 2013, the Company amended its internal policies to prohibit employees from engaging in hedging transactions involving Company Common Stock. The Board also adopted a formal policy prohibiting employees and directors from engaging in the significant pledging of shares of Company Common Stock. All pledging requests will be reviewed by the Board, which will consider the facts and circumstances and other information the Board deems relevant.

As of May 9, 2016, Mr. Sarvadi had 220,000 shares of Common Stock pledged. After a thorough review, the Board previously determined that the shares pledged by the CEO were not significant. In making this determination, the Board considered that the pledged shares only represent approximately 14% of the total shares beneficially owned by the CEO and approximately 1% of the Company's total shares outstanding and market capitalization. The Board also considered the CEO's significant number of founder's shares that were not earned as compensation from the Company, and his compliance with the Company's stock ownership guidelines, disregarding the pledged shares.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

The Board has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the "Code") governing the conduct of the Company's directors, officers and employees. The Code, which meets the requirements of Rule 303A.10 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual and Item 406 of Regulation S-K, is intended to promote honest and ethical conduct, full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in the Company's public filings, compliance with laws and the prompt internal reporting of violations of the Code. You can access the Code on the Company's website at www.insperity.com in the Corporate Governance section under the Investor Relations tab. Changes in and waivers to the Code for the Company's directors, executive officers and certain senior financial officers will be posted on the Company's Internet website within four business days of being approved and maintained for at least 12 months. If you wish to raise a question or concern or report a violation to the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee, you should visit www.ethicspoint.com or call the Ethicspoint toll-free hotline at 1-866-384-4277.

Stockholder Communications

Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate directly with the entire Board or the non-management directors as a group by sending an email to directors@insperity.com. Alternatively, you may mail your correspondence to the Board or non-management directors in care of the Corporate Secretary, 19001 Crescent Springs Drive, Kingwood, Texas 77339. In the subject line of the email or on the envelope, please specify whether the

communication is addressed to the entire Board or to the non-management directors.

Unless any director directs otherwise, communications received (via U.S. mail or email) will be reviewed by the Corporate Secretary who will exercise his discretion not to forward to the Board correspondence that is inappropriate such as business solicitations, frivolous communications and advertising, routine business matters (i.e. business inquiries, complaints, or suggestions), and personal grievances.

MEETINGS AND COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors

Directors are expected to attend all or substantially all Board meetings and meetings of the Committees of the Board on which they serve. Directors are also expected to spend the necessary time to discharge their responsibilities appropriately (including advance review of meeting materials) and to ensure that other existing or future commitments do not materially interfere with their responsibilities as members of the Board. The Board met 13 times in 2015. All of the members of the Board participated in more than 75% of the meetings of the Board and Committees of which they were members during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015. The Board encourages its members to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Last year, five of the Company's directors attended the Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Executive Sessions of the Board of Directors and the Lead Independent or Presiding Director

The Company's non-management directors, all of whom are also independent, hold executive sessions at which the Company's management is not in attendance at regularly scheduled Board meetings. The lead independent director, currently Mr. Young, establishes the agenda and serves as presiding director at the executive sessions. In the absence of a lead independent director, the chairperson of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee or an independent director designated by the outside directors shall preside at meetings of non-management directors. Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board has appointed three standing committees: the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee; the Compensation Committee; and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The charters for each of the three standing committees, which have been adopted by the Board, contain a detailed description of the respective standing committee's duties and responsibilities and are available on the Company's website at www.insperity.com in the Corporate Governance section under the Investor Relations tab. The Board also created a new, temporary Independent Advisory Committee pursuant to the 2015 Agreement. The Board has reviewed the applicable legal and NYSE standards for independence for members of each of Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee; the Compensation Committee; and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee as well as the Company's independence standards for such Committees and has determined that the members of each of those Committees of the Board is "independent" under such requirements.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met five times in 2015. The members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee currently are: Ms. McKenna-Doyle, who serves as chairperson, and Messrs. Brown, Feld and Young. The composition of this Committee was revised in connection with the 2016 Agreement. See "Proposal Number 1: Election of Directors —General — Agreements with Starboard — 2016 Agreement." The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee: (i) identifies individuals qualified to become Board members, consistent with the criteria for selection approved by the Board; (ii) recommends to the Board a slate of director nominees to be elected by the stockholders at the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders and, when appropriate, director appointees to take office between Annual Meetings of Stockholders; (iii) develops and recommends to the Board a set of corporate governance guidelines for the Company; and (iv) oversees the evaluation of the Board.

Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee

The Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee met eight times in 2015. The members of this Committee currently are Mr. Young, who serves as chairperson, Ms. Kaufman, Ms. McKenna-Doyle and Mr. Sorensen. Pursuant to the 2016 Agreement, immediately following the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and assuming all director nominees are re-elected, the members of this Committee will be Mr. Young, who will continue to serve as chairperson, Ms. Kaufman, Mr. Morphy and Mr. Sorensen. The Board has determined that Mr. Young is an "audit committee financial expert" as such term is defined in Item 401(h) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC. The Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibility to oversee the financial affairs, risk management, accounting and financial reporting processes, and audits of financial statements of the Company by reviewing and monitoring: (i) the financial affairs of the Company; (ii) the integrity of the Company's financial statements and internal controls; (iii) the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; (iv)

the independent auditor's qualifications, independence and performance; (v) the performance of the personnel responsible for the Company's internal audit function and the independent auditors; and (vi) the Company's policies and procedures with respect to risk management, as well as other matters that may come before it as directed by the Board.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee met six times in 2015. The members of the Compensation Committee currently are Dr. Jones, who serves as chairperson, and Messrs. Brown and Feld. Pursuant to the 2016 Agreement, immediately following the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and assuming all director nominees are re-elected, the members of this Committee will be Mr. Brown, who will serve as chairperson, Mr. Feld and Ms. McKenna-Doyle. In addition, the new independent director to be added to the Board pursuant to the 2016 Agreement would also become a member of this Committee. The Compensation Committee: (i) oversees and administers the Company's compensation policies, plans and practices; (ii) reviews and discusses with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by SEC Regulation S-K, Item 402; and (iii) prepares the annual report required by the rules of the SEC on executive compensation for inclusion in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K or proxy statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. To carry out these purposes, the Compensation Committee: (i) evaluates the performance of and determines the compensation for senior management, taking into consideration recommendations made by the CEO; (ii) administers the Company's compensation programs; and (iii) performs such other duties as may from time to time be directed by the Board.

Pursuant to the terms of the Insperity, Inc. 2001 Incentive Plan, as amended (the "2001 Incentive Plan"), and the Insperity, Inc. 2012 Incentive Plan (the "2012 Incentive Plan" and, together with the 2001 Incentive Plan, the "Incentive Plans"), the Board or the Compensation Committee may delegate authority under the Incentive Plans to the Chairman of the Board or a committee of one or more Board members, respectively, pursuant to such conditions and limitations as each may establish, except that neither may delegate to any person the authority to make awards, or take other action, under the Incentive Plans with respect to participants who may be subject to Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act").

Independent Advisory Committee

The Independent Advisory Committee, which was formed in 2015 pursuant to the 2015 Agreement, reviewed the Company's business and made recommendations to the Board regarding capital allocation, expenses and targeted ranges for Adjusted EBITDA margins, while taking into consideration the Company's risk profile and the potential impact of any recommendations on the Company's business model and strategic plan. Pursuant to the terms of its charter, the Independent Advisory Committee was dissolved in February 2016. The members of the Independent Advisory Committee were Mr. Feld, who served as chairperson, and Messrs. Brown, Sorensen and Young.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis ("CD&A") explains our compensation philosophy, objectives and strategies and the underlying elements of our compensation programs for the Company's named executive officers ("NEOs"). This CD&A also summarizes decisions the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors ("Compensation Committee") made regarding these programs and the factors considered in making those decisions. The following individuals comprised our NEOs for 2015:

Name Title

Paul J. Sarvadi Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board Douglas S. Sharp Chief Financial Officer, SVP of Finance and Treasurer

Richard G. Rawson President

A. Steve Arizpe Chief Operating Officer and EVP of Client Services

Jay E. Mincks EVP of Sales and Marketing

Performance Highlights

The Company's financial performance in 2015 was strong and continued to reflect our commitment to unit growth in the number of paid worksite employees ("WSEE"), effectively managing our pricing and direct costs, controlling operating expenses and enhancing total return for our stockholders.

Table of Contents

For 2015, we continued to execute on our strategy to accelerate unit growth in WSEE, achieving double-digit growth of 11.6% on a year-over-year basis. We believe that WSEE is a key metric for measuring our sales success and client retention efforts.

Table of Contents

Note: Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure used by management to analyze the Company's performance. Please read Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Non-GAAP Financial Measures" in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 filed with the SEC on February 12, 2016 for a reconciliation of this non-GAAP financial measure to the most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP.

For 2015, our adjusted EBITDA was \$110.0 million, representing a 30.8% increase compared to 2014. Adjusted EBITDA represents EBITDA (cornings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) plus non-cash.

EBITDA was \$110.0 million, representing a 30.8% increase compared to 2014. Adjusted EBITDA represents EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) plus non-cash impairments, stockholder advisory expenses and stock-based compensation. We believe that this overall increase in adjusted EBITDA demonstrates our ability to execute on our plan to grow sales, retain existing clients, effectively manage our pricing and direct costs and control operating costs.

On May 29, 2015, the Company announced a 16% increase to its quarterly cash dividend from \$0.19 per share (\$0.76 annualized) to \$0.22 per share (\$0.88 annualized), reflecting our continued confidence in our strategy and the significant free cash flow generated by our business. This is the second increase to our quarterly dividend since 2013, in addition to the \$2.00 per share special dividend that we paid in December 2014.

Our Pay-for-Performance Compensation Philosophy

Insperity's overall compensation philosophy is one of pay-for-performance. The objectives of our compensation plans are to attract, retain and motivate high performing individuals to achieve the Company's annual and long-term business and strategic goals. A substantial portion of each executive officer's total compensation package consists of long-term incentive components and a variable annual compensation component. The goal of these compensation components is to align the interests of the executive officers with those of the stockholders by tying a meaningful portion of executive compensation to our financial performance and stock price. In order to remain competitive for talent within the market, total compensation includes a fixed base salary, as well as an element of supplemental benefits and perquisites. We also design our compensation plans to motivate executives to maximize stockholder value over time, without encouraging excessive risk-taking that could adversely impact stockholder value.

The Compensation Committee has historically established a variety of annual performance goals designed to create a strong alignment between executive and stockholder interests. The Compensation Committee selects corporate performance goals that they believe have a direct influence on achieving the Company's business objectives, contribute to the overall success of the Company and favorably impact stockholder value. Each corporate performance goal is intended to have a challenging achievement level that must be reached before triggering a payout for employees.

Based upon stockholder feedback and following input from our outside compensation consultants on corporate compensation trends and institutional investor preferences for performance-based long-term compensation, the Compensation Committee implemented a performance-based long-term equity incentive program beginning in 2015, as further described under "Elements of

^{*} Excludes a special dividend of \$2.00 per share paid in the fourth quarter of 2014.

Table of Contents

Compensation — Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation." The Compensation Committee believes that the corporate performance goals that must be achieved for the performance-based awards to vest will align the value that executives could receive from these awards with the value that is created for stockholders. The implementation of a performance-based long-term equity incentive program, in combination with our other compensation elements, supports our pay-for-performance philosophy.

Compensation Objectives

We are committed to attracting, motivating, retaining and encouraging long-term employment of individuals with a demonstrated commitment to integrity and exemplary personal standards of performance. Our culture is based upon the value of and respect for each individual, encouraging personal and professional growth, rewarding outstanding individual and corporate performance and achieving excellence through a high-energy, collegial work environment. We are convinced these elements contribute to our vision of being an "employer of choice," which increases our value to clients, employees, stockholders, and the communities where we live and work.

Our compensation objectives for our NEOs are based on the same principles that we employ in establishing all of our compensation programs. For our executive officers, our compensation programs are designed to:

- attract and retain key executive officers responsible for our success; and
- motivate management both to achieve short-term business goals and to enhance long-term stockholder value.

Compensation Strategies

To accomplish our compensation objectives, we adhere to the following strategies:

- Promote a performance-driven culture that encourages growth by recognizing and rewarding employees who meet and exceed the Company's business objectives.
- Maintain competitive base salaries that compensate employees based upon job responsibilities, level of experience, individual performance, comparisons to the market, internal comparisons and other relevant factors.

 Motivate and reward individual, departmental and corporate performance through variable pay programs. These
- programs directly support our business objectives, encourages leadership of departmental units and encourages collaboration and teamwork across the Company. As employees progress to higher levels in the Company, an increasing proportion of their compensation is linked to Company-wide and departmental performance.
- Alignment of interests among executive officers, employees and stockholders through the use of long-term equity and performance-based incentive compensation opportunities.
- Provide a competitive benefits package that recognizes and encourages work-life balance and fosters a long-term commitment to the Company.

Insperity's Best Practice Features

We have embedded in our overall compensation programs features aligned with the objectives of our business and designed to strengthen the link between the interests of our executive officers and those of our stockholders. Following is a summary of practices related to compensation that we have adopted and pay practices that we avoid:

Table of Contents

What Insperity Has

- Stock ownership guidelines, for the CEO, three times base salary and for non-employee directors, three times the annual cash retainer
- ü Clawback policy for incentive compensation paid to any employee, including NEOs and other executive officers
- ü Minimum vesting period of three years for grants of restricted stock, stock options and phantom shares
- ü Double trigger requirement for early vesting of NEO equity awards in the event of a change in control
- Hedging policy prohibits employees and directors from engaging in hedging transactions involving shares of Common Stock
- Pledging policy prohibits employees and directors from engaging in pledging transactions involving shares of Common Stock that would be considered significant by the Board
- ü Established a lead independent director position
- ü Compensation Committee composed entirely of outside, independent directors
- ü Independent compensation consultant hired by and reporting directly to the Compensation Committee What Insperity Does Not Have
- û Employment agreements with NEOs or other executive officers
- û Executive pension or other similar retirement or supplemental benefits
- û Single trigger change in control agreements for NEOs
- û Tax gross-ups in the event of a change in control
- û Medical coverage for retirees
- û Excessive benefits and perquisites

Summary of Compensation Elements

As previously described, one of the important values and objectives of our compensation programs is to provide our executive officers with a mixture of pay linked to Company and individual performance. The major elements of our 2015 annual compensation package for executive officers are summarized in the following chart.

	Compensation Element	Form of Compensation	Purpose
Fixed	Base Salary	Cash	Provides fixed level of compensation to attract and retain talent
Variable and at Risk	Variable Cash Compensation (Insperity Annual Incentive Program) Long-Term Equity Incentives	Cash Restricted Stock and Performance Shares	Rewards executive officers for achieving annual Company, departmental and individual performance goals Supports long-term focus on creating stockholder value and provides strong retention incentive with multi-year vesting
Benefits	Retirement Benefits Health & Welfare Benefits	401(k) Plan Medical, Dental, Life and Disability Benefits	Provides competitive retirement benefits as part of comprehensive pay package Provides competitive health and welfare benefits as part of comprehensive pay package

Elements of Compensation

Base Salary

Base salary is intended to provide fixed annual compensation to attract and retain talented executive officers. Annual adjustments to base salary are based upon the annual performance evaluation, market data and other relevant considerations. Annual performance appraisals are completed through our talent management system, which evaluates the executive officer's annual performance based on pre-established competencies and the achievement of specific individual performance goals. Competencies for executive officers include business ethics, continuous learning, integrity, managing customer focus, strategic thinking and visionary leadership.

Variable Cash Compensation

Variable cash compensation places a significant portion of executive compensation at risk and tied to corporate, departmental and individual performance. Variable compensation for all executive officers is paid through the Insperity Annual Incentive Program ("IAIP"), a non-equity incentive program under the stockholder-approved 2012 Incentive Plan. The IAIP embodies our pay-for-performance philosophy and helps align executive officers' compensation to the Company's overall performance, as well as to their respective individual performance and the performance of the departments under their respective supervision.

Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation

Long-term equity incentives align the interests of the executive officers with those of the stockholders. We believe that long-term incentives enhance retention while rewarding executive officers for their service. Long-term equity incentive awards are made under the stockholder-approved 2012 Incentive Plan. The objectives of the 2012 Incentive Plan are to:

- provide incentives to attract and retain persons with training, experience and ability to serve as our employees;
- promote the interests of the Company by encouraging employees to acquire or increase their equity interest in the Company;
- provide a means by which employees may develop a sense of proprietorship and personal involvement in the development and financial success of the Company; and
- encourage employees to remain with, and devote their best efforts to the business of, the Company, thereby advancing the interests of the Company and its stockholders.

Awards granted under the 2012 Incentive Plan may be in the form of restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock options, phantom shares, performance shares or units, bonus stock or other incentive awards. In recent years, including 2015, incentive awards have been made in the form of restricted stock or performance shares rather than stock options, as we believe the current accounting treatment of these award types more closely reflects the economic value of the award to the employees.

Following an executive compensation study by the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant and based upon stockholder feedback and market compensation trends, including institutional investor preferences for performance-based long-term compensation, the Compensation Committee in March 2015 implemented a performance-based long-term equity incentive program, or LTIP, under the 2012 Incentive Plan. In deciding to add a performance-based long-term component to our compensation elements, the Compensation Committee determined that the LTIP further aligns the Company's compensation structure with stockholder interests, provides an opportunity to subject a greater percentage of a recipient's compensation to the achievement of long-term Company growth, creation of shareholder value and aids retention efforts. LTIP awards are tied to achieving increased levels of adjusted EBITDA and, in 2016, a portion is also tied to relative total shareholder return. Awards granted under the LTIP have a three-year performance period with any payout occurring after the conclusion of the performance period in the form of Common Stock. Under terms of the LTIP, the Compensation Committee determines each calendar year whether to make LTIP awards, which executives will participate, the performance goals and the payout opportunities.

Except in the case of a qualifying termination in connection with a change in control, or a termination due to death or disability, a participant in the LTIP must be continuously employed by the Company or its subsidiaries throughout the performance period and on the date such award is paid after the conclusion of the performance period to receive a payout of an award. Awards are granted in the form of phantom shares and will be paid in shares of Common Stock, and may include the right to dividend equivalents.

The 2012 Incentive Plan provides that awards granted to NEOs include a "double trigger" requirement in the case of a "change in control" of the Company as defined under the 2012 Incentive Plan. The imposition of a double trigger means that awards granted to NEOs do not immediately vest following a change in control. Under the double trigger, the conditions and/or restrictions that must be met with respect to vesting or exercisability of future awards granted to NEOs will lapse only after a "qualifying

Table of Contents

termination" within a prescribed number of months following a change in control. All outstanding equity awards held by NEOs include the double trigger requirement.

In February 2015, the Company amended the 2012 Incentive Plan to require a minimum vesting period of three years for all grants of restricted stock and stock options that are time-vested awards. The Compensation Committee may grant awards with a shorter vesting schedule as an inducement to recruit a new employee, for an award granted in lieu of salary or bonus, or by reason of death, disability or change in control. Under the three year minimum vesting schedule, pro rata vesting is permissible. We anticipate continuing to utilize restricted stock awards with a three-year vesting schedule with no additional holding period required beyond the vesting date.

All equity grants to executive officers are approved solely by the Compensation Committee or the independent directors at regularly scheduled meetings, or in limited cases involving key recruits or promotions, by a special committee, special meeting, or unanimous written consent. If an award is made at a meeting, the grant date is the meeting date or a fixed, future date specified at the time of the grant, such as the first business day of a subsequent calendar month or the date that the grant recipient commences employment. If an award is approved by unanimous written consent, the grant date is a fixed, future date on or after the date the consent is effective under applicable corporate law (or, if later, the date the grant recipient starts employment). Restricted stock and performance awards are valued in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation. For stock options, the exercise price cannot be less than the closing price of Common Stock on the grant date and stock options may not be re-priced or exchanged for a cash buy-out or settlement with a lower exercise price, without prior stockholder approval.

Retirement Benefits

We do not provide pension arrangements or nonqualified defined contribution or other deferred compensation plans for our executive officers. Our executive officers are eligible to participate in the Company's corporate 401(k) plan. Each payroll period, we contribute on behalf of each participant a matching contribution equal to 50% of the first 6% of compensation contributed to the 401(k) plan by the participant (subject to applicable limitations under the Internal Revenue Code). Effective January 1, 2016, the matching contribution increased to 100% of the first 6% of compensation contributed for all participating employees.

Supplemental Benefits, Including Management Perquisites

Executive compensation also includes supplemental benefits and a limited number of perquisites that enhance our ability to attract and retain talented executive officers. We believe that perquisites assist in the operation of business, allowing executive officers more time to focus on business objectives. Supplemental benefits and perquisites include the following:

Automobile

We provide automobiles to executive officers for both business and personal use. The executive officers are taxed for their personal use of the automobiles.

Supplemental Executive Disability Income Program

We maintain a supplemental executive disability income program for executive officers. The supplemental executive disability income program targets replacement of 75% of total cash compensation up to \$20,000 per month. The program recognizes the significant variable pay at the senior levels in the Company and the benefit limitations of our basic long-term disability plan, which provides replacement of 60% of base salary only up to \$10,000 per month. Executive Wellness Program

We offer an Executive Wellness Program to the executive officers to assist them in maintaining their health. The program pays for wellness services, which allow the executive officers an opportunity to have a clear understanding of their current physical condition, risk factors, and ways to improve their health. Chairman's Trip

An annual Chairman's Trip is held for employees recognized during the year for their outstanding service, and for sales representatives meeting a certain sales target and the spouses of those employees and representatives. We believe that executive officers should be part of the trip to recognize these outstanding employees of the Company. We strongly encourage executive officers to bring their spouses to further our vision of being an employer of choice and to build relationships that contribute to retention. We pay the associated income taxes related to the trip on behalf of the employees and the executive officers.

Table of Contents

Club Membership

During 2015, the Company determined to discontinue paying country club memberships for executive officers, effective January 1, 2016. For 2015, the executive officers are taxed on membership dues. Aircraft

During the first quarter of 2015, we entered into a plan to sell our aircraft and completed the sale in July 2015. In connection with the sale, perquisites relating to personal use of the aircraft and commuting on the aircraft were discontinued. Prior to the sale, the aircraft were used primarily for business purposes and for third party chartering, which helped to offset costs, and we provided access to the CEO, the president, the chief operating officer, and the executive vice president of sales and marketing for personal use. These individuals were required to reimburse the Company for the incremental cost associated with their personal use. The incremental cost was calculated by multiplying the number of hours of personal use by the average incremental cost per hour. Additionally, the CEO was not required to reimburse the Company for commuting between his primary residence in the greater Dallas area and a second residence in Arkansas and the Company's headquarters in Houston, Texas and travel to Georgia where the Company has conference facilities. The cost of the CEO's use of the aircraft for commuting has been included in his total compensation and was considered by the Compensation Committee when determining his compensation. Further, the CEO and other executives have responsibility for paying any income taxes associated with their personal use of the formerly owned aircraft.

Stockholder Advisory Votes

At our 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the stockholders, on an advisory basis, voted in favor of an annual advisory vote on the frequency of holding future votes to approve the compensation of the Company's NEOs. In accordance with the stockholders' preference, the Board has determined that the Company will hold an advisory vote on executive compensation every year. Proposal Number 2 in this proxy statement contains the resolution and supporting materials with respect to this year's advisory vote on executive compensation.

At our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the stockholders approved, in a non-binding advisory vote, the compensation of the Company's NEOs, with over 88% of the votes cast in favor of such compensation. The Compensation Committee values the opinions expressed by our stockholders and considered input from stockholders, including the vote outcome, when it made compensation decisions for the executive officers for fiscal year 2016.

Role of Management in Setting Compensation

The recommendations of the CEO play a significant role in the Compensation Committee's determination of compensation matters related to the executive officers reporting directly to the CEO. On an annual basis, the CEO makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee regarding such components as salary adjustments, target annual incentive opportunities and the value of long-term incentive awards. In making his recommendations, the CEO reviews the performance of each of our other executive officers based upon the core competencies of business ethics, continuous learning, integrity, managing customer focus, strategic thinking and visionary leadership, market data for similar positions and other factors deemed relevant in reviewing each executive officer's performance. The Compensation Committee takes the CEO's recommendation under advisement, but makes all final decisions regarding such individual's compensation. The CEO does not make a recommendation with respect to his own compensation. Our CEO typically attends Compensation Committee meetings, but he is excused from any meeting when the Compensation Committee deems it advisable to meet in executive session or when the Compensation Committee meets to discuss items that would impact the CEO's compensation. Our CEO's compensation is reviewed and discussed by the Compensation Committee and his performance is evaluated at least annually. The Compensation Committee makes all final compensation decisions for each of our executive officers, including the CEO.

Role of the Compensation Committee in Setting Compensation

The Compensation Committee is responsible for designing, implementing and administering our executive compensation programs and, in doing so, the Compensation Committee is guided by the compensation philosophy stated above. The Compensation Committee reviews and approves total compensation for our executive officers through a comprehensive process that includes:

Table of Contents

- selecting and engaging an external, independent consultant;
- •reviewing and selecting companies to be included in our peer group;
- •reviewing market data on all major elements of executive compensation;
- •reviewing alignment of executive compensation and incentive goals with stockholder value; and
- •reviewing performance results against corporate, departmental and individual goals.

When reviewing and setting compensation for executive officers, the Compensation Committee also reviewed tally sheets setting forth all components of compensation for each executive officer for the previous three years. Tally sheets included dollar values for the three previous years' salary, cash incentive awards, perquisites (cash and in-kind), long-term stock-based awards, benefits and dividends paid on unvested long-term stock-based awards. Tally sheets were used to assist the Compensation Committee in determining current compensation decisions in view of executive officers' historical and cumulative pay.

A complete listing of our Compensation Committee's responsibilities is included in the Compensation Committee's charter, which is available for review on our corporate website at www.insperity.com in the Corporate Governance section under the Investor Relations tab.

Role of the Compensation Consultants in the Compensation Process

The Compensation Committee's charter provides that it has the sole authority to retain and terminate any compensation consultant to assist in maintaining compensation practices in alignment with our compensation goals. The Compensation Committee believes that outside consultants are an efficient way to keep current on executive compensation trends and stay abreast of competitive compensation practices. The Compensation Committee has periodically engaged Pearl Meyer & Partners ("PM&P") to conduct executive compensation studies and engaged Meridian Compensation Partners LLC ("Meridian") for the first time in August 2015 for similar purposes. Neither PM&P nor Meridian received any remuneration from the Company, directly or indirectly, other than for advisory services rendered to, or at the direction of, the Compensation Committee or the Board. The Compensation Committee has reviewed PM&P's independence and determined that PM&P is an independent advisor with no conflicts of interest with us (as determined under Rule 10C-1(b)(4)(i) of the Exchange Act). The Compensation Committee has also reviewed Meridian's independence and determined that Meridian is an independent advisor with no conflicts of interest with us (as determined under Rule 10C-1(b)(4)(i) of the Exchange Act).

Assessing External Market Compensation Practices

At the direction of the Compensation Committee, we periodically conduct an executive compensation study that compares each executive officer's compensation to market data for similar positions. The Compensation Committee determines whether the study is to be performed internally by Insperity or by an outside consulting firm that is directly engaged by the Compensation Committee. While the Compensation Committee does not target our executives' pay to any particular level (such as a target percentile) of comparative market data contained in executive compensation studies, such data help to inform and influence pay decisions and are considered by the Compensation Committee in meeting our compensation program objectives as described above.

Compensation Peer Group

Selecting a peer group to benchmark compensation for our executives presents certain challenges, including the limited number of publicly-traded PEOs and the Company's unique business model. As one of the largest PEO service providers in the United States, our direct PEO service competitors include TriNet Group, Inc., a national PEO, and the PEO divisions of Automatic Data Processing, Inc. and Paychex, Inc., which are significantly larger business service

companies. The delivery of our PEO services and strategic business unit services requires a variety of professional services, information technology services and software. These areas represent important components of our overall service offerings, and we compete for talent with many companies offering similar services or products. Our peer group includes a number of these companies. Consistent with our historical position, the Company does not view traditional staffing companies as competitors for business or talent and has not included such companies in our compensation peer group. We do not provide leased employees or staffing employees to clients, and in 2010 incurred significant expense and undertook significant re-branding efforts to change our name to Insperity in part to avoid any confusion with traditional staffing companies.

In 2014, PM&P was engaged by the Compensation Committee to conduct an executive compensation study (the "Study") as part of the process of determining 2015 compensation. In connection with the Study, PM&P identified a peer group consisting of publicly traded companies that provide human resources and other business products and services and whose average trailing 12 months of sales revenue equated to approximately \$2.3 billion (the "Compensation Peer Group"). The selection process for the

Table of Contents

Compensation Peer Group took into account multiple factors, including: industry (with an emphasis on outsourced human resources services, including the PEO competitors of the Company), comparable revenue range, comparability in terms of complexity and business risk, and the extent to which each company may compete with Insperity for executive talent. The Compensation Peer Group is periodically reviewed and may be modified based on these and other relevant criteria. For 2015, the Compensation Peer Group included the following companies:

	Company Name	Company Ticker
	Automatic Data Processing, Inc.	ADP
Providers of PEO Services	Paychex, Inc.	PAYX
	TriNet Group, Inc.	TNET
	Concur Technologies, Inc. ¹	CNQR ¹
	Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation	CTSH
	Convergys Corporation	CVG
	Gartner, Inc.	IT
IT Services and Software	Genpact Limited	G
	Intuit, Inc.	INTU
	Paycom Software, Inc.	PAYC
	The Ultimate Software Group, Inc.	ULTI
	Web.com Group, Inc.	WWWW
	CBIZ, Inc.	CBZ
	Korn/Ferry International	KFY
Professional Services	Resources Connection, Inc.	RECN
	Towers Watson & Company	TW

¹ Concur Technologies, Inc. was subsequently acquired by SAP SE in December 2014.

The Study examined market compensation data for executive positions based on a combination of proxy data of the Compensation Peer Group and benchmark position compensation survey data. Survey sources included PM&P's proprietary general executive compensation databases and other independent surveys. In addition to the Study conducted by PM&P, internal factors are also an important consideration when determining each executive officer's compensation. These factors include:

• the executive officer's performance review conducted by either the Compensation Committee (for the CEO) or the CEO (for all other executive officers);

- •the CEO's recommendations regarding the other executive officers;
- the executive officer's tenure with the Company, industry experience and ability to influence stockholder value; and the importance of the executive officer's position to the Company in relation to the other executive officer positions within the Company.

2015 Executive Compensation Decisions

Base Salary Changes

The Company awarded merit salary increases during the first quarter of 2015 to the NEOs as follows:

2014	2015	2015
Paga Calary	Base	Increase
Dase Salary	Salary	merease
\$850,000	\$850,000	
\$396,000	\$408,000	3.0%
\$482,000	\$494,000	2.5%
\$482,000	\$494,000	2.5%
\$460,000	\$472,000	2.6%
	Base Salary \$850,000 \$396,000 \$482,000 \$482,000	Base Salary Base Salary \$850,000 \$850,000 \$408,000 \$482,000 \$494,000 \$482,000 \$494,000

The average salary increase for the NEOs in 2015 was 2.1%. The increases in base salary were based on the annual performance reviews, the findings of the Study and other factors deemed relevant by the Compensation Committee, such as Company performance and general economic conditions.

IAIP Target Bonus Percentage

The Compensation Committee approved the target bonus percentage for each executive officer (other than the CEO) based on the CEO's recommendations. His recommendations took into account the executive officer's level of responsibility, market conditions and internal equity considerations. The Compensation Committee also evaluated the foregoing factors in determining the CEO's target bonus percentage. Because executive officers are in a position to directly influence the overall performance of the Company, and in alignment with our pay-for-performance philosophy, we believe that a significant portion of their total cash compensation should be at risk. The CEO, the individual with the greatest overall responsibility for Company performance, was granted a larger incentive opportunity in comparison to his base salary in order to weight his overall pay mix more heavily towards performance-based compensation than the overall pay mix of the other executive officers. The CFO, who had less responsibility for overall Company operating performance relative to other NEOs, was granted a smaller incentive opportunity in comparison to his base salary in order to weight his overall pay mix less heavily towards performance-based compensation than the overall pay mix of the other NEOs. For 2015, the Compensation Committee set the annual incentive targets as a percentage of each NEO's base salary as follows:

	Target Bonu
	Percentage
	under IAIP
Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board	130%
Chief Financial Officer, SVP of Finance and Treasurer	85%
President	100%
Chief Operating Officer and EVP of Client Services	100%
EVP of Sales & Marketing	100%
Calculation and Weighting of Performance Components	S

For 2015, the targeted variable compensation under the IAIP for the CEO was based on corporate and individual performance components and for all other executive officers was based on corporate, departmental and individual performance components. As described in further detail below, corporate performance goals for 2015 were based on operating income ("OI"), year-over-year growth in the number of paid worksite employees ("PWEE Growth") and operating expense management ("OEM"). For the CEO, variable compensation was heavily weighted toward corporate performance to align his IAIP bonus with Company-wide performance. For all executive officers, 20% was weighted toward individual performance to reflect their individual performance during the year, as determined through the annual performance appraisal process as discussed above. A departmental component was included in the IAIP bonus of each executive officer (other than the CEO) to encourage him to provide effective leadership to the departments under his supervision, as well as to align the interests of the executive with those of the employees that he supervises. Each performance component is determined separately and is not dependent on the other components, except that if an

executive officer's individual performance rating is below the threshold, then he receives no IAIP bonus, regardless of corporate and departmental performance. Each executive officer's IAIP bonus is the sum of the result of each performance component.

Each performance component was weighted for each NEO as follows:

	Cor	porate				
	Perf	formance				
	ΟĪ	PWEE	OEM	Damas	utus antal	Individual
	OI	Growth	OEM	Depa	limentai	Individual
Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board	32	<i>3</i> 2	976	0	%	26
Chief Financial Officer, SVP of Finance and Treasurer	210	2 60	W O	30	%	26
President	%	%	% 2	20	%	26
Chief Operating Officer and EVP of Client Services	%	%	% 2	20	%	26
EVP of Sales & Marketing	%	%	% 2	20	%	26

2015 Corporate Performance Goals

OI Corporate Component

We have consistently included a measure of operating income as one of our corporate performance goals because we believe it is a key indicator of our overall productivity; effective management of pricing, direct costs and operating expenses; and ability to grow the business while favorably balancing profitability. We also believe that this metric reflects the combined contribution of all departments and encourages collaboration across the organization because each department within the Company can have a direct impact on corporate performance as measured according to this metric. The formula for measuring the OI corporate performance component of the IAIP bonus for each NEO was determined as follows:

The OI Corporate Performance Modifier was determined as follows:

Danfannanaa I aval	2015 OI	OI Corporate		
Performance Level	2013 OI	Performance Modifier		
Below Threshold	Less than \$80.1 million	0%		
Threshold	\$80.1 million	50%		
Target	\$85.9 million	100%		
Stretch	\$91.0 million	125%		
Maximum	\$97.2 million	150%		

If 2015 OI (excluding total incentive compensation expense, operating expenses related to acquisition activity in 2015 and extraordinary, unusual or infrequent items, if applicable) was below the threshold, then the OI Corporate Performance Modifier would be 0%, resulting in an OI corporate component payout of \$0. The OI Corporate Performance Modifier would be interpolated if actual performance fell in between the threshold, target, stretch or maximum performance level.

The Company's 2015 OI, plus (i) incentive compensation expense; and (ii) non-cash impairment charges of \$10.5 million, was \$99.7 million. Based on this performance, the Compensation Committee determined the OI Corporate Performance Modifier to be 150% for each NEO.

PWEE Growth Corporate Component

We also chose the year-over-year growth percentage in the number of paid worksite employees, as a 2015 corporate performance goal. We included this as a component in order to focus all of our employees on growing our business. Increasing the number of paid worksite employees is a key metric for measuring the success of our sales operations and client retention efforts and is a significant driver in our overall growth and performance. This performance goal also encouraged collaboration among all Company employees to increase the number of paid worksite employees.

Table of Contents

The formula for measuring the PWEE Growth corporate performance component of the IAIP bonus for each NEO was determined as follows:

The PWEE Growth corporate component of IAIP bonuses consisted of three different metrics with the final payout amount being based upon the metric that produced the greatest percentage payout of the target bonus.

PWEE Growth Metric 1

Performance Level	-	Q2 Year-over-Year Growth Percentage	-	-	PWEE Growth Corporate Performance Modifier
Threshold	8.7%	9.3%	9.5%	9.5%	50%
Target	9.7%	10.3%	10.6%	10.6%	100%
Stretch	10.7%	11.3%	11.7%	11.7%	125%
Maximum	11.6%	12.4%	12.7%	12.7%	150%

The calculation of PWEE Growth for purposes of Metric 1 was determined each calendar year quarter, by taking the three (3) month average of the number of paid worksite employees for each calendar year quarter, and calculating the year-over-year growth in the number of paid worksite employees, expressed as a percentage. Each calendar year quarter for 2015 had a 25% weighting towards the Metric 1 percentage payout of target bonus.

PWEE Growth Metric 2

Performance Level	Calendar Year Year-over-Year Growth Percentage	PWEE Growth Corporate Performance Modifier
Threshold	9.0%	50%
Target	10.0%	100%
Stretch	12.0%	125%
Maximum	14.0%	150%

The calculation of PWEE Growth for purposes of Metric 2 was determined by calculating the year-over-year growth in the number of paid worksite employees for calendar year 2015, expressed as a percentage.

PWEE Growth Metric 3

Performance Level	January 31, 2016 Year-over-Year Growth Percentage	PWEE Growth Corporate Performance Modifier
Threshold	9.0%	50%
Target	10.0%	100%
Stretch	12.0%	125%
Maximum	14.0%	150%

The calculation of PWEE Growth for purposes of Metric 3 was determined by calculating the year-over-year growth in the number of paid worksite employees in January 2016, expressed as a percentage. For PWEE Growth Metric 3,

DIVIDE C

we include the number of paid worksite employees for January 2016 in the performance period to reflect the results of our annual Fall Sales Campaign and significant year-end client renewal period.

If the number of worksite employees calculated as described above was below the threshold for all three metrics, then the PWEE Growth Corporate Performance Modifier would be 0%, resulting in a PWEE Growth corporate component payout of \$0. The

PWEE Growth Corporate Performance Modifier would be interpolated if actual performance for one of the metrics fell in between the threshold, target, stretch or maximum performance level.

During the performance period, the year-over-year growth percentage in the number of worksite employees was highest for PWEE Growth Metric 3 and was 14%. Based on this performance, the Compensation Committee determined the PWEE Growth Corporate Performance Modifier to be 150% for each NEO.

OEM Corporate Component

We also included OEM as a 2015 corporate performance goal. While OEM is a factor in the calculation of operating income (OI Corporate Component), we believed that a heightened focus on financial stewardship throughout the entire Company was warranted, and that successful achievement of this goal would require the combined focus and effort of employees across all departments and help create value for our stockholders.

The formula for measuring the OEM corporate performance component of the IAIP bonus for each NEO was determined as follows:

A mmu o 1	Toward	Individual		OEM		OEM
Annual	Target V Papus	weighting (of OEM	Corporate Performance	_	Corporate
Salary	A Dollus	Corporate C	Component ²	Modifier	_	Component
(4)	(%)	(%)		(0%-150%)		Payout (\$)

The OEM Corporate Performance Modifier was determined as follows:

Performance Level		Operating Expanses	OEM Corporate		
		Operating Expenses	Performance Modifier		
Abo	ve Threshold	In excess of \$353.6 million	0%		
Thre	eshold	\$353.6 million	50%		
Targ	get	\$352.6 million	100%		
Stre	tch	\$351.6 million	125%		
Max	imum	\$351.1 million	150%		

If 2015 operating expenses (excluding total incentive compensation expense, operating expenses related to acquisition activity in 2015, and extraordinary, unusual or infrequent items, if applicable, including non-cash impairment charges, stock-based compensation expense, professional advisory fees for stockholder matters, litigation settlements and the associated legal fees, and changes in statutory tax rates and assessments) were above the threshold, the OEM Corporate Performance Modifier would be 0%, resulting in an OEM Corporate Component payout of \$0. The OEM Corporate Performance Modifier would be interpolated if actual performance fell in between the threshold, target, stretch or maximum performance levels.

The Company's 2015 operating expenses, excluding (i) incentive compensation expense; (ii) non-cash impairment charges of \$10.5 million; and (iii) stockholder advisory expenses of \$1.5 million, were \$338.7 million. Because operating expenses were less than the maximum performance threshold of \$351.1 million, the Compensation Committee approved an OEM Corporate Performance Modifier of 150% for each NEO.

Departmental Component

The formula for measuring the departmental performance component of the IAIP bonus for each executive officer (other than the CEO who has no departmental component included in his IAIP bonus) was as follows:

The goals were developed by each department and were designed to encourage employees to work together to continue making business improvements and to increase efficiency, productivity and collaboration across the organization. All departmental goals were approved by the CEO. As part of our continued focus on managing operating expenses, we did not include a stretch goal

or maximum performance level for 2015; therefore, the target level also constituted the maximum level achievable for IAIP bonus purposes. The Departmental Performance Modifier for all executive officers can range from 0% to 100% based on the achievement of departmental goals. If departmental performance was below the threshold, the Departmental Performance Modifier would be 0%, resulting in a departmental component payout of \$0. The nature of the departmental goals and objectives for each NEO was as follows:

Nature of Goals and Objectives

Chief
Financial
Officer,
SVP of
Finance
and
Treasurer

Effective management of operating expenses; implementation of Company real estate strategy including effective and efficient management of Company occupancy; timely due diligence and integration of acquisitions; successful completion of internal audit projects; quality of internal controls; and successful credit management efforts.

President

Effective client pricing and renewal activities; effective operating expense management; successful negotiation of certain insurance policies and third party contracts; development and implementation of health care reform initiatives; achievement of strategic business unit financial metrics; effective process and technology enhancements; and successful implementation of certain pricing initiatives.

Chief Operating Officer and EVP of Client

Services

Effective client satisfaction and retention; achievement of strategic business unit financial metrics; development of Company training and leadership programs; effective operating expense management; successful implementation of information technology initiatives; and development, implementation and rollout of certain data management and strategic business unit initiatives.

EVP of Sales & Marketing

Effective marketing initiatives; successful new sales results; effective operating expense management; expansion of sales force; successful implementation of training and sales programs; and effective Company community involvement.

In light of the CEO's assessment of the other NEOs' performance against the achievement of their departmental goals, the average Departmental Performance Modifier for the other NEOs in 2015 was 95.5%.

Individual Component

The formula for measuring the individual performance component of the IAIP bonus for each executive officer was as follows:

The Individual Performance Modifier for all executive officers can range from 0% to 150% based on the executive officer's individual performance rating resulting from the annual performance appraisal process, as described under "— Base Salary." Based on the NEOs' individual performance ratings, the average Individual Performance Modifier for the NEOs was 136%.

The Compensation Committee reserves the right to pay discretionary bonuses to executive officers outside of the IAIP. While the Compensation Committee may exercise such discretion in appropriate circumstances, no discretionary bonuses have been awarded to NEOs in recent years.

2015 Equity Grants

The award size and recipients of awards were determined by the degree to which a particular position in the Company has the ability to influence stockholder value, as well as competitive information provided in benchmarking studies. In February 2015, the CEO presented to the Compensation Committee his recommendations for awards of restricted stock for the other executive officers. His recommendations as to the amount of awards to be granted were based on a number of factors, including, the importance of each executive officer's role in the Company's future business operations, equity pay practices of competitor companies, annual expense to the Company of equity awards and the Company's own past practices in granting equity awards. The Compensation Committee then determined and approved the awards for the executive officers, including the CEO, based upon the above noted factors. For 2015, NEOs were granted the following restricted stock awards:

	Shares of	
Name	Restricted	Grant Date Value of Restricted Stock
	Stock	
Paul J. Sarvadi	20,400	\$1,051,416
Douglas S. Sharp	8,000	\$412,320
Richard G. Rawson	14,000	\$721,560
A. Steve Arizpe	14,000	\$721,560
Jay E. Mincks	14,000	\$721,560

¹ The fair market value of one share of the Common Stock on the grant date was \$51.54.

The restricted stock awards are all subject to a three-year ratable annual vesting schedule and all NEO grants include a "double trigger" requirement in the case of a "change in control" of the Company.

In March 2015, the Compensation Committee decided to grant awards under the LTIP ("2015 LTIP Awards") to the NEOs and certain other officers. In granting the 2015 LTIP Awards, the Compensation Committee determined that long-term incentive compensation awards would be allocated between performance awards and time-vested restricted stock on approximately a 60% and 40% basis for the CEO, a 35% and 65% basis for the CFO, and a 45% and 55% basis for the remaining NEOs. The performance period for the 2015 LTIP Awards includes calendar years 2015-2017, with each year being equally weighted for one-third of the target opportunity. The 2015 LTIP Awards are payable in shares of Common Stock and include dividend equivalents, payable in additional shares of Common Stock, with respect to the number of phantom shares actually earned pursuant to the 2015 LTIP Awards if and to the extent dividends are paid on Common Stock during the performance period.

The aggregate number of 2015 LTIP Awards granted by the Compensation Committee to each NEO if all of the annual target performance metrics are achieved was as follows:

Aggregate	Grant Date
Number of	Value of
Performance	Performance
Shares	Shares ¹
(at Target)	(at Target)
30,350	\$1,602,480
5,300	\$279,840
11,350	\$599,280
11,350	\$599,280
11,350	\$599,280
	Number of Performance Shares (at Target) 30,350 5,300 11,350 11,350

The 2015 LTIP Awards do not have an exercise price. The fair market value of one share of the Common Stock on the grant date was \$52.80. The grant date fair value of the 2015 LTIP Awards assuming achievement of the maximum level of performance are: Mr. Sarvadi - \$3,204,960; Mr. Sharp - \$559,680; Mr. Rawson - \$1,198,560; Mr. Arizpe - \$1,198,560; and Mr. Mincks - \$1,198,560.

The performance metric for the 2015 LTIP Awards is tied to achieving increased levels of EBITDA, taking into account certain pre-defined adjustments during the performance period, with vesting occurring at the end of the three-year performance period. The Company's EBITDA performance metric will be measured annually against performance levels established at the time of grant. Recipients can earn 50% of the target number of phantom shares if

the threshold performance level is achieved and can earn up to 200% of the target number of phantom shares if the maximum performance level is achieved. The first year of the 2015 LTIP Awards is subject to achievement of the EBITDA performance metrics and corresponding performance level payout percentages as follows:

2015 EBITDA

Performance Level	Performance Objective (in millions)	Payout Percentage
Below Threshold	,	0%
		0 70
Threshold	\$101	50%
Target	\$103	100%
Maximum	\$118	200%

If the EBITDA performance metric for a performance period falls below the threshold level, no performance shares will be credited for the performance period. If actual performance results fall between the threshold, target and maximum performance levels, the number of performance shares earned will be determined by interpolation between the applicable performance levels. For purposes of the 2015 LTIP performance metric, EBITDA was adjusted for non-cash impairment charges, stock-based compensation expense, professional advisory fees for stockholder matters, litigation settlements and the associated legal fees, and changes in statutory tax rates and assessments. EBITDA was also adjusted to exclude the impact of any divestitures, acquisitions or change in accounting pronouncement that occurs during the performance period.

For purposes of the 2015 LTIP Awards, the Compensation Committee certified adjusted EBITDA of \$110.0 million for the 2015 performance period. After interpolation, the Compensation Committee determined the LTIP performance modifier to be 145% for the first one-third tranche of the 2015 LTIP Award. To receive the awards, the recipients must remain continuously employed throughout the entire three-year performance period and as of the date the Compensation Committee certifies the final results, with limited exceptions for death, disability or change in control.

2016 Equity Grants

In March 2016, the Compensation Committee granted awards under the LTIP ("2016 LTIP Awards") to the NEOs and certain other officers. In granting the 2016 LTIP Awards, the Compensation Committee further decreased the long-term incentive weighting of the time vested restricted stock and increased the weighting assigned to performance awards for the recipients of those awards. For 2016, the long-term incentive compensation awards were allocated between performance awards and time-vested restricted stock on approximately a 70% and 30% basis for the CEO, a 50% and 50% basis for the CFO, and a 60% and 40% basis for the remaining NEOs. In establishing the performance metrics for the 2016 LTIP Awards, the Compensation Committee retained a metric tied to achieving increased levels of EBITDA with certain pre-defined adjustments, but also added a relative total shareholder return metric ("RTSR") to further align the long-term financial interests of the executive officers and the Company's shareholders. RTSR will be measured over the entire 2016-2018 performance period against the performance of 21 peer companies that the Compensation Committee designated as the Company's 2016 compensation peer group. The 2016 LTIP Awards are weighted at 60% for the EBITDA performance metric and 40% for the RTSR performance metric. The performance period for the EBITDA portion of the 2016 LTIP Awards includes calendar years 2016-2018, with each year being equally weighted for one-third of the target opportunity. The 2016 LTIP Awards are payable in shares of Common Stock and include dividend equivalents, payable in additional shares of Common Stock, with respect to the number of phantom shares actually earned pursuant to the 2016 LTIP Awards if and to the extent dividends are paid on Common Stock during the performance period.

The aggregate number of 2016 LTIP Awards granted by the Compensation Committee to each NEO if all of the target performance metrics are achieved was as follows:

Aggregate

	1155105410
	Number of
	Performance
	Shares ¹
	(at Target)
Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board	36,345
Chief Financial Officer, SVP of Finance and Treasurer	6,580
President	11,880
Chief Operating Officer and EVP of Client Services	11,880
EVP of Sales & Marketing	11,880

The 2016 LTIP Awards do not have an exercise price.

Recipients can earn 50% of the target number of phantom shares if the threshold performance level is achieved and can earn up to 200% of the target number of phantom shares if the maximum performance level is achieved. If the performance metric for a performance period falls below the threshold level, no performance shares will be credited for the performance period. If actual performance results fall between the threshold, target and maximum performance levels, the number of performance shares earned will be determined by interpolation between the applicable performance levels.

Table of Contents

Other Policies

Stock Ownership Guidelines

To further align the interests of the CEO and non-employee directors with those of our stockholders, the Board has adopted stock ownership guidelines for the Company. The stock ownership guidelines provide that the CEO is required to own three times his annual base salary in Common Stock and all non-employee directors are required to own three times their annual cash retainer in Common Stock. Stock ownership includes direct stock ownership but does not include unvested stock awards or unexercised stock options. The Company annually monitors and calculates the stock ownership level of each individual, and each individual has five years to meet the applicable ownership requirements. The CEO is in compliance and each non-employee director is in compliance or is expected to be in compliance within the applicable time period.

Employment Agreements, Post-Employment and Change in Control Compensation

Our executive officers are employed at will and none have an employment agreement. In 2015, no NEOs departed from the Company. We do not provide the executive officers with any kind of contractual severance. Equity awards granted to executive officers do not automatically accelerate upon a change in control. Rather such awards contain a "double trigger" requiring a qualifying termination within a prescribed number of months following the change in control in order to accelerate vesting. All outstanding equity awards held by NEOs are subject to the double trigger requirement.

Incentive Compensation Recoupment Policy ("Clawback Policy")

In February 2014, the Board adopted a recoupment policy for incentive compensation paid to executive officers and other employees. The Clawback Policy authorizes the Company to recover excess incentive compensation paid to an executive officer who engaged in, or was aware of and failed to report, fraud or misconduct which results in a restatement of the Company's financial statements. Incentive compensation paid under the IAIP and LTIP is subject to the Clawback Policy.

Risk Assessment

The Company conducted an assessment of our compensation programs and practices for its employees and determined that there are no risks arising from such compensation programs and practices that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Deductibility of Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code imposes a \$1 million limit on the amount that a public company may deduct for compensation paid to the Company's principal executive officer or any of the Company's three other most highly compensated executive officers employed as of the end of the year (other than the principal executive officer or the principal financial officer). This limitation does not apply to compensation that is paid only if the executive officer's performance meets pre-established objective goals based on performance criteria approved by stockholders. We strive to take action, where possible and considered appropriate, to preserve the deductibility of compensation paid to the Company's executive officers. We have also awarded compensation that might not be fully tax deductible when such grants were nonetheless in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders. Subject to the requirements of Section 162(m), the Company generally will be entitled to take tax deductions relating to compensation that is performance-based, which may include cash incentives, stock options and other performance-based awards.

Compensation Committee Report

We have reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this proxy statement with management. Based on such review, we recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement for filing with the SEC.

The foregoing report is provided by the following directors, who are members of the Compensation Committee: COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Eli Jones, Chairperson Michael W. Brown Peter Feld

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During 2015, Dr. Jones and Messrs. Brown and Feld served on the Compensation Committee. None of the members of the Compensation Committee is currently or has been at any time one of our officers or employees. None of our executive officers currently serves, or has served during the last year, as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any other entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of the Board or the Compensation Committee.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The table below summarizes the total compensation paid or earned by the Company's CEO, chief financial officer and each of the three other most highly compensated executive officers of the Company for services rendered in all capacities to the Company during 2015, 2014 and 2013. The Company has not entered into any employment agreements with any of the NEOs.

The compensation plans under which the grants in the following tables were made are generally described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, and include the IAIP, a non-equity incentive plan, the 2001 Incentive Plan and the 2012 Incentive Plan, which provide for, among other things, restricted stock grants and LTIP performance awards.

	Year	Salary	Stock	Non-Equity Incentive All Other		Total
Name and Principal Position			Awards	Plan Compensation	Compensation	
•		(\$)	$(\$)^1$	$(\$)^2$	$(\$)^3$	(\$)
Doul I Comrodi	2015	850,000	2,653,896	1,657,500	240,522	5,401,918
Paul J. Sarvadi, CEO and Chairman of the Board	2014	850,000	1,096,000	988,637	497,445	3,432,082
CEO and Chairman of the Board	2013	816,300	1,167,600	283,815	570,406	2,838,121
Douglas S. Sharp,	2015	408,000	692,160	455,705	78,204	1,634,069
CFO, SVP of Finance and Treasurer	2014	396,000	383,600	331,572	124,805	1,235,977
Cro, 5 vr of riliance and freasurer	2013	378,000	408,660	181,352	79,018	1,047,030
Richard G. Rawson,	2015	494,000	1,320,840	678,188	109,064	2,602,092
•	2014	482,000	657,600	464,087	237,696	1,841,383
President	2013	464,000	700,560	220,948	159,464	1,544,972
A Stave Arizne	2015	494,000	1,320,840	672,282	113,514	2,600,636
A. Steve Arizpe, COO and EVP of Client Services	2014	482,000	657,600	467,921	224,498	1,832,019
	2013	464,000	700,560	208,059	126,649	1,499,268
Jay E. Mincks, EVP of Sales & Marketing	2015	472,000	1,320,840	615,902	81,965	2,490,707
	2014	460,000	657,600	437,296	211,367	1,766,263
	2013	442,000	700,560	173,570	107,908	1,424,038

The amounts in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards granted in the year indicated and includes time-vested restricted stock and the 2015 LTIP Awards. The grant value of the 2015 LTIP Awards is shown at target. Actual awards may range from 0% to 200% of the target number of phantom shares if the maximum performance level is achieved. The grant date fair value of the 2015 LTIP Awards assuming achievement of the maximum level of performance are: Mr. Sarvadi - \$3,204,960; Mr . Sharp - \$559,680; Mr. Rawson - \$1,198,560; Mr. Arizpe - \$1,198,560; and Mr. Mincks - \$1,198,560. For additional information, refer to Note 10, "Incentive Plans," in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 filed with the SEC on February 12, 2016. See the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table for information on awards made in 2015. These amounts do not correspond to the actual value that will be realized by the NEO.

Represents variable cash compensation earned and awarded by the Compensation Committee under the IAIP. A description of the IAIP is included in "Elements of Compensation — Variable Cash Compensation" in the Compensation

² Discussion and Analysis, and the determination of performance-based bonuses for fiscal year 2015 is contained in "2015 Executive Compensation Decisions — IAIP Target Bonus Percentage" of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

³ All other compensation in 2015 includes the following: Company-provided automobiles; country club memberships; 401(k) matching contributions; premiums for executive disability insurance; costs associated with the Chairman's

Trip and other travel and associated federal income taxes. The federal income taxes associated with the Chairman's Trip and other travel paid by the Company on behalf of the executives during 2015 totaled \$10,681 each. The 401(k) matching contributions made by the Company during 2015 for the NEOs totaled \$7,950 each. The incremental cost of Messrs. Arizpe, Mincks and Sharp's use of a Company-leased vehicle was \$38,085, \$30,431 and \$30,702, respectively. The incremental cost of Messrs. Sarvadi and Rawson's country club memberships was \$25,230 and \$26,500, respectively. The Company owned aircraft that were used by its executives for business and, on occasion, personal travel. In addition, Mr. Sarvadi used the Company's aircraft to commute to his residences and certain other business related entertainment travel for which he was not required to reimburse the Company. The total incremental cost of such travel for Mr. Sarvadi, including lost income tax deductions, was \$156,947. In the instances where the aircraft are used for personal travel, the executive was required to reimburse the Company for the associated incremental costs. The incremental cost for personal use of Company aircraft is calculated at an hourly rate that takes into account variable costs incurred as a result of the personal flight activity, including fuel, communications and travel expenses for the flight crew. It excludes non-variable costs, such as regularly scheduled inspections and maintenance that would have been incurred regardless of whether there was any personal use of the aircraft. During 2015, Messrs. Sarvadi and Rawson reimbursed the Company \$45,882 and \$33,230, respectively, for personal travel costs.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS TABLE

The following table provides information about equity and non-equity awards granted to the NEOs in 2015:

		Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ¹			Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ²			Awards: Number	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and
Name	Grant	Thresholdarget		Maximum	Thresholarget		Maximum	of Shares	Option
Name	Date	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)	(#)	(#)	of Stock or Units (#) ³	Awards (\$) ⁴
	N/A	552,500	1,105,000	1,657,500					
Paul J. Sarvadi	2/19/2015	5—	_	_	—		_	20,400	1,051,416
	3/30/2015	<u> </u>		_	15,175	30,350	60,700		1,602,480
	N/A	173,400	346,800	468,180			_	_	_
Douglas S. Sharp	2/19/2015	<u> </u>	_	_		_	_	8,000	412,320
	3/30/2015	<u> </u>	_	_	2,650	5,300	10,600	_	279,840
	N/A	247,000	494,000	691,600				_	_
Richard G. Rawson	2/19/2015	<u> </u>		_				14,000	721,560
	3/30/2015	<u> </u>		_	5,675	11,350	22,700	_	599,280
	N/A	247,000	494,000	691,600				_	_
A. Steve Arizpe	2/19/2015	<u> </u>		_				14,000	721,560
	3/30/2015	5			5,675	11,350	22,700	_	599,280
	N/A	236,000	472,000	660,800				_	_
Jay E. Mincks	2/19/2015	<u> </u>	_					14,000	721,560
	3/30/2015	<u> </u>	_	_	5,675	11,350	22,700	_	599,280

These amounts represent the threshold, target and maximum amounts payable to each executive under the IAIP for ¹ 2015. If the threshold is not achieved, the payout is zero. The amounts earned by our NEOs under the IAIP in 2015 are reflected in the Summary Compensation Table.

² These amounts represent the threshold, target and maximum amount of shares payable to each executive under the LTIP.

³ These amounts represent the number of shares of restricted stock and phantom stock granted to each executive under the 2012 Incentive Plan during 2015.

⁴ These amounts represent the aggregate grant date fair value of restricted stock and phantom stock granted to each executive during 2015. For restricted stock, fair value is calculated using the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the NYSE on the date of grant. The grant value of the 2015 LTIP Awards is shown at target. Actual awards may range from 0% to 200% of the target number of phantom shares if below threshold level is not achieved or the maximum performance level is achieved. The grant date fair value of the 2015 LTIP Awards assuming achievement of the maximum level of performance are: Mr. Sarvadi - \$3,204,960; Mr . Sharp - \$559,680; Mr. Rawson - \$1,198,560; Mr. Arizpe - \$1,198,560; and Mr. Mincks - \$1,198,560. For the relevant assumptions used to determine the valuation of our stock awards, refer to Note 10, "Incentive Plans," in the Notes to Consolidated

Financial Statements included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 filed with the SEC on February 12, 2016. The terms of the restricted stock awards provide for three-year vesting and the payment of dividends on all unvested shares. The 2015 LTIP Awards are payable in shares of Common Stock and include dividend equivalents, payable in additional shares of Common Stock, with respect to the number of phantom shares actually earned pursuant to the 2015 LTIP Awards if and to the extent dividends are paid on Common Stock during the performance period.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS TABLE AT 2015 FISCAL YEAR END

	Option Awards	Stock A	wards		
				Equity	Equity
				Incentive	Incentive
				Plan	Plan
		Number		Awards:	Awards:
	Number	of		Number	Market or
	of	Shares		of	Payout
	Sec Option Option	or Units	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That	Unearned	Value of
Name	Undertevense	of Stock Have Not Vested		Shares,	Unearned
Name	Unexercised Date	That	(\$) ¹	Units or	Shares,
	Options Date	Have	(Ψ)	Other	Unites or
	(#)	Not		Rights	Other
	Exercisable	Vested		That	Rights
		(#)		Have	That
				Not	Have Not
				Vested (#) ⁵	Vested (\$) ¹
Paul J. Sarvadi		60,401 ²	2,908,308	35,413	1,705,136
Douglas S. Sharp Richard G. Rawson		22,0013	1,059,348	6,185	297,808
		38,0004	1,829,700	13,246	637,795
A. Steve Arizpe		38,0004	1,829,700	13,246	637,795
Jay E. Mincks		38,0004	1,829,700	13,246	637,795

¹Based on the closing price of \$48.15 of the Company's Common Stock on the NYSE on December 31, 2015.

Includes time-vested restricted stock. Stock awards are scheduled to vest as follows provided the officer continues to ² be employed by Insperity on the applicable vesting date: 13,333 on February 18, 2016; 20,134 on February 19, 2016; 13,334 on February 18, 2017; 6,800 on February 19, 2017 and 6,800 on February 19, 2018.

Includes time-vested restricted stock. Stock awards are scheduled to vest as follows provided the officer continues to ³ be employed by Insperity on the applicable vesting date: 4,667 on February 18, 2016; 4,667 on February 19, 2016; 7,333 on February 18, 2017; 2,667 on February 19, 2017 and 2,667 on February 19, 2018.

Includes time-vested restricted stock. Stock awards are scheduled to vest as follows provided the officer continues to ⁴ be employed by Insperity on the applicable vesting date: 8,000 on February 18, 2016; 12,666 on February 19, 2016; 8,000 on February 18, 2017; 4,667 on February 19, 2017 and 4,667 on February 19, 2018.

Includes LTIP awards scheduled to vest (assuming target results for performance periods not yet complete and actual results for performance periods completed) and includes an estimate of dividend equivalents for the dividends declared since the date of grant. These awards will vest provided the officer continues to be employed by Insperity on the applicable vesting date.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED TABLE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015

	Option Awards		Stock Awards		
Name	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$) ¹	
Paul J. Sarvadi	_	_	38,400	1,942,225	
Douglas S. Sharp	_	_	13,333	674,283	
Richard G. Rawson	_	_	23,500	1,188,960	
A. Steve Arizpe	_	_	23,500	1,188,960	
Jay E. Mincks	_	_	23,500	1,188,960	

Represents the value of the shares on the vesting date based on the last reported closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the NYSE immediately preceding the vesting date.

SECURITIES RESERVED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS TABLE

The following table sets forth information about the Company's Common Stock that was available for issuance under all of the Company's existing equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2015:

	Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance	
Plan Category	(# in thousands)	(\$)	(# in thousands)	
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders ¹	214	2 29.56	3 2,172 4	
Equity compensation plan not approved by security holders Total				

The 2001 Incentive Plan, the 2012 Incentive Plan and the Insperity, Inc. 2008 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "ESPP") have been approved by the Company's stockholders. The ESPP is intended to qualify for favorable tax treatment under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Includes 185,947 shares subject to issuance under the LTIP as of December 31, 2015 assuming maximum results for ² performance periods not yet complete and actual results for completed performance periods and associated dividend equivalents.

³ Weighted average exercise price does not take into account shares to be issued under the LTIP.

This includes 1,260,069 shares available under the ESPP and 912,045 shares available under the 2012 Incentive Plan. As of May 9, 2016, 1,252,205 shares and 913,680 shares (assuming maximum results for performance periods not yet complete and actual results for performance periods completed) were available for issuance under the ESPP and the 2012 Incentive Plan, respectively. The securities remaining available for issuance under the 2012 Incentive Plan may be issued in the form of stock options, performance awards, stock awards (including restricted stock), phantom stock awards, stock appreciation rights, and other stock-based awards.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

We have no employment agreements or severance policies in place for our executive officers. There are no unvested outstanding stock options and none have been granted to executive officers since 2004. All awards granted to named executive officers include a "double trigger" requirement in the case of a change in control of the Company as defined under the 2012 Incentive Plan. Effective with awards granted in 2016, the Company amended the terms of award agreements to provide that future awards granted to any recipient under the 2012 Incentive Plan will include a double trigger requirement in the case of a change in control of the Company as defined under the 2012 Incentive Plan. The directors first appointed to the Board pursuant to the 2015 Agreement are not considered members of the "Incumbent Board" for the purposes of determining whether a change in control has occurred with respect to outstanding awards granted prior to 2016 under the 2012 Incentive Plan. Under the terms of an amendment to the 2012 Incentive Plan that

was adopted by the Company in March 2016, however, each of those directors first appointed pursuant to the 2015 Agreement are considered members of the Incumbent Board for all awards granted after that amendment, which includes all awards granted in 2016. All other current directors are considered members of the Incumbent Board under the 2012 Incentive Plan and the new independent director to be appointed pursuant to the 2016 Agreement will also be considered a member of the Incumbent Board. The imposition of the double trigger means that awards subject to the double trigger requirement will no longer immediately vest following a change in control (see "—Compensation Discussion and Analysis" for additional information). All outstanding awards to NEOs are subject to a double trigger requirement and all awards under the LTIP are also subject to a double trigger requirement.

Our Incentive Plans provide for immediate vesting (at least in part) of restricted stock upon termination due to disability or death, provided the holder has been in continuous employment since the award date, or for awards granted prior to March 2016, upon a change in control, for employees who are not NEOs. Unvested shares of restricted stock are forfeited upon termination for any reason other than disability or death. The number of shares and market value of the restricted stock that would automatically vest for each NEO upon termination due to death or disability, or for a qualifying termination following a change in control, based on the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on December 31, 2015, is set forth in the Outstanding Equity Awards Table at 2015 Fiscal Year End, under the captions "Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested."

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The Company uses a combination of cash and stock-based compensation to attract and retain qualified candidates to serve on the Board. Non-employee directors of the Company were compensated for 2015 as shown in the table below and are also reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred in serving as a director. All compensation, except for reimbursement of actual expenses, can be taken in cash or Common Stock, at the director's option. Directors who are employees of the Company receive no additional compensation for serving on the Board.

				Nominating		
		Companyation	Finance, Risk	and	Lead	
	Board	Committee	Management and	Corporate	Independent	
			Audit Committee	Governance	Director	
				Committee		
Annual Retainers	\$61,000	\$10,000	\$15,000	None	\$9,000	Ĺ
Annual Committee Chair Fees	N/A	\$12,000	\$21,000	\$10,000 1	None	

Effective October 1, 2015, the Board established a \$9,000 annual retainer for the Lead Independent Director and reduced the annual fee for the chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee from \$15,000 to \$10,000. Previously, the Lead Independent Director also served as chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Each person who is initially appointed or elected as a director of the Company receives an initial director award comprised of a grant of shares of restricted Common Stock on the date of election or appointment with an aggregate fair market value, determined based on the closing price of the Common Stock on the date prior to the date of grant, of \$75,000, rounded up to the next higher whole share amount in the case of a fractional share amount, and such restricted Common Stock vests as to one-third of the shares on each anniversary of its grant date. If a director terminates his or her service as a member of the Board, his or her unvested portion of such restricted stock award, if any, shall terminate immediately on such termination date, unless such termination of service is due to death or disability, in which event the unvested portion of such restricted stock award shall become 100% vested on such termination date. Pursuant to the 2015 Agreement, each of Mr. Feld, Ms. McKenna-Doyle and Mr. Sorensen received an initial director award effective as of the date of the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Pursuant to the 2016 Agreement, Mr. Morphy is entitled to receive the initial director award effective as of the date of the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

In addition, on the date of each Annual Meeting of Stockholders, each non-employee director receives an annual director award comprised of either a grant of unrestricted shares of Common Stock with an aggregate fair market value determined based on the closing price of the Common Stock on the date prior to the date of grant, of \$90,000, or an immediately vested and exercisable option to purchase a number of shares of Common Stock that had an aggregate value, determined on the date prior to the date of grant, of \$90,000, calculated using the valuation methodology most recently utilized by the Company for purposes of financial statement reporting. In 2015, all non-employee directors elected to receive unrestricted shares of Common Stock. The awards were rounded up to the next higher whole share amount in the case of a fractional share amount. Pursuant to the 2015 Agreement, neither Mr. Feld, Ms.

McKenna-Doyle nor Mr. Sorensen received an annual director award on the date of the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and pursuant to the 2016 Agreement, Mr. Morphy will not receive an annual director award on the date of the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

After consulting with PM&P and after considering market trends, the Compensation Committee recommended, and in February 2015, the Board approved, an amendment to the Company's Directors Compensation Plan that eliminated

meeting fees and stock options as an optional form of payment for the annual director award, and that adjusted the annual Board and committee retainers accordingly based on the average of the meeting fees paid over the prior three years. These adjustments were intended to be cost neutral. For further information, please see the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 25, 2015. Pursuant to the 2015 Agreement and 2016 Agreement (as applicable) and the amended Directors Compensation Plan, each of Mr. Feld, Ms. McKenna-Doyle, Mr. Morphy and Mr. Sorensen receive prorated retainer fees for the year in which they were appointed from the date of their respective appointment.

Table of Contents

DIRECTORS' COMPENSATION TABLE

The table below summarizes the compensation paid by the Company to non-employee directors during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.

	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards	Option	otion All Other wards Compensation		Total	
	rees Earned or Faid in Cash		Awards				
Name	(\$)	$(\$)^2$	(\$)	$(\$)^3$		(\$)	
Michael W. Brown	66,500	89,578		1,146		157,223	
Peter Feld	37,640	74,665		955 4		113,260	
Jack M. Fields, Jr. ¹	44,849	_		_		44,849	
Eli Jones	78,500	89,578		1,146		169,223	
Carol Kaufman	85,750	89,578		2,103 4		177,430	
Paul S. Lattanzio ¹	47,574	_		_		47,574	
Michelle McKenna-Doy	le 32,013	74,665		955 4		107,634	
Norman Sorensen	40,290	74,665		955 4		115,910	
Austin P. Young	90,250	89,578	_	1,146		180,973	

¹ Mr. Fields and Mr. Lattanzio resigned from the Board on June 10, 2015.

Represents the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to 2015 for the fair value of stock awards made to directors during 2015, based on the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the date of grant. In the case of annual director equity awards that do not contain vesting or other restrictions, Insperity recognizes the entire fair value for financial statement reporting purposes in the year that the grant is made. In the case of initial director equity awards that contain vesting restrictions, Insperity recognizes the fair value for financial statement reporting purposes over the vesting period.

³ All Other Compensation represents dividends paid on stock awards granted in 2015.

⁴ Also includes dividends paid on unvested restricted stock awards of: Mr. Feld - \$955; Ms. Kaufman - \$957; Ms. McKenna-Doyle - \$955; and Mr. Sorensen - \$955.

REPORT OF THE FINANCE, RISK MANAGEMENT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee has been appointed by the Board to assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibility to oversee the financial affairs, risk management, accounting and financial reporting processes, and audits of the financial statements of the Company. We operate under a written charter adopted by the Board and reviewed annually by us. We have furnished the following report for 2015.

We have reviewed and discussed the Company's consolidated audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2015, with management and the independent auditor. We discussed with the independent auditor the matters required to be discussed by the standards adopted or referenced by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB") and SEC, Communications with Audit Committees, as currently in effect.

We received from the independent auditor the written disclosures and letter required by the PCAOB regarding the independent auditor's communications with us concerning independence, as currently in effect, and we discussed with the independent auditor its independence. We also considered the compatibility of the provision of non-audit services with the independent auditor's independence.

Based on our reviews and discussions referred to above, we recommended that the Board include the audited consolidated financial statements in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, for filing with the SEC.

THE FINANCE, RISK MANAGEMENT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE Austin P. Young, Chairperson Carol R. Kaufman Michelle McKenna-Doyle Norman Sorensen

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company's directors and officers and persons who own more than 10% of the Common Stock to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership (Forms 3, 4, and 5) of Common Stock with the SEC. Officers, directors and greater than 10% stockholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all such forms that they file.

Based solely on review of the copies of such reports furnished to the Company and written representations that no other reports were required, the Company believes that all Section 16(a) reports with respect to the year ended December 31, 2015, applicable to its officers, directors and greater than 10% beneficial owners were timely filed. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee has adopted a statement of policy and procedures with respect to related party transactions covering the review, approval or ratification of transactions involving the Company and "Related Parties" (generally, directors and executive officers and their immediate family members and 5% stockholders). The policy currently covers transactions in which the Company and any Related Party are participants and in which the Related Party has a material interest, other than transactions involving an amount equal to or less than \$50,000 (individually or when aggregated with all similar transactions) and not involving non-employee directors. The policy generally requires that such transactions be approved by the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee in advance of the consummation or material amendment of the transaction. Under the policy, prior to entering into a related party transaction, full disclosure of all of the facts and circumstances relating to the transaction must be made to the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee, which will approve such transaction only if it is in, or is not inconsistent with, the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. In the event a transaction is not identified as a related party transaction in advance, it will be submitted promptly to the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee or the chairperson thereof, and such committee or chairperson, as the case may be, will evaluate the transaction and evaluate all options, including but not limited to ratification, amendment or termination of the transaction.

A significant component of our marketing strategy is the title sponsorship of the Insperity Invitational TM golf tournament, a Champions PGA tour event held annually in The Woodlands, Texas, a suburb of Houston. Consistent with other PGA golf tournaments, the Insperity Invitational golf tournament benefits and is managed by a non-profit organization, Greater Houston Golf Charities ("GHGC"). In connection with the Company's sponsorship, Mr. Jay E. Mincks, Executive Vice President of Sales and Marketing, serves as chairman of GHGC, a non-compensatory position. During 2015, the Company paid GHGC \$3.5 million in sponsorship and tournament related expenses, as well as an additional \$0.9 million in other event sponsorships and charitable contributions.

We provide PEO-related services to certain entities that are owned by, or have board members that are, Related Parties. These Related Parties include Mr. Richard G. Rawson, Mr. Paul J. Sarvadi and Mr. Jack M. Fields, Jr. or members of their families. The PEO service fees paid by such entities are within the pricing range of other unrelated clients of ours. During 2015, such client companies paid the Company the following service fees, which are presented net of the associated payroll costs:

Related Party	Net Service Fees / (Payroll Costs)				
Mr. Rawson (three client companies)	\$ 491,238	\$ (1,636,797)		
Mr. Sarvadi (four client companies)	\$ 334,367	\$ (572,818)		
Mr. Fields ¹ (two client companies)	\$ 183,907	\$ (650,932)		

¹ Mr. Fields resigned from the Board on June 10, 2015.

We made charitable contributions to non-profit organizations for which certain Related Parties serve as members of their board of directors. These Related Parties include Messrs. Sarvadi, Rawson and Mincks. During 2015, certain corporate employees were family members of certain Related Parties. Total salaries, commissions and incentive compensation paid during 2015 to family members of Messrs. Sarvadi, Rawson and Arizpe were \$243,849 (two corporate employees), \$163,180 (one corporate employee) and \$370,050 (five corporate employees), respectively.

Pursuant to the 2015 Agreement, the Company reimbursed Starboard's legal fees of \$276,765 and, pursuant to the 2016 Agreement, the Company has agreed to reimburse Starboard for up to \$100,000 of its legal fees.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL NUMBER 2: ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

In accordance with Section 951 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and related rules under Section 14A of the Exchange Act, we are providing stockholders with an opportunity to make a non-binding recommendation on the compensation of our NEOs. At the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, stockholders recommended that we hold an annual advisory vote on executive compensation and in light of this result, the Board plans to hold a non-binding vote on NEO compensation annually.

This proposal, commonly referred to as "say-on-pay", provides stockholders an opportunity to provide an overall assessment of the compensation of our NEOs rather than focus on any specific item of compensation. The advisory vote is a non-binding vote on the compensation of the NEOs, as described in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section, the tabular disclosure regarding such compensation, and the accompanying narrative disclosure, set forth in this proxy statement. Although the results of the voting on this proposal are not binding on the Board, the Board and Compensation Committee value stockholders' opinions and will take the results into account when making a determination concerning the compensation of our NEOs. At the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, a substantial majority of the votes, over 88%, were cast in favor of our NEO compensation.

As set forth in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this proxy statement, our Compensation Committee structured the compensation of the NEOs to emphasize the Company's pay-for-performance philosophy. Our compensation program is designed to attract and retain key executives responsible for our success and to provide motivation for both achieving short-term business goals and enhancing long-term stockholder value. Please read the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section for additional details.

In furtherance of our compensation objectives and commitment to best practices, the Compensation Committee and Board adopted the following changes in 2015:

implemented a new performance-based long-term incentive program; and

• amended the Insperity, Inc. 2012 Incentive Plan to generally require a minimum vesting period of three years for grants of restricted stock and stock options that are time-vested awards.

We have embedded in our overall compensation programs features aligned with the objectives of our business and designed to strengthen the link between the interests of our executive officers and those of our stockholders. Following is a summary of compensation practices that we have adopted and a list of pay practices that we avoid.

What Insperity Has

- Stock ownership guidelines, for the CEO, three times base salary and for non-employee directors, three times the annual cash retainer
- ü Clawback policy for incentive compensation paid to any employee, including NEOs and other executive officers
- ü Minimum vesting period of three years for grants of restricted stock, stock options and phantom shares
- ü Double trigger requirement for early vesting of NEO equity awards in the event of a change in control
- Hedging policy prohibits employees and directors from engaging in hedging transactions involving shares of Common Stock
- Pledging policy prohibits employees and directors from engaging in pledging transactions involving shares of Common Stock that would be considered significant by the Board
- ü Established a lead independent director position
- ü Compensation Committee composed entirely of outside, independent directors
- ü Independent compensation consultant hired by and reporting directly to the Compensation Committee

Table of Contents

What Insperity Does Not Have

- û Employment agreements with NEOs or other executive officers
- û Executive pension or other similar retirement or supplemental benefits
- û Single trigger change in control agreements for NEOs
- û Tax gross-ups in the event of a change in control
- û Medical coverage for retirees
- û Excessive benefits and perquisites

Stockholders are being asked to vote on the following resolution:

"RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to Insperity's named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion is hereby APPROVED."

The Board recommends that stockholders indicate their support by selecting "For" when voting on our executive compensation program. While the results of the advisory vote are non-binding, the Board and Compensation Committee will consider the outcome of the vote when evaluating whether any actions are necessary when considering future executive compensation decisions.

The Board unanimously recommends that you select "For" the adoption of the resolution approving the compensation of the Company's NEOs. Properly dated and signed proxies will be so voted unless stockholders specify otherwise.

PROPOSAL NUMBER 3:

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM General

The Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee has appointed the firm of Ernst & Young LLP ("Ernst & Young") as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2016. If the stockholders do not ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young, then the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee will reconsider the appointment and may or may not consider the appointment of another independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for 2016 or future years. Ernst & Young has served as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm since 1991. Representatives of Ernst & Young are expected to be present at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and will have an opportunity to make a statement, if they desire to do so, and to respond to appropriate questions from those attending the meeting. Fees of Ernst & Young

Ernst & Young's fees for professional services totaled \$1,154,300 in 2015 and \$1,096,610 in 2014. During 2015 and 2014, Ernst & Young's fees for professional services included the following:

Audit Fees — fees for audit services, which relate to the consolidated audit, internal control audit in compliance with 6arbanes-Oxley Section 404, quarterly reviews, subsidiary audits and related matters, were \$930,880 in 2015 and \$875,850 in 2014.

Audit-Related Fees — fees for audit-related services, which consisted primarily of the SOC 1 Report, the retirement plan audits, and quarterly agreed-upon procedures, were \$220,920 in 2015 and \$218,360 in 2014.

- •Tax Fees there were no fees for tax services in 2015 or in 2014.
- All Other Fees there were fees of \$2,500 in 2015 and \$2,400 in 2014, which were annual subscription fees for Insperity's use of Ernst and Young's online research databases and other research tools.

The Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee reviewed the non-audit services provided to the Company and considered whether Ernst & Young's provision of such services was compatible with maintaining its independence. Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy for Audit and Non-Audit Services

The Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee has established a policy that requires pre-approval of the audit and non-audit services performed by the independent auditor. Unless a service proposed to be provided by the independent auditors has been pre-approved by the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee under its pre-approval policies and procedures, it will require specific pre-approval of the engagement terms by the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee. Under the policy, pre-approved service categories are generally provided for up to 12 months and must be detailed as to the particular services provided and sufficiently specific and objective so that no judgments by management are required to determine whether a specific service falls within the scope of what has been pre-approved. In connection with any pre-approval of services, the independent auditor is required to provide detailed back-up documentation concerning the specific services to be provided.

The Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee may delegate pre-approval authority to one or more of its members, including a subcommittee of the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee. The member or members to whom such authority is delegated shall report any pre-approval actions taken by them to the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee does not delegate to management any of its responsibilities to pre-approve services performed by the independent auditor.

None of the services related to the Audit-Related Fees or Other Fees described above was approved by the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee pursuant to the waiver of pre-approval provisions set forth in applicable rules of the SEC.

Required Affirmative Vote

If the votes cast in person or by proxy at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in favor of this proposal exceed the votes cast opposing the proposal, the appointment of Ernst & Young as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2016, will be ratified. If the appointment of Ernst & Young is not ratified, the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee will reconsider the appointment.

The Board and the Finance, Risk Management and Audit Committee recommend that stockholders vote "For" the ratification of appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, and proxies executed and returned will be so voted unless contrary instructions are indicated thereon.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Delivery of Proxy Statement

The SEC has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries (e.g., brokers) to satisfy the delivery requirements for proxy statements with respect to two or more security holders sharing the same address by delivering a single proxy statement addressed to those security holders. This process, which is commonly referred to as "householding," potentially means extra convenience for security holders and cost savings for companies. This year, a number of brokers and our transfer agent with account holders who are Insperity stockholders will be householding the Company's proxy materials. A single proxy statement will be delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address unless contrary instructions have been received from the affected stockholder. Once you have received notice from your broker that they will be householding communications to your address, householding will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in householding and would prefer to receive a separate proxy statement, please notify your broker and direct your written request to Insperity, Inc., Attention: Investor Relations Administrator, 19001 Crescent Springs Drive, Kingwood, Texas 77339, or contact the Investor Relations Administrator at 1-844-677-8332. The Company will promptly deliver a separate copy to you upon request.

Stockholder Proposals and Director Nominations for 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

In order for director nominations and stockholder proposals to have been properly submitted for presentation at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, notice must have been received by the Company between the dates of February 11, 2016, and March 12, 2016 in accordance with the Bylaws of the Company. On March 12, 2016, Starboard Value and Opportunity Master Fund Ltd nominated two candidates for director, which nominations were subsequently withdrawn in connection with the 2016 Agreement. Except as described above, the Company received no such notice, and no stockholder director nominations or proposals will be presented at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Stockholder Proposals for 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

The Bylaws of the Company require timely advance written notice of any proposal of a stockholder intended to be considered for inclusion in the Company's proxy statement for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Notice of such proposals will be considered timely for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in 2017 if it is received at the Company's principal executive offices no later than the close of business on February 2, 2017 and otherwise comply with the requirements or Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act and with the Bylaws of the Company. If the Company changes the date of the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders by more than 30 days from the anniversary date of the 2016 meeting, stockholder proposals must be received a reasonable time before the Company begins to print and mail the proxy materials for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

In addition, in order for any such proposal to be included in the proxy statement, the Bylaws of the Company require that the written notice set forth as to each matter such stockholder proposes to bring before the Annual Meeting of Stockholders: (a) a brief description of the business desired to be brought before the Annual Meeting of Stockholders; (b) the reasons for conducting such business at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders; (c) the name and address, as they appear on the Company's books, of such stockholder; (d) the class and number of shares of the Company's stock that is beneficially owned by such stockholder; and (e) any material interest of such stockholder in such business.

Stockholders are also advised to review the Bylaws of the Company regarding the requirements for submitting proposals.

Stockholder Director Nominations for 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

The Bylaws of the Company require timely advance written notice of stockholder nominations of director candidates. Notice of stockholder nominations will be considered timely for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in 2017 if it is received by the Company not later than the close of business on March 2, 2017, and not earlier than the close of business on April 1, 2017. However, if the date of the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is advanced by more than 30 days prior to or delayed by more than 30 days after the anniversary date of the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, notice by the stockholder to be timely must be delivered or received not earlier than the close of business on the 120th day nor later than the close of business on the later of (1) the 90th day prior to the date of such Annual Meeting of Stockholders or (2) if less than 100 days' prior notice or public disclosure of the scheduled meeting date is given or made, the 10th day following the earlier of the day on which notice of such meeting was mailed to stockholders or the day on which such public disclosure was made.

In addition, the Bylaws of the Company require that such written notice set forth: (a) for each person whom the stockholder proposes to nominate for election, all information relating to such person that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of directors, or as otherwise required, in each case pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act, including, without limitation, such person's written consent to be named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serve as a director if elected; and (b) as to such stockholder: (i) the name and address, as they appear on the Company's books, of such stockholder; (ii) the class and number of shares of the Company's capital stock that are beneficially owned by such stockholder; and (iii) a description of all agreements, arrangements or understandings between such stockholder and each such person that such stockholder proposes to nominate as a director and any other person or persons (naming such person or persons) pursuant to which the nomination or nominations are to be made by such stockholder. Stockholders are also advised to review the Bylaws of the Company regarding the requirements for submitting director nominations.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

A copy of the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, as filed with the SEC, including any financial statements and schedules and exhibits thereto, may be obtained without charge by written request to Investor Relations Administrator, Insperity, Inc., 19001 Crescent Springs Drive, Kingwood, Texas 77339-3802.

By Order of the Board of Directors /s/ Daniel D. Herink Daniel D. Herink Senior Vice President of Legal, General Counsel and Secretary May 27, 2016 Kingwood, Texas