

PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES INC \DE\
Form 10-Q
November 05, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Quarter Ended **September 30, 2010**

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 0-27460

**PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES,
INCORPORATED**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

16-1158413

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

205 Indigo Creek Drive

Rochester, New York 14626

(Address of principal executive offices) (zip code)

(585) 256-0200

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a small reporting company: Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Small reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes [] No [X]

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock was 11,116,397 as of November 4, 2010.

PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (unaudited)</u>
	<u>3</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (unaudited)</u>
	<u>4</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (unaudited)</u>
	<u>5</u>
	<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>
	<u>6</u>
	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>
Item 2.	<u>13</u>
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>
	<u>25</u>
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>
	<u>26</u>
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>
	<u>26</u>
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>
	<u>26</u>
	<u>Signatures</u>
	<u>27</u>

Table of contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(unaudited)

	ASSETS	
	September 30,	December 31,
	2010	2009
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 12,310,000	\$ 17,563,000
Investments	6,177,000	7,533,000
Accounts receivable, net	4,981,000	6,542,000
Inventories	5,765,000	4,459,000
Prepaid expenses and other assets	920,000	820,000
Income taxes receivable and prepaid income taxes	389,000	24,000
Deferred income taxes	86,000	392,000
Fair value of foreign currency hedge contracts	32,000	201,000
Total current assets	30,660,000	37,534,000
Investments	4,712,000	4,239,000
Property, equipment and improvements, net	2,202,000	1,686,000
Software development costs, net	5,653,000	5,254,000
Deferred income taxes	46,000	176,000
Total assets	\$ 43,273,000	\$ 48,889,000

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,234,000	\$ 1,013,000
Accrued expenses	3,963,000	4,195,000
Total current liabilities	6,197,000	5,208,000
Income taxes payable		65,000
Total liabilities	6,197,000	5,273,000

Stockholders' equity:

Preferred stock - \$.01 par value; 1,000,000
shares authorized; none issued

Edgar Filing: PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES INC \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Common stock - \$.01 par value; 50,000,000
shares authorized; 13,304,596 shares issued,

11,116,397 shares outstanding	133,000	133,000
Additional paid-in capital	16,953,000	16,596,000
Retained earnings	29,788,000	36,577,000
Accumulated other comprehensive income	20,000	128,000
Treasury stock - at cost; 2,188,199 shares	(9,818,000)	(9,818,000)
Total stockholders' equity	37,076,000	43,616,000
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 43,273,000	\$ 48,889,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

- 3 -

Table of contents

PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Sales	\$ 6,307,000	\$ 7,876,000	\$ 21,111,000	\$ 21,159,000
Cost of goods sold	3,801,000	3,581,000	11,428,000	9,906,000
Gross profit	2,506,000	4,295,000	9,683,000	11,253,000
Operating expenses:				
Selling and marketing	1,976,000	1,628,000	6,383,000	5,262,000
Research and development	1,827,000	1,830,000	5,709,000	5,932,000
General and administrative	1,661,000	1,275,000	4,334,000	3,594,000
Restructuring charges	69,000	181,000	196,000	626,000
Total operating expenses	5,533,000	4,914,000	16,622,000	15,414,000
Loss from operations	(3,027,000)	(619,000)	(6,939,000)	(4,161,000)
Other income, net	65,000	79,000	170,000	335,000
Loss before income taxes	(2,962,000)	(540,000)	(6,769,000)	(3,826,000)
Income tax (benefit) provision	(33,000)	(192,000)	20,000	2,679,000
Net loss	\$ (2,929,000)	\$ (348,000)	\$ (6,789,000)	\$ (6,505,000)
Basic loss per share	\$ (.26)	\$ (.03)	\$ (.61)	\$ (.58)
Weighted average number of common shares used in basic earnings per share	11,116,397	11,116,397	11,116,397	11,133,979

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of contents

PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (6,789,000)	\$ (6,505,000)
Non-cash adjustments:		
Depreciation and amortization	2,096,000	1,879,000
Deferred income taxes	47,000	2,898,000
Stock-based compensation expense	357,000	414,000
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	1,561,000	1,204,000
Inventories	(1,306,000)	352,000
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(100,000)	59,000
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	989,000	(496,000)
Income taxes receivable and prepaid income taxes	20,000	(83,000)
Net cash used by operating activities	(3,125,000)	(278,000)
 Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property, equipment and improvements	(1,068,000)	(328,000)
Acquired software technology		(928,000)
Capitalized software development costs	(1,891,000)	(1,698,000)
Purchases of investments	(4,449,000)	(11,028,000)
Proceeds from maturities of investments	5,280,000	4,920,000
Net cash used by investing activities	(2,128,000)	(9,062,000)
 Cash flows from financing activities:		
Purchases of treasury stock		(318,000)
Net cash used by financing activities		(318,000)
 Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	 (5,253,000)	 (9,658,000)
 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	 17,563,000	 29,218,000
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 12,310,000	\$ 19,560,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

- 5 -

Table of contents**PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES, INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(unaudited)****Note A Basis of Presentation**

The unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements of Performance Technologies, Incorporated and Subsidiaries (collectively PT or the Company") have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America for interim financial information (GAAP) and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, the Consolidated Financial Statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the year. The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the audited Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company as of December 31, 2009, as reported in its Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Note B Investments

Investments consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
U.S. Treasury Note, at amortized cost	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,999,000
FHLMC bond, at amortized cost	2,000,000	2,000,000
Corporate bonds, at amortized cost	4,574,000	2,537,000
Certificates of deposit, at cost	2,200,000	3,120,000
FHLB bond, at amortized cost	115,000	-
Municipal bond, at amortized cost	-	1,016,000
Guaranteed investment certificate, at cost	-	1,100,000
Total investments	\$ 10,889,000	\$ 11,772,000
Less-current investments	(6,177,000)	(7,533,000)
Non-current investments	\$ 4,712,000	\$ 4,239,000

PT's bond investments have a cumulative par value of \$8,565,000. Four bonds with an aggregate par value of \$4,315,000 are classified as non-current, with maturity dates in 2012 or 2013. The remaining bonds are classified as current, with maturities within the next twelve months. All investments have been classified as held-to-maturity and are stated at amortized cost at September 30, 2010.

Note C Inventories, net

Inventories consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Purchased parts and components	\$ 2,982,000	\$ 3,045,000
Work in process	1,580,000	1,041,000
Finished goods	1,203,000	373,000
Net	\$ 5,765,000	\$ 4,459,000

Table of contents**Note D Software Development Costs**

Software development costs consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Capitalized software development costs	\$ 20,583,000	\$ 18,692,000
Less: accumulated amortization	(14,930,000)	(13,438,000)
Net	\$ 5,653,000	\$ 5,254,000

Amortization of software development costs included in cost of goods sold was \$541,000 and \$422,000 in the third quarter 2010 and 2009, respectively. Amortization of software development costs included in cost of goods sold was \$1,492,000 and \$1,250,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Note E Warranty Obligations

Warranty obligations are incurred in connection with the sale of certain products. The warranty period for PT's products is generally one year from date of sale. The costs incurred to provide for these warranty obligations are estimated and recorded as an accrued liability at the time of sale. Future warranty costs are estimated based on product-based historical performance rates and related costs to repair. Changes in accrued warranty obligations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

	2010	2009
Accrued warranty obligations, January 1	\$ 78,000	\$ 167,000
Actual warranty experience	(31,000)	(26,000)
Net warranty provision	31,000	26,000
Accrued warranty obligations, March 31	78,000	167,000
Actual warranty experience	(8,000)	(34,000)
Net warranty provision	108,000	-
Accrued warranty obligations, June 30	178,000	133,000
Actual warranty experience	(23,000)	(20,000)
Net warranty provision (reversal)	23,000	(12,000)

Accrued warranty obligations, September 30	\$ 178,000	\$ 101,000
--	------------	------------

Note F Loss Per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income or loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share calculations would reflect the assumed exercise and conversion of dilutive stock options, using the treasury stock method, if applicable. Due to the net losses incurred in the third quarters and the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, dilutive options were not considered for any period. The weighted average number of excluded options totaled approximately 1,800,000 shares and 1,580,000 shares for the third quarter 2010 and 2009, respectively, and 1,787,000 shares and 1,601,000 shares for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Note G Comprehensive Loss

The components of comprehensive loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, are as follows:

Table of contents

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net loss	\$ (2,929,000)	\$ (348,000)	\$ (6,789,000)	\$ (6,505,000)
Increase (decrease) in unrealized gain on foreign currency				
hedge contracts, net of tax	19,000	(73,000)	(108,000)	147,000
Comprehensive loss	\$ (2,910,000)	\$ (421,000)	\$ (6,897,000)	\$ (6,358,000)

Note H Derivative Instruments Foreign Currency Hedge Contracts

The Company is exposed to the impact of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates on the expenses incurred in its Canadian and United Kingdom operations. PT's risk management program is designed to reduce the exposure and volatility arising from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. At September 30, 2010, the Company had contracts in place to hedge approximately 75% of its estimated Canadian foreign currency risk for the next three months, and approximately 25% of its estimated Canadian foreign currency risk for the first three months of 2011. PT's derivative instruments are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges. As such, any gains or losses resulting from changes in the fair value of these contracts are recorded in other comprehensive income or loss. The Company will receive, or be required to disburse, cash payments upon the expiration of each contract depending on fluctuations in the underlying exchange rates. Such payments will be recorded as reductions to, or increases in, expense as they are determined.

The fair value of the Company's derivative instruments is estimated in accordance with the framework for measuring fair value contained in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) (Note I) and is recorded as either an asset or liability in the balance sheet based on changes in the current spot rate, as compared to the exchange rates specified in the contracts. For these instruments, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and is reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings. The fair value measurement of the Company's derivative instruments is estimated using Level 2 inputs, which are inputs other than quoted prices that are directly or indirectly observable for the asset or liability. All of the Company's derivative instruments are deemed to be fully effective at September 30, 2010. Of the total fair value of these instruments, \$25,000 is expected to be reclassified to earnings during the remainder of 2010.

These hedge contracts are designed to fix in U.S. dollars a portion of the future cost of PT's Canadian operation, which is denominated in Canadian dollars. These contracts effectively fix the exchange rate on the first \$300,000CDN of monthly expenses for October through December 2010 at an average exchange rate of .943, and on the first \$100,000

of monthly expenses for January through March 2011 at an exchange rate of .948. The fair value of these contracts is \$32,000 at September 30, 2010. Contracts covering \$100,000CDN per month for the months August 2010 through March 2011 were placed during the third quarter 2010.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had foreign currency hedge contracts in place to fix in U.S. dollars a portion of PT's Canadian and U.K. operations for each of the months of January through March 2010. These contracts have matured and have cash settled.

	Balance Sheet Location	Fair value at September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments	Current assets	\$ 32,000	\$ 201,000

Table of contents

The Company's derivative instruments had the following effect on the statements of operations:

Derivatives in fair value hedging relationships	Location of gain recognized in operations	Amount of gain reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to the statement of operations	
		Three Months Ended September 30,	
		2010	2009
Foreign exchange contracts	Operating expenses	\$ 16,000	\$ 150,000
		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
		2010	2009
Foreign exchange contracts	Operating expenses	\$ 227,000	\$ 248,000

The Company's derivative instruments had the following effect on accumulated other comprehensive income:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Accumulated other comprehensive income, beginning	\$ 1,000	\$ 293,000	\$ 128,000	\$ 73,000
Amount of gain recognized in statement of operations, net of tax	(12,000)	(96,000)	(147,000)	(186,000)
Net change in fair value of derivative instruments	31,000	23,000	39,000	333,000
Accumulated other comprehensive income, ending	\$ 20,000	\$ 220,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 220,000

Note I Fair Value Measurements

GAAP defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and requires certain disclosures about fair value measurements. In addition, GAAP establishes a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are directly or indirectly observable for the asset or liability. Such inputs include quoted prices in active markets for similar assets and liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, or inputs derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability. Such inputs are used to measure fair value when observable inputs are not available.

At September 30, 2010, PT is a party to foreign currency hedge contracts, the fair value of which is estimated to be \$32,000 (See Note H). The fair value of these contracts is estimated using Level 2 inputs. The value is estimated based on the projected cash settlement amounts, using the spread between the contract strike exchange rate and the September 30, 2010 U.S.-Canadian exchange rate.

Table of contents

The Company's assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2010, were as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:			
Foreign currency hedge contracts	\$ -	\$ 32,000	\$ -
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ -	\$ 32,000	\$ -

The Company's assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2009, were as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:			
Foreign currency hedge contracts	\$ -	\$ 201,000	\$ -
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ -	\$ 201,000	\$ -

There were no transfers into or out of Levels 1, Level 2 or Level 3.

Note J Stock-Based Compensation

PT has stock options outstanding from two stock-based employee compensation plans: the 2001 Incentive Stock Option Plan and the 2003 Omnibus Incentive Plan.

PT recognizes compensation expense in the financial statements for stock option awards based on the grant date fair value of those awards, estimated using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. The table below summarizes the impact of outstanding stock options on the results of operations for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

Three Months		Nine Months Ended	
Ended September 30,		September 30,	
2010	2009	2010	2009

Stock-based compensation expense				
stock options	\$ 71,000	\$ 107,000	\$ 358,000	\$ 451,000
Income tax benefit	-	-	-	-
Net increase in net loss	\$ 71,000	\$ 107,000	\$ 358,000	\$ 415,000
Per share increase in loss:				
Basic	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.03

The following table summarizes stock option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2010:

	Number of shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	1,444,983	\$ 4.99
Granted	421,150	2.93
Exercised	-	-
Expired	(160,700)	5.59
Outstanding at September 30, 2010	1,705,433	4.42
Exercisable at September 30, 2010	863,108	\$5.17

Table of contents

The weighted average fair value of option grants was estimated using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing method. At September 30, 2010, PT had approximately \$450,000 of unrecognized stock compensation expense which will be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 1.7 years.

Note K Income Taxes

PT's effective income tax rate is a combination of federal, state and foreign tax rates and differs from the U.S. statutory rate due to taxes on foreign income, permanent differences including tax-exempt interest, and the resolution of tax uncertainties, offset by a valuation allowance against U.S. deferred income tax assets. In addition, the effective tax rate includes a benefit which offsets the provision charged to other comprehensive income associated with the increase in unrealized gain on foreign currency hedge contracts entered into in 2010 (see Note H).

PT's estimated effective annual tax rate was -.3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The tax provision includes a discrete provision amounting to \$72,000, which offsets the benefit credited to other comprehensive income associated with the decrease in unrealized gain on foreign currency hedge contracts that matured in the first quarter 2010. As of June 30, 2009, the Company updated its projections of taxable income for 2009, and at that time projected that the Company would have a three year U.S. cumulative pre-tax book loss, calculated using 2007 and 2008 actual results combined with projected 2009 results. Based upon the Company's review of all positive and negative evidence, including its projected three year cumulative jurisdictional pre-tax book loss for 2007 through 2009, the Company concluded that a full valuation allowance should be recorded against its U.S. net deferred tax assets in the three month period ended June 30, 2009. This valuation allowance and corresponding non-cash charge to income tax provision amounted to \$3,304,000.

The Company had no balance of unrecognized tax benefits at September 30, 2010, as the Company concluded examinations of its tax returns resulting in the reversal of such balance during the third quarter 2010. The Company had unrecognized tax benefits of \$65,000 at December 31, 2009. Included in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2009 was accrued interest in the amount of \$12,000.

At September 30, 2010, the Company had gross deferred income tax assets totaling approximately \$9,229,000, against which the Company has recorded a valuation allowance of approximately \$9,097,000.

The Company files U.S. federal, U.S. state, and foreign tax returns. For U.S. federal tax returns, the Company is generally no longer subject to tax examinations for years prior to 2009. For state and foreign tax returns, the Company is generally no longer subject to tax examinations for years prior to 2007.

Note L Restructuring

Restructuring expenses totaled \$69,000 and \$181,000 in the third quarter 2010 and 2009, respectively, and amounted to \$196,000 and \$626,000 in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

In December 2009, the Company announced its decision to outsource manufacturing of the printed circuit board assembly for the hardware elements of PT's products. This action was undertaken in order to reduce the Company's future capital expenditure requirements and make product costs more predictable. In connection with this decision, the Company expected to gradually reduce its Rochester workforce by approximately twenty employees, eliminating positions made redundant by the outsourcing action. The action is not expected to result in net cost savings.

Restructuring charges associated with this action are estimated to be approximately \$210,000, and consist primarily of severance charges which are only payable if the employees stay with the Company during the transition. As of September 30, 2010, three affected employees had left the Company, forfeiting their rights under this program, and the decision was made to retain and re-deploy three other affected employees. Generally accepted accounting principles require that the Company accrue and charge to expense the estimated severance charges ratably over the time period that the employees continue to work. Adjustments will be recorded to increase or decrease restructuring expense in the future, based on the Company's actual experience.

Table of contents

In July 2009, the Company implemented a voluntary separation program in which substantially all U.S. employees of the Company were offered the opportunity to elect termination of employment, subject to the Company's approval. Eight employees were approved for voluntary termination under this plan. In connection with this action, the Company incurred a third quarter 2009 restructuring charge of \$181,000, entirely for employee-related costs, substantially all of which resulted in third quarter 2009 cash expenditures.

In January 2009, the Company implemented a strategic reduction of its existing workforce in response to the challenging global economic environment. As a result of this action, the Company eliminated twenty positions, or approximately 8% of its global workforce.

The following table sets forth the amounts recognized for restructuring expense and incurred during the quarters and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and the balance of accrued restructuring at each quarter end:

	2010	2009
Accrued restructuring, January 1	\$ -	\$ -
Restructuring expense - primarily employee-related costs	63,000	444,000
Amount incurred in the period	-	(437,000)
Accrued restructuring, March 31	63,000	7,000
Restructuring expense - primarily employee-related costs	64,000	-
Amount incurred in the period	(2,000)	(7,000)
Accrued restructuring, June 30	125,000	-
Restructuring expense - primarily employee-related costs	69,000	181,000
Amount incurred in the period	(20,000)	(181,000)
Accrued restructuring, September 30	\$ 174,000	\$ -

Note M Litigation

The Company is subject to various other legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business.

In December 2009, Tekelec, a corporation headquartered in Morrisville, North Carolina, filed a complaint against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, but did not serve the complaint on the Company. The complaint alleged that certain of PT's signaling systems products infringe three of Tekelec's issued

patents and seeks a determination of infringement, a preliminary and permanent injunction from further infringement and an unspecified amount of damages. On March 4, 2010, an amended complaint was served on the Company. The amended complaint contains the same allegations as the original complaint but adds two patents to the number of patents which Tekelec alleges PT's signaling systems products infringe. The Company answered the complaint on April 15, 2010, denying Tekelec's claims, making certain counterclaims and demanding summary judgment. In June 2010, the District Court Judge approved an accelerated court scheduling order. Discovery in this matter has commenced.

The Company believes that the basis for Tekelec's infringement claims is without merit and is vigorously defending itself against those claims. No liability has been recorded relating to this matter because of the uncertainty of its eventual outcome and the inability to estimate the amount of damages that may be assessed, if any.

Note N Stock Repurchase Program

In the three months ended March 31, 2009, PT repurchased 100,000 shares of its Common Stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$318,000, under the terms of a Stock Repurchase Program that expired in October 2009.

Table of contents

Note O Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on revenue recognition that will become effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2010, with earlier adoption permitted. Under the new guidance on arrangements that include software elements, tangible products that have software components (referred to as software-enabled devices) that are essential to the functionality of the tangible product will no longer be within the scope of the software revenue recognition guidance, and software-enabled products will now be subject to other relevant revenue recognition guidance. Additionally, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables that are outside the scope of the software revenue recognition guidance. Under the new guidance, when vendor specific objective evidence or third party evidence for deliverables in an arrangement cannot be determined, a best estimate of the selling price is required to separate deliverables and allocate arrangement consideration using the relative selling price method. The new guidance includes new disclosure requirements on how the application of the relative selling price method affects the timing and amount of revenue recognition. The Company is evaluating the extent, if any, to which this new guidance will impact PT's financial statements. This guidance becomes effective as of January 1, 2011.

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2010-06 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. This standard requires new disclosures for significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy and requires separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements relating to measurements within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The updated guidance also clarifies existing disclosures regarding the level of disaggregation of assets or liabilities and the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value. The updated guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, with the exception of the new Level 3 activity disclosures, which are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The Company adopted the Level 1 and 2 disclosure requirements in the first quarter 2010, and will adopt the Level 3 requirements in the first quarter 2011.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Matters discussed in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q include forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are subject to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Actual results could differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements.

As used in this report, unless otherwise indicated, the terms Company, PT, management, we, our, and us refer to Performance Technologies, Incorporated and its subsidiaries.

Critical Accounting Estimates and Assumptions

In preparing the financial statements in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP), estimates and assumptions are required to be made that have an impact on the assets, liabilities, revenue and expense amounts reported. These estimates can also affect supplemental information disclosures, including information about contingencies, risk and financial condition. These estimates and assumptions are made during the closing process for the quarter, after the quarter end has past. The Company believes that given the current facts and circumstances, these estimates and assumptions are reasonable, adhere to GAAP, and are consistently applied. Inherent in the nature of an estimate or assumption is the fact that actual results may differ from estimates, and estimates may vary as new facts and circumstances arise. Management's judgments in making these estimates and relying on these assumptions may materially impact amounts reported for any period.

Table of contents

The critical accounting policies, judgments and estimates that we believe have the most significant effect on our financial statements are set forth below:

Revenue Recognition

Software Development Costs

Valuation of Inventories

Income Taxes

Product Warranty

Stock-Based Compensation

Restructuring Costs

Carrying Value of Long-Lived Assets

Revenue Recognition: Revenue is recognized from product sales in accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, "Revenue Recognition." Product sales represent the majority of our revenue and include both hardware products and hardware products with embedded software. Revenue is recognized from these product sales when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been provided, the sale price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Additionally, products are sold on terms which transfer title and risk of loss at a specified location, typically the shipping point. Accordingly, revenue recognition from product sales occurs when all factors are met, including transfer of title and risk of loss, which typically occurs upon shipment. If these conditions are not met, revenue recognition is deferred until such time as these conditions have been satisfied.

For the sale of multiple-element arrangements whereby equipment is combined with other elements, such as software and maintenance, PT allocates to, and recognizes revenue from, the various elements based on their fair value. Revenue from software requiring significant production, modification, or customization is recognized using the percentage of completion method of accounting. Anticipated losses on contracts, if any, are charged to operations as soon as such losses are determined. If all conditions of revenue recognition are not met, revenue recognition is deferred and revenue will be recognized when all obligations under the arrangement are fulfilled. Revenue from software maintenance contracts is recognized ratably over the contractual period.

Revenue from consulting and other services is recognized at the time the services are rendered. Certain products are sold through distributors who are granted limited rights of return. Potential returns are accounted for at the time of sale.

The accounting estimate related to revenue recognition is considered a critical accounting estimate because terms of sale can vary, and judgment is exercised in determining whether to defer revenue recognition. Such judgments may materially affect net sales for any period. Judgment is exercised within the parameters of GAAP in determining when contractual obligations are met, title and risk of loss are transferred, sales price is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured.

Software Development Costs: All software development costs incurred in establishing the technological feasibility of computer software products to be sold are charged to expense as research and development costs. Software development costs incurred subsequent to the establishment of technological feasibility of a computer software product to be sold and prior to general release of that product are capitalized. Amounts capitalized are amortized commencing after general release of that product over the estimated remaining economic life of that product, generally three years, using the straight-line method or using the ratio of current revenues to current and anticipated revenues from such product, whichever provides greater amortization. If the technological feasibility for a particular project is judged not to have been met or recoverability of amounts capitalized is in doubt, project costs are expensed as research and development or charged to cost of goods sold, as applicable. The accounting estimate related to software development costs is considered a critical accounting estimate because judgment is exercised in determining whether project costs are expensed as research and development or capitalized as an asset. Such judgments may materially affect expense amounts for any period. Judgment is exercised within the parameters of GAAP in determining when technological feasibility has been met and recoverability of software development costs is reasonably assured.

Table of contents

Valuation of Inventories: Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, using the first-in, first-out method. Inventory includes purchased parts and components, work in process and finished goods. Provisions for excess, obsolete or slow moving inventory are recorded after periodic evaluation of historical sales, current economic trends, forecasted sales, estimated product lifecycles and estimated inventory levels. Purchasing practices, electronic component obsolescence, accuracy of sales and production forecasts, introduction of new products, product lifecycles, product support and foreign regulations governing hazardous materials are the factors that contribute to inventory valuation risks. Exposure to inventory valuation risks is managed by maintaining safety stocks, minimum purchase lots, managing product end-of-life issues brought on by aging components or new product introductions, and by utilizing certain inventory minimization strategies such as vendor-managed inventories. The accounting estimate related to valuation of inventories is considered a critical accounting estimate because it is susceptible to changes from period-to-period due to the requirement for management to make estimates relative to each of the underlying factors, ranging from purchasing, to sales, to production, to after-sale support. If actual demand, market conditions or product lifecycles differ from estimates, inventory adjustments to lower market values would result in a reduction to the carrying value of inventory, an increase in inventory write-offs and a decrease to gross margins.

Income Taxes: PT provides deferred income tax assets and liabilities based on the estimated future tax effects of differences between the financial and tax bases of assets and liabilities based on currently enacted tax laws. A valuation allowance is established for deferred tax assets in amounts for which realization is not considered more likely than not to occur. The accounting estimate related to income taxes is considered a critical accounting estimate because judgment is exercised in estimating future taxable income, including prudent and feasible tax planning strategies, and in assessing the need for any valuation allowance. If it should be determined that all or part of a net deferred tax asset is not able to be realized in the future, an adjustment to the valuation allowance would be charged to income in the period such determination was made. Likewise, in the event that it should be determined that all or part of a deferred tax asset in the future is in excess of the net recorded amount, an adjustment to the valuation allowance would increase income to be recognized in the period such determination was made.

PT operates within multiple taxing jurisdictions worldwide and is subject to audit in these jurisdictions. Such audits can involve complex issues, which may require an extended period of time for resolution. Although management believes that adequate provision has been made for such issues, there is the possibility that the ultimate resolution of such issues could have an adverse effect on the earnings of PT. Conversely, if these issues are resolved favorably in the future, the related provisions would be reduced, thus having a positive impact on earnings.

In addition, the calculation of PT's tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax regulations. PT recognizes liabilities for uncertain tax positions based on a two-step process. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step requires PT to estimate and measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. It is inherently difficult and subjective to estimate such amounts, as this requires PT to determine the probability of various possible outcomes. PT re-evaluates these

uncertain tax positions on a quarterly basis. This evaluation is based on factors including, but not limited to, changes in facts or circumstances, changes in tax law, effectively settled issues under audit, and new audit activity. Such a change in recognition or measurement would result in the recognition of a tax benefit or an additional charge to the tax provision in the period. At September 30, 2010, there are no tax uncertainties that PT has determined are required to be recognized.

Finally, the value of PT's deferred tax assets is dependent upon PT's ability to generate future taxable income in the jurisdictions in which PT operates. These assets consist of research credit carry-forwards, capital and net operating loss carry-forwards, and the future tax effect of temporary differences between balances recorded for financial statement purposes and for tax return purposes. It will require future pre-tax earnings of in excess of \$10 million in order to fully realize the value of the Company's deferred tax assets. Of this amount, approximately \$650,000 of capital gains must be realized in 2010 in order to fully realize PT's deferred tax assets relating to capital loss carry-forwards. Due to the uncertainty of PT's ability to realize its deferred tax assets, a valuation allowance was recorded in 2009 against substantially the full value of its U.S. deferred tax assets.

Table of contents

Product Warranty: Warranty obligations are generally incurred in connection with the sale of PT's products. The warranty period for these products is generally one year. The costs incurred to provide for these warranty obligations are estimated and recorded as an accrued liability at the time of sale. Future warranty costs are estimated based on historical performance rates and related costs to repair given products. The accounting estimate related to product warranty is considered a "critical accounting estimate" because judgment is exercised in determining future estimated warranty costs. Should actual performance rates or repair costs differ from estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required.

Stock-Based Compensation: PT's board of directors approves grants of stock options to employees to purchase our Common Stock. Stock compensation expense is recorded based upon the estimated fair value of the stock option at the date of grant. The accounting estimate related to stock-based compensation is considered a "critical accounting estimate" because estimates are made in calculating compensation expense including expected option lives, forfeiture rates and expected volatility. Expected option lives are estimated using vesting terms and contractual lives. Expected forfeiture rates and volatility are calculated using historical information. Actual option lives and forfeiture rates may be different from estimates and may result in potential future adjustments which would impact the amount of stock-based compensation expense recorded in a particular period.

Restructuring Costs: Restructuring costs may consist of employee-related severance costs, lease termination costs and other facility-related closing expenses. Employee-related severance benefits are recorded either at the time an employee is notified or, if there are extended service periods, is estimated and recorded pro-rata over the period of each planned restructuring activity. Lease termination costs are calculated based upon fair value considering the remaining lease obligation amounts and estimates for sublease receipts. The accounting estimate related to restructuring costs is considered a "critical accounting estimate" because estimates are made in calculating the amount of employee-related severance benefits that will ultimately be paid and the amount of sublease receipts that will ultimately be received in future periods. Actual amounts paid for employee-related severance benefits can vary from these estimates depending upon the number of employees actually receiving severance payments. Actual sublease receipts received may also vary from estimates.

Carrying Value of Long-Lived Assets: PT reviews the carrying values of its long-lived assets, other than capitalized software development costs and purchased intangible assets with indefinite useful lives, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying values may not be recoverable. PT assesses the recoverability of the carrying values of long-lived assets by first grouping its long-lived assets with other assets and liabilities at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of the cash flows of other assets and liabilities (the asset group) and, secondly, by estimating the undiscounted future cash flows that are directly associated with and that are expected to arise from the use of and eventual disposition of such asset group. PT estimates the undiscounted cash flows over the remaining useful life of the primary asset within the asset group. If the carrying value of the asset group exceeds the estimated undiscounted cash flows, PT records an impairment charge to the extent the carrying value of the long-lived asset exceeds its fair value. PT determines fair value through quoted market prices in active markets or, if quoted market prices are unavailable, through the performance of internal

analyses of discounted cash flows. The accounting estimate related to impairment of long-lived assets is considered a critical accounting estimate because PT's impairment tests include estimates of future cash flows that are dependent upon subjective assumptions regarding future operating results including revenue growth rates, expense levels, discount rates, capital requirements and other factors that impact estimated future cash flows and the estimated fair value of long-lived assets.

Business Overview

The following discussion contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933 and Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and these forward-looking statements are subject to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

- 16 -

Table of contents

PT is a global supplier of advanced network communications solutions to carrier, government, and OEM markets. PT's portfolio includes IP-centric network elements and applications designed for high availability, scalability, and long life cycle deployments. The Company's entire line of offerings is anchored by IPnexus®, PT's own IP-native, highly integrated platforms and element management systems. OEMs and application developers, including PT itself, leverage the robust carrier grade Linux® development environment and rich suite of communications protocols (PT's Nexusware®) of IPnexus Application-Ready Systems as a cornerstone component of their end product value proposition. PT's SEGway Signaling Solutions provide low cost, high density signaling, advanced routing, IP migration, gateway capabilities, SIP bridge, and core-to-edge distributed intelligence. The Company's Xpress NGN applications enable evolving Mobile 2.0, Multi-media, and IMS based revenue generating services.

PT is headquartered in Rochester, New York and maintains direct sales and marketing offices in the U.S. in Raleigh, North Carolina and Chicago, Illinois and international offices in London, England and Shanghai, China, and has centers of engineering excellence in San Diego and San Luis Obispo, California, and Kanata, Ontario, Canada, in addition to Rochester, New York.

PT's business addresses one industry segment - Communications - and globally targets two primary vertical markets for its network communications products, namely telecommunications, and military, aerospace and government systems. Military, aerospace and government systems is recently renamed from aerospace and defense as it better describes the full range of market opportunities we see in this vertical market.

The telecommunications market, historically PT's largest vertical market, is fundamentally driven by investments in network infrastructure by carriers and service providers. Telecommunications market revenues derived from our IPnexus Application-Ready Systems products depend primarily on broad, multi-year deployments of next-generation telecommunications infrastructure. Telecommunications market revenues generated from end user solutions, such as our SEGway and Xpress product lines, are governed by investments necessary to support existing and evolving service demands such as the ongoing worldwide growth in text messaging and the transition to Internet-based communications networks.

Sales into the military, aerospace and government systems market are typically to prime contractors and system integrators that reflect investment levels by various government agencies and military branches in specific programs and projects requiring enhanced communications capabilities. Military, aerospace and government systems shipments are subject to project deployment schedules and often fluctuate widely from quarter-to-quarter.

Strategy

The Company's strategy is to maximize the value proposition of its products by leveraging its field-proven systems, software and hardware technologies. Management believes the tightly integrated combination of these technologies results in considerable benefits to its customers including a compelling return-on-investment proposition, significant development risk mitigation and a substantially accelerated time-to-market opportunity.

As outlined in PART 1, ITEM 1, under the caption "Business" of PT's Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2009, as filed on Form 10-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission, management is concentrating on four network communications-focused initiatives to construct a solid foundation for long-term growth. These initiatives include further strengthening our SEGway Signaling Systems product line, continued evolution and enhancement of our IPnexus Application-Ready Systems for mission critical communications applications, intensifying our market diversification efforts in government systems markets, and identifying forward-looking network communications growth opportunities, such as our Xpress portfolio of SIP-based applications and enabling infrastructure, that we can pursue with our own end product solutions.

- 17 -

Table of contents

There are identifiable risks associated with PT's strategy in the current economic climate. While management believes that its network communications market focus offers growth in the long term, some sectors of the customer base in this market are experiencing very challenging economic conditions which are impacting business prospects. Management had expected to realize measurable progress in 2010 on the strategic initiatives it established in 2009. However, based on the current economic environment, which may involve new risks not currently identifiable, management believes that realizing meaningful profitability in the near-term is likely to be very challenging.

Financial Overview

Revenue:

Revenue in the third quarter 2010 amounted to \$6.3 million, compared to \$7.9 million in the third quarter 2009. Revenue for the nine months ended September 30 of both 2010 and 2009 was \$21.1 million. The decrease in revenue in the third quarter 2010 was attributable to lower shipments across the Company's customer base, partially offset by strong demand from PT's traditionally largest customer. Shipments to customers outside of the United States represented 67% and 60% in the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Losses:

PT incurred a net loss in the third quarter 2010 in the amount of (\$2.9 million), or (\$.26) per basic share, including a restructuring charge of \$.01 per share and stock-based compensation expense of \$.01 per share, based on 11.1 million shares outstanding. The Company incurred a net loss in the third quarter 2009 amounting to (\$.3 million), or (\$.03) per basic share, including a discrete income tax benefit of \$.01 per share, a restructuring charge of \$.02 per share and stock compensation expense of \$.01 per share, based on 11.1 million shares outstanding.

The Company incurred a net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 amounting to (\$6.8 million), or (\$.61) per basic share, including a restructuring charge of \$.02 per share; stock-based compensation of \$.03 per share; and a discrete income tax provision of \$.01 per share, based on 11.1 million shares outstanding. The Company incurred a net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 amounting to (\$6.5 million), or (\$.58) per basic share, based on 11.1 million shares outstanding. This loss included a non-cash income tax charge amounting to \$.30 per share for an income tax valuation allowance recorded against the Company's U.S. deferred tax assets. The loss also included a restructuring charge of \$.06 per share, discrete income tax benefits of \$.02 per share and stock-based compensation expense of \$.04 per share.

Liquidity:

Cash, cash equivalents and long-term investments amounted to \$23.2 million at September 30, 2010 and \$29.3 million at December 31, 2009. The Company had no long-term debt at either date. The decrease in cash, cash equivalents and long-term investments was due primarily to the Company's operating loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Accounts receivable at September 30, 2010 amounted to \$5.0 million, compared to \$6.5 million at December 31, 2009. The lower level of accounts receivable is primarily related to lower sales during the month of September 2010, as compared with the month of December 2009.

Inventories increased by \$1.3 million, to \$5.8 million at September 30, 2010, from December 31, 2009. The increase in inventory is attributable to lower-than-expected third quarter 2010 sales, for which the inventory had been purchased and built, and last-time builds internally of certain products which are not being transitioned to our outside printed circuit board manufacturer. Additionally, the Company continues to experience longer than normal lead times in the component supply chain. This is not unique to PT, but continues to extend broadly across the electronics industry, over a wide range of active and passive component types. The Company is seeing some improvement in the quoted lead times for some components. Due to this lead time issue, PT did take steps to mitigate these supply chain challenges by increasing inventory of some long lead time items.

- 18 -

Table of contents

Cash used in operating activities amounted to \$3.1 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to cash used in operating activities of \$.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This swing was principally due to the larger pre-tax loss in 2010, plus an increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses, offset by the increase in inventories, as compared to the same period in 2009.

Key Performance Indicator:

PT believes that a key indicator for its business is the trend for the volume of orders received from customers. The telecommunications market, historically our largest vertical market, is fundamentally driven by investments in network infrastructure by carriers and service providers. Telecommunications market revenues derived from our IPnexus Application-Ready Systems products depend primarily on broad, multi-year deployments of next-generation telecommunications infrastructure. The new norm in this OEM business appears to be that OEM customers place orders for product only when they have orders in hand from their customers and expect almost immediate delivery. Telecommunications market revenues generated from end user solutions, such as our SEGway and Xpress product lines, result from investments necessary to support existing and evolving service demands such as the ongoing worldwide explosive growth in text messaging and the transition to Internet-based communications networks. The economy appears to be recovering unevenly around the world and current business conditions continue to remain very challenging in the telecommunications equipment market. Sales into the military, aerospace and government systems market are typically to prime contractors and system integrators that reflect investment levels by various government agencies and military branches in specific programs and projects requiring enhanced communications capabilities. The timing of government funded network infrastructure and military related expenditures remains for the most part unpredictable. Our Xpress product line is generating interest from both telecommunications and government customers and during the third quarter, PT began a pilot program with a government customer to demonstrate the Xpress products capabilities in a communications cost reduction program. During weak economic periods, customers ability to forecast their requirements deteriorates causing delays in the placement of orders. Forward-looking visibility on customer orders continues to be at record low levels.

During the first nine months of 2010, the challenging economic climate continued to cause customers to limit and/or delay investments in their network infrastructure. Bookings during the first two months of the third quarter are typically quite slow due to the impact of the summer vacation season but in the final month of the quarter bookings generally accelerate. While orders booked during the first two months of the third quarter were generally in line with management's lower expectations, orders received during September were well below the expected improvement. Management had anticipated that business would improve during the second half of 2010, but since that has not yet occurred, it is presently reviewing its going forward investments and expense levels. Shipments to customers amounted to \$6.3 million in the third quarter 2010, compared to \$7.9 million in the third quarter 2009. Included in third quarter revenue was an order amounting to \$.6 million received from one of the two African telecommunications customers discussed last quarter. Shipments to customers in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 both amounted to \$21.1 million.

More in-depth discussions of PT's strategy can be found in PT's Annual Report on Form 10-K and other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of contents**Results of Operations****Three and Nine Months Ended Septmeber 30, 2010, Compared with the
Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009**

The following table presents the percentage of sales represented by each item in the Company's consolidated statements of operations for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Sales	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %
Cost of goods sold	60.3	45.5	54.1	46.8
Gross profit	39.7	54.5	45.9	53.2
Operating expenses:				
Selling and marketing	31.3	20.7	30.3	24.9
Research and development	29.0	23.2	27.0	28.0
General and administrative	26.3	16.2	20.5	17.0
Restructuring charges	1.1	2.3	.9	3.0
Total operating expenses	87.7	62.4	78.7	72.9
Loss from operations	(48.0)	(7.9)	(32.8)	(19.7)
Other income, net	.1	1.0	.8	1.6
Loss before income taxes	(47.0)	(6.9)	(32.0)	(18.1)
Income tax (benefit) provision	(.5)	(2.4)	.1	12.7
Net loss	(46.5)%	(4.5)%	(32.1)%	(30.8)%

Sales. Total revenue for the third quarter 2010 amounted to \$6.3 million, compared to \$7.9 million for the corresponding quarter in 2009. Total revenue was \$21.2 million for the nine months ended September 30 of both 2010 and 2009. During the third quarter 2010, one customer accounted for 22% of sales. During the third quarter 2010, PT's traditionally two largest customers represented 22% and 3% of sales, respectively. PT's largest customer and second largest customer represented 15% and 3% of sales in the third quarter 2009, respectively. The sales increase from these two customers is primarily attributable to an increase in product demand by PT's largest customer. In the third quarter 2010, PT's four largest customers represented 40% of sales, compared to 39% of sales in the third quarter 2009. In the third quarter 2009, one additional customer represented 14% of sales. The Company's four largest customers comprised 41% and 32% of sales in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Shipments to customers outside of the United States represented 67% and 60% of PT's sales during the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively. Shipments to customers outside of the United States represented 61% and 54% of the Company's sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Total shipments to customers in the United Kingdom represented 22% of sales in the third quarter 2010, compared to 15% of sales in the third quarter 2009. One U.K. customer represented 22% and 15% of sales in the third quarter 2010 and 2009, respectively. Total shipments to customers in the United Kingdom represented 28% and 13% of sales in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Management is focused on selling PT's products into two primary vertical markets within one segment, communications. Revenue from each vertical market is expressed as a percentage of sales for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Telecommunications	79 %	75 %	80 %	81 %
Military, aerospace and government systems	21 %	25 %	20 %	19 %
	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %

- 20 -

Table of contents

Telecommunications market:

Revenue from the telecommunications market amounted to \$4.9 million and \$5.8 million in the third quarters of 2010 and 2009, respectively. This decrease of \$.9 million, or 15% was due primarily to a decrease in shipments to various telecommunications customers, offset partially by a \$.2 million increase in shipments to PT's largest customer.

Revenue from the telecommunications market amounted to \$16.9 million and \$17.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. This decrease of \$.3 million, or 2%, was due primarily to decreases in shipments to various telecommunications customers, offset partially by a \$2.6 million increase in shipments to PT's largest customer.

Military, Aerospace and Government Systems market:

Our recently realigned Government Systems group continues to work with numerous prime contractors to incorporate PT's COTS-based, application ready systems into specific programs and projects requiring enhanced communications capabilities.

Military, aerospace and government systems products revenue amounted to \$1.3 million and \$2.0 million in the third quarter 2010 and 2009, respectively. This decrease of \$.7 million, or 35%, was primarily attributable to a \$1.1 million sale to one customer in the third quarter 2009, which did not reoccur in 2010.

Military, aerospace and government systems products revenue amounted to \$4.2 million and \$4.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. This increase of \$.2 million, or 5%, was primarily attributable to a \$.5 million increase in shipments to a new PT customer and increased shipments to various other military, aerospace and government systems customers, offset by the non-recurrence in the third quarter 2010 of a \$1.1 million sale to another customer.

Xpress, PT's newest product line, is a portfolio of SIP-based applications and enabling infrastructure built upon PT's IPnexus Application-Ready Systems foundation and targeted towards wireless and wireline customers deploying

next-generation network (NGN) architectures. Our Xpress product line is generating interest from both telecommunications and government customers and during the third quarter, PT began a pilot program with a government customer to demonstrate the Xpress products' capabilities in a significant communications cost reduction program.

Gross profit. Gross profit consists of sales, less cost of goods sold including material costs, manufacturing expenses, depreciation, amortization of software development costs, and expenses associated with engineering contracts and the technical support function. Gross profit and gross margin percentage amounted to \$2.5 million and 39.7% of sales in the third quarter 2010, compared to \$4.3 million and 54.5% of sales for the third quarter 2009. The decrease in gross profit and gross margin percentage in the third quarter 2010 was attributable to the lower sales volume, interim redundant costs due to the Company's transition to its outside printed circuit board manufacturer and lower absorption of fixed manufacturing costs.

Gross margin was 45.9% and 53.2% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in gross margin percentage was primarily attributable to a less favorable sales mix and interim redundant costs due to the Company's transition to its outside printed circuit board manufacturer, which accelerated in the third quarter. The transition to the outside printed circuit board manufacturer was essentially completed during October and the financial impact from the redundant manufacturing costs should be reduced in the fourth quarter.

- 21 -

Table of contents

Total Operating Expenses. Total operating expenses amounted to \$5.5 million and \$4.9 million in the third quarter 2010 and 2009, respectively. Total operating expenses amounted to \$16.6 million and \$15.4 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Selling and marketing expenses were \$2.0 million and \$1.6 million for the third quarter 2010 and 2009, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, selling and marketing expenses were \$6.4 million and \$5.3 million, respectively. The expense increases in 2010 over the comparable 2009 periods primarily relate to PT's initiative to add to its sales and marketing team to enable the Company to reach more potential customers and an increase in trade show expenditures.

Research and development expenses were \$1.8 million in the third quarter of both 2010 and 2009; The Company capitalizes certain software development costs, which reduces the amount of software development charged to operating expenses. Amounts capitalized were \$.6 million during the third quarter of both 2010 and 2009. Research and development expenses were \$5.7 million and \$5.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Nine month amounts capitalized to software development costs amounted to \$1.9 million and \$1.7 million in 2010 and 2009, respectively. Total research and development, including amounts capitalized, totaled \$7.6 million for the nine months ended September 30 of both 2010 and 2009.

General and administrative expenses were \$1.7 million and \$1.2 million in the third quarter 2010 and 2009, respectively. General and administrative expenses were \$4.3 million and \$3.5 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. These expense increases are primarily attributable to litigation-related legal expenses.

Restructuring expenses were \$.1 million and \$.2 million in the third quarter 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$.2 million and \$.6 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In December 2009, PT announced its decision to outsource the manufacturing of the printed circuit board assembly for the hardware elements of PT's products. In connection with this decision, the Company expected to gradually reduce its Rochester manufacturing workforce by approximately twenty employees. Total restructuring charges associated with this action are estimated to be approximately \$.2 million, of which approximately 30% was recorded in each of the first three quarters of 2010, and consist primarily of severance charges which are only payable if the employees stay with the Company during the transition. This action is expected to be completed and substantially all of the related charges are expected to be paid out by December 31, 2010. Payments under this action were immaterial in the third quarter and first nine months of 2010. This action was initiated with the goals of making product costs more predictable, reducing future capital expenditure requirements, and enhancing PT's ability to offer cutting-edge technologies in our product lines without sacrificing high standards of quality.

In January 2009, PT implemented a strategic reduction of its existing workforce in response to the challenging global economic environment. In connection with this action, the Company eliminated twenty positions, or approximately 8% of its global workforce. The restructuring expenses were substantially all employee-related expenses and substantially all were cash expenditures in the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

In July 2009, the Company implemented a voluntary separation program in which substantially all U.S. employees were offered the opportunity to elect termination of employment, subject to the Company's approval. Eight employees were voluntarily terminated under this plan. In connection with this action, the Company incurred a third quarter 2009 restructuring charge in the amount of \$.2 million. Substantially all of the cash expenditure related to this restructuring charge occurred in the third quarter 2009.

Other Income, net. Other income consists primarily of interest income. During the third quarter 2010, PT's funds were invested in high-quality corporate and quasi-government bonds, certificates of deposit and money market funds. Due to generally historic low returns on such investments and the Company's strategy to invest in high-quality instruments, other income amounted \$.1 million in the third quarter 2010, compared to \$.1 million in the third quarter 2009. Other income was \$.2 million and \$.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

- 22 -

Table of contents

Income taxes The Company's effective tax rate for the third quarter 2010 differs from the statutory rate primarily due to a full valuation allowance provided against its U.S. deferred tax assets, the taxes on foreign income that differ from the U.S. tax rate, and permanent tax differences including Canadian research activities, and tax-exempt interest.

PT's income tax expense for the third quarter 2010 was a benefit amounting to \$.03 million, compared to a benefit of \$.2 million for the third quarter 2009. The Company recorded income tax provisions of \$.02 million and \$2.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. For the third quarter 2010, PT's annual estimated effective income tax rate is -.3%. Included in the third quarter 2010 and 2009 benefit were amounts related to the release of reserves for income tax uncertainties amounting to \$.1 million including interest. The third quarter 2010 benefit was reduced by a corresponding increase in the Company's valuation allowance against its deferred income tax asset in the amount of \$.04 million.

A discrete income tax provision of \$.1 million was recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 due to the income tax benefit which resulted from the decrease in unrealized gain on foreign currency hedge contracts. Included in income tax provision for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was the establishment of a valuation allowance against the Company's U.S. deferred tax assets and corresponding non-cash charge which amounted to \$3.3 million.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

PT's primary sources of liquidity are cash, cash equivalents and long-term investments, which totaled \$23.2 million at September 30, 2010 and \$29.3 million at December 31, 2009. The Company had working capital of \$24.5 million and \$32.3 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The decrease in cash, cash equivalents and long-term investments during the third quarter 2010 amounted to \$3.3 million, resulting primarily from the Company's operating loss, purchases of property, equipment and improvements, and capitalized software development costs, offset by non-cash depreciation and amortization expenses.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, cash used by operating activities amounted to \$3.1 million. This amount included the net loss of (\$6.8 million), depreciation and amortization charges of \$2.1 million and stock-based compensation expense of \$.4 million. Cash provided by operations resulting from changes in operating assets and liabilities included an increase in cash associated with a decrease of accounts receivable, and an increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$1.6 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, offset partially by an increase of inventory of \$1.3 million. The decrease in accounts receivable was primarily due to the lower level of sales in September 2010, compared to the month of December 2009.

Cash used by investing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 totaled \$2.1 million, reflecting capitalized software development costs amounting to \$1.9 million and capital expenditures of \$1.1 million, offset partially by net proceeds from the sale of investments of \$.8 million.

Cash used by financing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was zero, compared to \$.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009, which consisted entirely of a repurchase by the Company of .1 million shares of its Common Stock.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements:

The Company did not enter into any off-balance sheet arrangements during the third quarter 2010.

- 23 -

Table of contents

Contractual Obligations:

The Company did not enter into any other significant contractual obligations during the third quarter 2010.

Current Position:

Assuming there is no significant change in the business, management believes that PT's current cash, cash equivalents and investments, together with cash generated from operations should be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash requirements, including working capital and capital expenditure requirements, for at least the next twelve months. However, management is continuing to evaluate opportunities for strategic acquisitions to facilitate PT's growth and market penetration efforts. If any of these opportunities come to fruition, they could have a negative impact on working capital, liquidity or capital resources.

In December 2009, Tekelec, a corporation headquartered in Morrisville, North Carolina, filed a complaint against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina. The complaint alleged that certain of PT's signaling systems products infringe three of Tekelec's issued patents and seeks a determination of infringement, a preliminary and permanent injunction from further infringement and an unspecified amount of damages. On March 4, 2010, an amended complaint was served on the Company. The amended complaint contains the same allegations as the original complaint but adds two patents to the number of patents which Tekelec alleges PT's signaling systems products infringe. The Company answered the complaint on April 15, 2010, denying Tekelec's claims, making certain counterclaims and demanding summary judgment. In June 2010, the District Court Judge approved an accelerated court scheduling order. The accelerated scheduling order has accelerated the near term amount of legal expense that the Company will incur in defense of this litigation. Discovery in this matter has commenced.

PT believes that the basis for Tekelec's infringement claims is without merit and is vigorously defending itself against those claims. No liability has been recorded relating to this matter because of the uncertainty of its eventual outcome and the inability to estimate the amount of damages that may be assessed, if any. Adverse determinations in patent litigation could result in the loss of our proprietary rights, subject us to significant liabilities, and require us to seek licenses from third parties or prevent us from manufacturing or selling our products, any of which would have a material adverse effect on our revenue, operating results and liquidity. In addition, any such adverse determinations would result in the need to evaluate the realizability of our capitalized software development costs for related software products and could result in the need to record impairment against the recorded balance of that asset.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on revenue recognition that will become effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2010, with earlier adoption permitted. Under the new guidance on arrangements that include software elements, tangible products that have software components (referred to as software-enabled devices) that are essential to the functionality of the tangible product will no longer be within the scope of the software revenue recognition guidance, and software-enabled products will now be subject to other relevant revenue recognition guidance. Additionally, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables that are outside the scope of the software revenue recognition guidance. Under the new guidance, when vendor specific objective evidence or third party evidence for deliverables in an arrangement cannot be determined, a best estimate of the selling price is required to separate deliverables and allocate arrangement consideration using the relative selling price method. The new guidance includes new disclosure requirements on how the application of the relative selling price method affects the timing and amount of revenue recognition. The Company is evaluating the extent, if any, to which this new guidance will impact PT's financial statements. This guidance becomes effective as of January 1, 2011.

- 24 -

Table of contents

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2010-06 "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures - Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements." This standard requires new disclosures for significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy and requires separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements relating to measurements within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The updated guidance also clarifies existing disclosures regarding the level of disaggregation of assets or liabilities and the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value. The updated guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, with the exception of the new Level 3 activity disclosures, which are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010. PT adopted the Level 1 and 2 disclosure requirements in the first quarter 2010, and will adopt the Level 3 requirements in the first quarter 2011.

Safe Harbor Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Reform Act") provides a "safe harbor" for forward-looking statements. Certain written and oral statements made by management of Performance Technologies, Incorporated and its subsidiaries (collectively "PT") include forward-looking statements intended to qualify for the safe harbor from liability established by the Reform Act. These forward-looking statements generally can be identified by words such as "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "projects," "foresees," "forecasts," "estimates" or other words or phrases of similar import. Words such as the "Company," "PT," "management," "we," "us," or "our," mean Performance Technologies, Incorporated and its subsidiaries. All statements herein that describe PT's business strategy, outlook, objectives, plans, intentions, goals or similar projections are also forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Reform Act. Forward-looking statements should be read in conjunction with the audited Consolidated Financial Statements, the Notes thereto, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of the Company as of December 31, 2009, as contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, and other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

All such forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties and should be evaluated in light of important risk factors. These risk factors include, but are not limited to, the following as well as those that are described in Risk Factors under Item 1A and elsewhere in the Annual Report on Form 10-K: business and economic conditions, rapid technological changes accompanied by frequent new product introductions, competitive pressures, dependence on key customers, inability to gauge order flows from customers, fluctuations in quarterly and annual results, the reliance on a limited number of third party suppliers, limitations of the Company's manufacturing capacity and arrangements, the protection of the Company's proprietary technology, the effects of pending or threatened litigation, the dependence on key personnel, changes in critical accounting estimates, potential impairments related to investments, foreign regulations, and potential material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting. In addition, during weak or uncertain economic periods, customers' visibility deteriorates causing delays in the placement of their orders. These factors often result in a substantial portion of the Company's revenue being derived from orders

placed within a quarter and shipped in the final month of the same quarter.

Any of these factors could cause PT's actual results to differ materially from its anticipated results. For a more detailed discussion of these factors, see the "Risk Factors" discussion in Item 1A in the Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Company cautions readers to carefully consider such factors. Many of these factors are beyond the Company's control.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company is exposed to various market risks in the normal course of business, primarily interest rate risk and changes in the market value of investments and management believes PT's exposure to such risk is minimal. PT's investments are made in accordance with PT's investment policy and primarily consist of money market funds, highly-rated corporate and quasi-government bonds, certificates of deposit and bank guaranteed contracts. The Company is also subject to foreign currency exchange risks related to its operations in Kanata, Ontario, Canada, and in the United Kingdom. The Company believes that its balance sheet exposure to foreign currency exchange risks is minimal, as generally all revenues and accounts receivable are denominated in U.S. dollars. However, PT's expenses at these locations are denominated in the local currency and PT's results of operations are influenced by changes in the exchange rates between the United States and Canada and the United Kingdom. The Company has entered into foreign currency hedge contracts in its Canadian subsidiary to reduce by approximately 75% the exposure of such foreign currency exchange variability to PT's remaining 2010 results of operations (and approximately 25% of this exposure for the first quarter 2011) (see Note H to the financial statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q). However, while the Canadian dollar strengthened considerably against the U.S. dollar during the first nine months of 2010, PT had in place hedge contracts for the three quarters which partially shielded the Company from the effect of that trend.

- 25 -

Table of contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

A. Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

PT's Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer have evaluated PT's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that PT's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of such date.

B. Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in PT's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, PT's internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In December 2009, Tekelec, a corporation headquartered in Morrisville, North Carolina, filed a complaint against Performance Technologies, Incorporated in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, but did not serve the complaint on the Company. The complaint alleged that certain of PT's signaling systems products infringe three of Tekelec's issued patents and seeks a determination of infringement, a preliminary and permanent injunction from further infringement and an unspecified amount of damages. On March 4, 2010, an amended complaint was served on the Company. The amended complaint contains the same allegations as the original complaint but adds two patents to the number of patents which Tekelec alleges PT's signaling systems products infringe. The Company answered the complaint on April 15, 2010, denying Tekelec's claims, making certain counterclaims and demanding summary judgment. In June 2010, the District Court Judge approved an accelerated court scheduling order. Discovery in this matter has commenced.

The Company believes that the basis for Tekelec's infringement claims is without merit and is vigorously defending itself against those claims. No liability has been recorded relating to this matter because of the uncertainty of its eventual outcome and the inability to estimate the amount of damages that may be assessed, if any.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

32.1 Section 1350 Certification

- 26 -

Table of contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGIES, INCORPORATED

November 5, 2010

By: /s/ John M. Slusser

John M. Slusser

President and

Chief Executive Officer

November 5, 2010

By: /s/ Dorrance W. Lamb

Dorrance W. Lamb

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Table of contents

Exhibit 31.1

Certification of Chief Executive Officer

I, John M. Slusser, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Performance Technologies, Incorporated;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of

directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

- a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 5, 2010

By:/s/ John M. Slusser

John M. Slusser

Chief Executive Officer

Table of contents

Exhibit 31.2

Certification of Chief Financial Officer

I, Dorrance W. Lamb, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Performance Technologies, Incorporated;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 5, 2010

By:/s/ Dorrance W. Lamb _____

Dorrance W. Lamb

Chief Financial Officer

Table of contents

Exhibit 32.1

Section 1350 Certification

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Section 906), John M. Slusser and Dorrance W. Lamb, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, respectively, of Performance Technologies, Incorporated, certify that (i) the quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and (ii) the information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Performance Technologies, Incorporated.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Performance Technologies, Incorporated and will be retained by Performance Technologies, Incorporated and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

Date: November 5, 2010

By:/s/ John M. Slusser

John M. Slusser

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 5, 2010

By:/s/ Dorrance W. Lamb

Dorrance W. Lamb

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

- 30 -