

Edgar Filing: PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO - Form 8-K

PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO
Form 8-K
March 30, 2001

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT

Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report: March 30, 2001

Commission File Number -----	Exact Name of Registrant as specified in its charter -----	State or other Jurisdiction of Incorporation -----	IRS Employer Identification Number -----
1-12609	PG&E Corporation	California	94-3234914
1-2348	Pacific Gas and Electric Company	California	94-0742640

Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale Street, P.O. Box 770000 San Francisco, California 94177	PG&E Corporation One Market, Spear Tower, Suite 2400 San Francisco, California 94105
---	--

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (415) 973-7000	PG&E Corporation (415) 267-7000
--	------------------------------------

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Item 5. Other Events.

A. Recent Regulatory Actions

On March 27, 2001, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) issued a decision in the rate stabilization proceeding of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (Utility), the California subsidiary of PG&E Corporation, authorizing the Utility to add an average 3 cent per kilowatt hour (kWh) surcharge to current rates, in addition to the emergency 1 cent per kWh surcharge adopted by the CPUC on January 4,

Edgar Filing: PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO - Form 8-K

2001, and made permanent by the March 27, 2001 decision. Although the increase is authorized immediately, the 3 cent per kWh surcharge will not be collected in rates until the CPUC establishes an appropriate rate design for the surcharge, which is not expected to be adopted until May 2001. The revenue generated by the rate increase is to be used only for electric power procurement costs that are incurred after March 27, 2001. The rate increase is subject to refund (1) if not used to pay for such power purchases, (2) to the extent that generators and sellers of power make refunds for overcollections, or (3) to the extent any administrative body or court denies the refunds of overcollections in a proceeding where recovery has been hampered by a lack of cooperation from the Utility. In addition, the CPUC ordered that the 3 cent per kWh surcharge be added to the rate paid to the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) as ordered in the CPUC decision discussed below.

Also, on March 27, 2001, the CPUC issued a decision ordering the Utility and the other California investor-owned utilities to pay the DWR a per-kWh price equal to the applicable generation-related retail rate per kWh established for each utility as in effect on January 5, 2001, for each kWh the DWR sells to the customers of each utility. The CPUC determined that the generation-related component of retail rates should be equal to the total bundled electric rate (including the 1 cent per kWh interim surcharge adopted by the CPUC on January 5, 2001) less the following non-generation-related rates or charges: transmission, distribution, public purpose programs, nuclear decommissioning, and the fixed transition amount. The CPUC determined that the Utility's company-wide average generation-related rate component is 6.471 cents per kWh and that this is the amount that should be paid to the DWR for each kWh delivered by the DWR to the Utility's retail customers after February 1, 2001, until specific rates are calculated. The CPUC ordered the utilities to pay the DWR within 45 days after the DWR supplies power to their retail customers, subject to penalties for each day that payment is late. The amount of power supplied to retail end-use customers after January 31, 2001, for which the DWR is entitled to be paid would be based on the product of the number of kWh that the DWR provided 45 days earlier and the Utility's company-wide average generation-related rate of 6.471 cents per kWh, and the additional 3 cent per kWh surcharge described above.

The CPUC also ordered that the utilities immediately pay the sums owing the DWR for power sold by the DWR from January 18, 2001 through January 31, 2001, under Senate Bill 7X. Based on an estimated number of kWh sold by the DWR, the Utility paid approximately \$30 million to the DWR at the rate of 5.471 cents per kWh as adopted by the CPUC.

In addition, the decision proposed a method by which the California Procurement Adjustment (as described in Public Utilities Code Section 360.5 added by Assembly Bill 1X effective February 1, 2001) should be calculated. Section 360.5 requires the CPUC to determine (1) the portion of each electric utility's electric retail rate effective on January 5, 2001, the "California Procurement Adjustment" or CPA, that is equal to the difference between the generation-related component of the utility's retail rate in effect on January 5, 2001, and the sum of the costs of the utility's own generation, qualifying facilities (QFs) contracts, existing bilateral contracts (i.e., entered into before February 1, 2001), and ancillary services, and (2) the amount of the CPA that is allocable to the power sold by the DWR. The CPUC has proposed that the CPA should be a set rate calculated by determining each utility's generation-related revenues (for the Utility the CPUC has proposed that this be equal to 6.471 cents per kWh multiplied by total kWh sales by the Utility to the Utility's retail customers), then subtracting each utility's statutorily authorized generation-related

Edgar Filing: PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO - Form 8-K

costs, and dividing the result by each utility's total kWh sales. Each utility's CPA rate will be used to determine the amount of bonds the DWR may issue.

In response to the CPUC's request, the Utility filed comments on the proposed CPA calculation method on March 29, 2001. The Utility believes the proposed method is unlawful and inconsistent with Section 360.5 because it does not allow for recovery of the Utility's own revenue requirements and costs of service. Using the CPUC's proposed methodology, the Utility's calculations show that the CPA for the 11-month period February through December 2001 would be negative by \$2.2 billion, (i.e., there would be no CPA available to the DWR) assuming the DWR purchases 84 percent of the Utility's net open position. (The net open position is the amount of power that cannot be met by the utilities' own or contracted-for generation.) If AB 1X were amended to also include in the CPA all the incremental revenue from the 3 cent per kWh increase discussed above (approximately \$2.3 billion for 11 months), then the amount available to the DWR for the CPA for the comparable 11-month period would be approximately \$100 million. The CPUC has indicated that it will adopt a CPA calculation method at its continuation meeting on April 3, 2001.

The CPUC noted that although the DWR has assumed responsibility to purchase some of the utilities' power requirements, it has not committed to purchase all of the utilities' net open position. To the extent the DWR does not buy enough power to cover the Utility's net open position, the California Independent System Operator (ISO) purchases emergency power on the high-priced spot market to meet system reliability requirements and the net open position. The ISO may attempt to charge the Utility a proportionate share of the ISO's purchases. The Utility believes that under the current circumstances and applicable tariffs it is not responsible for such ISO charges. As the DWR has not advised the CPUC of its revenue requirement for the DWR's power purchases, it is unclear how much of the 3 cent surcharge will be needed by the DWR and how much, if any, may be used by the Utility to recover its procurement costs incurred after March 27, 2001 (including any ISO charges).

Since the end of January 2001, the Utility has been paying only 15 percent of amounts due QFs. On March 27, 2001, the CPUC issued a decision requiring the Utility and the other California investor-owned utilities to pay QFs fully for energy deliveries made on and after the date of the decision, within 15 days of the end of the QFs' billing period. The decision permits QFs to establish a 15-day billing period as compared to the current monthly billing period. The CPUC noted that its change to the payment provision was required to maintain energy reliability in California and thus provided that failure to make a required payment would result in a fine in the amount owed to the QF. The decision also adopts a revised pricing formula relating to the California border price of gas applicable to energy payments to all QFs, including those that do not use natural gas as a fuel. Based on the Utility's preliminary review of the decision, the revised pricing formula would reduce the Utility's 2001 average QF energy and capacity payments from approximately 12.7 cents per kWh to 12.3 cents per kWh.

The CPUC also adopted The Utility Reform Network's (TURN) proposal to transfer on a monthly basis the balance in each utility's transition revenue account (TRA) to the utility's transition cost balancing account (TCBA). The TRA is a regulatory balancing account that is credited with total revenue collected from ratepayers through frozen rates and which tracks under-collected power purchase costs. The TCBA is a regulatory balancing account that tracks the recovery of generation-related transition costs. The accounting changes are retroactive to January 1,

Edgar Filing: PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO - Form 8-K

1998. The Utility believes the CPUC is retroactively transforming the power purchase costs in the TRA into transition costs in the TCBA. However, the CPUC characterized the accounting changes as merely reducing the prior revenues recorded in the TCBA, thereby affecting only the amount of transition cost recovery achieved to date. The CPUC also ordered that the utilities restate and record their generation memorandum accounts balances to the TRA on a monthly basis before any transfer of generation revenues to the TCBA. The CPUC found that based on the accounting changes, the conditions for meeting the end of the rate freeze have not been met.

The Utility believes the adoption of TURN's proposed accounting changes results in illegal retroactive ratemaking and constitutes an unconstitutional taking of the Utility's property, and violates the federal filed rate doctrine. The Utility also believes the other CPUC decisions are similarly illegal to the extent they would compel the Utility to make payments to the DWR and QFs without providing adequate revenues for such payments. The Utility plans to challenge the decisions in appropriate legal forums.

B. Accounting Treatment

Under the 1996 electric industry restructuring legislation, Assembly Bill 1890, most transition costs must be collected by December 31, 2001. Under a prior CPUC decision, any costs incurred during the transition period but not recovered before the end of the transition period are not recoverable from customers. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 71 (FAS 71), "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation," permits the Utility to defer costs as regulatory assets if such costs are determined to be probable of recovery in future rates. If the Utility determines that regulatory assets are no longer probable of recovery through regulated rates, they must be written off. Transition costs may only be recovered through the competition transition charge (the amount of revenues remaining after paying authorized operating costs), the excess of market value of generating assets over book value, and retained generation revenues. Power procurement costs are recoverable only from revenues from frozen rates. Power procurement costs for the remainder of 2001 and amortization of remaining transition costs are expected to exceed revenues from retail rates provided for recovery of such costs. As a result, absent a regulatory or legislative solution that provides for full recovery of such costs, the Utility's and PG&E Corporation's financial results for the fourth quarter and the fiscal year ended 2000 would include a charge of approximately \$6.9 billion (\$4.1 billion after tax), reflecting a write-off of the TRA and TCBA as of December 31, 2000. Further, the Utility does not have authority to recover any power purchase costs it incurs during 2001 in excess of revenues from retail rates. Such amounts also would be charged against earnings absent a regulatory or legislative solution that provides for full recovery of such costs. For financial reporting purposes only, this would result in a material decline in reported common stockholders' equity, potentially below zero for the Utility during the first quarter of 2001, assuming the ISO continues to charge the Utility for the amount of the Utility's net open position not met through the DWR's purchases. Under FAS 71, if a rate mechanism provided by legislation or other regulatory authority were subsequently established that made recovery from regulated rates probable as to all or a portion of the undercollection that was previously charged against earnings, a regulatory asset would be correspondingly reinstated with a corresponding increase in earnings. Due to the CPUC's adoption of TURN's proposal concerning various accounting changes and the need for PG&E Corporation and the Utility to

Edgar Filing: PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO - Form 8-K

evaluate the CPUC decisions described above, PG&E Corporation and the Utility intend to file a notice with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) pursuant to SEC Rule 12b-25 that each entity is unable to timely file its Annual Report on Form 10-K by the due date, April 2, 2001, and that each entity will file the report no later than April 17, 2001.

C. Liquidity and Financial Position

At March 29, 2001, the Utility's cash reserves are \$2.6 billion. If the Utility were current with all payments to its creditors (including the \$938.5 million balance of its bank loans which the lenders have agreed to forbear from accelerating until April 13, 2001), the cash position would be negative \$1.8 billion. As previously reported, the Utility has temporarily suspended the payment of certain obligations. Through April 30, 2001, the Utility expects to have an aggregate of approximately \$1.5 billion of additional obligations that will become due and payable, including an estimated (1) \$550 million to the ISO, (2) \$340 million to QFs, and (3) \$470 million to gas suppliers.

Based on a preliminary estimate that is subject to revision, as of February 28, 2001, the Utility's under-collected balance in its TRA was approximately \$8.9 billion. This amount reflects estimated charges from the ISO for power purchased through February 2001 to meet the amount of the Utility's net open position not met through the DWR's purchases. The Utility has included certain costs in its estimated TRA balance although the Utility believes it may not be responsible for such costs, including charges from the ISO for power purchases in those cases where the DWR did not purchase power to cover the Utility's net open position and charges for an allocated portion of defaulted payments owed to the California Power Exchange by another California investor-owned utility. Until the treatment of these costs is clarified, the Utility has included them in its estimated TRA balance. Under the CPUC's interpretation of AB 1X, the DWR is not responsible to purchase the Utility's entire net open position. Under this interpretation, it is likely that the ISO will continue to charge the Utility for power purchased by the ISO for delivery to the Utility's customers causing the TRA balance to grow.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrants have duly caused this report to be signed on their behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PG&E CORPORATION

By: CHRISTOPHER P. JOHNS

CHRISTOPHER P. JOHNS
Vice President and Controller

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

By: KENT M. HARVEY

KENT M. HARVEY

Edgar Filing: PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO - Form 8-K

Senior Vice President, Chief Financial
Officer, and Treasurer

Dated: March 30, 2001