

ZAGG Inc
Form 10-Q
November 05, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

Quarterly Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014, or

Transition Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
for the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File No. 000-52211

ZAGG INC

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

20-2559624

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

3855 South 500 West, Suite J

Salt Lake City, Utah 84115

(Address of principal executive offices with zip code)

(801) 263-0699

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: **Yes No** .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer
Non-accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company
(do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-25 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: **30,334,938** common shares as of October 31, 2014.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

	Page
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets – As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014</u>	6
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	7
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	9
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	25
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	33
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	33
<p>PART II - OTHER INFORMATION</p>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	34
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	34
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	34

Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	34
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosure</u>	34
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	34
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	35

ZAGG INC AND
SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED
CONSOLIDATED
BALANCE
SHEETS
(in thousands,
except par value)
(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$7,104	\$15,031
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$2,567 in 2014 and \$2,540 in 2013	55,104	46,591
Inventories	35,254	44,539
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	3,287	2,403
Income tax receivable	6,075	-
Deferred income tax assets	6,851	7,917
Total current assets	113,675	116,481
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation at \$7,579 in 2014 and \$5,778 in 2013	6,296	5,004
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization at \$30,786 in 2014 and \$23,431 in 2013	33,864	41,219
Deferred income tax assets	12,993	11,377
Note receivable	801	801
Other assets	483	588
Total assets	\$168,112	\$175,470
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$32,129	\$15,207
Income taxes payable	-	6,359
Accrued liabilities	5,127	2,608
Accrued wages and wage related expenses	1,787	891
Deferred revenue	43	159
Sales returns liability	7,400	7,872
Total current liabilities	46,486	33,096
Revolving line of credit	813	17,543

Edgar Filing: ZAGG Inc - Form 10-Q

Total liabilities	47,299	50,639
Stockholders' equity		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 100,000 shares authorized; 32,642 and 32,331 shares issued in 2014 and 2013, respectively	33	32
Additional paid-in capital	84,565	82,807
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(657) 93
Note receivable collateralized by stock	(348) (348
Treasury stock, 2,312 and 1,756 common shares in 2014 and 2013 respectively, at cost	(12,485) (9,997
Retained earnings	49,705	52,244
Total stockholders' equity	120,813	124,831
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 168,112	\$ 175,470

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(in thousands, except for per share amounts)****(Unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013
Net sales	\$60,013	\$ 49,869	\$159,170	\$ 152,538
Cost of sales	50,849	27,768	115,441	89,904
Gross profit	9,164	22,101	43,729	62,634
Operating expenses:				
Advertising and marketing	1,377	2,340	4,763	6,593
Selling, general and administrative	11,971	10,904	34,776	35,014
Amortization of definite-lived intangibles	2,427	2,421	7,282	7,169
Total operating expenses	15,775	15,665	46,821	48,776
Income (loss) from operations	(6,611)	6,436	(3,092)	13,858
Other income (expense):				
Interest expense	(40)	(120)	(124)	(490)
Loss from equity method investment in HzO	-	(612)	-	(1,836)
Other income and (expense)	90	(89)	204	(136)
Total other income (expense)	50	(821)	80	(2,462)
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	(6,561)	5,615	(3,012)	11,396
Income tax benefit (provision)	2,242	(2,431)	473	(4,562)
Net income (loss)	\$(4,319)	3,184	\$(2,539)	6,834
Earnings (loss) per share:				
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$(0.14)	\$ 0.10	\$(0.08)	\$ 0.22
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$(0.14)	\$ 0.10	\$(0.08)	\$ 0.22

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014		September 30, 2013		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014		September 30, 2013	
Net income (loss)	\$ (4,319)	\$ 3,184			\$ (2,539)	\$ 6,834		
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:								
Foreign currency translation income (loss)	(628)	372			(750)	92		
Total other comprehensive income income (loss)	(628)	372			(750)	92		
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (4,947)	\$ 3,556			\$ (3,289)	\$ 6,926		

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Common Shares	Stock Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Note Receivable Collateralized By Stock	Treasury Stock	Retained Earnings	Total Stockholders Equity
Balances, December 31, 2013	32,331	\$ 32	\$ 82,807	\$ 93	\$ (348)	\$(9,997)	\$52,244	\$ 124,831
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,539)	(2,539)
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	(750)	-	-	-	(750)
Purchase of 556 shares of treasury stock	-	-	-	-	-	(2,488)	-	(2,488)
Option exercises	122	1	198	-	-	-	-	199
Restricted stock release	189	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stock-based compensation expense	-	-	1,644	-	-	-	-	1,644
Tax shortfall related to share-based payments	-	-	(84)	-	-	-	-	(84)
Balances, September 30, 2014	32,642	\$ 33	\$ 84,565	\$ (657)	\$ (348)	\$(12,485)	\$49,705	\$ 120,813

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

ZAGG INC AND
SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED
CONSOLIDATED
STATEMENTS OF
CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	September 30,
	2014	2013
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income (loss)	\$(2,539)) \$6,834
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Stock-based compensation	1,644	3,161
Excess tax benefits related to share-based payments	(8)) (49)
Depreciation and amortization	9,169	9,138
Loss from equity method investment in HzO		1,836
Deferred income taxes	(549)) (460)
Amortization of deferred loan costs	48	90
Impairment of investment	-	591
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	(8,725)) 22,144
Inventories	9,079	(10,632)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(986)) 6,517
Other assets	120	-
Accounts payable	16,996	(5,989)
Income taxes payable	(12,518)) (45)
Accrued liabilities	2,633	(1,706)
Accrued wages and wage related expenses	896	(1,357)
Deferred revenues	(116)) (549)
Sales return liability	(458)) (2,724)
Net cash provided by operating activities	14,686	26,800
Cash flows from investing activities		
Deposits on and purchase of intangible assets	-	(500)
Purchase of property and equipment, including website development	(3,122)) (1,869)
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,122)) (2,369)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Proceeds from revolving credit facilities	15,660	43,507
Payments on revolving credit facilities	(32,390)) (65,681)

Edgar Filing: ZAGG Inc - Form 10-Q

Payments on term loan	-	(4,000)
Purchase of treasury stock	(2,488)	(5,999
Proceeds from exercise of warrants and options	199	204	
Excess tax benefits related to share-based payments	8	49	
Net cash used in financing activities	(19,011)	(31,920
Effect of foreign currency exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	(480)	(34
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(7,927)	(7,523
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period	15,031	20,177	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	\$7,104	\$12,654	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information			
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$94	\$338	
Cash paid during the period for taxes	\$12,554	\$4,970	

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)

(Dollars and shares in thousands)

(Unaudited)

Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014:

None

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013:

Reclassification of \$206 from note receivable collateralized by stock to note receivable.

Issued 500 shares of common stock with a fair value of \$2,275 in connection with the purchase of patent (patent is recorded as a component of intangible assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheet). \$1,946 was recorded to additional paid in capital, while the remaining \$329 was recorded as a liability within accrued liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Financed \$2 of purchase of patent through accrued liabilities.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

(1) NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

ZAGG Inc and its subsidiaries (collectively, the “Company” or “ZAGG”) design, produce, and distribute professional and premium creative product solutions such as InvisibleShield® screen protection, keyboards for tablet computers and mobile devices, keyboard cases, earbuds, mobile power solutions, cables, cases, Bluetooth® speakers, and cleaning accessories for mobile devices under ZAGG and InvisibleShield family of brands. In addition, the Company designs, produces, and distributes cases, Bluetooth speakers, Near-Field Audio™ amplifying speakers, earbuds, traditional headphones, and gaming headphones for mobile devices under the iFrogz family of brands in the value-priced lifestyle sector.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the Company pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“US GAAP”) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) that, in the opinion of management, are necessary to present fairly the financial position, the results of operations, and cash flows of the Company for the periods presented. The Company suggests that these condensed consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company’s 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Operating results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year.

The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of ZAGG Inc and its wholly owned subsidiaries ZAGG International Distribution Limited; Patriot Corporation; ZAGG Intellectual Property Holding Co, Inc. (“ZAGG IP”); ZAGG Retail, Inc.; and iFrogz, Inc. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

New Accounting Policy – Website Development Costs

During the second quarter of 2014, the Company commenced a website development project utilizing both internal and external developers to improve www.zagg.com and www.ifrogz.com. The development project will provide additional functionality to the websites and will transition the websites to an improved software platform. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company capitalized website development costs for internal and external developers totaling \$211 and \$501, respectively. These costs are included within property and equipment on the consolidated balance sheet. Depreciation of website development costs begins when the internal use software is ready for its intended use and placed in service; as the project is still in the development stage, no depreciation has been recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued an Accounting Standard Update (“ASU”) No. 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606).” This ASU includes a five-step process by which entities will recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to customers in amounts that reflect the consideration to which an entity expects to be entitled to in exchange for those goods or services. The standard also will require enhanced disclosures to enable users of financial statements to understand the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. The ASU is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, with early adoption prohibited. We are currently evaluating the impact this ASU will have on our consolidated financial statements.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES**Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)****(Unaudited)**

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, "Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern." This ASU describes how an entity should assess its ability to meet obligations and sets disclosure requirements for how this information should be disclosed in the financial statements. The standard provides accounting guidance that will be used with existing auditing standards. The ASU applies to all entities for the first annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and interim periods thereafter. This ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

(2)**INVENTORIES**

At September 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, inventories consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Finished goods	\$ 34,096	\$ 40,992
Raw materials	1,158	3,547
Total inventory	\$ 35,254	\$ 44,539

In addition, included in prepaid expenses and other current assets were inventory deposits with third-party manufacturers at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 of \$2,153 and \$735, respectively. During the third quarter of 2014, the Company recorded a lower of cost or market adjustment to inventory totaling \$9,644 when it was determined during the quarter that certain inventory items were likely to be sold below the carrying value.

(3)**INVESTMENT IN HzO**

HzO, Inc. ("HzO") is a private company engaged in the development of water-blocking technologies for consumer and industrial electronics applications. Prior to the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company accounted for its investment in HzO under the equity method of accounting. However, due to an equity raise by HzO during the fourth quarter of

2013 that reduced ZAGG's ownership percentage below 20%, the Company began accounting for the investment as a cost method investment. During the second quarter of 2014, HzO raised an additional \$20,000 in equity capital. ZAGG did not participate in the capital raise, the result of which reduced ZAGG's ownership interest in HzO from 15.3% to 11.4% at September 30, 2014.

The carrying value of the investment at December 31, 2013 was \$0 due to the accumulated losses. The balance at September 30, 2014 remains at \$0.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company recorded a loss from investment in HzO of \$0 as the investment was reduced to \$0 during the fourth quarter of 2013 and was accounted for as a cost method investment in 2014. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recorded a loss from investment in HzO of \$612 and \$1,836, respectively, under the equity method. The loss from investment in HzO was recorded as a component of other expense in the consolidated statement of operations in each respective period.

(4) INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Definite-lived intangibles as of September 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, were as follows:

	As of September 30, 2014						
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Write-off of Fully Amortized Intangible	Transfers from Indefinite-life Classification	Net Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Amortization Period	
Customer relationships	\$41,500	\$ (22,264)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 19,236	8.0 years	
Non-compete agreements	4,100	(2,754)	—	—	1,346	4.8 years	
Other Trademarks	3,500	(2,091)	—	—	1,409	9.7 years	
iFrogz Trademark	7,038	(864)	—	—	6,174	10.0 years	
EarPollution Trademark	2,383	(908)	—	—	1,475	8.0 years	
Other	600	(537)	—	—	63	5.0 years	
Acquired technology	709	(238)	—	—	471	7.0 years	
Internet address	124	(76)	—	—	48	10.0 years	
Patents	4,696	(1,054)	—	—	3,642	12.5-14.0 years	
Total amortizable assets	\$64,650	\$ (30,786)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 33,864	8.4 years	

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES**Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)****(Unaudited)**

	As of December 31, 2013					
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Write-off of Fully Amortized Asset	Transfers from Indefinite-life Classification	Net Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Amortization Period
Customer relationships	\$41,500	\$ (17,537)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 23,963	8.0 years
Non-compete agreements	4,100	(2,169)	—	—	1,931	4.8 years
Other Trademarks	3,500	(1,719)	—	—	1,781	9.7 years
iFrogz Trademark	—	—	—	7,038	7,038	10.0 years
EarPollution Trademark	2,383	(554)	—	—	1,829	8.0 years
Other	661	(487)	(61)	—	113	5.0 years
Acquired technology	709	(165)	—	—	544	7.0 years
Internet address	124	(66)	—	—	58	10.0 years
Patents	4,696	(734)	—	—	3,962	12.5-14.0 years
Total amortizable assets	\$57,673	\$ (23,431)	\$ (61)	\$ 7,038	\$ 41,219	8.4 years

Customer relationships, trademarks, and other intangibles are amortized on an accelerated basis consistent with their expected future cash flows over their estimated useful life, which results in accelerated amortization. The remaining definite-lived intangible assets are amortized using the straight line method over their estimated useful life. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, amortization expense was \$2,448 and \$7,347, respectively.

Amortization expense was primarily recorded as a component of operating expense. However, amortization expense related to acquired technology for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, of \$20 and \$65, respectively, was recorded as a component of cost of sales.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, amortization expense was \$2,441 and \$7,224, respectively. Amortization expense related to acquired technology for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, of \$20 and \$55, respectively, was recorded as a component of cost of sales.

Estimated future amortization expense is as follows:

Remaining 2014	\$2,457
2015	8,560
2016	7,125

2017	5,649
2018 and thereafter	10,073
Total	\$33,864

(5) **DEBT AND LETTERS OF CREDIT**

On December 20, 2013, the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (“Wells Fargo”), entered into a First Amendment to Credit Agreement (“Amendment”), which modifies a Credit Agreement (the “Credit Agreement”) entered into between the Company and Wells Fargo on December 7, 2012.

The Amendment retains the \$60,000 revolving line of credit facility (“Line of Credit”) and extends the maturity date from December 1, 2014 to December 1, 2015. At the time of the Amendment, the \$18,000 outstanding on the original \$24,000 term loan was paid in full utilizing proceeds from the Line of Credit. In addition, the Line of Credit includes a letter of credit sub-feature that allows the Company to issue standby commercial letters of credit against the Line of Credit, not to exceed at any time an aggregate of \$5,000. During the three and nine months ending September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company did not issue any standby commercial letters of credit.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

As consideration for entering into the Amendment, the Company paid Wells Fargo an amendment fee of \$30 as well as reasonable legal and collateral examination fees.

Borrowings and repayments under the Line of Credit may occur from time to time in the Company's ordinary course of business through December 1, 2015. Any outstanding borrowings under the Line of Credit mature and are due on December 1, 2015.

The outstanding principal balance under the Line of Credit bears interest at a fluctuating rate per annum equal to the sum of the (1) LIBOR margin established under the Credit Agreement (with the initial LIBOR margin being set at 1.25%) and (2) Daily Three Month LIBOR (as defined in the Credit Agreement) in effect from time to time. Each change in the rate of interest will become effective on each business day on which a change in Daily Three Month LIBOR is announced by Wells Fargo.

Pursuant to the terms of the Amendment, Wells Fargo will adjust the LIBOR margin used to determine the rate of interest under the Line of Credit on a quarterly basis. The applicable LIBOR margin is calculated based on the Company's ratio of Total Liabilities to Tangible Net Worth (as these terms are defined in the Credit Agreement) in accordance with the following table:

Total Liabilities to Tangible Net Worth	Applicable LIBOR Margin
1.00 or greater	1.25 %
.65 or greater, but less than 1.00	1.00 %
Less than .65	0.75 %

Under the Line of Credit, each adjustment is effective on the first business day of the Company's fiscal quarter following the quarter during which Wells Fargo receives and reviews the Company's most current fiscal quarter-end

financial statements in accordance with the requirements established in the Credit Agreement.

In addition, under the Amendment the Company pays Wells Fargo a quarterly fee based on the average unused amount of the Line of Credit depending on the Company's ratio of Total Liabilities to Tangible Net Worth (as these terms are defined in the Credit Agreement) based on the following table:

Leverage Ratio	Applicable Unused Commitment Fee (per annum)	
1.00 or greater	0.35	%
.65 or greater, but less than 1.0 0	0.25	%
Less than .65	0.15	%

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, \$23 and \$67, respectively, in unused line fees was incurred and included as a component of interest expense in the consolidated statement of operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, \$22 and \$59, respectively, in unused line fees was incurred and included as a component of interest expense in the consolidated statement of operations.

At September 30, 2014 and 2013, the weighted average interest rate on all outstanding borrowings under the Line of Credit was 1.00% and 1.63%, respectively. At September 30, 2014 and 2013, the effective interest rate was 1.11% and 2.31%, respectively.

The Company originally incurred and capitalized \$238 of direct costs related to the establishment of the Credit Agreement. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company amortized \$17 and \$49, respectively of these loan costs, which is included as a component of interest expense in the consolidated statement of operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company amortized \$30 and \$90, respectively of these loan costs, which is included as a component of interest expense in the consolidated statement of operations.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

The Company amortizes deferred loan costs under the straight-line method. The carrying value of deferred loan costs at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, was \$77 and \$126, respectively, and is included as a component of noncurrent other assets in the consolidated balance sheet.

The Company is subject to a number of financial and non-financial debt covenants under the Credit Agreement. At September 30, 2014, the Company obtained a debt covenant waiver from Wells Fargo related to the financial debt covenant in the Credit Agreement that requires that the Company achieve a balance of Adjusted EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement) of not less than \$30,000 at quarter-end calculated on a rolling four quarter basis (the Company achieved less than the \$30,000 requirement for the September 30, 2014 covenant calculation).

In addition, subsequent to September 30, 2014, the Company and Wells Fargo agreed to amend the Credit Agreement ("2014 Amendment"). The 2014 Amendment (1) reduces the Line of Credit facility from \$60,000 to \$25,000, (2) revises the Adjusted EBITDA covenant such that the new covenant requires the Company to achieve Adjusted EBITDA of not less than \$15,000 on a rolling four quarter basis at December 31, 2014, \$17,500 at March 31, 2015, \$20,000 at June 30, 2015, and \$30,000 at September 30, 2015; and (3) adjusts the asset test ratio covenant such that inventory can only constitute \$12,500 of the assets included in the calculation and increases the minimum threshold from 1.70 to 2.00. The Company expects to be compliant with the updated covenants throughout the remaining term of the Credit Agreement. Given this, at September 30, 2014, the Company was in compliance with all covenants associated with the Credit Agreement.

Payment in full under the Credit Agreement is due on December 1, 2015. At September 30, 2014, the balance on the line of credit was \$813.

(6)

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Common Stock Options

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company granted no stock option awards.

The Company recorded share-based compensation expense only for those options that are expected to vest. The estimated fair value of the stock options is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which is generally the vesting term of the award. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company recorded equity-based compensation expense related to stock options of \$0 and \$28, respectively. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recorded equity-based compensation expense related to stock options of \$65 and \$227, respectively.

Restricted Stock

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company granted 36 and 507 shares of restricted stock, respectively. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company granted 55 and 320 shares of restricted stock, respectively. The shares of restricted stock granted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, were estimated to have a weighted-average fair value per share of \$5.75 and \$4.68, respectively. The shares of restricted stock granted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, were estimated to have a weighted-average fair value per share of \$4.91 and \$7.01, respectively. The fair value of the restricted stock granted is based on the closing share price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The shares of restricted stock vest annually on a straight-line basis over a 12-month to three-year vesting term, depending on the terms of the individual grant.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

As part of the 2014 grants discussed above the Company granted 113 restricted stock units to certain Company executives. These restricted stock units only vest upon the Company's achievement of certain thresholds established by the Board of Directors for net sales, Adjusted EBITDA, and earnings per share for fiscal year 2014. If the Company achieves the target net sales amount, Adjusted EBITDA, and earnings per share, all 113 restricted stock units will vest under the terms of the grant. If the Company achieves less than 100% of the target, but above 85%, the number of vested restricted stock units will decrease proportionately. However, if the Company's performance is below 85% of the target, all restricted stock units will be forfeited. If the Company achieves more than 100% of the target, but below 115%, the number of vested restricted stock units will increase proportionately, though will not exceed 115% of the target. The target amount of net sales, Adjusted EBITDA, and earnings per share are evaluated individually for achievement. As of September 30, 2014, management believes it is probable that it will only achieve a portion of the target and has thus recorded an adjustment during the period to proportionately reduce the compensation expense previously recorded based on the restricted stock units expected to vest. The adjustment recorded during the third quarter totaled \$69.

The Company recorded share-based compensation expense only for those shares of restricted stock that are expected to vest. The estimated fair value of the restricted stock is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which is generally the vesting term of the award. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company recorded equity-based compensation expense related to restricted stock of \$506 and \$1,649, respectively, which is included as a component of selling, general, and administrative expense. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recorded equity-based compensation expense related to restricted stock of \$800 and \$2,934, respectively, which is included as a component of selling, general, and administrative expense.

During the third quarter of 2014, a ZAGG employee elected to receive a net amount of shares upon the vesting of a restricted stock unit grant in exchange for the Company incurring the tax liability for the fair value of the award on the vesting date. This resulted in the Company recording \$33 in compensation expense, with the offset being originally recorded to accrued wages and wage related expenses rather than to additional paid-in capital.

(7)

INCOME TAXES

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company's effective tax rate was 34% and 16%, respectively. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company's effective tax rate was 43% and 40%, respectively. The Company's effective tax rate will generally differ from the U.S. Federal Statutory rate of 35%, due to state taxes, permanent items, and the Company's global tax strategy. During 2014, the consolidated net loss for the three and nine month periods combined with certain tax credits resulted in a net tax benefit different from our historical effective tax rate. All earnings at foreign locations are considered to be permanently re-invested for tax purposes.

(8) EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

Basic earnings per common share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income by weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share reflect the potential dilution that could occur if stock options and restricted stock, or other common stock equivalents were exercised or converted into common stock. The dilutive effect of stock options or other common stock equivalents is calculated using the treasury stock method.

The following is a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator used to calculate basic earnings per share and diluted earnings (loss) per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Three months ended	
	September	September
	30,	30, 2013
	2014	
Net income (loss)	\$(4,319)	\$ 3,184
Weighted average shares outstanding	30,312	30,926
Dilutive effect of warrants, restricted stock and stock options	0	540
Diluted shares	30,312	31,466
Earnings (loss) per share:		
Basic	\$(0.14)	\$ 0.10
Dilutive	\$(0.14)	\$ 0.10

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES**Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)****(Unaudited)**

	Nine months ended	
	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013
Net income (loss)	\$(2,539)	\$ 6,834
Weighted average shares outstanding	30,379	30,905
Dilutive effect of warrants, restricted stock and stock options	0	588
Diluted shares	30,379	31,493
Earnings (loss) per share:		
Basic	\$(0.08)	\$ 0.22
Dilutive	\$(0.08)	\$ 0.22

As the Company was in a net loss position for three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, all warrants, restricted stock, and stock options were not considered in calculating diluted earnings per share because the effect would be anti-dilutive. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the number of antidilutive equity awards totaled 870 and 836, respectively.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, warrants, restricted stock, and stock options to purchase 569 and 651 shares of common stock were not considered in calculating diluted earnings per share because the warrant or stock option exercise prices or the total expected proceeds under the treasury stock method for the warrants, restricted stock, or stock options was greater than the average market price of common shares during the period and, therefore, the effect would be anti-dilutive.

(9)**TREASURY STOCK**

In each of fiscal year 2014 and 2013, the Company's board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$10,000 of the Company's outstanding common stock. The Company's board of directors also authorized the Company to enter into a Rule 10b5-1 plan when appropriate. As of September 30, 2014, \$7,512 remains available from the original authorized amount of \$10,000.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 the Company purchased zero and 556 shares, respectively, of ZAGG Inc common stock. Cash consideration paid for the purchase of ZAGG Inc common stock for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$2,488, which included commissions paid to brokers of \$16, for a weighted average price per share of \$4.45. The consideration paid has been recorded within stockholders' equity in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 the Company purchased zero and 797 shares, respectively, of ZAGG Inc common stock. Cash consideration paid for the purchase of ZAGG Inc common stock for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$5,999, which included commissions paid to brokers of \$24, for a weighted average price per share of \$7.50. The consideration paid has been recorded within stockholders' equity in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

(10)

NOTE RECEIVABLE

In June 2008, Lorence Harmer became a member of the Company's board of directors and in December 2009, was appointed as the chairman of the Audit Committee. Mr. Harmer introduced the Company to a consumer electronics product, which became known as the ZAGGbox. The ZAGGbox was intended to aggregate digital content such as music, pictures, videos, and movies into a single location so that users could share the content with most other networked media players, including mobile devices. After investigating the market opportunity for the ZAGGbox, the Company determined in June 2009 that it wished to obtain certain rights for the development and sale of the ZAGGbox in North America. The Company entered into negotiations with Teleportall, LLC ("Teleportall"), the owner of the technology used in the ZAGGbox, regarding production and distribution of the ZAGGbox. On June 17, 2009, the Company issued its initial purchase order for ZAGGbox units in the amount of \$3,500 and advanced to Teleportall a total of \$1,153 representing a \$200 non-recurring engineering (NRE) fee and \$953 in payment of 30% of the total purchase price for the units ordered by the Company. Mr. Harmer participated in the negotiations between the Company and Teleportall, and continued to represent the Company throughout 2009 and 2010 concerning the ZAGGbox. In May 2010, the Company entered into a Distribution and License Agreement with Teleportall, which memorialized Teleportall's agreement to manufacture and deliver ZAGGboxes to the Company and appointed the Company as the exclusive distributor for the ZAGGbox in North America. Additionally, in May 2010, the Company entered into an agreement with Harmer Holdings, LLC ("Holdings"), an affiliate of Mr. Harmer, under which Holdings agreed to repurchase unsold ZAGGboxes under certain circumstances.

Teleportall proceeded to develop the ZAGGbox and provided periodic progress reports to the Company. However, Teleportall did not deliver the product in time for the 2009 Christmas selling season. Subsequently, during the December 1, 2009, meeting of the Board of Directors of the Company, Mr. Harmer disclosed to the other members of the Board that he owned an interest in Teleportall. After a discussion about his financial interest in Teleportall during that meeting, Mr. Harmer stated he was willing to divest himself of any ownership in Teleportall, and the Board of Directors voted unanimously to accept Mr. Harmer's proposal that he would do so, and assumed thereafter that Mr. Harmer had completed his divestiture.

The development of the product continued in 2010 with the expectation that the product would be delivered in time for the 2010 Christmas selling season. The Company made additional payments for long lead-time parts to Teleportall in the aggregate amount of \$2,747. When it became obvious to the Company that the product would not be ready to market and sell during the 2010 Christmas season, the Company commenced discussions to restructure the

Distribution and License Agreement with Teleportall. During the course of those discussions, the Company learned in January 2011 that Mr. Harmer did not divest himself of any interest in Teleportall following the December 2009 meeting of the Board of Directors of the Company where he agreed to do so, but retained an indirect ownership interest of 25% in Teleportall as well as other entities potentially affiliated with the ZAGGbox. As a result of the foregoing, the Company entered into an agreement with Teleportall, Mr. Harmer and several entities owned or controlled by Mr. Harmer (the "Harmer Agreement"), dated March 23, 2011, but subject to further negotiations and ratification through April 5, 2011. Pursuant to the Harmer Agreement, the parties agreed to terminate the Distribution and License Agreement on the following terms:

Mr. Harmer, Teleportall, and certain of their affiliates delivered a promissory note (the "Note") dated March 23, 2011, to the Company in the original principal amount of \$4,126 which accrues interest at the rate of LIBOR plus 4% per annum (adjusted quarterly) payable as follows: (i) interest only payments (a) on September 23, 2011, and (b) thereafter on or before the last day of each calendar quarter, (ii) 50% of the net profits of each ZAGGbox sale by Teleportall and its affiliates to be applied, first, to accrued interest and, second, to the principal balance of the Note, and (iii) the unpaid balance of principal and interest due in full on March 23, 2013. The principal amount of the Note is equal to the aggregate amount of the payments made by the Company to Teleportall plus the internal cost of the ZAGGbox project incurred by the Company. The Note is secured by certain real property, interests in entities that own real property and restricted and free-trading securities.

Teleportall and the Company entered into a License Agreement on March 23, 2011 under which the Company licensed to Teleportall the use of certain ZAGG names and trademarks to sell and distribute the ZAGGbox product. Teleportall agreed to pay ZAGG a 10% royalty on net sales of ZAGGboxes per calendar quarter as a license fee.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

Teleportall and ZAGG entered into a non-exclusive, two year Commission Agreement on March 23, 2011, under which Teleportall could make introductions of many ZAGG products in all countries where ZAGG did not then have exclusive dealing agreements in respect of the marketing, distribution or sale of its products. The Commission Agreement provided that (a) it would automatically terminate concurrent with any uncured default under the Note, and (b) the term could be extended for an additional time period on reasonable terms if Teleportall's introductions during the initial two-year term resulted in the purchase of no less than \$25,000 of ZAGG products during the initial term. Payment terms of the Commission Agreement are as follows:

10.0% commission payments on orders received by the Company from retailers and distributors first introduced to the Company by Teleportall during the first 60 days after the introduction is made (the "Load-in Period") to be split 50/50 between cash to Teleportall and principal payments on the Note. However, all commission payments will be paid to ZAGG if Teleportall is in breach of the terms of the Note or any other agreements between the parties;

3.0% commission on all orders within the first 24 months after the Load-in Period, and 2.0% thereafter, from retailers and distributors first introduced to the Company as described under the terms set forth in the preceding bullet point. The 3.0% and 2.0% commissions will be split 50/50 between cash to Teleportall and principal payments on the Note; and

3.0% commission on all orders generated in countries where Teleportall is paid commission under the terms of the preceding two bullet points (excluding the United States), regardless of Teleportall's involvement in ZAGG's receipt of the order until the first to occur of (i) payment in full of the Note, (ii) termination of the Commission Agreement or (iii) 24 months after the applicable Load-in Period.

No revenue has been recognized from Teleportall.

As part of the Harmer Agreement, the Company modified a previously granted stock option award to Mr. Harmer, which resulted in a charge of \$1,560 that was recorded in the second quarter of 2011. The charge was recorded in the second quarter of 2011 due to further negotiations and ratification on April 5, 2011. The further negotiations concerned the restricted legend placed on 80 shares of stock subject to repayment of the Note.

The Note was originally accounted for under the cost recovery method and was originally included in the consolidated balance sheet at \$3,900 which was the value of the ZAGGbox inventory advances. The original face value of the Note of \$4,126 was for reimbursement of the inventory advances and other costs associated with the ZAGGbox and approximated fair value at March 23, 2011, as the variable interest rate on the Note approximated market rates.

On September 20, 2011, and prior to the due date of the first interest-only payment due on the Note, Mr. Harmer and two of his affiliates, Holdings and Teleportall, filed a lawsuit in Utah state court against the Company, Robert G. Pedersen, II (ZAGG's former CEO), Brandon T. O'Brien (ZAGG's CFO) and KPMG LLP (ZAGG's independent registered public accounting firm). KPMG LLP and Messrs. Pedersen and O'Brien were subsequently dismissed from the lawsuit, as well as certain of the plaintiff's causes of action against the Company. In their lawsuit, the plaintiffs allege that the defendants defamed Mr. Harmer, breached the Harmer Agreement and interfered with other rights of the plaintiffs. The Company has responded to the plaintiffs' claims, denying all of the material allegations made by the plaintiffs. The Company believes the plaintiffs' claims to be without merit and intends to continue to vigorously defend against them.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

Subsequently, Mr. Harmer failed to make the required interest-only payment to the Company due on September 23, 2011. Mr. Harmer failed to cure the default and ZAGG commenced foreclosure on the collateral securing the loan, which consists of real property, interests in entities that own real property, and restricted and free-trading securities, which included 45 shares of ZAGG common stock. In addition to the collateral, Mr. Harmer had also agreed that he would not sell 80 shares of ZAGG common stock until two months after the Note was paid in full. Given the Note is full recourse, and the 80 shares are currently being held by the Third District Court in the State of Utah, the Company believes it can recover the 80 shares in partial payment of the Note balance.

Following Mr. Harmer's default on the loan, management determined that it was probable that the Company would be unable to collect all amounts due from Mr. Harmer according to the terms of the Note. As the Note became collateral-dependent upon Mr. Harmer's default, management engaged various third-party certified valuation specialists to assist management in its determination of the fair value of the collateral and whether it is sufficient to recover the Note balance. As of September 30, 2014, management determined that the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral was between \$1,434 and \$1,569. As management has not been able to ascertain whether Mr. Harmer owns 50% or 100% of Holdings, management used the low end of the above range (\$1,434) and compared it to the carrying amount of the Note of \$1,149. The remaining Note balance of \$1,149 appears to be collectable given management's best estimate of the cash recovery on the collateral securing the Note (fair value, less cost to sell) of \$1,434. Additionally, the Company has classified \$348 of the Note as an offset to equity, representing the collateral secured by ZAGG common stock, which management has taken steps to recover to repay the Note, as noted above. If a decrease in the amount of the Note classified as an offset to equity occurs as a result of a decrease in the stock price, the Company reclassifies the difference back to the Note to the extent that there is sufficient underlying collateral in excess of the book value. Ultimately, any recovery in excess of the carrying value of the Note will be recognized when realized.

The Company determined the fair values of the collateral of the Note, which required estimates and assumptions. Management determined the value of the 80 shares of ZAGG common stock held by Mr. Harmer based on quoted market prices. The real estate holdings were valued primarily based on the sales comparison approach as sales of comparable properties were utilized. The investments in real estate companies were valued utilizing comparable market sales, a discounted cash flow analysis, and other valuation methodologies management deemed to be appropriate.

Since the Note became collateral dependent in October 2011, management has (1) foreclosed and sold 45 shares of ZAGG common stock for \$496 (December 2011); (2) foreclosed on real property valued at \$250 (January 2012); and (3) foreclosed on stock and warrants in a private company of \$516 (May 2012). These foreclosures were recorded as a reduction to the Note in the period in which the foreclosure occurred. Management continues to actively pursue the foreclosure of all remaining collateral.

At September 30, 2014, the total unpaid principle balance, including accrued interest, late fees and costs incurred in collection, totaled \$4,594.

(11)

FAIR VALUE MEASURES

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company's financial instruments included cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, a note receivable, and a line of credit with Wells Fargo. The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximates fair value due to the short-term maturities of these financial instruments. The carrying value of the line of credit balance approximates fair value because the variable interest rates reflect current market rates.

In addition, as discussed in Note 10, management records an impairment on the note receivable if the fair value of the underlying collateral is less than the carrying amount. Management determined the fair value of assets that collateralize the note receivable, which includes real property, interests in entities that own real property, and 80 shares of the Company's stock currently being held by the Third District Court in the State of Utah. Management determined that the fair value of the collateral exceeded the carrying value of the note receivable at September 30, 2014.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures at fair value certain financial and non-financial assets by using a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date, essentially an exit price, based on the highest and best use of the asset or liability. The levels of the fair value hierarchy are:

Level 1 — Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 — Significant other observable inputs (e.g., quoted prices for similar items in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar items in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable such as interest rate and yield curves, and market-corroborated inputs); and

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting unit to develop its own assumptions.

At September 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, the following assets and liabilities were measured at fair value on a recurring basis using the level of inputs shown:

	Fair Value Measurements Using:		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	Inputs	Inputs	Inputs
September 30, 2014			

Edgar Filing: ZAGG Inc - Form 10-Q

Money market funds included in cash equivalents	\$ 280	\$280	-	-
---	--------	-------	---	---

	December 31, 2013	Fair Value Measurements Using: Level 1 Inputs	Level 2		Level 3	
			Inputs	Inputs	Inputs	Inputs
Money market funds included in cash equivalents	\$ 163	\$163	-	-	-	-

Non-Recurring Fair Value Measurements

The Company also measures the fair value of certain assets on a non-recurring basis, generally quarterly, annually or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. These assets include goodwill, intangible assets, property and equipment, asset purchase credits, and collateral securing the note receivable.

There were no assets held at September 30, 2014, measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis that resulted in a change in carrying value during the period.

The following table presents assets held as of December 31, 2013, measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis using the level of inputs shown at the time of impairment.

	December 31, 2013	Fair Value Measurements Using:		
		Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs
Goodwill	\$ -	-	-	\$-
iFrogz trademark	\$ 7,038	-	-	\$7,038

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

At December 31, 2013, management performed an impairment analysis and ultimately recorded an impairment of goodwill of \$1,484 and an impairment of the iFrogz trademark of \$9,762. Thus, the balances in the table above reflect the fair value at December 31, 2013. The fair value of goodwill and the iFrogz trademark were determined using various valuation methods, including the income and market approaches. Under the income approach, the estimate of the present value of expected future cash flows was based on discount rates which incorporate a risk premium to take into account the risks inherent in those expected cash flows. The expected cash flows were estimated using available historical operating data projected into the future based on the Company's current expectations. Various market approaches were utilized to determine appropriate royalty rates applicable to the valuation of the iFrogz trademark, to determine appropriate comparable company market multiples to estimate the value of the ZAGG Domestic reporting unit, and to estimate the overall value of the consolidated entity.

(12)

CONCENTRATIONS

Concentration of credit risk

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and accounts receivable. The Company places its cash with high credit quality financial institutions. The Company maintains its cash in bank deposit accounts, which, at times, exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts through September 30, 2014.

At September 30, 2014, approximately 70% of the balance of accounts receivable was due from three customers, each with a balance greater than 10% of the total accounts receivable balance. No other customer account balances were more than 10% of the balance of accounts receivable. At December 31, 2013, approximately 44% of the balance of accounts receivable was due from one customer. No other customer account balances were more than 10% of accounts receivable. If one or more of the Company's significant customers were to become insolvent or were otherwise unable to pay for the products provided, it would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Concentration of supplier

The Company's logistics partners arrange for production of its raw materials related to the InvisibleShield film products primarily from one source. Management is aware of similar raw materials that would be available from other sources if required and has current plans to immediately engage such resources if necessary. A change in supplier, however, could cause a delay in manufacturing and a possible loss of sales, which could adversely affect operating results.

Concentration of sales

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, two customers individually accounted for over 10% of the quarterly revenues at 32% and 14%. No other customer account balances were more than 10% of sales. For the three months ended September 30, 2013, two customers individually accounted for over 10% of the quarterly revenues at 26% and 14%. No other customer account balances were more than 10% of sales. If the Company loses one or more of the Company's significant customers, it would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The percentage of sales by geographic region for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, was approximately:

	Three months ended September 30, 2014		Three months ended September 30, 2013	
United States	91	%	88	%
Europe	6	%	7	%
Other	3	%	5	%

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES**Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)****(Unaudited)**

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, two customers individually accounted for over 10% of the quarterly revenues at 28% and 15%. No other customer account balances were more than 10% of sales. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, two customers individually accounted for over 10% of the quarterly revenues at 26% and 16%. No other customer account balances were more than 10% of sales. If the Company loses one or more of the Company's significant customers, it would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The percentage of sales by geographic region for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, was approximately:

	Nine months ended September 30, 2014		Nine months ended September 30, 2013	
United States	89	%	90	%
Europe	8	%	5	%
Other	3	%	5	%

(13)**COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES***Operating leases*

The Company leases office and warehouse space, office equipment, and mall cart locations under operating leases that expire through 2017. Future minimum rental payments required under the operating leases at September 30, 2014 are as follows:

Remaining 2014	\$319
2015	815
2016	696

Edgar Filing: ZAGG Inc - Form 10-Q

2017	302
2018 and thereafter	-
Total	\$2,132

For the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, rent expense was \$275 and \$327, respectively, net of sublease income of \$334 and \$252, respectively.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, rent expense was \$1,243 and \$1,163, respectively, net of sublease income of \$682 and \$753, respectively.

Commercial Litigation

Lorence A. Harmer, et al v ZAGG Inc et al, Third Judicial District Court, Salt Lake County, State of Utah, Civil No. 110917687. On September 20, 2011, Lorence A. Harmer, a former director of ZAGG and two of his affiliates, Harmer Holdings, LLC, and Teleportall, LLC, filed a lawsuit against the Company, Robert G. Pedersen II, Brandon T. O'Brien, and KPMG LLP. KPMG LLP was dismissed from the lawsuit in January 2012. The plaintiffs allege that the defendants defamed Mr. Harmer, breached a Settlement Agreement and other agreements between the plaintiffs (alleging claims for breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith, and fair dealing) and the Company, and interfered with other rights of the plaintiffs. The defendants denied all of the material allegations made by the plaintiffs. On October 29, 2012, the Company filed a Counterclaim and Third-Party Complaint against Harmer, Holdings, Teleportall and third-party Global Industrial Services Limited asserting claims for breach of contract, deficiency, indemnity and attorneys' fees, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, quasi contract, unjust enrichment, quantum meruit and declaratory judgment. On June 10, 2013, the court dismissed the plaintiffs' claims for defamation, negligence, tortious interference, and interference with prospective economic relations against the Company and all claims against Messrs. Pedersen and O'Brien. The Company is conducting discovery related to the plaintiff's remaining claims. The Company believes the plaintiffs' remaining claims of breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and declaratory relief to be without merit and intends to continue to vigorously defend against them. The plaintiffs have not yet made a specific damages claim.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

Patent Litigation

ZAGG Intellectual Property Holding Co. Inc. v. NLU Products et al, U.S. District Court, District of Utah, 2:11-cv-00517. On June 7, 2011, the Company filed a patent infringement lawsuit against NLU Products, LLC; Wrapsol, LLC; XO Skins, LLC; Fusion of Ideas, Inc.; Clear-Coat, LLC; Case-Ari, LLC; United SGP Corp.; Stealth Guards; Vituorsity Products, LLC; Skinomi LLC; Cellairis; Best Skins Ever; Headco, LLC; and Ghost Armor, LLC that seeks to enforce rights under United States Patent No. 7,957,524. The defendants have raised defenses and, in some cases, asserted counterclaims against the Company, that seek declarations of unenforceability or non-infringement of the patent. These counterclaims do not assert any claims for affirmative relief, including claims for damages, against the Company, apart from a request for an award of costs and attorneys' fees to the prevailing party. NLU Products, LLC; Wrapsol, LLC; XO Skins, LLC; Fusion of Ideas, Inc.; Clear-Coat, LLC; Case-Ari, LLC; United SGP Corp.; Stealth Guards; and Vituorsity Products, LLC have settled with the Company. Litigation of this action was stayed pending a reexamination of United States Patent No. 7,957,524 by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"). The reexamination led to amendments to the claims of the patent, and the USPTO issued a reexamination certificate. This case was administratively closed with leave to reopen. The Company is currently analyzing the various defendants' current product offerings in light of the reexamined claims.

ZAGG v. TrekStor, Regional Court, Dusseldorf, Germany. In September 2011, the Company brought suit in Dusseldorf, Germany against TrekStor for infringement of ZAGG design registrations for the ZAGGmate keyboard case and for unfair competition. After the Company completed briefing of its claims against TrekStor and presented its case at oral argument, TrekStor filed a separate proceeding alleging that it is the owner of the ZAGGmate keyboard case design. The Company's action against TrekStor was then stayed pending the resolution of TrekStor's case against the Company. On July 23, 2013, TrekStor's claims were dismissed and the Company was awarded its costs in that action. This dismissal was appealed and again decided in the Company's favor in a final decision by the appeals court. The oral hearing in the infringement matter was heard on July 1, 2014 during which the Court found in the Company's favor and granted injunctive relief as well as damages and costs in an amount to be determined. The Company is presently seeking entry of a judgment against Trekstor for its damages and costs. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of TrekStor's appeal will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

ZAGG Intellectual Property Holding Co v. Tech21 et al., U.S. District Court, District of Utah, 2:14-cv-00113-BCW. On February 18, 2014, ZAGG IP filed a complaint against Tech21, Ltd. alleging, among other things, that the defendant makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, and/or imports into the United States a kit for protecting a surface of an electronic device that infringes at least one claim of ZAGG IP's U.S. patent No. 8,567,596 entitled Electronic Device Protective Film Application Kit and Method (the "'596 Patent"). The defendant has not filed any counterclaims and no material determinations have been made by the court in this matter. This litigation is stayed pending resolution of Inter Partes Patent Review of the '596 Patent, in the USPTO.

ZAGG Intellectual Property Holding Co v. Superior Communications, Inc., U.S. District Court, District of Utah 2:14-cv-00121-TS. On February 19, 2014, ZAGG IP filed a complaint against Superior Communications, Inc. alleging, among other things, that the defendant makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, and/or imports into the United States kits for protecting a surface of an electronic device that infringe at least one claim of the '596 Patent. The defendant has not filed any counterclaims and no material determinations have been made by the court in this matter. This litigation is stayed pending resolution of Inter Partes Patent Review of the '596 Patent, in the USPTO.

ZAGG INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars, units and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

Class Action Lawsuits

James H. Apple, et al. v. ZAGG Inc, et al., U.S. District Court, District of Utah, 2:12-cv-00852; Ryan Draayer, et al. v. Zagg Inc, et al., U.S. District Court, District of Utah, 2:12-cv-00859. On September 6 and 10, 2012, two putative class action lawsuits were filed by purported Company shareholders against the Company, Randall Hales, Brandon O'Brien, and Cheryl Larabee, as well as Robert G. Pedersen II, the Company's former Chairman and CEO, and Edward Ekstrom and Shuichiro Ueyama, former members of the Company's Board of Directors. These lawsuits were subsequently amended by a complaint filed on May 6, 2013. The plaintiffs seek certification of a class of purchasers of the Company's stock between October 15, 2010 and August 17, 2012. The plaintiffs claim that as a result of Mr. Pedersen's alleged December 2011 margin account sales, the defendants initiated a succession plan to replace Mr. Pedersen as the Company's CEO with Mr. Hales, but failed to disclose either the succession plan or Mr. Pedersen's margin account sales, in violation of Sections 10(b), 14(a), and 20(a), and SEC Rules 10b-5 and 14a-9, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). On March 7, 2013, the U.S. District Court for the District of Utah (the "Court") consolidated the *Apple* and *Draayer* actions and assigned the caption *In re: Zagg, Inc. Securities Litigation*, and on May 6, 2013, plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint. On July 5, 2013, the defendants moved to dismiss the consolidated complaint. On February 7, 2014, the Court entered an order granting the Company's motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint. On February 25, 2014, plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal. On June 17, 2014, plaintiffs filed their opening appellate brief appealing the Courts decision with respect to some of their claims. The appeal is now pending in the U.S. Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

Arthur Morganstern v. Robert G. Pedersen II et al., Third Judicial District Court, Salt Lake County, State of Utah, Civil No. 120908452; Albert Pikk v. Robert G. Pedersen II et al., U.S. District Court, District of Utah, Case No. 2:12-cv-1188; Rosenberg v. Robert G. Pedersen II et al., U.S. District Court, District of Utah, Case No. 2:12-cv-1216. On December 14, 2012, the first of three shareholder derivative complaints were filed against several of the Company's current and former officers and directors. These complaints make allegations similar to those presented in the consolidated class action lawsuits, but they also assert various state law causes of action, including claims for breach of fiduciary duty, waste of corporate assets, unjust enrichment, and insider trading. Each of these derivative complaints seek unspecified damages on behalf of the Company, which is named solely as a nominal defendant against whom no recovery is sought. On February 26, 2013, the Court consolidated the *Pikk* and *Rosenberg* actions and assigned the caption *In re ZAGG Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation*, and on June 5, 2013, plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint. On April 4, 2014, the defendants moved to dismiss the consolidated complaint. On October 9, 2014, the Court entered an order granting dismissal of the consolidated complaint.

In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company received requests to provide documentation and information to the staff of the SEC in connection with a non-public investigation being conducted by the SEC's Salt Lake City office. The Company believes the investigation includes a review of the facts and circumstances surrounding some of the same issues raised by the plaintiffs in the above lawsuits; specifically, whether the Company failed to disclose Mr. Pedersen's margin account sales or the alleged existence of a plan to have Mr. Hales succeed Mr. Pedersen as the Company's CEO. The Company responded to these requests and is cooperating fully with the staff. The Company has chosen to disclose this non-public investigation due to the highly public nature of the lawsuits described above, which the Company intends to defend vigorously.

The Company is not a party to any other litigation or other material claims at this time. While the Company currently believes that the amount of any ultimate potential loss for known matters would not be material to the Company's financial condition, the outcome of these actions is inherently difficult to predict. In the event of an adverse outcome, the ultimate potential loss could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations in a particular period.

The Company establishes reserves when a particular contingency is probable and estimable. The Company has not accrued for any loss at September 30, 2014 in the consolidated financial statements as the Company does not consider a loss to be probable nor estimable. The Company faces contingencies that are reasonably possible to occur; however, the reasonably possible exposure to losses cannot currently be estimated.

**ITEM MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS
2. OF OPERATIONS**

Certain statements, other than purely historical information, including estimates, projections, statements relating to our business plans, objectives, and expected operating results, and the assumptions upon which those statements are based, are “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements generally are identified by the words “believes,” “project,” “expects,” “anticipates,” “estimates,” “forecasts,” “intends,” “strategy,” “plan,” “may,” “will,” “would,” “will be,” “will continue,” “will likely result,” and similar expressions. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe-harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and are including this statement for purposes of complying with those safe-harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties which may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse affect on our operations and future prospects include, but are not limited to: changes in economic conditions, legislative/regulatory changes, availability of capital, interest rates, competition, and generally accepted accounting principles. These risks and uncertainties should also be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Further information concerning our business, including additional factors that could materially affect our financial results, is included herein and in our other filings with the SEC.

Our Business

ZAGG Inc (“we,” “us,” “our,” “ZAGG,” or the “Company”) is headquartered in Salt Lake City, Utah, and has an international office located in Shannon, Ireland. The Company designs, produces, and distributes professional and premium creative product solutions such as InvisibleShield® screen protection, keyboards for tablet computers and mobile devices, keyboard cases, earbuds, mobile power solutions, cables, cases, Bluetooth speakers, and cleaning accessories for mobile devices under the ZAGG and InvisibleShield family of brands. In addition, the Company designs, produces, and distributes earbuds, headphones, Bluetooth speakers, Near-Field Audio amplifying speakers, cases, and cables for mobile devices under the iFrogz brand family in the fashion and youth oriented lifestyle sector.

We maintain our headquarters at 3855 South 500 West, Suites B, C, D, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, R and S, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84115. The telephone number of the Company is 801-263-0699. Our website addresses are www.zagg.com and www.ifrogz.com. Information contained on, or accessible through, our websites is not a part of, and is not incorporated by reference into this report.

Our Products

InvisibleShield – The “Protection” Brand

The InvisibleShield brand is focused on device protection. From protective screen film to cases, InvisibleShield screen protection offers customers a wide array of protection types and features, all with a lifetime guarantee.

Our films were developed originally to protect the leading edge of rotary blades for military helicopters. Through constant innovation, we continue to formulate new films that are designed to offer the highest standards in self-healing, scratch protection, and impact protection. We also continue to innovate customer installation methods like our patented Easy Apply Double Tabs.

All of our films are designed to provide long lasting protection for the surface of any electronic device subject to normal wear and tear. We accommodate a custom fit for thousands of device types as well as offer an automated OnDemand solution so retailers can supply customers with screen protection for both new and old device models without having to hold excess inventory.

In addition to our traditional InvisibleShield film products, we launched invisibleSHIELD Glass during the first quarter of 2014, which is designed to provide premium screen protection and clarity, along with a superior feel and touch sensitivity.

Along with our film and glass products, the InvisibleShield brand offers mobile device cases, like the popular Orbit case, which complement our InvisibleShield screen protection products.

We intend to continue to innovate our line of InvisibleShield products in future periods as the market changes.

ZAGG – The “Work” Brand

The ZAGG brand is for innovative and efficient, “tech-cessory” products. Our ZAGG products are designed to be professional, and feature premium design and materials that appeal to customers looking for the best possible mobile accessory solutions.

Keyboards

ZAGG Keyboards are designed to offer our customers an enhanced and innovative productivity experience. Since entering this category in 2010, ZAGG has continually reinvented its product line of keyboards, while also providing timely solutions for new devices released by Apple, Samsung, and other leading mobile device manufacturers. In addition to device specific keyboards and folio keyboard cases, ZAGG has produced a universal line of full size Bluetooth keyboards compatible with virtually any mobile operating system.

We expect to continue to innovate and expand our Bluetooth keyboard product lines as end users’ requirements evolve in this rapidly changing market segment.

Power

The ZAGGsparq family of power products enables users to reliably charge portable electronic devices while on the go or at home.

Audio

ZAGG Audio products were first released in late 2008, and continue to focus on innovation and superior value. Key ZAGG Audio products include the award-winning ZAGGsmartbuds and the ZRSix earbuds (featuring Reflective Acoustics™).

iFrogz – The “Play” Brand

The iFrogz brand is for fun, clever, and youthful mobile accessory products. The brand has had success in a variety of categories with large retailers in and outside of the United States. iFrogz became part of the ZAGG family in 2011.

Audio

In 2007, iFrogz released its first audio products under the EarPollution product line. The eclectic selection of earbuds and headphones specifically targeted a younger demographic, but still appealed to a wide spectrum of consumers. Since the initial launch of the EarPollution™ audio line, iFrogz has continued to innovate and expand its headphone and earbud products to include a large number of offerings for all ages under both the EarPollution and iFrogz brands.

Starting in 2013, the iFrogz Audio line began including portable Bluetooth speakers that combine clever functionality and a playful look with impressive audio quality.

Cases

iFrogz began manufacturing cases in 2006, initially for the Apple iPod. These unique cases were well received by the market due to their blend of fashion, quality, and design. Initially, all sales were online, but in early 2007 iFrogz began distributing its case products through large retailers and began more firmly establishing itself as a youthful and fashion-oriented brand. Since 2007, the iFrogz case offerings have expanded to include a wide array of sleek and stylish cases for new generations of Apple iPod, iPhone, iPad, and Samsung Galaxy smartphones and tablets.

Power

iFrogz power products cover a wide array of configurations. These colorful chargers, power banks, and cables offer tremendous value for a wide demographic of consumers.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our financial statements requires that we make estimates and judgments. We base these on historical experience and on other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable. Our critical accounting policies are discussed in Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. There have been no material changes to the critical accounting policies previously disclosed in that report.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Information regarding recent accounting pronouncements is contained in Note 1 “Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation” to the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Results of Operations

THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014 AND 2013 (amounts in thousands, except per share data)

Net sales

Net sales for the quarter ended September 30, 2014, were \$60,013 as compared to net sales of \$49,869 for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, an increase of \$10,144 or 20%.

The percentage of sales related to our key product lines for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, was approximately:

	Three months ended September 30, 2014		Three months ended September 30, 2013	
Screen Protection	47	%	47	%
Keyboards	30	%	28	%
Audio	10	%	14	%

The percentage of sales related to our key distribution channels for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, was approximately:

	Three months ended September 30, 2014		Three months ended September 30, 2013	
Indirect channel	90	%	84	%
Website	4	%	8	%
Mall cart and kiosk program	6	%	8	%

The increase in revenue comparing the three months ended September 30, 2014 to 2013 was primarily related to original equipment manufacturer (“OEM”) device launches during the third quarter of 2014 that were much more significant to the Company than the OEM device launches in the third quarter of 2013. In addition, the expansion of product assortments, and increased in-store merchandising and product displays at key retailers has resulted in increased sell through and overall demand for our products.

Gross profit

Cost of sales includes raw materials, finished goods purchased from manufacturers, inventory write-downs, packing materials, and shipping and fulfillment costs. Gross profit for the quarter ended September 30, 2014, was \$9,164 or approximately 15% of net sales, compared to \$22,101 or approximately 44% of net sales for the quarter ended September 30, 2013. The decrease in gross profit percentage is due to a significant inventory write-down of \$9,644 for certain products expected to be sold below their carrying value and expedited freight charges incurred during the period to meet customer demand. It was determined during the period that the inventory write-down was necessary based on our experience selling excess inventory through discount channels during the late third quarter of 2014 and a major original equipment manufacturer (“OEM”) device launch during the third quarter of 2014 that impacted our ability to sell certain products above their carrying value.

Operating expenses

Total operating expenses for the quarter ended September 30, 2014, were \$15,775, an increase of \$110 from total operating expenses for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, of \$15,665. The \$110 increase was primarily attributable to an increase in depreciation expense and salaries and benefits expense due to increases in headcount and the partial accrual of the Company’s annual bonus (no bonus was accrued and paid related to 2013). These increases were partially offset by declines related to advertising and marketing expenses due to a reduction in less effective online advertising, and stock-based compensation expenses from less overall grants to employees. Despite the overall increase in net sales, the Company has worked to contain operating costs during 2014.

Income (loss) from operations

We reported a loss from operations of \$(6,611) for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 compared to income from operations of \$6,436 for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, a decrease of \$13,047. The decrease in income from operations is primarily attributable to the significant inventory write-down for certain products expected to be sold below their carrying value and expedited freight charges incurred during the period to meet customer demand.

Other income (expense)

For the quarter ended September 30, 2014, total other income was \$50 compared to other expense of \$(821) for the quarter ended September 30, 2013. The change is primarily attributable to (1) the fact that no loss on equity-method investment was recorded during 2014 as the investment was changed from an equity-method to cost-method investment at December 31, 2013, and (2) a reduction in interest expense due to an overall reduction in outstanding debt.

Income taxes

We recognized an income tax benefit of \$2,242 for the quarter ended September 30, 2014, compared to income tax expense of \$2,431 for the quarter ended September 30, 2013. Our effective tax rate was 34% and 43% for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Our effective tax rate will generally differ from the U.S. Federal Statutory rate of 35%, due to state taxes, permanent items, and the impact of our global tax strategy. During 2014, the consolidated net loss for the three month period combined with certain tax credits resulted in a net tax benefit different than our historical effective tax rate.

Net income (loss)

As a result of these factors, we reported a net loss of \$(4,319) or \$(0.14) per share on a fully diluted basis for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 compared to net income of \$3,184 or \$0.10 per share on a fully diluted basis for the quarter ended September 30, 2013.

NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014 AND 2013 (amounts in thousands, except per share data)***Net sales***

Net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, were \$159,170 as compared to net sales of \$152,538 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of \$6,632 or 4%.

The percentage of sales related to our key product lines for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, was approximately:

	Nine months ended September 30, 2014	%	Nine months ended September 30, 2013	%
Screen Protection	40	%	43	%
Keyboards	34	%	29	%
Audio	14	%	17	%

The percentage of sales related to our key distribution channels for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, was approximately:

	Nine months ended September 30, 2014	%	Nine months ended September 30, 2013	%
Indirect channel	90	%	85	%
Website	5	%	9	%

Mall cart and kiosk program	5	%	6	%
-----------------------------	---	---	---	---

The increase in revenue comparing the nine months ended September 30, 2014 to 2013 was primarily related to OEM device launches during the third quarter of 2014 that were much more significant to the Company than the OEM device launches in third quarter of 2013. In addition, the expansion of product assortments, and increased in-store merchandising and product displays at key retailers has resulted in increased sell through and overall demand for our products.

Gross profit

Cost of sales includes raw materials, finished goods purchased from manufacturers, inventory write-downs, packing materials, and shipping and fulfillment costs. Gross profit for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, was \$43,729 or approximately 27% of net sales, as compared to \$62,634 or approximately 41% of net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease in gross profit percentage is due to a significant inventory write-down of \$9,644 during the third quarter of 2014 for certain products expected to be sold below their carrying value, the product mix shift with screen protection making up a smaller percentage of overall sales compared to prior periods, and expedited freight charges incurred during the third quarter to meet customer demand. It was determined that the inventory write-down was necessary based on our experience selling excess inventory through discount channels during the late third quarter of 2014 and a major OEM device launch during the third quarter of 2014 that impacted our ability to sell certain products above their carrying value.

Operating expenses

Total operating expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, were \$46,821, a decrease of \$1,955 from total operating expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, of \$48,776. The \$1,955 decrease was primarily attributable to declines related to advertising and marketing expenses due to a reduction in less effective online advertising, and stock-based compensation expenses from less overall grants to employees. These declines were partially offset by an increase in salaries and benefits expense due to increases in headcount and the partial accrual of the Company's annual bonus (no bonus was accrued and paid related to 2013).

Income (loss) from operations

We reported a loss from operations of \$(3,092) for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to income from operations of \$13,858 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, a decrease of \$16,950. The decrease in income is primarily attributable to a significant inventory write-down for certain products expected to be sold below their carrying value, the product mix shift with screen protection making up a smaller percentage of overall sales in the period compared to prior periods, and expedited freight charges incurred during the third quarter to meet customer demand.

Other income (expense)

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, total other income was \$80 compared to other expense of \$(2,462) for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The change is primarily attributable to (1) the fact that no loss on equity-method investment was recorded during 2014 as the investment was changed from an equity-method to cost-method investment at December 31, 2013, and (2) a significant reduction in interest expense due to an overall reduction in outstanding debt.

Income taxes

We recognized an income tax benefit of \$473 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to income tax expense of \$4,562 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Our effective tax rate was 16% and 40% for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Our effective tax rate will generally differ from the U.S. Federal Statutory rate of 35%, due to state taxes, permanent items, and the impact of our global tax strategy. During 2014, the consolidated net loss for the nine month period combined with certain tax credits resulted in a net tax benefit different than our historical effective tax rate.

Net income (loss)

As a result of these factors, we reported a net loss of \$(2,539) or \$(0.08) per share on a fully diluted basis for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to net income of \$6,834 or \$0.22 per share on a fully diluted basis for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Liquidity and Capital Resources (in thousands)

At September 30, 2014, our principle sources of liquidity were cash generated by operations, cash on-hand, and an open Line of Credit with Wells Fargo. Our principle uses of cash have been to fund working capital requirements, make payments on outstanding debt, fund capital expenditures, and purchase shares of ZAGG Inc common stock.

Cash and cash equivalents on-hand decreased to \$7,104 on September 30, 2014, from \$15,031 on December 31, 2013, a decrease of \$7,927. The decrease in cash is largely the result of positive cash from operations during the first three quarters of 2014, offset by \$16,730 in net payments on the Line of Credit and \$2,488 in cash used to purchase treasury stock during the first quarter of 2014. Earnings from foreign operations are considered permanently re-invested and of the \$7,104 cash balance on September 30, 2014, cash from foreign entities totaled \$6,556, which constituted 92% of the total cash and cash equivalents balance.

Accounts receivable at September 30, 2014 were \$55,104, as compared to \$46,591 million at December 31, 2013. Day's sales outstanding ("DSOs") at September 30, 2014 were 84.5 compared to 64.2 at December 31, 2013. The increase in DSOs is due to the significant increase in sales near the end of the quarter from normal seasonality and a major OEM launch at the end of September 2014.

At September 30, 2014, we had working capital of \$67,189 compared to \$83,385 as of December 31, 2013. The decrease is primarily attributable to the \$16,730 in net payments on the Line of Credit and \$2,488 in cash used to purchase treasury stock during the first quarter of 2014. These decreases were partially offset by positive cash flow from operating activities.

Based on the current level of operations, we believe that cash generated from operations, cash on hand, and available borrowings under existing credit arrangements will be adequate to fund expected capital expenditures and working capital needs for the next 12 months. However, we operate in a rapidly evolving and often unpredictable business environment that may change the timing or amount of expected future cash receipts and expenditures. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that we may not be required to raise additional funds through the sale of equity or debt securities or from debt facilities. Additional capital, if needed, may not be available on satisfactory terms, if at all.

Debt and Letters of Credit

On December 20, 2013, the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (“Wells Fargo”), entered into a First Amendment to Credit Agreement (“Amendment”), which modifies a Credit Agreement (the “Credit Agreement”) entered into between the Company and Wells Fargo on December 7, 2012.

The Amendment retains the \$60,000 revolving line of credit facility (“Line of Credit”) and extends the maturity date from December 1, 2014 to December 1, 2015. At the time of the Amendment, the \$18,000 outstanding on the original \$24,000 term loan was paid in full utilizing proceeds from the Line of Credit. In addition, the Line of Credit includes a letter of credit sub-feature that allows the Company to issue standby commercial letters of credit against the Line of Credit, not to exceed at any time an aggregate of \$5,000. During the three and nine months ending September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company did not issue any standby commercial letters of credit.

As consideration for entering into the Amendment, the Company paid Wells Fargo an amendment fee of \$30 as well as reasonable legal and collateral examination fees.

Borrowings and repayments under the Line of Credit may occur from time to time in the Company’s ordinary course of business through December 1, 2015. Any outstanding borrowings under the Line of Credit mature and are due on December 1, 2015.

The outstanding principal balance under the Line of Credit bears interest at a fluctuating rate per annum equal to the sum of the (1) LIBOR margin established under the Credit Agreement (with the initial LIBOR margin being set at

1.25%) and (2) Daily Three Month LIBOR (as defined in the Credit Agreement) in effect from time to time. Each change in the rate of interest will become effective on each business day on which a change in Daily Three Month LIBOR is announced by Wells Fargo.

Pursuant to the terms of the Amendment, Wells Fargo will adjust the LIBOR margin used to determine the rate of interest under the Line of Credit on a quarterly basis. The applicable LIBOR margin is calculated based on the Company's ratio of Total Liabilities to Tangible Net Worth (as these terms are defined in the Credit Agreement) in accordance with the following table:

Total Liabilities to Tangible Net Worth	Applicable LIBOR Margin
1.00 or greater	1.25%
.65 or greater, but less than 1.00	1.00%
Less than .65	0.75%

Under the Line of Credit, each adjustment is effective on the first business day of the Company's fiscal quarter following the quarter during which Wells Fargo receives and reviews the Company's most current fiscal quarter-end financial statements in accordance with the requirements established in the Credit Agreement.

In addition, under the Amendment the Company pays Wells Fargo a quarterly fee based on the average unused amount of the Line of Credit depending on the Company's ratio of Total Liabilities to Tangible Net Worth (as these terms are defined in the Credit Agreement) based on the following table:

Leverage Ratio	Applicable Unused Commitment Fee (per annum)
1.00 or greater	0.35%
.65 or greater, but less than 1.00	0.25%
Less than .65	0.15%

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, \$23 and \$67, respectively, in unused line fees had been incurred and was included as a component of interest expense in the consolidated statement of operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, \$22 and \$59, respectively, in unused line fees had been incurred and was included as a component of interest expense in the consolidated statement of operations.

At September 30, 2014 and 2013, the weighted average interest rate on all outstanding borrowings under the Line of Credit was 1.00% and 1.63%, respectively. At September 30, 2014 and 2013, the effective interest rate was 1.11% and 2.31%, respectively.

The Company originally incurred and capitalized \$238 of direct costs related to the establishment of the Credit Agreement. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company amortized \$17 and \$49, respectively of these loan costs, which is included as a component of interest expense in the consolidated statement of operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company amortized \$30 and \$90, respectively of these loan costs, which is included as a component of interest expense in the consolidated statement of operations.

The Company amortizes deferred loan costs under the straight-line method. The carrying value of deferred loan costs at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, was \$77 and \$126, respectively, and is included as a component of noncurrent other assets in the consolidated balance sheet.

The Company is subject to a number of financial and non-financial debt covenants under the Credit Agreement. At September 30, 2014, the Company obtained a debt covenant waiver from Wells Fargo related to the financial debt covenant in the Credit Agreement that require that the Company achieve a balance of Adjusted EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement) of not less than \$30,000 at quarter-end calculated on a rolling four quarter basis (the Company achieved less than the \$30,000 requirement for the September 30, 2014 covenant calculation).

In addition, subsequent to September 30, 2014, the Company and Wells Fargo agreed to amend the Credit Agreement (“2014 Amendment”). The 2014 Amendment (1) reduces the Line of Credit facility from \$60,000 to \$25,000, (2) revises

the Adjusted EBITDA covenant such that the new covenant requires the Company to achieve Adjusted EBITDA of not less than \$15,000 on a rolling four quarter basis at December 31, 2014, \$17,500 at March 31, 2015, \$20,000 at June 30, 2015, and \$30,000 at September 30, 2015; and (3) adjusts the asset test ratio covenant such that inventory can only constitute \$12,500 of the assets included in the calculation and increases the minimum threshold from 1.70 to 2.00. The Company expects to be compliant with the updated covenants throughout the remaining term of the Credit Agreement. Given this, at September 30, 2014, the Company was in compliance with all covenants associated with the Credit Agreement (see additional discussion in Item 5 – Other Information of Part II).

Payment in full under the Credit Agreement is due on December 1, 2015. At September 30, 2014, the balance on the line of credit was \$813.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The following table provides information on our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2014:

	Payments on Debt	Interest on Debt	Operating Leases	Total contractual obligations
Remaining 2014	\$ —	\$ 2	\$ 319	\$ 321
2015	813	8	815	1,636
2016	—	—	696	696
2017	—	—	302	302
2018 and thereafter	—	—	—	—
Total	\$ 813	\$ 10	\$ 2,132	\$ 2,955

(1) Unrecognized uncertain tax benefits of \$443 are not included in the table above as we are not sure when the amount will be paid.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to certain market risks in the ordinary course of business. These risks result primarily from changes in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. In addition, international operations are subject to risks related to differing economic conditions, changes in political climate, differing tax structures and other regulations and restrictions.

To date we have not utilized derivative financial instruments or derivative commodity instruments. We do not expect to employ these or other strategies to hedge market risk in the foreseeable future. Cash is invested in money market funds, which are subject to minimal credit and market risk. We believe that the market risks associated with these financial instruments are immaterial, although there can be no guarantee that these market risks will be immaterial to us.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of the principal executive officer and principal financial officer evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15b under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") as of the end of the period covered by this Report. Based on this evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this Report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective and were designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be included in the reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported as specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

During the most recent fiscal quarter, there has not occurred any change in internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, internal control over financial reporting. The process for evaluating controls and procedures is continuous and encompasses constant improvement of the design and effectiveness of established controls and procedures and the remediation of any deficiencies which may be identified during this process.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Internal Controls

An internal control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the internal control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Certain of the legal proceedings in which we are involved are discussed in Note 13, "Commitments and Contingencies," to our Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and are hereby incorporated by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 (the "2013 Form 10-K"), which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The following information updates certain of our risk factors and should be read in conjunction with the risk factors disclosed in the 2013 Form 10-K. These risk factors should be read carefully in connection with evaluating our business and in connection with the forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Any of the risks described in the 2013 Form 10-K could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or future results and the actual outcome of matters as to which forward-looking statements are made. These are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, and/or operating results.

If the Company does not effectively manage the transition of its global supply change management functions to RR Donnelley, or RR Donnelley does not adequately perform the supply chain management services it has been engaged to provide, inventory receipts may be delayed, order fulfillment could be disrupted, supply chain expenses may increase, and customer relationship may be harmed, resulting in a disruption to the Company's operations and decreased profitability.

During the second quarter of 2014, the Company began the process of transitioning to RR Donnelley as the Company's new global supply chain provider and that transition is still ongoing. RR Donnelley has been engaged to perform warehousing, inventory receipt, order fulfillment, and shipping services for the Company. If the Company does not effectively manage the transition of its global supply change management functions to RR Donnelley, or RR Donnelley does not adequately perform the services it has been engaged to provide, inventory receipts may be delayed, order fulfillment could be disrupted, supply chain expenses may increase, and customer relationship may be harmed, resulting in a disruption to the Company's operations and decreased profitability.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds (dollars and shares in thousands)

There were no unregistered sales of equity securities during the third quarter of 2014.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

On November 4, 2014, the Company and Wells Fargo agreed to amend the Credit Agreement. The 2014 Amendment (1) reduces the Line of Credit facility from \$60,000 to \$25,000, (2) revises the Adjusted EBITDA covenant such that the new covenant requires the Company to achieve Adjusted EBITDA of not less than \$15,000 on a rolling four quarter basis at December 31, 2014, \$17,500 at March 31, 2015, \$20,000 at June 30, 2015, and \$30,000 at September 30, 2015; and (3) adjusts the asset test ratio covenant such that inventory can only constitute \$12,500 of the assets included in the calculation and increases the minimum threshold from 1.70 to 2.00. The Company expects to be compliant with the updated covenants throughout the remaining term of the Credit Agreement. Given this, at September 30, 2014, the Company was in compliance with all covenants associated with the Credit Agreement.

Item 6. Exhibits

a. Exhibits: The following Exhibits are filed with this Form 10-Q pursuant to Item 601(a) of Regulation S-K:

Exhibit No. Description of Exhibit

10.1 Second Amendment to Credit Agreement and Waiver of Default

10.2 Second Modification of Promissory Note

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Amended.

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Amended.

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S. C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S. C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

EX-101.INS XBRL Instance Document

EX-101.SCHXBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

EX-101.CALXBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase

EX-101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

EX-101.LABXBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase

EX-101.PREXBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

ZAGG INC

Date: November 5, 2014 /s/ **RANDALL L. HALES**

Randall L. Hales,
Chief Executive Officer, President, & Director
(Principal executive officer)

Date: November 5, 2014 /s/ **BRANDON T. O'BRIEN**

Brandon T. O'Brien,
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal financial officer)