

AMERICAN FINANCIAL GROUP INC  
Form 10-K  
February 28, 2014

---

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2013

Commission File No. 1-13653

AMERICAN FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

Incorporated under the Laws of Ohio

IRS Employer I.D. No. 31-1544320

301 East Fourth Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

(513) 579-2121

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Stock

New York Stock Exchange and Nasdaq Global  
Select Market

6-3/8% Senior Notes due June 12, 2042

New York Stock Exchange

5-3/4% Senior Notes due August 25, 2042

New York Stock Exchange

7% Senior Notes due September 30, 2050

New York Stock Exchange

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  
Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter: \$3.9 billion.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the Registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 89,560,038 shares (excluding 14.9 million shares owned by subsidiaries) as of February 1, 2014.

---

Documents Incorporated by Reference:

Proxy Statement for 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (portions of which are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof).



Table of ContentsAMERICAN FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.  
INDEX TO ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

	Page
FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	<u>1</u>
Part I	
Item 1 — Business	<u>2</u>
Item 1A — Risk Factors	<u>14</u>
Item 1B — Unresolved Staff Comments	none
Item 2 — Properties	<u>20</u>
Item 3 — Legal Proceedings	<u>20</u>
Item 4 — Mine Safety Disclosures	none
Part II	
Item 5 — Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	<u>21</u>
Item 6 — Selected Financial Data	<u>22</u>
Item 7 — Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>23</u>
Item 7A — Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>88</u>
Item 8 — Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	<u>90</u>
Item 9 — Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	none
Item 9A — Controls and Procedures	<u>90</u>
Item 9B — Other Information	none
Part III	
Item 10 — Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	<u>S-1</u>
Item 11 — Executive Compensation	<u>S-1</u>
Item 12 — Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	<u>S-1</u>
Item 13 — Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	<u>S-1</u>
Item 14 — Principal Accountant Fees and Services	<u>S-1</u>
Part IV	
Item 15 — Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	<u>S-1</u>

---

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The disclosures in this Form 10-K contain certain forward-looking statements that are subject to numerous assumptions, risks or uncertainties. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements. Some of the forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as “anticipates”, “believes”, “expects”, “projects”, “estimates”, “intends”, “plans”, “seeks”, “could”, “may”, “should”, “will” or the negative version of those words or other comparable terminology. Such forward-looking statements include statements relating to: expectations concerning market and other conditions and their effect on future premiums, revenues, earnings and investment activities; recoverability of asset values; expected losses and the adequacy of reserves for long-term care, asbestos, environmental pollution and mass tort claims; rate changes; and improved loss experience.

Actual results and/or financial condition could differ materially from those contained in or implied by such forward-looking statements for a variety of reasons including but not limited to the following and those discussed in Item 1A — Risk Factors.

- changes in financial, political and economic conditions, including changes in interest and inflation rates, currency fluctuations and extended economic recessions or expansions in the U.S. and/or abroad;
- performance of securities markets;
- AFG’s ability to estimate accurately the likelihood, magnitude and timing of any losses in connection with investments in the non-agency residential mortgage market;
- new legislation or declines in credit quality or credit ratings that could have a material impact on the valuation of securities in AFG’s investment portfolio;
- the availability of capital;
- regulatory actions (including changes in statutory accounting rules);
- changes in the legal environment affecting AFG or its customers;
- tax law and accounting changes;
- levels of natural catastrophes and severe weather, terrorist activities (including any nuclear, biological, chemical or radiological events), incidents of war or losses resulting from civil unrest and other major losses;
- development of insurance loss reserves and establishment of other reserves, particularly with respect to amounts associated with asbestos and environmental claims and AFG’s run-off long-term care business;
- availability of reinsurance and ability of reinsurers to pay their obligations;
- trends in persistency, mortality and morbidity;
- competitive pressures, including those in the annuity distribution channels;
- the ability to obtain adequate rates and policy terms; and
- changes in AFG’s credit ratings or the financial strength ratings assigned by major ratings agencies to AFG’s operating subsidiaries.

The forward-looking statements herein are made only as of the date of this report. The Company assumes no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements.

Table of ContentsPART I  
ITEM 1  
Business

## Introduction

American Financial Group, Inc. (“AFG” or the “Company”) is a holding company that, through the operations of Great American Insurance Group, is engaged primarily in property and casualty insurance, focusing on specialized commercial products for businesses, and in the sale of fixed and fixed-indexed annuities in the retail, financial institutions and education markets. Its address is 301 East Fourth Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202; its phone number is (513) 579-2121. SEC filings, news releases, AFG’s Code of Ethics applicable to directors, officers and employees and other information may be accessed free of charge through AFG’s Internet site at: [www.AFGinc.com](http://www.AFGinc.com). (Information on AFG’s Internet site is not part of this Form 10-K.)

## Property and Casualty Insurance Segment

## General

AFG’s property and casualty operations provide a wide range of commercial coverages through the approximately 30 niche insurance businesses that make up the Great American Insurance Group. AFG’s property and casualty insurance operations ultimately report to a single senior executive and operate under a business model that allows local decision-making for underwriting, claims and policy servicing in each of the niche operations. Each business is managed by experienced professionals in particular lines or customer groups and operates autonomously but with certain central controls and accountability. The decentralized approach allows each unit the autonomy necessary to respond to local and specialty market conditions while capitalizing on the efficiencies of centralized investment and administrative support functions. AFG’s property and casualty insurance operations employed approximately 5,300 people as of December 31, 2013. These operations are conducted through the subsidiaries listed in the following table, which includes independent ratings and 2013 net written premiums (in millions) for each major subsidiary. Ratings are generally based on concerns for policyholders and agents and are not directed toward the protection of investors. AFG believes that maintaining a rating in the “A” category by A.M. Best is important to compete successfully in most lines of business.

Company	Ratings		Net Written Premiums
	AM Best	S&P	
Great American Insurance Pool (*)	A+	A+	\$2,135
National Interstate	A	not rated	538
Republic Indemnity	A	A+	216
Marketform Lloyd’s Syndicate	A	A+	166
Mid-Continent Casualty	A+	A+	147
American Empire Surplus Lines	A+	A+	80
Other			59
			\$3,341

(\*) The Great American Insurance Pool represents Great American Insurance Company (“GAI”) and 10 subsidiaries.

The primary objectives of AFG’s property and casualty insurance operations are to achieve solid underwriting profitability and provide excellent service to its policyholders and agents. Underwriting profitability is measured by the combined ratio, which is a sum of the ratios of losses, loss adjustment expenses (“LAE”), underwriting expenses and

policyholder dividends to premiums. A combined ratio under 100% indicates an underwriting profit. The combined ratio does not reflect investment income, other income or federal income taxes.

While many costs included in underwriting are readily determined (commissions, administrative expenses and many of the losses on claims reported), the process of determining overall underwriting results is highly dependent upon the use of estimates in the case of losses incurred or expected but not yet reported or developed. Actuarial procedures and projections are used to obtain “point estimates” of ultimate losses. While the process is imprecise and develops amounts which are subject to change over time, management believes that the liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses are adequate.

Table of Contents

AFG's statutory combined ratio averaged 94.1% for the period 2011 to 2013 as compared to 102.2% for the property and casualty industry over the same period (Source: "A.M. Best's U.S. Property/Casualty Review & Preview" — February 2014 Edition). AFG believes that its specialty niche focus, product line diversification and underwriting discipline have contributed to the Company's ability to consistently outperform the industry's underwriting results. Management's philosophy is to refrain from writing business that is not expected to produce an underwriting profit even if it is necessary to limit premium growth to do so.

Financial data is reported in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for shareholder and other investment purposes and reported on a statutory basis for insurance regulatory purposes. Major differences for statutory accounting include charging policy acquisition costs to expense as incurred rather than spreading the costs over the periods covered by the policies; reporting investment grade bonds and redeemable preferred stocks at amortized cost rather than fair value; netting of reinsurance recoverables and prepaid reinsurance premiums against the corresponding liabilities rather than reporting such items separately; and charging to surplus certain GAAP assets, such as furniture and fixtures and agents' balances over 90 days old.

Unless indicated otherwise, the financial information presented for the property and casualty insurance operations herein is presented based on GAAP. Statutory information is provided for industry comparisons or where comparable GAAP information is not readily available.

## Property and Casualty Results

Performance measures such as underwriting profit or loss and related combined ratios are often used by property and casualty insurers to help users of their financial statements better understand the company's performance. See Note C — "Segments of Operations" to the financial statements for the reconciliation of AFG's operating profit by significant business segment to the Statement of Earnings.

The following table shows the performance of AFG's property and casualty insurance operations (dollars in millions):

	2013	2012	2011	
Gross written premiums	\$4,805	\$4,321	\$4,106	
Ceded reinsurance	(1,464)	(1,372)	(1,336)	)
Net written premiums	\$3,341	\$2,949	\$2,770	
Net earned premiums	\$3,204	\$2,847	\$2,759	
Loss and LAE	1,986	1,842	1,694	
Special asbestos and environmental ("A&E") charges	54	31	50	
Underwriting expenses	1,019	887	835	
Underwriting gain	\$145	\$87	\$180	
GAAP ratios:				
Loss and LAE ratio	63.7	% 65.8	% 63.2	%
Underwriting expense ratio	31.8	% 31.1	% 30.2	%
Combined ratio	95.5	% 96.9	% 93.4	%
Statutory ratios:				
Loss and LAE ratio	62.2	% 63.2	% 60.4	%
Underwriting expense ratio	31.9	% 32.4	% 32.3	%
Combined ratio	94.1	% 95.6	% 92.7	%

Industry statutory combined ratio (a)

All lines	97.6	%	102.2	%	106.7	%
Commercial lines	98.3	%	104.4	%	106.7	%

(a) The source of the industry ratios is “A.M. Best’s U.S. Property/Casualty — Review & Preview” (February 2014 Edition).



Table of Contents

As with other property and casualty insurers, AFG’s operating results can be adversely affected by unpredictable catastrophe losses. Certain natural disasters (hurricanes, severe storms, earthquakes, tornadoes, floods, etc.) and other incidents of major loss (explosions, civil disorder, terrorist events, fires, etc.) are classified as catastrophes by industry associations. Losses from these incidents are usually tracked separately from other business of insurers because of their sizable effects on overall operations. Total net losses to AFG’s insurance operations from current accident year catastrophes were \$31 million in 2013, \$46 million in 2012 and \$43 million in 2011 and are included in the table above.

AFG generally seeks to reduce its exposure to catastrophes through individual risk selection, including minimizing coastal and known fault-line exposures, and the purchase of reinsurance. AFG’s exposure to a catastrophic earthquake or windstorm that industry models indicate could occur once in every 500 years (a “500-year event”) is expected to be less than 2.5% of AFG’s shareholders’ equity.

Property and Casualty Insurance Products

AFG is focused on growth opportunities in what it believes to be more profitable specialty businesses where AFG personnel are experts in particular lines of business or customer groups. The following are examples of AFG’s specialty businesses:

Property and Transportation

Inland and Ocean Marine

Provides coverage primarily for builders’ risk, contractors’ equipment, property, motor truck cargo, marine cargo, boat dealers, marina operators/dealers and excursion vessels.

Agricultural-related

Provides federally reinsured multi-peril crop (allied lines) insurance covering most perils as well as crop-hail, equine mortality and other coverages for full-time operating farms/ranches and agribusiness operations on a nationwide basis.

Commercial Automobile

Provides coverage for vehicles (such as buses and trucks) in a broad range of businesses including the moving and storage and transportation industries, and a specialized physical damage product for the trucking industry.

Specialty Casualty

Executive and Professional Liability

Markets coverage for directors and officers of businesses and non-profit organizations; errors and omissions; and provides non-U.S. medical malpractice insurance.

Umbrella and Excess Liability

Provides liability coverage in excess of primary layers.

Excess and Surplus

Provides liability, umbrella and excess coverage for unique, volatile or hard to place risks, using rates and forms that generally do not have to be approved by state insurance regulators.

General Liability

Provides coverage for contractor-related businesses, energy development and production risks, and environmental liability risks.

Targeted Programs

Includes coverage (primarily liability and property) for social service agencies, leisure, entertainment and non-profit organizations, customized solutions for other targeted markets and alternative risk programs using agency captives.

Workers’ Compensation

Provides coverage for prescribed benefits payable to employees who are injured on the job.

Specialty Financial

Fidelity and Surety	Provides fidelity and crime coverage for government, mercantile and financial institutions and surety coverage for various types of contractors and public and private corporations.
Lease and Loan Services	Provides coverage for insurance risk management programs for lending and leasing institutions, including equipment leasing and collateral and lender-placed mortgage property insurance.

Management believes specialization is the key element to the underwriting success of these business units. These specialty businesses are opportunistic and their premium volume will vary based on prevailing market conditions. AFG continually evaluates expansion in existing markets and opportunities in new specialty markets that meet its profitability objectives. For example, in January 2014, AFG announced that it had reached a definitive agreement to acquire Summit Holdings Southeast, Inc. and its related companies. Summit is a leading provider of workers' compensation solutions in the southeastern United

Table of Contents

States, with over \$500 million in net written premiums in 2013. Likewise, AFG will withdraw from markets that do not meet its profit objectives or business strategy, such as the withdrawal from certain program business in 2010 and 2011.

## Premium Distribution

The following table shows the net written premiums by sub-segment for AFG's property and casualty insurance operations for 2013, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in millions):

	2013	2012	2011
Property and transportation	\$1,547	\$1,473	\$1,436
Specialty casualty	1,224	992	867
Specialty financial	486	411	398
Other	84	73	69
	\$3,341	\$2,949	\$2,770

The geographic distribution of statutory direct written premiums by AFG's U.S.-based insurers for 2013, 2012 and 2011 is shown below. Approximately 5% of AFG's direct written premiums in 2013 were derived from non U.S.-based insurers, primarily Marketform, a United Kingdom-based Lloyd's insurer.

	2013	2012	2011		2013	2012	2011		
California	13.8	% 12.6	% 12.0	%	Georgia	2.3	% 2.4	% 2.4	%
Illinois	6.8	% 7.1	% 8.0	%	Indiana	2.3	% 2.6	% 2.9	%
Texas	6.8	% 6.9	% 6.8	%	New Jersey	2.3	% 2.1	% 2.1	%
New York	6.6	% 5.9	% 4.8	%	Pennsylvania	2.3	% 2.6	% 2.6	%
Florida	4.3	% 4.4	% 4.5	%	Ohio	2.1	% 2.3	% 2.4	%
Iowa	3.4	% 3.7	% 3.8	%	Oklahoma	2.1	% 2.2	% 2.0	%
Kansas	3.2	% 3.7	% 4.1	%	Nebraska	2.1	% 2.2	% 2.3	%
Missouri	3.1	% 2.9	% 3.2	%	North Dakota	2.1	% 2.1	% 2.2	%
South Dakota	2.7	% 2.5	% 2.7	%	Minnesota	2.0	% 2.1	% 2.1	%
Michigan	2.4	% 2.4	% 1.8	%	Other	24.9	% 25.0	% 25.1	%
North Carolina	2.4	% 2.3	% 2.2	%		100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	%

## Reinsurance

Consistent with standard practice of most insurance companies, AFG reinsures a portion of its property and casualty business with other insurance companies and assumes a relatively small amount of business from other insurers. AFG uses reinsurance for two primary purposes: (i) to provide higher limits of coverage than it would otherwise be willing to provide (i.e. large line capacity) and (ii) to protect its business by reducing the impact of catastrophes. The availability and cost of reinsurance are subject to prevailing market conditions, which may affect the volume and profitability of business that is written. AFG is subject to credit risk with respect to its reinsurers, as the ceding of risk to reinsurers does not relieve AFG of its liability to its insureds until claims are fully settled.

The commercial marketplace requires large policy limits (\$25 million or more) in several of AFG's lines of business, including certain executive and professional liability, umbrella and excess liability, and fidelity and surety coverages. Since these limits exceed management's desired exposure to an individual risk, AFG generally enters into reinsurance agreements to reduce its net exposure under such policies to an acceptable level. Reinsurance continues to be available for this large line capacity exposure with satisfactory pricing and terms.

AFG has taken steps to limit its exposure to wind and earthquake losses by purchasing catastrophe reinsurance. In addition, AFG purchases catastrophe reinsurance for its workers' compensation businesses. Although the cost of

catastrophe reinsurance varies depending on exposure and the level of worldwide loss activity, AFG continues to obtain reinsurance coverage in adequate amounts at acceptable rates due to management's decision to limit overall exposure to catastrophe losses through individual risk selection (including minimizing coastal and known fault-line exposures).

Table of Contents

In addition to the large line capacity and catastrophe reinsurance programs discussed above, AFG purchases reinsurance on a product-by-product basis. AFG regularly reviews the financial strength of its current and potential reinsurers. These reviews include consideration of credit ratings, available capital, claims paying history and expertise. This process periodically results in the transfer of risks to more financially secure reinsurers. Substantially all reinsurance is ceded to companies with investment grade S&P ratings or is secured by “funds withheld” or other collateral. Under “funds withheld” arrangements, AFG retains ceded premiums to fund ceded losses as they become due from the reinsurer. Recoverables from the following companies were individually between 5% and 11% of AFG’s total property and casualty reinsurance recoverable (net of payables to reinsurers) at December 31, 2013: Hannover Reinsurance Co. Ltd, Munich Reinsurance America, Inc. and Swiss Reinsurance America Corporation. In addition, AFG has a reinsurance recoverable from Ohio Casualty Insurance Company of \$191 million related to that company’s purchase of AFG’s commercial lines business in 1998. No other reinsurers exceeded 5% of AFG’s property and casualty reinsurance recoverable.

Reinsurance is provided on one of two bases, facultative or treaty. Facultative reinsurance is generally provided on a risk by risk basis. Individual risks are ceded and assumed based on an offer and acceptance of risk by each party to the transaction. AFG purchases facultative reinsurance, both pro rata and excess of loss, depending on the risk and available reinsurance markets. Treaty reinsurance provides for risks meeting prescribed criteria to be automatically ceded and assumed according to contract provisions.

The following table presents (by type of coverage) the amount of each loss above the specified retention maximum generally covered by treaty reinsurance programs (in millions) as of January 1, 2014:

Coverage	Retention Maximum	Reinsurance Coverage (a)
California Workers’ Compensation	\$2	\$148
Other Workers’ Compensation	2	48
Commercial Umbrella	4	46
Property — General	5	45
Property — Catastrophe	19	81

(a) Reinsurance covers substantial portions of losses in excess of retention. However, in general, losses resulting from terrorism are not covered.

In addition to the coverage shown above, AFG reinsures a portion of its crop insurance business through the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation (“FCIC”). The FCIC offers both proportional (or “quota share”) and non-proportional coverages. The proportional coverage provides that a fixed percentage of risk is assumed by the FCIC. The non-proportional coverage allows AFG to select desired retention of risk on a state-by-state, county, crop or plan basis. AFG typically reinsures 15% to 25% of gross written premium with the FCIC. AFG also purchases quota share reinsurance in the private market. This quota share provides for a ceding commission to AFG and a profit sharing provision. During both 2013 and 2012, AFG reinsured 52.5% of premiums not reinsured by the FCIC in the private market and purchased stop loss protection coverage for the remaining portion of the business. AFG expects to utilize similar levels of reinsurance in 2014.

The Balance Sheet caption “recoverables from reinsurers” included approximately \$82 million on paid losses and LAE and \$2.12 billion on unpaid losses and LAE at December 31, 2013. These amounts are net of allowances of approximately \$27 million for doubtful collection of reinsurance recoverables. The collectibility of a reinsurance balance is based upon the financial condition of a reinsurer as well as individual claim considerations.

Reinsurance premiums ceded and assumed are presented in the following table (in millions):

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

	2013	2012	2011
Reinsurance ceded	\$1,464	\$1,372	\$1,336
Reinsurance ceded, excluding crop	802	743	652
Reinsurance assumed — including involuntary pools and associations	61	38	45

6

---

Table of Contents

## Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves

The consolidated financial statements include the estimated liability for unpaid losses and LAE of AFG's insurance subsidiaries. This liability represents estimates of the ultimate net cost of all unpaid losses and LAE and is determined by using case-basis evaluations, actuarial projections and management's judgment. These estimates are subject to the effects of changes in claim amounts and frequency and are periodically reviewed and adjusted as additional information becomes known. In accordance with industry practices, such adjustments are reflected in current year operations. Generally, reserves for reinsurance assumed and involuntary pools and associations are reflected in AFG's results at the amounts reported by those entities.

The following table presents the development of AFG's liability for losses and LAE, net of reinsurance, on a GAAP basis for the last ten years. The top line of the table shows the estimated liability (in millions) for unpaid losses and LAE recorded at the balance sheet date for the indicated years. The second line shows the re-estimated liability as of December 31, 2013. The remainder of the table presents intervening development as percentages of the initially estimated liability. The development results from additional information and experience in subsequent years, particularly with regard to A&E charges, settlements and reallocations as detailed below. The middle line shows a cumulative deficiency (redundancy), which represents the aggregate percentage increase (decrease) in the liability initially estimated. The lower portion of the table indicates the cumulative amounts paid as of successive periods as a percentage of the original loss reserve liability. For purposes of this table, reserves of businesses sold are considered paid at the date of sale. See Note O — "Insurance — Property and Casualty Insurance Reserves" to the financial statements for an analysis of changes in AFG's estimated liability for losses and LAE, net and gross of reinsurance, over the past three years on a GAAP basis.

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses:											
As originally estimated	\$2,901	\$3,155	\$3,619	\$3,791	\$3,868	\$4,154	\$3,899	\$4,164	\$4,282	\$4,129	\$4,114
As re-estimated at December 31, 2013	\$3,527	\$3,383	\$3,391	\$3,317	\$3,295	\$3,784	\$3,664	\$4,041	\$4,252	\$4,114	\$4,114
Liability re-estimated:											
One year later	104.9 %	106.3 %	98.4 %	97.4 %	93.6 %	95.2 %	96.0 %	98.3 %	99.3 %	99.6 %	99.6 %
Two years later	114.0 %	106.1 %	98.8 %	92.3 %	89.7 %	91.6 %	94.2 %	97.2 %	99.3 %		
Three years later	114.7 %	107.7 %	95.2 %	89.5 %	85.8 %	90.4 %	93.9 %	97.0 %			
Four years later	118.0 %	106.0 %	93.6 %	87.0 %	84.5 %	90.8 %	94.0 %				
Five years later	118.5 %	105.5 %	92.1 %	86.5 %	84.7 %	91.1 %					
Six years later	118.8 %	104.4 %	92.1 %	87.0 %	85.2 %						
Seven years later	117.9 %	104.9 %	92.8 %	87.5 %							
Eight years later	118.7 %	105.8 %	93.7 %								
	119.9 %	107.2 %									

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Nine years later																				
Ten years later	121.6	%																		
Cumulative deficiency (redundancy) (a)	21.6	%	7.2	%	(6.3)	%	(12.5)	%	(14.8)	%	(8.9)	%	(6.0)	%	(3.0)	%	(0.7)	%	(0.4)	%
Cumulative paid as of:																				
One year later	27.3	%	25.4	%	23.5	%	22.3	%	21.0	%	24.0	%	21.3	%	23.3	%	27.7	%	27.4	%
Two years later	46.4	%	40.8	%	37.5	%	34.8	%	32.9	%	37.2	%	35.9	%	38.6	%	45.7	%		
Three years later	58.8	%	52.4	%	46.9	%	43.6	%	41.6	%	47.0	%	47.1	%	52.7	%				
Four years later	68.5	%	60.1	%	53.6	%	49.9	%	47.5	%	54.5	%	57.7	%						
Five years later	75.2	%	65.6	%	58.7	%	54.2	%	52.6	%	62.4	%								
Six years later	80.1	%	70.5	%	62.1	%	58.0	%	58.5	%										
Seven years later	84.4	%	73.8	%	65.3	%	63.2	%												
Eight years later	87.4	%	77.1	%	70.3	%														
Nine years later	90.8	%	82.5	%																
Ten years later	96.4	%																		
(a) Cumulative deficiency (redundancy):																				
Special A&E charges, settlements and reallocations	12.8	%	11.7	%	5.3	%	5.0	%	3.8	%	3.2	%	3.5	%	3.2	%	2.0	%	1.3	%
Other	8.8	%	(4.5)	%	(11.6)	%	(17.5)	%	(18.6)	%	(12.1)	%	(9.5)	%	(6.2)	%	(2.7)	%	(1.7)	%
Total	21.6	%	7.2	%	(6.3)	%	(12.5)	%	(14.8)	%	(8.9)	%	(6.0)	%	(3.0)	%	(0.7)	%	(0.4)	%



Table of Contents

The following is a reconciliation of the net liability to the gross liability for unpaid losses and LAE.

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
As originally estimated:											
Net liability shown above	\$2,901	\$3,155	\$3,619	\$3,791	\$3,868	\$4,154	\$3,899	\$4,164	\$4,282	\$4,129	\$4,114
Add reinsurance recoverables	2,059	2,234	2,243	2,309	2,300	2,610	2,513	2,249	2,238	2,716	3,010
Gross liability	\$4,960	\$5,389	\$5,862	\$6,100	\$6,168	\$6,764	\$6,412	\$6,413	\$6,520	\$6,845	\$7,124
As re-estimated at December 31, 2013:											
Net liability shown above	\$3,527	\$3,383	\$3,391	\$3,317	\$3,295	\$3,784	\$3,664	\$4,041	\$4,252	\$4,114	\$4,114
Add reinsurance recoverables	2,791	2,586	2,348	2,138	1,930	2,292	1,981	1,987	2,082	3,010	3,010
Gross liability	\$6,318	\$5,969	\$5,739	\$5,455	\$5,225	\$6,076	\$5,645	\$6,028	\$6,334	\$7,124	\$7,124
Gross cumulative deficiency (redundancy) (a)	27.4 %	10.8 %	(2.1 %) (10.6 %)	(15.3 %)	(10.2 %)	(12.0 %)	(6.0 %)	(2.9 %)	4.1 %		

(a) Gross cumulative deficiency

(redundancy):

Special A&E charges, settlements and reallocations

Other	9.2 %	8.6 %	4.4 %	4.2 %	3.2 %	2.6 %	2.8 %	2.8 %	1.9 %	6.9 %	
Total	18.2 %	2.2 %	(6.5 %) (14.8 %)	(18.5 %)	(12.8 %)	(14.8 %)	(8.8 %)	(4.8 %)	(2.8 %)		

In evaluating the re-estimated liability and cumulative deficiency (redundancy), it should be noted that each percentage includes the effects of changes in amounts for prior periods. For example, AFG's \$54 million special A&E charge related to losses recorded in 2013, but incurred before 2003, is included in the re-estimated liability and cumulative deficiency (redundancy) percentage for each of the previous years shown. Conditions and trends that have affected development of the liability in the past may not necessarily exist in the future. Accordingly, it may not be appropriate to extrapolate future redundancies or deficiencies based on this table.

A significant portion of the adverse development in the tables is due to A&E exposures for which AFG has been held liable under general liability policies written prior to 1987, even though such coverage was not intended. Other factors affecting adverse development included changes in the legal environment, including more liberal coverage decisions and higher jury awards, higher legal fees, the general state of the economy and medical cost inflation.

The differences between the liability for losses and LAE reported in the annual statements filed with the state insurance departments in accordance with statutory accounting principles (“SAP”) and that reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements in accordance with GAAP at December 31, 2013 are as follows (in millions):

Liability reported on a SAP basis, net of \$135 million of retroactive reinsurance	\$3,709
Reinsurance recoverables, net of allowance	2,122
Other, including reserves of foreign insurers	579
Liability reported on a GAAP basis	\$6,410

Asbestos and Environmental (“A&E”) Reserves AFG’s property and casualty group, like many others in the industry, has A&E claims arising in most cases from general liability policies written more than twenty-five years ago. The establishment of reserves for such A&E claims presents unique and difficult challenges and is subject to uncertainties significantly greater than those presented by other types of claims. For a discussion of these uncertainties, see Item 7 — Management’s Discussion and Analysis — “Uncertainties — Asbestos and Environmental-related (“A&E”) Insurance Reserves” and Note M — “Contingencies” to the financial statements.

Management has periodically conducted comprehensive studies of its asbestos and environmental reserves with the aid of outside actuarial and engineering firms and specialty outside counsel, generally every two years, with an in-depth internal review during the intervening years. Charges resulting from these studies and reviews are included in “Incurred losses and LAE” in the table below. As a result of the 2013 external study, AFG recorded a \$54 million pretax special charge in the third quarter of 2013 to increase the property and casualty group’s asbestos reserves by \$16 million (net of reinsurance) and its environmental reserves by \$38 million (net of reinsurance). The increase in asbestos reserves was driven primarily by slightly higher than expected loss experience, higher defense costs and some increased claim severity. As the overall industry exposure to asbestos has matured, the focus of litigation has shifted to smaller companies and companies with ancillary exposures. AFG’s insureds with these exposures have been the driver of the property and casualty segment’s asbestos reserve increases.

Table of Contents

The increase in environmental reserves was attributed primarily to a small number of claims where the estimated costs of remediation have increased. There were no newly identified or emerging broad industry trends that were identified in this study. In addition to the third quarter special charge, AFG increased A&E reserves for one claim by \$5 million in early 2013 due to fact specific developments. As a result of the in-depth internal review in 2012, AFG recorded a \$31 million pretax special charge (net of reinsurance) to increase the property and casualty group's A&E reserves. The charge relates primarily to an increase in environmental investigative costs and related loss adjustment expenses. In addition to the third quarter special charge, AFG increased A&E reserves for two individual claims by an aggregate of \$12 million in 2012 due to fact specific developments and refined estimates of exposure. The 2011 comprehensive study resulted in a \$50 million pretax special charge (net of reinsurance) in the second quarter of 2011 to increase the property and casualty group's A&E reserves.

The following table (in millions) is a progression of the property and casualty group's A&E reserves.

	2013	2012	2011
Reserves at beginning of year	\$373	\$362	\$342
Incurred losses and LAE	59	43	50
Paid losses and LAE (*)	(131	) (32	) (30
Reserves at end of year, net of reinsurance recoverable	301	373	362
Reinsurance recoverable, net of allowance	83	98	92
Gross reserves at end of year	\$384	\$471	\$454

(\*) Paid losses and LAE in 2013 include payments totaling \$106 million (net of reinsurance recoveries) associated with the settlement of A.P. Green Industries and another large claim.

## Marketing

The property and casualty insurance group directs its sales efforts primarily through independent insurance agents and brokers, although small portions are written through employee agents. Independent agents and brokers generally receive a commission on the sale of each policy. Some agents and brokers are eligible for a bonus commission based on the overall profitability of policies placed with AFG by the broker or agent in a particular year. The property and casualty insurance group writes insurance through several thousand agents and brokers.

## Competition

AFG's property and casualty insurance businesses compete with other individual insurers, state funds and insurance groups of varying sizes, some of which are mutual insurance companies possessing competitive advantages in that all their profits inure to their policyholders. See Item 1A — Risk Factors. They also compete with self-insurance plans, captive programs and risk retention groups. Due to the specialty nature of these coverages, competition is based primarily on service to policyholders and agents, specific characteristics of products offered and reputation for claims handling. Financial strength ratings, price, commissions and profit sharing terms are also important factors. Management believes that sophisticated data analysis for refinement of risk profiles, extensive specialized knowledge and loss prevention service have helped AFG compete successfully.

Table of Contents

## Annuity Segment

## General

AFG sells traditional fixed and fixed-indexed annuities in the retail, financial institutions and education markets through independent producers and through direct relationships with certain financial institutions. The annuity operations employed approximately 500 people at December 31, 2013. These operations are conducted primarily through the subsidiaries listed in the following table, which includes 2013 statutory annuity premiums (in millions), annuity policies in force and independent ratings.

Company	Annuity Premiums	Annuity Policies In Force	Ratings AM Best	S&P
Great American Life Insurance Company	\$3,795	329,500	A	A+
Annuity Investors Life Insurance Company	233	129,000	A	A+

AFG believes that the ratings assigned by independent insurance rating agencies are an important competitive factor because agents, potential policyholders, banks, and school districts often use a company's rating as an initial screening device in considering annuity products. AFG believes that a rating in the "A" category by A.M. Best is necessary to successfully market tax-deferred annuities to public education employees and other non-profit groups and a rating in the "A" category by at least one rating agency is necessary to successfully compete in its other annuity markets. AFG believes that these entities can successfully compete in these markets with their respective ratings.

Statutory premiums of AFG's annuity operations the last three years were as follows (in millions):

	Premiums		
	2013	2012	2011
Retail single premium annuities — indexed	\$1,879	\$1,662	\$1,549
Retail single premium annuities — fixed	165	153	239
Financial institutions single premium annuities — indexed	1,102	291	216
Financial institutions single premium annuities — fixed	628	587	755
Education market — 403(b) fixed and indexed annuities	207	237	257
Total fixed annuity premiums	3,981	2,930	3,016
Variable annuities	52	61	70
Total annuity premiums	\$4,033	\$2,991	\$3,086

Annuities are long-term retirement saving instruments that benefit from income accruing on a tax-deferred basis. The issuer of the annuity collects premiums, credits interest or earnings on the policy and pays out a benefit upon death, surrender or annuitization. Single premium annuities are generally issued in exchange for a one-time lump-sum premium payment. Certain annuities, primarily in the education market, have premium payments that are flexible in both amount and timing as determined by the policyholder and are generally made through payroll deductions.

Annuity contracts are generally classified as either fixed rate (including fixed-indexed) or variable. With a traditional fixed rate annuity, AFG seeks to maintain a desired spread between the yield on its investment portfolio and the rate it credits. AFG accomplishes this by: (i) offering crediting rates that it has the option to change after any initial guarantee period (subject to minimum interest rate and other contractual guarantees); (ii) designing annuity products that encourage persistency; and (iii) maintaining an appropriate matching of assets and liabilities.

A fixed-indexed annuity provides policyholders with the opportunity to receive a crediting rate tied, in part, to the performance of an existing market index (generally the S&P 500) while protecting against the related downside risk through a guarantee of principal (excluding surrender charges, market value adjustments, and certain benefit charges).

AFG purchases call options designed to substantially offset the effect of the index participation in the liabilities associated with fixed-indexed annuities.

As an ancillary product in its education market, AFG offers a limited amount of variable annuities. With a variable annuity, the earnings credited to the policy vary based on the investment results of the underlying investment options chosen by the policyholder, generally without any guarantee of principal except in the case of death of the insured. Premiums directed to the underlying investment options maintained in separate accounts are invested in funds managed by various independent investment managers. AFG earns a fee on amounts deposited into separate accounts. Subject to contractual provisions,

## Table of Contents

policyholders may also choose to direct all or a portion of their premiums to various fixed rate options, in which case AFG earns a spread on amounts deposited.

### Marketing

AFG sells its single premium annuities, excluding bank production (discussed below), primarily through a retail network of approximately 65 national marketing organizations (“NMOs”) and managing general agents (“MGAs”) who, in turn, direct over 1,500 actively producing agents.

AFG also sells single premium annuities in financial institutions through direct relationships with certain banks and through independent agents and brokers. Premiums generated through AFG’s direct relationship with PNC Bank and through BB&T and Regions Bank by independent brokers were the largest individual sources of annuity premiums in 2013 and accounted for approximately 11%, 7% and 6%, respectively, of AFG’s overall annuity premiums in 2013.

In the education market, schools may allow employees to save for retirement through contributions made on a before-tax basis. Federal income taxes are not payable on pretax contributions or earnings until amounts are withdrawn. AFG sells its education market annuities directly through writing agents rather than through NMOs and MGAs.

AFG is licensed to sell its fixed annuity products in all states except New York; it is licensed to sell its variable products in all states except New York and Vermont. In 2013, the only states that accounted for 5% or more of AFG’s annuity premiums were Florida (9%), California (7%), Ohio (7%), Pennsylvania (7%) and North Carolina (5%). At December 31, 2013, AFG had approximately 480,000 annuity policies in force.

### Competition

AFG’s annuity businesses operate in highly competitive markets. They compete with other insurers and financial institutions based on many factors, including: (i) ratings; (ii) financial strength; (iii) reputation; (iv) service to policyholders and agents; (v) product design (including interest rates credited, bonus features and index participation); (vi) commissions; and (vii) number of school districts in which a company has approval to sell. Since most policies are marketed and distributed through independent agents, the insurance companies must also compete for agents.

No single insurer dominates the markets in which AFG’s annuity businesses compete. See Item 1A — Risk Factors. Competitors include (i) individual insurers and insurance groups, (ii) mutual funds and (iii) other financial institutions. In a broader sense, AFG’s annuity businesses compete for retirement savings with a variety of financial institutions offering a full range of financial services. In the bank annuity market, AFG’s annuities compete directly against competitors’ bank annuities, certificates of deposit and other investment alternatives at the point of sale. In addition, over the last few years, several offshore and/or hedge fund companies have made significant acquisitions of annuity businesses, resulting in annuity groups that are larger in size than AFG’s annuity business and that are likely to become more aggressive in marketing their products.

Sales of annuities, including renewal premiums, are affected by many factors, including: (i) competitive annuity products and rates; (ii) the general level and volatility of interest rates, including the slope of the yield curve; (iii) the favorable tax treatment of annuities; (iv) commissions paid to agents; (v) services offered; (vi) ratings from independent insurance rating agencies; (vii) other alternative investments; (viii) performance and volatility of the equity markets; (ix) media coverage of annuities; (x) regulatory developments regarding suitability and the sales process; and (xi) general economic conditions.

### Run-off Long-term Care and Life Segment

AFG ceased new sales of long-term care insurance in January 2010. Renewal premiums on approximately 56,000 policies covering approximately 60,000 lives will be accepted unless those policies lapse. Renewal premiums, net of reinsurance, were \$76 million in 2013, \$79 million in 2012 and \$81 million in 2011. At December 31, 2013, AFG's long-term care insurance reserves were \$726 million, net of reinsurance recoverables.

Although AFG no longer actively markets new life insurance products, it continues to service and receive renewal premiums on its in-force block of approximately 180,000 policies and \$17.98 billion gross (\$4.55 billion net of reinsurance) of life insurance in force at December 31, 2013. Renewal premiums, net of reinsurance, were \$33 million in 2013, \$34 million in 2012 and \$35 million in 2011. At December 31, 2013, AFG's life insurance reserves were \$406 million, net of reinsurance recoverables.

Table of Contents

The vast majority of AFG's investment in its run-off long-term care and life operations (including 100% of its long-term care business) is in the following subsidiaries:

Company	Products
United Teacher Associates Insurance Company	Long-term care, life, annuities
Continental General Insurance Company	Long-term care, life, annuities
Manhattan National Life Insurance Company	Life

The combined GAAP equity (excluding net unrealized gains on marketable securities) of these three companies was \$227 million at December 31, 2013. Approximately 80% of this equity was associated with the run-off long-term care business and about 10% was associated with run-off life business. The remainder of this equity was associated with AFG's ongoing annuity operations.

#### Medicare Supplement and Critical Illness Segment

In 2012, AFG sold its Medicare supplement and critical illness businesses, which included Loyal American Life Insurance Company and four other insurance companies, to Cigna Corporation for \$326 million in cash. This business generated premiums of \$199 million in 2012 (through the August sale date) and \$304 million in 2011.

#### Other Operations

Through subsidiaries, AFG is engaged in a variety of other operations, including commercial real estate operations in Cincinnati (office buildings and The Cincinnati Hotel), New Orleans (Le Pavillon Hotel), Whitefield, New Hampshire (Mountain View Grand Resort), Chesapeake Bay (Skipjack Cove Yachting Resort and Bay Bridge Marina), Charleston (Charleston Harbor Resort and Marina), Palm Beach (Sailfish Marina and Resort), Florida City, Florida (retail commercial development) and apartments in Louisville and Pittsburgh. These operations employed approximately 500 full-time employees at December 31, 2013.

#### Investment Portfolio

##### General

A summary of AFG's fixed maturities and equity securities is shown in Note E to the financial statements. For additional information on AFG's investments, see Item 7 — Management's Discussion and Analysis — "Investments." Portfolio yields are shown below.

	2013	2012	2011	
Yield on Fixed Maturities (a):				
Excluding realized gains and losses	5.2	% 5.6	% 5.7	%
Including realized gains and losses	5.3	% 5.8	% 5.8	%
Yield on Equity Securities (a):				
Excluding realized gains and losses	5.5	% 4.5	% 4.8	%
Including realized gains and losses	26.4	% 25.2	% 18.5	%

(a) Based on amortized cost; excludes effects of changes in unrealized gains and losses. Realized losses include impairment charges.



Table of Contents

The table below compares total returns, which include changes in fair value, on AFG's fixed maturities and equity securities to comparable public indices. While there are no directly comparable indices to AFG's portfolio, the two shown below are widely used benchmarks in the financial services industry.

	2013		2012		2011	
Total return on AFG's fixed maturities	1.3	%	9.1	%	7.7	%
Barclays Capital U.S. Universal Bond Index	(1.3	%)	5.5	%	7.4	%
Total return on AFG's equity securities	27.1	%	18.7	%	6.9	%
Standard & Poor's 500 Index	32.4	%	16.0	%	2.1	%

## Fixed Maturity Investments

AFG's bond portfolio is invested primarily in taxable bonds. The following table shows AFG's available for sale fixed maturities by Standard & Poor's Corporation or comparable rating as of December 31, 2013 (dollars in millions).

	Amortized Cost	Fair Value Amount		%
S&P or comparable rating				
AAA, AA, A	\$17,048	\$17,542	66	%
BBB	4,907	5,160	20	%
Total investment grade	21,955	22,702	86	%
BB	686	717	3	%
B	504	524	2	%
CCC, CC, C	1,010	1,154	4	%
D, not rated	1,211	1,359	5	%
Total non-investment grade	3,411	3,754	14	%
Total	\$25,366	\$26,456	100	%

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") has retained third-party investment management firms to assist in the determination of appropriate NAIC designations for mortgage-backed securities ("MBS") based not only on the probability of loss (which is the primary basis of ratings by the major ratings firms), but also on the severity of loss and statutory carrying value. Approximately 26% of AFG's fixed maturity investments are MBS. At December 31, 2013, 97% (based on statutory carrying value of \$25.38 billion) of AFG's fixed maturity investments held by its insurance companies had an NAIC designation of 1 or 2 (the highest of the six designations).

## Equity Investments

At December 31, 2013, AFG held common and perpetual preferred stocks with a fair value of \$1.18 billion.

## Regulation

AFG's insurance company subsidiaries are subject to regulation in the jurisdictions where they do business. In general, the insurance laws of the various states establish regulatory agencies with broad administrative powers governing, among other things, premium rates, solvency standards, licensing of insurers, agents and brokers, trade practices, forms of policies, maintenance of specified reserves and capital for the protection of policyholders, deposits of securities for the benefit of policyholders, investment activities and relationships between insurance subsidiaries and their parents and affiliates. Material transactions between insurance subsidiaries and their parents and affiliates generally must receive prior approval of the applicable insurance regulatory authorities and be disclosed. In addition, while differing from state to state, these regulations typically restrict the maximum amount of dividends that may be paid by an insurer to its shareholders in any twelve-month period without advance regulatory approval. Such

limitations are generally based on net earnings or statutory surplus. Under applicable restrictions, the maximum amount of dividends available to AFG in 2014 from its insurance subsidiaries without seeking regulatory clearance is approximately \$610 million.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (“Dodd-Frank Act”), among other things, established a Federal Insurance Office (“FIO”) within the U.S. Treasury. Under this law, regulations will need to be created for the FIO to carry out its mandate to focus on systemic risk oversight. The FIO has gathered information regarding the insurance industry and submitted a report to Congress in December 2013. The report concluded that a hybrid approach to regulation,

## Table of Contents

involving a combination of state and federal government action, could improve the U.S. insurance system by attaining uniformity, efficiency and consistency, particularly with respect to solvency and market conduct regulation. It is too early to predict the extent to which the report's recommendations might result in changes to the current state-based system of insurance industry regulation or ultimately impact AFG's operations.

Marketform, AFG's UK-based Lloyd's insurer, is subject to regulation by the European Union's executive body, the European Commission. In 2016, Marketform will likely be required to adopt new capital adequacy and risk management regulations known as Solvency II. Because Lloyd's insurers are already operating under the proposed Solvency II guidelines, implementation is not expected to be material to AFG.

Most states have created insurance guaranty associations that assess solvent insurers to pay claims of insurance companies that become insolvent. In the second quarter of 2013, AFG's annuity segment recorded a pretax charge of \$5 million to cover expected assessments from state guaranty funds related to the insolvency and liquidation of Executive Life Insurance Company of New York, an unaffiliated life insurance company. Annual guaranty assessments for AFG's insurance companies have not been material.

### ITEM 1A

#### Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, the following factors could materially affect AFG's business, financial condition, cash flows or future results. Any one of these factors could cause AFG's actual results to vary materially from recent results or from anticipated future results. The risks described below are not the only risks facing AFG. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect AFG's business, financial condition and/or operating results.

Adverse developments in the financial markets and deterioration in global economic conditions could have a material adverse effect on AFG's results of operations and financial condition.

The highly volatile debt and equity markets, lack of liquidity, widening credit spreads and the collapse of several financial institutions during 2008 and early 2009 resulted in significant realized and unrealized losses in AFG's investment portfolio. Although global economic conditions and financial markets have improved, there is continued uncertainty regarding the duration and strength of the economic recovery, particularly in Europe. Economic growth in the U.S. and internationally may not continue or may be slow for an extended period of time. In addition, other economic conditions (such as unemployment) may continue to be weak. See Item 7A — Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk — "European Debt Exposure." At December 31, 2013, AFG's net unrealized gain on fixed maturity investments was \$1.09 billion consisting of gross gains of \$1.40 billion and gross losses of \$305 million. Although AFG intends to hold its investments with unrealized losses until they recover in value, its intent may change for a variety of reasons as discussed in Item 7 — Management's Discussion and Analysis — "Investments." A change in AFG's ability or intent with regard to a security in an unrealized loss position would result in the recognition of a realized loss.

AFG's investment performance could also be adversely impacted by the types of investments, industry groups and/or individual securities in which it invests. As of December 31, 2013, 85% of AFG's investment portfolio was invested in fixed maturity securities. Certain risks are inherent in connection with fixed maturity securities including loss upon default and price volatility in reaction to changes in interest rates and general market factors. AFG's equity securities, which represent 4% of its investment portfolio, are subject to market price volatility.

MBS represented about 26% of AFG's fixed maturity securities at December 31, 2013. AFG's MBS portfolio will continue to be impacted by general economic conditions, including unemployment levels, real estate values and other

factors that could negatively affect the creditworthiness of borrowers. MBS in which the underlying collateral is subprime mortgages represented 3% of AFG's total fixed maturity portfolio at December 31, 2013; MBS in which the underlying collateral is Alt-A mortgages (risk profile between prime and subprime) represented approximately 4%. See Item 7A — Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk — “Fixed Maturity Portfolio.”

AFG cannot predict whether, and the extent to which, industry sectors in which it maintains investments may suffer losses as a result of potential declines in commercial and economic activity, or how any such decline might impact the ability of companies within the affected industry sectors to pay interest or principal on their securities, or how the value of any underlying collateral might be affected.

## Table of Contents

Investment returns are an important part of AFG's overall profitability. Accordingly, adverse fluctuations in the fixed income or equity markets could adversely impact AFG's profitability, financial condition or cash flows.

In addition, should economic conditions deteriorate, it could have a material adverse effect on AFG's insureds and reinsurers. However, the impact that this would have on AFG's business cannot be predicted.

Intense competition could adversely affect AFG's profitability.

The property and casualty insurance segment operates in a highly competitive industry that is affected by many factors that can cause significant fluctuations in its results of operations. The trend of AFG's underwriting results typically follows that of the industry and a prolonged downcycle could adversely affect AFG's results of operations. The businesses in this segment compete with other individual insurers, state funds and insurance groups of varying sizes, some of which are mutual insurance companies possessing competitive advantages in that all their profits inure to their policyholders. In addition, certain foreign insurers can write business in the U.S. on a tax-advantaged basis and therefore hold a competitive advantage over AFG. AFG also competes with self-insurance plans, captive programs and risk retention groups. Peer companies and major competitors in some or all of AFG's specialty lines include the following companies and/or their subsidiaries: ACE Ltd., American International Group Inc., Arch Capital Group Ltd., Chubb Corp., Cincinnati Financial Corp., CNA Financial Corp., Liberty Mutual, Markel Corp., Munich Re Group (American Modern Insurance), Hartford Financial Services Group, HCC Insurance Holdings, Inc., Ironshore Insurance Ltd., RLI Corp., The Travelers Companies Inc., Tokio Marine Holdings, Inc. (Philadelphia Consolidated), W.R. Berkley Corp., Wells Fargo Corp. (Rural Community Insurance), XL Group Plc, Fairfax Financial Holdings Limited (Zenith National) and Zurich Financial Services Group.

AFG's annuity segment competes with individual insurers and insurance groups, mutual funds and other financial institutions. Competitors include the following companies and/or their subsidiaries: ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, American International Group Inc., Western National Life Insurance Company, Life Insurance Company of the Southwest, Midland National Life Insurance Company, Allianz Life Insurance Company of North America, Guggenheim Life and Annuity Company, Apollo Global Management (Aviva Life and Annuity Company and Athene), Forethought Life Insurance Company, Jackson National Life Insurance Company, Pacific Life Insurance Company and Mutual of Omaha Insurance Company. Financial institutions annuity premiums represented 43% of AFG's annuity premiums in 2013 and have been a key driver in the growth of AFG's annuity business since 2009. Approximately 57% of AFG's financial institutions annuity premiums in 2013 were generated through three large banks. Although AFG has been able to add several new banks in the last few years, the failure to replace these banks if they significantly reduce sales of AFG annuities could reduce AFG's future growth and profitability. In the financial institutions annuity market, AFG competes directly against competitors' bank annuities, certificates of deposit and other investment alternatives at the point of sale.

Competition is based on many factors, including service to policyholders and agents, product design, reputation for claims handling, ratings and financial strength. Price, commissions, fees, profit sharing terms, interest crediting rates, technology and distribution channels are also important factors. Some of AFG's competitors have more capital and greater resources than AFG, and may offer a broader range of products and lower prices than AFG offers. If competition limits AFG's ability to write new or renewal business at adequate rates, its results of operations will be adversely affected.

AFG's revenues could be negatively affected if it is not able to attract and retain independent agents.

AFG's reliance on the independent agency market makes it vulnerable to a reduction in the amount of business written by agents. Many of AFG's competitors also rely significantly on the independent agency market. Accordingly, AFG must compete with other insurance carriers for independent agents' business. Some of its competitors offer a wider

variety of products, lower price for insurance coverage or higher commissions. Loss of a substantial portion of the business that AFG writes through independent agents could adversely affect AFG's revenues and profitability.

The inability to obtain reinsurance or to collect on ceded reinsurance could adversely impact AFG's results.

AFG relies on the use of reinsurance to limit the amount of risk it retains. The following amounts of gross property and casualty premiums have been ceded to other insurers: 2013 — \$1.46 billion (31%), 2012 — \$1.37 billion (32%) and 2011 — \$1.34 billion (33%). The availability and cost of reinsurance are subject to prevailing market conditions, which are beyond AFG's control and which may affect AFG's level of business and profitability. Outside of its property and casualty operations, AFG also has reinsurance recoverables totaling \$953 million, including \$586 million from Hannover Life Reassurance Company of America (rated A+ by A.M. Best) and \$200 million from Loyal American Life Insurance Company, a subsidiary of Cigna (rated A- by A.M. Best), related primarily to the reinsurance of certain benefits in its run-off long-term care and life operations and the August 2012 sale of its Medicare supplement and critical illness businesses. AFG is also subject to credit

Table of Contents

risk with respect to its reinsurers, as AFG will remain liable to its insureds if any reinsurer is unable to meet its obligations under agreements covering the reinsurance ceded.

AFG is subject to comprehensive regulation, and its ability to earn profits may be restricted by these regulations.

As previously discussed under Item 1 — Business — “Regulation,” AFG is subject to comprehensive regulation by government agencies in the states and countries where its insurance company subsidiaries are domiciled and where these subsidiaries issue policies and handle claims. AFG must obtain prior approval for certain corporate actions. The regulations may limit AFG’s ability to obtain rate increases or take other actions designed to increase AFG’s profitability. Such regulation is primarily intended for the protection of policyholders rather than securityholders.

In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law. Among other things, this law established the Federal Insurance Office within the U.S. Treasury and authorizes it to gather information regarding the insurance industry and submit to Congress a plan to modernize and improve insurance regulation in the U.S.

Existing insurance-related laws and regulations may become more restrictive in the future or new restrictive laws may be enacted; it is not possible to predict the potential effects of these laws and regulations. The costs of compliance or the failure to comply with existing or future regulations could harm AFG’s financial results and its reputation with customers.

The failure of AFG’s insurance subsidiaries to maintain a commercially acceptable financial strength rating would have a significant negative effect on their ability to compete successfully.

As discussed under Item 1 — Business — “Property and Casualty Insurance Segment” and “Annuity Segment — General,” financial strength ratings are an important factor in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies and may be expected to have an effect on an insurance company’s sales. A downgrade out of the “A” category in AFG’s insurers’ claims-paying and financial strength ratings could significantly reduce AFG’s business volumes in certain lines of business, adversely impact AFG’s ability to access the capital markets and increase AFG’s borrowing costs.

The continued threat of terrorism and ongoing military and other actions, as well as civil unrest, may adversely affect AFG’s financial results.

The continued threat of terrorism, both within the United States and abroad, and the ongoing military and other actions and heightened security measures in response to these types of threats, as well as civil unrest, may cause significant volatility and declines in the equity markets in the United States, Europe and elsewhere, loss of life, property damage, additional disruptions to commerce and reduced economic activity. Actual terrorist attacks could cause losses from insurance claims related to AFG’s property and casualty and life insurance operations with adverse financial consequences. In addition, some of the assets in AFG’s investment portfolios may be adversely affected by declines in the capital markets and economic activity caused by the continued threat of terrorism, ongoing military and other action, heightened security measures and civil unrest.

The Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act authorizes the Federal Terrorism Risk Insurance Program, which provides for a system of shared public and private responsibility for certain insured losses resulting from defined acts of terrorism. AFG did not incur any losses due to “acts of terrorism” in 2013, 2012 or 2011. In 2014, AFG would have to sustain terrorism losses in excess of \$400 million to be eligible for reinsurance under the program, which also has a total industry cap of \$100 billion. The program is due to expire at the end of 2014; however, legislation to extend the program has been introduced in Congress. If Congress eliminates or modifies the program, such action could adversely affect AFG’s property and casualty business through increased exposure to a catastrophic level of terrorism losses.

AFG may experience difficulties with technology or data security, which could have an adverse effect on its business or reputation.

AFG uses computer systems to store, retrieve, evaluate and utilize company and customer data and information. Systems failures or outages could compromise AFG's ability to perform business functions in a timely manner, which could harm its ability to conduct business and hurt its relationships with business partners and customers. In the event of a disaster such as a natural catastrophe, an industrial accident, a blackout, a computer virus, a terrorist attack or war, AFG's systems may be inaccessible to employees, customers or business partners for an extended period of time. Even if AFG's employees are able to report to work, they may be unable to perform their duties for an extended period of time if the Company's data or systems are disabled or destroyed.



## Table of Contents

Despite the implementation of security measures, these systems may also be vulnerable to physical break-ins, computer viruses, programming errors, attacks by third parties or similar disruptive problems. Any compromise of security could have a material adverse effect on AFG's business or reputation and could subject AFG to liability if confidential customer information is misappropriated from its computer systems.

AFG's property and casualty reserves may be inadequate, which could significantly affect AFG's financial results.

AFG's property and casualty insurance subsidiaries record reserve liabilities for the estimated payment of losses and loss adjustment expenses for both reported and unreported claims. Due to the inherent uncertainty of estimating reserves, it has been necessary in the past, and will continue to be necessary in the future, to revise estimated liabilities as reflected in AFG's reserves for claims and related expenses. The historic development of reserves for losses and loss adjustment expense may not necessarily reflect future trends in the development of these amounts. Accordingly, it is not appropriate to extrapolate future redundancies or deficiencies based on historical information. To the extent that reserves are inadequate and are strengthened, the amount of such increase is treated as a charge to earnings in the period in which the deficiency is recognized.

AFG's results could be negatively impacted by severe weather conditions or other catastrophes.

AFG recorded current accident year catastrophe losses of \$31 million in 2013 (primarily from spring storms in the southeastern United States), \$46 million in 2012 (primarily from Superstorm Sandy) and \$43 million in 2011 (primarily from tornadoes). Catastrophes (some of which are seasonal) can be caused by natural events such as hurricanes, windstorms, severe storms, tornadoes, floods, hailstorms, severe winter weather, earthquakes, explosions and fire, and by man-made events, such as terrorist attacks and riots. While not considered a catastrophe by industry standards, droughts can have a significant adverse impact on AFG's crop insurance results and did negatively impact 2012 results. The extent of losses from a catastrophe is a function of the amount of insured exposure in the area affected by the event and the severity of the event. In addition, certain catastrophes could result in both property and non-property claims from the same event. A severe catastrophe or a series of catastrophes could result in losses exceeding AFG's reinsurance protection and may have a material adverse impact on its results of operations or financial condition.

Climate change and related regulation could adversely affect AFG's property and casualty insurance operations.

While AFG does not believe that its operations are likely to be significantly impacted by existing laws and regulations regarding climate change, it is possible that future regulation in this area could result in additional compliance costs and demands on management time.

To the extent that global climate change meaningfully alters weather and tidal patterns, or sea levels, it is possible that AFG's property and casualty insurance operations could experience an increase in claims, primarily in coastal areas and in the crop and agricultural businesses.

Volatility in crop prices could negatively impact AFG's financial results.

Weather conditions and the level of crop prices in the commodities market heavily impact AFG's crop insurance business. These factors are inherently unpredictable and could result in significant volatility in the results of the crop insurance business from one year to the next. AFG's crop results could also be negatively impacted by pests and disease.

Exposure to asbestos or environmental claims could materially adversely affect AFG's results of operations and financial condition.

AFG has asbestos and environmental (“A&E”) exposures arising from its insurance operations and former railroad and manufacturing operations. A&E liabilities are especially difficult to estimate for many reasons, including the long delays between exposure and manifestation of any bodily injury or property damage, difficulty in identifying the source of the asbestos or environmental contamination, long reporting delays and difficulty in properly allocating liability for the asbestos or environmental damage. Claimants continue to assert new theories of recovery, and from time to time, there is proposed state and federal legislation regarding A&E liability, which would also affect AFG’s exposure. If AFG has not established adequate reserves to cover future claims, AFG’s results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

Changes in interest rates could adversely impact the spread AFG earns on its annuity products.

The profitability of AFG’s annuity business is largely dependent on spread (the difference between what it earns on its investments and the crediting rate it pays on its annuity contracts). Most of AFG’s annuity products have guaranteed minimum

## Table of Contents

crediting rates (ranging from 4% down to currently 1% on new business). During periods of falling interest rates, AFG may not be able to fully offset the decline in investment earnings with lower crediting rates. During periods of rising rates, there may be competitive pressure to increase crediting rates to avoid a decline in sales or increased surrenders, thus resulting in lower spreads. In addition, an increase in surrenders could require the sale of investments at a time when the prices of those assets are lower due to the increase in market rates, which may result in realized investment losses.

Variations from the actuarial assumptions used to establish certain assets and liabilities in AFG's annuity business could negatively impact AFG's reported financial results.

The earnings on AFG's annuity products depend significantly upon the extent to which actual experience is consistent with the assumptions used in setting reserves and establishing and amortizing deferred policy acquisition costs ("DPAC"). These assumptions relate to investment yields (and spreads over fixed annuity crediting rates), benefit utilization rates, equity market performance, mortality, surrenders, annuitizations and other withdrawals. Developing such assumptions is complex and involves information obtained from company-specific and industry-wide data, as well as general economic information. These assumptions, and therefore AFG's results of operations, could be negatively impacted by changes in any of the factors listed above. For example, AFG recorded a \$2 million pretax charge in 2013 in its annuity business from the net impact of changes in assumptions related to future investment yields, future expected call option costs in the fixed-indexed annuity business, crediting rates and lapses.

The ability to get price increases and appropriate investment yields and variations from the actuarial assumptions used in loss recognition testing in AFG's closed block of long-term care policies may adversely affect AFG's profitability.

AFG ceased writing new long-term care insurance policies in January 2010. Previous policies written are guaranteed renewable, but can be re-priced, subject to regulatory approval, to reflect adverse experience. Inability to get needed regulatory approval may adversely impact AFG's results of operations. In addition, given the duration of the long-term care product, AFG may be unable to purchase appropriate assets with cash flows and durations necessary to match those of future claims in that business.

For long-duration contracts (such as long-term care policies), loss recognition occurs when, based on current expectations as of the measurement date, the existing contract liabilities plus the present value of future premiums (including reasonably expected rate increases), are not expected to cover the present value of future claims payments, related settlement and maintenance costs, and unamortized acquisition costs. Based on loss recognition testing at December 31, 2012, AFG recorded a \$153 million pretax charge in 2012 to write off deferred policy acquisition costs and strengthen reserves on its closed block of long-term care insurance, due primarily to the impact of changes in assumptions related to future investment yields resulting from the continued low interest rate environment, as well as changes in claims, expense and persistency assumptions. Although no additional loss recognition charges were recorded in 2013, adverse changes in any of the reserve assumptions in future periods could result in additional loss recognition for this business.

As a holding company, AFG is dependent on the operations of its insurance company subsidiaries to meet its obligations and pay future dividends.

AFG is a holding company and a legal entity separate and distinct from its insurance company subsidiaries. As a holding company without significant operations of its own, AFG's principal sources of funds are dividends and other distributions from its insurance company subsidiaries. As discussed under Item 1 — Business — "Regulation," state insurance laws limit the ability of insurance companies to pay dividends or other distributions and require insurance companies to maintain specified levels of statutory capital and surplus. AFG's rights to participate in any distribution of assets of its insurance company subsidiaries are subject to prior claims of policyholders and creditors (except to the

extent that its rights, if any, as a creditor are recognized). Consequently, AFG's ability to pay debts, expenses and cash dividends to its shareholders may be limited.

Adverse developments in the financial markets may limit AFG's access to capital.

Financial markets in the U.S. and elsewhere can experience extreme volatility, which exerts downward pressure on stock prices and limits access to the equity and debt markets for certain issuers, including AFG.

AFG can borrow up to \$500 million under its revolving credit facility which expires in December 2016. There is no assurance that this facility will be renewed. In addition, AFG's access to funds through this facility is dependent on the ability of its banks to meet their funding commitments. There were no borrowings outstanding under AFG's bank credit line or any other parent company short-term borrowing arrangements during 2013.

## Table of Contents

If AFG cannot obtain adequate capital or sources of credit on favorable terms, or at all, its business, operating results and financial condition would be adversely affected.

AFG may be adversely impacted by a downgrade in the ratings of its debt securities.

AFG's debt securities are rated by Standard & Poor's and Moody's independent corporate credit rating agencies. AFG's senior indebtedness is currently rated BBB+ by Standard & Poor's and Baa2 by Moody's. Securities ratings are subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating organization. A security rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities. An unfavorable change in either of these ratings could make it more expensive to access the capital markets and may increase the interest rate charged under AFG's current bank credit line.

AFG is a party to litigation which, if decided adversely, could impact its financial results.

AFG and its subsidiaries are named as defendants in a number of lawsuits. See Item 1 — Business — “Property and Casualty Insurance Segment — Asbestos and Environmental (“A&E”) Reserves,” Item 3 — Legal Proceedings, and Item 7 — Management's Discussion and Analysis — “Uncertainties.” Litigation, by its very nature, is unpredictable and the outcome of these cases is uncertain and could result in liabilities that may vary from amounts AFG has currently recorded and a material variance could have a material effect on AFG's business, operations, profitability or financial condition.

Certain shareholders exercise substantial control over AFG's affairs, which may impede a change of control transaction.

Carl H. Lindner III and S. Craig Lindner are each Co-Chief Executive Officers and Directors of AFG. Together, Carl H. Lindner III and S. Craig Lindner beneficially own 10.1% of AFG's outstanding Common Stock as of February 1, 2014. As a result, certain members of the Lindner family have the ability to exercise significant influence over AFG's management, including over matters requiring shareholder approval.

The price of AFG Common Stock may fluctuate significantly, which may make it difficult for holders to resell common stock when they want or at a price they find attractive.

The price of AFG's Common Stock, listed on the NYSE and Nasdaq Global Select Market, constantly changes. During 2013, AFG's Common Stock traded at prices ranging between \$39.76 and \$58.44. AFG's Common Stock price can fluctuate as a result of a variety of factors, many of which are beyond its control. These factors include but are not limited to:

- actual or anticipated variations in quarterly operating results;
- actual or anticipated changes in the dividends paid on AFG Common Stock;
- rating agency actions;
- recommendations by securities analysts;
- significant acquisitions or business combinations, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments by or involving AFG or its competitors;
- operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors deem comparable to AFG;
- news reports relating to trends, concerns and other issues in AFG's lines of business;
- general economic conditions, including volatility in the financial markets; and
- geopolitical conditions such as acts or threats of terrorism or military conflicts.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2

Properties

Subsidiaries of AFG own several buildings in downtown Cincinnati. AFG and its affiliates occupy approximately 40% of the aggregate 675,000 square feet of commercial and office space in these buildings.

AFG and its insurance subsidiaries lease the majority of their office and storage facilities in numerous cities throughout the United States, including the Company's home offices in Cincinnati. National Interstate occupies approximately 85% of the 177,000 square feet of office space on 17.5 acres of land that it owns in Richfield, Ohio. See Item 1 — Business — “Other Operations” for a discussion of AFG's other commercial real estate operations.

ITEM 3

Legal Proceedings

AFG and its subsidiaries are involved in litigation from time to time, generally arising in the ordinary course of business. This litigation may include, but is not limited to, general commercial disputes, lawsuits brought by policyholders, employment matters, reinsurance collection matters and actions challenging certain business practices of insurance subsidiaries. Except for the following, management believes that none of the litigation meets the threshold for disclosure under this Item.

AFG's insurance company subsidiaries and its 100%-owned subsidiary, American Premier Underwriters (including its subsidiaries, “American Premier”), are parties to litigation and receive claims alleging injuries and damages from asbestos, environmental and other substances and workplace hazards and have established loss accruals for such potential liabilities. None of such litigation or claims is individually material to AFG; however, the ultimate loss for these claims may vary materially from amounts currently recorded as the conditions surrounding resolution of these claims continue to change.

American Premier is a party or named as a potentially responsible party in a number of proceedings and claims by regulatory agencies and private parties under various environmental protection laws, including the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), seeking to impose responsibility on American Premier for hazardous waste or discharge remediation costs at certain railroad sites formerly owned by its predecessor, Penn Central Transportation Company (“PCTC”), and at certain other sites where hazardous waste or discharge allegedly generated by PCTC's railroad operations and American Premier's former manufacturing operations is present. It is difficult to estimate American Premier's liability for remediation costs at these sites for a number of reasons, including the number and financial resources of other potentially responsible parties involved at a given site, the varying availability of evidence by which to allocate responsibility among such parties, the wide range of costs for possible remediation alternatives, changing technology and the period of time over which these matters develop. Nevertheless, American Premier believes that its accruals for potential environmental liabilities are adequate to cover the probable amount of such liabilities, based on American Premier's estimates of remediation costs and related expenses and its estimates of the portions of such costs that will be borne by other parties. Such estimates are based on information currently available to American Premier and are subject to future change as additional information becomes available.

Table of Contents

## PART II

## ITEM 5

Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities  
AFG Common Stock is listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange and the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol AFG. The information presented in the table below represents the high and low sales prices per share reported on the NYSE Composite Tape.

	2013		2012	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$47.50	\$39.76	\$38.97	\$36.24
Second Quarter	49.88	46.45	40.54	37.37
Third Quarter	54.48	49.01	39.64	36.28
Fourth Quarter	58.44	52.44	40.40	36.92

There were approximately 6,200 shareholders of record of AFG Common Stock at February 1, 2014. AFG declared and paid regular quarterly dividends of \$0.195 per share in January, April and July 2013. In August 2013, AFG increased its quarterly dividend to \$0.22 and declared and paid its first dividend at that rate in October 2013. In December 2013, AFG declared and paid a special cash dividend of \$1.00 per share of AFG Common Stock. In 2012, AFG declared and paid quarterly dividends of \$0.175 per share in January, April and July and \$0.195 per share in October. In December 2012, AFG declared and paid a special cash dividend of \$0.25 per share of AFG Common Stock. The ability of AFG to pay dividends will be dependent upon, among other things, the availability of dividends and payments under intercompany tax allocation agreements from its insurance company subsidiaries.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities AFG repurchased shares of its Common Stock during 2013 as follows:

	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (a)
First Quarter	61,586	\$43.71	61,586	7,501,271
Second Quarter	1,386,570	48.37	1,386,570	6,114,701
Third Quarter	—	—	—	6,114,701
Fourth Quarter	—	—	—	6,114,701
Total	1,448,156	\$48.17	1,448,156	

(a) Represents the remaining shares that may be repurchased under the Plans authorized by AFG's Board of Directors in August 2012 and February 2013.

In addition, AFG acquired 45,179 shares of its Common Stock (at an average of \$46.38 per share) in the first nine months of 2013, 4,412 shares (at an average of \$56.18 per share) in November 2013 and 9,383 shares (at an average of \$57.96 per share) in December 2013 in connection with its stock incentive plans.

Table of Contents

## ITEM 6

## Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth certain data for the periods indicated (dollars in millions, except per share data).

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
<b>Earnings Statement Data:</b>					
Total revenues	\$5,092	\$4,957	\$4,643	\$4,400	\$4,208
Earnings before income taxes	689	537	558	694	818
Net earnings, including noncontrolling interests	453	402	319	426	534
Less: Net earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	(18 )	(86 )	(23 )	(56 )	11
Net earnings attributable to shareholders	471	488	342	482	523
<b>Earnings attributable to shareholders per Common Share:</b>					
Basic	\$5.27	\$5.18	\$3.37	\$4.41	\$4.52
Diluted	5.16	5.09	3.32	4.36	4.48
Cash dividends paid per share of Common Stock (a)	\$1.805	\$0.97	\$0.6625	\$0.575	\$0.52
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges including annuity benefits (b)	2.15	1.98	1.95	2.42	2.59
<b>Balance Sheet Data:</b>					
Cash and investments	\$31,313	\$28,449	\$25,577	\$22,670	\$19,791
Total assets	42,087	39,171	35,838	32,241	27,442
<b>Property and casualty insurance reserves:</b>					
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	6,410	6,845	6,520	6,413	6,412
Unearned premiums	1,757	1,651	1,484	1,534	1,568
Annuity benefits accumulated	20,944	17,609	15,420	12,905	11,335
Life, accident and health reserves	2,008	2,059	1,727	1,650	1,603
Long-term debt	913	953	934	952	828
Shareholders' equity	4,599	4,578	4,411	4,331	3,623
<b>Less:</b>					
Net unrealized gain on fixed maturities (c)	441	719	459	341	49
Appropriated retained earnings	49	75	173	197	—
Adjusted shareholders' equity (d)	4,109	3,784	3,779	3,793	3,574
Book value per share	\$51.38	\$51.45	\$45.08	\$41.18	\$31.95
Adjusted book value per share (d)	45.90	42.52	38.63	36.06	31.52

(a)Includes special cash dividends of \$1.00 and \$0.25 per share paid in December 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(b)Fixed charges are computed on a "total enterprise" basis. For purposes of calculating the ratios, "earnings" have been computed by adding to pretax earnings the fixed charges and the noncontrolling interests in earnings of subsidiaries having fixed charges and the undistributed equity in losses of investees. Fixed charges include interest (including annuity benefits as indicated), amortization of debt premium/discount and expense, preferred dividend and distribution requirements of subsidiaries and a portion of rental expense deemed to be representative of the interest factor. The ratio of earnings to fixed charges excluding annuity benefits was 8.86, 7.16, 6.59, 9.14 and 11.03 for 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Although the ratio of earnings to fixed charges excluding annuity



benefits is not required or encouraged to be disclosed under Securities and Exchange Commission rules, some investors and lenders may not consider interest credited to annuity policyholders' accounts a borrowing cost for an insurance company, and accordingly, believe this ratio is meaningful.

The net unrealized gain on fixed maturities is a component of accumulated other comprehensive income and is (c) shown net of related adjustments to deferred policy acquisition costs and certain liabilities in the annuity, long-term care and life businesses.

Adjusted shareholders' equity and adjusted book value per share exclude appropriated retained earnings and net unrealized gains related to fixed maturity securities. Management believes that investors find a measurement of (d) shareholders' equity excluding these items to be meaningful as (i) the unrealized gain on fixed maturities fluctuates with changes in interest rates in a way that is primarily only meaningful to AFG if it sells those investments and (ii) appropriated retained earnings represents amounts that will ultimately inure to the benefit of the debt holders of the collateralized loan obligations managed by AFG.

Table of Contents

## ITEM 7

## Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## INDEX TO MD&amp;A

	Page		Page
<u>General</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>Results of Operations — Fourth Quarter</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Overview</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>Segmented Statement of Earnings</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Critical Accounting Policies</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>Property and Casualty Insurance</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Annuity</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>Ratios</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Run-off Long-Term Care and Life</u>	<u>64</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Cash Flows</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Medicare Supplement and Critical Illness</u>	<u>64</u>
<u>Parent and Subsidiary Liquidity</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>Holding Company, Other and Unallocated</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>Condensed Parent Only Cash Flows</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>Results of Operations — Full Year</u>	<u>67</u>
<u>Contractual Obligations</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>Segmented Statement of Earnings</u>	<u>67</u>
<u>Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>Property and Casualty Insurance</u>	<u>69</u>
<u>Investments</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>Annuity</u>	<u>78</u>
<u>Uncertainties</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>Run-off Long-Term Care and Life</u>	<u>84</u>
<u>Managed Investment Entities</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>Medicare Supplement and Critical Illness</u>	<u>85</u>
<u>Results of Operations</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>Holding Company, Other and Unallocated</u>	<u>85</u>
<u>General</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>New Accounting Standards</u>	<u>87</u>

## GENERAL

Following is a discussion and analysis of the financial statements and other statistical data that management believes will enhance the understanding of AFG's financial condition and results of operations. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements beginning on page F-1.

## OVERVIEW

## Financial Condition

AFG is organized as a holding company with almost all of its operations being conducted by subsidiaries. AFG, however, has continuing cash needs for administrative expenses, the payment of principal and interest on borrowings, shareholder dividends, and taxes. Therefore, certain analyses are most meaningfully presented on a parent only basis while others are best done on a total enterprise basis. In addition, because most of its businesses are financial in nature, AFG does not prepare its consolidated financial statements using a current-noncurrent format. Consequently, certain traditional ratios and financial analysis tests are not meaningful.

At December 31, 2013, AFG (parent) held approximately \$576 million in cash and securities and had \$500 million available under a bank line of credit expiring in December 2016. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources — Parent and Subsidiary Liquidity" for a discussion of the planned acquisition of Summit Holdings Southeast, Inc.

## Results of Operations

Through the operations of its subsidiaries, AFG is engaged primarily in property and casualty insurance, focusing on specialized commercial products for businesses and in the sale of fixed and fixed-indexed annuities in the retail, financial institutions and education markets.

Net earnings attributable to AFG's shareholders were \$158 million (\$1.73 per share, diluted) for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$50 million (\$0.54 per share) in the fourth quarter of 2012, reflecting significantly higher profits in the annuity segment and improved underwriting results in the Specialty property and casualty businesses. The fourth quarter 2012 results include a \$99 million (\$1.08 per share) after-tax charge to write off deferred policy acquisition costs and strengthen reserves in AFG's closed block of long-term care insurance. This non-core charge was partially offset by \$39 million (\$0.43 per share) of tax benefits related to the settlement of open tax years following the favorable resolution of certain tax litigation.

## Table of Contents

Net earnings attributable to AFG's shareholders were \$471 million (\$5.16 per share) in 2013 compared to \$488 million (\$5.09 per share) in 2012. Significantly higher profits in the annuity segment and improved underwriting results in the Specialty property and casualty businesses were partially offset by higher special A&E charges and the absence of earnings from the Medicare supplement and critical illness segment, which was sold in August 2012. The \$17 million overall decrease in net earnings attributable to shareholders reflects the net impact of the following items recorded during 2012: (i) the gain on the sale of the Medicare supplement and critical illness segment, (ii) tax benefits related to the favorable resolution of certain tax litigation and settlement of open tax years, and (iii) a fourth quarter charge to write off deferred policy acquisition costs and strengthen reserves in AFG's closed block of long-term care insurance.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Significant accounting policies are summarized in Note A — "Accounting Policies" to the financial statements. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that can have a significant effect on amounts reported in the financial statements. As more information becomes known, these estimates and assumptions change and, thus, impact amounts reported in the future. The areas where management believes the degree of judgment required to determine amounts recorded in the financial statements make accounting policies critical are as follows:

- the establishment of insurance reserves, especially asbestos and environmental-related reserves and reserves for AFG's closed block of long-term care insurance,
- the recoverability of reinsurance,
- the recoverability of deferred acquisition costs,
- the establishment of asbestos and environmental reserves of former railroad and manufacturing operations, and
- the valuation of investments, including the determination of "other-than-temporary" impairments.

See "Liquidity and Capital Resources — Uncertainties" for a discussion of insurance reserves, recoverables from reinsurers, and contingencies related to American Premier's former operations and "Liquidity and Capital Resources — Investments" for a discussion of impairments on investments. DPAC and certain liabilities related to annuities and universal life insurance products are amortized in relation to the present value of expected gross profits on the policies. Assumptions considered in determining expected gross profits involve significant judgment and include management's estimates of interest rates and investment spreads, surrenders, annuitizations, renewal premiums and mortality. Should actual experience require management to change its assumptions (commonly referred to as "unlocking"), a charge or credit would be recorded to adjust DPAC or annuity liabilities to the levels they would have been if the new assumptions had been used from the inception date of each policy.

Reserves for future policy benefits related to AFG's closed block of long-term care insurance are established (and related acquisition costs are amortized) over the life of the policies based on policy benefit assumptions as of the date of issuance, including investment yields, mortality, morbidity, persistency, and expenses. Once these assumptions are established for a given policy or group of policies, they are not changed over the life of the policy unless a loss recognition event (premium deficiency) occurs. Loss recognition occurs when, based on current expectations as of the measurement date, existing contract liabilities plus the present value of future premiums, including reasonably expected rate increases, are not expected to cover the present value of future claims payments and related settlement and maintenance costs as well as unamortized acquisition costs. AFG recorded a loss recognition charge in its long-term care business in the fourth quarter of 2012. As a result of this charge, all remaining unamortized acquisition costs in the long-term care business were written off and policy benefit assumptions were reset to 2012 assumptions, resulting in an increase to the reserve for future policy benefits. These assumptions will not be changed again unless a future loss recognition event occurs. Although no additional loss recognition occurred in 2013, adverse changes in any of the current policy benefit assumptions could result in a future loss recognition event and additional charges to earnings.



Table of Contents

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Ratios AFG's debt to total capital ratio on a consolidated basis is shown below (dollars in millions). Management intends to maintain the ratio of debt to capital at or below 25% and intends to maintain the capital of its significant insurance subsidiaries at or above levels currently indicated by rating agencies as appropriate for the current ratings.

	December 31,		
	2013	2012	
Long-term debt	\$913	\$953	
Total capital	5,192	4,907	
Ratio of debt to total capital:			
Including debt secured by real estate	17.6	% 19.4	%
Excluding debt secured by real estate	16.6	% 18.4	%

The ratio of debt to total capital is a non-GAAP measure that management believes is useful for investors, analysts and independent ratings agencies to evaluate AFG's financial strength and liquidity and to provide insight into how AFG finances its operations. The ratio is calculated by dividing AFG's long-term debt by its total capital, which includes long-term debt, noncontrolling interests and shareholders' equity (excluding unrealized gains (losses) related to fixed maturity investments and appropriated retained earnings related to managed investment entities).

AFG's ratio of earnings to fixed charges, including annuity benefits as a fixed charge, was 2.15 for the year ended December 31, 2013. Excluding annuity benefits, this ratio was 8.86. Although the ratio excluding annuity benefits is not required or encouraged to be disclosed under Securities and Exchange Commission rules, it is presented because interest credited to annuity policyholder accounts is not always considered a borrowing cost for an insurance company.

The NAIC's model law for risk based capital ("RBC") applies to both life and property and casualty companies. RBC formulas determine the amount of capital that an insurance company needs so that it has an acceptable expectation of not becoming financially impaired. At December 31, 2013, the capital ratios of all AFG insurance companies substantially exceeded the RBC requirements.

Condensed Consolidated Cash Flows AFG's principal sources of cash include insurance premiums, income from its investment portfolio and proceeds from the maturities, redemptions and sales of investments. Insurance premiums in excess of acquisition expenses and operating costs are invested until they are needed to meet policyholder obligations or made available to the parent company through dividends to cover debt obligations and corporate expenses, and to provide returns to shareholders through share repurchases and dividends. AFG's cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities as detailed in its Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows are shown below (in millions):

	Year ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$760	\$817	\$667
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,915)	(1,425)	(2,439)
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,089	989	1,997
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	\$(66)	\$381	\$225

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities AFG's property and casualty insurance operations typically produce positive net operating cash flows as premiums collected and investment income exceed policy acquisition costs, claims payments and operating expenses. AFG's net cash provided by operating activities is impacted by the level and timing of property and casualty premiums, claim and expense payments and recoveries from reinsurers. AFG's annuity operations typically produce positive net operating cash flows as investment income exceeds acquisition costs and

operating expenses. Interest credited on annuity policyholder funds is a non-cash increase in AFG's annuity benefits accumulated liability and annuity premiums, benefits and withdrawals are considered financing activities due to the deposit-type nature of annuities. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$760 million, \$817 million and \$667 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The \$57 million decrease in net cash provided by operating activities in 2013 compared to 2012 and the \$150 million increase in net cash provided by operating activities in 2012 compared to 2011 is due primarily to the timing of claims payments and reinsurance recoveries in the property and casualty insurance operations.

## Table of Contents

**Net Cash Used in Investing Activities** AFG's investing activities consist primarily of the investment of funds provided by its property and casualty and annuity products. Net cash used in investing activities was \$2.92 billion in 2013 compared to \$1.43 billion in 2012, an increase of \$1.49 billion. The \$1.15 billion increase in net cash flows from annuity policyholders in 2013 as compared to 2012 (discussed below under net cash provided by financing activities) increased the amount of cash available for investment in 2013 compared to 2012. In addition, the increase in net cash used in investing activities reflects the use of cash and cash equivalents held in the property and casualty operations to purchase fixed maturity and equity securities during 2013. Investing activities also include the purchase and disposal of managed investment entity (collateralized loan obligation) investments, which are presented separately in AFG's Balance Sheet. Net investment activity in the managed investment entities was a \$478 million source of cash in 2013 compared to an \$8 million source of cash in 2012. See Note A — “Accounting Policies — Managed Investment Entities” and Note H — “Managed Investment Entities” to the financial statements.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$1.43 billion in 2012 compared to \$2.44 billion in 2011, a decrease of \$1.01 billion. The \$519 million decrease in net cash flows from annuity policyholders in 2012 as compared to 2011 (discussed below under net cash provided by financing activities) reduced the amount of cash available for investment in 2012 compared to 2011. In addition, cash on hand in the annuity and run-off long-term care and life segments increased by \$190 million during 2012 from year-end 2011 as net cash flows from annuity policyholders outpaced the investment of the funds received. Net investment activity in the managed investment entities was an \$8 million source of cash in 2012 compared to a \$172 million use of cash in 2011.

**Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities** AFG's financing activities consist primarily of transactions with annuity policyholders, issuances and retirements of long-term debt, repurchases of common stock and dividend payments. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$2.09 billion in 2013 compared to \$989 million in 2012, an increase of \$1.10 billion. Annuity receipts exceeded annuity surrenders, benefits, withdrawals and transfers by \$2.68 billion in 2013 compared to \$1.53 billion in 2012, resulting in a \$1.15 billion increase in net cash provided by financing activities. During 2013, AFG repurchased 1.4 million shares of its Common Stock for \$70 million compared to 10.9 million shares repurchased in 2012 for \$415 million, which accounted for \$345 million of the increase in net cash provided by financing activities in 2013 compared to 2012. Financing activities also include the issuance and retirement of managed investment entity liabilities, which are nonrecourse to AFG and presented separately in AFG's Balance Sheet. The retirement of managed investment entity liabilities exceed issuances by \$368 million in 2013 compared to \$49 million in 2012, accounting for a \$319 million reduction in net cash provided by financing activities in 2013 compared to 2012. See Managed Investment Entities in Note A — “Accounting Policies” and Note H — “Managed Investment Entities” to the financial statements.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$989 million in 2012 compared to \$2.00 billion in 2011, a decrease of \$1.01 billion. Annuity receipts exceeded annuity surrenders, benefits, withdrawals and transfers by \$1.53 billion in 2012 compared to \$2.04 billion in 2011, resulting in a \$519 million decrease in net cash provided by financing activities. During 2012, AFG repurchased 10.9 million shares of its Common Stock for \$415 million compared to 9.3 million shares repurchased in 2011 for \$315 million, which accounted for \$100 million of the decline in net cash provided by financing activities. The retirement of managed investment entity liabilities exceed issuances by \$49 million in 2012 while issuances of managed investment entity liabilities exceed retirements by \$328 million in 2011, accounting for \$377 million of the decrease in net cash provided by financing activities in 2012 compared to 2011.

## Parent and Subsidiary Liquidity

**Parent Holding Company Liquidity** Management believes AFG has sufficient resources to meet its liquidity requirements. If funds generated from operations, including dividends, tax payments and borrowings from subsidiaries, are insufficient to meet fixed charges in any period, AFG would be required to utilize parent company



cash and marketable securities or to generate cash through borrowings, sales of other assets, or similar transactions.

In December 2012, AFG replaced its bank credit facility with a four-year, \$500 million revolving credit line. Amounts borrowed under this agreement bear interest at rates ranging from 1.00% to 1.875% (currently 1.375%) over LIBOR based on AFG's credit rating. There were no borrowings under this agreement, or under any other parent company short-term borrowing arrangements, during 2013.

In January 2014, AFG announced that it had reached a definitive agreement to acquire Summit Holdings Southeast, Inc., from Liberty Mutual for \$250 million. Including a planned capital contribution at closing, AFG's total capital investment in the Summit business will be approximately \$400 million.

## Table of Contents

During 2013, AFG repurchased 1.4 million shares of its Common Stock for \$70 million. In December 2013, AFG paid a special cash dividend of \$1.00 per share of AFG Common Stock totaling approximately \$89 million.

In 2012, AFG issued \$125 million of 5-3/4% Senior Notes due 2042 and \$230 million of 6-3/8% Senior Notes due 2042 and used the proceeds to redeem outstanding higher rate debt. During 2012, AFG repurchased 10.9 million shares of its Common Stock for \$415 million. In December 2012, AFG paid a special cash dividend of \$0.25 per share of AFG Common Stock totaling approximately \$23 million. During 2011, AFG repurchased 9.3 million shares of its Common Stock for \$315 million.

All debentures and notes issued by AFG are rated investment grade by two nationally recognized rating agencies. Under a currently effective shelf registration statement, AFG can offer additional equity or debt securities. The shelf registration provides AFG with flexibility to access the capital markets from time to time as market and other conditions permit.

Under tax allocation agreements with AFG, its 80%-owned U.S. subsidiaries generally pay taxes to (or recover taxes from) AFG based on each subsidiary's contribution to amounts due under AFG's consolidated tax return.

**Subsidiary Liquidity** In February 2014, Great American Insurance Company ("GAI"), AFG's wholly-owned property and casualty insurance subsidiary, initiated a tender offer to acquire the 9.5 million shares of National Interstate Corporation ("NATL") that it does not currently own for \$286 million (\$30.00 per share, as adjusted). NATL is a 52%-owned property and casualty insurance subsidiary of GAI.

Great American Life Insurance Company ("GALIC"), a wholly-owned annuity subsidiary, is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati ("FHLB"). The FHLB makes advances and provides other banking services to member institutions, which provides the annuity operations with a substantial additional source of liquidity. These advances further the FHLB's mission of improving access to housing by increasing liquidity in the residential mortgage-backed securities market. The FHLB advanced GALIC \$240 million in the fourth quarter of 2011 and \$200 million in the second quarter of 2013 (included in annuity benefits accumulated). The interest rates on the advances range from 0.02% to 0.23% over LIBOR (average rate of 0.32% at December 31, 2013). While these advances must be repaid between 2016 and 2018, GALIC has the option to prepay all or a portion of the advances. GALIC has invested the proceeds from the advances in fixed maturity securities for the purpose of earning a spread over the interest payments due to the FHLB.

In November 2012, NATL replaced its \$50 million bank credit facility with a five-year, \$100 million unsecured credit agreement. There was \$12 million borrowed under this agreement at December 31, 2013, bearing interest at 1.11% (three-month LIBOR plus 0.875%). The maximum outstanding balance in 2013 was \$12 million.

The liquidity requirements of AFG's insurance subsidiaries relate primarily to the liabilities associated with their products as well as operating costs and expenses, payments of dividends and taxes to AFG and contributions of capital to their subsidiaries. Historically, cash flows from premiums and investment income have generally provided more than sufficient funds to meet these requirements. Funds received in excess of cash requirements are generally invested in additional marketable securities. In addition, the insurance subsidiaries generally hold a significant amount of highly liquid, short-term investments.

The excess cash flow of AFG's property and casualty group allows it to extend the duration of its investment portfolio somewhat beyond that of its claim reserves.

In the annuity business, where profitability is largely dependent on earning a "spread" between invested assets and annuity liabilities, the duration of investments is generally maintained close to that of liabilities. In a rising interest

rate environment, significant protection from withdrawals exists in the form of temporary and permanent surrender charges on AFG's annuity products. With declining rates, AFG receives some protection (from spread compression) due to the ability to lower crediting rates, subject to contractually guaranteed minimum interest rates ("GMIRs"). AFG began selling policies with GMIRs below 2% in 2003; almost all new business since late 2010 has been issued with a 1% GMIR. At December 31, 2013, AFG could reduce the average crediting rate of its \$16 billion of traditional fixed and fixed-indexed deferred annuities without guaranteed withdrawal benefits by approximately 48 basis points (on a weighted average basis).

For statutory accounting purposes, equity securities of non-affiliates are generally carried at fair value. At December 31, 2013, AFG's insurance companies owned publicly traded equity securities with a fair value of \$1.14 billion. In addition, GAI's investment in NATL common stock had a fair value of \$235 million and a statutory carrying value of \$186 million at December 31, 2013. Decreases in market prices could adversely affect the insurance group's capital, potentially impacting the amount of dividends available or necessitating a capital contribution. Conversely, increases in market prices could have a favorable impact on the group's dividend-paying capability.

Table of Contents

AFG believes its insurance subsidiaries maintain sufficient liquidity to pay claims and benefits and operating expenses. In addition, these subsidiaries have sufficient capital to meet commitments in the event of unforeseen events such as reserve deficiencies, inadequate premium rates or reinsurer insolvencies. Nonetheless, changes in statutory accounting rules, significant declines in the fair value of the insurance subsidiaries' investment portfolios or significant ratings downgrades on these investments, could create a need for additional capital.

**Condensed Parent Only Cash Flows** AFG's parent holding company only condensed cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities are shown below (in millions):

	Year ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$428	\$380	\$462
Net cash used in investing activities	(4	) (284	) (72
Net cash used in financing activities	(180	) (232	) (345
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	\$244	\$(136	) \$45

**Parent Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities** Parent holding company cash flows from operating activities consist primarily of dividends and tax payments received from AFG's insurance subsidiaries, reduced by tax payments to the IRS and holding company interest and other expenses. Parent holding company net cash provided by operating activities was \$428 million in 2013 compared to \$380 million in 2012 and \$462 million in 2011. Higher dividends from subsidiaries received in 2013 and 2011 as compared to 2012 were the primary driver of the \$48 million increase in net cash provided by operating activities in 2013 compared to 2012 and the \$82 million decrease in net cash provided by operating activities in 2012 compared to 2011.

**Parent Net Cash Used in Investing Activities** Parent holding company investing activities consist of capital contributions to and returns of capital from subsidiaries and, to a much lesser extent, parent company investment activity. Parent holding company net cash used in investing activities was \$4 million in 2013 compared to \$284 million in 2012 and \$72 million in 2011. The \$284 million in net cash used in investing activities in 2012 is significantly higher than the cash used in investing activities in 2013 and 2011 due primarily to capital contributions made to AAG Holding Company, Inc. to fund the July 2012 redemption of \$199 million of AAG Holding senior debentures.

**Parent Net Cash Used in Financing Activities** Parent company financing activities consist primarily of repurchases of AFG Common Stock, dividends to shareholders, the issuance and retirement of long-term debt and, to a lesser extent, proceeds from employee stock option exercises. Significant long-term debt and common stock transactions are discussed above. Parent holding company net cash used in financing activities was \$180 million in 2013 compared to \$232 million in 2012 and \$345 million in 2011. The \$52 million decrease in net cash used in financing activities in 2013 as compared to 2012 reflects a \$345 million decrease in common stock repurchases partially offset by the impact of \$229 million in cash provided in 2012 from debt issuances in excess of debt retirements and a \$70 million increase in cash dividends paid. The \$113 million decrease in net cash used in financing activities in 2012 compared to 2011 was due primarily to cash provided by the net debt issuances in 2012, partially offset by a \$100 million increase in common stock repurchases.

Table of Contents

**Contractual Obligations** The following table shows an estimate (based on historical patterns and expected trends) of payments to be made for insurance reserve liabilities, as well as scheduled payments for major contractual obligations (in millions).

	Total	Within One Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	More than 5 Years
Annuities (a)	\$20,944	\$1,808	\$4,319	\$4,659	\$10,158
Life, accident and health liabilities (a)	2,008	196	252	214	1,346
Property and casualty unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses (b)	6,410	1,600	1,500	800	2,510
Long-term debt, including interest	2,075	71	196	143	1,665
Liability for uncertain tax positions (c)	19	19	—	—	—
Purchase of Summit Holdings Southeast, Inc.	250	250	—	—	—
Operating leases	389	57	94	65	173
Total	\$32,095	\$4,001	\$6,361	\$5,881	\$15,852

Reserve projections include anticipated cash benefit payments only. Projections do not include any impact for future earnings or additional premiums. Based on the same assumptions, AFG projects reinsurance recoveries (a) related to life, accident and health reserves totaling \$953 million as follows: Within 1 year — \$114 million; 2-3 years — \$142 million; 4-5 years — \$124 million; and thereafter — \$573 million. Actual payments and their timing could differ significantly from these estimates.

Dollar amounts and time periods are estimates based on historical net payment patterns applied to the gross reserves and do not represent actual contractual obligations. Based on the same assumptions, AFG projects (b) reinsurance recoveries related to these reserves totaling \$2.1 billion as follows: Within 1 year — \$500 million; 2-3 years — \$500 million; 4-5 years — \$300 million; and thereafter — \$800 million. Actual payments and their timing could differ significantly from these estimates.

As a result of discussions with the IRS Appeals Office during the third quarter of 2013, AFG believes that its (c) liability for uncertain tax positions may be reduced by up to the full \$19 million balance within the coming year due to a settlement with the IRS. The majority of the reduction in this liability would result in offsetting adjustments to AFG's deferred tax liability.

AFG has no material contractual purchase obligations or other long-term liabilities at December 31, 2013.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements** See Note P — “Additional Information — Financial Instruments — Unfunded Commitments” to the financial statements.

**Investments** AFG attempts to optimize investment income while building the value of its portfolio, placing emphasis upon total long-term performance.

AFG's investment portfolio at December 31, 2013, contained \$26.46 billion in “Fixed maturities” classified as available for sale and \$1.18 billion in “Equity securities,” all carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in a separate component of shareholders' equity on an after-tax basis. In addition, \$305 million in fixed maturities were classified as trading with changes in unrealized holding gains or losses included in investment income.

As detailed in Note E — “Investments — Net Unrealized Gain on Marketable Securities” to the financial statements, unrealized gains and losses on AFG's fixed maturity and equity securities are included in shareholders' equity after adjustments for related changes in DPAC and certain liabilities related to annuity, long-term care and life businesses, noncontrolling interests and deferred income taxes. DPAC and certain other balance sheet amounts applicable to annuity, long-term care and life businesses are adjusted for the impact of unrealized gains or losses on investments as

if these gains or losses had been realized, with corresponding increases or decreases (net of tax) included in accumulated other comprehensive income in AFG's Balance Sheet.

Fixed income investment funds are generally invested in securities with intermediate-term maturities with an objective of optimizing total return while allowing flexibility to react to changes in market conditions. At December 31, 2013, the average life of AFG's fixed maturities was about 6-1/2 years.

Table of Contents

Fair values for AFG's portfolio are determined by AFG's internal investment professionals using data from nationally recognized pricing services as well as non-binding broker quotes. Fair values of equity securities are generally based on closing prices obtained from the pricing services. For mortgage-backed securities ("MBS"), which comprise approximately 26% of AFG's fixed maturities, prices for each security are generally obtained from both pricing services and broker quotes. For the remainder of AFG's fixed maturity portfolio, approximately 86% are priced using pricing services and the balance is priced primarily by using non-binding broker quotes. When prices obtained for the same security vary, AFG's internal investment professionals select the price they believe is most indicative of an exit price.

The pricing services use a variety of observable inputs to estimate fair value of fixed maturities that do not trade on a daily basis. Based upon information provided by the pricing services, these inputs include, but are not limited to, recent reported trades, benchmark yields, issuer spreads, bids or offers, reference data, and measures of volatility. Included in the pricing of MBS are estimates of the rate of future prepayments and defaults of principal over the remaining life of the underlying collateral. Due to the lack of transparency in the process that brokers use to develop prices, valuations that are based on brokers' prices are classified as Level 3 in the GAAP hierarchy unless the price can be corroborated, for example, by comparison to similar securities priced using observable inputs.

Valuation techniques utilized by pricing services and prices obtained from external sources are reviewed by AFG's internal investment professionals who are familiar with the securities being priced and the markets in which they trade to ensure the fair value determination is representative of an exit price. To validate the appropriateness of the prices obtained, these investment managers consider widely published indices (as benchmarks), recent trades, changes in interest rates, general economic conditions and the credit quality of the specific issuers. In addition, AFG communicates directly with pricing services regarding the methods and assumptions used in pricing, including verifying, on a test basis, the inputs used by the services to value specific securities.

In general, the fair value of AFG's fixed maturity investments is inversely correlated to changes in interest rates. The following table demonstrates the sensitivity of such fair values to reasonably likely changes in interest rates by illustrating the estimated effect on AFG's fixed maturity portfolio that an immediate increase of 100 basis points in the interest rate yield curve would have at December 31, 2013 (dollars in millions). Effects of increases or decreases from the 100 basis points illustrated would be approximately proportional.

Fair value of fixed maturity portfolio	\$26,761	
Pretax impact on fair value of 100 bps increase in interest rates	\$(1,204	)
Pretax impact as % of total fixed maturity portfolio	(4.5	%)

Approximately 86% of the fixed maturities held by AFG at December 31, 2013, were rated "investment grade" (credit rating of AAA to BBB) by nationally recognized rating agencies. Investment grade securities generally bear lower yields and lower degrees of risk than those that are unrated and non-investment grade. Management believes that the high quality investment portfolio should generate a stable and predictable investment return.

MBS are subject to significant prepayment risk due to the fact that, in periods of declining interest rates, mortgages may be repaid more rapidly than scheduled as borrowers refinance higher rate mortgages to take advantage of lower rates. Although interest rates have been low for the last few years, tighter lending standards have resulted in fewer buyers being able to refinance the mortgages underlying much of AFG's non-agency residential MBS portfolio.

Table of Contents

Summarized information for AFG's MBS (including those classified as trading) at December 31, 2013, is shown (dollars in millions) in the table below. Agency-backed securities are those issued by a U.S. government-backed agency; Alt-A mortgages are those with risk profiles between prime and subprime. The majority of the Alt-A securities and substantially all of the subprime securities are backed by fixed-rate mortgages. The average life of the residential and commercial MBS is approximately 5 years and 4 years, respectively.

Collateral type	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Fair Value as % of Cost	Unrealized Gain (Loss)	% Rated Investment Grade	
Residential:						
Agency-backed	\$297	\$300	101	% \$3	100	%
Non-agency prime	1,866	2,070	111	% 204	41	%
Alt-A	938	1,028	110	% 90	23	%
Subprime	857	923	108	% 66	18	%
Commercial	2,543	2,732	107	% 189	100	%
	\$6,501	\$7,053	108	% \$552	61	%

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") assigns creditworthiness designations on a scale of 1 to 6 with 1 being the highest quality and 6 being the lowest quality. The NAIC retains third-party investment management firms to assist in the determination of appropriate NAIC designations for mortgage-backed securities based not only on the probability of loss (which is the primary basis of ratings by the major ratings firms), but also on the severity of loss and statutory carrying value. At December 31, 2013, 98% (based on statutory carrying value of \$6.42 billion) of AFG's MBS securities had a NAIC designation of 1 or 2.

Municipal bonds represented approximately 20% of AFG's fixed maturity portfolio at December 31, 2013. AFG's municipal bond portfolio is high quality, with 99% of the securities rated investment grade at that date. The portfolio is well diversified across the states of issuance and individual issuers. At December 31, 2013, approximately 73% of the municipal bond portfolio was held in revenue bonds, with the remaining 27% held in general obligation bonds. General obligation securities of California, Illinois, Michigan, New Jersey, New York and Puerto Rico collectively represented less than 2% of this portfolio.



Table of Contents

Summarized information for the unrealized gains and losses recorded in AFG's Balance Sheet at December 31, 2013, is shown in the following table (dollars in millions). Approximately \$187 million of available for sale fixed maturity securities and \$75 million of equity securities had no unrealized gains or losses at December 31, 2013.

	Securities With Unrealized Gains		Securities With Unrealized Losses	
<b>Available for Sale Fixed Maturities</b>				
Fair value of securities	\$18,987		\$7,282	
Amortized cost of securities	\$17,592		\$7,587	
Gross unrealized gain (loss)	\$1,395		\$(305)	)
Fair value as % of amortized cost	108	%	96	%
Number of security positions	3,684		1,195	
Number individually exceeding \$2 million gain or loss	122		17	
Concentration of gains (losses) by type or industry (exceeding 5% of unrealized):				
Mortgage-backed securities	\$583		\$(31)	)
States and municipalities	156		(144)	)
Gas and electric services	111		(6)	)
Asset-backed securities	35		(19)	)
Banks, savings and credit institutions	106		(19)	)
Percentage rated investment grade	84	%	90	%
<b>Equity Securities</b>				
Fair value of securities	\$835		\$269	
Cost of securities	\$617		\$295	
Gross unrealized gain (loss)	\$218		\$(26)	)
Fair value as % of cost	135	%	91	%
Number of security positions	181		56	
Number individually exceeding \$2 million gain or loss	43		3	

The table below sets forth the scheduled maturities of AFG's available for sale fixed maturity securities at December 31, 2013, based on their fair values. Securities with sinking funds are reported at average maturity. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because certain securities may be called or prepaid by the issuers.

	Securities With Unrealized Gains		Securities With Unrealized Losses	
<b>Maturity</b>				
One year or less	4	%	1	%
After one year through five years	24	%	5	%
After five years through ten years	26	%	35	%
After ten years	8	%	29	%
	62	%	70	%
Asset-backed securities (average life of approximately 5 years)	6	%	18	%
Mortgage-backed securities (average life of approximately 4-1/2 years)	32	%	12	%
	100	%	100	%



Table of Contents

The table below (dollars in millions) summarizes the unrealized gains and losses on fixed maturity securities by dollar amount:

	Aggregate Fair Value	Aggregate Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Fair Value as % of Cost Basis	
Fixed Maturities at December 31, 2013				
Securities with unrealized gains:				
Exceeding \$500,000 (818 securities)	\$9,203	\$986	112	%
\$500,000 or less (2,866 securities)	9,784	409	104	%
	\$18,987	\$1,395	108	%
Securities with unrealized losses:				
Exceeding \$500,000 (179 securities)	\$2,254	\$(186)	92	%
\$500,000 or less (1,016 securities)	5,028	(119)	98	%
	\$7,282	\$(305)	96	%

The following table summarizes (dollars in millions) the unrealized loss for all securities with unrealized losses by issuer quality and the length of time those securities have been in an unrealized loss position:

	Aggregate Fair Value	Aggregate Unrealized Loss	Fair Value as % of Cost Basis	
Securities with Unrealized Losses at December 31, 2013				
Investment grade fixed maturities with losses for:				
Less than one year (944 securities)	\$6,371	\$(255)	96	%
One year or longer (50 securities)	217	(18)	92	%
	\$6,588	\$(273)	96	%
Non-investment grade fixed maturities with losses for:				
Less than one year (106 securities)	\$511	\$(14)	97	%
One year or longer (95 securities)	183	(18)	91	%
	\$694	\$(32)	96	%
Common equity securities with losses for:				
Less than one year (26 securities)	\$158	\$(16)	91	%
One year or longer (3 securities)	—	—	—	%
	\$158	\$(16)	91	%
Perpetual preferred equity securities with losses for:				
Less than one year (17 securities)	\$91	\$(6)	94	%
One year or longer (10 securities)	20	(4)	83	%
	\$111	\$(10)	92	%

When a decline in the value of a specific investment is considered to be “other-than-temporary,” a provision for impairment is charged to earnings (accounted for as a realized loss) and the cost basis of that investment is reduced by the amount of the charge. The determination of whether unrealized losses are “other-than-temporary” requires judgment based on subjective as well as objective factors. Factors considered and resources used by management include:

- whether the unrealized loss is credit-driven or a result of changes in market interest rates,
- the extent to which fair value is less than cost basis,
- cash flow projections received from independent sources,
-

historical operating, balance sheet and cash flow data contained in issuer SEC filings and news releases,

- e) near-term prospects for improvement in the issuer and/or its industry,
- f) third party research and communications with industry specialists,
- g) financial models and forecasts,
- h) the continuity of dividend payments, maintenance of investment grade ratings and hybrid nature of certain investments,
- i) discussions with issuer management, and
- j) ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for anticipated recovery in fair value.

## Table of Contents

Based on its analysis of the factors listed above, management believes AFG will recover its cost basis in the securities with unrealized losses and that AFG has the ability to hold the securities until they recover in value and had no intent to sell them at December 31, 2013. Although AFG has the ability to continue holding its investments with unrealized losses, its intent to hold them may change due to deterioration in the issuers' creditworthiness, decisions to lessen exposure to a particular issuer or industry, asset/liability management decisions, market movements, changes in views about appropriate asset allocation or the desire to offset taxable realized gains. Should AFG's ability or intent change with regard to a particular security, a charge for impairment would likely be required. While it is not possible to accurately predict if or when a specific security will become impaired, charges for other-than-temporary impairment could be material to results of operations in future periods. Significant declines in the fair value of AFG's investment portfolio could have a significant adverse effect on AFG's liquidity. For information on AFG's realized gains (losses) on securities, including charges for "other-than-temporary" impairment, see "Results of Operations — Consolidated Realized Gains (Losses) on Securities."

**Uncertainties** As more fully explained in the following paragraphs, management believes that the areas posing the greatest risk of material loss are the adequacy of its insurance reserves and contingencies arising out of its former railroad and manufacturing operations.

**Property and Casualty Insurance Reserves** Estimating the liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses ("LAE") is inherently judgmental and is influenced by factors that are subject to significant variation. Determining the liability is a complex process incorporating input from many areas of the Company including actuarial, underwriting, pricing, claims and operations management.

The estimates of liabilities for unpaid claims and for expenses of investigation and adjustment of unpaid claims are based upon: (i) the accumulation of case estimates for losses reported prior to the close of the accounting periods on direct business written ("case reserves"); (ii) estimates received from ceding reinsurers and insurance pools and associations; (iii) estimates of claims incurred but not reported or "IBNR" (including possible development on known claims); (iv) estimates (based on experience) of expense for investigating and adjusting claims; and (v) the current state of law and coverage litigation.

The process used to determine the total reserve for liabilities involves estimating the ultimate incurred losses and LAE, adjusted for amounts already paid on the claims. The IBNR reserve is derived by first estimating the ultimate unpaid reserve liability and subtracting case reserves for loss and LAE.

In determining management's best estimate of the ultimate liability, management (including Company actuaries) considers items such as the effect of inflation on medical, hospitalization, material, repair and replacement costs, the nature and maturity of lines of insurance, general economic trends and the legal environment. In addition, historical trends adjusted for changes in underwriting standards, policy provisions, product mix and other factors are analyzed using actuarial reserve development techniques. Weighing all of the factors, the management team determines a single or "point" estimate that it records as its best estimate of the ultimate liability. Ranges of loss reserves are not developed by Company actuaries. This reserve analysis and review is completed each quarter and for almost every line of business.

Each quarterly review includes in-depth analysis of over 400 subdivisions of the business, employing multiple actuarial techniques. For each subdivision, actuaries use informed, professional judgment to adjust these techniques as necessary to respond to specific conditions in the data or within the business.

Some of the standard actuarial methods employed for the quarterly reserve analysis may include (but may not be limited to):

• Case Incurred Development Method

• Paid Development Method  
• Projected Claim Count Times Projected Claim Severity  
• Bornhuetter-Ferguson Method  
• Incremental Paid LAE to Paid Loss Methods

Management believes that each method has particular strengths and weaknesses and that no single estimation method is most accurate in all situations. When applied to a particular group of claims, the relative strengths and weaknesses of each method can change over time based on the facts and circumstances. Ultimately, the estimation methods chosen are those which management believes produce the most reliable indication for the particular liabilities under review.

The period of time from the occurrence of a loss through the settlement of the liability is referred to as the “tail”. Generally, the same actuarial methods are considered for both short-tail and long-tail lines of business because most of them work properly for both. The methods are designed to incorporate the effects of the differing length of time to settle particular claims. For short-tail

Table of Contents

lines, management tends to give more weight to the Case Incurred and Paid Development methods, although the various methods tend to produce similar results. For long-tail lines, more judgment is involved, and more weight may be given to the Bornhuetter-Ferguson method. Liability claims for long-tail lines are more susceptible to litigation and can be significantly affected by changing contract interpretation and the legal environment. Therefore, the estimation of loss reserves for these classes is more complex and subject to a higher degree of variability.

The level of detail in which data is analyzed varies among the different lines of business. Data is generally analyzed by major product or by coverage within product, using countrywide data; however, in some situations, data may be reviewed by state for a few large volume states. Appropriate segmentation of the data is determined based on data volume, data credibility, mix of business, and other actuarial considerations.

Supplementary statistical information is also reviewed to determine which methods are most appropriate to use or if adjustments are needed to particular methods. Such information includes:

- Open and closed claim counts
- ▲ Average case reserves and average incurred on open claims
- Closure rates and statistics related to closed and open claim percentages
- ▲ Average closed claim severity
- Ultimate claim severity
- Reported loss ratios
- Projected ultimate loss ratios
- Loss payment patterns

Within each line, results of individual methods are reviewed, supplementary statistical information is analyzed, and all data from underwriting, operating and claim management are considered in deriving management's best estimate of the ultimate liability. This estimate may be the result of one method, a weighted average of several methods, or a judgmental selection as the management team determines is appropriate.

The following table shows (in millions) the breakdown of AFG's property and casualty reserves between case reserves, IBNR reserves and LAE reserves (estimated amounts required to adjust, record and settle claims, other than the claim payments themselves).

Statutory Line of Business	Gross Loss Reserves at December 31, 2013				
	Case	IBNR	LAE	Total Reserve	
Other liability — occurrence	\$407	\$1,270	\$274	\$1,951	
Workers' compensation	711	415	143	1,269	
Special property (fire, allied lines, inland marine, earthquake)	402	76	24	502	
Other liability — claims made	186	245	64	495	
Commercial auto/truck liability/medical	189	212	80	481	
Commercial multi-peril	157	85	80	322	
Other lines	182	379	163	724	
Total Statutory Reserves	2,234	2,682	828	5,744	
Adjustments for GAAP:					
Reserves of foreign operations	297	318	11	626	
Deferred gains on retroactive reinsurance	—	56	—	56	
Loss reserve discounting	(13	) —	—	(13	)
Other	(3	) —	—	(3	)
Total Adjustments for GAAP	281	374	11	666	

Total GAAP Reserves	\$2,515	\$3,056	\$839	\$6,410
---------------------	---------	---------	-------	---------

While current factors and reasonably likely changes in variable factors are considered in estimating the liability for unpaid losses, there is no method or system that can eliminate the risk of actual ultimate results differing from such estimates. As shown in footnote (a) to the reserve development table (loss triangle) on page 7, the original estimates of AFG's liability for losses and loss adjustment expenses, net of reinsurance and excluding the effect of special charges for asbestos and environmental exposures, over the past 10 years have developed through December 31, 2013, to be deficient (for one year) by



Table of Contents

8.8% and redundant (for nine years) by as much as 18.6%. This development illustrates the historical impact caused by variability in factors considered in estimating insurance reserves.

Following is a discussion of certain critical variables affecting the estimation of loss reserves of the more significant long-tail lines of business (asbestos and environmental liabilities are separately discussed below). Many other variables may also impact ultimate claim costs.

An important assumption underlying reserve estimates is that the cost trends implicitly built into development patterns will continue into the future. However, future results could vary due to an unexpected change in the underlying cost trends. This unexpected change could arise from a variety of sources including a general increase in economic inflation, inflation from social programs, new medical technologies, or other factors such as those listed below in connection with AFG's largest lines of business. It is not possible to isolate and measure the potential impact of just one of these variables, and future cost trends could be partially impacted by several such variables. However, it is reasonable to address the sensitivity of the reserves to potential impact from changes in these variables by measuring the effect of a possible overall 1% change in future cost trends that may be caused by one or more variables. Utilizing the effect of a 1% change in overall cost trends enables changes greater than 1% to be estimated by extrapolation. Each additional 1% change in the cost trend would increase the effect on net earnings by an amount slightly (about 5%) greater than the effect of the previous 1%. For example, if a 1% change in cost trends in a line of business would change net earnings by \$20 million, a 2% change would change net earnings by approximately \$41 million.

The estimated cumulative impact that a 1% change in cost trends would have on net earnings is shown below (in millions).

Line of business	Effect of 1% Change in Cost Trends
Other liability — occurrence	\$21
Workers' compensation	26
Other liability — claims made	9
Commercial auto/truck liability/medical	6
Commercial multi-peril	3

The judgments and uncertainties surrounding management's reserve estimation process and the potential for reasonably possible variability in management's most recent reserve estimates may also be viewed by looking at how recent historical estimates of reserves have developed. The following table shows (dollars in millions) what the impact on AFG's net earnings would be on the more significant lines of business if the December 31, 2013, reserves (net of reinsurance) developed at the same rate as the average development of the most recent five years.

	5-yr. Average Development (*)	Net Reserves (**) December 31, 2013	Effect on Net Earnings (**)
Other liability — occurrence	(4.3	%) \$ 747	\$32
Workers' compensation	(0.2	%) 926	2
Other liability — claims made	(7.2	%) 373	27
Commercial auto/truck liability/ medical	(0.1	%) 364	—
Commercial multi-peril	4.4	%) 175	(8 )

(\*) Adverse (favorable), net of tax effect.

(\*\*) Excludes asbestos and environmental liabilities.



## Table of Contents

The following discussion describes key assumptions and important variables that affect the estimate of the reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses of the more significant lines of business and explains what caused them to change from assumptions used in the preceding period.

### Other Liability — Occurrence

This long-tail line of business consists of coverages protecting the insured against legal liability resulting from negligence, carelessness, or a failure to act causing property damage or personal injury to others. Some of the important variables affecting estimation of loss reserves for other liability — occurrence include:

- Litigious climate
- Unpredictability of judicial decisions regarding coverage issues
- Magnitude of jury awards
- Outside counsel costs
- Timing of claims reporting

AFG recorded favorable reserve development of \$11 million in 2013, \$43 million in 2012 and \$50 million in 2011 related to its other liability — occurrence coverage where both the frequency and severity of claims were lower than previously projected.

During 2012, AFG recorded \$28 million of favorable reserve development on claims related to the use of Chinese drywall in residential construction in prior years. Much of the uncertainty in estimating the potential exposure and possible liabilities for such claims was clarified during 2012 by favorable judicial decisions and the announcements of settlements of class action lawsuits making the potential liabilities better defined and more effectively anticipated.

While management applies the actuarial methods mentioned above, more judgment is involved in arriving at the final reserve to be held. For recent accident years, more weight is given to the Bornhuetter-Ferguson method.

### Workers' Compensation

This long-tail line of business provides coverage to employees who may be injured in the course of employment. Some of the important variables affecting estimation of loss reserves for workers' compensation include:

- Legislative actions and regulatory and legal interpretations
- Future medical cost inflation
- Economic conditions
- Timing of claims reporting

Approximately 44% of AFG's workers compensation business is currently written in California. Over the last 11 years, there have been numerous reforms, revisions and interpretations of regulations. Reforms in 2003 and 2004 tended to reduce costs and benefits. During the economic downturn, these trends were reversed and costs began to increase, causing results to differ from expectations. In recent years, AFG has experienced an improved pricing environment leading to improved results for this business. At this time, there is uncertainty around the impact of California Senate Bill 863, which was passed in August 2012. The legislation has led to increased benefits for injured workers, while cost-saving efficiencies have yet to be implemented. Such frequent, significant changes in the operating environment make it difficult to appropriately price these insurance policies and estimate related liabilities.

AFG's subsidiary that writes workers' compensation business in California recorded favorable reserve development of \$7 million in 2013 compared to less than \$1 million in 2012 and \$5 million in 2011.

### Other Liability — Claims Made

This long-tail line of business consists mostly of directors' and officers' liability ("D&O"). Some of the important variables affecting estimation of loss reserves for other liability — claims made include:

- Litigious climate
- Economic conditions
- Variability of stock prices
- Magnitude of jury awards

The general state of the economy and the variability of the stock price of the insured can affect the frequency and severity of shareholder class action suits and other situations that trigger coverage under D&O policies. From 2008 to 2010, economic

## Table of Contents

conditions led to higher frequency and severity of claims, particularly in the D&O policies for small account and not-for-profit organizations. Recently, claim frequency has decreased from its peak in 2010.

AFG recorded favorable prior year loss development of \$41 million in 2013, \$16 million in 2012 and \$66 million in 2011 on its D&O business as claim severity was less than expected across several prior accident years.

AFG's legal professional liability business has been in run-off since 2008 with only 41 claims remaining open at December 31, 2013. These claims are expected to settle within the existing reserves. AFG recorded favorable reserve development of \$2 million in 2013 compared to less than \$1 million in 2012 and \$17 million in 2011 on the run-off legal and professional liability business.

While management applies the actuarial methods mentioned above, more judgment may be needed to determine appropriate reserves due to the complexity of claims, litigation and the length of time necessary to determine exposure.

### Commercial Auto/Truck Liability/Medical

This line of business is a mix of coverage protecting the insured against legal liability for property damage or personal injury to others arising from the operation of commercial motor vehicles. The property damage liability exposure is usually short-tail with relatively quick reporting and settlement of claims. The bodily injury and medical payments exposures are longer-tailed; although the claim reporting is relatively quick, the final settlement can take longer to achieve. Some of the important variables affecting estimation of loss reserves for commercial auto/truck liability/medical are similar to other liability — occurrence and include:

- Magnitude of jury awards
- Unpredictability of judicial decisions regarding coverage issues
- Litigious climate and trends
- Change in frequency of severe accidents
- Health care costs and utilization of medical services by injured parties

AFG recorded adverse prior year reserve development of \$37 million in 2013 and \$1 million in 2012 for this line of business as claim severity was significantly higher than expected, particularly from accident years 2010 to 2012. AFG recorded favorable prior year loss development of \$8 million in 2011 as claim severity was lower than in prior assumptions.

### Commercial Multi-Peril

This long-tail line of business consists of two or more coverages protecting the insured from various property and liability risk exposures. The commercial multi-peril line of business includes coverage similar to other liability — occurrence, so in general, variables affecting estimation of loss reserves for commercial multi-peril include those mentioned above for other liability — occurrence. In addition, this line includes reserves for a run-off book of homebuilders' business covering contractors' liability for construction defects. Variables important to estimating the liabilities for this coverage include:

- Changing legal/regulatory interpretations of coverage
- Statutes of limitations and statutes of repose in filing claims
- Changes in policy forms and endorsements

AFG recorded adverse prior year reserve development of less than \$1 million in 2013, \$35 million in 2012 and \$13 million in 2011 on this line of business. The adverse development resulted from higher claim frequency and severity in a block of program business related to motel/hotel, apartments, restaurants, taverns and recreation. This

adverse development more than offset favorable development in coverage for non-profit organizations of \$4 million in 2013, \$6 million in 2012 and \$6 million in 2011 as claim severity was less than anticipated.

Table of Contents

Reserves of Foreign Operations

Reserves of foreign operations relate primarily to the operations of Marketform Group, Limited, AFG’s wholly-owned United Kingdom-based Lloyd’s insurer. Historically, the largest line of business written by Marketform has been non-U.S. medical malpractice, which provides coverage for injuries and damages caused by medical care providers, including but not limited to, hospitals and their physicians. Although Marketform offers this product in approximately 30 countries, the majority of the business has been written in the United Kingdom, Australia and Italy. Significant variables in estimating the loss reserves for the medical malpractice business include:

- Litigious environment

- Magnitude of court awards

- A slow moving judicial system including varying approaches to medical malpractice claims among courts in different regions of Italy

- Third party claims administration in Italy

- Trends in claim costs, including medical cost inflation and, in Italy, escalating tables used to establish damages for personal injury

Marketform recorded adverse prior year reserve development of \$1 million in 2013, \$10 million in 2012 and \$44 million in 2011. Development in 2012 and 2011 related primarily to Italian public hospital medical malpractice business, which Marketform ceased writing in 2008. The development resulted from significant issues related to third party administration of claims and a challenging legal environment in Italy. Management believes that current reserves, which represent its best estimate of future liabilities, are adequate. Nonetheless, it concluded that sufficient uncertainty exists with respect to Italian public hospital medical malpractice reserves to leave open the 2007 year of account, in accordance with Lloyd’s provisions until a larger percentage of claims have been paid and the ultimate liabilities can be estimated with greater certainty.

Traditional actuarial techniques are not applicable to the Italian public hospital medical malpractice business due to the significant changes in this account over time. Accordingly, more detailed methods are used, including claim count development times average severity, and uplifting case reserves to historical severity levels.

**Recoverables from Reinsurers and Availability of Reinsurance** AFG is subject to credit risk with respect to its reinsurers, as reinsurance contracts do not relieve AFG of its liability to policyholders. To mitigate this risk, substantially all reinsurance is ceded to companies with investment grade S&P ratings or is secured by “funds withheld” or other collateral.

The availability and cost of reinsurance are subject to prevailing market conditions, which are beyond AFG’s control and which may affect AFG’s level of business and profitability. Although the cost of certain reinsurance programs may increase, management believes that AFG will be able to maintain adequate reinsurance coverage at acceptable rates without a material adverse effect on AFG’s results of operations. AFG’s gross and net combined ratios are shown in the table below.

See Item 1 — Business — “Property and Casualty Insurance Segment — Reinsurance” for more information on AFG’s reinsurance programs. For additional information on the effect of reinsurance on AFG’s historical results of operations see Note O — “Insurance — Reinsurance” to the financial statements and the gross loss development table under Item 1 — Business — “Property and Casualty Insurance Segment — Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves.”

The following table illustrates the effect that purchasing property and casualty reinsurance has had on AFG’s combined ratio over the last three years.

	2013	2012	2011	
Before reinsurance (gross)	93.3	% 108.7	% 89.0	%

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Effect of reinsurance	2.2	%	(11.8	%)	4.4	%
Actual (net of reinsurance)	95.5	%	96.9	%	93.4	%

Outside of its property and casualty operations, AFG has reinsurance recoverables totaling \$953 million, including \$586 million from Hannover Life Reassurance Company of America (rated A+ by A.M. Best) and \$200 million from Loyal American Life Insurance Company, a subsidiary of Cigna (rated A- by A.M. Best). This reinsurance is related primarily to the reinsurance of certain benefits in AFG's run-off long-term care and life operations and the August 2012 sale of its Medicare supplement and critical illness businesses.



Table of Contents

Asbestos and Environmental-related (“A&E”) Insurance Reserves Asbestos and environmental reserves of the property and casualty group consisted of the following (in millions):

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Asbestos	\$210	\$305
Environmental	91	68
A&E reserves, net of reinsurance recoverable	301	373
Reinsurance recoverable, net of allowance	83	98
Gross A&E reserves	\$384	\$471

Asbestos reserves include claims asserting alleged injuries and damages from exposure to asbestos. Environmental reserves include claims relating to polluted waste sites.

Asbestos claims against manufacturers, distributors or installers of asbestos products were presented under the products liability section of their policies which typically had aggregate limits that capped an insurer’s liability. In recent years, a number of asbestos claims are being presented as “non-products” claims, such as those by installers of asbestos products and by property owners or operators who allegedly had asbestos on their property, under the premises or operations section of their policies. Unlike products exposures, these non-products exposures typically had no aggregate limits, creating potentially greater exposure for insurers. Further, in an effort to seek additional insurance coverage, some insureds with installation activities who have substantially eroded their products coverage are presenting new asbestos claims as non-products operations claims or attempting to reclassify previously settled products claims as non-products claims to restore a portion of previously exhausted products aggregate limits. AFG, along with other insurers, is and will be subject to such non-products claims. It is difficult to predict whether insureds will be successful in asserting claims under non-products coverage or whether AFG and other insurers will be successful in asserting additional defenses. Therefore, the future impact of such efforts is uncertain.

Approximately 39% of AFG’s net asbestos reserves relate to policies written directly by AFG subsidiaries. Claims from these policies generally are product oriented claims with only a limited amount of non-products exposures, and are dominated by small to mid-sized commercial entities that are mostly regional policyholders with few national target defendants. The remainder is assumed reinsurance business that includes exposures for the periods 1954 to 1983. The asbestos and environmental assumed claims are ceded by various insurance companies under reinsurance treaties. A majority of the individual assumed claims have exposures of less than \$100,000 to AFG. Asbestos losses assumed include some of the industry known manufacturers, distributors and installers. Pollution losses include industry known insured names and sites.

Establishing reserves for A&E claims relating to policies and participations in reinsurance treaties and former operations is subject to uncertainties that are significantly greater than those presented by other types of claims. For this group of claims, traditional actuarial techniques that rely on historical loss development trends cannot be used and a range of reasonably possible losses cannot be estimated. Case reserves and expense reserves are established by the claims department as specific policies are identified. In addition to the case reserves established for known claims, management establishes additional reserves for claims not yet known or reported and for possible development on known claims. These additional reserves are management’s best estimate based on periodic comprehensive studies and internal reviews adjusted for payments and identifiable changes, supplemented by management’s review of industry information about such claims, with due consideration to individual claim situations.

Management believes that estimating the ultimate liability for asbestos claims presents a unique and difficult challenge to the insurance industry due to, among other things, inconsistent court decisions, an increase in bankruptcy filings as a result of asbestos-related liabilities, novel theories of coverage, and judicial interpretations that often expand theories of recovery and broaden the scope of coverage. The casualty insurance industry is engaged in

extensive litigation over these coverage and liability issues as the volume and severity of claims against asbestos defendants continue to increase. Environmental claims likewise present challenges in prediction, due to uncertainty regarding the interpretation of insurance policies, complexities regarding multi-party involvements at sites, evolving cleanup standards and protracted time periods required to assess the level of cleanup required at contaminated sites.

Table of Contents

The following factors could impact AFG's reserves and payments:

There is a growing interest at the state level to attempt to legislatively address asbestos liabilities and the manner in which asbestos claims are resolved. These developments are fluid and could result in piecemeal state-by-state solutions.

The manner by which bankruptcy courts are addressing asbestos liabilities is in flux.

AFG's insureds may make claims alleging significant non-products exposures.

While management believes that AFG's reserves for A&E claims are a reasonable estimate of ultimate liability for such claims, actual results may vary materially from the amounts currently recorded due to the difficulty in predicting the number of future claims, the impact of recent bankruptcy filings, and unresolved issues such as whether coverage exists, whether policies are subject to aggregate limits on coverage, how claims are to be allocated among triggered policies and implicated years, and whether claimants who exhibit no signs of illness will be successful in pursuing their claims. A 1% variation in loss cost trends, caused by any of the factors previously described, would change net income by approximately \$15 million.

AFG tracks its A&E claims by policyholder. The following table shows, by type of claim, the number of policyholders that did not receive any payments in the calendar year separate from policyholders that did receive a payment. Policyholder counts represent policies written by AFG subsidiaries and do not include assumed reinsurance.

	2013	2012	2011
Number of policyholders with no indemnity payments:			
Asbestos	142	129	113
Environmental	116	97	98
	258	226	211
Number of policyholders with indemnity payments:			
Asbestos	48	54	58
Environmental	24	21	26
	72	75	84
Total	330	301	295

Amounts paid (net of reinsurance recoveries) for asbestos and environmental claims, including loss adjustment expenses, were as follows (in millions):

	2013	2012	2011
Asbestos	\$116	\$15	\$13
Environmental	15	17	17
Total	\$131	\$32	\$30

Asbestos claims paid in 2013 include payments totaling \$106 million associated with the settlement of A.P. Green Industries and another large claim. Substantially all of the settlement amounts had been accrued for in prior years. The survival ratio is a measure often used by industry analysts to compare A&E reserves strength among companies. This ratio is typically calculated by dividing reserves for A&E exposures by the three year average of paid losses, and therefore measures the number of years that it would take to pay off current reserves based on recent average payments. Because this ratio can be significantly impacted by a number of factors such as loss payout variability, caution should be exercised in attempting to determine reserve adequacy based simply on the survival ratio. At December 31, 2013, the property and casualty insurance segment's three year survival ratios, excluding amounts associated with the settlements of A.P. Green Industries and another large claim, were 16.6 times paid losses for the asbestos reserves, 5.7 times paid losses for environmental reserves and 10.5 times paid losses for total A&E reserves. Overall, these ratios compare favorably with data published by A.M. Best in October 2013, which indicate that industry survival ratios were 10.0 for asbestos, 5.8 for environmental, and 8.8 for total A&E reserves at December 31, 2012.

AFG has periodically conducted comprehensive external studies of its asbestos and environmental exposures relating to the run-off operations of its property and casualty insurance segment and exposures related to its former railroad and manufacturing operations with the aid of specialty actuarial, engineering and consulting firms and outside counsel, generally every two years, with an in-depth internal review during the intervening years.

As a result of the comprehensive external study completed in the third quarter of 2013, AFG's property and casualty insurance segment recorded a \$54 million pretax special charge to increase its asbestos reserves by \$16 million (net of reinsurance) and its environmental reserves by \$38 million (net of reinsurance). The increase in the property and casualty segment's asbestos reserves was driven primarily by slightly higher than expected loss experience, higher defense costs and some increased claim

Table of Contents

severity. As the overall industry exposure to asbestos has matured, the focus of litigation has shifted to smaller companies and companies with ancillary exposures. AFG's insureds with these exposures have been the driver of the property and casualty segment's asbestos reserve increases. The increase in property and casualty environmental reserves was attributed primarily to a small number of claims where the estimated costs of remediation have increased. There were no newly identified or emerging broad industry trends that were identified in this study. An in-depth internal review of AFG's A&E reserves was completed in the third quarter of 2012 by AFG's internal A&E claims specialists and actuaries in consultation with specialty outside counsel and an outside consultant. As a result of the review, AFG recorded a \$31 million pretax special charge to increase the property and casualty segment's asbestos reserves by \$19 million (net of reinsurance) and its environmental reserves by \$12 million (net of reinsurance). The charge relates primarily to an increase in environmental investigative costs and related loss adjustment expenses. As a result of the comprehensive external study completed in 2011, AFG recorded a \$50 million pretax special charge to increase its property and casualty group's asbestos reserves by \$28 million (net of reinsurance) and its environmental reserves by \$22 million (net of reinsurance). The property and casualty group's asbestos reserves increase related primarily to exposures on business assumed from other insurers resulting from an increase in anticipated aggregate exposures in several large settlements involving several insurers in which AFG has a small proportional share. Asbestos reserves related to the property and casualty group's direct asbestos exposures were increased to reflect higher frequency and severity of mesothelioma and other cancer claims as well as increased defense costs on many of these claims. The increase in the property and casualty group's environmental reserves was attributed primarily to a small number of increases on specific environmental claims at several sites.

**Run-off Long-term Care Insurance** AFG, as well as other companies that sell long-term care products, have accumulated relatively limited claims, lapse and mortality experience, making it difficult to predict future claims. Long-term care claims tend to be much higher in dollar amount and longer in duration than other health care products. In addition, long-term care claims are incurred much later in the life of a policy than most other health products. These factors made it difficult to appropriately price this product and were instrumental in AFG's decision to stop writing new policies in January 2010. AFG's outstanding long-term care policies have level premiums and are guaranteed renewable. Premium rates can potentially be increased in reaction to adverse experience; however, any rate increases would require regulatory approval.

Reserves for future policy benefits under long-term care policies are established (and related acquisition costs are amortized) over the life of the policies based on policy benefit assumptions as of the date of issuance, including investment yields, mortality, morbidity, persistency and expenses. Once these assumptions are established for a given policy or group of policies, they are not changed over the life of the policy unless a loss recognition event (premium deficiency) occurs. Loss recognition occurs when, based on current expectations as of the measurement date, the existing contract liabilities plus the present value of future premiums (including reasonably expected rate increases), are not expected to cover the present value of future claims payments, related settlement and maintenance costs, and unamortized acquisition costs. In performing loss recognition testing on the closed block of long-term care business, AFG estimates future claims, persistency, expenses, investment performance, and reinvestment rates, among other assumptions.

At December 31, 2012, loss recognition testing assumptions for claim costs, expenses and persistency were updated based on the results of AFG's periodic internal analysis of claim experience, including the impact of supplemental data from an external actuarial study that used a large, uniform database of industry experience. Ultimate voluntary lapse rates for most in-force business range from 0.5% to 2.5%, varying by policy form, marital status, and the presence of inflation protection. Projected reinvestment rates are based on assumptions about future treasury rates, investment spreads and the types and duration of future investments. In aggregate, net reinvestment rates (net of expense and default assumptions) in the 2012 loss recognition testing were estimated at 4.54% for 2013, and projected to increase gradually to 6.00% in 2023. After 2023, reinvestment rates were projected to be relatively flat. As a result of the updated assumptions discussed above, AFG recorded a \$153 million pretax loss recognition charge in the fourth

quarter of 2012 to write off all of the remaining deferred policy acquisition costs and strengthen reserves in this business. As part of the loss recognition charge, policy benefit assumptions were reset to the 2012 assumptions and will not be changed again unless a future loss recognition event occurs.

At December 31, 2013, the most significant loss recognition testing assumption update was to projected reinvestment rates. Due to higher than anticipated increases in interest rates, net reinvestment rates (net of expense and default assumptions) were estimated at 5.01% for 2014 and projected to increase gradually to 6.25% in 2022. After 2022, reinvestment rates were projected to be relatively flat. As a result of the updated assumptions, the existing contract liabilities plus the present value of future premiums (including reasonably expected rate increases) exceeded the present value of future claims payments and related settlement and maintenance costs by approximately \$64 million (a loss recognition margin). As a result, no additional

Table of Contents

loss recognition charges were recorded and the assumptions used to establish reserves for future policy benefits from the 2012 loss recognition charge continue to be used.

Although management believes that its loss recognition assumptions at December 31, 2013, are reasonable, actual results will depend on how well future experience conforms to these assumptions, including the level and type of claim activity, persistency, expected rate increase approvals, and reinvestment rates. The relationship among these assumptions is complex, with deviations in one assumption often influencing the outcome of others. External factors, including, but not limited to changes in the regulatory and judicial environment, along with medical advancements and innovation in long-term care delivery systems, could have a material impact on the ultimate performance of this closed block of business. The loss recognition charge recorded in 2012 did not include any margin for adverse deviation in the reserve assumptions (in accordance with accounting guidance).

The following table (in millions) illustrates the impact on the loss recognition margin of adverse changes in key loss recognition assumptions. Once the loss recognition margin (approximately \$64 million at December 31, 2013) is reduced to zero, the impact of adverse changes in assumptions, unless offset by other favorable assumption changes, would be recorded as an increase in long-term care reserves through a charge to earnings. The result of each assumption change represents a reduction in the loss recognition margin that would result from using the more adverse assumption. Each item reflects a change to a single assumption without changes to other assumptions. For example, assuming increased claim payments did not change the assumption on future rate increases and persistency, nor did it change projected investment yields resulting from cash flow differences. These amounts are valid for a point in time, and will change in future periods as the in-force block ages, and as actual performance deviates from the assumptions used at December 31, 2013.

Assumption Change	Reduction in Loss Recognition Margin (pretax)
5% higher morbidity in all future years	\$50 — \$60
5% lower lapse and mortality rates in all future years	\$30 — \$35
25 bps lower reinvestment rates in all future years	\$20 — \$25
Every expected rate increase approval is 1% lower	\$15 — \$20

Conversely, favorable changes in the assumptions above would increase the loss recognition margin. For example, the increase in the loss recognition margin that occurred in 2013 resulted primarily from an increase in projected reinvestment rates.

**Contingencies related to Subsidiaries' Former Operations** The A&E studies and reviews discussed above encompassed reserves for various environmental and occupational injury and disease claims and other contingencies arising out of the railroad operations disposed of by American Premier's predecessor and certain manufacturing operations disposed of by American Premier and its subsidiaries and by Great American Financial Resources, Inc. Charges resulting from the A&E studies and review were \$22 million in 2013, and less than \$10 million in 2012 and 2011. For a discussion of the \$22 million charge recorded for those operations in 2013, see "Holding Company, Other and Unallocated — Results of Operations." In the third quarter of 2012, AFG recorded a pretax charge of \$15 million for an adverse judgment received in a long-standing labor dispute involving American Premier's former railroad employees, the likelihood of which was previously considered to be remote. Liabilities for claims and contingencies arising from these former railroad and manufacturing operations totaled \$96 million at December 31, 2013. For a discussion of the uncertainties in determining the ultimate liability, see Note M — "Contingencies" to the financial statements.

**MANAGED INVESTMENT ENTITIES**

Accounting standards require AFG to consolidate its investments in collateralized loan obligation (“CLO”) entities that it manages and owns an interest in (in the form of debt). See Note A — “Accounting Policies — Managed Investment Entities” and Note H — “Managed Investment Entities” to the financial statements. The effect of consolidating these entities is shown in the tables below (in millions). The “Before CLO Consolidation” columns include AFG’s investment and earnings in the CLOs on an unconsolidated basis.

43

---



Table of Contents

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

	Before CLO Consolidation	Managed Investment Entities	Consol. Entries		Consolidated As Reported
December 31, 2013					
Assets:					
Cash and investments	\$31,584	\$—	\$(271)	) (a)	\$ 31,313
Assets of managed investment entities	—	2,888	—		2,888
Other assets	7,887	—	(1)	) (a)	7,886
Total assets	\$39,471	\$2,888	\$(272)	)	\$ 42,087
Liabilities:					
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses and unearned premiums	\$8,167	\$—	\$—		\$ 8,167
Annuity, life, accident and health benefits and reserves	22,952	—	—		22,952
Liabilities of managed investment entities	—	2,839	(272)	) (a)	2,567
Long-term debt and other liabilities	3,632	—	—		3,632
Total liabilities	34,751	2,839	(272)	)	37,318
Shareholders' equity:					
Common Stock and Capital surplus	1,213	—	—		1,213
Retained earnings:					
Appropriated — managed investment entities	—	49	—		49
Unappropriated	2,777	—	—		2,777
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax	560	—	—		560
Total shareholders' equity	4,550	49	—		4,599
Noncontrolling interests	170	—	—		170
Total equity	4,720	49	—		4,769
Total liabilities and equity	\$39,471	\$2,888	\$(272)	)	\$ 42,087
December 31, 2012					
Assets:					
Cash and investments	\$28,706	\$—	\$(257)	) (a)	\$ 28,449
Assets of managed investment entities	—	3,225	—		3,225
Other assets	7,498	—	(1)	) (a)	7,497
Total assets	\$36,204	\$3,225	\$(258)	)	\$ 39,171
Liabilities:					
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses and unearned premiums	\$8,496	\$—	\$—		\$ 8,496
Annuity, life, accident and health benefits and reserves	19,668	—	—		19,668
Liabilities of managed investment entities	—	3,130	(238)	) (a)	2,892
Long-term debt and other liabilities	3,367	—	—		3,367
Total liabilities	31,531	3,130	(238)	)	34,423
Shareholders' equity:					
Common Stock and Capital surplus	1,152	20	(20)	)	1,152
Retained earnings:					
Appropriated — managed investment entities	—	75	—		75
Unappropriated	2,520	—	—		2,520
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax	831	—	—		831
Total shareholders' equity	4,503	95	(20)	)	4,578

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Noncontrolling interests	170	—	—	170
Total equity	4,673	95	(20 )	4,748
Total liabilities and equity	\$36,204	\$ 3,225	\$(258 )	\$ 39,171

(a) Elimination of the fair value of AFG's investment in CLOs and related accrued interest.

44

---

Table of Contents

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF EARNINGS

	Before CLO Consolidation (a)	Managed Investment Entities	Consol. Entries	Consolidated As Reported
Three months ended December 31, 2013				
Revenues:				
Insurance net earned premiums	\$ 886	\$—	\$—	\$ 886
Net investment income	358	—	(8 ) (b)	350
Realized gains on securities	67	—	—	67
Realized losses on subsidiaries	(4 )	—	—	(4 )
Income (loss) of managed investment entities:				
Investment income	—	30	—	30
Gain (loss) on change in fair value of assets/liabilities	—	6	1 (b)	7
Other income	30	—	(4 ) (c)	26
Total revenues	1,337	36	(11 )	1,362
Costs and Expenses:				
Insurance benefits and expenses	1,000	—	—	1,000
Expenses of managed investment entities	—	31	(10 ) (b)(c)	21
Interest charges on borrowed money and other expenses	95	—	—	95
Total costs and expenses	1,095	31	(10 )	1,116
Earnings before income taxes	242	5	(1 )	246
Provision for income taxes	81	—	—	81
Net earnings, including noncontrolling interests	161	5	(1 )	165
Less: Net earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	3	—	4 (d)	7
Net earnings attributable to shareholders	\$ 158	\$ 5	\$(5 )	\$ 158
Three months ended December 31, 2012				
Revenues:				
Insurance net earned premiums	\$ 784	\$—	\$—	\$ 784
Net investment income	339	—	(10 ) (b)	329
Realized gains on securities	65	—	—	65
Realized gains on subsidiaries	6	—	—	6
Income (loss) of managed investment entities:				
Investment income	—	33	—	33
Gain (loss) on change in fair value of assets/liabilities	—	(35 )	4 (b)	(31 )
Other income	26	—	(4 ) (c)	22
Total revenues	1,220	(2 )	(10 )	1,208
Costs and Expenses:				
Insurance benefits and expenses	1,132	—	—	1,132
Expenses of managed investment entities	—	32	(10 ) (b)(c)	22
Interest charges on borrowed money and other expenses	84	—	—	84
Total costs and expenses	1,216	32	(10 )	1,238
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	4	(34 )	—	(30 )
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(49 )	—	—	(49 )
Net earnings, including noncontrolling interests	53	(34 )	—	19
	3	—	(34 ) (d)	(31 )

Less: Net earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests

Net earnings attributable to shareholders	\$ 50	\$ (34 )	\$ 34	\$ 50
---	-------	----------	-------	-------

- (a) Includes \$8 million and \$10 million in 2013 and 2012, respectively, in net investment income representing the change in fair value of AFG's CLO investments plus \$4 million in each period in CLO management fees earned.
- (b) Elimination of the change in fair value of AFG's investments in the CLOs, including \$6 million in each period in distributions recorded as interest expense by the CLOs.
- (c) Elimination of management fees earned by AFG.
- (d) Allocate losses of CLOs attributable to other debt holders to noncontrolling interests.

Table of Contents

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF EARNINGS - CONTINUED

	Before CLO Consolidation (a)	Managed Investment Entities	Consol. Entries	Consolidated As Reported
Year ended December 31, 2013				
Revenues:				
Insurance net earned premiums	\$ 3,318	\$—	\$—	\$ 3,318
Net investment income	1,381	—	(35 ) (b)	1,346
Realized gains on securities	221	—	—	221
Realized losses on subsidiaries	(4 )	—	—	(4 )
Income (loss) of managed investment entities:				
Investment income	—	128	—	128
Gain (loss) on change in fair value of assets/liabilities	—	(19 )	5 (b)	(14 )
Other income	113	—	(16 ) (c)	97
Total revenues	5,029	109	(46 )	5,092
Costs and Expenses:				
Insurance benefits and expenses	3,917	—	—	3,917
Expenses of managed investment entities	—	130	(41 ) (b)(c)	89
Interest charges on borrowed money and other expenses	397	—	—	397
Total costs and expenses	4,314	130	(41 )	4,403
Earnings before income taxes	715	(21 )	(5 )	689
Provision for income taxes	236	—	—	236
Net earnings, including noncontrolling interests	479	(21 )	(5 )	453
Less: Net earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	8	—	(26 ) (d)	(18 )
Net earnings attributable to shareholders	\$ 471	\$(21 )	\$21	\$ 471
Year ended December 31, 2012				
Revenues:				
Insurance net earned premiums	\$ 3,165	\$—	\$—	\$ 3,165
Net investment income	1,332	—	(31 ) (b)	1,301
Realized gains on securities	210	—	—	210
Realized gains on subsidiaries	161	—	—	161
Income (loss) of managed investment entities:				
Investment income	—	125	—	125
Gain (loss) on change in fair value of assets/liabilities	—	(107 )	13 (b)	(94 )
Other income	107	—	(18 ) (c)	89
Total revenues	4,975	18	(36 )	4,957
Costs and Expenses:				
Insurance benefits and expenses	3,939	—	—	3,939
Expenses of managed investment entities	—	116	(36 ) (b)(c)	80
Interest charges on borrowed money and other expenses	401	—	—	401
Total costs and expenses	4,340	116	(36 )	4,420
Earnings before income taxes	635	(98 )	—	537
Provision for income taxes	135	—	—	135
Net earnings, including noncontrolling interests	500	(98 )	—	402
Less: Net earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	12	—	(98 ) (d)	(86 )

Net earnings attributable to shareholders	\$ 488	\$(98 ) \$98	\$ 488
---	--------	--------------	--------

Includes \$35 million and \$31 million in 2013 and 2012, respectively, in net investment income representing the (a) change in fair value of AFG's CLO investments plus \$16 million and \$18 million in 2013 and 2012, respectively, in CLO management fees earned.

(b) Elimination of the change in fair value of AFG's investments in the CLOs, including \$25 million and \$18 million in 2013 and 2012, respectively, in distributions recorded as interest expense by the CLOs.

(c) Elimination of management fees earned by AFG.

(d) Allocate losses of CLOs attributable to other debt holders to noncontrolling interests.

Table of Contents

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF EARNINGS - CONTINUED

	Before CLO Consolidation (a)	Managed Investment Entities	Consol. Entries	Consolidated As Reported
Year ended December 31, 2011				
Revenues:				
Insurance net earned premiums	\$ 3,189	\$—	\$—	\$ 3,189
Net investment income	1,231	—	(6 ) (b)	1,225
Realized gains on securities	76	—	—	76
Realized losses on subsidiaries	(3 )	—	—	(3 )
Income (loss) of managed investment entities:				
Investment income	—	105	—	105
Gain (loss) on change in fair value of assets/liabilities	—	(29 )	(4 ) (b)	(33 )
Other income	103	—	(19 ) (c)	84
Total revenues	4,596	76	(29 )	4,643
Costs and Expenses:				
Insurance benefits and expenses	3,644	—	—	3,644
Expenses of managed investment entities	—	100	(29 ) (b)(c)	71
Interest charges on borrowed money and other expenses	370	—	—	370
Total costs and expenses	4,014	100	(29 )	4,085
Earnings before income taxes	582	(24 )	—	558
Provision for income taxes	239	—	—	239
Net earnings, including noncontrolling interests	343	(24 )	—	319
Less: Net earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	1	—	(24 ) (d)	(23 )
Net earnings attributable to shareholders	\$ 342	\$(24 )	\$24	\$ 342

(a) Includes \$6 million in net investment income representing the change in fair value of AFG's CLO investments plus \$19 million in CLO management fees earned.

(b) Elimination of the change in fair value of AFG's investments in the CLOs, including \$10 million in distributions recorded as interest expense by the CLOs.

(c) Elimination of management fees earned by AFG.

(d) Allocate losses of CLOs attributable to other debt holders to noncontrolling interests.

Table of Contents

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

General AFG's net earnings attributable to shareholders, determined in accordance with GAAP, include certain items that may not be indicative of its ongoing core operations. The following table identifies such items and reconciles net earnings attributable to shareholders to core net operating earnings, a non-GAAP financial measure that AFG believes is a useful tool for investors and analysts in analyzing ongoing operating trends (in millions, except per share amounts):

	Three months ended December 31,		Year ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2011
Core net operating earnings	\$ 117	\$ 61	\$ 385	\$ 314	\$ 363
Gain on sale of Medicare supplement and critical illness businesses (a)	—	13	—	114	—
Other realized gains (a)	41	36	138	128	45
Long-term care reserve charge (a)	—	(99)	) —	(99)	) —
Special A&E charges (a)	—	—	(49)	) (21)	) (38)
Executive Life Insurance Company of New York ("ELNY") guaranty fund assessments (a)	—	—	(3)	) —	—
AFG tax case and settlement of open tax years	—	39	—	67	—
Valuation allowance on deferred tax assets (b)	—	—	—	—	(28)
Other (a)	—	—	—	(15)	) —
Net earnings attributable to shareholders	\$ 158	\$ 50	\$ 471	\$ 488	\$ 342
Diluted per share amounts:					
Core net operating earnings	\$ 1.28	\$ 0.67	\$ 4.22	\$ 3.27	\$ 3.52
Gain on sale of Medicare supplement and critical illness businesses	—	0.15	—	1.19	—
Other realized gains	0.45	0.37	1.52	1.34	0.45
Long-term care reserve charge	—	(1.08)	) —	(1.03)	) —
Special A&E charges	—	—	(0.54)	) (0.22)	) (0.37)
ELNY guaranty fund assessments	—	—	(0.04)	) —	—
AFG tax case and settlement of open tax years	—	0.43	—	0.70	—
Valuation allowance on deferred tax assets	—	—	—	—	(0.28)
Other	—	—	—	(0.16)	) —
Net earnings attributable to shareholders	\$ 1.73	\$ 0.54	\$ 5.16	\$ 5.09	\$ 3.32

(a) The tax effects of reconciling items are shown below (in millions):

Gain on sale of Medicare supplement and critical illness businesses	\$—	\$ (2)	) \$—	\$ (56)	) \$—
Other realized gains	(23)	) (19)	) (78)	) (71)	) (27)
Long-term care reserve charge	—	54	—	54	—
Special A&E charges	—	—	27	12	21
ELNY guaranty fund assessments	—	—	2	—	—
Other	—	—	—	8	—

In addition, other realized gains are shown net of noncontrolling interests as follows (in millions):

Noncontrolling interests	\$ 1	\$ (1)	) \$ (1)	) \$ (2)	) \$ (1)
--------------------------	------	--------	----------	----------	----------

(b) The valuation allowance is net of \$6 million in noncontrolling interest.



Net earnings attributable to shareholders increased \$108 million in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to the same period as 2012 reflecting significantly improved core net operating earnings and the impact of the fourth quarter 2012 long-term care reserve charge offset by the impact of the tax benefit recorded in the fourth quarter of 2012 related to the settlement of open tax years. Core net operating earnings increased \$56 million in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to the fourth quarter of 2012

Table of Contents

due to significantly higher profits in the annuity segment and higher underwriting profits in the property and casualty insurance segment.

For the full-year of 2013 compared to 2012, net earnings attributable to shareholders decreased compared to 2012 as higher core net operating earnings were more than offset by the net impact of the following items recorded in 2012: (i) a \$114 million after tax gain on the sale of AFG's Medicare supplement and critical illness businesses, (ii) tax benefits of \$67 million related to the favorable resolution of certain tax litigation and settlement of open tax years, and (iii) an after tax charge of \$99 million to write off deferred acquisition costs and strengthen reserves in the closed block of long-term care insurance. Net earnings attributable to shareholders were also impacted by special A&E charges, which were higher in 2013 compared to 2012. Core net operating earnings increased \$71 million in 2013 compared to 2012 reflecting significantly higher profits in both the annuity segment and Specialty property and casualty insurance operations, partially offset by the absence of earnings from the Medicare supplement and critical illness businesses, which were sold in August 2012.

Net earnings attributable to shareholders increased in 2012 compared to 2011 due primarily to the gain on the sale of AFG's Medicare supplement and critical illness businesses, favorable tax benefits and partially offsetting long-term care charge discussed above, as well as higher realized gains on securities. Core net operating earnings decreased in 2012 compared to 2011 as higher earnings in the annuity segment were more than offset by lower underwriting profits and lower investment income in the property and casualty insurance segment.

Table of Contents

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS — QUARTERS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

Segmented Statement of Earnings AFG manages its business as five segments: (i) Property and casualty insurance (“P&C”), (ii) Annuity, (iii) Run-off long-term care and life, (iv) Medicare supplement and critical illness (sold in August 2012) and (v) Other, which includes holding company costs and operations attributable to the noncontrolling interests of the managed investment entities (“MIEs”).

AFG's net earnings attributable to shareholders, determined in accordance with GAAP, include certain items that may not be indicative of its ongoing core operations. The following tables for the quarters ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 identify such items by segment and reconcile net earnings attributable to shareholders to core net operating earnings, a non-GAAP financial measure that AFG believes is a useful tool for investors and analysts in analyzing ongoing operating trends (in millions):

	P&C	Annuity	Run-off long-term care and life	Consol. MIEs	Other Holding Co., other and unallocated	Total	Non-core reclass	GAAP Total
Quarter ended December 31, 2013								
Revenues:								
Property and casualty insurance net earned premiums	\$859	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$859	\$—	\$859
Life, accident and health net earned premiums	—	—	27	—	—	27	—	27
Net investment income	67	270	19	(8 )	2	350	—	350
Realized gains on securities	—	—	—	—	—	—	67	67
Realized losses on subsidiaries	—	—	—	—	—	—	(4 )	(4 )
Income (loss) of MIEs:								
Investment income	—	—	—	30	—	30	—	30
Gain (loss) on change in fair value of assets/liabilities	—	—	—	7	—	7	—	7
Other income	5	21	1	(4 )	3	26	—	26
Total revenues	931	291	47	25	5	1,299	63	1,362
Costs and Expenses:								
Property and casualty insurance:								
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	537	—	—	—	—	537	—	537
Commissions and other underwriting expenses	247	—	—	—	—	247	—	247
Annuity benefits	—	137	—	—	—	137	—	137
Life, accident and health benefits	—	—	40	—	—	40	—	40
Annuity and supplemental insurance acquisition expenses	—	35	4	—	—	39	—	39
Interest charges on borrowed money	—	—	—	—	17	17	—	17
Expenses of MIEs	—	—	—	21	—	21	—	21
Other expenses	11	27	6	—	34	78	—	78
Total costs and expenses	795	199	50	21	51	1,116	—	1,116
Earnings before income taxes	136	92	(3 )	4	(46 )	183	63	246
Provision for income taxes	41	32	(1 )	—	(14 )	58	23	81
Net earnings, including noncontrolling interests	95	60	(2 )	4	(32 )	125	40	165
Less: Net earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	5	—	—	4	(1 )	8	(1 )	7
Core Net Operating Earnings	90	60	(2 )	—	(31 )	117		
Non-core earnings attributable to shareholders (a):								

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Realized gains, net of tax	—	—	—	—	41	41	(41 )	—
Net Earnings Attributable to Shareholders	\$90	\$60	\$(2 )	\$—	\$10	\$158	\$—	\$158

50

---

Table of Contents

	P&C	Annuity	Run-off long-term care and life	Medicare supplement and critical illness	Other Consol. MIEs and unallocated	Other Holding Co., other	Total	Non-GAAP reclass	GAAP Total
Quarter ended December 31, 2012									
Revenues:									
Property and casualty insurance net earned premiums	\$756	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$756	\$—	\$756
Life, accident and health net earned premiums	—	—	28	—	—	—	28	—	28
Net investment income	69	254	15	—	(10)	1	329	—	329
Realized gains on securities	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	65	65
Realized gains on subsidiaries	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6	6
Income (loss) of MIEs:									
Investment income	—	—	—	—	33	—	33	—	33
Gain (loss) on change in fair value of assets/liabilities	—	—	—	—	(31)	—	(31)	—	(31)
Other income	7	13	2	—	(4)	4	22	—	22
Total revenues	832	267	45	—	(12)	5	1,137	71	1,208
Costs and Expenses:									
Property and casualty insurance:									
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	556	—	—	—	—	—	556	—	556
Commissions and other underwriting expenses	190	—	—	—	—	—	190	—	190
Annuity benefits	—	124	—	—	—	—	124	—	124
Life, accident and health benefits	—	—	44	—	—	—	44	74	118
Annuity and supplemental insurance acquisition expenses	—	58	7	—	—	—	65	79	144
Interest charges on borrowed money	1	—	—	—	—	17	18	—	18
Expenses of MIEs	—	—	—	—	22	—	22	—	22
Other expenses	13	17	6	—	—	30	66	—	66
Total costs and expenses	760	199	57	—	22	47	1,085	153	1,238
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	72	68	(12)	—	(34)	(42)	52	(82)	(30)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	14	25	(4)	—	—	(12)	23	(72)	(49)
Net earnings, including noncontrolling interests	58	43	(8)	—	(34)	(30)	29	(10)	19
Less: Net earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	3	—	—	—	(34)	(1)	(32)	1	(31)
Core Net Operating Earnings	55	43	(8)	—	—	(29)	61	—	61
Non-core earnings attributable to shareholders (a):									
Gain on sale of Medicare supplement and critical illness businesses, net of tax	—	—	—	13	—	—	13	(13)	—
Other realized gains, net of tax	—	—	—	—	—	36	36	(36)	—
Long-term care reserve charge, net of tax	—	—	(99)	—	—	—	(99)	99	—
AFG tax case and settlement of open tax years	—	—	—	—	—	39	39	(39)	—
Net Earnings Attributable to Shareholders	\$55	\$43	\$(107)	\$13	\$—	\$46	\$50	\$—	\$50

(a) See the reconciliation of core earnings to GAAP net earnings under “Results of Operations — General” for details on the tax and noncontrolling interest impacts of these reconciling items.

Property and Casualty Insurance Segment — Results of Operations Performance measures such as underwriting profit or loss and related combined ratios are often used by property and casualty insurers to help users of their financial statements better understand the company's performance. Underwriting profitability is measured by the combined ratio, which is a sum of the ratios of losses and loss adjustment expenses, and commissions and other underwriting expenses to premiums. A combined ratio under 100% indicates an underwriting profit. The combined ratio does not reflect net investment income, other income, other expenses or federal income taxes. AFG's property and casualty insurance operations contributed \$136 million in GAAP pretax earnings in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$72 million in the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$64 million

Table of Contents

(89%). The increase in pretax earnings reflects significantly higher underwriting profit across each of AFG's property and casualty insurance sub-segments.

The following table details AFG's earnings before income taxes from its property and casualty operations for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended		% Change	
	December 31,			
	2013	2012		
Gross written premiums	\$1,071	\$965	11	%
Reinsurance premiums ceded	(250 )	(263 )	(5	%)
Net written premiums	821	702	17	%
Change in unearned premiums	38	54	(30	%)
Net earned premiums	859	756	14	%
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	537	556	(3	%)
Commissions and other underwriting expenses	247	190	30	%
Underwriting gain	75	10	650	%
Net investment income	67	69	(3	%)
Other income and expenses, net	(6 )	(7 )	(14	%)
Earnings before income taxes	\$136	\$72	89	%
Combined Ratios:				
Specialty lines			Change	
Loss and LAE ratio	62.4	% 72.9	% (10.5	%)
Underwriting expense ratio	28.9	% 25.1	% 3.8	%
Combined ratio	91.3	% 98.0	% (6.7	%)
Aggregate — including discontinued lines				
Loss and LAE ratio	62.5	% 73.6	% (11.1	%)
Underwriting expense ratio	28.9	% 25.1	% 3.8	%
Combined ratio	91.4	% 98.7	% (7.3	%)

AFG's combined ratio has been better than the industry average for 26 of the last 28 years. Management believes that AFG's insurance operations have performed better than the industry as a result of its specialty niche focus, product line diversification, stringent underwriting discipline and alignment of compensation incentives.

While AFG desires and seeks to earn an underwriting profit on all of its business, it is not always possible to do so. As a result, AFG attempts to expand in the most profitable businesses and control growth or even reduce its involvement in the least profitable businesses.

AFG reports the underwriting performance of its Specialty insurance business in the following sub-components: (i) Property and transportation, (ii) Specialty casualty and (iii) Specialty financial.

To understand the overall profitability of particular lines, the timing of claims payments and the related impact of investment income must be considered. Certain "short-tail" lines of business (primarily property coverages) generally have quick loss payouts, which reduce the time funds are held, thereby limiting investment income earned thereon. In contrast, "long-tail" lines of business (primarily liability coverages and workers' compensation) generally have payouts that are either structured over many years or take many years to settle, thereby significantly increasing investment income earned on related premiums received.





Table of Contents

## Gross Written Premiums

Gross written premiums ("GWP") for AFG's property and casualty insurance segment were \$1.07 billion for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$965 million for the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$106 million (11%). Detail of AFG's property and casualty gross written premiums is shown below (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended December 31,					
	2013		2012			
	GWP	%	GWP	%	% Change	
Property and transportation	\$447	42	% \$431	44	% 4	%
Specialty casualty	459	43	% 384	40	% 20	%
Specialty financial	164	15	% 151	16	% 9	%
Other specialty	1	—	% (1	) —	%	
	\$1,071	100	% \$965	100	% 11	%

## Reinsurance Premiums Ceded

Reinsurance premiums ceded ("Ceded") for AFG's property and casualty insurance segment were 23% of gross written premiums for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to 27% for the fourth quarter of 2012, a decrease of 4 percentage points. Detail of AFG's property and casualty reinsurance premiums ceded is shown below (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended December 31,					
	2013		2012		Change in	
	Ceded	% of GWP	Ceded	% of GWP	% of GWP	
Property and transportation	\$(98	) 22	% \$(116	) 27	% (5	%)
Specialty casualty	(138	) 30	% (126	) 33	% (3	%)
Specialty financial	(32	) 20	% (43	) 28	% (8	%)
Other specialty	18		22			
	\$(250	) 23	% \$(263	) 27	% (4	%)

## Net Written Premiums

Net written premiums ("NWP") for AFG's property and casualty insurance segment were \$821 million for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$702 million for the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$119 million (17%). Detail of AFG's property and casualty net written premiums is shown below (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended December 31,					
	2013		2012			
	NWP	%	NWP	%	% Change	
Property and transportation	\$349	43	% \$315	45	% 11	%
Specialty casualty	321	39	% 258	37	% 24	%
Specialty financial	132	16	% 108	15	% 22	%
Other specialty	19	2	% 21	3	% (10	%)
	\$821	100	% \$702	100	% 17	%

Table of Contents

## Net Earned Premiums

Net earned premiums ("NEP") for AFG's property and casualty insurance segment were \$859 million for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$756 million for the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$103 million (14%). Detail of AFG's property and casualty net earned premiums is shown below (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended December 31,					
	2013		2012		% Change	
	NEP	%	NEP	%	%	%
Property and transportation	\$410	48	\$383	50	7	
Specialty casualty	310	36	249	33	24	
Specialty financial	119	14	104	14	14	
Other specialty	20	2	20	3	—	
	\$859	100	\$756	100	14	

The \$106 million increase in gross written premiums for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to the fourth quarter of 2012 is due primarily to higher premiums in the Specialty casualty sub-segment. Overall average renewal rates increased approximately 3% in the fourth quarter of 2013.

**Property and transportation** Gross written premiums increased \$16 million (4%) in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 reflecting growth in nearly every business unit, partially offset by lower premiums in the crop operations. Delayed planting of winter wheat resulted in late acreage reporting, the effect of which is expected to shift a portion of AFG's crop premiums from the fourth quarter of 2013 to the first quarter of 2014. Excluding crop premiums, fourth quarter 2013 gross and net written premiums grew by 10% and 13%, respectively, when compared to the 2012 fourth quarter. Average renewal rates for this group were up approximately 5% in the fourth quarter of 2013. Reinsurance premiums ceded as a percentage of gross written premiums declined 5 percentage points in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to the fourth quarter of 2012 due to lower cessions of winter wheat premiums related to the delayed acreage reporting and lower reinstatement premiums due to the impact of large reinsured losses in 2012 (related to Superstorm Sandy).

**Specialty casualty** Gross written premiums increased \$75 million (20%) for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to the fourth quarter of 2012 as a result of increased premiums in nearly all businesses in this group, especially in the workers' compensation, excess and surplus lines and agency captive insurance businesses. New business opportunities, increased exposures from higher payroll on existing accounts, and sustained pricing increases have contributed to increased premiums in the workers' compensation businesses. Strong premium growth in the excess and surplus operations is the result of broadening opportunities to write business coupled with the benefit from rate increases over multiple quarters. Average renewal rates were up approximately 3% for this group in the fourth quarter of 2013. Reinsurance premiums ceded as a percentage of gross written premiums declined 3 percentage points for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to the fourth quarter of 2012 reflecting higher retention of gross premiums in certain operations and changes in the mix of business.

**Specialty financial** Gross written premiums increased \$13 million (9%) for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to the fourth quarter of 2012. This increase was due primarily to premium growth related to real estate owned and collateral products for financial institutions and growth in the surety operations. Gross written premiums for the fourth quarter of 2013 include \$5 million in risk fees from AFG's warranty operations. Prior to 2013, fees in the warranty operations were included in other income. Average renewal rates for this group were flat in the fourth quarter of 2013. Reinsurance premiums ceded as a percentage of gross written premiums declined 8 percentage points due to the sale of the service contract business, which was 100% reinsured, and lower reinsurance reinstatement premiums reflecting the impact of large reinsured losses in the fourth quarter of 2012 in the surety business.

Other specialty The amounts shown as reinsurance premiums ceded represent business assumed by AFG's internal reinsurance program from the operations that make up AFG's other Specialty sub-components.

Table of Contents

## Combined Ratio

Performance measures such as the combined ratio are often used by property and casualty insurers to help users of their financial statements better understand the company's performance. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss and loss adjustment expenses ("LAE") and underwriting expense ratios. These ratios are calculated by dividing each of the respective expenses by net earned premiums. The table below (dollars in millions) details the components of the combined ratio for AFG's property and casualty segment:

	Three months ended December 31,			Three months ended December 31,	
	2013	2012	Change	2013	2012
<b>Property and transportation</b>					
Loss and LAE ratio	74.9	% 88.9	% (14.0	%)	
Underwriting expense ratio	20.9	% 14.8	% 6.1	%	
Combined ratio	95.8	% 103.7	% (7.9	%)	
Underwriting profit (loss)				\$17	\$(14 )
<b>Specialty casualty</b>					
Loss and LAE ratio	59.0	% 65.9	% (6.9	%)	
Underwriting expense ratio	30.7	% 30.9	% (0.2	%)	
Combined ratio	89.7	% 96.8	% (7.1	%)	
Underwriting profit				\$32	\$8
<b>Specialty financial</b>					
Loss and LAE ratio	34.2	% 38.2	% (4.0	%)	
Underwriting expense ratio	51.0	% 46.7	% 4.3	%	
Combined ratio	85.2	% 84.9	% 0.3	%	
Underwriting profit				\$17	\$16
<b>Total Specialty</b>					
Loss and LAE ratio	62.4	% 72.9	% (10.5	%)	
Underwriting expense ratio	28.9	% 25.1	% 3.8	%	
Combined ratio	91.3	% 98.0	% (6.7	%)	
Underwriting profit				\$75	\$15
<b>Aggregate — including discontinued lines</b>					
Loss and LAE ratio	62.5	% 73.6	% (11.1	%)	
Underwriting expense ratio	28.9	% 25.1	% 3.8	%	
Combined ratio	91.4	% 98.7	% (7.3	%)	
Underwriting profit				\$75	\$10

The Specialty property and casualty insurance operations generated an underwriting profit of \$75 million in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$15 million in the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$60 million (400%). The higher profit in the 2013 quarter reflects higher underwriting profits across each of the property and casualty insurance sub-segments. Catastrophe losses were less than \$1 million (0.1 points on the combined ratio), compared to \$33 million, including \$9 million of reinstatement premiums (3.2 points) in the fourth quarter of 2012.

**Property and transportation** This group reported an underwriting profit of \$17 million for the fourth quarter of 2013, compared to an underwriting loss of \$14 million for the fourth quarter of 2012, reflecting higher profitability in the crop insurance and property and inland marine operations. Although the 22% decline in corn pricing at harvest time as compared to 2013 spring discovery prices adversely impacted 2013 results, profitability in the crop insurance

operations improved over the 2012 quarter, which was adversely impacted by the effects of the Midwest drought. The improved underwriting profitability in the property and inland marine operations reflects primarily the 2012 fourth quarter losses from Superstorm Sandy. Catastrophe losses were minimal for this group during the fourth quarter of 2013, compared to \$28 million, including \$8 million of reinstatement premiums (5.1 points) in the fourth quarter of 2012.

Table of Contents

**Specialty casualty** Underwriting profit was \$32 million for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$8 million in the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$24 million (300%). This increase was due primarily to higher profitability in the workers' compensation businesses and a \$5 million improvement in prior year reserve development.

**Specialty financial** Underwriting profit was \$17 million for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$16 million in the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$1 million (6%). Higher underwriting profits in the financial institutions business were partially offset by lower underwriting profitability in the surety and fidelity businesses. In addition, results for the fourth quarter of 2012 include a loss of \$6 million in the vehicle services business, primarily from foreclosed and real estate owned property and collateral insurance.

**Aggregate** Aggregate results for AFG's property and casualty segment also include \$5 million of adverse development in the fourth quarter of 2012 related to asbestos and environmental reserves.

**Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses**

AFG's overall loss and LAE ratio was 62.5% for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to 73.6% for fourth quarter of 2012, an improvement of 11.1 percentage points. The components of AFG's property and casualty losses and LAE amounts and ratio are detailed below (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended December 31,						Change in Ratio
	Amount 2013	2012	Ratio 2013	2012			
<b>Property and transportation</b>							
Current year, excluding catastrophe losses	\$304	\$322	74.1 %	84.3 %	(10.2 %)		
Prior accident years development	3	(2)	0.8 %	(0.5 %)	1.3 %		
Current year catastrophe losses	—	20	— %	5.1 %	(5.1 %)		
Property and transportation losses and LAE and ratio	\$307	\$340	74.9 %	88.9 %	(14.0 %)		
<b>Specialty casualty</b>							
Current year, excluding catastrophe losses	\$181	\$157	58.5 %	62.5 %	(4.0 %)		
Prior accident years development	2	7	0.5 %	3.0 %	(2.5 %)		
Current year catastrophe losses	—	1	— %	0.4 %	(0.4 %)		
Specialty casualty losses and LAE and ratio	\$183	\$165	59.0 %	65.9 %	(6.9 %)		
<b>Specialty financial</b>							
Current year, excluding catastrophe losses	\$45	\$51	36.7 %	48.2 %	(11.5 %)		
Prior accident years development	(4)	(13)	(3.2 %)	(12.1 %)	8.9 %		
Current year catastrophe losses	1	2	0.7 %	2.1 %	(1.4 %)		
Specialty financial losses and LAE and ratio	\$42	\$40	34.2 %	38.2 %	(4.0 %)		
<b>Total Specialty</b>							
Current year, excluding catastrophe losses	\$541	\$539	62.8 %	71.2 %	(8.4 %)		
Prior accident years development	(5)	(12)	(0.5 %)	(1.5 %)	1.0 %		
Current year catastrophe losses	1	24	0.1 %	3.2 %	(3.1 %)		
Total Specialty losses and LAE and ratio	\$537	\$551	62.4 %	72.9 %	(10.5 %)		
<b>Aggregate — including discontinued lines</b>							
Current year, excluding catastrophe losses	\$541	\$539	62.8 %	71.2 %	(8.4 %)		
Prior accident years development	(5)	(7)	(0.4 %)	(0.8 %)	0.4 %		
Current year catastrophe losses	1	24	0.1 %	3.2 %	(3.1 %)		
Aggregate losses and LAE and ratio	\$537	\$556	62.5 %	73.6 %	(11.1 %)		

Net prior year reserve development

AFG's Specialty property and casualty operations recorded net favorable reserve development related to prior accident years of \$5 million in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$12 million in the fourth quarter of 2012, a decrease of \$7 million (58%).

Table of Contents

**Property and transportation** Net adverse reserve development of \$3 million in the fourth quarter of 2013 reflects higher than expected claim severity in commercial auto liability business written in the transportation businesses, partially offset by lower than expected claim severity in the ocean marine business. Net favorable reserve development of \$2 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 reflects lower severity in the inland marine and ocean marine businesses partially offset by an increase in severity of commercial auto liability insurance claims in the transportation businesses.

**Specialty casualty** Net adverse reserve development of \$2 million in the fourth quarter of 2013 reflects higher than expected claim severity in products liability claims and contractor claims as well as adverse reserve development at Marketform, substantially offset by lower frequency of severe claims in the directors and officers and workers' compensation businesses. Net adverse reserve development of \$7 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 reflects higher claim frequency and severity in a run-off book of U.S.-based program (motel/hotel, restaurants, taverns and recreational) business and adverse development in the excess and surplus lines, partially offset by lower than expected claim severity in the California workers' compensation and directors and officers liability insurance businesses.

**Specialty financial** Net favorable reserve development of \$4 million in the fourth quarter of 2013 reflects lower than expected frequency of significant claims in the foreign credit business where economic conditions did not affect this line as adversely as previously anticipated. Net favorable reserve development of \$13 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 reflects lower than expected claim frequency and severity in the foreign credit and financial institution businesses as economic conditions did not affect these lines as adversely as had been anticipated.

**Other specialty** In addition to the development discussed above, total specialty net favorable reserve development reflects amortization of the deferred gain on the retroactive insurance transaction entered into in connection with the sale of a business in 1998 and favorable reserve development associated with AFG's internal reinsurance program.

**Aggregate** Aggregate net prior accident years reserve development for AFG's property and casualty segment includes \$5 million of adverse development in the fourth quarter of 2012 related to asbestos and environmental reserves.

**Catastrophe losses**

AFG generally seeks to reduce its exposure to catastrophes through individual risk selection, including minimizing coastal and known fault-line exposures, and the purchase of reinsurance. Based on data available at December 31, 2013, AFG's exposure to a catastrophic earthquake or windstorm that industry models indicate could occur once in every 500 years (a "500-year event") is expected to be less than 2.5% of AFG's shareholders' equity.

**Commissions and Other Underwriting Expenses**

AFG's property and casualty commissions and other underwriting expenses ("U/W Exp") were \$247 million in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$190 million for the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$57 million (30%). AFG's underwriting expense ratio, calculated as commissions and other underwriting expenses divided by net premiums earned, was 28.9% for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to 25.1% for the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of 3.8 percentage points. Detail of AFG's property and casualty commissions and other underwriting expenses and underwriting expense ratios is shown below (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended December 31,				Change in		
	2013		2012				
	U/W Exp	% of NEP	U/W Exp	% of NEP	% of NEP		
Property and transportation	\$86	20.9	% \$57	14.8	%	6.1	%
Specialty casualty	95	30.7	% 76	30.9	%	(0.2)	%
Specialty financial	60	51.0	% 48	46.7	%	4.3	%
Other specialty	6	32.1	% 9	37.3	%	(5.2)	%
	\$247	28.9	% \$190	25.1	%	3.8	%



The overall increase of 3.8% in AFG's expense ratio for the fourth quarter of 2013 as compared to the fourth quarter of 2012, as well as the fluctuations in AFG's sub-components, reflects the timing of the determination of reimbursements for administrative and operating expenses under the Federal crop insurance program, higher profitability-based commissions paid to agents/brokers and lower profitability-based commissions received from reinsurers, partially offset by the impact of higher premiums on the ratio.

Property and transportation Commissions and other underwriting expenses as a percentage of net earned premiums increased 6.1 percentage points for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to the fourth quarter of 2012 reflecting the timing of the

57

---

Table of Contents

determination of reimbursements for administrative and operating expenses under the Federal crop insurance program, partially offset by the impact of higher premiums on the ratio.

Specialty casualty Commissions and other underwriting expenses as a percentage of net earned premiums decreased 0.2 percentage points for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to the fourth quarter of 2012, reflecting the impact of higher premiums on the ratio, partially offset by higher profitability-based commissions paid to agents/brokers and lower ceding commissions from reinsurers.

Specialty financial Commissions and other underwriting expenses as a percentage of net earned premiums increased 4.3 percentage points for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to the fourth quarter of 2012 reflecting higher profitability-based commissions paid to agents/brokers.

## Property and Casualty Net Investment Income

Net investment income in AFG's property and casualty operations was \$67 million for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$69 million in the fourth quarter of 2012, a decrease of \$2 million (3%). In recent years, yields available in the financial markets on fixed maturity securities have generally declined, placing downward pressure on AFG's investment portfolio yield. The average invested assets and overall earned yield on investments held by AFG's property and casualty operations are provided below (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended December 31,			
	2013	2012	Change	% Change
Net investment income	\$67	\$69	\$(2 )	(3 %)
Average invested assets (at amortized cost)	\$6,805	\$6,768	\$37	1 %
Yield (net investment income as a % of average invested assets)	3.94 %	4.08 %	(0.14 %)	

The property and casualty segment's overall yield on investments (net investment income as a percentage of average invested assets) was 3.94% for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to 4.08% for the fourth quarter of 2012, a decline of 0.14 percentage points, reflecting the impact of lower yields available in the financial markets.

## Property and Casualty Other Income and Expenses, Net

Other income and expenses, net for AFG's property and casualty operations was a net expense of \$6 million for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$7 million for the fourth quarter of 2012, a decrease of \$1 million (14%). The table below details the items included in other income and expenses, net for AFG's property and casualty operations (in millions):

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Other income		
Warranty operations	\$—	\$5
Income from the sale of real estate	2	—
Other	3	2
Total other income	5	7
Other expenses		
Warranty operations	—	5
Amortization of intangibles	4	4
Other	7	4
Total other expense	11	13

Interest expense	—	1
Other income and expenses, net	\$(6	) \$(7

Beginning in 2013, AFG's warranty operations are included in the Specialty financial underwriting results.

Interest expense for AFG's property and casualty operations includes interest charges on long-term debt within the property and casualty operations, primarily notes secured by real estate and other secured borrowings.

Table of Contents

## Annuity Segment — Results of Operations

AFG's annuity operations contributed \$92 million in pretax earnings in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$68 million in the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$24 million (35%). Higher pretax earnings were primarily a result of a growing asset base, partially offset by the runoff of higher yielding investments. AFG's average fixed annuity investments (at amortized cost) were 17% higher for the fourth quarter of 2013 as compared to the fourth quarter of 2012. In addition, results for the fourth quarter of 2013 reflect the positive impact of higher interest rates and strong stock market performance on the fixed-indexed annuity ("FIA") business. AFG's periodic detailed review ("unlocking") of the major actuarial assumptions underlying its annuity operations resulted in a charge of \$2 million in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to a \$14 million charge in the fourth quarter of 2012.

The following table details AFG's earnings before income taxes from its annuity operations for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in millions).

	Three months ended				
	December 31,		% Change		
	2013	2012			
Revenues:					
Net investment income	\$270	\$254	6		%
Other income:					
Guaranteed withdrawal benefit fees	7	5	40		%
Policy charges and other miscellaneous income	14	8	75		%
Total revenues	291	267	9		%
Costs and Expenses:					
Annuity benefits (*)	137	124	10		%
Acquisition expenses	35	58	(40)		%
Other expenses	27	17	59		%
Total costs and expenses	199	199	—		%
Earnings before income taxes	\$92	\$68	35		%

(\*) Annuity benefits consisted of the following (in millions):

	Three months ended				
	December 31,		% Change		
	2013	2012			
Interest credited — fixed	\$118	\$109	8		%
Interest credited — fixed component of variable annuities	1	2	(50)		%
Change in expected death and annuitization reserve	5	5	—		%
Amortization of sales inducements	7	9	(22)		%
Change in guaranteed withdrawal benefit reserve	10	5	100		%
Change in other benefit reserves	1	3	(67)		%
Derivatives related to fixed-indexed annuities:					
Embedded derivative mark-to-market	74	(4	)	(1,950	%)
Equity option mark-to-market	(85	)	1	(8,600	%)
Unlocking	6	(6	)	(200	%)
Total annuity benefits	\$137	\$124	10		%

See "Annuity Unlocking" below for a discussion of the impact that the periodic review of actuarial assumptions had on annuity benefit expense.

The profitability of a fixed annuity business is largely dependent on the ability of a company to earn income on the assets supporting the business in excess of the amounts credited to policyholder accounts plus expenses incurred (earning a “spread”). Performance measures such as net interest spread and net spread earned are often presented by annuity businesses to help users of their financial statements better understand the company's performance.

Table of Contents

Net Spread on Fixed Annuities (excludes variable annuity earnings)

The table below (dollars in millions) details the components of these spreads for AFG's fixed annuity operations (including fixed-indexed annuities):

	Three months ended			
	December 31,		% Change	
	2013	2012		%
Average fixed annuity investments (at amortized cost)	\$20,524	\$17,485	17	%
Average fixed annuity benefits accumulated	20,092	17,137	17	%
As % of fixed annuity benefits accumulated (except as noted):				
Net investment income (as % of fixed annuity investments)	5.21	% 5.74		%
Interest credited — fixed	(2.35	%) (2.56		%)
Net interest spread	2.86	% 3.18		%
Policy charges and other miscellaneous income	0.22	% 0.14		%
Other annuity benefit expenses, net of guaranteed withdrawal benefit fees	(0.31	%) (0.39		%)
Acquisition expenses	(0.75	%) (0.85		%)
Other expenses	(0.53	%) (0.39		%)
Change in fair value of derivatives related to fixed-indexed annuities	0.22	% 0.09		%
Unlocking	(0.04	%) (0.29		%)
Net spread earned on fixed annuities	1.67	% 1.49		%

#### Annuity Net Investment Income

Net investment income for the fourth quarter of 2013 was \$270 million compared to \$254 million for the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$16 million (6%). This increase reflects primarily the growth in AFG's annuity business. The overall yield earned on investments in AFG's annuity operations, calculated as net investment income divided by average investment balances (at amortized cost), declined by 0.53 percentage points in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to the fourth quarter of 2012. This decline in net investment yield reflects (i) the investment of new premium dollars in the recent low interest rate environment, (ii) the impact of the maturity and redemption of higher yielding investments and (iii) the impact of higher non-recurring investment income in the fourth quarter of 2012 as compared to the same period in 2013.

#### Annuity Interest Credited — Fixed

Interest credited — fixed for the fourth quarter of 2013 was \$118 million compared to \$109 million for the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$9 million (8%). The impact of growth in the annuity business was partially offset by lower interest crediting rates on new premiums as compared to the crediting rates on policyholder funds surrendered or withdrawn. The average interest rate credited to policyholders, calculated as interest credited divided by average fixed annuity benefits accumulated, declined 0.21 percentage points in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to the fourth quarter of 2012. During the fourth quarter of 2013, interest rates credited on new premiums generally ranged from 1.00% to 2.00%.

Excluding those annuities that have guaranteed withdrawal benefits, at December 31, 2013, AFG could reduce the average crediting rate on approximately \$16 billion of traditional fixed and fixed-indexed deferred annuities by an additional 0.48% (on a weighted average basis). Annuity policies are subject to Guaranteed Minimum Interest Rates ("GMIRs") at policy issuance. The table below shows the breakdown of annuity reserves by GMIR. The current interest crediting rates on substantially all of AFG's annuities with a GMIR of 3% or higher are at their minimum.

GMIR	% of Reserves
1 — 1.99%	52%

2 — 2.99%	11%
3 — 3.99%	21%
4.00% and above	16%

#### Annuity Net Interest Spread

AFG's net interest spread decreased 0.32 percentage points in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 due primarily to the run-off of higher yielding investments. In addition, the 2012 quarter included higher non-recurring investment income as compared to the 2013 quarter. Due to the continued run-off of higher yielding investments, AFG expects its net interest spread to narrow in the future.

Table of Contents**Annuity Policy Charges and Other Miscellaneous Income**

Annuity policy charges and other miscellaneous income, which consist primarily of surrender charges, was \$14 million in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$8 million in the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$6 million (75%). The increase reflects \$4 million in income from the sale of real estate recorded in the 2013 quarter.

**Other Annuity Benefits**

Other annuity benefits, net of guaranteed withdrawal benefit fees (excluding the impact of unlocking) for the fourth quarter of 2013 was \$16 million compared to \$17 million for the fourth quarter of 2012, a decrease of \$1 million (6%). In addition to interest credited to policyholders' accounts, annuity benefits expense also includes the following expenses (in millions, net of guaranteed withdrawal benefit fees):

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Change in expected death and annuitization reserve	\$5	\$5
Amortization of sales inducements	7	9
Change in guaranteed withdrawal benefit reserve	10	5
Change in other benefit reserves	1	3
Other annuity benefits	23	22
Offset guaranteed withdrawal benefit fees	(7	) (5
Other annuity benefits, net	\$16	\$17

**Annuity Acquisition Expenses**

Excluding the impact of unlocking charges, AFG's amortization of DPAC and commission expenses as a percentage of average fixed annuity benefits accumulated was 0.75% for the fourth quarter of 2013 and 0.85% for the fourth quarter of 2012 and has generally ranged between 0.70% and 0.80%. Variances from the general range relate primarily to the impact of (i) material changes in interest rates or the stock market on AFG's fixed-indexed annuity business, and (ii) differences in actual experience from actuarially projected estimates and assumptions.

**Annuity Other Expenses**

Annuity other expenses for the fourth quarter of 2013 were \$27 million compared to \$17 million for the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$10 million (59%). Annuity other expenses represent primarily general and administrative expenses, as well as selling and issuance expenses that are not deferred. As a percentage of average fixed annuity benefits accumulated, these expenses increased 0.14 percentage points for the fourth quarter of 2013 as compared to the fourth quarter of 2012. The fourth quarter of 2013 includes a \$7 million charge to write off certain previously capitalized project costs.

**Change in Fair Value of Derivatives Related to Fixed-Indexed Annuities**

AFG's fixed-indexed annuities, which represented approximately 47% of annuity benefits accumulated at December 31, 2013, provide policyholders with a crediting rate tied, in part, to the performance of an existing stock market index. AFG attempts to mitigate the risk in the index-based component of these products through the purchase of call options on the appropriate index. AFG's strategy is designed so that an increase in the liabilities, due to an increase in the market index, will generally be offset by unrealized and realized gains on the call options purchased by AFG. Both the index-based component of the annuities and the related call options are considered derivatives that must be marked-to-market through earnings each period. The fair values of these derivatives are impacted by actual and expected stock market performance and interest rates as well as other factors. For a list of other factors impacting the fair value of the index-based component of AFG's annuity benefits accumulated, see Note D — "Fair Value Measurements" to the financial statements. Excluding the impact of unlocking charges, the net change in fair value of derivatives related to fixed-indexed annuities decreased annuity benefits by \$11 million and \$3 million in the fourth



quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in 2013 reflects the positive impact of higher interest rates and strong stock market performance on the fixed-indexed annuity business.

See “Annuity Unlocking” below for a discussion of the impact that the periodic review of actuarial assumptions had on annuity and supplemental insurance acquisition expenses. Unanticipated spread compression, decreases in the stock market, adverse mortality experience, and higher than expected lapse rates could lead to future write-offs of DPAC or the present value of future profits on business in force of companies acquired (“PVFP”).

Table of Contents**Annuity Net Spread Earned on Fixed Annuities**

AFG's net spread earned on fixed annuities increased 0.18 percentage points in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 as the 0.32 percentage points decrease in AFG's net interest spread and higher other expenses in the 2013 fourth quarter were more than offset by (i) the impact of lower amortization of deferred acquisition costs during the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to the fourth quarter of 2012, (ii) a larger favorable impact from the change in fair value of FIA-related derivatives, and (iii) a higher unlocking charge in the fourth quarter of 2012 compared to the fourth quarter of 2013. AFG expects its net spread earned on fixed annuities to be closer to 1.35% to 1.40% in 2014 as compared to the 1.67% earned in the fourth quarter of 2013.

**Annuity Benefits Accumulated**

Annuity premiums received and benefit payments are recorded as increases or decreases in annuity benefits accumulated rather than as revenue and expense. Increases in this liability for interest credited and other benefits are charged to expense and decreases for surrender and other policy charges are credited to other income.

For certain products, annuity benefits accumulated also includes reserves for accrued persistency and premium bonuses, excess benefits expected to be paid on future deaths and annuitizations ("EDAR") and guaranteed withdrawal benefits. Annuity benefits accumulated also includes amounts advanced from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati. The following table is a progression of AFG's annuity benefits accumulated liability for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in millions):

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Beginning fixed annuity reserves	\$19,505	\$16,999
Fixed annuity premiums (receipts)	1,368	545
Surrenders, benefits and other withdrawals	(408	) (355
Interest and other annuity benefit expenses:		
Interest credited	118	109
Embedded derivative mark-to-market	74	(4
Change in other benefit reserves	18	(10
Unlocking	4	(10
Ending fixed annuity reserves	\$20,679	\$17,274
Reconciliation to annuity benefits accumulated per balance sheet:		
Ending fixed annuity reserves (from above)	\$20,679	\$17,274
Impact of unrealized investment gains	71	136
Fixed component of variable annuities	194	199
Annuity benefits accumulated per balance sheet	\$20,944	\$17,609

**Statutory Annuity Premiums**

AFG's annuity operations generated statutory premiums of \$1.38 billion in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$560 million in the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$821 million (147%). The following table summarizes AFG's annuity sales (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	% Change
Retail single premium annuities — indexed	\$565	\$305	85 %
Retail single premium annuities — fixed	53	35	51 %
Financial institutions single premium annuities — indexed	498	59	744 %
Financial institutions single premium annuities — fixed	201	86	134 %

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Education market — 403(b) fixed and indexed annuities	51	60	(15	%)
Total fixed annuity premiums	1,368	545	151	%
Variable annuities	13	15	(13	%)
Total annuity premiums	\$1,381	\$560	147	%

The 147% increase in annuity premiums as compared to the fourth quarter of 2012 reflects continued successful distribution channel expansion, primarily in the financial institutions market, as well as new product offerings. Management also believes

Table of Contents

that AFG has benefited from its strong ratings, and that the entire annuity industry has benefited from the rise in interest rates in 2013, particularly in the financial institutions market.

**Annuity Unlocking**

In the fourth quarters of 2013 and 2012, AFG conducted a detailed review (“unlocking”) of the major actuarial assumptions underlying its annuity operations. As a result of these reviews, AFG recorded charges (expense reductions) in annuity benefits expense and annuity and supplemental insurance acquisition expenses related to its annuity business. AFG’s net annuity unlocking charge of \$2 million in 2013 and \$14 million in 2012 impacted AFG’s financial statements as follows (in millions):

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Annuity benefits:		
Fixed indexed annuity embedded derivative	\$(2 )	\$(36 )
Sales inducements	2	4
Other reserves	6	26
Total annuity benefits	6	(6 )
Annuity and supplemental insurance acquisition expenses:		
Deferred policy acquisition costs	(4 )	33
Unearned revenue	—	(13 )
Net charge	\$2	\$14

Although the table above includes the impact of assumption changes in both the fixed and variable annuity businesses, the vast

majority of the net charge in each period relates to the fixed (including fixed-indexed) annuity business. The 2013 net charge was due primarily to the impact of changes in assumptions to reflect increased future investment yields being more than offset by an increase in future expected call option costs related to the fixed-indexed annuity business, and higher crediting rates and lapse assumptions. Reinvestment rates used to project future investment yields are based primarily on 7-year and 10-year corporate bond yields. For the 2013 unlocking, AFG assumed a net reinvestment rate (net of default and expense assumptions) of 4.05% in 2014, grading up to an ultimate net reinvestment rate of 5.52% in 2020 and beyond.

The 2012 net charge was due primarily to the impact of changes in assumptions related to future investment yields partially offset by changes in assumptions related to crediting rates, surrenders and annuitization and death benefits. For the 2012 unlocking, AFG assumed a net reinvestment rate (net of default and expense assumptions) of 3.50% in 2013, grading up ratably to an ultimate net reinvestment rate of 5.27% in 2022 and beyond.

**Annuity Earnings before Income Taxes Reconciliation**

The following table reconciles the net spread earned on AFG’s fixed annuities to overall annuity pretax earnings for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in millions):

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Earnings on fixed annuity benefits accumulated	\$84	\$64
Earnings on investments in excess of fixed annuity benefits accumulated (*)	6	5
Variable annuity earnings	2	(1 )
Earnings before income taxes	\$92	\$68

(\*) Net investment income (as a % of investments) of 5.21% and 5.74% for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, multiplied by the difference between average fixed annuity investments (at amortized cost) and average fixed annuity benefits accumulated in each period.

Table of Contents

Run-off Long-Term Care and Life Segment — Results of Operations As previously discussed under “Uncertainties — Run-off Long-term Care Insurance,” AFG recorded a \$153 million loss recognition charge in the fourth quarter of 2012 to write off deferred policy acquisition costs and strengthen reserves in its closed block of long-term care insurance. The charge was due primarily to lower projected future investment rates resulting from the continued low interest rate environment, as well as changes in claims, expense and persistency assumptions. Excluding this charge, pretax core operating losses for the run-off long-term care and life segment were \$3 million in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$12 million in the fourth quarter of 2012, an improvement of \$9 million (75%). This improvement reflects the impact of higher long-term care benefits expense in the 2012 quarter due to charges to increase reserves related primarily to existing open claims and certain policyholder benefit features. The following table details AFG's GAAP and core losses before income taxes from its run-off long-term care and life operations for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended December 31,		% Change	
	2013	2012		
Revenues:				
Net earned premiums:				
Long-term care	\$ 18	\$ 19	(5	%)
Life operations	9	9	—	%)
Net investment income	19	15	27	%)
Other income	1	2	(50	%)
Total revenues	47	45	4	%)
Costs and Expenses:				
Life, accident and health benefits:				
Long-term care (*)	28	33	(15	%)
Life operations	12	11	9	%)
Acquisition expenses (*)	4	7	(43	%)
Other expenses	6	6	—	%)
Total costs and expenses	50	57	(12	%)
Core loss before income taxes	(3	) (12	) (75	%)
Pretax non-core loss recognition charge	—	(153	) (100	%)
GAAP loss before income taxes	\$(3	) \$(165	) (98	%)

(\*) Excludes the pretax non-core loss recognition charge recorded in the fourth quarter of 2012, which increased life, accident and health benefits by \$74 million and acquisition expenses by \$79 million.

AFG expects revenues and expenses related to the long-term care business to generally increase over time as this closed block of business ages. Due to the age and relatively small size of its long-term care business, AFG expects claims volatility from period to period. Management continues to monitor its claims experience and update its loss recognition assumptions as needed.

Medicare Supplement and Critical Illness Segment — Results of Operations AFG's Medicare supplement and critical illness segment for the fourth quarter of 2012 reflects a \$15 million GAAP pretax (non-core) post-closing adjustment to the gain on the August 2012 sale of these businesses. See Note B — “Acquisitions and Sales of Subsidiaries” to the financial statements.

Table of Contents

Holding Company, Other and Unallocated — Results of Operations AFG's pretax loss outside of its insurance operations totaled \$46 million for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$42 million for the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$4 million (10%).

The following table details AFG's loss before income taxes from operations outside of its insurance operations for three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended		% Change
	December 31, 2013	2012	
<b>Revenues:</b>			
Net investment income	\$2	\$1	100 %
Other income	3	4	(25 %)
Total revenues	5	5	— %
<b>Costs and Expenses:</b>			
Interest charges on borrowed money	17	17	— %
Other expenses	34	30	13 %
Total costs and expenses	51	47	9 %
Loss before income taxes, excluding realized gains	\$(46)	\$(42)	10 %

**Holding Company and Other — Net Investment Income**

Net investment income for the fourth quarter of 2013 was \$2 million compared to \$1 million in the fourth quarter of 2012. The parent company holds a small portfolio of securities that are classified as “trading” and marked-to-market through investment income. These trading securities increased in value by approximately \$2 million in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to less than \$1 million in the 2012 period.

**Holding Company and Other — Other Income**

Other income in the table above includes \$4 million in both the fourth quarter of 2013 and 2012 in management fees paid to AFG by the AFG-managed CLOs (AFG's consolidated managed investment entities). These fees are eliminated in consolidation — see the other income line in the Consolidate MIEs column under “Results of Operations — Segmented Statement of Earnings.” Results for the fourth quarter of 2013 and 2012 include losses related to the sale of fixed assets of \$2 million and \$3 million, respectively.

**Holding Company and Other — Interest Charges on Borrowed Money**

AFG's holding companies and other operations outside of its insurance operations recorded interest expense of \$17 million in both the fourth quarter of 2013 and 2012. The following table details AFG's long-term debt balances as of December 31, 2013 compared to October 1, 2012 (dollars in millions):

	December 31, 2013	October 1, 2012
<b>Direct obligations of AFG:</b>		
9-7/8% Senior Notes due June 2019	\$350	\$350
6-3/8% Senior Notes due June 2042	230	230
5-3/4% Senior Notes due August 2042	125	125
7% Senior Notes due September 2050	132	132
Other	3	3
	840	840
<b>Other holding company obligations:</b>		
Secured borrowings (guaranteed by AFG)	—	16
AAG Holding Variable Rate Subordinated Debentures	—	20

	—	36	
Total Holding Company and Other Debt	\$840	\$876	
Weighted Average Interest Rate	7.8	% 7.7	%

65

---



Table of Contents

## Holding Company and Other — Other Expenses

AFG's holding companies and other operations outside of its insurance operations, recorded other expenses of \$34 million in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$30 million in the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$4 million (13%). The \$4 million increase reflects higher expense associated with employee benefit plans that are tied to stock market performance and slightly higher stock-based compensation expense.

**Consolidated Realized Gains (Losses) on Securities** AFG's consolidated realized gains on securities, which are not allocated to segments, were \$67 million in the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$65 million in the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$2 million (3%). Realized gains (losses) on securities consisted of the following (in millions):

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Realized gains (losses) before impairments:		
Disposals	\$75	\$74
Change in the fair value of derivatives	(1	) —
Adjustments to annuity deferred policy acquisition costs and related items	(1	) (2
	73	72
Impairment charges:		
Securities	(9	) (9
Adjustments to annuity deferred policy acquisition costs and related items	3	2
	(6	) (7
Realized gains on securities	\$67	\$65

**Consolidated Income Taxes** AFG's consolidated provision for income taxes was \$81 million for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to a tax benefit of \$49 million in the fourth quarter of 2012, an increase of \$130 million (265%). The income tax benefit recorded in the fourth quarter of 2012 includes a \$39 million non-core tax benefit related to the settlement of open tax years following the resolution of the tax litigation with the IRS. See Note L — "Income Taxes" to the financial statements. The following is a reconciliation of income taxes at the statutory rate of 35% to the provision (benefit) for income taxes as shown in the Segmented Statement of Earnings (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended December 31,			
	2013		2012	
	Amount	% of EBT	Amount	% of EBT
Earnings (loss) before income taxes ("EBT")	\$246		\$(30	)
Income taxes at statutory rate	\$86	35	% \$(10	) 35
Effect of:				
Tax exempt interest	(5	) (2	%) (5	) 16
Losses of managed investment entities	(2	) (1	%) 12	(40
Subsidiaries not in AFG's tax return	1	—	% (5	) 17
Settlement of open tax years	—	—	% (39	) 130
Other	1	1	% (2	) 6
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	\$81	33	% \$(49	) 164

**Consolidated Noncontrolling Interests** AFG's consolidated net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests were \$7 million for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to a net loss of \$31 million for the fourth quarter of 2012. The following table details net earnings (loss) in consolidated subsidiaries attributable to holders other than AFG (dollars in millions):

Three months ended  
December 31,

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

	2013	2012	% Change	
National Interstate	\$4	\$4	—	%
Managed Investment Entities	4	(34	)	(112 %)
Other	(1	) (1	)	— %
Earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	\$7	\$(31	)	(123 %)

As discussed in Note A — “Accounting Policies,” and Note H — “Managed Investment Entities” to the financial statements, the losses of Managed Investment Entities represent CLO losses that ultimately inure to holders of the CLO debt.

Table of Contents

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS — YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013, 2012 AND 2011

Segmented Statement of Earnings AFG reports its business as five segments: (i) Property and casualty insurance (“P&C”), (ii) Annuity, (iii) Run-off long-term care and life, (iv) Medicare supplement and critical illness (sold in August 2012) and (v) Other, which includes holding company costs and operations attributable to the noncontrolling interests of the managed investment entities (“MIEs”).

AFG's net earnings attributable to shareholders, determined in accordance with GAAP, include certain items that may not be indicative of its ongoing core operations. The following tables for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 identify such items by segment and reconcile net earnings attributable to shareholders to core net operating earnings, a non-GAAP financial measure that AFG believes is a useful tool for investors and analysts in analyzing ongoing operating trends (in millions):

	P&C	Annuity	Run-off long-term care and life	Other Consol. MIEs	Holding Co., other and unallocated	Total	Non-GAAP reclass	GAAP Total
Year ended December 31, 2013								
Revenues:								
Property and casualty insurance net earned premiums	\$3,204	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$3,204	\$—	\$3,204
Life, accident and health net earned premiums	—	—	114	—	—	114	—	114
Net investment income	263	1,034	76	(35)	8	1,346	—	1,346
Realized gains on securities	—	—	—	—	—	—	221	221
Realized losses on subsidiaries	—	—	—	—	—	—	(4 )	(4 )
Income (loss) of MIEs:								
Investment income	—	—	—	128	—	128	—	128
Gain (loss) on change in fair value of assets/liabilities	—	—	—	(14)	—	(14 )	—	(14 )
Other income	15	67	4	(16)	27	97	—	97
Total revenues	3,482	1,101	194	63	35	4,875	217	5,092
Costs and Expenses:								
Property and casualty insurance:								
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	1,986	—	—	—	—	1,986	54	2,040
Commissions and other underwriting expenses	1,019	—	—	—	—	1,019	—	1,019
Annuity benefits	—	531	—	—	—	531	—	531
Life, accident and health benefits	—	—	160	—	—	160	—	160
Annuity and supplemental insurance acquisition expenses	—	149	18	—	—	167	—	167
Interest charges on borrowed money	3	—	—	—	68	71	—	71
Expenses of MIEs	—	—	—	89	—	89	—	89
Other expenses	45	93	26	—	135	299	27	326
Total costs and expenses	3,053	773	204	89	203	4,322	81	4,403
Earnings before income taxes	429	328	(10 )	(26)	(168 )	553	136	689
Provision for income taxes	132	113	(4 )	—	(54 )	187	49	236
Net earnings, including noncontrolling interests	297	215	(6 )	(26)	(114 )	366	87	453
Less: Net earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	7	—	—	(26)	—	(19 )	1	(18 )
Core Net Operating Earnings	290	215	(6 )	—	(114 )	385		
Non-core earnings attributable to shareholders (a):								

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Realized gains, net of tax	—	—	—	—	138	138	(138)	—
Special A&E charges, net of tax	(35	)	—	—	(14	)	(49	) 49 —
ELNY guaranty fund assessments, net of tax	—	(3	)	—	—	(3	)	3 —
Net Earnings Attributable to Shareholders	\$255	\$212	\$(6	)	\$—	\$10	\$471	\$— \$471

67

---

Table of Contents

	P&C	Annuity and life	Run-off care and critical illness	Other Medicare supplement and critical illness	Consol. MIEs and other unallocated	Total	Non- recl	GAAP Total
Year ended December 31, 2012								
Revenues:								
Property and casualty insurance net earned premiums	\$2,847	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$2,847	\$—	\$2,847
Life, accident and health net earned premiums	—	—	119	199	—	318	—	318
Net investment income	275	976	69	7	(31)	1,301	—	1,301
Realized gains on securities	—	—	—	—	—	—	210	210
Realized gains on subsidiaries	—	—	—	—	—	—	161	161
Income (loss) of MIEs:								
Investment income	—	—	—	—	125	125	—	125
Gain (loss) on change in fair value of assets/liabilities	—	—	—	—	(94)	(94)	—	(94)
Other income	24	52	3	6	(18)	89	—	89
Total revenues	3,146	1,028	191	212	(18)	4,586	371	4,957
Costs and Expenses:								
Property and casualty insurance:								
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	1,842	—	—	—	—	1,842	31	1,873
Commissions and other underwriting expenses	887	—	—	—	—	887	—	887
Annuity benefits	—	541	—	—	—	541	—	541
Life, accident and health benefits	—	—	151	131	—	282	74	356
Annuity and supplemental insurance acquisition expenses	—	150	22	31	—	203	79	282
Interest charges on borrowed money	4	—	—	—	71	75	—	75
Expenses of MIEs	—	—	—	—	80	80	—	80
Other expenses	60	81	22	22	116	301	25	326
Total costs and expenses	2,793	772	195	184	187	4,211	209	4,420
Earnings before income taxes	353	256	(4)	28	(98)	375	162	537
Provision for income taxes	102	89	(1)	10	(51)	149	(14)	135
Net earnings, including noncontrolling interests	251	167	(3)	18	(98)	226	176	402
Less: Net earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	10	—	—	—	(98)	(88)	2	(86)
Core Net Operating Earnings	241	167	(3)	18	(109)	314	—	—
Non-core earnings attributable to shareholders (a):								
Gain on sale of Medicare supplement and critical illness businesses, net of tax	—	—	—	114	—	114	(1)	113
Other realized gains, net of tax	—	—	—	—	128	128	(128)	—
Long-term care reserve charge, net of tax	—	—	(99)	—	—	(99)	99	—
Special A&E charges, net of tax	(20)	—	—	—	(1)	(21)	21	—
AFG tax case and settlement of open tax years	—	—	—	—	67	67	(67)	—
Other, net of tax	—	—	—	—	(15)	(15)	15	—
Net Earnings Attributable to Shareholders	\$221	\$167	\$(102)	\$132	\$—	\$488	\$—	\$488

Table of Contents

	P&C	Run- long Annuity	Medicare supplement and critical life illness	Other Medicare Consol. MIEs and other	Holding Co., other unallocated	Total	Non- recla	GAAP Total
Year ended December 31, 2011								
Revenues:								
Property and casualty insurance net earned premiums	\$2,759	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$2,759	\$—	\$2,759
Life, accident and health net earned premiums	—	—	126	304	—	430	—	430
Net investment income	291	859	66	10	(6)	1,225	—	1,225
Realized gains on securities	—	—	—	—	—	—	76	76
Realized losses on subsidiaries	—	—	—	—	—	—	(3)	(3)
Income (loss) of MIEs:								
Investment income	—	—	—	—	105	105	—	105
Gain (loss) on change in fair value of assets/liabilities	—	—	—	—	(33)	(33)	—	(33)
Other income	26	43	(2)	11	(19)	84	—	84
Total revenues	3,076	902	190	325	47	4,570	73	4,643
Costs and Expenses:								
Property and casualty insurance:								
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	1,694	—	—	—	—	1,694	50	1,744
Commissions and other underwriting expenses	835	—	—	—	—	835	—	835
Annuity benefits	—	510	—	—	—	510	—	510
Life, accident and health benefits	—	—	151	209	—	360	—	360
Annuity and supplemental insurance acquisition expenses	—	124	22	49	—	195	—	195
Interest charges on borrowed money	5	—	—	—	69	74	—	74
Expenses of MIEs	—	—	—	—	71	71	—	71
Other expenses	50	80	17	33	—	287	9	296
Total costs and expenses	2,584	714	190	291	71	4,026	59	4,085
Earnings before income taxes	492	188	—	34	(24)	544	14	558
Provision for income taxes	165	63	—	12	—	199	40	239
Net earnings, including noncontrolling interests	327	125	—	22	(24)	345	(26)	319
Less: Net earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	5	—	—	—	(24)	(18)	(5)	(23)
Core Net Operating Earnings	322	125	—	22	—	363	—	363
Non-core earnings attributable to shareholders (a):								
Other realized gains, net of tax	—	—	—	—	45	45	(45)	—
Special A&E charges, net of tax	(32)	—	—	—	(6)	(38)	38	—
Valuation allowance on deferred taxes	(28)	—	—	—	—	(28)	28	—
Net Earnings Attributable to Shareholders	\$262	\$125	\$—	\$22	\$—	\$342	\$—	\$342

(a) See the reconciliation of core earnings to GAAP net earnings under “Results of Operations — General” for details on the tax and noncontrolling interest impacts of these reconciling items.

Property and Casualty Insurance Segment — Results of Operations AFG's property and casualty insurance operations contributed \$375 million in GAAP pretax earnings in 2013 compared to \$322 million in 2012, an increase of \$53 million (16%). Property and casualty core pretax earnings were \$429 million for the 2013 compared to

\$353 million for the 2012, an increase of \$76 million (22%). Higher underwriting profits in the Specialty casualty group and Specialty financial group were partially offset by a decline in the underwriting profits in the Property and transportation group during the first half of the year.

AFG's property and casualty insurance operations contributed \$322 million in GAAP pretax earnings in 2012 compared to \$442 million in 2011, a decrease of \$120 million (27%). Property and casualty core pretax earnings were \$353 million in 2012 compared to \$492 million in 2011, a decrease of \$139 million (28%). The decrease is due mainly to lower underwriting profits

Table of Contents

in the Property and transportation group due primarily to the effects of the Midwest drought on the crop operations and losses from Superstorm Sandy in 2012.

The following table details AFG's GAAP and core earnings before income taxes from its property and casualty operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in millions):

	Year ended December 31,			% Change		
	2013	2012	2011	2013 - 2012	2012 - 2011	
Gross written premiums	\$4,805	\$4,321	\$4,106	11	% 5	%
Reinsurance premiums ceded	(1,464)	(1,372)	(1,336)	) 7	% 3	%
Net written premiums	3,341	2,949	2,770	13	% 6	%
Change in unearned premiums	(137)	(102)	(11)	) 34	% 827	%
Net earned premiums	3,204	2,847	2,759	13	% 3	%
Loss and loss adjustment expenses (*)	1,986	1,842	1,694	8	% 9	%
Commissions and other underwriting expenses	1,019	887	835	15	% 6	%