Crystal River Capital, Inc. Form 10-K March 26, 2010

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

ý ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to Commission file number: 001-32958

Crystal River Capital, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

20-2230150

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Three World Financial Center, 200 Vesey Street, 10th Floor New York, New York 10281-1010

(Zip Code)

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (212) 549-8400

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock, \$0.001 par value

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No ý

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes o No ý

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \circ No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company ý Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No ý

MARKET VALUE

The aggregate market value of the outstanding common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2009 was approximately \$39.5 million (based on the closing sale price of \$1.76 per share as reported by the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board on that date).

OUTSTANDING STOCK

As of March 23, 2010, there were 24,909,256 outstanding shares of common stock. The common stock is traded on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board and on Pink Sheets under the trading symbol "CYRV".

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part III incorporates information by reference from the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") within 120 days after the close of the registrant's fiscal year.

CRYSTAL RIVER CAPITAL, INC.

PART I	Ducing	<u>3</u>
Item 1. Item 1A. Item 1B. Item 2. Item 3. Item 4. PART II	Risk Factors. Unresolved Staff Comments. Properties. Legal Proceedings. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.	3 24 54 54 54 55
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.	<u>56</u>
Item 6. Item 7. Item 7A. Item 8. Item 9. Item 9A. Item 9B.	Selected Financial Data. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure. Controls and Procedures. Other Information.	56 57 59 118 118 118 119
PART III Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.	120 120
Item 11. Item 12. Item 13. Item 14.	Executive Compensation. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.	120 120 120 120
PART IV Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.	<u>121</u>
<u>Signatures</u>		<u>121</u>
Index to Conso	lidated Financial Statements	<u>122</u>
	i	<u>F-1</u>

EXPLANATORY NOTE

Except where the context suggests otherwise in this report, the terms "Crystal River," "we," "us" and "our" refer to Crystal River Capital, Inc. and its subsidiaries; "our Manager" refers to our external manager, Crystal River Capital Advisors, LLC and in certain contexts, may refer to our external manager and its affiliates that provide advisory services to us, including our sub-advisors; "BIM" refers to Brookfield Investment Management Inc., a sub-advisor that has been retained by us and our Manager; "Brookfield Sub-Advisor" refers to Brookfield Crystal River Capital L.P., a sub-advisor that has been retained by us and our Manager; and "Brookfield" refers to Brookfield Asset Management Inc., the indirect parent company of our Manager, BIM and Brookfield Sub-Advisor, together with its subsidiaries. References in this report to the rating of a debt security reflects the lowest of the credit ratings for such security issued by each of Fitch, Inc., Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and Standard & Poor's Ratings Services, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Some of the statements under "Risk Factors," "Business," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K constitute forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements relate to expectations, beliefs, projections, future plans and strategies, anticipated events or trends and similar expressions concerning matters that are not historical facts. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terms such as "anticipate," "believe," "could," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "may," "plan," "goal," "objective," "potential," "project," "should," "will" and "would" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology.

The forward-looking statements are based on our beliefs, assumptions and expectations of our future performance, taking into account all information currently available to us. These beliefs, assumptions and expectations can change as a result of many possible events or factors, not all of which are known to us or are within our control. If a change occurs, the performance of our portfolio and our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations may vary materially from those expressed, anticipated or contemplated in our forward-looking statements. You should carefully consider these risks before you invest in our common stock, along with the following factors that could cause actual results to vary from our forward-looking statements:

the factors referenced in this report, including those set forth below in Item 1A and in "Item 1. Business" and "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;"
the impending maturity of our senior revolving credit facility, our lack of access to additional financing and our current financial condition raise substantial doubt regarding our ability to continue as a going concern;
recent adverse developments in the mortgage finance and credit markets and our current inability to make new investments;
risks relating to our proposed merger with Brookfield, as well as risks relating to our failure to complete the merger;
changes in our business strategy;
availability of qualified personnel;
conflicts of interest with our Manager;
terrorist attacks;

our reliance on a single tenant for all three of our commercial real estate properties;

Table of Contents

increased rates of default and/or decreased recovery rates on our investments;

increased prepayments of the mortgage and other loans underlying our mortgage-backed or other asset-backed securities;

changes in governmental regulations, tax law and rates and similar matters;

changes in generally accepted accounting principles by standard-setting bodies;

to the extent we have investable cash, availability of investment opportunities in real estate-related and other securities; and

the degree and nature of our competition.

2

PART I

Item 1. Business.

Crystal River is a Maryland corporation that has invested in commercial real estate, real estate loans and instruments, real estate-related securities, such as commercial and residential mortgage-backed securities, and various other asset classes. We have invested primarily in commercial real estate, whole mortgage loans, mezzanine loans, commercial mortgage-backed securities, or CMBS, and non-Agency residential mortgage-backed securities, or non-Agency RMBS. We also have invested in junior interests in mortgage loans known as B Notes, mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. Government sponsored agencies, or Agency MBS, diversified asset-backed securities, or ABS, including consumer obligations, and collateralized debt obligations, or CDOs. Finally, we have made selective debt and/or equity investments in certain alternative assets to diversify our portfolio and enhance our risk adjusted returns. We are externally managed and advised by wholly-owned subsidiaries of Brookfield. We have elected and qualified to be taxed as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, under the Internal Revenue Code since the 2005 tax year. To maintain our tax status as a REIT, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our taxable income, to the extent that we have any, and we are monitoring our balance sheet investments to meet the asset and income tests necessary to maintain qualification as a REIT.

We were organized on January 25, 2005 by BIM, which may be deemed to be our promoter, and completed a private offering of our common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, which we refer to as our common stock, in March 2005, in which we raised net proceeds of approximately \$405.6 million. In August 2006, we completed our initial public offering, in which we sold 7.5 million shares of our stock and raised net proceeds of \$158.6 million.

We currently own a portfolio consisting of commercial mortgage backed securities, residential mortgage backed securities, commercial mortgage loan products and three commercial real estate office buildings that are net-leased on a long-term basis to JPMorgan Chase. In the current market, it is very difficult to obtain or refinance leverage against our portfolio of assets and we currently do not have any investable cash. We make portfolio allocation decisions based on various factors, including expected cash yield, relative value, risk-adjusted returns, current and projected credit fundamentals, current and projected macroeconomic considerations, current and projected supply and demand, credit and market risk concentration limits, liquidity, cost and availability of financing and hedging activities, as well as maintaining our REIT qualification and our exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act of 1940, which we refer to as the Investment Company Act. These factors place significant limits on the amount of certain of our targeted investments such as private equity funds, non-real estate loans and consumer ABS, non-real estate-related CDOs and other equity investments that might be included in our portfolio.

Our common stock is traded on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board and on Pink Sheets under the symbol "CYRV". Historically, our board of directors established our dividend by analyzing the long-term sustainability of earnings given existing market conditions and the current composition of our portfolio and by analyzing our distribution obligations as a REIT. This included an analysis of our credit loss assumptions, general level of interest rates and projected hedging costs. In November 2009, our board of directors announced that it had elected to suspend our quarterly dividend to stockholders to preserve liquidity in consideration of the large increase in the delinquency rate on our CMBS portfolio and the resulting uncertainty regarding our operating cash flows. In addition, we are prohibited from paying any dividends under the merger agreement described in "Business Recent Developments Possible Acquisition of Crystal River", which we refer to as the merger agreement.

We are managed by our Manager, a subsidiary of Brookfield Asset Management Inc., a publicly traded (NYSE:BAM) asset management company with approximately \$100 billion of assets under

Table of Contents

management at December 31, 2009. Our Manager provides an operating platform that incorporates significant asset origination, risk management, and operational capabilities.

Beginning in 2007, we determined that we operate in two reportable segments: a Commercial Real Estate segment and a Securities, Loans and Other segment. See Note 17 to our consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere herein for certain financial information relating to our segments for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Current market conditions continue to remain challenging. Assets within our portfolio continued to decline in market value throughout 2008 and 2009. Specifically, CMBS and RMBS assets, which make up the bulk of our securities portfolio, are valued by the marketplace as if they were "interest-only" securities that will only pay interest for another one to two years, with no principal being paid at maturity. We actively monitor the cash flow that is produced from this portfolio, which also has experienced significant declines as a result of defaults and delinquencies in the underlying loans.

During 2008 and 2009, we were focused on executing our stated goal of reducing our short-term debt. The first step toward that goal was the sale of our \$1.2 billion Agency MBS portfolio in the first half of 2008 and the application of the sales proceeds to repay our short-term debt, which significantly decreased the size of our balance sheet. Our short-term debt totaled \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2007 and as of December 31, 2009, it stood at \$28.9 million. Our current view is that in order to run our business effectively in the face of current market conditions, our fundamental focus needs to be on maximizing our current and expected cash flows. To this end, our board of directors reduced our dividend, beginning in the second quarter of 2008, and suspended our dividend, beginning in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Recent Developments

Going Concern

We held cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$3.3 million as of December 31, 2009, which excludes restricted cash of approximately \$16.5 million that is used to collateralize our credit default swap and certain other commercial real estate and financing obligations. As of December 31, 2009, we owed \$28.9 million to an affiliate of our Manager under our secured credit facility. Unless earlier terminated as provided in the credit agreement governing the terms of our secured credit facility, our credit facility terminates on August 31, 2010. If the merger with Brookfield discussed below under "Possible Acquisition of Crystal River" is not consummated, this facility is not extended and we cannot obtain alternative financing, we do not expect to have sufficient cash on hand or to generate sufficient cash flow from operations to repay such indebtedness. Given the current lack of liquidity in the credit markets and the current economic climate, we expect to have difficulty obtaining alternative financing if our credit facility is not extended. If we cannot obtain alternative financing or extend our credit facility, it increases the risk that we will be unable to continue as a going concern. Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, has issued an opinion on our consolidated financial statements which states that our inability to refinance or extend the maturity of indebtedness maturing in the next 12 months raises substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K do not reflect any adjustments related to the recoverability of assets and satisfaction of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

Completion of the Exchange and Discharge of our Trust Preferred Securities

On January 29, 2010, we entered into an exchange agreement, which we refer to as the Exchange Agreement, with Taberna Preferred Funding VIII, Ltd. and Taberna Preferred Funding IX, Ltd., which

Table of Contents

we collectively refer to as Taberna, pursuant to which we exchanged certain of our assets described below for the outstanding \$50.0 million aggregate liquidation preference of trust preferred securities issued by Crystal River Preferred Trust I and backed by \$51.6 million aggregate principal amount of our junior subordinated notes due 2037. Immediately following the closing of the transaction contemplated by the Exchange Agreement, the junior subordinated notes were cancelled, the indenture under which the notes were issued was discharged and Crystal River Preferred Trust I was dissolved.

Pursuant to the Exchange Agreement, we transferred and assigned to Taberna two real estate loans and a CMBS bond, which collectively had an aggregate carrying value for accounting purposes on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2009 of \$6.0 million, and we also paid to Taberna a \$1.0 million exchange fee. We also paid \$0.5 million to cover all costs and expenses that were incurred by the collateral manager for Taberna, the indenture trustee and their respective advisors.

Possible Acquisition of Crystal River

On February 24, 2010, we announced that we had concluded our previously-announced review of strategic alternatives and that we had entered into a definitive merger agreement with Brookfield pursuant to which Brookfield is expected to acquire all the shares of our outstanding common stock that Brookfield does not already own for cash at a price of \$0.60 per share, which we refer to as the Merger.

The Merger was unanimously approved by the independent members of our board of directors, and by the Special Committee formed to oversee our strategic review process. The transaction is subject to approval by our stockholders holding a majority of the shares entitled to vote at a special meeting of stockholders, as well as other customary closing conditions. Consummation of the Merger is not subject to a financing condition and Brookfield has consented to the transaction in its capacity as our senior lender. The merger agreement does not prohibit us from receiving and evaluating competing bids prior to completion of the Merger and does not require the payment of a termination fee if we accept a superior bid. We will be obligated to reimburse Brookfield for all of its transaction expenses if the merger agreement is terminated other than as result of a breach by Brookfield (subject to a cap in certain circumstances). The Merger currently is expected to close in the second quarter of 2010.

Amendment of Credit Agreement

Concurrently with entering into the merger agreement with Brookfield, we amended the terms of our revolving credit facility with Brookfield US Corporation, an affiliate of our Manager, pursuant to which the maturity date of the credit facility was extended to August 31, 2010, unless sooner terminated as provided in the credit agreement; *provided*, *however*, that, notwithstanding the foregoing, the term of the credit facility will expire upon the latest of (i) May 25, 2010, (ii) the effective time of the Merger and (iii) thirty (30) calendar days following the termination of the merger agreement, but in no event later than August 31, 2010. In addition, Brookfield US Corporation consented to the Merger and to the separate sale by us of certain of our assets (described below) as contemplated by the merger agreement.

Binding Letter of Intent for Asset Sale

As contemplated by the merger agreement, on March 9, 2010, we entered into a binding letter of intent, which we refer to as the Asset Sale LOI, with Ranieri Partners Management LLC, which we refer to as Ranieri, pursuant to which Ranieri (either directly or through an affiliate) agreed to purchase five CMBS bonds from us, which we refer to as the CMBS Purchase. The five CMBS bonds

Table of Contents

had a carrying value of approximately \$0.9 million as of December 31, 2009. In addition, under the Asset Sale LOI, Ranieri (either directly or through an affiliate) agreed to acquire the rights to:

(i) (a) direct us to use commercially reasonable efforts to advocate for the appointment of Ranieri or another person designated by Ranieri (in this context, we refer to Ranieri in this context or such other person designated by Ranieri as the Specified Manager) as the collateral manager for Crystal River CDO 2005-1, Ltd., which we refer to as CDO1 and (b) exercise, from and after the final consummation of the CMBS Purchase, any and all of our rights, in our capacity as the sole holder of the preferred shares of CDO1, to direct the appointment of a replacement collateral manager for CDO1 (subject to any fiduciary or other legal obligations that we have) (clauses (a) and (b) of this subparagraph (i) are collectively referred to herein as the CDO1 Rights Purchase); and

(ii) (a) direct us, in our capacity as the sole holder of the preferred shares of Crystal River Resecuritization 2006-1 Ltd., which we refer to as CDO2, to direct CDO2 to appoint the Specified Manager as the replacement collateral manager for CDO2, following the resignation of our Manager as the CDO2 collateral manager under the collateral management agreement for CDO2 and (b) exercise, from and after the final consummation of the CMBS Purchase, any and all of our rights, in our capacity as the sole holder of the preferred shares of CDO2, to direct the appointment of a replacement collateral manager for CDO2 (subject to any fiduciary or other legal obligations that we have) (clauses (a) and (b) of this subparagraph (ii) are collectively referred to herein as the CDO2 Rights Purchase).

Ranieri has agreed to pay us a total of \$8.0 million for the CMBS Purchase, the CDO1 Rights Purchase and the CDO2 Rights Purchase, which we refer to collectively as the Transaction. The following table provides information with respect to the purchase price to be paid by Ranieri (either directly or through one or more of its affiliates) and the estimated closing date for each component of the Transaction:

Component of Transaction CMBS Purchase	Purchase Price \$2,500,000 (plus accrued and unpaid interest through the closing date of this portion of the Transaction)	Estimated Closing Date Closed on March 15, 2010
CDO1 Rights Purchase	\$3,500,000	Third Quarter/Fourth Quarter 2010 (90 days after obtaining approval of Class A Notes to appoint the Specified Manager)

CDO2 Rights Purchase \$2,000,000 June/July 2010

In connection with the execution of the Asset Sale LOI, one of Ranieri's affiliates paid \$1.6 million (20% of the total purchase price) as a deposit on the Transaction. A ratable portion of the escrowed funds (based on the purchase prices specified above) will be applied to each component of the Transaction upon the closing of that component. The CMBS Purchase closed on March 15, 2010 and \$0.5 million of the deposit was applied against the \$2.5 million purchase price for the CMBS bonds. An affiliate of Brookfield is a lender to the Ranieri affiliate that purchased the CMBS bonds, which such Ranieri affiliate we refer to as the Purchaser, and proceeds from such loan have been used to fund the deposit referenced above and the remainder of the purchase price for the CMBS bonds and an additional \$1.9 million available under the loan may be used to fund a portion of the purchase price for the CDO1 Rights Purchase and the CDO2 Rights Purchase. In addition, an affiliate of Brookfield, which we refer to as the Co-Investor, is a co-investor with the Purchaser in the entity, which we refer to as the Servicer, that acts as the special servicer for several of the CMBS

securitizations in which we have invested, including all of the securitizations in which the bonds that were the subject of the CMBS Purchase are a part. Brookfield and/or its affiliates have, or prior to the CMBS Purchase had, the ability to designate the special servicer for the securitizations in which the bonds that were the subject of the CMBS Purchase are a part and had designated the Servicer as the special servicer for those securitizations. The Co-Investor and the Purchaser own approximately 8.5% and 32.3%, respectively, of the Servicer.

We also agreed to grant to Ranieri a right of first refusal to purchase all or any portion of our interest in two CMBS securitizations if we sell those securities in the future.

The CMBS Purchase closed on March 15, 2010. The completion of the CDO1 Rights Purchase and the CDO2 Right Purchase are subject to various customary closing conditions as well as the execution of definitive documentation. The Asset Sale LOI and the Transaction were approved by a special committee of our board of directors, which is comprised entirely of the independent members of our board of directors, which we refer to as the Special Committee. The Special Committee, with the assistance of Broadpoint. Gleacher, the Special Committee's financial advisor, solicited and received several bids on the assets that are the subject of the Transaction. The Special Committee selected the Ranieri bid based on the combination of price and other terms, in particular those providing greater certainty of closing.

Our Manager

We are externally managed and advised by our Manager, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Brookfield that was formed on January 25, 2005 solely for the purpose of serving as our manager, whose officers consist of investment professionals and employees of Brookfield or one or more of its affiliates. As of December 31, 2009, our Manager had no employees other than our President and Chief Executive Officer, and we and our Manager had no other independent officers and were entirely dependent on Brookfield and its affiliates for the day-to-day management of our operations. In August 2009, our current Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer was appointed Chairman of our Board of Directors, President and Interim Chief Executive Officer in connection with the commencement of our strategic review process. While our directors periodically review our investment guidelines and our investment portfolio, other than any investments involving our affiliates or our sub-advisors' affiliates or investments proposed by Brookfield Sub-Advisor, which they are required to review and approve prior to such investments being made, they do not review all of our proposed investments. Our officers have been delegated the responsibility to review and make investments consistent with our investment strategy and to provide direction and oversight to our sub-advisors. Our Manager did not have any experience managing a REIT prior to its entering into a management agreement with us and it currently does not provide management or other services to entities other than us.

We believe our relationship with Brookfield, BIM, our Manager and our sub-advisors has provided us with substantial benefits in sourcing, underwriting and managing our investments. Our Manager is responsible for administering our business activities and day-to-day operations and uses the resources of Brookfield to support our operations. We believe that our management agreement and sub-advisory agreements provide us access to broad referral networks, experience in capital markets, credit analysis, debt structuring, hedging and asset management, as well as corporate operations and governance. Our Manager, together with our sub-advisors, has well-respected, established portfolio management resources for each of our targeted asset classes and an extensive, mature infrastructure supporting those resources. Our Manager's and our sub-advisors' portfolio management resources and infrastructure are fully scalable to service our company's activities. We also expect to benefit from our Manager's comprehensive risk management, which addresses not only the risks of portfolio loss, such as risks relating to price volatility, position sizing and leverage, but also the operational risks such as execution of transactions, clearing of transactions, recording of transactions, and monitoring of positions that can have major adverse impacts on investment programs.

Table of Contents

Our Manager and our sub-advisors have senior management teams with extensive experience in identifying and financing, hedging and managing commercial real estate, real estate loans and instruments, and real estate-related securities, such as CMBS, Agency MBS and RMBS.

Our Manager is not obligated to dedicate certain of its employees exclusively to us nor is it obligated to dedicate any specific portion of its time to our business. Moreover, none of our Manager's employees are contractually dedicated to our Manager's obligations to us under our management agreement.

Our Business Strengths

To the extent we have investable cash, we believe the following are our business strengths:

Access to Complementary Investment Skills of Sub-Advisors

Experienced Professionals and Senior Management Team

Diversified Investment Strategy

Access to Brookfield's Investment Management Infrastructure

Relationships and Deal Flow of Brookfield and Our Sub-Advisors

Alignment of Interests of Our Manager and Our Stockholders

Our Investment Strategy

Currently, we do not have investable capital that would allow us to pursue investment opportunities and, in light of our financial condition, we do not anticipate having any investable capital in the future.

Our historic investment strategy is consistent with Brookfield's investment philosophy, which is predicated on the concept of producing stable cash flows and absolute returns. We and Brookfield believe that the most significant opportunities for out-performance exist between and within sectors, as well as among individual investments. Although we are unable to take advantage of future investment opportunities, our historic investment strategy and process is further described below.

The investment process begins with a macroeconomic assessment of the market. Included in the market assessment is the analysis of the interest rate environment, the phase of the real estate cycle, consumer credit trends, supply and demand relationships, as well as a review of any recently released or pending economic data. Our Manager seeks to determine the relative merits of sectors by combining the analysis of historical relationships with our Manager's anticipated outlook for the market. Portfolio managers evaluate fundamental and technical developments in each sector, along with current offerings, recent transactions and market clearing levels and yield spread levels to provide a relative value outlook. This analysis is utilized to determine optimal areas to allocate credit risk in the portfolio across sectors and maturities and to maximize yield and total return expectations.

The most important component of our Manager's relative value investment strategy is security selection, a process that is the result of both quantitative and qualitative inputs, as well as the experience of the portfolio managers. Members of the investment team, utilizing our Manager's proprietary analytics, determine the relative strengths of various securities based on applicable criteria such as issuer, issue, vintage, credit rating, structure, and geographic exposure. The security selection process focuses on four primary areas: the analysis of credit strength, the analysis of security structure, the determination of relative value, and a surveillance function. The analysis of credit strength entails the assessment of loan level attributes such as a security's loan-to-value ratio, ratios like borrower's debt to income, or debt coverage, and loan features and location, as well as trend level attributes such as vintage and issuer. Security structure involves the comprehensive examination of a security's

Table of Contents

structural attributes such as credit support, triggers, single asset, conduit, large loan, as well as its yield maintenance provisions and prepayment lock-outs. After these first two analyses, the relative value of a security versus other candidates is determined through the evaluation of such aspects as yield spread and liquidity. Finally, after purchase, a surveillance function begins that uses our Manager's analytical tools to determine whether a security continues to perform as expected.

We opportunistically invested in a diversified investment portfolio of real estate securities, real estate and various other asset classes, subject to maintaining our REIT status and exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act. Accordingly, we have not adopted policies that require us to establish or maintain any specific asset allocations, and our targeted allocations will vary from time to time as determined by our management team, subject to the oversight of our board of directors.

We benefit from the full range of experience and depth of resources developed by our Manager and its affiliates in managing approximately \$100 billion of assets as of December 31, 2009. We rely on our Manager's expertise in identifying assets within our target asset classes. Portfolio allocation decisions have been based on various factors, including expected cash yield, relative value, risk-adjusted returns, current and projected credit fundamentals, current and projected macroeconomic considerations, current and projected supply and demand, credit and market risk concentration limits, liquidity, cost of financing and financing availability, as well as maintaining our REIT qualification and exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act.

Table of Contents

Our Target Asset Classes

While currently we have no investable capital, our targeted asset classes and the principal investments that we have made to date in each, whether directly or indirectly through investments in funds that focus on these asset classes, are as follows:

Asset Class **Principal Investments** Commercial Real Estate Direct Property Ownership

Preferred Equity Investments

Mortgages and Other Real Estate Debt Mortgage Loans

B Notes

Mezzanine Loans

Land Loans

Construction Loans

Construction Mezzanine Loans

MBS

Agency MBS

RMBS

CMBS Investment Grade CMBS

(Senior and Subordinated)

Below-Investment Grade CMBS

(Rated and Non-Rated)

Agency Adjustable Rate Mortgages ("ARMs")

Non-Agency ARMs

Investment Grade RMBS

Below-Investment Grade RMBS

Non-Conforming Loans

Other RMBS

Other asset-backed securities **CDOs**

Consumer ABS

Aircraft ABS Alternative Assets Other Equity Investments

Our net investment income is generated primarily from the net spread, or difference, between the interest income and rental income we earn on our investment portfolio and the cost of our borrowings and hedging activities. Our net investment income will vary based upon, among other things, the difference between the interest rates earned on our various interest-earning assets and the borrowing costs of the liabilities used to finance those investments.

Although we have focused on the investments described above, our investment decisions depend on prevailing market conditions and the availability of investable capital. We have not adopted any policy that establishes specific asset allocations among our targeted asset classes. As a result, we cannot predict the percentage of our assets that will be invested in each asset class or whether we will invest in other classes or investments. Our board of directors does not and will not review all of our proposed investments, but reviews our portfolio at least quarterly and reviews our investment strategy and policies at least annually. We may change our investment strategy and policies and the percentage of assets

that may be invested in each asset class, or in the case of securities, in a single issuer, without a vote of our stockholders.

The following discusses the principal investments we have made and our general leverage targets for each type of principal investment. Generally, we attempt to match fund our investments by matching the maturity of the financing source with the expected maturity of the investment.

10

Table of Contents

Accordingly, where appropriate and available, we have used direct mortgage borrowing and CDO issuances or other long-term borrowings to finance long-term assets.

Direct Real Property Ownership. We have made direct investments in income-producing commercial real estate office properties within the United States. We currently leverage our direct real estate investments in the range of three to eight times the amount of our equity allocated to the asset class. As of December 31, 2009, the carrying value of our direct investments in real property totaled \$221.8 million. In connection with our direct real property ownership, we have recorded rent enhancement receivables totaling \$11.4 million as of December 31, 2009. Intangible assets and liabilities recorded in connection with our direct real property ownership totaled \$69.9 million and \$66.8 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2009.

Whole Mortgage Loans and Bridge Loans. We have originated and purchased direct or indirect interests in whole loans secured by first mortgages which provide long-term mortgage financing to commercial property developers and owners that generally have maturity dates ranging from three to ten years. As of December 31, 2009, we had originated 100% of the aggregate principal amount of whole mortgage loans and bridge loans that we held. We currently leverage our investments in whole mortgage loans and bridge loans in the range of zero to eight times the amount of our equity allocated to the asset class. As of December 31, 2009, the carrying value of our investments in whole loans was approximately \$1.2 million, with a weighted average coupon rate of 3.48%.

Commercial Real Estate Subordinated Loans. We have invested in direct or indirect interests in commercial real estate subordinated loans, which we refer to as B Notes, that may be rated by at least one nationally recognized rating agency. The subordination of a B Note typically is evidenced by an inter-creditor agreement with the holder of the related A Note. B Notes share certain credit characteristics with subordinated CMBS, in that both reflect an interest in a first mortgage and are subject to more credit risk with respect to the underlying mortgage collateral than the corresponding senior securities or the A Notes, as the case may be. As opposed to a typical CMBS secured by a large pool of mortgage loans, B Notes typically are secured by a single property, and the associated credit risk is concentrated in that single property. B Notes also share certain credit characteristics with second mortgages, in that both are subject to more credit risk with respect to the underlying mortgage collateral than the corresponding first mortgage or the A Note, as the case may be. As of December 31, 2009, we did not have any investments in B Notes.

Mezzanine Loans. We have originated and purchased direct or indirect interests in mezzanine loans that are subordinated to a first mortgage loan on a property and are senior to the borrower's equity in the property. These loans are made to the owner of the property and are secured by pledges of ownership interests in the property and/or the property owner. The mezzanine lender can foreclose on the pledge interests and thereby succeed to ownership of the property subject to the lien of the first mortgage. As of December 31, 2009, we had purchased 100% of the aggregate principal amount of mezzanine loans that we held. We currently leverage our investments in mezzanine loans in the range of zero to four times the amount of our equity allocated to the asset class. As of December 31, 2009, the carrying value of our investments in mezzanine loans was \$4.7 million, with a weighted average coupon rate of 7.32%, which excludes the 15.00% fixed rate coupon for the one mezzanine loan that has been placed on non-accrual status.

Construction Loans and Construction Mezzanine Loans. We have originated or acquired participations in direct or indirect interests in construction or rehabilitation loans on commercial properties that generally provide 85% to 90% of total project costs and are secured by first lien mortgages. Construction loans generally would provide us with fees and interest income at risk adjusted rates and potentially a percentage of net operating income or gross revenues from the property, payable to us on an ongoing basis, and a percentage of any increase in value of the property, payable upon maturity or refinancing of the loan. As of December 31, 2009, we had originated 100% of the

Table of Contents

aggregate principal amount of construction loans and construction mezzanine loans that we held, which includes those loans in which we participated in the original syndication. We currently leverage our investments in construction loans and construction mezzanine loans in the range of zero to four times the amount of our equity allocated to the asset class. As of December 31, 2009, the carrying value of our investments in construction loans was \$0, with a weighted average coupon rate of 16.00% (this loan currently is in default and is not paying cash interest).

Commercial Mortgage Backed Securities. We have invested in CMBS that are secured by, or evidence ownership interests in, a single commercial mortgage loan, or a partial or entire pool of mortgage loans secured by commercial properties. These securities may be senior, subordinated, investment grade or non-investment grade. We have acquired CMBS from private originators of, or investors in, mortgage loans, including savings and loan associations, mortgage banks, commercial banks, finance companies, investment banks and other entities. We have entered into interest rate swaps, futures, options or other strategies to reduce the impact of changes in interest and financing rates for these investments. We currently leverage our investments in CMBS in the range of zero to five times the amount of our equity allocated to the asset class. As of December 31, 2009, the carrying value of our investments in CMBS was approximately \$38.1 million, with a weighted average coupon rate of 5.21%.

Agency Mortgage Backed Securities. We have invested in Agency MBS. Agency MBS are securities that represent participations in, are secured by or payable from, mortgage loans secured by residential real property, which include agency mortgage pass-through certificates and agency collateralized mortgage obligations, or CMOs. We have entered into interest rate swaps to reduce the impact of changes in interest and financing rates for these investments. Adjustable rate mortgages, or ARMS, have interest rates that reset periodically, typically every six or 12 months. Because the interest rates on ARMS adjust periodically based on market conditions, ARMS tend to have interest rates that do not significantly deviate from current market rates. This, in turn, can cause ARMS to have less price sensitivity to interest rates. Hybrid ARMS have interest rates that have an initial fixed period (typically two, three, five, seven or ten years) and thereafter reset at regular intervals in a manner similar to traditional ARMS. The investment characteristics of pass-through MBS differ from those of traditional fixed-income securities. Mortgage prepayments are affected by factors including the level of interest rates, general economic conditions, the location and age of the mortgage, and other social and demographic conditions. Generally, prepayments on pass-through MBS increase during periods of falling mortgage interest rates and decrease during periods of stable or rising mortgage interest rates. Reinvestment of prepayments may occur at higher or lower interest rates than the original investment, thus affecting the yield on our portfolio. As of December 31, 2009, we had no investments in Agency MBS.

Table of Contents

Residential Mortgage Backed Securities. We currently own Non-Agency RMBS. Non-Agency RMBS are debt obligations issued by special purpose vehicles that are backed by residential mortgage loans that generally are not eligible for agency purchase. Non-Agency RMBS generally are issued as CMOs and are backed by pools of whole mortgage loans. Non-Agency RMBS generally are securitized in senior and subordinated structures, or structured with one or more of the types of credit enhancement. We have entered into interest rate swaps to reduce the impact of changes in interest and financing rates for these investments. Adjustable rate mortgages, or ARMS, have interest rates that reset periodically, typically every six or 12 months. Because the interest rates on ARMS adjust periodically based on market conditions, ARMS tend to have interest rates that do not significantly deviate from current market rates. This, in turn, can cause ARMS to have less price sensitivity to interest rates. Hybrid ARMS have interest rates that have an initial fixed period (typically two, three, five, seven or ten years) and thereafter reset at regular intervals in a manner similar to traditional ARMS. The investment characteristics of pass-through RMBS differ from those of traditional fixed-income securities. Mortgage prepayments are affected by factors including the level of interest rates, general economic conditions, the location and age of the mortgage, and other social and demographic conditions. Generally, prepayments on pass-through RMBS increase during periods of falling mortgage interest rates and decrease during periods of stable or rising mortgage interest rates. Reinvestment of prepayments may occur at higher or lower interest rates than the original investment, thus affecting the yield on our portfolio. We leverage our RMBS investments in the range of zero to five times the amount of our equity allocated to the asset class. As of December 31, 2009, the carrying value of our investments in RMBS was approximately \$4.7 million, with a w

Other Equity Investments. We have invested in equity securities, which may or may not be related to real estate. These investments may include direct purchases of private equity as well as purchases of interests in private equity funds, which may be managed by affiliates of our Manager. We follow a value-oriented investment approach and focus on the anticipated future cash flows generated by the underlying business, discounted by an appropriate rate to reflect both the risk of achieving those cash flows and the alternative uses for the capital to be invested. We also consider other factors such as the strength of management, the liquidity of the investment, the underlying value of the assets owned by the issuer, and prices of similar or comparable securities. To the extent that we hold any of these equity investments through our taxable REIT subsidiary, or TRS, the income from such investments will be subject to corporate income tax. We currently expect to leverage our other equity investments in the range of zero to three times the amount of our equity allocated to the asset class. As of December 31, 2009, we had no other equity investments.

Consumer Asset-Backed Securities. We have invested in investment grade and non-investment grade consumer ABS. Consumer ABS are generally securities for which the underlying collateral consists of assets such as home equity loans, credit card receivables and auto loans. Aircraft ABS are generally collateralized by aircraft leases. Issuers of consumer and aircraft ABS generally are special purpose entities owned or sponsored by banks and finance companies, captive finance subsidiaries of non-financial corporations or specialized originators such as credit card lenders. We expect that a significant amount of the consumer and aircraft ABS that we hold at any time will be rated between A1/A and B1/B+ and will have an explicit rating from at least one nationally-recognized statistical rating agency. As of December 31, 2009, we had no investments in consumer ABS.

Collateralized Debt Obligations. We have invested in the debt and equity tranches of CDOs to gain exposure to corporate bonds, ABS and other instruments. Because of our Manager's experience in structuring and managing CDOs, we believe we have a competitive advantage in analyzing investment opportunities in CDOs. In general, CDOs are issued by special purpose vehicles that hold a portfolio of debt obligation securities. The CDO vehicle issues tranches of debt securities of different seniority and equity to fund the purchase of the portfolio. The debt tranches are typically rated based on collateral quality, diversification and structural subordination. The equity securities issued by the CDO vehicle are

the "first loss" piece of the CDO vehicle's capital structure, but they are also generally entitled to all residual amounts available for payment after the CDO vehicle's obligations to the debt holders have been satisfied. Some CDO vehicles are "synthetic," in which the credit risk to the collateral pool is transferred to the CDO vehicle by means of a credit derivative such as a credit default swap. As of December 31, 2009, the carrying value of our investments in the preferred equity of CDOs was \$0.

Investment Sourcing

We recognize that investing in our targeted asset classes is highly competitive, and that our Manager competes with many other investment managers for profitable investment opportunities in these areas. Accordingly, to the extent we have investable cash, we believe the ability to identify and source such opportunities is very important to our success. We think that the combined and complementary strengths of Brookfield, BIM and Brookfield Sub-Advisor in this regard give us a competitive advantage over such REITs.

Our Manager and its affiliates currently source many of their clients' and our investments through their close relationships with a large and diverse group of financial intermediaries, ranging from major investment banks and brokerage firms to specialty dealers and financial sponsors. On a combined basis, these firms extensively cover our targeted asset classes. Our Manager also sources many investments from traditional sources, using proprietary deal screening procedures and credit analytics.

Investment Process

To evaluate, invest and manage our investments in commercial real estate, CMBS, RMBS and other ABS, our Manager utilizes Brookfield's and BIM's proprietary analytical methods in performing scenario analysis to forecast cash flows and expected total returns under different interest rate and credit assumptions. Simulation analysis is also performed to provide a broader array of potential patterns of return over different interest rate and credit scenarios. Such analysis may be applied to individual securities or to an entire portfolio. Our Manager also performs relative value analyses of individual securities based on yield, credit rating, average life, expected duration and option-adjusted spreads. Other considerations in our Manager's investment process include analysis of fundamental economic trends, suitability for investment by a REIT, consumer borrowing trends, home price appreciation and relevant regulatory developments.

Our investments in real estate assets and other alternative asset classes are recommended, closed and managed by our Manager, or by one of its affiliates, which includes Brookfield Sub-Advisor. To evaluate, invest and manage investments in real estate, Brookfield Sub-Advisor, through its affiliates, utilizes its experience and strong track record as an operator of commercial properties. Relying on Brookfield's local presence in offices in the United States, Canada, Brazil and the United Kingdom, we expect that Brookfield Sub-Advisor is well positioned to underwrite and analyze real estate investments throughout North America, including the analysis of market conditions and building specific issues (including lease and structural analysis). Other considerations in the investment process include valuation and analyses of economic conditions and demographic trends as well as supply and demand considerations.

Our Portfolio

As of December 31, 2009, we had a portfolio of approximately \$272.0 million consisting primarily of commercial real estate, CMBS and RMBS, as shown in the following chart:

	Carrying Value	Percent of Total Investments	Coupon	reighted Ave Months to Reset(1) usands)	rage Yield to Maturity(2)	Constant Prepayment Rate(3)
Commercial MBS:			(III till)	usurus)		
Investment grade						
CMBS	\$ 3,724	1.4%	5.20%		44.679	%
Below investment	Ψ 0,	11.70	2.2070			
grade CMBS	34,389	12.6	5.21		379.75	
	ŕ					
Total Commercial MBS	38,113	14.0	5.21		347.01	
Non-Agency						
Residential MBS:						
Non-Agency Prime						
MBS:						
Investment grade		0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Below investment	2.104	1.0	4.20	<i></i>	2.027.00	10.70
grade	3,194	1.2	4.29	6.55	2,927.99	12.72
Total						
Non-Agency	2 10 1		4.20		2 027 00	10.50
Prime MBS	3,194	1.2	4.29	6.55	2,927.99	12.72
Non-Agency						
Sub-prime MBS: Investment grade		0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Below investment		0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
grade	1,519	0.6	2.76	0.00	22,401.07	16.94
grade	1,519	0.0	2.70	0.00	22,401.07	10.54
Total						
Non-Agency						
Sub-prime MBS	1,519	0.6	2.76	0.00	22,401.07	16.94
Suo-prime MBS	1,317	0.0	2.70	0.00	22,401.07	10.74
Total RMBS	4,713	1.8	3.77	4.30	9,204.46	14.17
CDO Preferred Stock	4,713	1.0	3.11	4.30	9,204.40	14.17
CDO I ICICIICA STOCK						
Total Securities	42,826	15.8	4.99		1 221 77	
Total Securities	42,020	13.0	4.77		1,321.77	
D1	5,000	0.1	0.50(4)		25.05	
Real estate loans, net	5,903	2.1	9.58(4)		25.05	
Commercial real	221 754	81.5				
estate, net Other investments	221,754 1,550	0.6	7.68		48.53	
Outer investments	1,330	0.0	7.08		40.33	
Total investments	\$ 272,033	100.0%				

(2)

⁽¹⁾ Represents number of months before conversion to floating rate.

Non-loss adjusted yield, which is the yield to par based on the current market price and does not take into account any assumed defaults.

- (3)

 Represents the estimated percentage of principal that will be prepaid over the next 12 months based on historical principal paydowns.
- (4) Excludes one of our construction loans and one of our mezzanine loans that are in default and on which no interest is being accrued.

Our portfolio in its current form does not fully balance the interest rate or mark-to-market risks inherent in our MBS investments, and we are not be able to eliminate all of our portfolio risk through asset allocation. Future dividends and capital appreciation are not guaranteed. Our investments depend on prevailing market conditions and trends. We have not adopted any policy that establishes specific asset allocations among our targeted asset classes, and our targeted allocations will vary from time to time. As a result, we cannot predict the percentage of our assets that will be invested in each asset class or whether we will invest in other classes or investments. When we have investable capital, we generally have incurred total leverage under normal market conditions of up to five times the amount of our equity for most investments other than Agency MBS, which we generally have levered up to 15 times the amount of our equity allocated to this asset class. Our overall long-term average portfolio leverage in normal market conditions is four to six times the amount of our equity. We may change our

Table of Contents

investment strategy and policies and the percentage of assets that may be invested in each asset class, or in the case of securities, in a single issuer, without a vote of our stockholders.

As of December 31, 2009, we had entered into master repurchase agreements with various counterparties and as of such date, we had no outstanding obligations under repurchase agreements with our counterparties. In addition to repurchase agreements, we rely on a \$50.0 million secured credit facility with an affiliate of our Manager that expires on August 31, 2010 (unless sooner terminated as provided in the credit agreement) for capital needed to fund our other investments. In November 2005, we closed our first CDO financing transaction, which we refer to as CDO 2005-1, and in January 2007, we closed our second CDO financing transaction, which we refer to as CDO 2006-1. We have no restriction on the amount of leverage that we may use.

As of December 31, 2009, we had hedged a portion of the CDO liabilities financing our investment portfolio by entering into two interest rate swaps with maturity dates in 2013 and 2018. Each of these interest rate swaps is both contained within a CDO trust, has similar maturity profiles to the originally projected cash flows for the respective CDO liabilities and is not subject to margin calls. The total notional par value of such swaps was approximately \$281.0 million.

Risk Management

Risk management is a cornerstone of our Manager's portfolio management system and we believe these risk management capabilities distinguish us from many of our competitors. Through our management agreement with our Manager, we benefit from our Manager's comprehensive risk management program, which addresses not only the risks of portfolio loss, such as risks relating to price volatility, position sizing and leverage, but also the operational risks that can have major adverse impacts on investment programs. Operational risks include execution of transactions, clearing of transactions, recording of transactions, position monitoring, supervision of traders, portfolio valuation, counterparty credit and approval, custodian relationships, trader authorization, accounting and regulatory. We also face risks relating to the counterparties on our interest rate and credit default swaps. In addition, we manage our liquidity risk and our exposure to changing interest rate environments and we continually monitor the credit risks within our investment portfolio.

Our Financing Strategy

Leverage Strategy. In the past, we actively used leverage to increase the potential returns to our stockholders. Currently, there is little to no leverage available on favorable economic terms to us. While we currently have three types of leverage; a secured financing line, mortgage financing and CDO debt (our subordinated TRUPs issuance was repurchased in January 2010), we do not believe that leverage of this nature will be available in order to leverage future investments, if any.

Repurchase Agreements. We have financed certain of our MBS through the use of repurchase agreements. These agreements allow us to borrow against MBS that we own. We sell our MBS to a counterparty and agree to repurchase the same MBS from the counterparty at a price equal to the original sales price plus an interest factor. These agreements are accounted for as debt, secured by the underlying assets. During the term of a repurchase agreement, we earn the principal and interest on the related MBS and pay interest to the counterparty.

As of December 31, 2009, we had no outstanding obligations under repurchase agreements with any of our counterparties.

Warehouse Facilities. In addition to repurchase agreements, we rely on credit facilities for capital needed to fund our other investments. These facilities, referred to as warehouse lines or warehouse facilities, are typically lines of credit from other financial institutions that we can draw from to fund our investments. Warehouse lines are typically collateralized loans made to investors who invest in securities

Table of Contents

and loans that in turn pledge the resulting securities and loans to the warehouse lender. Third party custodians, usually large banks, typically hold the securities and loans funded with the warehouse facility borrowings, including the securities, loans, notes, mortgages and other important loan documentation, for the benefit of the lender, who is deemed to own the securities and loans and, if there is a default under the warehouse line, for the benefit of the warehouse lender.

The pool of assets in a warehouse facility typically must meet certain requirements, including term, average life, investment rating, agency rating and sector diversity requirements. There are also certain requirements relating to portfolio performance, including required minimum portfolio yield and limitations on delinquencies and charge-offs. Failure to comply with these requirements could result in either the need to post additional collateral or cancellation of the financing facility. As of December 31, 2009, we had no outstanding borrowings under warehouse lines.

Credit Facilities. In August 2007, we entered into a \$100.0 million secured revolving credit facility with an affiliate of our Manager, in order to provide us with additional liquidity. In February 2009, we extended the maturity of our secured revolving credit facility to May 2010, decreased the borrowing capacity thereunder to \$50.0 million and, effective as of December 31, 2008, deleted the financial covenants contained in the facility. As of December 31, 2009, we owed \$28.9 million under our secured revolving credit facility.

Concurrently with entering into the merger agreement with Brookfield, we amended the terms of our revolving credit facility with Brookfield US Corporation, an affiliate of our Manager, pursuant to which the maturity date of the credit facility was extended to August 31, 2010, unless sooner terminated as provided in the credit agreement; *provided*, *however*, that, notwithstanding the foregoing, the term of the credit facility will expire upon the latest of (i) May 25, 2010, (ii) the effective time of the Merger and (iii) thirty (30) calendar days following the termination of the merger agreement, but in no event later than August 31, 2010. In addition, Brookfield US Corporation consented to the Merger and to the separate sale by us of certain of our assets as contemplated by the merger agreement.

Term Financing CDOs. We have in the past financed certain of our assets using term financing strategies, including CDOs and other match-funded financing structures. CDOs are multiple class debt securities, or bonds, secured by pools of assets, such as mortgage-backed securities and corporate debt. Unlike typical securitization structures, the underlying assets may be sold, subject to certain limitations, without a corresponding pay-down of the CDO provided the proceeds are reinvested in qualifying assets. As a result, CDOs enable the sponsor to actively manage, subject to certain limitations, the pool of assets. We believe CDO financing structures, when available, may be an appropriate financing vehicle for our targeted non-residential real estate asset classes, because they will enable us to obtain long-term cost of funds and minimize the risk that we have to refinance our liabilities prior to the maturities of our investments while giving us the flexibility to manage credit risk and, subject to certain limitations, to take advantage of profit opportunities.

As of December 31, 2009, other than as discussed below with respect to our CDO 2005-1 and our CDO 2006-1 transactions, we had no outstanding borrowings under term financing structures.

On November 30, 2005, we closed a \$295.3 million private placement of CDOs, our first sponsored CDO financing. These CDOs mature in 2046. We invested the net proceeds from the financing in accordance with our investment objectives and strategies described in this report. From the date of that issuance through December 31, 2009, we have repaid an aggregate of \$95.2 million of floating rate CDOs issued in the CDO 2005-1 transaction from the proceeds of real estate loans owned by CDO 2005-1 that were prepaid by the borrower and cash flow from the underlying collateral that was required to pay down senior CDO liabilities as a result of the CDO trust failing certain over-collateralization triggers. See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition Liabilities CDO Liabilities". As of December 31, 2009, the outstanding principal amount of CDOs that were issued by CDO 2005-1 to third parties was approximately \$132.3 million and they had a fair value of approximately \$5.7 million.

Table of Contents

On January 17, 2007, we closed a \$339.6 million private placement of CDOs, our second sponsored CDO financing. These CDOs mature in 2047. We invested the net proceeds from the financing in accordance with our investment objectives and strategies described in this report. From the date of that issuance through December 31, 2009, we have repaid \$2.0 million of CDOs issued in the CDO 2006-1 transaction from certain cash flow streams that were required to amortize the CDOs under the terms of the indenture governing those securities. As of December 31, 2009, the outstanding principal amount of CDOs that were issued by CDO 2006-1 to third parties was approximately \$323.0 million and they had a fair value of approximately \$27.9 million.

Our Hedging and Interest Rate Risk Management Strategy

Repurchase agreements generally have maturities of 30 to 90 days and our secured revolving credit facility has a maturity of August 2010 (unless sooner terminated as provided in the credit agreement), while the weighted average lives of the CMBS, RMBS and commercial real estate loans that we own and generally finance with these types of borrowings are generally longer. The difference in maturities, in addition to reset dates and reference indices, creates potential interest rate risk.

We have utilized derivative financial instruments to hedge all or a portion of the interest rate risk associated with certain types of our borrowings. Under the federal income tax laws applicable to REITs, we generally will be able to enter into certain transactions to hedge indebtedness that we may incur, or plan to incur, to acquire or carry real estate assets, provided that our total gross income from such hedges and other non-qualifying sources must not exceed 25% of our total gross income.

We engage in a variety of interest rate management techniques that seek to mitigate changes in interest rates or potentially other influences on the values of our assets. Because of the tax rules applicable to REITs, we may be required to implement certain of these techniques through a TRS that is fully subject to corporate income taxation. However, no assurances can be given that these investment and leverage strategies can successfully be implemented. Our interest rate management techniques may include:

puts and calls on securities or indices of securities;

interest rate swaps and/or swaptions; and

other similar transactions.

These techniques also may be used in an attempt to protect us against declines in the market value of our assets that result from general trends in debt markets.

We may, from time to time, enter into interest rate swap agreements to offset the potential adverse effects of rising interest rates under certain short-term repurchase agreements. The interest rate swap agreements have historically been structured such that we receive payments based on a variable interest rate and make payments based on a fixed interest rate. The cost of such swap agreements is *de minimis* as these swaps often trade in a very liquid market and are based on the yield curve, and as the yield curve flattens, the swap agreements become accretive to our results from operations. The variable interest rate on which payments are received is calculated based on various reset mechanisms for LIBOR. The repurchase agreements generally have maturities of 30 to 90 days and carry interest rates that correspond to LIBOR rates for those same periods. The swap agreements effectively fix our borrowing cost and are not held for speculative or trading purposes. Interest rate management techniques do not eliminate risk but seek to mitigate interest rate risk.

As of December 31, 2009, we had hedged a portion of the CDO liabilities financing our investment portfolio by entering into two interest rate swaps with maturity dates in 2013 and 2018. Each of these interest rate swaps is contained within a CDO trust, and has similar maturity profiles to

the originally projected cash flows for the respective CDO liabilities. The total notional par value of such swaps was approximately \$281.0 million.

Resolution of Potential Conflicts of Interest in Allocation of Investment Opportunities

BIM, in its management of our securities portfolio pursuant to our sub-advisory agreement, will abide by its conflicts of interest policy and thus will offer us the right to participate in all investment opportunities that it determines are appropriate for us in view of our investment objectives, policies and strategies and other relevant factors, subject to the exception that, in accordance with BIM's conflict of interest policy described below, we might not participate in each such opportunity but will on an overall basis equitably participate with BIM's other clients in all such opportunities. BIM allocates investments to eligible accounts, including Crystal River, based on current demand according to the market value of the account (which is the amount of available capital that, consistent with such account's investment parameters, may be invested in a proposed investment). An account has current demand if it has positive market value. If the investment cannot fulfill the pro rata allocation or be allocated in marketable portions, the investment generally is allocated on a rotational basis to accounts with current demand, with an emphasis placed on those accounts that were excluded in prior allocations, but without any preference given to accounts based on their market value. The rotational system is determined by BIM's investment committee and is overseen by its chief compliance officer to ensure fair and equitable investment allocation to all accounts in accordance with the Investment Advisers Act. As of December 31, 2009, BIM managed more than 20 client accounts that had investment strategies that overlapped our investment strategy.

Unlike BIM, our Manager and Brookfield Sub-Advisor are not bound by BIM's conflict of interest policy and thus are not obligated to offer us any specific investment opportunities. Any decision to do so will be entirely within our Manager's and Brookfield Sub-Advisor's discretion, and it can be expected that some investments that are appropriate for us in view of our investment criteria will not be offered to us and will be made by affiliates of Brookfield without our participation.

BIM historically has managed accounts with similar or overlapping investment strategies and has a conflict-resolution system in place so that we may share equitably with other BIM client accounts in all investment opportunities, particularly those involving a security with limited supply, that may be suitable for our company and such other client accounts.

The chairman of BIM's investment committee (or his designee) oversees its conflict-resolution system, and BIM's chief compliance officer regularly monitors the procedural aspects of the program. The program places particular emphasis on the equitable allocation of scarce investment opportunities, which are situations where BIM is unable to obtain the full amount of the securities that it wishes to purchase for the relevant client accounts, such as newly-issued debt instruments.

In these situations, BIM's policy is to first determine and document the amount of the security it wishes to purchase for each of the participating accounts, based on the size, objectives of the accounts, current client demand and other relevant factors. BIM then places an order for the total of these amounts. If BIM is able to obtain only partial execution of the order, its policy calls for the allocation of the purchased securities in the same proportion that it would have allocated a full execution of the order. The policy permits departure from such proportional allocation only if the allocation would result in an inefficiently small amount of the security being purchased for an account. In that case, the policy provides for a "rotational" protocol of allocating subsequent partial executions so that, on an overall basis, each account is treated equitably.

Table of Contents

Other conflict-resolution policies of BIM and the terms of our management agreement with our Manager that will apply to the management of our company include controls for:

Split price executions These are situations where BIM places an order for multiple clients and the order is executed at different prices. BIM's policy is that the executions are to be allocated to the participating accounts so that each account receives the same average price.

Cross trades These are trades where BIM places an order for a client account to buy (or sell) a particular security and places a simultaneous or virtually simultaneous order for another client account to sell (or buy) the same security. In such case, under BIM's policies, the pair of transactions must be approved by the chairman of the investment committee and executed at the prevailing market price as determined by an independent broker-dealer such that the price is fair to BIM's clients on both sides of the transaction. Neither BIM nor any of its affiliates may receive compensation for effecting such a transaction.

Principal transactions Under the terms of our management agreement with our Manager, we have agreed not to acquire an investment from, sell an investment to or make any co-investment with any proprietary account of Brookfield, BIM or any of their respective affiliates, which we refer to as related persons, or any account advised by any related person, or borrow funds from or lend funds to any related person or invest in any investment vehicle advised by any related person unless the transaction is on terms no less favorable than can be obtained on an arm's length basis from unrelated third parties based on prevailing market prices, other reliable indicators of fair market value or an independent valuation or appraisal and has been approved in advance by a majority of our independent directors. If we invest in an investment vehicle advised by a related person, including, for example, a private investment fund managed by an affiliate of Brookfield, and the asset class of such investment is one that we are professionally staffed to underwrite, we expect, although no assurance can be given, that our Manager and/or such affiliate would waive any base and incentive management fees relating to such investment in excess of the base and incentive management fees that we would owe our Manager in respect of such investment had we made the investment directly and not through such affiliate.

Our Manager may engage other parties, including its affiliates or any of our sub-advisors and/or any of their respective affiliates, to provide services to us or our subsidiaries, including asset management, property management, leasing, financing and disposition and/or similar services customarily provided to a business similar to ours, provided that, such services are provided at market rates with standard market terms and, in the case of a sub-advisor or an affiliate of either our Manager or a sub-advisor, the party providing the services has sufficient qualifications and experience to perform the services at a level of quality comparable to non-affiliated service providers in the area, the cost to our Manager is no less favorable than can be obtained from an unrelated third party on an arm's length basis and any such arrangements are approved in advance by a majority of our independent directors.

Policies with Respect to Certain Other Activities

If our board of directors determines that additional funding is required, to the extent that conditions in the financial markets permit, we may raise such funds through additional offerings of equity or debt securities, or through the retention of cash flow (subject to provisions in the Internal Revenue Code concerning distribution requirements and the taxability of undistributed REIT taxable income) or a combination of these methods. In the event that our board of directors determines to raise additional equity capital, it has the authority, without stockholder approval, to issue additional common stock or preferred stock in any manner and on such terms and for such consideration as it deems appropriate, at any time.

Table of Contents

We have not in the past but may in the future, if market conditions permit, offer equity or debt securities in exchange for property and to repurchase or otherwise reacquire our shares and may engage in such activities in the future.

In addition, we have in the past and may in the future, if market conditions permit, borrow money to finance the acquisition of investments. We intend to use traditional forms of financing, such as credit lines, mortgage financing and warehouse facilities. To the extent available, we also might utilize structured financing techniques, such as CDOs, or repurchase agreement financing to create attractively priced non-recourse financing at an all-in borrowing cost that is lower than that provided by traditional sources of financing and that provide long-term, floating rate financing. Our investment guidelines and our portfolio and leverage are periodically reviewed by our board of directors as part of their oversight of our Manager.

We have in the past and may in the future, subject to gross income and asset tests necessary for REIT qualification, invest in securities of other REITs, other entities engaged in real estate activities or securities of other issuers. We have not made in the past but to the extent we have any investable cash may in the future make such investments for the purpose of exercising control over such entities.

We engage in the purchase and sale of investments. We have in the past and may in the future make loans to third parties in the ordinary course of business for investment purposes. We will not underwrite the securities of other issuers.

Our board of directors may change any of these policies without prior notice to you or a vote of our stockholders.

We intend to furnish our stockholders with annual reports containing consolidated financial statements audited by our independent registered public accounting firm, and we expect to file quarterly reports containing unaudited consolidated financial statements for each of the first three quarters of each fiscal year with the Commission.

Operating and Regulatory Structure

We have elected and qualified to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2005 and expect to qualify as a REIT in subsequent tax years. Our qualification as a REIT will depend upon our ability to meet, on a continuing basis, various complex requirements under the Internal Revenue Code relating to, among other things, the sources of our gross income, the composition and values of our assets, our distribution levels and the concentration of ownership of our capital stock. We believe that we were organized and have operated in conformity with the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, and that our intended manner of operation will enable us to continue to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT.

As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on the REIT taxable income that we distribute currently to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates for that year and for the next four tax years (unless we satisfy the IRS that certain limited cure provisions apply). Even if we qualify for federal taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to some federal, state and local taxes on our income or property. Crystal River Capital TRS Holdings, Inc., our TRS, is a regular taxable corporation that is subject to federal, state and local income tax on its income.

Our investment activities are managed by our Manager and supervised by our board of directors. In exchange for those management services, we incur an annual base management fee determined by our stockholders' equity but not by our performance, as well as an incentive management fee based on our performance.

Table of Contents

We and our Manager have retained Brookfield Sub-Advisor as a sub-advisor with respect to investments in mortgages and other real estate debt, real estate and other real estate-related, yield-oriented assets. Each sub-advisor evaluates potential investments, recommends suitable investments and, on our behalf, closes each investment approved by us and, in the case of certain transactions, the independent members of our board of directors.

Exclusion from Regulation Under the Investment Company Act

We intend to continue to operate our business so that we do not become subject to regulation as an "investment company" under the Investment Company Act. Because we conduct our business directly and through wholly-owned subsidiaries, we must ensure not only that we, but also each of our subsidiaries, are not subject to regulation as an "investment company" under the Investment Company Act. For purposes of the ensuing discussion "we" and "our" refer to Crystal River Capital, Inc. alone and not its subsidiaries.

We are not an "investment company," as defined in Section 3(a)(1)(C) of the Investment Company Act. We are not engaged in the business of investing, reinvesting, owning, holding or trading securities, and we do not own "investment securities" having a value that exceeds 40% of the value of our total assets (exclusive of cash items and government securities) on an unconsolidated basis. In addition, we are not an "investment company," as defined in Section 3(a)(1)(A) of the Investment Company Act, because we are not, nor do we hold ourselves out as being, primarily engaged in the business of investing, reinvesting or trading in securities.

If at any time in the future we are considered an "investment company" as defined in Section 3(a)(1)(C) of the Investment Company Act, we intend to qualify for the exclusion from regulation provided by Section 3(c)(5)(C) of the Investment Company Act. Section 3(c)(5)(C) is designed for companies that do not issue redeemable securities and are primarily engaged in the business of purchasing or otherwise acquiring mortgages and other liens on and interests in real estate. To qualify for this exemption, we will need to ensure that at least 55% of our assets consist of mortgage loans and other assets that are considered the functional equivalent of mortgage loans for purposes of the Investment Company Act, which we refer to as qualifying real estate assets, and that at least 80% of our assets consist of qualifying real estate assets and real estate-related assets. We do not intend to issue redeemable securities. We also may rely on an exclusion from regulation provided by Section 3(c)(6) of the Investment Company Act if, from time to time, we engage in our business through one or more majority-owned subsidiaries.

Competition

In the past, our results from operations depended, in large part, on our ability to acquire assets at favorable spreads or with favorable returns over our borrowing costs. Currently, we, and many of our peers, do not have available capital to make new investments. Additionally, we and many of our peers do not have access to attractive financing with which to leverage our investments, thus we are less exposed to competition at this time.

When we have investable capital, we face competition when attempting to make real estate investments, including competition from domestic and foreign financial institutions, other REITs, life insurance companies, pension funds, partnerships and individual investors. The leasing of real estate is highly competitive. Because we purchased our buildings with long-term leases in place (the earliest expires in 2021), we generally have not faced competition in attracting tenants to date. If our current leases were to terminate early or we acquired other buildings that did not have long-term leases (to the extent we had investable capital), our properties would compete for tenants with similar properties primarily on the basis of location, total occupancy costs (including base rent and operating expenses), services provided and the design and condition of the improvements.

Table of Contents

We have had and expect to continue to have access to Brookfield's and our Manager's and our sub-advisors' professionals and their industry expertise, which we believe provides us with a competitive advantage and helps us assess investment risks and determine appropriate strategies for our current investments and, to the extent we have investable cash, any future investments. In addition, in the event that the ability to obtain acceptable leverage returns to our marketplace, we expect that these relationships will enable us to learn about, and compete more effectively for, financing opportunities with attractive companies in the industries in which we have invested. For additional information concerning the competitive risks we face, see "Item 1A. Risk Factors Risks Related To Our Business and Investment Strategy We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities, and we may not be able to identify and make investments that are consistent with our investment objectives."

Government Regulation

Our activities, including the financing of our operations, are subject to a variety of federal and state regulations. In addition, a majority of states have ceilings on interest rates chargeable to certain customers in financing transactions.

Employees

Other than our President and Chief Executive Officer, we have no other employees and all of our investment operations are overseen and undertaken by our Manager. In accordance with Maryland law, we do have certain officers who administer Crystal River's operations. Other than our President and Chief Executive Officer, whom we compensate directly, all of our others officers are employees of, and are compensated by, affiliates of our Manager.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Corporate Governance Documents

We have adopted a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to all of our officers, including our principal executive officer and principal financial and accounting officer, and all of the employees of our Manager. This code of business conduct and ethics is designed to comply with SEC regulations and NASDAQ corporate governance rules related to codes of conduct and ethics and is posted on our corporate website at http://www.crystalriverreit.com. In addition, our corporate governance guidelines and charters for our audit, compensation and corporate governance committees of the board of directors are posted on our corporate website. Copies of our code of business conduct and ethics, our corporate governance guidelines and our committee charters also are available free of charge, upon request directed to Investor Relations, Crystal River Capital, Inc., Three World Financial Center, 200 Vesey Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10281-1010.

Website Access to Reports

We maintain a website at http://www.crystalriverreit.com. Through our website, we make available, free of charge, our annual proxy statement, annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Commission. The Commission maintains a website that contains these reports at http://www.sec.gov.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Risks Related to Our Business and Investment Strategy

The impending maturity of our senior revolving credit facility and our current financial condition raise substantial doubt regarding our ability to continue as a going concern. However, our consolidated financial statements are presented on a going concern basis.

Our consolidated financial statements are presented in this report on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. As of December 31, 2009, we owed \$28.9 million to an affiliate of our Manager under our secured credit facility. Unless terminated earlier as provided in the credit agreement, our credit facility terminates on August 31, 2010. As a result of our possible inability to extend, refinance or repay this indebtedness, there is substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. While we have prepared our consolidated financial statements on a going concern basis, if the Merger is not consummated and we are unable to successfully extend the maturity date of our credit facility or receive additional funding, our ability to continue as a going concern will be in doubt. In such event, we may have to recapitalize, refinance our obligations, sell some or all of our assets or seek to reorganize under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. Therefore, we may not be able to realize our assets and settle our liabilities in the ordinary course of business, adversely impacting amounts actually realized relative to our financial statements. In addition, our ability to continue as a going concern will be dependent upon our ongoing asset performance and our ability to generate positive operating cash flow. No assurance can be given that we will be successful in achieving any of these steps or restructuring these arrangements on acceptable terms. Our consolidated financial statements included in this report do not reflect any adjustments related to the recoverability of assets and satisfaction of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

The occurrence of recent adverse developments in the mortgage finance and credit markets may adversely affect our business, our liquidity and our stock price.

In recent years, the mortgage industry has come under enormous pressure due to numerous economic and industry-related factors. Many companies operating or investing in the mortgage sector have failed and others are facing serious operating and financial challenges. At the same time, many MBS have been downgraded and delinquencies and credit performance of mortgage loans in the industry have deteriorated. We have faced significant challenges beginning in the second half of 2007 due to these adverse conditions in the mortgage industry and the difficulties we experienced in pricing and financing some of our MBS, and we expect these challenges to continue. Recent adverse changes in the mortgage finance and credit markets have eliminated or reduced the availability, or increased the cost, of significant sources of funding for us. Beginning in August 2007 and continuing through the current date, the fair value of our RMBS and CMBS assets as well as our derivative instruments have decreased, while our margin requirements on our financings and derivatives have increased. The price of our common stock has declined significantly as a result of these events and there has been a significant impact on our results of our operations. There is no assurance that our stock price will not decline further if, among other things, MBS prices continue to decline. In addition, significant decreases in the prices of our MBS have and may continue to decrease the carrying value of those investments, which could further increase our stockholders' deficit below the levels required to be maintained pursuant to some of the instruments governing our borrowing arrangements with third parties. If this occurs, and we are not successful in obtaining amendments to or waivers of those covenants, we could default under those agreements. An event of default or termination event under these agreements would give the lender/counterparty the option to accelerate our indebtedness to them. If we are required to repay outstanding borrowings and were unable to negotiate favorable terms of replacement financing, cash will be negatively affected. This may negatively affect our ability to

continue as a going concern. In addition, we may have to sell assets at a time when we might not otherwise choose to do so.

We are currently not making new investments and it is uncertain whether we will be able to make additional investments in the future, which increases the risk that we will be unable to continue as a going concern.

We have observed a number of IPO filings by recently formed entities with investment strategies focused on commercial and residential real estate debt markets. Given current market conditions and our lack of investable cash, currently, we are unable to take advantage of new investment opportunities.

In the event that negative market conditions persist or deteriorate further, and we are not able to achieve a successful disposition of assets or increase our liquidity through alternative channels, then the risk increases as to whether we can continue as a going concern. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with GAAP applicable to a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. Accordingly, our consolidated financial statements do not reflect any adjustments related to the recoverability of assets and satisfaction of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

We have suspended the payment of dividends on our common stock.

For business reasons, in November 2009, our board of directors suspended payment of dividends on shares of our common stock. We can provide no assurance as to when we will resume paying dividends on our common stock, if at all. In the merger agreement, we have agreed not to pay any dividends to our stockholders.

Periods of adverse market volatility could adversely affect our liquidity.

During periods of increased adverse market volatility, such as the current extended disruption in the global liquidity markets, we are exposed to the risk that the availability under our funding sources may decline and/or we may have to post additional margin collateral, which may have a material adverse impact on our available liquidity. As a result, our contingent liquidity reserves may not be sufficient in the event of a material adverse change in the credit markets and related market price market volatility. For example, as of December 31, 2009, we were required to post cash margin collateral of \$10.5 million relating to our use of CDS. Additionally, demands on liquidity may result in further deleveraging of the portfolio, which could adversely impact our earnings.

The weakness in the mortgage market has caused us to expect increased losses related to our holdings.

The sub-prime market has been severely affected by changes in the lending landscape. Access to mortgages for sub-prime borrowers has been and is expected to remain substantially limited for the foreseeable future. This limitation on financing generally has had a negative impact on sub-prime borrowers, especially those whose interest rates on loans are resetting, and has resulted in increased default rates. The severity of the liquidity limitation was largely unanticipated by the markets. As well, the liquidity issues also affect prime and Alt-A Non-Agency lending, with mortgage rates remaining much higher and many product types being severely curtailed. At the margin, this has an impact on new demand for homes, which has compressed the home ownership rates and is expected to weigh heavily on future home price performance. There is a strong correlation between home price growth rates and mortgage loan delinquencies. This comes in addition to the delinquency pressures in the sub-prime market resulting from weaker underwriting, which put many sub-prime lenders out of business in 2006 and 2007. The market deterioration has caused us to expect increased losses related to our holdings and has resulted in a lower market value for our holdings. We believe that, at this time, the underlying losses in the sub-prime market have not fully manifested themselves in the

securitizations. For the year ended December 31, 2009, we had impairments on RMBS totaling \$15.3 million, which included impairments on sub-prime RMBS totaling \$6.2 million.

As commercial real estate fundamentals have started to weaken, prices on CMBS have declined and yield spreads on CMBS have widened significantly. This widening has forced interest rates on commercial real estate mortgages wider and the higher mortgage rate environment could depress prices on commercial real estate properties that require financing over the near term. Prices also are suffering from poor technicals as balance sheet deleveraging caused by the credit crisis is causing forced selling into the market. For the year ended December 31, 2009, we had impairments on CMBS totaling \$62.6 million.

We leverage our investments, which may negatively affect our return on our investments and may reduce cash available for distribution.

To the extent available, we intend to continue to leverage our investments through borrowings, generally through the use of warehouse facilities, bank credit facilities, secured loans, securitizations (including the issuance of CDOs, when possible), loans to entities in which we hold, directly or indirectly, interests in pools of assets, and other borrowings, in each case, when markets permit. We are not limited in the amount of leverage we may use other than by general market conditions. The percentage of leverage varies depending on our ability to obtain credit facilities and the lenders' and rating agencies' estimate of the stability of the investments' cash flow. Our return on our investments and cash available for distribution to our stockholders may be reduced to the extent that changes in market conditions increase the cost of our financing relative to the income that can be derived from the assets acquired. Our debt service payments will reduce cash flow available for distributions to stockholders. We may not be able to meet our debt service obligations and, to the extent that we cannot, we risk the loss of some or all of our assets to foreclosure or sale to satisfy the obligations. We have in the past leveraged and may in the future leverage certain of our assets through repurchase agreements. A decrease in the value of these assets may lead to margin calls, which we will have to satisfy. We may not have the funds available to satisfy any such margin calls and may have to sell assets at a time when we might not otherwise choose to do so.

Further, credit facility providers and warehouse facility providers may require us to maintain a certain amount of uninvested cash or to set aside unlevered assets sufficient to maintain a specified liquidity position, which would allow us to satisfy our collateral obligations. As a result, we may not be able to leverage our assets as fully as we would choose, which could reduce our return on assets. In the event that we are unable to meet these collateral obligations, our financial condition could deteriorate rapidly and we could be forced to sell additional investments at a loss.

Recently adopted amendments to accounting standards will require us to consolidate previous and any potential future securitization transactions, which will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements and net worth.

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or the FASB, issued FASB ASC 860-10-65-3 (previously SFAS 166) and FASB ASC 810-10-65-2 (previously SFAS 167), which significantly change the accounting for transfers of financial assets and the criteria for determining whether to consolidate a variable interest entity, or a VIE. FASB ASC 860-10-65-3 eliminates the qualifying special purpose entity, or the QSPE, concept, establishes conditions for reporting a transfer of a portion of a financial asset as a sale, clarifies the financial asset derecognition criteria, revises how interests retained by the transferor in a sale of financial assets initially are measured, and removes the guaranteed mortgage securitization recharacterization provisions. FASB ASC 810-10-65-2 requires reporting entities to evaluate former QSPEs for consolidation, changes the approach to determining a VIE's primary beneficiary from a mainly quantitative assessment to an exclusively qualitative assessment designed to identify a controlling financial interest, and increases the frequency of required

reassessments to determine whether a company is the primary beneficiary of a VIE. We have evaluated the provisions of these two statements and believe that their application will result in the consolidation of six of the QSPEs, or more specifically the underlying trusts for certain of the subordinate CMBS, that we owned as of December 31, 2009, which may result in our balance sheet and statement of operations not being comparable from period to period. Implementation of these standards requires us to make major operational and system changes to incorporate the additional financial reporting requirements.

Although we are still assessing the impact of these new accounting standards, we currently expect that the adoption of these accounting standards will require that we consolidate onto our balance sheet all assets and liabilities and onto our statement of operations all revenues and expenses of the underlying trusts for certain of the subordinate CMBS that we owned after December 31, 2009. Because of the magnitude and complexity of the operational and system changes that we are making and the limited amount of time available to complete and test our systems development, there is a risk that unexpected developments could make it difficult for us to implement all of the necessary system changes and internal control processes by the January 1, 2010 effective date. Failure to make these changes by the effective date could have a material adverse impact on us, including on our ability to produce financial reports on a timely basis or to remain in compliance with the financial covenants in our credit agreement. In addition, making the necessary operational and system changes in a compressed time frame diverts resources from our other business requirements and corporate initiatives and could result in significantly increased operating costs, which could have a material adverse impact on our operations.

We may be required to post significant amounts of cash collateral at any time to satisfy our margin requirements under many of our financing arrangements, which could adversely affect our liquidity, results of operations and financial condition.

We invest in derivatives and when available in the future, may finance certain of our investments with debt, such as repurchase agreements, in each case that are subject to margin calls. Under the terms of these agreements, the value of the derivative contract or the value of the assets underlying the debt is marked-to-market by the counterparty or the lender at the counterparty's or lender's discretion, including on a daily basis. If the value of the underlying derivative or asset declines, the counterparty or lender has the ability to require us to post additional margin cash or other liquid collateral to compensate for the decline in value of the derivative or asset. Conversely, if the value of the underlying derivative or asset increases, a portion of the margin we previously posted may be returned to us. We typically are required to post additional margin in response to any margin call within 24 hours in order to avoid defaulting under the terms of the instrument governing the derivative transaction or financing arrangement.

We are subject to margin calls at any time, and being forced to post additional margin could adversely affect our business in a number of ways. Posting additional margin decreases our cash available to make other, higher yielding investments (thereby decreasing our return on equity) or to satisfy other obligations, including future margin calls. If we do not have the funds available, or otherwise elect not, to satisfy any future margin calls, we could be forced to sell one or more investments at a loss. Moreover, we may be unable, in light of market conditions or other factors, to sell sufficient assets to satisfy the margin requirements within the timeframe required by counterparties or lenders, which in the case of lenders, would entitle them to seize the underlying asset and seek payment from us for any shortfall between the value of our obligation to the lender and the value of the asset surrendered. Such a situation would likely result in a rapid deterioration of our financial condition and possibly necessitate a filing for protection under the United States Bankruptcy Code. As of December 31, 2009, we had exposure to margin calls of \$0.4 million.

We are dependent on our Manager and our sub-advisors and may not find suitable replacements if our Manager terminates the management agreement.

We are externally managed by our Manager. Other than our President and Chief Executive Officer, all of our officers and all of our Manager's officers are employees of Brookfield, BIM or certain of their respective affiliates. We have no separate facilities and are completely reliant on our Manager, which has significant discretion as to the implementation of our operating policies and strategies. We are subject to the risk that our Manager will terminate the management agreement, thereby triggering a termination of our sub-advisors, and that no suitable replacements will be found to manage us. We believe that our success depends to a significant extent upon the experience of our Manager's executive officers, whose continued service is not guaranteed. Although we have renewed our management agreement with our Manager for the 2010 year, if our Manager terminates the management agreement, we may not be able to execute our business plan and may suffer additional losses, which could materially decrease cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Our Manager has limited prior experience managing a REIT and we cannot assure you that our Manager's past experience will be sufficient to successfully manage our business as a REIT.

The federal income tax laws impose numerous constraints on the operations of REITs. Our Manager's and its employees' limited experience in managing a portfolio of assets under REIT and Investment Company Act constraints may hinder their ability to achieve our investment objective. In addition, maintaining our REIT qualification limits the types of investments we are able to make. We can offer no assurance that our Manager will replicate its historical success or its management team's success in its previous endeavors, and we caution you that our investment returns could be substantially lower than the returns achieved by funds managed by our Manager and its affiliates and their other endeavors.

We are dependent upon our Manager's key personnel and the resources of our sub-advisors for our success and the departure of any of these key personnel or the elimination of resources of our sub-advisors could negatively affect our performance.

We depend on the diligence, skill and network of business contacts of the senior management of our Manager and its affiliates, who direct the management activities of our Manager. The senior management of our Manager evaluates, negotiates, structures, closes and monitors our investments. Our continued success will depend on the continued service of the senior management team of our Manager and its affiliates. The departure of any of the senior managers of our Manager, or of a significant number of the investment professionals or principals of our Manager and its affiliates, could have a material adverse effect on our performance. In addition, we can offer no assurance that our Manager will remain as our manager or that we will continue to have access to our Manager's and its affiliates' respective principals and professionals or their information and deal flow. We also depend on the resources of our sub-advisors in connection with sourcing and managing our investments and executing our investment strategy.

Our Manager's incentive fee may have induced it to make certain investments, including speculative investments, that increase the risk of our investment portfolio.

Our Manager's entitlement to an incentive fee may have caused it to invest in high-risk investments. In addition to its base management fee, our Manager is entitled to receive incentive compensation based entirely upon our achievement of targeted levels of net income. In evaluating investments and other management strategies, the opportunity to earn incentive compensation based on net income may have led our Manager to place undue emphasis on the maximization of net income at the expense of other criteria, such as preservation of capital, in order to achieve higher incentive compensation. Investments with higher yield potential generally are riskier or more speculative. This could result in increased risk to the value of our investment portfolio.

Our Manager manages our portfolio pursuant to very broad investment guidelines and our board of directors does not approve each investment decision made by our Manager, which may result in our making riskier investments with which you do not agree and which could cause our operating results and the value of our common stock to decline.

Our Manager is authorized to follow very broad investment guidelines. Although our directors periodically review our investment guidelines and our investment portfolio, other than any investments involving our affiliates or our sub-advisors' affiliates or investments proposed by Brookfield Sub-Advisor, which our audit committee is required to review and approve prior to such investments being made, our directors do not review all of our proposed investments. In addition, in conducting periodic reviews, our directors may rely primarily on information provided to them by our Manager or Brookfield Sub-Advisor. Furthermore, our Manager and Brookfield Sub-Advisor may use complex strategies in structuring transactions for us and those transactions may be difficult or impossible to unwind. Subject to maintaining our REIT qualification and our exemption from regulation under the Investment Company Act, our Manager has great latitude within the broad investment guidelines in determining the types of investments it makes for us.

The failure of our management and our Manager to deploy our capital effectively could result in unfavorable returns, could have a material negative impact on our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations, could materially decrease cash available for distribution to our stockholders and could cause the value of our common stock to decline.

We may change our investment strategy and asset allocation without stockholder consent, which may result in riskier investments or in lower distributions to you.

We have not adopted a policy as to the amounts to be invested in each of our intended investments, including securities rated below investment grade. Subject to our intention to invest in a portfolio that allows us to qualify as a REIT and remain eligible for an exclusion from regulation as an investment company under the Investment Company Act, we may change our investment strategy or asset allocation, including the percentage of assets that may be invested in each class, or in the case of securities, in a single issuer, at any time without the consent of our stockholders, which could result in our making investments that are different from, and possibly riskier than, the investments described in this report. A change in our investment strategy may increase our exposure to interest rate risk, default risk, real estate market fluctuations and liquidity risk, all of which could negatively affect the market price of our common stock and our ability to make distributions to you.

There are conflicts of interest in our relationship with our Manager, which could result in decisions that are not in the best interests of our stockholders and our financial condition could make it difficult to terminate our management agreement with our Manager.

We are dependent on our Manager for our day-to-day management. Our chief financial officer and vice president and general counsel also serve as officers and/or directors of Brookfield, BIM or certain of their respective affiliates. As a result, our management agreement with our Manager was negotiated between related parties, and its terms, including fees payable, may not be as favorable to us as if it had been negotiated with an unaffiliated third party.

Termination of the management agreement with our Manager without cause is difficult and costly. The management agreement provides that it may only be terminated without cause (a) at the expiration of the current term expiring on December 31, 2010 by notice given at least six months prior to the end of the term, or (b) annually upon the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of our independent directors, or by a vote of the holders of at least a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock, based upon:

unsatisfactory performance by our Manager that is materially detrimental to us or

Table of Contents

a determination that the management fee payable to our Manager is not fair, subject to our Manager's right to prevent such a termination by accepting a mutually acceptable reduction of management fees.

In addition, termination by Crystal River relating to unsatisfactory performance by our Manager or a determination that the management fee is not fair (subject to our Manager's right to reduce its fee to a mutually acceptable level) also require that our Manager be provided 180 days' prior notice of any such termination and be paid a termination fee equal to the amount of two times the sum of the average annual base management fee and the average annual incentive compensation earned by our Manager during the two 12-month periods immediately preceding the date of termination, calculated as of the end of the most recently completed fiscal quarter prior to the date of termination. These provisions may increase the effective cost to us of terminating the management agreement, thereby restricting our ability to terminate our Manager without cause. In addition, if we do not have sufficient liquidity to generate cash to pay a termination fee, we could effectively be precluded from terminating the management agreement absent concessions from our Manager. The ability of Brookfield and BIM and their respective officers and employees to engage in other business activities may reduce the time our Manager spends managing us.

The management compensation structure that we have agreed to with our Manager may cause our Manager to invest in potentially higher yielding investments. Investments with higher yield potential generally are riskier or more speculative. The compensation we pay our Manager consists of both a base management fee that is not tied to our performance and an incentive management fee that is based entirely on our performance. The risk of the base management fee component is that it may not provide sufficient incentive to our Manager to generate attractive risk-adjusted returns for us. The risk of the incentive fee component is that it may cause our Manager to place undue emphasis on the maximization of GAAP net income at the expense of other criteria, such as preservation of capital, in order to achieve a higher incentive fee. This could result in increased risk to the value of our investment portfolio. Subject to certain limitations, our Manager will receive at least 10% of its incentive fee in the form of shares of our common stock, and, at our Manager's option, may receive up to 100% of its incentive fee in the form of shares of our common stock. Our Manager has agreed not to sell such shares prior to one year after the date such shares are issued. Our Manager has the right in its discretion to allocate these shares to its officers, employees and other individuals who provide services to us. However, any of these shares that our Manager so allocates will be subject to the same one-year restriction on sale. Any such shares received would have the benefit of registration rights.

Our Manager and our sub-advisors are not contractually obligated to dedicate their time to us and may engage in other activities that compete with us, which may result in conflicts of interest that could cause our results of operations to be lower or result in increased risk to the value of our investment portfolio.

The ability of our sub-advisors and their respective officers and employees to engage in other business activities may result in conflicts of interest and may reduce the time they spend acting as a sub-advisor to us. In addition, the management compensation structure that we and our Manager have agreed to with Brookfield Sub-Advisor may cause Brookfield Sub-Advisor to source potentially higher yielding investments. Investments with higher yield potential generally are riskier or more speculative. The compensation our Manager pays Brookfield Sub-Advisor is equal to 20% of the base management fee and incentive management fees we pay to our Manager. The base management fee is not tied to our performance and the incentive management fee is based entirely on our performance. The risk of the base management fee component is that it may not provide sufficient incentive to Brookfield Sub-Advisor to generate attractive risk-adjusted returns for us in the investments that they source for us. The risk of the incentive fee component is that it may cause Brookfield Sub-Advisor to place undue emphasis on the maximization of GAAP net income at the expense of other criteria, such as preservation of capital, in order to achieve a higher incentive fee. This could result in increased risk to the value of our investment portfolio.

We may compete with existing and future investment vehicles for access to Brookfield, BIM and our sub-advisors and their affiliates, which may reduce investment opportunities available to us.

Brookfield currently sponsors several investment vehicles with investment focuses that overlap our investment focus. In addition, at December 31, 2009, BIM managed more than 20 client accounts and closed-end funds with an investment focus that overlapped our investment focus, and each of Brookfield and BIM may in the future sponsor or manage other investment vehicles that have overlapping focuses with our investment focus. Accordingly, we compete for access to the benefits that we expect our relationship with our Manager and our sub-advisors and their affiliates to provide and to the time of their investment professionals to carry out and facilitate our investment activities. Our rights to participate in investment opportunities with respect to assets managed by BIM are subject to BIM's conflict of interest policy. Brookfield and Brookfield Sub-Advisor are not subject to BIM's conflict of interest policy and are not obligated to offer us any investment opportunities and any decision to do so will be entirely within their discretion. In addition, we may make investments that are senior or junior to participations in, or have rights and interests different from or adverse to, the investments made by other vehicles or accounts managed by Brookfield or BIM. Our interests in such investments may conflict with the interests of such other vehicles or accounts in related investments at the time of origination or in the event of a default or restructuring of the investment. If a default occurs with respect to such an investment, our Manager will advise our independent directors, who will direct our Manager with respect to the resolution or disposition of the investment.

We may acquire investments from Brookfield and BIM or their affiliates or otherwise participate in investments in which they have an interest or for which they have a related investment, which could result in conflicts of interest.

If we have investable cash, we might continue to acquire investments from Brookfield and BIM or their affiliates, make investments that finance their investments or make co-investments with them. These transactions are not at arm's length, will not be the result of arm's length negotiations and will involve conflicts between our interests and the interest of Brookfield, BIM and their affiliates in obtaining favorable terms and conditions. There can be no assurance that any procedural protections, such as obtaining market prices, other reliable indicators of fair market value, independent valuations or appraisals and the prior approval of our independent directors, will be sufficient to ensure that the consideration we pay for these investments will not exceed their fair market value.

We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities, and we may not be able to identify and make investments that are consistent with our investment objectives.

A number of entities compete with us to make the types of investments that we plan to make. We compete with other REITs, public and private funds, commercial and investment banks and commercial finance companies. Many of our competitors are substantially larger and have considerably greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we do. Some competitors may have a lower cost of funds and access to funding sources that are not available to us. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments and establish more relationships than us. We cannot assure you that the competitive pressures we face will not have a material negative impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Also, as a result of this competition, we may not be able to take advantage of attractive investment opportunities from time to time, and we can offer no assurance that we will be able to identify and make investments that are consistent with our investment objective.

Failure to procure adequate capital and funding would negatively affect our results and may, in turn, negatively affect the market price of shares of our common stock and our ability to distribute dividends.

We depend upon the availability of adequate funding and capital for our operations. As a REIT, we are required to distribute annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without

regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gain, to our stockholders and are therefore not able to retain significant amounts of our earnings for new investments. However, Crystal River Capital TRS Holdings, Inc., our TRS, is able to retain earnings for investment in new capital, subject to the REIT requirements that place a limitation on the relative value of TRS stock and securities owned by a REIT. The failure to secure acceptable financing could reduce our taxable income, as our investments would no longer generate the same level of net interest income due to the lack of funding or increase in funding costs. The reduction in our taxable income in recent quarters has, and future reductions would, reduce our liquidity and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders. The global credit crisis has significantly limited our ability to access capital, and we cannot assure you that any, or sufficient, funding or capital will be available to us in the future on terms that are acceptable to us. Therefore, in the event that we cannot obtain sufficient funding on acceptable terms, there may be further declines in the market price of our common stock and our ability to make distributions.

If we issue senior securities, we will be subject to additional restrictive covenants and limitations on our operating flexibility, which could materially decrease cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

If we decide to issue senior securities in the future, it is likely that they will be governed by an indenture or other instrument containing covenants that will restrict our operating flexibility. Holders of senior securities may be granted specific rights, including but not limited to the right to hold a perfected security interest in certain of our assets, the right to accelerate payments due under the indenture, rights to restrict dividend payments, and rights to require approval to sell assets. Additionally, any convertible or exchangeable securities that we issue in the future may have rights, preferences and privileges more favorable than those of our common stock. We, and indirectly our stockholders, will bear the cost of issuing and servicing such securities.

We may not be able to successfully complete securitization transactions, which could inhibit our ability to grow our business and could negatively affect our results of operations.

In addition to issuing senior securities to raise capital as described above, we may, to the extent consistent with the REIT requirements, seek to securitize certain of our portfolio investments to generate cash for funding new investments. This would involve creating a special-purpose vehicle, contributing a pool of our assets to the entity, and selling interests in the entity on a non-recourse basis to purchasers (whom we would expect to be willing to accept a lower interest rate to invest in investment grade loan pools). We would expect to retain all or a portion of the equity in the securitized pool of portfolio investments. In the past, we have initially financed our investments with relatively short-term credit facilities and reverse repurchase arrangements. We used these short-term facilities to finance the acquisition of securities until a sufficient quantity of securities had been accumulated, at which time we would refinance these facilities through a securitization, such as a CDO issuance, or other long-term financing. When we employed this strategy, we were subject to the risk that we would not be able to acquire, during the period that our short-term facilities were available, a sufficient amount of eligible securities to maximize the efficiency of a CDO issuance. We also were subject to the risk that we would not be able to obtain short-term credit facilities or would not be able to renew any short-term credit facilities after they expire should we find it necessary to extend our short-term credit facilities to allow more time to seek and acquire the necessary eligible securities for a long-term financing. While we are not currently acquiring investments for the purpose of securitizing them through a CDO issuance for the reasons stated below, the inability to renew our short-term credit facilities may require us to seek more costly financing for our current investments or to liquidate assets.

Conditions in the capital markets have caused the CDO market to completely shut down. In this regard, as discussed in the "Trends; Current Market Environment; Current Portfolio Considerations" section of Part II, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Form 10-K, which we refer to as our MD&A, our Manager has determined that we

should lower our amount of leverage. Downgrades of structured securities by the rating agencies are likely to continue through at least 2010. Large-scale downgrades and other factors have caused a liquidity crisis, as the downgrades have triggered forced sales of MBS by structured investment vehicles, or SIVs, asset-backed commercial paper issuers, or ABCPs, and financial institutions. The inability to securitize our portfolio has, and may continue to, hurt our performance and our ability to grow our business. At the same time, the securitization of our portfolio investments might expose us to losses, as the residual portfolio investments in which we do not sell interests will tend to be riskier and more likely to generate losses.

The use of CDO financings with over-collateralization requirements may continue to have a negative impact on our cash flow.

The terms of our initial CDO financing, CDO 2005-1, required that the principal amount of assets must exceed the principal balance of the related bonds by a certain amount, which is commonly referred to as "over-collateralization." In this regard, see "Financial Condition Liabilities CDO Liabilities" in our MD&A for a discussion regarding CDO 2005-1's failure to comply with certain cash flow tests and the resulting diversion of cash flow from lower-rated debt securities of CDO 2005-1 that we hold to amortize senior debt securities issued by CDO 2005-1 that are held by others. We cannot assure you that the performance tests will be satisfied. If our assets fail to perform as anticipated, our over-collateralization or other credit enhancement expense associated with our CDO financings will increase.

An increase in our borrowing costs relative to the interest we receive on our assets may negatively affect our profitability and thus our cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

As our short-term borrowings mature, we will be required either to enter into new borrowings or to sell certain of our investments at times when we might not otherwise choose to do so. An increase in short-term interest rates at the time that we seek to enter into new borrowings would reduce the spread between our returns on our assets and the cost of our borrowings. This would negatively affect our returns on our assets that are subject to prepayment risk, including our commercial loans and our MBS, which might reduce earnings and, in turn, cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

We may not be able to renew the total return swaps that we enter into, which could adversely affect our leveraging strategy.

In the future, we may leverage certain of our investments through the use of total return swaps, which are swaps in which the non-floating rate side is based on the total return of an equity instrument or a fixed income instrument with a life longer than the swap. We may wish to renew these swaps, which are for specified terms, as they mature. However, there is a limited number of providers of such swaps, and there is no assurance the initial swap providers would choose to renew the swaps, and, if they do not renew, that we would be able to obtain suitable replacement providers. Providers may choose not to renew our total return swaps for a number of reasons, including:

increases in the provider's cost of funding;

insufficient volume of business with a particular provider;

our desire to invest in a type of swap that the provider does not view as economically attractive due to changes in interest rates or other market factors; or

our inability to agree with a provider on terms.

Furthermore, our ability to invest in total return swaps, other than through a TRS, may be severely limited by the REIT qualification requirements because total return swaps are not qualifying assets and do not produce qualifying income for purposes of the REIT asset and income tests.

Table of Contents

Hedging against interest rate exposure may adversely affect our earnings, which could reduce our cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT and our exemption from regulation under the Investment Company Act, we often pursue various hedging strategies to seek to reduce our exposure to losses from adverse changes in interest rates. Our hedging activity varies in scope based on the level and volatility of interest rates, the type of assets held, and other changing market conditions. Interest rate hedging may fail to protect or could adversely affect us because, among other things:

interest rate hedging can be expensive, particularly during periods of rising and volatile interest rates;

available interest rate hedges may not correspond directly with the interest rate risk for which protection is sought;

the duration of the hedge may not match the duration of the related liability;

the amount of income that a REIT may earn from hedging transactions (other than through TRSs) to offset interest rate losses is limited by federal tax provisions governing REITs;

the credit quality of the party owing money on the hedge may be downgraded to such an extent that it impairs our ability to sell or assign our side of the hedging transaction; and

the party owing money in the hedging transaction may default on its obligation to pay.

Our hedging activity may adversely affect our earnings, which could reduce our cash available for distribution to our stockholders. We have utilized and in the future may utilize instruments such as forward contracts and interest rate swaps, caps, collars and floors and credit default swaps to seek to hedge against mismatches between the cash flows on our assets and the interest payments on our liabilities or fluctuations in the relative values of our portfolio positions, in each case resulting from changes in market interest rates. Hedging against a decline in the values of our portfolio positions does not eliminate the possibility of fluctuations in the values of such positions or prevent losses if the values of such positions decline. However, such hedging can establish other positions designed to gain from those same developments, thereby offsetting the decline in the value of such portfolio positions. Such hedging transactions may also limit the opportunity for gain if the values of the portfolio positions should increase. Moreover, it may not be possible to hedge against an interest rate fluctuation that is so generally anticipated that we are not able to enter into a hedging transaction at an acceptable price.

Although we may enter into hedging transactions to seek to reduce interest rate risks, unanticipated changes in interest rates may result in poorer overall investment performance than if we had not engaged in any such hedging transactions. In addition, the degree of correlation between price movements of the instruments used in a hedging strategy and price movements in the portfolio positions being hedged may vary. Moreover, for a variety of reasons, we may not seek to establish a perfect correlation between such hedging instruments and the portfolio holdings being hedged. Any such imperfect correlation may prevent us from achieving the intended hedge and expose us to risk of loss.

In addition, by entering into derivative contracts in connection with hedging transactions, we could be required to fund cash payments in certain circumstances. These potential payments will be contingent liabilities and therefore may not appear on our balance sheet. Our ability to fund these contingent liabilities will depend on the liquidity of our assets and access to capital at the time, and the need to fund these contingent liabilities could adversely affect our financial condition.

Loss of Investment Company Act exclusion would adversely affect us and could cause a decline in the market price of our common stock and limit our ability to distribute dividends.

Because registration as an investment company would significantly affect our ability to engage in certain transactions or to organize ourselves in the manner we are currently organized, we intend to maintain our qualification for certain exclusions from registration under the Investment Company Act. Because we conduct our business directly and through wholly-owned subsidiaries, we must ensure not only that we, but also that each of our subsidiaries, qualify for an exclusion or exemption from regulation under the Investment Company Act.

If we fail to satisfy the requirements provided in the Investment Company Act to preserve our exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act, we could be required to materially restructure our activities and to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results. Further, if it were established that we were an unregistered investment company, there would be a risk that we would be subject to monetary penalties and injunctive relief in an action brought by the Commission, that we would be unable to enforce contracts with third parties, and that third parties could seek to obtain rescission of transactions undertaken during the period it was established that we were an unregistered investment company.

Rapid changes in the values of our MBS and other real estate related investments may make it more difficult for us to maintain our qualification as a REIT or exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act, which may cause us to change our mix of portfolio investments which may not produce optimal returns consistent with our investment strategy.

If the market value or income potential of our MBS and other real estate related investments declines as a result of increased interest rates, prepayment rates or other factors, we may need to increase our real estate investments and income and/or liquidate our non-qualifying assets in order to maintain our REIT qualification or exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act. If the decline in real estate asset values and/or income occurs quickly, this may be especially difficult to accomplish. This difficulty may be exacerbated if the assets that we need to sell to comply with the requirements for qualification as a REIT or to qualify for an exclusion from regulations under the Investment Company Act have no pre-existing trading market and cannot easily be sold. To the extent that the assets we need to sell are composed of subordinated MBS, individually-negotiated loans, loan participations or mezzanine loans for which there is no established trading market, we may have difficulty selling such investments quickly for their fair value. Accordingly, we may have to make investment decisions that we otherwise would not make absent the REIT and Investment Company Act considerations.

We are highly dependent on communications and information systems operated by Brookfield and BIM or by third parties, and systems failures could significantly disrupt our business, which may, in turn, negatively affect the market price of our common stock and our ability to pay dividends.

Our business is highly dependent on communications and information systems, including all of BIM's proprietary analytical systems and models and certain third-party systems and models. Any failure or interruption of our systems or the systems operated by Brookfield or BIM or by third parties on which we rely, as many experienced as part of system-wide interruptions following the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks and the East Coast electrical power blackout in August 2003, could cause delays or other problems in our securities trading activities, including MBS trading activities, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and negatively affect the market price of our common stock and our ability to pay dividends.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war may affect the market for our common stock, the industry in which we conduct our operations and our profitability.

The terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 disrupted the U.S. financial markets, including the real estate capital markets, and negatively affected the U.S. economy in general. Any future terrorist attacks, the anticipation of any such attacks, the consequences of any military or other response by the U.S. and its allies, and other armed conflicts could cause consumer confidence and spending to decrease or result in increased volatility in the United States and worldwide financial markets and economy. The economic impact of these events could also adversely affect the credit quality of some of our loans and investments and the property underlying our MBS. Some of our loans and investments are more susceptible to the adverse effects discussed above than others, such as hotel loans, which may experience a significant reduction in occupancy rates following any future attacks. We may suffer losses as a result of the adverse impact of any future attacks and these losses may adversely affect our performance and revenues and may result in volatility of the value of our securities. A prolonged economic slowdown, a recession or declining real estate values could impair the performance of our investments and harm our financial condition, increase our funding costs, limit our access to the capital markets or result in a decision by lenders not to extend credit to us. We cannot assure you that there will not be further terrorist attacks against the United States or U.S. businesses, and we cannot predict the severity of the effect that such future events would have on the U.S. financial markets, the economy or our business. The types of losses described above resulting from these types of events are uninsurable.

In addition, the events of September 11 created significant uncertainty regarding the ability of real estate owners of high-profile assets to obtain insurance coverage protecting against terrorist attacks at commercially reasonable rates, if at all. With the enactment of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 (TRIA), and the subsequent enactment of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Extension Act of 2005 and the Terrorism Risk Insurance Revision and Extension Act of 2007, which extended TRIA through the end of 2017, insurers must make terrorism insurance available under their property and casualty insurance policies, but this legislation does not regulate the pricing of such insurance. The absence of affordable insurance coverage may adversely affect the general real estate lending market, lending volume and the market's overall liquidity and it may reduce the number of suitable investment opportunities available to us and the pace at which we are able to make investments. If the properties in which we invest are unable to obtain affordable insurance coverage, the value of those investments could decline, and in the event of an uninsured loss, we could lose all or a portion of our investment.

Risks Related to the Merger

Completion of the Merger is subject to various conditions, and the Merger may not occur even if we obtain stockholder approval. Completion of the Merger is subject to various risks, including, but not limited to:

the failure of stockholders holding a majority of the shares of our outstanding common stock to approve the merger proposal;

Brookfield's right to terminate the merger agreement if a material adverse effect has occurred to Crystal River, as that term is defined in the merger agreement;

the enactment of a law or issuance of an order by a governmental authority prohibiting the completion of the Merger;

the failure of any other conditions in the merger agreement; and

the failure of the Merger to close for any other reason.

As a result of these risks, there can be no assurance that the Merger will be completed even if we obtain stockholder approval. If our stockholders do not approve the merger proposal or if the Merger

Table of Contents

is not completed for any other reason, we expect that our current external manager, under the direction of our board of directors, will continue to serve as our Manager.

Failure to complete the Merger could negatively impact the market price of our common stock. If the Merger is not completed for any reason, we will be subject to a number of material risks, including the following:

the market price of our common stock may decline to the extent that investors believe that the Merger is our best alternative;

we will face liquidity and other risks discussed below; and

we must pay certain costs related to the Merger even if the Merger is not completed, such as legal fees and certain investment banking fees, and, subject to certain exceptions and limitations, the expenses of Brookfield, in connection with the Merger and the merger agreement.

If the merger agreement is terminated and our board of directors seeks another merger or business combination, we cannot offer any assurance that we will be able to find an acquirer willing to pay an equivalent or better price than the price to be paid under the merger agreement.

If the Merger is not completed, we may be required to seek protection from our creditors by filing under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, or an involuntary petition for bankruptcy may be filed against us.

It is likely that if the Merger is not consummated and no superior bid is received, we may be required to seek protection from our creditors by filing under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, or an involuntary petition for bankruptcy may be filed against us in light of our financial condition.

As stated above, unless extended, our credit agreement will expire upon the latest of (i) May 25, 2010, (ii) the effective time of the Merger and (iii) thirty (30) calendar days following the termination of the merger agreement, but in no event later than August 31, 2010. Accordingly, if the merger agreement is terminated, it may cause our credit agreement to terminate earlier than it otherwise would, which will cast substantial doubt on our ability to continue as a going concern.

If the Merger is not consummated and no superior bid is received, or if the credit agreement is terminated and we are unable to replace our existing credit facility, we may not have sufficient liquidity for our operations and may be forced to file for bankruptcy protection, or an involuntary petition for bankruptcy may be filed against us, which could eliminate any value for our equity.

Several lawsuits have been filed against us, the members of our board of directors, Brookfield and B Acquisition Sub Inc. challenging the Merger, and an adverse judgment in such lawsuits may prevent the Merger from becoming effective or from becoming effective within the expected timeframe.

We, the members of our board of directors, and in some instances Brookfield and B Acquisition Sub Inc. have been named as defendants in purported class action lawsuits brought by our stockholders challenging the proposed Merger, seeking, among other things, to enjoin the defendants from consummating the Merger on the agreed-upon terms. If the plaintiffs are successful in obtaining an injunction prohibiting the parties from completing the Merger on the agreed-upon terms, the injunction may prevent the completion of the Merger in the expected timeframe (or altogether). See "Item 3. Litigation" for more information about the putative class action lawsuits related to the Merger that have been filed.

Risks Related to Our Investments

Our real estate investments are subject to risks particular to real property, any of which could reduce our returns on such investments and limit our cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

We own assets secured by real estate and also own real estate directly. Real estate investments will be subject to various risks, including:

acts of God, including hurricanes, earthquakes, floods and other natural disasters, which may result in uninsured losses;

acts of war or terrorism, including the consequences of terrorist attacks, such as those that occurred on September 11, 2001;

adverse changes in national and local economic and market conditions;

changes in governmental laws and regulations, fiscal policies and zoning ordinances and the related costs of compliance with laws and regulations, fiscal policies and ordinances;

the financial condition of JPMorgan Chase, the sole tenant at our triple net lease properties;

costs of remediation and liabilities associated with environmental conditions such as indoor mold; and

the potential for uninsured or underinsured property losses.

If any of these or similar events occurs, it may reduce our return from an affected property or investment and reduce or eliminate our ability to make distributions to stockholders.

The mortgage loans in which we invest and the mortgage loans underlying the MBS and asset-backed securities in which we invest are subject to delinquency, foreclosure and loss, which could result in losses to us.

Commercial mortgage loans are secured by multifamily or commercial property and are subject to risks of delinquency and foreclosure, and risks of loss that are greater than similar risks associated with loans secured by single-family residential properties. The ability of a borrower to repay a loan secured by an income-producing property typically is dependent primarily upon the successful operation of such property rather than upon the existence of independent income or assets of the borrower. If the net operating income of the property is reduced, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired. Net operating income of an income-producing property can be affected by, among other things: tenant mix, success of tenant businesses, property management decisions, property location and condition, competition from comparable types of properties, changes in laws that increase operating expense or limit rents that may be charged, any need to address environmental contamination at the property, the occurrence of any uninsured casualty at the property, changes in national, regional or local economic conditions and/or specific industry segments, declines in regional or local real estate values, declines in regional or local rental or occupancy rates, increases in interest rates, real estate tax rates and other operating expenses, changes in governmental rules, regulations and fiscal policies, including environmental legislation, acts of God, terrorism, social unrest and civil disturbances.

Residential mortgage loans are secured by single-family residential property or 2-4 family residential property and are subject to risks of delinquency, foreclosure, and loss. The ability of a borrower to repay a loan secured by a residential property is dependent upon the income or assets of the borrower. A number of factors, including a general economic downturn, payment increases under the terms of the loan, acts of God, terrorism, social unrest and civil disturbances, may impair a borrower's ability to repay its loans. ABS are bonds or notes backed by loans and/or other consumer financial assets. The ability to repay these loans or other financial assets is dependent upon the income or assets of the borrower, or revenue produced by the assets in the case of commercial assets.

Table of Contents

In the event of any default under a mortgage loan held directly by us, we will bear a risk of loss of principal to the extent of any deficiency between the value of the collateral to be liquidated and the principal and accrued interest of the mortgage loan and other costs, which could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow from operations. In the event of the bankruptcy of a mortgage loan borrower, the mortgage loan to such borrower will be deemed to be secured only to the extent of the value of the underlying collateral at the time of bankruptcy (as determined by the bankruptcy court), and the lien securing the mortgage loan will be subject to the avoidance powers of the bankruptcy trustee or debtor-in-possession to the extent the lien is unenforceable under state law, which could result in a total loss of our investment.

Foreclosure of a mortgage loan can be an expensive and lengthy process, which could have a substantial negative effect on our anticipated return on the foreclosed mortgage loan. RMBS evidence interests in, or are secured by, pools of residential mortgage loans and CMBS evidence interests in, or are secured by, a single commercial mortgage loan or a pool of commercial mortgage loans. Accordingly, the MBS in which we invest are subject to all of the risks of the underlying mortgage loans.

In addition, residential mortgage borrowers may not be prevented by the terms of their mortgage loans from prepaying their loans in whole or in part at any time. Borrowers prepay their mortgages for many reasons, but typically, when interest rates decline or when home prices rise, borrowers tend to prepay at faster rates. To the extent that the underlying mortgage borrowers in any of our mortgage loan pools or the pools underlying any of our mortgage-backed securities prepay their loans, we will likely receive funds that will have to be reinvested, and we may need to reinvest those funds at less desirable rates of return.

Approximately 0.6% of our investment portfolio as of December 31, 2009 was comprised of mortgage-backed securities collateralized by sub-prime residential mortgages. Sub-prime residential mortgage loans generally are loans to credit impaired borrowers and borrowers that are ineligible to qualify for loans from conventional mortgage sources due to credit characteristics, higher debt-to-income ratios or less than full documentation standards. Loans to lower credit grade borrowers generally experience higher-than-average default rates than do conforming mortgage loans. Material differences in expected default rates, loss severities and/or prepayments on the sub-prime mortgage loans from what was estimated in connection with the original underwriting of such loans could cause reductions in our income and adversely affect our operating results, with respect to our investments in mortgage-backed securities. If we underestimate the extent of losses that our investments in mortgage-backed securities will incur, then our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations could be adversely affected. For a description of the recent weakness in the sub-prime market, see "Part I. Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

An increase in the yield spread of our assets may cause the market price of our common stock to drop.

One of the factors that investors may consider in deciding whether to buy or sell shares of our common stock is the relative yield spread differential between the assets that we own and their valuation relative to comparable duration Treasuries. Increases in the yield spread differential have lowered the book value of our assets, which has contributed to the decline in the value of our common stock. Additional increases in the yield spread differential could have a similar effect. Over the last 24 months, yield spreads on our assets have dramatically widened and the price of our common stock has declined from \$14.44 on December 31, 2007 to \$0.72 on March 23, 2010.

Our investments in CMBS generally are subordinated and could subject us to increased risk of losses.

In general, losses on an asset securing a mortgage loan included in a securitization will be borne first by the equity holder of the property, then by a cash reserve fund or letter of credit provided by the borrower, if any, then by the "first loss" subordinated security holder and then by the "second loss" subordinated security holder. In the event of default and the exhaustion of any equity support, reserve fund, letter of credit and any classes of securities junior to those in which we invest, we may not be able to recover all of our investment in the securities we purchase. In addition, if the underlying mortgage portfolio has been overvalued by the originator, or if the values subsequently decline and, as a result, less collateral is available to satisfy interest and principal payments due on the related MBS, the securities in which we invest may effectively become the "first loss" position behind the more senior securities, which may result in significant losses to us and may be in excess of our underwriting assumptions.

The prices of lower credit quality securities are generally less sensitive to interest rate changes than more highly rated investments but more sensitive to adverse economic downturns or individual issuer developments. A projection of an economic downturn, for example, could cause a decline in the price of lower credit quality securities because the ability of obligors of mortgages underlying MBS to make principal and interest payments or to refinance may be impaired. In this case, existing credit support in the securitization structure may be insufficient to protect us against loss of our principal on these securities.

As of December 31, 2009, there were \$41.1 million of principal writedowns due to losses on underlying loans within the securitizations of which our CMBS Primary Asset is a part.

Although we seek to match fund our investments to limit refinance risk and lock in net spreads, we do not employ this strategy with respect to certain of our investments, which increases the risks related to refinancing these investments.

A key to our investment strategy is to finance our investments using match funded financing structures, which match assets and liabilities with respect to maturities and interest rates. This limits our refinance risk, including the risk of being able to refinance an investment or refinance on favorable terms. We generally use match funded financing structures, such as CDOs, to finance our investments in real estate securities and loans, and long term mortgage financings to finance our owned commercial real estate properties. However, our Manager may elect for us to bear a level of refinancing risk on a short term or longer term basis, such as is the case with investments financed with repurchase agreements, when, based on all of the relevant factors, bearing such risk is advisable or when match funded financing structures or other long term financing is not available. The decision not to match fund certain investments exposes us to additional refinancing risks that may not apply to our other investments. As noted above, the issuance of CDOs has come to a halt.

In addition, we anticipate that, in most cases, for any period during which our floating rate assets are not match funded with respect to maturity, the income from such assets may respond more slowly to interest rate fluctuations than the cost of our borrowings. Because of this dynamic, interest income from such investments may rise more slowly than the related interest expense, with a consequent decrease in our net income. Interest rate fluctuations resulting in our interest expense exceeding interest income would result in operating losses for us from these investments.

Accordingly, if we do not or are unable to match fund our investments with respect to maturities and interest rates, we will be exposed to the risk that we may not be able to finance or refinance our investments on economically favorable terms or may have to liquidate assets at a loss.

Table of Contents

We may not be able to finance our investments on a long term basis on attractive terms, including by means of securitization, which may require us to seek more costly financing for our investments or to liquidate assets.

When we acquire a portfolio of loans and securities that we finance on a short term basis with a view to securitization or other long term financing, we bear the risk of being unable to securitize the assets or otherwise finance them on a long term basis at attractive prices or in a timely matter, or at all. If it is not possible or economical for us to securitize or otherwise finance such assets on a long term basis, we may be unable to pay down our short term borrowings, or be required to liquidate the assets at a loss in order to do so.

As of December 31, 2009, we had approximately \$28.9 million of indebtedness maturing in the next 12 months. When this indebtedness becomes due, any inability to refinance or extend the maturity of this indebtedness on similar terms could have a material impact on our liquidity, our ability to pay future dividends and our ability to maintain our REIT status. Some of our investments securing these obligations are illiquid and this lack of liquidity could significantly impede our ability to realize the value at which such investments are carried if we are required to sell them to satisfy debt maturities.

Our assets may include high yield and subordinated corporate securities that have greater risks of loss than secured senior loans and if those losses are realized, they could negatively affect our earnings, which could materially decrease cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Our assets may include high yield and subordinated securities that involve a higher degree of risk than long-term senior secured loans. First, the high yield securities may not be secured by mortgages or liens on assets. Even if secured, these high yield securities may have higher loan-to-value ratios than a senior secured loan. Furthermore, our right to payment and the security interest may be subordinated to the payment rights and security interests of the senior lender. Therefore, we may be limited in our ability to enforce our rights to collect these loans and to recover any of the loan balance through a foreclosure of collateral.

Certain of these high yield and subordinated securities may have an interest only payment schedule, with the principal amount remaining outstanding and at risk until the maturity of the obligation. In this case, a borrower's ability to repay its obligation may be dependent upon a liquidity event that will enable the repayment of the obligation.

In addition to the above, numerous other factors may affect a company's ability to repay its obligation, including the failure to meet its business plan, a downturn in its industry or negative economic conditions. Deterioration in a company's financial condition and prospects may be accompanied by deterioration in the collateral securing the obligation. Losses in our high yield and subordinated securities could negatively affect our earnings, which could materially decrease cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

High yield and subordinated securities from highly leveraged companies may have a greater risk of loss which, in turn, could materially decrease cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Leverage may have material adverse consequences to companies in which we will hold investments. These companies may be subject to restrictive financial and operating covenants. The leverage may impair the ability of these companies to finance their future operations and capital needs. As a result, these companies may have limited flexibility to respond to changing business and economic conditions and to business opportunities. A leveraged company's income and net assets will tend to increase or decrease at a greater rate than if borrowed money were not used. As a result, leveraged companies have a greater risk of loss. Losses on our investments could negatively affect our earnings, which could materially decrease cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

We have invested in the equity securities of CDOs, and such investments involve various significant risks, including that CDO equity receives distributions from the CDO only if the CDO generates enough income to first pay the holders of its debt securities and its expenses.

We have invested in the equity securities of CDOs. A CDO is a special-purpose vehicle that purchases collateral (such as ABS or MBS) that is expected to generate a stream of interest or other income. The CDO issues various classes of securities that participate in that income stream, typically one or more classes of debt instruments and a class of equity securities. The equity is usually entitled to all of the income generated by the CDO after the CDO pays all of the interest due on the debt securities and its expenses. However, there will be little or no income available to the CDO equity if there are defaults by the issuers of the underlying collateral and those defaults exceed a certain amount. In that event, the value of our investment in the CDO's equity could decrease substantially. In addition, the equity securities of CDOs are generally illiquid, and because they represent a leveraged investment in the CDO's assets, the value of the equity securities will generally have greater fluctuations than the values of the underlying collateral.

Investments in mezzanine loans involve greater risks of loss than senior loans secured by income-producing properties.

Investments in mezzanine loans take the form of subordinated loans secured by second mortgages on the underlying property or loans secured by a pledge of the ownership interests in the entity that directly or indirectly owns the property. These types of investments involve a higher degree of risk than a senior mortgage loan because the investment may become unsecured as a result of foreclosure by the senior lender. In the event of a bankruptcy of the entity providing the pledge of its ownership interests as security, we may not have full recourse to the assets of the property owning entity, or the assets of the entity may not be sufficient to satisfy our mezzanine loan. If a borrower defaults on our mezzanine loan or debt senior to our loan, or in the event of a borrower bankruptcy, our mezzanine loan will be satisfied only after the senior debt is paid in full. As a result, we may not recover some or all of our investment, which could result in losses. In addition, mezzanine loans may have higher loan to value ratios than conventional mortgage loans, resulting in less equity in the property and increasing the risk of loss of principal. In this regard, we have had to write down a construction mezzanine loan by \$14.6 million and a mezzanine loan by \$6.4 million to date due to cost overruns and/or a deteriorating housing market in the locale where the project is located. See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition Assets Commercial Real Estate Loan Investments Mezzanine Loans, Construction Loans and Whole Loans."

Increases in interest rates and shifts in the yield curve could negatively affect the value of our investments, which could result in reduced earnings or losses and negatively affect the cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

We invest indirectly in mortgage loans by purchasing MBS. Under a normal yield curve, an investment in MBS will decline in value if long-term interest rates increase. Despite Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae guarantees of certain of the MBS we have owned in the past and may own in the future, those guarantees do not protect us from declines in market value caused by changes in interest rates. Declines in market value may ultimately reduce earnings or result in losses to us, which may negatively affect cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

A significant risk associated with our investment in MBS is the risk that both long-term and short-term interest rates will increase significantly. If long-term rates increase significantly, the market value of these MBS would decline and the duration and weighted average life of the investments would increase. We could realize a loss if the securities were sold. At the same time, an increase in short-term interest rates would increase the amount of interest owed on the financing agreements we may enter into in order to finance the purchase of MBS.

Table of Contents

Market values of our investments may decline without any general increase in interest rates for a number of reasons, such as increases in defaults, increases in voluntary prepayments for those investments that are subject to prepayment risk, and widening of credit spreads.

Some of our portfolio investments are recorded at fair value as estimated by management and reviewed by our board of directors and, as a result, there is uncertainty as to the value of these investments.

Some of our portfolio investments are in the form of securities or loans that are not publicly traded. The fair value of securities and other investments that are not publicly traded is not readily determinable. We value these investments quarterly at fair value as determined under policies approved by our board of directors. Because such valuations are inherently uncertain, may fluctuate over short periods of time and may be based on estimates, our determinations of fair value may differ materially from the values that would have been used if a ready market for these securities existed. The value of our common stock could be adversely affected if our determinations regarding the fair value of these investments are materially higher than the values that we ultimately realize upon their disposal.

The value of investments denominated or quoted in international currencies may decrease due to fluctuations in the relative rates of exchange among the currencies of different nations and by exchange control regulations.

If we make investments denominated or quoted in foreign currencies, our investment performance may be negatively affected by a devaluation of that currency. Further, our investment performance may be negatively affected by currency exchange rates because the U.S. dollar value of investments denominated or quoted in another currency may increase or decrease in response to changes in the value of the currency in relation to the U.S. dollar.

Declines in the market values of our investments may adversely affect periodic reported results and credit availability, which may reduce earnings and, in turn, cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

A substantial portion of our assets are classified for accounting purposes as "available-for-sale." Changes in the market values of those assets are directly charged or credited to stockholders' equity. As a result, a decline in values may reduce the book value of our assets. Moreover, if we determine the decline in value of an available-for-sale security to be other than temporary, such decline will reduce earnings. The total impairment charge for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$77.9 million.

All of our repurchase agreements are subject to bilateral margin calls in the event that the collateral securing our obligations under those facilities exceeds or does not meet our collateralization requirements. The analysis of sufficiency of collateralization is undertaken daily, and the thresholds for adjustment range from \$100,000 to \$500,000. Since the summer of 2007, due to the deterioration in the market value of our assets, we received margin calls under several of our repurchase agreements, which resulted in our having to tap our financing sources to post additional collateral. We did not have any borrowings under repurchase agreements as of December 31, 2009.

A further decline in the market value of our assets may adversely affect us particularly in instances where we have borrowed money based on the market value of those assets. If the market value of those assets declines, the lender may require us to post additional collateral to support the loan. If we were unable to post the additional collateral, we would have to sell the assets at a time when we might not otherwise choose to do so. A reduction in available credit may reduce our earnings and, in turn, cash available for distribution to stockholders.

The lack of liquidity in our investments may harm our business.

We have made investments in assets and securities that are not publicly traded. A portion of these securities may be subject to legal and other restrictions on resale or will otherwise be less liquid than publicly traded securities. The illiquidity of our investments, such as commercial real estate, subordinated MBS or investments in timber or power generating assets, may make it difficult for us to

sell such investments if the need arises. In addition, if we are required to liquidate all or a portion of our portfolio quickly, we may realize significantly less than the value at which we have previously recorded our investments. Moreover, we may face other restrictions on our ability to liquidate an investment in a business entity to the extent that we or our Manager has or could be attributed with material nonpublic information regarding such business entity. As a result, our ability to vary our portfolio in response to changes in economic and other conditions may be relatively limited.

Failure to comply with negative covenants contained in our credit agreement, our repurchase facilities and other funding agreements will limit available financing under these agreements.

Certain of our repurchase facility agreements include negative covenants that, if breached, may cause transactions to be terminated early. Except as noted below, the repurchase facility agreements do not include negative covenants other than those contained in the standard master repurchase agreement as published by the Bond Market Association. Two of our master repurchase agreements, which did not account for any of our liabilities as of December 31, 2009, provide that they may be terminated if, among other things, certain material decreases in net asset value occur, we lose our REIT status or our Manager is terminated. An event of default or termination event under the standard master repurchase agreement or the additional provisions explained above would give our counterparty the option to terminate all repurchase transactions existing with us and make any amount due by us to the counterparty payable immediately. If we are required to terminate repurchase transactions, to the extent that we had any outstanding, and were unable to negotiate favorable terms of replacement financing, our cash and our earnings would be negatively affected. This may reduce the amount of capital available for investing and/or may negatively affect our ability to distribute dividends. In addition, we may have to sell assets at a time when we might not otherwise choose to do so.

The continuation of the current economic slowdown and further declines in real estate values could further impair the performance of our investments and harm our operating results.

Many of our investments are susceptible to the current economic slowdown, which has led to financial losses in our investments and a decrease in revenues, net income and assets. Continued unfavorable economic conditions have increased our funding costs and effectively blocked our access to the capital markets. These events are preventing us from increasing investments and have had a negative impact on our operating results. In this regard, the U.S. economy is in the midst of a recession, with consumer spending on the decline, credit tightening and unemployment rising. Many financial and economic analysts have predicted that the world economy is in a prolonged recession characterized by high unemployment, limited availability of credit and decreased consumer and business spending. This economic downturn is expected to adversely affect many of the individuals and businesses that have borrowed money to purchase real estate and to continue to exert downward pressure on real estate prices. Accordingly, we expect to continue to experience a downturn in the market value of our investments.

We may be exposed to environmental liabilities with respect to properties to which we take title, which could impair the performance of our investments and harm our operating results.

In the course of our business, we have and may continue to take title to real estate, and, we could be subject to environmental liabilities with respect to these properties. In these circumstances, we may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage, personal injury, investigation, and clean-up costs incurred by these parties in connection with environmental contamination, or we may be required to investigate or clean up hazardous or toxic substances or chemical releases at a property. The costs associated with investigation or remediation activities could be substantial. If we ever become subject to significant environmental liabilities, our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Hedging instruments often are not traded on regulated exchanges, guaranteed by an exchange or its clearing house, or regulated by any U.S. or foreign governmental authorities and involve risks and costs that could expose us to unexpected economic losses in the future.

The cost of using hedging instruments increases as the period covered by the instrument increases and during periods of rising and volatile interest rates. We may increase our hedging activity and thus increase our hedging costs during periods when interest rates are volatile or rising and hedging costs have increased. We expect that from time to time, in addition to the interest rate swaps and credit default swaps into which we had entered as of December 31, 2009, we could in the future enter into forward contracts, currency swaps and cash flow swaps as part of our hedging strategy.

In addition, hedging instruments involve risk because they often are not traded on regulated exchanges, guaranteed by an exchange or its clearing house, or regulated by any U.S. or foreign governmental authorities. Consequently, there are no requirements with respect to record keeping, financial responsibility or segregation of customer funds and positions. Furthermore, the enforceability of agreements underlying derivative transactions may depend on compliance with applicable statutory, commodity and other regulatory requirements and, depending on the identity of the counterparty, applicable international requirements. The business failure of a hedging counterparty with whom we enter into a hedging transaction will most likely result in a default. Default by a party with whom we enter into a hedging transaction may result in the loss of unrealized profits and force us to cover our resale commitments, if any, at the then current market price. Although generally we will seek to reserve the right to terminate our hedging positions, it may not always be possible to dispose of or close out a hedging position without the consent of the hedging counterparty, and we may not be able to enter into an offsetting contract to cover our risk. We cannot assure you that a liquid secondary market will exist for hedging instruments purchased or sold, and we may be required to maintain a position until exercise or expiration, which could result in losses.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT, part of our investment strategy involves entering into derivative contracts that could require us to fund cash payments in the future under certain circumstances, *e.g.*, the early termination of the derivative agreement caused by an event of default or other early termination event, or the decision by a counterparty to request margin securities it is contractually owed under the terms of the derivative contract. The amount due would be equal to the unrealized loss of the open swap positions with the respective counterparty and could also include other fees and charges. These economic losses will be reflected in our financial results of operations, and our ability to fund these obligations will depend on the liquidity of our assets and access to capital at the time. The need to fund these obligations could negatively affect our financial condition.

Prepayment rates could negatively affect the value of our MBS, which could result in reduced earnings or losses and negatively affect the cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

In the case of residential mortgage loans, there are seldom any restrictions on borrowers' abilities to prepay their loans. Homeowners tend to prepay mortgage loans faster when interest rates decline. Consequently, owners of the loans have to reinvest the money received from the prepayments at the lower prevailing interest rates. Conversely, homeowners tend not to prepay mortgage loans when interest rates increase. Consequently, owners of the loans are unable to reinvest money that would have otherwise been received from prepayments at the higher prevailing interest rates. This volatility in prepayment rates may affect our ability to maintain targeted amounts of leverage on our mortgage-backed securities portfolio and may result in reduced earnings or losses for us and negatively affect the cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Our Manager's due diligence may not reveal all of an entity's liabilities and may not reveal other weaknesses in its business, which could lead to investment losses.

Before investing in a company, our Manager assesses the strength and skills of the company's management and other factors that our Manager believes are material to the performance of the

investment. In making the assessment and otherwise conducting customary due diligence, our Manager relies on the resources available to it and, in some cases, an investigation by third parties. This process is particularly important and subjective with respect to newly organized entities because there may be little or no information publicly available about the entities. There can be no assurance that our Manager's due diligence processes will uncover all relevant facts or that any current or future investment will be successful and not result in investment losses.

We, or other owners of income-producing real property, may not be able to relet or renew leases of properties on favorable terms.

We are subject to the risk that upon expiration of leases for space located at any income-producing property that we purchase or that serves as collateral for MBS securities that we purchase, the space may not be relet or, if relet, the terms of the renewal or reletting (including the cost of required renovations or concessions to tenants) may be less favorable than the expiring lease terms. Any of these situations may result in extended periods where there is a significant decline in revenues or no revenues generated by a property. If we, or the other owners of such properties, are unable to relet or renew leases for all or substantially all of the space at any such properties, if the rental rates upon such renewal or reletting are significantly lower than expected, or if reserves for these purposes prove inadequate, we may be required to reduce or eliminate distributions to our stockholders.

Our reliance on one tenant at all three of our commercial real estate properties and the insolvency or bankruptcy of this tenant could adversely affect our results of operations.

As of December 31, 2009, we had one tenant, JPMorgan Chase, that accounted for all of our rental income, which was 42.7% of our total revenues for the year. Our business would be adversely affected if this tenant became insolvent, declared bankruptcy or otherwise refused to pay rent in a timely fashion or at all.

Uninsured losses or a loss in excess of insured limits on commercial real estate may not cover all losses and could adversely affect our financial condition.

There are certain types of losses, generally of a catastrophic nature, such as earthquakes, floods, hurricanes, terrorism or acts of war, that may be uninsurable or not economically insurable. We carry, or we require our tenants to carry, comprehensive general liability, fire, extended coverage and loss of rent insurance on our properties, with policy specifications and insured limits customarily carried for similar properties. However, with respect to those properties where the leases do not provide for abatement of rent under any circumstances, we generally do not maintain loss of rent insurance. In addition, there are certain types of losses, such as losses resulting from wars, terrorism or acts of God, that generally are not insured because they are either uninsurable or not economically insurable. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, we could lose capital invested in a property, as well as the anticipated future revenues from a property, while remaining obligated for any mortgage indebtedness or other financial obligations related to the property. Any loss of these types would adversely affect our financial condition.

Risks Related to Our Organization and Structure

Our charter and bylaws contain provisions that may inhibit potential takeover bids that you and other stockholders may consider favorable, and the market price of our common stock may be lower as a result.

Our charter and bylaws contain provisions that may have an anti-takeover effect, inhibit a change in our board of directors and prevent stockholders from receiving a premium on their shares. These provisions include the following:

There are ownership limits and restrictions on transferability and ownership in our charter. In order to qualify as a REIT for each taxable year after 2005, not more than 50% of the value of our outstanding stock may be owned, directly or constructively, by five or fewer individuals during

Table of Contents

the second half of any calendar year and our shares must be beneficially owned by 100 or more persons during at least 335 days of a taxable year of 12 months or during a proportionate part of a shorter taxable year. To assist us in satisfying these tests, our charter generally prohibits any person from beneficially or constructively owning more than 9.8% in value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of any class or series of our outstanding capital stock, subject to important exceptions. These restrictions may:

discourage a tender offer or other transactions or a change in the composition of our board of directors or control that might involve a premium price for our shares or otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders; or

result in shares issued or transferred in violation of such restrictions being automatically transferred to a trust for a charitable beneficiary and thereby resulting in a forfeiture of ownership of the additional shares.

Our charter permits our board of directors to issue stock with terms that may discourage a third party from acquiring us. Our charter permits our board of directors to amend the charter without stockholder approval to increase the total number of authorized shares of stock or the number of shares of any class or series and to issue common or preferred stock having preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to distributions, qualifications, or terms or conditions of redemption as determined by our board of directors. Thus, our board of directors could authorize the issuance of stock with terms and conditions that could have the effect of discouraging a takeover or other transaction in which holders of some or a majority of our shares might receive a premium for their shares over the then-prevailing market price of our shares.

Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act. Maryland law provides that "control shares" of a corporation acquired in a "control share acquisition" will have no voting rights except to the extent approved by a vote of two-thirds of the votes eligible to be cast on the matter under the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act. "Control shares" means voting shares of stock that, if aggregated with all other shares of stock owned by the acquiror or in respect of which the acquiror is able to exercise or direct the exercise of voting power (except solely by virtue of a revocable proxy), would entitle the acquiror to exercise voting power in electing directors within one of the following ranges of voting power: one-tenth or more but less than one-third, one-third or more but less than a majority, or a majority or more of all voting power. A "control share acquisition" means the acquisition of control shares, subject to certain exceptions.

If voting rights or control shares acquired in a control share acquisition are not approved at a stockholders' meeting or if the acquiring person does not deliver an acquiring person statement as required by the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act, then subject to certain conditions and limitations, the issuer may redeem any or all of the control shares for fair value. If voting rights of such control shares are approved at a stockholders' meeting and the acquiror becomes entitled to vote a majority of the shares of stock entitled to vote, all other stockholders may exercise appraisal rights. Our bylaws contain a provision exempting acquisitions of our shares from the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act. However, our board of directors may amend our bylaws in the future to repeal or modify this exemption, in which case any control shares of our company acquired in a control share acquisition will be subject to the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act.

Table of Contents

Business combinations. Under Maryland law, "business combinations" between a Maryland corporation and an interested stockholder or an affiliate of an interested stockholder are prohibited for five years after the most recent date on which the interested stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. These business combinations include a merger, consolidation, share exchange, or, in circumstances specified in the statute, an asset transfer or issuance or reclassification of equity securities. An interested stockholder is defined as:

any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of the corporation's shares; or

an affiliate or associate of the corporation who, at any time within the two-year period prior to the date in question, was the beneficial owner of 10% or more of the voting power of the then outstanding voting stock of the corporation.

A person is not an interested stockholder under the statute if the board of directors approved in advance the transaction by which such person otherwise would have become an interested stockholder. However, in approving a transaction, the board of directors may provide that its approval is subject to compliance, at or after the time of approval, with any terms and conditions determined by our board of directors.

After the five-year prohibition, any business combination between the Maryland corporation and an interested stockholder generally must be recommended by the board of directors of the corporation and approved by the affirmative vote of at least:

80% of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding shares of voting stock of the corporation; and

two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of voting stock of the corporation other than shares held by the interested stockholder with whom or with whose affiliate the business combination is to be effected or held by an affiliate or associate of the interested stockholder.

These super-majority vote requirements do not apply if the corporation's common stockholders receive a minimum price, as defined under Maryland law, for their shares in the form of cash or other consideration in the same form as previously paid by the interested stockholder for its shares.

The statute permits various exemptions from its provisions, including business combinations that are exempted by the board of directors prior to the time that the interested stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. Our board of directors has adopted a resolution that provides that any business combination between us and any other person is exempted from the provisions of the Act, provided that the business combination is first approved by the board of directors. This resolution, however, may be altered or repealed in whole or in part at any time. If this resolution is repealed, or the board of directors does not otherwise approve a business combination, this statute may discourage others from trying to acquire control of us and increase the difficulty of consummating any offer.

Staggered board. Our board of directors is divided into three classes of directors. The current terms of the directors expire in 2010, 2011 and 2012. Directors of each class are chosen for three-year terms upon the expiration of their current terms, and each year one class of directors is elected by the stockholders. The staggered terms of our directors may reduce the possibility of a tender offer or an attempt at a change in control, even though a tender offer or change in control might be in the best interests of our stockholders.

Our charter and bylaws contain other possible anti-takeover provisions. Our charter and bylaws contain other provisions that may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change

Table of Contents

in control of us or the removal of existing directors and, as a result, could prevent our stockholders from being paid a premium for their common stock over the then-prevailing market price.

Our rights and the rights of our stockholders to take action against our directors and officers are limited, which could limit your recourse in the event of actions not in your best interests.

Our charter limits the liability of our directors and officers to us and our stockholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from:

actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services; or

a final judgment based upon a finding of active and deliberate dishonesty by the director or officer that was material to the cause of action adjudicated.

In addition, our charter permits us to agree to indemnify our present and former directors and officers for actions taken by them in those capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. Our bylaws require us to indemnify each present or former director or officer, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, in the defense of any proceeding to which he or she is made, or threatened to be made, a party by reason of his or her service to us. In addition, we may be obligated to fund the defense costs incurred by our directors and officers.

Our access to confidential information may restrict our ability to take action with respect to some investments, which, in turn, may negatively affect the potential return to stockholders.

We, directly or through Brookfield, BIM or our Manager may obtain confidential information about the companies in which we have invested or may invest. If we do possess confidential information about such companies, there may be restrictions on our ability to dispose of, increase the amount of, or otherwise take action with respect to an investment in those companies. Our management of investment funds could create a conflict of interest to the extent our Manager is aware of inside information concerning potential investment targets. We have implemented compliance procedures and practices designed to ensure that inside information is not used for making investment decisions on behalf of the funds and to monitor funds invested. We cannot assure you, however, that these procedures and practices will be effective. In addition, this conflict and these procedures and practices may limit the freedom of our Manager to make potentially profitable investments, which could negatively affect our operations. These limitations imposed by access to confidential information could therefore negatively affect the potential market price of our common stock and the ability to distribute dividends.

Tax Risks

Complying with REIT requirements may cause us to forego otherwise attractive opportunities.

To qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we must continually satisfy various tests regarding the sources of our income, the nature and diversification of our assets, the amounts we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of our stock. To meet these tests, we may be required to forego investments we might otherwise make. This difficulty may be exacerbated by the illiquid nature of many of our non-real estate assets. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our investment performance.

The "taxable mortgage pool" rules may increase the taxes that we or our stockholders may incur, and may limit the manner in which we effect future securitizations.

Certain of our securitizations have resulted in the creation of taxable mortgage pools for federal income tax purposes. As a REIT, so long as we own 100% of the equity interests in a taxable mortgage

pool, we generally would not be adversely affected by the characterization of the securitization as a taxable mortgage pool. Certain categories of stockholders, however, such as non-U.S. persons eligible for treaty benefits, stockholders with net operating losses, and certain tax-exempt stockholders that are subject to unrelated business income tax, could be subject to increased taxes on a portion of their dividend income from us attributable to the taxable mortgage pool that is characterized as "excess inclusion income." In addition, to the extent that our stock is owned by tax-exempt "disqualified organizations," such as certain government-related entities and charitable remainder trusts that are not subject to tax on unrelated business income, we may incur a corporate level tax on the excess inclusion income from the taxable mortgage pool. In that case, we may reduce the amount of our distributions to any disqualified organization whose stock ownership gave rise to the tax. The Internal Revenue Service has not given clear guidance as to the appropriate method for the calculation of excess inclusion income and, absent such clear guidance, we have calculated excess inclusion income based upon what we believe to be a reasonable method. Our estimation of excess inclusion income is disclosed in our year end financial statements. In addition, pursuant to recently issued guidance from the Internal Revenue Service, we are required to allocate excess inclusion income to our shareholders in proportion to dividends paid and to inform our shareholders of the amount and character of the excess inclusion income allocated to them. Given the lack of guidance, there can be no assurances that we have calculated excess inclusion income in a manner satisfactory to the Internal Revenue Service.

We are effectively precluded from selling equity interests in these securitizations to outside investors, or selling any debt securities issued in connection with these securitizations that might be considered to be equity interests for tax purposes. These limitations may prevent us from using certain techniques to maximize our returns from securitization transactions. In certain instances, we have pledged our equity interests in these taxable mortgage pools as collateral under our repurchase agreements, subjecting the pools to the potential loss of their tax-exempt status in the event that we were forced to sell our interests or our interests were foreclosed upon by a third party that was not afforded the same exemption as us.

Failure to qualify as a REIT would subject us to U.S. federal income tax, which would reduce the cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

We operate in a manner that is intended to cause us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. However, the federal income tax laws governing REITs are extremely complex, and administrative interpretations of the federal income tax laws governing qualification as a REIT are limited. Qualifying as a REIT requires us to meet various tests regarding the nature of our assets and our income, the ownership of our outstanding stock, and the amount of our distributions on an ongoing basis. Although we operate in such a manner so as to qualify as a REIT, given the highly complex nature of the rules governing REITs, the ongoing importance of factual determinations, and the possibility of future changes in our circumstances, no assurance can be given that we will so qualify for any particular year.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any calendar year and we do not qualify for certain statutory relief provisions, we would be required to pay federal income tax on our taxable income. We might need to borrow money or sell assets in order to pay that tax. Our payment of income tax would decrease the amount of our income available for distribution to our stockholders. Furthermore, if we fail to maintain our qualification as a REIT and we do not qualify for certain statutory relief provisions, we no longer would be required to distribute substantially all of our REIT taxable income to our stockholders. Unless our failure to qualify as a REIT were excused under federal tax laws, we could not re-elect REIT status until the fifth calendar year following the year in which we failed to qualify.

Failure to make required distributions would subject us to tax, which would reduce the cash available for distribution to our stockholders and could adversely affect our ability to execute our business plan.

In order to qualify as a REIT, we must distribute to our stockholders, each calendar year, at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gain. To the extent that we satisfy the 90% distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income, we will be subject to federal corporate income tax on our undistributed income. In addition, we will incur a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which our distributions in any calendar year are less than the sum of:

85% of our ordinary taxable income for that year;

95% of our capital gain net income for that year; and

100% our undistributed taxable income from prior years.

We intend to continue to distribute our net taxable income to our stockholders in a manner intended to satisfy the 90% distribution requirement and to avoid both corporate income tax and the 4% nondeductible excise tax. However, there is no requirement that domestic TRSs distribute their after-tax net income to their parent REIT or their stockholders and Crystal River Capital TRS Holdings, Inc., our TRS, may determine not to make any distributions to us.

Our taxable income may substantially differ from our net income as determined based on accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or U.S. GAAP, because, for example, realized capital losses will be deducted in determining our U.S. GAAP net income, but may not be deductible in computing our taxable income. In addition, we may invest in assets that generate taxable income in excess of economic income or in advance of the corresponding cash flow from the assets, which we refer to as phantom income. Although some types of phantom income are excluded to the extent they exceed 5% of our REIT taxable income in determining the 90% distribution requirement, we will incur corporate income tax and the 4% nondeductible excise tax with respect to any phantom income items if we do not distribute those items on an annual basis. As a result of the foregoing, we may generate less cash flow than taxable income in a particular year. In that event, we may be required to use cash reserves, incur debt on a short-term or long-term basis, or liquidate non-cash assets at rates or times that we regard as unfavorable to satisfy the distribution requirement and to avoid corporate income tax and the 4% nondeductible excise tax in that year. Because certain of our assets may generate substantial mismatches between taxable income and available cash, the requirement to distribute a substantial portion of our net taxable income could cause us to: (i) sell assets in adverse market conditions, (ii) borrow on unfavorable terms or (iii) distribute amounts that would otherwise be invested in future acquisitions, capital expenditures or repayment of debt, in order to comply with REIT requirements.

In the event CDO I is liquidated, the tax consequences may be materially adverse to us and could significantly reduce our liquidity if we are required to make material distributions to our stockholders in connection with such liquidation.

In November 2005, we issued collateralized debt obligations through two newly-formed financing subsidiaries, Crystal River CDO 2005-1, Ltd. and Crystal River CDO 2005-1 LLC, which we collectively refer to as CDO I. In January 2007, we issued collateralized debt obligations through two newly-formed financing subsidiaries, Crystal River Capital Resecuritization 2006-1 Ltd. and Crystal River Capital Resecuritization 2006-1 LLC, which we collectively refer to as CDO II. CDO I and CDO II represent VIEs with respect to which we have determined we are the primary beneficiary and accordingly, we have consolidated them in our consolidated financial statements. We determined that we are the primary beneficiary of both CDO I and CDO II as we have the highest level of variability of return due

to the credit risk of the underlying assets. For additional information relating to the consolidated assets and liabilities of CDO I and CDO II, see Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements.

On September 2, 2009, the trustee of CDO 1 issued a Notice of Event of Default to the collateral manager for CDO I because of a failure by CDO I to pay interest on the Class D notes. This event of default entitles noteholders of the senior class of notes, the Class A notes, to direct the trustee to take particular actions with respect to the collateral owned by CDO I and the CDO notes issued by CDO I. The event of default did not trigger a reconsideration event under FASB ASC 810-10-25-39 through 25-41 (previously FIN 46R) and has no impact on our consolidated financial statements during the third quarter.

In light of these events, it is possible that CDO I may be liquidated. A liquidation under these circumstances likely would result in a capital loss on our investment in the assets of CDO I and income from the cancellation of indebtedness with respect to the notes issued by CDO I. The tax consequences of these items may be materially adverse to us and could significantly reduce our liquidity if we are required to make material distributions to our stockholders in connection with such liquidation in order to maintain our REIT qualification because of the amount of taxable income (but not cash income) received.

Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates.

Legislation enacted in 2003 generally reduces the maximum tax rate for dividends payable to domestic stockholders that are individuals, trusts and estates to 15% (through 2010). Dividends payable by REITs, however, are generally not eligible for the reduced rates. Although this legislation does not adversely affect the taxation of REITs or dividends paid by REITs, the more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate dividends could cause investors who are individuals, trusts and estates to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the stock of REITs, including our common stock.

Our ownership of and relationship with our TRS will be limited, and a failure to comply with the limits would jeopardize our REIT status and may result in the application of a 100% excise tax.

A REIT may own up to 100% of the stock of one or more TRSs. A TRS may earn income that would not be qualifying income if earned directly by the parent REIT. Both the subsidiary and the REIT must jointly elect to treat the subsidiary as a TRS. A corporation of which a TRS directly or indirectly owns more than 35% of the voting power or value of the stock will automatically be treated as a TRS. Overall, no more than 20% of the value of a REIT's assets may consist of stock or securities of one or more TRSs. A TRS will pay federal, state and local income tax at regular corporate rates on any income that it earns. In addition, the TRS rules limit the deductibility of interest paid or accrued by a TRS to its parent REIT to assure that the TRS is subject to an appropriate level of corporate taxation. The rules also impose a 100% excise tax on certain transactions between a TRS and its parent REIT that are not conducted on an arm's length basis.

Our TRS, Crystal River Capital TRS Holdings, Inc., as a domestic TRS, will pay federal, state and local income tax on its taxable income, and its after-tax net income is available for distribution to us but is not required to be distributed to us. The aggregate value of the TRS stock and securities owned by us should be less than 20% of the value of our total assets (including the TRS stock and securities). Furthermore, we monitor the value of our investments in TRSs for the purpose of ensuring compliance with the rule that no more than 20% of the value of our assets may consist of TRS stock and securities (which is applied at the end of each calendar quarter). In addition, we scrutinize all of our transactions with TRSs for the purpose of ensuring that they are entered into on arm's length terms in order to avoid incurring the 100% excise tax described above. There can be no complete assurance, however,

that we will be able to comply with the 20% limitation discussed above or to avoid application of the 100% excise tax discussed above.

Complying with REIT requirements may limit our ability to hedge effectively.

The REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code substantially limit our ability to hedge MBS and related borrowings. Under these provisions, our annual gross income from qualifying and non-qualifying hedges, together with any other income not generated from qualifying real estate assets, cannot exceed 25% of our gross income. In addition, our aggregate gross income from non-qualifying hedges, fees, and certain other non-qualifying sources cannot exceed 5% of our annual gross income. As a result, we might have to limit our use of advantageous hedging techniques or implement those hedges through Crystal River Capital TRS Holdings, Inc. This could increase the cost of our hedging activities or expose us to greater risks associated with changes in interest rates than we would otherwise want to bear.

The tax on prohibited transactions will limit our ability to engage in transactions, including certain methods of securitizing mortgage loans, that would be treated as sales for federal income tax purposes.

A REIT's net income from prohibited transactions is subject to a 100% tax. In general, prohibited transactions are sales or other dispositions of property, other than foreclosure property, but including mortgage loans, held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. We might be subject to this tax if we were able to sell or securitize loans in a manner that was treated as a sale of the loans for federal income tax purposes. Therefore, in order to avoid the prohibited transactions tax, we may choose not to engage in certain sales of loans and may limit the structures we utilize for our securitization transactions even though such sales or structures might otherwise be beneficial to us.

It may be possible to reduce the impact of the prohibited transaction tax and the holding of assets not qualifying as real estate assets for purposes of the REIT asset tests by conducting certain activities, holding non-qualifying REIT assets or engaging in CDO transactions through our TRSs, subject to certain limitations. To the extent that we engage in such activities through TRSs, the income associated with such activities may be subject to full corporate income tax.

We may be subject to adverse legislative or regulatory tax changes that could reduce the market price of our common stock.

At any time, the federal, state or local income tax laws or regulations governing REITs or the administrative interpretations of those laws or regulations may be amended. We cannot predict when or if any new tax law, regulation or administrative interpretation, or any amendment to any existing tax law, regulation or administrative interpretation, will be adopted, promulgated or become effective and any such law, regulation or interpretation may take effect retroactively. We and our stockholders could be adversely affected by any such change in, or any new, tax law, regulation or administrative interpretation.

If we make distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, those distributions will be treated as a return of capital, which will reduce the adjusted basis of your stock, and to the extent such distributions exceed your adjusted basis, you may recognize a capital gain.

Unless you are a tax-exempt entity, distributions that we make to you generally will be subject to tax as ordinary income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits as determined for federal income tax purposes. If the amount we distribute to you exceeds your allocable share of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, the excess will be treated as a return of capital to the extent of your adjusted basis in your stock, which will reduce your basis in your stock but will not be subject to tax. To the extent the amount we distribute to you exceeds both your allocable

share of our current and accumulated earnings and profits and your adjusted basis, this excess amount will be treated as a gain from the sale or exchange of a capital asset.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

Our investments in real estate assets as of December 31, 2009 consist of our interests in properties that are consolidated in our consolidated financial statements. JPMorgan Chase is the tenant for all these properties. The following table sets forth the information with respect to our real estate assets as of December 31, 2009:

Chase Tower Phoenix	1111 Fannin	JPM Chase Call Center
Phoenix, AZ	Houston, TX	Arlington, TX
Office	Office	Office
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
1973	1971	2000
March 20, 2007	March 20, 2007	September 25, 2007
724,000	428,600	171,500
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2021	2021	2027
Four 5-year extensions	Four 5-year extensions	Four 10-year extensions
5.509%	5.509%	6.290%
April 1, 2017	April 1, 2017	October 1, 2017
\$145.087.300	\$53,412,700	\$20,880,000
	Phoenix, AZ Office 100.0% 1973 March 20, 2007 724,000 100.0% 2021 Four 5-year extensions 5.509%	Phoenix, AZ Houston, TX Office Office 100.0% 100.0% 1973 1971 March 20, 2007 March 20, 2007 724,000 428,600 100.0% 100.0% 2021 2021 Four 5-year extensions Four 5-year extensions 5.509% 5.509% April 1, 2017 April 1, 2017

(1)

Assumes tenant does not exercise any extension options.

See "Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation" to our consolidated financial statements for information relating to depreciation of these properties.

Although we believe our investments are currently adequately covered by insurance consistent with the level of coverage that is standard in our industry, we cannot predict at this time if we will be able to obtain adequate coverage at a reasonable cost in the future.

Principal Executive and Administrative Offices

Our principal executive and administrative offices are located in office space leased at Three World Financial Center, 200 Vesey Street, 10th Floor, New York, New York 10281-1010 and are provided by our Manager in accordance with the Management Agreement. Our telephone number is (212) 549-8400 and our website address is http://www.crystalriverreit.com.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

Crystal River is aware of three lawsuits that have been filed by alleged Crystal River shareholders challenging our proposed merger with Brookfield and naming as defendants Crystal River, our Board of Directors, and in some instances, Brookfield and B Merger Sub, Inc. Additional lawsuits pertaining to the Merger could be filed in the future. On or around March 2, 2010, plaintiff Fazal Mahmood filed a complaint in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland against Crystal River and our Board of Directors asserting claims on behalf of a putative class of Company shareholders. On March 2, 2010, plaintiffs Milton P. Silva and Shaher Tadros filed a complaint in the Supreme Court of the State of

Table of Contents

New York, County of New York, against Crystal River, our Board of Directors, Brookfield, and B Merger Sub, Inc. asserting claims on behalf of a putative class of Crystal River shareholders as well as derivative claims ostensibly on behalf of Crystal River. On March 5, 2010, plaintiff Gary P. Klahr filed a complaint in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland against Crystal River, our Board of Directors, Brookfield, and B Merger Sub, Inc. asserting claims on behalf of a putative class of Crystal River shareholders. In each of these lawsuits, the plaintiffs generally allege, among other things, that the members of our Board of Directors breached their fiduciary duties towards the plaintiffs and the other public stockholders of Crystal River in connection with the proposed sale of Crystal River to Brookfield and Merger Sub. Plaintiffs in these lawsuits seek, among other relief, certification of the lawsuits as class actions, an injunction preventing the Merger from closing, an award of unspecified damages to the plaintiffs and the class, and an award of attorneys' fees and expenses, along with such other relief as the courts deem just and proper. We intend to vigorously defend these lawsuits.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

None.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

The New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, notified us that it was delisting our common stock prior to the opening of the market on December 2, 2008. On December 2, 2008, our common stock commenced trading on the Pink Sheets, and, on December 9, 2008, commenced trading on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board, in addition to the Pink Sheets. Our common stock trades on the over-the-counter market under the symbol "CYRV."

The following table sets forth the high and low sale price per share of our common stock as reported on the NYSE Composite Transactions Tape from January 1, 2008 to December 1, 2008, and on the over-the-counter market from December 2, 2008 to December 31, 2009. The stock price information is based on published financial sources. Over-the-counter market quotations reflect inter-deal prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commissions, and may not necessarily reflect actual transactions.

	H	ligh	Low		Divi	dend
2009						
Fourth Quarter	\$	1.40	\$	0.31	\$	0.00
Third Quarter		2.47		0.90		0.10
Second Quarter		2.25		1.19		0.10
First Quarter		1.47		0.54		0.10
2008						
Fourth Quarter	\$	2.00	\$	0.36	\$	0.10
Third Quarter		3.66		1.45		0.10
Second Quarter		9.86		3.55		0.30
First Quarter		15.10		8.08		0.68

The last reported sale price of our common stock on March 23, 2010 as reported on the over-the-counter market was \$0.72. As of March 23, 2010, there were 16 holders of record of our common stock. Cede & Co. is the holder of record for 24,674,697 shares of our common stock, and it holds such shares as nominee for The Depository Trust Company.

We generally intend to distribute each year substantially all of our taxable income (which does not necessarily equal net income as calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles) to our shareholders so as to comply with the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code.

Our dividend policy is subject to revision at the discretion of our board of directors. All distributions will be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon our taxable income, our financial condition, our maintenance of REIT status and other factors as our board of directors deems relevant. In the merger agreement, we have agreed not to pay any dividends to our stockholders.

Table of Contents

Information relating to the dividends we declared in 2008 and 2009 is as follows:

	Dividend				TD . 4 . 1	
	Amount	Declaration	Record	Payment	Total Distribution	Dividend
Fiscal Period	Share	Date	Date	Date	(in millions)	Type
First quarter 2008	\$ 0.68	03/06/2008	03/31/2008	04/29/2008	\$ 16.8	Regular
Second quarter						
2008	0.30	06/17/2008	06/30/2008	07/28/2008	7.4	Regular
Third quarter 2008	0.10	08/11/2008	09/30/2008	10/28/2008	2.5	Regular
Fourth quarter 2008	0.10	11/03/2008	12/31/2008	01/30/2009	2.5	Regular
First quarter 2009	0.10	02/25/2009	03/31/2009	04/30/2009	2.5	Regular
Second quarter						
2009	0.10	05/06/2009	06/30/2009	07/31/2009	2.5	Regular
Third quarter 2009	0.10	08/10/2009	09/30/2009	10/30/2009	2.5	Regular
Fourth quarter 2009	0.00	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	Regular

For the year ended December 31, 2009, we declared dividends of \$0.30 per share, all of which represented a return of capital. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we declared dividends of \$1.18 per share, all of which represented distributions of taxable earnings and profits. We cannot assure you that we will have sufficient cash available for future quarterly distributions in an amount consistent with historical distributions, or at all. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors."

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information about the securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2009:

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights(1)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))(2)
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	130,000	25.00	2,266,680
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders(3)			
Total	130,000	25.00	2,266,680

⁽¹⁾ There are no outstanding warrants or rights.

We did not repurchase, or purchase on behalf of any affiliated purchaser, any shares of our common stock during the year ended December 31, 2009.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

Number of

⁽²⁾Amounts exclude any securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options. Includes 450,562 shares of common stock to be issued in respect of deferred stock units and restricted stock units issued to certain of our independent directors.

We do not have any equity compensation plans that have not been approved by stockholders.

The following table sets forth selected consolidated financial data, which was derived from our historical consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the periods then ended.

Table of Contents

You should read the following information together with "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto, which begins on Page F-1 of this Form 10-K.

	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007	Year Ended December 31, 2006	March 15, 2005 (commencement of operations) to December 31, 2005
		(In thousands	except share and	per share data)	
Consolidated Operating Data:					
Revenues:					
Interest and dividend income	\$ 29,124	\$ 117,216	\$ 219,919	\$ 201,224	¢ 70.601
Rental income,	\$ 29,124	\$ 117,210	\$ 219,919	\$ 201,224	\$ 79,601
net	21,671	22,225	16,210		
Total revenues	50,795	139,441	236,129	201,224	79,601
Expenses:	20,770	209,111	200,127	201,22	75,001
Interest expense	24,443	53,543	156,797	139,601	48,425
Management and incentive fees, related	2,,				
party(1)		1,226	6,502	7,922	5,448
Professional fees	2,919	2,681	3,904	2,722	2,205
Depreciation and amortization	12,088	12,088	8,948		
Commercial real	1.500	1.541	1.011		
estate expenses Provision for loss on real	1,590	1,541	1,011		
estate loans	7,571	27,073	4,500		
Insurance					
expense	1,883	1,662	936	413	250
Other general and administrative expenses(2)	1,643	2,404	1,917	1,153	533
Total expenses	52,137	102,218	184,515	151,811	56,861
Income (loss) before other revenues (expenses)	(1,342)		51,614	49,413	22,740
Other revenues (expenses):					
Realized net gain (loss) on sale of available-for-sale securities, real estate loans and other investments	790	(5,166)	(1,698)	(2,128)	(521)
Realized and	(412)				(2,497)
unrealized gain	(412)	(70,079)	(0+,731)	10,550	(<i>L</i> , 4 71)

Edgar Filing: Crystal River Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K

	J	3	•	•		' '				
(loss) on derivatives										
Impairment of available-for-sale		(77, 047)		(142.016)		(217.021)		(10.290)		(5.792)
securities Net change in		(77,947)		(142,916)		(317,931)		(10,389)		(5,782)
value of assets and liabilities valued under fair										
value option		(4,085)		(123,708)						
Foreign currency exchange gain						4,292		580		
Income (loss)										
from equity investments				(40)		2,610				
Other		(5,284)		(1,806)		186		(889)		8
Total other		(96.029)		(244.215)		(207, 402)		(2.406)		(9.702)
expenses		(86,938)		(344,315)		(397,492)		(2,496)		(8,792)
Net income (loss)	\$	(88,280)	\$	(307,092)	\$	(345,878)	\$	46,917	\$	13,948
Per share information:										
Net income										
(loss) per share of common stock										
Basic	\$	(3.50)	\$	(12.35)	\$	(13.86)	\$	2.27	\$	0.80
	-	(0.00)	_	(-2.00)	-	(20100)	_		-	0.00
Diluted	\$	(3.50)	\$	(12.35)	\$	(13.86)	\$	2.27	\$	0.80
Dividends declared per										
share of										
common stock	\$	0.30	\$	1.18	\$	2.72	\$	2.71	\$	1.55
Weighted average shares of common stock										
outstanding Basic		25,211,260		24,866,206		24,962,708		20,646,637		17,487,500
Dasic		43,411,400		Z 1 ,000,200		4,704,708		20,040,037		17,407,500
Diluted		25,211,260		24,866,206		24,962,708		20,646,637		17,487,500
				58	3					

				As	of December	31,		
	2009		2008		2007		2006	2005
Consolidated Balance Sheet								
Data:								
Total assets	\$ 381,95	3 \$	448,301	\$	2,479,438	\$	3,774,645	\$ 2,669,769
Short-term obligations:								
Debt repurchase agreements					1,276,121		2,723,643	1,977,858
Debt repurchase								
agreements, related party							144,806	16,429
Total short-term								
obligations					1,276,121		2,868,449	1,994,287
Secured revolving credit								
facility, related party	28,92	20	32,920		67,319			
Long-term obligations:								
Debt collateralized debt								
obligations(3)	33,61	.7	45,429		486,608		194,396	227,500
Debt notes payable, related								
party								35,000
Debt junior subordinated								
notes	51,55	0	51,550		51,550			
Debt mortgages payable	219,38	80	219,380		219,380			
Debt senior								
mortgage-backed notes,								
related party					99,815			
Total long-term								
obligations	304,54	17	316,359		857,353		194,396	262,500
Stockholders' equity (deficit)	(58,12	27)	(37,409)		110,592		556,314	381,429

- (1) Includes \$0, \$(27), \$555, \$1,024 and \$627, respectively, of stock based compensation.
- (2) Includes \$176, \$367, \$584, \$324 and \$81, respectively, of stock based compensation.
- (3)
 Fair value as of December 31, 2009 and 2008; cost as of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005. Cost as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 is \$455,262 and \$467,027, respectively.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Historical results set forth are not necessarily indicative of our future financial position and results of operations.

Recent Developments

Going Concern

We held cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$3.3 million as of December 31, 2009, which excludes restricted cash of approximately \$16.5 million that is used to collateralize our credit default swap and certain other commercial real estate and financing obligations. As of December 31, 2009, we owed \$28.9 million to an affiliate of our Manager under our secured credit facility. Unless earlier terminated as provided in the credit agreement governing the terms of our secured credit facility, our credit facility terminates on August 31, 2010. If the Merger is not consummated, this facility is not extended and we cannot obtain alternative financing, we do not expect to have sufficient cash on hand or to generate sufficient cash flow from operations to repay such indebtedness. Given the current lack of liquidity in the

credit markets and the current economic climate, we expect to have difficulty obtaining alternative financing if our credit facility is not extended. If we cannot obtain alternative financing or extend our credit facility, it increases the risk that we will be unable to continue as a going concern. Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, has issued an opinion on our consolidated financial statements which states that our inability to refinance or extend the maturity of indebtedness maturing in the next 12 months raises substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Table of Contents

Our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K do not reflect any adjustments related to the recoverability of assets and satisfaction of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

Completion of the Exchange and Discharge of our Trust Preferred Securities

On January 29, 2010, we entered into the Exchange Agreement with Taberna, pursuant to which we exchanged certain of our assets described below for the outstanding \$50.0 million aggregate liquidation preference of trust preferred securities issued by Crystal River Preferred Trust I and backed by \$51.6 million aggregate principal amount of our junior subordinated notes due 2037. Immediately following the closing of the transaction contemplated by the Exchange Agreement, the junior subordinated notes were cancelled, the indenture under which the notes were issued was discharged and Crystal River Preferred Trust I was dissolved.

Pursuant to the Exchange Agreement, we transferred and assigned to Taberna two real estate loans and a CMBS bond, which collectively had an aggregate carrying value for accounting purposes on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2009 of \$6.0 million, and we also paid to Taberna a \$1.0 million exchange fee. We also paid \$0.5 million to cover all costs and expenses that were incurred by the collateral manager for Taberna, the indenture trustee and their respective advisors. For more information on this transaction, see Note 19 to our consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere herein.

Possible Acquisition of Crystal River

On February 24, 2010, we announced that we had concluded our previously-announced review of strategic alternatives and that we had entered into a definitive merger agreement with Brookfield pursuant to which Brookfield is expected to acquire all the shares of our outstanding common stock that Brookfield does not already own for cash at a price of \$0.60 per share.

The Merger was unanimously approved by the independent members of our board of directors, and by the Special Committee formed to oversee our strategic review process. The transaction is subject to approval by our stockholders holding a majority of the shares entitled to vote at a special meeting of stockholders, as well as other customary closing conditions. Consummation of the Merger is not subject to a financing condition and Brookfield has consented to the transaction in its capacity as our senior lender. The merger agreement does not prohibit us from receiving and evaluating competing bids prior to completion of the Merger and does not require the payment of a termination fee if we accept a superior bid. We will be obligated to reimburse Brookfield for all of its transaction expenses if the merger agreement is terminated other than as result of a breach by Brookfield (subject to a cap in certain circumstances). The Merger currently is expected to close in the second quarter of 2010. For more information on this transaction, see Note 19 to our consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere herein.

Amendment of Credit Agreement

Concurrently with entering into the merger agreement with Brookfield, we amended the terms of our revolving credit facility with Brookfield US Corporation, an affiliate of our Manager, pursuant to which the maturity date of the credit facility was extended to August 31, 2010, unless sooner terminated as provided in the credit agreement; *provided*, *however*, that, notwithstanding the foregoing, the term of the credit facility will expire upon the latest of (i) May 25, 2010, (ii) the effective time of the Merger and (iii) thirty (30) calendar days following the termination of the merger agreement, but in no event later than August 31, 2010. In addition, Brookfield US Corporation consented to the Merger and to the separate sale by us of certain of our assets as contemplated by the merger agreement. For more information on this transaction, see Note 19 to our consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere herein.

Binding Letter of Intent for Asset Sale

As contemplated by the merger agreement, on March 9, 2010, we entered into the Asset Sale LOI with Ranieri, pursuant to which Ranieri (either directly or through an affiliate) agreed to purchase five CMBS bonds from us. The five CMBS bonds had a carrying value of approximately \$0.9 million as of December 31, 2009. In addition, under the Asset Sale LOI, Ranieri (either directly or through an affiliate) agreed to acquire the rights to:

(i) (a) direct us to use commercially reasonable efforts to advocate for the appointment of the Specified Manager as the collateral manager for CDO1 and (b) exercise, from and after the final consummation of the CMBS Purchase, any and all of our rights, in our capacity as the sole holder of the preferred shares of CDO1, to direct the appointment of a replacement collateral manager for CDO1 (subject to any fiduciary or other legal obligations that we have); and

(ii) (a) direct us, in our capacity as the sole holder of the preferred shares of CDO2, to direct CDO2 to appoint the Specified Manager as the replacement collateral manager for CDO2, following the resignation of our Manager as the CDO2 collateral manager under the collateral management agreement for CDO2 and (b) exercise, from and after the final consummation of the CMBS Purchase, any and all of our rights, in our capacity as the sole holder of the preferred shares of CDO2, to direct the appointment of a replacement collateral manager for CDO2 (subject to any fiduciary or other legal obligations that we have).

Ranieri has agreed to pay us a total of \$8.0 million for the CMBS Purchase, the CDO1 Rights Purchase and the CDO2 Rights Purchase, which we refer to collectively as the Transaction. The following table provides information with respect to the purchase price to be paid by Ranieri (either directly or through one or more of its affiliates) and the estimated closing date for each component of the Transaction:

Component of Transaction CMBS Purchase	Purchase Price \$2,500,000 (plus accrued and unpaid interest through the closing date of this portion of the	Estimated Closing Date Closed on March 15, 2010
CDO1 Dishts Danshars	Transaction)	Third Occasion/Founds Occasion 2010 (00 Jeon - Acc
CDO1 Rights Purchase	\$3,500,000	Third Quarter/Fourth Quarter 2010 (90 days after obtaining approval of Class A Notes to appoint the
		Specified Manager)
CDO2 Rights Purchase	\$2,000,000	June/July 2010

In connection with the execution of the Asset Sale LOI, one of Ranieri's affiliates paid \$1.6 million (20% of the total purchase price) as a deposit on the Transaction. A ratable portion of the escrowed funds (based on the purchase prices specified above) will be applied to each component of the Transaction upon the closing of that component. The CMBS Purchase closed on March 15, 2010 and \$0.5 million of the deposit was applied against the \$2.5 million purchase price for the CMBS bonds. An affiliate of Brookfield is a lender to the Purchaser, and proceeds from such loan have been used to fund the deposit referenced above and the remainder of the purchase price for the CMBS bonds and an additional \$1.9 million available under the loan may be used to fund a portion of the purchase price for the CDO1 Rights Purchase and the CDO2 Rights Purchase. In addition, the Co-Investor is a co-investor with the Purchaser in the Servicer, which acts as the special servicer for several of the CMBS securitizations in which we have invested, including all of the securitizations in which the bonds that were the subject of the CMBS Purchase are a part. Brookfield and/or its affiliates have, or prior the CMBS Purchase had, the ability to designate the special servicer for the securitizations in which the bonds that were the subject of the CMBS Purchase are a part and had

Table of Contents

designated the Servicer as the special servicer for those securitizations. The Co-Investor and the Purchaser own approximately 8.5% and 32.3%, respectively, of the Servicer.

We also agreed to grant to Ranieri a right of first refusal to purchase all or any portion of our interest in two CMBS securitizations if we sell those securities in the future.

The CMBS Purchase closed on March 15, 2010. The completion of the CDO1 Rights Purchase and the CDO2 Right Purchase are subject to various customary closing conditions as well as the execution of definitive documentation. The Asset Sale LOI and the Transaction were approved by a special committee of our board of directors, which is comprised entirely of the independent members of our board of directors, which we refer to as the Special Committee. The Special Committee, with the assistance of Broadpoint. Gleacher, the Special Committee's financial advisor, solicited and received several bids on the assets that are the subject of the Transaction. The Special Committee selected the Ranieri bid based on the combination of price and other terms, in particular those providing greater certainty of closing.

Suspension of Dividends

In November 2009, our board of directors announced that it had elected to suspend the quarterly dividend to holders of shares of our common stock to preserve liquidity in consideration of the large increase in the delinquency rate on our CMBS portfolio and the resulting uncertainty regarding our operating cash flows. Based on our current forecasts, we would not be required to make any further distributions in 2009 in order to maintain our REIT status through 2009. The elimination of the common dividend for the remainder of 2009, assuming the same \$0.10 quarterly dividend per share that was paid in October 2009, equates to approximately \$2.5 million in cash flow savings each quarter. Our board of directors will continue to evaluate our dividend policy in light of our portfolio performance and relevant provisions of the Internal Revenue Code; however, we are prohibited from paying any dividends under the merger agreement and do not anticipate having adequate liquidity to pay a dividend in any event.

Overview

We are a specialty finance company formed on January 25, 2005 by BIM to invest in commercial real estate, real estate loans, real estate related securities, such as commercial and residential mortgage-backed securities, and various other asset classes. We commenced operations in March 2005. We have elected and qualified to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2005 and expect to qualify as a REIT in subsequent tax years. We manage the composition of our portfolio so that we will qualify for an exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act. We are externally managed by our Manager, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Brookfield.

During the third quarter of 2009, we observed a number of IPO filings by recently formed entities with investment strategies focused on commercial and residential real estate debt markets. As permitted by our management agreement (which contains policies to ensure that potential conflicts of interest are managed appropriately), our Manager's parent company is pursuing opportunities to sponsor new ventures and raise investment capital to be deployed in the real estate debt sector. Our Board of Directors will continue to exercise oversight of our Manager and our Manager will continue to have the same obligation to manage our portfolio as set forth in our management agreement (which contains policies to ensure that potential conflicts of interest are managed appropriately).

Given current market conditions and our lack of investable cash, currently, we are unable to take advantage of new investment opportunities and we do not believe we will be able to take advantage of new investment opportunities in the future.

Since we commenced operations in March 2005, we have invested in Agency mortgage-backed securities, non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities, commercial mortgage-backed securities,

Table of Contents

other commercial mortgage loan products, including whole loans, A Notes, B Notes, mezzanine loans, and investments in funds that invest in whole loans, A Notes, B Notes, and mezzanine loans, and purchased commercial real estate properties. A large portion of our investments in MBS hold a deeply subordinated position in the underlying trust structure. We also have taken positions in various credit default swaps relating to commercial mortgage-backed securities and residential mortgage-backed securities and have entered into interest rate swaps to hedge the basis risk of our floating rate liabilities. We have leveraged our portfolio in order to achieve attractive risk-adjusted returns. We have issued four types of liabilities:

	trust preferred securities;
	CDO liabilities;
	secured mortgage debt; and
	short-term liabilities.
approximately \$405 raised net proceeds of stock in open market commercial real estates \$272.0 million, and stockholders' deficit	a private offering of 17,400,000 shares of our common stock in March 2005 in which we raised net proceeds of .6 million. We completed our initial public offering of 7,500,000 shares of our common stock in August 2006 in which we of approximately \$158.6 million. Between August 2007 and November 2007, we purchased 299,300 shares of our common to purchases. As of December 31, 2009, our portfolio was comprised of commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS), ate loan investments, residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) and operating real estate of approximately we have issued debt with a carrying value totaling approximately \$333.5 million. The resulting GAAP-reported of \$58.1 million represents a reduction of \$622.3 million of the net amounts raised in 2005 and 2006. This reduction in can be attributed to the following general areas:
:	realized losses on disposition of assets, credit default swaps and interest rate swaps;
	dividends paid in excess of GAAP earnings;
	impairment of loans and other decreases in market values on CMBS and RMBS assets for which we have projected a decline in future cash flows; and

We earn revenues and generate cash through our investments. These revenues are, in turn, used to pay the interest and other costs of our financings (including payments due on interest rate hedges), to pay for our overhead costs and, when applicable, to pay the management fee. The net earnings after these payments, if any, are used to pay dividends to our stockholders, to further reduce our liabilities or, when we have investable capital, to re-invest into targeted assets. In order to maintain compliance with the REIT regulations, we are required to pay out at least 90% of our taxable income as dividends. Typically there are mismatches between taxable income and GAAP income. Due to realized losses that we have incurred to date and the projected decline in cash flows of some of our assets, we currently expect a portion of our portfolio to generate

decreases in market values on CMBS and RMBS assets that are solely attributable to spread widening.

Trends; Current Market Environment; Current Portfolio Considerations

substantial tax operating losses in 2010 and in future periods.

In July 2007, the commercial real estate finance sector began to show serious signs of liquidity-related distress. This was in addition to the distress that had already begun in the securitized residential products markets. Now, almost three years into the world-wide financial crisis, commercial real estate credit markets have begun to experience a second layer of stress, as adverse credit events at the underlying loan level have begun to increase, and, in the residential sector, many of the long-awaited losses from the high delinquency levels have percolated through

Table of Contents

these loans. The dual factors of diminishing liquidity and increasing incurrence of adverse credit events place a lot of stress on commercial and residential real estate credit investors. The diminished liquidity negatively impacts the market value of the respective investments whereas the adverse credit events negatively impact the prospective cash flow of the respective investments. The U.S. federal government enacted several programs with the intent of stabilizing the financing markets. These programs include the Troubled Assets Relief Program (TARP), the Term Asset-Backed Securities Loan Facility (TALF) and the Public-Private Investment Program (PPIP), among others. While we are cautiously optimistic that such governmental programs will have some positive impact on the housing markets and the lending environment, we do not believe that the overall market will stabilize until (a) home prices firm up and the impact of these lower home prices works through the various real estate financing markets, and (b) commercial lending markets become functional and the borrowers in these markets readjust their valuations and expectations to reflect a much less aggressive lending environment.

Performance for CMBS investors, including us, is highly dependent upon the credit performance of existing securitized portfolios. We expect that loans that do not have near-term maturities that were underwritten with the related secured properties having stabilized cash flow in place will tend to perform better during this difficult period. By contrast, we believe that loans made on transitional, or other value-creating, projects or that have near-term maturities will suffer the greatest. The markets will be greatly impacted by these loans with near-term maturities; causing the existing credit spread environment to last until the consequences of these near-term loan maturities are better understood. Through our CMBS portfolio and our commercial real estate loan portfolio, we have exposure to each of these loan types. Our CMBS portfolio, which is comprised solely of fixed rate conduit deals, will start to have material maturities in the underlying collateral pools in 2010; in addition, the delinquency rate for our CMBS portfolio increased dramatically during the second quarter of 2009, and continued to increase during the third and fourth quarters of 2009. As delinquencies have increased, our prospective cash flows have been materially lowered.

As discussed in Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements, "Fair Value Hierarchy", we value our investment securities using current market pricing. The spreads that have been utilized to value our assets are extremely wide from a historical perspective. These spreads are wide due to the market's view of the risks contained within these types of investments within the current market environment. These risks include the market's expectation of increasing delinquencies and losses within residential and commercial mortgage loans, and consequently, in the securitized pools that own these loans. The market's expectation is also that commercial and residential loans originated in the period from 2005 to 2007 will under-perform prior vintages. These market expectations become embodied in the modeling assumptions that are used to value securities such as the RMBS and CMBS that we own. The valuation of these assets is extremely sensitive to these assumptions. Additionally, there are many factors for which there is no relevant comparable data to use in order to help judge that particular factor's impact on future performance. For instance, many of the underlying residential and commercial mortgages originated during the 2005 to 2007 era have very low interest rate coupons. These low coupons may prove to dampen credit losses that otherwise are expected by the market, although there can be no assurance of this. As these origination vintages age, performance tiering may become evident; meaning that the 2005 vintage may exhibit stronger performance than the 2006 or 2007 vintages. In the current marketplace, there is no evidence of any meaningful tiering among these three vintages. Additionally, the governmental programs discussed above may have a positive impact on certain sub-prime borrowers and sub-prime loan pools. Conversely, consumer retail weakness, job losses and a weak economy may result in credit losses exceeding the market's expectations.

Table of Contents

We believe the following trends may also affect our business:

Uncertain interest rate environment The credit market disruption that has persisted since the summer of 2007 continues to have a dramatic effect on the economy. The distress in the financial markets has led to significant changes in Federal Reserve monetary policy, in the form of lower rates and through direct financing to primary dealers through the TALF and other programs. However, pressure from a pull-back in lending and credit provision still weighs heavily on the consumer and on the residential housing markets. Continued weakness in the residential real estate markets and in both the commercial and residential lending environment is likely to persist, and in general should result in slower U.S. economic growth. Additionally, lower rates have not resulted in dramatically increased prepayment speeds, largely given the constraints around lending, which have even impacted the U.S. government-sponsored entities, such as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

Normally, we would expect that our fixed-rate assets would decline in value in a rising interest rate environment. We have engaged in interest rate swaps to hedge a portion of the risk associated with increases in interest rates. However, because we do not always hedge 100% of our outstanding financing, increases in interest rates could result in a decline in the value of our portfolio, net of hedges. Similarly, decreases in interest rates could result in an increase in the value of our portfolio. Given the substantial dislocation in asset prices, however, these traditional relationships between levels of interest rates and yield spread or price changes, may not exist. In addition, interest rates remain, and are likely to remain for the foreseeable future, at consistent and low levels.

Prepayment rates Typically, as interest rates fall, prepayment rates on residential mortgages rise. However, given the global credit crisis, this cycle could be different as the decline in housing market activity and home price depreciation could keep prepayment rates low as refinancing becomes less accessible. As noted above, lower rates have not dramatically increased prepayment speeds during the current recession, as more constraints have been placed on lending. Prepayment rates also may be affected by other factors, including, without limitation, conditions in the housing and financial markets, general economic conditions and the relative interest rates on mortgage loans.

Liquidity Managing liquidity has become a priority for our company. Whereas most of our assets, such as the commercial real estate properties and the assets held by our CDOs, have been financed with long-term liabilities, some of our assets are financed on a short-term basis while they are in transition toward longer-term financing solutions. In the current marketplace, however, that long-term financing is not available. These short-term financings are collateralized borrowings under our revolving credit facility, which will mature on August 31, 2010 or earlier in accordance with its terms. As asset values decline, margin calls from our financing counterparties place demands on our cash and other liquidity sources. This can force us to sell assets and de-leverage the balance sheet, which could negatively impact earnings and negatively impact our ability to make distributions to our stockholders. As of December 31, 2009, we had reduced our exposure to margin calls to \$0.4 million on our credit default swaps, and we had unencumbered assets at such date that provided us with \$2.5 million of additional borrowing capacity under our secured financing facility.

Weakness of mortgage market The sub-prime market has been severely affected by changes in the lending landscape; for now and for the foreseeable future, access to mortgages for sub-prime borrowers has been substantially limited. This limitation on financing is expected to have an impact on all mortgage loans that are resetting and is expected to result in increased default rates. The severity of the liquidity limitation was largely unanticipated by the markets. The liquidity issues also affect prime and Alt-A Non-Agency lending, with the origination of many product types being completely curtailed and lenders requiring high loan-to-value ratios when providing refinancing to borrowers. At the margin, this has an impact on new demand for homes, which will compress the home ownership rates and weigh heavily on future home price performance. There is a strong correlation between home price growth rates and mortgage loan delinquencies. This comes in addition to the delinquency pressures in

Table of Contents

the sub-prime market resulting from weaker underwriting, which put many sub-prime lenders out of business in 2006 and 2007. The market deterioration has caused us to expect increased losses related to our holdings over time, and in the immediate period, has resulted in a significant decline in the market values of our assets as well as a significant decrease in anticipated cash flows from our investments. We continually monitor and adjust our cash flow assumptions in light of current and projected market conditions, and we believe that results in an accurate representation of value on our balance sheet.

We believe that, at this time, the underlying losses in the sub-prime market have not fully manifested themselves in the securitizations, including those in which we own MBS. Accordingly, there are a number of factors that can help to mitigate issues before they fully impact the market. The government does have a number of programs that it can utilize to help the conditions in the residential mortgage industry. Currently, the lending limits for Federal National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and Government National Mortgage Association have been raised. As well, recent legislation has expanded and modernized the FHA programs to make financing available at affordable terms to delinquent borrowers. At the margin, programs that will have the effect of reducing foreclosure inventory will be helpful to the resolution of home price declines. In addition, given the current interest rate environment, the U.S. government has little room to further decrease the Fed Funds rate.

Other markets, such as the Alt-A and Option ARM market have also come under more significant delinquency and pricing pressure beginning in the first quarter of 2008. As a result of large forced sales and rising delinquency rates on these programs, price declines have been significant even for AAA-rated securities. These price dislocations have also been felt in the prime markets on both fixed-rate and hybrid arm Non-Agency securities, across most vintages. Performance remains stronger for loans underwritten prior to 2005, and for fixed rate loans; however, the magnitude of the price declines currently exceeds the expectations of loss for these sectors.

Structured finance transactions The dislocations in the sub-prime market have rippled throughout the structured finance markets. Yield spreads on CMBS and prime Non-Agency RMBS have widened dramatically since the beginning of 2007, resulting in significant negative market value adjustments to our assets. The issuance of CDOs, which had been a key financing tool for us and for our peers, has come to a halt, cutting off an attractive financing alternative for the foreseeable future.

For a discussion of additional risks relating to our business see the risk factors disclosed under Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors".

Our Business Model

Our interest, dividend and rental income net of interest expense, which we refer to as our net investment income, is generated primarily from the net spread, or difference, between the interest, dividend and rental income we earn on our investment portfolio and the cost of our borrowings and hedging activities. Our net investment income will vary based upon, among other things, the difference between the interest rates earned on our various interest-earning assets, the borrowing costs of the liabilities used to finance those investments and the credit performance of the assets.

Certain of our investments, including RMBS and subordinate CMBS, currently generate substantial mismatches between taxable income and available cash. In order to meet the requirement to distribute a substantial portion of our net taxable income, we may need to borrow, sell assets or raise additional equity capital, to the extent possible, although our current financial condition may preclude us from obtaining new borrowing or raising additional equity capital.

Our Manager is entitled to receive a base management fee that is based on the amount of our equity (as defined in the management agreement), regardless of the performance of our portfolio. Accordingly, the payment of our base management fee is not primarily dependent on our profitability

Table of Contents

(except to the extent that our equity is affected) and may provide us with an incentive to incur losses. We and our Manager agreed that during 2008, we would pay our base management fee to our Manager in shares of our common stock valued at the weighted average closing price during the last five trading days of the applicable fiscal quarter. Additionally, our Manager is entitled to receive an incentive management fee that is dependent upon the amount of our GAAP earnings. As a result of our having negative equity (as defined in the management agreement), we did not pay a management fee to our Manager for the three months ended December 31, 2008 or for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Generally speaking, compression of credit spreads can increase the unrealized gains on our current investments and reduce our net investment income, while widening credit spreads reduce the realized and unrealized gains on our current investments (or cause realized and unrealized losses).

Since 2007, credit spreads have widened significantly. The widening of credit spreads and increasing interest rates caused a significant increase in the net unrealized losses on our available-for-sale securities. Net unrealized losses initially are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss. We periodically evaluate our portfolio of available-for-sale securities for impairment and reclassify such unrealized losses to impairments on available-for-sale securities in our statement of operations when appropriate, which since the second half of 2007 has caused our book value per share to decrease. One of the key drivers for the widening of credit spreads has been the recent disruption in the securitized products sectors.

In addition to widening credit spreads, the disruption in the securitized products markets over the past three years has adversely affected the market in which we operate in a number of other ways. For example, the market disruption has reduced the trading activity for many securities, resulting in less liquid markets for those securities. As the securities we hold are marked to market at the end of each quarter, the decreased liquidity and concern over market conditions have resulted in significantly lower valuations of substantially all of our CMBS, RMBS and preferred equity investments since mid-2007. These lower valuations have affected us by, among other things, decreasing our net book value and in contributing to our decision to record other than temporary non-cash impairments (discussed below in " Critical Accounting Policies Valuation of Financial Instruments"). In addition, Standard & Poor's, Moody's and Fitch recently have issued a series of credit rating downgrades on various real estate securities. Through March 16, 2010, one of the sub-prime RMBS that we owned at December 31, 2009, which had a carrying value of \$0.0 million at December 31, 2009, which had an aggregate carrying value of \$0.1 million at December 31, 2009, had been downgraded below their lowest rating at December 31, 2009, which had an aggregate carrying value of \$1.1 million at December 31, 2009, had been downgraded below their lowest rating at December 31, 2009.

We do not currently know the full extent to which this market disruption will affect us or the markets in which we operate. If the disruption continues, we may experience continued tightening of liquidity, additional impairment charges and further challenges in raising additional capital and obtaining investment financing on attractive terms. Future market developments may continue to have a materially negative impact on our business or results of operations. As discussed below under "Liquidity and Capital Resources", if the Merger is not consummated and we are unable to successfully restructure our borrowing arrangements and we do not receive additional funding, our ability to continue as a going concern will be in doubt.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or U.S. GAAP. These accounting principles require us to make some complex and subjective decisions and assessments. These include the fair market value of certain investments, debt

Table of Contents

obligations and derivative assets and liabilities, the amount and timing of credit losses, prepayment assumptions and other items that affect the reported amounts of certain assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of certain revenues and expenses during the reported period. It is likely that changes in these estimates (*e.g.*, market values change due to changes in supply and demand, credit performance, prepayments, interest rates or other reasons; yields change due to changes in credit outlook and loan prepayments) will occur in the near future. Our most critical accounting policies involve decisions and assessments that could affect our reported assets and liabilities as well as our reported revenues and expenses. We believe that all of the decisions and assessments upon which our financial statements are based were reasonable at the time made based upon information available to us at that time. We rely on the experience of Brookfield's, BIM's and our management, along with their analysis of historical and current market data, in order to arrive at what we believe to be reasonable estimates. See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere herein for a complete discussion of our accounting policies. Other than the adoption of FASB ASC 320-10-65-1 (previously FSP FAS 115-2), as defined below, there have been no material changes to our accounting policies in 2009. Our estimates are inherently subjective in nature and actual results could differ from our estimates and differences may be material. We have identified our most critical accounting estimates to be the following:

Going Concern

A fundamental principle of the preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP is the assumption that an entity will continue in existence as a going concern, which contemplates continuity of operations and the realization of assets and settlement of liabilities occurring in the ordinary course of business. This principle is applicable to all entities except for entities in liquidation or entities for which liquidation appears imminent. In accordance with this requirement, our policy is to prepare our consolidated financial statements on a going concern basis unless we intend to liquidate or have no other alternative but to liquidate. As of December 31, 2009, we owed \$28.9 million to an affiliate of our Manager under our secured credit facility. Unless earlier terminated as provided in the credit agreement, our credit facility terminates on August 31, 2010. As a result of our possible inability to extend, refinance or repay this indebtedness, there is substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. While we have prepared our consolidated financial statements on a going concern basis, if the Merger is not consummated and we are unable to successfully restructure our borrowing arrangements or we do not receive additional funding, our ability to continue as a going concern will be in doubt. Therefore, we may not be able to realize our assets and settle our liabilities in the ordinary course of business. Our consolidated financial statements included in this report do not reflect any adjustments related to the recoverability of assets and satisfaction of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

Investment Consolidation

For each investment we make, we evaluate the underlying entity that issued the securities we acquired or to which we made a loan in order to determine the appropriate accounting. We refer to guidance in FASB ASC 860-10-50-3 (previously SFAS 140) and FASB ASC 810-10-05-8 (previously FIN 46R) in performing our analysis. FASB ASC 810-10-05-8 addresses the application of FASB ASC 810-10-15-10 (previously ARB 51), to certain entities in which voting rights are not effective in identifying an investor with a controlling financial interest. An entity is subject to consolidation under FASB ASC 810-10-05-8 if the investors either do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, are unable to direct the entity's activities, or are not exposed to the entity's losses or entitled to its residual returns ("variable interest entities" or "VIEs"). Variable interest entities within the scope of FASB ASC 810-10-05-8 are required to be consolidated by their primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary of a VIE is determined to be

Table of Contents

the party that absorbs a majority of the VIE's expected losses, receives the majority of the VIE's expected returns, or both.

Our ownership of the subordinated classes of CMBS and RMBS from a single issuer may provide us with the right to appoint the special servicer for the applicable trust (that special servicer will control the foreclosure/workout process on the underlying loans), which we refer to as the Controlling Class CMBS and RMBS. Currently, there are certain exceptions to the scope of FASB ASC 810-10-50-18, one of which provides that an investor that holds a variable interest in a qualifying special-purpose entity ("QSPE") is not required to consolidate that entity unless the investor has the unilateral ability to cause the entity to liquidate. FASB ASC 860-10-50-3 (previously SFAS 140) sets forth the requirements for an entity to qualify as a QSPE. To maintain the QSPE exception, the special-purpose entity must initially meet the QSPE criteria and must continue to satisfy such criteria in subsequent periods. A special-purpose entity's QSPE status can be impacted in future periods by activities undertaken by its transferor(s) or other involved parties, including the manner in which certain servicing activities are performed. To the extent that our CMBS or RMBS investments were issued by a special-purpose entity that meets the QSPE requirements, we record those investments at the purchase price paid. To the extent the underlying special-purpose entities do not satisfy the QSPE requirements, we follow the guidance set forth in FASB ASC 810-10-05-8 as the special-purpose entities would be determined to be VIEs.

We have analyzed the pooling and servicing agreements governing each of our Controlling Class CMBS and RMBS investments and we believe that the terms of those agreements are industry standard and are consistent with the QSPE criteria. We also have evaluated each of our Controlling Class CMBS and RMBS investments for which we own a greater than 50% interest in the subordinated class as if the special-purpose entities that issued such securities are not QSPEs. Using the fair value approach to calculate expected losses or residual returns, we have concluded that we would not be the primary beneficiary of any of the underlying special-purpose entities. Our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our investment in these special-purpose entities totaled \$3.8 million as of December 31, 2009.

In June 2009, the FASB issued FASB ASC 810-10-65-2 (previously SFAS 167), which amends the consolidation guidance applicable to VIEs. We currently are evaluating the impact that this new guidance will have on our investment portfolio. See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a further discussion of the impact of FASB ASC 810-10-65-2 on our consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

The most significant source of our revenue comes from interest income on our securities and loan investments. Interest income on loans and securities investments is recognized over the life of the investment using the effective interest method. Mortgage loans generally will be originated or purchased at or near par value and interest income will be recognized based on the contractual terms of the debt instrument. Any loan fees or acquisition costs on originated loans will be deferred and recognized over the term of the loan as an adjustment to the yield. Interest income on mortgage-backed securities, which we refer to as MBS, is recognized on the effective interest method as required by FASB ASC 325-40-65-1 (previously FSP EITF 99-20-1). Under FASB ASC 325-40-65-1, management estimates, at the time of purchase, the future expected cash flows and determines the effective interest rate based on these estimated cash flows and our purchase prices. Subsequent to the purchase and on a quarterly basis, these estimated cash flows are updated and a revised yield is calculated based on the current amortized cost of the investment. In estimating these cash flows, there are a number of assumptions that are subject to uncertainties and contingencies. These include the rate and timing of principal payments (including prepayments, repurchases, defaults and liquidations), the pass through or coupon rate and interest rate fluctuations. In addition, interest payment shortfalls have to be estimated due to delinquencies on the underlying mortgage loans and the timing and magnitude of credit losses

Table of Contents

on the mortgage loans underlying the securities. These uncertainties and contingencies are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may affect management's estimates and our interest income. When current period cash flow estimates are lower than the previous period and fair value is less than an asset's carrying value, we will write down the asset to fair market value and record an impairment charge in current period earnings.

Through its extensive experience in investing in MBS, BIM has developed models based on historical data in order to estimate the lifetime prepayment speeds and lifetime credit losses for pools of mortgage loans. The models are based primarily on loan characteristics, such as loan-to-value ratios ("LTV"), borrower credit scores, loan type, loan rate, property type, etc., and also include other qualitative factors such as the loan originator and servicer. Once the models have been used to project the base case prepayment speeds and to project the base case cumulative loss, those outputs are used to create yield estimates and to project cash flows.

Because mortgage assets amortize over long periods of time (*i.e.*, 25 to 30 years in the case of RMBS assets or 10 years in the case of CMBS assets), the expected lifetime prepayment experience and the expected lifetime credit losses projected by the models are subject to modification in light of actual experience assessed from time to time. For each of the purchased mortgage pools, our Manager tracks the actual monthly prepayment experience and the monthly loss experience, if any. To the extent that the actual performance trend over a 6-12 month period of time does not reasonably approximate the expected lifetime trend, in consideration of the seasoning of the asset, our Manager may make adjustments to the assumptions and revise yield estimates and projected cash flows.

The following hypothetical example reflects the impact of a change in the historical prepayment experience:

Assumptions: Price = 101.75% of par Current Face = \$1,000,000 Investment at cost = \$1,017,500

Constant Prepayment Rate	Yield	Annual Income	Percent Difference
6%	5.54%	\$ 57,129.30	14%
15%	5.09%	\$ 52,481.09	5%
20%	4.85%	\$ 49,978.37	0%
40%	3.97%	\$ 40,754.16	(18)%
60%	3.06%	\$ 31.394.35	(37)%

We have retained substantially all of the risks and benefits of ownership of our rental properties and therefore we account for leases with our tenants as operating leases. The total amount of contractual rent that we receive from operating leases is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease; and a straight-line or free rent receivable, as applicable, is recorded for the difference between the rental revenue recorded and the contractual amount received. In addition to base rent, the tenants in our commercial real estate properties also pay substantially all operating costs.

Expense reimbursement income arising from tenant leases which provide for the recovery of all or a portion of the operating expenses and real estate expenses of the respective property is accrued in the same period as the related expenses are incurred. These recoverable expenses are included in expenses as commercial real estate expenses.

Income arising from the operation of parking garages is recognized when the parking spaces are occupied. Expenses related to the operation of parking garages are included in expenses as commercial real estate expenses.

Table of Contents

Loan Loss Provisions

We have purchased and originated mezzanine loans and commercial mortgage loans to be held as long-term investments. We evaluate each of these loans for possible impairment on a quarterly basis. Impairment occurs when it is deemed probable that we will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan. In our evaluation of these loans for possible impairment, we develop significant assumptions which include, but are not limited to, the value of the real estate of partnership or membership interests that secure the mortgage loans, the expected sale period for such real estate, the appropriate discount rate, the fair value of any collateral being used to secure any guarantees from the borrower and the estimated costs incurred to dispose of such guarantee collateral. Upon a determination of impairment, we will establish a reserve for loan losses and a corresponding charge to earnings through the provision for loan losses.

Valuation of Financial Instruments

We measure financial instruments, derivatives and our collateralized debt obligations at fair value. We account for real estate loans held for sale at the lower of their carrying amount or fair value less estimated cost to sell. Realized and unrealized gains or losses from our investment securities and collateralized debt obligations within our CDO entities for which we elected the fair value option are recorded in our statements of operations. Unrealized gains or losses from our investment securities for which we did not elect the fair value option are recorded through other comprehensive income or loss. Impairments on our available-for-sale securities are recorded in our consolidated statements of operations. Changes in the carrying amount or fair value of our real estate loans held for sale are recorded in our consolidated statements of operations.

We adopted FASB ASC 820-10-50-8 (previously SFAS 157), in the first quarter of 2008. FASB ASC 820-10-50-8 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, establishes a fair value hierarchy based on the inputs used to measure fair value and enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. Additionally and also in the first quarter of 2008, we adopted FASB ASC 825-10-45-1 (previously SFAS 159), and applied this option to the investment securities and collateralized debt obligations within our CDO legal entities.

FASB ASC 820-10-05-1 defines "fair value" as the price that would be received on the sale of an asset or that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date, or an exit price. The degree of judgment utilized in measuring the fair value of financial instruments generally correlates to the level of pricing observability. Financial instruments with readily available active quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices in active markets generally have more pricing observability and require less judgment to be utilized in measuring fair value. Conversely, financial instruments rarely traded or not quoted have less observability and are measured at fair value using valuation models that require more judgment. Pricing observability is impacted by a number of factors, including the type of financial instrument, whether the financial instrument is new to the market and not yet established, the characteristics specific to the transaction and overall market conditions generally.

The overall valuation process for financial instruments may include adjustments to valuations derived from pricing models. These adjustments may be made when, in management's judgment, either the size of the position in the financial instrument or other features of the financial instrument such as its complexity, or the market in which the financial instrument is traded (such as counterparty, credit, concentration or liquidity), require that an adjustment be made to the value derived from the pricing models. An adjustment may be made if a trade of a financial instrument is subject to sales restrictions that would result in a price less than the computed fair value measurement from a quoted market price. Additionally, an adjustment from the price derived from a model typically reflects management's

Table of Contents

judgment that other participants in the market for the financial instrument being measured at fair value would also consider such an adjustment in pricing that same financial instrument.

We have categorized our financial instruments measured at fair value into a three-level classification in accordance with FASB ASC 820-10-50-2. Fair value measurements of financial instruments that use quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities generally are categorized as Level 1, and fair value measurements of financial instruments that have no direct observable levels generally are categorized as Level 3. The lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of a financial instrument is used to categorize the instrument and reflects the judgment of management. Financial assets and liabilities presented at fair value in our Balance Sheet generally are categorized as follows:

Level 1 Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

The types of assets and liabilities carried at Level 1 fair value generally are G-7 government and agency securities, equities listed in active markets, investments in publicly traded mutual funds or closed-end funds with quoted market prices and listed derivatives.

Level 2 Inputs (other than quoted prices included in Level 1) are either directly or indirectly observable for the asset or liability through correlation with market data at the measurement date and for the duration of the instrument's anticipated life.

Fair valued assets and liabilities that generally are included in this category are non-G-7 government securities, municipal bonds, certain hybrid financial instruments, certain mortgage and asset backed securities, certain corporate debt, certain commitments and guarantees, certain private equity investments and certain derivatives for which observable market inputs can be obtained.

Level 3 Inputs that are not observable through correlation with market data (non-binding quotes from dealers in securities and independent pricing services without observable inputs) and inputs that reflect management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. Consideration is given to the risk inherent in the valuation technique and the risk inherent in the inputs to the model.

Generally, assets and liabilities carried at fair value and included in this category are certain mortgage and asset-backed securities, certain corporate debt (excluding CDO liabilities), certain private equity investments, certain commitments and guarantees and certain derivatives.

Fair value of our CDO liabilities is determined based on relevant characteristics of the structure, which include:

the respective credit rating and subordination of each tranche within the CDO;

the cross-collateralization of the individual assets owned by the CDO; and

the nature of any cash flow triggers that could divert cash flows to senior tranches within the structure.

Generally, a secondary market participant would analyze the collateral supporting CDO liabilities as a pool of assets, and adjust its valuation to incorporate the credit enhancement attributes of the senior liabilities when determining their fair value. These loss adjusted cash flows and resulting yields are related to (but not entirely dependent upon) the yields and prices of the assets owned by the CDO trust.

Table of Contents

Financial assets and liabilities presented at fair value and categorized as Level 3 generally are financial instruments that are valued based on quotes from dealers and prices obtained from independent pricing services that do not have observable inputs with market data. For financial instruments that are priced using broker quotes, we generally obtain only one quote. The criteria we use to determine whether an illiquid market exists include a lack of binding broker quotes, significant spread widening between bid prices and ask prices and lack of observable trades for comparable assets. In addition, Level 3 also includes financial instruments that are marked to model using relevant empirical data to extrapolate an estimated fair value. The models' inputs reflect assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the instrument in a current period transaction and outcomes from the models represent an exit price and expected future cash flows. Our valuation models are calibrated to the market on a frequent basis. The parameters and inputs are adjusted for assumptions about risk and current market conditions. Changes to inputs in valuation models are not changes to valuation methodologies; rather, the inputs are modified to reflect direct or indirect impacts on asset classes from changes in market conditions. Accordingly, results from valuation models in one period may not be indicative of future period measurements. Valuations are independently reviewed by employees of our Manager and, where applicable, valuations are back tested comparing instruments sold to where they were marked. Different judgments and assumptions used in pricing could result in different estimates of value.

In accordance with FASB ASC 820-10-50-1, valuation techniques used for assets and liabilities accounted for at fair value are generally categorized into three types:

Market Approach Market approach valuation techniques use prices and other relevant information from market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities. Valuation techniques consistent with the market approach include comparables and matrix pricing. Comparables use market multiples, which might lie in ranges with a different multiple for each comparable. The selection of where within the range the appropriate multiple falls requires judgment, considering both quantitative and qualitative factors specific to the measurement. Matrix pricing is a mathematical technique used principally to value certain securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but comparing the securities to benchmark or comparable securities.

Income Approach Income approach valuation techniques convert future amounts, such as cash flows or earnings, to a single present amount, or a discounted amount. These techniques rely on current market expectations of future amounts.

Cost Approach Cost approach valuation techniques are based upon the amount that, at present, would be required to replace the service capacity of an asset, or the current replacement cost. That is, from the perspective of a market participant (seller), the price that would be received for the asset is determined based on the cost to a market participant (buyer) to acquire or construct a substitute asset of comparable utility.

The three approaches described within FASB ASC 820-10-50-1 are consistent with generally accepted valuation methodologies. While all three approaches are not applicable to all assets or liabilities accounted for at fair value, where appropriate and possible, one or more valuation techniques may be used. The selection of the valuation method(s) to apply considers the definition of an exit price and the nature of the asset or liability being valued and significant expertise and judgment is required. For assets and liabilities accounted for at fair value, valuation techniques generally are a combination of the market and income approaches. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the application of valuation techniques applied to similar assets and liabilities has been consistent.

Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2009, our assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis reflected as Level 3 decreased largely as a result of principal repayments and write-offs on CMBS and Non-Agency RMBS and declines in the projected cash flow on our CMBS and Non-Agency RMBS. The significant amount of our investment securities reflected as Level 3 is a result of the reduction of liquidity in the capital markets that resulted in a decrease in the observability of the significant inputs used in determining fair value. Management determined the classification level of each security based upon a review of the pricing source used (non-binding broker quotes, pricing services or fair value determinations by management).

During the year ended December 31, 2009, our liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis reflected as Level 3 decreased by \$34.2 million, as a result of the derivative liabilities that we closed out during the year and the principal repayments on our CDO obligations. In addition, the decrease in valuation of our derivatives liabilities and our CDO obligations, which are based on non-binding broker quotes, contributed to the decrease. The significant amount of our collateralized debt obligations reflected as Level 3 is a result of the reduction of liquidity in the capital markets that resulted in a decrease in the observability of the significant inputs used in determining fair value.

The following table summarizes the sources from which fair value was determined on our assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis that were classified as Level 3 as of December 31, 2009.

	 stment urities	%	Derivative Liabilities	%	Collateralized Debt Obligations	%
			(\$ in mi	llions)		
Non-binding quote(s) from dealers in						
securities	\$ 8.7	20.3%	\$ 34.9	100%	\$ 33.6	100%
Independent pricing service	31.4	73.4%		0%		0%
Fair Value determinations						
by management	2.7	6.3%		0%		0%
Total	\$ 42.8	100.0%	\$ 34.9	100%	\$ 33.6	100%

Other-than Temporary Impairments

When the fair value of an available-for-sale security is less than its amortized cost for an extended period, we consider whether there is an other-than-temporary impairment in the value of the security in accordance with FASB ASC 320-10-50-8A (previously FSP FAS 115-2, EITF 99-20 and FSP EITF 99-20-1). An impairment that is an "other-than-temporary impairment" is a decline in the fair value of an investment below its amortized cost attributable to factors that indicate that the decline will not be recovered. Under the guidance of FASB ASC 320-10-50-8A, should an other-than-temporary impairment be deemed to have occurred, the total other-than-temporary impairment is bifurcated into (i) the amount related to credit losses, and (ii) the amount related to all other factors. The portion of the other-than-temporary impairment related to credit losses is calculated by comparing the amortized cost of the security to the present value of cash flows expected to be collected, discounted at the security's current yield, and is recognized through earnings on the consolidated statement of operations. The portion of the other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors is recognized as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) on the consolidated balance sheet. Other-than-temporary impairments result in reducing the security's carrying value to its fair value, which creates a new carrying value for the investment. We compute a revised yield based on the future estimated cash flows as described in " Revenue Recognition" above. Significant judgments are required in determining impairments, which include making assumptions regarding the estimated prepayments, loss assumptions and the changes in interest rates that are based on current market conditions.

Table of Contents

Quarterly, we reevaluate our securities portfolio to determine if there has been an other-than-temporary impairment based upon expected future cash flows. As a result of this evaluation, under the guidance of FASB ASC 320-10-50-8A, we believe that there has been an adverse change in expected cash flows for the securities in our portfolio and, therefore, recognized an aggregate other-than-temporary impairment of \$69.8 million related to credit losses, as defined under FASB ASC 320-10-50-8A, and has been recorded through earnings. An additional impairment of \$8.1 million related to other factors also has been recorded through earnings based on our assessment that it is more likely than not that these securities will be required to be sold before we recover their amortized cost basis.

Net Changes in Assets and Liabilities under Fair Value Option

In February 2007, the FASB issued FASB ASC 825-10-45-1 (previously SFAS 159). FASB ASC 825 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. FASB ASC 825-10-50-28 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. FASB ASC 825-10-50-28 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal periods beginning after November 15, 2007. FASB ASC 825-10-50-28 was effective for us beginning January 1, 2008, and had the following effect at adoption (dollars in thousands):

	of Dec	rical cost as cember 31, 2007	a	air value t date of idoption	ımulative effect Ljustment
CDOs	\$	486,608	\$	299,034	\$ 187,574
Deferred financing costs on CDOs, net of accumulated amortization		8,152			(8,152)
Net unrealized holding gains on available-for-sale securities					
within our CDO entities reclassified to accumulated deficit					1,670
Total cumulative effect adjustment					\$ 181,092

In addition, we had \$290.9 million of notional interest rate positions relating to our CDOs that no longer qualify for hedge accounting at the date of adoption. Starting in 2008, changes in fair value and net cash settlements are recorded in our statement of operations.

Commercial Real Estate

Commercial properties held for investment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. In accordance with FASB ASC 805-10-05-2 (previously SFAS 141(R)) upon acquisition, we allocate the purchase price to the components of the commercial properties acquired: the amount allocated to land is based on its estimated fair value; buildings and existing tenant improvements are recorded at depreciated replacement cost; above- and below-market in-place operating leases are determined based on the present value of the difference between the rents payable under the contractual terms of the leases and estimated market rents; lease origination costs for in-place operating leases are determined based on the estimated costs that would be incurred to put the existing leases in place under the same terms and conditions; and tenant relationships are measured based on the present value of the estimated avoided net costs if a tenant were to renew its lease at expiry, discounted by the probability of such renewal. Based on these estimates, we allocate the initial purchase price to the applicable assets and liabilities. As final information regarding fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed is

Table of Contents

received and estimates are refined, appropriate adjustments are made to the purchase price allocation. The allocations are finalized within twelve months of the acquisition date.

Depreciation on buildings is provided on a straight-line basis over the useful lives of the properties to a maximum of 40 years. Depreciation is determined with reference to each rental property's carried value, remaining estimated useful life and residual value. Acquired tenant improvements, above- and below-market in-place operating leases and lease origination costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the remaining terms of the leases. The value associated with acquired tenant relationships is amortized on a straight-line basis over the expected term of the relationships. All other tenant improvements and re-leasing costs are deferred and amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the leases to which they relate. Depreciation on buildings and amortization of deferred leasing costs and tenant improvements that are determined to be assets of the company are recorded in depreciation and amortization expense. All above- and below-market tenant leases and tenant relationships are amortized to revenue. Above- and below-market ground leases are amortized to commercial real estate expenses.

Properties are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. For commercial properties, an impairment loss is recognized when a property's carrying value exceeds its undiscounted future net cash flow. The impairment is measured as the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value. Projections of future cash flow take into account the specific business plan for each property and management's best estimate of the most probable set of economic conditions anticipated to prevail in the market.

We assess fair value based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount and capitalization rates and available market information. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors including the historical operating results, known trends, and market/economic conditions that may affect the property. Application of these assumptions requires the exercise of judgment as to future uncertainties and, as a result, actual results could differ from these estimates.

Accounting For Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities

Our policies permit us to enter into derivative contracts, including interest rate swaps, currency swaps, interest rate caps and interest rate swap forwards, as a means of mitigating our interest rate risk on forecasted interest expense associated with the benchmark rate on forecasted rollover/reissuance of repurchase agreements, or hedged items, for a specified future time period. We currently are using interest rate derivative instruments to mitigate interest rate risk rather than to enhance returns.

In the normal course of business, we use a variety of derivative instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risk. We require that hedging derivative instruments be effective in reducing the interest rate risk exposure that they are designated to hedge. This effectiveness is essential for qualifying for hedge accounting. Some derivative instruments are associated with an anticipated transaction. In those cases, hedge effectiveness criteria also require that it be probable that the underlying transaction occurs. Instruments that meet these hedging criteria are formally designated as hedges at the inception of the derivative contract.

To determine the fair value of derivative instruments, we use a variety of methods and assumptions that are based on market conditions and risks existing at each balance sheet date. For the majority of financial instruments including most derivatives, long-term investments and long-term debt, standard market conventions and techniques such as discounted cash flow analysis, option-pricing models, replacement cost, counterparty default risk and termination cost are used to determine fair value. All methods of assessing fair value result in a general approximation of value, and such value may never actually be realized.

Table of Contents

In the normal course of business, we are exposed to the effect of interest rate changes and limit these risks by following established risk management policies and procedures including the use of derivatives. To address exposure to interest rates, we use derivatives primarily to hedge the mark-to-market risk of our liabilities with respect to certain of our assets.

FASB ASC 815-10-05-4 (previously SFAS 133), requires us to recognize all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. Derivatives that are not hedges must be adjusted to fair value through income. If a derivative is a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative will either be offset against the change in fair value of the hedged asset, liability, or firm commitment through earnings, or recognized in other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value will be immediately recognized in earnings. FASB ASC 815-10-50-4A may increase or decrease reported net income and stockholders' equity prospectively, depending on future changes in fair value.

At December 31, 2009, we were a party to two interest rate swaps with a notional par value of approximately \$28.0 million; the fair value of our net liability relating to interest rate swaps was approximately \$25.3 million, which is included in our derivative liabilities, and we had accrued interest payable of approximately \$0.4 million on our interest rate swaps at such date. We entered into these interest rate swaps to seek to mitigate our interest rate risk for the specified future time period, which is defined as the term of the swap contracts. These two interest rate swaps were entered into by our two CDOs and accordingly, our counterparties may not request additional margin collateral from us with respect to these contracts.

As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, we had one and two credit default swaps ("CDS") with notional par values (our maximum exposure to loss) of \$10.0 million and \$20.0 million that are reflected on our balance sheet as a derivative liability at their fair value of approximately \$9.6 million and \$19.1 million, respectively. The fair value of the CDS depends on a number of factors, primarily premium levels, which are dependent on interest rate spreads. The CDS contracts are valued either by an independent third party pricing service or by using internally developed and tested market-standard pricing models that calculate the net present value of differences between future premiums on currently quoted market CDS and the contractual future premiums on our CDS contracts.

We account for derivative and hedging activities in accordance with FASB ASC 815-10-05-4. FASB ASC 815-10-05-4 requires recognizing all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities in the balance sheet at their fair value. The accounting for changes in fair value (*i.e.*, gains or losses) of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship and further, on the type of hedging relationship. For those derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedging instruments, we must designate the hedging instrument, based upon the exposure being hedged, as a fair value hedge, cash flow hedge or a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation. We have no fair value hedges or hedges of a net investment in foreign operations.

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge (*i.e.*, hedging the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows that is attributable to a particular risk), the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative instrument is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same line item associated with the forecasted transaction in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings (for example, in "interest expense" when the hedged transactions are interest cash flows associated with floating-rate debt). The remaining gain (loss) on the derivative instrument in excess of the cumulative changes in the present value of future cash flows of the hedged item, if any, is recognized in the realized and unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives in current earnings during the period of change. For derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments, the gain or loss is recognized in realized and unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives in the current earnings during the

Table of Contents

period of change. Income and/or expense from interest rate swaps are recognized as a net adjustment to realized and unrealized gain (loss) on derivative. We account for income and expense from interest rate swaps on an accrual basis over the period to which the payment and/or receipt relates.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make a significant number of estimates in the preparation of the financial statements. These estimates include determining the fair market value of certain investments, debt obligations and derivative assets and liabilities, amount and timing of credit losses, prepayment assumptions, allocation of purchase price to tangible and intangible assets on property acquisitions, and other items that affect the reported amounts of certain assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of certain revenues and expenses during the reported period. It is likely that changes in these estimates (*e.g.*, market values change due to changes in supply and demand, credit performance, prepayments, interest rates or other reasons; yields change due to changes in credit outlook and loan prepayments) will occur in the near future. Our estimates are inherently subjective in nature and actual results could differ from our estimates and differences may be material.

Income Taxes

We operate in a manner that we believe will allow us to be taxed as a REIT and, as a result, we do not expect to pay substantial corporate-level income taxes. Many of the requirements for REIT qualification, however, are highly technical and complex. If we were to fail to meet these requirements and do not qualify for certain statutory relief provisions, we would be subject to federal income tax, which could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and amounts available for distributions to our stockholders. In addition, Crystal River TRS Holdings, Inc., our TRS, is subject to corporate-level income taxes.

Our policy for interest and penalties on material uncertain tax positions recognized in our consolidated financial statements is to classify these as interest expense and operating expense, respectively. However, in accordance with FASB ASC 740-10-05-6 (previously FIN 48), we assessed our tax positions for all open tax years (Federal, years 2005 through 2009 and State, years 2005 through 2009) as of December 31, 2009 and concluded that we have no material FASB ASC 740-10-05-6 liabilities to be recognized at this time.

Financial Condition

Assets

Our current portfolio consists of commercial mortgage-backed securities, residential mortgage-backed securities, commercial real estate loan investments, credit default swaps and operating real estate. All of our assets at December 31, 2009 were acquired with the net proceeds of approximately \$405.6 million from our March 2005 private offering of 17,400,000 shares of our common stock, the net proceeds of approximately \$158.6 million from our August 2006 initial public offering of 7,500,000 shares of our common stock, the net proceeds of approximately \$48.6 million from our March 2007 issuance of trust preferred securities and our use of leverage.

Mortgage-Backed Securities

Our commercial mortgage-backed securities and residential mortgage-backed securities comprise 100% of our investment securities portfolio. We own these securities either directly on our balance sheet or in one of our two CDOs. We own the equity of each of the CDOs and it is through this equity investment that we participate in the investment performance of the portion of the investment securities portfolio that is owned by the CDOs. The commercial mortgage-backed securities and

Table of Contents

residential mortgage-backed securities segment of our portfolio at December 31, 2009 is summarized below:

	(CMBS	F	RMBS	
		(In thou	sand	ls)	
Amortized cost	\$	26,193	\$	4,708	
Unrealized gains		11,920		5	
Unrealized losses					
Fair value	\$	38,113	\$	4,713	

As of December 31, 2009, the CMBS and RMBS in our portfolio purchased at a net premium or discount relative to their par value and our portfolio had a weighted average amortized cost of 3.4% and 3.4% of face amount, respectively. The CMBS and RMBS were valued below par at December 31, 2009 because we invested in lower-rated (*i.e.*, deeply subordinated) bonds in the credit structure and those bonds have been adversely impacted by changes in yield spreads and increased actual losses on the underlying collateral, as well as increasing delinquency rates, which generally portend higher actual losses in the future.

Our MBS holdings were as follows at December 31, 2009 (\$ in millions):

	Am	Face lount at	Co	nortized ost Basis Before airments!/	Fair Value at ember 3	Number 1, of					
									Coupon		
CMBS	\$	778.8	\$	575.2	\$ 38.1	131	43	CCC+	5.21%	36.96	3.93
Non-Agency RMBS-Prime		90.7		160.2	3.2	51	28	CC+	4.29	194.81	2.12
Non-Agency RMBS-Sub Prime		47.6		135.0	1.5	24	14	CCC-	2.76	132.21	5.12
Preferred Stock		4.9		4.8		2	2				
Total	\$	922.0	\$	875.2	\$ 42.8	208	87	CCC	4.97	52.11	3.85
REIT ONLY (non-CDOs)											
CMBS	\$	270.5	\$	149.2	\$ 5.2	44	20	CC	5.14%	48.51	2.20
Non-Agency RMBS-Prime		35.5		77.3	1.2	23	16	C-	5.67	195.76	1.31
Non-Agency RMBS-Sub Prime		13.6		60.0	0.9	11	8	CCC+	3.44	80.22	6.87
Preferred Stock		4.9		4.8		2	2				
Total	\$	324.5	\$	291.3	\$ 7.3	80	46	CC+	5.05	76.39	2.64
CDOs ONLY											
CMBS	\$	508.3	\$	426.0	\$ 32.9	94	33	CCC+	5.25%	35.13	4.20
Non-Agency RMBS-Prime		55.2		82.9	2.0	28	20	CCC-	3.41	194.24	2.60
Non-Agency RMBS-Sub Prime Preferred Stock		34.0		75.0	0.6	13	7	C-	2.49	209.08	2.54
Total	\$	597.5	\$	583.9	\$ 35.5	135	60	CCC	4.92	47.13	4.08

⁽¹⁾

As of December 31, 2006.

Note that some securities are owned both by one or more of our CDOs and by the REIT.

- (3)

 Note that securities issued by some trusts are owned both by one or more of our CDOs and by the REIT.
- (4) Lowest rating of Moody's, S&P or Fitch; weighted average based on fair value.
- (5) Yield as reflected above is the implied loss-adjusted yield based on our expectation of future cash flows (*i.e.*, taking into account assumed defaults) and the fair value of the security.
- (6) Loss-adjusted weighted average remaining life in years.

The estimated weighted average lives of the MBS in the tables above are based upon our prepayment expectations, which are based on both proprietary and subscription-based financial models.

Table of Contents

Our prepayment projections consider current and expected trends in interest rates, interest rate volatility, steepness of the yield curve, the mortgage rate of the outstanding loan, time to reset and the spread margin of the reset.

The table below summarizes the credit ratings of our MBS investments at December 31, 2009:

	(CMBS	Prime RMBS		lbprime RMBS	Total
			(In tho	usan	ıds)	
AAA	\$		\$	\$		\$
AA						
A						
BBB		3,724				3,724
BB		5,451				5,451
В		14,659			847	15,506
Below B		14,279	3,194		672	18,145
Total	\$	38,113	\$ 3,194	\$	1,519	\$ 42,826

Actual maturities of MBS are generally shorter than stated contractual maturities, as they are affected by the contractual lives of the underlying mortgages, periodic payments of principal, and prepayments of principal. The stated contractual final maturity of the mortgage loans underlying our portfolio of MBS ranges up to 27 years, but the expected maturity is subject to change based on the prepayments of the underlying loans. As of December 31, 2009, the average final contractual maturity of the mortgage portfolio was 2036.

The constant prepayment rate, or CPR, attempts to predict the percentage of principal that will be prepaid over the next 12 months based on historical principal paydowns. As interest rates rise, the rate of refinancings typically declines, which we believe may result in lower rates of prepayment and, as a result, a lower portfolio CPR.

The following table summarizes our CMBS and RMBS according to their estimated weighted average life classifications as of December 31, 2009:

		CN	IBS		RMBS							
Weighted Average Life	Fa	ir Value	Aı	nortized Cost	Fai	r Value		nortized Cost				
				s))							
Less than one year	\$		\$		\$	268	\$	268				
Greater than one year and												
less than five years		882		882		1,125		1,121				
Greater than five years		37,231		25,311		3,320		3,319				
Total	\$	38.113	\$	26,193	\$	4.713	\$	4,708				

The estimated weighted-average lives of the MBS in the tables above are based upon a prepayment model obtained through a subscription-based financial information service provider. The prepayment model considers the current yield, forward yield, steepness of the yield curve, current mortgage rates, mortgage rate of the outstanding loan, loan age, margin and volatility.

The actual weighted average lives of the MBS in our investment portfolio could be longer or shorter than the estimates in the table above depending on the actual prepayment rates experienced over the lives of the applicable securities and are sensitive to changes in both prepayment rates and interest rates.

Table of Contents

Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities

Our CMBS portfolio consists of CMBS that we own directly (*i.e.*, outside of our CDOs) and CMBS that are owned by our CDOs. We directly own approximately \$5.2 million in fair value of CMBS. These securities have a weighted average rating of CC, are priced at a weighted average price to par of 1.9%, and are projected to yield 48.51% of their current fair value on a loss-adjusted basis. Approximately 78.0% of the CMBS that we own directly is part of a portfolio that we refer to as the "CMBS Primary Asset." The CMBS Primary Asset is a sub-portfolio that consists of our holdings in 11 CMBS securitizations in which we, either directly or through our CDOs, own a portion of the first loss tranche. The CMBS Primary Asset is further illustrated below.

Within our two CDOs, we own approximately \$32.9 million in fair value of CMBS. These securities have a weighted average rating of CCC+, are priced at a weighted average price to par of 6.5%, and are projected to yield 35.13% of their current fair value on a loss-adjusted basis. However, the receipt of cash flows from this portion of our CMBS portfolio is governed by the waterfalls within the respective CDO indentures, which are further described below. Approximately 44.9% of the CMBS that we own within our two CDOs are part of the CMBS Primary Asset.

Class Type	irectly vned(1)	ou	wned by r CDOs housands)	Total	Average Rating
Primary Asset	\$ 4,057	\$	14,785	\$ 18,842	CCC-
Non-Primary Asset	1,142		18,129	19,271	В
Total / Weighted Average	\$ 5,199	\$	32,914	\$ 38,113	CCC+

(1) Either by us directly or by one of our non-CDO subsidiaries.

81

Table of Contents

CMBS Primary Asset

The following table sets forth some relevant characteristics as of December 31, 2009 of our CMBS Primary Asset.

Outstanding														
				Fa	ce AmountFa	ir Value								
	Number of	Number of Original Face of Our of Our												
	Tranches	Current	Ai	mount of H	oldings at Ho	ldings at	Original l	Ratin	g Cu	ımulativ	Weighted			
	Currently	Size of De	etachmen O u	r Curren D e	cember 3Dec	ember 31,	Range of	f Our	Current L	osses to	Average			
Deal Name	Outstanding	curitization l	Point(1) Ho	oldings(2)	2009	2009	Holdi	ngs	Delinquency	Date(3)	Coupon			
					(\$ in thou	sands)								
BACM 2006-2	7 \$	2,626,774	3.03% \$	32,330 \$	28,249 \$	697	BB+	NR	4.90% \$	8,329	5.48%			
BACM 2007-2	7	3,148,905	3.15	35,330	35,330	473	BB+	NR	6.12		5.37			
BSCMS 2005-PWR9	9	2,053,405	5.51	83,001	83,001	2,485	BBB	NR	8.73		4.82			
BSCMS 2006-PW13	8	2,830,861	4.24	55,816	55,816	4,176	BBB-	NR	4.03		5.46			
COMM 2007-C9	7	3,049,048	3.84	50,658	50,658	1,838	BB+	NR	2.15		5.24			
CSMC 2006-C1	8	2,892,659	4.29	82,833	82,833	4,784	BBB-	NR	2.89		5.29			
CSMC 2006-C4	8	4,220,699	4.08	77,931	75,921	1,393	BBB-	NR	12.96	4,103	5.54			
GMACC 2005-C1	5	1,227,362	2.06	27,963	25,310	120	BB+	NR	7.55	28,618	4.48			
WBCMT 2005-C18	8	1,314,540	5.62	39,196	39,196	1,532	BBB	NR	8.80		4.74			
WBCMT 2006-C29	7	3,363,120	2.63	32,600	32,600	338	BB+	NR	5.39		5.07			
WBCMT 2007-C31	9	5,812,655	3.27	42,503	42,503	1,006	BB+	NR	6.84		5.13			
Total/Weighted Averag	e 83 \$	32,540,028	3.70% \$	560,161 \$	551,417 \$	18,842	BBB	NR	6.45% \$	41,050	5.18%			

⁽¹⁾ A deal's detachment point is the senior limit to our credit exposure within that securitization.

⁽²⁾ We owned two tranches of the GMACC 2005-C1 deal with an original face amount of \$25,965 that have experienced a total loss and accordingly, the number set forth in this column for that deal does not include an amount in respect of those tranches.

⁽³⁾Actual losses based on securities we currently own. For GMACC 2005-C1, this includes two tranches with an original face amount of \$25,965 that have experienced a total loss.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth some relevant characteristics of our CMBS portfolio by vintage.

				Total/ eighted						
CMBS Characteristics as of December 31, 2009		2002		2005		2006		2007		verage
					(\$ in	millions)			
Weighted Average Rating		В		B-		CCC		CCC-		CCC+
Number of Securities		3		37		60		31		131
Original Face Amount	\$	2.8	\$	269.7	\$	367.9	\$	160.7	\$	801.1
Outstanding Face Amount	\$	2.8	\$	253.5	\$	361.8	\$	160.7	\$	778.8
Amortized Cost Basis	\$	0.9	\$	11.3	\$	10.0	\$	4.0	\$	26.2
Fair Value	\$	0.9	\$	16.6	\$	15.5	\$	5.1	\$	38.1
Percentage of Total Fair Value(1)		2.36%	% 43.57%		ó	40.68%	o o	13.39%	,	100.0%
Coupon		4.94%	ó	4.92%	ó	5.45%	o o	5.15%	,	5.21%
Market Yield(2)		55.10%	ó	19.94%	% 48.79%		o o	52.95%	,	36.96%
Expected Principal Return(3)		100.00%	ó	10.08%	ó	3.38%	o o	0.00%	,	5.21%
WAL(4)		2.88		4.91		3.29		2.89		3.93
Principal Subordination(5)		3.86%	ó	1.95%	ó	1.74%	o o	1.44%	,	1.76%
Delinquency Rate 60+(6)		1.81%	ó	6.51%	ó	6.06%	o o	3.94%	,	5.76%
Collateral Cumulative Losses to Date	0.00		0.46		% 0.07%		o o	0.01%		0.19%
Cumulative Losses to Date(7)		0.00%	ó	5.98%	ó	1.64%	ó	0.00%)	2.78%

- (1) The proportion of our CMBS portfolio comprised of the respective vintage; based on fair value of our CMBS portfolio as of December 31, 2009.
- (2) Market yield as reflected above is the implied loss-adjusted yield based on our expectation of future cash flows (*i.e.*, taking into account assumed defaults) and the fair value of the security.
- (3) Percent of outstanding face amount that we currently project to be returned as principal.
- (4) Weighted average life.
- (5) Weighted average determined based on outstanding face amounts owned.
- (6) Delinquencies in the securitization; weighted average determined based on outstanding face amounts owned.
- (7) Write-offs of par on the securities we own; weighted average determined based on original face amounts owned.

Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities

Our RMBS portfolio consists of RMBS that we own directly (*i.e.*, outside of our CDOs) and RMBS that are owned by one of our CDOs. We directly own approximately \$2.1 million in fair value of RMBS, which consists of \$1.2 million of prime RMBS and \$0.9 million of sub-prime RMBS. The prime bonds have a weighted average rating of C-, are priced at a weighted average price to par of 3.4% and are projected to yield 195.76% of their current fair value on a loss-adjusted basis. The sub-prime bonds have a weighted average rating of CCC+, are priced at a weighted average price to par of 6.6% and are projected to yield 80.22% of their current fair value on a loss-adjusted basis.

Within our two CDOs, we own approximately \$2.6 million in value of RMBS, which consists of \$2.0 million of prime RMBS and \$0.6 million of sub-prime RMBS. The prime bonds have a weighted average rating of CCC-, are priced at a weighted average price to par of 3.6%, and are projected to yield 194.24% of their current fair value on a loss-adjusted basis. The sub-prime bonds have a weighted average

rating of C-, are priced at a weighted average price to par of 1.8%, and are projected to yield 209.08% of their current fair value on a loss-adjusted basis. However, the receipt of cash flows from

Table of Contents

this portion of our RMBS portfolio is governed by the waterfalls within the respective CDO indentures, which are further described below.

The following table sets forth some relevant characteristics of our prime RMBS portfolio by vintage.

	Vintage									Fotal/ eighted		
Prime RMBS Characteristics as of December 31, 2009		2003		2004		2005		2006	2	2007	A	verage
						(\$ in mil	lion	s)				
Weighted Average Rating		DDD+		DDD+		CC+		C		NR		CC+
Number of Securities		4		8		35		3		1		51
Outstanding Face Amount	\$	3.0	\$	8.6	\$	75.2	\$	3.8	\$	0.1	\$	90.7
Amortized Cost Basis	\$	0.3	\$	0.2	\$	2.6	\$	0.1	\$	0.0	\$	3.2
Fair Value	\$	0.3	\$	0.2	\$	2.6	\$	0.1	\$	0.0	\$	3.2
Percentage of Total Fair Value(1)		9.38%	,	6.25%	,	81.25%)	3.12%)	0.0%	,	100.0%
Coupon		5.19%	,	2.77%	,	4.25%)	7.91%)	6.00%		4.29%
Market Yield(2)		257.55%	,	383.06%	,	165.95%)	339.60%)	0.00%	,	194.81%
Expected Principal Return(3)		64.19%	,	14.15%	,	4.43%)	0.63%)	0.00%		7.12%
WAL(4)		3.57		1.85		2.02		0.89		0.23		2.12
Principal Subordination(5)		0.12%	,	0.35%	,	0.66%)	0.60%)	0.00%		0.61%
Collateral Factor(6)		47.82%	,	23.49%	,	42.13%)	56.06%)	77.37%	,	41.16%
Bond Factor(7)		79.81%	,	56.27%	,	79.44%)	95.82%)	11.39%	,	77.86%
One Month CPR(8)		14.16		15.40		15.29		27.14		15.38		15.76
Delinquency Rate 60+(9)		0.58%	,	20.66%	,	14.96%)	5.17%)	11.10%	,	14.62%
Collateral Cumulative Losses to Date		0.03%	,	0.71%	,	0.51%)	0.23%)	0.28%	,	0.50%
Cumulative Losses to Date(10)		9.96%	,	9.32%	,	12.54%)	0.00%)	87.91%)	11.63%

- (1) The proportion of our prime RMBS portfolio comprised of the respective vintage; based on fair value of our prime RMBS portfolio as of December 31, 2009.
- (2) Market yield as reflected above is the implied loss-adjusted yield based on our expectation of future cash flows (*i.e.*, taking into account assumed defaults) and the fair value of the security.
- (3) Percent of outstanding face amount that we currently project to be returned as principal.
- (4) Weighted average life.
- (5) Weighted average determined based on outstanding face amount owned.
- (6)
 Collateral factor is the ratio of the current deal balance to the original deal balance.
- (7)
 Bond factor is the ratio of the current bond balance to the original bond balance.
- (8) Constant prepayment rate.

(9)

Delinquencies in the securitization, weighted average determined based on outstanding face amounts owned.

(10) Write-offs of par on the securities we own; weighted average determined based on original face amounts owned.

84

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth some relevant characteristics of our sub-prime RMBS portfolio by vintage.

	Vintage							Total/ Weighted		
Sub-prime RMBS Characteristics as of December 31, 2009		2005	2	006	2	007	A	verage		
				(\$ in mill	lions))				
Weighted Average Rating		CCC-		B-		NR		CCC-		
Number of Securities		17		6		1		24		
Outstanding Face Amount	\$	39.4	\$	8.2	\$	0.0	\$	47.6		
Amortized Cost Basis	\$	0.9	\$	0.6	\$	0.0	\$	1.5		
Fair Value	\$	0.9	\$	0.6	\$	0.0	\$	1.5		
Percentage of Total Fair Value(1)		60.00%		40.00%		0.00%	ó	100.0%		
Coupon		2.98%		1.74%		2.34%	o O	2.76%		
Market Yield(2)		164.04%	1	80.51%		0.00%	, o	132.21%		
Expected Principal Return(3)		10.41%		52.19%		0.00%	o O	17.60%		
WAL(4)		3.48		7.81		0.07		5.12		
Principal Subordination(5)		2.93%		3.76%		0.00%	o O	3.07%		
Current Overcollateralization		0.44%		1.92%		0.00%	ó	0.69%		
Excess Spread(6)		0.44%		0.45%		0.27%	, o	0.44%		
Collateral Factor(7)		21.57%		40.32%		72.45%	ó	24.85%		
Bond Factor(8)		73.37%		93.55%		52.29%	o O	76.83%		
One Month CPR(9)		12.83		14.17		16.11		13.06		
Delinquency Rate 60+(10)		36.42%		23.73%		40.19%	ó	34.23%		
Collateral Cumulative Losses to Date		6.56%		6.48%		10.54%	, o	6.55%		
Cumulative Losses to Date(11)		9.12%		1.16%		96.20%	0	29.16%		

- (1) The proportion of our sub-prime RMBS portfolio comprised of the respective vintage; based on fair value of our sub-prime RMBS portfolio as of December 31, 2009.
- (2) Market yield as reflected above is the implied loss-adjusted yield based on our expectation of future cash flows (i.e., taking into account assumed defaults) and the fair value of the security.
- (3) Percent of outstanding face amount that we currently project to be returned as principal.
- (4) Weighted average life.
- (5) Weighted average determined based on outstanding face amount owned.
- (6)

 Represents the weighted average excess spread over the applicable liability coupon for the month of December 2009, weighted by par amount.
- (7) Collateral factor is the ratio of the current deal balance to the original deal balance.
- (8) Bond factor is the ratio of the current bond balance to the original bond balance.
- (9) Constant prepayment rate.

(10)

Delinquencies in the securitization, weighted average determined based on outstanding face amounts owned.

(11) Write-offs of par on the securities we own; weighted average determined based on original face amounts owned.

85

Table of Contents

Equity Securities

Our investment policies allow us to acquire equity securities, including common and preferred shares issued by other real estate investment trusts. At December 31, 2009, we held two investments in equity securities, each with a fair value of \$0. These investment securities are classified as available for sale and thus carried at fair value on our balance sheet with changes in fair value recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income until realized or determined to be other than temporarily impaired.

Changes in Carrying Value of Investment Securities

The following sets forth information regarding the changes in the carrying value of our investment securities during the year ended December 31, 2009:

		Activity During Year Ended December 31, 2009											
	Decen	nber 31	,]	Decen	iber 31,
	2	008		Prin	cipal	Dis	scount/			Mark-	to-	20	009
		mated		•		,	emium)			Mark			mated
Security Description	Fair	Value	Sales	Writ	e-offs	Amo	rtizationI	[mpairi	nents/	Adjustm	ents	Fair	Value
						((In million	ns)					
CMBS	\$	58.1	\$	\$	(0.2)	\$	(11.8)	\$	(62.6)	\$ 5	4.6	\$	38.1
Non-Agency RMBS		14.8			(6.3)		(3.3)	((15.3)	1	4.8		4.7
Preferred stock		0.0											
Total	\$	72.9	\$	\$	(6.5)	\$	(15.1)	\$	77.9)	\$ 6	9.4	\$	42.8

The following sets forth information regarding the changes in the carrying value of our investment securities during the year ended December 31, 2008:

	Activity During Year Ended December 31, 2008													
Security Description	Est	ember 31, 2007 timated r Value		Sales		ydowns/	(Pr	scount/ emium) ortization millions)	•	oairments A	M	rk-to- arket	20 Estir	iber 31, 008 nated Value
CMBS	\$	399.4	\$	(4.2)	\$	(0.3)	\$	8.6	\$	(79.1)	\$	(266.3)	\$	58.1
Agency MBS		1,246.7		(1,178.0)		(60.4)		(0.4)				(7.9)		
Non-Agency RMBS		168.4				(10.1)		10.4		(62.7)		(91.2)		14.8
Preferred stock		0.7								(1.1)		0.4		0.0
Total	\$	1,815.2	\$	(1,182.2)	\$	(70.8)	\$	18.6	\$	(142.9)	\$	(365.0)	\$	72.9

Commercial Real Estate Loan Investments

At December 31, 2009, our commercial real estate loan investments are reported as held for investment (carried at amortized cost) and held for sale (carried at fair value). Real estate loans that are held for investment are periodically reviewed for impairment. As of December 31, 2009, we reported loans held for sale totaling \$5.9 million and loans held for investment totaling \$0. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we had loan loss reserves of \$6.8 million on our real estate loans held for investment and valuation allowances of \$0.8 million on our real estate loans held for sale. Our commercial real estate loan investments as of December 31, 2009 are summarized below. In connection with the repurchase and discharge of our junior subordinated notes in January 2010, we exchanged one mezzanine loan and one whole loan with carrying values of \$4.7 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, as part of the consideration.

Table of Contents

Mezzanine Loans, Construction Loans and Whole Loans

					C	4					Tota		
	Me	zzanine L	oans(1)			truction ans(2)	Whole	e Loa	ans(3)		Weigh Avera		
	Fixe	d Rate	Floating Rate	Fixed Floating Rate Rate		Fixed Rate		ating Rate		ixed Rate	Floatin Rate		
						(In mil	lions)						
Outstanding Face Amount	\$	17.4	\$	\$	14.6	\$	\$	\$	1.7	\$	32.0	\$	1.7
Carrying Value		4.7							1.2		4.7		1.2
Amortized Cost		17.4			14.6				1.7		32.0		1.7
Fair Value		4.7							1.2		4.7		1.2
Number of Loans		2			1				1		3		1
Number of loans that are													
delinquent		1			1						2		
Weighted average interest													
rate		7.32%(4	1)	%		%(5)	%	%	n/a		7.32%(6))	n/a
Weighted average spread over LIBOR		n/a		%	n/a		%	%	3.25%	,	n/a		3.25%

- (1) Mezzanine loans amount excludes \$6.4 million of valuation allowance and \$6.4 million of loan loss allowance.
- (2) Construction loans amount excludes \$14.6 million of loan loss allowance.
- (3) Whole loans amount excludes \$0.4 million of valuation allowance.
- (4) One mezzanine loan has been placed on non-accrual status.
- (5) This construction loan has been placed on non-accrual status.
- Excludes 15.00% fixed rate for the mezzanine loan on non-accrual status and 16.00% fixed rate for the construction loan on non-accrual.

Operating Real Estate

(6)

At December 31, 2009, our commercial real estate portfolio is reported at cost of approximately \$221.8 million, net of accumulated depreciation of approximately \$17.7 million. The commercial real estate portfolio consists of three high-quality office buildings that are 100% leased on a triple-net basis to JPMorgan Chase. The buildings are financed with long-term fixed-rate mortgage loans.

The tables below summarize our commercial real estate investments at December 31, 2009, all of which were office properties:

	Total (In millions)				
Land	\$	17.4			
Buildings and improvements		222.1			
Commercial real estate, at cost	\$	239.5			
Accumulated depreciation		(17.7)			
Commercial real estate, net of depreciation	\$	221.8			

Location Tenant Total Area

Edgar Filing: Crystal River Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K

		Year of Lease Expiry(1)		•	Book Value r sq. ft.	Book alue(2)		ortgage Debt	В	Net ook Juity
			(000s sq. ft.)			(\$	in r	nillions)		
Houston, Texas	JPMorgan Chase	2021	428.6	\$	136.5	\$ 58.5	\$	53.4	\$	5.1
Arlington, Texas	JPMorgan Chase	2027	171.5		121.9	20.9		20.9		0.0
Phoenix, Arizona	JPMorgan Chase	2021	724.0		201.0	145.5		145.1		0.4
Total			1,324.1		169.9	\$ 224.9	\$	219.4	\$	5.5

⁽¹⁾ Does not assume the exercise of any extension options.

⁽²⁾ Book value includes intangible assets and intangible liabilities, but excludes rent-enhancement and straight-line rent receivables.

Table of Contents

During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we received \$3.4 million, \$3.7 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, in net cash receipts pursuant to our ownership of our commercial real estate portfolio, as illustrated below:

		Year Er	ded	Decemb	er 3	1,
	2	2009		2008	2	007
		(In n	nillions)		
Rental revenue	\$	11.4	\$	11.1	\$	7.6
Rent enhancement		3.1		3.4		1.8
Parking garage revenue, net		1.8		2.1		1.6
Mortgage interest expense		(12.4)		(12.5)		(9.1)
Real estate tax		(0.4)		(0.3)		(0.2)
Miscellaneous expenses		(0.1)		(0.1)		(0.1)
Net cash receipts	\$	3.4	\$	3.7	\$	1.6

Rent Enhancement Receivable, Related Party

At December 31, 2009, we had rent enhancement receivables from related parties totaling \$11.4 million. The rent enhancements were negotiated as part of our purchase of our commercial real estate properties and reflect the below-market nature of the leases on those properties at the time we purchased them. We have pledged these rent enhancement receivables as collateral to the lenders under the mortgages that we used to finance the purchase of our commercial real estate properties. While the affiliates of our Manager that are obligated to make these rent enhancement payments have made all required payments to date, there can be no assurance that they will not default on such payments in the future and the obligors have limited resources against which we could enforce our rights.

Interest Receivable

At December 31, 2009, we had interest receivable of approximately \$2.0 million relating to our MBS investments.

Credit Default Swaps, Hedging Instruments and Other Derivative Activities

Credit Default Swaps

As of December 31, 2009, we had engaged in credit default swaps, or CDS, which are accounted for as derivatives. CDS are derivative securities that attempt to replicate the credit risk involved with owning a particular unrelated third party security, which we refer to as a reference obligation. We enter into CDS on three types of securities: RMBS, CMBS and the CMBX and ABX indices. Investing in assets through CDS subjects us to additional risks. When we enter into a CDS with respect to an asset, we do not have any legal or beneficial interest in the reference obligation but have only a contractual relationship with the counterparty, typically a broker-dealer or other financial institution, and do not have the benefit of any collateral or other security or remedies that would be available to holders of the reference obligation or the right to receive information regarding the underlying obligors or issuers of the reference obligation. In addition, in the event of insolvency of a CDS counterparty, we would be treated as a general creditor of the counterparty to the extent the counterparty does not post collateral and, therefore, we may be subject to significant counterparty credit risk. As of December 31, 2009, we were party to CDS with one counterparty. CDS are relatively new instruments, the terms of which may contain ambiguous provisions that are subject to interpretation, with consequences that could be adverse to us.

Table of Contents

Currently, we are the seller of protection. The seller of protection through CDS is exposed to those risks associated with owning the underlying reference obligation. The seller, however, does not receive periodic interest payments, but instead it receives periodic premium payments for assuming the credit risk of the reference obligation. These risks are called "credit events" and generally consist of failure to pay principal, failure to pay interest, write-downs, implied write-downs and distressed ratings downgrades of the reference obligation.

For some CDS, upon the occurrence of a credit event with respect to a reference obligation, the buyer of protection may have the option to deliver the reference obligation to the seller of protection in part or in whole at par or to elect cash settlement. In this event, should the buyer of protection elect cash settlement for a credit event that has occurred, it will trigger a payment, the amount of which is based on the proportional amount of failure or write-down. In the case of a distressed ratings downgrade, the buyer of protection must deliver the reference obligation to the seller of protection, and there is no cash settlement option. In most cases, however, the CDS is a pay as you go CDS, in which case, at the point a write-down or an interest shortfall occurs, the protection seller pays the protection buyer a cash amount, and the contract remains outstanding until such time as the reference obligation has a factor of zero. In most of these instances, it will create a loss for the protection seller.

As of December 31, 2009, we were a party to one CDS with a maturity of June 2035 with an aggregate notional par amount (maximum exposure to loss) of \$10.0 million. At December 31, 2009, the fair value of our net liability relating to credit default swap contracts was \$9.6 million, compared to a net liability of \$19.1 million at December 31, 2008, primarily as a result of the occurrence of a credit event on the reference obligation underlying one of our CDS on which we sold protection, which required us to make payments on that CDS in the amount of \$10 million during the year ended December 31, 2009. As of December 31, 2009, we had approximately \$10.5 million of margin cash posted as collateral on \$10.0 million of notional CDS and we were subject to additional potential margin calls totaling \$0.4 million.

Interest Rate Swaps

As of December 31, 2009, we had engaged in interest rate swaps as a means of mitigating our interest rate risk on forecasted interest expense associated with borrowings for a specified future time period, which is the term of the applicable borrowing. An interest rate swap is a contractual agreement entered into by two counterparties under which each agrees to make periodic payments to the other for an agreed period of time based upon a notional amount of principal. Under the most common form of interest rate swap, a series of payments calculated by applying a fixed rate of interest to a notional amount of principal is exchanged for a stream of payments similarly calculated but using a floating rate of interest. This is a fixed-floating interest rate swap. We hedge our floating rate debt by entering into fixed-floating interest rate swap agreements whereby we swap the floating rate of interest on the liability we are hedging for a fixed rate of interest. An interest rate swap forward is an interest rate swap based on an interest rate to be set at an agreed future date. As of December 31, 2009, we were a party to interest rate swaps with maturities ranging from December 2013 to June 2018 with a notional par amount of approximately \$281.0 million. Under the swap agreements in place at December 31, 2009, we receive interest at rates that reset periodically, generally every three months, and pay a rate fixed at the initiation of, and for the life of, the swap agreements.

The valuation of our interest rate swaps is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities. The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts).

Table of Contents

The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves.

To comply with the provisions of FASB ASC 820-10-50-9 (previously SFAS 157), we incorporate credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both our own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of our derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, we have considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral posting, thresholds, mutual puts and guarantees. For the year ended December 31, 2009, we recorded \$8.9 million into earnings as a gain, which is reflected in realized and unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives on our consolidated statement of operations, relating to our nonperformance risk.

The current market value of interest rate swaps is heavily dependent on the current market fixed rate, the credit value adjustment for non-performance by our counterparties, the credit value adjustment for our non-performance, the corresponding term structure of floating rates (known as the yield curve) as well as the expectation of changes in future floating rates. As expectations of future floating rates change, the market value of interest rate swaps changes. At December 31, 2009, the fair value of our liability relating to those swaps was \$25.3 million.

There can be no assurance that our hedging activities will have the desired beneficial impact on our results of operations or financial condition. Moreover, no hedging activity can completely insulate us from the risks associated with changes in interest rates and prepayment rates. We generally intend to hedge as much of the interest rate risk as our Manager determines is in the best interests of our stockholders, after considering the cost of such hedging transactions and our desire to maintain our status as a REIT. Our policies do not contain specific requirements as to the percentages or amount of interest rate risk that our Manager is required to hedge.

Liabilities

Our liabilities as of December 31, 2009 consist of \$4.5 million of accounts payable, accrued expenses and interest and dividends payable, \$35.4 million of derivative liabilities, \$66.8 million of intangible liabilities, \$28.9 million in short-term liabilities and \$304.6 million in long-term liabilities that are matched to the assets that the liabilities finance. Of our long-term liabilities, \$33.6 million is the fair value of the rated notes that were issued in conjunction with our two CDOs. The remainder of the \$271.0 million of our long-term liabilities consists of \$219.4 million of mortgages payable used to finance our commercial real estate properties and \$51.6 million of junior subordinated notes issued to a trust that sold trust preferred securities (the junior subordinated notes were repurchased and discharged in January 2010). During 2009, we reduced the amount of our liabilities, as illustrated in the following table:

	Activity During 2009									
Type of Liability	Decen	nce as of nber 31, 008		Net ydowns (In m	Mark-to- Market Adjustments nillions)	Dece	ance as of ember 31, 2009			
Collateralized debt					ĺ					
obligations	\$	45.4	\$	(11.8)	\$	\$	33.6			
Junior subordinated notes(1)		51.6					51.6			
Mortgages payable		219.4					219.4			
Secured revolving credit facility, related party		32.9		(4.0)			28.9			
Total	\$	349.3	\$	(15.8)	\$	\$	333.5			

Repurchased and discharged in January 2010.

Table of Contents

We previously have entered into repurchase agreements to finance some of our purchases of investment securities and real estate loans. Borrowings under these agreements were secured by our investment securities and real estate loans and bore interest rates that have historically moved in close relationship to LIBOR. As of December 31, 2009, we were not utilizing any of those arrangements, we had no outstanding obligations under repurchase agreements and all collateral pledged against repurchase borrowings had been returned to us.

At December 31, 2009, we had \$51.6 million of junior subordinated notes outstanding. The junior subordinated notes are the sole assets owned by our subsidiary trust, Crystal River Preferred Trust I, and mature in April 2037. We have the right to redeem these notes at par on or after April 2012. Interest is payable quarterly at a fixed rate of 7.68% (ten-year LIBOR plus 2.75%) through April 2012 and thereafter at a floating rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 2.75%. In January 2010, our junior subordinated notes were repurchased and discharged in exchange for certain of our assets. See note 19 to our consolidated financial statements elsewhere in this Annual Report on form 10-K for more information on that transaction.

At December 31, 2009, we had \$219.4 million of mortgage loans outstanding with a weighted-average borrowing rate of 5.58% that are secured by our commercial properties located in Houston, Texas; Phoenix, Arizona; and Arlington, Texas and by the rent enhancement receivables from affiliates of our Manager relating to those properties. We have guaranteed a portion of this indebtedness to our lenders in respect of the rent enhancement receivables, related party, and the amount of this guarantee decreases each month as payments are made to us in respect of the rent enhancement receivables, related party. The total amount of such indebtedness that we guaranteed as of December 31, 2009 was approximately \$9.4 million, and as of March 15, 2010, the total amount of such guarantee had been reduced to approximately \$8.6 million.

At December 31, 2009, we had \$28.9 million of borrowings outstanding under our secured revolving credit facility with an affiliate of our Manager. The credit facility provides for borrowings of up to \$50.0 million in the aggregate, subject to a borrowing base limitation, and expires in August 2010, unless sooner terminated as provided in the credit agreement. The secured facility bears interest at LIBOR + 2.50%. At December 31, 2009, we had pledged \$33.4 million of assets as collateral under this facility and had unused availability of approximately \$2.5 million.

CDO Liabilities

We have issued two CDOs. Our first CDO, which we refer to as CDO I, closed in 2005, and our second CDO, which refer to as CDO II, was priced in late 2006 and closed in early 2007. For each CDO, we sold a certain amount of senior notes, as illustrated in the chart below, to third party investors and retained all of the junior notes. For CDO I, we retained approximately \$146.5 million par amount of junior securities (representing the Class E notes through the equity), and for CDO II, we retained approximately \$65.5 million par amount of junior securities (representing the Class J notes through the equity). The notes issued by each of the CDOs are governed by an indenture, which is administered by a trustee. The indentures each prescribe a "cash flow waterfall" that dictates how the receipt of principal and interest received from the underlying collateral securing the notes is allocated to the various classes of issued notes, including the junior notes that we own. Generally speaking, our rights to cash flows pursuant to these waterfalls are subordinate in right to the senior classes. Additionally, CDO I has additional protections for the benefit of the holders of the senior notes, which require that the underlying pool of securities comprising the collateral be in compliance with various performance criteria, commonly referred to as "performance triggers," or simply "Triggers". If the underlying collateral in CDO I is in compliance with the Triggers, then cash flow to certain junior notes is diverted to accelerate the amortization of the senior notes, until such time as the underlying collateral in CDO I is back in compliance with the Triggers. The Triggers for CDO I are

Table of Contents

illustrated in the chart below. CDO II does not contain any Triggers, but it does have a traditional waterfall, which includes, among other things, utilizing all payments received in respect of "defaulted securities" to amortize the senior-most CDO liabilities.

Beginning in June 2008, CDO I began to fail certain over-collateralization triggers. During this period of failure, all notes subordinate to the most senior class with a relevant trigger failure will not receive their quarterly interest payments. The money that otherwise would be paid to those classes is used instead to pay down the principal balance of the senior-most outstanding notes. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we received \$0 in cash receipts pursuant to our ownership of the Class E notes through the equity of CDO I and \$0.5 million was diverted to amortize senior notes issued by CDO I, as illustrated below:

CDO I	 nal Par alue	Cash Interest Received (in millions)	to Aı	Diverted mortize or Notes
Class E Notes	\$ 23.3	\$	\$	0.4
Class F Notes	25.3			0.1
Class G Notes	10.8			
Class H Notes	4.8			
Equity	n/a			
Total		\$	\$	0.5

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we received \$3.3 million in cash receipts pursuant to our ownership of the Class J notes through the equity of CDO II, as illustrated below, and \$1.8 million was diverted to amortize senior notes issued by CDO II:

CDO II	Original Par Value		Re	Interest ceived millions)	Cash Diverted to Amortize Senior Notes		
Class J Notes	\$	10.1	\$	0.7	\$		
Class K Notes		9.8		0.7			
Equity		n/a		1.9		1.8	
Total			\$	3.3	\$	1.8	

In September 2009, the trustee of CDO 1 issued a "Notice of Event of Default" to the collateral manager for CDO I because of a failure by CDO I to pay interest on the Class D notes. This event of default entitles noteholders of the senior class of notes, the Class A notes, to direct the trustee to take particular actions with respect to the collateral owned by CDO I and the CDO notes issued by CDO I. The event of default did not trigger a reconsideration event under FASB ASC 810-10-25-39 through 25-41 (previously FIN 46R) and has no impact on our consolidated financial statements during the year ended December 31, 2009.

In light of these events, it is possible that CDO I may be liquidated. A liquidation under these circumstances likely would result in a capital loss on our investment in the assets of CDO I and income from the cancellation of indebtedness with respect to the notes issued by CDO I. The tax consequences of these items may be materially adverse to us and could significantly reduce our liquidity if we are required to make material distributions to our stockholders in connection with such liquidation.

Table of Contents

A summary of the terms of our two CDOs as of December 31, 2009 is set forth below:

(\$ in thousands)	CDO I	CDO II	Total
Balance Sheet			
Assets			
Face Amount	\$ 208,961	\$ 388,465	\$ 597,426
Amortized			
Cost Basis	3,880	21,789	25,669
Fair Value	6,435	29,101	35,536
Debt(1)(2)			
Face Amount	132,260	323,002	455,262
Fair Value	5,723	27,894	33,617
Equity	\$ 712	\$ 1,207	\$ 1,919

		Average Rating		Average Rating		Average Rating
Collateral						
Composition(3)						
CMBS	\$ 119,806	CCC-	\$ 388,465	B-	\$ 508,271	CCC+
Prime MBS	55,202	CCC-			55,202	CCC-
Sub-Prime						
MBS	33,953	C-			33,953	C-
Cash			1,016		1,016	
Total	\$ 208,961		\$ 389,481		\$ 598,442	

⁽¹⁾ Excludes the non-investment grade notes and preference shares that we retained.

⁽²⁾ The notes issued by CDO II were downgraded in 2010.

⁽³⁾ Collateral composition amounts are based on par value, which is the metric used to calculate whether the triggers pass or fail.

Table of Contents

	CDO I	CDO II
CDO Overview:		
Effective Date	Nov-05	Jan-07
End of Collateral		
Replacement Period	Dec-08	n/a
Optional Call Date(1)	Jan-09	n/a
Auction Call Date	Dec-13	n/a
Avg. Debt Spread (bps)(2)	58	57
CDO Cash Flow Triggers:		
Over Collateralization		
Class A/B/C/D		
Issue Date	165.88%	n/a
Current	36.55%	n/a
Trigger	153.56%	n/a
Class E		
Issue Date	150.42%	n/a
Current	30.82%	n/a
Trigger	142.15%	n/a
Class F		
Issue Date	134.87%	n/a
Current	26.27%	n/a
Trigger	128.59%	n/a
Interest Coverage		
Class A/B/C/D		
Current	(10.21)%	n/a
Trigger	164.06%	n/a
Class E		
Current	(8.91)%	n/a
Trigger	142.15%	n/a
Class F		
Current	(7.40)%	n/a
Trigger	119.09%	n/a

- (1) In certain circumstances, the CDO issuer has the ability to call the CDO notes, commencing in January 2009.
- (2) Weighted average spread over LIBOR on the investment grade notes that we did not retain.

Stockholders' Deficit

Stockholders' deficit at December 31, 2009 was approximately \$58.1 million and included \$9.2 million of net unrealized and realized losses on interest rate agreements accounted for as cash flow hedges presented as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), which was offset in part by \$2.1 million of net unrealized holdings gains on available-for-sale securities.

Results of Operations for the Year Ended December 31, 2009 compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2008

Summary

Our net loss for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$88.3 million, or \$3.50 per weighted average basic and diluted share outstanding, compared with a net loss of \$307.1 million, or \$12.35 per

Table of Contents

weighted average basic and diluted share outstanding, for the year ended December 31, 2008. Net loss decreased by \$218.8 million from 2008 to 2009 for the reasons set forth below.

Revenues

The following table sets forth information regarding our revenues:

	Year Ended December 31,					Variance		
	2009 2008		Amount		%			
		(In m	illio	ns)				
Revenues								
Interest and dividend income:								
CMBS	\$	22.3	\$	50.8	\$	(28.5)	(56.1)%	
Agency MBS				16.2		(16.2)	(100.0)	
Non-Agency RMBS		5.5		42.4		(36.9)	(87.0)	
Real estate loans		1.1		6.6		(5.5)	(83.3)	
Other interest and dividend income		0.2		1.2		(1.0)	(83.3)	
Total interest and dividend income		29.1		117.2		(88.1)	(75.2)	
Rental income, net		21.7		22.2		(0.5)	(2.3)	
Total revenues	\$	50.8	\$	139.4	\$	(88.6)	(63.6)%	

Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2009 with respect to CMBS decreased by \$28.5 million, or 56.1%, compared to interest income for the year ended December 31, 2008 because of lower non-cash amortization resulting from the adoption of a new accounting principle and lower cash receipts due to interest shortfalls. Interest income with respect to Agency MBS for the year ended December 31, 2009 decreased by \$16.2 million, or 100.0%, compared to interest income from Agency MBS for the year ended December 31, 2008 as we divested of those investments in March 2008. Interest income with respect to Non-Agency RMBS for the year ended December 31, 2009 decreased by \$36.9 million, or 87.0%, compared to interest income from Non-Agency RMBS for the year ended December 31, 2008 as a result of lower non-cash amortization resulting from the adoption of a new accounting principle and lower cash receipts due to interest shortfalls, in addition to the impact of lower interest rates during 2009. Interest income with respect to real estate loans for the year ended December 31, 2008 because we had fewer investments in that asset class during 2009, a mezzanine loan was placed on non-accrual status and interest rates were lower during 2009. Interest income on real estate loans also was lower in 2009 due to principal paydowns and loan sales totaling \$130.7 million in 2008. Other interest and dividend income for the year ended December 31, 2008 due to lower interest income earned on restricted cash balances during 2009 and a decrease in dividends from our preferred stock investments during 2009.

Of the \$50.8 million in revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009, \$65.9 million was received in cash and \$(15.1) million was a non-cash revenue reduction from the amortization of premiums on bonds based on the revised cost values. This is compared to \$121.3 million in cash and \$18.1 million of non-cash revenue from the accretion of discounts on bonds purchased at prices below their par value for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Cash flow, and accordingly, interest income, for the subordinate bonds within both our CMBS and Non-Agency RMBS portfolios is expected to trend down in the future as losses are realized in the respective securitizations.

Expenses

The following table sets forth information regarding our total expenses:

	Year Ended December 31,					Variance			
	2	2009		2008	Aı	nount	%		
		(In millions)					,,,		
Expenses		(111 111		13)					
Interest expense:									
Repurchase									
agreements Agency MBS	\$		\$	11.6	\$	(11.6)	(100.0)%		
Repurchase agreements other							, i		
than Agency MBS				1.1		(1.1)	(100.0)		
Interest rate swap expense									
(income)		1.3		2.0		(0.7)	(35.0)		
CDO notes		5.7		17.7		(12.0)	(67.8)		
Senior mortgage-backed									
notes, related party				1.7		(1.7)	(100.0)		
Mortgages payable		12.4		12.5		(0.1)	(0.8)		
Junior subordinated notes		4.0		4.0			0.0		
Secured revolving credit									
facility, related party		0.9		2.7		(1.8)	(66.7)		
Amortization of deferred									
financing costs		0.1		0.2		(0.1)	(50.0)		
Total interest expense		24.4		53.5		(29.1)	(54.4)		
Management fees and incentive									
fees, related party				1.2		(1.2)	(100.0)		
Professional fees		2.9		2.6		0.3	11.5		
Depreciation and amortization		12.1		12.1			0.0		
Insurance expense		1.9		1.7		0.2	11.8		
Compensation and directors'									
fees		0.8		0.5		0.3	60.0		
Public company expense		0.5		0.7		(0.2)	(28.6)		
Commercial real estate									
expenses		1.6		1.5		0.1	6.7		
Provision for loan loss		7.6		27.1		(19.5)	(72.0)		
Other expenses		0.3		1.3		(1.0)	(76.9)		
Total expenses	\$	52.1	\$	102.2	\$	(50.1)	(49.0)		

Interest expense relating to repurchase agreements for the year ended December 31, 2009 decreased \$12.7 million, or 100.0%, compared to interest expense relating to repurchase agreements for the year ended December 31, 2008 because we did not utilize repurchase agreement financing during 2009. Interest expense relating to CDO notes for the year ended December 31, 2009 decreased \$12.0 million, or 67.8%, compared to interest expense relating to CDO notes for the year ended December 31, 2008 as a result of CDO principal repayments during 2008 and 2009 and due to lower interest rates on our floating rate CDO notes in 2009 than in 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2009, interest expense relating to senior mortgage-backed notes, related party, decreased \$1.7 million, or 100.0%, compared to interest expense relating to senior mortgage-backed notes, related party, for the year ended December 31, 2008 as a result of the repayment in full of those notes during June and July 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2009, interest expense relating to our secured revolving credit facility, related party, decreased \$1.8 million, or 66.7%, compared to interest expense relating to our secured revolving credit facility, related party, for the year ended December 31, 2008 as the outstanding balance under this facility was significantly lower during 2009 and interest rates charged on borrowings under this facility were lower in 2009 than in 2008.

Expenses for the year ended December 31, 2009 for base management fees, incentive fees and amortization related to restricted stock and options granted to our Manager decreased \$1.2 million, or 100%, compared to such expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008 primarily as a result of our \$307.1 million net loss in 2008, which has caused our stockholders' equity to be in a deficit position

Table of Contents

since December 31, 2008. We also incurred a \$7.6 million loan loss relating to our real estate loans during the year ended December 31, 2009.

Our Manager has waived its right to request reimbursement from us of third-party expenses that it incurred through December 31, 2009, which amount we otherwise would have been required to reimburse to our Manager. The management agreement with our Manager, which was negotiated before our business model was implemented, provides that we will reimburse our Manager for certain third party expenses that it incurs on our behalf, including rent and utilities. BIM incurs such costs and did not allocate any such expenses to our Manager from our inception in 2005 through December 31, 2009.

Other Revenues (Expenses)

Other expenses for the year ended December 31, 2009 totaled approximately \$86.9 million, compared with other expenses of \$344.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, a decrease of \$257.4 million, or 74.8%.

Other expenses for the year ended December 31, 2009 consisted primarily of:

\$4.1 million of recognized loss relating to net changes in the values of assets and liabilities carried under the fair value option of FASB ASC 825-10-45-1 (previously SFAS 159) (we elected the fair value option under FASB ASC 825-10-45-1 in 2008); and

a \$77.9 million loss on impairment of available-for-sale securities.

Other expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008 consisted primarily of:

\$70.7 million of realized and unrealized loss on derivatives, primarily as a result of:

realized and unrealized losses on CDS of \$16.5 million;

realized losses on settlement of interest rate swaps of \$21.0 million;

unrealized losses on hedge ineffectiveness of \$10.8 million; and

unrealized losses on economic hedges undesignated of \$22.7 million;

\$5.2 million of realized net loss on the sale of available-for-sale securities, real estate loans and other investments;

\$123.7 million of recognized loss relating to net changes in the values of assets and liabilities carried under the fair value option of FASB ASC 825-10-45-1 (previously SFAS 159); and

a \$142.9 million loss on impairment of available-for-sale securities, which was comprised of a \$81.1 million impairment relating to CMBS, Non-Agency RMBS and preferred stock investments caused by adverse changes in cash flow assumptions, and a \$61.8 million impairment caused by an other than temporary decline in market values due to spread widening.

Income Tax Expense

We have made an election to be taxed as a REIT under Section 856(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, commencing with the tax year ended December 31, 2005. As a REIT, we generally are not subject to federal income tax to the extent that we distribute our taxable income. To maintain qualification as a REIT, we must distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income to our shareholders and meet certain other requirements. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates. We may also be subject to certain state and local taxes on our income and property. Under certain circumstances, federal income and excise taxes may be due on our undistributed taxable income.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, we were in compliance with all REIT requirements and, accordingly, have not provided for income tax expense on our REIT taxable income for the year ended December 31, 2009 or for the year ended December 31, 2008. We also have a domestic taxable REIT subsidiary that is subject to tax at regular corporate rates. The deferred tax benefit is attributable to a net operating loss carryforward, primarily generated by the disposition of certain credit default swaps and accrued management fees associated with our investment in a private equity fund that was held in the TRS.

As of December 31, 2009, we had recorded a \$16.0 million valuation allowance on deferred tax assets of \$16.0 million attributable to income tax net operating loss carryforward relating to our TRS. The valuation allowance is based on management's estimate that our TRS is not expected to generate sufficient taxable income to recover the deferred tax assets. As of December 31, 2009, we had a net operating loss carryforward of \$35.3 million. Almost all of the net operating loss carryforward expires in 2027.

Results of Operations for the Year Ended December 31, 2008 compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2007

Summary

Our net loss for the year ended December 31, 2008 was \$307.1 million or \$12.35 per weighted average basic and diluted share outstanding, compared with a net loss of \$345.9 million or \$13.86 per weighted average basic and diluted share outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2007. Net loss decreased by \$38.8 million from 2007 to 2008 for the reasons set forth below.

Revenues

The following table sets forth information regarding our revenues:

	Year l Decem			Variance			
	2008		2007		mount	%	
	(In mi	illion	ıs)				
Revenues							
Interest and dividend income:							
CMBS	\$ 50.8	\$	45.3	\$	5.5	12.1%	
Agency MBS	16.2		102.1		(85.9)	(84.1)	
Non-Agency RMBS	42.4		43.7		(1.3)	(3.0)	
ABS			3.0		(3.0)	(100.0)	
Real estate loans	6.6		17.1		(10.5)	(61.4)	
Other interest and dividend income	1.2		8.7		(7.5)	(86.2)	
Total interest and dividend income	117.2		219.9		(102.7)	(46.7)	
Rental income, net	22.2		16.2		6.0	37.0	
Total revenues	\$ 139.4	\$	236.1	\$	(96.7)	(41.0)%	

Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2008 with respect to CMBS increased \$5.5 million, or 12.1%, over interest income for 2007 because of the net acquisition during 2007 of \$103.0 million in CMBS and the inclusion of interest income for a full year in 2008 on those CMBS, which was offset in part by lower interest rates during 2008. Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2008 with respect to Agency MBS and ABS decreased by \$85.9 million, or 84.1%, and \$3.0 million, or 100%, respectively, over 2007 as we divested of those investments in March 2008 and in the fourth quarter of 2007, respectively. Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2008 with respect to Non-Agency RMBS decreased by \$1.3 million, or 3.0%, over 2007 as a result of lower interest rates during 2008. Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2008 with respect to real

Table of Contents

estate loans decreased \$10.5 million, or 61.4%, over 2007 because we had fewer investments in that asset class during 2008, because interest rates were lower during 2008 and because we placed one of our loans on non-accrual status on January 1, 2008. Interest income on real estate loans was also lower in 2008 due to principal paydowns and loan sales totaling \$130.7 million in 2008. Other interest and dividend income for the year ended December 31, 2008 decreased \$7.5 million, or 86.2%, due to lower interest income earned on restricted cash balances during 2008 and a decrease in dividends from our preferred stock investments during 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2008, rental income from our commercial property investments increased \$6.0 million, or 37.0%, over rental income for 2007 because we made our investments in commercial properties in late March 2007 (two properties) and late September 2007 (one property) and the 2008 amounts reflect rental income for the entire year for all three commercial properties.

Of the \$139.4 million in revenues for the year ended December 31, 2008, \$121.3 million was received in cash and \$18.1 million was non-cash revenue from the accretion of discounts on bonds purchased at prices below their par value, compared to \$216.4 million and \$19.7 million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Cash flow, and accordingly, interest income, for the subordinate bonds within both our CMBS and Non-Agency RMBS portfolios is expected to trend down in the future as losses are realized in the respective securitizations.

Expenses

The following table sets forth information regarding our total expenses:

		Year l	Ende	ed						
		Decem	ber 3	31,	Variance					
		2008		2007	A	mount	%			
		(In mi	llion	s)						
Expenses										
Interest expense:										
Repurchase										
agreements Agency MBS	\$	11.6	\$	103.4	\$	(91.8)	(88.8)%			
Repurchase agreements other							, ,			
than Agency MBS		1.1		10.8		(9.7)	(89.8)			
Repurchase										
agreements related party				1.2		(1.2)	(100.0)			
Interest rate swap expense						, ,	`			
(income)		2.0		(9.1)		11.1	(122.0)			
CDO notes		17.7		29.7		(12.0)	(40.4)			
Senior mortgage-backed										
notes, related party		1.7		4.0		(2.3)	(57.5)			
Mortgages payable		12.5		9.1		3.4	37.4			
Junior subordinated notes		4.0		3.1		0.9	29.0			
Short-term debt				0.6		(0.6)	(100.0)			
Secured revolving credit										
facility, related party		2.7		0.3		2.4	800.0			
Margin borrowing				0.1		(0.1)	(100.0)			
Amortization of deferred										
financing costs		0.2		3.4		(3.2)	(94.1)			
Other				0.2		(0.2)	(100.0)			
Total interest expense		53.5		156.8		(103.3)	(65.9)			
Management fees and incentive		00.0		100.0		(100.0)	(00.)			
fees, related party		1.2		6.5		(5.3)	(81.5)			
Professional fees		2.6		3.9		(1.3)	(33.3)			
Depreciation and amortization		12.1		9.0		3.1	34.4			
Insurance expense		1.7		0.9		0.8	88.9			
Directors' fees		0.5		0.7		(0.2)	(28.6)			
Public company expense		0.7		0.5		0.2	40.0			
Commercial real estate										
expenses		1.5		1.0		0.5	50.0			
Provision for loan loss		27.1		4.5		22.6	502.2			
Other expenses		1.3		0.7		0.6	85.7			
r										
Total expenses	\$	102.2	\$	184.5	\$	(82.3)	(44.6)			
10th expenses	Ψ	102.2	Ψ	107.5	Ψ	(02.3)	(0.77)			

Interest expense relating to repurchase agreements, including with related parties, for the year ended December 31, 2008 decreased \$102.7 million, or 89.0%, over 2007 because of lower repurchase agreement borrowings related to Agency MBS as a result of our sale during 2007 and March 2008 of approximately \$2.1 billion of Agency MBS. Interest expense relating to CDO notes for the year ended December 31, 2008 decreased \$12.0 million, or 40.4%, over 2007 as a result of CDO principal repayments during 2007 and 2008 and due to lower interest rates on our floating rate CDO notes in 2008 than in 2007. Interest income from interest rate swaps for the year ended December 31, 2008 decreased \$11.1 million, or 122.0%, over 2007 because interest rates were lower in 2008 than in 2007 and because we had lower notional amount of interest rate swaps in place during 2008. In addition, we are no longer eligible for hedge accounting treatment on our interest rate swaps in our CDO structures due to our election to mark our CDO liabilities to market in accordance with FASB ASC 825-10-45-1 (previously SFAS 159). For the year ended December 31, 2008, interest expense relating to junior subordinated notes and mortgages payable increased \$0.9 million, or 29.0%, and \$3.4 million, or 37.4%, respectively, over 2007 as we first utilized these financing sources commencing midway through 2007. For the year ended December 31, 2008, interest expense relating to senior mortgage backed notes, related party, decreased \$2.3 million, or 57.5%, as a result of the repayment in full of those notes

during June 2008 and July 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2008, interest expense relating to our secured revolving credit facility, related party, increased \$2.4 million, or 800.0%, as we first utilized this financing source commencing late in 2007. In addition, amortization of deferred financing costs for the year ended December 31, 2008 decreased by \$3.2 million, or 94.1%, over 2007 primarily because we recorded to equity as a cumulative effect adjustment all deferred financing costs relating to our CDOs on January 1, 2008 when we elected to mark our CDO liabilities to market under FASB ASC 825-10-45-1.

Expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008 also included base management fees, incentive fees and amortization related to restricted stock and options granted to our Manager totaling approximately \$1.2 million. Expenses for 2007 included base management fees and incentive fees totaling approximately \$5.9 million and amortization of approximately \$0.6 million related to restricted stock and options granted to our Manager. Management fee expenses decreased in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily due to the \$345.9 million net loss in 2007 and the \$307.1 million net loss in 2008, which reduced the management fee for 2008 compared to 2007. In addition, for the year ended December 31, 2008, we had depreciation and amortization expense of \$12.1 million and commercial real estate expenses of \$1.5 million relating to our commercial property investments, compared to \$9.0 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, for 2007, because we acquired our first commercial properties in March 2007. We also incurred a \$9.7 million loan loss relating to one of our construction loans in 2008 compared with a \$4.5 million loan loss for the same loan in 2007, and we incurred a \$17.4 million loan loss in 2008 relating to designating certain of our commercial whole loans and mezzanine loans as loans held for sale during the year ended December 31, 2008.

Our Manager has waived its right to request reimbursement from us of third-party expenses that it incurred through December 31, 2008, which amount we otherwise would have been required to reimburse to our Manager. The management agreement with our Manager, which was negotiated before our business model was implemented, provides that we will reimburse our Manager for certain third party expenses that it incurs on our behalf, including rent and utilities. BIM incurs such costs and did not allocate any such expenses to our Manager from our inception in 2005 through December 31, 2008, as our Manager's use of such services was deemed to be immaterial. Brookfield currently is determining the amount of such rent and utility costs that will be allocated to our Manager commencing January 1, 2009, and we will be responsible for reimbursing such costs allocable to our operations absent any further waiver of reimbursement by our Manager. There are no contractual limitations on our obligation to reimburse our Manager for third party expenses and our Manager may incur such expenses consistent with the grant of authority provided to it pursuant to the management agreement without any additional approval of our board of directors being required. In addition, our Manager may defer our reimbursement obligation from any quarter to a future period; *provided, however*, that we will record any necessary accrual for any such reimbursement obligations when required by U.S. GAAP, and our Manager has advised us that it will promptly invoice us for such reimbursements consistent with sound financial accounting policies.

Other Revenues (Expenses)

Other expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008 totaled approximately \$344.3 million, compared with other expenses of \$397.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, a decrease of \$53.2 million, or 13.4%.

Other expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008 consisted primarily of \$70.7 million of realized and unrealized loss on derivatives, primarily as a result of realized and unrealized losses on CDS of \$16.5 million, realized losses on settlement of interest rate swaps of \$21.0 million, unrealized losses on hedge ineffectiveness of \$10.8 million and unrealized losses on economic hedges undesignated of \$22.7 million; \$5.2 million of realized net loss on the sale of available-for-sale securities, real estate loans and other investments; \$123.7 million of recognized loss relating to net changes in the values of

assets and liabilities carried under the fair value option of FASB ASC 825-10-45-1 (we elected the fair value option under FASB ASC 825-10-45-1 in 2008); and a \$142.9 million loss on impairment of available-for-sale securities, which was comprised of a \$81.1 million impairment relating to CMBS, Non-Agency RMBS and preferred stock investments caused by adverse changes in cash flow assumptions, and a \$61.8 million impairment caused by an other than temporary decline in market values due to spread widening.

Other expenses for the year ended December 31, 2007 consisted primarily of \$85.0 million of realized and unrealized loss on derivatives, primarily as a result of realized and unrealized losses on CDS of \$76.1 million, realized and unrealized loss on our settlement of two foreign currency swaps of \$5.1 million and an increase in unrealized losses on economic hedges of \$3.1 million; realized net loss on the sale of available-for-sale securities, real estate loans and other investments of \$1.7 million; and a \$317.9 million loss on impairment of available-for-sale securities, which was comprised of a \$19.7 million impairment relating to CMBS and Agency MBS that we no longer intend to hold to maturity or until the recovery of our cost, and a \$70.6 million impairment relating to CMBS, Non-Agency RMBS and preferred stock investments, which was caused by adverse changes in cash flow assumptions and a \$227.6 million impairment caused by an other than temporary decline in market values due to spread widening. This decrease was offset in part by \$2.6 million of income from equity investments and \$4.3 million of realized foreign currency exchange gain.

Income Tax Expense

We have made an election to be taxed as a REIT under Section 856(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, commencing with the tax year ended December 31, 2005. As a REIT, we generally are not subject to federal income tax. To maintain qualification as a REIT, we must distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income to our shareholders and meet certain other requirements. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates. We may also be subject to certain state and local taxes on our income and property. Under certain circumstances, federal income and excise taxes may be due on our undistributed taxable income.

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, we were in compliance with all REIT requirements and, accordingly, have not provided for income tax expense on our REIT taxable income for the year ended December 31, 2008 or for the year ended December 31, 2008. We also have a domestic taxable REIT subsidiary that is subject to tax at regular corporate rates. The deferred tax benefit is attributable to the mark to market adjustments for net operating loss deductions, credit default swaps, and accrued management fees associated with our investment in a private equity fund held in the TRS.

In 2008, we recorded a \$16.2 million valuation allowance on deferred tax assets of \$16.2 million attributable to income tax net operating loss carryforward relating to our TRS. The valuation allowance is based on management's estimate that our TRS is not expected to generate sufficient taxable income to recover the deferred tax assets. As of December 31, 2008, we had a net operating loss carryforward of \$35.5 million. The net operating loss carryforward expires in 2027.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We held cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$3.3 million at December 31, 2009, which excludes restricted cash of approximately \$16.5 million that is used to collateralize our credit default swap and certain other commercial real estate and financing obligations. As of December 31, 2009, we owed \$28.9 million to an affiliate of our Manager under our secured revolving credit facility. Unless earlier terminated as provided in the credit agreement, our credit facility terminates on August 31, 2010. If the Merger is not consummated and this facility is not extended and we cannot obtain alternative financing, we do not expect to have sufficient cash on hand or to generate sufficient cash

flow from operations to repay such indebtedness. Given the current lack of liquidity in the credit markets and the current economic climate, we expect to have difficulty obtaining alternative financing if our credit facility is not extended and if we cannot obtain alternative financing or extend our credit facility, it increases the risk that we will be unable to continue as a going concern. Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, has issued an opinion on our consolidated financial statements which states that our inability to refinance or extend the maturity of indebtedness maturing in the next 12 months raises substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

We require cash to fund our operating expenses. Our cash resources include cash on hand, cash flow from operations, principal and interest payments received from investments, cash from the sale of investments, borrowings under our secured credit facility, and when available (and when we deem appropriate), borrowings under reverse repurchase agreements. As discussed above, we do not anticipate having investable cash for investment for the foreseeable future.

We held cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$3.3 million at December 31, 2009. This excludes the restricted cash balance of approximately \$16.5 million, which consists of \$10.5 million that is used to collateralize our credit default swap, \$3.4 million that serves as collateral for a potential loss contingency for yield maintenance payments related to the sale of 11 of our whole loans to a third party and \$2.6 million that collateralizes certain other commercial real estate and financing obligations.

Our operating activities provided net cash of approximately \$17.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, which was primarily a result of a net loss of \$88.3 million offset by net changes in assets and liabilities carried under the fair value option of FASB ASC 825-10-45-1 (previously SFAS 159) of \$4.1 million, non-cash impairment charges relating to available-for-sale securities of \$77.9 million, an increase in the provision for loan loss of \$7.6 million, amortization of net realized cash flow hedge loss of \$1.4 million, accretion of net premium on investment securities and real estate loans of \$15.1 million and non-cash depreciation and amortization of \$12.1 million. Operating activities also provided net cash from a net increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses and interest payable of \$1.3 million. This was offset in part by other non-cash activities, including unrealized gain on derivatives of \$12.3 million, amortization of intangible liabilities of \$5.5 million, and by a net decrease in other receivables and prepaid expenses and other assets of \$1.2 million.

Our operating activities provided net cash of approximately \$39.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, which was primarily a result of a net loss of \$307.1 million being comprised in part of unrealized loss on derivatives of \$64.0 million, net changes in assets and liabilities carried under the fair value option of FASB ASC 825-10-45-01 (previously SFAS 159) of \$123.7 million, non-cash impairment charges relating to available-for-sale securities of \$142.9 million, provision for loan loss of \$27.1 million, non-cash depreciation and amortization of \$12.1 million, amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs of \$1.1 million and a realized net loss on available-for-sale securities, real estate loans and other investments of \$4.8 million. Operating activities provided further net cash from a net decrease in interest receivable of \$7.8 million and the receipt of restricted cash from the settlement of swaps of \$7.1 million. This was offset in part by other non-cash activities, including accretion of net discount on investment securities and real estate loans of \$18.1 million and amortization of intangible liabilities of \$5.5 million. The increase in cash was also further offset by a net decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities, due to Manager and interest payable of \$12.4 million, a net decrease in other receivables, prepaid expenses and other assets of \$1.8 million and \$10.5 million of net payments on the settlement of derivatives.

Our operating activities provided net cash of approximately \$35.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, which was primarily a result of a net loss of \$345.9 million being comprised in part of realized and unrealized loss on derivatives of \$88.8 million, non-cash impairment charges relating to available-for-sale securities of \$317.9 million, provision for loan loss of \$4.5 million, non-cash

Table of Contents

depreciation and amortization of stock based compensation, deferred financing costs and real estate assets of \$13.5 million, an increase in the return on investment from equity investments of \$2.6 million, and a realized net loss on available-for-sale securities, real estate loans and other investments of \$1.7 million. Operating activities provided further net cash from a net decrease in interest receivable of \$7.9 million. This was offset in part by other non-cash activities, including accretion of net discount on investment securities and real estate loans of \$19.4 million, a gain on foreign currency translation of \$4.3 million, amortization of intangible liabilities and deferred gains on derivatives of \$6.3 million, income from equity investments of \$2.6 million, accretion of interest on real estate loans of \$2.5 million and the reversal of a deferred tax liability of \$0.9 million. The increase in cash was also further offset by a net decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities, due to Manager and interest payable of \$17.6 million, a net increase in other receivables, prepaid expenses and other assets of \$2.7 million and \$1.9 million of payments on the settlement of derivatives.

Our investing activities provided net cash of \$4.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 primarily from proceeds from rent enhancement of \$3.1 million, principal repayments from investment securities and real estate loans totaling \$1.9 million and net receipts of restricted cash from credit default swaps of \$10.2 million. This was partially offset by net cash paid to terminate swaps of \$10.0 million.

Our investing activities provided net cash of \$1,403.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 primarily from proceeds received from the sale of available-for-sale securities of \$1,178.4 million, proceeds from the repayment at maturity of real estate loans of \$9.7 million, proceeds from the sale of real estate loans and other investments of \$152.5 million, proceeds from rent enhancement of \$3.4 million, principal repayments from investment securities and real estate loans totaling \$74.1 million and net receipts of restricted cash from other investments of \$16.2 million. This was partially offset by net cash paid to terminate swaps of \$30.2 million.

Our investing activities provided net cash of \$860.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 primarily from proceeds received from the sale of available-for-sale securities of \$1,643.1 million, proceeds from the sale of real estate loans and other investments of \$78.2 million, proceeds from the redemption of real estate loans of \$37.0 million, proceeds from rent enhancement of \$1.8 million, principal repayments from investment securities and real estate loans totaling \$398.5 million, net proceeds received from acquisition of credit default swaps of \$4.9 million and return of capital from equity investments of \$5.9 million. This was partially offset by the purchase of available-for-sale securities of \$893.1 million, the purchase and funding of equity investments of \$42.1 million, the acquisition of commercial real estate and real estate loans totaling \$291.4 million, net cash paid to terminate swaps of \$48.0 million, net deposits of restricted cash for credit default swaps of \$32.9 million and net deposits of restricted cash for investment of \$1.0 million.

Our financing activities used net cash of \$25.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 primarily due to dividends paid of \$9.9 million, principal repayments of CDOs of \$11.8 million and payments on borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility with a related party of \$4.0 million.

Our financing activities used net cash of \$1,464.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 primarily due to net payments on repurchase agreements of \$1,276.1 million, dividends paid of \$43.5 million, principal repayments of CDOs and senior mortgage-backed securities of \$119.4 million, payments on the settlement of derivatives of \$10.5 million and payments on borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility with a related party of \$34.4 million. This was partially offset by net receipts from restricted cash of \$19.3 million.

Table of Contents

Our financing activities used net cash of \$907.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 primarily due to net payments on repurchase agreements, including with related parties, of \$1,592.3 million, dividends paid of \$67.4 million, principal repayments of CDOs and senior mortgage-backed securities of \$34.4 million, payments of deferred financing costs of \$9.4 million, payments on the settlement of designated derivatives of \$10.0 million and the repurchase of common stock of \$4.5 million. This was partially offset by the issuance of CDOs of \$325.0 million, the issuance of senior mortgage-backed notes to a related party of \$101.5 million, proceeds from mortgage notes payable of \$219.4 million, proceeds from junior subordinated debentures of \$50.0 million, proceeds from borrowings under secured revolving credit facility with a related party of \$67.3 million, net receipts from restricted cash of \$44.7 million and proceeds from the settlement of derivatives of \$4.9 million.

Our source of funds as of December 31, 2009 consisted of borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility (which had unused availability of \$2.5 million as of December 31, 2009), which we used to refinance the acquisition financing of certain of our investments and to provide margin with respect to such borrowings and certain of our derivative transactions. Based on our current investment portfolio and the terms of our secured revolving credit facility, we currently do not expect to be able to borrow additional funds under our secured revolving credit facility, even if necessary to fund our current operations. Increases in short-term interest rates or widening of interest rate spreads could negatively affect the valuation of our mortgage-related assets, which could cause the lender under our secured revolving credit facility (an affiliate of our Manager) to require more collateral to secure its loans to us to the extent that we have any such collateral to pledge. Without additional equity capital, it is unlikely that we would be to able obtain debt financing for investment activity or for any other purpose, including funding our operating expenses.

For our short-term (one year or less) and long-term liquidity and compliance with collateralization requirements under our secured revolving credit facility (if the pledged collateral decreases in value or in the event of margin calls created by prepayments of the pledged collateral) and our derivatives transactions (if the value of our liability with respect to any such transaction decreases), we also rely on the cash flow from operations, primarily monthly principal and interest payments to be received on our investments, cash flow from the sale of our investments and rental income from our commercial real estate investments.

As a result of the disposition of our Agency MBS portfolio and the repayment of all of our repurchase agreement financing in 2008, we currently are not exposed to the risk of margin calls on our short-term financings, but as of December 31, 2009, we were exposed to the risk of margin calls totaling \$0.4 million with respect to our derivatives transactions.

Our ability to meet our long-term (greater than one year) liquidity and cash resource requirements in excess of our borrowing capacity will be subject to obtaining additional debt financing and/or equity capital. Such financing will depend on market conditions for capital raises and for the investment of any proceeds. We do not believe that raising equity capital is a viable option for us in light of our financial condition. Without additional capital, we will be unable to resume investment activity and may be unable to confront liquidity problems as our debt obligations begin to mature and if we cannot obtain alternative financing or extend the maturity of these debt obligations, it increases the risk that we are unable to continue as a going concern. Upon liquidation, holders of our debt securities and shares of preferred stock, if any, and lenders with respect to other borrowings will receive a distribution of our available assets prior to the holders of our common stock. In addition, as discussed above, if delinquency trends continue to rise, our revenue will continue to be adversely impacted as well.

In November 2009, our board of directors announced that it had elected to suspend the quarterly dividend to holders of shares of our common stock to preserve liquidity in consideration of the large increase in the delinquency rate on our CMBS portfolio and the resulting uncertainty regarding our operating cash flows. Based on our current forecasts, we would not be required to make any

Table of Contents

distributions in 2010 in order to maintain our REIT status through 2010. The elimination of the common dividend for the remainder of 2009, assuming the same \$0.10 quarterly dividend per share that was paid in October 2009, equated to approximately \$2.5 million in cash flow savings each quarter. Our board of directors will continue to evaluate our dividend policy in light of our portfolio performance and relevant provisions of the Internal Revenue Code; however, we are prohibited under the Merger Agreement from paying dividends at the present time.

In March 2007, our unconsolidated statutory trust, Crystal River Preferred Trust I, issued \$50.0 million of trust preferred securities to a third party investor. The trust preferred securities have a 30-year term, maturing in April 2037, are redeemable at par on or after April 2012 and pay interest at a fixed rate of 7.68% for the first five years ending April 2012, and thereafter, at a floating rate of three month LIBOR plus 2.75%. These trust preferred securities were repurchased and discharged in full in January 2010.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2009, we did not maintain any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance, or special-purpose or variable interest entities, established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. As of December 31, 2009, we had no outstanding commitment to fund real estate loans.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

As of March 15, 2005, we had entered into a management agreement with our Manager. Our Manager is entitled to receive a base management fee, incentive compensation, reimbursement of certain expenses and, in certain circumstances, a termination fee, all as described in the management agreement. During 2008, we and our Manager agreed that our base management fee would be paid in shares of our common stock, instead of cash. See "Related Party Transactions." Such fees and expenses do not have fixed and determinable payments and therefore have not been included in the table below.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we did not make any advances to fund real estate loans and as of December 31, 2009, we had no outstanding commitment to fund real estate loans. See Note 10 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein. During the first quarter of 2008, we sold our investment in private equity funds and were released from our capital commitment related to that investment.

In June and July 2008, we sold 13 whole loans to a third party. See Note 5 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein. In connection with the sale of 11 of those loans, we entered into a yield maintenance agreement that serves to protect the buyer against potential prepayments of those 11 whole loans. In accordance with the agreement, we deposited \$4.1 million into a restricted trust account to serve as collateral for the potential loss contingency. The agreement provides for the quarterly release to either the buyer or us of a proportionate share of the collateral contingent on any prepayments of the 11 whole loans. Our maximum loss contingency under this agreement at the time of sale totaled \$4.1 million. The initial accrued loss contingency was recorded as additional realized loss on the sale of real estate loans in our statement of operations during 2008. As of December 31, 2009, we accrued a loss contingency totaling \$0.1 million (compared to our maximum exposure to loss of \$3.2 million as of such date) based on assumptions developed by management relating to the timing and value of expected prepayments on the 11 loans.

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth information about our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2009. In addition to the amounts set forth in the table, we also are subject to interest rate swaps for which we cannot estimate future payments due.

	Payment due by Period									
			L	ess than					M	lore than
Contractual Obligations		Total		1 year	1 3	years	3	5 years	:	5 years
					(In tho	usands)				
Contractual Debt Obligations										
Collateralized debt obligations(1)	\$	455,262	\$		\$		\$		\$	455,262
Junior subordinated notes(2)		51,550								51,550
Mortgage payable		219,380		40		506		574		218,260
Secured revolving credit facility, related party(3)		28,920		28,920						
Operating Lease Obligations										
Houston ground lease		1,627		25		50		50		1,502
Total	\$	756,739	\$	28,985	\$	556	\$	624	\$	726,574

- (1)
 Amount is based on unpaid principal balance. As of December 31, 2009, the difference between fair value and unpaid principal balance is \$421,645.
- (2) In January 2010, we repurchased and discharged this indebtedness.
- (3) See " Related Party Transactions" below.

Our secured revolving credit facility contains covenants, including maintaining our REIT status. As of December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all of the covenants under the credit facility.

REIT Taxable Income

In order to maintain our qualification as a REIT and to avoid corporate-level income tax on the income we distribute to our stockholders, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (which includes net short-term capital gains) on an annual basis. This requirement could adversely affect our liquidity and capital resources. Under the Merger Agreement with Brookfield, we are prohibited from paying any dividends without Brookfield's consent.

REIT taxable income is calculated according to the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code, rather than GAAP. The following table reconciles GAAP net loss to estimated REIT taxable income for the years ended December 31, 2009, December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007:

	Year Ended December 31, 2009		_	ear Ended cember 31, 2008	ear Ended cember 31, 2007
			(In	thousands)	
GAAP net loss	\$	(88,280)	\$	(307,092)	\$ (345,878)
Adjustments to GAAP net loss:					
Net loss of our Taxable REIT Subsidiary		9		488	35,467
Share based compensation		176		(69)	414
Net tax adjustments related to interest					
income		21,673		(12,749)	2,840
Book derivative loss in excess of tax loss					
(income in excess of tax income)		(8,124)		18,267	40,691
Deductible REMIC losses		(132,789)		(5,044)	
Capital loss limitation		15,322		36,350	9,056
Impairment losses not deductible for tax					
purposes		77,947		142,916	317,931
Net change in assets and liabilities valued					
under fair value option		4,085		123,708	
Loan loss allowances not deductible for					
tax purposes		6,781		27,973	4,500
GAAP/tax difference on rent escalation					
and lease amortization		(1,319)		(1,542)	(996)
Other				(89)	691
Net adjustments to GAAP net loss		(16,239)		330,209	410,594
REIT taxable income (loss)	\$	(104,519)	\$	23,117	\$ 64,716

We believe that the presentation of our REIT taxable income is useful to investors because it demonstrates to investors the minimum amount of distributions we must make in order to maintain our qualification as a REIT and not be obligated to pay federal and state income taxes. However, we do not expect that the amount of distributions we make will necessarily correlate to our REIT taxable income as under the merger agreement with Brookfield, we are prohibited from making distributions to our stockholders without the consent of Brookfield. REIT taxable income will not necessarily bear any close relation to cash flow. Accordingly, we do not consider REIT taxable income to be a reliable measure of our liquidity although the related distribution requirement can impact our liquidity and capital resources. Moreover, there are limitations associated with REIT taxable income as a measure of our financial performance over any period. As a result, REIT taxable income should not be considered as a substitute for our GAAP net income as a measure of our financial performance.

Impact of Inflation

Our operating results depend in part on the difference between the interest income earned on our interest-earning assets and the interest expense incurred in connection with our interest-bearing liabilities. Changes in the general level of interest rates prevailing in the economy in response to changes in the rate of inflation or otherwise can affect our income by affecting the spread between our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, as well as, among other things, the value of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations, and other factors beyond our control. We employ the use of correlated hedging strategies to limit the effects of changes in interest rates on our operations, including engaging in interest rate swaps to minimize our exposure to changes in interest rates. There can be no assurance that we will be able to adequately protect against the foregoing risks or that we will ultimately realize

an economic benefit from any hedging contract into which we enter. Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP and our distributions are determined by our board of directors consistent with our obligation to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income on an annual basis to maintain our REIT qualification; in each case, our activities and balance sheet are measured with reference to historical cost and or fair market value without considering inflation.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The principal objective of our asset/liability management activities is to maximize net investment income, while minimizing levels of interest rate risk. Net investment income and interest expense are subject to the risk of interest rate fluctuations. To mitigate the impact of fluctuations in interest rates, we use interest rate swaps to effectively convert variable rate liabilities to fixed-rate liabilities for proper matching with fixed-rate assets. Each derivative used as an economic hedge is matched with an asset or liability with which it has a high correlation. The swap agreements are generally held-to-maturity and we do not use derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. We use interest rate swaps to effectively convert variable rate debt to fixed-rate debt for the financed portion of fixed-rate assets. The differential to be paid or received on these agreements is recognized as an adjustment to the interest expense related to debt and is recognized on the accrual basis.

As of December 31, 2009, the primary component of our market risk was interest rate risk, as described below. Although we do not seek to avoid risk completely, we do believe the risk can be quantified from historical experience and we seek to actively manage that risk, to earn sufficient compensation to justify taking those risks and to maintain capital levels consistent with the risks we undertake.

Interest Rate Risk We are subject to interest rate risk in connection with most of our investments and our related debt obligations, which generally are linked to LIBOR. We mitigate this risk through utilization of derivative contracts, primarily interest rate swap agreements. With respect to our commercial real estate investments, we manage interest rate risk through the use of fixed-rate mortgage loans.

Yield Spread Risk Most of our investments are also subject to yield spread risk. The majority of these securities are fixed-rate securities, which are valued based on a market credit spread over the rate payable on fixed-rate U.S. Treasuries of like maturity. In other words, their value is dependent on the yield demanded on such securities by the market, as based on their credit relative to U.S. Treasuries. An excessive supply of these securities combined with reduced demand will generally cause the market to require a higher yield on these securities, resulting in the use of a higher or "wider" spread over the benchmark rate (usually the applicable U.S. Treasury security yield) to value these securities. Under these conditions, the value of our real estate securities portfolio would tend to decrease. Conversely, if the spread used to value these securities were to decrease or "tighten," the value of our real estate securities would tend to increase. Such changes in the market value of our real estate securities portfolio may affect our net equity or cash flow either directly through their impact on unrealized gains or losses on available-for-sale securities by diminishing our ability to realize gains on such securities, or indirectly through their impact on our ability to borrow and access capital.

Effect on Net Investment Income In the past, we have funded a portion of our investments with short-term borrowings under repurchase agreements. During periods of rising interest rates, the borrowing costs associated with those investments tend to increase while the income earned on such investments could remain substantially unchanged. This results in a narrowing of the net interest spread between the related assets and borrowings and may even result in losses.

Table of Contents

On December 31, 2009, we were party to two interest rate swap contracts. The following table summarizes the expiration dates of these contracts and their notional amounts (in thousands):

Expiration Date	lotional Amount
2013	\$ 40,493
2018	240,467
Total	\$ 280,960

Hedging techniques are partly based on assumed levels of prepayments of our fixed-rate and hybrid adjustable-rate RMBS. If prepayments are slower or faster than assumed, the life of the RMBS will be longer or shorter, which would reduce the effectiveness of any hedging strategies we may use and may cause losses on such transactions. Hedging strategies involving the use of derivative securities are highly complex and may produce volatile returns.

Extension Risk We have in the past invested in, and may in the future invest in, Agency MBS and RMBS, some of which have interest rates that are fixed for the first few years of the loan (typically three, five, seven or 10 years) and thereafter reset periodically on the same basis as adjustable-rate Agency MBS and RMBS. We compute the projected weighted average life of our Agency MBS and RMBS based on assumptions regarding the rate at which the borrowers will prepay the underlying mortgages. In general, when a fixed-rate or hybrid adjustable-rate residential mortgage-backed security is acquired with borrowings, we may, but are not required to, enter into an interest rate swap agreement or other hedging instrument that effectively fixes our borrowing costs for a period close to the anticipated average life of the fixed-rate portion of the related Agency MBS and RMBS. This strategy is designed to protect us from rising interest rates because the borrowing costs are fixed for the duration of the fixed-rate portion of the related residential mortgage-backed security.

However, if prepayment rates decrease in a rising interest rate environment, the life of the fixed-rate portion of the related Agency MBS and RMBS could extend beyond the term of the swap agreement or other hedging instrument. This could have a negative impact on our results from operations, as borrowing costs would no longer be fixed after the end of the hedging instrument while the income earned on the Agency MBS and RMBS would remain fixed. This situation may also cause the market value of the Agency MBS and RMBS that we own to decline, with little or no offsetting gain from the related hedging transactions. In extreme situations, we may be forced to sell assets to maintain adequate liquidity, which could cause us to incur losses.

Hybrid Adjustable-Rate Agency MBS Interest Rate Cap Risk We have in the past also invested in hybrid adjustable-rate Agency MBS, which are based on mortgages that typically are subject to periodic and lifetime interest rate caps and floors, which limit the amount by which the security's interest yield may change during any given period. However, our borrowing costs pursuant to repurchase agreements that we have historically used to finance our Agency MBS generally are not subject to similar restrictions. Therefore, in a period of increasing interest rates, interest rate costs on our borrowings could increase without limitation by caps, while the interest-rate yields on our hybrid adjustable-rate Agency MBS would effectively be limited by caps. This problem will be magnified to the extent we acquire hybrid adjustable-rate Agency MBS that are not based on mortgages that are fully indexed. In addition, the underlying mortgages may be subject to periodic payment caps that result in some portion of the interest being deferred and added to the principal outstanding. This could result in our receipt of less cash income on our hybrid adjustable-rate Agency MBS than we need in order to pay the interest cost on our related borrowings. These factors could lower our net investment income or cause a net loss during periods of rising interest rates, which would harm our financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Interest Rate Mismatch Risk We may fund a portion of our investments with borrowings that, after the effect of hedging, have interest rates based on indices and repricing terms similar to, but of somewhat shorter maturities than, the interest rate indices and repricing terms of our investments. Thus, we anticipate that in most such cases the interest rate indices and repricing terms of our mortgage assets and our funding sources will not be identical, thereby creating an interest rate mismatch between assets and liabilities. Therefore, our cost of funds would likely rise or fall more quickly than would our earnings rate on assets. During periods of changing interest rates, such interest rate mismatches could negatively impact our financial condition, cash flows and results of operations. To mitigate interest rate mismatches, we may utilize the hedging strategies discussed above.

Our analysis of risks is based on management's experience, estimates, models and assumptions. These analyses rely on models that utilize estimates of fair value and interest rate sensitivity. Actual economic conditions or implementation of investment decisions by our management may produce results that differ significantly from the estimates and assumptions used in our models and the projected results shown in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Prepayment Risk Prepayments are the full or partial repayment of principal prior to the original term to maturity of a mortgage loan and typically occur due to refinancing of mortgage loans. Prepayment rates for existing RMBS generally increase when prevailing interest rates fall below the market rate existing when the underlying mortgages were originated. In addition, prepayment rates on adjustable-rate and hybrid adjustable-rate RMBS generally increase when the difference between long-term and short-term interest rates declines or becomes negative. Prepayments of RMBS could harm our results of operations in several ways. Some adjustable-rate mortgages underlying our adjustable-rate RMBS may bear initial "teaser" interest rates that are lower than their "fully-indexed" rates, which refers to the applicable index rates plus a margin. In the event that such an adjustable-rate mortgage is prepaid prior to or soon after the time of adjustment to a fully-indexed rate, the holder of the related RMBS would have held such security while it was less profitable and lost the opportunity to receive interest at the fully-indexed rate over the expected life of the adjustable-rate RMBS. Finally, in the event that we are unable to acquire new mortgage assets to replace the prepaid assets, our financial condition, cash flow and results of operations could be negatively affected.

Credit Risk Our portfolio of commercial real estate loans and securities is subject to a high degree of credit risk. Credit risk is the exposure to loss from debtor defaults. Default rates are subject to a wide variety of factors, including, but not limited to, property performance, property management, supply and demand factors, construction trends, consumer behavior, regional economics, interest rates, the strength of the United States economy and other factors beyond our control.

All loans are subject to a certain probability of default. We have underwritten our CMBS and RMBS investments assuming the underlying loans will suffer a certain dollar amount of defaults and the defaults will lead to some level of realized losses. Loss adjusted yields are computed based on these assumptions and applied to each class of security supported by the cash flow on the underlying loans. The most significant variables affecting loss adjusted yields include, but are not limited to, the number of defaults, the severity of loss that occurs subsequent to a default and the timing of the actual loss. The different rating levels of CMBS will react differently to changes in these assumptions. The lowest rated securities generally are more sensitive to changes in timing of actual losses. The higher rated securities are more sensitive to the severity of losses.

We generally assume that substantially all of the principal of a non-rated security will not be recoverable over time. The timing and the amount of the loss of principal are the key assumptions to determine the economic yield of these securities. Timing is of paramount importance because we will assume substantial losses of principal on the non-rated securities, therefore the longer the principal balance remains outstanding the more interest the holder receives to support a greater economic

Table of Contents

return. Alternatively, if principal is lost faster than originally assumed, there is less opportunity to receive interest and a lower return or loss may result.

If actual principal losses on the underlying loans exceed assumptions, the higher rated securities will be affected more significantly as a loss of principal may not have been assumed. We manage credit risk through the underwriting process, establishing loss assumptions and monitoring of loan performance. Before acquiring an interest in the controlling class security (represented by a majority ownership interest in the most subordinate tranche) in a proposed pool of loans, we perform a rigorous analysis of all of the proposed underlying loans. Information from this review is then used to establish loss assumptions. We assume that a certain portion of the loans will default and calculate an expected or loss adjusted yield based on that assumption. After the securities have been acquired, we monitor the performance of the loans, as well as external factors that may affect their value.

Factors that indicate a higher loss severity or acceleration of the timing of an expected loss will cause a reduction in the expected yield and therefore reduce our earnings. Furthermore, we may be required to write down a portion of the accreted cost basis of the affected assets through a charge to income.

We also have invested in commercial real estate loans, primarily mezzanine loans, bridge loans, A Notes, B Notes, loans to real estate companies, whole mortgage loans, first mortgage participations and net leased real estate. We have also invested in residential mortgages and related securities. These investments will be subject to credit risk. The extent of our credit risk exposure will be dependent on risks associated with commercial and residential real estate. Property values and net operating income derived from such properties are subject to volatility and may be affected adversely by a number of factors, including, but not limited to, national, regional and local economic conditions (which may be adversely affected by industry slowdowns and other factors); local real estate conditions (such as an oversupply of housing, retail, industrial, office or other commercial space); changes or continued weakness in specific industry segments; construction quality, age and design; demographic factors; retroactive changes to building or similar codes; and increases in operating expenses (such as energy costs). In the event a borrower's net operating income decreases, the borrower may have difficulty repaying our loans, which could result in losses to us. In addition, decreases in property values reduce the value of the collateral and the potential proceeds available to a borrower to repay our loans, which could also cause us to suffer losses. When we underwrite the origination of a commercial real estate loan, we do not underwrite to an expected loss; when we underwrite the purchase of a commercial real estate loan, we may underwrite to an expected loss based on the price of the loan.

Effect on Fair Value Another component of interest rate risk is the effect changes in interest rates will have on the market value of our assets. We face the risk that the market value of our assets will increase or decrease at different rates than that of our liabilities, including our hedging instruments. We primarily assess our interest rate risk by estimating the duration of our assets and the duration of our liabilities. Duration essentially measures the market price volatility of financial instruments as interest rates change. We generally calculate duration using various financial models and empirical data. Different models and methodologies can produce different duration numbers for the same securities.

Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis

The following interest rate sensitivity analysis is measured using an option-adjusted spread model combined with a proprietary prepayment model. We shock the curve up and down 100 basis points and analyze the change in interest rates, prepayments and cash flows through a Monte Carlo simulation. We then calculate an average price for each scenario, which is used in our risk management analysis.

Table of Contents

The following sensitivity analysis table shows the estimated impact on the fair value of our interest rate-sensitive investments, CDO liabilities, senior mortgage-backed notes, mortgages payable, junior subordinated notes, secured revolving credit facility indebtedness and swaps, at December 31, 2009, assuming rates instantaneously fall 100 basis points and rise 100 basis points:

						Interest Rates Rise 100	
			Unchanged		Basis Points		
		(De	ollar	s in thousand	s)		
Mortgage assets and other investment securities(1)	_				_		
Fair value	\$	43,962	\$	42,826	\$	42,246	
Change in fair value	\$	1,136			\$	(580)	
Change as a percent of fair value		2.65%				(1.35)%	
Real estate loans, including real estate loans held for sale							
Fair value	\$	6,087	\$	5,903	\$	5,720	
Change in fair value	\$	184			\$	(183)	
Change as a percent of fair value		3.12%				(3.10)%	
Other assets(2)							
Fair value	\$	243	\$	243	\$	243	
Change in fair value		n/m				n/m	
Change as a percent of fair value		n/m				n/m	
CDO liabilities							
Fair value	\$	(33,617)	\$	(33,617)	\$	(33,617)	
Change in fair value		n/m				n/m	
Change as a percent of fair value		n/m				n/m	
Mortgages payable							
Fair value	\$	(155,565)	\$	(147,873)	\$	(140,182)	
Change in fair value	\$	(7,692)		, i	\$	7,691	
Change as a percent of fair value		5.20%				(5.20)%	
Junior subordinated notes						, í	
Fair value	\$	(8,409)	\$	(8,087)	\$	(7,907)	
Change in fair value	\$	(322)		(1,111)	\$	180	
Change as a percent of fair value		3.98%			•	(2.23)%	
Secured revolving credit facility, related party						(=1=1),1	
Fair value	\$	(28,631)	\$	(28,631)	\$	(28,631)	
Change in fair value		n/m		(1,11)		n/m	
Change as a percent of fair value		n/m				n/m	
Undesignated interest rate swaps							
Fair value	\$	(26,554)	\$	(25,308)	\$	(24,161)	
Change in fair value	\$	(1,246)	Ψ	(20,000)	\$	1,147	
Change as a percent of notional value	Ψ	(0.44)%	6		Ψ	0.41%	
Credit default swaps		(0.11)				0.1170	
Fair value	\$	(9,824)	\$	(9,628)	\$	(9,447)	
Change in fair value	\$	(196)	Ψ	(2,020)	\$	181	
Change as a percent of notional value	Ψ	(1.96)%	6		Ψ	1.81%	
change as a percent of notional value		(1.90)/	U			1.01/0	

⁽¹⁾ The fair value of all of our investment securities is included.

n/m = not meaningful

⁽²⁾ The fair value of our other investment securities that are sensitive to interest rate changes is included.

Table of Contents

The following sensitivity analysis table shows the estimated impact on the fair value of our interest rate-sensitive investments, CDO liabilities, senior mortgage-backed notes, mortgages payable, junior subordinated notes, secured revolving credit facility indebtedness and swaps, at December 31, 2008, assuming rates instantaneously fall 100 basis points and rise 100 basis points:

			nchanged			
		(Do	ollar	s in thousand	s)	
Mortgage assets and other investment securities(1)	ф	72.045	ф	72.016	Ф	71 402
Fair value	\$	73,945	\$	72,916	\$	71,483
Change in fair value	\$	1,029			\$	(1,433)
Change as a percent of fair value		1.41%				(1.97)%
Real estate loans, including real estate loans held for sale		40.00		40.550	_	10.000
Fair value	\$	13,885	\$	13,578	\$	13,383
Change in fair value	\$	307			\$	(195)
Change as a percent of fair value		2.26%				(1.44)%
Other assets(2)						
Fair value	\$	297	\$	297	\$	297
Change in fair value		n/m				n/m
Change as a percent of fair value		n/m				n/m
CDO liabilities						
Fair value	\$	(45,429)	\$	(45,429)	\$	(45,429)
Change in fair value		n/m				n/m
Change as a percent of fair value		n/m				n/m
Mortgages payable						
Fair value	\$	(134,921)	\$	(128,235)	\$	(121,550)
Change in fair value	\$	(6,686)			\$	6,685
Change as a percent of fair value		5.21%				(5.21)%
Junior subordinated notes						
Fair value	\$	(10,210)	\$	(9,865)	\$	(9,519)
Change in fair value	\$	(345)			\$	346
Change as a percent of fair value		3.50%				(3.50)%
Secured revolving credit facility, related party						
Fair value	\$	(32,112)	\$	(32,112)	\$	(32,112)
Change in fair value		n/m				n/m
Change as a percent of fair value		n/m				n/m
Undesignated interest rate swaps						
Fair value	\$	(42,206)	\$	(37,659)	\$	(34,061)
Change in fair value	\$	(4,547)			\$	3,598
Change as a percent of notional value		(1.60)%	6			1.26%
Credit default swaps		(110)				
Fair value	\$	(19,150)	\$	(19,140)	\$	(19,130)
Change in fair value	\$	(10)	ĺ	(: ,- :)	\$	10
Change as a percent of notional value		(0.05)%	6			0.05%

⁽¹⁾ The fair value of all of our investment securities is included.

n/m = not meaningful

⁽²⁾ The fair value of our other investment securities that are sensitive to interest rate changes is included.

Table of Contents

It is important to note that the impact of changing interest rates on fair value can change significantly when interest rates change beyond 100 basis points from current levels. Therefore, the volatility in the fair value of our assets could increase significantly when interest rates change beyond 100 basis points. In addition, other factors affect the fair value of our interest rate-sensitive investments and hedging instruments, such as the shape of the yield curve, market expectations as to future interest rate changes and other market conditions. Accordingly, in the event of changes in actual interest rates, the change in the fair value of our assets would likely differ from that shown above, and such difference might be material and adverse to our stockholders.

Currency Risk From time to time, we may make investments that are denominated in a foreign currency through which we may be subject to foreign currency exchange risk. Changes in currency rates can adversely affect the fair values and earnings of our non-U.S. holdings. We attempt to mitigate this impact by utilizing currency swaps on our foreign currency-denominated investments or foreign currency forward commitments to hedge the net exposure. As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we held no investments denominated in foreign currency and accordingly, we were not exposed to foreign currency exchange risk.

Related Party Transactions

Please refer to the discussion under "Recent Developments Possible Acquisition of Crystal River" for a discussion of the merger agreement we have entered into with Brookfield and to the discussion under Recent Developments Binding Letter of Intent for Asset Sale" for a discussion of the letter of intent that we signed with respect to the sale of certain of our CMBS and collateral manager appointment rights to affiliates of our Manager.

We have entered into a management agreement, as amended (the "Agreement"), with our Manager. The current term of the Agreement expires in December 2010, and the Agreement will be automatically renewed for a one-year term each December 31 thereafter unless we or our Manager terminate the Agreement. The Agreement provides that our Manager will provide us with investment management services and certain administrative services and will perform our day-to-day operations. The monthly base management fee for such services is equal to 1.5% of one-twelfth of our equity, as defined in the Agreement, payable in arrears. We paid the base management fee for the year ended December 31, 2008 in shares of our common stock, rather than in cash. In May 2008, we issued 68,338 shares of our common stock to our Manager in respect of the base management fee for the quarter ended March 31, 2008 at a price per share of \$10.15; in August 2008, we issued 99,998 shares of our common stock to our Manager in respect of the base management fee for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 at a price per share of \$4.18; and in November 2008, we issued 29,969 shares of our common stock to our Manager in respect of the base management fee for the quarter ended September 30, 2008 at a price per share of \$1.93.

In addition, under the Agreement, our Manager earns a quarterly incentive fee equal to 25% of the amount by which the quarterly net income per share, as defined in the Agreement (which principally excludes the effect of stock compensation and the unrealized change in derivatives), exceeds an amount equal to the product of the weighted average of the price per share of the common stock we issued in our March 2005 private offering and in our August 2006 initial public offering and the price per share of common stock in any subsequent offerings by us, multiplied by the higher of (i) 2.4375% or (ii) 25% of the then applicable 10 year Treasury note rate plus 0.50%, multiplied by the then weighted average number of outstanding shares for the quarter. The incentive fee is paid quarterly. The Agreement provides that 10% of the incentive management fee is to be paid in shares of our common stock (providing that such payment does not result in our Manager owning directly or indirectly more than 9.8% of our issued and outstanding common stock) and the balance is to be paid in cash. Our Manager may, at its sole discretion, elect to receive a greater percentage of its incentive management fee in shares of our common stock. The incentive management fees included in our

Table of Contents

consolidated statements of operations that were incurred during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 were \$0, \$0 and \$0.1 million, respectively.

The Agreement may be terminated upon the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the independent members of our board of directors after the expiration of the initial term and by providing at least 180 days prior notice based upon either: (i) unsatisfactory performance by our Manager that is materially detrimental to us, or (ii) a determination by the independent members of our board of directors that the management fees payable to our Manager are not fair (subject to our Manager's right to prevent a compensation termination by agreeing to a mutually acceptable reduction of the management fees). If we terminate the Agreement, then we must pay our Manager a termination fee equal to twice the sum of the average annual base and incentive fees earned by our Manager during the two twelve-month periods immediately preceding the date of termination, calculated as of the end of the most recently completed fiscal quarter prior to the date of termination.

We issued to our Manager 84,000 shares of our restricted common stock and granted options to purchase 126,000 shares of our common stock for a 10 year period at a price of \$25 per share in March 2005. We issued to certain of our directors a total of 2,000 shares of restricted stock in May 2007, 2,000 shares of restricted stock in June 2008 and 4,000 shares of restricted stock in June 2009, all of which vest on the first anniversary of the date of issuance. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the base management fee expense was \$0, \$1.3 million and \$5.8 million, respectively. Included in the management fee expense for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$0, \$0 and \$0.6 million, respectively, of amortization of stock-based compensation related to restricted stock and options granted.

The Agreement provides that we are required to reimburse our Manager for certain expenses incurred by our Manager on our behalf provided that such costs and reimbursements are no greater than that which would be paid to outside professionals or consultants on an arm's length basis. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we were not charged any reimbursable costs by our Manager.

In January 2007, we purchased a \$28.5 million investment in BREF One, LLC, which we refer to as the Fund, a real estate finance fund sponsored by Brookfield, the indirect parent of our Manager, and managed by a Brookfield subsidiary, and incurred a \$10.4 million unfunded capital commitment to the Fund. The acquisition was made from two subsidiaries of Brookfield. During the first quarter of 2008, we sold our interest in the Fund to an affiliate of our Manager at its carrying value of \$35.7 million and we were released from our unfunded capital commitment to the Fund. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we had less than \$0.1 million of equity losses from our investment in the Fund and during the year ended December 31, 2007, we had \$2.6 million of equity income from our investment in the Fund.

In February 2007, we entered into a sale and purchase agreement with BREOF BNK Fannin LP and BREOF BNK Phoenix LLC, two subsidiaries of a real estate opportunity fund sponsored by Brookfield and managed by a Brookfield subsidiary, to acquire two commercial properties as described in Note 6 to our financial statements for a total value of \$234.7 million. We paid the purchase price for the properties with the proceeds from a \$198.5 million mortgage loan from an external lender that bears interest at an annual fixed rate of 5.509% and matures on April 1, 2017 and with available cash. In addition to the sale and purchase agreement, we entered into rent enhancement agreements with BREOF BNK Fannin LP and BREOF BNK Phoenix LLC under which we will receive monthly rent enhancement payments through 2015 totaling \$15.9 million.

In April 2007, we issued \$115.0 million of senior mortgage-backed notes through a newly-formed subsidiary, CRZ ABCP Financing LLC. We retained \$9.3 million of senior subordinated mortgage-backed notes and \$4.3 million of subordinated mortgage-backed notes. CRZ ABCP Financing LLC held assets, consisting of commercial whole mortgage loans, which served as collateral for the

mortgage-backed notes. Senior mortgage-backed notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$101.5 million were issued to an affiliate of our Manager with floating coupons with an interest rate of three-month LIBOR plus 0.35%. Interest on the senior mortgage-backed notes was payable monthly. The senior mortgage-backed notes had a maturity of April 2017 and the outstanding principal was due at maturity. Early repayments of the underlying mortgage loans require repayment of a portion of the senior mortgage-backed notes. The senior mortgage-backed notes were treated as a secured financing, and were non recourse to us. Proceeds from the sale of the senior mortgage-backed notes issued were used to repay outstanding debt under our repurchase agreements. The senior mortgage-backed notes were repaid in their entirety during the year ended December 31, 2008.

In August 2007, we entered into a \$100.0 million unsecured 364-day credit facility with Brookfield US Corporation, an affiliate of our Manager, Under the terms of the credit facility, if our current manager, Crystal River Capital Advisors, LLC, or another wholly owned subsidiary of Brookfield Asset Management Inc. is not acting as our manager, then Brookfield US Corporation may accelerate all amounts due under our revolving credit facility. Indebtedness outstanding under the unsecured credit facility bore interest at LIBOR + 4.00%. In November 2007, we and Brookfield US Corporation amended the terms of the facility, effective as of September 30, 2007, to convert the facility to a secured revolving credit facility that provides for borrowings of up to \$100.0 million in the aggregate and to reduce the interest rate to LIBOR + 2.50%. On March 7, 2008, we and Brookfield US Corporation amended the terms of the facility, effective as of December 31, 2007, to extend the term of the facility from November 2008 to May 2009, to revise the financial covenant relating to minimum net worth and to eliminate the financial covenants relating to minimum net income (as defined in the facility), compliance with a maximum leverage ratio and compliance with an interest rate sensitivity requirement. On August 7, 2008, we and Brookfield US Corporation amended the terms of the facility, effective as of June 30, 2008, to revise the financial covenant relating to minimum net worth. On February 26, 2009, we and Brookfield US Corporation amended the terms of the facility to extend the term of the facility from May 2009 to May 2010, to lower the borrowing capacity under the facility from \$100.0 million to \$50.0 million and, effective as of December 31, 2008, to delete the financial covenant relating to minimum net worth. On February 23, 2010, we and Brookfield US Corporation amended the terms of the facility to extend the term of the facility from May 2010 to August 31, 2010 unless sooner terminated as provided in the credit agreement. The secured facility bears interest at LIBOR + 2.50%. The credit facility and the amendments were approved by the independent members of our board of directors. As of December 31, 2009, we owed \$28.9 million under this facility, we had pledged MBS, real estate loans and a portion of the equity in our commercial real estate investments with an aggregate carrying value of \$33.4 million to secure the \$28.9 million of borrowings outstanding at such date and we had \$2.5 million of unused availability under this facility. The credit agreement contains customary representations, warranties and covenants, including covenants requiring us to maintain our REIT status and limiting dividends, liens, mergers, asset sales and other fundamental changes. As of December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all of the covenants under the credit agreement.

In August 2007, we sold one whole loan to an affiliate of our Manager at its carrying value plus accrued interest receivable for total proceeds of \$45.4 million.

In September 2007, we entered into a sale and purchase agreement with BREOF BNK2 Arlington LP, a subsidiary of a real estate opportunity fund sponsored by Brookfield and managed by a Brookfield subsidiary, to acquire one commercial property as described in Note 5 to our financial statements for a total value of \$26.5 million. We paid the purchase price for the property with the proceeds from a \$20.9 million mortgage loan from an external lender that bears interest at an annual fixed rate of 6.29% and matures on October 1, 2017 and with available cash. In addition to the sale and purchase agreement, we entered into rent enhancement agreements with BREOF BNK2

Table of Contents

Arlington LP under which we will receive monthly rent enhancement payments through 2019 totaling \$6.9 million.

We and our Manager have entered into sub-advisory agreements with other affiliated entities and the fees payable under such agreements will be paid from any management fees earned by our Manager. In addition, certain of these affiliated sub-advisory entities introduced investments to us for purchase that we acquired for a total of \$289.0 million and \$21.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2007; our affiliated sub-advisory entities did not introduce any investments to us that we acquired during the years ended December 31, 2009 or December 31, 2008. The purchase price of three commercial real estate properties that we purchased in March 2007 and September 2007 from an affiliate of our Manager, at a total cost of approximately \$260.5 million, were determined as part of a competitive bid process, and the purchase price of our investment in a private equity fund managed by an affiliate of our Manager, at a total initial cost of approximately \$28.5 million, was acquired at its book value assuming hypothetical liquidation. All such acquisitions were approved in advance by the independent members of our board of directors.

Risk Management

To the extent consistent with maintaining our REIT status, we seek to manage our interest rate risk exposure to protect our portfolio of RMBS and other mortgage securities and related debt against the effects of major interest rate changes. We generally seek to manage our interest rate risk by:

monitoring and adjusting, if necessary, the reset indices and interest rates related to our MBS and our borrowings;

attempting to structure our borrowing agreements to have a range of different maturities, terms, amortizations and interest rate adjustment periods;

using derivatives, financial futures, swaps, options, caps, floors and forward sales, to adjust the interest rate sensitivity of our MBS and our borrowings; and

actively managing, on an aggregate basis, the interest rate indices, interest rate adjustment periods, and gross reset margins of our MBS and the interest rate indices and adjustment periods of our borrowings.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

See the discussion of quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk in the "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Item 7 above.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

The financial statements required by this item and the reports of the independent registered public accounting firm thereon appear on pages F-2 to F-80 and S-1 to S-5. See accompanying Index to the Consolidated Financial Statements on page F-1. The supplementary financial data required by Item 302 of Regulation S-K appears in Note 19 to the consolidated financial statements.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure based closely on the definition of "disclosure controls and procedures" in Rule 13a-15(e). In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Also, we have investments in certain unconsolidated entities. As we do not control these entities, our disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are necessarily substantially more limited than those we maintain with respect to our consolidated subsidiaries.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 based on the framework in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on that evaluation, we concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2009.

Attestation Report of Registered Public Accounting Firm

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, independently assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting. Ernst & Young's report appears on page F-4 of this Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Controls

There have been no significant changes in our "internal control over financial reporting" (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act) that occurred during the year ended December 31, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9	9B. (Other	Information.

None.

PART III

In accordance with General Instruction G.(3) of Form 10-K certain information required by this Part III will either be incorporated into this Form 10-K by reference to our definitive proxy statement (our "2010 Proxy Statement") for our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders filed within 120 days after December 31, 2009 or will be included in an amendment to this Form 10-K filed within 120 days after December 31, 2009. To the extent such information is included in our 2010 Proxy Statement within 120 days after December 31, 2010, it is expected to be incorporated by reference to the sections of our 2010 Proxy Statement specified below.

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

The information appearing in our 2010 Proxy Statement under the following headings is incorporated herein by reference:

"Proposal 1 Election of Directors;" and

"Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance."

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information appearing in our 2010 Proxy Statement under the following headings is incorporated herein by reference:

"Proposal 1 Election of Directors Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation;"

"Compensation Committee Report;"

"Compensation Discussion and Analysis;" and

"Executive and Director Compensation."

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information appearing in our 2010 Proxy Statement under the following heading is incorporated herein by reference:

"Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management"

The information under "Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities Equity Compensation Plan Information" in this Annual Report on Form 10-K is also incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The information appearing in our 2010 Proxy Statement under the following headings is incorporated herein by reference:

"Proposal 1 Election of Directors;" and

"Transactions with Related Parties and Certain Control Persons."

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

The information appearing in our 2010 Proxy Statement under the following heading is incorporated herein by reference:

"Proposal 2 Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm."

120

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) (1) Financial Statements

See the accompanying Index to Financial Statement Schedules on page F-1.

(a) (2) Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules

See the accompanying Index to Financial Statement Schedules on page F-1.

(a) (3) Exhibits

The information below under the heading "Exhibit Index" is incorporated herein by reference.

121

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CRYSTAL RIVER CAPITAL, INC.

March 26, 2010	/s/ RODMAN L. DRAKE
Date Durguant to the requirements of the Securities Eych	Rodman L. Drake Chairman of the Board President and Chief Executive Officer ange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf
of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates inc	
March 26, 2010	/s/ RODMAN L. DRAKE
Date	Rodman L. Drake Chairman of the Board President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
March 26, 2010	/s/ CRAIG J. LAURIE
Date	Craig J. Laurie Director Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)
March 26, 2010	/s/ JANET GRAHAM
Date	Janet Graham, Director
March 26, 2010	/s/ HARALD HANSEN
Date	Harald Hansen, Director
March 26, 2010	/s/ WILLIAM F. PAULSEN
Date	William F. Paulsen, Director
March 26, 2010	/s/ LOUIS P. SALVATORE
Date	Louis P. Salvatore, Director

EXHIBIT INDEX

	EXHIDI INDEX
Exhibit Number 2.1	Description Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of February 23, 2010, by and among Brookfield Asset Management Inc., B Acquisition Sub Inc., and Crystal River Capital, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-32958) filed on February 24, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.1	Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Crystal River Capital, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-32958) filed on March 30, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Crystal River Capital, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-32958) filed on December 19, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.1	Form of Certificate of Common Stock for Crystal River Capital, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Amendment No. 3 to Form S-11 (File No. 333-130256) filed on July 13, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.1 ^(a)	Amended and Restated Management Agreement, dated as of July 11, 2006, between Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Hyperion Brookfield Crystal River Capital Advisors, LLC (filed as Exhibit 10.1(a) to the Company's Registration Statement on Amendment No. 3 to Form S-11 (File No. 333-130256) filed on July 13, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.1(b)	Sub-Advisory Agreement, dated as of July 10, 2006, among Crystal River Capital, Inc., Hyperion Brookfield Crystal River Capital Advisors, LLC and Brookfield Crystal River Capital L.P. (filed as Exhibit 10.1(b) to the Company's Registration Statement on Amendment No. 3 to Form S-11 (File No. 333-130256) filed on July 13, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.1 ^(c)	Sub-Advisory Agreement, dated as of March 3, 2009, among Crystal River Capital, Inc., Hyperion Brookfield Crystal River Capital Advisors, LLC and Hyperion Brookfield Asset Management, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.1(c) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 001-32958) filed on March 3, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.2(a)	Crystal River Capital, Inc. 2005 Long Term Incentive Plan, as amended (filed as Exhibit 10.3(a) to the Company's Registration Statement on Amendment No. 3 to Form S-11 (File No. 333-130256) filed on July 13, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.2 ^(b)	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.3(b) to the Company's Registration Statement on Amendment No. 3 to Form S-11 (File No. 333-130256) filed on July 13, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.2 ^(c)	Form of Stock Option Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.3(c) to the Company's Registration Statement on Amendment No. 3 to Form S-11 (File No. 333-130256) filed on July 13, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.2(d)	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.3(d) to the Company's Registration Statement on

Amendment No. 3 to Form S-11 (File No. 333-130256) filed on July 13, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference). 123

Edgar Filing: Crystal River Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit

Number Description

- 10.2(e) Compensation arrangements with directors (incorporated herein by reference to the information under the caption "EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATON Compensation of Directors" in the Company's Definitive Schedule 14A filed on April 28, 2009).
- 10.3^(a) Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement between Brascan (US) Corporation, as Lender, and Crystal River Capital, Inc., as Borrower, dated as of November 8, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-32958) filed on November 14, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.3(b) First Amendment to Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement between Brookfield US Corporation (f/k/a Brascan (US) Corporation), as Lender, and Crystal River Capital, Inc., as Borrower, dated as of March 7, 2008 (filed as Exhibit 10.4(B) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 (File No. 001-32958) filed on March 13, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.3(c) Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement between Brookfield US Corporation (f/k/a Brascan (US) Corporation), as Lender, and Crystal River Capital, Inc., as Borrower, dated as of August 7, 2008 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008 (File No. 001-32958) filed on August 11, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.3^(d) Third Amendment to Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement between Brookfield US Corporation (f/k/a Brascan (US) Corporation), as Lender, and Crystal River Capital, Inc., as Borrower, dated as of February 26, 2009 (filed as Exhibit 10.4(d) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 001-32958) filed on March 3, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.3(e) Fourth Amendment to Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement by and between Brookfield US Corporation and Crystal River Capital, Inc., dated as of February 23, 2010 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-32958) filed on February 24, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.4* Exchange Agreement, dated as of January 29, 2010, by and among Crystal River Capital, Inc., Taberna Preferred Funding VIII, Ltd. and Taberna Preferred Funding IX, Ltd.
- 11.1 Statements regarding Computation of Earnings per Share (data required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 128, Earnings per Share, is provided in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements contained in this report).
- 21.1* Subsidiaries of Crystal River Capital, Inc.
- 23.1* Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.
- 31.1* Certification of Rodman L. Drake, Chief Executive Officer, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2* Certification of Craig J. Laurie, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1* Certification of Rodman L. Drake, Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Edgar Filing: Crystal River Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit Numbe		Description Certification of Craig J. Laurie, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
*	Repr	esents a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.
•	Filed	herewith.

125

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedules

Management's Responsibility for Financial Statements	<u>F-2</u>
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-3
Audited Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008	<u>F-5</u>
	<u>F-5</u>
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007	<u>F-6</u>
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007	<u>F-7</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007	<u>1·-/</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>F-8</u>
	<u>F-10</u>
Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves	<u>S-1</u>
Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation	
Schedule IV Mortgage Loans on Real Estate	<u>S-2</u>
	<u>S-4</u>
All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the financial statements thereto.	or the notes

F-1

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY FOR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Crystal River Capital, Inc.'s management is responsible for the integrity and objectivity of all financial information included in this Annual Report. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The financial statements include amounts that are based on the best estimates and judgments of management. All financial information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K is consistent with that in the consolidated financial statements.

Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited these consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and has expressed herein its unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, which oversees Crystal River Capital, Inc.'s financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors, is composed entirely of independent directors (as defined by the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules). The Audit Committee meets periodically with management, the independent accountants, and the internal auditors to review matters relating to the Company's financial statements and financial reporting process, annual financial statement audit, engagement of independent accountants, internal audit function, system of internal controls, and legal compliance and ethics programs as established by Crystal River Capital, Inc.'s management and the Board of Directors. The internal auditors and the independent registered public accounting firm periodically meet alone with the Audit Committee and have access to the Audit Committee at any time.

Dated: March 26, 2010

Rodman L. Drake President and Chief Executive Officer Craig J. Laurie Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index as Item 15(a)(2). These financial statements and schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company's inability to refinance or extend the maturity of indebtedness maturing in the next 12 months raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans as to this matter also are described in Note 2. The 2009 consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Also as discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, in 2009 the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Staff Position Financial Accounting Standard ("FAS") 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments* (codified in FASB Accounting Standard Codification ("ASC") 320, *Investments Debt and Equity Securities*) and in 2008 the Company adopted FASB Statement No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (codified in FASB ASC 825, *Financial Instruments*).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 24, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

New York, New York March 24, 2010

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries' (the "Company") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of the Company as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009 and our report dated March 24, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

New York, New York March 24, 2010

F-4

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

December 31,

	2009	2008
ASSETS:		
Investment securities, at fair		
value		
Commercial MBS:		
Available-for-sale	\$ 5,227	\$ 14,317
Held-for-trading	32,886	43,776
Non-Agency Residential MBS:		
Available-for-sale	2,091	7,298
Held-for-trading	2,622	7,525
Real estate loans	2,022	9,034
Real estate loans held for sale	5,903	5,058
Commercial real estate, net	221,754	228,259
Other investments	1,550	1,550
Intangible assets	69,908	75,541
Cash and cash equivalents	3,336	6,239
Restricted cash	16,489	26,107
Receivables:	.,	.,
Principal paydown	8	10
Interest	2,049	4,152
Rent enhancement receivables,		
related party	11,439	13,828
Other receivables	4,188	3,135
Prepaid expenses and other		
assets	1,046	939
Deferred financing costs, net	1,457	1,533
Total Assets	\$ 381,953	\$ 448,301

LIABILITIES AND

STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT:

Liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued		
expenses	\$ 2,836	\$ 2,652
Dividends payable		2,511
Intangible liabilities	66,785	72,265
Collateralized debt obligations,		
at fair value	33,617	45,429
Junior subordinated notes	51,550	51,550
Mortgages payable	219,380	219,380
Secured revolving credit facility,		
related party	28,920	32,920
Interest payable	1,614	1,357
Derivative liabilities	35,378	57,646
Total Liabilities	440,080	485,710

Commitments and Contingencies

Stockholders' Deficit:

Preferred Stock, par value \$0.001 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, no shares

Edgar Filing: Crystal River Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K

issued and outstanding		
Common Stock, \$0.001 par		
value, 500,000,000 shares		
authorized, 24,909,256 and		
24,905,252 shares issued and		
outstanding at December 31,		
2009 and 2008, respectively	25	25
Additional paid-in capital	564,832	564,560
Accumulated other		
comprehensive loss	(7,087)	(9,815)
Accumulated deficit	(615,897)	(592,179)
Total Stockholders' Deficit	(58,127)	(37,409)
Total Liabilities and		
Stockholders' Deficit	\$ 381,953	\$ 448,301

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Year End December 2009		Year Ended December 31, 2008			ecember 31,
Revenues:	2005			2000		
Interest and dividend income:						
Interest income investment securities	\$ 2	7.848	\$	109,453	\$	194,130
Interest income real estate loans		1,144	-	6,567	_	17,080
Other interest and dividend income		132		1,196		8,709
Cutof interest and arradia meetic		102		1,170		0,709
Total interest and dividend income	25	9,124		117,216		219,919
Rental income, net	2	1,671		22,225		16,210
Total revenues	50	0,795		139,441		236,129
Expenses:						
Interest expense	24	4,443		53,543		156,797
Management fees, related party				1,226		6,378
Professional fees		2,919		2,681		3,904
Depreciation and amortization	1:	2,088		12,088		8,948
Incentive fees						124
Insurance expense		1,883		1,662		936
Compensation and directors' fees		834		451		695
Public company expense		482		668		522
Commercial real estate expenses		1,590		1,541		1,011
Provision for loss on real estate loans		7,571		27,073		4,500
Other expenses		327		1,285		700
1						
Total expenses	52	2,137		102,218		184,515
Income (loss) before other revenues (expenses)	(1,342)		37,223		51,614
Other revenues (expenses):						
Realized net gain (loss) on sale of available-for-sale						
securities, real estate loans and other investments		790		(5,166)		(1,698)
Realized and unrealized loss on derivatives		(412)		(70,679)		(84,951)
Total other-than-temporary impairments on						
available-for-sale securities	(10	0,860)		(142,916)		(317,931)
Portion of other-than-temporary impairments recognized in						
other comprehensive income	(6'	7,087)				
Net change in assets and liabilities valued under fair value						
option	(4	4,085)		(123,708)		
Foreign currency exchange gain						4,292
Income (loss) from equity investments				(40)		2,610
Other	(:	5,284)		(1,806)		186
Total other expenses	(80	6,938)		(344,315)		(397,492)
Net loss	\$ (8	8,280)	\$	(307,092)	\$	(345,878)
Per share information:						
Net loss per share of common stock: Basic	\$	(3.50)	\$	(12.35)	Ф	(13.86)
Dasic	φ	(3.30)	Φ	(12.33)	φ	(13.80)
Diluted	\$	(3.50)	\$	(12.35)	\$	(13.86)
				, ,		. ,

Edgar Filing: Crystal River Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K

Dividends declared per share of common stock	\$	0.30	\$ 1.18	\$ 2.72
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding: Basic	2	25,211,260	24,866,206	24,962,708
Diluted	2	25,211,260	24,866,206	24,962,708

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-6

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(in thousands, except share data)

	Common Stock Accumulated Additional Other Par Paid-In ComprehensiveAccumulated								
			Accumulated		Com	prehensive			
	Shares	Value	Capital	Inco	me (Loss)	Deficit	Total	Inco	me (Loss)
Balance at December 31,				_					
2006	25,021,800	\$ 25	\$ 566,285	\$	13,212		\$ 556,314	ф	(2.45.050)
Net loss						(345,878)	(345,878)	3	(345,878)
Net unrealized holdings gain on available-for-sale securities					6,176		6,176		6,176
Unrealized loss on cash flow					0,170		0,170		0,170
hedges					(29,499)		(29,499)		(29,499)
Deferred loss on settled cash									
flow hedges					(3,143)		(3,143)		(3,143)
Amortization of net realized gains on cash flow hedges					(2,227)		(2,227)		(2,227)
Comprehensive loss								\$	(374,571)
Comprehensive ross								Ψ	(371,371)
Dividends declared on									
common stock						(67,796)	(67,796)		
Dividends on deferred stock									
units			66				66		
Offering costs			(50)			(50)		
Stock repurchases	(299,300)		(4,523)			(4,523)		
Issuance (forfeiture) of stock									
based compensation:									
Forfeiture of restricted									
stock	(20,000)								
Issuance of restricted stock	2,000								
Issuance of common stock	445		10				10		
to manager	445		12				12		
Amortization of stock based			1 140				1,140		
compensation			1,140				1,140		
Balance at December 31, 2007	24,704,945	\$ 25	\$ 562,930	\$	(15,481)	\$ (436,882)	\$ 110,592		
Cumulative effect of the adoption of FASB ASC									
825-10-45-01					(1,670)	181,092	179,422		
Net loss					(1,070)	(307,092)	(307,092)	\$	(307,092)
Realization of deferred						(507,052)	(807,072)	Ψ	(507,072)
unrealized gains on									
available-for-sale securities					(7,344)		(7,344)		(7,344)
Realization of deferred									
unrealized losses on cash									
flow hedges					13,181		13,181		13,181
Amortization of net realized									
losses on cash flow hedges					1,499		1,499		1,499
Comprehensive loss								\$	(299,756)

(29,297) (29,297)

Edgar Filing: Crystal River Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K

Dividends declared on common stock and deferred stock units								
Shares issued for dividend reinvestment	2							
Issuance of stock in lieu of cash for management fee Issuance of stock based	198,305		1,170			1,170		
compensation: Issuance of restricted stock	2,000		11			11		
Issuance of deferred stock units			122			122		
Amortization of stock based compensation			327			327		
Balance at December 31, 2008	24,905,252	\$ 25	\$ 564,560	\$ (9,815) \$	(592,179)	\$ (37,409)		
Cumulative effect of the adoption of FASB ASC 320-10-65-1				(72,126)	72,126		\$	(72,126)
Net loss				(72,120)	(88,280)	(88,280)	Ф	(88,280)
Net change in available-for-sale securities				73,485	(66,260)	73,485		73,485
Amortization of net realized losses on cash flow hedges				1,369		1,369		1,369
Comprehensive loss							\$	(85,552)
Dividends declared on common stock and deferred stock units					(7,564)	(7,564)		
Shares issued for dividend reinvestment	4				(7,304)	(7,304)		
Issuance of stock based compensation:	4							
Issuance of restricted stock Issuance of deferred stock	4,000		7			7		
units			124			124		
Amortization of stock based compensation			141			141		
Balance at December 31, 2009	24,909,256	\$ 25	\$ 564,832	\$ (7,087) \$	(615,897)	\$ (58,127)		

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	d (00.200)	ф. (207.005)	Φ (2.15.053)
Net loss	\$ (88,280)	\$ (307,092)	\$ (345,878)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:	170	227	1 140
Amortization of stock based compensation	178	327	1,140
Amortization of net premium (accretion of net discount) on investment securities and	15 106	(19.062)	(10.426)
real estate loans Realized net (gain) loss on sale of available-for-sale securities, real estate loans and	15,106	(18,062)	(19,426)
other investments	(790)	4,759	1,685
Impairment of available-for-sale securities	77,947	142,916	317,931
Provision for loss on real estate loans	7,571	27,073	4,500
Net change in assets and liabilities valued under fair value option	4,085	123,708	4,500
Accretion of interest on real estate loans and other investments	(235)	(263)	(2,475)
Amortization of net realized cash flow hedge (gain) loss	1,369	1,499	(2,227)
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivatives	(12,346)	63,964	88,763
Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs	75	1,065	3,538
Management fee expense paid in stock	75	1,170	12
(Income) loss from equity investment		40	(2,610)
Change in deferred income tax		.0	(900)
Gain on foreign currency exchange			(4,330)
Depreciation and amortization	12,088	12,088	8,948
Amortization of intangible liabilities	(5,480)	(5,480)	(4,057)
Amortization of underwriting costs on available-for-sale securities and loans	(5,100)	(5,100)	170
Other	5,376	1,881	859
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	2,570	1,001	007
Net receipt of restricted cash for failed swaps		7,144	
Net deposits of restricted cash	(245)	,,	
Payments on settlement of derivatives	(=)	(10,528)	(756)
Interest receivable	2,103	7,656	7,003
Interest receivable, derivative	(6)	169	898
Rent enhancement receivables, related party	(751)	(880)	(601)
Other receivables	(1,045)	(527)	(2,003)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(126)	(362)	(125)
Return on capital from equity investments			2,610
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	973	(65)	(2,195)
Due to Manager		(678)	(1,362)
Interest payable	257	(7,899)	(10,161)
Interest payable, derivative	75	(3,719)	(3,851)
	17.000		
Net cash provided by operating activities	17,899	39,904	35,100
ASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Purchase of available-for-sale securities			(893,057)
Acquisition of and contributions to interest in other investments			(42,095)
Acquisition of commercial real estate and rent enhancement receivable			(261,204)
Return of capital from equity investment		426	5,884
Underwriting costs on available-for-sale securities and real estate loans			(230)
Principal payments on investment securities and real estate loans	1,925	74,136	398,451
Proceeds from the sale of available-for-sale securities		1,178,357	1,643,130
Proceeds from the sale and repayment of real estate loans and other investments		162,156	115,211
Proceeds from rent enhancement	3,140	3,365	1,778
Proceeds received from acquisition of credit default swaps			5,328
Proceeds paid for acquisition of credit default swaps			(459)
Cash received to terminate swaps			6,471
Cash paid to terminate swaps	(10,000)	(30,249)	(54,429)
Net receipts of (deposits to) restricted cash for investment	(334)	3,972	(1,000)
Net receipts of (deposits to) restricted cash from credit default swaps	10,200	12,211	(32,908)

Edgar Filing: Crystal River Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K

Funding of real estate loans			(929)	(30,211)
Net cash provided by investing activities		4,931	1,403,445	860,660
	F-8			
	17-0			

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Continued)

(in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Proceeds from issuance of junior subordinated notes held by trust that issued trust			
preferred securities			50,000
Payment on settlement of derivatives		(10,466)	(9,969)
Proceeds from the settlement of derivatives			4,932
Net change in cash collateral payable			(1,960)
Issuance of collateralized debt obligations			324,956
Proceeds from mortgages payable			219,380
Proceeds from senior mortgage-backed notes, related party			101,500
Principal repayments on collateralized debt obligations	(11,766)	(19,581)	(32,744)
Principal repayments on senior mortgage-backed notes, related party		(99,815)	(1,685)
Net receipts from restricted cash	(3)	19,272	44,685
Payment of deferred financing costs			(9,359)
Dividends paid	(9,964)	(43,521)	(67,416)
Repurchase of common stock			(4,523)
Net payments on repurchase agreements		(1,276,121)	(1,447,522)
Net payments on repurchase agreements, related party			(144,806)
Net (payments on) proceeds from term revolver, related party	(4,000)	(34,399)	67,319
Other			(50)
Net cash used in financing activities	(25,733)	(1,464,631)	(907,262)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(2,903)	(21,282)	(11,502)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	6,239	27,521	39,023
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 3,336	\$ 6,239	\$ 27,521
Supplemental disclosure of cash flows:			
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$ 22,739	\$ 63,759	\$ 167,784
Cash paid during the period for income taxes			292
Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing and financing activities:			
Dividends declared, not yet paid		2,498	16,828
Principal paydown receivable	8	10	914
Equity investment in trust			1,550
Capitalization of interest on other investments			1,112
Cumulative effect upon adoption of FASB ASC 825-10-45-1		179,422	,
Classification of real estate loans as held for sale	1,246	139,865	
Noncash component of dividends on deferred stock units	112	95	81
See accompanying notes to consolida	ated financial statement	ts.	

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

1. ORGANIZATION

References herein to "we," "us" or "our" refer to Crystal River Capital, Inc. and its subsidiaries unless the context specifically requires otherwise.

We are a Maryland corporation that was formed in January 2005 for the purpose of acquiring and originating a diversified portfolio of commercial and residential real estate assets and structured finance investments. We commenced operations on March 15, 2005, when we completed an offering of 17,400,000 shares of common stock (the "Private Offering"), and we completed our initial public offering of 7,500,000 shares of common stock (the "Public Offering") on August 2, 2006. We are externally managed and are advised by Crystal River Capital Advisors, LLC (the "Manager") as more fully explained in Note 14.

We elected to be taxed as a Real Estate Investment Trust ("REIT") under the Internal Revenue Code for the 2005 tax year. To maintain our tax status as a REIT, we plan to distribute at least 90% of our taxable income. In view of our election to be taxed as a REIT, we have tailored our balance sheet investment program to originate or acquire loans and investments to produce a portfolio that meets the asset and income tests necessary to maintain qualification as a REIT.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Crystal River Capital, Inc., three wholly-owned subsidiaries created in connection with the issuance of our collateralized debt obligations and senior mortgage-backed notes, wholly-owned subsidiaries established for financing purposes, three wholly-owned subsidiaries established to own interests in real property and our domestic taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS"). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Going Concern

We held cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$3,336 at December 31, 2009, which excludes restricted cash of approximately \$16,489 that is used to collateralize our credit default swap and certain other commercial real estate and financing obligations. As of December 31, 2009, we owed \$28,920 to an affiliate of our Manager under our secured credit facility. Unless earlier terminated as provided in the Credit Agreement, our credit facility terminates on August 31, 2010. If the merger with an affiliate of our Manager that is discussed in Note 19 is not consummated and this facility is not extended and we cannot obtain alternative financing, we do not expect to have sufficient cash on hand or to generate sufficient cash flow from operations to repay such indebtedness. Given the current lack of liquidity in the credit markets and the current economic climate, we expect to have difficulty obtaining alternative financing if our credit facility is not extended. If we cannot obtain alternative financing or extend our credit facility, it increases the risk that we will be unable to continue as a going concern. Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, has issued an opinion on our consolidated financial statements which states that our inability to refinance or extend the maturity of indebtedness maturing in the next 12 months raises substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Our consolidated financial statements included in this report do not reflect any adjustments related to the recoverability of assets and liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") requires us to make a significant number of estimates in the preparation of the financial statements. These estimates include determining the fair market value of certain investments, debt obligations and derivative assets and liabilities, the amount and timing of credit losses, prepayment assumptions, allocation of purchase price to tangible and intangible assets or property acquisitions, and other items that affect the reported amounts of certain assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of certain revenues and expenses during the reporting period. It is likely that changes in these estimates (e.g., market values change due to changes in supply and demand, credit performance, prepayments, interest rates or other reasons; yields change due to changes in credit outlook and loan prepayments) will occur in the near future. Our estimates are inherently subjective in nature and actual results could differ from our estimates and differences may be material.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We classify highly liquid investments with original maturities of 90 days or less from the date of purchase as cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents may include cash and short term investments. Short term investments are stated at cost, which approximates their fair value, and may consist of investments in money market accounts.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash consists primarily of funds held on deposit with brokers to serve as collateral for credit default swap agreements, certain commercial real estate and financing obligations, funds held by the trustee for CDO II (See Note 9) and funds held in escrow for one of our financing subsidiaries relating to a yield-maintenance agreement for certain real estate loans that we sold in June 2008 (See Note 10).

Securities

We invest in commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS"), U.S. Agency mortgage pass-through certificates, which are securities issued or guaranteed by the Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae"), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac") or Government National Mortgage Association ("Ginnie Mae") and Agency Collateralized Mortgage Obligations issued by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac backed by mortgage pass-through securities and evidenced by a series of bonds or certificates issued in multiple classes (collectively, "Agency MBS"), Non-Agency residential mortgage-backed securities ("Non-Agency RMBS", and collectively with Agency MBS, "RMBS") and other real estate debt and equity instruments. We account for our investments in

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

CMBS, Agency MBS, Non-Agency RMBS, asset-backed securities ("ABS") and other real estate and equity instruments, which we refer to as our investment securities, in accordance with FASB ASC 320-10-50-2 (previously SFAS 115). We classify our securities as either available-for-stale or held-for-trading because we may dispose of them prior to maturity in response to changes in the market, liquidity needs or other events, even if we have no current intention of selling them in the immediate future.

All investment securities are reported at fair value, based on quoted market prices provided by independent pricing sources, when available, from quotes provided by dealers who make markets in certain securities, or from our management's estimates in cases where the investments are illiquid. In making these estimates, our management utilizes pricing information obtained from dealers who make markets in these securities. However, under certain circumstances we may adjust these values based on our knowledge of the securities and the underlying collateral. Our management also uses a discounted cash flow model, which utilizes prepayment and loss assumptions based upon historical experience, economic factors and the characteristics of the underlying cash flow to substantiate the fair value of the securities. The assumed discount rate is based upon the yield of comparable securities. The determination of future cash flows and the appropriate discount rates are inherently subjective and, as a result, actual results may vary from our management's estimates.

Unrealized gains and losses for investment securities for which we have not elected the fair value option under FASB ASC 825-10-45-1 (previously SFAS 159) are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders' equity (deficit), unless unrealized loss amounts are determined to be impaired as described below. For investment securities for which we have elected the fair value option, we reclassified these securities from available-for-sale to held-for-trading and changes in the fair value of these securities are recorded in our consolidated statements of operations. This reclassification was not the result of a change to our intent as it relates to these securities. As a result of the adoption of FASB ASC 825-10-45-1 on January 1, 2008, we recorded a cumulative effect adjustment of \$181,092 as an increase to stockholders' deficit and reclassified all unrealized gains on our securities that served as collateral for our CDOs to accumulated deficit from accumulated other comprehensive loss. Electing the fair value option for the investment securities and CDOs (including derivatives) for our CDO entities enables us to correlate more closely the values of the assets and liabilities that are paired within the same securitization entity and to reduce the complexity of accounting, especially for derivatives under FASB ASC 815-10-50-1 (previously SFAS 133).

Periodically, all available-for-sale securities are evaluated for other-than-temporary impairment in accordance with FASB ASC 320-10-65-1 (previously FSP FAS 115-2), and FASB ASC 310-20-60-1 (previously EITF 99-20), as amended by FASB ASC 325-40-65-1 (previously FSP EITF 99-20-1). An impairment that is an "other-than-temporary impairment" is a decline in the fair value of an investment below its amortized cost attributable to factors that indicate the decline will not be recovered. Under the guidance of FASB ASC 320-10-65-1, should an other-than-temporary impairment be deemed to have occurred, the total other-than-temporary impairment is bifurcated into (i) the amount related to credit losses, and (ii) the amount related to all other factors. The portion of the other-than-temporary impairment related to credit losses is calculated by comparing the amortized cost

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

of the security to the present value of cash flows expected to be collected, discounted at the security's current yield, and is recognized through earnings on the consolidated statement of operations. The portion of the other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors is recognized as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) on the consolidated balance sheet.

Real Estate Loans

Real estate loans are carried at cost, net of unamortized loan origination costs and fees, discounts, repayments, sales of partial interests in loans and unfunded commitments, unless the loan is deemed to be impaired. We account for our real estate loans in accordance with FASB ASC 310-20-05-2 (previously SFAS 91).

Real estate loans are evaluated for possible impairment on a periodic basis in accordance with FASB ASC 310-10-35-13 (previously SFAS 114). Impairment occurs when we determine that it is probable that we will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan. Upon determination of an impairment, we establish a reserve for loan losses and recognize a corresponding charge to the statement of operations through a provision for loan losses. Significant judgments are required in determining impairment, including making assumptions regarding the value of the loan and the value of the real estate, partnership interest or other collateral that secures the loan, current economic conditions, the potential for natural disasters, loan portfolio composition, delinquency trends, credit losses to date on underlying loans and remaining credit protection. If the credit performance of our real estate loans is different than expected, we adjust the allowance for loan losses to a level deemed appropriate by management to provide for estimated losses inherent in the real estate loan portfolio. Once a loan is 90 days or more delinquent, or a borrower declares bankruptcy, we adjust the value of our accrued interest receivable to what we believe to be collectible and stop accruing interest on that loan.

Real Estate Loans Held for Sale

Real estate loans that we have committed to sell or that we have the intent and ability to sell in the near future are classified as real estate loans held for sale. These real estate loans are carried at the lower of cost or fair value on a loan-by-loan basis. Any market valuation adjustments on these loans are recognized in our consolidated statements of operations in accordance with FASB ASC 948-10-05-4 (previously SFAS 65). The fair value of loans held for sale is based on actual bids or, in the absence of such bids, management's discounted cash flow analysis.

Commercial Real Estate

Commercial properties held for investment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. In accordance with FASB ASC 805-10-05-4 (previously SFAS 141R), upon acquisition, we allocate the purchase price to the components of the commercial properties acquired: the amount allocated to land is based on its estimated fair value; buildings and existing tenant improvements are recorded at depreciated replacement cost; the values of above- and below-market in-place operating leases are determined based on the present value of the difference between the rents payable under the

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

contractual terms of the leases and estimated market rents; lease origination costs for in-place operating leases are determined based on the estimated costs that would be incurred to put the existing leases in place under the same terms and conditions; and tenant relationships are measured based on the present value of the estimated avoided net costs if a tenant were to renew its lease at expiry, discounted by the probability of such renewal.

Depreciation on buildings is provided on a straight-line basis over the useful lives of the properties to a maximum of 40 years. Depreciation is determined with reference to each rental property's carried value, remaining estimated useful life and residual value. Acquired tenant improvements, above- and below-market in-place operating leases and lease origination costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the remaining terms of the leases. The value associated with acquired tenant relationships is amortized on a straight-line basis over the expected term of the relationships. All other tenant improvements and re-leasing costs are deferred and amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the leases to which they relate. Depreciation on buildings and amortization of deferred leasing costs and tenant improvements that are determined to be assets of the company are recorded in depreciation and amortization expense. All above- and below-market tenant leases and tenant relationships are amortized to rental income. Above- and below-market ground leases are amortized to commercial real estate expenses.

Properties are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. For commercial properties, an impairment loss is recognized when a property's carrying value exceeds its undiscounted future net cash flow. The impairment is measured as the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value. Projections of future cash flow take into account the specific business plan for each property and management's best estimate of the most probable set of economic conditions anticipated to prevail in the market. We recorded no impairment losses on our commercial real estate investments during the years ended December 31, 2009 or 2008.

Accounting For Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities

We account for our derivative and hedging activities in accordance with FASB ASC 815-10-05-4 (previously SFAS 133). FASB ASC 815-10-05-4 requires us to recognize all derivative instruments at their fair value as either assets or liabilities on our balance sheet. The accounting for changes in fair value (*i.e.*, gains or losses) of a derivative instrument depends on whether we have designated it, and whether it qualifies, as part of a hedging relationship and on the type of hedging relationship. For those derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedging instruments, we must designate the hedging instrument, based upon the exposure being hedged, as a fair value hedge, a cash flow hedge or a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation. We have no fair value hedges or hedges of a net investment in foreign operations as of December 31, 2009 or 2008.

FASB ASC 815-10-65-1 (previously SFAS 161), amends and expands the disclosure requirements with the intent to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of: (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under FASB ASC 815-10-65-1 and its related interpretations and (c) how derivative

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. FASB ASC 815-10-65-1 requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about the fair value of and gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative instruments.

As required by FASB ASC 815-10-05-4, we record all derivatives on our balance sheet at fair value. Accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether we have elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Derivatives also may be designated as hedges of foreign currency exposure of a net investment in a foreign operation. Hedge accounting generally provides for the matching of the timing of gain or loss recognition on the hedging instrument with the recognition of the changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability that are attributable to the hedged risk in a fair value hedge or the earnings effect of the hedged forecasted transactions in a cash flow hedge. We may enter into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge certain of our risk, even though hedge accounting does not apply or we elect not to apply hedge accounting under FASB ASC 815-10-05-4.

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge (*i.e.*, hedging the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows that are attributable to a particular risk), the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative instrument is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same line item associated with the forecasted transaction in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings (*i.e.*, in "interest expense" when the hedged transactions are interest cash flows associated with floating-rate debt). The remaining gain or loss on the derivative instrument in excess of the cumulative changes in the present value of future cash flows of the hedged item, if any, is recognized in the realized and unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives in current earnings during the period of change. For derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments, such as credit default swaps, the gain or loss is recognized in realized and unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives in the current earnings during the period of change. Income and/or expense from interest rate swaps are recognized as an adjustment to realized and unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives. We account for income and expense from interest rate swaps on an accrual basis over the period to which the payments and/or receipts relate.

Dividends to Stockholders

We record dividends to stockholders on the declaration date. The actual dividend and its timing are at the discretion of our board of directors. We intend to pay sufficient dividends to avoid incurring any income or excise tax. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we declared dividends in the amount of \$7,564, or \$0.30 per share, of which \$2,491 was distributed on April 30, 2009 to our stockholders of record as of March 31, 2009, \$2,491 was distributed on July 31, 2009 to our stockholders of record as of June 30, 2009, \$2,491 was distributed on October 30, 2009 to our

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

stockholders of record as of September 30, 2009 and \$91 related to dividends on deferred stock units. All of the \$0.30 per share dividend declared in 2009 represented a return of capital. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we declared dividends in the amount of \$29,297, or \$1.18 per share, of which \$16,799 was distributed on April 29, 2008 to our stockholders of record as of March 31, 2008, \$7,433 was distributed on July 28, 2008 to our stockholders of record as of September 30, 2008, \$2,491 was distributed on January 30, 2009 to our stockholders of record as of December 31, 2008 and \$86 related to dividends on deferred stock units. All of the \$1.18 per share dividend declared in 2008 represented distributions of taxable earnings and profits.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, our board of directors elected to suspend the quarterly dividend to holders of shares of our common stock to preserve liquidity.

Trust Preferred Securities

Trusts that were formed for the sole purpose of issuing trust preferred securities are not consolidated in our financial statements in accordance with FASB ASC 810-10-25-50 (previously FIN 46R) as we have determined that we are not the primary beneficiary of such trusts. Our investment in the common securities of such trusts is carried at cost and is included in other investments in our consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

Interest income for our investment securities and real estate loans is recognized over the life of the investment using the effective interest method and recorded on the accrual basis. Interest income on mortgage-backed securities ("MBS") is recognized using the effective interest method as required by FASB ASC 325-40-35-1 (previously EITF 99-20). Real estate loans generally are originated or purchased at or near par value, and interest income is recognized based on the effective yield method based on the terms of the loan instrument. Any loan fees or acquisition costs on originated loans or securities are capitalized and recognized as a component of interest income over the life of the investment utilizing the straight-line method, which approximates the effective interest method. None of the interest income for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 or 2007 included prepayment fees. We do not accrue interest on real estate loans that are placed on non-accrual status when collection of principal or interest is in doubt. As of January 1, 2008, a real estate construction loan was placed on non-accrual status and we recorded an additional provision for loan loss of \$400 and \$9,735 related to this loan during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, as we believe that it is probable that we will not recover the entire loan balance, including the capitalized interest thereon. As of March 31, 2009, a mezzanine loan was placed on non-accrual status and we recorded a loan loss allowance of \$6,358 related to this loan during the year ended December 31, 2009, as we believe that it is probable that we will not recover the entire loan balance, including the capitalized interest thereon.

Under FASB ASC 325-40-30-3, at the time of purchase, our management estimates the future expected cash flows and determines the effective interest rate based on these estimated cash flows and the purchase price. As needed, we update these estimated cash flows and compute a revised yield

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

based on the current amortized cost of the investment. In estimating these cash flows, there are a number of assumptions that are subject to uncertainties and contingencies, including the rate and timing of principal payments (including prepayments, repurchases, defaults and liquidations), the pass-through or coupon rate and interest rate fluctuations. In addition, interest payment shortfalls due to delinquencies on the underlying mortgage loans and the timing and the magnitude of credit losses on the mortgage loans underlying the securities have to be judgmentally estimated. These uncertainties and contingencies are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may impact our management's estimates and our interest income.

We record security transactions, other than repurchases of our own stock, on the trade date. Realized gains and losses from security transactions are determined based upon the specific identification method and recorded as gain (loss) on sale of investment securities in the statements of operations.

We account for accretion of discounts or premiums on investment securities and real estate loans using the effective interest yield method. Such amounts have been included as a component of interest income in the statements of operations.

We may sell all or a portion of our real estate investments to a third party. To the extent the fair value received for an investment differs from the amortized cost of that investment and control of the asset that is sold is surrendered making it a "true sale," as defined under FASB ASC 860-20-50-8 (previously SFAS 140), a gain or loss on the sale will be recorded in the statements of operations as realized net gain (loss) on sale of investment securities, real estate loans and other investments. To the extent a real estate investment is sold that has any fees that were capitalized at the time the investment was made and were being recognized over the term of the investment, the unamortized fees are recognized at the time of sale and included in any gain or loss on sale of real estate investments.

We have retained substantially all of the risks and benefits of ownership of our rental properties and therefore, we account for leases with our tenants as operating leases. The total amount of contractual rent that we receive from operating leases is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease; and a straight-line or free rent receivable, as applicable, is recorded for the difference between the rental revenue recorded and the contractual amount received. In addition to base rent, the tenants in our commercial real estate properties also pay substantially all operating costs.

Expense reimbursement income arising from tenant leases that provide for the recovery of all or a portion of the operating expenses and real estate expenses of the respective property is accrued in the same period as the related expenses are incurred. These recoverable expenses are included in expenses as commercial real estate expenses.

Income arising from the operation of our parking garages is recognized when the parking spaces are occupied. Expenses related to the operation of the parking garage are included in expenses as commercial real estate expenses.

Dividend income on preferred stock is recorded on the dividend declaration date.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Interest in Equity Investment

We accounted for our investment in BREF One, LLC, a real estate finance fund, under the equity method of accounting since we owned more than a minor interest in the fund, but did not unilaterally control the fund and were not considered to be the primary beneficiary under FASB ASC 810-10-50-12 (previously FIN 46R). The investment was recorded initially at cost, and subsequently adjusted for equity in net income (loss) and cash contributions and distributions to reflect the investment at its book value assuming hypothetical liquidation. In March 2008, we sold our investment in BREF One, LLC to an affiliate of our Manager, as more fully explained in Note 7.

Income Taxes

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code and the corresponding provisions of state law. To qualify as a REIT, we must distribute at least 90% of our annual REIT taxable income to stockholders within the statutory timeframe. Accordingly, we generally will not be subject to federal or state income tax to the extent that we make qualifying distributions to our stockholders and provided we satisfy the REIT requirements, including certain asset, income, distribution and stock ownership tests. If we were to fail to meet these requirements, we would be subject to federal, state and local income taxes, which could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and amounts available for distribution to our stockholders.

The dividends paid deduction of a REIT for qualifying dividends to our stockholders is computed using our taxable income as opposed to using our financial statement net income. Some of the significant differences between financial statement net income and taxable income include the timing of recording unrealized gains/realized gains associated with certain assets, excess inclusion income, the book/tax basis of assets, interest income, impairment, straight-line amortization of rental leases, credit loss recognition related to certain assets (asset-backed mortgages), accounting for derivative instruments, stock compensation, amortization of various costs (including start up costs) and accounting for lease income on net leased real estate assets. The differences between GAAP net income and taxable income are generally attributable to differing treatment, including timing related thereto, of unrealized/realized gains and losses associated with certain assets, the bases, income, impairment, and/or credit loss recognition related to certain assets, primarily CMBS, accounting for derivative instruments, accounting for lease income on net leased real estate assets, and amortization or various costs. The distinction between GAAP net income and taxable income is important to our stockholders because dividends or distributions, if any, are declared and paid on the basis of annual estimates of taxable income or loss. We do not pay Federal income taxes on income that we distribute on a current basis, provided that we satisfy the requirements for qualification as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code. We calculate our taxable income or loss as if we were a regular domestic corporation. This taxable income or loss level determines the amount of dividends, if any, that we are required to distribute over time to reduce or eliminate our tax liability pursuant to REIT requirements.

Income on CMBS investments is computed for GAAP purposes based upon a yield, which assumes credit losses will occur (See "Revenue Recognition" for further discussion). The yield to compute our taxable income does not assume there would be credit losses, as a loss can only be deducted for tax

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

purposes when it has occurred. Furthermore, due diligence expense incurred related to the acquisition of CMBS and loan investments not originated are required to be expensed as incurred for GAAP purposes but are included as a component of the cost basis of the asset and amortized for tax purposes. In addition, straight line rental income recognized for GAAP purposes is not recognized for tax purposes as taxable income is generally based on contractual rental income.

FASB ASC 740-10-05-1 (previously SFAS 109), establishes financial accounting and reporting standards for the effect of income taxes that result from an organization's activities during the current and preceding years. FASB ASC 740-10-05-05 requires that income taxes reflect the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for book and tax purposes. A deferred tax asset or liability for each temporary difference is determined based upon the tax rates that the organization expects to be in effect when the underlying items of income and expense are realized. A deferred tax valuation allowance is established if it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

In addition, FASB ASC 740-10-05-6 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FASB ASC 740-10-05-6 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. This interpretation was effective January 1, 2007. The adoption of FASB ASC 740-10-05-6 did not materially affect our consolidated financial statements.

We have a wholly-owned domestic taxable REIT subsidiary that has made a joint election with us to be treated as our TRS. Our TRS is a separate entity subject to federal income tax under the Internal Revenue Code. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we did not record any current income tax expense. As of December 31, 2009, we had recorded a \$16,040 valuation allowance on deferred tax assets of \$16,040 attributable to income tax net operating loss carryforwards relating to our TRS. As of December 31, 2008, we recorded a \$16,160 valuation allowance on deferred tax assets of \$16,160 attributable to income tax net operating loss carryforward relating to our TRS. The valuation allowances are based on management's estimate that our TRS is not expected to generate sufficient taxable income to recover the deferred tax assets. As of December 31, 2009, we did not have a deferred tax liability. As of December 31, 2009, we had net operating loss carryforwards of \$35,253. Almost all of the net operating loss carryforward expires in 2027.

Our policy for interest and penalties on material uncertain tax positions recognized in our consolidated financial statements is to classify these as interest expense and operating expense, respectively. However, in accordance with FASB ASC 740-10-05-6, we assessed our tax positions for all open tax years (Federal, years 2005 through 2009 and State, years 2005 through 2009) as of December 31, 2009, and concluded that we have no material FASB ASC 740-10-50-15 liabilities to be recognized at this time.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Deferred Financing Costs

Deferred financing costs represent commitment fees, legal fees and other third party costs associated with obtaining commitments for financing that result in a closing of such financing. These costs are amortized over the terms of the respective agreements using the effective interest method or a method that approximates the effective interest method and the amortization is reflected in interest expense. Unamortized deferred financing costs are expensed when the associated debt is refinanced or repaid before maturity. Costs incurred in seeking financing transactions that do not close are expensed in the period in which it is determined that the financing will not close.

Earnings per Share

We compute basic and diluted earnings per share in accordance with FASB ASC 260-10-50-1 (previously SFAS 128). Basic earnings per share ("EPS") is computed based on net income divided by the weighted average number of shares of common stock and other participating securities outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is based on net income divided by the weighted average number of shares of common stock plus any additional shares of common stock attributable to stock options, provided that the options have a dilutive effect. At each of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, options to purchase a total of 130,000 shares of common stock have been excluded from the computation of diluted EPS as they were determined to be antidilutive.

Variable Interest Entities

FASB ASC 810-10-50-8 (previously FIN 46) provides guidance on identifying entities for which control is achieved through means other than through voting rights and on determining when and which business enterprise should consolidate a variable interest entity ("VIE"). FASB ASC 810-10-50-8 states that a VIE is subject to consolidation if the investors in the entity being evaluated under FASB ASC 810-10-50-8 either do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, are unable to direct the entity's activities, or are not exposed to the entity's losses or entitled to its residual returns. VIEs within the scope of FASB ASC 810-10-50-8 are required to be consolidated by their primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary of a VIE is determined to be the party that absorbs a majority of the VIE's expected losses, receives the majority of the VIE's expected returns, or both.

Our ownership of the subordinated classes of CMBS and RMBS from a single issuer may provide us with the right to appoint the special servicer for the applicable trust (that special servicer will control the foreclosure/workout process on the underlying loans), which we refer to as the Controlling Class CMBS and RMBS. Currently, there are certain exceptions to the scope of FASB ASC 810-10-50-9, one of which provides that an investor that holds a variable interest in a qualifying special-purpose entity ("QSPE") is not required to consolidate that entity unless the investor has the unilateral ability to cause the entity to liquidate. FASB ASC 860-10-05-4 (previously SFAS 140) sets forth the requirements for an entity to qualify as a QSPE. To maintain the QSPE exception, the special-purpose entity ("SPE") must initially meet the QSPE criteria and must continue to satisfy such criteria in subsequent periods. An SPE's QSPE status can be impacted in future periods by activities undertaken by its

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

transferor(s) or other involved parties, including the manner in which certain servicing activities are performed. To the extent that our CMBS or RMBS investments were issued by an SPE that meets the QSPE requirements, we record those investments at the purchase price paid. To the extent the underlying SPEs do not satisfy the QSPE requirements, we follow the guidance set forth in FASB ASC 810-10-50-8 as the SPEs would be determined to be VIEs.

We have analyzed the pooling and servicing agreements governing each of our Controlling Class CMBS and RMBS investments for which we own a greater than 50% interest in the subordinated class and we believe that the terms of those agreements are industry standard and are consistent with the QSPE criteria. We also have evaluated each of our Controlling Class CMBS and RMBS investments as if the SPEs that issued such securities are not QSPEs. Using the fair value approach to calculate expected losses or residual returns, we have concluded that we would not be the primary beneficiary of any of the underlying SPEs. To the extent that there are subsequent changes in the structure of these SPEs (which we believe occur infrequently), we would have to reconsider whether we are the primary beneficiary. If we are deemed to be the primary beneficiary, we would have to consolidate the assets, liabilities and operations of the respective securitization trust.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

The following table details the purchase date, face amount of our investment, face amount of the respective issuance and our amortized cost in our CMBS and RMBS investments in which we are not the primary beneficiary and in which we own a greater than 50% interest in the most subordinate class as of December 31:

Security Trust Description	Purchase Date	Original Face Amount Purchased		Total Face Amount of Issuance		2009 Amortized Cost		Aı	2008 nortized Cost
CMBS:	Turenuse Bute				155441100		0000		Cost
WBCMT 2005-C18	May 2005	\$	39,196	\$	1,405,372	\$	329	\$	2,380
GMACC 2005-C1	June 2005		53,928		1,597,857				2,792
BSCMS 2005-PWR9	September 2005		83,001		2,152,389				6,743
CSMC 2006-C1	March 2006		82,833		3,005,432		2,736		4,991
COMM 2005-C6	August 2008		59,175		2,727,503		137		4,139
Total CMBS			318,133		10,888,553		3,202		21,045
RMBS:									
CWHL 2004-J8	March 2005		611		244,517		8		53
GSR MTG 2005-1F	March 2005		2,767		691,667		14		83
HVMLT 2005-2	March 2005		39,422		1,944,860		21		189
JPMMT 2003-A1	March 2005		809		269,635		37		56
WFMBS 2003-17	March 2005		3,002		1,000,331		263		628
WFMBS 2005-2	March 2005		1,953		950,946		21		21
FHASI 2005-AR2	April 2005		2,254		281,707		3		53
WAMU 2005-AR6	April 2005		27,704		3,167,184		24		478
FFML 2005-FF3	May 2005		5,080		770,271				52
WFMBS 2005-AR5	May 2005		2,754		500,446		9		94
FHASI 2005-AR3	June 2005		2,522		315,111		29		75
JPMMT 2005-A3	June 2005		8,696		1,895,799		95		571
WFMBS 2004-Z	July 2005		4,552		1,300,298		1		252
BOAMS 2005-H	August 2005		3,888		706,792		8		67
FHAMS 2005-AA6	August 2005		6,476		575,025				51
JPMMT 2005-A5	August 2005		4,726		1,195,013		107		308
FHASI 2005-AR4	September 2005		2,129		425,565				26
RFMSI 2005-SA4	September 2005		1,681		850,478				18
FHASI 2005-AR5	October 2005		1,082		216,253				14
WFMBS 2004-DD	March 2005		2,101		600,085				4
Total RMBS			124,209		17,901,983		640		3,093
Total		\$	442,342	\$	28,790,536	\$	3,842	\$	24,138

Our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our investment in these QSPEs totaled \$3,842 and \$24,138 as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

We have re-evaluated our relationships as well as our involvement with VIEs in accordance with FASB ASC 810-10-65-2 (previously SFAS 167) and we believe that the presentation of our consolidated financial statements will significantly change prospectively upon adoption of FASB ASC 810-10-65-2. Specifically, certain VIEs will be consolidated by us due to the change from a quantitative analysis to a qualitative analysis, as well as the removal of the consolidation exception for qualified special purpose entities. As of December 31, 2009, the outstanding face amount of the assets of these VIEs totaled approximately \$12,782,281 and the outstanding face amount of the liabilities issued by these VIEs totaled approximately \$12,782,281, of which approximately \$331,788 was owned by us.

The following items were considered in our evaluation as to the primary beneficiary of VIE's we are involved with:

Design of the entity (including the purpose for which the entity was established);

Potential significant risks relating to the entity;

Activities that most significantly impacting the entities' economic performance; and

Factors most indicative of control or the power to direct such activities that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance.

In performing our evaluation we made the following assumptions relating to the factors that indicate control or the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance.

Real Estate Loans the power to direct the activities of the underlying assets securing the loan (*i.e.*, sell or lease the property) remains with the borrower until an event of default occurs. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, the lender that has the ability to directly foreclose on the underlying assets in the case of a foreclosure proceeding would then have control over the underlying assets and thus be considered the primary beneficiary.

RMBS the ability to re-negotiate or foreclose on delinquent loans is generally afforded to the Special Servicer of the trust. Thus, the RMBS holder with the ability to designate the Special Servicer of the trust is considered to have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance. Trusts of this type of security generally empower the majority holder of the highest-rated or most secured outstanding tranche of securities issued by the trust with this ability. The majority of our RMBS investments are the junior-rated tranches.

CMBS the ability to re-negotiate or foreclose on delinquent loans is generally afforded to the Special Servicer of the trust. Thus, the CMBS holder with the ability to designate the Special Servicer of the trust is considered to have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance. Trusts of this type of security generally empower the holder(s) of a majority of the most junior-rated or lowest-rated outstanding tranche of securities issued by the trust (referred to as the "Controlling Class") with this ability.

Edgar Filing: Crystal River Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K

The financing structures that we offer to the borrowers on certain of our real estate loans involve the creation of entities that could be deemed VIEs and therefore, could be subject to FASB

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

ASC 810-10-25-50. Our management has evaluated our real estate loans and has concluded that none of the real estate loans are VIEs that are subject to the consolidation rules of FASB ASC 810-10-05-8. See Note 5.

Involvement with VIEs relating to CDOs, MBS and TruPS

In November 2005, we issued collateralized debt obligations through two newly-formed financing subsidiaries, Crystal River CDO 2005-1, Ltd. and Crystal River CDO 2005-1 LLC (collectively referred to as "CDO I"). In January 2007, we issued collateralized debt obligations through two newly-formed financing subsidiaries, Crystal River Capital Resecuritization 2006-1 Ltd. and Crystal River Capital Resecuritization 2006-1 LLC (collectively referred to as "CDO II"). CDO I and CDO II represent VIEs with respect to which we have determined we are the primary beneficiary and accordingly, we have consolidated them in our consolidated financial statements. We determined that we are the primary beneficiary of both CDO I and CDO II as we have the highest level of variability of return due to the credit risk of the underlying assets. For additional information relating to the consolidated assets and liabilities of CDO I and CDO II, see Note 9.

We have invested in CMBS and RMBS that were issued by special purpose securitization entities. Prior to FASB ASC 810-10-05-8, we measured variability by using both interest rate and credit risk to determine whether we are the primary beneficiary of such securitization entities. After the effective date of FASB ASC 810-10-05-8, credit risk now serves as the primary measurement of variability of return.

In March 2007, we formed Crystal River Preferred Trust I (the "Trust") for the purpose of issuing trust preferred securities ("TruPS"). We do not consolidate the Trust because we have determined that we are not the primary beneficiary as we have no equity at risk.

From the initial date of our involvement with the VIEs discussed in the preceding three paragraphs, we have had no reconsideration events with respect to our CDOs, CMBS, RMBS and TruPS. We have made no additional contributions to these VIEs since inception/purchase nor have the VIEs' governing instruments or contractual arrangements changed in a manner that changes the characteristics or adequacy of the equity investment that we have at risk in those VIEs. Our CMBS and RMBS assets and CDO and TruPS liabilities were financed at inception through our issuance of debt or equity and we have not incurred any subsequent financing in relation thereto. We have not provided financial or other support to any of these VIE entities during the year ended December 31, 2009.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued) December 31, 2009 (dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

The following table summarizes our involvement with these variable interest entities:

	As of December 31, 2009		For the Year Ended December 31, 2009			Current Face Amount of Assets held		Variable Into Current Face Amount of Assets		erest Entities Current Face Amount of Liabilities		Current Face Amount of Liabilities				
	A	ssets	Lia	abilities	Interest Income(1)		Interest Expense(1)		by the Company		within the VIE		issued by the Company		issued by the VIE	
Consolidated							•									
VIEs																
CDO I																
CMBS	\$	3,813	\$		\$	2,599	\$		\$	119,807	\$	15,957,521	\$		\$	15,957,521
Non-Agency																
RMBS		2,622				3,193				89,154		8,466,810				8,466,810
CDOs				5,723				2,829				132,260		132,260		283,539
CDO II																
CMBS		29,101				12,529				388,465		96,063,835				96,063,835
CDOs				27,894				2,905				323,002		323,002		388,384
Total	\$	35,536	\$	33,617	\$	18,321	\$	5,734	\$	597,426	\$	120,943,428	\$	455,262	\$	121,160,089
Unconsolidated VIEs																
CMBS	\$	5,199	\$		\$	7,158	\$		\$	270,494	\$	56,241,624	\$		\$	56,241,624
Non-Agency RMBS		2,091				2,369				49,174		5,597,342				5,597,342
TruPS				51,550				3,959		1,550		51,550		51,550		51,550
Total	\$	7,290	\$	51,550	\$	9,527	\$	3,959	\$	321,218	\$	61,890,516	\$	51,550	\$	61,890,516

(1) The net cash flow to the Company from these VIEs was \$33,272 for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Our maximum exposure to loss with respect to these VIEs as of December 31, 2009 was \$42,826.

Stock Based Compensation

We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC 718-10-10-2 (previously SFAS 123R), which establishes accounting and disclosure requirements using fair value based methods of accounting for stock-based compensation plans. Compensation expense related to grants of stock and stock options are recognized ratably over the vesting period of such grants based on the estimated fair value on the grant date.

Stock compensation awards granted to the Manager and certain employees of the Manager's affiliates are accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC 505-50-30-11 (previously EITF 96-18), which requires us to measure the fair value of the equity instrument using the stock prices and other measurement assumptions as of the earlier of either the date at which a performance commitment by the counterparty is reached or the date at which the counterparty's performance is complete.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Concentration of Credit Risk and Other Risks and Uncertainties

A significant portion of our investments are concentrated in MBS that pass through collections of principal and interest from the underlying mortgages and there is a risk that some borrowers on the underlying mortgages will default. Therefore, MBS bear exposure to credit losses. Our maximum exposure to loss due to credit risk if all parties to our investment securities failed completely to perform according to the terms of the contracts as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 is \$42,826 and \$72,916, respectively. Our real estate loans and other investments also bear exposure to credit losses. Our maximum exposure to loss due to credit risk if parties to our real estate loans, including real estate loans held for sale, and other investments failed completely to perform according to the terms of the loans and other agreements as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 is \$7,453 and \$15,642, respectively.

We bear certain other risks typical in investing in a portfolio of MBS. Principal risks potentially affecting our financial position, income and cash flows include the risk that (i) interest rate changes can negatively affect the market values of our MBS, (ii) interest rate changes can influence decisions made by borrowers in the mortgages underlying the securities to prepay those mortgages, which can negatively affect both the cash flows from, and the market value of, our MBS and (iii) adverse changes in the market value of our MBS and/or our inability to renew short term borrowings would result in the need to sell securities at inopportune times and cause us to realize losses.

Other financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents and real estate loans. We place our cash and cash equivalents in excess of insured amounts with high quality financial institutions. The collateral securing our real estate loans and other investments are located in the United States.

Credit risk also arises from the possibility that tenants may be unable to fulfill their lease commitments. We have a significant concentration of rental revenue from our commercial properties given that JPMorgan Chase is the sole tenant of all three properties. Therefore, we are subject to concentration of credit risk, and the inability of this tenant to make its lease payments could have an adverse effect on us. Our exposure to this credit risk is mitigated since we have long-term leases in place for all three properties with a tenant that has an investment grade credit rating.

Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) consists of net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss). Our other comprehensive income (loss) is comprised primarily of unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities and net unrealized and deferred gains and losses on certain derivative investments accounted for as cash flow hedges.

Repurchase Agreements

In repurchase agreements, we transfer securities to a counterparty under an agreement to repurchase the same securities at a fixed price in the future. These agreements are accounted for as secured financing transactions as we maintain effective control over the transferred securities and the

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

transfer meets the other criteria for such accounting. The transferred securities are pledged by us as collateral to the counterparty.

FASB ASC 860-10-55-54 (previously FSP FAS 140-3) relates to repurchase financings for financial assets previously transferred between the same counterparties, that is entered into contemporaneously with, or in contemplation of, the initial transfer. FASB ASC 860-10-55-54 establishes criteria to determine the accounting treatment of transactions involving the transfer, and subsequent repurchase financing, of financial assets with the same counterparty. Transactions that do not meet the criteria of FASB ASC 860-10-55-54 do not qualify for QSPE accounting treatment under FASB ASC 860-10-15-3 and will be treated as a derivative, requiring further evaluation under FASB ASC 815-10-05-2 (previously SFAS 133). We did not enter into any repurchase financing transactions during the year ended December 31, 2009.

Foreign Currency Transactions

We conform to the requirements of FASB ASC 830-20-05-01 (previously SFAS 52). FASB ASC 830-20-35-01 requires us to record realized and unrealized gains and losses from transactions denominated in a currency other than our functional currency (U.S. dollar) in determining net income. We did not have any foreign currency transactions during the year ended December 31, 2009.

Segment Reporting

FASB ASC 280-10-50-20 (previously SFAS 131), establishes standards on reporting operating segments in interim and annual financial reports. FASB ASC 280-10-50-20 defines an operating segment as a component of an enterprise for which discrete financial information is available and is reviewed regularly by the chief operating decision-maker, or decision making group, to evaluate performance and make operating decisions. We have identified our chief operating decision-maker as our chief executive officer. We have determined that we operate in two reportable segments: a Securities, Loans and Other Investments segment and a Commercial Real Estate segment. The reportable segments were determined based on the allocation of our investment portfolio between investment activity and commercial real estate operations in which separate performance data is produced and analyzed by management and the chief operating decision-maker.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

FASB ASC 810-10-65-1 (previously SFAS 160, which the FASB issued in December 2007) clarifies the classification of non-controlling interests in consolidated statements of financial position and the accounting for and reporting of transactions between a company and holders of such non-controlling interests. Under FASB ASC 810-10-65-1, non-controlling interests are considered equity and should be reported as an element of consolidated equity. The current practice of classifying minority interests within a mezzanine section of the statement of financial position will be eliminated. Under FASB ASC 810-10-65-1, net income will encompass the total income of all consolidated subsidiaries and will require separate disclosure on the face of the statements of operations of income (loss) attributable to the controlling and non-controlling interests. Increases and decreases in the non-controlling ownership

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

interest amount will be accounted for as equity transactions. When a subsidiary is deconsolidated, any retained, non-controlling equity investment in the former subsidiary and the gain or loss on the deconsolidation of the subsidiary must be measured at fair value. FASB ASC 810-10-65-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and earlier application is prohibited. The adoption of FASB ASC 810-10-65-1 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

FASB ASC 860-10-55-54 (previously FSP FAS 140-3, which the FASB issued in February 2008) relates to repurchase financings for financial assets previously transferred between the same counterparties that are entered into contemporaneously with, or in contemplation of, the initial transfer. FASB ASC 860-10-55-54 establishes criteria to determine the accounting treatment of transactions involving the transfer, and subsequent repurchase financing, of financial assets with the same counterparty. Transactions that do not meet the criteria of FASB ASC 860-10-55-54 do not qualify for QSPE accounting treatment under FASB ASC 860-10-15-3 and will be treated as derivatives requiring further evaluation under FASB ASC 860-10-55-54 (previously SFAS 133). FASB ASC 860-10-55-54 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application is not permitted. The adoption of FASB ASC 860-10-55-54 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

FASB ASC 815-10-65-1 (previously SFAS 161, which the FASB issued in March 2008) amended and expanded the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. FASB ASC 815-10-65-1 requires qualitative disclosure about objectives and strategies for using derivative and hedging instruments, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of the instruments and gains and losses on such instruments, as well as disclosures about credit-risk features in derivative agreements. FASB ASC 815-10-65-1 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008 with early application encouraged. We adopted FASB ASC 815-10-65-1 at the beginning of the first quarter of 2009, and we have included the expanded disclosures required by that statement.

FASB ASC 260-10-45-60 (previously FSP EITF 03-6-1, which the FASB issued in June 2008) addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share ("EPS") under the two-class method in accordance with FASB ASC 260-10-50-1 (previously SFAS 128). These provisions of FASB ASC 260-10-45-60 are effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 and earlier application is prohibited. The adoption of FASB ASC 260 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

FASB ASC 815-10-65-1 (previously FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4, each of which the FASB issued in September 2008), which requires additional disclosures for sellers of credit derivative instruments and certain guarantees. FASB ASC 815-10-50-4A requires the disclosure of the maximum potential amount of future payments, the related fair value and the current status of the payment/performance risk for certain guarantees and credit derivatives sold. FASB ASC 815-10-65-1 is effective for the first reporting period (interim or annual) ending after November 15, 2008. The adoption of these provisions of FASB ASC 815-10-65-1 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

FASB ASC 860-10-50-3 (previously FSP FAS 140-4 and FIN 46(R)-8, which the FASB issued in December 2008) requires public entities to provide additional disclosures regarding transfers of financial assets and amends FASB ASC 810-10-25-50 (previously FIN 46R) to require public enterprises, including sponsors that have a variable interest in a VIE, to provide additional disclosures about their involvement with VIEs. FASB ASC 860-10-50-3 is effective for the first reporting period (interim or annual) ending after December 15, 2008. The adoption of these provisions of FASB ASC 860-10-50-3 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

FASB ASC 325-40-65-1 (previously FSP EITF 99-20-1, which the FASB issued in January 2009, and EITF 99-20) amends the impairment guidance to align it with the impairment guidance within FASB ASC 320-10-50-5 (previously SFAS 115) by removing from FASB ASC 325-40-65-1 the requirement to place exclusive reliance on market participants' assumptions about future cash flows when evaluating an asset for other-than-temporary impairment. The standard now requires that assumptions about future cash flows consider reasonable management judgment about the probability that the holder of an asset will be unable to collect all amounts due. These provisions of FASB ASC 325-40-65-1 are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after December 15, 2008. The adoption of these provisions of FASB ASC 325-40-65-1 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

FASB ASC 805-10-05-2 (previously FSP FAS 141(R)-1, which the FASB issued in April 2009) addresses application issues raised by preparers, auditors, and members of the legal profession on initial recognition and measurement, subsequent measurement and accounting, and disclosure of assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in a business combination. These provisions of FASB ASC 805-10-05-2 are effective for assets or liabilities arising from contingencies in business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The adoption of these provisions of FASB ASC 805-10-05-2 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued three ASCs, which included: (i) FASB ASC 320-10-65-1 (previously FSP FAS 115-2), (ii) FASB ASC 820-10-65-4 (previously FSP FAS 157-4), and (iii) FASB ASC 825-10-65-1 (previously FSP FAS 107-1). All three of these standards are effective for periods ending after June 15, 2009, with earlier adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. The adoption of FASB ASC 320-10-65-1, 820-10-50-2 and 825-10-65-1 is required to occur concurrently. Accordingly, we adopted all three of these standards as of April 1, 2009. FASB ASC 320-10-65-1 (previously FSP FAS 115-2) provides additional guidance for other-than-temporary impairments on debt securities. In addition to existing guidance, under FASB ASC 320-10-65-1, an other-than-temporary impairment is deemed to exist if an entity does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of a security. As discussed above, FASB ASC 320-10-65-1 provides for the bifurcation of other-than-temporary impairments into (i) amounts related to credit losses which are recognized through earnings, and (ii) amounts related to all other factors which are recognized as a component of other comprehensive income. The adoption of FASB ASC 320-10-65-1 required a reassessment of the cost basis of all our available-for-sale securities for which other-than-temporary impairments were recorded, and resulted in a cumulative effect adjustment of \$72,126 that was reclassified from retained deficit to accumulated other comprehensive loss on our consolidated balance sheet. In addition, we

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

reassessed the cost basis of our held-for-trading securities under the guidance of FASB ASC 320-10-65-1 to reflect a consistent approach to reporting interest income on such securities. As a result of this reassessment, we recorded an increase in the cost basis and a corresponding adjustment to unrealized gain (loss) in the amount of \$283,116 to our held-for-trading securities. Further, FASB ASC 320-10-65-1 requires certain disclosures for securities, which are included in Note 4 to these consolidated financial statements.

FASB ASC 820-10-65-4 (previously FSP FAS 157-4) provides additional guidance for fair value measures under FASB ASC 820-10-65-4 in determining if the market for an asset or liability is inactive and, accordingly, if quoted market prices may not be indicative of fair value. The adoption of FASB ASC 820-10-65-4 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

FASB ASC 825-10-65-1 (previously FSP FAS 107-1) extends the existing disclosure requirements related to the fair value of financial instruments to interim periods in addition to annual financial statements. The adoption of FASB ASC 825-10-65-1 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. The disclosure requirements under FASB ASC 825-10-65-1 are included in Note 16 to these consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued FASB ASC 855-10-05-1 (previously SFAS 165). FASB ASC 855-10-05-1 establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. It requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date, that is, whether that date represents the date the financial statements were issued or were available to be issued. FASB ASC 855-10-05-1 is effective for interim periods and fiscal years ended after June 15, 2009. In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-09 to amend FASB ASC 855-10-05-1. In accordance with the ASU, an entity is not required to disclose the date through which management has evaluated subsequent events in the financial statements. In addition, an entity's considerations with respect to evaluating subsequent events will be consistent with those before the issuance of the subsequent events accounting guidance. The adoption of ASU 2010-09 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued FASB ASC 105-10-05-1 (previously SFAS 168). FASB ASC 105-10-05-1 establishes the ASC as the source of authoritative GAAP, except for rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), which are sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. FASB ASC 105-10-05-1 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and fiscals years ending after September 15, 2009. The adoption of the ASC was not intended to change or alter existing GAAP and therefore did not have any impact on our financial statements. References to the relevant ASC section and the previously existing GAAP standard have been provided for accounting standards adopted prior to the effective date of the ASC.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

In June 2009, the FASB issued FASB ASC 860-10-65-3 (previously SFAS 166). FASB ASC 860-10-65-3 removed the concept of a QSPE and the exemptions from consolidation for QSPEs; it also requires a transferor to evaluate all existing QSPEs to determine whether those QSPEs must be consolidated in accordance with SFAS 167, as defined below. FASB ASC 860-10-65-3 revises and clarifies the derecognition requirements for transfers of financial assets and the initial measurement of beneficial interests that are received as proceeds by a transferor in connection with transfers of financial assets. In addition, FASB ASC 860-10-65-3 requires additional disclosure about transfers of financial assets and a transferor's continuing involvement with such transferred financial assets. FASB ASC 860-10-65-3 is effective for financial asset transfers occurring after an entity's first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact of FASB ASC 860-10-65-3 and do not expect FASB ASC 860-10-65-3 to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In June 2009, the FASB issued FASB ASC 810-10-65-2 (previously SFAS 167), which amends the consolidation guidance applicable to VIEs. The amendments to the consolidation guidance affect all entities currently within the scope of FASB ASC 810-10-05-8 (previously FIN 46(R)), as well as QSPEs that currently are excluded from the scope of FASB ASC 810-10-05-8 (previously FIN 46(R)). FASB ASC 810-10-65-2 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. FASB ASC 810-10-65-2 significantly changes the criteria by which an enterprise determines whether it must consolidate a VIE. Currently, a VIE is consolidated by the enterprise that will absorb a majority of the expected losses or expected residual returns created by the assets of the VIE. FASB ASC 810-10-65 requires that a VIE be consolidated by the enterprise that has both the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE. FASB ASC 810-10-65 also requires that an enterprise continually reassess, based on current facts and circumstances, whether it should consolidate the VIEs with which it is involved. We are currently evaluating the impact of FASB ASC 810-10-65-2 on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows and we may be required to consolidate certain VIEs.

Presentation

Certain reclassifications have been made in the presentation of the prior periods consolidated financial statements to conform to the 2009 presentation.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

3. FAIR VALUE HIERARCHY

Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following tables set forth by level within the fair value hierarchy our assets and liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis under FASB ASC 815-15-25-4 (previously SFAS 155) and FASB ASC 825-10-50-8 (previously SFAS 159) as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008. As required by FASB ASC 820-10-50-1 (previously SFAS 157), assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

	Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2009										
	Level 1	Level 2	I	Level 3		Total					
Assets accounted for at fair value:											
Investment securities	\$	\$	\$	42,826	\$	42,826					
Derivative assets											
Total assets at fair value	\$	\$	\$	42,826	\$	42,826					
Liabilities accounted for at fair value:											
Collateralized debt obligations	\$	\$	\$	33,617	\$	33,617					
Derivative liabilities				34,937		34,937					
Total liabilities at fair value	\$	\$	\$	68,554	\$	68,554					

	Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2008									
	Level 1	Level 2		Level 3		Total				
Assets accounted for at fair value:										
Investment securities	\$	\$	\$	72,916	\$	72,916				
Derivative assets										
Total assets at fair value	\$	\$	\$	72,916	\$	72,916				
Liabilities accounted for at fair value:										
Collateralized debt obligations	\$	\$	\$	45,429	\$	45,429				
Derivative liabilities				57,280		57,280				
Total liabilities at fair value	\$	\$	\$	102,709	\$	102,709				
			F-:	32						

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

3. FAIR VALUE HIERARCHY (Continued)

The following is a summary of our investment securities based on the lowest level input that is significant to each security's fair value measurement in its entirety as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

	Investment Securities at Fair Value as of December 31, 2009							
Security Description	Level 1	Level 2]	Level 3		Total		
CMBS	\$	\$	\$	38,113	\$	38,113		
Non-Agency RMBS				4,713		4,713		
Total investment securities at fair value	\$	\$	\$	42,826	\$	42,826		
Level 3 assets for which we do not bear direct economic exposure(1)				35,536				
Level 3 assets for which we bear direct economic exposure			\$	7,290				

	Investment Securities at Fair Value as of December 31, 2008							
Security Description	Level 1	Level 2]	Level 3		Total		
CMBS	\$	\$	\$	58,093	\$	58,093		
Non-Agency RMBS				14,823		14,823		
Total investment securities at fair value	\$	\$	\$	72,916	\$	72,916		
Level 3 assets for which we do not bear direct economic exposure(1)				51,032				
Level 3 assets for which we bear direct economic exposure			\$	21,884				

(1)

Consists of Level 3 assets that are financed by our collateralized debt obligations. We own an interest in these securities through our investment in the subordinate classes of notes issued by these CDOs.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

3. FAIR VALUE HIERARCHY (Continued)

Level 3 Gains and Losses

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of our assets with significant valuation inputs classified as Level 3 for the year ended December 31, 2009.

	s	Recurri	leasured at Fair Value ng Basis Using Signific servable Inputs (Level Investment securities held-for-trading at FVO			
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$	21,615	\$	51,301	\$	72,916
Total net gains or losses on assets still held at December 31, 2009:						
Included in earnings interest income		(5,747)		(9,325)		(15,072)
Included in earnings impairments		(75,606)				(75,606)
Included in earnings mark to market on held-for-trading securities (FVO)				(4,171)		(4,171)
Included in earnings other		(421)		(1,099)		(1,520)
Included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		71,244				71,244
Total net gains or losses on assets disposed/redeemed during the period:						
Included in earnings interest income		74		(118)		(44)
Included in earnings impairments		(2,341)				(2,341)
Included in earnings mark to market on held-for-trading securities (FVO)				40		40
Included in earnings other		(3,309)		(494)		(3,803)
Included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		2,241				2,241
Net purchases, dispositions and settlements		(432)		(626)		(1,058)
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3		, , ,		, , ,		
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$	7,318	\$	35,508	\$	42,826

During the year ended December 31, 2009, our assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis reflected as Level 3 decreased, largely as a result of principal repayments and write-offs on CMBS and Non-Agency RMBS and decline in the projected cash flow on our CMBS and Non-Agency RMBS. A significant amount of our investment securities are reflected as Level 3 as a result of the reduction of liquidity in the capital markets that has resulted in a decrease in the observability of the significant inputs used in determining fair value.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

3. FAIR VALUE HIERARCHY (Continued)

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of our assets with significant valuation inputs classified as Level 3 for the year ended December 31, 2008.

	Recurri			red at Fair Valusis Using Signifule Inputs (Levenvestment securities l-for-trading at FVO	ican	
Balance, January 1, 2008	\$	169,883	\$	398,681	\$	568,564
Total net gains or losses on assets still held at December 31, 2008:						
Included in earnings interest income		3,738		15,360		19,098
Included in earnings impairments		(141,110)				(141,110)
Included in earnings mark to market on held-for-trading securities (FVO)				(356,713)		(356,713)
Included in earnings other		(1,150)		(142)		(1,292)
Included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		581				581
Total net gains or losses on assets disposed/redeemed during the period:						
Included in earnings interest income		(575)		(4)		(579)
Included in earnings realized gain (loss) on sale		(1,894)				(1,894)
Included in earnings impairments		(1,806)				(1,806)
Included in earnings mark to market on held-for-trading securities (FVO)				(1,019)		(1,019)
Included in earnings other		(544)				(544)
Included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		(13)				(13)
Net purchases, dispositions and settlements		(5,495)		(4,862)		(10,357)
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3						
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$	21,615	\$	51,301	\$	72,916

During the year ended December 31, 2008, our assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis reflected as Level 3 decreased, largely as a result of the sale of CMBS totaling \$2,245, principal repayments on CMBS and Non-Agency RMBS totaling \$8,592 and spread widening on our CMBS and Non-Agency RMBS. A significant amount of our investment securities are reflected as Level 3 as a result of the reduction of liquidity in the capital markets that has resulted in a decrease in the observability of the significant inputs used in determining fair value.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

3. FAIR VALUE HIERARCHY (Continued)

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of our liabilities with significant valuation inputs classified as Level 3 for the year ended December 31, 2009.

		Recurring Basis Using Signification Unobservable Inputs (Level 3 Collateralized Derivative Debt Liabilities Obligations				
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$	57,280	\$	45,429	\$	102,709
Total net gains or losses (realized/unrealized) still held at December 31,						
2009:						
Included in earnings mark to market on collateralized debt obligations				(46)		(46)
Included in earnings realized and unrealized loss on derivatives		(12,343)				(12,343)
Net issuances, dispositions and settlements		(10,000)		(11,766)		(21,766)
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3						
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$	34,937	\$	33,617	\$	68,554

During the year ended December 31, 2009, our liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis reflected as Level 3 decreased, due to the derivative liabilities that we closed out during the year and the principal repayments on our CDO obligations. In addition, the decrease in valuation of our derivatives liabilities and our CDO obligations, which are based on non-binding broker quotes, contributed to the decrease.

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of our liabilities with significant valuation inputs classified as Level 3 for the year ended December 31, 2008.

	Liabilities Measured at Fair Value Recurring Basis Using Significa Unobservable Inputs (Level 3 Collateralized Derivative Debt Liabilities Obligations					cant
Balance, January 1, 2008	\$	32,908	\$	299,034	\$	331,942
Total net gains or losses (realized/unrealized) still held at December 31, 2008:						
Included in earnings mark to market on collateralized debt obligations				(234,024)		(234,024)
Included in earnings realized and unrealized loss on derivatives		32,985				32,985
Net issuances, dispositions and settlements		(19,780)		(19,581)		(39,361)
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3		11,167				11,167
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$	57,280	\$	45,429	\$	102,709
F-36						

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

3. FAIR VALUE HIERARCHY (Continued)

During the year ended December 31, 2008, our liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis reflected as Level 3 decreased, largely due to the decrease in valuation of our CDO obligations, which are based on non-binding broker quotes. In addition, we reclassified our credit default swaps, which we refer to as CDS, as Level 3 liabilities as a result of the reduction of liquidity in the capital markets that has resulted in a decrease in the observability of the significant inputs used in determining fair value, offset in part by derivative liabilities that we closed out during the year ended December 31, 2008.

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of our investment securities with significant valuation inputs classified as Level 3 for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Investment Securities Measured at Fair

	value on a Recurring Basis Using							
	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)							
			No	n-Agency				
	(CMBS		RMBS		Total		
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$	58,093	\$	14,823	\$	72,916		
Total net gains/losses on securities still held at end of period:								
Included in earnings interest income		(12,514)		(2,558)		(15,072)		
Included in earnings impairments		(61,312)		(14,294)		(75,606)		
Included in earnings mark to market on held-for-trading securities (FVO)		(2,505)		(1,666)		(4,171)		
Included in earnings other		(184)		(1,336)		(1,520)		
Included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		57,614		13,630		71,244		
Total net gains/losses on securities disposed/redeemed during period:								
Included in earnings interest income		695		(739)		(44)		
Included in earnings impairments		(1,260)		(1,081)		(2,341)		
Included in earnings mark to market on held-for-trading securities (FVO)		(242)		282		40		
Included in earnings other				(3,803)		(3,803)		
Included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		(272)		2,513		2,241		
Net purchases, dispositions and settlements				(1,058)		(1,058)		
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3								
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$	38,113	\$	4,713	\$	42,826		
	Ψ	,	*	-,,,10	Ψ	,,,0		
F-37								
1-5/								

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

3. FAIR VALUE HIERARCHY (Continued)

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of our investment securities with significant valuation inputs classified as Level 3 for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Investment Securities Measured at Fair

Value on a Recurring Basis Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)										
	CMRS	Non Agency DMDS					Total			
\$		\$	•	\$	732	\$	568,564			
·	,	·					/			
	8,526		10,572				19,098			
	(79,087)		(60,883)		(1,140)		(141,110)			
	(266,783)		(89,930)				(356,713)			
	(514)		(778)				(1,292)			
	480		(307)		408		581			
	16		(595)				(579)			
	(1,894)						(1,894)			
			(1,806)				(1,806)			
							(1,019)			
			` /				(544)			
	1		` ′				(13)			
	(2,062)		(8,295)				(10,357)			
\$	58,093	\$	14,823	\$		\$	72,916			
		CMBS \$ 399,410 8,526 (79,087) (266,783) (514) 480 16 (1,894)	CMBS Non-As \$ 399,410 \$ 8,526 (79,087) (266,783) (514) 480 16 (1,894)	CMBS Non-Agency RMBS 399,410 \$ 168,422	CMBS Non-Agency RMBS 399,410 \$ 168,422 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	CMBS Non-Agency RMBS Stock \$ 399,410 \$ 168,422 \$ 732	CMBS Non-Agency RMBS Stock \$ 399,410 \$ 168,422 \$ 732 \$			

Valuation Techniques

In accordance with FASB ASC 820-10-50-1 (previously SFAS 157), valuation techniques used for assets and liabilities accounted for at fair value are generally categorized into three types:

Market Approach Market approach valuation techniques use prices and other relevant information from market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities. Valuation techniques consistent with the market approach include comparables and matrix pricing. Comparables use market multiples, which might lie in ranges with a different multiple for each comparable. The selection of where within the range the appropriate multiple falls requires judgment, considering both quantitative and qualitative factors specific to the measurement. Matrix pricing is a mathematical technique used principally to value certain securities without relying

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

3. FAIR VALUE HIERARCHY (Continued)

exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but comparing the securities to benchmark or comparable securities. Level 3 includes financial instruments that are marked to model using relevant empirical data to extrapolate an estimated fair value. The models' inputs reflect assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the instrument in a current period transaction and outcomes from the models represent an exit price and expected future cash flows (adjusted for credit risk).

Income Approach Income approach valuation techniques convert future amounts, such as cash flows or earnings, to a single present amount, or a discounted amount. These techniques rely on current market expectations of future amounts.

Cost Approach Cost approach valuation techniques are based upon the amount that, at present, would be required to replace the service capacity of an asset, or the current replacement cost. That is, from the perspective of a market participant (seller), the price that would be received for the asset is determined based on the cost to a market participant (buyer) to acquire or construct a substitute asset of comparable utility.

The three approaches described within FASB ASC 820-10-50-1 (previously SFAS 157) are consistent with generally accepted valuation methodologies. While all three approaches are not applicable to all assets or liabilities accounted for at fair value, where appropriate and possible, one or more valuation techniques may be used. The selection of the valuation method(s) to apply considers the definition of an exit price and the nature of the asset or liability being valued and significant expertise and judgment is required. For assets and liabilities accounted for at fair value, excluding real estate held for sale, valuation techniques generally are a combination of the market and income approaches. Real estate held for sale valuation techniques generally combine income and cost approaches. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the application of valuation techniques applied to similar assets and liabilities has been consistent.

Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis

Certain assets are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and are not included in the tables above. These assets include real estate loans and real estate loans held for sale that are reported at lower of cost or market and loans held for sale that initially were measured at cost and have been written down to fair value as a result of being designated for sale. The following table shows the hierarchy for those assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2009.

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a

	Non-Recurring Basis Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) as of December 31, 2009									
Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level							
Real estate loans	\$	\$	\$	\$						
Real estate loans held for sale			5,9	03 5,903						
Total assets at fair value	\$	\$	\$ 5,9	03 \$ 5,903						
				F_30						

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

3. FAIR VALUE HIERARCHY (Continued)

Real estate loans We had one real estate construction loan and one mezzanine loan that are held for investment where allowances for loan losses have been calculated based upon the fair value of the underlying collateral and by using a fundamental cash flow valuation analysis. The cash flow analysis includes cumulative loss assumptions derived from multiple inputs including current housing prices, costs to complete the construction of the underlying collateral, expected recoveries from supporting collateral and other market data.

Real estate loans held for sale We designated one mezzanine loan and one whole loan as being held for sale as of December 31, 2009 as we have committed to sell them, or we have the intent and ability to sell them in the near future. The fair values of the mezzanine loan and the whole loan held for sale were based on management's discounted cash flow analysis.

The following table shows the hierarchy for those assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2008.

	Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) as of										
	CHO	December 31, 2008									
Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		Total						
Real estate loans	\$	\$	\$	400	\$	400					
Real estate loans held for sale				5,058		5,058					
Total assets at fair value	\$	\$	\$	5,458	\$	5,458					

Real estate loans We had one real estate construction loan that is held for investment where an allowance for loan loss has been calculated based upon the fair value of the underlying collateral and by using a fundamental cash flow valuation analysis. The cash flow analysis includes cumulative loss assumptions derived from multiple inputs including current housing prices, expected recoveries from supporting collateral and other market data.

Real estate loans held for sale We designated one mezzanine loan as being held for sale as of December 31, 2008 as we have committed to sell it, or we have the intent and ability to sell it in the near future. The fair value of the mezzanine loan held for sale was based on management's discounted cash flow analysis that utilized spreads supplied by dealers.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

3. FAIR VALUE HIERARCHY (Continued)

Fair Value Option

The following reflects the assets and liabilities accounted for under the fair value option and the change in fair value recorded in our consolidated statement of operations:

Changes in Fair Values for the Year Ended

	(ir Value Option ember 31, 2009	I		o the Elec Net M Adju Investm	r Items Measure tion of the Fair V Mark to Market stments on ent Securities For-Trading	on k to et ents lized
Assets accounted for under the fair value option:							
Held-for-trading securities:							
CMBS	\$	32,886	\$	(8,107)	\$	(2,747)	\$
Non-Agency RMBS		2,622		(1,336)		(1,384)	
	\$	35,508	\$	(9,443)	\$	(4,131)	\$
Liabilities accounted for under the fair value option:							
Collateralized debt obligations	\$	33,617	\$		\$		\$ 46

option:

Assets accounted for under the fair value

December 31, 2008, For Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to the Election of the Fair Value Option Net Mark to Net Mark to Market Fair Value Market Adjustments on Adjustments on Collateralized Option December 31, Interest **Investment Securities** Debt 2008 Income(1) **Held-for-Trading Obligations**

Changes in Fair Values for the Year Ended

194

⁽¹⁾ Represents accretion of net discount only.

Edgar Filing: Crystal River Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K

Held-for-trading securities:				
CMBS	\$ 43,776	\$ 8,661	\$ (266,783)	\$
Non-Agency RMBS	7,525	6,695	(90,949)	
	\$ 51,301	\$ 15,356	\$ (357,732)	\$
Liabilities accounted for under the fair value option:				
Collateralized debt obligations	\$ 45,429	\$	\$	\$ 234,024

(1) Represents accretion of net discount only.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

3. FAIR VALUE HIERARCHY (Continued)

Total financial assets at fair value classified within Level 3 were \$48,729 and \$78,374 as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Such amounts represent 13% and 17% of "Total assets" on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Excluding assets for which we do not bear direct economic exposure, Level 3 assets were 2% and 5% of "Total assets" as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

Total financial liabilities at fair value classified within Level 3 were \$68,554 and \$102,709 as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Such amounts represent 16% and 21% of "Total liabilities" on the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, our CDO notes had a face value of \$455,262 and \$467,027, respectively, and fair value of \$33,617 and \$45,429, respectively.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Currently, we use interest rate swaps to manage our interest rate risk. The valuation of these instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities. The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves.

To comply with the provisions of FASB ASC 820-10-50-9 (previously SFAS 157), we incorporate credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both our own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of our derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, we have considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral posting, thresholds, mutual puts and guarantees. For the year ended December 31, 2009, we recorded \$8,905 into earnings as a loss, which is reflected in realized and unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives on our consolidated statement of operations, relating to our nonperformance risk.

Although we have determined that the majority of the inputs used to value our derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with our derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by ourselves and our counterparties. As of December 31, 2009, we have assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of our derivative positions and have determined that the credit valuation adjustments are significant to the overall valuation of our derivatives. As a result, we have determined that our derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

3. FAIR VALUE HIERARCHY (Continued)

In addition, we have a credit default swap that is held as an investment. The valuation of this derivative is based on the expected creditworthiness of the underlying asset discounted based on current market rates utilized by dealers. The determination of expected credit assumptions requires certain judgments which cannot be attributed to observable market inputs. As a result, we have determined that our credit default swap is classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

4. INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Our investment securities are classified into two categories, available-for-sale and trading, in which both are carried at their estimated fair values. The amortized cost and estimated fair values of our investment securities as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are summarized as follows:

	Amortized			Unre	alized		
Available-for-sale Securities	Cost		G	ains(1)	Losses(1)	1) Fair Val	
December 31, 2009							
CMBS	\$	3,144	\$	2,083	\$	\$	5,227
Non-Agency RMBS		2,091					2,091
Total	\$	5,235	\$	2,083	\$	\$	7,318
December 31, 2008							
CMBS	\$	13,675	\$	642	\$	\$	14,317
Non-Agency RMBS		7,216		82			7,298
Total	\$	20,891	\$	724	\$	\$	21,615

(1) Included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

	Amortized			Unre	alized			
Trading Securities		Cost		ains(1)	Losses(1)) Fair Value		
December 31, 2009								
CMBS	\$	23,049	\$	9,837	\$	\$	32,886	
Non-Agency RMBS		2,617		5			2,622	
Total	\$	25,666	\$	9,842	\$	\$	35,508	
December 31, 2008								
CMBS	\$	43,634	\$	142	\$	\$	43,776	
Non-Agency RMBS		7,493		32			7,525	

Edgar Filing: Crystal River Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K

Total \$ 51,127 \$ 174 \$ \$ 51,301

(1) Included in consolidated statements of operations.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

4. INVESTMENT SECURITIES (Continued)

We pledge our investment securities to secure our collateralized debt obligations and borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility. The fair value of the investment securities that we pledged as collateral as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 is summarized as follows:

	Decem	ber í	31,
Pledged as Collateral:	2009		2008
For borrowings under collateralized debt obligations	\$ 35,536	\$	51,032
For borrowings under secured revolving credit facility, related party	6,450		4,234
Total	\$ 41,986	\$	55,266

The aggregate estimated fair values by underlying credit rating of our investment securities as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were as follows:

	Es	December timated	r 31, 2009	December Estimated	r 31, 2008	
Security Rating	Fa	ir Value	Percentage	Fair Value	Percentage	
AAA	\$			%		%
AA						
A						
BBB		3,724	8.70	20,501	28.12	
BB		5,451	12.73	18,516	25.39	
В		15,506	36.20	13,211	18.12	
CCC		9,049	21.13	5,476	7.51	
CC						
C		6,698	15.64	4,431	6.08	
Not rated		2,398	5.60	10,781	14.78	
Total	\$	42,826	100.009	% \$ 72,916	100.00	%

The face amount and net unearned discount on our investment securities as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were as follows:

	December 31,								
Description:		2009		2008					
Face amount	\$	921,994	\$	1,150,667					
Net unearned discount		(891,093)		(1,078,649)					
Amortized cost	\$	30,901	\$	72,018					

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, net discount (premium) on investment securities accreted into interest income totaled \$(15,116), \$18,323 and \$20,129, respectively.

Commercial Mortgage Backed Securities ("CMBS") Our investments include CMBS, which are mortgage backed securities that are secured by, or evidence ownership interests in, a single commercial

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

4. INVESTMENT SECURITIES (Continued)

mortgage loan or a partial or entire pool of mortgage loans secured by commercial properties. The securities may be senior, subordinated, investment grade or non-investment grade.

The following is a summary of our CMBS investments as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

December 31, 2009

		ed Average	_					
Security Rating	Amortized Cost	Gains	Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Coupon	Book Yield(1)	Market Yield(2)	Term (yrs)
AAA	\$	\$	\$	\$		%	%	%
AA								
A								
BBB	3,724			3,724	5.20	9.74	44.67	5.93
BB	4,895	556		5,451	5.34	7.77	44.11	6.59
В	10,374	4,285		14,659	5.50	28.36	86.59	6.40
CCC	4,833	3,460		8,293	5.19	37.80	614.49	7.78
CC								
C	2,078	1,790		3,868	5.09	95.23	487.22	6.64
Not rated	289	1,829		2,118	5.11	256.44	2,157.59	9.19
Total CMBS	\$ 26,193	\$ 11,920	\$	\$ 38,113	5.21	31.43	347.01	6.86

December 31, 2008

		Gross Ur	realize		Weighted Average				
Security Rating	Amortized Cost	Gains	Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Coupon	Book Yield(1)	Market Yield(2)	Term (yrs)	
AAA	\$	\$	\$	\$		%	%	%	
AA									
A									
BBB	17,892			17,892	5.50	60.77	61.60	7.86	
BB	16,204			16,204	5.44	79.33	81.51	8.01	
В	10,409			10,409	4.84	84.67	92.16	7.99	
CCC	3,548	33		3,581	5.07	122.87	141.49	8.99	
CC									
C									
Not rated	9,256	751		10,007	5.05	128.22	147.77	9.53	
Total CMBS	\$ 57,309	\$ 784	\$	\$ 58,093	5.19	85.10	92.40	8.28	

Edgar Filing: Crystal River Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K

- (1) Book yield as reflected above is the implied loss-adjusted yield based on our expectation of future cash flows (*i.e.*, taking into account assumed defaults) and the amortized cost of the security.
- (2) Market yield as reflected above is the implied yield based on a zero-loss scenario (*i.e.*, not taking into account any assumed defaults) and the fair value of the security.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

4. INVESTMENT SECURITIES (Continued)

Residential Mortgage Backed Securities ("RMBS") Our investments include RMBS, which are securities that represent participations in, and are secured by or payable from, mortgage loans secured by residential properties. Our RMBS investments include Non-Agency pass-through certificates that are rated classes in senior/subordinated structures ("Non-Agency RMBS").

The following is a summary of our Non-Agency RMBS investments as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

December 31, 2009

	Gross Unrealized								Weighted Average			
Security Rating		rtized ost	Gain	s Loss		imated · Value	Coupon	Book Yield(1)	Market Yield(2)	Term (yrs)		
AAA	\$		\$	\$	\$			%	%	%		
AA												
A												
BBB												
BB												
В		847				847	1.73	9.7	7 59.24	5.65		
CCC		756				756	3.98	12.0	6 135.51	7.44		
CC												
C	2	2,830				2,830	4.34	24.8	1 14,757.34	5.58		
Not rated		275		5		280	2.87	4,299.6	7 5,212.70	4.48		
Total Non-Agency		. = 00							- 0.004.46			
RMBS	\$ 4	4,708	\$:	5 \$	\$	4,713	3.77	270.2	7 9,204.46	5.82		

December 31, 2008

	G	ross Ur	realize	il		Weighte		
Security Rating	Amortized Cost	Gains	Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Coupon	Book Yield(1)	Market Yield(2)	Term (yrs)
AAA	\$	\$	\$	\$		%	%	%
AA								
A								
BBB	2,609			2,609	2.80	284.86	1,139.67	4.45
BB	2,281	31		2,312	4.41	115.66	1,227.68	7.86
В	2,802			2,802	3.90	414.66	447.50	6.33
CCC	1,895			1,895	4.28	209.01	10,604.96	5.63
CC								
C	4,430	1		4,431	3.46	748.21	27,958.06	4.62
Not rated	692	82		774	3.61	9,013.01	9,236.65	6.82
Total Non-Agency								
RMBS	\$ 14,709	\$ 114	\$	\$ 14,823	3.70	824.21	10,671.87	5.66

Edgar Filing: Crystal River Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K

- (1) Book yield as reflected above is the implied loss-adjusted yield based on our expectation of future cash flows (*i.e.*, taking into account assumed defaults) and the amortized cost of the security.
- (2) Market yield as reflected above is the implied yield based on a zero-loss scenario (*i.e.*, not taking into account any assumed defaults) and the fair value of the security.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

4. INVESTMENT SECURITIES (Continued)

Other Securities We invested in the preferred stock of Millerton I CDO with an estimated fair value of \$0 as of each of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 and the preferred stock of Millerton II CDO with an estimated fair value of \$0 as of each of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008. The preferred stock of Millerton I CDO was rated C and the preferred stock of Millerton II CDO was not rated at December 31, 2009.

Unrealized Losses We did not own any available-for-sale securities with unrealized losses as of December 31, 2009 or 2008. Since substantial doubt exists about our ability to continue as a going concern, we have determined that it is more likely than not that our investment securities will be required to be sold before we recover our amortized cost basis and accordingly, all unrealized losses on our investment securities have been recognized in earnings.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments For the year ended December 31, 2009, we recorded other-than-temporary impairments totaling \$77,947. Impairments on RMBS and CMBS totaled \$15,375 and \$62,572, respectively. \$5,784 of the \$77,947 total impairment was recorded during the first quarter of 2009 in accordance with FASB ASC 325-40-65-1 (previously FSP EITF 99-20-1). The remaining \$72,163 impairment was recorded in accordance with FASB ASC 320-10-65-1 (previously FSP FAS 115-2) upon the adoption of the standard on April 1, 2009. The impairments were attributed to both credit and non-credit losses. To determine the component of the gross other-than-temporary impairment related to credit losses, we compared the amortized cost basis of each other-than-temporarily impaired security to the present value of its revised expected cash flows, discounted using its pre-impairment yield. Significant judgment of management is required in this analysis that includes, but is not limited to, assumptions regarding the rate and timing of principal payments (including prepayments, repurchases, defaults and liquidations), the pass-through or coupon rate and interest rate fluctuations. Other factors considered in determining the component of other-than-temporary impairments related to credit losses include current subordination levels, interest payment shortfalls, credit ratings and delinquency rates. Other-than-temporary impairment related to non-credit losses was determined based on our assessment that it is more likely than not that our securities will be required to be sold before we recover their amortized cost basis, and therefore this impairment was recognized in earnings. The following table summarizes the amount of

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

4. INVESTMENT SECURITIES (Continued)

other-than-temporary impairments related to credit and non-credit losses since the adoption of FASB ASC 320-10-65-1 through December 31, 2009:

	Tei	ss Other- Fhan- nporary airments	Other-Than- Temporary Impairments Included (Offset) in Other Comprehensive Income(1)		Ten Imp Incl	t Other- Fhan- nporary airments luded in arnings
April 1, 2009	\$	72,126	\$		\$	72,126
Impact of change in accounting principle(2)				72,126		(72,126)
Additions due to change in expected cash flows		4,365		809		3,556
Additional increases related to credit loss for OTTI previously recognized in OCI				(60,500)		60,500
Reductions due to requirement to sell		711		(7,396)		8,107
December 31, 2009	\$	77,202	\$	5,039	\$	72,163

(1) The balance as of December 31, 2009 represents the remaining OTTI in OCI of certain securities that are in a net gain position after including accumulated unrealized gains. The net unrealized gain in OCI as of December 31, 2009 was \$2,083.

(2)

Represents a reclassification to other comprehensive income of other-than-temporary impairments on securities which were previously recorded in earnings. As discussed in Note 2, upon adoption of FASB ASC 320-10-65-1 these impairments were reassessed and determined to be related to factors other than credit losses.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, we recorded impairment charges totaling \$142,916, which was reclassified out of other comprehensive income. Impairments on RMBS, CMBS and two preferred stock investments during the period totaled \$62,689, \$79,087 and \$1,140, respectively. The impairments were attributed to the decline in the projected yields related to changes in the cash flow assumptions, such as timing and prepayments, on the underlying assets, and other than temporary declines in market values, which was primarily a consequence of wider spreads affecting market values of the securities.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recorded impairment charges totaling \$317,931, which was reclassified out of other comprehensive income. Impairments on RMBS, Agency MBS, CMBS and two preferred stock investments during the period totaled \$128,745, \$10,556, \$174,918 and \$3,712, respectively The impairments were attributed to the decline in the projected yields related to changes in the cash flow assumptions, such as timing and prepayments, on the underlying assets, and other than temporary declines in market values, which was primarily a consequence of wider spreads affecting market values of the securities. In addition, the impairments were attributed to our intent not to hold certain securities to recovery where cost exceeds market value.

Sale of Investment Securities During the year ended December 31, 2009, we did not sell any securities. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we sold 40 available-for-sale securities for proceeds of \$475,888 and realized a gain of \$2,477 and we sold 33 available-for-sale securities

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

4. INVESTMENT SECURITIES (Continued)

proceeds of \$702,469 and realized a loss of \$6,291. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we sold 36 available-for-sale securities for proceeds of \$828,670 and realized a gain of \$2,881 and we sold 40 available-for-sale securities for proceeds of \$814,460 and realized a loss of \$4,426.

Average maturity of our investment securities at December 31, 2009 was as follows:

	Weighted Average Life
CMBS	3.93
Non-Agency RMBS	3.09

5. REAL ESTATE LOANS AND REAL ESTATE LOANS HELD FOR SALE

Real Estate Loans

We invest in mezzanine loans, B Notes, construction loans and whole loans. A mezzanine loan is a loan that is subordinated to a first mortgage loan on a property and is senior to the borrower's equity in the property. Mezzanine loans are made to the property's owner and are secured by pledges of ownership interests in the property and/or the property owner. The mezzanine lender can foreclose on the pledged interests and thereby succeed to ownership of the property subject to the lien of the first mortgage.

A subordinated commercial real estate loan, which we refer to as a B Note, may be rated by at least one nationally recognized rating agency. A B Note typically is a privately negotiated loan that is secured by a first mortgage on a single large commercial property or group of related properties, and is subordinated to an A Note secured by the same first mortgage on the same property.

A construction loan represents a participation in a construction or rehabilitation loan on a commercial property that generally provides 85% to 90% of total project costs and is secured by a first lien mortgage on the property. Alternatively, mezzanine loans can be used to finance construction or rehabilitation where the security is subordinate to the first mortgage lien. Construction loans and mezzanine loans used to finance construction or rehabilitation generally would provide fees and interest income at risk-adjusted rates.

A whole mortgage loan is a loan secured by a first lien mortgage that provides mortgage financing to commercial and residential property owners and developers. Generally, mortgage loans have maturities that range from three to 10 years for commercial properties and up to 30 years for residential properties.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

5. REAL ESTATE LOANS AND REAL ESTATE LOANS HELD FOR SALE (Continued)

The following is a summary of our real estate loans as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

Loan Type	Number of Loans	Fac	ce Value	arrying Value	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Maturity Date/Range	Weighted Average Years to Maturity
December 31, 2009							
Construction loans	1		14,602		(1)	11/2008(2)	
Mezzanine loans	1		6,358		(3)	5/2009(4)	
	2	\$	20,960	\$			
December 31, 2008							
Whole loans	1	\$	2,522	\$ 2,511	3.73%	11/2009	0.9
Construction loans	1		14,602	400	(1)	11/2008(2)	
Mezzanine loans	1		6,123	6,123	15.00	5/2009	0.4
	3	\$	23,247	\$ 9,034	11.71(1)	5/2009 11/2009	0.5

- (1) This construction loan bears interest at 16.00%, but we have placed it on non-accrual status and accordingly, do not include it in the calculation of the weighted average interest rate.
- (2) The construction loan was due in November 2008 and currently is in default.
- (3) This mezzanine loan bears interest at 15.00%, but we have placed it on non-accrual status effective March 31, 2009 and accordingly, do not include it in the calculation of the weighted average interest rate.
- (4) The mezzanine loan was due in May 2009 and currently is in default.

There were no unamortized underwriting fees as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

In 2005, we originated a mezzanine construction loan to develop luxury residential condominiums in Portland, Oregon. The loan was in technical default as of January 1, 2008 and was placed on non-accrual status at such date, and we have received no principal or interest payments during the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 on the loan. We have not made any additional advances on this loan during the year ended December 31, 2009 and have no funding obligations under this loan. In January 2009, the senior lender notified the borrower that the senior construction loan was in default, triggering a blockage period of 120 days with respect to our mezzanine construction loan during which period we were precluded from exercising our rights under the mezzanine construction loan. We currently account for this loan as a troubled debt restructuring under FASB ASC 310-40-15-3 (previously SFAS 15), and FASB ASC 310-10-35-13 (previously SFAS 114). As of December 31, 2009, we have recorded a loan loss allowance for the entire outstanding face amount of the loan. As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the carrying value of the loan totaled \$0 and \$400 (inclusive of loan loss provisions of \$14,602 and \$14,202, respectively).

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

5. REAL ESTATE LOANS AND REAL ESTATE LOANS HELD FOR SALE (Continued)

In November 2006, we purchased a senior participation interest in a mezzanine loan to develop luxury residential condominiums in New York, New York. The loan was in technical default as of April 2009 and was placed on non-accrual status as of March 31, 2009. We have not made any advances on this loan during the year ended December 31, 2009 and have no funding obligations under this loan. As of December 31, 2009, we have recorded a loan loss allowance for the entire outstanding face amount of the loan (including capitalized interest). As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the carrying value of the loan totaled \$0 and \$6,123 (inclusive of loan loss provisions of \$6,358 and \$0, respectively).

The components of our impaired real estate loans as of December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	Const	anine ruction oan	 zzanine oan(1)	Total
Carrying value December 31, 2008	\$	400	\$ 6,123	\$ 6,523
Funding of mezzanine construction loan				
Capitalized interest(2)			235	235
Provision for loan losses		(400)	(6,358)	(6,758)
Carrying value December 31, 2009	\$		\$	\$

Carried at amortized cost as of December 31, 2008 and subsequently carried at market.

(2) The mezzanine construction loan was placed on non-accrual status as of January 1, 2008 and the mezzanine loan was placed on non-accrual status as of March 31, 2009. No interest income was recorded on the mezzanine construction loan and \$235 of interest was capitalized on the mezzanine loan for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

5. REAL ESTATE LOANS AND REAL ESTATE LOANS HELD FOR SALE (Continued)

The components of our total provision for loan losses on our impaired real estate loans for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009 are as follows:

Reconciliation of Provision for Loan Losses	
Balance, December 31, 2006	\$
Additions (subtractions) during period:	
Provision for loan losses	4,500
Direct charge offs against the allowance	
Recoveries of previous charge offs	
Balance, December 31, 2007	4,500
Additions (subtractions) during period:	
Provision for loan losses	9,735
Direct charge offs against the allowance	
Recoveries of previous charge offs	
Balance, December 31, 2008	14,235
Additions (subtractions) during period:	
Provision for loan losses	6,758
Direct charge offs against the allowance	
Recoveries of previous charge offs	
-	
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 20,993

The components of our impaired mezzanine construction loan as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are as follows:

	December 31,			31,
	2	2009		2008
Carrying value beginning of period	\$	400	\$	9,270
Funding of mezzanine construction loan				865
Capitalized interest(1)				
Provision for loan losses		(400)		(9,735)
Carrying value end of period	\$		\$	400

(1) The loan was placed on non-accrual status as of January 1, 2008 and no interest income was recorded for 2008.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

5. REAL ESTATE LOANS AND REAL ESTATE LOANS HELD FOR SALE (Continued)

Real Estate Loans Held for Sale

As of December 31, 2009, we have the intent and ability to sell in the near future two of our real estate loans and therefore, we have classified them as held for sale. The following is a summary of our real estate loans held for sale as of December 31, 2008 and 2009:

Loan Type	Number of Loans	Fac	ce Value	arrying Value	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Weighted Average Years to Maturity
December 31, 2009							
Mezzanine loans	1	\$	11,063	\$ 4,658	7.32%	2/2016	6.2
Whole loans	1		1,658	1,245	3.48	11/2011	1.9
	2		12,721	5,903	6.82	11/2011-2/2016	5.6
December 31, 2008							
Mezzanine loans	1	\$	11,063	\$ 5,058	7.32%	2/2016	7.2

For the year ended December 31, 2009, we recorded valuation allowances of \$813 on our consolidated statement of operations relating to real estate loans that are held for sale as of December 31, 2009.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we sold 13 whole loans that previously had been designated for sale for proceeds of \$105,362 and realized a loss of \$1,087 and we sold one mezzanine loan that previously had been designated for sale for proceeds of \$11,385 and realized a loss of \$265. We recorded a valuation allowance of \$11,271 in connection with these loans which were sold in 2008.

Other Information Relating to Our Real Estate Loans and Real Estate Loans Held for Sale

The maturities of our real estate loans, including those held for sale, as of December 31, 2009 are as follows: \$1,742 in 2011, \$98 in 2012 and \$10,881 thereafter.

We pledge our real estate loans and real estate loans held for sale to secure our secured revolving credit facility. The fair value of the real estate loans, including those held for sale, that we pledged as collateral as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 is summarized as follows:

	Decen	ıber	31,	
Pledged as Collateral:	2009		2008	
For borrowings under secured				
revolving credit facility, related				
party	\$ 5,903	\$	13,178	
Total	\$ 5,903	\$	13,178	

As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, our real estate loans did not include any non-U.S. dollar denominated assets.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

6. COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE

The components of commercial real estate as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are as follows:

	December 31,				
		2009		2008	
Land	\$	17,428	\$	17,428	
Buildings and improvements		222,045		222,045	
Commercial properties		239,473		239,473	
Less: Accumulated depreciation		(17,719)		(11,214)	
Total	\$	221,754	\$	228,259	

The depreciation expense related to commercial real estate included in the operating results for the years ended December 31, 2009, December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 was \$6,505, \$6,504 and \$4,710, respectively.

The following is a schedule of future minimum rent payments to be received under the leases at the three properties owned:

	Rent	Rent Payments		
2010	\$	11,575		
2011		11,807		
2012		12,043		
2013		12,283		
2014		12,529		
Thereafter		101,206		

7. OTHER INVESTMENTS

The components of other investments as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are as follows:

	December 31,				,		
	2	2009		2008			
Investment in trust preferred							
securities	\$	1,550	\$	1,550			
Total other investments	\$	1.550	\$	1.550			

In March 2008, we sold our investment in a private equity fund that invests in real estate investments (that is managed by an affiliate of our Manager) to an affiliate of our Manager and incurred no gain or loss. The sale was approved by the independent members of our board of directors. As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had no further capital commitment to the private equity fund. Distributions from the fund totaled \$0 and \$426 for the years ended December 31, 2009

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

7. OTHER INVESTMENTS (Continued)

and 2008, respectively. Income (loss) from the interest in equity investment for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 can be broken down in the following components:

		Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2	2008	
Income from equity investment before				
management and promote fees	\$	\$	123	
Management fee			(30)	
Promote fee			(133)	
Income (loss) from equity investment	\$	\$	(40)	

8. INTANGIBLE ASSETS AND INTANGIBLE LIABILITIES

The intangible assets and liabilities arose on the acquisition of the commercial real estate properties in March 2007 and September 2007. As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the components of intangible assets are as follows:

	Dec	cember 31, 2009	Weighted Average Life (Years)	Dec	eember 31, 2008	Weighted Average Life (Years)
Lease origination costs	\$	82,228	12.1	\$	82,228	13.1
Below-market ground lease		2,919	56.3		2,919	57.3
Mineral rights		303			303	
Total		85,450			85,450	
Less: Accumulated amortization		(15,542)			(9,909)	
Intangible assets	\$	69,908		\$	75,541	

The amortization of lease origination costs for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$5,584, \$5,584 and \$4,237, respectively, and is included in depreciation and amortization expense. The amortization of a below-market ground lease, which is included in commercial real estate expenses, for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$49, \$49 and \$39, respectively. The estimated amortization of these intangible assets is \$5,633 per year for each of the next five years.

Below market leases, net of amortization, which are classified as intangible liabilities, are \$66,785 as of December 31, 2009 and \$72,265 as of December 31, 2008. The amortization of intangible liabilities included in rental income for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$5,480, \$5,480 and \$4,057, respectively. The estimated amortization is \$5,480 per year for each of the next five years.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

9. DEBT AND OTHER FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS

The following is a summary of our debt as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

Type of Debt:	De	cember 31, 2009	December 31, 2008	
Collateralized debt				
obligations, at fair value	\$	33,617	\$	45,429
Junior subordinated				
notes held by trust that				
issued trust preferred				
securities		51,550		51,550
Mortgages payable		219,380		219,380
Secured revolving credit				
facility, related party		28,920		32,920
Total Debt	\$	333,467	\$	349,279

Collateralized Debt Obligations In November 2005, we issued approximately \$377,904 of CDOs ("CDO I") through two newly-formed subsidiaries, Crystal River CDO 2005-1, Ltd. (the "2005 Issuer") and Crystal River CDO 2005-1 LLC (the "2005 Co-Issuer"). CDO I consists of \$227,500 of investment grade notes and \$67,750 of non-investment grade notes, each with a final contractual maturity date of March 2046, which were co-issued by the 2005 Issuer and the 2005 Co-Issuer, and \$82,654 of preference shares, which were issued by the 2005 Issuer. We retained all of the non-investment grade securities, the preference shares and the common shares in the 2005 Issuer. The 2005 Issuer holds assets, currently consisting of CMBS and RMBS, which serve as collateral for CDO I. Investment grade notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$217,500 were issued with floating coupons with a combined weighted average interest rate of three-month LIBOR plus 0.58% and these notes were hedged at an annual rate of 5.068% to protect CDO I from increases in short-term interest rates. In addition, \$10,000 of investment grade notes were issued with a fixed coupon rate of 6.02%. CDO I may be replenished, pursuant to certain rating agency guidelines relating to credit quality and diversification, with substitute collateral for loans that are repaid during the first five years of CDO I. Thereafter, CDO I's securities will be retired in sequential order from the senior-most to junior-most as loans are repaid. We incurred approximately \$5,906 of issuance costs, which is amortized over the average life of CDO I. The 2005 Issuer and 2005 Co-Issuer are consolidated in our financial statements. The investment grade notes are treated as a secured financing, and are non recourse to us. Proceeds from the sale of the investment grade notes issued were used to repay outstanding debt under our repurchase agreements. As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, CDO I was collateralized by investment securities with fair values of \$6,435 and \$17,550, respectively. As of January 1, 2008, we elected the fair value option under FASB ASC 825-10-45-1 (previously SFAS 159) for our collateralized debt obligations, and the fair value of the investment grade notes that CDO I issued that are held by third parties as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$5,723 and \$16,192, respectively (\$132,260 and \$142,183 par amount, respectively). Beginning in June 2008, CDO I began to fail certain over-collateralization triggers. During this period of failure, all notes subordinate to the most senior class with a relevant trigger failure will not receive their quarterly interest payments. The money that otherwise would be paid to those classes is used instead to pay down the principal balance of the senior-most outstanding notes. During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, \$518 and \$0, respectively, was diverted from the affected classes to amortize senior notes issued by CDO I, and \$9,406 and \$0, respectively, of senior notes was otherwise repaid as provided in the indenture governing the CDO I notes, On September 2, 2009, the trustee of CDO 1 issued a "Notice of Event of Default"

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

9. DEBT AND OTHER FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS (Continued)

to the collateral manager for CDO I because of a failure by CDO I to pay interest on the Class D notes. This event of default entitles noteholders of the senior class of notes, the Class A notes, to direct the trustee to take particular actions with respect to the collateral owned by CDO I and the CDO notes issued by CDO I. The event of default did not trigger a reconsideration event under FASB ASC 810-10-25-39 through 25-41 (previously FIN 46R) and has no impact on our consolidated financial statements during the third quarter.

In January 2007, we issued approximately \$390,338 of CDOs ("CDO II") through two newly-formed subsidiaries, Crystal River Capital Resecuritization 2006-1 Ltd. (the "2006 Issuer") and Crystal River Capital Resecuritization 2006-1 LLC (the "2006 Co-Issuer"). CDO II consists of \$324,956 of investment grade notes and \$14,638 of non-investment grade notes, each with a final maturity date of September 2047, which were co-issued by the 2006 Issuer and the 2006 Co-Issuer, and \$19,517 of non-investment grade notes and \$31,227 of preference shares, which were issued by the 2006 Issuer. The 2006 Issuer initially held cash totaling \$58,600 that was designated for the future funding of additional investments, all of which was invested during 2007. We retained all of the non-investment grade securities, the preference shares and the common shares in the 2006 Issuer. The 2006 Issuer holds assets, consisting of CMBS, which serve as collateral for CDO II. Investment grade notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$324,956 were issued with floating coupons with a combined weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 0.57% and these notes were hedged at an annual rate of 4.955% to protect CDO II from increase in short-term interest rates. We incurred approximately \$6,006 of issuance costs, which is being amortized over the average life of CDO II. The 2006 Issuer and the 2006 Co-Issuer are consolidated in our financial statements. The investment grade notes are treated as a secured financing, and are non recourse to us. Proceeds from the sale of the investment grade notes issued were used to repay outstanding debt under our repurchase agreements. As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, CDO II was collateralized by investment securities with a fair value of \$29,101 and \$33,482, respectively. As of January 1, 2008, we elected the fair value option under FASB ASC 825-10-45-1 (previously SFAS 159) for our collateralized debt obligations, and the fair value of the investment grade notes that CDO II issued that are held by third parties as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$27,894 and \$29,237, respectively (\$323,002 and \$324,844 par amount, respectively). During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, \$1,842 and \$112, respectively, of cash flows from "distressed securities" owned by CDO II was diverted from the equity class to amortize senior notes issued by CDO II.

Senior Mortgage-Backed Notes, Related Party In April 2007, we issued \$115,000 of senior mortgage-backed notes through a newly-formed subsidiary, CRZ ABCP Financing LLC. We retained \$9,250 of senior subordinated mortgage-backed notes and \$4,250 of subordinated mortgage-backed notes. CRZ ABCP Financing LLC holds assets, consisting of commercial whole mortgage loans, which serve as collateral for the mortgage-backed notes. Senior mortgage-backed notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$101,500 were issued to an affiliate of our Manager with floating coupons with an interest rate of three-month LIBOR plus 0.35%. The holder of the senior mortgage-backed notes had the ability to charge us to the extent that its cost of funding exceeds the interest rate paid on the senior mortgage-backed notes. Interest on the senior mortgage-backed notes was payable monthly. The senior mortgage-backed notes had a maturity of April 2017 and the outstanding principal was due at maturity.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

9. DEBT AND OTHER FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS (Continued)

Early repayments or sales of the underlying mortgage loans required repayment of a portion of the senior mortgage-backed notes. The senior mortgage-backed notes were treated as a secured financing, and were non recourse to us. We incurred financing costs of \$611, which were deferred and were being amortized over the term of the senior mortgage-backed notes. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we expensed \$543 of deferred financing costs in connection with our redemption of the senior mortgage-backed notes (see below), which was recorded in other expenses on our statements of operations.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, CRZ ABCP Financing LLC sold the 13 commercial whole mortgage loans that previously had been designated for sale, for proceeds totaling \$105,362 (see Note 5). In connection with the sale of 11 of the 13 loans, we entered into a yield maintenance agreement that required that \$4,096 of sale proceeds serve as collateral to protect the buyer against potential prepayments of those 11 real estate loans. The agreement provides that a proportionate share of the collateral will be released quarterly to either the buyer or us contingent on whether any prepayments are made by the respective borrowers of those 11 real estate loans. Proceeds totaling \$105,568 (including accrued interest of \$206) from the sale of the 13 real estate loans were used to redeem \$95,397 of outstanding principal of Class A senior mortgage-backed notes held by an affiliate of our Manager, establish a yield maintenance account in the amount of \$4,096 (see Note 10), terminate \$107,920 notional amount of interest rate swaps within CRZ ABCP Financing LLC at a cost of \$4,126 and pay closing costs of \$169. In addition, during June 2008, we made an additional capital contribution of \$3,000 to CRZ ABCP Financing LLC, which was used to further reduce the balance of the Class A senior mortgage-backed notes.

Junior Subordinated Notes Held by Trust that Issued Trust Preferred Securities In March 2007, we formed Crystal River Preferred Trust I ("Trust I") for the purpose of issuing trust preferred securities. In March 2007, Trust I issued \$50,000 of trust preferred securities to an unaffiliated investor and \$1,550 of trust common securities to us for \$1,550. The combined proceeds were invested by Trust I in \$51,550 of junior subordinated notes issued by us. The junior subordinated notes are the sole assets of Trust I and mature in April 2037, but are callable by us at par on or after April 2012. Interest is payable quarterly at a fixed rate of 7.68% (ten-year LIBOR plus 2.75%) through April 2012 and thereafter at a floating rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 2.75%. We incurred financing costs of \$1,356, which have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the junior subordinated notes.

Mortgages Payable In March 2007, we financed a portion of our purchase of two office buildings located in Houston, Texas and Phoenix, Arizona, with a \$198,500 mortgage loan that bears interest at an annual rate of 5.509% and matures on April 1, 2017. The mortgage provides for payments of interest only throughout the term of the loan and the entire principal is due at maturity. We have pledged our interest in the two office buildings, the related leases and the related rent enhancement receivables, related party, as collateral for this mortgage loan. We incurred financing costs of \$209, which have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the loan. In September 2007, we financed a portion of our purchase of one office building located in Arlington, Texas with a \$20,880 mortgage loan that bears interest at an annual rate of 6.29% and matures on October 1, 2017. The mortgage provides for payments of interest only until October 1, 2010, after which we will be required to make monthly payments of \$129 in respect of principal and interest. We will be required to pay the

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

9. DEBT AND OTHER FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS (Continued)

remaining principal and accrued interest at maturity. We have pledged our interest in the Arlington office building, the related lease and the related rent enhancement receivables, related party, as collateral for this mortgage loan. We incurred financing costs of \$98, which have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the loan.

Revolving Credit Facility In August 2007, we entered into a \$100,000 unsecured 364-day credit facility with Brookfield US Corporation (f/k/a Brascan (U.S.) Corp.), an affiliate of our Manager. Indebtedness outstanding under the unsecured credit facility bore interest at LIBOR + 4.00%. In November 2007, we and Brookfield US Corporation amended the terms of the facility, effective as of September 30, 2007, to convert the facility to a secured revolving credit facility that provides for borrowings of up to \$100,000 in the aggregate and to reduce the interest rate to LIBOR + 2.50%. In March 2008, we and Brookfield US Corporation amended the terms of the facility, effective as of December 31, 2007, to extend the term of the facility from November 2008 to May 2009, to revise the financial covenant relating to minimum net worth (as defined in the facility) and to eliminate the financial covenants relating to minimum net income (as defined in the facility), compliance with a maximum leverage ratio and compliance with an interest rate sensitivity requirement. In August 2008, we and Brookfield US Corporation amended the terms of the facility, effective as of June 30, 2008, to revise the financial covenant relating to minimum net worth. In February 2009, we and Brookfield US Corporation amended the terms of the facility to extend the term of the facility from May 2009 to May 2010, to lower the borrowing capacity under the facility from \$100,000 to \$50,000 and, effective as of December 31, 2008, to delete the financial covenant relating to minimum net worth. The secured facility bears interest at LIBOR + 2.50%. In February 2010, we and Brookfield US Corporation amended the terms of our secured revolving credit facility to extend the term of the facility from May 2010 to August 2010 unless sooner terminated as provided in the credit agreement. The credit facility and the amendments were approved by the independent members of our board of directors. The credit agreement contains customary representations, warranties and covenants, including covenants limiting dividends, liens, mergers, asset sales and other fundamental changes. In addition, if our current manager, Crystal River Capital Advisors, LLC, or another wholly owned subsidiary of Brookfield Asset Management Inc. is not acting as our manager, then Brookfield US Corporation may accelerate all amounts due under our revolving credit facility. We incurred financing costs of \$8, which have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the secured revolving credit facility.

Restrictive Covenants and Maturities Certain of our repurchase agreements (none of which were in use as of December 31, 2009 or December 31, 2008) contain financial covenants, such as maintaining a specific net asset value or worth, and certain of such repurchase agreements and our revolving credit facility contain covenants requiring us to maintain our REIT status. We were in compliance with respect to all our financial covenants under agreements for which we had outstanding borrowings as of December 31, 2009.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

9. DEBT AND OTHER FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS (Continued)

Scheduled Debt Maturities Scheduled maturities of our debt as of December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	(CDO(1)	Subo	unior ordinated Notes	lortgages Payable	Cr	red Revolving edit Facility, elated Party	Totals
2010	\$		\$		\$ 40	\$	28,920	\$ 28,960
2011					245			245
2012					261			261
2013					278			278
2014					296			296
Thereafter		455,262		51,550	218,260			725,072
	\$	455,262	\$	51,550	\$ 219,380	\$	28,920	\$ 755,112

(1) Amount is based on unpaid principal balance. As of December 31, 2009, the difference between fair value and unpaid principal balance is \$421,645.

Interest Expense Interest expense is comprised of the following:

Year	Ended	December	31,
------	-------	----------	-----

	2009	2008		2007
Interest on repurchase				
agreements	\$	\$	12,661	\$ 115,422
Interest expense (income) on				
interest rate swap agreements	1,369		2,049	(9,106)
Interest on CDO notes	5,735		17,721	29,670
Interest on senior				
mortgage-backed notes, related				
party			1,687	4,030
Interest on mortgages payable	12,419		12,453	9,075
Interest on junior subordinated				
notes	3,959		3,959	3,079
Interest on short-term debt				617
Interest on secured revolving				
credit facility, related party	884		2,738	342
Interest on margin borrowing				90
Amortization of deferred				
financing costs	77		275	3,411
Other				167
Total interest expense	\$ 24,443	\$	53,543	\$ 156,797

220

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

9. DEBT AND OTHER FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS (Continued)

Interest payable is comprised of the following:

	December 31,				
	2	2009		2008	
Interest on CDO notes	\$	793	\$	516	
Interest on mortgages payable		113		113	
Interest on junior subordinated					
notes		671		671	
Interest on secured revolving credit					
facility, related party		37		57	
Total interest payable	\$	1,614	\$	1,357	

10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

We invest in real estate construction loans. During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, we made advances of \$0 and \$929, respectively, under these commitments. As of December 31, 2009, we had no unfunded commitments to fund any real estate loans.

In January 2007, we made a \$28,462 investment in a private equity fund that invests in real estate investments. The fund is managed by an affiliate of our Manager and the investment was approved by the independent members of our board of directors. In connection with that investment, we agreed to a future capital commitment of \$10,392. Certain distributions from the private equity fund may be recalled by the fund's manager for reinvestment purposes. During March 2008, we sold our investment in the private equity fund to an affiliate of our Manager and the purchaser of our investment assumed our unfunded capital commitment. Accordingly, as of December 31, 2009, we had no further commitment with respect to this investment.

In June 2008, we sold 11 whole loans to a third party (See Note 5). In connection with the sale, we entered into a yield maintenance agreement which serves to protect the buyer against potential prepayments of those 11 whole loans. In accordance with the agreement, we deposited \$4,096 into a restricted trust account to serve as collateral for the potential loss contingency. The agreement provides for the release to be evaluated quarterly to either the buyer or us of a proportionate share of the collateral contingent on any prepayments of the 11 whole loans. During the years ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, \$532 and \$153, respectively, was released to us from the restricted trust account. As of December 31, 2009, our maximum loss contingency under this agreement totaled \$3,213. The initial accrued loss contingency was recorded as additional realized loss on the sale of real estate loans in our statement of operations during 2008. As of December 31, 2009, we had an accrued loss contingency totaling \$110 (compared to our maximum exposure to loss of \$3,213 as of such date) based on assumptions developed by management relating to the timing and value of expected prepayments on the 11 loans.

11. RISK MANAGEMENT TRANSACTIONS

We are exposed to certain risks arising from both our business operations and economic conditions. We principally manage our exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of our core business activities. We manage economic risks, including interest rate,

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

11. RISK MANAGEMENT TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

liquidity, and credit risk, primarily by managing the amount, sources and duration of our debt funding and the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, we have entered into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. Our derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing and duration of our known or expected cash receipts and our known or expected cash payments principally related to our borrowings.

Our objective in using interest rate derivatives is to manage our exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, we primarily use interest rate swaps as part of our interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from counterparties in exchange for us making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount.

As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we did not have any foreign currency swaps in place.

The fair value of our derivatives as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 consisted of the following:

	December 31,				
		2009		2008	
Derivative Assets:					
Interest rate swaps	\$		\$		
Interest receivable swaps					
Total derivative assets	\$		\$		
Derivative Liabilities:					
Interest rate swaps	\$	25,308	\$	37,659	
Credit default swaps		9,628		19,140	
Interest payable swap		441		366	
Other		1		481	
Total derivative liabilities	\$	35,378	\$	57,646	

The notional amount of our open undesignated interest rate swap positions as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were as follows:

	December 31,				
		2009		2008	
Interest rate swaps on CDO I notes	\$	40,493	\$	44,549	
Interest rate swaps on CDO II notes		240,467		240,467	
	\$	280,960	\$	285,016	

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

11. RISK MANAGEMENT TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had unhedged liabilities under our secured revolving credit facility totaling \$28,920 and \$32,920, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the change in the valuation of the interest rate swaps in the amount of \$12,353 was recorded in realized and unrealized loss on derivatives on our statement of operations. The net unamortized deferred losses on settled and unsettled swaps as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were \$9,170 and \$10,539, respectively, and are being amortized into earnings through interest expense.

Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and are used to manage our exposure to interest rate movements and other identified risks but do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements of FASB ASC 815-10-50-4(e) (previously SFAS 133). Changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated in hedging relationships recorded directly in earnings for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 totaled \$12,353, \$17,950 and \$6,383, respectively.

As a result of the sale of our Agency MBS portfolio and related repayment of our repurchase agreements in 2008, we determined that it was no longer probable that the future repurchase agreements that certain of our interest rate swaps were hedging would occur. As a result, we reclassified \$9,666 of realized and unrealized loss out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into realized and unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives in the consolidated statement of operations in the first quarter of 2008.

The components of our accumulated derivative gain (loss) included in other comprehensive income (loss) are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,				ι,	
		2009		2008		2007
Net unrealized accumulated derivative gain (loss) on active and settled interest rate swaps and						
caps beginning of year	\$	(10,539)	\$	(25,219)	\$	9,651
Net change in active and settled swaps				4,199		(32,643)
Net realized losses on settled swaps reclassified into earnings				8,982		
Net realized (gains) losses on settled and active swaps amortized into earnings		1,369		1,499		(2,227)
Net unrealized accumulated derivative loss on active and settled interest rate swaps and caps end						
of year	\$	(9,170)	\$	(10,539)	\$	(25,219)

Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on our variable-rate debt. During 2009, an additional \$1,369 was reclassified as an increase in interest expense. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we accelerated the reclassification of a \$167 loss in other comprehensive loss to earnings as a result of the hedged forecasted transactions becoming probable not to occur. No such acceleration occurred during the years ended December 31, 2008 or 2007. We estimate that an additional \$1,203 will be reclassified into earnings.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

11. RISK MANAGEMENT TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

The components of net realized and unrealized loss on derivatives are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,					ι,
		2009		2008		2007
Realized/unrealized losses on credit default swaps						
("CDS")	\$	(488)	\$	(16,481)	\$	(76,141)
Realized/unrealized losses on foreign currency swaps						
("FCS")						(5,149)
Unrealized losses on hedge ineffectiveness		(12,918)		(10,789)		(1,227)
Unrealized gains (losses) on economic hedges not						
designated for hedge accounting		12,353		(22,703)		(3,105)
Net realized losses on settlement of interest rate swaps				(20,994)		(1,089)
Premium earned on CDS		191		450		2,212
Swap transaction expense		(29)		(162)		(452)
Other		479				
Net realized and unrealized losses on derivatives	\$	(412)	\$	(70,679)	\$	(84,951)

As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we were not required to provide any collateral in respect of our interest rate swaps.

The maturities of the notional amounts of our interest rate swaps outstanding as of December 31, 2009 are as follows: \$40,493 in 2013 and \$240,467 in 2018.

As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we held various credit default swaps, as the protection seller, with notional amounts (maximum exposure to loss) of \$10,000 and \$20,000, respectively. A credit default swap is a financial instrument used to transfer the credit risk of a reference entity from one party to another for a specified period of time. In a standard CDS contract, one party, referred to as the protection buyer, purchases credit default protection from another party, referred to as the protection seller, for a specific notional amount of obligations of a reference entity. In these transactions, the protection buyer pays a premium to the protection seller. The premium generally is paid monthly in arrears, but may be paid in full up front in the case of a CDS with a short maturity. Generally, if a credit event occurs during the term of the CDS, the protection seller pays the protection buyer the notional amount and takes delivery of the reference entity's obligation. CDS are generally unconditional, irrevocable and non-cancelable. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we closed out six CDS on single names in an aggregate notional amount of \$55,000, with a realized loss of approximately \$30,249, of which \$19,780 was accrued in 2007 as an unrealized loss. At December 31, 2009, the fair value of our net liability relating to credit default swap contracts was \$9,628, compared to a net liability of \$19,140 at December 31, 2008, primarily as a result of the occurrence of a credit event on the reference obligation underlying one of our CDS on which we sold protection, which required us to make payments on that CDS in the amount of \$10,000 during the year ended December 31, 2009. As of December 31, 2009, we had posted cash of \$10,497 as collateral in connection with our single name CDS, which is included in restricted cash on the balance sheet.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

11. RISK MANAGEMENT TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

The components of our outstanding credit default swaps as of December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	FHLT 2005-1 M8					
Notional amount	\$	10,000				
Expiration date		6/25/2035				
Fair value	\$	(9,628)				
Exposure to loss	\$	372				

12. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN

In March 2005, we completed the Private Offering in which we sold 17,400,000 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value, at an offering price of \$25 per share, including the purchase of 400,000 shares of common stock by the initial purchasers/placement agents pursuant to an over-allotment option. We received proceeds from these transactions in the amount of \$405,613, net of underwriting commissions, placement agent fees and other offering costs totaling \$29,387. In August 2006, we completed the Public Offering in which we sold 7,500,000 shares of common stock at an offering price of \$23 per share. The proceeds received from the Public Offering were \$158,599, which was net of underwriting and other offering costs of \$13,901. Each share of common stock entitles its holder to one vote per share.

In March 2005, we adopted a Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "Plan") that provides for awards under the Plan in the form of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted and unrestricted stock awards, restricted stock units, deferred stock units and other performance awards. Our Manager and our officers, employees, directors, advisors and consultants who provide services to us are eligible to receive awards under the Plan. The Plan has a term of 10 years and, based on awards since adoption, limits awards through December 31, 2009 to a maximum of 2,502,180 shares of common stock. For subsequent periods, the maximum number of shares of common stock that may be subject to awards granted under the Plan can increase by 10% of the difference between the number of shares of common stock outstanding at the end of the current calendar year and the prior calendar year. In no event will the total number of shares that can be issued under the Plan exceed 10,000,000.

In connection with the Plan, a total of 84,000 shares of restricted common stock and 126,000 stock options (exercise price of \$25 per share) were granted to our Manager in March 2005. The Manager subsequently transferred these shares and options to certain of its officers and employees, certain of our directors and other individuals associated with our Manager who provide services to us. The restrictions on the restricted common stock lapse and full rights of ownership vest for one-third of the restricted shares and options on each of the first three anniversary dates of issuance. Vesting is predicated on the continuing involvement of our Manager in providing services to us. In addition, 3,500 shares of unrestricted stock were granted to the independent members of our board of directors in March 2005 in lieu of cash remuneration. The independent members of our board of directors fully vested in the shares on the date of grant.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we issued 4,000 shares of restricted common stock and 6,000 restricted stock units in the aggregate to the independent members of our board of directors, all

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

12. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN (Continued)

of which such shares of restricted common stock and restricted stock units will vest on the first anniversary of issuance. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2009, we issued 249,975 deferred stock units to certain independent members of our board of directors. Of these amounts, 160,345 deferred stock units and restricted stock units and 4,000 shares of restricted stock were issued in lieu of cash remunerations. These independent members of our board of directors fully vested in the deferred stock units at the date of grant.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we issued 2,000 shares of restricted common stock to an independent member of our board of directors. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2008, we also issued 158,168 deferred stock units and restricted stock units to certain independent members of our board of directors. Of these amounts, 128,330 deferred stock units and restricted stock units and 2,000 shares of restricted common stock were issued in lieu of cash remunerations. These independent members of our board of directors fully vested in the deferred stock units at the date of grant.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, a former senior executive officer resigned and forfeited 20,000 shares of restricted common stock that were issued during the year ended December 31, 2006 and had not vested as of the date of his resignation. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we issued 2,000 shares of restricted common stock to an independent member of our board of directors. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2007, we also issued 20,730 deferred stock units and restricted stock units to certain independent members of our board of directors. Of these amounts, 16,637 deferred stock units and restricted stock units and 2,000 shares of restricted stock were issued in lieu of cash remunerations. These independent members of our board of directors fully vested in the deferred stock units at the date of grant.

The fair value of unvested shares of the restricted stock issued to our Manager, directors and employees of our Manager's affiliates as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$2 and \$1, respectively, and the fair value of unvested stock options granted as of each of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$0 (\$0 per share). For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, \$9, \$8 and \$643, respectively, was expensed relating to the amortization of the restricted stock and the stock options. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, \$167, \$319 and \$497, respectively, was expensed relating to the amortization of deferred stock units.

The Binomial option pricing model was used for pricing our stock options with the following assumptions as of March 15, 2008:

		March 15, 2008(1)					
Strike price	\$	25.00					
Dividend yield		25.61%					
Expected volatility		35.0%					
Risk free interest rate		5.0%					
Expected life of options	6	years					

(1)

March 15, 2008 represents the vesting date of all outstanding stock options.

F-66

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

12. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN (Continued)

Option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the expected stock price volatility. Our stock options have characteristics that are significantly different from those of traded options and changes in the subjective input assumptions could materially affect the fair value estimate.

Restricted stock activity from inception is as follows:

	Number of Shares of Restricted Stock	Weighted Average Fair Value
Non-vested stock		
awards, March 15, 2005		
Granted	84,000	\$ 25.00
Vested		
Forfeited		
Non-vested stock		
awards, December 31,		
2005	84,000	25.00
Granted	38,000	24.86
Vested	(28,662)	25.00
Forfeited	(4,000)	25.00
Non-vested stock		
awards, December 31,		
2006	89,338	24.94
Granted	2,000	26.85
Vested	(40,667)	24.87
Forfeited	(20,000)	25.00
Non-vested stock		
awards, December 31,		
2007	30,671	25.12
Granted	2,000	5.52
Vested	(30,671)	25.12
Forfeited		
Non-vested stock		
awards, December 31,		
2008	2,000	5.52
Granted	4,000	1.94
Vested	(2,000)	5.52
Forfeited		
Non-vested stock		
awards, December 31,		
2009	4,000	1.94

Price range of stock awards outstanding

\$1.94 \$26.85

F-67

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

12. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN (Continued)

Information regarding the granted and outstanding options to purchase our common stock since inception is as follows:

	Number of Average Ex		Weighted rage Exercise Price	Weighted Average Fair Value		
Options outstanding at March 15, 2005	·					
Granted	126,000	\$	25.00	\$	2.19	
Exercised						
Forfeited						
Options outstanding						
at December 31,						
2005	126,000	\$	25.00	\$	2.19	
Granted	4,000	\$	25.00	\$	2.49	
Exercised						
Forfeited						
Options, outstanding at December 31,						
2006	130,000	\$	25.00	\$	2.63	
Granted	150,000	Ψ	23.00	Ψ	2.03	
Exercised						
Forfeited						
Options, outstanding						
at December 31,						
2007	130,000	\$	25.00	\$	0.21	
Granted						
Exercised						
Forfeited						
Options, outstanding						
at December 31,						
2008	130,000	\$	25.00	\$	0.21	
Granted						
Exercised						
Forfeited						
Options, outstanding						
at December 31,						
2009	130,000	\$	25.00	\$	0.00	

Edgar Filing: Crystal River Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K

	2009	2008	2007
Options exercisable at end of period	130,000	130,000	86,660
Exercise price of options outstanding	\$25.00	\$25.00	\$25.00
Weighted-average remaining contractual life	5.21 years	6.21 years	7.21 years

The intrinsic value of our exercisable and outstanding stock options as of December 31, 2009 was \$0.

F-68

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

12. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN (Continued)

Information regarding outstanding deferred stock units since inception is as follows:

	Number of Deferred Stock Units	A	eighted verage ir Value
Deferred Stock Units			
outstanding at March 15,			
2005			
Granted			
Exercised			
Forfeited			
Deferred Stock Units			
outstanding at			
December 31, 2005			
Granted	21,690	\$	23.73
Exercised	21,000	Ψ.	2017.0
Forfeited			
1 01101100			
Deferred Stock Units			
outstanding at			
December 31, 2006	21,690	\$	23.73
Granted	20,729	\$	22.74
Exercised	20,729	Ф	22.74
Forfeited			
Politeited			
D-f d C4l- II:4-			
Deferred Stock Units			
outstanding at	42.410	¢	22.25
December 31, 2007 Granted	42,419	\$ \$	23.25
Exercised	158,168	Э	2.15
Forfeited			
ronened			
Deferred Stock Units			
outstanding at	200.505	Ф	((1
December 31, 2008	200,587	\$	6.61
Granted	249,975	\$	1.06
Exercised			
Forfeited			
D-f1 C4- 1 II '			
Deferred Stock Units			
outstanding at	450.562	Ф	2.52
December 31, 2009	450,562	\$	3.53

The following table shows our stock repurchase activity during 2007; there was no stock repurchase activity during 2008 or 2009.

Edgar Filing: Crystal River Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased(1)	Average Price Paid Per Share(2)	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(1)
August 21 31, 2007	55,400	\$ 14.48	55,400	1,944,600
September 1 30, 2007	119,600	15.28	175,000	1,825,000
October 1 31, 2007	59,700	17.24	234,700	1,765,300
November 1 30, 2007	64,600	13.39	299,300	1,700,700
December 1 31, 2007			299,300	1,700,700

(1)
On August 7, 2007, our board of directors authorized and announced the repurchase of 2,000,000 shares of our common stock in open-market or in privately negotiated transactions. The amount

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

12. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN (Continued)

and timing of purchases will depend upon a number of factors, including the price and availability of our shares and general market conditions. This program has no expiration date but may be terminated by our board of directors at any time. We purchased an aggregate of 299,300 shares during the periods indicated above under this program. There are 1,700,700 shares remaining for repurchase under this program as of December 31, 2008.

(2) Includes brokerage commissions.

Accumulated other comprehensive loss as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was comprised of the following:

	ember 31, 2009	Dec	cember 31, 2008
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	\$ 2,083	\$	724
Net realized and unrealized losses on interest rate swap agreements accounted for as cash flow hedges	(9,170)		(10,539)
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ (7,087)	\$	(9,815)

Total comprehensive loss totaled \$85,552, \$299,756 and \$374,571 for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

13. FINANCIAL RISKS

We are subject to various risks, including credit, interest rate and market risk. We are subject to interest rate risk to the extent that our interest-bearing liabilities mature or re-price at different speeds, or different bases, than our interest-earning assets. Credit risk is the risk of default on our investments that results in a counterparty's failure to make payments according to the terms of the contract.

Market risk reflects changes in the value of the investment securities and real estate loans due to changes in interest rates or other market factors, including the rate of prepayments of principal and the value of the collateral underlying our investment securities and real estate loans.

As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the mortgage loans in the underlying collateral pools for all securities we owned were secured by properties predominantly in Arizona (12% in 2009, 10% in 2008), California (12% in 2009, 14% in 2008), Florida (6% in 2009, 5% in 2008), New York (10% in 2009, 13% in 2008) and Texas (15% in 2009, 12% in 2008). All other states comprise individually less than 5% as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had a concentration of tenant risk relating to our commercial real estate properties. Our commercial real estate properties are leased on a triple net basis to JPMorgan Chase under leases that expire in 2021 for our Houston, Texas and Phoenix, Arizona properties and in 2027 for our Arlington, Texas property. In addition, rental income from our commercial real estate segment represented 42.7% and 15.9% of our total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

14. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

We have entered into a management agreement, as amended (the "Agreement"), with our Manager. The initial term of the Agreement expired in December 2008 and was renewed for a one-year term. After the initial term, the Agreement will be automatically renewed for a one-year term each anniversary date thereafter unless we or our Manager terminate the Agreement. The Agreement provides that our Manager will provide us with investment management services and certain administrative services and will perform our day-to-day operations. The monthly base management fee for such services is equal to 1.5% of one-twelfth of our equity, as defined in the Agreement, payable in arrears. In future periods, to the extent that our equity is negative, we expect that our base management fee would be zero. The current term of the Agreement will expire in December 2010.

In addition, under the Agreement, our Manager earns a quarterly incentive fee equal to 25% of the amount by which the quarterly net income per share, as defined in the Agreement (which principally excludes the effect of stock compensation and the unrealized change in derivatives), exceeds an amount equal to the product of the weighted average of the price per share of the common stock we issued in the Private Offering and in the Public Offering and the price per share of common stock in any subsequent offerings by us, multiplied by the higher of (i) 2.4375% or (ii) 25% of the then applicable 10 year Treasury note rate plus 0.50%, multiplied by the then weighted average number of outstanding shares for the quarter. The incentive fee is paid quarterly. The Agreement provides that 10% of the incentive management fee is to be paid in shares of our common stock (providing that such payment does not result in our Manager owning directly or indirectly more than 9.8% of our issued and outstanding common stock) and the balance is to be paid in cash. Our Manager may, at its sole discretion, elect to receive a greater percentage of its incentive management fee in shares of our common stock. The incentive management fees included in our consolidated statements of operations that were incurred during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 were \$0, \$0 and \$124, respectively. In accordance with the Agreement, we issued to our Manager shares of our common stock in respect of 10% of such incentive management fees, which totaled 445 shares for the year ended December 31, 2007.

The Agreement may be terminated upon the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the independent members of our board of directors after the expiration of the initial term and by providing at least 180 days prior notice based upon either: (i) unsatisfactory performance by our Manager that is materially detrimental to us, or (ii) a determination by the independent members of our board of directors that the management fees payable to our Manager are not fair (subject to our Manager's right to prevent a compensation termination by agreeing to a mutually acceptable reduction of the management fees). If we terminate the Agreement, then we must pay our Manager a termination fee equal to twice the sum of the average annual base and incentive fees earned by our Manager during the two twelve-month periods immediately preceding the date of termination, calculated as of the end of the most recently completed fiscal quarter prior to the date of termination.

We issued to our Manager 84,000 shares of our restricted common stock and granted options to purchase 126,000 shares of our common stock for a 10 year period at a price of \$25 per share in March 2005. We issued to one of our executive officers 30,000 shares of restricted common stock in March 2006. 10,000 of such shares vested on March 15, 2007 and the remaining 20,000 shares were forfeited in April 2007 when the executive officer to whom such shares were issued resigned from his position with us. We issued to one of our directors 2,000 shares of restricted stock in May 2007 which vested on the

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

14. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

first anniversary of their date of issuance, we issued to one of our directors 2,000 shares of restricted stock in June 2008 which vested on the first anniversary of their date of issuance, and we issued to two of our directors an aggregate of 4,000 shares of restricted stock in June 2009, which will vest on the first anniversary of their date of issuance. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the base management expense was \$0, \$1,253 and \$5,823, respectively. Included in the management fee expense for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 is \$0, \$(27) and \$555, respectively, of amortization of stock-based compensation related to restricted stock and options granted. For management fees earned during the year ended December 31, 2008, we issued to our Manager 68,338 shares of common stock on May 2, 2008; 99,998 shares of common stock on August 6, 2008; 29,969 shares of common stock on October 31, 2008.

The Agreement provides that we are required to reimburse our Manager for certain expenses incurred by our Manager on our behalf provided that such costs and reimbursements are no greater than that which would be paid to outside professionals or consultants on an arm's length basis. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we were not charged any reimbursable costs by our Manager.

In January 2007, we purchased a \$28,462 investment in BREF One, LLC (the "Fund"), a real estate finance fund sponsored by Brookfield Asset Management, and incurred a \$10,392 unfunded capital commitment to the Fund. The acquisition was made from two subsidiaries of Brookfield Asset Management. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we sold our interest in the Fund to an affiliate of our Manager and the purchaser assumed our unfunded capital commitment. In addition, income (loss) from the equity investment in the Fund for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$(40) and \$2,610.

In February 2007, we entered into a sale and purchase agreement with BREOF BNK Fannin LP and BREOF BNK Phoenix LLC, two subsidiaries of a real estate opportunity fund sponsored by Brookfield and managed by a Brookfield subsidiary, to acquire two commercial properties for a total purchase price of \$234,710. We paid the purchase price for the properties with the proceeds from a \$198,500 mortgage loan from an external lender that bears interest at an annual rate of 5.509% and matures on April 1, 2017 and with available cash. In addition to the sale and purchase agreement, we entered into rent enhancement agreements with BREOF BNK Fannin LP and BREOF BNK Phoenix LLC under which we will receive monthly rent enhancement payments through 2015 totaling \$15,905.

In April 2007, we issued \$115,000 of senior mortgage-backed notes through a newly-formed subsidiary, CRZ ABCP Financing LLC. We retained \$9,250 of senior subordinated mortgage-backed notes and \$4,250 of subordinated mortgage-backed notes. CRZ ABCP Financing LLC held assets, consisting of 13 commercial whole mortgage loans, which served as collateral for the mortgage-backed notes. Senior mortgage-backed notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$101,500 were issued to an affiliate of our Manager with floating coupons with an interest rate of three-month LIBOR plus 0.35%. Interest on the senior mortgage-backed notes was payable monthly. The senior mortgage-backed notes had a maturity of April 2017 and the outstanding principal was due at maturity. Early repayments of the underlying mortgage loans required repayment of a portion of the senior mortgage-backed notes. The senior mortgage-backed notes were treated as a secured financing, and were non recourse to us.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

14. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

Proceeds from the sale of the senior mortgage-backed notes issued were used to repay outstanding debt under our repurchase agreements. During the year ended December 31, 2008, CRZ ABCP Financing LLC sold 13 commercial whole mortgage loans that previously had been designated for sale for proceeds of \$105,362. The net proceeds of \$101,334 were used to repay the senior mortgage-backed notes that were held by an affiliate of our Manager. In addition, during June 2008, we made an additional capital contribution of \$3,000 to CRZ ABCP Financing LLC, which was used to further reduce the balance of the Class A senior mortgage-backed notes. As of December 31, 2008, we did not have any senior mortgage-backed notes outstanding.

In August 2007, we entered into a \$100,000 unsecured 364-day credit facility with Brookfield US Corporation (f/k/a Brascan (U.S.) Corp.), an affiliate of our Manager. Indebtedness outstanding under the unsecured credit facility bore interest at LIBOR + 4.00%. In November 2007, we and Brookfield US Corporation amended the terms of the facility, effective as of September 30, 2007, to convert the facility to a secured revolving credit facility that provides for borrowings of up to \$100,000 in the aggregate and to reduce the interest rate to LIBOR + 2.50%. In March 2008, we and Brookfield US Corporation amended the terms of the facility, effective as of December 31, 2007, to extend the term of the facility from November 2008 to May 2009, to revise the financial covenant relating to minimum net worth (as defined in the facility) and to eliminate the financial covenants relating to minimum net income (as defined in the facility), compliance with a maximum leverage ratio and compliance with an interest rate sensitivity requirement. In August 2008, we and Brookfield US Corporation amended the terms of the facility, effective as of June 30, 2008, to revise the financial covenant relating to minimum net worth. In February 2009, we and Brookfield US Corporation amended the terms of the facility to extend the term of the facility from May 2009 to May 2010, to lower the borrowing capacity under the facility from \$100,000 to \$50,000 and, effective as of December 31, 2008, to delete the financial covenant relating to minimum net worth. The secured facility bears interest at LIBOR + 2.50%. The credit facility and the amendments were approved by the independent members of our board of directors. The credit agreement contains customary representations, warranties and covenants, including covenants limiting dividends, liens, mergers, asset sales and other fundamental changes. In addition, if our current manager, Crystal River Capital Advisors, LLC, or another wholly owned subsidiary of Brookfield Asset Management Inc. is not acting as our manager, then Brookfield US Corporation may accelerate all amounts due under our revolving credit facility. We incurred financing costs of \$8, which have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the secured revolving credit facility.

In August 2007, we sold one whole loan to an affiliate of our Manager at its carrying value plus accrued interest receivable, for total proceeds of \$45,369.

In September 2007, we entered into a sale and purchase agreement with BREOF BNK2 Arlington LP, a subsidiary of a real estate opportunity fund sponsored by Brookfield and managed by a Brookfield subsidiary, to acquire one commercial property for a total purchase price of \$26,494. We paid the purchase price for the property with the proceeds from a \$20,880 mortgage loan from an external lender that bears interest at an annual rate of 6.29% and matures on October 1, 2017 and with available cash. In addition to the sale and purchase agreement, we entered into rent enhancement agreements with BREOF BNK2 Arlington LP under which we will receive monthly rent enhancement payments through 2019 totaling \$6,902.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

14. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

The following amounts from related party transactions are included in our consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

	Year	Ended Decemb	ber 3	1,
	2009	2008	2	2007
Interest income from real estate loans to related parties	\$	\$	\$	1,517
Interest expense on indebtedness to related parties	(884)	(4,425)		(8,891)
Interest income from rent enhancement receivables from related parties	750	882		606
Income (loss) from equity investment in private investment fund managed by related party		(40)		2,610

The following amounts from related party transactions are included in our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

	December 31,					
	2009			2008		
Interest payable on indebtedness to related parties	\$	37	\$	57		
Rent enhancement receivable from related parties	1	1,439		13,828		
Secured revolving credit facility, related party	2	8,920		32,920		

We and our Manager have entered into sub-advisory agreements with other affiliated entities and the fees payable under such agreements will be paid from any management fees earned by our Manager. In addition, certain of these affiliated sub-advisory entities introduced investments to us for purchase that we acquired for a total of \$0, \$0 and \$289,009 during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The purchase price of three commercial real estate properties that we purchased during the year ended December 31, 2007 from an affiliate of our Manager, at a total cost of \$260,543, were determined as part of a competitive bid process, and the purchase price of our investment in a private equity fund managed by an affiliate of our Manager, at a total initial cost of \$28,462, was acquired at its book value assuming hypothetical liquidation. All such acquisitions were approved in advance by the independent members of our board of directors.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

15. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the calculation of Basic and Diluted EPS for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

		d December 3 Weighted Average Number of Shares Outstanding(1)	Per Share		ded December 3 Weighted Average Number of Shares Outstanding(1)	Per Share		ded December 3 Weighted Average Number of Shares Outstanding(1)	Per Share
Basic EPS:									
Net loss per share of common stock Effect of Dilutive Securities: Options outstanding for the purchase of common stock	\$ (88,280)	25,211,260	\$ (3.50)	\$ (307,092)	24,866,206	\$ (12.35)	\$ (345,878	24,962,708	\$ (13.86)
Diluted EPS:									
Net loss per share of common stock and assumed conversions	\$ (88,280)	25,211,260	\$ (3.50)	\$ (307,092)	24,866,206	\$ (12.35)	\$ (345,878) 24,962,708	\$ (13.86)

⁽¹⁾ Including other participating securities.

As of each of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, options to purchase a total of 130,000 shares of common stock have been excluded from the computation of diluted EPS as they were determined to be antidilutive.

16. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of our other financial instruments as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were as follows:

		December Carrying Amount		• 0		31, 2009 Estimated Fair Value		December Carrying Amount		Carrying				Carrying		2008 stimated ir Value																								
Assets:																																								
Investment securities	\$	42,826	\$	42,826	\$	72,916	\$	72,916																																
Real estate loans						9,034		8,520																																
Real estate loans held for sale		5,903		5,903		5,058		5,058																																
Other investments		1,550		243		1,550		297																																
Derivative assets																																								
Liabilities:																																								
Collateralized debt obligations		33,617		33,617		45,429		45,429																																
Junior subordinated notes		51,550		8,087		51,550		9,865																																
Mortgages payable		219,380		147,873		219,380		128,235																																
Secured revolving credit facility, related party		28,920		28,631		32,920		32,112																																

Edgar Filing: Crystal River Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K

35,378 F-75 35,378 Derivative liabilities 57,280 57,280

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

16. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)

The methodologies used and key assumptions made to estimate fair values are as follows:

Investment securities The fair value of investment securities is estimated by obtaining broker quotations, where available, based upon reasonable market order indications or a good faith estimate thereof. For securities where market quotes are not readily obtainable, management also may estimate values, and considers factors including the credit characteristics and term of the underlying security, market yields on securities with similar credit ratings, and sales of similar securities, where available.

Real estate loans and real estate loans held for sale The fair value of our loan portfolio is estimated by using a discounted cash flow analysis, utilizing scheduled cash flows and discount rates estimated by management to approximate those that a willing buyer and seller might use.

Other investments The fair value of our interest in equity investments is estimated using the fair value of the assets exchanged for the cancellation of the trust preferred securities (see Note 19).

Derivative assets and liabilities The fair value of our derivative assets and liabilities is estimated using current market quotes and third-party quotations, where available, and taking into consideration credit risk.

Collateralized debt obligations The fair value of collateralized debt obligations is estimated by obtaining multiple third-party valuations.

Junior subordinated notes The fair value of our junior subordinated notes is estimated using the fair value of the assets exchanged for the cancellation of the trust preferred securities (see Note 19).

Mortgages payable The fair value of our mortgage notes is estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis, based on management's estimates of market interest rates.

Secured revolving credit facility, related party The fair value of the secured revolving credit facility, related party, is estimated by using a discounted cash flow analysis, utilizing discount rates estimated by management.

17. SEGMENT REPORTING

We determined that we operate in two reportable segments: a Securities, Loans and Other segment and a Commercial Real Estate segment. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies as discussed in Note 2. The reportable segments were determined based on the allocation of our investment portfolio between investment activity and commercial real estate operations in which separate performance data is produced and analyzed by management and our chief operating decision-maker.

Our Securities, Loans and Other segment includes all of our investment activities related to securities, real estate loans and equity investments. Our Commercial Real Estate segment includes all of our activities related to the ownership and leasing of the three commercial real properties.

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

17. SEGMENT REPORTING (Continued)

The following table summarizes our segment reporting for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 and our total assets as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

	ecurities, oans and Other	 mmercial eal Estate	Total
Year ended December 31, 2009			
Total revenues	\$ 29,124	\$ 21,671	\$ 50,795
Interest expense	(11,994)	(12,449)	(24,443)
Depreciation and amortization expense		(12,088)	(12,088)
Provision for loss on real estate loans	(7,571)		(7,571)
Total expenses	(25,936)	(26,201)	(52,137)
Income (loss) before other revenues (expenses)	3,188	(4,530)	(1,342)
Realized and unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives	(412)		(412)
Total other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities	(10,860)		(10,860)
Portion of other-than-temporary impairments recognized in other comprehensive income	(67,087)		(67,087)
Net change in assets and liabilities valued under FVO	(4,085)		(4,085)
Total other expenses	(86,938)		(86,938)
Net loss	(83,750)	(4,530)	(88,280)
Year ended December 31, 2008			
Total revenues	\$ 117,216	\$ 22,225	\$ 139,441
Interest expense	(41,060)	(12,483)	(53,543)
Depreciation and amortization expense		(12,088)	(12,088)
Provision for loss on real estate loans	(27,073)		(27,073)
Total expenses	(76,006)	(26,212)	(102,218)
Income (loss) before other revenues (expenses)	41,210	(3,987)	37,223
Realized and unrealized loss on derivatives	(70,679)		(70,679)
Impairment of available-for-sale securities	(142,916)		(142,916)
Net change in assets and liabilities valued under FVO	(123,708)		(123,708)
Total other expenses	(344,315)		(344,315)
Net loss	(303,105)	(3,987)	(307,092)
F-77			

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

17. SEGMENT REPORTING (Continued)

	Securities, Loans and Other			mmercial al Estate	Total
Year ended December 31, 2007					
Total revenues	\$	219,919	\$	16,210	\$ 236,129
Interest expense		(147,722)		(9,075)	(156,797)
Depreciation and amortization expense				(8,948)	(8,948)
Provision for loss on real estate loans		(4,500)			(4,500)
Total expenses		(165,381)		(19,134)	(184,515)
Income (loss) before other revenues (expenses)		54,538		(2,924)	51,614
Realized and unrealized loss on derivatives		(84,951)			(84,951)
Impairment of available-for-sale securities		(317,931)			(317,931)
Total other expenses		(397,492)			(397,492)
Net income (loss)		(342,954)		(2,924)	(345,878)
December 31, 2009					
Total assets	\$	73,101	\$	308,852	\$ 381,953
December 31, 2008					
Total assets	\$	126,647	\$	321,654	\$ 448,301

18. SELECTED QUARTERLY RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

The following is a summary of the unaudited quarterly results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands except per share data):

	December 31, S 2009			September 30, 2009(1)		June 30, 2009(1)		arch 31, 2009
Operating results:								
Total interest and dividend income	\$	814	\$	6,580	\$	7,365	\$	14,365
Rental income, net		5,337		5,284		5,446		5,604
Total revenues		6,151		11,864		12,811		19,969
Interest expense		(5,796)		(5,919)		(6,195)		(6,533)
Income before other revenues (expenses)		(6,107)		615		2,033		2,117
Net loss		(16,513)		(55,296)		(6,490)		(9,981)
Per share data:								
Net loss basic	\$	(0.65)	\$	(2.19)	\$	(0.26)	\$	(0.40)
Net loss diluted	\$	(0.65)	\$	(2.19)	\$	(0.26)	\$	(0.40)
Regular dividends declared	\$	0.00	\$	0.10	\$	0.10	\$	0.10
			F-	78				

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

18. SELECTED QUARTERLY RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited) (Continued)

	December 31, September 30, 2008 2008		J	June 30, 2008	N	Tarch 31, 2008	
Operating results:							
Total interest and dividend income	\$	25,028	\$ 22,190	\$	26,780	\$	43,218
Rental income, net		5,614	5,399		5,550		5,662
Total revenues		30,642	27,589		32,330		48,880
Interest expense		(9,241)	(9,302)		(10,732)		(24,268)
Income before other revenues (expenses)		10,221	8,885		8,312		9,805
Net loss		(37,123)	(56,748)		(75,535)		(137,686)
Per share data:							
Net loss basic	\$	(1.48)	\$ (2.28)	\$	(3.04)	\$	(5.56)
Net loss diluted	\$	(1.48)	\$ (2.28)	\$	(3.04)	\$	(5.56)
Regular dividends declared	\$	0.10	\$ 0.10	\$	0.30	\$	0.68

(1)

Reclassification between total other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities and portion of other-than-temporary impairments recognized in other comprehensive income has been made for the quarters ended September 30 and June 30, 2009. There was no impact on other revenues (expense) or on net loss.

Basic and diluted earnings per share are computed independently for each of the periods. Accordingly, the sum of the quarterly earnings per share amounts may not agree to the total for the year.

19. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 29, 2010, we entered into an Exchange Agreement, which we refer to as the Exchange Agreement, with Taberna Preferred Funding VIII, Ltd. and Taberna Preferred Funding IX, Ltd., which we collectively refer to as Taberna, pursuant to which we exchanged certain of our assets described below for the outstanding \$50,000 aggregate liquidation preference trust preferred securities issued by Crystal River Preferred Trust I and backed by \$51,550 aggregate principal amount of our junior subordinated notes due 2037. Immediately following the closing of the transaction contemplated by the Exchange Agreement, the junior subordinated notes were cancelled, the indenture under which the notes were issued was discharged and Crystal River Preferred Trust I was dissolved.

Pursuant to the Exchange Agreement, we transferred and assigned to Taberna two real estate loans and a CMBS bond, which collectively had an aggregate carrying value for accounting purposes on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2009 of \$5,980, and we also paid to Taberna a \$1,000 exchange fee. We also paid \$500 to cover all costs and expenses that were incurred by the collateral manager for Taberna, the indenture trustee and their respective advisors. This transaction resulted in a pretax gain on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$39,900.

On February 23, 2010, we and Brookfield and B Acquisition Sub Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Brookfield, entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger pursuant to which Brookfield will acquire all the outstanding shares of our common stock that Brookfield and its subsidiaries do not already own for cash at a price of \$0.60 per share. The transaction was unanimously approved by the independent members of our Board of Directors, and by the Special Committee formed to oversee our strategic

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2009

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

19. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS (Continued)

review process. The transaction is subject to approval by our stockholders holding a majority of the shares entitled to vote at a special meeting of stockholders, as well as other customary closing conditions. We will be obligated to reimburse Brookfield for all of its transaction expenses (subject to a cap in certain circumstances) if the merger agreement is terminated other than as result of a breach by Brookfield.

On February 23, 2010, we and Brookfield US Corporation amended the terms of our secured revolving credit facility to extend the term of the facility from May 2010 to August 31, 2010 unless sooner terminated as provided in the credit agreement.

As contemplated by the merger agreement relating to the Merger, on March 9, 2010, we entered into a binding letter of intent (the "Asset Sale LOI"), with Ranieri Partners Management LLC or its affiliates (together, "Ranieri"). Pursuant to the Asset Sale LOI, Ranieri (either directly or through an affiliate) agreed to purchase certain mortgage pass-through certificates (the "LOI CMBS Assets") from us. Further, pursuant to the Asset Sale LOI, we agreed to appoint Ranieri (or its designee) as the replacement manager of our CDO 1 and CDO2. Ranieri agreed to pay us a total purchase price of \$8,000 for the sale of those assets to Ranieri, of which \$2,500 (plus accrued and unpaid interest through the closing date of that portion of the transaction) was paid upon the sale of the LOI CMBS Assets on March 15, 2010, \$2,000 will be paid upon the transfer of the CDO2 rights referenced above, and \$3,500 will be paid upon the transfer of the CDO1 rights referenced above. The LOI CMBS Assets had a carrying value of approximately \$926 as of December 31, 2009. We also agreed to grant to Ranieri a right of first refusal to purchase all or any portion of our interest in two CMBS securitizations if we sell those securities in the future. The completion of the transfer of the CDO2 and CDO1 rights set forth in the Asset Sale LOI is subject to various customary closing conditions as well as the execution of definitive agreements.

We are aware of three lawsuits that have been filed by alleged Crystal River shareholders challenging our proposed merger with Brookfield and naming as defendants Crystal River, our Board of Directors, and in some instances, Brookfield and B Merger Sub, Inc. Additional lawsuits pertaining to the Merger could be filed in the future. On or around March 2, 2010, plaintiff Fazal Mahmood filed a complaint in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland against Crystal River and our Board of Directors asserting claims on behalf of a putative class of Company shareholders. On March 2, 2010, plaintiffs Milton P. Silva and Shaher Tadros filed a complaint in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, against Crystal River, our Board of Directors, Brookfield, and B Merger Sub, Inc. asserting claims on behalf of a putative class of Crystal River shareholders as well as derivative claims ostensibly on behalf of Crystal River. On March 5, 2010, plaintiff Gary P. Klahr filed a complaint in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland against Crystal River, our Board of Directors, Brookfield, and B Merger Sub, Inc. asserting claims on behalf of a putative class of Crystal River shareholders. In each of these lawsuits, the plaintiffs generally allege, among other things, that the members of our Board of Directors breached their fiduciary duties towards the plaintiffs and the other public stockholders of Crystal River in connection with the proposed sale of Crystal River to Brookfield and Merger Sub. Plaintiffs in these lawsuits seek, among other relief, certification of the lawsuits as class actions, an injunction preventing the Merger from closing, an award of unspecified damages to the plaintiffs and the class, and an award of attorneys' fees and expenses, along with such other relief as the courts deem just and proper. We intend to vigorously defend these lawsuits.

F-80

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands)

	_		~*	Addi		Decreases	_	
Description	beg	lance at inning of period	cos	arged to sts and penses	Charged to other accounts(1)	Accounts written off(2)		llance at end of period
Allowance for loan loss								
Deducted from Real Estate Loans, Net in the Consolidated								
Balance Sheet								
2009	\$	14,235	\$	6,758	\$	\$	\$	20,993
2008		4,500		9,735				14,235
2007				4,500				4,500

(1) Includes additions for acquisitions and reclassifications

(2) Consists of write-offs, net of recoveries and collection fees in each year

S-1

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation December 31, 2009 (dollars in thousands)

				Initi	В	Cost uilding and	Cap Sub Acq	Cost pitalized sequen to quisition Buildin and	t n ng	(Clos B	mount at V arried at se of Perio uilding and	od	A		umulated		Date	Useful life on which depreciation in latest income statements is
Description					_		-	proven			_				_		structio	n cquired	l computed(4)
Office Building, N.	\$	145,087	\$ 5	5,802	\$	150,473	\$	\$	\$	5,802	\$	150,473	\$ 1	156,275	\$	(11,743)	1973	2007	40 years
Central Avenue, Phoenix, AZ	,																		
Office Building, 1111																			40 years
Fannin St., Houston, TX		53,413	5	5,240		52,320				5,240		52,320		57,560		(4,435)	1971	2007	-
Office Building, JPM Chase Call Center, Arlington, TX		20,880	ŧ	5,386		19,252				6,386		19,252		25,638		(1,541)	2000	2007	40 years
TOTAL	\$	219,380	\$ 17	7,428	\$	222,045	\$	\$	\$	17,428	\$	222,045	\$ 2	239,473	\$	(17,719)			

⁽¹⁾All three properties purchased in 2007 were purchased from affiliates of our Manager at prices determined in competitive bid processes and were approved by the independent members of our board of directors. A reconciliation of changes to the Gross Amount of Real Estate owned during the period is contained below.

- (2) Land is not a depreciable asset.
- (3)

 This building is depreciated on a straight-line basis over a period of 40 years from its acquisition date.
- (4) Improvements are depreciated on a straight-line basis over a period of between 7 and 14.5 years from their acquisition.

S-2

Edgar Filing: Crystal River Capital, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Reconciliation of Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period	
Balance, December 31, 2007	\$ 239,473
Additions during period:	
Acquisitions through foreclosure	
Other acquisitions	
Improvements, etc.	
Deductions during period:	
Cost of real estate sold	
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$ 239,473
Additions during period:	
Acquisitions through foreclosure	
Other acquisitions	
Improvements, etc.	
Deductions during period:	
Cost of real estate sold	
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 239,473

The initial cost includes the purchase price paid by the Company and acquisition fees and expenses. The total cost basis of the Company's properties at December 31, 2009 for Federal income tax purposes was approximately \$239.5 million.

(3) A reconciliation of changes to the Accumulated Depreciation on Real Estate owned during the period is as follows:

Reconciliation of Accumulated Depreciation		
Balance, December 31, 2007		\$ 4,710
Additions during period:		
Depreciation and amortization expense		6,504
Deductions during period:		
Accumulated depreciation and amortization on real estate sold		
Balance, December 31, 2008		11,214
Additions during period:		
Depreciation and amortization expense		6,505
Deductions during period:		
Accumulated depreciation and amortization on real estate sold		
Balance, December 31, 2009		\$ 17,719
	S-3	

Crystal River Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries Schedule IV Schedule of Mortgage Loans on Real Estate December 31, 2009 (in thousands)

Loan Type	Interest Rate	Final Maturity Date	Periodic Payment Terms	Prior Liens(1)	Face Amount of Mortgage		A S D f I	Principal mount of Loan ubject to elinquent Principal r Interest
Construction Loans:			T 11 at ta					
Cambridge Condos, Portland, Oregon	16.00%	November 2008(3)	Interest payable monthly with the principal due at maturity	\$ 10,086	\$ 14,602	2 \$	(4\$)	14,602
Total construction loans				10.086	14.602	2		14,602
Mezzanine Loans: Walgreens, Colorado 4 properties Ohio 3 properties Michigan 3 properties Texas 3 properties other 13 properties	7.32	February 2016	Principal and interest are payable monthly based on amortization schedule over the life to maturity	112,175	11,063	3 4,658	3(5)	
Sheffield Building, New York, New York	15.00	May 2009(2)	Interest is capitalized and due at maturity	n/a(3)	6,358	3	(4)	6,358
Total mezzanine loans				112,175	17,421	4,658	3	6,358
Whole Loans:				,	ŕ			Ź
Forestville Plaza,		November	Interest payable monthly with					
Forestville, Maryland	3.73	2010(6)	the principal due at maturity	n/a	1,658	3 1,245	(5)	
Total whole loans					1,658	3 1,245	5	
Total loans				\$ 122,261	\$ 33,681	\$ 5,903	3 \$	20,960

- (1) Represents estimated amount of mortgage liens collateralizing senior debt
- (2) Loan did not pay off at maturity and currently is in default
- (3) Loan has been foreclosed.
- (4) Loan is in default and has been written down to expected recovery amount
- (5) Valuation allowance was recorded because this loan is held for sale. We exchanged this note as part of the consideration for the repurchase and discharge of our junior subordinated notes in January 2010.
- (6) The borrower has one remaining 12-month extension option

Balance, December 31, 2006 \$ 237,670 Additions during period: 30,211 Foreign currency translation gain 3,819 Capitalized interest 1,105 Amortization of discount 111 Underwriting costs 61 Subtractions during period: 61 Mortgages sold (55,257) Principal redemption (37,000) Principal payments (5,084) Loan loss provision (4,500) Amortization of underwriting costs (29) Write-offs of underwriting costs (20) Amortization of premium (307) Balance, December 31, 2007 \$ 170,780 Additions during period: 263 New mortgage loans and advances 929 Capitalized interest 263 Amortization of discount 64 Underwriting costs (13,942) Loan loss provision (26,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (4) Write-offs of underwriting costs (4) Additions during period: (198) <	Reconciliation of Mortgage Loans on Real Estate		
New mortgage Joans and advances 30,211 Foreign currency translation gain 3,819 Capitalized interest 1,105 Amortization of discount 111 Underwriting costs 61 Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold (55,257) Principal redemption (37,000) Principal payments (5,084) Loan loss provision (4,500) Amortization of underwriting costs (29) Write-offs of underwriting costs (20) Amortization of premium (307) Balance, December 31, 2007 \$170,780 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances 929 Capitalized interest 263 Amortization of discount 64 Underwriting costs (116,791) Principal payments (13,942) Loan loss provision (26,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (198) Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 \$14,092 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs (40) Write-offs of underwriting costs (41) Write-offs of underwriting costs (42) Amortization of discount (43) Underwriting costs (44) Orthorder of discount (45) Underwriting costs (45) Orthorder of discount (46) Orthorder of discount (46) Orthorder of discount (47,571) Amortization of underwriting costs (46) Orthorder of underwriting costs (46) O	Balance, December 31, 2006	\$	237,670
New mortgage Joans and advances 30,211 Foreign currency translation gain 3,819 Capitalized interest 1,105 Amortization of discount 111 Underwriting costs 61 Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold (55,257) Principal redemption (37,000) Principal payments (5,084) Loan loss provision (4,500) Amortization of underwriting costs (29) Write-offs of underwriting costs (20) Amortization of premium (307) Balance, December 31, 2007 \$170,780 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances 929 Capitalized interest 263 Amortization of discount 64 Underwriting costs (116,791) Principal payments (13,942) Loan loss provision (26,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (198) Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 \$14,092 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs (40) Write-offs of underwriting costs (41) Write-offs of underwriting costs (42) Amortization of discount (43) Underwriting costs (44) Orthorder of discount (45) Underwriting costs (45) Orthorder of discount (46) Orthorder of discount (46) Orthorder of discount (47,571) Amortization of underwriting costs (46) Orthorder of underwriting costs (46) O	Additions during period:		·
Soreign currency translation gain 3,819			30,211
Capitalized interest 1,105 Amortization of discount 111 Underwriting costs 61 Subtractions during period: 55,257) Mortgages sold (55,257) Principal redemption (37,000) Principal payments (5,084) Loan loss provision (4,500) Amortization of underwriting costs (29) Write-offs of underwriting costs (20) Amortization of premium (307) Balance, December 31, 2007 \$ 170,780 Additions during period: 8 New mortgage loans and advances 929 Capitalized interest 263 Amortization of discount 64 Underwriting costs (116,791) Subtractions during period: (116,791) Mortgages sold (116,791) Principal payments (13,942) Loan loss provision (26,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (4) Write-offs of underwriting costs (198) Additions during period: (20,00) New mor			
Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal redemption Principal payments Loan loss provision Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2007 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Mortgages sold Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (13,942) Loan loss provision Amortization of underwriting costs Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Amortization of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			
Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold (55,257) Principal redemption (37,000) Principal payments (5,084) Loan loss provision (4,500) Amortization of underwriting costs (29) Write-offs of underwriting costs (20) Amortization of premium (307) Balance, December 31, 2007 \$170,780 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances 929 Capitalized interest 263 Amortization of discount (116,791) Principal payments (13,942) Loan loss provision (26,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (198) Amortization of underwriting costs (198) Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 \$14,092 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances (25,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (4) Write-offs of underwriting costs (198) Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 \$14,092 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$5,903	•		
Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal redemption Principal payments South Salance, December 31, 2008 Amortization of underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold South Salance, December 31, 2007 Mortgages sold Subtraction of discount Underwriting costs Amortization of premium South Salance, December 31, 2007 And ditions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Mortgages sold Principal payments Amortization of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Salance, December 31, 2008 Amortization of premium Salance, December 31, 2008 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Capitalized interest Amortization of underwriting costs Capitalized interest Amortization of geriod: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest Amortization of geriod: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Subtractions during pe	Underwriting costs		61
Mortgages sold (55,257) Principal redemption (37,000) Principal payments (5,084) Loan loss provision (4,500) Amortization of underwriting costs (29) Write-offs of underwriting costs (20) Amortization of premium (307) Balance, December 31, 2007 \$ 170,780 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances New mortgage loans and advances 929 Capitalized interest 263 Amortization of discount 64 Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold (116,791) Principal payments (13,942) Loan loss provision (26,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (4) Write-offs of underwriting costs (198) Additions during period: (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 \$ 14,092 Additions during period: (863) New mortgage loans and advances (25 Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount			
Principal redemption (37,000) Principal payments (5,084) Loan loss provision (4,500) Amortization of underwriting costs (29) Write-offs of underwriting costs (20) Amortization of premium (307) Balance, December 31, 2007 \$ 170,780 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances 929 Capitalized interest 263 Amortization of discount 64 Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold (116,791) Principal payments (13,942) Loan loss provision (26,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (4) Write-offs of underwriting costs (4) Write-offs of underwriting costs (198) Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtracti			(55,257)
Principal payments (5,084) Loan loss provision (4,500) Amortization of underwriting costs (29) Write-offs of underwriting costs (20) Amortization of premium (307) Balance, December 31, 2007 \$ 170,780 Additions during period: 263 New mortgage loans and advances 929 Capitalized interest 263 Amortization of discount 64 Underwriting costs Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: (116,791) Mortgages sold (116,791) Principal payments (13,942) Loan loss provision (26,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (198) Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 \$ 14,092 Additions during period: 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: (863) Mortgages sold (7,571) Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			
Loan loss provision (4,500) Amortization of underwriting costs (29) Write-offs of underwriting costs (20) Amortization of premium (307) Balance, December 31, 2007 \$ 170,780 Additions during period: 170,780 New mortgage loans and advances 929 Capitalized interest 263 Amortization of discount 64 Underwriting costs 45 Subtractions during period: 116,791 Mortgages sold (116,791) Principal payments (26,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (4) Write-offs of underwriting costs (49) Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 \$ 14,092 Additions during period: 235 Amortization of discount 235 Underwriting costs 235 Subtractions during period: (863) Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs 10	· ·		
Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium (307) Balance, December 31, 2007 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments Loan loss provision Amortization of premium (13,942) Loan loss provision Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Amortization of underwriting costs Amortization of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			
Write-offs of underwriting costs (20) Amortization of premium (307) Balance, December 31, 2007 \$ 170,780 Additions during period:	•		
Amortization of premium (307) Balance, December 31, 2007 \$ 170,780 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances 929 Capitalized interest 263 Amortization of discount 64 Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold (116,791) Principal payments (13,942) Loan loss provision (26,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (198) Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 \$ 14,092 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Amortization of underwriting costs Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903	· ·		
Balance, December 31, 2007 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest Bubtraction of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments Amortization of underwriting costs Amortization of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2008 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest Capitalized interest Amortization of underwriting costs Amortization of geriod: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments Loan loss provision Amortization of underwriting costs Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments Loan loss provision Amortization of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903	-		` ′
Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments Loan loss provision Amortization of underwriting costs Subtractions of underwriting costs (116,791) Principal payments (13,942) Loan loss provision Amortization of underwriting costs (4) Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest Capitalized interest Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903	7 mortization of premium		(301)
Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments Loan loss provision Amortization of underwriting costs Subtractions of underwriting costs (116,791) Principal payments (13,942) Loan loss provision Amortization of underwriting costs (4) Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest Capitalized interest Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903	Delenes December 21, 2007	ф	170 700
New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments Loan loss provision Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2008 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Capitalized interest Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments Loan loss provision Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments Loan loss provision Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903		Э	170,780
Capitalized interest 64 Amortization of discount 64 Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold (116,791) Principal payments (13,942) Loan loss provision (26,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (4) Write-offs of underwriting costs (198) Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 \$14,092 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$5,903	- ·		020
Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold (116,791) Principal payments (13,942) Loan loss provision (26,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (4) Write-offs of underwriting costs (198) Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 \$ 14,092 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			
Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold (116,791) Principal payments (13,942) Loan loss provision (26,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (4) Write-offs of underwriting costs (198) Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 \$ 14,092 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903	•		
Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold (116,791) Principal payments (13,942) Loan loss provision (26,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (4) Write-offs of underwriting costs (198) Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 \$ 14,092 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			64
Mortgages sold (116,791) Principal payments (13,942) Loan loss provision (26,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (4) Write-offs of underwriting costs (198) Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 \$ 14,092 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903	-		
Principal payments (13,942) Loan loss provision (26,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (4) Write-offs of underwriting costs (198) Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 \$ 14,092 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			(116 501)
Loan loss provision (26,875) Amortization of underwriting costs (4) Write-offs of underwriting costs (198) Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 \$ 14,092 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			
Amortization of underwriting costs (4) Write-offs of underwriting costs (198) Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 \$ 14,092 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			
Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest Capitalized interest Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments Loan loss provision Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			
Amortization of premium (134) Balance, December 31, 2008 \$ 14,092 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			
Balance, December 31, 2008 \$ 14,092 Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903	-		
Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903	Amortization of premium		(134)
Additions during period: New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903	Balance, December 31, 2008	\$	14,092
New mortgage loans and advances Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			
Capitalized interest 235 Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			
Amortization of discount Underwriting costs Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs 10 Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			235
Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs 10 Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			
Subtractions during period: Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs 10 Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			
Mortgages sold Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs 10 Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			
Principal payments (863) Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs 10 Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			
Loan loss provision (7,571) Amortization of underwriting costs 10 Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			(863)
Amortization of underwriting costs Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			,
Write-offs of underwriting costs Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			
Amortization of premium Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			10
Balance, December 31, 2009 \$ 5,903			
	Amorazation of premium		
S-5	Balance, December 31, 2009	\$	5,903
6.9			S-5