GRYPHON GOLD CORP Form 10-Q February 14, 2013

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2012

OR

OK	
[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 ACT OF 1934	· ·
For the transition period from	to

Commission file number: 333-127635

GRYPHON GOLD CORPORATION

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Nevada
(State of other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

611 N Nevada Street Carson City, Nevada
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)
(775) 883-1456
(Registrant s Telephone Number, including Area Code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to the filing required	
[X] Yes [] No	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronical any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pur the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was	suant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during
[X] Yes [] No	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated from company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):	
Large accelerated filer [] Accelerate	ed filer []
Non-accelerated filer [] Smaller reporting	ng company [X]
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as define	ned in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)
[] Yes [X] No	
Number of common shares outstanding at February 14, 2013: 194,644,091	
i	

GRYPHON GOLD CORPORATION

December 31, 2012

INDEX

		Page No.(s)
PART I -	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	Financial Statements	<u>3</u>
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>14</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>21</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures	<u>21</u>
PART II	- OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>21</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>21</u>
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>21</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>21</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>21</u>
<u>Item 5.</u>	Other Information	<u>22</u>
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>22</u>
SIGNAT	<u>URES</u>	<u>23</u>
	ii	

PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

GRYPHON GOLD CORPORATION UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

December 31, 2012 March 31, 2012

ASSETS			
Current assets:			
Cash	\$	576,038	\$ 602,343
Accounts receivable		107,777	358,005
Prepaid expenses		715,377	171,515
Inventories		6,113,735	6,363,016
Deferred debt issue costs		477,546	312,549
		7,990,473	7,807,428
Property, plant and equipment, net		31,477,227	19,565,395
Reclamation bonds and deposits		3,741,418	2,839,559
·	\$	43,209,118	\$ 30,212,382
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS	EQUITY		
Current liabilities:			
Current portion of long-term debt	\$	5,243,004	\$ 583,458
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		4,884,010	5,004,298
Note payable		-	1,376,479
. ,		10,127,014	6,964,235
Long-term debt, net of discount and current po	ortion	20,611,574	10,198,611
Asset retirement obligation		3,814,005	1,675,877
Warrant derivative liabilities		375,214	137,291
		34,927,807	18,976,014
Commitments and contingencies - see Note 9		, ,	, ,
Stockholders equity:			
Common stock		194,644	194,103
Additional paid in capital		54,355,144	54,114,438
Accumulated deficit		(46,268,477)	(43,072,173)
		8,281,311	11,236,368
	\$	43,209,118	\$ 30,212,382

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

GRYPHON GOLD CORPORATION UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

		Th		onths end	led			ne month Decembe		l
		2012	Decei	1110 C 1 31,	2011		2012	Decembe	<i>1</i> 31,	2011
Net Sales	\$	3,215,242	2	\$	931,794	\$	12,608,898	\$		931,794
Cost of sales and other direct										
production costs		3,547,914	1		930,399		11,576,597	1		930,219
Depreciation		264,648	3		41,498		1,022,683	}		41,678
Gross profit (loss)		(597,320))		(40,103)	9,618	}		(40,103)
Operating expenses:										
Exploration (refund)		15,11	l		(26,394)	43,313	\		68,860
Salaries and consulting fees		231,240			364,297	,	757,124			836,465
General and administrative		180,703			152,554		552,260			527,164
Legal and audit		108,438			62,202		483,449			203,202
Travel and accommodation		26,300			66,328		91,864			159,589
Depreciation		7,143			17,714		20,616			35,176
Write down of mining		7,17.	,		17,717		20,010	,		33,170
property			_		_		11,003			_
Loss (gain) on disposal of							11,003			
equipment		3,34	7		_		2,917	,		_
Asset retirement obligation		3,34	<i>'</i>				2,717			_
accretion		81,095	5		10,284		189,817	,		27,280
accidion		653,385			646,985		2,152,363			1,857,736
		055,50.	,		010,703		2,132,303	•		1,037,730
Loss from operations		(1,250,705	5)		(687,088)	(2,142,745	6)	(1,897,839)
1		())	,		(===,===		() , ,	,	`	, , , ,
Other (income) expense:										
Loss (gain) on foreign										
exchange		(1,140	5)		94,653		49,718	}		189,449
Gain on warrant derivative										
liability		(9,288	3)		-		(801,643	5)		-
Interest income		(122	2)		(7,926)	(3,773	5)		(11,153)
Interest expense, net of										
capitalized interest		512,428	3		381,212		1,809,257	1		466,814
Net loss	\$	(1,752,57	7)	\$	(1,155,027) \$	(3,196,304	\$	(2,542,949)
Basic and diluted net income										
(loss) per share	\$	(0.0)	1)	\$	(0.01) \$	(0.02	2) \$		(0.01)
Weighted shares used in										
calculating basic and diluted no	ŧt									
income (loss) per share	1	94,644,09	1		193,992,040		194,644,091		17	7,175,447
	See	notes to un	audite	ed consoli	idated financ	ial s	statements			

GRYPHON GOLD CORPORATION UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

	Comm	on Sto	alr	Additional Paid in		
	Comm Shares	1011 510	Amount	Paid iii Capital	Deficit	Total
Balance, March 31, 2011	96,983,632	\$	96,984	\$ 41,665,952	\$ (37,950,801)	\$ 3,812,135
Shares issued:						
Public Offering	89,060,000		89,060	10,979,302	-	11,068,362
Exercise of option to reduce						
royalty	7,726,250		7,726	1,073,949	-	1,081,675
Share issue costs	-		-	(13,177)	-	(13,177)
Public offering costs	-		-	(1,466,722)	-	(1,466,722)
Exercise of options	150,000		150	19,930	-	20,080
Exercise of warrants	183,500		183	45,692	_	45,875
Fair value of options granted	-		-	277,282	-	277,282
Fair value of warrants issued	-		_	1,532,231	_	1,532,231
Net loss	-		-	-	(5,121,372)	(5,121,372)
Balance, March 31, 2012	194,103,382		194,103	54,114,438	(43,072,173)	11,236,368
Shares issued:						
Consultant compensation	121,751		122	11,128	-	11,250
Employee compensation	418,958		419	39,581	-	40,000
Vested options and restricted						
stock units	-		-	189,997	-	189,997
Net loss	-		_	-	(3,196,304)	(3,196,304)
Balance, December 31, 2012	194,644,091	\$	194,644	\$ 54,355,144	\$ (46,268,477)	\$ 8,281,311

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

GRYPHON GOLD CORPORATION UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Nine months end December 31,			ed	
		2012		2011	
Operating activities:					
Net cash used by operating activities	\$	(3,583,513)	\$	(4,790,874)	
T					
Investing activities: Reclamation bonds purchased		(001.950)	`	(2.022.500)	
Purchase of property, plant and equipment		(901,859)		(2,033,500) (8,732,729)	
Proceeds from sale of equipment		1,000		(0,732,729)	
Mineral property expenditures		1,000		(248,203)	
Option payment to amend and reduce royalty		_		(175,000)	
Proceeds from note receivable		-		2,975	
Net cash used in investing activities		(4,361,309)	`	(11,186,457)	
ivet cash used in hivesting activities		(4,301,309))	(11,160,457)	
Financing activities:					
Repayment of borrowings		(2,271,761))	(46,693)	
Proceeds from borrowings, net		10,290,095		7,480,676	
Shares and warrants issued for cash		-		11,134,317	
Public offering costs		-		(1,198,621)	
Debt and share issue costs		(100,535))	(829,587)	
Net cash provided in financing activities		7,917,799		16,540,092	
				, ,	
Effect of foreign exchange on cash		718		(20,141)	
Net increase (decrease) in cash		(26,305))	542,620	
Cash, beginning of year		602,343		837,457	
Cash, end of period	\$	576,038	\$	1,380,077	
Reconciliation of net loss to net cash used by operating activities					
Net loss	\$	(3,196,304)	\$	(2,542,949)	
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used by operating					
activities:		440.000			
Interest expense paid with debt		149,229		-	
Depreciation		1,043,299		76,854	
Asset retirement obligation accretion		189,817		27,280	
Loss on disposal of equipment		2,917		-	
Stock compensation expense, net of tax		229,997		181,041	
Common stock issued for services provided		11,250		-	
Amortization of debt offering costs		515,538		199,947	
Amortization of notes payable discount		465,314		199,877	
Unrealized gain (loss) on foreign exchange		(718)		20,141	
Impairment of inventory		323,570		-	
Write down of mining property		11,003		-	
Gain on warrant derivative liability		(801,643))	-	
Other changes in operating assets and liabilities:		, a			
Accounts receivable		(73,342)		(497,977)	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		(2,056,597)		1,239,602	
Inventories		147,019		(3,537,564)	

Prepaid expenses		(543,862)	(157,126)
	\$	(3,583,513)	\$ (4,790,874)
Significant non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Issuance of 7,726,500 shares at a fair value of \$0.14 per share related	ed		
to exercise of the option to fix the royalty at 5%	\$	-	\$ 1,081,675
Promissory and convertible notes issued related to exercise of the			
option to fix the royalty at 5%		-	3,509,500
Discount on notes payable by issuing warrants		-	692,049
Capitalized construction in progress included in accounts payable		1,857,281	538,442
Fair value of derivative warrant at issuance		1,039,566	
Payment of accounts payable with accounts receivable		323,570	
Heavy equipment purchased with long-term debt		5,601,746	
See notes to unaudited consolidated	financ	cial statements	

GRYPHON GOLD CORPORATION NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND GOING CONCERN UNCERTAINTY

Gryphon Gold Corporation and its subsidiaries were incorporated in the State of Nevada in 2003 (individually and collectively the Company). The Company is a production company focused on the Borealis Oxide Heap Leach Project (Borealis Project) and exploring mineral properties.

The Company continues to use existing cash balances and cash from operations to fund its ongoing operations and capital expenditures. Management does not believe cash balances and cash from operations will be sufficient to meet its anticipated cash requirements for the next 12 months. Management is currently exploring what, if any, debt or equity financing opportunities or other strategic alternatives are available to the Company in order to meet its operating and capital needs for the next 12 months. If the Company is unsuccessful in these efforts, the Company may not be able to invest the resources necessary to continue the development of the Borealis Project. This could adversely affect our business and, ultimately, lead to the financial and operating failure of the Company.

At the present time, the Company has no commitments for any financing, and there can be no assurance that capital will be available at commercially acceptable terms or at all. The Company may have difficulty obtaining additional funds when needed and may have to accept terms that would adversely affect its stockholders. Any additional equity financing may be dilutive to stockholders, and debt and certain types of equity financing, if available, are likely to involve restrictive covenants or other provisions that would limit how the Company conducts its business or operations.

The Company has an accumulated deficit of \$46,268,477 as of December 31, 2012 compared to \$43,072,173 as of March 31, 2012 and has cash on hand of \$576,038 as of December 31, 2012. In addition, the Company had \$20,611,574 in long-term debt as of December 31, 2012. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company s ability to continue as a going concern. The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments related to the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classifications of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared under the assumption that the Company will continue as a going concern and contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The recoverability of amounts shown for mineral property interests in the Company s consolidated balance sheets are dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable reserves, the ability of the Company to arrange appropriate financing to complete the development of its properties, the receipt of necessary permitting and upon achieving future profitable production or receiving proceeds from the disposition of the properties. The timing of such events occurring, if at all, is not yet determinable.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of presentation

The unaudited financial statements have been prepared by the Company in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information, in addition to the instructions to Form 10-Q. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of the Company's management, all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the interim financial statements have been included. Operating results for the nine-month period ended December 31, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full fiscal year ending March 31, 2013.

For further information refer to the financial statements and footnotes thereto in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2012.

Loss per share

Loss per common share is determined based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted loss per share maybe calculated by the treasury stock or if converted method. Under the treasury stock method, the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the calculation of diluted earnings per share assumes that the proceeds to be received on the exercise of diluted stock options and warrants classified as equity instruments are applied to repurchase common shares at the average market price for the period.

In addition, outstanding convertible promissory notes are assumed to be converted into common stock at the then applicable rate. Stock options and warrants are dilutive when the Company has income from continuing operations and when the average market price of the common shares during the period exceeds the exercise price of the options and warrants. The convertible promissory notes are dilutive when the Company has income from continuing operations, and the impact from the dilution exceeds the impact from the reduction in interest expense resulting from the conversion of the notes.

Fair value measurements

Accounting principles require an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) establishes a fair value hierarchy based on the level of independent, objective evidence surrounding the inputs used to measure fair value. A financial instrument s categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. ASC prioritizes the inputs into three levels that may be used to measure fair value:

- Level 1: applies to assets or liabilities for which there are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2: applies to assets or liabilities for which there are inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets with insufficient volume or infrequent transactions (less active markets); or model- derived valuations in which significant inputs are observable or can be derived principally from, or corroborated by, observable market data.
- Level 3: applies to assets or liabilities for which there are unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are significant to the measurement of the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The table below sets forth our financial instruments measured at fair value and the input hierarchy level that we have determined applies to each.

					Input
	Dec	ember 31, 2012	M	larch 31, 2012	Hierarchy level
Cash	\$	576,038	\$	602,343	Level 1
Reclamation bonds and deposits	\$	3,741,418	\$	2,839,559	Level 1
Warrant derivative liabilities	\$	375,214	\$	137,291	Level 2

Derivative financial instruments

The Company accounts for derivative financial instruments in accordance with ASC 815 Derivatives and Hedging, which requires recognition of all derivatives as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet and measured at fair value. Accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives held is dependent on whether the derivative instrument is designated and qualifies as an accounting hedge and on the classification of the hedge transaction. All derivative financial instruments are recognized in the balance sheet at fair value. Changes in fair value are recognized in earnings if they are not eligible for hedge accounting or other comprehensive income if they qualify for cash flow hedge accounting. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had warrant derivative liabilities of \$375,214, which are valued on a recurring basis and related to warrants issued to a debt holder that are exercisable in a currency other than the Company s reporting currency.

Revenue recognition

Revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred either physically or through an irrevocable written transfer notice authorizing the transfer of metals to the buyer s account, and no related obligations remain and collectability is probable.

Sales of metals products are recorded net of charges from the buyer for treatment, refining, smelting losses, and other negotiated charges. Costs charged by smelters include a metals payable fee, fixed treatment, transaction fees and refining costs per ton of product. Estimated prices of gold and silver shipped in the form of doré will be settled as the settlement occurs after delivery.

Inventories

The recovery of gold and silver from certain oxide ores is achieved through the heap leaching process. Under this method, mineralized material is placed on leach pads where it is treated with a chemical solution, which dissolves the gold and silver contained in the material. The resulting pregnant solution is further processed in a plant where gold

and silver are recovered. For accounting purposes, costs are added to material on leach pads based on average mining and leaching costs, including applicable depreciation, depletion and amortization relating to operations. Costs are removed from ore on leach pads as ounces are recovered based on the average cost per recoverable ounce of gold and silver on the leach pad.

Estimates of recoverable gold and silver on the leach pads are calculated from the quantities of material placed on the leach pads (measured tons added to the leach pads), the grade of material placed on the leach pads (based on assay data) and an estimated recovery percentage (based on ore type). Although the quantities of recoverable gold and silver placed on the leach pads are reconciled by comparing the grades of material placed on pads to the quantities of gold and silver actually recovered (metallurgical balancing), the nature of the leaching process inherently limits the ability to precisely monitor inventory levels. The metallurgical balancing process is regularly monitored and estimates are refined based on actual results over time. Estimates of recoverable gold and silver are primarily based on initial tests and limited refinements derived from metallurgical balancing due to limited operating history and actual results as of December 31, 2012.

Variations between actual and estimated quantities, resulting from changes in assumptions and estimates that do not result in write-downs to net realizable value, are accounted for on a prospective basis. The ultimate recovery of gold and silver from a leach pad will not be known until the leaching process is concluded. The quantification of material inventory on the leach pad is based on estimates of the quantities of gold and silver at each balance sheet date that the Company expects to recover during the next 12 months.

3. INVENTORIES

During the quarter ended June 30, 2012, the Company purchased gold and silver loaded on carbon from Waterton Global Value LP and processed it through our Adsorption Desorption and Refining (ADR) facility. The cost to buy the carbon consisted of a payable of \$1,343,197 and a reversal of accounts receivable of \$323,570 that Waterton Global Value LP owed the Company for a total cost of \$1,666,767.

During the nine months ended December 31, 2012, the Company recorded impairment to inventory of \$323,570, due to the decrease in net realizable value.

Inventories consist of the following:

	Dece	ember 31, 2012	M	arch 31, 2012
Material on leach pad	\$	5,440,521	\$	4,245,418
Gold in carbon		250,236		1,462,485
Doré in transit		381,484		578,537
Supplies inventory		41,494		76,576
	\$	6,113,735	\$	6,363,016

4. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment consists of the following as of December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012:

	De	cember 31, 2012	M	larch 31, 2012
Mineral properties	\$	7,884,503	\$	7,625,883
Asset retirement obligation		3,481,469		1,533,158
Leach pad		1,939,555		1,897,882
Plant/grounds		11,575,027		5,713,537
ADR facility		3,368,027		2,910,023
Equipment		971,250		769,314
		29,219,830		20,449,797
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization		1,731,590		884,402
Sub-total, net of depreciation and amortization		27,488,240		19,565,395
Construction in Progress, leach pad 1B		3,988,987		-
	\$	31,477,227	\$	19,565,395

5. NOTE PAYABLE

Promissory note

The promissory note bears interest at 5% per annum payable monthly. Principal installments are due the last day of the month following the month production commenced and inventory was shipped offsite. Production and shipments commenced in October 2011 and the first principal installment was due on November 30, 2011. The aggregate balance due on the note was paid-in-full as of December 31, 2012.

6. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION

Asset retirement obligation consists of the following as of December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012:

	Dece	ember 31, 2012	Ma	arch 31, 2012
Balance, beginning of period	\$	1,675,877	\$	51,300
Accretion		189,817		139,673
Additions and changes in estimates		1,948,311		1,484,904
Balance, end of period	\$	3,814,005 9	\$	1,675,877

The asset retirement obligation is calculated based on estimated costs the Company expects to incur in order to reclaim certain disturbed acreage relating to exploration on the Borealis property. The asset retirement obligation as of December 31, 2012 includes the Company s estimate of reclamation costs for Phases 1A and 1B of the Borealis Heap Leach Project and infill drilling.

7. LONG TERM DEBT

Waterton bridge loan

On March 20, 2012, the Company entered into a Bridge Loan facility with Waterton Global LLC (Waterton LLC) for \$1,500,000. The loan was due within 60 days or would be subject to immediate repayment from the \$15,000,000 Credit Facility (see below). Security for the loan was a perfected lien and first priority security interest in all tangible and intangible properties and assets of the Company. The loan accrued interest at a 15% rate and included a \$30,000 structuring fee, \$100,000 for legal and other expenses, as well as payment of 1,500,000 Series R Warrants, each entitling Waterton LLC to purchase a common share of the Company s stock at a strike price of C\$0.18 per share. The Series R Warrants were issued outside of our functional currency, therefore creating a liability. The fair value of the warrants on the date of the issue was \$137,291, calculated based on the Black-Scholes model with a risk free interest rate of 0.62%, volatility of 88.06%, expected term of 1,095 days, exercise price of C\$0.18 and market price of C\$0.17. The warrants expire on March 20, 2015.

The Company repaid these debentures on April 20, 2012, with the Credit Facility (see below).

Convertible notes

The convertible notes bear interest at 5% per annum payable monthly. Monthly payments on the notes are due the first day of each month commencing June 1, 2012. All unpaid principal and accrued interest, if any, is due and payable on May 21, 2014. During the nine months ended December 31, 2012, the Company paid \$371,292 in principal, leaving an aggregate balance due of \$1,538,208. The aggregate balance of the notes can be converted at a price of \$0.80 until May 19, 2013 and \$0.90 from May 20, 2013 to May 20, 2014.

Waterton Global Value LP Senior Credit Facility

On April 18, 2012, the Company entered into a Senior Secured Gold Stream Credit Agreement (Credit Facility) with Waterton Global Value, LP (Waterton). The Credit Facility provided for a \$15 million non-revolving working capital facility. \$15 million was advanced to the Company on April 19, 2012.

As part of the transaction, the Company entered into a gold and silver sale agreement (Gold and Silver Supply Agreement) with Waterton (see Note 9).

The Credit Facility bears interest at a rate of 5% per annum and initially required monthly interest-only payments due on the last business day of each month beginning October 31, 2012 in addition to monthly principal payments of \$1.25 million (Monthly Repayment Figure) for 12 consecutive months beginning May 31, 2013. On each repayment date, the Company is required to deposit gold to Waterton s gold account or, if requested by Waterton, pay in cash the required payment amount. Payments made in gold are calculated as follows: (i) the monthly repayment amount divided by (ii) the product of: the spot price multiplied by 0.80; where the spot price is equal to the settlement per ounce price of gold on the London Bullion Market Association, PM Fix (Bloomberg: GoldLNPM Index) (LBMA) on the business day immediately preceding such repayment date.

The Company paid Waterton a non-refundable structuring fee equal to 1% of the drawdown amount on April 18, 2012 and issued Lender 14,062,500 Series T Warrants, exercisable to acquire shares of common stock at a price of C\$0.16 per share until April 18, 2015. The debt offering costs in connection with the Credit Facility totaled \$585,091, and are being amortized over the life of the Credit Facility.

On September 24, 2012, the Company and its then wholly owned subsidiary, Borealis Mining Company, entered into an amendment agreement (First Amendment Agreement) to the Credit Facility with Waterton to increase Waterton s commitment amount under the Credit Facility by \$5 million (Facility Increase), bringing the aggregate borrowings under the Credit Facility from \$15 million to \$20 million.

Pursuant to the terms of the First Amendment Agreement, amounts drawn down from the Credit Facility were repayable in monthly payments beginning in December 2012 and ending in November 2014, with initial payments being approximately \$50,000 per month and increasing to approximately \$900,000 per month in March 2013 through to the end of the term in November 2014. The specific repayment mechanics applicable to any principal amount outstanding under the Credit Facility remained unchanged. The Company paid Waterton a non-refundable structuring fee of \$105,000 upon receipt of the Facility Increase, and the Company and Waterton agreed to amend the terms of the existing Gold and Silver Supply Agreement to permit Waterton to purchase all of the Company s production at a 3% discount to prevailing spot prices. The amended debt offering costs in connection with the Credit Facility totaled \$705,649, of which \$37,921 was charged to expense and the remaining \$667,728 are being amortized over the life of the Credit Facility.

In connection with the Joint Venture with Waterton (see below), the Company, Borealis Mining Company LLC and Waterton entered into a third amendment to the Credit Facility (Third Amendment and together with the Credit Facility, as amended, Amended Facility), dated January 30, 2013. Under the Amended Facility, the principal balance of the loan thereunder was reduced to \$6,650,000, and is being repaid in monthly installments, commencing on January 31, 2013, and ending on November 30, 2014. The Company may meet the monthly payment obligations under the Amended Facility by tendering payments in cash, gold or units of Borealis (see below). The reduction of the amount outstanding under the Amended Facility will reduce the Company s monthly debt payments to Waterton from \$1.4 million, by approximately \$1.0 million, to \$0.4 million.

The Amended Facility requires the Company to comply with various financial and non-financial covenants. The financial covenants include unaudited quarterly financial statements, annual audited financial statements, proxy statements, and any material reports. Within 10 days after the end of each calendar month, the Company is required to submit to Waterton a written report concerning its business and activities. Pursuant to a series of guarantees, security agreements, deed of trust, and a stock pledge agreement, the senior obligations are secured by a first priority lien on the stock of the Company and the Company s assets.

The fair value of the warrants issued to note holders and brokers pursuant to the Credit Facility was, at the time of issuance \$1,039,566, which was calculated using Black-Scholes model with a risk free interest rate of 0.40%, volatility of 87.35%, expected term of 1,095 days, and an exercise and market price of C\$0.16 per share. This relative fair value resulted in a discount to the notes payable and is amortized over the life of the note.

Caterpillar Financial Services Corporation

On December 6, 2012, the Company entered into a financing arrangement with Caterpillar Financial Services Corporation (Cat Financial) for \$5,601,746. The funding provided for the purchase of three pieces of heavy equipment: one loader and two off-highway trucks, which were delivered to the mine site at the end of December 2012. The loan is amortized over 48 months and accrues interest at a 2.9% rate. Payments consist of a down payment of \$560,000 followed by four consecutive monthly payments of \$298,019, with remaining monthly payments of \$97,845. During the nine months ended December 31, 2012, the Company paid \$546,462 in principal, leaving an aggregate balance due of \$5,055,284.

Joint Venture with Waterton Global Value L.P.

On January 30, 2013, the Company converted Borealis Mining Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (BMC) from a Nevada corporation into a Nevada limited liability company (as converted, Borealis). Shortly thereafter, the Company entered into a Contribution Agreement (Contribution Agreement) with Waterton and Borealis Holdings LLC (Holdings and together with Waterton Waterton Entities), a Nevada limited liability company and an affiliate of Waterton, dated January 30, 2013, whereby the Company assigned 6,000,000 units of Class A membership interest, or 60% of the equity ownership, of Borealis (the Sale) to Holdings in consideration for Waterton forgiving \$17 million, or approximately two-thirds, of the total amount owed by the Company under the Credit Facility (prior to the Third Amendment). Accordingly, the Company classified the current portion of its long term debt to Waterton as non-current at December 31, 2012, as it will not be satisfied in a cash transaction. In connection with the Contribution Agreement, the Company provided standard representations and warranties to Waterton, as well as a right to indemnification for a breach of such representations and warranties.

In connection with the Sale, Borealis, the Company and Holdings entered into an Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement dated January 30, 2013 (LLC Agreement) that governs the operations of Borealis and the financial obligations of Holdings and the Company. The Company is responsible for the payment of (i) an initial \$4 million capital contribution (the Initial Capital Contribution), and (ii) 40% of all future capital contributions, including 40% of a contemplated \$4 million capital contribution (or \$1.6 million) expected to be called by the board of managers for Borealis in February or March of 2013 (Proposed Capital Contribution). The Waterton Entities have indicated that they will advance up to \$5.6 million to Borealis (consisting of the Initial Capital Contribution and the Proposed Capital Contribution) on behalf of the Company, with \$3 million already having been advanced by the

Waterton Entities to the Company, which will be repaid, with interest at escalating rates, within six months. Ongoing capital contributions will be determined by the board of managers of Borealis, and will be paid by both parties equal to their respective ownership interest in Borealis. If one party fails to meet a financial obligation, it will be subject to dilution in its ownership interest in Borealis. In addition, if the interest of the Company in Borealis is reduced to 10% or less, it will be converted into a net profit interest of 3.5%. The board of managers of Borealis will consist initially of three board members appointed by the Waterton Entities and two board members appointed by the Company.

8. STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)

Common stock

Authorized capital stock consists of 500,000,000 common shares with a par value of \$0.001 per share and 15,000,000 preferred shares with a par value of \$0.001 per share. On September 4, 2012, at the annual general meeting of the shareholders, the shareholders approved a proposal to amend the Company s articles of incorporation and increase the authorized shares of common stock from 250,000,000 to 500,000,000.

Stock Based Compensation

2006 Omnibus Incentive Plan

On September 4, 2012, at the annual general meeting of the shareholders, the shareholders approved an increase in the number of shares of common stock issuable pursuant to the grant of stock options under the 2006 Omnibus Incentive Plan. After the shareholders approved the increase, the 2006 Omnibus Incentive Plan authorizes the Company to grant 12,000,000 common stock purchase options and 10,000,000 restricted stock units.

Stock-based compensation expense related to vested stock options and restricted stock units consist of the following:

			ree months cember 31,				months er	
		2012	,	2011		2012		2011
Management, Board of Directors,								
and employee expense	\$	73,553	\$	79,714	\$	184,558	\$	171,405
Consulting expense		-		2,675		5,439		9,996
Balance, end of period	\$	73,553	\$	82,389	\$	189,997	\$	181,401
Barance, end of period	φ	13,333	φ	02,309	φ	109,997	Ф	101,401

Stock option activity

Stock option activity (excluding options issued to consultant, above) consists of the following of the nine months ending December 31, 2012:

	Number of Stock	Weight	ed Average
Options	Options	Exerc	cise Price
Outstanding, April 1, 2012	6,242,500	\$	0.30*
Granted	5,000,000	\$	0.10
Exercised	-	\$	-
Forfeited	(1,175,000)	\$	0.53*
Total outstanding, December 31, 2012	10,067,500	\$	0.18
Vested and exercisable, December 31, 2012	5,292,500	\$	0.25*
*Based on the December 31, 2012 exchange rate of	of Cdn\$1 0051 to US\$	\$1	

The 4,000,000 options granted in the quarter ended December 31, 2012 were granted to executives of the Company and will vest October 2013. The fair value of the options at the grant date was approximately \$202,800. The options vest over a one-year period. During the second quarter, 1,000,000 options were granted to executives of the Company. The fair value of the options was \$60,250. The options vest over a two-year period.

Valuation assumptions

Compensation and consulting expense from stock options granted are estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The weighted average assumptions used in the pricing model include:

	Nine mont	hs ended
	Decemb	er 31,
	2012	2011
Dividend yield	0.00%	0.00%
Expected volatility	79.95%	110.61%
Risk free interest rate	0.37%	0.41%
Expected lives	3 years	3 years

The risk-free interest rate was determined based on the rate at the time of grant for US government zero-coupon bonds for a 2-3-year term, which is a term equal to the estimated life of the option. Dividend yield is based on the stock option s exercise price and expected annual dividend rate at the time of grant. Volatility is derived by measuring the average share price fluctuation of the Company s stock. The period of historical volatility is the same period as the

expected life of the options being three years.

Restricted stock units (RSUs)

The RSU grants entitle the recipient to receive shares of common stock of the Company upon vesting. The RSU grants can vest immediately or over a period for up to five years. The Company recognizes compensation expense ratably over the vesting period when stock options and restricted stock units are granted that contain vesting provisions.

The following table summarizes information about RSUs outstanding as of December 31, 2012:

	RSU s Granted	RSU s Vested	RSU s Forfeited	RSU s Cancelled and Cash in Lieu of Issued	F	Weighted Average Fair Value of Grant Date
Outstanding, April 1, 2006	Granicu -	v esteu	-	Issued -	\$	Date -
Issued:					Ψ	
April 18, 2006	8,000	8,000	-	-	\$	1.63 Cdn
December 12, 2006	29,000	15,000	14,000	-	\$	0.84 Cdn
January 10, 2007	650,000	488,750	118,750	42,500	\$	0.82 Cdn
September 6, 2007	154,170	154,170	-	-	\$	0.77 Cdn
September 20, 2010	275,000	275,000	-	-	\$	0.16
August 28, 2012	1,500,000	-	_	-	\$	0.10
September 10, 2012	540,709	540,709	-	-	\$	0.10
November 16, 2012	450,000	-	-	-	\$	0.10
Outstanding, December 31, 2012	3,606,879	1,481,629	132,750	42,500		

During the nine months ended December 31, 2012, the Company granted 2,490,709 RSUs. 1,950,000 RSUs were granted to executives and directors of the Company and will vest over a two-year period. The fair value at the grant date was approximately \$196,856. In addition, the Company granted 121,751 RSUs to a consultant and 418,958 RSUs to a former employee. The fair value of the RSUs at the grant date were approximately \$11,250 and \$40,000 respectfully. Expense from RSUs granted are estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. See Valuation assumptions above.

9. COMMITMENTS & CONTINGENCIES

Office space leases

The Company rents office space in Vancouver, BC for a five-year term, commencing September 2008 (which has been subleased, refer to Contractual obligations), office space in Hawthorne, Nevada for a one-year term, and office space in Carson City, Nevada for a three-year term. The following are the remaining rental lease commitments in relation to the office leases:

	Dec	December 31,			
Fiscal Year					
2013	\$	29,711			
2014		68,362			
2015		9,619			
Total	\$	107,692			

Royalty holder litigation

On January 31, 2012, the Company was served with a complaint alleging breach of contract that was filed in the First Judicial District Court for the State of Nevada in Carson City by the following Borealis royalty holders: Cavell Trust, Hardrock Mining Company and John W. Whitney (Royalty Holders). The Royalty Holders allege that monthly advance royalties which have been paid by the Company are not recoverable from ongoing production royalties and are payable during the duration of the mining lease. The Company has deducted previously paid advance royalties

from production royalties payable under the Borealis mining lease agreement. At December 31, 2012, the Company had deducted \$716,616 of previously paid advance royalties from royalties due the Royalty Holders, and has deposited said amount into a trust account. The value of the trust account is not reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Gold and silver supply agreement

On April 18, 2012, in connection with the Credit Facility, the Company entered into the Gold and Silver Supply Agreement to sell refined gold and silver to Waterton. This agreement is in effect from closing date of the Credit Facility until operations on the Borealis property cease. The sales price for refined gold and silver is based upon the market price for each respective metal. The obligation of the Company to sell gold and silver to Waterton pursuant to the Gold and Silver Supply Agreement is independent of the Credit Agreement, and will remain in effect for the term set forth in the Gold and Silver Supply Agreement.

10. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Waterton Global Value LP Senior Credit Facility See Note 7 (Long Term Debt). Joint Venture with Waterton Global Value L.P. See Note 7 (Long Term Debt).

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Forward-Looking Statements

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this report, as well as our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2012, contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

This document includes various forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which represent our expectations or beliefs concerning future events. Statements containing expressions such as believes, anticipates, expects, used in our press releases and periodic reports on Form 10-K and 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), are intended to identify forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. Although we believe our expectations are based upon reasonable assumptions with the bounds of our knowledge of our business and operations, there can be no assurances that actual results will not differ materially from expected results. We caution that these are similar statements included in this report are further qualified by important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date thereof. We undertake no obligation to publicly release any revisions to such forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof.

Cautionary Note to U.S. Investors Regarding Mineral Reserve and Resource Estimates

Certain of the technical reports, the preliminary assessment and the pre-feasibility study referenced in this annual report use the terms mineral resource, measured mineral resource, indicated mineral resource and inferred mineral resource. We advise investors that these terms are defined in and required to be disclosed by NI 43-101; however, these terms are not defined terms under the SEC s Industry Guide 7 (Guide 7) and are normally not permitted to be used in reports and registration statements filed with the SEC. As a reporting issuer in Canada with our primary trading market in Canada, we are required to prepare reports on our mineral properties in accordance with NI 43-101. We reference those reports in this annual report for informational purposes only and such reports are not incorporated herein by reference. Investors are cautioned not to assume that any part or all of mineral deposits in the above categories will ever be converted into Guide 7 compliant reserves. Inferred mineral resources have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence, and great uncertainty as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of an inferred mineral resource will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Under Canadian rules, estimates of inferred mineral resources may not form the basis of feasibility or pre-feasibility studies, except in rare cases. Investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of an inferred mineral resource exists or is economically or legally mineable. Disclosure of contained metal in a resource is permitted disclosure under Canadian regulations; however, the SEC normally only permits issuers to report mineralization that does not constitute reserves by SEC standards as in place tonnage and grade without reference to unit measures.

Overview

Business Objectives

Gryphon Gold holds a 40% joint venture interest in the gold producing Borealis Property through its 40% ownership of Borealis Mining Company LLC (Borealis), which is located in Nevada's Walker Lane Gold Belt. The Company and its joint venture partner Waterton Global Value L.P. are expanding the Borealis Property production capacity and advancing the development of the oxide heap leachable gold and silver historically identified that includes both pre-processed and unprocessed ore. Gryphon Gold also plans to further expand and develop the significant sulphide resource available through exploration, metallurgical design and sulphide project permitting and development. The

Borealis Property contains unpatented mining claims (including claims leased to Borealis) of approximately 20 acres each, totaling about 15,020 acres, which has successful past production.

The plan for the Borealis Property is based on the Plan of Operations that has been approved by the U.S. Forest Service. The Plan of Operations does not present an economic analysis, and we have not placed any information in the Plan of Operations regarding capital expenditures, operating costs, ore grade, anticipated revenues, or projected cash flows. The Plan of Operations was based on the general economic concepts as presented in the Pre-Feasibility Study.

Borealis Property

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2013, Phase 1A of the Borealis Project was completed and the ADR facility was placed in service.

During the third quarter of fiscal year 2013, construction of Phase 1B heap leach pad was completed and placed in service at the beginning of the fourth quarter. This construction expanded our leach pad capacity to approximately 6 million tons and included a larger crushing system. This expansion allows for increased production and will include mining minerals from legacy heap leach pads as well as from the East Ridge and North-east Ridge pits.

At the end of the third quarter of fiscal year 2013, gold production was suspended due to the failure of the boiler in the ADR facility. The Company secured a replacement boiler, which was operational at the end of January 2013. Due to the boiler s reduced functionality and ultimate failure, production at the end of the third and beginning of fourth quarters were reduced.

The initial mine plan is estimated at six years. In year seven, reclamation is expected to begin by rinsing the heap leach pad material. Expansion of the mine life past the initial six-year estimate is dependent on the expansion of current mineralization or the discovery of additional mineralization through further exploration drilling on the property.

For a complete history of our Borealis Property, please see our 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012 filed with the SEC.

Recent Financing Transactions

Waterton Global Value LP Senior Credit Facility

On April 18, 2012, the Company entered into a Senior Secured Gold Stream Credit Agreement (Credit Facility) with Waterton Global Value, LP (Waterton). The Credit Facility provided for a \$15 million non-revolving working capital facility. \$15 million was advanced to the Company on April 19, 2012.

As part of the transaction, the Company entered into a gold and silver sale agreement (Gold and Silver Supply Agreement) with Waterton (see Note 9).

The Credit Facility bears interest at a rate of 5% per annum and initially required monthly interest-only payments due on the last business day of each month beginning October 31, 2012 in addition to monthly principal payments of \$1.25 million (Monthly Repayment Figure) for 12 consecutive months beginning May 31, 2013. On each repayment date, the Company is required to deposit gold to Waterton s gold account or, if requested by Waterton, pay in cash the required payment amount. Payments made in gold are calculated as follows: (i) the monthly repayment amount divided by (ii) the product of: the spot price multiplied by 0.80; where the spot price is equal to the settlement per ounce price of gold on the London Bullion Market Association, PM Fix (Bloomberg: GoldLNPM Index) (LBMA) on the business day immediately preceding such repayment date.

The Company paid Waterton a non-refundable structuring fee equal to 1% of the drawdown amount on April 18, 2012 and issued Lender 14,062,500 Series T Warrants, exercisable to acquire shares of common stock at a price of C\$0.16 per share until April 18, 2015. The debt offering costs in connection with the Credit Facility totaled \$585,091, and are being amortized over the life of the Credit Facility.

On September 24, 2012, the Company and its then wholly owned subsidiary, Borealis Mining Company, entered into an amendment agreement (First Amendment Agreement) to the Credit Facility with Waterton to increase Waterton's commitment amount under the Credit Facility by \$5 million (Facility Increase), bringing the aggregate borrowings under the Credit Facility from \$15 million to \$20 million.

Pursuant to the terms of the First Amendment Agreement, amounts drawn down from the Credit Facility were repayable in monthly payments beginning in December 2012 and ending in November 2014, with initial payments being approximately \$50,000 per month and increasing to approximately \$900,000 per month in March 2013 through to the end of the term in November 2014. The specific repayment mechanics applicable to any principal amount outstanding under the Credit Facility remained unchanged. The Company paid Waterton a non-refundable structuring fee of \$105,000 upon receipt of the Facility Increase, and the Company and Waterton agreed to amend the terms of the existing Gold and Silver Supply Agreement to permit Waterton to purchase all of the Company s production at a 3% discount to prevailing spot prices. The amended debt offering costs in connection with the Credit Facility totaled \$705,649, of which \$37,921 was charged to expense and the remaining \$667,728 are being amortized over the life of

the Credit Facility.

In connection with the Joint Venture with Waterton (see below), the Company, Borealis Mining Company LLC and Waterton entered into a third amendment to the Credit Facility (Third Amendment and together with the Credit Facility, as amended, Amended Facility), dated January 30, 2013. Under the Amended Facility, the principal balance of the loan thereunder was reduced to \$6,650,000, and is being repaid in monthly installments, commencing on January 31, 2013, and ending on November 30, 2014. The Company may meet the monthly payment obligations under the Amended Facility by tendering payments in cash, gold or units of Borealis (see below). The reduction of the amount outstanding under the Amended Facility will reduce the Company s monthly debt payments to Waterton from \$1.4 million, by approximately \$1.0 million, to \$0.4 million.

15

The Amended Facility requires the Company to comply with various financial and non-financial covenants. The financial covenants include unaudited quarterly financial statements, annual audited financial statements, proxy statements, and any material reports. Within 10 days after the end of each calendar month, the Company is required to submit to Waterton a written report concerning its business and activities. Pursuant to a series of guarantees, security agreements, deed of trust, and a stock pledge agreement, the senior obligations are secured by a first priority lien on the stock of the Company and the Company s assets.

The fair value of the warrants issued to note holders and brokers pursuant to the Credit Facility was, at the time of issuance \$1,039,566, which was calculated using Black-Scholes model with a risk free interest rate of 0.40%, volatility of 87.35%, expected term of 1,095 days, and an exercise and market price of C\$0.16 per share. This relative fair value resulted in a discount to the notes payable and is amortized over the life of the note.

Caterpillar Financial Services Corporation

On December 6, 2012, the Company entered into a financing arrangement with Caterpillar Financial Services Corporation (Cat Financial) for \$5,601,746. The funding provided for the purchase of three pieces of heavy equipment: one loader and two off-highway trucks, which were delivered to the mine site at the end of December 2012. The loan is amortized over 48 months and accrues interest at a 2.9% rate. Payments consist of a down payment of \$560,000 followed by four consecutive monthly payments of \$298,019, with remaining monthly payments of \$97,845. During the nine months ended December 31, 2012, the Company paid \$546,462 in principal, leaving an aggregate balance due of \$5,055,284.

Joint Venture with Waterton Global Value L.P.

On January 30, 2013, the Company converted Borealis Mining Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (BMC) from a Nevada corporation into a Nevada limited liability company (as converted, Borealis). Shortly thereafter, the Company entered into a Contribution Agreement (Contribution Agreement) with Waterton and Borealis Holdings LLC (Holdings and together with Waterton (Waterton Entities), a Nevada limited liability company and an affiliate of Waterton, dated January 30, 2013, whereby the Company assigned 6,000,000 units of Class A membership interest, or 60% of the equity ownership, of Borealis (the Sale) to Holdings in consideration for Waterton forgiving \$17 million, or approximately two-thirds, of the total amount owed by the Company under the Credit Facility (prior to the Third Amendment). Accordingly, the Company classified the current portion of its long term debt to Waterton as non-current at December 31, 2012, as it will not be satisfied in a cash transaction. In connection with the Contribution Agreement, the Company provided standard representations and warranties to Waterton, as well as a right to indemnification for a breach of such representations and warranties.

In connection with the Sale, Borealis, the Company and Holdings entered into an Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement dated January 30, 2013 (LLC Agreement) that governs the operations of Borealis and the financial obligations of Holdings and the Company. The Company is responsible for the payment of (i) an initial \$4 million capital contribution (the Initial Capital Contribution), and (ii) 40% of all future capital contributions, including 40% of a contemplated \$4 million capital contribution (or \$1.6 million) expected to be called by the board of managers for Borealis in February or March of 2013 (Proposed Capital Contribution). The Waterton Entities have indicated that they will advance up to \$5.6 million to Borealis (consisting of the Initial Capital Contribution and the Proposed Capital Contribution) on behalf of the Company, with \$3 million already having been advanced by the Waterton Entities to the Company, which will be repaid, with interest at escalating rates, within six months. Ongoing capital contributions will be determined by the board of managers of Borealis, and will be paid by both parties equal to their respective ownership interest in Borealis. If one party fails to meet a financial obligation, it will be subject to dilution in its ownership interest in Borealis. In addition, if the interest of the Company in Borealis is reduced to 10% or less, it will be converted into a net profit interest of 3.5%. The board of managers of Borealis will consist initially of three board members appointed by the Waterton Entities and two board members appointed by the Company.

Fiscal 2013 Plan of Operations

Our long-term plan is to focus on further development of the Borealis Project by expansion of resources through

exploration drill programs and location of additional resources for the Company.

We continue to work with the United States Forest Service with the objectives of maintaining our permits under the Plan of Operations and to complete these goals, we intend to: complete construction of the Borealis Oxide Heap Leach Project; execute a drill program to expand the oxide resource within the permitted footprint of the Borealis Property; and execute a drill program on the three anomalies defined in the pediments of the Borealis Property for the purpose of potentially discovering additional oxide resources.

16

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

THREE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 AND 2011

Summary Table

The following table sets forth certain selected unaudited condensed consolidated financial date for the three-month periods indicated:

Three months ended					
	December 31,				
	2012		2011	\$ Change	% Change
Net Sales	\$ 3,215,242	\$	931,794	\$ 2,283,448	245.1%
Cost of sales and other direct production costs		Φ	931,794	2,617,515	281.3%
Depreciation	264,648		41,498	223,150	537.7%
•			,		
Gross profit	(597,320)		(40,103)	(557,217)	1389.5%
Operating expenses:					
Exploration (refund)	15,111		(26,394)	41,505	-157.3%
Salaries and consulting fees	231,246		364,297	(133,051)	-36.5%
General and administrative	180,705		152,554	28,151	18.5%
Legal and audit	108,438		62,202	46,236	74.3%
Travel and accommodation	26,300		66,328	(40,028)	-60.3%
Depreciation	7,143		17,714	(10,571)	-59.7%
Write down of mining property	-		-	-	-
Loss (gain) on disposal of equipment	3,347		-	3,347	-
Asset retirement obligation accretion	81,095		10,284	70,811	688.6%
	653,385		646,985	6,400	1.0%
Loss from operations	(1,250,705)		(687,088)	(563,617)	-82.0%
•	, , , , ,		,	, , ,	
Other (income) expense:					
Loss (gain) on foreign exchange	(1,146)		94,653	(95,799)	-101.2%
Loss (gain) on warrant derivative liability	(9,288)		-	(9,288)	-
Interest income	(122)		(7,926)	7,804	98.5%
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	512,428		381,212	131,216	34.4%
, ,				•	
Net loss	\$ (1,752,577)	\$	(1,155,027)	\$ (597,550)	-51.7%

Net Sales

The increase in net sales for 2012 is primarily due to the processing of loaded carbon and the sale of doré. The Company began sales of loaded carbon in its third quarter of fiscal year 2012, and began sales of doré in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013.

Cost of Sales

The cost of sales for 2012 was driven by production costs (\$3,382,340) related to the production of loaded carbon and doré, depreciation (\$264,648) and royalty fees (\$165,574). Production began in the third quarter of fiscal year 2012.

Operating expenses

Exploration. Exploration expense was \$15,111 in 2012, compared to (\$26,394) in 2011. The increase in exploration costs of \$41,505 for 2012 was primarily due to a refund of \$63,920 from the Bureau of Land Management for claim

maintenance fees offset by a \$22,415 decrease in expense due to the Company s focus on production of doré, which began in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013.

Salaries and consulting fees. Salaries and consulting fees was \$231,246 in 2012, compared to \$364,297 in 2011. The decrease in 2012 was primarily due to the reduction of need for additional consultants once the Company moved from the exploration to production phase.

General and administrative. General and administrative expense was \$180,705 in 2012, compared to \$152,554 in 2011. The increase in 2012 was primarily due to additional public relations fees of approximately \$18,500 and rental expense related to the Carson City office of approximately \$9,650.

17

Legal and audit. Legal and audit fees was \$108,438 in 2012, compared to \$62,202 in 2011. The increase in legal and audit fees for 2012 is primarily due to an increase in legal fees related to royalty litigation and the SEC form S-1 registration of securities as well as increased audit fees related to increased reporting requirements for production as opposed to exploration.

Travel and accommodation. Travel and accommodation expense was \$26,300 in 2012, compared to \$66,328 in 2011. The decrease is due to the decreased demand of travel for management.

Depreciation. Depreciation expense was \$7,143 in 2012, compared to \$17,714 in 2011. The decrease in depreciation expense for 2012 was primarily due to property, plant and equipment becoming fully depreciated.

Asset retirement obligation accretion (ARO). ARO expense was \$81,095 in 2012, compared to \$10,284 in 2011. The increase in 2012 is due to the addition of the Phase 1B leach pad.

Other income (expense)

Foreign exchange. Foreign exchange expense was (\$1,146) in 2012, compared to \$94,653. The decrease in 2012 is primarily due to the decreased activity in our Canadian accounts offset by increased activity in our functional currency.

Warrant derivative. The Company recognized a gain of \$9,288 in 2012 due to the change in the liability of warrants issued in Canadian currency. The Company issued warrants in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013.

Interest expense. Interest expense was \$512,428 in 2012, compared to \$381,212 in 2011. This increase in 2012 is primarily is due to the interest incurred on the promissory and convertible notes issued to reduce the potential future obligations under the royalty liability and the Credit Facility.

NINE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 AND 2011

Summary Table

The following table sets forth certain selected unaudited condensed consolidated financial date for the nine-month periods indicated:

Nine months ended December 31,					
	2012		2011	\$ Change	% Change
Net Sales	\$ 12,608,898	\$	931,794	\$ 11,677,104	1253.2%
Cost of sales and other direct production					
costs	11,576,597		930,219	10,646,378	1144.5%
Depreciation	1,022,683		41,678	981,005	2353.8%
Gross profit	9,618		(40,103)	49,722	-124.0%
Operating expenses:					
Exploration	43,313		68,860	(25,547)	-37.1%
Salaries and consulting fees	757,124		836,465	(79,341)	-9.5%
General and administrative	552,260		527,164	25,096	4.8%
Legal and audit	483,449		203,202	280,247	137.9%
Travel and accommodation	91,864		159,589	(67,725)	-42.4%
Depreciation	20,616		35,176	(14,560)	-41.4%
Write down of mining property	11,003		-	11,003	-

Loss (gain) on disposal of equipment	2,917	-	2,917	-
Asset retirement obligation accretion	189,817	27,280	162,537	595.8%
	2,152,363	1,857,736	294,627	15.9%
Loss from operations	(2,142,745)	(1,897,839)	(244,905)	-12.9%
Other (income) expense:				
Loss (gain) on foreign exchange	49,718	189,449	(139,731)	-73.8%
Loss (gain) on warrant derivative liability	(801,643)	-	(801,643)	-
Interest income	(3,773)	(11,153)	7,380	66.2%
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	1,809,257	466,814	1,342,443	287.6%
Net loss	\$ (3,196,304)	\$ (2,542,949) \$	(653,354)	-25.7%
	18			

Net Sales

The increase in net sales for 2012 is primarily due to the processing of loaded carbon and the sale of doré. Sales of loaded carbon began in the third quarter of fiscal year 2012, and sales of doré began in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013.

Cost of Sales

The cost of sales for 2012 was driven by production costs (\$10,593,755) related to the production of loaded carbon and doré, depreciation (\$1,022,683), an impairment of inventory (\$323,570) due to changes in precious metal market prices and royalty fees (\$659,272).

Operating expenses

Exploration. Exploration expense was \$43,313 in 2012, compared to \$68,860 in 2011. The decrease in exploration costs of \$25,547 for 2012 was primarily due to a \$89,467 decrease in expense due to the Company s focus on production of doré, which began in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013, offset by a refund of \$63,920 from the Bureau of Land Management for claim maintenance fees in 2011.

Salaries and consulting fees. Salaries and consulting fees was \$757,124 in 2012, compared to \$836,465 in 2011. The decrease of \$79,341 in 2012 was primarily due to increased staffing, non-stock compensation and director fees, offset by the decrease in consultants once the Company moved from the exploration to production phase.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses were relatively flat for the period.

Legal and audit. Legal and audit was \$483,449 in 2012, compared to \$203,202 in 2011. The increase in legal and audit fees for 2012 is primarily due to an increase in legal fees related to royalty litigation and the SEC form S-1 registration of securities, audit fees related to fiscal year 2011 audit, and increased reporting requirements for production as opposed to exploration.

Travel and accommodation. Travel and accommodation expenses was \$91,864 in 2012, compared to \$159,589 in 2011. The decrease is due to the decreased demand of travel for management.

Depreciation. Depreciation expense was \$20,616 in 2012, compared to \$35,176 in 2011. The decrease in depreciation expense for 2012 was primarily due to property, plant and equipment becoming fully depreciated in this fiscal year.

Write-down of mining property. During September 2012, Management elected not to renew claims for the Copper Basin property located in Idaho.

Asset retirement obligation accretion (ARO). ARO expense was \$189,817 in 2012, compared to \$27,280 in 2011. The increase in 2012 is due to the addition of the Phase 1A and 1B leach pad.

Other income (expense)

Foreign exchange. Loss on foreign exchange was \$49,718 in 2012, compared to \$189,449 in 2011. The decrease in 2012 is primarily due to the decreased activity in our Canadian accounts offset by increased activity in our functional currency.

Warrant derivative. The Company recognized a gain of \$801,643 in 2012 due to the change in the liability of warrants issued in Canadian currency. The Company issued warrants in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013.

Interest expense. Interest expense was \$1,809,257 in 2012, compared to \$466,814 in 2011. This increase in 2012 is primarily due to the interest incurred on the promissory and convertible notes issued to reduce the potential future obligations under the royalty liability and the Credit Facility.

Going Concern

The audit opinion for the Company s financial statements for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012 includes a going concern qualification. Management intends to use the profit from the Borealis Project to fund operations but may need to raise additional capital to help fund the operation and further capital expenditures and expansion. No assurance can be given that the Company will be successful in raising additional capital on favourable terms or at all. Further, even if the Company raises additional capital, there can be no assurance that the Company will achieve profitability or positive cash flow. If the Company is unable to raise additional capital and possible future revenues do not result in positive cash flow, the Company will not be able to meet its obligations and may have to suspend or cease operations. The Company has an accumulated deficit of \$46,268,477 as of December 31, 2012 compared to \$43,072,173 as of March 31, 2012 and has cash on hand of \$576,038. In addition, at December 31, 2012 the Company had \$25,854,578 in long-term debt. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company s ability to continue as a going concern. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments related to the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classifications of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared under the assumption that the Company will continue as a going concern. Such assumption contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liability in the normal course of business. The recoverability of amounts shown for mineral property interests in the Company s consolidated balance sheets are dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable reserves, the ability of the Company to arrange appropriate financing to complete the development of its properties, the receipt of necessary permitting and upon achieving future profitable production or receiving proceeds from the disposition of the properties. The timing of such events occurring, if at all, is not yet determinable.

Royalty Obligations

On May 25, 2011, we exercised our option to fix the NSR at 5%. Under the terms of the option agreement, as amended, we exercised the option by paying the Lessors aggregate consideration of \$7,000,000 (less the \$250,000 previously paid by the Company to the Lessors upon execution of the Option Agreement) as follows:

- (i) \$150,000 in cash;
- (ii) 7,726,500 shares of common stock at a deemed value of \$0.40 per share (\$3,090,500);
- (iii) 5% promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$1,600,000, due May 20, 2013, with installment payments due upon commencement of production on the Borealis Property; and
- (iv) 5% convertible notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$1,909,500, due May 20, 2014, convertible into shares of our common stock at \$0.70 per share through May 20, 2012, \$0.80 per share through May 20, 2013 and \$0.90 per share through May 20, 2014.

Financial Condition and Liquidity

At December 31, 2012, our balance of cash was \$576,038, compared to \$602,343 at December 31, 2011, with negative working capital of \$9,027,021. Current assets consisted of \$576,038 in cash, \$107,777 in accounts receivable, \$715,377 in prepaid expenses, \$6,113,735 in inventories, and \$477,546 in deferred debt issue costs. We had \$4,884,010 in accounts payable and accrued liabilities and \$12,133,484 in the current portion of the long-term debt.

Operating Activities. Net cash used by operating activities was \$3,583,513. This included our net loss during the nine months of \$3,196,304, change in liability in warrants of \$801,643 and unrealized loss on foreign exchange of \$718; off-set by interest paid with debt of \$149,229, depreciation of \$1,043,299, non-cash compensation of \$241,246, ARO accretion of \$189,817, amortization of debt offering costs and note payable discount of \$980,852, impairment of inventory of \$323,570, write down of mining property of \$11,003, and net loss on disposal of equipment of \$2,917.

Investing activities. Net cash used by investing activities was \$4,361,309. This included \$901,859 to increase the reclamation bond, \$3,460,450 in capital expenditures, offset by \$1,000 proceeds from the sale of equipment.

Financing activities. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$7,917,799. This included \$10,290,035 from the Credit Facility, reduced by \$2,271,761 in repayment of borrowings and \$100,535 in debt and share issue costs. Cash decreased during the period by \$26,305 to \$576,038 as of December 31, 2012.

Share capital as of February 14, 2013:	
Basic common stock issued and outstanding	194,644,091
Warrants, options and other convertible securities	47,927,515
Fully diluted common stock	242,571,606

Subsequent Events

For a discussion on our current subsequent events, see Note 7 (Long Term Debt) to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included herein.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Please see the notes to our audited financial statements included in our 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012

for a complete list of our critical accounting policies and estimates.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Please see the notes to our unaudited financial statements for a complete list of recent accounting pronouncements.

Reclassification

Certain comparative figures have been reclassified to conform to the current quarter presentation.

20

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk

Not Applicable.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

At the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision of, and with the participation of, our management, including the Chief Executive Officer/Interim Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a 15(e) and Rule 15d 15(e) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer has concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were adequately designed and effective in ensuring that (i) information required to be disclosed by us in our reports that we file or submit to the SEC under the Exchange Act, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in applicable rules and forms and (ii) material information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer/ Interim Chief Financial Officer, to allow for accurate required disclosure to be made on a timely basis.

During the nine months ended December 31, 2012, Mr. Robert L. Chapman, our Chief Financial Officer resigned, effective as of July 26, 2012. The Company s Chief Executive Officer, Mr. James T. O Neil Jr. resumed the role of Interim Chief Financial Officer. Mr. O Neil currently is performing duties for both principal roles.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the fourth quarter of the fiscal year 2012, management concluded that the Company s internal control over financial reporting contained two material weaknesses. Throughout the first six months of fiscal year 2013 we remedied these weaknesses by adding additional people to the staff, segregating duties amongst the accounting and administration staff, and implementing a committee to review and research complex accounting issues prior to beginning of quarterly reviews.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company has not been involved in any new legal proceedings during the three-month period ended December 31, 2012. For more information on past legal proceedings, please see our 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012, filed with the SEC on June 28, 2012.

Item 1A. Risk Factors and Uncertainties

Other than as provided below, there have been no material changes from the risk factors as previously disclosed in our Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2012 which was filed with Securities and Exchange Commission on June 28, 2012.

Our ownership of Borealis Mining Company LLC may be reduced

Under the operating agreement (the Operating Agreement) governing the operation of Borealis Mining Company LLC (Borealis), our ownership in Borealis may be reduced if we fail to make any necessary capital contributions required by the Operating Agreement. In addition, under the Operating Agreement, if we fail to make any necessary capital contributions required by the terms of the Operating Agreement and, after such event, (i) any person or group of persons acting together become the record or beneficial owner(s) of more than 50% of our then outstanding voting equity interests (measured by voting power rather than the number of shares); or (ii) our current directors do not constitute a majority of our Board of Directors, or our current directors and those appointed by our current directors do not constitute a majority of our Board of Directors, our ownership in Borealis may be reduced. Any reduction in our ownership of Borealis will result in a reduction of our assets, will likely result in a reduction in shareholders

equity and in decreased revenue and income and, as a result, a decrease in our profits and share price.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

During the three-month period ended December 31, 2012, all transactions in which we offered and sold unregistered securities pursuant to exemptions under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended were reported on current reports on Form 8-K.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Information concerning mine safety violations or other regulatory matters required by Sections 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act) is included in Exhibit 95 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit 31.1	Certification of James T. O Neil Jr., Chief Executive Officer and Principal Executive Officer pursuant
	to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 31.2	Certification of James T. O Neil Jr., Interim Chief Financial Officer and Principal Financial Officer
	pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 32.1	Certification of James T. O Neil Jr., Chief Executive Officer and Principal Executive Officer, pursuant
	to 18 U.S.C. 1350.
Exhibit 32.2	Certification of James T. O Neil Jr., Interim Chief Financial Officer and Principal Financial Officer,
	pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350.
Exhibit 10.1*	First Amendment Agreement to Senior Secured Gold Stream Credit Agreement dated September 24,
	2012.
Exhibit 95	Mine Safety Disclosures

^{*} Previously filed and incorporated by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

GRYPHON GOLD CORPORATION

By: /s/ James T. O Neil Jr.

James T. O Neil Jr.
Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer
(On behalf of the registrant and as
Principal executive and financial officer)

Date: February 14, 2013