

HPEV, INC.
Form 10-Q
May 20, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark
One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number: 000-53443

HPEV, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

75-3076597
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

27420 Breakers Drive
Wesley Chapel, FL
(Address of principal executive offices)

33544
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (813) 929-1877

(Former name, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required

Edgar Filing: HPEV, INC. - Form 10-Q

to submit and post such files). Yes No X .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer _____ Accelerated
filer _____

Non-accelerated filer _____ Smaller reporting
company __X
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No X .

Applicable only to issuers involved in bankruptcy proceedings during the preceding five years:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes No

Applicable only to corporate issuers:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. As of May 15, 2013, there were 44,085,441 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value, issued and outstanding.

HPEV, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	4
-	
ITEM 1 Financial Statements (Unaudited and Unreviewed)	5
ITEM 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	26
ITEM 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	32
ITEM 4 Controls and Procedures	32
PART OTHER INFORMATION	35
II -	
ITEM 1 Legal Proceedings	35
ITEM Risk Factors	35
1A	
ITEM 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	35
ITEM 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities	35
ITEM 4 Mine Safety Disclosures	35
ITEM 5 Other Information	35
ITEM 6 Exhibits	36

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

This Quarterly Report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”). These statements are based on management’s beliefs and assumptions, and on information currently available to management. Forward-looking statements include the information concerning our possible or assumed future results of operations set forth under the heading “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.” Forward-looking statements also include statements in which words such as “expect,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “plan,” “believe,” “estimate,” “consider” or similar expressions are used.

Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance. They involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Our future results and shareholder values may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements.

ITEM 1 Financial Statements

PART 1 – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

HPEV, INC.
(A Development Stage Company)
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(UNAUDITED and UNREVIEWED)

	As of March 31, 2013	As of December 31, 2012
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash	\$ 13,469	\$ 194,721
Prepaid expenses	68,999	373,679
Total current assets	82,468	568,400
Intangible	87,964	73,582
Total assets	\$ 170,432	\$ 641,982
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 203,062	\$ 177,280
Accounts payable – related party	29,250	52,305
Notes payable-related party	22,910	34,110
Total current liabilities	255,222	263,695
Total liabilities	255,222	263,695
Stockholders' equity		
Preferred stock: \$.001 par value; 15,000,000 shares authorized, 200 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2013		
Common stock; \$.001 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized, 44,085,441 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2013	44,085	42,970
Additional paid-in capital	6,246,171	6,116,420
Common stock held in escrow	8,441	39,469
Common stock receivable		(8,000,000)
Accumulated deficit during development stage	(6,382,371)	(5,820,572)
Total stockholders' equity	(83,674)	378,287
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 171,548	\$ 641,982

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

HPEV, INC.
(A Development Stage Company)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(UNAUDITED and UNREVIEWED)

	Three Months Ended March. 31, 2013	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012	From inception (March 24, 2011) Through March 31, 2012	From inception (March 24, 2011) through March 31, 2013
Revenue	\$ -			\$ -
Cost of goods sold	-			-
Gross profit	-			-
Operating expenses				
Consulting	389,448	423,163	2,027,743	3,896,420
Professional fees	42,394	30,796	569,275	1,028,012
Research and development	2,000	343,233	457,588	359,072
General and administrative	51,239	20,930	62,731	203,103
Loss on deposit			100,000	100,000
Loss on intangible property			75,000	75,000
Total operating expenses	485,081	818,903	3,293,118	5,661,607
Other income and expenses				
Interest expense				(277,545)
Finance cost				(622,522)
Gain on settlement of debt				256,021
Net loss	\$ (485,081)	\$ (818,903)	\$ (3,293,118)	\$ (6,305,653)
Basic loss per common share	\$ (0.01)	(0.02)	(.08)	
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	44,621,440	47,610,891	39,159,451	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

HPEV, Inc.
(A Development Stage Company)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED and UNREVIEWED)

	Three months ended March 31, 2013 Un-Audited	From March 24, 2011 (Date of Inception) Through March 31, 2012 Un-Audited	From March 24, 2011 (Date of Inception) Through March 31, 2013 Un-Audited
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$(485,081)	\$(5,943,118)	\$(6,305,653)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to			-
Net cash used by operating activities:			-
Stock issued to founder		22,000	22,000
Stock options issued for penalty		108,420	
Stock issued for consulting services			3,228,712
Gain on settlement of debt			(256,021)
Warrants issued for loan penalty			197,413
Warrants issued for interest			317,678
Stock compensation	-	1,905,478	-
Amortization of financing cost			622,522
Director stock compensation from shareholder		2,650,000	-
Impairment of intangible asset & deposit		175,000	175,000
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			-
Prepaid expenses	304,680	(250)	304,680
Increase in accrued interest			6,021
Increase in accounts payable related party			52,305
Increase in accounts payable	(1,442)		234,201
Net cash used by operating activities	(181,843)	(718,412)	(1,401,142)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Increase of intangible assets	(14,383)	(57,405)	(87,965)
Cash acquired through reverse merger		37	37
Net cash used by investing activities	(14,383)	(57,368)	(87,928)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from sale of common stock	57,003	50,000	107,003
Proceeds from sale of preferred stock			500,000
Capital stock - shares returned to treasury	31,028		31,028
Proceeds from loans payable		22,500	

Edgar Filing: HPEV, INC. - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from notes payable			439,722
Payment on notes payable			(189,722)
Proceeds from notes payable – related party		825,707	
Payments on notes payable - related party	(11,200)		(12,400)
Bank overdraft		410	410
Net cash provided by financing activities	76,831	898,617	876,041
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	39,529	122,837	39,529
Cash, beginning of period	194,721		-
Cash, end of period	13,469	122,837	\$13,469
Supplemental Information			
Interest paid with cash	\$-	\$-	\$1,327
Supplemental schedule of non –cash Activities			
Accrued interest forgiven	\$-	\$-	\$6,021
Related party accrued salary forgiven	\$-	\$-	\$70,000
Related party notes payable forgiven	\$-	\$-	\$911,894
Shares issued for services	\$-	\$-	\$1,358,016
Assumed as part of reverse merger			
Prepaid expense	\$-	(375,003)	\$-
Intangible assets	\$-	175,000	\$175,000
Deposit	\$-	100,000	\$100,000
Prepaid asset		0.00	\$375,002
Accounts payable	\$-	(11,637)	\$(11,637)
Notes payable	\$-		\$-
Notes payable – related party	\$-	\$(336,187)	\$(336,187)
Stock issued for prepaid services	\$-	2,152,514	\$336,187

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of HPEV, Inc. (“HPEV” or the “Company”) are unaudited and unreviewed, but in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary to fairly state the Company’s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows as of and for the dates and periods presented. The financial statements of the Company are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) for interim financial information.

These unaudited and unreviewed condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company’s audited financial statements and footnotes included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K and 10-K/A for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”). The results of operations for the three month ended March 31, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire year ending December 31, 2013 or for any future period.

NOTE 1 – DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

HPEV, Inc., a Nevada corporation (formerly known as Bibb Corporation and Z3 Enterprises) (hereinafter referred to as “HPEV” or “The Company”), was incorporated in the State of Nevada on July 22, 2002.

The Company’s principal operations were to produce fully integrated multi-media products targeting the marginally literate. The Company changed its focus to educational entertainment and reality show programming; feature films and special event marketing upon entering into a Joint Venture Agreement (the “Joint Venture Agreement”) with Phoenix Productions and Entertainment Group (PPEG) in September 2010.

From September 2010 through March 2011, Z3E pursued business opportunities, but agreements were never fulfilled and the entertainment projects have been terminated.

On March 24, 2011, Z3 Enterprises entered into a Share Exchange Agreement to acquire 100 shares, constituting all of the issued and outstanding shares of HPEV Inc. (“HPEV”) in consideration for the issuance of 22,000,000 shares of Z3E common stock. Upon closing of the Share Exchange on April 15, 2011, HPEV became a wholly owned subsidiary of Z3.

The terms of the Share Exchange Agreement required the current board of directors of Z3E (the “Board”) to designate Quentin Ponder and Timothy Hassett as directors of Z3E, as well as two other directors to be named later by HPEV.

On April 5, 2012, the Company amended its Articles of Incorporation to change its name from Z3 Enterprises, Inc. to HPEV, Inc. On the same date, the board appointed Timothy Hassett as Chief Executive Officer, Quentin Ponder as Chief Financial Officer (he remains Treasurer), Theodore Banzhaf as President and Judson Bibb as Vice President (he remains Secretary).

On April 6, 2012, the Board of Directors amended the bylaws. Specifically, they voted to increase the number of directors, to enable the filling of vacancies on the board of directors by majority vote of the remaining directors or director and to appoint Timothy Hassett and Quentin Ponder to serve as Chairman of the Board and Vice Chairman, respectively.

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited and Unreviewed)

Control of Z3E changed hands on April 15, 2011 with the issuance of 21,880,000 shares of Z3E common stock to the original shareholders of HPEV pursuant to the terms of the as amended Share Exchange Agreement. An additional 120,000 shares were issued on December 14, 2011 which completed the issuance of 22,000,000 shares of Z3E common stock to HPEV, Inc. under the terms of the as amended Share Exchange Agreement.

For accounting purposes, the acquisition of HPEV, Inc by Z3 Enterprises, Inc. has been recorded as a reverse acquisition of a public company and recapitalization of Z3 Enterprises, Inc. based on factors demonstrating that HPEV represents the accounting acquirer.

HPEV was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware on March 25, 2011 to commercialize the technology from patents developed by two of its shareholders. Activities during its start-up stage were nominal.

Subsequent to the closing of the Share Exchange, Z3E changed its business focus to attempting to commercialize the HPEV technologies in a variety of markets by licensing its heat pipe technologies to electric motor, generator and vehicle component manufacturers. The Company also plans to license its hybrid conversion system to fleet owners and service centers

Effective April 23, 2012, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”) approved the Company’s name change and the symbol change from BIBB to WARM.

Pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement with Spirit Bear Limited, (See Note 5), Jay Palmer and Carrie Dwyer were appointed to our board of directors effective February 20, 2013 and Donica Holt was appointed to our board of directors on March 7, 2013.

On May 5, 2011, a total of 7 patents (1 granted, 6 pending) were assigned to HPEV by Thermal Motors Innovations, LLC, a company controlled by the developers of the patents. Since then, additional patents have been awarded and filed. Therefore, as of May 15, 2013, our subsidiary, HPEV, owns the rights to five patents, and twelve patent-applications pending with two remaining to be assigned. See Note 9 – Intellectual Property.

The patents and patents-pending owned by HPEV cover composite heat pipes and their applications as well as an electric load assist. The utilization of composite heat pipes should increase the horsepower of electric motors and enhance the lifespan and effectiveness of heat-producing vehicle components. The parallel vehicle platform enables vehicles to alternate between two sources of power.

The Company intends to license heat pipe technology to manufacturers of electric motors and generators as well as vehicle parts such as brakes, resistors and calipers. It also plans to commercialize the patents by implementing and licensing a plug-in hybrid electric vehicle conversion system based on the parallel vehicle platform.

The Company is currently sourcing or commissioning the components to perform its initial conversion. The conversion, if successful, will be used to showcase the effectiveness of the technology, generate data and function as a marketing tool to generate orders. The target markets include commercial and fleet vehicles ranging from heavy duty pick-ups to tractor-trailer trucks and buses.

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

To facilitate the incorporation of the Company's heat pipe technology in industrial electric motors and generators, the Company has signed product development agreements with two multi-national manufacturers.

To prove the effectiveness of heat pipe technology under extreme conditions, the Company has signed agreements with racing teams to test its technology in high performance vehicle components.

As operations have consisted of general administrative and pre-production activities, HPEV, Inc. is considered a development stage company in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 915.

On December 9, 2011, Z3E and PPEG mutually agreed to dissolve their Joint Venture Agreement. The reason was due to a change in business direction by Z3 as a result of its acquisition of HPEV, Inc. The Joint Venture Agreement did not provide for any termination penalties.

NOTE 2 - GOING CONCERN

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern, which contemplates the recoverability of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company incurred net losses of approximately \$6,305,653 during the period from March 24, 2011 (Date of Inception) through March 31, 2013 and has not fully commenced its operations. The Company is still in the development stages, raising substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to generate future profitable operations and/or to obtain the necessary financing from shareholders or other sources to meet its obligations and repay its liabilities arising from normal business operations when they come due. At this time, the Company is seeking additional sources of capital through the issuance of debt, equity, or joint venture agreements, but there can be no assurance the Company will be successful in accomplishing its objectives.

These financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts, or amounts and classification of liabilities that might result from this uncertainty.

It is possible management may decide that the Company cannot continue with its business operations as outlined in the current business plan because of a lack of financial resources and may be forced to seek other potential business opportunities that may be available

NOTE 3 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

This summary of significant accounting policies of HPEV, Inc. is presented to assist in understanding the Company's condensed financial statements. The condensed, consolidated financial statements and notes are representations of the Company's management, who are responsible for their integrity and objectivity. These accounting policies conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and have been consistently applied in the preparation of the condensed financial statements.

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

Accounting Method

The Company's condensed financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Principles of Consolidation

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the subsidiary HPEV and its parent HPEV (formerly known as Z3 Enterprises). On April 20, 2012, the Company officially changed its name to HPEV, Inc.

All significant inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Year end

The Company's year-end is December 31.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the Company considers all highly liquid investments and short-term debt instruments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue on arrangements in accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements" and No. 104, "Revenue Recognition". In all cases, revenue is recognized only when the price is fixed or determinable, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the service is performed and collectability is reasonably assured. For the periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, and for the period from inception to March 31, 2013, the Company did not report any revenues.

Earnings Per Share

The Company computes net loss per share in accordance with the Earning per Share Topic of the FASB ASC 260. Under the provisions of ASC, basic net loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss available to common stockholders for the period by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. The calculation of diluted net loss per share gives effect to common stock equivalents; however, potential common shares are excluded if their effect is anti-dilutive. As of March 31, 2013, 200 preferred shares (which can be converted into common shares at a ratio of 1 to 50,000) and 8,395,004 warrants were outstanding.

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value of the Company's assets and liabilities, which qualify as financial instruments under Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) guidance regarding disclosures about fair value of financial instruments, approximate the carrying amounts presented in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 157 Fair Value Measurements ("SFAS 157"), superseded by ASC 820-10, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands required disclosure about fair value measurements of assets and liabilities. The impact of adopting ASC 820-10 was not significant to the Company's condensed financial statements. ASC 820-10 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. ASC 820-10 also establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 – Valuation based on quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 – Valuation based on quoted market prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets.

Level 3 – Valuation based on unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity, therefore requiring management's best estimate of what market participants would use as fair value.

In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability. The valuation of our derivative liability is determined using Level 1 inputs, which consider (i) time value, (ii) current market and (iii) contractual prices.

Fair value estimates discussed herein are based upon certain market assumptions and pertinent information available to management as of March 31, 2013 and 2012. The respective carrying value of certain on-balance-sheet financial instruments approximated their fair values due to the short-term nature of these instruments. These financial instruments include cash, accounts payable and accrued expenses, loan payable and notes payable – related party.

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

Income Taxes

The Company provides for federal and state income taxes payable, as well as for those deferred because of the timing differences between reporting income and expenses for financial statement purposes versus tax purposes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recoverable or settled. The effect of a change in tax rates is recognized as income or expense in the period of the change. A valuation allowance is established, when necessary, to reduce deferred income tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

Upon inception, the Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (“FIN 48”), superseded by ASC 740-10. The Company did not recognize a liability as a result of the implementation of ASC 740-10. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits has not been provided since there is no unrecognized benefit as of the date of adoption. The Company did not recognize interest expense or penalties as a result of the implementation of ASC 740-10. If there were an unrecognized tax benefit, the Company would recognize interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense and penalties in other operating expenses.

Employee Stock Based Compensation

The FASB issued SFAS No.123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, which was superseded by ASC 718-10. ASC 718-10 provides investors and other users of financial statements with more complete and neutral financial information, by requiring that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in financial statements. That cost will be measured based on the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. ASC 718-10 covers a wide range of share-based compensation arrangements, including share options, restricted share plans, performance-based awards, share appreciation rights and employee share purchase plans. As of March 31, 2013, the Company has not implemented an employee stock based compensation plan.

Non-Employee Stock Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock based compensation awards issued to non-employees for services, as prescribed by ASC 718-10, at either the fair value of the services rendered or the instruments issued in exchange for such services, whichever is more readily determinable, using the measurement date guidelines enumerated in EITF 96-18, Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services, which was superseded by ASC 505-50. The Company issues compensatory shares for services including, but not limited to, executive, management, accounting, operations, corporate communication, financial and administrative consulting services.

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

Use of Estimates

The process of preparing condensed financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the use of estimates and assumptions regarding certain types of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses. Such estimates primarily relate to unsettled transactions and events as of the date of the condensed financial statements. Accordingly, upon settlement, actual results may differ from estimated amounts.

Subsequent Events

In accordance with FASB ASC 855, Subsequent Events, the Company evaluated subsequent events through May 11, 2013, the date the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q was ready to issue.

Research and development

Costs of research and development are expensed in the period in which they are incurred.

Recent accounting standards

The Company has evaluated the recent accounting pronouncements through ASU 2013-05 and believes that none of them will have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

NOTE 4 - LOSS PER SHARE

Components of loss per share for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$(485,081)	\$(818,903)
Weighted average shares outstanding	44,621,440	47,610,891
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.01)	\$(0.02)

NOTE 5 – CAPITAL STOCK

Preferred Stock

The Company has 15,000,000 preferred shares authorized and 200, issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2013.

14

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

On December 14, 2012, the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Spirit Bear Limited (“Spirit Bear”) pursuant to which it sold to Spirit Bear 200 shares of the Company’s Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. Each share of the Preferred Stock is convertible into 50,000 shares of Company’s common stock and, under certain circumstances, the Preferred Stock is convertible into Senior Convertible Notes. The Conversion Price of the Preferred Stock is equal to the \$2,500.

In addition to the preferred stock, the Securities Purchase Agreement included warrants to purchase (i) 2,000,000 shares of the Company’s common stock at an exercise price of \$0.35 per share (subject to adjustment as provided in the warrant); (ii) 2,000,000 shares of the Company’s common stock at an exercise price of \$.50 per share (subject to adjustment as provided in the warrant); (iii) 2,000,000 shares of the Company’s common stock at an exercise of \$.75 per share (subject to adjustment as provided in the warrant). The purchase price for sale of the preferred stock and warrants was \$500,000, of which \$313,777.62 was paid in cash and \$186,222.38 was paid by cancellation of \$186,222.38 in outstanding indebtedness held by the Purchaser.

The Company and the Purchaser also entered into a Registration Rights Agreement, dated December 14, 2012. Pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement, the Company shall file a registration statement to register the shares issuable upon conversion of the Preferred Stock and the Debenture (described below) and the shares issuable upon the exercise of the Warrants. If the Registration Statement was not filed within thirty days of the Closing Date, then the number of Warrant Shares would be increased by 500,000 to 6,500,000. If the Securities and Exchange Commission had not declared the Registration Statement effective within 120 days of the Closing Date, then the Company would have to pay to each holder of Preferred Shares an amount in cash per Preferred Share held equal to the product of (i) \$5,000 multiplied by (ii) the product of (A) .02 multiplied by (B) the number of months after the Effectiveness Deadline that the Registration Statement is not declared effective by the SEC.

In connection with the sale of the Preferred Stock, on December 17, 2012, the Company filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Nevada a Certificate of Designations of the Rights, Preferences, Privileges and Restrictions, which have not been set forth in the Certificate of Incorporation of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (the “Certificate of Designations”).

The Preferred Stock has rights as if each share of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock were converted into fifty thousand (50,000) shares of Common Stock.

The holders of each share of Preferred Stock then outstanding shall be entitled to be paid, out of the Available Funds and Assets (as defined in the "Certificate of Designations"), and prior and in preference to any payment or distribution (or any setting apart of any payment or distribution) of any Available Funds and Assets (as defined in the "Certificate of Designations") on any shares of Common Stock, an amount per share equal to the Liquidation Price (\$2,500 per share of the Preferred Stock) of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.

In the event a Registration Statement has not been declared effective by the United States Securities Exchange Commission within 180 calendar days from and after the Closing Date, the holders of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the then outstanding shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock may deliver a written notice to the Company electing the conversion of all Series A Convertible Preferred Stock to Debentures. Upon receipt of such notice, the outstanding shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock shall be converted to Debentures and as a result the Company would issue Debentures having a principal amount of up to \$1,000,000.

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

The warrants may be exercised on a cashless basis in which the holder may be entitled to obtain a certificate of shares of the Company's common stock equal to the quotient obtained by dividing [(A-B) (X)] by (A), where:

(A) = the average of the daily VWAPs for the three (3) Trading Days immediately preceding the date of such election;

(B) = the Exercise Price of this Warrant, as adjusted; and

(X) = the number of Warrant Shares issuable upon exercise of this Warrant in accordance with the terms of this Warrant by means of a cash exercise rather than a cashless exercise.

Pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, the Company may sell the Purchaser up to 200 additional shares of Preferred Stock and warrants to purchase up to 6,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock. Initially, the Company would have the option to require Purchaser to purchase up to these additional Two Hundred (200) Preferred Shares and associated Warrants at a Subsequent Closing in the event that written certification ("Certification Notice") shall have been received by the Company from a federally licensed testing facility reasonably acceptable to Purchaser, evidencing that four (4) motors incorporating the Company's technology have been comprehensively tested in accordance with applicable NEMA, ANSI and IEEE standards and that the results of these tests meet or exceed the minimum requirements for certification under those standards; that those same four (4) motors incorporating the Company's technology have passed tests with respect to (i) IEEE 112 in Methods E, E1, F or F1 with a maximum horsepower of 4,000 for F or F1, (ii) sound pressure testing to IEEE 85 and NEMA MG1 20 standards, (iii) bearing temperature testing, (iv) speed versus torque/current testing, (v) polarization index testing per IEEE 45 standards, and (vi) IEEE 112 Method B for full efficiency; and that testing evidences an improvement in power density of at least Twelve Percent (12.00%) compared to the same motor not incorporating HPEV technology. The terms were subsequently changed in April (See below). In the event the Company shall not have received the Certification Notice within one hundred eighty (180) calendar days after the date of the initial Closing Date, Purchaser shall have a twelve (12) month option, exercisable during such period at its sole discretion by delivery of written notice to the Company, to purchase the additional Two Hundred (200) Preferred Shares and associated Warrants in a Subsequent Closing to be held within seven (7) days of such notice.

In connection with the sale of the Preferred Stock and Warrants, the Company and the Purchaser entered into a Patent and Securities Agreement. Pursuant to the Patent and Security Agreement, the Company may, under certain circumstances, grant to the Purchaser a security interest in certain patents set forth in the Patent and Security Agreement.

Pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement (the "SPA") with Spirit Bear Limited ("Spirit Bear"), the agreement stipulated several covenants which were to occur prior to closing. The Company's Board of Directors, irrespective of the number of members, for three years after closing has to be composed of an even number of members of which at least 50% shall be designated by Spirit Bear. Additionally, the Bylaws were to be amended as agreed upon in the SPA. As of December 31, 2012 these items stated above had not yet been delivered in part because Spirit Bear had designated two of their three allotted nominees as of such date.

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

On February 6, 2013, the Company received a letter from Spirit Bear which stated that the Company was in default of the Stock Purchase Agreement. According to Spirit Bear, the Company had not acted promptly to make 50% of the board of directors Spirit Bear designees. In addition, Spirit Bear stated that the company had not amended its bylaws with respect to Special Meetings and Meeting Adjournments nor had it provided a certified copy of its Articles of Incorporation within 10 days of the closing of the Stock Purchase Agreement. Pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement with Spirit Bear Limited, ("Spirit Bear"), the bylaws relating to Special Meetings and Meeting Adjournments were amended verbatim with what was required in the agreement effective February 20, 2013. Jay Palmer and Carrie Dwyer were appointed to the board of directors on the same date and Donica Holt was appointed to the board of directors on March 7, 2013.

On February 20, 2013, the Board of Directors voted to decrease the milestone prices of the five options to purchase one million shares that would be granted to the President, Mr. Banzhaf, assuming the respective milestone prices are achieved. The milestone stock prices were reduced to \$2.00, \$3.00, \$4.00, \$4.50 and \$5.00 for 20 consecutive trading days each. These milestone stock prices were reduced from \$2.00, \$3.00, \$5.00, \$7.50 and \$10.00. Once the stock has traded at or above these prices for 20 consecutive trading days, Mr. Banzhaf has the right to exercise an option to purchase 1,000,000 shares of common stock at the closing price on the first day after the stock has traded for 20 consecutive days at or above each milestone stock price. These options expire one year after Mr. Banzhaf has been terminated without cause.

The board also granted Judson Bibb an option to purchase 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock, at a purchase price of par value or \$0.001 per share. The options were to expire one year after Mr. Bibb has been terminated without cause. The options could be exercised on a cashless basis.

Despite electing two new board members at the first board meeting subsequent to the date the SPA was closed, the Company received another letter from counsel to Spirit Bear on March 7, 2013 indicating that the Company was still in default of its obligations under the SPA and the compensation authorized by the Board on February 20, 2013 (as disclosed in the Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 26, 2013) was self-dealing and resulted in the anti-dilution provision provided for in the SPA.

On March 21, 2013, the Company and Judson Bibb signed an agreement rescinding the options granted.

On March 24, 2013, the Company and Ted Banzhaf signed an agreement rescinding the decrease in the milestone price of the five options to purchase one million shares as well as the cashless exercise thereof awarded to the President.

On April 12, 2013, the Company and Spirit Bear Limited reached agreement regarding the settlement of allegations that the Company did not perform certain obligations pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement dated December 14, 2012 with Spirit Bear, and with respect to certain actions taken by the Company with respect to providing compensation to its management. Spirit Bear agreed to discharge the Company from all claims Spirit Bear may have had as well as to forgo all actions of any kind related to those claims which existed on or prior to April 12, 2013. Both parties also agreed that the signing of the agreement did not constitute an admission of wrongdoing or liability. To satisfy the allegations, the Company and Spirit Bear agreed to amend the Certificate of Designation to provide that each share of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock can be converted into 50,000 shares of common stock and have the voting rights equal to 50,000 shares.

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

The Company and Spirit Bear also agreed to change the terms of the option provided to Spirit Bear in the Securities Purchase Agreement. The new language provides that the Company can sell up to 200 additional preferred shares and warrants to Spirit Bear or other qualified investors designated by Spirit Bear, if before December 14, 2013, the Company's technology incorporated in (i) three motors or alternators or (ii) two motors and one auxiliary mobile power system is comprehensively tested in accordance with applicable standards and the results of those tests meet or exceed minimum requirements for certification under those standards. If the milestones are not met prior to such date, Spirit Bear retains its right to purchase 200 additional preferred shares and warrants until December 14, 2014.

Common Stock

The Company has 100,000,000 common shares and 15,000,000 preferred shares authorized and 44,085,441 common and 200 preferred shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2013.

On April 1, 2011, 1,100,000 common shares valued at \$0.70 per share as of the date of the agreement were issued to Brian Duffy in exchange for his consulting services.

On March 29, 2011, the Company (under the name Z3 Enterprises) entered into a Share Exchange Agreement to acquire 100 shares, constituting all of the issued and outstanding shares of HPEV Inc. in consideration for the issuance of 22,000,000 shares of Company common stock. For accounting purposes, the acquisition of HPEV by the Company has been recorded as a reverse acquisition of a public company and recapitalization of the Company based on factors demonstrating that HPEV represents the accounting acquirer.

On April 4, 2011, 21,880,000 shares out of the 22,000,000 shares of common stock were issued to Timothy Hassett, Quentin Ponder, Mark Hodowanec and Darren Zellers. The remaining 120,000 shares were issued on December 14, 2011 to Quentin Ponder and Darren Zellers.

Prior to the reverse merger, the Company had 23,956,690 common shares outstanding. Due to the recapitalization of the Company with HPEV, the shares were deemed issued as of April 15, 2011 as part of the reverse merger and recapitalization. The value of the shares was based on the net asset value of the Company as of April 15, 2011, the date the merger was deemed closed.

On May 11, 2011, 1,823,185 common shares valued at \$0.75 per share as of the date of the agreement were issued to Capital Group Communication, Inc. in exchange for investor relations services covering a period of twenty four-months valued at \$1,367,389.

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

On October 21, 2011 Judson Bibb, Director received 5,000,000 shares from Phoenix Productions and Entertainment Group, Inc.(PPEG), a shareholder of the Company's common stock. This stock transfer was deemed to serve as compensation for services performed for the Company in previous periods. The shares were valued based on the market closing price of the Company's common stock as of October 21, 2011, date shares were transferred, resulting in a value of \$2,650,000. The shares were subsequently transferred back to PPEG on April 13, 2012.

On October 31, 2011 stock options to purchase 200,000 shares at \$0.55 were issued to The Crone Law Group, these options were issued in order to satisfy a penalty services rendered and payments defrayed. These options were valued at \$108,420 using a Black-Sholes valuation model.

On November 1, 2011, the Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 150,000 shares of restricted common stock to an accredited investor in exchange for \$50,000 in financing.

On February 11, 2012, the Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 1,000,000 shares of restricted common stock to Lagoon Labs, LLC in exchange for consultations with management as well as providing investor communications and public relations, with an emphasis on digital and social media, for 12 months. The shares were issued on March 23, 2012.

On February 17, 2012 an additional 83,350 shares belonging to IFMT, Inc. were returned to the transfer agent and canceled. The shares were originally issued as part of the Usee transaction which was subsequently terminated. Prior to the reverse merger with HPEV, Inc. the Company entered into an acquisition agreement with Usee, Inc. and Usee CA, Inc. Upon further due diligence investigation the Company cancelled the agreement and all the shares were required to be returned.

On April 5, 2012, a Certificate of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation was filed with the Nevada Secretary of State noting the increase in authorized common stock to 100,000,000 shares.

On April 13, 2012, Judson Bibb returned the 5,000,000 shares he had received from Phoenix Productions and Entertainment Group (PPEG) back to PPEG resulting in a reversal of the expense in the quarter ending March 31, 2012, as such the Company recognized a gain due to the return of shares of \$2,650,000.

On June 8, 2012, the Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 26,666 shares of restricted common stock valued at \$0.75 totaling \$20,000 to Wayne Wilcox of Geartech Heavy Duty in lieu of payment for work performed on a component of the initial hybrid conversion vehicle. The Board of Directors also authorized the issuance of 10,000 shares of restricted common stock valued at \$0.50 to an accredited investor in exchange for \$5,000 in funding.

A number of warrants were also included in the Securities Purchase Agreement. (See below under Warrants and Options)

On December 21, 2012, pursuant to the Debt Settlement Agreement, \$911,894 outstanding under the PPEG Loan Agreement was forgiven. The debt forgiveness was accounted for as contributed capital as PPEG was a significant shareholder. In additions, the Debt Holders also agreed to deposit 4,676,000 shares of common stock in escrow. Upon the filing of a registration statement with the SEC, 3,676,000 shares were to be canceled and returned to treasury. The

remaining 1,000,000 shares will be purchased by the Company or a nominee of the Company at \$0.40 per share at the rate of \$10,000 per month commencing within 90 days after HPEV achieves \$1,000,000 in gross revenues for products or services from business operations. PPEG and Action Media will divide the \$400,000 on a pro rata basis based on each company's respective amount of debt forgiven. Pursuant to the Debt Settlement Agreement, 4,676,000 were removed from outstanding and classified as held in escrow in the amount of \$39,469 based on the historical value of shares.

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

Pursuant to the Debt Settlement Agreement signed with Phoenix Productions and Entertainment Group, Action Media Group and Spirit Bear Limited signed on December 11, 2012, 3,676,000 shares of common stock that were being held in escrow were cancelled on January 14, 2012. That left 1,000,000 shares remaining in escrow and a total of 43,970,411 shares of common stock outstanding.

Pursuant to a debt settlement with the Crone Law Group, on February 13, 2013, the Board of Directors approved the issuance of 25,000 shares of restricted common stock to Mark Crone, the owner of the law group, to satisfy an outstanding balance of \$30,975.

NOTE 6 – WARRANTS AND OPTIONS

Warrants

On June 4, 2012, the Company issued a warrant for 303,569 shares of common stock to McMahon Serepca, LLP with an exercise price of \$0.275. The vesting period on these grants was immediate. The value of these warrants were estimated by using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: expected life of 2.5 years; risk free interest rate of 0.62%; dividend yield of 0% and expected volatility of 225%. To account for such grants to non-employees, we recorded the issuance as interest expense in the amount of \$99,229.

On August 6, 2012, the Company issued a warrant for 303,569 shares of common stock to McMahon Serepca, LLP with an exercise price of \$0.39. The vesting period on these grants was immediate. The value of these warrants was estimated by using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: expected life of 2.5 years; risk free interest rate of 0.62%; dividend yield of 0% and expected volatility of 218%. To account for such grants to non-employees, we recorded the issuance as interest expense in the amount of \$110,029.

On November 9, 2012, the Company issued a warrant for 303,569 shares of common stock to McMahon Serepca, LLP with an exercise price of \$0.18. The vesting period on these grants was immediate. The value of these warrants was estimated by using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: expected life of 2.5 years; risk free interest rate of 0.62%; dividend yield of 0% and expected volatility of 280%. To account for such grants to non-employees, we recorded the issuance as interest expense in the amount of \$72,748.

In April, May, June and July of 2012, Spirit Bear Limited made cash advances for and funded loans to the Company in the total amount of \$186,222, creating direct financial obligations of the Company. On August 8, 2012, The Company and Spirit Bear reached a definitive agreement concerning the terms of the loans, including the Company's obligations to repay Spirit Bear within 180 days from each date of funding, and the Company's obligation to issue warrants to Spirit Bear to purchase 3.5714 shares of common stock per dollar of consideration provided by Spirit Bear, subject to certain adjustments, at the per share price of \$.35, as partial consideration for the loans. The warrants granted to Spirit Bear totaled 665,374 shares. The value of these options was estimated by using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: expected life of 2 years; risk free interest rate of 0.33%; dividend yield of 0% and expected volatility of 250%. These options were valued at \$622,522 and the aggregate value was capitalized as financing cost and has been amortized and charged to financing cost expense in the amount of \$622,522 as of December 31, 2012.

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

In the event payment was not made within 90 days of the receipt of each loan, the Company was required to provide penalty warrants.

On December 14, 2012, the penalty warrants for all four loans owed to Spirit Bear totaled 819, 223. The value of these options was estimated by using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: expected life of 2 years; risk free interest rate of 0.62%; dividend yield of 0% and expected volatility of 245%. These options were charged to interest expense in the amount of \$197,413 as of December 31, 2012.

On December 14, 2012, the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Spirit Bear pursuant to which it sold to Spirit Bear (i) 200 shares of the Company's Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (the "Preferred Stock") and (ii) warrants to purchase an aggregate of 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.35 per share (subject to adjustment as provided in the warrant); 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.50 per share (subject to adjustment as provided in the warrant); and 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise of \$0.75 per share (subject to adjustment as provided in the warrant). The aggregate purchase price for sale of the Preferred Stock and warrants was \$500,000, of which \$313,777 was paid in cash and \$186,222 was paid by cancelation of \$186,222 in outstanding indebtedness held by Spirit Bear. The warrants may be exercised on a cashless basis.

The following is a summary of the status of all of the Company's stock warrants as of March 30, 2013 and changes during the three months ended on that date:

	Number of Warrants	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Life (Years)
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	8,395,004	\$ 0.29	3.71
Granted	8,395,004	\$ 0.48	3.71
Exercised	-	\$ 0.00	-
Cancelled	-	\$ 0.00	-
Outstanding at March 31, 2013	8,395,004	\$ 0.48	3.71
Exercisable at March 31, 2013	8,395,004	\$ 0.48	3.71

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

Options

On October 31, 2011 stock options to purchase 200,000 shares at \$0.55 were issued to The Crone Law Group, these options were issued in order to satisfy a penalty services rendered and payments defrayed. The value of these options was estimated by using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: expected life of 3 years; risk free interest rate of 0.41%; dividend yield of 0% and expected volatility of 289%. These options were valued at \$108,420 and charged to professional fees.

Mark Crone elected to convert the options by cashless exercise. Therefore, on February 13, 2013, the Board of Directors also approved the issuance of 90,000 shares of common stock to the Crone Law Group.

On February 20, 2013, the board of directors granted Judson Bibb an option to purchase 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock, at a purchase price of par value or \$0.001 per share. The options expire one year after Mr. Bibb has been terminated without cause. The options can be exercised on a cashless basis.

Also, on February 20, 2013, the Board of Directors voted to decrease the milestone prices of the five options to purchase one million shares that would be granted to the President, Mr. Banzhaf, assuming the respective milestone prices are achieved. The milestone stock prices were reduced to \$2.00, \$3.00, \$4.00, \$4.50 and \$5.00 for 20 consecutive trading days each. These milestone stock prices were reduced from \$2.00, \$3.00, \$5.00, \$7.50 and \$10.00. Once the stock has traded at or above these prices for 20 consecutive trading days, Mr. Banzhaf has the right to exercise an option to purchase 1,000,000 shares of common stock at the closing price on the first day after the stock has traded for 20 consecutive days at or above each milestone stock price. These options expire one year after Mr. Banzhaf has been terminated without cause.

On March 21, 2013, the Company and Judson Bibb signed an agreement rescinding the options granted.

On March 24, 2013, the Company and Ted Banzhaf signed an agreement rescinding the decrease in the milestone price of the five options to purchase one million shares as well as the cashless exercise thereof awarded to the President.

NOTE 7 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

As a consequence of the reverse merger, HPEV took over the obligations of Z3E consisting of accounts payable of \$11,637 (non-related party) and a note payable balance of \$313,687 due to Phoenix Productions and Entertainment Group, Inc., a significant shareholder of the Company's common stock. The terms of the loan agreement do not require payment of interest and repayment of the loan is to begin 15 days after receipt of initial revenues related to projects funded by PPEG loans. Maturity of the loan was perpetual or upon mutual agreement of both parties or if conditions were breached or in default.

Subsequent to the reverse merger, Phoenix Productions and Entertainment Group, Inc. made loans to the Company of \$598,207 leaving a balance due as of December 11, 2012 of \$911,894. On that date, the Company signed a debt settlement agreement and the loan was forgiven. (See Note 8).

For the past year, the Company has completed a number of transactions with Spirit Bear Limited. A complete history of the transactions can be found in Notes 5 and 6.

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

During the period from inception (March 24, 2011) to March 31, 2013, Judson Bibb, Director, advanced \$22,910 in interest free, unsecured, due on demand funds. As of March 31, 2013, \$22,910 remains due and payable.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2013. Quentin Ponder, Director and Chief Financial Officer, had a \$500 interest-free, unsecured, due-on-demand loan which had been carried over from the fourth quarter. As of March 31, 2013, the \$500 remains due and payable.

NOTE 8 – NOTES PAYABLE

On September 7, 2010, the Company entered into a loan agreement with Phoenix Productions and Entertainment Group (“PPEG”) for an interest-free loan up to \$1,000,000 (the “PPEG Loan Agreement”). Up to December 11, 2012, the Company borrowed an aggregate of \$911,894 under the PPEG Loan Agreement which was used for the Company’s operations, potential acquisitions, acquisition of intellectual property rights and HPEV, Inc.

On March 3, 2012, the Company entered into a loan agreement with Action Media Group, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company (“Action Media”) for \$500,000 but under which it only borrowed \$250,000. The terms of the loan included 3% annual interest and payment of principal and interest to begin upon a mutual agreed upon date in the future. Maturity of the loan was perpetual or upon mutual agreement of both parties or if conditions were breached or in default.

In April, May, June and July of 2012, Spirit Bear Limited made cash advances for and funded loans to the Company in the total amount of \$186,222, creating direct financial obligations of the Company. On August 8, 2012, the Company and Spirit Bear reached a definitive agreement concerning the terms of the loans, including the Company’s obligations to repay Spirit Bear within 180 days from each date of funding, and the Company’s obligation to issue warrants to Spirit Bear to purchase 3.5714 shares of common stock per dollar of consideration provided by Spirit Bear, subject to certain adjustments, at the per share price of \$.35, as partial consideration for the loans. The warrants granted to Spirit Bear totaled 665,374 shares. The value of these warrants was estimated by using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: expected life of 2 years; risk free interest rate of 0.33%; dividend yield of 0% and expected volatility of 250%. These options were valued at \$622,523 and the aggregate value was capitalized as financing cost and has been accreted and charged to financing cost expense in the amount of \$622,523 as of December 31, 2012.

In the event payment is not made within 90 days of the receipt of each loan, the Company was required to provide penalty warrants. On December 14, 2012, the penalty warrants for all four loans owed to Spirit Bear totaled \$819,223. The value of these warrants was estimated by using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: expected life of 2 years; risk free interest rate of 0.62%; dividend yield of 0% and expected volatility of 245%. These options were charged to interest expense in the amount of \$197,413 as of December 31, 2012.

On December 14, 2012, the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Spirit Bear pursuant to which it sold to Spirit Bear 200 shares of the Company’s Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (the “Preferred Stock”) and 3 sets of warrants to purchase an aggregate of 2,000,000 shares of the Company’s common stock at the respective exercise prices of \$0.35, \$0.50 and \$0.75 per share (See Note 5). The aggregate purchase price for sale of the Preferred Stock and warrants was \$500,000, of which \$313,777 was paid in cash and \$186,222 was paid by cancelation of \$186,222 in outstanding indebtedness held by Spirit Bear.

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

On December 11, 2012, the Company concluded negotiations on a debt settlement agreement by and among the Company, PPEG, Action Media (PPEG and Action Media collectively, the "Debt Holders") and Spirit Bear. To help induce Spirit Bear to invest in the Company, the Debt Holders agreed to forgive debt of \$1,161,894 and accrued interest owed to them by the Company (the "Debt") and release the Company of (i) any future liability or claim related to the Debt, (ii) any future liability or claim related to shares of any class of equity in the Company, and (iii) any obligation or liability of the Company.

Pursuant to the Debt Settlement Agreement, \$911,894 outstanding under the PPEG Loan Agreement was forgiven. Action Media agreed to forgive all outstanding debt and accrued interest under the loan. The Debt Holders also agreed to deposit 4,676,000 shares of common stock in escrow. Upon the filing of a registration statement with the SEC, 3,676,000 shares were to be canceled and returned to treasury. The remaining 1,000,000 shares will be purchased by the Company or a nominee of the Company at \$0.40 per share at the rate of \$10,000 per month commencing within 90 days after HPEV achieves \$1,000,000 in gross revenues for products or services from business operations. PPEG and Action Media will divide the \$400,000 on a pro rata basis based on each company's respective amount of debt forgiven.

Pursuant to the Debt Settlement Agreement signed with Phoenix Productions and Entertainment Group, Action Media Group and Spirit Bear Limited signed on December 11, 2012, 3,676,000 shares of common stock that were being held in escrow were canceled on January 14, 2012. That left 1,000,000 shares remaining in escrow and a total of 43,970,411 shares of common stock outstanding.

NOTE 9 - INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

As of March 31, 2013, HPEV Inc.'s wholly owned subsidiary was assigned the rights to five patents and twelve patents-pending with two remaining to be assigned. The issued patents and the majority of the patents-pending relate to the utilization of heat pipes to remove heat from various types of electric motors, generators and a brake resistor. By removing heat in a more efficient manner, the heat pipes provide lower costs, improved performance benefits and longer product life. Another patent-pending is an electric load assist that makes it possible for plug-in hybrid electric vehicles to utilize power in any combination from the gas or diesel engine and an electric motor installed on-board.

The direct cost (since inception) for legal services related to the patents was \$87,964. This amount was capitalized as an asset.

NOTE 10 - PREPAID EXPENSE

On May 11, 2011, 1,823,185 common shares valued at \$0.75 per share were issued to Capital Group Communication, Inc. in exchange for investor relations services valued at \$1,367,389. The services are for a 24 month term. As of March 31, 2013, the prepaid balance is \$74,120.

HPEV, INC.
(a Nevada corporation)
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

On March 23, 2012, 1,000,000 shares of restricted common stock valued at \$1.07 per were to Lagoon Labs, LLC in exchange for consultations with management as well as providing investor communications and public relations, with an emphasis on digital and social media. The services are for a 12 month term. As of March 31, 2013, the prepaid balance is \$0.

NOTE 11 - COMMON STOCK RECEIVABLE

On September 2, 2011, the Company and Richard Glisky signed a Rescission Agreement (“the Agreement”) to rescind an Agreement for the Acquisition of Harvest Hartwell CCP, LLC (HHCCP), a Michigan limited liability company. The Agreement for Acquisition was originally signed on September 30, 2010.

As called for in the Rescission Agreement, the Company assigned 100% of its interests in HHCCP to the previous owner, Richard Glisky. Richard Glisky, in turn, assigned 1,920,000 shares of Company common stock back to the Company which the Company’s intended to have cancelled. On February 23, 2012, 1,920,000 shares of the Company common stock was returned to the Company and canceled. Consequently, the Company had an \$8,000,000 stock receivable removed from its books.

NOTE 12 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On April 12, 2013, the Company and Spirit Bear Limited reached agreement regarding the settlement of allegations that the Company did not perform certain obligations pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement dated December 14, 2012 with Spirit Bear, and with respect to certain actions taken by the Company with respect to providing compensation to its management. Spirit Claim claimed, among others, that such actions triggered the anti-dilution protection provided to Spirit Bear in the Securities Purchase Agreement. Spirit Bear agreed to discharge the Company from all claims Spirit Bear may have had as well as to forgo all actions of any kind related to those claims which existed on or prior to April 12, 2013. Both parties also agreed that the signing of the agreement did not constitute an admission of wrongdoing or liability.

To satisfy the allegations, the Company and Spirit Bear agreed to amend the Certificate of Designation to provide that each share of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock can be converted into 50,000 shares of common stock and have the voting rights equal to 50,000 shares. Previously, each share of preferred stock was convertible into 20,000 shares of common stock and had the voting rights equal to 20,000 shares.

The Company and Spirit Bear also agreed to change the terms of the option provided to Spirit Bear in the Securities Purchase Agreement. The new language provides that the Company can sell up to 200 additional preferred shares and warrants to Spirit Bear, or other qualified investors designated by Spirit Bear, if before December 14, 2013, the Company’s technology incorporated in (i) three motors or alternators or (ii) two motors and one auxiliary mobile power system are comprehensively tested in accordance with applicable standards and the results of those tests meet or exceed minimum requirements for certification under those standards. If the milestones are not met prior to such date, Spirit Bear retains its right to purchase 200 additional preferred shares and warrants until December 14, 2014.

* * * * *

ITEM 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Our Management's Discussion and Analysis contains not only statements that are historical facts, but also statements that are forward-looking (within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Forward-looking statements are, by their very nature, uncertain and risky. These risks and uncertainties include international, national and local general economic and market conditions; demographic changes; our ability to sustain, manage, or forecast growth; our ability to successfully make and integrate acquisitions; raw material costs and availability; new product development and introduction; existing government regulations and changes in, or the failure to comply with, government regulations; adverse publicity; competition; the loss of significant customers or suppliers; fluctuations and difficulty in forecasting operating results; changes in business strategy or development plans; business disruptions; the ability to attract and retain qualified personnel; the ability to protect technology; and other risks that might be detailed from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Although the forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Statement reflect the good faith judgment of our management, such statements can only be based on facts and factors currently known by them. Consequently, and because forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, the actual results and outcomes may differ materially from the results and outcomes discussed in the forward-looking statements. You are urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made by us in this report and in our other reports as we attempt to advise interested parties of the risks and factors that may affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations and prospects.

The following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations of the Company is based upon, and should be read in conjunction with, its unaudited and unreviewed financial statements and related notes elsewhere in this Form 10-Q, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Background

We have not generated any revenues to date. We expect to begin to generate revenues in the last quarter of 2013, and anticipate that we will be cash flow positive in the first quarter of 2014.

We have developed and intend to commercialize thermal dispersion technologies in various product platforms, and an electric load assist technology around which we have designed a vehicle retrofit system. In preparation, we have applied for trademarks for some of our technologies and their acronyms including 'Totally Enclosed Heat Pipe Cooled', 'TEHPC', 'Electric Load Assist' and 'ELA'.

We believe that our proprietary technologies, including our patent portfolio and trade secrets, can help make increase the efficiency and affect manufacturing cost structure in several large industries beginning with motor/generator and fleet vehicles.

The markets for products utilizing our technology include consumer, industrial and military markets, both in the U.S. and worldwide. Our initial target markets include those involved in moving materials and moving people, such as:

- Motors/Generators,
- Mobile Auxiliary Power
 - Compressors,
- Turbines (Wind, Micro),
 - Bearings,
- Electric Vehicles: rail, off-highway, mining, delivery, refuse,
 - Brakes/rotors/calipers,
 - Pumps/fans,
 - Passenger vehicles: auto, bus, train, aircraft,
 - Commercial vehicles: SUV, light truck, tram,
 - Military: boats, Humvee, truck, aircraft, and
- Marine: boats ranging in size from 30 feet to 120 feet and beyond.

Our Technologies

Our technologies are divided into three distinct but complementary categories: heat dispersion technology, mobile electric power and electric load assist technology.

Heat Dispersion Technology

Heat is an undesirable byproduct of anything that moves, especially motors and generators. Historically, a large percentage of the cost of manufacturing any motor has been in the technology necessary to remove heat during its operation to prevent failure and increase power. Heat can destroy motors, generators and many other types of machinery, and the energy necessary to remove heat can limit output.

Our thermal dispersion technology removes heat via patented heat pipe technologies. Heat pipes have been utilized for more than 50 years, but we have a proprietary process and design technology that makes our heat pipes usable in many applications that have previously not been effective. The key is that our heat pipes move heat in ANY direction in a system that requires little or no maintenance and can be applied to almost any motor, generator or industrial product. This allows for more efficient, smaller, and higher output machines, resulting in cooler motors and a longer operating life.

Our patent portfolio covers the application and integration of our heat pipes into various cooling schemes for enhanced heat removal in motors, generators and numerous other industrial applications including marine, aviation and military. We believe that our technologies have the potential to deliver power output increases and cost reductions, depending on the machine type or motor/generator size, as follows:

1. Increase power density of current motor platforms by 20% to 50%,
2. Reduce total product cost by 12.5% to 25%,
3. Increase motor and generator efficiency by 1% to 2%, and
4. Increase motor and generator life.

We believe that products produced with our technologies have the potential to deliver operational savings as well, including:

1. Savings from reduced maintenance costs,
2. Savings from the standardization of multiple platforms down to a single platform,
3. Savings from the standardization of drawings and data around existing platforms,
4. Savings from the ability to use standard designs and standard insulation systems vs. custom, and
5. Savings from the ability to integrate and produce on existing production lines with no retooling and no additional or minimum capital investment.

Our revenue model for the heat dispersion technology is to license the technology in exchange for royalties.

We have entered into product development and commercialization agreements with manufacturing partners. We anticipate that we will begin to enter into license agreements, subject to successful completion of our initial product development, when the product is ready to be manufactured on the licensee's regular production line, after all development and testing has been completed.

We currently expect to begin to generate revenues from our heat dispersion technology business in the last quarter of 2013.

Mobile Electric Power

A proprietary gearing system the Company developed for our electric load assist (see below) can also be used to power an on-board generator with the result that commercial vehicles no longer need to tow a mobile generator to a work site. Management believes it has uncovered an immediate need for on-board, continuous generation of up to 200 kW of power to remote jobsites as well as the mobile generation of emergency power in the event of an outage or disaster. Consequently, we intend to offer an on-board generator installation kit as a stand-alone (Auxiliary Mobile Power) and as part of a hybrid conversion (the Ultimate Work Truck).

We currently expect to begin to generate revenues from our heat dispersion technology business in the last quarter of 2013.

Electric Load Assist (ELA) Technology

We have also developed proprietary Electric Load Assist (ELA) technology. The technology is the centerpiece of our vehicle retrofit system (separate and apart from our heat pipe technology and heat dispersion product development partnerships), which also relies on the benefits of heat removal and is protected by patents and patents-pending.

With ELA, a vehicle engine does not have to work as hard, as some of the work that was done by the engine is now performed by an electric motor running in parallel. The vehicle still drives and feels the same, and our ELA controller allows full acceleration and braking control; however, the engine runs much more efficiently and burns significantly less fossil fuel. The ELA controller enables the vehicle operator to determine the amount of load assist during operation, ranging from all-fuel to all-electric. We believe that our ELA system will provide a significant difference and improvement from, and competitive advantage over, current market offerings such as the Toyota Prius. If either the electrical system or the internal combustion engine fails, the ELA vehicle can operate on the remaining system. In current market offerings, if either system fails, the vehicle fails.

Our ELA technology is compatible with any manufacturer as well as any power source, including traditional gasoline/diesel engines, compressed natural gas, batteries and fuel cells. We also believe that our technology will have a wide range of marine, aviation, industrial and military applications.

Initially, our ELA system business will implement a simple version of its technology for On-board mobile auxiliary power and we hope to generate revenue from transport companies and other businesses which own and/or manage fleets of Class 2, 3, 4 and 6 or light to medium-duty trucks. Our revenue model for the ELA technology will be to license the technology in exchange for royalties based on fuel savings.

We expect to begin to generate revenues from our ELA technology business in the first half of 2014.

The Company also plans to incorporate composite heat pipes in vehicle components which generate heat such as brake calipers, resistors and rotors. The new brake components should be incorporated in the initial conversion vehicle and the Company has granted two racing teams a one-year non-exclusive, revocable license to test its patented cooling technology's performance in their racing cars.

Going Concern

As a result of our financial condition, we have received a report from our independent registered public accounting firm for our financial statements for the period from March 24, 2011 (Inception) to December 31, 2012 that includes an explanatory paragraph describing the uncertainty as to our ability to continue as a going concern. In order to continue as a going concern we must effectively balance many factors and begin to generate revenue so that we can fund our operations from our sales and revenues. If we are not able to do this, we may not be able to continue as an operating company.

Results of Operations

Comparison of Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012

Revenues

The Company did not generate any revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2013, or for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Total Operating Expenses

Our operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 were \$485,081, as compared to operating expenses of \$818,903 for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The operating expenses of \$485,081 consisted of consulting fees (\$389,448), professional fees (\$42,394), research and development (\$2,000), and general and administrative expenses (\$51,239) as we negotiated and signed agreements with electric motor manufacturers to commercialize our cooling technologies, and continued our efforts to raise capital. The majority of the decrease in operating expenses was due to decreased spending on research and development as we conserved cash and focused on technologies that have already been tested and that should produce revenues in the shortest time period. Professional fees as well as general and administrative costs increased in the first quarter of 2013 as we negotiated agreements to commercialize our technologies and sought potential investments in our company.

The sub-total of our operating expenses for all of 2012 were \$2,702,311. The crediting of a director's stock compensation expense of \$2,650,000 (which was rescinded on April 13, 2012) resulted in a final total showing \$52,311 in operating expenses for the year.

Net loss

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, we had a net loss of \$485,081. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, we incurred a net loss of \$818,903, which represents a decrease of approximately 41%. Our net loss for 2012 was \$696,357 including the director's stock compensation expense.

Our loss per share during the first quarter of 2013 was nominal. During the first quarter of 2012, it was nominal and for the year it was \$0.08.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, because we did not generate any revenues, we had negative operating cash flows. Our cash on hand as of March 31, 2013 was \$13,469, which came primarily from the issuance of notes payable to a related party. As a result, we have significant cash needs. We anticipate that these needs will be satisfied through the sale of our securities until such time as our cash flows from operations will satisfy our cash flow needs.

Our cash decreased by \$181,252 as of March 31, 2013 as compared to December 31, 2012 because of the proceeds from the issuance of promissory notes to related parties. Our total current assets, and total assets, decreased by \$485,932 and \$471,550, respectively, during the same period, part because of our increase in cash, but also as a result of prepaid expenses that increased by \$765,574. These prepaid expenses cover services by investor communication and public relations companies.

Our current liabilities decreased by \$8,473 as of March 31, 2013 as compared to December 31, 2012 primarily because of a decrease in accounts payable-related party of \$23,055 and a decrease in notes payable - related party of \$11,200 which more than offset an increase of accounts payable of \$25,782. Our total liabilities decreased by the same \$8,473 for the same reasons.

In order to repay our obligations in full or in part when due, we will be required to raise significant capital from other sources. There is no assurance, however, that we will be successful in these efforts.

Cash Requirements

Our cash on hand as of March 31, 2013 was \$13,469, which came primarily from the issuance of notes payable to a related party. As a result, we have significant cash needs.

The Company believes that it will need approximately \$1.5 million to fund its expenses and execute its business plan over the next twelve months. There can be no assurance that additional capital will be available to us or available on terms favorable to us. If additional funds are raised by the issuance of our equity securities, such as through the issuance and exercise of warrants, then existing stockholders will experience dilution of their ownership interest. If additional funds are raised by the issuance of debt or other equity instruments, we may be subject to certain limitations in our operations, and issuance of such securities may have rights senior to those of the then existing holders of common stock. If adequate funds are not available or not available on acceptable terms, we may be unable to fund and develop our business.

Going Concern Consideration

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern, which contemplates the recoverability of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company incurred net losses of approximately \$6,305,653 during the period from March 24, 2011 (Date of Inception) through March 31, 2013 and has not fully commenced its operations. The Company is still in the development stages, raising substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to generate future profitable operations and/or to obtain the necessary financing from shareholders or other sources to meet its obligations and repay its liabilities arising from normal business operations when they come due. At this time, the Company is seeking additional sources of capital through the issuance of debt, equity, or joint venture agreements, but there can be no assurance the Company will be successful in accomplishing its objectives.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements.

ITEM 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

ITEM 4 Controls and Procedures

The Company's management does not expect that its internal controls over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. Control systems, no matter how well conceived and managed, can provide only reasonable assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake.

Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as of March 31, 2013. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, based on the material weaknesses discussed below, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act were recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Act Commission's rules and forms and that our disclosure controls are not effectively designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Management's Quarterly Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act.

Internal control over financial reporting is defined under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our CEO and CFO and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and
- Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because we have only four officers, the Company's internal controls are not effective for the following reasons, (1) there are no entity level controls because of the limited time and abilities of the four officers, and (2) there is no separate audit committee. As a result, the Company's internal controls have an inherent weakness which may increase the risks of errors in financial reporting under current operations and accordingly are not effective as evaluated against the criteria set forth in the Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation, our management concluded that our internal controls over financial reporting were not adequate as of March 31, 2013.

Even though there are inherent weaknesses in our internal controls, management has taken steps to minimize the risks. An outside accountant reconciled our bank accounts and determined they were accurate. The uses of the cash from

the investment by Spirit Bear was accounted for by the outside accountant and were found to be appropriate under GAAP. The change of auditors occurred too late for a formal review of the first quarter 10-Q, however, an accountant with experience with public companies reviewed the financials and agreed they fairly represented the financial results of the first quarter. Management expects that second quarter 10-Q will be reviewed by our new auditors.

(c) Remediation of Material Weaknesses

To remediate the material weakness in our documentation, evaluation and testing of internal controls we hope to engage a third-party firm to assist us in remedying this material weakness. Because of financial restraints, we have not started our remediation as of the date hereof.

(d) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Except as noted above, there were no changes in our internal controls over the financial reporting during our fourth quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 Legal Proceedings

We are not a party to or otherwise involved in any legal proceedings.

In the ordinary course of business, we are from time to time involved in various pending or threatened legal actions. The litigation process is inherently uncertain and it is possible that the resolution of such matters might have a material adverse effect upon our financial condition and/or results of operations. However, in the opinion of our management, other than as set forth herein, matters currently pending or threatened against us are not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

ITEM 1A Risk Factors

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

ITEM 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

On February 13, 2013, we issued 25,000 shares of restricted common stock to settle a portion of an outstanding balance with the Crone Law Group for legal services provided to us. The shares were issued to the owner of the law firm, Mark Crone. On February 27, 2013, 90,000 shares of unrestricted common stock were issued to the Crone Law Group as a result of the exercise of an option for 200,000 shares originally granted on October 31, 2011.

The issuance was conducted in reliance upon an exemption from registration provided under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Purchases of equity securities by the issuer and affiliated purchasers

None.

ITEM 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

ITEM 4 Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

ITEM 5 Other Information

None.

ITEM 6 Exhibits

(a) Exhibits

- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
- 32.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 USC, Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 USC, Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HPEV, Inc.

Dated: May 20, 2013

/s/ Timothy Hassett
By: Timothy Hassett
Its: Chief Executive Officer

37
