CBRE HOLDING INC Form 8-K August 19, 2002

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): August 7, 2002

CBRE HOLDING, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

DELAWARE

000-32983

94-3391143

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

(Commission File Number)

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

355 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 3100, Los Angeles, California

90071

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(Zip Code)

(213) 613-3226

Registrants Telephone Number, Including Area Code

(Former Name or Former Address, if Changed Since Last Report)

This Current Report on Form 8-K is filed by CBRE Holding, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the Company), in connection with the matters

described herin.

Item 9. Regulation FD Disclosure
On August 7, 2002, the Company conducted its second quarter earnings conference call, as follows:
August 7, 2002 9:30 a.m. PDT
Moderator Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for standing by and welcome to CB Richard Ellis Second Quarter Earnings call. At this time all lines are in a listen-only mode. Later there will be a question and answer session with instructions given at that time. As a reminder, today s conference call is being recorded.
I would now like to turn the conference call over to our host, Chief Financial Officer, Ken Kay. Please go ahead.
K. Kay Thank you for joining us today for CB Richard Ellis s Second Quarter 2002 Earnings conference call. My name is Ken Kay and I m the new Chief Financial Officer for the company, and it s my pleasure to welcome you to our call. Just by way of some background, formerly I was employed as the Chief Financial Officer of Dole Food Company, which was a \$4.5 billion consumer products company headquartered in Southern California. Then prior to that I was also Chief Financial Officer of several other organizations, several product groups within Universal Studios, Playmates Incorporated, System Ed, and Ameron International, and I started my career out at PricewaterhouseCoopers.
I have an undergraduate degree in accounting and Master s degree in finance and marketing from the University of Southern California and I m a certified public accountant within California.
On this call we ll be covering our results for the second quarter

of 2002. Participating on the call with me this morning will be Ray Wirta, our Chief Executive Officer; Brett White, our President; Ron Platisha, our Executive Vice President of Finance; and Debbie Fan, Treasurer. Before we get started I want to mention that we may make a number of forward-looking statements during the course of this call. These statements should be considered as estimates only and actual results may ultimately differ from these estimates. CB Richard Ellis undertakes no obligation to update or publicly revise any of the forward-looking statements that you may hear today. Please refer to the company s annual report and Form 10-K and our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for a

full discussion of the risks and other factors that may impact any estimates that you may hear today.

Executive Officer.	With that I d like to turn the call over to Ray Wirta, our Chief
for those on the call we ll get to the Q&A. Ken is a three-week course our long-lived Ron Platisha and Debbie with us to help fi	Thank you, Ken, and a formal welcome on the call to the company. By the way, a wonder with the company, so we hope you keep that in mind and we have of ill out the question period. We welcome you to the call. I will make a few brief in more detail about our operations. You have access to our earnings into on this call will focus on the key elements of the release.
	eal estate service sector, particularly in the U.S., continues to be slow to recover. to step up to capital spending. Until recently, buyers and sellers of real estate
year-over-year comparison basis, with substantially improved poweak link for the last 18 months, is improving. Although we are	ormance was stronger than our first quarter in both absolute dollars and on a erformance at the EBITDA line. Our U.S. leasing business, which has been our e still down from 2001, our monthly transaction counts for this activity, which improvement in the first and second quarter relative to previous year-to-year
The improvement in transaction counts is primarily due to an incident the transaction business is improving, it is still sub par. The good	crease in real estate activities by small- to medium-sized companies. Although od
	2

news is that our other business lines are performing well compared to plan and last year. Also, we are performing better than our public report competition, which includes Trammell Crow, Jones Lang, and Insignia, indicative that we are picking up market share despite the challenging times. That summarizes our overall view. With that, I d like to ask Brett to talk about our operating performance.

B. White Thanks, Ray. As Ray mentioned, the U.S. sales and leasing business is now doing better than last year. We re beginning to see some meaningful pickups in transaction velocity, which I ll describe in more detail in a moment. Aside from selected markets within North America, we re starting to see signs that indicate a recovery is now well underway within our business.

Sale and lease transactions, as you may recall, started the year considerably down from last year. In fact, in the first quarter of this year, U.S. transactional revenue was below prior year by about 23%. However, for the second quarter these numbers improved significantly and transaction revenue was down only 6% compared to prior year.

Interestingly, and a trend we ve been seeing since February of this year, the transaction counts, which is simply the number of sale and lease transactions we did each month, the transaction counts in July of this year were up about 24% over the transaction count from July of last year, so meaningful improvement there. Despite these lower revenues, EBITDA for the North American transaction business was almost 50% better than last year due to the benefits of our cost reduction programs.

In Europe we re also seeing good performance. Revenue for the second quarter was up approximately 15% over prior year and EBITDA was up almost 80% over prior year, again due to both expense reductions and a good revenue base in place.

Asia Pacific is a similarly positive story. Revenue for the second quarter was 4% above last year and EBITDA was up over 50% over prior year, again due to primarily cost reductions and a small increase in revenue.

You may have recently seen our announcements regarding changes in the New York Tri-State region. As I m sure all of you are aware, this region is the largest and most important commercial real estate market in the world. As the leader in the commercial real estate service industry we have strengthened our foundation in this market by hiring star caliber talent to lead the Tri-State region. Our new president of this region, Mary Ann Tighe, is widely known in New York as one of the most successful and well-respected real estate professionals in the business.

Patrick Murphy, who also joined us from Mary Ann s previous employer, Insignia, will be leading our New Jersey operation. Like Mary Ann, Patrick is one of the finest real estate professionals in the business. Securing this leadership talent in the New York Tri-State region signals a new era for us both in the Tri-State area and as a firm as a whole. It s a crucial piece of the puzzle that will ensure our dominant position in the industry worldwide.

We believe that these two hires and the teams that they have attracted along with them from other firms now have in place, on a run rate basis, about \$50 million in additional revenues for the New York Tri-State region over what we had in our organic business just two months ago.

A few key points I d like to mentioned before I turn the call over to Ken. First, in the second quarter every meaningful business and geography within the firm beat last year s EBITDA. For the second quarter the company beat original EBITDA plan and last year. Year to date most units are at or ahead of last year and/or plan on EBITDA. This was done despite no sales of mortgage funds, which were worth about \$5 million in EBITDA last year, and reduced sales of mortgage servicing rights, which would have been worth about \$3 million last year as compared to this year.

We continue to focus on our organic growth with the strategic hires we ve mentioned in New York and our previously described hires in Germany. Second quarter revenue, while flat, picked up relative to first quarter revenue, which was down 18%.

Finally, as I mentioned before, our U.S. transaction velocity continues to pick up relative to last year and now year to date, which suggests that as rents and values stabilize, transaction revenue will pick up meaningfully over the next six to 12 months.

3

With that I ll turn the call over to Ken.

K. Kay Thanks, Brett. Moving right to cost and expenses, since Brett covered the revenues. Commission expense for the quarter of \$130.8 million was 2% lower than the prior year as a result of lower transaction revenue. Commission expense as a percent of revenue decreased to 46% in the current quarter compared to 47% in the prior year.
Operating expenses for the current quarter totaled \$120.7 million, \$10.5 million or 8% lower than the prior year. The company s restructuring of its operations, cost reduction programs, and improved operational processes, both within the United States and international activities, have resulted in an approximate year to date expense savings of \$33 million compared to the prior year. EBITDA for the quarter totaled \$33.4 million. This was an improvement of \$12.9 million or 63% compared to the prior year. The improvement is due to the company s significant reduction of operating expenses, which has offset the revenue shortfalls.
Looking at the balance sheet, cash is lower than its traditional peak at year-end as a result of our bonus structure. Bonuses are accrued throughout the year, but are mostly paid in the first quarter and thus a sizeable amount of cash flow occurs then. Consequently, the interim period cash will be lower than the balance maintained at year-end.
The warehouse receivable represents loans committed for purchase by Freddie Mac. These loans are funded via a non-recourse credit line and the receivable and related debt balances will fluctuate based upon pending loan closings. Other current assets, which includes accounts receivable, has declined primarily because the receivables balance is lower than at year-end due to the cyclical revenue level.
Fluctuations in goodwill and deferred taxes are attributable to a true-up of these line items as a result of the work performed on implementing Statement of Financial Accounting Standards number 141 during the second quarter of 2002. Current liabilities decreased by \$70 million due to the payment of bonuses and related payroll taxes earlier in the year and as a result of having a lower income tax liability.
The revolving credit facility has \$30 million of outstanding borrowings at June 30, 2002, which is consistent with the utilization of cash earlier in the year, as discussed previously.

We have completed all of our covenant calculations and as of June 30, 2002 we are in compliance. The interest coverage ratio was the tightest calculation, but we were able to exceed the minimum EBITDA requirement by \$1.5 million. We continue to run covenant calculation models for the balance of the year and although the results of those computations are tight, the models show that we will be in compliance for the third

and fourth quarters.

	In conclusion, while challenges remain, we feel better about the business today the U.S. economy and our business has been slower than we would have hoped, but tight cost structure, even modest improvement in revenue will result in meaningful
	inue to manage the company tightly to ensure our ability to meet our financial obligations. \$130 million plus or minus, which would be about a 12% improvement over last year s
I would like to turn the call back over to our moderator,	Rod, to open it up for questions and answers. Rod.
Moderator Management. Please go ahead.	Our first question will come from the line of Tom Shandell with Golden Tree Asset
T. Shandell have done in the past.	I was wondering if you could give us the breakout of the revenue line items, as you
R. Wirta property management. Are you referring to that?	I can t recall, Tom, when you talk about breakdown. We have sales, leases,
T. Shandell terms of lease, sales, etc.	The presentation that you ve had both in your press releases and in your 10-Q s, in
	4

R. Wirta you and it will certainly show up in some form in our Q. I a flavor and then you can respond with a question. You re	I could either give you the percentage variance or we could certainly send it to don t know how you want to handle it, because there s quite a bit of data. I ll give you talking about the second quarter or year to date?
T. Shandell	Second quarter.
about 2% at \$18 million. Our loan origination and servicin	Second quarter leasing was down 15% at roughly \$95 million. Sales were up ment fees were effectively flat, up by about 3.3% at \$29 million. Valuation was up g activity was down about \$500,000, for a total of \$19.3 million, so it was within n, which was up about 40%. I m off one here. Let me go back.
	\$86 million; property and facility management, \$29 million. I missed consulting. o appraisal fees were \$19 million. Loan origination and servicing was \$17 million and lion.
Overall revenue was flat. The big down was leasing, which investment sales, which as I said was up 19% or \$14 millio	n was down \$17 million, but we made it up in the other businesses, particularly n.
	guess the biggest line items in your revenues are those two, the leasing and the sales, aplain what s going on there? Was there one large or several large particular
improvement there, is we ve observed more transactions of	No. We do about 80 transactions a day. So in a typical month, based on ly it s a lot of deals. But what s occurred in the sale area, why we have a meaningful ccurring. Primarily it appears that buyers are now stepping up to the pricing that f time. I think that until recently, the buyers were hoping that the real estate business d those prices would come down.
particularly the stocks these days there s a lot of financial a days we ve seen a lot more deals get closed and that s bec	rio, but with interest rates so low and real estate being really a safe haven compared to appetite, particularly among pension funds, to buy real estate. So during the last 60 ause again this gap between buyers and sellers has been closed, primarily because the differential. Since that s a negative number, I ll let Brett comment on that.
exclusively to a greatly reduced amount of capital spending	On the leasing business this is the same dynamic that we ve been talking about now The current downturn in the commercial real estate business is related almost by corporate occupiers of space. That translates into their inability to greatly expand picked up in a line item of leasing commissions. What you re seeing there is really a

very good focus on the only line of business we have that	has really been particularly negatively impacted by the current downtum.
T. Shandell basically the same profitability for you?	Is there a difference in profitability for you guys between leasing and sales, or are they
you would see that it goes back and forth every year. So	Two things to know about leasing and sales: if we were to give you - and please to give you a 20-year time series on the split between lease and sale revenues in the firm, one year we might see 40% of it in leasing and 60% in sales, next year it could flip. set cycles through you re going to see movement in these revenues.
In terms of profitability within the two businesses, they r say, 10% to 15%, depending on the year and depending o	re generally about the same. These businesses run at margins that run anywhere from, in the type of deals that we re doing.

R. Wirta transactions as compared to lease transactions commission rate on sales is lower than the commission rate of the commission rate	But our compensation per transaction would be meaningfully higher in the sale . A typical sale is larger, and because of that, our commissions would be higher, even though mmission rate on leasing.
T. Shandell	What you re saying is the revenue per ticket, if you will, is greater for a sale?
R. Wirta	Yes.
	I was wondering if you could compare some of the balance sheet items, not to fically I m looking at current liabilities, as you ve mentioned them in this presentation, going up, red to the March quarter and just what s behind that, since we don t have the detail.
	I don t think we have, right in hand, the March end of quarter, which would be you could we have done some analysis. Ron Platisha, you could comment just generally on whad make available to everybody on the call to compare with some further explanations.
	Your cash position will be fairly comparable between the end of the second quarter is roughly \$20 million at the end of March. The warehouse line will vary depending on the numbered out to Freddie Mac yet, but there is a corresponding line of credit under liabilities that you ill see that Ken talked about earlier.
receivables. Those receivables would be fairly	nillion that you re seeing at the end of June includes approximately \$137 million of current y comparable to the balance at March. At December they were roughly \$156 million, so you can arge revenue generation in the month of December, normally your December receivable balance is
R. Wirta	You have the numbers I presume, Tom.
T. Shandell	I m looking at them. I actually spread them so I could compare them.
R. Wirta you the rest.	Anything in particular that jumps out we can deal with that specifically, then get

T. Shandell from \$107 to \$100. Normally as you proceed during the it didn t. So I was wondering if there was something in	But I guess deferred comp, as it s on the press release, stayed constant roughly. It went the year I would expect that deferred comp to go up because you pay once a year and here in that I don t understand.
stock market. So as you have fluctuations in the market	Actually what the two components that affect deferred comp obviously is the out also a lot of those funds, a significant portion of them, are tied to investments in the st, the balances due to employees can either increase or decrease based on market all for the first six months of this year, you actually see a decline in both the deferred compourance policies in relation to those DCP balances.
R. Wirta program, which has nothing to do with our bonuses or a which have been set aside.	Tom, let me just check. I presume you re aware that this is our deferred comp accruals or things of that nature. These are monies already earned by our employees,
T. Shandell	Bonuses are then in current liabilities. Is that correct?
R. Wirta	Yes.
Moderator Boston. Please go ahead.	The next question will come from the line of Larry Taylor with Credit Suisse First
L. Taylor your outlook on capex and co-investment with some of beginning of the year?	I had a couple of things for you guys. I wonder if you could update us in terms of your investment partners this year. Where does that stand versus where we were at the
	6

R. Wirta Ron, why don t you talk about the co-investment and give a little summary. I ll talk briefly about the capex. Our capex budget for the year was about \$15 million and we re running well behind that at the moment. That compares to roughly \$22 million we spent on capex for all of last year. Again, we budgeted less and we re spending less.
As far as co-investment, we are actually, in terms of our cash coming back from co-investment, behind our first six-month schedule. We expect to make that up and in fact beat that full-year target by year-end, because as some deals were delayed in closing coming out of Japan as well as a couple of deals here in the U.S., because of that earlier buyer/seller spread that s now pretty much gone away.
We ll finish out the year ahead in terms of cash that s recaptured. In terms of investment going out the door on new co-investment deals, we put out a bit more in the \$3 to \$4 million range year to date than we expected per our plan. I can t accurately predict the finite dollar the next six months, but we ll probably end up the year having put out maybe \$2 or \$3 million more than we otherwise would have expected. But we think that s going to be balanced by recapturing \$2 or \$3 million more than we expected to get back.
L. Taylor What s that net amount likely to be then?
R. Wirta What would the swing be, Ron, between the two?
R. Platisha Our plan was that we would do about \$19 million in co-investment outlays and about \$19 million of co-investment returns. It looks like that s about what we ll track at by the end of the year.
R. Wirta So it would be a zero net.
L. Taylor I m surprised. I thought you guys would have been putting some, are you slower putting out some of the investments for some of the large sales that you made a year or two ago, or has that already taken place?
R. Wirta I don't think we re any slower. We expected to put out, as Ron mentioned, about \$20 million this year. That was our plan. Our plan was a little less than that, but we ll end up maybe a couple of million more and we re going to get back a couple million more.
R. Platisha What Ray was alluding to earlier is through June the plan was that we would have approximately \$6 million in outlays, and our actual outlays were more in the \$9 million range. On returns we expected approximately \$11 million in returns and actually had about \$3 million, but we will make up for this in the second half.

R. Wirta our co-investment going out the door, it s as we expected, may	Maybe I m just confused, Larry, as to if I heard you right. In terms of the pace of the a little ahead of that schedule.
L. Taylor	Free cash flow, if you have it this year, what would your intent be to do with that?
R. Wirta	What do you mean <u>if</u> we have it?
L. Taylor	When you have free cash flow this year.
	We re going to invest it as appropriate; so we have choices of stepping up choices of doing acquisitions. What we re most responsive to, both now and in ving a bit more of a safety margin than we currently have. So I think our primary ur safety margin in the covenant coverage.
	You alluded to it, but lastly, can you update us on the acquisition landscape and obviously being too specific, but at least philosophically, explaining what you re?
	Philosophically our point of view - and this has been our experience in past larger companies end up picking up market share and higher quality employees. ss of your lesser competitors and make some very price-effective acquisitions, and they re worn out by their challenges in the current business.
	7

With that in mind, we continue to talk to all of our major competitors, the big names as well as numerous small regional competitors. There	e was
a transaction talked about, in passing, a couple of months ago. We couldn t get pricing we considered appropriate to present to our investor	rs and
bankers so we simply passed on it, because we are disciplined in that regard.	

There tend to be opportunities. There s nothing active at the moment, but we are watching carefully. You might have noticed on a comparative basis now Trammell Crow, as I mentioned Jones Lang, and Insignia have all published their second quarter results. Looking at the EBITDA line we were, in fact, the only firm among those that actually had EBITDA growth compared to last year, and we had a lot of EBITDA growth as you know. They were all down in EBITDA and flat to down in revenue. We re looking better, even than our bigger competition. That means we re picking up market share, no doubt about that.

If we found an acquisition of any size that we thought was of merit to consider, we have existing equity investors who are prepared to write the appropriate equity check such that any acquisition would de-lever us slightly, from a lending perspective. That would be one of our objectives as part of any such acquisition.

So you can be assured that if we do something it ll be thoughtful and it ll be well financed from an equity perspective, and also by our actions on the deal that we most recently worked on, we re not in any rush to do a deal unless it makes good sense to the company.

L. Taylor flow from operations yet?

One last little item, then I ll give somebody else a chance. Do you guys have cash flow from operations yet?

R. Platisha Actually we have not put that together yet.

What s your timing?

R. Platisha It s going to be within the next few days.

We ll get that out.

Moderator The next question is a follow-up from the line of Tom Shandell with Golden Tree

Asset. Please go ahead.

maybe it s not right - I always look at it on a percentage of	wo questions. The first one is if I look at commissions - and maybe this is right and lease and sale revenues, on the theory that those are what the commissions are tions have fallen from the first quarter. By my math, I had around 75% for the first ring if there was something that shelping that along.
B. White know where your confusion is. It s maybe revenue mix. Le comments. Ken, give us the change of commission percentage.	The commission percentages you re referencing don t tie to our practice. I think I et me give you the correct numbers. In fact, Ken referenced them in his opening ages.
K. Kay	It was 46% for the quarter versus 47% last year.
	It was 47% last year. So first, looking at the actual numbers what is apparent is f transaction revenues this year. Why is that? Let me answer that first. That s part revenue mix; it s part size of deals. We actually, this year, are doing more lat that means is that these commission rates are a bit lower.
	company against total revenues you ll get a bit lost, because you re comparing a ants of revenue against a whole bucket of revenue, some of which have no
businesses. When I just compare commission expense to the	res. I look at lease and sale revenues, which I assume are both commission ose revenue items, there was about a four percentage point drop quarter to quarter. In 70% to 75%, but honestly, I never understood why it did vary.
B. White because I $$ m not sure I can follow the numbers specifically.	Getting back to the question here. Let me just talk about the overall dynamic, The overall dynamic is that the commission rate that we are paying out on
	8

the sale and lease business that we re doing is relatively stable this year compared to prior year. It is nominally down. That is simply due to the types of transactions that came in the door the second quarter of this year versus the type that came in the door the second quarter of last year.
By the way, that may change in the third quarter, maybe up a percent in the third quarter. Our projections for the year are tight and we expect that the commission rate we ll pay out this year on all transaction revenues will be a bit less than the commission rate we paid out last year on all transaction revenue.
T. Shandell Is there any subjectivity? In other words, is this an accrual-based concept, or partially, so that you can accrue less if you think that it makes sense?
R. Wirta I think that s part of the nub of the confusion or understanding. Maybe, Ron, you could outline what our accrual policy is and why it s the way it is.
R. Platisha What ends up happening, Tom, is that off of each and every voucher, the individual producers that are participating in that transaction are listed on the deal.
R. Wirta A voucher is the commission billing in effect.
R. Platisha Based on what their normal commission split is. On top of that there is a bonus that producers earn based on how much productivity they have for the entire year. So there is some variableness based on the fact that if earnings for top producers are less than they had been in previous years, their bonus percentage will be less. So it does have a tendency of driving down the overall rate.
I think, Tom, the other issue is that where you re looking at total commission expense and comparing that to just the sale and lease revenues, what you re missing is that our loan origination business has a corresponding commission expense to it. So does appraisal and consulting. What you re doing is you re comparing total commission expense that covers various lines of business, all against the transaction revenues. That s why it turns out to be 70% in total.
T. Shandell So I guess what I can infer from this is, though, based on lower volume in the lease business, maybe some of these bonus accruals that are based on volume are being estimated to be lower this year?

That s correct.

R. Platisha

R. Wirta What we might do, Tor and Brett could speak offline. We ll be happy to answer some more questions here obwould like to make sure that when we finish with you, you have a firm footing on exact questions appropriately.	
T. Shandell Changing subjects basically require any kind of commitments on your part in terms of minimum payments to these	180 degrees. What you re doing in New York, does that celebrity producers?
B. White The answer is yes . L We provide these producers, generally speaking, the same commission split percentage to switch firms, they are provided, generally, a signing bonus and that signing bonus is payment guarantees.	
One type would typically be some amount of upfront cash that would be paid either in a of payments over a period of time. A second type of monetary inducement is, for a lim commission level that s higher than our standard. The way that would work is we mig \$100,000 signing bonus. and the way we would do that is say We Il pay you a 70% that split until the difference is worth the \$100,000.	ited period of time, allowing them to participate at a ht say to a \$10-million producer We re going to give you
Then a third way that we provide inducements, many times, is provide them a loan, who must achieve over a sum period of time. This allows us to ratably expense that portion their performance supports the payment that we make.	
In all the hires that we made in New York, most of those three components were used peconomic transactions for very meaningful future business.	pretty much universally. We think these are very sound
9	

Moderator	No further question	ons have come into	queue. Please continue.
R. Wirta us directly as well. Tom, we ll follow-up with you spec			n the call and again, as always, please call any of d. Thanks for attending the call. Bye.
Moderator very much for your participation and for using AT&T Ex			nclude your conference call for today. Thanks you w disconnect.
Signature			
Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange undersigned thereunto duly authorized.	Act of 1934, the reg	istrant has duly ca	used this report to be signed on its behalf by the
Date: August 7, 2002		CBRE HOLDING, INC.	
		By:	/s/ KENNETH J. KAY Kenneth J. Kay Chief Financial Officer
	10		