CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP INC Form 10-Q November 08, 2005

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

ý QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2005

0 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Transition Period from

to

Commission File Number 001 32205

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

100 N. Sepulveda Boulevard, Suite 1050 El Segundo, California (Address of principal executive offices)

(**310**) **606-4700** (Registrant s telephone number, including area code) 94-3391143 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

90245 (Zip Code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \acute{y} No o

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No \circ .

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No \circ .

The number of shares of Class A common stock outstanding at October 31, 2005 was 73,219,447.

FORM 10-Q

September 30, 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements
	Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2005 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2004
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 (Unaudited)
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 (Unaudited)
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
<u>Item 2.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
<u>Item 3.</u>	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk
<u>Item 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures
PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	Legal Proceedings
<u>Item 6.</u>	Exhibits
Signatures	

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Dollars in thousands, except share data)

	September 30, 2005 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2004
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 284,571	\$ 256,896
Restricted cash	5,962	9,213
Receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$17,716 and \$14,811 at September 30,		
2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively	355,882	394,062
Warehouse receivable	146,480	138,233
Prepaid expenses	32,904	26,586
Deferred tax assets, net	25,657	23,122
Property held for sale	37,188	
Other current assets	18,341	15,583
Total Current Assets	906,985	863,695
Property and equipment, net	133,439	137,703
Goodwill	841,449	821,508
Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$99,946 and \$95,373 at		
September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively	109,919	113,653
Deferred compensation assets	142,690	102,578
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated subsidiaries	98,255	83,501
Deferred tax assets, net	83,998	78,471
Other assets, net	63,966	70,527
Total Assets	\$ 2,380,701	\$ 2,271,636
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 193,009	\$ 185,877
Compensation and employee benefits payable	181,249	150,721
Accrued bonus and profit sharing	210,698	271,020
Income taxes payable	19,346	
Short-term borrowings:		
Warehouse line of credit	146,480	138,233
Debt related to property held for sale	29,216	
Other	16,983	21,736
Total short-term borrowings	192,679	159,969
Current maturities of long-term debt	11,911	11,954
Other current liabilities	17,807	29,547
Total Current Liabilities	826,699	809,088
Long-Term Debt:		
111/4% senior subordinated notes, net of unamortized discount of \$1,702 and		
\$2,337 at September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively	1(2)0(7	205 022
	162,967	205,032
Senior secured term loan	256,400	265,250
934% senior notes	130,000	130,000
Other long-term debt	2,673	602
Total Long-Term Debt	552,040	600,884
Deferred compensation liability	166,463	160,281
Pension liability	25,625	27,871
Other liabilities	100,400	107,639
Total Liabilities	1,671,227	1,705,763

Commitments and contingencies		
Minority interest	6,568	5,925
Stockholders Equity:		
Class A common stock; \$0.01 par value; 325,000,000 shares authorized; 73,144,131 and		
71,031,429 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004,		
respectively	731	710
Additional paid-in capital	537,868	513,801
Notes receivable from sale of stock	(121)	(433)
Accumulated earnings	188,103	66,174
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(23,675)	(20,304)
Total Stockholders Equity	702,906	559,948
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 2,380,701 \$	2,271,636

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		2005		2004		2005		2004
Revenue	\$	744,198	\$	574,999	\$	1,954,627	\$	1,566,907
Costs and expenses:								
Cost of services		380,943		300,711		987,680		797,544
Operating, administrative and other		255,706		213,226		720,657		643,016
Depreciation and amortization		11,665		12,340		32,853		40,001
Merger-related charges				4,040				25,574
Operating income		95,884		44,682		213,437		60,772
Equity income from unconsolidated								
subsidiaries		3,628		4,826		21,648		10,120
Interest income		413		1,262		5,916		4,099
Interest expense		13,840		15,509		40,812		53,934
Loss on extinguishment of debt		624		17,066		7,386		21,075
Income (loss) before provision for income								
taxes		85,461		18,195		192,803		(18)
Provision for income taxes		28,525		6,300		70,874		1,690
Net income (loss)	\$	56,936	\$	11,895	\$	121,929	\$	(1,708)
Basic income (loss) per share	\$	0.77	\$	0.17	\$	1.65	\$	(0.03)
Weighted average shares outstanding for basic income (loss) per share		74,177,337		71,446,359		73,834,169		66,006,231
Diluted income (loss) per share	\$	0.74	\$	0.16	\$	1.59	\$	(0.03)
	÷		Ŧ	3.10	*	1.07	¥	(0.00)
Weighted average shares outstanding for diluted income (loss) per share		76,777,271		75,184,418		76,444,808		66,006,231

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended Septem 2005	ber 30, 2004
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income (loss) \$	121,929 \$	(1,708)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	32,853	40,001
Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs	4,703	10,094
Amortization and write-off of long-term debt discount	635	3,274
Deferred compensation deferrals	18,852	12,764
Write-off of impaired investments		2,990
Gain on sale of servicing rights and other assets	(3,534)	(5,789)
Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries	(21,648)	(10,120)
Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated subsidiaries	13,307	8,142
Provision for doubtful accounts	3,644	2,304
Deferred income taxes	3,289	(132)
Decrease in receivables	28,215	37,465
Increase in deferred compensation assets	(40,112)	(3,072)
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets	(11,192)	9,132
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses	3,865	(22,185)
Decrease in compensation and employee benefits payable and accrued bonus and profit	-,	(,- •••)
sharing	(25,125)	(41,843)
Increase (decrease) in income tax payable	26,925	(7,861)
(Decrease) increase in other liabilities	(24,725)	6,946
Tenant concessions received	2,428	10,632
Other operating activities, net	2,471	1,305
Net cash provided by operating activities	136,780	52,339
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Capital expenditures	(24,788)	(38,087)
Acquisition of businesses including net assets acquired, intangibles and goodwill, net of	(24,788)	(38,087)
cash acquired	(29,137)	(16,784)
Investment in property held for sale	(65,774)	(10,784)
Contributions to unconsolidated subsidiaries, net of capital distributions		(12, 249)
	(6,520) 3,023	(13,348) 5,607
Proceeds from the sale of servicing rights and other assets		
Proceeds from sale of property held for sale	28,289	50,401
Decrease in restricted cash	3,152	5,040
Other investing activities, net	1,844	2,258
Net cash used in investing activities	(89,911)	(4,913)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from revolver and swingline credit facility		186,750
Repayment of revolver and swingline credit facility		(186,750)
Proceeds from debt related to property held for sale	53,543	
Repayment of debt related to property held for sale	(23,310)	(42,048)
Repayment of senior secured term loan	(8,850)	(17,500)
Repayment of euro cash pool loan and other loans, net	(1,519)	(9,809)
Repayment of 93/4% senior notes		(70,000)
Repayment of 1114% senior subordinated notes	(42,700)	(21,631)

Repayment of 16% senior notes		(38,316)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net		135,000
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	6,584	7,991
Payment of deferred financing fees	(318)	(3,942)
Other financing activities, net	(744)	(1,466)
Net cash used in financing activities	(17,314)	(61,721)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	29,555	(14,295)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	256,896	163,881
Effect of currency exchange rate changes on cash	(1,880)	(1,661)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 284,571	\$ 147,925
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 33,066	\$ 56,846
Income taxes, net of refunds	\$ 37,224	\$ 11,462

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

1. Nature of Operations

CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. (formerly known as CBRE Holding, Inc.), a Delaware corporation (which may be referred to in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as we, us, and our), was incorporated on February 20, 2001 and was created to acquire all of the outstanding shares of CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc. (CBRE), an international commercial real estate services firm. Prior to July 20, 2001, we were a wholly owned subsidiary of Blum Strategic Partners, L.P. (Blum Strategic), formerly known as RCBA Strategic Partners, L.P., which is an affiliate of Richard C. Blum, a director of CBRE and our company.

On July 20, 2001, we acquired all of the outstanding stock of CBRE pursuant to an Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated May 31, 2001, among CBRE, Blum CB Corp. (Blum CB) and us. Blum CB was merged with and into CBRE with CBRE being the surviving corporation (the 2001 Merger). In July 2003, our global position in the commercial real estate services industry was further solidified as CBRE acquired Insignia Financial Group, Inc. We have no substantive operations other than our investment in CBRE.

On June 15, 2004, we completed the initial public offering of shares of our Class A common stock (the IPO). In connection with the IPO, we issued and sold 7,726,764 shares of our Class A common stock and received aggregate net proceeds of approximately \$135.0 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses payable by us. Also in connection with the IPO, selling stockholders sold an aggregate of 16,273,236 shares of our Class A common stock and received net proceeds of approximately \$290.6 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions. On July 14, 2004, selling stockholders sold an additional 229,300 shares of our Class A common stock to cover over-allotments of shares by the underwriters and received net proceeds of approximately \$4.1 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions. Lastly, on December 13, 2004, we completed a secondary public offering that provided further liquidity for some of our stockholders. We did not receive any of the proceeds from the sales of shares by the selling stockholders on June 15, 2004, July 14, 2004 and December 13, 2004.

We offer a full range of services to occupiers, owners, lenders and investors in office, retail, industrial, multi-family and other commercial real estate assets globally under the CB Richard Ellis brand name. Our business is focused on several service competencies, including strategic advice and execution assistance for property leasing and sales; forecasting; valuations; origination and servicing of commercial mortgage loans, facilities and project management and real estate investment management. We generate revenues both on a per project or transaction basis and from annual management fees.

2. Insignia Acquisition

On July 23, 2003, pursuant to an Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated May 28, 2003 (the Insignia Acquisition Agreement), by and among us, CBRE, Apple Acquisition Corp. (Apple Acquisition), a Delaware corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of CBRE, and Insignia Financial Group, Inc. (Insignia), Apple Acquisition was merged with and into Insignia (the Insignia Acquisition). Insignia was the surviving corporation in the Insignia Acquisition and at the effective time of the Insignia Acquisition became a wholly owned subsidiary of CBRE.

The aggregate purchase price for the acquisition of Insignia was approximately \$329.5 million, which includes: (1) \$267.9 million in cash paid for shares of Insignia s outstanding common stock, at \$11.156 per share, (2) \$38.2 million in cash paid for Insignia s outstanding Series A preferred stock and Series B preferred stock at \$100.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends, (3) cash payments of \$7.9 million to holders of Insignia s vested and unvested warrants and options and (4) \$15.5 million of direct costs incurred in connection with the acquisition, consisting mostly of legal and accounting fees.

⁶

The Insignia Acquisition gave rise to the consolidation and elimination of some Insignia duplicate facilities and redundant employees as well as the termination of certain contracts as a result of a change of control of Insignia. As a result, we have accrued certain liabilities in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 95-3, *Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination*. These remaining liabilities assumed in connection with the Insignia Acquisition consist of the following and are included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets (dollars in thousands):

	Liabili	ity Balance at			
	Deceml	ber 31, 2004	2	2005 Utilization	To be Utilized
Lease termination costs	\$	23,977	\$	(2,784)	\$ 21,193
Legal settlements anticipated		9,285		(1,549)	7,736
Severance		5,479		(3,516)	1,963
Costs associated with exiting contracts		1,395		(1,207)	188
	\$	40,136	\$	(9,056)	\$ 31,080

3. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the rules applicable to Form 10-Q and include all information and footnotes required for interim financial statement presentation, but do not include all disclosures required under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. All significant inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated, and certain reclassifications have been made to prior periods consolidated financial statements to conform to the current period presentation. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for the year ending December 31, 2005. The consolidated financial statements and notes to consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, which are as of and for the year ended December 31, 2004.

4. Stock-Based Compensation

Prior to 2003, we accounted for our employee stock-based compensation plans under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* and related Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) interpretations. Accordingly, compensation cost for employee stock options was measured as the excess, if any, of the estimated market price of our Class A common stock at the date of grant over the amount an employee was required to pay to acquire the stock.

In the fourth quarter of 2003, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* prospectively to all employee awards granted, modified or settled after January 1, 2003, as permitted by SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 123*. Awards under our stock-based compensation plans vest over four or five-year periods. Therefore, the cost related to stock-based employee compensation included in the determination of net income (loss) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 is less than that which would have been recognized if the fair value based method had been applied to all awards since the original effective date of SFAS No. 123.

In accordance with SFAS No. 123, we estimate the fair value of our options using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, which takes into account assumptions such as the dividend yield, the risk-free interest rate, the expected stock price volatility and the expected life of the options. As our Class A common stock was not freely tradeable on a national securities exchange or an over-the-counter market prior to the completion of the IPO, an

effectively zero percent volatility was utilized for all periods ending prior to the IPO. The dividend yield is excluded from the calculation, as it is our present intention to retain all earnings.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income (loss) and income (loss) per share if the fair value based method had been applied to all outstanding and unvested awards in each period (dollars in thousands, except share data):

	Three Mon Septem	 led	Nine Mont Septem	 d
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Net income (loss) as reported	\$ 56,936	\$ 11,895 \$	121,929	\$ (1,708)
Add: Stock-based employee compensation				
expense included in reported net income (loss), net of the related tax effect	960	114	1,884	220
Deduct: Total stock-based employee	200		1,001	
compensation expense determined under fair				
value based method for all awards, net of the				
related tax effect	(749)	(310)	(2,430)	(697)
Pro forma net income (loss)	\$ 57,147	\$ 11,699 \$	121,383	\$ (2,185)
Basic income (loss) per share:				
As reported	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.17 \$	1.65	\$ (0.03)
Pro forma	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.16 \$	1.64	\$ (0.03)
Diluted income (loss) per share:				
As reported	\$ 0.74	\$ 0.16 \$	1.59	\$ (0.03)
Pro forma	\$ 0.74	\$ 0.16 \$	1.59	\$ (0.03)

The weighted average fair value of options granted by us was \$17.23 and \$8.07 for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and \$16.90 and \$8.05 for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, utilizing the following weighted average assumptions:

	Three Months I September 3		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2005	2004	2005	2004	
Risk-free interest rate	4.02%	3.20%	3.99%	3.20%	
Expected volatility	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	30.00%	
Expected life	4 years	4 years	4 years	4 years	

Option valuation models require the input of subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Because our employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, we do not believe that the Black-Scholes model necessarily provides a reliable single measure of the fair value of our employee stock options.

5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

SFAS No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, requires disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Fair value is defined as the amount at which an instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties other than in a forced or liquidation sale. The fair value estimates of financial instruments are not necessarily indicative of the amounts we might pay or receive in actual market transactions. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash: These balances include cash and cash equivalents as well as restricted cash with maturities of less than three months. The carrying amount approximates fair value due to the short-term maturities of these instruments.

Receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts: Due to their short-term nature, fair value approximates carrying value.

Warehouse Receivable: Due to the short-term nature, fair value approximates carrying value. Fair value is determined based on the terms and conditions of funded mortgage loans and generally reflects the value of the Washington Mutual Bank, FA (WaMu) warehouse line of credit outstanding (See Note 9).

Short-Term Borrowings: The majority of this balance represents the WaMu warehouse line of credit. Due to the short-term maturities and variable interest rates of these instruments, fair value approximates carrying value (See Note 9).

 $11^{1}/_{4}$ % Senior Subordinated Notes: Based on dealers quotes, the estimated fair value of the 11¹/₄% senior subordinated notes was \$179.5 million and \$236.4 million at September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively. Their actual carrying value totaled \$163.0 million and \$205.0 million at September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively (See Note 9).

 $9^3/_4$ % Senior Notes: Based on dealers quotes, the estimated fair value of the 9_4 % senior notes was \$143.3 million and \$148.2 million at September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively. Their actual carrying value totaled \$130.0 million at September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 (See Note 9).

Senior Secured Term Loan & Other Long-Term Debt: Estimated fair values approximate respective carrying values because the substantial majority of these instruments are based on variable interest rates (See Note 9).

6. Restricted Cash

Included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, is restricted cash of \$6.0 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, which primarily consists of cash pledged to secure the guarantee of certain short-term notes issued in connection with previous acquisitions by Insignia in the United Kingdom (U.K.).

7. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for us and each of our segments (See Note 17 for a description of our segments) for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Americas	EMEA	Asia Pacific	Global Investment Management	Total
Balance at January 1, 2005	\$ 578,310 \$	202,160	\$ 7,381	\$ 33,657	\$ 821,508
Purchase accounting adjustments related to					
acquisitions	(8,149)	27,891	199		19,941
Balance at September 30, 2005	\$ 570,161 \$	230,051	\$ 7,580	\$ 33,657	\$ 841,449

Other intangible assets totaled \$109.9 million and \$113.7 million, net of accumulated amortization of \$99.9 million and \$95.4 million, as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively, and are comprised of the following (dollars in thousands):

	As of Septem Gross Carrying	Accumulated	As of Deceml Gross Carrying	Accumulated
	Amount	Amortization	Amount	Amortization
Unamortizable intangible assets				
Trademarks	\$ 63,700		\$ 63,700	
Trade name	19,826		19,826	
	\$ 83,526		\$ 83,526	
Amortizable intangible assets				
Backlog	\$ 72,661	\$ (72,399)	\$ 72,149	\$ (72,149)
Management contracts	26,583	(16,356)	27,486	(14,756)
Loan servicing rights	21,070	(7,187)	20,057	(5,786)
Other	6,025	(4,004)	5,808	(2,682)
	\$ 126,339	\$ (99,946)	\$ 125,500	\$ (95,373)
Total intangible assets	\$ 209,865	\$ (99,946)	\$ 209,026	\$ (95,373)

In accordance with SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*, trademarks of \$63.7 million were separately identified as a result of the 2001 Merger. As a result of the Insignia Acquisition, a \$19.8 million trade name was separately identified, which represents the Richard Ellis trade name in the U.K. that was owned by Insignia. Both the trademarks and the trade name have indefinite useful lives and accordingly are not being amortized.

The majority of the backlog represents the fair value of Insignia s net revenue backlog as of July 23, 2003, which was acquired as part of the Insignia Acquisition. This backlog consisted of the net commissions receivable on Insignia s revenue producing transactions, which were at various stages of completion prior to the Insignia Acquisition. This intangible asset was amortized as cash was received or upon final closing of these pending transactions. As of December 31, 2004, the backlog acquired as part of the Insignia Acquisition was fully amortized.

Management contracts are primarily comprised of property management contracts in the United States (U.S.), the U.K., France and other European operations, as well as valuation services and fund management contracts in the U.K. These management contracts are being amortized over estimated useful lives of up to ten years.

Loan servicing rights represent the fair value of servicing assets in our mortgage brokerage line of business in the U.S., the majority of which were acquired as part of the 2001 Merger. The loan servicing rights are being amortized over estimated useful lives of up to ten years.

Other amortizable intangible assets mainly represent other intangible assets acquired as a result of the Insignia Acquisition including an intangible asset recognized for other non-contractual revenue acquired in the U.S. as well as franchise agreements and a trade name in France. These other intangible assets are being amortized over estimated useful lives of up to 20 years.

Amortization expense related to intangible assets was \$2.0 million and \$4.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and \$5.7 million and \$15.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The estimated annual amortization expense for each of the years ended December 31, 2005 through December 31, 2009 approximates \$7.2 million, \$5.4 million, \$4.8 million, \$3.6 million and \$3.0 million, respectively.

8. Investments in and Advances to Unconsolidated Subsidiaries

in CBRE Realty Finance, which was approximately \$16.9 million as of September 30, 2005.

Investments in and advances to unconsolidated subsidiaries are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Combined condensed financial information for these entities is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Mon Septem	ed	
	2005		2004		2005		2004
Net revenue	\$ 128,770	\$	168,126	\$	326,090	\$	404,460
Operating income	\$ 6,565	\$	37,601	\$	47,053	\$	93,136
Net income	\$ 75,904	\$	51,039	\$	191,687	\$	125,138

Our Global Investment Management segment involves investing our own capital in certain real estate investments with clients. We have provided investment management, property management, brokerage and other professional services to these equity investees on an arm s length basis and earned revenues from these unconsolidated subsidiaries.

In June 2005, CBRE Realty Finance, Inc. (CBRE Realty Finance), a real estate investment trust, was formed and is managed by our wholly owned subsidiary, CBRE Melody (formerly known as L.J. Melody & Company). On June 9, 2005, we received 300,000 shares of restricted stock and an option to purchase 500,000 shares of restricted stock from CBRE Realty Finance that vest in three equal annual installments. The principal business activity of CBRE Realty Finance is to originate, acquire, invest in, finance and manage a diversified portfolio of commercial real estate-related loans and securities. As of September 30, 2005, CBRE Realty Finance had total assets of \$338.2 million and total equity of \$282.7 million. CBRE Realty Finance is a variable interest entity as defined in FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities* (FIN No. 46R). In accordance with FIN No. 46R, CBRE Realty Finance is not consolidated in our consolidated financial statements because we are not its primary beneficiary. Our maximum exposure to loss is limited to our equity investment

9. Debt

Since 2001, we have maintained a credit agreement with Credit Suisse First Boston (CSFB) and other lenders to fund strategic acquisitions and to provide for our working capital needs. On April 23, 2004, we entered into an amendment to our previously amended and restated credit agreement that included a waiver generally permitting us to prepay, redeem, repurchase or otherwise retire up to \$30.0 million of our existing indebtedness and provided for the refinancing of all outstanding amounts under our previous credit agreement as well as the amendment and restatement of our credit agreement upon the completion of our initial public offering. On June 15, 2004, in connection with the completion of our IPO, we completed the refinancing of all amounts outstanding under our amended and restated credit agreement and entered into a new amended and restated credit agreement which became effective in connection with such refinancing. On November 15, 2004, we entered into a first amendment to our new amended and restated credit agreement, which reduced the interest rate spread of our term loan and increased flexibility on certain restricted payments and investments. On May 10, 2005, we entered into a second amendment to our amended and restated credit agreement), which relaxed the mandatory prepayment clause of the initial credit agreement by permitting us to keep cash otherwise required to be used to pay down principal, so long as our leverage ratio is below 2.5 to 1.0.

Our current Credit Agreement includes the following: (1) a term loan facility of \$295.0 million, requiring quarterly principal payments of \$2.95 million beginning December 31, 2004 through December 31, 2009 with the balance payable on March 31, 2010; and (2) a \$150.0 million

revolving credit facility, including revolving credit loans, letters of credit and a swingline loan facility, all maturing on March 31, 2009. Our Credit Agreement also permits us to make additional borrowings under the term loan facility of up to \$25.0 million, subject to the satisfaction of customary conditions.

Borrowings under the term loan facility bear interest at varying rates based, at our option, on either LIBOR plus 2.00% or the alternate base rate plus 1.00%. The alternate base rate is the higher of (1) CSFB s prime rate or (2) the Federal Funds Effective Rate plus one-half of one percent. The potential increase of up to \$25.0 million for the term

loan facility would bear interest either at the same rate as the current rate for the term loan facility or, in some circumstances as described in the Credit Agreement, at a higher or lower rate. The total amount outstanding under the term loan facility included in the senior secured term loan and current maturities of long-term debt balances in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets was \$268.2 million and \$277.1 million as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at varying rates based at our option, on either the applicable LIBOR plus 2.00% to 2.50% or the alternate base rate plus 1.00% to 1.50%, in both cases as determined by reference to our ratio of total debt less available cash to EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement). As of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, we had no revolving credit facility principal outstanding. As of September 30, 2005, letters of credit totaling \$14.6 million were outstanding, which letters of credit primarily relate to our subsidiaries outstanding indebtedness as well as operating leases and reduce the amount we may borrow under the revolving credit facility.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement are jointly and severally guaranteed by us and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries and are secured by a pledge of substantially all of our domestic assets. Additionally, the Credit Agreement requires us to pay a fee based on the total amount of the unused revolving credit facility commitment.

In May 2003, in connection with the Insignia Acquisition, CBRE Escrow, Inc. (CBRE Escrow), a wholly owned subsidiary of CBRE, issued \$200.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 934% senior notes, which are due May 15, 2010. CBRE Escrow merged with and into CBRE, and CBRE assumed all obligations with respect to the 934% senior notes in connection with the Insignia Acquisition. The 934% senior notes are unsecured obligations of CBRE, senior to all of its current and future unsecured indebtedness, but subordinated to all of CBRE s current and future secured indebtedness. The 934% senior notes are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior basis by us and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries. Interest accrues at a rate of 934% per year and is payable semi-annually in arrears on May 15 and November 15. The 934% senior notes are redeemable at our option, in whole or in part, on or after May 15, 2007 at 104.875% of par on that date and at declining prices thereafter. In addition, before May 15, 2006, we were permitted to redeem up to 35.0% of the originally issued amount of the 934% senior notes at 109¾% of par, plus accrued and unpaid interest, solely with the net cash proceeds from public equity offerings, which we elected to do. During July 2004, we used a portion of the net proceeds we received from our IPO to redeem \$70.0 million in aggregate principal amount, or 35.0%, of our 934% senior notes, which also required the payment of a \$6.8 million premium and accrued and unpaid interest through the date of redemption. Additionally, we wrote off \$3.1 million of unamortized deferred financing costs in connection with this redemption. In the event of a change of control (as defined in the indenture governing our 934% senior notes), we are obligated to make an offer to purchase the 934% senior notes at a redemption price of 101.0% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The amount of the 934% senior notes included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets was \$130.0 million as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004.

In June 2001, in connection with the 2001 Merger, Blum CB issued \$229.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 11¼% senior subordinated notes due June 15, 2011 for approximately \$225.6 million, net of discount. CBRE assumed all obligations with respect to the 11¼% senior subordinated notes in connection with the 2001 Merger. The 11¼% senior subordinated notes are unsecured senior subordinated obligations of CBRE and rank equally in right of payment with any of CBREs existing and future unsecured senior subordinated indebtedness but are subordinated to any of CBREs existing and future senior indebtedness. The 11¼% senior subordinated notes are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior subordinated basis by us and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries. The 11¼% senior subordinated notes require semi-annual payments of interest in arrears on June 15 and December 15 and are redeemable in whole or in part on or after June 15, 2006 at 105.625% of par on that date and at declining prices thereafter. In addition, before June 15, 2004, we were permitted to redeem up to 35.0% of the originally issued amount of the notes at 111¼% of par, plus accrued and unpaid interest, solely with the net cash proceeds from public equity offerings, which we did not do. In the event of a change of control (as defined in the indenture governing our 11¼% senior subordinated notes), we are obligated to make an offer to purchase the 11¼% senior subordinated notes. In May and June 2004, we repurchased \$21.6 million in aggregate principal amount of our 11¼% senior subordinated notes.

notes in the open market. We paid \$3.1 million of premiums and wrote off \$0.9 million of unamortized deferred financing costs and unamortized discount in connection with these open market purchases. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we repurchased an additional \$42.7 million in aggregate principal amount of our 11¹/₄% senior subordinated notes in the open market. We paid an aggregate of \$5.9 million of premiums and

wrote off \$1.5 million of unamortized deferred financing costs and unamortized discount in connection with these open market purchases. The amount of the 11¹/₄% senior subordinated notes included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, net of unamortized discount, was \$163.0 million and \$205.0 million as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

Our Credit Agreement and the indentures governing our 9¾% senior notes and our 11¼% senior subordinated notes each contain numerous restrictive covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness, pay dividends or make distributions to stockholders, repurchase capital stock or debt, make investments, sell assets or subsidiary stock, engage in transactions with affiliates, enter into sale/leaseback transactions, issue subsidiary equity and enter into consolidations or mergers. Our Credit Agreement also currently requires us to maintain a minimum coverage ratio of interest and certain fixed charges and a maximum leverage and senior secured leverage ratio of EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement) to funded debt.

We had short-term borrowings of \$192.7 million and \$160.0 million with weighted average interest rates of 4.6% and 3.7% as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

Our wholly owned subsidiary, CBRE Melody, has a credit agreement with WaMu for the purpose of funding mortgage loans that will be resold. This credit agreement was previously with Residential Funding Corporation (RFC). On December 1, 2004, we and RFC entered into a Fifth Amended and Restated Warehousing Credit and Security Agreement (warehouse line of credit), which provides for a warehouse line of credit of up to \$250.0 million, bears interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.0% and expired on September 1, 2005. This agreement provided for the ability to terminate the warehousing commitment as of any date on or after March 1, 2005, upon not less than thirty days advance written notice. On December 13, 2004, we and RFC entered into the First Amendment to the Fifth Amended and Restated Warehousing Credit and Security Agreement whereby the warehousing commitment was temporarily increased to \$315.0 million, effective December 20, 2004. This temporary increase was for the period from December 20, 2004 to and including January 20, 2005. On March 1, 2005, we and RFC signed a consent letter, which approved the assignment to and assumption of the Fifth Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement by WaMu. On August 23, 2005, we entered into a second amendment to the warehouse line of credit, which extended the agreement with WaMu until November 1, 2005. On October 28, 2005, we executed a third amendment to the warehouse line of credit, which further extended the agreement with WaMu until December 1, 2005. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we had a maximum of \$184.5 million warehouse line of credit principal outstanding. As of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, we had a \$146.5 million and a \$138.2 million warehouse line of credit outstanding, respectively, which are included in short-term borrowings in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Additionally, we had \$146.5 million and \$138.2 million of mortgage loans held for sale (warehouse receivable), which represented mortgage loans funded through the line of credit that, while committed to be purchased, had not yet been purchased as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively, which are also included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

During 2005, in conjunction with the acquisitions of properties held for sale in our European investment management business, we entered into debt agreements with ING Real Estate Finance N.V. (ING Real Estate) and The Royal Bank of Scotland (RBS). The agreement with ING Real Estate related to a property held for sale in Germany and provided for the borrowing of 19.0 million euros of acquisition indebtedness and 5.1 million euros of construction/upgrade financing. The 19.0 million principal had a floating rate component with respect to 8.0 million euros and a fixed rate component with respect to 11.0 million euros. The floating rate was tied to the three-month Euribor rate plus 0.95%. The fixed rate was equal to the Euro Interest Rate Swap Rate plus 1.05% for up to three years. The 5.1 million euros construction financing principal accrued interest based upon the aforementioned indices in both fixed and floating rate components. During the quarter ended September 30, 2005, we completed the sale of the German property held for sale and utilized the proceeds from the sale to repay all of the related debt. The agreement with RBS relates to two properties held for sale in France and provides for the borrowing of 24.1 million euros. Interest accrues at a rate based on the three-month Euribor rate plus 1.20% and is payable quarterly in arrears. This debt matures on August 16, 2009; however, the debt agreement provides for a one-year extension based on the meeting of certain conditions (as defined in the debt agreement). The debt agreement also provides for the mandatory repayment of the debt in certain circumstances, including upon sale of the aforementioned related property held for sale as well as in the event of a change of control (as defined in the debt agreement). The operating results related to these properties held for sale was \$29.2 million as of September 30, 2005.

In connection with our acquisition of Westmark Realty Advisors in 1995, we issued approximately \$20.0 million in aggregate principal amount of senior notes. The Westmark senior notes are redeemable at the discretion of the note holders and have final maturity dates of June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2010. On January 1, 2005, the interest rate on all of the Westmark senior notes was adjusted to equal the interest rate in effect with respect to amounts outstanding under our Credit Agreement. On May 31, 2005, with the exception of one note holder, we entered into an amendment to eliminate a letter of credit requirement and adjust the interest rate to equal the interest rate in effect with respect to amounts outstanding under our Credit Agreement plus twelve basis points. The amount of the Westmark senior notes included in short-term borrowings in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets was \$11.6 million and \$12.1 million as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

Insignia, which we acquired in July 2003, issued loan notes as partial consideration for previous acquisitions of businesses in the U.K. The acquisition loan notes are payable to the sellers of the previously acquired U.K. businesses and are secured by restricted cash deposits in approximately the same amount. The acquisition loan notes are redeemable semi-annually at the discretion of the note holder and have a final maturity date of April 2010. As of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, \$5.2 million and \$8.5 million, respectively, of the acquisition loan notes were outstanding and are included in short-term borrowings in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

A significant number of our subsidiaries in Europe have had a euro cash pool loan since 2001, which is used to fund their short-term liquidity needs. The euro cash pool loan is an overdraft line for our European operations issued by HSBC Bank. The euro cash pool loan has no stated maturity date and bears interest at varying rates based on a base rate as defined by HSBC Bank plus 2.5%. As of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, there were no amounts outstanding under this facility.

10. Commitments and Contingencies

We are a party to a number of pending or threatened lawsuits arising out of, or incident to, our ordinary course of business. Our management believes that any liability imposed upon us that may result from disposition of these lawsuits will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

We had an outstanding letter of credit totaling \$0.8 million as of September 30, 2005, excluding letters of credit related to our subsidiaries outstanding indebtedness and operating leases. The \$0.8 million outstanding letter of credit is a Fannie Mae letter of credit executed by CBRE Melody and expires on December 10, 2005. However, we are obligated to renew this letter of credit until our obligation to cover potential credit losses is satisfied.

We had guarantees totaling \$1.7 million as of September 30, 2005, which primarily consisted of an obligation to Fannie Mae. The guarantee obligation related to the agreement with Fannie Mae will expire in December 2007.

An important part of the strategy for our investment management business involves investing our capital in certain real estate investments with our clients. These co-investments typically range from 2% to 5% of the equity in a particular fund. As of September 30, 2005, we had committed \$59.0 million to fund future co-investments.

11. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) consists of net income (loss) and other comprehensive (loss) gain. In the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, accumulated other comprehensive loss consists of foreign currency translation adjustments and minimum pension liability adjustments. Foreign currency translation adjustments exclude any income tax effect given that the earnings of non-U.S. subsidiaries are deemed to be reinvested for an indefinite period of time.

The following table provides a summary of comprehensive income (loss) (dollars in thousands):

	Three Mor Septem		Nine Mont Septem	ed			
	2005		2004		2005		2004
Net income (loss)	\$ 56,936	\$	11,895	\$	121,929	\$	(1,708)
Foreign currency translation (loss) gain	(507)		156		(3,371)		(2,645)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 56,429	\$	12,051	\$	118,558	\$	(4,353)

12. Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Earnings (loss) per share (EPS) is accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 128, *Earnings Per Share*. Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Where appropriate, the computation of diluted EPS further assumes the dilutive effect of potential common shares, which include stock options, stock warrants and certain contingently issuable shares. Contingently issuable shares represent unvested stock fund units in the deferred compensation plan. The following is a calculation of earnings (loss) per share (dollars in thousands, except share data):

		2005	Three	Months En	ded Sej	ptember 30,	2004		
	Income	2005 Shares	Per Share Amount			Income	2004 Shares	S	Per hare nount
Basic earnings per share:									
Net income applicable to									
common stockholders	\$ 56,936	74,177,337	\$	0.77	\$	11,895	71,446,359	\$	0.17
Diluted earnings per share:									
Net income applicable to									
common stockholders	\$ 56,936	74,177,337			\$	11,895	71,446,359		
Dilutive effect of contingently									
issuable shares							1,184,170		
Dilutive effect of incremental									
stock options		2,599,934					2,553,889		
Net income applicable to common stockholders	\$ 56,936	76,777,271	\$	0.74	\$	11,895	75,184,418	\$	0.16

			Nine	Months End	ed Sej	ptember 30,		
	Income	2005 Shares		Per Share .mount		Loss	2004 Shares	Per Share mount
Basic earnings (loss) per share:								
Net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders	\$ 121,929	73,834,169	\$	1.65	\$	(1,708)	66,006,231	\$ (0.03)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share:								
Net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders	\$ 121,929	73,834,169			\$	(1,708)	66,006,231	
Dilutive effect of incremental stock options		2,610,639						
Net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders	\$ 121,929	76,444,808	\$	1.59	\$	(1,708)	66,006,231	\$ (0.03)

As a result of operating losses incurred for the nine months ended September 30, 2004, dilutive weighted average shares outstanding did not give effect to potential common shares of 5,444,418, as to do so would have been anti-dilutive.

13. Fiduciary Funds

The accompanying consolidated balance sheets do not include the net assets of escrow, agency and fiduciary funds, which are held by us on behalf of clients and which amounted to \$865.0 million and \$676.3 million at September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

14. Pensions

Net periodic pension cost consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

	Three Mor Septem		N	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2005		2004	2005		2004	
Service cost	\$ 1,386	\$	1,808	\$ 4	,193 \$	4,938	
Interest cost	3,040		2,791	ç	,413	8,409	
Expected return on plan assets	(3,381)		(3,170)	(10	,471)	(9,477)	
Amortization of prior service costs	(116)		(85)		(359)	(191)	
Amortization of unrecognized net gain	189		274		586	1,109	
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 1,118	\$	1,618	\$ 3	,362 \$	4,788	

We contributed an additional \$1.4 million and \$4.1 million to fund our pension plans during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. We expect to contribute a total of \$5.2 million to fund our pension plans for the year ended December 31, 2005.

15. Merger-Related Charges

We recorded merger-related charges of \$4.0 million and \$25.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 in connection with the Insignia Acquisition. These charges primarily related to the exit of facilities that were occupied by us prior to the Insignia Acquisition as well as the termination of employees, both of which became duplicative as a result of the Insignia Acquisition. We recorded charges for the exit of these facilities as premises were vacated and for redundant employees as these employees were terminated, both in accordance with SFAS No. 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities*. Additionally, we recorded consulting costs, which represented fees paid to outside parties for nonrecurring services relating to the combination of Insignia s financial systems and businesses with ours. The remaining liability associated with items previously charged to merger-related costs in connection with the Insignia Acquisition consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

	ity Balance at ber 31, 2004	2005 Utilization	To be Utilized
Lease termination costs	\$,	\$ (5,718)	\$ 20,202
Lease termination costs	\$ 25,920	\$ (5,718)	\$ 20,2

16. Guarantor and Nonguarantor Financial Statements

The 934% senior notes are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior basis by us and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries. In addition, the 1114% senior subordinated notes are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior subordinated basis by us and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries (See Note 9 for additional information on the 934% senior notes and the 1114% senior subordinated notes).

The following condensed consolidating financial information includes:

(1) Condensed consolidating balance sheets as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004; condensed consolidating statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004; and condensed consolidating statements of cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, of (a) CB Richard Ellis Group as the parent, (b) CBRE as the subsidiary issuer, (c) the guarantor subsidiaries and (e) CB Richard Ellis Group on a consolidated basis; and

(2) Elimination entries necessary to consolidate CB Richard Ellis Group as the parent, with CBRE and its guarantor and nonguarantor subsidiaries.

Investments in consolidated subsidiaries are presented using the equity method of accounting. The principal elimination entries eliminate investments in consolidated subsidiaries and inter-company balances and transactions.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2005 (Dollars in thousands)

	Parent	CBRE	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Nonguarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination	Consolidated Total
Current Assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11 \$	47,462	\$ 202,803	\$ 34,295 \$	5 \$	284,571
Restricted cash			5,399	563		5,962
Receivables, less allowance for						
doubtful accounts	5		140,886	214,991		355,882
Warehouse receivable (a)			146,480			146,480
Property held for sale				37,188		37,188
Other current assets	25,657	2,215	15,840	33,190		76,902
Total Current Assets	25,673	49,677	511,408	320,227		906,985
Property and equipment, net			82,132	51,307		133,439
Goodwill			553,513	287,936		841,449
Other intangible assets, net			85,836	24,083		109,919
Deferred compensation assets		142,690				142,690
Investments in and advances to						
unconsolidated subsidiaries		6,159	76,173	15,923		98,255
Investments in consolidated						
subsidiaries	537,134	426,929	307,758		(1,271,821)	
Inter-company loan receivable	92,988	634,126			(727,114)	
Deferred tax assets, net	83,998					83,998
Other assets, net	266	19,082	31,256	13,362		63,966
Total Assets	\$ 740,059 \$	1,278,663	\$ 1,648,076	\$ 712,838 \$	6 (1,998,935) \$	
	, .	, ,	. , , ,			, ,
Current Liabilities:						
Accounts payable and accrued						
expenses	\$ \$	13,899	\$ 72,388	\$ 106,722 \$	5 \$	193,009
Compensation and employee		-,				,
benefits payable			126,144	55,105		181,249
Accrued bonus and profit sharing			121,430	89,268		210,698
Income taxes payable	19,346		,			19,346
Short-term borrowings:	- ,					- ,
Warehouse line of credit (a)			146,480			146,480
Debt related to property held for			,			,
sale				29,216		29,216
Other			16,807	176		16,983
Total short-term borrowings			163,287	29.392		192,679
Current maturities of long-term			105,207	2,,572		192,079
debt		11,800		111		11,911
Other current liabilities	17,807	11,000		111		17,807
Total Current Liabilities	37,153	25,699	483,249	280,598		826,699
Long-Term Debt:	57,155	25,077	405,247	200,570		020,077
1114% senior subordinated notes,						
net of unamortized discount		162,967				162,967
Senior secured term loan		256,400				256,400
9 ³ 4% senior notes		130,000				130,000
Inter-company loan payable		150,000	672,368	54,746	(727,114)	150,000
Other long-term debt			072,308	2,673	(727,114)	2,673
Total Long-Term Debt		540 267	677 260		(727, 114)	
		549,367	672,368	57,419	(727,114)	552,040
Deferred compensation liability		166,463		25 625		166,463
Pension liability				25,625		25,625

Other liabilities			65,530	34,870		100,400
Total Liabilities	37,153	741,529	1,221,147	398,512	(727,114)	1,671,227
Minority interest				6,568		6,568
Commitments and contingencies						
Stockholders Equity	702,906	537,134	426,929	307,758	(1,271,821)	702,906
Total Liabilities and Stockholders						
Equity	\$ 740,059 \$	1,278,663 \$	1,648,076 \$	712,838 \$	(1,998,935) \$	2,380,701

(a) Although CBRE Melody is included among our domestic subsidiaries, which jointly and severally guarantee our 9¾% senior notes and 11¼% senior subordinated notes, all warehouse receivables funded under the WaMu line of credit are pledged to WaMu, and accordingly, are not included as collateral for these notes or our other outstanding debt.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2004

(Dollars in thousands)

	Parent	CBRE	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Nonguarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination	Consolidated Total
Current Assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,496 \$	2,806 \$	216,463	\$ 34,131	\$ \$	256,896
Restricted cash			8,735	478		9,213
Receivables, less allowance for						
doubtful accounts	9		135,117	258,936		394,062
Warehouse receivable (a)			138,233			138,233
Other current assets	26,065	178	19,925	19,123		65,291
Total Current Assets	29,570	2,984	518,473	312,668		863,695
Property and equipment, net			82,714	54,989		137,703
Goodwill			561,589	259,919		821,508
Other intangible assets, net			88,544	25,109		113,653
Deferred compensation assets		102,578				102,578
Investments in and advances to						
unconsolidated subsidiaries		8,676	56,191	18,634		83,501
Investments in consolidated						
subsidiaries	410,107	252,964	206,810		(869,881)	
Inter-company loan receivable	71,006	797,432			(868,438)	
Deferred tax assets, net	78,471					78,471
Other assets, net		23,681	31,808	15,038		70,527
Total Assets	\$ 589,154 \$	1,188,315 \$	1,546,129		\$ (1,738,319) \$	2,271,636
	, .	, , .	, ,			, ,
Current Liabilities:						
Accounts payable and accrued						
expenses	\$ \$	5,845 \$	67,664	\$ 112,368	\$ \$	5 185,877
Compensation and employee						
benefits payable			92,652	58,069		150,721
Accrued bonus and profit sharing			151,800	119,220		271,020
Short-term borrowings:						
Warehouse line of credit (a)			138,233			138,233
Other			21,540	196		21,736
Total short-term borrowings			159,773	196		159,969
Current maturities of long-term			,			,
debt		11,800		154		11,954
Other current liabilities	29,206	,		341		29,547
Total Current Liabilities	29,206	17,645	471,889	290,348		809,088
Long-Term Debt:	,	,	,	,		,
$11\frac{1}{4}\%$ senior subordinated notes,						
net of unamortized discount		205,032				205,032
Senior secured term loan		265,250				265,250
9 ³ / ₄ % senior notes		130,000				130,000
Inter-company loan payable		,	751,259	117,179	(868,438)	,
Other long-term debt				602	(300,100)	602
Total Long-Term Debt		600,282	751,259	117,781	(868,438)	600,884
Deferred compensation liability		160,281		11,,,51	(300,100)	160,281
Other liabilities			70,017	65,493		135,510
Total Liabilities	29,206	778,208	1,293,165	473,622	(868,438)	1,705,763
. cui Liuonino	27,200	,,0,200	1,275,105	175,022	(000,150)	1,705,705

Minority interest				5,925		5,925
Commitments and contingencies						
Stockholders Equity	559,948	410,107	252,964	206,810	(869,881)	559,948
Total Liabilities and Stockholders						
Equity	\$ 589,154 \$	1,188,315 \$	1,546,129 \$	686,357 \$	(1,738,319)\$	2,271,636

(a) Although CBRE Melody is included among our domestic subsidiaries, which jointly and severally guarantee our 9¾% senior notes and 11¼% senior subordinated notes, all warehouse receivables funded under the WaMu line of credit are pledged to WaMu, and accordingly, are not included as collateral for these notes or our other outstanding debt.

²⁰

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005 (Dollars in thousands)

	Parent	CBRE	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Nonguarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination	Consolidated Total
Revenue	\$ \$	\$	501,492	\$ 242,706	\$	\$ 744,198
Costs and expenses:						
Cost of services			281,117	99,826		380,943
Operating, administrative and other	1,767	1,736	159,182	93,021		255,706
Depreciation and amortization			7,704	3,961		11,665
Operating (loss) income	(1,767)	(1,736)	53,489	45,898		95,884
Equity income (loss) from						
unconsolidated subsidiaries		148	3,573	(93))	3,628
Interest income	36	10,261	1,745	421	(12,050)	413
Interest expense		13,060	9,054	3,776	(12,050)	13,840
Loss on extinguishment of debt		624				624
Equity income from consolidated						
subsidiaries	58,011	61,936	27,435		(147,382)	
Income before (benefit) provision						
for income taxes	56,280	56,925	77,188	42,450	(147,382)	85,461
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(656)	(1,086)	15,252	15,015		28,525
Net income	\$ 56,936 \$	58,011 \$	61,936	\$ 27,435	\$ (147,382)	\$ 56,936

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

(Dollars in thousands)

	Pare	nt	CBRE	-	uarantor bsidiaries	Nonguarantor Subsidiaries]	Elimination	 solidated Fotal
Revenue	\$	\$		\$	406,679	\$ 168,320	\$		\$ 574,999
Costs and expenses:									
Cost of services					222,991	77,720			300,711
Operating, administrative and other		529	2,842		132,714	77,141			213,226
Depreciation and amortization					8,683	3,657			12,340
Merger-related charges					3,761	279			4,040
Operating (loss) income		(529)	(2,842)		38,530	9,523			44,682
Equity income from unconsolidated									
subsidiaries			294		4,368	164			4,826
Interest income		20	8,403		470	767		(8,398)	1,262
Interest expense		368	13,540		7,320	2,679		(8,398)	15,509
Loss on extinguishment of debt		7,166	9,900						17,066
Equity income from consolidated									
subsidiaries		17,209	28,770		4,752			(50,731)	
Income before (benefit) provision									
for income taxes		9,166	11,185		40,800	7,775		(50,731)	18,195

Edgar Filing: CE	8 RICHARD ELLIS GROUP	INC - Form 10-Q
------------------	------------------------------	-----------------

(Benefit) provision for income taxes	8	(2,729)	(6,024)	12,030	3,023		6,300
Net income	\$	11,895 \$	17,209 \$	28,770 \$	4,752 \$	(50,731) \$	11,895

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005 (Dollars in thousands)

	Parent	CBRE	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Nonguarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination	Consolidated Total
Revenue	\$ \$	(117)\$	1,341,669	\$ 613,075	\$	\$ 1,954,627
Costs and expenses:						
Cost of services			722,297	265,383		987,680
Operating, administrative and other	3,927	6,040	448,305	262,385		720,657
Depreciation and amortization			21,325	11,528		32,853
Operating (loss) income	(3,927)	(6,157)	149,742	73,779		213,437
Equity income (loss) from						
unconsolidated subsidiaries		4,413	18,396	(1,161))	21,648
Interest income	89	31,940	4,371	1,181	(31,665)	5,916
Interest expense	112	38,689	28,229	5,447	(31,665)	40,812
Loss on extinguishment of debt		7,386				7,386
Equity income from consolidated						
subsidiaries	124,382	138,141	41,255		(303,778)	
Income before (benefit) provision						
for income taxes	120,432	122,262	185,535	68,352	(303,778)	192,803
(Benefit) provision for income						
taxes	(1,497)	(2,120)	47,394	27,097		70,874
Net income	\$ 121,929 \$	124,382 \$	138,141	\$ 41,255	\$ (303,778)	\$ 121,929

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004 (Dollars in thousands)

	Parent	CBRE	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Nonguarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination	Consolidated Total
Revenue	\$ \$	\$	1,093,752	\$ 473,155	\$	\$ 1,566,907
Costs and expenses:						
Cost of services			584,862	212,682		797,544
Operating, administrative and other	1,179	7,532	406,943	227,362		643,016
Depreciation and amortization			25,974	14,027		40,001
Merger-related charges			22,038	3,536		25,574
Operating (loss) income	(1,179)	(7,532)	53,935	15,548		60,772
Equity income (loss) from						
unconsolidated subsidiaries		728	9,634	(242))	10,120
Interest income	81	35,521	1,680	2,297	(35,480) 4,099
Interest expense	4,084	45,480	31,848	8,002	(35,480) 53,934
Loss on extinguishment of debt	7,166	13,909				21,075
Equity income from consolidated						
subsidiaries	6,196	25,347	2,511		(34,054)
(Loss) income before (benefit)						
provision for income taxes	(6,152)	(5,325)	35,912	9,601	(34,054) (18)
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(4,444)	(11,521)	10,565	7,090		1,690
Net (loss) income	\$ (1,708) \$	6,196 \$	25,347	\$ 2,511	\$ (34,054) \$ (1,708)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005

(Dollars in thousands)

	Parent	CBRE	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Nonguarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidated Total
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	\$ 24,694 \$	(29,229)\$	100,057	\$ 41,258 \$	5 136,780
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:					
Capital expenditures			(17,340)	(7,448)	(24,788)
Acquisition of businesses including net assets acquired, intangibles and goodwill, net of cash			(2 677)	(25.460)	(29,137)
acquired Investment in property held for sale			(3,677)	(25,460) (65,774)	(65,774)
Proceeds from sale of property held for sale				28,289	28,289
Contributions to unconsolidated subsidiaries, net of				20,209	20,209
capital distributions		2,721	(9,817)	576	(6,520)
Proceeds from sale of servicing rights and other		2,721	(),017)	570	(0,520)
assets			2,875	148	3,023
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash			3,336	(184)	3,152
Other investing activities, net		48	1,234	562	1,844
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		2,769	(23,389)		(89,911)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:					
Proceeds from debt related to property held for sale				53,543	53,543
Repayment of debt related to property held for sale				(23,310)	(23,310)
Repayment of senior secured term loan		(8,850)			(8,850)
(Repayment of) proceeds from euro cash pool loan					
and other loans, net			(3,403)	1,884	(1,519)
Repayment of 111/4% senior subordinated notes		(42,700)			(42,700)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	6,584				6,584
(Increase) decrease in inter-company receivables,					
net	(35,152)	122,984	(86,925)		
Other financing activities, net	389	(318)		(1,133)	(1,062)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(28,179)	71,116	(90,328)	30,077	(17,314)
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND					
CASH EQUIVALENTS	(3,485)	44,656	(13,660)	2,044	29,555
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT	(3,103)	11,000	(15,000)	2,011	27,555
BEGINNING OF PERIOD	3,496	2,806	216,463	34,131	256,896
Effect of currency exchange rate changes on cash	-,.,-	_,	,	(1,880)	(1,880)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT END				()*)	()*)
OF PERIOD	\$ 11 \$	47,462 \$	202,803	\$ 34,295 \$	284,571
SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:					
Cash paid during the period for:					
Interest	\$ \$	32,222 \$	762	\$ 82 \$	
Income taxes, net of refunds	\$ 37,224 \$	\$		\$ \$	37,224

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004 (Dollars in thousands)

		Parent	CBRE	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Nonguarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidated Total
CASH FLOWS (USED IN) PROVIDED BY						
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	\$	(10,948) \$	(936) \$	66,389	\$ (2,166) \$	52,339
CACHELOWCEDOM INVECTING						
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:						
Capital expenditures				(30,685)	(7,402)	(38,087)
Acquisition of businesses including net assets						
acquired, intangibles and goodwill, net of cash						
acquired				(8,586)	(8,198)	(16,784)
Proceeds from sale of property held for sale					50,401	50,401
Contributions to unconsolidated subsidiaries, net of						
capital distributions				(5,470)	(7,878)	(13,348)
Proceeds from sale of servicing rights and other				5 425	170	5 (07
assets				5,435	172	5,607
Decrease in restricted cash Other investing activities, net				2,383 832	2,657 1,426	5,040 2,258
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities				(36,091)	31,178	(4,913)
iver easir (used in) provided by investing activities				(50,071)	51,170	(4,713)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:						
Proceeds from the revolver and swingline credit						
facility			186,750			186,750
Repayment of revolver and swingline credit facility			(186,750)			(186,750)
Repayment of debt related to property held for sale					(42,048)	(42,048)
Repayment of senior secured term loan			(17,500)			(17,500)
Repayment of euro cash pool and other loans, net				(3,146)	(6,663)	(9,809)
Repayment of 93/4% senior notes			(70,000)			(70,000)
Repayment of 11 ¹ / ₄ % senior subordinated notes		(22.24.0)	(21,631)			(21,631)
Repayment of 16% senior notes		(38,316)				(38,316)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net		135,000				135,000
(Increase) decrease in inter-company receivables, net		(96,182)	116,307	(49,838)	29,713	
Other financing activities, net		7,492	(3,942)	(49,030)	(967)	2,583
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		7,994	3,234	(52,984)	(19,965)	(61,721)
The cash provided by (used in) manening activities		7,771	5,251	(52,901)	(1),)05)	(01,721)
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND						
CASH EQUIVALENTS		(2,954)	2,298	(22,686)	9,047	(14,295)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT			, ,		, i	
BEGINNING OF PERIOD		3,008	17	148,752	12,104	163,881
Effect of currency exchange rate changes on cash CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, AT END					(1,661)	(1,661)
OF PERIOD	\$	54 \$	2,315 \$	126,066	\$ 19,490 \$	147,925
SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:						
Cash paid during the period for:	<i>•</i>	/				
Interest	\$	7,050 \$	45,695 \$	1,156		
Income taxes, net of refunds	\$	11,462 \$	\$		\$\$	11,462

17. Industry Segments

Effective with the fourth quarter of 2004, we reorganized our business segments for financial reporting purposes by separating the Global Investment Management business from our geographic regions. This action was taken in an effort to increase our transparency of reporting in light of the growing significance of our Global Investment Management business. This reorganization has reduced revenues and earnings in the Americas, Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA) and Asia Pacific regions, but has had no impact on consolidated results. Accordingly, we now report our operations through four primary segments. The segments are as follows: (1) Americas, (2) EMEA, (3) Asia Pacific and (4) Global Investment Management.

The Americas segment is our largest segment of operations and provides a comprehensive range of services throughout the U.S. and in the largest regions of Canada, Mexico and other selected parts of Latin America. The primary services offered consist of the following: real estate advisory services, mortgage loan origination and servicing, valuation services, asset services and corporate services.

Our EMEA and Asia Pacific segments provide services similar to the Americas business segment, excluding mortgage loan origination and servicing. The EMEA segment has operations primarily in Europe, while the Asia Pacific segment has operations primarily in Asia, Australia and New Zealand.

Our Global Investment Management business provides investment management services to clients seeking to generate returns and diversification through investments in real estate in the U.S., Europe and Asia.

We do not allocate net interest expense, loss on extinguishment of debt or provision (benefit) for income taxes among our segments. Summarized financial information by operating segment is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three Mon Septem	 		Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2005	2004		2005		2004	
Revenue							
Americas	516,665	\$ 416,149		1,387,657	\$	1,119,218	
EMEA	149,574	104,762		374,823		292,897	
Asia Pacific	44,090	37,342		121,249		100,612	
Global Investment Management	33,869	16,746		70,898		54,180	
	\$ 744,198	\$ 574,999	\$	1,954,627	\$	1,566,907	
Operating income (loss)							
Americas	\$ 64,509	\$ 35,201	\$	167,097	\$	48,649	
EMEA	26,671	3,977		37,410		(1,038)	
Asia Pacific	5,860	4,526		13,890		9,322	
Global Investment Management	(1,156)	978		(4,960)		3,839	
	95,884	44,682		213,437		60,772	
Equity income (loss) from unconsolidated							
subsidiaries							
Americas	2,452	2,950		8,776		6,314	
EMEA	(323)	(49)		(628)		(538)	
Asia Pacific	(14)	223		516		412	
Global Investment Management	1,513	1,702		12,984		3,932	

	3,628	4,826	21,648	10,120
Interest income	413	1,262	5,916	4,099
Interest expense	13,840	15,509	40,812	53,934
Loss on extinguishment of debt	624	17,066	7,386	21,075
Income (loss) before provision for income				
taxes	\$ 85,461	\$ 18,195 \$	192,803	\$ (18)

18. New Accounting and Tax Pronouncements

On October 22, 2004, the President signed the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (the Act). The Act creates a temporary incentive for U.S. corporations to repatriate accumulated income earned abroad by providing an 85 percent dividends received deduction for certain dividends from controlled foreign corporations. We are currently finalizing an evaluation of the effects of the repatriation provisions of the Act. We are considering repatriating from \$0 to \$60 million. Accordingly, the related potential range of income tax expense associated with the repatriation is from \$0 to \$3 million.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 Revised, *Share Based Payment* (SFAS 123R). The statement establishes the standards for the accounting for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods and services. The statement focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in share-based payment transactions. FAS 123R permits public companies to adopt its requirements using one of two methods: a modified-prospective method or a modified-retrospective method. We plan to adopt the modified-prospective method. Under this method, a company records compensation expense for all awards it grants or modifies after the date it adopts the standard. We will be required to record compensation expense for the unvested portion of previously granted awards for which no compensation expense is being recognized and that remain outstanding at the date of adoption. During 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission deferred the effective date of this statement until the first annual period beginning after June 15, 2005, or in our case January 1, 2006. The adoption of this statement is not expected to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, *Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets, an Amendment of APB Opinion No. 29* (SFAS 153). The guidance in APB Opinion No. 29, *Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions*, is based on the principle that exchanges of nonmonetary assets should be measured based on the fair value of the assets exchanged. The guidance in APB Opinion No. 29, however, included certain exceptions to that principle. SFAS 153 amends APB Opinion No. 29 to eliminate the exception for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. A nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. SFAS 153 is effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. We do not believe that the adoption of SFAS 153 will have a material impact on our results of operations or financial position.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections* (SFAS 154). SFAS 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods financial statements of voluntary changes in accounting principle. It also requires that the new accounting principle be applied to the balances of assets and liabilities as of the beginning of the earliest period for which retrospective application is practicable and that a corresponding adjustment be made to the opening balance of retained earnings for that period rather than being reported in an income statement. The statement will be effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 154 to have a material effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

26

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. for the quarter ended September 30, 2005, represents an update to the more detailed and comprehensive disclosures included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. Accordingly, you should read the following discussion in conjunction with the information included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as well as the unaudited financial statements included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Overview

We are the largest global commercial real estate services firm, based on 2004 revenue, offering a full range of services to occupiers, owners, lenders and investors in office, retail, industrial, multi-family and other commercial real estate assets. As of December 31, 2004, excluding affiliates and partner offices, we operated in over 200 offices worldwide with approximately 13,500 employees providing commercial real estate services under the CB Richard Ellis brand name. Our business is focused on several service competencies, including strategic advice and execution assistance for property leasing and sales, forecasting, valuations, commercial mortgage loan origination and servicing, facilities and project management and real estate investment management. We generate revenues both on a per project or transaction basis and from annual management fees.

When you read our financial statements and the information included in this section, you should consider that we have experienced, and continue to experience, several material trends and uncertainties that have affected our financial condition and results of operations and make it challenging to predict our future performance based on our historical results. We believe that the following material trends and uncertainties are most crucial to an understanding of the variability in our historical earnings and cash flows and the potential for such variances in the future:

Macroeconomic Conditions

Economic trends and government policies directly affect our operations as well as global and regional commercial real estate markets generally. These include: overall economic activity and employment growth, interest rate levels, the availability of credit to finance transactions and the impact of tax and regulatory policies. Periods of economic slowdown or recession, significantly rising interest rates, a declining employment level, a declining demand for real estate or the public perception that any of these events may occur, can negatively affect the performance of many of our business lines. Weak economic conditions could result in a general decrease in transaction activity and a decline in rents, which in turn would reduce revenue from property management fees and brokerage commissions derived from property sales and leases. In addition, these conditions could lead to a decline in sales prices as well as a decline in funds invested in commercial real estate and related assets. An economic downturn or a significant increase in interest rates also may reduce the amount of loan originations and related servicing by our commercial mortgage brokerage business. If our real estate and mortgage brokerage businesses are negatively impacted, it is likely that our other lines of business would also suffer due to the relationship among our various business lines.

During 2002 and 2001, we were adversely affected by the slowdown in the United States economy, which negatively impacted the commercial real estate market generally. This caused a decline in our leasing activities within the United States. Moreover, in part because of the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 and the run-up to the conflict with Iraq, the economic climate in the United States became very uncertain, which had an adverse effect on commercial real estate market conditions and in turn, our operating results for 2002 and 2001. During 2003 and 2004, economic conditions in the United States improved, which positively impacted the commercial real estate market generally. This caused an improvement in our Americas segment s revenue, particularly in sales and leasing activities. We expect this trend to continue in the near term.

Adverse changes in economic conditions would also affect our compensation expense, which is structured to decrease in line with any decrease in revenues. Compensation is our largest expense and the sales and leasing professionals in our largest line of business, advisory services, generally are paid on a commission and bonus basis that correlates with our revenue performance. As a result, the negative effect on our operating margins during difficult market conditions is partially mitigated. In addition, in circumstances when economic conditions are particularly severe, our management also has sought to improve operational performance through cost reduction programs. For example, as economic conditions worsened in 2001, our management team made targeted reductions

in our workforce, reduced senior management bonuses, streamlined general and administrative operations and cut capital expenditures and other discretionary operating expenses. As a result of the operating leverage inherent in our business, we were able to reduce our operating expenses by \$18.7 million during 2002 as compared to 2001. Notwithstanding these approaches, adverse global and regional economic changes remain one of the most significant risks to our future financial condition and results of operations.

Effects of Prior Acquisitions

Our management historically has made significant use of strategic acquisitions to add new service competencies, to increase our scale within existing competencies and to expand our presence in various geographic regions around the world. For example, we enhanced our mortgage banking services through our 1996 acquisition of L.J. Melody & Company (now known as CBRE Melody) and we significantly increased the scale of our investment management business through our 1995 acquisition of Westmark Realty Advisors and our 1997 acquisition of Koll Real Estate Services. An example of a strategic acquisition that increased our geographic coverage was our 1998 acquisition of Hillier Parker May & Rowden in the United Kingdom. Our largest acquisition to date was our 2003 acquisition of Insignia Financial Group, Inc. (Insignia), which not only significantly increased the scale of our real estate advisory services and outsourcing services business lines in the Americas segment but also significantly increased our presence in the New York, London and Paris metropolitan areas.

Although our management believes that strategic acquisitions can significantly decrease the cost, time and commitment of management resources necessary to attain a meaningful competitive position within targeted markets or to expand our presence within our current markets, our management also believes that most acquisitions will initially have an adverse impact on our operating and net income, both as a result of transaction-related expenditures and charges and the costs of integrating the acquired business and its financial and accounting systems into our own. For example, through December 31, 2004, we incurred \$200.9 million of transaction-related expenditures in connection with our acquisition of Insignia in 2003 and \$87.6 million of transaction-related expenditures in connection with our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in 2001. Transaction-related expenditures included severance costs, lease termination costs, transaction costs, deferred financing costs and merger-related costs, among others. We incurred our final transaction expenditures with respect to the Insignia Acquisition in the third quarter of 2004. In addition, through September 30, 2005, we have incurred \$34.2 million of expenses in connection with the integration of Insignia s business lines, as well as accounting and other systems, into our own. We expect to incur additional Insignia-related integration expenses of approximately \$0.9 million during the remainder of 2005 and approximately \$4.0 million during 2006.

International Operations

We have made significant acquisitions of non-U.S. companies and we may acquire additional foreign companies in the future. As we increase our foreign operations through either acquisitions or organic growth, fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the other currencies in which we may generate earnings could adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results. Our management team generally seeks to mitigate our exposure by balancing assets and liabilities that are denominated in the same currency and by maintaining cash positions outside the United States only at levels necessary for operating purposes. In addition, from time to time we enter into foreign currency exchange contracts to mitigate our exposure to exchange rate changes related to particular transactions and to hedge risks associated with the translation of foreign currencies into U.S. dollars. Due to the constantly changing currency exposures to which we are subject and the volatility of currency exchange rates, our management cannot predict the effect of exchange rate fluctuations upon future operating results. In addition, fluctuations in currencies relative to the U.S. dollar may make it more challenging to perform period-to-period comparisons of our reported results of operations.

Our international operations also are subject to, among other things, political instability and changing regulatory environments, which may adversely affect our future financial condition and results of operations. Our management routinely monitors these risks and costs and evaluates the appropriate amount of resources to allocate towards business activities in foreign countries where such risks and costs are particularly significant.

Leverage

We are highly leveraged and have significant debt service obligations. Although our management believes that the incurrence of this long-term indebtedness has been important in the development of our business, including facilitating our acquisition of Insignia Financial Group in 2003, the cash flow necessary to service this debt is not available for other general corporate purposes, which may limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and in the commercial real estate services industry.

Our management seeks to mitigate this exposure both through the refinancing of debt when available on attractive terms and through selective repayment and retirement of indebtedness. For example, we refinanced our senior secured credit facilities in October 2003 and again during 2004 to obtain more attractive interest rates and other terms, redeemed \$30.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 16% senior notes in late 2003 and repurchased \$21.6 million in aggregate principal amount of our 11¹/₄% senior subordinated notes in the open market during May and June 2004.

In addition, on June 15, 2004 we received aggregate net proceeds of approximately \$135.0 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses payable by us, in connection with the sale of 7,726,764 shares of our Class A common stock pursuant to the completion of our initial public offering. During June 2004, we used a portion of the net proceeds received from the offering to prepay \$15.0 million in principal amount of the term loan under our amended and restated credit agreement and during July 2004, we used the remaining net proceeds we received from the offering to redeem all \$38.3 million in aggregate principal amount of our remaining outstanding 16% senior notes and \$70.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 9¼% senior notes.

Lastly, during the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we repurchased \$42.7 million in aggregate principal amount of our 11¼% senior subordinated notes in the open market. Our management expects to continue to look for opportunities to reduce our debt from time to time.

Notwithstanding the actions described above, however, our level of indebtedness and the operating and financial restrictions in our debt agreements both place constraints on the operation of our business.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts. The estimates and assumptions are based on historical experience and on other factors that management believes to be reasonable. Actual results may differ from those estimates. Critical accounting policies represent the areas where more significant judgments and estimates are used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. A discussion of such critical accounting policies, which include goodwill and other intangible assets, revenue recognition, income taxes and our consolidation policy can be found in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. There have been no material changes to these policies as of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005.

Basis of Presentation

Significant Acquisitions and Dispositions

On July 23, 2003, pursuant to an amended and restated agreement and plan of merger, dated as of May 28, 2003, by and among CB Richard Ellis Services, CB Richard Ellis Group, Apple Acquisition Corp., a Delaware corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of CB Richard Ellis Services, and Insignia, Apple Acquisition was merged with and into Insignia (the Insignia Acquisition). Insignia was the surviving corporation in the merger and at the effective time of the merger became a wholly owned subsidiary of CB Richard Ellis Services.

29

Segment Reporting

Effective with the fourth quarter of 2004, we reorganized our business segments for financial reporting purposes by separating the Global Investment Management business from our geographic regions. This action was taken in an effort to increase our transparency of reporting in light of the growing significance of our Global Investment Management business. This reorganization has reduced revenues and earnings in the Americas, Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA) and Asia Pacific regions but has had no impact on consolidated results. The results for the periods ended September 30, 2004, have been restated to conform to this new presentation of our business segments.

We now report our operations through four primary segments: (1) Americas, (2) EMEA, (3) Asia Pacific and (4) Global Investment Management. The Americas consists of operations located in the U.S., Canada, Mexico and Latin America. EMEA mainly consists of operations in Europe, while Asia Pacific includes operations in Asia, Australia and New Zealand. The Global Investment Management business consists of investment management operations in the United States, Europe and Asia.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth items derived from the consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 presented in dollars and as a percentage of revenue (dollars in thousands):

	Three 2005	Months End	ed S	eptember 30, 2004		Nine N 2005	d September 30, 2004			
Revenue	\$ 744,198	100.0%	\$	574,999	100.0% \$	1,954,627	100.0%	\$	1,566,907	100.0%
Costs and expenses:										
Cost of services	380,943	51.2		300,711	52.3	987,680	50.5		797,544	50.9
Operating, administrative and other	255,706	34.3		213,226	37.1	720,657	36.9		643,016	41.0
Depreciation and amortization	11,665	1.6		12,340	2.1	32,853	1.7		40,001	2.6
Merger-related charges	11,005	1.0		4,040	0.7	52,055	1.7		25,574	1.6
Operating income	95,884	12.9		44,682	7.8	213,437	10.9		60,772	3.9
Equity income from										
unconsolidated subsidiaries	3,628	0.5		4,826	0.8	21,648	1.1		10,120	0.6
Interest income	413	0.1		1,262	0.2	5,916	0.3		4,099	0.2
Interest expense	13,840	1.9		15,509	2.6	40,812	2.1		53,934	3.4
Loss on extinguishment of debt	624	0.1		17,066	3.0	7,386	0.3		21,075	1.3
Income (loss) before provision										
for income taxes	85,461	11.5		18,195	3.2	192,803	9.9		(18)	0.0
Provision for income taxes	28,525	3.8		6,300	1.1	70,874	3.7		1,690	0.1
Net income (loss)	\$ 56,936	7.7%	\$	11,895	2.1% \$	121,929	6.2%	\$	(1,708)	(0.1)%
EBITDA	\$ 111,177	14.9%	\$	61,848	10.8% \$	267,938	13.7%	\$	110,893	7.1%

EBITDA represents earnings before net interest expense, loss on extinguishment of debt, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. Our management believes EBITDA is useful in evaluating our performance compared to that of other companies in our industry because the calculation of EBITDA generally eliminates the effects of financing and income taxes and the accounting effects of capital spending and acquisitions, which items may vary for different companies for reasons unrelated to overall operating performance. As a result, our management

uses EBITDA as a measure to evaluate the performance of our various business lines and for other discretionary purposes, including as a significant component when measuring our performance under our employee incentive programs.

However, EBITDA is not a recognized measurement under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and when analyzing our operating performance, readers should use EBITDA in addition to, and not as an alternative for, operating income (loss) and net income (loss), each as determined in accordance with GAAP. Because not all companies use identical calculations, our presentation of EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. Furthermore, EBITDA is not intended to be a measure of free cash flow for our management s discretionary use, as it does not consider certain cash requirements such as tax and debt service payments. The amounts shown for EBITDA also differ from the amounts calculated under similarly titled definitions in our debt instruments, which are further adjusted to reflect certain other cash and non-cash charges and are used to determine compliance with financial covenants and our ability to engage in certain activities, such as incurring additional debt and making certain restricted payments.

EBITDA is calculated as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three Mor Septem	 ded	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2005	2004	2005		2004		
Net income (loss)	\$ 56,936	\$ 11,895	\$ 121,929	\$	(1,708)		
Add:							
Depreciation and amortization	11,665	12,340	32,853		40,001		
Interest expense	13,840	15,509	40,812		53,934		
Loss on extinguishment of debt	624	17,066	7,386		21,075		
Provision for income taxes	28,525	6,300	70,874		1,690		
Less:							
Interest income	413	1,262	5,916		4,099		
EBITDA	\$ 111,177	\$ 61,848	\$ 267,938	\$	110,893		

Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 Compared to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2004

We reported consolidated net income of \$56.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 on revenue of \$744.2 million as compared to consolidated net income of \$11.9 million on revenue of \$575.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004.

Our revenue on a consolidated basis increased by \$169.2 million, or 29.4%, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2004. The revenue growth was primarily driven by continued higher worldwide transaction revenue as well as increased appraisal fees. Additionally, we generated higher fees in our Global Investment Management business due to improved performance in France and the United States. Foreign currency translation had a \$4.3 million positive impact on total revenue during the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Our cost of services on a consolidated basis increased by \$80.2 million, or 26.7%, during the three months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2004. Our sales and leasing professionals generally are paid on a commission and bonus basis, which substantially correlates with our revenue performance. Accordingly, the overall increase was primarily driven by the increase in revenue. Foreign currency translation had a \$1.8 million negative impact on cost of services during the three months ended September 30, 2005. Cost of services as a percentage of revenue decreased slightly from 52.3% for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to 51.2% for the three months ended September 30, 2005, mainly due to growth in our non-commissionable revenue, including fees earned in our Global Investment Management segment.

Our operating, administrative and other expenses on a consolidated basis were \$255.7 million, an increase of \$42.5 million, or 19.9%, for the three months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2004. The increase was mainly driven by higher worldwide payroll-related costs, including bonuses, as well as increased marketing costs, all of which primarily resulted from our improved operating performance. Additionally, total operating expenses were increased by net foreign currency transaction losses during the three months ended September 30, 2005, while in the prior year we experienced net foreign currency transaction gains, which reduced total operating expenses. The relative strength of the U.S. dollar, particularly as compared to the British pound sterling and Australian dollar, drove the net foreign currency transaction variance in the quarter. The

31

period-over-period overall increase in operating expenses was slightly reduced by the absence of \$3.0 million in write-downs of investments in our Americas business segment, which impacted the prior year quarter. Foreign currency translation had a \$1.9 million negative impact on total operating expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2005. Operating expenses as a percentage of revenue decreased from 37.1% for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to 34.3% for the three months ended September 30, 2005, reflecting the operating leverage inherent in our business structure.

Our depreciation and amortization expense decreased slightly on a consolidated basis totaling \$11.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to \$12.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004. This decline was primarily due to lower amortization expense related to intangibles acquired in the Insignia Acquisition, partially offset by higher depreciation expense, predominantly in our Americas business segment, mainly associated with leasehold improvements.

Our merger-related charges on a consolidated basis were \$4.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004. These charges primarily consisted of lease termination costs associated with a final space vacated in the prior year quarter as a result of the Insignia Acquisition. We incurred our final merger-related charges associated with the Insignia Acquisition during the quarter ended September 30, 2004.

Our equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries on a consolidated basis decreased by \$1.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2004, primarily due to a one-time fee of \$0.9 million received from an investment fund in the prior year.

Our consolidated interest expense was \$13.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005, a decrease of \$1.7 million, or 10.8%, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2004. This decline was primarily driven by interest savings realized as a result of debt repayments during the quarter ended September 30, 2004 and throughout 2005. Our management expects to continue to look for opportunities to reduce our debt in the future.

Our loss on extinguishment of debt on a consolidated basis was \$0.6 million and \$17.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The loss incurred for the quarter ended September 30, 2005 related to the write-off of unamortized deferred financing fees and unamortized discount, as well as premiums paid, all in connection with repurchases of our 11¼% senior subordinated notes in the open market. The loss incurred in the three months ended September 30, 2004 related to write-offs of unamortized deferred financing fees and unamortized discount, as well as premiums paid, all in connection with the redemptions of \$70.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 9¾% senior notes and \$38.3 million in aggregate principal amount of our 16.0% senior notes with the net proceeds received from our initial public offering. We expect to incur additional charges of this type in connection with the continuation of our deleveraging efforts in the future.

Our provision for income taxes on a consolidated basis was \$28.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to \$6.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004. Our effective tax rate declined from 34.6% for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to 33.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in the provision for income taxes is attributable to the significant increase in pre-tax income over 2004. The decrease in the effective tax rate is primarily a result of the change in the mix of domestic and foreign earnings.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005 Compared to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004

We reported consolidated net income of \$121.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 on revenue of \$2.0 billion as compared to a consolidated net loss of \$1.7 million on revenue of \$1.6 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2004.

Our revenue on a consolidated basis increased by \$387.7 million, or 24.7%, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The revenue growth was primarily driven by higher worldwide transaction revenue as well as increased management and appraisal fees. Additionally, continued low long-term interest rates in the United States fueled an increase in loan origination fees. Investment management fees also increased primarily due to improved performance in France and in the United States. Foreign currency translation had a \$20.2 million positive impact on total revenue during the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Our cost of services on a consolidated basis increased by \$190.1 million, or 23.8%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2004. Our sales and leasing

32

professionals generally are paid on a commission and bonus basis, which substantially correlates with our revenue performance. Accordingly, the overall increase was primarily driven by the increase in revenue. Foreign currency translation had a \$9.1 million negative impact on cost of services during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Cost of services as a percentage of revenue was relatively flat between periods at 50.5% for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 versus 50.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2004.

Our operating, administrative and other expenses on a consolidated basis were \$720.7 million, an increase of \$77.6 million, or 12.1%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The increase was primarily driven by higher worldwide payroll-related costs, including bonuses, as well as increased marketing costs, all of which resulted from our improved operating performance. The year-over-year overall increase in operating expenses was partially muted by the absence of \$15.0 million of one-time compensation expense related to our initial public offering, \$3.6 million of Insignia-related costs and \$3.0 million in write-downs of investments in our Americas business segment, all of which significantly impacted the results for the prior year period. Finally, foreign currency translation had a \$9.2 million negative impact on total operating expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Operating expenses as a percentage of revenue decreased from 41.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to 36.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, reflecting the operating leverage inherent in our business structure.

Our depreciation and amortization expense on a consolidated basis decreased by \$7.1 million, or 17.9%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The decrease was largely due to lower amortization expense related to intangibles acquired in the Insignia Acquisition, including a reduction in amortization expense of \$10.2 million related to acquired net revenue backlog. As of December 31, 2004, the intangible asset representing the net revenue backlog acquired in the Insignia Acquisition was fully amortized.

Our merger-related charges on a consolidated basis were \$25.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. These charges primarily consisted of lease termination costs associated with vacated spaces, consulting costs and severance costs, all of which were attributable to the Insignia Acquisition. We incurred our final merger-related charges associated with the Insignia Acquisition during the quarter ended September 30, 2004.

Our equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries on a consolidated basis increased by \$11.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2004, primarily due to fees earned and gains realized on the disposition of assets maintained in our investment management portfolio as well as the improved overall performance of our equity investments in our Americas business segment and U.S. investments in our Global Investment Management segment. These increases were partially offset by a loss incurred in Asian investments in our Global Investment Management.

Our consolidated interest expense was \$40.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, a decrease of \$13.1 million, or 24.3%, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2004. This decline was primarily driven by interest savings realized as a result of debt repayments throughout 2004 and 2005. Our management expects to continue to look for opportunities to reduce our debt in the future.

Our loss on extinguishment of debt on a consolidated basis was \$7.4 million and \$21.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The loss incurred for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 related to the write-off of unamortized deferred financing fees and unamortized discount, as well as premiums paid, all in connection with repurchases of our 11¼% senior subordinated notes in the open market. The loss incurred in the prior year period related to write-offs of unamortized deferred financing fees and unamortized discount, as well as in connection with premiums paid, all in connection with the redemptions of \$70.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 9¾% senior notes and \$38.3 million in aggregate principal amount of our 16.0% senior notes with the net proceeds received from our initial public offering as well as in connection with the \$21.6 million repurchase of our 11¼% senior subordinated notes in the open market during May and June 2004. We expect to incur additional charges of this type as we continue our deleveraging efforts in the future.

Our provision for income taxes on a consolidated basis was \$70.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to \$1.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The increase in the provision for income taxes is attributable to the significant increase in pre-tax income over 2004. The unusual tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 was primarily related to losses sustained in jurisdictions where no tax benefit could be provided.

Segment Operations

The following table summarizes our revenue, costs and expenses, and operating income (loss) for our Americas, EMEA, Asia Pacific and Global Investment Management operating segments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 (dollars in thousands):

		Three 2005	e Months End	ded S	eptember 30, 2004		Nine N 2005	Months Ende	d Se	ptember 30, 2004	
Americas		2000			2001		2002			2001	
Revenue	\$	516,665	100.0%	\$	416,149	100.0%\$	1,387,657	100.0%	\$	1,119,218	100.0%
Costs and expenses:											
Cost of services		294,693	57.0		232,746	55.9	757,945	54.6		614,254	54.9
Operating, administrative											
and other		149,375	28.9		135,456	32.5	440,144	31.7		408,288	36.5
Depreciation and											
amortization		8,088	1.6		8,706	2.1	22,471	1.7		25,989	2.3
Merger-related charges					4,040	1.0				22,038	2.0
Operating income	\$	64,509	12.5%	\$	35,201	8.5% \$	167,097	12.0%	\$	48,649	4.3%
EBITDA	\$	75,049	14.5%	\$	46,857	11.3%\$	198,344	14.3%	\$	80,952	7.2%
<u>EMEA</u>											
Revenue	\$	149,574	100.0%	\$	104,762	100.0%\$	374,823	100.0%	\$	292,897	100.0%
Costs and expenses:											
Cost of services		64,499	43.1		49,413	47.2	169,204	45.1		133,001	45.4
Operating, administrative											
and other		55,861	37.4		49,464	47.2	160,852	42.9		147,849	50.5
Depreciation and											
amortization		2,543	1.7		1,908	1.8	7,357	2.0		9,880	3.4
Merger-related charges	<i>•</i>		15.00	<i>•</i>	2.075	2 0 0 0	05.440	10.00	<i>•</i>	3,205	1.1
Operating income (loss)	\$	26,671	17.8%	\$	3,977	3.8%\$	37,410	10.0%	\$	(1,038)	(0.4)%
EBITDA	\$	28,891	19.3%	\$	5,836	5.6%\$	44,139	11.8%	\$	8,304	2.8%
Asia Pacific											
Revenue	\$	44,090	100.0%	\$	37,342	100.0%\$	121,249	100.0%	\$	100,612	100.0%
Costs and expenses:	ф	44,090	100.0%	φ	57,542	100.0%\$	121,249	100.0%	φ	100,012	100.0%
Cost of services		21,751	49.3		18,552	49.7	60,531	49.9		50,289	50.0
Operating, administrative		21,751	ч <i>у</i> .5		10,552	49.7	00,551	47.7		50,207	50.0
and other		15,907	36.1		13.659	36.6	45,108	37.2		39,146	38.9
Depreciation and		15,707	50.1		15,057	50.0	45,100	51.2		57,140	50.7
amortization		572	1.3		605	1.6	1.720	1.4		1.855	1.8
Operating income	\$	5,860	13.3%	\$	4,526	12.1%\$	13,890	11.5%	\$	9,322	9.3%
EBITDA	\$	6,418	14.6%	\$	5,354	14.3%\$	16,126	13.3%	\$	11,589	11.5%
	Ŧ	0,000		Ŧ	-,	+			Ŧ	,>	
Global Investment											
Management											
Revenue	\$	33,869	100.0%	\$	16,746	100.0%\$	70,898	100.0%	\$	54,180	100.0%
Costs and expenses:											
Operating, administrative											
and other		34,563	102.0		14,647	87.5	74,553	105.2		47,733	88.1
Depreciation and											
amortization		462	1.4		1,121	6.7	1,305	1.8		2,277	4.2
Merger-related charges										331	0.6
Operating (loss) income	\$	(1,156)	(3.4)%	\$	978	5.8%\$	(4,960)	(7.0%)	\$	3,839	7.1%
EBITDA	\$	819	2.4%	\$	3,801	22.7%\$	9,329	13.2%	\$	10,048	18.5%
Operating (loss) income	\$ \$									3,839	

EBITDA represents earnings before net interest expense, loss on extinguishment of debt, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. Our management believes EBITDA is useful in evaluating our performance compared to that of other companies in our industry because the calculation of EBITDA generally eliminates the effects of financing and income taxes and the accounting effects of capital spending and acquisitions, which items may vary for different companies for reasons unrelated to overall operating performance. As a result, our management uses EBITDA as a measure to evaluate the performance of our various business lines and for other discretionary purposes, including as a significant component when measuring our performance under our employee incentive programs.

However, EBITDA is not a recognized measurement under U.S. GAAP, and when analyzing our operating performance, readers should use EBITDA in addition to, and not as an alternative for, operating income (loss), as determined in accordance with GAAP. Because not all companies use identical calculations, our presentation of EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. Furthermore, EBITDA is not intended to be a measure of free cash flow for our management s discretionary use, as it does not consider certain cash requirements such as tax and debt service payments.

We do not allocate net interest expense, loss on extinguishment of debt or provision for income taxes among our segments. Accordingly, EBITDA for our segments is calculated as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ende 2005	ed Sej	ptember 30, 2004	Nine Months Ender 2005	d Sept	tember 30, 2004
Americas						
Operating income Add:	\$ 64,509	\$	35,201	\$ 167,097	\$	48,649
Depreciation and amortization	8,088		8,706	22,471		25,989
Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries	2,452		2,950	8,776		6,314
EBITDA	\$ 75,049	\$	46,857	\$ 198,344	\$	80,952
EMEA						
Operating income (loss) Add:	\$ 26,671	\$	3,977	\$ 37,410	\$	(1,038)
Depreciation and amortization	2,543		1,908	7,357		9,880
Equity loss from unconsolidated subsidiaries	(323)		(49)	(628)		(538)
EBITDA	\$ 28,891	\$	5,836	\$ 44,139	\$	8,304
<u>Asia Pacific</u>						
Operating income	\$ 5,860	\$	4,526	\$ 13,890	\$	9,322
Add:						
Depreciation and amortization	572		605	1,720		1,855
Equity (loss) income from unconsolidated subsidiaries	(14)		223	516		412
			220	010		
EBITDA	\$ 6,418	\$	5,354	\$ 16,126	\$	11,589
Global Investment Management						
Operating (loss) income Add:	\$ (1,156)	\$	978	\$ (4,960)	\$	3,839
Depreciation and amortization	462		1,121	1,305		2,277
Equity income from unconsolidated subsidiaries	1,513		1,702	12,984		3,932
EBITDA	\$ 819	\$	3,801	\$ 9,329	\$	10,048

Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 Compared to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2004

Americas

Revenue increased by \$100.5 million, or 24.2%, for the three months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2004. The overall increase was primarily driven by higher transaction revenue fueled by improved leasing markets and continued strong investment sales markets as well as improved appraisal fees.

Cost of services increased by \$61.9 million, or 26.6%, for the three months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2004, primarily due to higher commission expense and bonus accruals as a result of the overall increase in revenue. Cost of services as a percentage of revenue increased for the three months ended September 30, 2005 to 57.0% in comparison to 55.9% for the three months ended September 30, 2004, primarily driven by producers reaching higher commission tranches as a result of higher revenue.

Operating, administrative and other expenses increased \$13.9 million, or 10.3%, mainly driven by higher payroll-related costs, including bonuses, as well as increased marketing costs, which primarily resulted from supporting our growing revenues. The year-over-year overall increase in operating, administrative and other expenses was partially muted by the absence of \$0.6 million of Insignia-related costs as well as \$3.0 million in write-downs of investments, both of which impacted our results for the three months ended September 30, 2004. The investment write-downs in the prior year primarily related to the write-off of our investment in Workplace IQ, Ltd. in its entirety. Also, net foreign currency transaction losses experienced during the three months ended September 30, 2005 increased total operating expenses, while in the prior year we experienced net foreign currency transaction gains, which reduced total operating expenses. The relative strength of the U.S. dollar, particularly as compared to the British pound sterling and Australian dollar, drove the net foreign currency transaction variance in the quarter.

EMEA

Revenue increased by \$44.8 million, or 42.8%, for the three months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2004. The overall increase was primarily driven by higher transaction revenue particularly in the United Kingdom, France and Germany, fueled by a continued strong investment sales environment and improved leasing markets. Additionally, we experienced an increase in appraisal fees, primarily in the United Kingdom and Germany, during the current year. Foreign currency translation had a \$0.8 million negative impact on total revenue during the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Cost of services increased \$15.1 million, or 30.5%, mainly as a result of higher producer compensation expense, including bonuses, and increased commission expense, which were primarily driven by higher revenue and headcount increases. Foreign currency translation had a \$0.4 million positive impact on cost of services during the three months ended September 30, 2005. Cost of services as a percentage of revenue decreased from 47.2% for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to 43.1% for the three months ended September 30, 2005, primarily driven by our relatively fixed producer cost structure in the United Kingdom.

Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$6.4 million, or 12.9%, mainly due to higher payroll-related costs, including bonuses, in the region, which were consistent with improved results. These increases were partially offset by a decline in occupancy costs in the United Kingdom, primarily resulting from lower charges for idle facilities in the current year. Foreign currency translation had a \$0.2 million positive impact on total operating expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Asia Pacific

Revenue increased by \$6.7 million, or 18.1%, for the three months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2004. The increase was primarily driven by an overall increase in revenue in Australia and China. Foreign currency translation had a \$2.2 million positive impact on total revenue during the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Cost of services increased by \$3.2 million, or 17.2%, mainly due to higher commissions, which were consistent with higher transaction revenue. Producer compensation expense was also higher, particularly in China, mainly as a

result of headcount increases. Foreign currency translation had a \$1.0 million negative impact on cost of services for the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$2.2 million, or 16.5%, primarily due to an increase in payroll-related costs, including bonuses, resulting from improved operating results, particularly in Australia and China. Foreign currency translation had an \$0.8 million negative impact on total operating expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Global Investment Management

Revenue increased by \$17.1 million, or 102.3%, for the three months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2004. The increase was mainly driven by higher incentive fees earned in France as well as an increase in acquisition and incentive fees earned in the United States.

Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$19.9 million, or 136.0%, primarily due to higher incentive compensation accruals of \$9.8 million for key executives related to participation interests in certain real estate investments under management, for which no revenue has yet been recognized. Revenue associated with these expenses cannot be recognized until certain financial hurdles are met. In the fourth quarter of 2005, we expect to recognize income from some of these investments, which should offset the cumulative accrued incentive compensation expense to date. Also contributing to increased operating expenses were higher bonus accruals in the current year, primarily as a result of the growth in revenue. Foreign currency translation did not have a significant impact on this operating segment during the current year.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005 Compared to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004

Americas

Revenue increased by \$268.4 million, or 24.0%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The overall increase was primarily driven by improved leasing activity, continued strong investment sales activity, higher appraisal and management fees and increased loan origination fees, reflecting low long-term interest rates in the United States.

Cost of services increased by \$143.7 million, or 23.4%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2004, primarily due to higher commission expense and bonus accruals as a result of the overall increase in revenue. Cost of services as a percentage of revenue remained flat for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 in comparison to the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The increase in cost of services as a percentage of revenue due to producers reaching higher commission tranches as a result of higher revenue was offset by a decrease in cost of services as a percentage of revenue as a result of lower payroll related costs as well as lower broker draw amortization in the current year. During the nine months ended September 30, 2004, we recorded \$3.9 million of broker draw amortization, which included a \$1.4 million one-time adjustment to correct the amortization taken for the period from the date of the Insignia Acquisition through December 31, 2003. The amortization of the broker draw asset acquired in the Insignia Acquisition reflected the pattern in which the associated economic benefits were consumed, the fair value of which was refined during the three months ended March 31, 2004. As of July 31, 2005, the net broker draw asset was fully amortized.

Operating, administrative and other expenses increased \$31.9 million, or 7.8%, mainly driven by higher payroll-related costs, including bonuses, as well as increased marketing costs, which primarily resulted from supporting our growing revenues. The year-over-year overall increase in operating, administrative and other expenses was partially muted by the absence of \$15.0 million of one-time compensation expense related to our initial public offering, \$3.6 million of Insignia-related costs and \$3.0 million in write-downs of investments, all of which significantly impacted the results for the prior year.

EMEA

Revenue increased by \$81.9 million, or 28.0%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2004, primarily driven by higher transaction revenue, particularly in the United Kingdom, France and Italy, as well as increased appraisal fees in the United Kingdom and Germany.

Foreign currency translation had a \$7.8 million positive impact on total revenue during the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Cost of services increased \$36.2 million, or 27.2%, mainly as a result of higher producer compensation expense, including bonuses, and increased commission expense, which were primarily driven by higher revenue and increased headcount. Foreign currency translation had a \$3.9 million negative impact on cost of services during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Cost of services as a percentage of revenue was relatively flat between periods at 45.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 versus 45.4% for the nine months ended September 30, 2004.

Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$13.0 million, or 8.8%, mainly due to higher payroll-related costs, including bonuses, as well as increased marketing costs in the region, which were consistent with the improved results. These increases were partially offset by a decline in occupancy costs in the United Kingdom, primarily resulting from lower charges for idle facilities in the current year. Foreign currency translation had a \$3.9 million negative impact on total operating expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Asia Pacific

Revenue increased by \$20.6 million, or 20.5%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The increase was primarily driven by higher business activity levels throughout the region. Foreign currency translation had a \$5.2 million positive impact on total revenue during the six months ended September 30, 2005.

Cost of services increased by \$10.2 million, or 20.4%, mainly due to higher commissions, which were consistent with higher transaction revenue. Producer compensation expense was also higher, primarily in Australia and China, as a result of headcount increases. Foreign currency translation had a \$2.4 million negative impact on cost of services for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$6.0 million, or 15.2%, primarily due to an increase in payroll-related costs, including bonuses, which were consistent with the improved results throughout the region. Foreign currency translation had a \$1.9 million negative impact on total operating expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Global Investment Management

Revenue increased by \$16.7 million, or 30.9%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The increase was primarily due to incentive fees earned in France as well as higher incentive and acquisition fees earned in the United States.

Operating, administrative and other expenses increased by \$26.8 million, or 56.2%, primarily due to higher incentive compensation accruals of \$18.8 million for key executives related to participation interests in certain real estate investments under management, for which no revenue has yet been recognized. Revenue associated with these expenses cannot be recognized until certain financial hurdles are met. In the fourth quarter of 2005, we expect to recognize income from some of these investments, which should offset the cumulative accrued incentive compensation expense to date. Also contributing to increased operating expenses were higher bonus accruals in the current year, primarily as a result of the growth in revenue. Foreign currency translation did not have a significant impact on this operating segment during the current year.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We believe that we can satisfy our working capital requirements and funding of investments with internally generated cash flow and, as necessary, borrowings under the revolving credit facility of our amended and restated credit agreement described below. Included in the capital requirements that we expect to fund during 2005 is approximately \$35 million of anticipated net capital expenditures, of which \$22.4 million has been funded on or prior to September 30, 2005. The capital expenditures for 2005 are primarily comprised of information technology costs, which are driven largely by computer replacements as well as costs associated with upgrading various servers and systems, and leasehold improvements.

During 2001 and 2003, we required substantial amounts of new equity and debt financing to fund our acquisitions of CB Richard Ellis Services and Insignia. Absent extraordinary transactions such as these, we historically have not needed sources of financing other than our internally generated cash flow and our revolving credit facility to fund our working capital, capital expenditure and investment requirements. As a result, our management anticipates that our cash flow from operations and revolving credit facility will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash requirements for the foreseeable future, but at a minimum for the next twelve months.

From time to time, we consider potential strategic acquisitions. Our management believes that any future significant acquisitions that we make most likely would require us to obtain additional debt or equity financing. In the past, we have been able to obtain such financing for material transactions on terms that our management believed to be reasonable. However, it is possible that we may not be able to find acquisition financing on favorable terms in the future if we decide to make any material acquisitions.

Our current long-term liquidity needs, other than those related to ordinary course obligations and commitments such as operating leases, generally are comprised of two parts. The first is the repayment of the outstanding principal amounts of our long-term indebtedness, including our senior secured term loan in 2010, our 934% senior notes in 2010 and our 1114% senior subordinated notes in 2011. In May and June 2004, we repurchased \$21.6 million in aggregate principal amount of our 11¹/₄% senior subordinated notes in the open market. During June 2004, we used a portion of the net proceeds we received from our June 15, 2004 initial public offering to prepay \$15.0 million in principal amount of the senior secured term loan under our amended and restated credit agreement. During July 2004, we used the remaining net proceeds received from the offering to redeem all \$38.3 million in aggregate principal amount of our remaining outstanding 16% senior notes and \$70.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 934% senior notes. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we repurchased \$42.7 million in aggregate principal amount of our 111/4% senior subordinated notes in the open market. In the future, we will continue to look for opportunities to reduce our debt from time to time. Our management is unable to project with certainty whether our long-term cash flow from operations will be sufficient to repay our long-term debt when it comes due. If this cash flow is insufficient, then our management expects that we would need to refinance such indebtedness or otherwise amend its terms to extend the maturity dates. Our management cannot make any assurances that such refinancings or amendments, if necessary, would be available on attractive terms, if at all.

The other primary component of our long-term liquidity needs, other than those related to ordinary course obligations and commitments such as operating leases, are our obligations related to our deferred compensation plans and our U.K. pension plans. Pursuant to our deferred compensation plans, a select group of our management and other highly-compensated employees have been permitted to defer receipt of some or all of their compensation until future distribution dates and have the deferred amount credited towards specified investment alternatives. Except for deferrals into stock fund units that provide for future issuances of our common stock, the deferrals under the deferred compensation plans represent future cash payment obligations. In addition, upon each distribution under the plans, we receive a corresponding tax deduction for such compensation payment. Our U.K. subsidiaries maintain pension plans with respect to which a limited number of our U.K. employees are participants. Our historical policy has been to fund pension costs as actuarially determined and as required by applicable law and regulations. As of December 31, 2004, based upon actuarial calculations of future benefit obligations under these plans, these plans were in the aggregate

approximately \$41.9 million underfunded.

Our management expects that any future obligations under our deferred compensation plans and pension plans that are not currently funded will be funded out of our future cash flow from operations.

Historical Cash Flows

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$136.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, an increase of \$84.4 million as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2004. This increase was primarily due to the improved operating performance experienced in 2005 in comparison to the nine months ended September 30, 2004. Also contributing to the increase over the prior year was the accelerated timing of payments to vendors in the prior year offset by an additional \$20.0 million of funding of our deferred compensation plan.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities totaled \$89.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, representing an increase of \$85.0 million as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The increase was primarily due to the receipt of proceeds in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from the sale of property held for sale related to a real estate investment in Japan as well as the current year purchases of properties held for sale relating to a real estate investment in Europe. Also contributing to the year-over-year variance was the use of cash for in-fill acquisitions in the current year, particularly our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Gunne in Ireland, partially offset by a decline in capital expenditures.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities totaled \$17.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$61.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The decrease in net cash used in financing activities was primarily driven by new net borrowings related to the purchases of properties held for sale in Europe in the current year versus repayment of borrowings related to a property held for sale in Japan in the prior year. The current year borrowing activity was partially offset by increased repayments of our 11¼% senior subordinated notes.

Initial and Secondary Public Offerings

On June 15, 2004, we completed the initial public offering of shares of our Class A common stock. In connection with our initial public offering, we issued and sold 7,726,764 shares of our Class A common stock and received aggregate net proceeds of approximately \$135.0 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses payable by us. Also in connection with our initial public offering, selling stockholders sold an aggregate of 16,273,236 shares of our Class A common stock and received net proceeds of approximately \$290.6 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions. On July 14, 2004, selling stockholders sold an additional 229,300 shares of our Class A common stock to cover over-allotments of shares by underwriters and received net proceeds of approximately \$4.1 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions. We did not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of shares by the selling stockholders on June 15, 2004 and July 14, 2004. Lastly, on December 13, 2004, we completed a secondary public offering that provided further liquidity for some of our stockholders. We did not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of shares public offering.

As a public company, we have incurred and will continue to incur legal, accounting and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company. In addition, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as well as subsequent rules to the same extent enacted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, and the New York Stock Exchange have required changes in corporate governance practices of public companies. These new rules and regulations, including Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the related rules and regulations, have increased our legal and financial compliance costs.

Indebtedness

Our substantial level of indebtedness increases the possibility that we may be unable to generate cash sufficient to pay when due the principal of, interest on or other amounts due in respect of our indebtedness and other obligations. In addition, we may incur additional debt from time to time to finance strategic acquisitions, investments, joint ventures or for other purposes, subject to the restrictions contained in the documents governing our indebtedness. If we incur additional debt, the risks associated with our substantial leverage, including our ability to service our debt, would increase.

Most of our long-term indebtedness was incurred in connection with our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services in July 2001 and the Insignia Acquisition. The CB Richard Ellis Services acquisition, which was a going private transaction involving members of our senior management, affiliates of Blum Capital Partners and Freeman Spogli & Co. and some of our other existing stockholders, was undertaken so that we could take advantage of growth opportunities and focus on improvements in the CB Richard Ellis Services businesses. The Insignia Acquisition increased the scale of our real estate advisory services and outsourcing services businesses as well as significantly increased our presence in the New York, London and Paris metropolitan areas.

Since 2001, we have maintained a credit agreement with Credit Suisse First Boston, or CSFB, and other lenders to fund strategic acquisitions and to provide for our working capital needs. On April 23, 2004, we entered into an amendment to our previously amended and restated credit agreement that included a waiver generally permitting us to prepay, redeem, repurchase or otherwise retire up to \$30.0 million of our existing indebtedness and provided for the refinancing of all outstanding amounts under our previous credit agreement as well as the amendment and restatement of our credit agreement upon the completion of our initial public offering. On June 15, 2004, in connection with the completion of our initial public offering, we completed the refinancing of all amounts outstanding under our amended and restated credit agreement and entered into a new amended and restated credit agreement which became effective in connection with such refinancing. On November 15, 2004, we entered into a first amendment to our new amended and restated credit agreement, which reduced the interest rate spread of our term loan and increased flexibility on certain restricted payments and investments. On May 10, 2005, we entered into a second amendment to our amended and restated credit agreement), which relaxed the mandatory prepayment clause of the initial credit agreement by permitting us to keep cash otherwise required to be used to pay down principal, so long as our leverage ratio is below 2.5 to 1.0.

Our current Credit Agreement includes the following: (1) a term loan facility of \$295.0 million, requiring quarterly principal payments of \$2.95 million beginning December 31, 2004 through December 31, 2009 with the balance payable on March 31, 2010; and (2) a \$150.0 million revolving credit facility, including revolving credit loans, letters of credit and a swingline loan facility, all maturing on March 31, 2009. Our Credit Agreement also permits us to make additional borrowings under the term loan facility of up to \$25.0 million, subject to the satisfaction of customary conditions.

Borrowings under the term loan facility bear interest at varying rates based, at our option, on either LIBOR plus 2.00% or the alternate base rate plus 1.00%. The alternate base rate is the higher of (1) CSFB s prime rate or (2) the Federal Funds Effective Rate plus one-half of one percent. The potential increase of up to \$25.0 million for the term loan facility would bear interest either at the same rate as the current rate for the term loan facility or, in some circumstances as described in the Credit Agreement, at a higher or lower rate. During June 2004, we used a portion of the net proceeds we received from our initial public offering to prepay \$15.0 million in principal amount of the term loan facility. The total amount outstanding under the term loan facility included in the senior secured term loan and current maturities of long-term debt balances in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets was \$268.2 million and \$277.1 million as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at varying rates based at our option, on either the applicable LIBOR plus 2.00% to 2.50% or the alternate base rate plus 1.00% to 1.50%, in both cases as determined by reference to our ratio of total debt less available cash to EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement). As of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, we had no revolving credit facility principal outstanding. As of September 30, 2005, letters of credit totaling \$14.6 million were outstanding, which letters of credit primarily relate to our subsidiaries outstanding indebtedness as well as operating leases and reduce the amount we may borrow under the revolving credit facility.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement are jointly and severally guaranteed by us and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries and are secured by a pledge of substantially all of our domestic assets. Additionally, the Credit Agreement requires us to pay a fee based on the total amount of the unused revolving credit facility commitment.

In May 2003, in connection with the Insignia Acquisition, CBRE Escrow, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of CB Richard Ellis Services, issued \$200.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 9¾% senior notes, which are due May 15, 2010. CBRE Escrow, Inc. merged with and into CB Richard Ellis Services, and CB Richard Ellis Services assumed all obligations with respect to the 9¾% senior notes in connection with the Insignia Acquisition. The 9¾% senior notes are unsecured obligations of CB Richard Ellis Services, senior to all of its current and future unsecured indebtedness, but subordinated to all of CB Richard Ellis Services current and future secured indebtedness. The 9¾% senior notes are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior basis by us and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries. Interest accrues at a rate of 9¾% per year and is payable semi-annually in arrears on May 15 and

November 15. The 9¾% senior notes are redeemable at our option, in whole or in part, on or after May 15, 2007 at 104.875% of par on that date and at declining prices thereafter. In addition, before May 15, 2006, we were permitted to redeem up to 35.0% of the originally issued amount of the 9¾% senior notes at 109¾% of par, plus accrued and unpaid interest, solely with the net cash proceeds from public equity offerings, which we elected to do. During July 2004, we used a portion of the net proceeds we received from our initial public offering to redeem \$70.0 million in aggregate principal amount, or 35.0%, of our 9¾% senior notes, which also required the payment of a \$6.8 million premium and accrued and unpaid interest through the date of redemption. In the event of a change of control (as defined in the indenture governing our 9¾% senior notes), we are obligated to make an offer to purchase the 9¾% senior notes at a redemption price of 101.0% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The amount of the 9¾% senior notes included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets was \$130.0 million as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004.

In June 2001, in order to partially finance our acquisition of CB Richard Ellis Services, Blum CB Corp. issued \$229.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 111/4% senior subordinated notes due June 15, 2011 for approximately \$225.6 million, net of discount. CB Richard Ellis Services assumed all obligations with respect to the 111/4% senior subordinated notes in connection with the merger of Blum CB Corp. with and into CB Richard Ellis Services on July 20, 2001. The 111/4% senior subordinated notes are unsecured senior subordinated obligations of CB Richard Ellis Services and rank equally in right of payment with any of CB Richard Ellis Services existing and future unsecured senior subordinated indebtedness but are subordinated to any of CB Richard Ellis Services existing and future senior indebtedness. The 1114% senior subordinated notes are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior subordinated basis by us and substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries. The 1114% senior subordinated notes require semi-annual payments of interest in arrears on June 15 and December 15 and are redeemable in whole or in part on or after June 15, 2006 at 105.625% of par on that date and at declining prices thereafter. In addition, before June 15, 2004, we were permitted to redeem up to 35.0% of the originally issued amount of the notes at 1111/4% of par, plus accrued and unpaid interest, solely with the net cash proceeds from public equity offerings, which we did not do. In the event of a change of control (as defined in the indenture governing our 111/4% senior subordinated notes), we are obligated to make an offer to purchase the 111/4% senior subordinated notes at a redemption price of 101.0% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. In May and June 2004, we repurchased \$21.6 million in aggregate principal amount of our 111/4% senior subordinated notes in the open market. We paid \$3.1 million of premiums in connection with these open market purchases. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we repurchased an additional \$42.7 million in aggregate principal amount of our 111/4% senior subordinated notes in the open market. We paid an aggregate of \$5.9 million of premiums in connection with these open market purchases. The amount of the 111/4% senior subordinated notes included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, net of unamortized discount, was \$163.0 million and \$205.0 million as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

Our Credit Agreement and the indentures governing our 9¾% senior notes and our 11¼% senior subordinated notes each contain numerous restrictive covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness, pay dividends or make distributions to stockholders, repurchase capital stock or debt, make investments, sell assets or subsidiary stock, engage in transactions with affiliates, enter into sale/leaseback transactions, issue subsidiary equity and enter into consolidations or mergers. Our Credit Agreement also currently requires us to maintain a minimum coverage ratio of interest and certain fixed charges and a maximum leverage and senior secured leverage ratio of EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement) to funded debt.

From time to time, Moody s Investor Service and Standard & Poor s Ratings Service rate our outstanding senior secured term loan, our 93/4% senior notes and our 111/4% senior subordinated notes. On April 11, 2005, Moody s Investor Service upgraded its rating of our senior secured term loan and 93/4% senior notes from B1 to Ba3 as well as our 111/4% senior subordinated notes from B3 to B1, and raised its rating outlook to positive. On May 25, 2005, Standard & Poor s Ratings Service raised our credit rating from B+ to

BB-. Neither the Moody s nor the Standard & Poor s ratings impact our ability to borrow under our Credit Agreement.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

However, these ratings may impact our ability to borrow under new agreements in the future and the interest rates of any such future borrowings.

Our wholly owned subsidiary, CBRE Melody, has a credit agreement with Washington Mutual Bank, FA, or WaMu, for the purpose of funding mortgage loans that will be resold. This credit agreement was previously with Residential Funding Corporation, or RFC. On December 1, 2004, we and RFC entered into a Fifth Amended and Restated Warehousing Credit and Security Agreement (warehouse line of credit), which provides for a warehouse line of credit of up to \$250.0 million, bears interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.0% and expired on September 1, 2005. This agreement provided for the ability to terminate the warehousing commitment as of any date on or after March 1, 2005, upon not less than thirty days advance written notice. On December 13, 2004, we and RFC entered into the First Amendment to the Fifth Amended and Restated Warehousing Credit and Security Agreement whereby

the warehousing commitment was temporarily increased to \$315.0 million, effective December 20, 2004. This temporary increase was for the period from December 20, 2004 to and including January 20, 2005. On March 1, 2005, we and RFC signed a consent letter, which approved the assignment to and assumption of the Fifth Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement by WaMu. On August 23, 2005, we entered into a second amendment to the warehouse line of credit, which extended the agreement with WaMu until November 1, 2005. On October 28, 2005, we executed a third amendment to the warehouse line of credit, which further extended the agreement with WaMu until December 1, 2005. We expect that prior to December 1, 2005, or within thirty days after delivery of any termination notice by WaMu, CBRE Melody will be able to reach a satisfactory amendment to extend the term of the agreement with WaMu or to enter into an agreement with another third party to provide substitute financing arrangements for the purpose of funding mortgage loans. However, if CBRE Melody is unable to do so, the business and results of operations of our mortgage loan origination and servicing line of business may be adversely affected. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we had a maximum of \$184.5 million warehouse line of credit outstanding, respectively, which are included in short-term borrowings in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Additionally, we had \$146.5 million and \$138.2 million of mortgage loans funded through the line of credit that, while committed to be purchased, had not yet been purchased as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively, which are also included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

During 2005, in conjunction with the acquisitions of properties held for sale in our European investment management business, we entered into debt agreements with ING Real Estate Finance N.V., or ING Real Estate, and The Royal Bank of Scotland, or RBS. The agreement with ING Real Estate related to a property held for sale in Germany and provided for the borrowing of 19.0 million euros of acquisition indebtedness and 5.1 million euros of construction/upgrade financing. The 19.0 million principal had a floating rate component with respect to 8.0 million euros and a fixed rate component with respect to 11.0 million euros. The floating rate was tied to the three-month Euribor rate plus 0.95%. The fixed rate was equal to the Euro Interest Rate Swap Rate plus 1.05% for up to three years. The 5.1 million euros construction financing principal accrued interest based upon the aforementioned indices in both fixed and floating rate components. During the quarter ended September 30, 2005, we completed the sale of the German property held for sale and utilized the proceeds from the sale to repay all of the related debt. The agreement with RBS relates to two properties held for sale in France and provides for the borrowing of 24.1 million euros. Interest accrues at a rate based on the three-month Euribor rate plus 1.20% and is payable quarterly in arrears. This debt matures on August 16, 2009; however, the debt agreement provides for a one-year extension based on the meeting of certain conditions (as defined in the debt agreement). The debt agreement also provides for the mandatory repayment of the debt in certain circumstances, including upon sale of the aforementioned related property held for sale as well as in the event of a change of control (as defined in the debt agreement). The operating results related to these properties held for sale were not significant for the periods ended September 30, 2005. The amount of debt included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets related to the French properties held for sa

In connection with our acquisition of Westmark Realty Advisors in 1995, which significantly expanded our Global Investment Management segment, we issued approximately \$20.0 million in aggregate principal amount of senior notes. The Westmark senior notes are redeemable at the discretion of the note holders and have final maturity dates of June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2010. On January 1, 2005, the interest rate on all of the Westmark senior notes was adjusted to equal the interest rate in effect with respect to amounts outstanding under our Credit Agreement. On May 31, 2005, with the exception of one note holder, we entered into an amendment to eliminate a letter of credit requirement and adjust the interest rate to equal the interest rate in effect with respect to amounts outstanding under our Credit Agreement plus twelve basis points. The amount of the Westmark senior notes included in short-term borrowings in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets was \$11.6 million and \$12.1 million as of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

Insignia, which we acquired in July 2003, issued loan notes as partial consideration for previous acquisitions of businesses in the U.K., which was part of Insignia s business strategy of increasing its presence in that country. The acquisition loan notes are payable to the sellers of the previously acquired U.K. businesses and are secured by restricted cash deposits in approximately the same amount. The acquisition loan notes are redeemable semi-annually at the discretion of the note holder and have a final maturity date of April 2010. As of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, \$5.2 million and \$8.5 million, respectively, of the acquisition loan notes were outstanding and are included in short-term borrowings in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

A significant number of our subsidiaries in Europe have had a euro cash pool loan since 2001, which is used to fund their short-term liquidity needs. The euro cash pool loan is an overdraft line for our European operations issued by HSBC Bank. The euro cash pool loan has no stated maturity date and bears interest at varying rates based on a base rate as defined by HSBC Bank plus 2.5%. As of September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, there were no amounts outstanding under this facility.

Deferred Compensation Plan Obligations

We have two deferred compensation plans, one of which has been frozen and is no longer accepting deferrals, which we refer to as the Old DCP, and one of which became effective on August 1, 2004 and began accepting deferrals on August 13, 2004, which we refer to as the New DCP. Because a substantial majority of the deferrals under both the Old DCP and the New DCP have a distribution date based upon the end of the relevant participant s employment with us, we have an ongoing obligation to make distributions to these participants as they leave our employment. In addition, participants may receive unscheduled in-service withdrawals subject to a 7.5% penalty. As the level of employee departures or in-service distributions is not predictable, the timing of these obligations also is not predictable. Accordingly, we may face significant unexpected cash funding obligations in the future if a larger number of our employees take in-service distributions or leave our employment earlier than we expect. The deferred compensation liability in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets was \$182.6 million and \$166.7 million at September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

Old DCP

Prior to amending the Old DCP as discussed below, each participant in the Old DCP was allowed to defer a portion of his or her compensation for distribution generally either after his or her employment with us ends or on a future date at least three years after the deferral election date. The investment alternatives available to participants include two interest index funds and an insurance fund in which gains and losses on deferrals are measured by one or more of approximately 80 mutual funds. Distributions with respect to the interest index and insurance fund accounts are made by us in cash. In addition, prior to July 2001, participants were entitled to invest their deferrals in stock fund units that are distributed as shares of our Class A common stock. As of September 30, 2005, there were 1,400,474 outstanding stock fund units under the Old DCP, all of which were vested.

Effective January 1, 2004, we closed the Old DCP to new participants. Thereafter, until January 1, 2005, the Old DCP accepted compensation deferrals from those participants who had a balance in the plan, met the eligibility requirements and elected to participate, in each case up to a maximum annual contribution amount of \$250,000 per participant. Effective January 1, 2005, no additional deferrals are permitted under this plan. Existing account balances under the plan will be paid to participants in the future according to their existing deferral elections. However, currently all participants may make unscheduled in-service withdrawals of their account balances, including the shares of Class A common stock underlying stock fund units, if they pay a penalty equal to 7.5% and the taxes due on the value of the withdrawal.

Prior to our initial public offering, all shares held by our current and former employees and consultants, including any shares that such employees and consultants are entitled to receive as distributions with respect to stock fund units in the Old DCP, were subject to transfer restrictions. In connection with our initial public offering, we waived all of these transfer restrictions. As a result, all of these shares, including any shares received as future distributions with respect to stock fund units in the Old DCP, may be sold, subject to applicable securities law requirements. Shortly after our initial public offering, we filed a registration statement on Form S-8 that registered, among other things, the shares of Class A common stock to be distributed in the future with respect to stock fund units in the Old DCP.

New DCP

Effective August 1, 2004, we adopted the New DCP, which began accepting deferrals for compensation earned after August 13, 2004. Under the New DCP, each participant is allowed to defer a portion of his or her compensation for distribution generally either after his or her employment with us ends or on a future date at least three years after the deferral election date. Deferrals are credited at the participant s election to one or more investment alternatives under the New DCP, which include a money-market fund and a mutual fund investment option. There is limited flexibility for participants to change distribution elections once made. However, all participants may make unscheduled in-service withdrawals of their account balances if they pay a penalty equal to 7.5% and the taxes due on the value of the withdrawal.

Pension Liability

Our subsidiaries based in the United Kingdom maintain two defined benefit pension plans to provide retirement benefits to existing and former employees participating in the plans. With respect to these plans, our historical policy has been to contribute annually an amount to fund pension cost as actuarially determined by an independent pension consulting firm and as required by applicable laws and regulations. Our contributions to these plans are invested and, if these investments do not perform in the future as well as we expect, we will be required to provide additional funding to cover the shortfall. The pension liability in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets was \$25.6 million and \$27.9 million at September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively. We expect to contribute a total of \$5.2 million to fund our pension plan for the year ended December 31, 2005, of which \$4.1 million was funded as of September 30, 2005.

Other Obligations and Commitments

We had an outstanding letter of credit totaling \$0.8 million as of September 30, 2005, excluding letters of credit related to our subsidiaries outstanding indebtedness and operating leases. The \$0.8 million outstanding letter of credit is a Fannie Mae letter of credit executed by CBRE Melody and expires on December 10, 2005. However, we are obligated to renew this letter of credit until our obligation to cover potential credit losses is satisfied.

We had guarantees totaling \$1.7 million as of September 30, 2005, which consisted primarily of an obligation to Fannie Mae. The guarantee obligation related to the agreement with Fannie Mae will expire in December 2007.

An important part of the strategy for our investment management business involves investing our capital in certain real estate investments with our clients. These co-investments typically range from 2% to 5% of the equity in a particular fund. As of September 30, 2005, we had committed \$59.0 million to fund future co-investments, of which \$30.8 million is expected to be funded during 2005. In addition to required future capital contributions, some of the co-investment entities may request additional capital from us and our subsidiaries holding investments in those assets and the failure to provide these contributions could have adverse consequences to our interests in these investments.

Seasonality

A significant portion of our revenue is seasonal, which can affect an investor s ability to compare our financial condition and results of operations on a quarter-by-quarter basis. Historically, this seasonality has caused our revenue, operating income, net income and cash flow from operating activities to be lower in the first two quarters and higher in the third and fourth quarters of each year. The concentration of earnings and cash flow in the fourth quarter is due to an industry-wide focus on completing transactions toward the fiscal year-end. This has historically resulted in lower profits or a loss in the first and second quarters, with profits growing or losses decreasing in each subsequent quarter.

Derivatives and Hedging Activities

In the normal course of business, we sometimes utilize derivative financial instruments in the form of foreign currency exchange forward contracts to mitigate foreign currency exchange exposure resulting from inter-company loans, expected cash flow and earnings. We do not engage in any speculative activities with respect to foreign currency. On March 4, 2005, we entered into foreign currency exchange forward contracts with an aggregate notional amount of approximately \$6.0 million, which expire on various dates through December 30, 2005. On April 19, 2005, we entered into an option agreement to purchase an aggregate notional amount of 25.0 million British pounds sterling, which will expire on December 28, 2005. On April 22, 2005, we entered into additional foreign currency exchange forward contracts with an aggregate notional amount of approximately \$17.0 million, which expire on various dates though December 31, 2005. On September 21, 2005, we entered into an additional foreign currency exchange forward contract with a notional amount of approximately \$4.0 million, which expire on various dates though December 31, 2005. On September 21, 2005, we entered into an additional foreign currency exchange forward contract with a notional amount of approximately \$4.0 million, which expires on December 30, 2005. The net impact on our earnings resulting from gains and/or losses on our option agreement as well as our foreign currency exchange forward contracts was not significant for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, and is not expected to be material.

We also enter into loan commitments that relate to the origination or acquisition of commercial mortgage loans that will be held for resale. Statement of Financial Accountings Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, requires that these commitments be recorded at their relative fair values as derivatives. The net impact on our financial position for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 resulting from these derivative contracts was not significant.

New Accounting and Tax Pronouncements

On October 22, 2004, the President signed the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (the Act). The Act creates a temporary incentive for U.S. corporations to repatriate accumulated income earned abroad by providing an 85 percent dividends received deduction for certain dividends from controlled foreign corporations. We are currently finalizing an evaluation of the effects of the repatriation provisions of the Act. We are considering repatriating from \$0 to \$60 million. Accordingly, the related potential income tax expense associated with the repatriation is from \$0 to \$3 million.

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, or SFAS, No. 123 Revised, *Share Based Payment*, or FAS 123R. The statement establishes the standards for the accounting for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods and services. The statement focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in share-based payment transactions. FAS 123R permits public companies to adopt its requirements using one of two methods: a modified-prospective method or a modified-retrospective method. We plan to adopt the modified-prospective method. Under this method, a company records compensation expense for all awards it grants or modifies after the date it adopts the standard. We will be required to record compensation expense for the unvested portion of previously granted awards for which no compensation expense is being recognized and that remain outstanding at the date of adoption. During 2005, the SEC deferred the effective date of this statement until the first annual period beginning after June 15, 2005, or in our case January 1, 2006. The adoption of this statement is not expected to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, *Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets, an Amendment of APB Opinion No. 29,* or SFAS 153. The guidance in APB Opinion No. 29, *Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions,* is based on the principle that exchanges of nonmonetary assets should be measured based on the fair value of the assets exchanged. The guidance in APB Opinion No. 29, however, included certain exceptions to that principle. SFAS 153 amends APB Opinion No. 29 to eliminate the exception for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. A nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. SFAS 153 is effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. We do not believe that the adoption of SFAS 153 will have a material impact on our results of operations or financial position.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*, or SFAS 154. SFAS 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods financial statements of voluntary changes in accounting principle. It also requires that the new accounting principle be applied to the balances of assets and liabilities as of the beginning of the earliest period for which retrospective application is practicable and that a corresponding adjustment be made to the opening balance of retained earnings for that period rather than being reported in an income statement. The statement will be effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 154 to have a material effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The words anticipate, believe, could, should, propose, continue, estimate intend, may, plan, predict, project, will and similar terms and phrases are used in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to identify forward-looking statements. These statements relate to analyses and other information based on forecasts of future results and estimates of amounts not yet determinable. These statements also relate to our future prospects, developments and business strategies.

These forward-looking statements are made based on our management s expectations and beliefs concerning future events affecting us and are subject to uncertainties and factors relating to our operations and business environment, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond our control. These uncertainties and factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from those matters expressed in or implied by these forward-looking statements.

The following factors are among those, but are not only those, that may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements:

changes in general economic and business conditions;

the failure of properties managed by us to perform as anticipated;

our ability to compete globally, or in specific geographic markets or business segments that are material to us;

changes in social, political and economic conditions in the foreign countries in which we operate;

acts of terrorism;

foreign currency fluctuations;

our ability to complete future acquisitions on favorable terms;

integration issues and costs relating to acquired businesses;

an economic downturn in the California and New York real estate markets;

significant variability in our results of operations among quarters;

our substantial leverage and debt service obligations and ability to incur additional indebtedness;

our ability to generate a sufficient amount of cash to satisfy working capital requirements and to service our existing and future indebtedness;

the success of our co-investment and joint venture activities;

the ability of our Global Investment Management segment to realize values in investment funds to offset incentive compensation expense related thereto;

our ability to retain our senior management and attract and retain qualified and experienced employees;

our ability to comply with the laws and regulations applicable to real estate brokerage and mortgage transactions;

our exposure to liabilities in connection with real estate brokerage and property management activities;

changes in the key components of revenue growth for large commercial real estate services companies;

reliance of companies on outsourcing for their commercial real estate needs;

the ability of CBRE Melody to amend, or replace, on satisfactory terms its warehouse line of credit agreement;

our ability to leverage our global services platform to maximize and sustain long-term cash flow;

our ability to maximize cross-selling opportunities;

our ability to achieve annual cash interest savings;

the effect of implementation of new tax and accounting rules and standards; and

the other factors described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K under the heading Business-Factors Affecting Our Future Performance and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date the statements are made. You should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. We assume no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect actual results, changes in assumptions or changes in other factors affecting forward-looking information, except to the extent required by applicable securities laws. If we do update one or more forward-looking statements,

no inference should be drawn that we will make additional updates with respect to those or other forward-looking statements. Additional information concerning these and other risks and uncertainties is contained in our other periodic filings with the SEC.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information in this section should be read in connection with the information on market risk related to changes in interest rates and non-U.S. currency exchange rates in Part II, Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. Our exposure to market risk consists of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations related to our international operations and changes in interest rates on debt obligations.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, approximately 31.4% of our business was transacted in local currencies of foreign countries, the majority of which includes the Euro, the British pound sterling, the Hong Kong dollar, the Singapore dollar and the Australian dollar. We attempt to manage our exposure primarily by balancing assets and liabilities and maintaining cash positions in foreign currencies only at levels necessary for operating purposes. In the normal course of business, we sometimes utilize derivative financial instruments in the form of foreign currency exchange contracts to mitigate foreign currency exchange exposure resulting from inter-company loans, expected cash flow and earnings. We do not engage in any speculative activities with respect to foreign currency. On March 4, 2005, we entered into foreign currency exchange forward contracts with an aggregate notional amount of approximately \$6.0 million, which expire on various dates through December 30, 2005. On April 19, 2005, we entered into an option agreement to purchase an aggregate notional amount of 25.0 million British pounds sterling, which will expire on December 28, 2005. On April 22, 2005, we entered into additional foreign currency exchange forward contracts with an aggregate notional amount of approximately \$17.0 million, which expire on various dates though December 31, 2005. On September 21, 2005, we entered into an additional foreign currency exchange forward contract with a notional amount of approximately \$4.0 million, which expires on December 30, 2005. The net impact on our earnings resulting from gains and/or losses on our option agreement as well as our foreign currency exchange forward contracts was not significant for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, and is not expected to be material.

We utilize sensitivity analyses to assess the potential effect of our variable rate debt. If interest rates were to increase by 53 basis points, which would comprise approximately 10% of the weighted average interest rates of our outstanding variable rate debt at September 30, 2005, the net impact would be a decrease of \$1.8 million on pre-tax income and cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Based on dealers quotes at September 30, 2005, the estimated fair values of our 9¾% senior notes and our 11¼% senior subordinated notes were \$143.3 million and \$179.5 million, respectively. Estimated fair values for the term loan under the senior secured credit facilities and the remaining long-term debt are not presented because we believe that they are not materially different from book value, primarily because the substantial majority of this debt is based on variable rates that approximate terms that we believe could be obtained at September 30, 2005.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We have formally adopted a policy for disclosure controls and procedures that provides guidance on the evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures and is designed to ensure that all corporate disclosure is complete and accurate in all material respects and that all information required to be disclosed in the periodic reports submitted by us under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods and in the manner specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms. As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out our evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. A Disclosure Committee consisting of the principal accounting officer, general counsel, chief communications officer in this evaluation. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the quarterly period covered by this report.

No change in our internal control over financial reporting occurred during the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2005 that has materially affected, or is likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are a party to a number of pending or threatened lawsuits arising out of, or incident to, our ordinary course of business. Our management believes that any liability that may result from the disposition of these lawsuits will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Form of Restated Certificate of Incorporation of CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. filed on June 15, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of the CB Richard Ellis Group Inc. Amendment No. 4 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the SEC (No. 333-112867) on June 7, 2004)
3.2	Form of Restated By-laws of CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 of the CB Richard Ellis Group Inc. Amendment No. 4 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the SEC (No. 333-112867) on June 7, 2004)
4.2(d)	Third Amendment and Waiver to Securityholders Agreement, dated as of August 1, 2005, by and among CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc., CB Richard Ellis Services, Inc. and the other parties thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 2, 2005).
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to §302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to §302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	Certifications by Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CB RICHARD ELLIS GROUP, INC.

Date: November 8, 2005

Date: November 8, 2005

/s/ KENNETH J. KAY Kenneth J. Kay Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer)

/s/ GIL BOROK Gil Borok Global Controller (principal accounting officer)