STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC. Form 10-Q May 08, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 001-34436

Starwood Property Trust, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

27-0247747 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

591 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, Connecticut (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

06830 (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code:

(203) 422-8100

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports recof 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronical File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regula period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Ye	tion S-T (§232.405) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer company. See definition of accelerated filer, large accelerated filer, and one):	, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting d smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check
Large accelerated filer x	Accelerated filer o
Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company o
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as def	ined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x
The number of shares of the issuer s common stock, \$0.01 par value, or	atstanding as of May 7, 2012 was 116, 379, 042.

Special Note Regarding Forward Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements, including without limitation, statements concerning our operations, economic performance and financial condition. These forward-looking statements are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are developed by combining currently available information with our beliefs and assumptions and are generally identified by the words believe, expect, anticipate and other similar expressions. Forward-looking statements do not guarantee future performance, which may be materially different from that expressed in, or implied by, any such statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of their dates.

These forward-looking statements are based largely on our current beliefs, assumptions and expectations of our future performance taking into account all information currently available to us. These beliefs, assumptions and expectations can change as a result of many possible events or factors, not all of which are known to us or within our control, and which could materially affect actual results, performance or achievements. Factors that may cause actual results to vary from our forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

• ended Mar	factors described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and in this Form 10-Q for the quarter ch 31, 2012, including those set forth under the captions Risk Factors and Business;
•	defaults by borrowers in paying debt service on outstanding items;
•	impairment in the value of real estate property securing our loans;
•	availability of mortgage origination and acquisition opportunities acceptable to us;
•	potential mismatches in the timing of asset repayments and the maturity of the associated financing agreements;
•	national and local economic and business conditions;
•	general and local commercial real estate property conditions;

• changes in federal government policies;

•	changes in federal, state and local governmental laws and regulations;
•	increased competition from entities engaged in mortgage lending;
•	changes in interest rates;
•	the availability of and costs associated with sources of liquidity;
residential when due property ta	factors associated with the ownership of residential real estate assets acquired by us directly or indirectly in settlement of loans, or REO, and held for lease and potentially for sale, including: macroeconomic shifts in demand for, and competition in the supply of, rental properties; the inability to lease or re-lease properties to tenants on attractive terms or at all; the failure of tenants to pay rent or otherwise perform their lease obligations; unanticipated repairs, capital expenditures or other costs; uninsured damages; increases axes and insurance costs; our ability to dispose of the residential REO in a timely manner in response to changes in market conditions ial tax liabilities owed, and limitations imposed, upon disposition of the residential REO; and
effect anot	factors relating to our investment in distressed or non-performing residential mortgage loans acquired with the expectation of their into residential REO or other commercial resolution, including our ability to convert such investments into residential REO or to their commercial resolution in a timely manner or at all, the costs relating thereto, and the additional real estate investment trust, or lification issues associated with the investment in distressed or non-performing residential mortgage loans.
Quarterly land express	these risks and uncertainties, there can be no assurances that the results referred to in the forward-looking statements contained in the Report on Form 10-Q will in fact occur. Except to the extent required by applicable law or regulation, we undertake no obligation to, asly disclaim any such obligation to, update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect changed assumptions, the occurrence ated or unanticipated events, changes to future results over time or otherwise.
	2

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Unaudited, amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

	As of March 31, 2012	As of December 31, 2011
Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 132,565	\$ 114,027
Loans held for investment	2,333,507	2,268,599
Loans held-for-sale at fair value		128,593
Loans held in securitization trust	50,290	50,316
Mortgage-backed securities, available-for-sale, at fair value	644,138	341,734
Other investments	44,144	44,379
Accrued interest receivable	15,851	15,176
Derivative assets	9,455	12,816
Other assets	11,564	21,807
Total Assets	\$ 3,241,514	\$ 2,997,447
Liabilities and Equity		
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 5,053	\$ 5,051
Related-party payable	13,350	8,348
Dividends payable	41,439	41,431
Derivative liabilities	16,307	19,652
Secured financing agreements, net	1,308,860	1,103,517
Collateralized debt obligation in securitization trust	52,978	53,199
Other liabilities	11,096	1,102
Total Liabilities	1,449,083	1,232,300
Commitments and contingencies (Note 14)		
Equity:		
Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Stockholders Equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued		
and outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.01 per share, 500,000,000 shares authorized, and 94,004,892		
issued and 93,379,042 outstanding as of March 31, 2012 and 93,811,351 issued		
and 93,185,501 outstanding as of December 31, 2011	940	938
Additional paid-in capital	1,832,082	1,828,319
Treasury stock (625,850 shares as of March 31, 2012 and 625,850 shares as of		
December 31, 2011, respectively)	(10,642)	(10,642)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	10,863	(3,998)
Accumulated deficit	(46,409)	(55,129)
Total Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Stockholders Equity	1,786,834	1,759,488
Non-controlling interests in consolidated subsidiaries	5,597	5,659
Total Equity	1,792,431	1,765,147

Total Liabilities and Equity \$ 3,241,514 \$ 2,997,447

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Unaudited, amounts in thousands, except per share data)

For the Three-Months

	Ended March 31			
		2012		2011
Net interest margin:				
Interest income from mortgage-backed securities	\$	8,675	\$	6,860
Interest income from loans		69,077		32,717
Interest expense		(11,852)		(8,144)
Net interest margin		65,900		31,433
Expenses:				
Management fees (including \$3,649 and \$3,844 for the three-months ended March 31,				
2012 and 2011 of non-cash stock-based compensation)		15,167		9,346
Acquisition and investment pursuit costs		861		88
General and administrative (including \$116 and \$40 for the three-months ended March 31,				
2012 and 2011 of non-cash stock-based compensation)		3,023		2,104
Total expenses		19,051		11,538
Income before other income (expense) and income taxes		46,849		19,895
Interest income from cash balances		49		144
Other income (expense)		754		(472)
Other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI), net of \$1,439 and \$0 recognized in other				
comprehensive income (loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011,				
respectively		(656)		(434)
Net gains on sales of investments		7,333		8,104
Net realized foreign currency gains (losses)		8,834		(30)
Net losses on currency hedges		(6,257)		(3,916)
Net gains on interest rate hedges		566		1,450
Net losses on credit hedges				(187)
Net change in unrealized (losses) gains on loans held-for-sale at fair value		(5,760)		3,187
Unrealized foreign currency remeasurement (losses) gains		(1,025)		3,984
Income before income taxes		50,687		31,725
Income tax provision		(399)		
Net Income		50,288		31,725
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests		(129)		(278)
Net income attributable to Starwood Property Trust, Inc.	\$	50,159	\$	31,447
Net income per share of common stock:				
Basic	\$	0.53	\$	0.44
Diluted	\$	0.53	\$	0.43
Distributions declared per common share	\$	0.44	\$	0.42

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

(Unaudited, amounts in thousands)

For the Three-Months

	Ended March 31			
		2012		2011
Net Income	\$	50,288	\$	31,725
Other comprehensive income:				
Change in fair value of cash flow hedges		(252)		595
Unrealized gain (loss) in fair value of available-for-sale securities		14,457		(454)
Reclassification adjustment for net realized gains on sale of securities				(5,995)
Reclassification for OTTI		656		434
Comprehensive income		65,149		26,305
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interests		(129)		(25)
Comprehensive income attributable to Starwood Property Trust, Inc.	\$	65,020	\$	26,280

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Equity

(Unaudited, amounts in thousands, except share data)

	Common	I	k Par alue	Additional Paid-In Capital	Treasi Shares	•	Stock Amount		Con	cumulated Other nprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Stockholders Equity			Total Equity
	Shares	V	aiue	Сарпаі	Shares	F	Minount	,	Deficit	(LOSS)	Equity	mie	rests	Equity
Balance, January 1, 2011	71,021,342	\$	706 \$	1,337,953		\$		\$	(19,302) \$	8,203 \$	5 1,327,560	\$	9,669 \$	1,337,229
Stock-based compensation	11,082			3,884							3,884			3,884
Net income									31,447		31,447		278	31,725
Dividends declared, \$0.42 per share									(30,539)		(30,539))		(30,539)
Other comprehensive loss, net										(5,117)	(5,117))	(303)	(5,420)
Distribution to non-controlling														
interests													(851)	(851)
Balance, March 31, 2011	71,032,424	\$	706 \$	1,341,837		\$		\$	(18,394) \$	3,086 \$	3 1,327,235	\$	8,793 \$	1,336,028
Balance, January 1,														
2012	93,811,351	\$	938 \$	1,828,319	625,850	\$	(10,642) \$	(55,129) \$	(3,998) \$	1,759,488	\$	5,659 \$	1,765,147
Stock-based compensation	193,541		2	3,763	,						3,765		,	3,765
Net income	Í			Í					50,159		50,159		129	50,288
Dividends declared, \$0.44 per share									(41,439)		(41,439))		(41,439)
Other comprehensive income, net										14,861	14,861			14,861
Distribution to non-controlling													(101)	(101)
interests Balance, March 31,													(191)	(191)
2012	94,004,892	\$	940 \$	1,832,082	625,850	\$	(10,642	\$ ((46,409) \$	10,863 \$	1,786,834	\$	5,597 \$	1,792,431

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited, amounts in thousands)

For the Three-Months ended March 31

		1	March 31	
		2012		2011
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:	Φ.	50.00	0 4	21.525
Net Income	\$	50,28	8 \$	31,725
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		4.40	_	20.4
Amortization of deferred financing costs		1,13		394
Accretion of net discount on mortgage-backed securities		(5,61)	•	(3,241)
Accretion of net deferred loan fees and discounts		(21,62		(4,697)
Amortization of premium from collateralized debt obligations		(22	1	(216)
Stock-based compensation		3,76		3,884
Gain on sale of available-for-sale securities		(4)		(6,163)
Gain on sale of loans		(7,28'	7)	(1,914)
Gain on sale of other investments				(27)
Gain on foreign currency remeasurement		(9,14		
Net change in unrealized losses (gains) on loans held-for-sale at fair value		5,76		(3,187)
Unrealized gains on interest rate hedges		(9,77	9)	(1,688)
Unrealized losses on credit hedges				187
Unrealized losses on currency hedges		8,57	3	3,916
Unrealized foreign currency remeasurement losses (gains)		1,02:	5	(3,984)
OTTI		650	6	434
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Related-party payable		5,002	2	2,159
Accrued interest receivable, less purchased interest		(1,870	0)	(2,180)
Other assets		11,38	8	(2,846)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses			2	(79)
Other liabilities		9,99	5	(4,577)
Origination of held-for-sale loans		ĺ		(110,431)
Proceeds from sale of held-for-sale loans		132,12	8	56,312
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		174,12		(46,219)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		,		(-, -,
Purchase of mortgage-backed securities		(301,77)	2)	(92,493)
Proceeds from sale of mortgage-backed securities		4		92,669
Proceeds from mortgage-backed securities maturities				6,160
Mortgage-backed securities principal repayments		20,099	9	34,033
Origination and purchase of loans held for investment		(218,87)		(292,685)
Loan maturities		147,70		(2)2,003)
Proceeds from sale of loans held for investment		28,78		
Loan investment repayments		6,21		5,753
Purchased interest on investments		(43'		(287)
Investments in other investments		(99	,	(8,726)
Return of investment from other investments		30:		(0,720)
Proceeds from sale of other investments		30.	3	2,843
Return of investment basis in purchased derivative asset		968	Q	2,043
•		900	0	112 741
Proceeds from sale of treasury securities Cook deposited as collected under treasury securities lean agreement.				112,741
Cash deposited as collateral under treasury securities loan agreement		(217.04)	0)	(112,741)
Net cash used in investing activities		(317,06)	U)	(252,733)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		202.02	7	222.027
Borrowings under secured financing agreements		383,03		322,927
Principal repayments on borrowings under secured financing arrangements		(177,69	4)	(98,028)

Payment of deferred financing costs	(2,250)	(177)
Payment of dividends	(41,431)	(29,081)
Distributions to non-controlling interest owners	(191)	(851)
Net cash provided by financing activities	161,471	194,790
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	18,538	(104,162)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	114,027	226,854
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 132,565	\$ 122,692
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 13,143	\$ 7,613
Income taxes paid	\$	\$ 174
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activity:		
Dividends declared, but not yet paid	\$ 41,439	\$ 30,539

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Starwood I	Property	Trust,	Inc. and	l Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

As March 31, 2012

(Unaudited)

1. Business and Organization

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. (the Trust together with its subsidiaries, we or the Company) is a Maryland corporation that commenced operations on August 17, 2009 (Inception) upon the completion of its initial public offering (IPO). We are focused primarily on originating, investing in, financing and managing commercial mortgage loans and other commercial real estate debt investments. We also invest in residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS), certain commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS), and other real estate related investments. We are externally managed and advised by SPT Management, LLC (the Manager).

We are organized and conduct our operations to qualify as a real estate investment trust (REIT) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). As such, the Trust will generally not be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax on that portion of net income that is distributed to stockholders if we distribute at least 90% of our taxable income to our stockholders by prescribed dates and comply with various other requirements.

We are organized as a holding company that conducts our business primarily through three wholly-owned subsidiaries. In 2009, we formed joint ventures (the Joint Ventures) with Starwood Hospitality Fund II (Hotel II) and Starwood Opportunity Fund VIII (SOF VIII) in accordance with the co-investment and allocation agreement with our Manager. The Joint Ventures are owned 75% (and controlled) by us and are therefore consolidated into our condensed consolidated financial statements. As of March 31, 2012, the investments held by the Joint Ventures had been sold and there were no remaining substantive investments in these entities.

As of March 31, 2012, investments with collateral in the hospitality, retail, and office property sectors represented 47.9%, 16.5%, and 20.2% of our investment portfolio, respectively. Such allocations could materially change in the future.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Accounting and Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include our accounts and those of our consolidated subsidiaries. Intercompany amounts have been eliminated. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The most significant and subjective estimate that we make is estimating the cash flows that we expect to receive on our investments, which has a significant impact on the amounts of interest income, credit losses (if any), and estimated fair values that we report and/or disclose. In addition, our estimated fair value of certain financial instruments is significantly impacted by the rates we select to discount the respective cash flows.

A non-controlling interest in a consolidated subsidiary is defined as the portion of the equity (net assets) in a subsidiary not attributable, directly or indirectly, to a parent . Non-controlling interests are presented as a separate component of equity in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. In addition, the presentation of net income attributes earnings to controlling and non-controlling interests.

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2011, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full year.

Segment Reporting

We are focused on originating and acquiring real estate-related debt investments and currently operate in one reportable segment.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash in banks and short-term investments. Short-term investments are comprised of highly liquid instruments with original maturities of three months or less. We maintain our cash and cash equivalents in multiple financial institutions and at times these balances exceed federally insurable limits.

Debt Securities

GAAP requires that at the time of purchase, we designate debt securities as held-to-maturity, available-for-sale, or trading depending on our investment strategy and ability to hold such securities to maturity. Held-to-maturity securities are stated at cost plus any premiums or discounts, which are amortized or accreted through the condensed consolidated statements of operations using the effective interest method. Securities we (i) do not hold for the purpose of selling in the near-term, or (ii) may dispose of prior to maturity, are classified as available-for-sale and are carried at fair value in the accompanying financial statements. Unrealized gains or losses on available-for-sale securities are reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders—equity. As of March 31, 2012, our CMBS and RMBS were classified as available-for-sale. The classification of each investment involves management—s judgment, which is subject to change.

When the estimated fair value of a security is less than its amortized cost, we consider whether there is other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) in the value of the security. An impairment is deemed an OTTI if (i) we intend to sell the security, (ii) it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovering our cost basis, or (iii) we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security even if we do not intend to sell the security or believe it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovering our cost basis. If the impairment is deemed to be an OTTI, the resulting accounting treatment depends on the factors causing the OTTI. If the OTTI has resulted from (i) our intention to sell the security, or (ii) our judgment that it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovering our cost basis, an impairment loss is recognized in current earnings equal to the entire difference between our amortized cost basis and fair value. Whereas, if the OTTI has resulted from our conclusion that (i) we will not recover our cost basis even if we do not intend to sell the security or (ii) it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovering our cost basis, only the credit loss portion of the impairment is recorded in current earnings, and the portion of the loss related to other factors, such as changes in interest rates, continues to be recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Following the recognition of an OTTI through earnings, a new cost basis is established for the security. Determining whether there is an OTTI may require us to exercise significant judgment and make significant assumptions, including, but not limited to, estimated cash flows, estimated prepayments, and loss assumptions. As a result, actual OTTI losses could differ from reported amounts. Such judgments and assumptions are based upon a number of factors, including (i) credit of the issuer or the underlying borrowers, (ii) credit rating of the security, (iii) key terms of the security, (iv) performance of the underlying loans, including debt service coverage and loan-to-value ratios, (v) the value of the collateral for the underlying loans, (vi) the effect of local, industry, and broader economic factors, and (vii) the historical and anticipated trends in defaults and loss severities for similar securities.

Loans Held for Investment

Loans that are held for investment are carried at cost, net of unamortized acquisition premiums or discounts, loan fees, and origination and acquisition costs as applicable, unless the loans are deemed impaired.

We evaluate each loan classified as held for investment for impairment at least quarterly. Impairment occurs when it is deemed probable that we will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan. If a loan is considered to be impaired, we record an allowance to reduce the carrying value of the loan to the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s contractual effective

rate or the fair value of the collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral.

Our loans are typically collateralized by real estate. As a result, we regularly evaluate the extent and impact of any credit deterioration associated with the performance and/or value of the underlying collateral property, as well as the financial and operating capability of the borrower. Specifically, a property soperating results and any cash reserves are analyzed and used to assess (i) whether cash from operations are sufficient to cover the debt service requirements currently and into the future, (ii) the ability of the borrower to refinance the loan, and/or (iii) the property soliquidation value. We also evaluate the financial wherewithal of any loan guarantors as well as the borrower sompetency in managing and operating the properties. In addition, we consider the overall economic environment, real estate sector, and geographic sub-market in which the borrower operates. Such impairment analyses are completed and reviewed by asset management and finance personnel, who utilize various data sources, including (i) periodic financial data such as property occupancy, tenant profile, rental rates, operating expenses, the borrower some expenses, the borrower some expenses, the participants.

In addition, for all of the loans that are deemed to not be individually impaired from the evaluation process described above, we consider whether there are any specific characteristics of such loans indicating that it is probable that a loss has been incurred in a group of loans with those same characteristics.

Upon completion of the process above, we concluded that no allowance for loan losses was necessary as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Significant judgment is required when evaluating loans for impairment; therefore, actual results over time could be materially different.

Loans Held-for-sale

Loans that we intend to sell or liquidate in the short-term are classified as held-for-sale and are carried at the lower of amortized cost or fair value, unless we have elected to record any such loans at fair value at the time they were acquired under Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Topic 825, *Financial Instruments*. Upfront costs and fees related to loans for which the fair value option is elected are recognized in earnings as incurred and not deferred. Refer to Note 7 of the condensed consolidated financial statements for further disclosure regarding loans sold.

U.S. Treasury Securities Sold Short

In February 2011, in order to hedge the impact of interest rate increases on the fair value of our RMBS portfolio, we took short positions on U.S. Treasury securities with durations similar to those expected within our RMBS portfolio. To execute our hedging strategy, we sold to a third party \$112.7 million in U.S. Treasury securities that were simultaneously borrowed from our prime broker. The entire cash sale proceeds from the third party were then immediately deposited with our prime broker as collateral for the U.S. Treasury securities borrowing. On March 31, 2011, we purchased from a third party the same series of U.S. Treasury securities that had been borrowed. The securities were then immediately delivered to the prime broker in repayment of the securities borrowing, thereby settling the short position. We realized a gain from this strategy of approximately \$122 thousand, which is comprised of the \$194 thousand favorable movement in the prices of U.S. Treasury securities (from our short position), offset by \$72 thousand of interest that accrued on the securities during the term of the borrowing and transaction costs.

Revenue Recognition

Interest income is accrued based on the outstanding principal amount and the contractual terms of our loans and securities. Discounts or premiums associated with the purchase of loans and investment securities are amortized into interest income as a yield adjustment on the effective interest method, based on expected cash flows through the expected maturity date of the security. For loans that we have not elected to record at fair value under FASB Topic 825, origination fees and direct loan origination costs are also recognized in interest income over the loan term as a yield adjustment using the effective interest method. When we elect to record a loan at fair value, origination fees and direct loan costs are recorded directly in income and are not deferred.

Upon the sale of loans or securities, the excess (or deficiency) of net proceeds over the amortized cost of such loans or securities is recognized as a realized gain (or loss).

Investments in Unconsolidated Entities

We own non-controlling equity interests in various privately-held partnerships and limited liability companies. We use the cost method to account for investments when we (i) own five percent or less of and (ii) do not have significant influence over, the underlying investees. We use the equity method to account for all other non-controlling interests in partnerships and limited liability companies. Cost method investments are initially recorded at cost and income is generally recorded when distributions are received. Equity method investments are initially recorded at cost and subsequently adjusted for our share of income or loss, as well as contributions made or distributions received.

We also own publicly-traded equity securities of certain companies in the real estate industry. We have no influence over the activities of these companies due to our minimal percentage ownership. These investments are classified as available-for-sale and reported at fair value in the balance sheet, with unrealized gains and losses reported as a component of other comprehensive income (loss). Dividends on our available-for-sale equity securities are recorded in the statement of operations on the record date.

Investments in unconsolidated entities are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. When the fair value of an equity investment in an unconsolidated entity is less than its cost basis, we consider whether there is an OTTI. OTTI analyses are based on current plans, intended holding periods and other available information at the time the analyses are prepared.

Securitization/Sale and Financing Arrangements

We periodically sell our financial assets, such as commercial mortgage loans, CMBS and other assets. In connection with these transactions, we may retain or acquire senior or subordinated interests in the related assets. Gains and losses on such transactions are recognized using the guidance in FASB Topic 860, *Transfers and Servicing*, which is based on a financial components approach that focuses on control. Under this approach, after a transfer of financial assets that meets the criteria for treatment as a sale legal isolation, ability of transferee to pledge or exchange the transferred assets without constraint, and transferred control an entity recognizes the financial assets it retains and any liabilities it has incurred, derecognizes the financial assets it has sold, and

derecognizes liabilities when extinguished. We determine the gain or loss on sale of mortgage loans by allocating the carrying value of the underlying mortgage between securities or loans sold and the interests retained based on their fair values, as applicable. The gain or loss on sale is the difference between the cash proceeds from the sale and the amount allocated to the securities or loans sold.

Acquisition and Investment Pursuit Costs

Net costs incurred in connection with acquiring investments, as well as in pursuing unsuccessful investment acquisitions and loan originations, are charged to current earnings and not deferred.

Foreign Currency Transactions

Our assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars using foreign currency exchange rates at the end of the reporting period. Income and expenses are translated at the weighted-average exchange rates for each reporting period. As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the U.S. dollar was the functional currency of all investments denominated in foreign currencies. The effects of translating the assets, liabilities and income of our foreign investments are included in unrealized foreign currency remeasurement (loss) gain in the statements of operations.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash investments, CMBS, RMBS, loan investments, and interest receivable. We may place cash investments in excess of insured amounts with high quality financial institutions. We perform an ongoing analysis of credit risk concentrations in our investment portfolio by evaluating exposure to various counterparties, markets, underlying property types, contract terms, tenant mix, and other credit metrics.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

GAAP provides the disclosure requirements for derivatives and hedging activities with the intent to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how the entity accounts for derivative instruments and related hedged items, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity s financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. Further, we must provide qualitative disclosures that explain our objectives and strategies for using derivatives, as well as quantitative disclosures about the fair value of and gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative instruments.

We record all derivatives in the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether we have elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and have satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting under GAAP. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives designated

and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Hedge accounting generally provides for the matching of the timing of gain or loss recognition on the hedging instrument with the recognition of the changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability that are attributable to the hedged risk in a fair value hedge or the earnings effect of the hedged forecasted transactions in a cash flow hedge. We regularly enter into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge certain of our risks, even though the transactions may not qualify for, or we may not elect to pursue, hedge accounting. In such cases, changes in the fair value of the derivatives are recorded in earnings.

Deferred Financing Costs

Costs incurred in connection with obtaining secured financing arrangements are capitalized and amortized over the respective loan terms of the respective facilities as a component of interest expense. As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$6.1 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, of capitalized financing costs, net of amortization. For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, approximately \$1.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, of amortization was included in interest expense on the statement of operations.

Earnings per share

We calculate basic earnings per share by dividing net income (loss) attributable to the Company for the period by the weighted-average of shares of common stock outstanding for that period after consideration of the earnings allocated to our restricted stock and restricted stock units, which are participating securities as defined in GAAP. Diluted earnings per share takes into effect any dilutive instruments, such as restricted stock and restricted stock units, except when doing so would be anti-dilutive.

Share-based payments

We recognize the cost of share-based compensation and payment transactions using the same expense category as would be charged for payments in cash. The fair value of the restricted stock or restricted stock units granted is recorded to expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period for the award, with an offsetting increase in stockholders equity. For grants to employees

11

and directors, the fair value is determined based upon the stock price on the grant date. For non-employee grants, the fair value is based on the stock price when the shares vest, which requires the amount to be adjusted in each reporting period based on the fair value of the award at the end of the reporting period until the award has vested.

Income Taxes

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT and intend to comply with the Code with respect thereto. Accordingly, we will not be subject to federal income tax as long as certain asset, income, dividend distribution and stock ownership tests are met. Many of these requirements are technical and complex and if we fail to meet these requirements we may be subject to federal, state, and local income tax and penalties. A REIT s net income from prohibited transactions is subject to a 100% penalty tax. We have two taxable REIT subsidiaries (the TRSs) where certain investments may be made and activities conducted that (i) may have otherwise been subject to the prohibited transaction tax and (ii) may not be favorably treated for purposes of complying with the various requirements for REIT qualification. The income, if any, within the TRSs is subject to federal and state income taxes as a domestic C corporation based upon the TRSs net income. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, we recorded a provision for income taxes of \$0.4 million related to the activities in our TRSs. These provisions were determined using a Federal income tax rate of 34% and state income tax rate of 7.5%. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we recorded no provision for income taxes related to the activities in our TRSs.

Underwriting Commissions and Offering Costs

Underwriting and offering costs incurred totaled approximately \$1.1 million in connection with our equity offering in May 2011, approximately \$18.9 million in connection with the equity offering in December 2010, and approximately \$57.6 million in connection with our initial public offering in 2009. Underwriting and offering costs are reflected as a reduction in additional paid-in capital in the statement of equity.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2011, the FASB issued amended guidance which will enhance disclosures required by GAAP by requiring improved information about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either (1) offset or (2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, irrespective of whether they are offset. This information will enable users of an entity s financial statements to evaluate the effect or potential effect of netting arrangements on an entity s financial position, including the effect or potential effect of rights of setoff associated with certain financial instruments and derivative instruments. An entity is required to apply the amendments for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. An entity should provide the disclosures required by those amendments retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. We are in the process of evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on our financial statement disclosures.

Reclassifications

After the first quarter of 2011, we began separately reporting the amount of acquisition and investment pursuit costs in the statement of operations. In prior periods, such amounts were included in general administrative expenses but have been reclassified to conform to the new presentation. Additionally, after the first quarter of 2011 we began combining unrealized and realized gains and losses on interest rate and

currency hedges, as well as for loans held for sale at fair value, which had been presented separately in prior periods.

3. Debt Securities

We classified all CMBS and RMBS investments as available-for-sale as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The CMBS and RMBS classified as available-for-sale are reported at fair value in the balance sheet with changes in fair value recorded in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income. The tables below summarize various attributes of our investments in mortgage-backed securities (MBS) available-for-sale as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (amounts in thousands):

Unrealized Gains or (Losses) Recognized in Accumulated Other

		Comprenensive income (Loss)						
	Purchased	Credit	Recorded	Non-Credit	Unrealized	Unrealized	Net Fair Value	
March 31, 2012	Amortized Cost	OTTI	Amortized Cost	OTTI	Gains	Losses	Adjustment	Fair Value
CMBS	\$ 478,971	\$	\$478,971	\$	\$7,981	\$	\$7,981	\$486,952
RMBS	156,722	(6,657)	150,065	(1,439)	8,663	(103)	7,121	157,186
Total	\$635,693	\$(6,657)	\$629,036	\$(1,439)	\$16,644	\$(103)	\$15,102	\$644,138

	Weighted Average	Weighted Average	Weighted Average Life (WAL)	Weighted
March 31, 2012	Coupon(1)	Rating	(Years)(3)	Average Yield (4)
CMBS	3.8%	(2)	3.8	7.15%
RMBS	1.0%	B-	5.0	10.85%

- (1) Calculated using the one-month LIBOR rate as of March 31, 2012 of 0.24125%.
- (2) Includes a \$388 million investment in senior securities that were not rated, that are secured by substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts, and timeshare properties, and which had an estimated loan-to-value ratio as of March 31, 2012 in the range of 39%-44%. The remaining \$99.3 CMBS investment position is rated BB+.
- (3) Represents the WAL of each respective group of MBS. The WAL of each individual security is calculated as a fraction, the numerator of which is the sum of the timing (in years) of each expected future principal payment multiplied by the balance of the respective payment, and with the denominator equal to the sum of the expected principal payments. This calculation was made as of March 31, 2012. Assumptions for the calculation of the WAL are adjusted as necessary for changes in projected principal repayments and/or maturity dates of the security.
- (4) Most of the CMBS and all of the RMBS were purchased at a discount that will be accreted into income over the expected remaining life of the security. The majority of the income from these securities is earned from the accretion of these discounts.

Within the hospitality sector, as of March 31, 2012 we have in aggregate investment of \$503.1 million in senior debt secured by substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties. The debt investment is comprised of \$115.5 million in loans and \$387.6 million in securities. As of March 31, 2012, the aggregate face value of \$539.7 million represents 7.2% of the total face value of the senior debt outstanding, and the aggregate carrying value of our investment represents 15.5% of our total assets. See disclosure in Note 15 to the condensed consolidated financial statements regarding an additional interest in the senior debt that we purchased subsequent to March 31, 2012.

			Unrealized Gains or (Losses) Recognized in Accumulated Other												
				Comprehensive Income (Loss)											
December 31,	Pu	urchased	Credit]	Recorded	N	on-Credit	Un	realized	Ur	realized	N	Net Fair Value		
2011	Amo	rtized Cost	OTTI	Am	ortized Cost		OTTI	(Gains]	Losses		Adjustment	Fair	r Value
CMBS	\$	177,353	\$	\$	177,353	\$		\$		\$	(567)	\$	(567) \$		176,786
RMBS		170,424	(6,001)		164,423		(1,310)		3,367		(1,532)		525		164,948
Total	\$	347.777	\$ (6.001)	\$	341 776	\$	(1.310)	\$	3.367	\$	(2.099)	\$	(42) \$		341 734

December 31,	Weighted Average	Weighted Average	
2011	Coupon(1)	Rating	WAL (3)
CMBS	2.1%	NR(2)	3.5
RMBS	1.0%	B-	4.8

- (1) Generally calculated using the one-month LIBOR rate as of December 31, 2011 of 0.2953% for floating rate securities.
- (2) Represents senior securities that were not rated, that are secured by substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts, and timeshare properties, and which had an estimated loan-to-value ratio as of December 31, 2011 in the range of 39%-44%.
- (3) Represents the WAL of each respective group of MBS. The WAL of each individual security or loan is calculated as a fraction, the numerator of which is the sum of the timing (in years) of each expected future principal payment multiplied by the balance of the respective payment, and with the denominator equal to the sum of the expected principal payments. This calculation was made as of December 31, 2011.

Assumptions for the calculation of the WAL are adjusted as necessary for changes in projected principal repayments and/or maturity dates of the security.

During the three-months ended March 31, 2012, purchases and sales executed, as well as the principal payments received, were as follows (amounts in thousands):

	RMBS		CMBS
Purchases	\$	\$	301,772
Sales/Maturities			
Principal payments received	1	16,539	3,560

During the three-months ended March 31, 2012, we have not sold any CMBS positions. There have been no maturities during the three-months ended March 31, 2012.

During the first quarter 2011, the purchases, sales, and principal pay-downs were as follows:

	RMBS	CMBS
Purchases	\$ 45,315	\$ 0
Sales	35,336	29,150
Principal pay-downs	19,412	14,621

As of March 31, 2012, 79.6%, of the CMBS are variable rate and pay interest at LIBOR plus a weighted average spread of 1.75%. As of December 31, 2011, 100.0% of the CMBS were variable rate and paid interest at LIBOR plus a weighted average spread of 1.75%.

Subject to certain limitations on durations, we have allocated an amount to invest in RMBS that cannot exceed 10% of our total assets. We have engaged a third party manager who specializes in RMBS to execute the trading of RMBS, the cost of which was \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million for the three-months ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, respectively, which has been recorded as an offset to interest income in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations. As of March 31, 2012, approximately \$146.9 million, or 93.4%, of the RMBS are variable rate and pay interest at LIBOR plus a weighted average spread of 0.43%. As of December 31, 2011, approximately \$154.7 million, or 93.8%, of the RMBS were variable rate and pay interest at LIBOR plus a weighted average spread of 0.43%. We purchased all of the RMBS at a discount that will be accreted into income over the expected remaining life of the security. The majority of the income from this strategy is earned from the accretion of these discounts.

The following table presents the gross unrealized losses and estimated fair value of our securities that are in an unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2012 and for which OTTIs (full or partial) have not been recognized in earnings (amounts in thousands):

		Estimated Fair	Valu	ie	Unrealized Losses					
	Sec	urities with a loss less	Securities with a loss			Securities with a loss	Securities with a loss			
As of March 31, 2012		than 12 months	grea	ater than 12 months		less than 12 months	grea	ater than 12 months		
CMBS	\$		\$		\$		\$			
RMBS		14,839		1,355		(1,193)		(349)		
Total	\$	14,839	\$	1,355	\$	(1,193)	\$	(349)		

As of March 31, 2012 there were 15 securities with unrealized losses. After evaluating each security we determined that the impairments on 13 of these securities, all of which are non-agency and whose impairments totaled \$2.1 million, were other-than-temporary. Credit losses represented \$0.7 million of this total, which we calculated by comparing (i) the estimated future cash flows of each security discounted at the yield determined as of the initial acquisition date or, if since revised, as of the last date previously revised to (ii) our amortized cost basis. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, our aggregate MBS credit losses (as reported in the condensed consolidated statement of operations) were \$0.7 million. We further determined that neither of the two remaining securities were other-than-temporarily impaired. We considered a number of factors in reaching this conclusion, including that we did not intend to sell any individual security, it was not considered more likely than not that we would be forced to sell any individual security prior to recovering our amortized cost, and there were no material credit events that would have caused us to otherwise conclude that we would not recover our cost. Significant judgment is required is used in projecting cash flows for our non-agency RMBS. As a result, actual income and/or impairments could be materially different from what is currently projected and/or reported.

The following table presents the gross unrealized losses and estimated fair value of our securities that are in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2011 and for which OTTIs (full or partial) have not been recognized in earnings (amounts in thousands):

		Estimated Fair	· Value		Unrealized Losses					
	Seco	ırities with a loss less		ırities with a loss		curities with a loss	Securities with a l			
As of December 31, 2011		than 12 months	great	er than 12 months	les	ss than 12 months	grea	ter than 12 months		
CMBS	\$	176,786	\$		\$	(567)	\$			
RMBS		70,103		2,684		(2,444)		(399)		
Total	\$	246,889	\$	2,684	\$	(3,011)	\$	(399)		

4. Loans

Our investments in loans held-for-investment are accounted for at amortized cost and the loans held-for-sale are accounted for at the lower of cost or fair value, unless we elect (upon origination or acquisition) to record such loans at fair value. The following table summarizes our investments in mortgages and loans by subordination class as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (amounts in thousands):

March 31, 2012	Carrying Value	Face Amount	Weighted Average Coupon	Weighted Average Life (years) (2)
First mortgages	\$ 1,375,766	\$ 1,428,505	6.2%	3.3
Subordinated mortgages (1)	313,688	348,072	9.4%	4.5
Mezzanine loans	644,053	655,199	8.6%	3.0
Total loans held for investment	2,333,507	2,431,776		
Loans held in securitization trust	50,290	50,604	5.0%	3.0
Total Loans	\$ 2,383,797	\$ 2,482,380		

December 31, 2011	Carrying Value	Face Amount	Weighted Average Coupon	Weighted Average Life (years) (2)
First mortgages	\$ 1,202,611	\$ 1,248,549	6.6%	3.2
Subordinated mortgages (1)	437,163	487,175	7.4%	4.1
Mezzanine loans	628,825	642,831	8.4%	3.0
Total loans held for investment	2,268,599	2,378,555		
First mortgages held-for-sale at fair value	128,593	122,833	5.9%	8.9
Loans held in securitization trust	50,316	50,632	5.0%	3.7
Total Loans	\$ 2,447,508	\$ 2,552,020		

⁽¹⁾ Subordinated mortgages includes (i) subordinated mortgages that we retain after having sold first mortgage positions related to the same collateral, (ii) B-Notes, and (iii) subordinated loan participations.

As of March 31, 2012, approximately \$1.2 billion, or 50.1%, of the loans are variable rate and pay interest at LIBOR plus a weighted-average spread of 4.62%. The following table summarizes our investments in floating rate loans (amounts in thousands):

	Marc	March 31, 2012				11
Index	Rate	Ca	arrying Value	Rate	Cai	rrying Value
1 Month LIBOR	0.2413%	\$	382,145	0.2953%	\$	264,030
3 Month LIBOR	0.4682%		14,641	0.5810%		143,371
1 Month Citibank LIBOR(1)	0.2250%		134,589	0.2700%		134,041
3 Month Citibank LIBOR(1)	0.4550%		7,132	0.5600%		7,102
6 Month Citibank LIBOR(1)	0.7200%		6,081	0.7800%		6,039
LIBOR Floor	0.5% - 2.0%		648,578	0.5% - 2.0%		551,275
Total		\$	1,193,166		\$	1,105,858

⁽¹⁾ The Citibank LIBOR rate is equal to the rate per annum at which deposits in United States dollars are offered by the principal office of Citibank, N.A. in London, England to prime banks in the London interbank market.

As described in Note 2, we evaluate each of our loans for impairment at least quarterly. Our loans are typically collateralized by real estate. As a result, we regularly evaluate the extent and impact of any credit deterioration associated with the performance and/or value of the underlying collateral property, as well as the financial and operating capability of the borrower. Specifically, a property s operating results and any cash reserves are analyzed and used to assess (i) whether cash flow from operations is sufficient to cover the debt service requirements currently and into the future, (ii) the ability of the borrower to refinance the loan at maturity, and/or (iii) the property s liquidation value. We also evaluate the financial wherewithal of any loan guarantors as well as the borrower s competency in managing and operating the properties. In addition, we consider the overall economic environment, real estate sector, and geographic sub-market in which the borrower operates. Such impairment analyses are completed and reviewed by asset management and finance personnel who utilize various data sources, including (i) periodic financial data such as property operating statements, occupancy, tenant profile, rental rates, operating expenses, the borrower s exit plan, and capitalization and discount rates, (ii) site inspections, and (iii) current credit spreads and discussions with market participants.

⁽²⁾ Represents the WAL of each respective group of loans. The WAL of each individual loan is calculated as a fraction, the numerator of which is the sum of the timing (in years) of each expected future principal payment multiplied by the balance of the respective payment, and with the denominator equal to the sum of the expected principal payments. This calculation was made as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Assumptions for the calculation of the WAL are adjusted as necessary for changes in projected principal repayments and/or maturity dates of the loan.

Our evaluation process as described above produces an internal risk rating of between 1 and 5, which is a weighted-average of the numerical ratings in the following categories: (i) sponsor capability and financial condition, (ii) loan and collateral performance relative to underwriting, (iii) quality and stability of collateral cash flows, and (iv) loan structure. We utilize the overall risk ratings as a concise means to monitor any credit migration on a loan as well as on the whole portfolio. While the overall risk rating is not the sole factor we use in determining whether a loan is impaired, a loan with a higher overall risk rating would tend to have more adverse indicators of impairment, and therefore would be more likely to experience a credit loss.

The rating categories generally include the characteristics described below, but these are utilized as guidelines and therefore not every loan will have all of the characteristics described in each category:

Rating Characteristics

- Sponsor capability and financial condition Sponsor is highly rated or investment grade or, if private, the equivalent thereof
 with significant management experience.
 - Loan collateral and performance relative to underwriting The collateral has surpassed underwritten expectations.
 - Quality and stability of collateral cash flows Occupancy is stabilized, the property has had a history of consistently high occupancy, and the property has a diverse and high quality tenant mix.
 - Loan structure Loan-to-collateral value ratio (LTV) does not exceed 65%. The loan has structural features that enhance the
 credit profile.
- Sponsor capability and financial condition Strong sponsorship with experienced management team and a responsibly leveraged portfolio.
 - Loan collateral and performance relative to underwriting Collateral performance equals or exceeds underwritten
 expectations and covenants and performance criteria are being met or exceeded.
 - Quality and stability of collateral cash flows Occupancy is stabilized with a diverse tenant mix.
 - Loan structure LTV does not exceed 70% and unique property risks are mitigated by structural features.
- Sponsor capability and financial condition Sponsor has historically met its credit obligations, routinely pays off loans at maturity, and has a capable management team.
 - Loan collateral and performance relative to underwriting Property performance is consistent with underwritten
 expectations.
 - Quality and stability of collateral cash flows Occupancy is stabilized, near stabilized, or is on track with underwriting.
 - Loan structure LTV does not exceed 80%.
- Sponsor capability and financial condition Sponsor credit history includes missed payments, past due payment, and maturity extensions. Management team is capable but thin.
 - Loan collateral and performance relative to underwriting Property performance lags behind underwritten expectations. Performance criteria and loan covenants have required occasional waivers. A sale of the property

may be necessary in order for the borrower to pay off the loan at maturity.

- Quality and stability of collateral cash flows Occupancy is not stabilized and the property has a large amount of rollover.
- Loan structure LTV is 80% to 90%.
- Sponsor capability and financial condition Credit history includes defaults, deeds-in-lieu, foreclosures, and/or bankruptcies.
 - Loan collateral and performance relative to underwriting Property performance is significantly worse than underwritten
 expectations. The loan is not in compliance with loan covenants and performance criteria and may be in default. Sale
 proceeds would not be sufficient to pay off the loan at maturity.
 - Quality and stability of collateral cash flows The property has material vacancy and significant rollover of remaining tenants
 - Loan structure LTV exceeds 90%.

As of March 31, 2012, the risk ratings by class of loan were as follows (amounts in thousands):

		Balance Sheet Classification at March 31, 2012											
F	Risk		Loans	Held for Investment									
F	Rating	First		Subordinated		Mezzanine	5	Securitization					
(Category	Mortgages		Mortgages		Loans		Trust		Total			
	1	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$				
	2	224,136		2,445		128,175		13,172		367,928			
	3	1,112,302		256,661		515,878		37,118		1,921,959			
	4	39,328		54,582						93,910			
	5												
		\$ 1,375,766	\$	313,688	\$	644,053	\$	50,290	\$	2,383,797			

16

As of December 31, 2011, the risk ratings by class of loan were as follows (amounts in thousands):

Balance Sheet Classification at December 31, 2011

Risk Rating Category	1	Loar First Mortgages	Su	l for Investment ibordinated Mortgages	Mezzanine Loans	Held for Sale First Mortgages	 ans held in curitization Trust	Total
1	\$		\$		\$	\$	\$ \$	
2		108,900		131,281	139,167	89,760	13,193	482,301
3		1,054,717		251,788	481,982	38,833	37,123	1,864,443
4		38,994		54,094	7,676			100,764
5								
	\$	1,202,611	\$	437,163	\$ 628,825	\$ 128,593	\$ 50,316 \$	2,447,508

After completing our analysis of each loan, including the resulting risk ratings as described above, we concluded that no allowance for loan losses was necessary as of March 31, 2012 or December 31, 2011.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, the activity in our loan portfolio (including loans held-for-sale) was as follows (amounts in thousands):

ance December 31, 2011	\$ 2,447,508
quisitions/originations	213,688
ditional funding	5,184
pitalized interest (1)	1,672
sis of loans sold	(153,627)
sis of loans prepaid	(138,561)
ncipal repayments	(6,211)
scount accretion/premium amortization	21,622
realized foreign currency remeasurement loss	(1,718)
t change in unrealized loss on loans held-for-sale at fair value	(5,760)
lance March 31, 2012	\$ 2,383,797
sis of loans sold sis of loans prepaid ncipal repayments scount accretion/premium amortization realized foreign currency remeasurement loss t change in unrealized loss on loans held-for-sale at fair value	\$ (153,6) (138,5) (6,2) 21,6) (1,7) (5,7)

⁽¹⁾ Represents accrued interest income on loans whose terms do not require current payment of interest.

We acquired or originated \$224.0 million (face value) in loans during the three months ended March 31, 2012, which included: (1) a \$125.0 million participation in a senior loan secured by all the material assets of a major hotel company for a discounted purchase price of \$115.7 million; (2) an origination of a \$63.0 million first mortgage, of which \$59.0 million was funded at closing, collateralized by 10 office buildings located in California; and (3) an origination of a \$40.0 million mezzanine loan secured by a 10-property portfolio of full-service and extended-stay hotels located in eight different states. We sold \$153.6 million of loans during the three months ended March 31, 2012, which included: (1) six loans with a carrying value of \$122.7 million to an independent third party resulting in proceeds, net of financing repayments, of \$40.6 million and (2) 50% of our Euro denominated loan to a strategic partner resulting in proceeds of \$28.8 million. The transaction was neutral from an earnings perspective net of the associated currency hedge gain. Our GBP-denominated loan prepaid during the three months ended March 31, 2012, resulting in proceeds of \$147.7 million and an additional \$13.1 million of accelerated accretion of the purchase discount. The loan had a carrying value of \$134.6 million at the date of prepayment.

5. Other Investments

In January 2010, we committed \$6.3 million to acquire a 5.6% interest in a privately-held limited liability company formed to acquire assets of a commercial real estate debt management and servicing business primarily for the opportunity to participate in debt opportunities arising from the venture s special servicing business (the Participation Right). In May 2010, we made an additional \$3.4 million commitment to the venture to maintain at least a 5% ownership and its corresponding Participation Right. Because we do not have control or significant influence over the venture, the investment is accounted for under the cost method. As of March 31, 2012, we had funded \$8.0 million of our commitment. There was no income for the three months ended March 31, 2012 or 2011 related to this investment.

Through March 31, 2012, we had purchased a net total of \$13.8 million (\$9.3 million of which was purchased during the year ended December 31, 2011) of publicly traded equity securities that are classified as available-for-sale and carried at fair value

with changes in fair value recorded to other comprehensive income (loss). For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, we had an unrealized loss of \$2.6 million and unrealized gain of \$0.4 million, respectively, related to these investments, and recognized dividend income of \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, included as a component of other income in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. All of the equity securities have been in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months and are not other-than-temporarily impaired.

In June 2011, we acquired a non-controlling 49% interest in a privately-held limited liability company for \$25.5 million, which is accounted for under the equity method. The entity owns a mezzanine loan participation, and our share of earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was \$0.6 million, which is included in other income on the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

6. Secured Financing Agreements

On March 31, 2010, Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-1, L.L.C. (SPM Sub-1), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into a Master Repurchase and Securities Contract (the Wells Repurchase Agreement) with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Wells Fargo). The Wells Repurchase Agreement is secured by approximately \$161.2 million of the diversified loan portfolio purchased from Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America on February 26, 2010 (the TIAA Portfolio). Advances under the Wells Repurchase Agreement accrue interest at a per annum pricing rate equal to the sum of one-month LIBOR plus the pricing margin of 3.0%. If an event of default (as such term is defined in the Wells Repurchase Agreement) occurs and is continuing, amounts borrowed may become due and payable and interest accrues at the default rate, which is equal to the pricing rate plus 4.0%. The maturity date of the Wells Repurchase Agreement is May 31, 2013. The Wells Repurchase Agreement allowed for advances through May 31, 2010. As of March 31, 2012, \$108.4 million was outstanding under the Wells Repurchase Agreement and the carrying value of the pledged collateral was \$161.2 million. The Company guarantees certain of the obligations of SPM Sub-1 under the Wells Repurchase Agreement up to maximum liability of 25% of the then currently outstanding repurchase price of all purchased assets.

On August 6, 2010, Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-2, L.L.C. (SPM Sub-2), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into a second Master Repurchase and Securities Contract with Wells Fargo, which second repurchase facility was amended and restated by SPM Sub-2 and Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-2-A, L.L.C. (SPM Sub-2-A), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, on February 28, 2011, pursuant to an Amended and Restated Master Repurchase and Securities Contract (the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement). The Second Wells Repurchase Agreement was amended on May 24, 2011 and November 3, 2011 (Amendment No. 2), and is being used by SPM Sub-2 and SPM Sub-2-A to finance the acquisition or origination of commercial mortgage loans (and participations therein) and mezzanine loans. In connection with Amendment No. 2, available borrowings under the facility increased by \$200 million, to \$550 million. Advances under the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement accrue interest at a per annum pricing rate equal to the sum of one-month LIBOR plus a margin of between 1.75% and 6.0% depending on the type of asset being financed. If an event of default (as such term is defined in the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement) occurs and is continuing, amounts borrowed may become due and payable immediately and interest accrues at the default rate, which is equal to the pricing rate plus 4.0%. The initial maturity date of the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement is August 5, 2013, subject to two one-year extension options, each of which may be exercised by us upon the satisfaction of certain conditions and the payment of an extension fee. The Company guarantees certain of the obligations of SPM Sub-2 and SPM Sub-2-A under the Wells Repurchase Agreement up to a maximum liability of either 25% or 100% of the then-currently outstanding repurchase price of purchased assets, depending upon the type of asset being financed. As of March 31, 2012, \$438.8 million was outstanding under the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement and the carrying value of the pledged collateral was \$768.4 million.

On December 2, 2010, Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-3, L.L.C. (SPM Sub-3), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into a Master Repurchase Agreement with Goldman Sachs Mortgage Company, which repurchase facility was amended and restated by SPM Sub-3 and Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-3-A, L.L.C. (SPM Sub-3-A), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, on February 28, 2011, pursuant to an Amended and Restated Master Repurchase Agreement (the Goldman Repurchase Agreement). The Goldman Repurchase Agreement will be used to finance the acquisition or origination by SPM Sub-3 and SPM Sub-3-A of commercial mortgage loans that are eligible for CMBS

securitization. The Goldman Repurchase Agreement provides for asset purchases of up to \$150 million. The Company guarantees certain of the obligations of SPM Sub-3 and SPM Sub-3-A under the Goldman Repurchase Agreement up to a maximum liability of 25% of the then-currently outstanding repurchase price of all purchased loans. Advances under the Goldman Repurchase Agreement accrue interest at a per annum pricing rate equal to the sum of one-month LIBOR plus a margin of between 1.95% and 2.25% depending on the loan-to-value ratio of the purchased mortgage loan. If an event of default (as such term is defined in the Goldman Repurchase Agreement) occurs and is continuing, amounts borrowed may become due and payable immediately and interest accrues at the default rate, which is equal to the pricing rate plus 2.0%. The maturity date of the Goldman Repurchase Agreement is December 3, 2012. As of March 31, 2012, there were no borrowings under the Goldman Repurchase Agreement.

On March 18, 2011, Starwood Property Mortgage, L.L.C. (SPM), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into a third Master Repurchase and Securities Contract with Wells Fargo (the Third Wells Repurchase Agreement). The Third Wells Repurchase Agreement is being used by SPM to finance the acquisition and ownership of RMBS and provides for asset purchases up to \$100.0 million. Advances under the Third Wells Repurchase Agreement generally accrue interest at a per annum pricing rate equal to one-month LIBOR plus a margin of 2.10%. If an event of default (as such term is defined in the Third Wells Repurchase Agreement) occurs and is continuing, amounts borrowed may become due and payable immediately and interest accrues at the default rate, which is equal to the pricing rate plus 4.0%. The facility was scheduled to terminate on March 16, 2012. We extended the facility for an additional year and the new facility termination date is March 16, 2013. The Company has guaranteed certain of the obligations of SPM under the Third Wells Repurchase Agreement. As of March 31, 2012, \$80.4 million was outstanding and the carrying value of the RMBS collateral was \$134.4 million.

On June 30, 2011, Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-4, L.L.C. (SPM Sub-4) and Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-4-A, L.L.C. (SPM Sub-4-A), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, entered into a Mortgage Loan Purchase Agreement (the Deutsche Repurchase Agreement) with Deutsche Bank AG, Cayman Islands Branch. The Deutsche Repurchase Agreement provides for asset purchases of up to \$150 million. The Company has guaranteed certain of the obligations of SPM Sub-4 and SPM Sub-4-A under the Deutsche Repurchase Agreement up to a maximum liability of the sum of (a) the greater of (i) 25% of the then currently outstanding repurchase price of all purchased loans, and (ii) \$20,000,000, plus (b) all obligations associated with hedging. Advances under the Deutsche Repurchase Agreement accrue interest at a pricing rate equal to the sum of one-month LIBOR plus a margin of between 1.85% and 2.5% depending on the property type and loan-to-value ratio of the purchased mortgage asset. If an event of default (as such term is defined in the Deutsche Repurchase Agreement) occurs and is continuing, amounts borrowed may become due and payable immediately and interest accrues at the default rate, which is equal to the pricing rate plus 4.0%. The maturity date of the Deutsche Repurchase Agreement is June 30, 2012 with two one-year extension options, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. As of March 31, 2012, there were no borrowings under the Deutsche Repurchase Agreement.

On June 28, 2011, SPT Rosslyn Holdings, L.L.C. (SPT Rosslyn), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into a Master Repurchase Agreement (the Second Deutsche Repurchase Agreement) with Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch (Deutsche NY). In connection with the Second Deutsche Repurchase Agreement, SPT Rosslyn transferred assets to Deutsche NY, with such transfer providing access to repurchase borrowings of up to \$117.4 million. Interest on these borrowings accrues at a pricing rate equal to one-month LIBOR plus a margin of between 3.5% and 5.0%, depending on the loan-to-value. If an event of default (as such term is defined in the Second Deutsche Repurchase Agreement) occurs and is continuing, amounts borrowed may become due and payable immediately and interest accrues at the default rate, which is equal to the pricing rate plus 5.0%. As of March 31, 2012, SPT Rosslyn had borrowed \$97.3 million under this facility and the carrying value of the pledged collateral was \$167.4 million. The borrowing matures in May 2012. The Company has guaranteed certain of the obligations of SPT Rosslyn under the Second Deutsche Repurchase Agreement.

On December 30, 2011, Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-5, L.L.C. (SPM Sub-5) and Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-5-A, L.L.C. (SPM Sub-5-A) and , our indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, entered into a fourth Master Repurchase and Securities Contract with Wells Fargo (the Fourth Wells Repurchase Agreement). The Fourth Wells Repurchase Agreement provides for advances up to \$236.0 million and is secured by a loan portfolio of 26 separate commercial mortgage loans that we acquired on December 30, 2011 for \$307.3 million. As of March 31, 2012, the \$231.5 million in advances under the Fourth Wells Repurchase Agreement accrued interest at one-month LIBOR plus a pricing margin of 2.75%. The availability of additional advances, as well as the pricing margin on all outstanding borrowings at any given time, is determined by the current operating cash flows and fair values of the underlying collateral, both in relation to the existing collateral loan receivable balances outstanding, and all as approved by Wells Fargo. The overall term of the Fourth Wells Repurchase Agreement is three years, with two one-year conditional extensions. As of March 31, 2012, SPM Sub-6 had borrowed \$231.5 million under this facility and the carrying value of the pledged collateral was \$307.3 million. However, \$50.7 million of the borrowings outstanding at March 31, 2012 are due in June 2012, subject to a conditional six-month extension for a 0.25% fee. At closing, we paid a 0.50% commitment fee based upon the total committed proceeds. If the overall facility is extended beginning in December 2014, we would pay a 0.25% extension fee for each year. The Company guarantees 60% of the currently outstanding repurchase price for all purchased assets; however, the Company guarantees 100% of the outstanding balance of any individual repurchase transaction involving a collateral property with operating cash flows that at any time is less than 15% of the related collateral loan receivable balance.

On March 6, 2012, Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-7, LLC (SPM Sub-7), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into a Master Repurchase Agreement with Goldman Sachs International (the Second Goldman Repurchase Agreement). At closing, we borrowed \$155.4 million under the Second Goldman Repurchase Agreement to finance the acquisition of \$222.8 million in senior debt securities that are expected to mature on November 15, 2015. The senior debt securities were issued by certain special purpose entities that were formed to hold substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties. Advances under the Second Goldman Repurchase Agreement accrue interest at a per annum rate of one-month LIBOR plus a spread of 2.90%. The maturity date of the Second Goldman Repurchase Agreement is August 15, 2015. The carrying value of

19

the collateral senior debt securities was \$206.6 million and the amount outstanding under the facility was \$154.3 million at March 31, 2012.

On March 26, 2012, Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-6, LLC (SPM Sub-6) and Starwood Property Mortgage Sub-6-A (SPM Sub-6-A), our indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, entered into a Master Repurchase Agreement with Citibank, N.A. (the Citi Repurchase Agreement). The Citi Repurchase Agreement provides for asset purchases of up to \$125.0 million to finance commercial mortgage loans and senior interests in commercial mortgage loans originated or acquired by us and including loans and interests intended to be included in commercial mortgage loan securitizations as well as those not intended to be securitized. Advances under the Citi Repurchase Agreement accrue interest at a per annum interest rate equal to the sum of (i) 30-day LIBOR plus (ii) a margin of between 1.75% and 3.75% depending on (A) asset type, (B) the amount advanced and (C) the debt yield and loan-to-value ratios of the purchased mortgage loan, provided that the aggregate weighted average interest rate shall not at any time be less than the sum of one-month LIBOR plus 2.25%. The facility has an initial maturity date of March 29, 2014, subject to three one-year extension options, which may be exercised by us upon the satisfaction of certain conditions. We have guaranteed the obligations of our subsidiaries under the facility up to a maximum liability of 25% of the then-currently outstanding repurchase price of assets financed. There were no borrowings under the Citi Repurchase Agreement as of March 31, 2012.

Under the Wells Repurchase Agreement, the Second Wells Repurchase Agreement, the Goldman Repurchase Agreement, the Third Wells Repurchase Agreement, the Deutsche Repurchase Agreement, the Second Deutsche Repurchase Agreement, the Fourth Wells Repurchase Agreement, the Second Goldman Repurchase Agreement, and the Citi Repurchase Agreement, the counterparty retains the sole discretion over both whether to purchase the loan or security from us and, subject to certain conditions, the market value of such loan or security for purposes of determining whether we are required to pay margin to the counterparty.

On December 3, 2010, SPT Real Estate Sub II, LLC (SPT II), our wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into a term loan credit agreement (the BAML Credit Agreement) with Bank of America, N.A. (Bank of America) as administrative agent and as lender, and us and certain of our subsidiaries as guarantors. The BAML Credit Agreement, amended and restated on March 9, 2012 (Amended BAML Credit Agreement), provides for loans of up to \$198.1 million as of March 31, 2012. The initial draw under the BAML Credit Agreement in December 2010 was used, in part, to finance the acquisition of a \$205.0 million participation (the Participation) in a senior secured loan due November 15, 2015 from Bank of America. The Participation was converted into a security in June 2011 and is due from certain special purpose entities that were formed to hold substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties. In connection with the March 9, 2012 amendment, we borrowed an additional \$81.0 million to partially finance the \$125.0 million acquisition of additional participation interest in the senior secured loan.

Advances under the Amended BAML Credit Agreement accrue interest at a per annum rate based on LIBOR or a base rate, at the election of SPT II. The margin can vary between 2.35% and 2.50% over LIBOR, and between 1.35% and 1.50% over base rate, based on the performance of the underlying hospitality collateral. The initial maturity date of the Amended BAML Credit Agreement is November 30, 2014, subject to a 12 month extension option, exercisable by SPT II upon satisfaction of certain conditions set forth in the Amended BAML Credit Agreement. Bank of America retains the sole discretion, subject to certain conditions, over the market value of collateral assets for purposes of determining whether we are required to pay margin to Bank of America. As of March 31, 2012, \$198.1 million was outstanding under the BAML Credit Agreement. The carrying value of the CMBS pledged as collateral under the Credit agreement was \$296.5 million as of March 31, 2012. If an event of default (as such term is defined in the Amended BAML Credit Agreement) occurs and is continuing, amounts borrowed may become due and payable immediately and interest would accrue at an additional 2% per annum over the applicable rate. See Note 15 for the disclosure of a development related to the Amended BAML Credit Agreement that occurred subsequent to March 31, 2012.

The following table sets forth our five-year principal repayments schedule for the secured financings assuming no defaults or expected extensions, which excludes the collateralized debt obligation in securitization trust (amounts in thousands). Our credit facilities generally require principal to be paid down prior to the facilities respective maturities if and when we receive principal payments on, or sell, the investment collateral that we have pledged. The amount for the remainder of 2012 generally represents the principal repayments that will be required on our credit facilities as a result of our receipt of principal repayments that are scheduled or otherwise expected to be received on our

loan and MBS investments:

2012 (remaining)	\$ 180,659
2013	640,448
2014	184,693
2015	303,060
2016 and thereafter	
Total	\$ 1,308,860

20

7. Loan Securitization/Sale Activities

During 2010, we participated in a commercial mortgage securitization which generated non-recourse match funded financing with an effective cost of funds of approximately 3.5%. We separated five mortgage loans with an aggregate face value of \$178.0 million into senior and junior loans. We contributed the five senior loans, or A Notes (the Contributed Loans), with a face value of approximately \$84.0 million to the securitization trust and received approximately \$92.0 million in proceeds, while retaining \$94.0 million of junior interests. The Contributed Loans are secured by office, retail and industrial properties and have remaining maturities between four and seven years. Each of the five Contributed Loans was either originated or acquired by us as part of a first mortgage loan. In connection with the securitization, two of the first mortgage loans were each split by us into an A Note and a B Note and three of the first mortgage loans had each been previously split into A Notes, B Notes and C Notes. The secured financing liability relates to two of the Contributed Loans that we securitized but did not qualify for sale treatment under GAAP. As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the balance of the loans pledged to the securitization trust was \$50.3 million and \$50.3 million, respectively, and the related liability of the securitization trust was \$53.0 million and \$53.2 million, respectively.

During the first quarter of 2011, we contributed three loans to a securitization trust for approximately \$56.0 million in gross proceeds. Control of the loans was surrendered in the loan transfer and it was therefore treated as a sale under GAAP, resulting in a gain of \$1.9 million. We effectively realized a net gain of \$1.8 million on this transaction after considering the realized losses on the interest rate hedges of \$0.1 million that was terminated in connection with the sale.

During the first quarter of 2012, we sold six loans with a carrying value of \$122.7 million to an independent third party resulting in proceeds, net of financing repayments, of \$40.6 million. Control of the loans was surrendered in the loan transfer and it was therefore treated as a sale under GAAP, resulting in a realized gain of \$9.4 million. The net economic gain of this transaction, including a realized loss of \$8.4 million on the termination of the corresponding interest rate hedge, was \$1.0 million. Additionally, we sold 50% of our Euro denominated loan to a strategic partner resulting in proceeds of \$28.8 million and a realized loss of \$2.1 million; however, this transaction was earnings neutral after considering the realized gains on the related currency hedges of \$2.1 million that were terminated in connection with the sale.

8. Derivatives and Hedging Activity

Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives

We are exposed to certain risks arising from both our business operations and economic conditions. We principally manage our exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of our core business activities. We manage economic risks, including interest rate, foreign exchange, liquidity, and credit risk primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of our debt funding and the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, we enter into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates, credit spreads, and foreign exchange rates. Our derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the known or expected cash receipts and known or expected cash payments principally related to our investments, anticipated level of loan sales, and borrowings.

Cash Flow Hedges of Forecasted Interest Payments

Our objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage our exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, we primarily use interest rate swaps as part of our interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable amounts from a counterparty in exchange for us making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount.

In connection with our repurchase agreements, we have entered into nine interest rate swaps that have been designated as cash flow hedges of the interest rate risk associated with forecasted interest payments. As of March 31, 2012, the aggregate notional of our interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk totaled \$342.3 million. Under these agreements, we will pay fixed monthly coupons at fixed rates ranging from 0.557% to 2.228% of the notional amount to the counterparty and receive floating rate LIBOR. Our interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk have maturities ranging from November 2012 to October 2018.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings. During the three months ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, we recorded \$0 and \$45 thousand, respectively as hedge ineffectiveness in earnings, which is included in interest expense on the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive income related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the associated variable-rate debt. Over the next twelve months, we estimate that an additional \$2.1 million will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense. We are hedging our exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions over a maximum period of 79 months.

Non-designated Hedges

Derivatives not designated as hedges are derivatives that do not meet the criteria for hedge accounting under GAAP or for which we have not elected to designate as hedges. We do not use these derivatives for speculative purposes but are instead used to manage our exposure to foreign exchange rates, interest rate changes, and certain credit spreads. Changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated in hedging relationships are recorded directly in net (losses) gains on interest rate, currency or credit hedges in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

During 2010, we entered into a series of forward contracts whereby we agree to sell an amount of GBP for agreed upon amounts of USD at various dates through October of 2013. These forward contracts were executed to economically fix the USD amounts of GBP-denominated cash flows expected to be received by us related to our GBP-denominated loan investment. During 2011, we entered into a series of forward contracts whereby we agree to sell an amount of EUR for an agreed upon amount of USD at various dates through June of 2014. These forward contracts were executed to economically fix the USD amount of EUR-denominated cash flows expected to be received by us related to our mezzanine loan in Germany. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we terminated a portion of our contracts to sell EUR. The purpose of the terminations was to reduce the amount of EUR we were to sell at future dates as a result of the refinancing of our EUR-denominated loan investment. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we entered into positions to buy GBP for an agreed upon amount of USD at various dates through 2013 to fix the future value of our losses on pre-existing GBP forward positions. We also entered into a new series of forward contracts whereby we agree to sell GBP for an agreed upon amount of USD at various dates through March 2016.

As of March 31, 2012, we had 18 foreign exchange forward derivatives to sell GBP with a total notional amount of GBP 186.1 million, 10 foreign exchange forward derivatives to buy GBP with a total notional amount of GBP 98.2 million and 10 foreign exchange forward derivatives to sell EUR with a total notional of EUR 28.8 million that were not designated as hedges in qualifying hedging relationships.

During 2010 and 2011, we entered into several interest rate swaps that were not designated as hedges. Under these remaining agreements, we pay fixed coupons at fixed rates ranging from 0.716% to 2.435% of the notional amount to the counterparty and receive floating rate LIBOR. These interest rate swaps are used to limit the price exposure of certain assets due to changes in benchmark USD-LIBOR swap rates from which the pricing of these assets is derived. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we terminated our swap that had a fixed rate of 3.10%. As of March 31, 2012, the aggregate notional amount of these five remaining interest rate swaps totaled \$165.0 million. Changes in the fair value of these interest rate swaps are recorded directly in earnings.

In connection with our acquisition on a loan portfolio during the fourth quarter of 2011, we entered into nine interest rate swaps whereby we receive fixed coupons ranging from 2.86% to 6.28% of the notional amount and pay floating rate LIBOR. We acquired these swaps at a cost of \$7.5 million. The premium paid reflects the fact that these swaps had above market rates which we receive. These swaps effectively convert certain floating rate loans we acquired to fixed rates. As of March 31, 2012, the aggregate notional amount of these swaps totaled \$107.9 million. Changes in the fair value of these interest rate swaps are recorded directly in earnings.

During the first quarter of 2011 we entered into a derivative that is intended to hedge against increases in market credit spreads of CMBS. Such movements would have a negative impact on the proceeds we expect to receive from contributing loans into commercial mortgage loan securitizations. The notional amount of the derivative is \$50.0 million and it matures in August 2011. Under the terms of the contract, a market credit spread index was defined at the contract s inception by reference to a portfolio of specific independent CMBS. To the extent the referenced credit spread index increases, our counterparty pays us. To the extent the referenced credit spread index decreases, we pay our counterparty. We pay/receive approximately every 30 days based upon the movement in the referenced index during such period. The net loss from inception of the hedge through March 31, 2011 was \$187 thousand and we were due \$67 thousand as of March 31, 2011. There were no credit hedges in place during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

The table below presents the fair value of our derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the balance sheet as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (amounts in thousands).

Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Instruments (amounts in thousands)

	Derivatives in an Asset Position				Derivatives in a Liability Position							
	As of Marc Balance	h 31,	2012	As of Decemb Balance	oer 3	1, 2011	As of March 31 Balance	As of March 31, 2012 As of December 31, 2012 Balance Balance			31, 2	011
	Sheet Location		Fair /alue	Sheet Location		Fair Value	Sheet Location	,	Fair Value	Sheet Location		Fair Value
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments												
Interest rate swaps	Derivative Assets	\$	22	N/A	\$		Derivative Liabilities	\$	1,694	Derivative Liabilities	\$	1,420
Total derivatives designated as												
hedging instruments Derivatives not		\$	22		\$			\$	1,694		\$	1,420
designated as hedging instruments												
Interest rate swaps	Derivative Assets	\$	6,912	Derivative Assets	\$	7,555	Derivative Liabilities	\$	1,890	Derivative Liabilities	\$	11,342
Foreign exchange contracts	Derivative Assets		2,521	Derivative Assets		5,261	Derivative Liabilities		12,723	Derivative Liabilities		6,890
Total derivatives <i>not</i> designated as hedging												
instruments		\$	9,433		\$	12,816		\$	14,613		\$	18,232

Cash flow hedges impact for the three months ended March 31, 2012 (amounts in thousands):

	Amount of lo recognized OCI		Location of loss reclassified from accumulated OCI	Amoun reclassif accumula		Location of loss recognized in income on	Amount of loss recognized in income on	
Derivative type for	on derivativ	ve	into income	into ir	ıcome	derivative	derivative	
cash flow hedge	(effective port	tion)	(effective portion)	(effective	portion)	(ineffective portion)	(ineffective portion)	
Interest Rate Swaps	\$	839	Interest Expense	\$	587	Interest Expense	\$	

Cash flow hedges impact for the three months ended March 31, 2011 (amounts in thousands):

Derivative type for	Amount of loss recognized in OCI on derivative	Location of loss reclassified from accumulated OCI into income	Amount of loss reclassified from accumulated OCI into income	Location of gain recognized in income on derivative	Amount of gain recognized in income on derivative
cash flow hedge	(effective portion)	(effective portion)	(effective portion)	(ineffective portion)	(ineffective portion)
Interest Rate Swaps	\$ 32	Interest Expense	\$ 584	Interest Expense	\$ 45

Non-Designated derivatives impact for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011 (amounts in thousands):

Location of Gain/(Loss)
Recognized in Income on
Derivative

Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative 2012 2011

Interest Rate Swaps Realized losses	Gains (losses) on interest rate hedges	\$ (9,213)	\$ (238)
Interest Rate Swaps Net change in unrealized gains	Gains (losses) on interest rate hedges	\$ 9,779	\$ 1,688
Foreign Exchange Realized gains	Gains (losses) on currency hedges	\$ 2,316	\$
Foreign Exchange Net change in unrealized losses	Gains (losses) on currency hedges	\$ (8,573)	\$ (3,916)
Credit Spread Derivative Realized gains	Gains (losses) on credit spread hedges	\$	\$
Credit Spread Derivative Net change in unrealized losses	Gains (losses) on credit spread hedges	\$	\$ (187)

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

We have entered into agreements with certain of our derivative counterparties that contain provisions where if we were to default on any of our indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, we may also be declared in default on our derivative obligations. We also have certain agreements that contain provisions where if our ratio of principal amount of indebtedness to total assets at any time exceeds 75%, then we could be declared in default of our derivative obligations.

As of March 31, 2012 the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk related to these agreements, was \$15.6 million. As of March 31, 2012, we had posted collateral of \$3.3 million related to these agreements. If we had breached any of these provisions at March 31, 2012, we could have been required to settle our obligations under the agreements at their termination liability value of \$15.6 million.

9. Related-Party Transactions

Management Agreement

We entered into a Management Agreement with our Manager upon closing of our IPO, which provides for an initial term of three years with automatic one-year extensions thereafter unless terminated as described below. Under the Management Agreement, our Manager, subject to the oversight of our board of directors, is required to manage our day-to-day activities, for which our Manager

receives a base management fee and is eligible for an incentive fee and stock awards. Our Manager is also entitled to charge us for certain expenses incurred on our behalf, as described below.

Base Management Fee. The base management fee is 1.5% of our stockholders equity per annum and calculated and payable quarterly in arrears in cash. For purposes of calculating the management fee, our stockholders equity means: (a) the sum of (1) the net proceeds from all issuances of our equity securities since inception (allocated on a pro rata daily basis for such issuances during the fiscal quarter of any such issuance), plus (2) our retained earnings at the end of the most recently completed calendar quarter (without taking into account any non-cash equity compensation expense incurred in current or prior periods), less (b) any amount that we pay to repurchase our common stock since inception. It also excludes (1) any unrealized gains and losses and other non-cash items that have impacted stockholders equity as reported in our financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP, and (2) one-time events pursuant to changes in GAAP, and certain non-cash items not otherwise described above, in each case after discussions between our Manager and our independent directors and approval by a majority of our independent directors. As a result, our stockholders equity, for purposes of calculating the management fee, could be greater or less than the amount of stockholders equity shown in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

For the three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011 approximately \$6.7 million and \$5.0 million was incurred for base management fees, respectively. Management fee payable as of both March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$6.7 million.

Incentive Fee. From August 17, 2009 (the effective date of the Management Agreement), our Manager is entitled to be paid the incentive fee described below with respect to each calendar quarter (or part thereof that the Management Agreement is in effect) if (1) our Core Earnings (as defined below) for the previous 12-month period (or part thereof that the Management Agreement is in effect) exceeds an 8% threshold, and (2) our Core Earnings for the 12 most recently completed calendar quarters (or part thereof that the Management Agreement is in effect) is greater than zero.

The incentive fee will be an amount, not less than zero, equal to the difference between (1) the product of (x) 20% and (y) the difference between (i) our Core Earnings (as defined below) for the previous 12-month period (or part thereof that the Management Agreement is in effect), and (ii) the product of (A) the weighted average of the issue price per share of our common stock of all of our public offerings multiplied by the weighted average number of all shares of common stock outstanding (including any restricted stock units, any restricted shares of common stock and other shares of common stock underlying awards granted under our equity incentive plans) in such previous 12-month period (or part thereof that the Management Agreement is in effect), and (B) 8%, and (2) the sum of any incentive fee paid to our Manager with respect to the first three calendar quarters of such previous 12-month period (or part thereof that the Management Agreement is in effect). One half of each quarterly installment of the incentive fee is payable in shares of our common stock so long as the ownership of such additional number of shares by our Manager would not violate the 9.8% stock ownership limit set forth in our articles of incorporation, after giving effect to any waiver from such limit that our board of directors may grant in the future. The remainder of the incentive fee is payable in cash. The number of shares to be issued to our Manager is equal to the dollar amount of the portion of the quarterly installment of the incentive fee payable in shares divided by the average of the closing prices of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange for the five trading days prior to the date on which such quarterly installment is paid.

Core Earnings is a non-GAAP financial measure. We calculate Core Earnings as GAAP net income (loss) excluding non-cash equity compensation expense, the incentive fee, depreciation and amortization of real estate (to the extent that we own properties), any unrealized gains, losses or other non-cash items recorded in net income for the period, regardless of whether such items are included in other comprehensive income or loss, or in net income. The amount is adjusted to exclude one-time events pursuant to changes in GAAP and certain other non-cash charges as determined by our Manager and approved by a majority of our independent directors.

We incurred approximately \$4.8 million and \$0.4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, in incentive fee. As of March 31, 2012, the incentive fee accrued was approximately \$4.8 million.

Expense Reimbursement. We are required to reimburse our Manager for operating expenses incurred by our Manager on our behalf. In addition, pursuant to the terms of the Management Agreement, we are required to reimburse our Manager for the cost of legal, tax, consulting, auditing and other similar services rendered for us by our Manager s personnel provided that such costs are no greater than those that would be payable if the services were provided by an independent third party. The expense reimbursement is not subject to any dollar limitations but is subject to review by our independent directors. For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, approximately \$1.6 million and \$0.8 million were incurred, respectively, for executive compensation and other reimbursable expenses of which approximately \$1.9 million and \$0.5 million were payable as of March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, respectively.

Termination Fee. After the initial three-year term, we can terminate the Management Agreement without cause, as defined in the Management Agreement, with an affirmative two-thirds vote by our independent directors and 180 days written notice to our

Manager. Upon termination without cause, our Manager is due a termination fee equal to three times the sum of the average annual base management fee and incentive fee earned by our Manager over the preceding eight calendar quarters. No termination fee is payable if our Manager is terminated for cause, as defined in the Management Agreement, which can be done at any time with 30 days written notice from our board of directors.

Loan Participation

In 2011 we purchased a \$35,000,000 *pari passu* participation interest (the Participation Interest) in a \$75,000,000 subordinate loan (the Mammoth Loan) from an independent third party and a syndicate of financial institutions and other entities acting as subordinate lenders to Mammoth Mountain Ski Area, LLC (Mammoth). Mammoth is a single-purpose, bankruptcy remote entity that is owned and controlled by Starwood Global Opportunity Fund VII-A, L.P., Starwood Global Opportunity Fund VII-B, L.P., Starwood U.S. Opportunity Fund VII-D, L.P. and Starwood U.S. Opportunity Fund VII-D-2, L.P. (collectively, the Sponsors). Each of the Sponsors is indirectly wholly-owned by Starwood Capital Group Global I, L.L.C., and an affiliate of our Chief Executive Officer. The Mammoth Loan was approved by our non-executive directors in accordance with our related party transaction policy. The Mammoth Loan has a term of up to six years and an interest rate of 13.25%. We acquired our Participation Interest in the Mammoth Loan from an independent third party and own such Participation Interest subject to a participation agreement between us and the independent third party (the Participation Agreement). The Participation Agreement provides for the payment to us, on a pro rata basis with an independent third party, of customary payments in respect of our Participation Interest and affords us customary voting, approval and consent rights so long as no event of default is continuing under the Mammoth Loan.

10. Stockholders Equity

The Company s authorized capital stock consists of 100,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share, and 500,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share.

On August 17, 2009, we sold 47,575,000 shares of our common stock (including 1,000,000 shares sold to an entity controlled by Starwood Capital Group pursuant to a simultaneous private placement) in our IPO at an offering price of \$20 per share.

In December 2010, we completed a follow-on offering of 23,000,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$19.73 per share.

In May 2011, we completed another follow-on offering of 22,000,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$21.67 per share.

At the time of our IPO in 2009, the underwriters for the IPO agreed to defer and condition the receipt of a portion of their underwriting fees on our future achievement of certain minimum investment returns. Similarly, at the time of the IPO our Manager agreed to pay to the underwriters a separate portion of the underwriting fees on our behalf, with our reimbursement of our Manager of those amounts conditioned upon our achievement of the same investment returns. In the absence of the achievement of such investment returns, we would not pay the underwriters the deferred portion of the underwriting fees nor would our Manager be reimbursed for the portion of the underwriting fees that it paid on our behalf. Specifically, pursuant to the IPO underwriting agreement among the underwriters, our Manager and us, we were required to pay to the underwriters \$18.1 million of underwriting fees if during any full four calendar quarter period during the 24 full calendar quarters after the consummation of the IPO our Core Earnings for any such four-quarter period exceeded the product of (x) the weighted-average of the issue price

per share of all public offerings of our common stock, multiplied by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding (including any restricted stock units, any restricted shares of common stock and any other shares of common stock underlying awards granted under our equity incentive plans) in such four-quarter period and (y) 8%. Additionally, because at the time of our IPO our Manager paid \$9.1 million of underwriting fees on our behalf, pursuant to our Management Agreement with our Manager, we agreed to reimburse our Manager for such payments to the extent the same 8% performance threshold was exceeded. For the four calendar quarter periods ended March 31, 2011 we exceeded the threshold and therefore paid \$27.2 million related to these contingent arrangements during the second quarter of 2011. Prior to 2011, we had recorded a deferred liability and an offsetting reduction to additional paid-in-capital for the full \$27.2 million based upon actual and forecasted operating results at the time.

In August 2011, our board of directors authorized us to repurchase up to \$100 million of our outstanding common shares over a one-year period. Purchases made pursuant to the program are to be made in either the open market or in privately negotiated transactions from time to time as permitted by federal securities laws and other legal requirements. The timing, manner, price and amount of any repurchases are determined by us and are subject to economic and market conditions, stock price, applicable legal requirements and other factors. The program may be suspended or discontinued at any time. Through December 31, 2011, we purchased 625,850 common shares on the open market at an aggregate cost of approximately \$10.6 million, resulting in a weighted average share cost of \$17.00. No additional shares were purchased during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Our board of directors declared the following dividends in 2011 and 2012:

Ex-Dividend Date	Record Date	Announce Date	Pay Date	Amount	Frequency
3/28/2012	3/30/2012	2/29/2012	4/13/2012	\$ 0.44	Quarterly
12/28/2011	12/31/2011	11/4/2011	1/13/2012	\$ 0.44	Quarterly
9/28/2011	9/30/2011	8/2/2011	10/14/2011	\$ 0.44	Quarterly
6/28/2011	6/30/2011	5/10/2011	7/15/2011	\$ 0.44	Quarterly
3/29/2011	3/31/2011	3/1/2011	4/15/2011	\$ 0.42	Quarterly

Equity Incentive Plans

We have reserved an aggregate of 3,112,500 shares of common stock for issuance under the Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Equity Plan and Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Manager Equity Plan and an additional 100,000 shares of common stock for issuance under the Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Non-Executive Director Stock Plan. These plans provide for the issuance of restricted stock or restricted stock units. The holders of awards of restricted stock or restricted stock units will be entitled to receive dividends or distribution equivalents, which will be payable at such time dividends are paid on our outstanding shares of common stock.

We granted each of our four independent directors 2,200 shares of restricted stock concurrently with our IPO, with a total fair value of approximately \$175 thousand. The grants vest ratably in three annual installments on each of the first, second, and third anniversaries of the grant date, respectively, subject to the director s continued service. Effective August 19, 2010, we granted each of our four independent directors an additional 1,000 shares of restricted stock, with a total fair value of approximately \$75 thousand. The grants vested in one annual installment on the first anniversary of the grant. Effective August 19, 2011, we granted each of our four independent directors an additional 2,877 shares of restricted stock, with a total fair value of approximately \$200 thousand. The grant will vest in one annual installment on the first anniversary of the grant, subject to the director s continued service. For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, approximately \$64 thousand and \$32 thousand were included in general and administrative expense, respectively, related to the grants.

In August 2009, we granted 1,037,500 restricted stock units with a fair value of approximately \$20.8 million at the grant date to our Manager under the Manager Equity Plan. The grants vest ratably in quarterly installments over three years beginning on October 1, 2009, with 86,458 shares vesting each quarter, respectively. In connection with the supplemental equity offering in December 2010, we granted 1,075,000 restricted stock units with a fair value of approximately \$21.8 million at the grant date to our Manager under the Manager Equity Plan. The grants vest ratably in quarterly installments over three years beginning on March 31, 2011, with 89,583 shares vesting each quarter. For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, approximately 176,041 and 176,041 shares have vested, respectively, and approximately \$3.6 million and \$3.8 million has been included in management fees related to these grants, respectively.

We granted 5,000 restricted stock units with a fair value of \$100 thousand to an employee under the Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Equity Plan in August 2009. The award was scheduled to vest ratably in quarterly installments over three years beginning on October 1, 2009. Upon the departure of this employee in July 2010, we issued 1,250 shares of our common stock relating to the vested portion of the award, while the remaining 3,750 unvested units were forfeited. In February 2011, we granted 11,082 restricted stock units with a fair value of \$250 thousand to an employee under the Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Equity Plan. The award vests ratably in quarterly installments over three years beginning on March 31, 2011. In March 2012, we granted 17,500 restricted stock units with a fair value of \$368 thousand to employees under the Starwood Property Trust, Inc. Equity Plan. Of the total award, 12,500 restricted shares vest in quarterly installments over three years beginning on March 31, 2012 and 5,000 shares vest in annual installments over three years beginning on December 31, 2012. As of March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, 1,965 and 923 shares have vested, respectively, and for the quarters ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, approximately \$51 thousand and \$9 thousand was included in general and administrative expense related to the grants, respectively.

Schedule of Non-Vested Share and Share Equivalents

	Restricted Stock Grants to Independent Directors	Restricted Stock Unit Grants to Employees	Restricted Stock Unit Grants to Manager	Total
Balance as of December 31, 2011	15,175	7,385	976,044	998,604
Granted		17,500		17,500
Vested	(733)	(1,965)	(176,041)	(178,739)
Forfeited				
Balance as of March 31, 2012	14,442	22,920	800,003	837,365

Vesting Schedule

	Restricted Stock Grants to Independent Directors	Restricted Stock Unit Grants to Employees	Restricted Stock Unit Grants to Manager	Total
2012 (remainder of)	13,708	7,562	441,667	462,937
2013	734	9,527	358,336	368,597
2014		5,831		5,831
Total	14,442	22,920	800,003	837,365

11. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Accumulated other comprehensive income is comprised of the following, net of non-controlling interests in consolidated subsidiaries (amounts in thousands):

	March 31, 2012	March 31, 2011
Cumulative unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	12,536	4,116
Effective portion of cumulative loss on cash flow hedges	(1,673)	(1,030)
Total	10,863	3,086

12. Net Income per Share

The following table provides a reconciliation of both net income and the number of common shares used in the computation of basic and diluted income per share. We use the two-class method in calculating both basic and diluted earnings per share as our unvested restricted stock units (refer to Note 10) are participating securities as defined in GAAP (amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

	Three Month Period Ended				
	Ma	rch 31, 2012	N	Iarch 31, 2011	
Net income attributable to Starwood Property Trust, Inc.	\$	50,159	\$	31,447	
Net (loss) allocated to participating securities		(434)		(706)	
Numerator for basic and diluted net income per share	\$	49.725	\$	30,741	
Basic weighted average shares outstanding		93,166,866		71,013,358	
Weighted average number of diluted shares outstanding(1)		94,227,928		72,743,362	
Basic income per share	\$	0.53	\$	0.44	
Diluted income per share	\$	0.53	\$	0.43	

⁽¹⁾ The weighted average number of diluted shares outstanding includes the impact of (i) unvested restricted stock units totaling 800,003 and 1,524,194 as of March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, respectively, and (ii) 65,998 and 37,256 shares that would be hypothetically issuable as part of the incentive fee payable to the Manager if we assume that March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011 was the end of the measurement period, respectively.

13. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

GAAP establishes a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on the observability of inputs utilized in measuring financial instruments at fair values. GAAP establishes market-based or observable inputs as the preferred source of values, followed by valuation models using management assumptions in the absence of market inputs. The three levels of the hierarchy are described below:

Level I - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level II - Prices are determined using other significant observable inputs. Observable inputs are inputs that other market participants would use in pricing a security. These may include quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk and others.

27

Level III - Prices are determined using significant unobservable inputs. In situations where quoted prices or observable inputs are unavailable (for example, when there is little or no market activity for an investment) unobservable inputs may be used. Unobservable inputs reflect our own assumptions about the factors that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, and would be based on the best information available.
We determine the fair value of our financial instruments as follows:
Available-for-sale debt securities
Available-for-sale debt securities are valued utilizing observable and unobservable market inputs. The observable market inputs may include recent transactions, broker quotes and vendor prices (market data). However, to the extent there is material price dispersion amongst the market data, the fair value determination for these securities significantly utilizes unobservable inputs in discounted cash flow models including prepayments, default and severity estimates based on the recent performance of the collateral, the underlying collateral characteristics, industry trends, as well as expectations of macro-economic events (e.g. housing price curves, interest rate curves, etc.). At each measurement date, we consider both the observable and unobservable valuation inputs in the determination of fair value, as appropriate, and securities are classified as level III when unobservable inputs have the most significant impact.
Available-for-sale equity securities
The available-for-sale equity securities are publicly registered and traded in the United States and their prices are listed on the New York Stock Exchange.
Derivatives
The valuation of derivative contracts are determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves, spot and market forward points. The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash payments and the discounted expected variable cash receipts. The variable cash receipts are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves. The fair value of the foreign currency forward contracts is based on interest differentials between the currencies being traded, spot and market forward points.
We incorporate credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both our own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty s nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of our derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, we have considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees

Although we have determined that the majority of the inputs used to value our derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with our derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we have assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of our derivative positions and have determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of our derivatives. As a result, we have determined that our derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level II of the fair value hierarchy.

Loans

We estimate the fair values of our loans by using market prices, when available, or discounting their expected cash flows at a rate we estimate would be demanded by the market participants that are most likely to buy our loans. The expected cash flows used are the same as those used to calculate our level yield income in the financial statements.

Any changes to the valuation methodology will be reviewed by our management to ensure the changes are appropriate. The methods used may produce a fair value calculation that is not indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while we anticipate that our valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies, or assumptions, to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date. We use inputs that are current as of the measurement date, which may fall within periods of market dislocation, during which price transparency may be reduced.

The following table presents our financial instruments carried at fair value on a recurring basis in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2012 (amounts in thousands):

Fair Value at Reporting Date Using Inputs:

	March 31, 2012							
		Total		Level I		Level II		Level III
Available-for-sale debt securities:								
RMBS	\$	157,186					\$	157,186
CMBS		486,952			\$	486,952		
Total available-for-sale debt securities		644,138				486,952		157,186
Available-for-sale equity securities:								
Real estate industry		11,238	\$	11,238				
Total available-for-sale equity securities:		11,238		11,238				
Total investments		655,376		11,238		486,952		157,186
Derivative Assets:								
Foreign exchange contracts		2,521				2,521		
Interest rate contracts		6,934				6,934		
Derivatives Liabilities:								
Interest rate contracts		(3,584)				(3,584)		
Foreign exchange contracts		(12,723)				(12,723)		
Total Derivatives:		(6,852)				(6,852)		
Total	\$	648,524	\$	11,238	\$	480,100	\$	157,186

The changes in investments classified as Level III are as follows for the three months ended March 31, 2012 (amounts in thousands):

Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs

(Level III)

	Loans held-for-sale, at fair value	MBS available- for-sale, at fair value	Total
Beginning balance, January 1, 2012	\$ 128,593	\$ 341,734	\$ 470,327
Purchases			
Originations			
Transfer out		(176,786)	(176,786)
Sales	(132,128)		(132,128)
Maturities			
Settlements	(122)	(16,539)	(16,661)
Net increase on assets	(132,250)	(193,325)	(325,575)
Gain (loss) on loans held-for-sale, at fair value:			
Unrealized (loss) gain on assets	(5,760)	6,597	837
Realized gain on assets	9,417		9,417
Accretion of discount		2,836	2,836
OTTI		(656)	(656)
Other			
Net gain on assets	3,657	8,777	12,434
Ending balance, as of March 31, 2012	\$	\$ 157,186	\$ 157,186

Due to an increase in the observable, relevant market activity for the CMBS investment position that we owned as of January 1, 2012, we transferred this investment from Level III to Level II during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

The following table presents our financial instruments carried at fair value on a recurring basis in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 (amounts in thousands):

Fair Value at Reporting Date Using Inputs: December 31, 2011

	December 31, 2011								
		Total		Level I		Level II		Level III	
Loans held-for-sale at fair value	\$	128,593					\$	128,593	
Available-for-sale debt securities:									
RMBS		164,948						164,948	
CMBS		176,786						176,786	
Total available-for-sale debt securities		341,734						341,734	
Available-for-sale equity securities:									
Real estate industry		11,269	\$	11,269					
Total available-for-sale equity securities:		11,269		11,269					
Total investments		481,596		11,269				470,327	
Derivative Assets:									
Foreign exchange contracts		5,261			\$	5,261			
Interest rate contracts		7,555				7,555			
Derivatives Liabilities:									
Interest rate contracts		(12,762)				(12,762)			
Foreign exchange contracts		(6,890)				(6,890)			
Total Derivatives:		(6,836)				(6,836)			
Total:	\$	474,760	\$	11,269	\$	(6,836)	\$	470,327	

The changes in investments classified as Level III are as follows for the year ended December 31, 2011 (amounts in thousands):

	Lo	ans held-for-sale, at fair value	MBS availab for-sale, at fair		Total	
Beginning balance, January 1, 2011	\$	144,163			\$	144,163
Purchases				115,795		115,795
Originations		270,066				270,066
Transfer in		(7,000)		282,763		275,763
Sales		(294,126)		(3,600)		(297,726)
Maturities				(15,408)		(15,408)
Settlements		(252)		(36,562)		(36,814)
Net increase on assets		(31,312)		342,988		311,676
Gain (loss) on loans held-for-sale, at fair value:						
Unrealized gain on assets		5,760		(7,961)		(2,201)
Realized gain on assets		10,314		249		10,563
Accretion of discount				10,730		10,730
OTTI				(4,272)		(4,272)
Other		(332)				(332)
Net gain on assets		15,742		(1,254)		14,488
Ending balance, as of December 31, 2011	\$	128,593	\$	341,734	\$	470,327

Our Level III financial instruments are privately-held transactions and/or not actively traded in a marketplace. For each such instrument, we strive to reasonably estimate the expected cash flows and the current rate of return an investor would demand for the same, or more often, similar type financial instruments. We also obtain third-party information, such as broker quotes on MBS from market participants, when they are available and considered relevant. At least quarterly, we review our process for estimating the fair value of our Level III instruments and make adjustments as necessary.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we originated various loans that we intended to sell in the short-term. At the time of the origination, we elected to account for these loans at fair value. The associated interest rate and credit spread derivatives were not designated as hedging instruments for accounting purposes. As a result, changes in the fair value of these derivatives were reported in current earnings. All of our held-for-sale loans have been sold as of March 31, 2012, refer to Note 7 of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

GAAP requires disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the financial statements, for which it is practical to estimate the value. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based upon the application of discount rates to estimated future cash flows using market yields, or other valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop estimated fair value. Accordingly, fair values are not necessarily indicative of the amount we could realize on disposition of the financial instruments. The use of different market assumptions or estimation methodologies could have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

The following table presents the fair value of our financial instruments, including loans held in securitization trust, not carried at fair value on the condensed consolidated balance sheet (amounts in thousands):

	Carrying Value as of March 31, 2012		Fair Value as of March 31, 2012		Carrying Value as of December 31, 2011		D	Fair Value as of ecember 31, 2011
Financial Instruments not carried at Fair Value:								
Loans held for investment	\$	2,333,507	\$	2,385,899	\$	2,268,599	\$	2,308,300
Loans held in securitization trust	\$	50,290	\$	50,591	\$	50,316	\$	50,958
Other Investments	\$	32,906	\$	32,902	\$	33,110	\$	33,110
Financial Liabilities:								
Secured financing agreements	\$	1,308,860	\$	1,308,817	\$	1,103,517	\$	1,104,612
Collateralized debt obligation in securitization								
trust	\$	52,978	\$	53,190	\$	53,199	\$	53,199

The following is quantitative information about significant unobservable inputs in our Level III Measurements (dollar amounts in thousands):

Quantitative Information about Level III Fair Value Measurements

	at	Fair Value t March 31, 2012	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range
RMBS		,	Discounted cash flow	Constant prepayment rate	(0.3%) - 9.8%
				Constant default rate	1.8% - 19.3%
				Loss severity	40% - 102% (b)
				Delinquency Rate	5% - 67%
				Servicer Advances	11% - 100%
	\$	157,182		Annual Coupon Deterioration	0% - 0.33%
Loans held for investment			Discounted cash flow	Projected cash flows (a)	
	\$	2,385,899		Discount rates	2.4%-16.6%
Loans held in securitization trust			Discounted cash flow	Projected cash flows (a)	
	\$	50,591		Discount rates	5.2%
Other investments			Discounted cash flow	Projected cash flows (a)	
	\$	32,902		Discount rates	9.5%
Secured financing agreements			Discounted cash flow	Projected cash flows (a)	
	\$	1,308,817		Discount rates	2.4% - 5.5%
Collateralized debt obligation in			Discounted cash flow	Projected cash flows (a)	
securitization trust	\$	53,190		Discount rates	3.5%

⁽a) As of March 31, 2012, management expects to collect all amounts contractually due.

14. Commitments and Contingencies

⁽b) 85% of the portfolio falls within a range of 40-80%

As described in Note 5, as of March 31, 2012, we have unfunded commitments totaling \$1.7 million related to an investment.

As of March 31, 2012, we had future funding commitments on 10 loans totaling \$62.1 million. The funding commitments relate primarily to leasing commissions and tenant improvements to the extent new leases on the underlying collateral are signed.

Management is not aware of any other contractual obligations, legal proceedings, or any other contingent obligations incurred in the normal course of business that would have a material adverse effect on our financial statements.

15. Subsequent Events

On April 16, 2012, we acquired \$75.6 million of CMBS at a discounted price of \$70.7 million, where the obligors are certain special purpose entities that were formed to hold substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties. This represents an additional investment in the senior debt position that aggregated \$503.1 million as of March 31, 2012 as disclosed in Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements. The acquisition was partially financed using a \$49.3 expansion of the BAML Credit Agreement (see Note 6 of the condensed consolidated financial statements).

In April 2012, we sold 23,000,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$19.88 per share, resulting in gross proceeds of \$457.3 million.

On May 8, 2012, our board of directors declared a dividend of \$0.44 per share for the second quarter of 2012, which is payable on July 13, 2012 to common stockholders of record as of June 30, 2012.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with the information included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. This description contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results could differ significantly from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements due to the factors set forth in Risk Factors and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Overview

Starwood Property Trust, Inc. (together with its subsidiaries, we or the Company) is a Maryland corporation that commenced operations on August 17, 2009 upon completion of our initial public offering. We are focused on originating, investing in, financing and managing commercial mortgage loans and other commercial real estate debt investments, commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS), and other commercial real estate-related debt investments. We also invest in residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS), and may invest in residential REO and distressed or non-performing loans, commercial properties subject to net leases and residential mortgage loans. We collectively refer to commercial mortgage loans, other commercial real estate debt investments, CMBS, other commercial real estate- related debt investments, residential REO and distressed or non-performing loans, commercial properties subject to net leases, and residential mortgage loans as our target assets. As market conditions change over time, we may adjust our strategy to take advantage of changes in interest rates and credit spreads as well as economic and credit conditions. We believe that the diversification of our portfolio of assets, our expertise among the target asset classes, and the flexibility of our strategy will position us to generate attractive risk-adjusted returns for our stockholders in a variety of assets and market conditions.

Our objective is to provide attractive risk-adjusted global returns to our investors over the long term, primarily through dividends and secondarily through capital appreciation. We employ leverage, to the extent available, to fund the acquisition of our target assets and to increase potential returns to our stockholders. In order to achieve these objectives, we are focusing on asset selection and the relative value of various sectors within the debt market to construct a diversified investment portfolio designed to produce attractive returns across a variety of market conditions and economic cycles. We are organized as a holding company that conducts its business primarily through its various subsidiaries.

We have elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (REIT) for U.S. federal income tax purposes, commencing with our initial taxable year ended December 31, 2009. We also operate our business in a manner that will permit us to maintain our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended.

Recent Developments

Significant developments in 2012 include the following:

January 2012:

• Sold 50% of our Euro-denominated loan, to a strategic partner, which resulted in proceeds of \$28.8 million and a realized loss of \$2.1 million. However, the transactions were earning neutral after considering the realized gains on currency hedges of \$2.1 million that were terminated in connection with the sale.

February 2012:

- Acquired \$95.4 million of GBP-denominated B-Notes secured by four resorts in the United Kingdom. The newly issued B-Notes are part of an overall corporate refinancing in which we had a \$143.9 million pre-existing GBP-denominated investment. The pre-existing investment was originally purchased at a discount and, due to the early prepayment, we recognized additional income of approximately \$12.2 million during the first quarter of 2012, which is considered to be a non-recurring event.
- Acquired \$222.8 million of CMBS at a discounted price of \$206.4 million, where the obligors are certain special purpose entities that were formed to hold substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties. The acquisition was financed using a new \$155.4 million facility provided by the seller.
- Originated a \$40.0 million mezzanine loan secured by a 10-property portfolio of full-service and extended-stay hotels located in eight different states.

March 2012:

- Our subsidiary extended the maturity date of its \$100 million master repurchase and securities contract with an affiliate of Wells Fargo Securities, LLC used to finance the acquisition and ownership of RMBS, from March 16, 2012 to March 15, 2013. Advances under the facility accrue interest at a per annum interest rate equal to the sum of (i) 30-day LIBOR plus (ii) a margin of 2.10%. We have guaranteed the obligations of our subsidiary under the facility. The facility and related guarantee contain various affirmative and negative covenants applicable to us that are similar in nature to covenants contained in our other financing agreements.
- Acquired a \$125.0 million participation in a senior loan secured by all the material assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties for a discounted purchase price of approximately \$115.7 million. The acquisition was financed with an \$81.0 million increase in a financing facility previously provided by the seller. Our total carrying value in the senior loan, including the purchase of the \$206.4 million CMBS this quarter and our existing CMBS position is approximately \$503.1 million as of March 31, 2012.
- We, through certain of our subsidiaries, entered into a new \$125.0 million financing facility with an affiliate of Citigroup Global Markets Inc., one of the underwriters, to finance commercial mortgage loans and senior interests in commercial mortgage loans originated or acquired by us and including loans and interests intended to be included in commercial mortgage loan securitizations as well as those not intended to be securitized. Advances under the facility accrue interest at a per annum interest rate equal to the sum of (i) 30-day LIBOR plus (ii) a margin of between 1.75% and 3.75% depending on (A) asset type, (B) the amount advanced and (C) the debt yield and loan-to-value ratios of the purchased mortgage loan. The facility has an initial maturity date of March 29, 2014, subject to three one-year extension options, which may be exercised by us upon the satisfaction of certain conditions. We have guaranteed the obligations of our subsidiaries under the facility up to a maximum liability of 25% of the then-currently outstanding repurchase price of assets financed there under. The facility and related guarantee contain various affirmative and negative covenants applicable to us that are similar in nature to covenants contained in our other financing agreements.
- Funded a \$59.0 million mortgage loan secured by an office campus located in Northern California. The terms of the loan provide for up to \$4.0 million of future advances upon the satisfaction of specified conditions.
- Sold the remainder of our held-for-sale first mortgage loans targeted for securitization. As of December 31, 2011, our net equity investment in these six loans was \$36.5 million and the loans had a carrying value of \$128.6 million. We realized an aggregate profit of approximately \$1.0 million on the held-for-sale loans and associated interest rate hedges.

Subsequent to quarter end:

- In April, we paid a dividend on shares of our common stock of \$0.44 per share to stockholders of record on March 30, 2012.
- In April, we sold 23,000,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$19.88 per share, resulting in gross proceeds of \$457.3 million.

- In April, the Company acquired \$75.6 million of CMBS at a discounted price of \$70.7 million, where the obligors are certain special purpose entities that were formed to hold substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties. The acquisition was partially financed using a \$49.3 million increase in a financing facility previously provided by the seller.
- On May 8, 2012, our board of directors declared a dividend of \$0.44 per share for the second quarter of 2012, which is payable on July 13, 2012 to common stockholders of record as of June 30, 2012.

Our investment portfolio is comprised of the following at March 31, 2012 (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Property	Carrying	Face	%	Net			
	Type	Value	Amount	Owned	Financing		Investment	Vintage
Loan Originations	Assorted	\$ 1,094,172	\$ 1,097,496	100% \$	360,449	\$	733,723	2009-2012
Loan Acquisitions	Assorted	1,289,625	1,384,884	100%	649,245		640,380	1989-2011
CMBS	Assorted	486,952	511,112	100%	271,734		215,218	2010-2012
RMBS	Residential	157,186	247,042	100%	80,410		76,776	2003-2007
Other Investments	Assorted	44,144	44,144	89%			44,144	N/A
		\$ 3,072,079	\$ 3,284,678	\$	1,361,838	\$	1,710,241	

Our total investment portfolio, excluding other investments, has the following characteristics based on carrying values:

Collateral Property Type	As of March 31, 2012	As of December 31, 2011
Hospitality	47.9%	39.9%
Industrial	3.5	3.8
Office	20.2	20.1
Retail	16.5	21.0
Residential	5.2	5.9
Multi-family	3.1	3.3
Other	0.5	0.4
Mixed Use	3.1	5.6
	100.0%	100.0%

Geographic Location	As of March 31, 2012	As of December 31, 2011
Northeast	15.8%	12.5%
Mid-Atlantic	17.0	19.7
Southeast	17.9	19.0
Southwest	4.5	4.8
Midwest	12.6	12.9
West	25.5	23.5
International	6.7	7.6
	100.0%	100.0%

Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates

Refer to the section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 entitled Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies for full discussion of our critical accounting policies. Our critical accounting policies have not materially changed during 2012.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2011, the FASB issued amended guidance which will enhance disclosures required by GAAP by requiring improved information about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either (1) offset or (2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, irrespective of whether they are offset. This information will enable users of an entity s financial statements to evaluate the effect or potential effect of netting arrangements on an entity s financial position, including the effect or potential effect of rights of setoff associated with certain financial instruments and derivative instruments. An entity is required to apply the amendments for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. An entity should provide the disclosures required by those amendments retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. We are in the process of evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on our financial statement disclosures.

Results of Operations

Net income attributable to Starwood Property Trust, Inc. for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was approximately \$50.2 million or \$0.53 per weighted-average share of basic common stock (\$0.53 diluted), an increase of approximately 60% (whole dollar change) from the \$31.4 million or \$0.44 per weighted-average share of basic common stock (\$0.43 diluted) for the three months ended March 31, 2011. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, net interest margin increased \$34.5 million from the prior period, resulting from increases in interest income of \$38.2 million and interest expense of \$3.7 million. The increase in net interest margin is primarily due to increased investment activity. From March 31, 2011 to March 31, 2012, the carrying value of our investments in loans increased a net \$602.6 million, other investments increased a net \$24.5 million and MBS securities increased by \$308.6 million. Additionally, our GBP denominated loan paid off early, resulting in \$13.1 million of accelerated accretion of the purchase discount. The increase in interest expense resulted primarily from the five new financing facilities entered into since March 31, 2011, with a resulting increase in the total secured financing balance outstanding of \$504.3 million. As of March 31, 2012, our target portfolio of investments was generating a weighted-average levered return of 12.6% (annually compounded) and the weighted-average cost of the secured financings was 3.5%, including the impact of interest rate hedges.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, non-investment expenses increased by \$7.5 million from the prior period. The year over year increase was primarily due to increases in the base management fee of \$1.7 million, incentive fee of \$4.3 million, acquisition and investment pursuit costs of \$0.8 million and general and administrative costs of \$0.9 million, offset by decreases in stock compensation

expense of \$0.2 million. The increase in the base management fee was primarily due to our supplemental equity issuances in December 2010 and May 2011 with net proceeds of \$434.6 million and \$475.4 million, respectively. The increase in the incentive fee is due to the increase in Core Earnings. The increase in acquisition and investment pursuit costs and general and administrative expenses are primarily attributed to our increased size, as well as the transaction volume and the increase in professional fees such as legal, audit and consulting, resulting from the growing investment portfolio.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, we had realized gains from the sale of investments of \$7.3 million, which primarily related to the sale of our loans held-for-sale and partial sale of our EURO denominated loan, refer to Note 7 of the condensed consolidated financial statements for more information on loan sales and securitization activity. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we had realized gains from the sale of investments of \$8.1 million, of which \$6.2 million related to the sale of MBS and \$1.9 million related to the sale of loans held-for-sale. Realized gains from MBS and other investments primarily relate to strategic sales of RMBS and CMBS in the first quarter of 2011. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, we had net losses on currency hedges of \$6.3 million and net foreign currency remeasurement gains of \$7.8 million, compared to net losses on currency hedges of \$3.9 million and unrealized foreign currency remeasurement gain of \$4.0 million from the prior period. The fluctuation in the currency hedge and foreign currency remeasurement gains and losses year over year was primarily due to the weakening of the Euro relative to the USD. Additionally, the pre-payment of our GBP denominated loan resulted in effective currency hedge losses of \$10.0 million and realized foreign currency remeasurement gains of \$9.1 million. The partial sale of our EUR denominated loan resulted in realized gains on foreign currency hedges of \$2.1 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, we had net gains on interest rate hedges of \$0.6 million. OTTI charges related to our RMBS securities were \$0.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and other income was \$0.8 million.

The reported and diluted per share amounts of our interest margin and expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows (amounts in thousands except per share data):

	For the three month period ended March 31 Per Diluted Per Dilute								
		2012		Share	2011		Share		
Net interest margin:									
Cash coupon received from loans	\$	47,455	\$	0.51	\$	28,020	\$	0.39	
Constant yield adjustments on loans(1)		21,622		0.23		4,697		0.06	
Cash coupon received from mortgage-backed									
securities (MBS)		3,059		0.03		3,619		0.05	
Constant yield adjustments on mortgage-backed									
securities(2)		5,616		0.06		3,241		0.04	
Cash interest expense		(10,273)		(0.11)		(7,303)		(0.10)	
Amortization of debt issuance costs		(1,579)		(0.02)		(841)		(0.01)	
Net interest margin		65,900		0.70		31,433		0.43	
Expenses:									
Base management fee		6,728		0.07		5,076		0.07	
Management incentive fee		4,790		0.05		426		0.01	
Stock compensation - manager		3,649		0.04		3,844		0.05	
Total management fees		15,167		0.16		9,346		0.13	
Transaction and dead deal costs		861		0.01		88		0.00	
General and administrative		3,023		0.03		2,104		0.03	
Total expenses		19,051		0.20		11,538		0.16	
Income before other income (expenses) and									
taxes	\$	46,849	\$	0.50	\$	19,895	\$	0.27	

- (1) Represents the aggregate adjustments necessary to recognize income from loans on a constant yield basis, which is comprised primarily of discount accretion, but also includes the amortization of loan fees and costs.
- (2) Represents the aggregate adjustments necessary to recognize income from MBS on a constant yield basis, which is comprised primarily of discount accretion.

The overall increase in net interest margin per diluted share for the three months ended March 31, 2012 over the comparable period in the prior year is due to the more full deployment of our capital throughout 2011. Management fees for the three months ended March 31, 2012 increased from the same period in the prior year due to the increase in core earnings per share, which resulted primarily from the early repayment of our GBP denominated loans, which resulted in net additional income of \$12.2 million. Acquisition and investment pursuit costs per diluted share increased during the first quarter of 2012 when compared to the first quarter of 2011 due to the increased transaction volume. General and administrative expenses per diluted share for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were unchanged from the prior period.

Cash Flows

Cash and cash equivalents increased by \$18.5 million from the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase resulted from cash provided from operating activities of \$174.1 million and cash provided from financing activities of \$161.5 million, offset by cash provided used in investing activities of \$317.1 million.

Net cash provided from operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2012 of approximately \$174.1 million, includes \$132.1 million in proceeds from the sale of loans held-for-sale. The net income for the period was approximately \$50.2 million. The adjustments for non-cash charges, including stock-based compensation, accretion of deferred loan fees and discounts, amortization of deferred financing costs, accretion of net discount on MBS and amortization of premium from collateralized debt obligations decreased cash by \$22.6 million. The net change in operating assets and liabilities increased cash flows from operating activities by approximately \$24.5 million. This amount is comprised of a \$26.4 million increase in cash attributable to related party payable, other assets and other liabilities, and a decrease of nearly \$1.9 million from accrued interest receivable. The net change in unrealized gains on interest rate hedges of \$9.8 million was offset by a net unrealized loss on loans held-for-sale at fair value of \$5.8 million, currency hedges of \$8.6 million and foreign currency remeasurement of \$1.0 million. Additionally, we recognized realized gains of \$7.3 million from the sale of loans and \$9.1 million from realized foreign currency remeasurement. Lastly, for the three months ended March 31, 2012, we had OTTI charges on our RMBS securities of \$0.7 million.

Net cash used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2012 totaled \$317.1 million and related primarily to the acquisition and origination of new loans held-for-investment of \$218.9 million, new MBS of \$301.8 million, other investments of \$0.1 million and purchased interest of approximately \$0.4 million offset by principal repayments on loans and MBS of \$6.2 million and \$20.1 million, respectively, loan maturities of \$147.7 million, proceeds from the sale of loans held for investment of \$28.8 million, repayments of other investments of \$0.3 million and return of basis in purchased hedge assets of \$1.0 million.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2012 related primarily to borrowings from our secured financing facilities of \$383.0 million, offset by dividend payments to our shareholders of \$41.4 million, repayments on borrowings of \$177.7 million, distributions to non-controlling interests of \$0.2 million, and the payment of deferred financing costs of \$2.3 million.

Net cash used in operating activities for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 of approximately \$46.2 million, includes \$110.4 million for the purchase of loans held-for-sale and \$56.3 million in proceeds from the sale of loans into the securitization trust. All other operating activities increased cash by \$7.3 million, including approximately \$0.3 million of operating income attributable to non-controlling interests. The net income for the period was approximately \$31.7 million. The adjustments for non-cash charges for stock-based compensation, amortization of deferred loan fees and discounts, amortization of deferred financing costs, amortization of net discount on MBS and amortization of premium from collateralized debt obligations decreased cash by \$3.9 million. The net change in operating assets and liabilities decreased cash flows from operating activities by \$7.8 million and consisted of a \$2.2 million increase in related party payable, and a decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses, other liabilities, interest receivables and other assets of \$10.0 million. The net change in unrealized gains on loans held-for-sale, currency hedges and interest rate swaps and remeasurement gain on foreign currency denominated assets of \$4.8 million was offset by realized gains on the sale of loans of \$1.9 million and realized gains on the sale of available-for-sale securities of \$6.2 million. In addition, realized losses on derivatives was approximately \$0.3 million. We also had an OTTI charge of \$0.4 million.

Net cash used in investing activities for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 totaled \$252.7 million and related primarily to the origination and acquisition of new loans held-for-investment of \$292.7 million, new mortgage-backed securities of \$92.5 million, other investments of \$8.7 million and purchased interest of \$0.3 million offset by proceeds from sales of MBS of \$92.7 million, principal repayments on loans and MBS of \$5.8 million and \$34.0 million, respectively, MBS maturities of \$6.2 million, and proceeds from the sales of other investments of \$2.8 million.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 related primarily to borrowings from secured financing facilities of \$322.9 million, offset by the payment of dividends to our shareholders of \$29.1 million, repayments on borrowings of \$98.0 million and distributions to non-controlling interests of \$0.8 million.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is a measure of our ability to meet our cash requirements, including ongoing commitments to repay borrowings, fund and maintain our assets and operations, make new investments where appropriate, make any distributions to our stockholders, and other general business needs. We use cash to purchase or originate our target assets, repay principal and interest on our borrowings, make distributions to our stockholders and fund our operations. We closely monitor our liquidity position and believe that we have sufficient current liquidity and access to additional liquidity to meet our financial obligations for at least the next 12 months. Our primary sources of liquidity are as follows:

Cash Generated from Operating the Business, Including Repayments
Cash from operations is generally comprised of interest income from our investments, net of any associated financing expense, principal repayments from our investments and proceeds from the sale of investments, net of any associated financing repayments, and changes in working capital balances.
Cash and Cash Equivalents
As of March 31, 2012, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$132.6 million.
Potential Liquidation of Certain RMBS and CMBS Positions
We regularly make certain investments in RMBS. We have restricted these RMBS investments to an amount that at all times is no greater than 10% of our total assets. Expected durations are generally 5 years or less and we have engaged a third party manager who specializes in RMBS to assist us in managing this portfolio. As of March 31, 2012, our investments in RMBS and CMBS are classified as available-for-sale and had a fair value of \$157.2 million and \$487.0 million, respectively.
Borrowings under Various Financing Arrangements
We utilize a variety of financing arrangements to finance certain assets. We generally utilize four types of financing arrangements:
1) Repurchase Agreements: Repurchase agreements effectively allow us to borrow against loans and securities that we own. Under these agreements, we sell our loans and securities to a counterparty and agree to repurchase the same loans and securities from the counterparty at a price equal to the original sales price plus an interest factor. The counterparty retains the sole discretion over both whether to purchase the loan and security from us and, subject to certain conditions, the market value of such loan or security for purposes of determining whether we are required to pay margin to the counterparty. Generally, if the lender determines (subject to certain conditions) that the market value of the collateral in a repurchase transaction has decreased by more than a defined minimum amount, we would be required to repay any amounts borrowed in excess of the product of (i) the revised market value multiplied by (ii) the applicable advance rate. During the term of a repurchase agreement, we receive the principal and interest on the related loans and securities and pay interest to the counterparty. As of March 31, 2012, we had various repurchase agreements, with details referenced in the table provided below.
2) Bank Credit Facilities: We use bank credit facilities (including term loans and revolving facilities) to finance our assets. These financings may be collateralized or non-collateralized and may involve one or more lenders. Credit facilities typically have maturities ranging from two to five years and may accrue interest at either fixed or floating rates. As of March 31, 2012, we have one bank credit facility as described in the table provided below.

3) Loan Sales/Syndications/Securitizations: We seek non-recourse long-term financing from loan sales, syndications and/or securitizations of our investments in mortgage loans. The sales/syndications/securitizations generally involve a senior portion of our loan, but may involve the entire loan. Loan sales and syndications generally involve the sale of a senior note component or participation interest to a third party lender. Securitization generally involves transferring notes to a special purpose vehicle (or the issuing entity), which then issues one or more classes of non-recourse notes pursuant to the terms of an indenture. The notes are secured by the pool of assets. In exchange for the transfer of assets to the issuing entity, we receive cash proceeds from the sale of non-recourse notes. Sales/syndications/securitizations of our portfolio investments might magnify our exposure to losses on those portfolio investments because the retained subordinate interest in any particular overall loan would be subordinate to the loan components sold and we would, therefore, absorb all losses sustained with respect to the overall loan before the owners of the senior notes experience any losses with respect to the loan in question.

Summary of Financing Facilities as of March 31, 2012 (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Wells Fargo I	Wells Fargo II	Goldman Sachs	Bank of America	Wells Fargo III	Deutsche Bank I	Deutsche Bank II	Wells Fargo IV	Goldman Sachs II	Citibank
Facility Type				Bank						
	D 1	D 1	D 1	Credit	D 1	D 1	D 1	D 1	D 1	D 1
D L		Repurchase	Repurchase	Facility			Repurchase			
Revolver	No	Yes	Yes	No Sin ala	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No Sin ala	Yes
Eligible Assets				Single			Single		Single	
	T1 ('C' 1	T.1: C' 1	T.1: C'. 1	Borrower	T.1. ('C' 1	T.1	Borrower	T.1 .:C: 1	Borrower	T.1. ('C' 1
	Identified	Identified	Identified	Secured	Identified	Identified	Secured	Identified	Secured	Identified
Tuitial Mataurita	Loans	Loans	Loans	Note	RMBS	Loans	Note	Loans	Note	Loans
Initial Maturity Extended Maturity	May-13	Aug-13	Dec-12	Nov-14	Mar-13	Jun-12	May-12	Dec-14	Aug-15	Mar-14
(a)	N/A	Aug-15	N/A	Nov-15	N/A	Jun-14	N/A	Dec-16	N/A	Mar-17
Pricing	IV/A	LIBOR +	IV/A	LIBOR +	IN/A	LIBOR +	IVA	Dec-10	IVA	LIBOR +
Tricing	LIBOR +		LIBOR +1.95%		LIBOR +	1.85% to	LIBOR +	LIBOR +	LIBOR +	1.75% to
	3%	6%	to 2.25%	2.5%	2.10%	2.5%	3.5% to 5%	2.75%	2.90%	3.75%
Minimum Loss to	3 70	070	10 2.23 70	2.3 70	2.10%	2.5 %	3.3 % 60 3 %	2.7376	2.50%	3.7370
Trigger a Margin										
Call	(b)	(b)	(e)	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$0	(h)	\$2,000	(i)
Maximum										
Advance Rate on										
Collateral	(c)	75%	80%	(c)	(d)	85%	75%	(d)	76.25%	75%
Pledged Asset										
Carrying Value	\$161,192	\$768,448	\$0	\$296,517	\$134,378	\$0	\$167,373	\$307, 279	\$206,591	\$0
Maximum Facility										
Size	\$108,366	\$550,000	\$150,000	\$198,079	\$100,000	\$150,000	\$117,161	\$236,000	\$154,347	\$125,000
Outstanding										
Balance	\$108,366	\$438,818	\$0	\$198,079	\$80,410	\$0	\$97,346	\$231,494	\$154,347	\$0
Approved but										
Undrawn Capacity	Φ0	#2 < 000	40	Φ0	Φ.Ο.	40	#10.015	40	40	Φ.Ο.
(f)	\$0	\$36,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$19,815	\$0	\$0	\$0
Unallocated										
Financing Amount (g)	\$0	\$75,182	\$150,000	\$0	\$19,590	\$150,000	\$0	\$4,506	\$0	\$125,000
(5)	φυ	Φ13,102	\$150,000	φυ	\$17,370	φ150,000	φυ	φ 4 ,500	φυ	φ123,000

⁽a) Subject to certain conditions as defined in facility agreement.

⁽b) 35 bps of aggregate outstanding principal amount.

⁽c) Effectively not applicable as of March 31, 2012 as there was no longer any borrowing capacity available.

⁽d) There is no defined maximum advance rate under this facility. The advance rates are determined separately for each repurchase transaction.

(e)	Margin deficit exists if the aggregate repurchase price exceeds the aggregate sum for all assets of the product of (i) the
ndvance rate for eac	ch asset multiplied by (ii) its fair value.
f)	Approved but undrawn capacity represents the total draw amount that has been approved by the lender related to the asset
hat have been pleds	ged as collateral, less the actual amount that has been drawn.
(g)	Unallocated financing amount represents the maximum facility size less the total draw capacity that has been approved by
he lender.	
h)	Lesser of \$1.0 million or 35 bps of aggregate outstanding principal amount.
i) ourchase price divid	Margin may be called if the market value of all purchased assets is less than 99.5% of the sum of each assets initial led by its approved advance rate.
	38

Summary of Total Financing Facility Ending Balances as of March 31, 2012 (amounts in thousands):

Aggregate Pledged Asset Carrying Value	\$ 2,041,778
Aggregate Maximum Facility Size	\$ 1,888,953
Less: Aggregate Outstanding Balance	\$ (1,308,860)
Aggregate Undrawn Capacity	\$ 580,093
Aggregate Approved but Undrawn Capacity	\$ 55,815

Scheduled Principal Repayments on Investments

The following scheduled and/or projected principal repayments on our investments were based upon the amounts outstanding and contractual terms of the financing facilities in effect as of March 31, 2012 (amounts in thousands):

	Scheduled Principal Repayments on Loans		Scheduled/Projected Principal Repayments on RMBS and CMBS		Projected Required Repayments of Financing		Total Scheduled Principal Repayments, net of financing	
Second Quarter 2012	\$	197,547	\$	18,409	\$	(104,135)	\$	111,821
Third Quarter 2012		80,116		16,984		(64,483)		32,617
Fourth Quarter 2012		43,501		15,213		(12,041)		46,673
First Quarter 2013 (1)		115,632		14,743		(92,342)		38,033
Total	\$	436,796	\$	65,349	\$	(273,001)	\$	229,144

⁽¹⁾ Excludes financing repayment of \$80.4 million related to the Wells Fargo III financing facility, which is scheduled to mature in March 2013. We expect to extend the facility or otherwise refinance the RMBS.

Other Potential Sources of Financing

In the future, we may also use other sources of financing to fund the acquisition of our target assets, including other secured as well as unsecured forms of borrowing. We may also seek to raise further equity capital or issue debt securities in order to fund our future investments.

Leverage Policies

We employ leverage, to the extent available, to fund the acquisition of our target assets, increase potential returns to our stockholders and meet our return objectives. Leverage can be either direct by utilizing private third party financing, or indirect through originating, acquiring, or retaining subordinated mortgages, B-notes, subordinated loan participations or mezzanine loans. Although the type of leverage we deploy is dependent on the underlying asset that is being financed, we intend when possible to utilize leverage whose maturity is equal to or greater than the maturity of the underlying asset. In addition, we intend to mitigate the impact of potential future interest rate increases on our borrowings through utilization of hedging instruments, primarily interest rate swap agreements.

The amount of leverage we deploy for particular investments in our target assets depends upon our Manager s assessment of a variety of factors, which may include the anticipated liquidity and price volatility of the assets in our investment portfolio, the potential for losses and extension risk in our portfolio, the gap between the duration of our assets and liabilities, including hedges, the availability and cost of financing the assets, our opinion of the creditworthiness of our financing counterparties, the health of the U.S. economy and commercial and residential mortgage markets, our outlook for the level, slope, and volatility of interest rates, the credit quality of our assets, the collateral underlying our assets, and our outlook for asset spreads relative to the LIBOR curve. Under our current repurchase agreements and bank credit facility, our total leverage may not exceed 75%, as adjusted to remove the impact of the consolidation of variable interest entities and the treatment of bona-fide sales of financial instrument as financings, pursuant to GAAP.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

Contractual obligations as of March 31, 2012 are as follows (amounts in thousands):

	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	More than 5 years
Secured financings, including interest payable					
(1)	\$ 1,381,333	\$ 208,286	\$ 863,584	\$ 309,463	\$
Liabilities of securitization trust	58,618	2,014	5,761	50,843	
Loan funding obligations	62,059	43,501	18,558		
Venture investment funding obligation	1,672	1,672			
Total	\$ 1,503,682	\$ 255,473	\$ 887,903	\$ 360,306	\$

⁽¹⁾ For borrowings with variable interest rates, we used the rates in effect as of March 31, 2012 to determine the future interest payment obligations.

The table above does not include amounts due under our Management Agreement or derivative agreements as those contracts do not have fixed or determinable payments. Refer to Notes 8 and 9 of the condensed consolidated financial statements for obligations related to these agreements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of March 31, 2012, we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured investment vehicles, or special purpose or variable interest entities, established to facilitate off-

balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. Further, as of March 31, 2012, we had not guaranteed any obligations of unconsolidated entities or entered into any commitment or intent to provide additional funding to any such entities.

Dividends

We intend to continue to make regular quarterly distributions to holders of our common stock. U.S. federal income tax law generally requires that a REIT distribute annually at least 90% of its REIT taxable income, without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gains, and that it pay tax at regular corporate rates to the extent that it annually distributes less than 100% of its net taxable income. We intend to continue to pay regular quarterly dividends to our stockholders in an amount at least equal to our estimated taxable income, if and to the extent authorized by our board of directors. Before we pay any dividend, whether for U.S. federal income tax purposes or otherwise, we must first meet both our operating requirements and debt service on our debt. If our cash available for distribution is less than our net taxable income, we could be required to sell assets or borrow funds to make cash distributions or we may make a portion of the required distribution in the form of a taxable stock distribution or distribution of debt securities.

The Company s board of directors declared the following dividends in 2011 and 2012:

Ex-Dividend Date	Record Date	Announce Date	Pay Date	Amount	Frequency
3/28/2012	3/30/2012	2/29/2012	4/13/2012	\$ 0.44	Quarterly
12/28/2011	12/31/2011	11/4/2011	1/13/2012	\$ 0.44	Quarterly
9/28/2011	9/30/2011	8/2/2011	10/14/2011	\$ 0.44	Quarterly
6/28/2011	6/30/2011	5/10/2011	7/15/2011	\$ 0.44	Quarterly
3/29/2011	3/31/2011	3/1/2011	4/15/2011	\$ 0.42	Quarterly

On May 8, 2012, our board of directors declared a dividend of \$0.44 per share for the second quarter of 2012, which is payable on July 13, 2012 to common stockholders of record as of June 30, 2012.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Core Earnings is a non-GAAP financial measure. We calculate Core Earnings as GAAP net income (loss) excluding non-cash equity compensation expense, the incentive fee, depreciation and amortization of real estate (to the extent that we own properties), any unrealized gains, losses or other non-cash items recorded in net income for the period, regardless of whether such items are included in other comprehensive income or loss, or in net income. The amount is adjusted to exclude one-time events pursuant to changes in GAAP and certain other non-cash charges as determined by our Manager and approved by a majority of our independent directors.

We believe that Core Earnings provides an additional measure of our core operating performance by eliminating the impact of certain non-cash expenses and facilitating a comparison of our financial results to those of other comparable REITs with fewer or no non-cash charges and comparison of our own operating results from period to period. Our management uses Core Earnings in this way, and also uses Core Earnings to compute the incentive fee due under our Management Agreement. We believe that our investors also use Core Earnings or a comparable supplemental performance measure to evaluate and compare our performance and its peers, and as such, we believe that the disclosure of Core Earnings is useful to (and expected by) our investors.

However, we caution that Core Earnings does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP), or an indication of our cash flow from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP), a measure of our liquidity, or an indication of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to make cash distributions. In addition, our methodology for calculating Core Earnings may differ from the methodologies employed by other REITs to calculate the same or similar supplemental performance measures, and accordingly, our reported Core Earnings may not be comparable to the Core Earnings reported by other REITs.

Our Core Earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were approximately \$55.0 million, or \$0.58 per weighted average share, diluted. The table below provides a reconciliation of net income to Core Earnings for this period:

March 31, 2012 Reconciliation of Net Income to Core Earnings (amounts in thousands except per share data):

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012	Per Diluted Share	
Net income attributable to Starwood Property			
Trust, Inc.	\$ 50,159	\$	0.53
Unrealized loss on loans held-for-sale at fair value	5,760		0.06
Unrealized gain on interest rate hedges	(9,779)		(0.10)
Other-than-temporary impairment	656		0.01
Unrealized foreign currency loss	1,025		0.01
Unrealized loss on currency hedges	8,573		0.09
Management incentive fee	4,790		0.05
Non-cash stock-based compensation	3,765		0.04
Loss from effective hedge termination	(9,989)		(0.11)
Core Earnings	\$ 54,960	\$	0.58

Effective for the first quarter 2012, we have slightly modified the definition of Core Earnings to allow for management to make adjustments, subject in each case to the approval of a majority of the independent directors, to Core Earnings that should be made in non-standard situations if and when they arise in order for us to calculate such earnings in a manner consistent with the objective of the measure. During the first quarter 2012, we had such a situation. In February 2012, our GBP-denominated loan prepaid. At the time the loan was originally closed, we had hedged our exposure to fluctuations in the GBP/USD exchange rate through a series of foreign exchange forward contracts. Under these derivative contracts, we sold GBP to our counterparty in exchange for USD (at a fixed exchange rate) on scheduled dates and at specified notional amounts that corresponded to the dates on which we expected to receive GBP-denominated interest and principal payments on our loan investment. As a result of the loan being prepaid in February 2012, the foreign exchange forward contracts were no longer necessary hedges. At that time, the hedge contracts were in a loss position to us of approximately \$10 million. In the process of negotiating the termination of the contracts, management was able to lock-in the amount of the loss by entering into new derivative contracts with a separate counterparty that had the same maturity dates and notional amounts, but wherein we would sell USD in exchange for GBP (offsetting positions). We executed this structure as opposed to liquidating the original contracts as it was more cost effective. However, because the original contracts remain in place, the loss has not been realized as that term is defined in GAAP. As a result, while we have effectively locked-in the loss, it would not have been deducted in Core Earnings as previously defined. Therefore, we have modified the definition of Core Earnings to allow for adjustments in non-standard situations such as this, provided that we obtain the approval for any such adjustments from the majority of our independent directors.

Our Core Earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2011 were approximately \$31.4 million, or \$0.43 per weighted average share, diluted. The table below provides a reconciliation of net income to Core Earnings for this period:

March 31, 2011 Reconciliation of Net Income to Core Earnings (amounts in thousands except per share data):

	ree Months ed March 31, 2011	Per Diluted Share	
Net income attributable to Starwood Property Trust, Inc.	\$ 31,447	\$	0.43
Unrealized gain on loans held-for-sale at fair value	(3,187)		(0.03)
Unrealized gain on interest rate hedges	(1,688)		(0.02)
Unrealized loss on credit spread hedges	187		0.00
Other-than-temporary impairment	434		0.00
Unrealized foreign currency gain	(3,984)		(0.05)

Unrealized loss on currency hedges	3,916	0.05
Management incentive fee	427	0.00
Non-cash stock-based compensation	3,884	0.05
Core Earnings	\$ 31,436	\$ 0.43

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

We seek to manage our risks related to the credit quality of our assets, interest rates, liquidity, prepayment speeds and market value while, at the same time, seeking to provide an opportunity for our stockholders to realize attractive risk-adjusted returns through ownership of our capital stock. While we do not seek to avoid risk completely, we believe the risk can be quantified from historical experience and seek to actively manage that risk, to earn sufficient compensation to justify taking those risks and to maintain capital levels consistent with the risks we undertake.

Our analysis of risks is based on our Manager s experience, estimates, models and assumptions. These analyses rely on models which utilize estimates of fair value and interest rate sensitivity. Actual economic conditions or implementation of decisions by the Manager may produce results that differ significantly from the estimates and assumptions used in our models and the projected results.

Credit Risk

We are subject to varying degrees of credit risk in connection with our investments. We have exposure to credit risk on the loan assets and underlying mortgage loans in our non-Agency RMBS and CMBS portfolios as well as other assets. Our Manager seeks to manage credit risk by performing deep credit fundamental analysis of potential assets. Credit risk is also addressed through our Manager s on-going surveillance, and investments are monitored for variance from expected prepayments, defaults, severities, losses and cash flow on a monthly basis.

Our investment guidelines do not limit the amount of our equity that may be invested in any type of our target assets; however, not more than 25% of our equity may be invested in any individual asset without the consent of a majority of our independent directors. Our investment decisions depend on prevailing market conditions and may change over time in response to opportunities available in different interest rate, economic and credit environments. As a result, we cannot predict the percentage of our equity that will be invested in any of our target assets at any given time.

At March 31, 2012, the S&P ratings of our RMBS portfolio were as follows (amounts in thousands):

S&P Rating	Carrying Value	Percentage
AA+	\$ 33	0.1%
AA	1,237	0.8%
A	4,116	2.6%
A-	3,385	2.2%
BBB+	6,485	4.1%
BBB	78	0.1%
BBB-	5,414	3.4%
BB+	3,977	2.5%
BB	2,736	1.7%
BB-	4,426	2.8%
B+	5,400	3.4%
В	5,281	3.4%
B-	8,622	5.5%
CCC	94,273	60.0%
CC	6,325	4.0%
D	3,621	2.3%
NR	1,777	1.1%
Total RMBS	\$ 157,186	100.0

As of March 31, 2012, \$388 million of CMBS were not rated, which represented debt secured by substantially all of the assets of a worldwide operator of hotels, resorts and timeshare properties and which had an estimated loan-to-value ratio in the range of 39%-44% as of March 31, 2012. The remaining \$99.3 CMBS investment position is rated BB+.

At December 31, 2011, the S&P ratings of our MBS portfolio were as follows (amounts in thousands):

S&P Rating	Carrying Value	Percentage
AA+	\$ 86	0.0%
AA	1,223	0.4%
AA-	5,396	1.6%
A	4,835	1.4%
A-	3,376	1.0%
BBB+	5,558	1.6%
BBB	121	0.0%
BB+	4,513	1.3%
BB	3,987	1.2%
BB-	4,400	1.3%
B+	5,293	1.5%
В	5,405	1.6%
B-	9,110	2.7%
CCC	99,446	29.1%
CC	6,344	1.9%
D	3,583	1.0%
NR	179,058	52.4%
Total MBS	\$ 341,734	100.0%

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including fiscal and monetary policies and domestic and international economic and political considerations, as well as other factors beyond our control. We are subject to interest rate risk in connection with our investments and the related financing obligations. In general, we finance the acquisition and/or origination of our target assets through financings in the form of warehouse facilities, bank credit facilities (including term loans and revolving facilities), securitizations and repurchase agreements. We mitigate interest rate risk through utilization of hedging instruments, primarily interest rate swap agreements. Interest rate swap agreements are utilized to hedge against future interest rate increases on our borrowings and potential adverse changes in the value of certain assets that result from interest rate changes.

Interest Rate Effect on Net Interest Margin

Our operating results depend in large part on differences between the income earned on our investments and our cost of borrowing and hedging activities. The cost of our borrowings is generally based on prevailing market interest rates. During a period of rising interest rates, our borrowing costs generally may increase (1) while the yields earned on our leveraged fixed-rate mortgage assets remain static and (2) at a faster pace than the yields earned on our leveraged floating rate mortgage assets, which could result in a decline in our net interest margin. The severity of any such decline would depend on our asset/liability composition at the time as well as the magnitude and duration of the interest rate increase. Further, an increase in short-term interest rates could also have a negative impact on the market value of our target assets. If any of these events happen, we could experience a decrease in net income or incur a net loss during these periods, which could adversely affect our liquidity and results of operations. Hedging techniques are partly based on assumed levels of prepayments of our investments. If prepayments are slower or faster than assumed, the life of the investment would be longer or shorter, which would reduce the effectiveness of any hedging strategies we may use and may cause losses on such transactions.

Interest Rate Mismatch Risk

We have funded a portion of our origination and acquisition of mortgage loans and MBS with borrowings that are based on LIBOR, while the interest rates on these assets may be indexed to LIBOR or another index rate, such as the one-year Constant Maturity Treasury (CMT) index, the Monthly Treasury Average (MTA) index or the 11th District Cost of Funds Index (COFI). Accordingly, any increase in LIBOR relative to one-year CMT rates, MTA or COFI may result in an increase in our borrowing costs that may not be matched by a corresponding increase in the interest earnings on these assets. Any such interest rate index mismatch could adversely affect our profitability, which may negatively impact distributions to our stockholders. To mitigate interest rate mismatches, we may utilize the hedging strategies discussed above.

Prepayment Risk

Prepayment risk is the risk that principal will be repaid at a different rate than anticipated, causing the return on certain investments to be less than expected. As we receive prepayments of principal on our assets, any premiums paid on such assets are amortized against interest income. In general, an increase in prepayment rates accelerates the amortization of purchase premiums, thereby reducing the interest income earned on the assets. Conversely, discounts on such assets are accreted into interest income. In general, an increase in prepayment rates accelerates the accretion of purchase discounts, thereby increasing the interest income earned on the assets.

Extension Risk

Our Manager computes the projected weighted-average life of our assets based on assumptions regarding the rate at which the borrowers will prepay the mortgages or extend. If prepayment rates decrease in a rising interest rate environment or extension options are exercised, the life of the fixed-rate assets could extend beyond the term of the secured debt agreements. This could have a

44

negative impact on our results of operations. In some situations, we may be forced to sell assets to maintain adequate liquidity, which could cause us to incur losses.

Fair Value Risk

The estimated fair value of our investments fluctuates primarily due to changes in interest rates and other factors. Generally, in a rising interest rate environment, the estimated fair value of the fixed-rate investments would be expected to decrease; conversely, in a decreasing interest rate environment, the estimated fair value of the fixed-rate investments would be expected to increase. As market volatility increases or liquidity decreases, the fair value of our assets may be adversely impacted. If we are unable to readily obtain independent pricing to validate our estimated fair value of the securities in our portfolio, the fair value gains or losses recorded and/or disclosed may be adversely affected.

Foreign Currency Risk

We intend to hedge our currency exposures in a prudent manner. However, our currency hedging strategies may not eliminate all of our currency risk due to, among other things, uncertainties in the timing and/or amount of payments received on the related investments, and/or unequal, inaccurate, or unavailability of hedges to perfectly offset changes in future exchange rates. Additionally, we may be required under certain circumstances to collateralize our currency hedges for the benefit of the hedge counterparty, which could adversely affect our liquidity.

As of March 31, 2011, we had \$127.4 million of GBP-denominated loan investments (using March 31, 2011 spot rate of 1.6029). During 2010, we entered into a series of forward contracts whereby we agree to sell an amount of GBP for an agreed-upon amount of USD at various dates through October 2013. These forward contracts were executed to fix the USD amount of GBP denominated cash flows we expect to receive from our GBP-denominated loans. During the first quarter of 2012, these GBP-denominated loan investments prepaid. As a result of the loan being prepaid in February 2012, the foreign exchange forward contracts were no longer necessary hedges. At that time, the hedge contracts were in a loss position to us of approximately \$10 million. In the process of negotiating the termination of the contracts, management was able to lock-in the amount of the loss by entering into new derivative contracts with a separate counterparty that had the same maturity dates and notional amounts, but wherein we would sell USD in exchange for GBP (offsetting positions). We executed this structure as opposed to liquidating the original contracts as it was more cost effective. As of March 31, 2012, we had \$99.3 million of GBP-denominated MBS investments (using the March 31, 2012 spot rate of 1.6002). During the first three months of 2012, we entered into a series of forward contracts whereby we agree to sell an amount of GBP for an agreed-upon amount of USD at various dates through March 2016. These forward contracts were executed to fix the USD amount of GBP-denominated cash flows we expect to receive from our GBP-denominated MBS. As of March 31, 2012, we had 18 such foreign exchange forward sales contracts with a total notional value of \$297.8 million and 10 such foreign exchange forward purchase contracts with a total notional value of \$157.2 million.

As of March 31, 2012, we had a \$29.2 million EUR-denominated loan investment (using the March 31, 2012 spot rate of 1.3317). During 2011, we entered into a series of forward contracts whereby we agree to sell an amount of EUR for an agreed upon amount of USD at various dates through June 2014. These forward contracts were executed to economically fix the USD amount of EUR-denominated cash flows expected to be received by us related to our mezzanine loan in Germany. As of March 31, 2012, we had 10 such foreign exchange forward contracts with a total notional value of USD \$38.4 million (using the March 31, 2012 spot rate of 1.3317).

Real Estate

Commercial and residential mortgage assets are subject to volatility and may be affected adversely by a number of factors, including, but not
limited to, national, regional and local economic conditions (which may be adversely affected by industry slowdowns and other factors); local
real estate conditions; changes or continued weakness in specific industry segments; construction quality, age and design; demographic factors;
and retroactive changes to building or similar codes. In addition, decreases in property values reduce the value of the collateral and the potential
proceeds available to a borrower to repay the underlying loans, which could also cause us to suffer losses.

Inflation Risk

Virtually all of our assets and liabilities are interest rate sensitive in nature. As a result, interest rates and other factors influence our performance significantly more than inflation does. Changes in interest rates may correlate with inflation rates and/or changes in inflation rates. Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP and our distributions are determined by our board of directors consistent with our obligation to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income on an annual basis in order to maintain our REIT qualification; in each case, our activities and balance sheet are measured with reference to historical cost and/or fair value without considering inflation.

Risk Management

To the extent consistent with maintaining our REIT qualification, we seek to manage risk exposure to protect our portfolio of financial assets against the effects of major interest rate changes. We generally seek to manage this risk by:

• attempting to structure our financing agreements to have a range of different maturities, terms, amortizations and interest rate adjustment periods;

45

• using hedging instruments, primarily interest rate swap agreements but also financial futures, options, interest rate cap agreements, floors and forward sales to adjust the interest rate sensitivity of our investment portfolio and our borrowings; and
• using loan sales, syndications, and securitization financing to better match the maturity of our financing with the duration of our assets.
Item 4. Controls and Procedures.
Disclosure Controls and Procedures. We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.
As of the end of the period covered by this report, we conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.
Changes to Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. No change in internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the three-month period ended March 31, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.
PART II OTHER INFORMATION
Item 1. Legal Proceedings.
Currently, no legal proceedings are pending, threatened, or to our knowledge, contemplated against us.
Item 1A. Risk Factors.
Risks Related to Our Relationship with Our Manager

We are dependent on Starwood Capital Group, including our manager, and their key personnel, who provide services to us through the management agreement, and we may not find a suitable replacement for our manager and Starwood Capital Group if the management agreement is terminated, or for these key personnel if they leave Starwood Capital Group or otherwise become unavailable to us.

We have no separate facilities and are completely reliant on our manager. Our manager has significant discretion as to the implementation of our investment and operating policies and strategies. Accordingly, we believe that our success depends to a significant extent upon the efforts, experience, diligence, skill and network of business contacts of the officers and key personnel of our manager. The officers and key personnel of our manager evaluate, negotiate, close and monitor our investments; therefore, our success depends on their continued service. The departure of any of the officers or key personnel of our manager could have a material adverse effect on our performance.

We offer no assurance that our manager will remain our investment manager or that we will continue to have access to our manager s officers and key personnel. The initial term of our management agreement with our manager, and the investment advisory agreement between our manager and Starwood Capital Group Management, LLC only extends until August 17, 2012, with automatic one-year renewals thereafter. If the management agreement and the investment advisory agreement are terminated and no suitable replacement is found to manage us, we may not be able to execute our business plan.

There are various conflicts of interest in our relationship with Starwood Capital Group, including our manager, which could result in decisions that are not in the best interests of our stockholders.

We are subject to conflicts of interest arising out of our relationship with Starwood Capital Group, including our manager. Specifically, Mr. Sternlicht, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Jeffrey G. Dishner, one of our directors, and certain of our executive officers are executives of Starwood Capital Group. Our manager and executive officers may have conflicts between their duties to us and their duties to, and interests in, Starwood Capital Group and its other investment funds. Currently, Starwood Global Opportunity Fund VIII, Starwood Global Opportunity Fund IX and Starwood Capital Hospitality Fund II Global, or the Starwood Private Real Estate Funds, collectively have the right to invest 25% of the equity capital proposed to be invested by any investment vehicle managed by an entity controlled by Starwood Capital Group in debt interests relating to real estate. Our co-investment rights are subject to, among other things, (i) the determination by our manager that the proposed investment is suitable for us, and (ii) our manager s sole discretion as to whether or not to exclude from our investment portfolio at any time any medium-term loan to own investment, which our manager considers to be mortgage loans or other real estate-related loan or debt investments where the proposed originator or acquirer of any such investment has the intent and/or expectation of foreclosing on, or otherwise acquiring the real property securing the loan or investment at any time between 18 and 48 months of its origination or acquisition of the loan or investment. In addition, in the case of opportunities to invest in a portfolio of assets including both equity and debt real estate related investments, we would not have the co-investment rights described above if our manager determines that less than 50% of the aggregate anticipated investment returns from the portfolio is expected to come from our target assets. Since we are subject to the judgment of our manager in the application of our co-investment rights, we may not always be allocated 75% of each co-investment opportunity in our target asset classes. Our independent directors periodically review our manager s and Starwood Capital Group s compliance with the co-investment provisions described above, but they do not approve each co-investment by the Starwood Private Real Estate Funds and us unless the amount of capital we invest in the proposed co-investment otherwise requires the review and approval of our independent directors pursuant to our investment guidelines. Pursuant to the exclusivity provisions of the Starwood Private Real Estate Funds, our investment strategy may not include either (i) equity interests in real estate or (ii) near-term loan to own investments, in each case (of both (i) and (ii)) if such

investments are expected, at the time such investment is made, to produce an internal rate of return (IRR) in excess of 14%. Therefore, our board of directors does not have the flexibility to expand our investment strategy to include equity interests in real estate or near-term loan to own investments with such an IRR expectation. Our manager, Starwood Capital Group and their respective affiliates may sponsor or manage a U.S. publicly traded investment vehicle that invests generally in real estate assets but not primarily in our target assets, or a potential competing vehicle. Our manager and Starwood Capital Group have also agreed that for so long as the management agreement is in effect and our manager and Starwood Capital Group are under common control, no entity controlled by Starwood Capital Group will sponsor or manage a potential competing vehicle or private or foreign competing vehicle, unless Starwood Capital Group adopts a policy that either (i) provides for the fair and equitable allocation of investment opportunities among all such vehicles and us, or (ii) provides us the right to co-invest with such vehicles, in each case subject to the suitability of each investment opportunity for the particular vehicle and us and each such vehicle s and our availability of cash for investment. To the extent that we have co-investment rights with these vehicles in the future, there can be no assurance that these future rights will entitle us to a similar percentage allocation as we currently have with respect to the Starwood Private Real Estate Funds. To the extent that our manager and Starwood Capital Group adopt an investment allocation policy in the future, we may nonetheless compete with these vehicles for investment opportunities sourced by our manager and Starwood Capital Group. As a result, we may either not be presented with the opportunity or may have to compete with these vehicles to acquire these investments. Some or all of our executive officers, the members of the investment committee of our manager and other key personnel of our manager would likely be responsible for selecting investments for these vehicles and they may choose to allocate favorable investments to one or more of these vehicles instead of to us.

Our board of directors has adopted a policy with respect to any proposed investments by the covered persons in any of our target asset classes. This policy provides that any proposed investment by a covered person for his or her own account in any of our target asset classes will be permitted if the capital required for the investment does not exceed the personal investment limit. To the extent that a proposed investment exceeds the personal investment limit, we expect that our board of directors will only permit the covered person to make the investment (i) upon the approval of the disinterested directors, or (ii) if the proposed investment otherwise complies with terms of any other related party transaction policy our board of directors has adopted. Subject to compliance with all applicable laws, these individuals may make investments for their own account in our target assets which may present certain conflicts of interest not addressed by our current policies.

We pay our manager substantial base management fees regardless of the performance of our portfolio. Our manager s entitlement to a base management fee, which is not based upon performance metrics or goals, might reduce its incentive to devote its time and effort to seeking investments that provide attractive risk-adjusted returns for our portfolio. This in turn could hurt both our ability to make distributions to our stockholders and the market price of our common stock.

We do not have any employees except for Andrew Sossen, our Chief Operating Officer, Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer, and Perry Stewart Ward, our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, who Starwood Capital Group has seconded to us exclusively. Mr. Sossen and Mr. Ward are also employees of other entities affiliated with our manager and, as a result, are subject to potential conflicts of interest in service as our employees and as an employees of such entities.

The management agreement with our manager was not negotiated on an arm s-length basis and may not be as favorable to us as if it had been negotiated with an unaffiliated third party and may be costly and difficult to terminate.

Our executive officers and three of our seven directors are executives of Starwood Capital Group. Our management agreement with our manager was negotiated between related parties and its terms, including fees payable, may not be as favorable to us as if it had been negotiated with an unaffiliated third party.

Termination of the management agreement with our manager without cause is difficult and costly. Our independent directors will review our manager s performance and the management fees annually and, following the initial three-year term, the management agreement may be

terminated annually upon the affirmative vote of at least

two-thirds of our independent directors based upon: (i) our manager s unsatisfactory performance that is materially detrimental to us, or (ii) a determination that the management fees payable to our manager are not fair, subject to our manager s right to prevent termination based on unfair fees by accepting a reduction of management fees agreed to by at least two-thirds of our independent directors. Our manager will be provided 180 days prior notice of any such a termination. Additionally, upon such a termination, the management agreement provides that we will pay our manager a termination fee equal to three times the sum of the average annual base management fee and incentive fee received by our manager during the prior 24-month period before such termination, calculated as of the end of the most recently completed fiscal quarter. These provisions may increase the cost to us of terminating the management agreement and adversely affect our ability to terminate our manager without cause.

During the initial three-year term of the management agreement, we may not terminate the management agreement except for cause.

Our manager is only contractually committed to serve us until the third anniversary of the closing of our initial public offering, which closed on August 17, 2009. Thereafter, the management agreement is renewable for one-year terms; provided, however, that our manager may terminate the management agreement annually upon 180 days prior notice. If the management agreement is terminated and no suitable replacement is found to manage us, we may not be able to execute our business plan.

Pursuant to the management agreement, our manager does not assume any responsibility other than to render the services called for thereunder and is not responsible for any action of our board of directors in following or declining to follow its advice or recommendations. Our manager maintains a contractual as opposed to a fiduciary relationship with us. Under the terms of the management agreement, our manager, its officers, members, personnel, any person controlling or controlled by our manager and any person providing sub-advisory services to our manager will not be liable to us, any subsidiary of ours, our directors, our stockholders or any subsidiary a stockholders or partners for acts or omissions performed in accordance with and pursuant to the management agreement, except because of acts constituting bad faith, willful misconduct, gross negligence, or reckless disregard of their duties under the management agreement. In addition, we have agreed to indemnify our manager, its officers, stockholders, members, managers, directors, personnel, any person controlling or controlled by our manager and any person providing sub-advisory services to our manager with respect to all expenses, losses, damages, liabilities, demands, charges and claims arising from acts or omissions of our manager not constituting bad faith, willful misconduct, gross negligence, or reckless disregard of duties, performed in good faith in accordance with and pursuant to the management agreement.

The incentive fee payable to our manager under the management agreement is payable quarterly and is based on our core earnings and therefore, may cause our manager to select investments in more risky assets to increase its incentive compensation.

Our manager is entitled to receive incentive compensation based upon our achievement of targeted levels of core earnings. In evaluating investments and other management strategies, the opportunity to earn incentive compensation based on core earnings may lead our manager to place undue emphasis on the maximization of core earnings at the expense of other criteria, such as preservation of capital, in order to achieve higher incentive compensation. Investments with higher yield potential are generally riskier or more speculative. This could result in increased risk to the value of our investment portfolio.

Core earnings is a non-generally accepted accounting principle measure and is defined as GAAP net income (loss) excluding non-cash equity compensation expense, the incentive fee, depreciation and amortization of real estate (to the extent that we own properties), any unrealized gains, losses or other non-cash items recorded in net income for the period, regardless of whether such items are included in other comprehensive income or loss, or in net income. The amount will be adjusted to exclude one-time events pursuant to changes in GAAP and certain other non-cash charges after discussions between our manager and our independent directors and after approval by a majority of our independent directors.

Our conflicts of interest policy may not adequately address all of the conflicts of interest that may arise with respect to our investment activities and also may limit the allocation of investments to us.

In order to avoid any actual or perceived conflicts of interest with our manager, Starwood Capital Group or any of the Starwood parties, we have adopted a conflicts of interest policy to specifically address some of the conflicts relating to our investment opportunities. Although under this policy the approval of a majority of our independent directors is required to approve (i) any purchase of our assets by any of the Starwood parties and (ii) any purchase by us of any assets of any of the Starwood parties, there is no assurance that this policy will be adequate to address all of the conflicts that may arise or will address such conflicts in a manner that results in the allocation of a particular investment opportunity to us or is otherwise favorable to us. In addition, the Starwood Private Real Estate Funds currently, and additional competing vehicles may in the future, participate in some of our investments, possibly at a more senior level in the capital structure of the underlying borrower and related real estate than our investment. Our interests in such investments may also conflict with the interests of these funds in the event of a default or restructuring of the investment. Participating investments will not be the result of arm a length negotiations and will involve potential conflicts between our interests and those of the other participating funds in obtaining favorable terms. Since our executives are also executives of Starwood Capital Group, the same personnel may determine the price and terms for the investments for both us and these funds and there can be no assurance that any procedural protections, such as obtaining market prices or other reliable indicators of fair value, will prevent the consideration we pay for these investments from exceeding their fair value or ensure that we receive terms for a particular investment opportunity that are as favorable as those available from an independent third party.

Our board of directors has approved very broad investment guidelines for our manager and does not approve each investment and financing decision made by our manager unless required by our investment guidelines.

Our manager is authorized to follow very broad investment guidelines. Our board of directors will periodically review our investment guidelines and our investment portfolio but will not, and will not be required to, review all of our proposed investments, except if the investment requires us to commit either at least \$150 million of capital or 25% of our equity in any individual asset. In addition, in conducting periodic reviews, our board of directors may rely primarily on information provided to them by our manager. Furthermore, our manager may use complex strategies, and transactions entered into by our manager may be costly, difficult or impossible to unwind by the time they are reviewed by our board of directors. Our manager has great latitude within the broad parameters of our investment guidelines in determining the types and amounts of target assets it decides are attractive investments for us, which could result in investment returns that are substantially below expectations or that result in losses, which would materially and adversely affect our business operations and results. Further, decisions made and investments and financing arrangements entered into by our manager may not fully reflect the best interests of our stockholders.

Risks Related to Our Company

Our board of directors may change any of our investment strategy or guidelines, financing strategy or leverage policies without stockholder consent.

Our board of directors may change any of our investment strategy or guidelines, financing strategy or leverage policies with respect to investments, acquisitions, growth, operations, indebtedness, capitalization and distributions at any time without the consent of our stockholders, which could result in an investment portfolio with a different risk profile. A change in our investment strategy may increase our exposure to interest rate risk, default risk and real estate market fluctuations. These changes could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common stock and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

We are highly dependent on information systems and systems failures could significantly disrupt our business, which may, in turn, negatively affect the market price of our common stock and our ability to pay dividends.

Our business is highly dependent on communications and information systems of Starwood Capital Group. Any failure or interruption of Starwood Capital Group s systems could cause delays or other problems in our

49

securities trading activities, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and negatively affect the market price of our common stock and our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war may affect the real estate industry and our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 disrupted the U.S. financial markets, including the real estate capital markets, and negatively impacted the U.S. economy in general. Any future terrorist attacks, the anticipation of any such attacks, the consequences of any military or other response by the U.S. and its allies, and other armed conflicts could cause consumer confidence and spending to decrease or result in increased volatility in the U.S. and worldwide financial markets and economy. The economic impact of these events could also adversely affect the credit quality of some of our loans and investments and the properties underlying our interests.

We may suffer losses as a result of the adverse impact of any future attacks and these losses may adversely impact our performance and may cause the market value of our common stock to decline or be more volatile. A prolonged economic slowdown, a recession or declining real estate values could impair the performance of our investments and harm our financial condition and results of operations, increase our funding costs, limit our access to the capital markets or result in a decision by lenders not to extend credit to us. We cannot predict the severity of the effect that potential future terrorist attacks would have on us. Losses resulting from these types of events may not be fully insurable.

In addition, the events of September 11th created significant uncertainty regarding the ability of real estate owners of high profile assets to obtain insurance coverage protecting against terrorist attacks at commercially reasonable rates, if at all. With the enactment of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002, or the TRIA, and the subsequent enactment of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007, which extended the TRIA through the end of 2014, insurers must make terrorism insurance available under their property and casualty insurance policies, but this legislation does not regulate the pricing of such insurance. The absence of affordable insurance coverage may adversely affect the general real estate lending market, lending volume and the market s overall liquidity and may reduce the number of suitable investment opportunities available to us and the pace at which we are able to make investments. If the properties underlying our interests are unable to obtain affordable insurance coverage, the value of our interests could decline, and in the event of an uninsured loss, we could lose all or a portion of our investment.

Risks Related to Sources of Financing

Our access to sources of financing may be limited and thus our ability to maximize our returns may be adversely affected.

Our financing sources currently include our credit agreement and our master repurchase agreements, which may include additional borrowings in the form of bank credit facilities (including term loans and revolving facilities), repurchase agreements, warehouse facilities, structured financing arrangements, public and private equity and debt issuances and derivative instruments, in addition to transaction or asset specific funding arrangements.

Our access to additional sources of financing will depend upon a number of factors, over which we have little or no control, including:

•	general market conditions;
•	the market s view of the quality of our assets;
•	the market s perception of our growth potential;
•	our current and potential future earnings and cash distributions; and
•	the market price of the shares of our common stock.
	50

The current dislocation and weakness in the capital and credit markets could adversely affect one or more private lenders and could cause one or more of our private lenders to be unwilling or unable to provide us with financing or to increase the costs of that financing. In addition, if regulatory capital requirements imposed on our private lenders change, they may be required to limit, or increase the cost of, financing they provide to us. In general, this could potentially increase our financing costs and reduce our liquidity or require us to sell assets at an inopportune time or price.

To the extent structured financing arrangements are unavailable, we may have to rely more heavily on additional equity issuances, which may be dilutive to our stockholders, or on less efficient forms of debt financing that require a larger portion of our cash flow from operations, thereby reducing funds available for our operations, future business opportunities, cash distributions to our stockholders and other purposes. We cannot assure you that we will have access to such equity or debt capital on favorable terms (including, without limitation, cost and term) at the desired times, or at all, which may cause us to curtail our asset acquisition activities and/or dispose of assets, which could negatively affect our results of operations.

We may incur significant debt, which will subject us to increased risk of loss and may reduce cash available for distributions to our stockholders.

Our financing facilities currently include our credit agreement and our master repurchase agreements. Subject to market conditions and availability, we may incur additional debt through bank credit facilities (including term loans and revolving facilities), repurchase agreements, warehouse facilities and structured financing arrangements, public and private debt issuances and derivative instruments, in addition to transaction or asset specific funding arrangements. The percentage of leverage we employ will vary depending on our available capital, our ability to obtain and access financing arrangements with lenders and the lenders and rating agencies estimate of the stability of our investment portfolio s cash flow. Our governing documents contain no limitation on the amount of debt we may incur. We may significantly increase the amount of leverage we utilize at any time without approval of our board of directors. However, under our current repurchase agreements and bank credit facility, our total leverage may not exceed 75% of total assets (as defined therein), as adjusted to remove the impact of bona-fide loan sales that are accounted for as financings and the consolidation of variable interest entities pursuant to GAAP. In addition, we may leverage individual assets at substantially higher levels. Incurring substantial debt could subject us to many risks that, if realized, would materially and adversely affect us, including the risk that:

- our cash flow from operations may be insufficient to make required payments of principal of and interest on the debt or we may fail to comply with all of the other covenants contained in the debt, which is likely to result in (i) acceleration of such debt (and any other debt containing a cross-default or cross-acceleration provision) that we may be unable to repay from internal funds or to refinance on favorable terms, or at all, (ii) our inability to borrow unused amounts under our financing arrangements, even if we are current in payments on borrowings under those arrangements and/or (iii) the loss of some or all of our assets to foreclosure or sale;
- our debt may increase our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions with no assurance that investment yields will increase with higher financing costs;
- we may be required to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our debt, thereby reducing funds available for operations, future business opportunities, stockholder distributions or other purposes; and
- we are not able to refinance debt that matures prior to the investment it was used to finance on favorable terms, or at all.

Interest rate fluctuation	ns could significantly decrease our results of operations and cash flows and the market value of our investments.
Our primary interest rat	e exposures relate to the following:
• performance of our inte exceed interest income;	changes in interest rates may affect the yield on our investments and the financing cost of our debt, as well as the rest rate swaps that we utilize for hedging purposes, which could result in operating losses for us should interest expense
• investments;	declines in interest rates may reduce the yield on existing floating rate assets and/or the yield on prospective
•	changes in the level of interest rates may affect our ability to source investments;
• from the disposition of	increases in the level of interest rates may negatively impact the value of our investments and our ability to realize gains assets;
	increases in the level of interest rates may increase the credit risk of our assets by negatively impacting the ability of our ervice on our floating rate loan assets, refinance our assets upon maturity, and can negatively impact the value of the real ing our investments through the impact increases in interest rates can have on property valuation capitalization rates; and
• which we invest can ad	changes in interest rates and/or the differential between U.S. dollar interest rates and those of non-dollar currencies in versely affect the value of our non-dollar assets and/or associated currency hedging transactions.
Any warehouse facilitie	es that we obtain may limit our ability to acquire assets, and we may incur losses if the collateral is liquidated.

We may utilize, if available, warehouse facilities pursuant to which we would accumulate mortgage loans in anticipation of a securitization financing, which assets would be pledged as collateral for such facilities until the securitization transaction is consummated. In order to borrow funds to acquire assets under any future warehouse facilities, we expect that our lenders thereunder would have the right to review the potential assets for which we are seeking financing. We may be unable to obtain the consent of a lender to acquire assets that we believe would be beneficial to us and we may be unable to obtain alternate financing for such assets. In addition, no assurance can be given that a securitization structure would be consummated with respect to the assets being warehoused. If the securitization is not consummated, the lender could liquidate the warehoused collateral and we would then have to pay any amount by which the original purchase price of the collateral assets exceeds its sale price, subject to negotiated caps, if any, on our exposure. In addition, regardless of whether the securitization is consummated, if any of the warehoused collateral is sold before the consummation, we would have to bear any resulting loss on the sale. Currently, we have no warehouse facilities in place, and no assurance can be given that we will be able to obtain one or more warehouse facilities on favorable terms,

or at all.

The utilization of any of our repurchase facilities is subject to the pre-approval of the lender.

We utilize repurchase agreements to finance the purchase of certain investments. In order to borrow funds under a repurchase agreement, the lender has the right to review the potential assets for which we are seeking financing and approve such asset in its sole discretion. Accordingly, we may be unable to obtain the consent of a lender to finance an investment and alternate sources of financing for such asset may not exist.

We are subject to margin calls from our lenders under our financing facilities.

Subject to certain conditions, our lenders retain the sole discretion over the market value of loans and/or securities that serve as collateral for the borrowings under our financing facilities for purposes of determining whether we are required to pay margin to such lenders.

A failure to comply with restrictive covenants in our repurchase agreements and financing facilities would have a material adverse affect on us, and any future financings may require us to provide additional collateral or pay down debt.

We are subject to various restrictive covenants contained in our existing financing arrangements and may become subject to additional covenants in connection with future financings. Our credit agreement contains covenants that restrict our ability to incur additional debt or liens, make certain investments or acquisitions, merge, consolidate or transfer or dispose of substantially all assets or otherwise dispose of property and assets, pay dividends and make certain other restricted payments, change the nature of our business, and enter into transactions with affiliates. The credit agreement, as well as our master repurchase agreements, each requires us to maintain compliance with various financial covenants, including a minimum tangible net worth and cash liquidity, and specified financial ratios, such as total debt to total assets and EBITDA to fixed charges. These covenants may limit our flexibility to pursue certain investments or incur additional debt. If we fail to meet or satisfy any of these covenants, we would be in default under these agreements, and our lenders could elect to declare outstanding amounts due and payable, terminate their commitments, require the posting of additional collateral and enforce their interests against existing collateral. We may also be subject to cross-default and acceleration rights and, with respect to collateralized debt, the posting of additional collateral and foreclosure rights upon default. Further, this could also make it difficult for us to satisfy the distribution requirements necessary to maintain our status as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

These types of financing arrangements also involve the risk that the market value of the loans pledged or sold by us to the repurchase agreement counterparty or provider of the bank credit facility may decline in value, in which case the lender may require us to provide additional collateral or to repay all or a portion of the funds advanced. We may not have the funds available to repay our debt at that time, which would likely result in defaults unless we are able to raise the funds from alternative sources, which we may not be able to achieve on favorable terms or at all. Posting additional collateral would reduce our liquidity and limit our ability to leverage our assets. If we cannot meet these requirements, the lender could accelerate our indebtedness, increase the interest rate on advanced funds and terminate our ability to borrow funds from them, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and ability to implement our business plan. In addition, in the event that the lender files for bankruptcy or becomes insolvent, our loans may become subject to bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings, thus depriving us, at least temporarily, of the benefit of these assets. Such an event could restrict our access to bank credit facilities and increase our cost of capital.

If one or more of our manager s executive officers are no longer employed by our manager, financial institutions providing any financing arrangements we may have may not provide future financing to us, which could materially and adversely affect us.

If financial institutions with whom we seek to finance our investments require that one or more of our manager s executives continue to serve in such capacity and if one or more of our manager s executives are no longer employed by our manager, it may constitute an event of default and the financial institution providing the arrangement may have acceleration rights with respect to outstanding borrowings and termination rights with respect to our ability to finance our future investments with that institution. If we are unable to obtain financing for our accelerated borrowings and for our future investments under such circumstances, we could be materially and adversely affected.

We directly or indirectly utilize non-recourse securitizations, and such structures expose us to risks that could result in losses to us.

We utilize non-recourse securitizations of our investments in mortgage loans to the extent consistent with the maintenance of our REIT qualification and exemption from the Investment Company Act, in order to generate

cash for funding new investments and/or to leverage existing assets. In most instances, this involves us transferring our loans to a special purpose securitization entity in exchange for cash. In some sale transactions, we also retain a subordinated interest in the loans sold. The securitization of our portfolio investments might magnify our exposure to losses on those portfolio investments because the subordinated interest we retain in the loans sold would be subordinate to the senior interest in the loans sold, and we would, therefore, absorb all of the losses sustained with respect to a loan sold before the owners of the senior interest experience any losses. Moreover, we cannot be assured that we will be able to access the securitization market in the future, or be able to do so at favorable rates. The inability to consummate securitizations of our portfolio to finance our investments on a long-term basis could require us to seek other forms of potentially less attractive financing or to liquidate assets at an inopportune time or price, which could adversely affect our performance and our ability to grow our business.

Risks	Related	to	Heds	ging

We enter into hedging transactions that could expose us to contingent liabilities in the future.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT, part of our investment strategy involves entering into hedging transactions that require us to fund cash payments in certain circumstances (such as the early termination of the hedging instrument caused by an event of default or other early termination event, or the decision by a counterparty to request margin securities it is contractually owed under the terms of the hedging instrument). The amount due would be equal to the unrealized loss of the open swap positions with the respective counterparty and could also include other fees and charges. These economic losses will be reflected in our results of operations, and our ability to fund these obligations will depend on the liquidity of our assets and access to capital at the time, and the need to fund these obligations could adversely impact our financial condition.

Hedging may adversely affect our earnings, which could reduce our cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT, we pursue various hedging strategies to seek to reduce our exposure to adverse changes in interest rates. Our hedging activity varies in scope based on the level and volatility of interest rates, exchange rates, the type of assets held and other changing market conditions. Hedging may fail to protect or could adversely affect us because, among other things:

- interest rate, currency and/or credit hedging can be expensive and may result in us receiving less interest income;
- available interest rate hedges may not correspond directly with the interest rate risk for which protection is sought;
- due to a credit loss, prepayment or asset sale, the duration of the hedge may not match the duration of the related asset or liability;
- the amount of income that a REIT may earn from hedging transactions (other than hedging transactions that satisfy certain requirements of the Code or that are done through a TRS) to offset losses is limited by U.S. federal tax provisions governing REITs;

	the credit quality of the hedging counterparty owing money on the hedge may be downgraded to such an extent that it
impairs our ability to sel	l or assign our side of the hedging transaction; and
•	the hedging counterparty owing money in the hedging transaction may default on its obligation to pay.
In addition, we may fail	to recalculate, readjust and execute hedges in an efficient manner.
, , ,	which we engage may materially and adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows. Therefore, while we sactions seeking to reduce risks, unanticipated changes in
,	
	54

interest rates, credit spreads or currencies may result in poorer overall investment performance than if we had not engaged in any such hedging transactions. In addition, the degree of correlation between price movements of the instruments used in a hedging strategy and price movements in the portfolio positions or liabilities being hedged may vary materially. Moreover, for a variety of reasons, we may not seek to establish a perfect correlation between such hedging instruments and the portfolio positions or liabilities being hedged. Any such imperfect correlation may prevent us from achieving the intended hedge and expose us to risk of loss.

Hedging instruments often are not traded on regulated exchanges, guaranteed by an exchange or its clearing house, or regulated by any U.S. or foreign governmental authorities and involve risks and costs that could result in material losses.

The cost of using hedging instruments increases as the period covered by the instrument increases and during periods of rising and volatile interest rates. In addition, hedging instruments involve risk because they often are not traded on regulated exchanges, guaranteed by an exchange or its clearing house, or regulated by any U.S. or foreign governmental authorities. Consequently, there are no requirements with respect to record keeping, financial responsibility or segregation of customer funds and positions. Furthermore, the enforceability of agreements underlying hedging transactions may depend on compliance with applicable statutory and commodity and other regulatory requirements and, depending on the identity of the counterparty, applicable international requirements. The business failure of a hedging counterparty with whom we enter into a hedging transaction will most likely result in its default. Default by a party with whom we enter into a hedging transaction may result in the loss of unrealized profits and force us to cover our commitments, if any, at the then current market price. Although generally we will seek to reserve the right to terminate our hedging positions, it may not always be possible to dispose of or close out a hedging position without the consent of the hedging counterparty and we may not be able to enter into an offsetting contract in order to cover our risk. We cannot assure you that a liquid secondary market will exist for hedging instruments purchased or sold, and we may be required to maintain a position until exercise or expiration, which could result in significant losses.

We may fail to qualify for, or choose not to elect, hedge accounting treatment.

We record derivative and hedging transactions in accordance with GAAP. Under these standards, we may fail to qualify for, or choose not to elect, hedge accounting treatment for a number of reasons, including if we use instruments that do not meet the definition of a derivative (such as short sales), we fail to satisfy hedge documentation and hedge effectiveness assessment requirements or our instruments are not highly effective. If we fail to qualify for hedge accounting treatment, our operating results may be volatile because changes in the fair value of the derivatives that we enter into may not be offset by a change in the fair value of the related hedged transaction or item.

We enter into derivative contracts that could expose us to contingent liabilities in the future.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT, we enter into derivative contracts that could require us to fund cash payments in the future under certain circumstances (*e.g.*, the early termination of the derivative agreement caused by an event of default or other early termination event, or the decision by a counterparty to request margin securities it is contractually owed under the terms of the derivative contract). The amount due would be equal to the unrealized loss of the open swap positions with the respective counterparty and could also include other fees and charges. These economic losses may materially and adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows.

Risks Related to Our Investments

We may not be able to identify assets that meet our investment objective.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to identify additional assets that meet our investment objective, that we will be successful in consummating any investment opportunities we identify or that one or more investments we may make will yield attractive risk-adjusted returns. Our inability to do any of the foregoing likely

would materially and adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Until appropriate investments can be identified, our manager may invest our cash in interest-bearing short-term investments, including agency RMBS, AAA-rated CMBS and money market accounts and/or funds, which are consistent with our intention to qualify as a REIT. These investments are expected to provide a lower net return than we will seek to achieve from investments in our target assets. Our manager intends to conduct due diligence with respect to each investment and suitable investment opportunities may not be immediately available. Even if opportunities are available, there can be no assurance that our manager s due diligence processes will uncover all relevant facts or that any investment will be successful.

The lack of liquidity in our investments may adversely affect our business.

The lack of liquidity of our investments in real estate loans and investments other than certain of our investments in mortgage-backed securities, or MBS, may make it difficult for us to sell such investments if the need or desire arises. Many of the securities we purchase are not registered under the relevant securities laws, resulting in a prohibition against their transfer, sale, pledge or their disposition except in a transaction that is exempt from the registration requirements of, or otherwise in accordance with, those laws. In addition, certain investments such as B-Notes, mezzanine loans and bridge and other loans are also particularly illiquid investments due to their short life, their potential unsuitability for securitization and the greater difficulty of recovery in the event of a borrower s default. As a result, many of our current investments are, and our future investments will be, illiquid and if we are required to liquidate all or a portion of our portfolio quickly, we may realize significantly less than the value at which we have previously recorded our investments. Further, we may face other restrictions on our ability to liquidate an investment in a business entity to the extent that we or our manager has or could be attributed with material, non-public information regarding such business entity. As a result, our ability to vary our portfolio in response to changes in economic and other conditions may be relatively limited, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Our investments may be concentrated and are subject to risk of default.

While we seek to diversify our portfolio of investments, we are not required to observe specific diversification criteria, except as may be set forth in the investment guidelines adopted by our board of directors. Therefore, our investments in our target assets may at times be concentrated in certain property types that are subject to higher risk of foreclosure, or secured by properties concentrated in a limited number of geographic locations. To the extent that our portfolio is concentrated in any one region or type of asset, downturns relating generally to such region or type of asset may result in defaults on a number of our investments within a short time period, which may reduce our net income and the value of our common stock and accordingly reduce our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders.

Difficult conditions in the mortgage, commercial and residential real estate markets may cause us to experience market losses related to our holdings, and we do not expect these conditions to improve in the near future.

Our results of operations are materially affected by conditions in the real estate markets, the financial markets and the economy generally. Continuing concerns about the declining real estate market, as well as inflation, energy costs, geopolitical issues and the availability and cost of credit, have contributed to increased volatility and diminished expectations for the economy and markets going forward. The mortgage market has been severely affected by changes in the lending landscape and there is no assurance that these conditions have stabilized or that they will not worsen. The disruption in the mortgage market has an impact on new demand for homes, which will compress the home ownership rates and weigh heavily on future home price performance. There is a strong correlation between home price growth rates and mortgage loan delinquencies. The further deterioration of the real estate market may cause us to experience losses related to our assets and to sell assets at a

loss. Declines in the market values of our investments may adversely affect our results of operations and credit availability, which may reduce earnings and, in turn, cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities and competition may limit our ability to acquire desirable investments in our target assets and could also affect the pricing of these securities.

We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities. Our profitability depends, in large part, on our ability to acquire our target assets at attractive prices. In acquiring our target assets, we compete with a variety of institutional investors, including other REITs, commercial and investment banks, specialty finance companies, public and private funds (including other funds managed by Starwood Capital Group), commercial finance and insurance companies and other financial institutions. Many of our competitors are substantially larger and have considerably greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources than we do. Several other REITs have recently raised significant amounts of capital, and may have investment objectives that overlap with ours, which may create additional competition for investment opportunities. Some competitors may have a lower cost of funds and access to funding sources that may not be available to us, such as funding from the U.S. government, if we are not eligible to participate in programs established by the U.S. government. Many of our competitors are not subject to the operating constraints associated with REIT tax compliance or maintenance of an exemption from the Investment Company Act. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments and establish more relationships than us. Furthermore, competition for investments in our target assets may lead to the price of such assets increasing, which may further limit our ability to generate desired returns. We cannot assure you that the competitive pressures we face will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Also, as a result of this competition, desirable investments in our target assets may be limited in the future and we may not be able to continue to take advantage of attractive investment opportunities from time to time, as we can provide no assurance that we will be able to identify and make investments that are consistent with our investment objectives.

The commercial mortgage loans we acquire and the mortgage loans underlying our CMBS investments are subject to the ability of the commercial property owner to generate net income from operating the property as well as the risks of delinquency and foreclosure.

Commercial mortgage loans are secured by multifamily or commercial property and are subject to risks of delinquency and foreclosure, and risks of loss that may be greater than similar risks associated with loans made on the security of single-family residential property. The ability of a borrower to repay a loan secured by an income-producing property typically is dependent primarily upon the successful operation of such property rather than upon the existence of independent income or assets of the borrower. If the net operating income of the property is reduced, the borrower s ability to repay the loan may be impaired. Net operating income of an income-producing property can be adversely affected by, among other things,

tenant mix;
success of tenant businesses;
property management decisions;
property location, condition and design;

•	competition from comparable types of properties;
•	changes in laws that increase operating expenses or limit rents that may be charged;
• securitization markets;	changes in national, regional or local economic conditions and/or specific industry segments, including the credit and
•	declines in regional or local real estate values;
•	declines in regional or local rental or occupancy rates;
	57

•	increases in interest rates, real estate tax rates and other operating expenses;	
•	costs of remediation and liabilities associated with environmental conditions;	
•	the potential for uninsured or underinsured property losses;	
• legislation and the relat	changes in governmental laws and regulations, including fiscal policies, zoning ordinances and environmental ed costs of compliance; and	
•	acts of God, terrorist attacks, social unrest and civil disturbances.	
between the value of the cash flow from operation borrower, the mortgage of bankruptcy (as determined bankruptcy trustee or determined bankruptcy trustee)	ault under a mortgage loan held directly by us, we will bear a risk of loss of principal to the extent of any deficiency e collateral and the principal and accrued interest of the mortgage loan, which could have a material adverse effect on our one and limit amounts available for distribution to our stockholders. In the event of the bankruptcy of a mortgage loan cloan to such borrower will be deemed to be secured only to the extent of the value of the underlying collateral at the time mined by the bankruptcy court), and the lien securing the mortgage loan will be subject to the avoidance powers of the ebtor-in-possession to the extent the lien is unenforceable under state law. Foreclosure of a mortgage loan can be an process, which could have a substantial negative effect on our anticipated return on the foreclosed mortgage loan.	
Our investments in CMBS are generally subject to losses.		
will be borne first by th loan or B-Note, if any, security. In the event of classes of securities junt addition, if the underlyic collateral is available to lower credit quality sec	BS are subject to losses. In general, losses on a mortgaged property securing a mortgage loan included in a securitization be equity holder of the property, then by a cash reserve fund or letter of credit, if any, then by the holder of a mezzanine then by the first loss subordinated security holder (generally, the B-Piece buyer) and then by the holder of a higher-rate of default and the exhaustion of any equity support, reserve fund, letter of credit, mezzanine loans or B-Notes, and any nior to those in which we invest, we will not be able to recover all of our investment in the securities we purchase. In ling mortgage portfolio has been overvalued by the originator, or if the values subsequently decline and, as a result, less a satisfy interest and principal payments due on the related MBS, there would be an increased risk of loss. The prices of urities are generally less sensitive to interest rate changes than more highly rated investments, but more sensitive to inturns or individual issuer developments.	
If our manager overess	timates the yields or incorrectly prices the risks of our investments, we may experience losses.	

Our manager values our potential investments based on yields and risks, taking into account estimated future losses on the mortgage loans and the underlying collateral included in the securitization s pools, and the estimated impact of these losses on expected future cash flows and returns. Our manager s loss estimates may not prove accurate, as actual results may vary from estimates. In the event that our manager

underestimates the asset level losses relative to the price we pay for a particular investment, we may experience losses with respect to such investment.

Our investments in corporate bank debt and debt securities of commercial real estate operating or finance companies are subject to the specific risks relating to the particular company and to the general risks of investing in real estate-related loans and securities, which may result in significant losses.

We invest in corporate bank debt and may invest in debt securities of commercial real estate operating or finance companies. These investments involve special risks relating to the particular company, including its financial condition, liquidity, results of operations, business and prospects. In particular, the debt securities are often non-collateralized and may also be subordinated to its other obligations. We also invest in debt securities of companies that are not rated or are rated non-investment grade by one or more rating agencies. Investments that are

us. We have not adopted any limit on such investments.

These investments also subject us to the risks inherent with real estate-related investments, including:

not rated or are rated non-investment grade have a higher risk of default than investment grade rated assets and therefore may result in losses to

- risks of delinquency and foreclosure, and risks of loss in the event thereof;
- the dependence upon the successful operation of, and net income from, real property;
- risks generally incident to interests in real property; and
- risks specific to the type and use of a particular property.

These risks may adversely affect the value of our investments in commercial real estate operating and finance companies and the ability of the issuers thereof to make principal and interest payments in a timely manner, or at all, and could result in significant losses.

Investments in non-conforming and non-investment grade rated loans or securities involve increased risk of loss.

Many of our investments do not conform to conventional loan standards applied by traditional lenders and either are not rated or rated as non-investment grade by the rating agencies. The non-investment grade ratings for these assets typically result from the overall leverage of the loans, the lack of a strong operating history for the properties underlying the loans, the borrowers—credit history, the properties—underlying cash flow or other factors. As a result, these investments have a higher risk of default and loss than investment grade rated assets. Any loss we incur may be significant and may reduce distributions to our stockholders and adversely affect the market value of our common stock. There are no limits on the percentage of unrated or non-investment grade rated assets we may hold in our investment portfolio.

Any credit ratings assigned to our investments are subject to ongoing evaluations and revisions and we cannot assure you that those ratings will not be downgraded.

Some of our investments are rated by Moody s Investors Service, Fitch Ratings, Standard & Poor s, DBRS, Inc. or Realpoint LLC. Any credit ratings on our investments are subject to ongoing evaluation by credit rating agencies, and we cannot assure you that any such ratings will not be changed or withdrawn by a rating agency in the future if, in its judgment, circumstances warrant. If rating agencies assign a lower-than-expected rating or reduce or withdraw, or indicate that they may reduce or withdraw, their ratings of our investments in the future, the value of these investments could significantly decline, which would adversely affect the value of our investment portfolio and could result in

losses upon disposition or the failure of borrowers to satisfy their debt service obligations to us.

The B-Notes that we acquire may be subject to additional risks related to the privately negotiated structure and terms of the transaction, which may result in losses to us.

We may invest in B-Notes. B-Notes are mortgage loans typically (i) secured by a first mortgage on a single large commercial property or group of related properties and (ii) subordinated to an A-Note secured by the same first mortgage on the same collateral. As a result, if a borrower defaults, there may not be sufficient funds remaining for B-Note holders after payment to the A-Note holders. However, because each transaction is privately negotiated, B-Notes can vary in their structural characteristics and risks. For example, the rights of holders of B-Notes to control the process following a borrower default may vary from transaction to transaction. Further, B-Notes typically are secured by a single property and so reflect the risks associated with significant concentration. Significant losses related to our B-Notes would result in operating losses for us and may limit our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our mezzanine loan assets involve greater risks of loss than senior loans secured by income-producing properties.

We invest in mezzanine loans, which take the form of subordinated loans secured by second mortgages on the underlying property or loans secured by a pledge of the ownership interests of either the entity owning the property or a pledge of the ownership interests of the entity that owns the interest in the entity owning the property. These types of assets involve a higher degree of risk than long-term senior mortgage lending secured by income-producing real property, because the loan may become unsecured as a result of foreclosure by the senior lender. In the event of a bankruptcy of the entity providing the pledge of its ownership interests as security, we may not have full recourse to the assets of such entity, or the assets of the entity may not be sufficient to satisfy our mezzanine loan. If a borrower defaults on our mezzanine loan or debt senior to our loan, or in the event of a borrower bankruptcy, our mezzanine loan will be satisfied only after the senior debt. As a result, we may not recover some or all of our initial expenditure. In addition, mezzanine loans may have higher loan-to-value ratios than conventional mortgage loans, resulting in less equity in the property and increasing the risk of loss of principal. Significant losses related to our mezzanine loans would result in operating losses for us and may limit our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Bridge loans involve a greater risk of loss than traditional investment-grade mortgage loans with fully insured borrowers.

We may acquire bridge loans secured by first lien mortgages on a property to borrowers who are typically seeking short-term capital to be used in an acquisition, construction or rehabilitation of a property, or other short-term liquidity needs. The typical borrower under a bridge loan has usually identified an undervalued asset that has been under-managed and/or is located in a recovering market. If the market in which the asset is located fails to recover according to the borrower s projections, or if the borrower fails to improve the quality of the asset s management and/or the value of the asset, the borrower may not receive a sufficient return on the asset to satisfy the bridge loan, and we bear the risk that we may not recover some or all of our initial expenditure.

In addition, borrowers usually use the proceeds of a conventional mortgage to repay a bridge loan. Bridge loans therefore are subject to risks of a borrower s inability to obtain permanent financing to repay the bridge loan. Bridge loans are also subject to risks of borrower defaults, bankruptcies, fraud, losses and special hazard losses that are not covered by standard hazard insurance. In the event of any default under bridge loans held by us, we bear the risk of loss of principal and non-payment of interest and fees to the extent of any deficiency between the value of the mortgage collateral and the principal amount and unpaid interest of the bridge loan. To the extent we suffer such losses with respect to our bridge loans, the value of our company and the price of our shares of common stock may be adversely affected.

We purchase securities backed by subprime or alternative documentation residential mortgage loans, which are subject to increased risks.

We own non-agency RMBS backed by collateral pools of mortgage loans that have been originated using underwriting standards that are less restrictive than those used in underwriting prime mortgage loans. These lower standards include mortgage loans made to borrowers having imperfect or impaired credit histories, mortgage loans where the amount of the loan at origination is 80% or more of the value of the mortgage property, mortgage loans made to borrowers with low credit scores, mortgage loans made to borrowers who have other debt that represents a large portion of their income and mortgage loans made to borrowers whose income is not required to be disclosed or verified. Due to economic conditions, including increased interest rates and lower home prices, as well as aggressive lending practices, subprime mortgage loans have in recent periods experienced increased rates of delinquency, foreclosure, bankruptcy and loss, and they are likely to continue to experience delinquency, foreclosure, bankruptcy and loss rates that are higher, and that may be substantially higher, than those experienced by mortgage loans underwritten in a more traditional manner. Thus, because of the higher delinquency rates and losses associated with subprime mortgage loans and alternative documentation, or Alt A, mortgage loans, the performance of non-agency RMBS backed by subprime mortgage loans and Alt A mortgage loans that we may acquire could be correspondingly adversely affected, which could adversely impact our results of operations, financial condition and business.

The residential mortgage loans that we acquire, and that underlie the RMBS we acquire, are subject to risks particular to investments secured by mortgage loans on residential real estate property.

Residential mortgage loans are secured by single family residential property and are subject to risks of delinquency and foreclosure and risks of loss. The ability of a borrower to repay a loan secured by a residential property typically is dependent upon the income or assets of the borrower. A number of factors may impair borrowers abilities to repay their loans, including:

acts of God, which may result in uninsured losses;
 acts of war or terrorism, including the consequences of events;
 adverse changes in national and local economic and market conditions;
 changes in governmental laws and regulations, including fiscal policies, zoning ordinances and environmental legislation and the related costs of compliance;
 costs of remediation and liabilities associated with environmental conditions; and

the potential for uninsured or under-insured property losses.

We may acquire non-agency RMBS, which are backed by residential real estate property but, in contrast to agency RMBS, their principal and interest are not guaranteed by federally chartered entities such as the Federal National Mortgage Association, or Fannie Mae, and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and, in the case of the Government National Mortgage Association, the U.S. government. Our investments in RMBS are subject to the risks of defaults, foreclosure timeline extension, fraud, home price depreciation and unfavorable modification of loan principal amount, interest rate and amortization of principal, accompanying the underlying residential mortgage loans. In the event of defaults on the residential mortgage loans that underlie our investments in agency RMBS and the exhaustion of any underlying or any additional credit support, we may not realize our anticipated return on our investments and we may incur a loss on these investments.

Prepayment rates may adversely affect the value of our investment portfolio.

The value of our investment portfolio is affected by prepayment rates on our mortgage assets. In many cases, borrowers are not prohibited from making prepayments on their mortgage loans. Prepayment rates are influenced by changes in interest rates and a variety of economic, geographic and other factors beyond our control, including, without limitation, housing and financial markets and relative interest rates on fixed

rate mortgage loans, and adjustable rate mortgage loans, or ARMs, and consequently prepayment rates cannot be predicted.

We generally receive payments from principal payments that are made on our mortgage assets, including residential mortgage loans underlying the agency RMBS or the non-agency RMBS that we acquire. When borrowers prepay their residential mortgage loans faster than expected, it results in prepayments that are faster than expected on the RMBS. Faster than expected prepayments could adversely affect our profitability and our ability to recoup our cost of certain investments purchased at a premium over par value, including in the following ways:

- We may purchase RMBS that have a higher interest rate than the market interest rate at the time. In exchange for this higher interest rate, we may pay a premium over the par value to acquire our mortgage asset. In accordance with GAAP, we may amortize this premium over the estimated term of our mortgage asset. If our mortgage asset is prepaid in whole or in part prior to its maturity date, however, we may be required to expense the premium that was prepaid at the time of the prepayment.
- Prepayment rates generally increase when interest rates fall and decrease when interest rates rise, making it unlikely that we would be able to reinvest the proceeds of any prepayment in mortgage

61

assets of similar quality and terms (including yield). If we are unable to invest in similar mortgage assets, we would be adversely affected.

While we seek to minimize prepayment risk to the extent practical, in selecting investments we must balance prepayment risk against other risks and the potential returns of each investment. No strategy can completely insulate us from prepayment risk.

Interest rate mismatches between our agency RMBS backed by ARMs and our borrowings used to fund our purchases of these assets may reduce our net interest income and cause us to suffer a loss during periods of rising interest rates.

To the extent that we invest in agency RMBS backed by ARMs, we may finance these investments with borrowings that have interest rates that adjust more frequently than the interest rate indices and repricing terms of agency RMBS backed by ARMs. Accordingly, if short-term interest rates increase, our borrowing costs may increase faster than the interest rates on agency RMBS backed by ARMs adjust. As a result, in a period of rising interest rates, we could experience a decrease in net income or a net loss. In most cases, the interest rate indices and repricing terms of agency RMBS backed by ARMs and our borrowings will not be identical, thereby potentially creating an interest rate mismatch between our investments and our borrowings. While the historical spread between relevant short-term interest rate indices has been relatively stable, there have been periods when the spread between these indices was volatile. During periods of changing interest rates, these interest rate index mismatches could reduce our net income or produce a net loss, and adversely affect our ability to make distributions and the market price of our common stock.

In addition, agency RMBS backed by ARMs are typically subject to lifetime interest rate caps which limit the amount an interest rate can increase through the maturity of the agency RMBS. However, our borrowings under repurchase agreements typically are not subject to similar restrictions. Accordingly, in a period of rapidly increasing interest rates, the interest rates paid on our borrowings could increase without limitation while caps could limit the interest rates on these types of agency RMBS. This problem is magnified for agency RMBS backed by ARMs that are not fully indexed. Further, some agency RMBS backed by ARMs may be subject to periodic payment caps that result in a portion of the interest being deferred and added to the principal outstanding. As a result, we may receive less cash income on these types of agency RMBS than we need to pay interest on our related borrowings. These factors could reduce our net interest income and cause us to suffer a loss during periods of rising interest rates.

Construction loans involve an increased risk of loss.

We invest in construction loans. If we fail to fund our entire commitment on a construction loan or if a borrower otherwise fails to complete the construction of a project, there could be adverse consequences associated with the loan, including: a loss of the value of the property securing the loan, especially if the borrower is unable to raise funds to complete it from other sources; a borrower claim against us for failure to perform under the loan documents; increased costs to the borrower that the borrower is unable to pay; a bankruptcy filing by the borrower; and abandonment by the borrower of the collateral for the loan.

Risks of cost overruns and noncompletion of renovation of the properties underlying rehabilitation loans may result in significant losses.

The renovation, refurbishment or expansion by a borrower under a mortgaged property involves risks of cost overruns and noncompletion. Estimates of the costs of improvements to bring an acquired property up to standards established for the market position intended for that

property may prove inaccurate. Other risks may include rehabilitation costs exceeding original estimates, possibly making a project uneconomical, environmental risks and rehabilitation and subsequent leasing of the property not being completed on schedule. If such renovation is not completed in a timely manner, or if it costs more than expected, the borrower may experience a prolonged impairment of net operating income and may not be able to make payments on our investment, which could result in significant losses.

Interest rate fluctuations could reduce our ability to generate income on our investments and may cause losses.

Changes in interest rates affect our net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income we earn on our interest-earning investments and the interest expense we incur in financing these investments. Changes in the level of interest rates also may affect our ability to originate and acquire assets, the value of our assets and our ability to realize gains from the disposition of assets. Changes in interest rates may also affect borrower default rates. In a period of rising interest rates, our interest expense could increase, while the interest we earn on our fixed-rate debt investments would not change, adversely affecting our profitability. Our operating results depend in large part on differences between the income from our assets, net of credit losses, and our financing costs. We anticipate that for any period during which our assets are not match-funded, the income from such assets will respond more slowly to interest rate fluctuations than the cost of our borrowings. Consequently, changes in interest rates may significantly influence our net income. Increases in these rates tend to decrease our net income and the market value of our fixed rate assets. Interest rate fluctuations resulting in our interest expense exceeding interest income would result in operating losses for us.

We may experience a decline in the fair value of our assets.

A decline in the fair value of our assets may require us to recognize an other-than-temporary impairment against such assets under GAAP if we were to determine that, with respect to any assets in unrealized loss positions, we do not have the ability and intent to hold such assets to maturity or for a period of time sufficient to allow for recovery to the amortized cost of such assets. If such a determination were to be made, we would recognize unrealized losses through earnings and write down the amortized cost of such assets to a new cost basis, based on the fair value of such assets on the date they are considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired. Such impairment charges reflect non-cash losses at the time of recognition; subsequent disposition or sale of such assets could further affect our future losses or gains, as they are based on the difference between the sale price received and adjusted amortized cost of such assets at the time of sale.

Some of our portfolio investments are recorded at fair value and, as a result, there is uncertainty as to the value of these investments.

Some of our portfolio investments are in the form of positions or securities that are not publicly traded. The fair value of securities and other investments that are not publicly traded may not be readily determinable. We value these investments quarterly at fair value, as determined in accordance with GAAP, which include consideration of unobservable inputs. Because such valuations are subjective, the fair value of certain of our assets may fluctuate over short periods of time and our determinations of fair value may differ materially from the values that would have been used if a ready market for these securities existed. The value of our common stock could be adversely affected if our determinations regarding the fair value of these investments were materially higher than the values that we ultimately realize upon their disposal.

Liability relating to environmental matters may impact the value of properties that we may acquire upon foreclosure of the properties underlying our investments.

To the extent we foreclose on properties with respect to which we have extended mortgage loans, we may be subject to environmental liabilities arising from such foreclosed properties. Under various U.S. federal, state and local laws, an owner or operator of real property may become liable for the costs of removal of certain hazardous substances released on its property. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release of such hazardous substances.

The presence of hazardous substances may adversely affect an owner sability to sell real estate or borrow using real estate as collateral. To the extent that an owner of a property underlying one of our debt investments becomes liable for removal costs, the ability of the owner to make payments to us may be reduced, which in turn may adversely affect the value of the relevant mortgage asset held by us and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

If we foreclose on any properties underlying our investments, the presence of hazardous substances on a property may adversely affect our ability to sell the property and we may incur substantial remediation costs, thus harming our financial condition. The discovery of material environmental liabilities attached to such properties could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

We may invest in triple net leases. Negative market conditions or adverse events affecting tenants, or the industries in which they operate, could have an adverse impact on any triple net lease in which we invest.

We may enter into triple net leases. If we enter into triple net leases, cash flow from operations depends in part on the ability to lease space to tenants on economically favorable terms. If we enter into triple net leases, we could be adversely affected by various facts and events over which we have limited or no control, such as:

- lack of demand in areas where our properties are located;
- inability to retain existing tenants and attract new tenants;
- oversupply of space and changes in market rental rates;
- our tenants creditworthiness and ability to pay rent, which may be affected by their operations, the current economic situation and competition within their industries from other operators;
- defaults by and bankruptcies of tenants, failure of tenants to pay rent on a timely basis, or failure of tenants to comply with their contractual obligations; and
- economic or physical decline of the areas where the properties are located.

At any time, any tenant may experience a downturn in its business that may weaken its operating results or overall financial condition. As a result, a tenant may delay lease commencement, fail to make rental payments when due, decline to extend a lease upon its expiration, become insolvent or declare bankruptcy. Any tenant bankruptcy or insolvency, leasing delay or failure to make rental payments when due could result in the termination of the tenant s lease and material losses to us.

If tenants do not renew their leases as they expire, we may not be able to rent or sell the properties. Furthermore, leases that are renewed, and some new leases for properties that are re-leased, may have terms that are less economically favorable than expiring lease terms, or may require

us to incur significant costs, such as renovations, tenant improvements or lease transaction costs. Negative market conditions may cause us to sell vacant properties for less than their carrying value, which could result in impairments. Any of these events could adversely affect cash flow from operations and our ability to make distributions to stockholders and service indebtedness. A significant portion of the costs of owning property, such as real estate taxes, insurance and maintenance, are not necessarily reduced when circumstances cause a decrease in rental revenue from the properties. In a weakened financial condition, tenants may not be able to pay these costs of ownership and we may be unable to recover these operating expenses from them.

Further, the occurrence of a tenant bankruptcy or insolvency could diminish the income we receive from the tenant s lease or leases. In addition, a bankruptcy court might authorize the tenant to terminate its leases with us. If that happens, our claim against the bankrupt tenant for unpaid future rent would be subject to statutory limitations that most likely would be substantially less than the remaining rent we are owed under the leases. In addition, any claim we have for unpaid past rent, if any, may not be paid in full. As a result, tenant bankruptcies may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Past or future actions of the U.S. government for the purpose of reforming and/or stabilizing the financial markets may adversely affect our business.

In the aftermath of the financial crisis, the U.S. government, through the Federal Reserve, the U.S. Treasury, the SEC, the Federal Housing Administration, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and other governmental and regulatory bodies have taken or are considering taking various actions to address the financial crisis. Many aspects of these actions are subject to rulemaking and will take effect over several years, making it difficult to anticipate the overall financial impact on us and, more generally, the financial services and mortgage industries. Additionally, we cannot predict whether there will be additional proposed laws or reforms that would affect us, whether or when such changes may be adopted, how such changes may be interpreted and enforced or how such changes may affect us. However, the costs of complying with any additional laws or regulations could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Investments outside the United States that are denominated in foreign currencies subject us to foreign currency risks, which may adversely affect our distributions and our REIT status.

Our investments outside the United States denominated in foreign currencies subject us to foreign currency risk due to potential fluctuations in exchange rates between foreign currencies and the U.S. dollar. As a result, changes in exchange rates of any such foreign currency to U.S. dollars may affect our income and distributions and may also affect the book value of our assets and the amount of stockholders equity.

Changes in foreign currency exchange rates used to value a REIT s foreign assets may be considered changes in the value of the REIT s assets. These changes may adversely affect our status as a REIT. Further, bank accounts in foreign currency which are not considered cash or cash equivalents may adversely affect our status as a REIT.

We have not previously invested in residential REO and our property management costs, capital expenditures, financing charges, property taxes and other fees and expenses could exceed our rental income from any such investments.

Our board of directors has approved the expansion of our target assets to include residential REO. Sales of pools of single to four family residential properties is a relatively recent occurrence and the process for bidding on these assets is not yet well established. Our manager will seek to perform extensive due diligence on these assets prior to purchase, but market and competitive forces may make it difficult to do so. In addition, the preparation of detailed cost and revenue projections prior to acquiring these assets will require us to make a number of estimates and assumptions, including assumptions about occupancy levels, capital expenditures, costs associated with carrying and operating our portfolio, tenant defaults, trends in rental rates and other factors. Our assumptions may prove, in hindsight, to be incorrect.

Our ability to generate positive cash flows from operations from residential REO will also be influenced by a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control, including, without limitation, the:

overall conditions in the single to four family housing and rental markets, including:

•	macroeconomic shifts in demand for residential rental properties;
•	inability to lease or re-lease properties to tenants timely and on attractive terms or at all;
•	failure of tenants to pay rent when due or otherwise perform their lease obligations;
•	unanticipated repairs, capital expenditures or other costs;
•	uninsured damages; and
•	increases in property taxes and insurance costs;
•	pace of residential foreclosures;
•	level of competition for suitable target assets;
	65

•	terms and conditions of purchase contracts;	
• markets;	availability of new government programs to reduce foreclosure rates or facilitate a recovery in the housing	
•	the impact of any lease to own or similar programs that we may employ with regard to residential REO;	
•	changes in laws that increase operating expenses or limit rents that may be charged;	
• certain time period;	limitations imposed upon us by government-related or other sellers on our ability to sell residential REO during a	
•	the short-term nature of most residential leases and the costs and potential delays in re-leasing;	
•	the amount and cost of debt financing we employ to leverage our returns;	
•	the geographic mix of our assets; and	
•	the cost, quality and condition of assets we are able to purchase.	
The lack of liquidity of our residential REO investments may adversely affect our long-term profitability on such investments and increases the risk that we could suffer losses on such investments if our rental strategy does not generate sufficient cash flows to sustain our portfolio until market conditions improve.		

Residential real estate is a relatively illiquid asset category regardless of market conditions, but it is particularly illiquid in present market conditions as residential REO inventory levels are at or near historic highs and mortgage financing is unavailable to a significant percentage of potential homebuyers. Our objective is to take advantage of pricing opportunities resulting from the illiquidity of such assets and operate the residential properties as rental properties until market conditions are more favorable. We cannot predict when or if more normalized conditions will return to the residential housing markets.

If we find it necessary to liquidate residential REO assets for any reason prior to a significant improvement in the residential market, we may experience losses upon the sale of these investments. The illiquidity of residential REO assets means that our ability to vary our asset base in response to changes in economic and other conditions may be severely constrained, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. In the event prices of residential assets increase, there may be a limited number of potential purchasers of portfolios of such assets which may reduce our efficiency and profits upon sales. We cannot predict whether we will be able to sell properties for the prices or on the terms set by us, or whether any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to us. We cannot predict the length of time needed to find willing purchasers and to close the sale of properties. If we are unable to sell properties when we determine to do so, or if we are prohibited from doing so, it could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow and results of operations from such investments.

We may rely on local, third-party service providers in connection with REO investments.

In connection with any REO investments, we may rely on local, third-party vendors and services providers for certain services for our properties. For example, we may rely on third-party house improvement professionals, leasing agents and property management companies. We do not have exclusive or long-term contractual relationships with any of these third-parties. Our cash flows from REO properties may be adversely affected if third-party vendors and service providers with whom we do business fail to provide quality services.

Risks Related to Our Organization and Structure

Certain provisions of Maryland law could inhibit changes in control.

Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law, or the MGCL, may have the effect of deterring a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change in control under circumstances

66

that otherwise could provide the holders of our common stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price of our common stock. We are subject to the business combination provisions of the MGCL that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations (including a merger, consolidation, share exchange, or, in circumstances specified in the statute, an asset transfer or issuance or reclassification of equity securities) between us and an interested stockholder (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of our then outstanding voting capital stock or an affiliate or associate of ours who, at any time within the two-year period prior to the date in question, was the beneficial owner of 10% or more of our then outstanding voting capital stock) or an affiliate thereof for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. After the five-year prohibition, any business combination between us and an interested stockholder generally must be recommended by our board of directors and approved by the affirmative vote of at least (i) 80% of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding shares of our voting capital stock and (ii) two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of voting capital stock of the corporation other than shares held by the interested stockholder with whom or with whose affiliate the business combination is to be effected or held by an affiliate or associate of the interested stockholder. These super-majority vote requirements do not apply if our common stockholders receive a minimum price, as defined under Maryland law, for their shares in the form of cash or other consideration in the same form as previously paid by the interested stockholder for its shares. These provisions of the MGCL do not apply, however, to business combinations that are approved or exempted by a board of directors prior to the time that the interested stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. Pursuant to the statute, our board of directors has by resolution exempted business combinations between us and any other person, provided that such business combination is first approved by our board of directors (including a majority of our directors who are not affiliates or associates of such person).

The control share provisions of the MGCL provide that control shares of a Maryland corporation (defined as shares which, when aggregated with other shares controlled by the stockholder (except solely by virtue of a revocable proxy), entitle the stockholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing directors) acquired in a control share acquisition (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of control shares) have no voting rights except to the extent approved by our stockholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding votes entitled to be cast by the acquirer of control shares, our officers and our personnel who are also our directors. Our bylaws contain a provision exempting from the control share acquisition statute any and all acquisitions by any person of shares of our stock. There can be no assurance that this provision will not be amended or eliminated at any time in the future.

The unsolicited takeover provisions of the MGCL permit our board of directors, without stockholder approval and regardless of what is currently provided in our charter or bylaws, to implement takeover defenses, some of which (for example, a classified board) we do not yet have. These provisions may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us or of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of us under the circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of shares of common stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then current market price.

Our authorized but unissued shares of common and preferred stock may prevent a change in our control.

Our charter authorizes us to issue additional authorized but unissued shares of common or preferred stock. In addition, our board of directors may, without stockholder approval, amend our charter to increase the aggregate number of our shares of stock or the number of shares of stock of any class or series that we have authority to issue and classify or reclassify any unissued shares of common or preferred stock and set the preferences, rights and other terms of the classified or reclassified shares. As a result, our board of directors may establish a series of shares of common or preferred stock that could delay or prevent a transaction or a change in control that might involve a premium price for our shares of common stock or otherwise be in the best interest of our stockholders.

Maintenance of our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act imposes significant limits on our operations.

We intend to conduct our operations so that neither we nor any of our subsidiaries are required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act. Because we are a holding company that conducts our businesses primarily through wholly-owned subsidiaries, the securities issued by these subsidiaries that are excepted from the definition of investment company under Section 3(c)(1) or Section 3(c)(7) of the Investment

Company Act, together with any other investment securities we own, may not have a combined value in excess of 40% of the value of our adjusted total assets on an unconsolidated basis. This requirement limits the types of businesses in which we may engage through our subsidiaries. In addition, the assets we and our subsidiaries may acquire are limited by the provisions of the Investment Company Act and the rules and regulations promulgated under the Investment Company Act, which may adversely affect our performance.

If the value of securities issued by our subsidiaries that are excepted from the definition of investment company by Section 3(c)(1) or 3(c)(7) of the Investment Company Act, together with any other investment securities we own, exceeds 40% of our adjusted total assets on an unconsolidated basis, or if one or more of such subsidiaries fail to maintain an exception or exemption from the Investment Company Act, we could, among other things, be required either (i) to substantially change the manner in which we conduct our operations to avoid being required to register as an investment company or (ii) to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act, either of which could have an adverse effect on us and the market price of our securities. If we were required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act, we would become subject to substantial regulation with respect to our capital structure (including our ability to use leverage), management, operations, transactions with affiliated persons (as defined in the Investment Company Act), portfolio composition, including restrictions with respect to diversification and industry concentration, and other matters.

The SEC recently solicited public comment on a wide range of issues relating to Section 3(c)(5)(C) of the Investment Company Act, including the nature of the assets that qualify for purposes of the exemption and whether mortgage REITs should be regulated in a manner similar to investment companies. There can be no assurance that the laws and regulations governing the Investment Company Act status of REITs, including the Division of Investment Management of the SEC providing more specific or different guidance regarding these exemptions, will not change in a manner that adversely affects our operations. If we or our subsidiaries fail to maintain an exception of exemption from the Investment Company Act, we could, among other things, be required to (i) change the manner in which we conduct our operations to avoid being required to register as an investment company, (ii) effect sales of our assets in a manner that, or at a time when, we would not otherwise choose to do so, or (iii) register as an investment company (which, among other things, would require us to comply with the leverage constraints applicable to investment companies), any of which could negatively affect the value of our common stock, the sustainability of our business model, and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders, which could, in turn, materially and adversely affect us and the market price of our common stock.

Rapid changes in the values of our other real estate-related investments may make it more difficult for us to maintain our qualification as a REIT or exemption from the Investment Company Act.

If the market value or income potential of real estate-related investments declines as a result of increased interest rates, prepayment rates or other factors, we may need to increase our real estate investments and income and/or liquidate our non-qualifying assets in order to maintain our REIT qualification or exemption from the Investment Company Act. If the decline in real estate asset values and/or income occurs quickly, this may be especially difficult to accomplish. This difficulty may be exacerbated by the illiquid nature of any non-qualifying assets that we may own. We may have to make investment decisions that we otherwise would not make absent the REIT and Investment Company Act considerations.

Our rights and the rights of our stockholders to take action against our directors and officers are limited, which could limit your recourse in the event of actions not in your best interests.

Under Maryland law generally, a director s actions will be upheld if he or she performs his or her duties in good faith, in a manner he or she reasonably believes to be in our best interests and with the care that an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would use under similar circumstances. In addition, our charter limits the liability of our directors and officers to us and our stockholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from:

•	actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services; or
• the cause of action adj	active and deliberate dishonesty by the director or officer that was established by a final judgment as being material to udicated.
	68

Our charter authorizes us to indemnify our directors and officers for actions taken by them in those capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. Our bylaws require us to indemnify each director or officer, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, in the defense of any proceeding to which he or she is made, or threatened to be made, a party by reason of his or her service to us. In addition, we may be obligated to fund the defense costs incurred by our directors and officers. As a result, we and our stockholders may have more limited rights against our directors and officers than might otherwise exist absent the current provisions in our charter and bylaws or that might exist with other companies.

Our charter contains provisions that make removal of our directors difficult, which could make it difficult for our stockholders to effect changes to our management.

Our charter provides that a director may only be removed for cause upon the affirmative vote of holders of two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast in the election of directors. Vacancies may be filled only by a majority of the remaining directors in office, even if less than a quorum. These requirements make it more difficult to change our management by removing and replacing directors and may prevent a change in control of our company that is in the best interests of our stockholders.

Ownership limitations may restrict change of control or business combination opportunities in which our stockholders might receive a premium for their shares.

In order for us to qualify as a REIT, no more than 50% in value of our outstanding capital stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals during the last half of any calendar year. Individuals for this purpose include natural persons, private foundations, some employee benefit plans and trusts, and some charitable trusts. To preserve our REIT qualification, our charter generally prohibits any person from directly or indirectly owning more than 9.8% in value or in number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of the outstanding shares of our capital stock or more than 9.8% in value or in number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of the outstanding shares of our common stock. This ownership limitation could have the effect of discouraging a takeover or other transaction in which holders of our common stock might receive a premium for their shares over the then prevailing market price or which holders might believe to be otherwise in their best interests.

Risks Related to Our Taxation as a REIT

If we do not qualify as a REIT or fail to remain qualified as a REIT, we will be subject to tax as a regular corporation and could face a substantial tax liability, which would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

We intend to continue to operate in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. We have not requested nor obtained a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, as to our REIT qualification. Our qualification as a REIT depends on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, stockholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis. Our ability to satisfy the asset tests depends upon our analysis of the characterization and fair values of our assets, some of which are not susceptible to a precise determination, and for which we will not obtain independent appraisals. Our compliance with the REIT income and quarterly asset requirements also depends upon our ability to successfully manage the composition of our income and assets on an ongoing basis. Moreover, the proper classification of an instrument as debt or equity for federal income tax purposes may be uncertain in some circumstances, which could affect the application of the REIT qualification requirements as described below. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the IRS will not contend that our interests in subsidiaries or in securities of other issuers will not cause a violation of the REIT requirements.

If we were to fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we would be subject to federal income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, and dividends paid to our stockholders would not be deductible by us in computing our taxable income. Any resulting corporate tax liability could be substantial and would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders, which in turn could have an adverse impact on the value of our common stock. Unless we were entitled to relief

69

under certain Code provisions, we also would be disqualified from taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which we failed to qualify as a REIT.

Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends.

The maximum tax rate applicable to income from qualified dividends payable to domestic stockholders that are individuals, trusts and estates has been reduced by legislation to 15% through the end of 2012. Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for the reduced rates. Although this legislation does not adversely affect the taxation of REITs or dividends payable by REITs, the more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate qualified dividends could cause investors who are individuals, trusts and estates to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the stock of REITs, including our common stock.

REIT distribution requirements could adversely affect our ability to continue to execute our business plan.

We generally must distribute annually at least 90% of our taxable income, subject to certain adjustments and excluding any net capital gain, in order for federal corporate income tax not to apply to earnings that we distribute. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income, we will be subject to federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we pay out to our stockholders in a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under federal tax laws. We intend to continue to make distributions to our stockholders to comply with the REIT requirements of the Code.

From time to time, we may generate taxable income greater than our income for financial reporting purposes prepared in accordance with GAAP, or differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash may occur. For example, we may be required to accrue income from mortgage loans, MBS, and other types of debt securities or interests in debt securities before we receive any payments of interest or principal on such assets. We may also acquire distressed debt investments that are subsequently modified by agreement with the borrower. If the amendments to the outstanding debt are significant modifications under the applicable U.S. Treasury regulations, the modified debt may be considered to have been reissued to us at a gain in a debt-for-debt exchange with the borrower, with gain recognized by us to the extent that the principal amount of the modified debt exceeds our cost of purchasing it prior to modification.

We may also be required under the terms of indebtedness that we incur to use cash received from interest payments to make principal payments on that indebtedness, with the effect of recognizing income but not having a corresponding amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

As a result, we may find it difficult or impossible to meet distribution requirements from our ordinary operations in certain circumstances. In particular, where we experience differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash, the requirement to distribute a substantial portion of our taxable income could cause us to: (i) sell assets in adverse market conditions, (ii) borrow on unfavorable terms, (iii) distribute amounts that would otherwise be invested in future acquisitions, capital expenditures or repayment of debt or (iv) make a taxable distribution of our shares, as part of a distribution in which stockholders may elect to receive shares (subject to a limit measured as a percentage of the total distribution), in order to comply with REIT requirements. These alternatives could increase our costs or reduce our equity. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our ability to grow, which could adversely affect the value of our common stock.

The stock ownership limit imposed by the Code for REITs and our charter may restrict our business combination opportunities.

In order for us to maintain our qualification as a REIT under the Code, not more than 50% in value of our outstanding stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include certain entities) at any time during the last half of each taxable year following our first year. Our charter, with certain exceptions, authorizes our board of directors to take the actions that are necessary and desirable to preserve our qualification as a REIT. Unless exempted by our board of directors, no person may own more than

9.8% of the aggregate value of our outstanding capital stock. Our board may grant an exemption in its sole discretion, subject to such conditions, representations and undertakings as it may determine. The ownership limits imposed by the tax law are based upon direct or indirect ownership by individuals, but only during the last half of a tax year. The ownership limits contained in our charter key off of the ownership at any time by any person, which term includes entities. These ownership limitations in our charter are common in REIT charters and are intended to provide added assurance of compliance with the tax law requirements, and to minimize administrative burdens. However, these ownership limits might also delay or prevent a transaction or a change in our control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or otherwise be in the best interest of our stockholders.

Even if we remain qualified as a REIT, we may face other tax liabilities that reduce our cash flow.

Even if we remain qualified for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and assets, including taxes on any undistributed income, tax on income from some activities conducted as a result of a foreclosure, and state or local income, property and transfer taxes, such as mortgage recording taxes. In addition, in order to continue to meet the REIT qualification requirements, prevent the recognition of certain types of non-cash income, or to avert the imposition of a 100% tax that applies to certain gains derived by a REIT from dealer property or inventory, we may hold some of our assets through our TRS or other subsidiary corporations that will be subject to corporate-level income tax at regular rates. In addition, if we lend money to a TRS, the TRS may be unable to deduct all or a portion of the interest paid to us, which could result in an even higher corporate-level tax liability. Any of these taxes would decrease cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Complying with REIT requirements may cause us to forgo otherwise attractive opportunities.

To qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we must satisfy ongoing tests concerning, among other things, the sources of our income, the nature and diversification of our assets, the amounts that we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of our stock. We may be required to make distributions to stockholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution, and may be unable to pursue investments that would be otherwise advantageous to us in order to satisfy the source-of-income or asset-diversification requirements for qualifying as a REIT. In addition, in certain cases, the modification of a debt instrument could result in the conversion of the instrument from a qualifying real estate asset to a wholly or partially non-qualifying asset that must be contributed to a TRS or disposed of in order for us to maintain our REIT status. Compliance with the source-of-income requirements may also limit our ability to acquire debt instruments at a discount from their face amount. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our ability to make and, in certain cases, to maintain ownership of, certain attractive investments.

Complying with REIT requirements may force us to liquidate otherwise attractive investments.

To qualify as a REIT, we must ensure that at the end of each calendar quarter, at least 75% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, government securities and qualified REIT real estate assets, including certain mortgage loans and certain kinds of MBS. The remainder of our investment in securities (other than government securities and qualified real estate assets) generally cannot include more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer or more than 10% of the total value of the outstanding securities of any one issuer. In addition, in general, no more than 5% of the value of our assets (other than government securities and qualified real estate assets) can consist of the securities of any one issuer, and no more than 25% of the value of our total securities can be represented by securities of one or more TRSs. If we fail to comply with these requirements at the end of any calendar quarter, we must correct the failure within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter or qualify for certain statutory relief provisions to avoid losing our REIT qualification and suffering adverse tax consequences. As a result, we may be required to liquidate from our portfolio otherwise attractive investments. These actions could have the effect of reducing our income and amounts available for distribution to our stockholders.

The failure of assets subject to repurchase agreements to qualify as real estate assets could adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT.

We have entered into financing arrangements that are structured as sale and repurchase agreements pursuant to which we would nominally sell certain of our assets to a counterparty and simultaneously enter into an

71

agreement to repurchase these assets at a later date in exchange for a purchase price. Economically, these agreements are financings which are secured by the assets sold pursuant thereto. We believe that we would be treated for REIT asset and income test purposes as the owner of the assets that are the subject of any such sale and repurchase agreement notwithstanding that such agreement may transfer record ownership of the assets to the counterparty during the term of the agreement. It is possible, however, that the IRS could assert that we did not own the assets during the term of the sale and repurchase agreement, in which case we could fail to qualify as a REIT.

We may be required to report taxable income for certain investments in excess of the economic income we ultimately realize from them.

We may acquire debt instruments in the secondary market for less than their face amount. The discount at which such debt instruments are acquired may reflect doubts about their ultimate collectability rather than current market interest rates. The amount of such discount will nevertheless generally be treated as market discount for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Accrued market discount is reported as income when, and to the extent that, any payment of principal of the debt instrument is made. Payments on residential mortgage loans are ordinarily made monthly, and consequently accrued market discount may have to be included in income each month as if the debt instrument were assured of ultimately being collected in full. If we collect less on the debt instrument than our purchase price plus the market discount we had previously reported as income, we may not be able to benefit from any offsetting loss deductions. In addition, we may acquire distressed debt investments that are subsequently modified by agreement with the borrower. If the amendments to the outstanding debt are significant modifications under applicable U.S. Treasury regulations, the modified debt may be considered to have been reissued to us at a gain in a debt-for-debt exchange with the borrower. In that event, we may be required to recognize taxable gain to the extent the principal amount of the modified debt exceeds our adjusted tax basis in the unmodified debt, even if the value of the debt or the payment expectations have not changed.

Moreover, some of the MBS that we acquire may have been issued with original issue discount. We will be required to report such original issue discount based on a constant yield method and will be taxed based on the assumption that all future projected payments due on such MBS will be made. If such MBS turns out not to be fully collectible, an offsetting loss deduction will become available only in the later year that uncollectibility is provable.

Finally, in the event that any debt instruments or MBS acquired by us are delinquent as to mandatory principal and interest payments, or in the event payments with respect to a particular debt instrument are not made when due, we may nonetheless be required to continue to recognize the unpaid interest as taxable income as it accrues, despite doubt as to its ultimate collectability. Similarly, we may be required to accrue interest income with respect to subordinate MBS at its stated rate regardless of whether corresponding cash payments are received or are ultimately collectible. In each case, while we would in general ultimately have an offsetting loss deduction available to us when such interest was determined to be uncollectible, the utility of that deduction could depend on our having taxable income in that later year or thereafter.

The taxable mortgage pool rules may increase the taxes that we or our stockholders may incur, and may limit the manner in which we effect future securitizations.

Securitizations could result in the creation of taxable mortgage pools for federal income tax purposes. As a REIT, so long as we own 100% of the equity interests in a taxable mortgage pool, we generally would not be adversely affected by the characterization of the securitization as a taxable mortgage pool. Certain categories of stockholders, however, such as foreign stockholders eligible for treaty or other benefits, stockholders with net operating losses, and certain tax-exempt stockholders that are subject to unrelated business income tax, could be subject to increased taxes on a portion of their dividend income from us that is attributable to the taxable mortgage pool. In addition, to the extent that our stock is owned by tax-exempt disqualified organizations, such as certain government-related entities and charitable remainder trusts that are not subject to tax on unrelated business income, we may incur a corporate level tax on a portion of our income from the taxable mortgage pool. In that case, we may reduce the amount of our distributions to any disqualified organization whose stock ownership gave rise to the tax. Moreover, we would be precluded from selling equity interests in these securitizations to outside investors, or selling any debt securities issued in

connection with these securitizations that might be considered to be equity interests for tax purposes. These limitations may prevent us from using certain techniques to maximize our returns from securitization transactions.

The tax on prohibited transactions will limit our ability to engage in transactions, including certain methods of securitizing mortgage loans, which would be treated as sales for federal income tax purposes.

A REIT s net income from prohibited transactions is subject to a 100% tax. In general, prohibited transactions are sales or other dispositions of property, other than foreclosure property, but including mortgage loans, held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. We might be subject to this tax if we were to dispose of or securitize loans in a manner that was treated as a sale of the loans for federal income tax purposes. Therefore, in order to avoid the prohibited transactions tax, we may choose not to engage in certain sales of loans at the REIT level, and may limit the structures we utilize for our securitization transactions, even though the sales or structures might otherwise be beneficial to us.

Our investments in construction loans will require us to make estimates about the fair value of land improvements that may be challenged by the IRS.

We may invest in construction loans, the interest from which will be qualifying income for purposes of the REIT income tests, provided that the loan value of the real property securing the construction loan is equal to or greater than the highest outstanding principal amount of the construction loan during any taxable year. For purposes of construction loans, the loan value of the real property is the fair value of the land plus the reasonably estimated cost of the improvements or developments (other than personal property) that will secure the loan and that are to be constructed from the proceeds of the loan. There can be no assurance that the IRS would not challenge our estimate of the loan value of the real property.

The failure of a mezzanine loan to qualify as a real estate asset could adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT.

We invest in mezzanine loans, for which the IRS has provided a safe harbor but not rules of substantive law. Pursuant to the safe harbor, if a mezzanine loan meets certain requirements, it will be treated by the IRS as a real estate asset for purposes of the REIT asset tests, and interest derived from the mezzanine loan will be treated as qualifying mortgage interest for purposes of the REIT 75% income test. We may acquire mezzanine loans that do not meet all of the requirements of this safe harbor. In the event we own a mezzanine loan that does not meet the safe harbor, the IRS could challenge such loan s treatment as a real estate asset for purposes of the REIT asset and income tests and, if such a challenge were sustained, we could fail to qualify as a REIT.

Liquidation of assets may jeopardize our REIT qualification.

To qualify as a REIT, we must comply with requirements regarding our assets and our sources of income. If we are compelled to liquidate our investments to repay obligations to our lenders, we may be unable to comply with these requirements, ultimately jeopardizing our qualification as a REIT, or we may be subject to a 100% tax on any resultant gain if we sell assets that are treated as dealer property or inventory.

Complying with REIT requirements may limit our ability to hedge effectively and may cause us to incur tax liabilities.

The REIT provisions of the Code substantially limit our ability to hedge our liabilities. Any income from a hedging transaction we enter into to manage risk of interest rate changes with respect to borrowings made or to be made to acquire or carry real estate assets does not constitute gross income for purposes of the 75% or 95% gross income tests. To the extent that we enter into other types of hedging transactions, the income from those transactions is likely to be treated as non-qualifying income for purposes of both of the gross income tests. As a result of these rules, we intend to limit our use of advantageous hedging techniques or implement those hedges through a domestic TRS. This could increase the cost of our hedging activities because our TRS would be subject to tax on gains or expose us to greater risks associated with changes in interest rates than we would otherwise want to bear. In addition, losses in our TRS will generally not provide any tax benefit, except for being carried forward against future taxable income in the TRS.

Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions for which only limited judicial and
administrative authorities exist. Even a technical or inadvertent violation could jeopardize our REIT qualification. Our qualification as a REIT

will depend on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, stockholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis. In addition, our ability to satisfy the requirements to qualify as a REIT depends in part on the actions of third parties over which we have no control or only limited influence, including in cases where we own an equity interest in an entity that is classified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Qualifying as a REIT involves highly technical and complex provisions of the Code.

Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.	
None.		
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities.	
None.		
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	
Not applicable.		
Item 5.	Other Information	
None.		
	74	

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

STARWOOD PROPERTY TRUST, INC.

Date: May 8, 2012 By: /s/ BARRY S. STERNLICHT

Barry S. Sternlicht Chief Executive Officer Principal Executive Officer

Date: May 8, 2012 By: /s/ PERRY STEWART WARD

Perry Stewart Ward Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Principal Financial Officer

75

Item 6. Exhibits.

(a) Index to Exhibits

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit No. 31.1	Description Certification pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document