CIBER INC Form 10-Q July 31, 2013 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

| FORM 10-Q | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| (Mark One) | | | | | | |
| x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 | | | | | | |
| For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013 | | | | | | |
| OR | | | | | | |
| o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 | | | | | | |

For the transition period from

to

Commission File Number: 001-13103

Ciber, Inc.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 38-2046833

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

6363 South Fiddler s Green Circle, Suite 1400,

Greenwood Village, Colorado (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

80111 (Zip Code)

(303) 220-0100

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No x

There were 74,901,303 shares of the registrant s Common Stock outstanding as of July 22, 2013.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

| | | Page |
|------------|--|------|
| Part I | FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | Financial Statements (unaudited): | |
| | Consolidated Statements of Operations Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 | 3 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 | 4 |
| | Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 | 5 |
| | Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | 6 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 | 7 |
| | Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 8 |
| Item 2. | Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 15 |
| Item 3. | Ouantitative and Oualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 28 |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | 28 |
| Part II | OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 28 |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 29 |
| Item 4. | Mine Safety Disclosures | 29 |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> | 30 |
| Signatures | | 31 |
| | | |
| | 2 | |

Ciber, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

| | Three Months I | Ended , | June 30, 2012 | Six Months E 2013 | ine 30, 2012 | |
|---|----------------|---------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------|
| REVENUES | | | | | | |
| Consulting services | \$ 208,782 | \$ | 204,784 \$ | 417,826 | \$ | 412,847 |
| Other revenue | 11,613 | | 11,480 | 22,110 | | 21,997 |
| Total revenues | 220,395 | | 216,264 | 439,936 | | 434,844 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | | | |
| Cost of consulting services | 158,440 | | 151,666 | 316,014 | | 308,312 |
| Cost of other revenue | 5,917 | | 7,084 | 12,658 | | 13,692 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 50,399 | | 52,703 | 100,490 | | 100,771 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | | | 161 | | | 325 |
| Restructuring charges | 604 | | | 953 | | |
| Total operating expenses | 215,360 | | 211,614 | 430,115 | | 423,100 |
| OPERATING INCOME FROM CONTINUING | | | | | | |
| OPERATIONS | 5,035 | | 4,650 | 9,821 | | 11,744 |
| Interest income | 127 | | 223 | 492 | | 386 |
| Interest expense | (463) | | (2,238) | (1,520) | | (4,067) |
| Other income, net | 161 | | 503 | 175 | | 65 |
| INCOME FROM CONTINUING | | | | | | |
| OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 4,860 | | 3,138 | 8,968 | | 8,128 |
| Income tax expense | 1,925 | | 2,716 | 4,584 | | 6,420 |
| NET INCOME FROM CONTINUING | | | | | | |
| OPERATIONS | 2,935 | | 422 | 4,384 | | 1,708 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of | | | | | | |
| income tax | (4,555) | | (297) | (4,537) | | (380) |
| CONSOLIDATED NET INCOME (LOSS) | (1,620) | | 125 | (153) | | 1,328 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling | | | | | | |
| interests | 146 | | 206 | | | 266 |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO | | | | | | |
| CIBER, INC. | \$ (1,766) | \$ | (81) \$ | (153) | \$ | 1,062 |
| | | | | | | |
| Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share | | | | | | |
| attributable to Ciber, Inc.: | | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.04 | \$ | \$ | 0.06 | \$ | 0.02 |
| Discontinued operations | (0.06) | | | (0.06) | | (0.01) |
| Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share | | | | | | |
| attributable to Ciber, Inc. | \$ (0.02) | \$ | \$ | | \$ | 0.01 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | | | |
| Basic | 74,690 | | 73,013 | 74,381 | | 72,874 |
| Diluted | 75,412 | | 73,504 | 75,011 | | 73,423 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Ciber, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

| | Three Months | June 30, | Six Months | Ended J | une 30, | |
|--|---------------|----------|------------|------------|---------|---------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | 2013 | | 2012 |
| Consolidated net income (loss) | \$ (1,620) | \$ | 125 | \$ (153) | \$ | 1,328 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 53 | | (11,193) | (7,938) | | (3,405) |
| Comprehensive loss | (1,567) | | (11,068) | (8,091) | | (2,077) |
| Comprehensive income attributable to | | | | | | |
| noncontrolling interests | 146 | | 206 | | | 266 |
| Comprehensive loss attributable to Ciber, Inc. | \$ (1,713) | \$ | (11,274) | \$ (8,091) | \$ | (2,343) |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Ciber, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

| | | June 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 |
|---|----|------------------|----|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 33,621 | \$ | 58,849 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$1,743 and \$1,752, respectively | | 208,883 | | 200,257 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | | 20,997 | | 24,054 |
| Total current assets | | 263,501 | | 283,160 |
| Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$48,764 and \$47,859, | | | | |
| respectively | | 11,534 | | 13,683 |
| Goodwill | | 272,558 | | 276,599 |
| Other assets | | 7,283 | | 7,029 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 554,876 | \$ | 580,471 |
| 1017127100210 | Ψ | 23 1,070 | Ψ | 300,171 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | | | |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$ | 3,139 | \$ | 6,337 |
| Accounts payable | | 31,347 | | 30,775 |
| Accrued compensation and related liabilities | | 53,787 | | 68,900 |
| Deferred revenue | | 24,548 | | 21,872 |
| Income taxes payable | | 2,386 | | 4,331 |
| Other accrued expenses and liabilities | | 31,494 | | 45,477 |
| Total current liabilities | | 146,701 | | 177,692 |
| Long-term debt | | 25,703 | | 19,790 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 24,313 | | 21,848 |
| Other long-term liabilities | | 9,006 | | 2,188 |
| Total liabilities | | 205,723 | | 221,518 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | | |
| Equity: | | | | |
| Ciber, Inc. shareholders equity: | | | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 1,000 shares authorized, no shares issued | | | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 100,000 shares authorized, 74,902 and 74,487 shares issued, | | | | |
| respectively | | 749 | | 745 |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 16 and 708 shares, respectively | | (60) | | (4,057) |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 334,586 | | 337,639 |
| Retained earnings | | 21,074 | | 24,032 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | | (7,730) | | 208 |
| Total Ciber, Inc. shareholders equity | | 348,619 | | 358,567 |
| Noncontrolling interests | | 534 | | 386 |

| Total equity | 349,153 | 358,953 |
|------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| | | |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | \$ 554,876 \$ | 580,471 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

5

Ciber, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

| | | | | | | | Accumulated | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|---------|--------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| | | | | | Additional | | Other | Total Ciber, Inc | 2. | |
| | Commo | n Stock | Treasu | ry Stock | Paid-in | Retained (| Comprehensiv | e Shareholders | Noncontroll | ing |
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Capital | Earnings | Income (Loss) | Equity | Interests | Total Equity |
| BALANCES AT | | | | | | | | | | |
| JANUARY 1, 2013 | 74,487 | \$ 745 | (708) | \$ (4,057) | \$ 337,639 | \$ 24,032 | \$ 208 | \$ 358,567 | \$ 386 | \$ 358,953 |
| Consolidated net loss | | | | | | (153) | | (153 |) | (153) |
| Foreign currency | | | | | | | | | | |
| translation | | | | | | | (7,938 |) (7,938 |) | (7,938) |
| Change in | | | | | | | | | | |
| noncontrolling interest | | | | | (6,722) |) | | (6,722 |) 148 | (6,574) |
| Shares issued under | | | | | | | | | | |
| employee share plans, | | | | | | | | | | |
| net | 415 | 4 | 692 | 3,997 | | (2,805) | | 1,196 | | 1,196 |
| Share-based | | | | | | | | | | |
| compensation | | | | | 3,669 | | | 3,669 | | 3,669 |
| BALANCES AT JUNE | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30, 2013 | 74,902 | \$ 749 | (16) | \$ (60) | \$ 334,586 | \$ 21,074 | \$ (7,730 |) \$ 348,619 | \$ 534 | \$ 349,153 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Ciber, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

| | Six Mont 2013 | hs Ended Ju | ne 30, 2012 |
|---|------------------|-------------|----------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | 2013 | | 2012 |
| Consolidated net income (loss) | (15 | 3) \$ | 1,328 |
| Adjustments to reconcile consolidated net income (loss) to net cash used in operating | (13 | σ, φ | 1,520 |
| activities: | | | |
| Loss from discontinued operations | 4,53 | 7 | 380 |
| Depreciation | 3,08 | | 4,287 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 2,00 | | 325 |
| Deferred income tax expense | 2,51 | 6 | 2,606 |
| Provision for (recovery on) doubtful receivables | 71 | | (282) |
| Share-based compensation expense | 3,66 | 9 | 2,822 |
| Amortization of debt costs | 51 | | 2,100 |
| Other, net | 20 | 8 | 529 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | (16,15 | 7) | (27,485) |
| Other current and long-term assets | (47 | 0) | 1,774 |
| Accounts payable | 79 | 3 | (5,458) |
| Accrued compensation and related liabilities | (13,75 | 9) | (392) |
| Other current and long-term liabilities | (1,91 | 4) | (11,315) |
| Income taxes payable/refundable | (73 | 8) | (1,191) |
| Cash used in operating activities continuing operations | (17,16 | 3) | (29,972) |
| Cash used in operating activities discontinued operations | (3,36 | 7) | (1,777) |
| Cash used in operating activities | (20,53 | 0) | (31,749) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment, net | (1,28 | 6) | (2,661) |
| Cash used in investing activities continuing operations | (1,28 | , | (2,661) |
| Cash provided by (used in) investing activities discontinued operations | (31 | | 31,204 |
| Cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (1,59 | | 28,543 |
| each provided by (ascellar) mixesing activities | (1,0) | ~) | 20,0 10 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Borrowings on long-term debt | 146,98 | | 194,402 |
| Payments on long-term debt | (144,27 | 4) | (225,019) |
| Employee stock purchases and options exercised | 1,62 | 5 | 869 |
| Credit facility fees paid | | | (3,326) |
| Payment of initial fair value of acquisition-related contingent consideration | (3,42 | 8) | |
| Other, net | (42) | 9) | |
| Cash provided by (used in) financing activities continuing operations | 48 | 1 | (33,074) |
| Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (3,58 | | (214) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (25,22 | | (36,494) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 58,84 | | 65,567 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 33,62 | 1 \$ | 29,073 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

7

Table of Contents

Ciber, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

(1) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of Ciber, Inc. and its subsidiaries (together, Ciber, the Company, we, or us) have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) for quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and do not include all of the information and note disclosures required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) for complete financial statements. These consolidated financial statements should therefore be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC. The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and include all adjustments of a normal, recurring nature that are, in the opinion of management, necessary to present fairly the financial position and results of operations for the interim periods presented. The results of operations for an interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for a full fiscal year.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements In March 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-05, Foreign Currency Matters (Topic 830)-Parent s Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity, (ASU 2013-05). This amendment clarifies the applicable guidance for the release of cumulative translation adjustment into net earnings. When an entity ceases to have a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary or group of assets within a foreign entity, the entity is required to apply the guidance in FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 830-30 to release any related cumulative translation adjustment into net earnings. ASU 2013-05 is effective prospectively for fiscal years, and interim reporting periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Fair Value The carrying value of the outstanding borrowings under the ABL Facility, as defined in Note 5, approximates its fair value as (1) it is based on a variable rate that changes based on market conditions and (2) the margin applied to the variable rate is based on Ciber's credit risk, which has not changed since entering into the facility in May 2012. If Ciber's credit risk were to change, we would estimate the fair value of our borrowings using a discounted cash flow analysis based on current rates expected to be available from the lender for similar types of debt. The inputs used to establish the fair value of the Credit Agreement are considered to be Level 2 inputs, which include inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Within our goodwill impairment analysis, as discussed in Note 4, the discounted cash flow method (income approach) and market approach incorporates various level 3 inputs including projected revenue growth rates, earnings margins, and the present value, based on the discount rate and terminal growth rate, of forecasted cash flows. Restructuring liabilities for office closures are initially recorded at estimated fair value utilizing level 3 assumptions, including an estimate of sublease income which is subject to adjustment in future periods if assumptions change.

Acquisition Consideration On May 31, 2013, we paid \$7.1 million for the final amount of the previously agreed to deferred consideration related to our 2010 acquisition of Segmenta A/S in Denmark.

Noncontrolling interests In June 2013, we entered into an agreement to purchase all of the noncontrolling interests of one of our foreign subsidiaries for future cash payments of approximately \$7.3 million. The payments are scheduled to occur in the fourth quarter of 2013 and

2014, and the second quarter of 2015. Effective with the date of entering into this agreement, we derecognized the previously recorded noncontrolling interests relating to this subsidiary and recorded a liability for the present value of future cash payments on our consolidated balance sheet. We recorded the excess of the present value of future cash payments over the book value of noncontrolling interests as a reduction to Ciber, Inc. shareholders equity.

(2) Discontinued Operations

2013 During the second quarter of 2013, we closed down our Russian operations and met the criteria for this business to be reported as a discontinued operation. Accordingly, the operations and cash flows were removed from our consolidated operating results.

2012 On March 9, 2012, we sold substantially all of the assets and certain liabilities of our Federal division. Also, on October 15, 2012, we sold certain contracts and related property and equipment and certain other assets associated with our information technology outsourcing practice. Effective with meeting the discontinued operations criteria, the operations and cash flows of these sold businesses were removed from our consolidated operating results.

The following table summarizes the operating results of the discontinued operations during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

| | Three Months I 2013 | Ended J | 2012 | thousand | Six Months (2013) | Ended J | une 30, 2012 |
|--|------------------------|---------|-------|----------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|
| Total revenues | \$ | \$ | 20,73 | | 5,424 | \$ | 60,817 |
| Operating expenses | 4,446 | | 20,60 |) | 9,779 | | 61,122 |
| Operating income (loss) from | | | | | | | |
| discontinued operations | (4,446) | | 12 | 5 | (4,355) | | (305) |
| Interest and other expense | | | | | | | 90 |
| Income (loss) from | | | | | | | |
| discontinued operations before | | | | | | | |
| income taxes | (4,446) | | 12 | 5 | (4,355) | | (395) |
| Income tax expense | 109 | | 42 | 3 | 230 | | 705 |
| Loss from discontinued | | | | | | | |
| operations, net of taxes | (4,555) | | (29) | 7) | (4,585) | | (1,100) |
| | | | | | | | |
| Gain on sale | | | | | 48 | | 920 |
| Income tax expense | | | | | | | 200 |
| Gain on sale, net of income | | | | | | | |
| taxes | | | | | 48 | | 720 |
| Total loss from discontinued operations, net of income | | | | | | | |
| taxes | \$ (4,555) | \$ | (29) | 7) \$ | (4,537) | \$ | (380) |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 9 | | | | |

(3) Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Our computation of earnings (loss) per share basic and diluted is as follows:

| Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| 2013 | ~ | 2012 | _ | 2013 | | 2012 |
| | (In | thousands, except | per sh | are amounts) | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2,935 | \$ | 422 | \$ | 4,384 | \$ | 1,708 |
| | | | | | | |
| 146 | | 206 | | | | 266 |
| | | | | | | |
| 2,789 | | 216 | | 4,384 | | 1,442 |
| | | | | | | |
| (4,555) | | (297) | | (4,537) | | (380) |
| (1,766) | \$ | (81) | \$ | (153) | \$ | 1,062 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 74,690 | | 73,013 | | 74,381 | | 72,874 |
| 722 | | 491 | | 630 | | 549 |
| 75,412 | | 73,504 | | 75,011 | | 73,423 |
| , | | , | | , | | , in the second second |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 0.04 | \$ | | \$ | 0.06 | \$ | 0.02 |
| (0.06) | | | | (0.06) | | (0.01) |
| (0100) | | | | (0100) | | (0102) |
| (0.02) | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | 0.01 |
| (0.02) | Ÿ | | Ψ | | Ÿ | 0.01 |
| | | | | | | |
| 4 855 | | 8 694 | | 5 232 | | 8,274 |
| | 2,935 146 2,789 (4,555) (1,766) 74,690 722 75,412 | 2013 (In 2,935 \$ 146 2,789 (4,555) (1,766) \$ 74,690 722 75,412 0.04 \$ (0.06) (0.02) \$ | 2013 2012 (In thousands, except 2,935 \$ 422 146 206 2,789 216 (4,555) (297) (1,766) \$ (81) 74,690 73,013 722 491 75,412 73,504 0.04 \$ (0.06) (0.02) \$ | 2013 2012 (In thousands, except per shade) 2,935 \$ 422 \$ 146 206 2,789 216 (4,555) (297) (1,766) \$ (81) \$ 74,690 73,013 722 491 75,412 73,504 0.04 \$ \$ (0.06) (0.02) \$ \$ | 2013 2012 (In thousands, except per share amounts) 2,935 \$ 422 \$ 4,384 146 206 2,789 216 4,384 (4,555) (297) (4,537) (1,766) \$ (81) \$ (153) 74,690 73,013 74,381 722 491 630 75,412 73,504 75,011 0.04 \$ 0.06 (0.06) \$ 0.06 (0.02) \$ | 2013 2012 (In thousands, except per share amounts) 2,935 \$ 422 \$ 4,384 \$ 146 206 2,789 216 4,384 (4,555) (297) (4,537) (1,766) \$ (81) \$ (153) \$ 74,690 73,013 74,381 722 491 630 75,412 73,504 75,011 0.04 \$ \$ 0.06 \$ (0.06) (0.06) (0.02) \$ \$ \$ |

Dilutive securities, including stock options and restricted stock units, are excluded from the diluted weighted average shares outstanding computation in periods in which they have an anti-dilutive effect, such as when we report a net loss attributable to Ciber, Inc. from continuing operations, or when stock options have an exercise price that is greater than the average market price of Ciber common stock during the period.

(4) Goodwill

We perform our annual impairment analysis of goodwill as of June 30 each year or more often if there are indicators of impairment present. We test each of our reporting units for goodwill impairment. Our reporting units are the same as our operating divisions and reportable segments. The goodwill impairment test requires a two-step process. The first step consists of comparing the estimated fair value of each reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, then it is not considered impaired and no further analysis is required. If step one indicates that the estimated fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value, then impairment potentially exists and the second step is performed to measure the amount of goodwill impairment. Goodwill impairment exists when the estimated implied fair value of a reporting unit s goodwill is less than its carrying value.

We compared the carrying values of our International and North America reporting units to their estimated fair values at June 30, 2013. We estimated the fair value of each reporting unit based on a weighting of both the income approach and the market approach. The discounted cash flows for each reporting unit serve as the primary basis for the income approach, and were based on discrete financial forecasts developed by management. Cash flows beyond the discrete forecast period of five years were estimated using the perpetuity growth method calculation. The annual average revenue growth rates forecasted for our reporting units for the first five years of our projections were approximately 4%. We have projected a minor amount of operating profit margin improvement based on expected margin benefits from certain internal initiatives. The terminal value was calculated assuming projected growth rates of 3% after five years, which reflects our estimate of minimum long-term growth in IT spending. The income approach valuations also included each reporting unit s estimated weighted average cost of capital, which were 13.0% and 14.5% for International and North America, respectively. The market approach applied pricing multiples derived from publicly-traded companies that are comparable to the respective reporting units to determine their values. For our International and North America reporting units, we used enterprise value/revenue multiples of 0.3 and 0.35, respectively, and enterprise value/EBITDA multiples of 5.5 and 5, respectively, in order to value each of our reporting units

Table of Contents

under the market approach. In addition, the fair value under the market approach included a control premium of 35%. The control premium was determined based on a review of comparative market transactions. Publicly-available information regarding our market capitalization was also considered in assessing the reasonableness of the cumulative fair values of our reporting units.

As a result of the first step of our goodwill impairment test as of June 30, 2013, we estimated that the fair values for our International and North America reporting units exceeded their carrying amounts by 16% and 19%, respectively, thus no impairment was indicated. We have updated our cash flow forecasts and our other assumptions used to calculate the estimated fair value of our reporting units to account for our beliefs and expectations of the current business environment. While we believe our estimates are appropriate based on our view of current business trends, no assurance can be provided that impairment charges will not be required in the future.

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill during the six months ended June 30, 2013, were as follows:

| | Int | ernational | rth America thousands) | Total |
|---|-----|------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2013 | \$ | 142,918 | \$ 133,681 | \$ 276,599 |
| Effect of foreign exchange rate changes | | (4,041) | | (4,041) |
| Balance at June 30, 2013 | \$ | 138,877 | \$ 133,681 | \$ 272,558 |

(5) Borrowings

On May 7, 2012, we entered into a Credit Agreement (the Credit Agreement) with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. The Credit Agreement initially provided for (1) an asset-based revolving line of credit of up to \$60 million (the ABL Facility), with the amount available for borrowing at any time under such line of credit determined according to a borrowing base valuation of eligible account receivables (the borrowing base was \$55.8 million at June 30, 2013), and (2) a \$7.5 million term loan (the Term Loan). On March 29, 2013, we used funds available under the ABL Facility to pay down the Term Loan in full and therefore, as of June 30, 2013, only the ABL Facility was outstanding. Because the Term Loan was paid off, we are no longer required to comply with any specific financial covenants. The ABL Facility provides for borrowings in the United States, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom and Germany and matures on May 7, 2017. Under the ABL Facility, Wells Fargo will have dominion over the cash receipts related to any U.K., Dutch and German borrowings. At June 30, 2013, we had \$3.1 million of foreign borrowings that were subject to the bank s dominion and are classified as a current liability on our balance sheet. As of June 30, 2013, we had a total of \$28.8 million outstanding under the ABL Facility.

(6) Income Taxes

Current period U.S. and foreign income (loss) before income taxes as well as income tax expense were as follows:

| Three Mo | onths Ended | Six Month: | s Ended |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------|
| Jur | ne 30, | June : | 30, |
| 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |

(In thousands) **Income (loss) from continuing operations** before income taxes: \$ \$ \$ \$ (7,356)U.S. 1,888 (3,913)1,995 Foreign 2,972 7,051 6,973 15,484 Total \$ 4,860 \$ 3,138 \$ 8,968 \$ 8,128 Income tax expense: \$ 1,190 \$ 1,399 \$ 2,380 \$ 2,787 U.S. Foreign 2,204 3,633 735 1,317 \$ 1,925 Total \$ 4,584 6,420 2,716 \$ \$

Due to our history of domestic losses, in 2011 we recorded a full valuation allowance for all net deferred tax assets, including our net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. As a result, we cannot record any tax benefits for additional U.S. incurred losses and any U.S. income is offset by a reduction in valuation allowance. Irrespective of our income or loss levels, we continue to record U.S. deferred tax expense related to goodwill amortization.

The effective rate on our foreign tax expense varies with the mix of income and losses across multiple tax jurisdictions with most statutory tax rates varying from 23% to 33%. The reduction of foreign pre-tax income from continuing operations from the second quarter of 2012 to 2013 is related to an overall decrease in profitability of the business, including the impact of restructuring costs, and the implementation of new transfer pricing practices. The foreign effective tax rate increase through the second quarter of 2013 is a result of the mix of income and losses across jurisdictions and increased tax reserves for certain new tax exposure items.

(7) Restructuring Charges

On November 5, 2012, we approved a company restructuring plan (the 2012 Plan). The restructuring activities commenced in the fourth quarter of 2012 and relate primarily to the consolidation of our real estate footprint, as well as organizational changes designed to simplify business processes, move decision-making closer to the marketplace, and create operating efficiencies. We currently estimate the total amount of the restructuring charges associated with the 2012 Plan to be approximately \$13 million, of which approximately \$1 million will be non-cash charges related to stock compensation and fixed-asset write-downs related to facility closures. The total estimated restructuring expenses for the 2012 Plan include approximately \$7 million (all of which has been incurred to date) related to personnel severance and related benefits primarily in our International segment, and approximately \$6 million (of which approximately \$2 million has been incurred to date) related to the closure of 17 offices and the consolidation of those locations into other existing Ciber locations, mostly in North America. The 2012 Plan activities began in the fourth quarter of 2012, and we expect all restructuring activities associated with this plan to be completed by the end of 2013.

The changes in our restructuring liabilities, which are recorded in other accrued expenses, during the six months ended June 30, 2013 are as follows:

| | Sever | nployee cance and mination | ce Closures chousands) | Total |
|--|-------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| Restructuring liability, as of January 1, 2013 | \$ | 3,556 | \$ 1,532 | \$ 5,088 |
| Restructuring charges | | 411 | 542 | 953 |
| Non-cash items | | | (117) | (117) |
| Cash paid | | (3,707) | (974) | (4,681) |
| Foreign exchange rate changes | | (80) | | (80) |
| Restructuring liability, as of June 30, 2013 | \$ | 180 | \$ 983 | \$ 1.163 |

Restructuring charges by segment are as follows:

| | Ended, | Months June 30, 013 | ix Months ded June 30, 2013 (In the | Pla ousands) | n to Date | Total Anticipated Charges |
|---------------|--------|---------------------------|--|-----------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| North America | \$ | 39 | \$ (104) | | 1,360 | \$ 1,796 |
| International | | 504 | 930 | | 6,704 | 7,157 |
| Corporate (1) | | 61 | 127 | | 870 | 3,547 |
| Total | \$ | 604 | \$ 953 | \$ | 8,934 | \$ 12,500 |

(1) 2012 corporate restructuring charges consist of share-based compensation expenses associated with severance for employees in our International division. Share-based compensation is not charged to operating divisions, but rather is recorded as part of our corporate expenses. 2013 corporate restructuring charges include costs for administrative facility consolidation.

12

(8) Segment Information

The following presents financial information about our reportable segments:

| | | Three Months I | Ended , | , | | Six Months Er | ided Ju | - / | |
|--|----|----------------|---------|----------|--------|---------------|---------|----------|--|
| | | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| | | | | (In thou | sands) | | | | |
| Revenues: | _ | | _ | | _ | | _ | | |
| International | \$ | 113,935 | \$ | 105,933 | \$ | 226,610 | \$ | 219,513 | |
| North America | | 106,759 | | 110,514 | | 213,928 | | 215,973 | |
| Other | | 895 | | 736 | | 1,742 | | 1,507 | |
| Inter-segment | | (1,194) | | (919) | | (2,344) | | (2,149) | |
| Total revenues | \$ | 220,395 | \$ | 216,264 | \$ | 439,936 | \$ | 434,844 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating income from continuing operations: | | | | | | | | | |
| International | \$ | 6,000 | \$ | 5,623 | \$ | 10,951 | \$ | 12,908 | |
| North America | | 8,502 | | 7,608 | | 16,598 | | 14,618 | |
| Other | | 82 | | 80 | | 118 | | 130 | |
| Corporate expenses | | (8,945) | | (8,311) | | (16,893) | | (14,965) | |
| Unallocated results of discontinued operations | | | | (189) | | | | (622) | |
| Earnings before interest, taxes, amortization, | | | | | | | | | |
| and restructuring | | 5,639 | | 4,811 | | 10,774 | | 12,069 | |
| Amortization of intangible assets | | | | (161) | | | | (325) | |
| Restructuring charges | | (604) | | | | (953) | | | |
| Total operating income from continuing | | | | | | | | | |
| operations | \$ | 5,035 | \$ | 4,650 | \$ | 9,821 | \$ | 11,744 | |

(9) Contingencies

We are subject to various claims and litigation that are in the ordinary course of business. The litigation process is inherently uncertain. Therefore, the outcome of such matters is not predictable.

We are engaged in legal proceedings in Germany in connection with our acquisition of a controlling interest in Novasoft AG (now known as Ciber AG) in 2004. In August 2006, we completed a buy-out of the remaining minority shareholders of Novasoft. Certain of those former minority shareholders challenged the adequacy of the buy-out consideration by initiating a review by the district court in Mannheim, Germany. The court-appointed independent experts have evaluated the consideration and claims of the minority shareholders. In June 2013, the district court rendered its decision to increase the consideration by an aggregate of 525 thousand. We expect the minority shareholders to appeal. If the appellate court upholds an award of additional consideration, such consideration will increase the goodwill associated with the acquisition and we will be liable for that additional consideration as well as the costs associated with these proceedings. We are unable to predict the outcome of this matter.

CamSoft, Inc., a Louisiana corporation, claims that it had a role in an alleged joint venture that developed a wireless network for video camera surveillance systems to be deployed to municipal governments. The lawsuit, CamSoft Data Systems, Inc. v. Southern Electronics, et al., was

filed initially in October 2009 in Louisiana state court against numerous defendants, including Ciber. The lawsuit was subsequently removed to federal court in the Middle District of Louisiana and the complaint was amended to include additional defendants and causes of action including antitrust claims, civil RICO claims, unfair trade practices, trade secret, fraud, unjust enrichment, and conspiracy claims. The suit includes many of the same parties involved in related litigation in the state court in New Orleans which was concluded in 2009 when Ciber settled the New Orleans suit with the plaintiffs, Active Solutions and Southern Electronics, who are now co-defendants in the current lawsuit and CamSoft s former alleged joint venturers. Ciber is vigorously defending the allegations. The matter is ongoing in the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals. We are unable to predict the outcome of this litigation.

On October 28, 2011, a putative securities class action lawsuit, Weston v. Ciber, Inc. et al., was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado against Ciber, its current Chief Executive Officer David C. Peterschmidt, current

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) Claude J. Pumilia and former CFO Peter H. Cheesbrough (the Class Action). The Class Action purports to have been filed on behalf of all holders of Ciber common stock between December 15, 2010, and August 3, 2011, by alleged stockholder and plaintiff, Burt Weston. The Class Action generally alleges that defendants Ciber, Mr. Peterschmidt, Mr. Pumilia and Mr. Cheesbrough (the Class Action Defendants) violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) and Rule 10b-5 thereunder. Specifically, the complaint alleges that the Class Action Defendants disseminated or approved alleged false statements concerning the Company s outlook and forecast for fiscal year 2011 in: (1) the Company s 8-K filed with the SEC and press conference held with investors on December 15, 2010; (2) the Company s press release and earnings conference call on February 22, 2011; (3) the Company s 10-K for fiscal year 2010 filed with the SEC on February 25, 2011; and (4) the Company s press release, earnings conference call, and Form 10-Q for first quarter 2011 filed with the SEC on May 3, 2011. The complaint also generally alleges that the Class Action Defendants violated Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. Specifically, the complaint alleges that the Class Action Defendants acted as controlling persons of Ciber within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act by reason of their positions with the Company. The Class Action seeks, among other things: (1) an order from the Court declaring the complaint to be a proper class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and certifying plaintiff as a representative of the purported class; (2) awarding plaintiff and the members of the class damages, including interest; (3) awarding plaintiff reasonable costs and attorneys fees; and (4) awarding such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper. The Court appointed Mr. Weston and City of Roseville Employees Retirement System as lead plaintiffs and the law firms of Robbins, Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP and Robbins Umeda LLP as lead plaintiffs counsel on January 31, 2012. Lead plaintiffs filed an amended complaint in early April 2012. The Class Action Defendants have filed a motion to dismiss, which is currently pending. The Company believes that the Class Action is without merit and intends to defend against it vigorously. We are unable to predict the outcome of this litigation.

On February 7, 2012, a purported verified shareholder derivative lawsuit, Seni v. Peterschmidt, et al., was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado (the Derivative Action) against Messrs. Peterschmidt, Pumilia, and Cheesbrough, and Ciber s then-current board of directors: Messrs. Bobby G. Stevenson, Jean-Francois Heitz, Paul A. Jacobs, Stephen S. Kurtz, Kurt J. Lauk, Archibald J. McGill, and James C. Spira (Individual Defendants). Ciber is named as a nominal defendant (collectively, with the Individual Defendants, the Derivative Defendants). The Derivative Action is largely based on the same alleged facts as the Class Action. The complaint in the Derivative Action generally alleges that the Individual Defendants breached their fiduciary duties of good faith, fair dealing, loyalty, due care, reasonable inquiry, oversight, and supervision by approving the issuance of allegedly false statements that misrepresented material information about the finances and operations of the Company. The Derivative Complaint also alleges that the Individual Defendants were unjustly enriched as a result of the compensation they received while breaching their fiduciary duties to the Company. The complaint seeks, among other things: (1) damages for losses sustained by the Company as a result of the Individual Defendants breaches; (2) directives to reform and improve the company s governance; (3) restitution to the Company from the Individual Defendants; (4) an award to plaintiff of reasonable costs and attorneys fees; and (5) such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper. On April 30, 2012, the Court granted Ciber s Motion to Stay Discovery and Vacate the Scheduling Conference and Related Deadlines. The Defendants filed a motion to dismiss, which was granted in March 2013. Pursuant to the dismissal order, Plaintiffs were allowed leave to amend the complaint, the defendants then field a new motion to dismiss, and the matter is ongoing. The Company believes this litigation is without merit and intends to defend against it vigorously. We are unable to predict the outcome of this litigation.

(10) Subsequent Events

On July 30, 2013, we approved a restructuring plan primarily focused on our International operations (the 2013 Plan). The goal of the 2013 Plan is to improve utilization, strategically engage our lower-cost off-shore and near-shore resources, and centralize management of administrative functions in key markets to leverage shared services functions. We expect the restructuring to impact approximately 190 employees. The 2013 Plan will commence in the third quarter of 2013 and is expected to be completed in the first half of 2014. We estimate the total amount of the restructuring charges for the 2013 Plan will be approximately \$13 million, substantially all of which will be cash. The charges associated with the 2013 Plan are substantially all related to personnel severance and related employee benefit costs.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and our Audited Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, and with the information under the heading Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. References to we, our, us, the Company, or Ciber in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q refer to Ciber, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

We use the phrase in local currency to indicate that we are comparing certain financial results after removing the impact of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, thereby allowing for the comparison of business performance between periods. Financial results in local currency are calculated by restating current period activity into U.S. dollars using the comparable prior year period s foreign currency exchange rates. This approach is used for all results where the functional currency is not the U.S. dollar.

Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 relating to our operations, results of operations and other matters that are based on our current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections. Words, such as anticipate, believe, could, expect, estimate, intend. may, opportunity, potential. project, similar expressions, are intended to identify these forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Forward-looking statements are based on assumptions as to future events that may not prove to be accurate. Risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by our forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

- Our results of operations may be adversely affected if we are unable to execute on the key elements of our strategic plan.
- We may experience declines in revenue and profitability if we do not accurately estimate the cost of engagements conducted on a fixed-price basis.
- A data security or privacy breach could adversely affect our business.
- Our business could be adversely affected if our clients are not satisfied with our services, and we could face damage to our professional reputation and/or legal liability.

| Our future success depends on our ability to continue to retain and attract qualified sales, delivery and technical employees. |
|--|
| • Our results of operations can be adversely affected by economic conditions and the impacts of economic conditions on our clients operations and technology spending. |
| • If we are unable to collect our receivables, our results of operations and cash flows could be adversely affected. |
| • Our Credit Agreement, an asset-based loan facility, limits our operational and financial flexibility. |
| • Our revenues, operating results and profitability will vary from quarter to quarter, which may result in increased volatility in the price of our stock. |
| • Termination of a contract by a significant client and/or cancellation with short notice could adversely affect our results of operations. |
| • Our international operations are susceptible to different financial and operational risks than our domestic operations. |
| • The IT services industry, in the U.S. and internationally, is highly competitive, with increased focus on offshore capability and we may not be able to compete effectively. |
| • Our presence in India may expose us to operational risks due to regulatory, economic, political, and other uncertainties. |
| • If we are not able to anticipate and keep pace with rapid changes in technology, our business will be negatively affected. |
| • We could incur additional losses due to further impairment in the carrying value of our goodwill. |
| 15 |

Table of Contents

- We depend on contracts with various public sector agencies for a significant portion of our revenue and, if the spending policies or budget priorities of these agencies change, we could lose revenue.
- Unfavorable government audits could require us to adjust previously reported operating results, to forego anticipated revenue and subject us to penalties and sanctions.
- Our services or solutions could infringe upon the intellectual property rights of others, or we might lose our ability to utilize rights we claim in intellectual property or the intellectual property of others.
- Possible future consideration on the sale of certain contracts and assets associated with our information technology outsourcing practice may not be realized.
- We have adopted anti-takeover defenses that could make it difficult for another company to acquire control of Ciber or limit the price investors might be willing to pay for our stock, thus affecting the market price of our securities.

For a more detailed discussion of these factors, see the information under the Risk Factors heading in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, and other documents filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements in light of new information or future events. Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

Business Overview

Ciber is a leading global information technology (IT) company with nearly 40 years of proven IT experience, world-class credentials and a wide range of technology expertise. With 65 offices worldwide operating on four continents and over 60 supplier partners, Ciber has the infrastructure and expertise to deliver IT services to almost any organization. The three pillars of our business include Application Development and Maintenance (ADM), Ciber Managed Services (CMS), and Independent Software Vendor relationships (ISVs). At Ciber, we take a client-focused, personalized service approach that includes the building of long term relationships, creation of custom-tailored IT solutions, and the implementation of business strategies to reflect anticipated trends. Driven by results, we are committed to delivering quality solutions precisely configured to our clients needs and achieving high client satisfaction. The consistent goal is sustainable business value delivered on time and on budget.

We operate our business by geography. In the second quarter of 2013, we closed down our Russian operations and met the criteria for this business to be reported as discontinued operations. On March 9, 2012, we sold our Federal division and on October 15, 2012, we sold our information technology outsourcing practice, both of which, are also reported as discontinued operations. As a result of these discontinued operations, our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows have been reclassified for all prior periods presented in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to conform to the current presentation. Additionally, discussions throughout this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q exclude

the discontinued operations, unless otherwise noted.

Our reportable operating segments as of June 30, 2013, consisted of International and North America. Our International segment transacts business in the local currencies of the countries in which they operate. In recent years, approximately 60% to 70% of our International segment s revenue has been denominated in Euros, 10% to 15% has been denominated in Great Britain Pounds (GBP) and the balance has come from a number of other European currencies. Changes in the exchange rates between these foreign currencies and the U.S. dollar affect the reported amounts of our assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. For financial reporting purposes, the assets and liabilities of our foreign operations are translated into U.S. dollars at current exchange rates at period end and revenues and expenses are translated at average exchange rates for the period.

The market demand for Ciber's services is heavily dependent on IT spending by Fortune 500 and middle-market corporations, organizations and government entities in the markets and regions that we serve. In recent years, economic recession and volatile economic conditions have negatively impacted many of our existing and prospective clients and caused fluctuations in their IT spending behaviors. Over the last couple of years, economic conditions have had a greater negative impact on clients in a number of our International segment's territories. The pace of technological advancement, as well as changes in business requirements and practices of our clients, all have a significant impact on the demand for the services that we provide.

Our results of operations are affected by economic conditions, including macroeconomic conditions, credit market conditions and levels of business confidence. Revenue is driven by our ability to secure new contracts and deliver solutions and services that add value relevant to our clients—current needs and challenges. In recent quarters and ongoing for the foreseeable future, we have been affected by significant efforts by our clients (both current and potential) to implement cost-

savings initiatives. These initiatives have included going to third-party vendor management systems, taking their business to larger, pure-play offshore vendors and vendor consolidation. In some cases, these initiatives have benefited Ciber, but in others we have lost our revenue stream entirely or seen a decline in our level of revenues with particular clients. The pricing environment continues to be extremely competitive. A number of our competitors are structuring more offshore services into their bids, thereby lowering their pricing to help clients reduce costs, and making it more difficult for us to compete on pricing. We also have global delivery options to offer to our current and potential clients as possible cost savings, and we are expanding our offshore capabilities and increasing the usage of these resources; however, they are on a smaller scale than the offshore offerings of some of our competitors. Another issue that has had and continues to have an impact on our revenues and profitability involves a much longer sales cycle than we have seen historically, which has been driven by a much slower decision-making process in starting new projects in a variety of industries that we currently serve, or in which we are currently bidding for work. The longer sales cycle increases the cost of our sales efforts and pushes potential revenues and profitability further into the future. Some clients remain cautious, seeking flexibility by shifting to a more phased approach to contracting for work. We have standards governing the quality of engagements that we will accept with the goal of growing revenue, increasing margins, improving collectability of receivables and delivering sustained, predictable performance. However, there can be no assurances that we will be successful with such actions, and in certain cases, these actions may slow our revenue growth. Economic conditions and other factors continue to impact the business operations of some of our clients, their ability to continue to use our services and their financial ability to pay for our services in full. The impact of project cancellations cannot be accurately predicted and bad debt expense may differ significantly from our estimates, and any such events may negatively impact our results of operations.

Discontinued Operations

Effective June 30, 2013, we closed down our Russian operations and met the criteria for this business to be reported as discontinued operations. During the second quarter of 2013, a significant number of our Russian employees chose to leave Ciber and work for a competitor. After evaluating the cost and time required to hire replacement employees, and the risks of continuing our operations in Russia, we determined to exit this market. Accordingly, the operations and cash flows of these operations were removed from our consolidated operating results.

On March 9, 2012, we sold substantially all of the assets and certain liabilities of our Federal division and on October 15, 2012, we sold certain contracts and related property and equipment and certain other assets associated with our information technology outsourcing practice. Upon meeting the discontinued operations criteria, the operations and cash flows of these sold businesses were removed from our consolidated operating results.

The following table summarizes the operating results of the discontinued operations during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

| | Three Months | Ended J | June 30, | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|--|--------------|---------|------------|---------------------------|---------|----|--------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2013 | | 2012 |
| | | | (In thousa | nds) | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ | \$ | 20,735 | \$ | 5,424 | \$ | 60,817 |
| Operating expenses | 4,446 | | 20,609 | | 9,779 | | 61,122 |
| Operating income (loss) from discontinued | | | | | | | |
| operations | (4,446) | | 126 | | (4,355) | | (305) |
| Interest and other expense | | | | | | | 90 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | | | | | | | |
| before income taxes | (4,446) | | 126 | | (4,355) | | (395) |
| Income tax expense | 109 | | 423 | | 230 | | 705 |

| Loss from discontinued operations, net of | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|----|----|------|------------|-----|-------------|
| taxes | (4,555) | | (2 | 297) | (4,5 | 85) | (1,100) |
| | | | | | | | |
| Gain on sale | | | | | | 48 | 920 |
| Income tax expense | | | | | | | 200 |
| Gain on sale, net of income taxes | | | | | | 48 | 720 |
| Total loss from discontinued operations, net | | | | | | | |
| of income taxes | \$ (4,555) | \$ | (2 | 297) | \$ (4,5 | 37) | \$ (380) |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | 17 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Table of Contents

Restructuring

On July 30, 2013, we approved a restructuring plan primarily focused on our International operations (the 2013 Plan). The goal of the 2013 Plan is to improve utilization, strategically engage our lower-cost off-shore and near-shore resources, and centralize management of administrative functions in key markets to leverage shared services functions. We expect the restructuring to impact approximately 190 employees. The 2013 Plan will commence in the third quarter of 2013 and is expected to be completed in the first half of 2014. We estimate the total amount of the restructuring charges for the 2013 Plan will be approximately \$13 million, substantially all of which will be cash. The charges associated with the 2013 Plan are substantially all related to personnel severance and related employee benefit costs. We expect the 2013 Plan will result in pre-tax net savings of approximately \$12 million in 2014 and each year thereafter.

On November 5, 2012, we approved a company restructuring plan (the 2012 Plan). The restructuring activities commenced in the fourth quarter of 2012 and relate primarily to the consolidation of our real estate footprint, as well as organizational changes designed to simplify business processes, move decision-making closer to the marketplace, and create operating efficiencies. We currently estimate the total amount of the restructuring charges associated with the 2012 Plan to be approximately \$13 million, of which approximately \$1 million will be non-cash charges related to stock compensation and fixed-asset write-downs related to facility closures. The total estimated restructuring expenses associated with the 2012 Plan include approximately \$7 million (all of which has been incurred to date) related to personnel severance and related benefits primarily in our International segment, and approximately \$6 million (of which approximately \$2 million has been incurred to date) related to the closure of 17 offices and the consolidation of those locations into other existing Ciber locations, mostly in North America. The 2012 Plan activities began in the fourth quarter of 2012, and we expect all restructuring activities to be completed by the end of 2013. Restructuring liabilities for office closures are recorded at estimated fair value, including an estimate of sublease income which is subject to adjustment in future periods if assumptions change. Pre-tax savings of approximately \$7 million in 2013 and \$11 million in 2014 and each year thereafter are expected from the 2012 Plan.

Results of Operations Comparison of the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

The following table and related discussion provide information about our consolidated financial results for the periods presented. At the end of 2011, our Federal division was classified as a discontinued operation and in the third quarter of 2012, a portion of our information technology outsourcing practice was classified as a discontinued operation. In the current quarter the operations of our Russian business met the criteria to be classified as a discontinued operation. As such, all of these businesses have been excluded from our results from our continuing operations in the tables and related discussion below, unless otherwise noted.

The following table sets forth certain Consolidated Statement of Operations data in dollars and expressed as a percentage of revenue:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|--------|--|--|
| | | 2013 | | | 2012 | | | |
| | | | (Dollars in th | ousand | s) | | | |
| Consulting services | \$ | 208,782 | 94.7% | \$ | 204,784 | 94.7% | | |
| Other revenue | | 11,613 | 5.3 | | 11,480 | 5.3 | | |
| Total revenues | \$ | 220,395 | 100.0% | \$ | 216,264 | 100.0% | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Gross profit - consulting services | \$ | 50,342 | 24.1% | \$ | 53,118 | 25.9% | | |
| Gross profit - other revenue | | 5,696 | 49.0 | | 4,396 | 38.3 | | |
| Gross profit - total | | 56,038 | 25.4 | | 57,514 | 26.6 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| SG&A costs | | 50,399 | 22.9 | | 52,703 | 24.4 | | |
| Amortization of intangible assets | | | | | 161 | 0.1 | | |
| Restructuring charges | | 604 | 0.3 | | | | | |
| Operating income from continuing operations | | 5,035 | 2.3 | | 4,650 | 2.2 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Interest income | | 127 | 0.1 | | 223 | 0.1 | | |
| Interest expense | | (463) | (0.2) | | (2,238) | (1.0) | | |
| Other income, net | | 161 | 0.1 | | 503 | 0.2 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations before income | • | | | | | | | |
| taxes | | 4,860 | 2.2 | | 3,138 | 1.5 | | |
| Income tax expense | | 1,925 | 0.9 | | 2,716 | 1.3 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Net income from continuing operations | \$ | 2,935 | 1.3% | \$ | 422 | 0.2% | | |

Revenue by segment from continuing operations was as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------|----|---------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | % change | | | | |
| | (In thousands) | | | | | | | |
| International | \$ 113,935 | \$ | 105,933 | 7.6% | | | | |
| North America | 106,759 | | 110,514 | (3.4) | | | | |
| Other | 895 | | 736 | n/m | | | | |
| Inter-segment | (1,194) | | (919) | n/m | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 220,395 | \$ | 216,264 | 1.9% | | | | |

| n/m = not | meaningful |
|-----------|------------|

Revenues. For the three months ended June 30, 2013, total revenues increased \$4.1 million, or 1.9% in U.S. dollars. On a local currency basis, revenues increased \$2.8 million, or 1.3%, as compared with the three months ended June 30, 2012, due to an improvement in our International segment which was slightly offset by a decline in our North America segment.

• International revenues increased \$8.0 million, or 7.6% overall, and 6.3% in local currency. The continued softness of the European economy caused revenue results to vary considerably by territory. Growth was led by our operations in

19

Norway and the United Kingdom, which experienced growth between the comparable periods due to additional work at existing clients, as well as some new clients. A large portion of this growth came from continued strong performance in our CMS business. We also saw growth in some of our ISVs, specifically SAP. This growth was slightly offset by declines in the Netherlands, which continues to be challenged by the local economy as well as client-specific issues. While the revenues of our European operations grew overall, certain countries, including the Netherlands, continue to be impacted by clients focusing on cost-cutting measures such as vendor consolidation and pricing pressure on service providers.

• North America revenues decreased \$3.8 million, or 3.4% compared to the second quarter of 2012 as reductions in work levels at existing clients in our ADM practice significantly offset growth in other existing clients, as well as new clients, in our other practices. A portion of this decline was due to cost-cutting and vendor consolidation at larger clients. While revenues were down in our ADM practice, we did see growth in our Business Consulting practice as well as one of our ISVs, both of which benefited from several new clients in 2013. Some of this growth was attributable to our CMS practice, as well.

Gross Profit. Gross profit margin declined to 25.4% for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to 26.6% for the same period in 2012. Gross profit margin for our International segment declined mainly due to an increased use of subcontractors, higher consultant costs, and lower margin work at existing clients. North America gross margin also decreased compared to the second quarter of 2012 as improved utilization was offset by margin compression across the business.

Selling, general and administrative costs. Our SG&A costs decreased \$2.3 million, or 4% to \$50.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, from \$52.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. International SG&A costs were flat compared to the second quarter of 2012. North America SG&A costs were down due to reduced compensation costs and lower office rental expense, which was a result of the closure of offices under our company restructuring plan. See Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements for more information on our 2012 restructuring plan.

Operating income. Operating income increased to \$5.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to \$4.7 million for the same period of 2012. This increase was primarily a result of increased revenues in our International segment and a decrease in SG&A costs in our North America segment.

Operating income from continuing operations by segment was as follows:

| | Three Months 2013 | _ | une 30, 2012 | % change | 2013 % of revenue* | 2012 % of revenue* |
|---|-------------------|----|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| International | \$ 6,000 | \$ | 5,623 | 6.7% | 5.3% | 5.3% |
| North America | 8,502 | | 7,608 | 11.8 | 8.0 | 6.9 |
| Other | 82 | | 80 | n/m | 9.2 | 10.9 |
| Corporate expenses | (8,945) | | (8,311) | (7.6) | (4.1) | (3.8) |
| Unallocated results of discontinued operations | | | (189) | n/m | | (0.1) |
| Earnings before interest, taxes, amortization and | | | | | | |
| restructuring charges | 5,639 | | 4,811 | 17.2 | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | | | (161) | n/m | | (0.1) |

| Restructuring charges | (604) | | n/m | (0.3) | |
|--|-------------|-------------|------|-------|------|
| Total operating income from continuing | | | | | |
| operations | \$ 5,035 | \$ 4,650 | 8.3% | 2.3% | 2.2% |

n/m = not meaningful

• International operating income increased to \$6.0 million for the second quarter of 2013 compared to \$5.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. This increase is primarily due to revenue growth at existing and new clients, which was partially offset by an increased use of subcontractors, higher consultant costs and pricing pressures.

^{*}International, North America and Other calculated as a % of their respective revenue, all other items calculated as a % of total revenue. Column may not total due to rounding.

Table of Contents

- North America operating income increased \$0.9 million, or 11.8% compared to the second quarter of 2012. The increase was a result of reductions in SG&A expenses, specifically reduced compensation costs and restructuring-related reductions in office rental expenses.
- Corporate expenses increased \$0.6 million during the current three month period primarily due to an increase in stock compensation expense and legal fees.

Interest expense. Interest expense decreased \$1.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period of 2012 primarily due to a lower interest rate on our credit facility entered into in May 2012, a significant reduction in our average borrowings outstanding, and the write-off of \$1.1 million of capitalized debt fees in the second quarter of 2012.

Other income, net. Other income, net was \$0.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$0.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was due entirely to foreign exchange gains and losses.

Income taxes. Current period U.S. and foreign income (loss) before income taxes as well as income tax expense were as follows:

| | | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|----|-----------------------------|----|---------|--|
| | 20 | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| | | (In thousands) | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes: | | | | | |
| U.S. | \$ | 1,888 | \$ | (3,913) | |
| Foreign | | 2,972 | | 7,051 | |
| Total | \$ | 4,860 | \$ | 3,138 | |
| | | | | | |
| Income tax expense: | | | | | |
| U.S. | \$ | 1,190 | \$ | 1,399 | |
| Foreign | | 735 | | 1,317 | |
| Total | \$ | 1,925 | \$ | 2,716 | |

Due to our history of domestic losses, in 2011 we recorded a full valuation allowance for all net deferred tax assets, including our net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. As a result, we cannot record any tax benefits for additional U.S. incurred losses and any U.S. income is offset by a reduction in valuation allowance. Irrespective of our income or loss levels, we continue to record deferred U.S. tax expense related to goodwill amortization and we expect to record approximately \$5 million of related U.S. deferred tax expense in 2013.

The effective rate on our foreign tax expense varies with the mix of income and losses across multiple tax jurisdictions with most statutory tax rates varying from 23% to 33%. The reduction of foreign pre-tax income from continuing operations from the second quarter of 2012 to 2013 is related to an overall decrease in profitability of the business, including the impact of restructuring costs, and the implementation of new transfer pricing practices. The foreign effective tax rate increase through the second quarter of 2013 is a result of the mix of income and losses across jurisdictions and increased tax reserves for certain new tax exposure items.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

The following table and related discussion provide information about our consolidated financial results for the periods presented. At the end of 2011, our Federal division was classified as a discontinued operation and in the third quarter of 2012, a portion of our information technology outsourcing practice was classified as a discontinued operation. In the current quarter the operations of our Russian business met the criteria to be classified as a discontinued operation. As such, all of these businesses have been excluded from our results from our continuing operations in the tables and related discussion below, unless otherwise noted.

The following table sets forth certain Consolidated Statement of Operations data in dollars and expressed as a percentage of revenue:

| | | 2012 | Six Months End | ed Jun | | |
|---|----|---------|----------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| | | 2013 | (Dollars in th | ousand | 2012 Is) | |
| Consulting services | \$ | 417,826 | 95.0% | \$ | 412,847 | 94.9% |
| Other revenue | | 22,110 | 5.0 | | 21,997 | 5.1 |
| Total revenues | \$ | 439,936 | 100.0% | \$ | 434,844 | 100.0% |
| | | | | | | |
| Gross profit - consulting services | \$ | 101,812 | 24.4% | \$ | 104,535 | 25.3% |
| Gross profit - other revenue | | 9,452 | 42.7 | | 8,305 | 37.8 |
| Gross profit - total | | 111,264 | 25.3 | | 112,840 | 25.9 |
| | | | | | | |
| SG&A costs | | 100,490 | 22.8 | | 100,771 | 23.2 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | | | | | 325 | 0.1 |
| Restructuring charges | | 953 | 0.2 | | | |
| Operating income from continuing operations | | 9,821 | 2.2 | | 11,744 | 2.7 |
| | | | | | | |
| Interest income | | 492 | 0.1 | | 386 | 0.1 |
| Interest expense | | (1,520) | (0.3) | | (4,067) | (0.9) |
| Other income, net | | 175 | | | 65 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations before income | e | | | | | |
| taxes | | 8,968 | 2.0 | | 8,128 | 1.9 |
| Income tax expense | | 4,584 | 1.0 | | 6,420 | 1.5 |
| | | | | | | |
| Net income from continuing operations | \$ | 4,384 | 1.0% | \$ | 1,708 | 0.4% |

Revenue by segment from continuing operations was as follows:

| | Six Months E | nded June | 30, | |
|----------------|---------------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | % change |
| | (In tho | usands) | | |
| International | \$ 226,610 | \$ | 219,513 | 3.2% |
| North America | 213,928 | | 215,973 | (0.9) |
| Other | 1,742 | | 1,507 | n/m |
| Inter-segment | (2,344) | | (2,149) | n/m |
| Total revenues | \$ 439,936 | \$ | 434,844 | 1.2% |

| n/m = not | meaningful |
|-----------|------------|

Revenues. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, total revenues increased \$5.1 million, or 1.2% in U.S. dollars. On a local currency basis, revenues increased \$3.1 million, or 0.7%, as compared with the six months ended June 30, 2012, due to an improvement in our International segment which was slightly offset by declines in our North America segment.

• International revenues increased \$7.1 million, or 3.2%, and increased by 2.3% in local currency. The softer European economy caused revenue results to vary considerably by territory. Growth was led by the United Kingdom, Germany

Table of Contents

and Norway due to significant new clients in 2013, as well as some additional work at existing clients, specifically within our CMS practice. This growth was slightly offset by declines in revenue in the Netherlands, due to reduced spending on IT services in this country as well the loss of clients due to vendor consolidation. In addition to vendor consolidation, some of our European countries are still experiencing cost-cutting measures by clients such as offshoring and increased pricing pressure on service providers.

• North America revenues decreased \$2.0 million, or 0.9% compared to the first six months of 2012 as reductions in work levels at existing clients, especially in our ADM practice, more than offset growth in existing clients, as well as new clients. While our ADM practice struggled in the first half of 2013, we did see some growth in our Business Consulting practice and certain ISVs, both of which benefited from several new clients in 2013. Some of this growth was attributable to our CMS practice, as well.

Gross Profit. Gross profit margin declined slightly to 25.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to 25.9% for the same period in 2012. Gross profit margin for our International business declined as a result of increased use of subcontractors, increased consultant costs, and lower utilization. North America gross margin was lower than the first half of 2012 as improved utilization was offset by margin compression across the business.

Selling, general and administrative costs. Our SG&A costs decreased \$0.3 million, or 0.3% to \$100.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, from \$100.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. International SG&A costs increased compared to the first half of 2012 due to increases in labor costs and an increase in bad debt, which was associated with the current European economic climate. North America SG&A costs were down due to reduced compensation costs and lower office rental expense, which was a result of the closure of offices under our company restructuring plan. See Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements for more information on our restructuring plan. Our corporate SG&A costs increased mainly due to higher stock compensations cost compared with the first half of 2012.

Operating income. Operating income decreased to \$9.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to \$11.7 million for the same period of 2012. This decrease was primarily a result of lower gross margins and higher SG&A costs in our international segment as well as increased corporate expenses.

Operating income from continuing operations by segment was as follows:

| | Six Months E | nded Ju | ne 30, | % | 2013 % of | 2012 % of | |
|---|--------------|---------|----------|---------|--------------|--------------|--|
| | 2013 | 2012 | | change | revenue* | revenue* | |
| | (In thou | ısands) | | | | | |
| International | \$ 10,951 | \$ | 12,908 | (15.2)% | 4.8% | 5.9% | |
| North America | 16,598 | | 14,618 | 13.5 | 7.8 | 6.8 | |
| Other | 118 | | 130 | n/m | 6.8 | 8.6 | |
| Corporate expenses | (16,893) | | (14,965) | (12.9) | (3.8) | (3.4) | |
| Unallocated results of discontinued operations | | | (622) | n/m | | (0.1) | |
| Earnings before interest, taxes, amortization and | | | | | | | |
| restructuring charges | 10,774 | | 12,069 | (10.7) | 2.4 | 2.8 | |
| Amortization of intangible assets | | | (325) | n/m | | (0.1) | |
| Restructuring charges | (953) | | | n/m | (0.2) | | |
| Total operating income from continuing operations | \$ 9,821 | \$ | 11,744 | (16.4)% | 2.2% | 2.7% | |

| n/m = not meaningful |
|--|
| *International, North America and Other calculated as a % of their respective revenue, all other items calculated as a % of total revenue. Column may not total due to rounding. |
| • International operating income declined to \$11.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$12.9 million for the comparable period in 2012. This decrease is primarily due to decreased gross margin, mainly due to increased consultant costs and the use of subcontractors, as well as an increase in SG&A costs as a result of increased labor costs and bad debt expense. |
| • North America operating income increased \$2.0 million, or 13.5% compared to the first half of 2012. The increase was mainly due to a reduction in SG&A expenses, specifically reduced compensation costs and restructuring-related |
| 23 |

Table of Contents

reductions in office rental expenses. However, these improvements were somewhat offset by lower revenues at existing clients and increased pricing pressure.

• Corporate expenses increased \$1.9 million during the current six month period primarily due to an increase in stock compensation expense and management salaries, as a result of additions to the corporate management structure in the later half of 2012.

Interest expense. Interest expense decreased \$2.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period of 2012 primarily due to a lower interest rate on our credit facility entered into in May 2012, a significant reduction in our average borrowings outstanding, and the write-off of \$1.1 million of capitalized debt fees that were written off in the second quarter of 2012. These decreases were partially offset by \$0.2 million of accelerated debt fee amortization associated with our Term Loan which was repaid on March 29, 2013.

Other income, net. Other income, net was \$175 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$65 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was due entirely to foreign exchange gains and losses.

Income taxes. Current period U.S. and foreign income (loss) before income taxes as well as income tax expense were as follows:

| | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|----|---------------------------|----|---------|--|
| | 2 | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| | | (In thousands) | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes: | | | | | |
| U.S. | \$ | 1,995 | \$ | (7,356) | |
| Foreign | | 6,973 | | 15,484 | |
| Total | \$ | 8,968 | \$ | 8,128 | |
| | | | | | |
| Income tax expense: | | | | | |
| U.S. | \$ | 2,380 | \$ | 2,787 | |
| Foreign | | 2,204 | | 3,633 | |
| Total | \$ | 4,584 | \$ | 6,420 | |

Due to our history of domestic losses, in 2011 we recorded a full valuation allowance for all net deferred tax assets, including our net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. As a result, we cannot record any tax benefits for additional U.S. incurred losses and any U.S. income is offset by a reduction in valuation allowance. Irrespective of our income or loss levels, we continue to record deferred U.S. tax expense related to goodwill amortization and we expect to record approximately \$5 million of related U.S. deferred tax expense in 2013.

The effective rate on our foreign tax expense varies with the mix of income and losses across multiple tax jurisdictions with most statutory tax rates varying from 23% to 33%. The reduction of foreign pre-tax income from continuing operations from the second quarter of 2012 to 2013 is related to an overall decrease in profitability of the business, including the impact of restructuring costs, and the implementation of new transfer pricing practices. The foreign effective tax rate increase through the second quarter of 2013 is a result of the mix of income and losses across jurisdictions and increased tax reserves for certain new tax exposure items.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At June 30, 2013, we had an increase in working capital to \$116.8 million from \$105.5 million at December 31, 2012. Our current ratio was 1.8:1 at June 30, 2013, compared to 1.6:1 at December 31, 2012. Our primary sources of liquidity are cash flows from operations, available cash reserves, and debt capacity under our credit agreement. In addition, we could seek to raise additional funds through public or private debt or equity financings. We believe that our cash and cash equivalents, our expected operating cash flow and our available credit agreement will be sufficient to finance our working capital needs through at least the next year.

Our balance of cash and cash equivalents was \$33.6 million at June 30, 2013, compared to \$58.8 million at December 31, 2012. Our domestic cash balances are generally used to reduce our outstanding borrowings. Typically, most of our cash balance is maintained by our foreign subsidiaries. We have not provided for any additional U.S. income taxes on the undistributed earnings of

Table of Contents

our foreign subsidiaries, as we currently do not have plans to repatriate cash in the future and we consider these to be permanently reinvested in the operations of such subsidiaries. If future events, including material changes in estimates of cash, working capital and long-term investment requirements, necessitate that the undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries be distributed, an additional provision for income taxes may apply, which could materially affect our future tax expense.

As previously mentioned, we approved a company restructuring plan on November 5, 2012. Related to the execution of the 2012 Plan, we had cash outlays of approximately \$5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013. We estimate total cash outlays associated with the 2012 plan to be \$11 million, \$7 million of which has been incurred to date.

On July 30, 2013 we approved a second restructuring plan. We expect to have cash outlays of \$11 million in the next twelve months related to the 2013 Plan.

| | Six Months Ended June 30 | | | e 30, |
|--|--------------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| | | 2013 | | 2012 |
| | | (In thou | sands) | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) continuing operations: | | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ | (17,163) | \$ | (29,972) |
| Investing activities | | (1,286) | | (2,661) |
| Financing activities | | 481 | | (33,074) |
| Net cash used in continuing operations | | (17,968) | | (65,707) |
| | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Operating activities | | (3,367) | | (1,777) |
| Investing activities | | (313) | | 31,204 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) discontinued operations: | | (3,680) | | 29,427 |
| Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | | (3,580) | | (214) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | \$ | (25,228) | \$ | (36,494) |

Operating activities. Cash used in operating activities from continuing operations was \$17.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared with \$30.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Changes in normal short-term working capital items, as well as an improvement in earnings from continuing operations, contributed to the overall decrease in cash used in continuing operations during the current six month period as compared to the same period of the prior year. Our working capital fluctuates significantly due to changes in accounts receivable (discussed below), as well as due to the timing of our domestic payroll and accounts payable processing cycles with regard to month-end dates and other seasonal factors. For the first half of 2013, we also paid \$4.7 million for restructuring-related costs, including severance expenses in our International segment, and real estate related costs in North America. During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, our domestic operations used \$1.3 million and \$12.3 million, respectively, of cash from continuing operations while our International operations used \$15.9 million and \$17.6 million, respectively. Typically, the seasonality of our business in many European countries results in negative cash from operations in the early part of the year with improvements in the second half of the year. Cash flow from European receivables and payables are typically maximized in the fourth quarter.

Changes in accounts receivable can have a significant impact on our cash flow. Items that can affect our cash flow from accounts receivable include: contractual payment terms, client payment patterns (including approval or processing delays and cash management), client mix (public vs. private), fluctuations in the level of IT product sales and the effectiveness of our collection efforts. Many of the individual reasons are outside of our control and, as a result, it is normal for cash flow from accounts receivable to fluctuate from period to period, affecting our liquidity.

Total accounts receivable increased to \$208.9 million at June 30, 2013, from \$200.3 million at December 31, 2012. Total accounts receivable day s sales outstanding (DSO) increased to 64 days at June 30, 2013, from 61 days at December 31, 2012, an increase of 3 days, compared with DSO of 63 days at June 30, 2012, and 53 days at December 31, 2011, an increase of 10 days. While DSO was slightly higher in the first half of 2013 as compared to the first half of 2012, the smaller increase in 2013 represents improved collections in the first six months 2013 compared to 2012. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we experienced an increase in DSO in our international division due to seasonality while DSO in our North America division was relatively flat. In our International segment, we typically experience a seasonality to collections with slower receivable collections in the first half of the year with improvement in the second half of the year. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, DSO in our International division increased due to seasonality while DSO also increased in our North America division as a result of unusually high collections in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Table of Contents

Accrued compensation and related liabilities fluctuate from period to period based on a couple of primary factors, including the timing of our normal bi-weekly U.S. payroll cycle and the timing of variable compensation payments. Bonuses are typically accrued throughout the year, and paid either quarterly or annually, based on the applicable bonus program associated with an employee s role and country in which he or she works. As such, bonus payments can fluctuate from quarter to quarter. Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities typically fluctuate based on when we receive actual vendor invoices and when they are paid. The largest of such items typically relates to vendor payments for IT hardware and software products that we resell and payments to services-related subcontractors.

Investing activities. Spending on property and equipment decreased to \$1.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013, from \$2.7 million in the same period of 2012. Generally, our capital spending is primarily for technology equipment and software and to support our global employee base, as well as our management and corporate support infrastructure, and for investment in our domestic and off-shore delivery centers. Such investments will fluctuate from period to period.

Financing activities. Typically, our most significant financing activities consist of the borrowings and payments under our credit facility. This primarily fluctuates based on net cash provided by, or used in, our domestic operations during the period as our U.S. cash receipts and disbursements are linked to the revolving credit facility. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we had net borrowings on our long-term debt of \$2.7 million, compared with net payments of \$30.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, primarily from the repatriation of \$30 million of foreign cash to the U.S. in January 2012 and the sale of our Federal Division in March 2012. Additionally, during the six months ended June 30, 2012, we incurred a cash outflow of \$3.3 million for facility fees associated with our borrowings, while we paid no debt fees in 2013. Associated with our 2010 acquisition of Segmenta A/S, during the second quarter of 2013 we paid \$7.1 million of contingent consideration, of which \$3.4 million is classified as a financing cash flow and \$3.7 million is classified in operating activities. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we had a cash inflow of \$1.6 million for proceeds from employee stock plans, which was up from \$0.9 million for the same period of 2012.

Credit Agreement. On May 7, 2012, we entered into a Credit Agreement (the Credit Agreement) with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. The Credit Agreement initially provided for (1) an asset-based revolving line of credit of up to \$60 million (the ABL Facility), with the amount available for borrowing at any time under such line of credit determined according to a borrowing base valuation of eligible account receivables (the borrowing base was \$55.8 million at June 30, 2013), and (2) a \$7.5 million term loan (the Term Loan). On March 29, 2013, we used funds available under the ABL Facility to pay down the Term Loan in full and therefore, as of June 30, 2013, only the ABL Facility was outstanding. This pay down allows us to benefit from the lower borrowing rate of the ABL Facility. Because the Term Loan was paid off, we are no longer required to comply with any specific financial covenants. The ABL Facility provides for borrowings in the United States, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom and Germany and matures on May 7, 2017. As of June 30, 2013, we had \$28.8 million outstanding under the ABL Facility. Our obligations under the Credit Agreement are guaranteed by us and and are secured by substantially all of our U.S., Netherlands, United Kingdom, and German assets.

Under the ABL Facility, U.S. borrowings accrue interest at a rate of the London interbank offered rate (LIBOR) plus a margin ranging from 225 to 275 basis points, or, at our option, a base rate equal to the greatest of (a) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.50%, (b) LIBOR plus 1%, and (c) the prime rate—set by Wells Fargo plus a margin ranging from 125 to 175 basis points. All foreign borrowings accrue interest at a rate of LIBOR plus a margin ranging from 225 to 275 basis points, plus certain fees related to compliance with European banking regulations. The interest rates applicable to borrowings under the Credit Agreement are subject to increase during an event of default. We are also required to pay an unused line fee ranging from 0.375% to 0.50% annually on the unused portion of the ABL Facility.

Wells Fargo will take dominion over our U.S. cash and cash receipts and will automatically apply such amounts to the ABL Facility on a daily basis if (i) an event of default has occurred and is continuing, (ii) less than 30% of the ABL Facility or less than \$18 million is available for borrowing under the ABL Facility for five consecutive days, or (iii) less than 25% of the ABL Facility or less than \$15 million is available for

borrowing under the ABL Facility at any time. Wells Fargo will continue to exercise dominion over our U.S. cash and cash receipts until (1) no event of default is continuing and (2) at least 30% of the ABL Facility and a minimum of \$18 million have been available for borrowing under the ABL Facility for 30 consecutive days. In addition, under the ABL Facility, Wells Fargo will have dominion over the cash receipts related to any U.K., Dutch, and German borrowings and will automatically apply such amounts to the ABL Facility on a daily basis. At June 30, 2013, we had \$3.1 million of foreign borrowings that were subject to the bank s dominion and are classified as a current liability on our balance sheet.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any reportable off-balance sheet arrangements.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Goodwill We perform our annual impairment analysis of goodwill as of June 30 each year, or more often if there are indicators of impairment present. We test each of our reporting units for goodwill impairment. Our reporting units are the same as our operating divisions and reporting segments. The goodwill impairment test requires a two-step process. The first step consists of comparing the estimated fair value of each reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, then it is not considered impaired and no further analysis is required. If step one indicates that the estimated fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value, then impairment potentially exists and the second step is performed to measure the amount of goodwill impairment. Goodwill impairment exists when the estimated implied fair value of a reporting unit s goodwill is less than its carrying value.

We compared the carrying values of our International and North America reporting units to their estimated fair values at June 30, 2013. We estimated the fair value of each reporting unit based on a weighting of both the income approach and the market approach. The discounted cash flows for each reporting unit serve as the primary basis for the income approach, and were based on discrete financial forecasts developed by management. Cash flows beyond the discrete forecast period of five years were estimated using the perpetuity growth method calculation. The annual average revenue growth rates forecasted for our reporting units for the first five years of our projections were approximately 4%. We have projected a minor amount of operating profit margin improvement based on expected margin benefits from certain internal initiatives. The terminal value was calculated assuming projected growth rates of 3% after five years, which reflects our estimate of minimum long-term growth in IT spending. The income approach valuations also included each reporting unit s estimated weighted average cost of capital, which were 13.0% and 14.5% for International and North America, respectively. The market approach applied pricing multiples derived from publicly-traded companies that are comparable to the respective reporting units to determine their values. For our International and North America reporting units, we used enterprise value/revenue multiples of 0.3 and 0.35, respectively, and enterprise value/EBITDA multiples of 5.5 and 5, respectively, in order to value each of our reporting units under the market approach. In addition, the fair value under the market approach included a control premium of 35%. The control premium was determined based on a review of comparative market transactions. Publicly-available information regarding our market capitalization was also considered in assessing the reasonableness of the cumulative fair values of our reporting units.

As a result of the first step of our goodwill impairment test as of June 30, 2013, we estimated that the fair values for our International and North America reporting units exceeded their carrying amounts by 16% and 19%, respectively, thus no impairment was indicated. We have updated our cash flow forecasts and our other assumptions used to calculate the estimated fair value of our reporting units to account for our beliefs and expectations of the current business environment. While we believe our estimates are appropriate based on our view of current business trends, no assurance can be provided that impairment charges will not be required in the future.

We currently have a remaining goodwill balance of \$272.6 million at June 30, 2013. The process of evaluating the potential impairment of goodwill is subjective and requires significant judgment at many points during the analysis. In estimating the fair value of the reporting units for the purpose of our annual or periodic goodwill impairment analysis, we make estimates and judgments about the future cash flows of the reporting units, including estimated growth rates and assumptions about the economic environment. Although our cash flow forecasts are based on assumptions that are consistent with the plans and estimates we are using to manage the underlying reporting units, there is significant judgment in determining the cash flows attributable to these reporting units. We consider our market capitalization, adjusted for unallocated monetary assets such as cash, debt, a control premium and other factors determined by management. As a result, several factors could result in the impairment of a material amount of our goodwill balance in future periods, including, but not limited to:

(1) Failure of Ciber to reach our internal forecasts could impact our ability to achieve our forecasted levels of cash flows and reduce the estimated fair values of our reporting units;

(2) A decline in our stock price and resulting market capitalization, if we determine that the decline is sustained and is indicative of a reduction in the fair value of either of our reporting units below their carrying values.

Adverse changes in our market capitalization, long-term forecasts and industry growth rates could result in additional impairment charges being recorded in future periods for goodwill attributed to either of our reporting units. Any future impairment charges would adversely affect our results of operations for those periods.

Table of Contents

For a description of our critical accounting policies and estimates, see Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

During the three months ended June 30, 2013, there were no material changes in our market risk exposure. For a complete discussion of our market risk associated with foreign currency risk and interest rate risk as of December 31, 2012, see Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures During the fiscal period covered by this report, our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act. Based upon this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is (1) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and (2) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Controls There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Rule 13a-15 or Rule 15d-15 under the Exchange Act that occurred during our most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to various claims and litigation that are in the ordinary course of business. The litigation process is inherently uncertain. Therefore, the outcome of such matters is not predictable.

We are engaged in legal proceedings in Germany in connection with our acquisition of a controlling interest in Novasoft AG (now known as Ciber AG) in 2004. In August 2006, we completed a buy-out of the remaining minority shareholders of Novasoft. Certain of those former minority shareholders challenged the adequacy of the buy-out consideration by initiating a review by the district court in Mannheim, Germany.

The court-appointed independent experts have evaluated the consideration and claims of the minority shareholders. In June 2013, the district court rendered its decision to increase the consideration by an aggregate of 525 thousand. We expect the minority shareholders to appeal. If the appellate court upholds an award of additional consideration, such consideration will increase the goodwill associated with the acquisition and we will be liable for that additional consideration as well as the costs associated with these proceedings. We are unable to predict the outcome of this matter.

CamSoft, Inc., a Louisiana corporation, claims that it had a role in an alleged joint venture that developed a wireless network for video camera surveillance systems to be deployed to municipal governments. The lawsuit, CamSoft Data Systems, Inc. v. Southern Electronics, et al., was filed initially in October 2009 in Louisiana state court against numerous defendants, including Ciber. The lawsuit was subsequently removed to federal court in the Middle District of Louisiana and the complaint was amended to include additional defendants and causes of action including antitrust claims, civil RICO claims, unfair trade practices, trade secret, fraud, unjust enrichment, and conspiracy claims. The suit includes many of the same parties involved in related litigation in the state court in New Orleans which was concluded in 2009 when Ciber settled the New Orleans suit with the plaintiffs, Active Solutions and Southern Electronics, who are now co-defendants in the current lawsuit and CamSoft s former alleged joint venturers. Ciber is vigorously defending the allegations. The matter is ongoing in the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals. We are unable to predict the outcome of this litigation.

On October 28, 2011, a putative securities class action lawsuit, Weston v. Ciber, Inc. et al., was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado against Ciber, its current Chief Executive Officer David C. Peterschmidt, current

Table of Contents

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) Claude J. Pumilia and former CFO Peter H. Cheesbrough (the Class Action). The Class Action purports to have been filed on behalf of all holders of Ciber common stock between December 15, 2010, and August 3, 2011, by alleged stockholder and plaintiff, Burt Weston. The Class Action generally alleges that defendants Ciber, Mr. Peterschmidt, Mr. Pumilia and Mr. Cheesbrough (the Class Action Defendants) violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) and Rule 10b-5 thereunder. Specifically, the complaint alleges that the Class Action Defendants disseminated or approved alleged false statements concerning the Company s outlook and forecast for fiscal year 2011 in: (1) the Company s 8-K filed with the SEC and press conference held with investors on December 15, 2010; (2) the Company s press release and earnings conference call on February 22, 2011; (3) the Company s 10-K for fiscal year 2010 filed with the SEC on February 25, 2011; and (4) the Company s press release, earnings conference call, and Form 10-Q for first quarter 2011 filed with the SEC on May 3, 2011. The complaint also generally alleges that the Class Action Defendants violated Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. Specifically, the complaint alleges that the Class Action Defendants acted as controlling persons of Ciber within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act by reason of their positions with the Company. The Class Action seeks, among other things: (1) an order from the Court declaring the complaint to be a proper class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and certifying plaintiff as a representative of the purported class; (2) awarding plaintiff and the members of the class damages, including interest; (3) awarding plaintiff reasonable costs and attorneys fees; and (4) awarding such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper. The Court appointed Mr. Weston and City of Roseville Employees Retirement System as lead plaintiffs and the law firms of Robbins, Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP and Robbins Umeda LLP as lead plaintiffs counsel on January 31, 2012. Lead plaintiffs filed an amended complaint in early April 2012. The Class Action Defendants have filed a motion to dismiss, which is currently pending. The Company believes that the Class Action is without merit and intends to defend against it vigorously. We are unable to predict the outcome of this litigation.

On February 7, 2012, a purported verified shareholder derivative lawsuit, Seni v. Peterschmidt, et al., was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado (the Derivative Action) against Messrs. Peterschmidt, Pumilia, and Cheesbrough, and Ciber s then-current board of directors: Messrs. Bobby G. Stevenson, Jean-Francois Heitz, Paul A. Jacobs, Stephen S. Kurtz, Kurt J. Lauk, Archibald J. McGill, and James C. Spira (Individual Defendants). Ciber is named as a nominal defendant (collectively, with the Individual Defendants, the Derivative Defendants). The Derivative Action is largely based on the same alleged facts as the Class Action. The complaint in the Derivative Action generally alleges that the Individual Defendants breached their fiduciary duties of good faith, fair dealing, loyalty, due care, reasonable inquiry, oversight, and supervision by approving the issuance of allegedly false statements that misrepresented material information about the finances and operations of the Company. The Derivative Complaint also alleges that the Individual Defendants were unjustly enriched as a result of the compensation they received while breaching their fiduciary duties to the Company. The complaint seeks, among other things: (1) damages for losses sustained by the Company as a result of the Individual Defendants breaches; (2) directives to reform and improve the company s governance; (3) restitution to the Company from the Individual Defendants; (4) an award to plaintiff of reasonable costs and attorneys fees; and (5) such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper. On April 30, 2012, the Court granted Ciber s Motion to Stay Discovery and Vacate the Scheduling Conference and Related Deadlines. The Defendants filed a motion to dismiss, which was granted in March 2013. Pursuant to the dismissal order, Plaintiffs were allowed leave to amend the complaint, the defendants then filed a new motion to dismiss, and the matter is ongoing. The Company believes this litigation is without merit and intends to defend against it vigorously. We are unable to predict the outcome of this litigation.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

For information regarding our potential risks and uncertainties, please refer to Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. There have been no material changes to risk factors disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

| T | ab | le | of | Con | tents |
|---|----|----|----|-----|-------|
| | | | | | |

Item 6. Exhibits

Incorporated by Reference Exhibit Date Number **Exhibit Description** Form File No. Filed

^{*} Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan on arrangement.

^{**} In accordance with Regulation S-T, the XBRL-related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q shall be furnished and not filed.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Ciber, Inc. (Registrant)

Date: July 31, 2013 By /s/ David C. Peterschmidt

David C. Peterschmidt

President and Chief Executive Officer

By /s/ Claude J. Pumilia

Claude J. Pumilia

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

31