

DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC
Form 10-Q
May 09, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

DYNEGY INC.
DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Entity	Commission File Number	State of Incorporation	I.R.S. Employer Identification No.
Dynegy Inc.	001-33443	Delaware	20-5653152
Dynegy Holdings Inc.	000-29311	Delaware	94-3248415

1000 Louisiana, Suite 5800
Houston, Texas
(Address of principal
executive offices)

77002
(Zip Code)

(713) 507-6400
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Dynegy Inc.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Dynegy Holdings Inc.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Dynegy Inc. Yes No
 Dynegy Holdings Inc. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

	Large accelerated filer	Accelerated filer	Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company
Dynegy Inc.	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Dynegy Holdings Inc.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Dynegy Inc. Yes No
 Dynegy Holdings Inc. Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of Dynegy Inc.’s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 122,135,478 shares outstanding as of May 2, 2011. All of Dynegy Holdings Inc.’s outstanding common stock is owned by Dynegy Inc.

This combined Form 10-Q is separately filed by Dynegy Inc. and Dynegy Holdings Inc. Information contained herein relating to any individual registrant is filed by such registrant on its own behalf. Each registrant makes no representation as to information relating to a registrant other than itself.

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—DYNEGY INC. AND DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.:
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets—Dynergy Inc.:</u>
	<u>March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u> 4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations—Dynergy Inc.:</u>
	<u>For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u> 5
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows—Dynergy Inc.:</u>
	<u>For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u> 6
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)—Dynergy Inc.:</u>
	<u>For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u> 7
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets—Dynergy Holdings Inc.:</u>
	<u>March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u> 8
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations—Dynergy Holdings Inc.:</u>
	<u>For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u> 9
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows—Dynergy Holdings Inc.:</u>
	<u>For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u> 10
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)—Dynergy Holdings Inc.:</u>
	<u>For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u> 11
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> 12
Item 2.	<u>MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS – DYNEGY INC. AND DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.</u> 36
Item 3.	<u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK - DYNEGY INC. AND DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.</u> 61
Item 4.	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES - DYNEGY INC. AND DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.</u> 62
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS - DYNEGY INC. AND DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.</u> 63
Item 1A.	<u>RISK FACTORS - DYNEGY INC. AND DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.</u> 63
Item 2.	<u>UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS - DYNEGY INC.</u> 63
Item 6.	<u>EXHIBITS - DYNEGY INC. AND DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.</u> 64

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This report includes the combined filing of Dynegy Inc. (“Dynegy”) and Dynegy Holdings Inc. (“DHI”). DHI is the principal subsidiary of Dynegy, providing nearly 100 percent of Dynegy’s total consolidated revenue for the three-month period ended March 31, 2011 and constituting nearly 100 percent of Dynegy’s total consolidated asset base as of March 31, 2011. Unless the context indicates otherwise, throughout this report, the terms “the Company”, “we”, “us”, “our” and “ours” are used to refer to both Dynegy and DHI and their direct and indirect subsidiaries. Discussions or areas of this report that apply only to Dynegy or DHI are clearly noted in such section.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEFINITIONS

As used in this Form 10-Q, the abbreviations contained herein have the meanings set forth below.

ASU	Accounting Standards Update
BACT	Best available control technology
BART	Best available retrofit technology
BTA	Best technology available
CAA	Clean Air Act
CAIR	Clean Air Interstate Rule
CAISO	The California Independent System Operator
CAMR	Clean Air Mercury Rule
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CAVR	The Clean Air Visibility Rule
CCR	Coal Combustion Residuals
CERCLA	The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended
CO2	Carbon Dioxide
CWA	Clean Water Act
DHI	Dynegy Holdings Inc., Dynegy's primary financing subsidiary
DMSLP	Dynegy Midstream Services L.P.
EBITDA	Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
GAAP	Generally Accepted Accounting Principles of the United States of America
GEN	Our power generation business
GEN-MW	Our power generation business - Midwest segment
GEN-NE	Our power generation business - Northeast segment
GEN-WE	Our power generation business - West segment
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
HAPs	Hazardous air pollutants, as defined by the Clean Air Act
ICC	Illinois Commerce Commission
IMA	In-market asset availability
ISO	Independent System Operator
ISO-NE	Independent System Operator New England
MACT	Maximum achievable control technology
MGGA	Midwest Greenhouse Gas Accord
MGGRP	Midwestern Greenhouse Gas Reduction Program
MISO	Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
MMBtu	One million British thermal units
MW	Megawatts
MWh	Megawatt hour
NOL	Net operating loss
NOx	Nitrogen oxide
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NRG	NRG Energy, Inc.
NSPS	New Source Performance Standard
NYISO	New York Independent System Operator
NYSDEC	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

OAL	Office of Administrative Law
OTC	Over-the-counter
PJM	PJM Interconnection, LLC
PPEA	Plum Point Energy Associates, LLC
PPEA Holding	Plum Point Energy Associates Holding Company, LLC
PSD	Prevention of significant deterioration
RACT	Reasonably available control technology
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RGGI	Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative
RMR	Reliability Must Run
SEC	U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SO2	Sulfur dioxide
SPDES	State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
VaR	Value at Risk
VIE	Variable Interest Entity
WCI	Western Climate Initiative

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1—FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—DYNEGY INC. AND DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

DYNEGY INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(unaudited) (in millions, except share data)

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$328	\$ 291
Restricted cash and investments	911	81
Short-term investments	76	106
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$31 and \$32, respectively	179	230
Accounts receivable, affiliates	1	1
Inventory	130	121
Assets from risk-management activities	1,065	1,199
Deferred income taxes	12	12
Broker margin account	110	80
Prepayments and other current assets	118	123
Total Current Assets	2,930	2,244
Property, Plant and Equipment	8,649	8,593
Accumulated depreciation	(2,434)	(2,320)
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net	6,215	6,273
Other Assets		
Restricted cash and investments	9	859
Assets from risk-management activities	112	72
Intangible assets	129	141
Other long-term assets	424	424
Total Assets	\$9,819	\$ 10,013
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$128	\$ 134
Accrued interest	109	36
Accrued liabilities and other current liabilities	88	109
Liabilities from risk-management activities	1,014	1,138
Notes payable and current portion of long-term debt	1,153	148
Total Current Liabilities	2,492	1,565
Long-term debt	3,421	4,426
Long-term debt, affiliates	200	200
Long-Term Debt	3,621	4,626
Other Liabilities		

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

Liabilities from risk-management activities	126	99
Deferred income taxes	584	641
Other long-term liabilities	325	336
Total Liabilities	7,148	7,267
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 9)		
Stockholders' Equity		
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, 420,000,000 shares authorized at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010; 122,466,663 and 121,687,198 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	1	1
Additional paid-in capital	6,068	6,067
Subscriptions receivable	(2)	(2)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(52)	(53)
Accumulated deficit	(3,273)	(3,196)
Treasury stock, at cost, 727,746 and 628,014 shares at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	(71)	(71)
Total Stockholders' Equity	2,671	2,746
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$9,819	\$ 10,013

See the notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(unaudited) (in millions, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Revenues	\$505	\$858
Cost of sales	(278)	(308)
Operating and maintenance expense, exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown separately below	(110)	(113)
Depreciation and amortization expense	(126)	(75)
General and administrative expenses	(40)	(31)
Operating income (loss)	(49)	331
Losses from unconsolidated investments	—	(34)
Interest expense	(89)	(89)
Other income and expense, net	1	1
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(137)	209
Income tax benefit (expense) (Note 12)	60	(65)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(77)	144
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax (expense) benefit of zero and zero, respectively (Note 2)	—	1
Net income (loss)	\$(77)	\$145
Earnings (Loss) Per Share (Note 8):		
Basic earnings (loss) per share:		
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$(0.64)	\$1.20
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	—	0.01
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$(0.64)	\$1.21
Diluted earnings (loss) per share:		
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$(0.64)	\$1.19
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	—	0.01
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$(0.64)	\$1.20
Basic shares outstanding	121	120
Diluted shares outstanding	121	121

See the notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(unaudited) (in millions)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income (loss)	\$(77)	\$145
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash flows from operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	130	79
Losses from unconsolidated investments, net of cash distributions	—	34
Risk-management activities	(3)	(253)
Deferred income taxes	(59)	62
Other	9	12
Changes in working capital:		
Accounts receivable	51	47
Inventory	(9)	1
Broker margin account	5	310
Prepayments and other assets	8	(12)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	32	31
Changes in non-current assets	(7)	2
Changes in non-current liabilities	3	—
Net cash provided by operating activities	83	458
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Capital expenditures	(66)	(101)
Maturities of short-term investments	70	—
Purchases of short-term investments	(75)	(114)
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash	20	(35)
Other	4	9
Net cash used in investing activities	(47)	(241)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Net proceeds from issuance of capital stock	1	—
Net cash provided by financing activities	1	—
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	37	217
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	291	471
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$328	\$688
Other non-cash investing activity:		
Non-cash capital expenditures	\$(8)	\$9
Non-cash unconsolidated investment	\$—	\$15

See the notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(unaudited) (in millions)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net income (loss)	\$(77) \$145
Amortization of unrecognized prior service cost and actuarial gain (net of tax expense of \$1 and zero)	1	2
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	1	2
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$(76) \$147

See the notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(unaudited) (in millions)

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$281	\$ 253
Restricted cash and investments	911	81
Short-term investments	68	90
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$12 and \$13, respectively	179	229
Accounts receivable, affiliates	1	1
Inventory	130	121
Assets from risk-management activities	1,065	1,199
Deferred income taxes	4	3
Broker margin account	110	80
Prepayments and other current assets	117	123
Total Current Assets	2,866	2,180
Property, Plant and Equipment	8,649	8,593
Accumulated depreciation	(2,434)	(2,320)
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net	6,215	6,273
Other Assets		
Restricted cash and investments	9	859
Assets from risk-management activities	112	72
Intangible assets	129	141
Other long-term assets	424	424
Total Assets	\$9,755	\$ 9,949
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$128	\$ 134
Accrued interest	109	36
Accrued liabilities and other current liabilities	88	106
Liabilities from risk-management activities	1,014	1,138
Notes payable and current portion of long-term debt	1,153	148
Total Current Liabilities	2,492	1,562
Long-term debt	3,421	4,426
Long-term debt, affiliates	200	200
Long-Term Debt	3,621	4,626
Other Liabilities		
Liabilities from risk-management activities	126	99
Deferred income taxes	549	606
Other long-term liabilities	326	337
Total Liabilities	7,114	7,230
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 9)		
Stockholder's Equity		

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

Capital Stock, \$1 par value, 1,000 shares authorized at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010

	—	—
Additional paid-in capital	5,135	5,135
Affiliate receivable	(813)	(814)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(52)	(53)
Accumulated deficit	(1,629)	(1,549)
Total Stockholder's Equity	2,641	2,719
Total Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity	\$9,755	\$ 9,949

See the notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(unaudited) (in millions)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Revenues	\$505	\$858
Cost of sales	(278)	(308)
Operating and maintenance expense, exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown separately below	(110)	(113)
Depreciation and amortization expense	(126)	(75)
General and administrative expenses	(41)	(31)
Operating income (loss)	(50)	331
Losses from unconsolidated investments	—	(34)
Interest expense	(89)	(89)
Other income and expense, net	1	1
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(138)	209
Income tax benefit (expense) (Note 12)	58	(72)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(80)	137
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax (expense) benefit of zero and zero, respectively (Note 2)	—	1
Net income (loss)	\$(80)	\$138

See the notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(unaudited) (in millions)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income (loss)	\$(80)	\$138
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash flows from operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	130	79
Losses from unconsolidated investments, net of cash distributions	—	34
Risk-management activities	(3)	(253)
Deferred income taxes	(57)	73
Other	8	11
Changes in working capital:		
Accounts receivable	51	47
Inventory	(9)	1
Broker margin account	5	310
Prepayments and other assets	8	(12)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	34	31
Changes in non-current assets	(7)	2
Changes in non-current liabilities	3	—
Net cash provided by operating activities	83	461
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Capital expenditures	(66)	(101)
Maturities of short-term investments	56	—
Purchases of short-term investments	(69)	(114)
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash	20	(35)
Affiliate transactions	—	(3)
Other	4	8
Net cash used in investing activities	(55)	(245)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	28	216
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	253	419
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$281	\$635
Other non-cash investing activity:		
Non-cash capital expenditures	\$(8)	\$9
Non-cash unconsolidated investment	\$—	\$15

See the notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(unaudited) (in millions)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net income (loss)	\$(80) \$138
Amortization of unrecognized prior service cost and actuarial gain (net of tax expense of \$1 and zero)	1	2
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	1	2
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$(79) \$140

See the notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Note 1—Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to interim financial reporting as prescribed by the SEC. The year-end condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited consolidated financial statements but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These interim financial statements should be read together with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Dynegy's and DHI's annual reports on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed on March 8, 2011, which we refer to as each registrant's "Form 10-K".

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contained in this report include all material adjustments of a normal and recurring nature that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods. The results of operations for the interim periods presented in this Form 10-Q are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year or any other interim period due to seasonal fluctuations in demand for our energy products and services, changes in commodity prices, timing of maintenance and other expenditures and other factors. The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") requires management to make informed estimates and judgments that affect our reported financial position and results of operations based on currently available information. We review significant estimates and judgments affecting our consolidated financial statements on a recurring basis and record the effect of any necessary adjustments. Uncertainties with respect to such estimates and judgments are inherent in the preparation of financial statements. Estimates and judgments are used in, among other things, (i) developing fair value assumptions, including estimates of future cash flows and discount rates, (ii) analyzing tangible and intangible assets for possible impairment, (iii) estimating the useful lives of our assets, (iv) assessing future tax exposure and the realization of deferred tax assets, (v) determining amounts to accrue for contingencies, guarantees and indemnifications, (vi) estimating various factors used to value our pension assets and liabilities and (vii) determining the primary beneficiary of variable interest entities ("VIEs"). Actual results could differ materially from our estimates.

Going Concern. Our accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern, which contemplates realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business for the twelve month period following the date of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. However, continued low power prices over the past two years have had a significant adverse impact on our business. Further, as our credit rating has declined, counterparty requirements for posting collateral in support of our risk management positions and other contractual obligations have become more stringent. Over the next twelve months, we expect that we will continue to rely on the issuance of letters of credit and/or cash borrowings and/or securing additional sources of capital to continue to meet our operating needs.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

The agreements governing our Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, as amended (the “Credit Facility”), require us to meet specific financial covenants both as a matter of course and as a condition to the incurrence of additional debt and to the making of restricted payments or asset sales, among other things. These specific financial covenants are required to be calculated on a quarterly basis and become more restrictive over the course of the next twelve months. Given the increasingly stringent requirements under our EBITDA to Consolidated Interest Expense covenant over the next twelve months, and considering latest available forward commodity price curves and our current derivative contracts, we project that it is likely that we will not be in compliance with this covenant, as currently set forth in our Credit Facility, beginning in the third or fourth quarter of 2011, and it is virtually certain that we will not be in compliance with this covenant at some point over the next twelve months unless we reach agreement on an amendment to or replacement of the Credit Facility, or obtain a waiver of its terms. Furthermore, we expect that our available liquidity will continue to be reduced as a result of borrowing limitations under the covenant regarding the ratio of Secured Debt to EBITDA, as defined in our Credit Facility. To continue as a going concern over the next twelve months, we must in the near-term (i) meet the financial covenants so that we can access our Credit Facility, (ii) amend or replace our Credit Facility or obtain a waiver of certain of its requirements, or (iii) otherwise secure additional capital. Further, our Credit Facility includes a revolving credit facility which had undrawn capacity of \$649 million at March 31, 2011, and expires by its terms on April 2, 2012. Even if we are able to meet the financial covenants included in our Credit Facility in the near-term, we will be required to amend or replace the Credit Facility or otherwise secure additional capital upon the expiration of this revolving facility. We are currently discussing with lenders the terms upon which an amendment to the Credit Facility or a new credit facility could be implemented. We expect the capacity of any amended or new credit facility to be less than the current capacity of \$1 billion and to be at a higher cost.

At March 31, 2011, we have the following obligations outstanding under the Credit Facility:

\$68 million due April 2013 under the Term Loan B (as defined in Note 18—Debt—Credit Facility in the Form 10-K);

\$850 million due April 2013 under the Term Facility (as defined in Note 18—Debt—Credit Facility in the Form 10-K) (fully collateralized by \$850 million of current restricted cash); and

\$439 million in issued letters of credit.

A failure by us to comply with our financial covenants or to comply with the other restrictions in our Credit Facility could result in reduced borrowing capacity or even a default, causing our debt obligations under such financing agreements, and any other indebtedness to the extent linked to it by reason of cross-default or cross-acceleration provisions, to potentially become immediately due and payable. We could avoid a default under the Credit Facility if we were to repay the amounts owed and cash collateralize the related letters of credit. However, this course of action would not alleviate the going concern and liquidity issues that we are facing over the next twelve months. If we are unable to cure any such default, or obtain a waiver or a replacement financing, and those lenders accelerate the payment of such indebtedness, in the case that we are unable to repay those amounts, the holders of the indebtedness under our secured debt obligations would be entitled to initiate foreclosure actions designed to acquire control of substantially all of our assets. The success of any such actions which would have a material adverse impact on our

financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We may also seek additional sources of liquidity in order to ensure that we have sufficient cash available to meet our operating needs. These additional sources of liquidity could include asset sales, public or private issuances of debt, equity or equity-linked securities, debt for equity swaps, or any combination of these. The Finance and Restructuring Committee of Dynegy's Board of Directors has retained professional advisers in connection with potential debt restructuring activities, and we have begun work on this initiative. However, we cannot provide any assurances that we will be successful in accomplishing any of these plans.

Our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent on many factors, including, among other things, our ability to achieve the operating results necessary to comply with the covenants in our existing Credit Facility, amend or replace our existing Credit Facility, or achieve the operating results necessary to comply with the covenants in any amended or new credit facility. Such compliance will be dependent on our ability to successfully execute our commercial strategies, manage our collateral requirements, and continue to execute the company-wide cost reduction initiatives that are ongoing. The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of the foregoing uncertainties, although certain of our obligations have been reclassified to current liabilities in recognition of the uncertainties. Please read Note 10—Debt for further information.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Note 2—Dispositions and Discontinued Operations

Dispositions

Summary. The following table summarizes information related to both Dynegy's and DHI's discontinued operations:

	GEN-MW	GEN-WE (in millions)	Total
Three Months Ended March 31, 2010			
Revenues	\$—	\$—	\$—
Income from operations before taxes	—	1	1
Income from operations after taxes	—	1	1

Note 3—Investments

The amortized cost basis, unrealized gains and losses and fair values of investments in available for sale investments is shown in the tables below:

	Cost Basis	Investments as of March 31, 2011		Fair Value
		Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	
Available for Sale investments:				
Commercial Paper	\$27	\$—	\$—	\$27
Certificates of Deposit	10	—	—	10
Corporate Securities	—	—	—	—
U.S. Treasury and Government Securities (1)	151	—	—	151
Total—DHI	\$188	\$—	\$—	\$188
Commercial Paper	2	—	—	2
Certificates of Deposit	6	—	—	6
Corporate Securities	—	—	—	—
Total—Dynegy	\$196	\$—	\$—	\$196

(1) Includes \$120 million in Broker margin account on our unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets in support of transactions with our futures clearing manager.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

	Investments as of December 31, 2010			
	Cost Basis	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
		(in millions)		
Available for Sale investments:				
Commercial Paper	\$41	\$—	\$—	\$41
Certificates of Deposit	12	—	—	12
Corporate Securities	2	—	—	2
U.S. Treasury and Government Securities (1)	120	—	—	120
Total—DHI	\$175	\$—	\$—	\$175
Commercial Paper	4	—	—	4
Certificates of Deposit	8	—	—	8
Corporate Securities	4	—	—	4
Total—Dynegy	\$191	\$—	\$—	\$191

(1) Includes \$85 million in Broker margin account on our consolidated balance sheets in support of transactions with our futures clearing manager.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we received proceeds of less than \$1 million from the sale of available for sale securities.

Note 4—Risk Management Activities, Derivatives and Financial Instruments

The nature of our business necessarily involves market and financial risks. Specifically, we are exposed to commodity price variability related to our power generation business. Our commercial team manages these commodity price risks with financially settled and other types of contracts consistent with our commodity risk management policy. Our commercial team also uses financial instruments in an attempt to capture the benefit of fluctuations in market prices in the geographic regions where our assets operate. Our treasury team manages our financial risks and exposures associated with interest expense variability.

Our commodity risk management strategy gives us the flexibility to sell energy and capacity through a combination of spot market sales and near-term contractual arrangements (generally over a rolling 1 to 3 year time frame). Our commodity risk management goal is to protect cash flow in the near-term while keeping the ability to capture value longer-term. Our increasing collateral requirements and liquidity position as discussed in Note 1—Accounting Policies—Going Concern, could impact our ability to effectively employ our risk management strategy. Many of our contractual arrangements are derivative instruments and must be accounted for at fair value. We also manage commodity price risk by entering into capacity forward sales arrangements, tolling arrangements, RMR contracts,

fixed price coal purchases and other arrangements that do not receive fair value accounting treatment because these arrangements do not meet the definition of a derivative or are designated as “normal purchase normal sales”. As a result, the gains and losses with respect to these arrangements are not reflected in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations until the settlement dates.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Quantitative Disclosures Related to Financial Instruments and Derivatives

The following disclosures and tables present information concerning the impact of derivative instruments on our unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets and statements of operations. In the table below, commodity contracts primarily consist of derivative contracts related to our power generation business that we have not designated as accounting hedges, that are entered into for purposes of economically hedging future fuel requirements and sales commitments and securing commodity prices. Interest rate contracts primarily consist of derivative contracts related to managing our interest rate risk. As of March 31, 2011, our commodity derivatives were comprised of both long and short positions; a long position is a contract to purchase a commodity, while a short position is a contract to sell a commodity. As of March 31, 2011, we had net long/(short) commodity derivative contracts outstanding and notional interest rate swaps outstanding in the following quantities:

Contract Type	Hedge Designation	Quantity (in millions)	Unit of Measure	Net Fair Value (in millions)
Commodity contracts:				
Electric energy (1)	Not designated	(51)	MW	\$ 215
Natural gas (1)	Not designated	140	MMBtu	\$ (160)
Heat rate derivatives	Not designated	(5)/50	MW/MMBtu	\$ (26)
Other (2)	Not designated	2	Misc.	\$ 8
Interest rate contracts:				
Interest rate swaps	Fair value hedge	(25)	Dollars	\$ 1
Interest rate swaps	Not designated	231	Dollars	\$ (6)
Interest rate swaps	Not designated	(206)	Dollars	\$ 5

- (1) Mainly comprised of swaps, options and physical forwards.
(2) Comprised of emissions, coal, crude oil and fuel oil options, swaps and physical forwards.

Derivatives on the Balance Sheet. We execute a significant volume of transactions through a futures clearing manager. Our daily cash payments (receipts) to (from) our futures clearing manager consist of three parts: (i) fair value of open positions (exclusive of options) (“Daily Cash Settlements”); (ii) initial margin requirements related to open positions (exclusive of options) (“Initial Margin”); and (iii) fair value and margin requirements related to options (“Options”, and collectively with Initial Margin, “Collateral”). We do not offset fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under a master netting agreement and we do not elect to offset the fair value amounts recognized for the Daily Cash Settlements paid or received against the fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under a master netting agreement.

As a result, our unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets present derivative assets and liabilities, as well as related Daily Cash Settlements and Collateral, as applicable, on a gross basis. As of March 31, 2011, of the approximately \$110 million included in the Broker margin account on our unaudited condensed consolidated balance

sheets, approximately \$114 million represents Collateral offset by approximately \$11 million representing Daily Cash Settlements due to the broker. As of December 31, 2010, of the approximately \$80 million included in the Broker margin account on our unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets, approximately \$75 million represented Collateral and approximately \$5 million represented Daily Cash Settlements due to the broker.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

The following table presents the fair value and balance sheet classification of derivatives in the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010 segregated between designated, qualifying hedging instruments and those that are not, and by type of contract segregated by assets and liabilities.

Contract Type	Balance Sheet Location	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
		(in millions)	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
Derivative Assets:			
	Assets from risk management activities		
Interest rate contracts		\$ 1	\$ 1
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		1	1
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Derivative Assets:			
	Assets from risk management activities		
Commodity contracts		1,171	1,265
Interest rate contracts		5	5
Derivative Liabilities:			
	Liabilities from risk management activities		
Commodity contracts		(1,134)	(1,231)
Interest rate contracts		(6)	(6)
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		36	33
Total derivatives, net		\$ 37	\$ 34

Impact of Derivatives on the Consolidated Statements of Operations

The following discussion and tables present the disclosure of the location and amount of gains and losses on derivative instruments in our unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 segregated between designated, qualifying hedging instruments and those that are not, by type of contract.

Cash Flow Hedges. We may enter into financial derivative instruments that qualify, and that we may elect to designate, as cash flow hedges. Interest rate swaps have been used to convert floating interest rate obligations to fixed interest rate obligations. We had no cash flow hedges in place during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

Fair Value Hedges. We also enter into derivative instruments that qualify, and that we may elect to designate, as fair value hedges. We use interest rate swaps to convert a portion of our non-prepayable fixed-rate debt into floating-rate debt. These hedges and the corresponding hedged debt matured April 1, 2011. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, there was no ineffectiveness from changes in the fair value of hedge positions and no amounts were excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness. During three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, there were no gains or losses related to the recognition of firm commitments that no longer qualified as fair value hedges.

The impact of interest rate swap contracts designated as fair value hedges and the related hedged item on our unaudited condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was immaterial.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Financial Instruments Not Designated as Hedges. We elect not to designate derivatives related to our power generation business and certain interest rate instruments as cash flow or fair value hedges. Thus, we account for changes in the fair value of these derivatives within the consolidated statements of operations (herein referred to as “mark-to-market accounting treatment”). As a result, these mark-to-market gains and losses are not reflected in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations in the same period as the underlying activity for which the derivative instruments serve as economic hedges.

For the three-month period ended March 31, 2011, our revenues included approximately \$2 million of mark-to-market gains related to this activity compared to \$253 million of mark-to-market gains in the same period in the prior year.

The impact of derivative financial instruments that have not been designated as hedges on our unaudited condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 is presented below. Note that this presentation does not reflect the expected gains or losses arising from the underlying physical transactions associated with these financial instruments. Therefore, this presentation is not indicative of the economic gross profit we expect to realize when the underlying physical transactions settle.

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Location of Gain Recognized in Income on Derivatives	Amount of Gain Recognized in Income on Derivatives for the Three Months Ended March 31, (in millions)	
		2011	2010
Commodity contracts	Revenues	\$ 19	\$ 325

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Note 5—Fair Value Measurements

The following tables set forth by level within the fair value hierarchy our financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. These financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, and may affect the valuation of fair value assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

	Fair Value as of March 31, 2011			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
	(in millions)			
Assets:				
Assets from commodity risk management activities:				
Electricity derivatives	\$—	\$463	\$67	\$530
Natural gas derivatives	—	580	5	585
Other derivatives	—	56	—	56
Total assets from commodity risk management activities	\$—	\$1,099	\$72	\$1,171
Assets from interest rate swaps	—	6	—	6
Short-term investments:				
Commercial paper	—	27	—	27
Certificates of deposit	—	10	—	10
U.S. Treasury and government securities (1)	—	151	—	151
Total—DHI short-term investments	\$—	\$188	\$—	\$188
Total—DHI	—	1,293	72	1,365
Short-term investments:				
Commercial paper	—	2	—	2
Certificates of deposit	—	6	—	6
Total—Dynegy	\$—	\$1,301	\$72	\$1,373
Liabilities:				
Liabilities from commodity risk management activities:				
Electricity derivatives	\$—	\$(296)	\$(19)	\$(315)
Natural gas derivatives	—	(745)	—	(745)
Heat rate derivatives	—	—	(26)	(26)
Other derivatives	—	(48)	—	(48)
Total liabilities from commodity risk management activities	\$—	\$(1,089)	\$(45)	\$(1,134)
Liabilities from interest rate swaps	—	(6)	—	(6)
Total	\$—	\$(1,095)	\$(45)	\$(1,140)

(1) Includes \$120 million in Broker margin account on our consolidated balance sheets in support of transactions with our futures clearing manager.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

	Fair Value as of December 31, 2010			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	(in millions)			
Assets:				
Assets from commodity risk management activities:				
Electricity derivatives	\$—	\$526	\$77	\$603
Natural gas derivatives	—	613	5	618
Other derivatives	—	44	—	44
Total assets from commodity risk management activities	\$—	\$1,183	\$82	\$1,265
Assets from interest rate swaps	—	6	—	6
Short-term investments:				
Commercial paper	—	41	—	41
Certificates of deposit	—	12	—	12
Corporate securities	—	2	—	2
U.S. Treasury and government securities (1)	—	120	—	120
Total—DHI short-term investments	\$—	\$175	\$—	\$175
Total—DHI	—	1,364	82	1,446
Short-term investments:				
Commercial paper	—	4	—	4
Certificates of deposit	—	8	—	8
Corporate securities	—	4	—	4
Total—Dynegy	\$—	\$1,380	\$82	\$1,462
Liabilities:				
Liabilities from commodity risk management activities:				
Electricity derivatives	\$—	\$(311)	\$(28)	\$(339)
Natural gas derivatives	—	(825)	—	(825)
Heat rate derivatives	—	—	(31)	(31)
Other derivatives	—	(36)	—	(36)
Total liabilities from commodity risk management activities	\$—	\$(1,172)	\$(59)	\$(1,231)
Liabilities from interest rate swaps	—	(6)	—	(6)
Total	\$—	\$(1,178)	\$(59)	\$(1,237)

(1) Includes \$85 million in Broker margin account on our consolidated balance sheets in support of transactions with our futures clearing manager.

We primarily apply the market approach for recurring fair value measurements and endeavor to utilize the best available information. Accordingly, we utilize valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and

minimize the use of unobservable inputs. For example, assets and liabilities from risk management activities may include exchange-traded derivative contracts and OTC derivative contracts. Some exchange-traded derivatives are valued using broker or dealer quotations, or market transactions in either the listed or OTC markets. In such cases, these exchange-traded derivatives are classified within Level 2. OTC derivative trading instruments include swaps, forwards, options and complex structures that are valued at fair value. In certain instances, these instruments may utilize models to measure fair value. Generally, we use a similar model to value similar instruments. Valuation models utilize various inputs that include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, other observable inputs for the asset or liability, and market-corroborated inputs. Where observable inputs are available for substantially the full term of the asset or liability, the instrument is categorized in Level 2. Certain OTC derivatives trade in less active markets with a lower availability of pricing information. In addition, complex or structured transactions, such as heat-rate call options, can introduce the need for internally-developed model inputs that might not be observable in or corroborated by the market. When such inputs have a significant impact on the measurement of fair value, the instrument is categorized in Level 3. We have consistently used this valuation technique for all periods presented. Please read Note 2—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies—Fair Value Measurements in our Form 10-K for further discussion.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

The following tables set forth a reconciliation of changes in the fair value of financial instruments classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011			Total
	Electricity Derivatives	Natural Gas Derivatives	Heat Rate Derivatives	
	(in millions)			
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 49	\$ 5	\$ (31)	\$ 23
Total gains included in earnings	4	—	1	5
Settlements	(5)	—	4	(1)
Balance at March 31, 2011	\$ 48	\$ 5	\$ (26)	\$ 27
Unrealized gains relating to instruments still held as of March 31, 2011	\$ 7	\$ 1	\$ 2	\$ 10

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010				Total
	Electricity Derivatives	Natural Gas Derivatives	Heat Rate Derivatives	Interest Rate Swaps	
	(in millions)				
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$6	\$5	\$17	\$ (50)	\$(22)
Deconsolidation of Plum Point	—	—	—	50	50
Total gains included in earnings	78	—	17	—	95
Purchases, sales and settlements:					
Purchases	1	—	1	—	2
Sales	(10)	—	—	—	(10)
Settlements	(5)	—	(15)	—	(20)
Balance at March 31, 2010	\$70	\$5	\$20	\$ —	\$95
Unrealized gains relating to instruments held as of March 31, 2010	\$73	\$—	\$13	\$ —	\$86

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Gains and losses (realized and unrealized) for Level 3 recurring items are included in Revenues on the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations. We believe an analysis of instruments classified as Level 3 should be undertaken with the understanding that these items generally serve as economic hedges of our power generation portfolio. We did not have any transfers between Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

Nonfinancial Assets and Liabilities. The following table sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy our fair value measurements with respect to nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, and may affect the valuation of fair value assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

	Fair Value Measurements as of March 31, 2010				Total Losses
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	
			(in millions)		
Equity method investment	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$ (37)
Total	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$ (37)

On January 1, 2010, we recorded an impairment of our investment in PPEA Holding as part of our cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle. We determined the fair value of our investment using assumptions that reflect our best estimate of third party market participants' considerations based on the facts and circumstances related to our investment at that time. The fair value of our investment on January 1, 2010 was considered a Level 3 measurement as the fair value was determined based on probability weighted cash flows resulting from various alternative scenarios including no change in the financing structure, a restructuring of the project debt and insolvency. These scenarios and the related probability weighting are consistent with the scenarios used at December 31, 2009 in our long-lived asset impairment analysis. At March 31, 2010, we fully impaired our investment in PPEA Holding due to the uncertainty and risk surrounding PPEA's financing structure. Please read Note 7—Impairment and Restructuring Charges—2010 Impairment Charges—Other in our Form 10-K.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments. We have determined the estimated fair-value amounts using available market information and selected valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is required in interpreting market data to develop the estimates of fair value. The use of different market assumptions or valuation methodologies could have a material effect on the estimated fair-value amounts.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

The carrying values of financial assets and liabilities (cash, accounts receivable, short-term investments and accounts payable) not presented in the table below approximate fair values due to the short-term maturities of these instruments. The fair value of debt as reflected in the table has been calculated based on the average of certain available broker quotes for the periods ending March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
	(in millions)			
Interest rate derivatives designated as fair value accounting hedges (1)	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1
Interest rate derivatives not designated as accounting hedges (1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
Commodity-based derivative contracts not designated as accounting hedges (1)	37	37	34	34
Term Loan B, due 2013	68	67	68	67
Term Facility, floating rate due 2013	850	842	850	845
Senior Notes and Debentures:				
6.875 percent due 2011 (2)	80	79	80	79
8.75 percent due 2012	89	88	89	87
7.5 percent due 2015 (3)	769	651	768	592
8.375 percent due 2016 (4)	1,043	874	1,043	777
7.125 percent due 2018	172	128	172	116
7.75 percent due 2019	1,100	850	1,100	728
7.625 percent due 2026	171	122	171	107
Subordinated Debentures payable to affiliates, 8.316 percent, due 2027	200	102	200	83
Sithe Senior Notes, 9.0 percent due 2013 (5)	232	229	233	233
Other—DHI (6)	188	188	175	175
Other—Dynegy (7)	8	8	16	16

(1) Included in both current and non-current assets and liabilities on the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets.

(2) Payment in full was made on April 1, 2011, which was the maturity date of this debt.

(3) Includes unamortized discounts of \$16 million and \$17 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

(4) Includes unamortized discounts of \$4 million and \$4 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

(5) Includes unamortized premiums of \$7 million and \$8 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

- (6) Other represents short-term investments, including \$120 million and \$85 million of short-term investments included in the Broker margin account at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.
- (7) Other represents short-term investments at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Note 6—Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax, is included in Dynegy's and DHI's stockholders' equity on our unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets as follows:

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
	(in millions)	
Cash flow hedging activities, net	\$3	\$ 3
Unrecognized prior service cost and actuarial loss, net	(55)	(56)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	\$(52)	\$ (53)

Note 7—Variable Interest Entities

PPEA Holding Company, LLC. Until the sale of our interest on November 10, 2010, we owned an approximate 37 percent interest in PPEA Holding, which through PPEA, its wholly-owned subsidiary, owns an approximate 57 percent undivided interest in the Plum Point Project. On November 10, 2010, we completed the sale of our interest in PPEA Holding to one of the other investors in PPEA Holding. Please read Note 7—Impairment and Restructuring Charges—2010 Impairment Charges—Other in our Form 10-K.

Due to the uncertainty and risk surrounding PPEA's financing structure as a result of events that occurred in 2010, we concluded that there was an other-than-temporary impairment of our investment in PPEA Holding and fully impaired our equity investment at March 31, 2010. As a result, we recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$37 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, which is included in Losses from unconsolidated investments in our unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations. The impairment is a Level 3 non-recurring fair value measurement and reflected our best estimate of third party market participants' considerations including probabilities related to restructuring of the project debt and potential insolvency. Please read Note 5—Fair Value Measurements for further discussion.

Summarized aggregate financial information for unconsolidated equity investments and our equity share thereof was:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	
	Total	Equity Share
	(in millions)	
Revenues	\$ —	\$ —
Operating income	(1)	—
Net income	9	3

Losses from unconsolidated investments for the three months ended March 31, 2010 were \$34 million, which includes an impairment loss of \$37 million, discussed above. This impairment was partially offset by equity earnings of \$3 million, comprised primarily of mark-to-market gains related to PPEA's interest rate swaps, partly offset by financing expenses.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Note 8—Dynegy's Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share represents the amount of earnings (losses) for the period available to each share of Dynegy common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share represents the amount of earnings (losses) for the period available to each share of Dynegy common stock outstanding during the period plus each share that would have been outstanding assuming the issuance of common shares for all dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. Basic and diluted shares outstanding for all periods presented have been calculated to reflect the 1-for-5 reverse stock split effected May 25, 2010. Please read Note 23—Capital Stock in our Form 10-K for further discussion.

The reconciliation of basic earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations to diluted earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations is shown in the following table:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in millions, except per share amounts)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations for basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ (77)	\$ 144
Basic weighted-average shares	121	120
Effect of dilutive securities:		
Stock options and restricted stock	—	1
Diluted weighted-average shares	121	121
Earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations:		
Basic	\$ (0.64)	\$ 1.20
Diluted (1)	\$ (0.64)	\$ 1.19

(1) Entities with a net loss from continuing operations are prohibited from including potential common shares in the computation of diluted per-share amounts. Accordingly, Dynegy Inc. has utilized the basic shares outstanding amount to calculate both basic and diluted loss per share for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Note 9—Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Proceedings

Set forth below is a summary of our material ongoing legal proceedings. We record reserves for contingencies when information available indicates that a loss is probable and the amount of the loss is reasonably estimable. In addition, we disclose matters for which management believes a material loss is at least reasonably possible. In all instances, management has assessed the matters below based on current information and made a judgment concerning their potential outcome, giving due consideration to the nature of the claim, the amount and nature of damages sought and the probability of success. Management's judgment may prove materially inaccurate and such judgment is made subject to the known uncertainty of litigation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Stockholder Litigation Relating to the Blackstone and Icahn Merger Agreements. In connection with the merger agreement with an affiliate of The Blackstone Group L.P. (as amended, the “Blackstone Merger Agreement”) and the merger agreement with an affiliate of Icahn Enterprises L.P. (as amended, the “Icahn Merger Agreement” and, together with the Blackstone Merger Agreement, the “Merger Agreements”), numerous stockholder lawsuits were filed in the District Courts of Harris County, Texas, the Southern District of Texas, and the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware. The cases in these three jurisdictions were ultimately consolidated into one action in each jurisdiction (the “Consolidated Texas State Court Action,” the “Consolidated Texas Federal Action,” and the “Consolidated Delaware Chancery Court Action”). One stockholder derivative lawsuit was filed in a District Court in Harris County, Texas.

On November 7, 2010, during the pendency of the Blackstone transaction, the parties entered into a memorandum of understanding providing for the full and final settlement of the Texas state stockholder class actions and the Delaware actions. The memorandum of understanding and settlement were expressly subject to and conditioned upon the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the Blackstone Merger Agreement. Accordingly, when the Blackstone Merger Agreement was terminated, the settlement became null and void. Thereafter, the motion by the plaintiff in the stockholder derivative action to nonsuit all defendants without prejudice was granted on December 14, 2010.

Following the termination of the Icahn Merger Agreement and upon Dynegy’s insistence, the plaintiffs in the Consolidated Texas Federal Action and Consolidated Delaware Chancery Court Action moved to dismiss their claims without prejudice. The courts dismissed the cases on March 1, 2011, and March 16, 2011, respectively. On March 28, 2011, plaintiff’s counsel in the Consolidated Texas State Court Action filed a motion seeking attorneys’ fees and expenses in the amount of approximately \$1.6 million for their efforts in representing plaintiff. Dynegy is vigorously opposing this motion.

Gas Index Pricing Litigation. We, several of our affiliates, our former joint venture affiliate and other energy companies were named as defendants in numerous lawsuits in state and federal court claiming damages resulting from alleged price manipulation and false reporting of natural gas prices to various index publications in the 2000-2002 timeframe. Many of the cases have been resolved and those which remain are pending in Nevada federal district court. Recent developments include:

In February 2007, the Tennessee state court dismissed a class action on defendants’ motion. Plaintiffs appealed and, in October 2008, the appellate court reversed the dismissal. Thereafter, defendants appealed to the Tennessee Supreme Court which, in April 2010, reversed the appellate court ruling and dismissed all of plaintiffs’ claims. Plaintiffs’ deadline to appeal to the United States Supreme Court has expired.

In February 2008, the United States District Court in Las Vegas, Nevada granted defendants’ motion for summary judgment in a Colorado class action and, ultimately, dismissed the case and all of plaintiffs’ claims. The decision is subject to appeal once the remaining defendants’ claims are adjudicated.

The remaining five cases, three of which seek class certification, are also pending in Nevada federal court. All of the cases contain similar claims that individually, and in conjunction with other energy companies, we engaged in an

illegal scheme to inflate natural gas prices in four states by providing false information to natural gas index publications. In November 2009, following defendants' motion for reconsideration, the court invited defendants to renew their motions for summary judgment on preemption of plaintiffs' state law claims, which were filed shortly thereafter. Plaintiffs concurrently moved to amend their complaints to add federal claims. In October 2010, the court denied plaintiffs' motion to amend. We await an order on defendants' motions for summary judgment or further instruction from the court. In the interim, discovery and plaintiffs' class certification motions are stayed.

We continue to analyze the Gas Index Pricing Litigation and are vigorously defending the remaining individual matters. Due to the uncertainty of litigation, we cannot predict whether we will incur any liability in connection with these lawsuits. We believe these cases lack merit and we will continue to oppose plaintiff's claims vigorously.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Cooling Water Intake Permits. The cooling water intake structures at several of our power generation facilities are regulated under Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act. This provision generally provides that standards set for power generation facilities require that the location, design, construction and capacity of cooling water intake structures reflect the BTA for minimizing adverse environmental impact. These standards are developed and implemented for power generating facilities through the NPDES permits or individual SPDES permits on a case-by-case basis.

The environmental groups that participate in our NPDES and SPDES permit proceedings generally argue that only closed cycle cooling meets the BTA requirement. The issuance and renewal of NPDES or SPDES permits for three of our power generation facilities (Danskammer, Roseton and Moss Landing) have been challenged on this basis. The Danskammer SPDES permit, which was renewed and issued in June 2006, does not require installation of a closed cycle cooling system; however, it does require aquatic organism mortality reductions resulting from NYSDEC's determination of BTA requirements under its regulations. All appeals of this permit have been exhausted. Two permit challenges are still pending.

Roseton SPDES Permit — In April 2005, the NYSDEC issued a Draft SPDES Permit renewal for the Roseton plant. The permit is opposed by environmental groups challenging the BTA determination. In October 2006, various holdings in the administrative law judge's ruling admitting the environmental group petitioners to party status and setting forth the issues to be adjudicated in the permit renewal hearing were appealed to the Commissioner of NYSDEC by the petitioners, NYSDEC staff and us. The permit renewal hearing will be scheduled after the Commissioner rules on those appeals. We believe that the petitioners' claims lack merit and we plan to oppose those claims vigorously.

Moss Landing NPDES Permit — The California Regional Water Quality Control Board ("Water Board") issued an NPDES permit for the Moss Landing power generating facility in 2000 that did not require closed cycle cooling. A local environmental group challenged the BTA determination of the permit. The Water Board's decision was affirmed by the Superior Court in 2004 and by the Court of Appeals in 2007. The Supreme Court of California granted review in March 2008. The petitioner's brief was filed in December 2009. We filed a motion to dismiss and our responsive brief in March 2010. The petitioner's reply brief was filed in May 2010. Our motion to dismiss was denied in June 2010. In July 2010, the California Energy Commission filed an application for leave to file a brief in support of our argument challenging the jurisdiction of the Superior Court. In September 2010, four air quality control districts filed an application for leave to file a brief in support of jurisdiction of the Superior Court. Recently, the Supreme Court of California scheduled the matter for oral argument in May 2011. We believe that petitioner's claims lack merit and we plan to continue to oppose those claims vigorously.

Due to the nature of these claims, an adverse result in either of these proceedings could have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows; however, given the numerous variables and factors involved in calculating the potential costs associated with installing a closed cycle cooling system, any decision to install such a system at any of our facilities would be made on a case-by-case basis considering all relevant factors at such time. If capital expenditures related to cooling water systems become great enough to render the operation of the plant uneconomical, we could, at our option, and subject to any applicable financing agreements or other obligations,

reduce operations or cease to operate that facility and forego the capital expenditures.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Native Village of Kivalina and City of Kivalina v. ExxonMobil Corporation, et al. In February 2008, the Native Village of Kivalina and the City of Kivalina, Alaska initiated an action in federal court in the Northern District of California against DHI and 23 other companies in the energy industry. Plaintiffs claim that defendants' emissions of GHG including CO₂ contribute to climate change and have caused significant damage to a native Alaskan Eskimo village through increased vulnerability to waves, storm surges and erosion. In September 2009, the court dismissed all of the plaintiffs' claims based on lack of subject matter jurisdiction and because plaintiffs lacked standing to bring the suit. Shortly thereafter, plaintiffs appealed to the Ninth Circuit. The appeal is fully briefed and in February 2011, the Ninth Circuit issued an order staying the scheduling of oral argument until at least June 15, 2011, pending the United States Supreme Court's ruling in *Connecticut vs. AEP*. We believe the plaintiffs' suit lacks merit and we will continue to oppose their claims vigorously.

Illinova Generating Company Arbitration. In May 2007, Dynegy's subsidiary Illinova Generating Company ("IGC") received an adverse award in an arbitration brought by Ponderosa Pine Energy, LLC ("PPE"). The award required IGC to pay PPE \$17 million, which IGC paid in June 2007 under protest while simultaneously seeking to vacate the award in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas. In March 2010, the Dallas District Court vacated the award, finding that one of the arbitrators had exhibited evident partiality. PPE is appealing that decision to the Fifth District Court of Appeals in Dallas, Texas. Coinciding with the appeal, IGC filed a claim against PPE seeking recovery of the \$17 million plus interest. In September 2010, the Dallas District Court ordered PPE to deposit the \$17 million principal in an interest-bearing escrow account jointly owned by IGC and PPE pending the Dallas Court of Appeals decision, which has not yet been issued. As a result of the uncertainty surrounding the outcome of PPE's appeal, our receivable from PPE is fully reserved at March 31, 2011.

Ordinary Course Litigation. In addition to the matters discussed above, we are party to numerous legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business or related to discontinued business operations. In management's judgment, which may prove to be materially inaccurate as indicated above, the disposition of these matters will not materially affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Guarantees and Indemnifications

In the ordinary course of business, we routinely enter into contractual agreements that contain various representations, warranties, indemnifications and guarantees. Examples of such agreements include, but are not limited to, service agreements, equipment purchase agreements, engineering and technical service agreements, asset sales and procurement and construction contracts. Some agreements contain indemnities that cover the other party's negligence or limit the other party's liability with respect to third party claims, in which event we will effectively be indemnifying the other party. Virtually all such agreements contain representations or warranties that are covered by indemnifications against the losses incurred by the other parties in the event such representations and warranties are false. While there is always the possibility of a loss related to such representations, warranties, indemnifications and guarantees in our contractual agreements, and such loss could be significant, in most cases management considers the probability of loss to be remote. Related to the indemnifications discussed below, we have accrued approximately \$2 million as of March 31, 2011.

LS Power Indemnities. In connection with the LS Power Transactions we agreed in the purchase and sale agreement to indemnify LS Power against claims regarding any breaches in our representations and warranties and certain other potential liabilities. Claims for indemnification shall survive until twelve months subsequent to closing with exceptions for tax claims, which shall survive for the applicable statute of limitations plus 30 days, and certain other representations and potential liabilities, which shall survive indefinitely. The indemnifications provided to LS Power are limited to \$1.3 billion in total; however, several categories of indemnifications are not available to LS Power until the liabilities incurred in the aggregate are equal to or exceed \$15 million and are capped at a maximum of \$100 million. Further, the purchase and sale agreement provides in part that we may not reduce or avoid liability for a valid claim based on a claim of contribution. In addition to the above indemnities related to the LS Power Transactions, we have agreed to indemnify LS Power against claims related to the Riverside/Foothills Project for certain aspects of the project. Namely, LS Power has been indemnified for any disputes that arise as to ownership, transfer of bonds related to the project, and any failure by us to obtain approval for the transfer of the payment in-lieu of taxes program already in place. The indemnities related solely to the Riverside/Foothills Project are capped at a maximum of \$180 million and extend until the earlier of the expiration of the tax agreement or December 26, 2026. At this time, we have incurred no significant expenses under these indemnities. Please read Note 4—Dispositions, Contract Terminations and Discontinued Operations—Dispositions and Contract Terminations—LS Power Transactions in our Form 10-K for further discussion.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

West Coast Power Indemnities. In connection with the sale of our 50 percent interest in West Coast Power to NRG on March 31, 2006, an agreement was executed to allocate responsibility for managing certain litigation and provide for certain indemnities with respect to such litigation. The indemnification agreement in relevant part provides that NRG assumes responsibility for all defense costs and any risk of loss, subject to certain conditions and limitations, arising from a February 2002 complaint filed at FERC by the California Public Utilities Commission alleging that several parties, including West Cost Power subsidiaries, overcharged the State of California for wholesale power. FERC found the rates charged by wholesale suppliers to be just and reasonable; however, this matter was appealed to the U.S. Supreme Court, which remanded the case to FERC for further review. At this time, FERC has not acted on remand.

Targa Indemnities. During 2005, as part of our sale of our midstream business (“DMSLP”), we agreed to indemnify Targa Resources, Inc. (“Targa”) against losses it may incur under indemnifications DMSLP provided to purchasers of certain assets, properties and businesses disposed of by DMSLP prior to our sale of DMSLP. We have incurred no material expense under these prior indemnities. We have recorded an accrual of less than \$1 million for remediation of groundwater contamination at the Breckenridge Gas Processing Plant sold by DMSLP in 2001. The indemnification provided by DMSLP to the purchaser of the plant has a limit of \$5 million.

Illinois Power Indemnities. Dynegy has indemnified third parties against losses resulting from possible adverse regulatory actions taken by the ICC that could prevent Illinois Power from recovering costs incurred in connection with purchased natural gas and investments in specified items. Although there is no absolute limitation on Dynegy’s liability under this indemnity, the amount of the indemnity is limited to 50 percent of any such losses. Dynegy has made certain payments in respect of these indemnities following regulatory action by the ICC, and has established reserves for further potential indemnity claims. Further events, which fall within the scope of the indemnity, may still occur. However, Dynegy is not required to accrue a liability in connection with these indemnifications, as management cannot reasonably estimate a range of outcomes or at this time considers the probability of an adverse outcome as only reasonably possible. Dynegy intends to contest any proposed regulatory actions.

Black Mountain Guarantee. Through one of our subsidiaries, we hold a 50 percent ownership interest in Black Mountain (Nevada Cogeneration) (“Black Mountain”), in which our partner is a Chevron subsidiary. Black Mountain owns the Black Mountain power generation facility and has a power purchase agreement with a third party that extends through April 2023. In connection with the power purchase agreement, pursuant to which Black Mountain receives payments which decrease in amount over time, we agreed to guarantee 50 percent of certain payments that may be due to the power purchaser under a mechanism designed to protect it from early termination of the agreement. At March 31, 2011, if an event of default due to early termination had occurred under the terms of the mortgage on the facility entered into in connection with the power purchase agreement, we could have been required to pay the power purchaser approximately \$54 million under the guarantee.

Other Indemnities. We entered into indemnifications regarding environmental, tax, employee and other representations when completing asset sales such as, but not limited, to the Rolling Hills, Calcasieu, CoGen Lyondell and Heard County power generating facilities. As of March 31, 2011, no claims have been made against these indemnities. There is no limitation on our liability under certain of these indemnities. However, management is

unaware of any existing claims.

29

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Note 10—Debt

Credit Facility. Our Credit Facility, currently consists of a \$1.08 billion revolving credit facility, an \$850 million term letter of credit facility and a \$68 million senior secured term loan facility. The agreements governing this Credit Facility require us to meet specific financial covenants, including an EBITDA to Consolidated Interest Expense covenant, as set forth in our Credit Facility. Compliance is determined when the results from the relevant fiscal quarter are available, and is measured as of the last day of the relevant fiscal quarter using the trailing four quarters of historical financial data. Please read Note 18—Debt in our Form 10-K for further discussion. We are in compliance with our EBITDA to Consolidated Interest Expense covenant as of March 31, 2011. However, given the increasingly stringent requirements under this covenant over the next twelve months, and considering the latest available forward commodity price curves and our current derivative contracts, we project that it is likely that we will not be in compliance with this covenant, as currently set forth in the Credit Facility, beginning in the third or fourth quarter of 2011, and it is virtually certain that we will not be in compliance with this covenant at some point over the next twelve months unless we reach agreement on an amendment to or replacement of the Credit Facility, or obtain a waiver of its terms.

During the first quarter of 2011, our EBITDA to Consolidated Interest Expense ratio has continued to deteriorate. The following table summarizes the required ratio at different periods of time, as well as the actual ratio for the last two twelve month periods:

Period Ended:	Requirement:	Actual:
December 31, 2010	No less than 1.30 : 1	1.668
March 31, 2011	No less than 1.35 : 1	1.423
June 30, 2011	No less than 1.40 : 1	N/A
September 30, 2011	No less than 1.60 : 1	N/A
December 31, 2011	No less than 1.60 : 1	N/A
Thereafter	No less than 1.75 : 1	N/A

As noted above, this ratio has declined over the last three months. Additionally, the most stringent ratio that we will be required to meet within twelve months of the balance sheet date has increased. As a result of the decrease in our actual EBITDA to Consolidated Interest Expense ratio coupled with the increasingly stringent ratio requirements, we have classified the debt outstanding under the Credit Facility and the restricted cash collateralizing this debt as current on our unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets at March 31, 2011.

A failure by us to comply with our financial covenants or to comply with the other restrictions in our Credit Facility could result in reduced borrowing capacity or even a default, causing our debt obligations under such financing agreements, and any other indebtedness to the extent linked to it by reason of cross-default or cross-acceleration provisions, to potentially become immediately due and payable. We could avoid a default under the Credit Facility if we were to repay the amounts owed and cash collateralize the related letters of credit. However, this course of action would not alleviate the going concern and liquidity issues that we are facing over the next twelve months. If we are unable to cure any such default, or obtain a waiver or a replacement financing, and those lenders accelerate the

payment of such indebtedness, in the case that we are unable to repay those amounts, the holders of the indebtedness under our secured debt obligations would be entitled to initiate foreclosure actions designed to acquire control of substantially all of our assets. The success of any such actions would have a material adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Note 11—Employee Compensation, Savings and Pension Plans

We have various defined benefit pension plans and post-retirement benefit plans in which our past and present employees participate, which are more fully described in Note 24—Employee Compensation, Savings and Pension Plans in our Form 10-K.

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost. The components of net periodic benefit cost were:

	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	Three Months Ended March 31, (in millions)			
Service cost benefits earned during period	\$3	\$3	\$1	\$1
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	4	3	1	1
Expected return on plan assets	(4)	(4)	—	—
Recognized net actuarial loss	1	1	—	—
Net periodic benefit cost	\$4	\$3	\$2	\$2

Contributions. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we made \$2 million in contributions to our pension plans or other postretirement benefit plans. We made \$4 million in contributions to our pension plans or other postretirement benefit plans during the three months ended March 31, 2010. We expect to make contributions of approximately \$12 million to our pension plans and \$2 million to other benefit plans during 2011.

Note 12—Income Taxes

Effective Tax Rate. We compute our quarterly taxes under the effective tax rate method based on applying an anticipated annual effective rate to our year-to-date income or loss, except for significant unusual or extraordinary transactions. Income taxes for significant unusual or extraordinary transactions are computed and recorded in the period that the specific transaction occurs. Dynegy's income taxes included in continuing operations were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in millions, except rates)	
Income tax benefit (expense)	\$60	\$(65)
Effective tax rate	44 %	31 %

For the period ended March 31, 2011, the difference between the effective rate of 44 percent for Dynegy and the statutory rate of 35 percent resulted primarily from the impact of state taxes including a benefit of \$9 million related to

an increase in state NOLs due to the acceptance of amended returns, which we filed as a result of a change in a tax position, partially offset by an expense of \$3 million related to an increase in the Illinois statutory rate. For the period ended March 31, 2010, the difference between the effective rate of 31 percent and the statutory rate of 35 percent was due primarily to a benefit of \$16 million related to the release of a reserve for uncertain tax positions upon completion of an audit, partly offset by the impact of state taxes.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

DHI's income taxes included in continuing operations were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in millions, except rates)	
Income tax benefit (expense)	\$58	\$(72)
Effective tax rate	42%	34%

For the period ended March 31, 2011, the difference between the effective rate of 42 percent for DHI and the statutory rate of 35 percent resulted primarily from the impact of state taxes including a benefit of \$6 million related to an increase in state NOLs due to the acceptance of amended returns, which we filed as a result of a change in a tax position, partially offset by an expense of \$2 million related to an increase in the Illinois statutory rate. For the period ended March 31, 2010, the difference between the effective rate of 34 percent and the statutory rate of 35 percent resulted primarily from a benefit of \$11 million related to the release of a reserve for uncertain tax positions upon completion of an audit, partly offset by the impact of state taxes.

Note 13—Segment Information

We reported results for the following segments: (i) GEN-MW, (ii) GEN-WE and (iii) GEN-NE. Our unaudited condensed consolidated financial results also reflect corporate-level expenses such as general and administrative, interest and depreciation and amortization.

Reportable segment information for Dynegy, including intercompany transactions accounted for at prevailing market rates, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 is presented below:

Dynegy's Segment Data as of and for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011
(in millions)

	Power Generation			Other	Total
	GEN-MW	GEN-WE	GEN-NE		
Unaffiliated revenues:					
Domestic	\$280	\$62	\$163	\$—	\$505
Total revenues	\$280	\$62	\$163	\$—	\$505
Depreciation and amortization	\$(100)	\$(17)	\$(7)	\$(2)	\$(126)
Operating income (loss)	\$(3)	\$1	\$(5)	\$(42)	\$(49)

Edgar Filing: DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

Other items, net	—	—	—	1	1
Interest expense					(89)
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes					(137)
Income tax benefit					60
Net loss					\$(77)
Identifiable assets:					
Domestic	\$4,970	\$1,828	\$1,670	\$1,351	\$9,819
Total	\$4,970	\$1,828	\$1,670	\$1,351	\$9,819
Capital expenditures	\$(44)	\$(5)	\$(17)	\$—	\$(66)

32

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Dynergy's Segment Data as of and for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010
(in millions)

	Power Generation				Total
	GEN-MW	GEN-WE	GEN-NE	Other	
Unaffiliated revenues:					
Domestic	\$486	\$143	\$229	\$—	\$858
Total revenues	\$486	\$143	\$229	\$—	\$858
Depreciation and amortization	\$(50)	\$(16)	\$(8)	\$(1)	\$(75)
Operating income (loss)	\$260	\$45	\$60	\$(34)	\$331
Losses from unconsolidated investments	(34)	—	—	—	(34)
Other items, net	—	—	1	—	1
Interest expense					(89)
Income from continuing operations before income taxes					209
Income tax expense					(65)
Income from continuing operations					144
Income from discontinued operations, net of taxes					1
Net income					\$145
Identifiable assets:					
Domestic	\$5,705	\$2,061	\$2,003	\$1,664	\$11,433
Other	—	—	—	24	24
Total	\$5,705	\$2,061	\$2,003	\$1,688	\$11,457
Capital expenditures	\$(89)	\$(8)	\$(3)	\$(1)	\$(101)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Reportable segment information for DHI, including intercompany transactions accounted for at prevailing market rates, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 is presented below:

DHI's Segment Data as of and for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011
(in millions)

	Power Generation				Total
	GEN-MW	GEN-WE	GEN-NE	Other	
Unaffiliated revenues:					
Domestic	\$ 280	\$ 62	\$ 163	\$ —	\$ 505
Total revenues	\$ 280	\$ 62	\$ 163	\$ —	\$ 505
Depreciation and amortization	\$ (100)	\$ (17)	\$ (7)	\$ (2)	\$ (126)
Operating income (loss)	\$ (3)	\$ 1	\$ (5)	\$ (43)	\$ (50)
Other items, net	—	—	—	1	1
Interest expense					(89)
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes					(138)
Income tax benefit					58
Net loss					\$ (80)
Identifiable assets:					
Domestic	\$ 4,970	\$ 1,828	\$ 1,670	\$ 1,287	\$ 9,755
Total	\$ 4,970	\$ 1,828	\$ 1,670	\$ 1,287	\$ 9,755
Capital expenditures	\$ (44)	\$ (5)	\$ (17)	\$ —	\$ (66)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(Unaudited)

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

DHI's Segment Data as of and for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010
(in millions)

	Power Generation				Total
	GEN-MW	GEN-WE	GEN-NE	Other	
Unaffiliated revenues:					
Domestic	\$486	\$143	\$229	\$—	\$858
Total revenues	\$486	\$143	\$229	\$—	\$858
Depreciation and amortization	\$(50)	\$(16)	\$(8)	\$(1)	\$(75)
Operating income (loss)	\$260	\$45	\$60	\$(34)	\$331
Losses from unconsolidated investments	(34)	—	—	—	(34)
Other items, net	—	—	1	—	1
Interest expense					(89)
Income from continuing operations before income taxes					209
Income tax expense					(72)
Income from continuing operations					137
Income from discontinued operations, net of taxes					1
Net income					\$138
Identifiable assets:					
Domestic	\$5,705	\$2,061	\$2,003	\$1,612	\$11,381
Other	—	—	—	24	24
Total	\$5,705	\$2,061	\$2,003	\$1,636	\$11,405
Capital expenditures	\$(89)	\$(8)	\$(3)	\$(1)	\$(101)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

For the Interim Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Item 2—MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF
OPERATIONS—DYNEGY INC. AND DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

The following discussion should be read together with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in this report and with the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in our Form 10-K.

We are holding companies and conduct substantially all of our business operations through our subsidiaries. Our current business operations are focused primarily on the power generation sector of the energy industry. We report the results of our power generation business as three separate segments in our consolidated financial statements: (i) the Midwest segment (“GEN-MW”); (ii) the West segment (“GEN-WE”); and (iii) the Northeast segment (“GEN-NE”). Our unaudited condensed consolidated financial results also reflect corporate-level expenses such as general and administrative, interest and depreciation and amortization.

Going Concern. Our accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern, which contemplates realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business for the twelve month period following the date of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. However, continued low power prices over the past two years have had a significant adverse impact on our business. Further, as our credit rating has declined, counterparty requirements for posting collateral in support of our risk management positions and other contractual obligations have become more stringent. Over the next twelve months, we expect that we will continue to rely on the issuance of letters of credit and/or cash borrowings and/or securing additional sources of capital to continue to meet our operating needs.

The agreements governing our Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, as amended (the “Credit Facility”), require us to meet specific financial covenants both as a matter of course and as a condition to the incurrence of additional debt and to the making of restricted payments or asset sales, among other things. These specific financial covenants are required to be calculated on a quarterly basis and become more restrictive over the course of the next twelve months. Given the increasingly stringent requirements under our EBITDA to Consolidated Interest Expense covenant over the next twelve months, and considering the latest available forward commodity price curves and our current derivative contracts, we project that it is likely that we will not be in compliance with this covenant, as currently set forth in the Credit Facility, beginning in the third or fourth quarter of 2011, and it is virtually certain that we will not be in compliance with this covenant at some point over the next twelve months unless we reach agreement on an amendment to or replacement of the Credit Facility, or obtain a waiver of its terms. Furthermore, we expect that our available liquidity will continue to be reduced as a result of borrowing limitations under the covenant regarding the ratio of Secured Debt to EBITDA, as defined in our Credit Facility. To continue as a going concern over the next twelve months, we must, in the near-term (i) meet the financial covenants so that we can access our Credit Facility, (ii) amend or replace our Credit Facility or obtain a waiver of certain of its requirements, or (iii) otherwise secure additional capital. Further, our Credit Facility includes a revolving credit facility which had undrawn capacity of \$649 million at March 31, 2011, and expires by its terms on April 2, 2012. Even if we are able to meet the financial covenants included in our Credit Facility in the near-term, we will be required to amend or replace the Credit Facility or otherwise secure additional capital upon the expiration of this revolving facility. We are currently discussing with lenders the terms upon which an amendment to the Credit Facility or a new credit facility could be implemented. We

expect the capacity of any amended or new credit facility to be less than the current capacity of \$1 billion and to be at a higher cost.

At March 31, 2011, we have the following obligations outstanding under the Credit Facility:

\$68 million due April 2013 under the Term Loan B (as defined in Note 18—Debt—Credit Facility in the Form 10-K);

TABLE OF CONTENTS

\$850 million due April 2013 under the Term Facility (as defined in Note 18—Debt—Credit Facility in the Form 10-K) (fully collateralized by \$850 million of current restricted cash); and

\$439 million in issued letters of credit.

A failure by us to comply with our financial covenants or to comply with the other restrictions in our Credit Facility could result in reduced borrowing capacity or even a default, causing our debt obligations under such financing agreements, and any other indebtedness to the extent linked to it by reason of cross-default or cross-acceleration provisions, to potentially become immediately due and payable. We could avoid a default under the Credit Facility if we were to repay the amounts owed and cash collateralize the related letters of credit. However, this course of action would not alleviate the going concern and liquidity issues that we are facing over the next twelve months. If we are unable to cure any such default, or obtain a waiver or a replacement financing, and those lenders accelerate the payment of such indebtedness, in the case that we are unable to repay those amounts, the holders of the indebtedness under our secured debt obligations would be entitled to initiate foreclosure actions designed to acquire control of substantially all of our assets. The success of any such actions would have a material adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We may also seek additional sources of liquidity in order to ensure that we have sufficient cash available to meet our operating needs. These additional sources of liquidity could include asset sales, public or private issuances of debt, equity or equity-linked securities, debt for equity swaps, or any combination of these. The Finance and Restructuring Committee of Dynegy's Board of Directors has retained advisers in connection with potential debt restructuring activities, and we have begun work on this initiative. However, we cannot provide any assurances that we will be successful in accomplishing any of these plans.

Our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent on many factors, including, among other things, our ability to achieve the operating results necessary to comply with the covenants in our existing Credit Facility, amend or replace our existing Credit Facility, or achieve the operating results necessary to comply with the covenants in any amended or new credit facility. Such compliance will be dependent on our ability to successfully execute our commercial strategies, manage our collateral requirements, and continue to execute the company-wide cost reduction initiatives that are ongoing. Please read Note 1—Accounting Policies—Going Concern and Note 10—Debt for further information.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Overview

In this section, we describe our liquidity and capital requirements including our sources and uses of liquidity and capital resources. Our liquidity and capital requirements are primarily a function of our debt maturities and debt service requirements, collateral requirements, fixed capacity payments and contractual obligations, capital expenditures (including required environmental expenditures) and working capital needs. Examples of working capital needs include purchases and sales of commodities and associated margin and collateral requirements, facility maintenance costs and other costs such as payroll.

Our primary sources of internal liquidity are cash flows from operations, cash on hand, short-term investments and available capacity under our Credit Facility, of which the revolver capacity of \$1,080 million (currently \$649 million due to the capacity reductions discussed below) is scheduled to mature in April 2012 and the term letter of credit capacity of \$850 million is scheduled to mature in April 2013. However, in light of our expected non-compliance in the next twelve months with certain covenants in the Credit Facility as discussed above, we have classified the Credit Facility obligations and related restricted cash as current as of March 31, 2011. Please read Note 10—Debt for further information.

In the absence of an amendment to or replacement of the Credit Facility, or a waiver of its terms, our cash on hand and short-term investments as of March 31, 2011 and our internally forecasted cash flows for the remainder of 2011, are not expected to be sufficient to fund our remaining planned capital expenditure program for 2011 and our debt service requirements for the year. As discussed above, we are attempting to amend or replace our existing Credit Facility. We are currently discussing with lenders the terms upon which an amendment to the Credit Facility or a new credit facility could be implemented. Please see Note 1—Accounting Policies—Going Concern for further discussion.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

We may also seek additional sources of liquidity in an effort to secure sufficient cash to meet our operating needs. These additional sources of liquidity could include asset sales, public or private issuances of debt, equity or equity-linked securities, debt for equity swaps, or any combination of these. Please read Capital-Structuring Transactions and Asset Dispositions below for more detail. The Finance and Restructuring Committee of Dynegy's Board of Directors has retained advisers in connection with potential debt restructuring activities, and we have begun work on this initiative. However, we cannot provide any assurances that we will be successful in accomplishing any of these plans.

Please read Note 1—Accounting Policies—Going Concern and Note 10—Debt in this Form 10-Q and the discussion below regarding Revolver Capacity as well as Note 18 Debt—Credit Facility in our Form 10-K for a further discussion of the financial covenants contained in the Credit Facility. For additional discussion of factors that may affect our ability to continue as a going concern and the potential consequences of our failure to do so, please see Item 1A—Risk Factors in our Form 10-K.

Current Liquidity. The following table summarizes our consolidated revolver capacity and liquidity position at May 2, 2011, March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	May 2, 2011	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
	(in millions)		
Revolver capacity (1) (2)	\$649	\$649	\$954
Borrowings against revolver capacity	—	—	—
Term letter of credit capacity, net of required reserves	825	825	825
Available contingent letter of credit facility capacity (3)	—	—	—
Outstanding letters of credit	(544)	(439)	(375)
Unused capacity	930	1,035	1,404
Cash—DHI	172	281	253
Short-term investments—DHI (4)	21	68	90
Total available liquidity—DHI	1,123	1,384	1,747
Cash—Dynegy	49	47	38
Short-term investments—Dynegy (4)	9	8	16
Total available liquidity—Dynegy	\$1,181	\$1,439	\$1,801

- (1) We currently have a syndicate of lenders participating in the revolving portion of our Credit Facility with commitments ranging from \$30 million to \$165 million.
- (2) As of May 2, 2011, March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, DHI's available liquidity under the Credit Facility was reduced by \$431 million, \$431 million and \$126 million, respectively, as a result of borrowing limitations under the covenant regarding the ratio of Secured Debt to EBITDA. Further reduction in capacity may occur based on our ratio of Secured Debt to EBITDA at June 30, 2011, September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011. Please see Revolver Capacity below for further discussion.
- (3) Under the terms of the Contingent LC Facility, up to \$150 million of capacity can become available, contingent on changes in forward spark spreads and power prices for 2012.
- (4) We invest our available cash balances in certain investments permitted by our internal policies and external financing agreements. Please read Note 3—Investments for further discussion.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cash on Hand. At May 2, 2011 and March 31, 2011, Dynegy had cash on hand of \$221 million and \$328 million, respectively, as compared to \$291 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in cash on hand at May 2, 2011 as compared to March 31, 2011 is primarily due to the payment of debt that matured on April 1, 2011 of \$80 million and interest payments on our debt of \$56 million partially offset by maturities of short-term investments of \$49 million. The increase in cash on hand at March 31, 2011 as compared to the end of 2010 is primarily attributable to the expiration of a security and deposit agreement and the subsequent release of \$50 million of restricted cash.

At May 2, 2011 and March 31, 2011, DHI had cash on hand of \$172 million and \$281 million, respectively, as compared to \$253 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in cash on hand at May 2, 2011 as compared to March 31, 2011 is primarily due to the payment of debt that matured on April 1, 2011 of \$80 million and interest payments on our debt of \$56 million partially offset by maturities of short-term investments of \$47 million. The increase in cash on hand at March 31, 2011 as compared to the end of 2010 is primarily attributable to the expiration of a security and deposit agreement and the subsequent release of \$50 million of restricted cash.

Revolver Capacity. DHI's available liquidity under the Credit Facility was reduced by \$431 million and \$126 million as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, as a result of borrowing limitations under the covenant regarding the ratio of Secured Debt to EBITDA (as defined in our Credit Facility). The effect of reduced availability under the Credit Facility is less available liquidity to DHI. Further reductions in capacity are likely to occur at June 30, 2011, September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011. Given the increasingly stringent requirements under this covenant over the next twelve months, and considering the latest available forward commodity price curves and our current derivative contracts, we project that it is likely that we will not be in compliance with our EBITDA to Consolidated Interest Expense covenant, as currently set forth in our Credit Facility, beginning in the third or fourth quarter of 2011, and it is virtually certain that we will not be in compliance with this covenant at some point over the next twelve months unless we reach agreement on an amendment to or replacement of the Credit Facility, or obtain a waiver of its terms. In such event, the Credit Facility may be terminated by the lenders and outstanding amounts thereunder accelerated. Accordingly, we are currently discussing with lenders an amendment to or the replacement of our existing Credit Facility. We expect the capacity of any amended or new credit facility to be less than the current capacity of \$1 billion and to be at a higher cost. Please read Note 1—Accounting Policies—Going Concern and Note 10—Debt in this Form 10-Q for further discussion of our Credit Facility.

Capital-Structuring Transactions. We may seek additional sources of liquidity in order to ensure that we have sufficient cash available to meet our operating needs. These additional sources of liquidity could include asset sales, public or private issuances of debt, equity or equity-linked securities, debt for equity swaps, or any combination of these. Matters to be considered include depressed prices for assets, cash interest expense, covenant compliance and maturity profile, all to be balanced with the need to maintain adequate liquidity. The receptiveness of the capital markets to an offering of debt or equity securities cannot be assured and may be negatively impacted by, among other things, the going concern emphasis paragraph in our most recent audit report, recent changes to our senior management and Dynegy's Board of Directors, our non-investment grade credit ratings, significant debt maturities, business prospects and other factors beyond our control, including current and projected market conditions. Any issuance of equity by Dynegy likely would have other effects as well, including stockholder dilution, and our ability to issue debt securities may be limited by our financing agreements.

Operating Activities

Historical Operating Cash Flows. Dynegy's and DHI's cash flow provided by operations totaled \$83 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. During the period, our power generation business provided positive cash flow from operations of \$167 million from the operation of our power generation facilities. Corporate and other operations included a use of approximately \$84 million in cash by Dynegy and DHI primarily due to interest payments to service debt and general and administrative expenses.

Dynegy's cash flow provided by operations totaled \$458 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. DHI's cash flow provided by operations totaled \$461 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. During the period, our power generation business provided positive cash flow from operations of \$537 million from the operation of our power generation facilities due primarily to cash received for changes in value of our positions with a futures clearing manager. Corporate and other operations included a use of approximately \$79 million and \$76 million in cash by Dynegy and DHI, respectively, primarily due to interest payments to service debt and general and administrative expenses, partially offset by interest income.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Future Operating Cash Flows. Our future operating cash flows will vary based on a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, including the price of power, the price of natural gas and its correlation to power prices, the cost of coal and fuel oil, collateral requirements, the value of capacity and ancillary services, the run time of our generating facilities, the effectiveness of our commercial strategy, legal, environmental and regulatory requirements, our ability to achieve the cost savings contemplated in our cost reduction programs and the level of our ability to capture value associated with commodity price volatility. Given current forward commodity price curves, our future operating cash flows are likely to be insufficient to fund our planned capital expenditure program and our debt service requirements.

Collateral Postings. We use a significant portion of our capital resources, in the form of cash, short-term investments and letters of credit, to satisfy counterparty collateral demands. These counterparty collateral demands reflect our non-investment grade credit ratings and counterparties' views of our financial condition and ability to satisfy our performance obligations, as well as commodity prices and other factors. At March 31, 2011, we had approximately \$92 million of our cash collateral postings and \$72 million of our letter of credit collateral postings related to our hedging activities. The following table summarizes our consolidated collateral postings to third parties by line of business at May 2, 2011, March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	May 2, 2011	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
	(in millions)		
By Business:			
Generation business	\$483	\$470	\$377
Other	173	87	85
Total	\$656	\$557	\$462
By Type:			
Cash and marketable securities (1)	\$112	\$118	\$87
Letters of credit	544	439	375
Total	\$656	\$557	\$462

(1) Includes Broker margin account on our consolidated balance sheets as well as other collateral postings included in Prepayments and other current assets on our consolidated balance sheets.

The change in letters of credit postings from December 31, 2010 to March 31, 2011 is primarily due to higher initial margin posting requirements and reduction in usage of bilateral first lien collateral arrangements. Collateral posting increased from March 31, 2011 to May 2, 2011 primarily due to contractual obligations under certain operational agreements.

In addition to cash and letters of credit posted as collateral, we have granted additional permitted first priority liens on the assets currently subject to first priority liens under our Credit Facility as collateral under certain of our commodity derivative agreements in order to reduce the cash collateral and letters of credit that we would otherwise be required to provide to the counterparties under such agreements. At their discretion, the counterparties under such agreements would share the benefits of the collateral subject to such first priority liens ratably with the lenders under the Credit Facility. The fair value of our commodity derivatives collateralized by first priority liens, netted by counterparty,

included liabilities of \$8 million, \$15 million and \$30 million at May 2, 2011, March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. We do not expect to use first lien arrangements for a significant portion of our collateral requirements going forward.

We expect counterparties' future collateral demands to continue to reflect changes in commodity prices, including seasonal changes in weather-related demand, as well as their views of our creditworthiness. If we do not have access to our Credit Facility or another borrowing facility, it will be difficult for us to satisfy counterparties' collateral demands, including those for which no collateral is currently posted. For further discussion, see Going Concern above. Furthermore, our ability to use forward economic hedging instruments could be limited, due to the collateral requirements the use of such instruments entails. If our commercial strategy is adjusted to reduce such collateral needs, we would be exposed to future increases and decreases in commodity prices and be limited in our ability to capture the extrinsic value associated with our portfolio of assets.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Investing Activities

Capital Expenditures. We continue to tightly manage our operating costs and capital expenditures. We had approximately \$66 million and \$101 million in capital expenditures during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Our capital spending by reportable segment was as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
	(in millions)	
GEN-MW	\$44	\$89
GEN-WE	5	8
GEN-NE	17	3
Other	—	1
Total	\$66	\$101

Capital spending in our GEN-MW segment primarily consisted of environmental and maintenance capital projects. Capital spending in our GEN-WE and GEN-NE segments primarily consisted of maintenance projects.

Asset Dispositions. Consistent with industry practice, we regularly evaluate our generation fleet based primarily on geographic location, fuel supply, market structure, market recovery expectations, regulatory or legislative risks and cash flows. We consider divestitures of assets where the balance of the above factors suggests that such assets' earnings potential is limited or that the benefits that can be captured through a divestiture outweigh the benefits of continuing to own and operate such assets. As future operating cash flows are likely to be insufficient to fund our planned capital expenditure program, lease obligations and our debt service requirements, additional asset divestitures will be considered to supplement our liquidity position, potentially at terms not favorable to us.

Other Investing Activities. Cash outflow related to purchases of short-term investments during the three months ended March 31, 2011 totaled \$75 million and \$69 million for Dynegy and DHI, respectively. Cash inflow related to maturities of short-term investments for the three months ended March 31, 2011 were \$70 million and \$56 million for Dynegy and DHI, respectively. There was a \$20 million cash inflow related to restricted cash balances during the three months ended March 31, 2011 due to a release of \$50 million related to the expiration of a security and deposit agreement offset by an increase of \$30 million in the restricted cash balance related to the Sithe senior notes. Other included \$4 million of insurance proceeds.

Cash outflow related to purchases of short-term investments during the three months ended March 31, 2010 totaled \$114 million for both Dynegy and DHI. Cash inflow related to distributions from short-term investments for the three months ended March 31, 2010 were \$9 million and \$8 million for Dynegy and DHI, respectively. There was a \$35 million cash outflow related to restricted cash balances during the three months ended March 31, 2010 due to an increase in the restricted cash balance related to the Sithe senior notes. Other included \$9 million and \$8 million related to distribution of an investment for Dynegy and DHI, respectively.

Financing Activities

Historical Cash Flow from Financing Activities. Dynegy's cash flow provided by financing activities totaled \$1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 due to proceeds from stock option exercises. DHI had no financing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Financing Trigger Events. Our debt instruments and other financial obligations include provisions which, if not met, could require early payment, additional collateral support or similar actions. These trigger events include the violation of financial covenants, including the Interest Coverage Ratio discussed below (and any other indebtedness to the extent linked to it by reason of cross-default or cross-acceleration provisions), insolvency events, defaults on scheduled principal or interest payments, acceleration of other financial obligations and change of control provisions. We do not have any trigger events tied to specified Dynegy or DHI credit ratings or Dynegy's stock price in our debt instruments and are not party to any contracts that require us to issue equity based on credit ratings or other trigger events.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Financial Covenants. Our Credit Facility contains certain financial covenants, including (i) a covenant (measured as of the last day of the relevant fiscal quarter using the trailing four quarters of historical financial data) that requires DHI and certain of its subsidiaries to maintain a ratio of Secured Debt to EBITDA (each as defined therein) for DHI and its relevant subsidiaries of no greater than a specified amount; and (ii) a covenant that requires DHI and certain of its subsidiaries to maintain a ratio of EBITDA to Consolidated Interest Expense (each as defined therein) for DHI and its relevant subsidiaries as of the last day of the measurement periods as specified below of no less than a specified amount. The following table summarizes the required ratios:

Period Ended:	(i) Secured Debt: EBITDA No greater than:	(ii) EBITDA: Consolidated Interest Expense No less than:
March 31, 2011	3.50 : 1	1.35 : 1
June 30, 2011	3.50 : 1	1.40 : 1
September 30, 2011	3.25 : 1	1.60 : 1
December 31, 2011	3.00 : 1	1.60 : 1
Thereafter	2.50 : 1	1.75 : 1

We are in compliance with these covenants as of March 31, 2011.

As of March 31, 2011, DHI's available liquidity under the Credit Facility was reduced by \$431 million as a result of borrowing limitations under the covenant regarding the ratio of Secured Debt to EBITDA. Further reductions in capacity are likely to occur based on our ratio of Secured Debt to EBITDA at June 30, 2011, September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011 based on our current projections. Please see Going Concern and Revolver Capacity above for further discussion.

Given the increasingly stringent requirements under our EBITDA to Consolidated Interest Expense covenant over the next twelve months, and considering latest available forward commodity price curves and considering our current derivative contracts, we project that it is likely that we will not be in compliance with this covenant, as currently set forth in our Credit Facility, beginning in the third or fourth quarter of 2011, and it is virtually certain that we will not be in compliance with this covenant at some point over the next twelve months unless we reach agreement on an amendment to or replacement of the Credit Facility, or obtain a waiver of its terms. We could avoid a default under the Credit Facility if we were to repay the amounts owed and cash collateralize the related letters of credit. However, this course of action would not alleviate the going concern and liquidity issues that we are facing over the next twelve months. If we are unable to cure any such default, or obtain a waiver or replacement financing, and those lenders accelerate the payment of such indebtedness, in the case that we are unable to repay those amounts, the holders of the indebtedness under our secured debt obligations would be entitled to initiate foreclosure actions designed to acquire control of substantially all of our assets. The success of any such actions would have a material adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Please see Going Concern above for further discussion.

Subject to certain exceptions, DHI and its relevant subsidiaries are subject to restrictions on asset sales, incurring additional indebtedness, limitations on investments and certain limitations on dividends and other payments with respect to capital stock. Please read Note 18—Debt—Credit Facility in our Form 10-K for further discussion of our Credit Facility.

Dividends on Dynegy Common Stock. Dividend payments on Dynegy's common stock are at the discretion of its Board of Directors and subject to limits contained in our Credit Facility and applicable law. Dynegy did not declare or pay a cash dividend on its common stock during the first quarter 2011.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Credit Ratings

Our credit rating status is currently “non-investment grade”; our senior unsecured debt is rated “Caa3” by Moody’s, “CC” by Standard & Poor’s and “CC” by Fitch. On March 28, 2011, Moody’s downgraded our corporate family ratings to “Caa3” from “Caa1”; the rating outlook is negative. On March 18, 2011, Standard & Poor’s downgraded our corporate family ratings to “CC” from “CCC”. The agency also placed all ratings on credit watch with negative implications. On March 9, 2011, Fitch Ratings downgraded our corporate family ratings to “CC” from “CCC”; the rating outlook is negative. Additional downgrades could occur in the future based on the ratings agencies’ views of near-term risk of bankruptcy and going concern issues. The downgrades did not trigger any obligations under our financing arrangements; however, as of result of the downgrades, we have received demands to post additional collateral in support of certain of our operational agreements.

Disclosure of Contractual Obligations and Contingent Financial Commitments

We have incurred various contractual obligations and financial commitments in the normal course of our operations and financing activities. Contractual obligations include future cash payments required under existing contractual arrangements, such as debt and lease agreements. These obligations may result from both general financing activities and from commercial arrangements that are directly supported by related revenue-producing activities. Contingent financial commitments represent obligations that become payable only if certain pre-defined events occur, such as financial guarantees.

Please read “Uncertainty of Forward-Looking Statements and Information” for additional factors that could impact our future operating results and financial condition.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS—DYNEGY INC. and DYNEGY HOLDINGS INC.

Overview. In this section, we discuss our results of operations, both on a consolidated basis and, where appropriate, by segment, for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. At the end of this section, we have included our outlook for each segment.

We report the results of our power generation business as three separate geographical segments in our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. Our unaudited condensed consolidated financial results also reflect corporate-level expenses such as general and administrative, interest and depreciation and amortization.

Summary Financial Information. The following tables provide summary financial data regarding Dynegy's consolidated and segmented results of operations for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively:

Dynegy's Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011

	Power Generation				Total
	GEN-MW	GEN-WE	GEN-NE	Other	
Revenues	\$280	\$62	\$163	\$—	\$505
Cost of sales	(136)	(20)	(122)	—	(278)
Operating and maintenance expense, exclusive of depreciation and amortization expense shown separately below	(47)	(24)	(39)	—	(110)
Depreciation and amortization expense	(100)	(17)	(7)	(2)	(126)
General and administrative expense	—	—	—	(40)	(40)
Operating income (loss)	\$(3)	\$1	\$(5)	\$(42)	\$(49)
Other items, net	—	—	—	1	1
Interest expense					(89)
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes					(137)
Income tax benefit					60
Net loss					\$(77)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Dynergy's Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010

	Power Generation				Total
	GEN-MW	GEN-WE	GEN-NE	Other	
Revenues	\$486	\$143	\$229	\$—	\$858
Cost of sales	(127)	(59)	(122)	—	(308)
Operating and maintenance expense, exclusive of depreciation and amortization expense shown separately below	(49)	(23)	(39)	(2)	(113)
Depreciation and amortization expense	(50)	(16)	(8)	(1)	(75)
General and administrative expense	—	—	—	(31)	(31)
Operating income (loss)	\$260	\$45	\$60	\$(34)	\$331
Losses from unconsolidated investments	(34)	—	—	—	(34)
Other items, net	—	—	1	—	1
Interest expense					