MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC Form 424B3 November 18, 2005

> Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3) File No. 333-111897

### **PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT NO. 1** (To Prospectus Dated October 5, 2005)

#### Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

#### 15,202,497 Shares Common Stock

The information contained in this Prospectus Supplement amends and updates our prospectus dated October 5, 2005 (the "Prospectus"), and should be read in conjunction therewith. Please keep this Prospectus Supplement with your Prospectus for future reference.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus supplement or the Prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this Prospectus Supplement is November 14, 2005

#### **Forward-Looking Information**

This prospectus supplement, including the documents that we incorporate by reference, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. Any statements about our expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, assumptions or future events or performance are not historical facts and may be forward-looking. These statements are often, but not always, made through the use of words or phrases such as anticipate, estimate, plan, project, continuing, ongoing, expect, management believes, we believe, we intend and similar words or phrases. Accordingly, these statements involve estimates, assumptions and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in them. Any forward-looking statements are qualified in their entirety by reference to the factors discussed in this prospectus or incorporated by reference.

Because the factors discussed in this prospectus or incorporated by reference could cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf, you should not place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements. These statements are subject to risks and uncertainties, known and unknown, which could cause actual results and developments to differ materially from those expressed or implied in such statements. Such risks and uncertainties relate to, among other factors: the development of our drug candidates; the regulatory approval of our drug candidates; our use of clinical research centers and other contractors; our ability to find collaborative partners for research, development and commercialization of potential products; acceptance of our products by doctors, patients or payors; our ability to market any of our products; our history of operating losses; our ability to compete against other companies and research institutions; our ability to secure adequate protection for our intellectual property; our ability to attract and retain key personnel; availability of reimbursement for our product candidates; the effect of potential strategic transactions on our business; our ability to obtain adequate financing; and the volatility of our stock price. These and other risks are detailed in the prospectus under the discussion entitled "Risk Factors," as well as in our reports filed from time to time under the Securities Act and/or the Exchange Act. You are encouraged to read these filings as they are made.

Further, any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made, and we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement or statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for us to predict which factors will arise. In addition, we cannot assess the impact of each factor on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements.

### Interim Financial Statements - Quarter Ended September 30, 2005

Included in this prospectus supplement beginning at page F-1 are our interim financial statements as of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, including the accompanying footnotes thereto. These interim financial statements, which were included in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended September 30, 2005, should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2004, which were included in the Prospectus.

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes to those statements included in this prospectus supplement. This discussion includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. As a result of many factors, such as those set forth under "Risk Factors" in the Prospectus, our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements.

## **Results of Operations**

### Three-Month Period Ended September 30, 2005 vs 2004

During the quarters ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we had no revenue. We do not expect to have significant revenues relating to our product candidates in development prior to September 30, 2006.

For the quarter ended September 30, 2005, research and development expense was \$1,370,733 as compared to \$1,678,939 for the third quarter of 2004. The decrease of \$308,206 is due primarily to completion of studies of our propofol lingual spray candidate partially offset by an acceleration of our Oleoyl-estrone drug candidate.

For the quarter ended September 30, 2005, general and administrative expense was \$490,894 as compared to \$562,752 for the quarter ended September 30, 2004. The decrease of \$71,858 is due primarily to decreases in consulting, outside services and rent expense of approximately \$68,000, \$37,000 and \$21,000 respectively. These decreases are partially offset by increases in taxes, travel and entertainment, directors' fees and all other expenses of approximately \$17,000, \$13,000, \$11,000 and \$13,000, respectively.

For the quarter ended September 30, 2005, interest and other income was \$49,137 as compared to \$47,614 for the quarter ended September 30, 2004. The increase of \$1,523 is due primarily to an increase in cash balances and short-term investments.

Net loss for the quarter ended September 30, 2005, was \$1,812,490 as compared to \$2,194,077 for the quarter ended September 30, 2004. This decrease in net loss is attributable primarily to decreases in research and development expenses of \$308,206 and general and administrative expenses of \$71,858, partially offset by an increase in interest and other income of \$1,523.

Preferred stock dividends of \$75,018 and \$102,273 reduced earnings per share for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 by \$0.00 and \$0.00, respectively.

### Nine-Month Period Ended September 30, 2005 vs 2004

During the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we had no revenue. We do not expect to have significant revenues relating to our product candidates in development prior to September 30, 2006.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, research and development expense was \$3,292,008 as compared to \$2,907,173 for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The increase of \$384,835 is due primarily to an acceleration of pre-clinical development of our Oleoyl-estrone drug candidate.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, general and administrative expense was \$1,537,298 as compared to \$1,443,745 for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The increase of \$93,553 is due primarily to increases in payroll and investor relations expenses of approximately \$92,000 and \$59,000, respectively. In addition we had increases in expenses related to taxes, directors' fees and travel and entertainment of approximately \$45,000, \$34,000 and \$25,000, respectively. These increases are partially offset by reductions in consulting, outside services and all other expenses of approximately \$114,000, \$29,000 and \$18,000, respectively.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, interest and other income was \$117,484 as compared to \$128,705 for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The decrease of \$11,221 is due primarily to a reduction in cash balances and short-term investments.

Net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, was \$16,599,629 as compared to \$4,151,031 for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. This increase in net loss is attributable primarily to the in-process research and

development charge of \$11,887,807 related to the acquisition of Tarpan. Additionally, there were increases in research and development expenses of \$384,835 and general and administrative expenses of \$93,553 as well as a reduction in interest and other income of \$11,221. Finally in 2004 we had a realized gain on sale of marketable equity securities of \$71,182, which we did not have in the current year.

Preferred stock dividends of \$326,419 and \$495,078 reduced earnings per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 by \$0.01 and \$0.02, respectively.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

From inception to September 30, 2005, we incurred a deficit during the development stage of \$30,881,083 primarily as a result of losses, and we expect to continue to incur additional losses through at least September 30, 2006 and for the foreseeable future. The acquisition of Tarpan will increase these losses. These losses have been incurred through a combination of research and development activities related to the various technologies under our control and expenses supporting those activities.

We have financed our operations since inception primarily through equity financing and our licensing and sale of residual royalty rights of CT-3 to Indevus. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we had a net increase in cash and cash equivalents of \$10,963,152. This increase resulted from net cash provided by financing activities, substantially all of which was from the net proceeds of \$12,269,604 from the private placement of 11,917,680 shares of common stock at \$1.11 and \$1.15 per share and investing activities, which included proceeds from the sale of short-term investments, of \$3,494,147. These increases are partially offset by net cash used in operating activities of \$4,182,664. Total liquid resources including short term investments as of September 30, 2005 were \$12,878,601 compared to \$5,419,872 at December 31, 2004.

Our current liabilities as of September 30, 2005 were \$1,808,695 compared to \$1,195,705 at December 31, 2004, an increase of \$612,990. The increase was primarily due to an increase in expenditures associated with the commencement of our Phase I clinical trial for our Oleoyl-estrone product candidate. As of September 30, 2005, we had working capital of \$11,090,084 compared to \$4,264,293 at December 31, 2004.

We recently completed a private placement offering of units consisting of shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase additional shares of common stock. The private placement was completed in two separate closings held on August 26, 2005 and August 30, 2005. In the August 26 closing, we sold a total of 10,808,971 shares of common stock and five-year warrants to purchase 2,161,767 shares for total gross proceeds of approximately \$12 million. The warrants issued at the August 26 closing are exercisable at a price of \$1.44 per share. On August 30, 2005, we closed on the sale of an additional 1,108,709 shares of common stock and warrants issued in connection with the August 30 closing are exercisable at a price of \$1.49 per share. Accordingly, the total gross proceeds resulting from the private placement was \$13.27 million, before deducting selling commissions and expenses.

We engaged Paramount BioCapital, Inc. an affiliate of a significant stockholder of the Company, as placement agent and paid total cash commissions of \$836,360, of which \$121,625 was paid to certain selected dealers engaged by Paramount in connection with the private placement and issued five-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 538,191 shares of common stock exercisable at a price of \$1.44 per share, of which Paramount received warrants to purchase 459,932 common shares. In connection with the August 30 closing, we paid cash commissions to Paramount of \$88,550 and issued an additional five-year warrant to purchase 55,000 common shares at a price of \$1.49 per share. After deduction of these selling commissions and expenses, we realized aggregate net proceeds from our August 2005 private placement of approximately \$12.2 million. Timothy McInerney and Dr. Michael Weiser, each a director of the Company, are employees of Paramount BioCapital, Inc.

In accordance with the terms of the private placement, we agreed to file a registration statement under the Securities Act within 30 days of the final closing of the private placement covering the resale of the shares sold in the private placement, including the shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrants.

As a result of this offering, we expect that our current cash position is sufficient to fund our operations, including the development of our three product candidates, through 2006.

Our available working capital and capital requirements will depend upon numerous factors, including progress of our research and development programs, our progress in and the cost of ongoing and planned pre-clinical and clinical

testing, the timing and cost of obtaining regulatory approvals, the cost of filing, prosecuting, defending, and enforcing patent claims and other intellectual property rights, competing technological and market developments, changes in our existing collaborative and licensing relationships, the resources that we devote to developing manufacturing and commercializing capabilities, the status of our competitors, our ability to establish collaborative arrangements with other organizations and our need to purchase additional capital equipment.

Our continued operations will depend on whether we are able to raise additional funds through various potential sources, such as equity and debt financing, other collaborative agreements, strategic alliances, and our ability to realize the full potential of our technology in development. Such additional funds may not become available on acceptable terms and there can be no assurance that any additional funding that we do obtain will be sufficient to meet our needs in the long term. Through September 30, 2005, a significant portion of our financing has been through private placements of common stock and warrants. Unless our operations generate significant revenues and cash flows from operating activities, we will continue to fund operations from cash on hand and through the similar sources of capital previously described. We can give no assurances that any additional capital that we are able to obtain will be sufficient to meet our needs. Management believes that we will continue to incur net losses and negative cash flows from operating activities for the foreseeable future. Based on the resources available to us at September 30, 2005, management believes that we will need additional equity or debt financing or will need to generate revenues during 2006 through licensing our products or entering into strategic alliances to be able to sustain our operations beyond 2006 and we will need additional financing thereafter until we can achieve profitability, if ever.

# **Research and Development Projects**

*Oleoyl-estrone.* In January 2005, the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) accepted our filed Investigational New Drug Application (IND) for the human clinical testing of oleoyl estrone. This IND allowance was granted on the preclinical chemistry, manufacturing, and safety data submitted to the FDA by the Company.

In February 2005, we began dosing patients in our first Phase I trial in Basel, Switzerland to evaluate the safety and tolerability of defined doses of orally administered oleoyl-estrone in obese adults, in accordance with FDA guidelines after obtaining formal approval from the Swiss medical regulatory authority, Swissmedic. The objective of this human Phase I dose-escalation study was to determine the pharmacokinetic profile of oleoyl-estrone, as well as its safety and tolerability in obese adult volunteers of both genders. The study was completed in two parts, Phase Ia and Phase Ib. In May 2005, we concluded Phase Ia, in which 36 obese volunteers received a single dose of either OE or a placebo, in a dose escalating manner. The Phase Ib trial was a 7-day repeat-dose, dose escalation trial that evaluated 24 obese volunteers in four cohorts, randomized 2 to 1, active to placebo. Both Phase Ia and Phase Ib have been completed. Results from both studies will also be used, in conjunction with extensive preclinical work, to establish the protocol and obtain approval to begin Phase II clinical trials. The trial was being conducted under the IND accepted by the FDA in January 2005. Oleoyl-estrone was well tolerated at all doses and demonstrated no serious adverse events. In addition, there was evidence of reduction in weight and evidence of a reduction in desire to eat and hunger level, reduced fasting glucose, reduced LDL cholesterol and other key measures. Oleoyl-estrone also showed reversible, dose dependent elevations in estrone and estradiol levels as well as reductions in testosterone levels.

To date, we have incurred \$6,697,551 of project costs related to our development of oleoyl-estrone, including milestone payments triggered under our license agreement for oleoyl-estrone, of which \$2,712,058 and \$1,714,333 was incurred in the first nine months of 2005 and 2004, respectively. Currently, we anticipate that we will need to expend approximately an additional \$1,000,000 to \$1,500,000 in development costs in fiscal 2005. Since oleoyl-estrone is regarded by the FDA as a new entity, it is not realistic to predict the size and the design of the study at this time.

Although we currently have sufficient capital to fund our anticipated 2005 R&D expenditures relating to oleoyl-estrone, we will need to raise additional capital in order to complete the anticipated five or six year development program for the product. If we are unable to raise such additional capital, we may have to sublicense our rights to oleoyl-estrone to a third party as a means of continuing development, or, although less likely, we may be required to abandon further development efforts altogether, either of which would have a material adverse effect on the prospects of our business.

In addition to raising additional capital, whether we are successful in developing oleoyl-estrone is dependent on numerous other factors, including unforeseen safety issues, lack of effectiveness, significant unforeseen delays in the

clinical trial and regulatory approval process, both of which could be extremely costly, and inability to monitor patients adequately before and after treatments. Additional risks and uncertainties are also described in the Prospectus. The existence of any of these factors could increase our development costs or make successful completion of development impractical, which would have a material adverse affect on the prospects of our business.

*Lingual Spray Propofol.* We are currently working to develop, manufacture and commercialize a propofol lingual spray. In July 2004, we released the results of the first human trial for our proprietary lingual spray formulation of propofol. In January 2005, the FDA accepted our IND for the initiation of the human clinical trials in the United States required for FDA approval of Propofol Lingual Spray (Propofol LS). We continue to pursue FDA approval of Propofol LS under 505(b)2 regulatory pathway. Section 505(b)2 of the U.S. Food, Drug & Cosmetic Act allows the FDA to approve a drug on the basis of existing data in the scientific literature or data used by the FDA in the approval of other drugs. Accordingly, the FDA has indicated to us that we will be able to utilize Section 505(b)2 to proceed directly to a pivotal Phase III trial for lingual spray propofol following completion of Phase I trials. We are actively planning the next steps of the clinical development process for Propofol LS, meeting with scientific advisors, Novadel and other research organizations regarding formulation, reviewing existing data, developing trial design, and evaluating plans to re-enter the clinic.

To date, we have incurred \$2,801,285 of project costs related to our development of propofol lingual spray, of which \$184,345 and \$1,266,644 was incurred during the first nine months of 2005 and 2004, respectively. Currently, we anticipate that we will need to expend approximately an additional \$375,000 to \$750,000 in development costs in fiscal 2005 and at least an aggregate of approximately \$3,000,000 to \$5,000,000 until we receive FDA approval for propofol, should we opt to continue development until then, including anticipated 2005 costs. As with our development of oleoyl-estrone, we believe we currently have sufficient capital to fund our development activities of propofol lingual spray during 2005 and 2006. Since our business does not generate any cash flow, however, we will need to raise additional capital to continue development of the product beyond 2006. We expect to raise such additional capital through debt financings or by selling shares of our capital stock. To the extent additional capital is not available when we need it, we may be forced to sublicense our rights to propofol lingual spray or abandon our development efforts altogether, either of which would have a material adverse effect on the prospects of our business.

*PTH* (1-34). To date, we have incurred \$395,606 of project costs related to our development of PTH (1-34), which was incurred since April 1, 2005, the date of the Tarpan Therapeutics, Inc. acquisition. Currently, we anticipate that we will need to expend approximately an additional \$750,000 to \$1,500,000 in development costs in fiscal 2005. We are working toward re-entering the clinic utilizing the existing open physician IND. In addition, we are planning for a meeting with the FDA to discuss our development plan for PTH (1-34). In light of the information available from the development of FORTEO® (which contains recombinant human parathyroid hormone (1-34), [rhPTH(1-34)]) and in the absence of the meeting with the FDA, we are not able to realistically predict the size and the design of the study at this time. As with our development of our other product candidates, we believe we currently have sufficient capital to fund our development activities of PTH (1-34) in their entirety during 2005. FORTEO® is a registered trademark of Eli Lilly and Company.

# **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We have not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements.

# **Recently Issued Accounting Standards**

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R) (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment", which amends SFAS Statement No. 123 and will be effective for our quarter ending March 31, 2006. The new standard will require us to expense employee stock options and other share-based payments over the vesting period. The new standard may be adopted in one of three ways - the modified prospective transition method, a variation of the modified prospective transition method. We are currently evaluating how we will adopt the standard and evaluating the effect that the adoption of SFAS 123(R) will have on our financial position and results of operations.

# Preliminary Results of Oleoyl-Estrone Phase I Clinical Trial

On October 17, 2005, we issued a press release announcing preliminary results from two Phase I clinical trials of oleoyl-estrone. Excerpts from the press release are set forth below:

"October 17, 2005 - Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (AMEX: MHA) announced preliminary results from two Phase I clinical trials of oleoyl-estrone (OE), an experimental, orally administered small molecule in development for the treatment of obesity. The data were presented in a poster yesterday at the 2005 Annual Scientific Meeting of NAASO, The Obesity Society, in Vancouver, BC.

The Phase I study, conducted in Switzerland, was done in two parts which consisted of a single dose study and a seven day repeat dose study. The primary purpose was to assess the safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of OE. Some efficacy parameters were included and measured in the repeat dose component of the study.

Highlights of the study included:

OE was generally well tolerated at all doses investigated.

OE demonstrated evidence of reduction in weight.

•OE demonstrated evidence of: reduction in desire to eat and hunger level, reduction in prospective food consumption, reduced fasting glucose, reduced LDL cholesterol and changes in other key measures.

•There were no clinically significant changes in the physical exams, vital signs, ECGs, coagulation or liver function tests.

 $\cdot$ No serious adverse events were reported. Clinical laboratory findings included reversible, dose-dependent elevations in estrone and estradiol levels as well as reductions in testosterone levels.

Phase Ia was a dose-escalating study to measure OE's pharmacokinetic profile, safety and tolerability in 36 obese males and females ages 18-65, with a body mass index greater than 30.0 (a BMI of greater than 30.0 is classified as obese per guidelines from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services). Twelve of the subjects received placebo and 24 received a single dose of OE in one of six doses ranging from 1mg to 150mg.

Phase Ib measured OE's safety and tolerability in 24 obese volunteers in four cohorts of six patients each who received either placebo or OE in doses ranging from 10mg to 150mg once daily for seven consecutive days. The protocol provided that subjects should maintain their normal diet and level of activity, but required that subjects abstain from consuming alcohol.

The OE-treated groups in the Phase Ib study demonstrated evidence of greater weight loss than the placebo group. Weights were assessed at baseline, Day 15, and Day 28. Weight loss appeared to be maintained for longer periods in the OE groups compared to placebo at Day 28, twenty-one days following cessation of treatment. This data may support the contention that the mechanism of action of OE involves a "resetting" of the ponderostat, or appetite "set point". It also provides a rationale for testing alternative regimens such as low or intermittent dosing.

Average change from baseline weight (in kilograms)

The OE-treated groups in the Phase Ib study also demonstrated evidence of a reduction in the feeling of desire to eat, hunger level and prospective food consumption as measured by changes in the visual analogue scales (VAS).

Average percent change in VAS scores as measured day 7 vs. baseline

\* Note: In items 1, 2 and 3 increasing VAS scores indicate an increase in desire to eat. In item 4 an increase in VAS score indicates an increase in fullness.

OE was generally well tolerated. The most common side effects reported included headache, back pain, and diarrhea. No serious adverse events were reported.

Total mean cholesterol decreased at Day 7 at the 100mg and 150mg dose. Mean HDL-cholesterol increased and mean LDL-cholesterol decreased with a trend toward improvement in the LDL-to-HDL cholesterol ratio, even at the lower doses. Mean glucose concentration decreased in a reversible and dose dependent manner and remained decreased at Day 15. Mean estradiol and estrone levels were elevated, while mean testosterone levels were suppressed, all in a reversible and dose dependent manner. In addition, there were no clinically significant changes in the physical exams, vital signs, ECG's, coagulation or liver function tests.

The preliminary plasma concentration-time profiles show that the plasma concentration of OE did not increase with the administered dose level. There were no significantly elevated plasma concentrations of OE on Day 7 which may indicate that there is no clear accumulation in plasma after daily oral dosing for seven consecutive days. In this small sample study there were no clear differences between the sexes with respect to plasma concentration.

"Given the current crisis that we face with the growing prevalence of obesity, I am encouraged to see signs of efficacy in this study, though the metabolic and hormonal effects merit further study. Obesity and its complications, particularly type 2 diabetes, are rapidly becoming the number one health threat around the globe. Oleoyl-estrone may provide a new treatment option," said J. Larry Jameson, M.D., a leading endocrinologist who is Professor and Chairman of Medicine at Northwestern University Medical School.

"We are excited to have seen this much evidence of benefit in a phase I trial with only 7 days of exposure" said Doug Abel, President and CEO of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals. "We are working closely with our scientific and clinical advisors to interpret this data and finalize study designs. We plan to initiate a phase II a trial early next year."

The full scientific poster of these results can be viewed on the company's website at www.manhattanpharma.com

### ABOUT OLEOYL-ESTRONE

Oleoyl-estrone (OE) is an orally administered small molecule that has been shown to cause significant weight loss in extensive preclinical animal studies, without the need for dietary modifications. Developed by researchers at the University of Barcelona, OE has been tested in both obese and lean rats; treatment with OE resulted in significant weight loss even in the presence of abundant food and water. We believe that OE may prove to be a safe and effective treatment of obesity, potentially representing a significant advantage over currently available anti-obesity medications.

### ABOUT OBESITY

Obesity is one of the most common metabolic disorders in the world. Nearly 61% of all Americans are considered to be overweight, and 26% percent are considered to be obese. The World Bank estimates that obesity alone accounts for more than 12% of the U.S. national health care budget. The National Institutes of Health estimated that direct costs for the treatment of obesity in 1988 were in excess of \$45 billion and accounted for nearly 8% of the total national cost of health care; a decade later, annual direct costs for the treatment of obesity had risen to \$102.2 billion dollars. As these statistics illustrate, obesity is a rapidly growing, costly disease, for which there is currently no effective treatment."

#### SELLING STOCKHOLDERS

The following table sets forth the number of shares of the common stock owned by the selling stockholders as of November 14, 2005, and after giving effect to this offering. The information in the table included under the heading "Selling Stockholders" in the Prospectus is superceded by the information appearing in the following table.

	Shares beneficially owned before	Number of outstanding shares offered by selling	Number of shares offered by selling stockholder issued upon conversion of Series A	Number of shares offered by selling stockholder issuable upon exercise of	Percentage beneficial ownership after
Name	offering	stockholder	stock	warrants	offering

#### Shares issued in connection with January 2004 private placement

Atlas Fund, LLC	1,818,182	1,818,182	0	0	
MHR Capital Partners,					
L.P.	1,233,050	674,852	0	0	
Jacob Gottlieb	2,045,454 <sup>(1)</sup>	227,272	0	0	
Mark Rechesky	454,546	454,546	0	0	
Hillel Goldstein	14,546	14,546	0	0	
Sai Devabhaktuni	45,455	45,455	0	0	
Mark Rosenberg	9,090	9,090	0	0	
Emily Fine	18,181	18,181	0	0	
Tariq Fancy	2,728	2,728	0	0	
Luciano M. Murelli	13,650	13,650	0	0	
Paramount Capital, Inc.	935,941	0	0	326,499	
Subtotal:		3,278,502		326,499	

#### Shares issued in connection with Series A Preferred Stock private placement

Allied Diesel Service,	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Inc. Employee Profit					
Sharing Plan					
Alfonse M. D'Amato	8,835	0	8,835	0	
Defined Benefit Plan					
Andrew Grossman D/C	25,887	0	24,290	0	
Profit Sharing Plan					*
Anthony Argyrides IRA	3,872	0	1,664	2,208	
Anthony Polak "S"	181,670 <sup>(2)</sup>	0	24,290	0	*
Anthony Polak IRA	181,670 <sup>(2)</sup>	0	24,290	0	*
Artero Inc.	132,500	0	58,310	0	*
Artero Profit Sharing					
Plan	27,900	0	24,290	0	*
Asher Family Trust	48,590	0	48,590	0	
Autobuy Inc.	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Barbara Coffee	24,290	0	24,290	0	

Barbara Scharf	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Bill McCurtain	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Brapo Associates	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Bruce Gomberg	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Catharina Polak Trust	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Catherine Hicks	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Charles Harris	97,180	0	97,180	0	
Charles Re Profit Sharing					
Plan	26,287	0	24,290	0	*
Daniel Berkowitz IRA	24,790	0	24,290	0	*
David Lasco	4,372	0	4,372	0	
S-9					

Name	Shares beneficially owned before offering	Number of outstanding shares offered by selling stockholder	Number of shares offered by selling stockholder issued upon conversion of Series A stock	Number of shares offered by selling stockholder issuable upon exercise of warrants	Percentage beneficial ownership after offering
David Minkoff	3,872	0	1,664	2,208	
David Phipps	24,290	0	24,290	0	_
David Swerdloff IRA	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Davis & Barbara Gaynes	24,290	0	24,290	0	_
Dean M. Erickson '79 Irrevocable	21,290	0	21,290	U	
Trust	6,184	0	6,184	0	
Domanco Ventura Capital	24,290	0	24,290	0	_
Drew Netter IRA	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Edgar & Kim Massabni	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Edward Lewitt	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Elias Sayour Foundation	25,942	0	24,290	0	*
Elizabeth Genzer Trust	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Elliot & Ronald Fatoullah	24,290	0	24,290	0	*
Emeric R. Holderith	9,720	0	9,720	0	
Equity Interest Inc.	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Far Ventures	32,893	0	24,290	0	*
Florence E. Luvera	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Frederick Polak	25,090	0	24,290	0	*
Gary Stadtmauer	23,090	0	24,290	0	
Girish C. Sham	4,290	0	4,290	0	
Harari Family LLC	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Howard Tooter	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Jack Polak	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Jerry & Lilli Weinger	97,180	0	97,180	0	
Joan Grillo	24,290	0	24,290	0	_
John Gross IRA	24,885	0	24,290	0	*
Jon Rubin Trust	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Jonathan Rothchild	134,300	0	87,460	0	*
Jonathan Young IRA	48,590	0	48,590	0	_
Joseph & Dorothy Papp	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Joseph Cavanagh	97,180	0	97,180	0	
Judith T. Huff Revocable Trust (B.	97,100	0	97,100	0	
Jerry Huff & Judith Huff Trustees)	884	0	884	0	
Kevin Clarke IRA	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Kim Cirelli	2,209	0	2,209	0	
Landing Wholesale Group Defined	1,332	0	1,332	0	
Larry & Rebecca Warner	11,660	0	11,660	0	
Lee Pearlmutter Trust	9,7200	0	9,720	0	
Leonard Greenbaum	20,333	0	9,720	11,043	
	20,555	0	7,270	11,043	

Leslie & Sybil Rosenberg	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Mark Engelbert	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Margrit Polak "S"	24,630	0	24,290	0	*
Mark Children's Trust	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Maura Kelly	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Michael & Lorraine Gelardi	25,290	0	24,290	0	*
Michael Berlinger	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Michael Stone	3,318	0	3,318	0	
Michele Tarica	24,290	0	24,290	0	
MRC Computer Profit Sharing Plan	24,590	0	24,290	0	*
Murray & Claire Stadtmauer	24,590	0	24,290	0	*

Name	Shares beneficially owned before offering	Number of outstanding shares offered by selling stockholder	Number of shares offered by selling stockholder issued upon conversion of Series A stock	Number of shares offered by selling stockholder issuable upon exercise of warrants	Percentage beneficial ownership after offering
Nancy Lane	24,290	0	24,290	0	_
Nanette Grossman	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Norton & Joan Hight	24,690	0	24,290	0	*
Paul McMillman & Susan Herzog	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Penny Chin	7,290	0	7,290	0	_
Peter Guardino IRA	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Philip Wasserman	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Randall Hight	48,710	0	48,590	0	*
Richard Kent CON IRA	32,180	0	32,180	0	
Richard Wallace	24,290	0	24,290	0	
RL Capital Partners	233,040	0	233,040	0	*
Robert Nash	1,100	0	1,100	0	
Robert Rosenberg	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Robert Shapiro IRA	24,390	0	24,290	0	*
Fiserve Securities A/C/F Roger R.					
Marks IRA	24,687	0	24,290	0	*
Rolanda Mendelle	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Ronald Lazar	80,127	0	18,290	61,837	*
Ronald Lazar IRA	78,282	0	72,880	0	*
Royal Pool	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Scott & Charlotte Kaiden	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Sheila Fligel	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Siegfried Mangels	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Millennium Capital Investments	6,636	0	6,636	0	
Steve Roman	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Surinvest, Inc.	48,590	0	48,590	0	
Susan Zverin	24,690	0	24,290	0	*
Teddy Chasanoff	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Tim Moi	10,604	0	9,720	884	
William & Deborah Hicks	884	0	884	0	
William H. Peterson Living Trust	48,590	0	48,590	0	
William Liange	1,665	0	1,665	0	
Wolfe F. Model	24,687	0	24,290	0	*
Albert Fried, Jr.	48,590	0	48,590	0	
Alexander Pomper	3,318	0	3,318	0	
Alfred J. Sollami	53,540	0	53,450	0	
Balanced Invesment LLC	348,028	0	242,940	0	*
Benito Bucay	24,290	0	24,290	0	
Bruno Widmer	24,290	0	24,290	0	

Cooper A. McIntosh, MD	24,290	0	24,290	0	
David Jaroslawicz	6,572	0	6,572	0	
David J. Bershad	72,880	0	72,880	0	
David W. Ruttenberg	48,590	0	48,590	0	
E & M RP Trust	145,770	0	145,770	0	