

Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc.
Form 10-K
May 01, 2013

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended January 31, 2013

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No.

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every interactive data file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein and, will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The aggregate market value of the shares of voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant computed by reference to the price at which the common stock was last sold on OTCQB on July 31, 2012, the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, was \$3,835,600. Solely for purposes of the foregoing calculation, all of the registrant's directors and officers as of July 31, 2012 are deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status for this purpose does not reflect a determination that any persons are affiliates for any other purpose.

As of April 30, 2013, there were 34,843,441 shares of common stock, \$.001 par value per share, issued and outstanding.

APOLLO MEDICAL HOLDINGS, INC.

FORM 10-K

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JANUARY 31, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1	Description of Business	4
Item 1A	Risk Factors	11
Item 1B	Unresolved Staff Comments	16
Item 2	Description of Properties	16
Item 3	Legal Proceedings	16
Item 4	Mine Safety Disclosure	16

PART II

Item 5	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchase of Equity Securities	17
Item 6	Selected Financial Data	19
Item 7	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	19
Item 7A	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	26
Item 8	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	26
Item 9	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants and Financial Disclosures	26
Item 9A	Controls and Procedures	26
Item 9B	Other Information	27

PART III

Item 10	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	28
Item 11	Executive Compensation	29
Item 12	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and related Stockholder Matters	32
Item 13	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	32
Item 14	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	32

PART IV

Item 15	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	33
	Signatures	34

PART I

Introductory Comment

Unless context dictates otherwise, references in this Annual Report on Form 10-K (the “Report”) to the “Company,” “we,” “us,” “our”, (“Apollo”) and similar words are to Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc., and its wholly owned subsidiaries and affiliated medical groups:

The following discussion and analysis provides information that management believes is relevant to an assessment and understanding of our results of operations and financial operations. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere herein, and with our prior filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”).

Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements - Cautionary Statement

We caution readers that this Report contains “forward-looking statements”. Forward-looking statements, written, oral or otherwise, are based on the Company’s current expectations or beliefs rather than historical facts concerning future events, and they are indicated by words or phrases such as, "but not limited to", “anticipate,” “could,” “may,” “might,” “potential,” “predict,” “should,” “estimate,” “expect,” “project,” “believe,” “think,” “intend,” “plan,” “envision,” “continue,” “contemplate,” “budgeted,” or “will” and similar words or phrases or comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. The Company cautions that these statements are further qualified by important economic, competitive, governmental and technological factors that could cause the Company’s business, strategy, or actual results or events to differ materially, or otherwise, from those in the forward-looking statements. We have based such forward-looking statements on our current expectations, assumptions, estimates and projections, and therefore there can be no assurance that any forward-looking statement contained herein, or otherwise made by the Company, will prove to be accurate. The Company assumes no obligation to update the forward-looking statements.

The Company has a relatively limited operating history compared to others in the same business and is operating in a rapidly changing industry environment; as a result its ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies, based on historical results or trends or otherwise, is inherently uncertain. While we believe that these forward-looking statements are reasonable, they are merely predictions or illustrations of potential outcomes, and they involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties, many beyond our control, that are likely to cause actual results, performance, or achievements to be materially different from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Factors that could have a material adverse effect on the operations and future prospects of the Company on a condensed basis include those factors discussed under Item 1A “Risk Factors” and Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation” in this Report, and include, but are not limited to, the following:

..Our ability to attract and retain management, and to integrate and maintain technical information and management information systems;

“Our ability to raise capital when needed and on acceptable terms and conditions;

“The effect of laws and regulations that apply to our operations and industry;

“The intensity of competition; and

“General economic conditions.

All written and oral forward-looking statements made in connection with this Report that are attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements. Given the uncertainties that surround such statements, you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements.

ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Business Overview

Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. and its affiliated physician groups (“ApolloMed”. “We”, “Our” or the “Company”) are a physician centric, integrated healthcare delivery system serving Medicare, Commercial and Medi-Cal beneficiaries in California. ApolloMed’s businesses operate primarily under risk and value-based contracts with health plans, Independent Physician Associations (“IPAs”), Hospitals and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services’ (“CMS”) Medicare Shared Savings Program. We believe each major constituent of the healthcare delivery system, including patients, families, primary care physicians, specialists, acute care hospitals, alternative sites of inpatient care, physician groups and health plans can benefit from better coordinated of care. We are positioned to assist and provide “Best in Class” care coordination services to each of these constituents and assist in finding solutions to many of the challenges associated with patient care in the inpatient and outpatient settings.

ApolloMed was incorporated in California in 2001, beginning operations at Glendale Memorial Hospital as a hospital based physician group. The Company was organized around the admission and care of patients at inpatient facilities such as a hospital. We have successfully grown our inpatient strategy in a competitive market by providing high quality care for our patients and innovative solutions for our hospital and managed care clients by focusing on improving the inefficiencies associated with inpatient care and reducing readmissions and improving outcomes through better care coordination. Currently, we provide inpatient services at over 28 hospitals and long-term acute care facilities in Los Angeles and Central California where we have contracted with over 50 Hospitals, IPAs and health plans to provide a range of inpatient services including hospitalist, intensivist, physician advisor and consulting services.

In 2012, the Company formed an Accountable Care Organization, ApolloMed ACO, to participate in CMS’ Medicare Shared Savings Program. The ACO program is designed to work together with payors by aligning provider incentives. This alignment of provider incentives is intended to improve quality and medical outcomes for patients across the ACO and achieve cost savings for Medicare. We believe ApolloMed ACO is unique in that it leverages our best in class inpatient and outpatient capabilities.

As of April 30, 2013, ApolloMed has developed a network of over 300 hospitalists, primary care physicians and specialist physicians through our owned and affiliated physician groups. We are in the process of forming a risk-bearing entity to enter the Medicare Advantage, HMO-Medicaid and dual eligible markets.

Organizational History

On June 13, 2008, Siclone Industries, Inc. (“Siclone”), Apollo Acquisition Co., Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Siclone (“Acquisition”), Apollo Medical Management, Inc. (“Apollo Medical”) and the shareholders of Apollo Medical entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the “Merger Agreement”). Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, Apollo Medical merged with and into Acquisition, becoming a wholly owned subsidiary of Siclone. The former shareholders of Apollo Medical received 20,933,490 shares of Siclone’s common stock in the acquisition.

On July 1, 2008, the surviving entity (i.e., the combined entity of Acquisition and Apollo Medical) changed its name to Apollo Medical Management, Inc. (“AMM”). On July 3, 2008, Siclone changed its name to Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. Following the merger, the Company is headquartered in Glendale, California.

On August 1, 2008, AMM completed negotiations and executed a formal Management Services Agreement with ApolloMed Hospitalists (“AMH”), under which AMM will provide management services to AMH. The Agreement was effective as of August 1, 2008, and allows AMM, which operates as a Physician Practice Management Company, to consolidate AMH, which operates as a Physician Practice, in accordance with ASC 810-10 “Consolidation of Entities Controlled By Contract” subsections. The Management Services Agreement was amended on March 20, 2009 to allow for the calculation of the fee on a monthly basis with payment of the calculated fee each month. AMH is controlled by Dr. Warren Hosseinion and Dr. Adrian Vazquez.

On February 15, 2011, the Company completed an acquisition, whereby Aligned Healthcare Group, Inc. became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. Pursuant to a Stock Purchase Agreement, dated February 15, 2011, by and among Aligned Healthcare Group – California, Inc., Raouf Khalil, Jamie McReynolds, M.D. BJ Reese and BJ Reese & Associates, LLC and the Company, under which the Company acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of Aligned Healthcare, Inc., a California corporation (“AHI”), from AHI’s shareholders. AHI is engaged in the business of operating 24-hour physician call centers and provides specialized care management services (See Note 17).

On August 2, 2011, Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. entered into a stock purchase agreement (the “PCCM Purchase Agreement”) with the sole shareholder of Pulmonary Critical Care Management, Inc. (“PCCM”), a provider of management services to the Los Angeles Lung Center (“LALC”), under which the Company acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of PCCM (the “PCCM Acquisition”) and the associated intangible asset in the management services agreement that PCCM has with LALC (the “PCCM Services Agreement”).

On August 1, 2012, Apollo entered into a stock purchase agreement (the “VMM Purchase Agreement”) with Dr. Eli Hendel, the sole shareholder of Verdugo Medical Management, Inc. (“VMM”), a provider of management services pursuant to a management services agreement (the “VMM MSA”) with Eli Hendel M.D. Inc. (“Hendel”), a medical group specializing in pulmonary and critical care patient services, under which the Company will acquire all of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of VMM for \$1,200.

Our Strategic Objectives

- Patient satisfaction
- Quality care
- Cost efficiency

Our Strategy

The principal components of our strategy are to:

- Engage the patients we serve to help them make better decisions about their healthcare, clinically and economically
- Employ our medical management and care coordination capabilities to improve the health and well being of the patients we serve through improved outcomes and reduced inefficiencies in the healthcare delivery chain
- Work in collaboration at the local level with physicians and other healthcare providers to help them participate in a changing healthcare landscape and provide them the knowledge and IT tools to achieve measurably better quality and lower costs
- Grow our inpatient business through expansion of services and geographic expansion
- Expand our relationships with healthcare providers and facilities across the US to develop additional capabilities to participate in the growing Medicare, Medicaid and dual eligible markets
- Acquire and develop additional capabilities to participate in the growing healthcare market, especially the Medicare and dual eligible segments, under both risk-bearing and value-based contracts

Opportunities in Healthcare

Inpatient Opportunity

We believe that attractive growth opportunities exist for our inpatient business due to the increasing need for improved efficiencies in the hospital from both payors and hospital management teams. Our physicians work closely with our partners to improve the care given to patients and their families and enhance how care is coordinated within the hospital and upon discharge of the patient. We have designed programs for some of the largest health plans and hospital chains in California to improve outcomes, reduce overutilization, reduce Medi-Cal denial rates, optimize lengths of stay (LOS), optimize senior and commercial beddays/1000, improve HCAHPS scores, improve hospital core measures, improve documentation and reduce 30-day readmissions. In addition, our physicians consult with the hospital management teams to assist in RAC audits, Medi-Cal denial reviews, case management and improving discharge management.

Accountable Care Organizations

In March 2010, President Barrack Obama signed into law The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and The Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, which we collectively refer to as the Affordable Care Act. The Affordable Care Act established Accountable Care Organizations as a tool to improve quality and lower costs through increased care coordination in the Medicare Fee-for-Service program, which covers approximately 75% of Medicare recipients, approximately 36 million eligible Medicare beneficiaries.

CMS established the Medicare Shared Savings Program to facilitate coordination and cooperation among providers to improve the quality of care for Medicare Fee-For-Service (FFS) beneficiaries and reduce unnecessary costs. Eligible providers, hospitals, and suppliers may participate in the Shared Savings Program by creating or participating in an ACO.

The Shared Savings Program is designed to improve beneficiary outcomes and increase value of care by:

- (i) promoting accountability for the care of Medicare FFS beneficiaries;
- (ii) requiring coordinated care for all services provided under Medicare FFS; and
- (iii) encouraging investment in infrastructure and redesigned care processes.

The Shared Savings Program will reward ACOs that lower their growth in health care costs while meeting performance standards on quality of care. Under the final Medicare Shared Savings Program, or MSSP rules, Medicare will continue to pay individual providers and suppliers for specific items and services as it currently does under the FFS payment methodologies. The Shared Savings Program rules require CMS to develop a benchmark for savings to be achieved by each ACO if the ACO is to receive shared savings or for ACOs that have elected to accept responsibility for losses. An ACO that meets the program's quality performance standards will be eligible to receive a share of the savings to the extent its assigned beneficiary medical expenditures are below its own medical expenditure benchmark provided by CMS.

We have partnered primary care physicians and specialists to form ApolloMed ACO, which has been approved by CMS for participation in the Medicare Shared Savings Program. We estimate that our ACO currently includes approximately 300 participating providers in California. We will provide enhanced care coordination, population health management, data analytics and reporting, information technology and other administrative capabilities to enable participating providers to deliver better care, improved health and lower healthcare costs for their Medicare fee-for-service beneficiaries.

Senior Market Opportunity—Medicare Advantage

We believe that significant growth opportunities exist for patient-focused, physician-centric integrated groups in serving the growing senior market. At present, approximately 51 million Americans are eligible for Medicare, the federal program that offers basic hospital and medical insurance to people over 65 years old and some disabled people under the age of 65. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, more than 2 million Americans turn 65 in the United States each year, and this number is expected to grow as the so-called baby boomers continue to turn 65. In addition, many large employers that traditionally provided medical and prescription drug coverage to their retirees have begun to curtail these benefits. Finally, the passage of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003, known as the MMA, increased the healthcare options available to Medicare beneficiaries through the expansion of Medicare managed care plans through the Medicare Advantage program. We are in the process of forming a risk-bearing entity to enter the Medicare Advantage, HMO-Medicaid and dual eligible markets.

Medicaid Program and Dual Eligibles

Established in 1965, Medicaid is the largest publicly funded program in the United States, and provides health insurance to low-income families and individuals with disabilities. Authorized by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, Medicaid is an entitlement program funded jointly by the federal and state governments and administered by the states. The majority of funding is provided at the federal level. Each state establishes its own eligibility standards, benefit packages, payment rates and program administration within federal standards. Eligibility is based on a combination of household income and assets, often determined by an income level relative to the federal poverty level. Historically, children have represented the largest eligibility group.

Due to the Medicaid expansion provisions under the Affordable Care Act, CMS projects that Medicaid expenditures will increase from approximately \$450 billion in 2012 to approximately \$900 billion by 2020. In addition, as part of the Affordable Care Act, approximately 20 million additional people are expected to qualify for Medicaid beginning in 2014.

A portion of Medicaid beneficiaries are dual eligibles, low-income seniors and people with disabilities who are enrolled in both Medicaid and Medicare. Based on CMS and Kaiser Family Foundation data, we estimate there are approximately 9 million dual eligible enrollees with annual spending of approximately \$320 billion. Only a small portion of the total spending on dual eligibles is administered by managed care organizations across the US. Dual eligibles tend to consume more healthcare services due to their tendency to have more chronic health issues. We believe this represents a significant opportunity for companies like ours that have the capabilities to effectively manage this difficult population.

Competition

The healthcare industry is highly competitive. We compete for customers with many other healthcare providers, including local physicians and practice groups as well as local, regional and national networks of physicians and healthcare companies.

Inpatient Business. The market for hospitalists within this industry is highly fragmented. The Company faces competition from numerous small inpatient practices as well as large physician groups. Some of our competitors operate on a national level, such as Emcare, Team Health and IPC, and may have greater financial and other resources available to them. In addition, because the market for hospitalist services is highly fragmented and the ability of individual physicians to provide services in any hospital where they have certain credentials and privileges, competition for growth in existing and expanding markets is not limited to our largest competitors.

Accountable Care Organizations. We believe that competition for customers is generally based upon the reputation of the physician treating the customer, the physician's expertise, the physician's demeanor and manner of engagement with the customer. We also compete with hospitals, sophisticated provider groups, payors, and management service organizations in the creation, administration, and management of ACOs.

Healthcare Reform

The Affordable Care Act enacted significant changes to various aspects of the U.S. health insurance industry. There are many important provisions of the legislation that will require additional guidance and clarification in form of regulations and interpretations in order to fully understand the impact of the legislation on our overall business, which we expect to occur over the next several years.

Certain significant provisions of the Affordable Care Act that will impact our business include, among others, establishment of ACO's, reduced Medicare Advantage reimbursement rates, implementation of quality bonus for Star Ratings, stipulated minimum medical loss ratios, non-deductible federal premium taxes assessed to health insurers and coding intensity adjustments with mandatory minimums. The health care reform legislation is discussed more fully in the "Risk Factors" section of this report.

In June 2012, the United States Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of the Affordable Care Act, with one limited exception relating to its Medicaid expansion provision. The Supreme Court held that States could not be required to expand Medicaid or risk the loss of federal funding for existing Medicaid programs. Beginning in January 2014, Medicaid coverage will be expanded to all individuals under age 65 with incomes up to 133% of the federal poverty level, subject to the States' elections. The federal government will pay the entire costs for Medicaid coverage for newly eligible beneficiaries for three years, from 2014 through 2016. The federal share declines to 95% in 2017, 94% in 2018, 93% in 2019, and 90% in 2020 and subsequent years.

Geographic Coverage

As of January 31, 2013, we provide hospitalist services at 28 acute-care hospitals and long-term acute care facilities in Los Angeles and the Central Valley of California.

Professional Liability and Other Insurance Coverage

Our business has an inherent risk of claims of medical malpractice against our affiliated physicians and us. We or our independent physician contractors pay premiums for third-party professional liability insurance that indemnifies us and our affiliated hospitalists on a claims-made basis for losses incurred related to medical malpractice litigation. Professional liability coverage is required in order for our affiliated hospitalists to maintain hospital privileges. All of our physicians carry first dollar coverage with limits of coverage with limits of liability equal to \$1,000,000 for all claims based on occurrence up to an aggregate of \$3,000,000 per year.

We believe that our insurance coverage is appropriate based upon our claims experience and the nature and risks of our business. In addition to the known incidents that have resulted in the assertion of claims, we cannot be certain that our insurance coverage will be adequate to cover liabilities arising out of claims asserted against us, our affiliated professional organizations or our affiliated hospitalists in the future where the outcomes of such claims are unfavorable. We believe that the ultimate resolution of all pending claims, including liabilities in excess of our insurance coverage, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows; however, there can be no assurance that future claims will not have such a material adverse effect on our business.

We also maintain worker's compensation, director and officer, and other third-party insurance coverage subject to deductibles and other restrictions in accordance with industry standards. We believe that our insurance coverage is appropriate based upon our claims experience and the nature and risks of our business. However, we cannot assure that any pending or future claim will not be successful or if successful will not exceed the limits of available insurance coverage.

Regulatory Matters

Significant Federal and State Healthcare Laws Governing Our Business

As a healthcare company, our operations and relationships with healthcare providers such as hospitals, other healthcare facilities, and healthcare professionals are subject to extensive and increasing regulation by numerous federal, state, and local government entities. These laws and regulations often are interpreted broadly and enforced aggressively by multiple government agencies, including the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of the Inspector General, or the ("OIG"), the U.S. Department of Justice, and various state authorities. We have included brief descriptions of some, but not all, of the laws and regulations that affect our business.

Imposition of sanctions associated with a violation of any of these healthcare laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. The Company cannot guarantee that its arrangements or business practices will not be subject to government scrutiny or be found to violate certain healthcare laws. Government investigations and prosecutions, even if we are ultimately found to be without fault, can be costly and disruptive to our business. Moreover, changes in healthcare legislation or government regulation may restrict our existing operations, limit the expansion of our business or impose additional compliance requirements and costs, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

False Claims Acts

The federal False Claims Act imposes civil liability on individuals or entities that submit false or fraudulent claims for payment to the federal government. The False Claims Act provides, in part, that the federal government may bring a lawsuit against any person whom it believes has knowingly or recklessly presented, or caused to be presented, a false or fraudulent request for payment from the federal government, or who has made a false statement or used a false record to get a claim for payment approved. Private parties may initiate *qui tam* whistleblower lawsuits against any person or entity under the False Claims Act in the name of the government and may share in the proceeds of a successful suit.

The federal government has used the False Claims Act to prosecute a wide variety of alleged false claims and fraud allegedly perpetrated against Medicare and state healthcare programs. By way of illustration, these prosecutions may be based upon alleged coding errors, billing for services not rendered, billing services at a higher payment rate than appropriate, and billing for care that is not considered medically necessary. The government and a number of courts also have taken the position that claims presented in violation of certain other statutes, including the federal Anti-Kickback Statute or the Stark Law, can be considered a violation of the False Claims Act based on the theory that a provider impliedly certifies compliance with all applicable laws, regulations, and other rules when submitting claims for reimbursement.

Penalties for False Claims Act violations include fines ranging from \$5,500 to \$11,000 for each false claim, plus up to three times the amount of damages sustained by the government. A False Claims Act violation may provide the basis for the imposition of administrative penalties as well as exclusion from participation in governmental healthcare programs, including Medicare and Medicaid. In addition to the provisions of the False Claims Act, which provide for civil enforcement, the federal government also can use several criminal statutes to prosecute persons who are alleged to have submitted false or fraudulent claims for payment to the federal government.

A number of states have enacted false claims acts that are similar to the federal False Claims Act. Even more states are expected to do so in the future because Section 6031 of the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 ("DRA"), amended the federal law to encourage these types of changes, along with a corresponding increase in state initiated false claims enforcement efforts. Under the DRA, if a state enacts a false claims act that is at least as stringent as the federal statute and that also meets certain other requirements, the state will be eligible to receive a greater share of any monetary recovery obtained pursuant to certain actions brought under the state's false claims act. The OIG, in consultation with the Attorney General of the United States, is responsible for determining if a state's false claims act complies with the statutory requirements. Currently, 19 states, including California have some form of state false claims act.

Anti-Kickback Statutes

The federal Anti-Kickback Statute is a provision of the Social Security Act that prohibits as a felony offense the knowing and willful offer, payment, solicitation or receipt of any form of remuneration in return for, or to induce, (1) the referral of a patient for items or services for which payment may be made in whole or part under Medicare, Medicaid or other federal healthcare programs, (2) the furnishing or arranging for the furnishing of items or services reimbursable under Medicare, Medicaid or other federal healthcare programs or (3) the purchase, lease, or order or arranging or recommending the purchasing, leasing or ordering of any item or service reimbursable under Medicare, Medicaid or other federal healthcare programs. Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act ("PPACA") amended section 1128B of the Social Security Act to make it clear that a person need not have actual knowledge of the statute, or specific intent to violate the statute, as a predicate for a violation. The OIG, which has the authority to impose administrative sanctions for violation of the statute, has adopted as its standard for review a judicial interpretation which concludes that the statute prohibits any arrangement where even one purpose of the remuneration is to induce or reward referrals. A violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute is a felony punishable by imprisonment, criminal fines of up to \$25,000, civil fines of up to \$50,000 per violation and three times the amount of the unlawful remuneration. A violation also can result in exclusion from Medicare, Medicaid or other federal healthcare programs. In addition, pursuant to the changes of PPACA, a claim that includes items or services resulting from a violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute is a false claim for purposes of the False Claims Act.

Due to the breadth of the Anti-Kickback Statute's broad prohibitions, statutory exceptions exist that protect certain arrangements from prosecution. In addition, the OIG has published safe harbor regulations that specify arrangements that also are deemed protected from prosecution under the Anti-Kickback Statute, provided all applicable criteria are met. The failure of an activity to meet all of the applicable safe harbor criteria does not necessarily mean that the particular arrangement violates the Anti-Kickback Statute, but these arrangements may be subject to scrutiny and prosecution by enforcement agencies.

Some states have enacted statutes and regulations similar to the Anti-Kickback Statute, but which may be applicable regardless of the payer source for the patient. These state laws may contain exceptions and safe harbors that are different from and/or more limited than those of the federal law and that may vary from state to state.

Federal Stark Law

The federal Stark Law, also known as the physician self-referral law, generally prohibits a physician from referring Medicare and Medicaid patients to an entity (including hospitals) providing “designated health services,” if the physician or a member of the physician’s immediate family has a “financial relationship” with the entity, unless a specific exception applies. Designated health services include, among other services, inpatient and outpatient hospital services, clinical laboratory services, certain imaging services, and other items or services that our affiliated physicians may order. The prohibition applies regardless of the reasons for the financial relationship and the referral; and therefore, unlike the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, intent to violate the law is not required. Like the Anti-Kickback Statute, the Stark Law contains a number of statutory and regulatory exceptions intended to protect certain types of transactions and business arrangements from penalty. Compliance with all elements of the applicable Stark Law exception is mandatory.

The penalties for violating the Stark Law can include the denial of payment for services ordered in violation of the statute, mandatory refunds of any sums paid for such services and civil penalties of up to \$15,000 for each violation, double damages, and possible exclusion from future participation in the governmental healthcare programs. A person who engages in a scheme to circumvent the Stark Law’s prohibitions may be fined up to \$100,000 for each applicable arrangement or scheme.

Some states have enacted statutes and regulations similar to the Stark Law, but which may be applicable to the referral of patients regardless of their payer source and which may apply to different types of services. These state laws may contain statutory and regulatory exceptions that are different from those of the federal law and that may vary from state to state.

Health Information Privacy and Security Standards

Among other directives, the Administrative Simplification Provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (“HIPAA”), required the Department of Health and Human Services, or the HHS, to adopt standards to protect the privacy and security of certain health-related information. The HIPAA privacy regulations contain detailed requirements concerning the use and disclosure of individually identifiable health information by “HIPAA covered entities,” which include entities like the Company, our affiliated hospitalists, and practice groups.

In addition to the privacy requirements, HIPAA covered entities must implement certain administrative, physical, and technical security standards to protect the integrity, confidentiality and availability of certain electronic health information received, maintained, or transmitted. HIPAA also implemented the use of standard transaction code sets and standard identifiers that covered entities must use when submitting or receiving certain electronic healthcare transactions, including activities associated with the billing and collection of healthcare claims.

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act enacted on February 18, 2009, included the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (HITECH) which modified the HIPAA legislation significantly. Pursuant to HITECH, certain provisions of the HIPAA privacy and security regulations become directly applicable to “HIPAA business associates”.

Violations of the HIPAA privacy and security standards may result in civil and criminal penalties. Historically, these included: (1) civil money penalties of \$100 per incident, to a maximum of \$25,000, per person, per year, per standard violated and (2) depending upon the nature of the violation, fines of up to \$250,000 and imprisonment for up to ten years. The passage of HITECH significantly modified the enforcement structure, creating a tiered system of civil money penalties that range from \$100 to \$50,000 per violation, with a cap of \$1.5 million per year for identical violations. We must also comply with the “breach notification” regulations, which implement certain provisions of HITECH. Under these regulations, in addition to reasonable remediation, covered entities must promptly notify affected individuals in the case of a breach of “unsecured PHI,” which is defined by HHS guidance, as well as the HHS Secretary and the media in cases where a breach affects more than 500 individuals. Breaches affecting fewer than 500 individuals must be reported to the HHS Secretary on an annual basis. The regulations also require business associates of covered entities to notify the covered entity of breaches at or by the business associate. Formal enforcement of the new breach notification regulations began on February 22, 2010.

We expect increased federal and state HIPAA privacy and security enforcement efforts. Under HITECH, State Attorney Generals now have the right to prosecute HIPAA violations committed against residents of their states. In addition, HITECH mandates that the Secretary of HHS conduct periodic compliance audits of HIPAA covered entities and business associates. It also tasks HHS with establishing a methodology whereby harmed individuals who were the victims of breaches of unsecured PHI may receive a percentage of the Civil Monetary Penalty fine or monetary settlement paid by the violator. This methodology for compensation to harmed individuals is required to be in place by February 17, 2012.

Many states also have laws that protect the privacy and security of confidential, personal information. These laws may be similar to or even more stringent than the federal provisions. Not only may some of these state laws impose fines and penalties upon violators, but some may afford private rights of action to individuals who believe their personal information has been misused.

Financial Information and Privacy Standards

In addition to privacy and security laws focused on health care data, multiple other federal and state laws regulate the use and disclosure of consumer’s financial information (“Personal Information”). Many of these laws also require administrative, technical, and physical safeguards to prevent unauthorized use or disclosure of Personal Information, including mandated processes and timeframes for notification of possible or actual breaches of Personal Information to the affected individual. The Federal Trade Commission primarily oversees compliance with the federal laws

relevant to us, while state laws are addressed by the state attorney general or other respective state agencies. As with HIPAA, enforcement of laws protecting financial information is increasing. Examples of relevant federal laws include the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, and the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act.

Fee-Splitting and Corporate Practice of Medicine

Some states have laws that prohibit business entities, such as us, from practicing medicine, employing physicians to practice medicine, exercising control over medical decisions by physicians, also known collectively as the corporate practice of medicine, or engaging in certain arrangements, such as fee-splitting, with physicians. In some states these prohibitions are expressly stated in a statute or regulation, while in other states the prohibition is a matter of judicial or regulatory interpretation.

The Company operates by maintaining long-term management contracts with affiliated professional organizations, which are each owned and operated by physicians and which employ or contract with additional physicians to provide hospitalist services. Under these arrangements, we perform only non-medical administrative services, do not represent that we offer medical services, and do not exercise influence or control over the practice of medicine by the physicians or the affiliated professional organizations.

For financial reporting purposes, however, we consolidate the revenues and expenses of all our practice groups that we own or manage because we have a controlling financial interest in these practices based on applicable accounting rules and as described in our accompanying consolidated financial statements. In states where fee-splitting is prohibited between physicians and non-physicians, the fees that we receive through our management contracts have been established on a basis that we believe complies with the applicable state laws.

Some of the relevant laws, regulations, and agency interpretations in the State of California have been subject to limited judicial and regulatory interpretation. Moreover, state laws are subject to change and regulatory authorities and other parties, including our affiliated physicians, may assert that, despite these arrangements, we are engaged in the prohibited corporate practice of medicine or that our arrangements constitute unlawful fee-splitting. If this occurred, we could be subject to civil or criminal penalties, our contracts could be found legally invalid and unenforceable (in whole or in part), or we could be required to restructure our contractual arrangements.

Deficit Reduction Act of 2005

Among other mandates, the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, or the DRA, created a new Medicaid Integrity Program designed to enhance federal and state efforts to detect Medicaid fraud, waste and abuse. Additionally, section 6032 of the DRA requires entities that make or receive annual Medicaid payments of \$5.0 million or more from any one state to provide their employees, contractors and agents with written policies and employee handbook materials on federal and state False Claims Acts and related statutes. At this time, we are not required to comply with section 6032 because we receive less than \$5.0 million in Medicaid payments annually from any one state. However, we may likely be required to comply in the future as our Medicaid billings increase.

Other Federal Healthcare Compliance Laws

We are also subject to other federal healthcare laws.

In 1995, Congress amended the federal criminal statutes set forth in Title 18 of the United States Code by defining additional federal crimes that could have an impact on our business, including “Health Care Fraud” and “False Statements Relating to Health Care Matters.” The Health Care Fraud provision prohibits any person from knowingly and willfully executing, or attempting to execute, a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program. As defined in this provision of Title 18, a “healthcare benefit program” can be either a government or private payer plan. A violation of this statute may be charged as a felony offense and may result in fines, imprisonment or both. PPACA amended section 1347 of Title 18 to provide that a person may be convicted under the Health Care Fraud provision even in the absence of proof that the person had actual knowledge of, or specific intent to violate, the statute.

The False Statements Relating to Health Care Matters provision prohibits, in any matter involving a federal health care program, anyone from knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up, by any trick, scheme or device, a material fact, or making any materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or representation, or making or using any materially false writing or document knowing that it contains a materially false or fraudulent statement. A violation of this statute may be charged as a felony offense and may result in fines, imprisonment or both.

Under the Civil Monetary Penalties law of the Social Security Act, a person, including any individual or organization, may be subject to civil monetary penalties, treble damages and exclusion from participation in federal health care programs for certain specified conduct. One provision of the Civil Monetary Penalties law precludes any person (including an organization) from knowingly presenting or causing to be presented to any United States officer, employee, agent, or department, or any state agency, a claim for payment for medical or other items or services that the person knows or should know (a) were not provided as described in the coding of the claim, (b) is a false or fraudulent claim, (c) is for a service furnished by an unlicensed physician, (d) is for medical or other items or service

furnished by a person or an entity that is in a period of exclusion from the program or (e) are medically unnecessary items or services. Violations of the law may result in penalties of up to \$10,000 per claim, treble damages, and exclusion from federal healthcare programs. In addition, the OIG may impose civil monetary penalties against any physician who knowingly accepts payment from a hospital (as well as against the hospital making the payment) as an inducement to reduce or limit services provided to Medicare or Medicaid program beneficiaries.

Other State Healthcare Compliance Provisions

In addition to the state laws previously described, we also are subject to other state fraud and abuse statutes and regulations. Many of the states in which we operate or expand to have adopted a form of anti-kickback law, self-referral prohibition, and false claims and insurance fraud prohibition. The scope of these laws and the interpretations of them vary from state to state and are enforced by state courts and regulatory authorities, each with broad discretion. Generally, state laws reach to all healthcare services and not just those covered under a governmental healthcare program. A determination of liability under any of these laws could result in fines and penalties and restrictions on our ability to operate in these states. We cannot assure that our arrangements or business practices will not be subject to government scrutiny or be found to violate applicable fraud and abuse laws.

Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

Some of our operations may be subject to compliance with certain provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and comparable state statutes. Under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, a third-party collection company is restricted in the methods it uses to contact consumer debtors and elicit payments with respect to placed accounts. Requirements under state collection agency statutes vary, with most requiring compliance similar to that required under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

U.S. Sentencing Guidelines

The U.S. Sentencing Guidelines are used by federal judges in determining sentences in federal criminal cases. The guidelines are advisory, not mandatory. With respect to corporations, the guidelines state that having an effective ethics and compliance program may be a relevant mitigating factor in determining sentencing. To comply with the guidelines, the compliance program must be reasonably designed, implemented, and enforced such that it is generally effective in preventing and detecting criminal conduct. The guidelines also state that a corporation should take certain steps such as periodic monitoring and appropriately responding to detected criminal conduct. We have yet to develop a formal ethics and compliance program.

Licensing, Certification, Accreditation and Related Laws and Guidelines

Our clinical personnel are subject to numerous federal, state and local licensing laws and regulations, relating to, among other things, professional credentialing and professional ethics. Since the Company performs services at hospitals and other types of healthcare facilities, it may indirectly be subject to laws applicable to those entities as well as ethical guidelines and operating standards of professional trade associations and private accreditation commissions, such as the American Medical Association and the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Health Care Organizations. There are penalties for non-compliance with these laws and standards, including loss of professional license, civil or criminal fines and penalties, loss of hospital admitting privileges, and exclusion from participation in various governmental and other third-party healthcare programs.

Professional Licensing Requirements

The Company's affiliated hospitalists must satisfy and maintain their professional licensing in the states where they practice medicine. Activities that qualify as professional misconduct under state law may subject them to sanctions, or to even lose their license and could, possibly, subject us to sanctions as well. Some state boards of medicine impose reciprocal discipline, that is, if a physician is disciplined for having committed professional misconduct in one state where he or she is licensed, another state where he or she is also licensed may impose the same discipline even though the conduct occurred in another state. Professional licensing sanctions may also result in exclusion from participation in governmental healthcare programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid, as well as other third-party programs.

Employees

As of January 31, 2013, we had 10 full-time employees. None of our full-time employees is a member of a labor union, and we have never experienced a work stoppage.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

If any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially harmed. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties may also impair its business operations or financial condition. You should consider carefully the following factors, in addition to the other information concerning the Company and its business, before you decide to buy or hold shares of our common stock.

Risk Relating to Our Business

The Company has a limited operating history that makes it difficult to reliably predict future growth and operating results.

Apollo Medical, the predecessor to our operating subsidiary, was incorporated on October 18, 2006, and served initially as the management company for our affiliated medical group, ApolloMed Hospitalists. In addition, Apollo was awarded its ACO license under CMS' MSSP in June 2012. Apollo has limited experience operating an ACO or managed care organization. Accordingly, we have a limited operating history upon which you can evaluate our business prospects, which makes it difficult to forecast ApolloMed's future operating results. The evolving nature of the current medical services industry increases these uncertainties. You must consider the Company's business prospects in light of the risks, uncertainties and problems frequently encountered by companies with limited operating histories. Our ability to predict growth at any time in the future may be limited.

The growth strategy of the Company may not prove viable and expected growth and value may not be realized.

Our business strategy is to rapidly grow by managing a network of medical groups providing certain hospital-based services. Where permitted by local law, we may also acquire such medical groups. Identifying quality acquisition candidates is a time-consuming and costly process. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in identifying and establishing relationships with these and other candidates. If the Company is successful in identifying and acquiring other businesses, there is no assurance that it will be able to manage the growth of such businesses effectively.

The success of the Company's growth strategy depends on the successful identification, completion and integration of acquisitions.

The Company's future success will depend on the ability to identify, complete, and integrate the acquired businesses with its existing operations. The growth strategy will result in additional demands on our infrastructure, and will place further strain on limited management, administrative, operational, financial and technical resources. Acquisitions involve numerous risks, including, but not limited to:

- the possibility that we will not be able to identify suitable acquisition candidates or consummate acquisitions on acceptable terms, if at all;
- possible decreases in capital resources or dilution to existing stockholders;

- difficulties and expenses incurred in connection with an acquisition;
 - the diversion of management's attention from other business concerns;
 - the difficulties of managing an acquired business;
 - the potential loss of key employees and customers of an acquired business; and
- in the event that the operations of an acquired business do not meet expectations, we may be required to restructure the acquired entity or write-off the value of some or all of the assets of the acquisition.

Our future growth could be harmed if we lose the services of certain key personnel.

Our success depends to a significant extent on the continued contributions of our key management personnel, including our Chief Executive Officer, Warren Hosseinion, M.D., for the management of our business and implementation of our business strategy. We have entered into employment agreements with Dr. Hosseinion as well as our other named executive officers. The loss of Dr. Hosseinion or other key management personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The healthcare industry is complex and intensely regulated at the federal, state, and local levels and government authorities may determine that we have failed to comply with applicable laws or regulations.

As a company involved in the provision of healthcare services, we are subject to a myriad of federal, state, and local laws and regulations. There are significant costs involved in complying with these laws and regulations. Moreover, if we are found to have violated any applicable laws or regulations, we could be subject to civil and/or criminal damages, fines, sanctions, or penalties, including exclusion from participation in governmental healthcare programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid. We may also be required to change our method of operations. These consequences could be the result of current conduct or even conduct that occurred a number of years ago. We also could incur significant costs merely if we become the subject of an additional investigation or legal proceeding alleging a violation of these laws and regulations. We cannot predict whether a federal, state, or local government will determine that we are not operating in accordance with law, or whether the laws will change in the future and impact our business. Any of these actions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of some of the more significant healthcare laws and regulations that affect us:

- federal laws, including the federal False Claims Act, that provide for penalties against entities and individuals which knowingly or recklessly make claims to Medicare, Medicaid, and other governmental healthcare programs, as well as third-party payors, that contain or are based upon false or fraudulent information;

- a provision of the federal Social Security Act, commonly referred to as the “anti-kickback” statute, that prohibits the knowing and willful offering, payment, solicitation or receipt of any bribe, kickback, rebate or other remuneration, in cash or in kind, in return for the referral or recommendation of patients for items and services covered, in or in part, by federal healthcare programs such as Medicare and Medicaid;

- a provision of the Social Security Act, commonly referred to as the Stark Law or physician self-referral law, that (subject to limited exceptions) prohibits physicians from referring Medicare patients to an entity for the provision of certain “designated health services” if the physician or a member of such physician’s immediate family has a direct or indirect financial relationship with the entity, and prohibits the entity from billing for services arising out of such

prohibited referrals;

a provision of the Social Security Act that provides for criminal penalties for healthcare providers who fail to disclose known overpayments;

a provision of the Social Security Act that provides for civil monetary penalties for healthcare providers who fail to repay known overpayments within 60 days of discovery, and also allows improper retention of known overpayments to serve as a basis for false claims act violations;

state law provisions pertaining to anti-kickback, self-referral and false claims issues, which typically are not limited to relationships involving governmental payors;

provisions of, and regulations relating to, HIPAA that provide penalties for knowingly and willfully executing a scheme or artifice to defraud a healthcare benefit program or falsifying, concealing or covering up a material fact or making any material false, fictitious or fraudulent statement in connection with the delivery of or payment for healthcare benefits, items or services;

provisions of HIPAA and HITECH limiting how covered entities and business associates may use and disclose PHI and the security measures that must be taken in connection with protecting that information and related systems, as well as similar or more stringent state laws;

federal and state laws that provide penalties for providers for billing and receiving payment from a governmental healthcare program for services unless the services are medically necessary and reasonable, adequately and accurately documented, and billed using codes that accurately reflect the type and level of services rendered; federal laws that provide for administrative sanctions, including civil monetary penalties for, among other violations, inappropriate billing of services to federal healthcare programs, payments by hospitals to physicians for reducing or limiting services to Medicare or Medicaid patients, or employing or contracting with individuals or entities who/which are excluded from participation in federal healthcare programs;

federal and state laws and policies that require healthcare providers to enroll in the Medicare and Medicaid programs before submitting any claims for services, to promptly report certain changes in their operations to the agencies that administer these programs, and to re-enroll in these programs when changes in direct or indirect ownership occur or in response to revalidation requests from Medicare and Medicaid;

state laws that prohibit general business entities from practicing medicine, controlling physicians' medical decisions or engaging in certain practices, such as splitting fees with physicians;

laws that prohibit non-domiciled entities from owning and operating medical practices in their states;

provisions of the Social Security Act (emanating from the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005) that require entities that make or receive annual Medicaid payments of \$5 million or more from a single Medicaid program to provide their employees, contractors and agents with written policies and employee handbook materials on federal and state false claims acts and related statutes; that establish a new Medicaid Integrity Program designed to enhance federal and state efforts to detect Medicaid fraud, waste, and abuse; and that increase financial incentives for both states and individuals to bring fraud and abuse claims against healthcare companies; and

federal and state laws and regulations restricting the techniques that may be used to collect past due accounts from consumers, such as our patients, for services provided to the consumer.

Providers in the healthcare industry are the subject of federal and state investigations, as well as payer audits.

Due to our participation in government and private healthcare programs, we are sometimes involved in inquiries, reviews, audits and investigations by governmental agencies and private payors of our business practices, including assessments of our compliance with coding, billing and documentation requirements. Federal and state government agencies have active civil and criminal enforcement efforts that include investigations of healthcare companies, and their executives and managers. Under certain circumstances, these investigations can also be initiated by private individuals under whistleblower provisions which may be incentivized by the possibility for private recoveries. The Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 revised federal law to further encourage these federal, state and individually-initiated investigations against healthcare companies.

Responding to these audit and enforcement activities can be costly and disruptive to our business operations, even when the allegations are without merit. If we are subject to an audit or investigation and a finding is made that we were incorrectly reimbursed, we may be required to repay these agencies or private payors, or we may be subjected to pre-payment reviews, which can be time-consuming and result in non-payment or delayed payment for the services we provide. We also may be subject to other financial sanctions or be required to modify our operations.

Economic conditions or changing consumer preferences could adversely impact our business.

A downturn in economic conditions in one or more of the Company's markets could have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, financial condition, business and prospects. Although we attempt to stay informed of customer preferences, any sustained failure to identify and respond to trends could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition, business and prospects.

We may be unable to scale our operations successfully.

Our growth strategy will place significant demands on our management and financial, administrative and other resources. Operating results will depend substantially on the ability of our officers and key employees to manage changing business conditions and to implement and improve our financial, administrative and other resources. If the Company is unable to respond to and manage changing business conditions, or the scale of its operations, then the quality of its services, its ability to retain key personnel, and its business could be harmed.

The Company's success depends upon the ability to adapt to a changing market and continued development of additional services.

Although we expect to provide a broad and competitive range of services, there can be no assurance of acceptance by the marketplace. The procurement of new contracts by the Company may be dependent upon the continuing results achieved at the current facilities, upon pricing and operational considerations, as well as the potential need for continuing improvement to existing services. Moreover, the markets for such services may not develop as expected nor can there be any assurance that we will be successful in its marketing of any such services.

Changes associated with reimbursement by third-party payers for the Company's services may adversely affect operating results and financial condition.

The medical services industry is undergoing significant changes with third-party payers that are taking measures to reduce reimbursement rates or in some cases, denying reimbursement altogether. There is no assurance that third-party payers will continue to pay for the services provided by our affiliated medical groups. Failure of third party payers to adequately cover the medical services so provided by the Company will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition, business and prospects.

Changes in the rates or methods of third-party reimbursements may adversely affect our operations.

We derive the majority of our revenue from direct billings to governmental healthcare programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid, and private health insurance companies. As a result, any negative changes in the rates or methods of reimbursement for the services we provide would have a significant adverse impact on our revenue and financial results. Government funding for healthcare programs, in particular, is subject to unpredictable statutory and regulatory changes, administrative rulings, interpretations of policy and determinations by intermediaries, and governmental funding restrictions, all of which could materially impact program coverage and reimbursements for our services.

The Medicare program reimburses for our services based upon the rates set forth in the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule, which relies, in part, on a target-setting formula system called the Sustainable Growth Rate ("SGR"). Each year on January 1st, the Medicare program updates the Physician Fee Schedule reimbursement rates. Many private payors use the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule to determine their own reimbursement rates. Based on the SGR, the annual fee schedule update is adjusted to reflect the comparison of actual expenditures to target expenditures. Because one of the factors for calculating the SGR is linked to the growth in the U.S. gross domestic product ("GDP"), the SGR formula may result in a negative payment update if growth in Medicare beneficiaries' use of services exceeds GDP growth, a situation which has occurred every year since 2002 and the reoccurrence of which we cannot predict.

Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services ("CMS") determined that, effective January 1, 2012, the SGR formula results in a payment cut of approximately 27 percent. Congress, however, enacted the Temporary Payroll Tax Cut Continuation Act of 2011, which blocked this cut through the end of February 2012. In February 2012, Congress passed the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012, which blocked the cut through the end of 2012. On January 1, 2013, Congress passed the American Taxpayer Relief Act, which delays the payment cut for another year and replaces it with a "zero percent update" to the Medicare conversion factor (used to calculate Medicare Physician Fee Schedule payments). While Congress has repeatedly intervened to mitigate the negative reimbursement impact associated with the SGR formula, there is no guarantee that Congress will continue to do so in the future. Moreover, the existing methodology may result in significant yearly fluctuations in the Physician Fee Schedule amounts, which may be unrelated to changes in the actual costs of providing physician services. Unless Congress enacts a change in the SGR methodology, the uncertainty regarding reimbursement rates and fluctuation will continue to exist.

Another provision that affects physician payments is an adjustment under the Medicare statute to reflect the geographic variation in the cost of delivering physician services, by comparing those costs to the national average. This concerns the "work" component of the Geographic Practice Cost Indices (GPCI). If Congress does not block this adjustment, payments would be decreased to any geographic area with an index of less than 1.0. The Medicare and Medicaid Extenders Act of 2010 blocked cuts by providing a "floor" of 1.0 through the end of 2011, and this protection was extended through the end of February 2012 by the Temporary Payroll Tax Cut Continuation Act of 2011, and extended through the end of 2013 by the American Taxpayer Relief Act. Congress has convened a House / Senate conference committee whose duties include consideration of whether to block the adjustment for an additional period. Providing an additional period is controversial because of disagreement on how to offset the costs so that blocking the adjustment is deficit neutral. Although Congress has extended the work GPCI floor several times, there is no

guarantee that Congress will block the adjustment in the future, which could result in a decrease in payments we receive for physician services.

Congress has a strong interest in reducing the federal debt, which may lead to new proposals designed to achieve savings by altering payment policies. The Budget Control Act of 2011 (BCA) established a Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction, which had the goal of achieving a reduction in the federal debt level of at least \$1.2 trillion. That Committee did not draft a proposal by the BCA's deadline, with the result that automatic cuts in various federal programs will take place, beginning in January 2013. Although the Medicare program is generally exempt from these cuts, Medicare payments to providers are not exempt. The BCA does, however, provide that the Medicare cuts to providers may not exceed two percent. At this time it is unclear how this automatic reduction may be applied to various Medicare healthcare programs, including physician reimbursement. Therefore it is not possible at this time to estimate what impact, if any, the BCA will have on our business or results of operations.

As noted, the cuts described above will occur automatically as a matter of law. Many in Congress, however, want to achieve even greater reductions in the federal debt, and they want to change entitlement programs, such as Medicare. It is difficult to assess whether and to what extent Congress will alter Medicare payment policies.

Because governmental healthcare programs generally reimburse on a fee schedule basis rather than on a charge-related basis, we generally cannot increase our revenues from these programs by increasing the amount we charge for our services. If our costs increase, we may not be able to recover our increased costs from these programs. Government and private payors have taken and may continue to take steps to control the cost, eligibility for, use and delivery of healthcare services as a result of budgetary constraints, cost containment pressures and other reasons. We believe that these trends in cost containment will continue. These cost containment measures and other market changes in non-governmental insurance plans have generally restricted our ability to recover, or shift to non-governmental payors, any increased costs that we experience. Our business and financial operations may be materially affected by these developments.

We may be impacted by eligibility changes to government and private insurance programs.

Due to potential decreased availability of healthcare through private employers, the number of patients who are uninsured or participate in governmental programs may increase. A shift in payor mix from managed care and other private payors to government payors or the uninsured may result in a reduction in our rates of reimbursement or an increase in our uncollectible receivables or uncompensated care, with a corresponding decrease in our net revenue. Changes in the eligibility requirements for governmental programs also could increase the number of patients who participate in such programs or the number of uninsured patients. Even for those patients who remain with private insurance, changes in those programs could increase patient responsibility amounts, resulting in a greater risk for us of uncollectible receivables. These factors and events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may have difficulty collecting payments from third-party payors in a timely manner.

We derive significant revenue from third-party payors, and delays in payment or audits leading to refunds to payors may impact our net revenue. We assume the financial risks relating to uncollectible and delayed payments. In the current healthcare environment, payors are continuing their efforts to control expenditures for healthcare, including proposals to revise coverage and reimbursement policies. We may experience difficulties in collecting our revenue because third-party payors may seek to reduce or delay payment to which we believe we are entitled. If we are not paid fully and in a timely manner for such services or there is a finding that we were incorrectly paid, our revenues, cash flows, and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

If we are unable to effectively adapt to changes in the healthcare industry, our business may be harmed.

Due to the importance of the healthcare industry in the lives of all Americans, federal, state, and local legislative bodies frequently pass legislation and promulgate regulations relating to healthcare reform. It is reasonable to believe that there may be increased federal oversight and regulation of the healthcare industry in the future. We cannot assure you as to the ultimate content, timing or effect of any healthcare reform legislation, nor is it possible at this time to estimate the impact of potential legislation on our business. It is possible that future legislation enacted by Congress or state legislatures could adversely affect our business or could change the operating environment of our targeted customers. It is possible that the changes to the Medicare or other governmental healthcare program reimbursements may serve as precedent to possible changes in other payors' reimbursement policies in a manner adverse to us. Similarly, changes in private payor reimbursements could lead to adverse changes in Medicare and other governmental healthcare programs which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Compliance with changing regulation of corporate governance and public disclosure, once the Company is subject to such requirements, will result in significant additional expenses.

Changing laws, regulations, and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure for public companies, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, and various rules and regulations adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), are creating uncertainty for public companies. The Company's management will continue to invest significant time and financial resources to comply with both existing and evolving requirements for public companies, which will lead, among other things, to significantly increased general and administrative expenses and a certain diversion of management time and attention from revenue generating activities to compliance activities.

If we fail to remain current in our SEC reporting obligations, we could be removed from the OTCQB, which would adversely affect the market liquidity for our securities.

Companies trading on the OTCQB, such as us, must be reporting issuers under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and must be current in their reports under Section 13, in order to maintain price quotation privileges on the OTCQB. If we fail to remain current in our reporting requirements, we could be removed from the OTCQB. As a result, the market liquidity for our securities could be severely adversely affected by limiting the ability of broker-dealers to sell our securities and the ability of stockholders to sell their securities in the secondary market.

Our common stock is subject to the “penny stock” rules of the SEC, and trading in our securities is very limited, which makes transactions in our common stock cumbersome and may reduce the value of an investment in our securities.

The SEC has adopted Rule 3a51-1 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which establishes the definition of a "penny stock," for the purposes relevant to us, as any equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share or with an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. For any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, Rule 15c-9 requires:

- a broker or dealer to approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks; and
- a broker or dealer receives a written agreement for the transaction from the investor, setting forth the identity and quantity of the penny stock to be purchased.

In order to approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks, the broker or dealer must:

- obtain financial information and investment experience objectives of the person; and
- make a reasonable determination that the transactions in penny stocks are suitable for that person and the person has sufficient knowledge and experience in financial matters to be capable of evaluating the risks of transactions in penny stocks.

The broker or dealer must also deliver, prior to any transaction in a penny stock, a disclosure schedule prescribed by the SEC relating to the penny stock market, which, among other things:

- sets forth the basis on which the broker or dealer made the suitability determination; and
- that the broker or dealer received a signed, written agreement from the investor prior to the transaction.

Disclosure also has to be made about the risks of investing in penny stocks in both public offerings and in secondary trading and about the commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative, current quotations for the securities and the rights and remedies available to an investor in cases of fraud in penny stock transactions. Finally, monthly statements have to be sent disclosing recent price information for the penny stock held in the account and information on the limited market in penny stocks. Generally, brokers may be less willing to execute transactions in securities subject to the “penny stock” rules. This may make it more difficult for investors to dispose of our common stock and cause a decline in the market value of our stock.

Trading on the OTCQB may be volatile and sporadic, which could depress the market price of our common stock and make it difficult for our stockholders to resell their shares.

Our common stock is quoted on the OTCQB. Trading in stock quoted on the OTCQB is often thin and characterized by wide fluctuations in trading prices due to many factors that may have little to do with our operations or business prospects. This volatility could depress the market price of our common stock for reasons unrelated to operating performance. Moreover, the OTCQB is not a stock exchange, and trading of securities on the OTCQB is often more sporadic than the trading of securities listed on a stock exchange like NASDAQ or a New York Stock Exchange. Accordingly, our shareholders may have difficulty reselling any of their shares.

We might need to raise additional capital, which might not be available.

We may require additional equity or debt financing for additional working capital for expansion, to consummate acquisitions or if we suffer significant losses. In the event of additional financing is unavailable to us, we may be unable to expand or make acquisitions and the price of our common stock may decline.

We may write off intangible assets, such as goodwill.

Our intangible assets, which consist primarily of goodwill related to our acquisitions, are subject to annual impairment testing. Under current accounting standards, goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis and we may be subject to impairment losses as circumstances change after an acquisition. If we record an impairment loss related to our goodwill, it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations for the year in which the impairment is recorded.

ACOs are new and unproven and CMS may discontinue, alter or radically change the MSSP program.

Company has invested resources in both acquiring the ACO license and in establishing initial infrastructure. Any material change to the MSSP program and ACO license requirements, governance and operating rules, could provide a significant financial risk for Company and alter the strategic direction of the Company thereby producing shareholder risk and uncertainty.

The Company operates in only one geographic state, California.

The Company's business and operations are limited to one state, California. Any material changes by California with respect to strategic, taxation and economics of healthcare delivery and reimbursements could produce an adverse effect on the continued business operations of Company.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES

The Company's corporate headquarters is located at 700 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 450, Glendale, California 91203. The lease on our present corporate headquarters expires on January 14, 2017. We believe our present facilities are adequate to meet our current and projected needs.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

In the ordinary course of our business, we become involved in pending and threatened legal actions and proceedings, most of which involve claims of medical malpractice related to medical services provided by our affiliated hospitalists. We may also become subject to other lawsuits which could involve significant claims and/or significant defense costs.

We believe, based upon our review of pending actions and proceedings, that the outcome of such legal actions and proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. The outcome of such actions and proceedings, however, cannot be predicted with certainty and an unfavorable

resolution of one or more of them could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows in a future period.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE.

Not applicable.

PART II**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.****Market Information**

Our common stock is traded on the OTCQB under the symbol "AMEH". Following is a table presenting the closing sale prices for a share of our common stock by fiscal quarter for the fiscal years 2013 and 2012

	High	Low
Fiscal Year ended January 31, 2013		
First Quarter	\$0.19	\$0.09
Second Quarter	0.63	0.10
Third Quarter	0.63	0.20
Fourth Quarter	1.38	0.47

	High	Low
Fiscal Year ended January 31, 2012		
First Quarter	\$0.26	\$0.16
Second Quarter	0.24	0.14
Third Quarter	0.19	0.14
Fourth Quarter	0.17	0.05

Stockholders

As of April 30, 2013, as reported by the Company's stock transfer agent, there were 330 holders of record of our common stock. Within the holders of record of the Company's Common Stock are depositories such as Cede & Co., a nominee for The Depository Trust Company (or DTC), that hold shares of stock for brokerage firms which, in turn, hold shares of stock for one or more beneficial owners. Accordingly, the Company believes there are many more beneficial owners of its Common Stock whose shares are held in "street name", not in the name of the individual shareholder.

Dividends

To date we have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock and we do not contemplate the payment of cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Our future dividend policy will depend on our earnings, capital requirements, financial condition, and other factors considered relevant to our ability to pay dividends.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

For the year ended January 31, 2013, the Company granted stock options to management, employees and consultants as follows:

Plan Category	Number of shares of common stock to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options,	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options,	Number of shares of common stock remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected)
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	5,300,000	\$ 0.18	267,333
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders	-	-	-
Total	5,300,000	\$ 0.18	267,333

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

We have issued and sold unregistered securities of the Company as disclosed below within the last three years. Unless otherwise noted, the following sales of securities were effected in reliance on the exemption from registration contained in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 (as amended, the "Act") and Regulation D promulgated there under, and such securities may not be reoffered or sold in the United States by the holders in the absence of an effective registration statement, or valid exemption from the registration requirements, under the Act :

Edgar Filing: Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Purchaser	Date	Security Type	Number of shares or units sold	Aggregate offering price of securities sold for cash	Aggregate underwriting discounts and commissions (13)	Aggregate consideration of securities issued for services (1)	Nature of transaction in which securities were issued for services
Suresh Nihalani	3/31/2010	Common Stock	33,333	\$33	\$-	\$ 8,967	(16)
Suresh Nihalani	7/16/2010	Common Stock	211,113	\$211	\$-	\$ 29,856	(17)
Third Party Investor	2/16/2011	Common Stock	500,000	\$-	\$-	\$ 105,000	(14)
Kanehoe Advisors, LLC	3/15/2011	Common Stock	350,000	\$-	\$-	\$ 63,000	(2)
Third Party Investor	8/2/2011	Common Stock	350,000	\$-	\$-	\$ 70,000	(15)
Gary Augusta	12/1/2011	Common Stock	100,000	\$100	\$-	\$ 14,900	(3)
Shining Star Family Trust	1/1/2012	Common Stock	400,000	\$400	\$-	\$ 59,600	(4)
Gary Augusta	1/1/2012	Common Stock	100,000	\$100	\$-	\$ 14,900	(3)
Gary Augusta	2/1/2012	Common Stock	100,000	\$100	\$-	\$ 14,700	(3)
SpaGus Capital Partners, LLC	2/29/2012	Common Stock	216,000	\$-	\$-	\$ 25,661	(5)
Gary Augusta	3/1/2012	Common Stock	400,000	\$400	\$-	\$ 47,520	(18)
Gary Augusta	3/1/2012	Common Stock	100,000	\$100	\$-	\$ 11,880	(3)
Gary Augusta	4/1/2012	Common Stock	100,000	\$100	\$-	\$ 14,880	(3)
Gary Augusta	5/1/2012	Common Stock	100,000	\$100	\$-	\$ 13,880	(3)
Gary Augusta	6/1/2012	Common Stock	100,000	\$100	\$-	\$ 11,880	(3)
Third Party Investor	9/15/2012	Common Stock	1,200,000	\$1,200	\$-	\$ 480,000	(6)
Kanehoe Advisors, LLC	9/15/2012	Common Stock	350,000	\$350	\$-	\$ 105,000	(2)
Kanehoe Advisors, LLC	9/15/2012	Common Stock	350,000	\$350	\$-	\$ 164,500	(2)
	9/15/2012		1,000,000	\$1,000	\$-	\$ 420,000	(7)

Edgar Filing: Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Warren Hosseinion, M.D.		Common Stock						
Third Party Investor	9/15/2012	Common Stock	66,667	\$67	\$-	\$28,000	(8)
Third Party Investor	9/15/2012	Common Stock	200,000	\$200	\$-	\$84,000	(9)
Third Party Investor	9/15/2012	Common Stock	50,000	\$50	\$-	\$21,000	(9)
Third Party Investor	10/9/2012	Common Stock	40,000	\$-	\$-	\$20,000	(5)
SpaGus Ventures LLC	10/9/2012	Common Stock	50,000	\$-	\$-	\$25,000	(5)
Third Party Investor	10/9/2012	Common Stock	10,000	\$-	\$-	\$5,000	(5)
Mark Meyers	10/18/2012	Common Stock	400,000	\$400	\$-	\$168,000	(10)
Mitch Creem	10/22/2012	Common Stock	500,000	\$-	\$-	\$210,000	(11)
Third Party Investor	12/14/2012	Common Stock	75,000	\$15,750	\$-	\$-	-	
Mark Meyers	1/31/2013	(12)	2.0	\$100,000	\$18,826	\$-	-	
Third Party Investors	12/21/2012-1/31/2013	(12)	15.6	\$780,000	\$146,842	\$-	-	

(1) The amount shown in this column reflects the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

(2) Pursuant to the consulting agreement with Kaneohe Advisors LLC dated March 15, 2009, as amended.

(3) Pursuant to a consulting agreement with Augusta Advisors Corporation dated December 1, 2011.

(4) Pursuant to the amended Directors Agreement with Suresh Nihalani dated January 1, 2012.

(5) Pursuant to the Senior Secured Note agreement on February 1, 2012, as amended October 15, 2012, with SpaGus Capital Partners, LLC.

(6) Pursuant to a consulting agreement dated August 1, 2012.

(7) Pursuant to the September 15, 2012 grant by the Company's Board of Directors for services as the Company's Chief Executive Officer.

(8) Pursuant to the September 15, 2012 grant by the Company's Board of Directors for services as an employee.

(9) Pursuant to an accounting services agreement dated April 5, 2012.

(10) Pursuant to the Directors Agreement with Mr. Meyers dated October 18, 2012.

(11) Pursuant to the Directors Agreement with Mr. Creem dated October 18, 2012.

(12) Units of \$50,000 par value 9% Senior Subordinated Callable Convertible Promissory Notes due February 15, 2016. Each unit receives warrants to purchase 37,500 shares of the Company's common stock.

(13) Commissions and expenses paid to Syndicated Capital, Inc. ("Syndicated Capital"), the placement agent for the offering, discussed in further detail below in 'Item 7' aggregated \$92,374. Syndicated Capital also received warrants to purchase 176,000 shares of the Company's common stock, which had a fair value of \$54,468. Commissions, expenses and the fair value of the warrants received by Syndicated Capital have allocated to the units purchased on a pro-rata basis.

(14) Pursuant to a stock purchase agreement dated February 15, 2011.

(15) Pursuant to a stock purchase agreement dated August 2, 2011.

(16) Pursuant to the Directors Agreement with Mr. Nihalani dated October 27, 2008 in which an aggregate of 188,887 shares of common stock were issued.

(17) Pursuant to the amended Directors Agreement with Mr. Nihalani dated July 16, 2010.

(18) Pursuant to the Directors Agreement with Gary Augusta dated March 7, 2012.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Not applicable.

ITEM 7. MANAGERMENTS' DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion highlights the principal factors that have affected our financial condition and results of operations as well as our liquidity and capital resources for the periods described. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included in this Report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that are subject to known and unknown risks. Actual results and the timing of events may differ significantly from those expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, including those set forth in the section entitled "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Report. The operating results for the periods presented were not significantly affected by inflation.

Overview

Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. and its affiliated physician groups are a physician centric, integrated healthcare delivery system serving Medicare, Commercial and Medi-Cal beneficiaries in California. As of April 30, 2013, ApolloMed's physician network consisted of over 300 hospitalists, primary care physicians and specialist physicians primarily through our owned and affiliated physician groups.

Recent Developments

On July 10, 2012, ApolloMed ACO was notified that it had been selected by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS") to participate in the Medicare Shared Savings Program ("MSSP"). The Medicare Shared Savings Program model is designed to encourage the development of Accountable Care Organizations ("ACOs"), which can be comprised of hospitals, doctors and other health care providers who work together and are accountable for quality outcomes and the overall patient experience, while reducing the growth in Medicare expenditures.

The ACO concept places a degree of financial responsibility on the providers in hopes of improving care management and limiting unnecessary expenditures while continuing to provide patients freedom to selection of their medical

services. The Company believes that in establishing an ACO, ApolloMed will be able to leverage its medical management expertise to efficiently manage patient's costs through improved communication between physicians and their patients, which should lead to improved patient outcomes and lower readmission rates. ACOs participating in the shared-savings payment model will be able to share in up to 50 percent of their achieved savings, depending on how well they exceed minimum quality performance standards. The Company's executive management and certain of its physicians have focused efforts to develop the infrastructure to support the ACO program, which included identifying participating physicians, hiring support staff, and identifying technology and facilities to support the anticipated growth. ApolloMed will be required to raise additional capital to fund this opportunity, as the initial outlay of funds will be required in advance of any potential future revenues, and this initial outlay maybe substantial.

On August 1, 2012, Apollo entered into a stock purchase agreement (the "VMM Purchase Agreement") with Dr. Eli Hendel, the sole shareholder of Verdugo Medical Management, Inc. ("VMM"), a provider of management services pursuant to a management services agreement (the "VMM MSA") with Eli Hendel M.D. Inc. ("Hendel"), a medical group specializing in pulmonary and critical care patient services, under which the Company will acquire all of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of VMM for \$1,200. In addition, the Company's subsidiary, ApolloMed ACO, entered into a consulting agreement with Dr. Hendel as chairman of its ACO advisory board in which Dr. Hendel received the right to acquire 1,200,000 shares of the Company's restricted common stock for \$0.001 per share. In the event the consulting agreement is terminated for "any or no reason", the Company will have the right, but not the obligation, to repurchase at \$0.001 per share 800,000 shares if the agreement is terminated within twelve months of the date of the VMM Purchase Agreement, and repurchase 400,000 shares if the agreement is terminated within 24 months. The fair value of the shares was estimated to be \$480,000.

On January 31, 2013 the Company raised in a private placement offering of \$880,000 in par value 9% Senior Subordinated Callable Convertible Promissory Notes maturing February 15, 2016 (the “9% Notes”). The 9% Notes bear interest at a rate of 9% per annum, payable semi-annually on August 15 and February 15. The principal of the 9% Notes plus any accrued yet unpaid interest is convertible at any time by the holder at a conversion price of \$0.40 per share of Common Stock, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and reverse stock splits, and is callable in full or in part by the Company at any time after January 31, 2015. The holders of the 9% Notes received warrants to purchase 660,000 shares of the Company’s common stock at an exercise price of \$0.45 per share, subject to adjustment for stock splits, reverse stock splits and stock dividends, and which are exercisable at any date prior to January 31, 2018. The Company will use the net proceeds (after issuance costs) of approximately \$776,000 for general corporate purposes.

Factors Affecting Operating Results

Rate Changes by Government Sponsored Programs

The Medicare program reimburses for our services based upon the rates set forth in the annually updated Medicare Physician Fee Schedule, which relies, in part, on a target-setting formula system called the Sustainable Growth Rate (SGR). Many private payors use the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule to determine their own reimbursement rates. Based on the SGR, the annual Medicare Physician Fee Schedule update is adjusted to reflect the comparison of actual expenditures to target expenditures. Because one of the factors for calculating the SGR is linked to the growth in the U.S. GDP, the SGR formula may result in a negative payment update if growth in Medicare beneficiaries’ use of services exceeds GDP growth, a situation which has occurred every year since 2002 and the reoccurrence of which we cannot predict.

Another provision that affects physician payments is an adjustment under the Medicare statute to reflect the geographic variation in the cost of delivering physician services, by comparing those costs to the national average. This concerns the “work” component of the Geographic Practice Cost Indices (GPCI). If Congress does not block this adjustment, payments would be decreased to any geographic area with an index of less than 1.0. The Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 prevented the GPCI payment adjustment through the end of 2012. With enactment of the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 on January 2, 2013, again, the GPCI payment adjustment has been delayed through the end of 2013. Although Congress has delayed the GPCI payment adjustment several times, there is no guarantee that Congress will block the adjustment in the future, which could result in a decrease in payments we receive for physician services. The magnitude of Medicare cuts that may be made through budget agreements is unclear. However, under our provider compensation plan, any decrease in reimbursement rates would reduce our physician incentive payments.

Healthcare Reform

In March 2010, the Affordable Care Act (ACA) was enacted. The ACA includes a number of provisions that may affect our Company, although the impact of many of the changes will be unknown until they are implemented, which in some cases will not occur for a couple of years. The impact of some of these provisions may be positive, such as the expansion in the number of individuals with health insurance, the ten percent Medicare bonus payment for primary care services (including outpatient and nursing home visits) from 2011 through 2015 to primary care practitioners for whom primary care services represented a minimum of 60 percent of Medicare allowed charges in a prior period, and the increase in Medicaid rates in 2013 and 2014 for primary care services. The impact of other provisions is unknown at this time, such as the establishment of an Independent Payment Advisory Board that could recommend changes in payment for physicians under certain circumstances not earlier than January 15, 2014, which the federal Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) generally would be required to implement unless Congress enacts superseding legislation. Fraud and abuse penalty increases and the expansion in the scope of the reach of the federal False Claims Act and government enforcement tools may adversely impact entities in the healthcare industry, including our Company.

The impact of certain provisions will depend upon the ultimate method of implementation. For example, the ACA requires HHS to develop a budget neutral value-based payment modifier that provides for differential payment under the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule for physicians or groups of physicians that is linked to quality of care furnished compared to cost. HHS has begun implementing the modifier through the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule rulemaking for 2013, by, among other things, specifying the initial performance period and how it will apply the upward and downward modifier for certain physicians and physician groups beginning January 1, 2015, as well as all physicians and physician groups starting not later than January 1, 2017. During this rulemaking process, HHS considered whether it should develop a value-based payment modifier option for hospital-based physicians, but ultimately, HHS decided to deal with this issue in future rulemaking. The impact of this payment modifier cannot be determined at this time.

In addition, certain provisions of the ACA authorize voluntary demonstration projects, which include the development of bundling payments for acute, inpatient hospital services, physician services, and post-acute services for episodes of hospital care. The Medicare Acute Care Episode Demonstration is currently underway at five health care system demonstration sites. The impact of these projects on our Company cannot be determined at this time.

Professional Liability Rates

Medical malpractice insurance premium rates are affected by a variety of factors both internal, including our own loss experience and the associated defense costs, and external such as medical malpractice loss experience for internal medicine physicians, which varies greatly across different regions. Other factors include varying state laws covering tort reform, the local climate for large jury awards, the rate of investment income and reinsurance costs, all of which can result in wide variations in premium rates not only from region to region, but also from year to year. Although our malpractice premium rates have remained relatively stable over the last two years, the factors discussed above could lead to variations in future costs.

Critical Accounting Policies

A critical accounting policy is defined as one that is both material to the presentation of our financial statements and requires management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments that could have a material effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Specifically, critical accounting estimates have the following attributes: (i) we are required to make assumptions about matters that are uncertain at the time of the estimate; and (ii) different estimates we could reasonably have used, or changes in the estimate that are reasonably likely to occur, would have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Estimates and assumptions about future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions believed to be applicable and reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates may change as new events occur, as additional information is obtained and as our operating environment changes. These changes have historically been minor and have been included in the consolidated financial statements as soon as they became known. Based on a critical assessment of our accounting policies and the underlying judgments and uncertainties affecting the application of those policies, management believes that our consolidated financial statements are fairly stated in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and meaningfully present our financial condition and results of operations.

We believe the following critical accounting policies reflect our more significant estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

Principals of Consolidation

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements describes the significant accounting policies used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements. Certain of these significant accounting policies are considered to be critical accounting policies, as defined below.

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries AMM, Aligned Healthcare Group (“AHI”), ApolloMedACO, PCCM, and VMM as well as PPC’s managed under long-term management service agreements including AMH, LALC and Hendel. Some states have laws that prohibit business entities, such as Apollo, from practicing medicine, employing physicians to practice medicine, exercising control over medical decisions by physicians (collectively known as the corporate practice of medicine), or engaging in certain arrangements with physicians, such as fee-splitting. In California, we operate by maintaining

long-term management service agreements with the PPC's, which are each owned and operated by physicians, and which employ or contract with additional physicians to provide hospitalist services. Under the management agreements, we provide and perform all non-medical management and administrative services, including financial management, information systems, marketing, risk management and administrative support. The management agreements typically have an initial term of 20 years unless terminated by either party for cause. The management agreements are not terminable by the PMC's, except in the case of gross negligence, fraud, or other illegal acts by Apollo, or bankruptcy of Apollo.

Through the management agreements and our relationship with the stockholders of the PPC's, we have exclusive authority over all non-medical decision making related to the ongoing business operations of the PPC's. Consequently, we consolidate the revenue and expenses of the PPCs from the date of execution of the management agreements.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue consists of contracted and fee-for-service revenue. Revenue is recorded in the period in which services are rendered. Our revenue is principally derived from the provision of healthcare staffing services to patients within healthcare facilities. The form of billing and related risk of collection for such services may vary by customer. The following is a summary of the principal forms of our billing arrangements and how net revenue is recognized for each.

Contracted revenue represents revenue generated under contracts in which we provide physician and other healthcare staffing and administrative services in return for a contractually negotiated fee. Contracted revenue represented approximately 89% of our revenues in the year ended January 31, 2013. Contract revenue consists primarily of billings on a per admission basis or based on hours of healthcare staffing provided at agreed-to hourly rates. Hourly revenue is recognized as the hours are worked by our staff and contractors. Additionally, contracted revenue also includes supplemental revenue from hospitals where we may have a fee-for-service contract arrangement or provide physician advisory services to the medical staff at specific facility. Contract revenue for the supplemental billing in such cases is recognized based on the terms of each individual contract. Such contract terms generally either provides for a fixed monthly dollar amount or a variable amount based upon measurable monthly activity, such as hours staffed, patient visits or collections per visit compared to a minimum activity threshold. Such supplemental revenues based on variable arrangements are usually contractually fixed on a monthly, quarterly or annual calculation basis considering the variable factors negotiated in each such arrangement. Such supplemental revenues are recognized as revenue in the period when such amounts are determined to be fixed and therefore contractually obligated as payable by the customer under the terms of the respective agreement. Additionally, we derive a portion of our revenue as a contractual bonus from collections received by our partners and such revenue is contingent upon the collection of third-party billings. These revenues are not considered earned and therefore not recognized as revenue until actual cash collections are achieved in accordance with the contractual arrangements for such services.

Fee-for-service revenue represents revenue earned under contracts in which we bill and collect the professional component of charges for medical services rendered by our contracted and employed physicians. Under the fee-for-service arrangements, we bill patients for services provided and receive payment from patients or their third-party payers. Fee-for-service revenue is reported net of contractual allowances and policy discounts. All services provided are expected to result in cash flows and are therefore reflected as net revenue in the financial statements.

Fee-for-service revenue is recognized in the period in which the services are rendered to specific patients and reduced immediately for the estimated impact of contractual allowances in the case of those patients having third-party payer coverage.

The recognition of net revenue (gross charges less contractual allowances) from such visits is dependent on such factors as proper completion of medical charts following a patient visit, the forwarding of such charts to our billing center for medical coding and entering into our billing system and the verification of each patient's submission or representation at the time services are rendered as to the payer(s) responsible for payment of such services. Revenue is recorded based on the information known at the time of entering of such information into our billing systems as well as an estimate of the revenue associated with medical services provided.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable primarily consists of amounts due from third-party payors, including government sponsored Medicare and Medicaid programs, and insurance companies, and amounts due from hospitals, and patients. Accounts receivable are recorded and stated at the amount expected to be collected.

The Company maintains reserves for potential credit losses on accounts receivable. Management reviews the composition of accounts receivable and analyzes historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer credit worthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment patterns to evaluate the adequacy of these reserves. We also regularly analyze the ultimate collectability of accounts receivable after certain stages of the collection cycle using a historical analysis to determine the percentage of invoiced amounts subsequently collected and adjustments are recorded when necessary. Reserves are recorded primarily on a specific identification basis.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Under FASB ASC 350, *Intangibles – Goodwill and Other* (“ASC 350”), goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are reviewed at least annually for impairment. Acquired intangible assets with definite lives are amortized over their

individual useful lives.

On at least an annual basis, management assesses whether there has been any impairment in the value of goodwill by first comparing the fair value to the net carrying value. If the carrying value exceeds its estimated fair value, a second step is performed to compute the amount of the impairment. An impairment loss is recognized if the implied fair value of the asset being tested is less than its carrying value. In this event, the asset is written down accordingly. The fair values of goodwill impairment testing are determined using valuation techniques based on estimates, judgments and assumptions management believes are appropriate in the circumstances. The fair value is evaluated based on market capitalization determined using average share prices within a reasonable period of time near the selected testing date (fiscal year-end).

Indefinite-lived intangible assets are tested at least annually for impairment. Impairment for intangible assets with indefinite lives exists if the carrying value of the intangible asset exceeds its fair value. The fair values of indefinite-lived intangible assets are determined using valuation techniques based on estimates, judgments and assumptions management believes are appropriate in the circumstances.

Medical Malpractice Liability Insurance

Our business has an inherent risk of claims of medical malpractice against our affiliated physicians and us. We or our independent physician contractors pay premiums for third-party professional liability insurance that indemnifies us and our affiliated hospitalists on a claims-made basis for losses incurred related to medical malpractice litigation. Professional liability coverage is required in order for our affiliated hospitalists to maintain hospital privileges. All of our physicians carry first dollar coverage with limits of coverage with limits of liability equal to \$1,000,000 for all claims based on occurrence up to an aggregate of \$3,000,000 per year.

We believe that our insurance coverage is appropriate based upon our claims experience and the nature and risks of our business. In addition to the known incidents that have resulted in the assertion of claims, we cannot be certain that our insurance coverage will be adequate to cover liabilities arising out of claims asserted against us, our affiliated professional organizations or our affiliated hospitalists in the future where the outcomes of such claims are unfavorable. We believe that the ultimate resolution of all pending claims, including liabilities in excess of our insurance coverage, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows; however, there can be no assurance that future claims will not have such a material adverse effect on our business.

Recently Adopted Accounting Principles

See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding recently adopted accounting principles.

Results of Operations and Operating Data*Year Ended January 31, 2013 vs. Year Ended January 31, 2012*

	2013	2012	Change	Change excluding 2013 acquisition (1)	Percentage change		Percentage change excluding 2013 acquisition (1)	
NET REVENUES	\$7,776,131	\$5,110,806	\$2,665,325	\$2,211,863	52.2	%	43.3	%
COST OF SERVICES	6,316,164	4,132,399	2,183,765	1,941,513	52.8	%	47.0	%
GROSS PROFIT	1,459,967	978,407	481,560	270,350	49.2	%	27.6	%
Operating expenses:								
General and administrative	3,517,536	1,379,153	2,138,383	1,805,672	155.1	%	130.9	%
Depreciation and amortization	20,918	12,589	8,329	8,329	66.2	%	66.2	%
Total operating expenses	3,538,454	1,391,742	2,146,712	1,814,001	154.2	%	130.3	%
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	\$(2,078,487)	\$(413,335)	\$(1,665,152)	\$(1,543,650)	402.9	%	373.5	%

% of revenues
2013 2012

NET REVENUES	100.0%	100.0%
COST OF SERVICES	81.2 %	80.9 %
GROSS PROFIT	18.8 %	19.1 %

Operating expenses:		
General and administrative	45.2 %	27.0 %
Depreciation and amortization	0.3 %	0.2 %
Total operating expenses	45.5 %	27.2 %

LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	-26.7 %	-8.1 %
----------------------	---------	--------

Net revenues are comprised of net billings under the various fee structures from health plans, medical groups/IPA's and hospitals, and income from service fee agreements. The increase was attributable to:

\$1,787,149

Edgar Filing: Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

	New hospital contracts, increased same-market area growth and expansion of services with existing medical group clients at new hospitals.
453,462	Acquisition of VMM in 2013.
424,714	Full year of operations by PCCM acquired in 2012.
\$2,665,325	Total increase in net revenues

(1) Excluding the 2013 acquisition of Verdugo Medical Management, which has a long term management service agreement with Eli Hendel, M.D. ("Hendel") the increase in net revenues from 2012 would have been \$2,211,863.

Cost of services are comprised primarily of physician compensation and related expenses. The (increase) decrease was attributable to:

\$(1,522,647)	Increase in physician costs attributable to new physicians hired to support new contracts.
124,250	Portion of Dr. Hosseinion's compensation not spent as a practicing physician classified in general and administrative expense
(526,877)) Increase in physician stock-based compensation
(242,251)) Acquisition of VMM in 2013.
(16,240)) Increase in other physician costs due to physician increase
\$(2,183,765)	Total increase in cost of services

Cost of services as percentage of net revenues increased principally due to the increase in stock-based compensation in 2013.

General and administrative expenses include all salaries, benefits, supplies and operating expenses, including billing and collections functions, and our corporate management and overhead not specifically related to the day-to-day operations of our physician group practices. The (increase) decrease was attributable to:

\$(1,353,118)	Increase in non-cash stock compensation due to restricted stock grants to employees, directors and consultants.
(136,673)) Increase in consulting and professional fees to support the continuing growth of our operations.
(193,447)) Increase in personnel, services and related expenses related to the ACO effort.
(166,117)) Increase in administrative personnel to support in-house billing and collection, and to support growth in the business
(332,711)) Increase due to the acquisition of VMM in 2013
43,684	Reduction in bad debt expense due to establishment of in-house billing and collection function in 2013
\$(2,138,383)	Total increase in general and administrative expense

Loss from operations increased primarily due to increase in stock based compensation that totaled \$1,846,662.

The increase in loss on change in fair value of warrant and derivative liabilities reflects the change in the fair value of the Company's warrant and derivative liabilities as follows:

	2013	2012	Change
Loss on change in fair value of derivative liabilities	\$5,853,855	\$ -	\$5,853,855

Interest expense increased due to primarily to higher discount amortization, and interest expense and financing cost amortization expense related to borrowing associated with the Senior Secured Notes, the placement of \$880,000 of 9% Senior Subordinated Convertible Notes, and the modification of the 10% Senior Subordinated Convertible Notes.

	2013	2012	Change
Interest expense	\$930,176	\$304,034	\$626,142

Net loss increased primarily due to increase in non-cash stock compensation, the loss on change in the fair value of the Company's warrant and derivative liabilities, and the increase in interest expense associated with the increased borrowings and additional debt discount amortization.

	2013	2012	Change
Net loss	\$8,904,564	\$720,346	\$8,184,218

Year Ended January 31, 2012 vs. Year Ended January 31, 2011

Net revenue increased to \$5,110,806 or 31.2% for the twelve months ended January 31, 2012, compared to revenues of \$3,896,584 for the comparable twelve months ended January 31, 2011. The increase was directly attributable to an increase in new contracts during the year and the acquisition of PCCM.

Cost of services totaled \$4,132,399 for the twelve months ended January 31, 2012, compared to \$3,314,722 for the corresponding twelve months ended January 31, 2011. Cost of services were 80.9% of net revenues for the twelve months ended January 31, 2012, down from 85.1% of revenues for the comparable twelve month period ended January 31, 2011. Cost of services includes the payroll and consulting costs of the physicians, all payroll related costs, costs for all medical malpractice insurance and physician privileges. The reduction in the cost of services as percentage of revenue is primarily due to new hospital contracts for 2011, increased volume at existing hospitals and leveraging of fixed costs. Total physician compensation increased to \$3,814,698 or 74.6% of revenues for the twelve months ended January 31, 2012, up 26.7% compared to \$3,010,716 or 77.3% of revenues for the twelve month period ended January 31, 2011. The increases in physician costs are directly related to new contracts started in the period, offset by increased revenue per physician.

General and administrative expenses increased \$812,504, or 143.4%, to \$1,379,153 or 27% of net revenue, for the twelve months ended January 31, 2012, as compared to \$566,649, or 15% of net revenue, for the twelve months ended January 31, 2011. For the twelve months ended January 31, 2012, bad debt expense was \$118,077 compared to a reversal of \$76,231 for the twelve month period ended January 31, 2011. The increase in bad debt expense was due to a write off of historical accounts receivable amounts deemed uncollectible at January 31, 2012, compared to the reversal of a previous recorded provision for doubtful accounts at January 31, 2011. The Company recorded stock compensation expense of \$181,733 for the twelve months ended January 31, 2012, compared with \$119,530 in the year ended January 31, 2011. The Company incurred expenses of \$189,610 associated with Aligned Healthcare transaction which closed on February 15, 2011. The Company recognized an impairment loss of \$210,000 relating to our investment in Aligned Healthcare Group Inc. based upon the completion of the Company's annual goodwill impairment test. The cause of the impairment was due to contracts not materializing as anticipated at the time of closing the Acquisition and management has decided to focus its energies on new higher growth initiatives. Additionally the Company incurred higher legal, salary and consulting expenses and overhead costs related to the continuing growth of our operations in the 12 months ended January 31, 2012 as compared to 12 months ended January 31, 2011.

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$12,589 and \$11,198 for the twelve months ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company reported a loss from operations of \$413,335 for the twelve months ended January 31, 2012, compared to income from operations of \$4,015 in the fiscal year ended January 31, 2011. The decrease in income from operations was primarily due to write off of accounts receivable, expenses associated with the acquisition of Aligned Healthcare Group, higher legal costs associated with acquisitions and new growth opportunities and consulting expenses during the year ended January 31, 2012 as described above.

Interest expense and financing costs were \$304,034 for the twelve months ended January 31, 2012, compared to interest and financing expenses of \$163,931 for the twelve months ended January 31, 2011. Interest expense in 2012 included \$131,534 of interest expense related to our 10% Senior Subordinated Callable Convertible Notes. Financing fees included the amortization of pre-paid commissions of \$37,500 that were paid to the placement agent and a charge of \$120,000 related to an exercise price adjustment pertaining to the warrants that were issued in connection with our 10% Senior Subordinated Callable Convertible Notes.

The Company reported a net loss of \$720,346 for the twelve months ended January 31, 2012, compared to a net loss of \$156,331 reported for the twelve months ended January 31, 2011. The increase in net loss was primarily due to an impairment loss of \$210,000 recognized relating to the our investment in Aligned Healthcare Group, Inc., a \$120,000 charge related to the increase in the Company's warrant liability and a write off of accounts receivable, expenses associated with the acquisition of Aligned Healthcare Group, higher legal costs associated with acquisitions and new growth opportunities and consulting expenses.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company had \$1,176,727 in cash and cash equivalents at January 31, 2013. The Company's financial statements are prepared using the generally accepted accounting principles applicable to a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities in the normal course of business. However, the Company incurred the following:

Year ended January 31, 2013:

Net operating loss	\$2,078,487
Cash provided by operating activities	\$57,956

As of January 31, 2013:

Accumulated deficit \$11,022,272
Stockholders' deficit \$391,379

The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

To date the Company has funded its operations from internally generated cash flow and external sources, the proceeds from the Senior Secured Note and the convertible notes which have provided funds for near-term operations and growth. The current operating plan indicates that losses from operations may be incurred for all of fiscal 2014. Consequently, we may not have sufficient liquidity necessary to sustain operations for the next twelve months and this raises substantial doubt that we will be able to continue as a going concern. On January 31, 2013 the Company raised through a private placement offering \$880,000 of par value 9% Senior Subordinated Callable Convertible Promissory Notes maturing February 15, 2016 (the "9% Notes"). The 9% Notes bear interest at a rate of 9% per annum, payable semi-annually on August 15 and February 15. The Company will use the net proceeds after issue costs of approximately \$776,000 for working capital and general corporate purposes. The Company intends to seek to raise additional capital through public or private equity financings, partnerships, joint ventures, disposition of assets, debt financings, bank borrowings or other sources of financing.

No assurances can be made that management will be successful in achieving its plan. If the Company is not able to raise substantial additional capital in a timely manner, the Company may be forced to cease operations.

Year ended January 31, 2013

For the year ended January 31, 2013, cash provided by operations was \$152,751. This was substantially the result of net losses of \$8,809,799, offset by cash provided by non-cash expenses of \$8,770,753 and working capital of \$191,767. Non-cash expenses primarily include depreciation expense, bad debt expense, issuance of shares of common stock for services, stock option compensation expense, amortization of financing costs, amortization of debt discount, and gain on change in fair value of warrant and derivative liabilities.

Cash provided by working capital was due to:

Increase in Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$764,208
Decrease in Other assets	\$7,020
Decrease in Due from affiliates	\$5,504

Cash used by working capital was due to:

Increase in Prepaid expenses and advances	\$(23,666)
Increase in Accounts receivable	\$(548,899)
Decrease in Due to Officers	\$(12,400)

For the year ended January 31, 2013, cash used in investing activities was \$31,865 related to \$45,799 in investments in a new billing system, investment in ACO-related office and technology equipment, partially offset by the acquisition of VMM, net of cash acquired in connection in the consolidation of VMM.

For the year ended January 31, 2013, cash provided by financing activities was \$986,095 related to \$500,000 in proceeds from the Senior Secured Note, \$775,581 in net proceeds from the issuance of 9% Senior Subordinated Convertible Notes, and \$94,765 from other borrowings, partially offset by \$400,000 in distributions to non-controlling interest (LALC). Borrowings were used primarily to fund working capital requirements and technology investments.

Year ended January 31, 2012

Net cash used provided by operating activities for the year ended January 31, 2012 was \$385,455 and included a net loss of \$720,346 for the twelve month period. Adjustments for non-cash charges which include depreciation, bad debt expense, and the value of shares issued for services, option expense, amortization of warrant discount and impairment loss on our investment in Aligned Healthcare Group, Inc., totaled \$643,203. In addition, net changes in operating assets and liabilities, primarily due to an increase in outstanding receivables, used cash of \$308,311.

For the twelve months ended January 31, 2012, net cash used in financing activities totaled \$4,290, compared to \$2,440 used in financing activities for the same period in 2011. During the year ended January 31, 2012, the Company issued convertible notes payable in the amount of \$150,000 and made distributions of \$154,290 to a non-controlling interest shareholder. During the year ended January 31, 2011, the Company did not issue any debt.

Debt Agreements

The following is an overview of the Company's total outstanding debt obligations as of January 31, 2013:

Description of Debt	Lender Name	Interest Rate	January 31, 2013
Senior Secured Note	SpaGus Capital Partners, LLC	8.9	% \$500,000
Line of Credit	Union Bank	7.75	% 94,765
10% Senior Subordinated Callable Convertible Notes due January 31, 2016	Various	10.0	% 1,250,000
8% Senior Subordinated Convertible Promissory Notes due February 1, 2015	Various	8.0	% 150,000
9% Senior Subordinated Convertible Notes due February 15, 2016	Various	9.0	% 880,000
Total debt			2,874,765
Less: debt discount			(370,286)
Net debt			\$2,504,479

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company had no off-balance sheet arrangements as of or for the year ended January 31, 2013.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Not applicable.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The Company's financial statements for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2013 are included in this annual report, beginning on page F-1.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company has carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, at January 31, 2012, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) were not effective, at a reasonable assurance level, in ensuring that information required to be disclosed in the reports the Company files and submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are recorded, processed, summarized and reported as and when required. For a discussion of the reasons on which this conclusion was based, see "Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting" below.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and Rule 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Management must evaluate its internal controls over financial reporting, as required by Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Company's management to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company's financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). Our management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") and SEC guidance on conducting such assessments. Based on this evaluation, our management concluded that there were material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2013.

A material weakness is a significant control deficiency (within the meaning of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) Auditing Standard No. 2) or combination of significant control deficiencies that result in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. Management has identified the following three material weaknesses in our disclosure controls and procedures, and internal controls over financial reporting:

1. We do not have written documentation of our internal control policies and procedures. Written documentation of key internal controls over financial reporting is a requirement of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Management evaluated the impact of our failure to have written documentation of our internal controls and procedures on our assessment of our disclosure controls and procedures, and concluded that the control deficiency that resulted represented a material weakness.
2. We do not have sufficient segregation of duties within accounting functions, which is a basic internal control. Due to our size and nature, segregation of all conflicting duties may not always be possible and may not be economically feasible. However, to the extent possible, the initiation of transactions, the custody of assets and the recording of transactions should be performed by separate individuals. Management evaluated the impact of our failure to have segregation of duties on our assessment of our disclosure controls and procedures, and concluded that the control deficiency that resulted represented a material weakness.
3. We do not have review and supervision procedures for financial reporting functions. The review and supervision function of internal control relates to the accuracy of financial information reported. The failure to review and supervise could allow the reporting of inaccurate or incomplete financial information. Due to our size and nature, review and supervision may not always be possible or economically feasible.

Based on the foregoing material weaknesses, we have determined that, as of January 31, 2013, our internal controls over our financial reporting are not effective. The Company is taking remediating steps to address each material weakness. We continue to add employees and consultants to address these issues and we will continue to broaden the scope of our accounting and billing capabilities and realigning responsibilities in our financial and accounting review functions.

It should be noted that any system of controls, however well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable and not absolute assurance that the objectives of the system are met. In addition, the design of any control system is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of certain events. Because of these and other inherent limitations of control systems, there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K does not include an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our independent registered public accounting firm.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal controls over financial reporting during our most recently completed fiscal quarter (i.e., the three-month period ended January 31, 2013) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III**ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

Name	Age	Title
Warren Hosseinion, M.D.	40	Chief Executive Officer, Director
Kyle Francis	39	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Mark Meyers	62	Chief Strategy Officer, Director
Ted Schreck	67	Chairman, Director
Suresh Nihalani	60	Director
Gary Augusta	46	Director
Mitch Creem	53	Director

Warren Hosseinion, M.D. Dr. Hosseinion has been a director, and our Company's Chief Executive Officer since July 2008. In 2001, Dr. Hosseinion founded ApolloMed Hospitalists in Los Angeles with Dr. Adrian Vazquez. Dr. Hosseinion received his medical degree from Georgetown University and is a Diplomat of the American Board of Internal Medicine. Dr. Hosseinion's qualifications to serve on our Board of Directors include his position as our chief executive officer since the inception of the Company, his background as founder of the Company and leading physician within the medical community in Los Angeles. In addition, Dr. Hosseinion is currently a practicing hospitalist physician and brings to our Board of Directors and our Company a depth of understanding of physician culture and strong knowledge of the healthcare market.

Kyle Francis. Mr. Francis was appointed as Chief Financial Officer, effective December 31, 2010. Prior to being appointed Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Francis served as the Executive Vice President of Business Development and Strategy. Mr. Francis will continue to serve in that function as well as Chief Financial Officer. Prior to joining ApolloMed, he was a member of the Healthcare Services Investment Banking Division of Oppenheimer & Co. and CIBC World Markets. Prior to joining CIBC World Markets, Mr. Francis worked at Enron Corporation. Mr. Francis holds a Bachelor of Commerce with a major in finance and accounting degree from McGill University.

Mark Meyers. Mr. Meyers is a senior healthcare executive whose career spans over 30 years. Most recently, from April 2009 until September 2012, he served as Senior Vice President of Operations for Dignity Health's Los Angeles Service Area, which encompasses four hospitals, as well as President of Glendale Memorial Hospital and Health Center. Dignity Health, formerly Catholic Healthcare West, is the fifth largest hospital system in the nation. Prior to this, from 2001 to 2009, Mr. Meyers was President of California Hospital Medical Center, a 316-bed Dignity facility in Downtown Los Angeles which also serves as a Level II trauma center. Prior to this, he worked for Tenet Healthcare Corporation from 1987 to 1997, serving as CEO for several hospitals, including Garden Grove Hospital in Garden Grove, California, Western Medical Center in Anaheim, California, Coastal Communities Hospital in Santa Ana, California, Doctors Hospital of Santa Ana and Santa Ana Hospital Medical Center. Mr. Meyers has also served as President and CEO of West Hills Hospital and Medical Center, a 272-bed facility in West Hills, California and CEO

of Florida Medical Center, a 460-bed hospital in Broward County, Florida. Mr. Meyers received a Bachelor of Science in Psychology from the University of Pittsburgh and a MPH from the University of Pittsburgh's Graduate School of Public Health. He is a Board Member of the Hospital Council of Southern California and Board Member of the Orange County Symphony.

Ted Schreck. Mr. Schreck is a senior healthcare executive with over 37 years of healthcare experience in both the public and private sector. In 1998, he joined Tenet Healthcare Corporation and served in a number of senior executive roles including, CEO of USC University Hospital and USC/Norris Cancer Hospital, Regional Vice President of Operations, charged with leading a group of ten Los Angeles-area hospitals and finally Senior Vice President of Operations. Prior to joining Tenet, Mr. Schreck worked for the St. Joseph Health System, serving as CEO of Santa Rosa General Hospital and Senior Vice President of Santa Rosa Memorial Hospital, and for Sutter Health System as CEO of Delta Memorial Hospital. He also served as CEO of the Eden Township District Hospitals. Mr. Schreck retired in 2006 but returned to work as a consultant for Portland-based Legacy Health System, which operates five hospitals, a research facility, a hospice agency, and specialty and primary care clinics. Most recently, he served on the board of Los Angeles Orthopedic Hospital, a member of the UCLA Health System. Mr. Schreck brings to our Company a significant amount of healthcare experience and will be tremendous resource to our Company.

Suresh Nihalani. Mr. Nihalani is a business consultant and advisor currently involved with many early stage ventures in the area of Cloud Computing, Data Centers, Next Generation Storage and 4G Backhaul wireless radios, consulting them in technology direction, business development and strategic business planning. Mr. Nihalani was President and CEO of ClearMesh Network from 2005 to 2007. He also co-founded Nevis Networks, where he served as CEO from 2002 through 2005. Prior to Nevis Networks, he co-founded Accelerated Networks and ACT Networks. Mr. Nihalani holds a BS in Electrical Engineering from ITT Bombay and MSEE and MBA degrees from the Florida Institute of Technology. Mr. Nihalani has over 35 years of corporate experience working as a senior executive and director. Mr. Nihalani's qualifications to serve on our Board of Directors include his many years of experience as a senior corporate executive with both public and private organizations.

Gary Augusta. Mr. Augusta brings more than 20 years of experience as an executive focused on private equity, growth strategy and operations, corporate development and M&A. He is also an experienced investor and operator of growth businesses. Mr. Augusta currently serves as President of SpaGus Ventures LLC and SpaGus Capital Partners, growth funds that invest in life sciences and technology companies. Previously, Mr. Augusta was CEO of OCTANe, an innovation development company, AT Kearney, a leading consulting firm, and Corporate Development/ M&A Officer at Fluor Inc., a Fortune 500 company. He earned a BS in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Rhode Island and a Master of Science and Management (MSM) from Georgia Tech.

Mitch Creem. Mr. Creem has 30 years of management experience covering all aspects of the healthcare industry including hospital and group practice management, and is a frequent speaker on topics ranging from IT strategic planning to organizational turnarounds and transformation. Most recently, Mr. Creem was the CEO for the Keck Hospital of USC and USC Norris Cancer Hospital where he led USC's historic acquisition of the hospitals from Tenet and their transformation to a world-class medical center. Prior to USC, Mr. Creem was the Associate Vice Chancellor and Chief Financial Officer for the UCLA Medical Sciences. He led significant turnarounds as CFO at UCLA, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Tufts Medical Center in Boston.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our directors and executive officers, and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of our outstanding common stock, to file with the SEC, initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our equity securities. Such persons are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all such reports they file, and we are required to identify Covered Persons that we know have failed to file or filed late Section 16(a) reports. To our knowledge, we believe that our Covered Persons complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to them, except that: Messrs. Francis, Schreck, Augusta, Meyers and Creem failed to file Forms 3 when they became obligated to commence Section 16(a) reporting; Messrs. Hosseinion, Francis, Nihalani and Augusta failed to file Forms 4 on a timely basis with respect to acquisitions of shares of our common stock; Messrs. Hosseinion, Meyers, and Vasquez failed to file Form 4s on a timely basis with respect to grants of stock options; Mr. Meyers failed to file Form 4 with respect to acquisition of warrants to purchase our common stock and a promissory note that is convertible into our common stock; and Messrs. Hosseinion, Francis, Schreck, Nihalani, Augusta, Meyers, Creem and Vasquez failed to file Forms 5 on a timely basis. The covered persons whose filings are not up to date are working diligently to [illegible] the required filings to bring them up to date and anticipate having them completed by May 31, 2013.

Code of Ethics

The Company has not yet adopted a code of ethics, in part because we have a limited number of employees. As the Company grows its business, and hires additional employees, we expect to adopt a code of ethics applicable to the conduct of our employees.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Our common stock is currently quoted on the OTCQB electronic trading platform, which does not maintain any standards requiring us to establish or maintain an Audit, Nominating or Compensation committee. As of January 31, 2013, our Board of directors did not maintain an audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act, a nominating committee nor a compensation committee. The entire Board of Directors is acting as the Company's audit committee as specified in section 3(a)(58)(B) of the Exchange Act, and the Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Mitch Creem, a current independent director, is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined by item 407 of Regulation S-K.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table discloses the compensation awarded to, earned by, or paid to our executive officers for the fiscal years ended January 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively:

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	Non-Equity Qualified		All Other Compensation	Total
						Incentive Plan Earnings (\$)	Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)		
Warren Hosseinion, M.D. <i>Chief Executive Officer</i>	2013	376,221	-	420,000	-	-	-	124,446 (2)	920,667
	2012	349,999	-	-	-	-	-	104,821 (2)	454,820
	2011	385,013	-	12,619	-	-	-	57,002 (2)	454,634
Kyle Francis <i>Chief Financial Officer (4)</i>	2013	132,000	30,000	269,500	-	-	-	46,890 (3)	478,390
	2012	132,000	10,000	63,000	-	-	-	25,516 (3)	230,516
	2011	11,000	-	33,761	-	-	-	30,174 (3)	74,935
Mark Meyers <i>Chief Strategy Officer (5)</i>	2013	42,000	-	168,000	55,617	-	-	-	265,617
	2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Adrian Vazquez, M.D. (6)	2012	300,074	-	-	-	-	-	-	300,074
	2011	382,920	-	12,619	-	-	-	-	395,539

(1) The amount shown in this column reflects the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

(2) Personal benefits include payments to Dr. Hosseinion for health insurance premiums of \$24,972 (2013), \$14,821(2012), \$17,192 (2011); vehicle allowance of \$24,972 (2013), \$22,925 (2012), and \$23,631 (2011); and travel, meals, cell phone and other business expense-related allowances.

(3) Personal benefits include payments to Mr. Francis for health insurance premiums of \$5,400 (2013), \$ - (2012), and \$ - (2011); and reimbursement of travel, meals, cell phone and other business related expenses.

(4) Mr. Francis was appointed as Chief Financial Officer, effective December 31, 2010. Prior to that, Mr. Francis served as the Executive Vice President of Business Development and Strategy and continued to serve in that function in addition to his role as Chief Financial Officer until October 17, 2012.

(5) Mr. Meyers was appointed as Chief Strategy Officer effective October 17, 2012.

(6) Adrian Vazquez, M.D., resigned his positions as Chairman of the Board, President and a director of Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc., in each case effective December 9, 2011.

The following table summarizes the outstanding equity awards held by each of our named executive officers as of January 31, 2013:

Outstanding Equity Option Awards at Fiscal Year End

Option Awards

Name and Principal Position	Grant Date	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options- Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options- Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (2)	Option Expiration Date
Warren Hosseinion, M.D. <i>Chief Executive Officer</i>	12/9/2010	300,000	-	\$ 0.15	12/8/2020
Kyle Francis <i>Chief Financial Officer</i>	12/9/2010	150,000	-	\$ 0.15	12/8/2020
Mark Meyers <i>Chief Strategy Officer</i>	(1)	150,000	-	\$ 0.21	(1)
Adrian Vazquez, M.D.	12/9/2010	300,000	-	\$ 0.15	12/8/2020

(1) Mr. Meyers was granted 50,000 options on each of November 1, 2012, December 1, 2012, and January 1, 2013 all of which vested upon grant. Mr. Meyer's options expire 10 years from grant date.

(2) All options have been issued with an exercise price equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant except options granted to Mr. Meyers. The closing stock price on the date of grant November 1, 2012, December 1, 2012, and January 1, 2013 was \$0.63, \$0.55 and \$0.48 per share, respectively.

No options were exercised during the fiscal year ended January 31, 2013.

Hospitalist Participation Service Agreements

Warren Hosseinion, M.D. In February 2009, the Company entered into a Second Amended and Restated Hospitalist Participation Agreement with Dr. Hosseinion, pursuant to which he provides physician services for ApolloMed

Hospitalists. Effective February 2009, Dr. Hosseinion's annual base salary was set at \$360,000 payable in bimonthly installments. Dr. Hosseinion's salary is for physician services only and he does not receive any compensation to serve as Chief Executive Officer or for his services as a Director. He is eligible to receive equity awards, in each case as determined by the Board of Directors in accordance with the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. The Company maintains Dr. Hosseinion's professional liability insurance.

Adrian Vazquez, M.D. In February 2009, the Company entered into a Second Amended and Restated Hospitalist Participation Agreement with Dr. Vazquez, pursuant to which he provides physician services for ApolloMed Hospitalists. Effective February 2009, Dr. Vazquez's annual base salary was set at \$360,000 payable in bi-monthly installments. Dr. Vazquez's salary for physician services only and he does not receive any compensation to serve as President or as Chairman of our Board of Directors. He is eligible to receive equity awards, in each case as determined by the Board of Directors in accordance with the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. The Company maintains Dr. Vazquez's professional liability insurance.

Employment Agreements

On March 15, 2009, the Company entered into a Consulting Agreement with Kaneohe Advisors LLC (Kyle Francis) under which Mr. Francis became the Company's Executive Vice President, Business Development and Strategy. Under the terms of the Agreement, Mr. Francis was compensated at a rate of \$8,000 per month. In addition, Mr. Francis received 350,000 shares of restricted stock at the date of the Agreement and is entitled to 350,000 additional restricted shares on the first and second anniversaries of the Agreement, provided the Agreement was not terminated. The initial 350,000 shares, along with 50,000 shares granted to Mr. Francis in the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009, were issued in the third quarter ended October 31, 2009. On March 15, 2011, the second anniversary of the Consulting Agreement, Mr. Francis was granted an additional 350,000 shares. Mr. Francis was named Chief Financial Officer on December 31, 2010. Mr. Francis' compensation has been increased to \$11,000 per month. On August 16, 2012, the Company entered into an amended consulting agreement with Kaneohe Advisors LLC, to serve as the Company's Executive Vice President, Business Development and Chief Financial Officer. The term of the agreement is on a month-to-month basis, and provided for Mr. Francis to receive \$11,900 per month and the right to purchase 700,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.001 per share. The agreement can be terminated by either party at any time.

On March 1, 2013 the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Francis whereby Mr. Francis will receive a salary of \$225,000 per annum, reimbursement of up to \$1,200 per month in health insurance premiums, additional performance-based cash and stock compensation as determined by the Company's board of directors, and other standard benefits afforded to the Company's employees. If Mr. Francis' employment is terminated prior to the first anniversary for any reason other than gross negligence or misconduct, Mr. Francis will be entitled to the remaining unpaid first year salary and health insurance reimbursement. The agreement will be effective commencing June 1, 2013.

On October 8, 2012 the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Mr. Mark Meyers to perform services as the Company's Chief of Strategy and Business Development, pursuant to which Mr. Meyers will receive \$10,000 per

month, the right to receive options to acquire 50,000 shares per month of the Company's common stock with an exercise price of \$0.21 per share, and be eligible for performance-based compensation as determined by the Company's Board of Directors. Mr. Meyers has the option to convert all or a portion of the cash compensation to equity at a conversion price equal to a discount of 30% from the trailing 90 day average of the closing price of the Company's common stock. The agreement is terminable by either party without cause upon providing 90 days' notice.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

On March 4, 2010, the Board of Directors of Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. and three members of our Board that owned, in the aggregate, approximately 65% of the outstanding shares of our common stock, approved the adoption of the Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc., 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. Subject to the adjustment provisions of the Plan that are applicable in the event of a stock dividend, stock split, reverse stock split or similar transaction, up to 5,000,000 shares of common stock may be issued under the Plan. On August 31, 2012 the Company's Board of Directors amended the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, which allowed the Board to grant an additional 7,000,000 shares up to 12,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The Plan awards include incentive stock option, non-qualified options, restricted common stock, and stock appreciation rights and was approved by unanimous written consent of two stockholders that beneficially owned in the aggregate 57.0% of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock.

During the fiscal year ended January 31, 2011, 1,150,000 options were granted to management, directors and independent contractors of which 1,150,000 were exercisable as of January 31, 2013 at an exercise price of \$0.15 per share. There were no stock options granted during the year ended January 31, 2012. During the fiscal year ended January 31, 2013, 4,225,000 options were granted to management, directors and independent contractors of which 1,583,336 were exercisable as of January 31, 2013 at a weighted-average exercise price of \$0.18 per share.

Director Compensation

Gary Augusta

Effective as of March 7, 2012, Gary Augusta was appointed to the Company's Board of Directors. In connection with his service to the Company as a director, Mr. Augusta entered into the Company's Director's Agreement, which provides for Mr. Augusta to be a director and entitles Mr. Augusta to receive a restricted stock grant of 400,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The shares will vest monthly at a rate of 1/36 per month over a three year time period. In connection with Mr. Augusta's service as a consultant to the Company, Mr. Augusta, through his entity, Augusta Advisors Inc., entered into a Consulting Agreement with the Company which became effective December 1, 2011. Pursuant to that agreement, various consulting services were provided to the Company in return for \$10,000 per month in cash compensation and 100,000 shares of common stock issued in the name of Gary Augusta monthly over the initial term of the agreement (totaling 700,000 shares of common stock) expiring June 20, 2012. Thereafter, the Company extended Mr. Augusta on month-to-month basis in return for \$10,000 per month in cash compensation and eligibility for incentive stock awards as determined by the Board of Directors.

The Company entered into a \$270,000 Senior Secured Note ("Note") agreement on February 1, 2012 with SpaGus Capital Partners, LLC ("SpaGus") an entity in which Gary Augusta, a director and shareholder of the Company, holds an ownership interest. The terms of the Note provide for interest at 8.929% per annum, payments of principal of \$135,000 on each of September 15, 2012 and October 15, 2012, and to be secured by substantially all assets of the Company. The Company prepaid interest on the Note principal of \$15,000 in accordance with the Note, and paid financing costs of \$5,000 in cash and the issuance of 216,000 shares of the Company's common stock, which was valued at \$25,661 at the date of issuance. On September 15, 2012, SpaGus agreed to allow the Company to defer payment of the scheduled principal payments due on September 15 and October 15, 2012, and amended the Note effective October 15, 2012 in which SpaGus agreed to provide additional principal to the Company in the amount of \$230,000. The terms of the amended Note provide for borrowings to bear interest at 8.0 % per annum with accrued interest payable in arrears on each of December 28, 2012, March 31, 2013, June 30, 2013 and October 15, 2013. The amended Note will mature as of October 15, 2013, and may be prepaid at any time prior to September 29, 2013. The Company paid SpaGus financing costs of 100,000 restricted shares of the Company's common stock on the amendment date, which transaction was fair valued at \$50,000, and is obligated to pay SpaGus an additional 100,000 restricted shares of the Company's common stock if the amended Note principal and or any accrued interest are outstanding on April 15, 2013.

Edward "Ted" Schreck

Effective as of February 15, 2012, Edward "Ted" Schreck was appointed to the Company's Board of Directors was also appointed as the Chairman of the Board of Directors. In connection with his service to the Company as a director and Chairman, Mr. Schreck entered into the Company's Director Agreement which entitles such director to receive a combined \$30,000 annual cash retainer for his board service as well as an initial option grant of 1,000,000 options. These options will vest evenly over a 3-year period.

Suresh Nihalani

In connection with his service to the Company as a director, Mr. Nihalani entered into the Company's Director Agreement on October 27, 2008 (as amended on July 16, 2010), which provided for Mr. Nihalani to be a director and entitled Mr. Nihalani to receive a restricted stock grant of 400,000 shares of the Company's common stock. On January 1, 2012 the Company amended the 2010 Directors Agreement with Mr. Nihalani pursuant to which Mr. Nihalani received the right to purchase an additional 400,000 shares of the Company's restricted common stock for \$0.001 per share which will vest monthly over 36 months. The Company has the right but not the obligation to repurchase the unvested portion of these shares at \$0.001 per share.

Mitch Creem

On October 22, 2012 Mitchell R. Creem was elected to the Company's Board of Directors. In connection with his service to the Company as a director, Mr. Creem entered into the Company's Director Agreement which entitles Mr. Creem to receive a fee of \$1,000 per board meeting attended, as well as a grant of 500,000 restricted shares of the Company's common stock for his board service which will vest monthly over 36 months.

The following Summary Compensation Table reflects the compensation awarded to, earned by, or paid to our outside directors for the year ended January 31, 2013.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$ (1))	Option Awards (\$ (1))	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Earnings (\$)	Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Gary Augusta	-	195,501 (3)	-	-	-	156,838	(2) 352,339
Ted Schreck	30,000	-	120,000	-	-	-	150,000
Suresh Nihalani	5,000	60,000	-	-	-	-	65,000
Mitch Creem	1,000	210,000	-	-	-	-	211,000

(1) The amount shown in this column reflects the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

(2) Pursuant to a consulting agreement with Augusta Advisors Inc. dated December 1, 2011, Mr. Augusta received cash compensation of \$127,500; and pursuant to the Senior Secured Note agreement on February 1, 2012, as amended October 15, 2012, with SpaGus Capital Partners, LLC, of which Mr. Augusta is a member ("SpaGus"), SpaGus directly received interest and fees aggregating \$29,388. Mr. Augusta disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by SpaGus except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein, and the filing of this report and inclusion of these shares in this table is not an admission that Mr. Augusta is the beneficial owner of these shares for purposes of Section 16 or for any other purpose.

(3) Pursuant to a consulting agreement with Augusta Advisors Inc. dated December 1, 2011, Mr. Augusta received 700,000 shares of restricted common with a grant date fair value of \$97,320; pursuant to the Directors agreement with

Mr. Augusta dated March 7, 2012, Mr. Augusta received 400,000 shares of restricted common stock with a grant date fair value of \$47,520; and pursuant to the Senior Secured Note agreement on February 1, 2012, as amended October 15, 2012, with SpaGus Capital Partners, LLC, of which Mr. Augusta is a member (“SpaGus”), SpaGus directly received 266,000 shares with a grant date fair value of \$50,661. Mr. Augusta disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by SpaGus except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein, and the filing of this report and inclusion of these shares in this table is not an admission that Mr. Augusta is the beneficial owner of these shares for purposes of Section 16 or for any other purpose.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table sets forth certain information as of April 30, 2013, with respect to (i) those persons known to us to beneficially own more than 5% of our voting securities, (ii) each of our directors, (iii) each of our executive officers, and (iv) all directors and executive officers as a group. The information is determined in accordance with Rule 13d-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act. Except as indicated below, the beneficial owners have sole voting and dispositive power with respect to the shares beneficially owned.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner (1)	Shares Beneficially Owned (2)	Percent of Class (3)
Certain Beneficial Owners:		
Adrian Vazquez, M.D. (4)	9,423,387	27.0 %
Directors/Named Executive Officers:		
Warren Hosseinion, M.D.	10,423,387	29.9 %
Kyle Francis	2,250,000	6.5 %
Gary Augusta	1,766,000	5.1 %
Mark Meyers	1,125,000	3.2 %
Suresh Nihalani	800,000	2.3 %
Ted Schreck	666,667	1.9 %
Mitch Creem	500,000	1.4 %
All Named Executive Officers and Directors as a group	17,531,054	50.3 %

(1) Unless otherwise indicated, the business address of each person listed is c/o Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc., 700 N. Brand Blvd., Suite 450, Glendale, California 91203.

(2) For purposes of this table, shares are considered beneficially owned if the person directly or indirectly has the sole or shared power to vote or direct the voting of the securities or the sole or shared power to dispose of or direct the disposition of the securities. Shares are also considered beneficially owned if a person has the right to acquire beneficial ownership of the shares within 60 days of April 30, 2013.

(3) The percentages are calculated based on the actual number of shares issued and outstanding as of April 30, 2013, which is 34,843,441.

(4) Adrian Vazquez, M.D., resigned his positions as Chairman of the Board, President and a director of Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc., in each case effective December 9, 2011.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Transactions with Related Persons

On January 31, 2013 Mr. Mark Meyers, the Company's Chief Strategy Officer and a director of the Company, purchased two units of \$50,000 par value 9% Senior Subordinated Callable Convertible Promissory Notes due February 15, 2016, or \$100,000 in the aggregate, and are convertible at any time into 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.40 per share. Each unit received warrants to purchase 37,500 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.45 per share, and had a grant date fair value aggregating \$21,238 computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718.

Director Independence

Our common stock is quoted on the OTCQB electronic trading platform, which does not maintain any standards regarding the "independence" of the directors on our Company's Board, and we are not otherwise subject to the requirements of any national securities exchange or an inter-dealer quotation system with respect to the need to have a majority of our directors be independent. In the absence of such requirements, we have elected to use the definition for director independence under the NASDAQ stock market's listing standards, which defines an independent director as "a person other than an officer or employee of the Company or its subsidiaries or any other individual having a relationship, which in the opinion of our Board, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director." The definition further provides that, among others, employment of a director by us (or any parent or subsidiary of ours) at any time during the past three years is considered a bar to independence regardless of the determination of our Board. Based on the foregoing standards, the Board has determined that Ted Schreck, Suresh Nihalani, and Mitch Creem are "independent" directors.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The aggregate fees for professional services rendered by Kabani and Company, Inc. to us for the fiscal years ended January 31, 2013 and January 31, 2012 were as follows:

	2013	2012
Audit fees (1)	\$44,000	\$48,000
Audit-related fees (2)	-	-
Tax fees (3)	-	-
All other fees	-	-
Total	\$44,000	\$48,000

- (1) Audit fees represent fees for professional services provided in connection with the audit of our annual financial statements and the review of our financial statements included in our Forms 10-Q quarterly reports and services that are normally provided in connection with statutory or regulatory filings for the 2013 and 2012 fiscal years.
- (2) Audit-related fees represent fees for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements and not reported above under "Audit Fees."
- (3) Tax fees represent fees for professional services related to tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

The policy of our board of directors, acting as the audit committee, is to pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by our independent auditors. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. Pre-approval is generally provided for up to one year and any pre-approval is detailed as to the particular service or category of services. All services and fees described above for the years ended January 31, 2013 and 2012 were approved by our Board.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) Please see the Report of our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, and related financial statements for our fiscal year ended January 31, 2013, beginning on page F-1 of this Form 10-K.

(b) Exhibits Index

Number Exhibit

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation (filed as an exhibit to Registration Statement on Form 10-SB filed on April 19, 1999, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 3.2 Certificate of Ownership (filed as an exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 15, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 3.3 Second Amended and Restated Bylaws (filed as an exhibit to Form 10-Q filed on September 14, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.1 Form of 10% Senior Subordinated Convertible Note, dated October 16, 2009 (filed as an exhibit on Annual Report on Form 10-K on May 14, 2010, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.2 Form of Investor Warrant, dated October 16, 2009, for the purchase of 25,000 shares of common stock (filed as an exhibit on Annual Report on Form 10-K/A on March 28, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.3 Form of Amendment to October 16, 2009 10% Senior Subordinated Convertible Promissory Note, dated October 29, 2012
- 4.4 Form Of Investor Warrant, dated October 29, 2012, for the purchase of common stock
- 4.5 Form of Amendment to October 16, 2009 Warrant to Purchase Shares of Common Stock, dated October 29, 2012
- 4.6* Form of 9% Senior Subordinated Callable Convertible Note, dated January 31, 2013
- 4.7* Form Of Investor Warrant for purchase of 37,500 shares of common stock, dated January 31, 2013
- 10.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger among Siclone Industries, Inc. and Apollo Acquisition Co., Inc. and Apollo Medical Management, Inc (filed as an exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 19, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.2 Management Services Agreement dated August 1, 2008, between Apollo Medical Management and ApolloMed Hospitalists (filed as an exhibit on Annual Report on Form 10-K/A on March 28, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.3 Director Agreement, dated October 27, 2008, between the Company and Suresh Nihalani (filed as an exhibit on Annual Report on Form 10-K/A on March 28, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.4 Management Services Agreement dated March 20, 2009, between Apollo Medical Management and ApolloMed Hospitalists (filed as an exhibit on Annual Report on Form 10-K/A on April 10, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.5 2010 Equity Compensation Plan (filed as an exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 9, 2010, and incorporated herein by reference).

Edgar Filing: Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

- 10.6 Employment Agreement with A. Noel DeWinter (filed as an exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 11, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.7 Amendment to Suresh Nihalani's Director Agreement dated July 16, 2010 (filed as an exhibit on Annual Report on Form 10-K/A on April 10, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.8 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (filed as Appendix A to Schedule 14C Information Statement filed on August 17, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.9 Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 15, 2011, among the Company, Aligned Healthcare Group LLC, Aligned Healthcare Group - California, Inc., Raouf Khalil, Jamie McReynolds, M.D., BJ Reese & Associates, LLC and BJ Reese (filed as an exhibit on Annual Report on Form 10-K/A on April 10, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.1 First Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement entered into by Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. and Aligned Healthcare Group LLC, Aligned Healthcare Group - California, Inc., Raouf Khalil, Jamie McReynolds, M.D., BJ Reese & Associates LLC and BJ Reese dated July 8, 2011 (filed as an exhibit on Annual Report on Form 10-K/A on April 10, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.11 Services Agreement entered into by Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. and Aligned Healthcare Group LLC, Aligned Healthcare Group - California, Inc., Raouf Khalil, Jamie McReynolds, M.D., BJ Reese & Associates LLC and BJ Reese dated July 8, 2011 (filed as an exhibit on Annual Report on Form 10-K/A on April 10, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.12 Employment Agreement with Jilbert Issai, M.D. dated September 4, 2008 (filed as an exhibit on Annual Report on Form 10-K/A on April 10, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.13 Consulting Agreement with Kyle Francis dated March 22, 2009 (filed as an exhibit on Annual Report on Form 10-K/A on April 10, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.14 Hospitalist Participation Service Agreement with Warren Hosseinion, M.D. dated May 1, 2009 (filed as an exhibit on Annual Report on Form 10-K/A on April 10, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.15 Hospitalist Participation Service Agreement with Adrian C. Vazquez, M.D. dated May 1, 2009 (filed as an exhibit on Annual Report on Form 10-K/A on April 10, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 21.1* Subsidiaries of Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc.

- 31.1* Certification by Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2* Certification by Chief Financial Officer
- 32.1* Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350.
- 32.2* Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350

- 101.INS+ XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Filed herewith.

+ Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed herewith, is not a part of a registration statement or Prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

APOLLO MEDICAL HOLDINGS, INC.

Date: April 30, 2013 By: /s/ WARREN HOSSEINION, M.D

Warren Hosseinion, M.D.,
Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Company and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE
/S/ KYLE FRANCIS Kyle Francis	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	April 30, 2013

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - TABLE OF CONTENTS:

	Page
Report of independent registered public accounting firm	F-2
Consolidated financial statements:	
Consolidated balance sheets	F-3
Consolidated statements of operations	F-4
Consolidated statements of changes in stockholders' deficit	F-5
Consolidated statements of cash flows	F-6
Notes to consolidated financial statements	F-7

F-1

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc as of January 31, 2013 and 2012 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' deficit, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. as of January 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared using the U.S. generally accepted accounting principles applicable to a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company had a loss from operations of \$2,078,487 for the year ended January 31, 2013 and had an accumulated deficit of \$11,022,272 as of January 31, 2013. These factors, as discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to the matter are also described in Note 1. The statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Kabani & Company, Inc.

Certified Public Accountants

Los Angeles, California

April 30, 2013

F-2

APOLLO MEDICAL HOLDINGS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS

	January 31, 2013	January 31, 2012
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$1,176,727	\$164,361
Accounts receivable, net	1,582,505	994,118
Advances	-	2,140
Due from affiliates	5,648	5,504
Prepaid expenses	72,628	45,601
Deferred financing costs, current	34,614	37,500
Total current assets	2,872,122	1,249,224
Deferred financing costs, non-current	218,640	-
Property and equipment, net	68,142	43,261
Goodwill	33,200	32,000
Other assets	30,981	39,563
TOTAL ASSETS	\$3,223,085	\$1,364,048

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

CURRENT LIABILITIES:

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$950,651	\$163,476
Notes payable	594,765	-
Convertible notes payable, net	-	596,366
Derivative liability	-	653,026
Stock issuable	159,334	90,000
Due to officers	-	12,400
Total current liabilities	1,704,750	1,515,268
Convertible notes payable, net	1,909,714	150,000
Warrant liability	-	120,000
Total liabilities	3,614,464	1,785,268

Commitments and contingencies

STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

Preferred stock, par value \$0.001 ; 5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued	-	-
Common Stock, par value \$0.001; 100,000,000 shares authorized, 34,843,441 and 29,335,774 shares issued and outstanding as of January 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	34,844	29,336
Prepaid consulting	(616,014)	-

Edgar Filing: Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Additional paid-in-capital	11,248,566	1,429,051
Accumulated deficit	(11,022,272)	(2,117,708)
Total Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. stockholders' deficit	(354,876)	(659,321)
Non-controlling interest	(36,503)	238,101
Total stockholders' deficit	(391,379)	(421,220)
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT	\$3,223,085	\$1,364,048

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

F-3

APOLLO MEDICAL HOLDINGS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2013 AND 2012

	2013	2012
NET REVENUES	\$7,776,131	\$5,110,806
COST OF SERVICES	6,316,164	4,132,399
GROSS PROFIT	1,459,967	978,407
Operating expenses:		
General and administrative	3,517,536	1,379,153
Depreciation and amortization	20,918	12,589
Total operating expenses	3,538,454	1,391,742
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(2,078,487)	(413,335)
Other (expense) income		
Loss on change in fair value of derivative liabilities	(5,853,855)	-
Interest expense	(930,176)	(304,034)
Other (expense) income	(37,246)	2,842
Total other expenses	(6,821,277)	(301,192)
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(8,899,764)	(714,527)
Provision for Income Tax	4,800	5,819
NET LOSS	\$(8,904,564)	\$(720,346)
Weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding - basic and diluted	32,469,999	29,078,925
Basic AND diluted Net loss per share	\$(0.27)	\$(0.02)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

APOLLO MEDICAL HOLDINGS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2013 AND 2012

	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Prepaid	Additional	
	Shares	Amount	Consulting	paid-in capital	
Balance at January 31, 2011	- \$-	27,635,774	\$27,636	\$-	\$1,058,418
Shares issued in connection with acquisitions of AHI and PCCM		1,350,000	1,350	-	278,650
Acquisition related non-controlling interest		-	-	-	-
Distributions to non-controlling interest shareholder		-	-	-	-
Issuance of stock for stock-based compensation		350,000	350	-	62,650
Issuance of stock options for stock-based compensation				-	29,333
Net Loss		-	-	-	-
Balance at January 31, 2012	-	29,335,774	29,336	-	1,429,051
Shares reconveyed in connection with termination of AHI transaction		(500,000)	(500)	-	500
Acquisition related non-controlling interest		-	-	-	-
Distributions to non-controlling interest shareholder		-	-	-	-
Reclassification of warrant and derivative liabilities		-	-	-	6,626,881
Issuance of warrants		-	-	-	510,642
Issuance of stock for stock-based compensation		5,932,667	5,933		1,955,837
Unvested stock-based compensation classified as prepaid		-	-	(616,014)	-
Issuance of stock options for stock-based compensation		-	-	-	709,980
Exercise of stock options		75,000	75		15,675
Net Loss					
Balance at January 31, 2013	- \$-	34,843,441	\$34,844	\$(616,014)	\$11,248,56

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

APOLLO MEDICAL HOLDINGS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2013 AND 2012

	2013	2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net loss	\$(8,904,564)	\$(720,346)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization expense	20,918	12,589
Bad debt expense	74,393	118,077
Issuance of shares for services	1,355,708	152,400
Non-cash stock option expense	706,020	29,333
Amortization of financing costs	89,162	37,500
Amortization of debt discount	670,697	804
Loss on change in fair value of warrant and derivative liabilities	5,853,855	120,000
Impairment loss		210,000
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effect of acquisitions:		
Accounts receivable	(548,899)	(407,224)
Due to officers	(12,400)	14,953
Due from affiliates	5,504	(1,604)
Prepaid expenses and advances	(23,666)	(22,668)
Other assets	7,020	-
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	764,208	70,731
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	57,956	(385,455)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Property and equipment acquired	(45,799)	(7,205)
Acquisition, net of cash acquired from consolidation of VIE	14,114	164,210
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(31,685)	157,005
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from notes payable	594,765	150,000
Proceeds from stock option exercise	15,750	-
Distributions to non-controlling interest shareholder	(400,000)	(154,290)
Proceeds from issuance of convertible notes payable	880,000	-
Debt issuance costs	(104,420)	-
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	986,095	(4,290)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH & CASH EQUIVALENTS	1,012,366	(232,740)
CASH & CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING BALANCE	164,361	397,101

CASH & CASH EQUIVALENTS, ENDING BALANCE	\$1,176,727	\$164,361
SUPPLEMENTARY DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION		
Interest paid	\$160,792	\$129,000
Income Taxes paid	\$9,763	\$2,400
Non-Cash Financing Activities:		
Shares issued in connection with acquisitions	\$-	\$280,000
Contingent consideration payable	\$-	\$367,500
Shares issuable for services	\$159,334	\$90,000
Shares and warrants issued in connection with promissory note financing costs	\$198,935	\$-
Warrants issued in connection with promissory notes	\$387,349	\$-
Warrants and derivative reclassified from liabilities to stockholders' deficit	\$6,626,881	\$-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

APOLLO MEDICAL HOLDINGS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Description of Business

Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. and its affiliated physician groups are a physician centric, integrated healthcare delivery system serving Medicare, Commercial and Medi-Cal beneficiaries in California. As of April 30, 2013, ApolloMed's physician network consisted of over 300 hospitalists, primary care physicians and specialist physicians primarily through our owned and affiliated physician groups. ApolloMed operates as a medical management holding company through the following wholly-owned subsidiary management companies, Apollo Medical Management, Inc. ("AMM"), Pulmonary Critical Care Management, Inc. ("PCCM"), Verdugo Medical Management, Inc. ("VMM") and ApolloMed ACO, Inc. ("ApolloMed ACO"). Through AMM, PCCM, and VMM, the Company manages affiliated medical groups, which consists of ApolloMed Hospitalists ("AMH"), Los Angeles Lung Center ("LALC") and Eli Hendel, M.D., Inc. ("Hendel"). AMM, PCCM and VMM each operate as a physician practice management company ("PPM") and are in the business of providing management services to physician practice companies ("PPC") under long-term management service agreements.

On July 10, 2012, ApolloMedACO was notified that it had been selected by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS") to participate in the Medicare Shared Savings Program ("MSSP"). The Medicare Shared Savings Program model is designed to encourage the development of Accountable Care Organizations ("ACOs"), which can be comprised of hospitals, doctors and other health care providers who work together and are accountable for quality outcomes and the overall patient experience, while reducing the growth in Medicare expenditures. Through the MSSP model, ApolloMedACO will work with CMS on a program for Medicare beneficiaries to enhance the engagement between patients and their medical providers through the coordination of care and services across all aspects of their healthcare needs. The goal of the program is to improve the quality of the patient's care and outcomes through more efficient and coordinated approach among providers.

Going Concern

The Company's financial statements are prepared using United States generally accepted accounting principles applicable to a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities in the normal course of business. However, the Company incurred the following for the year ended January 31, 2013:

Net operating loss	\$2,078,487
Cash provided by operating activities	\$57,956

As of January 31, 2013, the Company's accumulated and stockholders' deficit was as follows:

Accumulated deficit \$11,022,272
Stockholders' deficit \$391,379

The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

To date the Company has funded its operations from internally generated cash flow and external sources, the proceeds from the Senior Secured Note and the convertible notes which have provided funds for near-term operations and growth. The current operating plan indicates that losses from operations may be incurred for all of fiscal 2014. Consequently, we may not have sufficient liquidity necessary to sustain operations for the next twelve months and this raises substantial doubt that we will be able to continue as a going concern. On January 31, 2013 the Company raised through a private placement offering \$880,000 of par value 9% Senior Subordinated Callable Convertible Promissory Notes maturing February 15, 2016 (the "9% Notes") (see Note 6) . The 9% Notes bear interest at a rate of 9% per annum, payable semi-annually on August 15 and February 15. The Company will use the net proceeds after issue costs of approximately \$776,000 for working capital and general corporate purposes. The Company intends to seek to raise additional capital through public or private equity financings, partnerships, joint ventures, disposition of assets, debt financings, bank borrowings or other sources of financing. The Company intends to seek to raise additional capital through public or private equity financings, partnerships, joint ventures, disposition of assets, debt financings, bank borrowings or other sources of financing.

No assurances can be made that management will be successful in achieving its plan. If the Company is not able to raise substantial additional capital in a timely manner, the Company may be forced to cease operations.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries AMM, Aligned Healthcare Group ("AHI"), ApolloMedACO, PCCM, and VMM as well as PPC's managed under long-term management service agreements including AMH, LALC and Hendel. Some states have laws that prohibit business entities, such as Apollo, from practicing medicine, employing physicians to practice medicine, exercising control over medical decisions by physicians (collectively known as the corporate practice of medicine), or engaging in certain arrangements with physicians, such as fee-splitting. In California, we operate by maintaining

long-term management service agreements with the PPC's, which are each owned and operated by physicians, and which employ or contract with additional physicians to provide hospitalist services. Under the management agreements, we provide and perform all non-medical management and administrative services, including financial management, information systems, marketing, risk management and administrative support. The management agreements typically have an initial term of 20 years unless terminated by either party for cause. The management agreements are not terminable by the PMC's, except in the case of gross negligence, fraud, or other illegal acts by Apollo, or bankruptcy of Apollo.

F-7

Through the management agreements and our relationship with the stockholders of the PPC's, we have exclusive authority over all non-medical decision making related to the ongoing business operations of the PPC's. Consequently, we consolidate the revenue and expenses of the PPCs from the date of execution of the management agreements.

All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue consists of contracted and fee-for-service revenue. Revenue is recorded in the period in which services are rendered. Our revenue is principally derived from the provision of healthcare staffing services to patients within healthcare facilities. The form of billing and related risk of collection for such services may vary by customer. The following is a summary of the principal forms of our billing arrangements and how net revenue is recognized for each.

Contracted revenue represents revenue generated under contracts in which we provide physician and other healthcare staffing and administrative services in return for a contractually negotiated fee. Contract revenue consists primarily of billings based on hours of healthcare staffing provided at agreed-to hourly rates. Revenue in such cases is recognized as the hours are worked by our staff and contractors. Additionally, contract revenue also includes supplemental revenue from hospitals where we may have a fee-for-service contract arrangement or provide physician advisory services to the medical staff at specific facility. Contract revenue for the supplemental billing in such cases is recognized based on the terms of each individual contract. Such contract terms generally either provides for a fixed monthly dollar amount or a variable amount based upon measurable monthly activity, such as hours staffed, patient visits or collections per visit compared to a minimum activity threshold. Such supplemental revenues based on variable arrangements are usually contractually fixed on a monthly, quarterly or annual calculation basis considering the variable factors negotiated in each such arrangement. Such supplemental revenues are recognized as revenue in the period when such amounts are determined to be fixed and therefore contractually obligated as payable by the customer under the terms of the respective agreement. Additionally, we derive a portion of our revenue as a contractual bonus from collections received by our partners and such revenue is contingent upon the collection of third-party billings. These revenues are not considered earned and therefore not recognized as revenue until actual cash collections are achieved in accordance with the contractual arrangements for such services.

Fee-for-service revenue represents revenue earned under contracts in which we bill and collect the professional component of charges for medical services rendered by our contracted and employed physicians. Under the fee-for-service arrangements, we bill patients for services provided and receive payment from patients or their third-party payers. Fee-for-service revenue is reported net of contractual allowances and policy discounts. All services provided are expected to result in cash flows and are therefore reflected as net revenue in the financial statements. Fee-for-service revenue is recognized in the period in which the services are rendered to specific patients and reduced immediately for the estimated impact of contractual allowances in the case of those patients having third-party payer coverage. The recognition of net revenue (gross charges less contractual allowances) from such visits is dependent on

such factors as proper completion of medical charts following a patient visit, the forwarding of such charts to our billing center for medical coding and entering into our billing system and the verification of each patient's submission or representation at the time services are rendered as to the payer(s) responsible for payment of such services. Revenue is recorded based on the information known at the time of entering of such information into our billing systems as well as an estimate of the revenue associated with medical services.

Concentrations

The Company had three major customers that contributed the following percentage of revenue during the years ended January 31:

	2013		2012	
Customer A	8	%	8	%
Customer B	9	%	17	%
Customer C	22	%	34	%

Receivables from these customers amounted to the following percentage of total accounts receivable at January 31:

	2013		2012	
Customer A	7	%	4	%
Customer B	5	%	9	%
Customer C	11	%	14	%

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Our accounting for Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures, defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. This topic also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires classification based on observable and unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The fair value hierarchy distinguishes between assumptions based on market data (observable inputs) and an entity's own assumptions (unobservable inputs). The hierarchy consists of three levels:

Level one — Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level two — Inputs other than level one inputs that are either directly or indirectly observable; and

F-8

Level three — Unobservable inputs developed using estimates and assumptions, which are developed by the reporting entity and reflect those assumptions that a market participant would use.

Determining which category an asset or liability falls within the hierarchy requires significant judgment. The Company evaluates its hierarchy disclosures each quarter. The Company currently records warrants using level two in the hierarchy.

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, trade and other receivables, trade and other payables approximate their fair values due to the short maturities of these instruments.

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Concentration of Cash

The Company considers all short-term investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Cash and cash equivalents at January 31, 2013 include \$427,678 in a brokerage money market account.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable primarily consists of amounts due from third-party payors, including government sponsored Medicare and Medicaid programs, and insurance companies, and amounts due from hospitals, and patients. Accounts receivable are recorded and stated at the amount expected to be collected

The Company maintains reserves for potential credit losses on accounts receivable. Management reviews the composition of accounts receivable and analyzes historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer credit worthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment patterns to evaluate the adequacy of these reserves. We also regularly analyze the ultimate collectability of accounts receivable after certain stages of the collection cycle using a look-back analysis to determine the amount of receivables subsequently collected and adjustments are recorded when necessary. Reserves are recorded primarily on a specific identification basis. The following amounts which are excluded from our accounts receivable, represent an estimate of uncollectible accounts at January 31:

	2013	2012
--	------	------

Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$78,822	\$42,576
---------------------------------	----------	----------

Property and Equipment

Property and Equipment is recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight- line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets. Cost and related accumulated depreciation on assets retired or disposed of are removed from the accounts and any resulting gains or losses are credited or charged to income. Computers and Software are depreciated over 3 years. Furniture and Fixtures are depreciated over 8 years. Machinery and Equipment are depreciated over 5 years. At January 31, property and equipment consisted of the following:

	2013	2012
Website	\$4,568	\$4,568
Computers	19,639	13,912
Software	171,626	155,039
Machinery and equipment	73,940	71,553
Furniture and fixtures	21,235	5,302
Leasehold improvements	13,362	8,198
	304,371	258,572
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(236,229)	(215,311)
	\$68,142	\$43,261

For the years ended January 31, depreciation and amortization expense was as follows:

	2013	2012
Depreciation and amortization expense	\$20,918	\$12,589

Deferred financing costs

Costs incurred to issue debt are deferred and amortized as interest expense using the effective interest method over the term of the related debt. Unamortized debt issue costs are written off at the time of prepayment.

Deferred financing costs are related to the placement of its Senior Secured and Convertible Notes Payable (see Notes 5 and 6) and consist of the following at January 31, 2013:

Debt issue costs	\$342,632
Accumulated amortization	(89,377)
Net deferred financing costs	\$253,255

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Under FASB ASC 350, *Intangibles – Goodwill and Other* (“ASC 350”), goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are reviewed at least annually for impairment. Acquired intangible assets with definite lives are amortized over their individual useful lives.

On at least an annual basis, management assesses whether there has been any impairment in the value of goodwill by first comparing the fair value to the net carrying value. If the carrying value exceeds its estimated fair value, a second step is performed to compute the amount of the impairment. An impairment loss is recognized if the implied fair value of the asset being tested is less than its carrying value. In this event, the asset is written down accordingly. The fair values of goodwill impairment testing are determined using valuation techniques based on estimates, judgments and assumptions management believes are appropriate in the circumstances. The fair value is evaluated based on market capitalization determined using average share prices within a reasonable period of time near the selected testing date (fiscal year-end).

Indefinite-lived intangible assets are tested at least annually for impairment. Impairment for intangible assets with indefinite lives exists if the carrying value of the intangible asset exceeds its fair value. The fair values of indefinite-lived intangible assets are determined using valuation techniques based on estimates, judgments and assumptions management believes are appropriate in the circumstances.

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended January 31 are as follows:

	2013	2012
Goodwill - beginning of year	\$32,000	\$-
Goodwill acquired	1,200	32,000
Goodwill - end of year	\$33,200	\$32,000

Medical Malpractice Liability Insurance

Our business has an inherent risk of claims of medical malpractice against our affiliated physicians and us. We or our independent physician contractors pay premiums for third-party professional liability insurance that indemnifies us and our affiliated hospitalists on a claims-made basis for losses incurred related to medical malpractice litigation. Professional liability coverage is required in order for our affiliated hospitalists to maintain hospital privileges. All of our physicians carry first dollar coverage with limits of coverage with limits of liability equal to \$1,000,000 for all claims based on occurrence up to an aggregate of \$3,000,000 per year.

We believe that our insurance coverage is appropriate based upon our claims experience and the nature and risks of our business. In addition to the known incidents that have resulted in the assertion of claims, we cannot be certain that our insurance coverage will be adequate to cover liabilities arising out of claims asserted against us, our affiliated professional organizations or our affiliated hospitalists in the future where the outcomes of such claims are unfavorable. We believe that the ultimate resolution of all pending claims, including liabilities in excess of our insurance coverage, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows; however, there can be no assurance that future claims will not have such a material adverse effect on our business.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes using an asset and liability approach which allows for the recognition and measurement of deferred tax assets based upon the likelihood of realization of tax benefits in future years. Under the asset and liability approach, deferred taxes are provided for the net tax effects of temporary differences between the

carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. A valuation allowance is provided for deferred tax assets if it is more likely than not these items will either expire before the Company is able to realize their benefits, or that future deductibility is uncertain.

A tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is “more likely than not” that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The evaluation of a tax position is a two-step process. The first step is to determine whether it is more-likely-than-not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including the resolution of any related appeals or litigations based on the technical merits of that position. The second step is to measure a tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not threshold to determine the amount of benefit to be recognized in the financial statements. A tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Tax positions that previously failed to meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be recognized in the first subsequent period in which the threshold is met. Previously recognized tax positions that no longer meet the more-likely-than-not criteria should be de-recognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which the threshold is no longer met. Penalties and interest incurred related to underpayment of income tax are classified as income tax expense in the year incurred.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company maintains a stock-based compensation program for employees, directors and consultants, which is more fully described in Note 10. The Company sells certain of its restricted common stock to its employees, directors and consultants with a right of repurchase feature that lapses based on performance of services in the future. The Company accounts for the unvested portion of the related stock-based compensation expense prepaid consulting. Prepaid consulting is amortized to stock-based compensation expense over the vesting period.

Non-controlling Interest

The non-controlling interest recorded in our consolidated financial statements represents the pre-acquisition equity of those PPC’s which we have determined that we have a controlling financial interest and that consolidation is required as a result of management contracts entered into with these entities. The nature of these contracts provide us with a monthly management fee to provide the services described above, and as such, the only adjustments to non-controlling interests in any period subsequent to initial consolidation would relate to either capital contributions or withdrawals by the non-controlling parties.

Basic and Diluted Earnings per Share

Basic net loss per share is calculated using the weighted average number of shares of the Company's common stock issued and outstanding during a certain period, and is calculated by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of shares of the Company's common stock issued and outstanding during such period. Diluted net loss per share is calculated using the weighted average number of common and potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the period, using the as-if converted method for secured convertible notes, and the treasury stock method for options and warrants.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with United States generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the accompanying 2012 consolidated financial statements to conform them to the 2013 presentation.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2011, the FASB issued guidance on offsetting (netting) assets and liabilities. Entities are required to disclose both gross information and net information about both instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. The new guidance is effective for annual periods beginning after January 1, 2013. We do not expect the adoption of this revised GAAP to have a material effect on our financial position.

In September 2011, the FASB issued a GAAP update on goodwill to allow an entity the option of performing a qualitative assessment before calculating the fair value of the reporting unit when testing goodwill for impairment. If the qualitative assessment concludes that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its

carrying value, the entity shall perform the quantitative two-step goodwill impairment test. Otherwise, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. This revised GAAP will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this revised GAAP to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2011, the FASB issued guidance on presentation of comprehensive income. The new guidance eliminates the current option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in equity. Instead, an entity will be required to present either a continuous statement of net income and other comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The new guidance is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. In December 2011, the FASB issued a deferral of certain portion of this guidance . We do not expect the adoption of this revised GAAP to have a material effect on our financial position.

In May 2011, the FASB issued a GAAP update on fair value measurement, which eliminates differences between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), resulting in a consistent definition of fair value and common requirements for measurement of and disclosure about fair value between GAAP and IFRS. It also expands the disclosures for fair value measurements that are estimated using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs. This revised GAAP will be effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. We do not expect the adoption of this revised GAAP to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In July 2012, the FASB issued *Accounting Standards Update 2012-02, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment*. In accordance with the amendments in this Update, an entity has the option first to assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events and circumstances indicates that it is more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. If, after assessing the totality of events and circumstances, an entity concludes that it is not more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, then the entity is not required to take further action. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to determine the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset and perform the quantitative impairment test by comparing the fair value with the carrying amount in accordance with Subtopic 350-30. The amendments are effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted, including for annual and interim impairment tests performed as of a date before July 27, 2012, if a public entity's financial statements for the most recent annual or interim period have not yet been issued or, for nonpublic entities, have not yet been made available for issuance. We do not expect the adoption of this revised GAAP to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In October 2012 the FASB clarified the codification to correct the unintended application of guidance and includes amendments identifying when the use of fair value should be linked to the definition of fair value in Topic 820, Fair Value Measurement. Amendments to the Codification without transition guidance are effective upon issuance for both public and nonpublic entities. For public entities, amendments subject to transition guidance will be effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2012. We do not expect the adoption of this revised GAAP to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In February 2013 the FASB amended Topic 220 Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. The amendments do not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in financial statements. These amendments require an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income but only if the amount reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures required under U.S. GAAP that provide additional details about those amounts. For public entities, the amendments are effective prospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this revised GAAP to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

F-11

3. Acquisitions

Aligned Healthcare Group

On February 15, 2011, the Company entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement (the “Purchase Agreement”) with Aligned Healthcare Group – California, Inc., Raouf Khalil, Jamie McReynolds, M.D. BJ Reese and BJ Reese & Associates, LLC, under which the Company acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock and associated Intellectual property and related intangibles (the “Acquisition”) of AHI.

Upon the signing of the Purchase Agreement, 1,000,000 shares of the Company’s common stock became issuable (the “Initial Shares”) and are included in the number of shares outstanding. In addition, if the gross revenues of AHI and an affiliated entity (the “Aligned Division”) had exceeded \$1,000,000 on or before February 1, 2012, then the Company would have been obligated to issue an additional 1,000,000 shares of common stock (the “Contingent Shares”). Moreover, the Company would be obligated to issue up to an additional 3,500,000 shares of common stock (the “Earn-Out Shares” and, collectively with the Initial Shares and the Contingent Shares, the “Shares”) over a three year period following closing based on the EBITDA generated by the Aligned Division during that time. Under the agreement, ApolloMed would issue twelve shares of its Common stock for each dollar of Actual EBITDA earned in the first 12-month period. In subsequent periods, ApolloMed would be required to issue twelve shares of its common stock for each dollar of Actual EBITDA in excess of the maximum EBITDA earned in either the first 12-month period or first 12-month period and second 12 month period.

Additionally, in accordance with the Purchase Agreement, if prior to February 15, 2012, AHI had not entered into an agreement for the provision of certain services to a hospital or certain other health organizations that has a term of at least one year and provides aggregate net revenues to AHI of at least \$1,000,000, the Company would have the right to repurchase all of the Initial Shares for \$0.05 per share, at which time the Company’s obligation to issue any further Shares would terminate.

Based on our initial internal estimate of contingent shares to be issued as part of this agreement, we had estimated that the total fair value of the common stock shares issued and contingently issuable for this transaction on the acquisition date was \$367,500 (1,750,000 shares).

The Company originally recognized a liability based on the acquisition date fair value of the acquisition-related contingent consideration based on the probability of the achievement of the targets stipulated in the Purchase Agreement. Based on the Company’s estimation, an initial liability of \$367,500 was recorded. At January 31, 2012 the Company determined that it did not have an obligation to issue additional shares under the terms of the Purchase Agreement, and reversed its \$367,500 accrual.

As of January, 31, 2012, based upon the completion of the Company's annual goodwill impairment test, it was determined that the goodwill associated with the AHI acquisition has been impaired, and as the result, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$210,000 due to the result of contracts that were anticipated to result from this acquisition that did not materialize, and Company management decided to focus its energies on new initiatives.

On October 11, 2012, the Company entered into a Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release (the "Settlement Agreement") with Aligned Healthcare, Inc. ("AHI"), Aligned Healthcare Group, LLC ("Aligned LLC"), Aligned Healthcare Group – California, Inc. ("Aligned Corp."), Jamie McReynolds, M.D., BJ Reese, BJ Reese & Associates, LLC, Marcelle Khalil and Hany Khalil (collectively, the "Aligned Affiliates"). The Settlement Agreement terminates (a) the Company's obligations with respect to the Aligned Affiliates under that certain Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 15, 2011 (the "Purchase Agreement"), among the Company, Aligned LLC, Aligned Corp., Raouf Khalil, Jamie McReynolds, M.D., BJ Reese and BJ Reese & Associates, LLC, as amended by that certain First Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of July 8, 2011, among the Company, Aligned LLC, Aligned Corp., Raouf Khalil, Jamie McReynolds, M.D., BJ Reese and BJ Reese & Associates, LLC, and (b) AHI's obligations to Aligned LLC and Aligned Corp. under that certain Services Agreement, dated as of July 8, 2011, among AHI, Aligned LLC and Aligned Corp.

Under the Settlement Agreement, the Company has reconveyed to Jamie McReynolds, M.D., BJ Reese & Associates, LLC and Aligned Corp. all of the shares of AHI common stock that the Company acquired from those parties under the Purchase Agreement. In addition, Jamie McReynolds, M.D., BJ Reese & Associates, LLC and Aligned Corp. have reconveyed to the Company 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock, constituting all of the shares that were issued to them under the Purchase Agreement. Following these reconveyances, the Company owns 50% of the outstanding shares of AHI's capital stock. The conveyances under the Settlement Agreement were in each case made for no additional consideration. The Settlement Agreement provides for a mutual general release of all claims between the Company and the Aligned Affiliates.

Pulmonary Critical Care Management, Inc.

On August 2, 2011, Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. entered into a stock purchase agreement (the "PCCM Purchase Agreement") with the sole shareholder of Pulmonary Critical Care Management, Inc. ("PCCM"), a provider of management services to the Los Angeles Lung Center ("LALC"), under which the Company acquired (the "PCCM Acquisition") all of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of PCCM and the associated intangible asset in the management services agreement that PCCM has with LALC (the "PCCM Services Agreement"). Upon the signing of the PCCM Purchase Agreement, the Company issued 350,000 common shares to the sole shareholder of PCCM, which was valued as of the date of issuance at \$70,000, based on the fair market value of our shares.

At the time of the acquisition, the assets of PCCM consisted only of the PCCM Services Agreement with LALC. Through this PCCM Services Agreement, our wholly-owned subsidiary, PCCM, has exclusive authority over all non-medical decision-making related to the ongoing business operations of LALC. Based on the provisions of the PCCM Purchase Agreement, we have determined that LALC is a variable interest entity (VIE), and that we are the primary beneficiary because we have control over the operations of the VIE. Consequently, we consolidated the accounts of LALC beginning on the PCCM Acquisition date. As a result of this consolidation, we recorded a non-controlling interest of \$164,276.

The following table summarizes the fair value of LALC's assets acquired and liabilities at the date of acquisition of PCCM and consolidation of LALC:

Purchase Price	\$70,000
Fair value of net assets acquired	
Cash	\$164,210
Prepaid expenses	9,472
Property and equipment	26,041
Management services agreement	38,000
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(1,447)
Due from officer	(34,000)
Non-controlling interest	(164,276)
Net assets acquired	\$38,000
Goodwill	\$32,000

Verdugo Medical Management, Inc.

On August 1, 2012, Apollo entered into a stock purchase agreement (the "VMM Purchase Agreement") with Dr. Eli Hendel, the sole shareholder of Verdugo Medical Management, Inc. ("VMM"), a provider of management services pursuant to a management services agreement (the "VMM MSA") with Eli Hendel M.D. Inc. ("Hendel"), a medical group specializing in pulmonary and critical care patient services, under which the Company will acquire all of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of VMM for \$1,200. In addition, the Company's subsidiary, ApolloMed ACO, entered into a consulting agreement with Dr. Hendel as chairman of its ACO advisory board in which Dr. Hendel received the right to acquire 1,200,000 shares of the Company's restricted common stock for \$0.001 per share. In the event the consulting agreement is terminated for "any or no reason", the Company will have the right, but not the obligation, to repurchase at \$0.001 per share 800,000 shares if the agreement is terminated within twelve months of the date of the VMM Purchase Agreement, and repurchase 400,000 shares if the agreement is terminated within 24 months. The fair value of the shares was estimated to be \$480,000 (see Note 14).

As August 1, 2012 VMM'S assets consisted solely of the VMM MSA with Hendel. The VMM MSA provides VMM with exclusive authority over all substantial non-medical decision-making related to the ongoing business operations of VMM. Based on the provisions of the VMM Purchase Agreement and MSA, we have determined that Hendel is a variable interest entity (VIE), and that we are the primary beneficiary because we have control over the operations of the VIE. Consequently, the Company consolidated the accounts of Hendel beginning August 1, 2012.

The following table summarizes the fair value of Hendel's assets acquired and liabilities at the date of acquisition of VMM and consolidation of Hendel:

Purchase Price	\$ 1,200
Fair value of net assets acquired and consolidation of Hendel:	
Cash	15,314
Accounts receivable	113,881
Prepaid expenses	6,869
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(22,968)
Non-controlling interest	(113,096)
Goodwill	\$ 1,200

4. Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities consisted of the following at January 31:

	2013	2012
Accounts payable	\$394,915	\$109,704
D&O insurance payable	-	11,444
Income taxes payable	1,087	4,219
Accrued interest	9,310	1,000
Accrued professional fees	45,316	27,500
Accrued compensation	500,023	9,609
	\$950,651	\$163,476

M. Notes Payable

Senior Secured Note

The Company entered into a Senior Secured Note (“Note”) agreement on February 1, 2012 with SpaGus Capital Partners, LLC (“SpaGus”) an entity in which Gary Augusta, a director and shareholder of the Company, holds an ownership interest. The terms of the Note provide for interest at 8.929% per annum, payments of principal of \$135,000 on each of September 15, 2012 and October 15, 2012, and to be secured by substantially all assets of the Company. The Company prepaid interest on the Note principal of \$15,000 in accordance with the Note, and paid financing costs of \$5,000 in cash and the issuance of 216,000 shares of the Company’s common stock, which was valued at \$25,661 at the date of issuance.

F-13

On September 15, 2012, SpaGus agreed to allow the Company to defer payment of the scheduled principal payments due on September 15 and October 15, 2012, and amended the Note effective October 15, 2012 in which SpaGus agreed to provide additional principal to the Company in the amount of \$230,000. The terms of the amended Note provide for borrowings to bear interest at 8.0 % per annum with accrued interest payable in arrears on each of December 28, 2012, March 31, 2013, June 30, 2013 and October 15, 2013. The amended Note will mature of October 15, 2013, and may be prepaid at any time prior to September 29, 2013. The Company paid SpaGus financing costs of 100,000 restricted shares of the Company's common stock on the amendment date, which transaction was fair valued at \$50,000, and is obligated to pay SpaGus an additional 100,000 restricted shares of the Company's common stock if the amended Note principal and or any accrued interest is outstanding on April 15, 2013. The Company accounted for this amendment as a modification. Amendment financing costs will be amortized to interest expense over the life of the amended Note using the effective interest method.

Line of credit payable

The Company has a \$100,000 revolving line of credit with a financial institution of which \$94,765 was outstanding at January 31, 2013. Borrowings under the line of credit bear interest at the prime rate (as defined) plus 4.50% (7.75% per annum at January 31, 2013), interest only is payable monthly, and matures June 5, 2013. The line of credit is secured by substantially all assets of the Company's subsidiary, Eli M. Hendel, Inc.

Interest expense related to the Notes Payable, including financing cost amortization, for the years ended January 31:

	2013	2012
Interest expense	\$73,337	\$ -

6. Convertible Notes Payable

The Company's long-term debt consists of the following at January 31:

	January 31, 2013	January 31, 2012
10% Senior Subordinated Convertible Notes due January 31, 2016	\$1,250,000	\$1,250,000
9% Senior Subordinated Convertible Notes due February 15, 2016	880,000	-
8% Senior Subordinated Convertible Notes due February 1, 2015	150,000	150,000
Less: debt discount	(370,286)	(653,826)

Edgar Filing: Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Total Convertible Notes	1,909,714	746,366
Less: Current Portion	-	596,366
Long Term Portion	\$1,909,714	\$150,000

10% Senior Subordinated Callable Convertible Notes due January 31, 2016

On October 16, 2009, the Company issued \$1,250,000 of its 10% Senior Subordinated Callable Convertible Notes (the "10% Notes"). The net proceeds of \$1,100,000 were used for the repayment of existing debt, acquisitions, physician recruitment and other general corporate purposes. The notes bear interest at a rate of 10% annually, payable semi-annually on January 31 and July 31. The Notes mature and become due and payable on January 31, 2013 and rank senior to all other unsecured debt of the Company.

The 10% Notes were sold through an Agent in the form of a Unit. Each Unit was comprised of one 10% Senior Subordinated Callable Note with a par value \$25,000, and one five-year warrant to purchase 25,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The purchase price of each Unit was \$25,000, resulting in gross proceeds of \$1,250,000.

In connection with the placement of the subordinated notes, the Company paid a commission of \$125,000 and \$25,000 of other direct expenses. The agent also received five-year warrants to purchase up to 250,000 shares of the Common Stock at an initial exercise price of \$0.25 per share adjustable pursuant to changes in public value of our shares and cash flow of the Company from July 31, 2011 until the note is paid in full. The agent also received 100,000 shares of restricted common stock for pre-transaction advisory services and due diligence. A commission of \$125,000 paid at closing, is accounted for as prepaid expense and will be amortized over a forty-month period through January 31, 2013, the maturity date of the notes. The \$25,000 of other direct expenses were paid at closing and accounted for as financing costs in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. In addition, financing costs included \$4,000 related to the value of the 100,000 shares granted to the placement agent.

The 10% Notes are convertible any time prior to January 31, 2013. The initial conversion rate is 200,000 shares of the Company's common stock per \$25,000 principal amount of the 10% Notes adjustable pursuant to changes in public value of our shares and cash flow of the Company. This represents an initial conversion price of \$0.125 per share of the Company's common stock. The note is fixed from August 1, 2009 through July 31, 2011. After July 31, 2011, the conversion price will be equal to the lesser of \$0.125 per share or the average of the monthly high stock price and low stock price as reported by Bloomberg multiplied by 110%. The minimum conversion price is the greater of \$0.05 per share or 8 times cash EPS. On or after January 31, 2012, the Company may, at its option, upon 60 days' notice to both the Noteholder's and the placement agent, redeem all or a portion of the notes at a redemption price in cash equal to 102% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the redemption date.

The Company recorded a derivative liability and an off-setting debt discount in the amount of \$653,026 as of January 31, 2012, as the result of the change in the conversion price in connection with the conversion price reset to \$0.11485. The Company's calculation of the derivative liability was made using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected life of 1 year; 80.0% stock price volatility; risk-free interest rate of 0.30% and no dividends during the expected term.

The Warrants attached to the Units are exercisable into shares of Common Stock at an initial exercise price of \$0.125. The Warrants have a five-year term and expire on October 31, 2014. The Company's calculations were made using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected life of 5 years; 80.0% stock price volatility; risk-free interest rate of 2.16% and no dividends during the expected term. These warrants were estimated to have a fair value of \$2,653 using the Black-Scholes pricing model which was recorded as unamortized warrant discount on the grant date and \$2,418 as of January 31, 2010.

F-14

In connection with this offering, the Company also issued warrants to purchase 250,000 shares of our common stock to the placement agent at an exercise price of \$0.25 per share, and are exercisable immediately upon issuance and expire five years after the date of issuance. The Company's calculations were made using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected life of 5 years; 48.0% stock price volatility; risk-free interest rate of 2.16% and no dividends during the expected term. These warrants were estimated to have a fair value of \$2,200, which was recorded as unamortized warrant discount on the grant date. The exercise price of the warrants is adjustable according to the same terms as the 10% Notes.

At January 31, 2012, the warrant exercise price reset to \$0.11485. In connection with this the Company recorded a warrant liability of \$120,000 and recognized additional financing costs of \$120,000 for the year ended January 31, 2012. The fair value of the warrant liability was determined using the Black-Scholes model option pricing model with the following assumptions: expected life of 2.75 years; 30% stock price volatility; risk-free interest rate of 0.30% and no dividends during the expected term.

On October 29, 2012, the Company amended the terms of the 10% Notes to extend the maturity to January 31, 2016, and to fix the conversion price of the 10% Notes at \$0.11485 per share. The Company accounted for this amendment as a modification. As a result of fixing the conversion price, the Company determined that the conversion feature was indexed to the Company's common stock, and should be equity classified. The fair value of the derivative liability immediately prior to the amendment was \$5,605,703 determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following inputs: expected life 0.25 years; 80% stock price volatility; risk-free rate of 0.18% and no dividends. The fair value of the conversion right giving effect to the amendment was \$5,818,149 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following inputs: expected life 3.25 years; 80% stock price volatility; risk free rate of 0.37% and no dividends, and was reclassified from derivative liability to additional paid-in capital in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet. The difference in the pre-amendment and post-amendment derivative fair values of \$212,446 was recorded as a loss on modification and included in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations. The Company paid placement fees to an agent in the form of warrants to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock with an exercise price of \$0.50 per share and contractual life of 60 months; and 20,000 restricted shares of the Company's common stock. The fair value of the warrants was \$56,225 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following model assumptions: expected life 60 months; 80% stock price volatility; risk-free interest rate of 0.37%, and no dividends during the expected term. The fair value of the restricted shares was \$12,600. The total fair value of the warrants and restricted shares was \$68,825 and was recorded as deferred financing costs and an increase to additional paid in capital. The deferred financing costs will be amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method through January 31, 2016.

The Company also amended the Warrants on October 29, 2012 to extend the expiration date to July 31, 2016 and to fix the Warrant's exercise price at \$0.11485 per share. At January 31, 2012 the Warrants were reclassified as warrant liabilities in accordance with ASC 815-40 as the Warrants did not meet the criteria to be indexed to the Company's common stock and classified as equity. At the Warrant amendment date, the Company reassessed the classification of the Warrants as a result of fixing the conversion price, and determined that the amended Warrants met the criteria to be indexed to the Company's common stock, and should be equity-classified. The Company determined that the fair of the Warrants immediately prior to the Warrant amendment was \$785,135 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model inputs of: expected life 2.0 years; 80% stock price volatility; risk-free interest rate of 0.28%, and no dividends

during the expected term. The fair value of the Warrants giving effect to the amendment was \$808,732 was reclassified from warrant liability to additional paid-in capital in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet, and was determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model inputs of: expected life 3.8 years; 80% stock price volatility; risk-free interest rate of 0.37%, and no dividends during the expected term. The difference between the pre-amendment and post-amendment Warrant fair values of \$24,437 was recorded as a loss on modification and included in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

In addition, each \$2.50 of 10% Note principal received one warrant to purchase one share of the Company's common stock, or a total of 500,000 shares, for \$0.45 per share (the "Amendment Warrants"). The fair value of the Amendment Warrants was \$200,452 determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following inputs: expected life 3.8 years; 80% stock price volatility; risk-free interest rate of 0.37%, and no dividends during the expected term. The Company recorded this amount as additional debt discount and an increase to additional paid-in capital in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet, and will amortize the debt discount to interest expense using the effective interest method over the term of the amended 10% Notes.

8% Senior Subordinated Convertible Promissory Notes due February 1, 2015

On September 1, 2011, the Company issued \$150,000 of its 8% Senior Subordinated Promissory Convertible Notes. The net proceeds were used for working capital to support organic growth including the expansion to new hospitals and hiring of new physicians, acquisitions of physician practices and/or care management businesses and for general corporate purposes. The notes bear interest at a rate of 8% annually, payable semi-annually on December 31 and June 30. The Notes mature and become due and payable on February 1, 2015 and rank senior to all other subordinated debt of the Company.

The 8% Notes are convertible any time prior to February 1, 2015. The initial conversion rate is 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock per \$25,000 principal amount of the 8% Notes, which represents an initial conversion price of \$0.25 per share of the Company's common stock. The conversion price of the 8% Notes will be adjusted on a weighted average basis if the Company issues certain additional shares of common stock (or warrants or rights to purchase share of common stock or securities convertible into common stock) for a consideration per share which is less than the then applicable conversion price.

The Company may require the holders of the 8% Notes to convert to common stock at the then applicable conversion rate at any time after June 30, 2013 if: i) our 10% Notes have been fully repaid or converted and ii) the closing price of our common stock has exceeded 150% of the then applicable Conversion Price for no less than 30 consecutive trading days prior to giving notice.

At any time on or after June 30, 2014, the Company may, at its sole option redeem all of the Notes at a redemption price in cash equal to 108% of the principal amount of the Notes to be redeemed plus any accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding the redemption rate.

9% Senior Subordinated Callable Convertible Promissory Notes due February 15, 2016

On January 31, 2013 the Company raised through a private placement offering \$880,000 of par value 9% Senior Subordinated Callable Convertible Promissory Notes maturing February 15, 2016 (the “9% Notes”). The 9% Notes bear interest at a rate of 9% per annum, payable semi-annually on August 15 and February 15. The principal of the 9% Notes plus any accrued yet unpaid interest is convertible at any time by the holder at a conversion price of \$0.40 per share of Common Stock, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and reverse stock splits. After 60 days prior notice, the Note is callable in full or in part by the Company at any time after January 31, 2015. If the Average Daily Value of Trades (“ADVT”) during the prior 90 days as reported by Bloomberg is greater than \$100,000, the Note is callable at a price of 105% of the Note’s par value, and if the ADVT is less than \$100,000, the Note is callable at a price of 110% of the Note’s par value.

The holders of the 9% Notes received warrants to purchase 660,000 shares of the Company’s common stock at an exercise price of \$0.45 per share, subject to adjustment for stock splits, reverse stock splits and stock dividends, and which are exercisable at any date prior to January 31, 2018. The fair value of the 9% Notes warrants was based on the Company’s closing stock price at the transaction date and inputs to the Black-Scholes option pricing model as follows:

Fair value of 9% Notes warrants	\$ 186,897	
Exercise price	\$0.45	
Expected life (years)	5.00	
Volatility	36.70	%
Risk-free interest rate	0.70	%
Dividends	0.00	%

The Company incurred financing costs with a placement agent equal to 9% of the of the subscription price of the 9% Notes sold, out- of- pocket expenses, legal fees, and warrants to purchase 176,000 shares of the Company’s common at a conversion price of \$0.40 per share, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and reverse stock splits as follows:

Fees and expenses \$101,179

Fair value of placement agent warrants \$54,468

The fair value of the placement agent warrants was based on the Company's closing stock price at the transaction date and inputs to the Black-Scholes option pricing model as follows:

Exercise price	\$0.40
Expected life (years)	5.00
Volatility	36.70%
Risk-free interest rate	0.70 %
Dividends	0.00 %

These amounts were recorded as deferred financing costs which will be amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method over the term of the 9% Notes.

Interest expense on the Convertible Notes, including amortization of related debt discount and financing costs for the years ended January 31 was as follows:

	2013	2012
Interest expense	\$856,839	\$304,034

Convertible notes maturing after one year consists of the following:

Year ending January 31,	
2015	\$-
2016	\$1,400,000
2017	\$880,000
2018	\$-

7. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair values of the Company's financial instruments are measured on a recurring basis. The carrying amount reported in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximates fair value because of the short-term maturity of those instruments. The carrying amount for borrowings under the Senior Secured Note and the Convertible Notes approximates fair value. The fair value of the warrant and derivative liability was estimated using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The Company did not have any assets or liabilities categorized as Level 1 or 2 as of January 31, 2013.

The following summarizes the activity of Level 3 inputs measured on a recurring basis for the year ended January 31, 2013:

Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

	Derivative	Warrant	Total
Balance at January 31, 2011	\$-	\$-	\$-
Additions	653,026	120,000	773,026
Exercises	-	-	-
Balance at January 31, 2012	653,026	120,000	773,026

Additions	-	-	-
Exercises	-	-	-
Reclassification (Note 6)	(5,818,149)	(808,732)	(6,626,881)
Adjustment resulting from change in fair value recognized in earnings	5,165,123	688,732	5,853,855
Balance at January 31, 2013	\$-	\$-	\$-

8. Related Party Transactions

Due to officers represent amounts due in connection with acquisition of PCCM and reimbursement of certain expenses paid on behalf of the Company. These amounts are non- interest bearing, due on demand, and consist of the following at January 31:

	2013	2012
Due to officers	\$ -	\$12,400

F-17

9. Income Taxes

The Company uses the liability method of accounting for income taxes as set forth in ASC 740 (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes" ("SFAS 109")). Under the liability method, deferred taxes are determined based on differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates. As of January 31, 2013, the Company had federal and California tax net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$9,046,000 and \$9,039,000, respectively. The federal and California net operating loss carryforwards will expire at various dates from 2028 through 2032. Pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Sections 382 and 383, use of the Company's net operating loss and credit carryforwards may be limited if a cumulative change in ownership of more than 50% occurs within any three-year period since the last ownership change. The Company may have had a change in control under these Sections. However, the Company does not anticipate performing a complete analysis of the limitation on the annual use of the net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards until the time that it projects it will be able to utilize these tax attributes.

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets as of January 31, 2013 and January 31, 2012 are shown below. A valuation allowance of \$4,164,591 and \$933,420 as of January 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, has been established against the Company's deferred tax assets as realization of such assets is uncertain. The Company's effective tax rate is different from the federal statutory rate of 34% due primarily to operating losses that receive no tax benefit as a result of a valuation allowance recorded for such losses.

Deferred tax assets (liabilities) consist of the following at January 31:

	2013	2012
NOL carry forward	\$3,967,114	\$849,591
Stock options - exercisable	741,971	81,775
Contribution carryforward	8,740	6,970
Warrant liability	-	51,408
State income taxes	544	1,247
Accrual to cash	(243,300)	(76,500)
State income taxes, deferred	(310,478)	(71,035)
Impairment loss	-	89,964
Other, net	-	-
Net Deferred Tax Assets	4,164,591	933,420
Valuation Allowance	(4,164,591)	(933,420)
	\$-	\$-

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount computed by applying the federal income tax rate as follows for the year ended January 31:

	2013	2012
Tax computed at the statutory rate (34%)	0.34 %	0.34 %
Stock options	(0.06)%	(0.01)%
Accrual to cash	- %	0.01 %
Warrant liability	- %	(0.05)%
Impairment Loss	- %	(0.08)%
Non-cash stock compensation	- %	(0.06)%
Change in valuation	(0.28)%	(0.15)%
	- %	- %

As of January 31, 2013, the Company does not have any unrecognized tax benefits related to various federal and state income tax matters. The Company will recognize accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense.

The Company is subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax of multiple state tax jurisdictions. The Company and its subsidiaries' state income tax returns are open to audit under the statute of limitations for the years ended January 31, 2010 through 2013. The Company does not anticipate material unrecognized tax benefits within the next 12 months.

10. Stockholder's Deficit

Equity Incentive Plan

On March 4, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors approved the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2010 Plan"). The Plan provides for the granting of the following types of awards to persons who are employees, officers, consultants, advisors, or directors of our Company or any of its affiliates:

Under the 2010 Plan, the Company may issue a variety of equity vehicles to provide flexibility in implementing equity awards, including incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, restricted stock grants and stock appreciation rights.

Subject to the adjustment provisions of the 2010 Plan that are applicable in the event of a stock dividend, stock split, reverse stock split or similar transaction, up to 5,000,000 shares of common stock may be issued under the 2010 Plan. Options granted under the 2010 Plan generally vest over a three-year period and generally expire ten years from the date of grant.

Stock options and warrants issued to non-employees as compensation for services to be provided to the Company are accounted for based upon the fair value of the services provided or the estimated fair value of the option or warrant, whichever can be more clearly determined. The Company recognizes this expense over the period in which the services are provided.

On August 31, 2012 the Company's Board of Directors amended the 2010 Plan, which allowed the Board to grant an additional 7,000,000 shares up to 12,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The 2010 Plan awards include incentive stock option, non-qualified options, restricted common stock, and stock appreciation rights. As of January 31, 2013, approximately 267,000 shares are available for future grants under the 2010 Plan. The Company issues new shares to satisfy stock option and warrant exercises.

Share Issuances

The Company's Board of Directors authorized the issuance 600,000 shares of common stock for compensation related to consulting and directors' fees during the twelve months ended January 31, 2012. The shares were valued at \$90,000 based on the fair values of the shares at the issuance dates. These shares were not issued as January 31, 2012 and were recorded as a liability at January 31, 2012. Included in the issuance of 600,000 shares were 400,000 restricted shares of common stock acquired by Mr. Suresh Nihalani for \$0.001 per share in connection with Mr. Nihalani's re-election to the Company's Board of Directors. The fair value of the grant to Mr. Nihalani was \$60,000 and was recorded as compensation expense during the year ended January 31, 2012.

During the three months ended April 30, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors authorized: (i) the purchase of 400,000 restricted shares of the Company's common stock by Mr. Gary Augusta at \$0.001 per share by Mr. Augusta in connection with Mr. Augusta's election to the Company's Board. The fair value of the shares at grant date was \$47,520 and will be accounted for as prepaid consulting and amortized to expense over the related service period, with the unamortized portion presented as a contra equity account on the balance sheet ; (ii) the issuance of 216,000 common shares to SpaGus Capital, LLC with a fair value of \$25,661 related to the cost of placing the Senior Secured Note (see Note 8); and (iii) the issuance of 300,000 common shares with a fair value of \$41,560 related to consulting services provided by Mr. Augusta during the three months ended April 30, 2012. The Company has the right, but not the obligation, to redeem the unearned service portion of the 400,000 restricted shares purchased by Mr. Nihalani and 400,000 restricted shares purchased by Mr. Augusta at par value.

The Company's Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 200,000 shares to Mr. Augusta with a fair value of \$26,000 during the three months ended July 31, 2012 related to consulting services provided by Mr. Augusta.

On September 15, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 3,350,000 shares of the Company's common stock to certain employees and consultants as follows: (i) 1,200,000 common shares purchased by Dr. Eli Hendel for \$0.001 per share, pursuant to a consulting agreement dated August 1, 2012 in which if Dr. Hendel is terminated for "any or no reason", the Company will have the right, but not the obligation, to repurchase at \$0.001 per share 800,000 shares if the agreement is terminated within twelve months of the date of the VMM Purchase Agreement (see Note 3), and repurchase 400,000 shares if the agreement is terminated within 24 months. The fair value of the shares was estimated to be \$480,000, and the share purchase will be accounted for as prepaid consulting and amortized over the life of the agreement; (ii) 1,000,000 common shares purchased by Dr. Warren Hosseinion, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, for \$0.001 per share with a fair value of \$420,000 and expensed at grant date; (iii) 700,000 common shares purchased by Mr. Kyle Francis, the Company's Chief Financial Officer, for \$0.001 per share with a fair value of \$269,500 and expensed at grant date; (iv) 316,667 common shares purchased by certain employees and consultants for \$0.001 per share with a fair value of \$133,317 and expensed at grant date.

On October 15, 2012 the Company's Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock to SpaGus Capital Partners, LLC in connection with the amendment of the Company's Senior Secured Promissory Note with a fair value of \$50,000 (see Note 5).

On October 18, 2012 the Company's Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 400,000 restricted shares of the Company's common stock with a fair value of \$168,000 to Mr. Mark Meyers, pursuant to Mr. Meyers' appointment to the Company's Board of Directors. On October 22, 2012 the Company's Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 500,000 restricted shares of the Company's common stock with a fair value of \$210,000 to Mr. Creem pursuant to Mr. Creem's appointment to the Company's Board of Directors. Mr. Meyers and Mr. Creem's restricted share grants each vest on a monthly basis over 36 months and will be accounted for as prepaid consulting and amortized over the life of their respective agreements.

On October 29, 2012 the Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 20,000 shares of the Company's common stock with a fair value of \$12,600 to the 10% Notes placement agent (see Note 6).

Option Issuances

During the year ended January 31, 2011, the Company's Board of Directors granted 1,150,000 options to employees and directors. The fair value of the options was \$0.11 per share, or \$126,500 aggregate fair value. The fair value of each option award was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The calculation was based on the exercise price of \$0.15, an expected term of 10.0 years using the simplified method, interest rate of 1.98%, volatility of 80% and no dividends.

On February 1, 2012 the Board of Directors approved the grant of 1,000,000 stock options to Mr. Ted Schreck in pursuant to Mr. Schreck's agreement to join the Company's Board as director. The options vest in three equal installments on each of February 1, 2012, 2013, and 2014 subject to Mr. Schreck's continued role as a director. The options expire on the tenth anniversary of issuance. The fair value of the stock options of \$120,000 was determined under the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The calculation was based on the exercise price of \$0.15, an expected term of 10.0 years using the simplified method, interest rate of 1.97%, volatility of 80.0% and no dividends.

On September 15, 2012 the Company's Board of Directors authorized the issuance of stock options to acquire 3,075,000 shares of the Company's common stock to certain of the Company's physicians and medical professionals. The options substantially vest in three equal installments on each September 15, 2012, July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2014, subject to the recipients continued role with the Company, and expire on the tenth anniversary of issuance. The fair value of the options was estimated to be \$907,796 determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model based on the following inputs: exercise price of \$0.21, expected term of 3.7 years using the simplified method, interest rate of 0.42%, volatility of 80.0% and no dividends.

During the 4th quarter ended January 31, 2013 the Company's Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 150,000 stock options to Mr. Mark Meyers pursuant to Mr. Meyer's consulting agreement (Note 11). The options vest immediately and expire on the tenth anniversary of issuance.

The fair value of the stock options of \$55,617 was determined under the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The calculation was based on the Company's closing stock price on the date of grant and the following weighted-average inputs: exercise price of \$0.21, an expected term of 6.0 years using the simplified method, interest rate of 0.70%, volatility of 36.7% and no dividends.

Stock option activity for the year ended January 31, 2013 is summarized below:

	Shares	Weighted Average Per Share Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Balance, January 31, 2011	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
Granted	1,150,000	0.15	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Expired	-	-	-	-
Forfeited	-	-	-	-
Balance, January 31, 2012	1,150,000	0.15	8.9	-
Granted	4,225,000	0.19	9.2	-
Exercised	(75,000)	0.21	-	-
Expired	-	-	-	-
Forfeited	-	-	-	-
Balance, January 31, 2013	5,300,000	\$ 0.18	9.1	\$ -
Vested and expected to vest	2,733,336	\$ 0.18	8.9	\$ -
Exercisable, January 31, 2013	2,733,336	\$ 0.18	8.9	\$ -

The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the year ended January 31, 2013 was \$15,750.

ApolloMed ACO 2012 Equity Incentive Plan

On October 18, 2012 ApolloMed ACO's Board of Directors adopted the ApolloMed Accountable Care Organization, Inc. 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (the "ACO Plan") and reserved 9,000,000 shares of ApolloMed ACO's common stock for issuance thereunder. The purposes of the ACO Plan are to encourage selected employees, directors, consultants and advisers to improve operations and increase the profitability of ApolloMed ACO and encourage selected employees, directors, consultants and advisers to accept or continue employment or association with ApolloMed ACO.

Awards of restricted stock under the Plan vest (i) one-third on the date of grant; (ii) one-third on the first anniversary of the date of grant, if the grantee has remained in service continuously until that date; and (iii) one-third on the second anniversary of the date of grant if the grantee has remained in service continuously until that date.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Medical Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

In the 4th quarter ended January 31, 2013, ApolloMed ACO issued restricted common stock under the ACO Plan totaling 3,690,000 shares to participating physicians. One-third of the total share grant, or 1,230,000 shares, vested upon grant and the remainder is subject to the ACO Plan vesting schedule. ApolloMed ACO's Board of Directors determined the fair value of the shares at grant date was \$0.01 per share.

The following table summarizes the restricted stock award in the ACO Plan during the year ended January 31, 2013:

	Shares	Weighted Average Remaining Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	Weighted Average Fair Value
Balance, January 31, 2012	-	-	\$ -	\$ -
Granted	3,690,000	1.9	36,900	0.01
Released	-			
Balance, January 31, 2013	3,690,000	1.9	\$ 36,900	\$ 0.01

Stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock and option awards is recognized over their respective vesting periods, and is as follows for the year ended January 31:

	2013	2012
Stock-based compensation expense:		
Cost of services	\$550,710	\$29,333
General and administrative	1,511,017	152,400
	\$2,061,728	\$181,733

As of January 31, 2013, total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested stock-based compensation arrangements granted under our 2010, 2012 and ACO Plan's and the weighted-average period of years expected to recognize those costs are as follows:

	Unrecognized Compensation Cost	Weighted Average Remaining Life (Years)
Common stock options	\$ 521,611	0.9
ACO Plan restricted stock	\$ 24,600	1.9

Warrants

Warrants consisted of the following:

	Aggregate intrinsic value	Number of warrants
Outstanding at January 31, 2011	\$ -	1,655,333
Lapsed	-	(155,333)
Outstanding at January 31, 2012	-	1,500,000
Granted	-	2,936,000
Exercised	-	-
Cancelled	-	(1,500,000)
Outstanding at January 31, 2013	\$ -	2,936,000

Exercise Price	Warrants outstanding	Weighted average remaining contractual life	Warrants exercisable	Weighted average exercise price
\$ 0.11485	1,250,000	3.76	1,250,000	\$ 0.11485
\$ 0.11485	250,000	3.76	250,000	\$ 0.11485
\$ 0.45000	500,000	3.76	500,000	\$ 0.45000
\$ 0.50000	100,000	5.00	100,000	\$ 0.50000
\$ 0.45000	660,000	5.00	660,000	\$ 0.45000
\$ 0.40000	176,000	5.00	176,000	\$ 0.40000
	2,936,000	4.15	2,936,000	\$ 0.27748

In conjunction with the completion of the private placement on October 16, 2009, the Company issued a total of 1,500,000 warrants (“Warrants”). Of this amount, 1,250,000 warrants were issued to the holders of the Convertible Notes and 250,000 warrants were granted to the placement agent. The warrants are exercisable into shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.11485. The warrants had a five-year term and expire on October 31, 2014. On October 29, 2012 the Company, in connection with amendment of its 10% Senior Subordinated Convertible Notes amended the Warrants in which the exercise price was fixed at \$0.11485 per share and in which the term was extended to July 31, 2016. In addition, the Company issued to the 10% Note holders warrants to acquire 500,000 shares of the Company’s common stock at \$0.45 per share, which have a term that extends to July 31, 2016. The Company issued to the placement agent in the 10% Notes amendment warrants to acquire 100,000 shares of the Company’s common stock at \$0.50 per share (see Note 6).

In connection with the placement of the 9% Notes (see Note 6), the holders of the 9% Notes received warrants to purchase 660,000 shares of the Company’s common stock at an exercise price of \$0.45 per share, subject to adjustment for stock splits, reverse stock splits and stock dividends, and the placement agent warrants to purchase 176,000 shares of the Company’s common at a conversion price of \$0.40 per share, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and reverse stock splits, and which are exercisable at any date prior to January 31, 2018. The fair value of the 9% Notes warrants was as follows:

Fair value of 9% Notes warrants	\$ 186,897
---------------------------------	------------

Fair value of placement agent warrants	\$ 54,468
--	-----------

Authorized stock

At January 31, 2013 the Company was authorized to issue up to 100,000,000 shares of common stock. The Company is required to reserve and keep available out of the authorized but unissued shares of common stock such number of shares sufficient to effect the conversion of all outstanding shares of the 10% Senior Subordinated Callable Convertible Notes, the 8% Senior Subordinated Convertible Promissory Notes, the 9% Senior Subordinated Callable Notes, the exercise of all outstanding warrants exercisable into shares of common stock, and shares granted and available for grant under the Company’s stock option grants. The amount of shares of common stock reserved for these purposes is as follows at January 31, 2013:

Common stock issued and outstanding	34,843,441
Conversion of 10% Notes	10,883,761
Conversion of 8% Notes	600,000
Conversion of 9% Notes	2,200,000
Warrants	2,936,000
Stock options outstanding	5,300,000
	56,763,202

11. Commitments and Contingencies

Lease commitments

The Company leases its office facilities under non-cancelable operating leases, certain of which contain renewal options. Future minimum rental payments required under the operating leases as follows:

Year ending January 31,

2014	\$ 139,994
2015	114,416
2016	91,546
2017	94,294
2018	-
	\$440,251

Rent expense recorded for years ended January 31 was as follows:

	2013	2012
Rent expense	\$97,402	\$42,874

Consulting and employment agreements

On August 16, 2012, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Kaneohe Advisors LLC, an entity wholly-owned and controlled by Mr. Kyle Francis, to serve as the Company's Executive Vice President, Business Development and Chief Financial Officer. The term of the agreement is on a month-to-month basis, and provided for Mr. Francis to receive \$11,900 per month and the right to purchase 700,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.001 (see Note 10), and can be terminated by either party at any time.

On March 1, 2013, the Company entered into a direct employment agreement with Mr. Francis, which provides for salary of \$225,000 per annum, reimbursement of up to \$1,200 per month in health insurance expenses, additional performance-based stock and cash compensation to be determined by the Company's board of directors, and participation in employee benefits offered to other employees of the Company. If Mr. Francis is terminated for any reason other than gross negligence or misconduct prior to the first anniversary date of employment, Mr. Francis will be entitled to the remaining unpaid portion of his annual salary and health insurance expense reimbursement.

On October 8, 2012 the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Mr. Mark Meyers to perform services as the Company's Chief of Strategy and Business Development, in which Mr. Meyers will receive \$10,000 per month, the right to receive options to acquire 50,000 shares per month of the Company's common stock with an exercise price of \$0.21 per share, and be eligible for performance-based compensation as determined by the Company's Board of Directors. Mr. Meyers has the option to convert all or a portion of the cash compensation to equity at a conversion price equal to a discount of 30% from the trailing 90 day average of the closing price of the Company's common stock. The agreement is terminable by either party without cause upon providing 90 days' notice.

Regulatory Matters

Laws and regulations governing the Medicare and Medicaid programs are complex and subject to interpretation. Compliance with such laws and regulations can be subject to future government review and interpretation as well as significant regulatory action including fines, penalties, and exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. We believe that we are in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations.

Legal

In the ordinary course of our business, we become involved in pending and threatened legal actions and proceedings, most of which involve claims of medical malpractice related to medical services provided by our affiliated hospitalists. We may also become subject to other lawsuits which could involve significant claims and/or significant defense costs. We believe, based upon our review of pending actions and proceedings, that the outcome of such legal actions and proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. The outcome of such actions and proceedings, however, cannot be predicted with certainty and an unfavorable resolution of one or more of them could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows in a future period.

Liability Insurance

We believe that our insurance coverage is appropriate based upon our claims experience and the nature and risks of our business. In addition to the known incidents that have resulted in the assertion of claims, we cannot be certain that our insurance coverage will be adequate to cover liabilities arising out of claims asserted against us, our affiliated professional organizations or our affiliated hospitalists in the future where the outcomes of such claims are unfavorable. We believe that the ultimate resolution of all pending claims, including liabilities in excess of our insurance coverage, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash

flows; however, there can be no assurance that future claims will not have such a material adverse effect on our business.

Although we currently maintain liability insurance policies on a claims-made basis, which are intended to cover malpractice liability and certain other claims, the coverage must be renewed annually, and may not continue to be available to us in future years at acceptable costs, and on favorable terms.

F-22

11. Quarterly Results of Operations (UNAUDITED)

Following is a summary of our quarterly results of operations for the years ended January 31, 2013 and 2012.

	January 31, 2013	October 31, 2012	July 31, 2012	April 30, 2012	January 31, 2012	October 31, 2011	July 31, 2011	April 30, 2011
Revenues	\$2,529,683	\$1,965,153	\$1,649,451	\$1,631,844	\$1,545,440	\$1,431,965	\$1,093,708	\$1,039,693
(Loss) income from operations	(525,083)	(1,437,225)	(63,026)	(53,153)	(268,754)	126,202	(83,339)	(187,444)
Loss on change in fair value of derivative liabilities	-	(3,063,144)	(2,914,549)	123,838	-	-	-	-
Interest expense	(259,995)	(221,239)	(224,906)	(224,036)	(154,060)	(68,047)	(40,978)	(40,949)
Other (expense) income	(37,903)	207	455	(5)	247	49	1,483	1,063
(Loss) income before income taxes	(822,981)	(4,721,401)	(3,202,026)	(153,356)	(422,567)	58,204	(122,834)	(227,330)
Provision for income taxes	-	-	800	4,000	4,219	-	-	1,600
Net (loss) income	\$(822,981)	\$(4,721,401)	\$(3,202,826)	\$(157,356)	\$(426,786)	\$58,204	\$(122,834)	\$(228,930)
Per share data:								

Weighted Average Shares - Basic and Diluted	34,808,001	33,440,542	31,015,904	29,965,878	29,335,774	29,331,970	28,985,774	28,648,13
Basic and Diluted Loss per share (1)	\$(0.02) \$(0.15) \$(0.10) \$(0.01) \$(0.01) \$0.00	\$(0.00) \$(0.01

(1) Earnings per share are computed independently for each of the quarters presented and therefore may not sum to the total for the year

12. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

	2013	2012
Allowance for doubtful accounts:		
Balance - beginning of year	\$42,576	\$34,746
Charged to operations	74,393	118,077
Write-off of accounts receivable	(38,147)	(110,247)
Balance - end of year	\$78,822	\$42,576

F-23