

TABLE TRAC INC  
Form 10-K  
March 26, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

Commission File No. 0-28383

TABLE TRAC, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Nevada**

(State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation or  
Organization)

**88-0336568**

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

**6101 Baker Road, Suite 206, Minnetonka, Minnesota**

(Address of principal executive office)

**55345**

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(952) 548-8877**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

**None**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

**Common Stock, par value \$0.001**

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulations S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for a shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). " Yes x No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2013 was approximately \$2.4 million based on the closing sales price of the registrant's common stock on that date (\$.91 per share). As of March 26, 2014, the Company had outstanding 4,774,805 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED IN PART BY REFERENCE**

None.

Table Trac, Inc.

Table of Contents

	Page
<b>PART I.</b>	
Item 1. Business	1
Item 1A. Risk Factors	2
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	7
Item 2. Properties	7
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	7
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	7
<b>PART II.</b>	
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	8
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	9
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation	9
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	14
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	15
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	28
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	28
Item 9B. Other Information	29
<b>PART III.</b>	
Item 10. Directors, Officers and Corporate Governance	30
Item 11. Executive Compensation	35
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	36
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence	37
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	38
<b>PART IV.</b>	
Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	39
<b>SIGNATURES</b>	40

## **PART I**

### **Item 1. Business.**

#### **GENERAL**

Table Trac, Inc. (the “Company” or “Table Trac”) is a Nevada corporation, formed on June 27, 1995, with principal offices in Minnetonka, Minnesota.

The Company has developed and patented (U.S. patent # 5,957,776) a proprietary information and management system (called our “Table Trac” system) that automates and monitors the operations of casino table game operations. In addition to its table games management system, Table Trac has been adding functionality to related casino system modules for guest rewards and loyalty club, marketing analysis, guest service, promotions, administration / management, vault / cage management and audit / accounting tasks. Aggregated together, all of these modules have become the “Casino Trac” product, a full-featured Casino Management System (CMS) offering what we believe to be a powerful combination of value, efficiency and reliability for casinos seeking to add or upgrade their casino systems.

The Company sells systems and technical support to casinos. The open-architecture of the Table Trac system is designed to provide operators with a scalable and flexible system that can interconnect and operate with most third-party software or hardware. Key products and services include modules designed to drive player tracking programs and kiosk promotions, as well as vault and cage controls. The Company’s systems meet the strictest auditing, accounting and regulatory requirements. The Company has developed a patented, real-time system that automates and monitors the operations of casino gaming tables.

The Company continues to increase its market share by expanding its product offerings to include new system features, and ancillary products.

#### **TABLE TRAC INSTALLATIONS**

Table Trac currently has casino management systems, table games management systems and ancillary products installed with on-going support and maintenance contracts at forty-six casinos worldwide in the U.S., Caribbean, Central and South America.

## **AVAILABILITY OF TABLE TRAC**

Table Trac systems are available for purchase from the Company by any legal gambling casino in the U.S. and legal casinos operating outside the U.S. Table Trac's systems are purchased, installed and sold with a monthly license and maintenance contract whereby Table Trac performs required maintenance on its systems to assure trouble-free operations.

## **MANUFACTURING CAPABILITIES**

The Company designs and manufactures its own table game interface units and slot machine gaming machine interface boards using the services of third-party electronics assembly firms. The Company has relationships with a host of third-party electronic and gaming equipment manufacturers that can be readily available for hire, as needed.

## **TRADEMARKS AND PATENTS**

The Company filed for its provisional patent application in August 1995, and filed for its final application in August 1996. This application was approved and issued on September 28, 2000, as patent number 5,957,776.

The Company filed to register its trademark ("TABLE TRAC") in September 1996. The trademark was issued on September 7, 2000, as trademark number 2,275,137. A re-application for this mark has been filed.

## **EMPLOYEES**

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had 18 full-time equivalents with an employee headcount of 19, and engaged the services of two contract specialists during the course of the year.

## **BUSINESS SEGMENTS**

The Company operates as one reporting segment.

## **RECENT DEVELOPMENTS**

The Company signed eight new customer contracts representing nine casinos in 2013 and expanded the Company's presence into two new U.S. jurisdictions, California and North Dakota. At the end of 2013, the Company had casino management systems, table games management systems and ancillary products installed with on-going support and maintenance contracts at forty-six casinos worldwide and had one casino management system installation in backlog, which was started and completed in the 1<sup>st</sup> quarter of 2014.

At its annual shareholder meeting in June 2013, the Company re-elected Steven A. Browne, Louis Fornetti, and Gary Loebig as its independent board members; along with Chad Hoehne, Table Trac's, President and founder, and Glenn Goulet, its chief executive officer, to the Board of Directors. The board re-elected Mr. Browne as Chairman of the Board; while Mr. Fornetti and Mr. Loebig were re-elected to serve as chairmen of the audit and compensation committees, respectively.

During 2013, the Company participated in several key industry trade shows and conferences, including the ICE Gaming Show, the Caribbean Gaming Show, the National Indian Gaming Association Trade Show and Conference, the Gaming Technology Summit, the Midwest Gaming Conference, the Oklahoma Indian Gaming Association Trade Show and Conference, Raving's Casino Marketing Conference and Table Games Conference, and Global Gaming Expo (G2E), the industry's premier event.

## **Item 1A. Risk Factors.**

***The Company's business is subject to unpredictable order flows, which might cause its results to fluctuate significantly from period to period.***

Individual system sales can have a long sales cycle, resulting in unpredictable revenue from such sales. Other revenue is derived from expansion opportunities at existing customer facilities and, although existing customers have in the past engaged us to provide expanded services and systems, there is no contractual agreement to provide us with any minimum volume or the ability to expand our services and systems. For these reasons, the Company can experience unpredictable order flows for system expansions.

***We are dependent on our intellectual property and we may be unable to protect our intellectual property from infringement, misappropriation, or claims of infringement or invalidity.***

The gaming industry and the software industry are in general characterized by the use of various forms of intellectual property. We are dependent upon patented technologies, trademarked brands and proprietary information for our business. We endeavor to protect our intellectual property rights and our products through a combination of patent, trademark, trade dress, copyright and trade secret laws, as well as licensing agreements and third-party nondisclosure and assignment agreements. We cannot, however, be certain that any trademark, copyright, issued patent or other types of intellectual property will provide competitive advantages for us. Furthermore, we cannot be certain that our efforts to protect our intellectual property rights or products will be successful.



***Our existing patents may be found invalid or unenforceable and any current or future patent applications may not be approved.***

We have patents and trademarks and we utilize patent protection in the United States relating to certain processes and products. We cannot assure you that all of our existing patents would be found valid or enforceable or will continue to be valid or enforceable, or that any pending patent applications will be approved. Our competitors may in the future challenge the validity or enforceability of certain of our patents. The patents we own could be challenged, invalidated or circumvented by others and may not be of sufficient scope or strength to provide us with any meaningful protection or commercial advantage. Competitors may infringe our patents and we may not have adequate resources or there may be other reasons we do not enforce our patents. Our patents may not adequately cover a competitor's products. The future interpretation by courts of United States laws regarding the validity of patents could negatively affect the validity or enforceability of our current or future patents.

***Our efforts to protect our unpatented proprietary technology may not be successful.***

We rely on unpatented proprietary technology. It is possible that others will independently develop the same or similar technology or otherwise obtain access to our unpatented technology. To protect our trade secrets and other proprietary information, we require employees, consultants, advisors and collaborators to enter into confidentiality agreements. We cannot assure you that these agreements are fully enforceable or will provide meaningful protection for our trade secrets, know-how or other proprietary information in the event of any unauthorized use, misappropriation or disclosure of such trade secrets, know-how or other proprietary information. If we are unable to maintain the proprietary nature of our technologies, it could have a material adverse effect on our business.

***We may not be able to establish or maintain our trademarks.***

We rely on our trademarks, trade names, trade dress, copyrights and brand names to distinguish our products from the products of our competitors. We have registered or applied to register many of these trademarks. Our trademarks may not remain valid or enforceable. We may not be able to build and maintain goodwill in our trademarks or other intellectual property. Third parties may oppose our trademark applications or challenge our use of the trademarks. Our trademarks may become so well known by the public that their use becomes generic and they lose trademark protection. In the event that our trademarks are successfully challenged, we could be forced to rebrand our products, which could result in loss of brand recognition and could require us to devote resources towards advertising and marketing new brands. Further, our competitors may infringe our trademarks or other intellectual property and we may not have adequate resources or there may be other reasons we do not enforce our trademarks or other types of intellectual property.

***We may not be able to adequately protect our foreign intellectual property rights.***

Because of the differences in foreign patent, trademark, trade dress, copyright and other laws concerning proprietary rights, our intellectual property frequently does not receive the same degree of protection in foreign countries as it would in the United States. Our failure to possess, obtain or maintain adequate protection of our intellectual property rights for any reason could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

***The intellectual property rights of others may limit our ability to make and sell our products.***

The gaming industry is characterized by the rapid development of new technology which requires us to continuously introduce new products using these technologies and innovations, as well as to expand into new markets that may be created. Therefore, our success depends in part on our ability to continually adapt our products and systems to incorporate new technologies and to expand into markets that may be created by new technologies. However, to the extent technologies are protected by the intellectual property rights of others, including our competitors, we may be prevented from introducing products based on these technologies or expanding into markets created by these technologies. If the intellectual property rights of others prevent us from taking advantage of innovative technologies, our financial condition, operating results or prospects may be harmed.

We have many competitors in both the United States and foreign countries, some of which have substantially greater resources and have made substantial investments in competing technologies. Some competitors have applied for and obtained and may in the future apply for and obtain, patents that may prevent, limit or otherwise interfere with our ability to make and sell our products. Any royalty, licensing or settlement agreements, if required, may not be available to us on acceptable terms or at all.

***Significant litigation regarding intellectual property rights exists in our industry.***

There is a significant amount of litigation that occurs in the gaming and technology industry generally. A successful challenge to or invalidation of one of our patents or trademarks, a successful claim of infringement by a third party against us, our products, or one of our licensees in connection with the use of our technology, or an unsuccessful claim of infringement made by us against a third party or its products could adversely affect our business or cause us financial harm. Any such litigation – whether with or without merit – could:

- be expensive and time consuming to defend;
- cause one or more of our patents to be ruled or rendered unenforceable or invalid;
- cause us to cease making, licensing or using products that incorporate the challenged intellectual property;
- require us to redesign, reengineer or rebrand our products;
- divert management's attention and resources;
- require us to pay significant amounts in damages;
- require us to enter into royalty, licensing or settlement agreements in order to obtain the right to use a necessary product, process or component;
- limit our ability to bring new products to the market in the future; or
- cause us, by way of injunction, to have to remove products on lease and/or stop selling or leasing new products.

***The gaming industry is highly regulated and we must adhere to various regulations and maintain applicable licenses to continue our operations. Failure to abide by regulations or maintain applicable licenses could be disruptive to our business and could adversely affect our operations.***

We and our products are subject to extensive regulation under federal, state, local and foreign laws, rules and regulations of the jurisdictions in which we do business and our products are used. Violations of laws in one jurisdiction could result in disciplinary action in other jurisdictions. Licenses, approvals or findings of suitability may be revoked, suspended or conditioned. In sum, we may not be able to obtain or maintain all necessary registrations, licenses, permits or approvals. We cannot assure that the licensing process will not result in delays or adversely affect our operations and our ability to maintain key personnel, or that complying with these regulations will not increase our costs.

***We may be unable to obtain licenses in new jurisdictions where our customers operate.***

We will become subject to regulation in any jurisdiction where our customers operate in the future. To expand into any such jurisdiction we may need to be licensed, or obtain approvals of our products or services. If we do not receive, or receive a revocation of a license in a particular jurisdiction for our products, we would not be able to sell or place our products in that jurisdiction.

***Legislative and regulatory changes could negatively affect our business and the business of our customers.***

Legislative and regulatory changes may affect demand for or place limitations on the placement of our products. Such changes could affect us in a variety of ways. Legislation or regulation may introduce limitations on our products or opportunities for the use of our products and could foster competitive products or solutions at our or our customers' expense. Our business will likely also suffer if our products became obsolete due to changes in laws or the regulatory framework.

Legislative or regulatory changes negatively impacting the gaming industry as a whole or our customers in particular could also decrease the demand for our products. Opposition to gaming could result in restrictions or even prohibitions of gaming operations in any jurisdiction or could result in increased taxes on gaming revenues. Tax matters, including changes in state, federal or other tax legislation or assessments by tax authorities could have a negative impact on our business. A reduction in growth of the gaming industry or in the number of gaming jurisdictions or delays in the opening of new or expanded casinos could reduce demand for our products. Changes in current or future laws or regulations or future judicial intervention in any particular jurisdiction may have a material adverse effect on our existing and proposed foreign and domestic operations. Any such adverse change in the legislative or regulatory environment could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

***Our growth and ability to access capital markets are subject to a number of economic risks.***

Financial markets worldwide can experience disruption, including, among other things, diminished liquidity and credit availability, rating downgrades of certain investments and declining valuations. It is possible that the disruption in financial markets will continue or even that there will be a further deterioration in financial markets and confidence in major economies.

These financial market conditions affect our business in a number of ways. The tightening of credit in financial markets adversely affects the ability of our customers to obtain financing for purchases and operations and could result in a decrease in or cancellation of lease and sale orders for our products and services. Current financial market conditions could also affect our ability to raise funds in the capital and lending markets.

***Risks that impact our customers may impact us.***

If fewer players visit our customers' facilities, if such players have less disposable income to spend at our customers' facilities or if our customers are unable to devote resources to purchasing and leasing our products, there could be an adverse effect on our business. Such risks that affect our customers include, but are not limited to:

- adverse economic and market conditions in gaming markets, including recession, economic slowdown, higher interest rates, higher airfares and higher energy and gasoline prices;

- global geopolitical events such as terrorist attacks and other acts of war or hostility;

- natural disasters such as major fires, floods, hurricanes and earthquakes; and

- inability of our customers to operate due to regulatory disputes, or inability to meet their debt obligations.

***We also have agreements with casinos in Native American and foreign jurisdictions, which may subject us to sovereign immunity risks.***

We may have a difficult time enforcing our contracts with Central America, South America, the Caribbean and Native American tribes and the casinos they operate. These customers may enjoy significant immunity or impracticality from suit. For instance, in order to sue a Native American tribe (or an agency or instrumentality of a Native American tribe), the Native American tribe must have effectively waived its sovereign immunity with respect to the matter in dispute. While we always seek the waivers of immunity initially, they may not always become a part of our final contracts with Native American tribes. Without a waiver, limited or otherwise, of the tribe's sovereign immunity, our ordinary rights and remedies (such as our right to enter Native American lands to retrieve our property in the event of a breach of contract by the tribal party to that contract, or our right to enforce any outside judgment against such tribal party) will not likely be enforceable.

***We compete in a single industry and our business may suffer if our products become obsolete or demand for them decreases, including without limitation, as a result of the downturn in the gaming industry.***

We derive substantially all of our revenues from leasing, licensing, selling and other financing arrangements of products for the gaming industry. Consistent demand for and satisfaction with our products by our customers is critical to our financial condition and future success. Problems, issues, defects or dissatisfaction with our products could cause us to lose customers or revenues from leases with minimal notices. Additionally, our success depends on our ability to keep pace with technological advances in our industry and to adapt and improve our products in response to evolving customer needs and industry trends. If demand for our products weakens due to lack of market acceptance, technological change, increased competition, regulatory changes, or other factors, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

***Any disruption in our manufacturing processes, any significant increase in manufacturing costs or any inability to manufacture our products to meet demand could adversely affect our business and operating results.***

We manufacture our software and many related products ourselves. Should any of these manufacturing processes be disrupted we may be unable to timely remedy such disruption. In such a case, we may be unable to produce a sufficient quantity of our products to meet the demand of our customers. In addition, manufacturing costs may increase significantly and we may not be able to successfully recover these cost increases with increased pricing to our customers. Either case could have an adverse impact on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

***We operate in a very competitive business environment and if we do not adapt our approach and our products to meet this competitive environment, our business, results of operations or financial condition could be adversely impacted.***

There is intense competition in the gaming management and gaming products industry which is characterized by dynamic customer demand and rapid technological advances. Today, there are many systems providers in the U.S. and abroad offering casinos and gaming operators “total solution” casino management and table games management systems. As a result, we must continually adapt our approach and our products to meet this demand and match technological advances and if we cannot do so, our business results of operations or financial condition may be adversely impacted. Conversely, the development of new competitive products or the enhancement of existing competitive products in any market in which we operate could have an adverse impact on our business, results of operations or financial condition. If we are unable to remain dynamic in the face of changes in the market, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

***We are dependent on the success of our customers and are subject to industry fluctuations.***

Our success depends on our customers leasing or buying our products to expand their existing operations, replace existing gaming management products or equip a new casino. Any slowdown in the replacement cycle on the part of our customers may negatively impact our operations.

***If our products contain defects, our reputation could be harmed and our operating results and financial results could be adversely affected.***

Some of our products and our anticipated future products are complex and may contain defects that we do not detect. The occurrence of defects or malfunctions in one or more of our products could result in financial losses for our customers and in turn termination of leases, cancellation of orders, product returns and diversion of our resources, and could additionally result in lost revenues, civil damages and regulatory penalties, as well as possible rescission of product approvals. Any of these occurrences could also result in the loss of or delay in market acceptance of our products and loss of placements.



***We may not be able to attract, retain, or motivate the management or employees necessary to remain competitive in our industry.***

The competition for qualified personnel in the gaming industry is intense. Our future success depends on the retention and continued contributions of our key management, finance, marketing, development, technical and staff personnel, many of whom would be difficult or impossible to replace. Our success is also tied to our ability to recruit additional key personnel in the future. We may not be able to retain our current personnel or recruit any additional key personnel required. The loss of services of any of our personnel or our inability to recruit additional necessary key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

***We are highly dependent on the services provided by certain executives and key personnel.***

Our success depends in a significant part upon the continued service of certain senior management, and other key personnel. In particular, we are materially dependent upon the services of Chad Hoehne, the Company's Chief Technology Officer. If Mr. Hoehne should no longer serve the Company in his present capacities it would likely have a materially adverse impact on our business, financial condition and operations. Presently, the Company does not have an employment agreement with Mr. Hoehne, though the Company has secured "key person" term life insurance covering the life of Mr. Hoehne.

***Our common stock trades only in an illiquid trading market.***

Trading of our common stock is conducted on the over-the-counter markets—specifically on the OTCQB, a middle-tier quotation marketplace administered by OTC Markets (formerly known as The Pink Sheets). This generally has an adverse effect on the liquidity of our common stock, not only in terms of the number of shares that can be bought and sold at a given price, but also through delays in the timing of transactions and reduction in security analysts' and the media's coverage of our Company and its common stock. This may result in lower prices for our common stock than might otherwise be obtained and could also result in a larger spread between the bid and asked prices for our common stock.

***There is currently little trading volume in our common stock, which may make it difficult to sell shares of our common stock.***

In general, there has been very little trading activity in our common stock. The relatively small trading volume will likely make it difficult for our stockholders to sell their shares as and when they choose. Furthermore, small trading

volumes generally depress market prices. As a result, you may not always be able to resell shares of our common stock publicly at the time and prices that you feel are fair or appropriate.

**Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.**

Not applicable.

**Item 2. Properties.**

The Company has a lease on corporate office space in Minnetonka, Minnesota and took possession of the leased space in January 2011. The lease expires on June 30, 2016, and includes over 4,400 square feet of office and warehouse space. The monthly rent payment is approximately \$2,727 with periodic escalators to approximately \$3,103 per month, excluding operating expenses. The Company believes this space is adequate for its business needs and any future expansion.

**Item 3. Legal Proceedings.**

None.

**Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.**

Not applicable.

**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**

**Market Information:** The Company's common stock is quoted for trading on the OTCQB over-the-counter quotation service under the symbol "TBTC." The OTCQB is a middle-tier quotation marketplace operated by OTC Markets (formerly known as The Pink Sheets). Prior to February 22, 2011, the Company's common stock had been quoted for trading on the over-the-counter bulletin board (the OTCBB) under the trading symbol TBTC.OB. The following table sets forth the high and low bid prices for our common stock as reported by the OTCQB in 2013 and 2012. These quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, markdown, or commission, and may not represent actual transactions. Trading in the Company's common stock during the period represented was sporadic, exemplified by low trading volume and many days during which no trades occurred.

	2013	
Price per Share Calendar Year	High	Low
Annual Price per Share	\$ 1.15	\$ 0.50
First Quarter, January -March	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.50
Second Quarter, April - June	\$ 1.05	\$ 0.51
Third Quarter, July - September	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.70
Fourth Quarter, October - December	\$ 1.15	\$ 0.66

	2012	
Price per Share Calendar Year	High	Low
Annual Price per Share	\$ 1.05	\$ 0.25
First Quarter, January -March	\$ 1.05	\$ 0.25
Second Quarter, April - June	\$ 1.02	\$ 0.42
Third Quarter, July - September	\$ 1.00	\$ 0.26
Fourth Quarter, October - December	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.99

**Holders:** As of March 26, 2014, the Company had outstanding 4,774,805 shares of common stock held by approximately 70 holders of record.

**Dividends:** No dividends were declared or paid in 2013 or 2012.

**Securities Authorized Under Equity Compensation Plans:** The table below sets forth certain information, as of the close of business on December 31, 2013, regarding equity compensation plans (including individual compensation arrangements) under which securities of the Company were then authorized for issuance.

	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (excluding securities reflected in column a) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders (1)	60,000	\$ 1.75	0 <sup>(2)</sup>
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	0	N/A	0

(1) The equity plan previously approved by the shareholders, and pursuant to which the 60,000 common shares are issuable, terminated in accordance with the plan document in May 2011.

The Company is not required by applicable state law or the listing standards of any self-regulatory organization (2)(e.g., the OTC Markets (OTCQB), NASD, AMEX or NYSE) to obtain the approval of its security holders prior to issuing any compensatory options, warrants or other rights to purchase securities of the Company.

**Unregistered Sales of Securities:** During 2013, the Company made the following issuances and sales of unregistered securities:

In June 2013, the Company issued 7,500 shares of common stock to a director at \$.51 for a total director compensation expense of \$3,825. The expense is for services rendered during the period January 1 through June 30 2013, with \$3,825 total expense in 2013. The shares were issued pursuant to the exemption set forth in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act on the basis that all recipients of such shares were directors of the Company.

In December 2013, the Company issued 7,500 shares of common stock to a director at \$.90 for a total director compensation expense of \$6,750. The expense is for services rendered during the period July 1 through December 31 2013, with \$6,750 total expense in 2013. The shares were issued pursuant to the exemption set forth in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act on the basis that all recipients of such shares were directors of the Company.

**Description of Equity Securities:** The authorized capital stock of the Company consists of 25,000,000 shares of capital stock, \$0.001 par value per share. All shares of common stock have equal voting rights and are entitled to one vote per share on all matters to be voted upon by Company stockholders. Shares of Company common stock have no preemptive, subscription, conversion or redemption rights and may be issued only as fully-paid and non-assessable shares. Cumulative voting in the election of directors is not permitted. In the event of liquidation, each holder of common stock is entitled to receive a proportionate share of our assets available for distribution to stockholders after the payment of liabilities. All shares of common stock presently issued and outstanding are fully-paid and non-assessable.

#### **Item 6. Selected Financial Data.**

Not applicable.

#### **Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation.**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements and related notes that appear elsewhere in this filing.

## **CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

Some of the statements made in this report are “forward-looking statements,” as that term is defined under Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements are based upon our current expectations and projections about future events. Whenever used in this report, the words “believe,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “estimate,” “expect” and similar expressions, or the negative of such words and expressions, are intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain such words or expressions. The forward-looking statements in this report are primarily located in the material set forth under the headings “Description of Business,” “Risk Factors,” “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” but are found in other parts of this report as well. These forward-looking statements generally relate to our plans, objectives and expectations for future operations and are based upon management’s current estimates and projections of future results or trends. Although we believe that our plans and objectives reflected in or suggested by these forward-looking statements are reasonable, we may not achieve these plans or objectives. You should read this report completely and with the understanding that actual future results may be materially different from what we expect. We will not update forward-looking statements even though our situation may change in the future.

Some, but not all, of the factors that could cause actual results to differ from those implied by the forward-looking statements in this report are more fully described in the “Risk Factors” section of this report.

Industry data and other statistical information used in this report are based on independent publications, government publications, reports by market research firms or other published independent sources. Some data are also based on our good faith estimates, derived from our review of internal surveys and the independent sources listed above. Although we believe these sources are reliable, we have not independently verified the information.

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

The Company’s cash position at December 31, 2013 was \$1,038,288, an increase of \$428,598 from \$609,690 at December 31, 2012. Management believes that the Company has adequate cash to meet its obligations and continue operations for both existing customer contracts and ongoing product development for at least the next 12 months. The Company presently has no bank line of credit or other financing arrangements except for a note payable of \$8,180, which is expected to be paid in full in 2014. As a result, its sole sources of liquidity are cash, receivables and potentially other current assets. Management is not aware of any trends or any known demands, commitments, events or uncertainties that will result in or that are reasonably likely to result in the registrant’s liquidity increasing or decreasing in any material way.

Net cash flows provided by operating activities during the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$439,505 compared with net cash flows used in operating activities of (\$214,068) for the same period in 2012. This change of \$650,846 was caused primarily by improved income from operations in 2013 compared to 2012.

Net cash used in financing activities was (\$10,907) during the year ended December 31, 2013, and was the same amount for 2012.

On December 31, 2013, total stockholders’ equity was \$3,208,662. This compared to a stockholders’ equity of \$2,617,724 in 2012, which is an increase of \$590,938 or 22.5%.

The Company’s operations are not capital intensive. The basic product of the Company is computer software developed by its employees. Most manufacturing is done after the Company receives an order, so there is little product inventory held by the Company.

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012**

The most significant events that affected the 2013 results of operations were the Company's (1) installation of nine casino management systems at seven operating entities; (2) expansion into the California market and expansion efforts into several other U.S. markets; and (3) the completion of the R&D services contract early in 2013 in relation to the company's new content management system.

Inflation for the previous three years ended December 31, 2013 has been negligible, having no material effect on the Company's operations. Increased inflation may put the Company's cash holdings at risk for a loss of real value. As a result, the Company expects to periodically evaluate inflation pressure and take appropriate steps to place its available cash and cash equivalents into conservative and less inflation-sensitive investments.

A breakout of revenue by type is as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			
	2013	2012	2013	2012
			(percent of revenues)	
System sales	\$3,394,306	\$2,806,863	63.8 %	58.7 %
License and maintenance fees	994,776	1,118,120	18.7 %	23.4 %
Other sales	933,282	857,635	17.5 %	17.9 %
Total revenues	\$5,322,364	\$4,782,618	100.0%	100.0%



Revenues increased from \$4,782,618 in 2012 to \$5,322,364 in 2013. The increase of \$539,746 was due to new installations which affected 2013 revenues as well as installations which occurred in 2012 and had recurring monthly revenue recognition in 2013. System sales revenues increased from \$2,806,863 in 2012 to \$3,394,306 in 2013, a 20.9% increase of \$587,443, due to 2013 new system installations as well as 2012 financed contracts which had revenue recognition in 2013 of \$899,429 due to receipt of payments. Ongoing maintenance revenue has decreased from \$1,118,120 in 2012 to \$994,776 in 2013, an 11.0% decrease of \$123,344, due to the loss of one customer at the beginning of 2013. Other sales, which includes Cash IO kiosk sales, promotional kiosk software sales, and rental sales has increased from \$857,635 in 2012 to \$933,282 in 2013, an 8.8% increase of \$75,647.

Cost of sales increased to \$1,553,101 in 2013 from \$1,204,726 in 2012. The increase of \$348,375 was primarily due to more third party sales in 2013 compared to 2012, which have lower margins than system sales.

A breakout of cost of sales by type is as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,					
	2013	2012	2013		2012	
			(percent of revenues)			
System sales	1,076,801	\$ 800,249	20.2	%	16.7	%
License and maintenance fees	180,228	159,961	3.4	%	3.3	%
Other sales	296,072	244,516	5.6	%	5.1	%
Total cost of sales	\$ 1,553,101	\$ 1,204,726	29.2	%	25.2	%

Deferred revenues – short-term increased to \$44,950 in 2013 from \$22,409 in 2012. The balance represents down payments received for system installations on order at year-end and annual payments of maintenance. The deferred revenue is non-refundable and is recognized as revenue when the system installations are completed or as invoices are due. As of December 31, 2013, the Company was not in the process of actively installing any new Table Trac systems.

Deferred revenues – long-term increased to \$1,536,862 in 2013 from \$1,457,793 in 2012. The balance represents contracts which have been signed and invoiced, but revenue will be recognized and cash collected monthly over multiple years. The amount in 2013 represents three contracts which were signed and installed during the year combined with the contracts installed in 2012 which had deferred revenue remaining as of December 31, 2013.

The gross margin in 2013 was \$3,769,263 or 70.8% of sales compared with \$3,577,892 or 74.8% of sales in 2012. The decrease of gross margin was primarily due to higher other sales noted above in 2013 compared to 2012 which generally have a lower margin.

Total operating expenses decreased from \$3,616,539 in 2012 to \$2,965,006 in 2013. This 18.0% decrease of \$651,533 was primarily due the decrease of subcontracted research and development costs compared to 2012 as a result of the successful completion of the project at the end of 2012.

Interest / Other income decreased in 2013 to a net amount of \$95,561 from \$116,796 in 2012; the 18.2% decrease of \$21,235 is due to a lower amount of long-term financed contracts in 2013 compared to 2012.

The provision for income taxes was \$319,455 in 2013, for an effective rate of 35.5%, compared to a provision for income taxes of \$53,475 for an effective rate of 68.4% in 2012. The change in rates is primarily due to a 2012 profit for financial results and a loss for tax purposes that was carried forward to offset tax profits for 2013.

The net income for 2013 was \$580,363 compared to \$24,674 for 2012, which is an improvement of \$555,689.

The basic and diluted earnings per share in 2013 was \$0.12 compared to \$0.01 in 2012.

#### **OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

None.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The Company's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based upon its financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates these estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, bad debts, inventory valuation, intangible assets, and income taxes. The Company bases these estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that it believes are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. The estimates and judgments that the Company believes have the most effect on its reported financial position and results of operations are as follows:

### Revenue Recognition

The Company derives revenues from the sales of systems, licenses and maintenance fees, services, and rental agreements.

### *System Sales*

Revenue from systems that have been demonstrated to meet customer specifications during installation is recognized when evidence of an arrangement exists, the product has been installed, title and risk of loss have transferred to the customer and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. System sales, which are accounted for as multiple-element arrangements, include multiple products and/or services. For multiple-element arrangements, the Company allocates the revenue to each element based on their relative fair estimated value based on vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) and recognizes the associated revenue when all revenue recognition criteria have been met for each element. If there are contracts the Company does not have VSOE of fair value of all elements, revenue is deferred until the earlier of VSOE being determined or when all elements have been delivered.

The Company does offer its customers contracts with extended payment terms. The Company must evaluate if any extended payment terms in the contract is an indicator of the revenue not being fixed or determinable. Provided all other revenue recognition criteria have been satisfied, the Company recognizes the revenue if payment of a significant portion of the systems sales is due within 12 months of the delivery of the product. The Company also analyzes its standard business practice of using long-term contracts and the history of collecting on extended payment term contracts without making concessions for determining if revenue should be recognized. Revenue and associated

set-up costs are deferred if contract terms exceed historical collection results or if a substantial portion of the contract is not due within 12 months after delivery of the product. The Company analyzes each contract for proper revenue recognition based on that contracts facts and circumstances. Interest is recorded upon receipt to “other income” on the statements of operations.

*Maintenance revenue*

Maintenance revenue is recognized ratably over the contract period. The VSOE for maintenance is based upon the renewal rate for contracted services.

*Service revenue*

Service revenue is recognized after the services are performed and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. The VSOE for service revenue is established based upon prices for the services.

*Rental revenue*

The Company offers certain new customers a rental contract. Revenues are billed monthly based on a per-game per-day basis. There is an option to purchase the system after the rental agreement at a pre-determined residual value.

Accounts Receivable / Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount. Accounts receivable include regular customer receivables and amounts from financed contracts coming due within 12 months. Amounts from financed contracts due beyond 12 months are recorded as "Long-term accounts receivable – financed contracts." Interest is recorded upon receipt to other income on the statements of operations. An allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded when the Company believes the amounts may not be collected. Management believes that receivables, net of the allowance for doubtful accounts, are fully collectible. While the ultimate result may differ, management believes that any write-off not allowed for will not have a material impact on the Company's financial position.

Accounts receivable consisted of the following at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Accounts receivable under normal 30 day terms	\$ 1,322,680	\$ 2,047,563
Financed contracts:		
Short-term	332,209	266,375
Current portion of long-term	1,697,577	1,296,041
Long-term, net of current portion	904,410	732,376
Total accounts receivable	4,256,876	4,342,355
Less allowance for doubtful accounts	(112,054	) (663,511
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 4,144,822	\$ 3,678,844

Inventory

Inventory, consisting of finished goods, is stated at the lower of cost or market. The average cost method is used to value inventory. Inventory is reviewed annually for the lower of cost or market and obsolescence. Any material cost found to be above market value or considered obsolete is written down accordingly. The Company had no obsolescence reserve at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Long-lived Assets

The Company periodically assesses the recoverability of long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangible assets by reviewing for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying

amount of an asset to future un-discounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell.

### Stock-based Compensation

The Company recognizes the cost of stock-based compensation plans and awards in operations on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the awards. The Company measures and recognizes compensation expense for all stock-based payment awards made to employees and directors. The compensation expense for the Company's stock-based payments is based on estimated fair values using the Black-Scholes pricing model at the time of the grant.

### Income Taxes

Income taxes are provided for using the liability method of accounting. A deferred tax asset or liability is recorded for all temporary differences between financial and tax reporting. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax basis. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effect of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment.

**Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

Not applicable.

14

**Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.**

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Shareholders, Audit Committee and Board of Directors

Table Trac, Inc.

Minnetonka, MN

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Table Trac, Inc. as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of its internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Table Trac, Inc. as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the results of its operations and cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP

Minneapolis, Minnesota



March 26, 2014

15

**TABLE TRAC, INC.****BALANCE SHEETS**

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Cash	\$ 1,038,288	\$ 609,690
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$112,054 at December 31, 2013 and \$663,511 at December 31, 2012	3,240,412	2,946,468
Inventory	474,778	194,652
Prepaid expenses	146,102	125,849
Other current assets	631	7,175
Income taxes receivable	85,551	82,656
<b>TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS</b>	<b>4,985,762</b>	<b>3,966,490</b>
<b>LONG-TERM ASSETS</b>		
Patent, net	4,367	5,732
Property and equipment, net	10,953	27,744
System under rental program, net	4,759	34,771
Other long-term assets	428,500	358,980
Deferred tax asset	20,000	20,000
Long-term accounts receivable – financed contracts	904,410	732,376
<b>TOTAL LONG-TERM ASSETS</b>	<b>1,372,989</b>	<b>1,179,603</b>
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 6,358,751</b>	<b>\$ 5,146,093</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 567,051	\$ 359,018
Payroll liabilities	35,299	31,115
Current portion of note payable	8,180	10,907
Deferred revenue – short-term	44,950	22,409
Deferred tax liability	957,747	638,947
<b>TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>	<b>1,613,227</b>	<b>1,062,396</b>
<b>LONG-TERM LIABILITIES</b>		
Note payable, net of current portion	0	8,180
Deferred revenue – long-term	1,536,862	1,457,793
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>3,150,089</b>	<b>2,528,369</b>
<b>STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Common stock, 0.001 par value; 25,000,000 shares authorized: 4,774,805 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 4,759,805 at December 31, 2012	4,770	4,760

Edgar Filing: TABLE TRAC INC - Form 10-K

Additional paid-in capital	1,885,422	1,874,857
Retained earnings	1,319,892	739,529
	3,210,084	2,619,146
Treasury stock, 1,000 shares (at cost) at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012	(1,422 )	(1,422 )
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	3,208,662	2,617,724
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 6,358,751	\$ 5,146,093

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**TABLE TRAC, INC.**

## STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Revenues	\$5,322,364	\$4,782,618
Cost of sales	1,553,101	1,204,726
Gross profit	3,769,263	3,577,892
Operating Expenses:		
Selling, general and administrative	2,965,006	3,616,539
Income (loss) from operations	804,257	(38,647 )
Interest income	95,561	116,796
Income before taxes	899,818	78,149
Income tax expense	319,455	53,475
Net income	\$580,363	\$24,674
Basic earnings per common share	\$0.12	\$0.01
Weighted-average basic shares outstanding	4,764,120	4,726,110
Diluted earnings per common share	\$0.12	\$0.01
Weighted-average diluted shares outstanding	4,764,120	4,726,110

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**TABLE TRAC, INC.**

## STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Common Stock		Additional	Retained	Treasury	Total
	Number of	Amount	Paid-in	Earnings	Stock	
	Shares		Capital			
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2011	4,704,305	\$ 4,704	\$ 1,818,613	\$ 714,855	\$ (1,422 )	\$ 2,536,750
Common stock issued to board of directors for 2012 compensation	15,500	16	15,484	0	0	15,500
Common stock issued to employees for services	40,000	40	40,760	0	0	40,800
2012 Net Income	0	0	0	24,674	0	24,674
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2012	4,759,805	\$ 4,760	\$ 1,874,857	\$ 739,529	\$ (1,422 )	\$ 2,617,724
Common stock issued to board of directors for 2013 compensation	15,000	10	10,565	0	0	10,575
2013 Net Income	0	0	0	580,363	0	580,363
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2013	4,774,805	\$ 4,770	\$ 1,885,422	\$ 1,319,892	\$ (1,422 )	\$ 3,208,662

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

## TABLE TRAC, INC.

## STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Years Ended December	
	31,	2012
	2013	2012
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net income	\$580,363	\$24,674
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	48,168	58,239
Deferred income taxes	318,800	53,000
Allowance for doubtful accounts and bad debt write off	551,457	425,667
Stock issued for future services	0	24,000
Stock issued for services	10,575	56,300
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(1,017,435)	(1,059,565)
Inventory	(280,126 )	(44,059 )
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(83,229 )	(177,642 )
Income taxes receivable	(2,895 )	(7,973 )
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	208,033	223,562
Payroll liabilities	4,184	3,756
Deferred revenue	101,610	205,973
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	439,505	(214,068 )
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Payments on note payable	(10,907 )	(10,907 )
Net cash used in financing activities	(10,907 )	(10,907 )
<b>NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH</b>	<b>428,598</b>	<b>(224,975 )</b>
<b>CASH</b>		
Beginning of year	609,690	834,665
End of year	\$1,038,288	\$609,690
Cash received from (paid for) income taxes	\$3,550	\$(8,448 )

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**TABLE TRAC INC.**

**Notes to Financial Statements**

**December 31, 2013 and 2012**

**NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

Company

Table Trac, Inc. (the Company) was formed under the laws of the State of Nevada in June 1995. The Company has its offices in Minnetonka, Minnesota. The Company has developed and patented a proprietary information and management system that automates and monitors the operations of casino games.

The Company provides system sales and technical support to casinos. System sales include installation, custom casino system configuration and training. In addition, license and technical support are provided under an annual license and service contract.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Concentrations of Risk

*Cash Deposits in Excess of Federally Insured Limits*

Edgar Filing: TABLE TRAC INC - Form 10-K

The Company maintains its cash balances at two financial institutions. Accounts are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation up to \$250,000. The Company had approximately \$630,000 and \$353,000 of uninsured cash balances at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

*Major Customers*

The following table summarizes significant customer information for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	For the Years Ended December 31			
	2013		2012	
	% Sales	% AR	% Sales	% AR
A	27.2 %	21.4 %	13.2 %	10.3 %
B	0.0 %	0.0 %	10.0 %	14.5 %
C	6.9 %	2.8 %	8.5 %	10.4 %
D	0.8 %	0.0 %	9.8 %	12.8 %
E	10.3 %	6.4 %	7.1 %	18.0 %
F	1.1 %	0.3 %	14.0 %	9.2 %
G	3.2 %	7.2 %	2.7 %	10.4 %
H	2.3 %	13.4 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
All Others	48.2 %	48.5 %	34.7 %	14.4 %
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



## Revenue Recognition

The Company derives revenues from the sales of systems, licenses and maintenance fees, and services.

### *System Sales*

Revenue from systems that have been demonstrated to meet customer specifications during installation is recognized when evidence of an arrangement exists, the product has been installed, title and risk of loss have transferred to the customer and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. System sales, which are accounted for as multiple-element arrangements, include multiple products and/or services. For multiple-element arrangements, the Company allocates the revenue to each element based on their relative fair estimated value based on vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) and recognizes the associated revenue when all revenue recognition criteria have been met for each element. If there are contracts the Company does not have VSOE of fair value of all elements, revenue is deferred until the earlier of VSOE being determined or when all elements have been delivered.

The Company does offer its customers contracts with extended payment terms. The Company must evaluate if any extended payment terms in the contract is an indicator of the revenue not being fixed or determinable. Provided all other revenue recognition criteria have been satisfied, the Company recognizes the revenue if payment of a significant portion of the systems sales is due within 12 months of the delivery of the product. The Company also analyzes its standard business practice of using long-term contracts and the history of collecting on extended payment term contracts without making concessions for determining if revenue should be recognized. Revenue and associated set-up costs are deferred if contract terms exceed historical collection results or if a substantial portion of the contract is not due within 12 months after delivery of the product. The Company analyzes each contract for proper revenue recognition based on that contract's facts and circumstances. Interest is recorded upon receipt to "other income" on the statements of operations.

### *Maintenance revenue*

Maintenance revenue is recognized ratably over the contract period. The VSOE for maintenance is based upon the renewal rate for contracted services.

### *Service revenue*

Service revenue is recognized after the services are performed and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. The VSOE for service revenue is established based upon prices for the services.

#### *Rental revenue*

The Company offers certain new customers a rental contract. Revenues are billed monthly based on a per-game per-day basis. There is an option to purchase the system after the rental agreement at a pre-determined residual value.

#### Deferred System Sales Costs

Deferred system sales costs consist of installed system costs incurred on participation-based contracts. These costs are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the contract which is generally 18-105 months beginning when revenues are generated. At the end of the contract period, the customer will typically receive title to the system.

#### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses. Fair value estimates are at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information about the financial instrument. These estimates are subjective in nature and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. The Company considers the carrying values of its financial instruments to approximate fair value due to their short-term nature.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company held no cash equivalents at December 31, 2013 or 2012.

### Accounts Receivable / Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount. Accounts receivable include unsecured regular customer receivables and unsecured amounts from financed contracts coming due within 12 months. Amounts from financed contracts due beyond 12 months are recorded as "Long-term accounts receivable – financed contracts." Interest is recorded upon receipt to other income on the statements of operations. An allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded when the Company believes the amounts may not be collected. Management believes that receivables, net of the allowance for doubtful accounts, are fully collectible. Accounts receivable are written off when management determines collection is no longer likely. While the ultimate result may differ, management believes that any write-off not allowed for will not have a material impact on the Company's financial position.

### Inventory

Inventory, consisting of finished goods, is stated at the lower of cost or market. The average cost method is used to value inventory. Inventory is reviewed annually for the lower of cost or market and obsolescence. Any material cost found to be above market value or considered obsolete is written down accordingly. The Company had no obsolescence reserve at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

### Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost and are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets which range from two to five years. Repair and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred; major renewals and improvements are capitalized. As items of property or equipment are sold or retired, the related cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is included in operating income.

### Intangible Asset

The Company has a patent number 5,957,776 relating to its table game management system. Expenses incurred in obtaining this patent are carried at cost and are being amortized over seventeen years using the straight-line method. Total patent costs were \$23,472 with a net book value of \$4,367 and \$5,732 as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The amortization expense was \$1,365 for each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. Future amortization is \$1,365 for each of the years ending 2014 through 2015 and \$1,637 for the year ending 2016.

#### Long-lived Assets

The Company periodically assesses the recoverability of long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangible assets by reviewing for potential impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell.

#### Income Taxes

Income taxes are provided for using the liability method of accounting. A deferred tax asset or liability is recorded for all temporary differences between financial and tax reporting. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax basis. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effect of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment.

The Company accounts for income taxes pursuant to Financial Accounting Standards Board guidance. This guidance prescribes a recognition threshold and a measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. For those benefits to be recognized, a tax position must be more-likely-than not (a greater than 50 percent likelihood of being realized) to be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities. The Company believes its income tax filing positions and deductions will be sustained upon examination and, accordingly, no reserves, or related accruals for interest and penalties have been recorded at December 31, 2013 and 2012. In accordance with the guidance, the Company has adopted a policy under which, if required to be recognized in the future, interest and penalties related to the underpayment of income taxes will be classified in income taxes in the statements of operations. The Company has three open years of tax returns subject to examination.

### Research and Development

Expenditures for research and product development costs are expensed as incurred. Research and development expenses were \$24,527 and \$377,516 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and is included in selling, general and administrative expenses on the statements of operations.

### Stock-based Compensation

The Company recognizes the cost of stock-based compensation plans and awards in operations on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the awards. The Company measures and recognizes compensation expense for all stock-based payment awards made to employees and directors. The compensation expense for the Company's stock-based payments is based on estimated fair values at the time of the grant.

The Company estimates the fair value of stock-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option pricing model. These option pricing models involve a number of assumptions, including the expected lives of stock options, the volatility of the public market price for the Company's common stock and interest rates. Stock-based compensation expense recognized during the period is based on the value of the portion of stock-based payment awards that are ultimately expected to vest.

There were no stock options issued during 2013 and 2012. The stock option plan expired on May 16, 2011.

Stock-based compensation expense related to options was \$0 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. The Company estimates the amount of future stock-based compensation expense related to outstanding options to be \$0.

### Segment Reporting

The Company operates as one reporting segment.

### Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) includes net income (loss) and items defined as other comprehensive income (loss). Items defined as other comprehensive income (loss) include such items as foreign currency translation adjustments and unrealized gains (losses) on certain marketable securities. For the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had no items defined as other comprehensive income (loss).

### Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted earnings per share is computed similar to basic earnings per share except that the weighted average shares outstanding are increased to include additional shares from the assumed exercise of stock options or warrants, if dilutive. The number of additional shares is calculated by assuming that outstanding stock options or warrants were exercised and that the proceeds from the exercise were used to acquire shares of common stock at the average market price during the reporting period. (See Note 8)

**NOTE 2. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE**

Accounts receivable consisted of the following at:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Accounts receivable under normal 30 day terms	\$ 1,322,680	\$ 2,047,563
Financed contracts:		
Short-term	332,209	266,375
Current portion of long-term	1,697,577	1,296,041
Long-term, net of current portion	904,410	732,376
Total accounts receivable	4,256,876	4,342,355
Less allowance for doubtful accounts	(112,054	) (663,511
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 4,144,822	\$ 3,678,844

The allowance for financed and trade receivable represents management's estimate of probable losses in our trade and financed receivables as of the date of the financial statements. The allowance provides for probable losses that have been identified with specific customer relationships and for probable losses believed to be inherent of the trade and financed receivables but have not been specifically identified.

Included in Accounts receivable - Financed contracts at December 31, 2013 and 2012 is \$3,934,196 and \$2,294,792 with an offset to deferred revenues on the balance sheet of \$1,536,862 and \$1,457,793 at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

A roll-forward of the Company's allowance for doubtful accounts for the years ended is as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2013	For the Year Ended December 31, 2012
Accounts receivable allowance, beginning of year	\$ 663,511	\$ 237,844
Provision adjustment during year	(18,315	) 425,667
Write-off	(533,142	) 0
Accounts receivable allowance, end of year	\$ 112,054	\$ 663,511

The allowance for doubtful accounts as of December 31, 2013 is \$112,054 for the trade receivables and \$0 for the financed contracts. The allowance for doubtful accounts as of December 31, 2012 is \$339,308 for the trade receivables and \$324,203 for the financed contracts.

**NOTE 3. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

Property and equipment consists of the following at:

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012
Office equipment	\$ 26,990		\$ 26,990
Vehicles	77,374		77,374
	104,364		104,364
Less: accumulated depreciation	(93,411	)	(76,620
Property and equipment, net	\$ 10,953		\$ 27,744
Rental Equipment	\$ 90,044		\$ 90,044
Less: accumulated depreciation	(85,285	)	(55,273
Systems under rental program, net	\$ 4,759		\$ 34,771

Depreciation expense totaled \$46,803 and \$56,874 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.



#### **NOTE 4. LONG-TERM DEBT**

The Company entered into a \$32,720 term note agreement with Nissan Motor Acceptance Company in September 2012. The note is payable in monthly installments of \$909 and is non-interest bearing. The note is secured by the vehicle, and expires in September 2014. The outstanding balance of the note was \$8,180 at December 31, 2013.

Future maturities of long-term debt for the year ending December 31, 2014 is \$8,180.

#### **NOTE 5. OPERATING LEASES**

The Company has on corporate office space in Minnetonka, Minnesota, which expires in June 30 2016. This lease has rent escalations from \$2,727 to \$3,103 per month, excluding operating expenses. Future minimum lease payments are as follows:

2014	35,376
2015	36,305
2016	21,719
Total	\$93,400

Rent expense was \$31,356 each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

#### **NOTE 6. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

##### Common Stock

In April 2011, the Company issued 37,000 shares of common stock to directors at \$1.50 per share for a total director compensation expense of \$55,500. The expense is for services rendered during the period from April 2011 through March 2012, with \$6,000 total expense in 2012.

Edgar Filing: TABLE TRAC INC - Form 10-K

In July 2011, the Company issued 36,000 shares of common stock to directors at \$1.00 for a total director compensation expense of \$36,000. The expense is for services rendered during the period from July 2011 through June 2012, with \$18,000 total expense in 2012.

In July 2012, the Company issued 40,000 shares of common stock to employees at \$1.02 per share for a total compensation expense of \$40,800 total expense in 2012.

In December 2012, the Company issued 15,500 shares of common stock to directors at \$1.00 for a total director compensation expense of \$15,500. The expense is for services rendered during 2012, with \$15,500 expense in 2012.

In June 2013, the Company issued 7,500 shares of common stock to directors at \$.51 for a total director compensation expense of \$3,825. The expense is for services rendered in 2013, with \$3,825 total expense in 2013.

In December 2013, the Company issued 7,500 shares of common stock to directors at \$.90 for a total director compensation expense of \$6,750. The expense is for services rendered in 2013, with \$6,750 total expense in 2013.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company holds 1,000 common stock shares in treasury at a total cost of \$1,422 for future employee issuances under the bonus program which was part of the 2009 repurchase of shares.

Stock Options

In October 2001, the Company implemented an Employee Stock Incentive Plan, which was approved by the shareholders at the annual meeting held in September 2001. This plan provides for the issuance of options to employees to purchase shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price at least equal to the fair value of the Company's common stock at the grant date. Options may be exercisable for a period of up to six years from the date of grant. The Company has reserved 1,000,000 shares of its common stock for potential issuance under this plan. Due to expiration of the plan, there are no stock options available for grants.

In November 2010, the Company issued 70,000 fully vested five-year employee stock options with an exercise price of \$1.75 per share.

The following is a summary of all activity involving options for the years ended December 31:

	Outstanding and Exercisable Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Remaining Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Balance, December 31, 2011	70,000	1.750	4	\$ -
Granted	0			
Exercised	0			
Cancelled	10,000			
Balance, December 31, 2012	60,000	1.750	3	\$ -
Granted	0			
Exercised	0			
Cancelled	0			
Balance, December 31, 2013	60,000	1.750	2	\$ -

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table represents the difference between the closing stock price on December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2013 and 2012. Total fair value of options vested during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$0.

The Company issues new shares when stock options are exercised.

**NOTE 7. INCOME TAXES –**

The income tax provision consists of the following for the years ended December 31:

	2013	2012
Current tax expense	\$655	\$475
Deferred tax expense	318,800	53,000
Total income tax expense	\$319,455	\$53,475

The reconciliation between expected federal income tax rates and the Company's effective federal tax rates is as follows:

	2013		2012	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Expected federal tax	\$305,900	34.0 %	\$27,875	34.0 %
Permanent timing differences	8,900	1.0 %	11,700	14.3 %
State income tax, net of federal tax benefit	11,600	1.3 %	2,400	2.9 %
Other	(6,945 )	(.8 %)	11,500	14.0 %
Total	\$319,455	35.5 %	\$53,475	65.2 %

The following table summarizes the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2013	2012
Current deferred tax asset (liabilities):		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$78,000	\$99,000
Accounts receivable	(1,573,000)	(1,649,000)
Allowance for doubtful accounts	41,000	252,000
Prepaid expenses	(54,000 )	(48,000 )
Deferred revenue	427,000	427,000
NOL - federal	123,253	280,053
Net current deferred tax liability	(957,747 )	(638,947 )
Long-term deferred tax asset (liability):		
NOL - State	10,000	23,000
Book - Tax depreciation	10,000	(3,000 )
Net long-term deferred tax asset (liability)	20,000	20,000
Net deferred tax liability	\$(937,747 )	\$(618,947 )

The net federal operating loss carryforward at December 31, 2013 was approximately \$363,000 expiring in 2031 and the state net operating loss carryforward is approximately \$344,000 which starts expiring in 2025. An allowance for net operating loss carryforward is recorded when the Company believes the amount may not be collected or fully utilized. Management believes the net operating loss carryforward, net of the allowance, is fully collectible or fully utilized. Management believes that any write-off not allowed for will not have a material impact on the Company's financial position.

#### NOTE 8. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Earnings per share is computed under two different methods, basic and diluted, and is presented for all periods in which statements of operations are presented. Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock and common stock equivalents outstanding.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators used in calculating basic and diluted earnings per share for years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Basic earnings per share calculation:		
Net income to common stockholders	\$580,363	\$24,674
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	4,764,120	4,726,110
Basic net income per share	\$0.12	\$0.01
Diluted earnings per share calculation:		
Net income	\$580,363	\$24,674
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	4,764,120	4,726,110
Common stock equivalents:		
Stock options	(1 )	(1 )
Weighted average diluted shares outstanding	4,764,120	4,726,110
Diluted net income per share	\$0.12	\$0.01

Stock options outstanding of (1) 60,000 were not included in the calculation as they would have been anti-dilutive.

#### **NOTE 9. GEOGRAPHIC CONCENTRATIONS**

The Company sells its technologies and services to casinos in the United States, the Caribbean and countries in both Central and South America. For 2013 and 2012, 93% and 87% of the Company's revenues were from the United States, 1% and 5% from the Caribbean, 1% and 1% from Central America, and 5% and 7% from South America, respectively. For 2013 and 2012, 87% and 74% of the Company's accounts receivable were from the United States, 1% and 2% from the Caribbean, 1% and 5% from Central America, and 11% and 19% from South America, respectively.

#### **Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.**

None

#### **Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.**

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in reports filed pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In addition, The Company contracts with an independent firm to review and test its internal controls. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.



As of December 31, 2013, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded the disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of December 31, 2013.

## **REPORT OF MANAGEMENT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. The Company has designed internal controls to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The Company assesses the effectiveness of internal controls based on the criteria set forth in the 1992 Internal Control - Integrated Framework developed by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. It should be noted that any system of internal control, however well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the system will be met. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management has concluded that its internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013.

There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarterly period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, internal control over financial reporting.

### **Item 9B. Other Information.**

None.

**PART III**

**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.**

**MANAGEMENT**

The executive officers and directors of the Company, with a brief description, are as follows:

<p>Glenn Goulet</p>	<p>55</p>	<p>CEO, Director</p>	<p>Mr. Goulet became the Chief Executive Officer in July 2011 after serving as the Executive Vice President for Sales and Marketing since August 2010. Prior to joining Table Trac he served in management positions at both GTECH and INTRALOT, two leading gaming system technology providers with worldwide operations. From 2000 to 2005 he served as Senior Vice President at Multimedia Games, a leading game manufacturer and casino management system provider. In June 2005, Mr. Goulet founded Gaming Strategies + Insights, LLC, a market research firm focused on the gaming industry. He began his career as a market researcher with ABC News, the Republican National Committee and Market Strategies, a leading political polling firm.</p> <p>Mr. Goulet has been a director at Table Trac from December 2009 to August 2010 and since December 2011.</p>
<p>Chad B. Hoehne</p>	<p>52</p>	<p>President, Director</p>	<p>Mr. Hoehne is the President and founder of the Company. He has a B.S. degree in Business Administration, Finance and computer minor from Minnesota State University. Mr. Hoehne founded Table Trac, Inc. in 1994 after working nine years for a successful Minneapolis electronics manufacturer and software company.</p> <p>Mr. Hoehne has been on the board since the Company's founding.</p>
<p>Brian Hinchley</p>	<p>48</p>	<p>CFO</p>	<p>Mr. Hinchley is the Chief Financial Officer of the Company. He has a B.A. degree in Business Administration/Accounting from the University of St. Thomas in St. Paul, Minnesota. Prior to joining Table Trac, Mr. Hinchley was the Chief Financial Officer from September 1998 to May 2011 of two privately owned international software companies, Intercim, LLC and WorkWise, Inc. Mr. Hinchley also served in accounting roles for Griffin Companies (a commercial real estate company) from May 1992 to August 1998.</p> <p>Mr. Hinchley has been the Company's CFO since June 2011.</p>



Robert R. Siqueland 69 Corporate Secretary

Mr. Siqueland is employed by Table Trac, Inc. as Executive Assistant. Mr. Siqueland has served as Corporate Secretary on the Board of Directors since 1999. Prior to joining Table Trac, Mr. Siqueland was an investment advisor with Summit Investment and venture capitalist with Property Growth Company for 25 years, providing “seed capital” and management to over 30 companies.

Mr. Siqueland has been a director at Table Trac from 1999 through 2011.

Steven A. Browne 58 Director, Chairman of the Board

Mr. Steve Browne has been involved in the gaming industry since the late 1970s and has been involved with companies as Del Webb’s Sahara Tahoe, the Eldorado, and Club Cal-Neva in northern Nevada. He worked in many positions at all levels, primarily in the area of table games management and operations. In 1988, Mr. Browne and two partners purchased Cactus Jacks Casino in Carson City, Nevada. He spent the next ten years as Treasurer and General Manager of that property. During that period, Steve was instrumental in developing a unique, customer-driven marketing and service program that took an underperforming casino down the road to seven years of double-digit growth. In 1997, he stepped down as General Manager and sold his interest in the casino. Since that time, Mr. Browne has developed a successful consulting practice specializing in the areas of customer service, player development, and casino operations. He works extensively with casino clients across North America and overseas. Mr. Browne is the author of two books, *Gambling And Service: The Complete Book On Casinos, Customer Service, And Selling An Entertainment Experience That Enriches People’s Lives*, and *The Math of Player Development*. He is also the author of several complete Service and Sales Training Programs for gaming employees and managers. Mr. Browne has been instrumental in leading the charge to developing customer service and customer-focused marketing as a competitive edge in today’s fiercely contested gaming markets.

Mr. Browne has been a director at Table Trac since December 2010.

Louis Fornetti 64 Director, Chair of the Audit Committee

Mr. Fornetti has many years of experience in finance and corporate governance. Mr. Fornetti has served on the Board of Directors of Saxon Mortgage Corporation (NYSE) (2005-2006), American Medical Security (NYSE) (2003-2004), Stockwalk Corporation (NASDAQ) (2001), and American Express Financial Advisors (1988-1995). Mr. Fornetti has also served on the Board of Directors of Othnet, Inc. (a private software development corporation) and IPool Corporation (a private consumer advocacy corporation). From 2004 to present, Mr. Fornetti has been a business advisor and consultant. His prior work experience includes service as the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of RBC Dain Rauscher (1995-1997), Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of American Express Financial Advisors (1992-1995), corporate controller of American Express Financial Advisors (1985-1992), Vice President and Corporate Controller of St. Paul Travelers (f/k/a The St. Paul Companies, Inc.) (1979-1985), and audit manager at KPMG (Peat Marwick) (1972-1979). Mr. Fornetti received his B.A. from Northern Michigan and a CPA certificate from the State of Minnesota in 1974.

Mr. Fornetti has been a director at Table Trac since June 2011.



Gary  
Loebig 65 Director, Chair  
of the  
Compensation  
Committee

Gary Loebig has nearly 30 years of experience in Class II and Class III gaming. Mr. Loebig is the Principal and a Founder of GLL Consulting, a consulting services company specializing in sales, marketing and product development and regulatory matters for Class II, Class III, Lottery, Charitable and Commercial Gaming market segments. Mr. Loebig currently is a Managing Director of a Limited Liability Corporation involved in game development; as well as Chief Compliance Office for an Internet gaming company. From 1998-2008, Mr. Loebig served in various positions with Multimedia Games (NASDAQ), including Executive Vice Present-Sales and Interim Chief Executive Officer, on a variety of issues including Class II business strategies and new business development. Mr. Loebig has also held executive management positions at Stuart Entertainment, Inc. (NASDAQ), where he served as the corporation's Senior Vice President-Market and Product Development; and at Directory Service Company (a private printing, publishing and advertising corporation) where he served as Vice President-Sales and Marketing. Mr. Loebig has a BBA and MBA degree from the University of Iowa.

Mr. Loebig has been a director at Table Trac since June 2011.

When considering whether directors and nominees have the experience, qualifications, attributes and skills to enable the Board of Directors to satisfy its oversight responsibilities effectively in light of the Company's business and structure, the Board of Directors focuses primarily on the industry and transactional experience, and other background, in addition to any unique skills or attributes associated with a director. With regard to Mr. Goulet, the Board of Directors considered his position as Chief Executive Officer along with his experience and industry knowledge. As for Mr. Hoehne, the Company's founder and chief technology architect of the Company's technology products and overall system architecture, his technical expertise and knowledge represents a significant asset in terms of positioning the products for the future. With regard to Mr. Fornetti, the Board of Directors considered his extensive background in corporate governance and finance. With regard to Mr. Loebig, the Board of Directors considered his demonstrated leadership and past experience as a Chief Executive Officer and senior executive in the gaming industry for a publicly-traded company; along with his regulatory experience as it relates to gaming matters. Finally, with regard to Mr. Browne, the Board of Directors considered his extensive experience in the gaming industry in general and his skills at helping businesses develop a more customer-focused enterprise.

The directors of the Company are elected annually by the stockholders for a term of one year or until their successors are elected and qualified. The board officially meets at least once a year following the annual stockholders meeting.

## **INVOLVEMENT IN CERTAIN LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

During the past ten years, no officer, director, control person or promoter of the Company has been:

involved in any petition under the federal bankruptcy laws or any state insolvency law that was filed by or against, or a receiver, fiscal agent or similar officer appointed by a court for the business or property of such person, or any partnership in which he was a general partner at or within two years, or any corporation or business association of which he was an executive officer at or within two years within the date of this report;

convicted in a criminal proceeding or named subject of a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses);

the subject of any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining him from, or otherwise limiting, the following activities: (1) acting as a futures commission merchant, introducing broker, commodity trading advisor, commodity pool operator, floor broker, leverage transaction merchant, any other person regulated by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, or an associated person of any of the foregoing, or as an investment adviser, underwriter, broker or dealer in securities, or as an affiliated person, director or employee of any investment company, bank, savings and loan association or insurance company, or engaging in or continuing any conduct or practice in connection with such activity; (2) engaging in any type of business practice; or (3) engaging in any activity in connection with the purchase or sale of any security or commodity or in connection with any violation of federal or state securities laws or federal commodities laws;

the subject of any order, judgment or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any federal or state authority barring, suspending or otherwise limiting for more than 60 days the right of such person to engage in any activity described in paragraph (f)(3)(i) of this section, or to be associated with persons engaged in any such activity;

found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the SEC to have violated any federal or state securities law, and the judgment in such civil action or finding by the SEC has not been subsequently reversed, suspended, or vacated;

found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated any federal commodities law, and the judgment in such civil action or finding by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission has not been subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated;

the subject of, or a party to, any federal or state judicial or administrative order, judgment, decree, or finding, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, relating to an alleged violation of: (1) any federal or state securities or commodities law or regulation; or (2) any law or regulation respecting financial institutions or insurance companies including, but not limited to, a temporary or permanent injunction, order of disgorgement or restitution, civil money penalty or temporary or permanent cease-and-desist order, or removal or prohibition order; or (3) any law or regulation prohibiting mail or wire fraud or fraud in connection with any business entity; or

the subject of, or a party to, any sanction or order, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any self-regulatory organization (as defined in Section 3(a)(26) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(26))), any registered entity (as defined in Section 1(a)(29) of the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. 1(a)(29))), or any equivalent exchange, association, entity or organization that has disciplinary authority over its members or persons associated with a member.



## **AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT**

The Board of Directors has determined that at least one member of the Audit Committee, Mr. Louis Fornetti, is an “audit committee financial expert” as that term is defined in Regulation S-K promulgated under the Exchange Act. Mr. Fornetti’s relevant experience is detailed above. Mr. Fornetti qualifies as an “independent director,” as such term is defined in Section 5605(a)(2) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules, and meets the criteria for independence set forth in Rule 10A-3(b)(1) under the Exchange Act. The Board of Directors has determined each member of the Audit Committee is able to read and understand fundamental financial statements and that at least one member of the Audit Committee has past experience in finance or accounting matters.

## **CODE OF ETHICS**

We have adopted a Code of Ethics that governs the conduct of our officers, directors and employees in order to promote honesty, integrity, loyalty and the accuracy of our financial statements. You may obtain a copy of the Code of Ethics without charge by writing us and requesting a copy, attention: Brian Hinchley, 6101 Baker Road, Suite 206, Minnetonka, Minnesota 55345. You may also request a copy by calling us at (952) 548-8877.

## **COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company’s officers, directors and persons considered to be beneficial owners of more than ten percent of a registered class of the Company’s equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission and Nasdaq. Officers, directors and greater-than-ten-percent shareholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based solely on a review of the copies of such forms furnished to the Company by its officers and directors, or the Company’s actual knowledge of transactions involving such officers and directors, the Company believes that all such filings were filed on a timely basis for fiscal year 2013.

**Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.****SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

The following table sets forth the cash and non-cash compensation for awarded to or earned by: (i) each individual who served as the principal executive officer and principal financial officer of Table Trac during the year ended December 31, 2013; and (ii) each other individual that served as an executive officer of Table Trac at the conclusion of the year ended December 31, 2013 and who received more than \$100,000 in the form of salary and bonus during such fiscal year. For purposes of this report, these individuals are collectively the “named executives” of the Company.

Name, Principal Position		Salary	Stock Awards		Stock Option Awards	Total
Chad Hoehne, President	2013	\$247,192	\$ 0		0	\$247,192
	2012	238,038	0		0	238,038
Glenn Goulet, CEO	2013	179,624	0		0	179,624
	2012	173,090	25,500	(1)	0	198,590
Brian Hinchley, CFO	2013	141,992	0		0	141,992
	2012	136,469	15,300	(1)	0	151,769

(1) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 with respect to a stock grant to the named executive for services during the year indicated.

**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR END**

The Company had no stock awards outstanding at December 31, 2013 for any named executives. The following table sets forth information for options outstanding at December 31, 2013 for our named executives.

**OPTION AWARDS**

Name	Number of Securities underlying unexercised options (#) exercisable	Number of Securities underlying unexercised options (#) unexercisable	Equity incentive plan awards: Number of securities underlying	Option exercise price (\$)	Option expiration date
------	---	---	---	----------------------------	------------------------

			unexercised unearned options (#)		
Glenn Goulet	50,000	0	0	\$ 1.75	Nov-2016

**EMPLOYMENT AND CHANGE-IN-CONTROL AGREEMENTS**

We do not currently have any employment or change-in-control agreements with any named executives or any other current members of our executive management.

As of the date of this Annual Report, Table Trac Inc. does not offer its executive employees any pension, annuity, profit sharing or similar benefit plans other than our insurance and Stock Incentive Plan. Executive compensation is subject to change from time to time concurrent with our requirements and policies as established by the Board of Directors and its Compensation Committee.

**COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS**

Name		Compensation	Stock Awards	Stock Option Awards	Total
Chad Hoehne	2013	\$ 0	\$0	\$ 0	\$0
Glenn Goulet <sup>(1)</sup>	2013	0	0	0	0
Steven Browne <sup>(2)</sup>	2013	10,500	10,575	0	21,075
Louis Fornetti <sup>(3)</sup>	2013	31,500	0	0	31,500
Gary Loebig <sup>(4)</sup>	2013	24,000	0	0	24,000

(1) Mr. Goulet joined the Board of Directors on December 8, 2011.

(2) Mr. Browne joined the Board of Directors on December 16, 2010.

(3) Mr. Fornetti joined the Board of Directors on June 15, 2011.

(4) Mr. Loebig joined the Board of Directors on June 15, 2011.

Company directors are compensated on an annual award approved by the board and reimbursed for their actual expenses incurred in connection with attending board meetings or discharging their duties as directors.

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management.**

As of the close of business on March 26, 2014, we had outstanding one class of voting securities—common stock—of which there were 4,774,805 shares issued and outstanding. Each share of common stock is currently entitled to one vote on all matters put to a vote of our shareholders. The following table sets forth the number of common shares, and percentage of outstanding common shares, beneficially owned as of March 26, 2014, by:

each person known by the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of the Company's outstanding common stock

each current director

each executive officer of the Company and other persons identified as a named executive in ITEM 11 above, and all current executive officers and directors as a group.

Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each of the following persons is 6101 Baker Road, Suite 206, Minnetonka, Minnesota 55345, and each such person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares set forth opposite his, her or its name.

Edgar Filing: TABLE TRAC INC - Form 10-K

Name and Address	Common Shares <b>Beneficially Owned</b> <sup>(1)</sup>	Percentage of Common Shares <sup>(1)</sup>	
Chad Hoehne <sup>(2)</sup>	1,306,100	27.35	%
Robert Siqveland <sup>(3)</sup>	206,500	4.32	%
Glenn Goulet <sup>(4)</sup>	125,571	2.63	%
Brian Hinchley <sup>(5)</sup>	25,000	*	
Steve A. Browne, Director <sup>(6)</sup>	46,500	*	
Louis Fornetti, Director <sup>(7)</sup>	21,000	*	
Gary Loebig, Director <sup>(8)</sup>	15,000	*	
All directors and officers as a group <sup>(9)</sup>	1,745,671	36.56	%
Doucet Capital, LLC <sup>(10)</sup> 2204 Lakeshore Drive, Suite 218 Birmingham, AL 35209	475,860	9.97	%

\* denotes less than one percent.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC, and includes general voting power and/or investment power with respect to securities. Shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options or warrants that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of the record date, and shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of other securities currently convertible or convertible within 60 days, are deemed outstanding for computing the beneficial ownership percentage of the person holding such securities but are not deemed outstanding for computing the beneficial ownership percentage of any other person. Under the applicable (1)SEC rules, each person's beneficial ownership is calculated by dividing the total number of shares with respect to which they possess beneficial ownership by the total number of outstanding shares of the Company. In any case where an individual has beneficial ownership over securities that are not outstanding, but are issuable upon the exercise of options or warrants or similar rights within the next 60 days, that same number of shares is added to the denominator in the calculation described above. Because the calculation of each person's beneficial ownership set forth in the "Percentage of Common Shares" column of the table may include shares that are not presently outstanding, the sum total of the percentages set forth in such column may exceed 100%.

(2) Mr. Hoehne is the President and a director of the Company.

(3) Mr. Siqveland is an officer of the Company and has served as a director.

(4) Mr. Goulet is the Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Company. Shares listed in the table include 50,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of an outstanding option.

(5) Mr. Hinchley is an officer of the Company.

(6) Mr. Browne is a director of the Company.

(7) Mr. Fornetti is a director of the Company.

(8) Mr. Loebig is a director of the Company.

(9) Consists of seven persons: Messrs. Hoehne, Siqveland, Goulet, Hinchley, Browne, Fornetti and Loebig.

(10) Share figures reflected in the table are based on a March 13, 2014 communication with Doucet Asset Management LLC, which is the Company's most recent and best available information relating to Doucet Capital's ownership of Company common stock. Based on the above-reference previous Schedule 13/G filings, voting and dispositive power with respect to these shares is exercised by Doucet Asset Management LLC.

**Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.**

**CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND TRANSACTIONS**

None.

**DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**

The Company does not have a standing nominating committee. Instead, the entire Board of Directors shares the responsibility of identifying potential director-nominees to serve on the Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors does have a standing Compensation Committee and Audit Committee. The Compensation Committee is composed of Messrs. Browne, Fornetti and Loebig (with Mr. Loebig serving as chairperson). The Audit Committee is composed of Messrs. Fornetti, Browne and Loebig (with Mr. Fornetti serving as chairperson). The Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Browne, Fornetti and Loebig are “independent,” as such term is defined in Section 5605(a)(2) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules, and meets the criteria for independence set forth in Rule 10A-3(b)(1) under the Exchange Act. The preceding disclosure respecting director independence is required under applicable SEC rules. However, as a corporation whose shares are listed for trading on the OTCQB, the Company is not required to have any independent directors at all on its Board of Directors, or any independent directors serving on any particular committees of the Board of Directors.

**Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES**

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP, billed for the following services:

	2013	2012
Audit fees, including quarterly review of Form 10-Q	\$43,859	\$42,814
Tax fees	-	-
Audit-related fees	-	-
	\$43,859	\$42,814

The audit fees consisted of fees for the annual audit of the Company's financial statements and the reviews of financial statements in quarterly reports on Form 10-Q.

Our board of directors evaluates the scope and cost of the engagement of an auditor before the auditor renders audit and non-audit services. All services provided by the independent auditors during 2013 and 2012 have been approved by the Audit Committee or Board of Directors.



**PART IV**

**Item 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.**

**FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Included herein at Part II, Item 8, are the Financial Statements and the Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

**EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
3.1	Articles of Incorporation, filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on June 2, 1995 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 to the registrant's registration statement on Form 10SB-12G filed on December 6, 1999).
3.2	Amendment to Articles of Incorporation, filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on January 26, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2011).
3.3	Amended and Restated Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2011).
31.1	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 ( <i>filed herewith</i> ).
31.2	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 ( <i>filed herewith</i> ).
32	Certification pursuant to Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ( <i>filed herewith</i> ).
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: March 26, 2014

### **TABLE TRAC, INC.**

/s/ Glenn Goulet  
Glenn Goulet, Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Brian Hinchley  
Brian Hinchley, Chief Financial Officer

### **DIRECTORS:**

/s/ Steve Browne  
Steve Browne, Director

/s/ Louis Fornetti  
Louis Fornetti, Director

/s/ Gary Loebig  
Gary Loebig, Director

/s/ Glenn Goulet  
Glenn Goulet, Director

/s/ Chad B. Hoehne  
Chad B. Hoehne, Director