

CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD

Form 10-Q

November 10, 2014

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**Washington, DC 20549**

**FORM 10-Q**

**(Mark One)**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014**

**OR**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_**

**Commission File Number: 0-25248**

**CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.**

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

**CAYMAN ISLANDS**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**98-0619652**  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**Regatta Office Park**  
**Windward Three, 4th Floor, West Bay Road**  
**P.O. Box 1114**  
**Grand Cayman KY1-1102**

**Cayman Islands** N/A  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

**(345) 945-4277**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of November 3, 2014, 14,703,098 shares of the registrant's common stock, with US\$0.60 par value, were outstanding.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Description	Page
<b>PART I</b>	<b><u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	4
Item 1	<u>Financial Statements</u>	4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2014 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2013</u>	4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	5
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited) for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	6
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	7
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	8
Item 2	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	20
Item 3	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	32
Item 4	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	32
<b>PART II</b>	<b><u>OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	32
Item 1A	<u>Risk Factors</u>	32
Item 2	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	33
Item 6	<u>Exhibits</u>	34
	<b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>	35

## **NOTE REGARDING CURRENCY AND EXCHANGE RATES**

Unless otherwise indicated, all references to “\$” or “US\$” are to United States dollars.

The exchange rate for conversion of Cayman Island dollars (CI\$) into US\$, as determined by the Cayman Islands Monetary Authority, has been fixed since April 1974 at US\$1.20 per CI\$1.00.

The exchange rate for conversion of Belize dollars (BZE\$) into US\$, as determined by the Central Bank of Belize, has been fixed since 1976 at US \$0.50 per BZE\$1.00.

The exchange rate for conversion of Bahamas dollars (B\$) into US\$, as determined by the Central Bank of The Bahamas, has been fixed since 1973 at US\$1.00 per B\$1.00.

The official currency of the British Virgin Islands is the United States dollar.

Consolidated Water Co. Ltd.’s Netherlands subsidiary conducts business in US\$ and euros, its Indonesian subsidiary conducts business in US\$ dollars and Indonesian rupiahs, and its Mexico subsidiary conducts business in US\$ and Mexican pesos. The exchange rates for conversion of euros, rupiahs and pesos into US\$ vary based upon market conditions.

**PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	September 30, 2014 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2013
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 33,582,329	\$ 33,626,516
Certificate of deposit	5,000,000	-
Restricted cash	498,929	-
Marketable securities	-	8,587,475
Accounts receivable, net	12,234,168	18,859,560
Inventory	1,578,188	1,383,135
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,771,365	2,435,127
Current portion of loans receivable	1,698,576	1,691,102
Total current assets	57,363,555	66,582,915
Property, plant and equipment, net		
Construction in progress	56,041,873	58,602,886
Inventory, non-current	2,860,402	1,450,417
Loans receivable	4,341,636	4,204,089
Investment in OC-BVI	6,052,985	7,337,177
Intangible assets, net	5,956,657	6,623,448
Goodwill	966,922	1,096,488
Investment in land	3,499,037	3,499,037
Other assets	20,557,353	13,175,566
Total assets	2,738,551	2,792,831
	\$ 160,378,971	\$ 165,364,854
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	\$ 5,465,197	\$ 7,157,896
Dividends payable	1,165,937	1,164,026
Demand loan payable	9,500,000	-
Current portion of long term debt	-	5,205,167
Land purchase obligation	-	10,050,000
Total current liabilities	16,131,134	23,577,089

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

Other liabilities	227,762	289,392
Total liabilities	16,358,896	23,866,481
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity		
Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders' equity		
Redeemable preferred stock, \$0.60 par value. Authorized 200,000 shares; issued and outstanding 37,026 and 37,408 shares, respectively	22,216	22,445
Class A common stock, \$0.60 par value. Authorized 24,655,000 shares; issued and outstanding 14,703,098 and 14,686,197 shares, respectively	8,821,859	8,811,718
Class B common stock, \$0.60 par value. Authorized 145,000 shares; none issued or outstanding	-	-
Additional paid-in capital	83,691,069	83,381,387
Retained earnings	49,138,412	47,155,548
Cumulative translation adjustment	(465,833 )	(471,983 )
Total Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders' equity	141,207,723	138,899,115
Non-controlling interests	2,812,352	2,599,258
Total equity	144,020,075	141,498,373
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 160,378,971	\$ 165,364,854

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

**CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****(UNAUDITED)**

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Retail water revenues	\$ 5,936,623	\$ 5,023,591	\$ 18,548,841	\$ 17,598,200
Bulk water revenues	9,905,723	10,239,552	29,831,653	30,258,814
Services revenues	1,178,710	175,438	1,921,004	706,144
Total revenues	17,021,056	15,438,581	50,301,498	48,563,158
Cost of retail revenues	2,945,756	2,661,463	8,996,615	8,366,391
Cost of bulk revenues	7,113,039	7,280,151	21,120,498	21,514,909
Cost of services revenues	1,261,946	270,082	2,143,599	836,945
Total cost of revenues	11,320,741	10,211,696	32,260,712	30,718,245
Gross profit	5,700,315	5,226,885	18,040,786	17,844,913
General and administrative expenses	3,984,956	4,308,851	13,108,750	11,472,549
Income from operations	1,715,359	918,034	4,932,036	6,372,364
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	334,499	232,820	874,203	582,704
Interest expense	(70,515)	(117,242)	(413,783)	(374,512)
Profit sharing income from OC-BVI	30,375	20,250	81,000	335,361
Equity in earnings of OC-BVI	81,480	55,359	221,809	919,552
Other	(101,297)	(58,722)	(20,804)	93,955
Other income (expense), net	274,542	132,465	742,425	1,557,060
Net income	1,989,901	1,050,499	5,674,461	7,929,424
Income attributable to non-controlling interests	107,209	141,809	377,167	424,882
Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders	\$ 1,882,692	\$ 908,690	\$ 5,297,294	\$ 7,504,542
Basic earnings per common share attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. common stockholders	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.51
Diluted earnings per common share attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. common stockholders	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.51
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.075	\$ 0.075	\$ 0.225	\$ 0.225

Weighted average number of common shares used in the determination of:



Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

Basic earnings per share	14,700,939	14,644,740	14,695,446	14,626,755
Diluted earnings per share	14,763,914	14,734,916	14,764,127	14,682,186

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

**CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)****(UNAUDITED)**

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net Income	\$ 1,989,901	\$ 1,050,499	\$ 5,674,461	\$ 7,929,424
Other comprehensive income (loss)				
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(29,873 )	(303,195 )	6,473	(357,632 )
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	(29,873 )	(303,195 )	6,473	(357,632 )
Comprehensive income	1,960,028	747,304	5,680,934	7,571,792
Comprehensive income attributable to the non-controlling interest	105,716	126,649	377,491	407,000
Comprehensive income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders	\$ 1,854,312	\$ 620,655	\$ 5,303,443	\$ 7,164,792

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

**CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(UNAUDITED)**

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 22,735,534	\$ 9,566,551
Cash flows from investing activities		
Purchase of certificate of deposit	(5,000,000 )	(5,000,000 )
Additions to property, plant and equipment and construction in progress	(2,776,205 )	(2,958,494 )
Proceeds from sale of equipment	11,400	13,740
Distribution of earnings from OC-BVI	969,600	1,249,875
Collections on loans receivable	1,276,718	1,351,965
Payment for investment in land	(17,431,787 )	(2,975,566 )
Restriction on cash balance	(498,929 )	-
Net cash used in investing activities	(23,449,203 )	(8,318,480 )
Cash flows from financing activities		
Dividends paid	(3,478,332 )	(3,295,765 )
Repurchase of redeemable preferred stock, net	(12,746 )	9,313
Proceeds received from exercise of stock options	-	302,807
Principal repayments of long term debt	(5,301,327 )	(1,283,427 )
Capital contribution from non-controlling interest	-	142,105
Proceeds received from demand loan payable	10,000,000	-
Repayment of demand loan payable	(500,000 )	-
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	707,595	(4,124,967 )
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(38,113 )	(192,574 )
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(44,187 )	(3,069,470 )
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	33,626,516	33,892,655
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 33,582,329	\$ 30,823,185
Interest paid in cash	\$ 154,692	\$ 294,603
Non-cash investing and financing activities		
Issuance of 5,957 and 10,180, respectively, shares of redeemable preferred stock for services rendered	\$ 65,289	\$ 110,249
Issuance of 12,302 and 11,131, respectively, shares of common stock for services rendered	\$ 173,458	\$ 82,369
Conversion (on a one-to-one basis) of 4,599, and 3,660, respectively, shares of redeemable preferred stock to common stock	\$ 2,759	\$ 2,196
Dividends declared but not paid	\$ 1,105,510	\$ 1,101,345

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

Obligation incurred (paid) for investment in land	\$ (10,050,000 )	\$ 10,050,000
Transfers from inventory to property, plant and equipment and construction in progress	\$ 113,691	\$ 181,875

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

**CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(UNAUDITED)**

**1. Principal activity**

Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. and its subsidiaries (collectively, the “Company”) use reverse osmosis technology to produce potable water from seawater. The Company processes and supplies water to its customers in the Cayman Islands, Belize, The Bahamas, the British Virgin Islands and Indonesia. The Company sells water to a variety of customers, including public utilities, commercial and tourist properties, residential properties and government entities. The base price of water supplied by the Company, and adjustments thereto, are determined by the terms of a retail license and various supply contracts, which provide for adjustments based upon the movement in the government price indices specified in the license and contracts, as well as monthly adjustments for changes in the cost of energy. The Company also provides engineering and design services for water plant construction, and manages and operates water plants owned by others.

**2. Accounting policies**

**Basis of presentation:**

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company’s (i) wholly-owned subsidiaries: Aquilex, Inc., Cayman Water Company Limited (“Cayman Water”), Consolidated Water (Belize) Limited (“CW-Belize”), Ocean Conversion (Cayman) Limited (“OC-Cayman”), DesalCo Limited (“DesalCo”), Consolidated Water Cooperatief, U.A. (“CW-Coop”); and (ii) majority-owned subsidiaries: Consolidated Water (Bahamas) Ltd. (“CW-Bahamas”), Consolidated Water (Asia) Pte. Limited, PT Consolidated Water Bali (“CW-Bali”) and N.S.C. Agua, S.A. de C.V. (“NSC”). The Company’s investment in its affiliate, Ocean Conversion (BVI) Ltd. (“OC-BVI”), is accounted for using the equity method. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying interim condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited. These condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (which are of a normal recurring nature) that, in the opinion of management, are necessary to fairly present the Company’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows as of and for the periods presented. The results of operations for these interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for future periods, including the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014.

These condensed consolidated financial statements and notes are presented in accordance with the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) relating to interim financial statements and in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“US GAAP”). Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with US GAAP have been condensed or omitted in these condensed financial statements pursuant to SEC rules and regulations, although the Company believes that the disclosures made herein are adequate to make the information not misleading. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

**Foreign currency:**

The Company’s reporting currency is the United States dollar (“US\$”). The functional currency of the Company and its foreign subsidiaries (other than NSC) is the currency for each respective country. The functional currency for NSC is the US\$. The exchange rates between the Cayman Islands dollar, the Belize dollar, and the Bahamian dollar are fixed to the US\$. CW-Coop conducts business in US\$ and euros, CW-Bali conducts business in US\$ and Indonesian rupiahs, and NSC conducts business in US\$ and Mexican pesos. The exchange rates for conversion of euros, Indonesian rupiahs and Mexican pesos into US\$ vary based upon market conditions. Net foreign currency gains (losses) arising from transactions conducted in foreign currencies were (\$61,918) and (\$117,309) for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and (\$15,238) and (\$84,614) for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and are included in “Other income (expense)” in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income.

**Comprehensive income:**

Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in equity during a period from transactions and other events from non-owner sources. Comprehensive income (loss) is the total of net income and other comprehensive income (loss) which, for the Company, is comprised entirely of foreign currency translation adjustments related to CW-Bali.

**Comparative amounts:**

Certain amounts reported in the financial statements issued in prior periods have been reclassified herein to conform to the current period’s presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on consolidated net income.

### 3. Fair value measurements

As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, certificate of deposit, accounts receivable, accounts payable and other current liabilities, dividends payable and the demand loan payable approximate their fair values due to the nature of these items and their short term maturities. Management considers that the carrying amounts for loans receivable as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, approximate their fair values as their interest rates approximate market rates for similar instruments.

Under US GAAP, fair value is defined as the exit price, or the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. The US GAAP guidance for fair value also establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability and are developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions about the factors market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability. The guidance establishes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements. The Company reviews the fair value hierarchy classification on a quarterly basis. Changes in the observability of valuation inputs may result in a reclassification of levels for certain securities within the fair value hierarchy.

The following table presents the Company's fair value hierarchy for assets and liabilities measured at fair value as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	September 30, 2014			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Recurring				
Restricted cash	\$498,929	\$-	\$-	\$498,929
Certificate of deposit	-	5,000,000	-	5,000,000
Total recurring	\$498,929	\$5,000,000	\$-	\$5,498,929
Nonrecurring				
Investment in OC-BVI	\$-	\$-	\$5,956,657	\$5,956,657

	December 31, 2013			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Recurring				
Marketable securities	\$8,587,475	\$ -	\$-	\$8,587,475
Nonrecurring				
Investment in OC-BVI	\$-	\$ -	\$6,623,448	\$6,623,448



The activity for Level 3 investments for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was as follows:

Balance as of December 31, 2013	\$6,623,448
Profit sharing and equity from earnings of OC-BVI	302,809
Distributions received from OC-BVI	(969,600 )
Balance as of September 30, 2014	\$5,956,657

#### 4. Segment information

The Company has three reportable segments: retail, bulk and services. The retail segment operates the water utility for the Seven Mile Beach and West Bay areas of Grand Cayman Island pursuant to an exclusive license granted by the Cayman Islands government. The retail segment also includes a retail water operation in Bali, Indonesia that sells water to resort properties. The bulk segment supplies potable water to government utilities in Grand Cayman, the Bahamas and Belize under long-term contracts. The services segment designs, constructs and sells desalination plants, and provides desalination plant management and procurement services, to Company subsidiary and affiliated companies as well as third parties. Consistent with prior periods, we record all non-direct general and administrative expenses in our retail business segment and do not allocate any of these non-direct expenses to our other two business segments.

The Company evaluates each segment's performance based upon its income (loss) from operations. All intercompany transactions are eliminated for segment presentation purposes.

The Company's segments are strategic business units that are managed separately because, while all segments derive their revenues from desalination-related activities, each segment sells different products and/or services, serves customers with distinctly different needs and generates different gross profit margins.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014			
	Retail	Bulk	Services	Total
Revenues	\$5,936,623	\$9,905,723	\$1,178,710	\$17,021,056
Cost of revenues	2,945,756	7,113,039	1,261,946	11,320,741
Gross profit (loss)	2,990,867	2,792,684	(83,236 )	5,700,315
General and administrative expenses	2,740,209	510,288	734,459	3,984,956
Income (loss) from operations	\$250,658	\$2,282,396	\$(817,695 )	1,715,359
Other income, net				274,542
Consolidated net income				1,989,901
Income attributable to non-controlling interests				107,209
				\$1,882,692

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd.  
stockholders

Depreciation and amortization expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2014 for the retail, bulk and services segments were \$592,261, \$738,405 and \$22,474, respectively.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013			
	Retail	Bulk	Services	Total
Revenues	\$5,023,591	\$10,239,552	\$175,438	\$15,438,581
Cost of revenues	2,661,463	7,280,151	270,082	10,211,696
Gross profit (loss)	2,362,128	2,959,401	(94,644 )	5,226,885
General and administrative expenses	2,656,217	372,812	1,279,822	4,308,851
Income (loss) from operations	\$(294,089 )	\$2,586,589	\$(1,374,466)	918,034
Other income, net				132,465
Consolidated net income				1,050,499
Income attributable to non-controlling interests				141,809
Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders				\$908,690

Depreciation and amortization expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2013 for the retail, bulk and services segments were \$536,229, \$805,641 and \$73,181, respectively.

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014			
	Retail	Bulk	Services	Total
Revenues	\$18,548,841	\$29,831,653	\$1,921,004	\$50,301,498
Cost of revenues	8,996,615	21,120,498	2,143,599	32,260,712
Gross profit (loss)	9,552,226	8,711,155	(222,595 )	18,040,786
General and administrative expenses	8,471,730	1,270,661	3,366,359	13,108,750
Income (loss) from operations	1,080,496	7,440,494	(3,588,954)	4,932,036
Other income, net				742,425
Consolidated net income				5,674,461
Income attributable to non-controlling interests				377,167
Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders				\$5,297,294

Depreciation and amortization expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 for the retail, bulk and services segments were \$1,823,757, \$2,215,141 and \$79,922, respectively.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013			
	Retail	Bulk	Services	Total
Revenues	\$17,598,200	\$30,258,814	\$706,144	\$48,563,158
Cost of revenues	8,366,391	21,514,909	836,945	30,718,245
Gross profit (loss)	9,231,809	8,743,905	(130,801 )	17,844,913
General and administrative expenses	7,966,975	1,136,021	2,369,553	11,472,549
Income (loss) from operations	1,264,834	7,607,884	(2,500,354)	6,372,364
Other income, net				1,557,060
Consolidated net income				7,929,424
Income attributable to non-controlling interests				424,882
Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. stockholders				\$7,504,542

Depreciation and amortization expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 for the retail, bulk and services segments were \$1,555,128, \$2,337,766 and \$219,544, respectively.

	As of September 30, 2014			
	Retail	Bulk	Services	Total
Property plant and equipment, net	\$25,727,376	\$29,737,581	\$576,916	\$56,041,873
Construction in progress	1,862,644	997,758	-	2,860,402
Goodwill	1,170,511	2,328,526	-	3,499,037
Investment in land	-	-	20,557,353	20,557,353
Total assets	51,970,909	83,928,479	24,479,583	160,378,971

As of December 31, 2013

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

	Retail	Bulk	Services	Total
Property plant and equipment, net	\$26,339,461	\$31,736,774	\$526,651	\$58,602,886
Construction in progress	1,181,628	98,807	169,982	1,450,417
Goodwill	1,170,511	2,328,526	-	3,499,037
Investment in land	-	-	13,175,566	13,175,566
Total assets	65,853,375	84,300,971	15,210,508	165,364,854

11

## 5. Earnings per share

Earnings per share (“EPS”) are computed on a basic and diluted basis. Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income (less preferred stock dividends) available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted EPS assumes the issuance of common shares for all potential common shares outstanding during the reporting period and, if dilutive, the effect of stock options as computed under the treasury stock method.

The following summarizes information related to the computation of basic and diluted EPS for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net income attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. Common stockholders	\$ 1,882,692	\$ 908,690	\$ 5,297,294	\$ 7,504,542
Less: preferred stock dividends	(2,777 )	(2,885 )	(8,722 )	(8,655 )
Net income available to common shares in the determination of basic earnings per common share	\$ 1,879,915	\$ 905,805	\$ 5,288,572	\$ 7,495,887
Weighted average number of common shares in the determination of basic earnings per common share attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. common stockholders	14,700,939	14,644,740	14,695,446	14,626,755
Plus:				
Weighted average number of preferred shares outstanding during the period	39,348	39,680	38,256	33,888
Potential dilutive effect of unexercised options	23,627	50,496	30,425	21,543
Weighted average number of shares used for determining diluted earnings per common share attributable to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. common stockholders	14,763,914	14,734,916	14,764,127	14,682,186

## 6. Investment in OC-BVI

The Company owns 50% of the outstanding voting common shares and a 43.5% equity interest in the profits of Ocean Conversion (BVI) Ltd. (“OC-BVI”). The Company also owns certain profit sharing rights in OC-BVI that raise its

effective interest in the profits of OC-BVI to approximately 45%. Pursuant to a management services agreement, OC-BVI pays the Company monthly fees for certain engineering and administrative services. OC-BVI's sole customer is the Ministry of Communications and Works of the Government of the British Virgin Islands (the "Ministry") to which it sells bulk water.

The Company's equity investment in OC-BVI amounted to \$5,956,657 and \$6,623,448 as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Until 2009, substantially all of the water sold by OC-BVI to the Ministry was initially supplied under a Water Supply Agreement dated May 1990 (the "1990 Agreement") and was produced by one desalination plant with a capacity of 1.7 million gallons per day located at Baughers Bay, Tortola (the "Baughers Bay plant"). As discussed later in this Note (see "*Baughers Bay Litigation*"), the Government of the British Islands (the "BVI government"), assumed the operating responsibilities for the Baughers Bay plant in March 2010. During 2007, OC-BVI completed the construction of a desalination plant with a capacity of 720,000 gallons per day located at Bar Bay, Tortola (the "Bar Bay plant"). OC-BVI and the BVI government executed a seven-year contract (the "Bar Bay Agreement") for this plant on March 4, 2010. Under the terms of the Bar Bay Agreement, OC-BVI is required to deliver up to 600,000 gallons of water per day to the BVI government from the Bar Bay plant. The Bar Bay Agreement includes a seven-year extension option exercisable by the BVI government and required OC-BVI to complete a storage reservoir on the BVI government site by no later than March 4, 2011. OC-BVI has not commenced construction of this storage reservoir due to the BVI government's failure to pay (i) the full amount of invoices for the water provided by the Bar Bay plant on a timely basis; and (ii) the remaining amount due under the court ruling for the Baughers Bay litigation (see discussion that follows).

Summarized financial information of OC-BVI is presented as follows:

	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Current assets	\$ 1,963,437	\$ 3,422,328
Non-current assets	5,483,433	5,923,387
Total assets	\$ 7,446,870	\$ 9,345,715

	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Current liabilities	\$ 284,754	\$ 717,887
Non-current liabilities	1,332,450	1,688,850
Total liabilities	\$ 1,617,204	\$ 2,406,737

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Revenues	\$ 1,153,994	\$ 1,174,629	\$ 3,530,763	\$ 3,588,203
Gross profit	\$ 461,820	\$ 403,815	\$ 1,382,261	\$ 1,403,346
Income from operations	\$ 254,876	\$ 175,386	\$ 650,690	\$ 679,085
Other income (expense), net (1)	\$ (60,749	) \$ (40,500	) \$ (128,002	) \$ 1,456,480
Net income attributable to controlling interests	\$ 187,183	\$ 127,175	\$ 509,555	\$ 2,112,455

---

(1) Includes income of \$2.0 million related to the Court award - Baughers Bay litigation, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

The Company recognized \$81,480 and \$55,359 in earnings from its equity investment in OC-BVI for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company recognized \$221,809 and \$919,552 in earnings from its equity investment in OC-BVI for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company recognized \$30,375 and \$20,250 in profit sharing income from its profit sharing agreement with OC-BVI for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company recognized \$81,000 and \$335,361 in profit sharing income from its profit sharing agreement with OC-BVI for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

For the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company recognized \$214,224 and \$175,438, respectively, in revenues from its management services agreement with OC-BVI. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company recognized \$619,136 and \$660,734, respectively, in revenues from its management services agreement with OC-BVI. Revenues from the management services agreement are reflected in the services segment. The Company's recorded value of this management services agreement, which is reflected as an intangible asset on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet, was approximately \$218,000 and \$285,000 as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

#### *Baughers Bay Litigation*

Under the terms of the 1990 Agreement between OC-BVI and the BVI government, upon the expiration of its initial seven-year term in May 1999, the 1990 Agreement would automatically be extended for another seven-year term unless the BVI government provided notice, at least eight months prior to such expiration, of its decision to purchase the plant from OC-BVI at the agreed upon amount under the 1990 Agreement of approximately \$1.42 million. In correspondence between the parties from late 1998 through early 2000, the BVI government indicated that it intended to purchase the plant but would be amenable to negotiating a new water supply agreement, and that it considered the 1990 Agreement to be in force on a monthly basis until negotiations between the BVI government and OC-BVI were concluded. Occasional discussions were held between the parties since 2000 without resolution of the matter. OC-BVI continued to supply water from the plant and expended approximately \$4.7 million between 1995 and 2003 to significantly expand the production capacity of the plant beyond that contemplated in the 1990 Agreement.

In 2006, the BVI government took the position that the seven-year extension of the 1990 Agreement had been completed and that it was entitled to ownership of the Baughers Bay plant. In response, OC-BVI disputed the BVI government's contention that the original terms of the 1990 Agreement remained in effect.



During 2007, the BVI government significantly reduced the amount and frequency of its payments for the water being supplied by OC-BVI and filed a lawsuit with the Eastern Caribbean Supreme Court (the “Court”) seeking ownership of the Baughers Bay plant. OC-BVI filed a counterclaim with the Court that it was entitled to continued possession and operation of the Baughers Bay plant until the BVI government paid OC-BVI approximately \$4.7 million, which OC-BVI believed represented the value of the Baughers Bay plant at its expanded production capacity. OC-BVI subsequently filed claims with the Court seeking payment for water sold and delivered to the BVI government through May 31, 2009 at the contract prices in effect before the BVI government asserted its purported right of ownership of the plant.

The Court ruled on this litigation in 2009, determining that (i) the BVI government was entitled to immediate ownership and possession of the Baughers Bay plant and dismissed OC-BVI’s claim for compensation of approximately \$4.7 million for the expenditures made to expand the production capacity of the plant; (ii) OC-BVI was entitled to full payment of water invoices issued up to December 20, 2007, which had been calculated under the terms of the original 1990 Agreement; and (iii) OC-BVI was entitled to the amount of \$10.4 million for water produced by OC-BVI from the Baughers Bay plant subsequent to December 20, 2007. The BVI government made payments to OC-BVI under the Court order of \$2.0 million in 2009, \$2.0 million in 2010 and \$1.0 million in 2011.

OC-BVI filed an appeal with the Eastern Caribbean Court of Appeals (the “Appellate Court”) in 2009 asking the Appellate Court to review the September 17, 2009 ruling by the Court as it related to OC-BVI’s claim for compensation for expenditures made to expand the production capacity of the Baughers Bay plant. The BVI government also filed an appeal with the Appellate Court in 2009 requesting the Appellate Court to reduce the \$10.4 million awarded by the Court to OC-BVI for water supplied subsequent to December 20, 2007 to an amount equal to the cost of producing such water.

In March 2010, OC-BVI vacated the Baughers Bay plant and the BVI government assumed direct responsibility for the plant’s operations.

In June 2012, the Appellate Court issued the final ruling with respect to the Baughers Bay litigation. This ruling dismissed the BVI government’s appeal against the previous judgment of the Court awarding \$10.4 million for the water supplied, and also awarded OC-BVI compensation for improvements made to the plant in the amount equal to the difference between (i) the value of the Baughers Bay plant at the date OC-BVI transferred possession of the plant to the BVI government and (ii) \$1.42 million (the purchase price for the Baughers Bay plant under the 1990 Agreement). OC-BVI was also awarded all of its court costs at the trial level and two-thirds of such costs incurred on appeal. Prior to the final ruling, the BVI government had paid only \$5.0 million of the original \$10.4 million, and the remaining \$5.4 million amount due had increased to approximately \$6.7 million by the fourth quarter of 2012 due to the court costs awarded by the Appellate Court and the accrued interest due on the aggregate unpaid balance. The BVI government paid OC-BVI \$4.7 million of this amount during the fourth quarter of 2012 and the remaining \$2.0 million in January 2013. These amounts paid by the BVI government were recognized in OC-BVI’s earnings in the periods in which they were received. To date, OC-BVI and the BVI government have been unable to reach agreement on the value of the plant at the date it was transferred to the BVI government. While OC-BVI and the BVI

government have reached agreement on the appraiser to be employed to value the plant, this valuation work has not yet commenced.

#### *Valuation of Investment in OC-BVI*

The Company accounts for its investment in OC-BVI under the equity method of accounting for investments in common stock. This method requires recognition of a loss on an equity investment that is other than temporary, and indicates that a current fair value of an equity investment that is less than its carrying amount may indicate a loss in the value of the investment.

As a quoted market price for OC-BVI's stock is not available, to test for possible impairment of its investment in OC-BVI, the Company estimates its fair value through the use of the discounted cash flow method, which relies upon projections of OC-BVI's operating results, working capital and capital expenditures. The use of this method requires the Company to estimate OC-BVI's cash flows from (i) the Bar Bay agreement and (ii) the pending amount awarded by the Appellate Court in the Baughers Bay litigation relating to the value of the Baughers Bay plant transferred by OC-BVI to the BVI government.

The Company estimates the cash flows OC-BVI will receive from its Bar Bay agreement by (i) identifying various possible future scenarios for this agreement, which include the cancellation of the agreement after its initial seven-year term and the exercise by the BVI government of the seven-year extension in the agreement; (ii) estimating the cash flows associated with each possible scenario; and (iii) assigning a probability to each scenario. The Company similarly estimates the cash flows OC-BVI will receive from the BVI government for the amount due under the ruling by the Appellate Court relating to the value of the Baughers Bay plant at the date it was transferred to the BVI government by assigning probabilities to different valuation scenarios. The resulting probability-weighted sum represents the expected cash flows, and the Company's best estimate of future cash flows, to be derived by OC-BVI from its Bar Bay agreement and the pending Appellate Court award.

The identification of the possible scenarios for the Bar Bay plant agreement and the Baughers Bay plant valuation, the projections of cash flows for each scenario, and the assignment of relative probabilities to each scenario all represent significant estimates made by the Company. While the Company uses its best judgment in identifying these possible scenarios, estimating the expected cash flows for these scenarios and assigning relative probabilities to each scenario, these estimates are by their nature highly subjective and are also subject to material change by the Company's management over time based upon new information or changes in circumstances.

During the fourth quarter of 2013, after reassessing what the Company believes will be the future demand for water in Tortola, British Virgin Islands, and the probable sources the BVI government will utilize to meet this demand, the Company determined it appropriate to modify the projections of cash flows for OC-BVI that it uses to estimate the fair value of its investment in OC-BVI by increasing (from those used in prior years) the probabilities assigned to those scenarios that result in a lower supply of water or revenue stream from the Bar Bay plant. Based on these current estimates of OC-BVI's cash flows and the Company's resulting estimate of the fair value of its investment in OC-BVI, the Company determined that the carrying value of its investment in OC-BVI exceeded its fair value and recorded an impairment loss on this investment of \$200,000. The resulting carrying values of the Company's investment in OC-BVI of approximately \$6.0 million and \$6.6 million as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, are based on the assumptions that the BVI government will honor its obligations under the Bar Bay agreement and (on a probability-weighted basis) that the BVI government will exercise its option to extend the Bar Bay agreement for seven years beyond its initial term, which expires in 2017.

The \$6.0 million carrying value of the Company's investment in OC-BVI as of September 30, 2014 exceeds the Company's underlying equity in OC-BVI's net assets by approximately \$2.8 million. The Company accounts for this excess as goodwill. The BVI government is OC-BVI's sole customer and substantially all of OC-BVI's revenues are generated from its Bar Bay plant. As the Bar Bay agreement matures and OC-BVI receives (or is determined by the court to not be entitled to receive) the pending Appellate Court award amount assumed due for the value of the Baughers Bay plant, OC-BVI's expected future cash flows, and therefore its fair value computed under the discounted cash flow method, will decrease. Unless OC-BVI obtains an expansion or other modification of its Bar Bay agreement that results in a significant increase in the estimated future cash flows from its Bar Bay plant, the Company will be required to record impairment losses in future periods to reduce the carrying value of its investment in OC-BVI to its then current fair value. These impairment losses will, in the aggregate, equal the underlying \$2.8 million in goodwill reflected in the carrying value of the Company's investment in OC-BVI and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's earnings and consolidated statement of operations.

## **7. N.S.C. Agua, S.A. de C.V.**

In May 2010, the Company acquired, through its wholly-owned Netherlands subsidiary, Consolidated Water Cooperatief, U.A. ("Cooperatief"), a 50% interest in N.S.C. Agua, S.A. de C.V. ("NSC"), a development stage Mexican company. The Company has since purchased, through the conversion of a previous loan to NSC, sufficient shares to raise its ownership interest in NSC to 99.9%. NSC was formed to pursue a project encompassing the construction, operation and minority ownership of a 100 million gallon per day seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant to be located in northern Baja California, Mexico and an accompanying pipeline to deliver water to the Mexican potable water infrastructure and the U.S. border. The Company believes such a project can be successful due to what the Company anticipates will be a growing need for a new potable water supply for the areas of northern Baja California, Mexico and Southern California, United States of America ("U.S.").

To complete this project, the Company engaged engineering groups with extensive regional and/or technical experience to prepare preliminary designs and cost estimates for the desalination plant and the proposed pipeline and

prepare the environmental impact studies for local, state and federal regulatory agencies. The Company also conducted an equipment piloting plant and water data collection program at the proposed feed water source under a Memorandum of Understanding (the "EPC MOU") with a global engineering, procurement and construction contractor for large seawater desalination plants. NSC is presently seeking contracts with proposed customers in Mexico and the U.S. for the sale of the desalinated water from the project. NSC will be required to accomplish various additional steps before it can commence construction of the plant and pipeline including, but not limited to, obtaining approvals and permits from various governmental agencies in Mexico, securing contracts with its proposed customers to sell water in sufficient quantities and at prices that make the project financially viable, and obtaining equity and debt financing for the project. NSC's potential customers will also be required to obtain various governmental permits and approvals in order to purchase water from NSC.

In February 2012, the Company entered into an agreement (the "Option Agreement") that provided it with an option, exercisable through February 7, 2014, to purchase the shares of one of the other shareholders of NSC, along with an immediate power of attorney to vote those shares, for \$1 million. Such shares constituted 25% of the ownership of NSC as of February 2012. In May 2013, NSC repaid a \$5.7 million loan payable to Cooperatief by issuing additional shares of its stock. As a result of this share issuance to Cooperatief, the Company acquired 99.9% of the ownership of NSC. The Option Agreement contained an anti-dilution provision that required the Company to issue new shares in NSC of an amount sufficient to maintain the other shareholder's 25% ownership interest in NSC if (i) any new shares of NSC were issued subsequent to the execution of the Option Agreement and (ii) the Company did not exercise its share purchase option by February 7, 2014. The Company exercised its option and purchased the Option Agreement shares in February 2014.

NSC entered into a purchase contract for 8.1 hectares of land on which the proposed plant would be constructed and in 2012 obtained an extension of this purchase contract through May 15, 2014 in exchange for prepayments of (i) \$500,000 paid at signing of the extension and (ii) a further \$500,000 paid in May 2013. NSC paid \$7.4 million in May 2014 to complete this land purchase. In 2013, NSC purchased an additional 12 hectares of land for the project for \$12 million, of which \$2 million was paid. NSC paid the remaining \$10 million due for this land purchase on May 15, 2014. The Company obtained new financing in May 2014 to assist in the funding of NSC's land purchases in the form of a \$10.0 million loan which is payable on demand by the lender. The loan terms require principal and interest payments to be made quarterly under a five year amortization schedule and payment of the remaining principal balance after two years, if the loan is not called before that time. This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.5% and is secured by substantially all of the Company's assets in the Cayman Islands.

Under the EPC MOU, the contractor installed and operated an equipment piloting plant and collected water data from the proposed feed water source site in Rosarito Beach, Baja California, Mexico. The EPC MOU required that NSC negotiate exclusively with the contractor for the construction of the 100 million gallon per day seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant and further required payment by NSC to the contractor of up to \$500,000 as compensation for the operation and maintenance of the equipment piloting plant should NSC not award the engineering, procurement and construction contract for the project to the contractor. This first phase of the pilot plant testing program was completed in October 2013. NSC decided not to extend the EPC MOU beyond its February 2014 expiration date and paid the contractor \$350,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2014 as compensation for the operation and maintenance of the pilot plant. NSC has implemented additional sampling protocols to comply with regulatory requirements in the U.S. and Mexico, and is also coordinating with regulators to assess the need, if any, for further process piloting.

In November 2012, NSC signed a letter of intent with Otay Water District in Southern California to deliver no less than 20 million and up to 40 million gallons of water per day from the plant to the Otay Water District at the border between Mexico and the U.S.

NSC has entered into a 20-year lease, effective November 2012, with the Comisión Federal de Electricidad for approximately 5,000 square meters of land on which it plans to construct the water intake and discharge works for the plant. The amounts due on this lease are payable in Mexican pesos at an amount that is currently equivalent to approximately \$20,000 per month. This lease is cancellable without penalty should NSC ultimately not proceed with the project.

Included in the consolidated results of operations are general and administrative expenses from NSC that consist of organizational, legal, accounting, engineering, consulting and other costs relating to NSC's project development activities. Such expenses amounted to \$693,586 and \$1,231,419 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$3,244,002 and \$2,223,291 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The assets and liabilities of NSC included in the consolidated balance sheets amounted to approximately \$21.8 million and \$163,000, respectively, as of September 30, 2014, and approximately \$13.7 million and \$10.3 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2013.

In August 2014, the State of Baja California enacted new legislation to regulate Public-Private Association projects, which involve a long-term contract between a public sector authority and a private party, such as the contract NSC is willing to enter into in order to develop its desalination plant and pipeline project. Under this new legislation, NSC may submit an unsolicited proposal for its project to Comisión Estatal de Servicios Públicos de Tijuana ("CESPT") or to such other entity that Baja California's government designates as competent for this purpose (the "Contracting Authority"). If the Contracting Authority deems the project feasible and the Public-Private Association Projects State Committee grants its authorization, the Contracting Authority is required to conduct a public tender process for the project. The Company presently cannot determine if the Contracting Authority will deem the project feasible, or if a public tender process is commenced, when such process will be completed or whether NSC will be awarded the project.

The Company expects to incur project development costs on behalf of NSC during the remainder of 2014 and in 2015 to submit the unsolicited proposal to CESPT and, if such proposal is successful, to complete the site piloting plant activities, securing feed water and power supplies, completing the engineering and feasibility studies, negotiating customer contracts, obtaining the required rights-of-way and regulatory permits and arranging the project financing.

Despite the expenditures made and the activities completed to date, the Company may ultimately be unsuccessful in its efforts to complete this project.

The Mexico tax authority, the Servicio de Administracion Tributaria (“SAT”), has assessed NSC 3,184,745 Mexican pesos for taxes relating to payments to foreign vendors on which the SAT contends should have been subject to income tax withholdings during NSC’s 2011 tax year. The SAT has also assessed NSC 1,639,001 Mexican pesos in penalties and 913,711 Mexican pesos in surcharges on these payments bringing the total assessment to 5,737,457 Mexican pesos. Such assessment is equivalent to approximately \$426,000 as of September 30, 2014 based upon the exchange rate between the US\$ and the Mexican peso as of that date.

NSC has retained the assistance of Mexican tax advisers in this matter and believes the assumptions and related work performed by the SAT do not support their tax assessment. As a result, NSC has elected to contest this assessment in Mexico federal tax court. NSC was required to provide an irrevocable letter of credit in the amount of 6,712,634 Mexican pesos as collateral in connection with this tax case. The letter of credit amount includes 975,177 Mexican pesos in additional charges calculated by the SAT to adjust the value of the original assessment to its potential future value at the time when the matter is settled by the tax court.

The restricted cash balance of \$498,929 included in the accompanying consolidated September 30, 2014 balance sheet represents cash on deposit with a bank to secure payment of the irrevocable letter of credit.

The Company is presently unable to determine what amount, if any, of this assessment NSC will ultimately be required to pay by the Mexico federal tax court. Consequently, no provision for this potential liability has been made in the accompanying financial statements. Furthermore, if the Mexico federal tax court upholds the assessment made by the SAT for NSC’s 2011 tax year, the SAT may seek to levy an assessment on payments of a similar nature made by NSC during tax years subsequent to 2011.

## 8. Contingencies

### *Renewal of Retail License*

The Company sells water through its retail operations under a license issued in July 1990 by the Cayman Islands government that grants the Company's wholly owned subsidiary Cayman Water the exclusive right to provide potable water to customers within its licensed service area. Cayman Water's service area is comprised of an area on Grand Cayman that includes the Seven Mile Beach and West Bay areas, two of the three most populated areas in the Cayman Islands. For the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company generated approximately 34% and 32%, respectively, of its consolidated revenues and 52% and 45%, respectively, of its consolidated gross profits from the retail water operations conducted pursuant to its exclusive license. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company generated approximately 36% and 36%, respectively, of its consolidated revenues and approximately 54% and 52%, respectively, of its consolidated gross profits from these retail water operations. If Cayman Water is not in default of any of its terms, this license provides Cayman Water with the right of first refusal to renew the license on terms that are no less favorable than those that the government offers to any third party.

The 1990 License was scheduled to expire in July 2010 but has been extended several times by the Cayman Islands government in order to provide the parties with additional time to negotiate the terms of a new license agreement. On July 15, 2014, the 1990 License was extended through December 31, 2014.

In February 2011, the Water (Production and Supply) Law, 2011 (which replaces the Water (Production and Supply) Law (1996 Revision)) and the Water Authority (Amendment) Law, 2011 (the "New Laws") were published and are now in full force and effect. Under the New Laws, the Water Authority-Cayman ("WAC") would issue any new license which could include a rate of return on invested capital model described below.

The Company has been advised in correspondence from the Cayman Islands government and the WAC that: (i) the WAC is now the principal negotiator, and not the Cayman Islands government, in these license negotiations, and (ii) the WAC has determined that a rate of return on invested capital model ("RCAM") is in the best interest of the public and the Company's customers. RCAM is the rate model currently utilized in the electricity transmission and distribution license granted by the Cayman Islands government to the Caribbean Utilities Company, Ltd.

In July 2012, in an effort to resolve several issues relating to the retail license renewal negotiations, the Company filed an Application for Leave to Apply for Judicial Review (the "Application") with the Grand Court of the Cayman Islands (the "Court"), seeking declarations that: (i) certain provisions of the Water Authority Law, 2011 and the Water (Production and Supply) Law, 2011, appear to be incompatible and a determination as to how those provisions should be interpreted, (ii) the WAC's roles as the principal license negotiator, statutory regulator and our competitor put the

WAC in a position of hopeless conflict, and (iii) the WAC's decision to replace the rate structure under our current exclusive license with RCAM was predetermined and unreasonable.

Throughout the course of the retail license renewal negotiations, the Company has objected to the use of RCAM on the basis that it believes such a model would not promote the efficient operation of its water utility and could ultimately increase water rates to its customers.

In October 2012, the Company was notified that the Court agreed to consider the issues raised by the Company in the Application. As a result, the Company, the Cayman Islands government and the WAC would have the opportunity to present their positions to the Court in a trial proceeding.

The hearing for this judicial review was held on April 1, 2014. Prior to the commencement of the hearing, the parties agreed that the Court should solely be concerned with the interpretation of the statutory provisions. As part of this agreement, the WAC agreed to consider the Company's submissions on the RCAM model and/or alternative models of pricing. These submissions were made to WAC on June 9, 2014. The Company received a letter from WAC dated September 11, 2014 which fully rejected its submissions and stated that they intend to provide the Company with a draft RCAM license in due course.

In June 2014, the Court determined that: (i) the renewal of the 1990 License does not require a public bidding process; and (ii) the WAC is the proper entity to negotiate with the Company for the renewal of the 1990 License. As a result of the Court's ruling, the Company expects to recommence license negotiations with the WAC in the near future.

If the Company does not ultimately enter into a new license agreement and no other party is awarded a license, the Company expects to be permitted to continue to supply water to its service area.

It is possible that the Cayman Islands government could offer a third party a license to service some or all of the Company's present service area. In such event, the Company may assume the license offered to the third party by exercising its right of first refusal. However, the terms of any new license agreement may not be as favorable to the Company as the terms under which it is presently operating and could materially reduce the operating income and cash flows that the Company has historically generated from its retail license and could require the Company to record an impairment charge to reduce the \$3,499,037 carrying value of its goodwill. Such impairment charge could have a material adverse impact on the Company's results of operations.

The Company is presently unable to determine what impact the resolution of this matter will have on its cash flows, financial condition or results of operations.





*Windsor Plant Water Supply Agreement*

CW-Bahamas provides bulk water to the Water and Sewerage Corporation of The Bahamas (“WSC”), which distributes the water through its own pipeline system to residential, commercial and tourist properties on the Island of New Providence. Pursuant to a water supply agreement, CW-Bahamas was required to provide the WSC with at least 16.8 million gallons per week of potable water from the Windsor plant, and the WSC had contracted to purchase at least that amount from CW-Bahamas on a take-or-pay basis. This water supply agreement was scheduled to expire when CW-Bahamas delivered the total amount of water required under the agreement in July 2013, but has been extended on a month-to-month basis.

At the conclusion of the agreement, the WSC has the option to (i) extend the agreement for an additional five years at a rate to be negotiated; (ii) exercise a right of first refusal to purchase any materials, equipment and facilities that CW-Bahamas intends to remove from the site at a purchase price to be negotiated; or (iii) require CW-Bahamas to remove all materials, equipment and facilities from the site. At the request of the government of The Bahamas, CW-Bahamas continues to operate and maintain the Windsor plant on a month-to-month basis to provide the government of The Bahamas with additional time to decide whether or not it will extend CW-Bahamas’ water supply agreement for the Windsor plant on a long term basis. The Company is presently unable to determine if CW-Bahamas’ water supply agreement for its Windsor plant will be further extended or, if extended, on what terms. CW-Bahamas generated approximately \$1.5 million and \$1.9 million in revenues from the operation of this plant during the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and approximately \$4.8 million and \$5.5 million in revenues from this plant during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

*CW-Belize*

By Statutory Instrument No. 81 of 2009, the Minister of Public Utilities of the government of Belize published an order, the Public Utility Provider Class Declaration Order, 2009 (the “Order”), which as of May 1, 2009 designated CW-Belize as a public utility provider under the laws of Belize. With this designation, the Public Utilities Commission of Belize (the “PUC”) has the authority to set the rates charged by CW-Belize and to otherwise regulate its activities. On November 1, 2010, CW-Belize received a formal complaint from the PUC alleging that CW-Belize was operating without a license under the terms of the Water Industry Act. CW-Belize applied for this license in December 2010. On July 29, 2011, the PUC issued the San Pedro Public Water Supply Quality and Security Complaint Order (the “Second Order”) which among other things requires that (i) CW-Belize and its customer jointly make a submission to the responsible Minister requesting that the area surrounding CW-Belize’s seawater abstraction wells be designated a forest reserve or national park and be designated a Controlled Area under section 58 of the Water Industry Act, (ii) CW-Belize submit an operations manual for CW-Belize’s desalination plant to the PUC for approval, (iii) CW-Belize and its customer modify the water supply agreement between the parties to (a) include new water quality parameters included in the Order and (b) cap the current exclusive water supply arrangement in the agreement at a maximum of 450,000 gallons per day, (iv) CW-Belize keep a minimum number of replacement seawater RO membranes in stock at all times and (v) CW-Belize take possession of and reimburse the PUC for certain equipment which the PUC purchased from a third-party in late 2010. CW-Belize has applied for declaratory judgment and has been granted a

temporary injunction to stay the enforcement of the Second Order by the PUC until such time as the matter could be heard by the Belize courts. The initial hearing on this matter was conducted on October 30 and 31, 2012 with an additional hearing on November 29, 2012. The ruling on this case is pending. The Company is presently unable to determine what impact the Order and the Second Order will have on its results of operations, financial position or cash flows, and as a result, no provision for any possible loss resulting from the resolution of this contingency has been made in the financial statements.

## **9. Impact of recent accounting pronouncements**

In March 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued ASU 2013-05, *Foreign Currency Matters (Topic 830: Parent’s Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity)*. This ASU offers guidance on a parent’s accounting for the cumulative translation adjustment upon derecognition of a subsidiary or group of assets within a foreign entity. This new guidance requires that the parent release any related cumulative translation adjustment into net income only if the sale or transfer results in the complete or substantially complete liquidation of the foreign entity in which the subsidiary or group of assets had resided. The amendment is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of ASU 2013-05 did not have an impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*. ASU 2014-09 requires revenue recognition to depict the transfer of goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09 prescribes a five step framework in accounting for revenues from contracts within its scope, including (a) identification of the contract, (b) identification of the performance obligations under the contract, (c) determination of the transaction price, (d) allocation of the transaction price to the identified performance obligations and (e) recognition of revenues as the identified performance obligations are satisfied. ASU 2014-09 also prescribes additional disclosures and financial statement presentations. This amendment is effective for public entities in annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Early application is not permitted. ASU 2014-09 may be adopted retrospectively or under a modified retrospective method where the cumulative effect is recognized at the date of initial application. The Company is currently evaluating the effect the adoption of this standard will have on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

**10. Subsequent events**

The Company's management evaluated subsequent events through the time of the filing of this report on Form 10-Q. Other than as disclosed in these condensed consolidated financial statements, the Company's management is not aware of any significant events that occurred subsequent to the balance sheet date but prior to the filing of this report that would have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including but not limited to, statements regarding our future revenues, future plans, objectives, expectations and events, assumptions and estimates. Forward-looking statements can be identified by use of the words or phrases “will,” “will likely result,” “are expected to,” “will continue,” “estimate,” “project,” “potential,” “believe,” “anticipate,” “expect,” “intend,” or similar expressions and variations of such words. Statements that are not historical facts are based on our current expectations, beliefs, assumptions, estimates, forecasts and projections for our business and the industry and markets related to our business.

The forward-looking statements contained in this report are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions which are difficult to predict. Actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed in such forward-looking statements. Important factors which may affect these actual outcomes and results include, without limitation:

- tourism and weather conditions in the areas we serve;
- the economies of the U.S., the areas, and the governments we serve;
- our relationships with the governments we serve;
- regulatory matters, including resolution of the negotiations for the renewal of our retail license on Grand Cayman;
- our ability to successfully enter new markets, including Mexico and Asia; and
- other factors, including those “Risk Factors” set forth under Part II, Item 1A in this Quarterly Report and in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Each of the forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report speaks as of its date. We expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to update or revise any forward-looking statement contained in this Quarterly Report to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any forward-looking statement is based, except as may be required by law.

Unless otherwise indicated, references to “we,” “our,” “ours” and “us” refer to Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. and its subsidiaries.

### Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Our actual results could differ significantly from such estimates and assumptions.

Certain of our accounting estimates or assumptions constitute “critical accounting estimates” for us because:

- the nature of these estimates or assumptions is material due to the levels of subjectivity and judgment necessary to account for highly uncertain matters or the susceptibility of such matters to change; and
- the impact of the estimates and assumptions on financial condition and results of operations is material.

Our critical accounting estimates relate to the valuation of our (i) equity investment in our affiliate, OC-BVI; and (ii) goodwill and intangible assets.

*Valuation of Investment in OC-BVI.* We account for our investment in OC-BVI under the equity method of accounting for investments in common stock. This method requires recognition of a loss on an equity investment that is other than temporary, and indicates that a current fair value of an equity investment that is less than its carrying amount may indicate a loss in the value of the investment.

As a quoted market price for OC-BVI’s stock is not available, to test for possible impairment of our investment in OC-BVI, we estimate its fair value through the use of the discounted cash flow method, which relies upon projections of OC-BVI’s operating results, working capital and capital expenditures. The use of this method requires us to estimate OC-BVI’s cash flows from (i) its water supply agreement with the BVI government for its Bar Bay plant (the “Bar Bay agreement”); and (ii) the pending amount awarded by the Eastern Caribbean Court of Appeals in the Baughers Bay litigation relating to the value of the Baughers Bay plant previously transferred by OC-BVI to the BVI government.

We estimate the cash flows OC-BVI will receive from its Bar Bay agreement by (i) identifying various possible future scenarios for this agreement, which include the cancellation of the agreement after its initial seven-year term, and the exercise by the BVI government of the seven-year extension in the agreement; (ii) estimating the cash flows associated with each possible scenario; and (iii) assigning a probability to each scenario. We similarly estimate the cash flows OC-BVI will receive from the BVI government for the amount due under the ruling by the Eastern Caribbean Court of Appeals for the value of the Baughers Bay plant at the date it was transferred to the BVI government by assigning probabilities to different valuation scenarios. The resulting probability-weighted sum represents the expected cash flows, and our best estimate of future cash flows, to be derived by OC-BVI from its Bar Bay agreement and the pending court award.



The identification of the possible scenarios for the Bar Bay plant agreement and the Baughers Bay plant valuation, the projections of cash flows for each scenario, and the assignment of relative probabilities to each scenario all represent significant estimates made by us. While we use our best judgment in identifying these possible scenarios, estimating the expected cash flows for these scenarios and assigning relative probabilities to each scenario, these estimates are by their nature highly subjective and are also subject to material change by our management over time based upon new information or changes in circumstances.

During the fourth quarter of 2013, after reassessing what we believe will be the future demand for water in Tortola, British Virgin Islands, and the probable sources the BVI government will utilize to meet this demand, we determined it appropriate to modify the projections of cash flows for OC-BVI that we use to estimate the fair value of our investment in OC-BVI by increasing (from those used in prior years) the probabilities assigned to those scenarios that result in a lower supply of water or revenue stream from the Bar Bay plant. Based on these current estimates of OC-BVI's cash flows and our resulting estimate of the fair value of our investment in OC-BVI, we determined that the carrying value of our investment in OC-BVI exceeded its fair value and recorded an impairment loss on this investment of \$200,000. The resulting carrying values of our investment in OC-BVI of approximately \$6.0 million and \$6.6 million as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, are based upon the assumptions that the BVI government will honor its obligations under the Bar Bay agreement and (on a probability-weighted basis) that the BVI government will exercise its option to extend the Bar Bay agreement for seven years beyond its initial term, which expires in 2017.

The \$6.0 million carrying value of our investment in OC-BVI as of September 30, 2014 exceeds our underlying equity in OC-BVI's net assets by approximately \$2.8 million. We account for this excess as goodwill. The BVI government is OC-BVI's sole customer and substantially all of OC-BVI's revenues are generated from its Bar Bay plant. As the Bar Bay agreement matures and OC-BVI receives (or is determined by the court to not be entitled to receive) the pending court award amount assumed due for the value of the Baughers Bay plant, OC-BVI's expected future cash flows, and therefore its fair value computed under the discounted cash flow method, will decrease. Unless OC-BVI obtains an expansion or other modification of its Bar Bay agreement that results in a significant increase in the estimated future cash flows from its Bar Bay plant, we will be required to record impairment losses in future periods to reduce the carrying value of our investment in OC-BVI to its then current fair value. These impairment losses will, in the aggregate, equal the underlying \$2.8 million in goodwill reflected in the carrying value of our investment in OC-BVI and could have a material adverse effect on our earnings and consolidated statement of operations.

*Goodwill and intangible assets.* Goodwill represents the excess cost over the fair value of the assets of an acquired business. Goodwill and intangible assets acquired in a business combination accounted for as a purchase and determined to have an indefinite useful life are not amortized, but are tested for impairment at least annually. Intangible assets with estimable useful lives are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values and reviewed periodically for impairment. We evaluate the possible impairment of goodwill annually as part of our reporting process for the fourth quarter of each fiscal year. Management identifies our reporting units and determines the carrying value of each reporting unit by assigning the assets and liabilities, including the existing goodwill and intangible assets, to those reporting units. We determine the fair value of each reporting unit and compare the fair value to the carrying amount of the reporting unit. To the extent the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit, we are required to perform the second step of



the impairment test, as this is an indication that the reporting unit goodwill may be impaired. In this step, we compare the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill with the carrying amount of the reporting unit goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit to all the assets (recognized and unrecognized) and liabilities of the reporting unit in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation. The residual fair value after this allocation is the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill. If the implied fair value is less than its carrying amount, the impairment loss is recorded.

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, we estimated the fair value of our reporting units by applying the discounted cash flow method, the subject company stock price method, the guideline public company method, the mergers and acquisitions method and, on an exception basis and where necessary and appropriate, the net asset value method.

The discounted cash flow method relied upon seven-year discrete projections of operating results, working capital and capital expenditures, along with a terminal value subsequent to the discrete period. These seven-year projections were based upon historical and anticipated future results, general economic and market conditions, and considered the impact of planned business and operational strategies. The discount rates for the calculations represented the estimated cost of capital for market participants at the time of each analysis. In preparing these seven-year projections for our retail unit we (i) identified possible outcomes of our on-going negotiations with the Cayman Islands government for the renewal of our retail license; (ii) estimated the cash flows associated with each possible outcome; and (iii) assigned a probability to each outcome and associated estimated cash flows. The weighted average estimated cash flows were then summed to determine the overall fair value of the retail unit under this method. The possible outcomes used for the discounted cash flow method for the retail unit included the implementation of a rate of return on invested capital model, the methodology proposed by Cayman Islands government representatives for the new retail license.

We also estimated the fair value of each of our reporting units for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013 through reference to the quoted market prices for our Company and guideline companies and the market multiples implied by guideline merger and acquisition transactions. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we also relied upon net asset values to estimate the fair value of our services unit.

We weighted the fair values estimated for each of our reporting units under each method and summed such weighted fair values to estimate the overall fair value for each reporting unit. We changed the relative weightings for 2013 from those used for 2012 to increase the weightings applied to those methods that resulted in more conservative estimates of fair value. The respective weightings we applied to each method for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

Method	2013			2012		
	Retail	Bulk	Services	Retail	Bulk	Services
Discounted cash flow	50 %	50 %	-	20 %	30 %	-
Subject company stock price	30 %	30 %	-	60 %	50 %	10 %
Guideline public company	10 %	10 %	-	10 %	10 %	-
Mergers and acquisitions	10 %	10 %	-	10 %	10 %	-
Net asset value	-	-	-	-	-	90 %
	100 %	100 %	-	100 %	100 %	100 %

The fair values we estimated for our retail and bulk units exceeded their carrying amounts by 39% and 6%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2012. The fair value we estimated for our services unit for the year ended December 31, 2012 was 10% less than its carrying amount. As a result of this estimate and our subsequent step 2 analysis of the implied fair value of the goodwill recorded for our services unit, we recorded an impairment charge for the services unit goodwill of \$88,717 for the year ended December 31, 2012. The fair values we estimated for our retail and bulk units exceeded their carrying amounts by 47% and 23%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2013.

We also performed an analysis reconciling the conclusions of value for our reporting units to our market capitalization at October 1, 2013. This reconciliation did not result in an implied control premium for our Company.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included under Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report and our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for our fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 (“2013 Form 10-K”) and the information

set forth under Item 7 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” of our 2013 Form 10-K.

### **Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2013**

#### Consolidated Results

Net income attributable to our common stockholders for the three months ended September 30, 2014 was \$1,882,692 (\$0.13 per share on a fully-diluted basis) as compared to \$908,690 (\$0.06 per share on a fully-diluted basis) for the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Total revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2014 increased to \$17,021,056 from the \$15,438,581 in revenues generated for the three months ended September 30, 2013, due to increases in revenues for the retail and services segments that more than offset a decrease in revenues for the bulk segment. Gross profit for the three months ended September 30, 2014 was \$5,700,315 or 33% of total revenues, as compared to \$5,226,885 or 34% of total revenues, for the three months ended September 30, 2013. For further discussion of revenues and gross profit for the three months ended September 30, 2014, see the “Results by Segment” analysis that follows.

General and administrative (“G&A”) expenses on a consolidated basis were \$3,984,956 and \$4,308,851 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The primary reason for the decrease in G&A expenses in 2014 was a decrease of approximately \$538,000 in the project development expenses for N.S.C. Agua, S.A. de C.V. (“NSC”), our Mexico subsidiary. In addition, the following expense fluctuations contributed to the remaining difference in G&A expenses: \$145,000 increase in professional fees as a result of consulting services and \$134,000 increase in bank fees due to wire/exchange fees incurred to transfer funds out of The Bahamas to repay intercompany loans.

Interest income increased to \$334,499 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 from \$232,820 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 due to interest on past due accounts receivables from the Water and Sewerage Corporation of the The Bahamas (“WSC”).

Interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$70,515 and \$117,242, respectively. The decrease in interest expense for 2014 reflects the early redemption on February 17, 2014 of the remaining outstanding balance of our bonds payable.

We recognized earnings and profit sharing on our investment in OC-BVI for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 of \$111,855 and \$75,609, respectively.

### Results by Segment

#### *Retail Segment:*

The retail segment contributed \$250,658 to our consolidated income from operations for the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to incurring a loss of (\$294,089) for the three months ended September 30, 2013 that reduced our consolidated income from operations.

Revenues generated by our retail water operations were \$5,936,623 and \$5,023,591 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The increase in retail revenues from 2013 to 2014 resulted primarily from an increase in the total gallons of water sold by our Cayman retail operations of approximately 17%.

Retail segment gross profit was \$2,990,867 (50% of revenues) and \$2,362,128 (47% of revenues) for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The slight increase in retail gross profit as a percentage of sales reflects the increase in water sales, as a significant portion of our water production expenses are fixed in nature.

Consistent with prior periods, we record all non-direct G&A expenses in our retail business segment and do not allocate any of these non-direct costs to our other two business segments. Retail segment G&A expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were \$2,740,209 and \$2,656,217, respectively. G&A expenses increased from 2013 to 2014 primarily due to an increase of approximately \$157,000 in professional fees that was mostly attributable to consulting services.

Our subsidiary, PT Consolidated Water Bali ("CW-Bali"), is presently operating a seawater reverse osmosis plant with a productive capacity of approximately 750,000 gallons per day in Nusa Dua, one of the primary tourist areas of Bali, Indonesia. We built this plant based upon our belief that future water shortages in this area of Bali will eventually

enable us to sell all of this plant's production. We utilized approximately 34% of this plant's capacity during the three months ended September 30, 2014 selling to customers on a month-to-month basis. As of September 30, 2014, capitalized costs for this plant reflected on our consolidated balance sheet were approximately \$3.4 million. The revenues we generated from this plant amounted to approximately \$214,000 and \$67,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. CW-Bali's operating losses were approximately (\$46,000) and (\$57,000) for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

*Bulk Segment:*

The bulk segment contributed \$2,282,396 and \$2,586,589 to our consolidated income from operations for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Bulk segment revenues decreased to \$9,905,723 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to \$10,239,552 for the three months ended September 30, 2013. The drop in our bulk water revenues in 2014 is attributable to our Bahamas operations, which generated approximately \$981,000 less in revenues in 2014 than in 2013 offset by a \$615,000 increase in our Cayman operations. The revenue decrease for our Bahamas operations resulted from a decrease in the volume of water sold (primarily from our Blue Hills plant) to the WSC. In 2013, the WSC purchased water volumes from our Blue Hills plant that were significantly higher than the minimum amounts they were required to purchase under the water supply agreement for this plant. However, as a result of water conservation and loss mitigation efforts it has conducted since that time, the WSC has reduced the amount of water lost by its distribution system and consequently decreased the volume of water purchased from our Blue Hills plant in 2014, but continued to purchase more than the contract minimum amount.

Bulk segment gross profit was \$2,792,684 (28% of revenues) and \$2,959,401 (29% of revenues) for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The decrease in gross profit dollars from 2013 to 2014 resulted from the decrease in revenues. Gross profit as a percentage of sales remained relatively consistent from 2013 to 2014 despite the decrease in revenues because the water sales made in 2013 by our Bahamas operation that exceeded the contractual minimum for the Blue Hills plant generated relatively small gross profits.

Bulk segment G&A expenses were \$510,288 and \$372,812 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The increase is primarily due to a \$134,000 increase in banking fees resulting from wire/exchange fees incurred to transfer funds out of The Bahamas to repay intercompany loans.

We supplied the aggregate amount of water contracted by the WSC under the water supply agreement for our Windsor plant in July 2013, at which time such agreement, which was scheduled to expire, was extended on a month-to-month basis. See further discussion of the Windsor plant at "Material Commitments, Expenditures and Contingencies."



*Services Segment:*

The services segment incurred losses from operations of (\$817,695) and (\$1,374,466) for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. We expect our services segment to continue to incur losses from operations while we continue to fund the project development activities of NSC and/or until such time as we obtain significant new management services agreements or plant construction contracts.

Services segment revenues were \$1,178,710 and \$175,438 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Services revenues increased from 2013 to 2014 due to construction revenues generated from our contracts with the Water Authority-Cayman to refurbish their Lower Valley plant and build a plant on Cayman Brac.

Our services segment generated a negative gross profit of (\$83,236) and (\$94,644) for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The increase in gross profit for 2014 resulted from an increase in sales of consumables stock to OC-BVI.

G&A expenses for the services segment were \$734,459 and \$1,279,822 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The decrease in G&A expenses for 2014 as compared to 2013 reflects a decrease of approximately \$538,000 in the project development expenses for NSC.

## **Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013**

### Consolidated Results

Net income attributable to our common stockholders for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$5,297,294 (\$0.36 per share on a fully-diluted basis) as compared to \$7,504,542 (\$0.51 per share on a fully-diluted basis) for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease in net income in 2014 from 2013 resulted from (i) the higher earnings we recognized in 2013 on our equity investment in OC-BVI, due to OC-BVI's receipt of a litigation award; and (ii) higher development expenses in 2014 for NSC.

Total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 increased slightly to \$50,301,498 from the \$48,563,158 in revenues generated for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, due to increases in revenues for the retail and services segments. Gross profit for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$18,040,786 or 36% of total revenues, as compared to \$17,844,913, or 37% of total revenues, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. For further discussion of revenues and gross profit for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, see the "Results by Segment" analysis that follows.

General and administrative ("G&A") expenses on a consolidated basis were \$13,108,750 and \$11,472,549 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The increase in G&A expenses is primarily due to an increase of approximately \$1.0 million in project development expenses incurred by NSC, an increase of approximately \$407,000 in professional fees as a result of additional legal fees incurred for the judicial review conducted in connection with our retail license negotiations and incremental consulting services, and an increase of approximately \$137,000 in employee costs due to base salary increases.

Interest income increased to \$874,203 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 from \$582,704 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 due to interest on past due accounts receivables from the WSC.

Interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$413,783 and \$374,512, respectively. The increase in interest expense for 2014 reflects the prepayment premium paid for the early redemption in February 2014 of the remaining outstanding balance on our bonds payable and the amortization of the related bond discount and deferred issuance costs.

We recognized earnings and profit sharing on our investment in OC-BVI for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, of \$302,809 and \$1,254,913, respectively. The additional earnings and profit sharing we recognized in



2013 from this equity investment resulted from the payment by the British Virgin Islands government to OC-BVI in January 2013 of \$2.0 million of the amount awarded to OC-BVI as a result of the Baughers Bay litigation. See further discussion of this litigation at Note 6 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included at “ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS” of this Quarterly Report.

### Results by Segment

#### *Retail Segment:*

The retail segment contributed \$1,080,496 and \$1,264,834 to our income from operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Revenues generated by our retail water operations increased to \$18,548,841 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to \$17,598,200 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, due to a 4% increase in the volume of water sold by our Cayman retail operations.

Retail segment gross profit was \$9,552,226 (51% of revenues) and \$9,231,809 (52% of revenues) for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Consistent with prior periods, we record all non-direct G&A expenses in our retail business segment and do not allocate any of these non-direct costs to our other two business segments. Retail segment G&A expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were \$8,471,730 and \$7,966,975, respectively. G&A expenses increased from 2013 to 2014 primarily due to an increase of \$113,000 in employee costs due to base salary increases and an increase of \$446,000 in professional fees primarily due to additional legal fees incurred for the judicial review conducted in connection with our retail license negotiations and incremental consulting services.

CW-Bali is presently operating a seawater reverse osmosis plant with a productive capacity of approximately 750,000 gallons per day in Nusa Dua, one of the primary tourist areas of Bali, Indonesia. We built this plant based upon our belief that future water shortages in this area of Bali will eventually enable us to sell all of this plant’s production. We utilized approximately 16% of this plant’s capacity during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, selling to customers on a month-to-month basis. As of September 30, 2014, capitalized costs for this plant reflected on our consolidated balance sheet were approximately \$3.4 million. The revenues we generated from this plant amounted to approximately \$311,000 and \$88,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. CW-Bali’s operating losses were approximately (\$288,000) and (\$182,000) for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.



*Bulk Segment:*

The bulk segment contributed \$7,440,494 and \$7,607,884 to our income from operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Bulk segment revenues decreased to \$29,831,653 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to \$30,258,814 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The drop in our bulk water revenues in 2014 is attributable to our Bahamas operations, which generated approximately \$1.7 million less in revenues in 2014 than in 2013 offset by a \$1.2 million increase in our Cayman operations. The revenue decrease for our Bahamas operations resulted from a decrease in the volume of water sold (primarily from our Blue Hills plant) to the WSC. In 2013, the WSC purchased water volumes from our Blue Hills plant that were significantly higher than the minimum amounts they were required to purchase under the water supply agreement for this plant. However, as a result of water conservation and loss mitigation efforts it has conducted since that time, the WSC has significantly reduced the amount of water lost by its distribution system and consequently decreased the volume of water purchased from our Blue Hills plant in 2014, but continued to purchase more than the contract minimum amount. The decrease in the revenues from our Bahamas operations was partially mitigated by an increase in revenues from our Cayman bulk operations of approximately \$1.2 million as a result of a 22% rise in the volume of water sold.

Bulk segment gross profit was \$8,711,155 (29% of revenues) and \$8,743,905 (29% of revenues) for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The gross profit dollars and in gross profit as a percentage of sales from 2013 to 2014 remained consistent due to greater gross profit for our Cayman bulk operations which offset a lower gross profit for our Bahamas bulk operations.

Bulk segment G&A expenses were \$1,270,661 and \$1,136,021 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The increase in bulk G&A expenses for 2014 is primarily due to an increase in banking fees resulting from wire/exchange fees incurred to transfer funds out of The Bahamas to repay intercompany loans.

We supplied the aggregate amount of water contracted by the WSC under the water supply agreement for our Windsor plant in July 2013, at which time such agreement expired and was extended on a month-to-month basis. See further discussion of the Windsor plant at "Material Commitments, Expenditures and Contingencies."

*Services Segment:*

## Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED WATER CO LTD - Form 10-Q

The services segment incurred losses from operations of (\$3,588,954) and (\$2,500,354) for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. We expect our services segment to continue to incur losses from operations while we continue to fund the project development activities of NSC and/or until such time as we obtain significant new management services or plant construction contracts.

Services segment revenues were \$1,921,004 and \$706,144 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Services revenues increased from 2013 to 2014 due to construction revenues generated from our contracts with the Water Authority-Cayman to refurbish their Lower Valley plant and build a plant on Cayman Brac.

Our services segment generated a negative gross profit of (\$222,595) and (\$130,801) for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The reduction in gross profit for 2014 resulted from higher engineering expenses and a decline in sales of consumables stock to OC-BVI.

G&A expenses for the services segment were \$3,366,359 and \$2,369,553 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The increase in G&A expenses for 2014 as compared to 2013 reflects an increase of approximately \$1.0 million in the project development activities of NSC attributable to a \$1.0 million payment on an option agreement to purchase the shares in NSC held by one of its shareholders and a \$350,000 payment made to reimburse a construction contractor for pilot testing and water monitoring activities conducted by the contractor on behalf of NSC. Such reimbursement was required due to NSC's decision not to extend a memorandum of understanding with this contractor. See further discussion of these two payments at Note 7 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included at "ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS" of this Quarterly Report.

### **FINANCIAL CONDITION**

The significant changes in the consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2014 as compared to December 31, 2013 result from the reduction in the accounts receivable for our Bahamas operations and the purchase of land by our Mexico subsidiary, NSC.

During May 2014, June 2014 and September 2014, the WSC made significant incremental payments to reduce the past due amounts it owed to CW-Bahamas. As a result, CW-Bahamas' accounts receivable decreased by approximately \$6.6 million from December 31, 2013 to September 30, 2014. A portion of these funds were invested in the \$5.0 million certificate of deposit reflected on our September 30, 2014 consolidated balance sheet.

During May 2014, we paid \$17.4 million to complete the land purchases for NSC's development project in Mexico, in the process satisfying the \$10,050,000 land purchase obligation reported on our December 31, 2013 consolidated balance sheet. To fund this payment, we liquidated our marketable securities, which had a balance of approximately \$8.6 million as of December 31, 2013, and obtained a \$10 million demand loan.



## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

### **Liquidity Position**

Our projected liquidity requirements for the remainder of 2014 include capital expenditures for our existing operations of approximately \$2.5 million, \$500,000 for debt service on our demand loan payable and approximately \$550,000 for NSC's project development activities. Our liquidity requirements for the rest of 2014 may also include quarterly dividends, if such dividends are declared by our Board. Our dividend payments amounted to approximately \$4.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 and approximately \$3.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

During May 2014, we obtained new financing (the proceeds from which were used to fund NSC's land purchases in May 2014) in the form of a \$10.0 million demand loan payable. Assuming the loan is not called by the lender, payments on this loan are due quarterly under a five year amortization schedule with the remaining principal balance due after two years. This loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.5%. The outstanding balance on this demand loan payable was \$9.5 million as of September 30, 2014.

As of September 30, 2014, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$33.6 million and working capital of approximately \$41.2 million. We are not presently aware of anything that would lead us to believe that we will not have sufficient liquidity to meet our needs for 2014 and thereafter.

### **Material Commitments, Expenditures and Contingencies**

#### *Renewal of Retail License*

We sell water through our retail operations under a license issued in July 1990 by the Cayman Islands government that grants Cayman Water the exclusive right to provide potable water to customers within its licensed service area. As discussed below, this license was set to expire in July 2010 but has since been extended while negotiations for a new license take place. Pursuant to the license, we have the exclusive right to produce potable water and distribute it by pipeline to our licensed service area which consists of two of the three most populated areas of Grand Cayman, the Seven Mile Beach and West Bay areas. For the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, we generated approximately 34% and 32%, respectively, of our consolidated revenues and 52% and 45%, respectively, of our consolidated gross profits from the retail water operations conducted pursuant to our exclusive license. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, we generated approximately 36% and 36%, respectively, of our

consolidated revenues and approximately 54% and 52%, respectively, of our consolidated gross profits from these retail water operations. If we are not in default of any of its terms, this license provides us with the right of first refusal to renew the license on terms that are no less favorable than those that the government offers to any third party.

Under our license, we pay a royalty to the government of 7.5% of our gross retail water sales revenues (excluding energy adjustments). The selling prices of water sold to our customers are determined by the license and vary depending upon the type and location of the customer and the monthly volume of water purchased. The license provides for an automatic adjustment for inflation or deflation on an annual basis, subject to temporary limited exceptions, and an automatic adjustment for the cost of electricity on a monthly basis. The Water Authority-Cayman (“WAC”), on behalf of the government, reviews and confirms the calculations of the price adjustments for inflation and electricity costs. If we want to adjust our prices for any reason other than inflation or electricity costs, we have to request prior approval of the Cabinet of the Cayman Islands government. Disputes regarding price adjustments are referred to arbitration. Our last price increase, requested in June 1985, was granted in full.

The 1990 License was scheduled to expire in July 2010 but has been extended several times by the Cayman Islands government in order to provide the parties with additional time to negotiate the terms of a new license agreement. On July 15, 2014, the 1990 License was extended through December 31, 2014.

In February 2011, the Water (Production and Supply) Law, 2011 (which replaces the Water (Production and Supply) Law (1996 Revision)) and the Water Authority (Amendment) Law, 2011 (the “New Laws”) were published and are now in full force and effect. Under the New Laws, the WAC would issue any new license which could include a rate of return on invested capital model described below.

We have been advised in correspondence from the Cayman Islands government and the WAC that: (i) the WAC is now the principal negotiator, and not the Cayman Islands government, in these license negotiations, and (ii) the WAC has determined that a rate of return on invested capital model (“RCAM”) is in the best interest of the public and the Company’s customers. RCAM is the rate model currently utilized in the electricity transmission and distribution license granted by the Cayman Islands government to the Caribbean Utilities Company, Ltd. We have advised the Cayman Islands government that we disagree with its position on these two issues.

In July 2012, in an effort to resolve several issues relating to our retail license renewal negotiations, we filed an Application for Leave to Apply for Judicial Review (the “Application”) with the Grand Court of the Cayman Islands (the “Court”), seeking declarations that: (i) certain provisions of the Water Authority (Amendment) Law, 2011 and the Water (Production and Supply) Law, 2011, appear to be incompatible and a determination as to how those provisions should be interpreted, (ii) the WAC’s roles as the principal license negotiator, statutory regulator and our competitor put the WAC in a position of hopeless conflict, and (iii) the WAC’s decision to replace the rate structure under our current exclusive license with RCAM was predetermined and unreasonable.

Throughout the course of the license renewal negotiations, we have objected to the use of RCAM on the basis that we believe such a model would not promote the efficient operation of our water utility and could ultimately increase water rates to our customers.

In October 2012, we were notified that the Court agreed to consider the issues raised in the Application. As a result, our Company, the Cayman Islands government and the WAC would have the opportunity to present their positions to the Court in a trial proceeding.

The hearing for this judicial review was held on April 1, 2014. Prior to the commencement of the hearing, the parties agreed that the Court should solely be concerned with the interpretation of the statutory provisions. As part of this agreement, the WAC agreed to consider our submissions on the RCAM model and/or alternative models of pricing. These submissions were made to WAC on June 9, 2014. We received a letter from WAC dated September 11, 2014 which fully rejected our submissions and stated that they intend to provide us with a draft RCAM license in due course.

In June 2014, the Court determined that: (i) the renewal of the 1990 License does not require a public bidding process; and (ii) the WAC is the proper entity to negotiate with us for the renewal of the 1990 License. As a result of the Court's ruling, we expect to recommence license negotiations with the WAC in the near future.

If we do not ultimately enter into a new license agreement and no other party is awarded a license, we expect to be permitted to continue to supply water to our service area.

It is possible that the Cayman Islands government could offer a third party a license to service some or all of our present service area. In such event, we may assume the license offered to the third party by exercising its right of first refusal. However, the terms of any new license agreement may not be as favorable to us as the terms under which we are presently operating and could materially reduce the operating income and cash flows we have historically generated from our retail license and could require us to record an impairment charge to reduce the \$3,499,037 carrying value of our goodwill. Such impairment charge could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations.

We are presently unable to determine what impact the resolution of this matter will have on our cash flows, financial condition or results of operations.



In May 2010, we acquired, through our wholly-owned Netherlands subsidiary, Consolidated Water Cooperatief, U.A., (“Cooperatief”) a 50% interest in N.S.C. Agua, S.A. de C.V. (“NSC”), a development stage Mexican company. We have since purchased, through the conversion of a loan we made to NSC, sufficient shares to raise our ownership interest in NSC to 99.9%. NSC was formed to pursue a project encompassing the construction, operation and minority ownership of a 100 million gallon per day seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant to be located in northern Baja California, Mexico and an accompanying pipeline to deliver water to the Mexican potable water infrastructure and the U.S. border. We believe such a project can be successful due to what we anticipate will be a growing need for a new potable water supply for the areas of northern Baja California, Mexico and Southern California, United States of America (“U.S.”).

To complete this project, NSC engaged engineering groups with extensive regional and/or technical experience to prepare preliminary designs and cost estimates for the desalination plant and the proposed pipeline and prepare the environmental impact studies for local, state and federal regulatory agencies. NSC also conducted an equipment piloting plant and water data collection program at the proposed feed water source under a Memorandum of Understanding (the “EPC MOU”) with a global engineering, procurement and construction contractor for large seawater desalination plants. NSC is presently seeking contracts with proposed customers in Mexico and the U.S. for the sale of the desalinated water from the project. NSC will be required to accomplish various additional steps before it can commence construction of the plant and pipeline including, but not limited to, obtaining approvals and permits from various governmental agencies in Mexico, securing contracts with its proposed customers to sell water in sufficient quantities and at prices that make the project financially viable, and obtaining equity and debt financing for the project. NSC’s potential customers will also be required to obtain various governmental permits and approvals in order to purchase water from NSC.

If this project is completed, we expect to participate in the long term operation of the plant and pipeline and also expect to retain a minority ownership position in the project.

In February 2012, we entered into an agreement (the "Option Agreement") that provided us with an option, exercisable through February 7, 2014, to purchase the shares of one of the other shareholders of NSC, along with an immediate power of attorney to vote those shares, for \$1.0 million. Such shares constituted 25% of the ownership of NSC as of February 2012. In May 2013, NSC repaid a \$5.7 million loan payable to Cooperatief by issuing additional shares of its stock. As a result of this share issuance to Cooperatief, we acquired 99.9% of the ownership of NSC. The Option Agreement contained an anti-dilution provision that required us to issue new shares in NSC of an amount sufficient to maintain the other shareholder's 25% ownership interest in NSC if (i) any new shares of NSC were issued subsequent to the execution of the Option Agreement and (ii) we did not exercise our share purchase option by February 7, 2014. We exercised our option and purchased the Option Agreement shares in February 2014.

NSC entered into a purchase contract for 8.1 hectares of land on which the proposed plant would be constructed and in 2012 obtained an extension of this purchase contract through May 15, 2014 in exchange for prepayments of (i) \$500,000 paid at signing of the extension and (ii) a further \$500,000 paid in May 2013. NSC paid \$7.4 million in May 2014 to complete this land purchase. In 2013, NSC purchased an additional 12 hectares of land for the project for \$12 million, of which \$2 million was paid. NSC paid the remaining \$10 million balance for this land purchase on May 15, 2014.

Under the EPC MOU, the contractor installed and operated an equipment piloting plant and collected water quality data from the proposed feed water source site in Rosarito Beach, Baja California, Mexico. The EPC MOU required that NSC negotiate exclusively with the contractor for the construction of the 100 million gallon per day seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant, and further required payment by NSC to the contractor of up to \$500,000 as compensation for the operation and maintenance of the equipment piloting plant should NSC not award the engineering, procurement and construction contract for the project to the contractor. This first phase of the pilot plant testing program was completed in October 2013. NSC has decided not to extend the EPC MOU beyond its February 2014 expiration date and NSC paid the contractor \$350,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2014 as compensation for the operation and maintenance of the pilot plant. NSC has implemented additional sampling protocols to comply with regulatory requirements in the U.S. and Mexico, and is also coordinating with regulators to assess the need, if any, for further process piloting.

In November 2012, NSC signed a letter of intent with Otay Water District in Southern California to deliver no less than 20 million and up to 40 million gallons of water per day from the plant to the Otay Water District at the border between Mexico and the U.S.

NSC has entered into a 20-year lease, effective November 2012, with the Comisión Federal de Electricidad for approximately 5,000 square meters of land on which it plans to construct the water intake and discharge works for the

plant. The amounts due on this lease are payable in Mexican pesos at an amount that is currently equivalent to approximately \$20,000 per month. This lease is cancellable should NSC ultimately not proceed with the project.

We include the accounts of NSC in our consolidated financial statements. Included in our consolidated results of operations are general and administrative expenses from NSC, consisting of organizational, legal, accounting, engineering, consulting and other costs relating to NSC's project development activities. Such expenses amounted to \$693,586 and \$1,231,419 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$3,244,002 and \$2,223,291 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The assets and liabilities of NSC included in the consolidated balance sheets amounted to approximately \$21.8 million and \$163,000, respectively, as of September 30, 2014 and approximately \$13.7 million and \$10.3 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2013.

In August 2014, the State of Baja California enacted new legislation to regulate Public-Private Association projects which involve a long-term contract between a public sector authority and a private party such as the contract NSC is willing to enter into in order to develop its desalination plant and pipeline project. Under this new legislation, NSC may submit an unsolicited proposal for its project to Comisión Estatal de Servicios Públicos de Tijuana ("CESPT") or to such other entity that Baja California's government designates as competent for this purpose (the "Contracting Authority"). If the Contracting Authority deems the project feasible and the Public-Private Association Projects State Committee grants its authorization, the Contracting Authority is required to conduct a public tender process for the project. We presently cannot determine if the Contracting Authority will deem the project feasible or, if a public tender process is commenced, when such process will be completed or whether NSC will be awarded the project.

Presently, we estimate that we will expend approximately \$550,000 during the remainder of 2014 for NSC's project development activities. We also expect to incur project development costs on behalf of NSC in 2015 to submit the unsolicited proposal to CESPT and, if such proposal is successful, to complete the site piloting plant activities, securing feed water and power supplies, completing the engineering and feasibility studies, negotiating customer contracts, obtaining the required rights-of-way and regulatory permits and arranging the project financing.

Despite the expenditures we have made and the activities we have completed to date, we may ultimately be unsuccessful in our efforts to complete this project.

The Mexico tax authority, the Servicio de Administracion Tributaria ("SAT"), has assessed NSC 3,184,745 Mexican pesos for taxes relating to payments to foreign vendors on which the SAT contends should have been subject to income tax withholdings during NSC's 2011 tax year. The SAT has also assessed NSC 1,639,001 Mexican pesos in penalties and 913,711 Mexican pesos in surcharges on these payments bringing the total assessment to 5,737,457 Mexican pesos. Such assessment is equivalent to approximately \$426,000 as of September 30, 2014 based upon the exchange rate between the US\$ and the Mexican peso as of that date.

NSC has retained the assistance of Mexican tax advisers in this matter and believes the assumptions and related work performed by the SAT do not support their tax assessment. As a result, NSC has elected to contest this assessment in

Mexico federal tax court. NSC was required to provide an irrevocable letter of credit in the amount of 6,712,634 Mexican pesos as collateral in connection with this tax case. The letter of credit amount includes 975,177 Mexican pesos in additional charges calculated by the SAT to adjust the value of the original assessment to its potential future value at the time when the matter is settled by the tax court.

The restricted cash balance of \$498,929 included in the accompanying September 30, 2014 consolidated balance sheet represents cash on deposit with a bank to secure payment of the irrevocable letter of credit.

We are presently unable to determine what amount, if any, of this assessment NSC will ultimately be required to pay by the Mexico federal tax court. Consequently, no provision for this potential liability has been made in our financial statements. Furthermore, if the Mexico federal tax court upholds the assessment made by the SAT for NSC's 2011 tax year, the SAT may seek to levy an assessment on payments of a similar nature made by NSC during tax years subsequent to 2011.

#### *CW-Belize*

By Statutory Instrument No. 81 of 2009, the Minister of Public Utilities of the government of Belize published an order, the Public Utility Provider Class Declaration Order, 2009 (the "Order"), which as of May 1, 2009 designated CW-Belize as a public utility provider under the laws of Belize. With this designation, the Public Utilities Commission of Belize (the "PUC") has the authority to set the rates charged by CW-Belize and to otherwise regulate its activities. On November 1, 2010, CW-Belize received a formal complaint from the PUC alleging that CW-Belize was operating without a license under the terms of the Water Industry Act. CW-Belize applied for this license in December 2010. On July 29, 2011, the PUC issued the San Pedro Public Water Supply Quality and Security Complaint Order (the "Second Order") which among other things requires that (i) CW-Belize and its customer jointly make a submission to the responsible Minister requesting that the area surrounding CW-Belize's seawater abstraction wells be designated a forest reserve or national park and be designated a Controlled Area under section 58 of the Water Industry Act, (ii) CW-Belize submit an operations manual for CW-Belize's desalination plant to the PUC for approval, (iii) CW-Belize and its customer modify the water supply agreement between the parties to (a) include new water quality parameters included in the Order and (b) cap the current exclusive water supply arrangement in the agreement at a maximum of 450,000 gallons per day, (iv) CW-Belize keep a minimum number of replacement seawater RO membranes in stock at all times and (v) CW-Belize take possession of and reimburse the PUC for certain equipment which the PUC purchased from a third-party in late 2010. CW-Belize has applied for declaratory judgment and has been granted a temporary injunction to stay the enforcement of the Second Order by the PUC until such time as the matter could be heard by the Belize courts. The initial hearing on this matter was conducted on October 30 and 31, 2012 with an additional hearing on November 29, 2012. The ruling on this case is pending. We are presently unable to determine what impact the outcome of this matter will have on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows, and as a result, no provision for any possible loss resulting from the resolution of this contingency has been made in the financial statements.

Transfers of U.S. dollars from CW-Belize to our other subsidiaries require authorization in advance from the Central Bank of Belize.

#### *Windsor plant*

Our subsidiary CW-Bahamas provides bulk water to the WSC, which distributes the water through its own pipeline system to residential, commercial and tourist properties on the Island of New Providence. Pursuant to a water supply agreement, we are required to provide the WSC with at least 16.8 million gallons per week of potable water from our Windsor plant, and the WSC has contracted to purchase at least that amount from us on a take-or-pay basis. This water supply agreement was scheduled to expire when we delivered the total amount of water required under the agreement in July 2013 but has been extended on a month-to-month basis.

At the conclusion of the agreement, the WSC has the option to (i) extend the agreement for an additional five years at a rate to be negotiated; (ii) exercise a right of first refusal to purchase any materials, equipment and facilities that CW-Bahamas intends to remove from the site at a purchase price to be negotiated; or (iii) require CW-Bahamas to remove all materials, equipment and facilities from the site. At the request of the government of The Bahamas, we continue to operate and maintain the Windsor plant on a month-to-month basis to provide the government of The Bahamas with additional time to decide whether or not it will extend CW-Bahamas' water supply agreement for the Windsor plant on a long term basis. We are presently unable to determine if CW-Bahamas' water supply agreement for its Windsor plant will be further extended or, if extended, on what terms. CW-Bahamas generated approximately \$1.5 million and \$1.9 million in revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and approximately \$4.8 million and \$5.5 million in revenues during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, from the operation of the Windsor plant.

## Dividends

- On January 31, 2014, we paid a dividend of \$0.075 to shareholders of record on January 1, 2014.
- On April 30, 2014, we paid a dividend of \$0.075 to shareholders of record on April 1, 2014.
- On July 31, 2014, we paid a dividend of \$0.075 to shareholders of record on July 1, 2014.

On August 19, 2014, our Board declared a dividend of \$0.075 payable on October 31, 2014 to shareholders of record on October 1, 2014.

We have paid dividends to owners of our common shares and redeemable preference shares since we began declaring dividends in 1985. Our payment of any future cash dividends will depend upon our earnings, financial condition, cash flows, capital requirements and other factors our Board deems relevant in determining the amount and timing of such dividends.

### **Dividend Reinvestment and Common Stock Purchase Plan**

This program is available to our shareholders, who may reinvest all or a portion of their common stock cash dividends into shares of common stock at prevailing market prices and may also invest optional cash payments to purchase additional shares at prevailing market prices as part of this program.

### **Impact of Inflation**

Under the terms of our Cayman Islands license and our water sales agreements in Belize, Bahamas and the British Virgin Islands, our water rates are automatically adjusted for inflation on an annual basis, subject to temporary exceptions. We, therefore, believe that the impact of inflation on our gross profit, measured in consistent dollars, will not be material. However, significant increases in items such as fuel and energy costs could create additional credit risks for us, as our customers' ability to pay our invoices could be adversely affected by such increases.

### **ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

There have been no material changes in our exposure to market risk from December 31, 2013 to the end of the period covered by this report.

### **ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

#### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Our management has evaluated, with the participation of its principal executive officer and principal financial and accounting officer, the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial and accounting officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

#### **Changes in Internal Controls**

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation of such internal control that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## **PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**

### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

*Our business faces significant risks. These risks include those disclosed in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 as supplemented by the additional risk factors included below. If any of the events or circumstances described in the referenced risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected and such events or circumstances could cause our*



*actual results to differ materially from the results contemplated by the forward-looking statements contained in this report. These risks should be read in conjunction with the other information set forth in this Quarterly Report as well as in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and in our other periodic reports on Form 10-Q and Form 8-K.*

**Our exclusive license to provide potable water to retail customers in the Cayman Islands may not be renewed in the future.**

We sell water through our retail operations under a license issued in July 1990 by the Cayman Islands government that grants Cayman Water the exclusive right to provide potable water to customers within its licensed service area. As discussed below, this license was set to expire in July 2010 but has since been extended while negotiations for a new license take place. Pursuant to the license, we have the exclusive right to produce potable water and distribute it by pipeline to our licensed service area which consists of two of the three most populated areas of Grand Cayman, the Seven Mile Beach and West Bay areas. For the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, we generated approximately 34% and 32%, respectively, of our consolidated revenues and 52% and 45%, respectively, of our consolidated gross profits from the retail water operations conducted pursuant to our exclusive license. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, we generated approximately 36% and 36%, respectively, of our consolidated revenues and approximately 54% and 52%, respectively, of our consolidated gross profits from these retail water operations. If we are not in default of any of its terms, this license provides us with the right of first refusal to renew the license on terms that are no less favorable than those that the government offers to any third party.

This license was scheduled to expire in July 2010 but has been extended several times by the Cayman Islands government in order to provide the parties with additional time to negotiate the terms of a new license agreement. On July 14, 2014, the 1990 License was extended through December 31, 2014.

In February 2011, the Water (Production and Supply) Law, 2011 (which replaces the Water (Production and Supply) Law (1996 Revision)) and the Water Authority (Amendment) Law, 2011 (the “New Laws”) were published and are now in full force and effect. Under the New Laws, the Water Authority-Cayman (“WAC”) would issue any new license which could include a rate of return on invested capital model described below.

We have been advised in correspondence from the Cayman Islands government and the WAC that: (i) the WAC is now the principal negotiator, and not the Cayman Islands government, in these license negotiations, and (ii) the WAC has determined that a rate of return on invested capital model (“RCAM”) is in the best interest of the public and the Company’s customers. RCAM is the rate model currently utilized in the electricity transmission and distribution license granted by the Cayman Islands government to the Caribbean Utilities Company, Ltd. We have advised the Cayman Islands government that we do not agree with its position on the two issues.

In July 2012, in an effort to resolve several issues relating to our retail license renewal negotiations, we filed an Application for Leave to Apply for Judicial Review (the “Application”) with the Grand Court of the Cayman Islands

(the “Court”), seeking declarations that: (i) certain provisions of the Water Authority Law, 2011 and the Water (Production and Supply) Law, 2011, appear to be incompatible and a determination as to how those provisions should be interpreted, (ii) the WAC’s roles as the principal license negotiator, statutory regulator and our competitor put the WAC in a position of hopeless conflict, and (iii) the WAC’s decision to replace the rate structure under our current exclusive license with RCAM was predetermined and unreasonable.

Throughout the course of the retail license renewal negotiations, we have objected to the use of RCAM on the basis that we believe such a model would not promote the efficient operation of our water utility and could ultimately increase water rates to our customers.

In October 2012, we were notified that the Court agreed to consider the issues raised in the Application. As a result, our Company, the Cayman Islands government and the WAC would have the opportunity to present their positions to the Court in a trial proceeding.

The hearing for this judicial review was held on April 1, 2014. Prior to the commencement of the hearing, the parties agreed that the Court should solely be concerned with the interpretation of the statutory provisions. As part of this agreement, the WAC agreed to consider our submissions on the RCAM model and/or alternative models of pricing. These submissions were made to WAC on June 9, 2014. We received a letter from WAC dated September 11, 2014 which fully rejected our submissions and stated that they intend to provide us with a draft RCAM license in due course.

In June 2014, the Court determined that: (i) the renewal of the 1990 License does not require a public bidding process; and (ii) the WAC is the proper entity to negotiate with us for the renewal of the 1990 License. As a result of the Court's ruling, we expect to recommence license negotiations with the WAC in the near future.

If we do not ultimately enter into a new license agreement and no other party is awarded a license, we expect to be permitted to continue to supply water to our service area.

It is possible that the Cayman Islands government could offer a third party a license to service some or all of our present service area. In such event, we may assume the license offered to the third party by exercising its right of first refusal. However, the terms of any new license agreement may not be as favorable to us as the terms under which we are presently operating and could materially reduce the operating income and cash flows we have historically generated from our retail license and could require us to record an impairment charge to reduce the \$3,499,037 carrying value of our goodwill. Such impairment charge could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations.

We are presently unable to determine what impact the resolution of this matter will have on our cash flows, financial condition or results of operations.

**We have spent approximately \$34.5 million to fund the development costs for a possible project in Mexico and expect to expend significant additional funds in the future to continue to pursue this project. However, we may not be successful in completing this project.**

We own (through our wholly-owned Netherlands subsidiary, Consolidated Water Cooperatief, U.A.) a 99.9% interest in N.S.C. Agua, S.A. de C.V. (“NSC”), a development stage Mexico company formed to pursue a project encompassing the construction, operation and minority ownership of a 100 million gallon per day seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant to be located in northern Baja California, Mexico and an accompanying pipeline to deliver water to the Mexican potable water system and the U.S. border. NSC has conducted an equipment piloting plant and water data collection program at the proposed feed water source and is presently seeking contracts with customers in Mexico and the U.S. for the sale of desalinated water from the project. NSC will be required to accomplish various additional steps before it can commence construction of the plant and pipeline including, but not limited to, obtaining approvals and permits from various governmental agencies in Mexico, securing contracts with its proposed customers to sell water in sufficient quantities and at prices that make the project financially viable, and obtaining equity and debt financing for the project. NSC’s potential customers will also be required to obtain various governmental permits and approvals in order to purchase water from NSC. As of September 30, 2014, we have spent approximately \$34.5 million on this project.

In August 2014, the State of Baja California enacted new legislation to regulate Public-Private Association projects, which involve a long-term contract between a public sector authority and a private party, such as the contract NSC is willing to enter into in order to develop its desalination plant and pipeline project. Under this new legislation, NSC may submit an unsolicited proposal for its project to Comisión Estatal de Servicios Públicos de Tijuana (CESPT) or to such other entity that Baja California’s government designates as competent for this purpose (the Contracting Authority). If the Contracting Authority deems the project feasible and the Public-Private Association Projects State Committee grants its authorization, the Contracting Authority is required to conduct a public tender process for the project. We presently cannot determine if the Contracting Authority will deem our project feasible or, if a public tender process is commenced, when such process will be completed or whether NSC will be awarded the project.

Despite the expenditures we have made and the activities we have completed to date, we may ultimately be unsuccessful in our efforts to complete this project.

## **ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

In July 2014, we issued 53 shares of preferred stock to two employees for cash at a price of \$11.04 per share. The issuance of the preferred stock to these employees was exempt from registration under Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933 (the “Securities Act”) because the shares were issued outside of the United States to non-US persons (as defined in Regulation S).

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

**Exhibit  
Number Exhibit Description**

31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer

31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer

32.1 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer

32.2 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

101.INS XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Schema

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase

34

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**CONSOLIDATED WATER CO. LTD.**

By: /s/ Frederick W. McTaggart  
Frederick W. McTaggart  
Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ David W. Sasnett  
David W. Sasnett  
Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Date: November 10, 2014