

MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC
Form 10-Q
July 27, 2016
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2016

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number: 001-31719

MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 13-4204626
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

200 Oceangate, Suite 100 90802
Long Beach, California
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
(562) 435-3666
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

The number of shares of the issuer's Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding as of July 22, 2016, was approximately 56,801,000.

Table of Contents

MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.
Form 10-Q

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <u>Part I - Financial Information</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u> | <u>1</u> |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>27</u> |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>44</u> |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>44</u> |
| <u>Part II - Other Information</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>45</u> |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>45</u> |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>45</u> |
| Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | <u>45</u> |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>45</u> |
| Item 5. <u>Other Information</u> | <u>45</u> |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | <u>45</u> |
| <u>Signatures</u> | <u>46</u> |

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | June 30, | December 31, |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (Amounts in millions, except per-share data) | |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$2,345 | \$ 2,329 |
| Investments | 1,968 | 1,801 |
| Receivables | 1,012 | 597 |
| Income taxes refundable | 23 | 13 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 197 | 192 |
| Derivative asset | — | 374 |
| Total current assets | 5,545 | 5,306 |
| Property, equipment, and capitalized software, net | 448 | 393 |
| Deferred contract costs | 80 | 81 |
| Intangible assets, net | 146 | 122 |
| Goodwill | 611 | 519 |
| Restricted investments | 107 | 109 |
| Deferred income taxes | — | 18 |
| Derivative asset | 226 | — |
| Other assets | 39 | 28 |
| | \$7,202 | \$ 6,576 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Medical claims and benefits payable | \$1,766 | \$ 1,685 |
| Amounts due government agencies | 1,238 | 729 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 537 | 362 |
| Deferred revenue | 104 | 223 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 1 | 449 |
| Derivative liability | — | 374 |
| Total current liabilities | 3,646 | 3,822 |
| Senior notes | 1,428 | 962 |
| Lease financing obligations | 198 | 198 |
| Deferred income taxes | 25 | — |
| Derivative liability | 226 | — |
| Other long-term liabilities | 38 | 37 |
| Total liabilities | 5,561 | 5,019 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 150 shares authorized; outstanding: 57 shares at June 30, 2016 and 56 shares at December 31, 2015 | — | — |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 20 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding | — | — |

Edgar Filing: MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|---------|----------|---|
| Additional paid-in capital | 822 | 803 | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive gain (loss) | 4 | (4 |) |
| Retained earnings | 815 | 758 | |
| Total stockholders' equity | 1,641 | 1,557 | |
| | \$7,202 | \$ 6,576 | |

See accompanying notes.

1

Table of Contents

MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

| | Three Months | | Six Months | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| | Ended June 30, | | Ended June 30, | |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (In millions, except per-share data) | | | |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Premium revenue | \$4,029 | \$3,304 | \$8,024 | \$6,275 |
| Service revenue | 135 | 47 | 275 | 99 |
| Premium tax revenue | 109 | 95 | 218 | 190 |
| Health insurer fee revenue | 76 | 74 | 166 | 122 |
| Investment income | 8 | 4 | 16 | 7 |
| Other revenue | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Total revenue | 4,359 | 3,525 | 8,702 | 6,696 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Medical care costs | 3,594 | 2,929 | 7,182 | 5,565 |
| Cost of service revenue | 116 | 33 | 243 | 69 |
| General and administrative expenses | 351 | 287 | 691 | 543 |
| Premium tax expenses | 109 | 95 | 218 | 190 |
| Health insurer fee expenses | 50 | 40 | 108 | 81 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 34 | 25 | 66 | 50 |
| Total operating expenses | 4,254 | 3,409 | 8,508 | 6,498 |
| Operating income | 105 | 116 | 194 | 198 |
| Interest expense | 25 | 15 | 50 | 30 |
| Income before income tax expense | 80 | 101 | 144 | 168 |
| Income tax expense | 47 | 62 | 87 | 101 |
| Net income | \$33 | \$39 | \$57 | \$67 |
| Net income per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.58 | \$0.78 | \$1.02 | \$1.36 |
| Diluted | \$0.58 | \$0.72 | \$1.01 | \$1.29 |
| See accompanying notes. | | | | |

Table of Contents

MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| | Three | Six | | |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|----------|------|------|
| | Months | Months | | |
| | Ended | Ended | | |
| | June 30, | June 30, | | |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (Amounts in | | | |
| | millions) | | | |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| Net income | \$33 | \$39 | \$57 | \$67 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | |
| Unrealized investment gain (loss) | 4 | (3) | 13 | (1) |
| Less: effect of income taxes | 2 | (1) | 5 | — |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | 2 | (2) | 8 | (1) |
| Comprehensive income | \$35 | \$37 | \$65 | \$66 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of ContentsMOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 2015 (Amounts in millions) (Unaudited) | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$57 | \$67 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 89 | 62 |
| Deferred income taxes | 39 | 7 |
| Share-based compensation | 16 | 9 |
| Amortization of convertible senior notes and lease financing obligations | 15 | 15 |
| Other, net | 11 | 9 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Receivables | (415) | (35) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (143) | (97) |
| Medical claims and benefits payable | 82 | 292 |
| Amounts due government agencies | 509 | 298 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 147 | 158 |
| Deferred revenue | (119) | (138) |
| Income taxes | (10) | 1 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 278 | 648 |
| Investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of investments | (974) | (993) |
| Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments | 812 | 541 |
| Purchases of property, equipment and capitalized software | (102) | (66) |
| Change in restricted investments | 5 | (14) |
| Net cash paid in business combinations | (8) | (8) |
| Other, net | (6) | (17) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (273) | (557) |
| Financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from common stock offering, net of issuance costs | — | 373 |
| Proceeds from employee stock plans | 10 | 8 |
| Other, net | 1 | 3 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 11 | 384 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 16 | 475 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 2,329 | 1,539 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$2,345 | \$2,014 |

Table of Contents

MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 (continued)

Six Months
 Ended June 30,
 2016 2015
 (Amounts in
 millions)
 (Unaudited)

Supplemental cash flow information:

Schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:

| | | |
|------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Common stock used for share-based compensation | \$ (7) | \$ (9) |
|------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|

Details of change in fair value of derivatives, net:

| | | |
|------------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Loss on 1.125% Call Option | \$ (148) | \$ (179) |
| Gain on 1.125% Conversion Option | 148 | 179 |
| Change in fair value of derivatives, net | \$ — | \$ — |

Details of business combinations:

| | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|
| Fair value of assets acquired | \$ (131) | \$ — |
| Purchase price amounts accrued/received (paid) | 21 | (8) |
| Reversal of amounts advanced to sellers in prior year | 102 | — |
| Net cash paid in business combinations | \$ (8) | \$ (8) |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

June 30, 2016

1. Basis of Presentation

Organization and Operations

Molina Healthcare, Inc. provides quality health care to people receiving government assistance. We offer cost-effective Medicaid-related solutions to meet the health care needs of low-income families and individuals, and to assist government agencies in their administration of the Medicaid program. We have three reportable segments. These segments include our Health Plans and Molina Medicaid Solutions segments, which comprise the vast majority of our operations, and our Other segment. As of December 31, 2015, we changed our reporting structure as a result of the Pathways acquisition in November 2015, which is reported in Other. All prior periods reported conform to this presentation.

Our Health Plans segment consists of health plans in 11 states and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and includes our direct delivery business. As of June 30, 2016, these health plans served 4.2 million members eligible for Medicaid, Medicare, and other government-sponsored health care programs for low-income families and individuals.

Additionally, we serve Health Insurance Marketplace (Marketplace) members, most of whom receive government premium subsidies. The health plans are operated by our respective wholly owned subsidiaries in those states, each of which is licensed as a health maintenance organization (HMO). Our direct delivery business consists primarily of the operation of primary care clinics in several states in which we operate.

Our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment provides business processing and information technology development and administrative services to Medicaid agencies in Idaho, Louisiana, Maine, New Jersey, West Virginia, and the U.S. Virgin Islands, and drug rebate administration services in Florida.

Our Other segment includes businesses, such as our Pathways behavioral health and social services provider, that do not meet the quantitative thresholds for a reportable segment as defined by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), as well as corporate amounts not allocated to other reportable segments.

Market Updates - Health Plans

Illinois. On January 1, 2016, our Illinois health plan closed on its acquisition of the Medicaid membership, and certain assets related to the Medicaid business of, Accountable Care Chicago, LLC, also known as MyCare Chicago and Loyola Physician Partners, LLC. See Note 4, "Business Combinations," for further information.

On March 1, 2016, our Illinois health plan closed on its acquisition of the Medicaid membership, and certain assets related to the Medicaid business, of Better Health Network, LLC. See Note 4, "Business Combinations," for further information.

Michigan. On January 1, 2016, our Michigan health plan closed on its acquisition of the Medicaid and MICHild membership, and certain Medicaid and MICHild assets, of HAP Midwest Health Plan, Inc. See Note 4, "Business Combinations," for further information.

New York. On April 19, 2016, we entered into an agreement with Universal American Corp. to acquire all outstanding equity interests of Today's Options of New York, Inc., which operates the Total Care Medicaid plan. Subject to regulatory approvals and the satisfaction of other closing conditions, we expect the transaction to close in the second half of 2016.

Washington. On January 1, 2016, our Washington health plan closed on its acquisition of the Medicaid contracts, and certain assets related to the operation of the Medicaid business, of Columbia United Providers, Inc. See Note 4, "Business Combinations," for further information.

Consolidation and Interim Financial Information

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Molina Healthcare, Inc., its subsidiaries, and variable interest entities (VIEs) in which Molina Healthcare, Inc. is considered to be the primary beneficiary. Such VIEs are insignificant to our consolidated financial position and results of operations. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of the results as of the date and for the interim periods presented have been included; such adjustments consist of normal recurring adjustments. All significant intercompany

balances and transactions have been eliminated. The consolidated results of operations for the current interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire year ending December 31, 2016.

The unaudited consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared under the assumption that users of the interim financial data have either read or have access to our audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended

Table of Contents

December 31, 2015. Accordingly, certain disclosures that would substantially duplicate the disclosures contained in the December 31, 2015 audited consolidated financial statements have been omitted. These unaudited consolidated interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with our December 31, 2015 audited consolidated financial statements.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

Revenue Recognition – Health Plans Segment

Premium revenue is fixed in advance of the periods covered and except as described below, is not generally subject to significant accounting estimates. Premium revenues are recognized in the month that members are entitled to receive health care services, and premiums collected in advance are deferred. Certain components of premium revenue are subject to accounting estimates and fall into the following categories:

Contractual Provisions That May Adjust or Limit Revenue or Profit

Medicaid

Medical Cost Floors (Minimums), Medical Cost Corridors, and Administrative Cost Ceilings (Maximums): A portion of our premium revenue may be returned if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined medical care costs. In the aggregate, we recorded a liability under the terms of such contract provisions of \$326 million and \$214 million at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, to amounts due government agencies. Approximately \$297 million and \$208 million of the liability accrued at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, relates to our participation in Medicaid Expansion programs.

In certain circumstances, the health plans may receive additional premiums if amounts spent on medical care costs exceed a defined maximum threshold. We recorded receivables of \$1 million and \$3 million at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, relating to such provisions.

Profit Sharing and Profit Ceiling: Our contracts with certain states contain profit-sharing or profit ceiling provisions under which we refund amounts to the states if our health plans generate profit above a certain specified percentage. In some cases, we are limited in the amount of administrative costs that we may deduct in calculating the refund, if any. Under these provisions, we recorded a liability of \$1 million and \$10 million at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, for profit in excess of the amount we are allowed to retain.

Retroactive Premium Adjustments: The state Medicaid programs periodically adjust premium rates on a retroactive basis. In these cases, we must adjust our premium revenue in the period in which we learn of the adjustment, rather than in the months of service to which the retroactive adjustment applies. In the first quarter of 2016 our Florida health plan recorded a retroactive increase to Medicaid premium revenue of approximately \$18 million, relating to dates of service prior to 2016.

Cost Plus Retroactive Premium Adjustments: In New Mexico, when members are retroactively enrolled into our health plan, we earn revenue only to the extent of the actual medical costs incurred by us for services provided during those retroactive periods, plus a small percentage of that medical cost for administration and profit. This arrangement first became effective July 1, 2014 (retroactive to January 1, 2014). We are paid normal monthly capitation rates for the retroactive eligibility periods, and the difference between those capitation rates and the amounts due to us on a cost plus basis are periodically settled with the state. To date, no such settlement has been made. During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2015, our New Mexico contract was not specific as to the definition of retroactive membership, and the amount we owe the state (or that the state owes us) for the difference between capitation received and amounts due to us under the cost plus arrangement during those periods varies widely depending upon the definition of retroactive membership. Although we believe that the amount we have recorded as a liability for this matter is consistent with the state's expectations, we cannot be certain that the state will not seek to recover an amount in excess of our recorded liability.

Medicare

Risk Adjustment: Our Medicare premiums are subject to retroactive increase or decrease based on the health status of our Medicare members (as measured by member risk score). We estimate our members' risk scores and the related amount of Medicare revenue that will ultimately be realized for the periods presented based on our knowledge of our members' health status, risk scores and federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) practices. Based on our estimates, we have recorded a net receivable of \$19 million and a net payable of \$4 million for anticipated

Medicare risk adjustment premiums at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

7

Table of Contents

Marketplace

Premium Stabilization Programs: The Affordable Care Act (ACA) established Marketplace premium stabilization programs effective January 1, 2014. These programs, commonly referred to as the "3R's," include a permanent risk adjustment program, a transitional reinsurance program, and a temporary risk corridor program. We record receivables or payables related to the 3R programs and the Minimum MLR when the amounts are reasonably estimable as described below, and, for receivables, collection is reasonably assured. Our receivables (payables) for each of these programs, as of the dates indicated, were as follows:

| | June 30, 2016 | | December 31, 2015 | |
|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------|
| | Current Benefit Year | Prior Benefit Years | Total | |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Risk adjustment | \$(220) | \$(254) | \$(474) | \$(214) |
| Reinsurance | 57 | 24 | 81 | 36 |
| Risk corridor | (9) | (3) | (12) | (10) |
| Minimum MLR | (17) | (2) | (19) | (3) |

Risk adjustment: Under this permanent program, our health plans' composite risk scores are compared to the overall average risk score for the relevant state and market pool. Generally, our health plans will pay into the pool if their composite risk scores are below the average risk score, and will receive funds from the pool if their composite risk scores are above the average risk score. We estimate our ultimate premium based on insurance policy year-to-date experience, and recognize estimated premiums relating to the risk adjustment program as an adjustment to premium revenue in our consolidated statements of income. On June 30, 2016, CMS released the final update on risk adjustment and reinsurance payments for the 2015 benefit year, and we adjusted our accruals accordingly.

Reinsurance: This program is designed to provide reimbursement to insurers for high cost members. Our health plans pay an annual contribution on a per-member basis, and are eligible for recoveries if claims for individual members exceed a specified threshold, up to a maximum amount. This three-year program will end on December 31, 2016. We recognize the assessments to fund the transitional reinsurance program as a reduction to premium revenue in our consolidated statements of income. We recognize recoveries under the reinsurance program as a reduction to medical care costs in our consolidated statements of income.

Risk corridor: This program is intended to limit gains and losses of insurers by comparing allowable costs to a target amount as defined by the CMS. Variances from the target amount exceeding certain thresholds may result in amounts due to or receivables due from CMS. This three-year program will end on December 31, 2016. Due to uncertainties as to the amount of federal funding available to support the risk corridor program, we do not recognize amounts receivable under this program. All liabilities are recognized as incurred. We estimate our ultimate premium based on insurance policy year-to-date experience, and recognize estimated premiums relating to the risk corridor program as an adjustment to premium revenue in our consolidated statements of income.

Additionally, the ACA established a minimum annual medical loss ratio (Minimum MLR) of 80% for the Marketplace. The medical loss ratio represents medical costs as a percentage of premium revenue. What constitutes medical costs and premium revenue are specifically defined by federal regulations. If the Minimum MLR is not met, we may be required to pay rebates to our Marketplace policyholders. Each of the 3R programs is taken into consideration when computing the Minimum MLR. We recognize estimated rebates under the Minimum MLR as an adjustment to premium revenue in our consolidated statements of income.

Quality Incentives

At several of our health plans, revenue ranging from approximately 1% to 3% of certain health plan premiums is earned only if certain performance measures are met.

During the second quarter, we were informed by the Texas Department of Health and Human Services that it will not recoup any quality revenue for calendar years 2014, 2015, and 2016. Therefore, we recognized previously deferred quality revenue amounting to approximately \$51 million in the second quarter of 2016. Of the \$51 million adjustment, \$44 million related to 2015 and 2014 dates of service, and \$7 million related to the first quarter of 2016.

The following table quantifies the quality incentive premium revenue recognized for the periods presented, including the amounts earned in the periods presented and prior periods. Although the reasonably possible effects of a change in estimate related to quality incentive premium revenue as of June 30, 2016 are not known, we have no reason to believe that the

8

Table of Contents

adjustments to prior years noted below are not indicative of the potential future changes in our estimates as of June 30, 2016, other than the Texas quality revenue recognized in the second quarter of 2016 described above.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Maximum available quality incentive premium - current period | \$41 | \$28 | \$81 | \$58 |
| Amount of quality incentive premium revenue recognized in current period: | | | | |
| Earned current period | \$36 | \$11 | \$54 | \$21 |
| Earned prior periods | 49 | 11 | 54 | 11 |
| Total | \$85 | \$22 | \$108 | 32 |

Quality incentive premium revenue recognized as a percentage of total premium revenue 2.1 % 0.7 % 1.3 % 0.5 %
Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes is determined using an estimated annual effective tax rate, which is generally greater than the U.S. federal statutory rate primarily because of state taxes, nondeductible expenses such as the Health Insurer Fee (HIF), certain compensation, and other general and administrative expenses. The effective tax rate may be subject to fluctuations during the year, particularly as a result of the level of pretax earnings, and also as new information is obtained. Such information may affect the assumptions used to estimate the annual effective tax rate, including factors such as the mix of pretax earnings in the various tax jurisdictions in which we operate, valuation allowances against deferred tax assets, the recognition or the reversal of the recognition of tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions, and changes in or the interpretation of tax laws in jurisdictions where we conduct business. We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of our assets and liabilities, along with net operating loss and tax credit carryovers.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Revenue Recognition. In May 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2016-12, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). The amendments, which address transition, collectibility, non-cash consideration and the presentation of sales and other similar taxes, do not change the core principles of ASU 2014-09, but rather address implementation issues and are intended to result in more consistent application. We intend to adopt this standard on January 1, 2018. We are evaluating the potential effects of the adoption to our financial statements.

In April 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-10, Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing, which amends certain aspects of ASC 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. ASU 2016-10 amends step two of the new revenue standard's five-step model to include guidance on immaterial promised goods or services, shipping and handling activities and identifying when promises represent performance obligations. ASU 2016-10 also provides guidance related to licensing such as, but not limited to, sales-based and usage-based royalties and renewals of license that provide a right to use intellectual property. We intend to adopt this standard on January 1, 2018. We are evaluating the potential effects of the adoption to our financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-08, Revenue from Contracts with Customers - Principal vs. Agent Considerations, which amends the principal-versus-agent implementation guidance in ASC 606. ASU 2016-08 clarifies that an entity should evaluate whether it is the principal or agent for each specified good or service promised in a contract with a customer as defined in ASC 606. The entity must first identify each specified good or service to be provided to the customer and then assess whether it controls each specified good or service. The ASU also removed two of the five indicators used in evaluating control under the old guidance and reframes the remaining three indicators. We intend to adopt this standard on January 1, 2018. We are evaluating the potential effects of the adoption to our financial statements.

Credit Losses. In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, which changes how companies measure credit losses on most financial instruments measured at amortized cost, such as loans, receivables and held-to-maturity debt securities. Rather than generally recognizing credit losses when it is probable that the loss has been incurred, the revised guidance requires companies to recognize an allowance for credit losses for the difference between the amortized cost basis of a financial instrument and the amount of amortized cost that the company expects to collect over the instrument's contractual life. ASU 2016-13 is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2019 and must

Table of Contents

be adopted as a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings. Early adoption is permitted. We are evaluating the potential effects of the adoption to our financial statements.

Stock Compensation. In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, Compensation-Stock Compensation, which simplifies several aspects of accounting for employee share-based payment transactions, including the accounting for income taxes, forfeitures, statutory tax and classification in the statement of cash flows. ASU 2016-09 is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and must be adopted using the modified retrospective approach except for classification in the statement of cash flows, which must be adopted using either the prospective or retrospective approach. Early adoption is permitted. We are evaluating the potential effects of the adoption to our financial statements.

Other recent accounting pronouncements issued by the FASB (including its Emerging Issues Task Force), the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) did not have, or are not believed by management to have, a material impact on our present or future consolidated financial statements.

3. Net Income per Share

The following table sets forth the calculation of basic and diluted net income per share:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 | |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (In millions, except net income per share) | | | |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net income | \$33 | \$39 | \$57 | \$67 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Shares outstanding at the beginning of the period | 55 | 49 | 55 | 49 |
| Weighted-average number of shares: | | | | |
| Issued in common stock offering | — | 1 | — | 1 |
| Denominator for basic net income per share | 55 | 50 | 55 | 50 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Convertible senior notes (1) | — | 1 | — | — |
| 1.125% Warrants (1) | — | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Denominator for diluted net income per share | 55 | 54 | 56 | 52 |
| Net income per share (2): | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.58 | \$0.78 | \$1.02 | \$1.36 |
| Diluted | \$0.58 | \$0.72 | \$1.01 | \$1.29 |

(1) For more information regarding the convertible senior notes, refer to Note 10, "Debt." For more information regarding the 1.125% Warrants, refer to Note 11, "Derivatives."

(2) Source data for calculations in thousands.

4. Business Combinations

In the first quarter of 2016, we closed on several business combinations in the Health Plans segment. For all of these transactions, we applied the acquisition method of accounting, where the total purchase price was allocated, or preliminarily allocated, to tangible and intangible assets acquired, and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values. For the Health Plans acquisitions, described below, only intangible assets were acquired. All of these acquisitions were funded using available cash and acquisition-related costs were insignificant.

Health Plans

Consistent with our strategy to grow in our existing markets, we closed the following Health Plans acquisitions in the first quarter of 2016:

Illinois. On January 1, 2016, our Illinois health plan closed on its acquisition of the Medicaid membership, and certain assets related to the Medicaid business of, Accountable Care Chicago, LLC, also known as MyCare Chicago. The initial purchase price was approximately \$35 million, and the Illinois health plan added approximately 58,000 Medicaid members as a result of this transaction.

Table of Contents

On January 1, 2016, our Illinois health plan closed on its acquisition of the Medicaid membership, and certain assets related to the Medicaid business, of Loyola Physician Partners, LLC. The final purchase price was approximately \$12 million, and the Illinois health plan added approximately 18,000 Medicaid members as a result of this transaction.

On March 1, 2016, our Illinois health plan closed on its acquisition of the Medicaid membership, and certain assets related to the Medicaid business, of Better Health Network, LLC. The initial purchase price was approximately \$18 million, and the Illinois health plan added approximately 34,000 Medicaid members as a result of this transaction.

Michigan. On January 1, 2016, our Michigan health plan closed on its acquisition of the Medicaid and MICHild membership, and certain Medicaid and MICHild assets, of HAP Midwest Health Plan, Inc. The final purchase price was approximately \$31 million, and the Michigan health plan added approximately 68,000 Medicaid and MICHild members as a result of this transaction.

Washington. On January 1, 2016, our Washington health plan closed on its acquisition of the Medicaid contracts, and certain assets related to the operation of the Medicaid business, of Columbia United Providers, Inc. The final purchase price was approximately \$28 million, and the Washington health plan added approximately 57,000 Medicaid members as a result of this transaction.

For these acquisitions, we recorded goodwill to the Health Plans segment amounting to \$90 million in the aggregate, which relates to future economic benefits arising from expected synergies to be achieved. Such synergies include use of our existing infrastructure to support the added membership. The amount recorded as goodwill is deductible for income tax purposes.

The following table presents the intangible assets identified in the transactions described above. The weighted-average amortization period, in the aggregate, is 5.9 years. For these acquisitions in the aggregate, we expect to record amortization of approximately \$6 million per year in the years 2016 through 2020 and \$1 million in 2021.

| | Fair Value (In millions) | Life (Years) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Intangible asset type: | | |
| Contract rights - member list | \$ 28 | 5 |
| Provider network | 6 | 10 |
| | \$ 34 | |

5. Fair Value Measurements

We consider the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents and other current assets and current liabilities (not including current portion of long-term debt) to approximate their fair values because of the relatively short period of time between the origination of these instruments and their expected realization or payment. For our financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis, we prioritize the inputs used in measuring fair value according to a three-tier fair value hierarchy as follows:

Level 1 — Observable Inputs. Level 1 financial instruments are actively traded and therefore the fair value for these securities is based on quoted market prices on one or more securities exchanges.

Level 2 — Directly or Indirectly Observable Inputs. Level 2 financial instruments are traded frequently though not necessarily daily. Fair value for these investments is determined using a market approach based on quoted prices for similar securities in active markets or quoted prices for identical securities in inactive markets.

Level 3 — Unobservable Inputs. Level 3 financial instruments are valued using unobservable inputs that represent management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the financial instrument at the measurement date. Our Level 3 financial instruments include derivative financial instruments.

Derivative financial instruments include the 1.125% Call Option derivative asset and the 1.125% Conversion Option derivative liability. These derivatives are not actively traded and are valued based on an option pricing model that uses observable and unobservable market data for inputs. Significant market data inputs used to determine fair value as of June 30, 2016 included the price of our common stock, the time to maturity of the derivative instruments, the risk-free interest rate, and the implied volatility of our common stock. As described further in Note 11, "Derivatives," the 1.125% Call Option asset and the 1.125% Conversion Option liability were designed such that changes in their fair values

would offset, with minimal impact to the consolidated statements of income. Therefore, the sensitivity of changes in the unobservable inputs to the option pricing model for such instruments is mitigated.

Table of Contents

The changes in fair value of Level 3 financial instruments were insignificant to our results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2016.

Our financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2016, were as follows:

| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$1,345 | \$— | \$1,345 | \$— |
| Government-sponsored enterprise securities (GSEs) | 196 | 196 | — | — |
| Municipal securities | 180 | — | 180 | — |
| U.S. treasury notes | 108 | 108 | — | — |
| Asset-backed securities | 71 | — | 71 | — |
| Certificates of deposit | 68 | — | 68 | — |
| Subtotal - current investments | 1,968 | 304 | 1,664 | — |
| 1.125% Call Option derivative asset | 226 | — | — | 226 |
| Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis | \$2,194 | \$304 | \$1,664 | \$226 |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----|-----|-------|
| 1.125% Conversion Option derivative liability | \$226 | \$— | \$— | \$226 |
| Total liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis | \$226 | \$— | \$— | \$226 |

Our financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2015, were as follows:

| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$1,184 | \$— | \$1,184 | \$— |
| GSEs | 211 | 211 | — | — |
| Municipal securities | 185 | — | 185 | — |
| U.S. treasury notes | 78 | 78 | — | — |
| Asset-backed securities | 63 | — | 63 | — |
| Certificates of deposit | 80 | — | 80 | — |
| Subtotal - current investments | 1,801 | 289 | 1,512 | — |
| 1.125% Call Option derivative asset | 374 | — | — | 374 |
| Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis | \$2,175 | \$289 | \$1,512 | \$374 |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----|-----|-------|
| 1.125% Conversion Option derivative liability | \$374 | \$— | \$— | \$374 |
| Total liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis | \$374 | \$— | \$— | \$374 |

Fair Value Measurements – Disclosure Only

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of our senior notes, which are classified as Level 2 financial instruments, are indicated in the following table.

| | June 30, 2016 | | December 31, 2015 | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| 5.375% Notes | \$690 | \$702 | \$689 | \$700 |
| 1.125% Convertible Notes | 460 | 742 | 448 | 865 |
| 1.625% Convertible Notes | 278 | 329 | 273 | 365 |
| | \$1,428 | \$1,773 | \$1,410 | \$1,930 |

Table of Contents

6. Investments

The following tables summarize our investments as of the dates indicated:

| | June 30, 2016 | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | Amortized | Gross Unrealized | Estimated Fair | Value |
| | Cost | Gains | Losses | |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$1,340 | \$ 5 | \$ | -\$ 1,345 |
| GSEs | 196 | — | — | 196 |
| Municipal securities | 178 | 2 | — | 180 |
| U.S. treasury notes | 108 | — | — | 108 |
| Asset-backed securities | 71 | — | — | 71 |
| Certificates of deposit | 68 | — | — | 68 |
| | \$1,961 | \$ 7 | \$ | -\$ 1,968 |
| | December 31, 2015 | | | |
| | Amortized | Gross Unrealized | Estimated Fair | Value |
| | Cost | Gain | Losses | |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$1,189 | \$ — | \$ 5 | \$ 1,184 |
| GSEs | 212 | — | 1 | 211 |
| Municipal securities | 186 | — | 1 | 185 |
| U.S. treasury notes | 78 | — | — | 78 |
| Asset-backed securities | 63 | — | — | 63 |
| Certificates of deposit | 80 | — | — | 80 |
| | \$1,808 | \$ — | \$ 7 | \$ 1,801 |

The contractual maturities of our investments as of June 30, 2016 are summarized below:

| | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| | (In millions) | |
| Due in one year or less | \$1,084 | \$ 1,084 |
| Due after one year through five years | 844 | 850 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 33 | 34 |
| | \$1,961 | \$ 1,968 |

Gross realized gains and losses from sales of available-for-sale securities are calculated under the specific identification method and are included in investment income. Gross realized investment gains and losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 were insignificant.

We have determined that unrealized gains and losses at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, are temporary in nature, because the change in market value for these securities has resulted from fluctuating interest rates, rather than a deterioration of the creditworthiness of the issuers. So long as we maintain the intent and ability to hold these securities to maturity, we are unlikely to experience gains or losses. In the event that we dispose of these securities before maturity, we expect that realized gains or losses, if any, will be immaterial.

There were no available-for-sale investments in a material continuous loss position as of June 30, 2016.

Table of Contents

The following table segregates those available-for-sale investments that have been in a continuous loss position for less than 12 months, and those that have been in a loss position for 12 months or more as of December 31, 2015:

| | In a Continuous Loss Position for Less than 12 Months | | | In a Continuous Loss Position for 12 Months or More | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Estimated Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Total Number of Positions | Estimated Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Total Number of Positions |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$825 | \$ 4 | 588 | \$119 | \$ 1 | 87 |
| GSEs | 182 | 1 | 77 | — | — | — |
| Municipal securities | 128 | 1 | 181 | — | — | — |
| | \$1,135 | \$ 6 | 846 | \$119 | \$ 1 | 87 |

7. Receivables

Receivables consist primarily of amounts due from government Medicaid agencies, which may be subject to potential retroactive adjustments. Because all of our receivable amounts are readily determinable and substantially all of our creditors are governmental authorities, our allowance for doubtful accounts is immaterial. The information below is presented by segment.

| | June 30, December 31, 2016 2015 | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|
| | (In millions) | |
| California | \$180 | \$ 104 |
| Florida | 103 | 22 |
| Illinois | 106 | 35 |
| Michigan | 62 | 39 |
| New Mexico | 64 | 51 |
| Ohio | 112 | 66 |
| Puerto Rico | 50 | 33 |
| South Carolina | 11 | 6 |
| Texas | 60 | 56 |
| Utah | 38 | 18 |
| Washington | 81 | 53 |
| Wisconsin | 46 | 22 |
| Direct delivery and other | 5 | 6 |
| Total Health Plans segment | 918 | 511 |
| Molina Medicaid Solutions segment | 41 | 37 |
| Other segment | 53 | 49 |
| | \$1,012 | \$ 597 |

8. Restricted Investments

Pursuant to the regulations governing our Health Plans segment subsidiaries, we maintain statutory deposits and deposits required by government authorities in certificates of deposit and U.S. treasury securities. We also maintain restricted investments as protection against the insolvency of certain capitated providers. The following table presents the balances of

Table of Contents

restricted investments:

| | June 30, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|----------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | (In millions) | |
| Florida | \$28 | \$ 34 |
| Illinois | 3 | — |
| Michigan | 1 | 1 |
| New Mexico | 43 | 43 |
| Ohio | 12 | 12 |
| Puerto Rico | 10 | 10 |
| Texas | 4 | 4 |
| Utah | 4 | 4 |
| Wisconsin | 1 | 1 |
| Other | 1 | — |
| Total Health Plans segment | \$107 | \$ 109 |

The contractual maturities of our held-to-maturity restricted investments as of June 30, 2016 are summarized below:

| | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| | (In millions) | |
| Due in one year or less | \$106 | \$ 106 |
| Due after one year through five years | 1 | 1 |
| | \$107 | \$ 107 |

9. Medical Claims and Benefits Payable

The following table provides the details of our medical claims and benefits payable (including amounts payable for the provision of long-term services and supports, or LTSS) as of the dates indicated.

| | June 30, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | (In millions) | |
| Fee-for-service claims incurred but not paid (IBNP) | \$1,292 | \$ 1,191 |
| Pharmacy payable | 103 | 88 |
| Capitation payable | 37 | 140 |
| Other | 334 | 266 |
| | \$1,766 | \$ 1,685 |

"Other" medical claims and benefits payable include amounts payable to certain providers for which we act as an intermediary on behalf of various government agencies without assuming financial risk. Such receipts and payments do not impact our consolidated statements of income. Non-risk provider payables amounted to \$191 million and \$167 million as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

The following table presents the components of the change in our medical claims and benefits payable for the periods indicated. The amounts presented for "Components of medical care costs related to: Prior periods" represent the amount by which our original estimate of medical claims and benefits payable at the beginning of the period were more than the actual amount of the liability based on information (principally the payment of claims) developed since that liability was first reported.

Table of Contents

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 | Year Ended December 31, 2015 | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Medical claims and benefits payable, beginning balance | \$ 1,685 | \$ 1,201 | | |
| Components of medical care costs related to: | | | | |
| Current period | 7,371 | 11,935 | | |
| Prior periods | (189) | (141) | | |
| Total medical care costs | 7,182 | 11,794 | | |
| Change in non-risk provider payables | 24 | 48 | | |
| Payments for medical care costs related to: | | | | |
| Current period | 5,885 | 10,448 | | |
| Prior periods | 1,240 | 910 | | |
| Total paid | 7,125 | 11,358 | | |
| Medical claims and benefits payable, ending balance | \$ 1,766 | \$ 1,685 | | |
| Benefit from prior period as a percentage of: | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | 11.3 % | 11.8 % | | |
| Premium revenue, trailing twelve months | 1.3 % | 1.1 % | | |
| Medical care costs, trailing twelve months | 1.4 % | 1.2 % | | |

The portion of our total medical claims and benefits payable liability that is most subject to variability in the estimate is fee-for-service claims incurred but not paid (IBNP). Our IBNP, included in medical claims and benefits payable, represents our best estimate of the total amount of claims we will ultimately pay with respect to claims that we have incurred as of the balance sheet date. We estimate our IBNP monthly using actuarial methods based on a number of factors.

Assuming that our initial estimate of IBNP is accurate, we believe that amounts ultimately paid would generally be between 8% and 10% less than the IBNP liability recorded at the end of the period as a result of the inclusion in that liability of the provision for adverse claims deviation and the accrued cost of settling those claims. Because the amount of our initial liability is merely an estimate (and therefore not perfectly accurate), we will always experience variability in that estimate as new information becomes available with the passage of time. Therefore, there can be no assurance that amounts ultimately paid out will fall within the range of 8% to 10% lower than the liability that was initially recorded. Furthermore, because our initial estimate of IBNP is derived from many factors, some of which are qualitative in nature rather than quantitative, we are seldom able to assign specific values to the reasons for a change in estimate – we only know when the circumstances for any one or more factors are out of the ordinary.

The use of a consistent methodology in estimating our liability for medical claims and benefits payable minimizes the degree to which the under- or overestimation of that liability at the close of one period may affect consolidated results of operations in subsequent periods. In particular, the use of a consistent methodology should result in the replenishment of reserves during any given period in a manner that generally offsets the benefit of favorable prior period development in that period. Facts and circumstances unique to the estimation process at any single date, however, may still lead to a material impact on consolidated results of operations in subsequent periods. Any absence of adverse claims development (as well as the expensing through general and administrative expense of the costs to settle claims held at the start of the period) will lead to the recognition of a benefit from prior period claims development in the period subsequent to the date of the original estimate.

As indicated above, the amounts ultimately paid out on our medical claims and benefits payable liabilities in fiscal years 2016 and 2015 were less than what we had expected when we had established those liabilities. The differences between our original estimates and the amounts ultimately paid out (or now expected to be ultimately paid out) for the

most part related to IBNP. While many related factors working in conjunction with one another serve to determine the accuracy of our estimates, we are seldom able to quantify the impact that any single factor has on a change in estimate. In addition, given the variability inherent in the reserving process, we will only be able to identify specific factors if they represent a significant departure from expectations. As a result, we do not expect to be able to fully quantify the impact of individual factors on changes in estimates.

We believe that the most significant uncertainties surrounding our IBNP estimates at June 30, 2016 are as follows:

- In the first half of 2016, our Marketplace enrollment across all health plans increased by approximately 392,000 members. Some of the states with significant increases included:

California: 57,000

Table of Contents

Florida: 94,000

Texas: 110,000

Utah: 48,000

Wisconsin: 38,000

Because these new Marketplace members may have different utilization patterns than our legacy members, our estimates of the liability we have incurred for services provided to these members are subject to more than the usual amount of uncertainty.

Our Illinois health plan added over 100,000 new members under acquisitions of three Medicaid contracts during the first half of 2016. Because these new members may have different utilization patterns than our legacy members, our estimates of the liability we have incurred for services provided to these members are subject to more than the usual amount of uncertainty.

At our New Mexico, Puerto Rico and Washington health plans, we overpaid certain inpatient and outpatient facility claims. We adjusted our claims payment history to reflect the claims payment pattern that would have occurred without these overpayments. For this reason, our liability estimates at these health plans are subject to more than the usual amount of uncertainty.

At our Washington health plan, the covered benefits in two counties were expanded to include behavioral health benefits under the state's new fully integrated managed care program, which impacted about 80,000 members. Because these are new benefits, our liability estimate at this health plan is subject to more than the usual amount of uncertainty.

We recognized favorable prior period claims development in the amount of \$189 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016. This amount represents our estimate as of June 30, 2016, of the extent to which our initial estimate of medical claims and benefits payable at December 31, 2015 was more than the amount that will ultimately be paid out in satisfaction of that liability. We believe the overestimation was due primarily to the following factors:

A new version of diagnostic codes was required for all claims with dates of service on October 1, 2015, and later. As a result, payment was delayed or denied for a significant number of claims due to provider submission of claims with diagnostic codes that were no longer valid. Once providers were able to submit claims with the correct diagnostic codes, our actual costs were ultimately less than expected.

At our New Mexico health plan, we overestimated the impact of several pending high-dollar claims, and our actual costs were ultimately less than expected.

At our Washington health plan, we overpaid certain outpatient facility claims in 2015 when the state converted to a new payment methodology. We did not include an estimate in the reserves for this potential recovery as of December 31, 2015.

At our California health plan, approximately 55,000 new members were added to our Medicaid Expansion product in 2015. For these new members, our actual costs were ultimately less than expected.

10. Debt

As of June 30, 2016, contractual maturities of debt for the years ending December 31 are as follows:

| | Total | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | Thereafter |
|------------------------------|---------------|------|------|------|------|-------|------------|
| | (In millions) | | | | | | |
| 5.375% Notes | \$700 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 700 |
| 1.125% Convertible Notes | 550 | — | — | — | — | 550 | — |
| 1.625% Convertible Notes (1) | 302 | — | — | — | — | — | 302 |
| | \$1,552 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$550 | \$ 1,002 |

The 1.625% Notes have a contractual maturity date in 2044; however, on specified dates beginning in 2018 as (1) described below, holders of the 1.625% Notes may require us to repurchase some or all of the 1.625% Notes, or we may redeem any or all of the 1.625% Notes.

Table of Contents

Substantially all of our debt is held at the parent, which is reported in the Other segment. The principal amounts, unamortized discount (net of premium related to the 1.625% Notes), unamortized issuance costs, and net carrying amounts of debt were as follows:

| | Principal Balance | Unamortized Discount | Unamortized Issuance Costs | Net Carrying Amount |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| (In millions) | | | | |
| June 30, 2016: | | | | |
| 5.375% Notes | \$ 700 | \$ — | \$ 10 | \$ 690 |
| 1.125% Convertible Notes | 550 | 84 | 6 | 460 |
| 1.625% Convertible Notes | 302 | 20 | 4 | 278 |
| | \$ 1,552 | \$ 104 | \$ 20 | \$ 1,428 |
| December 31, 2015: | | | | |
| 5.375% Notes | \$ 700 | \$ — | \$ 11 | \$ 689 |
| 1.125% Convertible Notes | 550 | 95 | 7 | 448 |
| 1.625% Convertible Notes | 302 | 25 | 4 | 273 |
| Other | 1 | — | — | 1 |
| | \$ 1,553 | \$ 120 | \$ 22 | \$ 1,411 |

Interest cost recognized relating to our convertible senior notes for the periods presented was as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 | Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| (In millions) | | | | |
| Contractual interest coupon rate | \$ 3 | \$ 3 | \$ 6 | \$ 6 |
| Amortization of the discount | 8 | 7 | 15 | 14 |
| | \$ 11 | \$ 10 | \$ 21 | \$ 20 |

5.375% Senior Notes due 2022. On November 10, 2015, we completed the private offering of \$700 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes (5.375% Notes) due November 15, 2022, unless earlier redeemed. Interest is payable semiannually in arrears on May 15 and November 15. The 5.375% Notes are not convertible into our common stock or any other securities.

The 5.375% Notes are guaranteed by certain of our wholly owned subsidiaries. The 5.375% Notes and the guarantees are effectively subordinated to all existing and future secured debt of us and our guarantors to the extent of the assets securing such debt. In addition, the 5.375% Notes and the guarantees are structurally subordinated to all indebtedness and other liabilities and preferred stock of our subsidiaries that do not guarantee the 5.375% Notes.

We may redeem some or all of the 5.375% Notes at any time, and prior to August 15, 2022, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon, plus a "make-whole" premium. Thereafter, we may redeem some or all of the 5.375% Notes at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon. The 5.375% Notes contain customary non-financial covenants and change of control provisions.

In connection with the issuance and sale of the 5.375% Notes, we entered into a registration rights agreement. Under this agreement, we will use commercially reasonable efforts to register substantially identical notes (the Exchange Notes) with the SEC in 2016. We will then offer such freely tradable Exchange Notes in exchange for the 5.375% Notes. We will pay additional interest on the 5.375% Notes if the Exchange Notes offering is not completed timely. Credit Facility. In June 2015, we entered into an unsecured \$250 million revolving credit facility (Credit Facility). The Credit Facility has a term of five years and all amounts outstanding will be due and payable on June 12, 2020. Subject to obtaining commitments from existing or new lenders and satisfaction of other specified conditions, we may increase the Credit Facility to up to \$350 million. As of June 30, 2016, outstanding letters of credit amounting to \$6

million reduced the borrowing capacity to \$244 million, and no amounts were outstanding under the Credit Facility. Borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest based, at our election, on a base rate or an adjusted London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), plus in each case the applicable margin. In addition to interest payable on the principal amount of indebtedness outstanding from time to time under the Credit Facility, we are required to pay a quarterly commitment fee.

Although the Credit Facility is not secured by any of our assets, certain of our wholly owned subsidiaries have jointly and severally guaranteed our obligations under the Credit Facility.

Table of Contents

The Credit Facility contains customary non-financial and financial covenants, including a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, a maximum debt-to-EBITDA ratio and minimum statutory net worth. At June 30, 2016, we were in compliance with all financial covenants under the Credit Facility.

1.125% Cash Convertible Senior Notes due 2020. In February 2013, we issued \$550 million aggregate principal amount of 1.125% cash convertible senior notes (1.125% Notes) due January 15, 2020, unless earlier repurchased or converted. Interest is payable semiannually in arrears on January 15 and July 15.

The 1.125% Notes are senior unsecured obligations and rank senior in right of payment to any of our indebtedness that is expressly subordinated in right of payment to the 1.125% Notes; equal in right of payment to any of our unsecured indebtedness that is not subordinated; effectively junior in right of payment to any of our secured indebtedness to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness; and structurally junior to all indebtedness and other liabilities of our subsidiaries.

The 1.125% Notes are convertible only into cash, and not into shares of our common stock or any other securities. The initial conversion rate for the 1.125% Notes is 24.5277 shares of our common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of the 1.125% Notes. This represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$40.77 per share of our common stock. Upon conversion, in lieu of receiving shares of our common stock, a holder will receive an amount in cash, per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.125% Notes, equal to the settlement amount, determined in the manner set forth in the indenture. We may not redeem the 1.125% Notes prior to the maturity date. Holders may convert their 1.125% Notes only under the following circumstances:

- during any calendar quarter (and only during such calendar quarter), if the last reported sale price of the common stock for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter is greater than or equal to 130% of the conversion price on each applicable trading day;
- during the five business day period immediately after any five consecutive trading day period (the measurement period) in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.125% Notes for each trading day of the measurement period was less than 98% of the product of the last reported sale price of our common stock and the conversion rate on each such trading day;
- upon the occurrence of specified corporate events; or
- at any time on or after July 15, 2019 until the close of business on the second scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date.

The 1.125% Notes did not meet the stock price trigger in the quarter ended June 30, 2016; therefore the \$460 million carrying amount was reclassified to long-term debt as of June 30, 2016.

The 1.125% Notes contain an embedded cash conversion option (the 1.125% Conversion Option), which was separated from the 1.125% Notes and accounted for separately as a derivative liability, with changes in fair value reported in our consolidated statements of income until the 1.125% Conversion Option settles or expires. The initial fair value liability of the 1.125% Conversion Option simultaneously reduced the carrying value of the 1.125% Notes (effectively an original issuance discount). This discount is amortized to the 1.125% Notes' principal amount through the recognition of non-cash interest expense over the expected life of the debt. This has resulted in our recognition of interest expense on the 1.125% Notes at an effective rate of approximately 6%. As of June 30, 2016, the 1.125% Notes have a remaining amortization period of 3.5 years. The 1.125% Notes' if-converted value exceeded their principal amount by approximately \$180 million and \$332 million as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

1.625% Convertible Senior Notes due 2044. In September 2014, we issued \$125 million principal amount of 1.625% convertible senior notes (1.625% Notes) due August 15, 2044, unless earlier repurchased, redeemed or converted. Combined with the 1.625% Notes issued in an exchange transaction in 2014, the aggregate principal amount of 1.625% Notes issued was \$302 million.

Interest is payable semiannually in arrears on February 15 and August 15. In addition, beginning with the semiannual interest period commencing immediately following the interest payment date on August 15, 2018, contingent interest will accrue on the 1.625% Notes during any semiannual interest period in which certain conditions or events occur, or under certain events of default. For example, additional interest of 0.25% per year will be payable on the 1.625%

Notes for any semiannual interest period for which the principal amount of 1.625% Notes outstanding is less than \$100 million.

The 1.625% Notes are senior unsecured obligations and rank senior in right of payment to any of our indebtedness that is expressly subordinated in right of payment to the 1.625% Notes; equal in right of payment to any of our unsecured indebtedness that is not subordinated; effectively junior in right of payment to any of our secured indebtedness to the extent of

Table of Contents

the value of the assets securing such indebtedness; and structurally junior to all indebtedness and other liabilities of our subsidiaries.

The initial conversion rate for the 1.625% Notes is 17.2157 shares of our common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of the 1.625% Notes. This represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$58.09 per share of our common stock. Upon conversion, we will pay cash and, if applicable, deliver shares of our common stock to the converting holder in an amount per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.625% Notes equal to the settlement amount (as defined in the related indenture).

• Holders may convert their 1.625% Notes only under the following circumstances:

- during any calendar quarter (and only during such calendar quarter), if the last reported sale price of the common stock for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter is greater than or equal to 130% of the conversion price on each applicable trading day;

• during the five business day period after any five consecutive trading day period (the measurement period) in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.625% Notes for each trading day of the measurement period was less than 98% of the product of the last reported sale price of our common stock and the conversion rate on each such trading day;

• upon the occurrence of specified corporate events;

• if we call any 1.625% Notes for redemption, at any time until the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the redemption date;

• during the period from, and including, May 15, 2018 to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding August 19, 2018; or

• at any time on or after February 15, 2044 until the close of business on the second scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date, holders may convert their 1.625% Notes, in integral multiples of \$1,000 principal amount, at the option of the holder regardless of the foregoing circumstances.

As of June 30, 2016, the 1.625% Notes were not convertible.

We may not redeem the 1.625% Notes prior to August 19, 2018. On or after August 19, 2018, we may redeem all or part of the 1.625% Notes for cash, except for the 1.625% Notes we are required to repurchase in connection with a fundamental change or on any specified repurchase date. The redemption price for the 1.625% Notes will equal 100% of the principal amount of the 1.625% Notes being redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, holders of the 1.625% Notes may require us to repurchase some or all of the 1.625% Notes for cash on August 19, 2018, August 19, 2024, August 19, 2029, August 19, 2034 and August 19, 2039, in each case, at a specified price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 1.625% Notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

Because the 1.625% Notes are net share settled and have cash settlement features, we have allocated the principal amount between a liability component and an equity component. The reduced carrying value on the 1.625% Notes resulted in a debt discount that is amortized back to the 1.625% Notes' principal amount through the recognition of non-cash interest expense over the expected life of the debt. The expected life of the debt is approximately four years, beginning on the issuance date and ending on the first date we may redeem the 1.625% Notes in August 2018. As of June 30, 2016, the 1.625% Notes have a remaining amortization period of 2.1 years. This has resulted in our recognition of interest expense on the 1.625% Notes at an effective rate approximating what we would have incurred had nonconvertible debt with otherwise similar terms been issued, or approximately 5%. The outstanding 1.625% Notes' if-converted value did not exceed their principal amount at June 30, 2016 and exceeded their principal amount at December 31, 2015 by approximately \$10 million. At June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the equity component of the 1.625% Notes, including the impact of deferred taxes, was \$23 million.

Table of Contents

11. Derivatives

The following table summarizes the fair values and the presentation of our derivative financial instruments (defined and discussed individually below) in the consolidated balance sheets:

| | Balance Sheet Location | June 30December 31, | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| | | 2016 | 2015 |
| | | (In millions) | |
| Derivative asset: | | | |
| 1.125% Call Option | Current assets: Derivative asset | \$— | \$ 374 |
| | Non-current assets: Derivative asset | \$226 | \$ — |
| Derivative liability: | | | |
| 1.125% Conversion Option | Current liabilities: Derivative liability | \$— | \$ 374 |
| | Non-current liabilities: Derivative liability | \$226 | \$ — |

Our derivative financial instruments do not qualify for hedge treatment; therefore the change in fair value of these instruments is recognized immediately in our consolidated statements of income, and reported in other expense, net. Gains and losses for our derivative financial instruments are presented individually in the consolidated statements of cash flows, supplemental cash flow information.

1.125% Notes Call Spread Overlay. Concurrent with the issuance of the 1.125% Notes in 2013, we entered into privately negotiated hedge transactions (collectively, the 1.125% Call Option) and warrant transactions (collectively, the 1.125% Warrants), with certain of the initial purchasers of the 1.125% Notes (the Counterparties). We refer to these transactions collectively as the Call Spread Overlay. Under the Call Spread Overlay, the cost of the 1.125% Call Option we purchased to cover the cash outlay upon conversion of the 1.125% Notes was reduced by proceeds from the sale of the 1.125% Warrants. Assuming full performance by the Counterparties (and 1.125% Warrants strike prices in excess of the conversion price of the 1.125% Notes), these transactions are intended to offset cash payments in excess of the principal amount of the 1.125% Notes due upon any conversion of the 1.125% Notes.

1.125% Call Option. The 1.125% Call Option, which is indexed to our common stock, is a derivative asset that requires mark-to-market accounting treatment due to cash settlement features until the 1.125% Call Option settles or expires. For further discussion of the inputs used to determine the fair value of the 1.125% Call Option, refer to Note 5, "Fair Value Measurements."

1.125% Conversion Option. The embedded cash conversion option within the 1.125% Notes is accounted for separately as a derivative liability, with changes in fair value reported in our consolidated statements of income until the cash conversion option settles or expires. For further discussion of the inputs used to determine the fair value of the 1.125% Conversion Option, refer to Note 5, "Fair Value Measurements."

As of June 30, 2016, the 1.125% Call Option and the 1.125% Conversion Option were classified as a non-current asset and non-current liability, respectively, because the 1.125% Notes may not be converted within 12 months of June 30, 2016, as described in Note 10, "Debt."

12. Stockholders' Equity

Stockholders' equity increased \$84 million during the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared with stockholders' equity at December 31, 2015. The increase was primarily due to net income of \$57 million, \$8 million of other comprehensive income and \$19 million related to employee stock transactions.

1.125% Warrants. In connection with the Call Spread Overlay transaction described in Note 11, "Derivatives," in 2013, we issued 13,490,236 warrants with a strike price of \$53.8475 per share. The number of warrants and the strike price are subject to adjustment under certain circumstances. If the market value per share of our common stock exceeds the strike price of the 1.125% Warrants on any trading day during the 160 trading day measurement period (beginning on April 15, 2020) under the 1.125% Warrants, we will be obligated to issue to the Counterparties a number of shares equal in value to the product of the amount by which such market value exceeds such strike price and 1/160th of the aggregate number of shares of our common stock underlying the 1.125% Warrants, subject to a share delivery cap. The 1.125% Warrants could separately have a dilutive effect to the extent that the market value per share of our common stock exceeds the applicable strike price of the 1.125% Warrants. Refer to Note 3, "Net Income per Share," for dilution information for the periods presented. We will not receive any additional proceeds if the

1.125% Warrants are exercised.

21

Table of Contents

Securities Repurchase Program. Effective as of December 16, 2015, our board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50 million in aggregate of our common stock or senior notes. This repurchase program extends through December 31, 2016.

Stock Incentive Plans. In connection with our equity incentive plans and employee stock purchase plan, approximately 446,000 shares of common stock vested, net of shares used to settle employees' income tax obligations, during the six months ended June 30, 2016.

Charged to general and administrative expenses, total share-based compensation expense was as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 | | |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------|---------------|
| | | | | (In millions) |
| Restricted stock and performance awards | \$8 | \$2 | \$13 | \$7 |
| Employee stock purchase plan and stock options | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| | \$9 | \$3 | \$16 | \$9 |

As of June 30, 2016, there was \$45 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested restricted share awards, including those with performance conditions, which we expect to recognize over a remaining weighted-average period of 1.8 years. This unrecognized compensation cost assumes an estimated forfeiture rate of 4.3% for non-executive employees as of June 30, 2016.

Restricted stock. Restricted and performance stock activity for the six months ended June 30, 2016 is summarized below:

| | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Unvested balance as of December 31, 2015 | 1,035 | \$ 46.68 |
| Granted | 505 | 64.22 |
| Vested | (329) | 41.45 |
| Forfeited | (19) | 52.01 |
| Unvested balance as of June 30, 2016 | 1,192 | 55.47 |

The total fair value of restricted and performance awards granted during the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$32 million and \$27 million, respectively. The total fair value of restricted awards, including those with performance and market conditions, which vested during the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$21 million and \$24 million, respectively.

As of June 30, 2016, there were approximately 603,000 unvested restricted shares outstanding which contained one or more performance measures. In the event the vesting conditions are not achieved, the awards will lapse. Based on our assessment as of June 30, 2016, we expect the performance conditions for approximately 425,000 of these outstanding restricted share awards to be met in full.

13. Segment Information

We have three reportable segments. These segments include our Health Plans and Molina Medicaid Solutions segments, which comprise the vast majority of our operations, and our Other segment. As of December 31, 2015, we changed our reporting structure as a result of the Pathways acquisition in November 2015, which is reported in Other. Our reportable segments are consistent with how we currently manage the business and view the markets we serve. The Health Plans segment consists of our health plans and our direct delivery business. Our health plans are operating segments that have been aggregated for reporting purposes because they share similar economic characteristics. The Molina Medicaid Solutions segment provides Medicaid management information system (MMIS) design,

development, and implementation; business process outsourcing solutions; hosting services; and information technology support services to state Medicaid agencies. Our Other segment includes other businesses, such as our Pathways behavioral health and social services provider, that do not meet the quantitative thresholds for a reportable segment as defined by GAAP, as well as corporate amounts not allocated to other reportable segments.

Gross margin is the appropriate earnings measure for our reportable segments, based on how our chief operating decision maker currently reviews results, assesses performance, and allocates resources.

Table of Contents

Gross margin for our Health Plans segment is referred to as "Medical margin," and for our Molina Medicaid Solutions and Other segments, as "Service margin." Medical margin represents the amount earned by the Health Plans segment after medical costs are deducted from premium revenue. The medical care ratio represents the amount of medical care costs as a percentage of premium revenue, and is one of the key metrics used to assess the performance of the Health Plans segment. Therefore, the underlying medical margin is the most important measure of earnings reviewed by the chief operating decision maker. The service margin is equal to service revenue minus cost of service revenue.

| | Health Plans | Molina Medicaid Solutions | Other | Consolidated |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------|--------------|
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 | | | | |
| Total revenue (1) | \$4,223 | \$ 46 | \$90 | \$ 4,359 |
| Gross margin | 435 | 5 | 14 | 454 |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 | | | | |
| Total revenue (1) | \$8,424 | \$ 98 | \$180 | \$ 8,702 |
| Gross margin | 842 | 11 | 21 | 874 |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2015 | | | | |
| Total revenue (1) | \$3,477 | \$ 47 | \$1 | \$ 3,525 |
| Gross margin | 375 | 14 | — | 389 |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 | | | | |
| Total revenue (1) | \$6,593 | \$ 99 | \$4 | \$ 6,696 |
| Gross margin | 710 | 30 | — | 740 |
| Total Assets | | | | |
| June 30, 2016 | \$5,521 | \$ 252 | \$1,429 | \$ 7,202 |
| December 31, 2015 | 4,707 | 213 | 1,656 | 6,576 |

(1) Total revenue consists primarily of premium revenue for the Health Plans segment, and service revenue for the Molina Medicaid Solutions and Other segments.

The following table reconciles gross margin by segment to consolidated income before income tax expense:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------------|-------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Gross margin: | | | | |
| Health Plans | \$435 | \$375 | \$842 | \$710 |
| Molina Medicaid Solutions | 5 | 14 | 11 | 30 |
| Other | 14 | — | 21 | — |
| Total gross margin | 454 | 389 | 874 | 740 |
| Add: other operating revenues (1) | 195 | 174 | 403 | 322 |
| Less: other operating expenses (2) | (544) | (447) | (1,083) | (864) |
| Operating income | 105 | 116 | 194 | 198 |
| Other expenses, net | (25) | (15) | (50) | (30) |
| Income before income tax expense | \$80 | \$101 | \$144 | \$168 |

Table of Contents

(1) Other operating revenues include premium tax revenue, health insurer fee revenue, investment income and other revenue.

(2) Other operating expenses include general and administrative expenses, premium tax expenses, health insurer fee expenses and depreciation and amortization.

14. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Proceedings. The health care and business process outsourcing industries are subject to numerous laws and regulations of federal, state, and local governments. Compliance with these laws and regulations can be subject to government review and interpretation, as well as regulatory actions unknown and unasserted at this time. Penalties associated with violations of these laws and regulations include significant fines and penalties, exclusion from participating in publicly funded programs, and the repayment of previously billed and collected revenues.

We are involved in legal actions in the ordinary course of business, some of which seek monetary damages, including claims for punitive damages, which are not covered by insurance. We have accrued liabilities for certain matters for which we deem the loss to be both probable and estimable. Although we believe that our estimates of such losses are reasonable, these estimates could change as a result of further developments of these matters. The outcome of legal actions is inherently uncertain and such pending matters for which accruals have not been established have not progressed sufficiently through discovery and/or development of important factual information and legal issues to enable us to estimate a range of possible loss, if any. While it is not possible to accurately predict or determine the eventual outcomes of these items, an adverse determination in one or more of these pending matters could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

State of Louisiana. On June 26, 2014, the state of Louisiana filed a Petition for Damages against Molina Medicaid Solutions, Molina Healthcare, Inc., Unisys Corporation, and Paramax Systems Corporation, a subsidiary of Unisys, in the Parish of Baton Rouge, 19th Judicial District, versus number 631612. The Petition alleges that between 1989 and 2012, the defendants utilized an incorrect reimbursement formula for the payment of pharmaceutical claims. We believe we have several meritorious defenses to the claims of the state, and any liability for the alleged claims is not currently probable and is not reasonably estimable.

United States of America, ex rel., Anita Silingo v. Mobile Medical Examination Services, Inc., et al. On or around October 14, 2014, Molina Healthcare of California, Molina Healthcare of California Partner Plan, Inc., Mobile Medical Examination Services, Inc. (MedXM), and other health plan defendants were served with a Complaint previously filed under seal in the Central District Court of California by Relator, Anita Silingo, Case No. SACV13-1348-FMO(SHx). The Complaint alleges that MedXM improperly modified medical records and otherwise took inappropriate steps to increase members' risk adjustment scores, and that the defendants, including Molina Healthcare of California and Molina Healthcare of California Partner Plan, Inc., purportedly turned a "blind eye" to these unlawful practices. On October 22, 2015, the Relator filed a third amended complaint. On July 11, 2016, the District Court dismissed with prejudice the third amended complaint, without leave to amend, thereby concluding this litigation.

Rodriguez v. Providence Community Corrections. On October 1, 2015, seven individuals, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, filed a complaint in the District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee, Nashville Division, Case No. 3:15-cv-01048 (the "Rodriguez Litigation"), against Providence Community Corrections, Inc. (now known as Pathways Community Corrections, Inc., or "PCC"). Rutherford County, Tennessee formerly contracted with PCC for the administration of misdemeanor probation, which involved the collection of court costs and fees from probationers. The complaint alleges, among other things, that PCC illegally assessed fees and surcharges against probationers and made improper threats of arrest and probation revocation if the probationers did not pay such amounts. The plaintiffs in the Rodriguez Litigation seek alleged compensatory, treble, and punitive damages, plus attorneys' fees, for alleged federal and state constitutional violations, as well as alleged violations of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization Act. PCC's agreement with Rutherford County terminated effective December 29, 2015. On November 1, 2015, one month after the Rodriguez Litigation had been commenced, we acquired PCC from The Providence Service Corporation ("Providence") pursuant to a membership interest purchase agreement. We have notified Providence that, for its failure to disclose the Rodriguez Litigation, we intend to seek

indemnification from Providence under the membership interest purchase agreement for any liability arising from the Rodriguez Litigation.

Provider Claims. Many of our medical contracts are complex in nature and may be subject to differing interpretations regarding amounts due for the provision of various services. Such differing interpretations have led certain medical providers to pursue us for additional compensation. The claims made by providers in such circumstances often involve issues of contract compliance, interpretation, payment methodology, and intent. These claims often extend to services provided by the providers over a number of years.

Table of Contents

Various providers have contacted us seeking additional compensation for claims that we believe to have been settled. These matters, when finally concluded and determined, will not, in our opinion, have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

States' Budgets. From time to time, the states in which our health plans operate may experience financial difficulties, which could lead to delays in premium payments. It has been our practice in the past to continue to serve our members and pay health care providers for services rendered in circumstances where state (or Commonwealth) governments are temporarily unable to pay us, so long as we continue to believe that such state (or Commonwealth) governments will ultimately pay us.

Regulatory Capital and Dividend Restrictions. Our health plans, which are operated by our respective wholly owned subsidiaries in those states, are subject to state laws and regulations that, among other things, require the maintenance of minimum levels of statutory capital, as defined by each state. Regulators in some states may also attempt to enforce capital requirements upon us that require the retention of net worth in excess of amounts formally required by statute or regulation. Such statutes, regulations and informal capital requirements also restrict the timing, payment, and amount of dividends and other distributions that may be paid to us as the sole stockholder. To the extent our subsidiaries must comply with these regulations, they may not have the financial flexibility to transfer funds to us.

Based on current statutes and regulations, the net assets in these subsidiaries (after intercompany eliminations) which may not be transferable to us in the form of loans, advances, or cash dividends was approximately \$1,299 million at June 30, 2016, and \$1,229 million at December 31, 2015. Because of the statutory restrictions that inhibit the ability of our health plans to transfer net assets to us, the amount of retained earnings readily available to pay dividends to our stockholders is generally limited to cash, cash equivalents and investments held by the parent company – Molina Healthcare, Inc. Such cash, cash equivalents and investments amounted to \$466 million and \$612 million as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) adopted rules effective December 31, 1998, which, if implemented by the states, set minimum capitalization requirements for insurance companies, HMOs, and other entities bearing risk for health care coverage. The requirements take the form of risk-based capital (RBC) rules which may vary from state to state.

As of June 30, 2016, our health plans had aggregate statutory capital and surplus of approximately \$1,384 million compared with the required minimum aggregate statutory capital and surplus of approximately \$854 million. All of our health plans were in compliance with the minimum capital requirements at June 30, 2016. We have the ability and commitment to provide additional capital to each of our health plans when necessary to ensure that statutory capital and surplus continue to meet regulatory requirements.

15. Related Party Transactions

Our California health plan has entered into a provider agreement with Pacific Healthcare IPA (Pacific), which is 50% owned by the brother-in-law of Dr. J. Mario Molina, our Chief Executive Officer, and John C. Molina, our Chief Financial Officer. Under the terms of this provider agreement, the California health plan pays Pacific for medical care Pacific provides to health plan members. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the amounts paid to Pacific were insignificant.

Refer to Note 16, "Variable Interest Entities (VIEs)," for a discussion of the Joseph M. Molina, M.D. Professional Corporations.

16. Variable Interest Entities (VIEs)

Joseph M. Molina M.D., Professional Corporations

The Joseph M. Molina, M.D. Professional Corporations (JMMPC) were created to further advance our direct delivery business. JMMPC's primary shareholder is Dr. J. Mario Molina, our chief executive officer, president, and chairman of the board of directors. Dr. Molina is paid no salary and receives no dividends in connection with his work for, or ownership of, JMMPC. JMMPC provides primary care medical services through its employed physicians and other medical professionals. JMMPC also provides certain specialty referral services to our California health plan members through a contracted provider network. Substantially all of the individuals served by JMMPC are members of our health plans. JMMPC does not have agreements to provide professional medical services with any other entities.

Our wholly owned subsidiary, Molina Medical Management, Inc. (MMM), has entered into services agreements with JMMPC to provide clinic facilities, clinic administrative support staff, patient scheduling services and medical supplies to JMMPC. The services agreements were designed such that JMMPC will operate at break even, ensuring the availability of quality care and access for our health plan members. The services agreements provide that the administrative fees charged to JMMPC by MMM are reviewed annually to assure the achievement of this goal. Separately, our California, Florida, New Mexico, Utah and Washington health plans have entered into primary care services agreements with JMMPC. These agreements direct our health plans to perform a monthly reconciliation, to either fund

Table of Contents

JMMPC's operating deficits, or receive JMMPC's operating surpluses, such that JMMPC will derive no profit or loss. Because the MMM services agreements described above mitigate the likelihood of significant operating deficits or surpluses, such monthly reconciliation amounts are generally insignificant. For the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, our health plans paid \$31 million and \$27 million, respectively, to JMMPC for health care services provided by JMMPC to the health plans' members. For the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, our health plans paid \$61 million and \$52 million, respectively, to JMMPC for health care services provided by JMMPC to the health plans' members.

We have determined that JMMPC is a VIE, and that we are its primary beneficiary. We have reached this conclusion under the power and benefits criterion model according to GAAP. Specifically, we have the power to direct the activities that most significantly affect JMMPC's economic performance, and the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits that are potentially significant to the VIE, under the agreements described above. Because we are its primary beneficiary, we have consolidated JMMPC. JMMPC's assets may be used to settle only JMMPC's obligations, and JMMPC's creditors have no recourse to the general credit of Molina Healthcare, Inc. As of June 30, 2016, JMMPC had total assets of \$13 million, and total liabilities of \$13 million. As of December 31, 2015, JMMPC had total assets of \$17 million, and total liabilities of \$17 million.

Our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our involvement with JMMPC is generally limited to the amounts needed to fund JMMPC's ongoing payroll, employee benefits and medical care costs associated with JMMPC's specialty referral activities. We believe that such loss exposures will be immaterial to our consolidated operating results and cash flows for the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements regarding our business, financial condition, and results of operations within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, or Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or Securities Exchange Act. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and we are including this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this quarterly report may be deemed to be forward-looking statements for purposes of the Securities Act and the Securities Exchange Act. Without limiting the foregoing, we use the words “anticipate(s),” “believe(s),” “estimate(s),” “expect(s),” “intend(s),” “may,” “plan(s),” “project(s),” “will,” “would,” “could,” “should” and similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words. We cannot guarantee that we will actually achieve the plans, intentions, or expectations disclosed in our forward-looking statements and, accordingly, you should not place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements. There are a number of important factors that could cause actual results or events to differ materially from the forward-looking statements that we make. You should read these factors and the other cautionary statements as being applicable to all related forward-looking statements wherever they appear in this Quarterly Report. We caution you that we do not undertake any obligation to update forward-looking statements made by us. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results in future periods to differ materially from those projected, estimated, expected, or contemplated. Those known risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the following:

- the success of our profit improvement and cost-cutting initiatives;
- uncertainties and evolving market and provider economics associated with the implementation of the Affordable Care Act (the “ACA”), the Medicaid expansion, the insurance marketplaces, the effect of various implementing regulations, and uncertainties regarding the Medicare-Medicaid dual eligible demonstration programs in California, Illinois, Michigan, Ohio, South Carolina, and Texas;
- management of our medical costs, including our ability to reduce over time the high medical costs commonly associated with new patient populations;
- our ability to predict with a reasonable degree of accuracy utilization rates, including utilization rates in new plans, geographies, and programs where we have less experience with patient and provider populations, and also including utilization rates associated with seasonal flu patterns or other newly emergent diseases;
 - our ability to manage growth, including maintaining and creating adequate internal systems and controls
 - relating to authorizations, approvals, provider payments, and the overall success of our care management initiatives designed to control costs;
- our receipt of adequate premium rates to support increasing pharmacy costs, including costs associated with specialty drugs and costs resulting from formulary changes that allow the option of higher-priced non-generic drugs;
- our ability to operate profitably in an environment where the trend in premium rate increases lags behind the trend in increasing medical costs;
- the interpretation and implementation of federal or state medical cost expenditure floors, administrative cost and profit ceilings, premium stabilization programs, profit sharing arrangements, and risk adjustment provisions;
- the interpretation and implementation of at-risk premium rules regarding the achievement of certain quality measures, and our ability to recognize revenue amounts associated therewith;
- the interpretation and implementation of state contract performance requirements regarding the achievement of certain quality measures, and our ability to avoid liquidated damages associated therewith;
- cyber-attacks or other privacy or data security incidents resulting in an inadvertent unauthorized disclosure of protected health information;
- the success of our health plan in Puerto Rico, including the resolution of the Puerto Rico debt crisis, payment of all amounts due under our Medicaid contract, the effect of the newly enacted PROMESA law, and our efforts to better manage the health care costs of our Puerto Rico health plan;
-

significant budget pressures on state governments and their potential inability to maintain current rates, to implement expected rate increases, or to maintain existing benefit packages or membership eligibility thresholds or criteria, including the resolution of the Illinois budget impasse and continued payment of all amounts due to our Illinois health plan;

the accurate estimation of incurred but not reported or paid medical costs across our health plans; subsequent adjustments to reported premium revenue based upon subsequent developments or new information, including changes to estimated amounts due to or receivable from CMS under the ACA's "three R's" marketplace premium stabilization programs;

efforts by states to recoup previously paid amounts, including our dispute with the state of New Mexico related to reimbursement for retroactively enrolled members in 2014;

Table of Contents

- the success of our efforts to retain existing government contracts and to obtain new government contracts in connection with state requests for proposals (RFPs) in both existing and new states;
- the continuation and renewal of the government contracts of our health plans, Molina Medicaid Solutions, and Pathways, and the terms under which such contracts are renewed;
- complications, member confusion, or enrollment backlogs related to the annual renewal of Medicaid coverage;
- government audits and reviews, and any fine, enrollment freeze, or monitoring program that may result therefrom;
- changes with respect to our provider contracts and the loss of providers;
- approval by state regulators of dividends and distributions by our health plan subsidiaries;
- changes in funding under our contracts as a result of regulatory changes, programmatic adjustments, or other reforms;
- high dollar claims related to catastrophic illness;
- the favorable resolution of litigation, arbitration, or administrative proceedings;
- the relatively small number of states in which we operate health plans;
- the effect on our Los Angeles County subcontract of Centene Corporation's acquisition of Health Net Inc.;
- the availability of adequate financing on acceptable terms to fund and capitalize our expansion and growth,
- repay our outstanding indebtedness at maturity and meet our liquidity needs, including the interest expense and other costs associated with such financing;
- the failure of a state in which we operate to renew its federal Medicaid waiver;
- changes generally affecting the managed care or Medicaid management information systems industries;
- increases in government surcharges, taxes, and assessments, including but not limited to the deductibility of certain compensation costs;
- newly emergent viruses or widespread epidemics, including the Zika virus, public catastrophes or terrorist attacks, and associated public alarm;
- changes in general economic conditions, including unemployment rates;
- the sufficiency of our funds on hand to pay the amounts due upon conversion of our outstanding notes; and
- increasing competition and consolidation in the Medicaid industry.

Investors should refer to Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 and Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, for a discussion of certain risk factors that could materially affect our business, financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations. Given these risks and uncertainties, we can give no assurance that any results or events projected or contemplated by our forward-looking statements will in fact occur.

This document and the following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements and the notes to those statements appearing elsewhere in this report, and the audited financial statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis appearing in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Company Overview

Molina Healthcare, Inc. provides quality health care to people receiving government assistance. We offer cost-effective Medicaid-related solutions to meet the health care needs of low-income families and individuals, and to assist government agencies in their administration of the Medicaid program. We have three reportable segments. These segments include our Health Plans and Molina Medicaid Solutions segments, which comprise the vast majority of our operations, and our Other segment. As of December 31, 2015, we changed our reporting structure as a result of the Pathways acquisition in November 2015, which is reported in Other. All prior periods reported conform to this presentation.

Update on 2016 Financial Performance

Second Quarter 2016 Compared With First Quarter 2016

Second quarter 2016 financial performance improved significantly when compared with the first quarter of 2016.

Earnings per diluted share increased to \$0.58 in the second quarter of 2016 from \$0.43 in the first quarter. Adjusted

earnings per diluted share increased to \$0.67 in the second quarter of 2016 from \$0.51 in the first quarter. Higher profitability in the second quarter was primarily the result of improvements at the Ohio and Texas health plans. The medical care ratio of the Ohio health plan decreased to 89.7% in the second quarter of 2016 from 92.0% in the first quarter. The medical care ratio of the Texas health plan decreased to 78.5% in the second quarter of 2016 from 92.8% in the first quarter. Even without the benefit of out-of-period quality revenue adjustments discussed below, the medical care ratio of the Texas health plan would have been approximately 85.3% in the second quarter, still well under the 92.8% medical care ratio reported in the first quarter.

Table of Contents

In total, out-of-period adjustments related to 2015 dates of service were not significant to second quarter performance. Out-of-period adjustments were significant, however, on a geographic and program basis. Out-of-period adjustments were the result of changes in accounting estimates made as new information became available to the Company. The table below will help the reader to understand the discussion that follows.

Summary of Significant Out-of-Period Adjustments Affecting 2016 Financial Results

| | Three Months | | Six Months | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------|------------|----------|
| | Ended June | | Ended June | |
| | 30, 2016 | | 30, 2016 | |
| | (In millions, except per diluted share amounts) | | | |
| | Per | | Per | |
| | Amount | diluted | Amount | diluted |
| | share | share | share | share |
| Marketplace adjustments for 2015 dates of service | \$(37) | \$(0.42) | \$(68) | \$(0.76) |
| Texas quality revenue adjustment for 2014/2015 dates of service | 44 | 0.50 | 44 | 0.49 |
| Texas quality revenue adjustment for 1Q 2016 dates of service | 7 | 0.08 | N/A | N/A |
| Puerto Rico premium revenue adjustment for 2015 dates of service | (11) | (0.12) | (11) | (0.12) |
| Florida premium revenue adjustment for 2014/2015 dates of service | — | — | 18 | 0.20 |
| Total out-of-period adjustments, net | \$3 | \$0.04 | \$(17) | \$(0.19) |

Out-of-period adjustments increased pretax income by approximately \$3 million in the second quarter of 2016.

Specifically:

Adjustments related to 2015 dates of service reduced Marketplace pretax income by approximately \$37 million in the second quarter. On June 30, 2016, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services released the final update on risk adjustment and reinsurance payments for the 2015 benefit year, and we adjusted our accruals accordingly.

During the second quarter, we were informed by the Texas Department of Health and Human Services that it will not recoup any quality revenue for calendar years 2014, 2015, and 2016. Therefore, we recognized previously deferred quality revenue amounting to approximately \$51 million in the second quarter of 2016. Of the \$51 million adjustment, \$44 million related to 2015 and 2014 dates of service, and \$7 million related to the first quarter of 2016.

Reductions to revenue previously recorded for 2015 dates of service in Puerto Rico decreased pretax income by approximately \$11 million in the second quarter.

Understanding the First Half of 2016

We reported pretax income of \$144 million for the first half of 2016. These results were affected by several out-of-period adjustments related to dates of service in 2015 and 2014. In total, these adjustments reduced pretax income in the first half of 2016 by approximately \$17 million. Specifically:

Adjustments related to 2015 dates of service reduced Marketplace pretax income by approximately \$68 million in the first half of 2016. We now estimate that the medical care ratio for our Marketplace program for all of 2015 was approximately 80%. Through June 30, 2016, the medical care ratio of our Marketplace program for months of service in the first half of 2016 alone (exclusive of out-of-period adjustments) was approximately 78%.

As described above, the recognition of Texas quality revenue associated with calendar years 2014 and 2015 increased pretax income in the first half of 2016 by approximately \$44 million.

Also as noted above, reductions to 2015 premium revenue in Puerto Rico reduced pretax income by approximately \$11 million in the first half of 2016.

Retroactive adjustments to premium revenue in Florida for dates of service in 2014 and 2015 increased pretax income by approximately \$18 million in the first half of 2016. Prior to reporting first quarter 2016 results, we were informed by the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration that we were due a retroactive increase to Medicaid premium revenue relating to dates of service prior to 2016. We reported this development in our first quarter 2016 results.

Management Expectations Regarding the Second Half of 2016

We expect the following factors, among others, to affect our financial performance in the second half of 2016:

Table of Contents

The ultimate savings to be realized from various cost savings initiatives and the speed at which such savings will be realized.

Medicaid rate increases (excluding Medicaid Expansion) of approximately 3.0% in California (effective July 1, 2016); approximately 2.5% in Puerto Rico (effective July 1, 2016); and approximately 3.0% in Texas (effective September 1, 2016). All rate changes are consistent with our previous expectations.

Medicaid Expansion rate decreases of approximately 11.0% in California (effective July 1, 2016) and approximately 2.0% in Ohio (effective July 1, 2016). All rate changes are consistent with our previous expectations.

The implementation of a medical care ratio floor of 86.0% for the South Carolina Medicaid program effective July 1, 2016.

Declining margins for our Marketplace business during the second half of 2016 due to normal membership attrition; the addition of higher cost members through the special enrollment process; higher costs as members reach the limits of the cost-sharing provisions of their insurance coverage; and increasing utilization as members become more engaged with our care networks. This is consistent with our previous expectations.

Market Updates

Refer to Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 1, "Basis of Presentation," for a discussion of the current year market updates.

Understanding Our Business

Health Plans Segment

Our Health Plans segment consists of health plans in 11 states and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and includes our direct delivery business. As of June 30, 2016, these health plans served 4.2 million members eligible for Medicaid, Medicare, and other government-sponsored health care programs for low-income families and individuals.

Additionally, we serve Health Insurance Marketplace (Marketplace) members, most of whom receive government premium subsidies.

Table of Contents

Health Plan Segment Membership by Health Plan and Program. The following tables set forth our Health Plans membership as of the dates indicated:

| | June 30, 2016 | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 | June 30, 2015 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Ending Membership by Health Plan: | | | | |
| California | 680,000 | 676,000 | 620,000 | 593,000 |
| Florida | 565,000 | 576,000 | 440,000 | 348,000 |
| Illinois | 201,000 | 206,000 | 98,000 | 101,000 |
| Michigan | 393,000 | 399,000 | 328,000 | 260,000 |
| New Mexico | 251,000 | 246,000 | 231,000 | 225,000 |
| Ohio | 341,000 | 336,000 | 327,000 | 332,000 |
| Puerto Rico | 336,000 | 339,000 | 348,000 | 361,000 |
| South Carolina | 105,000 | 102,000 | 99,000 | 114,000 |
| Texas | 367,000 | 380,000 | 260,000 | 266,000 |
| Utah | 151,000 | 151,000 | 102,000 | 92,000 |
| Washington | 709,000 | 672,000 | 582,000 | 553,000 |
| Wisconsin | 134,000 | 137,000 | 98,000 | 107,000 |
| | 4,233,000 | 4,220,000 | 3,533,000 | 3,352,000 |
| Ending Membership by Program: | | | | |
| Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) | 2,500,000 | 2,485,000 | 2,312,000 | 2,180,000 |
| Medicaid Expansion | 654,000 | 632,000 | 557,000 | 475,000 |
| Marketplace | 597,000 | 630,000 | 205,000 | 261,000 |
| Aged, Blind or Disabled (ABD) | 387,000 | 380,000 | 366,000 | 353,000 |
| Medicare-Medicaid Plan (MMP) – Integrated (1) | 51,000 | 50,000 | 51,000 | 39,000 |
| Medicare Special Needs Plans (Medicare) | 44,000 | 43,000 | 42,000 | 44,000 |
| | 4,233,000 | 4,220,000 | 3,533,000 | 3,352,000 |

(1)MMP members who receive both Medicaid and Medicare coverage from Molina Healthcare.

Health Plan Segment Premiums by Program. The amount of the premiums paid to our health plans may vary substantially between states and among various government programs. The following table sets forth the ranges of premiums paid to our state health plans by program on a per-member per-month (PMPM) basis, for the six months ended June 30, 2016. The "Consolidated" column represents the weighted-average amounts for our total membership by program.

| | PMPM Premiums | | |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|
| | Low | High | Consolidated |
| TANF and CHIP | \$ 120.00 | \$ 290.00 | \$ 180.00 |
| Medicaid Expansion | 310.00 | 490.00 | 370.00 |
| Marketplace | 170.00 | 350.00 | 230.00 |
| ABD | 400.00 | 1,530.00 | 980.00 |
| MMP – Integrated | 970.00 | 3,190.00 | 2,160.00 |
| Medicare | 790.00 | 1,080.00 | 1,010.00 |

Table of Contents

Health Plan Segment Medical Care Costs by Type. The following table provides the details of consolidated medical care costs by type for the periods indicated (dollars in millions except PMPM amounts):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | 2015 | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------|--------|---------|----------|--------|
| | 2016 | | % of | 2015 | | % of |
| | Amount | PMPM | Total | Amount | PMPM | Total |
| Fee for service | \$2,620 | \$206.01 | 72.9 % | \$2,103 | \$209.34 | 71.8 % |
| Pharmacy | 529 | 41.59 | 14.7 | 392 | 39.01 | 13.3 |
| Capitation | 304 | 23.87 | 8.5 | 248 | 24.72 | 8.5 |
| Direct delivery | 18 | 1.39 | 0.5 | 27 | 2.78 | 1.0 |
| Other | 123 | 9.68 | 3.4 | 159 | 15.80 | 5.4 |
| | \$3,594 | \$282.54 | 100.0% | \$2,929 | \$291.65 | 100.0% |
| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | 2015 | | |
| | 2016 | | % of | 2015 | | % of |
| | Amount | PMPM | Total | Amount | PMPM | Total |
| Fee for service | \$5,357 | \$213.77 | 74.6 % | \$4,051 | \$217.05 | 72.8 % |
| Pharmacy | 1,054 | 42.05 | 14.7 | 743 | 39.81 | 13.4 |
| Capitation | 599 | 23.87 | 8.3 | 465 | 24.90 | 8.3 |
| Direct delivery | 34 | 1.36 | 0.5 | 54 | 2.93 | 1.0 |
| Other | 138 | 5.52 | 1.9 | 252 | 13.49 | 4.5 |
| | \$7,182 | \$286.57 | 100.0% | \$5,565 | \$298.18 | 100.0% |

Molina Medicaid Solutions Segment

Our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment provides business processing and information technology development and administrative services to Medicaid agencies in Idaho, Louisiana, Maine, New Jersey, West Virginia, and the U.S. Virgin Islands, and drug rebate administration services in Florida. Operations in each of those jurisdictions are performed pursuant to separate contracts with each respective Medicaid agency.

Other Segment

Our Other segment includes other businesses, such as our Pathways behavioral health and social services provider that do not meet the quantitative thresholds for a reportable segment as defined by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), as well as corporate amounts not allocated to the other reportable segments.

How We Assess Performance

One of the key metrics used to assess the performance of our most significant segment, the Health Plans segment, is the medical care ratio. The medical care ratio represents the amount of medical care costs as a percentage of premium revenue. Therefore, the underlying gross margin, or the amount earned by the Health Plans segment after medical costs are deducted from premium revenue, is the most important measure of earnings reviewed by management. Gross margin for our Health Plans segment is referred to as "Medical margin," and for our Molina Medicaid Solutions and Other segments, as "Service margin." The service margin is equal to service revenue minus cost of service revenue. The following table presents gross margin for our segments. Management's discussion and analysis of the changes in the individual components of gross margin, by reportable segment, is presented below under Results of Operations.

Table of Contents

| | Three Months | | \$ | % | Change | Six Months Ended | | \$ | % | Change |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------|---------|-------|--------|------------------|---------|----------|-------|--------|
| | Ended June 30, 2016 | 2015 | | | | June 30, 2016 | 2015 | | | |
| | (In millions) | | | | | (In millions) | | | | |
| Health Plans: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Premium revenue | \$4,029 | \$3,304 | \$ 725 | 22 | % | \$8,024 | \$6,275 | \$1,749 | 28 | % |
| Less: medical care costs | 3,594 | 2,929 | 665 | 23 | | 7,182 | 5,565 | 1,617 | 29 | |
| Medical margin | \$435 | \$375 | \$ 60 | 16 | % | \$842 | \$710 | \$132 | 19 | % |
| Medical care ratio | 89.2 | % 88.7 | | | | 89.5 | % 88.7 | | | |
| Molina Medicaid Solutions: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Service revenue | \$46 | \$47 | \$ (1) | (2) | % | \$98 | \$99 | \$ (1) | (1) | % |
| Less: cost of service revenue | 41 | 33 | 8 | 24 | | 87 | 69 | 18 | 26 | |
| Service margin | \$5 | \$14 | \$ (9) | (64) | % | \$11 | \$30 | \$ (19) | (63) | % |
| Service cost ratio | 89.5 | % 69.5 | | | | 89.1 | % 69.3 | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Service revenue | \$89 | \$— | | | | \$177 | \$— | | | |
| Less: cost of service revenue | 75 | — | | | | 156 | — | | | |
| Service margin | \$14 | \$— | | | | \$21 | \$— | | | |
| Service cost ratio | 84.6 | % — | | | | 88.3 | % — | | | |

Our Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We use two non-GAAP financial measures as supplemental metrics in evaluating our financial performance, making financing and business decisions, and forecasting and planning for future periods. For these reasons, management believes such measures are useful supplemental measures to investors in comparing our performance to the performance of other public companies in the health care industry. These non-GAAP financial measures should be considered as supplements to, and not as substitutes for or superior to, GAAP measures. The year over year changes for the individual components of both of these measures are described below in Results of Operations.

The first of these non-GAAP measures is earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA). We believe that EBITDA is particularly helpful in assessing our ability to meet the cash demands of our operating units. The following table reconciles net income, which we believe to be the most comparable GAAP measure, to EBITDA.

| | Three | | Six Months | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Months | Ended June | Months | Ended June |
| | Ended June | 30, | Ended June | 30, |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Net income | \$33 | \$39 | \$57 | \$67 |
| Adjustments: | | | | |
| Depreciation, and amortization of intangible assets and capitalized software | 39 | 29 | 76 | 58 |
| Interest expense | 25 | 15 | 50 | 30 |
| Income tax expense | 47 | 62 | 87 | 101 |
| EBITDA | \$144 | \$145 | \$270 | \$256 |

The second of these non-GAAP measures is adjusted net income (including adjusted net income per diluted share). We believe that adjusted net income per diluted share is very helpful in assessing our financial performance exclusive of the non-cash impact of the amortization of purchased intangibles. The following table reconciles net income, which we believe to be the most comparable GAAP measure, to adjusted net income.

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------|------------------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | | | | | |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | | | | |
| | (In millions, except diluted per-share amounts) | | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$33 | \$0.58 | \$39 | \$0.72 | \$57 | \$1.01 | \$67 | 1.29 |
| Adjustment, net of tax: | | | | | | | | |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 5 | 0.09 | 3 | 0.05 | 10 | 0.17 | 6 | 0.10 |
| Adjusted net income (1) | \$38 | \$0.67 | \$42 | \$0.77 | \$67 | \$1.18 | \$73 | \$1.39 |

Beginning in the first quarter of 2016, we revised our calculation of adjusted net income. We no longer subtract “Amortization of convertible senior notes and lease financing obligations” from net income to arrive at adjusted net income. We made this change because various capital transactions completed in 2015 reduced our relative reliance on convertible notes and lease financing as sources of capital. We believe that this change enhances the comparability of these non-GAAP measures with the corresponding non-GAAP measures used by our competitors. All periods presented conform to this presentation.

Results of Operations

Health Plans Segment

Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 Compared with Three Months Ended June 30, 2015

Strong enrollment growth generated approximately \$725 million, or 22% more premium revenue in the second quarter of 2016 compared with the second quarter of 2015. Enrollment growth was primarily due to increased Marketplace enrollment, and acquisitions that added Medicaid membership. Consolidated premium revenue measured on a PMPM basis decreased approximately 4% in the second quarter of 2016 when compared with the second quarter of 2015. The decline in PMPM premium revenue was primarily the result of lower PMPM premiums for Medicaid Expansion membership and an increase in the percentage of our premium revenue derived from TANF and Marketplace membership.

The medical care ratio increased to 89.2% in the second quarter of 2016, from 88.7% in the second quarter of 2015. The increase in our medical care ratio was driven by lower percentage margins for TANF, Medicaid Expansion and Marketplace membership. Consolidated medical care costs measured on a PMPM basis decreased approximately 3% in the second quarter of 2016 when compared with the second quarter of 2015. The PMPM decrease in medical care costs, which was primarily related to increased Marketplace membership, was more than offset by the declines in PMPM revenue as described above.

Financial Performance by Program. The following tables present the components of premium revenue and medical care costs by program (PMPM amounts are in whole dollars; member months and other dollar amounts are in millions).

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------|--|
| | Member Months | Premium Revenue | | Medical Care Costs | | MCR ⁽²⁾ | Medical Margin | |
| | | Total | PMPM | Total | PMPM | | | |
| TANF and CHIP | 7.5 | \$ 1,302 | \$ 173.57 | \$ 1,202 | \$ 160.26 | 92.3 % | \$ 100 | |
| Medicaid Expansion | 1.9 | 742 | 378.19 | 634 | 323.56 | 85.6 | 108 | |
| Marketplace | 1.8 | 373 | 206.88 | 323 | 178.79 | 86.4 | 50 | |
| ABD | 1.2 | 1,168 | 991.38 | 1,038 | 881.80 | 88.9 | 130 | |
| MMP | 0.2 | 315 | 2,093.29 | 270 | 1,792.78 | 85.6 | 45 | |
| Medicare | 0.2 | 129 | 997.44 | 127 | 974.30 | 97.7 | 2 | |
| | 12.8 | \$4,029 | \$316.72 | \$3,594 | \$282.54 | 89.2 % | \$ 435 | |

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2015 | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|---|----------------|
| | Member Months | Premium Revenue ⁽¹⁾ | | Medical Care Costs | | MCR ⁽²⁾ | | Medical Margin |
| | | Total | PMPM | Total | PMPM | | | |
| TANF and CHIP | 6.5 | \$ 1,169 | \$ 178.38 | \$ 1,063 | \$ 162.24 | 91.0 | % | \$ 106 |
| Medicaid Expansion | 1.4 | 582 | 419.67 | 474 | 341.67 | 81.4 | | 108 |
| Marketplace | 0.8 | 161 | 204.22 | 90 | 113.21 | 55.4 | | 71 |
| ABD | 1.1 | 1,053 | 984.99 | 947 | 885.84 | 89.9 | | 106 |
| MMP | 0.1 | 198 | 1,784.30 | 214 | 1,934.40 | 108.4 | | (16) |
| Medicare | 0.2 | 141 | 1,059.90 | 141 | 1,062.71 | 100.3 | | — |
| | 10.1 | \$ 3,304 | \$ 328.96 | \$ 2,929 | \$ 291.65 | 88.7 | % | \$ 375 |

(1) A member month is defined as the aggregate of each month's ending membership for the period presented.

(2) "MCR" represents medical costs as a percentage of premium revenue.

The following discussion highlights the primary drivers of our performance, by program.

Medicaid Expansion. Although member months have increased 41%, premium revenue decreased 10% on a PMPM basis in the second quarter of 2016, when compared with the second quarter of 2015. This decline was due to premium rate decreases in multiple states that went into effect for Medicaid Expansion members between June 30, 2015 and January 1, 2016.

Marketplace. Adjustments related to 2015 dates of service reduced Marketplace pretax income by approximately \$37 million in the second quarter. On June 30, 2016, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services released the final update on risk adjustment and reinsurance payments for the 2015 benefit year, and we adjusted our accruals accordingly. We now estimate that the medical care ratio for our Marketplace business for all of 2015 was approximately 80%.

Table of Contents

Financial Performance by State Health Plan. The following tables summarize member months, premium revenue, medical care costs, medical care ratio, and medical margin by state health plan for the periods indicated (PMPM amounts are in whole dollars; member months and other dollar amounts are in millions):

| Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------|
| | Member Months | Premium Revenue | | Medical Care Costs | | MCR ⁽²⁾ | Medical Margin |
| | | Total | PMPM | Total | PMPM | | |
| California | 2.0 | \$ 554 | \$ 268.95 | \$ 493 | \$ 239.63 | 89.1 % | \$ 61 |
| Florida | 1.8 | 464 | 273.90 | 426 | 251.69 | 91.9 | 38 |
| Illinois | 0.6 | 154 | 256.17 | 137 | 227.71 | 88.9 | 17 |
| Michigan | 1.2 | 369 | 312.18 | 334 | 282.86 | 90.6 | 35 |
| New Mexico | 0.8 | 342 | 451.72 | 305 | 403.52 | 89.3 | 37 |
| Ohio | 1.0 | 483 | 473.91 | 433 | 424.87 | 89.7 | 50 |
| Puerto Rico | 1.0 | 170 | 169.04 | 175 | 173.49 | 102.6 | (5) |
| South Carolina | 0.3 | 87 | 277.22 | 71 | 226.27 | 81.6 | 16 |
| Texas | 1.1 | 635 | 571.14 | 499 | 448.23 | 78.5 | 136 |
| Utah | 0.5 | 110 | 240.26 | 106 | 233.12 | 97.0 | 4 |
| Washington | 2.1 | 559 | 264.40 | 500 | 236.32 | 89.4 | 59 |
| Wisconsin | 0.4 | 99 | 244.88 | 96 | 235.88 | 96.3 | 3 |
| Other (3) | — | 3 | — | 19 | — | — | (16) |
| | 12.8 | \$ 4,029 | \$ 316.72 | \$ 3,594 | \$ 282.54 | 89.2 % | \$ 435 |

| Three Months Ended June 30, 2015 | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------|
| | Member Months | Premium Revenue | | Medical Care Costs | | MCR ⁽²⁾ | Medical Margin |
| | | Total | PMPM | Total | PMPM | | |
| California | 1.7 | \$ 503 | \$ 285.14 | \$ 459 | \$ 259.85 | 91.1 % | \$ 44 |
| Florida | 1.1 | 257 | 244.35 | 217 | 205.97 | 84.3 | 40 |
| Illinois | 0.3 | 102 | 337.55 | 98 | 325.91 | 96.6 | 4 |
| Michigan | 0.8 | 237 | 307.27 | 200 | 258.67 | 84.2 | 37 |
| New Mexico | 0.7 | 322 | 466.46 | 276 | 400.27 | 85.8 | 46 |
| Ohio | 1.1 | 509 | 510.30 | 432 | 433.75 | 85.0 | 77 |
| Puerto Rico | 1.1 | 194 | 179.33 | 184 | 170.32 | 95.0 | 10 |
| South Carolina | 0.4 | 93 | 276.36 | 67 | 196.92 | 71.3 | 26 |
| Texas | 0.8 | 512 | 635.74 | 468 | 581.42 | 91.5 | 44 |
| Utah | 0.2 | 80 | 288.60 | 72 | 258.88 | 89.7 | 8 |
| Washington | 1.6 | 410 | 249.39 | 371 | 225.46 | 90.4 | 39 |
| Wisconsin | 0.3 | 75 | 233.15 | 56 | 175.62 | 75.3 | 19 |
| Other (3) | — | 10 | — | 29 | — | — | (19) |
| | 10.1 | \$ 3,304 | \$ 328.96 | \$ 2,929 | \$ 291.65 | 88.7 % | \$ 375 |

(1) A member month is defined as the aggregate of each month's ending membership for the period presented.

(2) "MCR" represents medical costs as a percentage of premium revenue.

(3) "Other" medical care costs include primarily medically related administrative costs of the parent company, and direct delivery costs.

The following discussion highlights the primary drivers of our performance, by health plan.

California. Premium revenue grew to \$554 million in the second quarter of 2016, from \$503 million in the second quarter of 2015, as a result of higher membership. The medical care ratio decreased to 89.1% in the second quarter of 2016, from 91.1% in the second quarter of 2015, due to improvement in medical care ratios for the MMP and Medicaid Expansion programs, offset partially by a higher medical care ratio in the TANF and ABD programs.

Florida. Premium revenue grew to \$464 million in the second quarter of 2016, from \$257 million in the second quarter of 2015, due to increased Marketplace membership and the addition of over 100,000 members from Medicaid contract acquisitions most of which closed in the fourth quarter of 2015. The medical care ratio increased to 91.9% in the second quarter

Table of Contents

of 2016, from 84.3% in the second quarter of 2015, primarily as a result of adjustments related to 2015 dates of service for Marketplace membership, which more than offset the Medicaid rate increase of approximately 5% effective September 1, 2015.

Illinois. Premium revenue grew to \$154 million in the second quarter of 2016, from \$102 million in the second quarter of 2015, and the medical care ratio decreased to 88.9% in the second quarter of 2016, from 96.6% in the second quarter of 2015. The plan added over 100,000 members from Medicaid contract acquisitions in the first quarter of 2016. The decrease in the medical care ratio was primarily due to higher margins for TANF membership.

Michigan. Premium revenue grew \$131 million, or 55%, in the second quarter of 2016 compared with the second quarter of 2015, due to the addition of over 100,000 members from Medicaid contract acquisitions closed in the third quarter of 2015 and the first quarter of 2016. The medical care ratio increased to 90.6% in the second quarter of 2016, from 84.2% in the second quarter of 2015, primarily as a result of lower margins for TANF and Medicaid Expansion membership.

New Mexico. The medical care ratio increased to 89.3% in the second quarter of 2016, from 85.8% in the second quarter of 2015, primarily as a result of lower margins for TANF membership.

Ohio. Premium revenue declined \$25 million, or 5%, in the second quarter of 2016 compared with the second quarter of 2015, due to reduced reimbursement rates and unfavorable member mix. The medical care ratio increased to 89.7% in the second quarter of 2016, from 85.0% in the second quarter of 2015. The increase in the 2016 medical care ratio was primarily the result of a blended rate decrease of approximately 2.5% effective January 1, 2016.

Puerto Rico. The medical care ratio increased to 102.6% in the second quarter of 2016, from 95.0% in the second quarter of 2015, primarily due to increased pharmacy costs, decreased membership and premium revenue, and reductions to revenue previously recorded for 2015 dates of service which decreased pretax income by approximately \$11 million.

South Carolina. The medical care ratio increased to 81.6% in the second quarter of 2016, from 71.3% in the second quarter of 2015.

Texas. Premium revenue grew \$123 million, or 24%, in the second quarter of 2016 compared with the second quarter of 2015. Growth in premium revenue was primarily the result of the recognition of approximately \$51 million in out-of-period quality revenue and increased Marketplace enrollment. Of the total \$51 million adjustment, \$44 million related to 2015 and 2014 dates of service, and \$7 million related to the first quarter of 2016. The plan's medical care ratio of 78.5% in the second quarter of 2016 was significantly lower than the second quarter of 2015. Even without the benefit of out-of-period quality revenue adjustments, the medical care ratio of the Texas health plan would have been approximately 85.3%, still well under the 91.5% medical care ratio reported in the second quarter of 2015.

Utah. The medical care ratio increased to 97.0% in the second quarter of 2016, from 89.7% in the second quarter of 2015, primarily due to an increase in the medical care ratio of the growing Marketplace program.

Washington. Premium revenue grew \$149 million, or 36%, in the second quarter of 2016 compared with the second quarter of 2015, primarily due to membership growth in the Medicaid (both TANF and ABD) and Medicaid Expansion programs. The medical care ratio decreased to 89.4% in the second quarter of 2016, from 90.4% in the second quarter of 2015, due to improved medical cost efficiency across nearly all programs.

Wisconsin. Premium revenue grew \$24 million, or 32%, in the second quarter of 2016 when compared with the second quarter of 2015 as a result of increased Marketplace enrollment. The medical care ratio increased to 96.3% in the second quarter of 2016, from 75.3% in the second quarter of 2015 due to adjustments related to 2015 dates of service for Marketplace membership and the comparatively higher medical care ratio of the growing Marketplace membership.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 Compared with Six Months Ended June 30, 2015

In the first half of 2016, a 33% increase in membership, was partially offset by a 5% decrease in revenue PMPM, resulted in increased premium revenue of approximately 28%, or \$1.8 billion, when compared with the first half of 2015. The decline in PMPM premium revenue was primarily the result of lower PMPM premiums for Medicaid Expansion membership and an increase in the percentage of our premium revenue derived from TANF and Marketplace membership.

Medical care costs as a percent of premium revenue increased to 89.5% in the first half of 2016, from 88.7% in the first half of 2015. The increase in our medical care ratio was driven by lower percentage margins for Medicaid Expansion and Marketplace membership. Medical margin increased 19% in the first half of 2016 over the first half of 2015.

Table of Contents

Financial Performance by Program. The following tables present the components of premium revenue and medical care costs by program (PMPM amounts are in whole dollars; member months and other dollar amounts are in millions).

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|----------------|--|
| | Member Months | Premium Revenue | | Medical Care Costs | | MCR ⁽²⁾ | Medical Margin | |
| | | Total | PMPM | Total | PMPM | | | |
| TANF and CHIP | 14.9 | \$2,626 | \$176.00 | \$2,400 | \$160.85 | 91.4 % | \$ 226 | |
| Medicaid Expansion | 3.8 | 1,421 | 371.82 | 1,208 | 316.13 | 85.0 | 213 | |
| Marketplace | 3.4 | 782 | 228.19 | 657 | 191.62 | 84.0 | 125 | |
| ABD | 2.4 | 2,280 | 976.58 | 2,079 | 890.71 | 91.2 | 201 | |
| MMP | 0.3 | 655 | 2,157.55 | 587 | 1,932.73 | 89.6 | 68 | |
| Medicare | 0.3 | 260 | 1,013.04 | 251 | 977.35 | 96.5 | 9 | |
| | 25.1 | \$8,024 | \$320.17 | \$7,182 | \$286.57 | 89.5 % | \$ 842 | |
| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 | | | | | | | |
| | Member Months | Premium Revenue | | Medical Care Costs | | MCR ⁽²⁾ | Medical Margin | |
| | | Total | PMPM | Total | PMPM | | | |
| TANF and CHIP | 12.0 | \$2,141 | \$177.93 | \$1,960 | \$162.89 | 91.6 % | \$ 181 | |
| Medicaid Expansion | 2.7 | 1,089 | 409.29 | 867 | 325.84 | 79.6 | 222 | |
| Marketplace | 1.4 | 355 | 258.66 | 246 | 179.15 | 69.3 | 109 | |
| ABD | 2.1 | 1,993 | 940.23 | 1,810 | 853.56 | 90.8 | 183 | |
| MMP | 0.2 | 423 | 1,986.04 | 413 | 1,942.20 | 97.8 | 10 | |
| Medicare | 0.3 | 274 | 1,036.95 | 269 | 1,020.01 | 98.4 | 5 | |
| | 18.7 | \$6,275 | \$336.21 | \$5,565 | \$298.18 | 88.7 % | \$ 710 | |

(1) A member month is defined as the aggregate of each month's ending membership for the period presented.

(2) "MCR" represents medical costs as a percentage of premium revenue.

The following discussion highlights the primary drivers of our performance, by program.

Medicaid TANF, CHIP and ABD. TANF, CHIP and ABD revenue increased in the first half of 2016 when compared to the first half of 2015 due to the acquisitions made after July 1, 2015, as well as the inclusion of a full two quarters of Puerto Rico operations in the first half of 2016 (Puerto Rico began operations effective April 1, 2015).

Medicaid Expansion. Increased enrollment of Medicaid Expansion members in the first half of 2016 when compared to the first half of 2015 was offset by lower PMPM premium revenue discussed above and a higher medical care ratio.

Marketplace. Adjustments related to 2015 dates of service reduced Marketplace pretax income by approximately \$68 million in the first half of 2016. We now estimate that the medical care ratio for our Marketplace program for all of 2015 was approximately 80%. Through June 30, 2016, the medical care ratio of our Marketplace program for months of service in the first half of 2016 alone (exclusive of out-of-period adjustments) was approximately 78%.

Table of Contents

Financial Performance by State Health Plan. The following tables summarize member months, premium revenue, medical care costs, medical care ratio, and medical margin by state health plan for the periods indicated (PMPM amounts are in whole dollars; member months and other dollar amounts are in millions):

Six Months Ended June 30, 2016

| | Member Months ⁽¹⁾ | Premium Revenue | | Medical Care Costs | | MCR ⁽²⁾ | Medical Margin |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|----------------|
| | | Total | PMPM | Total | PMPM | | |
| California | 4.0 | \$ 1,095 | \$271.14 | \$962 | \$238.30 | 87.9 % | \$ 133 |
| Florida | 3.4 | 953 | 284.53 | 839 | 250.58 | 88.1 | 114 |
| Illinois | 1.2 | 303 | 261.43 | 269 | 232.06 | 88.8 | 34 |
| Michigan | 2.4 | 756 | 316.18 | 681 | 285.13 | 90.2 | 75 |
| New Mexico | 1.5 | 678 | 450.62 | 601 | 399.17 | 88.6 | 77 |
| Ohio | 2.0 | 971 | 481.44 | 882 | 437.35 | 90.8 | 89 |
| Puerto Rico | 2.0 | 351 | 172.98 | 349 | 171.95 | 99.4 | 2 |
| South Carolina | 0.6 | 171 | 276.61 | 138 | 223.58 | 80.8 | 33 |
| Texas | 2.2 | 1,255 | 575.87 | 1,074 | 492.65 | 85.5 | 181 |
| Utah | 0.9 | 224 | 252.08 | 208 | 234.46 | 93.0 | 16 |
| Washington | 4.1 | 1,065 | 260.05 | 958 | 233.84 | 89.9 | 107 |
| Wisconsin | 0.8 | 196 | 247.57 | 188 | 236.92 | 95.7 | 8 |
| Other ⁽³⁾ | — | 6 | — | 33 | — | — | (27) |
| | 25.1 | \$8,024 | \$320.17 | \$7,182 | \$286.57 | 89.5 % | \$ 842 |

Six Months Ended June 30, 2015

| | Member Months ⁽¹⁾ | Premium Revenue | | Medical Care Costs | | MCR ⁽²⁾ | Medical Margin |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|----------------|
| | | Total | PMPM | Total | PMPM | | |
| California | 3.4 | \$ 1,014 | \$294.85 | \$911 | \$264.97 | 89.9 % | \$ 103 |
| Florida | 2.0 | 568 | 291.33 | 498 | 255.45 | 87.7 | 70 |
| Illinois | 0.6 | 206 | 339.72 | 188 | 309.66 | 91.2 | 18 |
| Michigan | 1.5 | 457 | 298.87 | 385 | 251.57 | 84.2 | 72 |
| New Mexico | 1.4 | 636 | 462.62 | 568 | 413.48 | 89.4 | 68 |
| Ohio | 2.1 | 1,024 | 498.96 | 845 | 412.05 | 82.6 | 179 |
| Puerto Rico | 1.1 | 194 | 179.33 | 184 | 170.32 | 95.0 | 10 |
| South Carolina | 0.7 | 184 | 271.35 | 141 | 206.88 | 76.2 | 43 |
| Texas | 1.6 | 894 | 565.45 | 820 | 518.60 | 91.7 | 74 |
| Utah | 0.5 | 157 | 289.42 | 146 | 268.72 | 92.8 | 11 |
| Washington | 3.2 | 786 | 245.22 | 723 | 225.47 | 91.9 | 63 |
| Wisconsin | 0.6 | 135 | 216.85 | 105 | 168.58 | 77.7 | 30 |
| Other ⁽³⁾ | — | 20 | — | 51 | — | — | (31) |
| | 18.7 | \$6,275 | \$336.21 | \$5,565 | \$298.18 | 88.7 % | \$ 710 |

(1) A member month is defined as the aggregate of each month's ending membership for the period presented.

(2) "MCR" represents medical costs as a percentage of premium revenue.

(3) "Other" medical care costs include primarily medically related administrative costs of the parent company, and direct delivery costs.

California. Premium revenue grew to \$1,095 million in the six months ended June 30, 2016, from \$1,014 million in the six months ended June 30, 2015, as a result of higher membership. The medical care ratio decreased to 87.9% in the six months ended June 30, 2016, from 89.9% in the six months ended June 30, 2015, due to improvements in the medical care ratios for the MMP and TANF programs as well as the favorable medical care ratio and growth in

Marketplace. These improvements more than offset a higher medical care ratio for the ABD program. Florida. Premium revenue grew to \$953 million in the six months ended June 30, 2016, from \$568 million in the six months ended June 30, 2015, due to increased Marketplace membership, an \$18 million retroactive increase to Medicaid premium revenue for dates of service in 2014 and 2015 in the first quarter of 2016, and the addition of over 100,000 members from Medicaid contract acquisitions, most of which closed in the fourth quarter of 2015. The medical care ratio increased to 88.1% in the six months ended June 30, 2016, from 87.7% in the six months ended June 30, 2015, primarily as a result of adjustments

Table of Contents

related to 2015 dates of service for Marketplace membership, partially offset by the Medicaid rate increase of approximately 5% effective September 1, 2015, and the retroactive increase to Medicaid premium revenue noted above.

Illinois. Premium revenue grew to \$303 million in the six months ended June 30, 2016, from \$206 million in the six months ended June 30, 2015, and the medical care ratio decreased to 88.8% in the six months ended June 30, 2016, from 91.2% in the six months ended June 30, 2015. The plan added over 100,000 members from Medicaid contract acquisitions in the first quarter of 2016. The decrease in the medical care ratio was primarily due to higher margin TANF membership.

Michigan. Premium revenue grew \$299 million, or 65%, in the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2015, due to the addition of over 100,000 members from Medicaid contract acquisitions in the third quarter of 2015 and the first quarter of 2016. The medical care ratio increased to 90.2% in the six months ended June 30, 2016, from 84.2% in the six months ended June 30, 2015, primarily as a result of lower margins for TANF and Medicaid Expansion membership.

New Mexico. The medical care ratio decreased to 88.6% in the six months ended June 30, 2016, from 89.4% in the six months ended June 30, 2015, due to a lower medical care ratio for the TANF membership.

Ohio. Premium revenue declined \$53 million, or 5%, in the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2015, due to reduced overall state Medicaid enrollment and Medicaid premium rate cuts effective January 1, 2016. The medical care ratio increased to 90.8% in the six months ended June 30, 2016, from 82.6% in the six months ended June 30, 2015, as a result of a blended rate decrease of approximately 2.5% effective January 1, 2016 (which included a 5% decrease in Medicaid Expansion rates) and favorable development of prior period medical liability estimates in the first half of 2015 compared with the first half of 2016.

Puerto Rico. The medical care ratio increased to 99.4% in the six months ended June 30, 2016, from 95.0% in the six months ended June 30, 2015, primarily due to increased pharmacy costs, decreased membership and premium revenue, and reductions to revenue previously recorded for 2015 dates of service which decreased pretax income by approximately \$11 million. The plan served its first members effective April 1, 2015.

South Carolina. The medical care ratio increased to 80.8% in the six months ended June 30, 2016, from 76.2% in the six months ended June 30, 2015.

Texas. Premium revenue grew \$361 million, or 40%, in the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2015. Growth in premium revenue was primarily the result of the recognition of approximately \$44 million in out-of-period quality revenue (discussed above), increased Marketplace enrollment and the revenue associated with additional nursing home services provided to some ABD members effective March 1, 2015 and the start-up of the Texas MMP program on that same date. The plan's medical care ratio decreased to 85.5% in the six months ended June 30, 2016, from 91.7% in the six months ended June 30, 2015. Without the benefit of out-of-period quality revenue, the medical care ratio of the Texas health plan would have been approximately 88.6% in the first half of 2016.

Utah. Premium revenue grew \$67 million, or 42%, in the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2015, primarily due to higher Marketplace enrollment. The medical care ratio increased slightly to 93.0% in the six months ended June 30, 2016, from 92.8% in the six months ended June 30, 2015, primarily due to an increase in the medical care ratio of the growing Marketplace program.

Washington. Premium revenue grew \$279 million, or 36%, in the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2015, primarily due to membership growth in the Marketplace, TANF and Medicaid Expansion programs. The medical care ratio decreased to 89.9% in the six months ended June 30, 2016, from 91.9% in the six months ended June 30, 2015, due to improved medical cost efficiency across nearly all programs.

Wisconsin. Premium revenue grew \$61 million, or 45%, in the six months ended June 30, 2016 when compared with the six months ended June 30, 2015 as a result of increased Marketplace enrollment. The medical care ratio increased to 95.7% in the six months ended June 30, 2016, from 77.7% in the six months ended June 30, 2015 due to adjustments related to 2015 dates of service for Marketplace membership and the comparatively higher medical care ratio of the growing Marketplace membership.

Molina Medicaid Solutions Segment

Service margin declined \$9 million in the second quarter of 2016 compared with the second quarter of 2015, and \$19 million in the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2015, primarily due to increased service costs associated with legacy state contracts that were recently re-procured.

Other Segment

Service margin was \$14 million and \$21 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively. Because we acquired our Pathways behavioral health and social services provider in November 1, 2015, there was no service margin for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015.

Table of Contents

Income Statement Components that are Not Unique to Individual Segments

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of total revenue (the “general and administrative expense ratio”) were 8.1% in the second quarters of both 2016 and 2015. The general and administrative expense ratio decreased to 7.9% for the six months ended June 30, 2016, compared with 8.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2015.

Premium Tax Expenses. The premium tax ratio (premium tax expense as a percentage of premium revenue plus premium tax revenue) was 2.6% in the second quarter of 2016, compared with 2.8% in the second quarter of 2015, and 2.6% in the six months ended June 30, 2016, compared with 2.9% in the six months ended June 30, 2015. For both periods, the decrease was primarily due to the current year increase in MMP revenues. The Medicare portion of MMP revenue is not subject to premium tax.

Health Insurer Fee Revenue and Expenses. For our Medicaid program, actuarial standards require that we be reimbursed by state Medicaid agencies for both the expense associated with the HIF and the absence of tax deductibility for that expense. Subsequent to the first quarter of 2015, we secured full reimbursement for our expenses under the HIF (including the absence of tax deductibility). As a result, HIF revenue, as a percentage of premium revenue, increased to 2.1% in the six months ended June 30, 2016, from 1.9% in the six months ended June 30, 2016. HIF expenses were consistent year over year.

Depreciation and Amortization. As a percentage of total revenue, the year over year change in depreciation and amortization was insignificant.

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased to \$25 million for the second quarter of 2016, from \$15 million for the second quarter of 2015. Interest expense increased to \$50 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016, from \$30 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015. The increase was primarily due to our issuance of \$700 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes (5.375% Notes) due November 15, 2022, in the fourth quarter of 2015. Interest expense includes non-cash interest expense relating primarily to the amortization of the discount on convertible senior notes, which amounted to \$7 million and \$8 million for the second quarter of 2016 and 2015, respectively and \$15 million for both the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015.

Income Tax Expense. The provision for income taxes was recorded at an effective rate of 59.8% for the second quarter of 2016, compared with 61.3% for the second quarter of 2015, and 60.7% for the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared with 60.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2015. Differences in the effective tax rate between periods are the result of different amounts of non-deductible expenses and discrete tax events.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Introduction

We manage our cash, investments, and capital structure to meet the short- and long-term obligations of our business while maintaining liquidity and financial flexibility. Our regulated subsidiaries generate significant cash flows from premium revenue. Such cash flows are our primary sources of liquidity. Thus, any future decline in our profitability may have a negative impact on our liquidity. We generally receive premium revenue a short time before we pay for the related health care services. A majority of the assets held by our regulated subsidiaries is in the form of cash, cash equivalents, and investments. After considering expected cash flows from operating activities, we generally invest cash of regulated subsidiaries that exceeds our expected short-term obligations in longer term, investment-grade, and marketable debt securities to improve our overall investment return. These investments are made pursuant to board-approved investment policies that conform to applicable state laws and regulations.

As of June 30, 2016, a substantial portion of our cash was invested in a portfolio of highly liquid money market securities, and our investments consisted solely of investment-grade debt securities. All of our investments are classified as current assets, except for our restricted investments, which are classified as non-current assets. Our restricted investments are invested principally in certificates of deposit and U.S. treasury securities. Investments and restricted investments are subject to interest rate risk and will decrease in value if market rates increase. We have the ability to hold our restricted investments until maturity. Declines in interest rates over time will reduce our investment income.

Investment income increased to \$16 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016, compared with \$7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015, primarily due to an increase in invested assets.

Cash in excess of the capital needs of our regulated health plans is generally paid to us in the form of dividends, when and as permitted by applicable regulations, for general corporate use. For the six months ended June 30, 2016, we received \$50

Table of Contents

million in dividends from our regulated health plan subsidiaries. For the six months ended June 30, 2015, we received \$25 million in dividends from our regulated health plan subsidiaries, and \$17 million from our unregulated subsidiaries.

Liquidity

A condensed schedule of cash flows to facilitate our discussion of liquidity follows:

| | Six Months Ended | | |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------|-------|---------|
| | June 30, | | |
| | 2016 | 2015 | Change |
| | (In millions) | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$278 | \$648 | \$(370) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (273) | (557) | 284 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 11 | 384 | (373) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | \$16 | \$475 | \$(459) |

Operating Activities. Net cash provided by operating activities decreased \$370 million in the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2015.

The year over year changes in the following accounts decreased cash provided by operating activities:

Receivables. Cash flows from operations in each year were impacted by the timing of payments we receive from our states. In general, states may delay our premium payment, which we record as a receivable, or they may prepay the following month premium payment, which we record as deferred revenue. We typically receive capitation payments monthly; however, the states in which we operate may decide to adjust their payment schedules which could positively or negatively impact our reported cash flows from operating activities in any given period. In the current year, the timing of premiums received in California, Florida and Illinois negatively impacted our cash flows from operating activities.

Medical claims and benefits payable. In the six months ended June 30, 2016, medical claims and benefits payable increased at a slower pace than the same period in 2015. In particular, our Puerto Rico health plan commenced operations on April 1, 2015, leading to a significant increase in medical claims and benefits payable at June 30, 2015, compared with a much smaller increase in the current year as the membership base has stabilized.

These changes were partially offset by the increase in amounts due government agencies. In connection with Health Plans segment membership growth in programs that contain medical cost floors, medical cost corridors, or risk adjustment provisions, a portion of certain Medicaid, Medicare, and Marketplace premiums received by our health plans may be returned to the federal or state governments.

Investing Activities. Net cash used in investing activities was \$273 million in the six months ended June 30, 2016, compared with \$557 million in the six months ended June 30, 2015. In the current year, we received approximately \$271 million more in proceeds from sales and maturities of investments than in the prior year.

Financing Activities. Net cash provided by financing activities decreased \$373 million in the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2015, primarily due to the prior year proceeds from a common stock offering, with no comparable activity in the current year.

Financial Condition

We believe that our cash resources and internally generated funds will be sufficient to support our operations, planned acquisitions, regulatory requirements, and capital expenditures for at least the next 12 months.

On a consolidated basis, at June 30, 2016, our working capital was \$1,899 million, compared with \$1,484 million at December 31, 2015. At June 30, 2016, our cash and investments amounted to \$4,422 million, compared with \$4,241 million at December 31, 2015. Cash, cash equivalents and investments held by the parent company (Molina Healthcare, Inc.) amounted to \$466 million and \$612 million as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Regulatory Capital and Dividend Restrictions. For more information on our regulatory capital and dividend restrictions, refer to Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 14, "Commitments and Contingencies."

Debt Ratings. Our 5.375% Notes are rated "BB" by Standard & Poor's, and "Ba3" by Moody's Investor Service, Inc. A downgrade in our ratings could adversely affect our borrowing capacity and costs.

Table of Contents

Future Sources and Uses of Liquidity

Announced Acquisition. As described in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 1, "Basis of Presentation," we announced a Health Plans segment acquisition on April 19, 2016, that we expect to close in the second half of 2016. We expect the purchase price for this acquisition to amount to approximately \$41 million, subject to certain closing adjustments.

States' Budgets. From time to time, the states in which our health plans operate may experience financial difficulties, which could lead to delays in premium payments. It has been our practice in the past to continue to serve our members and pay health care providers for services rendered in circumstances where state (or Commonwealth) governments are temporarily unable to pay us, so long as we continue to believe that such state (or Commonwealth) governments will ultimately pay us.

Credit Facility. In June 2015, we entered into an unsecured \$250 million revolving credit facility (Credit Facility). Borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest based, at our election, on a base rate or an adjusted London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), plus in each case the applicable margin. The Credit Facility has a term of five years and all amounts outstanding will be due and payable on June 12, 2020. Subject to obtaining commitments from existing or new lenders and satisfaction of other specified conditions, we may increase the Credit Facility to up to \$350 million. Our ability to borrow under the Credit Facility is subject to compliance with certain financial covenants. At June 30, 2016, we were in compliance with all financial covenants under the Credit Facility. As of June 30, 2016, outstanding letters of credit amounting to \$6 million reduced the borrowing capacity to \$244 million, and no amounts were outstanding under the Credit Facility.

Convertible Senior Notes. In February 2013, we issued \$550 million aggregate principal amount of 1.125% cash convertible senior notes due January 15, 2020 (1.125% Notes), unless earlier repurchased or converted. In September 2014, we issued \$302 million aggregate principal amount of 1.625% convertible senior notes due August 15, 2044 (1.625% Notes), unless earlier repurchased, redeemed, or converted. The principal amounts of both our 1.125% Notes and our 1.625% Notes are convertible into cash prior to their respective maturity dates under certain circumstances, one of which relates to the closing price of our common stock over a specified period. We refer to this conversion trigger as the stock price trigger.

The 1.125% Notes did not meet the \$53.00 stock price trigger in the quarter ended June 30, 2016, therefore, the 1.125% Notes were not convertible as of June 30, 2016. The 1.625% Notes did not meet the \$75.52 stock price trigger in the quarter ended June 30, 2016, therefore, the 1.625% Notes were not convertible as of June 30, 2016.

Securities Repurchase Program. Effective as of December 16, 2015, our board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50 million in aggregate of our common stock or senior notes. This repurchase program extends through December 31, 2016.

Shelf Registration Statement. We have a shelf registration statement on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission to register an unlimited amount of any combination of debt or equity securities in one or more offerings. Specific information regarding the terms and securities being offered will be provided at the time of an offering. Proceeds from future offerings are expected to be used for general corporate purposes, including, but not limited to, the repayment of debt, investments in or extensions of credit to our subsidiaries and the financing of possible acquisitions or business expansion.

Contractual Obligations

A summary of future obligations under our various contractual obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2015, was disclosed in our 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K. There were no material changes to this previously filed information outside the ordinary course of business during the six months ended June 30, 2016. For further discussion and maturities of our long-term debt, refer to Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Note 10, "Debt."

Critical Accounting Estimates

When we prepare our consolidated financial statements, we use estimates and assumptions that may affect reported amounts and disclosures; actual results could differ from these estimates. Our critical accounting estimates relate to:

-

Health Plans segment medical claims and benefits payable. Refer to Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 9, "Medical Claims and Benefits Payable," for a table which presents the components of the change in medical claims and benefits payable, and for additional information regarding the factors used to determine our changes in estimates for all periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Other than the discussion as noted above, there have been no significant changes during the six months ended June 30, 2016, to our disclosure reported in Part II, Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

Health Plans segment contractual provisions that may adjust or limit revenue or profit. Refer to Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 2, "Significant Accounting Policies," for a discussion of amounts recorded in the six months ended June 30, 2016 in connection with such contractual provisions.

Health Plans segment quality incentives. For a discussion of this topic, including amounts recorded in our consolidated financial statements, refer to Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 2, "Significant Accounting Policies."

Molina Medicaid Solutions segment revenue and cost recognition. There have been no significant changes during the six months ended June 30, 2016, to our disclosure reported in Part II, Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

For information regarding market risk, see Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 2, "Significant Accounting Policies," Note 5, "Fair Value Measurements," and Note 6, "Investments."

Inflation

We use various strategies to mitigate the negative effects of health care cost inflation. Specifically, our health plans try to control medical and hospital costs through contracts with independent providers of health care services. Through these contracted providers, our health plans emphasize preventive health care and appropriate use of specialty and hospital services. There can be no assurance, however, that our strategies to mitigate health care cost inflation will be successful. Competitive pressures, new health care and pharmaceutical product introductions, demands from health care providers and customers, applicable regulations, or other factors may affect our ability to control health care costs.

Compliance Costs

Our health plans are regulated by both state and federal government agencies. Regulation of managed care products and health care services is an evolving area of law that varies from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. Regulatory agencies generally have discretion to issue regulations and interpret and enforce laws and rules. Changes in applicable laws and rules occur frequently. Compliance with such laws and rules may lead to additional costs related to the implementation of additional systems, procedures and programs that we have not yet identified.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures: Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer, has concluded, based upon its evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this report, that the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures" (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")), are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting: There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2016 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For information regarding legal proceedings, see Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q, Note 14, "Commitments and Contingencies."

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Certain risk factors may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations, and you should carefully consider them. In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the risk factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A – Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 and under Part II, Item 1A – Risk Factors, in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2016. The risk factors described in our 2015 Annual Report and 2016 first quarter Quarterly Report are not the only risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial may also materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Unregistered Issuances of Equity Securities

None.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Share repurchase activity during the three months ended June 30, 2016 was as follows:

| | Total Number of Shares Purchased (a) | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (b) |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| April 1 - April 30 | 12,534 | \$ 64.91 | — | \$ 50,000,000 |
| May 1 - May 31 | 1,490 | \$ 51.76 | — | \$ 50,000,000 |
| June 1 - June 30 | 399 | \$ 49.29 | — | \$ 50,000,000 |
| Total | 14,423 | \$ 63.12 | — | |

(a) During the three months ended June 30, 2016, we withheld 14,423 shares of common stock under our 2011 Equity Incentive Plan to settle our employees' income tax obligations.

(b) Effective as of December 16, 2015, our board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50 million in aggregate of our common stock or senior notes. This repurchase program extends through December 31, 2016.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

Reference is made to the accompanying Index to Exhibits.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.
(Registrant)

Dated: July 27, 2016 /s/ JOSEPH M. MOLINA, M.D.
Joseph M. Molina, M.D.
Chairman of the Board,
Chief Executive Officer and President
(Principal Executive Officer)

Dated: July 27, 2016 /s/ JOHN C. MOLINA, J.D.
John C. Molina, J.D.
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit No. Title

| | |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Taxonomy Instance Document. |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document. |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document. |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document. |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document. |