

AGILE SOFTWARE CORP
Form 10-Q
September 15, 2003
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended July 31, 2003

OR

.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 000-27071

AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

77-0397905
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

6373 San Ignacio Avenue, San Jose, California 95119-1200

(Address of principal executive office)

(408) 284-4000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Yes No

The number of shares of Common Stock of the Registrant issued and outstanding as of August 22, 2003 was 51,335,722.

Table of Contents

AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

FORM 10-Q

JULY 31, 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | |
| Financial Statements | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at July 31, 2003 and April 30, 2003</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended July 31, 2003 and 2002</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended July 31, 2003 and 2002</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| Item 2. | |
| <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 16 |
| Item 3. | |
| <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 37 |
| Item 4. | |
| <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 38 |
| PART II OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | |
| <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 39 |
| Item 2. | |
| <u>Changes In Securities and Use Of Proceeds</u> | 39 |
| Item 3. | |
| <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 39 |
| Item 4. | |
| <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 39 |
| Item 5. | |
| <u>Other Information</u> | 40 |
| Item 6. | |
| <u>Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K</u> | 40 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 41 |

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In thousands)****(Unaudited)**

| | July 31, 2003 | April 30, 2003 (1) |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <u>ASSETS</u> | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 171,953 | \$ 154,852 |
| Short-term investments | 84,711 | 102,115 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,235 and \$1,158 as of July 31, 2003 and April 30, 2003, respectively | 11,740 | 12,061 |
| Other current assets | 5,194 | 6,295 |
| Total current assets | 273,598 | 275,323 |
| Property and equipment, net | 8,601 | 7,389 |
| Goodwill | 5,810 | 5,150 |
| Intangible assets, net | 1,278 | 1,080 |
| Other assets | 2,167 | 2,008 |
| Total assets | \$ 291,454 | \$ 290,950 |
| <u>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</u> | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 3,493 | \$ 3,230 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 13,025 | 13,632 |
| Deferred revenue | 16,382 | 15,280 |
| Total current liabilities | 32,900 | 32,142 |
| Accrued restructuring, non-current | 2,136 | 2,562 |
| Total liabilities | 35,036 | 34,704 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 7) | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common Stock | 49 | 49 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 518,370 | 516,056 |

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Notes receivable from stockholders | (165) | (186) |
| Unearned stock compensation | (1,355) | (2,030) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (70) | 93 |
| Accumulated deficit | (260,411) | (257,736) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total stockholders' equity | 256,418 | 256,246 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 291,454 | \$ 290,950 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

(1) Amounts as of April 30, 2003 have been derived from audited financial statements as of that date.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------|
| | July 31, | |
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| Revenues: | | |
| License | \$ 7,384 | \$ 6,855 |
| Professional services and maintenance | 10,879 | 9,169 |
| Total revenues | 18,263 | 16,024 |
| Cost of revenues: | | |
| License | 810 | 651 |
| Professional services and maintenance | 4,759 | 4,662 |
| Stock compensation | | 24 |
| Total cost of revenues | 5,569 | 5,337 |
| Gross margin | 12,694 | 10,687 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| Sales and marketing: | | |
| Other sales and marketing | 8,108 | 11,673 |
| Stock compensation | 540 | 455 |
| Research and development: | | |
| Other research and development | 5,284 | 8,065 |
| Stock compensation | 56 | 105 |
| General and administrative: | | |
| Other general and administrative | 1,760 | 1,637 |
| Stock compensation (recovery) | 34 | (41) |
| Total operating expenses | 15,782 | 21,894 |
| Loss from operations | (3,088) | (11,207) |
| Other income (expense): | | |
| Interest and other income, net | 830 | 1,515 |
| Impairment of investments | | (2,560) |
| Loss before provision for income taxes | (2,258) | (12,252) |
| Provision for income taxes | 417 | 96 |

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Net loss | \$ (2,675) | \$ (12,348) |
| Net loss per share: | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.26) |
| Weighted average shares | 49,147 | 48,176 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(In thousands)****(Unaudited)**

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------|
| | July 31, | |
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (2,675) | \$ (12,348) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 75 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,477 | 2,161 |
| Amortization of stock compensation and warrants | 630 | 543 |
| Impairment of goodwill and equity investments | | 2,560 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions: | | |
| Accounts receivable | 246 | (1,155) |
| Other assets, current and non-current | 942 | 913 |
| Accounts payable | 263 | (1,216) |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | (1,033) | (871) |
| Deferred revenue | 830 | (364) |
| | <u>755</u> | <u>(9,777)</u> |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | | |
| | <u>755</u> | <u>(9,777)</u> |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of investments | (44,759) | (50,010) |
| Proceeds from maturities of investments | 62,000 | 60,485 |
| Cash paid in business combinations, net of cash acquired | (670) | |
| Acquisition of property and equipment | (2,605) | (2,379) |
| | <u>13,966</u> | <u>8,096</u> |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | | |
| | <u>13,966</u> | <u>8,096</u> |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of Common Stock, net of repurchases | 2,359 | 82 |
| Repayment of notes receivable from stockholders | 21 | 7 |
| | <u>2,380</u> | <u>89</u> |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | | |
| | <u>2,380</u> | <u>89</u> |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 17,101 | (1,592) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 154,852 | 123,374 |
| | <u>\$ 171,953</u> | <u>\$ 121,782</u> |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | | |
| | <u>\$ 171,953</u> | <u>\$ 121,782</u> |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities: | | |

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

Unearned stock compensation (recovery)

\$ (45)

\$ (401)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:****Basis of presentation**

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Agile Software Corporation and its subsidiaries (Agile) have been prepared by us and reflect all adjustments (all of which are normal and recurring in nature) that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the interim periods presented. The results of operations for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any subsequent quarter or for the fiscal year ending April 30, 2004. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted in accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and regulations. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes included herein should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2003, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on July 28, 2003 with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Concentrations of credit risk and significant customers

The following table sets forth the percentage of total revenue and net accounts receivable generated by each of the three customers who accounted for more than 10% of our total revenue and/or 10% of our net accounts receivable as of and for the periods indicated below:

| | <u>% of Total Revenues</u> | | <u>% of Net Accounts</u> | |
|------------|----------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| | <u>Three Months Ended</u> | | <u>Receivable at</u> | |
| | <u>July 31,</u> | | <u>July 31,</u> | <u>April 30,</u> |
| | <u>2003</u> | <u>2002</u> | <u>2003</u> | <u>2003</u> |
| Customer A | 12% | | 18% | |
| Customer B | | 16% | | |
| Customer C | | | | 22% |

Stock compensation

We account for stock-based employee compensation arrangements in accordance with provisions of Accounting Principles Board, or APB, Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related interpretations, and comply with the disclosure provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard, or SFAS, No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, as amended by SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure. Under APB Opinion No. 25, unearned compensation is based on the difference, if any, on the date of the grant, between the fair value of our Common Stock and the exercise price of the instrument granted. Unearned compensation is amortized and expensed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Interpretation No., or FIN, 28 using the accelerated method of amortization. If a stock option is unvested and cancelled due to termination of employment, any excess amortization recorded using the accelerated method over what would have been amortized on a straight-line basis is reversed in the period of cancellation, and classified as recovery.

We account for stock-based compensation issued to non-employees in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123 and Emerging Issues Task Force, or EITF, No. 96-18 Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services.

Table of Contents

AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Fair value disclosures

Pro forma information regarding net income and earnings per share is required by SFAS No. 123. This information is required to be determined as if we had accounted for our stock-based compensation plans under the fair value method.

The fair value of shares and options issued pursuant to our stock-based compensation plans at the grant date were calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model as prescribed by SFAS No. 123 with the following weighted average assumptions:

| | Stock Option Plans | | Purchase Plan | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| | Three Months | | Three Months | |
| | Ended July 31, | | Ended July 31, | |
| | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 |
| Dividend yield | | | | |
| Expected volatility | 76% | 105% | 76% | 105% |
| Average risk-free interest rate | 3.38% | 3.53% | 1.02% | 1.70% |
| Expected life (in years) | 5 | 5 | .5 | .5 |

The Black-Scholes option pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option pricing models require the input of assumptions, including the expected stock price volatility. We use projected volatility rates, which are based upon historical volatility rates trended into future years. Because our employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in our opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of our options. Based upon the above assumptions, the weighted average fair value per share of options granted under the option plans during the three months ended July 31, 2003 and 2002 was \$5.16 and \$5.41, respectively. The weighted average fair value per share of shares granted under the Purchase Plan during the three months ended July 31, 2003 and 2002 was \$2.38 and \$5.07, respectively.

Had we recognized the fair value of our stock-based compensation plans under the provisions of SFAS No. 123, our net loss would have been increased to the pro forma amounts below for the three months ended July 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively (in thousands, except per share amounts):

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | Three Months Ended July 31, | |
|---|--|--------------------|
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| Net loss as reported | \$ (2,675) | \$ (12,348) |
| Add: Stock-based employee compensation under APB Opinion No. 25 included in reported net loss | 439 | 419 |
| Less: Stock-based employee compensation under SFAS No. 123 | (5,838) | (10,852) |
| Pro forma net loss | \$ (8,074) | \$ (22,781) |
| Net loss per basic and diluted share as reported | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.26) |
| Pro forma net loss per basic and diluted share | \$ (0.16) | \$ (0.47) |

Unearned stock compensation

We record unearned stock compensation when we issue restricted Common Stock or options to purchase Common Stock with exercise prices below fair value at the date of grant. Stock compensation is recognized over the applicable vesting period of the related options, generally five years, using the accelerated method of amortization.

Table of Contents

AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Stock compensation related to stock options granted to non-employees is recognized as an expense as the underlying stock options are earned, over the applicable vesting period of the options, generally five years, using the accelerated method of amortization. At each reporting date, we recalculate the value of the stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and record changes in fair value for the unvested portion of the options. As a result, stock compensation for non-employees fluctuates with the movement in the fair value of our Common Stock.

During the three months ended July 31, 2003 and 2002, we terminated employment of individuals for whom we had recorded unearned stock compensation and had recognized related expense on unvested options using the accelerated method of amortization. Accordingly, during the three months ended July 31, 2003 and 2002, we reduced unearned stock compensation, which would have been amortized to future expense, by \$45,000 and \$401,000, respectively.

Amortization of employee and non-employee stock options, and recoveries due to cancellations were as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| | July 31, | |
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| Amortization employees | \$ 493 | \$ 583 |
| Amortization non-employees | 191 | 124 |
| Recovery employees | (54) | (164) |
| Net amortization | \$ 630 | \$ 543 |

Recent accounting pronouncements*Asset Retirement Obligations*

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. SFAS No. 143 addresses the financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets that result from acquisition, construction, development and/or normal use of the assets, including lease restoration obligations. SFAS No. 143 requires that the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of the fair value can be made. The fair value of the liability is added to the carrying amount of the associated asset, and this additional carrying amount is expensed over the life of the asset. We

adopted SFAS No. 143 effective May 1, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 143 did not have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Costs Associated with Exit and Disposal Activities

In July 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, Accounting for Exit or Disposal Activities. SFAS No. 146 addresses the recognition, measurement and reporting of costs associated with exit and disposal activities (i.e., restructuring activities), including costs related to terminating a contract that is not a capital lease and termination benefits due to employees who are involuntarily terminated under the terms of a one-time benefit arrangement. SFAS No. 146 supersedes Emerging Issues Task Force, or EITF, Issue No. 94-3, Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (Including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring) and EITF Issue No. 88-10, Costs Associated with Lease Modification or Termination. Accordingly, SFAS No. 146 prohibits recognition of a liability based solely on an entity's commitment to a plan to exit an activity. SFAS No. 146 requires that: (i) liabilities associated with exit and disposal activities be measured at

Table of Contents

AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

fair value and changes in the fair value of the liability at each reporting period be measured using an interest allocation approach; (ii) one-time termination benefits be expensed at the date the entity notifies the employee, unless the employee must provide future service, in which case the benefits are expensed ratably over the future service period; (iii) liabilities to terminate a contract be recorded at fair value when the contract is terminated; (iv) liabilities related to an existing operating lease/contract, unless terminated, be recorded at fair value, less estimated sublease income, and measured when the contract does not have any future economic benefit to the entity (i.e., the entity ceases to utilize the rights conveyed by the contract); and (v) all other costs related to an exit or disposal activity be expensed as incurred. SFAS No. 146 is effective for exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002. We adopted SFAS No. 146 effective January 1, 2003. Therefore, the restructuring activities to be initiated by us in the second quarter of fiscal 2004, as discussed further in Note 6 Restructuring and Other Charges below, will be accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 146. The adoption of SFAS No. 146 will not impact our restructuring obligations recognized in fiscal 2002 and 2003 as these obligations must continue to be accounted for in accordance with EITF 94-3 and EITF 88-10 and other applicable pre-existing guidance.

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities

In January 2003, the FASB issued FIN 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. This interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, addresses consolidation by business enterprises of certain variable interest entities where there is a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity or where the variable interest entity does not have sufficient equity at risk to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. The interpretation applies immediately to variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003 and applies in the first year or interim period beginning after June 15, 2003 to variable interest entities in which an enterprise holds a variable interest that it acquired before February 1, 2003. We did not have any ownership in any variable interest entities as of July 31, 2003. We will apply the consolidation requirement of FIN 46 in future periods if we should own any interest in any variable interest entity.

Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity. SFAS No. 150 addresses certain financial instruments that, under previous guidance, could be accounted for as equity, but now must be classified as liabilities in statements of financial position. These financial instruments include: 1) mandatorily redeemable financial instruments, 2) obligations to repurchase the issuer's equity shares by transferring assets, and 3) obligations to issue a variable number of shares. SFAS No. 150 is effective for all financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. We did not have any such financial instruments as of July 31, 2003. The implementation of SFAS No. 150 is not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 2 Net Loss Per Share

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net loss per share is the same as basic net loss per share because the calculation of diluted net loss per share excludes potential common shares since their effect is anti-dilutive. Potentially dilutive common shares consist of unvested restricted Common Stock and incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options and warrants.

Table of Contents

AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net loss per share for the periods indicated (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Three Months Ended July 31, | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| Numerator: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (2,675) | \$ (12,348) |
| Denominator: | | |
| Weighted average shares | 49,316 | 48,460 |
| Weighted average unvested shares of restricted Common Stock subject to repurchase | (169) | (284) |
| Denominator for basic and diluted calculation | 49,147 | 48,176 |
| Net loss per share: | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.26) |

The following table sets forth potential shares of Common Stock that are not included in the diluted net loss per share calculation above because to do so would be anti-dilutive as of the dates indicated below (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended July 31, | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------|
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| Warrants to purchase Common Stock | 50 | 50 |
| Unvested Common Stock subject to repurchase | 158 | 265 |
| Options to purchase Common Stock | 14,787 | 14,808 |
| Total shares excluded | 14,995 | 15,123 |

Note 3 Comprehensive Loss:

Comprehensive loss, which is reflected as a component of stockholders' equity, includes net loss and unrealized gains or losses on investments, as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|
| | July 31, | |
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| Net loss | \$ (2,675) | \$ (12,348) |
| Unrealized gains (loss) on investments | (163) | 252 |
| Comprehensive loss | \$ (2,838) | \$ (12,096) |

Note 4 Business Combinations:

During fiscal 2003, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of oneREV, Inc. (oneREV) and ProductFactory, Inc. (ProductFactory). Each acquisition was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, the results of operations of each acquisition are included in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations since the acquisition date.

Table of Contents

AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

In connection with our acquisition of ProductFactory, additional consideration of up to \$2.5 million may be earned, based upon net revenues from sales of the acquired product through July 31, 2004. During the three months ended July 31, 2003, we paid \$660,000 of additional consideration to the former ProductFactory stockholders.

Subsequent to July 31, 2003, we acquired Eigner US Inc. See Note 9 Subsequent Event for additional information.

Pro forma financial information

Pro forma financial information giving effect to the acquisition of ProductFactory has not been presented since the pro forma financial information would not differ materially from our historical results.

The following table presents the pro forma financial information for oneREV and us for the three months ended July 31, 2002 as if the acquisition had occurred as of the beginning of May 1, 2002. (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Three Months Ended |
|--|-------------------------------|
| | July 31, 2002 |
| Pro forma net revenue | \$ 16,046 |
| Pro forma net loss | \$ (14,139) |
| Pro forma net loss per basic and diluted share | \$ (0.29) |
| Pro forma shares outstanding | 48,310 |

These results are presented for illustrative purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of the actual operating results or financial position that would have occurred if the transaction had been consummated at the beginning of the period.

Note 5 Goodwill and Intangible Assets:

Goodwill

The change in carrying amount of goodwill for the three months ended July 31, 2003, is as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|--|----------|
| Balance as of April 30, 2003 | \$ 5,150 |
| Earnout payments to the stockholders of ProductFactory | 660 |
| | <hr/> |
| Balance as of July 31, 2003 | \$ 5,810 |
| | <hr/> |

Under SFAS No. 142 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, goodwill is no longer subject to amortization. Rather, we evaluate goodwill for impairment at least annually or more frequently if events and changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable.

Table of Contents**AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****Intangible Assets**

The components of acquired identifiable intangible assets are as follows (in thousands):

| | July 31, 2003 | | | April 30, 2003 | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount |
| Intangible Assets: | | | | | | |
| Developed technologies | \$ 1,190 | \$ (170) | \$ 1,020 | \$ 1,190 | \$ (110) | \$ 1,080 |
| Customer lists (1) | 272 | (14) | 258 | | | |
| | \$ 1,462 | \$ (184) | \$ 1,278 | \$ 1,190 | \$ (110) | \$ 1,080 |

- (1) In May 2003, we acquired certain tangible and identifiable intangible assets, comprising computers and customer lists, of MS2, Inc. (MS2), a developer of product lifecycle automation software, for the assumption of certain liabilities of MS2.

All of our acquired identifiable intangible assets are subject to amortization. As of July 31, 2003, acquired identifiable intangible assets are comprised of developed technologies and customer lists and are being amortized over a weighted average period of approximately four years. No significant residual value is estimated for the intangible assets.

As of July 31, 2003, the estimated future amortization expense of acquired intangible assets is as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Years:

| | |
|------------------------------|----------|
| 2004 (remaining nine months) | \$ 249 |
| 2005 | 331 |
| 2006 | 331 |
| 2007 | 248 |
| 2008 | 119 |
| | \$ 1,278 |

Note 6 Restructuring and Other Charges:

As a result of unfavorable global economic conditions and a reduction in information technology spending around the world throughout fiscal 2002, during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2002, we announced a worldwide restructuring program to reduce expenses to align our operations and cost structure with market conditions (the 2002 Restructuring). During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2002, we recorded a charge of \$6.3 million related to the 2002 Restructuring, which was comprised of (i) \$2.4 million for severance benefits and other associated costs resulting from our reduction in personnel, including \$447,000 in stock compensation charges; (ii) \$729,000 to downsize and close excess facilities; (iii) \$902,000 to write-down leasehold improvements and prepaid software licenses resulting from discontinued projects; and (iv) \$2.2 million of other charges related to the impairment of certain other assets, including \$1.7 million of non-refundable prepaid software license fees for which we determined that the carrying value exceeded its net realizable value as a result of revised forecasts of future revenues showing lower than anticipated sales for the products in which the third party licensed software was embedded. These estimates will be reviewed and revised quarterly and may result in an increase to restructuring and other charges. In particular, we may not be able to lease our excess facilities in a reasonable period of time or at a rate similar to our estimate. We utilized \$3.5 million and \$2.3 million of the 2002 Restructuring obligations during fiscal 2002 and 2003, respectively.

Table of Contents**AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)**

Throughout the first half of fiscal 2003, we continued to evaluate the economic conditions and initiated a further restructuring of our operations (the 2003 Restructuring). During the second quarter of fiscal 2003, we recorded a charge of \$7.8 million related to the 2003 Restructuring, which was comprised of (i) \$4.6 million of facilities costs, which generally represent lease commitment costs for office space that we no longer occupy and, to a lesser extent, a reduction of estimated sublease income related to excess facilities identified in the 2002 Restructuring, due to further deterioration of the real estate market in the San Jose area; (ii) \$485,000 of equipment and other write-offs, related principally to write-off of excess fixed assets; and (iii) \$2.7 million of other charges related to the impairment of certain prepaid software license fees for which we determined that the carrying value of the non-refundable prepaid license fees exceeded its net realizable value as a result of revised forecasts of future revenues showing lower than anticipated sales for the products in which the third party licensed software was embedded. These estimates will be reviewed and revised quarterly and may result in an increase to restructuring and other charges. In particular, we may not be able to lease our excess facilities in a reasonable period of time or at a rate similar to our estimate. We utilized \$4.0 million of the 2003 Restructuring obligations during fiscal 2003.

Summary of Restructuring Obligations

The significant activity within and components of the restructuring and other charges as of and for the three months ended July 31, 2003 are as follows (in thousands):

| | Facilities Costs |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Restructuring obligations at April 30, 2003 | \$ 4,251 |
| Cash payments | (422) |
| Restructuring obligations at July 31, 2003 (1) | \$ 3,829 |
| Included in accrued expenses and other liabilities | \$ 1,693 |
| Included in accrued restructuring, non-current | 2,136 |
| | \$ 3,829 |

- (1) Total restructuring obligations as of July 31, 2003 relate to costs associated with lease commitments for office space that we no longer occupy, net of estimated sublease income. The remaining obligations relating to facilities and leases are expected to be paid through November 2007.

During the three months ended July 31, 2003, as part of our effort to reduce our long-term occupancy costs, we entered into a non-cancelable operating sublease, as the subtenant, for approximately 82,000 square feet of commercial office space in San Jose, California, for our new

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

headquarters (see Note 7 Commitments and Contingencies for additional information). In connection with our move to this new facility, we anticipate recording a restructuring charge of approximately \$7 million to \$8 million during the second quarter of fiscal 2004 primarily related to the fair value of the remainder of our outstanding lease commitments for properties that we will be vacating, net of estimated sublease income, and the abandonment of certain long-lived assets, including leasehold improvements, furniture and fixtures, and computer equipment.

Table of Contents**AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****Note 7 Commitments and Contingencies:****Lease Obligations**

In May 2003, as part of our effort to reduce our long-term occupancy costs, we entered into a non-cancelable operating sublease, as the subtenant, for approximately 82,000 square feet of commercial office space in San Jose, California, for our new headquarters. The sublease ends in July 2011. Rental commitments under the sublease provides for payments on a graduated scale totaling approximately \$7.0 million.

The future minimum lease payments under all of our non-cancelable operating leases at July 31, 2003 are as follows (in thousands):

| Fiscal Year | Future Minimum Lease Payments | Less Sublease Income | Net Future Minimum Lease Payments |
|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| 2004 (remaining nine months) | \$ 4,567 | \$ 686 | \$ 3,881 |
| 2005 | 6,012 | 1,006 | 5,006 |
| 2006 | 2,897 | 333 | 2,565 |
| 2007 | 1,348 | | 1,348 |
| 2008 | 1,156 | | 1,156 |
| Thereafter | 3,213 | | 3,213 |
| Total minimum lease payments | \$ 19,194 | \$ 2,025 | \$ 17,169 |

Indemnification obligations

Our software license agreements typically provide for indemnification of customers for intellectual property infringement claims. To date, no such claims have been filed against us. We also warrant to customers that software products operate substantially in accordance with specifications. Historically, minimal costs have been incurred related to product warranties, and as such no accruals for warranty costs have been made. In addition, we are obligated to indemnify our officers and directors under the terms of indemnity agreements entered into with them, as well as pursuant to our certificate of incorporation, bylaws, and applicable Delaware law. To date, we have not incurred any costs related to these indemnifications.

Litigation

On or around October 25, 2001, a class action lawsuit was filed on behalf of holders of Agile securities in the Southern District of New York against Agile Software Corporation, Bryan D. Stolle and Thomas P. Shanahan (collectively the Agile Defendants) and several investment banking firms that served as underwriters of our initial public offering and secondary offering. The case is now captioned *In re Agile Software, Inc. Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, 01 CIV 9413 (SAS), related to *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, 21 MC 92 (SAS). The operative amended complaint is brought purportedly on behalf of all persons who purchased our Common Stock from August 19, 1999 through December 6, 2000. It alleges liability under Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, on the grounds that the registration statement for the offerings did not disclose that: (1) the underwriters had agreed to allow certain customers to purchase shares in the offerings in exchange for excess commissions paid to the underwriters; and (2) the underwriters had arranged for certain customers to purchase additional shares in the aftermarket at predetermined prices. The amended complaint also alleges that false analyst reports were issued. No specific damages are claimed.

Table of Contents

AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Similar allegations were made in other lawsuits challenging over 300 other initial public offerings and follow-on offerings conducted in 1999 and 2000. The cases were consolidated for pretrial purposes. On February 19, 2003, the Court ruled on all defendants' motions to dismiss.

The Court denied the motions to dismiss claims under the Securities Act of 1933 in all but 10 of the cases. In the case involving us, these claims were dismissed as to the initial public offering, but not the secondary offering. The Court denied the motion to dismiss the claim under Section 10(a) against us and 184 other issuer defendants, on the basis that the complaints in these cases alleged that the respective issuers had acquired companies or conducted follow-on offerings after their initial public offerings. As a consequence, the Court denied the motion to dismiss the Section 20(a) claims against the individual defendants. The Court dismissed the Section 10(a) claims against the individual defendants with prejudice.

We have decided to accept a settlement proposal presented to all issuer defendants. In this settlement, plaintiffs will dismiss and release all claims against the Agile Defendants, in exchange for a contingent payment by the insurance companies collectively responsible for insuring the issuers in all of the IPO cases, and for the assignment or surrender of certain claims we may have against the underwriters. The Agile Defendants will not be required to make any cash payments in the settlement, unless the *pro rata* amount paid by the insurers in the settlement exceeds the amount of the insurance coverage, a circumstance which we do not believe will occur. The settlement will require approval of the Court, which cannot be assured, after class members are given the opportunity to object to the settlement or opt out of the settlement.

We are also subject to various other claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In our opinion, after consultation with legal counsel, the ultimate disposition of these matters is not expected to have a material effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Note 8 Segment and Geographic Information:

We have one operating segment, enterprise class product lifecycle management solutions. We market our products in the United States and in foreign countries through our direct sales force and indirect distribution channels.

During the three months ended July 31, 2003 and 2002, revenues from customers located outside of North America were approximately 23% and 26% of total revenues, respectively. Revenues from customers located outside of North America were derived primarily from sales to customers located in Japan, and to a lesser extent, Europe, for all periods presented.

Note 9 Subsequent Event:

On August 11, 2003, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of Eigner US Inc. (Eigner), a developer of product lifecycle management solutions, for approximately \$19.0 million, consisting of \$2.8 million in cash and the issuance of 1.8 million shares of Agile Common Stock valued at \$16.2 million. In addition, we will pay, over the next six months, approximately \$3.0 million in hiring and retention bonuses to persons who were employees of Eigner at the time of the acquisition. We are in the process of determining the purchase price allocation for this acquisition.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The information in this discussion contains forward-looking statements (within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Such statements are based upon current expectations that involve risks and uncertainties, and we undertake no obligation to publicly release any revisions to the forward-looking statements or reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report. Any statements contained herein that are not statements of historical fact may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. For example, words such as may, will, should, estimates, predicts, potential, continue, strategy, be anticipates, plans, expects, intends, and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Our actual results and the timing of certain events may differ materially from those reflected in the forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause or contribute to such a discrepancy include, but are not limited to, those discussed below, as well as in the Risk Factors section included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed on July 28, 2003 with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. Our fiscal year ends on April 30 of each year.

Overview

We develop and sell a broad suite of product lifecycle management integrated software applications that enable customers to collaborate over the Internet with their supply and design chain partners to manage the product record in a product supply chain, and the business processes that contribute to and utilize the product record. These product lifecycle management solutions speed the build and buy process across the virtual manufacturing network, and manage product content and critical communication, collaboration and commerce transactions among original equipment manufacturers, electronic manufacturing services providers, customers and suppliers.

On August 11, 2003, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of Eigner US Inc. (Eigner), a developer of product lifecycle management solutions, for approximately \$19.0 million, consisting of \$2.8 million in cash and the issuance of 1.8 million shares of Agile Common Stock valued at \$16.2 million. In addition, we will pay, over the next six months, approximately \$3.0 million in hiring and retention bonuses to persons who were employees of Eigner at the time of the acquisition.

Use of Estimates and Critical Accounting Policies

We have prepared our condensed consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principals generally accepted in the United States. In preparing our financial statements, we make estimates, assumptions and judgments that can have a significant impact on our reported revenues, loss from operations, and net loss, as well as on the value of certain assets and liabilities on our balance sheet. These estimates, assumptions and judgments about future events and their effects on our results cannot be determined with certainty, and are made based upon our historical experience and on other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates may change as new events occur or additional information is obtained, and we may periodically be faced with uncertainties, the outcomes of which are not within our control and may not be known for a prolonged period of time. The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon these statements. While there are a number of accounting policies, methods and estimates affecting our financial statements, areas that are particularly significant include revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts, investments, prepaid software license fees, restructuring reserves, and stock options and warrants.

In addition to the estimates and assumptions that we use to prepare our historical financial statements, we monitor our sales pipeline in order to estimate the timing and amount of future revenues. If we are unable to properly estimate the timing and amount of revenues, our future

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

operations could be significantly impacted. Our sales pipeline may not consistently result in revenues in a particular period, as the data upon which the assumptions and estimates made by us may change. For example, the current economic slowdown has resulted in reduced information technology spending that has caused customers to delay purchasing decisions. Accordingly, it has been more difficult and has taken longer to close contracts with customers, the size of the transactions have decreased, and many of our license contracts are signed in the last weeks of the quarter, making it difficult for us to forecast revenues for the quarter. These factors have resulted in difficulty adjusting spending to respond to variations in revenue levels achieved during the quarter, all of which has adversely affected our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

We have identified the policies below as critical to our business operations and understanding of our financial condition and results of operations. A critical accounting policy is one that is both material to the presentation of our financial statements and requires us to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments that could have a material effect on our financial condition and results of operations. These policies may require us to make assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time of the estimate, and different estimates that we could have used, or changes in the estimate that are reasonably likely to occur, may have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

Revenue Recognition

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Investments

Prepaid Software License Fees

Restructuring Reserves

Stock Options and Warrants

Additional information about these critical accounting policies may be found in the Management's Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2003. There have been no changes to these critical accounting policies subsequent to April 30, 2003.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth selected consolidated financial data for the periods indicated, expressed as a percentage of total revenues.

| | Three Months | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------|
| | Ended July 31, | |
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| Revenues: | | |
| License | 40% | 43% |
| Professional services and maintenance | 60 | 57 |
| Total revenues | 100 | 100 |
| Cost of revenues: | | |
| License | 4 | 4 |
| Professional services and maintenance | 26 | 29 |
| Stock compensation | — | — |
| Total cost of revenues | 30 | 33 |
| Gross margin | 70 | 67 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| Sales and marketing: | | |
| Other sales and marketing | 44 | 73 |
| Stock compensation | 3 | 3 |
| Research and development: | | |
| Other research and development | 29 | 50 |
| Stock compensation | 1 | 1 |
| General and administrative: | | |
| Other general and administrative | 10 | 10 |
| Stock compensation | — | — |
| Total operating expenses | 87 | 137 |
| Loss from operations | (17) | (70) |
| Other income (expense): | | |
| Interest and other income, net | 4 | 9 |
| Impairment of investments | — | (16) |
| Loss before provision for income taxes | (13) | (77) |
| Provision for income taxes | 2 | — |
| Net loss | (15)% | (77)% |

Comparison of the Three Months Ended July 31, 2003 and 2002

Revenues

We derive revenues from the license of software products under software license agreements and from the delivery of associated professional and maintenance services.

For the three months ended July 31, 2003, we had one customer that accounted for 12% of total revenues, and for the three months ended July 31, 2002, we had another customer that accounted for 16% of total revenues. Our international revenues were derived primarily from sales to customers in Japan, Europe and the Asia-Pacific region. Revenues from customers located outside of North America were approximately 23% and 26% of total revenues during the three months ended July 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Table of Contents**License Revenues**

| | Three Months Ended | Change | | Three Months Ended |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|----|-----------------------|
| | July 31, 2003 | \$ | % | July 31, 2002 |
| | (in thousands, except percent change) | | | |
| License revenues | \$ 7,384 | \$ 529 | 8% | \$ 6,855 |
| As a percentage of total revenues | 40% | | | 43% |

Our license revenues are comprised of fees charged for the use of our products licensed under perpetual or term-based arrangements.

The increase in license revenues, in absolute dollars, was due to a larger number of license sales transactions, partially offset by a decrease in our average sales prices. The decrease in license revenues, as a percentage of total revenues, was a result of an increase in professional services and maintenance revenues as described below.

As a result of our recent acquisition of Eigner, we expect license revenues to increase in absolute dollars, and decrease as a percentage of total revenues, over the next quarter, as Eigner license revenue may be negatively impacted in the short-term as customers evaluate the effect of the acquisition.

Professional Services and Maintenance Revenues

| | Three Months Ended | Change | | Three Months Ended |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------|-----|-----------------------|
| | July 31, 2003 | \$ | % | July 31, 2002 |
| | (in thousands, except percent change) | | | |
| Professional services and maintenance revenues | \$ 10,879 | \$ 1,710 | 19% | \$ 9,169 |
| As a percentage of total revenues | 60% | | | 57% |

Our professional services and maintenance revenues are comprised of fees charged for implementation services and training, and fees charged for post contract customer support (i.e., technical support and product updates). Our implementation services and training are typically provided over a period of three to six months subsequent to the signing of a software license arrangement. Our professional services and maintenance revenues depend in large part on our software license revenues and renewals of maintenance agreements by our existing customer base.

The increase in professional services and maintenance revenues, in absolute dollars, was primarily due to an increase in maintenance revenues associated with new license sales during the last four quarters, an increase in maintenance revenue from renewals, and an increase in our implementation services.

We generally expect professional services and maintenance revenues to track with the increase or decrease in our overall license revenues during the previous three to six month period, in absolute dollars. As a result of our recent acquisition of Eigner, we expect professional services and maintenance revenues to increase, in absolute dollars and as a percentage of total revenues, over the next quarter.

Table of Contents**Cost of Revenues***Cost of License Revenues*

| | Three Months Ended July 31, 2003 | Change | | Three Months Ended July 31, 2002 |
|-------------------------------------|---|---------------|-----|---|
| | | \$ | % | |
| | (in thousands, except percent change) | | | |
| Cost of license revenues | \$ 810 | \$ 159 | 24% | \$ 651 |
| As a percentage of license revenues | 11% | | | 9% |

Our cost of license revenues includes license fees due to third parties for integrated technology, the cost of manuals and product documentation, production media used to deliver our products and packaging costs.

The increase in cost of license revenues, in absolute dollars and as a percentage of license revenues, was primarily due to our overall increase in license revenues, resulting in an increase in license fees paid to third parties on third-party software integrated into our products. The increase in cost of license revenues as a percentage of license revenues was due to a higher component of third party software embedded in our products shipped during the three months ended July 31, 2003.

We expect cost of license revenues to track with the increase or decrease in our overall license revenues, in absolute dollars. As new and future versions of our product suite may include more embedded third party software than prior versions, as is the case with the products acquired in our recent acquisition of Eigner, we expect cost of license revenue to increase, in absolute dollars and as a percentage of license revenues, over the next quarter.

Cost of Professional Services and Maintenance Revenues

| | Three Months Ended July 31, 2003 | Change | | Three Months Ended July 31, 2002 |
|---|---|---------------|----|---|
| | | \$ | % | |
| | (in thousands, except percent change) | | | |
| Cost of professional services and maintenance revenues | \$ 4,759 | \$ 97 | 2% | \$ 4,662 |
| As a percentage of professional services and maintenance revenues | 44% | | | 51% |

Our cost of professional services and maintenance revenues includes salaries and related expenses for the implementation, training services, and customer support organizations, costs of third parties contracted to provide implementation services to customers and an allocation of our overhead expenses, including rent, information technology and other overhead expenses. In addition, cost of professional services and

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

maintenance revenues includes support fees and upgrade fees paid to third parties for technology embedded in software upgrades provided to our installed customer base.

The increase in cost of professional services and maintenance revenues in absolute dollars was primarily due to the increase in our overall professional services and maintenance revenues, offset by a combination of a reduction in personnel-related costs as a result of a decreased number of employees and a higher utilization of our remaining professional services personnel. The decrease in cost of professional services and maintenance revenues as a percentage of professional services and maintenance revenues was primarily due to improved margins on both professional services revenues and maintenance revenues, as well as an increase in the mix of maintenance revenues to professional services, as maintenance revenues have higher margins.

We expect cost of professional services and maintenance revenues to increase, in absolute dollars and as a percentage of professional services and maintenance revenues, over the next quarter primarily due to an expected increase in professional services and maintenance revenues as a result of our recent acquisition of Eigner.

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | <u>2003</u> | <u>\$</u> | <u>%</u> | <u>2002</u> |
|--|--|------------|----------|-------------|
| | (in thousands, except percent change) | | | |
| Research and development, excluding stock compensation | \$ 5,284 | \$ (2,781) | (34)% | \$ 8,065 |
| As a percentage of total revenues | 29% | | | 50% |

In addition to the common recurring expenditures mentioned above, our research and development expenses consists of costs associated with the development of new products, enhancements of existing products, and quality assurance procedures. To date, all software development costs in research and development have been expensed as incurred.

The decrease in research and development expenses, excluding stock compensation, on an absolute basis and as a percentage of total revenues, was primarily due to a full quarter of benefits realized from the shift of some of our development activities to new offshore development centers in India and China during fiscal 2003, which resulted in lower overall operating costs, including a reduction in personnel-related costs, outside consulting services, and recruiting and relocation costs. In addition, we continued to closely monitor our discretionary expenses throughout the quarter.

Table of Contents

We expect research and development expenses, excluding stock compensation, to increase in absolute dollars over the next quarter primarily due to an increase in personnel-related costs related to an increase in the number of employees as a result of our recent acquisition of Eigner, offset slightly by another full quarter of benefits received from our offshore development centers. We expect research and development expenses, excluding stock compensation, to decrease slightly as a percentage of total revenues over the next quarter as our revenue growth, as discussed under Revenues above, is expected to exceed our growth in research and development spending.

General and Administrative

| | Three Months Ended July 31, 2003 | Change | | Three Months Ended July 31, 2002 |
|--|---|--------|----|--|
| | | \$ | % | |
| (in thousands, except percent change) | | | | |
| General and administrative, excluding stock compensation | \$ 1,760 | \$ 123 | 8% | \$ 1,637 |
| As a percentage of total revenues | 10% | | | 10% |

In addition to the common recurring expenditures mentioned above, our general and administrative expenses consist primarily of compensation and benefits costs for executive, finance, human resources, legal and administrative personnel, bad debt expense, and other costs associated with being a publicly held company, including SEC and Sarbanes-Oxley compliance, D&O insurance, director compensation and listing fees.

The increase in general and administrative expenses, excluding stock compensation, was primarily due to an increase in personnel-related costs as a result of an increased number of employees, and an increase in bad debt expense, as a result of an increase in accounts receivable.

We expect general and administrative expenses, excluding stock compensation, to increase in absolute dollars over the next quarter primarily due to an increase in personnel-related costs related to an increase in the number of employees as a result of our recent acquisition of Eigner. We expect general and administrative expenses, excluding stock compensation, to remain constant as a percentage of total revenues over the next quarter as we begin to realize the synergies of our acquisition of Eigner, offset by costs to integrate the operations of Eigner with ours.

Stock Compensation (Recovery)

| | Three Months Ended July 31, 2003 | Change | | Three Months Ended July 31, 2002 |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------|--------|---|
| | | \$ | % | |
| (in thousands, except percent change) | | | | |
| Stock compensation (recovery): | | | | |
| Cost of revenues | \$ | \$ (24) | (100)% | \$ 24 |
| Sales and marketing | 540 | 85 | 19% | 455 |
| Research and development | 56 | (49) | (47)% | 105 |
| General and administrative | 34 | 75 | (183)% | (41) |

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-------|-----|--------|
| Total stock compensation | \$ 630 | \$ 87 | 16% | \$ 543 |
| As a percentage of total revenues | 4% | | | 4% |

Stock compensation expenses include both the amortization of unearned employee stock compensation and options issued to non-employees, offset by credits associated with the impact of the reversal of accelerated amortization on unvested and cancelled options held by terminated employees.

The increase in stock compensation expense is primarily related to stock options we granted to non-employees in prior periods, but are recognized as an expense as the underlying stock options are earned. At each reporting date, we recalculate the value of the stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and record changes in the fair value for the unvested portion of the options. As a result, the stock compensation for non-employees fluctuates with the movement in the fair value of our Common Stock.

| | | | | (in thousands, except percent change) |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|------|---------------------------------------|
| Provision for income taxes | \$ 417 | \$ 321 | 334% | \$ 96 |
| As a percentage of total revenues | 2% | | | |

The provision for income taxes during each period was primarily attributable to taxes associated with our international subsidiaries during each of those periods. Other than the provision for foreign taxes, no provision for income taxes has been recorded since our inception because we have incurred net losses in all periods. We have recorded a valuation allowance for the full amount of our net deferred tax assets, including our net operating loss carryforwards and tax credits, as sufficient uncertainty exists regarding our ability to realize the deferred tax asset balance.

Restructuring and Other Charges

As a result of unfavorable global economic conditions and a reduction in information technology spending around the world throughout fiscal 2002, during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2002, we announced a worldwide restructuring program to reduce expenses to align our operations and cost structure with market conditions (the 2002 Restructuring). During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2002, we recorded a charge of \$6.3 million related to the 2002 Restructuring, which was comprised of (i) \$2.4 million for severance benefits and other associated costs resulting from our reduction in personnel, including \$447,000 in stock compensation charges; (ii) \$729,000 to downsize and close excess facilities; (iii) \$902,000 to write-down leasehold improvements and prepaid software licenses resulting from discontinued projects; and (iv) \$2.2 million of other charges related to the impairment of certain other assets, including \$1.7 million of non-refundable prepaid software license fees for which we determined that the carrying value exceeded its net realizable value as a result of revised forecasts of future revenues showing lower than anticipated sales for the products in which the third party licensed software was embedded. These estimates will be reviewed and revised quarterly and may result in an increase to restructuring and other charges. In particular, we may not be able to lease our excess facilities in a reasonable period of time or at a rate similar to our estimate. We utilized \$3.5 million and \$2.3 million of the 2002 Restructuring obligations during fiscal 2002 and 2003, respectively.

Table of Contents

Throughout the first half of fiscal 2003, we continued to evaluate the economic conditions and initiated a further restructuring of our operations (the 2003 Restructuring). During the second quarter of fiscal 2003, we recorded a charge of \$7.8 million related to the 2003 Restructuring, which was comprised of (i) \$4.6 million of facilities costs, which generally represent lease commitment costs for office space that we no longer occupy, including a reduction of estimated sublease income related to excess facilities identified in the 2002 Restructuring, due to further deterioration of the real estate market in the San Jose area; (ii) \$485,000 of equipment and other write-offs, related principally to write-off of excess fixed assets; and (iii) \$2.7 million of other charges related to the impairment of certain prepaid software license fees for which we determined that the carrying value of the non-refundable prepaid license fees exceeded its net realizable value as a result of revised forecasts of future revenues showing lower than anticipated sales for the products in which the third party licensed software was embedded. These estimates will be reviewed and revised quarterly and may result in an increase to restructuring and other charges. In particular, we may not be able to lease our excess facilities in a reasonable period of time or at a rate similar to our estimate. We utilized \$4.0 million of the 2003 Restructuring obligations during fiscal 2003.

Summary of Restructuring Obligations

The significant activity within and components of the restructuring and other charges as of and for the three months ended July 31, 2003 are as follows (in thousands):

| | Facilities Costs |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Restructuring obligations at April 30, 2003 | \$ 4,251 |
| Cash payments | (422) |
| Restructuring obligations at July 31, 2003 (1) | \$ 3,829 |
| Restructuring obligations included in accrued expenses and other liabilities | \$ 1,693 |
| Accrued restructuring, non-current | 2,136 |
| | \$ 3,829 |

- (1) Total restructuring obligations as of July 31, 2003 relate to costs associated with lease commitments for office space that we no longer occupy, net of estimated sublease income. The remaining obligations relating to facilities and leases are expected to be paid through November 2007.

During the three months ended July 31, 2003, as part of our effort to reduce our long-term occupancy costs, we entered into a non-cancelable operating sublease, as the subtenant, for approximately 82,000 square feet of commercial office space in San Jose, California, for our new headquarters. In connection with our move to this new facility, we anticipate recording a restructuring charge of approximately \$7 million to \$8 million during the second quarter of fiscal 2004 primarily related to the fair value of the remainder of our outstanding lease commitments for properties that we will be vacating, net of estimated sublease income, and the abandonment of certain long-lived assets, including leasehold improvements, furniture and fixtures, and computer equipment.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

As of July 31, 2003, our principal source of liquidity consisted of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments totaling \$256.7 million, compared to \$257.0 million as of April 30, 2003. Our working capital as of July 31, 2003 was \$240.7 million. Other than our operating leases, we do not have any off-balance-sheet arrangements with unconsolidated entities or related parties and, accordingly, our liquidity and capital resources are not subject to off-balance-sheet risks from unconsolidated entities.

Table of Contents

As of July 31, 2003, our days sales outstanding (DSO) was 59 days. However, we believe that this is unusually low and is a result of our exceptionally strong cash collections and a high level of maintenance renewal revenues as a percentage of total revenues (which are generally prepaid) during the first quarter of fiscal 2004. We expect that our days sales outstanding will increase to our historical range of 65 to 75 days in the near term.

Cash Flows

Our operating activities provided cash of \$755,000 for the three months ended July 31, 2003, and used cash of \$9.8 million for the three months ended July 31, 2002. Cash provided by operating activities during the three months ended July 31, 2003 was primarily due to decreases in other assets and accounts receivable, as a result of improved cash collections, and increases in deferred revenue and accounts payable, partially offset by our net loss (less non-cash expenses, such as depreciation and amortization), and a decrease in accrued expenses. Cash used in operating activities during the three months ended July 31, 2002 was primarily attributable to our net loss for the year (less non-cash expenses), decreases in other assets, accounts payable, accrued expenses, and deferred revenue, offset by an increase in accounts receivable.

Our investing activities provided cash of \$14.0 million and \$8.1 million during the three months ended July 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Cash provided from investing activities during the three months ended July 31, 2003 resulted from net sales of short-term investments, offset by net purchases of property and equipment and net cash paid in business combinations. Cash used in investing activities during the three months ended July 31, 2002 resulted from net purchases of short-term investments and purchases of property and equipment. Purchases of property and equipment during the three months ended July 31, 2003 and 2002 were approximately \$2.6 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, and were primarily for computer hardware and software and furniture and fixtures.

Our financing activities provided cash of \$2.4 million and \$89,000 during the three months ended July 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Cash provided by financing activities in each period was primarily due to the issuance of Common Stock associated with the exercise of stock options.

We anticipate that our uses of cash over the next quarter will include \$5.0 million in capital expenditures related to the build-out of our new headquarters, \$2.8 million paid as part of the purchase price consideration for our acquisition of Eigner US Inc. (Eigner) in August 2003, and \$1.5 million in hiring bonuses to persons who were employees of Eigner at the time of the acquisition. We expect to pay an additional \$1.5 million in retention bonuses to those same employees over the next six months. In addition, we may also utilize cash resources to fund acquisitions of investments in complementary businesses, technologies or product lines.

We believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents and investments, together with our anticipated cash flows from operations will be sufficient to meet our working capital and operating resource expenditure requirements for at least the next twelve months.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Asset Retirement Obligations

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard, or SFAS, No. 143,

Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. SFAS No. 143 addresses the financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets that result from acquisition, construction, development and/or normal use of the assets, including lease restoration obligations. SFAS No. 143 requires that the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of the fair value can be made. The fair value of the liability is added to the carrying amount of the associated asset, and this additional carrying amount is expensed over the life of the asset. We adopted SFAS No. 143 effective May 1, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 143 did not have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Table of Contents***Costs Associated with Exit and Disposal Activities***

In July 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, *Accounting for Exit or Disposal Activities*. SFAS No. 146 addresses the recognition, measurement and reporting of costs associated with exit and disposal activities (i.e., restructuring activities), including costs related to terminating a contract that is not a capital lease and termination benefits due to employees who are involuntarily terminated under the terms of a one-time benefit arrangement. SFAS No. 146 supersedes Emerging Issues Task Force, or EITF, Issue No. 94-3, *Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (Including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)* and EITF Issue No. 88-10, *Costs Associated with Lease Modification or Termination*. Accordingly, SFAS No. 146 prohibits recognition of a liability based solely on an entity's commitment to a plan to exit an activity. SFAS No. 146 requires that: (i) liabilities associated with exit and disposal activities be measured at fair value and changes in the fair value of the liability at each reporting period be measured using an interest allocation approach; (ii) one-time termination benefits be expensed at the date the entity notifies the employee, unless the employee must provide future service, in which case the benefits are expensed ratably over the future service period; (iii) liabilities to terminate a contract be recorded at fair value when the contract is terminated; (iv) liabilities related to an existing operating lease/contract, unless terminated, be recorded at fair value, less estimated sublease income, and measured when the contract does not have any future economic benefit to the entity (i.e., the entity ceases to utilize the rights conveyed by the contract); and (v) all other costs related to an exit or disposal activity be expensed as incurred. SFAS No. 146 is effective for exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002. We adopted SFAS No. 146 effective January 1, 2003. Therefore, the restructuring activities to be initiated by us in the second quarter of fiscal 2004, as discussed in Note 6 *Restructuring and Other Charges* to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, will be accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 146. The adoption of SFAS No. 146 will not impact our restructuring obligations recognized in fiscal 2003 and 2002, as these obligations must continue to be accounted for in accordance with EITF 94-3 and EITF 88-10 and other applicable pre-existing guidance.

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities

In January 2003, the FASB issued FIN 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*. This interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, *Consolidated Financial Statements*, addresses consolidation by business enterprises of certain variable interest entities where there is a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity or where the variable interest entity does not have sufficient equity at risk to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. The interpretation applies immediately to variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003 and applies in the first year or interim period beginning after June 15, 2003 to variable interest entities in which an enterprise holds a variable interest that it acquired before February 1, 2003. We did not have any ownership in any variable interest entities as of July 31, 2003. We will apply the consolidation requirement of FIN 46 in future periods if we should own any interest in any variable interest entity.

Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, *Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity*. SFAS No. 150 addresses certain financial instruments that, under previous guidance, could be accounted for as equity, but now must be classified as liabilities in statements of financial position. These financial instruments include: 1) mandatorily redeemable financial instruments, 2) obligations to repurchase the issuer's equity shares by transferring assets, and 3) obligations to issue a variable number of shares. SFAS No. 150 is effective for all financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. We did not have any such financial instruments as of July 31, 2003. The implementation of SFAS No. 150 is not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

This report contains forward-looking statements within the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated or projected in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including those set forth in the following cautionary statements and elsewhere in this report. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial also may impair our business operations. If any of the following risks were to occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations would likely suffer. In that event, the trading price of our Common Stock would decline. Any forward-looking statements should be considered in light of the factors discussed below.

Our Quarterly Operating Results Fluctuate and Are Difficult to Predict, Our Variable Sales Cycle Makes it Difficult For Us to Predict When or if Sales Will Be Made, and if Our Future Results Are Below the Expectations of Public Market Analysts or Investors, the Price of Our Common Stock May Decline

Our quarterly operating results have varied significantly in the past and are likely to vary significantly in the future, which makes it difficult for us to predict our future operating results. Furthermore, we typically receive and fulfill most of our orders within the same quarter, with the majority of our orders often received in the last month of each fiscal quarter. Our revenue pipeline estimates may not consistently correlate to actual revenues in a particular quarter or over a longer period of time. Because expense levels are relatively fixed in the near term for a given quarter and are based in part on expectations of our future revenues, we may not learn of revenue shortfalls until it is too late to adjust expenses for that quarter, causing a disproportionately adverse impact on our operating results for that quarter. If revenues decline significantly, we may be required to incur restructuring charges in connection with efforts to contain and reduce costs.

Our products have an unpredictable sales cycle that contributes to the uncertainty of our future operating results. With the recent economic uncertainties facing our customers, and the decline in the business that they face, our sales cycle has lengthened. Customers are taking longer to evaluate our product, and orders have been delayed or postponed, and in some cases cancelled. We may expend significant sales and marketing expenses during this evaluation period before the customer places an order with us. Customers are requiring a high-level of sign-off before a purchase is made. Customers may initially purchase a smaller number of user licenses before expanding the order to allow a greater number of users to benefit from the application. Larger customers may purchase our products as part of multiple simultaneous purchasing decisions, which may result in additional unplanned administrative processing and other delays in our product sales. The timing of large orders, which continue to account for a large percentage of our total revenues, has become more unpredictable, and if any large order anticipated for a particular quarter is not realized or is delayed to a subsequent quarter, we may experience an unplanned shortfall in revenues.

Due to these and other factors, we believe that period-to-period comparisons of our results of operations are not meaningful and should not be relied upon as indicators of our future performance. It is possible that in some future periods our results of operations may be below the expectations of public market analysts and investors. If this occurs, the price of our Common Stock may decline.

We Have a New Senior Sales Management Team and Many New Sales Representatives, Who May Take Time to Reach Productivity, Resulting in Lost or Delayed Sales

We sell our products primarily through our direct sales force. We have recently reorganized our sales organization, and our senior sales management team have only been performing their current functions for a very short period of time. In addition, many of our account executives are new to the company and have been in their positions for less than six months. It takes time for our new account executives to become

productive and could take up to nine months to become fully productive. The changes to our senior sales management team may cause our account executives to lose focus while getting used to the revised organizational structure. Changes in account executives also may result in changes to our customer account management, which could cause confusion in our customers or prospect and cause dissatisfaction, or lost or delayed sales as prospective customers must work with new account executives. If our new sales team is unable to quickly become fully productive, or if newly hired account executives fail to develop the necessary skills or reach productivity more slowly than anticipated, it will be difficult for us to sell our products, we may lose sales opportunities and market share, take longer to close anticipated sales, and experience a shortfall in revenues.

We May Fail to Integrate Successfully the Operations of Eigner US Inc. As a Result, the Combined Company May Not Achieve the Anticipated Benefits of the Eigner Merger.

We expect that our acquisition of Eigner will result in benefits to us as a combined company, including the offering of a more complete set of products. Achieving the benefits of the merger, however, will depend on many factors, including the successful and timely integration of the products, technologies and sales operations of the two companies. These integration efforts may be difficult and time consuming, especially considering the highly technical and complex nature of each company's products. Failure to achieve a successful and timely integration of the respective products and introducing sales of Eigner's products into our sales organization could result in the loss of existing or potential customers and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Integration efforts will also divert significant management attention and resources, which could have an adverse effect on us.

Table of Contents

The Market For Our Products Is Still Developing and Customers May Not Accept Our Products

The market for software products that allow companies to collaborate with suppliers on product information and change is still developing. Companies have not traditionally automated product lifecycle management solutions like we offer throughout the supply chain. We cannot be certain that this market will continue to develop and grow or that companies will elect to utilize our products rather than attempt to develop applications internally or through other sources. Companies that have already invested substantial resources in other methods of sharing product information during the manufacturing and supply process may be reluctant to adopt a new approach that may replace, limit or compete with their existing systems or methods. We expect that we will continue to need to pursue intensive marketing and sales efforts to educate prospective customers about the uses and benefits of our products. Therefore, demand for and market acceptance of our products will be subject to a high level of uncertainty.

We May Experience Difficulties in Introducing New Products and Upgrades Which Could Result in Negative Publicity, Loss of Sales, Delay in Market Acceptance or Customer Dissatisfaction

Our future financial performance depends on our successful and timely development, introduction and market acceptance of new and enhanced products, including products that we may introduce using technology that we acquire from other companies. The lifecycles of our products are difficult to predict because the market for our products is characterized by rapid technological change, changing customer needs and evolving industry standards. The introduction of products or computer systems employing new technologies and emerging industry standards could render our existing products obsolete and unmarketable, or cause customers to defer orders for our existing products. We may experience delays in the planned release dates of our software products and upgrades, resulting in lost sales or delay in market acceptance of our products.

In addition, our software must be highly scalable, or able to accommodate substantial increases in the number of users. If our customers cannot successfully implement large-scale deployments, or if they determine that our products cannot accommodate large-scale deployments, we could experience customer dissatisfaction and find it more difficult to obtain new customers or to sell additional products to our existing customers.

The size of a new customer's initial order may be relatively small and may include a limited number of user licenses. In subsequent orders, customers often add user licenses, additional modules of the same products, or additional products designed for specific functions. Accordingly, in order to grow revenues, we depend on sales of additional user licenses to our existing customers as well as sales of new licenses to new customers. Therefore, it is important that our customers are satisfied with their initial product implementations and that they believe that expanded use of the product they purchased will provide them with additional benefits.

The Impact of Changes in Global Economic Conditions on Our Customers May Cause Us to Fail to Meet Expectations of Analysts, Which Would Negatively Impact the Price of Our Stock

Our operating results can vary significantly based upon the impact of changes in global economic conditions on our customers, and our business has been adversely affected by the economic slowdown the industry is currently facing. More specifically, the macro-economic environment that we are facing in fiscal 2004 continues to remain uncertain. The revenue growth and profitability of our business depends on the overall demand for enterprise-level software services, particularly in the areas in which we compete. Because our sales are primarily to major corporate customers whose businesses fluctuate with general economic and business conditions, a softening of demand for computer software caused by a weakening economy may result in decreased revenues and lower growth rates. We may be especially prone to this weakening demand as a result of our relatively large license transactions. Customers are deferring and may continue to defer or reconsider purchasing products until general economic conditions improve. We expect this economic slowdown to continue to adversely impact our business for at least the new few

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

quarters. In addition, as a result of these economic difficulties, we may continue to experience longer sales cycles, lower average selling prices and reduced bookings and revenues.

In addition, global economic conditions may adversely impact our customers' business, causing some of our customers to experience financial difficulties and to become a credit risk to us. We may have difficulty recovering accounts receivable, and customers may seek protection under the bankruptcy laws.

Table of Contents

We Have a History of Losses, We Expect to Incur Losses in the Future, We May Not Achieve or Maintain Profitability, and a Decline in Revenues May Have a Disproportionate Impact on Operating Results and Require Reductions in Our Operating Expense Levels

Since inception, we have funded our business primarily through selling our stock, not from cash generated from our business. We have incurred quarterly and annual losses in each of the years since we were formed and we expect to continue to incur quarterly and annual losses in the near term. We incurred losses of \$2.7 million and \$12.3 million for the three months ended July 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. As of July 31, 2003, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$260.4 million. We expect to continue to incur significant sales and marketing, research and development and general and administrative expenses, as well as substantial non-cash costs relating to the amortization of intangible assets and stock compensation which will contribute to our net losses. We will need to generate increases in revenues to achieve and maintain profitability, and we may not be able to do so. Even if we do achieve profitability, we may not be able to sustain or increase profitability on a quarterly or annual basis in the future.

Because expense levels are relatively fixed in the near term for a given quarter and are based in part on expectations of our future revenues, any decline in our revenues to a level that is below our expectations would have a disproportionately adverse impact on our operating results for that quarter. If revenues decline significantly, we may be required to incur restructuring charges in connection with efforts to contain and reduce costs.

Competition Among Providers of Product Lifecycle Management Software May Increase, Which Could Cause Us to Reduce Prices, and Result in Reduced Gross Margins or Loss of Market Share

The market for product lifecycle management solutions is fragmented, rapidly changing and consolidating, and becoming increasingly competitive. We expect competition to persist and intensify, which could result in price reductions, reduced gross margins and loss of market share, any one of which could seriously harm our business. Competitors vary in size and in the scope and breadth of the products and services offered.

We may not be able to maintain our competitive position against current and potential competition, particularly competitors that have longer operating histories and significantly greater financial, technical, marketing, sales and other resources than we do and therefore may be able to respond more quickly than us to new or changing opportunities, technologies and customer requirements. Also, many current and potential competitors have greater name recognition and more extensive customer bases that could be leveraged to gain market share to our detriment. These competitors may be able to undertake more extensive promotional activities, adopt more aggressive pricing policies, and offer more attractive terms to purchasers than we can. In addition, current and potential competitors have established or may establish cooperative relationships among themselves or with third parties to enhance their products.

There are many factors that may increase competition in the product lifecycle management solutions market, including (i) entry of new competitors; (ii) alliances among existing competitors; (iii) alliances between our competitors and systems integrators; (iv) consolidation in the product lifecycle management software industry; and (v) technological changes that necessitate changes in the solution capabilities. Increased competition may result in price reductions, reduced gross margins or loss of market share, any of which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations. We may not be able to compete successfully against current or future competitors.

We May Experience Pricing or Similar Pressure on Sales of Our Products In Order to Meet Competitive Situations

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

We have recently experienced some pricing pressure on sales of our products, where competitors have offered sales of licenses at much lower cost in exchange for customer purchases of maintenance or other services from the competitor. In some situations, we believe, competitors may have offered initial sales of licenses at no cost in order to establish a relationship with the customer. We expect that these pressures will continue, particularly with the constraint in the capital budgets for purchases of enterprise software that our customers are operating under. In order to remain competitive, and retain or expand our market share, and to expand into new industries, we may have to meet some of these demands for lower prices on our license fees, and offer initial licenses at low, or even no cost, to

Table of Contents

the customer. This could cause our license fees to be lower than expected, result in negative impact to our profit margins, and depress our revenues. All of this could cause us to fail to meet analyst s expectations for our revenue for the period, which could cause our stock price to fall. If we do not respond to these pressures, we could lose customers, fail to expand our customer base, and fail to expand into new markets and industries.

Our Efforts to Expand Sales of Our Products to Other Industries May Not Succeed

We currently sell our products primarily to companies in the high technology and life sciences industries. We intend to market products to customers in additional industries, including automotive, aerospace and industrial equipment. Although we have targeted enterprises in other markets as potential customers, these potential customers may not be as willing to purchase our products as our customers in the high technology and life sciences industries have been. If we are unable to expand into other industries and markets, we may be unable to maintain or increase sales of our software, adversely impacting revenue growth.

Customers Could Become Dissatisfied if the Implementation of Our Products Proves Difficult, Costly or Time-Consuming

Our products integrate with many different disparate systems operated by our customers. As a result, although we do not typically perform special or custom coding or connections to other systems, data migrations and integration with our customers systems require integration with the existing computer systems and software programs used by our customers. If this integration proves to be complex, time consuming or expensive, or causes delays in the deployment of our products, customers may become dissatisfied with our products, resulting in reduced sales, decreased revenues and damage to our reputation.

We Expect to Make Additional Future Acquisitions to Remain Competitive, and Our Business Could be Adversely Affected as a Result of These Acquisitions

As part of our business strategy, we may in the future seek to acquire or invest in additional businesses, joint venture arrangements, products or technologies that we believe could complement or expand our business, augment our market coverage, enhance our technical capabilities or that may otherwise offer growth opportunities. For example, during fiscal 2003, we acquired oneREV, Inc. and ProductFactory, Inc., and in the second quarter of fiscal 2004, we acquired Eigner US Inc. We may encounter risks to our business during our integration of acquisitions including:

Difficulties in assimilation of acquired personnel, operations, technologies or products;

Unanticipated costs associated with acquisitions. For example, in fiscal 2001 we recorded a \$55.2 million impairment charge relating to goodwill and other intangible assets as a result of our decision in February 2001 to discontinue the further development of the products acquired in our acquisition of Digital Market, Inc. (DMI) in fiscal 2000;

Diversion of management s attention from other business concerns;

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

Adverse effects on our existing business relationships with our customers or the customers of any acquisitions we make; and

Inability to retain employees of acquisitions we make.

If we are unable to fully integrate an acquired business, product or technology or train, retain and motivate personnel from the acquired business, we may not receive the intended benefits of that acquisition. Future acquisitions could cause us to issue dilutive equity securities, incur debt or contingent liabilities, amortize goodwill and other intangibles, write off in-process research and development and other acquisition-related expenses that could seriously harm our financial condition and operating results.

Recent volatility in the stock markets has made it more difficult to value acquired businesses where the consideration payable as the purchase price is stock. We may reach agreement to buy another company using our stock as consideration. Thereafter, prior to closing the acquisition the relative values of the capital stock of the acquired company could change, causing the purchase price to increase. As a result, in periods of market volatility as we are experiencing, acquisitions are difficult to complete, and we may be unable to complete beneficial acquisitions of complementary businesses or technologies at an acceptable price.

Table of Contents

Defects in Our Software Products Could Diminish Demand For Our Products, and If We Become Subject to Product Liability Litigation, It Could Be Time Consuming and Costly to Defend

Our software products are complex and may contain errors that may be detected at any point in the life of the product. We cannot be sure that, despite testing by us, our implementation partners and our current and potential customers, errors will not be found in new products or releases after shipment, resulting in loss of revenue, delay in market acceptance and sales, diversion of development resources, injury to our reputation or increased service and warranty costs.

Since our products are used for mission critical applications in the supply chain, errors, defects or other performance problems could result in financial or other damages to our customers. For example, our products are designed to communicate information relating to changes in product specifications during the manufacturing process. If a supplier or other participant receives inaccurate or erroneous data, it is possible that it could claim it incurred damages based on its reliance on that data. Although our license agreements generally contain provisions designed to limit our exposure to product liability litigation, existing or future laws or unfavorable judicial decisions could negate such limitation of liability provisions. Our insurance may be inadequate to protect us and cover the amount of these claims. Product liability litigation, even if unsuccessful, would be time-consuming and costly to defend and could harm our business. Even if we were to prevail, the accompanying publicity could adversely impact the demand for our products.

If We Do Not Establish and Maintain Relationships With Key Partners, We May Encounter Difficulty in Providing Implementation and Customer Support of Our Products

We rely on our relationships with consulting and integration partners to implement our software and endorse our products during the evaluation stage of the sales cycle. Currently, a limited number of companies provide implementation services for our products, particularly in the implementation of larger deployments of our products. These companies are not contractually obligated to provide implementation services for us or to otherwise promote our products, and they may have similar or more established relationships with our competitors. If these service providers reduce or discontinue their relationships with us or their support of our products, our business could be harmed. We will need to develop new third party relationships if sales of our products increase and our current partners cannot fulfill all of our needs for implementation and customer support services. Without these third parties, we would have to expand our services organization to increase the consulting and professional services that we provide to our customers and divert resources from other areas of our business. If we are required to expand our professional services capabilities, we may not be able to do so on a timely basis.

We Have Shifted Some of Our Development Activities to India and China, Which May Subject Us to Increased Difficulty Protecting Our Intellectual Property Assets

We have recently established organizations in India and China to supplement our research and development activities in the United States. Although we intend to rely on patents, copyrights, trademark and trade secret laws in India and China, the laws of these foreign countries may not protect our proprietary rights to as great an extent as do the laws of the United States. It may be more difficult to monitor the use of our intellectual property in these locations, or monitor and control the activities of our employees located in these locations as effectively as if we had continued to conduct all of our research and development in the United States. Our competitors may be able to legitimately ascertain non-patented proprietary technology embedded in our products developed in our foreign centers. If this occurs, despite our efforts to protect our intellectual property in our foreign locations, we may be ineffective in controlling access to our intellectual property and we may not be able to prevent the development by our competitors of technology substantially similar to ours.

Table of Contents

Changes in the Political, Social and Economic Environment in India or China May Affect our Financial Performance

Our financial performance may be affected by changes in the political, social and economic environments in India and China on our research and development activities located there. The role of the central and local governments in the Indian and Chinese economy is significant. Policies toward economic liberalization, and laws and policies affecting technology companies, foreign investment, currency convertibility, currency exchange rates and other matters could change, resulting in greater restrictions on our ability to do business and operate our facilities in India and China. Any imposition of surcharges or any increase in tax rates could hurt our operating results. The Indian or Chinese governments could revoke, terminate or suspend our license for national security and similar reasons without compensation to us. If the government of India or the government of China were to take any of these actions, we would be prevented from conducting all or part of our business. Any failure on our part to comply with governmental regulations could result in the loss of our ability to develop our products and provide customer support in India or China, could result in increased costs to us, and could limit our ability to own and protect our intellectual property.

Both India and China have from time to time experienced instances of civil unrest and hostilities. Confrontations have occurred between the military and civilians. Events of this nature could influence the local economy, result in nationalization of foreign-owned operations such as ours, and could negatively affect our ability to operate our facilities abroad. In addition, the governments in these locations could be subject to political, social or economic instability, which could result in nationalization of industries, or appropriation of technology, for which we may not have adequate counter means of protecting our proprietary rights.

If We Are Unable to Timely Expand Our International Operations, We May Not Achieve Anticipated Revenue Growth

We believe that expansion of our international operations will be necessary for our future success, and a key aspect to our business strategy has been and is to expand our sales and support organizations internationally. Therefore, we believe that we will need to commit additional significant resources to expand our international operations. We employ sales professionals in Japan, Europe and the Asia-Pacific market. If we are unable to successfully expand further in these international markets on a timely basis, or if this expansion is more difficult than expected, we may not be able to achieve anticipated revenue growth.

Our international expansion will subject us to a number of risks associated with conducting operations internationally, including:

Difficulty in providing customer support for our software in multiple time zones;

The need to develop our software in multiple foreign languages;

Longer sales cycles associated with educating foreign customers on the benefits of using our products;

Greater difficulty and longer time in collecting accounts receivable from customers located abroad;

Political and economic instability, particularly in Asia;

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

Difficulties in enforcing agreements through foreign legal systems; and

Unexpected changes in regulatory requirements that may limit our ability to export our software or sell into particular jurisdictions or impose multiple conflicting tax laws and regulations.

Our international results may also be impacted in disparate ways by local economic and political conditions in these foreign markets. For example, war, terrorist activity or the potential economic impact from global health concerns such as SARS could disrupt trade and market relationships in a way that could harm our business. These and other factors may adversely impact our future international operations and consequently our business as a whole.

Table of Contents

To date, most of our revenues have been denominated in United States dollars. If we experience an increase in the portion of our revenues denominated in foreign currencies, we may incur greater risks in currency fluctuations, particularly since we translate our foreign currency revenues once at the end of each quarter. We currently do not engage in foreign exchange hedging activities, and therefore our international revenues and expenses are currently subject to the risks of foreign currency fluctuations.

Market Acceptance of New Platforms and Operating Environments May Require Us to Develop and Maintain Compatible Product Lines

Although our software products can be licensed for use with a variety of popular industry standard relational database management system platforms, there may be future or existing platforms that achieve popularity in the marketplace that may not be architecturally compatible with our software product design. Moreover, future or existing user interfaces that achieve popularity within the business application marketplace may or may not be architecturally compatible with our current software product design. Developing and maintaining consistent software product performance characteristics across all of these combinations could place a significant strain on our resources and software product release schedules, which could adversely affect revenue and results of operations. If we are unable to maintain software performance across accepted platforms and operating environments, or to achieve market acceptance of user interfaces that we support or adapt to popular new user interfaces that we do not support, our sales and revenues may be adversely affected.

We Depend on Licensed Technology That if Lost, Could Result in Increased Cost or Delays in Sales of Our Products

We license technology on a non-exclusive basis from several businesses for use with our products. We utilize database management software from Oracle. Our customers can purchase this software directly from Oracle or from us. In addition, we integrate third-party software into our products from BEA for application server technology, Actuate for reporting capability, Centric for CAD system integration, Cimmetry Systems for document viewing and Cognos for analytics, as well as from several other providers. We anticipate that we will continue to license technology from third parties in the future. Some of the software we license from third parties would be difficult to replace and may not continue to be available on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. The loss or inability to maintain any of these technology licenses could result in delays in the licensing of our products until equivalent technology is identified, licensed and integrated. The increased use of third-party software could result in higher royalty payments and a loss of product differentiation and lower product gross margins.

We Currently Perform Some of Our Implementations on a Fixed-Price Basis, Which Could Cause Us to Incur More Costs Than We Expect

We may at times charge customers a fixed fee for installation services. At the time of a product sale and prior to agreeing to an installation price, we estimate the amount of work involved for a particular installation project. We have at times in the past underestimated and may in the future underestimate the amount of time or resources required to install our products, resulting in installation costs that are in excess of the fees charged to our customers for the work performed. If we do not correctly estimate the amount of time or resources required for a large number of installations, our gross margins could decline, adversely impacting our operating results.

If Our Professional Services Revenues Continues to Increase As a Percentage of Total Revenues, Our Gross Margins Could Decrease, Adversely Affecting Our Operating Results

We realize lower margins on professional services revenues than on license revenues. As a result, if, as has happened recently, professional services revenues increase as a percentage of total revenue, or, if we increase our use of third parties to provide such services, our gross margins

may continue to decline, or remain at current levels, and our operating results may be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

If We Are Unable to Protect Our Intellectual Property We May Lose a Valuable Asset, Experience Reduced Market Share or Incur Costly Litigation to Protect Our Rights

Our success and ability to compete depend upon our proprietary technology, including our brand and logo and the technology underlying our products. We rely on patent, trademark, trade secret and copyright laws to protect our intellectual property. Despite our efforts to protect our intellectual property, a third party could copy or otherwise obtain our software or other proprietary information without authorization, or could develop software competitive to ours. Our means of protecting our proprietary rights may not be adequate and our competitors may independently develop similar technology, duplicate our products or design around patents that may be issued to us or our other intellectual property. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect our proprietary rights to as great an extent as do the laws of the United States, and we expect that it will become more difficult to monitor the use of our products as we increase our international presence.

We may have to resort to litigation to enforce our intellectual property rights, to protect our patents, trade secrets or know-how or to determine their scope, validity or enforceability. Enforcing or defending our proprietary technology is expensive, could cause the diversion of our resources, and may not prove successful. Our protective measures may prove inadequate to protect our proprietary rights, and any failure to enforce or protect our rights could cause us to lose a valuable asset.

We May Be Subject to Intellectual Property Infringement Claims That, With or Without Merit, Could Be Costly to Defend or Settle

We may from time to time be subject to claims of infringement of other parties' proprietary rights or claims that our own intellectual property rights are invalid. There has been a substantial amount of litigation in the software and Internet industries regarding intellectual property rights. It is possible that, in the future, third parties may claim that we or our current or potential future products infringe their intellectual property. We expect that software product developers and providers of electronic commerce solutions will increasingly be subject to infringement claims as the number of products and competitors in our industry segment grows and the functionality of products in industry segments overlaps. Any infringement claims made against us, with or without merit, could be time-consuming, result in costly litigation, cause product shipment delays or negative publicity. In addition, if our products were found to infringe a third party's proprietary rights, we could be required to enter into royalty or licensing agreements in order to continue to be able to sell our products. Royalty or licensing agreements, if required, may not be available on terms acceptable to us or acceptable at all.

The Market Price of our Common Stock Has Been and May Continue to Be Volatile, Which Could Result in Substantial Losses for Individual Security Holders

The market price for our Common Stock has been, and is likely to continue to be, highly volatile. For the three months ended July 31, 2003, the high and low closing sales prices of our Common Stock were \$10.55 and \$6.40, respectively. Our stock price is subject to wide fluctuations in response to factors, some of which will be beyond our control.

In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of their securities, many companies have been the subject of securities class action litigation. If, in addition to the pending litigation discussed elsewhere in which we are currently involved, we are involved in any additional securities class action suits, it could result in further, significant costs and diversion of our management's attention and resources, and could cause the prices of our securities to fall.

Table of Contents

Terrorist Attacks Against the United States and Related U.S. Military Action Could Adversely Affect Our Business

Potential terrorist attacks against the United States or other countries, and resulting military actions and future developments occurring in connection with these events including, without limitation, possible war with or other military actions, may adversely affect our business. Although it is difficult to predict the effect of these world events, they could cause:

A further softening of U.S. and foreign economies that could cause sales of our products and services to decline;

The reduced ability to do business in the ordinary course as it is customarily conducted, including changes or disruptions in movement and sourcing of materials, goods and components or the possible interruption in the flow of information or monies;

A lengthening of our sales cycles and implementations, as a result of factors such as changes in security measures for passenger air travel and reductions in available commercial flights which may make it more difficult for our sales force to schedule face-to-face meetings with prospects and to negotiate and consummate transactions; and

Possible reductions, delays or postponements, if any, in capital expenditures as a result of changes in priorities and approval processes.

Legislative Actions, Higher Insurance Costs and Potential New Accounting Pronouncements are Likely to Cause our General and Administrative Expenses to Increase

In order to comply with the newly adopted Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as well as proposed changes to listing standards by Nasdaq, and proposed accounting changes by the Securities and Exchange Commission, we may be required to hire additional personnel and utilize additional outside legal, accounting and advisory services, all of which will cause our general and administrative costs to increase. Proposed changes in the accounting rules, including legislative and other proposals to account for employee stock options as a compensation expense among others, could materially increase the expenses that we report under generally accepted accounting principles and adversely affect our operating results.

In the current market, insurance coverage is becoming more restrictive, the premiums have increased substantially, particularly for directors and officers liability insurance, and when insurance coverage is offered, the deductible is in some cases increasing. It may become more difficult to maintain insurance coverage at historical levels, or if such coverage is available, the cost to obtain or maintain it may increase substantially. This may result in our being forced to bear the burden of an increased portion of risks for which we have traditionally been covered by insurance, which could negatively impact our results of operations.

If Requirements Relating to Accounting Treatment For Employee Stock Options Are Changed, We May Be Forced to Change Our Business Practices

We currently account for the issuance of stock options under APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. If proposals currently under consideration by accounting standards organizations and governmental authorities are adopted, we may be required to treat the value of the stock options granted to employees as a compensation expense. As a result, we could decide to reduce the number of stock options granted to employees or to grant options to fewer employees. This could affect our ability to retain existing employees and attract qualified

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

candidates, and increase the cash compensation we would have to pay to them. In addition, such a change could have a negative effect on our earnings. In addition, we have accounted for options to purchase Common Stock granted to non-employees under variable plan accounting. The expenses associated with these options may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter through fiscal 2006 if the price of our stock fluctuates and could cause our operating results to vary significantly from quarter to quarter.

Table of Contents

Provisions Contained in Our Charter Documents and in Certain Anti-Takeover Measures Adopted By Us May Delay or Prevent a Change in Our Control

Provisions of our Delaware certificate of incorporation and bylaws and of Delaware law could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if a change in control would be beneficial to our stockholders. These provisions also may prevent changes in our management. We are subject to the provision of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which restricts certain business combinations with interested stockholders. The combination of these provisions may inhibit a non-negotiated merger or other business combination.

In addition, our Board of Directors has the authority to issue up to 10 million shares of Preferred Stock and to determine the price, rights, preferences and privileges of those shares without any further vote or action by the stockholders. The rights of the holders of Common Stock will be subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of the holders of any Preferred Stock that may be issued in the future. The issuance of shares of Preferred Stock, while potentially providing desirable flexibility in connection with possible acquisitions and for other corporate purposes, could have the effect of making it more difficult for a third party to acquire a majority of our outstanding voting stock. We have no present intentions to issue shares of Preferred Stock. Further, in March 2001, our Board of Directors adopted a Preferred Stock purchase rights plan intended to guard against certain takeover tactics. The existence of this plan could also have the effect of making it more difficult for a third party to acquire a majority of our outstanding voting stock.

Table of Contents**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk****Foreign Currency Risk**

We develop products in the United States, India, and China, and market our products in North America, and to a lesser extent in Japan, Europe and the Asia-Pacific region. As a result, our financial results could be affected by factors such as changes in foreign currency exchange rates or weak economic conditions in foreign markets, and there is no assurance that exchange rate fluctuations will not harm our business in the future. Because nearly all of our revenue is currently denominated in U.S. dollars, a change in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies could make our products less competitive in foreign markets. Although we will continue to monitor our exposure to currency fluctuations, and, where appropriate, may use financial hedging techniques in the future to minimize the effect of these fluctuations, we are not currently engaged in any financial hedging transactions.

Interest Rate Risk

Our interest income is sensitive to changes in the general level of U.S. interest rates, particularly since all of our investments are in short-term instruments. The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while at the same time maximize the income we receive from our investments without significantly increasing risk. Some of the securities that we have invested in may be subject to market risk. This means that a change in prevailing interest rates may cause the principal amount of the investment to fluctuate. For example, if we hold a security that was issued with a fixed interest rate at the then-prevailing rate and the prevailing interest rate later rises, the principal amount of our investment will probably decline. To minimize this risk, we maintain all of our portfolio of cash in money market funds and short-term investments classified as available-for-sale. In general, money market funds and short-term investments are not subject to market risk because the interest paid on such funds fluctuates with the prevailing interest rate. Because some of our debt arrangements are based on variable rates of interest, our interest expense is sensitive to changes in the general level of U.S. interest rates. Since these obligations represent a small percentage of our total capitalization, we believe that there is not a material risk exposure.

The table below represents principal (or notional) amounts and related weighted-average interest rates by year of maturity of our investment portfolio (in thousands, except interest rates).

| | Maturing | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | within | | |
| | 12 months | Thereafter | Total |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Cash equivalents | \$ 117,492 | | \$ 117,492 |
| <i>Weighted average interest rate</i> | <i>1.12%</i> | | <i>1.12%</i> |
| Investments | \$ 84,711 | | \$ 84,711 |
| <i>Weighted average interest rate</i> | <i>1.37%</i> | | <i>1.37%</i> |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total investment securities | \$ 202,203 | | \$ 202,203 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

Other Investments

In the past, we have invested in equity instruments of privately held companies for business and strategic purposes. These investments are included in other long-term assets and are accounted for under the cost method when ownership is less than 20% and we do not have the ability to exercise significant influence over operations. For these investments, our policy is to regularly review the assumptions underlying the operating performance and cash flow forecasts in assessing the carrying values. We identify and record impairment losses when events and circumstances indicate that such assets might be impaired. During the three months ended July 31, 2002, we determined that these investments had incurred a decline in value that was other-than-temporary and reduced their carrying amounts to the estimated fair value by a charge to our results of operations of \$2.6 million. As of July 31, 2003, our investments in privately held companies and the venture fund are written down to zero.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Our Disclosure Controls and Internal Controls

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), as of the end of the period covered by this report (the Evaluation Date). Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, to their knowledge and belief, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There have been no significant changes (including corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies or material weaknesses) in our internal controls or to our knowledge in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the Evaluation Date.

CEO and CFO Certifications

Attached, as Exhibits 31 and 32, are two separate forms of certifications of the CEO and the CFO. The certifications attached as Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 are required in accordance with Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the Section 302 Certification). The information contained in this Item 4 relates to the Controls Evaluation referred to in the Section 302 Certifications, and should be read with the Section 302 Certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

Disclosure Controls and Internal Controls

Our management, including the CEO and CFO, has a responsibility for establishing and maintaining adequate disclosure and internal controls over our financial reporting. Disclosure Controls are procedures that are designed with the objective of ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, such as this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms. Disclosure Controls are also designed with the objective of ensuring that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Internal Controls are procedures that are designed with the objective of providing reasonable assurance that our transactions are properly authorized, our assets are safeguarded against unauthorized or improper use, and our transactions are properly recorded and reported, all to permit the preparation of our financial statements in conformity with GAAP.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can

Edgar Filing: AGILE SOFTWARE CORP - Form 10-Q

provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. In addition, over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Table of Contents

PART II FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

On or around October 25, 2001, a class action lawsuit was filed on behalf of holders of Agile securities in the Southern District of New York against Agile Software Corporation, Bryan D. Stolle and Thomas P. Shanahan (collectively the Agile Defendants) and several investment banking firms that served as underwriters of our initial public offering and secondary offering. The case is now captioned *In re Agile Software, Inc. Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, 01 CIV 9413 (SAS), related to *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, 21 MC 92 (SAS). The operative amended complaint is brought purportedly on behalf of all persons who purchased our Common Stock from August 19, 1999 through December 6, 2000. It alleges liability under Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, on the grounds that the registration statement for the offerings did not disclose that: (1) the underwriters had agreed to allow certain customers to purchase shares in the offerings in exchange for excess commissions paid to the underwriters; and (2) the underwriters had arranged for certain customers to purchase additional shares in the aftermarket at predetermined prices. The amended complaint also alleges that false analyst reports were issued. No specific damages are claimed.

Similar allegations were made in other lawsuits challenging over 300 other initial public offerings and follow-on offerings conducted in 1999 and 2000. The cases were consolidated for pretrial purposes. On February 19, 2003, the Court ruled on all defendants' motions to dismiss.

The Court denied the motions to dismiss claims under the Securities Act of 1933 in all but 10 of the cases. In the case involving us, these claims were dismissed as to the initial public offering, but not the secondary offering. The Court denied the motion to dismiss the claim under Section 10(a) against us and 184 other issuer defendants, on the basis that the complaints in these cases alleged that the respective issuers had acquired companies or conducted follow-on offerings after their initial public offerings. As a consequence, the Court denied the motion to dismiss the Section 20(a) claims against the individual defendants. The Court dismissed the Section 10(a) claims against the individual defendants with prejudice.

We have decided to accept a settlement proposal presented to all issuer defendants. In this settlement, plaintiffs will dismiss and release all claims against the Agile Defendants, in exchange for a contingent payment by the insurance companies collectively responsible for insuring the issuers in all of the IPO cases, and for the assignment or surrender of certain claims we may have against the underwriters. The Agile Defendants will not be required to make any cash payments in the settlement, unless the *pro rata* amount paid by the insurers in the settlement exceeds the amount of the insurance coverage, a circumstance which we do not believe will occur. The settlement will require approval of the Court, which cannot be assured, after class members are given the opportunity to object to the settlement or opt out of the settlement.

We are also subject to various other claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In our opinion, after consultation with legal counsel, the ultimate disposition of these matters is not expected to have a material effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Item 2. Changes In Securities And Use Of Proceeds

Not Applicable

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable

Item 4. Submission Of Matters To A Vote Of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the first quarter of fiscal 2004.

Table of Contents

Item 5. Other Information

Not Applicable

Item 6. Exhibits And Reports On Form 8-K

(a) Exhibits

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

Form 8-K filed on April 10, 2003 regarding the acquisition of ProductFactory, Inc. by Agile Software Corporation.

Available Information

We make available, free of charge, by link from our website at www.agile.com our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after we have electronically filed or furnished such materials to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Information contained on our website is not part of this report.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirement of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

AGILE SOFTWARE CORPORATION

By: /s/ CAROLYN V. AVER

Carolyn V. Aver

Executive Vice President

and Chief Financial Officer

**(Principal Accounting
Officer)**

Date: September 15, 2003