Koppers Holdings Inc. Form 10-Q August 09, 2007

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2007

Commission file number 1-32737

Koppers Holdings Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Pennsylvania (State of incorporation)

20-1878963

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

436 Seventh Avenue

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219

(Address of principal executive offices)

(412) 227-2001

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Edgar Filing: Koppers Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer x Non-accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer " Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes " No x

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding at July 31, 2007 amounted to 20,747,821 shares.

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Koppers Holdings Inc.

Consolidated Statement of Operations

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

	Three Months			Six months			s	
	Ended				Ended			
	;	June 2007	É	2006	:	2007		2006
Net sales	Φ	(Unau 360.0		297.9	Ф	681.1	uditea ¢	562.5
Cost of sales (excluding items below)	φ	285.9	φ	251.3	φ	553.6	φ	473.0
Depreciation and amortization		8.2		8.2		16.5		16.0
Selling, general and administrative expenses		19.9		16.7		36.9		35.8
Total operating expenses		314.0		276.2		607.0		524.8
		40.0		a				o= =
Operating profit		46.0		21.7		74.1		37.7
Other income				0.6		0.1		0.8
Income before interest expense, income taxes and minority interest		46.0		22.3		74.2		38.5
Interest expense		12.0		11.6		23.7		38.5
Income before income taxes and minority interest		34.0		10.7		50.5		
Income taxes		10.9		4.9		15.9		
Minority interest		8.0		8.0		1.8		1.0
Net income (loss)	\$	22.3	\$	5.0	\$	32.8	\$	(1.0)
Not moone (1888)	Ψ	22.0	Ψ	0.0	Ψ	02.0	Ψ	(1.0)
Earnings (loss) per common share:								
Basic	\$	1.08	\$	0.24	\$	1.58	\$	(0.06)
Diluted	\$	1.07	\$	0.24	\$	1.57	\$	(0.06)
Weighted average shares outstanding (in thousands):								,
Basic	2	20,741	2	20,654	2	20,736		17,622
Diluted	2	20,865	2	20,821	2	20,854		17,622
Dividends declared per common share	\$	0.17	\$	0.17	\$	0.34	\$	0.96

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

	Jı	une 30,	Dece	ember 31,
		2007 naudited)		2006
Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	26.2	\$	24.4
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$0.4 and \$0.3		168.6		142.1
Inventories, net		182.7		156.4
Deferred tax benefit		15.1		15.1
Other current assets		9.4		11.5
Total current assets		402.0		349.5
Equity in non-consolidated investments		2.8		2.7
Property, plant and equipment, net		156.6		159.3
Goodwill		64.0		62.6
Deferred tax benefit		45.1		45.6
Other assets		28.7		29.7
Total assets	\$	699.2	\$	649.4
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	110.6	\$	100.5
Dividends payable		3.5		3.5
Accrued liabilities		69.7		63.6
Short-term debt and current portion of long-term debt		22.2		19.6
Total current liabilities		206.0		187.2
Long-term debt		454.8		456.3
Other long-term liabilities		82.7		86.1
Total liabilities		743.5		729.6
Commitments and contingencies (Note 18)				
Minority interest		14.1		12.2
Stockholders Deficit				
Senior Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share; 10,000,000 shares authorized; 0 shares issued				
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share; 40,000,000 shares authorized; 20,867,979				
shares issued		0.2		0.2
Additional paid-in capital		122.9		122.4
Receivable from Director for purchase of Common Stock		(0.6)		(0.6)
Retained deficit		(180.9)		(206.5)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		1.4		(6.5)
Treasury stock, at cost, 120,158 shares		(1.4)		(1.4)
Total stockholders deficit		(58.4)		(92.4)
Total liabilities and stockholders deficit	\$	699.2	\$	649.4

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

(Dollars in millions)

Six Months

	Ended June 3 2007 (Unaudited)	
Cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 28.8	\$ (4.4)
Cash provided by (used in) investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(10.9)	(9.4)
Acquisitions	(3.3)	(40.0)
Net cash proceeds from divestitures and asset sales	0.4	2.1
Net cash used in investing activities	(13.8)	(47.3)
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities:	` '	,
Borrowings of revolving credit	164.7	147.5
Repayments of revolving credit	(164.6)	(140.3)
Borrowings of long-term debt		53.1
Repayments of long-term debt	(6.4)	(111.9)
Dividends paid	(7.1)	(10.3)
Payment of deferred financing costs	(0.1)	(8.0)
Issuances of Common Stock		121.8
Common Stock issuance costs		(9.4)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(13.5)	49.7
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	0.3	(0.2)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1.8	(2.2)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	24.4	26.1
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 26.2	\$ 23.9

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States applicable to interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of Koppers Holdings Inc. s and its subsidiaries (Koppers, Koppers Holdings or the Company) financial position and interim results as of and for the periods presented have been included. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature unless disclosed otherwise. Because the Company s business is seasonal, results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of those that may be expected for a full year. The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet for December 31, 2006 has been summarized from the audited balance sheet contained in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The financial information included herein should be read in conjunction with the Company s audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

2. New Accounting Guidance

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (SFAS 159)*. SFAS 159 allows companies to elect to measure many financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value (the fair value option). The election is made on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable. If the fair value option is elected for an instrument, SFAS 159 specifies that all subsequent changes in fair value for that instrument must be reported in earnings. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating if it will apply the voluntary fair value option to any of its financial assets and financial liabilities.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that will result from the adoption of SFAS 157.

3. Accounting Changes

In October 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 158, *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R).* SFAS 158 requires a company to recognize in its balance sheet an asset for a defined benefit postretirement plan is overfunded status or a liability for a plan is underfunded status. The accounting standard also requires that the postretirement projected benefit obligation measurement date be December 31 for all plans. The Company adopted the funded status recognition provisions of SFAS 158 effective December 31, 2006 and recorded a charge to accumulated other comprehensive income of \$7.0 million, net of tax. The Company adopted the measurement date provisions of SFAS 158 effective January 1, 2007 for its one pension plan with a measurement date other than December 31.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

The impact of the adoption of the measurement date provisions resulted in an increase to opening retained deficit of \$0.1 million.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109* (FIN 48). FIN 48 prescribes a comprehensive model for how a company should recognize, measure, present and disclose in its financial statements uncertain tax positions that a company has taken or expects to take on a tax return. The Company adopted FIN 48 effective January 1, 2007 and no impact to opening retained deficit occurred as a result of adoption.

In June 2006, the FASB s Emerging Issues Task Force (the EITF) issued EITF 06-2, *Accounting for Sabbatical Leave and Other Similar Benefits Pursuant to FASB Statement No. 43.* EITF 06-2 requires accrual of sabbatical leave or other similar compensated absences over the requisite service period of the employee. The Company adopted EITF 06-2 effective January 1, 2007 and recorded an increase to opening retained deficit of \$0.1 million.

4. Initial Public Offering

The Company completed an initial public offering (IPO) in February 2006, resulting in the issuance of 8,700,000 additional common shares at a price of \$14.00 per share, and the conversion of 2,288,481 shares of preferred stock into 9,107,926 shares of common stock. Of such converted shares, 2,800,000 were sold by the Company s shareholders in connection with the IPO and the related over-allotment option. The Company received approximately \$111.1 million of net proceeds (after \$10.7 million of expenses) from the issuance and sale of 8,700,000 shares, which proceeds were used to redeem \$101.7 million principal amount of the Senior Secured Notes due 2013 (the Senior Secured Notes) and pay a related call premium of \$10.1 million. The Company expensed \$3.2 million of deferred financing costs related to the buyback of the notes and incurred \$1.1 million of bond consent fees. The call premium, write-off of deferred financing costs, and consent fees were recorded as interest expense. The Company also incurred \$3.0 million for the termination of the Saratoga Partners III, L.P. (Saratoga) advisory services contract, which was recorded in selling, general and administrative expense. A post-IPO dividend (declared in February 2006) of \$8.2 million, the consent fees and the Saratoga termination fee were financed through borrowings under the revolving credit agreement.

5. Dividends

On August 8, 2007, the Company s board of directors declared a quarterly dividend of 17 cents per common share, payable on October 1, 2007 to shareholders of record as of August 20, 2007.

6. Acquisitions and Disposals

Business Divestiture

On July 5, 2007, the Company sold its 51 percent interest in Koppers Arch Investments Pty Limited and its subsidiaries (Koppers Arch) to Arch Chemicals for net cash proceeds of \$14.9 million. Effective as of this date, Koppers Arch will be classified as a discontinued operation in the Company s statement of operations and earnings per share. The Company expects to recognize a gain from the sale, net of tax, of approximately \$6.0 million in the third quarter of 2007. During a transition period not to exceed 12 months after the closing date, the Company will provide transition services to the buyer, including payroll and certain information technology services.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Prior to its disposal, Koppers Arch was part of the Carbon Materials & Chemicals segment. Total assets as of June 30, 2007 totaled approximately \$32.7 million and consisted principally of accounts receivable of \$10.5 million, inventory of \$9.2 million and net property, plant and equipment of \$5.4 million. Total liabilities as of June 30, 2007 totaled approximately \$25.0 million and consisted principally of debt of \$9.3 million, accounts payable of \$7.7 million and minority interest of \$4.3 million. The results of Koppers Arch are included in the Company s consolidated statement of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 in the following amounts:

	Three	Months	Six months Ended	
	Er	nded		
	Jur 2007	June 30, 2007 2006		e 30, 2006
		(Dollars i	n millions)	
Net sales	\$ 14.5	\$ 12.8	\$ 27.1	\$ 25.2
Operating profit	0.3	0.6	1.0	1.2
Net income		0.1	0.1	\$ 0.1
Impact on earnings per common share:				
Basic	\$	\$	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01
Diluted Business Combination	\$	\$	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01

On April 28, 2006 the Company acquired certain assets of Reilly Industries, Inc. s (Reilly) carbon materials business for \$45.1 million. The purchased assets consist primarily of inventories, customer sales contracts, raw material supply contracts, rail car leases, pitch melting assets and a non-compete agreement. Acquired intangible assets, including goodwill, totaled \$32.1 million. The Company has integrated the additional tar distillation production at its existing facilities in the U.S. Net sales related to the acquired Reilly assets totaled \$55.8 million from the date of acquisition to December 31, 2006.

7. Comprehensive Income

Total comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 is summarized in the table below:

	Three N	Three Months		Six months	
	Ended		Ended		
	June 2007	June 30, 2007 2006		30, 2006	
	4		in millions)	* (1 *)	
Net income (loss) Other comprehensive income (loss):	\$ 22.3	\$ 5.0	\$ 32.8	\$ (1.0)	
Change in currency translation adjustment	5.3	2.8	7.5	2.2	
Change in unrecognized pension transition asset, net of tax			(0.1)		
Change in unrecognized pension net loss, net of tax	0.2		0.5		
Total comprehensive income	\$ 27 8	\$78	\$ 40 7	\$ 12	
Total comprehensive income	\$ 27.8	\$ 7.8	\$ 40.7	\$ 1.2	

Edgar Filing: Koppers Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

8. Earnings per Common Share

The computation of basic earnings per common share for the periods presented is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods. The computation of

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

diluted earnings per common share includes the effect of nonvested nonqualified stock options, restricted stock units and performance stock units assuming such options and stock units were outstanding common shares at the beginning of the period. The effect of antidilutive securities is excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per common share. For this reason, the nonqualified stock options were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 (totaling 35,062 and 17,726 shares, respectively) and restricted stock units totaling 154,939 shares were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share for the six months ended June 30, 2006.

The following tables set forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 2006				
	Basic (Doll	Diluted lars in millions, exc thousands and pe	Basic ept share amount	Diluted	
Net income applicable to common stock	\$ 22.3	\$ 22.3	\$ 5.0	\$ 5.0	
Shares of common stock outstanding: Weighted-average common shares outstanding Effect of dilutive securities	20,741	20,741 124	20,654	20,654 167	
Average common shares	20,741	20,865	20,654	20,821	
Earnings per common share	\$ 1.08	\$ 1.07	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.24	

Six Months Ended June 30,					
20	07	2006			
Basic	Diluted	Basic	Diluted		
(Dolla	ars in millions, exc	ept share amoun	ts, in		
	thousands and pe	r share amounts)			
\$ 32.8	\$ 32.8	\$ (1.0)	\$ (1.0)		
		,	,		
20,736	20,736	17,622	17,622		
	118				
20,736	20,854	17,622	17,622		
\$ 1.58	\$ 1.57	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.06)		
	### 32.8 \$ 32.8 \$ 20,736	2007 Basic Diluted (Dollars in millions, exceeding thousands and per \$ 32.8 \$ 32.8 20,736 20,736 118 20,736 20,854	Basic Diluted Basic (Dollars in millions, except share amounts) \$ 32.8 \$ 32.8 \$ (1.0) 20,736 20,736 17,622 118 20,736 20,854 17,622		

9. Stock-based Compensation

In December 2005, the Company s board of directors and shareholders adopted the 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the LTIP). The LTIP provides for the grant to eligible persons of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares, performance awards, dividend equivalents and other stock-based awards, which we refer to collectively as the awards. In May 2007, 18,000 shares were issued under the LTIP to members of the board of directors in relation to annual director compensation.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

On March 22, 2007, the board of directors granted 33,877 restricted stock units and 69,800 performance stock units to certain employee participants (collectively, the stock units). The restricted stock units will vest ratably in March 2008, 2009 and 2010, assuming continued employment by the participant. For certain participants who currently have unvested restricted stock units from a prior grant, vesting of the May 2007 restricted stock award will occur at the end of a three-year service period. The performance stock units will vest upon the attainment of the applicable performance objective at the end of a three-year measurement period ending on December 31, 2009. The applicable performance objective is based upon a three-year cumulative value creation calculation commencing January 1, 2007. The number of performance stock units granted represents the target award and participants have the ability to earn between zero and 150 percent of the target award based upon actual performance. If minimum performance criteria are not achieved, no performance stock units will vest.

Dividends declared on the Company s common stock during the restriction period of the stock units are credited at equivalent value as additional stock units and become payable as additional common shares upon vesting. In the event of termination of employment, other than retirement, death or disability, any nonvested stock units are forfeited, including additional stock units credited from dividends. In the event of termination of employment due to retirement, death or disability, pro-rata vesting of the stock units over the service period will result. There are special vesting provisions for the stock units related to a change in control.

In accordance with accounting standards, compensation expense for nonvested stock units is recorded over the vesting period based on the fair value at the date of grant. The fair value of stock units is the market price of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

The following table shows a summary of the performance stock units as of June 30, 2007 (dollars in millions except share amounts):

Performance Period	Fair Value	Unrecognized Compensation Expense	Minimum Shares	Target Shares	Maximum Shares
2007-2009	\$ 1.8	\$ 1.6		69,800	104,700
TI (II)					00 0007

The following table shows a summary of the status and activity of nonvested stock awards for the six months ended June 30, 2007:

	Restricted Stock Units	Performance Stock Units	Total Stock Units	Weighted Avera Grant Date Fair Value per Unit		
Nonvested at January 1, 2007	214,915		214,915	\$	3.30	
Granted	33,877	69,800	103,677	\$	25.49	
Forfeited	(7,960)		(7,960)	\$	3.30	
Nonvested June 30, 2007	240,832	69,800	310,632	\$	10.71	

On May 8, 2007 (the grant date), the Company granted 60,200 nonqualified stock options to certain employee participants which vest and become exercisable upon the completion of a 3-year service period commencing on the grant date. The stock options have a term of 10 years. In the event of termination of employment, all unvested stock options shall terminate and cease to be outstanding, except to the extent specifically authorized by the plan administrator. There are special vesting provisions for the stock options related to a change in control.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

In accordance with accounting standards, compensation expense for unvested stock options is recorded over the vesting period based on the fair value at the date of grant. The fair value of stock options on the date of grant is calculated using the Black-Scholes-Merton model and the assumptions listed below:

	May 2007 Grant
Grant date price per share of option award	\$ 29.97
Expected dividend yield per share	2.50%
Expected life in years	6.5
Expected volatility	40.39%
Risk-free interest rate	4.45%
Grant date fair value per share of option awards	\$ 11.01

The following table shows a summary of the status and activity of stock options for the six months ended June 30, 2007:

	Options	Exer	ted Average cise Price r Option	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Val	te Intrinsic ue (in lions)
As of January 1, 2007		\$				
Granted	60,200	\$	29.97			
As of June 30, 2007	60,200	\$	29.97	9.8	\$	0.2

There are no options eligible for exercise as of June 30, 2007.

Total stock-based compensation expense recognized for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 is as follows:

	Three	Three Months		onths
	En	Ended		ed
	Jun 2007	e 30, 2006 (Dollars i	June 2007 n millions)	30, 2006
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 0.8	\$ 0.1	\$1.0	\$ 0.2

10. Segment Information

The Company s two reportable operating segments are Carbon Materials & Chemicals and Railroad & Utility Products. The Company s reportable segments are business units that offer different products. The reportable segments are each managed separately because they manufacture and distribute distinct products with different production processes. The business units have been aggregated into two reportable segments since management believes the long-term financial performance of these business units is affected by similar economic conditions.

Edgar Filing: Koppers Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

The Company s Carbon Materials & Chemicals segment is primarily a supplier of carbon pitch, phthalic anhydride, creosote and other wood treatment chemicals, carbon black, carbon black feedstock and furnace coke. Carbon pitch is used primarily by the aluminum industry as a binder in the manufacture of anodes. Phthalic anhydride (PAA) is used in the manufacture of plasticizers, unsaturated polyester resins, alkyd resins and dye making. Creosote and wood treatment chemicals

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

are used in the protection of timber products against insects, fungal decay and weathering. Carbon black (and carbon black feedstock) is used in the production of rubber tires. Furnace coke is used in the production of steel. The Company sold its 51 percent interest in Koppers Arch Investments Pty. and its subsidiaries on July 5, 2007 (see Note 6). Koppers Arch Investments Pty. manufactured wood treatment chemicals.

The Company s Railroad & Utility Products segment provides various products and services to railroads, including crossties (both wood and concrete), track panels and switch pre-assemblies and disposal services. The segment also supplies treated wood poles to electric and telephone utilities and provides products to, and performs various wood treating services for, construction and other commercial applications.

The Company evaluates performance and determines resource allocations based on a number of factors, the primary measure being operating profit or loss from operations. Operating profit does not include equity in earnings of affiliates, other income, interest expense or income taxes. Operating profit also excludes the operating costs of Koppers Holdings Inc., the parent company of Koppers Inc. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies contained in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. Intersegment transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

The following table sets forth certain sales and operating data, net of all intersegment transactions, for the Company s segments for the periods indicated:

	Three	Months	Six Mo	nths
	Ended		Ended	
	Jur	ne 30,	June 30,	
	2007	2006 (Dollars in	2007 millions)	2006
Revenues from external customers:		(= 5		
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	\$ 236.3	\$ 183.8	\$ 436.0	\$ 339.0
Railroad & Utility Products	123.7	114.1	245.1	223.5
Total	\$ 360.0	\$ 297.9	\$ 681.1	\$ 562.5
Intersegment revenues:				
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	\$ 18.5	\$ 11.5	\$ 35.1	\$ 21.8
Railroad & Utility Products				
Total	\$ 18.5	\$ 11.5	\$ 35.1	\$ 21.8
Depreciation and amortization expense:				
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	\$ 6.5	\$ 6.4	\$ 13.0	\$ 12.2
Railroad & Utility Products	1.7	1.8	3.5	3.7
Corporate				0.1
Total	\$ 8.2	\$ 8.2	\$ 16.5	\$ 16.0
Operating profit:				
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	\$ 33.9	\$ 16.1	\$ 49.6	\$ 26.8
Railroad & Utility Products	13.0	5.8	25.7	11.0

Edgar Filing: Koppers Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Corporate	(0.9)	(0.2)	(1.2)	(0.1)
Total	\$ 46.0	\$ 21.7	\$ 74.1	\$ 37.7

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

The following table sets forth certain tangible and intangible assets allocated to each of the Company s segments as of the dates indicated:

	June 30,	December 31,	
	2007 (Dollar	s in millio	2006 ons)
Segment assets:	,		,
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	\$ 478.6	\$	428.7
Railroad & Utility Products	154.1		150.3
All Other	66.5		70.4
Total	\$ 699.2	\$	649.4
Goodwill:			
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	\$ 61.9		60.6
Railroad & Utility Products	2.1		2.0
Total	\$ 64.0	\$	62.6

11. Income Taxes

Effective Tax Rate

Income taxes as a percentage of pretax income is 31.9 percent and 46.2 percent for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. There were no discrete items included in the estimated effective tax rate for either period. The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2007 differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35.0 percent due to the recognition of non-conventional fuel tax credits (-5.2 percent) offset by taxes on foreign earnings (+2.0 percent). With respect to the three months ended June 30, 2006, the effective tax rate differs from the federal statutory rate primarily due to taxes on foreign earnings (+8.9 percent).

Income taxes as a percentage of pretax income is 31.4 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2007. There were no discrete items included in the estimated effective tax rate for the period. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2007 differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35.0 percent due to the recognition of non-conventional fuel tax credits (-6.0 percent) offset by taxes on foreign earnings (+2.3 percent).

The income tax provision for interim periods is based on an estimated annual effective tax rate, which requires management to make its best estimate of annual pretax income by domestic and foreign jurisdictions and other items that impact taxable income. During the year, management regularly updates estimates based on changes in various factors such as product prices, shipments, product mix, operating and administrative costs, earnings mix by taxable jurisdiction, repatriation of foreign earnings, uncertain tax positions and the ability to claim tax credits such as the non-conventional fuel tax credit. To the extent that actual results vary from the estimates at the end of the second quarter, the actual tax provision recognized for 2007 could be materially different from the forecasted annual tax provision as of the end of the second quarter.

Uncertain Tax Positions

The Company or one of its subsidiaries files income tax returns in U.S. federal jurisdiction, individual U.S. state jurisdictions and non-U.S. jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company is no

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2002.

The Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, on January 1, 2007. As a result of the adoption of FIN 48, the Company did not recognize a material change in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits. As of the date of adoption, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate, was approximately \$1.7 million. The amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits as of the date of adoption was \$2.3 million.

The Company recognizes interest expense and any related penalties from uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. As of January 1, 2007, the Company had accrued approximately \$0.4 million for interest and penalties.

12. Inventories

Net inventories as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 are summarized in the table below:

	June 30,	Dece	ember 31,
	2007 (Do	ollars in millio	2006 ens)
Raw materials	\$ 107.7	\$	89.4
Work in process	6.7		6.3
Finished goods	94.1		84.6
	208.5		180.3
Less revaluation to LIFO	25.8		23.9
Net	\$ 182.7	\$	156.4

13. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 are summarized in the table below:

	June 30,	Dece	ember 31,
	2007 (Dolla	rs in millio	2006 ns)
Land	\$ 6.8	\$	6.7
Buildings	23.7		22.6
Machinery and equipment	535.9		518.2
	566.4		547.5
Less accumulated depreciation	409.8		388.2
Net	\$ 156.6	\$	159.3

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

14. Pensions and Postretirement Benefit Plans

The Company and its subsidiaries maintain a number of defined benefit and defined contribution plans to provide retirement benefits for employees in the U.S., as well as employees outside the U.S. These plans are maintained and contributions are made in accordance with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), local statutory law or as determined by the board of directors. The defined benefit pension plans generally provide benefits based upon years of service and compensation. Pension plans are funded except for two domestic non-qualified defined benefit pension plans for certain key executives. The defined contribution plans generally provide retirement assets to employee participants based upon employer and employee contributions to the participant s individual investment account The Company also provides retiree medical insurance coverage to certain U.S. employees and a life insurance benefit to most U.S. employees.

In November 2006, the Company s board of directors approved a freeze of the Company s U.S. qualified and corresponding non-qualified defined benefit pension plans for salaried employees. Effective December 31, 2006, salaried employees no longer accrue additional years of service or recognize future increases in compensation under the existing defined benefit pension plans for benefit purposes. In addition, the Company has recently negotiated soft freezes with respect to a number of hourly defined benefit pension plans. Such negotiated agreements preclude new employees from entering the defined benefit pension plans.

In lieu of the reduction in benefits under the qualified defined benefit plan for U.S. salaried employees, the Company provides, based on age and years of service, a uniform employer contribution of at least three percent and up to a maximum of nine percent of compensation to the salaried employee s defined contribution plan. The Company also matches contributions by salaried employees at an amount equal to 50 percent of the first six percent of compensation contributed by the salaried employee. On August 8, 2007, the Company s board of directors approved the establishment of a supplemental benefit plan to restore employer non-elective contributions lost to certain participants in the Company s defined contribution plan under U.S. tax law.

With respect to U.S. hourly employees who are not eligible to participate in an hourly defined benefit pension plan, the Company provides a uniform employer contribution of three percent of compensation to the hourly employee s defined contribution plan. The Company also matches contributions by hourly employees at an amount equal to 100 percent of the first one percent and 50 percent on the next two percent of compensation contributed by the hourly employee.

The following table provides the components of net periodic benefit cost for the defined benefit pension plans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006:

	Three	Months	Six Mo	onths
	E	nded	Enc	led
	Ju	ne 30,	June	30,
	2007	2006 (Dollars	2007 in millions)	2006
Service cost	\$ 1.0	\$ 1.3	\$ 1.9	\$ 2.7
Interest cost	2.7	2.5	5.5	5.0
Expected return on plan assets	(3.3)	(2.7)	(6.5)	(5.3)
Amortization of prior service cost		0.1	0.1	0.2
Amortization of net loss	0.5	0.6	0.9	1.3
Amortization of transition asset	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.2)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 0.8	\$ 1.7	\$ 1.7	\$ 3.7

Edgar Filing: Koppers Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

The following table provides the components of net periodic benefit cost for the other postretirement benefits for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006:

	Three	Months	Six M	onths
	En	ided	End	led
	Jun	June 30,		e 30,
	2007	2006 (Dollars in	2007 n millions)	2006
Service cost	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.2
Interest cost	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.5
Amortization of prior service cost	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.2)
Amortization of net loss	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.5	\$ 0.6

The Company s expense related to its defined contribution plans amounted to \$1.1 million and \$0.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$2.3 million and \$1.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

15. Debt

Debt at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 was as follows:

	Weighted Average Interest		June 30,	December 31,
	Rate	Maturity	2007 (Dollar	2006 s in millions)
Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility	7.00%	2009	\$ 42.5	\$ 44.5
Other revolving credit facilities	7.27%	2007	10.2	6.1
Senior Secured Term Loans	7.07%	2009	46.0	52.0
Other term loans	7.97%	2010	1.7	3.5
Senior Secured Notes	9 ⁷ /8%	2013	215.1	215.9
Senior Discount Notes	9 ⁷ /8%	2014	161.5	153.9
Total			477.0	475.9
Less short term debt and current maturities of long-term debt			22.2	19.6
Long-term debt			\$ 454.8	\$ 456.3

Total debt of Koppers Arch as of June 30, 2007 included in the amounts above was \$9.3 million. Koppers Arch was disposed of by sale on July 5, 2007 (see Note 6).

Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility & Term Loans

Edgar Filing: Koppers Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

The Koppers Inc. senior secured credit facility agreement, as amended, provides for a revolving credit facility of up to \$125.0 million and term loans of \$46.0 million at variable rates. The loans are secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of Koppers Inc. s assets, including the assets of certain significant subsidiaries. Revolving credit availability is calculated based on receivables and inventory as well as the attainment of certain financial ratios. The credit facility contains certain covenants that limit capital expenditures by Koppers Inc. and restrict its ability to incur additional

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

indebtedness, create liens on its assets, enter into leases, pay dividends and make investments or acquisitions. In addition, such covenants give rise to events of default upon the failure by Koppers Inc. to meet certain financial ratios.

As of June 30, 2007, the Company had \$62.9 million of unused revolving credit availability for working capital purposes after restrictions by various debt covenants and certain letter of credit commitments. As of June 30, 2007, \$16.1 million of commitments were utilized by outstanding letters of credit. In addition, as of June 30, 2007, the Company had outstanding term loans of \$46.0 million under the credit facility.

Senior Secured Notes

The 9 ⁷/8 % Senior Secured Notes due 2013 (the Senior Secured Notes) are guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior secured basis by some of the Company is current and future subsidiaries. Interest is payable semiannually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year. The Senior Secured Notes and subsidiary guarantees are senior obligations of Koppers Inc. and its subsidiary guarantors, respectively, and are secured by a second priority lien on and security interest in substantially all of the assets owned by Koppers Inc. and its subsidiary guarantors that secure Koppers Inc. is obligations under its senior secured credit facilities. On or after October 15, 2008, the Company is entitled to redeem all or a portion of the Senior Secured Notes at a redemption price of 104.938 percent of principal value, declining annually in ratable amounts until the redemption price is equivalent to the principal value.

The Company has a notional \$50.0 million interest rate swap to convert a portion of the Senior Secured Notes from fixed-interest rate debt to floating-interest rate debt. Accordingly, the interest rate swap is reflected at fair value in other long-term liabilities and the Senior Secured Notes subject to the notional amount of the interest rate swap is reflected at fair value at each balance sheet date. At June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, the impact of the interest rate swap decreased the carrying value of the Senior Secured Notes by \$3.2 million and \$2.4 million, respectively.

The indentures governing the Senior Secured Notes include customary covenants that restrict, among other things, the ability of Koppers Inc. and its subsidiaries to incur additional debt, pay dividends or make certain other restricted payments, incur liens, merge or sell all or substantially all of the assets of Koppers Inc. or its subsidiaries or enter into various transactions with affiliates.

Senior Discount Notes

Koppers Holdings

8/8% Senior Discount Notes due 2014 (the Senior Discount Notes) have a principal amount at maturity of \$203.0 million. No cash interest is required to be paid prior to November 15, 2009. The accreted value of each Senior Discount Note increases from the date of issuance until November 15, 2009, at a rate of 9 7/8% per annum compounded semiannually such that on November 19, 2009 the accreted value will equal \$203.0 million, the principal amount due at maturity. Subsequent to November 19, 2009, cash interest on the Senior Discount Notes will accrue and be payable semi-annually in arrears on May 15 and November 15 of each year, commencing on May 15, 2010.

The Senior Discount Notes are effectively subordinated to the Company s existing and future secured indebtedness, and are structurally subordinated to all of the existing and future indebtedness and other liabilities and preferred equity of the Company s subsidiaries. On or after November 15,

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2009, the Company is entitled to redeem all or a portion of the Senior Discount Notes at a redemption price of 104.938 percent of principal value, declining annually in ratable amounts until the redemption price is equivalent to the principal value.

16. Asset Retirement Obligations

The Company recognizes asset retirement obligations for the removal and disposal of residues; dismantling of certain tanks required by governmental authorities; cleaning and dismantling costs for owned rail cars; and cleaning costs for leased rail cars and barges. The following table reflects changes in the carrying values of asset retirement obligations:

	June 30, 2007 (Dollan	mber 31, 2006 s)
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 20.0	\$ 22.3
Accretion expense	0.8	1.7
Revision in estimated cash flows	2.1	0.3
Expenses incurred	(3.3)	(7.6)
Acquisition		3.3
Balance at end of period	\$ 19.6	\$ 20.0

For the six months ended June 30, 2007, the Company recorded a charge of \$2.0 million with respect to revised plant closure and dismantlement costs.

17. Deferred Revenue from Extended Product Warranty Liabilities

The Company defers revenues associated with extended product warranty liabilities based on historical loss experience and sales of extended warranties on certain products. The following table reflects changes in the carrying values of deferred revenue:

	June 30, 2007 (Dollars	mber 31, 006
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 8.4	\$ 8.1
Deferred revenue for sales of extended warranties	0.5	1.5
Revenue earned	(0.6)	(1.2)
Balance at end of period	\$ 8.3	\$ 8.4

18. Contingent Liabilities and Commitments

The Company and its subsidiaries are involved in litigation and various proceedings relating to environmental laws and regulations and antitrust, toxic tort, product liability and other matters. Certain of these matters are discussed below. The ultimate resolution of these contingencies could, individually or in the aggregate, be material to the consolidated financial statements.

Legal Proceedings

Edgar Filing: Koppers Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Litigation. In January 2007, Timtech Chemicals Limited (Timtech) filed a Statement of Claim in the High Court of New Zealand against a number of corporate and individual defendants, including

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Koppers Arch Wood Protection (NZ) Limited, Koppers Arch Investments Pty. Limited, Koppers Australia Pty Limited and a number of other corporate and individual defendants. The Statement of Claim alleges various causes of action against the defendants including claims related to breaches of the New Zealand Commerce Act of 1986. Timtech is seeking damages against all defendants in the amount of \$3.9 million plus exemplary damages and pre-judgment interest in an unspecified amount. Until July 5, 2007, Koppers Arch Wood Protection (NZ) Limited was a majority-owned subsidiary of Koppers Arch Investments Pty Limited, which was an Australian joint venture 51 percent of which was owned by World-Wide Ventures Corporation (an indirect subsidiary of the Company) and 49 percent of which was owned by Hickson Nederland BV, an affiliate of Arch Chemicals, Inc. Koppers Arch Wood Protection (NZ) Limited manufactured and marketed wood preservative products throughout New Zealand. The Company sold its 51 percent interest in Koppers Arch Investments Pty. Limited and its subsidiaries on July 5, 2007 (see Note 6) to Arch Chemicals and has provided an indemnity to the buyer for its share of liabilities, if any, arising from certain litigation and claims including the Timtech litigation and claims arising from the New Zealand Commerce Commission and the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission investigations. The Timtech litigation is scheduled for non-binding mediation in late August 2007.

Although the case will be vigorously defended, an unfavorable resolution of this matter may have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations. It is possible that other civil claims could be filed against the Company arising from alleged breaches of New Zealand and Australian competition laws. Such other claims, if filed and resolved unfavorably, could have a material adverse effect on the business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations of the Company. The Company has provided a reserve for this lawsuit and a number of other unrelated claims totaling \$0.7 million as of June 30, 2007 which is the Company s reasonable estimate of potential loss.

Product Liability Cases. Koppers Inc., along with other defendants, is currently a defendant in lawsuits filed in California, Pennsylvania, Indiana, Tennessee, Texas and Oregon in which the plaintiffs claim they suffered a variety of illnesses (including cancer) as a result of exposure to coal tar pitch sold by the defendants. The first of these cases was filed in April 2000 and the most recent was filed in July 2007. There are a total of 36 cases filed in state court in Pennsylvania, one case each filed in state courts in California, Indiana, Tennessee and Oregon, and two cases filed in state court in Texas. There are currently 87 plaintiffs in these cases who are making claims against the Company. The complaints applicable to 65 of the plaintiffs allege that they are entitled to recover compensatory and punitive damages in unspecified amounts in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the applicable courts (in most cases \$25,000) with two of these plaintiffs seeking damages in an amount not to exceed \$10.0 million and three additional plaintiffs seeking damages in excess of \$7.5 million. The complaints applicable to 17 of the plaintiffs allege that plaintiffs are entitled to recover compensatory damages in unspecified amounts in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the applicable courts (in most cases \$25,000). The other defendants vary from case to case and include companies such as Beazer East, Inc., USX Corporation, Honeywell, Inc., Reilly Industries, Inc., Dow Chemical Company, Rust-Oleum Corporation, UCAR Carbon Company, Inc., Exxon Mobil Corporation, Crompton Corporation, SGL Carbon Corporation, Alcoa, Inc., and PPG Architectural Finishes Inc. Discovery is proceeding in these cases. The Company has not provided a reserve for these lawsuits because, at this time, the Company cannot reasonably determine the probability of a loss, and the amount of loss, if any, cannot be reasonably estimated. Although Koppers Inc. is vigorously defending these cases, an unfavorable resolution of these matters may have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Koppers Inc. is currently defending 12 cases involving 74 plaintiffs who allege exposure to benzene, benzene containing products and other products, including oils and solvents sold by Koppers Inc. Most of these cases also involve numerous other defendants in addition to Koppers Inc. The Company has not provided a reserve for these lawsuits because, at this time, the Company cannot reasonably determine the probability of loss, and the amount of loss, if any, cannot be reasonably estimated.

Somerville Cases. Koppers Inc. is currently defending three cases involving approximately 150 plaintiffs who allegedly have worked or resided in Somerville, Texas. These cases are pending in state court in Burleson County, Texas and in Tarrant County, Texas. The Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway Company (the BNSF) has also been named as a defendant in these cases. The complaints allege that plaintiffs have suffered personal injuries (including death, in some cases) and property damage resulting from toxic contamination from exposure to wood preservation chemicals used at the Somerville, Texas wood treatment plant. Koppers Inc. acquired the plant in 1995. The plant was operated at this site under different ownership from 1905 to 1995.

The complaints seek to recover various damages for each plaintiff, including compensatory and punitive damages within the jurisdictional limits of the court for, among other things, bodily injuries, pain and mental anguish, emotional distress, medical monitoring, medical expenses, diminished earning capacity, permanent disability, physical impairment and/or disfigurement, loss of companionship and society, loss of consortium, devaluation of property, loss of use and enjoyment of personal property, loss of use and enjoyment of real property, property damage, property remediation costs, funeral and burial expenses and lost wages. Koppers was served with two of the cases in September 2006 and October 2006. Koppers was joined in the third case (which had already been pending against the BNSF) in May 2007. The September 2006 case was most recently amended in May, 2007 and identifies approximately 48 plaintiffs (six of whom have claims only against the BNSF under the Federal Employees Liability Act). The October 2006 case was amended in April, 2007 and identifies a total of 11 plaintiffs. The third case, which is the only one of the three cases filed in Tarrant County, Texas, identifies a total of 93 plaintiffs, of whom 92 are interveners. Discovery is proceeding in these cases.

The Company has not provided a reserve for these matters because, at this time, it cannot reasonably determine the probability of a loss, and the amount of loss, if any, cannot be reasonably estimated. Although Koppers Inc. is vigorously defending these cases, an unfavorable resolution of these matters may have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

Grenada All Cases. Koppers Inc., together with various co-defendants (including Beazer East), has been named as a defendant in toxic tort lawsuits in state court in Mississippi (see Grenada State Court Cases below) and in federal court in Mississippi (see Grenada Federal Court Cases below) arising from the operation of the Grenada facility. The complaints allege that plaintiffs were exposed to harmful levels of various toxic chemicals, including creosote, pentachlorophenol, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and dioxin, as a result of soil, surface water and groundwater contamination and air emissions from the Grenada facility and, in some cases, from an adjacent manufacturing facility operated by Heatcraft, Inc. Based on the experience of Koppers Inc. in defending previous toxic tort cases, the Company does not believe that the damages sought by the plaintiffs in the state and federal court cases are supported by the facts of the cases. Other than for cases in which a verdict has been rendered (see Grenada Federal Court Cases below), the

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Company has not provided a reserve for these lawsuits because, at this time, it cannot reasonably determine the probability of a loss, and the amount of loss, if any, cannot be reasonably estimated. Although Koppers Inc. intends to vigorously defend these cases, there can be no assurance that an unfavorable resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations. See Environmental and Other Liabilities Retained or Assumed by Others for additional information.

Grenada Federal Court Cases.

Beck Case The complaint in this case was originally filed by approximately 110 plaintiffs. Pursuant to an order granting defendants motion to sever, the court dismissed the claims of 98 plaintiffs in the Beck case without prejudice to their right to re-file their complaints. In December 2005, 94 of the 98 plaintiffs in the Beck case whose claims were dismissed re-filed their complaints. The plaintiffs in the 94 cases that were re-filed seek compensatory damages from the defendants of at least \$5.0 million for each of eight counts and punitive damages of at least \$10.0 million for each of three counts (in addition to damages for an unspecified amount for alleged trespass and nuisance). No discovery orders have been issued with respect to the 94 additional cases. The claims of the 12 plaintiffs whose claims were not dismissed are still pending. The 12 remaining plaintiffs seek compensatory damages from the defendants in an unspecified amount and punitive damages of \$20.0 million for each of four counts. The court ordered that the claims of the 12 remaining Beck plaintiffs must be tried separately.

The first of these trials commenced on April 17, 2006, and the jury returned a verdict of 20 percent of \$845,000 against Koppers Inc. for compensatory damages and no liability for punitive damages. Subsequent to the verdict, the court reduced the compensatory damages judgment by \$60,000 to \$785,000. The Company accrued its portion of the verdict in the first quarter of 2006. Koppers Inc. has appealed the judgment entered against it to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. Koppers Inc. filed its brief with the Court of Appeals in February 2007. The Court of Appeals has not scheduled oral argument or reached a decision on the appeal. The remaining 11 trials have been stayed pending the appeal by Koppers Inc. of the judgment entered in the first case.

Ellis Case There are approximately 1,130 plaintiffs in this case. Each plaintiff seeks compensatory damages from the defendants of at least \$5.0 million for each of seven counts and punitive damages of at least \$10.0 million for each of three counts (in addition to damages for an unspecified amount for trespass and nuisance). The Ellis complaint also requests injunctive relief. These cases have been stayed pending the completion of the trials for the 12 plaintiffs in the Beck case.

Grenada State Court Cases. The state court cases were brought on behalf of 222 plaintiffs in five counties in Mississippi. Each plaintiff seeks compensatory damages from the defendants of at least \$5.0 million for each of up to eight counts and punitive damages of at least \$10.0 million for each of three counts. Certain plaintiffs also seek damages for alleged trespass and private nuisance in unspecified amounts together with injunctive relief. The Mississippi Supreme Court ordered that the plaintiffs in the pending state court cases filed in counties other than Grenada County (118 cases), with the appropriate venue being Grenada County. Plaintiffs counsel has commenced the process to transfer ten such cases to Grenada County. Those cases are now the subject of motions to dismiss, on the ground that the Mississippi Supreme Court has since ruled that such cases should be dismissed and refiled individually, not simply transferred. Motions to dismiss the remaining plaintiffs in the four

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

non-Grenada County cases are pending. Until the resolution of the motions to dismiss, discovery in the four non-Grenada County cases will remain stayed.

With respect to the state court case that was originally filed in Grenada County, the court granted the defendants motion to sever the claims of these plaintiffs for improper joinder. These plaintiffs then filed 104 individual complaints in Grenada County. Of these, 15 have been dismissed to date. Motions for summary judgment on behalf of defendants based on the Mississippi statute of limitations have been filed in 60 of the remaining cases. Motions to dismiss are pending in 11 additional cases for want of prosecution or failure to comply with court orders. Discovery is proceeding in the remaining cases in the Grenada County litigation.

Legal Reserves Rollforward. The following table reflects changes in the accrued liability for legal proceedings:

	June 30 , 2007 (Dollan	nber 31, 006
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 1.2 [`]	\$ 3.1
Expense	0.8	0.8
Reversal of reserves	(0.1)	
Cash expenditures	(0.8)	(2.6)
Currency translation		(0.1)
Balance at end at end of period	\$ 1.1	\$ 1.2

Expense accruals and cash expenditures in 2007 primarily relate to the New Zealand Commerce Commission (NZCC) matter. Expense for 2006 consists primarily of the amount of the verdict rendered against Koppers Inc. in May 2006 related to the Grenada litigation and other costs related to the NZCC matter. Cash expenditures for 2006 consisted of the Company s penalty related to the NZCC matter.

Environmental and Other Litigation Matters

The Company is subject to federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations and potential liabilities relating to the protection of the environment and human health and safety including, among other things, the cleanup of contaminated sites, the treatment, storage and disposal of wastes, the discharge of effluent into waterways, the emission of substances into the air and various health and safety matters. The Company s subsidiaries expect to incur substantial costs for ongoing compliance with such laws and regulations. The Company s subsidiaries may also face governmental or third-party claims, or otherwise incur costs, relating to cleanup of, or for injuries resulting from, contamination at sites associated with past and present operations. The Company accrues for environmental liabilities when a determination can be made that they are probable and reasonably estimable.

Environmental and Other Liabilities Retained or Assumed by Others. The Company has agreements with former owners of certain of its operating locations under which the former owners retained, assumed and/or agreed to indemnify the Company against certain environmental and other liabilities. The most significant of these agreements was entered into at Koppers Inc. s formation on December 29, 1988 (the Acquisition). Under the related asset purchase agreement between the Company and Beazer East, subject to certain limitations, Beazer East retained the responsibility for and agreed to indemnify the Company against certain liabilities, damages, losses and costs, including,

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

with certain limited exceptions, liabilities under and costs to comply with environmental laws to the extent attributable to acts or omissions occurring prior to the Acquisition and liabilities related to products sold by Beazer East prior to the Acquisition (the Indemnity). Beazer Limited unconditionally guaranteed Beazer East s performance of the Indemnity pursuant to a guarantee (the Guarantee). Beazer Limited became a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of Hanson PLC in 1991. In 1998, Hanson PLC purchased an insurance policy under which the funding and risk of certain environmental and other liabilities relating to the former Koppers Company, Inc. operations of Beazer East (which includes locations purchased from Beazer East by the Company) are underwritten by Centre Solutions (a member of the Zurich Group) and Swiss Re. On May 15, 2007, Hanson PLC announced that they had reached an agreement to be acquired by HeidelbergCement AG and subsequently, the shareholders of Hanson PLC approved the transaction on July 31, 2007.

The Indemnity provides different mechanisms, subject to certain limitations, by which Beazer East is obligated to indemnify Koppers Inc. with regard to certain environmental, product and other liabilities and imposes certain conditions on Koppers Inc. before receiving such indemnification, including, in some cases, certain limitations regarding the time period as to which claims for indemnification can be brought. In July 2004, Koppers Inc. and Beazer East agreed to amend the environmental indemnification provisions of the December 29, 1988 asset purchase agreement to extend the indemnification period for pre-closing environmental liabilities through July 2019. As consideration for the amendment, Koppers Inc. agreed to pay Beazer East a total of \$7.0 million in four installments over three years and to share toxic tort litigation defense costs arising from any sites acquired from Beazer East. The first three payments of \$2.0 million each were made in July 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The final installment of \$1.0 million was paid by the Company to Beazer East on July 1, 2007. The July 2004 amendment did not change the provisions of the Indemnity with respect to indemnification for non-environmental claims, such as product liability claims, which claims may continue to be asserted after July 2019.

Qualified expenditures under the Indemnity are not subject to a monetary limit. Qualified expenditures under the Indemnity include (i) environmental cleanup liabilities required by third parties, such as investigation, remediation and closure costs, relating to pre-December 29, 1988, or Pre-Closing, acts or omissions of Beazer East or its predecessors, (ii) environmental claims by third parties for personal injuries, property damages and natural resources damages relating to Pre-Closing acts or omissions of Beazer East or its predecessors (iii) punitive damages for the acts or omissions of Beazer East and its predecessors without regard to the date of the alleged conduct and (iv) product liability claims for products sold by Beazer East or its predecessors without regard to the date of the alleged conduct. If the third party claims described in sections (i) and (ii) above are not made by July 2019, Beazer East will not be required to pay the costs arising from such claims under the Indemnity. However, with respect to any such claims which are made by July 2019, Beazer East will continue to be responsible for such claims under the Indemnity beyond July 2019. The Indemnity provides for the resolution of issues between Koppers Inc. and Beazer East by an arbitrator on an expedited basis upon the request of either party. The arbitrator could be asked, among other things, to make a determination regarding the allocation of environmental responsibilities between Koppers Inc. and Beazer East. Arbitration decisions under the Indemnity are final and binding on the parties.

Contamination has been identified at most of the Company s manufacturing and other sites. Three sites currently owned and operated by the Company in the United States are listed on the National Priorities List promulgated under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA). Currently, at the properties acquired from Beazer East (which include all of the National Priorities List sites and all but one of the sites permitted under the

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)), substantially all investigative, cleanup and closure activities are being conducted and paid for by Beazer East pursuant to the terms of the Indemnity. In addition, other of the Company s sites are or have been operated under RCRA and various other environmental permits, and remedial and closure activities are being conducted at some of these sites.

To date, the parties that retained, assumed and/or agreed to indemnify the Company against the liabilities referred to above, including Beazer East, have performed their obligations in all material respects. The Company believes that, for the last three years, amounts paid by Beazer East as a result of its environmental remediation obligations under the Indemnity have averaged in total approximately \$15.2 million per year. Periodically, issues have arisen between Koppers Inc. and Beazer East and/or other indemnitors that have been resolved without arbitration. Koppers Inc. and Beazer East are currently in discussions that involve, among other things, the allocation of environmental costs related to certain operating and closed facilities.

If for any reason (including disputed coverage or financial incapability) one or more of such parties fail to perform their obligations and the Company is held liable for or otherwise required to pay all or part of such liabilities without reimbursement, the imposition of such liabilities on the Company could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations. Furthermore, the Company could be required to record a contingent liability on its balance sheets with respect to such matters, which could result in its having significant additional negative net worth.

Domestic Environmental Matters. Koppers Inc. was named as a potentially responsible party (a PRP) at a CERCLA Superfund site in Amelia, Louisiana in May 2007; however no PRP group has formed at this point. Consequently, the Company s cost sharing responsibility, if any, has not been determined. Due to the preliminary stage of Koppers Inc s involvement in the site, the Company has not provided a reserve for this matter because at this time it cannot reasonably estimate the amount of loss, if any.

Koppers Inc. was named as a potentially responsible party (a PRP) at a CERCLA Superfund site in Calvert City, Kentucky in November 2006 and has subsequently joined the PRP group. The Company s cost sharing responsibility as a member of the PRP group is currently calculated at less than two percent, but is subject to adjustment based on a final allocation agreement to be negotiated among the PRP s. Due to the preliminary stage of the PRP group s involvement in the site and the assessment of the contamination remediation effort, the Company has not provided a reserve for this matter because at this time it cannot reasonably estimate the amount of loss, if any.

The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (the IEPA) has requested that Koppers Inc. conduct a voluntary investigation of soil and groundwater at its Stickney, Illinois carbon materials and chemicals facility. The IEPA advised Koppers Inc. that it made such request as a result of a reported release of oil-like material from Koppers Inc. s property into an adjacent river canal. Koppers Inc. has agreed to conduct such investigation in cooperation with Beazer East and intends to seek contribution and/or indemnification from third parties with respect to a portion of such costs. The preliminary results of the site investigation were received in the fourth quarter of 2006. These results were reviewed with IEPA in July 2007 and will be subject to further analysis and assessment by the Company, Beazer East and the IEPA before progressing to risk assessment. The Company has not provided a reserve for this matter because at this time it cannot reasonably determine the probability of loss, and the amount, if any, cannot reasonably be estimated.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

In October 1996, Koppers Inc. received a Clean Water Act (CWA) information request from the EPA. This information request asked for comprehensive information on discharge permits, applications for discharge permits, discharge monitoring reports and the analytical data in support of the reports and applications. The EPA alleged that Koppers Inc. violated various provisions of the CWA. Koppers Inc. subsequently entered into a Consent Decree and agreed, among other things, to a \$2.9 million settlement, payable in three annual installments which was paid in full by April 2005. In 2005 Koppers Inc. filed to terminate the Consent Decree at which time the EPA informed Koppers Inc. that it will seek civil penalties for any CWA violations from 2001 to 2005 concurrent with the termination of the Consent Decree. Koppers Inc. and the EPA have agreed to a settlement of \$0.5 million for such civil penalties and the Company has provided a reserve for the amount of the settlement.

In August 2005, Koppers Inc. received a CWA information request from Region 4 of the EPA. Region 4 encompasses six of Koppers Inc. s facilities, of which four are currently operating. This information request asked for comprehensive information on discharge permits, applications for discharge permits, discharge monitoring reports and the analytical data in support of the reports and applications as well as engineering studies and a limited number of specific inspection records. Koppers Inc. subsequently provided the EPA with certain information to assist it in its review. In December 2006, Koppers Inc. received information from Region 4 regarding alleged violations by Koppers Inc. The Company is awaiting further correspondence from the EPA with respect to its intention to continue to pursue the matter. The Company has not provided a reserve for this matter because at this time it cannot reasonably determine the probability of loss, and the amount, if any, cannot reasonably be estimated.

In May 2007, Koppers Inc. received a separate information request from Region 4 of the EPA on all releases of hazardous materials from its facilities in Region 4 for a five-year period. Koppers Inc. has provided the requested information.

In August 2005, the Pennsylvania Department of Environment Protection (the PADEP) proposed a fine related to alleged water discharge exceedances from a storm water sewer pipe at the Company s tar distillation facility in Clairton, Pennsylvania. In December 2006, the Company reached a preliminary settlement of the fine with PADEP for \$0.5 million, subject to the execution of a consent order that the Company is currently negotiating with the PADEP. Accordingly, the Company has reserved the amount of the settlement. The Company has also proposed to undertake certain engineering and capital improvements at a cost of approximately \$1.5 million to address this matter. The consent order will likely provide for stipulated penalties for any additional exceedances that occur between the date of the execution of the consent order and the date of completion of such capital improvements.

In June 2007, Koppers Inc. and the EPA s Office of Suspension and Disbarment reached a tentative agreement to an 18-month extension to Koppers Inc. s compliance agreement related to violations at Koppers Inc. s Woodward Coke facility prior to its closure in January 1998. The original compliance agreement was scheduled to expire in July 2007. A failure on the Company s part to comply with the terms of the compliance agreement could lead to significant costs and sanctions, including the potential for suspension or debarment from governmental contracts. A suspension or disbarment from government contracts would have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operation.

Australasian Environmental Matters. Soil and groundwater contamination has been detected at certain of the Company s Australasian facilities. At the Company s tar distillation facility in

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia, soil contamination from an abandoned underground coal tar pipeline and other groundwater contamination has been detected at a property adjacent to the facility. In December 2006 the Company and the owner of the adjacent property reached an agreement in principle pursuant to which the Company will contribute \$1.6 million and the owner of the adjacent property will contribute \$5.3 million toward remediation of the property. Subject to the approval of a remediation plan by local environmental authorities, the Company will assume responsibility for the management of the remediation effort and will indemnify the current owner for any remediation costs in excess of its agreed contribution. The Company has reserved its expected total remediation costs of \$1.6 million at June 30, 2007.

Other Australasian environmental matters include soil and groundwater remediation at a former wood products facility in Hume, Australia. The soil remediation is substantially complete. In the fourth quarter of 2006, a Phase II environmental assessment was completed that indicated estimated groundwater remediation costs of between \$0.6 million and \$2.0 million. The Company is currently working with local environmental authorities to determine the preferred method of remediation. The Company has reserved approximately \$0.9 million for remediation costs at this site which represent its best estimate of groundwater and remaining soil remediation.

Environmental Reserves Rollforward. The following table reflects changes in the accrued liability for environmental matters:

	June 30, 2007	20	December 31, 2006	
	(Dollars	s in millions,)	
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 5.6	\$	3.8	
Expense	1.1		3.7	
Reversal of reserves			(0.5)	
Cash expenditures	(0.5)		(1.8)	
Currency translation	0.2		0.4	
Balance at end at end of period	\$ 6.4	\$	5.6	

Expense for 2007 consisted primarily of accruals for closed facility remediation, estimated remediation costs at the Newcastle tar distillation facility and soil remediation at a site in Australia. Expense for 2006 consisted primarily of accruals of \$1.2 million for soil and groundwater remediation at the Company s former wood products facility in Hume, Australia, \$0.6 million for estimated settlement costs related to the Company s Clairton facility, \$0.4 million for CWA assessments, \$0.4 million for waste material disposal at certain Koppers Arch facilities in Australia and New Zealand and \$0.4 million for soil disposal costs at the Company s facility in Port Clarence, UK. Reversals of reserves for 2006 included \$0.4 million for estimated settlement costs related to the Company s Clairton facility.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Certain sections of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations include
forward-looking statements concerning trends or events potentially affecting the businesses of Koppers. These statements typically
contain words such as believes, anticipates, expects, estimates, may, will, should, continue, plans,
intends, likely, or other similar words indicating that future outcomes are uncertain. In accordance with the safe harbor
provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, these statements are accompanied by cautionary language
identifying important factors, although not necessarily all factors, which would cause future outcomes to differ materially from those
set forth in the forward-looking statements. For additional risk factors affecting our business, see Item 1A. Risk Factors in the
Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The following discussion and analysis of the Company's financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the unaudited financial statements and related notes included in Item 1 of this Part I as well as the Company's audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Overview

Koppers is a leading integrated global provider of carbon compounds and commercial wood treatment products. The Company s products are used in a variety of niche applications in a diverse range of end-markets, including the aluminum, railroad, specialty chemical, utility, rubber and steel industries. Koppers serves its customers through a comprehensive global manufacturing and distribution network, which includes manufacturing facilities located in the United States, Australia, China, the United Kingdom and Denmark.

The Company operates two principal businesses, **Carbon Materials & Chemicals (CM&C)** and **Railroad & Utility Products (R&UP)**. Through the Company s Carbon Materials & Chemicals business, Koppers believes it is the largest distiller of coal tar in North America, Australia, the United Kingdom and Scandinavia. The Company processes coal tar into a variety of products, including carbon pitch, creosote and phthalic anhydride, which are critical intermediate materials in the production of aluminum, the pressure treatment of wood and the production of plasticizers and specialty chemicals, respectively. Through its Railroad & Utility Products business, Koppers is the largest North American supplier of railroad crossties. The Company s other commercial wood treatment products include the provision of utility poles to the electric and telephone utility industries.

Outlook

Seasonality and Effects of Weather on Operations

The Company s quarterly operating results fluctuate due to a variety of factors that are outside its control, including inclement weather conditions, which in the past have affected operating results. Operations at several facilities have been halted for short periods of time during the winter months. Moreover, demand for some of the Company s products declines during periods of inclement weather. As a result of the foregoing, the Company anticipates that it may experience material fluctuations in quarterly operating results. Historically, the Company s operating results can be significantly lower in the fourth and first calendar quarters as compared to the second and third calendar quarters. The Company expects this seasonality trend to continue in future periods.

Sale of Koppers Arch

On July 5, 2007, the Company sold its 51 percent interest in Koppers Arch Investments Pty Limited and its subsidiaries (Koppers Arch) to Arch Chemicals for net cash proceeds of \$14.9 million. Effective as of this date, Koppers Arch will be classified as a discontinued operation in the Company s statement of operations and earnings per share. The Company expects to recognize a gain from the sale, net of tax, of approximately \$6.0 million in the third quarter of 2007. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, Koppers Arch contributed \$14.5 million and \$27.1 million, respectively, of revenues and \$0.0 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, of net income to the consolidated results of the Company.

Results of Operations Comparison of Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2006

Consolidated Results

Net sales for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 are summarized by segment in the following table:

	Three M	Months	
	End	led	
	June	30,	
	2007	2006	Net Change
	(Dollars in	n millions)	
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	\$ 236.3	\$ 183.8	+29%
Railroad & Utility Products	123.7	114.1	+8%
	\$ 360.0	\$ 297.9	+21%

CM&C net sales increased by \$52.5 million or 29 percent due to the following changes in volume, pricing and foreign exchange:

	Price	Volume	Foreign Exchange	Net Change
Carbon Materials (a)	+7%	+8%	+2%	+17%
Distillates (b)	+1%	+2%	+1%	+4%
Coal Tar Chemicals (c)	+3%	+2%	%	+5%
Other (d)	+2%	-1%	+2%	+3%
Total CM&C	+13%	+11%	+5%	+29%

⁽a) Includes carbon pitch and refined tar.

Carbon materials prices increased in the U.S., Europe and Australasia as customer prices have increased in response to substantially higher raw material costs. The increase in carbon materials sales volume is due primarily to the acquisition of certain imported carbon pitch supply contracts from Reilly Industries Inc. (Reilly acquisition) in April 2006. These acquired contracts resulted in a total sales volume increase in the guarter ended June 30, 2007 of eight percent.

Overall, distillate pricing improved due to higher prices for creosote in the U.S. partially offset by decreases in carbon black feedstock prices in Europe and Australia. The increase in distillate sales volume is due primarily to higher volumes from Australasian and European carbon black feedstock sales.

⁽b) Includes creosote and carbon black feedstock.

⁽c) Includes naphthalene and phthalic anhydride.

⁽d) Includes carbon black, furnace coke, wood treatment chemicals, benzole, freight and other products.

For coal tar chemicals, increases in U.S. phthalic anhydride prices resulted in a sales increase of two percent and increases in worldwide naphthalene prices resulted in a sales increase of one percent. Phthalic anhydride and naphthalene both experienced volume increases of one percent each. With respect to other products, furnace coke in the U.S. and benzole in Europe realized higher pricing of one percent each as compared to the prior year quarter.

R&UP net sales increased by \$9.6 million or eight percent due to the following changes in volume and pricing:

			Net
	Price	Volume	Change
Railroad Crossties (a)	+2%	%	+2%
TSO Crossties (b)	+2%	+1%	+3%
Distribution Poles	+2%	-1%	+1%
Other (c)	+5%	-3%	+2%
Total R&UP	+11%	-3%	+8%

⁽a) Includes treated and untreated railroad crossties.

Sales price increases of treated railroad crossties totaled two percent in the quarter ended June 30, 2007 while volume increases of treated railroad crossties of two percent were offset by volume decreases in untreated railroad crossties. Higher raw material costs contributed to the pricing increases realized in treated railroad crossties, TSO crossties and distribution poles.

The price increase in other products is due primarily to higher creosote prices totaling five percent as a result of higher raw material costs. Partially offsetting this is a volume decrease totaling two percent as a result of the disposal of the Company s specialty trackwork business in 2006.

Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales was 79 percent for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 84 percent for the quarter ended June 30, 2006. Overall, cost of sales increased by \$34.6 million when compared to the prior year period due primarily to higher raw material costs and foreign exchange.

Depreciation and amortization for the quarters ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 was \$8.2 million in both periods.

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 were \$3.2 million higher when compared to the prior year period primarily due to increased costs related to management incentive, stock-based compensation programs, board of director compensation and investment in sales and administrative functions in China.

Interest expense for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 was \$0.4 million higher when compared to the prior year period primarily due to higher average debt levels over the quarterly period as a result of additional term loan borrowings for the Reilly acquisition at the end of April 2006.

Income taxes for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 were \$6.0 million higher when compared to the prior year period primarily due to the increase in pretax income of \$23.3 million which was partially offset by a decrease in the effective income tax rate. The Company s effective income tax rate for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 was 31.9 percent as compared to the prior year period of 46.2 percent. The decrease in the effective tax rate is due to the recognition of non-conventional fuel tax credits in 2007 as well as the favorable impact from the mix of estimated earnings between the U.S. and Australia.

⁽b) Includes sales from treatment services only (TSO).

⁽c) Includes transmission poles, pilings, creosote, freight and other treated and untreated lumber products.

Segment Results

Segment operating profit for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 are summarized by segment in the following table:

	Three M	onths	
	Ende	ed	
	June	30,	
	2007 (Dollars in	2006 millions)	% Change
Operating profit:	,	′	
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	\$ 33.9	\$ 16.1	+111%
Railroad & Utility Products	13.0	5.8	+124%
Corporate	(0.9)	(0.2)	-350%
	\$ 46.0	\$21.7	+112%
Operating profit as a percentage of net sales:		·	
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	14.3%	8.8%	+5.5%
Railroad & Utility Products	10.5%	5.1%	+5.4%
	12.8%	7.3%	+5.5%

Carbon Materials & Chemicals net sales and operating profit by geographic region for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 is summarized in the following table:

	Three n	nonths	
	end June 2007 (Dollars in	2006	% Change
Net sales:			
North America	\$ 114.5	\$ 90.8	+26%
Australasia including China	65.2	46.3	+41%
Europe	56.6	46.7	+21%
	\$ 236.3	\$ 183.8	+29%
Operating profit:			
North America	\$ 21.9	\$ 8.5	+158%
Australasia including China	8.8	5.2	+69%
Europe	3.2	2.4	+33%
	\$ 33.9	\$ 16.1	+111%

North American CM&C sales increased \$23.7 million due primarily to higher volumes of imported carbon pitch from the Reilly acquisition totaling \$14.0 million and higher prices for carbon pitch, refined tar, creosote, phthalic anhydride and furnace coke totaling \$13.5 million. This was partially offset by lower sales volumes of commercial roofing products totaling \$2.0 million. Operating profit as a percentage of net sales increased to 19 percent from 9 percent between periods reflecting an increase in pricing for carbon materials, furnace coke and phthalic anhydride due primarily to higher raw material costs, realized operating efficiencies related to the Reilly acquisition and the favorable impact of lower usage and pricing for petroleum materials.

Australasian CM&C sales increased \$18.9 million due primarily to higher prices for carbon pitch, naphthalene and carbon black totaling \$3.7 million and higher volumes for carbon pitch and carbon black feedstock totaling \$9.1 million. Operating profit as a percentage of net sales increased to 13 percent from 11 percent between periods due to higher carbon pitch prices.

European CM&C sales increased \$9.9 million due primarily to higher prices for naphthalene and carbon pitch totaling \$5.4 million. In addition, exchange rate movements provided additional sales of \$3.9 million. Operating profit as a percentage of net sales increased to 6 percent from 5 percent and is consistent between periods.

Railroad & Utility Products operating profit for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 increased \$7.2 million as compared to the prior period. Operating profit as a percentage of net sales increased to 11 percent from 5 percent between periods due to higher prices for treated railroad crossties, crosstie treatment services, distribution poles and crosste in addition to the mix impact of higher volumes of treated railroad crossties and crosstie treatment services. Operating profit was also negatively impacted for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 by the loss on the sale of the Company s specialty trackwork business and other plant closure costs totaling \$1.9 million.

Results of Operations Comparison of Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2006

Consolidated Results

Net sales for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 are summarized by segment in the following table:

	Six Mo	onths	
	End	led	
	June	30,	
	2007 (Dollars in	2006 n millions)	Net Change
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	\$ 436.0	\$ 339.0	+29%
Railroad & Utility Products	245.1	223.5	+10%
	\$ 681.1	\$ 562.5	+21%

CM&C net sales increased by \$97.0 million or 29 percent due to the following changes in volume, pricing and foreign exchange:

	Price	Volume	Foreign Exchange	Net Change
Carbon Materials (a)	+6%	+9%	+2%	+17%
Distillates (b)	%	+3%	+1%	+4%
Coal Tar Chemicals (c)	+3%	+1%	%	+4%
Other (d)	+2%	%	+2%	+4%
Total CM&C	+11%	+13%	+5%	+29%

⁽a) Includes carbon pitch and refined tar.

Carbon materials prices increased in the U.S., Europe and Australasia as customer prices have been renegotiated in response to substantially higher raw material costs. The increase in carbon materials sales volume is due primarily to the acquisition of certain imported carbon pitch supply contracts from Reilly Industries Inc. (Reilly acquisition) in April 2006. These acquired contracts resulted in a total sales volume increase for the six months ended June 30, 2007 of eight percent.

Overall, distillate pricing was flat for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as price decreases in carbon black feedstock prices in Europe and Australia were partially offset by increases in creosote prices in the U.S. The increase in distillate sales volume is due primarily to higher Australasian and European carbon black feedstock sales totaling two percent.

⁽b) Includes creosote and carbon black feedstock.

⁽c) Includes naphthalene and phthalic anhydride.

⁽d) Includes carbon black, furnace coke, wood treatment chemicals, benzole, freight and other products.

For coal tar chemicals, increases in worldwide naphthalene prices resulted in a sales increase of two percent. Volume increases from naphthalene of two percent were offset by decreases in volume from phthalic anhydride sales. With respect to other products, furnace coke realized higher pricing totaling one percent as compared to the prior year period.

R&UP net sales increased by \$21.6 million or 10 percent due to the following changes in volume and pricing:

			Net
	Price	Volume	Change
Railroad Crossties (a)	+3%	+1%	+4%
TSO Crossties (b)	+2%	+1%	+3%
Distribution Poles	+2%	-2%	%
Other (c)	+5%	-2%	+3%
Total R&UP	+12%	-2%	+10%

⁽a) Includes treated and untreated railroad crossties.

Sales price increases of treated railroad crossties totaled three percent for the six months ended June 30, 2007. Volume increases in treated railroad crossties of four percent were partially offset by volume decreases in untreated railroad crossties of three percent. The price increase in other products is primarily due to higher creosote prices totaling four percent. Higher raw material costs contributed to the pricing increases realized in treated railroad crossties and creosote.

Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales was 81 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 84 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Overall, cost of sales increased by \$80.6 million when compared to the prior year period due primarily to higher raw material volumes from the Reilly acquisition, higher raw material costs and foreign exchange.

Depreciation and amortization for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$0.5 million higher when compared to the prior year period due to the amortization of tangible and intangible assets acquired in the Reilly acquisition.

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2007 were \$1.1 million higher when compared to the prior year period primarily due to increased costs related to management incentive, stock-based compensation programs, board of director compensation and investment in sales and administrative functions in China. Partially offsetting this increase was a one-time expense totaling \$3.0 million for the termination of the Saratoga Partners III, L.P. (Saratoga) advisory services contract recognized in the six months ended June 30, 2006.

Interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$14.8 million lower when compared to the prior year period primarily due to costs incurred in the six months ended June 30, 2006 related to the Company s initial public offering. The proceeds from the offering were used to redeem \$101.7 million of the 9 7/8% Senior Secured Notes due 2013 (the Senior Secured Notes) which resulted in expenses totaling \$14.4 million for call premiums, bond consent fees and the write-off of deferred financing costs.

Income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2007 were \$15.9 million higher when compared to the prior year period due primarily to the increase in pretax income of \$50.5 million. The Company s effective income tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was 31.4 percent and differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35.0 percent due to the recognition of non-conventional fuel tax credits

⁽b) Includes sales from treatment services only (TSO).

⁽c) Includes transmission poles, pilings, creosote, freight and other treated and untreated lumber products.

(-6.0 percent) offset by taxes on foreign earnings (+2.3 percent). Pre-tax income was breakeven for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and accordingly, income tax expense was nil.

Segment Results

Segment operating profit for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 is summarized by segment in the following table:

Six Months Ended

	SIX Month	s Ended	
	June	30,	
	2007 (Dollars in	2006 millions)	% Change
Operating profit:			
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	\$ 49.6	\$ 26.8	+85%
Railroad & Utility Products	25.7	11.0	+134%
Corporate	(1.2)	(0.1)	-1,100%
	\$ 74.1	\$ 37.7	+97%
Operating profit as a percentage of net sales:			
Carbon Materials & Chemicals	11.4%	7.9%	+3.5%
Railroad & Utility Products	10.5%	4.9%	+5.6%
	10.9%	6.7%	+4.2%

Carbon Materials & Chemicals net sales and operating profit by geographic region for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 is summarized in the following table:

		ths ended e 30,	
	2007	2006	% Change
	(Dollars i	in millions)	
Net sales:			
North America	\$ 210.7	\$ 163.4	+29%
Australasia including China	117.9	88.6	+33%
Europe	107.4	87.0	+23%
	\$ 436.0	\$ 339.0	+29%
Operating profit:			
North America	\$ 29.6	\$ 12.0	+147%
Australasia including China	14.4	9.4	+53%
Europe	5.6	5.4	+4%
	\$ 49.6	\$ 26.8	+85%

North American CM&C sales increased \$47.3 million due primarily to higher volumes of carbon pitch sales due to imported carbon pitch contracts assumed in the Reilly acquisition and higher creosote sales volumes totaling \$29.1 million. In addition improved prices for carbon pitch, refined tar, phthalic anhydride and furnace coke contributed increased sales of \$18.2 million. Operating profit as a percentage of net sales increased to 14 percent from 7 percent between periods reflecting an increase in pricing for carbon materials, furnace coke, naphthalene and phthalic anhydride due primarily to higher raw material costs, realized operating efficiencies related to the Reilly acquisition and the favorable impact of lower usage and pricing for petroleum materials.

Australasian CM&C sales increased \$29.3 million due primarily to higher prices for carbon pitch and carbon black totaling \$6.8 million and higher volumes for carbon pitch and carbon black feedstock totaling \$11.7 million. In addition, changes in foreign exchange rates contributed \$8.7 million of increased sales. Operating profit as a percentage of net sales increased to 12 percent from 11 percent and is consistent between periods.

European CM&C sales increased \$20.4 million due primarily to higher prices for naphthalene, carbon pitch and benzole totaling \$11.8 million. In addition, changes in foreign exchange rates contributed \$8.4 million of increased sales. Operating profit as a percentage of net sales decreased to 5 percent from 6 percent as a result of higher costs of raw materials.

Railroad & Utility Products operating profit for the six months ended June 30, 2007 increased by \$14.7 million as compared to the prior period. Operating profit as a percentage of net sales increased to 11 percent from 5 percent between periods due to higher prices for treated railroad crossties, crosstie treatment services, creosote and distribution poles in addition to the mix impact of higher volumes of treated railroad crossties. Operating profit was also negatively impacted for the six months ended June 30, 2006 by R&UP s share of the Saratoga advisory services contract buyout expense of \$1.5 million in addition to the loss on the sale of the Company s specialty trackwork business and other plant closure costs totaling \$1.9 million.

Cash Flow

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$28.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to net cash used by operating activities of \$4.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The cash flow for the prior period included payments associated with the initial public offering totaling \$14.2 million for call premiums on the Senior Secured Notes, bond consent fees and the buyout of the Saratoga advisory services contract in addition to the payment of a legal settlement totaling \$2.6 million. Excluding these amounts, net cash flow from operating activities increased by \$16.4 million between periods due to improved net income partially offset by increased working capital requirements.

Net cash used by investing activities was \$13.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to \$47.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 which included \$40.0 million for the Reilly acquisition. Acquisition expenditures in 2007 of \$3.3 million relate to deferred purchase price payments for Lambson Speciality Chemicals Limited, which was acquired in 2005. Capital expenditures in 2007 are expected to total approximately \$32.5 million, including expenditures for the Company s 30 percent interest in the new coal tar distillation joint venture in China but excluding acquisitions.

Net cash used by financing activities was \$13.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$49.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The cash flow for the prior period included the net proceeds from the Company s initial public offering of \$112.4 million partially offset by the redemption of the Senior Secured Notes of \$101.7 million. Additionally, term loan borrowings of \$50.0 million under Koppers Inc. s senior secured credit facility were used to provide for the Reilly acquisition and payment of other amounts related to the initial public offering. Net repayments of debt totaled \$6.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2007 as a result of higher cash provided by operating activities.

Dividends paid were \$7.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to dividends paid of \$10.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Dividends paid in the six months ended June 30, 2007 reflect a quarterly dividend rate of 17 cents per common share. Dividends paid in the prior period include a special dividend declared prior to the Company s initial public offering of 69 cents per common share.

On August 8, 2007, the Company s board of directors declared a quarterly dividend of 17 cents per common share, payable on October 1, 2007 to shareholders of record as of August 20, 2007.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Restrictions on Dividends to Koppers Holdings

Koppers Holdings depends on the dividends from the earnings of Koppers Inc. and its subsidiaries to generate the funds necessary to meet its financial obligations, including payments of principal, interest and other amounts on the 97/8% Senior Discount Notes due 2014 (the Senior Discount Notes). The terms of Koppers Inc. s senior secured credit facility as well as the terms of the indenture governing the Senior Secured Notes significantly restrict Koppers Inc. from paying dividends and otherwise transferring assets to Koppers Holdings. The amount of permitted dividends under both debt facilities is governed by a formula based on 50 percent of consolidated net income, among other things. Cash equity contributions from the sale of Koppers Holdings common stock increase the amount available for dividends. At the time of the payment of the dividend, no event of default shall have occurred or be continuing under the indenture or the senior secured credit facility.

Under the indenture relating to the Senior Secured Notes, Koppers Inc. must have an EBITDA (as defined in the indenture) to consolidated interest expense ratio of at least 2.0 to 1.0. Additionally the senior secured credit facility requires compliance with all financial covenants and availability of at least \$15.0 million under the revolving credit facility after giving effect to any proposed dividend. Significant reductions in net income or increases to indebtedness affecting compliance with financial covenants or availability under the senior secured credit facility would restrict Koppers Inc. s ability to pay dividends. As of June 30, 2007, dividends available to be declared based on covenant restrictions under the Senior Discount Notes amounted to \$133.1 million. As of June 30, 2007, dividends available to be declared based on covenant restrictions under the Senior Secured Notes amounted to \$126.4 million.

Liquidity

The Koppers Inc. senior secured credit facility agreement, as amended, provides for a revolving credit facility of up to \$125.0 million and term loans of \$46.0 million at variable rates. The senior secured credit facility expires in December 2009. Amounts outstanding under the senior secured credit agreement are secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of Koppers Inc. s assets, including the assets of certain significant subsidiaries. Revolving credit availability is calculated based on receivables and inventory as well as the attainment of certain financial ratios. The revolving credit facility contains certain covenants that limit capital expenditures by Koppers Inc. and restrict its ability to incur additional indebtedness, create liens on its assets, enter into leases, pay dividends and make investments or acquisitions. In addition, such covenants give rise to events of default upon the failure by Koppers Inc. to meet certain financial ratios.

As of June 30, 2007, the Company had \$62.9 million of unused revolving credit availability for working capital purposes after restrictions by various debt covenants and certain letter of credit commitments. As of June 30, 2007, \$16.1 million of commitments were utilized by outstanding letters of credit. In addition, as of June 30, 2007, the Company had outstanding term loans of \$46.0 million under the credit facility. With respect to the sale of Koppers Arch on July 5, 2007, the Company expects to achieve debt reduction of approximately \$20.0 million from cash proceeds, net of tax, and removal of Koppers Arch-related debt.

The following table summarizes Koppers estimated liquidity as of June 30, 2007 (dollars in millions):

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 26.2
Amount available under senior secured credit facility	62.9
Amount available under other credit facilities	10.6
Total estimated liquidity	\$ 99 7

The Company s estimated liquidity was \$85.6 million at December 31, 2006. The increase in estimated liquidity from that date is primarily due to an increase in availability under other credit facilities.

As of June 30, 2007, the Company has \$200.0 million aggregate amount of common stock, debt securities, preferred stock, depositary shares and warrants (or a combination of these securities) available to be issued under its \$200.0 million universal shelf registration statement filed in 2006.

The Company s needs for cash in the next twelve months relate primarily to contractual obligations which include debt service, purchase commitments and operating leases, as well as for working capital, capital maintenance, mandatory pension plan funding and potential acquisitions. The Company believes that its cash flow from operations and available borrowings under the senior secured credit facility will be sufficient to fund its anticipated liquidity requirements for at least the next twelve months. In the event that the foregoing sources are not sufficient to fund its expenditures and service our indebtedness, the Company would be required to raise additional funds.

Debt Covenants

The covenants that affect availability of the revolving credit facility and which may restrict the ability of Koppers Inc. to pay dividends include the following financial ratios:

The fixed charge coverage ratio, calculated as of the end of each fiscal quarter for the four fiscal quarters then ended, shall not be less than 1.05 to 1.0. The fixed charge coverage ratio at June 30, 2007 was 2.67 to 1.0.

The total leverage ratio, calculated as of the end of each fiscal quarter for the four fiscal quarters then ended, shall not exceed the ratios set forth below for the periods as specified in the table below. The total leverage ratio at June 30, 2007 was 2.07 to 1.0:

Fiscal Quarters Ended	Ratio
August 15, 2005 through June 30, 2008	5.0 to 1.0
June 30, 2008 and thereafter	4.5 to 1.0

The Company is currently in compliance with all covenants in the credit agreement governing the senior secured revolving credit facility.

At June 30, 2007, Koppers Inc. had \$218.3 million outstanding of Senior Secured Notes (excluding adjustment for related interest rate swap) and Koppers Holdings had \$161.5 million outstanding of Senior Discount Notes. The Senior Secured Notes and Senior Discount Notes include customary covenants that restrict, among other things, the ability to incur additional debt, pay dividends or make certain other restricted payments, incur liens, merge or sell all or substantially all of the assets or enter into various transactions with affiliates. The Company is currently in compliance with all covenants in the indentures governing the Senior Secured Notes and the Senior Discount Notes.

Legal Matters

The information set forth in Note 18 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Koppers Holdings Inc. included in Item 1 of Part I is incorporated herein by reference.

Recently Issued Accounting Guidance

The information set forth in Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Koppers Holdings Inc. included in Item 1 of Part I is hereby incorporated by reference.

Critical Accounting Policies

There have been no material changes to the Company s critical accounting policies as disclosed in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Environmental and Other Matters

The information set forth in Note 18 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Koppers Holdings Inc. included in Item 1 of Part I is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There are no material changes to the disclosure on this matter made in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of June 30, 2007 an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2007 to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports filed or submitted by it under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms, and to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in such reports is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. There was no change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2007 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The information set forth in Note 18 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Koppers Holdings Inc. included in Item 1 of Part I of this report is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes to the Risk Factors previously disclosed in Item 1A of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

The Company s 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders was held on May 2, 2007. Two matters were considered and voted upon at the Annual Meeting: the election of seven persons to serve on our board of directors and the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2007.

Election of Directors: Nominations of (1) Robert Cizik and Walter W. Turner to serve as directors for a one-year term expiring in 2008; (2) Christian L. Oberbeck, Clayton A. Sweeney and T. Michael Young to serve as directors for a two-year term expiring in 2009, and (3) David M. Hillenbrand and James C. Stalder to serve as directors for a three-year term expiring in 2010 were considered and each nominee was elected.

Each of the seven nominees for election of directors received a plurality of votes cast. The voting was as follows:

Director Name	Votes for	Votes withheld
Robert Cizik	15,237,861	4,383,241
Walter W. Turner	15,021,985	4,599,117
Christian L. Oberbeck	15,202,209	4,418,893
Clayton A. Sweeney	13,852,287	5,768,815
T. Michael Young	19,235,990	385,112
David M. Hillenbrand	18,717,459	903,643
James C. Stalder	19,236,785	384,317

Ratification of Appointment of Ernst & Young LLC: The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors appointed Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year 2007. The voting at the Annual Meeting to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP was as follows:

For: 19,568,156 **Against:** 33,961 **Abstain:** 18,984

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

On August 8, 2007, the Company s Board of Directors adopted resolutions providing for the amendment and restatement of the Company s bylaws. The Amendment and Restatement dated August 8, 2007, amended and restated the bylaws to correct minor typographical errors within Sections 3.04, 3.05, 3.06, 3.10(a), 4.05 and 5.10 of the prior bylaws. A copy of the bylaws, reflecting the amended and restated version adopted by the Board on August 8, 2007, is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.2 and is incorporated herein by reference.

On August 8, 2007, the Company s Board of Directors adopted the Koppers Holdings Inc. Benefit Restoration Plan, which we refer to as the new plan. The Company s principal executive officer,

principal financial officer and the Company s named executive officers are entitled to participate in the new plan. The purpose of the new plan is to restore employer non-elective contributions lost to certain participants under the Employee Savings Plan for Koppers Inc. and its subsidiaries as a result of limitations on compensation imposed by Internal Revenue Code 401(a)(17). The new plan may be terminated at any time by the Company s Board of Directors. A copy of the new plan is attached hereto as Exhibit 10.1 and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Koppers Holdings Inc. dated August 8, 2007.
- 10.1 Koppers Holdings Inc. Benefit Restoration Plan.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Koppers Holdings Inc.

(Registrant)

Date: August 9, 2007

By: /s/ BRIAN H. McCurrie,

Brian H. McCurrie,

Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer,

Principal Accounting Officer)

39