

ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORP
Form DEFM14A
September 08, 2009
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Preliminary Proxy Statement | <input type="checkbox"/> Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Definitive Proxy Statement | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Definitive Additional Materials | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12 | |

Abraxas Petroleum Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
36,952,836

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
Transaction Value of \$35,474,723 calculated by multiplying \$0.96, the average of the high and low sales price of the registrant's common stock on July 9, 2009, as reported on the NASDAQ Stock Market, by 36,952,836, the maximum number of shares issuable in the transaction described in this proxy statement. In accordance with Section 14(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the filing fee was determined by multiplying 0.0000558 by the amount determined in the preceding sentence.

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction: \$35,474,723

(5) Total fee paid: \$1,980

x Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORPORATION

18803 Meisner Drive

San Antonio, Texas 78258

(210) 490-4788

September 8, 2009

Dear Stockholders:

You are cordially invited to attend a Special Meeting of Stockholders of Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, which we refer to as the Special Meeting, to be held on October 5, 2009, at 9:00 a.m., local time, at Abraxas Petroleum's corporate office located at 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258. We have signed an agreement to merge our business with Abraxas Energy Partners, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, which we refer to as the Merger, the purpose of which is to merge our business with Abraxas Energy's business. We believe that a combination of the two entities will improve the combined company's ability to accelerate our capital expenditure program which should result in significant growth in our core properties and improved access to capital markets while simplifying our organizational structure and reducing costs. The principal purpose of the Special Meeting is to take the actions necessary to complete the Merger, including approving the issuance of shares of our common stock in the Merger, and approving an amendment to our 2005 Employee Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, or LTIP, to increase the authorized number of shares of our common stock issuable under the plan in order to accommodate the restricted units, phantom units and unit options of Abraxas Energy being converted in the Merger.

If the Merger is completed, holders of Abraxas Energy's common units, other than common units held by Abraxas Petroleum and its subsidiaries, will have the right to receive shares of our common stock. The number of shares of our common stock that holders of Abraxas Energy's common units will receive will be based on an exchange ratio determined prior to the date of the Special Meeting. This exchange ratio will be determined by dividing \$6.00 by the volume weighted average closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ during the 20 trading days ending three business days prior to the date of the Special Meeting, which we refer to as the VWAP. However, if the VWAP is \$1.412 or more, then the exchange ratio will be fixed at 4.25 shares of our common stock for each Abraxas Energy common unit, and if the VWAP is \$1.00 or less, then the exchange ratio will be fixed at 6.00 shares of our common stock for each Abraxas Energy common unit. The mid-point of the exchange ratio is 5.125, or \$1.17 per share.

In the Merger, we will issue a maximum of 36,952,836 shares of our common stock. This would represent approximately 42.6% of our outstanding shares of common stock upon the closing of the Merger. We anticipate that upon completion of the Merger, depending upon the exchange ratio, Abraxas Energy's former unitholders, other than Abraxas Petroleum and its subsidiaries, will own between 34.4% and 42.6% of the shares of our common stock then outstanding. At the mid-point of the exchange ratio, or \$1.17 per share, we would issue 31,563,881 shares of our common stock, or approximately 38.8% of the shares of our common stock then outstanding. Our stockholders will continue to own their existing Abraxas Petroleum shares, which will not be affected by the Merger.

The Abraxas Petroleum board of directors recommends that its stockholders vote FOR the proposals before them. The proxy statement describes the proposed Merger and the actions to be taken at the Special Meeting. We encourage you to read the entire proxy statement carefully, including the section entitled "Risk Factors" beginning on page 19.

Whether or not you expect to attend the Special Meeting, it is important that you vote your shares. We are offering multiple options for voting your shares. All holders may vote their shares by mail or written ballot at the Special Meeting. If you are a beneficial holder, you may also vote your shares by telephone or the Internet using the instructions on each proxy card. In order to vote your shares by mail, please mark, sign, and date the enclosed proxy card and return it promptly in the enclosed envelope. **Your vote is very important.**

Robert L.G. Watson

Chairman of the Board, President,

and Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORPORATION

18803 Meisner Drive

San Antonio, Texas 78258

(210) 490-4788

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO BE HELD OCTOBER 5, 2009

To the Stockholders of Abraxas Petroleum Corporation:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a Special Meeting of Stockholders of Abraxas Petroleum Corporation will be held at Abraxas Petroleum's corporate office located at 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258, on October 5, 2009, at 9:00 a.m., local time, for the following purposes:

- (1) To approve the issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in connection with the transactions contemplated by the Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of July 17, 2009 by and among Abraxas Petroleum Corporation (Abraxas Petroleum), Abraxas Energy Partners, L.P. (Abraxas Energy) and Abraxas Merger Sub, LLC (Merger Sub), as such agreement may be amended from time to time;
- (2) If Proposal 1 is approved, to approve an amendment to the Abraxas Petroleum Corporation 2005 Employee Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan (the LTIP) to increase the number of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock reserved for issuance under the LTIP; and
- (3) To approve the adjournment of the Special Meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies in the event that there are not sufficient votes at the time of the Special Meeting to approve the foregoing proposals.

Our Board recommends that you vote FOR all of the proposals.

Any action may be taken on the foregoing proposals at the Special Meeting on the date specified above or on any date or dates to which the Special Meeting may be postponed or adjourned.

We cordially invite you to attend the Special Meeting in person. Whether or not you expect to attend the Special Meeting, we urge you to mark, sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card as soon as possible in the enclosed envelope. If you are a beneficial holder, you may also vote your shares by telephone or the Internet using the instructions on each proxy card. You may revoke your proxy at any time prior to the Special Meeting, and, if you attend the Special Meeting, you may vote your shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in person.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on August 28, 2009 as the record date for the determination of the stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Special Meeting and any adjournment thereof.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Stephen T. Wendel

SECRETARY

San Antonio, Texas

September 8, 2009

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ABRAXAS PETROLEUM SPECIAL MEETING</u>	1
<u>SUMMARY</u>	4
<u>SELECTED HISTORICAL AND PRO FORMA COMBINED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	13
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	19
<u>Risks Related to the Merger</u>	19
<u>Risks Related to Our Business</u>	21
<u>Risks Related to Our Industry</u>	26
<u>THE SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS</u>	32
<u>PROPOSAL 1 APPROVAL OF STOCK ISSUANCE</u>	34
<u>PROPOSAL 2 AMENDMENT OF LTIP</u>	72
<u>PROPOSAL 3 ADJOURNMENT OF SPECIAL MEETING</u>	77
<u>INFORMATION ABOUT ABRAXAS PETROLEUM</u>	78
<u>INFORMATION ABOUT ABRAXAS ENERGY</u>	123
<u>MANAGEMENT</u>	152
<u>DIRECTOR AND EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION</u>	156
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS</u>	175
<u>DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK</u>	179
<u>UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	183
<u>MARKET PRICE AND DIVIDEND INFORMATION; RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS</u>	193
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u>	195
<u>WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION</u>	202
<u>STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2010 ABRAXAS PETROLEUM ANNUAL MEETING</u>	202
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	205
<u>INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	F-1
<u>ANNEX A AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER</u>	A-1
<u>ANNEX B VOTING, REGISTRATION RIGHTS & LOCK-UP AGREEMENT</u>	B-1
<u>ANNEX C AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO VOTING, REGISTRATION RIGHTS & LOCK-UP AGREEMENT</u>	C-1
<u>ANNEX D OPINION OF STEPHENS INC. TO THE ABRAXAS PETROLEUM SPECIAL COMMITTEE</u>	D-1
<u>ANNEX E ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORPORATION 2005 LONG-TERM EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN</u>	E-1
<u>ANNEX F COMPANIES INCLUDED IN THE MERCER SURVEY</u>	F-1

Table of Contents

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ABRAXAS PETROLEUM SPECIAL MEETING

Q: When and where is the Abraxas Petroleum Special Meeting?

A: The Special Meeting will take place on October 5, 2009 at Abraxas Petroleum's corporate office located at 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258 at 9:00 a.m., local time. This proxy statement and the accompanying proxy card are first being mailed to Abraxas Petroleum stockholders on or about September 8, 2009.

Q: What is Abraxas Petroleum proposing?

A: Abraxas Petroleum is proposing to issue shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in connection with the merger, which we sometimes refer to as the Merger, of Abraxas Energy into Abraxas Merger Sub, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum, which we sometimes refer to as Merger Sub. If the Merger is completed, Merger Sub will survive as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum and the common units of Abraxas Energy not owned by Abraxas Petroleum and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Abraxas Energy Investments, LLC, or Investments, will be converted into the right to receive between 4.25 and 6.00 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, which we sometimes refer to as the Merger Consideration, for each Abraxas Energy common unit not owned by Abraxas Petroleum or Investments. The final number of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in the Merger will be determined by dividing \$6.00 by the average volume weighted average closing price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock on the NASDAQ during the 20 trading days ending three business days prior to the date of the Special Meeting, or the VWAP. For example, if the VWAP is \$1.00 or less, holders of Abraxas Energy common units would receive 6.00 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock for each of their common units and if the VWAP is \$1.412 or more, holders of Abraxas Energy common units would receive 4.25 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock for each of their common units. If the VWAP is at the mid-point of the exchange ratio, or \$1.17 per share, holders of Abraxas Energy common units would receive 5.125 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock for each of their common units. Abraxas Petroleum and Investments currently own approximately 46.7% of Abraxas Energy's common units. In addition, certain directors and executive officers of Abraxas Petroleum beneficially own approximately 1.3% of Abraxas Energy's common units. The common units of Abraxas Energy owned by Investments will be cancelled at the effective time of the Merger. In addition, the general partner units held by Abraxas General Partner, LLC, the general partner of Abraxas Energy, which we refer to as the GP, will be cancelled at the effective time of the Merger. Abraxas Petroleum is also proposing an amendment to the Abraxas Petroleum Corporation 2005 Employee Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, or LTIP, in order to increase the number of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock reserved thereunder. The increase is necessary in order to accommodate the restricted units, phantom units and unit options of Abraxas Energy to be converted in the Merger.

Q: Why is Abraxas Petroleum proposing the merger?

A: Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy both believe that a combination of the two entities will improve the combined company's ability to accelerate its capital expenditure program which should result in significant growth in its core properties and improved access to capital markets while simplifying the organizational structure and reducing costs.

Q: Why am I receiving this proxy statement?

A: In order to complete the Merger, Abraxas Petroleum stockholders must vote to approve (i) the issuance of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger and (ii) an amendment to our LTIP to increase the number of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock reserved thereunder.

We are sending this proxy statement and the enclosed proxy card to Abraxas Petroleum stockholders to solicit their vote on these proposals at the Special Meeting. This proxy statement contains important information about the Merger and the proposals to be voted upon.

Q: Has the Abraxas Petroleum Board of Directors made a recommendation on how I should vote?

A: Yes. Our Board of Directors appointed a committee of independent directors, who have no interest in Abraxas Energy, to review the terms of the Merger. We refer to this committee in this proxy statement as

Table of Contents

the Special Committee. **Based on the Special Committee's recommendation, our Board of Directors has recommended that you vote FOR the issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger and the amendment to the LTIP.** The reasons for our Board's recommendations are discussed in detail in Proposal 1 Approval of Stock Issuance Abraxas Petroleum's Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee and the Abraxas Petroleum Board and Proposal 2 Amendment of LTIP Reasons for the Amendment of the LTIP.

Q: Have any holders of Abraxas Energy Common Units agreed to vote for the Merger?

A: Yes. In addition to Investments, which owns 46.7% of Abraxas Energy's common units, the holders of 50.9% of Abraxas Energy's outstanding common units have voted their common units in favor of the Merger, for a total of 97.6%. It is anticipated that certain officers and directors of Abraxas Petroleum who beneficially own 1.3% of Abraxas Energy's common units will also vote in favor of the Merger.

Q: Are there risks I should consider in deciding whether to vote to approve the issuance of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger?

A: Yes. In evaluating the Merger and the issuance of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger, you should carefully consider the information discussed in this proxy statement, including the section entitled Risk Factors.

Q: What percentage of Abraxas Petroleum common stock will Abraxas Energy common unitholders own after the Merger?

A: Abraxas Energy's former unitholders, other than Abraxas Petroleum and its subsidiaries, will own between 34.4% and 42.6% of the shares of our common stock then outstanding. At the mid-point of the exchange ratio, or \$1.17 per share, former Abraxas Energy common unitholders will own approximately 38.8% of the shares of our common stock then outstanding.

Q: Do I have appraisal rights?

A: No. Nevada law does not provide dissenters' rights or rights of appraisal for Abraxas Petroleum stockholders in connection with the Merger.

Q: What will happen to my shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock?

A: You will continue to own the same number of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock that you owned immediately before the Merger but the percentage of Abraxas Petroleum represented by your shares will be reduced.

Q: Should I send in my share certificates of Abraxas Petroleum common stock?

A: No. Your share certificates of Abraxas Petroleum common stock will not be exchanged in the Merger.

Q: What vote is required from Abraxas Petroleum stockholders to complete the issuance of shares in the Merger and the amendment to the LTIP?

A: The issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger and the amendment to the LTIP require the approval of the holders of a majority of the shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock present and voting at the Special Meeting, assuming a quorum.

Q: When do you expect the Merger to be completed?

A: Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy are working to complete the Merger in the third quarter of 2009. However, the Merger is subject to various conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement and it is possible that factors outside the control of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy could result in the Merger being completed at a later time, or not at all.

Table of Contents

Q: As an Abraxas Petroleum stockholder, what do I need to do now?

A: If you are an Abraxas Petroleum stockholder, you should read this proxy statement and indicate on your proxy card how you want to vote with respect to each proposal, and sign and mail your proxy card in the enclosed return envelope as soon as possible, so that your shares may be represented at the Special Meeting. If you sign and send in your proxy and do not indicate how you want to vote, your proxy will be counted as a vote in favor of the issuance of Abraxas Petroleum common stock and the other proposals to be considered at the Special Meeting, as the case may be. If you are a beneficial holder, you may also vote your shares by telephone or the Internet using the instructions on each proxy card. If you are an Abraxas Petroleum stockholder, you may also choose to attend the Special Meeting and vote your shares in person.

Q: What do Abraxas Petroleum stockholders do to change or revoke their vote?

A: Abraxas Petroleum stockholders may change their vote by submitting a later-dated signed proxy by mail, telephone or the Internet, or by attending the Special Meeting in person and voting. You may also revoke your proxy by sending a notice of revocation to Abraxas Petroleum's Secretary at 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258 before the Special Meeting.

Q: If my shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock are held in street name by my broker, will my broker vote my shares for me?

A: If you are an Abraxas Petroleum stockholder, your broker will vote your shares only if you provide instructions on how to vote. Without instructions, your shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock will not be voted. You should instruct your broker to vote your shares, following the directions provided by your broker.

Q: Where can I find more information about Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy?

A: You can find more information about Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy from various sources described under Where You Can Find More Information on page 202 as well as in this proxy statement under the headings Information about Abraxas Petroleum and Information about Abraxas Energy.

Q: Who can I call with questions about the Merger or the Special Meeting?

A: For questions about the Merger, the Special Meeting and for any other matters, including directions to attend the Special Meeting in person, Abraxas Petroleum stockholders may call Abraxas Petroleum at (210) 490-4788 and ask for Investor Relations.

Q: How can I find out the results of the voting at the Special Meeting?

A: Preliminary voting results will be announced at the Special Meeting. Final voting results will be published in our public filings with the SEC after the date of the Special Meeting.

Table of Contents

SUMMARY

The following is a summary of the principal features of this proxy statement and should be read together with the more detailed information and financial data and statements contained elsewhere in this proxy statement. To better understand and for a more complete description of the Merger, you should carefully read this entire proxy statement, the financial data and statements contained elsewhere in this proxy statement and the documents to which Abraxas Petroleum has referred you under the heading "Where You Can Find More Information" beginning on page 202. References in this proxy statement to "we", "us" or "our" refer to Abraxas Petroleum and all of its subsidiaries, including Abraxas Energy and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Abraxas Operating, LLC, or Abraxas Operating. Unless otherwise indicated, all data and results of Abraxas Petroleum are consolidated with those of Abraxas Energy and Abraxas Operating and references to "on a stand alone basis" mean that the data and results are of Abraxas Petroleum and its subsidiaries other than Abraxas Energy and Abraxas Operating. Gas equivalents are determined using the ratio of six Mcf of gas to one barrel of oil and oil equivalents are determined using the ratio of one barrel of oil to six Mcf of gas.

Abraxas Petroleum, Abraxas Energy and Merger Sub

Abraxas Petroleum Corporation

18803 Meisner Drive

San Antonio, Texas 78258

(210) 490-4788

Abraxas Petroleum is an independent energy company primarily engaged in the development and production of oil and gas. Historically, we have grown through the acquisition and subsequent development and exploration of producing properties, principally through the redevelopment of old fields utilizing new technologies such as modern log analysis and reservoir modeling techniques as well as 3-D seismic surveys, horizontal drilling and modern completion techniques. As a result of these activities, we believe that we have a number of development opportunities on our properties. In addition, we intend to expand upon our development activities with complementary exploration projects in our core areas of operation. Success in our development and exploration activities is critical in the maintenance and growth of our current production levels and associated reserves.

At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Petroleum (on a stand-alone basis) had 6,736 MBoe of estimated net proved reserves, of which 38% were oil, with a standardized measure of \$33.4 million. Abraxas Petroleum's net proved reserves (on a stand-alone basis) as of December 31, 2008 were 35% proved developed and 65% proved undeveloped. At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Petroleum (on a stand-alone basis) owned an average working interest of 79% in 172 gross (135.9 net) producing wells that produced 237 net MBoe during 2008. Abraxas Petroleum has identified numerous drilling locations, of which 29 were classified as proved undeveloped as of December 31, 2008, which Abraxas Petroleum believes provides it with a multi-year inventory of drilling opportunities.

A wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum, Abraxas General Partner, LLC, which we refer to as the GP or the General Partner, is the general partner of Abraxas Energy and the owner of 227,232 general partner units of Abraxas Energy, and Investments, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum, is the owner of 5,350,598 common units of Abraxas Energy, representing approximately 46.7% of the outstanding common units of Abraxas Energy. In addition, certain officers and directors of Abraxas Petroleum own a total of 145,128 common units of Abraxas Energy, representing approximately 1.3% of the outstanding common units of Abraxas Energy.

Table of Contents

Abraxas Energy Partners, L.P.

18803 Meisner Drive

San Antonio, Texas 78258

(210) 490-4788

Abraxas Energy is a Delaware limited partnership formed by Abraxas Petroleum in May 2007 to exploit, develop, produce and acquire oil and gas properties. Abraxas Energy's assets consist primarily of producing and non-producing properties located in the Rocky Mountain, Mid-Continent, Permian Basin and Gulf Coast regions of the United States.

At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy had 110.3 Bcfe of estimated net proved reserves, of which 76% were gas, with a standardized measure of \$118.6 million. Abraxas Energy's net proved reserves as of December 31, 2008 were 61% proved developed and 39% proved undeveloped. At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy owned an average working interest of 18% in 1,639 gross (293 net) producing wells that produced 8.2 net Bcfe during 2008. Abraxas Energy has identified 226 drilling locations, of which 129 were classified as proved undeveloped as of December 31, 2008, which Abraxas Energy believes provides it with a multi-year inventory of drilling opportunities.

Abraxas Merger Sub, LLC

18803 Meisner Drive

San Antonio, Texas 78258

(210) 490-4788

Merger Sub, a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum, was formed solely for the purpose of consummating the Merger. Merger Sub has not carried on any activities to date, except for activities incidental to its formation and activities undertaken in connection with the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement, including the Merger.

The Merger Agreement (see page 58)

On June 30, 2009, Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy signed an Agreement and Plan of Merger, which we refer to as the Original Merger Agreement, pursuant to which Abraxas Energy agreed to merge with and into Abraxas Petroleum with Abraxas Petroleum surviving and on July 17, 2009, Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy signed an Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, which we refer to as the Merger Agreement, pursuant to which Abraxas Energy agreed to merge with and into Merger Sub with Merger Sub surviving the merger as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum. We refer to this merger as the Merger. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, at the effective time of the Merger, which we refer to as the Effective Time, the common units of Abraxas Energy not owned by Abraxas Petroleum and Investments will be converted into the right to receive between 4.25 and 6.00 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock for each Abraxas Energy common unit not owned by Abraxas Petroleum or Investments. The final number of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in the Merger will be determined by dividing \$6.00 by the average volume weighted average closing price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock on the NASDAQ during the 20 trading days ending three business days prior to the date of the Special Meeting, or the VWAP. For example, if the VWAP is \$1.00 or less, holders of Abraxas Energy common units would receive 6.00 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock for each of their common units and if the VWAP is \$1.412 or more, holders of Abraxas Energy common units would receive 4.25 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock for each of their common units. At the mid-point of the exchange ratio, \$1.17 per share, holders of Abraxas Energy common units would receive 5.125 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock for each of their common units. The common units of Abraxas Energy owned by Investments will be cancelled at the Effective Time. In addition, the general partner units held by the GP will be cancelled at the Effective Time.

Table of Contents

In the Merger, we will issue a maximum of 36,952,836 shares of our common stock. This would represent approximately 42.6% of our outstanding shares of common stock upon the closing of the Merger. We anticipate that upon completion of the Merger, depending upon the exchange ratio, Abraxas Energy's former unitholders will own between 34.4% and 42.6% of the shares of our common stock then outstanding. At the mid-point of the exchange ratio, or \$1.17 per share, we would issue 31,563,881 shares of our common stock, or approximately 38.8% of the shares of our common stock then outstanding. Our stockholders will continue to own their existing Abraxas Petroleum shares, which will not be affected by the Merger.

All of the shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in the Merger will be listed on the NASDAQ. The shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued to the unitholders of Abraxas Energy, other than to unitholders who hold restricted units and phantom units issued under the Abraxas Energy Long-Term Incentive Plan, which we sometimes refer to as the Partnership LTIP Units, will be issued pursuant to the exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, provided in Section 4(2) and Regulation D of that Act. The shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in reliance on this exemption will be issued to 25 investors, each of whom has represented to us that it is an accredited investor and/or qualified institutional buyer and that such investor was not solicited by means of a general solicitation. Under the terms of the Voting, Registration Rights & Lock-Up Agreement among Abraxas Petroleum, Abraxas Energy and the holders of 96% of Abraxas Energy's common units not owned by Investments, which we refer to as the Voting, Registration Rights & Lock-Up Agreement or the Voting Agreement, Abraxas Petroleum has agreed to file a registration statement relating to the resale of the shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock issued in the Merger. The Abraxas Energy unitholders, whom we refer to as the unitholders, may sell or dispose of their Abraxas Petroleum common stock pursuant to (A) a registration statement covering Abraxas Petroleum common stock, (B) any section of Rule 144 (or any similar provision then in force under applicable securities laws), (C) private sales in compliance with applicable securities laws to accredited investors or qualified institutional buyers or (D) pursuant to an underwritten offering requested by the unitholders with reasonable fees and expenses (excluding underwriting discounts and commissions) being paid by Abraxas Petroleum in which the gross proceeds of the underwritten offering shall not be less than \$10.0 million.

The shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in exchange for the outstanding Partnership LTIP Units will be registered on a Form S-8 to be filed by Abraxas Petroleum upon consummation of the Merger. For more information on Abraxas Energy's outstanding units, see Proposal 1 Approval of Stock Issuance General.

Under the rules of the NASDAQ, Abraxas Petroleum must receive the approval of the holders of a majority of its common stock present and voting at the Special Meeting in order to approve the issuance of a number of shares of its common stock which is greater than 20% of the amount outstanding prior to the issuance. As of June 30, 2009, Abraxas Petroleum had 49,804,894 shares of common stock outstanding.

The Voting, Registration Rights & Lock-Up Agreement (see page 63)

On June 30, 2009, Abraxas Petroleum, Abraxas Energy and the holders of 51% of the common units of Abraxas Energy (or 96% of the common units not owned by Investments) entered into the Voting, Registration Rights & Lock-Up Agreement, which was amended on July 17, 2009 to reflect the change in the structure of the Merger from a merger of Abraxas Energy with and into Abraxas Petroleum with Abraxas Petroleum surviving to a merger of Abraxas Energy with and into Merger Sub with Merger Sub surviving as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum. Pursuant to the terms of the Voting, Registration Rights & Lock-Up Agreement, as amended, among other things, each of the unitholders agreed:

to vote their common units of Abraxas Energy in favor of the Merger; and

not to offer for sale, sell, pledge, or otherwise dispose of the Abraxas Petroleum common stock received in the Merger for the 90-day period immediately following the Effective Time, which we refer

Table of Contents

to as the Lock-Up Period. Upon the expiration of the Lock-Up Period, one-third of the Abraxas Petroleum common stock held by the former Abraxas Energy unitholders will be unrestricted and freely-tradable, subject to applicable securities laws. From and after the date which is 12 months after the end of the Lock-Up Period, an additional, one-third (or a total of two-thirds) of the Abraxas Petroleum common stock held by the former Abraxas Energy unitholders would become unrestricted and freely-tradable and after the expiration of a total of 24 months following the end of the Lock-Up Period, all remaining shares of the Abraxas Petroleum common stock held by the former Abraxas Energy unitholders would become unrestricted and freely-tradable.

Abraxas Petroleum agreed:

within 120 days of the Effective Time, to file a registration statement relating to the resale of the shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in the Merger, which we refer to as the Registration Statement, pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and to use commercially reasonable efforts to cause the Registration Statement to become effective and to keep the Registration Statement effective until the earlier of (A) the date that is 24 months after the end of the Lock-Up Period and (B) the date that all shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock received in the Merger have been sold;

that in the event the former Abraxas Energy unitholders propose to sell their shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock received in the Merger in an underwritten public offering, to use commercially reasonable efforts to retain underwriters and effect such sale through an underwritten offering and take all commercially reasonable actions as are reasonably requested by the managing underwriter or underwriters to expedite or facilitate the disposition of such shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, including entering into an underwriting agreement, and participation by Abraxas Petroleum's management in a road show or similar marketing effort; *provided, however*, that Abraxas Petroleum would not be required to cause its management to participate in a road show or similar marketing effort on behalf of any unitholder if (A) the managing underwriter or underwriters of any such proposed underwritten offering advise Abraxas Petroleum that the gross proceeds of the underwritten offering are not expected to exceed \$10.0 million and (B) a bought deal or overnight transaction is contemplated; and

that in the event Abraxas Petroleum proposes, during the period from and after the end of the Lock-Up Period to the date that is 24 months after the end of the Lock-Up Period, to issue and sell shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock pursuant to a registration statement other than a shelf registration statement or pursuant to a supplement to a shelf registration statement in an underwritten offering for its own account, then as soon as practicable but not less than 10 business days prior to the filing of (A) any preliminary prospectus supplement to a prospectus that includes Abraxas Petroleum common stock, relating to such underwritten offering pursuant to Rule 424(b), (B) the prospectus supplement to a prospectus that includes Abraxas Petroleum common stock, relating to such underwritten offering pursuant to Rule 424(b) (if no preliminary prospectus supplement is used) or (C) such registration statement, as the case may be, Abraxas Petroleum shall give notice of such proposed underwritten offering to the unitholders and such notice shall offer the former Abraxas Energy unitholders the opportunity to include in such underwritten offering such number of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock as each such unitholder may request in writing subject to a customary underwriter's cut back.

The New Credit Facility (see page 66)

We have received a non-binding term sheet for a new \$300.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility and a \$10.0 million senior secured term loan from Société Générale, as administrative agent and issuing lender, which we refer to as the new credit facility. The initial availability under the new credit facility is expected to be approximately \$155.0 million.

Table of Contents

We expect to borrow approximately \$140.9 million under the new credit facility, of which \$130.9 million will be borrowed under the revolving portion of the new credit facility and \$10.0 million will be borrowed under the term loan portion of the new credit facility, and repay all of Abraxas Petroleum's and Abraxas Energy's indebtedness currently outstanding under their existing credit facilities.

For more information about the new credit facility, please see Proposal 1 Approval of Stock Issuance New Credit Facility.

Abraxas Petroleum's Reasons for the Merger (see page 43)

In determining that the Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the stock issuance and amendment to the LTIP, are advisable and in the best interests of Abraxas Petroleum and its stockholders, and in reaching its decision to approve the Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the stock issuance and amendment to the LTIP, the Abraxas Petroleum Board considered a variety of factors that it believed weighted favorably toward the Merger, including the following:

the acceleration of drilling activity;

the reduction of consolidated debt resulting in a stronger balance sheet;

a simplified organizational structure;

synergies;

greater liquidity; and

improved access to capital markets.

Conditions to the Completion of the Merger (see page 62)

The completion of the Merger depends upon the satisfaction of a number of conditions, unless waived, including:

the receipt of the approval of the holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock present and voting at the Special Meeting of the issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger and the amendment to the LTIP;

the receipt by Abraxas Petroleum of financing sufficient to repay all of the outstanding indebtedness under Abraxas Energy's existing credit facilities; and

the approval for listing of the shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock issuable in the Merger on the NASDAQ, subject to official notice of issuance.

Termination (see page 62)

The Merger Agreement may be terminated by mutual agreement of the parties at any time prior to closing. The Merger Agreement may also be terminated in the following situations:

if the Merger has not been consummated by October 28, 2009;

if the Abraxas Petroleum stockholders fail to approve the issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger and the amendment to the LTIP;

if a governmental entity permanently restrains or otherwise prohibits the consummation of the Merger;

if there is an uncured breach of or inaccuracy in a representation, warranty, covenant or agreement by one of the parties;

by Abraxas Petroleum, if there is a change in the recommendation regarding the Merger of the Abraxas Energy Board; or

by Abraxas Energy, if there is a change in the recommendation regarding the Merger of the Abraxas Petroleum Board.

Table of Contents

Director Designees (see page 61)

Abraxas Petroleum has agreed to appoint Brian L. Melton and Edward P. Russell, whom we refer to as the New Directors, to the Abraxas Petroleum Board. Subject to the fulfillment of its fiduciary duties, and provided that such New Directors remain independent as defined in the rules and regulations of the SEC and the securities exchange on which Abraxas Petroleum common stock is then traded, the Abraxas Petroleum Board will nominate and recommend approval of both of the New Directors at its annual meeting in 2010 for a full three-year term. On the date which is 24 months after the Effective Time, one of the New Directors will offer to resign from the Abraxas Petroleum Board and on the date which is 36 months after the Effective Time, the remaining New Director will offer to resign from the Abraxas Petroleum Board. If at any time either of the New Directors creates a vacancy on the Abraxas Petroleum Board (by means of death, resignation, retirement, disqualification, removal from office or otherwise), the Abraxas Petroleum Board shall fill such vacancy with a person designated by the former Abraxas Energy unitholders and the Abraxas Petroleum Board shall continue to nominate and recommend approval of such person in any stockholder election.

Dissenters' Rights (see page 57)

Abraxas Petroleum stockholders and Abraxas Energy unitholders do not have any right to an appraisal of the value of their shares or common units in connection with the Merger.

Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger (see page 57)

Some of Abraxas Petroleum's directors and officers have interests in the Merger and the proposal to amend the LTIP that may differ from or be in addition to, the interests of Abraxas Petroleum stockholders. These interests include:

Robert L.G. Watson, Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of Abraxas Petroleum and Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the GP, owns 34,714 Abraxas Energy common units, 6,000 restricted units, 7,493 phantom units and has the right to receive options to purchase 63,000 common units. As a result of the Merger, Mr. Watson will receive, assuming the mid-point of the exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share, 177,909 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, 69,152 shares of Abraxas Petroleum restricted stock and options to purchase 322,875 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock at an exercise price equal to the closing price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock on the date the Merger is consummated;

Barbara M. Stuckey, Vice President - Corporate Finance of Abraxas Petroleum and President of the GP, owns 14,986 Abraxas Energy common units, 4,000 restricted units, 6,582 phantom units and has the right to receive options to purchase 42,000 common units. As a result of the Merger, Ms. Stuckey will receive, assuming the mid-point of the exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share, 76,803 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, 54,233 shares of Abraxas Petroleum restricted stock and options to purchase 215,250 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock at an exercise price equal to the closing price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock on the date the Merger is consummated; and

In addition to Mr. Watson and Ms. Stuckey, certain directors and officers of Abraxas Petroleum beneficially own a total of 71,428 Abraxas Energy common units, 18,000 Abraxas Energy restricted units, 17,080 Abraxas Energy phantom units and have the right to receive options to purchase 63,000 Abraxas Energy common units. As a result of the Merger, these individuals will receive, assuming the mid-point of the exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share, 366,069 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, 179,785 shares of Abraxas Petroleum restricted stock and options to purchase 322,875 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock at an exercise price equal to the closing price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock on the date the Merger is consummated.

Table of Contents

Directors of Abraxas Petroleum Following the Merger (see page 152)

Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, at the closing of the Merger, the Abraxas Petroleum Board will consist of nine persons, including six independent directors from the Abraxas Petroleum Board, the two New Directors and Robert L.G. Watson.

U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences (see page 69)

The closing of the Merger and related transactions under the Merger Agreement will not have any U.S. federal income tax consequences to the holders of Abraxas Petroleum common stock with respect to their ownership of such stock. Abraxas Petroleum is taxable with respect to its position as the parent of both the General Partner and Investments. Additionally, the Merger will be a taxable transaction to the Abraxas Energy unitholders receiving shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock.

Anticipated Accounting Treatment (see page 70)

It is anticipated that Abraxas Petroleum will account for the acquisition of Abraxas Energy common units under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of ARB No. 51 (which we refer to as SFAS No. 160). In accordance with SFAS No. 160, Abraxas Petroleum will not recognize a gain or loss in its net income as a result of the transaction and it will continue to recognize the assets and liabilities of Abraxas Energy at their historical values instead of valuing Abraxas Energy's assets and liabilities at their fair value at the date of completion of the Merger.

Risk Factors (see page 19)

For a discussion of risk factors to be considered by Abraxas Petroleum stockholders in voting to approve the stock issuance, see the Risk Factors section of this document on page 19. These risk factors include risks related to the Merger and risks related to Abraxas Petroleum's business and industry, which will continue whether or not the Merger occurs.

Opinion of Stephens Inc. to the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee (see page 46)

Stephens Inc., whom we refer to as Stephens, has delivered an opinion to the Special Committee of the Board of Abraxas Petroleum, which we refer to as the Special Committee, to the effect that, as of June 29, 2009, and based upon and subject to the various assumptions, methodologies, limitations and considerations described in such opinion, the exchange ratio to be paid by Abraxas Petroleum in the Merger was fair, from a financial point of view, to Abraxas Petroleum.

The full text of Stephens' written opinion, dated June 29, 2009, is attached hereto as Annex C. Abraxas Petroleum stockholders are urged to read this opinion carefully and in its entirety for information regarding the assumptions made, methodologies used, factors considered and limitations upon the review undertaken by Stephens in rendering its opinion. Stephens has not assumed any responsibility for updating or revising its opinion based on circumstances or events occurring after the date thereof.

Stephens provided its opinion for the information of and assistance to the Special Committee in connection with its consideration of the Merger. The opinion addresses only the fairness to Abraxas Petroleum, from a financial point of view, of the exchange ratio to be paid by Abraxas Petroleum in the Merger as of June 29, 2009, the date of the opinion. The opinion does not address the underlying business decision of Abraxas Petroleum to proceed with or effectuate the Merger and related transactions or the relative merits of the Merger as compared to other transactions that may have been available to Abraxas Petroleum. The opinion does not constitute a recommendation to any stockholder of Abraxas Petroleum as to how such stockholder should vote with respect to the issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger or any other matter.

Table of Contents

Amendment of LTIP (see page 72)

On September 13, 2005, subject to stockholder approval, the Abraxas Petroleum Board adopted the Abraxas Petroleum Corporation 2005 Employee Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, or LTIP, which was approved by the stockholders of Abraxas Petroleum Corporation in 2006 and amended by its stockholders at the 2008 annual meeting. On June 29, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Board amended the LTIP, subject to stockholder approval, to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the LTIP from 2,100,000 shares to 5,200,000 shares if Proposal 1 is approved, relating to the approval of the issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger.

The purpose of the LTIP is to employ and retain qualified and competent personnel and promote the growth and success of Abraxas Petroleum by aligning the long-term interests of Abraxas Petroleum's key employees with those of Abraxas Petroleum's stockholders by providing an opportunity to acquire an interest in Abraxas Petroleum and by providing both rewards for exceptional performance and long-term incentives for future contributions to the success of Abraxas Petroleum. Abraxas Petroleum believes that this purpose will be furthered through the granting of awards, as authorized under the LTIP, so that such key employees will be encouraged and enabled to acquire a substantial personal interest in the continued success of Abraxas Petroleum. Abraxas Petroleum believes the additional shares to be reserved pursuant to the amendment to the LTIP is necessary for Abraxas Petroleum to continue its policy of emphasizing equity compensation and to remain competitive with industry equity grant practices.

In connection with the Merger, the restricted units and phantom units of Abraxas Energy will be converted into restricted shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock and the unit options that were approved by the Abraxas Energy Board and issuable upon the closing of the initial public offering of Abraxas Energy will be assumed by Abraxas Petroleum and converted into options to purchase Abraxas Petroleum common stock with an exercise price equal to the closing price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock on the date the Merger is consummated. Assuming the mid-point of the exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share, 1,275,869 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock would be reserved for issuance as options to purchase Abraxas Petroleum common stock and 508,169 shares would be issued as restricted stock.

The Abraxas Petroleum Board Unanimously Recommends that Abraxas Petroleum Stockholders Vote FOR the Approval of the Issuance of Shares of Abraxas Petroleum Common Stock in the Merger and the Amendment to the LTIP (see pages 46, 71 and 76)

The Abraxas Petroleum Board believes that the Merger is in the best interests of Abraxas Petroleum and its stockholders and has unanimously approved the Merger and the Merger Agreement. The Abraxas Petroleum Board unanimously recommends that Abraxas Petroleum stockholders vote FOR the proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger.

The Abraxas Petroleum Board also has unanimously approved the proposal to amend the LTIP. The Abraxas Petroleum Board determined that the proposal is advisable and in the best interests of Abraxas Petroleum and its stockholders. The Abraxas Petroleum Board unanimously recommends that Abraxas Petroleum stockholders vote FOR the amendment to the LTIP. The approval of this proposal is a condition to the consummation of the Merger.

To review the background of, and Abraxas Petroleum's reasons for, the Merger, as well as certain risks related to the Merger, see Proposal 1 Approval of Stock Issuance Background of the Merger and Abraxas Petroleum's Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee and the Abraxas Petroleum Board and to review Abraxas Petroleum's reasons for the amendment of the LTIP, see Proposal 2 Amendment of LTIP Reasons for the Amendment of the LTIP.

Table of Contents

Adjournment (see page 77)

If necessary or appropriate, stockholders will be asked to approve a proposal to postpone or adjourn the Special Meeting to a later time in order for us to solicit additional proxies in favor of any of the proposals. In any event, the Special Meeting may be adjourned if a quorum is not present.

Abraxas Petroleum will hold its Special Meeting on October 5, 2009 (see page 32)

The Abraxas Petroleum Special Meeting will be held on October 5, 2009, at 9:00 a.m., local time, at Abraxas Petroleum's corporate office located at 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258. At the Special Meeting, Abraxas Petroleum stockholders will be asked to:

approve the issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger;

approve the amendment to the LTIP increasing the number of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock reserved for issuance under the LTIP; and

approve the adjournment of the Special Meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies, in the event that there are not sufficient votes at the time of the Special Meeting to approve the foregoing proposals.

Record Date. Only holders of record at the close of business on August 28, 2009 will be entitled to vote at the Special Meeting. Each share of Abraxas Petroleum common stock is entitled to one vote. As of the record date, there were 49,836,894 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock entitled to vote at the Special Meeting.

Required Vote. Approval of the issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger and the amendment to the LTIP each require the votes cast in favor of each such proposal to exceed the votes cast against such proposal at the Special Meeting by the holders of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, assuming a quorum. Because the required vote is based on the votes cast in favor of such proposal exceeding the votes cast against such proposal, your failure to vote, a broker non-vote or an abstention will not be treated as a vote cast and, therefore, will have no effect on these proposals, assuming a quorum.

If there is a quorum, approval of any necessary or appropriate adjournment of the Special Meeting requires the votes cast in favor of such proposal to exceed the votes cast against such proposal at the Special Meeting by the holders of Abraxas Petroleum common stock. In the absence of a quorum, the Special Meeting may be adjourned by the approval of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares present and entitled to vote at the Special Meeting.

As of the record date, directors and executive officers of Abraxas Petroleum and their affiliates had the right to vote 4,245,438 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, or 8.5% of the outstanding Abraxas Petroleum shares entitled to be voted at the Special Meeting. We currently expect that each of these individuals will vote their shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in favor of the proposals to be presented at the Special Meeting.

Table of Contents**SELECTED HISTORICAL AND PRO FORMA COMBINED FINANCIAL DATA****Summary Historical Financial Information of Abraxas Petroleum**

The following table shows summary historical financial data of Abraxas Petroleum for the periods and as of the dates indicated. The summary historical financial data as of December 31, 2007 and 2008 and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 are derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of Abraxas Petroleum included elsewhere in this proxy statement. The summary historical financial data as of June 30, 2009 and for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2009 are derived from the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Abraxas Petroleum included elsewhere in this proxy statement. The financial condition and results of operations of Abraxas Petroleum are consolidated and reflect the financial condition and results of operations of Abraxas Petroleum and all of its consolidated subsidiaries including Abraxas Energy and Abraxas Operating. The operations of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy are consolidated for financial reporting purposes with the interest of the limited partners, other than Investments, of Abraxas Energy presented as non-controlling interest.

	Historical Abraxas Petroleum				
	Year Ended December 31, 2006	2007	2008	Six Months Ended June 30, 2008	2009 (unaudited)
	(In thousands, except per share data)				
Total operating revenue	\$ 51,077	\$ 48,309	\$ 100,310	\$ 56,593	\$ 23,218
Lease operating and production taxes	11,776	11,254	26,635	12,372	11,854
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	14,939	14,292	23,343	11,098	8,994
Ceiling-test impairment			116,366		
General and administrative	5,160	6,438	7,127	3,672	3,730
Net interest expense	16,738	7,984	10,309	5,011	5,596
Amortization of deferred financing fees	1,591	671	1,028	467	586
Financing fees			359		362
Loss (gain) on derivative contracts	(646)	4,363	(28,333)	108,093	1,695
Loss on debt extinguishment		6,455			
Gain on sale of assets		(59,439)			
Other	819	1,148	9,379	1,137	2,628
Income (loss) before income tax	\$ 700	\$ 55,143	\$ (65,903)	\$ (85,257)	\$ (12,227)
Income tax		(283)			
Consolidated net income (loss)	\$ 700	\$ 54,860	\$ (65,903)	\$ (85,257)	\$ (12,227)
Less: Net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interest		1,842	13,500	18,578	6,645
Net income (loss) attributable to Abraxas Petroleum	\$ 700	\$ 56,702	\$ (52,403)	\$ (66,679)	\$ (5,582)
Net income (loss) attributable to Abraxas Petroleum per common share:					
Basic	\$ 0.02	\$ 1.22	\$ (1.07)	\$ (1.36)	\$ (0.11)
Diluted	\$ 0.02	\$ 1.19	\$ (1.07)	\$ (1.36)	\$ (0.11)

Table of Contents

	Historical Abraxas Petroleum				
	2006	Year Ended December 31, 2007	2008	Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 (unaudited) 2009	
(In thousands)					
Cash flow data:					
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 15,561	\$ 18,332	\$ 43,387	\$ 30,487	9,456
Net cash used in investing activities	(14,102)	(26,908)	(173,944)	(155,475)	(7,510)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(1,458)	27,469	113,545	118,762	(2,080)

	Abraxas Petroleum			
	2006	At December 31, 2007 2008		At June 30, 2009 (unaudited)
(In thousands)				
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:				
Working capital (deficit)	\$ (3,719)	\$ 11,348	\$ (26,000)	\$ (30,915)
Total assets	116,940	147,119	211,839	200,563
Current maturities of long-term debt			40,134	46,062
Long-term debt	127,614	45,900	130,835	128,843
Stockholders' equity (deficit)	(22,165)	79,344	11,751	(270)

Table of Contents**Summary Historical Financial Information of Abraxas Energy**

The following table shows summary historical financial data of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy for the periods and as of the dates indicated. The summary historical financial data of Abraxas Petroleum for the year ended December 31, 2006 and for the period from January 1 to May 24, 2007 and the summary historical financial data of Abraxas Energy as of December 31, 2007 and 2008 and for the period from May 25 to December 31, 2007 and for the year ended December 31, 2008 are derived from the audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this proxy statement. The summary historical financial data of Abraxas Energy as of June 30, 2009 and for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2009 are derived from the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Abraxas Energy included elsewhere in this proxy statement. The financial condition and results of operations of Abraxas Petroleum for the periods up to May 24, 2007 are referred to in this proxy statement as *Predecessor*, and the financial condition and results of operations for periods subsequent to May 24, 2007 are referred to as *Successor* and represent only those of Abraxas Energy.

	Predecessor		Historical		Successor	
	Abraxas Petroleum		Abraxas Energy		Abraxas Energy	
Year Ended	January 1 -	May 25 -	Year Ended	Six Months Ended	June 30,	
December 31,	May 24,	December 31,	December 31,	2008	2008	2009
2006	2007	2007	2008	(unaudited)		
(In thousands, except per share/unit data)						
Total operating revenue	\$ 51,077	\$ 19,305	\$ 22,148	\$ 83,391	\$ 47,260	\$ 18,321
Lease operating and production taxes	11,776	4,757	5,136	22,577	10,756	9,833
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	14,939	5,773	7,039	20,063	9,583	7,028
Ceiling-test impairment				97,121		2,775
General and administrative	5,160	1,867	987	2,657	1,290	1,475
Net interest expense	16,738	6,371	1,774	10,181	5,052	5,316
Amortization of deferred financing fees	1,591	632	121	988	447	537
Financing fees				359		362
Loss (gain) on derivative contracts	(646)	218	4,125	(28,333)	108,093	1,695
Loss on debt extinguishment			6,455			
Other	819	295		1,105	711	2,229
Income (loss)	\$ 700	\$ (608)	\$ (3,489)	\$ (43,327)	\$ (88,672)	\$ (12,929)
Income (loss) per common share/unit:						
Basic	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.31)	\$ (3.81)	\$ (7.80)	\$ (1.13)
Diluted	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.31)	\$ (3.81)	\$ (7.80)	\$ (1.13)
Cash flow data:						
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 15,561	\$ 10,150	\$ 5,466	\$ 30,474	\$ 19,285	\$ 10,676
Net cash used in investing activities	(14,102)	(6,622)	(14,086)	(131,900)	(134,039)	(3,098)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(1,458)	(1,742)	10,379	101,591	113,299	(7,988)

Table of Contents

	Predecessor Abraxas Petroleum 2006	Historical		
		At December 31, 2007	Successor Abraxas Energy 2008	At June 30, 2009 (unaudited)
(In thousands)				
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:				
Working capital (deficit)	\$ (3,719)	\$ 3,740	\$ (14,595)	\$ (15,683)
Total assets	116,940	105,703	169,240	153,414
Current maturities of long-term debt			40,000	40,000
Long-term debt	127,614	45,900	125,600	123,675
Stockholders / Partners equity (deficit)	(22,615)	49,688	(12,492)	(25,989)

Table of Contents**Selected Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Financial Information**

The following unaudited pro forma combined financial information reflects Abraxas Petroleum's historical results on a pro forma basis to give effect to (a) the Merger and related transactions and (b) the new credit facility. The unaudited pro forma combined balance sheet information reflects the Merger and related transactions, including the new credit facility, as if they occurred on June 30, 2009, and the unaudited pro forma combined statement of operations information for the twelve months ended December 31, 2008 and the six months ended June 30, 2009 reflect the Merger and related transactions, including the new credit facility, as if they occurred on January 1, 2008.

The unaudited pro forma combined financial information is based on the historical financial statements of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy and on publicly available information and certain assumptions and adjustments as discussed in the section entitled "Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Financial Information" included elsewhere in this proxy statement. The unaudited pro forma combined financial information is provided for illustrative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of what the operating results or financial position of Abraxas Petroleum or Abraxas Energy would have been had the Merger and related transactions, including the new credit facility, been completed at the beginning of the periods or on the dates indicated, nor are they necessarily indicative of future operating results or financial position. Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy may have performed differently had they been combined during the periods presented. The following should be read in connection with the section of this proxy statement entitled "Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Financial Information" and other information included in this proxy statement.

	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Pro Forma Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 (unaudited)
	(In thousands, except per share data)	
Statement of Operations Data:		
Total revenue	\$ 100,310	\$ 23,218
Net income (loss) attributable to Abraxas Petroleum	(56,702)	(10,854)
Net income (loss) attributable to Abraxas Petroleum per share (1):		
Basic	(0.70)	(0.13)
Diluted	(0.70)	(0.13)

(1) Determined using the mid-point exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share.

	Pro Forma As of June 30, 2009 (unaudited)
	(In thousands)
Balance Sheet Data:	
Total assets	\$ 172,791
Total liabilities	173,285
Stockholders' equity (deficit)	(494)

Table of Contents**Comparative Historical and Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Per Share/Per Unit Data**

The following table sets forth selected historical per share information of Abraxas Petroleum and per unit information of Abraxas Energy and unaudited pro forma combined per share information after giving effect to (a) the Merger and related transactions and (b) the new credit facility. The unaudited pro forma combined balance sheet information reflects the Merger and related transactions, including the new credit facility, as if they occurred as of June 30, 2009, and the unaudited pro forma combined statement of operations information for the twelve months ended December 31, 2008 and the six months ended June 30, 2009 reflect the Merger and related transactions, including the new credit facility, as if they occurred as of the beginning of the respective period.

The unaudited pro forma combined financial information is based on the historical financial statements of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy and on publicly available information and certain assumptions and adjustments as discussed in the section entitled "Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Financial Information" included elsewhere in this proxy statement. The unaudited pro forma combined financial information is provided for illustrative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of what the operating results or financial position of Abraxas Petroleum or Abraxas Energy would have been had the Merger and related transactions, including the new credit facility, been completed at the beginning of the periods or on the dates indicated, nor are they necessarily indicative of future operating results or financial position. Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy may have performed differently had they been combined during the periods presented. The following should be read in connection with the section of this proxy statement entitled "Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Financial Information" and other information included in this proxy statement.

	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 (unaudited)
Abraxas Petroleum Historical Per Share Data:		
Basic net income (loss) per common share	\$ (1.07)	\$ (0.11)
Diluted net income (loss) per common share	(1.07)	(0.11)
Book value per common share at end of period	3.23	3.19
Abraxas Energy Historical Per Unit Data:		
Basic net income (loss) per common unit	\$ (3.81)	\$ (1.13)
Diluted net income (loss) per common unit	(3.81)	(1.13)
Cash distribution declared per common unit	1.65	
Book value per common unit at end of period	10.46	9.61
Abraxas Petroleum Pro Forma Per Share Data (1):		
Basic net income (loss) per common share	\$ (0.70)	\$ (0.13)
Diluted net income (loss) per common share	(0.70)	(0.13)
Book value per common share at end of period	1.97	1.95
Abraxas Energy Equivalent Pro Forma Per Unit Data (2):		
Basic net income (loss) per common unit	\$ (3.58)	\$ (0.67)
Diluted net income (loss) per common unit	(3.58)	(0.67)
Cash distribution declared per common unit	1.65	
Book value per common unit at end of period	10.12	10.00

- (1) Determined using the mid-point exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share.
- (2) Determined using the Abraxas Petroleum pro forma per share data multiplied by the mid-point exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share to derive Abraxas Energy Equivalent pro forma per unit data.

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

Abraxas Petroleum stockholders should consider the following factors carefully in evaluating whether to approve the issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger, and the other proposals in this proxy statement. These factors should be considered in conjunction with the other information included in this proxy statement, including the forward-looking statements made herein. The following risk factors do not include all risks that Abraxas Petroleum will face as a result of the Merger. Additional risks related to our existing business and markets, which will continue to confront us whether or not the Merger occurs, are described in this proxy statement and in our public filings with the SEC, including our Forms 10-K and Forms 10-Q.

Risks Related to the Merger

We will incur substantial new indebtedness in order to close the Merger, which may adversely affect our cash flow and business operations.

A condition to closing the Merger is that Abraxas Petroleum shall have obtained financing to repay all of Abraxas Energy's outstanding indebtedness under its existing credit facilities. At August 28, 2009, Abraxas Energy had outstanding indebtedness of \$135.0 million and Abraxas Petroleum had outstanding indebtedness of \$5.9 million, for a total of \$140.9 million, excluding the mortgage on Abraxas Petroleum's office building. We have received a non-binding term sheet for a new senior secured revolving credit facility of up to \$300.0 million and a senior secured term loan of \$10.0 million, of which approximately \$155.0 million is expected to be available to us at closing. We intend to borrow \$130.9 million under the revolving portion of the new credit facility and \$10.0 million under the term loan portion of the new credit facility at closing. For more information, see Proposal 1 Approval of Stock Issuance The New Credit Facility.

Our future indebtedness could have important consequences to us, including:

our ability to obtain additional financing, if necessary, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes may be impaired or such financing may not be available on favorable terms;

covenants contained in our new credit facility and future debt arrangements will require us to meet financial tests that may affect our flexibility in planning for and reacting to changes in our business, including possible acquisition opportunities;

we may need a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to make principal and interest payments on our indebtedness, reducing the funds that would otherwise be available for operations and future business opportunities; and

our level of debt will make us more vulnerable to competitive pressures, or a downturn in our business or the economy generally, than our competitors with less debt.

Our ability to service our indebtedness will depend upon, among other things, our future financial and operating performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions and financial, business, regulatory and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. If our operating results are not sufficient to service our current or future indebtedness, we will be forced to take actions such as reducing or delaying acquisitions and/or capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring or refinancing our indebtedness or seeking additional debt or equity capital or bankruptcy protection. We may not be able to effect any of these remedies on satisfactory terms or at all.

A breach of the terms and conditions of the new credit facility, including the inability to comply with the required financial covenants, could result in an event of default. If an event of default occurs (after any applicable notice and cure periods), the lenders would be entitled to terminate any commitment to make further extensions of credit under the new credit facility and to accelerate the repayment of amounts outstanding (including accrued

Table of Contents

and unpaid interest and fees). Upon a default under the new credit facility, the lenders could also foreclose against any collateral securing such obligations, which may be all or substantially all of our assets. If that occurred, we may not be able to continue to operate as a going concern.

Completion of the Merger will result in substantial and immediate dilution to the voting power of our current stockholders.

Issuing shares of our common stock to the Abraxas Energy unitholders in the Merger will significantly dilute the voting power of our existing stockholders (from 100% of the outstanding shares before the Merger to 65.6% afterwards, assuming the maximum exchange ratio of 6.00). If we do not realize the benefits from the Merger anticipated by the Abraxas Petroleum Board when they approved to the Merger, the market price of our common stock may decline as a result and our stockholders may not realize a benefit despite the ownership dilution they will experience.

The exchange ratio is fixed within a certain range and will not be adjusted in the event of any significant change in the price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock.

If the Merger is consummated, each Abraxas Energy common unit outstanding immediately prior to the closing of the Merger, other than common units owned by Abraxas Petroleum and Investments, will be converted into the right to receive not less than 4.25 shares and not more than 6.00 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock per common unit of Abraxas Energy. This exchange ratio was fixed in the Merger Agreement and will not be adjusted for changes in the market price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock. Changes in the price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock prior to the closing of the Merger will affect the market value of the Merger Consideration that Abraxas Energy common unitholders will receive in the Merger. Stock price changes may result from a variety of factors (many of which are beyond the control of Abraxas Petroleum), including:

changes in the operations and prospects of Abraxas Petroleum;

changes in the market assessment of the operations and prospects of Abraxas Petroleum;

interest rates, general market and economic conditions and other factors, including commodity prices, generally affecting the price of securities; and

federal, state and local legislation, governmental regulation and legal developments in the business which Abraxas Petroleum operates.

The price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock at the closing of the Merger may vary from its price on the date the Original Merger Agreement was executed, on the date of this proxy statement, and on the date of the Special Meeting. As a result, the market value represented by the Merger Consideration will also vary. For example, based on the range of closing prices of Abraxas Petroleum common stock during the period from June 17, 2009, the last trading day before public announcement of the intent to merge, through September 1, 2009, the latest practical date before the date of this proxy statement, the exchange ratio represented a market value ranging from a low of \$31.8 million to a high of \$37.0 million for each Abraxas Energy common unit.

Certain of our directors and executive officers have interests that are in addition to those of other stockholders, which may influence them to support the Merger.

Certain of our directors and executive officers have interests in the Merger that are in addition to yours, which may influence them to support the Merger or seek to waive certain conditions in the Merger Agreement without regard to your interests. These are described under Proposal 1 Approval of Stock Issuance Interests

of Certain Persons in the Merger. You should consider whether these directors and executive officers are more likely to support approval of the stock issuance, or the waiver of conditions that might benefit our stockholders, than if they did not hold these interests.

Table of Contents

We have incurred and will incur significant costs in connection with the Merger, whether or not we complete it.

We have incurred significant costs related to the Merger and we expect to incur significant additional costs. These costs include financial advisory, legal and accounting fees and expenses and other charges. We may also incur additional unanticipated costs for any of a number of reasons. Such costs will reduce the assets that either Abraxas Petroleum or Abraxas Energy would have if the Merger is not consummated or that we would have to operate our business after the Merger.

Failure to complete the Merger or delays in completing the Merger could negatively affect the price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock and its future business and operations.

If the Merger is not completed for any reason, Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy may be subject to a number of material risks, including the following:

the individual entities will not realize the benefits expected from the Merger, including a potentially enhanced financial position;

the price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock may decline to the extent that the current market price reflects a market assumption that the Merger will be completed; and

some costs relating to the Merger must be paid even if the Merger is not completed.

Risks Related to Our Business

We may not be able to fund the capital expenditures that will be required for us to increase reserves and production

We must make capital expenditures to develop our existing reserves and to discover new reserves. Historically, we have financed our capital expenditures primarily with cash flow from operations, borrowings under credit facilities, sales of producing properties, and sales of debt and equity securities and we expect to continue to do so in the future. We cannot assure you that we will have sufficient capital resources in the future to finance all of our planned capital expenditures.

Volatility in oil and gas prices, the timing of our drilling programs and drilling results will affect our cash flow from operations. Lower prices and/or lower production will also decrease revenues and cash flow, thus reducing the amount of financial resources available to meet our capital requirements, including reducing the amount available to pursue our drilling opportunities. If our cash flow from operations does not increase as a result of planned capital expenditures, a greater percentage of our cash flow from operations will be required for debt service and operating expenses and our planned capital expenditures would, by necessity, be decreased.

The borrowing base under our new credit facility will be determined from time to time by the lenders. Reductions in estimates of oil and gas reserves could result in a reduction in the borrowing base, which would reduce the amount of financial resources available under this new credit facility to meet our capital requirements. Such a reduction could be the result of lower commodity prices and/or production, inability to drill or unfavorable drilling results, changes in oil and gas reserve engineering, the lenders' inability to agree to an adequate borrowing base or adverse changes in the lenders' practices regarding estimation of reserves.

If cash flow from operations or our borrowing base decrease for any reason, our ability to undertake exploration and development activities could be adversely affected. As a result, our ability to replace production may be limited. In addition, if the borrowing base under the new credit facility is reduced, we would be required to reduce our borrowings under the new credit facility so that such borrowings do not exceed the borrowing base. This could further reduce the cash available to us for capital spending and, if we did not have sufficient capital to reduce our borrowing level, we may be in default under the new credit facility.

Table of Contents

Abraxas Petroleum has sold producing properties to provide it with liquidity and capital resources in the past and we may do so in the future. After any such sale, we would expect to utilize the proceeds to drill new wells on our remaining properties. If we cannot replace the production lost from properties sold with production from the remaining properties, our cash flow from operations will likely decrease, which in turn, would decrease the amount of cash available for additional capital spending.

We may be unable to acquire or develop additional reserves, in which case our results of operations and financial condition would be adversely affected.

Our future oil and gas production, and therefore our success, is highly dependent upon our ability to find, acquire and develop additional reserves that are profitable to produce. The rate of production from our oil and gas properties and our proved reserves will decline as our reserves are produced. Unless we acquire additional properties containing proved reserves, conduct successful development and exploration activities or, through engineering studies, identify additional behind-pipe zones or secondary recovery reserves, we cannot assure you that our exploration and development activities will result in increases in our proved reserves. Approximately 92% of the estimated ultimate recovery of our proved developed producing reserves as of December 31, 2008, had been produced. Based on the reserve information set forth in our reserve report of December 31, 2008, our average annual estimated decline rate for our net proved developed producing reserves is 11% during the first five years, 8% in the next five years, and approximately 8% thereafter. These rates of decline are estimates and actual production declines could be materially higher. While we have had some success in finding, acquiring and developing additional reserves, we have not always been able to fully replace the production volumes lost from natural field declines and prior property sales. For example, in 2006, we replaced only 7% of the reserves we produced. As our proved reserves and consequently our production decline, our cash flow from operations, and the amount that we are able to borrow under our new credit facility will also decline. In addition, approximately 46% of our total estimated proved reserves at December 31, 2008 were classified as undeveloped. By their nature, estimates of undeveloped reserves are less certain. Recovery of such reserves will require significant capital expenditures and successful drilling operations. Even if we are successful in our development efforts, it could take several years for a significant portion of these undeveloped reserves to generate positive cash flow.

We may not find any commercially productive oil and gas reservoirs.

We cannot assure you that the new wells we drill will be productive or that we will recover all or any portion of our capital investment. Drilling for oil and gas may be unprofitable. Dry holes and wells that are productive but do not produce sufficient net revenues after drilling, operating and other costs are unprofitable. The inherent risk of not finding commercially productive reservoirs will be compounded by the fact that 46% of our total estimated proved reserves at December 31, 2008, were classified as undeveloped. By their nature, estimates of undeveloped reserves are less certain. Recovery of such reserves will require significant capital expenditures and successful drilling operations. In addition, our properties may be susceptible to drainage from production by other operations on adjacent properties. If the volume of oil and gas we produce decreases, our cash flow from operations will decrease.

Our drilling operations may be curtailed, delayed or cancelled as a result of a variety of factors that are beyond our control or not covered by insurance.

Our drilling operations are subject to a number of risks, including:

unexpected drilling conditions;

facility or equipment failure or accidents;

shortages or delays in the availability of drilling rigs, equipment and crews;

adverse weather conditions;

Table of Contents

title problems;

unusual or unexpected geological formations;

pipeline ruptures;

fires, blowouts and explosions; and

uncontrollable flows of oil or gas or well fluids.

Any of these events could adversely affect our ability to conduct operations or cause substantial losses, including personal injury or loss of life, damage to or destruction of property, natural resources and equipment, pollution or other environmental, contamination, loss of wells, regulatory penalties, suspension of operations, and attorney's fees and other expenses incurred in the prosecution or defense of litigation.

We maintain insurance against some but not all of these risks. Additionally, we may elect not to obtain insurance if we believe that the cost of available insurance is excessive relative to the perceived risks presented. Losses could therefore occur for uninsurable or uninsured risks or in amounts in excess of existing insurance coverage. The occurrence of an event that is not fully covered by insurance could have a material adverse impact on our business activities, financial condition and results of operations.

Restrictive debt covenants could limit our growth and our ability to finance our operations, fund our capital needs, respond to changing conditions and engage in other business activities that may be in our best interests.

We expect our new credit facility will contain a number of significant covenants that, among other things, will limit our ability to:

incur or guarantee additional indebtedness and issue certain types of preferred stock or redeemable stock;

transfer or sell assets;

create liens on assets;

pay dividends or make other distributions on capital stock or make other restricted payments, including repurchasing, redeeming or retiring capital stock or subordinated debt or making certain investments or acquisitions;

engage in transactions with affiliates;

guarantee other indebtedness;

make any change in the principal nature of our business;

permit a change of control; or

consolidate, merge or transfer all or substantially all of our assets.

In addition, we expect that our new credit facility will require us to maintain compliance with specified financial covenants. Our ability to comply with these covenants may be adversely affected by events beyond our control, and we cannot assure you that we can maintain compliance with these covenants. These financial covenants could limit our ability to obtain future financings, make needed capital expenditures, withstand a future downturn in our business or the economy in general or otherwise conduct necessary or desirable business activities.

A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under our new credit facility. A default, if not cured or waived, could result in all of our indebtedness becoming immediately due and payable. If that should occur, we may not be able to pay all such debt or to borrow sufficient funds to refinance it. Even if new financing were then available, it may not be on terms that are acceptable or favorable to us.

Table of Contents

The marketability of our production depends largely upon the availability, proximity and capacity of gas gathering systems, pipelines and processing facilities.

The marketability of our production depends in part upon processing and transportation facilities. Transportation space on such gathering systems and pipelines is occasionally limited and at times unavailable due to repairs or improvements being made to such facilities or due to such space being utilized by other companies with priority transportation agreements. Our access to transportation options can also be affected by U.S. Federal and state regulation of oil and gas production and transportation, general economic conditions and changes in supply and demand. These factors and the availability of markets are beyond our control. If market factors dramatically change, the financial impact on us could be substantial and adversely affect our ability to produce and market oil and gas.

An increase in the differential between NYMEX and the reference or regional index price used to price our oil and gas would reduce our cash flow from operations.

Our oil and gas is priced in the local markets where it is produced based on local or regional supply and demand factors. The prices we receive for our oil and gas are typically lower than the relevant benchmark prices, such as NYMEX. The difference between the benchmark price and the price we receive is called a differential. Numerous factors may influence local pricing, such as refinery capacity, pipeline capacity and specifications, upsets in the midstream or downstream sectors of the industry, trade restrictions and governmental regulations. Additionally, insufficient pipeline capacity, lack of demand in any given operating area or other factors may cause the differential to increase in a particular area compared with other producing areas. For example, production increases from competing Canadian and Rocky Mountain producers, combined with limited refining and pipeline capacity in the Rocky Mountain area, have gradually widened differentials in this area.

During 2008, differentials averaged \$7.07 per Bbl of oil and \$1.30 per Mcf of gas. Approximately 39% of our production during 2008 was from the Rocky Mountain and Mid-Continent regions. Historically, these regions have experienced wider differentials than our Permian Basin and Gulf Coast properties. As the percentage of our production from the Rocky Mountain and Mid-Continent regions increases, we expect that our price differentials will also increase. Increases in the differential between the benchmark prices for oil and gas and the wellhead price we receive could significantly reduce our revenues and our cash flow from operations.

Our derivative contract activities could result in financial losses or could reduce our cash flow.

To achieve more predictable cash flow and reduce our exposure to adverse fluctuations in the prices of oil and gas and to comply with the requirements under our new credit facility, we will enter into derivative contracts, which we sometimes refer to as hedging arrangements, for a significant portion of our oil and gas production that could result in both realized and unrealized derivative contract losses. In connection with the monetization of Abraxas Energy's in-the-money commodity swaps, Abraxas Energy and Abraxas Petroleum entered into NYMEX-based fixed price commodity swap arrangements on approximately 85% of their oil and gas production from their estimated net proved developed producing reserves through December 31, 2012 and 70% for 2013 and we expect our new credit facility will require that we enter into similar arrangements. We expect that our derivative contracts that we entered into on July 29, 2009 will satisfy this requirement. Any new hedging arrangements will be priced at then-current market prices and may be significantly lower than the existing hedges we currently have in place. The extent of our commodity price exposure will be related largely to the effectiveness and scope of our commodity price derivative contract activities. For example, the prices utilized in our derivative instruments will be NYMEX-based, which may differ significantly from the actual prices we receive for oil and gas which are based on the local markets where oil and gas are produced. The prices that we receive for our oil and gas production are typically lower than the relevant benchmark prices that are used for calculating commodity derivative positions. The difference between the benchmark price and the price we receive is called a differential. As a result, our cash flow could be affected if the basis differentials widen more than we anticipate. For more information see *An increase in the differential between NYMEX and the reference or regional index price used to price our oil and gas would reduce our cash flow from operations.* We

Table of Contents

currently do not have any basis differential hedging arrangements in place. Our cash flow could also be affected based upon the levels of our production. If production is higher than we estimate, we will have greater commodity price exposure than we intended. If production is lower than the nominal amount that is subject to our hedging arrangements, we may be forced to satisfy all or a portion of our hedging arrangements without the benefit of the cash flow from our sale of the underlying physical commodity, resulting in a substantial reduction in cash flows.

If the prices at which we hedge our oil and gas production are less than current market prices, our cash flow from operations could be adversely affected.

When our derivative contract prices are higher than market prices, we will incur realized and unrealized gains on our derivative contracts and when contract prices are lower than market prices, we will incur realized and unrealized losses. For the year ended December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy recognized a realized loss on oil and gas derivative contracts of \$9.3 million and an unrealized gain of \$40.5 million. The realized loss resulted in a decrease in cash flow from operations. We expect to continue to enter into similar hedging arrangements in the future to reduce our cash flow volatility. In connection with the monetization of Abraxas Energy's in-the-money commodity swaps, we recently entered into hedging arrangements for specified volumes, which equate to approximately 85% of the estimated oil and gas production from our proved developed producing reserves through December 31, 2012 and 70% for 2013.

We cannot assure you that the derivative contracts that we have entered into, or will enter into, will adequately protect us from financial loss in the future due to circumstances such as:

highly volatile oil and gas prices;

our production being less than expected; or

a counterparty to one of our hedging transactions defaulting on its contractual obligations.

Lower oil and gas prices increase the risk of ceiling limitation write-downs.

We use the full cost method to account for our oil and gas operations. Accordingly, we capitalize the cost to acquire, explore for and develop oil and gas properties. Under full cost accounting rules, the net capitalized cost of oil and gas properties may not exceed a ceiling limit which is based upon the present value of estimated future net cash flows from proved reserves, discounted at 10%. If net capitalized costs of oil and gas properties exceed the ceiling limit, we must charge the amount of the excess to earnings. This is called a ceiling limitation write-down. This charge does not impact cash flow from operating activities, but does reduce our stockholders' equity and earnings. The risk that we will be required to write-down the carrying value of oil and gas properties increases when oil and gas prices are low. In addition, write-downs may occur if we experience substantial downward adjustments to our estimated proved reserves. An expense recorded in one period may not be reversed in a subsequent period even though higher oil and gas prices may have increased the ceiling applicable to the subsequent period.

At December 31, 2008, our net capitalized costs of oil and gas properties exceeded the present value of our estimated proved reserves by \$116.4 million resulting in a write-down of \$116.4 million. We cannot assure you that we will not experience additional ceiling limitation write-downs in the future.

Use of our net operating loss carryforwards may be limited.

At December 31, 2008, we had, subject to the limitation discussed below, \$194.4 million of net operating loss carryforwards for U.S. tax purposes. These loss carryforwards will expire in varying amounts through 2028 if not otherwise used.

The use of our net operating loss carryforwards may be limited if an ownership change of over 50 percentage points occurs during any three-year period. Based on current estimates, we believe that we have not

Table of Contents

surpassed this threshold. If the Merger itself were to cause us to surpass the threshold, we believe that our current net operating loss carryforwards could be used to offset any U.S. federal income tax liability caused by the Merger. With respect to any remaining net operating loss carryforwards following the Merger, it is feasible that even a modest change of ownership (including, but not limited to, a shift in common stock ownership by one reasonably large stockholder or any offering of common stock) during the three-year period following the Merger could trigger a significant limitation of the amount of such net operating loss carryforwards available to offset future taxable income.

Additionally, uncertainties exist as to the future utilization of the operating loss carryforwards under the criteria set forth under FASB Statement No. 109. Therefore, we have established a valuation allowance of \$66.9 million for deferred tax assets at December 31, 2006, \$47.2 million at December 31, 2007 and \$60.8 million at December 31, 2008.

We depend on our Chairman, President and CEO and the loss of his services could have an adverse effect on our operations.

We depend to a large extent on Robert L.G. Watson, our Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, for our management and business and financial contacts. Mr. Watson may terminate his employment agreement with us at any time on 30 days notice, but, if he terminates without cause, he would not be entitled to the severance benefits provided under the terms of that agreement. Mr. Watson is not precluded from working for, with or on behalf of a competitor upon termination of his employment with us. If Mr. Watson were no longer able or willing to act as our Chairman, the loss of his services could have an adverse effect on our operations.

Risks Related to Our Industry

Market conditions for oil and gas, and particularly volatility of prices for oil and gas, could adversely affect our revenue, cash flows, profitability and growth.

Our revenue, cash flows, profitability and future rate of growth depend substantially upon prevailing prices for oil and gas. Gas prices affect us more than oil prices because 65% of our production and 72% of our reserves were gas at December 31, 2008. Prices also affect the amount of cash flow available for capital expenditures and our ability to borrow money or raise additional capital. Lower prices may also make it uneconomical for us to increase or even continue current production levels of oil and gas.

Prices for oil and gas are subject to large fluctuations in response to relatively minor changes in the supply and demand for oil and gas, market uncertainty and a variety of other factors beyond our control, including:

changes in foreign and domestic supply and demand for oil and gas;

political stability and economic conditions in oil producing countries, particularly in the Middle East;

general economic conditions;

domestic and foreign governmental regulation; and

the price and availability of alternative fuel sources.

The current global recession has had a significant impact on commodity prices and our operations. If commodity prices remain depressed, our revenues, profitability and cash flow from operations may decrease which could cause us to alter our business plans, including reducing our drilling activities.

Estimates of proved reserves and future net revenue are inherently imprecise.

The process of estimating oil and gas reserves is complex involving decisions and assumptions in evaluating the available geological, geophysical, engineering and economic data. Accordingly, these estimates are

Table of Contents

imprecise. Actual future production, oil and gas prices, revenues, taxes, capital expenditures, operating expenses and quantities of recoverable oil and gas reserves most likely will vary from those estimated. Any significant variance could materially affect the estimated quantities and present value of reserves set forth in this proxy statement. In addition, we may adjust estimates of proved reserves to reflect production history, results of exploration and development, prevailing oil and gas prices and other factors, many of which are beyond our control.

The estimates of our consolidated reserves are based upon various assumptions about future production levels, prices and costs that may not prove to be correct over time. In particular, estimates of oil and gas reserves, future net revenue from proved reserves and the PV-10 thereof for our oil and gas properties are based on the assumption that future oil and gas prices remain the same as oil and gas prices at December 31, 2008. The sales prices as of such date used for purposes of such estimates were \$4.77 per Mcf of gas and \$41.84 per Bbl of oil. This compares with \$6.33 per Mcf of gas and \$87.30 per Bbl of oil as of December 31, 2007. These estimates also assume that we will make future capital expenditures of approximately \$134.1 million in the aggregate primarily from 2009 through 2014, which are necessary to develop and realize the value of proved undeveloped reserves on our properties. In addition, approximately 46% of our total estimated consolidated proved reserves as of December 31, 2008 were classified as undeveloped. By their nature, estimates of undeveloped reserves are less certain than proved developed reserves. Any significant variance in actual results from these assumptions could also materially affect the estimated quantity and value of reserves set forth in this proxy statement.

The present value of future net cash flows from our proved reserves is not necessarily the same as the current market value of our estimated reserves. Any material inaccuracies in our reserve estimates or underlying assumptions will materially affect the quantities and present value of our reserves, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

As required by SEC regulations, we base the estimated discounted future net cash flows from our proved reserves on prices and costs in effect on the day of the estimate. However, actual future net cash flows from our properties will be affected by factors such as:

supply of and demand for oil and gas;

actual prices we receive for oil and gas;

our actual operating costs;

the amount and timing of our capital expenditures;

the amount and timing of actual production; and

changes in governmental regulations or taxation.

The timing of both our production and our incurrence of expenses in connection with the development and production of our properties will affect the timing of actual future net cash flows from proved reserves, and thus their actual present value. In addition, the 10% discount factor we use when calculating discounted future net cash flow, which is required by the SEC, may not be the most appropriate discount factor based on interest rates in effect from time to time and risks associated with us or the oil and gas industry in general. Any material inaccuracies in our reserve estimates or underlying assumptions will materially affect the quantities and present value of our reserves, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our operations are subject to the numerous risks of oil and gas drilling and production activities.

Our oil and gas drilling and production activities are subject to numerous risks, many of which are beyond our control. These risks include the risk of fire, explosions, blow-outs, pipe failure, abnormally pressured formations and environmental hazards. Environmental hazards include oil spills, gas leaks, ruptures and discharges of toxic gases. In addition, title problems, weather conditions and mechanical difficulties or shortages

Table of Contents

or delays in delivery of drilling rigs and other equipment could negatively affect our operations. If any of these or other similar industry operating risks occur, we could have substantial losses. Substantial losses also may result from injury or loss of life, severe damage to or destruction of property, clean-up responsibilities, regulatory investigation and penalties and suspension of operations. In accordance with industry practice, we maintain insurance against some, but not all, of the risks described above. We cannot assure you that our insurance will be adequate to cover losses or liabilities. Also, we cannot predict the continued availability of insurance at premium levels that justify its purchase.

We operate in a highly competitive industry which may adversely affect our operations.

We operate in a highly competitive environment. The principal resources necessary for the exploration and production of oil and gas are leasehold prospects under which oil and gas reserves may be discovered, drilling rigs and related equipment to explore for such reserves and knowledgeable personnel to conduct all phases of oil and gas operations. We must compete for such resources with both major oil and gas companies and independent operators. Many of these competitors have financial and other resources substantially greater than ours. Although we believe our current operating and financial resources are adequate to preclude any significant disruption of our operations in the immediate future, we cannot assure you that such materials and resources will be available to us.

The unavailability or high cost of drilling rigs, equipment, supplies, insurance, personnel and oil field services could adversely affect our ability to execute our exploration and development plans on a timely basis and within our budget.

Our industry is cyclical and, from time to time, there could be a shortage of drilling rigs, equipment, supplies, insurance or qualified personnel. During these periods, the costs and delivery times of rigs, equipment and supplies are substantially greater. In addition, the demand for, and wages of, qualified drilling rig crews rise as the number of active rigs in service increases. When oil and gas prices are high, the demand for oilfield services rises and the cost of these services increases.

Our oil and gas operations are subject to various Federal, state and local regulations that materially affect our operations.

Matters regulated include permits for drilling operations, drilling and abandonment bonds, reports concerning operations, the spacing of wells and unitization and pooling of properties and taxation. At various times, regulatory agencies have imposed price controls and limitations on production. In order to conserve supplies of oil and gas, these agencies have restricted the rates of flow from oil and gas wells below actual production capacity. Federal, state and local laws regulate production, handling, storage, transportation and disposal of oil and gas, by-products from oil and gas and other substances and materials produced or used in connection with oil and gas operations. To date, our expenditures related to complying with these laws and for remediation of existing environmental contamination have not been significant. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with all applicable laws and regulations. However, the requirements of such laws and regulations are frequently changed. We cannot predict the ultimate cost of compliance with these requirements or their effect on our operations.

Risks Related to Abraxas Petroleum's Common Stock

Future issuance of additional shares of common stock could cause dilution of ownership interests and adversely affect the stock price.

Abraxas Petroleum is currently authorized to issue 200,000,000 shares of common stock with such rights as determined by its board of directors. Abraxas Petroleum may in the future issue its previously authorized and unissued securities, resulting in the dilution of the ownership interests of current stockholders. In addition, if we issue the maximum number of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger, our existing

Table of Contents

stockholders would own approximately 65.6% of our common stock then outstanding. The potential issuance of such additional shares of common stock may create downward pressure on the trading price of the common stock. Abraxas Petroleum may also issue additional shares of common stock or other securities that are convertible into or exercisable for common stock for capital raising or other business purposes. Future sales of substantial amounts of common stock, or the perception that sales could occur, could have a material adverse effect on the price of the common stock.

Abraxas Petroleum does not pay dividends on common stock.

Abraxas Petroleum has never paid a cash dividend on its common stock and the terms of the new credit facility will prohibit its ability to pay dividends on Abraxas Petroleum's common stock.

Shares eligible for future sale may depress our stock price.

At August 28, 2009, Abraxas Petroleum had 49,836,894 shares of common stock outstanding of which 4,511,997 shares were held by affiliates and, in addition, 3,202,109 shares of common stock were subject to outstanding options granted under stock option plans (of which 1,922,244 shares were vested at August 28, 2009).

All of the shares of common stock held by affiliates are restricted or control securities under Rule 144 promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The shares of the common stock issuable upon exercise of the stock options have been registered under the Securities Act. Sales of shares of common stock under Rule 144 or another exemption under the Securities Act or pursuant to a registration statement could have a material adverse effect on the price of the common stock and could impair our ability to raise additional capital through the sale of equity securities.

After consummation of the Merger, assuming the mid-point of the exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share, Abraxas Petroleum will have 81,400,775 shares of common stock outstanding of which 5,435,947 shares will be held by affiliates and, in addition, 4,477,978 shares of common stock will be subject to outstanding options granted under stock option plans (of which 1,922,244 shares will be vested at closing of the Merger). All of the shares issued in the Merger will initially be restricted securities under the Securities Act.

The price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock has been volatile and could continue to fluctuate substantially.

Abraxas Petroleum common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Stock Market. The market price of the common stock has been volatile and could fluctuate substantially based on a variety of factors, including the following:

fluctuations in commodity prices;

variations in results of operations;

legislative or regulatory changes;

general trends in the industry;

market conditions; and

analysts' estimates and other events in the oil and gas oil industry.

Abraxas Petroleum may issue shares of preferred stock with greater rights than the common stock.

Edgar Filing: ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEFM14A

Subject to the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market, Abraxas Petroleum's articles of incorporation authorize its board of directors to issue one or more series of preferred stock and set the terms of the preferred stock without seeking any further approval from holders of the common stock. Any preferred stock that is issued may rank ahead of the common stock in terms of dividends, priority and liquidation premiums and may have greater voting rights than the common stock.

Table of Contents

Anti-takeover provisions could make a third party acquisition of Abraxas Petroleum difficult.

Abraxas Petroleum's articles of incorporation and bylaws provide for a classified board of directors, with each member serving a three-year term, and eliminate the ability of stockholders to call special meetings or take action by written consent. Each of the provisions in the articles of incorporation and bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire Abraxas Petroleum without the approval of its board. In addition, the Nevada corporate statute also contains certain provisions that could make an acquisition by a third party more difficult.

An active market may not continue for the common stock and we could face de-listing if our stock price remains depressed.

The Abraxas Petroleum common stock is quoted on the NASDAQ Stock Market. While there are currently three market makers in the common stock, these market makers are not obligated to continue to make a market in the common stock. In this event, the liquidity of the common stock could be adversely impacted and a stockholder could have difficulty obtaining accurate stock quotes. If our stock price remains below \$1.00 per share for an extended period of time, we could be de-listed from the NASDAQ Stock Market as the minimum threshold for a continued listing is \$1.00 per share.

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Some of the statements in this proxy statement, including those that contain the words anticipate, believe, plan, estimate, expect, should, and other similar expressions, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended. Those forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements or those of our industry to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by those forward-looking statements. Among the factors that could cause actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those described or implied in the forward-looking statements are:

With respect to the Merger:

the occurrence of any event, change or other circumstances that could give rise to the termination of the Merger Agreement or the failure of required conditions to close the Merger;

the inability to obtain stockholder approval or the failure to satisfy other conditions to completion of the Merger;

risks that the proposed transaction disrupts current plans and operations;

the performance of Abraxas Petroleum; and

the amount of the costs, fees, expenses and charges related to the Merger;

any of the assumptions underlying the projected financial information of Abraxas Petroleum proving to be inaccurate;

our success in development, exploitation and exploration activities;

our ability to make planned capital expenditures;

declines in our production of oil and gas;

prices for oil and gas;

our ability to raise equity capital or incur additional indebtedness;

the consummation of the Merger;

economic and business conditions;

political and economic conditions in oil producing countries, especially those in the Middle East;

price and availability of alternative fuels;

our restrictive debt covenants;

our acquisition and divestiture activities;

results of our hedging activities; and

other factors discussed elsewhere in this document.

Copies of our SEC filings are available from the SEC or may be obtained upon request from us. We do not undertake any obligation to update the information contained herein, which speaks only as of this date, other than as required by law.

Table of Contents

THE SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Date, Time and Place

The Abraxas Petroleum Special Meeting will be held on October 5, 2009, at 9:00 a.m., local time, at Abraxas Petroleum's corporate office located at 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.

Purposes of the Special Meeting

At the Special Meeting, Abraxas Petroleum stockholders will be asked to:

approve the issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger;

approve the amendment to the LTIP increasing the number of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock reserved for issuance under the LTIP; and

approve the adjournment of the Special Meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies, in the event that there are not sufficient votes at the time of the Special Meeting to approve the foregoing proposals.

Record Date

Only holders of record at the close of business August 28, 2009, will be entitled to vote at the Special Meeting. Each share of Abraxas Petroleum common stock is entitled to one vote. As of the record date, there were 49,836,894 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock entitled to vote at the Special Meeting.

The holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock entitled to vote at the Special Meeting must be present in person or by proxy to establish a quorum for business to be conducted at the Special Meeting. Abstentions and non-votes are treated as shares that are present and entitled to vote for purposes of establishing a quorum. Non-votes occur when a proxy:

is returned by a broker or other stockholder who does not have authority to vote;

does not give authority to a proxy to vote; or

withholds authority to vote on one or more proposals.

Required Vote

Approval of the issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger and the amendment to the LTIP each require the votes cast in favor of each such proposal to exceed the votes cast against such proposal at the Special Meeting by the holders of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, assuming a quorum. Because the required vote is based on the votes cast in favor of such proposal exceeding the votes cast against such proposal, your failure to vote, a broker non-vote or an abstention will not be treated as a vote cast and, therefore, will have no effect on these proposals, assuming a quorum.

If there is a quorum, approval of any necessary or appropriate adjournment of the Special Meeting requires the votes cast in favor of such proposal to exceed the votes cast against such proposal at the Special Meeting by the holders of Abraxas Petroleum common stock. In the absence of a quorum, the Special Meeting may be adjourned by the approval of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock present and entitled to vote at the Special Meeting.

Edgar Filing: ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEFM14A

As of the record date, directors and executive officers of Abraxas Petroleum and their affiliates had the right to vote 4,245,438 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, or 8.5% of the outstanding shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock entitled to be voted at the Special Meeting. We currently expect that each of these individuals will vote their shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in favor of the proposals to be presented at the Special Meeting.

Table of Contents

Voting of Proxies

Votes cast in person or by proxy at the Special Meeting will be tabulated at the Special Meeting. All valid, unrevoked proxies will be voted as directed. In the absence of instructions to the contrary, properly executed proxies will be voted in favor of each of the proposals listed in the notice of Special Meeting.

Many of our stockholders hold their shares through a stockbroker, bank or other nominee rather than directly in their own names. As summarized below, there are some distinctions between shares held of record and those owned beneficially.

Stockholder of Record. If your shares are registered directly in your name or with our transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, you are considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares and these proxy materials are being sent directly to you by us. As a stockholder of record, you have the right to grant your voting proxy directly to us or to vote in person at the Special Meeting. We have enclosed a proxy card for your use.

Beneficial Holder. If your shares are held in a brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of the shares held in street name, and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by your broker or nominee who is considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker on how to vote and are also invited to attend the Special Meeting. However, since you are not the stockholder of record, you may not vote these shares in person at the Special Meeting. Your broker or nominee has enclosed a proxy card for your use.

How To Vote By Proxy; Revocability of Proxies

To vote by proxy, you must mark, sign, date, and return the proxy card in the enclosed envelope. If you are a beneficial holder, you may also vote your shares by telephone or the Internet using the instructions on each proxy card. Any Abraxas Petroleum stockholder who delivers a properly executed proxy may revoke the proxy at any time before it is voted. Proxies may be revoked by:

delivering a written revocation of the proxy to the Abraxas Petroleum Secretary before the Special Meeting;

submitting a later-dated proxy by mail, telephone or the Internet; or

appearing at the Special Meeting and voting in person.

Attendance at the Special Meeting will not, in and of itself, constitute revocation of a proxy. An Abraxas Petroleum stockholder whose shares are held in the name of its broker, bank or other nominee must bring a legal proxy from its broker, bank or other nominee to the meeting in order to vote in person.

Deadline for Voting by Proxy

In order to be counted, votes cast by proxy must be received prior to the Special Meeting.

Solicitation of Proxies

Proxies will be solicited by mail. Proxies may also be solicited personally, or by telephone, fax, or other means by the directors, officers, and employees of Abraxas Petroleum. Directors, officers, and employees soliciting proxies will receive no extra compensation, but may be reimbursed for related out-of-pocket expenses. In addition to solicitation by mail, Abraxas Petroleum will make arrangements with brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees, and fiduciaries to send the proxy materials to beneficial owners. Abraxas Petroleum will, upon request, reimburse these brokerage houses, custodians, and other persons for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in doing so. Abraxas Petroleum will pay the cost of solicitation of proxies.

If you need assistance in completing your proxy card or have questions regarding the Special Meeting, including directions to attend the Special Meeting in person, please contact:

Edgar Filing: ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEFM14A

Abraxas Petroleum Corporation

18803 Meisner Drive

San Antonio, Texas 78258

(210) 490-4788

Attn: Investor Relations

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 1 APPROVAL OF STOCK ISSUANCE

We are asking you to approve the issuance of shares of our common stock to the Abraxas Energy unitholders in accordance with the Merger Agreement so we may complete the Merger.

This section describes the Merger and the Merger Agreement. Although we believe that this description covers the material terms of the Merger and the Merger Agreement, it may not contain all of the information that is important to you. We encourage you to read this entire proxy statement carefully, including the Merger Agreement, which is attached as Annex A, for a more complete understanding of the Merger. The following description is subject to, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, the Merger Agreement.

General

In the Merger, Abraxas Energy will merge into Merger Sub, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum, with Merger Sub surviving the Merger and continuing as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum. As consideration for the Merger, we will issue to Abraxas Energy's unitholders, other than Investments, not less than 4.25 shares and not more than 6.00 shares of our common stock per common unit of Abraxas Energy. The final number of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in the Merger will be determined by dividing \$6.00 by the average volume weighted average closing price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock on the NASDAQ during the 20 trading days ending three business days prior to the date of the Special Meeting, or the VWAP. For example, if the VWAP is \$1.00 or less, holders of Abraxas Energy common units would receive 6.00 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock for each of their common units and if the VWAP is \$1.412 or more, holders of Abraxas Energy common units would receive 4.25 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock for each of their common units. Assuming the mid-point of the exchange ratio, or \$1.17 per share, holders of Abraxas Energy common units would receive 5.125 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock for each of their common units.

In the Merger, we will issue a maximum of 36,952,836 shares of our common stock. This would represent approximately 42.6% of our outstanding shares of common stock upon the closing of the Merger. We anticipate that upon completion of the Merger, depending upon the exchange ratio, Abraxas Energy's former unitholders will own between 34.4% and 42.6% of the shares of our common stock then outstanding. At the mid-point of the exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share, we would issue 31,563,881 shares of our common stock, or approximately 38.8% of the shares of our common stock then outstanding. Our stockholders will continue to own their existing Abraxas Petroleum shares, which will not be affected by the Merger.

Abraxas Energy currently has 91 holders of its common units. A total of 6,002,408 Abraxas Energy common units were issued in a private placement in May 2007 and are held by 25 accredited investors, which we refer to as the Investor Units. The shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in exchange for the Investor Units will be issued pursuant to the exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, provided in Section 4(2) and Regulation D of that Act. The shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in reliance on this exemption will be issued to 25 investors, each of whom has represented to us that it is an accredited investor and/or qualified institutional buyer and that such investor was not solicited by means of a general solicitation.

In addition, 52,000 Abraxas Energy restricted units and 63,008 phantom units, none of which have vested, were issued pursuant to the Abraxas Energy Partners, L.P. Long-Term Incentive Plan, which we sometimes refer to as the Partnership LTIP, and are held by 66 employees of Abraxas Petroleum and members of the Abraxas Energy Board, which we refer to as the Partnership LTIP Units. The shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in exchange for the outstanding Partnership LTIP Units will be registered on a Form S-8 to be filed by Abraxas Petroleum upon consummation of the Merger. In addition, a total of 248,950 unit options that were approved by the Abraxas Energy Board and issuable upon the closing of the initial public offering of Abraxas

Table of Contents

Energy to a total of 14 employees of Abraxas Petroleum will be assumed by Abraxas Petroleum and converted into options to purchase Abraxas Petroleum common stock. The shares issuable upon exercise of these options will also be registered on a Form S-8 to be filed by Abraxas Petroleum upon consummation of the Merger.

Background of the Merger

Since January 1, 1991, Abraxas Petroleum's principal means of growth has been through the acquisition and subsequent development and exploitation of producing properties and related assets.

On May 25, 2007, Abraxas Petroleum formed a master limited partnership, Abraxas Energy. Abraxas Petroleum contributed certain assets located in South and West Texas to Abraxas Energy that had estimated proved reserves of approximately 65.0 Bcfe as of December 31, 2006 and accounted for approximately 85% of Abraxas Petroleum's then current daily production. Abraxas Petroleum, through Investments and the GP, retained an approximate 47% interest in Abraxas Energy consisting of 5,131,959 common units and 227,232 general partner units, respectively. Abraxas Energy sold an approximate 53% interest in Abraxas Energy in a private placement offering for approximately \$100.0 million. The private placement consisted of 6,002,408 common units at a purchase price of \$16.66 per unit. In connection with the private placement, Abraxas Energy entered into a registration rights agreement and an exchange and registration rights agreement with the private placement investors. In addition, Abraxas Energy entered into a \$150.0 million senior secured credit facility, of which \$35.0 million was drawn at closing of the private placement transaction. Net proceeds from the private placement transaction, together with net proceeds from a private placement offering of Abraxas Petroleum common stock and the borrowings under Abraxas Energy's credit facility (collectively, \$157.5 million), were used to repay all of Abraxas Petroleum's indebtedness as well as pay fees and expenses of the transactions.

The private placement was intended to be the first step in a two-step process, the second being an initial public offering, or IPO, of Abraxas Energy's common units. Under the terms of the registration rights agreement, if the IPO was not consummated by February 14, 2008, the private placement investors were entitled to receive liquidated damages, and under the terms of the exchange and registration rights agreement, if the IPO was not consummated by November 15, 2008, the private placement investors had the right to exchange their Abraxas Energy common units for shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock.

On July 13, 2007, Abraxas Energy filed its initial registration statement on Form S-1.

On November 14, 2007, Abraxas Energy submitted an offer to purchase certain oil and gas properties located across the central portion of the U.S. from St. Mary Land & Exploration Company, or St. Mary, for a purchase price of \$140.0 million. St. Mary accepted Abraxas Energy's offer on or about November 20, 2007.

On December 5, 2007, Abraxas Energy and the private placement investors entered into amendment no. 1 to the registration rights agreement amending the date by which the IPO must be consummated from February 14, 2008 to September 30, 2008 in order to allow Abraxas Energy to close the acquisition from St. Mary, re-file its registration statement with the SEC to include all pertinent information regarding the acquisition, and provide time to respond to any additional comments from the SEC.

On December 11, 2007, Abraxas Energy and St. Mary entered into a purchase and sale agreement, pursuant to which Abraxas Energy would acquire certain oil and gas properties from St. Mary, effective December 1, 2007, for \$140.0 million.

On January 31, 2008, Abraxas Petroleum joined the purchase and sale agreement between Abraxas Energy and St. Mary, pursuant to which Abraxas Petroleum agreed to acquire the relatively higher risk, higher return properties from St. Mary, and Abraxas Energy and Abraxas Petroleum closed the acquisition of certain oil and gas properties from St. Mary. Abraxas Energy acquired approximately 57.2 Bcfe of estimated proved reserves for an adjusted purchase price of \$126.0 million, and Abraxas Petroleum acquired approximately 4.3 Bcfe of estimated proved

Table of Contents

reserves for an adjusted purchase price of \$5.6 million. Abraxas Energy funded its portion of the acquisition with borrowings under an amended and restated \$300.0 million senior secured credit facility and a new \$50.0 million subordinated credit facility, and Abraxas Petroleum funded its portion of the acquisition out of internal cash flow. The maturity date on Abraxas Energy's new subordinated credit facility was January 31, 2009.

On April 25, 2008, Abraxas Energy re-filed its registration statement (amendment no. 5) with the SEC, which incorporated the acquisition from St. Mary and other updated information.

On or about July 3, 2008, Abraxas Energy's lead underwriter, Wachovia Securities, withdrew from the IPO underwriting syndicate after its equity research analyst decided that he would not support the IPO due to the property mix (in particular, the concentration risk of the development drilling). RBC Capital Markets, the co-lead underwriter, was moved to lead underwriter, and the underwriting syndicate was filled with Stifel, Nicolaus & Company and Oppenheimer & Co.

On September 10, 2008, Abraxas Energy re-filed its registration statement (amendment no. 8) with the SEC, which incorporated the new underwriting syndicate and updated financial information for the second quarter of 2008, and prepared to launch its IPO.

On September 15, 2008, Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. filed bankruptcy, and on September 16, 2008, the U.S. government acquired control of AIG (American International Group Inc.) in an \$85 billion bailout. Prior to these two significant events, on September 6, 2008, the U.S. government took over mortgage lending giants Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and Merrill Lynch & Co. agreed to sell itself to Bank of America. In the ensuing months, turmoil in the global debt and equity markets with numerous bank failures and government bailouts dominated the news and caused many uncertainties and instability in the financial markets. Alongside the demise of many financial institutions, oil prices declined 68% from \$140 per barrel in July 2008 to \$45 per barrel by the end of 2008.

On October 6, 2008, Abraxas Energy and the private placement investors entered into amendment no. 2 to the registration rights agreement, amending the date by which the IPO must be consummated from September 30, 2008 to April 30, 2009, and amendment no. 1 to the exchange and registration rights agreement, amending the date by which the IPO must be consummated from November 15, 2008 to April 30, 2009, in order to give the equity and debt markets time to stabilize and for Abraxas Energy to obtain an extension to the maturity date of its subordinated credit facility.

On January 16, 2009, Abraxas Energy and the lenders under its senior credit facility and its subordinated credit facility entered into amendment no. 1 to both facilities, pursuant to which the maturity date of the subordinated credit facility was extended from January 31, 2009 to July 1, 2009 and a provision was added that an event of default would occur if Abraxas Energy failed to receive equity issuance proceeds of \$20.0 million on or before April 30, 2009.

In January 2009, Abraxas Energy's lead underwriter, RBC Capital Markets, withdrew from the IPO underwriting syndicate after Abraxas Energy determined to reduce the size of the IPO below \$20 million due to market conditions.

On February 25, 2009, Abraxas Energy engaged Rivington Capital Advisors to act as exclusive financial advisor to refinance its subordinated credit facility.

On February 27, 2009, Abraxas Energy engaged Rodman & Renshaw as the lead underwriter for the IPO.

On March 10, 2009, Abraxas Energy re-filed its registration statement (amendment no. 9) with the SEC, which incorporated the new underwriting syndicate and updated financials and other information for year-end 2008 and included an estimated initial offering price range of \$6.50 to \$8.50 per unit.

Table of Contents

On March 12, 2009, Rivington Capital Advisors and Abraxas Energy launched the marketing of a new second lien credit facility to a number of potential lenders.

During March and April 2009, Abraxas Energy met with numerous potential lenders and received several term sheets, all of which contained very restrictive covenants which, in time, would have caused Abraxas Energy to partially reduce or suspend distributions to its unitholders.

On April 29, 2009, management of Abraxas Energy held a meeting with the private placement investors to review and discuss all of the alternatives that management had identified. The six alternatives discussed were as follows:

allow the subordinated credit facility to mature, resulting in an event of default under both credit facilities, suspended cash distributions, cash flow sweep by the lenders and potential asset sales or liquidation;

sale of Abraxas Energy's hedges to repay the subordinated credit facility, which would result in a borrowing base deficiency under Abraxas Energy's credit facility and a resulting suspension of all cash distributions;

postpone the IPO (to wait for a better market) and refinance the subordinated credit facility, which would likely result in reduced or suspended cash distributions;

allow the private placement investors to exchange for shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock under the existing terms of the exchange and registration rights agreement, resulting in substantial dilution to Abraxas Petroleum's existing stockholders;

increase the size of the IPO by reducing the initial cash distribution rate, adding the incentive of warrants and reducing the size of the new second lien facility, although the terms of a smaller second lien facility would likely result in reduced or suspended cash distributions; and

merge Abraxas Energy into Abraxas Petroleum on negotiated terms and with a meaningful lock-up period.

On April 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy and the lenders under its senior credit facility and its subordinated credit facility entered into amendment no. 2 to both facilities, pursuant to which the event of default relating to Abraxas Energy's failure to receive equity issuance proceeds of \$20.0 million on or before April 30, 2009 was extended to May 7, 2009.

On May 1, 2009, Abraxas Energy and the private placement investors entered into amendment no. 2 to the exchange and registration rights agreement amending the date by which the IPO must be consummated from April 30, 2009 to June 30, 2009. In exchange for this amendment, Abraxas Energy agreed to add one additional representative of the private placement investors to the board of directors of the GP, which we refer to as the Abraxas Energy Board, and to engage an investment bank to review all of its alternatives. Management of Abraxas Energy contacted four investment banks and requested that each bank provide its qualifications, fees and availability to complete the alternatives review within a compressed time frame.

On May 4, 2009, Mr. Watson sent a memo to the Abraxas Petroleum board of directors, which we refer to as the Abraxas Petroleum Board, which detailed the obstacles that Abraxas Energy was facing with regard to the IPO and a new second lien facility, and other potential alternatives, including a merger of Abraxas Energy into Abraxas Petroleum on negotiated terms.

On May 7, 2009, Abraxas Energy and the lenders under its credit facility and its subordinated credit facility entered into amendment no. 3 to both facilities, pursuant to which the event of default relating to Abraxas Energy's failure to receive equity issuance proceeds of \$20.0 million on or before May 7, 2009 was extended to June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

On May 8, 2009, the Abraxas Energy Board met and reviewed the information provided by three of the investment banks contacted by management and after a lengthy discussion, the board approved the engagement of Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated, or Stifel, to review its alternatives and provide advisory services to Abraxas Energy.

On May 11, 2009, Mr. Watson sent a memo to the Abraxas Petroleum Board advising them that the Abraxas Energy Board engaged Stifel to review its alternatives and provide advisory services to Abraxas Energy, that a preliminary recommendation would be presented to the Abraxas Energy Board on May 20, 2009, and that, after review and discussion by the Abraxas Energy Board, a proposal would be presented to the Abraxas Petroleum Board at its scheduled board meeting on May 21, 2009.

Between May 11, 2009 and May 20, 2009, management of Abraxas Energy provided Stifel with certain requested information, including reserve reports, historical financial data, internal estimates and future projections, to assist Stifel in its review of Abraxas Energy's alternatives.

On May 15, 2009, Abraxas Energy re-filed its registration statement (amendment no. 11) with the SEC, which incorporated a larger IPO with warrant coverage and a smaller second lien facility and included a reduced estimated initial offering price range of \$4.00 to \$6.00 per common unit.

On May 20, 2009, the Abraxas Energy Board met to review Stifel's presentation. Stifel prepared an analysis of Abraxas Energy's alternatives, which Stifel presented to the Abraxas Energy Board. Given the circumstances, Stifel's analysis contained six alternatives:

IPO and refinancing of Abraxas Energy's subordinated credit facility;

private placement investors exchanging all of their Abraxas Energy common units for shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock pursuant to the exchange and registration rights agreement;

private placement investors exchanging a portion of their Abraxas Energy common units for shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock pursuant to the exchange and registration rights agreement;

raising third party institutional capital (debt, equity and/or mezzanine);

suspending cash distributions to all Abraxas Energy unitholders and use the cash to repay indebtedness under the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility; and

merging Abraxas Energy into Abraxas Petroleum on negotiated terms and concurrent negotiation of a new credit facility.

In its presentation, Stifel noted that merging Abraxas Energy into Abraxas Petroleum would provide a long-term solution without further complicating the entities' organizational structure or utilizing Abraxas Energy's free cash flow uneconomically. Stifel further commented that merging Abraxas Energy into Abraxas Petroleum would strengthen discussions with current and prospective lenders. Stifel also presented various accretion / dilution models and pro forma analyses of the merged entity on a cash flow per share, earnings per share and net asset value per share basis. After Stifel's presentation, the Abraxas Energy Board discussed the various alternatives and valuations associated with the potential merger. The Abraxas Energy Board then noted that one of the most important components to a potential merger was the ability to negotiate a new credit facility. Because Messrs. Watson and Cox are directors of Abraxas Petroleum, it was determined that Messrs. Watson and Cox would not vote on any matters pertaining to a potential transaction with Abraxas Petroleum. After concluding its discussions, the Abraxas Energy Board (with Messrs. Watson and Cox abstaining) authorized management to commence discussions with Société Générale, provided the Abraxas Petroleum Board supported pursuit of the merger alternative at its board meeting scheduled for May 21, 2009, while continuing to pursue parallel paths of the merger and the IPO.

On May 21, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Board met and discussed the potential transaction and reviewed a memo by Ms. Stuckey which contained a rationale for the merger including change of control, debt and stockholder vote issues. The memo stated that the rationale for the

merger was that if the entities were able to

Table of Contents

merge at an acceptable valuation, Abraxas Petroleum would have over \$30 million per year in free cash flow for capital expenditures and debt reduction. On the other hand, if Abraxas Energy was unable to re-finance its subordinated credit facility, cash distributions would be reduced to zero and Abraxas Petroleum would have minimal free cash flow to fund its capital expenditures.

Between May 21, 2009 and May 27, 2009, management of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy had numerous discussions with Société Générale regarding the potential merger. Management of Abraxas Petroleum provided Société Générale with reserve reports as well as internal estimates of future projections of the combined company. Société Générale, in turn, had numerous discussions internally as well as discussions with the existing lenders in the Abraxas Energy credit facility to gauge their level of support for the potential transaction.

On May 27, 2009, Mr. Watson sent a memo to the Abraxas Petroleum Board discussing the various scenarios that Société Générale had modeled with regard to the potential merger.

On May 28, 2009, Ms. Stuckey sent a memo to the Abraxas Petroleum Board discussing the Société Générale scenarios and the impact to the three-year forecast with regard to the potential merger.

On May 29, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Board met and discussed the various scenarios that Société Générale had modeled and the result of those scenarios on Abraxas Petroleum's internal three-year forecast. Because Messrs. Watson and Cox are directors of Abraxas Energy and because Mr. Burke beneficially owns 71,428 common units of Abraxas Energy, it was determined that Messrs. Watson, Cox and Burke would not vote on any matters pertaining to a potential transaction with Abraxas Energy. After a lengthy discussion, the Abraxas Petroleum Board (with Messrs. Watson, Cox and Burke abstaining) approved a non-binding proposal to the private placement investors of Abraxas Energy, pursuant to which Abraxas Petroleum would propose to merge Abraxas Energy into Abraxas Petroleum for consideration of \$6.00 per common unit payable in shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, with a minimum of 4.00 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock and a maximum of 6.00 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock being issued for each Abraxas Energy common unit. The proposal would include a 90-day lock up period followed by a multi-year staggered lock-up. The proposal would be subject to Abraxas Petroleum Board final approval and acceptable terms on a new credit facility.

On May 29, 2009, Ms. Stuckey sent a memo to the Abraxas Petroleum Board providing an update of the commercial banks' and institutional funds' willingness (or lack thereof) to support the upstream master limited partnership business model in the current economic and commodity price environment. The memo included a discussion of the current state of the upstream MLP sector and that since the third quarter of 2008, several upstream master limited partnerships had significantly reduced or suspended their cash distributions, primarily to remain below certain debt / utilization covenants in their credit facilities.

On June 1, 2009, Abraxas Petroleum sent a non-binding proposal to Edward Russell and Quinn Kiley, as representatives of a majority of the private placement investors of Abraxas Energy, pursuant to which Abraxas Petroleum proposed to merge Abraxas Energy into Abraxas Petroleum for consideration of \$6.00 per common unit payable in shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, with a minimum of 4.00 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock and a maximum of 6.00 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock being issued for each Abraxas Energy common unit. The proposal included a 90-day lock up period followed by a multi-year staggered lock-up. The proposed transaction was subject to Abraxas Petroleum Board approval and acceptable terms on a new credit facility.

On June 1, 2009, Ms. Stuckey sent a memo to the Abraxas Petroleum Board which included a summary of the terms of the non-binding proposal to Edward Russell and Quinn Kiley, as representatives of a majority of the private placement investors of Abraxas Energy, and an update regarding the ongoing discussions with Société Générale.

On June 5, 2009, at management's request Stifel met with the private placement investors and reviewed its analysis of Abraxas Energy's alternatives and its recommendations. The private placement investors discussed the non-binding proposal from Abraxas Petroleum among themselves and with Stifel.

Table of Contents

On June 8, 2009, Edward Russell communicated to management of Abraxas Petroleum that a majority of the private placement investors agreed to the non-binding proposal provided Abraxas Petroleum made two concessions: one was an increase to the bottom end of the exchange ratio and the second was representation on the Abraxas Petroleum Board.

On June 9, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Board met to discuss the counter-proposal from the private placement investors. Mr. Watson advised the Abraxas Petroleum Board that the private placement investors met the previous week and agreed to the non-binding proposal provided Abraxas Petroleum made the two concessions described above. After much discussion, the Abraxas Petroleum Board (with Messrs. Watson, Cox and Burke abstaining) agreed to increase the bottom end of the exchange ratio from 4.00 to 4.25 and allow the private investors to appoint two members to the Abraxas Petroleum Board while the private placement investors held a significant portion of their investment. During the meeting, the Abraxas Petroleum Board appointed two independent board members to serve on a special committee, which we refer to as the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee or the Special Committee, to review the proposed transaction, determine whether the proposed transaction is advisable and in the best interest of Abraxas Petroleum and its stockholders, and make a recommendation to the Abraxas Petroleum Board as to what action, if any, should be taken with respect to the proposed transaction. The Abraxas Petroleum Board (with Messrs. Watson, Cox and Burke abstaining) voted in favor of a motion to proceed with a letter of intent with the private placement investors outlining the business terms of the proposed merger.

On June 9, 2009, the Abraxas Energy Board met to discuss the counter-proposal from the private placement investors and the reaction of the Abraxas Petroleum Board. Mr. Watson advised the Abraxas Energy Board that the private placement investors had met the previous week and agreed to the non-binding proposal provided Abraxas Petroleum made two concessions: one was an increase to the bottom end of the exchange range and the second was representation on the Abraxas Petroleum Board. At an earlier board meeting on that date, the Abraxas Petroleum Board agreed to increase the bottom end of the exchange range from 4.00 to 4.25 and allow the private investors to appoint two board members to the Abraxas Petroleum Board while the private placement investors held a significant portion of their investment. The Abraxas Energy Board approved the private placement investors request to engage Vinson & Elkins LLP as legal advisors for the private placement investors at the expense of Abraxas Energy. The Abraxas Energy Board (with Messrs. Watson and Cox abstaining) voted in favor of a motion to proceed with a letter of intent with Abraxas Petroleum outlining the business terms of the proposed merger.

On June 11, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee met and discussed the background of the proposed transaction as presented by Ms. Stuckey and discussed the legal framework of the proposed transaction as presented by Jackson Walker L.L.P., legal counsel to Abraxas Petroleum. The Special Committee also agreed to engage Cox Smith Matthews Incorporated as its legal counsel, after which the Special Committee reviewed and discussed its fiduciary duties as presented by representatives of Cox Smith Matthews. During the meeting, Ms. Stuckey agreed to contact three investment banks with regard to a fairness opinion, to obtain their qualifications, fees and availability and to provide management's recommendation prior to the next Special Committee meeting.

On June 15, 2009, Ms. Stuckey assembled a binder of committee materials, including all pertinent memos relating to Abraxas Energy and the proposed transaction, including the background thereof, and recent independent equity research on upstream MLPs, for the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee, and the materials were distributed to the committee members by their counsel.

On June 16, 2009, Ms. Stuckey sent a memo to the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee with management's recommendation to engage Stephens Inc., which we refer to as Stephens, to prepare the fairness opinion for Abraxas Petroleum.

On June 17, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee met and discussed management's recommendation to engage Stephens to prepare the fairness opinion for the Special Committee. Representatives

Table of Contents

from Stephens joined the meeting and discussed their qualifications and detailed the depth of analysis a standard fairness opinion entails, including their internal process and procedures, and answered questions from the committee members and their counsel. After much discussion, the Special Committee unanimously approved the engagement of Stephens. During the meeting, the Special Committee also received an update as to the status of the letter of intent and the status of the negotiations with the lenders from Ms. Stuckey.

Between June 17, 2009 and June 29, 2009, management of Abraxas Energy provided Stephens with certain requested information, including reserve reports, historical financial data, internal estimates and future projections, to assist Stephens in its review of the exchange ratio to be paid by Abraxas Petroleum in the Merger.

On June 18, 2009, after a week of negotiations between the parties, Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy entered into a letter of intent with private placement investors owning 96% of the outstanding Abraxas Energy common units, other than Investments, pursuant to which Abraxas Energy would merge with and into Abraxas Petroleum for consideration of \$6.00 per common unit payable in shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, with a minimum of 4.25 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock and a maximum of 6.00 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock per common unit. The exchange ratio equates to \$1.00 to \$1.41 per share of Abraxas Petroleum common stock. The letter of intent also included terms relating to the lock-up period, registration rights and the conditions precedent to the merger and a stand-still by the limited partners of their rights under the exchange and registration rights agreement and a stand-still by Abraxas Energy on the IPO process.

On June 18, 2009, Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy issued a joint press release announcing the letter of intent.

Between June 18, 2009 and June 30, 2009, representatives of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy negotiated the terms of the Original Merger Agreement and the Voting, Registration Rights & Lock-Up Agreement with representatives of the private placement investors.

On June 24, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee met and Mr. Watson joined the meeting to discuss the background and management's view of the proposed transaction. Mr. Watson discussed the various alternatives that Abraxas Energy had explored and concluded that the proposed merger of Abraxas Energy with and into Abraxas Petroleum was the best alternative for all stakeholders. Mr. Watson reported that negotiations with the private placement investors had been amicable. Ms. Stuckey joined the meeting and reviewed an updated accretion/dilution matrix for the Special Committee and answered questions from the committee members and their counsel. Representatives from Stephens then joined the meeting and discussed the status of their review and indicated that they had not identified any material issues that would hinder their ability to render their fairness opinion and that they were working on the form of written fairness opinion and expected to have their internal reviews and approvals completed by June 26, 2009.

On June 26, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee met and representatives of Jackson Walker joined the meeting to review and discuss in detail the material terms of the current draft versions of the Original Merger Agreement, which provided for the merger of Abraxas Energy with and into Abraxas Petroleum with Abraxas Petroleum surviving, and the Voting Agreement contemplated to be entered into by Abraxas Petroleum in connection with the proposed transaction. We sometimes refer to the merger of Abraxas Energy with and into Abraxas Petroleum with Abraxas Petroleum surviving as the Original Merger. The Jackson Walker representatives pointed out and discussed the items still being negotiated and reviewed the potential implications for Abraxas Petroleum if it were not to consummate the proposed transaction. The Special Committee engaged in extensive and detailed review and discussion of various background materials, reports and other matters, including those set forth in the committee materials. The Special Committee also reviewed and discussed the draft form of the written fairness opinion that had been provided by Stephens.

On June 29, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee met. The Special Committee received a presentation from Stephens and Stephens' oral opinion, the written form of which dated June 29, 2009 had been

Table of Contents

delivered to the Special Committee, that as of June 29, 2009, based upon and subject to the various assumptions, methodologies, limitations and considerations described in such opinion, the exchange ratio is fair, from a financial point of view, to Abraxas Petroleum. During the presentation, Stephens provided a detailed overview of the analyses it performed in determining whether or not the exchange ratio was fair from a financial point of view to Abraxas Petroleum. For more details of Stephens opinion, please see Proposal 1 Approval of Stock Issuance Opinion of Stephens Inc. to the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee. Following the Stephens presentation, and after receiving an update from Ms. Stuckey and a representative from Jackson Walker, the Special Committee undertook a review of the materials and information it had previously received and considered. The Special Committee then determined, by unanimous vote, that it was advisable and in the best interest of Abraxas Petroleum to enter into the Original Merger Agreement and the Voting Agreement and to effect the Original Merger and the other transactions contemplated by the Original Merger Agreement and the Voting Agreement. The Special Committee also determined, by unanimous vote, to recommend to the Abraxas Petroleum Board that the Original Merger, the Original Merger Agreement and the Voting Agreement be approved and adopted and that the Abraxas Petroleum Board recommend to the Abraxas Petroleum stockholders that they approve the issuance of the Abraxas Petroleum Common Stock pursuant to the Original Merger Agreement.

On June 29, 2009, the Audit and Conflicts Committee of the Abraxas Energy Board met. The committee received a presentation from Stifel and Stifel's oral opinion, the written form of which dated June 29, 2009 has been delivered to the committee, that as of June 29, 2009, the exchange ratio is fair, from a financial point of view, to the holders of Abraxas Energy's common units. During the presentation, Stifel provided a detailed overview of the analyses it performed in determining whether or not the exchange ratio was fair from a financial point of view. The committee also considered the fact that, if the Original Merger were completed, Abraxas Energy unitholders (other than Investments) would receive shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock and thus participate in the future growth of the combined company.

On June 29, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Board met and the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee delivered its recommendation to the Abraxas Petroleum Board to approve the Original Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the Original Merger and the issuance of Abraxas Petroleum common stock. The Abraxas Petroleum Board determined that the Original Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the Original Merger and the issuance of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, and an amendment to the LTIP, are advisable and in the best interest of Abraxas Petroleum and its stockholders, and adopted and approved the Original Merger Agreement, the Voting Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby. Messrs. Watson, Cox and Burke recused themselves from the adoption and approval of the Original Merger Agreement, the Voting Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby.

On June 29, 2009, the Abraxas Energy Board met and the Audit and Conflicts Committee of the Abraxas Energy Board delivered its recommendation to the Abraxas Energy Board to approve the Original Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby. The Abraxas Energy Board determined that the Original Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby are advisable and in the best interest of Abraxas Energy and its unitholders, and adopted and approved the Original Merger Agreement, the Voting Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby. Messrs. Watson and Cox recused themselves from the adoption and approval of the Original Merger Agreement, the Voting Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby.

On June 30, 2009, Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy executed the Original Merger Agreement and Abraxas Energy, Abraxas Petroleum and private placement investors owning 96% of the common units of Abraxas Energy, other than Investments, executed the Voting, Registration Rights and Lock-Up Agreement.

On June 30, 2009, Investments consented to the Original Merger Agreement and the Original Merger.

On June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy and the lenders under its credit facility and its subordinated credit facility entered into amendment no. 4 to both facilities, pursuant to which the maturity date of the subordinated credit facility was amended from July 1, 2009 to August 14, 2009.

Table of Contents

On June 30, 2009, Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy issued a joint press release announcing the execution of the Original Merger Agreement, the Voting Agreement and the amendment to the loan agreements.

On July 13, 2009, Ms. Stuckey contacted the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee and suggested the revised structure reflected in the Merger Agreement so that Merger Sub would survive the Merger. Ms. Stuckey conveyed Abraxas Petroleum's desire to maintain the assets of Abraxas Energy in a separate subsidiary for title and monitoring purposes so that after the Merger, Abraxas Petroleum's assets would be those properties that Abraxas Petroleum owned prior to the Merger and Abraxas Energy's assets prior to the Merger would be held in a wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum. Ms. Stuckey also conveyed that the revised structure was more advantageous from a regulatory stand-point.

On July 13, 2009, as required by the Original Merger Agreement, the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee and the Audit and Conflicts Committee of the Abraxas Energy Board each approved the new structure suggested by Abraxas Petroleum and the Merger Agreement and on July 13, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Board approved the Merger Agreement.

On July 17, 2009, Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy executed the Merger Agreement and Abraxas Energy, Abraxas Petroleum and private placement investors owning 96% of the common units of Abraxas Energy, other than Investments, executed Amendment No. 1 to Voting, Registration Rights and Lock-Up Agreement.

On July 22, 2009, Abraxas Energy and the lenders under its credit facility and its subordinated credit facility entered into Amendment no. 5, pursuant to which the lenders permitted the monetization of Abraxas Energy's existing commodity swaps provided the proceeds were used to repay indebtedness outstanding under its credit facility and that new commodity swaps were entered into.

On July 22, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee met to discuss and approve the potential monetization of Abraxas Energy's existing commodity swaps. In accordance with the Merger Agreement, all actions by Abraxas Energy outside of the normal course of business require the approval of the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee.

On July 29, 2009, Abraxas Energy monetized its existing in-the-money commodity swaps for \$26.7 million and together with the July 2009 commodity swap settlement of \$2.0 million, Abraxas Energy repaid \$28.7 million of indebtedness under its credit facility on July 31, 2009. In connection with the monetization and repayment, Abraxas Energy was required to enter into new commodity swaps on approximately 85% of its estimated oil and gas production from its net proved developed producing reserves through December 31, 2012 and on 70% for 2013.

On August 13, 2009, Abraxas Energy and the lenders under its subordinated credit facility entered into Amendment no. 6, pursuant to which the maturity date of the subordinated credit facility was amended from August 14, 2009 to August 31, 2009.

On August 31, 2009, Abraxas Energy and the lenders under its subordinated credit facility entered into Amendment no. 7, pursuant to which the maturity date of the subordinated credit facility was amended to the earlier of (a) October 9, 2009 and (b) three business days after the Special Meeting.

Abraxas Petroleum's Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee and the Abraxas Petroleum Board

At a meeting held on June 29, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Board, by a unanimous vote (with Messrs. Watson, Cox and Burke abstaining), determined that the Merger Agreement and the transactions

Table of Contents

contemplated thereby, including the Merger and the issuance of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, and the amendment to the LTIP, are advisable and in the best interest of Abraxas Petroleum and its stockholders, and adopted and approved the Merger Agreement, the Voting Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby. On July 13, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Board unanimously approved the change in structure of the Original Merger so that Merger Sub would survive as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum and approved the terms of the Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby including the Merger. The Abraxas Petroleum Board recommends that Abraxas Petroleum stockholders vote FOR the proposal to issue shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger and FOR the proposal to amend the LTIP. It is a condition to the Merger that the proposal for the stock issuance and the proposal to amend the LTIP be approved by Abraxas Petroleum stockholders.

In the course of reaching its recommendation, the Abraxas Petroleum Board consulted with Abraxas Petroleum's senior management and its financial advisors and outside legal counsel and considered a number of substantive factors, both positive and negative, and potential benefits and detriments of the Merger to Abraxas Petroleum and its stockholders.

Expected Benefits of the Merger

In determining that the Original Merger Agreement, the Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the Merger and the issuance of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, and the amendment to the LTIP, are advisable and in the best interest of Abraxas Petroleum and its stockholders, and in reaching its decision to approve the Original Merger Agreement, the Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the stock issuance and amendment to the LTIP, the Abraxas Petroleum Board considered a variety of factors that it believed weighted favorably for the Merger, including the following material factors (which are not listed in any relative order of importance):

Acceleration of Drilling Activity. The Abraxas Petroleum Board believes that the Merger will improve the combined company's ability to accelerate its capital expenditure program which should result in significant growth in its core properties with the cash flow of the combined company being reinvested as capital expenditures and accelerating the combined company's drilling activity as a result of ceasing distributions.

Reduction in Debt. The Abraxas Petroleum Board believes that the Merger will improve the combined company's ability to reduce its consolidated debt because, by ceasing distributions, the cash flow of the combined company can be used to repay debt, which the Abraxas Petroleum Board believes is important in light of Abraxas Energy's uncertainties regarding credit availability and the amount of cash being generated by Abraxas Energy.

Stronger Balance Sheet. The Abraxas Petroleum Board believes that the combined company resulting from the Merger will have a stronger balance sheet, along with a lower cost of capital. In addition, the retention and investment of future cash flows will reduce the need to raise capital from outside sources under unfavorable market conditions similar to those that currently exist.

Simplified Organizational Structure. The Abraxas Petroleum Board believes that the Merger will simplify the organizational structure of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy, resulting in a single, publicly traded company with a more transparent organizational structure, a single board of directors and a single class of equity, as compared to the current organizational structure with one publicly traded company and one privately-owned limited partnership, which was in the process of going public, with two boards of directors. In addition, the simplified organizational structure will spread the ongoing costs of being a public company over a larger body of equityholders in the combined company.

Synergies. The Abraxas Petroleum Board believes that the Merger will allow Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy to achieve synergies in the form of cost savings and other efficiencies, including

Table of Contents

reduced SEC filing requirements, reduced audit and tax return costs, and a reduction in the total number of board members and other cost savings.

Greater Liquidity. The Abraxas Petroleum Board believes that the Merger will improve the liquidity of the combined company, and that its equity float will be significantly larger than each entity on a stand-alone basis.

Improved Access to Capital Markets. The Abraxas Petroleum Board believes that the combined company will have a larger public float. In addition, the Abraxas Petroleum Board believes that the Merger will enhance investor interest in the combined company and its equity securities because, among other things, the combined company will be a corporation instead of a master limited partnership. The Abraxas Petroleum Board believes that, in the current market, a publicly traded corporation, rather than a master limited partnership, is the appropriate vehicle for a growth-oriented, exploration and production company with organic growth opportunities to which the combined company has access because many institutional investors have limitations or restrictions on investing in master limited partnerships because of tax and other reasons.

Feasibility. The Abraxas Petroleum Board believes that the Merger has the greatest likelihood of success of achieving the short-term and long-term goals outlined above, as compared to other possible alternatives, including raising additional cash in either the public equity or debt capital markets, which alternatives are dependent on conditions in the capital markets and third parties, and which the Abraxas Petroleum Board believes would not be as favorable as the Merger.

Other Material Factors Considered

During the course of its deliberations relating to the Merger, the Original Merger Agreement and the Merger Agreement, the Abraxas Petroleum Board considered the following factors in addition to the expected benefits described above:

The opinion of Stephens, dated June 29, 2009, to the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee to the effect that, as of that date, based upon and subject to the various assumptions, methodologies, limitations and considerations described in such opinion, the exchange ratio of between 4.25 to 6.00 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock for each common unit of Abraxas Energy was fair, from a financial point of view, to Abraxas Petroleum. The Stephens' opinion, together with the material analyses performed by Stephens and reviewed with the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee in connection with Stephens' opinion and certain other information regarding Stephens' engagement, are further described under Proposal 1 Approval of Stock Issuance Opinion of Stephens Inc. to the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee.

The fact that the Exchange Ratio of 4.25 to 6.00 is fixed and will not further fluctuate based upon changes in the market price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock between the date of the Merger Agreement and the date of the consummation of the Merger.

The terms and conditions of the Merger Agreement, including the commitments by both Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy to complete the Merger, and the likelihood of completing the Merger in a timely manner.

The fact that the Merger would not trigger a change of control which could limit Abraxas Petroleum's ability to use its net loss carry-forwards, or NOLs.

The fact that the Merger Agreement provides that the Abraxas Petroleum Board may withdraw, modify or qualify its recommendation to the Abraxas Petroleum stockholders if the Abraxas Petroleum Board concludes in good faith that the failure to make a change in recommendation would be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties under applicable law.

Table of Contents

The Abraxas Petroleum Board weighed these advantages and opportunities against a number of other factors identified in the deliberations weighing negatively against the Merger, including:

The dilution associated with the shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock that Abraxas Petroleum will issue to Abraxas Energy unitholders in the Merger, if approved.

The fact that the exchange ratio is fixed and Abraxas Petroleum stockholders could be adversely affected by volatility in its trading price.

The assumption of Abraxas Energy's outstanding debt and the potential effects of the Merger on Abraxas Petroleum's balance sheet.

Certain terms of the Merger Agreement, including restrictions on the conduct of Abraxas Petroleum's business prior to the consummation of the Merger which require Abraxas Petroleum to conduct its business in the ordinary course consistent with past practice which may delay or prevent Abraxas Petroleum from undertaking business opportunities that may arise pending consummation of the Merger.

The possible disruption to Abraxas Petroleum's business that may result from the Merger and the resulting distraction of the attention of Abraxas Petroleum's management, as well as the costs and expenses associated with completing the Merger.

The possibility that the Merger might not be consummated despite the parties' efforts or that the closing of the Merger may be unduly delayed.

The risks of the type and nature described under Risk Factors, and the matters described under Forward-Looking Statements. After consideration of these material factors, the Abraxas Petroleum Board determined that these risks could be mitigated or managed by Abraxas Petroleum or Abraxas Energy, or following the Merger, by the combined company, were reasonably acceptable under the circumstances, or, in light of the anticipated benefits, overall, were significantly outweighed by the potential benefits of the Merger.

The foregoing discussion of the information and factors considered by the Abraxas Petroleum Board includes the material factors considered by the Abraxas Petroleum Board, but it is not intended to be exhaustive and may not include all of the factors considered by the Abraxas Petroleum Board. In view of the wide variety of factors considered in connection with its evaluation of the Merger and the complexity of these matters, the Abraxas Petroleum Board did not attempt to quantify or assign any relative or specific weights to the various factors that it considered in reaching its determination to approve the Merger and the Merger Agreement and to make its recommendations to Abraxas Petroleum stockholders. In addition, individual members of the Abraxas Petroleum Board may have given differing weights to different factors. The Abraxas Petroleum Board conducted an overall review of the factors described above, including thorough discussions with Abraxas Petroleum's management and outside legal and financial advisors.

The Abraxas Petroleum Board unanimously determined that the Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the Merger and the issuance of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, and the amendment to the LTIP, are advisable and in the best interests of Abraxas Petroleum and unanimously approved the Merger Agreement, the Voting Agreement and the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement and the Voting Agreement. The Abraxas Petroleum Board unanimously recommends that Abraxas Petroleum stockholders vote FOR the issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger and the amendment to the LTIP.

Opinion of Stephens Inc. to the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee

Edgar Filing: ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEFM14A

Pursuant to an engagement letter dated June 17, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee retained Stephens to render a fairness opinion in connection with the proposed Merger.

Table of Contents

At the meeting of the Special Committee on June 29, 2009, Stephens rendered its oral opinion, subsequently confirmed in writing, to the Special Committee that, as of the date of the opinion, and based upon and subject to the various assumptions, methodologies, limitations and considerations described in such opinion, the exchange ratio in the proposed Merger (the Exchange Ratio) is fair to Abraxas from a financial point of view. No limitations were imposed by the Special Committee upon Stephens with respect to the investigations made or procedures followed in rendering its opinion. The issuance of Stephens' opinion was approved by a fairness opinion committee of Stephens on June 26, 2009.

The full text of the written opinion of Stephens which sets forth the assumptions made, matters considered and any limits on the review undertaken, is attached as Annex C to this proxy statement. Abraxas Petroleum's stockholders are urged to read the opinion in its entirety. Stephens' written opinion is addressed to the Special Committee and is directed only to the Exchange Ratio in the Merger and does not constitute a recommendation to any Abraxas Petroleum stockholder as to how such stockholder should vote at the Special Meeting. The summary of the opinion of Stephens set forth in this proxy statement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of such opinion.

In connection with developing its opinion Stephens:

- (i) reviewed certain publicly available financial statements and reports regarding Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy;
- (ii) reviewed certain estimates of Abraxas Petroleum's and Abraxas Energy's oil and gas reserves, including estimates of proved and non-proved reserves prepared (a) by an independent engineering firm as of January 1, 2009 and (b) by their respective managements as of May 31, 2009;
- (iii) reviewed certain internal financial statements and other financial and operating data (including financial projections) concerning Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy prepared by their respective managements;
- (iv) reviewed the relative contributions of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy to the combined company on a pro forma basis, based on recent performance, financial data and projections prepared by their respective managements;
- (v) reviewed certain potential effects, as projected by management of Abraxas Petroleum, of the transaction on Abraxas Petroleum's earnings and cash flow both in the aggregate and, where applicable, on a per share basis;
- (vi) reviewed certain cost savings, operating synergies and other strategic benefits expected by the management of Abraxas Petroleum to result from the proposed Merger;
- (vii) compared the financial performance of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy, and the trading history of Abraxas Petroleum, with that of certain other publicly-traded companies that Stephens deemed relevant and (in the case of Abraxas Energy) certain publicly-traded master limited partnerships that Stephens deemed relevant;
- (viii) reviewed the financial terms, to the extent publicly available, of certain other transactions that Stephens deemed relevant;
- (ix) reviewed the most recent drafts of the Merger Agreement and related documents that were provided to Stephens;

Edgar Filing: ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEFM14A

- (x) discussed with management of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy the operations of, and future business prospects for, Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy; and

- (xi) performed such other reviews and analyses and provided such other services as Stephens deemed appropriate.

Table of Contents

Stephens relied on the accuracy and completeness of the information and financial and oil and gas data provided to it by Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy and of the other information reviewed by Stephens in connection with the preparation of the opinion, and the opinion is based upon such information. The managements of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy have assured Stephens that they are not aware of any relevant information that has been omitted or remains undisclosed to Stephens. Stephens has not assumed any responsibility for independent verification of the accuracy and completeness of any such information or financial data. Stephens has not assumed any responsibility for making or undertaking an independent evaluation or appraisal of any of the assets or liabilities of Abraxas Petroleum or Abraxas Energy, nor has Stephens evaluated the solvency or fair value of Abraxas Petroleum or Abraxas Energy under any laws relating to bankruptcy, insolvency or similar matters, and Stephens has not been furnished with any such evaluations or appraisals. Stephens has not assumed any obligation to conduct any physical inspection of the properties or facilities of Abraxas Petroleum or Abraxas Energy. With respect to the financial forecasts prepared by the managements of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy, including the forecasts of potential synergies, Stephens has assumed that such financial forecasts have been reasonably prepared and reflect the best currently available estimates and judgments of the managements of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy as to the future financial performance of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy and that the financial results reflected by such projections will be realized as predicted. With respect to the estimates of oil and gas reserves referred to above, Stephens has assumed that they have been reasonably prepared on bases reflecting the best available estimates and judgments of Abraxas Petroleum's and Abraxas Energy's independent engineering firm and their respective managements and staff, as applicable. Stephens is not an expert in the evaluation of oil and gas reserves and Stephens expresses no view as to the reserve quantities, or the potential development or production (including, without limitation, as to the feasibility or timing thereof) of any oil and gas properties of Abraxas Petroleum or Abraxas Energy. Stephens has relied, without independent verification, upon the assessments of Abraxas Petroleum's and Abraxas Energy's independent engineering firm and their respective managements and staff as to market trends and prospects relating to the oil and gas industry and the potential effects of such trends and prospects on Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy, including the assumptions as to commodity prices reflected in the financial forecasts and estimates referred to above, which prices are subject to significant volatility and which, if different from such assumptions, could have a material impact on Stephens' opinion. Stephens has also assumed that the representations and warranties contained in the Merger Agreement and all related documents are true, correct and complete in all material respects.

The Exchange Ratio was determined through negotiations between the Abraxas Petroleum Board and the Abraxas Energy Board and the decision to enter into the Original Merger Agreement and the Merger Agreement was solely that of the Abraxas Petroleum Board.

In reaching its opinion, Stephens applied and considered the results of valuation methods that Stephens believes are customarily used in investment banking practice for developing fairness opinions. The following is a summary of the material financial analyses utilized by Stephens in connection with providing its opinion and does not claim to be a complete description of the analysis underlying Stephens' opinion.

Abraxas Petroleum Valuation

In determining a range of estimated enterprise and equity values for Abraxas Petroleum, Stephens conducted each of the following analyses with respect to Abraxas Petroleum initially on a non-consolidated basis (i.e. without reference to its 47.7% aggregate general partner and limited partner interest in Abraxas Energy) and then added to the resulting values 47.7% of the corresponding values determined for Abraxas Energy utilizing the same valuation methodology.

Table of Contents*Publicly Traded Comparable Companies*

Using publicly available information, Stephens determined the following companies were relevant to an evaluation of Abraxas Petroleum based on Stephens' view of the comparability of the operating and financial characteristics of these companies:

Brigham Exploration Company, Double Eagle Petroleum Co., GeoResources, Inc., Gulfport Energy Corporation, Parallel Petroleum Corporation and PetroQuest Energy, Inc.

The implied values for Abraxas Petroleum were based on a multiple range for the following three metrics determined by reference to the corresponding multiple ranges for the selected comparable companies. The following table sets forth the mean and median multiples for the selected comparable companies.

	Proved Reserves (Mcf)	Enterprise Value / Daily Production (Mcf/d)	SEC PV-10 (\$MM)
Mean	\$ 2.47	\$ 8,375.2	1.6x
Median	\$ 2.11	\$ 8,107.3	1.5x

The proved reserves and daily production values for each of the selected comparable companies were based on SEC filings adjusted for public data surrounding acquisitions and divestitures made after their respective annual reports were submitted. SEC PV-10 refers to the Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows relating to proved oil and gas reserves reported as of December 31, 2008 discounted at 10% after income taxes are deducted. In the following analyses, (i) implied equity value is calculated as implied enterprise value less net debt and (ii) implied share price is calculated as implied enterprise value less net debt, plus option proceeds, divided by total shares and options outstanding. The multiples selected to apply to Abraxas Petroleum metrics were not entirely mathematical in nature, but required careful consideration to adjust for differences in the operating characteristics of the companies as well as other market factors which could affect the market value of selected companies.

Abraxas Petroleum Metrics	Value	Multiple Range	Implied Enterprise Value	Implied Equity Value	Implied Share Price
Proved Reserves (Bcfe)	43.4	\$ 1.90 - 2.20	\$ 113.58 - 147.13	\$ 94.73 - 128.29	\$ 1.90 - 2.58
Daily Prod. (MMcfe/d)	5.2	\$ 6,000 - 8,000	\$ 62.10 - 92.98	\$ 43.26 - 74.13	\$ 0.87 - 1.49
SEC PV-10 (\$MM)	\$ 33.4	1.3x - 1.7	\$ 74.52 - 108.41	\$ 55.67 - 89.57	\$ 1.12 - 1.80

Comparable Transactions

Using publicly available information for 233 asset and corporate transactions announced between January 2006 and June 2009 involving oil and gas related assets in the Rocky Mountain, Gulf Coast, Mid-Continent and Permian Basin regions of the United States, Stephens reviewed the purchase price multiples paid for proved reserves and daily production in each transaction and Stephens selected appropriate benchmark multiples for the valuation of Abraxas Petroleum.

Based on public and other available market information, the following table sets forth the summary multiples for transactions referred to above. This analysis utilized the relevant transaction multiples of proved reserves and daily production and applied them to the corresponding metrics of Abraxas Petroleum to determine an implied enterprise value for Abraxas Petroleum.

The transaction multiples selected to apply to Abraxas Petroleum metrics were not entirely mathematical in nature, but required careful consideration to adjust for differences in the prevailing commodity price environments and acquisition and divestiture markets. Accordingly, greater weight was given to transactions in comparable market conditions and the multiples applied were toward the lower end of the range.

Table of Contents

	Enterprise Value /	
	Proved Reserves (Mcf)	Daily Production (Mcf/d)
Gulf Coast Region:		
High	\$ 5.73	\$ 22,400
Mean	\$ 2.30	\$ 12,141
Median	\$ 2.28	\$ 11,000
Low	\$ 0.57	\$ 3,871
Applied Multiples	\$ 1.75 - 2.25	\$ 9,500 - 11,500
Mid-Continent Region:		
High	\$ 7.33	\$ 91,667
Mean	\$ 2.31	\$ 15,762
Median	\$ 2.21	\$ 14,570
Low	\$ 0.48	\$ 2,910
Applied Multiples	\$ 1.65 - 2.00	\$ 12,000 - 14,000
Permian Basin Region:		
High	\$ 3.66	\$ 19,786
Mean	\$ 2.22	\$ 13,727
Median	\$ 2.31	\$ 13,739
Low	\$ 0.92	\$ 8,568
Applied Multiples	\$ 1.85 - 2.15	\$ 13,000 - 15,000
Rocky Mountain Region:		
High	\$ 5.73	\$ 47,917
Mean	\$ 2.37	\$ 15,945
Median	\$ 2.17	\$ 14,297
Low	\$ 0.52	\$ 2,512
Applied Multiples	\$ 2.10 - 2.30	\$ 12,000 - 14,000
Implied Enterprise Value	\$ 145.24 - 179.29	\$ 121.44 - 151.98
Implied Equity Value	\$ 126.40 - 160.44	\$ 102.60 - 133.14
Implied Share Price	\$ 2.54 - 3.22	\$ 2.06 - 2.67

Discounted Cash Flow Analysis

Stephens conducted a discounted cash flow analysis for proved and non-proved reserves based on various price scenarios in which the principal variables were oil and gas prices with appropriate basis differentials. The price scenarios that were utilized included: (i) a NYMEX strip pricing scenario which utilized the average oil and gas futures contract prices quoted on NYMEX as of June 25, 2009, (ii) a NYMEX five-year average scenario which utilized the five-year average of oil and gas prices quoted on NYMEX as of June 25, 2009, and (iii) an alternative price case which utilized pricing for oil and gas from 2009-2014 of \$50.00/\$4.50, \$55.00/\$5.00, \$60.00/\$5.50, \$65.00/\$6.00, \$70.00/\$6.50 and \$75.00/\$7.00 and escalated at 2.00% thereafter. Transportation and basis differential estimates provided by management were applied to the above pricing scenarios to establish a realized wellhead price. A discount rate range of 8.0% to 50.0% was applied to estimated cash flows from proved and non-proved reserves.

	Pricing Scenario		
	5 Yr NYMEX Strip Average	5 Yr Historical Average	Alternate Price Case
Implied Enterprise Value	\$ 77.0 - 95.7	\$ 65.8 - 90.3	\$ 56.1 - 77.6
Implied Equity Value	\$ 58.1 - 76.9	\$ 46.9 - 71.5	\$ 37.2 - 58.7
Implied Share Price	\$ 1.17 - 1.54	\$ 0.94 - 1.44	\$ 0.75 - 1.18

Table of Contents*Accretion/Dilution Analysis*

Stephens also examined the estimated accretive/dilutive effects of the Merger on GAAP earnings and cash flow per share of Abraxas Petroleum common stock. Based on the Exchange Ratio of 4.25 – 6.00 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock per Abraxas Energy common unit, the transaction was shown to be accretive for fiscal years 2009 through 2011 as summarized below:

Exchange Ratio	4.25x	6.00x
2009E		
GAAP EPS Accretion/Dilution	31.2%	15.0%
CFPS Accretion/Dilution	63.7%	43.5%
2010E		
GAAP EPS Accretion/Dilution	59.1%	39.5%
CFPS Accretion/Dilution	46.5%	28.4%
2011E		
GAAP EPS Accretion/Dilution	37.5%	20.5%
CFPS Accretion/Dilution	37.1%	20.2%

Stephens also examined the accretive/dilutive effects of the Merger on the net asset value per share of Abraxas Petroleum common stock. The low case referenced below is defined as the average of the lower bound of each equity reference value range determined by the valuation methodologies referred to above, and the high case is defined as the average of the upper bound of each equity reference value range determined by the valuation methodologies referred to above. Based on the Exchange Ratio of 4.25 – 6.00 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock per Abraxas Energy common unit, the transaction was shown to be accretive on a net asset value basis as summarized below:

Exchange Ratio	4.25x	6.00x
Net Asset Value Accretion/Dilution (Low Case)	30.7%	14.4%
Net Asset Value Accretion/Dilution (High Case)	36.5%	19.5%

Abraxas Petroleum Valuation Reference Ranges

Based on the foregoing analyses, Stephens determined the following reference valuation ranges for Abraxas Petroleum: (i) an enterprise value reference range of \$85.0 to \$110.0 million (including \$30.0 to \$45.0 million attributable to Abraxas Petroleum's interest in Abraxas Energy) and (ii) an equity value reference range of \$65.0 to \$90.0 million (\$1.30 to \$1.80 per share) (including \$30.0 to \$45.0 million (\$0.60 to \$0.90 per share) attributable to Abraxas Petroleum's interest in Abraxas Energy).

*Abraxas Energy Valuation**Publicly Traded Comparable Companies*

Using publicly available information, Stephens determined the following companies were relevant to an evaluation of Abraxas Energy based on Stephens' view of the comparability of the operating and financial characteristics of these companies:

Brigham Exploration Company, Double Eagle Petroleum Co., GeoResources, Inc., Gulfport Energy Corporation, Parallel Petroleum Corporation and PetroQuest Energy, Inc.

Table of Contents

The implied values for Abraxas Energy were based on a multiple range for the following three metrics determined by reference to the corresponding multiple ranges for the selected comparable companies. The following table sets forth the mean and median multiples for the selected comparable companies.

	Proved Reserves (Mcfe)	Enterprise Value / Daily Production (Mcfe/d)	SEC PV-10 (\$MM)
Mean	\$ 2.47	\$ 8,375.2	1.6x
Median	\$ 2.11	\$ 8,107.3	1.5x

The proved reserves and daily production values for each of the selected comparable companies were based on SEC filings adjusted for public data surrounding acquisitions and divestitures made after their respective annual reports were submitted. The multiples chosen to apply to Abraxas Energy metrics were not entirely mathematical in nature, but required careful consideration to adjust for the differences in the operating characteristic of the companies as well as other market factors which could affect the market value of selected companies.

Abraxas Energy Metrics	Value	Multiple Range	Implied Enterprise Value	Implied Equity Value	Implied Unit Price
Proved Reserves (Bcfe)	120.7	\$ 1.90 - 2.20	\$ 255.39 - 291.76	\$ 98.59 - 134.97	\$ 8.43 - 11.55
Daily Prod. (MMcfe/d)	22.5	\$ 6,000 - 8,000	\$ 160.82 - 205.91	\$ 4.03 - 49.11	\$ 0.34 - 4.20
SEC PV-10 (\$MM)	\$ 118.6	1.3x - 1.7	\$ 180.22 - 227.81	\$ 23.42 - 71.02	\$ 2.00 - 6.08

Publicly Traded Comparable MLPs

Using publicly available information, Stephens determined the following master limited partnerships were relevant to an evaluation of Abraxas Energy based on Stephens' view of the comparability of the operating and financial characteristics of these entities:

Breitburn Energy Partners, L.P., Constellation Energy Partners, LLC, Encore Energy Partners, LP, Legacy Reserves, LP, Linn Energy, LLC, Pioneer Southwest Energy Partners, L.P. and Vanguard Natural Resources, LLC.

The implied values for Abraxas Energy were based on a multiple range for the following three metrics determined by reference to the corresponding multiple ranges for the selected comparable entities. The following table sets forth the mean and median multiples for the selected comparable entities.

	Proved Reserves (Mcfe)	Enterprise Value / Daily Production (Mcfe/d)	SEC PV-10 (\$MM)
Mean	\$ 2.85	\$ 13,812.1	2.2x
Median	\$ 2.53	\$ 15,294.6	1.9x

The proved reserves and daily production values for each of the selected comparable entities were based on SEC filings adjusted for public data surrounding acquisitions and divestitures made after their respective annual reports were submitted. The multiples chosen to apply to Abraxas Energy metrics were not entirely mathematical in nature, but required careful consideration in adjusting for the differences in the operating characteristics of the entities as well as other market factors which could affect the market value of selected entities.

Abraxas Energy Metrics	Value	Multiple Range	Implied Enterprise Value	Implied Equity Value	Implied Unit Price
Proved Reserves (Bcfe)	120.7	\$ 1.90 - 2.30	\$ 255.39 - 303.83	\$ 98.59 - 147.04	\$ 8.43 - 12.58
Daily Prod. (MMcfe/d)	22.5	\$ 11,000 - 13,000	\$ 273.11 - 318.20	\$ 116.32 - 161.41	\$ 9.95 - 13.81
SEC PV-10 (\$MM)	\$ 118.6	1.5x - 2.0	\$ 203.93 - 263.38	\$ 47.14 - 106.59	\$ 4.03 - 9.12

Table of Contents*Comparable Transactions*

Using publicly available information for 233 asset and corporate transactions announced between January 2006 and June 2009 involving oil and gas related assets in the Rocky Mountains, Gulf Coast, Mid-Continent and Permian Basin regions of the United States, Stephens reviewed the purchase price multiples paid for proved reserves and daily production in each transaction and Stephens selected appropriate benchmark multiples for the valuation of Abraxas Energy.

Based on public and other available market information, the following table sets forth the summary multiples for transactions referred to above. This analysis utilized the relevant transaction multiples of proved reserves and daily production and applied them to the corresponding metrics of Abraxas Energy to determine an implied enterprise value for Abraxas Energy.

The transaction multiples selected to apply to Abraxas Energy metrics were not entirely mathematical in nature, but required careful consideration to adjust for differences in the prevailing commodity price environments and acquisition and divestiture markets. Accordingly, greater weight was given to transactions in comparable market conditions and the multiples applied were toward the lower end of the range.

	Enterprise Value /	
	Proved Reserves (Mcf)	Daily Production (Mcf/d)
Gulf Coast Region:		
High	\$ 5.73	\$ 22,400
Mean	\$ 2.30	\$ 12,141
Median	\$ 2.28	\$ 11,000
Low	\$ 0.57	\$ 3,871
Applied Multiples	\$ 1.75 - 2.25	\$ 9,500 - 11,500
Mid-Continent Region:		
High	\$ 7.33	\$ 91,667
Mean	\$ 2.31	\$ 15,762
Median	\$ 2.21	\$ 14,570
Low	\$ 0.48	\$ 2,910
Applied Multiples	\$ 1.65 - 2.00	\$ 12,000 - 14,000
Permian Basin Region:		
High	\$ 3.66	\$ 19,786
Mean	\$ 2.22	\$ 13,727
Median	\$ 2.31	\$ 13,739
Low	\$ 0.92	\$ 8,568
Applied Multiples	\$ 1.85 - 2.15	\$ 13,000 - 15,000
Rocky Mountain Region:		
High	\$ 5.73	\$ 47,917
Mean	\$ 2.37	\$ 15,945
Median	\$ 2.17	\$ 14,297
Low	\$ 0.52	\$ 2,512
Applied Multiples	\$ 2.10 - 2.30	\$ 12,000 - 14,000
Implied Enterprise Value	\$ 244.48 - 284.04	\$ 287.75 - 332.83
Implied Equity Value	\$ 87.69 - 127.25	\$ 130.96 - 176.04
Implied Unit Price	\$ 7.50 - 10.89	\$ 11.20 - 15.06

Table of Contents*Discounted Cash Flow Analysis*

Stephens conducted a discounted cash flow analysis for proved and non-proved reserves based on various price scenarios in which the principal variables were oil and gas prices with appropriate basis differentials. The price scenarios that were utilized included: (i) a NYMEX strip pricing scenario which utilized the average oil and gas futures contract prices quoted on NYMEX as of June 25, 2009, (ii) a NYMEX five-year average scenario which utilized the five-year average of oil and gas prices quoted on NYMEX as of June 25, 2009, and (iii) an alternative price case which utilized pricing for oil and gas from 2009-2014 of \$50.00/\$4.50, \$55.00/\$5.00, \$60.00/\$5.50, \$65.00/\$6.00, \$70.00/\$6.50 and \$75.00/\$7.00 and escalated at 2.00% thereafter. Transportation and basis differential estimates provided by management were applied to the above pricing scenarios to establish a realized wellhead price. A discount rate range of 8.0% to 50.0% was applied to estimated cash flows from proved and non-proved reserves.

	Pricing Scenario		
	5 Yr NYMEX Strip Average	5 Yr Historical Average	Alternate Price Case
Implied Enterprise Value	\$ 211.5 - 234.6	\$ 204.0 - 225.7	\$ 199.2 - 220.9
Implied Equity Value	\$ 54.7 - 77.8	\$ 47.2 - 68.9	\$ 42.4 - 64.1
Implied Unit Price	\$ 4.68 - 6.66	\$ 4.04 - 5.89	\$ 3.63 - 5.48

Abraxas Energy Valuation Reference Ranges

Based on the foregoing analyses, Stephens determined an enterprise value reference range of \$220.0 to \$255.0 million and an equity value reference range of \$64.0 to \$98.0 million (\$5.45 to \$8.35 per limited partner unit) for Abraxas Energy.

Relative Contribution Analysis

Stephens prepared a relative contribution analysis comparing the contributions by Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy of selected financial and operating metrics to the combined company. Stephens prepared this analysis based on (i) each management's estimates of EBITDA and revenue for 2009, 2010 and 2011 FYE, (ii) current production and proved reserve volumes and (iii) net asset value ranges for Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy derived from comparable companies analysis, comparable transactions analysis and discounted cash flow analysis as described above. The following is a summary of this analysis:

	Financial Contribution	
	Abraxas Petroleum	Abraxas Energy
2009E:		
Revenue	56.0%	44.0%
EBITDA	53.6%	46.4%
2010E:		
Revenue	59.7%	40.3%
EBITDA	59.1%	40.9%
2011E:		
Revenue	61.9%	38.1%
EBITDA	61.8%	38.2%

Table of Contents

	Net Asset Value Contribution	
	Abraxas Petroleum	Abraxas Energy
Comparable Companies Analysis:		
Low	74.6%	25.4%
High	68.6%	31.4%
Comparable Transactions Analysis		
Low	66.7%	33.3%
High	64.9%	35.1%
Discounted Cash Flow Analysis:		
5 Yr NYMEX Strip Average		
Low	67.0%	33.0%
High	65.4%	34.6%
5 Yr Historical Average		
Low	65.5%	34.5%
High	66.5%	33.5%
Alternate Price Case		
Low	62.7%	37.3%
High	63.7%	36.3%

The financial contribution analysis results in implied mean and median Abraxas Petroleum ownership interests of 58.70% and 59.40%, respectively, in the combined entity, which are within the range of 57.54% to 65.5% that Stephens calculated will result from the Merger. The net asset value contribution analysis results in an implied mean and median Abraxas Petroleum ownership interests of 66.40% and 66.10%, respectively, in the combined entity, which are slightly above the range of 57.54% to 65.5% that Stephens calculated will result from the Merger.

Stephens also applied the relative contribution analysis to the valuation ranges determined for Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy utilizing each of the following methodologies described above: comparable companies analysis, comparable transactions analysis and discounted cash flow analysis. In addition, Stephens applied the relative contribution analysis to the equity reference valuation ranges determined for Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy as described above. A summary of these analyses is shown below:

Valuation Methodology:	Discounted Cash Flow Analysis					Equity Reference Value Range
	5 Yr NYMEX Strip Average	5 Yr Historical Average	Alternate Price Case	Comparable Transaction Analysis	Comparable Company Analysis	
Abraxas Petroleum Equity Ownership %						
Mean	65.86%	63.87%	62.80%	65.51%	70.84%	64.44%
Median	65.90%	65.35%	63.11%	65.52%	70.75%	64.49%
Abraxas Energy Equity Ownership %						
Mean	34.14%	36.13%	37.20%	34.49%	29.16%	35.56%
Median	34.10%	34.65%	36.89%	34.48%	29.25%	35.51%
Implied Exchange Ratio						
Mean	4.25x	4.62x	4.92x	4.31x	3.46x	4.55x
Median	4.18x	4.29x	4.72x	4.25x	3.34x	4.45x

The foregoing contribution analysis results in implied mean and median Abraxas Petroleum ownership interests (calculated as the mean and median of the implied ownership interests resulting from the valuation

Table of Contents

methodologies identified in the first five columns in the table above) of 65.78% and 65.52%, respectively, in the combined entity, which are within or slightly above the range of 57.54% to 65.5% that Stephens calculated will result from the Merger. This contribution analysis also results in mean and median implied Exchange Ratios (calculated as the mean and median of the implied Exchange Ratios resulting from the valuation methodologies identified in the first five columns in the table above) of 4.31 and 4.25, respectively, which are within the Exchange Ratio range of 4.25 – 6.00 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock per Abraxas Energy common unit. The mean and median Exchange Ratios implied by the relative equity reference values (which take into account all of the valuation methodologies identified in the first five columns in the table above) are 4.55 and 4.45, respectively, which are within the Exchange Ratio of 4.25 – 6.00.

The summary of the material financial analyses performed by Stephens in connection with rendering its opinion as described above is only a summary and does not purport to be a complete description of the financial analyses performed. The summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the written opinion of Stephens.

The order of analyses described does not represent the relative importance or weight given to those analyses by Stephens. Some of the summaries of the financial analyses include information presented in tabular format. The tables must be read together with the full text of each summary and are alone not a complete description of the financial analyses performed by Stephens. Except as otherwise noted, the quantitative information included in the summary, to the extent that it is based on market data, is based on market data as it existed on or before June 29, 2009 and is not necessarily indicative of current market conditions.

The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex process and is not necessarily susceptible to partial analysis or summary description. Selecting portions of the analyses or of the summary set forth above, without considering the analyses as a whole, could create an incomplete view of the processes underlying Stephens' opinion. In arriving at its fairness determination, Stephens considered the results of all of its analyses and did not attribute any particular weight to any factor or analysis considered by it. Rather, Stephens made its determination as to fairness on the basis of its experience and professional judgment after considering the results of all of its analyses. No company or transaction used in the above analyses as a comparison is directly comparable to Abraxas Petroleum, Abraxas Energy or the contemplated Merger transaction.

As part of its investment banking business, Stephens regularly issues fairness opinions and is continually engaged in the valuation of companies and their securities in connection with business reorganizations, private placements, negotiated underwritings, mergers and acquisitions and valuations for estate, corporate and other purposes. Stephens is entitled to receive a fee and reimbursement of its expenses from Abraxas Petroleum for providing its fairness opinion to the Special Committee. Abraxas Petroleum has also agreed to indemnify Stephens for certain liabilities arising out of its engagement, including certain liabilities that could arise out of providing the opinion letter. Stephens has not provided investment banking services to Abraxas Petroleum in the past. In the ordinary course of business, Stephens and its affiliates at any time may hold long or short positions, and may trade or otherwise effect transactions as principal or for the accounts of customers, in debt or equity securities or options on securities of Abraxas Petroleum.

Stephens' opinion is necessarily based upon market, economic and other conditions as they exist and can be evaluated on, and on the information made available to Stephens as of, the date of its opinion. It should be understood that subsequent developments may affect its opinion and that Stephens does not have any obligation to update, revise or reaffirm its opinion. Stephens has assumed that the proposed Merger will be consummated on the terms of the latest draft of the Original Merger Agreement provided to it, without material waiver or modification. Stephens has assumed that in the course of obtaining the necessary regulatory, lending or other consents or approvals (contractual or otherwise) for the proposed Merger, no restrictions, including any divestiture requirements or amendments or modifications, will be imposed that will have a material adverse effect on the contemplated benefits of the proposed Merger to Abraxas Petroleum. Stephens has not expressed any opinion as to the price at which the common stock of Abraxas Petroleum will trade following the announcement or consummation of the proposed Merger.

Table of Contents

Stephens' opinion is for the use and benefit of Abraxas Petroleum's Special Committee. Stephens' opinion does not address the merits of the underlying decision by Abraxas Petroleum to engage in the proposed Merger, the merits of the proposed Merger as compared to other alternatives potentially available to Abraxas Petroleum or the relative effects of any alternative transaction in which Abraxas Petroleum might engage, nor is it intended to be a recommendation to any person as to any specific action that should be taken in connection with the proposed Merger. Stephens' opinion is not intended to confer any rights or remedies upon any employee, creditor, stockholder or other equity holder of Abraxas Petroleum, or any other party other than the Special Committee. In addition, Stephens has not been asked to address, and its opinion does not address, the fairness to, or any other consideration of, the holders of any class of securities, creditors or other constituencies of Abraxas Petroleum. Stephens has not been asked to express any opinion, and has not expressed any opinion, as to the fairness of the amount or nature of the compensation to any of Abraxas Petroleum's officers, directors or employees, or to any group of such officers, directors or employees, relative to the compensation to other stockholders of Abraxas Petroleum. Stephens' fairness opinion committee has approved Stephens' opinion as to the fairness of the Exchange Ratio. Neither Stephens' opinion nor its substance may be disclosed by the Special Committee to anyone other than the Special Committee's advisors without Stephens' written permission. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Stephens' opinion and a summary discussion of Stephens' underlying analyses and its role on behalf of the Special Committee may be included in communications to Abraxas Petroleum's stockholders, provided that Stephens approves the content of such disclosures prior to publication.

Based on the foregoing and Stephens' general experience as investment bankers, and subject to the qualifications stated herein, Stephens is of the opinion on the date of its opinion that the Exchange Ratio is fair to Abraxas Petroleum from a financial point of view.

Dissenters' Rights

Delaware law and Abraxas Energy's partnership agreement do not provide dissenters' rights or rights of appraisal for Abraxas Energy unitholders in connection with the Merger. In addition, Nevada law does not provide dissenters' rights or rights of appraisal for Abraxas Petroleum stockholders in connection with the Merger.

Abraxas Energy Board and Unitholder Approval

On June 29, 2009, the Abraxas Energy Board approved the Original Merger Agreement and the Original Merger. In addition, on June 30, 2009, Investments consented to the Merger Agreement and the Merger. By their execution of the Voting Agreement, the holders of 96% of Abraxas Energy's common units not owned by Investments, consented to the Original Merger Agreement and the Original Merger. On July 13, 2009, the Audit and Conflicts Committee of the Abraxas Energy Board approved the Merger and the Merger Agreement and on July 17, 2009, holders of 96% of Abraxas Energy's common units not owned by Investments consented to the Merger Agreement and the Merger by executing Amendment No. 1 to the Voting Agreement.

Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger

In considering the recommendation of our Board to approve the Merger and the issuance of the shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger and the other matters described in this proxy statement, our stockholders should be aware that certain members of our Board and certain of our officers have interests in the Merger that are different from, or in addition to, the interests of our other stockholders generally.

Robert L.G. Watson, the Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of Abraxas Petroleum and Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the GP, owns 34,714 Abraxas Energy common units, 6,000 restricted units, 7,493 phantom units and has the right to receive options to purchase 63,000 common units. As a result of the Merger, Mr. Watson will receive, assuming the mid-point of the exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share, 177,909 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, 69,152 shares of Abraxas Petroleum restricted stock and options to purchase 322,875 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock at an exercise price equal to the closing price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock on the date the Merger is consummated.

Table of Contents

Barbara M. Stuckey, the Vice President Corporate Finance of Abraxas Petroleum and the President of the GP, owns 14,986 Abraxas Energy common units, 4,000 restricted units, 6,582 phantom units and has the right to receive options to purchase 42,000 common units. As a result of the Merger, Ms. Stuckey will receive, assuming the mid-point of the exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share, 76,803 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, 54,233 shares of Abraxas Petroleum restricted stock and options to purchase 215,250 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock at an exercise price equal to the closing price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock on the date the Merger is consummated.

In addition to Mr. Watson and Ms. Stuckey, certain directors and officers of Abraxas Petroleum beneficially own a total of 71,428 Abraxas Energy common units, 18,000 Abraxas Energy restricted units, 17,080 Abraxas Energy phantom units and have the right to receive options to purchase 63,000 Abraxas Energy common units. As a result of the Merger, these individuals will receive, assuming the mid-point of the exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share, 366,069 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, 179,785 shares of Abraxas Petroleum restricted stock and options to purchase 322,875 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock at an exercise price equal to the closing price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock on the date the Merger is consummated. In addition, Mr. Watson, Ms. Stuckey and Chris E. Williford, Abraxas Petroleum's Chief Financial Officer, will continue in their positions as executive officers of Abraxas Petroleum.

The Abraxas Petroleum Board was aware of these interests and considered these interests, among others, in evaluating and negotiating the Merger Agreement and the Merger and in recommending to Abraxas Petroleum's stockholders that they vote FOR the issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger.

Regulatory Approvals

Abraxas Petroleum is not aware of any material governmental or regulatory requirements that must be complied with regarding the Merger other than compliance with the NASDAQ rules and the applicable provisions of Nevada and Delaware law.

The Merger Agreement

The following is a summary of selected provisions of the Merger Agreement. While we believe this description covers the material terms of the Merger Agreement, it may not contain all of the information that is important to you and it is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Merger Agreement. The Merger Agreement is attached as Annex A to this proxy statement.

General

At the closing of the Merger, Abraxas Energy will merge with and into Merger Sub, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum, with Merger Sub surviving the Merger and continuing as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum. The outstanding common units of Abraxas Energy will be canceled, and the Abraxas Energy unitholders, other than Investments, will receive shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, which we sometimes refer to as the Merger Consideration, in exchange. The closing of the Merger is agreed to occur no later than one business day following the satisfaction or waiver of all of the conditions to the Merger, or at another such time as we, Merger Sub and Abraxas Energy may agree in writing. However, because the Merger is subject to a number of conditions, we cannot predict when the closing will occur or if it will occur at all.

Merger Consideration

The Merger Consideration issuable to the Abraxas Energy unitholders, other than Investments, in the Merger will consist of a maximum of 36,952,836 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock. Abraxas Petroleum will issue to Abraxas Energy's unitholders, other than Investments, not less than 4.25 shares and not

Table of Contents

more than 6.00 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock per common unit of Abraxas Energy. The final number of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in the Merger will be determined by dividing \$6.00 by the average volume weighted average closing price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock on the NASDAQ during the 20 trading days ending on the third business day immediately prior to the date of the Special Meeting, or the VWAP. For example, if the VWAP is \$1.00 or lower, holders of Abraxas Energy common units would receive 6.00 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock for each of their common units and if the VWAP is \$1.412 or higher, holders of Abraxas Energy common units would receive 4.25 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock for each of their common units. If the maximum number of shares of our common stock is issued in the Merger, these shares will represent approximately 42.6% of our outstanding common stock immediately after the Merger. At the mid-point of the exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share, we would issue 31,563,881 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, or 38.8% of our outstanding common stock immediately after the Merger. In connection with the Merger, the Abraxas Energy common units owned by Investments and the general partner interests owned by GP prior to the Effective Time shall be canceled and cease to exist and no Merger Consideration shall be delivered in respect thereof.

The Merger Consideration of \$6.00 per common unit of Abraxas Energy was determined by the Abraxas Petroleum Board. The Merger Consideration consists of shares our common stock, so the value of such shares will fluctuate with changes in the trading price of our common stock on NASDAQ. Based on the closing market price of our common stock we determined that, as of June 29, 2009 (the trading day before the date of the Original Merger Agreement), the total value of the Merger Consideration was approximately \$37.0 million and that, as of September 1, 2009 (the most recent practicable date prior to the date of this proxy statement), the total value of the Merger Consideration was approximately \$37.0 million. The value of the Merger Consideration actually received by Abraxas Energy unitholders in the Merger will not be determined until the Merger closes. You should note that the Special Committee's review of the fairness of the proposed Merger Consideration focused primarily on the estimated relative values of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy, rather than on the absolute value of the Merger Consideration as of any particular time.

No fractional shares will be issued in the Merger and each Abraxas Energy unitholder who would otherwise be entitled to receive a fraction of a share of Abraxas Petroleum common stock will not receive any consideration therefor and all such fractional shares shall be rounded down to the nearest whole share of Abraxas Petroleum common stock.

Representations and Warranties

The Merger Agreement contains customary representations and warranties by Abraxas Petroleum relating to, among other things, corporate organization and similar corporate matters; capitalization; authority to enter into the Merger Agreement and transactions contemplated thereby; enforceability of the Merger Agreement; no violations and no consents; compliance with applicable law; completeness and accuracy of SEC filings; litigation matters; no material adverse change in the business of Abraxas Petroleum; environmental matters; tax matters; title to properties; intellectual property; employees and employee benefit plans; no undisclosed liabilities; state takeover laws; and finders and brokers. Merger Sub represented and warranted as to its organization and qualification; authority to enter into the Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby; no violations and no consents; and that it was formed solely for the purpose of engaging in the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement and from its formation to the Effective Time that it will engage in no business other than in connection with entering into the Merger Agreement and in engaging in the transactions contemplated thereby. In addition, Abraxas Petroleum represented and warranted to Abraxas Energy that the Special Committee recommended the adoption of the Merger Agreement to the Abraxas Petroleum Board and that the Special Committee received the oral opinion of Stephens as to the fairness of the Merger Consideration, from a financial point of view, to Abraxas Petroleum. Abraxas Petroleum has also agreed that the Abraxas Energy unitholders are intended third party beneficiaries of all of Abraxas Petroleum's representations, warranties, covenants and agreements set forth in the Merger Agreement.

Table of Contents

The Merger Agreement also contains customary representations and warranties of Abraxas Energy including, among other things: organization and qualification; subsidiaries; capitalization; authority and no violations and consents. In addition, Abraxas Energy represented and warranted to us that its Audit and Conflicts Committee recommended the adoption of the Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby to the Board of Directors of the GP and that the Audit and Conflicts Committee received the oral opinion of Stifel as to the fairness of the Merger Consideration, from a financial point of view, to Abraxas Energy.

The representations and warranties of the parties in the Merger Agreement are, in many respects, qualified by materiality and limited to the knowledge of the entity making the representation and warranty, but their accuracy forms the basis of one of the conditions to the obligations of the parties to complete the Merger. Please note, however, that these representations and warranties were made only for purposes of the Merger Agreement and as of specific dates, were solely for the benefit of the parties thereto, and are subject to limitations agreed to between the parties, including that they are qualified by disclosures between the parties that are not included with this proxy statement. Accordingly, investors and third parties should not rely on these representations and warranties as independent characterizations of the actual state of facts at the time they were made or otherwise but should consider them together with the other information in this proxy statement or that we have disclosed in other filings with the SEC.

Covenants; Conduct of Business Pending the Merger

Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy each agreed, until the closing of the Merger, to conduct their respective businesses in the ordinary course in accordance with past practices. Subject to certain limited exceptions, each of the parties to the Merger Agreement agreed that it will not and will cause its subsidiaries not to, during the period before the closing of the Merger:

except (i) for normal operating and capital expenses incurred in the ordinary course of business and consistent with past practice, (ii) for costs and expenses associated with the Merger Agreement and the consummation of the transactions contemplated thereby or (iii) as permitted in the Merger Agreement, make any expenditures;

acquire, by merging or consolidating with, or by purchasing an equity interest in or the assets of or by any other manner, any business or corporation, partnership or other business organization or division thereof, or otherwise acquire any assets of any other entity (other than the purchase of assets from suppliers, clients or vendors in the ordinary course of business and consistent with past practice);

make any capital contribution or incur, assume or guarantee any indebtedness for borrowed money or issue any debt securities or assume, guarantee or endorse, or otherwise as an accommodation become voluntarily responsible for, the obligations of any person, or make any loans or advances;

amend or otherwise change its organizational documents;

issue (except as contemplated in the Merger Agreement or under the Abraxas LTIP), deliver or sell or authorize or propose the issuance, delivery or sale of, any partnership units (in the case of Abraxas Energy) or capital stock (in the case of Abraxas Petroleum), split, combine or reclassify any of its securities, declare, set aside or pay any dividend or other distribution (whether in cash, stock or property or any combination thereof) in respect of its securities or otherwise make any payments to stockholders or unitholders in their capacity as such;

adopt a plan of complete or partial liquidation, dissolution, merger, consolidation, restructuring, recapitalization or other reorganization of such entity;

Edgar Filing: ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEFM14A

change the methods of accounting used by such party, except in accordance with changes in GAAP as concurred to by such party's independent auditors;

enter into any closing agreement with respect to material taxes, settle or compromise any material liability for taxes, revoke, change or make any new material tax election, agree to any adjustment of

Table of Contents

any material tax attribute, file or surrender any claim for a material refund of taxes, execute or consent to any waivers extending the statutory period of limitations with respect to the collection or assessment of material taxes, file any material amended tax return or obtain any material tax ruling;

settle any claims, demands, lawsuits or state or federal regulatory proceedings for damages to the extent such settlements in the aggregate assess damages in excess of \$1,000,000 (other than any claims, demands, lawsuits or proceedings to the extent insured (net of deductibles), to the extent reserved against in its financial statements or to the extent covered by an indemnity obligation not subject to dispute or adjustment from a solvent indemnitor);

settle any claims, demands, lawsuits or state or federal regulatory proceedings seeking an injunction or other equitable relief where such settlements would have a material adverse effect;

grant any increases in the compensation of any of their executive officers, except in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice or as required by the terms of an existing employee benefit plan or agreement or by applicable law;

amend any existing employment or severance or termination contract with any executive officer;

become obligated under any new pension plan, welfare plan, multiemployer plan, employee benefit plan, severance plan, change of control or other benefit arrangement or similar plan or arrangement; or

amend any employee benefit plan, if such amendment would have the effect of materially enhancing any benefits thereunder.

Other Agreements

Under the Merger Agreement, Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy have each agreed to use its commercially reasonable efforts to:

use reasonable best efforts to complete the Merger;

obtain approval of their stockholders or unitholders, as applicable;

file or otherwise submit all applications, notices, reports and other documents reasonably required to be filed with a governmental entity with respect to the Merger, including this proxy statement;

file listing applications with NASDAQ with respect to the shares of Abraxas Common Stock to be issued pursuant to the Merger; and

obtain all consents, approvals or waivers reasonably required in connection with the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement.

In addition, the Merger Agreement requires Abraxas Petroleum to indemnify each person, who at the time of the Merger, was a director, officer or employee of the GP or Abraxas Operating to the fullest extent permitted under applicable law. The Merger Agreement also requires Abraxas Petroleum for a period of three years after the Merger, to maintain the current policies of directors and officers liability insurance maintained by the GP and Abraxas Operating (or to substitute with similar coverage); provided that Abraxas shall not be required to pay annual premiums in

excess of 150% of the last annual premium paid by or on behalf of Abraxas Energy.

Director Designees

Abraxas Petroleum also agreed in the Merger Agreement to increase the size of its board of directors and to designate Edward P. Russell and Brian L. Melton to serve as members of the Abraxas Petroleum Board. Subject to the fulfillment of its fiduciary duties, and provided that such New Directors remain independent as defined in the rules and regulations of the SEC and the securities exchange on which Abraxas Petroleum common stock is then traded, the Abraxas Petroleum Board will nominate and recommend approval of both of the New Directors at its annual meeting in 2010 for a full three-year term. On the date which is 24 months after the Effective Time,

Table of Contents

one of the New Directors will offer to resign from the Abraxas Petroleum Board and on the date which is 36 months after the Effective Time, the remaining New Director will offer to resign from the Abraxas Petroleum Board. If at any time either of the New Directors creates a vacancy on the Abraxas Petroleum Board (by means of death, resignation, retirement, disqualification, removal from office or otherwise), the Abraxas Petroleum Board shall fill such vacancy with a person designated by the former Abraxas Energy unitholders and the Abraxas Petroleum Board shall continue to nominate and recommend approval of such person in any stockholder election.

Conditions to the Completion of the Merger

Under the Merger Agreement, each party's obligation to complete the Merger is subject to the satisfaction or waiver by each of the parties, at or before the Merger, of various conditions, including the following:

Abraxas Petroleum stockholders must have approved the issuance of the Merger Consideration and the amendment to the LTIP;

Abraxas Energy unitholders must have approved the Merger;

Abraxas Petroleum must have obtained financing on commercially reasonable terms and conditions that are reasonably satisfactory to Abraxas Petroleum and sufficient to consummate the Merger and repay all indebtedness outstanding under the Abraxas Energy credit facility and the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility;

all governmental consents, approvals, permits and authorizations required to be obtained in connection with the execution and delivery of the Merger Agreement and the closing of the Merger must have been obtained;

no law, injunction, judgment or ruling enacted, promulgated, issued, entered, amended or enforced by any governmental entity shall be in effect enjoining, restraining, preventing or prohibiting consummation of the Merger or making the consummation of the Merger illegal;

all the representations and warranties in the Merger Agreement shall be true and correct on the date of the Merger Agreement and on the Closing Date of the Merger with the same force and effect as if made on the date on which the Merger is to be completed or, if such representations and warranties address matters as of a particular date, then as of that particular date, except where the failure of these representations and warranties to be true and correct, disregarding any materiality qualifications, individually or in the aggregate, would not reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on Abraxas Petroleum or Abraxas Energy, as the case may be, and Abraxas Petroleum, Merger Sub and Abraxas Energy shall have delivered an officer's certificate to this effect;

the parties shall have performed or complied in all material respects with all covenants and obligations required to be performed or complied with by them on or before the closing of the Merger, and Abraxas Petroleum, Merger Sub and Abraxas Energy shall have delivered an officer's certificate to this effect; and

the listing of the shares of Abraxas common stock to be issued pursuant to the Merger Agreement shall have been approved by NASDAQ, subject to official notice of issuance.

Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy each have the right to waive compliance by the other with any of the agreements contained in the Merger Agreement or the other's conditions.

Termination

Edgar Filing: ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEFM14A

The Merger Agreement may be terminated at any time before the completion of the Merger, whether before or after the required approval of our stockholders has been obtained, as set forth below:

by mutual written consent of Abraxas Petroleum, Merger Sub and Abraxas Energy;

Table of Contents

by either Abraxas Petroleum or Abraxas Energy, if:

the Merger has not been completed by October 28, 2009, but this right to terminate the Merger Agreement will not be available to any party whose action or failure to act has been the cause of, or resulted in, the failure of the Merger to be completed by October 28, 2009;

any governmental authority has issued a statute, rule, order, decree or regulation or taken any other action permanently restraining, enjoining or otherwise prohibiting the consummation of the Merger or making the Merger illegal and such statute, rule, order, decree, regulation or other action shall have become final and nonappealable (provided that the terminating party has complied with its obligations hereunder);

Abraxas Energy fails to obtain the approval of the Abraxas Energy unitholders;

there has been a material breach or any inaccuracy in any of the representations, warranties or covenants in the Merger Agreement, which has not been cured within 30 days; or

Abraxas Petroleum fails to obtain the approval of the Abraxas Petroleum stockholders to the stock issuance and the amendment to the LTIP.

In addition, Abraxas Petroleum and Merger Sub may terminate the Merger Agreement if the Abraxas Energy Board (with the prior approval of the Audit and Conflicts Committee) has changed its current recommendation in favor of the Merger. Abraxas Energy may terminate the Merger Agreement if the Abraxas Petroleum Board (with the prior approval of the Abraxas Petroleum Special Committee) has changed its current recommendation in favor of the Merger.

Fees and Expenses

Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy will bear and pay their own costs and expenses as well as the reasonable costs and expenses incurred on behalf of the limited partners of Abraxas Energy party to the Voting, Registration Rights & Lock-Up Agreement of one investment banking firm and one law firm in connection with the negotiation, execution and delivery of the Merger Agreement, the Voting, Registration Rights & Lock-Up Agreement and the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement and the Voting, Registration Rights & Lock-Up Agreement.

Amendment

The Merger Agreement may be amended by written agreement of the parties at any time approved by the Audit and Conflicts Committee of Abraxas Energy, in the case of Abraxas Energy, and by the Special Committee, in the case of Abraxas Petroleum.

The Voting, Registration Rights & Lock-Up Agreement

The following is a summary of selected provisions of the Voting, Registration Rights and Lock-up Agreement, which we sometimes refer to as the Voting Agreement. While we believe this description covers the material terms of the Voting Agreement, it may not contain all of the information that is important to you and it is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Voting Agreement. The Voting Agreement is attached as Annex B and Amendment No. 1 to the Voting Agreement is attached as Annex C to this proxy statement and are considered part of this document.

Concurrently with the execution of the Original Merger Agreement, in order to induce Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy to enter into the Original Merger Agreement, certain limited partners owning 96% of the common units of Abraxas Energy not owned by Investments entered into the Voting Agreement with Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy and these limited partners, Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy entered into Amendment No. 1 to the Voting Agreement concurrently with the execution of the Merger Agreement.

Table of Contents

Representations and Warranties

The Voting, Registration Rights & Lock-Up Agreement contains a number of customary representations of the limited partners party to the Voting, Registration Rights & Lock-Up Agreement, including: authority, execution and delivery and enforceability; no conflict; no consents; ownership of and title to the Abraxas Energy common units; accredited investor representations; no brokers; reliance; certain trading activities; and truth and accuracy of such limited partner s representations and warranties.

In addition, the Voting Agreement contains a number of customary representations of each of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy, including: authority, execution and delivery and enforceability; no conflict; no consents; reliance; and truth and accuracy of such party s representations and warranties.

The representations and warranties of the parties in the Voting Agreement are, in many respects, qualified by materiality and limited to the knowledge of the entity making the representation and warranty, but their accuracy forms the basis of one of the conditions to the obligations of the parties to complete the Merger. Please note, however, that these representations and warranties were made only for purposes of the Voting Agreement and as of specific dates, were solely for the benefit of the parties thereto, and are subject to limitations agreed to between the parties, including that they are qualified by disclosures between the parties that are not included with this proxy statement. Accordingly, investors and third parties should not rely on these representations and warranties as independent characterizations of the actual state of facts at the time they were made or otherwise but should consider them together with the other information in this proxy statement or that we have disclosed in other filings with the SEC.

Covenants and Agreements

Limited Partners

The limited partners subject to the terms of the Voting, Registration Rights & Lock-Up Agreement agreed to:

vote all of their outstanding common units of Abraxas Energy at any meeting of the unitholders of Abraxas Energy in favor of the Merger Agreement (or, in the event the approval of the Abraxas Energy unitholders is sought without a meeting of the unitholders, such limited partners execution and delivery of the Voting Agreement shall constitute consent to the Merger of such limited partners);

vote against (i) any other any merger agreement or merger, consolidation, combination, sale of substantial assets, reorganization, recapitalization, dissolution, liquidation or winding up of or by Abraxas Energy, (ii) any acquisition proposal and/or (iii) any amendment of Abraxas Energy s certificate of limited partnership or the Partnership Agreement or other proposal or transaction involving Abraxas Energy or any of its subsidiaries, which amendment or other proposal or transaction could in any manner impede, frustrate, prevent or nullify any provision of the Merger Agreement, any ancillary document or agreement to the Merger Agreement, the Merger, or any other transaction contemplated thereby or change in any manner the voting rights of any class of Abraxas Energy s units;

grant an irrevocable proxy to Abraxas Petroleum to vote all of their common units of Abraxas Energy in favor of the Merger Agreement and against any other transaction;

not, (i) directly or indirectly, sell, transfer, pledge, assign or otherwise dispose of (including by gift , merger or operation of law) encumber, hedge or utilize a derivative to transfer the economic interest in (collectively, Transfer), or enter into any contract, option or other arrangement (including any profit sharing arrangement) with respect to the Transfer of, any of such limited partners common units of Abraxas Energy to any person (other than an affiliate of such limited partner who agrees to be bound by the terms of this agreement) other than pursuant to the merger, (ii) enter into any voting arrangement, whether by proxy, voting agreement, voting trust or otherwise (including pursuant to any

Table of Contents

loan of such common units), with respect to any such common units, (iii) take any action that would make any representation or warranty of such limited partner herein untrue or incorrect in any material respect, or have the effect of preventing or disabling the limited partner from performing its obligations under the agreement in any material respect, or (iv) commit or agree to take any of the foregoing actions;

not directly, or indirectly permit any person on behalf of such limited partner, effect certain transactions in the securities of Abraxas Petroleum;

not transfer any of the shares of Abraxas common stock received by such limited partner in the Merger (the Merger Shares) for 90 days after the Effective Time of the Merger (the Initial Lock-up Period);

following the expiration of the Initial Lock-Up Period, one-third of the Merger Shares originally held by each limited partner shall be freely tradable, subject to applicable securities laws (the First Release Date);

upon the expiration of the twelve-month period immediately following the First Release Date (the Second Release Date), an additional one-third of the Merger Shares originally held by each limited partner shall be unrestricted and freely tradable, subject to applicable securities laws and the remaining one-third of the limited partners Merger Shares shall remain subject to the Initial Lock-Up; *provided, however*, the Limited Partners may sell or dispose of the remaining one-third of the Merger Shares in compliance with applicable securities laws to an accredited investor or qualified institutional buyer which becomes a party to the Voting Agreement and is reasonably acceptable to Abraxas Petroleum; and

upon expiration of the twelve-month period immediately following the Second Release Date, all of the Merger Shares originally held by each limited partner shall be automatically released from any transfer restriction set forth in the Merger Agreement and the limited partners may freely transfer their Merger Shares in accordance with applicable securities laws.

not exercise any of its rights or take any action under the Exchange and Registration Rights Agreement dated as of May 25, 2007, as amended, by and among Abraxas Petroleum, Abraxas Energy and the limited partners signatory thereto.

Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy

Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy agreed to:

not file any further amendments to the registration statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-144537) relating to the initial public offering of the common units of Abraxas Energy or take any other actions intended to consummate the initial public offering;

at the Effective Time, increase the size of the Board of Directors of Abraxas Petroleum by two members and elect Edward P. Russell and Brian L. Melton to serve on the Board of Directors;

grant the limited partners access to the offices, properties, books and records of employees of Abraxas Petroleum; and

bear and pay their own costs and the reasonable fees and expenses incurred on behalf of the limited partners of one investment banking firm and one law firm in connection with the negotiation, execution and delivery of the Merger Agreement and the agreements contemplated by the Merger Agreement.

Table of Contents

Registration Rights

Abraxas Petroleum agreed:

within 120 days of the Effective Time, to file a registration statement relating to the resale of the shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in the Merger, which we refer to as the Registration Statement, pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and to use commercially reasonable efforts to cause the Registration Statement to become effective and to keep the Registration Statement effective until the earlier of (A) the date that is 24 months after the end of the Lock-Up Period and (B) the date that all shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock received in the Merger have been sold;

that in the event the former Abraxas Energy unitholders propose to sell their shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock received in the Merger in an underwritten public offering, to use commercially reasonable efforts to retain underwriters and effect such sale through an underwritten offering and take all commercially reasonable actions as are reasonably requested by the managing underwriter or underwriters to expedite or facilitate the disposition of such shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, including entering into an underwriting agreement, and participation by Abraxas Petroleum's management in a road show or similar marketing effort; *provided, however*, that Abraxas Petroleum would not be required to cause its management to participate in a road show or similar marketing effort on behalf of any unitholder if (A) the managing underwriter or underwriters of any such proposed underwritten offering advise Abraxas Petroleum that the gross proceeds of the underwritten offering are not expected to exceed \$10.0 million and (B) a bought deal or overnight transaction is contemplated; and

that in the event Abraxas Petroleum proposes, during the period from and after the end of the Lock-Up Period to the date that is 24 months after the end of the Lock-Up Period, to issue and sell shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock pursuant to a registration statement other than a shelf registration statement or pursuant to a supplement to a shelf registration statement in an underwritten offering for its own account, then as soon as practicable but not less than 10 business days prior to the filing of (A) any preliminary prospectus supplement to a prospectus that includes Abraxas Petroleum common stock, relating to such underwritten offering pursuant to Rule 424(b), (B) the prospectus supplement to a prospectus that includes Abraxas Petroleum common stock, relating to such underwritten offering pursuant to Rule 424(b) (if no preliminary prospectus supplement is used) or (C) such registration statement, as the case may be (any of the foregoing registration statements, a Piggyback Registration Statement or Prospectus), Abraxas Petroleum shall give notice of such proposed underwritten offering to the unitholders and such notice shall offer the former Abraxas Energy unitholders the opportunity to include in such underwritten offering such number of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock as each such unitholder may request in writing subject to a customary underwriter's cut back.

Termination

The Voting Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the earliest of (a) the termination of the Merger Agreement and (b) the second anniversary of the Second Release Date.

The New Credit Facility

Upon consummation of the Merger, we expect to enter into a new senior secured credit facility with Société Générale, as administrative agent and issuing lender, and certain other lenders, which we refer to as the new credit facility. We expect to borrow approximately \$140.9 million under our new credit facility, of which \$130.9 million will be borrowed under the revolving portion of the new credit facility and \$10.0 million will be borrowed under the term loan portion of the new credit facility, and refinance and terminate all of the existing credit facilities.

Based upon a non-binding term sheet that we have received from Société Générale, the revolving portion of the new credit facility is expected to have a maximum commitment of \$300.0 million and availability under the

Table of Contents

revolving portion of the new credit facility will be subject to a borrowing base. The borrowing base under the new credit facility is expected to be approximately \$145.0 million and will be determined semi-annually by the lenders based upon our reserve reports, one of which must be prepared by our independent petroleum engineers and one of which may be prepared internally. The amount of the borrowing base will be calculated by the lenders based upon their valuation of our proved reserves utilizing these reserve reports and their own internal decisions. In addition, the lenders, in their sole discretion, will be able to make one additional borrowing base redetermination during any six-month period between scheduled redeterminations and we will be able to request one redetermination during any six-month period between scheduled redeterminations. The lenders will also be able to make a redetermination in connection with any sales of producing properties with a market value of 5% or more of our then-current borrowing base. Our expected borrowing base of approximately \$145.0 million was determined based upon our reserve report dated June 1, 2009. Our borrowing base can never exceed the expected \$300.0 million maximum commitment amount. Outstanding amounts under the revolving portion of the new credit facility are expected to bear interest at (a) the greater of (1) the reference rate announced from time to time by Société Générale, (2) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.5%, and (3) a rate determined by Société Générale as the daily one-month LIBOR plus, in each case, (b) 1.5% 2.5%, depending on the utilization of the borrowing base, or, if we elect, at the greater of (a) 2.0% and (b) LIBOR plus, in each case, 2.5% 3.75% depending on the utilization of the borrowing base. At June 30, 2009, the interest rate on the revolving portion of the new credit facility would have been 5.75%. In addition to the borrowing base availability of approximately \$145.0 million, we expect that a \$10.0 million term loan will be available under the new senior secured credit facility, all of which would be drawn at closing. Outstanding amounts under the term loan portion of the new credit facility are expected to bear interest at (a) the greater of (1) the reference rate announced from time to time by Société Générale, (2) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.5%, and (3) a rate determined by Société Générale as the daily one-month LIBOR plus, in each case, (b) 4.75%, or, if we elect, at the greater of (a) 2.0% and (b) LIBOR plus, in each case, 5.75%. At June 30, 2009, the interest rate on the term loan portion of the new credit facility would have been 7.75%. The term loan portion of the new credit facility will be subject to amortization beginning on March 31, 2010. The first quarterly amortization of \$4.0 million is due on March 31, 2010; thereafter, a quarterly amortization of \$2.0 million is due at the end of each quarter until the term loan is repaid. It is anticipated that the term loan will be repaid on or before December 31, 2010, after which, it may not be redrawn.

Subject to earlier termination rights and events of default, the stated maturity date of the new credit facility is expected to be three years after the date of the new credit facility. Interest will be payable quarterly on reference rate advances and not less than quarterly on Eurodollar advances. We expect to be permitted to terminate the new credit facility, and will be able, from time to time, to permanently reduce the lenders' aggregate commitment under the new credit facility in compliance with certain notice and dollar increment requirements.

We expect that each of Abraxas Petroleum's subsidiaries will be required to guarantee Abraxas Petroleum's obligations under the new credit facility on a senior secured basis. Obligations under the new credit facility will be secured by a first priority perfected security interest, subject to certain permitted encumbrances, in all of Abraxas Petroleum's and the subsidiary guarantors' material property and assets.

Under the new credit facility, we expect to be subject to customary covenants, including certain financial covenants and reporting requirements. We will be required to maintain a current ratio as of the last day of each quarter of not less than 1.00 to 1.00 and an interest coverage ratio as of the last day of each quarter, of not less than 2.50 to 1.00. We will also be required to remain under a total net debt to EBITDA ratio as of the last day of each quarter of not more than 4.50 to 1.00 for the quarter ending September 30, 2009 through the quarter ending September 30, 2010, and not more than 4.00 to 1.00 thereafter. Based on discussions with our prospective lenders, we expect the current ratio to be defined as the ratio of consolidated current assets to consolidated current liabilities. For the purposes of this calculation, we expect that current assets will include the portion of the borrowing base which is undrawn but exclude any cash deposited with or at the request of a counter-party to a hedging arrangement and any assets representing a valuation account arising from the application of SFAS 133 (which relates to derivative instruments and hedging activities) and SFAS 143 (which relates to asset retirement

Table of Contents

obligations) and current liabilities exclude the current portion of long-term debt and any liabilities representing a valuation account arising from the application of SFAS 133 and SFAS 143. We expect the coverage ratio to be defined as the ratio of consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense. For the purposes of this calculation, EBITDA is consolidated net income plus interest expense, taxes, depreciation, amortization, depletion and other non-cash charges including non-cash charges resulting from the application of SFAS 123R (which relates to stock-based compensation), SFAS 133 and SFAS 143 minus all non-cash items of income which were included in determining consolidated net income, including all non-cash items resulting from the application of SFAS 133 and SFAS 143. Interest expense includes total interest, letter of credit fees and other fees and expenses incurred in connection with any debt.

The new credit facility will require that we enter into hedging arrangements for specified volumes, which are expected to equate to approximately 85% of the estimated oil and gas production from our net proved developed producing reserves through December 31, 2012 and 70% for 2013. We expect that our derivative contracts in the following table will satisfy this requirement.

The following table sets forth our consolidated derivative contract position as of July 31, 2009:

Contract Periods	Fixed-Price Swaps			
	OIL		GAS	
	Daily Volume (Bbl)	Swap Price	Daily Volume (Mmbtu)	Swap Price
Q4 2009	1,355	\$ 68.90	13,981	\$ 4.50
2010	1,158	73.28	11,258	5.73
2011	1,035	76.61	9,580	6.52
2012	946	70.89	8,303	6.77
2013	705	80.79	5,962	6.84

In addition to the foregoing and other customary covenants, the new credit facility is expected to contain a number of covenants that, among other things, will restrict our ability to:

incur or guarantee additional indebtedness;

transfer or sell assets;

create liens on assets;

engage in transactions with affiliates other than on an arm's-length basis;

make any change in the principal nature of our business; and

permit a change of control.

The new credit facility is also expected to contain customary events of default, including nonpayment of principal or interest, violations of covenants, cross default and cross acceleration to certain other indebtedness, bankruptcy and material judgments and liabilities.

The non-binding term sheet is subject to the lenders due diligence, internal approval process and execution of definitive agreements. The definitive agreements may contain additional and different terms and conditions other than those described in this section. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in obtaining this financing.

Management Following the Merger

Our executive officers and directors before the Merger will remain in their roles following the Merger. The executive officers of the GP will continue in their roles as executive officers of Abraxas Petroleum. In addition, Brian L. Melton and Edward P. Russell will join the Abraxas Petroleum Board. Please read [Management](#) for more information.

Table of Contents

Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger to Abraxas Petroleum and Its Stockholders

The following summary is a description of certain material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the Merger. The discussion is for general information only, does not consider all aspects of federal income taxation that may be relevant to the Merger and is not intended to be complete. We have based this discussion on the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), its legislative history, Treasury Regulations thereunder and administrative and judicial interpretations thereof, as of the date hereof, all of which are subject to change, possibly on a retroactive basis. Moreover, this summary does not address any aspect of U.S. federal tax law other than income taxation and does not describe any state, local or non-U.S. tax laws that may be applicable.

You should consult an independent tax advisor regarding the application of U.S. federal income tax laws, as well as other federal tax laws and the laws of any state, local or non-U.S. taxing jurisdiction, to your particular situation with respect to the Merger.

Abraxas Petroleum Stockholders

The closing of the Merger and related transactions under the Merger Agreement will not have any U.S. federal income tax consequences to the holders of Abraxas Petroleum common stock with respect to their ownership of such stock.

Abraxas Petroleum

Abraxas Petroleum is taxable in the Merger with respect to its position as the parent of both the General Partner, the owner of general partner units of Abraxas Energy, and Investments, the owner of common units of Abraxas Energy. Both the General Partner and Investments are disregarded entities for U.S. federal income tax purposes, meaning that the owner of such entities is subject to any U.S. federal income tax liability with respect to such entities.

Because of the operation of Subchapter K of the Code, the General Partner and Investments, and, therefore, Abraxas Petroleum, will recognize very significant amounts of taxable income as a result of the Merger. Abraxas Petroleum expects that its net operating loss carryforwards (NOLs) will offset such taxable income, provided, however, that Abraxas Petroleum will incur current taxes that are not entirely reduced by the NOLs, including, e.g., alternative minimum tax obligations and Texas margin tax obligations. Although not certain, based upon current estimates, we do not expect such obligations to exceed \$3 million.

If the Merger closes, a statement with the Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) will be filed that Abraxas Petroleum (and/or Abraxas Energy) has entered into a Reportable Transaction. The Reportable Transaction rules were designed, in part, to require active reporting of what might be an abusive transaction to the IRS, but the reach of these provisions is actually quite broad. Such a filing does not mean that the transaction is improper, and it does not affect Abraxas Petroleum's ability to use its NOLs in determining its federal income tax liability.

In addition, the Merger could potentially have an effect on the use of our net operating loss carryforwards. Please refer to page 25 of the Risk Factors section.

Abraxas Energy Unitholders

The Merger will be a taxable transaction to the Abraxas Energy unitholders receiving shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger.

Table of Contents

Anticipated Accounting Treatment

It is anticipated that Abraxas Petroleum will account for the acquisition of Abraxas Energy common units under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of ARB No. 51 (which we refer to as SFAS No. 160). In accordance with SFAS No. 160, Abraxas Petroleum will not recognize a gain or loss in its net income as a result of the transaction and it will continue to recognize the assets and liabilities of Abraxas Energy at their historical values instead of valuing Abraxas Energy's assets and liabilities at their fair value at the date of completion of the Merger.

NASDAQ Capital Market Listing

Abraxas Petroleum will use its reasonable best efforts to have the shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in the Merger approved for listing on NASDAQ, where Abraxas Petroleum's common stock is currently traded under the symbol AXAS, as of the completion of the Merger. It is a condition to closing of the Merger that the shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in the Merger shall have been approved for listing on the NASDAQ, subject to official notice of issuance.

Status of Shares Issued in Merger

The shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock issued in the Merger to the unitholders of Abraxas Energy, other than holders of restricted units and phantom units issued pursuant to the Partnership LTIP, will not be registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, in reliance on an exemption for the issuance of shares not involving a public offering provided in Section 4(2) and Regulation D of the Act. The shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in reliance on this exemption for the issuance of shares not involving a public offering will be issued to 25 investors, each of whom has represented to us it is an accredited investor and/or qualified institutional buyer and that such investor was not solicited by means of a general solicitation. Abraxas Petroleum agreed within 120 days of the effective time of the Merger, to file a registration statement relating to the resale of the shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in the Merger, which we refer to as Registration Statement, pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, to use commercially reasonable efforts to cause the Registration Statement to become effective and to keep the Registration Statement effective until the earlier of (A) the date that is 24 months after the end of the Lock-Up Period and (B) the date that all shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock received in the Merger have been sold.

In addition, 52,000 Abraxas Energy restricted units and 63,008 phantom units, none of which have vested, were issued pursuant to the Partnership LTIP, and are held by 66 employees of Abraxas Petroleum and members of the Abraxas Energy Board, which we refer to as the Partnership LTIP Units. The shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in exchange for the outstanding Partnership LTIP Units will be registered on a Form S-8 to be filed by Abraxas Petroleum upon consummation of the Merger. In addition, a total of 248,950 unit options that were approved by the Abraxas Energy Board and issuable upon the closing of the initial public offering of Abraxas Energy to a total of 14 employees of Abraxas Petroleum will be assumed by Abraxas Petroleum and converted into options to purchase Abraxas Petroleum common stock. The shares issuable upon exercise of these options will also be registered on a Form S-8 to be filed by Abraxas Petroleum upon consummation of the Merger.

Recommendations of the Special Committee and the Abraxas Petroleum Board

On June 29, 2009, the Special Committee unanimously approved the Original Merger and the related transactions and recommended the adoption of the Original Merger Agreement to the Abraxas Petroleum Board and on July 13, 2009, the Special Committee unanimously approved the Merger and the related transactions and recommended adoption of the Merger Agreement to the Abraxas Petroleum Board, subject to the Special Committee's right to withdraw, modify or amend such recommendation if the Special Committee determines, in good faith, that failure to take such action would be reasonably likely to result in a breach of its fiduciary duties to our stockholders under applicable law.

Table of Contents

Having received the recommendations of the Special Committee, for reasons including those described above, on June 29, 2009 and again on July 13, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Board unanimously (with Messrs. Watson, Cox and Burke abstaining):

determined that the terms of the Merger are fair from a financial point of view to Abraxas Petroleum and the holders of its common stock;

adopted the Original Merger Agreement and the Merger Agreement and declared the Merger and the related transactions to be advisable; and

recommended that our stockholders approve the issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger, subject to the Board's right to withdraw, modify or amend such recommendation to the extent that the Board determines, in good faith, that failure to take such action would be reasonably likely to result in a breach of its fiduciary duties to our stockholders under applicable law.

The Abraxas Petroleum Board recommends that you vote FOR the issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 2 AMENDMENT OF LTIP

On September 13, 2005, subject to stockholder approval, the Abraxas Petroleum Board adopted the Abraxas Petroleum Corporation 2005 Employee Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, or LTIP, which was approved by our stockholders at the 2006 annual meeting and amended by our stockholders at the 2008 annual meeting.

On June 29, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Board amended the LTIP, subject to stockholder approval, to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the LTIP to 5,200,000 shares if Proposal 1 is approved, relating to the approval of the issuance of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in the Merger.

Reasons for the Amendment of the LTIP

The Abraxas Petroleum Board believes that the purpose of the LTIP is to employ and retain qualified and competent personnel and promote the growth and success of Abraxas Petroleum by aligning the long-term interests of Abraxas Petroleum's key employees with those of Abraxas Petroleum's stockholders by providing an opportunity to acquire an interest in Abraxas Petroleum and by providing both rewards for exceptional performance and long-term incentives for future contributions to the success of Abraxas Petroleum. Abraxas Petroleum believes that this purpose will be furthered through the granting of awards, as authorized under the LTIP, so that such key employees will be encouraged and enabled to acquire a substantial personal interest in the continued success of Abraxas Petroleum. Abraxas Petroleum believes the additional shares to be reserved pursuant to the amendment to the LTIP are necessary for Abraxas Petroleum to continue its policy of emphasizing equity compensation and to remain competitive with industry equity grant practices.

If the new shares are not approved for issuance under the LTIP, Abraxas Petroleum may be required to curtail use of long-term incentives and the Board may consider other alternatives to compensate employees.

The proposed amendment to the LTIP increases the number of shares of common stock available for issuance under the LTIP to 5,200,000 from 2,100,000 shares.

Vote required

Approval of the amendment to the LTIP requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock present or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the Special Meeting.

Summary of the LTIP

The following summary of the LTIP is qualified in its entirety by the full text of the LTIP as set forth in Annex D to this proxy statement. The effectiveness of the amendment to increase the number of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock reserved for issuance under the LTIP is subject to approval by Abraxas Petroleum stockholders.

Administration and Eligibility. The LTIP is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Abraxas Petroleum Board and authorizes the Abraxas Petroleum Board to grant non-qualified stock options, incentive stock options or issue shares of restricted stock to those persons who are employees of Abraxas Petroleum. As of June 30, 2009, Abraxas Petroleum had 65 full-time employees, all of whom are eligible to participate in the LTIP.

Shares Reserved and Awards. If this proposal is approved, the LTIP will reserve 5,200,000 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, subject to adjustment following certain events, as discussed below. The maximum annual award for any one employee is 500,000 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock. If options,

Table of Contents

as opposed to restricted stock, are awarded, the exercise share price shall be no less than 100% of the fair market value on the date of the award, unless the employee is awarded incentive stock options and at the time of the award, owns more than 10% of the voting power of all classes of stock of Abraxas Petroleum. Under this circumstance, the exercise share price shall be no less than 110% of the fair market value on the date of the award. Option terms and vesting schedules are at the discretion of the Compensation Committee.

Option Exercise. An option is exercised when proper notice of exercise has been given to Abraxas Petroleum, or the brokerage firm or firms approved by Abraxas Petroleum, if any, to facilitate exercises and sales under the LTIP and full cash payment for the shares with respect to which the option is exercised has been received by Abraxas Petroleum or the brokerage firm or firms, as applicable.

Stockholder Rights. Except as otherwise provided in the LTIP, until the issuance of the share certificates evidencing the award shares, no right to vote or receive dividends or any other rights as a stockholder shall exist with respect to the award shares.

Transferability of Awards. An award may not be sold, pledged, assigned, hypothecated, transferred, or disposed of in exchange for consideration, except that an award may be transferred by will or by the laws of descent or distribution and may be exercised, during the lifetime of the employee, only by the employee, unless the Compensation Committee permits further transferability, on a general or specific basis, in which case the Compensation Committee may impose conditions and limitations on any permitted transferability.

Termination of Awards. Unless otherwise provided in the applicable award agreement, vested options granted under the LTIP shall expire and cease to be exercisable as follows:

three (3) months after the date of the termination of the employee, other than in circumstances covered by the following three circumstances;

immediately upon termination of the employee for misconduct;

twelve (12) months after the date of the termination of the employee if such termination was by reason of disability; and

twelve (12) months after the date of the death of the employee.

U.S. Federal Tax Consequences

The following discussion summarizes the material federal income tax consequences of participation in the LTIP. This discussion is general in nature and does not address issues related to the tax circumstances of any particular employee. The discussion is based on federal income tax laws in effect on the date hereof and is, therefore, subject to possible future changes in law. This discussion does not address state, local and foreign tax consequences.

Stock Options. In general, the grant of an option will not be a taxable event to the recipient and it will not result in a deduction to Abraxas Petroleum. The tax consequences associated with the exercise of an option and the subsequent disposition of shares of common stock acquired on the exercise of such option depend on whether the option is a nonqualified stock option or an incentive stock option.

Upon the exercise of a nonqualified stock option, the participant will recognize ordinary taxable income equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares of common stock received upon exercise over the exercise price. Abraxas Petroleum will generally be able to claim a deduction in an equivalent amount. Any gain or loss upon a subsequent sale or exchange of the shares of common stock will be capital gain or loss, long-term or short-term, depending on the holding period for the shares of common stock.

Generally, a participant will not recognize ordinary taxable income at the time of exercise of an incentive stock option and no deduction will be available to Abraxas Petroleum, provided the option is exercised while the

Table of Contents

participant is an employee or within three months following termination of employment (longer, in the case of disability or death). If an incentive stock option granted under the LTIP is exercised after these periods, the exercise will be treated for federal income tax purposes as the exercise of a nonqualified stock option. Also, an incentive stock option granted under the LTIP will be treated as a nonqualified stock option to the extent it (together with other incentive stock options granted to the participant by Abraxas Petroleum) first becomes exercisable in any calendar year for shares of common stock having a fair market value, determined as of the date of grant, in excess of \$100,000.

If shares of common stock acquired upon exercise of an incentive stock option are sold or exchanged more than one year after the date of exercise and more than two years after the date of grant of the option, the participant will not recognize ordinary income in connection with such sale or exchange, and any gain or loss will be long-term capital gain or loss. If shares of common stock acquired upon exercise of an incentive stock option are disposed of prior to the expiration of these one-year or two-year holding periods (a Disqualifying Disposition), the participant will recognize ordinary income at the time of disposition, and Abraxas Petroleum will generally be entitled to a deduction, in an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares of common stock at the date of exercise over the exercise price. Any additional gain following the date of exercise will be treated as capital gain, long-term or short-term, depending on how long the shares of common stock have been held. Where shares of common stock are sold or exchanged in a Disqualifying Disposition (other than certain related party transactions) for an amount less than their fair market value at the date of exercise, any ordinary income recognized in connection with the Disqualifying Disposition will be limited to the amount of gain, if any, recognized in the sale or exchange, and any loss will be a long-term or short-term capital loss, depending on how long the shares of common stock have been held.

If an option is exercised through the use of shares of common stock previously owned by the participant, such exercise generally will not be considered a taxable disposition of the previously owned shares and, thus, no gain or loss will be recognized with respect to such previously owned shares upon such exercise. The amount of any built-in gain on the previously owned shares generally will not be recognized until the new shares acquired on the option exercise are disposed of in a sale or other taxable transaction.

Although the exercise of an incentive stock option as described above would not produce ordinary taxable income to the participant, it would result in an increase in the participant's alternative minimum taxable income and may result in an alternative minimum tax liability.

Restricted Shares. A participant who receives restricted shares will generally recognize ordinary income at the time that they vest, *i.e.*, when they are not subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture. The amount of ordinary income so recognized will generally be the fair market value of the common stock at the time the shares vest, less the amount, if any, paid for the shares. This amount is generally deductible for federal income tax purposes by Abraxas Petroleum. Dividends paid with respect to common stock that is nonvested will be ordinary compensation income to the participant (and generally deductible by Abraxas Petroleum). Any gain or loss upon a subsequent sale or exchange of the shares of common stock, measured by the difference between the sale price and the fair market value on the date the shares vest, will be capital gain or loss, long-term or short-term, depending on the holding period for the shares of common stock. The holding period for this purpose will begin on the date following the date the shares vest.

In lieu of the treatment described above, a participant may elect to recognize income under Section 83(b) of the Internal Revenue Code in the year of grant of such restricted shares. In such event, the participant will recognize income in the amount of the fair market value of the restricted shares at the time of grant (determined without regard to any restrictions other than restrictions which by their terms will never lapse), less the amount, if any, paid for the shares and Abraxas Petroleum will generally be entitled to a corresponding deduction. Dividends paid with respect to shares as to which a proper Section 83(b) election has been made will not be deductible to Abraxas Petroleum. If a Section 83(b) election is made and the restricted shares are subsequently forfeited, the participant will not be entitled to any offsetting tax deduction, and will recognize a loss equal to the excess (if any) of the amount paid for such shares (if any) and the amount realized upon such forfeiture (if any).

Table of Contents

Amendments. The Abraxas Petroleum Board or the Compensation Committee may amend or terminate the LTIP from time to time in such respects as the Abraxas Petroleum Board may deem advisable (including, but not limited to, amendments which the Abraxas Petroleum Board deems appropriate to enhance Abraxas Petroleum's ability to claim deductions related to stock option exercises); provided, that to the extent an amendment to the LTIP increases the maximum number of shares available under the plan, changes the class of individuals eligible to receive awards under the plan, or requires stockholder approval under the rules of the NASDAQ, such other exchange upon which Abraxas Petroleum common stock is either quoted or traded, or the SEC, stockholder approval shall be required for any such amendment of the LTIP. Subject to the foregoing, it is specifically intended that the Abraxas Petroleum Board or Compensation Committee may amend the LTIP without stockholder approval to comply with legal, regulatory and listing requirements and to avoid unanticipated consequences deemed by the Committee to be inconsistent with the purpose of the LTIP or any award agreement.

Adjustments. If the outstanding shares of Abraxas Petroleum's common stock shall be changed into or exchanged for a different number or kind of shares of stock or other securities or property of Abraxas Petroleum or of another corporation, or if the number of such shares of common stock shall be increased by a stock dividend or stock split, there shall be substituted for or added to each share of common stock reserved for the purposes of the LTIP, whether or not such shares are at the time subject to outstanding awards, the number and kind of shares of stock or other securities or property into which each outstanding share of common stock shall be so changed or for which it shall be so exchanged, or to which each such share shall be entitled, as the case may be. Outstanding awards shall also be considered to be appropriately amended as to price and other terms as may be necessary or appropriate to reflect the foregoing events. If there shall be any other change in the number or kind of the outstanding shares of Abraxas Petroleum's common stock, or of any stock or other securities or property into which such common stock shall have been changed, or for which it has been exchanged, and if the Compensation Committee shall in its sole discretion determine that such change equitably requires an adjustment in the number or kind or price of the shares then reserved for the purposes of the LTIP, or in any award previously granted or which may be granted under the LTIP, then such adjustment shall be made by the Compensation Committee and shall be effective and binding for all purposes of the LTIP.

In addition, the Compensation Committee shall have the power, in the event of any merger or consolidation involving Abraxas Petroleum to amend all outstanding awards to permit the exercise thereof in whole or in part at anytime, or from time to time, prior to the effective date of any such merger or consolidation and to terminate each such award as of such effective date.

Table of Contents***New Plan Benefits***

The following table sets forth the benefits to be received by each of the following individuals upon the approval of the amendment to the LTIP and the assumption / conversion of Abraxas Energy restricted units, phantom units and unit options upon consummation of the Merger. No additional awards have been granted on the basis of the anticipated share increase which is subject to stockholder approval at the Special Meeting.

NEW PLAN BENEFITS

Name and Position	Dollar Value (\$)(1)	Number of Common Stock Awards & Options
Robert L.G. Watson, CEO and President	80,907	392,027
Chris E. Williford, CFO and Executive Vice President	40,595	115,415
Stephen T. Wendel, Vice President Land and Marketing	40,595	115,415
Lee T. Billingsley, Vice President Exploration	40,595	115,415
William H. Wallace, Vice President Operations	40,595	115,415
Barbara M. Stuckey, Vice President Corporate Finance	63,452	269,483
Executive Group	306,738	1,123,169
Non-Executive Director Group	47,970	41,000
Non-Executive Officer Employee Group	287,820	660,869

- (1) Assumes the mid-point of the exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share. The vesting schedule for the restricted stock awards will be a continuation of the vesting schedule for Abraxas Energy restricted units and phantom units. The restricted shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock are valued at the mid-point of the exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share. The options will vest in twenty-five (25%) percent increments each year for four (4) years on the anniversary of the closing of the Merger. The exercise price for the options will be the closing price of Abraxas Petroleum common stock on the date of the closing of the Merger. Therefore, the dollar value is \$0 for the option awards of Abraxas Petroleum common stock.

The Abraxas Petroleum Board recommends that you vote FOR Proposal 2 to approve the amendment to the LTIP.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 3 ADJOURNMENT OF SPECIAL MEETING

If necessary or appropriate in the circumstances at the Special Meeting, we may ask our stockholders to authorize the named proxies to approve one or more postponements or adjournments of the Special Meeting if sufficient votes have not yet been cast to approve Proposals 1 or 2 at the time of the Special Meeting, in order to enable us to solicit additional proxies. This proposal relates only to a postponement or adjournment of the Special Meeting for purposes of soliciting additional proxies to obtain the requisite stockholder approval to approve Proposals 1 or 2. The Abraxas Petroleum Board retains full authority to postpone or adjourn the Special Meeting for any other purpose, including the absence of a quorum, or to postpone the Special Meeting before it is convened, without the consent of the stockholders.

If the Special Meeting is postponed or adjourned, we would not be required to give notice of the time and place of the adjourned meeting unless the Abraxas Petroleum Board fixed a new record date for the Special Meeting.

The Abraxas Petroleum Board recommends that you vote FOR Proposal 3.

Table of Contents**INFORMATION ABOUT ABRAXAS PETROLEUM****Business of Abraxas Petroleum**

Abraxas Petroleum is an independent energy company primarily engaged in the development and production of oil and gas. Historically, we have grown through the acquisition and subsequent development and exploration of producing properties, principally through the redevelopment of old fields utilizing new technologies such as modern log analysis and reservoir modeling techniques as well as 3-D seismic surveys, horizontal drilling and modern completion techniques. As a result of these activities, we believe that we have a number of development opportunities on our properties. In addition, we intend to expand upon our development activities with complementary exploration projects in our core areas of operation. Success in our development and exploration activities is critical in the maintenance and growth of our current production levels and associated reserves.

At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Petroleum (on a stand-alone basis) had 6,736 MBoe of estimated net proved reserves, of which 38% were oil, with a standardized measure of \$33.4 million. Abraxas Petroleum's net proved reserves (on a stand-alone basis) as of December 31, 2008 were 35% proved developed and 65% proved undeveloped. At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Petroleum (on a stand-alone basis) owned an average working interest of 79% in 172 gross (135.9 net) producing wells that produced 237 net MBoe during 2008. Abraxas Petroleum has identified numerous drilling locations, of which 29 were classified as proved undeveloped as of December 31, 2008, which Abraxas Petroleum believes provides it with a multi-year inventory of drilling opportunities.

A wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum, Abraxas General Partner, LLC, which we refer to as the GP or the General Partner, is the general partner of Abraxas Energy and the owner of 227,232 general partner units of Abraxas Energy, and Investments, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum, is the owner of 5,350,598 common units of Abraxas Energy, representing approximately 46.7% of the outstanding common units of Abraxas Energy. In addition, certain officers and directors of Abraxas Petroleum own a total of 145,128 common units of Abraxas Energy, representing approximately 1.3% of the outstanding common units of Abraxas Energy.

Properties

The following table sets forth certain information relating to Abraxas Petroleum's properties as of December 31, 2008 and for the year ended December 31, 2008 on a consolidated basis.

	Producing Wells	Average Working Interest	Estimated Net Proved Reserves (MBOE)	Net Production (MBOE)
Rocky Mountain	894	12.4%	4,936	404
Mid-Continent	602	17.1%	3,050	436
Permian Basin	236	68.0%	10,414	545
Gulf Coast	79	69.2%	6,716	222
Total	1,811	23.7%	25,116	1,607

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth certain information relating to Abraxas Petroleum's properties as of December 31, 2008 and for the year ended December 31, 2008 on a stand-alone basis.

	Producing Wells	Average Working Interest	Estimated Net Proved Reserves (MBOE)	Net Production (MBOE)
Rocky Mountain	33	59.4%	1,070	36
Mid-Continent	2	5.0%	2	1
Permian Basin	122	89.1%	4,785	124
Gulf Coast	15	50.0%	879	76
Total	172	79.0%	6,736	237

At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Petroleum's properties (on a consolidated basis) were located in the Rocky Mountain, Mid-Continent, Permian Basin and Gulf Coast regions of the United States.

Abraxas Petroleum's Rocky Mountain properties (on a consolidated basis) consist of the following:

Northern Rockies The properties in the Northern Rockies are located in the Williston Basin of North Dakota, South Dakota and Montana and consist of wells that produce oil from Paleozoic-aged carbonate reservoirs from the Madison formation at 8,000 feet down to the Red River formation at 12,000 feet, including the Bakken at 9,000 feet.

Southern Rockies The properties in the Southern Rockies are located in the Green River, Powder River and Uinta Basins of Wyoming, Colorado and Utah and consist of wells that produce oil from Cretaceous-aged fractured shales in the Mowry and Niobrara formation and oil and gas from Cretaceous-aged sandstones in the Turner, Muddy and Frontier formations. Well depths range from 7,000 feet down to 10,000 feet.

Abraxas Petroleum's Mid-Continent properties (on a consolidated basis) consist of the following:

Arkoma Basin The properties in the Arkoma Basin are located in Oklahoma and Arkansas and consist of wells that mainly produce gas from Hartshorne coals at 3,000 feet.

Anadarko Basin The properties in the Anadarko Basin are located in Oklahoma and the Texas Panhandle and consist of wells that mainly produce gas from Pennsylvanian-aged sandstones (Atoka/Morrow) from depths of up to 18,000 feet.

ARK-LA-TEX The properties in the ARK-LA-TEX region principally produce from the East Texas/North Louisiana Basins and include wells that produce oil and gas from various formations.

Abraxas Petroleum's Permian Basin properties (on a consolidated basis) consist of the following:

ROC Complex The properties in the ROC Complex are located in Pecos, Reeves and Ward Counties and consist of wells that produce oil and gas from multiple stacked formations from the Bell Canyon at 5,000 feet down to the Ellenburger at 16,000 feet.

Edgar Filing: ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEFM14A

Oates SW The properties in the Oates SW area are located in Pecos County and consist of wells that produce gas from the Devonian formation at a depth of approximately 13,500 feet.

Eastern Shelf The properties in the Eastern Shelf are predominately located in Coke, Scurry and Mitchell Counties and consist of wells that produce oil and gas from the Strawn Reef formation at 5,000 to 6,000 feet and oil from the shallower Clearfork formation at depths ranging from 2,300 to 3,300 feet.

Abraxas Petroleum's Gulf Coast properties (on a consolidated basis) consist of the following:

Edwards The properties in the Edwards trend are located in DeWitt and Lavaca Counties and consist of wells that produce gas from the Edwards formation at a depth of 13,500 feet.

Table of Contents

Portilla The Portilla field, located in San Patricio County, was discovered in 1950 by The Superior Oil Company, predecessor to Mobil Oil Corporation, and consists of wells that produce oil and gas from the Frio sands and the deeper Vicksburg from depths of approximately 7,000 to 9,000 feet.

Wilcox The properties in the Wilcox are located in Goliad, Bee and Karnes Counties and consist of wells that produce gas from various sands in the Wilcox formation at depths ranging from 8,000 to 11,000 feet.

Acreage

The following table sets forth Abraxas Petroleum's acreage position as of December 31, 2008 on a consolidated basis:

	Developed Acreage (1)		Undeveloped Acreage (2)		Fee Mineral Acreage (3)		Total Net Acres (6)
	Gross Acres (4)	Net Acres (5)	Gross Acres (4)	Net Acres (5)	Gross Acres (4)	Net Acres (5)	
	Rocky Mountain	63,225	32,903	92,317	64,376		
Mid-Continent	85,812	21,949	1,957	988			22,937
Permian Basin	24,574	17,197	10,882	8,768	12,007	5,272	31,237
Gulf Coast	11,699	6,675	4,837	2,013			8,688
Total	185,310	78,724	109,993	76,145	12,007	5,272	160,141

The following table sets forth Abraxas Petroleum's acreage position as of December 31, 2008 on a stand-alone basis:

	Developed Acreage (1)		Undeveloped Acreage (2)		Fee Mineral Acreage (3)		Total Net Acres (6)
	Gross Acres (4)	Net Acres (5)	Gross Acres (4)	Net Acres (5)	Gross Acres (4)	Net Acres (5)	
	Rocky Mountain	6,814	5,401	31,977	28,598		
Mid-Continent	679	16					16
Permian Basin	14,793	11,323	9,456	7,981	12,007	5,272	24,576
Gulf Coast	4,969	2,757	4,008	1,828			4,585
Total	27,255	19,497	45,441	38,407	12,007	5,272	63,176

- (1) Developed acreage consists of leased acres spaced or assignable to productive wells.
- (2) Undeveloped acreage is considered to be those leased acres on which wells have not been drilled or completed to a point that would permit the production of commercial quantities of oil and gas, regardless of whether or not such acreage contains proved reserves.
- (3) Fee mineral acreage represents fee simple absolute ownership of the mineral estate or fraction thereof.
- (4) Gross acres refer to the number of acres in which Abraxas Petroleum owns a working interest.
- (5) Net acres represent the number of acres attributable to an owner's proportionate working interest (e.g., a 50% working interest in a lease covering 320 gross acres is equivalent to 160 net acres).
- (6) Includes 3,981 acres that are included in developed and undeveloped gross acres.

Table of Contents**Productive Wells**

The following table sets forth Abraxas Petroleum's total gross and net productive wells expressed separately for oil and gas, as of December 31, 2008 on a consolidated basis:

	Productive Wells (1) As of December 31, 2008			
	Oil		Gas	
	Gross (2)	Net (3)	Gross (2)	Net (3)
Rocky Mountain	384.0	92.9	510.0	17.5
Mid-Continent	126.0	15.3	476.0	87.8
Permian Basin	171.0	131.7	65.0	28.8
Gulf Coast	34.5	26.7	44.5	27.9
Total	715.5	266.6	1,095.5	162.0

The following table sets forth Abraxas Petroleum's total gross and net productive wells expressed separately for oil and gas, as of December 31, 2008 on a stand-alone basis:

	Productive Wells (1) As of December 31, 2008			
	Oil		Gas	
	Gross (2)	Net (3)	Gross (2)	Net (3)
Rocky Mountain	21.0	18.3	12.0	1.3
Mid-Continent	1.0	0.1	1.0	
Permian Basin	104.0	99.1	18.0	9.6
Gulf Coast	3.0	0.5	12.0	7.0
Total	129.0	118.0	43.0	17.9

- (1) Productive wells are producing wells and wells capable of production.
- (2) A gross well is a well in which Abraxas Petroleum owns an interest.
- (3) A net well is deemed to exist when the sum of fractional ownership working interests in gross wells equals one.

Reserves Information

Oil and gas reserves have been estimated as of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2007 for all of our properties on those dates by DeGolyer and MacNaughton, of Dallas, Texas. DeGolyer and MacNaughton estimated reserves for properties comprising approximately 92% of the PV-10 of our oil and gas reserves (on a consolidated basis) as of December 31, 2008, and reserves for the remaining 8% of our properties (on a consolidated basis) were estimated by Abraxas Petroleum personnel. The properties acquired from St. Mary included in the reserve report prepared by DeGolyer and MacNaughton were selected by Abraxas Petroleum initially according to the value it allocated to each property during the review of the acquisition. Reserve estimates for the higher valued properties were prepared by DeGolyer and MacNaughton and reserve estimates for the lower valued properties were prepared by Abraxas Petroleum personnel because we determined that it was not practical for DeGolyer and MacNaughton to prepare reserve estimates for all of the properties because we own a large number of properties with relatively low values. A total of 412 properties were included in the reserve report prepared by DeGolyer and MacNaughton, which comprised 92% of the standardized measure of our properties and a total of 889 properties were included in the reserve estimates prepared by Abraxas Petroleum personnel, which comprised 8% of the standardized measure of our properties. Oil and gas reserves, and the estimates of the present value of future net revenues therefrom, were determined based on then current prices and costs. Reserve calculations involve the estimate of future net recoverable reserves of oil and gas and the timing and amount of future net revenues to be received therefrom. Such estimates are not precise and are

Table of Contents

based on assumptions regarding a variety of factors, many of which are variable and uncertain. Proved oil and gas reserves are the estimated quantities of oil and gas that geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. Proved developed oil and gas reserves are those expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods. All of our reserves are located in the continental United States. Proved reserves were estimated in accordance with guidelines established by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the FASB, which require that reserve estimates be prepared under existing economic and operating conditions with no provision for price and cost escalations except by contractual arrangements; therefore, year-end prices and costs were used in estimating net cash flows.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding estimates of Abraxas Petroleum's oil and gas reserves as of December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 on a consolidated basis.

	Estimated Proved Reserves		
	Proved Developed	Proved Undeveloped	Total Proved
As of December 31, 2006			
Oil (MBbls)	1,708	1,048	2,756
Gas (MMcf)	37,333	33,000	70,333
As of December 31, 2007			
Oil (MBbls)	2,184	947	3,131
Gas (MMcf)	33,908	54,095	88,003
As of December 31, 2008			
Oil (MBbls)	5,563	1,482	7,045
Gas (MMcf)	48,209	60,207	108,416

The following table sets forth certain information regarding estimates of Abraxas Petroleum's oil and gas reserves as of December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 on a stand-alone basis.

	Estimated Proved Reserves		
	Proved Developed	Proved Undeveloped	Total Proved
As of December 31, 2006			
Oil (MBbls)	1,708	1,048	2,756
Gas (MMcf)	37,333	33,000	70,333
As of December 31, 2007			
Oil (MBbls)	1,017	908	1,925
Gas (MMcf)	4,574	17,969	22,543
As of December 31, 2008			
Oil (MBbls)	1,147	1,420	2,567
Gas (MMcf)	7,179	17,831	25,010

The process of estimating oil and gas reserves is complex and involves decisions and assumptions in the evaluation of available geological, geophysical, engineering and economic data. Therefore, these estimates are imprecise.

Actual future production, oil and gas prices, revenues, taxes, development expenditures, operating expenses and quantities of recoverable oil and gas reserves most likely will vary from those estimated. Any significant variance could materially affect the estimated quantities and present value of reserves set forth in this proxy statement. In addition, we may adjust estimates of proved reserves to reflect production history, results of exploration and development, prevailing oil and gas prices and other factors, many of which are beyond our control.

Table of Contents

You should not assume that the present value of future net revenues referred to in this proxy statement is the current market value of the estimated oil and gas reserves. In accordance with SEC requirements, the estimated discounted future net cash flows from proved reserves are generally based on prices and costs as of the end of the year of the estimate, or alternatively, if prices subsequent to that date have increased, a price near the periodic filing date of Abraxas Petroleum's consolidated financial statements may be used. Because we use the full cost method to account for our oil and gas operations, we are susceptible to significant non-cash charges during times of volatile commodity prices because the full cost pool may be impaired when prices are low. This is known as a ceiling limitation write-down. This charge does not impact cash flow from operating activities but does reduce our stockholders' equity and reported earnings. We have experienced ceiling limitation write-downs in the past and we cannot assure you that we will not experience additional ceiling limitation write-downs in the future. As of December 31, 2008, Abraxas Petroleum's net capitalized costs of oil and gas properties exceeded the present value of its estimated proved reserves by \$116.4 million (\$19.2 million on Abraxas Petroleum's properties and \$97.1 million on Abraxas Energy's properties). These amounts were calculated considering 2008 year-end prices of \$44.60 per Bbl for oil and \$5.62 per Mcf for gas as adjusted to reflect the expected realized prices for our proved oil and gas reserves compared to each of the full cost pools.

For more information regarding the full cost method of accounting, you should read the information under Information about Abraxas Petroleum Abraxas Petroleum Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies.

Actual future prices and costs may be materially higher or lower than the prices and costs as of the end of the year of the estimate. Any changes in consumption by gas purchasers or in governmental regulations or taxation will also affect actual future net cash flows. The timing of both the production and the expenses from the development and production of oil and gas properties will affect the timing of actual future net cash flows from proved reserves and their present value. In addition, the 10% discount factor, which is required by the SEC to be used in calculating discounted future net cash flows for reporting purposes, is not necessarily the most accurate discount factor. The effective interest rate at various times and the risks associated with us or the oil and gas industry in general will affect the accuracy of the 10% discount factor.

The estimates of reserves are based upon various assumptions about future production levels, prices and costs that may not prove to be correct over time. In particular, estimates of oil and gas reserves, future net revenue from proved reserves and the PV-10 thereof for the oil and gas properties described in this proxy statement are based on the assumption that future oil and gas prices remain the same as oil and gas prices at December 31, 2008. The average sales prices as of such date used for purposes of such estimates were \$41.74 per Bbl of oil and \$4.77 per Mcf of gas. It is also assumed that we will make future capital expenditures of approximately \$134.1 million (\$43.9 million on Abraxas Petroleum's properties and \$90.2 million on Abraxas Energy's properties) in the aggregate primarily in the years 2009 through 2014, which are necessary to develop and realize the value of proved undeveloped reserves on our properties. Any significant variance in actual results from these assumptions could also materially affect the estimated quantity and value of reserves set forth herein.

We file reports of our estimated oil and gas reserves with the Department of Energy. The reserves reported to this agency are required to be reported on a gross operated basis and therefore are not comparable to the reserve data reported herein.

Table of Contents**Oil, Gas Liquids, and Gas Production and Sales Prices**

The following table presents our net oil and gas production, the average sales price per Bbl of oil and per Mcf of gas produced and the average cost of production per Boe of production sold, for the three years ended December 31, 2008 and the six months ended June 30, 2009 on a consolidated basis:

	2006	2007	2008	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009
Oil production (Bbls)	200,436	196,944	549,887	289,977
Gas production (Mcf)	6,515,055	5,567,668	6,342,934	3,204,840
Total production (MBOE) (1)	1,286	1,125	1,607	824
Average sales price per Bbl of oil (2)	\$ 62.10	\$ 65.30	\$ 81.35	\$ 63.88
Average sales price per Mcf of gas (2)	\$ 5.77	\$ 6.46	\$ 7.11	\$ 5.68
Average sales price per BOE (2)	\$ 38.44	\$ 41.70	\$ 61.66	\$ 44.55
Average cost of production per BOE produced (1)	\$ 9.12	\$ 10.02	\$ 16.57	\$ 14.38

(1) Oil and gas were combined by converting gas to a BOE equivalent on the basis 6 Mcf of gas to 1 Bbl of oil. Production costs include direct operating costs, ad valorem taxes and gross production taxes.

(2) Average sales prices include the impact of hedging activity.

Drilling Activities

The following table sets forth Abraxas Petroleum's gross and net working interests in exploratory and development wells drilled during the three years ended December 31, 2008 on a consolidated basis:

	2006		2007		2008	
	Gross (1)	Net (2)	Gross (1)	Net (2)	Gross (1)	Net (2)
Exploratory (3)						
Productive (4)						
Oil						
Gas	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.6	1.0	0.6
Dry Holes (5)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Total	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.6	1.0	0.6
Development (6)						
Productive (4)						
Oil	2.0	1.2	3.0	2.6	14.0	7.2
Gas	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	35.0	2.2
Dry Holes (5)						
Total	3.0	2.2	4.0	3.6	49.0	9.4

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth Abraxas Petroleum's gross and net working interests in exploratory and development wells drilled during the three years ended December 31, 2008 on a stand-alone basis:

	2006		2007		2008	
	Gross (1)	Net (2)	Gross (1)	Net (2)	Gross (1)	Net (2)
Exploratory (3)						
Productive (4)						
Oil						
Gas	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.6	1.0	0.6
Dry Holes (5)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Total	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.6	1.0	0.6
Development (6)						
Productive (4)						
Oil	2.0	1.2	3.0	2.6	7.0	6.9
Gas	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.9
Dry Holes (5)						
Total	3.0	2.2	4.0	3.6	9.0	7.8

- (1) A gross well is a well in which Abraxas Petroleum owns an interest.
- (2) The number of net wells represents the total percentage of working interests held in all wells (e.g., total working interest of 50% is equivalent to 0.5 net well. A total working interest of 100% is equivalent to 1.0 net well).
- (3) An exploratory well is a well drilled to find and produce oil or gas in an unproved area, to find a new reservoir in a field previously found to be producing oil or gas in another reservoir, or to extend a known reservoir.
- (4) A productive well is an exploratory or a development well that is not a dry hole.
- (5) A dry hole is an exploratory or development well found to be incapable of producing either oil or gas in sufficient quantities to justify completion as an oil or gas well.
- (6) A development well is a well drilled within the proved area of an oil or gas reservoir to the depth of stratigraphic horizon (rock layer or formation) noted to be productive for the purpose of extracting proved oil or gas reserves.

As of June 30, 2009, we had no operated wells in the process of drilling and/or completing.

Markets and Customers

The revenue generated by our operations is highly dependent upon the prices of oil and gas. Historically, the markets for oil and gas have been volatile and are likely to continue to be volatile in the future. The prices we receive for our oil and gas production are subject to wide fluctuations and depend on numerous factors beyond our control including seasonality, the condition of the United States economy (particularly the manufacturing sector), foreign imports, political conditions in other oil-producing and gas-producing countries, the actions of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries and domestic regulation, legislation and policies. Decreases in the prices of oil and gas have had, and could have in the future, an adverse effect on the carrying value of our proved reserves and our revenue, profitability and cash flow from operations. You should read the discussion under Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Industry Market conditions for oil and gas, and particularly volatility of prices for oil and gas, could adversely affect our revenue, cash flows, profitability and growth and Information about Abraxas Petroleum Abraxas Petroleum Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies for more information relating to the effects of decreases in oil and gas prices on us. To help mitigate the impact of commodity price volatility, Abraxas Energy hedged a portion of its production through the use of fixed price swaps. See Information about

Table of Contents

Abraxas Energy Abraxas Energy Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations General Commodity Prices and Derivative Activities and Note 14 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements for more information regarding our derivative activities.

Substantially all of our oil and gas is sold at current market prices under short-term arrangements, as is customary in the industry. During the year ended December 31, 2008, two purchasers accounted for approximately 29% of our oil and gas sales. We believe that there are numerous other customers available to purchase our oil and gas and that the loss of one or more of these purchasers would not materially affect our ability to sell oil and gas.

Regulation of Oil and Gas Activities

The exploration, production and transportation of all types of hydrocarbons are subject to significant governmental regulations. The operations of Abraxas Petroleum's and Abraxas Energy's properties are affected from time to time in varying degrees by political developments and federal, state and local laws and regulations. In particular, oil and gas production operations and economics are, or in the past have been, affected by industry specific price controls, taxes, conservation, safety, environmental and other laws relating to the petroleum industry, and by changes in such laws and by constantly changing administrative regulations.

Federal, state and local laws and regulations govern oil and gas activities. Operators of oil and gas properties are required to have a number of permits in order to operate such properties, including operator permits and permits to dispose of salt water. We possess all material requisite permits required by the states and other local authorities in which we operate properties which include properties comprising over 75% of Abraxas Energy's estimated proved reserves at December 31, 2008. In addition, under federal law, operators of oil and gas properties are required to possess certain certificates and permits in order to operate such properties such as hazardous materials certificates, which we have obtained. Abraxas Energy does not operate any of its properties and is not required, as a non-operator, to maintain any such permits.

Development and Production

The operations of our properties are subject to various types of regulation at the federal, state and local levels. These types of regulation include requiring the operator of oil and gas properties to possess permits for the drilling and development of wells, post bonds in connection with various types of activities, and file reports concerning operations. Most states, and some counties and municipalities in which we operate, regulate one or more of the following:

the location of wells;

the method of developing and casing wells;

the surface use and restoration of properties upon which wells are drilled;

the plugging and abandoning of wells; and

notice to surface owners and other third parties.

Some states regulate the size and shape of development and spacing units or proration units for oil and gas properties. Some states allow forced pooling or unitization of tracts to facilitate exploitation while other states rely on voluntary pooling of lands and leases. In some instances, forced pooling or unitization may be implemented by third parties and may reduce our interest in the unitized properties. In addition, state conservation laws establish maximum allowable rates of production from oil and gas wells, generally prohibit the venting or flaring of gas and impose requirements regarding the ratibility of production. These laws and regulations may limit the amount of oil and gas Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy can produce from their wells or limit the number of wells or the locations at which these wells can be drilled. Moreover, each state generally imposes a production or severance tax with respect to the production and sale of oil, natural gas and NGLs within its jurisdiction.

Table of Contents

Operations on Federal or Indian oil and gas leases must comply with numerous regulatory restrictions, including various non-discrimination statutes, and certain of such operations must be conducted pursuant to certain on-site security regulations and other permits issued by various federal agencies, including the Bureau of Land Management, which we refer to as BLM, and the Minerals Management Service, which we refer to as MMS. MMS establishes the basis for royalty payments due under federal oil and natural gas leases through regulations issued under applicable statutory authority. State regulatory authorities establish similar standards for royalty payments due under state oil and natural gas leases. The basis for royalty payments established by MMS and the state regulatory authorities is generally applicable to all federal and state oil and natural gas lessees. Accordingly, we believe that the impact of royalty regulation on the operations of our properties should generally be the same as the impact on our competitors. We believe that the operations of our properties are in material compliance with all applicable regulations as they pertain to Federal or Indian oil and gas leases.

The failure to comply with these rules and regulations can result in substantial penalties, including lease suspension or termination in the case of federal leases. The regulatory burden on the oil and natural gas industry increases our cost of doing business and, consequently, affects our profitability. Our competitors in the oil and natural gas industry are subject to the same regulatory requirements and restrictions that affect us.

Regulation of Transportation and Sale of Natural Gas

Historically, the transportation and sale for resale of natural gas in interstate commerce have been regulated pursuant to the Natural Gas Act of 1938, as amended, which we refer to as NGA, the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, as amended, which we refer to as NGPA, and regulations promulgated thereunder by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, which we refer to as FERC and its predecessors. In the past, the federal government has regulated the prices at which natural gas could be sold. Deregulation of wellhead natural gas sales began with the enactment of the NGPA. In 1989, Congress enacted the Natural Gas Wellhead Decontrol Act, as amended, which we refer to as the Decontrol Act. The Decontrol Act removed all NGA and NGPA price and non-price controls affecting wellhead sales of natural gas effective January 1, 1993. While sales by producers of natural gas can currently be made at unregulated market prices, Congress could reenact price controls in the future.

Since 1985, FERC has endeavored to make natural gas transportation more accessible to natural gas buyers and sellers on an open and non-discriminatory basis. FERC has stated that open access policies are necessary to improve the competitive structure of the interstate natural gas pipeline industry and to create a regulatory framework that will put natural gas sellers into more direct contractual relations with natural gas buyers by, among other things, unbundling the sale of natural gas from the sale of transportation and storage services. Beginning in 1992, FERC issued Order No. 636 and a series of related orders, which we refer to, collectively, as Order No. 636, to implement its open access policies. As a result of the Order No. 636 program, the marketing and pricing of natural gas have been significantly altered. The interstate pipelines' traditional role as wholesalers of natural gas has been eliminated and replaced by a structure under which pipelines provide transportation and storage service on an open access basis to others who buy and sell natural gas. FERC continues to regulate the rates that interstate pipelines may charge for such transportation and storage services. Although FERC's orders do not directly regulate natural gas producers, they are intended to foster increased competition within all phases of the natural gas industry.

In 2000, FERC issued Order No. 637 and subsequent orders, which we refer to, collectively, as Order No. 637, which imposed a number of additional reforms designed to enhance competition in natural gas markets. Among other things, Order No. 637 effected changes in FERC regulations relating to scheduling procedures, capacity segmentation, penalties, rights of first refusal and information reporting. Most major aspects of Order No. 637 have been upheld on judicial review, and most pipelines' tariff filings to implement the requirements of Order No. 637 have been accepted by the FERC and placed into effect.

The Energy Policy Act of 2005, which we refer to as EP Act 2005, gave FERC increased oversight and penalty authority regarding market manipulation and enforcement. EP Act 2005 amended the NGA to prohibit

Table of Contents

market manipulation and also amended the NGA and the NGPA to increase civil and criminal penalties for any violations of the NGA, NGPA and any rules, regulations or orders of FERC to up to \$1,000,000 per day, per violation. In addition, FERC issued a final rule effective January 26, 2006, regarding market manipulation, which makes it unlawful for any entity, in connection with the purchase or sale of natural gas or transportation service subject to FERC jurisdiction, to defraud, make an untrue statement, or omit a material fact or engage in any practice, act, or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud. This final rule works together with FERC's enhanced penalty authority to provide increased oversight of the natural gas marketplace.

The natural gas industry historically has been very heavily regulated; therefore, there is no assurance that the less stringent regulatory approach recently pursued by FERC will continue. However, we do not believe that any action taken will affect us in a way that materially differs from the way it affects other natural gas producers, gatherers and marketers.

Generally, intrastate natural gas transportation is subject to regulation by state regulatory agencies, although FERC does regulate the rates, terms, and conditions of service provided by intrastate pipelines that transport gas subject to FERC's NGA jurisdiction pursuant to Section 311 of the NGPA. The basis for state regulation of intrastate natural gas transportation and the degree of regulatory oversight and scrutiny given to intrastate natural gas pipeline rates and services varies from state to state. Insofar as such regulation within a particular state will generally affect all intrastate natural gas shippers within the state on a comparable basis, we believe that the regulation of similarly situated intrastate natural gas transportation in any states in which Abraxas Petroleum operates and ships natural gas on an intrastate basis will not affect the operations of our properties in any way that is materially different from the effect of such regulation on our competitors.

Sales of condensate and natural gas liquids are not currently regulated and are made at market prices.

Natural Gas Gathering

Section 1(b) of the NGA exempts natural gas gathering facilities from the jurisdiction of the FERC. FERC has developed tests for determining which facilities constitute jurisdictional transportation facilities under the NGA and which facilities constitute gathering facilities exempt from FERC's NGA jurisdiction. From time to time, FERC reconsiders its test for defining non-jurisdictional gathering. For example, there is currently pending at FERC a proposed rulemaking to reformulate its test for non-jurisdictional gathering in the shallow waters of the Outer Continental Shelf. In recent years, FERC has also permitted jurisdictional pipelines to spin down exempt gathering facilities into affiliated entities that are not subject to FERC jurisdiction, although FERC continues to examine the circumstances in which such a spin down is appropriate and whether it should reassert jurisdiction over certain gathering companies and facilities that previously had been spun down. We cannot predict the effect that FERC's activities in this regard may have on the operations of our properties, but we do not expect these activities to affect the operations in any way that is materially different from the effect thereof on our competitors.

State regulation of gathering facilities generally includes various safety, environmental, and in some circumstances, non-discriminatory take or service requirements, but does not generally entail rate regulation. In the United States, gas gathering has received greater regulatory scrutiny at both the state and federal levels in the wake of the interstate pipeline restructuring under FERC Order 636. For example, the Texas Railroad Commission enacted a Natural Gas Transportation Standards and Code of Conduct to provide regulatory support for the state's more active review of rates, services and practices associated with the gathering and transportation of gas by an entity that provides such services to others for a fee, in order to prohibit such entities from unduly discriminating in favor of their affiliates.

Regulation of Transportation of Oil

Sales of crude oil, condensate and natural gas liquids are not currently regulated and are made at negotiated prices. The transportation of oil in common carrier pipelines is also subject to rate regulation. FERC regulates

Table of Contents

interstate oil pipeline transportation rates under the Interstate Commerce Act. In general, interstate oil pipeline rates must be cost-based, although settlement rates agreed to by all shippers are permitted and market-based rates may be permitted in certain circumstances. Effective January 1, 1995, FERC implemented regulations establishing an indexing system (based on inflation) for transportation rates for oil that allowed for an increase or decrease in the cost of transporting oil to the purchaser. A review of these regulations by FERC in 2000 was successfully challenged on appeal by an association of oil pipelines. On remand, FERC, in February 2003, increased the index slightly, effective July 2001. Intrastate oil pipeline transportation rates are subject to regulation by state regulatory commissions. The basis for intrastate oil pipeline regulation, and the degree of regulatory oversight and scrutiny given to intrastate oil pipeline rates, varies from state to state. Insofar as effective interstate and intrastate rates are equally applicable to all comparable shippers, we believe that the regulation of oil transportation rates will not affect the operations of our properties in any way that is materially different from the effect of such regulation on our competitors.

Further, interstate and intrastate common carrier oil pipelines must provide service on a non-discriminatory basis. Under this open access standard, common carriers must offer service to all shippers requesting service on the same terms and under the same rates. When oil pipelines operate at full capacity, access is governed by prorationing provisions set forth in the pipelines' published tariffs. Accordingly, we believe that access to oil pipeline transportation services generally will be available to us to the same extent as to our competitors.

Environmental Matters

Oil and gas operations are subject to numerous federal, state and local laws and regulations controlling the generation, use, storage and discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment. These laws and regulations may:

require the acquisition of a permit or other authorization before construction or drilling commences;

restrict the types, quantities and concentrations of various substances that can be released into the environment in connection with drilling, production, and natural gas processing activities;

suspend, limit or prohibit construction, drilling and other activities in certain lands lying within wilderness, wetlands and other protected areas;

require remedial measures to mitigate pollution from historical and on-going operations such as the use of pits and plugging of abandoned wells;

restrict injection of liquids into subsurface strata that may contaminate groundwater; and

impose substantial liabilities for pollution resulting from the operations of our properties.

Environmental permits that the operators of properties, including Abraxas Petroleum, are required to possess may be subject to revocation, modification, and renewal by issuing authorities. Governmental authorities have the power to enforce compliance with their regulations and permits, and violations are subject to injunction, civil fines, and even criminal penalties. Our management believes that we are in substantial compliance with current environmental laws and regulations, and that we will not be required to make material capital expenditures to comply with existing laws. Nevertheless, changes in existing environmental laws and regulations or interpretations thereof could have a significant impact on our properties as well as the oil and gas industry in general, and thus we are unable to predict the ultimate cost and effects of future changes in environmental laws and regulations.

Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy are not currently involved in any administrative, judicial or legal proceedings arising under federal, state, or local environmental protection laws and regulations, or under federal or state common law, which would have a material adverse effect on their respective financial positions or results of operations. Moreover, we maintain insurance against the costs of clean-up operations, but we are not fully insured against all such risks. A serious incident of pollution may result in the suspension or cessation of operations in the affected area.

Table of Contents

Under the terms of Abraxas Energy's omnibus agreement with Abraxas Petroleum, Abraxas Energy has agreed to be responsible for all environmental liabilities relating to the properties Abraxas Petroleum contributed to Abraxas Energy, except to the extent Abraxas Energy is indemnified by Abraxas Petroleum. Abraxas Petroleum has agreed to indemnify Abraxas Energy through May 24, 2010 against certain potential environmental claims. Abraxas Petroleum's maximum liability for these indemnification obligations will not exceed \$5 million and Abraxas Petroleum will not have any obligation under this indemnification until Abraxas Energy's aggregate losses exceed \$500,000. Abraxas Petroleum will have no indemnification obligations with respect to environmental claims made as a result of additions to or modifications of environmental laws promulgated after May 25, 2007. Abraxas Energy has agreed to indemnify Abraxas Petroleum against environmental liabilities related to its assets to the extent Abraxas Petroleum is not required to indemnify Abraxas Energy. In connection with the properties acquired from St. Mary, Abraxas Energy agreed to be responsible for all plugging and abandonment costs relating to the wells Abraxas Energy acquired and all environmental liabilities related to these properties. This agreement will terminate at the Effective Time and Abraxas Petroleum will be responsible for all of these liabilities after the Effective Time.

The following is a discussion of the current relevant environmental laws and regulations that relate to our operations.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act. The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, also known as Superfund, and which we refer to as CERCLA, and comparable state statutes impose strict, joint, and several liability, without regard to fault or legality of conduct, on certain classes of persons who are considered to have contributed to the release of a hazardous substance into the environment. These persons include the owner or operator of a disposal site or sites where a release occurred and companies that generated, disposed or arranged for the disposal of the hazardous substances released at the site. Under CERCLA, such persons or companies may be retroactively liable for the costs of cleaning up the hazardous substances that have been released into the environment, for damages to natural resources, and for the costs of certain health studies. CERCLA authorizes the EPA, and in some cases third parties, to take actions in response to threats to the public health or the environment and to seek to recover from the responsible classes of persons the costs they incur. In addition, it is not uncommon for neighboring land owners and other third parties to file claims for personal injury, property damage, and recovery of response costs allegedly caused by the hazardous substances released into the environment.

In the course of the ordinary operations of our properties, certain wastes may be generated that may fall within CERCLA's definition of a hazardous substance. Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy may be jointly and severally liable under CERCLA or comparable state statutes for all or part of the costs required to clean up sites at which these wastes have been disposed. Although CERCLA currently contains a petroleum exclusion from the definition of hazardous substance, state laws affecting our operations impose cleanup liability relating to petroleum and petroleum related products, including oil cleanups.

Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy currently own or lease, and have in the past owned or leased, numerous properties that for many years have been used for the exploration and production of oil and gas. Although we have utilized standard industry operating and disposal practices at the time, hydrocarbons or other wastes may have been disposed of or released on or under the properties Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy owned or leased or on or under other locations where such wastes have been taken for disposal. In addition, many of these properties have been operated by third parties whose treatment and disposal or release of hydrocarbons or other wastes was not under our control. These properties and the wastes disposed thereon may be subject to CERCLA, RCRA (as defined below), and analogous state laws. Under these laws, we could be required to remove or remediate previously disposed wastes, including wastes disposed or released by prior owners or operators; to clean up contaminated property, including contaminated groundwater; or to perform remedial operations to prevent future contamination.

Oil Pollution Act of 1990. United States federal regulations also require certain owners and operators of facilities that store or otherwise handle oil to prepare and implement spill prevention, control plans and spill

Table of Contents

response plans relating to the possible discharge of oil into surface waters. The Federal Oil Pollution Act, which we refer to as OPA, contains numerous requirements relating to prevention of, reporting of, and response to oil spills into waters of the United States. For facilities that may affect state waters, OPA requires an operator to demonstrate \$10 million in financial responsibility. State laws mandate oil cleanup programs with respect to contaminated soil. A failure to comply with OPA's requirements or inadequate cooperation during a spill response action may subject a responsible party to civil or criminal enforcement actions. We are not aware of any action or event that would subject us to liability under OPA, and we believe that compliance with OPA's financial responsibility and other operating requirements will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Resource Conservation Recovery Act. The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, which we refer to as RCRA, is the principal federal statute governing the treatment, storage and disposal of hazardous waste. RCRA imposes stringent operating requirements, and liability for failure to meet such requirements, on a person who is either a generator or transporter of hazardous waste or an owner or operator of a hazardous waste treatment, storage or disposal facility. At present, RCRA includes a statutory exemption that allows most oil and gas exploration and production waste to be classified as non-hazardous wastes. A similar exemption is contained in many of the state counterparts to RCRA. As a result, we are not required to comply with a substantial portion of RCRA's requirements because our operations generate minimum quantities of hazardous waste. At various times in the past, proposals have been made to amend RCRA to rescind the exemption that excludes oil and gas exploration and production wastes from regulation as hazardous waste. Repeal or modification of the exemption by administrative, legislative or judicial process, or modification of similar exemptions in applicable state statutes, would increase the volume of hazardous waste we are required to manage and dispose of and would cause us to incur increased operating expenses.

Naturally Occurring Radioactive Materials, which we refer to as NORM, are materials not covered by the Atomic Energy Act, whose radioactivity is enhanced by technological processing such as mineral extraction or processing through exploration and production conducted by the oil and gas industry. NORM wastes are regulated under the RCRA framework, but primary responsibility for NORM regulation has been a state function. Standards have been developed for worker protection; treatment, storage and disposal of NORM waste; management of waste piles, containers and tanks; and limitations upon the release of NORM contaminated land for unrestricted use. We believe that the operations of Abraxas Petroleum's and Abraxas Energy's properties are in material compliance with all applicable NORM standards established by the various states in which we operates wells.

Clean Water Act. The Clean Water Act, which we refer to as the CWA, and analogous state laws, impose restrictions and controls on the discharge of pollutants, including spills and leaks of oil and other substances, into waters of the United States. The discharge of pollutants into regulated waters is prohibited, except in accordance with the terms of a permit issued by EPA or an analogous state agency. The CWA regulates storm water run-off from oil and natural gas facilities and requires a storm water discharge permit for certain activities. Such a permit requires the regulated facility to monitor and sample storm water run-off from its operations. The CWA and regulations implemented thereunder also prohibit discharges of dredged and fill material in wetlands and other waters of the United States unless authorized by an appropriately issued permit. Spill prevention, control and countermeasure requirements of the CWA require appropriate containment berms and similar structures to help prevent the contamination of navigable waters in the event of a petroleum hydrocarbon tank spill, rupture or leak. The CWA and comparable state statutes provide for civil, criminal and administrative penalties for unauthorized discharges for oil and other pollutants and impose liability on parties responsible for those discharges for the costs of cleaning up any environmental damage caused by the release and for natural resource damages resulting from the release. We believe that the operations of Abraxas Petroleum's and Abraxas Energy's properties comply in all material respects with the requirements of the CWA and state statutes enacted to control water pollution.

Safe Drinking Water Act. Oil and gas operations also produce wastewaters that are disposed via underground injection wells. These activities are regulated by the Safe Drinking Water Act, which we refer to as

Table of Contents

the SDWA, and analogous state and local laws. Underground injection is the subsurface placement of fluid through a well, such as the reinjection of brine produced and separated from oil and gas production. The main goal of the SDWA is the protection of usable aquifers. The primary objective of injection well operating requirements is to ensure the mechanical integrity of the injection apparatus and to prevent migration of fluids from the injection zone into underground sources of drinking water. Hazardous-waste injection well operations are strictly controlled, and certain wastes, absent an exemption, cannot be injected into underground injection control wells. In most states, no underground injection may take place except as authorized by permit or rule. We currently own and operate various underground injection wells. Failure to abide by our permits could subject us to civil and/or criminal enforcement. We believe that we and Abraxas Energy are in compliance in all material respects with the requirements of applicable state underground injection control programs and our permits.

Clean Air Act. The Clean Air Act, which we refer to as the CAA, and state air pollution laws and regulations provide a framework for national, state and local efforts to protect air quality. The operations of Abraxas Petroleum's and Abraxas Energy's properties utilize equipment that emits air pollutants which may be subject to federal and state air pollution control laws. These laws require utilization of air emissions abatement equipment to achieve prescribed emissions limitations and ambient air quality standards, as well as operating permits for existing equipment and construction permits for new and modified equipment.

Permits and related compliance obligations under the CAA, as well as changes to state implementation plans for controlling air emissions in regional non-attainment areas, may require oil and natural gas exploration and production operators to incur future capital expenditures in connection with the addition or modification of existing air emission control equipment and strategies. In addition, some oil and natural gas facilities may be included within the categories of hazardous air pollutant sources, which are subject to increasing regulation under the CAA. Failure to comply with these requirements could subject a regulated entity to monetary penalties, injunctions, conditions or restrictions on operations and enforcement actions. Oil and natural gas exploration and production facilities may be required to incur certain capital expenditures in the future for air pollution control equipment in connection with obtaining and maintaining operating permits and approvals for air emissions. We believe that we and Abraxas Energy are in compliance in all material respects with the requirements of applicable federal and state air pollution control laws.

The Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, or the Protocol, became effective in February 2005. Under the Protocol, participating nations are required to implement programs to reduce emissions of certain gases, generally referred to as greenhouse gases, that are suspected of contributing to global warming. The United States is not currently a participant in the Protocol; however, Congress has recently considered proposed legislation directed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and certain states have adopted legislation, regulations and/or initiatives addressing greenhouse gas emissions from various sources, primarily power plants. Additionally, on April 2, 2007, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled in *Massachusetts v. EPA* that the EPA has authority under the CAA to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from mobile sources (e.g., cars and trucks). The Court also held that greenhouse gases fall within the CAA's definition of air pollutant, which could result in future regulation of greenhouse gas emissions from stationary sources, including those used in oil and gas exploration and production operations. The oil and gas industry is a direct source of certain greenhouse gas emissions, namely carbon dioxide and methane, and future restrictions on such emissions could impact our future operations. It is not possible to accurately estimate how potential future laws or regulations addressing greenhouse gas emissions would impact our business. Nonetheless, domestic leaders in Congress have signaled their intention to release a bill during the 111th Congress that would comprehensively regulate greenhouse gas emissions through a cap and trade regime. Additionally, the Obama Administration has indicated that it is considering regulating greenhouse gas emissions through the Clean Air Act. Either of these initiatives would impact our operations.

National Environmental Policy Act. Oil and gas exploration and production activities on federal lands are subject to the National Environmental Policy Act, which we refer to as NEPA. NEPA requires federal agencies, including the Department of Interior, to evaluate major agency actions having the potential to significantly

Table of Contents

impact the environment. In the course of such evaluations, an agency will prepare an Environmental Assessment that assesses the potential direct, indirect and cumulative impacts of a proposed project and, if necessary, will prepare a more detailed Environmental Impact Statement that may be made available for public review and comment. If we were to conduct any exploration and production activities on federal lands in the future, those activities would need to obtain governmental permits that are subject to the requirements of NEPA. This process has the potential to delay the development of oil and gas projects.

Endangered Species Act. The Endangered Species Act, which we refer to as the ESA, restricts activities that may affect endangered or threatened species or their habitats. While some of our facilities may be located in areas that may be designated as habitat for endangered or threatened species, we believe that we are in substantial compliance with the ESA. However, the discovery of previously unidentified endangered or threatened species could cause us to incur additional costs or become subject to operating restrictions or bans in the affected areas.

Abandonment Costs. All of Abraxas Petroleum's and Abraxas Energy's oil and gas wells will require proper plugging and abandonment when they are no longer producing. Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy have posted bonds with most regulatory agencies to ensure compliance with their plugging responsibility. Plugging and abandonment operations and associated reclamation of the surface production site are important components of our environmental management system. We plan accordingly for the ultimate disposition of properties that are no longer producing. Abraxas Energy has agreed to be responsible for all plugging and abandonment costs relating to the wells that we contributed to Abraxas Energy and in connection with Abraxas Energy's acquisition of St. Mary, Abraxas Energy agreed to be responsible for all plugging and abandonment costs relating to the properties it acquired. As of June 30, 2009, we have estimated abandonment costs to be approximately \$10.2 million. After the Effective Time, Abraxas Petroleum will be responsible for all of those liabilities.

Title to Properties

As is customary in the oil and gas industry, we make only a cursory review of title to undeveloped oil and gas leases at the time we acquire them. However, before drilling commences, we require a thorough title search to be conducted, and any material defects in title are remedied prior to the time actual drilling of a well begins. To the extent title opinions or other investigations reflect title defects, we, rather than the seller/lessor of the undeveloped property, are typically obligated to cure any title defect at our expense. If we were unable to remedy or cure any title defect of a nature such that it would not be prudent to commence drilling operations on the property, we could suffer a loss of our entire investment in the property. We believe that we have good title to our properties, some of which are subject to immaterial encumbrances, easements and restrictions. The oil and gas properties we own are also typically subject to royalty and other similar non-cost bearing interests customary in the industry. We do not believe that any of these encumbrances or burdens will materially affect our ownership or use of our properties.

Competition

We operate in a highly competitive environment. The principal resources necessary for the exploration and production of oil and gas are leasehold prospects under which oil and gas reserves may be discovered, drilling rigs and related equipment to explore for such reserves and knowledgeable personnel to conduct all phases of oil and gas operations. We must compete for such resources with both major oil and gas companies and independent operators. Many of these competitors have financial and other resources substantially greater than ours. Although we believe our current operating and financial resources are adequate to preclude any significant disruption of our operations in the immediate future, we cannot assure you that such materials and resources will be available to us.

Employees

As of June 30, 2009, we had 65 full-time employees. We retain independent geological, land and engineering consultants from time to time on a limited basis and expect to continue to do so in the future.

Table of Contents

Office Facilities

Abraxas Petroleum's executive and administrative offices are located at 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258, and consist of approximately 21,000 square feet. The building is owned by Abraxas Petroleum, and is subject to a real estate lien note. The note bears interest at a fixed rate of 6.375%, and is payable in monthly installments of principal and interest of \$39,754 based on a twenty year amortization. The note matures in May 2015 at which time the outstanding balance becomes due. The note is secured by a first lien deed of trust on the property and improvements. As of June 30, 2009, \$5.3 million was outstanding on the note.

Other Properties

We own 10 acres of land, an office building, workshop, warehouse and house in Sinton, Texas, 603 acres of land and an office building in Scurry County, Texas, 50 acres of land in Lavaca County, Texas, 160 acres of land in Coke County, Texas and 11,537 acres of land in Pecos County, Texas. We also own two workover rigs and 22 vehicles which are used in the field.

Legal Proceedings

At June 30, 2009, we were not engaged in any legal proceedings that are expected, individually or in the aggregate, to have a material adverse effect on us.

Table of Contents**Selected Historical Financial Data of Abraxas Petroleum**

The following table shows historical financial data of Abraxas Petroleum for the periods and as of the dates indicated. The historical financial data of Abraxas Petroleum for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 are derived from the audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this proxy statement. The historical financial data of Abraxas Petroleum as of June 30, 2009 and for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2009 are derived from the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Abraxas Petroleum included elsewhere in this proxy statement. The financial condition and results of operations of Abraxas Petroleum are consolidated and reflect the financial condition and results of operations of Abraxas Petroleum and all of its consolidated subsidiaries including Abraxas Energy and Abraxas Operating. The operations of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy are consolidated for financial reporting purposes with the interest of the limited partners, other than Investments, of Abraxas Energy presented as non-controlling interest.

	Historical Abraxas Petroleum					Six Months Ended	
	2004	2005	Year Ended December 31, 2006	2007	2008	2008	June 30, 2009 (unaudited)
	(In thousands, except per share data)						
Total operating revenue	\$ 33,854	\$ 49,216	\$ 51,077	\$ 48,309	\$ 100,310	\$ 56,593	\$ 23,218
Lease operating and production taxes	8,567	11,094	11,776	11,254	26,635	12,372	11,854
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	7,213	8,914	14,939	14,292	23,343	11,098	8,994
Ceiling-test impairment					116,366		
General and administrative	5,238	5,757	5,160	6,438	7,127	3,672	3,730
Net interest expense	17,857	13,970	16,738	7,984	10,309	5,011	5,596
Amortization of deferred financing fees	1,848	1,589	1,591	671	1,028	467	586
Financing fees	1,657				359		362
Loss (gain) on derivative contracts		591	(646)	4,363	(28,333)	108,093	1,695
Loss on debt extinguishment				6,455			
Gain on debt redemption	(12,561)						
Gain on sale of assets				(59,439)			
Other	1,058	1,030	819	1,148	9,379	1,137	2,628
Income (loss) before income tax	\$ 2,977	\$ 6,271	\$ 700	\$ 55,143	\$ (65,903)	\$ (85,257)	\$ (12,227)
Income tax (benefits)	(6,060)			283			
Consolidated net income (loss)	\$ 9,037	\$ 6,271	\$ 700	\$ 54,860	\$ (65,903)	\$ (85,257)	\$ (12,227)
Less: Net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interest				1,842	13,500	18,578	6,645
Net income (loss) attributable to Abraxas Petroleum	\$ 9,037	\$ 6,271	\$ 700	\$ 56,702	\$ (52,403)	\$ (66,679)	\$ (5,582)
Net income (loss) attributable to Abraxas Petroleum per common share							
Basic	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.02	\$ 1.22	\$ (1.07)	\$ (1.36)	\$ (0.11)
Diluted	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.02	\$ 1.19	\$ (1.07)	\$ (1.36)	\$ (0.11)

Table of Contents

	Historical Abraxas Petroleum						
	Year Ended December 31,					Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2008 (unaudited)	2009 (unaudited)
	(In thousands)						
Cash flow data:							
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 27,000	\$ 21,099	\$ 15,561	\$ 18,332	\$ 43,387	\$ 30,487	\$ 9,456
Net cash used in investing activities	(9,269)	(35,350)	(14,102)	(26,908)	(173,944)	(155,475)	(7,510)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(65,684)	14,877	(1,458)	27,469	113,545	118,762	(2,080)

	Historical Abraxas Petroleum						
	At December 31,					At June 30,	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009 (unaudited)	
	(In thousands)						
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:							
Working capital (deficit)	\$ (4,592)	\$ (4,880)	\$ (3,719)	\$ 11,348	\$ (26,000)	\$ (30,915)	
Total assets	152,685	121,866	116,940	147,119	211,839	200,563	
Current maturities of long-term debt					40,134	46,062	
Long-term debt	126,425	129,527	127,614	45,900	130,835	128,843	
Stockholders' equity (deficit)	(53,464)	(23,701)	(22,165)	79,344	11,751	(270)	

Abraxas Petroleum Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following is a discussion of our consolidated financial condition, results of continuing operations, liquidity and capital resources. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto. The operations of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy are consolidated for financial reporting purposes with the interest of the limited partners, other than Investments, of Abraxas Energy presented as non-controlling interest.

General

We are an independent energy company primarily engaged in the development and production of oil and gas. Historically, we have grown through the acquisition and subsequent development and exploration of producing properties, principally through the redevelopment of old fields utilizing new technologies such as modern log analysis and reservoir modeling techniques as well as 3-D seismic surveys, horizontal drilling and modern completion techniques. As a result of these activities, we believe that we have a number of development opportunities on our properties. In addition, we intend to expand upon our development activities with complementary exploration projects in our core areas of operation. Success in our development and exploration activities is critical in the maintenance and growth of our current production levels and associated reserves.

While we have attained positive net income in four of the last five years, there can be no assurance that operating income and net earnings will be achieved in future periods. Our financial results depend upon many factors which significantly affect our results of operations including the following:

the sales prices of oil and gas;

the level of total sales volumes of oil and gas;

the availability of, and our ability to raise additional capital resources and provide liquidity to meet, cash flow needs;

Table of Contents

the level of and interest rates on borrowings; and

the level and success of exploration and development activity.

Commodity Prices and Hedging Activities. The results of our operations are highly dependent upon the prices received for our oil and gas production. The prices we receive for our production are dependent upon spot market prices, price differentials and the effectiveness of our derivative contracts, which we sometimes refer to as hedging arrangements. Substantially all of our sales of oil and gas are made in the spot market, or pursuant to contracts based on spot market prices, and not pursuant to long-term, fixed-price contracts. Accordingly, the prices received for our oil and gas production are dependent upon numerous factors beyond our control. Significant declines in prices for oil and gas could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and quantities of reserves recoverable on an economic basis.

Recently, the prices of oil and gas have been volatile. During the first half of 2006, prices for oil and gas were sustained at then record or near-record levels. Supply and geopolitical uncertainties resulted in significant price volatility during the remainder of 2006 with both oil and gas prices weakening. During 2007, oil prices remained strong while gas prices began 2007 strong but weakened during the course of the year. During the first half of 2008, prices for oil and gas were sustained at record or near-record levels, however during the second half of 2008, and subsequently, there has been a significant drop in prices. New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) futures price for West Texas Intermediate (WTI) oil averaged \$99.73 per barrel for 2008. WTI oil ended 2008 at \$44.60 per barrel. NYMEX Henry Hub futures price for gas averaged \$8.85 per million British thermal units (MMBtu) during 2008 and ended the year at \$5.62. During the first six months of 2009, prices of oil and gas declined significantly and NYMEX WTI oil averaged \$51.59 for the period and NYMEX Henry Hub gas averaged \$4.12 for the period. Since the end of the second quarter, oil prices have improved modestly while gas prices have continued to weaken. As of August 28, 2009, the NYMEX futures price for WTI oil was \$72.74 per barrel and the NYMEX Henry Hub futures price for gas was \$3.03 per MMBtu. If gas prices continue to decline or if oil prices decline, our revenue and cash flow from operations could also decline. In addition, lower commodity prices could also reduce the amount of oil and gas that we can produce economically. The current global recession has had a significant impact on commodity prices and our operations. If commodity prices remain depressed our revenues, profitability and cash flow from operations may decrease which could cause us to alter our business plans, including reducing our drilling activities.

The decline in commodity prices also resulted in downward adjustments to our estimated proved reserves at December 31, 2008. For 2008, we incurred a ceiling limitation write-down under applicable accounting rules. Under these rules, if the net capitalized cost of oil and gas properties exceeds the PV-10 of our reserves, we must charge the amount of the excess to earnings. As of December 31, 2008, our net capitalized costs of oil and gas properties exceeded the present value of our estimated proved reserves by \$116.4 million (\$19.2 million for Abraxas Petroleum's properties and \$97.1 million for Abraxas Energy's properties). These amounts were calculated considering 2008 year-end prices of \$44.60 per Bbl for oil and \$5.62 per Mcf for gas as adjusted to reflect the expected realized prices for each of our oil and gas reserves compared to each of the full cost pools. This charge does not impact cash flow from operating activities, but does reduce our stockholder's equity and earnings. The risk that we will be required to write-down the carrying value of oil and gas properties increases when oil and gas prices are low. In addition, write-downs may occur if we experience substantial downward adjustments to our estimated proved reserves. An expense recorded in one period may not be reversed in a subsequent period even though higher gas and oil prices may have increased the ceiling applicable to the subsequent period.

The realized prices that we receive for our production differ from NYMEX futures and spot market prices, principally due to:

basis differentials which are dependent on actual delivery location;

adjustments for BTU content; and

gathering, processing and transportation costs.

Table of Contents

During 2008, differentials averaged \$7.07 per barrel of oil and \$1.30 per Mcf of gas compared to \$3.10 per barrel of oil and \$1.00 per Mcf of gas in 2007. During the first six months of 2009, differentials averaged \$7.71 per barrel of oil and \$0.99 per Mcf of gas. We experienced greater differentials during 2008 and the first six months of 2009 compared to prior periods because of the increased percentage of our production from the Rocky Mountain and Mid-Continent regions which experience higher differentials than our Texas properties. Historically, these regions have experienced wider differentials than our Permian Basin and Gulf Coast properties. As the percentage of our production from the Rocky Mountain and Mid-Continent regions increases, we expect that our consolidated price differentials will also increase. Increases in the differential between the benchmark prices for oil and gas and the wellhead price we receive could significantly reduce our revenues and our cash flow from operations.

Under the terms of Abraxas Energy's credit facility, which will be refinanced and terminated at the Effective Time, Abraxas Energy was required to enter into derivative contracts for specified volumes, which equated to approximately 85% of the estimated oil and gas production through December 31, 2011 and 60% of the estimated oil and gas production from its estimated net proved developed producing reserves for calendar year 2012. By removing a significant portion of price volatility on its future oil and gas production, Abraxas Energy believed that it will mitigate, but not eliminate, the potential effects of changing commodity prices on its cash flow from operations for those periods. However, when prevailing market prices are higher than our contract prices, we will not realize increased cash flow on the portion of the production that has been hedged. We have sustained, and in the future will sustain, realized and unrealized losses on our derivative contracts if market prices are higher than our contract prices. Conversely, when prevailing market prices are lower than our contract prices, we will sustain realized and unrealized gains on our derivative contracts. For example, in 2007, Abraxas Energy sustained an unrealized loss of \$6.3 million and a realized gain of \$1.9 million and in 2008, Abraxas Energy incurred a realized loss of \$9.3 million and an unrealized gain of \$40.5 million. During the first six months of 2009, Abraxas Energy incurred a realized gain of \$12.8 million and an unrealized loss of \$14.5 million. We have not designated any of these derivative contracts as a hedge as prescribed by applicable accounting rules.

The following table sets forth our derivative position at June 30, 2009:

Period Covered	Product	Volume (Production per day)	Fixed Price
Year 2009	Gas	10,595 Mmbtu	\$ 8.45
Year 2009	Oil	1,000 Bbl	\$ 83.80
Year 2010	Gas	9,130 Mmbtu	\$ 8.22
Year 2010	Oil	895 Bbl	\$ 83.26
Year 2011	Gas	8,010 Mmbtu	\$ 8.10
Year 2011	Oil	810 Bbl	\$ 86.45
Year 2012	Gas	3,000 Mmbtu	\$ 6.88
Year 2012	Oil	670 Bbl	\$ 67.60

At June 30, 2009, the aggregate fair market value of our oil and gas derivative contracts was an asset of approximately \$24.3 million.

On July 29, 2009, Abraxas Energy monetized all of its in-the-money commodity swaps for \$26.7 million and together with the July 2009 commodity swap settlement of \$2.0 million, Abraxas Energy repaid \$28.7 million of indebtedness under its credit facility on July 31, 2009. In connection with the monetization and repayment, Abraxas Energy was required to enter into new commodity swaps at prevailing market prices.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth our consolidated derivative contract position as of July 31, 2009:

Contract Periods	Fixed-Price Swaps			
	OIL		GAS	
	Daily Volume (Bbl)	Swap Price	Daily Volume (Mmbtu)	Swap Price
Q4 2009	1,355	\$ 68.90	13,981	\$ 4.50
2010	1,158	73.28	11,258	5.73
2011	1,035	76.61	9,580	6.52
2012	946	70.89	8,303	6.77
2013	705	80.79	5,962	6.84

The new credit facility will require us to enter into hedging arrangements for specified volumes, which are expected to equate to approximately 85% of the estimated oil and gas production from our net proved developed producing reserves through December 31, 2012 and 70% for 2013. We expect that our derivative contracts in the foregoing table will satisfy this requirement.

For more information, see [Proposal 1 Approval of Stock Issuance The New Credit Facility](#) and [Liquidity and Capital Resources Capital Resources After Completion of the Merger](#).

Production Volumes. Because our proved reserves will decline as oil and gas are produced, unless we find, acquire or develop additional properties containing proved reserves or conduct successful exploration and development activities, our reserves and production will decrease. Approximately 92% of our proved developed producing reserves as of December 31, 2008 had been produced. Based on the reserve information set forth in our reserve estimates as of December 31, 2008, our average annual estimated decline rate for our net proved developed producing reserves is 11% during the first five years, 8% in the next five years, and approximately 8% thereafter. These rates of decline are estimates and actual production declines could be materially higher. While we have had some success in finding, acquiring and developing additional revenues, we have not always been able to fully replace the production volumes lost from natural field declines and prior property sales. For example, in 2006, we replaced only 7% of the reserves we produced. In 2007, however, we replaced 219% of the reserves we produced and in 2008, we replaced 555% of the reserves we produced primarily as a result of the St. Mary property acquisition in January 2008. Our ability to acquire or find additional reserves in the near future will be dependent, in part, upon the amount of available funds for acquisition, exploration and development projects.

We had capital expenditures during 2008 of \$183.6 million, including \$123.6 million for the St. Mary property acquisition that closed in January 2008. Capital expenditures in 2008 also included approximately \$5.6 million for the acquisition of our corporate headquarters building. We have a capital budget for 2009 of approximately \$32.0 million, of which \$20.0 million is applicable to Abraxas Petroleum and \$12.0 million applicable to Abraxas Energy. During the first six months of 2009, we had \$7.5 million of capital expenditures of which \$3.1 million was spent by Abraxas Energy and \$4.4 million was spent by Abraxas Petroleum. Under the terms of the Abraxas Energy credit facility, Abraxas Energy's capital expenditures may not exceed \$12.5 million prior to the termination of the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility. The final amount of our capital expenditures for 2009 will depend on our success rate, production levels, availability of capital, including under the new credit facility, and commodity prices.

The following table presents historical net production volumes for Abraxas Petroleum on a consolidated basis:

	Year Ended December 31,			Six Months Ended June 30,
	2006	2007	2008	2009
Total production (MMcfe)	7,718	6,749	9,642	4,945
Average daily production (Mcfepd)	21,144	18,492	26,346	27,318

Table of Contents

Availability of Capital. As described more fully under Liquidity and Capital Resources Capital Resources After Completion of the Merger below, our sources of capital going forward will primarily be cash from operating activities, funding under the new credit facility, cash on hand, and if an appropriate opportunity presents itself, proceeds from the sale of properties, and sales of debt or equity securities if available to us.

At June 30, 2009, Abraxas Petroleum had approximately \$0.6 million of availability under its credit facility and Abraxas Energy had approximately \$4.4 million of availability under its credit facility. At July 31, 2009, in connection with the monetization and repayment of \$28.7 million of indebtedness, Abraxas Energy had no availability under its credit facility after the borrowing base was reduced to \$95.0 million. In connection with the Merger, Abraxas Energy's existing credit facilities will be refinanced and terminated and Abraxas Petroleum's credit facility will be amended and restated by the terms of the new credit facility. Additionally, the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility currently matures on the earlier of (a) October 9, 2009 and (b) three business days after the Special Meeting. In connection with the Merger, it is anticipated that the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility will be refinanced and terminated. We currently anticipate that we will have approximately \$14.1 million of availability under the new credit facility immediately after the Effective Time assuming that our initial availability is \$155.0 million and we borrow \$140.9 million to repay all of Abraxas Petroleum's and Abraxas Energy's outstanding indebtedness under their existing credit facilities. We intend to borrow \$130.9 million under the revolving portion of the new credit facility and \$10.0 million under the term loan portion of the new credit facility at the Effective Time.

Exploration and Development Activity. We believe that our high quality asset base, high degree of operational control and inventory of drilling projects position us for future growth. Our properties are concentrated in locations that facilitate substantial economies of scale in drilling and production operations and more efficient reservoir management practices. At December 31, 2008, we operated properties accounting for approximately 83% of our PV-10, giving us substantial control over the timing and incurrence of operating and capital expenditures. We have identified 234 additional drilling locations (of which 109 were classified as proved undeveloped at December 31, 2008) on our existing properties, the successful development of which we believe could significantly increase our production and proved reserves. Over the five years ended December 31, 2008, we drilled or participated in drilling 77 gross (34.8 net) wells of which 94.8% resulted in commercially productive wells.

Our future oil and gas production, and therefore our success, is highly dependent upon our ability to find, acquire and develop additional reserves that are profitable to produce. The rate of production from our oil and gas properties and our proved reserves will decline as our reserves are produced unless we acquire additional properties containing proved reserves, conduct successful development and exploration activities or, through engineering studies, identify additional behind-pipe zones or secondary recovery reserves. We cannot assure you that our exploration and development activities will result in increases in our proved reserves. In 2006, for example, we replaced only 7% of the reserves we produced. In 2007, however, we replaced 219% of our reserves, and in 2008, we replaced 555% of our reserves, primarily as the result of the St. Mary property acquisition in January 2008. If our proved reserves decline in the future, our production may also decline and, consequently, our cash flow from operations, distributions of available cash from Abraxas Energy to Abraxas Petroleum, which are currently prohibited by the Merger Agreement and the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility, and the amount that we are able to borrow under our credit facility will also decline. In addition, approximately 46% of our estimated proved reserves at December 31, 2008 were classified as undeveloped. By their nature, estimates of undeveloped reserves are less certain. Recovery of such reserves will require significant capital expenditures and successful drilling operations. We may be unable to acquire or develop additional reserves, in which case our results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected.

Borrowings and Interest. At June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy had indebtedness of approximately \$123.7 million under its credit facility and \$40.0 million under its subordinated credit facility and \$4.4 million of availability under its credit facility. At June 30, 2009, Abraxas Petroleum had indebtedness of approximately \$5.9 million under its credit facility and \$0.6 million of availability. At July 31, 2009, in connection with the

Table of Contents

monetization and repayment of \$28.7 million of indebtedness, Abraxas Energy had indebtedness of approximately \$95.0 million and no availability under its credit facility. In order to mitigate its interest rate exposure, Abraxas Energy entered into an interest rate swap, effective August 12, 2008, to fix its floating LIBOR-based debt. Abraxas Energy's two-year interest rate swap arrangement for \$100 million at a fixed rate of 3.367% expires on August 12, 2010. This interest rate swap was amended in February 2009 lowering Abraxas Energy's fixed rate to 2.95%.

Results of Operations**Comparison of Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 to Six Months Ended June 30, 2008**

The following table sets forth certain of our operating data for the periods presented.

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008 (2)
	(in thousands)	
Operating Revenue: (1)		
Oil sales	\$ 12,669	\$ 28,268
Gas sales	10,046	27,678
Rig operations	500	635
Other	3	12
	\$ 23,218	\$ 56,593
Operating Income (loss)	\$ (1,759)	\$ 29,048
Oil production (MBbl)	290	264
Gas production (MMcf)	3,205	3,203
Average oil sales price (\$/Bbl)	\$ 43.69	\$ 107.25
Average gas sales price (\$/Mcf)	\$ 3.13	\$ 8.64

(1) Revenue and average sales prices are before the impact of derivative activities.

(2) Includes results of operations for properties acquired from St. Mary for February through June 2008.

Revenues**Operating Revenue**

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, operating revenue from oil and gas sales decreased to \$22.7 million compared from \$55.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The decrease in revenue was due to significantly lower commodity prices partially offset by increased production volumes. Decreased commodity prices had a negative impact of \$34.4 million on operating revenue from oil and gas sales while increased production volumes contributed \$1.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Average sales prices before the impact of derivative activities for the six months ended June 30, 2009 were:

\$43.69 per Bbl of oil

\$3.13 per Mcf of gas

Average sales prices before the impact of derivative activities for the six months ended June 30, 2008 were:

\$107.25 per Bbl of oil

\$8.64 per Mcf of gas

Oil sales volumes increased from 264 MBbls during the six months ended June 30, 2008 to 290 MBbls for the same period of 2009. The increase in oil sales volumes was primarily due to wells put on production during the first

Table of Contents

six months of 2009. Additionally, production from properties acquired in the St. Mary acquisition in January 2008 was included for the entire six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to five of the six months ended June 30, 2008. Production from these properties was 161 MBbls for the period February 2008 through June 2008 compared to 172 MBbls for the six months ended June 30, 2009. New wells put on production contributed 12 MBbls during the six months ended June 30, 2009. Gas sales volumes increased to 3,205 MMcf for the six months ended June 30, 2009 from 3,203 MMcf for the same period of 2008. The increase in gas sales volumes was primarily due to wells put on production during the first six months of 2009 partially offset by natural field declines. New wells put on production contributed 307 MMcf during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Expenses***Lease Operating Expense (LOE)***

Lease operating expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2009 decreased to \$11.9 million from \$12.4 million for the same period in 2008. The decrease in LOE was primarily due to a decrease in production taxes as a result of lower commodity prices realized during the six months ended June 30, 2009. LOE per BOE for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$14.38 per BOE compared to \$15.51 for the same period of 2008.

General and Administrative (G&A)

G&A, excluding equity-based compensation, increased from \$2.8 million for the first six months of 2008 to \$3.1 million for the same period of 2009. The increase in G&A was primarily due to higher professional fees. G&A per BOE was \$3.80 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$3.48 for the same period of 2008. The increase in G&A per BOE was primarily due to increased cost offset by higher production volumes in the first six months of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008.

Equity-Based Compensation

We currently utilize a standard option pricing model (i.e., Black-Scholes) to measure the fair value of stock options granted to employees. Options granted to employees are valued at the date of grant and expense is recognized over the options vesting period. In addition to options, restricted shares of our common stock and restricted units of Abraxas Energy have been granted. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, equity-based compensation was approximately \$596,000 and \$896,000, respectively. The decrease in 2009 as compared to 2008 was due to expenses related to higher valued options granted in prior years that have been fully amortized.

Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization (DD&A)

DD&A decreased to \$9.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 from \$11.1 million for same period of 2008. The decrease in DD&A was primarily the result of a reduction in the depletion base as a result of the proved property impairment recorded for the year ended December 31, 2008. Our DD&A per BOE for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$10.91 per BOE compared to \$13.92 per BOE in 2008. The decrease in DD&A per BOE was due to the lower depletion base for the period.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased to \$5.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$5.1 million for the same period of 2008. The increase in interest expense was primarily due to higher interest rates during the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to 2008. The interest rates on the Partnership Credit Facility averaged approximately 5.5% and the interest rate on the Subordinated Credit Agreement averaged approximately 11.0% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 5.4% and 8.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Additionally, the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility had an outstanding balance of \$5.9 million at June 30, 2009 compared to a zero balance at June 30, 2008. The interest rate on this credit facility was 2.8% at June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents***Gain (loss) from Derivative Contracts***

We account for derivative gains and losses based on realized and unrealized amounts. The realized derivative gains or losses are determined by actual derivative settlements during the period. Unrealized gains and losses are based on the periodic mark to market valuation of derivative contracts in place. Our derivative contract transactions do not qualify for hedge accounting as prescribed by SFAS 133; therefore, fluctuations in the market value of the derivative contract are recognized in earnings during the current period. The Partnership entered into a series of NYMEX based fixed price commodity swaps and the estimated unearned value of these derivative contracts was approximately \$24.3 million as of June 30, 2009. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, we recognized an unrealized loss of \$14.5 million and a realized gain of \$12.8 million.

Other Expense

Other expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009 consists primarily of fees and expenses, which were paid over the past two years, associated with the initial public offering of Abraxas Energy which is no longer being pursued in accordance with the terms of the Voting Agreement.

Non-Controlling Interest

Non-controlling interest represents the share of the net income (loss) of Abraxas Energy for the period owned by the partners other than Abraxas Petroleum. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the non-controlling interest in the net loss of Abraxas Energy was \$6.6 million. Additionally, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in effect prior to the adoption of SFAS 160, when cumulative losses applicable to the non-controlling interest exceed the non-controlling interest equity capital in the entity, such excess and any further losses applicable to the non-controlling interest are charged to the earnings of the controlling interest. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, primarily as a result of unrealized losses on derivative contracts, losses applicable to the non-controlling interest exceeded the non-controlling interest equity capital by \$28.2 million and as a result, \$28.2 million of the non-controlling interest loss in excess of equity was charged to earnings and is reflected as a reduction of the losses applicable to the non-controlling interest. Under the provisions of SFAS 160, the non-controlling interest share of the loss for the period ended June 30, 2008 would have increased by \$28.2 million, from \$18.6 million to \$46.8 million.

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008

The following table sets forth certain of our operating data for the periods presented.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2006	2007	2008
	(dollars in thousands, except per unit data.)		
Operating revenue: (1)			
Oil sales	\$ 12,446	\$ 13,633	\$ 50,954
Gas sales	37,002	33,273	48,130
Rig and other	1,629	1,403	1,226
Total operating revenues	\$ 51,077	\$ 48,309	\$ 100,310
Operating income (loss) (2)	\$ 18,383	\$ 15,524	\$ (74,017)
Oil production (MBbls)	200.4	196.9	549.9
Gas production (MMcf)	6,515.0	5,567.7	6,342.9
Average oil sales price (per Bbl)	\$ 62.10	\$ 65.30	\$ 81.35
Average gas sales price (per Mcf)	\$ 5.77	\$ 6.46	\$ 7.11

(1) Revenue is after the impact of hedging activities.

(2) Operating loss in 2008 includes \$116.4 million proved property impairment.

Table of Contents***Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2007 to Year Ended December 31, 2008******Revenues******Operating Revenue***

During the year ended December 31, 2008, operating revenue from oil and gas sales increased by \$52.2 million from \$46.9 million in 2007 to \$99.1 million in 2008. The increase in revenue was due to increased production volumes in 2008 as compared to 2007 as well as higher oil and gas prices realized in 2008 as compared to 2007. The increase in production volumes contributed \$29.1 million to revenue while increased commodity prices contributed \$23.1 million to oil and gas production revenue.

Oil production volumes increased from 196.9 MBbls for the year ended December 31, 2007 to 549.9 MBbls for the same period of 2008. The increase in oil sales volumes was primarily due to production from properties acquired in the St. Mary acquisition that closed on January 31, 2008. Production for the year ended December 31, 2008 from these properties added 313.4 MBbls of oil. Gas production volumes increased from 5,568 MMcf for the year ended December 31, 2007 to 6,343 MMcf for the same period of 2008. The properties acquired in the St. Mary acquisition contributed 1,566 MMcf of gas production during the year, which was partially offset by natural field declines.

Average sales prices in 2008, before realized gain (loss) on derivative contracts were:

\$92.66 per Bbl of oil

\$7.59 per Mcf of gas

Average sales prices in 2007, before realized gain (loss) on derivative contracts were:

\$69.22 per Bbl of oil

\$5.98 per Mcf of gas

Expenses***Lease Operating Expenses (LOE)***

Lease operating expense increased from \$11.3 million in 2007 to \$26.6 million in 2008. The increase in LOE was primarily due to the properties acquired from St. Mary in January of 2008 as well as an increase in ad valorem and severance taxes. Severance and ad valorem taxes increased from \$3.8 million in 2007 to \$9.1 million in 2008. LOE related to the properties acquired in the St. Mary property acquisition added \$13.1 million to LOE during 2008. LOE on a per BOE basis for the year ended December 31, 2008 was \$16.57 per BOE compared to \$10.02 for the same period of 2007. The per BOE increase was attributable to the increase in the number of oil wells as a result of the St. Mary acquisition, which are generally more expensive to operate than gas wells, as well as the overall increase in costs.

General and Administrative (G&A)

General and administrative, excluding equity-based compensation, increased from \$5.4 million in 2007 to \$5.7 million in 2008. The increase in G&A was primarily due to higher personnel expenses associated with additional staff added to manage the properties acquired from St. Mary. G&A expense on a per BOE basis was \$3.56 for 2008 compared to \$4.84 for the same period of 2007. The per BOE decrease was attributable to the higher G&A expense being offset by higher production volumes during 2008 as compared to 2007.

Equity-Based Compensation

Edgar Filing: ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEFM14A

We currently utilize a standard option pricing model (i.e., Black-Scholes) to measure the fair value of stock options granted to employees and directors. Options granted to employees and directors are valued at the date of grant and expense is recognized over the options vesting period. For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, equity based compensation was approximately \$996,000 and \$1.4 million respectively.

Table of Contents***Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization (DD&A)***

Depreciation, depletion and amortization increased from \$14.3 million in 2007 to \$23.3 million in 2008. The increase in DD&A was primarily the result of increased production as well as an increase in the depletion base as a result of the St. Mary acquisition. Our DD&A expense per BOE for 2007 was \$12.71 per BOE as compared to \$14.53 per BOE in 2008. The per BOE increase was due to the increased production volumes in 2008 as compared to 2007.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased to \$10.5 million in 2008 compared to \$8.4 million in 2007. The increase in interest expense was primarily due to the increase in long term debt incurred by Abraxas Energy as a result of the St. Mary acquisition. Abraxas Energy's debt as of December 31, 2008 was \$165.6 million compared to \$45.9 million as of December 31, 2007.

Income Taxes

No current or deferred income tax expense or benefit has been recognized due to losses or loss carryforwards and valuation allowance, which has been recorded against such benefits.

Gain (loss) from Derivative Contracts

We account for derivative contract gains and losses based on realized and unrealized amounts. The realized derivative gains or losses are determined by actual derivative settlements during the period. Unrealized gains and losses are based on the periodic mark to market valuation of derivative contracts in place. Our derivative contract transactions do not qualify for hedge accounting as prescribed by SFAS 133; therefore, fluctuations in the market value of the derivative contracts are recognized in earnings during the current period. Abraxas Energy has entered into a series of NYMEX based fixed price commodity swaps, the estimated unearned value of which was an asset of approximately \$39.2 million as of December 31, 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy realized a loss of \$9.3 million related to these oil and gas derivatives, and an unrealized gain of \$40.5 million. This compares to an unrealized loss of \$6.3 million and a realized gain of \$1.9 million in 2007.

Other Expense

For the year ended December 31, 2008 as the result of the exchange and registration rights agreement whereby Abraxas Energy unitholders, under certain circumstances can convert their Abraxas Energy common units into Abraxas Petroleum common stock, the Company has recognized an expense of \$7.4 million, including approximately \$293,000 relating to units converted during the fourth quarter and \$7.1 million representing the fair value of potential future conversions. This expense is included in other expense on the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2008. See footnote 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a further description of the exchange and registration rights agreement.

In August of 2008, Abraxas Energy entered into an interest rate swap, effective August 12, 2008, to fix its floating LIBOR based debt. Abraxas Energy's two-year interest rate swap arrangement is for \$100 million at a fixed rate of 3.367%. The arrangement expires on August 12, 2010. For the year ended December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy realized a loss of approximately \$260,000 related to this derivative and an unrealized loss of \$2.7 million. The estimated unearned value of this agreement was a liability of \$3.0 million as of December 31, 2008. This interest rate swap was amended in February 2009 lowering Abraxas Energy's fixed rate to 2.95%.

Ceiling Limitation Write-down

We record the carrying value of our oil and gas properties using the full cost method of accounting for oil and gas properties. Under this method, we capitalize the cost to acquire, explore for and develop oil and gas properties. Under the full cost accounting rules, the net capitalized cost of oil and gas properties less related

Table of Contents

deferred taxes, are limited by country, to the lower of the unamortized cost or the cost ceiling, defined as the sum of the present value of estimated unescalated future net revenues from proved reserves, discounted at 10%, plus the cost of properties not being amortized, if any, plus the lower of cost or estimated fair value of unproved properties included in the costs being amortized, if any, less related income taxes. If the net capitalized cost of oil and gas properties exceeds the ceiling limit, we are subject to a ceiling limitation write-down to the extent of such excess. A ceiling limitation write-down is a charge to earnings which does not impact cash flow from operating activities. However, such write-downs do impact the amount of our stockholders' equity. The cost ceiling represents the present value (discounted at 10%) of net cash flows from sales of future production, using commodity prices on the last day of the quarter, or alternatively, if prices subsequent to that date have increased, a price near the periodic filing date of our financial statements. As of December 31, 2008, our net capitalized costs of oil and gas properties exceeded the present value of our estimated proved reserves by \$116.4 million (\$19.2 million on Abraxas Petroleum's properties and \$97.1 million on Abraxas Energy's properties). These amounts were calculated considering 2008 year-end prices of \$44.60 per Bbl for oil and \$5.62 per Mcf for gas as adjusted to reflect the expected realized prices for our oil and gas reserves as compared to each of the full cost pools.

The risk that we will be required to write-down the carrying value of our oil and gas assets increases when oil and gas prices are depressed or volatile. In addition, write-downs may occur if we have substantial downward revisions in our estimated proved reserves or if purchasers or governmental action cause an abrogation of, or if we voluntarily cancel, long-term contracts for our gas. We cannot assure you that we will not experience additional write-downs in the future. If commodity prices decline or if any of our proved reserves are revised downward, a further write-down of the carrying value of our oil and gas properties may be required.

Non-Controlling Interest

Non-controlling interest represents the share of the net income (loss) of Abraxas Energy for the period owned by the partners other than Abraxas Petroleum. Prior to the adoption and retroactive application of SFAS 160 on January 1, 2009, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, when cumulative losses applicable to the minority interest (which is now referred to as non-controlling interest in accordance with SFAS 160) exceed the minority interest equity capital in the entity, such excess losses applicable to the minority interest are charged to the earnings of the majority interest. For the year ended December 31, 2008, primarily as a result of the ceiling test impairment of Abraxas Energy's oil and gas properties, losses applicable to the minority interest exceeded the minority equity capital by \$9.3 million and, as a result, \$9.3 million of the minority interest loss in excess of equity was charged to earnings and was reflected as a reduction of the loss applicable to the minority interest.

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2006 to Year Ended December 31, 2007***Revenues******Operating Revenue***

During the year ended December 31, 2007, operating revenue from oil and gas sales decreased by \$2.5 million from \$49.4 million in 2006 to \$46.9 million in 2007. The decrease in revenue was primarily due to decreased production volumes in 2007 as compared to 2006 offset by higher oil and gas prices realized in 2007 as compared to 2006. Lower production volumes had a negative impact of \$5.6 million which was partially offset by higher realized prices, excluding derivative activities, which contributed \$3.1 million to oil and gas revenue for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Oil sales volumes decreased from 200.4 MBbls in 2006 to 196.9 MBbls during 2007. The decrease in oil production was primarily due to natural field declines. Gas sales volumes decreased from 6.5 Bcf in 2006 to 5.6 Bcf in 2007. This decrease was primarily due to the sale of properties in Live Oak County, Texas effective August 1, 2006, as well as natural field declines. Properties sold in 2006 contributed 182.3 MMcf during 2006

Table of Contents

prior to their sale. Production from a Permian Basin well drilled and brought onto production in August 2005 produced 2.2 Bcf in 2006 as compared to 1.4 Bcf in 2007. The Permian Basin well, the La Escalera 1AH well, provided approximately 20% of our Mcfe production for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Average sales prices in 2007, before realized loss on derivative contracts were:

\$69.22 per Bbl of oil

\$5.98 per Mcf of gas

Average sales prices in 2006, before realized loss on derivative contracts were:

\$62.10 per Bbl of oil

\$5.68 per Mcf of gas

Lease Operating Expense (LOE)

Lease operating expense decreased from \$11.8 million in 2006 to \$11.3 million in 2007. The decrease in LOE was primarily due to a decrease in ad valorem and severance taxes. Severance and ad valorem taxes decreased from \$4.5 million in 2006 to \$3.8 million in 2007. The decrease was due to revisions of values of some properties resulting in a lower ad valorem tax assessment. Excluding taxes, LOE increased from \$7.3 million in 2006 to \$7.4 million in 2007. This increase was due to a general increase in the cost of field services. Our LOE per BOE for the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$10.00 per BOE compared to \$9.16 per BOE in 2006. The increase per BOE was primarily due to a decrease in production volumes in 2007 as compared to 2006.

G&A (G&A)

General and administrative, excluding equity-based compensation, increased from \$4.2 million in 2006 to \$5.4 million in 2007. The increase in G&A expense in 2007 was primarily due to new, incremental G&A costs incurred by Abraxas Energy and to higher performance bonuses in 2007 as compared to 2006. Performance bonuses amounted to \$162,000 in 2006, as compared to \$1.1 million in 2007. Our G&A expense on a per BOE basis increased from \$3.24 in 2006 to \$4.84 in 2007. The increase per BOE cost was due to increased G&A expense in 2007 as compared to 2006 as well as decreased production volumes in 2007 as compared to 2006.

Equity-Based Compensation.

We currently utilize a standard option pricing model (i.e., Black-Scholes) to measure the fair value of stock options granted to employees and directors. Options granted to employees and directors are valued at the date of grant and expense is recognized over the options vesting period. For the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, equity based compensation was approximately \$998,000 and \$996,000 respectively.

Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization (DD&A)

DD&A decreased from \$14.9 million in 2006 to \$14.3 million in 2007. The decrease in DD&A was primarily due to increased reserves as of December 31, 2007 as compared to December 31, 2006, as well as a decrease in production volumes in 2007 as compared to 2006. Our DD&A expense on a per BOE basis for 2007 was \$12.71 per BOE as compared to \$11.30 per BOE in 2006. The increase per BOE basis was due to the decreased production volumes in 2007 as compared to 2006.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased to \$8.4 million in 2007 compared to \$16.8 million for 2006. The decrease in interest expense was due to the redemption of our outstanding senior secured notes and refinancing and repayment of our credit facility with Wells Fargo Foothill in May 2007.

Table of Contents

Loss on Debt Extinguishment

The loss on debt extinguishment consists primarily of the call premium and interest that was paid in connection with the refinancing and redemption of our senior secured notes in May 2007.

Income Taxes

Federal income tax and state of Texas margin tax have been recognized for the year ended December 31, 2007 as a result of the gain on the sale of assets during the period. No deferred income tax expense or benefit has been recognized due to losses or loss carryforwards and valuation allowance, which has been recorded against such benefits.

Gain on Sale of Assets

As a result of the transactions related to the formation of Abraxas Energy, we recognized a gain of \$59.4 million. This gain was calculated based on the requirements of Staff Accounting Bulletin 51, (Topic 5H) based on the fact that we elected gain treatment as a policy and the transaction met the following criteria: (1) there were no additional broad corporate reorganizations contemplated; (2) there was not a reason to believe that the gain would not be realized, since there is no additional capital raising transaction anticipated nor was there a significant concern about the new entity's ability to continue in existence; (3) the share price of capital raised in the private placement was objectively determined; (4) no repurchases of the new subsidiary's units are planned; and (5) we acknowledge that we will consistently apply the policy, and any future transactions that might result in a loss must be recorded as a loss in the income statement.

Gain (loss) from Derivative Contracts

We account for derivative contract gains and losses based on realized and unrealized amounts. The realized derivative gains or losses are determined by actual derivative settlements during the period. Unrealized gains and losses are based on the periodic mark to market valuation of derivative contracts in place. Our derivative contract transactions do not qualify for hedge accounting as prescribed by SFAS 133; therefore, fluctuations in the market value of the derivative contracts are recognized in earnings during the current period. Abraxas Energy has entered into a series of NYMEX based fixed price commodity swaps, the estimated unearned value of which was a liability of approximately \$9.1 million as of December 31, 2007. For the year ended December 31, 2007, we realized a gain on these derivative contracts of \$1.9 million and we incurred unrealized losses of \$6.3 million.

Non-controlling Interest

Non-controlling interest represents the share of the net income (loss) of Abraxas Energy for the period owned by the partners other than Abraxas Petroleum. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the non-controlling interest in the net loss of Abraxas Energy was approximately \$1.8 million.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General. The oil and gas industry is a highly capital intensive and cyclical business. Our capital requirements are driven principally by our obligations to service debt and to fund the following costs:

the development of existing properties, including drilling and completion costs of wells;

acquisition of interests in additional oil and gas properties; and

production and transportation facilities.

The amount of capital expenditures we are able to make has a direct impact on our ability to increase cash flow from operations and, thereby, will directly affect our ability to service our debt obligations and to continue to grow the business through the development of existing properties and the acquisition of new properties.

Table of Contents

Abraxas Petroleum's sources of capital going forward will primarily be cash from operating activities, funding under its new credit facility and, if an appropriate opportunity presents itself, proceeds from the sale of properties. We may also seek equity capital although we may not be able to complete any equity financings on terms acceptable to us, if at all. For more information, please see Capital Resources After Completion of the Merger.

Working Capital (Deficit). At June 30, 2009, our current liabilities of approximately \$58.6 million exceeded our current assets of \$27.7 million resulting in a working capital deficit of \$30.9 million. This compares to a working capital deficit of approximately \$26.0 million at December 31, 2008. Current liabilities at June 30, 2009 primarily consisted of the current portion of long-term debt consisting of \$40.0 million outstanding under the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility and \$5.9 million outstanding under the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility, the current portion of derivative liabilities of \$2.7 million, trade payables of \$5.2 million, revenues due third parties of \$2.8 million, and other accrued liabilities of \$1.6 million. The Credit Facility which is due on September 30, 2010 is classified as current maturities at June 30, 2009 as a result of continued non-compliance with the current ratio covenant as defined in the Credit Facility.

Abraxas Energy's subordinated credit facility currently matures on the earlier of (a) October 9, 2009 and (b) three business days after the Special Meeting and currently requires that Abraxas Energy receive \$20.0 million of proceeds from an equity issuance on or before the earlier of (a) October 9, 2009 and (b) three business days after the Special Meeting. Abraxas Energy had intended to raise these proceeds in connection with its initial public offering and to repay the subordinated credit facility with proceeds from the initial public offering and a new second lien credit facility. Under the terms of the Voting, Registration Rights & Lock-Up Agreement, Abraxas Energy agreed not to file any further amendments to the registration statement for its initial public offering or to take any actions intended to consummate the initial public offering and, as a result of executing the Merger Agreement, Abraxas Energy and Abraxas Petroleum are no longer pursuing the refinancing of the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility other than in connection with the new credit facility which is subject to the completion of the Merger. If the Merger is not consummated, Abraxas Energy would likely be in default under its subordinated credit facility and under its credit facility. Upon an event of default, Abraxas Energy's lenders could foreclose on its assets and exercise other customary remedies which would have a material adverse effect on Abraxas Energy and Abraxas Petroleum.

Capital expenditures. Capital expenditures in 2006, 2007 and 2008 were \$26.3 million, \$26.9 million and \$183.6 million, respectively, and capital expenditures for the six months ended June 30, 2009, were \$7.5 million. The table below sets forth the components of these capital expenditures for the three years ended December 31, 2008 and the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Expenditure category:	Year Ended December 31,			Six Months Ended
	2006	2007	2008	June 30, 2009
	(In thousands)			
Exploration/Development	\$ 26,117	\$ 16,793	\$ 49,610	\$ 7,380
Acquisition		10,000	127,671	
Facilities and other	229	115	6,351	130
Total	\$ 26,346	\$ 26,908	\$ 183,632	\$ 7,510

During 2006 and 2007, capital expenditures were primarily for the development of our existing properties and a deposit for the acquisition of properties from St. Mary that closed in January 2008. During 2008, capital expenditures included \$127.7 million for the acquisition of properties from St. Mary and other smaller acquisitions, as well as the development of our existing properties. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, capital expenditures were primarily for development of our existing properties. We anticipate making capital

Table of Contents

expenditures of \$20 million in 2009. Abraxas Energy anticipates making capital expenditures for 2009 of \$12 million which will be used primarily for the development of its current properties. These anticipated expenditures are subject to adequate cash flow from operations, availability under the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility and the Abraxas Energy credit facility and, in Abraxas Petroleum's case, distributions of available cash from Abraxas Energy, which are currently prohibited by the Merger Agreement and the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility. If these sources of funding do not prove to be sufficient, we may also issue additional shares of equity securities although we may not be able to complete equity financings on terms acceptable to us, if at all. Our ability to make all of our budgeted capital expenditures will also be subject to availability of drilling rigs and other field equipment and services. Our capital expenditures could also include expenditures for the acquisition of producing properties if such opportunities arise. Additionally, the level of capital expenditures will vary during future periods depending on market conditions and other related economic factors. Should the prices of oil and gas decline and if our costs of operations increase or if our production volumes decrease, our cash flows will decrease which may result in a reduction of the capital expenditures budget. If we decrease our capital expenditures budget, we may not be able to offset oil and gas production decreases caused by natural field declines and sales of producing properties, if any.

Sources of Capital. The net funds provided by and/or used in each of operating, investing and financing activities are summarized in the following table and discussed in further detail below:

	Year Ended December 31,			Six Months Ended
	2006	2007	2008	June 30, 2009
	(In thousands)			
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 15,561	\$ 18,332	\$ 43,387	\$ 9,456
Net cash used in investing activities	(14,102)	(26,908)	(173,944)	(7,510)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(1,458)	27,469	113,545	(2,080)
Total	\$ 1	\$ 18,893	\$ (17,012)	\$ (134)

Operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2006 provided \$15.6 million of cash. Investing activities in 2006 of \$14.1 million consisted of \$26.3 million for the development of our oil and gas properties which was offset by proceeds from the sale of oil and gas properties of \$12.2 million. Financing activities in 2006 of \$1.5 million consisted of \$20.4 million from long-term borrowings which was offset by \$22.4 million of payments on long-term debt.

Operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2007 provided \$18.3 million of cash. Net income plus non-cash expense items and net changes in operating assets and liabilities accounted for the majority of these funds. Investing activities in 2007 of \$26.9 million consisted of \$16.9 million for the development of our oil and gas properties and \$10.0 million for the acquisition of properties from St. Mary. Financing activities in 2007 of \$27.5 million consisted of proceeds from the issuance of common stock, proceeds from the sale of common units of Abraxas Energy and borrowings from Abraxas Energy's and Abraxas Petroleum's credit facilities.

Operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2008 provided \$43.4 million of cash. Net income plus non-cash expense items and net changes in operating assets and liabilities accounted for the majority of these funds, including the non-cash proved property impairment of \$116.4 million. Investing activities in 2008 of \$173.9 million included \$127.7 million for the acquisition of properties from St. Mary. Financing activities in 2008 of \$113.5 million primarily consisted of borrowings from Abraxas Energy's credit facilities in connection with the acquisition of properties from St. Mary.

Operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2009 provided \$9.5 million of cash. Net income plus non-cash expense items and net changes in operating assets and liabilities accounted for the majority of these funds. Investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2009 of \$7.5 million was used for the development

Table of Contents

of our oil and gas properties. Financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2009 used \$2.1 million consisting of borrowings from Abraxas Petroleum's credit facility which were offset by distributions from Abraxas Energy to the non-controlling interest owners of Abraxas Energy and deferred financing fees.

Capital Resources. Since the formation of Abraxas Energy in May 2007, Abraxas Petroleum's sources of capital have primarily been cash from operating activities, borrowings under the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility and distributions from Abraxas Energy. As a result of the recent amendments to the Abraxas Energy credit facility and the terms of the Merger Agreement, Abraxas Petroleum will not be able to receive distributions from Abraxas Energy until such time as the indebtedness under Abraxas Energy's subordinated credit facility has been repaid and the Merger Agreement terminated. In addition, under the amended terms of the Abraxas Energy credit facility, Abraxas Petroleum was required to repay the distribution attributable to the fourth quarter of 2008 of approximately \$1.9 million to Abraxas Energy which, in turn, made a principal payment under the Abraxas Energy credit facility of approximately \$1.9 million. Abraxas Energy's principal sources of capital have been cash from operating activities (including realized gains and losses on its derivative contracts), borrowings under the Abraxas Energy credit facility, and sales of debt or equity securities.

The Abraxas Petroleum credit facility and the Abraxas Energy credit facility are each subject to a borrowing base. The Abraxas Petroleum credit facility matures on September 30, 2010 and the Abraxas Energy credit facility matures on January 31, 2012. Should current credit market volatility be prolonged for several years, future extensions of credit may contain terms that are less favorable than those currently in the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility and the Abraxas Energy credit facility and those expected in the new credit facility.

Abraxas Energy's subordinated credit facility currently matures on the earlier of (a) October 9, 2009 and (b) three business days after the Special Meeting and currently requires that Abraxas Energy receive \$20.0 million of proceeds from an equity issuance on or before the earlier of (a) October 9, 2009 and (b) three business days after the Special Meeting. Abraxas Energy had intended to raise these proceeds in connection with its initial public offering and to repay the subordinated credit facility with proceeds from the initial public offering and a new second lien credit facility. Under the terms of the Voting, Registration Rights & Lock-Up Agreement, Abraxas Energy agreed not to file any further amendments to the registration statement for its initial public offering or to take any actions intended to consummate the initial public offering and, as a result of executing the Merger Agreement, Abraxas Energy and Abraxas Petroleum are no longer pursuing the refinancing of the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility other than in connection with the new credit facility which is subject to the completion of the Merger. If the Merger is not consummated, Abraxas Energy would likely be in default under its subordinated credit facility and under its credit facility. Upon an event of default, Abraxas Energy's lenders could foreclose on its assets and exercise other customary remedies which would have a material adverse effect on Abraxas Energy and Abraxas Petroleum.

Since the formation of Abraxas Energy in May 2007, cash distributions from Abraxas Energy have been a significant source of liquidity for Abraxas Petroleum. During 2008, Abraxas Petroleum received \$8.9 million in distributions. Under the amended terms of the Abraxas Energy credit facility, Abraxas Petroleum was required to repay the distribution for the fourth quarter of 2008 of approximately \$1.9 million to Abraxas Energy which must, in turn, make a principal payment under the Abraxas Energy credit facility of approximately \$1.9 million and future distributions are prohibited. In consideration of making this payment, Abraxas Petroleum was issued a number of additional units of Abraxas Energy determined by dividing approximately \$1.9 million by 110% of the average trading yields of comparable E&P MLPs based on the closing market price on May 14, 2009 multiplied by the most recent quarterly distribution paid or declared by Abraxas Energy times four. Under the terms of a recent amendment to the Abraxas Energy credit facility and the terms of the Merger Agreement, distributions are prohibited. As a result of these agreements, Abraxas Petroleum will not be able to rely on distributions from Abraxas Energy as a source of liquidity until such time as the indebtedness under the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility has been repaid if the Merger is not completed.

Table of Contents

Capital Resources After Completion of the Merger. After the closing of the Merger, we expect that our principal sources of capital will be cash flow from operations, borrowings under our new credit facility and, if an opportunity presents itself, the sale of debt or equity securities. We may also sell assets in order to provide us with capital.

Cash from operating activities is dependent upon commodity prices and production volumes. Oil and gas prices are volatile and declined significantly during the second half of 2008. While oil prices have increased, gas prices have continued to decline since the end of the year. Further, the decline in commodity prices has only recently been accompanied by a relative decline in the prices of goods and services that we use to drill, complete and operate our wells. The decline in commodity prices has significantly reduced our cash flow from operations. As the result of the global recession, commodity prices may stay depressed which could further reduce our cash flows from operations. This could cause us to alter our business plans, including reducing our exploration and development plans.

Our cash flow from operations will also depend upon the volume of oil and gas that we produce. Unless we otherwise expand reserves, our production volumes may decline as reserves are produced. For example, in 2006, we replaced only 7% of the reserves we produced. In 2007, we replaced 219% of the reserves we produced and in 2008, we replaced 555% of the reserves we produced, primarily as the result of the St. Mary property acquisition in January 2008. In the future, if an appropriate opportunity presents itself, we may sell producing properties, which could further reduce our production volumes. To offset the loss in production volumes resulting from natural field declines and sales of producing properties, we must conduct successful exploration and development activities, acquire additional producing properties or identify additional behind-pipe zones or secondary recovery reserves. We believe our numerous drilling opportunities will allow us to increase our production volumes; however, our drilling activities are subject to numerous risks, including the risk that no commercially productive oil and gas reservoirs will be found. If our proved reserves decline in the future, our production will also decline and, consequently, our cash flow from operations and the amount that we are able to borrow under our new credit facility will also decline. The risk of not finding commercially productive reservoirs will be compounded by the fact that 46% of our total estimated proved reserves at December 31, 2008 were classified as undeveloped.

Based upon the non-binding term sheet, we expect that our new credit facility will have an initial availability of approximately \$155.0 million, of which \$145.0 million will be available under the revolving portion of the new credit facility and \$10.0 million will be available under the term loan portion of the new credit facility. For more information, see Proposal 1 Approval of Stock Issuance New Credit Facility.

Our new credit facility will require us to enter into hedging arrangements for specified volumes, which are expected to equate to approximately 85% of the estimated oil and gas production from our net proved developed producing reserves through December 31, 2012 and 70% for 2013. We expect that our derivative contracts in the following table will satisfy this requirement.

The following table sets forth our consolidated derivative contract position as of July 31, 2009:

Contract	Fixed-Price Swaps			
	OIL		GAS	
	Daily Volume (Bbl)	Swap Price	Daily Volume (Mmbtu)	Swap Price
Q4 2009	1,355	\$ 68.90	13,981	\$ 4.50
2010	1,158	73.28	11,258	5.73
2011	1,035	76.61	9,580	6.52
2012	946	70.89	8,303	6.77
2013	705	80.79	5,962	6.84

By removing a significant portion of price volatility on our future oil and gas production, we believe that we will mitigate, but not eliminate, the potential effects of changing commodity prices on our cash flow from

Table of Contents

operations. However, when prevailing market prices are higher than our contract prices, we will not realize increased cash flow on the portion of the production that has been hedged. We have sustained, and in the future will sustain, realized and unrealized losses on our derivative contracts if market prices are higher than our contract prices. Conversely, when prevailing market prices are lower than our contract prices, we will sustain realized and unrealized gains on our derivative contracts. For example, in 2007, Abraxas Energy sustained an unrealized loss of \$6.3 million and a realized gain of \$1.9 million and in 2008, Abraxas Energy incurred a realized loss of \$9.3 million and an unrealized gain of \$40.5 million. During the first six months of 2009, Abraxas Energy incurred a realized gain of \$12.8 million as a result of an increase in oil prices and an unrealized loss of \$14.5 million. If a disparity between our contract prices and market prices continues, we will sustain realized and unrealized gains or losses on our derivative contracts. While unrealized gains and losses do not impact our cash flow from operations, realized gains and losses do impact our cash flow from operations. In addition as our derivative contracts expire over time, we expect to enter into new derivative contracts at then-current market prices. If the prices at which we hedge future production are significantly lower than the derivative contracts we enter into at the closing of the new credit facility, our future cash flow from operations would likely be materially lower. In addition, the borrowings under our new credit facility will bear interest at floating rates. If interest expense increases as a result of higher interest rates or increased borrowings, more cash flow from operations would be used to meet debt service requirements. As a result, we would need to increase our cash flow from operations in order to fund the development of our numerous drilling opportunities which, in turn, will be dependent upon the level of our production volumes and commodity prices.

The credit markets are undergoing significant volatility and capacity constraints. Many financial institutions have liquidity concerns, prompting government intervention to mitigate pressure on the credit market. Our exposure to the current credit market crisis currently includes the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility, the Abraxas Energy credit facility and the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility and counterparty performance risk and will include the new credit facility and counterparty performance risk if we consummate the Merger.

Current market conditions also elevate concern over counterparty risks related to our commodity derivative instruments. Abraxas Energy currently has all of our commodity derivative instruments with two major financial institutions. Should these financial counterparties not perform, we may not realize the benefit of some of our derivative contracts under lower commodity prices. Although these derivative instruments as well as our new credit facility will expose us to credit risk, we monitor the creditworthiness of our counterparties, and we are not currently aware of any inability on the part of our counterparties to perform under our contracts. However, we are not able to predict sudden changes in the credit worthiness of our counterparties.

Contractual Obligations

We are committed to making cash payments in the future on the following types of agreements:

Long-term debt

Interest on long-term debt

We have no off-balance sheet debt or unrecorded obligations and we have not guaranteed the debt of any other party. Below is a schedule of the future payments that we are obligated to make based on agreements in place as of June 30, 2009:

Contractual Obligations	Payments due in twelve month periods ending:				
	Total	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2011-2012	June 30, 2013-2014	Thereafter
(dollars in thousands)					
Long-Term Debt (1)	\$ 174,905	\$ 40,138	\$ 129,903	\$ 347	\$ 4,517
Interest on long-term debt (2)	20,362	7,935	11,557	607	263
Total	\$ 195,267	\$ 48,073	\$ 141,460	\$ 954	\$ 4,780

Table of Contents

- (1) These amounts represent the balances outstanding under the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility, the Abraxas Energy credit facility, the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility and the real estate term loan. These repayments assume that we will not draw down additional funds.
- (2) Interest expense assumes the balances of long-term debt at the end of the period and current effective interest rates.
- We maintain a reserve for cost associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets. At June 30, 2009, our reserve for these obligations totaled \$10.2 million for which no contractual commitment exists.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements. At June 30, 2009, we had no existing off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined under SEC regulations that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material to investors.

Contingencies. From time to time, we are involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of our operations in the normal course of business. At June 30, 2009, we were not engaged in any legal proceedings that were expected, individually or in the aggregate, to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Other obligations. We make and will continue to make substantial capital expenditures for the acquisition, development, exploration and production of oil and gas. In the past, we have funded our operations and capital expenditures primarily through cash flow from operations, sales of properties, sales of production payments and borrowings under our bank credit facilities and other sources. Given our high degree of operating control, the timing and incurrence of operating and capital expenditures is largely within our discretion.

Long-Term Indebtedness

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Abraxas Energy credit facility	\$ 123,675	\$ 125,600
Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility	40,000	40,000
Abraxas Petroleum credit facility	5,924	
Real estate lien note	5,306	5,369
	174,905	170,969
Less current maturities	(46,062)	(40,134)
	\$ 128,843	\$ 130,835

The following discussion of the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility refers to the existing Abraxas Petroleum credit facility. It is contemplated that a new credit facility, which will be an amendment and restatement of the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility, will be entered into in connection with the consummation of the Merger and the transactions contemplated thereby. Please read [Proposal 1 Approval of Stock Issuance New Credit Facility](#).

Abraxas Petroleum Senior Secured Credit Facility. On June 27, 2007, Abraxas Petroleum entered into a new senior secured revolving credit facility which was amended on February 4, 2009, May 13, 2009 and August 7, 2009, which we refer to as the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility. The Abraxas Petroleum credit facility has a maximum commitment of \$50.0 million. Availability under the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility is subject to a borrowing base. The borrowing base under the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility is determined semi-annually by the lenders based upon our reserve reports, one of which must be prepared by our independent petroleum engineers and one of which may be prepared internally. The amount of the borrowing base is calculated by the

Table of Contents

lenders based upon their valuation of our proved reserves utilizing these reserve reports and their own internal decisions. In addition, the lenders, in their sole discretion, may make one additional borrowing base redetermination during any six-month period between scheduled redeterminations and we may also request one redetermination during any six-month period between scheduled redeterminations. The lenders may also make a redetermination in connection with any sales of producing properties with a market value of 5% or more of our current borrowing base.

Our borrowing base at June 30, 2009 of \$6.5 million was determined based upon our reserves at December 31, 2008. Our borrowing base can never exceed the \$50.0 million maximum commitment amount. Outstanding amounts under the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility bear interest at (a) the greater of the reference rate announced from time to time by Société Générale, and (b) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.5% of 1%, plus in each case, (c) 0.5%-1.5% depending on utilization of the borrowing base, or, if Abraxas Petroleum elects, at the London Interbank Offered Rate plus 1.5%- 2.5%, depending on the utilization of the borrowing base. At June 30, 2009, the interest rate on the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility was 2.8%. Subject to earlier termination rights and events of default, the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility's stated maturity date is September 30, 2010. Interest is payable quarterly on reference rate advances and not less than quarterly on Eurodollar advances.

Abraxas Petroleum is permitted to terminate its credit facility, and may, from time to time, permanently reduce the lenders' aggregate commitment under the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility in compliance with certain notice and dollar increment requirements.

Each of Abraxas Petroleum's subsidiaries other than Abraxas Energy, Abraxas General Partner, LLC, which we refer to as the GP, and Abraxas Energy Investments, LLC has guaranteed Abraxas Petroleum's obligations under the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility on a senior secured basis. Obligations under the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility are secured by a first priority perfected security interest, subject to certain permitted encumbrances, in all of Abraxas Petroleum's and the subsidiary guarantors' material property and assets.

Under the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility, Abraxas Petroleum is subject to customary covenants, including certain financial covenants and reporting requirements. The Abraxas Petroleum credit facility requires Abraxas Petroleum to maintain a minimum current ratio as of the last day of each quarter of not less than 1.00 to 1.00 and an interest coverage ratio of not less than 2.50 to 1.00. Current ratio is the ratio of consolidated current assets to consolidated current liabilities. For purposes of this calculation, current assets include, as of the date of the calculation, the portion of the borrowing base which is undrawn but exclude, as of the date of calculation, any cash deposited with or at the request of a counterparty to any derivative contract, any assets representing a valuation account arising from the application of SFAS 133 (which relates to derivative instruments and hedging activities) and SFAS 143 (which relates to asset retirement obligations) and any distributions payable by Abraxas Energy to the GP unless such distributions have been received by the GP in cash, and current liabilities exclude, as of the date of calculation, the current portion of long-term debt, any liabilities representing a valuation account arising from the application of SFAS 133 and SFAS 143 and any liabilities of the GP arising solely in its capacity as a general partner of Abraxas Energy. The interest coverage ratio is the ratio of consolidated EBITDA for the four quarters then ended to consolidated interest for the four quarters then ended. For the purpose of this calculation, EBITDA is consolidated net income plus interest expense, taxes, depreciation, amortization, depletion and other non-cash charges including non-cash charges resulting from the application of SFAS 123R (which relates to stock-based compensation), SFAS 133 and SFAS 143 less all non-cash items of income which were included in determining consolidated net income, including non-cash items resulting from the application of SFAS 133 and SFAS 143. Interest expense includes total interest, letters of credit fees and other fees and expenses incurred in connection with any debt. For purposes of calculating both ratios, any amounts attributable to Abraxas Energy are not included. At June 30, 2009, our current ratio was 0.85 to 1.00 and our interest coverage ratio was 9.64 to 1.00.

In addition to the foregoing and other customary covenants, the Abraxas Petroleum credit facility contains a number of covenants that, among other things, will restrict Abraxas Petroleum's ability to:

incur or guarantee additional indebtedness;

Table of Contents

transfer or sell assets;

create liens on assets;

engage in transactions with affiliates other than on an arms-length basis;

make any change in the principal nature of its business; and

permit a change of control.

The Abraxas Petroleum credit facility also contains customary events of default, including nonpayment of principal or interest, violations of covenants, cross default and cross acceleration to certain other indebtedness, bankruptcy and material judgments and liabilities.

Abraxas Petroleum was in compliance with all covenants as of June 30, 2009 or had obtained a waiver for noncompliance. As a result of continued non-compliance with the current ratio covenant, the outstanding amount of this facility has been classified as a current liability.

Net Operating Loss Carryforwards

At December 31, 2008, we had, subject to the limitation discussed below, \$194.4 million of net operating loss carryforwards for U.S. tax purposes. These loss carryforwards will expire through 2028 if not utilized.

Uncertainties exist as to the future utilization of the operating loss carryforwards under the criteria set forth under FASB Statement No. 109. Therefore, we have established a valuation allowance of \$47.2 million and \$60.8 million for deferred tax assets at December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively.

Related Party Transactions

Abraxas Petroleum has adopted a policy that transactions between Abraxas Petroleum and its officers, directors, principal stockholders, or affiliates of any of them, will be on terms no less favorable to Abraxas Petroleum than can be obtained on an arm's length basis in transactions with third parties and must be approved by the vote of at least a majority of the disinterested directors.

Abraxas Petroleum performs general and administrative services for Abraxas Energy, such as accounting, finance, land and engineering. Abraxas Energy currently pays us \$2.6 million per year, which included an adjustment of \$1.1 million annually as a result of the St. Mary Acquisition, for performing these general and administrative services. The amount of reimbursement is subject to annual adjustments for inflation and acquisition or other expansion adjustments.

Pursuant to our operating agreements, Abraxas Energy is required to reimburse us for all direct and indirect expenses associated with operating our wells. Operating expenses are the costs incurred in the operation of producing properties. Expenses for utilities, direct labor, water injection and disposal, production taxes and materials and supplies comprise the most significant portion of our operating expenses. Operating expenses do not include general and administrative expenses.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires that management apply accounting policies and make estimates and assumptions that affect results of operations and the reported amounts of assets and liabilities in the financial statements. The following represents those policies that management believes are particularly important to the financial statements and that require the use of estimates and assumptions to describe matters that are inherently uncertain.

Table of Contents

Full Cost Method of Accounting for Oil and Gas Activities. SEC Regulation S-X defines the financial accounting and reporting standards for companies engaged in oil and gas activities. Two methods are prescribed: the successful efforts method and the full cost method. We have chosen to follow the full cost method under which all costs associated with property acquisition, exploration and development are capitalized. We also capitalize internal costs that can be directly identified with our acquisition, exploration and development activities and do not include any costs related to production, general corporate overhead or similar activities. Under the successful efforts method, geological and geophysical costs and costs of carrying and retaining undeveloped properties are charged to expense as incurred. Costs of drilling exploratory wells that do not result in proved reserves are charged to expense. Depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment of oil and gas properties are generally calculated on a well by well or lease or field basis versus the full cost pool basis. Additionally, gain or loss is generally recognized on all sales of oil and gas properties under the successful efforts method. As a result our financial statements will differ from companies that apply the successful efforts method since we will generally reflect a higher level of capitalized costs as well as a higher depreciation, depletion and amortization rate on our oil and gas properties.

At the time it was adopted, management believed that the full cost method would be preferable, as earnings tend to be less volatile than under the successful efforts method. However, the full cost method makes us susceptible to significant non-cash charges during times of volatile commodity prices because the full cost pool may be impaired when prices are low. These charges are not recoverable when prices return to higher levels. We have experienced this situation several times over the years, most recently in 2008. Our oil and gas reserves have a relatively long life. However, temporary drops in commodity prices can have a material impact on our business including impact from impairment testing procedures associated with the full cost method of accounting as discussed below.

Under full cost accounting rules, the net capitalized cost of oil and gas properties may not exceed a ceiling limit which is based upon the present value of estimated future net cash flows from proved reserves on a pool by pool basis, discounted at 10%, plus the lower of cost or fair market value of unproved properties and the cost of properties not being amortized, less income taxes. If net capitalized costs of oil and gas properties exceed the ceiling limit, we must charge the amount of the excess to earnings. This is called a ceiling limitation write-down. This charge does not impact cash flow from operating activities, but does reduce our stockholders' equity and reported earnings. The risk that we will be required to write down the carrying value of oil and gas properties increases when oil and gas prices are depressed. In addition, write-downs may occur if we experience substantial downward adjustments to our estimated proved reserves or if purchasers cancel long-term contracts for our gas production. An expense recorded in one period may not be reversed in a subsequent period even though higher oil and gas prices may have increased the ceiling applicable to the subsequent period. We apply the full cost ceiling test on a quarterly basis on the date of the latest balance sheet presented.

Estimates of Proved Oil and Gas Reserves. Estimates of our proved reserves included in this report are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and SEC guidelines. The accuracy of a reserve estimate is a function of:

the quality and quantity of available data;

the interpretation of that data;

the accuracy of various mandated economic assumptions; and

the judgment of the persons preparing the estimate.

Our proved reserve information included in this proxy statement was predominately based on evaluations prepared by independent petroleum engineers. Estimates prepared by other third parties may be higher or lower than those included herein. Because these estimates depend on many assumptions, all of which may substantially differ from future actual results, reserve estimates will be different from the quantities of oil and gas that are ultimately recovered. In addition, results of drilling, testing and production after the date of an estimate may justify material revisions to the estimate.

Table of Contents

You should not assume that the present value of future net cash flows is the current market value of our estimated proved reserves. In accordance with SEC requirements, we based the estimated discounted future net cash flows from proved reserves on prices and costs on the date of the estimate. Actual future prices and costs may be materially higher or lower than the prices and costs as of the date of the estimate.

The estimates of proved reserves materially impact DD&A expense. If the estimates of proved reserves decline, the rate at which we record DD&A expense will increase, reducing future net income. Such a decline may result from lower market prices, which may make it uneconomic to drill for and produce higher cost fields.

Asset Retirement Obligations. The estimated costs of restoration and removal of facilities are accrued. The fair value of a liability for an asset's retirement obligation is recorded in the period in which it is incurred and the corresponding cost capitalized by increasing the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. The liability is accreted to its then present value each period, and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the useful life of the related asset. For all periods presented, we have included estimated future costs of abandonment and dismantlement in our full cost amortization base and amortize these costs as a component of our depletion expense.

Accounting for Derivatives. We use commodity price derivative contracts to limit our exposure to fluctuations in oil and gas prices and interest rate swaps to hedge our interest rate risk. Fluctuations in the market value are recognized in earnings in the current period.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, (SFAS) No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities , was effective for us on January 1, 2001. SFAS 133, as amended and interpreted, establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities. We have elected out of hedge accounting as prescribed by SFAS 133 accordingly all of our derivative contracts are required to be recorded at fair value on our balance sheet, while changes in the fair value of our derivative contracts are recognized in earnings in the current period. Due to the volatility of oil and gas prices and, to a lesser extent, interest rates, our financial condition and results of operations can be significantly impacted by changes in the market value of our derivative instruments. As of December 31, 2007 and 2008, the market value of our oil and gas derivatives was a liability of \$9.1 million and a net asset of \$39.2 million, respectively. The market value of our interest rate derivative was a liability of \$3.0 million at December 31, 2008. As of June 30, 2009, the market value of our oil and gas derivatives was a net asset of \$24.3 million and the market value of our interest rate derivative was a liability of \$2.7 million.

Share-Based Payments. We currently utilize a standard option pricing model (i.e., Black-Scholes) to measure the fair value of stock options granted to employees and directors. Additional information about management's assumptions can be found in footnote 6 to the consolidated financial statements. Options granted to employees and directors are valued at the date of grant and expense is recognized over the options vesting period. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, equity-based compensation was approximately \$998,000, \$996,000 and \$1.4 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, equity-based compensation was approximately \$596,000.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) No. 51. SFAS No. 160 clarifies that a noncontrolling interest (previously commonly referred to as a minority interest) in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity and should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements. The presentation of the consolidated income statement has been changed by SFAS No. 160, and consolidated net income attributable to both the parent and the noncontrolling interest is now required to be reported separately.

Table of Contents

Previously, net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest was typically reported as an expense or other deduction in arriving at consolidated net income and was often combined with other financial statement amounts. In addition, the ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent must be clearly identified, labeled, and presented in the equity in the consolidated financial statements separately from the parent's equity. Subsequent changes in a parent's ownership interest while the parent retains its controlling financial interest in its subsidiary should be accounted for consistently, and when a subsidiary is deconsolidated, any retained noncontrolling equity interest in the former subsidiary must be initially measured at fair value. Expanded disclosures, including a reconciliation of equity balances of the parent and noncontrolling interest, are also required. Abraxas Petroleum adopted SFAS No. 160 on January 1, 2009 and the adoption resulted in changes to our presentation for noncontrolling interests and did not have a material impact on Abraxas Petroleum's results of operations and financial condition. Certain prior period balances have been restated to reflect the changes required by SFAS No. 160.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, which amends SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. Enhanced disclosures to improve financial reporting transparency are required and include disclosure about the location and amounts of derivative instruments in the financial statements, how derivative instruments are accounted for and how derivatives affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. A tabular format including the fair value of derivative instruments and their gains and losses, disclosure about credit risk-related derivative features and cross-referencing within the footnotes are also new requirements. SFAS No. 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with early application and comparative disclosures encouraged, but not required. We have adopted SFAS No. 161 and it did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 162

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. The statement is intended to improve financial reporting by identifying a consistent hierarchy for selecting accounting principles to be used in preparing financial statements that are prepared in conformance with generally accepted accounting principles. Unlike *Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 69, The Meaning of Present in Conformity With GAAP*, SFAS No. 162 is directed to the entity rather than the auditor. The statement is effective 60 days following the SEC's approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) amendments to AU Section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity with GAAP*, and is not expected to have any impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Financial Accounting Standards Board EITF 07-5

In June 2008, the FASB ratified EITF Issue No. 07-5, *Determining whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) is indexed to an Entity's Own Stock (EITF 07-5)*. EITF 07-5 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application is not permitted. EITF 07-5 provides a new two-step model to be applied in determining whether a financial instrument or an embedded feature is indexed to an issuer's own stock and thus able to qualify for the SFAS No. 133 paragraph 11(a) scope exception. The adoption of this standard has not had a significant impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Financial Accounting Standards Board FSP FAS No. 115-2

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS No. 115-2 and No. 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*. FSP SFAS No. 115-2 and SFAS No. 124-2 provides additional guidance designed to create greater clarity and consistency in accounting for and presenting impairment losses on

Table of Contents

securities. FSP SFAS No. 115-2 and SFAS No. 124-2 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2009 and is effective for us at June 30, 2009. We have not yet determined the impact, if any, that the FSP will have on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Financial Accounting Standards Board FSP FAS No. 157-4

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS No. 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*. FSP No. 157-4 provides additional authoritative guidance to assist in determining whether a market is active or inactive, and whether a transaction is distressed. FSP No. 157-4 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2009 and is effective for us at June 30, 2009. We have not yet determined the impact, if any, that the FSP will have on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 168

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162 (SFAS 168), which establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification as the source of GAAP to be applied to nongovernmental agencies. SFAS 168 explicitly recognizes rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws as authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. SFAS 168 will become effective for interim or annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. SFAS 168 will not have a material impact on our financial statements.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 165

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* (SFAS 165), which sets forth general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. SFAS 165 was adopted effective for the second quarter of 2009 and did not have a material impact on our financial statements. We have evaluated subsequent events through the date on which we filed our financial statements for the period ended June 30, 2009 on Form 10-Q with the SEC.

Financial Accounting Standards Board Staff Position No. SFAS 107-1 and APB No. 28-1

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. SFAS 107-1 and APB No. 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* (FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1), which requires quarterly disclosure of information about the fair value of financial instruments within the scope of SFAS No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 was adopted effective for the second quarter of 2009 and did not have a significant impact on our financial statements.

Financial Accounting Standards Board Staff Position No. FAS 115-2 and 124-2

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 115-2 and 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments* (FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2). FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 amends the other-than-temporary impairment guidance for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 does not amend existing recognition and measurement guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities. FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 does not require disclosures for earlier periods presented for comparative purposes at initial adoption. In periods after initial adoption, FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 requires comparative disclosures only for periods ending after initial adoption. FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 was adopted effective for the second quarter of 2009 and did not have an impact on our financial statements.

Table of Contents

Securities and Exchange Commission Release No. 33-8995

In December 2008, the SEC issued Release No. 33-8995, *Modernization of Oil and Gas Reporting*, amending oil and gas reporting requirements under Rule 4-10 of Regulation S-X and Industry Guide 2 in Regulation S-K. The new requirements provide for consideration of new technologies in evaluating reserves, allow companies to disclose their probable and possible reserves to investors, report oil and gas reserves using an average price based on the prior 12-month period rather than year-end prices, and revise the disclosure requirements for oil and gas operations. The final rules are effective for fiscal years ending on or after December 31, 2009.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Forward-looking Information

The primary objective of the following information is to provide forward-looking quantitative and qualitative information about our potential exposure to market risks. The term *market risk* refers to the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in oil and gas prices and interest rates. The disclosures are not meant to be precise indicators of expected future losses, but rather indicators of reasonably possible losses. This forward-looking information provides indicators of how we view and manage our ongoing market risk exposures. All of our market risk sensitive instruments were entered into for purposes other than speculative trading.

Commodity Price Risk

As an independent oil and gas producer, our revenue, cash flow from operations, other income and equity earnings and profitability, reserve values, access to capital and future rate of growth are substantially dependent upon the prevailing prices of oil and gas. Declines in commodity prices will adversely affect our financial condition, liquidity, ability to obtain financing and operating results. Lower commodity prices may reduce the amount of oil and gas that we can produce economically. Prevailing prices for such commodities are subject to wide fluctuation in response to relatively minor changes in supply and demand and a variety of additional factors beyond our control, such as global political and economic conditions. Historically, prices received for oil and gas production have been volatile and unpredictable, and such volatility is expected to continue. Most of our production is sold at market prices. Therefore, the amount of revenue that we realize is partially determined by factors beyond our control. Assuming the production levels we attained during the year ended December 31, 2008, a 10% decline in oil and gas prices would have reduced our operating revenue and cash flow by approximately \$10.0 million for the year.

Hedging Activity and Sensitivity

To achieve more predictable cash flow, we reduce our exposure to fluctuations in the prices of oil and gas. We have and may continue to enter into derivative contracts, which we sometimes refer to as hedging arrangements, for a significant portion of our oil and gas production. Abraxas Energy's credit facility required Abraxas Energy to enter into hedging arrangements on specified volumes, which equated to approximately 80% of the projected oil and gas production from its net proved developed producing reserves through December 31, 2012. As of June 30, 2009, we had NYMEX-based fixed price commodity swaps on approximately 80% (85% for 2009-2011 and 60% for 2012) of our estimated oil and gas production from our estimated net proved developed producing reserves through December 31, 2012 at volume weighted average prices of \$81.08 per barrel of oil and \$8.14 per MMBtu of gas.

We adopted SFAS 133 as amended by SFAS 137 and SFAS 138. Under SFAS 133, all derivative instruments are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. We record our derivative instruments using the same method, accordingly the instruments are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value with changes in the market value of the derivatives being recorded in other (income) expense in the current period.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2009, we had the following derivative contracts in place:

Period Covered	Product	Volume (Production per day)	Fixed Price
Year 2009	Gas	10,595 Mmbtu	\$ 8.45
Year 2009	Oil	1,000 Bbl	\$ 83.80
Year 2010	Gas	9,130 Mmbtu	\$ 8.22
Year 2010	Oil	895 Bbl	\$ 83.26
Year 2011	Gas	8,010 Mmbtu	\$ 8.10
Year 2011	Oil	810 Bbl	\$ 86.45
Year 2012	Gas	3,000 MMBtu	\$ 6.88
Year 2012	Oil	670 Bbl	\$ 67.60

We expect to sustain realized and unrealized gains and losses as a result of our commodity hedging arrangements. For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recognized a realized gain of \$1.9 million and an unrealized loss of \$6.3 million, and for the year ended December 31, 2008, we recognized a realized loss of \$9.3 million and an unrealized gain of \$40.5 million on our commodity derivative contracts. The realized losses for the year ended December 31, 2008 were the result of the contract prices being significantly less than prevailing market prices during the first nine months of 2008. The unrealized gains were the result of the dramatic decline in commodity prices during the last three months of 2008 resulting in the contract prices being significantly greater than prevailing market prices. On December 31, 2008, NYMEX futures prices were \$44.60 per barrel of oil and \$5.62 per MMBtu of gas. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, we recognized a realized gain of \$12.8 million and an unrealized loss of \$14.5 million on our commodity derivative contracts as a result of the increase in commodity prices during the first six months of 2009 compared to commodity prices at December 31, 2008. Since the end of the second quarter of 2009, oil prices have increased modestly while gas prices have continued to weaken. As of August 28, 2009, NYMEX futures prices were \$72.74 per barrel of oil and \$3.03 per MMBtu of gas.

On July 29, 2009, Abraxas Energy monetized all of its in-the-money commodity swaps for \$26.7 million and together with the July 2009 commodity swap settlement of \$2.0 million, Abraxas Energy repaid \$28.7 million of indebtedness under its credit facility on July 31, 2009. In connection with the monetization and repayment, Abraxas Energy was required to enter into new commodity swaps at prevailing market prices.

The following table sets forth our consolidated derivative contract position as of July 31, 2009:

Contract Periods	Fixed-Price Swaps			
	OIL		GAS	
	Daily Volume (Bbl)	Swap Price	Daily Volume (Mmbtu)	Swap Price
Q4 2009	1,355	\$ 68.90	13,981	\$ 4.50
2010	1,158	73.28	11,258	5.73
2011	1,035	76.61	9,580	6.52
2012	946	70.89	8,303	6.77
2013	705	80.79	5,962	6.84

We expect to sustain realized and unrealized gains on our derivative contracts if prevailing market prices are lower than our contract prices and conversely, we expect to sustain realized and unrealized losses on our derivative contracts if prevailing market prices are higher than our contract prices.

Interest Rate Risk. We are subject to interest rate risk associated with borrowings under our credit facility that bear interest based on floating rates. In order to mitigate our interest rate exposure, we entered into an interest rate swap, effective August 12, 2008, as amended in February 2009, to fix our floating LIBOR based debt. Our 2-year interest rate swap arrangement for \$100.0 million is at a fixed rate of 2.95%. The arrangement expires on August 12, 2010.

Table of Contents**INFORMATION ABOUT ABRAXAS ENERGY****Business of Abraxas Energy**

Abraxas Energy is a Delaware limited partnership formed by Abraxas Petroleum in May 2007 to exploit, develop, produce and acquire oil and gas properties. Abraxas Energy's assets consist primarily of producing and non-producing properties located in the Rocky Mountain, Mid-Continent, Permian Basin and Gulf Coast regions of the United States.

At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy had 110.3 Bcfe of estimated net proved reserves, of which 76% were gas, with a standardized measure of \$118.6 million. Abraxas Energy's net proved reserves as of December 31, 2008 were 61% proved developed and 39% proved undeveloped. At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy owned an average working interest of 18% in 1,639 gross (293 net) producing wells that produced 8.2 net Bcfe during 2008. Abraxas Energy has identified 226 drilling locations, of which 129 were classified as proved undeveloped as of December 31, 2008, which Abraxas Energy believes provides it with a multi-year inventory of drilling opportunities.

Abraxas Energy believes that its quality asset base, high degree of operational control and large inventory of drilling projects positions it for future growth. Abraxas Energy's properties are concentrated in locations that facilitate economies of scale in drilling and production operations and efficient reservoir management practices. Abraxas Energy had \$131.9 million of cash capital expenditures on its properties during 2008 (of which \$118.5 million was attributable to the St. Mary Acquisition), and has approved a capital budget of \$12.0 million for 2009 for the development of its properties. The final amount of Abraxas Energy's capital expenditures in 2009 will depend upon its cash flow from operations which, in turn, is dependent upon its production volumes and the prices received for its oil and gas.

Properties

The following table sets forth certain historical information relating to Abraxas Energy's properties as of December 31, 2008 and for the year ended December 31, 2008.

	Producing Wells	Average Working Interest	Estimated Net Proved Reserves (Bcfe)	Net Production (MMcfe)
Rocky Mountain	861	11%	24.3	2,203
Mid-Continent	600	17%	18.3	1,331
Permian Basin	114	45%	33.8	2,528
Gulf Coast	64	74%	33.9	2,156
Total	1,639	18%	110.3	8,218

Rocky Mountain

Abraxas Energy's Rocky Mountain properties are predominately located in the Williston, Powder River and Green River Basins. At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy owned interests in 861 gross (91 net) producing wells in the Rocky Mountain region. These wells produce oil and gas from Paleozoic-aged carbonate reservoirs and Cretaceous-aged fractured shales and sandstones at depths ranging from 7,000 feet to 12,000 feet. Abraxas Energy's estimated net proved reserves as of December 31, 2008 in the Rocky Mountain region were 5.4 Bcf of gas and 3.2 MBbl of oil, or 24.3 Bcfe. During the year ended December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy's net production from the Rocky Mountain region was 2,203 MMcfe, or 6.0 MMcfe per day and during the six months ended June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy's net production from this region was 6.3 MMcfe per day. Abraxas Energy believes that development drilling on its Rocky Mountain properties is relatively low to moderate risk.

Table of Contents

Abraxas Energy's Rocky Mountain areas of operations are as follows:

Northern Rockies

Abraxas Energy's Northern Rockies properties consist of wells located in the Williston Basin of Montana, North Dakota and South Dakota that produce oil from Paleozoic-aged carbonate reservoirs. Producing intervals range from the Madison formation at 8,000 feet down to the deeper Red River formation at 12,000 feet, including the Bakken at approximately 9,000 feet.

At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy had identified five drilling locations in the Northern Rockies, including four proved undeveloped locations in the Medicine Pole Hills field located in Bowman County, North Dakota, which will target the Red River B formation at an approximate depth of 9,350 feet. The Medicine Pole Hills field wells are operated by Continental Resources and are being drilled on 320-acre spacing with enhanced recovery from air injection. One drilling location is located in the Hay Creek field in Richland County, Montana.

Southern Rockies

Abraxas Energy's Southern Rockies properties consist of wells located in the Powder River, Green River and Uinta Basins of Wyoming, Colorado and Utah that produce oil from Cretaceous-aged fractured shale in the Niobrara formation and oil and gas from Cretaceous-aged sandstones in the Muddy and Frontier formations. Well depths range from 7,000 feet down to 10,000 feet.

At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy had identified 71 drilling locations in the Southern Rockies, including 52 proved undeveloped locations. In the Natural Buttes field in Uintah County, Utah, 20 drilling locations will target the Wasatch formation at depths ranging from 6,500 feet to 7,800 feet. This 40-acre infill drilling program is operated by EOG Resources. In the Cow Hollow field in Lincoln County, Wyoming, 48 drilling locations will target the Frontier formation at a depth of 11,000 feet. This 40-acre downspacing program is operated by Kerr-McGee. Three drilling locations in the Buck Peak field in Moffatt County, Colorado will target the Niobrara formation in a separate fault block from the current producers. Abraxas Petroleum operates the Buck Peak field.

Mid-Continent

Abraxas Energy's Mid-Continent properties are predominately located in the Arkoma and Anadarko Basins and the ARK-LA-TEX region. At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy owned interests in 600 gross (103 net) producing wells in the Mid-Continent region. These wells produce gas from Pennsylvanian-aged sandstones and coals at depths ranging from 3,000 feet to 18,000 feet. Abraxas Energy's estimated net proved reserves as of December 31, 2008 in the Mid-Continent region were 15.8 Bcf of gas and 0.4 MBbl of oil, or 18.3 Bcfe. During the year ended December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy's net production from the Mid-Continent region was 1,331 MMcfe, or 3.6 MMcfe per day and during the six months ended June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy's net production from this region was 4.1 MMcfe per day. Abraxas Energy believes that development drilling on its Mid-Continent properties is relatively low to moderate risk.

Abraxas Energy's Mid-Continent areas of operations are as follows:

Arkoma Basin

Abraxas Energy's Arkoma Basin properties consist of wells located in eastern Oklahoma and western Arkansas that predominately produce coal bed methane from Hartshorne coals at 3,000 feet.

At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy had identified 68 drilling locations in the Arkoma Basin, including 53 proved undeveloped locations in the Pittsburg County CBM field in Pittsburg County, Oklahoma, which will target the Hartshorne coals at a depth of 3,000 feet. These infill locations are located in the middle of the field near older vertical wells that were drilled to the Hartshorne sand beneath the coal. All of these vertical wells logged the overlying Hartshorne coals, which are currently being developed with horizontal wells. One drilling location in the Wilburton field in Pittsburg County, Oklahoma will target the Spiro formation.

Table of Contents

Anadarko Basin

Abraxas Energy's Anadarko Basin properties consist of wells located in Oklahoma, the Texas Panhandle and southwestern Kansas that predominately produce gas from Pennsylvania-aged sandstones. Abraxas Energy's interests encompass the Woodford Shale play in the central Anadarko Basin.

At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy had identified two drilling locations in the Anadarko Basin. One drilling location in the Tonkawa Creek field in Caddo County, Oklahoma will target the Wade formation and one drilling location is located in the Cherokee field in Custer County, Oklahoma.

ARK-LA-TEX

Abraxas Energy's ARK-LA-TEX properties consist of wells that produce from various formations, including the Cotton Valley and Travis Peak, and encompass the emerging Haynesville Shale play in northern Louisiana.

At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy had one proved undeveloped location in the ARK-LA-TEX area that will target the Hartford formation at a depth of approximately 7,000 feet in the Booneville South field, which is operated by XTO Energy.

Permian Basin

Abraxas Energy's Permian Basin operations are concentrated in the deep gas producing formations (Devonian, Montoya and Ellenburger) and the shallower oil producing sandstones (Bell and Cherry Canyon) in Ward, Pecos, Reeves and Sutton Counties of West Texas and in Eddy County, New Mexico. At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy owned an interest in 114 gross (52 net) producing wells in the Permian Basin. These wells produce oil and gas from multiple stacked formations at depths ranging from 5,000 to 16,000 feet.

Abraxas Energy's net proved reserves as of December 31, 2008 in the Permian Basin region were 31.0 Bcf of gas and 0.5 MBbl of oil, or 33.8 Bcfe. During the year ended December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy's net production from the Permian Basin region was 2,528 MMcfe, or 6.9 MMcfe per day and during the six months ended June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy's net production from this region was 6.3 MMcfe per day. Abraxas Energy believes that development drilling on its Permian Basin properties is relatively low to moderate risk.

Abraxas Energy's Permian Basin areas of operations are as follows:

ROC Complex

Abraxas Energy's ROC Complex consists of wells located in Pecos, Reeves and Ward County, Texas that produce oil and gas from multiple stacked formations from the Bell Canyon at 5,000 feet down to the Ellenburger at 16,000 feet. Abraxas Petroleum operates all but eight of Abraxas Energy's wells in this complex, seven of which are operated by EOG Resources, Inc. The deep gas producing fields in the ROC Complex that principally produce from the Devonian (12,000') and Ellenburger (16,000') formations were discovered by Roden Oil Company and Exxon Corporation in the 1960s and 1970s, while the formation sandwiched between them was virtually ignored until Mobil Oil Corporation began exploiting the Montoya formation at 14,000 feet with horizontal drilling technology in 1999. Abraxas Petroleum acquired its interest in the ROC Complex in 1994 and subsequently entered into a farmout agreement with EOG Resources in 2000 to develop the Montoya formation on Abraxas Petroleum's leasehold. In 2001, Abraxas Petroleum participated with EOG Resources in a 3-D seismic survey over its R.O.C. fields located in Ward County. Overlying these deep gas producing formations are the Bell and Cherry Canyon sands that produce oil and associated gas at depths of 5,000 to 7,000 feet. Abraxas Petroleum discovered the Cherry Canyon field in 1995 and has since drilled over 50 wells on 40-acre spacing. Abraxas Energy's primary fields in the ROC Complex are R.O.C. (Devonian), R.O.C. (Ellenburger), Block 16 (Devonian), Howe (Devonian), and the Abraxas (Cherry Canyon).

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy had identified 49 drilling locations in the ROC Complex, including three proved undeveloped locations. Eight drilling locations are located in the Howe field, which currently produces gas from the Devonian and Montoya formations. Abraxas Energy believes that a significant amount of gas reserves remain trapped in the underlying structure based on the behavior of a well that Abraxas Petroleum re-entered and drilled horizontally in 2000 that continues to incline in production. Forty potential drilling locations are located in the shallow Bell and Cherry Canyon sands. Abraxas Petroleum has applied for and received approval from the Texas Railroad Commission for optional down-spacing from 40-acre to 20-acre spacing in the Cherry Canyon field, which Abraxas Energy believes will allow it to drain these reservoirs more efficiently. In addition to down-spacing opportunities, Abraxas Energy believes it has opportunities to utilize secondary and tertiary recovery techniques that have been successfully utilized by other operators in the area.

Oates SW

Abraxas Energy's Oates SW area consists of wells located in Pecos County, Texas that produce gas from the Devonian formation at 13,500 feet. Abraxas Petroleum operates all of Abraxas Energy's wells in this area. The Devonian formation was discovered in the Oates SW area by HNG Oil Company and Getty Oil Company in the late 1970s. Abraxas Petroleum acquired its leasehold interest in this area in 2000 and began redeveloping this field with horizontal drilling technology in 2001. In 2005, Abraxas Petroleum acquired a significant portion of the minerals, in addition to the surface and executive rights, on the majority of the 15,000 acre block. Abraxas Petroleum also owns 38 square miles of proprietary 3-D seismic data over the area and has entered into 3-D seismic data swap agreements on an additional 40 square miles with operators on adjacent properties. Abraxas Energy's primary fields in the Oates SW area are Oates SW (Devonian) and the Elsinore, West Farm (Devonian).

As of December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy had five proved undeveloped locations in the Oates SW area, comprised of three Devonian locations and two deepenings to the Montoya formation at a depth of approximately 14,500 feet. Abraxas Energy received a leasehold assignment from Abraxas Petroleum for four producing wells and the proved undeveloped locations, which assignment is limited to the specific formations and the proration unit acreage assigned to each such well by the Texas Railroad Commission for so long as each well produces gas in sufficient quantities to remain economic. Abraxas Petroleum retained ownership of the Woodford/Barnett Shale formations in the Oates SW area for future development, as well as certain rights to other formations. Abraxas Petroleum also retained ownership of two producing wells, one of which produces from the Devonian formation, as well as several other well bores.

Permian Basin Other

Abraxas Energy's other Permian Basin properties consist of wells located in Sutton County, Texas and Eddy County, New Mexico.

Gulf Coast

Abraxas Energy's Gulf Coast operations are concentrated along the Edwards trend in DeWitt and Lavaca Counties and the Frio and Vicksburg formations in the Portilla field in San Patricio County. At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy owned interests in 64 gross (47 net) wells in the Gulf Coast region. These wells produce oil and gas from formations at depths ranging from 7,000 to 13,500 feet. Abraxas Energy's estimated net proved reserves as of December 31, 2008 in the Gulf Coast region were 31.2 Bcf of gas and 0.4 MBbl of oil, or 33.9 Bcfe. During the year ended December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy's net production from the Gulf Coast region was 2,156 MMcfe, or 5.9 MMcfe per day and during the six months ended June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy's net production from this region was 5.5 MMcfe per day. Abraxas Energy believes that development drilling on its Gulf Coast properties is relatively low to moderate risk.

Table of Contents

Abraxas Energy's Gulf Coast areas of operations are as follows:

Edwards

Abraxas Energy's Edwards trend consists of wells located in DeWitt and Lavaca Counties, Texas that produce gas from the Edwards formation at a depth of approximately 13,500 feet. Abraxas Petroleum began redeveloping several fields in the Edwards area in 1997 by applying horizontal drilling technology to previously abandoned fields that were originally developed with vertical wells. At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy's seven producing wells in the Yoakum (Edwards) field, which is Abraxas Energy's primary field in the Edwards area, had produced a cumulative total of 18.5 Bcf and had estimated proved reserves remaining of 14.4 Bcf, for a total of 32.9 Bcf, or an average of 4.7 Bcf per well.

At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy had eight proved undeveloped drilling locations in the Edwards area with estimated average proved reserves of 3.3 Bcf per well. Abraxas Energy has identified seven potential in-fill drilling locations based on the success in neighboring fields of wells drilled on denser spacing by other operators. In addition, Abraxas Energy has a number of existing wells in which it believes can be fracture stimulated using advanced completion technology that has proven successful in the Edwards trend. Abraxas Energy drilled one Edwards well in 2008. The Henson 3H came on-line on June 8, 2008 and has produced an average of 2.9 MMcfpd (1.6 net) during the seven months ended December 31, 2008. We own a 75% working interest in this well.

Portilla

Abraxas Energy's Portilla field consists of wells located in San Patricio County, Texas that produce oil and gas from over 70 different intervals in the Frio and Vicksburg sands at depths ranging from 7,000 to 9,000 feet. The Portilla field was discovered by The Superior Oil Company, predecessor to Mobil Oil Corporation, in 1950 and has produced a cumulative total of 514 Bcfe as of December 31, 2008. Abraxas Petroleum acquired this field from Mobil in 1993. During the past decade, Abraxas Petroleum has maintained production at relatively constant levels over the past decade by spending relatively modest amounts of capital annually as part of a continuous workover/recompletion program which predominately involves the completion of additional Frio sands, usually uphole from the existing completion. Abraxas Petroleum participated in a 3-D seismic survey over the entire field in 1994, which has aided in the interpretation of the complex faulting of the deeper Vicksburg sands.

At December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy had three proved undeveloped drilling locations in the Portilla field. In addition, Abraxas Energy has identified seven additional drilling locations based on the success of other operators in nearby fields with similar geological characteristics.

Gulf Coast Other

Abraxas Energy's other Gulf Coast properties consist of wells located in Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, and Texas.

Table of Contents**Acreage**

The following table sets forth Abraxas Energy's acreage position as of December 31, 2008:

	Developed Acreage (1)		Undeveloped Acreage (2)		Total Net Acres (5)
	Gross Acres (3)	Net Acres (4)	Gross Acres (3)	Net Acres (4)	
Rocky Mountain	56,411	27,502	60,340	35,778	63,280
Mid-Continent	85,133	21,933	1,957	988	22,921
Permian Basin	13,425	8,388	1,766	1,127	9,515
Gulf Coast	6,730	3,917	829	185	4,102
Total	161,699	61,740	64,892	38,078	99,818

- (1) Developed acreage consists of leased acres spaced or assignable to productive wells.
- (2) Undeveloped acreage is considered to be those leased acres on which wells have not been drilled or completed to a point that would permit the production of commercial quantities of oil and gas, regardless of whether or not such acreage contains proved reserves.
- (3) Gross acres refer to the number of acres in which Abraxas Energy owns a working interest.
- (4) Net acres represent the number of acres attributable to an owner's proportionate working interest (e.g., a 50% working interest in a lease covering 320 gross acres is equivalent to 160 net acres).
- (5) Includes 3,981 acres that are included in developed and undeveloped gross acres.

Productive Wells

The following table sets forth Abraxas Energy's total gross and net productive wells expressed separately for oil and gas, as of December 31, 2008:

	Productive Wells (1) As of December 31, 2008			
	Oil		Gas	
	Gross (2)	Net (3)	Gross (2)	Net (3)
Rocky Mountain	363.0	74.6	498.0	16.2
Mid-Continent	125.0	15.2	475.0	87.8
Permian Basin	67.0	32.5	47.0	19.2
Gulf Coast	31.5	26.2	32.5	20.9
Total	586.5	148.5	1,052.5	144.1

- (1) Productive wells are producing wells and wells capable of production.
- (2) A gross well is a well in which Abraxas Energy owns an interest.
- (3) A net well is deemed to exist when the sum of fractional ownership working interests in gross wells equals one.

Reserves Information

Oil and gas reserves have been estimated as of December 31, 2007 for all of Abraxas Energy's properties by DeGolyer and MacNaughton, of Dallas, Texas. DeGolyer and MacNaughton estimated reserves for properties comprising approximately 93% of the PV-10 of Abraxas Energy's oil and gas reserves as of December 31, 2008, and reserves for the remaining 7% of Abraxas Energy's properties were estimated by Abraxas Petroleum personnel. The properties acquired from St. Mary included in the reserve report prepared by DeGolyer and MacNaughton were selected by Abraxas Energy initially according to the value it allocated to each property during the review of the acquisition. Reserve estimates

Edgar Filing: ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEFM14A

for the higher valued properties were prepared by DeGolyer and MacNaughton and reserve estimates for the lower valued properties were prepared by Abraxas

Table of Contents

Petroleum personnel because it was determined that it was not practical for DeGolyer and MacNaughton to prepare reserve estimates for all of Abraxas Energy's properties because it owns a large number of properties with relatively low values. A total of 300 properties were included in the reserve report prepared by DeGolyer and MacNaughton, which comprised 93% of the standardized measure of Abraxas Energy's properties and a total of 854 properties were included in the reserve estimates prepared by Abraxas Petroleum personnel, which comprised 7% of the standardized measure of Abraxas Energy's properties. Oil and gas reserves, and the estimates of the present value of future net revenues therefrom, were determined based on then current prices and costs. Reserve calculations involve the estimate of future net recoverable reserves of oil and gas and the timing and amount of future net revenues to be received therefrom. Such estimates are not precise and are based on assumptions regarding a variety of factors, many of which are variable and uncertain. Proved oil and gas reserves are the estimated quantities of oil and gas that geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. Proved developed oil and gas reserves are those expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods. All of Abraxas Energy's proved reserves are located in the continental United States. Proved reserves were estimated in accordance with guidelines established by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the FASB, which require that reserve estimates be prepared under existing economic and operating conditions with no provision for price and cost escalations except by contractual arrangements; therefore, year-end prices and costs were used in estimating net cash flows.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding estimates of Abraxas Energy's oil and gas reserves as of December 31, 2007 and 2008.

	Estimated Proved Reserves		
	Proved Developed	Proved Undeveloped	Total Proved
As of December 31, 2007			
Oil (MBbls)	1,167	39	1,206
Gas (MMcf)	29,334	36,126	65,460
As of December 31, 2008			
Oil (MBbls)	4,416	63	4,479
Gas (MMcf)	41,030	42,376	83,406

The process of estimating oil and gas reserves is complex and involves decisions and assumptions in the evaluation of available geological, geophysical, engineering and economic data. Therefore, these estimates are imprecise.

Actual future production, oil and gas prices, revenues, taxes, development expenditures, operating expenses and quantities of recoverable oil and gas reserves most likely will vary from those estimated. Any significant variance could materially affect the estimated quantities and present value of reserves set forth in this annual report. In addition, Abraxas Energy may adjust estimates of proved reserves to reflect production history, results of exploration and development, prevailing oil and gas prices and other factors, many of which are beyond its control.

You should not assume that the present value of future net revenues referred to in this proxy statement is the current market value of Abraxas Energy's estimated oil and gas reserves. In accordance with SEC requirements, the estimated discounted future net cash flows from proved reserves are generally based on prices and costs as of the end of the year of the estimate, or alternatively, if prices subsequent to that date have increased, a price near the periodic filing date of Abraxas Petroleum's consolidated financial statements may be used. Because Abraxas Energy uses the full cost method to account for its oil and gas operations, Abraxas Energy is susceptible to significant non-cash charges during times of volatile commodity prices because the full cost pool may be impaired when prices are low. This is known as a ceiling limitation write-down. This charge does not impact cash flow from operating activities but does reduce partners' equity and reported earnings. Abraxas Energy has experienced ceiling limitation write-downs in the past and Abraxas Energy cannot assure you that it will not

Table of Contents

experience additional ceiling limitation write-downs in the future. As of December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy's net capitalized costs of oil and gas properties exceeded the present value of its estimated proved reserves by \$97.1 million. These amounts were calculated considering 2008 year-end prices of \$44.60 per Bbl for oil and \$5.62 per Mcf for gas as adjusted to reflect the expected realized prices for Abraxas Energy's proved oil and gas reserves compared to each of the full cost pools.

For more information regarding the full cost method of accounting, you should read the information under "Information about Abraxas Energy," "Abraxas Energy Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," and "Critical Accounting Policies."

Actual future prices and costs may be materially higher or lower than the prices and costs as of the end of the year of the estimate. Any changes in consumption by gas purchasers or in governmental regulations or taxation will also affect actual future net cash flows. The timing of both the production and the expenses from the development and production of oil and gas properties will affect the timing of actual future net cash flows from proved reserves and their present value. In addition, the 10% discount factor, which is required by the SEC to be used in calculating discounted future net cash flows for reporting purposes, is not necessarily the most accurate discount factor. The effective interest rate at various times and the risks associated with Abraxas Energy or the oil and gas industry in general will affect the accuracy of the 10% discount factor.

The estimates of Abraxas Energy's reserves are based upon various assumptions about future production levels, prices and costs that may not prove to be correct over time. In particular, estimates of oil and gas reserves, future net revenue from proved reserves and the PV-10 thereof for the oil and gas properties described in this report are based on the assumption that future oil and gas prices remain the same as oil and gas prices at December 31, 2008. The average sales prices as of such date used for purposes of such estimates were \$41.74 per Bbl of oil and \$4.77 per Mcf of gas. It is also assumed that Abraxas Energy will make future capital expenditures of approximately \$90.2 million in the aggregate primarily in the years 2009 through 2014, which are necessary to develop and realize the value of proved undeveloped reserves on its properties. Any significant variance in actual results from these assumptions could also materially affect the estimated quantity and value of reserves set forth herein.

Abraxas Energy files reports of its estimated oil and gas reserves with the Department of Energy. The reserves reported to this agency are required to be reported on a gross operated basis and therefore are not comparable to the reserve data reported herein.

Oil, Gas Liquids, and Gas Production and Sales Prices

The following table presents Abraxas Energy's net oil and gas production, the average sales price per Bbl of oil and per Mcf of gas produced and the average cost of production per Mcfe of production sold, for the three years ended December 31, 2008 and the six months ended June 30, 2009 on a stand-alone basis:

	2006	2007	2008	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009
Oil production (MBbls)	128	128	452	227
Gas production (MMcf)	5,953	4,860	5,505	2,670
Total production (MMcfe) (1)	6,719	5,627	8,218	4,035
Average sales price per Bbl of oil (2)	\$ 63.73	\$ 65.09	\$ 79.14	\$ 69.58
Average sales price per Mcf of gas (2)	\$ 5.71	\$ 6.55	\$ 6.97	\$ 6.22
Average sales price per Mcfe (2)	\$ 6.27	\$ 7.13	\$ 9.02	\$ 8.01
Average cost of production per Mcfe produced (1)	\$ 1.29	\$ 1.60	\$ 2.75	\$ 2.44

- (1) Oil and gas were combined by converting oil to an Mcf equivalent on the basis 1 Bbl of oil to 6 Mcf of gas. Production costs include direct operating costs, ad valorem taxes and gross production taxes.
- (2) Average sales prices include the impact of hedging activity.

Table of Contents**Drilling Activities**

The following table sets forth Abraxas Energy's gross and net working interests in exploratory and development wells drilled during the two years ended December 31, 2008 on a stand-alone basis:

	2007		2008	
	Gross (1)	Net (2)	Gross (1)	Net (2)
Exploratory (3)				
Productive (4)				
Oil				
Gas				
Dry Holes (5)				
Total				
Development (6)				
Productive (4)				
Oil	3.0	2.5	7.0	0.3
Gas			33.0	1.3
Dry Holes (5)				
Total	3.0	2.5	40.0	1.6

- (1) A gross well is a well in which Abraxas Energy owns an interest.
- (2) The number of net wells represents the total percentage of working interests held in all wells (e.g., total working interest of 50% is equivalent to 0.5 net well. A total working interest of 100% is equivalent to 1.0 net well).
- (3) An exploratory well is a well drilled to find and produce oil or gas in an unproved area, to find a new reservoir in a field previously found to be producing oil or gas in another reservoir, or to extend a known reservoir.
- (4) A productive well is an exploratory or a development well that is not a dry hole.
- (5) A dry hole is an exploratory or development well found to be incapable of producing either oil or gas in sufficient quantities to justify completion as an oil or gas well.
- (6) A development well is a well drilled within the proved area of an oil or gas reservoir to the depth of stratigraphic horizon (rock layer or formation) noted to be productive for the purpose of extracting proved oil or gas reserves.

As of June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy did not have any operated wells that were in the process of drilling and/or completing.

Hedging Activity

Abraxas Energy has entered into NYMEX-based fixed price commodity swaps with Société Générale with respect to a significant portion of its estimated oil and gas production from its currently producing wells to achieve more predictable cash flow and to reduce its exposure to fluctuations in commodity prices. At June 30, 2009 and continuing through December 2012, Abraxas Energy had NYMEX-based fixed price commodity swaps covering approximately 80% (85% for 2009-2011 and 60% for 2012) of its estimated oil and gas production from its estimated net proved developed producing reserves at volume weighted average prices of \$81.08 per barrel of oil and \$8.14 per MMBtu of gas.

On July 29, 2009, Abraxas Energy monetized all of its in-the-money commodity swaps for \$26.7 million and together with the July 2009 commodity swap settlement of \$2.0 million, Abraxas Energy repaid \$28.7 million of indebtedness under its credit facility on July 31, 2009. In connection with the monetization and repayment, Abraxas Energy was required to enter into new commodity swaps on approximately 85% of its estimated oil and gas production from its net proved developed producing reserves through December 31, 2012 and on 70% for the year 2013.

Table of Contents

Markets and Customers

Please refer to Information about Abraxas Petroleum Markets and Customers.

Regulation of Oil and Gas Activities

Please refer to Information about Abraxas Petroleum Regulation of Oil and Gas Activities.

Title to Properties

As is customary in the oil and gas industry, Abraxas Energy makes only a cursory review of title to undeveloped oil and gas leases at the time they are acquired. However, before drilling commences, Abraxas Energy requires a thorough title search to be conducted, and any material defects in title are remedied prior to the time actual drilling of a well begins. To the extent title opinions or other investigations reflect title defects, Abraxas Energy, rather than the seller/lessor of the undeveloped property, would typically be obligated to cure any title defect at its own expense. If Abraxas Energy was unable to remedy or cure any title defect of a nature such that it would not be prudent to commence drilling operations on the property, it could suffer a loss of its entire investment in the property. Abraxas Energy believes that it has good title to its properties, some of which are subject to immaterial encumbrances, easements and restrictions. The oil and gas properties that Abraxas Energy owns are also typically subject to royalty and other similar non-cost bearing interests customary in the industry. Abraxas Energy does not believe that any of these encumbrances or burdens will materially affect its ownership or use of its properties.

Competition

Abraxas Energy operates in a highly competitive environment. The principal resources necessary for the exploration and production of oil and gas are leasehold prospects under which oil and gas reserves may be discovered, drilling rigs and related equipment to explore for such reserves and knowledgeable personnel to conduct all phases of oil and gas operations. Abraxas Energy must compete for such resources with both major oil and gas companies and independent operators. Many of these competitors have financial and other resources substantially greater than Abraxas Energy's. Although Abraxas Energy believes its current operating and financial resources are adequate to preclude any significant disruption of its operations in the immediate future, Abraxas Energy cannot assure you that such materials and resources will be available to it.

Employees

Abraxas Energy does not have any employees or dedicated senior management. Abraxas Energy's general partner manages its operations and activities on its behalf. As of June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy's general partner had three executive officers who spend a portion of their time on its operations. Pursuant to an omnibus agreement, Abraxas Petroleum performs general and administrative services for Abraxas Energy and for its subsidiary, Abraxas Operating. Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Operating have also entered into an operating agreement, under which Abraxas Petroleum operates Abraxas Energy's properties that are not subject to operating agreements with other parties. Abraxas Petroleum will continue as operator of Abraxas Energy's properties that are subject to operating agreements to the extent Abraxas Petroleum is the operator of record. As of June 30, 2009, Abraxas Petroleum had 65 full-time employees. None of these employees is represented by labor unions or covered by any collective bargaining agreement. Abraxas Energy believes that labor relations with these employees are satisfactory at this time.

Office Facilities

Abraxas Energy shares executive and administrative offices with Abraxas Petroleum. These offices are located at 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258. The office space consists of approximately 21,000

Table of Contents

square feet, which is owned by Abraxas Petroleum, and is subject to a real estate lien note. Abraxas Energy currently pays Abraxas Petroleum \$2.6 million per year, subject to annual adjustments for inflation and acquisition or other expansion adjustments.

Legal Proceedings

At June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy was not engaged in any legal proceedings that are expected, individually or in the aggregate, to have a material adverse effect on us.

Selected Historical Financial Data of Abraxas Energy

The following table shows historical financial data of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy for the periods and as of the dates indicated. The historical financial data of Abraxas Petroleum as of December 31, 2006 and for the year ended December 31, 2006 and for the period from January 1 to May 24, 2007 and the historical financial data of Abraxas Energy as of December 31, 2007 and 2008 and for the period from May 25 to December 31, 2007 and for the year ended December 31, 2008 are derived from the audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this proxy statement. The historical financial data of Abraxas Energy as of June 30, 2009 and for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 are derived from the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Abraxas Energy included elsewhere in this proxy statement. The financial condition and results of operations of Abraxas Petroleum for the periods up to May 24, 2007 are referred to in this proxy statement as **Predecessor**, and the financial condition and results of operations for periods subsequent to May 24, 2007 are referred to as **Successor** and represent only those of Abraxas Energy.

	Historical							
	Predecessor Abraxas Petroleum			Successor Abraxas Energy				
	Year Ended December 31,			January 1 - May 24, 2007	May 25 - December 31, 2007	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Six Months Ended June 30, (unaudited)	
	2004	2005	2006			2008	2008	2009
Total operating revenue	\$ 33,854	\$ 49,216	\$ 51,077	\$ 19,305	\$ 22,148	\$ 83,391	\$ 47,260	\$ 18,321
Lease operating and production taxes	8,567	11,094	11,776	4,757	5,136	22,577	10,756	9,833
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	7,213	8,914	14,939	5,773	7,039	20,063	9,583	7,028
Ceiling-test impairment						97,121		2,775
General and administrative	5,238	5,757	5,160	1,867	987	2,657	1,290	1,475
Net interest expense	17,857	13,970	16,738	6,371	1,774	10,181	5,052	5,316
Amortization of deferred financing fees	1,848	1,589	1,591	632	121	988	447	537
Financing fees	1,657					359		362
Gain on debt redemption	(12,561)							
Loss (gain) on derivative contracts		591	(646)	218	4,125	(28,333)	108,093	1,695
Loss on debt extinguishment					6,455			
Other	(5,002)	1,030	819	295		1,105	711	2,229
Income (loss)	\$ 9,037	\$ 6,271	\$ 700	\$ (608)	\$ (3,489)	\$ (43,327)	\$ (88,672)	\$ (12,929)
Income (loss) per share/unit:								
Basic	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.31)	\$ (3.81)	\$ (7.80)	\$ (1.13)
Diluted	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.31)	\$ (3.81)	\$ (7.80)	\$ (1.13)

Table of Contents

	Historical								
	Predecessor Abraxas Petroleum			Historical				Successor Abraxas Energy	
	Year Ended December 31,			January 1 -	May 25 -	Year Ended	Six Months		
	2004	2005	2006	May 24, 2007	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2008	2008	Ended June 30, 2009	
	(In thousands)								
Cash flow data:									
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 27,000	\$ 21,099	\$ 15,561	\$ 10,150	\$ 5,466	\$ 30,474	\$ 19,285	\$ 10,676	
Net cash used in investing activities	(9,269)	(35,350)	(14,102)	(6,622)	(14,086)	(131,900)	(134,039)	(3,098)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(65,684)	14,877	(1,458)	(1,742)	10,379	101,591	113,299	(7,988)	

	Historical					
	Predecessor Abraxas Petroleum			Successor Abraxas Energy		
	At December 31,					
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	At June 30, 2009
	(In thousands)					
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:						
Working capital (deficit)	\$ (4,592)	\$ (4,880)	\$ (3,719)	\$ 3,740	\$ (14,595)	\$ (15,683)
Total assets	152,685	121,866	116,940	105,703	169,240	153,414
Current maturities of long-term debt					40,000	40,000
Long-term debt	126,425	129,527	127,614	45,900	125,600	123,675
Stockholders / Partners equity (deficit)	(53,464)	(23,701)	(22,165)	49,688	(12,492)	(25,989)

Abraxas Energy Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following is a discussion of Abraxas Energy's consolidated financial condition, liquidity and capital resources.

Because Abraxas Energy was not formed until May 2007, its historical financial statements as well as the discussion of the results of operations and period-to-period comparisons covering these historical results include the historical financial statements of Abraxas Petroleum for periods up to May 24, 2007 and Abraxas Energy's historical financial statements for the period from May 25 to December 31, 2007, the year ended December 31, 2008 and the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2009. Because the 2007 historical results of Abraxas Petroleum include combined information for the properties retained by Abraxas Petroleum as well as Abraxas Energy's oil and gas properties, these historical results of operations and period-to-period comparisons are not indicative of Abraxas Energy's future results.

General

Abraxas Energy is a Delaware limited partnership formed by Abraxas Petroleum in May 2007 to exploit, develop, produce and acquire oil and gas properties. Abraxas Energy's assets consist primarily of producing and non-producing properties located in the Rocky Mountain, Mid-Continent, Permian Basin and Gulf Coast regions of the United States.

Table of Contents

Abraxas Energy believes that its quality asset base, high degree of operational control and large inventory of drilling projects position it for future growth. Abraxas Energy's properties are concentrated in locations that facilitate economies of scale in drilling and production operations and efficient reservoir management practices. In January 2008, Abraxas Energy closed its first major acquisition of oil and gas properties from St. Mary Land & Exploration for \$118.5 million. The properties were located across the central portion of the United States in mature basins.

Abraxas Energy's financial results depend upon many factors which significantly affect its results of operations including the following:

the oil and gas volumes it produces;

the sales price of its oil and gas production and the effect of its hedging activities;

the level of its operating and general and administrative expenses;

the availability of capital;

the level of and interest rates on borrowings; and

the level and success of exploitation and development activity.

Commodity Prices and Hedging Activities. The results of Abraxas Energy's operations are highly dependent upon the prices received for its oil and gas production. The prices Abraxas Energy receives for its production are dependent upon spot market prices, price differentials and the effectiveness of its derivative contracts, which are sometimes referred to as hedging arrangements. Substantially all of Abraxas Energy's sales of oil and gas are made in the spot market, or pursuant to contracts based on spot market prices, and not pursuant to long-term, fixed-price contracts. Accordingly, the prices received for Abraxas Energy's oil and gas production are dependent upon numerous factors beyond its control. Significant declines in prices for oil and gas could have a material adverse effect on Abraxas Energy's financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and quantities of reserves recoverable on an economic basis.

Recently, the prices of oil and gas have been volatile. During the first half of 2006, prices for oil and gas were sustained at record or near-record levels. Supply and geopolitical uncertainties resulted in significant price volatility during the remainder of 2006 with both oil and gas prices weakening. During 2007, oil prices remained strong while gas prices began 2007 strong but weakened during the course of the year. During the first half of 2008, prices for oil and gas were sustained at record or near-record levels, however during the second half of 2008, and subsequently, there has been a significant drop in prices. New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) futures price for West Texas Intermediate (WTI) oil averaged \$99.73 per barrel for 2008. WTI oil ended 2008 at \$44.60 per barrel. NYMEX Henry Hub futures price for gas averaged \$8.85 per million British thermal units (MMBtu) during 2008 and ended the year at \$5.62. During the first six months of 2009, prices of oil and gas were volatile and NYMEX WTI oil averaged \$51.59 for the period and NYMEX Henry Hub gas averaged \$4.12 for the period. Since the end of the second quarter, oil prices have increased modestly while gas prices have continued to weaken. As of August 28, 2009, the NYMEX futures price for WTI oil was \$72.74 per barrel and the NYMEX Henry Hub futures price for gas was \$3.03 per MMBtu. If gas prices continue to decline or if oil prices decline, Abraxas Energy's revenue and cash flow from operations could also decline. In addition, lower commodity prices could also reduce the amount of oil and gas that can be produced economically. The current global recession has had a significant impact on commodity prices and Abraxas Energy's operations. If commodity prices remain depressed, Abraxas Energy's revenues, profitability and cash flow from operations may decrease which could cause Abraxas Energy to alter its business plans, including reducing its drilling activities.

The decline in commodity prices has also resulted in downward adjustments to Abraxas Energy's estimated proved reserves at December 31, 2008. For 2008, Abraxas Energy incurred a ceiling limitation write-down under applicable accounting rules. Under these rules, if the net capitalized cost of oil and gas properties exceeds the PV-10 of Abraxas Energy's reserves, the amount of the excess must be charged to earnings. As of

Table of Contents

December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy's net capitalized costs of oil and gas properties exceeded the present value of its estimated proved reserves by \$97.1 million. These amounts were calculated considering 2008 year-end prices of \$44.60 per Bbl for oil and \$5.62 per Mcf for gas as adjusted to reflect the expected realized prices for Abraxas Energy's oil and gas reserves compared to each of the full cost pools. This charge does not impact cash flow from operating activities, but does reduce its partners' equity and earnings. The risk that Abraxas Energy will be required to write-down the carrying value of oil and gas properties increases when oil and gas prices are low. In addition, write-downs may occur if Abraxas Energy experiences substantial downward adjustments to its estimated proved reserves. An expense recorded in one period may not be reversed in a subsequent period even though higher gas and oil prices may have increased the ceiling applicable to the subsequent period.

The realized prices that Abraxas Energy receives for its production differ from NYMEX futures and spot market prices, principally due to:

basis differentials which are dependent on actual delivery location,

adjustments for BTU content; and

gathering, processing and transportation costs.

During 2008, differentials averaged \$7.31 per barrel of oil and \$1.36 per Mcf of gas and during the first six months of 2009, differentials averaged \$8.04 per barrel of oil and \$0.95 per Mcf of gas. Abraxas Energy experienced greater differentials during 2008 and the first six months of 2009 compared to prior periods because of the increased percentage of its production from the Rocky Mountain and Mid-Continent regions which experience higher differentials than its Texas properties. Historically, these regions have experienced wider differentials than Abraxas Energy's Permian Basin and Gulf Coast properties. As the percentage of Abraxas Energy's production from the Rocky Mountain and Mid-Continent regions increases, Abraxas Energy expects that its consolidated price differentials will also increase. Increases in the differential between the benchmark prices for oil and gas and the wellhead price received could significantly reduce Abraxas Energy's revenues and cash flow from operations.

Under the terms of Abraxas Energy's credit facility, Abraxas Energy was required to enter into derivative contracts for specified volumes, which equated to approximately 85% of the estimated oil and gas production through December 31, 2011 and 60% of the estimated oil and gas production from its estimated net proved developed producing reserves for calendar year 2012. By removing a significant portion of price volatility on its future oil and gas production, Abraxas Energy believes it will mitigate, but not eliminate, the potential effects of changing commodity prices on its cash flow from operations for those periods. However, when prevailing market prices are higher than the contract prices, Abraxas Energy will not realize increased cash flow on the portion of the production that has been hedged. Abraxas Energy has sustained and in the future will sustain realized and unrealized losses on its derivative contracts if market prices are higher than the contract prices. Conversely, when prevailing market prices are lower than the contract prices, Abraxas Energy will sustain realized and unrealized gains on its derivative contracts. For example, in 2007, Abraxas Energy sustained an unrealized loss of \$6.3 million and a realized gain of \$1.9 million and in 2008, Abraxas Energy incurred a realized loss of \$9.3 million and an unrealized gain of \$40.5 million. During the first six months of 2009, Abraxas Energy incurred a realized gain of \$12.8 million and an unrealized loss of \$14.5 million. Abraxas Energy has not designated any of these derivative contracts as a hedge as prescribed by applicable accounting rules.

Current market conditions also elevate concern over counter-party risks related to Abraxas Energy's commodity derivative contracts. Abraxas Energy actively monitors the credit exposure and risks associated with its counterparties. Abraxas Energy has all of its commodity swaps with one major financial institution, Société Générale. Should this counterparty not perform, Abraxas Energy may not realize the benefit of its hedging arrangements when market prices are below the contract prices. Abraxas Energy is not currently aware of any inability on the part of its counterparty to perform under these derivative contracts. Notwithstanding, Abraxas Energy is not able to predict sudden changes in the credit worthiness of its counterparty.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth Abraxas Energy's derivative position at June 30, 2009:

Period Covered	Product	Volume (Production per day)	Fixed Price
Year 2009	Gas	10,595 Mmbtu	\$ 8.45
Year 2009	Oil	1,000 Bbl	\$ 83.80
Year 2010	Gas	9,130 Mmbtu	\$ 8.22
Year 2010	Oil	895 Bbl	\$ 83.26
Year 2011	Gas	8,010 Mmbtu	\$ 8.10
Year 2011	Oil	810 Bbl	\$ 86.45
Year 2012	Gas	3,000 Mmbtu	\$ 6.88
Year 2012	Oil	670 Bbl	\$ 67.60

At June 30, 2009, the aggregate fair market value of Abraxas Energy's oil and gas derivative contracts was an asset of approximately \$24.3 million.

On July 29, 2009, Abraxas Energy monetized all of its in-the-money commodity swaps for \$26.7 million and together with the July 2009 commodity swap settlement of \$2.0 million, Abraxas Energy repaid \$28.7 million of indebtedness under its credit facility on July 31, 2009. In connection with the monetization and repayment, Abraxas Energy was required to enter into new commodity swaps at prevailing market prices.

The following table sets forth our consolidated derivative contract position as of July 31, 2009:

Contract Periods	Fixed-Price Swaps			
	OIL		GAS	
	Daily Volume (Bbl)	Swap Price	Daily Volume (Mmbtu)	Swap Price
Q4 2009	1,355	\$ 68.90	13,981	\$ 4.50
2010	1,158	73.28	11,258	5.73
2011	1,035	76.61	9,580	6.52
2012	946	70.89	8,303	6.77
2013	705	80.79	5,962	6.84

Production Volumes. Because Abraxas Energy's proved reserves will decline as oil and gas are produced, unless Abraxas Energy conducts successful exploitation and development activities, or acquires additional properties containing proved reserves, its reserves and production will decrease. Approximately 92% of the estimated ultimate recovery of Abraxas Energy's proved developed reserves as of December 31, 2008 had been produced. Abraxas Energy's ability to acquire or find additional reserves in the near future will be dependent, in part, upon the amount of available funds for acquisition, exploitation and development projects.

Abraxas Energy had cash capital expenditures for 2008 of \$131.9 million which included \$118.5 million for property acquisitions. Abraxas Energy has a capital budget for 2009 of \$12.0 million, the exact amount of which will depend on its success rate, production levels and commodity prices. During the first six months of 2009, Abraxas Energy had \$3.1 million of capital expenditures.

The following table presents Abraxas Energy's pro forma and historical net production volumes for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 and the six months ended June 30, 2009:

	Year Ended December 31,			June 30,
	2006	2007	2008	2009
Total production (MMcfe)	7,718	5,984	8,218	4,035
Average production (Mcfepd)	21,144	16,394	22,453	22,290

Table of Contents

Availability of Capital. As described more fully under **Liquidity and Capital Resources** below, Abraxas Energy's sources of capital going forward will primarily be cash flow from operations, borrowings under its credit facility and proceeds from the sale of debt or equity securities. At June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy had \$4.4 million of availability under its credit facility. At July 31, 2009, in connection with the monetization and repayment of \$28.7 million of indebtedness, Abraxas Energy had no availability under its credit facility after the borrowing base was reduced to \$95.0 million. In connection with the Merger, the existing Abraxas Energy credit facilities will be refinanced and terminated and Abraxas Petroleum will enter into the new credit facility.

Exploitation and Development Activity. Abraxas Energy believes that its quality asset base and its large inventory of drilling projects will help provide stability and growth in its production over time. Abraxas Petroleum operates properties comprising over 75% of Abraxas Energy's estimated proved reserves at December 31, 2008, allowing for substantial control over the timing and incurrence of capital expenditures. Abraxas Energy has identified 226 additional drilling locations (of which 129 were classified as proved undeveloped at December 31, 2008) on its existing leasehold, the successful development of which could significantly increase its production and proved reserves. For the five years ended December 31, 2007, Abraxas Petroleum drilled 13 gross (10.2 net) wells on the properties contributed to Abraxas Energy, of which 100% resulted in commercially productive wells. For the year ended December 31, 2008, Abraxas Petroleum and other operators drilled 40 gross (1.6 net) wells on Abraxas Energy's properties, of which 100% resulted in commercially productive wells.

Abraxas Energy's future oil and gas production, and therefore its success, is highly dependent upon its ability to find, acquire, exploit and develop additional reserves. The rate of production from Abraxas Energy's properties and its proved reserves will decline as its reserves are produced unless it conducts successful development and exploitation activities, acquire additional properties containing proved reserves, or, through engineering studies that identify additional behind-pipe zones or secondary recovery reserves. Abraxas Energy cannot assure you that its exploitation and development activities will result in increases in its proved reserves. If Abraxas Energy's proved reserves continue to decline in the future, its production will also decline and, consequently, cash flow from operations will also decline. In addition, approximately 39% of Abraxas Energy's total estimated proved reserves at December 31, 2008 were classified as undeveloped. By their nature, estimates of undeveloped reserves are less certain than proved developed reserves. Recovery of such reserves will require significant capital expenditures and successful drilling operations. For a more complete discussion of these risks please see **Risk Factors - Risks Related to Our Industry - Estimates of proved reserves and future net revenue are inherently imprecise.**

Borrowings and Interest. At June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy had outstanding indebtedness of \$163.7 million, of which \$40.0 million was outstanding under its subordinated credit facility and \$123.7 million was outstanding under its credit facility. On July 29, 2009, Abraxas Energy monetized all of its in-the-money derivative contracts for \$26.7 million which was used together with the July 2009 commodity swap settlement of \$2.0 million to re-pay indebtedness outstanding under its credit facility. After this re-payment, Abraxas Energy had outstanding indebtedness of \$135.0 million, of which \$40.0 million was outstanding under its subordinated credit facility and \$95.0 million was outstanding under its credit facility. Simultaneously, the borrowing base under Abraxas Energy's credit facility was reduced to \$95.0 million. If cash interest expense increases as a result of higher interest rates or increased borrowings, more cash flow from operations would be used to meet the debt service requirements. As a result, Abraxas Energy would need to increase its cash flow from operations in order to fund the development of its numerous drilling opportunities which, in turn, will be dependent upon the level of its production volumes and commodity prices. In order to mitigate its interest rate exposure, Abraxas Energy entered into an interest rate swap, effective August 12, 2008, as amended in February 2009, to fix its floating LIBOR based debt. Abraxas Energy's 2-year interest rate swap arrangement for \$100.0 million is at a fixed rate of 2.95%. The arrangement expires on August 12, 2010.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations****Comparison of Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 to Six Months Ended June 30, 2009**

The following table illustrates Abraxas Energy's primary components of revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2009, as well as oil and gas sales volumes and average realized prices (net of impact from derivative contracts) for such periods.

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 2009 (In thousands, except per unit data)	
Operating revenue:		
Oil sales	\$ 23,403	\$ 9,859
Gas sales	23,857	8,462
 Total operating revenue	 \$ 47,260	 \$ 18,321
 Operating income (loss) (1)	 25,631	 (2,790)
Volumes:		
Oil (MBbls)	218	227
Gas (MMcf)	2,783	2,670
Gas equivalents (MMcfe)	4,091	4,035
Realized oil price (\$/Bbl)	\$ 83.76	\$ 69.58
Realized gas price (\$/Mcf)	\$ 7.73	\$ 6.22

(1) Operating loss in the six months ended June 30, 2009 includes \$2.8 million ceiling-test impairment. The following table summarizes Abraxas Energy's expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2009.

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 2009	
Direct operating expenses:		
Lease operating expenses	\$ 6,617	\$ 7,708
Production and other taxes	4,139	2,125
 Total direct operating expenses	 \$ 10,756	 \$ 9,833

Revenues**Operating Revenue**

Operating revenue decreased by \$28.9 million from \$47.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$18.3 million in the same period of 2009. The decrease was primarily due to significant decreases in commodity prices during the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period of 2008. Excluding the realized gains or losses on Abraxas Energy's derivative contracts, the realized prices for the six months ended June 30, 2009 were \$43.55 per barrel of oil and \$3.17 per Mcf of gas compared to \$107.38 per barrel of oil and \$8.57 per Mcf of gas in the same period of 2008. Oil volumes increased from 218 MBbls in the six months ended June 30, 2008 to 227 MBbls in the same period of 2009 and gas volumes decreased from 2.8 Bcf in the six months ended June 30, 2008 to 2.7 Bcf in the same period of 2009. The increase in oil volumes was primarily due to the production attributable to the properties Abraxas Energy acquired from St. Mary in January 2008 offset by natural field declines and the decrease in gas volumes was primarily due to natural field declines.

Average realized prices for the six months ended June 30, 2009 (net of realized gain on derivative contracts) were \$69.58 per barrel of oil and \$6.22 per Mcf of gas and average realized prices in the same period of 2008 (net of realized loss on derivative contracts) were \$83.76 per barrel

of oil and \$7.73 per Mcf of gas.

Table of Contents**Expenses*****Direct Operating Expenses***

Direct operating expenses decreased from \$10.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$9.8 million in the same period of 2009. Direct operating expenses per Mcfe for the six months ended June 30, 2008 were \$2.63 per Mcfe compared to \$2.44 per Mcfe in the same period of 2009. The decrease in direct operating expenses was primarily due to a decrease in production taxes as a result of lower commodity prices realized during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

General and Administrative (G&A)

G&A, excluding equity-based compensation, increased from \$1.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$1.4 million in the same period of 2009. G&A per Mcfe increased from \$0.26 in the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$0.35 in the same period of 2009. The increase in G&A was primarily a result of additional staff hired to manage the producing properties Abraxas Energy acquired from St. Mary in January 2008.

Equity-Based Compensation

The Black-Scholes option pricing model is used to measure the fair value of restricted units granted to employees and directors. Restricted units granted to employees and directors are valued at the date of grant and expense is recognized over the vesting period. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, equity-based compensation expense was \$70,000 and \$222,000, respectively.

Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization (DD&A)

DD&A decreased by \$2.6 million from \$9.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$7.0 million in the same period of 2009. DD&A per Mcfe for the six months ended June 30, 2008 was \$2.34 per Mcfe as compared to \$1.74 per Mcfe in the same period of 2009. The decrease in DD&A was primarily the result of a reduction in the depletion base as a result of the proved property impairment recorded for the year ended December 31, 2008 and the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$5.3 million and \$ 5.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Gain (loss) from Derivative Contracts

Accounting for gains and losses on Abraxas Energy's derivative contracts is based on realized and unrealized amounts. The realized gains and losses are determined by actual derivative settlements during the period. Unrealized gains and losses are based on the periodic mark-to-market valuation of Abraxas Energy's current derivative contracts. Abraxas Energy's derivative contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting as prescribed by SFAS 133; therefore, fluctuations in the market value of these contracts are recognized in earnings during the current period. Abraxas Energy has entered into a series of NYMEX-based fixed price commodity swaps, and as of June 30, 2009, the estimated unearned value of these contracts was an asset of approximately \$24.3 million. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy recognized a realized gain of \$12.8 million and an unrealized loss of \$14.5 million on these derivative contracts compared to a realized loss of \$7.5 million and an unrealized loss of \$100.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

Abraxas Energy entered into a two-year interest rate swap in August 2008. For the first year, the notional amount of \$100.0 million had a fixed rate of 3.367%. The interest rate swap was amended in February 2009 which reduced the fixed rate to 2.95% and increased the notional amount to \$100.0 million for the entire term of

Table of Contents

the contract. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy recognized a realized loss of approximately \$1.2 million and an unrealized gain of \$0.4 million on this derivative contract. As of June 30, 2009, the estimated unearned value of this contract was a liability of approximately \$2.7 million.

Other Expense

Other expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009 consists primarily of fees and expenses, which were paid over the past two years, associated with the initial public offering of Abraxas Energy which is no longer being pursued in accordance with the terms of the Voting Agreement.

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008

The following table illustrates Abraxas Energy's primary components of revenue for the three years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008, as well as oil and gas sales volumes and average realized prices (net of impact from derivative contracts) for such periods.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2006	2007	2008
	(In thousands, except per unit data)		
Operating revenue:			
Oil sales	\$ 12,446	\$ 10,661	\$ 42,003
Gas sales	37,002	30,278	41,388
Rig and other	1,629	514	
Total operating revenue	\$ 51,077	\$ 41,453	\$ 83,391
Operating income (loss) (1)	\$ 18,383	\$ 15,599	\$ (59,027)
Volumes:			
Oil (MBbls)	200	157	452
Gas (MMcf)	6,515	5,044	5,055
Gas equivalents (MMcfe)	7,718	5,984	8,218
Realized oil price (\$/Bbl)	\$ 62.10	\$ 63.08	\$ 79.14
Realized gas price (\$/Mcf)	\$ 5.77	\$ 6.54	\$ 6.97

(1) Operating loss in 2008 includes \$97.1 million ceiling-test impairment.

The following table summarizes Abraxas Energy's expenses for the three years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2006	2007	2008
	(In thousands)		
Direct operating expenses:			
Lease operating expenses	\$ 7,291	\$ 6,294	\$ 14,609
Production and other taxes	4,485	3,599	7,968
Total direct operating expenses	\$ 11,776	\$ 9,893	\$ 22,577

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2007 to Year Ended December 31, 2008**Revenues****Operating Revenue**

Edgar Filing: ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEFM14A

During the year ended December 31, 2008, operating revenue increased by \$41.9 million from \$41.5 million in 2007 to \$83.4 million in 2008. The increase was primarily due to increased volumes in 2008 as compared to 2007 as well as higher realized oil and gas prices in 2008 as compared to 2007. The increase in volumes contributed \$23.4 million and higher realized prices (before the negative impact of realized losses on derivative contracts) contributed \$18.5 million to operating revenue for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

Oil volumes increased from 157 MBbls in 2007 to 452 MBbls during 2008 and gas volumes increased from 5.0 Bcf in 2007 to 5.1 Bcf in 2008. The increase was primarily due to the production attributable to the properties Abraxas Energy acquired from St. Mary in January 2008. The properties acquired from St. Mary contributed 292 MBbls and 1.5 Bcf of production during 2008, which was partially offset by natural field declines.

Average realized prices in 2008 (net of realized loss on derivative contracts) were \$79.14 per barrel of oil and \$6.97 per Mcf of gas and average realized prices in 2007 (net of realized gain on derivative contracts) were \$63.08 per barrel of oil and \$6.54 per Mcf of gas.

Expenses***Direct Operating Expenses***

Direct operating expenses increased from \$9.9 million in 2007 to \$22.6 million in 2008. The increase was primarily due to the operating expenses attributable to the properties that Abraxas Energy acquired from St. Mary in January 2008. Direct operating expenses on a per Mcfe basis for the year ended December 31, 2008 were \$2.75 per Mcfe compared to \$1.65 per Mcfe in 2007. The increase in total operating expenses was primarily attributable to the producing properties Abraxas Energy acquired from St. Mary in January 2008 which were predominately pumping oil wells which cost more to operate than the producing properties contributed to Abraxas Energy by Abraxas Petroleum which were predominately flowing gas wells.

General and Administrative (G&A)

G&A, excluding equity-based compensation, decreased from \$2.5 million in 2007 to \$2.4 million in 2008. G&A on a per Mcfe basis decreased from \$0.41 in 2007 to \$0.29 in 2008. The decrease per Mcfe was due to the increased production attributable to the properties Abraxas Energy acquired from St. Mary in January 2008.

Equity-Based Compensation

The Black-Scholes option pricing model is used to measure the fair value of stock/unit options granted to employees and directors. Options granted to employees and directors are valued at the date of grant and expense is recognized over the options vesting period. For the year ended December 31, 2007, equity-based compensation expense was \$393,000. On January 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy awarded phantom units with distribution equivalency rights under its long-term incentive plan. For the year ended December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy incurred equity-based compensation expense of \$242,000 relating to these phantom units.

Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization (DD&A)

DD&A increased from \$12.8 million in 2007 to \$20.1 million in 2008. The increase in DD&A was primarily due to the increased production as well as an increase in the depletion base from the properties Abraxas Energy acquired from St. Mary in January 2008. DD&A on a per Mcfe basis for 2008 was \$2.44 per Mcfe as compared to \$2.14 per Mcfe in 2007. The increase per Mcfe was due to the increased production volumes and the increased depletion base in 2008 as compared to 2007.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased to \$10.2 million in 2008 from \$8.2 million in 2007. The increase in interest expense was primarily due to the increase in long-term debt to fund the acquisition of properties from St. Mary in January 2008. Abraxas Energy's debt increased from \$45.9 million as of December 31, 2007 to \$165.6 million as of December 31, 2008.

Income (loss) from derivative contracts

Accounting for gains and losses on Abraxas Energy's derivative contracts is based on realized and unrealized amounts. The realized gains and losses are determined by actual derivative settlements during the period. Unrealized gains and losses are based on the periodic mark-to-market valuation of Abraxas Energy's

Table of Contents

current derivative contracts. Abraxas Energy's derivative contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting as prescribed by SFAS 133; therefore, fluctuations in the market value of these contracts are recognized in earnings during the current period. Abraxas Energy has entered into a series of NYMEX-based fixed price commodity swaps, and as of December 31, 2008, the estimated unearned value of these contracts was an asset of approximately \$39.2 million. For the year ended December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy recognized a realized loss of \$9.3 million and an unrealized gain of \$40.5 million on these derivative contracts compared to a realized gain of \$1.9 million and an unrealized loss of \$6.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Abraxas Energy entered into a two-year interest rate swap in August 2008. For the first year, the notional amount of \$100.0 million had a fixed rate of 3.367%. The interest rate swap was amended in February 2009 which reduced the fixed rate to 2.95% and increased the notional amount to \$100.0 million for the entire term of the contract. For the year ended December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy recognized a realized loss of approximately \$260,000 and an unrealized loss of \$2.7 million on this derivative contract. As of December 31, 2008, the estimated unearned value of this contract was a liability of approximately \$3.0 million.

Ceiling-Test Impairment

Abraxas Energy records the carrying value of its oil and gas properties using the full cost method of accounting for oil and gas properties. Under this method, Abraxas Energy capitalizes the cost to acquire, explore for and develop oil and gas properties. Under the full cost accounting rules, the net capitalized cost of oil and gas properties, are limited by country, to the lower of the unamortized cost or the cost ceiling, defined as the sum of the present value of estimated unescalated future net revenues from proved reserves, discounted at 10%, plus the cost of properties not being amortized, if any, plus the lower of cost or estimated fair value of unproved properties included in the costs being amortized, if any. If the net capitalized cost of oil and gas properties exceeds the ceiling limit, Abraxas Energy is subject to a ceiling limitation write-down to the extent of such excess. A ceiling limitation write-down is a charge to earnings which does not impact cash flow from operating activities. However, such write-downs do impact the amount of partners' equity. The cost ceiling represents the present value (discounted at 10%) of net cash flows from sales of future production, using commodity prices on the last day of the quarter, or alternatively, if prices subsequent to that date have increased, a price near the periodic filing date of the financial statements. As of December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy's net capitalized costs of oil and gas properties exceeded the present value of its estimated proved reserves by \$97.1 million. This amount was calculated considering 2008 year-end prices of \$44.60 per Bbl for oil and \$5.62 per Mcf for gas as adjusted to reflect the expected realized prices for Abraxas Energy's oil and gas reserves as compared to each full cost pool.

The risk that Abraxas Energy will be required to write-down the carrying value of its oil and gas assets increases when oil and gas prices are depressed or volatile. In addition, write-downs may occur if Abraxas Energy has substantial downward revisions in its estimated proved reserves or if purchasers or governmental action cause an abrogation of, or if Abraxas Energy voluntarily cancels, long-term contracts for its gas. Abraxas Energy cannot assure you that it will not experience additional write-downs in the future. If commodity prices decline or if any of Abraxas Energy's proved reserves are revised downward, a further write-down of the carrying value of its oil and gas properties may be required.

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2006 to Year Ended December 31, 2007**Revenues*****Operating Revenue***

During the year ended December 31, 2007, operating revenue decreased by \$9.6 million from \$51.1 million in 2006 to \$41.5 million in 2007. The decrease was primarily due to decreased volumes in 2007 as compared to 2006 offset by higher oil and gas prices realized in 2007 as compared to 2006. Lower volumes had a negative impact of \$10.7 million which was partially offset by higher realized prices which contributed \$1.1 million to operating revenue for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

Oil volumes decreased from 200 MBbls in 2006 to 157 MBbls during 2007 and gas volumes decreased from 6.5 Bcf in 2006 to 5.0 Bcf in 2007. The decrease was primarily due to the 221 days of 2007 which did not include the properties retained by Abraxas Petroleum. Also contributing to lower gas volumes in 2007 was the sale of properties in Live Oak County, Texas effective August 1, 2006 and production from the La Escalera 1AH well in the Permian Basin which decreased from 2.2 Bcf in 2006 to 1.4 Bcf in 2007.

Average realized prices in 2007 (net of realized gain on derivative contracts) were \$63.08 per barrel of oil and \$6.54 per Mcf of gas and average realized prices in 2006 (net of realized gain on derivative contracts) were \$62.10 per barrel of oil and \$5.77 per Mcf of gas.

Accounting for gains and losses on Abraxas Energy's derivative contracts is based on realized and unrealized amounts. The realized gains and losses are determined by actual settlements during the period. Unrealized gains and losses are based on the periodic mark-to-market valuation of Abraxas Energy's current derivative contracts. Abraxas Energy's derivative contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting as prescribed by SFAS 133; therefore, fluctuations in the market value of these contracts are recognized in earnings during the current period. Abraxas Energy has entered into a series of NYMEX-based fixed price commodity swaps, and as of December 31, 2007, the estimated unearned value of these contracts was a liability of approximately \$9.1 million. For the year ended December 31, 2007, Abraxas Energy recognized a realized gain of \$1.9 million and an unrealized loss of \$6.3 million on these derivative contracts.

Expenses

Direct Operating Expenses

Direct operating expenses decreased from \$11.8 million in 2006 to \$9.9 million in 2007. The decrease was due to the 221 days of 2007 which did not include the properties retained by Abraxas Petroleum. Direct operating expenses on a per Mcfe basis for the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$1.65 per Mcfe compared to \$1.52 per Mcfe in 2006. The increase per Mcfe was primarily due to a decrease in production volumes in 2007 as compared to 2006 without a corresponding decrease in direct operating expenses.

General and Administrative (G&A)

G&A, excluding equity-based compensation, decreased from \$4.2 million in 2006 to \$2.5 million in 2007. The decrease in G&A in 2007 was primarily due to the terms of the omnibus agreement which governs the fee paid by Abraxas Energy to Abraxas Petroleum for providing general and administrative services and the 221 days of 2007 which did not include the properties retained by Abraxas Petroleum. G&A on a per Mcfe basis decreased from \$0.54 in 2006 to \$0.44 in 2007. The decrease per Mcfe was due to decreased G&A in 2007 as compared to 2006 which was partially offset by decreased production volumes in 2007 as compared to 2006.

Equity-Based Compensation

The Black-Scholes option pricing model is used to measure the fair value of stock/unit options granted to employees and directors. Options granted to employees and directors are valued at the date of grant and expense is recognized over the options vesting period. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, equity-based compensation expense was \$998,000 and \$393,000, respectively.

Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization (DD&A)

DD&A decreased from \$14.9 million in 2006 to \$12.8 million in 2007. The decrease in DD&A was primarily due to the 221 days of 2007 which did not include the properties retained by Abraxas Petroleum. DD&A on a per Mcfe basis for 2007 was \$2.14 per Mcfe as compared to \$1.94 per Mcfe in 2006. The increase per Mcfe was due to the decreased production volumes in 2007 as compared to 2006.

Table of Contents**Interest Expense**

Interest expense decreased to \$8.2 million in 2007 from \$16.8 million in 2006. The decrease in interest expense was due to the redemption of Abraxas Petroleum's senior secured notes due 2009 and refinancing and repayment of Abraxas Petroleum's credit facility with Wells Fargo Foothill in May 2007 in connection with the formation of Abraxas Energy which was partially offset by the interest paid in connection with funds borrowed to effectuate the formation of Abraxas Energy.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General. The oil and gas industry is a highly capital intensive and cyclical business. Abraxas Energy's capital requirements are driven principally by its obligations to service debt and to fund:

the exploitation and development of existing properties, including drilling and completion costs of wells; and

the acquisition of long-lived reserves with low-risk exploitation and development opportunities.

The amount of capital expenditures Abraxas Energy is able to make has a direct impact on its ability to increase cash flow from operations and, therefore, will directly affect its ability to service debt obligations and continue to grow the business through the exploitation and development of existing properties and the acquisition of new properties.

Sources of Capital. The historical net cash provided by and/or used in each of the operating, investing and financing activities of Abraxas Energy are summarized in the following table and discussed in further detail below:

	Year Ended December 31,			Six Months Ended
	2006	2007	2008	June 30, 2009
	(In thousands)			
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 15,561	\$ 15,616	\$ 30,474	\$ 10,676
Net cash used in investing activities	(14,102)	(20,708)	(131,900)	(3,098)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(1,458)	8,637	101,591	(7,988)
Total	\$ 1	\$ 3,545	\$ 165	\$ (410)

Operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2006 provided Abraxas Petroleum with \$15.6 million of cash. Expenditures in 2006 of approximately \$26.3 million were primarily for the development of oil and gas properties offset by proceeds from the sale of oil and gas properties of \$12.2 million. Financing activities used \$1.5 million during 2006, of which \$20.4 million was provided from long-term borrowing offset by \$22.4 million of payments on long-term debt.

Operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2007 provided Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy with \$15.6 million of cash. Net income plus non-cash expense items and net changes in operating assets and liabilities accounted for most of these funds. Financing activities provided \$8.6 million during 2007. Investing activities used \$20.7 million during 2007 including \$10.7 million for the development of existing properties and a \$10.0 million deposit paid in December 2007 for the properties acquired from St. Mary in January 2008.

Operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2008 provided Abraxas Energy with \$30.5 million of cash. Financing activities provided \$101.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2008 to fund the St. Mary Acquisition. Investing activities used \$131.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2008 predominately to acquire the properties from St. Mary, and for the development of Abraxas Energy's oil and gas properties.

Table of Contents

Operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2009 provided Abraxas Energy with \$10.7 million of cash including a \$12.8 million realized gain on its derivative contracts. Financing activities used \$8.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009, of which \$2.3 million was used to pay cash distributions. Investing activities used \$3.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009 for the development of Abraxas Energy's oil and gas properties.

Capital Expenditures. Capital expenditures made by Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy related to the properties contributed to Abraxas Energy by Abraxas Petroleum were \$14.4 million and \$7.0 million in 2006 and 2007, respectively. During 2006 and 2007, capital expenditures were primarily for development and exploitation activities on Abraxas Energy's properties. For the year ended December 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy's capital expenditures excluding the acquisition from St. Mary were \$13.4 million. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy's capital expenditures were \$3.1 million for development and exploitation activities on its properties. Abraxas Energy has no material long-term capital commitments and is consequently able to adjust the level of its capital expenditures as circumstances dictate. Additionally, the level of capital expenditures will vary during future periods depending on market conditions and other related economic factors.

Capital Resources. Abraxas Energy currently has three principal sources of liquidity going forward: (i) cash from operating activities, (ii) borrowings under its credit facility, and (iii) sales of debt or equity securities, although Abraxas Energy may not be able to complete such financings on terms acceptable to it, if at all.

Abraxas Energy's cash flow from operations depends heavily on the prevailing prices of oil and gas and its production volumes. Although Abraxas Energy has hedged approximately 85% of its estimated oil and gas production from its estimated net proved developed producing reserves through 2012, declines in oil and gas prices would have a material adverse effect on Abraxas Energy's overall results, and therefore, its liquidity. Falling oil and gas prices could also negatively affect Abraxas Energy's ability to raise capital on terms favorable to it or at all.

Abraxas Energy's cash flow from operations will also depend upon the volume of oil and gas that it produces. Abraxas Energy's production volumes will decrease as a result of natural field declines. To offset this loss, Abraxas Energy must conduct successful exploitation and development activities or acquire additional producing properties. If Abraxas Energy's proved reserves decline in the future, its production will also decline and, consequently its cash flow from operations and the amount that it is able to borrow under its credit facility will also decline.

If cash flow from operations does not meet Abraxas Energy's expectations, Abraxas Energy may fund a portion of its capital expenditures using borrowings under its credit facility, or from other sources. At June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy had \$4.4 million of availability under its credit facility. The current global recession has had a significant impact on commodity prices and Abraxas Energy's operations. If commodity prices remain depressed, Abraxas Energy's revenues, profitability and cash flow from operations will decrease which could cause Abraxas Energy to alter its business plan including reducing its drilling activity. In addition, the current state of the equity and debt markets has had a significant impact on Abraxas Energy's ability to access the debt and equity markets on terms as favorable as those which existed prior to the current crisis. If these markets do not improve over time, Abraxas Energy may be caused to alter its business plan including reducing its drilling activity.

Funding Abraxas Energy's capital program from sources other than cash flow from operations could limit its ability to make acquisitions. If Abraxas Energy makes one or more acquisitions and the amount of capital required is greater than the amount it has available for acquisitions, Abraxas Energy would reduce the expected level of capital expenditures and/or seek additional capital. If Abraxas Energy seeks additional capital for that or other reasons, it may do so under its credit facility, sales of debt or equity securities or by other means. Abraxas Energy cannot assure you that needed capital will be available on acceptable terms or at all. Abraxas Energy's ability to raise funds through the incurrence of additional indebtedness will be limited by covenants in its credit facility. If Abraxas Energy is unable to obtain funds when needed or on acceptable terms, Abraxas Energy may not be able to complete acquisitions that may be favorable to it or finance the capital expenditures necessary to replace its reserves.

Table of Contents

Abraxas Energy's subordinated credit facility currently matures on the earlier of (a) October 9, 2009 and (b) three business days after the Special Meeting and currently requires that Abraxas Energy receive \$20.0 million of proceeds from an equity issuance on or before the earlier of (a) October 9, 2009 and (b) three business days after the Special Meeting. Abraxas Energy had intended to raise these proceeds in connection with its initial public offering and to repay the subordinated credit facility with proceeds from the initial public offering and a new second lien credit facility. Under the terms of the Voting, Registration Rights & Lock-Up Agreement, Abraxas Energy agreed not to file any further amendments to the registration statement for its initial public offering or to take any actions intended to consummate the initial public offering and, as a result of executing the Merger Agreement, Abraxas Energy and Abraxas Petroleum are no longer pursuing the refinancing of the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility other than in connection with the new credit facility which is subject to the completion of the Merger. If the Merger is not consummated, Abraxas Energy would likely be in default under its subordinated credit facility and under its credit facility. Upon an event of default, Abraxas Energy's lenders could foreclose on its assets and exercise other customary remedies which would have a material adverse effect on Abraxas Energy and Abraxas Petroleum.

Indebtedness

The following discussion of the Abraxas Energy credit facility and the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility refers to Abraxas Energy's existing credit facilities. It is contemplated that a new credit facility will be entered into in connection with the consummation of the Merger and the transactions contemplated thereby. Please read Proposal 1 Approval of Stock Issuance New Credit Facility.

Credit Facility. On May 25, 2007, Abraxas Energy entered into the Abraxas Energy credit facility with Société Générale, as administrative agent and issuing lender, and the lenders signatory thereto, which was amended and restated on January 31, 2008 and further amended on January 16, 2009, April 30, 2009, May 7, 2009, June 30, 2009 and July 22, 2009. The Abraxas Energy credit facility has a maximum commitment of \$300.0 million. Availability under the Abraxas Energy credit facility is subject to a borrowing base. The borrowing base under the Abraxas Energy credit facility is determined semi-annually by the lenders based upon Abraxas Energy's reserve reports, one of which must be prepared by its independent petroleum engineers and one of which may be prepared internally. The amount of the borrowing base is calculated by the lenders based upon their valuation of Abraxas Energy's proved reserves utilizing these reserve reports and their own internal decisions. In addition, the lenders, in their sole discretion, may make one additional borrowing base redetermination during any six-month period between scheduled redeterminations and Abraxas Energy may also request one redetermination during any six-month period between scheduled redeterminations. The lenders may also make a redetermination in connection with any sales of producing properties with a market value of 5% or more of Abraxas Energy's current borrowing base.

Abraxas Energy's borrowing base of \$128.1 million was determined based upon its reserve report dated December 31, 2008. Abraxas Energy's borrowing base can never exceed the \$300.0 million maximum commitment amount. At June 30, 2009, the Partnership had a total of \$123.7 million outstanding under the Partnership Credit Facility. On July 31, 2009, the Partnership repaid \$28.7 million of indebtedness in connection with the monetization of its in-the-money commodity swaps after which, the Partnership had \$95.0 million outstanding under the Partnership Credit Facility. Simultaneously, the borrowing base under the Partnership Credit Facility was reduced to \$95.0 million.

Outstanding amounts under the Abraxas Energy credit facility bear interest at (a) the greater of (1) the reference rate announced from time to time by Société Générale, (2) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.5%, and (3) a rate determined by Société Générale as the daily one-month LIBOR plus, in each case, (b) 1.5% - 2.5%, depending on the utilization of the borrowing base, or, if Abraxas Energy elects, at the greater of (a) 2.0% and (b) LIBOR plus, in each case, 2.5% - 3.5% depending on the utilization of the borrowing base. At June 30, 2009, the interest rate on the facility was 5.5%. Subject to earlier termination rights and events of default, the stated maturity date of the Abraxas Energy credit facility is January 31, 2012. Interest is payable quarterly on reference rate advances and not

Table of Contents

less than quarterly on Eurodollar advances. Abraxas Energy is permitted to terminate the Abraxas Energy credit facility, and may, from time to time, permanently reduce the lenders' aggregate commitment under the Abraxas Energy credit facility in compliance with certain notice and dollar increment requirements.

Abraxas Energy's general partner and Abraxas Operating have guaranteed Abraxas Energy's obligations under the Abraxas Energy credit facility on a senior secured basis. Obligations under the Abraxas Energy credit facility are secured by a first priority perfected security interest, subject to certain permitted encumbrances in all of Abraxas Energy's, Abraxas Energy's general partner's, and Abraxas Operating's material property and assets, excluding Abraxas Energy's general partner units.

Under the Abraxas Energy credit facility, Abraxas Energy is subject to customary covenants, including certain financial covenants and reporting requirements. Abraxas Energy is required to maintain a current ratio as of the last day of each quarter of not less than 1.00 to 1.00 and an interest coverage ratio as of the last day of each quarter, of not less than 2.50 to 1.00. Under the terms of the Abraxas Energy credit facility, the current ratio is the ratio of consolidated current assets to consolidated current liabilities. For the purposes of this calculation, current assets include the portion of the borrowing base which is undrawn but exclude any cash deposited with or at the request of a counter-party to a hedging arrangement and any assets representing a valuation account arising from the application of SFAS 133 (which relates to derivative instruments and hedging activities) and SFAS 143 (which relates to asset retirement obligations) and current liabilities exclude the current portion of long-term debt and any liabilities representing a valuation account arising from the application of SFAS 133 and SFAS 143. The interest coverage ratio is the ratio of consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense. For the purposes of this calculation, EBITDA is consolidated net income plus interest expense, taxes, depreciation, amortization, depletion and other non-cash charges including non-cash charges resulting from the application of SFAS 123R (which relates to stock-based compensation), SFAS 133 and SFAS 143 minus all non-cash items of income which were included in determining consolidated net income, including all non-cash items resulting from the application of SFAS 133 and SFAS 143. Interest expense includes total interest, letter of credit fees and other fees and expenses incurred in connection with any debt. As of June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy's current ratio was 23.74 to 1.00 and its interest coverage ratio was 3.85 to 1.00.

The Abraxas Energy credit facility required Abraxas Energy to enter into hedging arrangements for specified volumes, which equated to approximately 85% of the estimated oil and gas production from its net proved developed producing reserves through December 31, 2011 and approximately 60% of its estimated oil and gas production for 2012. As of June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy had NYMEX-based fixed price commodity swaps on approximately 80% (85% for 2009-2011 and 60% for 2012) of its estimated oil and gas production from its estimated net proved developed producing reserves through December 31, 2012. On July 29, 2009, Abraxas Energy monetized all of its in-the-money commodity swaps for \$26.7 million and together with the July 2009 commodity swap settlement of \$2.0 million, Abraxas Energy repaid \$28.7 million of indebtedness under its credit facility on July 31, 2009. In connection with the monetization and repayment, Abraxas Energy was required to enter into new commodity swaps on approximately 85% of its estimated oil and gas production from its net proved developed producing reserves through December 31, 2012 and on 70% for 2013.

Under the terms of the Abraxas Energy credit facility, Abraxas Energy may make cash distributions if, after giving effect to such distributions, it is not in default under the Abraxas Energy credit facility, there is no borrowing base deficiency and provided that (a) no such distribution shall be made using the proceeds of any advance unless the unused portion of the amount then available under the Abraxas Energy credit facility is greater than or equal to 10% of the lesser of Abraxas Energy's borrowing base or the total commitment amount of the Abraxas Energy credit facility at such time, (b) with respect to the cash distribution scheduled to be made on or about May 15, 2009 attributable to the first quarter of 2009, no such distribution shall be made unless (i) the sum of unrestricted cash and the unused portion of the amount then available under the Abraxas Energy credit facility after giving effect to such distribution exceeds \$20.0 million, or (ii) the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility shall have terminated and (c) no cash distribution shall exceed \$0.44 per unit per quarter while the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility is outstanding. Under the Abraxas Energy credit facility, the amount of the cash distribution to be made by Abraxas Energy on or about May 14, 2009 attributable

Table of Contents

to the first quarter of 2009 was to be deposited into an escrow account established with one of the lenders. Rather than paying the funds into an escrow account, the board of directors of the general partner elected to defer the declaration of the cash distribution attributable to the first quarter of 2009. Furthermore, in accordance with the terms of the Merger Agreement, Abraxas Energy is precluded from declaring or paying any future cash distributions. If the indebtedness under the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility was not repaid on or before July 1, 2009, Abraxas Energy was required to pay the lenders a consent fee of \$2.4 million. Abraxas Energy paid the consent fee on June 30, 2009 and capitalized the fee as deferred financing fees. Additionally, while the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility is outstanding, Abraxas Energy's capital expenditures are limited to \$12.5 million.

In addition to the foregoing and other customary covenants, the Abraxas Energy credit facility contains a number of covenants that, among other things, will restrict Abraxas Energy's ability to:

incur or guarantee additional indebtedness;

transfer or sell assets;

create liens on assets;

engage in transactions with affiliates other than on an arm's-length basis;

make any change in the principal nature of its business; and

permit a change of control.

The Abraxas Energy credit facility also contains customary events of default, including nonpayment of principal or interest, violations of covenants, cross default and cross acceleration to certain other indebtedness, bankruptcy and material judgments and liabilities.

Subordinated Credit Facility. On January 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy entered into the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility which was amended on January 16, 2009, April 30, 2009, May 7, 2009, June 30, 2009, July 22, 2009, August 13, 2009, and August 31, 2009 with Société Générale, as administrative agent, The Royal Bank of Canada, as syndication agent, and the lenders signatory thereto. The Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility has a maximum commitment of \$40.0 million. Outstanding amounts under the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility bear interest at (a) the greater of (1) the reference rate announced from time to time by Société Générale, (2) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.5% and (3) a rate determined by Société Générale as the daily one-month LIBOR, plus in each case (b) 9.0% or, if Abraxas Energy elects, at the greater of (a) 2.0% and (b) LIBOR, plus in each case 10.0%. At June 30, 2009, the interest rate on the facility was 12.0%. If the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility is not repaid on or before July 1, 2009, the interest rate will be (a) the greater of (1) the reference rate announced from time to time by Société Générale, (2) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.5% and (3) a rate determined by Société Générale as the daily one-month LIBOR, plus in each case (b) 12.0% or, if Abraxas Energy elects, at the greater of (a) 2.0% and (b) LIBOR, plus in each case 13.0%. For any interest payment due on or after July 2, 2009, 3.0% per annum of the accrued interest payable shall be capitalized and added to the principal amount of the loan. Subject to earlier termination rights and events of default, the stated maturity date of the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility is the earlier of (a) October 9, 2009 and (b) three business days after the Special Meeting. Interest is payable quarterly on reference rate advances and not less than quarterly on Eurodollar advances. Abraxas Energy is permitted to terminate the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility, and may, from time to time, permanently reduce the lenders' aggregate commitment under the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility in compliance with certain notice and dollar increment requirements.

Abraxas Energy's general partner and Abraxas Operating have guaranteed Abraxas Energy's obligations under the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility on a subordinated basis. Obligations under the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility are secured by a second priority perfected security interest, subject to certain permitted encumbrances in all of Abraxas Energy's, Abraxas Energy's general partner's, and Abraxas Operating's material property and assets, excluding Abraxas Energy's general partner units.

Table of Contents

Under the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility, Abraxas Energy is subject to customary covenants, including certain financial covenants and reporting requirements. Abraxas Energy is required to maintain a current ratio as of the last day of each quarter of not less than 1.00 to 1.00 and an interest coverage ratio as of the last day of each quarter, of not less than 2.50 to 1.00. Under the terms of the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility, the current ratio is the ratio of consolidated current assets to consolidated current liabilities. For the purposes of this calculation, current assets include the portion of the borrowing base which is undrawn but exclude any cash deposited with or at the request of a counter-party to a hedging arrangement and any assets representing a valuation account arising from the application of SFAS 133 (which relates to derivative instruments and hedging activities) and SFAS 143 (which relates to asset retirement obligations) and current liabilities exclude the current portion of long-term debt and any liabilities representing a valuation account arising from the application of SFAS 133 and SFAS 143. The interest coverage ratio is the ratio of consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense. For the purposes of this calculation, EBITDA is consolidated net income plus interest expense, taxes, depreciation, amortization, depletion and other non-cash charges including non-cash charges resulting from the application of SFAS 123R (which relates to stock-based compensation), SFAS 133 and SFAS 143 minus all non-cash items of income which were included in determining consolidated net income, including all non-cash items resulting from the application of SFAS 133 and SFAS 143. Interest expense includes total interest, letter of credit fees and other fees and expenses incurred in connection with any debt. As of June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy's current ratio was 23.74 to 1.00 and its interest coverage ratio was 3.85 to 1.00.

The Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility required Abraxas Energy to enter into hedging arrangements for specified volumes, which equated to approximately 85% of the estimated oil and gas production from its net proved developed producing reserves through December 31, 2011 and approximately 60% of its estimated oil and gas production for 2012 and was consistent with the hedging requirements under the Abraxas Energy credit facility. As of June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy had NYMEX-based fixed price commodity swaps on approximately 80% (85% of 2009-2011 and 60% for 2012) of its estimated oil and gas production from its estimated net proved developed producing reserves through December 31, 2012. On July 29, 2009, Abraxas Energy monetized all of its in-the-money commodity swaps for \$26.7 million and together with the July 2009 commodity swap settlement of \$2.0 million, Abraxas Energy repaid \$28.7 million of indebtedness under its credit facility on July 31, 2009. In connection with the monetization and repayment, Abraxas Energy was required to enter into new commodity swaps on approximately 85% of its estimated oil and gas production from its net proved developed producing reserves through December 31, 2012 and on 70% for 2013.

In addition to the foregoing and other customary covenants, the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility contains a number of covenants that, among other things, will restrict Abraxas Energy's ability to:

incur or guarantee additional indebtedness;

transfer or sell assets;

create liens on assets;

engage in transactions with affiliates other than on an arm's-length basis;

make any change in the principal nature of its business; and

permit a change of control.

The Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility also contains customary events of default, including nonpayment of principal or interest, violations of covenants, cross default and cross acceleration to certain other indebtedness, bankruptcy and material judgments and liabilities. An event of default would occur if Abraxas Energy fails to receive \$20.0 million of proceeds from an equity issuance on or before the earlier of (a) October 9, 2009 and (b) three business days after the Special Meeting. In addition, if the indebtedness under the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility has not been repaid on or before the earlier of (a) October 9, 2009 and (b) three business days after the Special Meeting, Abraxas Energy is required to issue warrants to the lenders to purchase 2.5% of the then outstanding units at an exercise price of \$0.01 per unit. Abraxas Energy had intended to repay its subordinated credit facility with proceeds from its initial public offering. Under the terms of the Voting Agreement, Abraxas Energy agreed to not file any further amendments to the registration statement for its initial public offering or take

Table of Contents

any actions intended to consummate the initial public offering and, as a result of executing the Merger Agreement, Abraxas Energy is no longer pursuing the refinancing of its subordinated credit facility other than in connection with the new credit facility which is subject to the completion of the Merger. In connection with the Merger, Abraxas Petroleum has received a non-binding term sheet for a new senior secured revolving credit facility of up to \$300.0 million and a senior secured term loan of \$10.0 million, of which \$155.0 million is expected to be available at closing. If the Merger is not consummated, Abraxas Energy would be in default under its subordinated credit facility and under its credit facility. Abraxas Energy cannot assure you that the Merger or the new credit facility will be consummated. If an event of default were to occur under the subordinated credit facility or the Abraxas Energy credit facility, the lenders could foreclose on Abraxas Energy's assets and exercise other customary remedies, all of which would have a material adverse effect on Abraxas Energy.

Intercreditor and Subordination Agreement. On January 31, 2008, Abraxas Energy entered into an intercreditor and subordination agreement which was amended on January 16, 2009 and May 7, 2009 with Société Générale, as administrative agent for the lenders under the Abraxas Energy credit facility, and Société Générale, as administrative agent for the lenders under the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility, which is referred to as the intercreditor agreement. The intercreditor agreement sets forth the respective rights, obligations and remedies of each party with respect to Abraxas Energy's assets.

Hedging Activities. Abraxas Energy's results of operations are significantly affected by fluctuations in commodity prices and Abraxas Energy seeks to reduce its exposure to price volatility by hedging its production through swaps, options and other commodity derivative instruments. On July 29, 2009, Abraxas Energy monetized all of its in-the-money commodity swaps for \$26.7 million and together with the July 2009 commodity swap settlement of \$2.0 million, Abraxas Energy repaid \$28.7 million of indebtedness under its credit facility on July 31, 2009. In connection with the monetization and repayment, Abraxas Energy was required to enter into new commodity swaps on approximately 85% of its estimated oil and gas production from its net proved developed producing reserves through December 31, 2012 and on 70% for 2013.

In order to mitigate its interest rate exposure, Abraxas Energy entered into a 2-year interest rate swap in August 2008. For the first year, the notional amount of \$100.0 million had a fixed rate of 3.367%. The interest rate swap was amended in February 2009 which reduced the fixed rate to 2.95% and increased the notional amount to \$100.0 million for the entire term of the contract.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements. At June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy had no off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined under SEC regulations that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on its financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material to investors.

Contingencies. From time to time, Abraxas Energy is involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of its operations in the normal course of business. At June 30, 2009, Abraxas Energy was not engaged in any legal proceedings that are expected, individually or in the aggregate, to have a material adverse effect on it.

Critical Accounting Policies

Please refer to Information about Abraxas Petroleum Abraxas Petroleum Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Please refer to Information about Abraxas Petroleum Abraxas Petroleum Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Recent Accounting Pronouncements.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Please refer to Information about Abraxas Petroleum Abraxas Petroleum Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT****Officers and Directors Prior to and Following the Merger**

Abraxas Petroleum's executive officers and directors before the Merger will remain in their roles following the Merger. In addition, Brian L. Melton and Edward P. Russell will join the Abraxas Petroleum Board upon completion of the Merger. The following table sets forth the names, ages, and positions of the executive officers and directors of Abraxas Petroleum upon completion of the Merger. The term of the Class I directors expires in 2012, the term of the Class II directors expires in 2011 and the term of the Class III directors expires in 2010.

Name and Municipality of Residence	Age	Office	Class
Robert L.G. Watson San Antonio, Texas	58	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer	III
C. Scott Bartlett, Jr. Richmond Hill, Georgia	76	Director	II
Franklin A. Burke Doyleston, Pennsylvania	75	Director	I
Harold D. Carter Dallas, Texas	70	Director	III
Ralph F. Cox Ft. Worth, Texas	77	Director	II
Dennis E. Logue Enfield, New Hampshire	65	Director	II
Brian L. Melton St. Louis, Missouri	39	Director	III
Edward P. Russell Stillwell, Kansas	45	Director	III
Paul A. Powell, Jr. Roanoke, Virginia	63	Director	I
Chris E. Williford San Antonio, Texas	58	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	
Lee T. Billingsley San Antonio, Texas	56	Vice President Exploration	
William H. Wallace Blanco, Texas	51	Vice President Operations	

Edgar Filing: ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEFM14A

Stephen T. Wendel

60 Vice President Land & Marketing and Corporate Secretary

San Antonio, Texas

Barbara M. Stuckey

41 Vice President Corporate Finance and Assistant Secretary

San Antonio, Texas

152

Table of Contents**Executive Officers**

Robert L.G. Watson has served as Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of Abraxas Petroleum since 1977. Prior to the Merger, Mr. Watson also served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the GP of Abraxas Energy. Since January 2003, Mr. Watson has served as Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and director of Grey Wolf Exploration Inc., which we refer to as Grey Wolf, an oil and gas exploration and production company whose shares are listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange and which was, until February 2005, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum. From May 1996 to January 2003, Mr. Watson served as President, Chairman of the Board and a director of Grey Wolf Exploration, Inc., a former wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum, which we refer to as Old Grey Wolf, the capital stock of which was sold by Abraxas Petroleum in January 2003. From November 1996 to January 2003, Mr. Watson was Chairman of the Board, President and a director of Canadian Abraxas Petroleum Limited, which we refer to as Canadian Abraxas, a former wholly-owned Canadian subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum, the capital stock of which was sold by Abraxas Petroleum in January 2003. Prior to forming Abraxas Petroleum, Mr. Watson held petroleum engineering positions with Tesoro Petroleum Corporation and DeGolyer and MacNaughton. Mr. Watson received a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering from Southern Methodist University in 1972 and a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Texas at San Antonio in 1974. Mr. Watson currently devotes approximately one-third of his business time to his obligations for Grey Wolf; however, Grey Wolf has agreed to merge with Insignia Energy Ltd. and, upon receipt of the approval of Grey Wolf's shareholders to this merger, Mr. Watson will no longer be the Chairman and CEO of Grey Wolf and does not expect to devote any business time to the surviving entity.

Chris E. Williford was elected Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of Abraxas Petroleum in January 1993 and as Executive Vice President and a director of Abraxas Petroleum in May 1993. Prior to the Merger, Mr. Williford also served as Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the GP of Abraxas Energy. Mr. Williford resigned as a director of Abraxas Petroleum in December 1999. From November 1996 to January 2003, Mr. Williford was Vice President and Assistant Secretary of Canadian Abraxas and Vice President of Old Grey Wolf. Prior to joining Abraxas Petroleum, Mr. Williford was Chief Financial Officer of American Natural Energy Corporation and President of Clark Resources Corp. Mr. Williford received a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration from Pittsburg State University in 1973.

Lee T. Billingsley has served as Vice President Exploration since joining Abraxas Petroleum in 1998. Dr. Billingsley founded Sandia Oil & Gas Corp. in 1983 and served as its President until Sandia merged into Abraxas Petroleum in 1998. Prior to forming Sandia, Dr. Billingsley worked for Tenneco Oil Company and American Quasar Petroleum. Dr. Billingsley served as President of the American Association of Petroleum Geologist (AAPG) for the 2006-2007 term. Dr. Billingsley holds three degrees in Geology, Bachelor of Science and Doctorate from Texas A&M University and Master of Science from Colorado School of Mines.

William H. Wallace has served as Vice President Operations since 2000. Mr. Wallace served as Abraxas Petroleum's Superintendent/Senior Operations Engineer, from 1995 to 2000. Prior to joining Abraxas Petroleum, Mr. Wallace was associated with Dorchester Gas Producing Company and Parker and Parsley. Mr. Wallace received a Bachelor of Science degree in Petroleum Engineering from Texas Tech University in 1981.

Stephen T. Wendel has served as Vice President Land and Marketing since 1990 and as Corporate Secretary since 1988. Mr. Wendel served as Abraxas Petroleum's Manager of Joint Interests and Natural Gas Contracts, from 1982 to 1990. Prior to joining Abraxas Petroleum, Mr. Wendel held accounting, auditing and marketing positions with Tenneco Oil Company and Tesoro Petroleum Corporation. Mr. Wendel received a Bachelor of Business Administration degree in Accounting from Texas Lutheran University in 1971.

Barbara M. Stuckey has served as Vice President Corporate Finance and Assistant Secretary since 2007. Prior to the Merger, Ms. Stuckey also served as President and Secretary of the GP of Abraxas Energy. Ms. Stuckey joined Abraxas Petroleum in 1997 and has held positions in investor relations, corporate finance, land and marketing. Ms. Stuckey received a Bachelor of Arts degree from the University of Texas at San Antonio in 1991 and a Master of Business Administration degree from the Bordeaux Business School in 2004.

Table of Contents**Directors**

C. Scott Bartlett, Jr., a director of Abraxas Petroleum since December 1999, has over 50 years of commercial banking experience, the most recent being with National Westminster Bank USA (prior to being acquired by Bank of America), ultimately serving as Executive Vice President, Senior Lending Officer and Chairman of the Credit Policy Committee. Mr. Bartlett attended Princeton University, and has a certificate in Advanced Management from Pennsylvania State University.

Franklin A. Burke, a director of Abraxas Petroleum since June 1992, has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Burke, Lawton, Brewer & Burke, a securities brokerage firm, since 1964, as President of Venture Securities Corporation, since 1971, and as President, Director of Research and Portfolio Management of BLB&B Advisors, LLC, since 2006. Mr. Burke also serves as Trustee and Treasurer of The Williamson Free School of Mechanical Trades. Mr. Burke received a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration from Kansas State University in 1955, a Masters degree in Finance from University of Colorado in 1960 and studied at the graduate level at the London School of Economics from 1962 to 1963.

Harold D. Carter has served as a director of Abraxas Petroleum since October 2003. Mr. Carter has more than 40 years experience in the oil and gas industry and has been an independent consultant since 1990. Prior to consulting, Mr. Carter served as Executive Vice President of Pacific Enterprises Oil Company (USA). Before that, Mr. Carter was associated for 20 years with Sabine Corporation, ultimately serving as President and Chief Operating Officer from 1986 to 1989. Mr. Carter currently serves as a director of Brigham Exploration Company, a publicly traded oil and gas company, and Longview Energy Company, a privately-owned oil and gas exploration and production company. Mr. Carter also serves as Vice Chairman of the Board of Trustees for the Texas Scottish Rite Hospital for Children. Mr. Carter received a Bachelor of Business Administration degree in Petroleum Land Management from the University of Texas and completed the Program for Management Development at the Harvard University Business School. Mr. Carter was a director of Abraxas Petroleum from 1996 to 1999 and served as an advisory director from 1999 to October 2003.

Ralph F. Cox, a director of Abraxas Petroleum since December 1999, has over 50 years of oil and gas industry experience, over 30 of which was with Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO). Mr. Cox retired from ARCO in 1985 after serving as Vice Chairman. Mr. Cox then joined Union Pacific Resources, retiring in 1989 as President and Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Cox then joined Greenhill Petroleum Corporation as President until leaving in 1994 to pursue a consulting business. Mr. Cox currently serves on the board of CH2M Hill Companies, an engineering and construction firm, and as a trustee for Fidelity Mutual Funds. Mr. Cox also serves as a director of Validus International, a company specializing in oil field drilling tools, as a director of World GTL Inc., a gas-to-liquids production facility, as a director of E-T Energy Ltd., a Canadian oil sands extraction company, and as an advisory director of Impact Petroleum, an oil and gas exploration and production company. Prior to the Merger, Mr. Cox also served on the Abraxas Energy Board. Mr. Cox received Bachelor of Science degrees in Petroleum Engineering and Mechanical Engineering from Texas A&M University in 1954 and completed advanced studies at Emory University.

Dennis E. Logue, a director of Abraxas Petroleum since April 2003, has served as Chairman of the Board of Directors of Ledyard Financial Group since August 2005. Mr. Logue served as Dean and Fred E. Brown Chair at the Michael F. Price College of Business at the University of Oklahoma from 2001 through September 2005. Prior to joining Price College, Mr. Logue was the Steven Roth Professor at the Amos Tuck School at Dartmouth College where he had been since 1974. Mr. Logue currently serves as a director of Waddell & Reed Financial, Inc., a national financial services organization, and Duckwall-ALCO Stores, Inc., a general merchandise retailer serving smaller, hometown communities. Mr. Logue also serves on the board of Hypertherm, a privately-owned company specializing in plasma cutting tools and technology, and as a Trustee for the Montshire Museum of Science. Mr. Logue holds degrees from Fordham College, Rutgers, and Cornell University.

Table of Contents

Brian L. Melton was appointed to the Abraxas Energy Board in September 2008. As a result of the Merger, Mr. Melton will be appointed to the Abraxas Petroleum Board at the Effective Time of the Merger. Mr. Melton has served as Vice President of Corporate Strategy of Inergy, L.P. (Nasdaq: NRGY), a publicly traded limited partnership that specializes in retail propane distribution and midstream processing and storage facilities, since September 2008. Prior to joining Inergy, Mr. Melton was a Director in the Energy Corporate Investment Banking groups of Wachovia Securities and A.G. Edwards, prior to its Merger with Wachovia in October of 2007. Mr. Melton joined A.G. Edwards in July 2000 and was a senior member of the energy corporate finance team, which was consistently ranked as one of the top three underwriters / advisors of MLP s in the energy industry. From November 1995 until July 2000, Mr. Melton served as Director of Finance & Corporate Planning with TransMontaigne Inc., a downstream refined products supply, transportation and logistics company. Mr. Melton received a Bachelor of Science degree in Management and a Master of Business Administration degree from Arkansas State University.

Paul A. Powell, Jr., a director of Abraxas Petroleum since August 2005, has served as Vice President and director of Mechanical Development Co., Inc. a maker of precision production machine parts, since 1984. Mr. Powell is a managing partner of Claytor Equity Partners, Cortland Partners, JWM Partners, Emory Partners, Burnett Partners and President of Somerset Investments, Inc. Mr. Powell is also manager of Westpoint (2002) LLC and WMP Properties LLC, and co-manager of Wessex LLC. Mr. Powell also serves on the board of the Blue Ridge Mountain Council, Boy Scouts of America, and as trustee for numerous charitable trusts. Mr. Powell also serves on the board of trustees of Emory & Henry College. Mr. Powell attended Emory & Henry College and graduated from National Business College with a degree in Accounting. Mr. Powell previously served as director of Abraxas Petroleum from 1987 to 1999 and as an advisory director from 1999 to August 2005.

Edward P. Russell was appointed to the Abraxas Energy Board in January 2009. As a result of the Merger, Mr. Russell will be appointed to the Abraxas Petroleum Board at the Effective Time of the Merger. Mr. Russell currently serves as the President of Tortoise Capital Resources Corp. and Tortoise Gas and Oil Corp. Prior to joining Tortoise Capital Advisors, Mr. Russell was a Managing Director at Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Inc. where he headed the Energy and Power group. Prior to Stifel, Mr. Russell served more than 15 years as an investment banker at Pauli & Company, Inc. and Arch Capital, LLC and as a commercial banker with Magna Bank and Southside National Bank. Mr. Russell attended Maryville University in St. Louis, Missouri.

Director Independence

The Abraxas Petroleum Board has determined that each of the following members of the Abraxas Petroleum Board is independent as determined in accordance with the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market and Rule 10A-3 of the Exchange Act: C. Scott Bartlett, Jr., Franklin A. Burke, Harold D. Carter, Ralph F. Cox, Dennis E. Logue, Brian L. Melton, Paul A. Powell, Jr. and Edward P. Russell. All of the members of the Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees of the Abraxas Petroleum Board are independent as determined in accordance with the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market and Rule 10A-3 of the Exchange Act. The Abraxas Petroleum Board conducts an annual self-evaluation on key board and committee-related issues, which has proven to be a beneficial tool in the process of continuous improvement on board functioning and communication.

Table of Contents

DIRECTOR AND EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion & Analysis

Abraxas Petroleum compensates its executive officers through a combination of base salary, annual incentive bonuses and long-term equity based awards. The compensation is designed to be competitive with those of a peer group which we have selected for comparative purposes and to align the interests of our executive officers with the interests of our stockholders.

This section discusses the principles underlying our executive compensation policies and decisions, and the most important factors relevant to an analysis of these policies and decisions. It provides qualitative information regarding the manner and context in which compensation is awarded to and earned by our executive officers and places in perspective the data presented in the tables and narrative that follow.

Our Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee of the Abraxas Petroleum Board consists of Messrs. Cox, Carter and Logue. The Compensation Committee's role is to establish and oversee Abraxas Petroleum's compensation and benefit plans and policies, administer its stock option plans, and to annually review and approve all compensation decisions relating to Abraxas Petroleum's executive officers. The Compensation Committee submits its decisions regarding executive compensation to the independent members of the Abraxas Petroleum Board for approval. The agenda for meetings of the Compensation Committee is determined by its Chairman, Mr. Cox, and the meetings are regularly attended by Mr. Watson. At each meeting, the Compensation Committee also meets in executive session. Mr. Cox reports the committee's recommendations on executive compensation to the Abraxas Petroleum Board. Abraxas Petroleum's personnel support the Compensation Committee in its duties and, along with Mr. Watson, may be delegated authority to fulfill certain administrative duties regarding Abraxas Petroleum's compensation programs. The Compensation Committee has authority under its charter to retain, approve fees for and terminate advisors, consultants and agents as it deems necessary to assist in the fulfillment of its responsibilities but has not, in the past, utilized the services of a third party consultant to review the policies and procedures with respect to executive compensation. The Compensation Committee may engage a third party to provide such services in the future, as it deems necessary or appropriate at the time in question. The Compensation Committee has a written charter which is available for review on Abraxas Petroleum's website at www.abraxaspetroleum.com.

The Compensation Committee reviews all components of compensation for our executive officers, including base salary, annual incentive bonuses, long-term equity based awards, the dollar value to the executive and cost to Abraxas Petroleum of all benefits and all severance and change of control arrangements. Based on this review, the Compensation Committee has determined that the compensation paid to our executive officers reflects our compensation philosophy and objectives.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Messrs. Cox, Carter and Logue served on the Compensation Committee during 2008. No member of the Compensation Committee was at any time during 2008 or at any other time an officer or employee of Abraxas Petroleum, and no member had any relationship with Abraxas Petroleum requiring disclosure as a related-party transaction in the section "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" of this proxy statement. Mr. Cox is also a member of the Abraxas Energy Board. See "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" which summarizes transactions between Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy during 2008 and certain contractual relationships between Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy for services that Abraxas Petroleum provides to Abraxas Energy. Each of Messrs. Cox, Carter and Logue are independent as determined in accordance with the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market. No executive officer of Abraxas Petroleum has served on the board of directors or compensation committee of any other entity that has or has had one or more executive officers who served as a member of the Abraxas Petroleum Board or the Compensation Committee during 2008.

Table of Contents

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Our underlying philosophy in the development and administration of Abraxas Petroleum's annual and long-term compensation plans is to align the interests of our executive officers with those of Abraxas Petroleum's stockholders. Key elements of this philosophy are:

Establishing compensation plans that deliver base salaries which are competitive with companies in our peer group, within Abraxas Petroleum's budgetary constraints and commensurate with Abraxas Petroleum's salary structure.

Rewarding outstanding performance particularly where such performance is reflected by an increase in Abraxas Petroleum's Net Asset Value, as adjusted for changes in oil and gas prices.

Providing equity-based incentives to ensure motivation over the long-term to respond to Abraxas Petroleum's business challenges and opportunities as owners rather than just as employees.

The compensation currently paid to Abraxas Petroleum's executive officers consists of three core elements: base salary, annual bonuses under a performance-based, non-equity incentive plan and long-term equity based awards granted pursuant to the LTIP and the Abraxas Energy Partners, L.P. Long-Term Incentive Plan, which we refer to as the Partnership LTIP, plus other employee benefits generally available to all employees of Abraxas Petroleum. Abraxas Energy has no employees or dedicated senior management. The General Partner of Abraxas Energy is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Abraxas Petroleum and manages Abraxas Energy's operations and activities. Mr. Watson, Ms. Stuckey and Mr. Williford are the executive officers of the General Partner. The executive officers of the General Partner devote as much time to the management of Abraxas Energy's business and affairs as is necessary for the conduct of its business and affairs. Since Abraxas Energy's formation in May 2007, we estimate that they have devoted approximately 30-60% of their time to Abraxas Energy's business. Aside from incentive compensation awards under the Partnership LTIP, the executive officers of the General Partner are not compensated by the General Partner or Abraxas Petroleum for their services to Abraxas Energy.

We believe these elements support our underlying philosophy of aligning the interests of our executive officers with those of Abraxas Petroleum's stockholders by providing the executive officers a competitive salary, an opportunity for annual bonuses, and equity-based incentives to ensure motivation over the long-term. We view the three core elements of compensation as related but distinct. Although we review total compensation, we do not believe that significant compensation derived from one component of compensation should increase or reduce compensation from another component. We determine the appropriate level for each component of compensation separately. We have not adopted any formal or informal policies or guidelines for allocating compensation among long-term incentives and annual base salary and bonuses, between cash and non-cash compensation, or among different forms of non-cash compensation; however, we do consider the age, tenure and seniority of each executive officer in making compensation decisions. The Abraxas Petroleum Board has recently adopted stock ownership guidelines. Please read "Stock Ownership Guidelines" for more information.

Abraxas Petroleum does not have any other deferred compensation programs or supplemental executive retirement plans and no benefits are provided to Abraxas Petroleum's executive officers that are not otherwise available to all employees of Abraxas Petroleum, and no benefits are valued in excess of \$10,000 per employee per year.

Elements of Executive Compensation

Executive compensation consists of the following elements:

Base Salary. In determining base salaries for the executive officers of Abraxas Petroleum, we aim to set base salaries at a level we believe enables us to hire and retain individuals in a competitive environment and to reward individual performance and contribution to our overall business goals. We review the salary structure of

Table of Contents

Abraxas Petroleum as compared to a peer group of exploration and production companies included in the William M. Mercer 2008 Energy Compensation Survey, which we refer to as the Mercer Energy Survey. We chose the Mercer Energy Survey as a benchmark because the survey includes over 200 companies within the energy industry and covers all components of compensation across the full spectrum of positions and responsibilities. The companies included in this survey are listed on Annex E. Abraxas Petroleum has participated in the survey since its inception in 1999 and of the participants, 66% categorized themselves as an exploration and production company, and of these 75% are publicly-traded companies.

Abraxas Petroleum's salary range is set by reference to the salaries paid by our peer group companies in the Mercer Energy Survey while remaining within Abraxas Petroleum's budgetary constraints. We use the companies in our peer group to compare Abraxas Petroleum's salary structure to that of other companies that compete with Abraxas Petroleum for executives but without targeting salaries to be higher, lower, or approximately the same as those of the companies in the peer group. We believe that the base salary levels for our executive officers are consistent with the practices of the companies in our peer group and increases in base salary levels from time to time are designed to reflect competitive practices in the industry, individual performance and the officer's contribution to our overall business goals. Individual performance and contribution to the overall business goals of Abraxas Petroleum are subjective measures and evaluated by Mr. Watson and the Compensation Committee.

The base salaries paid to our named executive officers in 2008 are set forth below in the Summary Compensation Table. For 2008, base salaries, paid to our named executive officers as cash compensation, were \$1,118,000 with Mr. Watson receiving \$348,250. We believe that the base salaries paid achieved our objectives.

Annual Bonuses. Abraxas Petroleum's current bonus plan was adopted by the Abraxas Petroleum Board in 2003, and later amended to include all of our executive officers. The purpose of the bonus plan is to create financial incentives for our executive officers that are tied directly to increases in Net Asset Value, or NAV, per share of Abraxas Petroleum common stock. We chose NAV as the foundation of the bonus plan because we believe that NAV equates to the value of Abraxas Petroleum's reserve base, giving risked credit for non-proven reserves, and adjusted for other assets and liabilities, including long-term debt. We believe that NAV is a better indicator of the health of Abraxas Petroleum than its stock price, as the success of finding oil and gas is directly reflected in our NAV, while our stock price can be influenced by a number of factors outside the control of the executive officers of Abraxas Petroleum. In addition, many exploration and production analysts use NAV per share comparisons to establish price targets for the companies they follow. Under the bonus plan, NAV is calculated at each year-end after receipt of the reserve report from our independent petroleum engineering firm and the audited financials, subject to certain adjustments, as follows:

Net Asset Value Calculation:	
	PV10 Proved Reserves
+	PV10 Risked Probable Reserves
+	Abraxas Petroleum's Equity Value in the Partnership (1)
+	Property & Equipment
+	Acreage
+	Other Assets
±	Net Working Capital
	Debt
=	Net Asset Value (NAV)
÷	Shares Outstanding
=	NAV per share

(1) After the Merger is consummated, this component will be removed from the NAV calculation.

Table of Contents

The proved and probable reserves are estimated at year-end in accordance with guidelines published by the Society of Petroleum Engineers (SPE), and all other items in the calculation are derived from our year-end audited financials. The PV10 of the proved and probable reserves is the present value, using a 10% discount rate, of the future net cash flows before income tax calculated within the parameters set forth by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The calculated NAV is then divided by the number of outstanding shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock at year-end to arrive at the NAV per share.

The annual bonuses are calculated by the percentage increase in the current year-end NAV per share over the previous year-end NAV per share up to the first 10%; after 10% has been achieved, all excess percentage increases are doubled, with a maximum award for any one-year of 70% of the executive officer's base annual salary. For example, if the percentage increase in NAV for a given year was 15%, the calculated bonus would be equal to 20% of the executive officer's annual base salary. Therefore, in order to compare NAV year-over-year, the current year-end PV10 for proved and probable reserves are calculated with commodity prices used in the previous year-end PV10 calculations. Then, for the ensuing year, the PV10 for proved and probable reserves are calculated with current commodity prices to establish the NAV per share at the beginning of a given year, thus the difference between the calculated NAV per share at the end of a given year and the calculated NAV per share at the beginning of the following year.

In the first quarter of each year, the NAV per share for the prior year is calculated after reserves are estimated and audited financial statements are available. Mr. Watson submits the annual bonus calculation to the Compensation Committee for review and discussion.

For example, at the beginning of 2006, the calculated NAV per share was \$5.98 and the calculated NAV per share at the end of 2006 was \$4.77, utilizing commodity prices as of December 31, 2005. As a result, no bonuses were earned under this plan in 2006. At the beginning of 2007, the calculated NAV per share was \$1.60, utilizing commodity prices as of December 31, 2006 and the calculated NAV per share at the end of 2007 was \$3.17, a 98% increase.

On March 11, 2008, the Compensation Committee recommended 2007 annual bonus awards for our executive officers, and the board approved these annual bonus awards at its meeting on March 11, 2008. The following table details the 2007 bonus earned by our named executive officers:

Name	Base Salary	Bonus Award Achieved (Percentage of Salary) (1)	Maximum Award (Percentage of Salary)	Annual Bonus Awarded Under the Annual Bonus Plan
Robert L.G. Watson	\$ 343,000	186%	70%	\$ 240,100
Chris E. Williford	209,000	186%	70%	146,300
Lee T. Billingsley	195,000	186%	70%	136,500
William H. Wallace	195,000	186%	70%	136,500
Stephen T. Wendel	158,000	186%	70%	110,600

(1) 98% increase in NAV: 1% for the first 10%, then 2% for each percent increase $(10 + (88 \times 2)) = 186\%$

At the beginning of 2008, the calculated NAV per share was \$3.61 and the calculated NAV per share at the end of 2008 was \$2.96, utilizing commodity prices as of December 31, 2007. As a result, no bonuses were earned under this plan in 2008. The award opportunities for 2008 are reflected in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table in the Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards columns and in the Summary Compensation Table as earned with respect to 2008 in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column.

The Compensation Committee has the discretion to defer all or any part of any bonus to future years, to pay all or any portion of any bonus, or deferred bonus, in shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock and has the discretion to pay bonuses even if no bonus would be payable under the bonus plan, and further has the discretion not to pay bonuses even if a bonus was earned under the bonus plan. In the past, the Compensation Committee

Table of Contents

has elected to pay a portion of an annual bonus in shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock and may continue to do so in the future. The Compensation Committee reviews the cash position of Abraxas Petroleum and the amount of the annual bonus when making such determinations. The Compensation Committee also has the discretion to pay bonuses outside of this plan.

Long-Term Equity Incentives. Our executive officers are eligible to receive long-term equity incentives under the LTIP and the Partnership LTIP.

In determining whether to grant long-term incentive awards, such awards will be substantially contingent upon the conclusion of Mr. Watson and the Abraxas Petroleum Board, in the case of the LTIP, and the Board of Directors of the General Partner of Abraxas Energy (which we refer to as the Abraxas Energy Board), in the case of the Partnership LTIP (and only the Abraxas Petroleum Board and the Abraxas Energy Board, as the case may be, with respect to awards to be made to Mr. Watson) as to whether individual and management's collective efforts have produced attractive long-term returns to Abraxas Petroleum stockholders by increasing the market price of our common stock and Abraxas Energy's unitholders by increasing cash distributions and the market price of its common units over time as well as Abraxas Energy's ability to make accretive acquisitions. In determining whether to grant long-term incentive awards, we anticipate that neither Mr. Watson nor the Abraxas Petroleum Board or the Abraxas Energy Board will have specific numerical targets, but rather will make a subjective determination based upon the state of the oil and gas exploration and production industry and other general economic factors at the time of their evaluation.

In the first quarter of each year, Mr. Watson submits his recommendations for long-term equity incentive awards under the LTIP to the Compensation Committee and under the Partnership LTIP to the Abraxas Energy Board based upon his subjective evaluation of the individual performance of each executive officer, except himself. Mr. Watson also factors in the quantity and value of the long-term incentives that each executive officer has been previously awarded. The Compensation Committee, for awards under the LTIP, and the Abraxas Energy Board, for awards under the Partnership LTIP, reviews and discusses Mr. Watson's recommendations and makes final determinations as to such awards. For awards to be made to Mr. Watson, the Compensation Committee and the Abraxas Energy Board, as the case may be, subjectively evaluate Mr. Watson's performance and, in their sole authority, determine, how many, if any, long-term equity incentive awards to grant to Mr. Watson. The Compensation Committee and the Abraxas Energy Board also consider the quantity and value of the long-term equity incentive awards previously granted to Mr. Watson when considering making awards to him. In determining whether to grant long-term equity incentive awards, we seek to ensure that the total compensation package, including cash compensation, is competitive with the compensation paid by the companies included in the Mercer Energy Survey, in the case of Abraxas Petroleum, and the group of upstream master limited partnerships described below, in the case of Abraxas Energy, yet such awards are substantially contingent upon the conclusion of Mr. Watson and the Compensation Committee, in the case of Abraxas Petroleum, or the Abraxas Energy Board, in the case of Abraxas Energy, as to whether individual and management's collective efforts have produced attractive long-term returns to Abraxas Petroleum's stockholders, in the case of Abraxas Petroleum, and to Abraxas Energy's unitholders, in the case of Abraxas Energy. We also consider past grants to each executive officer and the level to which such past grants are (or are not) in-the-money.

Abraxas Petroleum has historically granted long-term equity incentives after Mr. Watson presents his recommendations to the Compensation Committee, in the case of Abraxas Petroleum, in the first quarter; however, we have not granted long-term equity incentives every year and we have awarded long-term equity incentive awards at other times during the year, principally in the event of a new hire, substantial promotion or significant event, such as the completion of a financing transaction or an accretive acquisition. We believe that such events warrant the granting of awards outside the normal course of business as these events are significant to the future success of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy. We do not time award grants in coordination with the release of material non-public information.

LTIP. The LTIP, which was approved by our stockholders at the 2006 annual meeting and amended by our stockholders at the 2008 annual meeting, authorizes us to grant incentive stock options, non-qualified stock

Table of Contents

options and shares of restricted stock to our executive officers, as well as to all employees of Abraxas Petroleum. We use equity incentives as a form of long-term compensation because it provides our executive officers an opportunity to acquire an equity interest in Abraxas Petroleum and further aligns their interest with those of our stockholders. Options grants generally have a term of 10 years and vest in equal increments over four years. Restricted stock grants vest in accordance with each individual grant agreement. Vesting is accelerated in certain events described under Employment Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control.

The purposes of this plan are to employ and retain qualified and competent personnel and to promote the growth and success of Abraxas Petroleum, which can be accomplished by aligning the long-term interests of the executive officers with those of the stockholders by providing the executive officers an opportunity to acquire an equity interest in Abraxas Petroleum. All grants are made with an exercise price equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of such grant.

Abraxas Petroleum Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table gives aggregate information regarding grants under all of Abraxas Petroleum's equity compensation plans through December 31, 2008.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	1,967,526	\$ 3.14	1,503,072
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	422,252	\$ 1.29	

For more information about the LTIP, please see Proposal 2 Amendment of LTIP.

Partnership LTIP. In connection with the formation of Abraxas Energy in May 2007, the Abraxas Energy Board adopted the Partnership LTIP to provide incentive compensation awards for employees, consultants and directors who perform services for the General Partner and its affiliates, including Abraxas Petroleum. Under the Partnership LTIP, options, restricted units, phantom units, unit appreciation rights and other unit-based awards are available for issuance. Awards may provide for the issuance of common units of Abraxas Energy, payments of cash, or a combination of both. Awards under the Partnership LTIP are limited to 1,136,160 units. The Partnership LTIP is administered by the Abraxas Energy Board. The exercise price of all unit option awards will be no less than 100% of the fair market value on the date of the award, and as a general rule, all long-term incentive awards will contain a four-year vesting schedule to ensure motivation over the long-term to respond to Abraxas Energy's business challenges and opportunities as owners rather than just employees.

On January 31, 2008, in connection with the closing of the acquisition of oil and gas properties from St. Mary, the Abraxas Energy Board awarded 63,008 phantom units with distribution equivalency rights under the Partnership LTIP to certain key employees of Abraxas Petroleum. The phantom units and associated distribution equivalency rights vest over four years and their value is based on the market price of Abraxas Energy's common units, Abraxas Energy's quarterly cash distributions and the percentage increase in Abraxas Energy's cash distributions over time. For each quarter that unvested phantom units are outstanding, distribution equivalency rights entitle the holder of phantom units to receive additional phantom units equal to the cash distribution per unit made by Abraxas Energy to the common unitholders divided by the market price of Abraxas Energy's common units on the date of the distribution multiplied by the holder's cumulative number of unvested phantom units. On each vesting date, a holder of phantom units is entitled to receive a cash payment equal to the product of: (1) the number of vested phantom units awarded to such participant (including phantom units awarded

Table of Contents

pursuant to distribution equivalency rights), (2) the market price of Abraxas Energy's common units on the vesting date and (3) a performance milestone multiplier that is based on the percentage increase in Abraxas Energy's cash distribution per unit as of the most recent quarterly distribution on the first vesting date compared to the most recent quarterly distribution on the phantom unit grant date. After the first vesting date, the percentage increase in Abraxas Energy's cash distribution per unit is based on the most recent quarterly distribution on a particular vesting date compared to the most recent quarterly distribution on the prior vesting date. The performance milestone multiplier is determined as follows:

Percentage Increase in Cash Distribution	Performance Milestone Multiplier
0 to 4.99%	0
5.00%	1.0
5.01% to 14.99%	1.0 plus 0.1 for each whole percentage point over 5.00%
15.00% and above	2.0

In making the awards of phantom units, the Abraxas Energy Board established a basket of phantom unit awards for acquisitions. After the closing of a significant acquisition (or a group of smaller acquisitions), the Abraxas Energy Board will determine what amount of phantom units, if any, to award to Abraxas Energy's executive officers, Abraxas Petroleum's executive officers and key employees of Abraxas Petroleum based on their subjective determination of the contributions by each such officer or key employee to the acquisition. For the first vesting period, the maximum performance milestone of 2.0 was achieved and on February 16, 2009, a total of \$266,508 was paid to the phantom unit award recipients based on an implied market price of \$7.67 per unit. The implied market price was calculated based on the closing market price of a peer group of E&P MLPs divided by that entity's most recently paid or declared quarterly distribution times four. The trading yields were averaged and a 10% premium was applied to compensate for Abraxas Energy being a private entity, and the resultant yield was applied to Abraxas Energy's most recently paid or declared distribution times four.

On January 2, 2009, the Abraxas Energy Board awarded 52,000 restricted units to employees of Abraxas Petroleum and directors of the General Partner. In conjunction with and subject to the consummation of the initial public offering of Abraxas Energy, the Abraxas Energy Board approved the grant of 248,950 unit options to certain key employees of Abraxas Petroleum.

The awards to the named executive officers of Abraxas Petroleum were as follows:

Name	Phantom Units	Restricted Units	Unit Options
Robert L.G. Watson	9,991	6,000	63,000
Chris E. Williford	5,693	2,500	15,750
Lee T. Billingsley	5,693	2,500	15,750
William H. Wallace	5,693	2,500	15,750
Stephen T. Wendel	5,693	2,500	15,750

The remaining 30,245 phantom units, 36,000 restricted units and 122,950 unit options were awarded to certain key employees of Abraxas Petroleum and directors of the General Partner. In making the awards of unit options and restricted units, the Abraxas Energy Board reviewed similar awards made by a group of upstream master limited partnerships and further reviewed the components of those awards with respect to the percentage of restricted units compared to the percentage of unit options awarded. The group of master limited partnerships reviewed consisted of Breitburn Energy Partners L.P., EV Energy Partners, L.P., Atlas Energy Resources, LLC, Constellation Energy Partners LLC, Legacy Reserves LP, Linn Energy, LLC, and Vanguard Natural Resources, LLC.

As a result of this review, the Abraxas Energy Board determined that of the comparable partnerships that granted awards at the time of their initial public offerings, an average of 25% of the awards available under their

Table of Contents

respective long-term incentive plans were granted and that approximately 16% of those awards were in the form of restricted units and the remainder were granted as unit options. Several of the comparable partnerships did not grant awards at the time of their initial public offerings. In connection with and subject to Abraxas Energy's initial public offering and on January 2, 2009 with respect to the restricted unit awards, the Abraxas Energy Board granted 300,950 units to directors of the General Partner and certain key employees of Abraxas Petroleum, which equate to 26% of the available awards under the Partnership LTIP, with 17% being in the form of restricted units and the remainder, unit options.

Abraxas Energy Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table gives aggregate information regarding grants under all of Abraxas Energy's equity compensation plans through December 31, 2008.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	63,008	\$	1,073,152
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders		\$	

Employment Contracts, Change-In-Control Arrangements and Certain Other Matters. We provide the opportunity for our executive officers to be protected under the severance and change in control provisions contained in their employment agreements. We believe that these provisions help us to attract and retain an appropriate caliber of talent for these positions. Our severance and change in control provisions for the executive officers are summarized in Employment Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control below. Based upon the Mercer Energy Survey, we believe that our severance and change in control provisions are consistent with the programs and levels of severance and post employment compensation of other companies in our peer group and believe that these arrangements are reasonable.

Other Employee Benefits. Abraxas Petroleum's executive officers are eligible to participate in all of our employee benefit plans, such as medical, dental, group life and long-term disability insurance, in each case on the same basis as other employees. In addition to employee group life insurance, Abraxas Petroleum has a key-man life insurance policy on Mr. Watson. Abraxas Petroleum's executive officers are also eligible to participate in our 401(k) plan on the same basis as other employees. The Abraxas Petroleum Board, at its sole discretion, may authorize Abraxas Petroleum to match (in part or in whole) the contributions of each employee to the 401(k) plan during a given year; Abraxas Petroleum contributions may be in the form of cash, shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock or a combination thereof. In addition, the Abraxas Petroleum Board has recently issued a recommendation on the maximum amount (or percentage) of Abraxas Petroleum common stock that each employee should own in their individual 401(k) account. The maximum recommended percentage has been set at 20%.

2009 Compensation Decisions

Base Salaries. Base salaries for 2009 did not increase or decrease from 2008 for our named executive officers. We believe this reflects current practices in the industry as supported by the Mercer Energy Survey.

Annual Bonuses. At the beginning of 2009, the calculated NAV per share was \$1.52, utilizing commodity prices as of December 31, 2008.

Long-Term Equity Incentives. On January 2, 2009, the Abraxas Energy Board awarded 52,000 restricted units to employees of Abraxas Petroleum and directors of the General Partner. The restricted units vest over four

Table of Contents

years with 25% vesting on each anniversary date of the award. On March 17, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Board awarded 600,400 options to employees of Abraxas Petroleum, of which 325,000 were awarded to the named executive officers.

Impact of Regulatory Requirements

Deductibility of Executive Compensation. In 1993, the federal tax laws were amended to limit the deduction a publicly-held company is allowed for compensation paid to the chief executive officer and to the four most highly compensated executive officers other than the chief executive officer. Generally, amounts paid in excess of \$1.0 million to a covered executive, other than performance-based compensation, cannot be deducted. In order to constitute performance-based compensation for purposes of the tax law, stockholders must approve the performance measures. Since Abraxas Petroleum does not anticipate that the compensation for any executive officer will exceed the \$1.0 million threshold in the near term, stockholder approval necessary to maintain the tax deductibility of compensation at or above that level is not being requested. We will reconsider this matter if compensation levels approach this threshold, in light of the tax laws then in effect. We will consider ways to maximize the deductibility of executive compensation, while retaining the discretion necessary to compensate executive officers in a manner commensurate with performance and the competitive environment for executive talent.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation. On October 22, 2004, the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 was signed into law, changing the tax rules applicable to nonqualified deferred compensation arrangements. We believe we are in compliance with the statutory provisions which were effective January 1, 2005 and the regulations which became effective on January 1, 2009.

Accounting for Equity-Based Compensation. Beginning on October 1, 2005, we began accounting for equity-based compensation in accordance with the requirements of FASB Statement 123(R) for all of our equity-based compensation plans. See Note 8 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in this proxy statement for a discussion of all assumptions made in the calculation of this amount.

Policy on Recovery of Compensation. Our CEO and CFO are required to repay certain bonuses and equity-based compensation they receive if we are required to restate our financial statements as a result of misconduct as required by Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth a summary of compensation paid to each of the named executive officers of Abraxas Petroleum for the last three fiscal years.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$ (1))	Bonus (\$ (2))	Stock	Option	Non-Equity	All Other	Total (\$ (7))
				Awards (\$ (3))	Awards (\$ (4))	Incentive Plan Compensation (\$ (5))	Compensation (\$ (6))	
Robert L.G. Watson President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board	2008	348,250	13,462	50,893	119,273		10,250	542,128
	2007	339,750	13,192	4,299	103,366	240,100	10,250	710,957
	2006	326,000	12,692		101,688		10,000	450,380
Chris E. Williford Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	2008	212,750	8,231	26,453	104,784		7,245	359,463
	2007	207,000	8,038	1,574	98,383	146,300	7,245	468,540
	2006	199,000	7,731		98,456		8,254	313,441
Lee T. Billingsley Vice President Exploration	2008	198,000	7,654	26,846	57,293		10,250	300,043
	2007	193,250	7,500	1,709	51,248	136,500	10,250	400,457
	2006	186,250	7,231		48,950		10,000	252,431
William H. Wallace Vice President Operations	2008	198,000	7,654	39,894	58,598		10,250	314,396
	2007	193,250	7,500	6,197	51,697	136,500	10,250	405,394
	2006	186,250	7,231		48,950		6,519	248,950
Stephen T. Wendel Vice President Land & Marketing	2008	161,000	6,231	26,482	56,628		7,750	258,091
	2007	156,500	6,077	1,584	50,648	110,600	7,750	333,159
	2006	150,750	33,846		48,919		6,784	240,299

- (1) The amounts in this column include any contributions made by the named executive officer to his 401(k) plan account.
- (2) The amounts in this column reflect a discretionary holiday bonus and, in the case of Mr. Wendel in 2006, also include a one-time discretionary bonus due to a change in his annual bonus plan.
- (3) The amounts in this column reflect the recognized value of stock awards granted to the named executive officer calculated in accordance with SFAS 123R for the year ended December 31, 2008, which include stock awards granted in prior years to the extent they were not fully-vested by January 1, 2008 and amounts relating to the recognized value of phantom units granted under the Partnership LTIP. See note 8 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in this proxy statement for a discussion of all assumptions made in the calculation of this amount. The following table sets forth the amounts attributable to each named executive officer for equity awards under the LTIP and the Partnership LTIP in 2008.

Name	Plan	Stock Award (\$)
Robert L.G. Watson	LTIP	12,500
	Partnership LTIP	38,393
Chris E. Williford	LTIP	4,576
	Partnership LTIP	21,877
Lee T. Billingsley	LTIP	4,969
	Partnership LTIP	21,877
William H. Wallace	LTIP	18,017
	Partnership LTIP	21,877
Stephen T. Wendel	LTIP	4,605

- (4) The amounts in this column reflect the recognized value of options granted to the named executive officer, calculated in accordance with SFAS 123R for the year ended December 31, 2008, which include options granted in prior years to the extent they were not fully-vested by January 1, 2008. See note 8 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in this proxy statement for a discussion of all assumptions made in the calculation of this amount.
- (5) The amounts in this column represent cash bonuses earned under the annual bonus plan.

Table of Contents

(6) The amounts in this column represent contributions by Abraxas Petroleum to the named executive officers 401(k) plan account.

(7) The dollar value in this column for each named executive officer represents the sum of all compensation reflected in the previous columns.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table provides information with regard to grants of non-equity incentive compensation and all other stock awards to the named executive officers of Abraxas Petroleum. Abraxas Petroleum did not grant any option awards in 2008; therefore, these columns have been omitted from the following table.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)(4)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)		
Robert L.G. Watson	n/a(1)		240,100	245,000		
	01/31/2008(2)				9,991	38,393
	09/10/2008(3)				3,469	8,638
Chris E. Williford	n/a(1)		146,300	149,800		
	01/31/2008(2)				5,693	21,877
	09/10/2008(3)				1,270	3,162
Lee T. Billingsley	n/a(1)		136,500	139,300		
	01/31/2008(2)				5,693	21,877
	09/10/2008(3)				1,379	3,434
William H. Wallace	n/a(1)		136,500	139,300		
	01/31/2008(2)				5,693	21,877
	09/10/2008(3)				5,000	12,450
Stephen T. Wendel	n/a(1)		110,600	113,400		
	01/31/2008(2)				5,693	21,877
	09/10/2008(3)				1,278	3,182

(1) Awards potentially payable under our annual bonus plan. The annual bonus plan does not provide for a threshold level as the bonuses under the plan can range from 0 to the maximum, which equals 70% of each named executive officer's base salary. The target amount was not determinable on the date of grant; therefore, the amount set forth in the target column reflects the amount each named executive officer earned under the plan in 2007, which was the most recent year in which bonuses under this plan were earned, as a representative amount. Please see the discussion under Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Executive Compensation Annual Bonuses for more information. During 2007, our named executive officers earned \$770,000 in bonuses under the annual bonus plan. Please refer to column 5 of the Summary Compensation Table.

(2) Phantom units granted by Abraxas Energy on January 31, 2008 under the Partnership LTIP.

(3) Restricted shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock issued on September 10, 2008 under the LTIP.

(4) The amounts in this column reflect the recognized value of stock awards granted in 2008 to the named executive officer calculated in accordance with SFAS 123R for the year ended December 31, 2008. See note 8 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in this proxy statement for a discussion of all assumptions made in the calculation of this amount.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End**

The table below contains information concerning outstanding equity awards at December 31, 2008 for the named executive officers of Abraxas Petroleum.

Name	OPTION AWARDS				STOCK AWARDS	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (Exercisable)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (Unexercisable) (1)	Option Exercise Price (\$) (2)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares of Stock That Have Not Vested (3)	Market Value of Shares of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) (4)
Robert L.G. Watson	60,000		0.66	05/26/2010		
	60,000		1.38	05/26/2010		
	30,000		0.66	03/23/2011		
	30,000		4.83	03/23/2011		
	6,856		0.66	09/17/2011		
	6,857		2.21	09/17/2011		
	90,000		0.65	11/22/2012		
	75,000	25,000	4.59	09/13/2015		
10,406	31,218	3.60	08/28/2017			
				13,875	9,990	
Chris E. Williford	40,000		0.66	05/26/2010		
	20,000		0.66	03/23/2011		
	43,000		0.65	11/22/2012		
	75,000	25,000	4.59	09/13/2015		
	3,809	11,424	3.60	08/28/2017		
				5,078	3,656	
Lee T. Billingsley	30,000		0.66	11/18/2009		
	15,000		0.66	03/23/2011		
	22,000		0.65	11/22/2012		
	15,000		0.68	04/24/2013		
	37,500	12,500	4.59	09/13/2015		
	4,136	12,407	3.60	08/28/2017		
				5,514	3,970	
William H. Wallace	30,000		0.66	11/18/2009		
	15,000		0.66	03/23/2011		
	22,000		0.65	11/22/2012		
	15,000		0.68	04/24/2013		
	37,500	12,500	4.59	09/13/2015		
	4,730	14,190	3.60	08/28/2017		
				20,000	14,400	
Stephen T. Wendel	15,000		0.66	11/18/2009		
	10,000		0.66	03/23/2011		
	17,000		0.65	11/22/2012		
	37,500	12,500	4.59	09/13/2015		
	3,833	11,497	3.60	08/28/2017		
				5,110	3,679	

(1) Options vest in twenty-five percent (25%) increments each year for four (4) years on the anniversary of the grant date.

(2)

Edgar Filing: ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEFM14A

On December 6, 2002, the Abraxas Petroleum Board approved a plan pursuant to which the price of each outstanding stock option granted to employees of Abraxas Petroleum with an exercise price greater than

Table of Contents

\$0.66 per share was reduced to \$0.66 per share. However, only one-half of Mr. Watson's options were repriced at \$0.66. The repricing was approved in connection with Abraxas Petroleum's financial restructuring which was consummated in January 2003. As part of the negotiations that Abraxas Petroleum had undertaken with the beneficial holder of the largest block of Abraxas Petroleum's then outstanding second lien notes, the holder conditioned its participation in the exchange offer for the second lien notes on the repricing. Because the Abraxas Petroleum Board believed that the financial restructuring, including the exchange offer, represented the best alternative available to Abraxas Petroleum to reduce its long term indebtedness and to increase its liquidity, the Abraxas Petroleum Board approved the repricing. The effectiveness of the repricing was conditioned upon the consummation of the financial restructuring which occurred on January 23, 2003.

- (3) In general, stock awards vest in twenty-five percent (25%) increments each year for four (4) years on the anniversary of the grant date. As each increment vests, a new award equal to the most recently vested portion is granted and vests on the 4th anniversary after the grant date.
- (4) The market value was calculated from the closing price of Abraxas Petroleum's common stock on December 31, 2008 of \$0.72 per share multiplied by the number of shares of stock that had not vested as of December 31, 2008.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The table below contains information concerning exercises of stock options and other stock awards by the named executive officers of Abraxas Petroleum during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008.

Name	OPTION AWARDS	
	Number of Shares	
	Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)
Robert L.G. Watson	20,000	65,200(3)
Chris E. Williford	20,000(1)	58,600(4)
Lee T. Billingsley	33,000	90,420(5)
William H. Wallace	6,500(2)	17,225(6)
Stephen T. Wendel	10,000	18,200(7)

- (1) Of this amount, 3,677 shares were utilized as payment of the exercise price.
- (2) Of this amount, 1,315 shares were utilized as payment of the exercise price.
- (3) These options were exercised on July 24, 2008 with an exercise price of \$0.66 and the closing price of Abraxas Petroleum's common stock on even date was \$3.92, for a realized value of \$3.26 per share.
- (4) These options were exercised on July 28, 2008 with an exercise price of \$0.66 and the closing price of Abraxas Petroleum's common stock on that date was \$3.59, for a realized value of \$2.93 per share.
- (5) These options were exercised on April 4, 2008 with an exercise price of \$0.66 and the closing price of Abraxas Petroleum's common stock on that date was \$3.40, for a realized value of \$2.74 per share.
- (6) These options were exercised on August 25, 2008 with an exercise price of \$0.66 and the closing price of Abraxas Petroleum's common stock on that date was \$3.31, for a realized value of \$2.65 per share.
- (7) These options were exercised on September 9, 2008 with an exercise price of \$0.66 and the closing price of Abraxas Petroleum's common stock on that date was \$2.48, for a realized value of \$1.82 per share.

Pension Benefits

Abraxas Petroleum does not sponsor any pension benefit plans and none of the named executive officers contribute to such a plan.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation

Abraxas Petroleum does not sponsor any non-qualified defined compensation plans or other non-qualified deferred compensation plans and none of the named executive officers contributes to any such plans.

Table of Contents**Stock Ownership Guidelines**

The Abraxas Petroleum Board has recently established stock ownership guidelines to strengthen the alignment of director and executive officer interests with those of stockholders. As of December 31, 2008, we had six non-employee directors and six executive officers subject to stock ownership guidelines. Under the guidelines below, each director and executive officer is precluded from selling any shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock or common units of Abraxas Energy until the director or executive officer satisfies the ownership guidelines set forth in the following table. The stock ownership guidelines may be satisfied by owning shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock and/or common units of Abraxas Energy. Satisfaction of the ownership guidelines will fluctuate with the market value of the underlying shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock and/or common units of Abraxas Energy.

Position	Stock Ownership Guidelines
Chief Executive Officer	5x annual base salary
All other Executive Officers	3x annual base salary
Non-employee Directors	3x all fees received during the prior 12-month period, including the value of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock awarded in lieu of cash payments at the time of issuance

The Abraxas Petroleum Board has discretion to review special situations; however, non-compliance without board approval can result in the loss of future bonuses and discretionary stock-based compensation. As of December 31, 2008, the market value of Abraxas Petroleum common stock was \$0.72 per share and the market value of common units of Abraxas Energy was \$7.23 per common unit. As an example, Mr. Watson, our chief executive officer, would be required to own 2,418,403 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock or 240,837 common units of Abraxas Energy, or a combination thereof, to meet the stock ownership guidelines. As of December 31, 2008, three directors had satisfied the minimum stock ownership guidelines.

Employment Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

Abraxas Petroleum has entered into employment agreements with each of our named executive officers pursuant to which each will receive compensation as determined from time to time by the Abraxas Petroleum Board in its sole discretion. Abraxas Petroleum has also established the Abraxas Petroleum Corporation Severance Plan, effective as of December 31, 2008, for all employees that are not subject to an employment agreement. This plan provides severance benefits in the event of a change of control and for certain other changes in conditions of employment. The affected employees would be entitled to receive one month of base salary for each year of service with Abraxas Petroleum, up to a maximum of 12 months.

The employment agreements for Messrs. Watson and Williford are scheduled to terminate on December 21, 2009, and are automatically extended for additional one-year terms unless Abraxas Petroleum gives 120 days notice of its intention not to renew the employment agreement. The employment agreements for Messrs. Wallace and Wendel and Dr. Billingsley are scheduled to terminate on December 31, 2009, and are automatically extended for an additional year if by December 1 neither Abraxas Petroleum nor Messrs. Wallace, Wendel, or Dr. Billingsley, as the case may be, has given notice to the contrary.

The employment agreements contain the following defined terms:

Cause means termination upon

(i) the continued failure by the officer to substantially perform his duties with Abraxas Petroleum (other than any such failure resulting from his incapacity due to physical or mental illness or any such actual or anticipated failure resulting from termination by him for Good Reason) after a written demand for substantial

Table of Contents

performance is delivered to the officer by the Abraxas Petroleum Board, which demand specifically identifies the manner in which the Abraxas Petroleum Board believes that he has not substantially performed his duties, or

(ii) the engaging by the officer in conduct which is demonstrably and materially injurious to Abraxas Petroleum, monetarily or otherwise. The officer shall not be deemed to have been terminated for Cause unless and until the officer has been delivered a copy of a resolution duly adopted by the affirmative vote (which cannot be delegated) of not less than a majority of the members of the Abraxas Petroleum Board who are not officers of Abraxas Petroleum at a meeting of the Abraxas Petroleum Board called and held for such purposes (after reasonable notice to the officer and an opportunity for the officer, together with the officer's counsel, to be heard before the Abraxas Petroleum Board), finding that in the good faith opinion of the Abraxas Petroleum Board, the officer was guilty of conduct set forth above in clauses (i) or (ii) above and specifying the particulars thereof in detail.

Change in Control means the occurrence of

(i) any person or group (as such terms are used in Section 13(d) and 14(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the Exchange Act)) becoming the beneficial owner (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), except that a person shall be deemed to be the beneficial owner of all shares that any such person has the right to acquire pursuant to any agreement or arrangement or upon exercise of conversion rights, warrants, options or otherwise, without regard to the sixty day period referred to in such Rule), directly or indirectly, of securities representing 20% or more of the combined voting power of Abraxas Petroleum's then outstanding securities,

(ii) any person or group making a tender offer or an exchange offer for 20% or more of the combined voting power of Abraxas Petroleum's then outstanding securities,

(iii) at any time during any period of two consecutive years, individuals who at the beginning of such period constituted the Abraxas Petroleum Board and any new directors, whose election by the Abraxas Petroleum Board or nomination for election by Abraxas Petroleum's stockholders was approved by a vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of Abraxas Petroleum directors then still in office who either were Abraxas Petroleum directors at the beginning of the period or whose election or nomination for election was previously so approved (Current Directors), ceasing for any reason to constitute a majority thereof,

(iv) Abraxas Petroleum consolidating, merging or exchanging securities with any other entity and the stockholders of Abraxas Petroleum immediately before the effective time of such transaction not beneficially owning, immediately after the effective time of such transaction, shares entitling such stockholders to a majority of all votes (without consideration of the rights of any class of stock entitled to elect directors by a separate class vote) to which all stockholders of the corporation issuing cash or securities in the consolidation, merger or share exchange would be entitled for the purpose of electing directors or where the Current Directors immediately after the effective time of the consolidation, merger or share exchange not constituting a majority of the Board of Directors of the corporation issuing cash or securities in the consolidation, merger or share exchange, or

(v) any person or group acquiring 50% or more of Abraxas Petroleum's assets.

Disability means the incapacity of the officer due to physical or mental illness which causes the officer to have been absent from the full-time performance of his duties with Abraxas Petroleum for six consecutive months, and within 30 days after Abraxas Petroleum gives the officer written notice of termination, the officer has not returned to the full-time performance of his duties.

Good Reason means, without the officer's express written consent, any of the following:

(i) a material adverse alteration in the nature or status of his position, duties or responsibilities,

(ii) a reduction in his current annual base salary,

Table of Contents

(iii) a change in the principal place of his employment to a location more than twenty-five (25) miles from Abraxas Petroleum's current principal place of employment, excluding required travel on Abraxas Petroleum's business to an extent substantially consistent with the officer's present business travel obligations,

(iv) the failure by Abraxas Petroleum, without his consent, to pay to him any portion of his current compensation, or to pay to him any portion of any deferred compensation, within ten (10) days of the date any such compensation payment is due,

(v) the failure by Abraxas Petroleum to continue in effect any compensation plan in which he participates, or any substitute plans or the failure by Abraxas Petroleum to continue his participation therein on the same basis, both in terms of the amount of benefits provided and the level of his participation relative to other participants, as existing,

(vi) the failure by Abraxas Petroleum to continue to provide him with benefits at least as favorable to those enjoyed by him under any of Abraxas Petroleum's pension, life insurance, medical, health and accident, disability, deferred compensation or savings plans in which he is currently participating, the taking of any action by Abraxas Petroleum which would directly or indirectly materially reduce any of such benefits or deprive the officer of any material fringe benefit enjoyed by him, or the failure by Abraxas Petroleum to provide him with the number of paid vacation days to which he is entitled on the basis of Abraxas Petroleum's practice with respect to him,

(vii) the failure of Abraxas Petroleum to obtain a satisfactory agreement from any successor to assume and agree to perform his employment agreement, or

(viii) any purported termination of his employment which is not effected pursuant to the employment agreement's termination provisions.

Retirement means termination in accordance with Abraxas Petroleum's retirement policy, generally applicable to its salaried employees or in accordance with any retirement arrangement established with the officer's consent with respect to himself.

If, during the term of the employment agreement for each named executive officer or any extension thereof, an officer's employment is terminated other than for Cause or Disability, by reason of the officer's death or Retirement, or by such officer for Good Reason, then such officer will be entitled to receive the following:

Watson and Williford: a lump sum payment equal to the greater of (a) his annual base salary for the last full year during which he was employed by Abraxas Petroleum or (b) his annual base salary for the remainder of the term of his employment agreement.

Wallace, Wendel and Billingsley: no provisions for termination of employment because at all times during the term of each officer's employment agreements, such officer's employment is at will and may be terminated by Abraxas Petroleum for any reason with notice or cause. If, during the term of the employment agreement for each of Messrs. Wallace and Wendel or Dr. Billingsley or any extension thereof, a change in control occurs, then such officer will be entitled to an automatic extension of the term of the officer's employment agreement for a period of 36 months beyond the term in effect immediately before the change in control.

If, following a change in control, an officer's employment is terminated other than for Cause or Disability, by reason of the officer's death or Retirement or by such officer for Good Reason, then such terminated officer will be entitled to the following:

Watson and Williford: a lump sum payment equal to 2.99 times his annual base salary.

Wallace, Wendel and Billingsley: a lump sum payment equal to three times his annual base salary.

Table of Contents

If any lump sum payment to a named executive officer would individually or together with any other amounts paid or payable constitute an excess parachute payment within the meaning of Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and applicable regulations thereunder, the amounts to be paid will be increased so that each named executive officer, as the case may be, will be entitled to receive the amount of compensation provided in his employment agreement after payment of the tax imposed by Section 280G.

In addition, unvested options that have been awarded to our named executive officers will vest upon any change in control. As of December 31, 2008, 168,236 options were unvested, none of which were in-the-money as of December 31, 2008.

The Merger and the transactions contemplated thereby would not result in a change in control under our employment agreements, compensation plans or other such agreements.

The table below contains information concerning termination and change in control payments to each named executive officer of Abraxas Petroleum as if the event occurred on December 31, 2008.

Termination and Change in Control Payments Table

Name	Type of Benefit	Before	After	Voluntary	Death /	Change in
		Change in	Change in			
		Control	Control		Disability	Control
		Termination w/o	Termination w/o	Termination	Disability	Control
		Cause or for	Cause or for	Termination	Disability	Control
		Good Reason	Good Reason	Termination	Disability	Control
		(\$) (1)	(\$) (2)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$) (3)
Robert L.G. Watson	Severance pay	350,000	1,046,500			
Chris E. Williford	Severance pay	214,000	639,860			
Lee T. Billingsley	Severance pay		597,000			597,000
William H. Wallace	Severance pay		597,000			597,000
Stephen T. Wendel	Severance pay		486,000			486,000

- (1) These amounts reflect a lump sum payment equal to the officer's annual base salary as of December 31, 2008.
- (2) These amounts reflect a lump sum payment equal to 2.99x (Watson and Williford) and 3.0x (Billingsley, Wallace and Wendel) the named executive officer's annual base salary as of December 31, 2008.
- (3) These amounts reflect a 36-month extension of each officer's respective employment agreement based on the named executive officer's annual base salary on December 31, 2008 and would be paid over the 36-month extension period.

Compensation of Directors

All compensation paid to Abraxas Petroleum's directors is limited to non-employee directors. We use a combination of cash and stock-based incentive compensation to attract and retain qualified individuals to serve on the Abraxas Petroleum Board.

Compensation. Abraxas Petroleum pays each director an annual retainer fee of \$12,000 in four quarterly payments. Each quarterly payment is paid in shares of Abraxas Petroleum restricted stock pursuant to the 2005 Director Plan. The number of shares issued to each non-employee director is calculated each quarter by dividing one-quarter of the then-established annual retainer fee by the closing price of our common stock on the date of each quarterly board meeting. Fractional shares are not issued; therefore, any shortfall of the then-established annual retainer fee will be paid in cash after the last quarterly board meeting of each year. Any non-employee director who leaves the Abraxas Petroleum Board during the calendar year is not eligible for any restricted stock awards after leaving the Abraxas Petroleum Board. In addition, Abraxas Petroleum pays each director \$1,500 for

Table of Contents

each board meeting attended and \$1,000 for each committee meeting attended. The chairman of the audit committee receives an additional annual fee of \$3,000 and the chairmen of the compensation and governance and nominating committees each receive an additional annual fee of \$1,500.

Stock Options. Abraxas Petroleum has awarded each director a total of 155,000 options, which includes options awarded on March 17, 2009, with exercise prices equal to the prevailing market prices at the time of issuance, ranging from \$0.68 to \$4.59 per share. In addition, each year at the first regular board meeting following the annual meeting, Abraxas Petroleum awards each director 10,000 options, in accordance with the terms of the 2005 Director Plan. The amended 2005 Directors Plan reserves 900,000 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock, subject to adjustment following certain events, such as stock splits. The maximum annual award for any one director is 60,000 shares plus the number of restricted shares awarded pursuant to the retainer payment described above. The exercise price of all options awarded are no less than 100% of the fair market value on the date of the award while the option terms and vesting schedules are at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. The following is a description of the material terms of the 2005 Director Plan.

2005 Director Plan. Unless otherwise provided in the applicable award agreement or any severance agreement, vested awards granted under the 2005 Director Plan shall expire, terminate, or otherwise be forfeited as follows:

three months after the date Abraxas Petroleum delivers a notice of termination of a Participant's Active Status (as defined in the 2005 Director Plan), other than in circumstances covered by the following three circumstances:

immediately upon termination for misconduct;

12 months after the date of death; and

36 months after the date on which the director ceased performing services as a result of retirement.

The following table sets forth a summary of compensation for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 that Abraxas Petroleum paid to each director. Abraxas Petroleum does not sponsor a pension benefits plan, a non-qualified deferred compensation plan or a non-equity incentive plan for our directors; therefore, these columns have been omitted from the following table. Except for reimbursement of travel expenses to attend board and committee meetings, no other or additional compensation for services were paid to any of the directors.

Director Compensation Table

Name	Fees Earned or			Total (\$ (4)
	Paid in Cash (\$ (1)	Stock Awards (\$ (2)	Option Awards (\$ (3)	
C. Scott Bartlett, Jr.	28,882	11,993	25,280	66,155
Franklin A. Burke	23,007	11,993	25,280	60,280
Harold D. Carter	17,007	11,993	25,280	54,280
Ralph F. Cox (5)	25,632	11,993	25,280	62,905
Barry J. Galt (6)	8,500	8,994	25,280	42,774
Dennis E. Logue	15,882	11,993	25,280	53,155
Paul A. Powell, Jr.	22,007	11,993	68,302	102,302

(1) This column represents the amounts paid in cash to each director.

(2) This column represents the dollar value of stock awarded to each director for his annual retainer fee. During 2008, each director, except Mr. Galt, was awarded a total of 4,692 vested shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock. The quarterly awards were 867 shares on March 11, 2008, 666 shares on May 21, 2008, 970

Table of Contents

shares on September 4, 2008 and 2,189 shares on November 12, 2008 and the closing price of our common stock on those dates was \$3.46, \$4.50, \$3.09 and \$1.37 per share, respectively.

- (3) The amounts in this column reflect the recognized value of options granted to each director, calculated in accordance with SFAS 123R for the year ended December 31, 2008, which include options granted in prior years to the extent they were not fully-vested by January 1, 2008. The grant date fair value of all options awarded during 2008, calculated in accordance with SFAS 123R, was \$176,960. See note 8 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in this proxy statement for a discussion of all assumptions made in the calculation of this amount.
- (4) The dollar value in this column for each director represents the sum of all compensation reflected in the previous columns.
- (5) Included in fees earned by Mr. Cox is \$6,750 paid in cash for serving as a director on the Abraxas Energy Board during 2008.
- (6) Mr. Galt passed away on August 22, 2008.

The table below contains information concerning outstanding option awards at December 31, 2008 for each directors of Abraxas Petroleum. None of the named directors had outstanding stock awards at December 31, 2008.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal-Year End Table

Name	Option Awards
C. Scott Bartlett, Jr.	90,000
Franklin A. Burke	102,000
Harold D. Carter	102,000
Ralph F. Cox	115,000
Barry J. Galt (1)	115,000
Dennis E. Logue	108,000
Paul A. Powell, Jr.	102,000

- (1) Mr. Galt passed away on August 22, 2008.

2009 Compensation Decisions

Long-Term Equity Incentives. On January 2, 2009, Mr. Cox was granted 4,000 restricted units for his serving as a director on the Abraxas Energy Board and on March 17, 2009, the Abraxas Petroleum Board awarded 50,000 options to each non-employee director of Abraxas Petroleum for a total award of 300,000 options.

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

General

On February 21, 2007, the Abraxas Petroleum Board adopted a formal written related person transaction approval policy, which sets out Abraxas Petroleum's policies and procedures for the review, approval, or ratification of related person transactions. For these purposes, a related person is a director, nominee for director, executive officer, or holder of more than 5% of our common stock, or any immediate family member of any of the foregoing. This policy applies to any financial transaction, arrangement or relationship or any series of similar financial transactions, arrangements or relationships in which Abraxas Petroleum is a participant and in which a related person has a direct or indirect interest, other than the following:

payment of compensation by Abraxas Petroleum to a related person for the related person's service in the capacity or capacities that give rise to the person's status as a related person;

transactions available to all employees or all stockholders on the same terms;

purchases of supplies from Abraxas Petroleum in the ordinary course of business at the same price and on the same terms as offered to our other customers, regardless of whether the transactions are required to be reported in Abraxas Petroleum's filings with the SEC; and

transactions which when aggregated with the amount of all other transactions between the related person and Abraxas Petroleum involve less than \$10,000 in a fiscal year.

Abraxas Petroleum's Audit Committee is required to approve any related person transaction subject to this policy before commencement of the related person transaction, provided that if the related person transaction is identified after it commences, it shall be brought to the Audit Committee for ratification, amendment or rescission. The chairman of our Audit Committee has the authority to approve or take other actions in respect of any related person transaction that arises, or first becomes known, between meetings of the Audit Committee, provided that any action by the chairman must be reported to our Audit Committee at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

Abraxas Petroleum's Audit Committee will analyze the following factors, in addition to any other factors the members of the Audit Committee deem appropriate, in determining whether to approve a related person transaction:

whether the terms are fair to Abraxas Petroleum;

whether the transaction is material to Abraxas Petroleum;

the role the related person has played in arranging the related person transaction;

the structure of the related person transaction; and

the interest of all related persons in the related person transaction.

Transactions in 2008

Abraxas Petroleum did not have any related person transactions in 2008.

Abraxas Petroleum's Audit Committee may, in its sole discretion, approve or deny any related person transaction. Approval of a related person transaction may be conditioned upon Abraxas Petroleum and the related person following certain procedures designated by the Audit Committee.

Transactions with Abraxas Energy

Investments owns 5,131,959 common units of Abraxas Energy and the General Partner owns 227,232 general partner units of Abraxas Energy. The following sections describe the distributions and payments that

Table of Contents

Abraxas Energy made to Abraxas Petroleum in 2008 and summarizes the terms under which Abraxas Petroleum provides certain services to Abraxas Energy and acts as operator of certain of Abraxas Energy's producing properties. None of these transactions are related party transactions as defined by Item 404 of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC; however, Abraxas Petroleum has elected to provide a summary of these transactions to its stockholders.

Distributions and Payments to Investments and the General Partner

The following table summarizes the distributions and payments made by Abraxas Energy in connection with the ongoing operation and liquidation of Abraxas Energy.

Distributions of available cash to Investments and the General Partner	Abraxas Energy generally distributes 98% of its available cash to all of its unitholders, including Investments (as the holder of 5,131,959 common units) and 2% of its available cash to the General Partner. During 2008, Investments received distributions of approximately \$8.5 million on its common units and the General Partner received distributions of approximately \$376,000 on its 2% general partner interest.
Payments to Investments and the General Partner	The partnership agreement requires Abraxas Energy to reimburse the General Partner for all actual direct and indirect expenses it incurs or actual payments it makes on Abraxas Energy's behalf and all other expenses allocable to Abraxas Energy or otherwise incurred by the General Partner in connection with operating of Abraxas Energy's business, including expenses allocated to the General Partner by its affiliates. These expenses include salary, bonus, incentive compensation and other amounts paid to persons who perform services for Abraxas Energy or on Abraxas Energy's behalf, and expenses allocated to the General Partner by its affiliates. Abraxas Energy does not expect to incur any additional fees or to make other payments to these entities in connection with operating Abraxas Energy's business. The General Partner is entitled to determine in good faith the expenses that are allocable to Abraxas Energy. The omnibus agreement requires Abraxas Energy to reimburse Abraxas Petroleum for expenses incurred on Abraxas Energy's behalf and to pay Abraxas Petroleum \$2.6 million per year.
Withdrawal or removal of the General Partner	If the General Partner withdraws or is removed, its general partner interest will either be sold to the new general partner for cash or converted into common units, in each case for an amount equal to the fair market value of those interests.
Liquidation	Upon liquidation of Abraxas Energy, the partners, including the General Partner and Investments, will be entitled to receive liquidating distributions according to their particular capital account balances.

Contracts with Abraxas Energy

Because Abraxas Energy has no employees, Abraxas Petroleum provides certain services to Abraxas Energy under the terms of an omnibus agreement and Abraxas Petroleum operates a number of Abraxas Energy's producing properties under an operating agreement. These agreements were not the result of arm's-length negotiations, and they, or any of the transactions that they provide for, may not be effected on terms at least as favorable to Abraxas Petroleum as could have been obtained from unaffiliated third parties. The following summaries are qualified in their entirety by the complete versions of the documents, copies of which are available to any Abraxas Petroleum stockholder upon written request.

Table of Contents

Omnibus Agreement

The omnibus agreement, among other things, governs (i) Abraxas Petroleum's obligations to provide certain general and administrative services to Abraxas Energy and its subsidiaries and (ii) Abraxas Petroleum's obligations to indemnify Abraxas Energy and Abraxas Operating against certain environmental, tax and other liabilities. In connection with its provision of services, Abraxas Energy is required to reimburse Abraxas Petroleum for all direct and indirect expenses incurred on its behalf and on behalf of its subsidiaries. Abraxas Energy currently pays Abraxas Petroleum \$2.6 million per year for general and administrative expenses, subject to annual adjustments for inflation and acquisition or other expansion adjustments. Reimbursements for certain partnership expenses and insurance coverage expenses incurred by Abraxas Petroleum on Abraxas Energy's behalf pursuant to the omnibus agreement are not subject to this fee. The fee was determined by reference to Abraxas Petroleum's historical general and administrative expenses and its analysis and determination that the properties contributed to Abraxas Energy are predominantly developed and require relatively less management time than undeveloped properties and drilling prospects.

Under the omnibus agreement Abraxas Petroleum will indemnify Abraxas Energy through May 24, 2010 against certain potential environmental claims. Additionally, Abraxas Petroleum will indemnify Abraxas Energy for losses attributable to right of way fees and taxes attributable to pre-closing operations. Abraxas Petroleum's maximum liability for these indemnification obligations will not exceed \$5.0 million and Abraxas Petroleum will not have any obligation under this indemnification until Abraxas Energy's aggregate losses exceed \$500,000. Abraxas Petroleum will have no indemnification obligations with respect to environmental claims made as a result of additions to or modifications of environmental laws promulgated after May 25, 2007. Abraxas Energy has agreed to indemnify Abraxas Petroleum against environmental liabilities related to their assets to the extent Abraxas Petroleum is not required to indemnify Abraxas Energy. Abraxas Energy will also indemnify Abraxas Petroleum for all losses and liabilities arising on or after May 25, 2007 and attributable to operations of the assets contributed by Abraxas Petroleum, to the extent not subject to its indemnification obligations under the omnibus agreement, including plugging and abandonment costs.

The omnibus agreement will terminate at the Effective Time of the Merger.

Operating Agreement

On May 25, 2007, Abraxas Operating entered into an operating agreement with Abraxas Petroleum. Pursuant to the operating agreement, Abraxas Petroleum will act as operator of Abraxas Operating's properties, if Abraxas Operating's working interest entitles it to control the appointment of the operator. In addition, Abraxas Petroleum will continue as operator of Abraxas Operating's properties that were subject to existing operating agreements, to the extent Abraxas Petroleum was the operator prior to the contribution of properties by Abraxas Petroleum or to the extent Abraxas Petroleum assumed operations from St. Mary Land & Exploration as a result of the acquisition that closed on January 31, 2008. Under these operating agreements, Abraxas Energy will reimburse Abraxas Petroleum for operating expenses incurred on its behalf. Operating expenses are the costs incurred in the operation of producing properties. Expenses for utilities, direct labor, water injection and disposal, production taxes and materials and supplies comprise the most significant portion of Abraxas Energy's operating expenses. Operating expenses do not include G&A expenses. A majority of Abraxas Energy's operating cost components are variable and increase or decrease as the level of production increases or decreases. Certain items, however, such as direct labor and materials and supplies, generally remain relatively fixed and do not fluctuate with changes in production volumes, but can fluctuate depending on activities performed during a specific period.

Under the operating agreement, Abraxas Petroleum will establish a joint account for each well in which Abraxas Energy has an interest. Abraxas Energy will be required to pay its working interest share of amounts charged to the joint account. The joint account will be charged with all direct expenses incurred in the operation of Abraxas Energy's wells. The determination of which direct expenses can be charged to the joint account and

Table of Contents

the manner of charging direct expenses to the joint account for Abraxas Energy's wells will be done in accordance with the Council of Petroleum Accountants Societies, or COPAS, model form of accounting procedure.

Under the COPAS model form, direct expenses include the costs of third party services performed on Abraxas Energy's properties and other equipment used on Abraxas Energy's properties. In addition, direct expenses will include the allocable share of the cost of Abraxas Petroleum's employees who perform services on Abraxas Energy's properties. The allocation of the cost of Abraxas Petroleum's employees who perform services on Abraxas Energy's properties will be based on time sheets maintained by Abraxas Petroleum employees.

The operating agreement will terminate at the Effective Time of the Merger.

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

Common Stock

Abraxas Petroleum is currently authorized to issue up to 200,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share.

As of August 28, 2009, there were 49,836,894 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock issued and outstanding. Holders of Abraxas Petroleum common stock are entitled to cast one vote for each share held of record on all matters submitted to a vote of stockholders and are not entitled to cumulate votes for the election of directors. Holders of common stock do not have preemptive rights to subscribe for additional shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock issued by us.

Holders of Abraxas Petroleum common stock are entitled to receive dividends as may be declared by the Board of Directors out of funds legally available therefor.

Under the terms of Abraxas Petroleum's new credit facility, it is anticipated that Abraxas Petroleum will be prohibited from paying dividends on shares of its common stock. In the event of liquidation, holders of Abraxas Petroleum common stock are entitled to share pro rata in any distribution of Abraxas Petroleum's assets remaining after payment of liabilities, subject to the preferences and rights of the holders of any outstanding shares of preferred stock. All of the outstanding shares of Abraxas Petroleum's common stock are fully paid and nonassessable.

Preferred Stock

Abraxas Petroleum's articles of incorporation authorize the issuance of up to 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, in one or more series. The Board of Directors is authorized, without any further action by the stockholders, to determine the dividend rights, dividend rate, conversion rights, voting rights, rights and terms of redemption, liquidation preferences, sinking fund terms and other rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions of any series of preferred stock, the number of shares constituting any such series, and the designation thereof. The rights of the holders of common stock will be subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of holders of any preferred stock that may be issued in the future.

Option Plans

The LTIP authorizes Abraxas Petroleum to grant incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options and shares of restricted stock to its executive officers, as well as to all employees of Abraxas Petroleum. Option grants generally have a term of 10 years and vest in equal increments over 4 years. Restricted stock grants vest in accordance with each individual grant agreement. Vesting is accelerated in certain events such as a change of control. A total of 2,100,000 shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock are currently reserved under the LTIP, subject to adjustment following certain events, such as stock splits.

Pursuant to Abraxas Petroleum's 2005 Director Plan, Abraxas Petroleum also grants non-qualified stock options and restricted stock to non-employee directors. This plan is administered by Abraxas Petroleum's Compensation Committee and provides that each year, at the first regular meeting of the Board of Directors immediately following Abraxas Petroleum's annual stockholder's meeting, each non-employee director shall be granted or issued awards of 60,000 shares of our common stock, for participation in Board and Committee meetings during the previous calendar year. Abraxas Petroleum also pays each quarterly director's retainer payment of \$3,000 in shares of restricted stock pursuant to the 2005 Director Plan. The number of shares issued to each non-employee director is calculated each quarter by dividing one-quarter of the then-established annual retainer fee by the closing price of our common stock on the date of each quarterly board meeting. Fractional shares are not issued; therefore, any shortfall of the then-established annual retainer fee will be paid in cash after

Table of Contents

the last quarterly board meeting of each year. Any non-employee director who leaves the Board during the calendar year is not eligible for any restricted stock awards after leaving the Board.

The Compensation Committee also administers our 1993 Key Contributor Stock Option Plan, 1994 Long Term Incentive Plan, Directors Restricted Share Plan and Director Stock Option Plan, each of which is now expired, but under which we previously granted restricted stock, incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options as permitted by such plans.

The following table sets forth the number of options issued and outstanding, the amount of those options outstanding that are fully vested and the average exercise price per share of such options under the LTIP, the 2005 Director Plan, 1993 Key Contributor Stock Option Plan, 1994 Long Term Incentive Plan, Directors Restricted Share Plan and Director Stock Option Plan, as of December 31, 2008, as well as pursuant to the individual option agreements:

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	1,967,526	\$ 3.14	1,503,072
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	422,252	\$ 1.29	

Anti-takeover Effects of Certain Provisions of the Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws

Abraxas Petroleum's articles of incorporation and bylaws provide for the Board of Directors to be divided into three classes of directors serving staggered three-year terms. As a result, approximately one-third of the Board of Directors will be elected each year. The articles of incorporation and bylaws provide that the Board of Directors will consist of not less than three or more than twelve members, with the exact number to be determined from time to time by the affirmative vote of a majority of directors then in office. The Board of Directors, and not the stockholders, has the authority to determine the number of directors. This provision could prevent any stockholder from obtaining majority representation on the Abraxas Petroleum Board by enlarging the Board of Directors and by filling the new directorships with the stockholder's own nominees. In addition, directors may be removed by the stockholders only for cause.

The articles of incorporation and bylaws provide that special meetings of Abraxas Petroleum's stockholders may be called only by the Chairman of the Board, the President or a majority of the members of the Board of Directors. This provision may make it more difficult for stockholders to take actions opposed by the Board of Directors.

The articles of incorporation and bylaws provide that any action required to be taken or which may be taken by holders of Abraxas Petroleum's common stock must be effected at a duly called annual or special meeting of such holders, and may not be taken by any written consent of such stockholders. These provisions may have the effect of delaying consideration of a stockholder proposal until the next annual meeting unless a special meeting is called by the persons set forth above. The provisions of the articles of incorporation and bylaws prohibiting stockholder action by written consent could prevent the holders of a majority of the voting power of Abraxas Petroleum from using the written consent procedure to take stockholder action and taking action by consent without giving all of our stockholders entitled to vote on a proposed action the opportunity to participate in determining such proposed action.

Table of Contents

Anti-Takeover Statutes

Chapter 78 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, which we refer to as the Nevada GCL, contains two provisions, described below as **Combination Provisions** and the **Control Share Act**, that may make the unsolicited or hostile attempts to acquire control of a corporation through certain types of transactions more difficult.

Restrictions on Certain Combinations between Nevada Resident Corporations and Interested Stockholders

The Nevada GCL includes certain provisions (the **Combination Provisions**) prohibiting certain combinations (generally defined to include certain mergers, disposition of assets transactions, and share issuance or transfer transactions) between a resident domestic corporation and an interested stockholder (generally defined to be the beneficial owner of 10% or more of the voting power of the outstanding shares of the corporation), except those combinations which are approved by the board of directors before the interested stockholder first obtained a 10% interest in the corporation's stock. There are additional exceptions to the prohibition, which apply to combinations if they occur more than three years after the interested stockholder's date of acquiring shares. The Combination Provisions apply unless the corporation elects against their application in its original articles of incorporation or an amendment thereto, or timely elected against their application in its bylaws no later than October 31, 1991. Abraxas Petroleum's articles of incorporation and bylaws do not currently contain a provision rendering the Combination Provisions inapplicable.

Nevada Control Share Act

Nevada Revised Statutes 78.378 through 78.403, inclusive, which we refer to as the **Control Share Act**, imposes procedural hurdles on and curtails greenmail practices of corporate raiders. The Control Share Act temporarily disenfranchises the voting power of control shares of a person or group (**Acquiring Person**) purchasing a controlling interest in an issuing corporation (as defined in the Nevada GCL) not opting out of the Control Share Act. In this regard, the Control Share Act will apply to an issuing corporation unless, before an acquisition is made, the articles of incorporation or bylaws in effect on the tenth day following the acquisition of a controlling interest provide that it is inapplicable. Abraxas Petroleum's articles of incorporation and bylaws do not currently contain a provision rendering the Control Share Act inapplicable.

Under the Control Share Act, an issuing corporation is a corporation organized in Nevada which has 200 or more stockholders, at least 100 of whom are stockholders of record and residents of Nevada, and which does business in Nevada directly or through an affiliated company. Abraxas Petroleum's status at the time of the occurrence of a transaction governed by the Control Share Act (assuming that our articles of incorporation or bylaws have not theretofore been amended to include an opting out provision) would determine whether the Control Share Act is applicable.

The Control Share Act requires an Acquiring Person to take certain procedural steps before such Acquiring Person can obtain the full voting power of the control shares. Control shares are the shares of a corporation (1) acquired or offered to be acquired which will enable the Acquiring Person to own a controlling interest, and (2) acquired within 90 days immediately preceding that date. A controlling interest is defined as the ownership of shares which would enable the Acquiring Person to exercise certain graduated amounts (beginning with one-fifth) of all voting power of the corporation. The Acquiring Person may not vote any control shares without first obtaining approval from the stockholders not characterized as interested stockholders (as defined below).

To obtain voting rights in control shares, the Acquiring Person must file a statement at the registered office of the issuer (**Offeror's Statement**) setting forth certain information about the acquisition or intended acquisition of stock. The Offeror's Statement may also request a special meeting of stockholders to determine the voting rights to be accorded to the Acquiring Person. A special stockholders' meeting must then be held at the Acquiring Person's expense within 30 to 50 days after the Offeror's Statement is filed. If a special meeting is not

Table of Contents

requested by the Acquiring Person, the matter will be addressed at the next regular or special meeting of stockholders.

At the special or annual meeting at which the issue of voting rights of control shares will be addressed, interested stockholders may not vote on the question of granting voting rights to control the corporation or its parent unless the articles of incorporation of the issuing corporation provide otherwise. Our articles of incorporation do not currently contain a provision allowing for such voting power.

If full voting power is granted to the Acquiring Person by the disinterested stockholders, and the Acquiring Person has acquired control shares with a majority or more of the voting power, then (unless otherwise provided in the articles of incorporation or bylaws in effect on the tenth day following the acquisition of a controlling interest) all stockholders of record, other than the Acquiring Person, who have not voted in favor of authorizing voting rights for the control shares, must be sent a dissenter's notice advising them of the fact and of their right to receive fair value for their shares. Our articles of incorporation and bylaws do not provide otherwise. By the date set in the dissenter's notice, which may not be less than 30 or more than 60 days after the dissenter's notice is delivered, any such stockholder may demand to receive from the corporation the fair value for all or part of his shares. Fair value is defined in the Control Share Act as not less than the highest price per share paid by the Acquiring Person in an acquisition.

The Control Share Act permits a corporation to redeem the control shares in the following two instances, if so provided in the articles of incorporation or bylaws of the corporation in effect on the tenth day following the acquisition of a controlling interest: (1) if the Acquiring Person fails to deliver the Offeror's Statement to the corporation within 10 days after the Acquiring Person's acquisition of the control shares; or (2) an Offeror's Statement is delivered, but the control shares are not accorded full voting rights by the stockholders. Our articles of incorporation and bylaws do not address this matter.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

The transfer agent and registrar for the Abraxas Petroleum common stock is American Stock Transfer & Trust Company.

Table of Contents

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following unaudited pro forma combined financial information reflects Abraxas Petroleum's historical results as adjusted on a pro forma basis to give effect to (a) the Merger and related transactions and (b) the new credit facility. The estimated adjustments to effect the Merger and the new credit facility are described in the notes to the unaudited pro forma combined financial information.

The unaudited pro forma combined balance sheet information reflects the Merger and related transactions, including the new credit facility and the monetization of Abraxas Energy's derivative contracts, as if they occurred on June 30, 2009, and the unaudited pro forma combined statement of operations information for the twelve months ended December 31, 2008 and the six months ended June 30, 2009 reflect the Merger and related transactions, including the new credit facility, as if they occurred on January 1, 2008.

The unaudited pro forma combined financial information was derived by adjusting the historical financial statements of Abraxas Petroleum. Abraxas Petroleum management believes that the adjustments provide a reasonable basis for presenting the significant effects of the Merger and related transactions, and the new credit facility. The unaudited pro forma combined financial information is provided for illustrative purposes only and is based upon available information and assumptions that the management of Abraxas Petroleum believes are reasonable under the circumstances. The unaudited pro forma combined financial information is not necessarily indicative of what the operating results or financial position of Abraxas Petroleum would have been had the Merger and related transactions, including the new credit facility, been completed on the dates indicated, nor are they necessarily indicative of future operating results or financial position. Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy may have performed differently had they been combined during the periods presented.

Table of Contents**ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED BALANCE SHEET****ASSETS****JUNE 30, 2009****(In thousands)**

	Historical	Monetization of Derivative Contracts	Merger Adjustments	New Credit Facility Adjustments	Pro Forma
Assets					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,790	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,790
Accounts receivable, net:					
Joint owners	845				845
Oil and gas production	6,217				6,217
Other	325				325
	7,387				7,387
Derivative asset current	18,092	(18,092)(a)			
Other current assets	432				432
Total current assets	27,701	(18,092)			9,609
Property and equipment:					
Oil and gas properties, full cost method of accounting:					
Proved	448,093				448,093
Unproved properties excluded from depletion					
Other property and equipment	11,116				11,116
Total	459,209				459,209
Less accumulated depreciation, depletion, and amortization	300,385				300,385
Total property and equipment net	158,824				158,824
Deferred financing fees, net	4,099			(224)(b)	3,875
Derivative asset long-term	9,456	(9,456)(a)			
Other assets	483				483
Total assets	\$ 200,563	\$ (27,548)	\$	\$ (224)	\$ 172,791

Table of Contents**ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED BALANCE SHEET****LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)****JUNE 30, 2009****(In thousands)**

	Historical	Monetization of Derivative Contracts	Merger Adjustments	New Credit Facility Adjustments	Pro Forma
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity					
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable	\$ 5,240	\$	\$	\$	\$ 5,240
Oil and gas production payable	2,750				2,750
Accrued interest	273				273
Other accrued expenses	1,575				1,575
Derivative liability current	2,697				2,697
Current maturities of long-term debt	46,062			(39,924)(f)	6,138
Other current liabilities	19				19
Total current liabilities	58,616			(39,924)	18,692
Long-term debt, excluding current maturities	128,843	(24,346)(a)		39,924(g)	144,421
Derivative liability long-term	3,202	(3,202)(a)			
Future site restoration	10,172				10,172
Total liabilities	200,833	(27,548)			173,285
Equity					
Abraxas Petroleum Corporation stockholders equity:					
Convertible preferred stock, par value \$.01, authorized 1,000,000 shares; -0- issued and outstanding					
Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share: authorized 200,000,000 shares	498		316(c)		814
Additional paid-in capital	187,938		(438)(d)		187,500
Accumulated deficit	(188,776)			(224)(h)	(189,000)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	192				192
Total stockholders equity	(148)		(122)	(224)	(494)
Non-controlling interest equity	(122)		122(e)		
Total stockholders equity	(270)			(224)	(494)
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 200,563	\$ (27,548)	\$	\$ (224)	\$ 172,791

Table of Contents**ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS****FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008 (1)****(In thousands, except per share data)**

	Historical	Merger Adjustments	New Credit Facility Adjustments	Pro Forma
Revenue:				
Oil and gas production revenues	\$ 99,084	\$	\$	\$ 99,084
Rig revenues	1,210			1,210
Other	16			16
	100,310			100,310
Operating costs and expenses:				
Lease operating and production taxes	26,635			26,635
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	23,343			23,343
Impairment	116,366			116,366
Rig operations	856			856
General and administrative	7,127			7,127
	174,327			174,327
Operating income (loss)	(74,017)			(74,017)
Other (income) expense:				
Interest income	(187)			(187)
Interest expense	10,496		(2,079)(k)	8,417
Financing fees	359			359
Amortization of deferred financing fees	1,028		264(l)	1,292
Loss (gain) on derivative contracts	(28,333)			(28,333)
Other	8,523	(7,386)(i)		1,137
	(8,114)	(7,386)	(1,815)	(17,315)
Consolidated net income (loss)	(65,903)	7,386	1,815	(56,702)
Less: Net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interest	13,500	(13,500)(j)		
Net income (loss) attributable to Abraxas Petroleum	\$ (52,403)	\$ (6,114)	\$ 1,815	\$ (56,702)
Net earnings (loss) per common share basic	\$ (1.07)			\$ (0.70)
Net earnings (loss) per common share diluted	\$ (1.07)			\$ (0.70)
Weighted average common shares outstanding:				
Basic	49,005			80,569(m)
Diluted	49,005			80,569(m)

Edgar Filing: ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEFM14A

- (1) As adjusted for SFAS 160 Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements. (See Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements)

Table of Contents**ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS****FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009****(In thousands, except per share data)**

	Historical	Merger Adjustments	New Credit Facility Adjustments	Pro Forma
Revenue:				
Oil and gas production revenues	\$ 22,715	\$	\$	\$ 22,715
Rig revenues	500			500
Other	3			3
	23,218			23,218
Operating costs and expenses:				
Lease operating and production taxes	11,854			11,854
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	8,994			8,994
Rig operations	399			399
General and administrative	3,730			3,730
	24,977			24,977
Operating income (loss)	(1,759)			(1,759)
Other (income) expense:				
Interest income	(11)			(11)
Interest expense	5,607		(1,433)(k)	4,174
Financing fees	362			362
Amortization of deferred financing fees	586		60(l)	646
Loss on derivative contracts	1,695			1,695
Other	2,229			2,229
	10,468		(1,373)	9,095
Consolidated net income (loss)	(12,227)		1,373	(10,854)
Less: Net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interest	6,645	(6,645)(j)		
Net income (loss) attributable to Abraxas Petroleum	\$ (5,582)	\$ (6,645)	\$ 1,373	\$ (10,854)
Net earnings (loss) per common share basic	\$ (0.11)			\$ (0.13)
Net earnings (loss) per common share diluted	\$ (0.11)			\$ (0.13)
Weighted average common shares outstanding:				
Basic	49,628			81,192
Diluted	49,628			81,192

Table of Contents**ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

- (a) To reflect the monetization of Abraxas Energy's derivative contracts. The mark-to-market valuation at June 30, 2009 of Abraxas Energy's derivative contracts was \$24.3 million. On July 29, 2009, Abraxas Energy monetized all of its in-the-money commodity swaps for \$26.7 million and, together with the July 2009 commodity swap settlement of \$2.0 million, repaid \$28.7 million of indebtedness under its credit facility on July 31, 2009. In connection with the monetization and repayment, Abraxas Energy was required to enter into new commodity swaps on approximately 85% of its estimated oil and gas production from its net proved developed producing reserves through December 31, 2012 and on 70% for 2013. We have assumed that there is no impact to the statement of operations for the monetization of Abraxas Energy's derivative contracts because had we entered into new derivative contracts on January 1, 2008, they would have been on terms similar to those in our existing derivative contracts.
- (b) To reflect the adjustment to deferred financing fees to reflect the terms of the new credit facility.
- (c) To reflect the issuance of 31.6 million shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock at \$0.01 par value in the Merger, which includes the restricted share issuance. For this adjustment, the mid-point exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share, was utilized. If the low end of the exchange ratio of 4.25 was utilized for this adjustment, 26.2 million shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock would be issued in the Merger and the pro forma stockholders' equity would be \$54,000 lower than presented in the pro forma balance sheet as of June 30, 2009 and if the high end of the exchange ratio of 6.00 was utilized for this adjustment, 37.0 million shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock would be issued in the Merger and the pro forma stockholders' equity would be \$54,000 higher than presented in the pro forma balance sheet as of June 30, 2009.
- (d) To reflect the re-classification of previously allocated non-controlling interest which is being eliminated in the Merger.
- (e) To reflect the adjustment to Abraxas Petroleum's stockholders' equity previously allocated to the non-controlling interest which is being eliminated in the Merger.
- (f) To reflect the adjustment to current maturities of long-term debt as Abraxas Energy's subordinated credit facility will be refinanced and terminated in connection with the Merger and Abraxas Petroleum's credit facility will be amended and restated in connection with the Merger. The pro forma long-term debt and current maturities of long-term debt reflect the terms of the non-binding term sheet for the new credit facility. For this adjustment, \$130.9 million is assumed to be outstanding under the revolving portion of the new credit facility and \$10.0 million is assumed to be outstanding under the term loan portion of the new credit facility, of which \$6.0 million is recorded as current maturities of long-term debt as this portion of the term loan is due on or before June 30, 2010. In addition to the new credit facility, other indebtedness includes a real estate note in the amount of \$5.3 million, of which \$0.1 million is recorded as current maturities of long-term debt.

	June 30, 2009 (In thousands)
Current maturities of long-term debt under the existing credit facilities	\$ (46,062)
Current maturities of long-term debt under the new credit facility (term loan portion) and the real estate note	6,138
Net decrease in current maturities of long-term debt	\$ (39,924)

Table of Contents

We have included the pro forma adjustments for the new credit facility as the Merger is contingent on the new credit facility; therefore, the new credit facility is directly attributable to the Merger transaction. Furthermore, the new credit facility will have a continuing impact on Abraxas Petroleum.

- (g) To reflect the adjustment to long-term debt to reflect the terms of the new credit facility and the partial repayment of indebtedness from proceeds of the monetization of Abraxas Energy's derivative contracts. The remaining outstanding indebtedness will be re-financed under the terms of the new credit facility.

	June 30, 2009 (In thousands)
Long-term debt under the existing credit facilities	\$ (128,843)
Long-term debt repaid under the existing credit facilities after June 30, 2009	24,346
Long-term debt under the new credit facility (revolving portion)	130,900
Long-term debt under the new credit facility (term loan portion)	4,000
Real estate note	5,168
Net increase in long-term debt	\$ 39,924

- (h) To adjust for deferred financing fees relating to our existing debt that will be refinanced and terminated with the new credit facility at the closing of the Merger.
- (i) To adjust the expense previously recorded for the value of Abraxas Petroleum common stock potentially issuable under the exchange and registration rights agreement, which agreement is being terminated in connection with the Merger.
- (j) To reflect the adjustment to Abraxas Petroleum's net income (loss) previously allocated to the non-controlling interest which are being eliminated in the Merger.
- (k) To reflect the adjustment to interest expense to reflect the terms of the new credit facility and the partial repayment of indebtedness from proceeds of the monetization of Abraxas Energy's derivative contracts. The remaining outstanding indebtedness will be re-financed under the terms of the new credit facility. The pro forma interest expense reflects the terms of the non-binding term sheet for the new credit facility and that LIBOR based pricing is utilized. Amounts outstanding under the revolving portion of the new credit facility will bear interest at the greater of (a) 2.0% and (b) LIBOR plus, in each case, 2.50 - 3.75% depending on the utilization of the borrowing base. For this pro forma adjustment, \$130.9 million is assumed to be outstanding under the revolving portion of the new credit facility and will bear interest at 5.75%. Amounts outstanding under the term loan portion of the new credit facility will bear interest at the greater of (a) 2.0% and (b) LIBOR plus, in each case 5.75%. For this pro forma adjustment, \$10.0 million is assumed to be outstanding under the term loan portion of the new credit facility and will bear interest at 7.75%. As short-term LIBOR rates are currently below 2.0%, 2.0% was utilized in each interest rate calculation. We have included the pro forma adjustments for the new credit facility as the Merger is contingent on the new credit facility; therefore, the new credit facility is directly attributable to the Merger transaction. Furthermore, the new credit facility will have a continuing impact on Abraxas Petroleum.

	For the year ended December 31, 2008 (In thousands)
Interest expense related to long-term debt under the existing credit facilities	\$ (10,496)

Edgar Filing: ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEFM14A

Interest expense related to long-term debt under the new credit facility	8,417
Net decrease in interest expense	\$ (2,079)
	For the six months ended June 30, 2009 (In thousands)
Interest expense related to long-term debt under the existing credit facilities	\$ (5,607)
Interest expense related to long-term debt under the new credit facility	4,174
Net decrease in interest expense	\$ (1,433)

Table of Contents

For purposes of the pro forma statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2008 and the six months ended June 30, 2009, a 1/8% change in the interest rate assumed would change the pro forma interest expense by \$179,000 and \$89,000, respectively.

- (l) To reflect the adjustment to deferred financing fees to reflect the terms of the new credit facility.
- (m) To reflect the cancellation and conversion of Abraxas Energy's common units, other than those owned by Investments, into shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock pursuant to the Merger Agreement. For this adjustment, the mid-point exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share, was utilized. Common units owned by Investments and the general partner interest owned by the GP will be canceled and cease to exist at the closing of the Merger. If the low end of the exchange ratio of 4.25 was utilized for this adjustment, pro forma net loss per common share would be \$0.75 for the year ended December 31, 2008 and \$0.14 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and if the high end of the exchange ratio of 6.00 was utilized for this adjustment, pro forma net loss per common share would be \$0.66 for the year ended December 31, 2008 and \$0.13 for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Note 1. Supplemental Oil and Gas Disclosures

For financial reporting purposes, the operating and financial results, including net proved reserves of oil and gas, of Abraxas Energy are consolidated with the results of Abraxas Petroleum because Abraxas Petroleum controls the general partner of Abraxas Energy and owns a 48.2% interest in Abraxas Energy. As a result, historical depreciation, depletion and amortization expense reflects the consolidated entity. The following information reflects net proved reserves of oil and gas and the corresponding Standardized Measure of Abraxas Petroleum and Abraxas Energy on a stand-alone and on a consolidated basis.

The following information summarizes the net proved reserves of oil (including condensate and natural gas liquids) and gas and the present values thereof as of December 31, 2008 for our properties. DeGolyer and MacNaughton estimated reserves for properties comprising approximately 92% of the PV-10 of our oil and gas reserves (on a consolidated basis) as of December 31, 2008, and reserves for the remaining 8% of our properties (on a consolidated basis) were estimated by Abraxas Petroleum personnel. The properties acquired from St. Mary included in the reserve report prepared by DeGolyer and MacNaughton were selected by Abraxas Petroleum initially according to the value it allocated to each property during the review of the acquisition. Reserve estimates for the higher valued properties were prepared by DeGolyer and MacNaughton and reserve estimates for the lower valued properties were prepared by Abraxas Petroleum personnel because we determined that it was not practical for DeGolyer and MacNaughton to prepare reserve estimates for all of the properties because we own a large number of properties with relatively low values. A total of 412 properties were included in the reserve report prepared by DeGolyer and MacNaughton, which comprised 92% of the standardized measure of our properties and a total of 889 properties were included in the reserve estimates prepared by Abraxas Petroleum personnel, which comprised 8% of the standardized measure of our properties. Oil and gas reserves, and the estimates of the present value of future net revenues therefrom, were determined based on then current prices and costs. Reserve calculations involve the estimate of future net recoverable reserves of oil and gas and the timing and amount of future net revenues to be received therefrom. Such estimates are not precise and are based on assumptions regarding a variety of factors, many of which are variable and uncertain. Proved oil and gas reserves are the estimated quantities of oil and gas that geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. Proved developed oil and gas reserves are those expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods. All of our reserves are located in the continental United States.

Proved reserves were estimated in accordance with guidelines established by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the FASB, which require that reserve estimates be prepared under existing economic and operating conditions with no provision for price and cost escalations except by contractual arrangements; therefore, year-end prices and costs were used in estimating net cash flows.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth changes in estimated proved reserves for the periods indicated.

	Abraxas Petroleum (stand-alone)		Abraxas Energy (stand-alone)		Pro Forma Consolidated	
	Oil (MBbl)	Gas (MMcf)	Oil (MBbl)	Gas (MMcf)	Oil (MBbl)	Gas (MMcf)
December 31, 2007	1,925	22,543	1,206	65,460	3,131	88,003
Revisions of previous estimates	(260)	(1,241)	(1,391)	(4,919)	(1,651)	(6,160)
Extensions and discoveries	459	3,773		2,090	459	5,863
Acquisition of oil and gas properties	572	830	5,112	26,280	5,684	27,110
Sales of minerals in place	(27)	(56)			(27)	(56)
Production	(102)	(838)	(448)	(5,505)	(550)	(6,343)
December 31, 2008	2,567	25,011	4,479	83,406	7,046	108,417

Acquisition of oil and gas properties increased significantly during 2008 due to the properties we acquired from St. Mary in January 2008.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding pro forma proved developed oil and gas reserves.

	Oil (MBbl)	Gas (MMcf)
December 31, 2007	2,184	33,908
December 31, 2008	5,563	48,209

The following table, which represents a standardized measure of discounted future net cash flow and changes therein relating to estimated proved oil and gas reserves, are presented pursuant to FAS 69. In computing this data, assumptions other than those required by FAS 69 could produce different results. Accordingly, the data should not be construed as representative of the fair market value of our estimated net proved oil and gas reserves. The following assumptions have been made:

Future revenues were based on year-end NYMEX oil and gas prices of \$44.60 per barrel of oil and \$5.62 per MMBtu of gas for December 31, 2008. Future price changes were included only to the extent provided by existing contractual agreements.

Production and development costs were computed using year-end costs assuming no change in present economic conditions.

Future net cash flow were discounted at an annual rate of 10%.

Future income taxes were computed by applying the statutory tax rate to the excess of pre-tax cash inflows over the tax basis of the properties. Operating loss carryforwards, tax credits, and permanent differences to the extent estimated to be available in the future were also considered in the future income tax calculations, thereby reducing the expected tax expense.

The standardized measure of discounted future net cash flow relating to estimated pro forma net proved oil and gas reserves as of December 31, 2008 is presented below:

	Abraxas Petroleum (stand-alone)	Abraxas Energy (stand-alone) (In thousands)	Pro Forma Consolidated
Future cash inflows	\$ 226,936	\$ 584,708	\$ 811,644
Future production costs	(81,340)	(231,416)	(312,756)

Edgar Filing: ABRAXAS PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEFM14A

Future development costs	(43,890)	(90,183)	(134,073)
Future income tax expense			
Future net cash flows	101,706	263,109	364,815
Discount	(68,285)	(144,538)	(212,823)
Standardized Measure of discounted future net cash flow relating to net proved reserves	\$ 33,421	\$ 118,571	\$ 151,992

Table of Contents

The following is an analysis of the changes in Standardized Measure:

	Abraxas Petroleum (stand-alone)	Abraxas Energy (stand-alone) (In thousands)	Pro Forma Consolidated
Standardized Measure January 1, 2008	\$ 67,935	\$ 147,751	\$ 215,686
Sales and transfers of oil and gas produced, net of production costs	(11,634)	(60,815)	(72,449)
Net change in prices and development and production costs from prior year	(27,406)	(41,688)	(69,094)
Extensions, discoveries and improved recovery, less related costs	6,448	2,246	8,694
Purchase of minerals in place	489	61,272	61,761
Sales of minerals in place	(306)	(60)	(366)
Revisions of previous quantity estimates	(1,984)	(14,238)	(16,222)
Change in timing and other	(12,361)	14,775	2,414
Accretion of discount	12,240	9,328	21,568
Standardized Measure December 31, 2008	\$ 33,421	\$ 118,571	\$ 151,992

Table of Contents**MARKET PRICE AND DIVIDEND INFORMATION; RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS****Abraxas Petroleum**

Our common stock began trading on the American Stock Exchange on August 18, 2000, under the symbol ABP. On July 25, 2008, our common stock began trading on The NASDAQ Stock Market under the symbol AXAS. The following table sets forth certain information as to the high and low sales price quoted for our common stock on the American Stock Exchange and NASDAQ.

Period	High	Low
2007		
First Quarter	\$ 3.47	\$ 2.72
Second Quarter	4.68	2.95
Third Quarter	4.73	3.25
Fourth Quarter	4.85	3.19
2008		
First Quarter	\$ 4.35	\$ 3.11
Second Quarter	5.41	3.25
Third Quarter	5.31	2.15
Fourth Quarter	2.48	0.65
2009		
First Quarter	\$ 1.35	\$ 0.89
Second Quarter	1.50	0.74
Third Quarter (through September 1, 2009)	1.28	0.86

The closing price of our common stock on June 17, 2009, the day before the announcement of the letter of intent to consummate the Merger, was \$1.22 per share, and the closing price of our common stock on September 1, 2009, the most recent practicable date prior to the filing of this proxy statement, was \$1.10 per share.

As of August 28, 2009, we had 49,836,894 shares of common stock outstanding and had approximately 1,183 stockholders of record. We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock and it is not presently determinable when, if ever, Abraxas Petroleum will pay cash dividends in the future. In addition, our credit facility prohibits the payment of cash dividends on the common stock and it is anticipated that the new credit facility will contain similar prohibitions. You should read the discussion under **Information about Abraxas Petroleum** **Abraxas Petroleum Management** s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations **Liquidity and Capital Resources** for more information regarding the restrictions on our ability to pay dividends.

Abraxas Energy

There is currently no public market for Abraxas Energy common units. Upon completion of the Merger, all outstanding Abraxas Energy common units other than those owned by Investments will convert automatically into shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock in accordance with the Merger Agreement.

Abraxas Energy currently has 91 holders of its common units. A total of 6,002,408 Abraxas Energy common units were issued in a private placement in May 2007 and are held by 25 accredited investors, which we refer to as the Investor Units. The shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in exchange for the Investor Units will be issued pursuant to the exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, provided in Section 4(2) and Regulation D of that Act. The shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in reliance on this exemption will be issued to 25 investors, each of whom has represented to us that it is an accredited investor and/or qualified institutional buyer and that such investor was not solicited by means of a general solicitation.

Table of Contents

In addition, 52,000 Abraxas Energy restricted units and 63,008 phantom units, none of which have vested, were issued pursuant to the Partnership LTIP, and are held by 66 employees of Abraxas Petroleum and members of the Abraxas Energy Board, which we refer to as the Partnership LTIP Units. The shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock to be issued in exchange for the outstanding Partnership LTIP Units will be registered on a Form S-8 to be filed by Abraxas Petroleum upon consummation of the Merger. In addition, a total of 248,950 unit options that were approved by the Abraxas Energy Board and issuable upon the closing of the initial public offering of Abraxas Energy to a total of 14 employees of Abraxas Petroleum will be assumed by Abraxas Petroleum and converted into options to purchase Abraxas Petroleum common stock. The shares issuable upon exercise of these options will also be registered on a Form S-8 to be filed by Abraxas Petroleum upon consummation of the Merger.

Abraxas Energy has paid distributions of available cash on a quarterly basis but is currently prohibited under the Merger Agreement and the Abraxas Energy subordinated credit facility from making any distributions.

Abraxas Energy has paid the following distributions to its unitholders:

Date Paid	Period	Distribution Per Unit
August 14, 2007	May 25-June 30, 2007	\$ 0.1520
November 14, 2007	Quarter Ended September 30, 2007	\$ 0.3750
February 14, 2008	Quarter Ended December 31, 2007	\$ 0.3750
May 14, 2008	Quarter Ended March 31, 2008	\$ 0.4000
August 14, 2008	Quarter Ended June 30, 2008	\$ 0.4375
November 14, 2008	Quarter Ended September 30, 2008	\$ 0.4400
February 13, 2009	Quarter Ended December 31, 2008	\$ 0.3750

You should read the discussion under [Information about Abraxas Energy](#) [Abraxas Energy Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations](#) [Liquidity and Capital Resources](#) for more information regarding the restrictions on Abraxas Energy's ability to pay distributions.

Table of Contents**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The following tables set forth the beneficial ownership by the persons listed below of shares of Abraxas Petroleum common stock and Abraxas Energy common units, respectively, as of August 28, 2009, and of Abraxas Petroleum after giving effect to the Merger. As of August 28, 2009, Abraxas Petroleum had 49,836,894 shares of common stock outstanding and Abraxas Energy had 11,462,149 common units outstanding.

Ownership of Abraxas Petroleum Common Stock before the Merger

Based upon information received from the persons concerned, each person known to Abraxas Petroleum to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of the outstanding shares of common stock of Abraxas Petroleum, each director and nominee for director, each of the executive officers and all directors and officers of Abraxas Petroleum as a group, owned beneficially as of August 28, 2009, the number and percentage of outstanding shares of common stock of Abraxas Petroleum indicated in the following table. None of the shares listed below have been pledged as security, except for 350,000 shares owned by Mr. Watson.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares (1)	Percentage (%)
Robert L.G. Watson	1,264,990(2)	2.5%
Chris E. Williford	319,483(3)	*
Lee T. Billingsley	267,094(4)	*
William H. Wallace	209,971(5)	*
Stephen T. Wendel	277,250(6)	*
Barbara M. Stuckey	53,914(7)	*
C. Scott Bartlett, Jr.	132,158(8)	*
Franklin A. Burke	4,167,657(9)	8.4%
Harold D. Carter	172,833(10)	*
Ralph F. Cox	389,658(11)	*
Dennis E. Logue	129,658(12)	*
Paul A. Powell, Jr.	179,037(13)	*
All Officers and Directors as a Group (12 persons)	7,563,703(2)(3)(4)(5)(6) (7)(8)(9)(10) (11)(12)(13)	15.1%

* Less than 1%

- (1) Unless otherwise indicated, all shares are held directly with sole voting and investment power.
- (2) Includes 283,713 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the Abraxas Petroleum Corporation 1994 Long Term Incentive Plan (the "1994 LTIP"), 85,406 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the LTIP and 26,867 shares in a retirement account. Does not include a total of 75,880 shares owned by the Robert L.G. Watson, Jr. Trust and the Carey B. Watson Trust, the trustees of which are Mr. Watson's brothers and the beneficiaries of which are Mr. Watson's children. Mr. Watson disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares owned by these trusts. Mr. Watson has pledged 350,000 shares of common stock as security for a loan held by Plains Capital Bank. In addition, Mr. Watson owns 40,714 common units of Abraxas Energy, which includes 6,000 restricted units granted pursuant to the Partnership LTIP. Mr. Watson's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
- (3) Includes 103,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 1994 LTIP, 78,809 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the LTIP and 15,055 shares in a retirement account. In addition, Mr. Williford has been granted 2,500 restricted units of Abraxas Energy pursuant to the Partnership LTIP. Mr. Williford's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
- (4) Includes 52,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 1994 LTIP, 41,636 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the LTIP and 25,625 shares in a retirement account. In

Table of Contents

- addition, Dr. Billingsley has been granted 2,500 restricted units of Abraxas Energy pursuant to the Partnership LTIP. Dr. Billingsley's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
- (5) Includes 82,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 1994 LTIP, 42,230 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the LTIP and 29,825 shares in a retirement account. In addition, Mr. Wallace has been granted 2,500 restricted units of Abraxas Energy pursuant to the Partnership LTIP. Mr. Wallace's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
 - (6) Includes 42,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 1994 LTIP, 41,333 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the LTIP and 90,967 shares in a retirement account. In addition, Mr. Wendel has been granted 2,500 restricted units of Abraxas Energy pursuant to the Partnership LTIP. Mr. Wendel's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
 - (7) Includes 25,047 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the LTIP and 14,122 shares in a retirement account. In addition, Ms. Stuckey owns 18,986 common units of Abraxas Energy, which includes 4,000 restricted units granted pursuant to the Partnership LTIP. Ms. Stuckey's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
 - (8) Includes 40,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 2005 Director Plan and 26,000 shares in a retirement account. Mr. Bartlett's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
 - (9) Includes 15,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the Abraxas Petroleum Corporation Amended and Restated Director Stock Option Plan (the "Director Option Plan"), 45,000 shares issuable upon exercise of certain option agreements, 40,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 2005 Director Plan, 219,930 shares in a retirement account, 2,156,781 shares owned by Venture Securities Corporation Profit Sharing Trust Plan (voluntary), Venture Securities Corporation Profit Sharing Plan Trust (designated) and Venture Securities Corporation Pension Plan Trust over which Mr. Burke has shared discretion to dispose of, direct the disposition of, vote, and direct the voting of such shares for the benefit of the beneficiary of the trust, 16,500 shares in various trust and guardianship accounts, of which Mr. Burke is a trustee or guardian, 24,222 shares in the Pleasantville Church Foundation, of which Mr. Burke is a director, and 1,502,936 shares managed by BLB&B Advisors, LLC, of which Mr. Burke is the sole owner, on behalf of third parties. Mr. Burke does not have any voting rights with regard to the shares managed by BLB&B Advisors, LLC. In addition, 71,428 common units of Abraxas Energy are owned by Venture Securities Corporation Pension Trust and Venture Securities Corporation Profit Sharing Trust. Mr. Burke's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
 - (10) Includes 15,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the Director Option Plan, 45,000 shares issuable upon exercise of certain option agreements, 40,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 2005 Director Plan, 7,577 shares in a family partnership and 40,598 shares in a retirement account. Mr. Carter's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
 - (11) Includes 75,000 shares issuable upon exercise of certain option agreements and 40,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 2005 Director Plan. In addition, Mr. Cox has been granted 4,000 restricted units of Abraxas Energy pursuant to the Partnership LTIP. Mr. Cox's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
 - (12) Includes 68,000 shares issuable upon exercise of certain option agreements and 40,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 2005 Director Plan. Mr. Logue's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
 - (13) Includes 15,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the Director Option Plan, 45,000 shares issuable upon exercise of certain option agreements, 40,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 2005 Director Plan and 27,277 shares in various entities managed by Mr. Powell. Mr. Powell's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.

Table of Contents**Ownership of Abraxas Energy Before the Merger**

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of Abraxas Energy's common units held by beneficial owners of 5% or more of Abraxas Energy's common units as of August 28, 2009, all of the original private investors that currently hold common units, each director and named executive officer of the GP, and by all directors and executive officers of the GP as a group.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Common Units	
	Beneficially Owned	Percentage
Lehman Brothers MLP Opportunity Fund L.P. (1)(2)	1,221,254	10.7%
Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (2)	1,221,254	10.7%
Citigroup Global Markets Inc. (1)(3)	1,221,254	10.7%
Sean Shi (3)	1,221,254	10.7%
Third Point Partners LP (1)(4)	585,697	5.1%
Third Point Partners Qualified LP (1)(4)	543,693	4.7%
Third Point LLC (4)	1,129,660	9.9%
Daniel S. Loeb (4)	1,129,660	9.9%
Fiduciary/Claymore MLP Opportunity Fund (1)(5)	534,299	4.7%
Valley Energy Investment Fund U.S., L.P. (1)(6)	854,878	7.5%
Abraxas Energy Investments, LLC (7)	5,350,598	46.7%
Abraxas Petroleum Corporation (7)	5,350,598	46.7%
Tortoise Capital Resources Corporation (1)(8)	457,971	4.0%
Martin B Perlman Associates (1)(9)	44,010	*
MEDDS III (1)(9)	53,713	*
Martin Perlman (9)	97,723	*
Leonard Greenberg IRA (1)(10)	12,104	*
Leonard Greenberg Roth IRA (1)(10)	104	*
Leonard Greenberg (10)	12,208	*
Hartz Capital Investments, LLC (1)(11)	305,314	2.7%
Hartz Capital, Inc. (11)	305,314	2.7%
Edward J. Stern (11)	305,314	2.7%
Ronald J. Bangs (11)	305,314	2.7%
Jonathan B. Schindel (11)	305,314	2.7%
Franklin A. Burke (1)(12)	71,428	*
Robert L.G. Watson (1)(13)	40,714	*
Barbara M. Stuckey (1)(13)	18,986	*
Chris E. Williford (13)	2,500	*
Ralph F. Cox (13)	4,000	*
Bryant H. Patton (13)	4,000	*
Randolph C. Aldridge (13)	4,000	*
Brian L. Melton (13)	4,000	*
Edward P. Russell		*
All directors and executive officers of the GP as a group (13)	78,200	*

* Less than 1%

- (1) Private Investor. On February 15, 2008, Energy Income and Growth Fund, a Private Investor, sold its common units to certain accredited investors in a privately-negotiated transaction. In total, the accredited investors purchased 225,090 common units. The accredited investors were Robert L.G. Watson; Barbara M. Stuckey; Venture Securities Corporation Pension Trust, Venture Securities Corporation Profit Sharing Trust, Franklin A. Burke and Elizabeth K. Burke, Trustees; Frey Living Trust, Philip Frey, Trustee; Geraldine Houghton; Robert W. Finley; Petker Charitable Remainder Trust, Herman Petker, Trustee; Greg and Leslie Otto; Jim Loeffelbein; and Coal Creek Energy, LLC.
- (2) The Board of Directors of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., whose members may change from time to time has voting and investment control over the units held by Lehman Brothers MLP Opportunity Fund L.P. The

Table of Contents

- members of the Board of Directors of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. disclaim beneficial ownership of all of such units. The address of Lehman Brothers MLP Opportunity Fund L.P. is 1271 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10020. Lehman Brothers MLP Opportunity Fund L.P.'s general partner is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., a public reporting company.
- (3) Sean Shi in his capacity as its authorized employee, has voting and investment control over the units held by Citigroup Global Markets Inc. Mr. Shi disclaims beneficial ownership of all of such units. The address of Citigroup Global Markets Inc. is 390 Greenwich Street, 3rd Floor, New York, NY 10013. Citigroup Global Markets Inc. is a member of FINRA and a broker-dealer registered pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act. Citigroup Global Markets Inc. (i) purchased the securities for its own account, not as a nominee or agent, in the ordinary course of business and with no intention of selling or otherwise distributing any transaction in violation of securities laws and not as compensation for investment banking services, and (ii) at the time of purchase, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. did not have any agreement or understanding, direct or indirect, with any other person to sell or otherwise distribute the units purchased.
 - (4) Third Point LLC, and Daniel S. Loeb in his capacity as the CEO of Third Point LLC, have voting and investment control over the units held by Third Point Partners LP and Third Point Partners Qualified LP. Third Point LLC is the investment advisor for Third Point Partners LP and Third Point Partners Qualified LP. The address of Third Point LLC is 390 Park Avenue, 18th Floor, New York, NY 10022.
 - (5) Pursuant to investment advisory agreements entered into with Fiduciary/Claymore MLP Opportunity Fund, Fiduciary Asset Management, LLC. (FAMCO) holds voting and dispositive power with respect to the units held by such unitholders. The investment committee of FAMCO is responsible for the investment management of the unitholders' portfolio. As of June 30, 2009, the investment committee of FAMCO is comprised of Charles D. Walbrandt, Wiley D. Angell, Joseph E. Gallagher, James J. Cunnane, Jr., Mohammed Riad, Timothy Swanson, Quinn T. Kiley, Katherine K. Diener, William N. Adams, Benjamin Armstrong, Kirk F. McDonald and Michael H. Helgeson, each of whom disclaims beneficial ownership of the units held by Fiduciary/Claymore MLP Opportunity Fund except to the extent of such person's pecuniary interest therein. The unitholders corresponding to this footnote have each represented that (i) it purchased the securities for the unitholder's own account, not as a nominee or agent, in the ordinary course of business and with no intention of selling or otherwise distributing such securities in any transaction in violation of securities laws and (ii) at the time of purchase, the unitholder did not have any agreement or understanding, direct or indirect, with any other person to sell or otherwise distribute the purchased securities. Piper Jaffray Companies, an affiliate of Fiduciary/Claymore MLP Opportunity Fund is a member of the FINRA. The address of Fiduciary/Claymore MLP Opportunity Fund is 8235 Forsyth, Suite 700, St. Louis, MO 63105.
 - (6) An investment committee composed of employees of Merrill Lynch & Co., a member of the FINRA, or its affiliates, whose members may change from time to time, has voting and investment control over the units held by Valley Energy Investment Fund U.S., L.P. The address of Valley Energy Investment Fund U.S., L.P. is c/o Merrill Lynch Commodity Partners, 20 East Greenway Plaza Suite 950, Houston, TX 77046.
 - (7) The Board of Directors of Abraxas Petroleum, the sole member of Investments, a member managed limited liability company whose board members may change from time to time, has voting and investment control (and has the power to appoint persons to act on its behalf in the exercise of such control) over the units held by Investments. The members of the Board of Directors of Abraxas Petroleum disclaim beneficial ownership of all such units. The address of Abraxas Petroleum Corporation is 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, TX 78258.
 - (8) Pursuant to investment advisory agreements entered into with Tortoise Capital Resources Corporation, Tortoise Capital Advisors, L.L.C. (TCA) holds voting and dispositive power with respect to the units held by the unitholder. The investment committee of TCA is responsible for the investment management of the unitholder's portfolio. The investment committee is comprised of H. Kevin Birzer, Zachary A. Hamel, Kenneth P. Malvey, Terry C. Matlack and David J. Schulte, each of whom disclaim beneficial ownership of the units held by Tortoise Capital Resources Corporation except to the extent of such person's pecuniary interest therein. The address of Tortoise Capital Resources Corporation is 11550 Ash Street, Suite 300, Leawood, KS 66211.
 - (9) Martin Perlman, in his capacity as portfolio manager, has voting and investment control over the units held by Martin B. Perlman Associates and MEDDS III. The address of Martin B. Perlman Associates and MEDDS III is 539 Durie Avenue, Closter, NJ 07624.

Table of Contents

- (10) Leonard Greenberg has the power to vote or dispose of the units held in Leonard Greenberg IRA and Leonard Greenberg Roth IRA. The address of Leonard Greenberg is 539 Durie Avenue, Closter, NJ 07624.
- (11) Edward J. Stern, Ronald J. Bangs and Jonathan B. Schindel, in their capacity as officers of Hartz Capital, Inc., which is the sole manager of Hartz Capital Investments, LLC, share voting and investment control over the units held by Hartz Capital Investments, LLC. Each of Messrs. Bangs and Schindel disclaims beneficial ownership of all of such units. The address of Hartz Capital Investments, LLC is 400 Plaza Drive, Secaucus, NJ 07094.
- (12) Franklin A. Burke is a director of Abraxas Petroleum. Venture Securities Corporation Pension Trust owns 35,714 of these common units and Venture Securities Corporation Profit Sharing Trust owns 35,714 of these common units. Franklin A. Burke and Elizabeth K. Burke are trustees of both trusts.
- (13) None of the awards granted to the directors and executive officers of the GP under the Partnership LTIP vests or is exercisable within 60 days.

Pro Forma Ownership of Abraxas Petroleum

The following table provides information, after giving pro forma effect to the Merger, with respect to the anticipated beneficial ownership of Abraxas Petroleum's common stock by each person expected to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of Abraxas Petroleum's common stock anticipated to be outstanding after the Merger, each person expected to be a director or executive officer following the Merger, and all such directors and executive officers as a group, assuming the mid-point exchange ratio of 5.125, or \$1.17 per share.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares (1)	Percentage (%)
Robert L.G. Watson	1,512,051(2)	1.9%
Chris E. Williford	354,179(3)	*
Lee T. Billingsley	301,790(4)	*
William H. Wallace	244,667(5)	*
Stephen T. Wendel	311,946(6)	*
Barbara M. Stuckey	184,950(7)	*
C. Scott Bartlett, Jr.	132,158(8)	*
Franklin A. Burke	4,167,657(9)	5.1%
Harold D. Carter	172,833(10)	*
Ralph F. Cox	410,158(11)	*
Dennis E. Logue	129,658(12)	*
Brian L. Melton	20,500(13)	*
Paul A. Powell, Jr.	179,037(14)	*
Edward P. Russell	(15)	*
Lehman Brothers MLP Opportunity Fund	6,258,927(16)	7.7%
Citigroup Global Markets Inc.	6,258,927(17)	7.7%
Third Point LLC	5,789,508(18)	7.1%
Valley Energy Investment Fund U.S., L.P.	4,381,250(19)	5.4%
All Officers and Directors as a Group (14 persons)	8,121,584(2)(3)(4)(5)(6) (7)(8)(9)(10) (11)(12)(13) (14)(15)	10.0%

* Less than 1%

(1) Unless otherwise indicated, all shares are held directly with sole voting and investment power.

(2) Includes 283,713 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the Abraxas Petroleum Corporation 1994 Long Term Incentive Plan (the "1994 LTIP"), 85,406 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the LTIP and 26,573 shares in a retirement account. Does not include a total of 75,880 shares owned by the Robert L.G. Watson, Jr. Trust and the Carey B. Watson Trust, the trustees of which are Mr. Watson's brothers and the beneficiaries of which are Mr. Watson's children. Mr. Watson disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares owned by these trusts. Mr. Watson has pledged 350,000 shares

Table of Contents

- of common stock as security for a loan held by Plains Capital Bank. In addition, Mr. Watson owns 40,714 common units of Abraxas Energy, which includes 6,000 restricted units granted pursuant to the Partnership LTIP. Mr. Watson's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
- (3) Includes 103,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 1994 LTIP, 78,809 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the LTIP and 15,055 shares in a retirement account. In addition, Mr. Williford has been granted 2,500 restricted units of Abraxas Energy pursuant to the Partnership LTIP. Mr. Williford's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
- (4) Includes 52,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 1994 LTIP, 41,636 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the LTIP and 25,625 shares in a retirement account. In addition, Dr. Billingsley has been granted 2,500 restricted units of Abraxas Energy pursuant to the Partnership LTIP. Dr. Billingsley's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
- (5) Includes 82,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 1994 LTIP, 42,230 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the LTIP and 23,546 shares in a retirement account. In addition, Mr. Wallace has been granted 2,500 restricted units of Abraxas Energy pursuant to the Partnership LTIP. Mr. Wallace's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
- (6) Includes 42,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 1994 LTIP, 41,333 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the LTIP and 88,518 shares in a retirement account. In addition, Mr. Wendel has been granted 2,500 restricted units of Abraxas Energy pursuant to the Partnership LTIP. Mr. Wendel's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
- (7) Includes 25,047 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the LTIP and 14,122 shares in a retirement account. In addition, Ms. Stuckey owns 18,986 common units of Abraxas Energy, which includes 4,000 restricted units granted pursuant to the Partnership LTIP. Ms. Stuckey's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
- (8) Includes 40,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 2005 Director Plan and 11,000 shares in a retirement account. Mr. Bartlett's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
- (9) Includes 15,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the Abraxas Petroleum Corporation Amended and Restated Director Stock Option Plan (the "Director Option Plan"), 45,000 shares issuable upon exercise of certain option agreements, 40,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 2005 Director Plan, 219,930 shares in a retirement account, 2,156,781 shares owned by Venture Securities Corporation Profit Sharing Trust Plan (voluntary), Venture Securities Corporation Profit Sharing Plan Trust (designated) and Venture Securities Corporation Pension Plan Trust over which Mr. Burke has shared discretion to dispose of, direct the disposition of, vote, and direct the voting of such shares for the benefit of the beneficiary of the trust, 16,500 shares in various trust and guardianship accounts, of which Mr. Burke is a trustee or guardian, 24,222 shares in the Pleasantville Church Foundation, of which Mr. Burke is a director, and 1,502,936 shares managed by BLB&B Advisors, LLC, of which Mr. Burke is the sole owner, on behalf of third parties. Mr. Burke does not have any voting rights with regard to the shares managed by BLB&B Advisors, LLC. In addition, 71,428 common units of Abraxas Energy are owned by Venture Securities Corporation Pension Trust and Venture Securities Corporation Profit Sharing Trust. Mr. Burke's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
- (10) Includes 15,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the Director Option Plan, 45,000 shares issuable upon exercise of certain option agreements, 40,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 2005 Director Plan, 7,577 shares in a family partnership and 40,598 shares in a retirement account. Mr. Carter's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.

Table of Contents

- (11) Includes 75,000 shares issuable upon exercise of certain option agreements and 40,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 2005 Director Plan. In addition, Mr. Cox has been granted 4,000 restricted units of Abraxas Energy pursuant to the Partnership LTIP. Mr. Cox's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
- (12) Includes 68,000 shares issuable upon exercise of certain option agreements and 40,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 2005 Director Plan. Mr. Logue's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
- (13) Mr. Melton's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
- (14) Includes 15,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the Director Option Plan, 45,000 shares issuable upon exercise of certain option agreements, 40,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 2005 Director Plan and 27,277 shares in various entities managed by Mr. Powell. Mr. Powell's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
- (15) Mr. Russell's address is c/o Abraxas Petroleum Corporation, 18803 Meisner Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
- (16) The Board of Directors of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., whose members may change from time to time has voting and investment control over the units held by Lehman Brothers MLP Opportunity Fund L.P. The members of the Board of Directors of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. disclaim beneficial ownership of all of such units. The address of Lehman Brothers MLP Opportunity Fund L.P. is 1271 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10020. Lehman Brothers MLP Opportunity Fund L.P.'s general partner is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., a public reporting company.
- (17) Sean Shi in his capacity as its authorized employee, has voting and investment control over the units held by Citigroup Global Markets Inc. Mr. Shi disclaims beneficial ownership of all of such units. The address of Citigroup Global Markets Inc. is 390 Greenwich Street, 3rd Floor, New York, NY 10013. Citigroup Global Markets Inc. is a member of FINRA and a broker-dealer registered pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act. Citigroup Global Markets Inc. (i) purchased the securities for its own account, not as a nominee or agent, in the ordinary course of business and with no intention of selling or otherwise distributing any transaction in violation of securities laws and not as compensation for investment banking services, and (ii) at the time of purchase, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. did not have any agreement or understanding, direct or indirect, with any other person to sell or otherwise distribute the units purchased.
- (18) Third Point LLC, and Daniel S. Loeb in his capacity as the CEO of Third Point LLC, have voting and investment control over the units held by Third Point Partners LP and Third Point Partners Qualified LP. Third Point LLC is the investment advisor for Third Point Partners LP and Third Point Partners Qualified LP. The address of Third Point LLC is 390 Park Avenue, 18th Floor, New York, NY 10022.
- (19) An investment committee composed of employees of Merrill Lynch & Co., a member of the FINRA, or its affiliates, whose members may change from time to time, has voting and investment control over the units held by Valley Energy Investment Fund U.S., L.P. The address of Valley Energy Investment Fund U.S., L.P. is c/o Merrill Lynch Commodity Partners, 20 East Greenway Plaza Suite 950, Houston, TX 77046.

Table of Contents

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

Abraxas Petroleum files annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. You may read and copy any document we file at the SEC's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the Public Reference Room. The SEC also maintains an Internet website that has reports, proxy statements and other information about issuers, including Abraxas Petroleum, that make electronic filings with the SEC. The address of that site is www.sec.gov.