DIGIRAD CORP Form 10-Q October 22, 2009 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED September 30, 2009

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

Commission file number: 000-50789

Digirad Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or Other Jurisdiction of

33-0145723 (I.R.S. Employer

Incorporation or Organization)

Identification No.)

13950 Stowe Drive, Poway, CA (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

92064 (Zip Code)

(858) 726-1600

(Registrant s Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes "No"

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer x (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). "Yes x No

As of October 10, 2009, the registrant had 18,975,081 shares of Common Stock (\$0.0001 par value) outstanding.

DIGIRAD CORPORATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	3
Item 1. Financial Statements	3
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2009 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2008	3
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008	4
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008	5
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	13
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	18
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	18
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	19
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	19
Item 1A. Risk Factors	19
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	23
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	23
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	23
Item 5. Other Information	23
Item 6. Exhibits	23
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	25
EXHIBIT 31.1	
EXHIBIT 31.2	
EXHIBIT 32.1	
EXHIBIT 32.2	

2

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Digirad Corporation

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except par value amounts)

	September 30, 2009 (Unaudited)		ember 31, 2008*
Assets	, ,		
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	12,880	\$ 13,525
Securities available-for-sale		18,188	14,759
Accounts receivable, net		8,558	9,324
Inventories, net		6,740	4,978
Property and equipment held for sale			1,122
Other current assets		1,398	1,982
Total current assets		47,764	45,690
Property and equipment, net		10,838	13,428
Intangible assets, net		1,385	1,833
Goodwill		184	184
Restricted cash			60
Total assets	\$	60,171	\$ 61,195
Liabilities and stockholders equity			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	\$	2,373	\$ 2,197
Accrued compensation		3,038	3,457
Accrued warranty		532	906
Other accrued liabilities		2,336	2,811
Deferred revenue		2,469	2,723
Total current liabilities		10,748	12,094
Deferred rent		171	142
Commitments and contingencies			
0. 11.11			
Stockholders equity: Preferred stock, \$0.0001 par value: 10,000 shares authorized at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008; no shares issued or outstanding at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 Common stock, \$0.0001 par value: 80,000 shares authorized at September 30, 2009 and			
December 31, 2008; 18,523 and 18,944 shares issued and outstanding (net of treasury shares) at		2	2
September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively		2	2
Treasury stock, at cost; 449 shares at September 30, 2009 and no shares at December 31, 2008		(766)	150.005
Additional paid-in capital		153,694	153,225
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		(102.922)	(22)
Accumulated deficit		(103,832)	(104,246)
Total stockholders equity		49,252	48,959

Total liabilities and stockholders equity

\$ 60,171

61,195

\$

* The consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008, has been derived from the audited financial statements as of that date. See accompanying notes.

3

Digirad Corporation

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

		Thre	e months end 2009	led Se	ptember 30 2008	Nine	months end	ed Se	ptember 30 2008
Revenues:									
DIS		\$	12,903	\$	13,954	\$	40,319	\$	42,032
Product			4,025		6,249		12,878		16,339
Total revenues			16,928		20,203		53,197		58,371
Cost of revenues:			10,926		20,203		33,177		36,371
			0.562		11 225		20.205		22 524
DIS			9,563		11,235		29,285		33,534
Product			2,852		4,145		8,402		11,046
Total cost of revenues			12,415		15,380		37,687		44,580
Gross profit			4,513		4,823		15,510		13,791
Operating expenses:									
Research and development			864		654		2,490		1,959
Marketing and sales			1,698		2,036		5,422		6,433
General and administrative			2,139		2,941		6,783		8,952
Amortization of intangible assets			133		173		448		542
Restructuring loss			193				338		
Total operating expenses			5,027		5,804		15,481		17,886
Income (loss) from operations			(514)		(981)		29		(4,095)
Other income (expense):			(= 1)		(,,,,,				(1,070)
Interest income			174		96		399		634
Interest expense			(2)		(8)		(7)		(27)
Other			(72)		24		(7)		68
			(, =)				(,)		
Total other income			100		112		385		675
Net income (loss)		\$	(414)	\$	(869)	\$	414	\$	(3,420)
Net income (loss) per common share	basic and diluted	\$	(0.02)	\$	(0.05)	\$	0.02	\$	(0.18)
Weighted average shares outstanding	basic		19,020		18,964		18,989		18,943
Weighted average shares outstanding	diluted		19,020		18,964		19,439		18,943

See accompanying notes.

4

Digirad Corporation

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Nine months ended Se 2009			l September 30, 2008		
Operating activities						
Net income (loss)	\$	414	\$	(3,420)		
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:						
Depreciation		3,506		4,243		
Amortization of intangible assets		448		543		
Provision for bad debt		89		310		
Stock-based compensation		461		630		
Restructuring loss		338				
Loss on disposal of assets		7		56		
Amortization of premium on securities available-for-sale		312		255		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:						
Accounts receivable		677		(1,744)		
Inventories		(1,754)		(295)		
Other assets		644		(176)		
Accounts payable		176		(34)		
Accrued compensation		(621)		(175)		
Other accrued liabilities		(1,313)		(652)		
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities Investing activities		3,384		(459)		
Purchases of property and equipment		(641)		(4,741)		
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment		980				
Purchases of securities available-for-sale		(15,415)		(16,946)		
Maturities of securities available-for-sale		11,851		17,717		
Net cash used in investing activities		(3,225)		(3,970)		
Financing activities		_				
Issuances of common stock		7		6		
Repurchases of common stock		(766)				
Repayment of obligations under capital leases		(45)		(186)		
Net cash used in financing activities		(804)		(180)		
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(645)		(4,609)		
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		13,525		14,922		
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	12,880	\$	10,313		

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

8

DIGIRAD CORPORATION

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

1. Interim Financial Information

Organization and Business

Digirad Corporation (Digirad), a Delaware corporation, is a leading provider of diagnostic imaging products and personnel and equipment leasing services that improve patient care while driving positive healthcare economics. Digirad has two reportable segments, Digirad Imaging Solutions (DIS) and Product. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the operations of both segments. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Substantially all of our revenue arises from sales activity in the United States. Through DIS, we provide in-office leasing services to physicians, offering certified personnel, required licensure, an imaging system and other support and supplies for the performance of nuclear and ultrasound imaging procedures under the supervision of our physician customers. DIS physician customers enter into annual lease contracts for imaging services generally delivered on a per-day basis. Our Product segment sells solid-state gamma cameras and provides camera service and maintenance contracts.

Basis of Presentation

We have prepared the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of our management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire year. For further information, see our financial statements and related disclosures thereto for the year ended December 31, 2008 in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 13, 2009. We evaluated subsequent events through October 22, 2009, the date on which this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Net Income (Loss) Per Share

Basic EPS is calculated by dividing net income or loss by the weighted average number of common shares and vested restricted stock units outstanding. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income or loss by the weighted average number of common shares and vested restricted stock units outstanding and the weighted average number of dilutive common stock equivalents, including stock options and non-vested restricted stock units. Common stock equivalents are only included in the diluted earnings per share calculation when their effect is dilutive. Potentially dilutive common stock equivalents of 760,000 were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended September 30, 2009 as their effect would have been anti-dilutive, compared to 213,000 and 245,000 common stock equivalents for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, respectively. On July 9, 2009, we cancelled options to purchase an aggregate of 1,087,230 shares of our common stock, and in exchange, granted new options to purchase an aggregate of 398,493 shares of our common stock, which did not have a material impact on the Consolidated Statements of Operations or net income (loss) per share.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share for the periods indicated (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three months ended September 30,			Nine	months end	led September 30,		
		2009		2008		2009		2008
Net income (loss)	\$	(414)	\$	(869)	\$	414	\$	(3,420)
Shares used to compute basic net income (loss) per share		19,020		18,964		18,989		18,943
Dilutive potential common shares:								
Stock options						316		
Restricted stock units						134		

Shares used to compute diluted net income (loss) per share	19,020 18,964 19,439		19,020 18,964		18,943			
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share	\$	(0.02)	\$	(0.05)	\$	0.02	\$	(0.18)

6

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) consists of the following components (in thousands):

	Three months ended September 30,				Nine	ptember 30,		
	2	009		2008	2	2009		2008
Net income (loss), as reported	\$	(414)	\$	(869)	\$	414	\$	(3,420)
Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities		36		(173)		177		(456)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$	(378)	\$	(1,042)	\$	591	\$	(3,876)

Fair-value of Financial Instruments

The carrying value of financial instruments, such as cash and cash equivalents, securities available-for-sale, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate their fair value because of their short term nature. We do not hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. We consider all investments with a maturity of three months or less when acquired to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents primarily represent funds invested in money market funds whose cost equals fair market value.

Securities, Available-for-Sale. In 2009, securities consist of money market funds, municipal bonds, as well as U.S. treasury, government and corporate debt securities. In 2008, securities included high-grade (i.e., AAA credit ratings) auction rate securities, in addition to money market funds, U.S. treasury, government and corporate debt securities. We classify all securities as available-for-sale, as the sale of such securities may be required prior to maturity to implement management strategies. These securities are carried at fair value, with the unrealized gains and losses reported as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders equity until realized. Realized gains and losses from the sale of available-for-sale securities, if any, are determined on a specific identification basis. A decline in the market value of any available-for-sale security below cost that is determined to be other than temporary will result in a revaluation of its carrying amount to fair value. The impairment is charged to earnings and a new cost basis for the security is established. No such impairment charges were recorded for any period presented. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted over the life of the related security as an adjustment to yield using the straight-line method. Interest income is recognized when earned. Realized gains and losses on investments in securities are based on the specific identification method and are included in other income within the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Gross realized gains for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 were \$64,000, compared to no realized gains in the three months ended September 30, 2008 and \$109,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. Realized losses of \$0.2 million were incurred in the nine months ended September 30, 2008. No realized losses were incurred in 2009 or the three months ended September 30, 2008. The amortization, accretion and interest income are included in interest income within the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The following table sets forth the composition of securities available for sale as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 (in thousands):

	Maturity in	Unrealized				
As of September 30, 2009	Years	Amor	tized Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value
U.S. treasury securities	2 or less	\$	4,083	\$ 27	\$	\$ 4,110
Municipal bonds	3 or less		204			204
Government sponsored entities	3 or less		4,421	8	(16)	4,413
Corporate debt securities	4 or less		9,326	138	(3)	9,461
		\$	18,034	\$ 173	\$ (19)	\$ 18,188

	Maturity in	Unrealized			
As of December 31, 2008	Years	Amortized Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value
U.S. treasury securities	2 or less	\$ 7,190	\$ 74	\$	\$ 7,264
Government sponsored entities	2 to 3	1,530		(16)	1,514
Corporate debt securities	3 or less	3,561	3	(83)	3,481

Auction rate securities	1 or less	2,500			2,500
	•	14 781	\$ 77	(00)	\$ 14.759

New Accounting Pronouncements

The FASB established the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) as the source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Codification has changed the manner in which U.S. GAAP guidance is referenced, but did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding the fair value of financial instruments in April 2009, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning April 1, 2009. The guidance extended the disclosures regarding the fair value of financial instruments to interim financial statements, but did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance on subsequent events in May 2009, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning April 1, 2009. The guidance is intended to establish general standards of accounting and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. It requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for selecting that date. The application did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

2. Financial Statement Details

Inventories consisted of the following (in thousands):

	ember 30, 2009	ember 31, 2008
Raw materials	\$ 2,632	\$ 1,997
Work-in-progress	3,474	3,056
Finished goods	1,594	520
	7,700	5,573
Less reserves for excess and obsolete inventories	(960)	(595)
	\$ 6,740	\$ 4,978

Property and equipment consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Sep	tember 30, 2009	Dec	ember 31, 2008
Machinery and equipment	\$	22,002	\$	24,743
Computers and software		2,223		3,955
Leasehold improvements		841		768
		25,066		29,466
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization		(14,228)		(16,038)
	\$	10,838	\$	13,428

Other accrued liabilities consisted of the following (in thousands):

	mber 30, 2009	mber 31, 2008
Radiopharmaceuticals and consumable medical supplies	\$ 449	\$ 507
Outside services and consulting	389	373
Professional fees	382	420
Facilities and related costs	274	400
Customer deposits	105	142
Other accrued liabilities	737	969

2,336

2,811

3. Investments

We measure available-for-sale securities at fair value on a recurring basis. We measure fair value based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In order to increase consistency and comparability in fair value measurements, the FASB Codification establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value into three broad levels. These levels, in order of highest priority to lowest priority, are described below:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Observable prices that are based on inputs not quoted on active markets, but corroborated by market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs are used when little or no market data is available.

The fair values of our available-for-sale securities were determined using the following inputs (in thousands):

Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2009 Using Quoted Prices in

	Total	for	Active larkets Identical Assets Level 1)	Obser	ficant Other vable Inputs Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inpu (Level 3)
Available-for-sale securities:						
U.S. treasury securities	\$ 4,110	\$	4,110	\$		\$
Municipal bonds	204				204	
Government sponsored entities	4,413				4,413	
Corporate debt securities	9,461				9,461	
Total available-for-sale securities:	\$ 18,188	\$	4,110	\$	14,078	\$

Our investments in U.S. treasury securities were valued based on publicly available quoted prices for identical securities as of September 30, 2009. Our investments in government sponsored entities and corporate debt securities were valued by a third party pricing vendor using proprietary valuation models and analytical tools. The inputs to these models related to similar instruments and were both objective and publicly available.

4. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

Cross Amount	Acc	umulated		ook Value
Gross Amount	AIII	ortization	Net b	ook value
\$ 2,600	\$	1,474	\$	1,126
300		145		155
165		61		104
\$ 3.065	\$	1.680	\$	1,385
		\$ 2,600 \$ 300 165	Gross Amount Accumulated Amortization \$ 2,600 \$ 1,474 300 145 165 61	Gross Amount Amortization Net B \$ 2,600 \$ 1,474 \$ 300 145 165 61

	December 31, 2008 Accumulated						
	Gross Amount	Gross Amount Amortization			ook Value		
Intangibles subject to amortization:							
Customer relationships	\$ 2,600	\$	1,083	\$	1,517		
Covenants not to compete	300		100		200		
Patents	165		49		116		
Total intangible assets:	\$ 3,065	\$	1,232	\$	1,833		

All patents and their related amortization are recorded within the Product segment. All other intangible assets, including goodwill, and their related amortization expense are recorded within the DIS segment. The aggregate amortization expense related to intangible assets with finite lives for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 was \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. Estimated future amortization expense related to intangible assets with finite lives at September 30, 2009 is as follows:

	In T	housands
2009 (remaining 3 months)	\$	132
2010		429
2011		334
2012		236
2013		166
Thereafter		88
Total	\$	1,385

5. Warranty

We provide a warranty on certain of our products and accrue the estimated cost at the time revenue is recorded. Warranty expense is charged to Product cost of revenues. Substantially all of the warranty periods are 12 months before customer-sponsored maintenance programs begin. Warranty reserves are established based on historical experience with failure rates and repair costs and the number of systems covered by warranty. Warranty reserves are depleted as our cardiac gamma cameras are repaired. The costs consist principally of materials, personnel, and overhead. We review warranty reserves quarterly and, if necessary, make adjustments.

The activities in our warranty reserve consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Three	months en	ded September 30,	Nine months e	nded September 30,
	2	009	2008	2009	2008
Balance at beginning of period	\$	736	\$ 765	\$ 906	\$ 930
Charges to cost of revenues		48	257	372	693
Costs applied to liability		(252)	(235)	(746)	(836)
Balance at end of period	\$	532	\$ 787	\$ 532	\$ 787

6. Restructuring

Fiscal 2009 Restructuring Plan. As part of an ongoing review of current market conditions and internal operations, we reduced our workforce by approximately 25 positions in the third quarter of 2009. The reduction was designed to realign our manufacturing and overhead expenses to a lower level of camera sales resulting from a decline in hospital and physician group capital budgets as well as regulatory uncertainty in the healthcare system. As of September 30, 2009, we substantially completed our restructuring plan and incurred \$125,000 and \$109,000 of severance costs in the Product and DIS imaging segments, respectively, which were included in the restructuring loss line item within the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the third quarter of 2009. We do not anticipate significant additional costs to be incurred in connection with the restructuring plan.

Fiscal 2008 Restructuring Plan. In response to historical operating losses within our DIS business segment, we initiated a realignment of our imaging business in the fourth quarter of 2008 which we substantially completed as of March 31, 2009. The realignment of the DIS segment included the sale and closure of underperforming DIS hub locations, which has allowed us to better focus on improving hub performance of our existing hub locations and, in part, benefit from our Centers of Influence marketing model. These sales and closures involved the sale or abandonment of property and equipment and staff reductions at the hub locations impacted by the restructuring plans, as well as the reduction of certain management positions. The related restructuring charges are included in the restructuring loss line item within the Consolidated Statements of Operations of the DIS imaging segment.

Restructuring activity through September 30, 2009 consisted of the following (in thousands):

				pten	nonths en nber 30, i Cash					Total cos		Total (expected
			_	-					ility as of		- 40		s as of
Restructuring charges:	Decembe	r 31, A 0	yasımen	asaju	istments	setti	emense	ptem	per 30, 3 0	pt ember 30	, 230	щиеть	er 50, 2009
Fiscal 2009 Restructuring Plan:													
Severance		0	234		(38)				196	2	234		234
Fiscal 2008 Restructuring Plan:													
Loss on property and equipment	\$		\$ (19)	\$	27	\$	(8)	\$		\$ 9	78	\$	978
Severance		203	47		(170)		(80)			3	809		309
Lease obligations		39	76		(50)				65	1	15		115
Other		10			(10)						10		10
Total restructuring charges	\$	252	\$ 338	\$	(241)	\$	(88)	\$	261	\$ 1,6	646	\$	1,646

Severance costs that require no future performance or service are recorded at the time they are communicated to the affected employees. Losses on the sale or disposal of property and equipment are recorded when they are estimable or incurred. The majority of the losses pertained to property and equipment that were sold or disposed of in the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008. Losses on leased property at the hub locations are recorded when the lease is abandoned. The lease abandonments occurred in the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

7. Segments

Our reporting segments have been determined based on the nature of the products and/or services offered to customers or the nature of their function in the organization. We evaluate performance based on the operating income contributed by each segment. The accounting policies of the reporting segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. Segment results are as follows (in thousands):

Three months	ended September 30,	Nine months end	led September 30,
2009	2008	2009	2008

Gross profit by segment:

DIS	\$ 3,340	\$	2,719	\$	11,034	\$ 8,498
Product	1,173		2,104		4,476	5,293
Consolidated gross profit	\$ 4,513	\$	4,823	\$	15,510	\$ 13,791
	,		,		,	,
Income (loss) from operations by segment:						
DIS	\$ 63	\$	(1,243)	\$	1,302	\$ (3,775)
Product	(477)		262		(888)	(320)
Consolidated income (loss) from operations	\$ (414)	\$	(981)	\$	414	\$ (4,095)
. , ,	` /		` /			() /
Depreciation and amortization of tangible and intangible assets by						
segment:						
DIS	\$ 1,117	\$	1,385	\$	3,547	\$ 4,110
Product	126		224		407	676
Consolidated depreciation and amortization	\$ 1,243	\$	1,609	\$	3,954	\$ 4,786
	, -	·	,	·	, -	,

	As of Sept	ember	. 30,
	2009		2008
Identifiable assets by segment:			
DIS	\$ 21,054	\$	29,505
Product	39,117		35,173
Consolidated assets	\$ 60,171	\$	64,678

8. Income Taxes

As of December 31, 2008, we had unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$1.5 million. There has been no significant change in unrecognized tax benefits through September 30, 2009. Due to the existence of the valuation allowance, future changes in our unrecognized tax benefits will not impact our effective tax rate. We do not expect our unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly over the next 12 months.

We file income tax returns in the U.S. and in various state jurisdictions with varying statutes of limitations. We are no longer subject to income tax examination by tax authorities for years prior to 2003; however, our net operating loss and research credit carry-forwards arising prior to that year are subject to adjustment. Our policy is to recognize interest expense and penalties related to income tax matters as a component of income tax expense. There were no accrued interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions as of September 30, 2009.

9. Commitments and Contingencies

Acquisition

On May 1, 2007, we completed the acquisition of substantially all of the assets and liabilities of Ultrascan, Inc. (Ultrascan), a provider of ultrasound imaging systems and services to physicians offices and hospitals. Additional consideration, payable in cash and common stock, of up to \$3.9 million may be payable to the seller, or its designees, in the event that certain financial milestones are achieved over a four year period commencing on the date of the acquisition. The additional consideration will be added to goodwill if and when it is earned.

Stock Repurchase Program

On February 4, 2009, our board of directors authorized a stock buyback program to repurchase up to an aggregate of \$2.0 million of our issued and outstanding common shares. The timing of stock repurchases and the number of shares of common stock to be repurchased are in compliance with Rule 10b-18 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The timing and extent of the repurchase depends upon market conditions, applicable legal requirements, and other factors. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, we repurchased 240,000 and 449,000 shares of our common stock at a cost of \$0.5 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, at a weighted average price of \$1.70 per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Compliance with Laws and Regulations

We are directly, or indirectly through our clients, subject to extensive regulation by the federal government, the states and foreign countries in which we conduct business. The healthcare laws applicable to us are complex and are subject to variable interpretations. We have established a compliance program to identify any compliance issues, correct any identified issues and assist us in remaining in compliance with the applicable healthcare laws, and have instituted other safeguards intended to help prevent any violations of the laws and to remedy any situations that could give rise to violations. While we believe that these measures will be successful in preventing any material adverse financial impact to us, compliance violations and the resulting fines, penalties or damages are subject to the interpretation of others and could occur.

Legal Matters

In the normal course of business, we have been, and will likely continue to be, subject to litigation or administrative proceedings incidental to our business, such as claims related to customer disputes, employment practices, wage and hour disputes, product

11

liability, professional liability, commercial disputes, licensure restrictions or denials, and warranty or patent infringement. Responding to litigation or administrative proceedings, regardless of whether they have merit, can be expensive and disruptive to normal business operations. As litigation and the administrative proceedings are inherently uncertain, we cannot predict the outcome of such matters. While the ultimate outcome of litigation is always uncertain, we do not believe that it will have a material adverse effect on our business or financial results.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and notes thereto included in this report on Form 10-Q, and the audited financial statements and notes thereto as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 13, 2009. Operating results are not necessarily indicative of results that may occur in future periods.

This report contains various forward-looking statements regarding our business, financial condition, results of operations and future plans and projects. Forward-looking statements discuss matters that are not historical facts and can be identified by the use of words such as believes, anticipates, intends, estimates, projects, can, could, may, will, would or similar expressions. In this report, for examp forward-looking statements regarding, among other things, the efficacy of our centers of influence model, our expectations about the rate of revenue growth in specific business segments and the reasons for that growth and our profitability, our expectations regarding an increase in sales, strategic traction and marketing and sales spending, uncertainties relating to our ability to compete, uncertainties relating to our ability to increase our market share, changes in coverage and reimbursement policies of third-party payors and the effect on our ability to sell our products and services, our ability to timely develop new products or services that will be accepted by the market, competition from alternative imaging modalities, declining average selling prices for our Product offerings, reduced supplies of radiopharmaceuticals, and the profitability of our DIS core footprint.

Although these forward-looking statements reflect the good faith judgment of our management, such statements can only be based upon facts and factors currently known to us. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. As a result, our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including those set forth below under the caption Risk Factors. For these statements, we claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You should not unduly rely on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date on which they were made. They give our expectations regarding the future, but are not guarantees. We undertake no obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, unless required by law.

Overview

We are a leading provider of diagnostic imaging products and personnel and equipment leasing services that improve patient care while driving positive healthcare economics. We designed and commercialized the first solid-state nuclear gamma camera for the detection of cardiovascular disease and other medical conditions. We manufacture both portable and fixed imaging systems, and provide enhanced operability, improved patient comfort and, in the case of our triple-headed Cardius® 3 XPO system, shorter image acquisition time when compared to traditional vacuum tube cameras. Our nuclear cameras fit easily into floor spaces as small as seven feet by eight feet and facilitate the delivery of nuclear medicine procedures in a physician s office, an outpatient hospital setting or within multiple departments of a hospital.

We generate revenues within two primary operating segments: our personnel and equipment leasing service business (Digirad Imaging Solutions, or DIS) and our Product segment. Through DIS, we offer a comprehensive personnel and equipment leasing services program as an alternative to purchasing a gamma camera or ultrasound equipment for physicians who wish to perform nuclear imaging, echocardiography, vascular ultrasound, or any combination of these procedures in their offices by leasing the imaging system, certified personnel and other support required to perform imaging in the physician s office. The flexibility of our products and our DIS leasing service allows physicians more control over the diagnosis and treatment of their patients in their offices and to retain revenue from procedures they would otherwise refer elsewhere. DIS leasing services are primarily provided to cardiologists and internists who enter into annual contracts for personnel and equipment services delivered on a per-day basis. Our typical lease contracts provide service coverage ranging from once per month to five times per week. We experience some seasonality in our DIS business related to summer vacations, holidays and inclement weather, which historically has most negatively affected our third quarter. Our product revenue results primarily from selling solid-state gamma cameras and camera maintenance contracts. We sell our imaging systems to physician offices, hospitals, and imaging centers primarily in the United States, although we have sold a small number of imaging systems internationally.

Our Market

The target market for our products and services is comprised of approximately 26,000 cardiologists, 130,000 internal medicine physicians, as well as family practice physicians, and hospitals in the United States that perform or could perform nuclear cardiac and ultrasound procedures. As of September 30, 2009, we have provided imaging services through DIS to more than 900 physicians and physician groups. We have sold over 650 cameras through our Product segment. More than half of our DIS nuclear and ultrasound imaging customers are internal medicine physicians or other primary care practitioners, and the remainder are primarily cardiologists.

13

Our market has been negatively affected by declining reimbursements, pricing pressures, decreases in radiopharmaceutical supplies and continuing efforts by some third party payors to reduce health care expenditures by requiring physicians to obtain specific accreditations or certifications.

Trends and Drivers

The medical device industry, including the market for nuclear and ultrasound imaging systems and services, is highly competitive. Our business continues to be negatively affected by many factors, including declining healthcare reimbursement rates for cardiac imaging procedures, competition from alternative imaging modalities such as CT Angiography, competition from other small owner-operated mobile nuclear imaging providers, declining average selling prices for our Product offerings, and reduced availability of radiopharmaceuticals due to world-wide shortages. We expect each of these trends to continue in the foreseeable future. In 2009, we began to experience a decline in demand for our cameras, partially due to very limited hospital and physician group capital budgets, in addition to uncertainties related to upcoming changes in healthcare regulations and economic conditions.

Our primary focus for 2009 is, and has been, to improve both our profitability and our cash flow results. In the fourth quarter of 2008, we initiated a restructuring plan designed to create greater efficiency in our DIS business segment by selling or closing underperforming locations. This, combined with a more efficient management structure and a focus on attaining this goal, has contributed to the achievement of operating income and positive cash flow in DIS for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. In addition, we reduced our workforce in the third quarter of 2009 in an effort to improve the alignment of our manufacturing and overhead expenses with a lower level of camera sales, which will begin to produce cost savings in the fourth quarter of 2009 and beyond.

In our Product segment, we continue to build on our 2008 and early 2009 Product segment achievements by introducing new products targeted specifically at the larger physician practices and hospital market segments. In March 2009, we received U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) 510(k) clearance for our new Cardius® X-ACT imaging system. Cardius® X-ACT is a rapid cardiac SPECT/VCT imaging system that features a low-dose volume computed tomography (VCT) attenuation correction system that significantly reduces artifacts in the images caused by overlying tissues increasing interpretive ease and accuracy. In October 2009, we introduced a new product called c*pax, a complete online fee-per-study cardiovascular information system, as a potential add-on companion for any of our nuclear cameras or ultrasound equipment. Our new c*pax product is a fully-integrated approach that reduces physicians capital costs, information technology support and maintenance costs.

First Nine Months of 2009 Financial Highlights

Our consolidated revenues were \$53.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 (2009), which represented a decrease of \$5.2 million, or 8.9%, over the comparable prior year period (2008) due to a decrease in revenue within both the Product and DIS segments. DIS revenue decreased \$1.7 million, or 4.1%, due to the sale or closure of several underperforming locations in connection with our restructuring plan initiated in the fourth quarter of 2008. Product revenues decreased \$3.5 million, or 21.2%, as economic factors and capital budget constraints of potential buyers contributed to a decline in the number of cameras sold from 54 cameras in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 to 35 cameras in the same period of 2009, and a shift in our gamma camera sales mix to a larger number of refurbished cameras. The decline in our camera sales revenues was partially mitigated by a 13.1% increase in maintenance contract revenues in 2009 compared to 2008.

We achieved positive cash flow, operating profit and net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 as a result of increased DIS segment gross profits, a reduction in our operating expenses and restructuring initiatives. Consolidated net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was \$0.4 million, compared to a net loss of \$3.4 million during the same period in 2008, an improvement of \$3.8 million. The improvement in DIS segment gross profits is attributable to increased utilization of our equipment and personnel, as well as a reduction in radiopharmaceutical costs.

Our DIS business currently operates in 19 states and the District of Columbia. In 2009, DIS operated 81 nuclear gamma cameras and 70 ultrasound imaging systems, compared to 102 nuclear gamma cameras and 62 ultrasound imaging systems in 2008. We are seeking to improve our overall profitability through more efficient utilization of our fleet of gamma cameras and ultrasound equipment. We measure efficiency by tracking system utilization, which is measured based on the percentage of days that our nuclear gamma cameras and ultrasound equipment are used to deliver services to customers out of the total number of days that they are available to deliver such services. System utilization increased to 63% in 2009, compared to 59% for 2008, primarily due to the closure of underperforming locations and the disposal of gamma cameras.

14

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our results from operations expressed as percentages of revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

	Three months ended 2009	Three months ended September 30, 2009 2008		September 30, 2008	
Revenues:	2009	2000	2009	2000	
DIS	76.2%	69.1%	75.8%	72.0%	
Product	23.8	30.9	24.2	28.0	
Total revenues	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Total cost of revenues	73.3	76.1	70.8	76.4	
Gross profit	26.7	23.9	29.2	23.6	
Operating expenses:					
Research and development	5.1	3.2	4.7	3.4	
Marketing and sales	10.0	10.1	10.2	11.0	
General and administrative	12.7	14.6	12.8	15.3	
Amortization of intangible assets	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.9	
Restructuring loss	1.1		0.6		
Total operating expenses	29.7	28.8	29.1	30.6	
Income (loss) from operations	(3.0)	(4.9)	0.1	(7.0)	
Other income	0.6	0.6	0.7	1.1	
Net income (loss)	(2.4)%	(4.3)%	0.8%	(5.9)%	

Comparison of Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 and 2008

Revenues

Consolidated. Consolidated revenue was \$16.9 million for 2009, which represents a decrease of \$3.3 million, or 16.2%, compared to the prior year quarter, as a result of lower DIS and Product revenues. DIS revenue accounted for 76.2% of total revenues for 2009, compared to 69.1% for 2008. We expect DIS revenue to continue to represent the larger percentage of our consolidated revenue in future periods.

DIS. Our DIS revenue was \$12.9 million for 2009, which represents a decrease of \$1.1 million, or 7.5%, compared to the prior year quarter. The decrease resulted from the sale or closure of underperforming locations in connection with the restructuring plan initiated in the fourth quarter of 2008, partially offset by revenue increases from our current locations.

Product. Our Product revenue was \$4.0 million for 2009, which represents a decrease of \$2.2 million, or 35.6%, compared to the prior year quarter. The decrease in revenue resulted from fewer gamma camera sales and the lowering of average sales prices as our product sales mix was represented by a larger percentage of refurbished cameras. We believe that the decrease in gamma camera sales and the demand for refurbished cameras is due to the slowing economy, the reduction in available credit for potential buyers, lower levels of available capital budgets and continued downward pressure on healthcare imaging reimbursement rates. The decrease in revenue from the sale of fewer gamma cameras was partially offset by a 13.3% increase in maintenance contract revenues.

Gross Profit

Consolidated. Consolidated gross profit was \$4.5 million for 2009, representing a decrease of \$0.3 million, or 6.4%, compared to the prior year quarter. The decrease in consolidated gross profit is principally the result of fewer camera sales, offset slightly by an increase in Product maintenance contract gross profit and DIS gross profit due to the realignment of our DIS segment initiated in the fourth quarter of 2008,

increased utilization of our DIS assets and personnel costs and other efficiency and cost improvements. Consolidated gross profit as a percentage of revenue increased to 26.7% for 2009 from 23.9% for 2008.

DIS. Cost of DIS revenue consists primarily of labor, radiopharmaceuticals, equipment depreciation, and other costs associated with the provision of services. Cost of DIS revenue was \$9.6 million for 2009, representing a decrease of \$1.7 million, or 14.9%, compared to the prior year quarter. The decrease in cost of DIS revenue is primarily a result of decreased labor, radiopharmaceutical, and depreciation costs predominantly attributed to the increased utilization of our personnel and assets. DIS gross profit was \$3.3 million for 2009, which represents an increase of \$0.6 million, or 22.8%. DIS gross profit as a percentage of revenue increased to 25.9% for 2009 from 19.5% for 2008. The improvement in operational performance is primarily associated with the realignment of the segment, which included the sale or closure of underperforming locations and a focus on selling within certain geographical areas, along with improved asset utilization.

Product. Cost of Product revenue primarily consists of materials, labor and overhead costs associated with the manufacturing and warranty of our products. Cost of goods sold for the Product segment was \$2.9 million for 2009, representing a decrease of \$1.3 million, or 31.2%, compared to the prior year quarter as fewer gamma cameras were sold and as our product sales mix was represented by a larger percentage of refurbished cameras. Product gross profit was \$1.2 million for 2009, representing a decrease of

15

\$0.9 million, or 44.2%, compared to the prior year quarter. Product gross profit as a percentage of revenue decreased to 29.1% for 2009 from 33.7% for 2008, primarily due to an increase in personnel and manufacturing overhead as a percentage of revenue caused by the reduction in camera sales.

Operating Expenses

Research and Development. Research and development expenses are the costs associated with the design, development and enhancement of our products, and consist of salaries, development material costs, facility and overhead costs, consulting fees, and non-recurring engineering costs. We continue to invest in research and development with a focus on innovation as we seek to improve our existing technology. In March 2009, we received U.S. Food and Drug Administration 510(k) clearance for our new Cardius® X-ACT imaging system. Research and development expenses were \$0.9 million for 2009, which represents an increase of \$0.2 million, or 32.1%, compared to the prior year quarter. The increase in research and development expenses was primarily attributable to higher personnel and development costs, as well as certain clinical evaluation costs related to our new Cardius® X-ACT imaging system. Research and development expenses were 21.5% of Product revenue for 2009 compared to 10.5% in 2008, an increase of 11% which is mainly due to the lower camera sales in 2009. We plan to invest further in our technology platform to penetrate new and existing market segments and attract new customers.

Marketing and Sales. Marketing and sales expenses consist primarily of salaries, commissions, bonuses, recruiting costs, travel, marketing and collateral materials and trade show costs. Marketing and sales expenses were \$1.7 million for 2009, representing a decrease of \$0.3 million, or 16.6%, compared to the prior year quarter, principally as a result of lower personnel costs. Marketing and sales expenses were 10.0% of total revenue for 2009 compared to 10.1% for 2008.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and other related costs for accounting, human resources, information technology and executive personnel, legal related costs, professional fees, outside services, insurance, and costs related to our board of directors. General and administrative expenses were \$2.1 million for 2009, representing a decrease of \$0.8 million, or 27.3% compared to the prior year quarter, principally as a result of lower personnel costs. General and administrative expenses were 12.7% of total revenue for 2009 compared to 14.6% for 2008.

Other Income

Other income consists primarily of interest income, net of interest and other expenses. Other income was unchanged from the prior year period.

Net Income (Loss)

Our net loss was \$0.4 million for 2009 compared to a net loss of \$0.9 million for 2008, an improvement of \$0.5 million, primarily as a result of increased DIS segment gross profits and a reduction in our operating expenses. The reduction in our operating expenses was primarily achieved through the flattening of the management structure and other restructuring initiatives implemented by us in the fourth quarter of 2008 and the third quarter of 2009.

Comparison of Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 and 2008

Revenues

Consolidated. Consolidated revenue was \$53.2 million for 2009, which represents a decrease of \$5.2 million, or 8.9%, over the prior year period, primarily as a result of lower DIS and Product revenues. DIS revenue accounted for 75.8% of total revenues for 2009, compared to 72.0% for 2008.

DIS. Our DIS revenue was \$40.3 million for 2009, which represents a decrease of \$1.7 million, or 4.1%, over the prior year period. The decrease resulted from the sale or closure of underperforming locations in connection with the restructuring plan initiated in the fourth quarter of 2008, partially offset by revenue increases from our current locations.

Product. Our Product revenue was \$12.9 million for 2009, which represents a decrease of \$3.5 million, or 21.2%, compared to the prior year period. We believe that economic factors, including the uncertainty in the credit market, lower levels of capital budgets available to our potential buyers and a slowing economy, as well as continued downward pressure on healthcare imaging reimbursement rates which resulted in decreased new gamma camera sales and an increase in refurbished camera sales. The decrease in revenue from the sale of fewer gamma cameras was partially offset by a 13.1% increase in maintenance contract revenues.

Gross Profit

Consolidated. Consolidated gross profit was \$15.5 million for 2009, representing an increase of \$1.7 million, or 12.5%, compared to the prior year period. The increase in consolidated gross profit is principally the result of the realignment of our DIS segment initiated in the fourth quarter of 2008, increased utilization of our DIS assets and other efficiency and cost improvements. Consolidated gross profit as a percentage of revenue increased to 29.2% for 2009 from 23.6% for 2008.

DIS. Cost of DIS revenue was \$29.3 million for 2009, representing a decrease of \$4.2 million, or 12.7%, over the prior year period, primarily due to decreased labor, radiopharmaceutical, depreciation, and maintenance costs. DIS gross profit was \$11.0 million for 2009, which represents an increase of \$2.5 million, or 29.8% compared to the prior year period. DIS gross profit as a percentage of revenue increased to 27.4% for 2009 from 20.2% for 2008. The improvement in operational performance is primarily associated with the realignment of the segment, which included the sale or closure of underperforming locations and a focus on selling within certain geographical areas, along with improved asset utilization.

Product. Cost of goods sold was \$8.4 million for 2009, representing a decrease of \$2.6 million, or 23.9%, compared to the prior year period. Product gross profit was \$4.5 million for 2009, representing a decrease of \$0.8 million, or 15.4%, compared to the prior year period. Product gross profit as a percentage of revenue increased to 34.8% for 2009 from 32.4% for 2008 as our product sales mix was represented by a larger number of refurbished cameras with higher margins, along with certain efficiency and cost improvements.

Operating Expenses

Research and Development. Research and development expenses were \$2.5 million for 2009, which represents an increase of \$0.5 million, or 27.1%, compared to the prior year period. The increase in research and development expenses was primarily attributable to higher personnel costs and development costs associated with the development of the Cardius® X-ACT imaging system and other products in the development pipeline. Research and development expenses were 19.3% and 12.0% of product revenue for 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Marketing and Sales. Marketing and sales expenses were \$5.4 million for 2009, which represents a decrease of \$1.0 million, or 15.7%, compared to the prior year period, principally as a result of lower personnel costs. Marketing and sales expenses were 10.2% of total revenue for 2009 compared to 11.0% for 2008.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses were \$6.8 million for 2009 which represents a decrease of \$2.2 million, or 24.2%, compared to the prior year period, principally as a result of lower personnel costs. General and administrative expenses were 12.8% of total revenue for 2009 compared to 15.3% for 2008.

Other Income

Other income consists primarily of interest income, net of interest and other expenses. The decrease in other income of \$0.3 million is attributable to a decrease in interest rates.

Net Income (Loss)

Our net income was \$0.4 million for 2009 compared to a net loss of \$3.4 million for 2008, an improvement of \$3.8 million, primarily as a result of increased DIS segment gross profits and a reduction in our operating expenses. The increase in DIS gross profit is primarily due to decreased labor, radiopharmaceutical, depreciation, and maintenance costs. The reduction in our operating expenses was primarily achieved through the flattening of the management structure and other restructuring initiatives implemented by us in the fourth quarter of 2008, the first quarter of 2009 and the third quarter of 2009.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We require working capital principally to finance accounts receivable and inventory and for capital expenditures. Our working capital requirements vary from period to period depending on several factors, including our manufacturing volumes, the timing of our deliveries and the payment cycles of our customers. Our capital expenditures consist primarily of DIS nuclear cameras, ultrasound equipment, and vans to transport our people and equipment to customer locations.

As of September 30, 2009, we had cash, cash equivalents and securities available-for-sale of \$31.1 million. We currently invest our cash reserves in money market funds, municipal bonds, as well as U.S. treasury, government and corporate debt securities. Based upon our current

level of expenditures, we believe our current working capital, together with cash flows from operating activities, will be more than adequate to meet our anticipated cash requirements for working capital, debt service and capital expenditures for at least the next 12 months.

Net cash provided by operations totaled \$3.4 million in 2009, primarily due to our ability to generate net income (adjusted for depreciation and other non-cash expenses) and the collection of accounts receivable, notwithstanding an increase in inventory. Net

17

cash used in investing activities amounted to \$3.2 million in 2009 and is primarily due to net purchases of securities available-for-sale, partially offset by the net proceeds from sales of fixed assets associated with our restructuring initiative. Net cash used in financing activities amounted to approximately \$0.8 million in 2009, which primarily represents the repurchase of our common stock under a Rule 10b-18 plan. On February 4, 2009, our board of directors authorized a stock buyback program to repurchase up to an aggregate of \$2.0 million of our issued and outstanding common shares. The timing of stock repurchases and the number of shares of common stock to be repurchased has been, and will be made, in compliance with Rule 10b-18 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and will depend upon market conditions, applicable legal and contractual requirements, and other factors. Purchases under this program totaled \$0.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009

The acquisition of assets and liabilities of Ultrascan may require additional consideration of cash and common stock of up to \$3.9 million to be paid to the seller or its designees in the event that certain financial milestones are achieved through May 2011. The additional consideration will be added to goodwill if and when it is earned.

Critical Accounting Policies

Management s discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements which are prepared in accordance with accounting principles that are generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We continually evaluate our estimates and judgments, the most critical of which are those related to revenue recognition and inventory valuation. We base our estimates and judgments on historical experience and other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Materially different results can occur as circumstances change and additional information becomes known. The accounting policies are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

New accounting requirements.

The FASB established the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) as the source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements. The Codification has changed the manner in which U.S. GAAP guidance is referenced, but did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding the fair value of financial instruments in April 2009, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning April 1, 2009. The guidance increased the required disclosures on interim financial statements, but did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The FASB issued authoritative guidance on subsequent events in May 2009, which we adopted on a prospective basis beginning April 1, 2009. The guidance is intended to establish general standards of accounting and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. It requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for selecting that date. The application did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our exposure to market risk due to changes in interest rates relates primarily to the increase or decrease in the amount of interest income we can earn on our investment portfolio. Our risk associated with fluctuating interest rates is limited to our investments in interest rate sensitive financial instruments. Under our current policies, we do not use interest rate derivative instruments to manage exposure to interest rate changes. We attempt to increase the safety and preservation of our invested principal funds by limiting default risk, market risk and reinvestment risk. We mitigate default risk by investing in investment grade securities. A 100 basis point adverse move in interest rates along the entire interest rate yield curve would not materially affect the fair value of our interest sensitive financial instruments. Changes in interest rates over time will increase or decrease our interest income.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely

18

decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. In reaching a reasonable level of assurance, management necessarily is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures and internal controls.

As required by the Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d-15(e), we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision of and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the third quarter of fiscal 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the normal course of business, we have been, and will likely continue to be, subject to litigation or administrative proceedings incidental to our business, such as claims related to customer disputes, employment practices, wage and hour disputes, product liability, professional liability, commercial disputes, licensure restrictions or denials, and warranty or patent infringement. Responding to litigation or administrative proceedings, regardless of whether they have merit, can be expensive and disruptive to normal business operations. As litigation and the administrative proceedings are inherently uncertain, we cannot predict the outcome of such matters. While the ultimate outcome of litigation is always uncertain, we do not believe that it will have a material adverse effect on our business or financial results.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We are subject to changing health care regulatory rules which could adversely affect us.

Various potential changes to health care regulatory rules could require us to change our operations significantly and could harm us financially. Nuclear medicine is a designated health service under the federal physician self-referral prohibition law known as the Stark Law, which states that a physician may not refer designated health services to an entity with which the physician or an immediate family member has a financial relationship, unless an exception applies. DIS physician customers may be able to meet the in-office ancillary services exception to the Stark Law if they meet the definition of a Group Practice under Stark, appropriately supervise the individuals performing the nuclear imaging services and bill for them, and if the services are performed in the same building in which the physicians regularly practice medicine. From time to time, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and Congress have proposed to modify the Stark regulations in a manner that may restrict physicians in some business arrangements from utilizing the in-office ancillary services exception to the Stark Law.

The competing health care reform/availability proposals under consideration by the Legislative and Administrative branches of the federal government could have a positive or negative impact on our business depending on which plan and provisions are adopted with respect to how medical providers are reimbursed, services are authorized and diagnostic services and investments are addressed. The potential adoption of healthcare reform proposals on a state-by-state basis could require us to develop state-specific marketing and sales approaches and adversely affect the results of operations.

Our revenues may decline due to reductions in Medicare reimbursement rates and/or increased third party payor certification requirements.

The success of our DIS business is largely dependent on our customers ability to build a financially viable imaging business utilizing leased DIS personnel and equipment and radiopharmaceuticals. Our customers have been faced with the downward trend in Medicare reimbursement rates, as well as the continuing efforts by some third party payors to reduce health care expenditures by requiring physicians to obtain specific accreditations or certifications, and their efforts to restrict the use of mobile or leased cameras.

Various proposals have been introduced in Congress to reduce reimbursement rates for the diagnostic tests performed by our purchase and lease customers. If passed as written, there will be a significant reduction in imaging reimbursement that will require us to quickly adapt our business model. If we are not able to quickly adapt, such as where change requires the submission of applications to government entities or third party payors, there could be a detrimental impact on our revenue.

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the stimulus bill) adopted in early 2009 contains provisions for federal use of radiology benefit managers (RBM) whose main goal is to limit the use of diagnostic imaging services, especially those not performed by radiologists. Private carriers are similarly adopting the services of these RBM s that may require pre-certification, pre-authorization and other pre-service requirements or denials of the diagnostic imaging performed by our customers. These developments could have a detrimental impact on our revenues by either reducing the number of potential customers for our products or leasing services or leading to delays in new business activity.

We may incur additional losses due to the downturn in the U.S. economy.

Our revenues have been impacted, and may be significantly further impacted, by the downturn in the U.S. economy which may drive greater pricing pressures from our competition, further decrease the number of cameras that we are able to sell, or lead to disruptions in our supply chain, any or all of which could result in operating losses or negative cash flows. Further, we cannot assure that an improvement in economic conditions would result in an immediate improvement in our operating results or cash flows.

Our business is not widely diversified.

We sell products and lease our imaging systems and personnel primarily in the cardiac nuclear and ultrasound imaging markets. We may not be able to leverage our assets to diversify our products and services in order to generate revenue beyond the cardiac nuclear and ultrasound imaging markets in a timely manner. If we are unable to diversify our product and service offerings, our financial condition may suffer.

We compete against businesses that have different competitive strengths.

The market for cardiac nuclear imaging cameras continues to decrease, thereby making competition a greater challenge. Our competition has negatively impacted our sales prices and volume. Some of our competitors enjoy significant advantages over us, including greater name recognition, greater financial and technical resources, established relationships with healthcare professionals, larger distribution networks, and greater resources for product development as well as marketing and sales. Additionally, certain medical device companies have developed alternative portable cameras that directly compete with our product offerings. If we are unable to expand our current market share, our revenues could decline.

In addition, our DIS customers may switch to other service providers. Our DIS segment competes against small local, owner operated or regional businesses, some of whom have the advantage of a lower cost structure, and against imaging centers that install nuclear gamma cameras and make them available to physicians in their geographic vicinity. If these competitors are able to win significant portions of our business, our sales could decline significantly. Our financial condition could be adversely affected under such circumstances.

Our operations are highly dependent upon the availability of certain radiopharmaceuticals and third-party suppliers, thereby making us vulnerable to supply problems and price fluctuations, which could harm our business.

Our personnel and equipment leasing service involves the use of certain radiopharmaceuticals. We have experienced disruptions in the supply of these radiopharmaceuticals which have caused us to cancel services that would have otherwise been provided. If we are unable to obtain an adequate supply of the necessary radiopharmaceuticals, we may be unable to lease our personnel and equipment through our DIS operations and our business may be harmed.

During 2009, there was a significant reduction in the availability of radioactive medical isotopes worldwide. For example, a nuclear reactor in Chalk River, Ontario, which supplies 50% of certain medical isotopes to the United States market, is currently off-line for repairs and will not return to service before late 2009 or early 2010. The lack of production of radiopharmaceuticals in Canada has exacerbated an already short supply of medical isotopes worldwide. Continued shortages could affect our DIS business by reducing the number of days of service or moving physicians toward alternate imaging modalities. Our financial condition could be adversely affected under such circumstances.

In addition, we rely on a limited number of third parties to manufacture and supply certain key components of our products. Alternative sources of production and supply may not be readily available. For example, key components of the detector heads and the processing and control software utilized in our gamma cameras are manufactured or supplied by a single source. We have also outsourced production of significant portions of our end product to a single contract manufacturer. If a disruption in the availability of parts or in the operations of these suppliers were to occur, our ability to build gamma cameras could be materially affected. For this reason, we have backup plans in place that are designed to prevent delays in production. If these plans are unsuccessful, delays in the production of our gamma cameras for an extended period of time could cause a loss of revenue, which could significantly harm our business and results of operations.

20

Failure to retain qualified technologists could limit our growth and adversely affect our business.

Our future growth and ability to generate profits depends, in part, upon our ability to identify, hire, and retain nuclear medicine technologists, certified cardiographic technicians, and ultrasound technologists. The inability to retain such employees would diminish the knowledge and experience that we, as an organization, possess and might delay or prevent the achievement of our objectives. Hiring qualified technical personnel may be difficult due to the limited number of qualified candidates and the intense competition for these types of employees. Furthermore, we have historically suffered high employee turnover in regards to imaging technologists. If we are unable to reduce employee turnover, our business and financial condition may be adversely affected.

Our quarterly and annual financial results are difficult to predict and are likely to fluctuate from period to period.

We have historically experienced seasonality in the leasing services offered by our DIS operations. While our physicians are obligated to pay us for all lease days to which they have committed, our contracts permit some flexibility in scheduling when services are to be performed. We cannot predict with certainty the degree to which seasonal circumstances such as the summer slowdown, winter holiday vacations and weather conditions may affect the results of our operations. We have also experienced fluctuations in demand of our cardiac nuclear gamma cameras due to economic conditions, capital budget availability or other financial or business reasons. In addition, due to the way that customers in our target markets acquire our products, a large percentage of our camera orders are booked during the last month of each quarterly accounting period. As such, a delivery delay of only a few days may significantly impact our quarter-to-quarter comparisons. Moreover, the sales cycle in our Product segment for our cameras is typically lengthy, which may cause us to experience significant revenue fluctuations. For these reasons, quarterly and annual sales and operating results may vary in the future. Therefore, period-to-period comparisons of our results of operations are not necessarily meaningful and should not be relied upon as indicators of future performance. Because of these and other factors, our operating results in one or more future reporting periods may fail to meet the expectations of securities analysts or investors, which could cause our stock price to decline significantly.

Our common stock is thinly traded and our options plan could affect the trading price of our common stock.

Our common stock is thinly traded and any significant sales of our common stock may cause volatility in our common stock price. We also have registered shares of common stock that we may issue under our employee benefit plans or from our treasury stock. Accordingly, these shares can be freely sold in the public market upon issuance, subject to restrictions under the securities laws. If any of these stockholders cause a large number of securities to be sold in the public market, the sales could reduce the trading price of our common stock. Stockholders holding a significant amount of our common stock will be able to significantly influence matters requiring approval by our stockholders, possibly including the election of directors and the approval of mergers or other business combination transactions.

We spend considerable time and money complying with federal and state laws, regulations and other rules, and if we are unable to comply with such laws, regulations and other rules, we could face substantial penalties.

We are directly, or indirectly through our clients, subject to extensive regulation by both the federal government and the states in which we conduct our business, including: the federal Medicare and Medicaid anti-kickback laws and other Medicare laws, regulations, rules, manual provisions, and policies that prescribe the requirements for coverage and payment for services performed by us and our DIS customers; the federal False Claims statutes; the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA; the Stark Law; the federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act; federal and state radioactive materials laws; state food and drug and pharmacy laws and regulations; state laws that prohibit the practice of medicine by non-physicians and fee-splitting arrangements between physicians and non-physicians; state scope-of-practice laws; and federal rules prohibiting the mark-up of diagnostic tests to Medicare under certain circumstances. If our DIS customers are unable or unwilling to comply with these statutes, regulations, rules and policies, utilization rates of our services and products could decline and our business could be harmed.

We maintain a compliance program to identify and correct any compliance issues and remain in compliance with all applicable laws, to train employees, to audit and monitor our operations, and to achieve other compliance goals. Like most companies with compliance programs, we occasionally discover compliance concerns. In such cases, we take responsive action including corrective measures when necessary. There can be no assurance that our responsive actions will insulate us from liability associated with any detected compliance concerns.

Table of Contents 37

21

If our past or present operations are found to be in violation of any of the laws, regulations, rules or policies described above or the other laws or regulations to which we or our customers are subject, we may be subject to civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, exclusion from federal or state health care programs, or the curtailment or restructuring of our operations. Similarly, if our customers are found to be non-compliant with applicable laws, they may be subject to sanctions which could have a negative impact on us. If we are excluded from federal or state health care programs, our customers who participate in those programs could not do business with us. Any penalties, damages, fines, curtailment or restructuring of our operations could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our financial results. Any action against us for violation of these laws, even if we successfully defend against it, could cause us to incur significant legal expenses, divert our management s attention from the operation of our business, and damage our reputation.

Our manufacturing operations and executive offices are located at a single facility that may be at risk from fire, earthquakes or other disasters.

Our manufacturing operations and executive offices are located in a single facility in Poway, California, near known fire areas and earthquake fault zones. Any future natural disaster could cause substantial delays in our operations, damage to our manufacturing equipment and inventory, and cause us to incur additional expenses. Although we have taken precautions to insure our facilities and continuing operations, this may not be adequate to cover our losses in any particular case. A disaster could significantly harm our business and results of operations.

The medical device industry is litigious, which could result in the diversion of our management s time and efforts, and require us to pay damages which may not be covered by our insurance.

Our operations entail risks of claims or litigation relating to product liability, radioactive contamination, patent infringement, trade secret disclosure, warranty claims, product recalls, property damage, misdiagnosis, personal injury and death. Any litigation or claims against us, or claims we bring against others, may cause us to incur substantial costs, could place a significant strain on our financial resources, divert the attention of our management from our core business and harm our reputation. We may incur significant liability in the event of any such litigation, regardless of the merit of the action. If we are unable to obtain insurance, or if our insurance is inadequate to cover claims, our cash reserves and other assets could be negatively impacted. Additionally, costs associated with maintaining our insurance could become prohibitively expensive, and our ability to become profitable could be diminished.

Our ability to protect our intellectual property and proprietary technology through patents and other means is uncertain.

Our success depends significantly on our ability to protect our proprietary rights to the technologies used in our products. Our pending U.S. and foreign patent applications, which include claims to material aspects of our products and procedures that are not currently protected by issued patents, may not issue as patents in a form that will be advantageous to us. Any patents we have obtained or do obtain may be challenged by re-examination or otherwise invalidated or eventually found unenforceable. Both the patent application process and the process of managing patent disputes can be time consuming and expensive. Competitors may attempt to challenge or invalidate our patents, or may be able to design alternative techniques or devices that avoid infringement of our patents, or develop products with functionalities that are comparable to ours. In the event a competitor infringes upon our patent or other intellectual property rights, litigation to enforce our intellectual property rights or to defend our patents against challenge, even if successful, could be expensive and time consuming and could require significant time and attention from our management. We may not have sufficient resources to enforce our intellectual property rights or to defend our patents against challenges from others.

Anti-takeover provisions in our organizational documents, our Stockholders Rights Plan and Delaware law may prevent or delay removal of current management or a change in control.

Our restated certificate of incorporation and restated bylaws contain provisions that may delay or prevent a change in control, discourage bids at a premium over the market price of our common stock, and adversely affect the market price of our common stock and the voting and other rights of the holders of our common stock. The rights issued pursuant to our Stockholder Rights Plan will become exercisable, subject to certain exceptions, the tenth day after a person or group announces acquisition of 15% or more of our common stock or announces commencement of a tender or exchange offer, the consummation of which would result in ownership by the person or group of 15% or more of our common stock. In addition, as a Delaware corporation, we are subject to Delaware law, including Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. In general, Section 203 prohibits a Delaware corporation from engaging in any business combination with any interested stockholder for a period of three years following the date that the stockholder became an interested stockholder unless certain specific requirements are met as set forth in Section 203. These provisions, alone or together, could have the effect of deterring or delaying changes in incumbent management, proxy contests or changes in control.

22

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

On February 4, 2009, our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program whereby we may, from time to time, purchase up to \$2.0 million worth of our common stock in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions or otherwise, at prices that we deem appropriate. The plan has no expiration date. Details of purchases made during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 are as follows:

	Total Number of Shares Purchased During the Period	Average Price Paid Per Share for Period Presented		Paid Per Share for Period		Paid Per Share for Period		Total Cumulative Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan	Val th Pure	cimum Dollar lue of Shares at May Yet Be chased Under the Plan
Period:										
February 4, 2009 February 28, 2009	8,700	\$	0.98	8,700	\$	1,991,474				
March 1, 2009 March 31, 2009	2,600		0.99	11,300		1,988,900				
May 1, 2009 May 31, 2009	183,500		1.29	194,800		1,758,352				
June 1, 2009 June 30, 2009	14,300		1.29	209,100		1,740,438				
August 1, 2009 August 30, 2009	226,118		2.07	435,218		1,279,640				
September 1, 2009 September 30, 2009	14,000		2.14	449,218		1,250,085				
Nine months ended September 30, 2009:	449,218	\$	1.70	449,218	\$	1,250,085				

In addition to the above purchases, John Sayward, a member of our board of directors and an affiliated purchaser as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3), purchased 20,000 shares of common stock in the open market at an average price of \$1.02 per share in February 2009.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number 3.1(1)	Description Restated Certificate of Incorporation
3.2(2)	Restated Bylaws
4.1(3)	Form of Specimen Stock Certificate
4.2(4)	Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement by and among Digirad Corporation and the investors listed on the schedule attached thereto, dated April 23, 2002, as amended
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) promulgated pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended

- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) promulgated pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- (1) This exhibit was previously filed as an exhibit to the Company s quarterly report on Form 10-Q originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 11, 2004, as amended thereafter, and is incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) The exhibit was previously filed as an exhibit to the Company s quarterly report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 9, 2007, and is incorporated herein by reference.

23

- (3) This exhibit was previously filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-113760) originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 19, 2004, as amended thereafter, and is incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) This exhibit was previously filed as an exhibit to the Company s quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 2, 2004, and is incorporated herein by reference.

24

Date: October 22, 2009

Date: October 22, 2009

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DIGIRAD CORPORATION

By: /s/ TODD P. CLYDE

Todd P. Clyde

President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ RICHARD B. SLANSKY

Richard B. Slansky

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

25

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number 3.1(1)	Description Restated Certificate of Incorporation
3.2(2)	Restated Bylaws
4.1(3)	Form of Specimen Stock Certificate
4.2(4)	Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement by and among Digirad Corporation and the investors listed on the schedule attached thereto, dated April 23, 2002, as amended
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) promulgated pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) promulgated pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

- (1) This exhibit was previously filed as an exhibit to the Company s quarterly report on Form 10-Q originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 11, 2004, as amended thereafter, and is incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) The exhibit was previously filed as an exhibit to the Company s quarterly report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 9, 2007, and is incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) This exhibit was previously filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-113760) originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 19, 2004, as amended thereafter, and is incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) This exhibit was previously filed as an exhibit to the Company s quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 2, 2004, and is incorporated herein by reference.

26