REGENCY CENTERS CORP Form 10-Q November 06, 2009 Table of Contents

United States

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009

-or-

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from ______ to ______

Commission File Number 1-12298

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Florida (State or other jurisdiction of

59-3191743 (IRS Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

One Independent Drive, Suite 114

Jacksonville, Florida 32202

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(904) 598-7000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Unchanged

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes "No"

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

(Check One): Large accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company "

Accelerated filer "

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

As of November 4, 2009, there were 80,315,597 shares outstanding of the Registrant s common stock.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Form 10-Q Report Page
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008	1
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008	2
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008	3
	Consolidated Statement of Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the nine months ended September 30, 2009	4
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008	5
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	43
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	73
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	75
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	76
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	76
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	77
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	77
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	77
Item 5.	Other Information	77
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	78
SIGNAT	<u>ure</u>	79

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

Consolidated Balance Sheets

September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008

(in thousands, except share data)

No. Process			
Real estate investments at cost:			2008
Land \$ 994,637 923,062 Buildings and improvements 2,110,795 1,974,093 Properties in development 963,467 1,078,288 Less: accumulated depreciation 4,068,899 3,976,040 Less: accumulated depreciation 3,436,492 5,41,950 Operating properties held for sale, net 18,441 66,447 Investments in real estate partnerships 333,620 383,408 Net real estate investments 3,788,553 3,871,300 Cash and cash equivalents 26,341 31,438 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6,959 and \$1,837 at September 30, 2009 and receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 and S1,231 46,501 Straight line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 30,518 37,595 Other receivables 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 10,661 12,903 3008, respectively 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 10,661 <	<u>Assets</u>		
Buildings and improvements 2,110,795 1,974,093 Properties in development 963,467 1,078,885 Compariting properties in development 4,068,899 3,976,040 Less: accumulated depreciation 3,436,492 3,421,445 Operating properties held for sale, net Investments in real estate partnerships 3,386,492 3,821,336 Net real estate investments 3,788,553 3,871,300 Cash and cash equivalents 173,798 21,533 Notes receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6,959 and \$1,837 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 35,931 46,501 Straight line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 39,518 37,595 Other receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 39,518 37,595 Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$57,722 and \$51,549 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 56,803 \$7,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 10,661 12,903 Other assets \$4,170,983 4,142,375 Total assets \$4,170,983 4,182,375 L	Real estate investments at cost:		
Properties in development 963,467 1,078,885 Less: accumulated depreciation 4,068,899 3,976,040 Less: accumulated depreciation 632,407 554,595 Operating properties held for sale, net 18,441 66,447 Investments in real estate partnerships 333,620 383,408 Net real estate investments 3,788,553 3,871,300 Cash and cash equivalents 173,798 21,533 Notes receivable, net 26,341 31,488 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6,959 and \$1,837 at September 30, 2009 and 26,341 31,488 Accounts receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 35,931 46,501 Straight line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 56,803 37,579 Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$57,722 and \$51,549 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 10,661 12,903 Other assets \$4,170,983 4,142,375 Total assets \$1,880,			,
Less: accumulated depreciation 4,068,899 (3,976,049 5) 3,976,049 5 Deerating properties held for sale, net (Investments in real estate partnerships) 33,46,492 (3421,445 6) 3436,492 (3421,445 6) Net real estate investments 333,620 (383,408 6) 383,080 (383,408 6) Net real estate investments 173,798 (21,533 6) 321,300 (26,341 6) Cash and cash equivalents 173,798 (21,533 6) 31,438 (26,341 6) Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6,959 and \$1,837 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 35,931 (46,501 6) 46,501 (26,501 6) Straight line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 39,518 (37,595 6) 37,595 (27,22 and \$51,549 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 10,601 (12,903 6) 12,903 (27,903 6) Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 10,661 (12,903 6) 12,903 (27,903 6) Total assets \$4,170,983 (37,905 6) 43,928 (27,905 6) 43,928 (27,905 6) Total assets \$4,170,983 (37,905 6) 43,928 (27,905 6) 43,928 (27,905 6) Liabilities and Equity \$1,200 (27,905 6) \$1,880,678 (27,905 6)			
Less: accumulated depreciation 632,407 554,595 Coperating properties held for sale, net 18,441 66,447 Investments in real estate partnerships 333,620 383,408 Net real estate investments 3,788,553 3,871,300 Cash and cash equivalents 173,798 21,533 Notes receivable, net 26,341 31,438 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6,959 and \$1,837 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 35,931 46,501 Straight line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 39,518 37,595 Other receivables 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 10,661 12,903 Other assets \$4,170,983 4,12,378 Total assets \$4,170,983 4,12,378 Liabilities and Equity \$1,880,678 1,837,904 Unsecured credit facilities 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and 30,303 83,691 Liabilit	Properties in development	963,467	1,078,885
Case accumulated depreciation 3,436,492 3,421,445 Coperating properties held for sale, net 18,441 66,447 Investments in real estate partnerships 333,620 383,408 Cash and cash equivalents 173,798 21,533 Notes receivable, net 26,341 31,438 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6,959 and \$1,837 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 35,931 46,501 Straight line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 39,518 37,595 Other receivables 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 10,661 12,903 Other assets \$4,170,983 4,142,375 Cabilities and Equity 1,2008, respectively 1,2008, respectively			
3,436,492 3,421,445 Operating properties held for sale, net 18,441 66,447 Investments in real estate partnerships 333,620 383,408 Net real estate investments 3,788,553 3,871,300 Cash and cash equivalents 173,798 21,533 Notes receivable, net 26,341 31,438 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6,959 and \$1,837 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 35,931 46,501 Straight line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 39,518 37,595 Other receivables 19,700 Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$57,722 and \$51,549 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 10,661 12,903 Other assets \$4,170,983 43,928 Total assets \$4,170,983 4,142,375 Liabilities and Equity		4,068,899	3,976,040
Operating properties held for sale, net Investments in real estate partnerships 18,441 66,447 (18,40) Investments in real estate partnerships 333,600 Net real estate investments 3,788,553 3,871,300 Cash and cash equivalents 173,798 21,533 Notes receivable, net 26,341 31,438 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6,959 and \$1,837 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 35,911 46,501 Straight line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 39,518 37,955 Other receivables 19,700 19,700 Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$57,722 and \$51,549 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 10,661 12,903 Other assets 39,378 43,928 Total assets \$4,170,983 4,142,375 Liabilities \$4,170,983 4,142,375 Liabilities and Equity \$1,880,678 1,837,904 Unsecured credit facilities 227,667 297,667 Accounts	Less: accumulated depreciation	632,407	554,595
Operating properties held for sale, net Investments in real estate partnerships 18,441 66,447 (18,40) Investments in real estate partnerships 333,620 383,408 Net real estate investments 3,788,553 3,871,300 Cash and cash equivalents 173,798 21,533 Notes receivable, net 26,341 31,438 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6,959 and \$1,837 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 35,951 46,501 Straight line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 39,518 37,595 Other receivables 19,700 Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$57,722 and \$51,549 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 10,661 12,903 Other assets 39,378 43,928 Total assets \$4,170,983 41,42,375 Total assets \$4,170,983 41,42,375 Notes payable \$1,880,678 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities instruments, at fair value 30,036 83,691 Derivative instruments, at fair value			
Operating properties held for sale, net Investments in real estate partnerships 18,441 66,447 (18,40) Investments in real estate partnerships 333,620 383,408 Net real estate investments 3,788,553 3,871,300 Cash and cash equivalents 173,798 21,533 Notes receivable, net 26,341 31,438 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6,959 and \$1,837 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 35,951 46,501 Straight line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 39,518 37,595 Other receivables 19,700 Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$57,722 and \$51,549 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 10,661 12,903 Other assets 39,378 43,928 Total assets \$4,170,983 41,42,375 Total assets \$4,170,983 41,42,375 Notes payable \$1,880,678 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities instruments, at fair value 30,036 83,691 Derivative instruments, at fair value		3,436,492	3,421,445
Net real estate investments 333,620 383,408	Operating properties held for sale, net		
Net real estate investments 3,788,553 3,871,300 Cash and cash equivalents 173,798 21,533 Notes receivable, net 26,341 31,438 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6,959 and \$1,837 at September 30, 2009 and 35,931 46,501 Straight line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 39,518 37,595 Other receivables 19,700 Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$57,722 and \$51,549 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 10,661 12,903 Other assets 39,378 43,928 Total assets \$4,170,983 4,142,375 Liabilities and Equity Interpretation of \$1,630,473 \$1,880,678 1,837,904 Unsecured credit facilities 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities 227,667 297,667 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and became and becam			
Cash and cash equivalents 173,798 21,533 Notes receivable, net 26,341 31,438 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6,959 and \$1,837 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 35,931 46,501 Straight line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 39,518 37,595 Other receivables 19,700 Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$57,722 and \$51,549 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 10,661 12,903 Other assets 39,378 43,928 Total assets \$4,170,983 4,142,375 Liabilities and Equity 5 5 Liabilities \$1,880,678 1,837,904 Unsecured credit facilities 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities 227,667 297,667 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and 58,690 7,865		ŕ	,
Cash and cash equivalents 173,798 21,533 Notes receivable, net 26,341 31,438 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6,959 and \$1,837 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 35,931 46,501 Straight line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 39,518 37,595 Other receivables 19,700 Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$57,722 and \$51,549 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 10,661 12,903 Other assets 39,378 43,928 Total assets \$4,170,983 4,142,375 Liabilities and Equity 1 1 Liabilities \$1,880,678 1,837,904 Unsecured credit facilities 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities 227,667 297,667 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and 39,036 83,691 December 31, 2008, respectively 6,369	Net real estate investments	3.788.553	3.871.300
Notes receivable, net 26,341 31,438 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6,959 and \$1,837 at September 30, 2009 and 35,931 46,501 December 31, 2008, respectively 35,931 46,501 Straight line rent receivables, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 39,518 37,595 Other receivables 19,700 Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$57,722 and \$51,549 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 10,661 12,903 Other assets 39,378 43,928 Total assets \$4,170,983 4,142,375 Liabilities and Equity 56,807 1,837,904 Unsecured credit facilities 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities 105,093 141,359 Derivative instruments, at fair value 39,036 83,691 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008,		, ,	
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6,959 and \$1,837 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 35,931 46,501 Straight line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 39,518 37,595 Other receivables 19,700 Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$57,722 and \$51,549 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 10,661 12,903 Other assets 39,378 43,928 Total assets \$4,170,983 4,142,375 Liabilities and Equity \$1,880,678 1,837,904 Unsecured credit facilities 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities 105,093 141,395 Derivative instruments, at fair value 39,036 83,691 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and becember 31, 2008, respectively 56,369 7,865	•		
December 31, 2008, respectively 35,931 46,501 Straight line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 39,518 37,595 Other receivables 19,700 Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$57,722 and \$51,549 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 10,661 12,903 Other assets 39,378 43,928 Total assets \$4,170,983 4,142,375 Liabilities Notes payable \$1,880,678 1,837,904 Unsecured credit facilities 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities 39,036 83,691 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 6,369 7,865	•		22,123
Straight line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$1,683 at September 30, 2009 39,518 37,595 Other receivables 19,700 Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$57,722 and \$51,549 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 10,661 12,903 Other assets \$4,170,983 4,142,375 Liabilities and Equity Liabilities: \$1,880,678 1,837,904 Unsecured credit facilities 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities 227,667 297,667 Derivative instruments, at fair value 39,036 83,691 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 6,369 7,865		35,931	46,501
Other receivables 19,700 Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$57,722 and \$51,549 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 10,661 12,903 Other assets 39,378 43,928 Liabilities and Equity Liabilities and Equity \$1,880,678 1,837,904 Unsecured credit facilities \$227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities 227,667 297,667 Derivative instruments, at fair value 39,036 83,691 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 6,369 7,865			
Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$57,722 and \$51,549 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 10,661 12,903 Other assets 39,378 43,928 Total assets \$4,170,983 4,142,375 Liabilities and Equity \$1,880,678 1,837,904 Unsecured credit facilities 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities 105,093 141,395 Derivative instruments, at fair value 39,036 83,691 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 6,369 7,865		,	
2008, respectively 56,803 57,477 Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 10,661 12,903 Other assets 39,378 43,928 Total assets \$4,170,983 4,142,375 Liabilities and Equity Liabilities: \$1,880,678 1,837,904 Unsecured credit facilities 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities 105,093 141,395 Derivative instruments, at fair value 39,036 83,691 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 6,369 7,865	Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$57,722 and \$51,549 at September 30, 2009 and December 31,		
and December 31, 2008, respectively 10,661 12,903 Other assets 39,378 43,928 Total assets Liabilities and Equity Liabilities: Notes payable \$1,880,678 1,837,904 Unsecured credit facilities 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities 105,093 141,395 Derivative instruments, at fair value 39,036 83,691 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 6,369 7,865		56,803	57,477
Other assets 39,378 43,928 Total assets \$4,170,983 4,142,375 Liabilities and Equity Liabilities: \$1,880,678 1,837,904 Unsecured credit facilities 227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities 105,093 141,395 Derivative instruments, at fair value 39,036 83,691 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 6,369 7,865	Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$11,635 and \$11,204 at September 30, 2009		
Liabilities and Equity Value of the properties of the properti	and December 31, 2008, respectively	10,661	12,903
Liabilities and EquityLiabilities:\$1,880,6781,837,904Notes payable\$1,880,678227,667297,667Accounts payable and other liabilities105,093141,395Derivative instruments, at fair value39,03683,691Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively6,3697,865		39,378	43,928
Liabilities and EquityLiabilities:\$1,880,6781,837,904Notes payable\$1,880,678227,667297,667Accounts payable and other liabilities105,093141,395Derivative instruments, at fair value39,03683,691Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively6,3697,865			
Liabilities and EquityLiabilities:\$1,880,6781,837,904Notes payable\$1,880,678227,667297,667Accounts payable and other liabilities105,093141,395Derivative instruments, at fair value39,03683,691Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively6,3697,865	Total assets	\$ 4,170,983	4.142.375
Liabilities: Notes payable Unsecured credit facilities Unsecured credit facilities Accounts payable and other liabilities Derivative instruments, at fair value Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively \$ 1,880,678 1,837,904 227,667 297,667 297,667 39,036 83,691 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively		+ 1,-10,000	1,- 1_,- 1
Liabilities: Notes payable Unsecured credit facilities Unsecured credit facilities Accounts payable and other liabilities Derivative instruments, at fair value Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively \$ 1,880,678 1,837,904 227,667 297,667 297,667 39,036 83,691 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively	Liabilities and Equity		
Notes payable \$1,880,678 Unsecured credit facilities \$227,667 297,667 Accounts payable and other liabilities \$105,093 141,395 Derivative instruments, at fair value \$39,036 83,691 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively \$6,369 7,865			
Unsecured credit facilities Accounts payable and other liabilities Derivative instruments, at fair value Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 227,667 297,667 39,036 83,691 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 6,369 7,865		\$ 1 880 678	1 837 904
Accounts payable and other liabilities 105,093 141,395 Derivative instruments, at fair value 39,036 83,691 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 6,369 7,865			
Derivative instruments, at fair value 39,036 83,691 Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 6,369 7,865			
Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$9,242 and \$8,829 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively 6,369 7,865	• •	· ·	
December 31, 2008, respectively 6,369 7,865		,	,
		6,369	7,865
		10,823	11,571

Total liabilities	2,269,666	2,380,093
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value per share, 30,000,000 shares authorized; 11,000,000 Series 3-5 shares issued and		
outstanding at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 with liquidation preferences of \$25 per share	275,000	275,000
Common stock \$.01 par value per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized; 85,976,167 and 75,634,881 shares issued		
at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively	860	756
Treasury stock at cost, 5,661,520 and 5,598,211 shares held at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008,		
respectively	(111,414)	(111,414)
Additional paid in capital	2,094,850	1,778,265
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(64,969)	(90,975)
Distributions in excess of net income	(361,530)	(155,057)
Total stockholders equity	1,832,797	1,696,575
Noncontrolling interests:		
Preferred units, aggregate redemption value of \$50,000 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008	49,158	49,158
Exchangeable operating partnership units, aggregate redemption value of \$17,347 and \$21,865 at September 30,		
2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively	7,558	8,569
Limited partners interest in consolidated partnerships	11,804	7,980
Total noncontrolling interests	68,520	65,707
	*****	,,,
Total equity	1,901,317	1,762,282
Total equity	1,701,517	1,702,202
Total liabilities and equity	¢ 4 170 092	4 142 275
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 4,170,983	4,142,375

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008

(in thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

	2009	2008
Revenues:		
Minimum rent	\$ 88,871	85,559
Percentage rent	235	631
Recoveries from tenants and other income	29,030	27,355
Management, acquisition, and other fees	15,606	7,746
Total revenues	133,742	121,291
Operating expenses:		
Depreciation and amortization	29,801	26,830
Operating and maintenance	16,578	14,996
General and administrative	12,151	9,494
Real estate taxes	14,451	12,509
Provision for doubtful accounts	2,421	
Other expenses	3,357	5,611
Total operating expenses	78,759	69,440
Other expense (income):		
Interest expense, net of interest income of \$1,133 and \$1,408 in 2009 and 2008, respectively	27,920	22,683
(Gain) loss on sale of operating properties and properties in development		(14,685)
Provision for impairment	102,033	1,112
Early extinguishment of debt	2,709	
Total other expense (income)	132,662	9,110
Income (loss) before equity in income (loss) of investments in real estate partnerships	(77,679)	42,741
Equity in income (loss) of investments in real estate partnerships	(2,084)	1,817
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(79,763)	44,558
Discontinued operations, net:		
Operating income from discontinued operations	1,152	1,720
Gain on sale of operating properties and properties in development		3,920
Income from discontinued operations	1,152	5,640
Net income (loss)	(78,611)	50,198
Noncontrolling interests:		
Preferred units	(931)	(931)
Exchangeable operating partnership units	462	(326)
Limited partners interest in consolidated partnerships	(93)	(122)

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-Q

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests		(562)	(1,379)
Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interests	((79,173)	48,819
Preferred stock dividends		(4,919)	(4,919)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ ((84,092)	43,900
		, ,	
Income (loss) per common share - basic:			
Continuing operations	\$	(1.07)	0.55
Discontinued operations		0.02	0.08
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders per share	\$	(1.05)	0.63
		()	
Income (loss) per common share - diluted:			
Continuing operations	\$	(1.07)	0.55
Discontinued operations		0.02	0.08
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders per share	\$	(1.05)	0.63

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008

(in thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

	2009	2008
Revenues:		
Minimum rent	\$ 262,278	253,501
Percentage rent	1,242	1,712
Recoveries from tenants and other income	77,316	75,271
Management, acquisition, and other fees	30,261	28,159
Total revenues	371,097	358,643
Operating expenses:		
Depreciation and amortization	87,717	77,858
Operating and maintenance	48,138	44,349
General and administrative	37,328	36,770
Real estate taxes	42,912	37,010
Provision for doubtful accounts	7,690	48
Other expenses	4,574	7,077
Total operating expenses	228,359	203,112
Other expense (income):		
Interest expense, net of interest income of \$2,863 and \$2,945 in 2009 and 2008, respectively	80,078	68,673
(Gain) loss on sale of operating properties and properties in development		(17,620)
Provision for impairment	104,402	1,828
Early extinguishment of debt	2,709	
Total other expense (income)	187,189	52,881
Income (loss) before equity in income (loss) of investments in real estate partnerships	(44,451)	102,650
Equity in income (loss) of investments in real estate partnerships	(26,395)	5,574
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(70,846)	108,224
Discontinued operations, net:		
Operating income from discontinued operations	2,757	4,489
Gain on sale of operating properties and properties in development	3,905	8,712
Income from discontinued operations	6,662	13,201
Net income (loss)	(64,184)	121,425
Noncontrolling interests:		
Preferred units	(2,794)	(2,794)
Exchangeable operating partnership units	390	(785)
Limited partners interests in consolidated partnerships	(366)	(603)

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-Q

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(2,770)	(4,182)
Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interests	(66,954)	117,243
Preferred stock dividends	(14,756)	(14,756)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ (81,710)	102,487
Income (loss) per common share - basic:		
Continuing operations	\$ (1.18)	1.27
Discontinued operations	0.09	0.19
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders per share	\$ (1.09)	1.46
F	+ (-107)	
Income (loss) per common share - diluted:		
Continuing operations	\$ (1.18)	1.27
Discontinued operations	0.09	0.19
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders per share	\$ (1.09)	1.46

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

Consolidated Statement of Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss)

For the nine months ended September 30, 2009

(in thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

								Noncontrolling Interests			
	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid In Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Distributions in Excess of Net Income	Total Stockholders Equity	Preferred Units	Exchangeable Operating Partnership Units	Limited Partners Interest in Consolidated Partnerships	Total Noncontrolling Interests
31,	\$ 275,000	756	(111,414)	1,778,265	(90,975)	(155,057)	1,696,575	49,158	8,569	7,980	65,707
sive	Ф 273,000	730	(111,414)	1,770,203	(50,573)	(133,037)	1,070,575	47,130	0,307	7,500	05,707
						(66,954)	(66,954)	2,794	(390)	366	2,770
of											
					(19,861)		(19,861)		(116)		(116)
on of											
air					1,470		1,470		9		9
an											
					44,397		44,397		259		259
sive											
s) stock							(40,948)				2,922
of n		2		4,998			5,000				
ock or											
eld											
ised on,											
ock		1		(2,192)			(2,191)				
OCK											
nt		1		2,456			2,457				
for											
ns ock				554			554				
ock tock t of											
sts		100		310,769			310,869				

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-Q

ns										4,149	4,149
rs is to										·	
ends										(691)	(691)
nas											
						(14,756)	(14,756)	(2,794)			(2,794)
						(124,763)	(124,763)		(773)		(773)
						(== 1,0 == 7,	(==,,,,,,		(.,,		
30,											
	\$ 275,000	860	(111,414)	2,094,850	(64,969)	(361,530)	1,832,797	49,158	7,558	11,804	68,520

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

	2009	2008
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$ (64,184)	121,425
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	87,768	79,932
Deferred loan cost and debt premium amortization	3,826	3,165
Above and below market lease intangibles amortization and accretion	(1,420)	(1,861)
Stock-based compensation, net of capitalization	3,445	8,833
Equity in (income) loss of investments in real estate partnerships	26,395	(5,574)
Net gain on sale of properties	(3,905)	(26,390)
Provision for doubtful accounts	7,690	74
Provision for impairment	104,402	1,828
Early extinguishment of debt	2,709	
Distribution of earnings from operations of investments in real estate partnerships	25,885	25,862
Settlement of derivative instruments	(19,977)	
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	2,851	3,885
Straight-line rent receivables, net	(1,922)	(2,645)
Other receivables	19,700	
Deferred leasing costs	(6,733)	(4,715)
Other assets	(2,161)	(9,119)
Accounts payable and other liabilities	(20,837)	(8,540)
Tenants security and escrow deposits	(679)	509
Net cash provided by operating activities	162,853	186,669
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Development of real estate including acquisition of land	(120,289)	(342,457)
Proceeds from sale of real estate investments	32,968	200,357
Collection of notes receivable	13,572	27,787
Investments in real estate partnerships	(26,763)	(40,969)
Distributions received from investments in real estate partnerships	10,308	28,549
Net cash used in investing activities	(90,204)	(126,733)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net proceeds from common stock issuance	310,872	1,017
Distributions to limited partners in consolidated partnerships, net	(681)	(13,705)
Distributions to exchangeable operating partnership unit holders	(773)	(1,023)
Distributions to preferred unit holders	(2,794)	(2,794)
Dividends paid to common stockholders	(122,308)	(148,581)
Dividends paid to preferred stockholders	(14,756)	(14,757)
Repayment of fixed rate unsecured notes	(116,053)	(,,,,,)
(Repayment of) proceeds from unsecured credit facilities	(70,000)	89,667
V 1 2 > 1 - 2 2 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	(,0,000)	22,007

Proceeds from notes payable	106,512	62,500
Repayment of notes payable	(3,029)	(19,722)
Scheduled principal payments	(3,922)	(3,516)
Payment of loan costs	(1,140)	(1,913)
Payment of debt premium on tender offer	(2,312)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	79,616	(52,827)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	152,265	7,109
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period	21,533	18,668
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	\$ 173,798	25,777

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

	2009	2008
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest (net of capitalized interest of \$16,306 and \$28,847 in 2009 and 2008, respectively)	\$ 90,338	76,427
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash transactions:		
Common stock issued for partnership units exchanged	\$	232
Real estate received through distribution in kind	\$ 80,459	
Mortgage loans assumed through distribution in kind	\$ 59,061	
Real estate contributed as investments in real estate partnerships	\$	6,825
Notes receivable taken in connection with sales of properties in development	\$	39,619
Change in fair value of derivative instruments	\$ 44,656	(8,361)
Common stock issued for dividend reinvestment plan	\$ 2,455	3,302
Stock-based compensation capitalized	\$ 1,783	5,294
Contributions from limited partners in consolidated partnerships, net	\$ 4,139	2,931

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

- 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies
 - (a) Organization and Principles of Consolidation

General

Regency Centers Corporation (Regency or the Company) began its operations as a Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) in 1993 and is the managing general partner of its operating partnership, Regency Centers, L.P. (RCLP or the Partnership). Regency currently owns approximately 99% of the outstanding common partnership units (Units) of the Partnership. Regency engages in the ownership, management, leasing, acquisition, and development of retail shopping centers through the Partnership, and has no other assets or liabilities other than through its investment in the Partnership. At September 30, 2009, the Partnership directly owned 224 retail shopping centers and held partial interests in an additional 185 retail shopping centers through investments in real estate partnerships (also referred to as co-investment partnerships or joint ventures).

Estimates, Risks, and Uncertainties

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires the Company s management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The most significant estimates in the Company s financial statements relate to the carrying values of its investments in real estate including its shopping centers, properties in development and its unconsolidated investments in real estate partnerships, accounts receivable, net, and derivative instruments. Each of these items could be significantly affected by the continued weak economy.

Because of the adverse conditions that exist in the real estate markets, as well as, the credit and financial markets, it is possible that the estimates and assumptions that have been utilized in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements could change significantly. Specifically as it relates to the Company s business, the current weak economic period is expected to result in a higher level of retail store closings nationally, which could reduce the demand for leasing space in the Company s shopping centers and result in a decline in occupancy and rental revenues in its real estate portfolio. The lack of available credit in the commercial real estate market is causing a decline in the values of commercial real estate nationally and the Company s ability to sell shopping centers to raise capital. The reduction in the demand for new retail space and available capital have caused the Company to significantly reduce its new shopping center development program until markets become less volatile.

Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, the Partnership, its wholly owned subsidiaries, and consolidated partnerships in which the Company has a controlling ownership interest. All significant inter-company balances and transactions are eliminated in the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

Ownership of the Company

Regency has a single class of common stock outstanding and three series of preferred stock outstanding (Series 3, 4, and 5 Preferred Stock). The dividends on the Series 3, 4, and 5 Preferred Stock are cumulative and payable in arrears on the last day of each calendar quarter. The Company owns corresponding Series 3, 4, and 5 Preferred unit interests (Series 3, 4, and 5 Preferred Units) in the Partnership that entitle the Company to income and distributions from the Partnership in amounts equal to the dividends paid on the Company Series 3, 4, and 5 Preferred Stock.

Ownership of the Operating Partnership

The Partnership s capital includes general and limited common Partnership Units, Series 3, 4, and 5 Preferred Units owned by the Company, and Series D Preferred Units owned by institutional investors. At September 30, 2009, the Company owned approximately 99% or 80,314,647 Partnership Units of the total 80,782,858 Partnership Units outstanding.

Net income and distributions of the Partnership are allocable first to the Preferred Units and the remaining amounts to the general and limited common Partnership Units in accordance with their ownership percentage. The Series 3, 4, and 5 Preferred Units owned by the Company are eliminated in consolidation.

Noncontrolling Interests

The Company consolidates all entities in which it holds a controlling financial interest. A controlling financial interest is typically attributable to the entity with a majority voting interest. Investments in real estate partnerships not controlled by the Company are accounted for under the equity method. The Company has evaluated its investment in the real estate partnerships and has concluded that they are not variable interest entities. Further, the venture partners in the real estate partnerships have significant ownership rights, including approval over operating budgets and strategic plans, capital spending, sale or financing, and admission of new partners. Upon formation of the joint ventures, the Company also became the managing member, responsible for the day-to-day operations of the partnerships. In accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 810, the Company evaluated its investment in each partnership and concluded that the other partners have substantive participating rights and, therefore, the Company has concluded that the equity method of accounting is appropriate for these investments and they do not require consolidation. Under the equity method of accounting, investments in real estate partnerships are initially recorded at cost, subsequently increased for additional contributions and allocations of income, and reduced for distributions received and allocations of loss. These investments are included in the consolidated financial statements as investments in real estate partnerships.

Noncontrolling interest is the portion of equity in a subsidiary not attributable, directly or indirectly to the Company. Such noncontrolling interests are reported on the consolidated balance sheets within equity, but separately from stockholders—equity. On the consolidated statements of operations, all of the revenues and expenses from less-than-wholly-owned consolidated subsidiaries are reported in net income (loss), including both the amounts attributable to the Company and noncontrolling interests. The amount of consolidated net income (loss) attributable to the Company and to the noncontrolling interests are clearly identified on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

8

Table of Contents

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

The consolidated financial statements of the Company include the following ownership interests held by owners other than the Company: the preferred units in the Partnership held by third parties (Preferred units), the common units in the Partnership held by third parties (Exchangeable operating partnership units), and the minority-owned interest held by third parties in consolidated partnerships (Limited partners interests in consolidated partnerships). The Company has included all of these noncontrolling interests in permanent equity, separate from the Company s stockholders equity, in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statement of Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss). The portion of net income (loss) or comprehensive income (loss) attributable to these noncontrolling interests is included in net income (loss) and comprehensive income (loss) in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and Consolidated Statement of Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss).

Securities that are redeemable for cash or other assets at the option of the holder, not solely within the control of the issuer, are classified as redeemable noncontrolling interests outside of permanent equity in the consolidated balance sheets in accordance with the FASB ASC Topic 480. The Company has evaluated the conditions as specified under the FASB ASC Topic 480 as it relates to preferred units or exchangeable operating partnership units outstanding and concluded that the Company has the right to satisfy the redemption requirements of the units by delivering unregistered preferred or common stock. Each outstanding preferred unit and exchangeable operating partnership unit is exchangeable for one share of preferred stock or common stock, respectively, and the unit holder cannot require redemption in cash or other assets. Limited partners interests in consolidated partnerships are not redeemable by the holders. The Company s only asset is its investment in RCLP, and therefore settlement in shares would not be a surrender of assets, but a contra-equity. The Company also evaluated its fiduciary duties to itself, its shareholders, and, as the managing general partner of RCLP, to RCLP, and concluded its fiduciary duties are not in conflict with each other or the underlying agreements. Therefore, the Company classifies such units and interests as permanent equity in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statement of Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss).

(b) Revenues

The Company leases space to tenants under agreements with varying terms. Leases are accounted for as operating leases with minimum rent recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease regardless of when payments are due. The Company estimates the collectibility of the accounts receivable related to base rents, straight-line rents, expense reimbursements, and other revenue taking into consideration the Company s experience in the retail sector, available internal and external tenant credit information, payment history, industry trends, tenant credit-worthiness, and remaining lease terms. In some cases, primarily related to straight-line rents, the ultimate collection of these amounts are associated with increased rents to be collected in future years which extend beyond one year. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company experienced a significant increase in tenant defaults, as well as, deterioration in tenant receivable collection rates, as compared to historical collection rates. As a result, the Company increased its allowance for doubtful accounts to fully reserve for these specifically identified tenant

9

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

defaults and adjusted the collectibility rates used to estimate the general reserve to reflect such deterioration in collection rates. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, the Company recorded a provision for doubtful accounts of \$2.4 million in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded a provision for doubtful accounts of \$7.7 million and approximately \$48,000, respectively, in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The following table represents the components of accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts, as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets (in thousands):

	2009	2008
Tenant receivables	\$ 12,385	20,942
CAM and tax reimbursements	19,168	15,697
Other receivables	11,337	11,699
Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	6,959	1,837
Total	\$ 35,931	46,501

Substantially all of the lease agreements with anchor tenants contain provisions that provide for additional rents based on tenants—sales volume (percentage rent) and reimbursement of the tenants—share of real estate taxes, insurance, and common area maintenance (CAM) costs. Percentage rents are recognized when the tenants achieve the specified targets as defined in their lease agreements. Recovery of real estate taxes, insurance, and CAM costs are recognized as the respective costs are incurred in accordance with the lease agreements.

As part of the leasing process, the Company may provide the lessee with an allowance for the construction of leasehold improvements. These leasehold improvements are capitalized and recorded as tenant improvements, and depreciated over the shorter of the useful life of the improvements or the lease term. If the allowance represents a payment for a purpose other than funding leasehold improvements, or in the event the Company is not considered the owner of the improvements, the allowance is considered to be a lease incentive and is recognized over the lease term as a reduction of rental revenue. Factors considered during this evaluation include, among other things, who holds legal title to the improvements as well as other controlling rights provided by the lease agreement and provisions for substantiation of such costs (e.g. unilateral control of the tenant space during the build-out process). Determination of the appropriate accounting for the payment of a tenant allowance is made on a lease-by-lease basis, considering the facts and circumstances of the individual tenant lease. When the Company is the owner of the leasehold improvements, recognition of lease revenue commences when the lessee is given possession of the leased space upon completion of tenant improvements. However, when the leasehold improvements are owned by the tenant, the lease inception date is the date the tenant obtains possession of the leased space for purposes of constructing its leasehold improvements.

Profits from sales of real estate are not recognized under the full accrual method by the Company unless a sale is consummated; the buyer s initial and continuing investment is adequate to demonstrate a commitment to pay for the property; the Company s receivable, if applicable, is not subject to future subordination; the Company has transferred to the buyer the usual risks and rewards of ownership; and the Company does not have substantial continuing involvement with the property.

Table of Contents

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

The Company sells shopping center properties to joint ventures in exchange for cash equal to the fair value of the percentage interest owned by its partners. The Company accounts for those sales as partial sales and recognizes gains on those partial sales in the period the properties were sold to the extent of the percentage interest sold, and in the case of certain partnerships, applies a more restrictive method of recognizing gains, as discussed further below. The gains and operations associated with properties sold to these partnerships are not classified as discontinued operations because the Company continues to partially own and manage these shopping centers.

As of September 30, 2009, four of the Company s joint ventures (DIK-JV) give each partner the unilateral right to elect to dissolve the partnership and, upon such an election, receive a distribution in-kind (DIK) of the assets of the partnership equal to their respective ownership interests, which could include properties the Company previously sold to the partnership. The liquidation provisions require that all of the properties owned by the partnership be appraised to determine their respective fair values. As a general rule, if the Company initiates the liquidation process, its partner has the right to choose the first property that it will receive in liquidation with the Company choosing the next property that it will receive in liquidation. If the Company s partner initiates the liquidation process, the order of the selection process is reversed. The process then continues with an alternating selection of properties by each partner until the balance of each partner s capital account on a fair value basis has been distributed. After the final selection, to the extent that the fair value of properties in the DIK-JV are not distributable in a manner that equals the balance of each partner s capital account, a cash payment would be made to the other partner by the partner receiving a fair value of property in excess of its capital account. The partners may also elect to liquidate some or all of the properties through sales rather than through the DIK process.

The Company has concluded that these DIK dissolution provisions constitute in-substance call/put options and represent a form of continuing involvement with respect to property that the Company has sold to these partnerships, limiting the Company s recognition of gain related to the partial sale. This more restrictive method of gain recognition (Restricted Gain Method) considers the Company s potential ability to receive property through a DIK on which partial gain has been recognized, and ensures, as discussed below, maximum gain deferral upon sale to a DIK-JV. The Company has applied the Restricted Gain Method to partial sales of property to partnerships that contain unilateral DIK provisions.

Profit shall be recognized under a method determined by the nature and extent of the seller s continuing involvement and the profit recognized shall be reduced by the maximum exposure to loss. The Company has concluded that the Restricted Gain Method accomplishes this objective.

Under the Restricted Gain Method, for purposes of gain deferral, the Company considers the aggregate pool of properties sold into the DIK-JV as well as the aggregate pool of properties which will be distributed in the DIK process. As a result, upon the sale of properties to a DIK-JV, the Company performs a hypothetical DIK liquidation assuming that it would choose only those properties that it has sold to the DIK-JV in an amount equal to its capital account. For purposes of calculating the gain to be deferred, the Company assumes that it will select

11

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

properties in a DIK liquidation that would otherwise have generated the highest gain to the Company when originally sold to the DIK-JV. The deferred gain to be recorded upon the sale of a property to a DIK-JV is calculated whenever a property is sold to the DIK-JV by the Company. During the periods when there are no property sales to a DIK-JV, the deferred gain is not recalculated.

Because the contingency associated with the possibility of receiving a particular property back upon liquidation, which forms the basis of the Restricted Gain Method, is not satisfied at the property level, but at the aggregate level, no gain is recognized on property sold by the DIK-JV to a third party or received by the Company upon actual dissolution. Instead, the property received upon actual dissolution is recorded at the Company s historical cost investment in the DIK-JV on the date of dissolution, reduced by the deferred gain.

The Company has been engaged under agreements with its joint venture partners to provide asset management, disposition, property management, leasing, investing, and financing services for such ventures—shopping centers. The fees are market-based, generally calculated as a percentage of either revenues earned or the estimated values of the properties managed or the proceeds received, and are recognized as services are rendered, when fees due are determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured.

(c) Real Estate Investments

Land, buildings and improvements are recorded at cost. All specifically identifiable costs related to development activities are capitalized into properties in development on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. Properties in development are defined as properties that are in the construction or initial lease-up process and have not reached their initial full occupancy (reaching full occupancy generally means the development is at least 95% leased and tenants are paying rent on the newly constructed or renovated GLA unless a prescribed period of time expires since anchor opening at which time they are no longer considered in development). In summary, a rental project changes from non-operating to operating when it is substantially completed and available for occupancy. At that time, costs should no longer be capitalized. The capitalized costs include pre-development costs essential to the development of the property, development costs, construction costs, interest costs, real estate taxes, and allocated direct employee costs incurred during the period of development. Interest costs are capitalized into each development project based on applying the Company s weighted average borrowing rate to that portion of the actual development costs expended. The Company ceases interest cost capitalization when the property is no longer being developed or is available for occupancy upon substantial completion of tenant improvements, but in no event would the Company capitalize interest on the project beyond 12 months after substantial completion of the building shell.

The following table represents the components of properties in development as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets (in thousands):

	2009	2008
Construction in process	\$ 147,931	338,606
Construction complete and in lease-up	684,115	548,132
Land held for future development	131,421	192,147
Total	\$ 963,467	1,078,885

Table of Contents

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

Construction in process represents developments where the Company has not yet incurred at least 90% of the expected costs to complete. Construction complete and in lease-up represents developments where the Company has incurred at least 90% of the estimated costs to complete, but is still completing lease-up and final tenant build out. Land held for future development represents projects not in construction, but identified and available for future development if and when the market demand for a new shopping center exists.

The Company incurs costs prior to land acquisition including contract deposits, as well as legal, engineering, and other external professional fees related to evaluating the feasibility of developing a shopping center. These pre-development costs are included in properties in development in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. At September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company had capitalized pre-development costs of \$1.2 million and \$7.7 million, respectively, of which approximately \$450,000 and \$2.3 million, respectively, were refundable deposits. If the Company determines that the development of a particular shopping center is no longer probable, any related pre-development costs previously capitalized are immediately expensed in other expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company expensed pre-development costs of \$3.4 million and \$3.9 million, respectively, in other expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company expensed pre-development costs of \$3.7 million and \$4.6 million, respectively, in other expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Maintenance and repairs that do not improve or extend the useful lives of the respective assets are recorded in operating and maintenance expense.

Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of up to 40 years for buildings and improvements, the shorter of the useful life or the lease term for tenant improvements, and three to seven years for furniture and equipment.

The Company and the real estate partnerships allocate the purchase price of assets acquired (net tangible and identifiable intangible assets) and liabilities assumed based on their relative fair values at the date of acquisition. The Company s methodology for this allocation includes estimating an as-if vacant fair value of the physical property, which is allocated to land, building, and improvements. The difference between the purchase price and the as-if vacant fair value is allocated to intangible assets. There are three categories of intangible assets considered: (i) value of in-place leases, (ii) above and below-market value of in-place leases, and (iii) customer relationship value.

The value of in-place leases is estimated based on the value associated with the costs avoided in originating leases compared to the acquired in-place leases as well as the value associated with lost rental and recovery revenue during the assumed lease-up period. The value of in-place leases is recorded to amortization expense over the remaining initial term of the respective leases.

Above-market and below-market in-place lease values for acquired properties are recorded based on the present value of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases and (ii) management s estimate of fair market lease rates for comparable in-place leases, measured over a period equal to the remaining non-cancelable

13

Table of Contents

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

term of the lease. The value of above-market leases is amortized as a reduction of minimum rent over the remaining terms of the respective leases and the value of below-market leases is accreted to minimum rent over the remaining terms of the respective leases, including below-market renewal options, if applicable. If a tenant exercises an option to renew a lease as per the lease agreement, the Company capitalizes any related leasing commissions and recognizes any related option fees as agreed upon. The Company does not allocate value to customer relationship intangibles if it has pre-existing business relationships with the major retailers in the acquired property since they do not provide incremental value over the Company s existing relationships.

The Company classifies an operating property or a property in development as held-for-sale when the Company determines that the property is available for immediate sale in its present condition, the property is being actively marketed for sale, and management believes it is probable that a sale will be consummated within one year. Given the nature of all real estate sales contracts, it is not unusual for such contracts to allow prospective buyers a period of time to evaluate the property prior to formal acceptance of the contract. In addition, certain other matters critical to the final sale, such as financing arrangements, often remain pending even upon contract acceptance. As a result, properties under contract may not close within the expected time period, or may not close at all. Therefore, any properties categorized as held-for-sale represent only those properties that management has determined are probable to close within the requirements set forth above. Operating properties held-for-sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value less costs to sell. The recording of depreciation and amortization expense is suspended during the held-for-sale period. If circumstances arise that previously were considered unlikely and, as a result, the Company decides not to sell a property previously classified as held-for-sale, the property is reclassified as held and used and is measured individually at the lower of its (a) carrying amount before the property was classified as held-for-sale, adjusted for any depreciation and amortization expense that would have been recognized had the property been continuously classified as held and used or (b) the fair value at the date of the subsequent decision not to sell. Any required adjustment to the carrying amount of the property reclassified as held and used, the results of operations of the property previously reported in discontinued operations is reclassified and included in income from continuing operations for all periods presented.

When the Company sells a property or classifies a property as held-for-sale and will not have significant continuing involvement in the operation of the property, the operations of the property are eliminated from ongoing operations and classified in discontinued operations. Its operations, including any mortgage interest and gain on sale, are reported in discontinued operations so that the operations are clearly distinguished. Prior periods are also reclassified to reflect the operations of these properties as discontinued operations. When the Company sells an operating property to a joint venture or to a third party, and will continue to manage the property, the operations and gain on sale are included in income from continuing operations.

The Company reviews its real estate portfolio including the properties owned through co-investment partnerships for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. For properties to be held and used for long term investment, the Company estimates undiscounted future cash flows over the expected investment term including the estimated future value of the asset upon sale at

14

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

the end of the investment period. Future value is generally determined by applying a market-based capitalization rate to the estimated future net operating income in the final year of the expected investment term. If after applying this method a property is determined to be impaired, the Company determines the provision for impairment based upon applying a market capitalization rate to current estimated net operating income as if the sale were to occur immediately. For properties held-for-sale, the Company estimates current resale values through appraisal information and other market data less expected costs to sell. These methods of determining fair value can fluctuate significantly as a result of a number of factors, including changes in the general economy for those markets in which the Company operates, the Company s estimated holding period of the property, tenant credit quality, and demand for new retail stores. If as a result of a change in the Company s strategy for a specific property which the Company owns directly or through co-investment partnerships, a property previously classified as held and used is changed to held-for-sale, or if its estimated holding period changes, such change could cause the Company to determine that the property is impaired and a provision for impairment in relation to that property would be recorded by the Company either directly or through a reduction of the Company equity in income (loss) of investments in real estate partnerships. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company established a provision for impairment of \$103.9 million and approximately \$716,000, respectively. See Note 10 for further discussion.

A loss in value of an investment under the equity method of accounting, which is other than a temporary decline, must be recognized in the period in which the loss occurs. To evaluate the Company s investment in real estate partnerships, the Company calculates the fair value of the investment by discounting estimated future cash flows over the expected term of the investment. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, no impairment was recorded as a result of this evaluation.

(d) Cash and Cash Equivalents

Any instruments which have an original maturity of 90 days or less when purchased are considered cash equivalents. At September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, \$3.5 million and \$8.7 million, respectively, of cash was restricted through escrow agreements required for a development and certain mortgage loans.

(e) Notes Receivable

The Company records notes receivable at cost on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and interest income is accrued as earned and netted against interest expense in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. If a note receivable is past due, meaning the debtor is past due per contractual obligations, the Company ceases to accrue interest income. However, in the event the debtor subsequently becomes current, the Company will resume accruing interest. The Company evaluates the collectibility of both interest and principal for all notes receivable to determine whether impairment exists using the present value of expected cash flows discounted at the note receivable s effective interest rate or, alternatively, at the observable market price of the loan or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. In the event the Company determines a note receivable or a portion thereof is considered uncollectible, the Company records an allowance for credit loss. The Company estimates the collectibility of notes receivable taking into consideration the Company s experience in the retail sector, available internal and external credit information, payment history, market and industry trends, and debtor credit-

15

Table of Contents

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

worthiness. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded a provision for impairment of approximately \$465,000 and \$1.1 million, respectively. See Notes 5 and 10 for further discussion.

(f) Deferred Costs

Deferred costs include leasing costs and loan costs, net of accumulated amortization. Such costs are amortized over the periods through lease expiration or loan maturity, respectively. If the lease is terminated early or if the loan is repaid prior to maturity, the remaining leasing costs or loan costs are written off. Deferred leasing costs consist of internal and external commissions associated with leasing the Company s shopping centers. Net deferred leasing costs were \$47.3 million and \$46.8 million at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Deferred loan costs consist of initial direct and incremental costs associated with financing activities. Net deferred loan costs were \$9.5 million and \$10.7 million at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

(g) Derivative Financial Instruments

All derivative instruments, whether designated in hedging relationships or not, are recorded on the balance sheet at their fair values. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether the Company has elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Hedge accounting generally provides for the matching of the timing of gain or loss recognition on the hedging instrument with the recognition of the changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability that are attributable to the hedged risk in a fair value hedge or the earnings effect of the hedged forecasted transactions in a cash flow hedge. The Company may enter into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge certain of its risk, even though hedge accounting does not apply or the Company elects not to apply hedge accounting.

The Company s use of derivative financial instruments is intended to mitigate its interest rate risk on a related financial instrument or forecasted transaction through the use of interest rate swaps (the Swaps) and the Company designates these interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges. The gains or losses resulting from changes in fair value of derivatives that qualify as cash flow hedges are recognized in other comprehensive income (OCI) while the ineffective portion of the derivative s change in fair value is recognized in the income statement as interest expense. Upon the settlement of a hedge, gains and losses remaining in OCI are amortized over the underlying term of the hedge transaction. The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking various hedge transactions. The Company assesses, both at inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows and/or forecasted cash flows of the hedged items.

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

In assessing the valuation of the hedges, the Company uses standard market conventions and techniques such as discounted cash flow analysis, option pricing models, and termination costs at each balance sheet date. All methods of assessing fair value result in a general approximation of value, and such value may never actually be realized. See Notes 9 and 10 for further discussion.

The Company has elected to classify swap termination cash flows as operating activities in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

(h) Income Taxes

The Company believes it qualifies, and intends to continue to qualify, as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code (the Code). As a REIT, the Company will generally not be subject to federal income tax, provided that distributions to its stockholders are at least equal to REIT taxable income. Regency Realty Group, Inc. (RRG), a wholly-owned subsidiary of RCLP, is a Taxable REIT Subsidiary (TRS) as defined in Section 856(l) of the Code. RRG is subject to federal and state income taxes and files separate tax returns.

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which these temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled.

The following table represents the Company s net deferred tax assets as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 recorded in other assets in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets (in thousands):

	2009	2008
Deferred tax assets	\$ 20,816	17,887
Deferred tax liabilities	(870)	(825)
Valuation allowance	(4,755)	
Total	\$ 15,191	17,062

The valuation allowance is due to interest expense that is subject to limitations under Section 163(j) of the Code and represents 100% of the disallowed interest expense included in the deferred tax assets. It was determined to be more likely than not that it will not be realized. Other deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities relate primarily to differences in the timing of the recognition of income (loss) between GAAP and tax basis of accounting for capitalized costs under Section 263A of the Code, property impairments, and depreciation.

Earnings and profits, which determine the taxability of dividends to stockholders, differs from net income reported for financial reporting purposes primarily because of differences in depreciable lives and cost bases of the shopping centers, as well as other timing differences. See Note 7 for further discussion.

Tax positions are initially recognized in the financial statements when it is more likely than not the position will be sustained upon examination by the tax authorities. Such tax

17

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

positions shall initially and subsequently be measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the tax authority assuming full knowledge of the position and relevant facts. The Company believes that it has appropriate support for the income tax positions taken and to be taken on its tax returns and that its accruals for tax liabilities are adequate for all open tax years (after 2008 for federal and state) based on an assessment of many factors including past experience and interpretations of tax laws applied to the facts of each matter.

(i) Earnings per Share and Treasury Stock

Basic earnings per share of common stock is computed based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the conversion of obligations and the assumed exercises of securities including the effects of shares issuable under the Company s share-based payment arrangements, if dilutive. Dividends paid on the Company s share-based payment transactions are not participating securities as they are forfeitable. See Note 13 for the calculation of earnings per share (EPS).

Repurchases of the Company s common stock are recorded at cost and are reflected as treasury stock in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss). Regency s outstanding shares do not include treasury shares.

(j) Stock-Based Compensation

Regency grants stock-based compensation to its employees and directors. When Regency issues common shares as compensation, it receives a like number of common units from the Partnership. Regency is committed to contribute to the Partnership all proceeds from the exercise of stock options or other share-based awards granted under Regency s Long-Term Omnibus Plan (the Plan). Accordingly, Regency s ownership in the Partnership will increase based on the amount of proceeds contributed to the Partnership for the common units it receives. As a result of the issuance of common units to Regency for stock-based compensation, the Partnership accounts for stock-based compensation in the same manner as Regency.

The Company recognizes stock-based compensation based on the grant-date fair value of the award and the cost of the stock-based compensation is expensed over the vesting period. See Note 12 for further discussion.

(k) Segment Reporting

The Company s business is investing in retail shopping centers through direct ownership or through joint ventures. The Company actively manages its portfolio of retail shopping centers and may from time to time make decisions to sell lower performing properties or developments not meeting its long-term investment objectives. The proceeds from sales are reinvested into higher quality retail shopping centers, through acquisitions or new developments, which management believes will meet its expected rate of return. It is management s intent that all retail shopping centers will be owned or developed for investment purposes; however, the Company may decide to sell all or a portion of a development upon completion. The Company s revenues and net income are generated from the operation of its investment portfolio. The Company also earns fees from third parties for services provided to manage and lease retail shopping centers owned through joint ventures.

Table of Contents

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

The Company s portfolio is located throughout the United States; however, management does not distinguish or group its operations on a geographical basis for purposes of allocating resources or measuring performance. The Company reviews operating and financial data for each property on an individual basis; therefore, the Company defines an operating segment as its individual properties. The individual properties have been aggregated into one reportable segment based upon their similarities with regard to both the nature and economics of the centers, tenants and operational processes, as well as long-term average financial performance. In addition, no single tenant accounts for 6% or more of revenue and none of the shopping centers are located outside the United States.

(1) Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity
The Company accounts for noncontrolling interests in consolidated entities with specified termination dates in an amount based on the fair value in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 480. See Note 10 for further discussion.

(m) Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value

Fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, a fair value measurement is determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, the Company uses a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity s own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy). The three levels of inputs used to measure fair value are as follows:

Level 1 - Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access.

Level 2 - Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, which are typically based on the Company s own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity.

The Company also remeasures nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, initially measured at fair value in a business combination or other new basis event, at fair value in subsequent periods. See Note 10 for all fair value measurements of assets and liabilities made on a recurring and nonrecurring basis.

19

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

(n) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167 Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) (Statement 167). Statement 167 changes how a reporting entity determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. The determination of whether a reporting entity is required to consolidate another entity is based on, among other things, the other entity is purpose and design and the reporting entity is ability to direct the activities of the other entity that most significantly impact the other entity is economic performance. Statement 167 will be effective January 1, 2010 and early application is not permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this statement. Statement 167 was issued prior to the adoption of FASB ASC Topic 105 and accordingly has a pre-codification reference.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168 The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles - A Replacement of FASB Statement No. 162 (FASB ASC Topic 105). FASB ASC Topic 105 establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) as the single source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. FASB ASC Topic 105 and the Codification are effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Company adopted this Statement on September 30, 2009.

(o) Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2008 amounts to conform to classifications adopted in 2009.

Real Estate Investments

During 2009, the Company did not have any acquisition activity, other than through the distribution-in-kind of properties from its co-investment partnerships as described in Note 4 and the acquisition of two properties by an unconsolidated partnership where the Company has an ownership interest of 50%.

Discontinued Operations

The Company maintains a conservative capital structure to fund its growth program without compromising its investment-grade ratings. This approach is founded on a self-funding business model which utilizes center recycling as a key component and requires ongoing monitoring of each center to ensure that it meets the Company s investment standards. This recycling strategy calls for the Company to sell non-strategic assets and re-deploy the proceeds into new, high-quality developments and acquisitions that are expected to generate sustainable revenue growth and more attractive returns.

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, the Company sold 100% of its ownership interest in one operating property for net proceeds of \$19.5 million. The combined operating income and gains on sales of this property and properties classified as held-for-sale were reclassified to discontinued operations. The revenues from properties included in discontinued operations were \$1.3 million and \$3.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The operating income and gains on sales of properties included in discontinued operations are reported net of income taxes, if the property is sold by the TRS.

20

28

Table of Contents

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company sold 100% of its ownership interest in one operating property and one property in development for proceeds of \$24.3 million, net of a note receivable taken by the Company of \$8.9 million, which was subsequently paid in full in May 2009. The combined operating income and gain on the sale of these properties and properties classified as held-for-sale were reclassified to discontinued operations. The revenues from properties included in discontinued operations were \$3.8 million and \$9.8 million, for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The operating income and gains on sales of properties included in discontinued operations are reported net of income taxes, if the property is sold by the TRS.

4. Investments in Real Estate Partnerships

The Company s investments in real estate partnerships were \$333.6 million and \$383.4 million at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Net income or loss from these partnerships, which includes all operating results (including impairments) and gains on sales of properties within the joint ventures, is allocated to the Company in accordance with the respective partnership agreements. Such allocations of net income or loss are recorded in equity in income (loss) of investments in real estate partnerships in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. The net difference between the carrying amount of these investments and the underlying equity in net assets was \$39.8 million and \$77.3 million at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. For non-DIK-JV s, the net difference is accreted to income and recorded in equity in income (loss) of investments in real estate partnerships in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations over the expected useful lives of the properties and other intangible assets, which range in lives from 10 to 40 years, whereas for DIK-JV s, the net difference is recognized at liquidation.

Cash distributions of earnings from operations from investments in real estate partnerships are presented in cash flows provided by operating activities in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Cash distributions from the sale of a property or loan proceeds received from the placement of debt on a property included in investments in real estate partnerships are presented in cash flows provided by investing activities in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Investments in real estate partnerships are primarily composed of co-investment partnerships where the Company invests with four co-investment partners and an open-end real estate fund (Regency Retail Partners or the Fund), as further described below. In addition to earning its pro-rata share of net income or loss in each of these partnerships, the Company receives market-based fees for asset management, disposition, property management, leasing, investment, and financing services. During the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company received fees from these co-investment partnerships of \$15.4 million and \$7.7 million, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company received fees from these co-investment partnerships of \$29.2 million and \$27.8 million, respectively.

21

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

Investments in real estate partnerships as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 consist of the following (in thousands):

	Ownership	2009	2008
Macquarie CountryWide-Regency (MCWR I)	25.00%	\$ 7,339	11,137
Macquarie CountryWide Direct (MCWR I)	25.00%		3,760
Macquarie CountryWide-Regency II (MCWR II) (1)	25.00%	157,055	197,602
Macquarie CountryWide-Regency III (MCWR III)	24.95%	386	623
Macquarie CountryWide-Regency-DESCO (MCWR-DESCO)	16.35%	24,641	21,924
Columbia Regency Retail Partners (Columbia I)	20.00%	28,665	29,704
Columbia Regency Partners II (Columbia II)	20.00%	11,460	12,858
Cameron Village LLC (Cameron)	30.00%	18,385	19,479
RegCal, LLC (RegCal)	25.00%	13,195	13,766
Regency Retail Partners (the Fund)	20.00%	22,607	23,838
Other investments in real estate partnerships	50.00%	49,887	48,717
Total		\$ 333,620	383,408

(1) At December 31, 2008, Regency s ownership interest in MCWR II was 24.95% Investments in real estate partnerships are reported net of deferred gains of \$53.2 million and \$88.3 million at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Cumulative deferred gain amounts related to each investment partnership are described below.

The Company co-invests with the Oregon Public Employees Retirement Fund (OPERF) in three co-investment partnerships, two of which the Company has ownership interests of 20% (Columbia I and Columbia II) and one in which the Company has an ownership interest of 30% (Cameron). The Company s investment in the three co-investment partnerships with OPERF totals \$58.5 million and represents 1.4% of the Company s total assets at September 30, 2009. At September 30, 2009, the OPERF co-investment partnerships had total assets of \$750.7 million and net income of approximately \$3.5 million for the nine months ended and the Company s share of its total assets and net income was \$162.0 million and approximately \$170,000, respectively.

As of September 30, 2009, Columbia I owned 14 shopping centers, had total assets of \$324.7 million, and net income of \$4.9 million for the nine months ended. The partnership agreement has a unilateral right to elect to dissolve the partnership and receive a DIK upon liquidation; therefore, the Company has applied the Restricted Gain Method to determine the amount of gain that the Company recognizes on property sales to Columbia I. During 2009, the Company did not sell any properties to Columbia I. Since the inception of Columbia I in 2001, the Company has recognized gain of \$2.0 million on partial sales to Columbia I and deferred gain of \$4.3 million. In December 2008, the Company earned and recognized a \$19.7 million Portfolio Incentive Return fee from OPERF based on Columbia I s outperformance of the cumulative National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries (NCREIF) index since the inception of the partnership and a cumulative hurdle rate as outlined in the partnership agreement which was reflected in other receivables as of December 31, 2008. The Company collected the receivable in full in April 2009.

As of September 30, 2009, Columbia II owned 16 shopping centers, had total assets of \$316.5 million and a net loss of approximately \$293,000 for the nine months ended. The partnership agreement has a unilateral right to elect to dissolve the partnership and receive a DIK upon liquidation; therefore, the Company has applied the Restricted Gain Method to determine the amount of gain that the Company recognizes on property sales to Columbia II. During 2009, the

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

Company did not sell any properties to Columbia II. Since the inception of Columbia II in 2004, the Company has recognized gain of \$9.1 million on partial sales to Columbia II and deferred gain of \$15.7 million.

As of September 30, 2009, Cameron owned one shopping center, had total assets of \$109.4 million and a net loss of \$1.1 million for the nine months ended. The partnership agreement does not contain any DIK provisions that would require the Company to apply the Restricted Gain Method. Since the inception of Cameron in 2004, the Company has not sold any properties to Cameron.

The Company co-invests with the California State Teachers Retirement System (CalSTRS) in a joint venture (RegCal) in which the Company has a 25% ownership interest. As of September 30, 2009, RegCal owned seven shopping centers, had total assets of \$156.8 million, and net income of approximately \$412,000 for the nine months ended and the Company s share of its total assets and net income was \$39.2 million and approximately \$103,000, respectively. The partnership agreement has a unilateral right to elect to dissolve the partnership and receive a DIK upon liquidation; therefore, the Company has applied the Restricted Gain Method to determine the amount of gain that the Company recognizes on property sales to RegCal. During 2009, the Company did not sell any properties to RegCal. Since the inception of RegCal in 2004, the Company has recognized gain of \$10.1 million on partial sales to RegCal and deferred gain of \$3.4 million.

The Company co-invests with Macquarie CountryWide Trust of Australia (MCW) as the only other partner in three co-investment partnerships, one in which the Company has an ownership interest of 25% (MCWR I), one in which the Company has an ownership interest of 24.95% (MCWR III), and one in which the Company has an ownership interest of 16.35% (MCWR-DESCO). The Company s investment with MCW totals \$32.4 million and represents less than 1% of the Company s total assets at September 30, 2009. The MCW co-investment partnerships had total assets of \$561.0 million and a net loss of approximately \$7,600 for the nine months ended and the Company s share of its total assets and net loss was \$107.2 million and approximately \$528,000 of income due to the accretion to income of the net difference between the carrying amount of the investments and the underlying equity in net assets, respectively.

As of September 30, 2009, MCWR I owned nine shopping centers, had total assets of \$111.6 million, and net income of \$4.3 million for the nine months ended. The partnership agreement has a unilateral right to elect to dissolve the partnership and receive a DIK upon liquidation; therefore, the Company has applied the Restricted Gain Method to determine the amount of gain the Company recognizes on property sales to MCWR I. During 2009, the Company did not sell any properties to MCWR I. Since the inception of MCWR I in 2001, the Company has recognized gain of \$27.5 million on partial sales to MCWR I and deferred gain of \$46.9 million. On January 14, 2009, under the terms of the MCWR I partnership agreement, MCW elected to dissolve the partnership. The Company is in the process of liquidating the partnership through a DIK, which provides for distribution of the properties to each partner under an alternating selection process, ultimately in proportion to the value of each partner s respective ownership interest in the partnership as of the date of liquidation. The total fair value of the properties was \$467.3 million based on third party appraisals, net of debt. As of September 30, 2009, MCW had received 27 properties and the Company had received four properties through the DIK. The four properties the Company received had a fair value of \$102.6 million, net of debt, and consistent with the Restricted Gain Method, the properties were recorded at the net carrying value of the Company s equity investment of \$21.1 million, net of deferred gain of \$31.7 million. As a result, no gain or

23

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

loss was recognized on the dissolution. The dissolution is subject to required lender consents for ownership transfer and should be completed by the end of 2009 when the Company and MCW expect to receive the final two and seven remaining properties, respectively. During 2009, MCWR I sold one shopping center to a third party for \$7.8 million and recognized a gain of \$3.7 million.

As of September 30, 2009, MCWR III owned four shopping centers, had total assets of \$65.4 million and a net loss of approximately \$286,000 for the nine months ended. In January 2009, the partnership agreement was amended to include a unilateral right to elect to dissolve the partnership and receive a DIK upon liquidation which will be effective January 1, 2010; therefore, the Company will apply the Restricted Gain Method if properties are sold to MCWR III. During 2009, the Company did not sell any properties to MCWR III. Since the inception of MCWR III in 2005, the Company has recognized gain of \$14.1 million on partial sales to MCWR III and deferred gain of \$4.7 million.

As of September 30, 2009, MCWR-DESCO owned 32 shopping centers, had total assets of \$384.0 million and recorded a net loss of \$4.0 million for the nine months ended. The partnership agreement does not contain any DIK provisions that would require the Company to apply the Restricted Gain Method. Since the inception of MCWR-DESCO in 2007, the Company has not sold any properties to MCWR-DESCO.

The Company co-invests with MCW and Global Retail Investors LLC (GRI), a joint venture between the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS) and an affiliate of First Washington Realty, Inc. in one co-investment partnership in which the Company has an ownership interest of 25% (MCWR II). The Company s investment in MCWR II totals \$157.1 million and represents 3.8% of the Company s total assets at September 30, 2009.

On July 17, 2009, we announced that MCW had agreed to sell 60% of its partnership interest in MCWR II to GRI in two closings. The initial closing was completed on July 31, 2009, with MCW selling 45% of its 75% interest to GRI. As part of the closing, Regency acquired Macquarie-Regency Management, LLC s (US Manager) 0.1% ownership of MCWR II. US Manager was owned 50% by Regency and 50% by an affiliate of Macquarie Bank Limited. The transaction increased Regency s ownership in MCWR II to 25% from 24.95%. At the second closing, GRI will acquire from MCW, an incremental 15% interest in MCWR II. Regency expects this to occur once the existing mortgage lenders consent to the transaction or MCW prepays the mortgage loans. After this second closing, GRI will own 60% of MCWR II, Regency will own 25%, and MCW will own 15%. Regency will retain asset management, property management, and leasing responsibilities. At the initial closing Regency received a disposition fee of \$7.8 million from MCW equal to 1% of the gross sales price paid by GRI. Regency is to receive an additional disposition fee equal to 1% of gross sales price paid by GRI at future closings. As part of the agreement, Regency negotiated two separate options to acquire additional interests in the partnership less a discount of 7.7%. If both options were exercised, Regency would acquire MCW s then remaining 15% interest in MCWR II, increasing Regency s total ownership to 40%. The first option allows Regency to acquire 10% and must be exercised no later than April 2011. If Regency does not exercise the first option, GRI will be given the right to acquire this same interest. If GRI chooses not to acquire this same interest, MCW can initiate a DIK, but limited only to the extent of its 10% interest in the entity. The second option allows Regency to acquire MCW s remaining 5% interest in MCWR II and must be exercised, by the later of March 31, 2010, or GRI s second closing. If Regency does not exercise this remaining 5% option, GRI must acquire the 5% interest from MCW. If Regency does not exercise both the first and second discounted options, it will receive a cash payment of up to \$17.0 million from MCW.

24

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

As of September 30, 2009, MCWR II owned 86 shopping centers, had total assets of \$2.2 billion and a net loss of \$111.8 million for the nine months ended and the Company s share of its total assets and net loss was \$554.5 million and \$28.1 million, respectively. The net loss was primarily related to the provision for impairment recorded during 2009 as a result of MCW s decision to sell its interest in MCWR II and related change in holding period for certain properties. As part of the sale negotiations, GRI identified 14 properties that it would target for sale over the next three years. These properties were previously expected to be held and used long term and this change in the properties holding periods resulted in a provision for impairment of \$104.4 million. In January 2009, the partnership agreement was amended to include a unilateral right to elect to dissolve the partnership and receive a DIK upon liquidation which will be effective January 1, 2010; therefore, the Company will apply the Restricted Gain Method if properties are sold to MCWR II. During 2009, the Company did not sell any properties to MCWR II. Since the inception of MCWR II in 2005, the Company has recognized gain of \$2.3 million on partial sales to MCWR II and deferred gain of approximately \$766,000.

The Company co-invests with Regency Retail Partners (the Fund), an open-ended, infinite life investment fund in which the Company has an ownership interest of 20%. As of September 30, 2009, the Fund owned nine shopping centers, had total assets of \$370.8 million, and recorded a net loss of \$2.2 million for the nine months ended and the Company s share of its total assets and net loss was \$74.1 million and approximately \$281,000, respectively. The partnership agreement does not contain any DIK provisions that would require the Company to apply the Restricted Gain Method. During 2009, the Company did not sell any properties to the Fund. Since the inception of the Fund in 2006, the Company has recognized gains of \$71.6 million on partial sales to the Fund and deferred gains of \$17.9 million.

Summarized financial information for the investments in real estate partnerships on a combined basis, is as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Investment in real estate, net	\$ 3,908,604	4,518,783
Acquired lease intangible assets, net	141,140	186,141
Other assets	138,214	157,806
Total assets	\$ 4,187,958	4,862,730
Notes payable	\$ 2,475,661	2,792,450
Acquired lease intangible liabilities, net	87,650	97,146
Other liabilities	84,589	83,814
Members or Partners capital	1,540,058	1,889,320
Total liabilities and capital	\$ 4,187,958	4,862,730

Investments in real estate partnerships had notes payable of \$2.5 billion and \$2.8 billion as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, and the Company s proportionate share of these loans was \$588.1 million and \$664.1 million, respectively. The Company does not guarantee these loans except for two loans totaling \$35.4 million related to its 50% investment interest in two single asset investments in real estate partnerships where the loan agreements contain several guarantees from each partner.

Table of Contents 34

25

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

As of September 30, 2009, scheduled principal repayments on notes payable of the investments in real estate partnerships were as follows (in thousands):

Scheduled Principal Payments by Year:	Scheduled Principal Payments	Mortgage Loan Maturities	Unsecured Maturities	Total	Regency s Pro-Rata Share
2009	\$ 1,043	11,545		12,588	2,538
2010	4,189	645,223	26,750	676,162	168,247
2011	3,887	462,916		466,803	115,660
2012	4,662	247,907		252,569	62,504
2013	4,469	32,447		36,916	9,058
Beyond 5 Years	33,852	991,192		1,025,044	229,011
Unamortized debt premiums, net		5,579		5,579	1,079
-					
Total	\$ 52,102	2,396,809	26,750	2,475,661	588,097

The revenues and expenses for the investments in real estate partnerships on a combined basis are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	ended Septe	For the three months ended September 30, 2009 2008		For the nine months ended September 30, 2009 2008	
Total revenues	\$ 107,476	121,640	322,684	364,952	
		·	,	,	
Operating expenses:					
Depreciation and amortization	39,786	44,638	120,216	135,803	
Operating and maintenance	14,648	17,756	46,409	52,055	
General and administrative	2,566	2,014	7,234	7,190	
Real estate taxes	15,020	15,293	45,022	46,168	
Provision for doubtful accounts	4,933	672	8,426	1,285	
Provision for impairment	4,627		104,416		
Total operating expenses	81,580	80,373	331,723	242,501	
Other expense (income):					
Interest expense, net	34,834	36,208	102,690	109,137	
(Gain) loss on sale of real estate	(37)	15	(6,142)	(13,487)	
Other income	1	35	71	104	
Total other expense (income)	34,798	36,258	96,619	95,754	
• • •	·	,	,	,	
Net income (loss)	\$ (8,902)	5,009	(105,658)	26,697	

26

Table of Contents

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

5. Notes Receivable

The Company had notes receivable outstanding of \$26.3 million and \$31.4 million at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. The notes receivable have fixed interest rates ranging from 6.0% to 10.0% with maturity dates through November 2014.

6. Acquired Lease Intangibles

The Company had acquired lease intangible assets, net of amortization, of \$10.7 million and \$12.9 million at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, of which \$10.3 million and \$12.5 million, respectively relates to in-place leases. These in-place leases had a remaining weighted average amortization period of 5.7 years and the aggregate amortization expense recorded for these in-place leases was approximately \$633,000 and \$1.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$2.1 million and \$3.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company had above-market lease intangible assets, net of amortization, of approximately \$366,000 and \$442,000 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. The remaining weighted average amortization period was 3.7 years and the aggregate amortization expense recorded as a reduction to minimum rent for these above-market leases was approximately \$25,000 and \$27,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and approximately \$77,000 and \$86,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The Company had acquired lease intangible liabilities, net of accretion, of \$6.4 million and \$7.9 million as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. The remaining weighted average accretion period is 4.2 years and the aggregate amount accreted as an increase to minimum rent for these below-market rents was approximately \$479,000 and \$559,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$1.5 million and \$1.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Income Taxes

Income tax (benefit) expense is included in other expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and the Company recorded an income tax benefit of approximately \$509,000 and an income tax expense of \$1.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and an income tax benefit of approximately \$686,000 and an income tax expense of approximately \$964,000 during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

During 2008, the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) commenced an examination of RRG s U.S. income tax returns for 2006 and 2007 which was completed in June 2009. The IRS did not propose any adjustments to the open tax years under audit.

8. Notes Payable and Unsecured Credit Facilities

On September 3, 2009, the Company closed on a \$10.7 million two-year construction loan for a development project with an interest rate of LIBOR plus 300 basis points. The balance was approximately \$512,000 at September 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

On August 18, 2009, RCLP completed a cash tender offer and purchased \$19.5 million in principal of its \$150 million 8.45% unsecured notes due September 1, 2010 and \$46.5 million in principal of it \$220 million 7.95% unsecured notes due January 15, 2011 (the Notes). The total consideration paid for the Notes was \$69.5 million or \$1,035 per \$1,000 in principal, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The payment was funded from available cash and the Company recorded a \$2.7 million expense for early extinguishment of debt in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations.

On July 1, 2009, the Company closed on mortgage loans of \$106.0 million secured by eight properties with an interest rate of 7.75% and a ten-year term.

In conjunction with properties distributed to the Company as part of the in-process liquidation of MCWR I, which began on January 14, 2009, the Company assumed two mortgage loans with carrying values of \$17.0 million and \$42.1 million with ten-year terms and interest rates of 6.13% and 6.38%, respectively.

On March 5, 2008, the Company entered into a Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank and a group of other banks to provide the Company with a \$341.5 million, three-year term loan facility (the Term Facility) maturing in February 2011. The Term Facility includes a term loan amount of \$227.7 million plus a \$113.8 million revolving credit facility that is accessible at the Company s discretion. The term loan has a variable interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 105 basis points which was 1.36% and 3.30% at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, and the revolving portion has a variable interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 90 basis points. The balance on the Term Facility was \$227.7 million at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. There was no balance on the revolving credit facility at September 30, 2009 or December 31, 2008.

The Company has a Line commitment (the Line) of \$600.0 million and the right to expand the Line by an additional \$150.0 million subject to additional lender syndication. The Line has a four-year term maturing in February 2011 with a one-year extension at the Company s option and a current interest rate of LIBOR plus 40 basis points subject to maintaining corporate credit and senior unsecured ratings at BBB+. The Company paid the \$180.0 million balance on the Line in full in April 2009 and there was no balance at September 30, 2009. The balance on the Line was \$70.0 million at December 31, 2008 with a contractual interest rate of 1.34% based on LIBOR plus 40 basis points.

On September 30, 2009 Standard and Poor s Rating Services lowered Regency s corporate credit rating and senior unsecured debt rating to BBB from BBB+ related to the reduction in its fixed charge coverage ratio in 2009 as compared to 2008, a direct result of the negative impact of the current economic downturn on the Company s operations. As a result of this downgrade, the interest rate on the Line will increase to LIBOR plus 55 basis points and the interest rates on the term loan and revolving portion of the Term Facility will increase to LIBOR plus 120 basis points and 100 basis points, respectively, effective October 1, 2009.

Including both the Line commitment and the Term Facility (collectively, Unsecured credit facilities), the Company has \$941.5 million of total capacity and the spread paid is dependent upon the Company maintaining specific investment-grade ratings. The Company is also required to comply with certain financial covenants as defined in the Credit Agreement such as Minimum Net Worth, Ratio of Total Liabilities to Gross Asset Value (GAV) and Ratio of Recourse Secured Indebtedness to GAV, Ratio of Earnings Before Interest Taxes Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA) to Fixed Charges, and other covenants customary with this type of unsecured

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

financing. As of September 30, 2009, management of the Company believes it is in compliance with all financial covenants for the Unsecured credit facilities. The Unsecured credit facilities are used primarily to finance the acquisition and development of real estate, but are also available for general working-capital purposes.

Notes payable consist of secured mortgage loans and unsecured public debt. Mortgage loans may be prepaid, but could be subject to yield maintenance premiums. Mortgage loans are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest or interest only, and mature over various terms through 2019, whereas, interest on unsecured public debt is payable semi-annually and the debt matures over various terms through 2017. Fixed interest rates on mortgage loans range from 5.22% to 8.40% and average 6.71%. As of September 30, 2009, the Company had one variable rate mortgage loan in the amount of \$5.0 million with an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 350 basis points maturing on October 1, 2009, at which time the Company closed on an amendment extending the loan maturity to October 1, 2014 with an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 380 basis points. The Company has a variable rate construction loan with an interest rate of LIBOR plus 300 basis points.

The Company s outstanding debt at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 consists of the following (in thousands):

	2009	2008
Notes payable:		
Fixed rate mortgage loans	\$ 393,287	235,150
Variable rate mortgage loans	5,521	5,130
Fixed rate unsecured loans	1,481,870	1,597,624
Total notes payable	1,880,678	1,837,904
Unsecured credit facilities	227,667	297,667
Total	\$ 2,108,345	2,135,571

As of September 30, 2009, scheduled principal repayments on notes payable and the Unsecured credit facilities were as follows (in thousands):

Scheduled Principal Payments by Year:	Scheduled Principal Payments	Mortgage Loan Maturities	Unsecured Maturities (a)	Total
2009	\$ 1,390	5,009		6,399
2010	5,393	17,043	140,461	162,897
2011	5,291	11,788	421,153	438,232
2012	5,609		250,000	255,609
2013	5,536	16,356		21,892
Beyond 5 Years	13,079	313,128	900,000	1,226,207
Unamortized debt discounts, net		(815)	(2,076)	(2,891)
Total	\$ 36,298	362,509	1,709,538	2,108,345

⁽a) Includes unsecured public debt and Unsecured credit facilities

Table of Contents

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

9. Derivative Financial Instruments Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives

The Company is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its debt funding and the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the Company enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The Company s derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company s known or expected cash payments principally related to the Company s borrowings.

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The Company s objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, the Company primarily uses interest rate swaps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss and subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. During 2009, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with forecasted issuances of debt (see Objectives and Strategies below for further discussion). The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives will be amortized over the original terms of the hedged loans and recognized directly in earnings as interest expense. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company had no hedge ineffectiveness that was recognized in earnings.

On April 16, 2009, the Company paid \$20.0 million to settle and partially settle \$106.0 million of its \$396.7 million of interest rate swaps in place to hedge forecasted debt. On July 1, 2009, the Company closed on mortgage loans of \$106.0 million secured by eight properties with a fixed interest rate of 7.75% and a ten-year term. The \$20.0 million loss from the swap settlement began amortization over a ten year period in July 2009; therefore, the effective interest rate on these mortgage loans is 9.63%.

Realized losses associated with the interest rate swaps settled in 2004, 2005 and April 2009 and unrealized gains or losses associated with the swaps entered into in 2006 have been included in accumulated other comprehensive loss in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss). Unrealized gains or losses will not be amortized until such time that the probable debt issuances are completed as long as the interest rate swaps continue to qualify for hedge accounting.

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

The tables below represent the effect of the derivative financial instruments on the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the nine months ended (in thousands):

			Location of Gain	Amo	unt of Ga	in (Loss)
	Amount of Gai	n (Loss)	(Loss) Reclassified	R	eclassifie	l from
	Recognized in	OCI on	from Accumulated	Acci	ımulated	OCI into
	Derivative (Effective		OCI into Income	Income (Effective		fective
	Portion)		(Effective Portion)		Portion	1)
	September 30,			:	Septembe	r 30,
Derivatives in FASB ASC Topic 815 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships:	2009	2008			2009	2008

The unamortized balance of the settled interest rate swaps at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$26.3 million and \$7.8 million, respectively.

As of September 30, 2009, the Company had the following outstanding interest rate derivatives that were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk (dollars in thousands):

			Fair
Notional Value	Interest Rate	Maturity	Value
\$ 100,000	5.415%	09/15/20	\$(12,606)
90,700	5.399%	01/15/20	(13,879)
100,000	5.415%	09/15/20	(12,551)
\$ 290,700			\$(39,036)

The table below presents the fair value of the Company s derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 (in thousands):

Liability Derivatives					
As of September 30, 2009 As of December 31, 2008					
Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value		
Derivative instruments	\$ (39,036)	Derivative instruments	\$ (83,691)		
Non-designated Hedges					

The Company does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes and currently does not have any derivatives that are not designated as hedges.

Objectives and Strategies

For \$90.7 million of the remaining Swaps, the Company continues to expect to issue new secured or unsecured debt for a term of 7 to 12 years prior to July 1, 2010. For \$200.0 million of the remaining Swaps, the Company continues to expect to issue new debt for a term of 7 to 12 years during the period between March 30, 2010 and March 30, 2011. The Company continuously monitors the capital markets and evaluates its

ability to issue new debt to repay maturing debt or fund its commitments. Based upon the current capital markets, the Company s current credit

31

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

ratings, and the number of high quality, unencumbered properties that it owns which could collateralize borrowings, the Company expects that it will successfully issue new secured or unsecured debt to fund its obligations. However, in the current environment, interest rates on new issuances are expected to be significantly higher than on historical issuances. An increase of 1.0% in the interest rate of new debt issued, above that of maturing debt, would result in additional annual interest expense of \$3.3 million in addition to the impact of the annual amortization that would be incurred as a result of settling the respective interest rate swaps.

10. Fair Value Measurements Derivative Financial Instruments

The valuation of these instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves, and implied volatilities. The Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty s nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements.

Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by the Company and its counterparties.

As of September 30, 2009 the Company s liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy within which those measurements fall were as follows (in thousands):

		Fair Value Measurements Using:			
		Quoted			
		Prices in			
		Active			
		Markets for	Significant		
		Identical	Other	Significant	
		Liabilties	Observable	Unobservable	
Liabilities	Balance	(Level 1)	Inputs (Level 2)	Inputs (Level 3)	
Derivative instruments	\$ (39,036)		(40,376)	1,340	

Changes in Level 3 inputs are not sufficiently significant to warrant reconciliation for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

Long-lived assets held and used are comprised primarily of real estate and notes receivable. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company established provisions for impairment of \$104.4 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, including \$102.0 million and \$1.1 million, which were recognized during the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The principal triggering event that led to the impairment charges during the third quarter of 2009 related to a prospective anchor tenant for several development sites that

32

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

expressed considerable uncertainty about the timing and location of future stores given the continuation of the weak economy and reductions in consumer spending. As a result, Regency reevaluated its development plans incorporating revisions to its assumptions such as declines in lease up periods, lower rental rates, rising vacancies, and higher capitalization rates. The Company also reduced its overall probability of future development at some of the sites. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company recorded impairments on land held for future development or sale of \$92.7 million and \$93.7 million, respectively, and approximately \$716,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. During 2009, the Company also reduced its estimated holding period and corresponding future cash flows on several operating and development properties as a result of weaker operating environments in the markets in which these properties are located. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company recorded impairments on these properties of \$8.8 million and \$10.2 million, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded impairments on notes receivable of approximately \$465,000 and \$1.1 million, respectively. Additional impairments may be necessary in the future in the event that market conditions continue to deteriorate and impact the factors used to estimate fair value, the Company reduces the holding period on properties held and used, or it decides to classify properties as held for sale where they were previously classified as held and used. See Note 1(c) for a discussion of the inputs used in determining the fair value of long-lived assets. The Company has determined that the inputs used to value its long-lived assets fall within Level 2 and 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

The Company s assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis are those long-lived assets for which the Company has recorded a provision for impairment during 2009. The assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis are as follows:

		Fair Value Measurements Using:							
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable	Significant Unobservable	Total				
Assets	Balance	(Level 1)	Inputs (Level 2)	Inputs (Level 3)	(Losses)				
Long-lived assets held and used:									
Real Estate	\$ 126,100		47,751	78,349	(103,937)				
Note Receivable	414			414	(465)				
Total	\$ 126,514		47,751	78,763	(104,402)				

The following disclosures represent additional fair value measurements of assets and liabilities that are not recognized in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Notes Pavable

The carrying value of the Company s variable rate notes payable and the Unsecured credit facilities are based upon a spread above LIBOR which is lower than the spreads available in the current credit markets, causing the fair value of such variable rate debt to be below its carrying value. The fair value of fixed rate loans are estimated using cash flows discounted at

Table of Contents 45

33

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

current market rates available to the Company for debt with similar terms and maturities. Fixed rate loans assumed in connection with real estate acquisitions are recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements at fair value at the time of acquisition excluding those loans assumed in DIK liquidations. Based on the estimates used by the Company, the fair value of notes payable and the Unsecured credit facilities was approximately \$1.6 billion and \$1.3 billion at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

Noncontrolling Interests

As of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company had 468,211 exchangeable operating partnerships (OP) Units outstanding. The redemption value of the exchangeable OP Units is based on the closing market price of Regency s common stock, which was \$37.05 and \$46.70 per share as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, and the aggregate redemption value was \$17.3 million and \$21.9 million, respectively.

At September 30, 2009, the Company held a majority interest in four consolidated entities with specified termination dates through 2049. The noncontrolling interests in these entities will be settled upon termination by distribution or transfer of either cash or specific assets of the underlying entities. The estimated fair value of the noncontrolling interests in entities with specified termination dates was approximately \$9.1 million and \$9.5 million at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Their related carrying value was \$6.6 million and \$6.3 million as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, which is included within noncontrolling interests of limited partners interests in consolidated partnerships in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

11. Equity Preferred Stock

The Series 3, 4, and 5 preferred shares are perpetual, are not convertible into common stock of the Company, and are redeemable at par upon Regency s election beginning five years after the issuance date. None of the terms of the Preferred Stock contain any unconditional obligations that would require the Company to redeem the securities at any time or for any purpose and the Company does not currently anticipate redeeming any preferred stock. Terms and conditions of the three series of Preferred stock outstanding as of September 30, 2009 are summarized as follows:

	Shares	Liquidation	Distribution	Callable
Series	Outstanding	Preference	Rate	By Company
Series 3	3,000,000	\$ 75,000,000	7.45%	04/03/08
Series 4	5,000,000	125,000,000	7.25%	08/31/09
Series 5	3,000,000	75,000,000	6.70%	08/02/10

11,000,000

\$ 275,000,000

Common Stock

On April 24, 2009, the Company completed a public offering of 10.0 million common shares at \$32.50 per share resulting in proceeds of \$310.9 million, net of issuance costs. The funds were used to pay-off the balance of the Line, which had a balance of \$180.0 million, and the remaining

34

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

amount of approximately \$130.0 million was deposited in the Company s cash accounts to be used for future general working capital needs including repayment of maturing debt, investments in real estate partnership capital calls to the extent required based on the Company s respective ownership interest in such partnership, and costs to complete in-process development projects.

Noncontrolling Interest of Preferred Units

At September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the face value of the Series D Preferred Units was \$50.0 million with a fixed distribution rate of 7.45% and recorded in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets net of original issuance costs of \$842,023 that will be expensed if they are redeemed in the future.

Terms and conditions for the Series D Preferred Units outstanding as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are summarized as follows:

	Amount	Distribution	Callable by	Exchangeable
Units Outstanding	Outstanding	Rate	Company	by Unit holder
500.000	\$ 50.000.000	7.45%	09/29/09	01/01/14

The Preferred Units, which may be called by Regency (through RCLP) at par beginning September 29, 2009, have no stated maturity or mandatory redemption and pay a cumulative, quarterly dividend at a fixed rate. The Preferred Units may be exchanged by the holder for Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (Preferred Stock) at an exchange rate of one unit for one share. The Preferred Units and the related Preferred Stock are not convertible into common stock of the Company.

Noncontrolling Interest of Exchangeable Operating Partnerships Units

As of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company had 468,211 redeemable OP Units outstanding. See Note 10 for further discussion.

Limited partners interests in consolidated partnerships not owned by the Company are classified as noncontrolling interests on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. Subject to certain conditions and pursuant to the conditions of the agreement, the Company has the right, but not the obligation, to purchase the other member s interest or sell its own interest in these consolidated partnerships. At September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company s noncontrolling interest in these consolidated partnerships was \$11.8 million and \$8.0 million, respectively.

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

12. Stock-Based Compensation

The Company recorded stock-based compensation in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, the components of which are further described below (in thousands):

	For the three months ended September 30, 2009 2008				
Restricted stock	\$	1,765	2,655	5,000	13,091
Stock options		ĺ	247	,	741
Directors fees paid in common stock		71	83	228	295
Total	\$	1,836	2,985	5,228	14,127

The recorded amounts of stock-based compensation expense represent amortization of deferred compensation related to share-based payments. During 2009, compensation expense declined as a result of the Company reducing estimated payout amounts related to incentive compensation tied directly to Company performance. Compensation expense specifically identifiable to development and leasing activities is capitalized and included above. During the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, compensation expense of approximately \$391,000 and \$1.3 million, respectively, was capitalized. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, compensation expense of approximately \$1.8 million and \$5.3 million, respectively, was capitalized.

The Company established the Plan under which the Board of Directors may grant stock options and other stock-based awards to officers, directors, and other key employees. The Plan allows the Company to issue up to 5.0 million shares in the form of common stock or stock options, but limits the issuance of common stock excluding stock options to no more than 2.75 million shares. At September 30, 2009, there were approximately 1.9 million shares available for grant under the Plan either through options or restricted stock. The Plan also limits outstanding awards to no more than 12% of outstanding common stock.

Stock options are granted under the Plan with an exercise price equal to the stock s price at the date of grant. All stock options granted have ten-year lives, contain vesting terms of one to five years from the date of grant and some have dividend equivalent rights. Stock options granted prior to 2005 also contained reload rights, which allowed an option holder the right to receive new options each time existing options were exercised, if the existing options were exercised under specific criteria provided for in the Plan. In 2005 and 2007, the Company acquired the reload rights of existing employees and directors stock options from the option holders, substantially canceling all of the reload rights on existing stock options in exchange for new options. These new stock options vest 25% per year and are expensed ratably over a four-year period beginning in year of grant. Options granted under the reload buy-out plan do not earn dividend equivalents.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton closed-form (Black-Scholes) option valuation model. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatility of the Company s stock and other factors. The Company uses historical data and other factors to estimate option exercises and employee terminations within the valuation model. The expected term of options granted is derived from the output of the option valuation model and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The Company believes that the use of the Black-Scholes model meets the fair value measurement objectives of FASB ASC Topic 718 and reflects all substantive characteristics of the instruments being valued. No stock options were granted during 2009.

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

The following table reports stock option activity during the nine months ended September 30, 2009:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Outstanding December 31, 2008	574,027	\$ 51.24		
Less: Exercised	5,020	30.31		
Less: Forfeited	36,113	54.33		
Less: Expired	34,577	48.46		
Outstanding September 30, 2009	498,317	\$ 51.42	4.4	(7,158)
Vested and expected to vest - September 30, 2009	498,317	\$ 51.42	4.4	(7,158)
Exercisable September 30, 2009	493,948	\$ 51.09	4.4	(6,934)

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was approximately \$19,000. As of September 30, 2009, there was approximately \$88,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options granted under the Plan all of which is expected to be recognized in 2009. The Company issues new shares to fulfill option exercises from its authorized shares available.

The following table presents information regarding non-vested option activity during the nine months ended September 30, 2009:

	Non-vested Number of Options	Av Gra	eighted verage int-Date r Value
Non-vested at December 31, 2008	180,020	\$	6.04
Less: Forfeited	2,307		8.78
Less: 2009 Vesting	173,344		5.96
Non-vested at September 30, 2009	4,369	\$	8.78

The Company grants restricted stock under the Plan to its employees as a form of long-term compensation and retention. The terms of each grant vary depending upon the participant s responsibilities and position within the Company. The Company s stock grants can be categorized into three types: (a) 4-year vesting, (b) performance-based vesting, and (c) 8-year cliff vesting.

37

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

The 4-year vesting grants vest 25% per year beginning on the date of grant. These grants are not subject to future performance measures, and if such vesting criteria are not met, the compensation cost previously recognized would be reversed.

Performance-based vesting grants are earned subject to future performance measurements, which include individual goals, annual growth in earnings, compounded three-year growth in earnings, and a three-year total shareholder return peer comparison (TSR Grant). Once the performance criteria are met and the actual number of shares earned is determined, certain shares will vest immediately while others will vest over an additional service period.

The 8-year cliff vesting grants fully vest at the end of the eighth year from the date of grant; however, as a result of the achievement of future performance, primarily growth in earnings, the vesting of these grants may be accelerated over a shorter term.

Performance-based vesting grants and 8-year cliff vesting grants are currently only granted to the Company s senior management. The Company considers the likelihood of meeting the performance criteria based upon managements estimates and analysis of future earnings growth from which it determines the amounts recognized as expense on a periodic basis. The Company determines the grant date fair value of TSR Grants based upon a Monte Carlo Simulation model. Compensation expense is measured at the grant date and recognized over the vesting period.

The following table reports non-vested restricted stock activity during the nine months ended September 30, 2009:

	Number of Shares	,	ntrinsic Value housands)	A	eighted verage Grant Price
Non-vested at December 31, 2008	508,773				
Add: Granted	278,117			\$	39.09
Less: Vested and Distributed	256,000			\$	38.10
Less: Forfeited	29,331			\$	67.21
Non-vested at September 30, 2009	501,559	\$	18,583		

As of September 30, 2009, there was \$14.7 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted stock granted under the Plan, when recognized is recorded in additional paid in capital of the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss). This unrecognized compensation cost is expected to be recognized over the next four years, through 2013. The Company issues new restricted stock from its authorized shares available at the date of grant.

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

13. Earnings per Share

The following summarizes the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively (in thousands except per share data):

		2009	2008
Numerator:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ ((79,763)	44,558
Discontinued operations		1,152	5,640
Net income (loss)	((78,611)	50,198
Less: Preferred stock dividends		4,919	4,919
Less: Noncontrolling interests		562	1,379
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	((84,092)	43,900
Less: Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock		164	299
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders - basic and diluted	\$ ((84,256)	43,601
Denominator:			
Weighted average common shares outstanding for basic EPS		79,928	69,522
Incremental shares to be issued under common stock options		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	90
•			
Weighted average common shares outstanding for diluted EPS		79,928	69,612
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	/-
Income (loss) per common share basic			
Continuing operations	\$	(1.07)	0.55
Discontinued operations		0.02	0.08
•			
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders per share	\$	(1.05)	0.63
(-	(2102)	0.00
Income (loss) per common share diluted			
Continuing operations	\$	(1.07)	0.55
Discontinued operations	Ψ	0.02	0.08
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders per share	\$	(1.05)	0.63
The means (1995) and the desiration stockholders per single	Ψ	(1.05)	0.05

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

The following summarizes the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively (in thousands except per share data):

	2009	2008
Numerator:		
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (70,846)	108,224
Discontinued operations	6,662	13,201
Net income (loss)	(64,184)	121,425
Less: Preferred stock dividends	14,756	14,756
Less: Noncontrolling interests	2,770	4,182
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	(81,710)	102,487
Less: Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock	492	896
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders - basic and diluted	\$ (82,202)	101,591
Denominator:		
Weighted average common shares outstanding for basic EPS	75,602	69,414
Incremental shares to be issued under common stock options	·	113
·		
Weighted average common shares outstanding for diluted EPS	75,602	69,527
	,	,
Income (loss) per common share basic		
Continuing operations	\$ (1.18)	1.27
Discontinued operations	0.09	0.19
•		
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders per share	\$ (1.09)	1.46
F-1	+ (210)	
Income (loss) per common share diluted		
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (1.18)	1.27
Discontinued operations	0.09	0.19
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders per share	\$ (1.09)	1.46
1.22 mesons (1888), management to common decembrates per single	Ψ (1.09)	1.10

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

14. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is involved in litigation on a number of matters and is subject to certain claims which arise in the normal course of business, none of which, in the opinion of management, is expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or liquidity. The Company is also subject to numerous environmental laws and regulations as they apply to real estate pertaining to chemicals used by the dry cleaning industry, the existence of asbestos in older shopping centers, and underground petroleum storage tanks. The Company believes that the tenants who currently operate dry cleaning plants or gas stations do so in accordance with current laws and regulations. The Company has placed environmental insurance, when possible, on specific properties with known contamination, in order to mitigate its environmental risk. The Company monitors the shopping centers containing environmental issues and in certain cases voluntarily remediates the sites. The Company also has legal obligations to remediate certain sites and is in the process of doing so. The Company estimates the cost associated with these legal obligations to be approximately \$2.9 million, all of which has been reserved in accounts payable and other liabilities on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company believes that the ultimate disposition of currently known environmental matters will not have a material effect on its financial position, liquidity, or operations; however, it can give no assurance that existing environmental studies with respect to the shopping centers have revealed all potential environmental liabilities; that any previous owner, occupant or tenant did not create any material environmental condition not known to it; that the current environmental condition of the shopping centers will not be affected by tenants and occupants, by the condition of nearby properties, or by unrelated third parties; or that changes in applicable environmental laws and regulations or thei

15. Restructuring Charges

In March 2009, the Company announced an additional restructuring plan designed to align employee headcount with the Company s projected workload. As a result, the Company recorded restructuring charges of \$2.2 million for employee severance and benefits related to the second employee reduction in force phase which is included in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The restructuring charges included severance benefits for 44 employees with no future service requirement and were funded by September 30, 2009 using cash from operations. The charges for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 associated with the restructuring program are as follows (in thousands):

	Total Restructuring Charge	Payments through September 30, 2009	Accrual at September 30, 2009	Due within 12 months
Severance	\$ 1,913	1,913		
Health insurance	148	148		
Placement services	178	178		
Total	\$ 2.239	2.239		

Table of Contents

Regency Centers Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2009

16. Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the date of filing these financial statements with the SEC on November 6, 2009. See below for related subsequent event disclosures:

On October 27, 2009 Regency finalized the formation of a new co-investment partnership, in which it has an ownership interest of 20%, with United Services Automobile Association (USAA partnership) and simultaneously sold seven Regency shopping centers to the partnership. One additional asset was sold to the partnership on November 3, 2009. The eight assets were sold for \$133.1 million and net proceeds from the sale were approximately \$103.5 million. The partnership agreement contains a clause that provides for each partner s unilateral right to elect to dissolve the partnership and receive a DIK upon liquidation; therefore, the Company will recognize a gain on the sale of the properties by applying the Restricted Gain method.

On November 2, 2009 the Company announced a change in organizational structure and will record approximately \$5.3 million related to this reorganization during the fourth quarter.

42

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Forward-Looking Statements

In addition to historical information, the following information contains forward-looking statements as defined under federal securities laws. These forward-looking statements include statements about anticipated changes in our revenues, the size of our development program, earnings per share, returns and portfolio value, and expectations about our liquidity. These statements are based on current expectations, estimates and projections about the industry and markets in which Regency Centers Corporation (Regency or Company) operates, and management is beliefs and assumptions. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, changes in national and local economic conditions including the impact of a slowing economy; financial difficulties of tenants; competitive market conditions, including timing and pricing of acquisitions and sales of properties and out-parcels; changes in expected leasing activity and market rents; timing of development starts and sales of properties and out-parcels; meeting development schedules; our inability to exercise voting control over the co-investment partnerships through which we own or develop many of our properties; weather; consequences of any armed conflict or terrorist attack against the United States; and the ability to obtain governmental approvals. For additional information, see Risk Factors under Part II Item 1A of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto of Regency Centers Corporation appearing elsewhere within.

Overview of Our Operating Strategy

Regency is a qualified real estate investment trust (REIT), which began operations in 1993. Our primary operating and investment goal is long-term growth in earnings and total shareholder return, which we work to achieve by focusing on a strategy of owning, operating and developing high-quality community and neighborhood shopping centers that are tenanted by market-dominant grocers, category-leading anchors, specialty retailers and restaurants located in areas with above average household incomes and population densities. All of our operating, investing and financing activities are performed through our operating partnership, Regency Centers, L.P. (RCLP or Partnership), RCLP s wholly owned subsidiaries, and through its investments in real estate partnerships with third parties (also referred to as co-investment partnerships or joint ventures). Regency currently owns 99% of the outstanding operating partnership units of RCLP.

At September 30, 2009, we directly owned 224 shopping centers (the Consolidated Properties) located in 24 states representing 23.8 million square feet of gross leasable area (GLA). Our cost of these shopping centers and those under development is \$4.1 billion before depreciation. Through co-investment partnerships, we own partial ownership interests in 185 shopping centers (the Unconsolidated Properties) located in 26 states and the District of Columbia representing 22.1 million square feet of GLA. Our investment in the partnerships that own the Unconsolidated Properties is \$333.6 million. Certain portfolio information described below is presented (a) on a Combined Basis, which is a total of the Consolidated Properties and the Unconsolidated Properties, (b) for our Consolidated Properties only and (c) for the Unconsolidated Properties that we own through co-investment partnerships. We believe that presenting the information under these methods provides a more complete understanding of the properties that we wholly-own versus those that we indirectly own through entities we do not control, but for which we provide asset management, disposition, property management, leasing, investing and financing services. The shopping center portfolio that we manage, on a Combined Basis, represents 409 shopping centers located in 29 states and the District of Columbia and contains 45.9 million square feet of GLA.

We earn revenues and generate cash flow by leasing space in our shopping centers to market-leading grocers, major retail anchors, specialty side-shop retailers, and restaurants, including ground

43

Table of Contents

leasing or selling building pads (out-parcels) to these potential tenants. Historically, we have experienced growth in revenues by increasing occupancy and rental rates at currently owned shopping centers, and by acquiring and developing new shopping centers. Community and neighborhood shopping centers generate substantial daily traffic by conveniently offering necessities and services. This high traffic generates increased sales, thereby driving higher occupancy and rental-rate growth, which we expect will sustain our growth in earnings per share and increase the value of our portfolio over the long term.

We seek a range of strong national, regional and local specialty retailers, for the same reason that we choose to anchor our centers with leading grocers and major retailers who provide a mix of goods and services that meet consumer needs. We have created a formal partnering process, the Premier Customer Initiative (PCI), to promote mutually beneficial relationships with our specialty retailers. The objective of PCI is for us to build a base of specialty tenants who represent the best-in-class operators in their respective merchandising categories. Such retailers reinforce the consumer appeal and other strengths of a center s anchor, help stabilize a center s occupancy, reduce re-leasing downtime, reduce tenant turnover and yield higher sustainable rents.

The recent recession and a continued weak economy have negatively impacted our results. Regency is experiencing slower lease up and less tenant demand for vacant space as well as a higher level of retail store closings and collection losses in our shopping centers. These factors have contributed to a decline in our occupancy percentages and rental revenues.

We are closely monitoring certain national tenants who have negotiated co-tenancy clauses in their lease agreements. Co-tenancy clauses have several variants: they may allow a tenant to postpone a store opening if certain other tenants fail to open their store; they may allow a tenant the opportunity to close their store prior to lease expiration if another tenant closes their store prior to lease expiration; or more commonly, they may allow a tenant to pay reduced levels of rent until a certain number of tenants open their stores within the same shopping center. As the weak economy continues to depress retail sales, we could experience reductions in rent and occupancy related to tenants exercising their co-tenancy clauses. We believe that our investment focus on neighborhood and community shopping centers that conveniently provide daily necessities will help to mitigate the current economy s negative impact on our shopping centers. However, the negative impact could still be significant, especially in our larger format community shopping centers that contain a substantial number of tenant leases with co-tenancy clauses.

We are closely monitoring the operating performance, collections, and tenants—sales in our shopping centers including those tenants operating retail formats that are experiencing significant changes in competition, business practice, reductions in sales and store closings in other locations. We expect as the current economic downturn continues, additional retailers will announce store closings and/or bankruptcies that could affect our shopping centers. We are currently experiencing a higher tenant default rate as compared to previous years primarily related to our local tenants, which are generally defined as tenants operating five or fewer stores, primarily restaurants, fitness centers, dry cleaners and tanning salons, to name a few.

We grow our shopping center portfolio through acquisitions of operating centers and new shopping center development, where we acquire the land and construct the building. Development is customer driven, meaning we generally have an executed lease from the anchor before we start construction. Developments serve the growth needs of our anchors and specialty retailers, resulting in modern shopping centers with long-term anchor leases that produce attractive returns on our invested capital. This development process can require three to five years from initial land or redevelopment acquisition through construction, lease-up and stabilization of rental income, but can take longer depending upon the size of the project. Generally, anchor tenants begin operating their stores prior to the completion of construction of the entire center, resulting in rental income during the development phase.

In the near term, fewer new store openings amongst retailers is resulting in reduced demand for new retail space and causing corresponding reductions in new leasing rental rates and development pre-leasing. As a result, we have significantly reduced our development program by decreasing the number

44

Table of Contents

of new projects started, phasing existing developments that lack retail demand, and decreasing related general and administrative expense. Although our development program will continue to play a part of our long term business strategy, new development projects are being and will always be rigorously evaluated in regard to availability of capital, visibility of tenant demand to achieve a stabilized occupancy, and sufficient investment returns.

We strive to maintain a conservative capital structure, with the objective of maintaining our investment-grade ratings. Our approach is founded on a combination of maintaining a strong balance sheet and capital recycling to fund our future capital commitments and growth. The strength of our balance sheet is directly related to maintaining conservative debt to asset, debt to Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA), and fixed charge coverage ratios. Further, we endeavor to maintain a high percentage of unencumbered assets, currently 82.5% of our total real estate assets, which allows access to the mortgage markets; maintain significant cash balances, \$173.8 million as of September 30, 2009; and maintain significant availability on our \$713.8 million line of credit commitment, which currently has no outstanding balance. Our debt to asset ratio, including our pro-rata share of the debt and assets of unconsolidated partnerships i