IBERIABANK CORP Form 10-Q May 10, 2011 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

Commission File Number 0-25756

IBERIABANK Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Louisiana (State or other jurisdiction of

72-1280718 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification Number)

200 West Congress Street
Lafayette, Louisiana
(Address of principal executive office)

70501 (Zip Code)

(337) 521-4003

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company (as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rule 12b-2).

Large Accelerated Filer x Accelerated Filer

Non-accelerated Filer "Smaller Reporting Company Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes "No"

At May 6, 2011, the Registrant had 27,040,320 shares of common stock, \$1.00 par value, which were issued and outstanding.

IBERIABANK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I.	Financial Information	Page
		_
Item 1.	Financial Statements (unaudited)	2
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010	2 3
	Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010	3 4
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010	5
	Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	41
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	66
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	66
<u>Part II.</u>	Other Information	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	67
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	67
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	67
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	67
Item 4.	(Removed and Reserved)	67
Item 5.	Other Information	67
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	67
Signature	is s	68

1

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

IBERIABANK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(dollars in thousands, except share data)

Assets	(unaudited) March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Cash and due from banks	\$ 144,508	\$ 94,941
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	146,010	242,837
increst-bearing deposits in banks	140,010	242,037
Total cash and cash equivalents	290,518	337,778
Fed funds sold	2,011	9,038
Securities available for sale, at fair value	1,710,326	1,729,794
Securities held to maturity, fair values of \$277,931 and \$291,994, respectively	275,841	290,020
Mortgage loans held for sale	52,732	83,905
Loans covered by loss share agreement	1,519,555	1,582,747
Non-covered loans, net of unearned income	4,602,035	4,452,585
Non-covered toans, net of uncarried meonic	4,002,033	4,432,363
Total loans, net of unearned income	6,121,590	6,035,332
Allowance for loan losses	(149,119)	(136,100)
1.110 (Mail Vo 101 10am 100000)	(1.5,115)	(100,100)
Loans, net	5,972,471	5,899,232
FDIC loss share receivable	689,004	726,871
Premises and equipment, net	231,797	208,403
Goodwill	234,228	234,228
Other assets	486,495	507,497
Total Assets	\$ 9,945,423	\$ 10,026,766
Liabilities		
Deposits:		
Noninterest-bearing	\$ 941,021	\$ 878,768
Interest-bearing	6,918,014	7,036,338
Total deposits	7,859,035	7,915,106
Short-term borrowings	215,537	220,328
Long-term debt	401,506	432,251
Other liabilities	155,621	155,624
	,	,
Total Liabilities	8,631,699	8,723,309
Shareholders Equity		
Common stock, \$1 par value - 50,000,000 shares authorized;		
28,079,841 shares issued	28,080	28,080
Additional paid-in-capital	953,887	956,864

Retained earnings	366,527	361,055
Accumulated other comprehensive income	17,541	14,680
Treasury stock at cost - 1,094,374 and 1,205,228 shares, respectively	(52,311)	(57,222)
Total Shareholders Equity	1,313,724	1,303,457
Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity	\$ 9,945,423	\$ 10,026,766

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

IBERIABANK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (unaudited)

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	For The Three March	
	2011	2010
Interest and Dividend Income		
Loans, including fees	\$ 107,711	\$ 82,479
Mortgage loans held for sale, including fees	858	596
Investment securities:		
Taxable interest	11,431	11,322
Tax-exempt interest	927	1,129
Accretion (amortization) of FDIC loss share receivable, net	(21,913)	1,762
Other	420	332
Total interest and dividend income	99,434	97,620
Interest Expense		
Deposits	18,936	23,055
Short-term borrowings	128	194
Long-term debt	1,622	5,165
Total interest expense	20,686	28,414
Net interest income	78,748	69,206
Provision for loan losses	5,471	13,201
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	73,277	56,005
Noninterest Income		
Service charges on deposit accounts	5,512	5,901
ATM/debit card fee income	2,913	2,325
Income from bank owned life insurance	725	709
Gain on sale of loans, net	8,892	7,373
Gain on acquisition		3,781
Title income	3,810	3,703
Broker commissions	2,642	1,212
Other income	3,801	3,349
Total noninterest income	28,295	28,353
Noninterest Expense	42.620	25.012
Salaries and employee benefits	43,629	35,813
Occupancy and equipment	9,113	7,593
Franchise and shares tax	981	581
Communication and delivery	2,528	2,387
Marketing and business development	2,086	1,455
Data processing	3,019	2,828
Printing, stationery and supplies	830	717

Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-Q

Amortization of acquisition intangibles		1,169		1,010
Professional services		3,127		3,537
Other expenses		15,250		11,079
Total noninterest expense		81,732		67,000
•				
Income before income tax expense		19,840		17,358
Income tax expense		5,193		4,354
•				
Net Income	\$	14,647	\$	13,004
		,-	·	- ,
Earnings Available to Common Shareholders - Basic	\$	14,647	\$	13,004
		,		,
Earnings Allocated to Unvested Restricted Stock		(291)		(252)
		(-)		(-)
Earnings Available to Common Shareholders - Diluted	\$	14,356	\$	12,752
	·	,		,
Earnings per common share - Basic	\$	0.54	\$	0.60
Zurinings per common state. Zuste	Ψ	0.0.	Ψ	0.00
Earnings per common share - Diluted	\$	0.54	\$	0.59
Zm.m.go per common suare Zmarea	Ψ	0.01	Ψ	0.07
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$	0.34	\$	0.34
Cum at the part of the common	Ψ	0.5	Ψ	0.01

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

IBERIABANK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

$CONSOLIDATED \ STATEMENTS \ OF \ SHAREHOLDERS \quad EQUITY \ (unaudited)$

(dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Com	umulated Other prehensive ncome	Treasury Stock	Total
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 22,107	\$ 632,086	\$ 341,621	\$	22,416	\$ (64,015)	\$ 954,215
Comprehensive income:							
Net income			13,004				13,004
Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of taxes					2,574		2,574
Change in fair value of derivatives used for cash flow							
hedges, net of taxes					(102)		(102)
Total comprehensive income							15,476
Cash dividends declared, \$.34 per share			(9,093)				(9,093)
Reissuance of treasury stock under incentive							
compensation plans, net of shares surrendered in							
payment, including tax benefit, 40,049 shares		(207)				806	599
Common Stock Issued	5,973	323,007					328,980
Common stock issued for recognition and retention plan,							
net of shares forfeited		(413)				413	
Share-based compensation cost		1,736					1,736
Balance, March 31, 2010	\$ 28,080	\$ 956,209	\$ 345,532	\$	24,888	\$ (62,796)	\$ 1,291,913
Balance, December 31, 2010	\$ 28,080	\$ 956,864	\$ 361,055	\$	14,680	\$ (57,222)	\$ 1,303,457
Balance, December 31, 2010 Comprehensive income:	\$ 28,080	\$ 956,864	\$ 361,055	\$	14,680	\$ (57,222)	\$ 1,303,457
	\$ 28,080	\$ 956,864	\$ 361,055 14,647	\$	14,680	\$ (57,222)	\$ 1,303,457 14,647
Comprehensive income:	\$ 28,080	\$ 956,864	,	\$	14,680	\$ (57,222)	
Comprehensive income: Net income	\$ 28,080	\$ 956,864	,	\$	14,680	\$ (57,222)	
Comprehensive income: Net income Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale,	\$ 28,080	\$ 956,864	,	\$		\$ (57,222)	14,647
Comprehensive income: Net income Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of taxes	\$ 28,080	\$ 956,864	,	\$		\$ (57,222)	14,647
Comprehensive income: Net income Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of taxes Change in fair value of derivatives used for cash flow	\$ 28,080	\$ 956,864	,	\$	1,872	\$ (57,222)	14,647 1,872
Comprehensive income: Net income Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of taxes Change in fair value of derivatives used for cash flow	\$ 28,080	\$ 956,864	,	\$	1,872	\$ (57,222)	14,647 1,872
Comprehensive income: Net income Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of taxes Change in fair value of derivatives used for cash flow hedges, net of taxes	\$ 28,080	\$ 956,864	,	\$	1,872	\$ (57,222)	14,647 1,872 989
Comprehensive income: Net income Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of taxes Change in fair value of derivatives used for cash flow hedges, net of taxes Total comprehensive income	\$ 28,080	\$ 956,864	14,647	\$	1,872	\$ (57,222)	14,647 1,872 989 17,508
Comprehensive income: Net income Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of taxes Change in fair value of derivatives used for cash flow hedges, net of taxes Total comprehensive income Cash dividends declared, \$.34 per share	\$ 28,080	\$ 956,864	14,647	\$	1,872	\$ (57,222)	14,647 1,872 989 17,508
Comprehensive income: Net income Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of taxes Change in fair value of derivatives used for cash flow hedges, net of taxes Total comprehensive income Cash dividends declared, \$.34 per share Reissuance of treasury stock under incentive	\$ 28,080	\$ 956,864	14,647	\$	1,872	\$ (57,222) 483	14,647 1,872 989 17,508
Comprehensive income: Net income Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of taxes Change in fair value of derivatives used for cash flow hedges, net of taxes Total comprehensive income Cash dividends declared, \$.34 per share Reissuance of treasury stock under incentive compensation plans, net of shares surrendered in	\$ 28,080		14,647	\$	1,872		14,647 1,872 989 17,508 (9,175)
Comprehensive income: Net income Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of taxes Change in fair value of derivatives used for cash flow hedges, net of taxes Total comprehensive income Cash dividends declared, \$.34 per share Reissuance of treasury stock under incentive compensation plans, net of shares surrendered in payment, including tax benefit, 33,353 shares	\$ 28,080		14,647	\$	1,872		14,647 1,872 989 17,508 (9,175)
Comprehensive income: Net income Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of taxes Change in fair value of derivatives used for cash flow hedges, net of taxes Total comprehensive income Cash dividends declared, \$.34 per share Reissuance of treasury stock under incentive compensation plans, net of shares surrendered in payment, including tax benefit, 33,353 shares Common stock issued for recognition and retention plan,	\$ 28,080	(684)	14,647	\$	1,872	483	14,647 1,872 989 17,508 (9,175)
Comprehensive income: Net income Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of taxes Change in fair value of derivatives used for cash flow hedges, net of taxes Total comprehensive income Cash dividends declared, \$.34 per share Reissuance of treasury stock under incentive compensation plans, net of shares surrendered in payment, including tax benefit, 33,353 shares Common stock issued for recognition and retention plan, net of shares forfeited	\$ 28,080	(684) (4,428)	14,647	\$	1,872	483	14,647 1,872 989 17,508 (9,175)

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

4

IBERIABANK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)

	For The The Ended M 2011	
Cash Flows from Operating Activities	2011	2010
Net income	\$ 14,647	\$ 13,004
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	2,875	2,511
Amortization of purchase accounting adjustments	(14,266)	(17,773)
Provision for loan losses	5,471	13,201
Noncash compensation expense	2,135	1,736
Loss on sale of assets	111	65
Gain on sales and calls of investments	(47)	(923)
Gain on sale of OREO	(170)	(300)
Amortization of premium/discount on investments	4,877	3,117
(Benefit) Provision for deferred income taxes	(1,758)	
Mortgage loans held for sale		
Originations and transfers	(287,396)	(293,612)
Proceeds from sales	327,461	293,705
Gain on sale of loans, net	(8,892)	(7,373)
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements	(289)	(460)
Decrease in other assets	4,918	17,886
Other operating activities, net	2,207	20,893
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale		25,828
Proceeds from maturities, prepayments and calls of securities available for sale	110,065	108,781
Purchases of securities available for sale	(92,326)	(122,833)
Proceeds from maturities, prepayments and calls of securities held to maturity	16,199	24,019
Purchases of securities held to maturity	(2,240)	(1,347)
FDIC Reimbursement of recoverable covered asset losses	50,735	31,057
(Increase) decrease in covered and non-covered loans receivable, net,	(72,086)	38,493
Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment	228	298
Purchases of premises and equipment	(26,029)	(8,075)
Proceeds from disposition of real estate owned	13,252	5,182
Other investing activities, net	1,001	2,350
Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Investing Activities	(1,201)	103,753
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Increase in deposits	(55,058)	405,676
Net change in short-term borrowings	(4,791)	(59,611)
Proceeds from long-term debt	492	8,209
Repayments of long-term debt	(29,249)	(13,410)
Dividends paid to common shareholders	(9,137)	(7,051)
Proceeds from issuance of treasury stock for stock options exercised	523	885

Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-Q

Payments to repurchase common stock	(1,012)	(747)
Common stock issued		328,980
Excess tax benefit from share-based payment arrangements	289	460
Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Financing Activities	(97,943)	663,391
Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(47,260)	812,821
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	337,778	175,397
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 290,518	\$ 988,218
Supplemental Schedule of Noncash Activities		
Acquisition of real estate in settlement of loans	\$ 27,956	\$ 9,207
requisition of real estate in settlement of loans	Ψ 21,730	Ψ 2,201
Exercise of stock options with payment in company stock	\$	\$
Supplemental Disclosures		
Cash paid for:		
Interest on deposits and borrowings	\$ 21,828	\$ 28,280
Income taxes, net	\$ 12,232	\$ 427

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

IBERIABANK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Note 1 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include information or footnotes necessary for a complete presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and note disclosures for IBERIABANK Corporation (the Company) previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (The SEC) in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Principles of Consolidation

The unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of IBERIABANK Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiaries, IBERIABANK, Lenders Title Company (LTC), IBERIA Capital Partners LLC (ICP), IB Aircraft Holdings, LLC, IBERIA Asset Management Inc. (IAM), and IBERIA CDE, LLC (CDE). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. All normal, recurring adjustments which, in the opinion of management are necessary for a fair presentation of the financial statements, have been included. Certain amounts reported in prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Nature of Operations

The Company offers commercial and retail banking products and services to customers throughout locations in six states through IBERIABANK. The Company also operates mortgage production offices in twelve states through IBERIABANK Mortgage Company (IMC), and offers a full line of title insurance and closing services throughout Arkansas and Louisiana through LTC and its subsidiaries. ICP provides equity research, institutional sales and trading, and corporate finance services. IB Aircraft Holdings, LLC owns a fractional share of an aircraft used by management of the Company and its subsidiaries. IAM provides wealth management and trust services for commercial and private banking clients. CDE is utilized to purchase tax credits.

Segments

In prior years, the Company strategically managed and reported the results of its business through three operating segment levels: IBERIABANK, IBERIABANK fsb, and LTC. The Company s IBERIABANK and IBERIABANK fsb segments offered commercial and retail banking products and services to customers throughout locations in six states. IBERIABANK provided these products and services in Louisiana, Alabama, and Florida, while IBERIABNK fsb provided similar services in Arkansas, Tennessee, and Texas. As a Louisiana-chartered commercial bank and a member of the Federal Reserve System, IBERIABANK is subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Office of Financial Institutions of the State of Louisiana, IBERIABANK s chartering authority, and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the FRB), IBERIABANK s primary federal regulator. As a federal savings association, IBERIABANK fsb was subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Office of Thrift Supervision (the OTS).

The IBERIABANK and IBERIABANK fsb segments were considered reportable segments based on quantitative thresholds applied for reportable segments provided by ASC Topic 280, and were disclosed separately. The Company s LTC segment did not meet the thresholds provided, but was reported because management believed information about this segment would be useful to readers of the Company s consolidated financial statements. A fourth reportable column, entitled Other, included the results of operations and financial condition of the Company s other subsidiaries, as well as the activities of the Company s holding company, which include corporate business activities, including payment of employee salary and benefits and marketing, business development, legal, professional, and other corporate expenses. Certain expenses not directly attributable to a specific segment were allocated to segments based on pre-determined means that reflected utilization.

Upon the merger of the Company s two financial institution subsidiaries, IBERIABANK and IBERIABANK *fsb*, at the close of business on December 31, 2010, all of the Company s banking operations are considered by management to be aggregated in one reportable operating segment. Because the overall banking operations comprise substantially all of the consolidated operations and none of the Company s other subsidiaries, either individually or in the aggregate, meet quantitative materiality thresholds provided by ASC Topic 280, no separate segment disclosures are presented in these unaudited consolidated financial statements

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are susceptible to significant change in the near term are the allowance for loan losses, valuation of and accounting for loans covered by loss sharing arrangements with the FDIC and the related loss share receivable, valuation of goodwill, intangible assets and other purchase accounting adjustments, and share-based compensation.

6

Note 2 Recent Accounting Pronouncements

International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS)

In November 2009, the SEC issued a proposed roadmap regarding the potential use by U.S. issuers of financial statements prepared in accordance with IFRS. IFRS is a comprehensive series of accounting standards published by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). Under the proposed roadmap, the Company may be required to prepare financial statements in accordance with IFRS as early as 2014. The SEC will make a determination later in 2011 regarding the mandatory adoption of IFRS. The Company is currently assessing the impact that this potential change would have on its operating results and financial condition, and will continue to monitor the development of the potential implementation of IFRS.

ASU No. 2010-20, ASU No. 2011-01, and ASU No. 2011-02

In 2010, the Company adopted the provisions of Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2010-20, *Receivables (Topic 310): Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses*, which require the Company to provide new disclosures in its financial statements to improve the transparency of financial reporting by requiring enhanced disclosures about the Company s allowance for credit losses as well as the credit quality of the Company s loan portfolio. The additional disclosures required are incorporated in Notes 6 and 7 in these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

In January 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued ASU No. 2011-01, *Receivables (Topic 310): Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20,* that temporarily delays the effective date of the disclosures about troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) that are included in ASU No. 2010-20. The TDR disclosure guidance will be coordinated with the FASB s proposed guidance for determining what constitutes a TDR and is currently anticipated to be effective for interim and annual periods ending after July 15, 2011.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-02, *Receivables (Topic 310): A Creditor s Determination of Whether a Restructuring is a Troubled Debt Restructuring*, which clarifies the evaluation criteria a creditor should use when evaluating whether a credit restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. In order to be a troubled debt restructuring, a creditor must separately conclude that a) the restructuring constitutes a concession and b) the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The ASU further clarifies the guidance on a creditor s evaluation of whether it has granted a concession. The ASU is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and will be applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. The clarification in this ASU may result in the Company identifying more loan modifications as troubled debt restructurings, which may impact the Company s provision for loan losses and allowance for loan losses in future periods. Although the Company does not believe the ASU represents a material departure from the Company s current policy on identifying TDRs, the Company is currently assessing the impact that this guidance would have on its operating results, financial condition, and required disclosures.

ASU No. 2010-29

In January 2011, the Company adopted the provisions of ASU No. 2010-29, *Business Combinations (Topic 805): Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations*, which provides guidance on the disclosures reported in an entity s financial statements regarding business acquisitions and clarifies the acquisition date that should be used for reporting the pro forma financial information disclosures in Topic 805 when comparative financial statements are presented. The ASU specifies that if an entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination or combinations that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The amendments in this ASU also expand the supplemental pro forma disclosures currently required under Topic 805 to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings.

Upon completion of the Company s acquisition of OMNI BANCSHARES, Inc. and Cameron Bancshares, Inc., the Company will provide the additional disclosures required in its consolidated financial statements.

Note 3 Earnings Per Share

ASC Topic 260 clarifies share-based payment awards that entitle holders to receive non-forfeitable dividends before vesting should be considered participating securities and thus included in the calculation of basic earnings per share. These awards are included in the calculation of basic earnings per share under the two-class method. The two-class method allocates earnings for the period between common shareholders and other security holders. The participating awards receiving dividends will be allocated the same amount of income as if they were outstanding

shares.

7

The following table presents the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

	For	the Three Montl 2011	ns En	ded March 31, 2010
Income available to common shareholders	\$	14,647,000	\$	13,004,000
Distributed and undistributed earnings to unvested restricted stock		(306,000)		(238,000)
Distributed and undistributed earnings to common shareholders				
Basic ⁽¹⁾		14,341,000		12,766,000
Undistributed earnings reallocated to unvested restricted stock		15,000		(14,000)
Distributed and undistributed earnings to common shareholders				
Diluted	\$	14,356,000	\$	12,752,000
Weighted average shares outstanding- Basic ⁽³⁾		26,845,124		21,928,397
Weighted average shares outstanding- Diluted		26,560,866		21,690,564
Earnings per common share Basíè	\$	0.54	\$	0.60
Earnings per common share Diluted	\$	0.54	\$	0.59
Earnings per unvested restricted stock share Basic ⁽²⁾	\$	0.62	\$	0.49
Earnings per unvested restricted stock share Diluted	\$	0.59	\$	0.52

- (1) Total earnings available to common shareholders include distributed earnings of \$8,983,000, or \$0.34 per weighted average share, and undistributed earnings of \$5,358,000, or \$0.20 per weighted average share for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Total earnings available to common shareholders include distributed earnings of \$8,930,000, or \$0.42 per weighted average share, and undistributed earnings of \$3,836,000, or \$0.18 per weighted average share for the three months ended March 31, 2010.
- (2) Total earnings available to unvested restricted stock include distributed earnings of \$192,000, or \$0.39 per weighted average share, and undistributed earnings of \$114,000, or \$0.23 per weighted average share, under the two-class method for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Total earnings available to unvested restricted stock include distributed earnings of \$167,000, or \$0.34 per weighted average share, and undistributed earnings of \$72,000, or \$0.15 per weighted average share, under the two-class method for the three months ended March 31, 2010.
- (3) Weighted average basic shares outstanding include 492,956 and 481,975 shares of unvested restricted stock for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

For the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the calculations for basic shares outstanding exclude: (a) the weighted average shares owned by the Recognition and Retention Plan (RRP) of 559,388 and 538,879, respectively, and (b) the weighted average shares in Treasury Stock of 1,168,286 and 1,352,354, respectively.

The effect from the assumed exercise of 562,626 and 397,696 stock options was not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the quarters ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, because such amounts would have had an antidilutive effect on earnings per share.

Note 4 Acquisition Activity

Acquisition of OMNI BANCSHARES, Inc.

On February 22, 2011, the Company announced the signing of a definitive agreement to acquire OMNI BANCSHARES, Inc. (OMNI), the holding company of OMNI BANK, headquartered in Metairie, Louisiana with 14 offices in the New Orleans and Baton Rouge, LA markets. Based on available information, at March 31, 2011, OMNI had total assets of \$736 million, including total loans of \$510 million, and total deposits of \$646 million.

Under terms of the agreement, shareholders of OMNI will receive 0.3313 shares of the Company s common stock per share of OMNI common stock, subject to certain adjustments. The agreement has been approved by the Board of Directors of each company and is expected to close in the second quarter of 2011. Completion of the transaction is subject to customary closing conditions, including the receipt of required regulatory approvals and the approval of OMNI shareholders.

An estimate of the impact of the acquisition on the Company s subsequent consolidated financial statements cannot be made at this time.

Purchase of certain assets of Florida Trust Company

On February 22, 2011, the Company announced the signing of a definitive agreement for IBERIABANK to purchase certain assets of the Florida Trust Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank of Florida Corporation. Florida Trust Company operates offices in Naples and Ft. Lauderdale, Florida. The Florida Trust Company will become part of the trust and asset management division of IBERIABANK.

Under terms of the agreement, IBERIABANK will pay the Bank of Florida Corporation \$0.7 million and a contingent payment of up to \$0.7 million for the acquisition of the assets of Florida Trust Company. The contingent payment will be paid approximately one year after the consummation of the transaction and will be determined based on the amount of revenue realized by IBERIABANK during that period generated from the former Florida Trust Company clients.

An estimate of the impact of the acquisition on the Company s subsequent consolidated financial statements cannot be made at this time.

Acquisition of Cameron Bancshares, Inc.

On March 11, 2011, the Company announced the signing of a definitive agreement to acquire Cameron Bancshares, Inc. (Cameron), the holding company of Cameron State Bank, headquartered in Lake Charles, Louisiana, with 22 offices and 48 ATMs in the Lake Charles region. Based on available information, at March 31, 2011, Cameron had total assets of \$723 million, including total loans of \$395 million, and total deposits of \$588 million.

Under terms of the agreement, shareholders of Cameron will receive 3.464 shares of the Company s common stock per share of Cameron common stock, subject to certain adjustments. The agreement has been approved by the Board of Directors of each company and is expected to close in the second quarter of 2011. Completion of the transaction is subject to customary closing conditions, including the receipt of required regulatory approvals and the approval of Cameron shareholders.

An estimate of the impact of the acquisition on the Company s subsequent consolidated financial statements cannot be made at this time.

9

Note 5 Investment Securities

The amortized cost and fair values of investment securities, with gross unrealized gains and losses, consist of the following:

		Gross	Gross	
(dollars in thousands)	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	T
March 31, 2011	Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value
Securities available for sale:				
U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations	\$ 413,207	\$ 1,887	\$ (3,519)	\$ 411,575
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	37,253	635	(237)	37,651
Mortgage backed securities	1,246,892	19,138	(7,874)	1,258,156
Other securities	2,882	62		2,944
Total securities available for sale	\$ 1,700,234	\$ 21,722	\$ (11,630)	\$ 1,710,326
Securities held to maturity:		,		
U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations	\$ 180,402	\$ 1,933	\$ (88)	\$ 182,247
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	63,496	572	(1,047)	63,021
Mortgage backed securities	31,943	720	(1,017)	32,663
Hongage backed securities	31,713	720		32,003
Total securities held to maturity	\$ 275,841	\$ 3,225	\$ (1,135)	\$ 277,931
December 31, 2010				
Securities available for sale:				
U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations	\$ 424,180	\$ 2,414	\$ (3,794)	\$ 422,800
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	39,896	668	(395)	40,169
Mortgage backed securities	1,255,624	19,508	(11,263)	1,263,869
Other securities	2,882	74		2,956
Total securities available for sale	\$ 1,722,582	\$ 22,664	\$ (15,452)	\$ 1,729,794
Securities held to maturity:				
U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations	\$ 180,479	\$ 2,549	\$ (68)	\$ 182,960
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	75,768	480	(1,728)	74,520
Mortgage backed securities	33,773	741		34,514
Total securities held to maturity	\$ 290,020	\$ 3,770	\$ (1,796)	\$ 291,994

Securities with carrying values of \$1,322,707,000 and \$1,230,358,000 were pledged to secure public deposits and other borrowings at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least quarterly, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. Consideration is given to 1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost, 2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and 3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value above amortized cost. In analyzing an issuer s financial condition, management considers whether the securities are issued by the federal government or its agencies, whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred, and industry analysts reports.

Information pertaining to securities with gross unrealized losses at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous loss position, follows:

	Less Than Twelve Months Gross				Over Twelve Months Gross			Total Gross			
(dollars in thousands)	Uı	nrealized Losses		Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	_	nrealized Losses		Fair Value	
March 31, 2011		205505		, arac	200000	, 4140		205565		, uiu	
Securities available for sale:											
U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations	\$	(3,519)	\$	180,591	\$	\$	\$	(3,519)	\$	180,591	
Obligations of state and political subdivisions		(237)		5,350				(237)		5,350	
Mortgage backed securities		(7,350)		560,539	(524)	18,635		(7,874)		579,174	
Total securities available for sale	\$	(11,106)	\$	746,480	\$ (524)	\$ 18,635	\$	(11,630)	\$	765,115	
Securities held to maturity:											
U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations	\$	(88)	\$	7,080	\$	\$	\$	(88)	\$	7,080	
Obligations of state and political subdivisions		(881)		32,123	(166)	1,163		(1,047)		33,286	
Mortgage backed securities											
Total securities held to maturity	\$	(969)	\$	39,203	\$ (166)	\$ 1,163	\$	(1,135)	\$	40,366	
December 31, 2010											
Securities available for sale:											
U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations	\$	(3,794)	\$	195,785	\$	\$	\$	(3,794)	\$	195,785	
Obligations of state and political subdivisions		(395)		6,771				(395)		6,771	
Mortgage backed securities		(10,678)		528,280	(585)	20,908		(11,263)		549,188	
Total securities available for sale	\$	(14,867)	\$	730,836	\$ (585)	\$ 20,908	\$	(15,452)	\$	751,744	
Securities held to maturity:		, , , ,		,	. ()	, ,		, , ,		,	
Securities held to maturity: U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise obligations	\$	(68)	\$	7,075	\$	\$	\$	(68)	\$	7,075	
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	Ф	(1,526)	Φ	36,646	(202)	1,128	ф	(1,728)	φ	37,774	
Mortgage backed securities		(1,320)		30,040	(202)	1,120		(1,720)		31,114	
mongage backed securities											
Total securities held to maturity	\$	(1,594)	\$	43,721	\$ (202)	\$ 1,128	\$	(1,796)	\$	44,849	

At March 31, 2011, 131 debt securities have unrealized losses of 1.6% of the securities—amortized cost basis and 0.6% of the Company—s total amortized cost basis. The unrealized losses for each of the 131 securities relate to market interest rate changes. Seven of the 131 securities have been in a continuous loss position for over twelve months. These seven securities have an aggregate amortized cost basis and unrealized loss of \$20,488,000 and \$690,000, respectively. The seven securities were issued by either Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) or by state and political subdivisions (Municipals). The Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac securities are rated AAA.

At December 31, 2010, 142 debt securities have unrealized losses of 2.1% of the securities—amortized cost basis and 0.9% of the Company—s total amortized cost basis. The unrealized losses for each of the 142 securities relate to market interest rate changes. Ten of the 142 securities have been in a continuous loss position for over twelve months. These ten securities have an aggregate amortized cost basis and unrealized loss of \$22,822,000 and \$787,000, respectively. The ten securities were issued by either Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) or by state and political subdivisions (Municipals). The Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac securities are rated AAA.

The Company has assessed the nature of the losses in its portfolio as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 to determine if there are losses that are deemed other-than-temporary. In its analysis of these securities, management considered numerous factors to determine whether there were instances where the amortized cost basis of the debt securities would not be fully recoverable, including, but not limited to:

the length of time and extent to which the fair value of the securities was less than their amortized cost,

whether adverse conditions were present in the operations, geographic area, or industry of the issuer,

the payment structure of the security, including scheduled interest and principal payments, including the issuer s failures to make scheduled payments, if any, and the likelihood of failure to make scheduled payments in the future,

changes to the rating of the security by a rating agency, and

subsequent recoveries or additional declines in fair value after the balance sheet date.

11

Management believes it has considered these factors, as well as all relevant information available, when determining the expected future cash flows of the securities in question. Except for the bond discussed below, in each instance, management has determined the cost basis of the securities would be fully recoverable. Management also has the intent and ability to hold debt securities until their maturity or anticipated recovery if the security is classified as available for sale. In addition, management does not believe the Company will be required to sell debt securities before the anticipated recovery of the amortized cost basis of the security.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value by maturity of investment securities at March 31, 2011 are shown in the following table. Securities are classified according to their contractual maturities without consideration of principal amortization, potential prepayments or call options. Accordingly, actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities.

	Weighted	Securities Available for S	ale	Weighted	Securities Held to Matur	ity
(dollars in thousands)	Average Yield	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Average Yield	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Within one year or less	0.91%	\$ 35,600	\$ 35,737	4.02%	\$ 963	\$ 967
One through five years	2.00	284,555	286,263	2.12	185,772	187,718
After five through ten years	2.64	533,076	537,043	3.36	23,969	24,132
Over ten years	2.76	847,003	851,283	3.24	65,137	65,114
Totals	2.56%	\$ 1,700,234	\$ 1,710,326	2.50%	\$ 275,841	\$ 277,931

The following is a summary of realized gains and losses from the sale of securities classified as available for sale.

(dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ender 2011	d March 31, 2010
Realized gains Realized losses	\$ 1	\$ 922
Net realized gains (losses)	\$ 1	\$ 922

In addition to the gains above, the Company realized certain immaterial gains on the calls of held to maturity securities.

The following is a summary of the changes in other comprehensive income (OCI) as a result of investment portfolio activity.

(dollars in thousands)	Three Mo March 31, 2011	 ded ch 31, 2010
Balance at beginning of year, net	\$ 5,310	\$ 10,376
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale	2,882	4,882
Reclassification adjustment for net (gains) losses realized in net		
income	(1)	(922)
Net unrealized gain (loss)	2,881	3,960
Tax effect	1,008	1,386
Net of tax change	1,873	2,574
Balance at end of period, net	\$ 7,183	\$ 12,950

Note 6 Loans Receivable

Loans receivable at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consist of the following:

(dollars in thousands)	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Residential mortgage loans:		
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 576,169	\$ 616,550
Construction/ Owner Occupied	14,742	14,822
Total residential mortgage loans	590,911	631,372
Commercial loans:		
Real estate	2,679,814	2,647,107
Business	1,572,642	1,515,856
Total commercial loans	4,252,456	4,162,963
Consumer loans:		
Indirect automobile	247,234	255,322
Home equity	878,650	834,840
Other	152,339	150,835
Total consumer loans	1,278,223	1,240,997
Total loans receivable	\$ 6,121,590	\$ 6,035,332

In 2009, the Company acquired substantially all of the assets and liabilities of CapitalSouth Bank, and certain assets and assumed certain deposit and other liabilities of Orion Bank and Century Bank. In 2010, the Company acquired certain assets and assumed certain deposit and other liabilities of Sterling Bank. The loans and foreclosed real estate that were acquired in these transactions are covered by loss share agreements between the FDIC and IBERIABANK, which afford IBERIABANK significant loss protection. Under the loss share agreements, the FDIC will cover 80% of covered loan and foreclosed real estate losses up to certain thresholds for all four acquisitions and 95% of losses that exceed those thresholds for CSB, Orion, and Century only.

Because of the loss protection provided by the FDIC, the risks of the CSB, Orion, Century, and Sterling loans and foreclosed real estate are significantly different from those assets not covered under the loss share agreement. Accordingly, the Company presents loans subject to the loss share agreement as covered loans in the information below and loans that are not subject to the loss share agreement as non-covered loans.

Non-covered Loans

The following is a summary of the major categories of non-covered loans outstanding.

((lol	ars	in	thousan	ds)
----	-----	-----	----	---------	-----

Non-covered Loans:	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Residential mortgage loans:	2011	2010
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 329,564	\$ 355,164
Construction/ Owner Occupied	14,742	14,822
·		
Total residential mortgage loans	344,306	369,986
Commercial loans:	1.040.555	1.501.544
Real estate	1,842,777	1,781,744
Business	1,412,549	1,341,352
Total commercial loans	3,255,326	3,123,096
Consumer loans:		
Indirect automobile	247,234	255,322
Home equity	608,129	555,749
Other	147,040	148,432
	,	•
Total consumer loans	1,002,403	959,503
Total non-covered loans receivable	\$ 4,602,035	\$ 4,452,585

The following tables provide an analysis of the aging of non-covered loans as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

(dellows in thousands)		Past Due (1)	Cmaatam			Total non- covered loans,	Recorded
(dollars in thousands)	30-59 days	60-89 days	Greater than 90 days	Total past due	Current	net of unearned income	investment > 90 days and accruing
March 31, 2011	·	·					
Residential							
Prime	\$ 1,228	\$ 2,156	\$ 6,592	\$ 9,976	\$ 334,330	\$ 344,306	\$ 385
Subprime							
Commercial							
Real Estate - Construction		221	4,178	4,399	241,110	245,509	
Real Estate - Other	8,218	536	39,405	48,159	1,549,109	1,597,268	69
Commercial Business	268	132	2,285	2,685	1,409,864	1,412,549	
Consumer							
Indirect Automobile	806	58	962	1,826	245,408	247,234	
Home Equity	1,630	62	1,182	2,874	605,255	608,129	
Credit Card	104	131	411	646	39,723	40,369	
Other	217	71	5,473	5,761	100,910	106,671	
Total	\$ 12,471	\$ 3,367	\$ 60,488	\$ 76,326	\$ 4,525,709	\$ 4,602,035	\$ 454

(1) Past due loans include loans on nonaccrual status as of the period indicated. Nonaccrual loans are presented separately in the Nonaccrual loans section below.

Included in certain loan categories in the table above are troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) of \$23,579,000 at March 31, 2011. Of that amount, \$817,000 were current and \$22,762,000 were past due.

All TDRs at March 31, 2011 were commercial loans, including \$997,000 of construction loans, \$20,712,000 of commercial real estate loans, and \$1,870,000 of commercial business loans.

14

Table of Contents							
(dollars in thousands)	30-59 days	Past Due 60-89 days	Greater than 90 days	Total past due	Current	Total loans, net of unearned income	Recorded investment > 90 days and accruing
December 31, 2010							
Residential							
Prime	\$ 421	\$ 1,002	\$ 6,196	\$ 7,620	\$ 362,366	\$ 369,986	\$ 280
Subprime							
Commercial							
Real Estate - Construction		486	9,850	10,336	254,912	265,248	13
Real Estate - Other	3,568	1,975	24,788	30,331	1,486,165	1,516,496	1,018
Commercial Business	406		1,993	2,399	1,338,953	1,341,352	144
Consumer							
Indirect Automobile	1,002	165	1,046	2,213	253,109	255,322	
Home Equity	2,464	1,199	986	4,648	551,101	555,749	
Credit Card	146	94	378	618	42,298	42,916	
Other	303	80	5,713	6,096	99,420	105,516	
Total	\$ 8.310	\$ 5,001	\$ 50.950	\$ 64.261	\$ 4.388.324	\$ 4,452,585	\$ 1.455

Included in certain loan categories in the table above are troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) of \$17,471,000 at December 31, 2010. Of that amount, \$10,215,000 were current and \$7,257,000 were past due.

All TDRs at December 31, 2010 were commercial loans, including \$1,047,000 of construction loans, \$16,368,000 of commercial real estate loans, and \$56,000 of commercial business loans.

Nonaccrual Loans

Interest income on loans is accrued over the term of the loans based on the principal balance outstanding. Loan origination fees, net of certain direct origination costs, are deferred and recognized as an adjustment of the related loan yield, using the effective interest method.

The accrual of interest on loans is discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days delinquent unless the credit is well-secured and in process of collection. Mortgage, credit card and other personal loans are typically charged down to net collateral value, less cost to sell, no later than 180 days past due. Past due status is based on the contractual terms of loans. In all cases, loans are placed on nonaccrual status or charged off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. The impairment loss is measured on a loan by loan basis for commercial and construction loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate, the loan s observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

In general, all interest accrued but not collected for loans that are placed on nonaccrual status or charged off is reversed against interest income. Interest on nonaccrual loans is accounted for on the cash-basis method or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for a return to accrual status. Loans are returned to accrual status when all principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

The following table provides an analysis of non-covered loans on nonaccrual status.

(dollars in thousands)	March 31, 2011		Dec	December 31, 2010	
Residential					
Prime	\$	6,207	\$	5,916	
Subprime					
Commercial					
Real Estate - Construction		4,178		9,837	
Real Estate- Other		39,336		23,770	
Business		2,285		1,849	
Consumer					
Indirect Automobile		962		1,046	
Home Equity		1,182		986	
Credit Card		411		378	
Other		5,473		5,714	
Total	\$	60,034	\$	49,496	

The nonaccrual loans in the table above include \$23,523,000 and \$2,504,000 of TDRs on nonaccrual status at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Covered Loans

Loans acquired are recorded at fair value in accordance with the fair value methodology prescribed in ASC Topic 820, exclusive of the shared-loss agreements with the FDIC. The fair value estimates associated with the loans include estimates related to the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows, as well as the appropriate discount rate. At the time of acquisition, the Company estimated the fair value of the total acquired loan portfolio by segregating the total portfolio into loan pools with similar characteristics, which included:

whether the loan was performing according to contractual terms at the time of acquisition

the loan type based on regulatory reporting guidelines, namely whether the loan was a mortgage, consumer, or commercial loan

the nature of collateral

the interest rate type, whether fixed or variable rate

the loan payment type, primarily whether the loan was amortizing or interest-only

From these pools, the Company used certain loan information, including outstanding principal balance, estimated expected losses, weighted average maturity, weighted average term to re-price (if a variable rate loan), weighted average margin, and weighted average interest rate to estimate the expected cash flow for each loan pool.

Over the life of the acquired loans, the Company continues to estimate cash flows expected to be collected on each loan pool. The Company evaluates, at each balance sheet date, whether the present value of the cash flows from the loan pools, determined using the effective interest rates, has decreased and if so, recognizes a provision for loan loss in its consolidated statement of income. For any increases in cash flows

expected to be collected, the Company adjusts the amount of accretable yield recognized on a prospective basis over the loan s or pool s remaining life. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company increased its allowance for loan losses \$8,554,000 to reserve for estimated additional losses in a limited number of loan pools at March 31, 2011. The increase in the allowance was recorded by a charge to the provision for loan losses of \$1,770,000 and an increase of \$6,784,000 in the indemnification asset for the portion of the losses recoverable from the FDIC in accordance with the loss sharing agreements.

16

The carrying amount of the acquired covered loans at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consisted of loans determined to be impaired at the time of acquisition, which are accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic 310-30, and loans that were considered to be performing at the acquisition date, accounted for by analogy to ASC Topic 310-30, as detailed in the following tables.

(dollars in thousands)	ASC	M	Iarch 31, 2011		
Covered loans	310-30 Loans	Non	-ASC 310-30 Loans	To	tal Covered Loans
Residential mortgage loans:					
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 48,268	\$	198,337	\$	246,605
Total residential mortgage loans	48,268		198,337		246,605
Commercial loans:					
Real estate	164,780		672,257		837,037
Business	5,766		154,327		160,093
Total commercial loans	170,546		826,584		997,130
Consumer loans:					
Home equity	57,053		213,468		270,521
Other	935		4,364		5,299
Total consumer loans	57,988		217,832		275,820
Total covered loans receivable	\$ 276,802	\$	1,241,753	\$	1,519,555
(dollars in thousands)	ASC	Dec	cember 31, 2010		
	310-30		-ASC 310-30	То	tal Covered
Covered loans				То	tal Covered Loans
	310-30		-ASC 310-30	To	
Covered loans Residential mortgage loans:	310-30 Loans	Non	-ASC 310-30 Loans		Loans
Covered loans Residential mortgage loans: Residential 1-4 family	310-30 Loans \$ 50,566	Non	1-ASC 310-30 Loans 210,820		Loans 261,386
Covered loans Residential mortgage loans: Residential 1-4 family Total residential mortgage loans	310-30 Loans \$ 50,566	Non	1-ASC 310-30 Loans 210,820		Loans 261,386
Covered loans Residential mortgage loans: Residential 1-4 family Total residential mortgage loans Commercial loans:	310-30 Loans \$ 50,566 50,566	Non	210,820 210,820		Loans 261,386 261,386
Covered loans Residential mortgage loans: Residential 1-4 family Total residential mortgage loans Commercial loans: Real estate	310-30 Loans \$ 50,566 50,566	Non	210,820 210,820 719,032		Loans 261,386 261,386 865,363
Covered loans Residential mortgage loans: Residential 1-4 family Total residential mortgage loans Commercial loans: Real estate Business Total commercial loans	310-30 Loans \$ 50,566 50,566 146,331 6,119	Non	210,820 210,820 210,820 719,032 168,385		261,386 261,386 865,363 174,504
Covered loans Residential mortgage loans: Residential 1-4 family Total residential mortgage loans Commercial loans: Real estate Business	310-30 Loans \$ 50,566 50,566 146,331 6,119	Non	210,820 210,820 210,820 719,032 168,385		261,386 261,386 865,363 174,504
Covered loans Residential mortgage loans: Residential 1-4 family Total residential mortgage loans Commercial loans: Real estate Business Total commercial loans Consumer loans:	310-30 Loans \$ 50,566 50,566 146,331 6,119 152,450	Non	210,820 210,820 210,820 719,032 168,385 887,417		261,386 261,386 865,363 174,504 1,039,867
Covered loans Residential mortgage loans: Residential 1-4 family Total residential mortgage loans Commercial loans: Real estate Business Total commercial loans Consumer loans: Home equity	310-30 Loans \$ 50,566 50,566 146,331 6,119 152,450	Non	210,820 210,820 210,820 719,032 168,385 887,417		261,386 261,386 865,363 174,504 1,039,867 279,091

Included in certain loan categories in the table above are troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) of \$79,994,000 at March 31, 2011. Of that amount, \$28,629,000 were current and \$51,365,000 were past due. Of the past due TDRs, \$40,414,000 were on nonaccrual status at March 31, 2011.

At March 31, 2011, the Company had \$10,351,000 in mortgage loans, \$48,512,000 in commercial real estate loans, \$2,333,000 in commercial business loans, \$18,755,000 in home equity loans, and \$43,000 in other consumer loans classified as TDRs.

ASC 310-30 loans

The Company acquired certain impaired loans through the CSB, Orion, Century, Pulaski Investment Corporation (PIC), and Pocahontas Bancorp, Inc. (Pocahontas) acquisitions which are subject to ASC Topic 310-30. The Company s allowance for loan losses for all acquired loans subject to ASC Topic 310-30 would reflect only those losses incurred after acquisition.

The carrying amount of the loans acquired during 2010 are detailed in the following table.

17

(dollars in thousands)	Acquired Impaired Loans	Acquired Performing Loans	Total Covered Loans
Contractually required principal and interest at acquisition	\$ 49,823	\$ 205,154	\$ 254,977
Nonaccretable difference (expected losses and foregone interest)	(30,890)	(61,836)	(92,726)
Cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition	18,933	143,318	162,251
Accretable yield	(207)	(10,843)	(11,050)
Basis in acquired loans at acquisition	\$ 18,726	\$ 132,475	\$ 151,201 ⁽¹⁾

(1) Excludes overdraft balances included in total loans at the acquisition date.

The following is a summary of changes in the accretable yields of acquired loans during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

(dollars in thousands) March 31, 2011	Acquired Impaired Loans	Acquired Performing Loans	-	Total uired Loan Portfolio
,				
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 82,381	\$ 626,190	\$	708,571
Decrease in expected cash flows based on actual cash flow and				
changes in cash flow assumptions	(8,840)	(121,277)		(130,117)
Net transfers from (to) nonaccretable difference to accretable				
yield	(10,464)	2,128		(8,336)
Accretion	(5,915)	(48,210)		(54,125)
	, , ,	. , ,		, , ,
Balance, end of period	\$ 57,162	\$ 458,830	\$	515,992
(dollars in thousands)	Acquired Impaired	Acquired Performing	•	Total uired Loan
March 31, 2010	Loans	Loans	F	Portfolio
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 6,598	\$ 222,986	\$	229,584
Additions due to acquisitions				
Transfers from nonaccretable difference to accretable yield	14			14
Accretion	(3,676)	(27,084)		(30,760)
Balance, end of period	\$ 2,936	\$ 195,902	\$	198,838

Accretable yield during the first quarter of 2011 decreased primarily as a result of a change in prepayment speed assumptions during the first three months of 2011.

The following is a summary of the year to date activity in the FDIC loss share receivable.

(dollars in thousands)	March 31,		
	2011	2010	
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 726,871	\$ 1,034,734	
Increase due to loan loss provision recorded on FDIC covered loans	6,784		
(Amortization) Accretion	(21,913)	1,762	
Submission of reimbursable losses to the FDIC	(23,848)	(64,968)	
Change due to a decrease (increase) in cash flow assumptions on OREO	1,110	(3,411)	
Balance, end of period	\$ 689,004	\$ 968,117	

Note 7 Allowance for Loan Losses and Credit Quality

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision charged to earnings, and for loans covered by loss share agreements with the FDIC, through a charge to earnings and an indemnification asset, the FDIC loss share receivable. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. Allowances for impaired loans are generally determined based on collateral values or the present value of estimated cash flows. Changes in the allowance related to impaired loans are charged or credited to the provision for loan losses.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level which, in management s opinion, is adequate to absorb credit losses inherent in the portfolio. The Company utilizes both peer group analysis, as well as a historical analysis of the Company s portfolio to validate the overall adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. In addition to these objective criteria, the Company subjectively assesses the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses with consideration given to current economic conditions, changes to loan policies, the volume and type of lending, composition of the portfolio, the level of classified and criticized credits, seasoning of the loan portfolio, payment status and other factors.

In connection with acquisitions, the Company acquires certain loans considered impaired and accounts for these loans under the provisions of ASC Topic 310, which require the initial recognition of these loans at the present value of amounts expected to be received. The allowance for loan losses previously associated with these loans does not carry over. Any deterioration in the credit quality of these loans subsequent to acquisition would be considered in the allowance for loan losses. For any increases in cash flows expected to be collected, the Company adjusts the amount of accretable yield recognized on a prospective basis over the loan s or pool s remaining life.

A summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses, in total and for the covered loan and non-covered loan portfolios, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011 is as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	2011	2010
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 136,100	\$ 55,768
Provision charged to operations	5,471	13,201
Provision recorded through FDIC loss share receivable	6,784	
Loans charged-off	(3,294)	(6,809)
Recoveries	4,058	1,715
Balance, end of period	\$ 149,119	\$ 63,875
Dalance, chu di periou	φ 149,119	φ υ3,073

19

(dollars in thousands)	Covered Loans			l Total		
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 73,640	\$	62,460	\$ 136,100		
Provision for loan losses before benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements	8,554		3,701	12,255		
Benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements	(6,784)			(6,784)		
Net provision for loan losses	1,770		3,701	5,471		
Increase in FDIC loss share receivable	6,784			6,784		
Loans charged-off	(218)		(3,076)	(3,294)		
Recoveries	327		3,731	4,058		
Balance, end of period	\$ 82,303	\$	66,816	\$ 149,119		
(dollars in thousands)	Covered Loans		arch 31, 2010 n-covered loans	Total		
(dollars in thousands) Balance, beginning of period			n-covered	Total \$ 55,768		
	Loans	No	n-covered loans			
Balance, beginning of period Provision for loan losses before benefit attributable to FDIC loss share	Loans \$ 145	No	n-covered loans 55,623	\$ 55,768		
Balance, beginning of period Provision for loan losses before benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements	Loans \$ 145	No	n-covered loans 55,623	\$ 55,768		
Balance, beginning of period Provision for loan losses before benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements Benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements Net provision for loan losses Increase in FDIC loss share receivable	Loans \$ 145 928	No	n-covered loans 55,623 12,273	\$ 55,768 13,201 13,201		
Balance, beginning of period Provision for loan losses before benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements Benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements Net provision for loan losses Increase in FDIC loss share receivable Loans charged-off	Loans \$ 145 928	No	n-covered loans 55,623 12,273 12,273 (5,881)	\$ 55,768 13,201 13,201 (6,809)		
Balance, beginning of period Provision for loan losses before benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements Benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements Net provision for loan losses Increase in FDIC loss share receivable	Loans \$ 145 928	No	n-covered loans 55,623 12,273	\$ 55,768 13,201 13,201		

A summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans, by loan portfolio type, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

$(dollars\ in\ thousands)$

		mmercial al Estate			Consumer		Mortgage		Unallocated		Total
March 31, 2011								0.0			
Allowance for loan losses											
Balance, beginning of period	\$	31,390	\$	16,473	\$	13,332	\$	1,265	\$	\$	62,460
(Reversal of) Provision for loan losses		(948)		1,964		2,705		(20)			3,701
Increase in FDIC loss share receivable											
Loans charged off		(579)		(142)		(2,294)		(61)			(3,076)
Recoveries		3,065		50		606		10			3,731
Balance, end of period		32,928		18,345		14,349		1,194			66,816
Allowance on loans individually evaluated for											
impairment	\$	1,983	\$	8	\$		\$		\$	\$	1,991
Allowance on loans collectively evaluated for											
impairment		30,945		18,337		14,349		1,194			64,825
Loans, net of unearned income											
Balance, end of period	\$ 1	,842,777	\$ 1	,412,549	\$ 1	,002,403	\$ 3	344,306	\$	\$4	,602,035
Balance, end of period: Loans individually evaluated											
for impairment		37,823		4,654							42,477
Balance, end of period: Loans collectively evaluated											
for impairment	1	,804,954	1	,407,895	1	,002,403	3	344,306		4	,559,558
Balance, end of period: Loans acquired with											
deteriorated credit quality		616									616

(dollars in thousands) Commercial Commercial Real Estate Business Total Consumer Mortgage Unallocated March 31, 2010 Allowance for loan losses Balance, beginning of period \$ 30,771 12,845 \$ 10,664 1,343 \$ \$ 55,623 1,711 Provision for loan losses 8,571 1,871 120 12,273 Increase in FDIC loss share receivable (165)(5,881)Loans charged off (3,445)(2,160)(111)Recoveries 1,068 33 605 1,715 9 Balance, end of period 36,965 14,424 10,980 1,361 63,730 Allowance on loans individually evaluated for \$ 1,534 \$ \$ impairment 7,633 9,167 Allowance on loans collectively evaluated for impairment 29,332 12,890 10,980 1,361 54,563 Loans, net of unearned income \$4,117,956 Balance, end of period \$ 1,750,480 \$ 1,074,489 \$ 912,351 \$ 440,636 \$ Balance, end of period: Loans individually evaluated for impairment 36,234 6,990 43,224 Balance, end of period: Loans collectively evaluated for impairment 1,714,246 1,067,499 912,351 440,636 4,134,732 Balance, end of period: Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality 697 697

A summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses for covered loans, by loan portfolio type, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

$(dollars\ in\ thousands)$

(ommercial eal Estate	_	ommercial Business	Co	onsumer	\mathbf{N}	Iortgage	Unallocated		Total
March 31, 2011										
Allowance for loan losses										
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 26,439	\$	6,657	\$	12,201	\$	28,343	\$	\$	73,640
(Reversal of) Provision for loan losses	1,745		257		12		(244)			1,770
(Decrease) Increase in FDIC loss share receivable	10,242		955		(1,027)		(3,386)			6,784
Loans charged off	(158)				(41)		(19)			(218)
Recoveries	239				42		46			327
Balance, end of period	38,507		7,869		11,187		24,740			82,303
Loans, net of unearned income										
Balance, end of period	\$ 837,037	\$	160,093	\$ 2	275,820	\$	246,605	\$	\$ 1	,519,555
Balance, end of period: Loans individually evaluated										
for impairment										
Balance, end of period: Loans collectively evaluated										
for impairment	837,037		160,093	2	275,820		246,605		1	,519,555
Balance, end of period: Loans acquired with										
deteriorated credit quality	164,780		5,766		57.988		48,268			276,802

<u> </u>						
(dollars in thousands)						
	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial Business	Consumer	Mortgage	Unallocated	Total
March 31, 2010	11011 250110	Dusine		oreguge		1000
Allowance for loan losses						
Balance, beginning of period	\$	\$	\$	\$ 145	\$	\$ 145
Provision for loan losses	665		263			928
Increase in FDIC loss share receivable						
Loans charged off	(665)		(263)			(928)
Recoveries						
Balance, end of period				145		145
Loans, net of unearned income						
Balance, end of period	\$ 737,218	\$ 147,589	\$ 138,095	\$ 538,464	\$	\$ 1,561,366
Balance, end of period: Loans individually evaluated						
for impairment						
Balance, end of period: Loans collectively evaluated						
for impairment	737,218	147,589	138,095	538,464		1,561,366
Balance, end of period: Loans acquired with						
deteriorated credit quality	105,492	6,934	11,278	95,867		219,571
Credit Quality						

The Company utilizes an asset risk classification system in compliance with guidelines established by the Federal Reserve Board as part of its efforts to improve commercial asset quality. Special mention loans are defined as loans where known information about possible credit problems of the borrower cause management to have some doubt as to the ability of these borrowers to comply with the present loan repayment terms and which may result in future disclosure of these loans as nonperforming. For assets with identified credit issues, the Company has two primary classifications for problem assets: substandard and doubtful. Substandard assets have one or more defined weaknesses and are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Doubtful assets have the weaknesses of substandard assets with the additional characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full questionable and there is a high probability of loss based on currently existing facts, conditions and values. Loans classified as Pass do not meet the criteria set forth for special mention, substandard, or doubtful classification and are not considered criticized.

The Company s investment in non-covered loans by credit quality indicator as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is presented in the following table.

(dollars in thousands)	Comr	nercial Real I	Estate Construction Commercial Real Estate-Other		Commerc	ial Business		
	N	Tarch 31, 2011	Dec	cember 31, 2010	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Credit quality indicator by asset risk								
classification								
Pass	\$	219,666	\$	236,830	\$ 1,499,182	\$ 1,422,506	\$ 1,376,624	\$ 1,322,977
Special Mention		20,401		17,918	29,505	25,524	23,069	7,455
Substandard		5,146		10,204	68,066	68,005	12,584	8,105
Doubtful		296		296	515	461	272	2,815
Total	\$	245 509	\$	265 248	\$ 1 597 268	\$ 1516496	\$ 1 412 549	\$ 1341352

	Mortga	Mortgage Prime		ge-Subprime
	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Credit risk by payment status				
Current	\$ 334,330	\$ 362,366	\$	\$
Past due greater than 30 days	9,976	7,620		
Total	\$ 344,306	\$ 369,986	\$	\$
	Indirect	Indirect Automobile December		lit Card December
	March 31, 2011	31, 2010	March 31, 2011	31, 2010
Credit risk by payment status				
Current	\$ 245,408	\$ 253,109	\$ 39,723	\$ 42,298
Past due greater than 30 days	1,826	2,213	646	618
Total	\$ 247,234	\$ 255,322	\$ 40,369	\$ 42,916
	Home	e Equity December	Consun	ner - Other December
	March 31, 2011	31, 2010	March 31, 2011	31, 2010
Credit risk by payment status				
Current	\$ 605,255	\$ 551,101	\$ 100,910	\$ 99,420
Past due greater than 30 days	2,874	4,648	5,761	6,096
Total	\$ 608,129	\$ 555,749	\$ 106,671	\$ 105,516

The Company s investment in covered loans by credit quality indicator as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is presented in the following table. Loan discounts in the table below represent the adjustment of acquired loans to fair value at the time of acquisition in accordance with ASC Topic 805, as adjusted for income accretion and changes in cash flow estimates in subsequent periods.

(dollars in thousands)	Commercial						
March 31, 2011	Real	Estate					
	Construction	Other	Business	Total			
Credit quality indicator by asset risk classification							
Pass	\$ 95,761	\$ 363,138	\$ 110,722	\$ 569,621			
Special Mention	23,073	97,978	6,047	127,098			
Substandard	240,023	361,043	41,954	643,020			
Doubtful	761	41,037	1,356	43,154			
Total	\$ 359,618	\$ 863,196	\$ 160,079	\$ 1,382,893			
Total	ψ 337,010	φ 005,170	φ 100,072	φ 1,502,075			
			Discount	(385,763)			

Covered commercial loans, net \$ 997,130

	Mortgage	
Prime	Subprime	Total
\$ 283,757		\$ 283,757
100,918		100,918
\$ 384,675		\$ 384,675
	Discount	(138,070)
	\$ 283,757 100,918	Prime Subprime \$ 283,757

Covered mortgage loans, net \$ 246,605

			Consume	er		
	Indirect Automobile	Credit Card	Home Equity		Other	Total
Credit risk by payment status						
Current	\$	\$ 989	\$ 216,004	\$	3,079	\$ 220,072
Past due greater than 30 days		75	59,040		82,265	141,380
Total	\$	\$ 1,064	\$ 275,044	\$	85,344	\$ 361,452
					Discount	(85,632)

Covered consumer loans, net \$ 275,820

(dollars in thousands)	Commercial					
December 31, 2010	Real I					
	Construction	Other	Business	Total		
Credit quality indicator by asset risk classification						
Pass	\$ 144,423	\$ 385,910	\$ 126,176	\$ 656,509		
Special Mention	27,783	104,228	7,475	139,486		
Substandard	245,872	402,397	39,462	687,731		
Doubtful	5,245	17,951	1,390	24,586		
Total	\$ 423,323	\$ 910,486	\$ 174,503	\$ 1,508,312		
			Discount	(468,445)		

Covered commercial loans, net \$1,039,867

	Prime	Mortgage Subprime	Total
Credit risk by payment status			
Current	\$ 294,399	\$	\$ 294,399
Past Due greater than 30 days	107,744		107,744
Total	\$ 402,143	\$	\$ 402,143
		Discount	(140,757)

Covered mortgage loans, net \$ 261,386

	Consumer				
	Indirect Automobile	Credit Card	Home Equity	Other	Total
Credit risk by payment status					
Current	\$	\$ 1,079	\$ 169,264	\$ 67,099	\$ 237,442
Past Due greater than 30 days		76	63,635	79,950	143,661
Total	\$	\$ 1,155	\$ 232,899	\$ 147,049	\$ 381,103
				Discount	(99,609)

Covered consumer loans, net \$281,494

Impaired Loans

Information on the Company s investment in impaired loans is presented in the following tables for the periods indicated.

(dollars in thousands)	At March 31, 2011 Unpaid			At December 31, 2010 Unpaid			
	Recorded Investment	Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	Principal Balance	Related Allowance	
With no related allowance recorded							
Mortgage Loans							
Residential Prime	\$ 6,207	\$ 6,207	\$	\$ 5,916	\$ 5,916	\$	
Residential Subprime							
Commercial Loans							
Real Estate	25,343	25,343		21,539	21,539		
Business	2,110	2,110		6,761	6,761		
Consumer Loans							
Indirect automobile	962	962		1,046	1,046		
Credit card	411	411		378	378		
Home equity	1,182	1,182		986	986		
Other	5,473	5,473		5,713	5,713		
With an allowance recorded							
Commercial Real Estate	15,773	17,756	(1,983)	6,532	6,738	(206)	
Business		8	(8)				
Total							
Mortgage Loans	6,207	6,207		5,916	5,916		
Commercial Loans	43,226	45,217	(1,991)	34,832	35,038	(206)	
Consumer Loans	8,028	8,028	(2,222)	8,122	8,122	(200)	
	-,0	-,0		-, -	-, -		

(dollars in thousands)		Months Ended 31, 2011	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010			
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized ⁽¹⁾	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized ⁽¹⁾		
With no related allowance recorded						
Mortgage Loans						
Residential Prime	\$ 7,056	\$ 13	\$ 4,344	\$ 11		
Residential Subprime						
Commercial Loans						
Real Estate	26,440	113	21,481	3		
Business	2,154	5	1,771	8		
Consumer Loans						
Indirect automobile	1,057	3	1,130	2		
Credit card	393					
Home equity	1,195	4				
Other	5,581	9	5,106	12		
With an allowance recorded						
Commercial Real Estate	17,792	35	16,038	34		
Business	9		6,697	38		
Total						
Mortgage Loans	7,056	13	4,344	11		
Commercial Loans	46,395	153	45,987	83		
Consumer Loans	8,226	16	6,236	14		

(1) Interest income recognized on impaired loans represents income recognized before loans were placed on nonaccrual status.

28

As of March 31, 2011, the Company was not committed to lend additional funds to any customer whose loan was classified as impaired or as a troubled debt restructuring.

Note 8 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Changes to the carrying amount of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the three months ended March 31, 2011 are provided in the following table.

(dollars in thousands)	Amount
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 227,080
Goodwill acquired during the year	7,148
Goodwill impairment	
Balance, December 31, 2010	\$ 234,228
Goodwill acquired during the year	
Goodwill impairment	
Balance, March 31, 2011	\$ 234,228

The goodwill acquired during the year ended December 31, 2010 was a result of the Sterling acquisition on July 23, 2010.

Goodwill is allocated to the Company s subsidiaries as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	Mar	rch 31, 2011	December 31, 2010		
IBERIABANK	\$	225,500	\$	225,500	
Lenders Title Company		8,728		8,728	
Balance, end of period	\$	234,228	\$	234,228	

The Company performed the required annual impairment tests of goodwill as of October 1, 2010. The Company s annual impairment test did not indicate impairment at any of the Company s reporting units as of the testing date, and subsequent to that date, management is not aware of any events or changes in circumstances since the impairment test that would indicate that goodwill might be impaired.

Title plant

The Company had title plant assets totaling \$6,722,000 at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. No events or changes in circumstances occurred during the first three months of 2011 to suggest the carrying value of the title plant was not recoverable.

Intangible assets subject to amortization

The Company s purchase accounting intangible assets from prior acquisitions which are subject to amortization include core deposit intangibles, amortized on a straight line or accelerated basis over a 10 year average life, and mortgage servicing rights, amortized over the remaining servicing life of the loans, with consideration given to prepayment assumptions. The definite-lived intangible assets had the following carrying values.

Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-Q

	March 31, 2011			December 31, 2010			
	Gross		Net	Gross		Net	
(dollars in thousands)	Carrying Amount	Accumula Amortiza		Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Carrying Amount	
Core deposit intangibles	\$ 39,399	\$ 17,5	593 \$ 21,806	\$ 39,399	\$ 16,424	\$ 22,975	
Mortgage servicing rights	356	1	183	345	161	184	
Total	\$ 39,755	\$ 17,7	766 \$ 21,989	\$ 39,744	\$ 16,585	\$ 23,159	

During 2010, the Company recorded \$1,568,000 in core deposit intangible assets related to the deposits acquired in the Sterling acquisition.

Note 9 Other Real Estate Owned

Other real estate owned and foreclosed property totaled \$83,186,000 and \$69,217,000 at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Other real estate owned consists of the following:

(dollars in thousands)	March 31, 2011	Dec	ember 31, 2010
Real estate owned acquired by foreclosure	\$ 78,647	\$	64,408
Other foreclosed property	162		163
Real estate acquired for development or resale	4,377		4,646
Total other real estate owned and foreclosed property	\$ 83,186	\$	69,217

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, other real estate is segregated into covered and non-covered properties as follows:

		-		
1	dallare	in	thousands)	١
١	uvnars	ш	mousanus	,

	Non-covered	Covered	
March 31, 2011	properties	properties	Total
Real estate owned acquired by foreclosure	\$ 12,646	\$ 66,001	\$ 78,647
Other foreclosed property	33	129	162
Real estate acquired for development or resale	4,377		4,377
Total other real estate owned and foreclosed property	\$ 17,056	\$ 66,130	\$ 83,186
(dollars in thousands)			
December 31, 2010	Non-covered properties	Covered properties	Total
Real estate owned acquired by foreclosure	\$ 13,840	\$ 50,568	\$ 64,408
Other foreclosed property	9	154	163
Real estate acquired for development or resale	4,646		4,646
Total other real estate owned and foreclosed property	\$ 18,495	\$ 50,722	\$ 69,217

Note 10 On-Balance Sheet Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

In the course of its business operations, the Company is exposed to certain risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk. The Company manages its risks through the use of derivative financial instruments, primarily through management of exposure due to the receipt or payment of future cash amounts based on interest rates. The Company s derivative financial instruments manage the differences in the timing, amount, and duration of expected cash receipts and payments.

The Company accounts for its derivative financial instruments in accordance with ASC Topic 815, which requires that all derivatives be recognized as assets or liabilities in the balance sheet at fair value.

The primary types of derivatives used by the Company include interest rate swap agreements and interest rate lock commitments.

Interest rate swap agreements

As part of its activities to manage interest rate risk due to interest rate movements, the Company has engaged in interest rate swap transactions to manage exposure to interest rate risk through modification of the Company s net interest sensitivity to levels deemed to be appropriate. The Company utilizes these interest rate swap agreements to convert a portion of its variable-rate debt to a fixed rate (cash flow hedge). The notional amount on which the interest payments are based is not exchanged. The Company had notional amounts of \$70,000,000 and \$95,000,000 in derivative contracts on its debt at March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

In addition to using derivative instruments as an interest rate risk management tool, the Company also enters into derivative instruments to help its commercial customers manage their exposure to interest rate fluctuations. To mitigate the interest rate risk associated with these customer contracts, the Company enters into offsetting derivative contract positions. The Company manages its credit risk, or potential risk of default by its commercial customers, through credit limit approval and monitoring procedures. At March 31, 2011, the Company had notional amounts of \$261,566,000 on interest rate contracts with corporate customers and \$261,566,000 in offsetting interest rate contracts with other financial institutions to mitigate the Company s rate exposure on its corporate customers contracts. At March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2010, the Company had notional amounts of \$194,470,000 and \$247,292,000, respectively, on both interest rate contracts with corporate customers and offsetting contracts with other financial institutions.

30

Table of Contents

Because the swap agreements used to manage interest rate risk have been designated as hedging exposure to variable cash flows of a forecasted transaction, the effective portion of the derivative s gain or loss is initially reported as a component of other comprehensive income and subsequently reclassified into earnings when the forecasted transaction affects earnings or when the hedge is terminated. The ineffective portion of the gain or loss is reported in earnings immediately.

In applying hedge accounting for derivatives, the Company establishes a method for assessing the effectiveness of the hedging derivative and a measurement approach for determining the ineffective aspect of the hedge upon the inception of the hedge. These methods are consistent with the Company s approach to managing risk.

For interest rate swap agreements that are not designated as hedging instruments, changes in the fair value of the derivatives are recognized in earnings immediately.

Rate lock commitments

The Company enters into commitments to originate loans whereby the interest rate on the prospective loan is determined prior to funding (rate lock commitments). Rate lock commitments on mortgage loans that are intended to be sold are considered to be derivatives. Accordingly, such commitments, along with any related fees received from potential borrowers, are recorded at fair value as derivative assets or liabilities, with changes in fair value recorded in net gain or loss on sale of mortgage loans. The fair value of rate lock commitments was immaterial during the first three months of 2011 and 2010.

Equity-indexed certificates of deposit

Beginning in the second quarter of 2010, IBERIABANK offers its customers a certificate of deposit that provides the purchaser a guaranteed return of principal at maturity plus potential return, which allows IBERIABANK to identify a known cost of funds. The rate of return is based on the performance of ten large cap U.S. stocks in the S&P 500 stock index, representing a variety of industry segments. Because it is based on an equity index, the rate of return represents an embedded derivative that is not clearly and closely related to the host instrument. ASC Topic 815 therefore requires the certificate of deposit be separated into two components: a zero coupon certificate of deposit (the host instrument) and a written option purchased by the depositor (an embedded derivative). The discount on the zero coupon deposit is amortized over the life of the deposit, and the written option is carried at fair value on the Company s consolidated balance sheet, with changes in fair value recorded through earnings. IBERIABANK offsets the risks of the written option by purchasing an option with terms that mirror the written option and that is also carried at fair value on the Company s consolidated balance sheet. At March 31, 2011, the Company had equity-indexed certificates of deposit of \$99,412,000 with offsetting written options having a notional amount of \$99,412,000. There were no equity-indexed certificates of deposit at March 31, 2010.

31

At March 31, 2011 and 2010, the information pertaining to outstanding derivative instruments, excluding interest rate lock commitments, is as follows.

	Dalanaa Shaat	Asset Derivatives Balance Sheet Location Fair Value 2011 2010		Balance Sheet	Liability Derivatives		es
(dollars in thousands)				Location Location	Fair Value 2011 20)
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under ASC Topic 815							
Interest rate contracts	Other assets	\$ 15,936	\$ 18,702	Other liabilities	\$	\$ 33	36
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments under ASC Topic 815		\$ 15,936	\$ 18,702		\$	\$ 3.	36
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC Topic 815							
				Other			
Interest rate contracts	Other assets	\$ 14,987	\$ 15,345	liabilities	\$ 14,986	\$ 15,34	45
Written and purchased options		5,802			5,802		
Total derivatives not designated as hedging							
instruments under ASC Topic 815		\$ 20,789	\$ 15,345		\$ 20,788	\$ 15,3	45

At March 31, 2011, the Company was not required to post collateral for any derivative transactions. The Company does not anticipate additional assets will be required to be posted as collateral, nor does it believe additional assets would be required to settle its derivative instruments immediately if contingent features were triggered at March 31, 2011. As permitted by generally-accepted accounting principles, the Company does not offset fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral or the obligation to return cash collateral against recognized fair value amounts of derivatives executed with the same counterparty under a master netting agreement.

At March 31, 2011 and 2010, the information pertaining to the effect of the derivative instruments on the consolidated financial statements is as follows.

								ount of (Loss)
			Location of			Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized	in Ir	gnized ncome on
			Gain (Loss)			in Income on	Deri	vative
(dollars in thousands)			Reclassified			Derivative	,	fective
		ınt of	from	Amou		(Ineffective		on and
	Gain	(Loss)	Accumulated OCI into	Gain (Reclassifi	· /	Portion and Amount		ount luded
	Recognize	ed in OCI,	Income	Accum		Excluded from		om
	net of	taxes	(Effective	OCI into		Effectiveness		tiveness
	`	Portion)	Portion)	(Effective	,	Testing)		sting)
Derivatives in ASC Topic 815 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	2011	2010		2011	2010		2011	2010
			Interest income			Other income		
Interest rate contracts	\$ 10,358	\$ 11,938	(expense)	\$ (426)	\$ (380)	(expense)	\$	\$
Total	\$ 10,358	\$ 11,938		\$ 426)	\$ (380)		\$	\$

(dollars in thousands)	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives	Recogniz	of Gain (Loss) zed in Income erivatives 2010
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments under ASC Topic 815			
Interest rate contracts	Other income (expense)	\$ (1)	\$
Total		\$ (1)	\$

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company has not reclassified into earnings any gain or loss as a result of the discontinuance of cash flow hedges because it was probable the original forecasted transaction would not occur by the end of the originally specified term.

At March 31, 2011, the fair value of derivatives that will mature within the next twelve months is \$626,000. The Company does not expect to reclassify any amount from accumulated other comprehensive income into interest income over the next twelve months for derivatives that will be settled.

Changes in the fair value of interest rate swaps designated as hedging the variability of cash flows associated with long-term debt are reported in other comprehensive income. These amounts subsequently are reclassified into interest income and interest expense as a yield adjustment in the same period in which the related interest on the long-term debt affects earnings. As a result of these interest rate swaps, interest expense was decreased by \$426,000 and \$380,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The following is a summary of the balance and changes in the accumulated derivative gain or loss included as a component of other comprehensive income as of and for the three-month periods ended March 31.

(dollars in thousands)	2011	2010
Balance at beginning of year, net	\$ 9,370	\$ 12,040
Unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedges	1,521	(157)
Tax effect	533	55
Net of tax change	988	(102)
Balance at end of end of period, net	\$ 10,358	\$ 11,938

Note 11 Share-based Compensation

The Company has various types of share-based compensation plans. These plans are administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, which selects persons eligible to receive awards and determines the number of shares and/or options subject to each award, the terms, conditions and other provisions of the awards.

Stock option plans

The Company issues stock options under various plans to directors, officers and other key employees. The option exercise price cannot be less than the fair value of the underlying common stock as of the date of the option grant and the maximum option term cannot exceed ten years. The stock options granted were issued with vesting periods ranging from one-and-a half to seven years. At March 31, 2011, future awards of 166,945 shares could be made under approved incentive compensation plans.

The stock option plans also permit the granting of Stock Appreciation Rights (SARs). SARs entitle the holder to receive, in the form of cash or stock, the increase in the fair value of Company stock from the date of grant to the date of exercise. No SARs have been issued under the plans.

The Company s net income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 included \$360,000 and \$257,000 of compensation costs and \$126,000 and \$90,000 of income tax benefits related to stock options granted under share-based compensation arrangements, respectively. The impact on basic and diluted earnings per share was \$0.01 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and the three months ended March 31, 2010.

The Company reported \$289,000 and \$460,000 of excess tax benefits as financing cash inflows during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to the exercise and vesting of share-based compensation grants. Net cash proceeds from the exercise of stock options were \$523,000 and \$885,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of share-based awards with the following weighted-average assumptions for the indicated periods:

For the Three Months Ended

	March	31,
	2011	2010
Expected dividends	2.2%	2.0%
Expected volatility	31.7%	28.3%
Risk-free interest rate	3.6%	4.2%
Expected term (in years)	6.0	7.0
Weighted-average grant-date fair value	\$ 16.55	\$ 15.89

The assumptions above are based on multiple factors, including historical stock option exercise patterns and post-vesting employment termination behaviors, expected future exercise patterns and the expected volatility of the Company s stock price.

At March 31, 2011, there was \$5,649,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.8 years.

The following table represents the activity related to stock options during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

	Number of shares	Weighted average exercise price		Weighted average remaining contract life
Outstanding options, December 31, 2010	1,301,539	\$	45.52	Ü
Granted	52,221		55.64	
Exercised	(38,515)		21.25	
Forfeited or expired	(1,150)		54.19	
Outstanding options, March 31, 2011	1,314,095	\$	46.63	4.6 Years
Outstanding exercisable at March 31, 2011	938,263	\$	42.59	3.3 Years
Outstanding options, December 31, 2009	1,259,874	\$	43.05	
Granted				
Exercised	(40,049)		22.11	
Forfeited or expired	(715)		57.94	
Outstanding options, March 31, 2010	1,219,110	\$	43.73	4.8 Years
Outstanding exercisable at March 31, 2010	945,501	\$	40.35	3.9 Years

Shares reserved for future stock option grants to employees and directors under existing plans were 166,945 at March 31, 2011. At March 31, 2011, the aggregate intrinsic value of shares underlying outstanding stock options and underlying exercisable stock options was \$17,751,000 and \$16,458,000. Total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$1,389,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Restricted stock plans

The Company issues restricted stock under various plans for certain officers and directors. A supplemental stock benefit plan adopted in 1999 and the 2001, 2005, 2008, and 2010 Incentive Plans allow grants of restricted stock. The plans allow for the issuance of restricted stock awards that may not be sold or otherwise transferred until certain restrictions have lapsed. The holders of the restricted stock receive dividends and have the right to vote the shares. The fair value of the restricted stock shares awarded under these plans is recorded as unearned share-based compensation, a contra-equity account. The unearned compensation related to these awards is amortized to compensation expense over the vesting period (generally three to seven years). The total share-based compensation expense for these awards is determined based on the market price of the Company s common stock at the date of grant applied to the total number of shares granted and is amortized over the vesting period. As of March 31, 2011, unearned share-based compensation associated with these awards totaled \$26,924,000. For the three months ended

March 31, 2011 and 2010, the amount included in compensation expense related to restricted stock grants was \$1,678,000 and \$1,394,000, respectively. The weighted average grant date fair value of the restricted stock granted during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$55.74 and \$58.42, respectively.

The following table represents unvested restricted stock award activity for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively:

For the Three Months Ended

	March 31,		
	2011	2010	
Balance, beginning of period	539,195	550,518	
Granted	95,788	11,500	
Forfeited	(3,389)	(1,531)	
Earned and issued	(67,324)	(70,572)	
Balance, end of period	564,270	489,915	

Phantom stock awards

As part of the 2008 Incentive Compensation Plan and 2009 Phantom Stock Plan, the Company issues phantom stock awards to certain key officers and employees. The award is subject to a vesting period of five to seven years and is paid out in cash upon vesting. The amount paid per vesting period is calculated as the number of vested—share equivalents—multiplied by the closing market price of a share of the Company—s common stock on the vesting date. Share equivalents are calculated on the date of grant as the total award—s dollar value divided by the closing market price of a share of the Company—s common stock on the grant date. Award recipients are also entitled to a—dividend equivalent—on each unvested share equivalent held by the award recipient. A dividend equivalent is a dollar amount equal to the cash dividends that the participant would have been entitled to receive if the participant—s share equivalents were issued in shares of common stock. Dividend equivalents will be deemed to be reinvested as share equivalents that will vest and be paid out on the same date as the underlying share equivalents on which the dividend equivalents were paid. The number of share equivalents acquired with a dividend equivalent shall be determined by dividing the aggregate of dividend equivalents paid on the unvested share equivalents by the closing price of a share of the Company—s common stock on the dividend payment date.

The following table represents share and dividend equivalent share award activity during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

	Number of share equivalents	Dividend equivalents	Total share equivalents	Value of share equivalents ⁽¹⁾
Balance, December 31, 2009	67,361	1,886	69,247	\$ 4,156,000
Granted	24,398	531	24,929	1,496,000
Forfeited share equivalents				
Vested share equivalents	(100)	(6)	(106)	(6,000)
Balance, March 31, 2010	91,659	2,411	94,070	\$ 5,645,000
Balance, December 31, 2010	119,194	3,741	122,935	\$ 7,269,000
Granted	111,027	1,315	112,342	6,755,000
Forfeited share equivalents				
Vested share equivalents	(1,350)	(77)	(1,427)	(82,000)
Balance, March 31, 2011	228,871	4,979	233,850	\$ 14,061,000

⁽¹⁾ Value of share equivalents is calculated based on the market price of the Company s stock at the end of the respective periods. The market price of the Company s stock was \$60.13 and \$60.01 on March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded \$489,000 and \$42,000, respectively, in compensation expense based on the number of share equivalents vested at the end of the period and the current market price of \$60.13 and \$60.01 per share of common stock.

Note 12 Commitments and Contingencies

Off-balance sheet commitments

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The same credit policies are used in these commitments as for on-balance sheet instruments. The Company s exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other parties is represented by the contractual amount of the financial instruments. At March 31, 2011, the fair

35

value of guarantees under commercial and standby letters of credit was \$353,000. This amount represents the unamortized fee associated with these guarantees and is included in the consolidated balance sheet of the Company. This fair value will decrease over time as the existing commercial and standby letters of credit approach their expiration dates.

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had the following financial instruments outstanding, whose contract amounts represent credit risk:

	Contra	Contract Amount		
(dollars in thousands)	March 31, 2011	Dece	mber 31, 2010	
Commitments to grant loans	\$ 278,490	\$	152,545	
Unfunded commitments under lines of credit	1,206,563		1,121,895	
Commercial and standby letters of credit	35,284		33,446	

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to be drawn upon, the total commitment amounts generally represent future cash requirements. The Company evaluates each customer s creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral, if deemed necessary by the Company upon extension of credit, is based on management s credit evaluation of the counterparty.

Unfunded commitments under commercial lines-of-credit, revolving credit lines and overdraft protection agreements are commitments for possible future extensions of credit to existing customers. Many of these types of commitments do not contain a specified maturity date and may not be drawn upon to the total extent to which the Company is committed.

Legal proceedings

The nature of the business of the Company s banking and other subsidiaries ordinarily results in a certain amount of claims, litigation, investigations and legal and administrative cases and proceedings, all of which are considered incidental to the normal conduct of business. Some of these claims are against entities or assets of which the Company is a successor or acquired in business acquisitions, and certain of these claims will be covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to the claims asserted against it in its currently outstanding legal proceedings and, with respect to such legal proceedings, intends to continue to defend itself vigorously, litigating or settling cases according to management s judgment as to what is in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders.

The Company assesses its liabilities and contingencies in connection with outstanding legal proceedings utilizing the latest information available. Where it is probable that the Company will incur a loss and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated, the Company records a liability in its consolidated financial statements. These legal reserves may be increased or decreased to reflect any relevant developments on a quarterly basis. Where a loss is not probable or the amount of loss is not estimable, the Company does not accrue legal reserves. While the outcome of legal proceedings is inherently uncertain, based on information currently available, advice of counsel and available insurance coverage, the Company s management believes that it has established adequate legal reserves. Any liabilities arising from pending legal proceedings are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated financial position, consolidated results of operations or consolidated cash flows. However, in the event of unexpected future developments, it is possible that the ultimate resolution of these matters, if unfavorable, may be material to the Company s consolidated financial position, consolidated results of operations or consolidated cash flows.

IBERIABANK and the Company have been named as defendants in two putative class actions relating to the imposition of overdraft fees on customer accounts. The first such case, *Eivet v. IBERIABANK*, is pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida and presently bears Case No. 1:10-CV-23790-JLK. The case was originally filed in Florida and in October 2010 was transferred to the Southern District of Florida for coordinated pre-trial proceedings as part of a multi-district litigation involving numerous defendant banks, *In re Checking Account Overdraft Litigation*, Case No. 09-MD-02036-JLK. Plaintiff challenges IBERIABANK s practices relating to the imposition of overdraft fees and non-sufficient fund fees on consumer checking accounts. Plaintiff alleges that IBERIABANK s methodology for posting transactions to customer accounts is designed to maximize the generation of overdraft fees and brings claims for breach of contract and of a covenant of good faith and fair dealing, unconscionability, conversion, unjust enrichment and violations of state unfair trade practices laws. Plaintiff seeks a range of remedies, including restitution, disgorgement, injunctive relief, punitive damages and attorneys fees.

The second of the two cases, *Sachar v. IBERIABANK Corporation*, Case No. 60CV2011-0770, was filed in Pulaski County, Arkansas Circuit Court on February 18, 2011. Plaintiff asserts that IBERIABANK Corporation engaged in the practice of re-sequencing customers accounts in

high-to-low order by posting the largest transactions first and the smallest transactions last which is alleged to increase the number of overdraft fees. The complaint seeks damages for allegedly deceptive trade practices under Arkansas state law, for breach of contract, for unjust enrichment, for conversion, and for injunctive relief.

Currently there is uncertainty around whether either putative class will ultimately be certified, the dimensions of any such class, and the range of remedies that might be sought on any certified claims. If, in future evaluations, the company determines a loss contingency is both probable and estimable, the company will record a liability in its consolidated financial statements.

36

Note 13 Fair Value Measurements

The Company follows the provisions of ASC Topic 820 when determining fair value. ASC Topic 820 clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability and establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to develop those assumptions and measure fair value. The hierarchy requires the Company to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The three levels of inputs used to measure fair value are as follows:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.

A description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value follows, as well as the classification of such instruments within the valuation hierarchy.

Securities available for sale

Securities are classified within Level 1 where quoted market prices are available in an active market. Inputs include securities that have quoted prices in active markets for identical assets. If quoted market prices are unavailable, fair value is estimated using quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, at which point the securities would be classified within Level 2 of the hierarchy. Examples may include certain collateralized mortgage and debt obligations. The Company s portfolio includes only one Level 3 security as of March 31, 2011. An other-than-temporary impairment was recorded on this security during the year ended December 31, 2010, and thus the security was recorded at management s estimate of the security s fair value based on the input assumptions discussed in detail below.

Mortgage loans held for sale

As of March 31, 2011, the Company has \$52,732,000 of conforming mortgage loans held for sale. Mortgage loans originated and held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value. The Company obtains quotes or bids on these loans directly from purchasing financial institutions. Typically these quotes include a premium on the sale and thus these quotes indicate the fair value of the held for sale loans is greater than cost. At March 31, 2011, the entire balance of \$52,732,000 is recorded at cost.

Impaired loans

Loans are measured for impairment using the methods permitted by ASC Topic 310. Fair value of impaired loans is measured by either the loans obtainable market price, if available (Level 1), the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent (Level 2), or the present value of expected future cash flows, discounted at the loans effective interest rate (Level 3). Fair value of the collateral is determined by appraisals or independent valuation.

Other real estate owned

As of March 31, 2011, the Company has \$83,186,000 in OREO and foreclosed property, which includes all real estate, other than bank premises used in bank operations, owned or controlled by the Company, including real estate acquired in settlement of loans. Properties are recorded at the balance of the loan or at estimated fair value less estimated selling costs, whichever is less, at the date acquired. Fair values of OREO at March 31, 2011 are determined by sales agreement or appraisal, and costs to sell are based on estimation per the terms and conditions of the sales agreement or amounts commonly used in real estate transactions. Inputs include appraisal values on the properties or recent sales activity for similar assets in the property s market, and thus OREO measured at fair value would be classified within Level 2 of the hierarchy. In accordance with the OREO treatment described, the Company included property write-downs of \$906,000 and \$325,000 in earnings for the three

months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Derivative financial instruments

The Company utilizes interest rate swap agreements to convert a portion of its variable-rate debt to a fixed rate (cash flow hedge). The Company also enters into commitments to originate loans whereby the interest rate on the prospective loan is determined prior to funding (rate lock commitments). Rate lock commitments on mortgage loans that are intended to be sold are considered to be derivatives. Fair value of the interest rate swap and interest rate lock commitments are estimated using prices of financial instruments with similar characteristics, and thus the commitments are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

37

The Company has segregated all financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis into the most appropriate level within the fair value hierarchy based on the inputs used to determine the fair value at the measurement date in the table below.

(dollars in thousands)			Fair Value Measurements Using				
Recurring Basis			Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	or Oth		Unol	nificant bservable
			(Level		Inputs		nputs
Description	Ma	irch 31, 2011	1)		(Level 2)	(L	evel 3)
Assets							
Available -for-sale securities	\$	1,710,326	\$	\$	1,708,717	\$	1,609
Derivative instruments		36,725			36,725		
Total	\$	1,747,051	\$	\$	1,745,442	\$	1,609
Liabilities							
Derivative instruments		20,788			20,788		
Total	\$	20,788	\$	\$	20,788	\$	

Between December 31, 2010 and March 31, 2011, available for sale securities with a market value of \$52,236,000 at March 31, 2011 were transferred into the Level 2 fair value measurement category in the table above from the Level 1 category as disclosed at December 31, 2010. The four securities were issued by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac and were included in the Level 1 category at December 31, 2010 because their fair value was based on a trade price for the identical mortgage-backed security. At March 31, 2011, the fair value of these securities was based on a trade price for similar assets, namely similar mortgage-backed securities.

Gains and losses (realized and unrealized) included in earnings (or changes in net assets) for the first three months of 2011 related to assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are reported in noninterest income or other comprehensive income as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	Noninter	est income	_	mprehensive icome
Total gains (losses) included in earnings (or				
changes in net assets)	\$	(1)	\$	
Change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets still held at March 31, 2011	\$		\$	2,861

The Company has segregated all financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis into the most appropriate level within the fair value hierarchy based on the inputs used to determine the fair value at the measurement date in the table below.

(dollars in thousands)			Fair Value Measurements Using			
			Quoted Prices in	S	ignificant	Significant
Nonrecurring Basis			Active Markets for	Othe	r Observable	Unobservable
			Identical			
			Assets			
			(Level		Inputs	Inputs
Description	Marc	ch 31, 2011	1)	((Level 2)	(Level 3)
Assets						
Loans	\$	21,632	\$	\$	21,632	\$
OREO		5,258			5,258	

Total \$ 26,890 \$ \$ 26,890 \$

In accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 310, the Company records loans considered impaired at their fair value. A loan is considered impaired if it is probable the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Fair value is measured at the fair value of the collateral for collateral-dependent loans. Impaired non-covered loans with an outstanding balance of \$23,623,000 were recorded at their fair value at March 31, 2011. These loans include a reserve of \$1,991,000 included in the Company s allowance for loan losses.

The Company did not record any liabilities at fair value for which measurement of the fair value was made on a nonrecurring basis during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

ASC Topic 825 provides the Company with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The fair value option established by this Statement permits the Company to choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates and report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each reporting date subsequent to implementation. The Company has currently chosen not to elect the fair value option for any items that are not already required to be measured at fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and as such has not included any gains or losses in earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Note 14 Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value of a financial instrument is the current amount that would be exchanged between willing parties, other than in a forced liquidation. Fair value is best determined based upon quoted market prices. However, in many instances, there are no quoted market prices for the Company s various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument. ASC Topic 825 excludes certain financial instruments and all non-financial instruments from its disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented may not necessarily represent the underlying fair value of the Company.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value:

Cash and cash equivalents

The carrying amounts of cash and short-term instruments approximate their fair value.

Investment securities

Fair value equals quoted market prices in an active market. If quoted market prices are unavailable, fair value is estimated using pricing models or quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics.

Loans

The fair value of non-covered mortgage loans receivable was estimated based on present values using entry-value rates at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, weighted for varying maturity dates. Other non-covered loans receivable were valued based on present values using entry-value interest rates at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 applicable to each category of loans. Fair values of mortgage loans held for sale are based on commitments on hand from investors or prevailing market prices. Covered loans are recorded in the consolidated financial statements at fair value in accordance with the fair value methodology prescribed in ASC Topic 820, exclusive of the shared-loss agreements with the FDIC. The fair value estimates associated with the loans include estimates related to expected prepayments and the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Accrued Interest Payable: The carrying amount of accrued interest approximates fair value because of the short maturity of these financial instruments.

FDIC Loss Share Receivable: The fair value is determined to be projected cash flows from loss sharing agreements based on expected reimbursements for losses at the applicable loss sharing percentages based on the terms of the loss share agreements. Cash flows are discounted to reflect the timing and receipt of the loss sharing reimbursements from the FDIC.

Deposits

The fair value of NOW accounts, money market deposits and savings accounts was the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. Certificates of deposit were valued using a weighted average rate calculated based upon rates at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Short-term borrowings

The carrying amounts of short-term borrowings maturing within ninety days approximate their fair values.

Long-term debt

The fair values of long-term debt are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the Company s current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Derivative instruments

Fair values for interest rate swap agreements are based upon the amounts required to settle the contracts.

39

Off-balance sheet items

The Company has outstanding commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These off-balance sheet financial instruments are generally exercisable at the market rate prevailing at the date the underlying transaction will be completed. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the fair value of guarantees under commercial and standby letters of credit was immaterial.

The estimated fair values and carrying amounts of the Company s financial instruments are as follows:

	March	March 31, 2011		ber 31, 2010	
(dollars in thousands)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	
Financial Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 290,518	\$ 290,518	\$ 337,778	\$ 337,778	
Investment securities	1,986,167	1,988,257	2,019,814	2,021,788	
Loans and loans held for sale	6,174,322	6,434,321	6,119,237	6,362,961	
FDIC loss share receivable	689,004	327,546	726,871	392,484	
Derivative instruments	36,725	36,725	37,320	37,320	
Accrued interest receivable	33,923	33,923	34,250	34,250	
Financial Liabilities					
Deposits	\$ 7,859,035	\$7,703,332	\$ 7,915,106	\$ 7,764,569	
Short-term borrowings	215,537	215,537	220,328	220,328	
Long-term debt	401,506	393,825	432,251	441,902	
Derivative instruments	20,788	20,788	22,904	22,904	
Accrued interest payable	7,441	7,441	8,583	8,583	

The fair value estimates presented herein are based upon pertinent information available to management as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these financial statements since that date and, therefore, current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented herein.

Item 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis is intended to assist readers in understanding the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of IBERIABANK Corporation (the Company) and its wholly owned subsidiaries, IBERIABANK, Lenders Title Company (LTC), IBERIA Capital Partners, LLC (ICP), IB Aircraft Holdings, LLC, IBERIA Asset Management Inc. (IAM), and IBERIA CDE, LLC, as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements, accompanying footnotes and supplemental financial data included herein.

The Company offers commercial and retail banking products and services to customers in locations in six states through IBERIABANK. The Company also operates mortgage production offices in 12 states through IBERIABANK s subsidiary, IBERIABANK Mortgage Company (IMC), and offers a full line of title insurance and closing services throughout Arkansas and Louisiana through LTC and its subsidiaries. ICP provides equity research, institutional sales and trading, and corporate finance services. IB Aircraft Holdings, LLC owns a fractional share of an aircraft used by management of the Company and its subsidiaries. IAM provides wealth management and trust services for commercial and private banking clients. CDE is utilized to purchase tax credits.

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

During 2010, the Company had solid growth in its balance sheet, both organically and through acquisitions, and continued growth in most core earnings drivers. The Company fortified its capital position, enhanced its liquidity, expanded its customer base, created a new noninterest income channel through its capital markets group, and expanded its wealth management business. In addition, the Company successfully integrated and converted its recent Florida acquisitions to IBERIABANK s processes and systems.

During the first three months of 2011, the Company continued to position itself for future growth by fortifying its balance sheet and improving its overall capital position.

Balance Sheet Position and Results of Operations

The Company s income available to common shareholders for the first quarter of 2011 totaled \$14.6 million, or \$0.54 per common share on a diluted basis, a 12.6% increase compared to the \$13.0 million earned during the first quarter of 2010. On a per share basis, this represents an 8.1% decrease from the \$0.59 per diluted share earned in the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in per share earnings was primarily a result of the additional shares issued as part of the Company s common stock issuance in March 2010. Key components of the Company s 2011 performance are summarized below.

Total assets at March 31, 2011 were \$9.9 billion, down \$81.3 million, or 0.8%, from \$10.0 billion at December 31, 2010. The decrease was primarily the result of a \$31.2 million decrease in mortgage loans held for sale, as well as a \$37.9 million decrease in the Company s FDIC loss share receivable.

Total loans at March 31, 2011 were \$6.1 billion, an increase of \$86.3 million, or 1.4%, from \$6.0 billion at December 31, 2010. Because of the loss protection provided by the FDIC, the risks of the loans and foreclosed real estate acquired in the CapitalSouth Bank, Orion Bank, Century Bank, and Sterling Bank acquisitions, which are covered by loss share agreements with the FDIC, are significantly different from those assets not covered under loss share agreements. Accordingly, the Company presents loans subject to the loss share agreements as covered loans in the information below and loans that are not subject to the loss share agreement as non-covered loans. Loan growth during the first three months of 2011 was driven by an increase in non-covered loans. Total non-covered loans increased \$149.5 million, or 3.4%, during 2011. Covered loans decreased \$63.2 million, or 4.0%, from December 31, 2010, as loans were paid down or charged off and submitted for reimbursement.

Total customer deposits decreased \$56.1 million, or 0.7%, from December 31, 2010. The decrease was primarily the result of a decrease in certificates of deposits of \$240.5 million. Offsetting this decrease was an increase in noninterest-bearing deposits of \$62.3 million, or 7.1%. Excluding certificates of deposit, interest-bearing deposits increased \$122.2 million during the first quarter of 2011. Although deposit competition remained intense through the first quarter of 2011, the Company continued to generate strong organic growth across its many deposit products. Organic deposit growth was driven

by growth in the Company s Houston and Lafayette markets.

Shareholders equity increased \$10.3 million, or 0.8%, to \$1.3 billion at March 31, 2011. The increase is the result of net income of \$14.6 million and other comprehensive income of \$2.9 million, offset partially by \$9.2 million in dividends paid on the Company s common stock during the first quarter of 2011.

Net interest income increased \$9.5 million, or 13.8%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the same period of 2010. This increase is largely attributable to a \$7.7 million decrease in interest expense as the Company continues to pay down its

41

Table of Contents

long-term debt using available funds. Net interest income was also positively affected by a \$300.5 million increase in average net earning assets. The Company s net interest margin ratio on a tax-equivalent basis increased 39 basis points to 3.55% at March 31, 2011 from 3.16% at March 31, 2010 due to changes in the volume and mix of the Company s assets and liabilities. Net interest margin was positively affected by a 37 basis point decrease in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities.

Noninterest income decreased 0.2% during the first quarter of 2011 when compared to the same period of 2010. The decrease was primarily driven by \$3.8 million in gains from the Company s acquisitions recorded in 2010. Offsetting the decrease in acquisition gains were increases of \$1.5 million in gains on the sale of loans, primarily from IMC, and broker commissions of \$1.4 million.

Noninterest expense increased \$14.7 million, or 22.0%, for the first quarter of 2011 when compared to the same period of 2010. The increase was attributed to higher salaries and employee benefits, as well as increased occupancy, equipment, and other branch expenses resulting from the Company s expanded footprint. In addition to personnel and other costs related to the expanding size of the Company, noninterest expenses were driven higher in 2011 with credit and other loan related expenses.

The Company recorded a provision for loan losses of \$5.5 million during the first quarter of 2011, compared to a provision of \$13.2 million in the first quarter of 2010. The provision was primarily the result of loan growth and a decrease in asset quality from December 31, 2010, as the Company had a net recovery of \$0.8 million during the first three months of 2011. As of March 31, 2011, the allowance for loan losses as a percent of total loans was 2.44%, compared to 2.26% at December 31, 2010.

In March 2011, the Company declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.34 per common share, consistent with all four quarterly dividends in 2010.

The Company s focus is that of a high performing institution. Management believes that improvement in core earnings drives shareholder value and has adopted a mission statement that is designed to provide guidance for management, our associates and Board of Directors regarding the sense of purpose and direction of the Company. We are very shareholder and client focused, expect high performance from our associates, believe in a strong sense of community and strive to make the Company a great place to work.

During 2011, the Company continued to execute its business model successfully, as the Company experienced solid organic loan growth during the year, despite the challenges the entire industry continues to face. The Company remains well positioned for future growth opportunities, as evidenced by abundant liquidity, core funding, and capitalization levels.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

EARNING ASSETS

Interest income associated with earning assets is the Company s primary source of income. Earning assets are composed of interest or dividend-earning assets, including loans, securities, short-term investments and loans held for sale. Earning assets averaged \$9.1 billion during the quarter ended March 31, 2011, a decrease of \$359.4 million, or 3.8%, from the year ended December 31, 2010, but an increase of \$110.1 million, or 1.2%, from March 31, 2010. The following discussion highlights the Company s major categories of earning assets.

Loans and Leases

The loan portfolio increased \$86.3 million, or 1.4%, to \$6.1 billion at March 31, 2011, compared to \$6.0 billion at December 31, 2010. The increase was driven by non-covered loan growth of \$149.5 million during the first three months of 2011. Organic growth was tempered by a decrease in loans covered by loss share agreements of \$63.2 million, or 4.0%.

Non-covered Loans

The following is a summary of the major categories of non-covered loans outstanding:

NON-COVERED LOANS RECEIVABLE BY LOAN TYPE

(dollars in thousands)		
Non-covered Loans	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Residential mortgage loans:		
Residential 1-4 family Construction/ Owner-occupied	\$ 329,564 14,742	\$ 355,164 14,822
Total residential mortgage loans	344,306	369,986
Commercial loans:		
Real estate	1,842,777	1,781,758
Business	1,412,549	1,341,338
Total commercial loans	3,255,326	3,123,096
Consumer loans:		
Indirect automobile	247,234	255,322
Home equity	608,129	555,749
Other	147,040	148,432
Total consumer loans	1,002,403	959,503
Total non-covered loans receivable	\$ 4,602,035	\$ 4,452,585

Covered Loans

The carrying amount of the covered loans at March 31, 2011 consisted of loans accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic 310-30 and loans not subject to ASC Topic 310-30 as detailed in the following table.

COVERED LOANS RECEIVABLE BY LOAN TYPE

ASC 310-30 Loans	Non- ASC 310-30 Loans	Total Covered Loans
\$ 48,268	\$ 198,337	\$ 246,605
48,268	198,337	246,605
164,780	672,257	837,037
5,766	154,327	160,093
	310-30 Loans \$ 48,268 48,268	310-30

Total commercial loans	170,546	826,584	997,130
Consumer loans:			
Indirect automobile			
Home equity	57,053	213,468	270,521
Other	935	4,365	5,299
Total consumer loans	57,988	217,833	275,820
Total covered loans receivable	\$ 276,802	\$ 1,241,753	\$ 1,519,555

Commercial Loans

Commercial real estate and commercial business loans generally have shorter repayment periods and more frequent repricing opportunities than residential 1-4 family loans. Total commercial loans increased \$89.5 million, or 2.1% during the first quarter of 2011, with \$132.2 million from non-covered IBERIABANK loans. Covered commercial loans decreased \$42.7 million, or 4.1%. The Company s focus on growing its commercial loan portfolio continued in 2011 as commercial loans as a percentage of total loans increased to almost 70% of the total loan portfolio at March 31, 2011.

The Company has increased its investment in commercial real estate loans \$32.7 million during the first quarter of 2011. Non-covered commercial real estate loans increased \$61.0 million, or 3.4%, with the Lafayette, LA, Baton Rouge, LA, Memphis, TN, and Houston, TX

43

markets experiencing the largest growth in their commercial loan portfolios. The Company s underwriting standards generally provide for loan terms of three to five years, with amortization schedules of generally no more than twenty years. Low loan-to-value ratios are maintained and usually limited to no more than 80%. In addition, the Company obtains personal guarantees of the principals as additional security for most commercial real estate loans.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company s commercial business loans totaled \$1.6 billion, or 25.7% of the Company s total loan portfolio. This represents a \$56.8 million, or 3.7%, increase from December 31, 2010. The Company originates commercial business loans on a secured and, to a lesser extent, unsecured basis. The Company s commercial business loans may be structured as term loans or revolving lines of credit. Term loans are generally structured with terms of no more than three to five years, with amortization schedules of generally no more than seven years. The Company s commercial business term loans are generally secured by equipment, machinery or other corporate assets. The Company also provides for revolving lines of credit generally structured as advances upon perfected security interests in accounts receivable and inventory. Revolving lines of credit generally have an annual maturity. The Company obtains personal guarantees of the principals as additional security for most commercial business loans.

On a market basis, growth in the non-covered portfolio was due primarily to IBERIABANK s newer markets, as the Mobile, Alabama market grew its loan portfolio \$14.2 million, or 18.1%. IBERIABANK s Houston, Texas market increased its loan portfolio 16.1%, or \$32.3 million, in 2011. In IBERIABANK s more mature markets, Baton Rouge, Louisiana commercial loan growth of \$27.8 million, or 8.5%, and Lafayette, Louisiana s growth of \$15.0 million, or 2.6%, also contributed to the overall commercial loan portfolio increase. Offsetting these increases were decreases in the New Orleans, Louisiana and Northeast Arkansas markets, which were due primarily to loan payments.

Mortgage Loans

Residential 1-4 family loans comprise most of the Company s mortgage loans. The vast majority of the Company s residential 1-4 family mortgage loan portfolio is secured by properties located in its market areas and originated under terms and documentation which permit their sale in the secondary market. Larger mortgage loans of private banking clients and prospects are generally retained to enhance relationships, and also due to the expected shorter durations and relatively lower servicing costs associated with loans of this size. The Company does not originate or hold high loan to value, negative amortization, option ARM, or other exotic mortgage loans in its portfolio.

The Company continues to sell the majority of conforming mortgage loan originations in the secondary market and recognize the associated fee income rather than assume the rate risk associated with these longer term assets. The Company also releases the servicing of these loans upon sale. Total residential mortgage loans decreased \$40.5 million, or 6.4%, compared to December 31, 2010. Of the total mortgage loan decrease from December 31, 2010, \$25.7 million, or 63.5%, was a result of a decrease in non-covered mortgage loans, as loans were paid down and new mortgage loan originations slowed.

Consumer Loans

The Company offers consumer loans in order to provide a full range of retail financial services to its customers. The Company originates substantially all of such loans in its primary market areas. At March 31, 2011, \$1.3 billion, or 20.9%, of the Company s total loan portfolio was comprised of consumer loans, compared to \$1.2 billion, or 20.6% at the end of 2010. The \$37.2 million increase in total consumer loans compared to December 31, 2010 was driven by home equity loan growth of \$43.8 million, offset by decreases in the Company s indirect automobile and credit card portfolios. Consumer loan growth in the Company s non-covered loan portfolio was impacted by the Company s tightened underwriting standards, a response to a weakened national and regional economy.

Consistent with December 31, 2010, home equity loans comprised the largest component of the Company s consumer loan portfolio at March 31, 2011. The balance of home equity loans increased \$43.8 million, or 5.2%, from \$834.8 million at December 31, 2010 to \$878.7 million at March 31, 2011. Non-covered IBERIABANK home equity loans increased \$52.4 million, or 9.4%, during the first three months of 2011.

Indirect automobile loans comprised the second largest component of the Company s consumer loan portfolio. Independent automobile dealerships originate these loans and forward applications to Company personnel for approval or denial. The Company relies on the dealerships, in part, for loan qualifying information. To that extent, there is risk inherent in indirect automobile loans associated with fraud or negligence by the automobile dealership. To limit this risk, an emphasis is placed on established dealerships that have demonstrated reputable behavior, both within the communities we serve and through long-term relationships with the Company. The balance of indirect automobile loans decreased \$8.1 million during the first quarter of 2011, from \$255.3 million at December 31, 2010 to \$247.2 million at March 31, 2011, as the Company retained its focus on prime or low risk paper. The indirect portfolio remained steady at 4% of the total loan portfolio.

The remainder of the consumer loan portfolio at March 31, 2011 was composed of direct automobile loans, credit card loans and other consumer loans, and comprised 2.5% of the overall loan portfolio. At March 31, 2011, the Company s direct automobile loans totaled \$31.7 million, a \$0.4 million increase over December 31, 2010. The Company s credit card loans totaled \$41.4 million, a 6.0% decrease from December 31, 2010, and the Company s other personal consumer loans amounted to \$79.2 million, a 4.9% increase from December 31, 2010.

Mortgage Loans Held for Sale

Loans held for sale decreased \$31.2 million, or 37.2%, to \$52.7 million at March 31, 2011, compared to \$83.9 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in the balance during the first three months of 2011 was a result of slower origination during the first quarter of 2011. The Company originated \$287.4 million in mortgage loans during the first quarter of 2011, compared to \$516.1 million during the fourth quarter of 2010. Sales of mortgage loans totaled \$318.6 million during the first quarter of 2011, a 47.2% decrease from the fourth quarter of 2010. The first quarter of the year has traditionally been a slower period for the Company s mortgage origination business.

Loans held for sale have primarily been fixed rate single-family residential mortgage loans under contract to be sold in the secondary market. In most cases, loans in this category are sold within thirty days. Buyers generally have recourse to return a purchased loan to the Company under limited circumstances. Recourse conditions may include fraud in the origination, breach of representations or warranties, and documentation deficiencies. At March 31, 2011, the Company has \$2.6 million in loans that have recourse conditions for which a buyer has notified the Company of potential recourse action. The Company has recorded a reserve of \$0.8 million for the potential repurchase at March 31, 2011. During the first quarter of 2011, an insignificant number of loans were returned to the Company.

Asset Quality

Over time, the Company s loan portfolio has transitioned to be more representative of a commercial bank. Accordingly, there is the potential for a higher level of return for investors, but also the potential for higher charge-off and nonperforming levels. As a result, in previous years management has tightened underwriting guidelines and procedures, adopted more conservative loan charge-off and nonaccrual guidelines, rewritten the loan policy and developed an internal loan review function to address the changing risk of the Company s loan portfolio. As a result of management s enhancements to underwriting risk/return dynamics within the loan portfolio over time, the credit quality of the Company s assets has remained strong. Despite declines in asset quality in portions of the Company s total loan portfolio, management believes asset quality remains favorable when compared to its peers. Management also believes that historically it has recognized and disclosed significant problem loans quickly and taken prompt action in addressing material weaknesses in those credits. Deterioration in asset quality during 2011 was primarily attributable to a limited number of larger isolated credits and not a significant shift in overall portfolio quality and this impact is described in the Covered Loans section below. Consistent with prior years, the Company s purchase and assumption of assets and liabilities of CSB, Orion, Century, and Sterling significantly impacted overall asset quality. Management seeks to recognize and disclose significant problem loans quickly and take prompt action to address material weaknesses in those credits. The Company will continue to closely monitor the risk-adjusted level of return within the loan portfolio.

Written underwriting standards established by the Board of Directors and management govern the lending activities of the Company. The commercial credit department, in conjunction with senior lending personnel, underwrites all commercial business and commercial real estate loans. The Company provides centralized underwriting of all residential mortgage, construction and consumer loans. Established loan origination procedures require appropriate documentation including financial data and credit reports. For loans secured by real property, the Company generally requires property appraisals, title insurance or a title opinion, hazard insurance and flood insurance, where appropriate.

Loan payment performance is monitored and late charges are assessed on past due accounts. A centralized department administers delinquent loans. Every effort is made to minimize any potential loss, including instituting legal proceedings, as necessary. Commercial loans of the Company are periodically reviewed through a loan review process. All other loans are also subject to loan review through a periodic sampling process.

The Company utilizes an asset risk classification system in compliance with guidelines established by the Federal Reserve Board as part of its efforts to monitor commercial asset quality. In connection with examinations of insured institutions, both federal and state examiners also have the authority to identify problem assets and, if appropriate, classify them. There are three classifications for problem assets: substandard, doubtful and loss. Substandard assets have one or more defined weaknesses and are characterized by the distinct possibility that the insured institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Doubtful assets have the weaknesses of substandard assets with the additional characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full questionable and there is a high probability of loss based on currently existing facts, conditions and values. An asset classified as loss is not considered collectable and of such little value that continuance as an asset of the Company is not warranted. Commercial loans with adverse classifications are reviewed by the Loan Committee of the Board of Directors at least monthly. Loans are placed on nonaccrual status when they are 90 days or more past due, unless in the judgment of management, the probability of timely collection of interest is deemed to be sufficient to warrant further accrual. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, previously accrued but unpaid interest for the current year is deducted from interest income. Prior year interest is charged-off to the allowance for loan losses.

Real estate acquired by the Company as a result of foreclosure or by deed-in-lieu of foreclosure is classified as other real estate owned (OREO) until sold, and is carried at the balance of the loan at the time of acquisition or at estimated fair value less estimated costs to sell, whichever is

less.

Under generally accepted accounting principles, the Company is required to account for certain loan modifications or restructurings as troubled debt restructurings . In general, the modification or restructuring of a debt constitutes a troubled debt restructuring if the Company for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower s financial difficulties grants a concession to the borrower that the Company would not otherwise consider under current market conditions. Debt restructurings or loan modifications for a borrower do not necessarily constitute troubled debt restructurings, however, and troubled debt restructurings do not necessarily result in nonaccrual loans.

45

Nonperforming Assets

The Company defines nonperforming assets as nonaccrual loans, accruing loans more than 90 days past due, and OREO and foreclosed property.

Due to the significant difference in the accounting for the covered loans and the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, the Company believes that asset quality measures excluding the covered loans are generally more meaningful. Purchased impaired loans had evidence of deterioration in credit quality prior to acquisition, and thus the fair value of these loans as of the acquisition date included an estimate of credit losses. These loans, as well as acquired loans with no evidence of credit deterioration at acquisition, are accounted for on a pool basis, and these pools are considered to be performing. Purchased impaired loans were not classified as nonperforming assets at March 31, 2011 or December 31, 2010, as the loans are considered to be performing under FASB ASC Topic 310-30. As a result, interest income, through the accretion of the difference between the carrying value of the loans and the expected cash flows, is being recognized on all purchased loans accounted for under FASB ASC Topic 310-30. Therefore, management has included asset quality measures that exclude covered loans in the table in this section.

Nonperforming assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements totaled \$77.5 million at March 31, 2011, an increase of \$8.1 million, or 11.7%, from December 31, 2010. The following table sets forth the composition of the Company s non-covered nonperforming assets, including accruing loans past due 90 or more days, as of the dates indicated.

NONPERFORMING ASSETS AND TROUBLED DEBT RESTRUCTURINGS

(dollars in thousands)	March 31, 2011	Dec	ember 31, 2010
Nonaccrual loans:			
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 45,798	\$	35,457
Mortgage	6,207		5,917
Loans to individuals	8,029		8,122
Total nonaccrual loans	60,034		49,496
Accruing loans 90 days or more past due	454		1,455
Total nonperforming loans (1)	60,488		50,951
Foreclosed property	17,056		18,496
Total nonperforming assets (1)	77,544		69,447
Troubled debt restructurings in compliance with modified terms ⁽²⁾	56		14,968
Total nonperforming assets and troubled debt restructurings (1)	\$ 77,600	\$	84,415
Nonperforming loans to total loans (1)(3)	1.31%		1.14%
Nonperforming assets to total assets (1)(3)	1.01%		0.91%
Nonperforming assets and troubled debt restructurings to total			
assets (1)(3)	1.01%		1.10%
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	110.46%		122.59%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans ⁽⁴⁾	1.45%		1.40%

- (1) Nonperforming loans and assets include accruing loans 90 days or more past due.
- (2) Troubled debt restructurings for March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 do not include \$23,523,000 and \$2,504,000 in troubled debt restructurings included in total nonaccrual loans above.
- (3) Total loans and total assets exclude loans and assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements discussed below.

(4) The allowance for loan losses excludes the portion of the allowance related to covered loans discussed below. Nonperforming loans were 1.31% of total non-covered loans at March 31, 2011, 17 basis points higher than at December 31, 2010. If covered loans meeting nonperforming criteria are included, nonperforming loans would have been 12.32% of total loans before discounts (i.e., based on the contractual unpaid principal balance) at March 31, 2011, and 14.40% at December 31, 2010. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans was 110.46% at March 31, 2011 and 122.59% at December 31, 2010. Including covered assets, the allowance coverage of total loans before application of covered loan discounts would have been 2.19% at March 31, 2011 and 2.02% at December 31, 2010.

46

The increase in nonperforming assets from December 31, 2010 was primarily a result of additional nonaccrual loans at March 31, 2011, as these nonaccrual loans increased \$10.5 million, or 21.3%. The increase can be attributable to the placement of troubled debt restructurings at December 31, 2010 on nonaccrual during 2011. Offsetting this increase was a decrease of \$1.0 million, or 68.8%, in accruing loan balances greater than 90 days past due and a \$1.4 million, or 7.8%, decrease in foreclosed properties.

The nonaccrual loan increase was primarily the result of six credits which totaled \$13.7 million at December 31, 2010. The six credits were put on nonaccrual status during the first quarter of 2011 based on their payment history. These credits have been reviewed for impairment and had specific reserves on their outstanding balance at March 31, 2011 to cover probable losses. The increase in nonaccrual loans was primarily from these isolated credits and did not reflect a significant decline in overall portfolio quality.

Nonperforming asset balances as a percentage of total assets have remained at a relatively low level. Total nonperforming assets were 1.01% of non-covered assets at March 31, 2011, ten basis points above December 31, 2010. In response to both loan growth and a slight decline in asset quality during the first quarter of 2011, the Company increased its reserve for loan losses, which in turn increased the reserve coverage of total non-covered loans to 1.45% at March 31, 2011, five basis points above December 31, 2010.

Loans defined as troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) not included in nonperforming assets decreased to \$0.1 million at March 31, 2011 and consisted of only one credit. Total TDRs not covered by loss share agreements totaled \$23.5 million at March 31, 2011, \$6.1 million, or 35.0%, higher than December 31, 2010. Three credits totaling \$5.8 million accounted for almost the entire increase in balance from year-end.

Management continually monitors loans and transfers loans to nonaccrual status when warranted. The Company had net recoveries of \$0.8 million, or 0.05% of average loans, during the three months ended March 31, 2011, primarily the result of one large recovery. Net charge-offs on non-covered loans during the first quarter of 2010 were \$5.1 million, or 0.36%.

At March 31, 2011, excluding loans covered by the FDIC loss share agreements, the Company had \$103.6 million of assets classified as substandard, \$1.1 million of assets classified as doubtful, and no assets classified as loss. At such date, the aggregate of the Company s classified assets amounted to 1.05% of total assets, 1.71% of total loans, and 2.28% of non-covered loans. At December 31, 2010, the aggregate of the Company s classified assets, \$105.8 million, amounted to 1.05% of total assets, 1.75% of total loans, and 2.37% of non-covered loans. Thirteen relationships accounted for 61.0% of total substandard loans. A reserve for loan losses has been recorded for all substandard loans at March 31, 2011 according to the Company s allowance policy.

In addition to the problem loans described above, excluding covered loans, there were \$79.0 million of loans classified special mention at March 31, 2011, which in management s opinion were subject to potential future rating downgrades. Special mention loans are defined as loans where known information about possible credit problems of the borrower cause management to have some doubt as to the ability of these borrowers to comply with the present loan repayment terms and which may result in future disclosure of these loans as nonperforming.

Past Due Loans

Past due status is based on the contractual terms of loans. At March 31, 2011, loans past due 30 days or more excluding covered loans were 1.65% of total loans, an increase of 21 basis points from December 31, 2010. Including covered loans, loans past due 30 days or more would have been 13.93% of total loans before discount adjustments at March 31, 2011 and 14.54% at December 31, 2010. Past due loans are presented in the following table.

PAST DUE NON-COVERED LOANS TO TOTAL NON-COVERED LOANS

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
IBERIABANK Corporation		
(Excluding FDIC Covered Loans)		
30+ days past due	0.35%	0.33%
Non-accrual loans	1.30%	1.11%
Total past due loans	1.65%	1.44%

IBERIABANK past due non-covered loans (including nonaccrual loans) increased \$12.1 million, or 18.8%, from December 31, 2010 and was the result of the larger commercial nonaccrual credits mentioned previously. Accruing loans past due decreased \$1.0 million, or 68.8%, from December 31, 2010.

Covered Loans

The loans and foreclosed real estate that were acquired in the CSB, Orion, Century, and Sterling acquisitions in 2009 and 2010 are covered by loss share agreements between the FDIC and IBERIABANK, which afford IBERIABANK significant loss protection. As a result of the loss protection provided by the FDIC, the risk of loss on the acquired loans and foreclosed real estate is significantly different from those assets not covered under the loss share agreements.

At their acquisition dates, covered assets were recorded at their fair value, which included an estimate of credit losses. The Company estimated the fair value of the total acquired loan portfolios by segregating the total portfolio into loan pools with similar characteristics, which included loan performance at the time of acquisition, loan type based on regulatory reporting guidelines, the nature of collateral, interest rate type, and loan payment type. Covered assets were segregated by pools with evidence of credit deterioration and pools considered to be performing at the time of acquisition. From these pools, the Company used certain loan information, including outstanding principal balance, weighted average maturity, weighted average term to re-price (if a variable rate loan), weighted average margin, and weighted average interest rate to estimate the expected cash flow for each loan pool. Each loan pool was then recorded at fair value based on the Company s estimate of cash flows expected to be collected on each loan pool sharing common risk characteristics.

Although covered loans are not included in the Company s nonperforming assets, in accordance with bank regulatory reporting standards, both acquired loans considered impaired at the time of acquisition and those performing at the time of acquisition that meet the Company s definition of a nonperforming loan at each balance sheet date are discussed below. Included in the discussion are all covered loans that are contractually past due based on the number of days past due. Certain measures of the asset quality of covered loans are discussed below. Loan balances are reported before consideration of applied loan discounts, as these discounts were recorded based on the estimated cash flow of the total loan pool and not on a specific loan basis. Because of the loss share agreements, balances discussed below are for general comparative purposes only and do not represent the Company s risk of loss on covered assets. Because these assets are covered by the loss share agreements with the FDIC, at least 80% of incurred losses are reimbursable from the FDIC.

Total covered loans past due at March 31, 2011 totaled \$860.9 million before discounts, a decrease of \$55.5 million, or 6.1%, from December 31, 2010. Of the \$55.5 million decrease, loans past due 30 to 89 days decreased \$6.1 million, or 6.3%, while nonperforming loans (defined as accruing loans greater than 90 days past due and nonaccrual loans) decreased \$49.3 million. The decrease in nonperforming loans was a result of a decrease of \$22.8 million, or 44.2%, in accruing loans past due 90 or more days and a decrease of \$26.5 million, or 3.5%, in nonaccrual loans. Past due loans included \$740.2 million in loans that would otherwise meet the Company s definition of nonaccrual loans and \$120.7 million in accruing loans past due, \$91.9 million, or 76.1%, were past due less than 90 days. The indemnification agreements on covered assets include a provision for recapture of a portion of interest if the interest is included in total losses on the covered asset.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The determination of the allowance for loan losses, which represents management s estimate of probable losses inherent in the Company s credit portfolio, involves a high degree of judgment and complexity. The Company establishes general reserves on the Company s loan portfolios described in detail below and specific reserves for estimated losses on delinquent and other problem loans when it is determined that losses are probable on such loans. Management s determination of the adequacy of the allowance is based on various factors, including an evaluation of the portfolio, past loss experience, current economic conditions, the volume and type of lending conducted by the Company, composition of the portfolio, the amount of the Company s classified assets, seasoning of the loan portfolio, the status of past due principal and interest payments, and other relevant factors. Changes in such estimates may have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

The foundation of the allowance for the Company s commercial segment is the credit risk rating of each relationship within the portfolio. The credit risk of each borrower is assessed, and a risk grade is assigned. The portfolios are further segmented by facility or collateral ratings. The dual risk grade for each loan is determined by the relationship manager and other approving officers and changed from time to time to reflect an ongoing assessment of the risk. Grades are reviewed on specific loans by senior management and as part of the Company s internal loan review process. The commercial loan loss allowance is determined for all pass-rated borrowers based upon the borrower risk rating, the expected default probabilities of each rating category, and the outstanding loan balances by risk grade. For borrowers that are rated special mention or below, the higher of the migration analysis and Company established minimum reserve percentages apply. In addition, consideration is given to historical loss experience by internal risk rating, current economic conditions, industry performance trends, geographic or borrower concentrations within each portfolio segment, the current business strategy and credit process, loan underwriting criteria, loan workout procedures, and other pertinent information.

Reserves are determined for each impaired commercial loan based on management s evaluation of the borrower s overall financial condition, resources, and payment record; the prospects for support from any financially responsible guarantors; and the realizable value of any collateral.

Reserves are established for these loans based upon an estimate of probable losses for the individual loans deemed to be impaired. This estimate considers all available evidence including the present value of the expected future cash flows and the fair value of collateral less disposal costs. Loans for which impaired reserves are provided are excluded from the general reserve calculations described above to prevent duplicate reserves.

The allowance also consists of reserves for unimpaired loans that encompass qualitative economic factors and specific market risk components. The foundation for the general consumer allowance is a review of the loan portfolios and the performance of those portfolios. This review is accomplished by first segmenting the portfolio into homogenous pools. Residential mortgage loans, direct consumer loans,

48

Table of Contents

consumer home equity, indirect consumer loans, credit card, and the business banking portfolio each are considered separately. The historical performance of each of these pools is analyzed by examining the level of charge-offs over a specific period of time. The historical average charge-off level for each pool is updated at least quarterly.

In addition to this base analysis, the consumer portfolios are also analyzed for specific risks within each segment. The risk analysis considers the Company's current strategy for each segment, the maturity of each segment, expansion into new markets, the deployment of newly developed products and any other significant factors impacting that segment. Current regional and national economic factors are an important dimension of the assessment and impact each portfolio segment. The general economic factors are evaluated and adjusted quarterly, if necessary.

Loan portfolios tied to acquisitions made during the year are incorporated into the Company s allowance process. If the acquisition has an impact on the level of exposure to a particular segment, industry or geographic market, this increase in exposure is factored into the allowance determination process. Generally, acquisitions have higher levels of risk of loss based on differences in credit culture and portfolio management practices.

Acquired loans follow the reserve standard set in ASC Topic No. 310-30. At acquisition, the Company reviews each loan or loan pool to determine whether there is evidence of deterioration in credit quality since origination and if it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the loan s contractual terms. The Company considers expected prepayments and estimates the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows for each loan meeting the criteria above, and determines the excess of the loan s scheduled contractual principal and contractual interest payments over all cash flows expected at acquisition as an amount that should not be accreted (nonaccretable difference). The remaining amount, representing the excess of the loan s or pool s cash flows expected to be collected over the book value of the loan, is accreted into interest income over the remaining life of the loan or pool (accretable yield). The Company records a discount on these loans at acquisition to record them at the present value of their estimated realizable cash flow. As a result, acquired loans subject to ASC Topic No. 310-30 are excluded from the calculation of loan loss reserves at the acquisition date.

Loans acquired in the CSB, Orion, Century, and Sterling acquisitions were recorded at their acquisition date fair value, which was based on expected cash flows and included an estimation of expected future loan losses. Under current accounting principles, information regarding the Company s estimate of loan fair values may be adjusted for a period of up to one year as the Company continues to refine its estimate of expected future cash flows in the acquired portfolio. Within a one-year period, if the Company discovers that it has materially underestimated the loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the acquisition date, it will retroactively reduce or eliminate the gain recorded on the acquisition. If the Company determines that losses arose after the acquisition date, the additional losses are reflected as a provision for loan losses. Because acquired impaired loans follow the reserve standard set in ASC Topic No. 310-30, and acquired performing loans follow the same standard by analogy, the Company estimates the current amount and timing of expected principal, interest, and other cash flows for each loan pool and compares the total expected cash flow of the loan pools to the book value of the loan pools. If the expected cash flow is below the recorded book value, the Company records an allowance on the loan pool through an adjustment to its provision for loan losses and the FDIC loss share receivable. During the quarter ended March 31, 2011, the Company recorded an allowance for loan losses of \$8.6 million to reserve for the portion of probable losses arising in the covered loan portfolio after the respective acquisition dates. Because the Company has addressed deterioration in the covered loan portfolio on a pool basis, the Company has recorded an allowance for the full amount of expected losses in loan pools identified as having evidence of additional deterioration arising after acquisition. For loan pools that have exhibited an improvement in asset quality since acquisition, the Company will accrete

Based on facts and circumstances available, management of the Company believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate at March 31, 2011 to cover probable losses in the Company s loan portfolio. However, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary, and the Company s results of operations could be adversely affected, if circumstances differ substantially from the assumptions used by management in determining the allowance for loan losses.

The following tables set forth the activity in the Company s allowance for loan losses during the periods indicated.

49

SUMMARY OF ACTIVITY IN THE ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

	Three Months Ended Three Months Ende			
(dollars in thousands)	M	larch 31, 2011	N	1arch 31, 2010
Balance, beginning of period	\$	136,100	\$	55,768
Provision charged (reversed) to operations		5,471		13,201
Provision recorded through the FDIC loss share receivable		6,784		
Charge-offs:				
Commercial, financial and agricultural		880		4,275
Mortgage		80		111
Loans to individuals		2,334		2,423
Total charge-offs		3,294		6,809
Recoveries:				
Commercial, financial and agricultural		3,355		1,101
Mortgage		55		9
Loans to individuals		648		605
Total recoveries		4,058		1,715
Net charge-offs (recoveries)		(764)		5,094
Balance, end of period	\$	149,119	\$	63,875
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming assets (1)(2)		86.2%		87.0%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans at end of period ⁽²⁾		1.45%		1.53%
Net charge-offs (recoveries) to average loans		(0.05)%	,	0.36%

⁽¹⁾ Nonperforming assets include accruing loans 90 days or more past due.

⁽²⁾ The allowance for loan losses in the calculation does not include the allowance allocated to covered assets.

(dollars in thousands)	Covered	ch 31, 2011 -covered	[
	Loans	 oans	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 73,640	\$ 62,460	\$ 136,100
Provision for loan losses before benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements	8,554	3,701	12,255
Benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements	(6,784)		(6,784)
Net provision for loan losses	1,770	3,701	5,471
Increase in FDIC loss share receivable	6,784		6,784
Loans charged-off	(218)	(3,076)	(3,294)
Recoveries	327	3,731	4,058
Balance, end of period	\$ 82,303	\$ 66,916	\$ 149,119

50

(dollars in thousands)	Covered Loans			0 Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 145	\$ 5	5,623	\$ 55,768
Provision for loan losses before benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements	928	1	2,273	13,201
Benefit attributable to FDIC loss share agreements				
Net provision for loan losses	928	1	2,273	13,201
Increase in FDIC loss share receivable				
Loans charged-off	(928)	(5,881)	(6,809)
Recoveries			1,715	1,715
Balance, end of period	\$ 145	\$ 6	3,730	\$ 63,875

The allowance for loan losses amounted to \$149.1 million, or 2.44% of total loans, at March 31, 2011, \$13.0 million higher than at December 31, 2010. The allowance as a percentage of loans was 18 basis points above the 2.26% at December 31, 2010.

The increase in the allowance was primarily related to increased reserves on the covered loan portfolio based on the Company s estimate of expected cash flows from these portfolios at March 31, 2011. The allowance for loan losses on covered loans increased \$8.7 million from December 31, 2010, or 66.5% of the total increase over December 31, 2010. Expected cash flows on certain of the Company s acquired loan pools decreased during the first quarter of 2011, and thus a reserve was established to cover additional expected losses in these portfolios. The total increase in the allowance for covered loans based on these cash flows was recorded as a \$1.8 million provision for loan losses in the Company s consolidated statement of income for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 and a \$6.8 million increase in the Company s FDIC loss share receivable.

The allowance for loan losses on the non-covered portion of the Company s loan portfolio increased due to a decline in asset quality and additional specific reserves on commercial credits at IBERIABANK. Excluding net charge-off activity, the Company recorded a provision of \$3.7 million to reserve for loan growth and changes in asset quality during the quarter to address the increased risk of loss inherent in the Company s legacy loan portfolio at March 31, 2011.

Because of the increase in the allowance during the first quarter of 2011, the allowance for loan losses covers nonperforming loans 1.1 times. The allowance for loan losses on non-covered loans covers total past due loans 87.5% at March 31, 2011, a decrease compared to the December 31, 2010 coverage of 97.2%. The decrease is attributable to a higher level of specific reserves on impaired loans than at December 31, 2010.

FDIC Loss Share Receivable

As part of the three FDIC-assisted acquisitions during 2009 and the Sterling acquisition during 2010, the Company recorded a \$1.1 billion receivable from the FDIC, which represents the fair value of the expected reimbursable losses covered by the loss share agreements. The FDIC loss share receivable decreased \$37.9 million, or 5.2%, during the first quarter of 2011 as the Company moved current expected reimbursements resulting from loan charge-offs to the receivable due from the FDIC, included in other assets discussed below. Offsetting the decreases due to reimbursements was a \$6.8 million increase due to additional estimated losses on certain covered loan pools during the first quarter of 2011.

The following table sets forth the activity in the FDIC loss share receivable asset for the periods indicated.

(dollars in thousands)	March 31,		
	2011	2010	
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 726,871	\$ 1,034,734	
Increase due to loan loss provision recorded on FDIC covered loans	6,784		
(Amortization) Accretion	(21,913)	1,762	
Submission of reimbursable losses to the FDIC	(23,848)	(64,968)	
Change due to a decrease (increase) in cash flow assumptions on OREO	1,110	(3,411)	
Balance, end of period	\$ 689,004	\$ 968,117	

Investment Securities

The following table shows the carrying values of securities by category as of the dates indicated.

CARRYING VALUE OF SECURITIES

(dollars in thousands)	March 31, 2011	,		31,
Securities available for sale:				
U.S. Government- sponsored enterprise obligations	\$ 411,575	21%	\$ 422,800	21%
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	37,651	2	40,169	2
Mortgage backed securities	1,258,156	63	1,263,869	63
Other securities	2,944		2,956	
Total securities available for sale Securities held to maturity:	1,710,326	86	1,729,794	86
U.S. Government- sponsored enterprise obligations	180,402	9	180,479	9
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	63,496	3	75,768	4
Mortgage backed securities	31,943	2	33,773	1
Total securities held to maturity	275,841	14	290,020	14
Total securities	\$ 1.986.167	100%	\$ 2.019.814	100%

All of the Company s mortgage-backed securities are agency securities. The Company does not hold any Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac preferred stock, corporate equity, collateralized debt obligations, collateralized loan obligations, structured investment vehicles, private label collateralized mortgage obligations, sub-prime, Alt-A, or second lien elements in its investment portfolio.

Investment securities decreased by \$33.6 million, or 1.7%, to \$2.0 billion at March 31, 2011. The decrease was due to the maturity and calls of both available for sale and held to maturity investments during the first three months of 2011.

The following table summarizes activity in the Company s investment securities portfolio during the first three months of 2011. There were no transfers of securities between investment categories during the year.

(dollars in thousands)	Avai	lable for Sale	Held t	o Maturity
Balance, beginning of period	\$	1,729,794	\$	290,020
Purchases		92,326		2,240
Sales, net of gains				
Principal maturities, prepayments and calls, net of gains		(110,064)		(16,152)
Amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts		(4,610)		(267)
Increase (Decrease) in market value		2,880		
Balance, end of period	\$	1,710,326	\$	275,841

As a result of the Company s analysis, no declines in the market value of the Company s investment securities are deemed to be other-than-temporary at March 31, 2011. At March 31, 2011, the Company s investment portfolio did not contain any securities that are directly backed by subprime or Alt-A mortgages.

Funds generated as a result of sales and prepayments are used to fund loan growth and purchase other securities. The Company continues to monitor market conditions and take advantage of market opportunities with appropriate rate and risk return elements. Note 5 of the unaudited consolidated financial statements provides further information on the Company s investment securities.

Short-term Investments

Short-term investments result from excess funds that fluctuate daily depending on the funding needs of the Company and are currently invested overnight in interest-bearing deposit accounts at the FHLB of Dallas and Atlanta, the total balance of which earns interest at the current FHLB discount rate.

The balance in interest-bearing deposits at other institutions decreased \$96.8 million, or 39.9%, from \$242.8 million at December 31, 2010 to \$146.0 million at March 31, 2011. The Company has deployed these deposits to fund loan growth and pay down its short-term and long-term debt, all in an attempt to improve the average rate earned on interest-earning assets. The decrease in the Company s deposits is consistent with a decrease in customer deposits lost from the deposits acquired in the Florida acquisitions. The Company s cash activity is further discussed in the Liquidity section below.

Other Assets

The following table details the changes in other asset balances at the dates indicated.

OTHER ASSETS COMPOSITION

(dollars in thousands)	March 31,	December 31,	Increase/(D	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Other Earning Assets				
FHLB and FRB stock	\$ 56,294	\$ 57,280	\$ (986)	(1.7)%
Fed funds sold	2,011	9,038	(7,027)	(77.7)
Other interest-bearing assets (1)	3,172	3,358	(186)	(5.5)
Total earning assets	61,477	69,676	(8,199)	(11.8)
Other Assets				
Premises and equipment	231,797	208,403	23,394	11.2
Bank-owned life insurance	73,261	72,536	725	1.0

Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-Q

\$ 954,529	\$ 959,167	\$ 4.638	0.5%
55,060	49,049	6,011	12.3
111,644	112,296	(652)	(0.6)
4,700	42,494	(37,794)	(88.9)
36,725	37,320	(595)	(1.6)
83,186	69,218	13,968	20.2
33,923	34,250	(327)	(1.0)
6,722	6,722		
21,806	22,975	(1,169)	(5.1)
234,228	234,228		
	21,806 6,722 33,923 83,186 36,725 4,700 111,644 55,060	21,806 22,975 6,722 6,722 33,923 34,250 83,186 69,218 36,725 37,320 4,700 42,494 111,644 112,296 55,060 49,049	21,806 22,975 (1,169) 6,722 6,722 33,923 34,250 (327) 83,186 69,218 13,968 36,725 37,320 (595) 4,700 42,494 (37,794) 111,644 112,296 (652) 55,060 49,049 6,011

⁽¹⁾ Other interest-bearing assets are composed primarily of trust preferred common securities.

The \$1.0 million decrease in FHLB stock is the result of \$1.0 million in repurchases of stock during the first quarter of 2011. The repurchases are mandatory for eligible stock based on FHLB regulations. There were no additional FRB or FHLB stock purchases during the first quarter of 2011.

Fed funds sold decreased \$7.0 million since December 31, 2010. Fed funds sold represent short-term excess liquidity, and the balance varies based on the daily requirements of short-term liquidity needed by the Company and its subsidiaries for loan growth and other operating activities.

The \$0.2 million decrease in other interest-bearing assets is a result of the repayment of a portion of the Company s trust preferred securities during the first quarter of 2011.

The \$23.4 million increase in premises and equipment in the first quarter of 2011 was a result of additional capitalized expenditures at the Company s branches during the first quarter of 2011. The investment in additional branch property is part of the Company s growth strategy and expansion into new markets. Included in the increase from December 31, 2010 was \$10.8 million in property and equipment acquired from the FDIC when the Company exercised the purchase option on the Sterling property and equipment in the first quarter of 2011.

The \$0.7 million increase in the Company s bank-owned life insurance balance was a result of earnings on existing policies during the first three months of 2011.

The \$1.2 million decrease in core deposit intangibles was due to amortization expense of \$1.2 million during the first quarter of 2011.

The \$0.3 million decrease in accrued interest receivable from December 31, 2010 is attributable primarily to the timing of interest payments during the quarter.

Other real estate includes all real estate, other than bank premises used in bank operations, that is owned or controlled by the Company, including real estate acquired in settlement of loans and former bank premises no longer used. The \$14.0 million increase in the Company s OREO balance from December 31, 2010 was a result of the foreclosures of numerous OREO properties during the first quarter of 2011.

The increase in OREO at IBERIABANK was a result of the movement of covered properties into the portfolio during the first quarter of 2011. The increase in covered OREO balances of \$15.4 million, or 30.4%, accounted for the majority of the change at IBERIABANK, as legacy IBERIABANK OREO decreased \$1.4 million from December 31, 2010.

The \$0.6 million decrease in the market value of the Company s derivatives was attributable to the fair value adjustments on existing customer derivative agreements recorded during the first three months of 2011. The total decrease in fair value of existing derivatives was offset partially by the increase in fair value from additional customer derivatives from interest rate swap and CD-product derivatives.

The balance due to the Company from the FDIC in accordance with the loss share agreements decreased \$37.8 million during the first quarter of 2011. The decrease in the balance was a result of the repayment from the FDIC of losses submitted at December 31, 2010. The Company s submission of losses in the first quarter has slowed some as many loan pools have shown improvement in cash flows. The balance due from the FDIC includes the reimbursable portion of incurred losses and reimbursable expenses.

The \$0.7 million decrease in the Company s investments in new market tax credits is a result of the amortization of the tax credits as they are recognized in the Company s income tax provision calculation. The Company did not invest in additional new market tax credits during the first three months of 2011.

The \$6.0 million increase in other assets since December 31, 2010 was primarily the result of two events. First, the Company recorded a receivable of \$1.9 million for a loan recovery not received at year-end. The recovery was part of a settlement during the first quarter of 2011 on the amount owed to the Company. In addition, the Company s current income tax receivable increased by \$7.8 million during 2011 as a result of estimated tax payments made to its various income tax jurisdictions during the first three months of 2011. Offsetting these increases were decreases in the Company s prepaid assets of \$1.8 million and its computer software of \$0.8 million as assets at December 31, 2010 were amortized as noninterest expense during the first three months of 2011.

There was no change in the Company s goodwill, title plant or other intangible asset balances since December 31, 2010.

54

FUNDING SOURCES

Deposits obtained from clients in its primary market areas are the Company s principal source of funds for use in lending and other business purposes. The Company attracts local deposit accounts by offering a wide variety of accounts, competitive interest rates and convenient branch office locations and service hours. Increasing core deposits through acquisitions and the development of client relationships is a continuing focus of the Company. Borrowings have become an increasingly important funding source as the Company has grown. Other funding sources include short-term and long-term borrowings, subordinated debt and shareholders equity. The following discussion highlights the major changes in the mix of deposits and other funding sources during the first quarter of 2011.

Deposits

During the first quarter of 2011, deposits decreased \$56.1 million, or 0.7%, totaling \$7.9 billion at March 31, 2011, as total interest-bearing deposits decreased \$118.3 million, or 1.7%, from December 31, 2010. The decrease was driven by a \$240.5 million decrease in time deposits, as certificates of deposits matured and were not renewed due to continued rate reductions. Offsetting the decrease in certificates of deposit were increases in interest-bearing transaction accounts of \$122.2 million, or 2.9%. Noninterest-bearing deposits also increased during the first three months of 2011, as total noninterest-bearing deposits rose \$62.3 million, or 7.1%.

The following tables set forth the composition of the Company s deposits at the dates indicated.

DEPOSIT COMPOSITION

(dollars in thousands)	March 31,		December 31,		Increase/(De	ecrease)
	2011		2010		Amount	Percent
Noninterest-bearing DDA	\$ 941,021	12%	\$ 878,768	11%	\$ 62,253	7.1%
NOW accounts	1,395,172	18	1,281,825	16	113,347	8.8
Savings and money market	2,918,924	37	2,910,114	37	8,810	0.3
Certificates of deposit	2,603,918	33	2,844,399	36	(240,481)	(8.5)
Total deposits	\$ 7,859,035	100%	\$ 7,915,106	100%	\$ (56,071)	(0.7)%

From a market perspective, deposit growth was seen primarily in IBERIABANK s newer Houston, Texas market, as well as the Lafayette, Louisiana market. Houston experienced growth of \$18.6 million, 14.3% growth from December 31, 2010 deposit levels. The Lafayette, Louisiana market contributed deposit growth of \$79.6 million, or 6.4%. Market growth was offset by deposit runoff in the Southeast Florida (\$45.2 million, or 7.6%), Bradenton (\$15.7 million, or 6.7%), and Fort Myers, Florida (\$13.6 million, or 7.5%) markets, as well as the Northeast Arkansas market (\$15.8 million, or 3.2%).

Short-term Borrowings

The Company may obtain advances from the FHLB of Dallas based upon the common stock it owns in the FHLB of Dallas and certain of its real estate loans and investment securities, provided certain standards related to the Company s creditworthiness have been met. These advances are made pursuant to several credit programs, each of which has its own interest rate and range of maturities. The level of short-term borrowings can fluctuate significantly on a daily basis depending on funding needs and the source of funds chosen to satisfy those needs.

Total short-term borrowings decreased \$4.8 million, or 2.2%, to \$215.5 million at March 31, 2011 compared to \$220.3 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in borrowings was a result of a decrease in the Company s securities sold under agreements to repurchase. There were no short-term FHLB advances outstanding at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Total short-term debt was 2.5% of total liabilities and 34.9% of total borrowings at March 31, 2011, which compares favorably to 2.5% and 33.8%, respectively, at December 31, 2010.

On an average basis, short-term borrowings during the first quarter of 2011 were \$216.5 million, compared to \$236.4 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease can be attributed to FHLB advances, as the Company had no short-term advances outstanding during the first three months of 2011. The weighted average rate on short-term borrowings was 0.24% for the first three months of 2011, compared to 0.39% for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Long-term Debt

The Company s long-term borrowings decreased \$30.7 million, or 7.1%, to \$401.5 million at March 31, 2011, compared to \$432.3 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in borrowings from December 31, 2010 is a result of repayments of maturing long-term FHLB advances and \$7.6 million in trust preferred securities during 2011.

During the first quarter of 2011, the Company repaid \$7.6 million of trust preferred securities acquired in 2007. The Company paid \$6.8 million to repay the advances, incurring \$0.3 million in prepayment penalties that are included in the Company s statement of operation for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Because of the Company prepaid the debt, the fair value discount recorded on the acquired debt was also written off, resulting in a reduction of interest expense of \$1.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

55

On average, the Company s long-term debt decreased \$18.7 million, or 4.3%, during the first quarter of 2011. Average long-term debt was 4.8% of total liabilities at March 31, 2011, consistent with the quarterly average at December 31, 2010. On a period-end basis, long-term debt was 4.7%% of total liabilities at March 31, 2011.

The Company s long-term borrowings at March 31, 2011 included \$245.6 million in fixed-rate advances from the FHLB of Dallas and Atlanta which cannot be paid off without incurring substantial prepayment penalties. The Company s remaining debt consists of \$103.6 million of junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures of the Company and \$52.3 million in notes payable on investments in the Company s new market tax credit entities. The debentures are issued to statutory trusts that were funded by the issuance of floating rate capital securities of the trusts and qualify as Tier 1 Capital for regulatory purposes. Interest is payable quarterly and may be deferred at any time at the election of the Company for up to 20 consecutive quarterly periods. During any deferral period, the Company is subject to certain restrictions, including being prohibited from declaring dividends to its common shareholders. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company did not issue additional trust preferred securities. The securities are redeemable by the Company in whole or in part after five years, or earlier under certain circumstances.

SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

Shareholders equity provides a source of permanent funding, allows for future growth and provides the Company with a cushion to withstand unforeseen adverse developments. At March 31, 2011, shareholders equity totaled \$1.3 billion, an increase of \$10.3 million, or 0.8%, compared to \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2010. The following table details the changes in shareholders equity during the first three months of 2011.

CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

(dollars in thousands)	Amount
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 1,303,457
Net income	14,647
Reissuance of treasury stock under management incentive plans, net of shares	
surrendered	(201)
Cash dividends declared- common stock	(9,175)
Increase in other comprehensive income	2,861
Share-based compensation cost	2,135
•	
Balance, end of period	\$ 1,313,724

In April 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized a share repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to 300,000 shares of the Company s outstanding common stock, or approximately 1.1% of total shares outstanding. As of March 31, 2011, the Company had 149,029 shares remaining for repurchase under the plan.

Stock repurchases generally are affected through open market purchases, and may be made through unsolicited negotiated transactions. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company did not repurchase any shares of its common stock on the open market.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

Federal regulations impose minimum regulatory capital requirements on all institutions with deposits insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Federal Reserve Board (FRB) imposes similar capital regulations on bank holding companies. Compliance with bank and bank holding company regulatory capital requirements, which include leverage and risk-based capital guidelines, are monitored by the Company on an ongoing basis. Under the risk-based capital method, a risk weight is assigned to balance sheet and off-balance sheet items based on regulatory guidelines. At March 31, 2011, the Company exceeded all regulatory capital ratio requirements with a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of 11.65%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 18.25% and a total risk-based capital ratio of 19.51%.

At March 31, 2011, IBERIABANK also exceeded all regulatory capital ratio requirements with a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of 9.05%, Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 14.24% and total risk-based capital ratio of 15.50%, respectively.

56

Throughout the first quarter of 2011, the Company s regulatory capital ratios and those of IBERIABANK were in excess of the levels established for well-capitalized institutions as well, as shown in the following graph and table.

			At Marc	h 31, 2011
		Well-		
		Capitalized		Excess
(dollars in thousands)	Entity	Minimums	Actual	Capital
Ratio				
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio	Consolidated	5.00%	11.65%	\$ 647,248
	IBERIABANK	5.00	9.05	392,037
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	Consolidated	6.00	18.25	761,139
	IBERIABANK	6.00	14.24	506,923
Total risk-based capital ratio	Consolidated	10.00	19.51	591,181
Total risk based capital ratio	IBERIABANK	10.00	15.50	338,607

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Company reported income available to common shareholders for the first quarter of 2011 of \$14.6 million, compared to \$13.0 million earned during the first quarter of 2010, an increase of \$1.6 million, or 12.6%. Earnings per share (EPS) on a diluted basis were \$0.54 for the first quarter of 2011, representing an 8.1% decrease from the \$0.59 earned for the first quarter of 2010. During the first quarter of 2011, net interest income increased \$9.5 million, or 13.8%, as interest income increased \$1.8 million, or 1.9%, and interest expense decreased \$7.7 million, or 27.2%. Income available to common shareholders was also positively impacted by a \$7.7 million decrease in the Company s provision for loan losses, but was negatively impacted by a \$14.7 million increase in noninterest expenses. The \$2.5 million increase in income before income taxes increased income tax expense \$0.8 million from the first quarter of 2011.

The following discussion provides additional information on the Company s operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same three-month period ended March 31, 2010 by significant income statement caption.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the difference between interest realized on earning assets and interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities and is also the driver of core earnings. As such, it is subject to constant scrutiny by management. The rate of return and relative risk associated with earning assets are weighed to determine the appropriateness and mix of earning assets. Additionally, the need for lower cost funding sources is weighed against relationships with clients and future growth requirements. The Company s average interest rate spread, which is the difference between the yields earned on earning assets and the rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities, was 3.37% during the first quarter of 2011 and 2.97%, during the first quarter of 2010. The Company s net interest margin on a taxable equivalent (TE) basis, which is net interest income (TE) as a percentage of average earning assets, was 3.55% and 3.16%, during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Net interest income increased \$9.5 million, or 13.8%, during the three months ended March 31, 2011 to \$78.7 million from \$69.2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2010. The improvement in net interest income was the result of a \$110.1 million increase in average earning assets and a three basis point improvement in the average yield of interest-earning assets. The increase in yields on earning assets was driven by higher yields on the Company s loan portfolio, but was offset by lower investment security yields and a higher amortization of the Company s FDIC loss share receivable (that resulted in a negative yield).

Average loans made up 66.6% of average earning assets during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 63.9% for the same three-month period in 2010. Average loans increased \$311.2 million, or 5.4%, since March 31, 2010, and was the result of loan growth in the Company s non-covered loan portfolio. Average investment securities made up 22.1% of average earning assets at March 31, 2011 compared to 17.2% at March 31, 2010. Other significant components of earning assets during the three months ended March 31, 2011 included the Company s FDIC loss share receivable (7.8% of average earning assets) and excess liquidity (2.4% of earning assets on average), defined as fed funds sold and interest-bearing cash. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company s FDIC loss share receivable and excess liquidity was 17.7% of average earning assets.

Average interest-bearing deposits made up 91.7% of average interest-bearing liabilities during the first quarter of 2011 compared to 88.0% during the first quarter of 2010. Average short- and long-term borrowings made up 2.8% and 5.5% of average interest-bearing liabilities during the three months ended March 31, 2011, respectively, compared to 2.6% and 9.4% during the three months ended March 31, 2010.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, information regarding (i) the total dollar amount of interest income of the Company from earning assets and the resultant average yields; (ii) the total dollar amount of interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities and the resultant average rate; (iii) net interest income; (iv) net interest spread; and (v) net interest margin. Information is based on average daily balances during the indicated periods. Investment security market value adjustments and trade-date accounting adjustments are not considered to be earning assets and, as such, the net effect is included in nonearning assets. Tax equivalent (TE) yields are calculated using a marginal tax rate of 35%.

57

${\bf AVERAGE\ BALANCES, NET\ INTEREST\ INCOME\ AND\ INTEREST\ YIELDS\ /\ RATES}$

		Three Months Ended March 31, 2011					2010	
(dollars in thousands)	Aver Bala	0		terest	Average Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/ Rate
Earning assets:								
Loans receivable:								
Mortgage loans	\$ 61	0,556	\$ 1	11,430	7.49%	\$ 992,595	\$ 14,326	5.78%
Commercial loans (TE)	4,18	3,035	7	70,750	6.79	3,697,692	51,340	5.69
Consumer and other loans	1,25	8,251	2	25,531	8.23	1,050,308	16,813	6.49
Total loans	6,05	1,842	10)7,711	7.16	5,740,595	82,479	5.85
Loans held for sale	1	7,883		858	7.17	50,810	596	4.69
Investment securities (TE)		6,499	1	12,358	2.56	1,540,819	12,451	3.39
FDIC loss share receivable		8,809		21,913)	-12.37	1,033,377	1,762	0.68
Other earning assets		6,945	(-	420	0.62	616,242	332	0.22
		-,				,		
Total earning assets	9,09	1,978	ç	99,434	4.47	8,981,843	97,620	4.44
Allowance for loan losses	(13	5,525)				(54,885)		
Nonearning assets	1,04	9,161				949,303		
Total assets	\$ 10,00	5,614				\$ 9,876,261		
Interest-bearing liabilities: Deposits:								
NOW accounts	\$ 1,33	8.437	\$	1,909	0.58%	\$ 1,396,948	\$ 2,596	0.75%
Savings and money market accounts		2,483	Ψ	5,603	0.78	2,401,806	9,748	1.65
Certificates of deposit		1,308	1	11,424	1.70	3,079,585	10,711	1.41
·	,	,		,		, ,	,	
Total interest-bearing deposits	6,99	2,228	1	18,936	1.10	6,878,339	23,055	1.36
Short-term borrowings	21	6,494		128	0.24	201,420	194	0.39
Long-term debt	41	7,083		1,622	1.56	736,458	5,165	2.81
Total interest-bearing liabilities	7,62	5,805	2	20,686	1.10	7,816,217	28,414	1.47
Noninterest basis of demand demants	00	1 520				924.050		
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits		1,529 5,142				824,959 169,917		
Noninterest-bearing liabilities	10	3,142				109,917		
W 4 11 1 114	0.70	0.457				0.011.003		
Total liabilities Shareholders equity		2,476 3,138				8,811,093 1,065,168		
Shareholders equity	1,31	3,130				1,003,108		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 10,00	5,614				\$ 9,876,261		
Net earning assets	\$ 1,46	6,173				\$ 1,165,626		
Net interest spread				78,748	3.37%		\$ 69,206	2.97%
Net interest income (TE) / Net interest margin (TE)			\$ 8	30,195	3.55%		\$ 71,039	3.16%

The following table displays the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense for major components of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities between the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. The table distinguishes between (i) changes attributable to volume (changes in average volume between periods times the average yield/rate for the two periods), (ii) changes attributable to rate (changes in average rate between periods times the average volume for the two periods), and (iii) total increase (decrease).

SUMMARY OF CHANGES IN NET INTEREST INCOME

2011/2010 Change Attributable To

(dollars in thousands)

	Volume	Rate	Total Increase (Decrease)
Earning assets:			
Loans receivable:			
Mortgage loans	\$ (6,420)	\$ 3,524	\$ (2,896)
Commercial loans (TE)	7,245	12,165	19,410
Consumer and other loans	3,663	5,055	8,718
Loans held for sale	(36)	298	262
Investment securities (TE)	3,131	(3,224)	(93)
FDIC loss share receivable	(382)	(23,293)	(23,675)
Other earning assets	(201)	289	88
Total net change in income on earning assets	7,000	(5,186)	1,814
Interest-bearing liabilities:			
Deposits:			
NOW accounts	(105)	(582)	(687)
Savings and money market accounts	1,797	(5,942)	(4,145)
Certificates of deposit	(1,299)	2,012	713
Borrowings	(1,718)	(1,891)	(3,609)
Total net change in expense on interest- bearing liabilities	(1,325)	(6,403)	(7,728)
Change in net interest spread	\$ 8,325	\$ 1,217	\$ 9,542

Interest income includes interest income earned on earning assets as well as applicable loan fees earned. Interest income that would have been earned on nonaccrual loans had they been on accrual status is not included in the data reported above.

For the quarter ended March 31, 2011, average earning asset volume accounted for the increase in interest income, as an average rate decrease on the FDIC loss share receivable partially offset the average balance increases. Average loan balances increased \$311.2 million, or 5.4%, over March 31, 2010. The increase can be attributed to the non-covered loan growth since March 31, 2010. In addition to an increase in volume, the yield on loans increased 131 basis points during the three months ended March 31, 2011, from 5.85% during the first quarter of 2010 to 7.16% during the same three month period of 2011.

Interest income growth was tempered partially by a decrease in the yield from the Company s FDIC loss share receivable. The amortization of the loss share receivable was \$21.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, which can be attributable to the related increase in expected cash flow from the covered assets. As expected cash flow on the covered loan and OREO portfolios increases, the fair value of the FDIC loss share receivable decreases, with the difference recorded as an adjustment to earnings. The negative yield during the three months ended March 31, 2011 of 12.37% was well below the positive yield of 0.68% from accretion during the same period of 2010.

Average investment securities increased \$461.6 million during the first quarter of 2011 when compared to the same period of 2010, as the Company purchased higher-yielding investment securities with available cash to improve earning asset yields. Despite a decrease of 83 basis points from March 31, 2010, investment securities yielded 2.56% during the three months ended March 31, 2011. The 2.56% earned on the securities was well above the yield on interest bearing cash and fed funds sold of 0.62% for the first quarter of 2011.

Driven by a decrease of 37 basis points in the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities during the three months ended March 31, 2011, interest expense decreased \$7.7 million, or 27.2%, from the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease in interest expense on the Company s long-term debt was a result of a \$319.4 million decrease in average long-term debt from the first three months of 2010 and a rate decrease of 125 basis points. Despite an increase of \$113.9 million in average interest-bearing deposits, interest expense on the Company s deposits decreased \$4.1 million, or 17.9%, from the first three months of 2010, as the average rate paid on these deposits decreased 26 basis points to 1.10% for the first three months of 2011. Higher-yielding deposits acquired from the 2009 and 2010 Alabama and Florida acquisitions either matured or were repriced during 2010 and 2011, contributing to the basis point decrease.

59

Provision for Loan Losses

Management of the Company assesses the allowance for loan losses monthly and will make provisions for loan losses as deemed appropriate in order to maintain the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Increases in the allowance for loan losses are achieved through provisions for loan losses that are charged against income. Adjustments to the allowance may also result from purchase accounting associated with loans acquired.

On a consolidated basis, the Company recorded a provision for loan losses of \$5.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, a decrease of \$7.7 million, or 58.6%, from the first quarter of 2010. The Company s provision of \$5.5 million was recorded as an additional provision on covered assets of \$1.8 million to account for a change in expected cash flow on a limited number of loan pools, to record \$2.0 million to cover loan growth, and to record \$2.3 million to account for a net deterioration in asset quality. Offsetting these provision increases were net recoveries in the non-covered loan portfolio of \$0.7 million. Excluding the provision recorded on the covered loan portfolio, the Company s provision for loan losses for the quarter would have been \$3.7 million for the first three months of 2011, or 69.8% below the provision of \$12.3 million recorded for the same period of 2010.

Non-covered loans past due in the consolidated loan portfolio totaled \$76.3 million at March 31, 2011, an increase of \$12.1 million from December 31, 2010. Past due loans, including nonaccrual loans, were 1.65% of total loans at March 31, 2011, a 21 basis point increase from December 31, 2010.

Net recoveries were \$0.8 million for the first quarter of 2011, or an annualized recovery percentage of 0.05%. The net recoveries were a result of \$4.1 million in recoveries and \$3.3 million in charge-offs for the first three months of 2011. Net charge-offs for the first quarter of 2010 were 0.36% of the consolidated loan portfolio, and were a result of charge-offs of \$6.8 million and recoveries of \$1.7 million.

The Company believes the allowance was adequate at March 31, 2011 to cover probable losses in the Company s loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of outstanding loans, net of unearned income, increased 18 basis points from 2.26% at December 31, 2010 to 2.44% at March 31, 2011.

Excluding loans covered by the FDIC loss share agreements, the Company s allowance was 1.45% of non-covered loans at March 31, 2011. On the same basis, the Company s allowance at March 31, 2011 was 110.5% of total nonperforming loans. The ratios compare favorably to December 31, 2010 ratios of 1.40% of total non-covered loans and 122.6% of nonperforming loans.

Noninterest Income

The Company s operating results included noninterest income of \$28.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$28.4 million for the same period in 2010. The following table illustrates the primary components of noninterest income for the periods indicated.

60

NONINTEREST INCOME

(dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,		Percent Increase (Decrease)
Coming about a description of the contract of	¢ 5.510	¢ 5.001	((() 0)
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 5,512	\$ 5,901	(6.6)%
ATM/debit card fee income	2,913	2,325	25.3
Income from bank owned life insurance	725	709	2.3
Gain on sale of loans, net	8,892	7,373	20.6
Gain (loss) on sale of assets	(111)	(65)	70.8
Gain on sale of investments, net	47	922	(94.9)
Gain on acquisitions		3,781	(100.0)
Title revenue	3,810	3,703	2.9
Broker commission income	2,642	1,212	117.9
Other income	3,865	2,492	55.1
Total noninterest income	\$ 28,295	\$ 28,353	(0.2)%

Service charges on deposit accounts decreased \$0.4 million in the first quarter compared to the same period last year due primarily to a \$0.4 million decrease in non-sufficient fund (NSF) fees. These NSF fees are lower for the current three-month period as a result of a decrease in average NSF balances when compared to the same period of 2010. Analysis and service fees from the Company s customer base remained consistent with the comparable 2010 period.

An expanding cardholder base led to a \$0.6 million increase in ATM and debit card income in the first quarter of 2011 over the comparable 2010 period.

Income earned from bank owned life insurance increased slightly during the first three months of 2011, consistent with market performance and current yields, which are slightly higher than in 2010. There were no additional policies purchased during 2011 or 2010.

Increasing volumes of mortgage loan originations and sales, fueled in part by loan refinancings, increased gains on sales of loans \$1.5 million during 2011. Proceeds from mortgage loan sales during the first three months of 2011 were \$327.5 million, \$33.8 million higher than the same period in 2010.

A \$0.1 million loss on sales of assets was recorded in 2011. The loss was primarily from the disposal of former bank property and equipment no longer in use.

Gains on sales of investments decreased \$0.9 million during the first quarter of 2011 when compared to 2010, as sales volume decreased 100.0% from the first three months of 2010. There were no significant sales of investment securities during 2011. Gains were recorded on the call of \$26.8 million in securities in the current quarter, compared to the sale of \$33.9 million in agency and mortgage-backed securities, as well as collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs), during the first quarter of 2010, with the proceeds used to invest in higher yielding securities.

The Company recorded a gain of \$3.8 million during 2010 on the FDIC-assisted Orion transaction from 2009 due to additional settlement items with the FDIC. There were no acquisitions during the first three months of 2011.

As a result of an increase in title insurance activity, title income increased \$0.1 million during the quarter.

Broker commissions increased \$1.4 million from the first quarter of 2010 due to increased sales activity during 2011. Broker commissions during 2011 also include income from the Company s issuance of an equity-linked CD product, which commenced in July 2010.

Other noninterest income increased \$1.4 million during 2011, primarily the result of additional income from the Company s investment in new market tax credit entities. In addition to the tax credits the Company receives, income is generated on the investment the Company has made in these entities. Other noninterest income in the 2011 first quarter was also positively impacted by additional noninterest loan income on the

Company s covered loan portfolio, higher trust department income, and higher safe deposit box income. Each of these increases can be attributed to the increased customer base in Florida and Alabama.

Noninterest Expense

The Company s operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2011 include noninterest expenses of \$81.7 million, \$14.7 million above the same period in 2010. Ongoing attention to expense control is part of the Company s corporate culture. However, the Company s continued focus on growth through new branches, acquisitions and product expansion have caused related increases in several components of noninterest expense.

61

The following table illustrates the primary components of noninterest expense for the periods indicated.

NONINTEREST EXPENSE

(dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31,		Percent Increase
	2011	2010	(Decrease)
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 43,629	\$ 35,812	21.8%
Occupancy and equipment	9,113	7,593	20.0
Franchise and shares tax	981	581	68.9
Communication and delivery	2,528	2,387	5.9
Marketing and business development	2.086	1,455	43.3
Data processing	3,019	2,828	6.8
Printing, stationery and supplies	830	717	15.6
Amortization of acquisition intangibles	1,169	1,010	15.8
Professional services	3,127	3,537	(11.6)
Other expenses	15,250	11,079	37.6
Total noninterest expense	\$ 81.732	\$ 67,000	22.0%
Total noninterest expense	\$ 81,732	\$ 67,000	22.0%

Salaries and employee benefits increased \$7.8 million during the first quarter of 2011. This increase was primarily a result of increased staffing due to the growth of the Company. 2011 expenses include the full impact of additional Sterling personnel, as well as personnel from the Company s new branches. Salaries and employee benefits in 2011 also included increased share-based incentive compensation due to additional restricted stock, phantom stock, and option grants in 2010 and 2011.

Occupancy and equipment expense increased \$1.5 million during the first three months of 2011 due primarily to the cost of facilities associated with the Company s expansion. These increased costs include repairs and maintenance on branches, depreciation, utilities, rentals and property taxes.

Franchise and shares tax expense increased \$0.4 million during 2011 after a decrease of \$1.0 million during 2010. This increase in 2011 was due to an increase in shares tax expense as a result of a higher assessment base for the shares tax calculation for IBERIABANK. The higher assessment resulted from increased equity at IBERIABANK at the end of December 31, 2010 when compared to the previous year.

The Company s expansion in 2010 led to an increase in communication and delivery and printing and supplies expenses. Communication and delivery expenses increased 5.9%, or \$0.1 million, from the first quarter of 2010 to the same period of 2011. The increase in these expenses was a result of higher postage expenses from customer mailings. In addition, postage and courier expenses increased as a result of the increase in the Company s number of branches and locations across multiple states. Data line and telephone expenses were also higher in 2011 as a result of the expanded Company footprint.

Data processing charges increased \$0.2 million from 2010, as the size of the Company has led to higher processing volume and additional maintenance expenses.

Marketing and business development expenses increased \$0.6 million during the first quarter of 2011 as a result of additional expenses associated with business development and community relations. The Company continues to aggressively market itself in its newer markets, including those in Florida, Alabama, and Texas.

The core deposit intangible assets created in the Sterling acquisition in 2010 contributed to the \$0.2 million increase in amortization expense of the Company s intangible assets in the first three months of 2011 when compared to 2010.

The \$0.4 million decrease in professional services from the same period of 2010 is primarily the result of legal, audit and consulting expenses incurred as part of the Company s Orion and Century acquisitions. Merger-related professional services were \$0.9 million higher during the first quarter of 2010 when compared to the first three months of 2011.

In 2011, other noninterest expenses increased \$4.2 million over the first three months of 2010. The increase is a result of a \$1.6 million increase in credit and other loan-related expenses due to the expanded size of the loan portfolio and the number of loans with noted credit issues. The increase in credit-related expenses stems primarily from the Company s covered loan portfolio. The credit quality issues inherent in the portfolio covered by loss share agreements with the FDIC drove appraisal and inspection, collections, and credit bureau expenses higher in 2011.

62

Net costs of OREO properties also increased \$1.1 million, as write-downs taken on OREO properties increased \$0.6 million and gains on the sale of OREO properties decreased \$0.4 million from the comparable 2010 period.

Outsourced operations increased \$0.4 million at the Company s wealth management subsidiary as operating activities increased in the latter part of 2010 and into 2011.

Income Taxes

For the quarters ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company incurred income tax expense of \$5.2 million and \$4.4 million, respectively. The Company s effective tax rate was 26.2% and 25.1% during the first three months of 2011 and 2010, respectively. The difference between the effective tax rate and the statutory tax rate primarily relates to variances in items that are non-taxable or non-deductible, primarily the effect of tax-exempt income, the non-deductibility of part of the amortization of acquisition intangibles, and various tax credits taken.

The difference in the effective tax rates for the periods presented is primarily the result of the relative tax-exempt interest income levels during the respective periods, but is also a result of the Company s different state statutory rates. The increase in the effective tax rate for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 was a result of the income attributable to states subject to state income tax, primarily Alabama, Florida, and Arkansas. As the Company expands its operations in these states, the Company will be subject to higher state income tax expense for income generated in those states. The Company s consolidated effective tax rate was positively impacted in the current year by the Company s Lenders Title and ICP subsidiaries, as well as the holding company, as these entities all had income tax benefits during the first quarter of 2011 from net losses for the quarter.

LIQUIDITY

The Company s liquidity, represented by cash and cash equivalents, is a product of its operating, investing and financing activities. The Company manages its liquidity with the objective of maintaining sufficient funds to respond to the needs of depositors and borrowers and to take advantage of earnings enhancement opportunities. The primary sources of funds for the Company are deposits, borrowings, repayments and maturities of loans and investment securities, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, as well as funds provided from operations. Certificates of deposit scheduled to mature in one year or less at March 31, 2011 totaled \$1.9 billion. Based on past experience, management believes that a significant portion of maturing deposits will remain with the Company. Additionally, the majority of the investment security portfolio is classified by the Company as available-for-sale which provides the ability to liquidate securities as needed. Due to the relatively short planned duration of the investment security portfolio, the Company continues to experience significant cash flows on a normal basis.

Total cash outflows totaled \$47.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, a decrease of \$860.1 million from net cash inflow of \$812.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

The following table summarizes the Company s cash flows for the periods indicated.

CASH FLOW ACTIVITY BY TYPE

(dollars in thousands)	 Months Ended ch 31, 2011	 Months Ended rch 31, 2010
Cash flow provided by operations	\$ 51,884	\$ 45,677
Cash flow provided by (used in) investing activities	(1,201)	103,753
Cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities	(97,943)	663,391
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (47,260)	\$ 812,821

The Company had operating cash inflow of \$51.9 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011, \$6.2 million higher than in the same period of 2010. Operating cash flow was also positively affected by a \$40.0 million increase in net cash inflow from mortgage loans held for sale during the first three months of 2011. Operating cash flow in 2010 was positively affected by an increase in accrued expenses from the prior year.

Cash flow from investing activities decreased \$105.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011 when compared to the same period of 2010, primarily due to an increase in premises and equipment purchases. The increase is attributable to the purchase of the former Sterling assets during the first quarter of 2011, as well as additional capitalized expenditures on the Company s existing branches. Operating cash flow in 2011 was also negatively affected by a decrease of \$32.4 million in proceeds received on the sale, maturity, and calls of investment securities from the prior year. During 2011, the Company also experienced strong loan growth that contributed to the net outflow of cash during the quarter. Offsetting the increase in investing cash outflows from the first three months of 2010 was a \$19.7 million increase in reimbursements from the FDIC on recoverable covered asset losses in the current year.

63

Net financing cash flows decreased \$761.3 million during the three month ended March 31, 2011 when compared to 2010, primarily due to a decrease in cash from customer deposits of \$460.7 million. The decrease in customer deposits during 2011 was primarily the result of the maturities of higher-priced certificates of deposit that were not renewed. These deposits were located primarily in the Company s Florida markets and were acquired in the 2009 and 2010 Florida acquisitions. Financing cash flow for the first three months of 2010 was also positively impacted by the issuance of \$329.0 million in common stock.

Despite a decrease in total cash and cash equivalents from December 31, 2010, the Company believes it has adequate liquidity to fund ongoing operations. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents is a strategic move by the Company to invest available funds in higher yielding investment vehicles, namely investment securities and loans, and pay down short- and long-term debt when it is advantageous. The Company has adequate availability of funds from deposits, borrowings, repayments and maturities of loans and investment securities to provide the Company working capital.

While scheduled cash flows from the amortization and maturities of loans and securities are relatively predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and prepayments of loan and investment securities are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition. The FHLB of Dallas provides an additional source of liquidity to make funds available for general requirements and also to assist with the variability of less predictable funding sources. At March 31, 2011, the Company had \$245.6 million of outstanding advances from the FHLB of Dallas. Additional advances available at March 31, 2011 from the FHLB amounted to \$1.2 billion. The Company and IBERIABANK also have various funding arrangements with commercial banks providing up to \$115.0 million in the form of federal funds and other lines of credit. At March 31, 2011, there was no balance outstanding on these lines and all of the funding was available to the Company.

Liquidity management is both a daily and long-term function of business management. Excess liquidity is generally invested in short-term investments such as overnight deposits. On a longer-term basis, the Company maintains a strategy of investing in various lending and investment security products. The Company uses its sources of funds primarily to meet its ongoing commitments and fund loan commitments. The Company has been able to generate sufficient cash through its deposits, as well as borrowings, and anticipates it will continue to have sufficient funds to meet its ongoing liquidity requirements.

ASSET/ LIABILITY MANAGEMENT AND MARKET RISK

The principal objective of the Company s asset and liability management function is to evaluate the interest rate risk included in certain balance sheet accounts, determine the appropriate level of risk given the Company s business focus, operating environment, capital and liquidity requirements and performance objectives, establish prudent asset concentration guidelines and manage the risk consistent with Board approved guidelines. Through such management, the Company seeks to reduce the vulnerability of its operations to changes in interest rates. The Company s actions in this regard are taken under the guidance of the Senior Management Planning Committee. The Senior Management Planning Committee normally meets monthly to review, among other things, the sensitivity of the Company s assets and liabilities to interest rate changes, local and national market conditions and interest rates. In connection therewith, the Senior Management Planning Committee generally reviews the Company s liquidity, cash flow needs, maturities of investments, deposits, borrowings and capital position.

The objective of interest rate risk management is to control the effects that interest rate fluctuations have on net interest income and on the net present value of the Company s earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Management and the Board are responsible for managing interest rate risk and employing risk management policies that monitor and limit this exposure. Interest rate risk is measured using net interest income simulation and asset/liability net present value sensitivity analyses. The Company uses financial modeling to measure the impact of changes in interest rates on the net interest margin and predict market risk. Estimates are based upon numerous assumptions including the nature and timing of interest rate levels including yield curve shape, prepayments on loans and securities, deposit decay rates, pricing decisions on loans and deposits, reinvestment/replacement of asset and liability cash flows and others. These analyses provide a range of potential impacts on net interest income and portfolio equity caused by interest rate movements.

Included in the modeling are instantaneous parallel rate shifts scenarios, which are utilized to establish exposure limits. These scenarios are known as rate shocks because all rates are modeled to change instantaneously by the indicated shock amount, rather than a gradual rate shift over a period of time that has traditionally been more realistic.

The Company s interest rate risk model indicated that the Company was slightly asset sensitive in terms of interest rate sensitivity. Based on the Company s interest rate risk model at March 31, 2011, the table below illustrates the impact of an immediate and sustained 100 and 200 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates on net interest income.

CHANGE IN NET INTEREST INCOME FROM INTEREST RATE CHANGES

	% Change in Projected
	Net Interest
Shift in Interest Rates (in bps)	Income
+200	1.5%
+100	0.4
- 100	0.3
- 200	0.2

Table of Contents

The influence of using the forward curve as of March 31, 2011 as a basis for projecting the interest rate environment would approximate a 0.1% increase in net interest income. The computations of interest rate risk shown above do not necessarily include certain actions that management may undertake to manage this risk in response to anticipated changes in interest rates.

The rate environment is a function of the monetary policy of the FRB. The principal tools of the FRB for implementing monetary policy are open market operations, or the purchases and sales of U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities. The FRB s objective for open market operations has varied over the years, but the focus has gradually shifted toward attaining a specified level of the federal funds rate to achieve the long-run goals of price stability and sustainable economic growth. The federal funds rate is the basis for overnight funding and drives the short end of the yield curve. Longer maturities are influenced by FRB purchases and sales and also expectations of monetary policy going forward. The FRB began to increase the targeted level for the federal funds rate in June 2004 after reaching a then-low of 1.00% in mid-2003. The targeted fed funds rate decreased three times in 2007 by 100 total basis points and ended 2007 at 4.25%. In response to growing concerns about the banking industry and customer liquidity, the fed funds rate decreased seven times to a new all-time low of 0.25% at the end of 2008. The fed funds rate remained at 0.25% through March 31, 2011. The decrease in the fed funds rate has resulted in compressed net interest margin for the Company, as assets have repriced more quickly than the Company s liabilities. Although management believes that the Company is not significantly affected by changes in interest rates over an extended period of time, any flattening of the yield curve will exert downward pressure on the net interest margin and net interest income.

As part of its asset/liability management strategy, the Company has emphasized the origination of commercial and consumer loans, which typically have shorter terms than residential mortgage loans and/or adjustable or variable rates of interest. The majority of fixed-rate, long-term residential loans are sold in the secondary market to avoid assumption of the rate risk associated with longer duration assets in the current low rate environment. As of March 31, 2011, 52% of the Company s total loan portfolio had adjustable interest rates. IBERIABANK had no significant concentration to any single loan component or industry segment.

The Company s strategy with respect to liabilities in recent periods has been to emphasize transaction accounts, particularly noninterest or low interest-bearing transaction accounts, which are not sensitive to changes in interest rates. At March 31, 2011, 66.9% of the Company s deposits were in transaction and limited-transaction accounts, compared to 64.1% at December 31, 2010. Noninterest-bearing transaction accounts totaled 12.0% of total deposits at March 31, 2011, compared to 11.1% of total deposits at December 31, 2010.

As part of an overall interest rate risk management strategy, off-balance sheet derivatives may also be used as an efficient way to modify the repricing or maturity characteristics of on-balance sheet assets and liabilities. Management may from time to time engage in interest rate swaps to effectively manage interest rate risk. The interest rate swaps of the Company were executed to modify net interest sensitivity to levels deemed appropriate.

IMPACT OF INFLATION AND CHANGING PRICES

The consolidated financial statements and related financial data presented herein have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, which generally require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars, without considering changes in relative purchasing power over time due to inflation. Unlike most industrial companies, the majority of the Company s assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates generally have a more significant impact on the Company s performance than does the effect of inflation. Although fluctuations in interest rates are neither completely predictable nor controllable, the Company regularly monitors its interest rate position and oversees its financial risk management by establishing policies and operating limits. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or in the same magnitude as the prices of goods and services, since such prices are affected by inflation to a larger extent than interest rates. Although not as critical to the banking industry as to other industries, inflationary factors may have some impact on the Company s growth, earnings, total assets and capital levels. Management does not expect inflation to be a significant factor in 2011.

65

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk are presented at December 31, 2010 in Part II, Item 7A of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 4, 2011. Additional information at March 31, 2011 is included herein under Item 2, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations .

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

An evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2011 was carried out under the supervision, and with the participation of, the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO). Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them in a timely manner to material information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act).

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed by the Company under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. Disclosure controls are also designed with the objective of ensuring that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including the CEO and the CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Disclosure controls include review of internal controls that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that transactions are properly authorized, assets are safeguarded against unauthorized or improper use and transactions are properly recorded and reported. There was no significant change in the Company s internal controls over financial reporting during the last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the internal control over financial reporting.

Any control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance that its objectives are achieved. The design of a control system inherently has limitations, including the controls cost relative to their benefits. Additionally, controls can be circumvented. No cost-effective control system can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, will be detected.

66

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject in the normal course of business to various pending and threatened legal proceedings in which claims for monetary damages are asserted. Management, after consultation with legal counsel, does not anticipate that the aggregate ultimate liability arising out of litigation pending against the Company or its subsidiaries will be material to the Company s consolidated financial position or liquidity. However, at the present time, management of the Company is not in a position to determine whether such litigation will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated results of operations in any future reporting period.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the risk factors disclosed by the Company in its Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 4, 2011.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not Applicable.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

EXHIBIT NO. 31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit No. 31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit No. 32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit No. 32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents 110

67

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

IBERIABANK Corporation

Date: May 10, 2011 By: /s/ Daryl G. Byrd

Daryl G. Byrd

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 10, 2011 By: /s/ Anthony J. Restel

Anthony J. Restel

Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

68