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## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

|  | September 30, 2011 <br> (Unaudited) |  | December 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 4,367,132 | \$ | 3,275,584 |
| Interest-bearing deposits |  | 17,198,251 |  | 14,735,892 |
| Federal funds sold |  | 2,521,431 |  | 4,727,084 |
| Securities available for sale, at fair value |  | 39,502,503 |  | 34,073,728 |
| Restricted securities |  | 2,222,900 |  | 2,358,500 |
| Loans, net of allowance for loan losses of \$3,323,877 and \$3,230,677 |  | 234,310,544 |  | 244,142,325 |
| Premises and equipment, net |  | 12,328,905 |  | 12,697,447 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 1,134,128 |  | 1,159,924 |
| Other real estate owned, net of valuation allowance |  | 2,708,933 |  | 4,085,939 |
| Goodwill |  | 2,807,842 |  | 2,807,842 |
| Other assets |  | 2,545,757 |  | 3,021,300 |
| Total assets | \$ | 321,648,327 | \$ | 327,085,565 |

## LIABILITIES

| Noninterest-bearing deposits | $\$$ | $45,796,134$ | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Savings and interest-bearing demand deposits | $108,858,629$ | $44,960,718$ |  |
| Time deposits | $116,895,696$ | $103,908,863$ |  |
|  |  | $111,984,418$ |  |
| Total deposits | $\$ 271,550,459$ | $\$$ | $260,853,999$ |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements | $5,390,085$ | $7,598,021$ |  |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | $15,000,000$ | $30,000,000$ |  |
| Other liabilities | $1,468,901$ | $1,291,235$ |  |
| Commitments and contingencies |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities | $\$$ | $293,409,445$ | $\$$ |

SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

| Common stock (\$5 par value; authorized - 5,000,000 shares; outstanding - 2,605,856 and |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,605,856 shares, respectively) | \$ | 13,029,280 | \$ | 13,029,280 |
| Additional paid-in capital |  | 4,975,601 |  | 4,965,460 |
| Retained earnings |  | 9,463,837 |  | 9,193,492 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net |  | 770,164 |  | 154,078 |
| Total shareholders equity | \$ | 28,238,882 | \$ | 27,342,310 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ | 321,648,327 | \$ | 327,085,565 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
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## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

## (unaudited)

|  | Quarter ended <br> September 30, 2011 |  | Quarter ended <br> September 30, 2010 |  | For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 |  | For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans, including fees | \$ | 3,316,370 | \$ | 3,562,909 | \$ | 10,177,206 | \$ | 10,801,263 |
| Securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable |  | 176,488 |  | 221,794 |  | 570,517 |  | 699,626 |
| Tax-exempt |  | 72,235 |  | 66,791 |  | 196,846 |  | 251,011 |
| Federal funds sold |  | 2,255 |  | 3,058 |  | 7,369 |  | 5,527 |
| Interest-bearing deposit accounts |  | 17,187 |  | 14,078 |  | 41,057 |  | 35,559 |
| Total interest income |  | 3,584,535 |  | 3,868,630 |  | 10,992,995 |  | 11,792,986 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  | 855,684 |  | 1,033,103 |  | 2,509,457 |  | 3,155,011 |
| Federal funds purchased |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 131 |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements |  | 3,825 |  | 4,271 |  | 11,234 |  | 10,280 |
| FHLB advances |  | 150,972 |  | 349,792 |  | 746,758 |  | 1,038,593 |
| Total interest expense |  | 1,010,481 |  | 1,387,166 |  | 3,267,449 |  | 4,204,015 |
| Net interest income |  | 2,574,054 |  | 2,481,464 |  | 7,725,546 |  | 7,588,971 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 165,000 |  | 130,948 |  | 385,000 |  | 523,792 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses |  | 2,409,054 |  | 2,350,516 |  | 7,340,546 |  | 7,065,179 |

NON-INTEREST INCOME

| Income from fiduciary activities | 145,218 | 151,739 | 448,705 | 437,908 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service charges and fees on deposit accounts | 164,805 | 157,090 | 489,090 | 474,206 |
| VISA-related fees | 234,786 | 246,537 | 591,164 | 605,591 |
| Other service charges and fees | 205,853 | 150,040 | 576,883 | 497,611 |
| Secondary market lending fees | 51,368 | 103,137 | 108,073 | 182,450 |
| Gains on sale of securities available for sale | 7,341 | 49,420 | 130,924 | 188,262 |
| Other real estate gains (losses) | $(72,183)$ | $(253,590)$ | $(360,236)$ | $(221,329)$ |
| Other income | 7,338 | 1,348 | 30,599 | 6,440 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total non-interest income | 744,526 | 605,721 | $2,015,202$ | $2,171,139$ |

NON-INTEREST EXPENSES

| Salaries and employee benefits | $1,582,178$ | $1,477,158$ | $4,662,664$ | $4,463,665$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Occupancy expense | 478,983 | 497,722 | $1,423,425$ | $1,498,893$ |
| Bank franchise tax | 36,780 | 41,115 | 110,340 | 123,345 |
| VISA expense | 217,005 | 209,947 | 511,840 | 503,446 |
| Telephone expense | 40,376 | 48,273 | 128,712 | 138,488 |
| FDIC assessments | 106,589 | 148,501 | 358,543 | 416,761 |
| Other expense | 659,516 | 551,970 | $1,900,754$ | $1,815,875$ |
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| Total non-interest expenses |  | 3,121,427 |  | 2,974,686 |  | 9,096,278 |  | 8,960,473 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income (loss) before income taxes |  | 32,153 |  | $(18,449)$ |  | 259,470 |  | 275,845 |
| Income tax (benefit) |  | $(22,820)$ |  | $(40,090)$ |  | $(10,875)$ |  | $(23,236)$ |
| Net income | \$ | 54,973 | \$ | 21,641 | \$ | 270,345 | \$ | 299,081 |
| Basic Earnings Per Share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average basic shares outstanding |  | 2,605,856 |  | 2,605,856 |  | 2,605,856 |  | 2,605,856 |
| Earnings per share, basic | \$ | 0.02 | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 0.11 |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average diluted shares outstanding |  | 2,605,856 |  | 2,605,856 |  | 2,605,856 |  | 2,605,856 |
| Earnings per share, diluted | \$ | 0.02 | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 0.11 |
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## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

(unaudited)
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{lccccc} & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Accumulated } \\ \text { Other }\end{array} \\ \text { Common } \\ \text { Stock }\end{array}\right) \begin{array}{c}\text { Additional } \\ \text { Paid-in } \\ \text { Capital }\end{array}\right)$

[^0]
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## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(unaudited)

| Nine months ended | September 30, 2011 |  | September 30, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 270,345 | \$ | 299,081 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation |  | 596,323 |  | 666,412 |
| Net amortization and accretion of securities |  | 78,351 |  | 44,328 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 385,000 |  | 523,792 |
| Stock-based compensation |  | 10,141 |  | 11,903 |
| Deferred income tax (benefit) |  |  |  | $(20,805)$ |
| (Gain) on securities available-for-sale |  | $(130,924)$ |  | $(188,262)$ |
| Increase in OREO valuation allowance |  | 320,436 |  | 268,300 |
| Loss (Gain) on sale of other real estate |  | 39,800 |  | $(46,971)$ |
| (Gain) on disposal of fixed assets |  | $(5,582)$ |  |  |
| Decrease in accrued income and other assets |  | 473,906 |  | 683,113 |
| (Decrease) increase in other liabilities |  | $(139,712)$ |  | 172,148 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ | 1,898,084 | \$ | 2,413,039 |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from maturities and principal paydowns of available-for-sale securities | \$ | 5,220,711 | \$ | 1,564,634 |
| Proceeds from sales and calls of available-for-sale securities |  | 6,024,940 |  | 11,814,708 |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities |  | $(15,688,390)$ |  | $(13,413,159)$ |
| Sales (purchases) of restricted securities |  | 135,600 |  | $(120,000)$ |
| (Increase) in interest bearing deposits in other banks |  | $(2,462,359)$ |  | $(3,470,810)$ |
| Decrease (increase) in federal funds sold |  | 2,205,653 |  | $(2,420,066)$ |
| Loan (originations) and principal collections, net |  | 7,871,937 |  | $(1,674,233)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate |  | 2,619,048 |  | 514,884 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment |  | $(222,200)$ |  | $(197,858)$ |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | \$ | 5,704,940 | \$ | $(7,401,900)$ |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Increase in demand, savings, and other interest-bearing deposits | \$ | 5,785,182 | \$ | 6,676,396 |
| Net increase (decrease) in time deposits |  | 4,911,278 |  | $(2,459,385)$ |
| Net (decrease) increase in securities sold under repurchase agreements and federal funds purchased |  | $(2,207,936)$ |  | 955,135 |
| (Decrease) in FHLB advances |  | $(15,000,000)$ |  |  |
| Cash in lieu of fractional shares |  |  |  | $(1,210)$ |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | \$ | $(6,511,476)$ | \$ | 5,170,936 |
| Net increase in cash and due from banks |  | 1,091,548 |  | 182,075 |
| Cash and due from banks at beginning of period |  | 3,275,584 |  | 3,461,483 |
| Cash and due from banks at end of period | \$ | 4,367,132 | \$ | 3,643,558 |


| Supplemental Schedule of Cash Flow Information |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest paid | \$ | 3,386,994 | \$ | 4,208,080 |
| Income taxes paid |  | 103,827 |  |  |
| Unrealized gain on investment securities |  | 933,464 |  | 754,821 |
| Loans transferred to other real estate owned |  | 1,574,844 |  | 2,512,044 |
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## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

## Note 1: General

Bay Banks of Virginia, Inc. (the Company ) owns $100 \%$ of the Bank of Lancaster (the Bank ), 100\% of Bay Trust Company, Inc. (the Trust Company ) and $100 \%$ of Steptoes Holdings, LLC (Steptoes Holdings ). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Bank, the Trust Company, Steptoes Holdings and Bay Banks of Virginia, Inc.

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ) and to the general practices within the banking industry. In management s opinion, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements, have been included. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Certain amounts in the consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to current year presentations.

These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes to financial statements included in the Company s 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders.

## Note 2: Securities

The aggregate amortized costs and fair values of the available-for-sale securities portfolio are as follows:

| Available-for-sale securities | 00000000000 |  | 00000000000 |  | 00000000000 |  | 00000000000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized |  | Gross |  | Gross |  |  |  |
| September 30, 2011 (unaudited) |  |  |  | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized | lized <br> ses) | Fair <br> Value |  |
| U.S. Government agencies | \$ | 9,743,885 | \$ | 103,369 | \$ | $(9,183)$ | \$ | 9,838,071 |
| State and municipal obligations |  | 28,373,983 |  | 1,290,449 |  |  |  | 29,664,432 |
|  | \$ | 38,117,868 | \$ | 1,393,818 | \$ | $(9,183)$ | \$ | 39,502,503 |


|  |  | 0000000000 | 00000000000 |  | 00000000000 |  | 00000000000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available-for-sale securities December 31, 2010 |  | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized |  |  | oss <br> alized <br> sses) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |
| U.S. Government agencies | \$ | 8,738,339 | \$ | 43,318 | \$ | $(27,800)$ | \$ | 8,753,857 |
| State and municipal obligations |  | 24,884,217 |  | 502,878 |  | $(67,224)$ |  | 25,319,871 |
|  | \$ | 33,622,556 | \$ | 546,196 | \$ | $(95,024)$ | \$ | 34,073,728 |

Securities with a market value of $\$ 5.3$ million were pledged as collateral for repurchase agreements and for other purposes as required by law as of September 30, 2011. The market value of pledged securities at December 31, 2010 was $\$ 9.9$ million.

Securities in an unrealized loss position at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, by duration of the unrealized loss, are shown below. The unrealized loss positions were directly related to interest rate movements as there is minimal credit risk exposure in these investments. All securities are investment grade or better and all losses are considered temporary. Management does not intend to sell the securities and does not expect to be required to sell the securities. Furthermore, we do expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis. Bonds with unrealized loss positions at September 30, 2011 included 1 mortgage backed security and 1 federal agency. Bonds with unrealized loss positions at December 31, 2010 included 16 municipals and 4 federal agencies. The tables are shown below.
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|  | $0000000000 \quad 0000000000$ <br> Less than 12 months |  |  |  | $0000000000 \quad 0000000000$ <br> 12 months or more |  |  | 0000000000 |  | 0000000000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, 2011 (unaudited) |  | Fair <br> Value |  |  |  | Fair <br> Value |  |  | Fair <br> Value |  | ized <br> s |
| U.S. Government agencies | \$ | 1,638,694 | \$ | 9,183 | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | 1,638,694 | \$ | 9,183 |
| States and municipal obligations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ | 1,638,694 | \$ | 9,183 | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | 1,638,694 | \$ | 9,183 |



The Company s investment in Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ( FHLB ) stock totaled $\$ 1.8$ million at September 30 , 2011 and 1.9 million at December 31, 2010. FHLB stock is generally viewed as a long-term investment and as a restricted investment security, which is carried at cost, because there is no market for the stock, other than the FHLBs or its member institutions. Therefore, when evaluating FHLB stock for impairment, its value is based on the ultimate recoverability of the par value rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. Despite the FHLB s temporary suspension of repurchases of excess capital stock in 2010, the Company does not consider this investment to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2011 and no impairment has been recognized. FHLB stock is shown in the restricted securities line item on the consolidated balance sheets and is not a part of the available-for-sale securities portfolio.

## Note 3: Loans

The following is a summary of the balances of loans:

|  | September 30, <br> (unaudited) | December 31, 2010 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mortgage loans on real estate: | $\$ 27,816,684$ | $\$$ | $30,620,370$ |
| Construction, Land and Land Development | $1,546,095$ | $1,603,519$ |  |
| Farmland | $15,061,206$ | $12,787,559$ |  |
| Commercial Mortgages (Non-Owner Occupied) | $28,487,396$ | $29,430,716$ |  |
| Commercial Mortgages (Owner Occupied) | $108,081,063$ | $115,530,317$ |  |
| Residential First Mortgages | $29,325,999$ | $30,943,025$ |  |
| Residential Junior Mortgages | $19,003,194$ | $17,591,891$ |  |
| Commercial and Industrial loans | $8,312,784$ | $8,865,605$ |  |
| Consumer Loans | $\$$ | $237,634,421$ | $\$$ |
|  |  | $(3,323,877)$ | $247,373,002$ |
| Total loans |  |  | $(3,230,677)$ |
| Allowance for loan losses | $\$$ | $234,310,544$ | $\$$ |
|  |  | $244,142,325$ |  |
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The recorded investment in past due and nonaccruing loans is shown in the following table. A loan past due by more than 90 days is generally placed on nonaccrual unless it is both well secured and in the process of collection.

| Loans Past Due and Nonaccruals September 30, 2011 (unaudited) |  | $\begin{gathered} 30-59 \\ \text { Days } \\ \text { Past Due } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60-89 \\ \text { Days } \\ \text { Past Due } \end{gathered}$ | 90 Days or <br> More Past <br> Due and Still Accruing | Nonaccruals | Total Past <br> Due and <br> Nonaccruals | Current | Total <br> Loans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction, Land and Land Development | \$ | 125,097 | \$ 291,022 | \$ | \$ 342,618 | \$ 758,737 | \$ 27,057,947 | \$ 27,816,684 |
| Farmland |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,546,095 | 1,546,095 |
| Commercial Mortgages (Non-Owner Occupied) |  |  |  | 318,418 | 65,750 | 384,168 | 14,677,038 | 15,061,206 |
| Commercial Mortgages (Owner Occupied) |  |  |  |  | 256,749 | 256,749 | 28,230,647 | 28,487,396 |
| Residential First Mortgages |  | 1,057,138 | 449,535 | 190,127 | 1,167,227 | 2,864,027 | 105,217,036 | 108,081,063 |
| Residential Junior Mortgages |  | 39,849 |  | 432,123 | 1,509,783 | 1,981,755 | 27,344,244 | 29,325,999 |
| Commercial and Industrial |  |  | 10,868 |  | 888,909 | 899,777 | 18,103,417 | 19,003,194 |
| Consumer Loans |  | 29,738 | 33,018 | 18,509 | 116,632 | 197,897 | 8,114,887 | 8,312,784 |
| Total |  | 1,251,822 | \$ 784,443 | \$ 959,177 | \$ 4,347,668 | \$7,343,110 | \$ 230,291,311 | \$ 237,634,421 |


| Loans Past Due and Nonaccruals December 31, 2010 | $\begin{gathered} 30-59 \\ \text { Days } \\ \text { Past Due } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 60-89 } \\ \text { Days } \\ \text { Past Due } \end{gathered}$ | 90 Days or <br> More Past <br> Due and Still Accruing | Nonaccruals | Total Past Due and Nonaccruals | Current | Total <br> Loans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction, Land and Land Development | \$ | \$ 96,153 | \$ | \$ 342,618 | \$ 438,771 | \$ 30,181,599 | \$ 30,620,370 |
| Farmland |  |  |  |  |  | 1,603,519 | 1,603,519 |
| Commercial Mortgages (Non-Owner Occupied) | 318,043 |  |  | 1,356,108 | 1,674,151 | 11,113,408 | 12,787,559 |
| Commercial Mortgages (Owner Occupied) |  |  |  | 424,249 | 424,249 | 29,006,467 | 29,430,716 |
| Residential First Mortgages | 1,694,757 | 281,878 |  | 2,174,010 | 4,150,645 | 111,379,672 | 115,530,317 |
| Residential Junior Mortgages | 370,812 |  | 730 | 1,169,919 | 1,541,461 | 29,401,564 | 30,943,025 |
| Commercial and Industrial | 408,738 |  | 198,606 | 65,001 | 672,345 | 16,919,546 | 17,591,891 |
| Consumer Loans | 667,355 | 5,984 | 3,013 | 42,104 | 718,456 | 8,147,149 | 8,865,605 |
| Total | \$ 3,459,705 | \$ 384,015 | \$ 202,349 | \$ 5,574,009 | \$ 9,620,078 | \$ 237,752,924 | \$ 247,373,002 |
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## Note 4: Allowance for Loan Losses

A disaggregation of and an analysis of the change in the allowance for loan losses by segment is shown below.

Allowance for Loan Losses by Portfolio Segment

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 (unaudited)

|  |  | nstruction, <br> and and <br> Land <br> velopment |  | Farmland |  | Commercial <br> Mortgage <br> (Non-Owner <br> Occupied) |  | Commercial <br> Mortgage (Owner Occupied) |  | Residential <br> First <br> Mortgages |  | Residential Junior Mortgages |  | Commercial <br> and <br> Industrial |  | Consumer <br> Loans | Unallocated |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vance for losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ning } \\ & \text { ce } \end{aligned}$ |  | 192,518 | \$ | 3,000 | \$ | 108,000 |  | 1,270,451 | \$ | 206,171 | \$ | 460,648 | \$ | 69,869 |  | 210,662 | \$ 709,358 |  | 3,230, |
| ge-offs) |  | $(35,428)$ |  |  |  | $(52,117)$ |  |  |  | $(149,571)$ |  | $(18,379)$ |  |  |  | $(61,881)$ |  |  | (317, |
| veries |  | 175 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,393 |  |  |  |  |  | 24,009 |  | \$ | 25, |
| sion |  | 21,137 |  | 1,000 |  | 23,117 |  | $(240,140)$ |  | 694,506 |  | 176,677 |  | 31,343 |  | $(59,710)$ | $(262,930)$ | \$ | 385 , |
| g Balance | \$ | 178,402 | \$ | 4,000 | \$ | 79,000 |  | 1,030,311 | \$ | 752,498 |  | 618,946 | \$ | 101,212 |  | 113,080 | \$ 446,428 | \$ | 3,323, |
| idually ated for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ctively ated for rment | \$ | 91,000 | \$ | 4,000 | \$ | 79,000 |  | 886,000 | \$ | 443,000 |  | 267,000 | \$ | 84,869 |  | 111,000 | \$ 446,428 | \$ | 2,412, |
| IVABLES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| g Balance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| idually <br> ated for <br> rment | \$ | 342,618 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 1,131,746 | \$ | 1,782,559 |  | 1,479,630 | \$ | 635,658 |  | 554,140 |  | \$ |  |
| ctively ated for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Loans |  | 7,816,684 |  | 1,546,095 |  | 15,061,206 |  | 28,487,396 |  | 108,081,063 |  | 29,325,999 |  | 19,003,194 |  | 8,312,784 |  |  | 237,634, |
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Provision is negative for the Commercial Mortgages (Owner Occupied) segment due mainly to a reduction in the level of impaired loans in this segment, with a corresponding reduction in the required allowance. Provision is negative for Consumer loans because charge-offs are lower, resulting in a smaller historical charge-off factor.

Allowance for Loan Losses by Portfolio Segment

For the Year Ended December 31, 2010

| Construction, |  | Commercial <br> Mortgages <br> Land and <br> Land <br> (Non-Owner <br> Occupied) | Commercial <br> Mortgages <br> (Owner <br> Occupied) | Residential <br> First <br> Mortgages | Residential <br> Junior <br> Mortgages | Commercial <br> and <br> Industrial | Consumer <br> Loans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Unallocated |
| :---: |$\quad$| Total |
| :---: |

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}\$ & 192,518 & \$ & 3,000 & \$ & 108,000 & \$ & 1,270,451 & \$ & 206,171 & \$ & 460,648 & \$ & 69,869 & \$ & 210,662 & \$ 709,358 & \$\end{array} \quad 3,230$,


|  | September 30,2010 <br> (unaudited) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Balance, beginning of year | $\$$ | $3,769,287$ |
| Provision for loan losses | 523,792 |  |
| Recoveries | 198,851 |  |
| Loans charged off | $(1,163,411)$ |  |

## Balance, end of period <br> \$ 3,328,519

Internal risk rating grades are assigned to commercial loans not secured by real estate, commercial mortgages, residential mortgages greater than $\$ 1$ million, loans to real estate developers and contractors, and consumer loans greater than $\$ 250,000$ with chronic delinquency, as shown in the following table. The grading analysis estimates the capability of the borrower to repay the contractual obligations of the loan agreements as scheduled. Risk grades are evaluated as new information becomes available for each borrowing relationship or at least quarterly.
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| As of September 30, 2011 (unaudited) INTERNAL RISK RATING GRADES | Construction, Land and Land Development | Farmland | Commercial <br> Mortgages <br> (Non-Owner Occupied) | Commercial <br> Mortgages (Owner Occupied) | Commercial <br> and <br> Industrial | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | \$ 20,592,533 | \$ 1,546,095 | \$ 10,142,571 | \$ 19,286,834 | \$ 13,942,117 | \$ 65,510,150 |
| Watch | 3,129,281 |  | 2,503,426 | 5,826,134 | 3,682,728 | 15,141,569 |
| Special mention | 2,193,890 |  | 1,668,661 | 878,118 |  | 4,740,669 |
| Substandard | 1,900,980 |  | 746,548 | 2,496,310 | 1,363,349 | 6,507,187 |
| Doubtful |  |  |  |  | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| Total | \$ 27,816,684 | \$ 1,546,095 | \$ 15,061,206 | \$ 28,487,396 | \$ 19,003,194 | \$ 91,914,575 |


| As of December 31, 2010 INTERNAL RISK RATING GRADES | Construction, Land and Land Development | Farmland | Commercial <br> Mortgages <br> (Non-Owner <br> Occupied) | Commercial <br> Mortgages (Owner Occupied) | Commercial and Industrial | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | \$ 22,095,455 | \$ 1,603,519 | \$ 8,356,208 | \$ 18,948,652 | \$ 14,323,275 | \$ 65,327,109 |
| Watch | 2,066,022 |  | 2,393,854 | 5,960,095 | 986,309 | 11,406,280 |
| Special mention | 4,036,860 |  | 318,043 | 2,592,417 | 1,334,108 | 8,281,428 |
| Substandard | 2,422,033 |  | 364,902 | 1,672,803 | 948,199 | 5,407,937 |
| Doubtful |  |  | 1,354,552 | 256,749 |  | 1,611,301 |
| Total | \$ 30,620,370 | \$ 1,603,519 | \$ 12,787,559 | \$ 29,430,716 | \$ 17,591,891 | \$ 92,034,055 |

Loans not assigned internal risk rating grades are comprised of residential mortgages and smaller consumer loans. Payment activity of these loans is reviewed monthly by management. Loans are considered to be nonperforming when they are delinquent by 90 days or more or on nonaccrual, as shown in the table below.

| As of September 30, 2011 (unaudited) | Residential First | Residential Junior | Consumer |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAYMENT ACTIVITY STATUS | Mortgages | Mortgages | Loans | Total |
| Performing | \$ 106,723,709 | \$ 27,384,093 | \$ 8,177,643 | \$ 142,285,445 |
| Nonperforming | 1,357,354 | 1,941,906 | 135,141 | 3,434,401 |
| Total | \$ 108,081,063 | \$ 29,325,999 | \$ 8,312,784 | \$ 145,719,846 |


| As of December 31, 2010 | Residential First | Residential Junior | Consumer |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAYMENT ACTIVITY STATUS | Mortgages | Mortgages | Loans | Total |
| Performing | \$ 113,356,307 | \$ 29,772,376 | \$ 8,820,488 | \$ 151,949, 171 |
| Nonperforming | 2,174,010 | 1,170,649 | 45,117 | 3,389,776 |
| Total | \$ 115,530,317 | \$ 30,943,025 | \$ 8,865,605 | \$ 155,338,947 |
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The following table shows the Company s recorded investment and the customers unpaid principal balances for impaired loans, with the associated allowance amount, if applicable. Also shown are the average recorded investments in impaired loans and the related amount of interest recognized and collected during the time the loans were impaired.

## IMPAIRED LOANS

As of September 30, 2011 (unaudited)

| With no related allowance: | Recorded <br> Investment |  | omers Unpaid <br> Principal <br> Balance | Related Allowance | Average <br> Recorded <br> Investment | Interest <br> Income Recognized | Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction, land \& land development | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Farmland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential First Mortgages | 905,642 |  | 905,642 |  | 682,550 | 39,238 | 39,380 |
| Residential Junior Mortgages (1) | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | 50,000 | 4,396 | 4,333 |
| Commercial Mortgages (Non-owner occupied) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Mortgages (Owner occupied) | 259,762 |  | 259,762 |  | 195,473 | 10,733 | 10,750 |
| Commercial \& industrial | 571,595 |  | 571,595 |  | 241,316 | 14,124 | 12,690 |
| Consumer (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ 1,836,999 | \$ | 1,836,999 | \$ | \$ 1,169,338 | \$ 68,491 | \$ 67,153 |


| Construction, land \& land development | \$ | 342,618 | \$ | 342,618 | \$ | 87,402 | \$ | 342,618 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farmland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential First Mortgages |  | 876,917 |  | 879,359 |  | 309,498 |  | 883,684 |  | 28,854 |  | 32,700 |
| Residential Junior Mortgages (1) |  | 1,379,630 |  | 1,674,561 |  | 351,946 |  | 1,389,257 |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Mortgages (Non-owner occupied) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Mortgages (Owner occupied) |  | 871,984 |  | 874,882 |  | 144,311 |  | 737,205 |  | 26,843 |  | 28,100 |
| Commercial \& industrial |  | 64,063 |  | 115,479 |  | 16,343 |  | 64,766 |  | 453 |  | 453 |
| Consumer (2) |  | 554,140 |  | 554,140 |  | 2,080 |  | 560,374 |  | 25,107 |  | 29,012 |
|  |  | 4,089,352 | \$ | 4,441,039 |  | 911,580 |  | 3,977,903 | \$ | 81,257 | \$ | 90,265 |


| Total Impaired Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Construction, land \& land development |  | 342,618 | $\$$ | 342,618 | $\$ 87,402$ | $\$$ | 342,618 | $\$$ |
| Farmland | $1,782,559$ | $1,785,001$ | 309,498 | $1,566,234$ | 68,092 | 72,080 |  |  |
| Residential First Mortgages | $1,479,630$ | $1,774,561$ | 351,946 | $1,439,257$ | 4,396 | 4,333 |  |  |
| Residential Junior Mortgages (1) | $1,131,746$ | $1,134,644$ | 144,311 | 932,677 | 37,576 | 38,850 |  |  |
| Commercial Mortgages (Non-owner occupied) | 635,658 | 687,074 | 16,343 | 306,082 | 14,577 | 13,143 |  |  |
| Commercial Mortgages (Owner occupied) | 554,140 | 554,140 | 2,080 | 560,374 | 25,107 | 29,012 |  |  |
| Commercial \& industrial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer (2) | $\$ 5,926,351$ | $\$$ | $6,278,038$ | $\$ 911,580$ | $\$ 5,147,241$ | $\$ 149,748$ | $\$ 157,418$ |  |

[^1]
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## IMPAIRED LOANS

As of December 31, 2010

| With no related allowance: | Recorded <br> Investment |  | omers Unpaid <br> Principal <br> Balance | Related <br> Allowance | Average <br> Recorded <br> Investment | Interest <br> Income Recognized | Interest Income Collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction, land \& land development | \$ 200,000 | \$ | 200,000 | \$ | \$ 120,000 | \$ 2,213 | \$ |
| Residential First Mortgages | 1,145,848 |  | 1,148,692 |  | 910,146 | 63,077 | 60,955 |
| Residential Junior Mortgages (1) | 542,376 |  | 822,003 |  | 726,032 | 24,787 | 24,042 |
| Commercial Mortgages (Non-owner-occupied) | 711,850 |  | 711,850 |  | 283,796 |  |  |
| Commercial Mortgages (Owner-occupied) | 615,327 |  | 615,327 |  | 1,328,235 | 20,677 | 18,552 |
| Commercial \& industrial | 65,000 |  | 93,948 |  | 145,064 | 10,884 | 4,843 |
| Consumer (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ 3,280,401 | \$ | 3,591,820 | \$ | \$ 3,513,274 | \$ 121,638 | \$ 108,392 |


| Construction, land \& land development | \$ | 142,618 | \$ | 142,618 | \$ | 64,518 | \$ | 146,155 | \$ | 4,723 | \$ | 4,791 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential First Mortgages |  | 657,983 |  | 661,483 |  | 93,171 |  | 210,744 |  | 7,547 |  | 1,000 |
| Residential Junior Mortgages (1) |  | 931,648 |  | 931,648 |  | 67,648 |  | 746,447 |  | 55,726 |  | 58,184 |
| Commercial Mortgages (Non-owner-occupied) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Mortgages (Owner-occupied) |  | 1,351,007 |  | 1,368,724 |  | 397,451 |  | 1,091,400 |  | 24,657 |  | 37,027 |
| Commercial \& industrial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer (2) |  | 569,918 |  | 569,918 |  | 17,662 |  | 456,449 |  | 34,232 |  | 31,337 |
|  |  | 3,653,174 | \$ | 3,674,391 |  | 640,450 |  | 2,651,195 | \$ | 126,885 |  | 32,339 |


| Total Impaired Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Construction, land \& land development | $\$ 342,618$ | $\$$ | 342,618 | $\$ 64,518$ | $\$ 266,155$ | $\$$ | 6,936 | $\$ 4,791$ |
| Residential First Mortgages | $1,803,831$ | $1,810,175$ | 93,171 | $1,120,890$ | 70,624 | 61,955 |  |  |
| Residential Junior Mortgages (1) | $1,474,024$ | $1,753,651$ | 67,648 | $1,472,479$ | 80,513 | 82,226 |  |  |
| Commercial Mortgages (Non-owner-occupied) | 711,850 | 711,850 |  | 283,796 |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Mortgages (Owner-occupied) | $1,966,334$ | $1,984,051$ | 397,451 | $2,419,636$ | 45,334 | 55,579 |  |  |
| Commercial \& industrial | 65,000 | 93,948 |  | 145,064 | 10,884 | 4,843 |  |  |
| Consumer (2) | 569,918 | 569,918 | 17,662 | 456,449 | 34,232 | 31,337 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 6,933,575$ | $\$$ | $7,266,211$ | $\$ 640,450$ | $\$ 6,164,469$ | $\$ 248,523$ | $\$ 240,731$ |  |

(1) Junior mortgages include equity lines
(2) includes credit cards

At September 30, 2011, the Bank had two relationships that constitute troubled debt restructurings (TDR s). One relationship involves seven loans totaling $\$ 1.8$ million at September 30, 2011, which are included above in the commercial and industrial segment; the construction, land and land development segment; and the residential junior mortgages segment. These loans have been nonaccruing since October of 2010, and were modified during the second quarter of 2011 to provide cross-collateralization between loans and reduced payments. The second relationship involves one loan included above in residential first mortgages, which is a commercial purpose loan secured by a residence, with a principal balance of $\$ 614,669$ on September 30, 2011 and $\$ 623,692$ on December 31, 2010. This loan was modified during the fourth quarter of 2010 to extend the amortization period by five years. Additionally, the repayment terms were modified to reflect the seasonal nature of the borrower s business, to more closely coincide with the borrower s cash flows. Prior to defaulting on the loan, this borrower notified the Bank during the third quarter that they would be going out of business. Therefore, the status of this loan was changed to nonaccruing in September, 2011.
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At September 30, 2011and December 31, 2010, non-accrual loans excluded from the impaired loan disclosure totaled $\$ 790,345$ and $\$ 899,350$, respectively. If interest on these loans had been accrued, such income would have approximated $\$ 35,828$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and $\$ 34,567$ during the year ended December 31, 2010.
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## Note 5: Earnings per share

The following table shows the weighted average number of shares used in computing earnings per share and the effect on the weighted average number of shares of dilutive potential common stock.

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  | Nine Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September 30, 2011 |  | September 30, 2010 |  | September 30, 2011 |  | September 30, 2010 |  |
|  | Average | Per share | Average Shares | Per share | Average Shares | Per share Amount | Average | Per share Amount |
| Basic earnings per share | 2,605,856 | \$ 0.02 | 2,605,856 | \$ 0.01 | 2,605,856 | \$ 0.10 | 2,605,856 | \$ 0.11 |

Effect of dilutive securities:
Stock options
Diluted earnings per share $\quad 2,605,856 \quad \$ 0.02 \quad 2,605,856 \quad \$ 0.01 \quad 2,605,856 \quad \$ 0.10 \quad 2,605,856 \quad \$ 0.11$ As of September 30, 2011 and 2010, options on 209,138 and 213,594 shares, respectively, were not included in computing diluted earnings per share, because their effects were anti-dilutive.
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## Note 6: Stock-Based Compensation

Incremental stock-based compensation expense recognized was $\$ 10,141$ during the first nine months of 2011 and $\$ 11,903$ for the same period in 2010. As of September 30, 2011, there was unrecognized compensation expense of $\$ 4,387$ related to stock options.

Stock option compensation expense is the estimated fair value of options granted using the Black-Scholes Model amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the award. There were 43,350 options granted and no options exercised during the nine month period ended September 30, 2011.

The weighted average fair value of incentive stock options granted during the first nine months of 2011 and 2010 was $\$ 0.60$ and $\$ 0.55$, respectively. The weighted average fair value of non-employee director s stock options granted during the first nine months of 2011 and 2010 was $\$ 0.55$ and $\$ 0.59$, respectively. The variables used in these calculations include the historical dividend yield, expected life of the options, expected stock price volatility, and a risk-free interest rate, which is assumed to be the rate on 10-year U.S. Treasury bonds.

Stock option plan activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, is summarized below:

|  | Shares | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price | Weighted <br> Average <br> Remaining <br> Contractual <br> Life (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Options outstanding, January 1 | 210,819 | \$ 11.35 | 5.6 |  |
| Granted | 43,350 | 4.79 |  |  |
| Forfeited | $(25,686)$ | 6.41 |  |  |
| Exercised |  |  |  |  |
| Expired | $(19,345)$ | 15.61 |  |  |
| Options outstanding, September 30 | 209,138 | 10.21 | 5.9 | \$ |
| Options exercisable, September 30 | 171,788 | 11.37 | 5.4 | \$ |

(1) The aggregate intrinsic value of a stock option in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the amount by which the current market value of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of the option) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on September 30, 2011. This amount changes based on changes in the market value of the Company s stock.

## Note 7: Goodwill

The Company has goodwill recorded on the consolidated financial statements relating to the purchase of five branches during the years 1994 through 2000. The balance of the goodwill at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, as reflected on the consolidated balance sheets was $\$ 2,807,842$. Management determined that these purchases qualified as acquisitions of businesses and that the related unidentifiable intangibles were goodwill. Therefore, amortization was discontinued effective January 1, 2002. The goodwill balance was tested for impairment in the fourth quarter of 2010, and no impairment was determined to exist.

## Note 8: Employee Benefit Plans

The Company has a non-contributory, defined benefit pension plan for all full-time employees over 21 years of age. Under this cash balance plan, the account balance for each participant will grow each year with annual pay credits based on age and years of service and monthly interest credits based on an amount established each year by the Company s Board of Directors. The Company funds pension costs in accordance with the funding provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act.
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The Company sponsors a postretirement benefit plan covering current and future retirees who acquire age 55 and 10 years of service or age 65 and 5 years of service. The post-retirement benefit plan provides coverage toward a retiree seligible medical and life insurance benefits expenses.

## Table of Contents

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost
(Unaudited)

|  | Pension Benefits |  | Post Retirement Benefits |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nine months ended September 30, | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Service cost | $\$ 206,211$ | $\$ 186,109$ | $\$ 16,711$ | $\$ 15,481$ |
| Interest cost | 155,982 | 146,400 | 23,830 | 23,517 |
| Expected return on plan assets | $(264,861)$ | $(241,801)$ |  |  |
| Amortization of unrecognized prior service cost | $(40,473)$ | $(40,471)$ |  |  |
| Amortization of unrecognized net loss | 31,815 | 32,200 | 125 |  |
| Amortization of transition obligation |  |  | 2,185 | 2,185 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Net periodic benefit cost | $\$ 88,674$ | $\$ 82,437$ | $\$ 42,851$ | $\$ 41,183$ |

The Company expects to make no contribution to its pension plan and $\$ 22,571$ to its post-retirement benefit plan in 2011. The Company has contributed $\$ 10,171$ toward the post-retirement plan during the first nine months of 2011.

## Note 9: Long Term Debt

On September 30, 2011, the Bank had FHLB debt consisting of two advances. The FHLB holds an option to terminate the $\$ 10$ million advance on any quarterly payment date. The $\$ 10$ million advance has an early conversion option which gives the FHLB the option to convert, in whole only, into a one-month LIBOR-based floating rate advance, effective on any quarterly payment date. If the FHLB elects to convert, the Bank may elect to terminate, in whole or in part, without a prepayment fee.

In August, 2011, the Bank prepaid two $\$ 5$ million advances, and incurred a prepayment fee of $\$ 27,300$. This reduced the total outstanding balance of FHLB advances from $\$ 25$ million to $\$ 15$ million.

Advances on the FHLB lines are secured by a blanket lien on qualified 1 to 4 family residential real estate loans with a lendable collateral value of $\$ 55$ million. Immediate available credit, as of September 30, 2011, was $\$ 38$ million. With additional collateral, the total line of credit is worth $\$ 65.5$ million, with $\$ 48.5$ million available.

The two advances are shown in the following table.

| Description | Balance | Acquired | Current <br> Interest Rate | Maturity <br> Date |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Convertible | $\$ 10,000,000$ | $9 / 12 / 2006$ | $4.23 \%$ | $9 / 12 / 2016$ |
| Fixed Rate Hybrid | $5,000,000$ | $5 / 20 / 2011$ | $2.69 \%$ | $5 / 20 / 2014$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Note 10: Fair Value Measurements

The Company uses fair value to record certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. Authoritative accounting guidance clarifies that fair value of certain assets and liabilities is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants.

Authoritative accounting guidance specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect the
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Company s market assumptions. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy based on these two types of inputs are as follows:

Level 1 Valuation is based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.
Level 2 Valuation is based on observable inputs including quoted prices in active markets for similar assets and liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in less active markets, and model-based valuation techniques for which significant assumptions can be derived primarily from or corroborated by observable data in the market.

Level 3 Valuation is based on model-based techniques that use one or more significant inputs or assumptions that are unobservable in the market.
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The following describes the valuation techniques used by the Company to measure certain financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis in the financial statements:

Securities available-for-sale: Securities available-for-sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based upon quoted market prices, when available (Level 1). If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are measured utilizing independent valuation techniques of identical or similar securities for which significant assumptions are derived primarily from or corroborated by observable market data. Third party vendors compile prices from various sources and may determine the fair value of identical or similar securities by using pricing models that considers observable market data (Level 2).

The following table presents the balances of financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

|  | Balance as of September 30, 2011 (unaudited) |  | Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2011 Using Quoted Prices <br> in <br> Active |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Markets for Identical | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) |  | Significant Unobservable <br> Inputs (Level 3) |
| Description |  |  | Assets <br> Level 1 |  |  |  |
| U. S. Government agencies | \$ | 9,838,071 | \$ | \$ | 9,838,071 | \$ |
| State and municipal obligations |  | 29,664,432 | 29,664,432 |  |  |  |



Certain assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with GAAP. Adjustments to the fair value of these assets usually result from the application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets.

The following describes the valuation techniques used by the Company to measure certain assets recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in the financial statements:

Impaired Loans: Loans are designated as impaired when, in the judgment of management based on current information and events, it is probable that all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement will not be collected. The measurement of loss associated with impaired loans can be based on either the observable market price of the loan or the fair value of the collateral. Fair value is measured based on the value of the collateral securing the loans. Collateral may be in the form of real estate or business assets including equipment, inventory, and accounts receivable. The vast majority of the collateral is real estate. The value of real estate collateral is determined utilizing an income or market valuation approach based on an appraisal conducted by an independent, licensed appraiser outside of the Company using observable market data (Level 2). However, if the collateral is a house or building in the process of construction or if an appraisal of the real estate property is over two years old, then the fair value is considered Level 3. The value of business equipment is based upon an outside appraisal if deemed significant, or the net book value on the applicable business s financial statements if not considered significant using observable market data. Likewise, values for inventory and accounts receivables collateral are based on financial statement balances or aging reports (Level 3). Impaired loans allocated to the Allowance for Loan Losses are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Any fair value adjustments are recorded in the period incurred as provision for loan losses on the Consolidated Statements of Income.
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Other Real Estate Owned: Certain assets such as other real estate owned are measured at the lower of their carrying value or fair value less estimated costs to sell. At or near the time of foreclosure, the Bank obtains real estate appraisals on the properties acquired through foreclosure. The real estate is then valued at the lesser of the loan balance, including interest receivable, or the appraised value at the time of foreclosure less an estimate of costs to sell the property. Management believes that the fair value component in its valuation follows the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board ASC 820 and that current real estate appraisals support a Level 2 valuation.

The following table summarizes the Company s assets that were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the period.

|  |  | Fair value measurements at September 30, 2011 using Quoted Prices in <br> Active |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Balance as of September 30, 2011 (unaudited) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Assets } \\ & \text { (Level 1) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inputs } \\ & \text { (Level 2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inputs } \\ & \text { (Level 3) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Impaired Loans, net of valuation allowance | \$ 3,177,772 | \$ | \$ | 3,177,772 | \$ |
| Other real estate owned | \$ 2,708,933 | \$ | \$ | 2,708,933 | \$ |



The estimated fair values of financial instruments are shown in the following table. The carrying amounts in the table are included in the balance sheet under the applicable captions.

|  | September 30, 2011 (unaudited) <br> Carrying |  |  | December 31, 2010 <br> Carrying |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Amount |  |  |  |  |

# Edgar Filing: BAY BANKS OF VIRGINIA INC - Form 10-Q 

| FHLB advances | $15,000,000$ | $16,205,663$ | $30,000,000$ | $31,715,392$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Accrued interest payable | 172,076 | 172,076 | 291,621 | 291,621 |

The fair values shown do not necessarily represent the amounts which would be received on immediate settlement of the instruments.
Authoritative accounting guidance excludes certain financial instruments and all non-financial instruments from its disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented do not represent the underlying value of the Company.
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The carrying amounts of cash and due from banks, federal funds sold or purchased, accrued interest, non-interest-bearing deposits, savings, and securities sold under repurchase agreements, represent items which do not present significant market risks, are payable on demand, or are of such short duration that carrying value approximates market value.

Available-for-sale securities are carried at the fair values measured utilizing independent valuation techniques of identical or similar securities for which significant assumptions are derived primarily from or corroborated by observable market data. Therefore carrying value equals market value. The carrying value of restricted securities approximates fair value based on the redemption provisions.

The fair value of loans is estimated by discounting future cash flows using the interest rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers.
Time deposits are presented at estimated fair value using interest rates offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

The fair value of the FHLB advances is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the interest rate offered for similar advances.
The fair value of commitments to extend credit is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present credit worthiness of the counter parties. For fixed-rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates.

The fair value of standby letters of credit is based on fees currently charged for similar agreements or on the estimated cost to terminate them or otherwise settle the obligations with the counter parties at the reporting date.

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the fair value of loan commitments and standby letters of credit was immaterial. Therefore, they are not included in the table above.

The Company assumes interest rate risk (the risk that general interest rate levels will change) as a result of its normal operations. As a result, the fair value of the Company s financial instruments will change when interest rate levels change and that change may be either favorable or unfavorable to the Company. Management attempts to match maturities of assets and liabilities to the extent believed necessary to minimize interest rate risk. However, borrowers with fixed rate obligations are less likely to prepay in a rising rate environment. Conversely, depositors who are receiving fixed rates are more likely to withdraw funds before maturity in a rising rate environment and less likely to do so in a falling rate environment. Management monitors rates and maturities of assets and liabilities and attempts to minimize interest rate risk by adjusting terms of new loans and deposits and by investing in securities with terms that mitigate the Company s overall interest rate risk.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion is intended to assist in understanding the results of operations and the financial condition of the Company. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the above consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto.
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On October 6, 2011, the Company s board of directors elected Randal R. Greene to the position of President and Chief Executive Officer. He was also elected by the Bank s board of directors to the position of President and Chief Executive Officer for the Bank. We are very excited to welcome Randy to our Company family. Consequently, Kenneth O. Bransford, Jr., announced his plan to retire from the Bank at the end of the year. Ken served the Bank well as President and CEO during the time after Austin L. Roberts, III, took ill during the second quarter up until Randy was elected. Ken will continue to serve as a director after his retirement. We all miss Austin sorely, but look forward to a new era under Randy s leadership.

We are also excited to welcome Francis A. Burke to the Trust Company as its new President and Chief Executive Officer as of October 6, 2011.

The Company continues to be profitable in the third quarter of 2011. We believe our history of conservative policies has contributed to our ability to weather this lengthy economic downturn. The Bank has not and does not invest in non-traditional debt securities or risky derivatives, nor programs that originate Sub-Prime, Alt-A, or other types of mortgages that have a high risk profile.

Although challenges remain, the quality of the Bank s loan portfolio continues to improve, as evidenced by a reduction in non-performing loans and other real estate owned ( OREO ) to 3.3\% of total loans and OREO as of September 30, 2011 compared to 3.9\% as of December 31, 2010. Net loan charge-offs for the first nine months of 2011 provide more evidence of that improvement, with a reduction in annualized net loan charge-offs as a percent of total loans to $0.16 \%$ compared to $0.51 \%$ for the same period in 2010 . Reductions in the level of OREO should allow reductions in the frustrating levels of write-downs, maintenance expenses and losses on sales.

Deposit insurance premiums remain historically high for all financial institutions holding domestic deposits as the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ) rebuilds the Deposit Insurance Fund. However, the FDIC s revised calculation of the assessment premiums, which was effective in the second quarter of 2011, has saved the Bank \$58 thousand for the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Interest margins are being managed effectively. Declines in interest income have been more than mitigated by reductions in interest expense so far in 2011. Loan yields remain low, contributing to reduced interest income, which is also negatively impacted to a lesser degree by non-accruing loans. However, management s strategy has been to reduce the cost of funds through shifts in the mix of deposits and reduced rates, with focus on core customer relationships, creating compensating reductions in interest expense, and therefore preventing further declines in net interest income as noted previously. Management also reduced FHLB borrowings during the second and third quarters of 2011, which resulted in reductions in the interest cost of the remaining borrowings. Looking forward, as time deposits mature and new instruments are purchased at lower rates, reductions in interest expense are expected to continue. As a result, continued improvements in net interest income during the remainder of 2011 are anticipated. The only concern is the effect of the Federal Open Market Committee s ( FOMC s ) intention to reduce longer term mortgage rates, which could put competitive pressure on the Bank s mortgage rates.

The size of the loan portfolio declined noticeably during the first and second quarters of 2011. Although the Bank originates millions of dollars in new loans each month, the level of originations was not sufficient to mitigate loan pay downs, prepayments and foreclosures. Many of the prepayments are related to borrowers refinancing their mortgages from traditional adjustable rate mortgages ( ARM s ) into longer term fixed rate mortgages, which the Bank does not hold in its loan portfolio due to unacceptable interest rate risk. During the third quarter, this trend subsided and the size of the loan portfolio has stabilized. A significant portion of the Bank s local economy is driven by residential real estate and the local trend in real estate activity has been weak. This contributed to the decline in interest income. Regarding commercial loan activity, it remains slow in the current economy. This is causing difficulties in growing loans.

The Company s liquidity, core capital levels and regulatory ratios remain good. According to the Bank s regulators, the Bank remains well capitalized. Given continued economic uncertainty, management continues to give priority to protecting capital and maintaining elevated levels of liquidity.

Management has accelerated its efforts to identify and implement earnings opportunities and diligently manage controllable expenses.
For more information, visit the Company s website at www.baybanks.com. Information contained on the Company s website is not a part of this report.
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## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

GENERAL. The Company s financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP. The financial information contained within our statements is, to a significant extent, financial information that is based on measures of the financial effects of transactions and events that have already occurred. A variety of factors could affect the ultimate value that is obtained either when earning income, recognizing an expense, recovering an asset or relieving a liability. For example, historical loss factors are one factor in determining the inherent loss that may be present in the loan portfolio. Actual losses could differ significantly from the historical factors used. In addition, GAAP itself may change from one previously acceptable method to another method. Although the economics of transactions would be the same, the timing of events that would impact those transactions could change.

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES ( ALL ). The ALL is an estimate which reflects management s judgment of probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The ALL is based on two basic principles of accounting: (1) that losses be accrued when they are probable of occurring and estimable and (2) that losses be accrued based on the differences between the value of collateral, present value of future cash flows or values that are observable in the secondary market and the loan balance. The ALL is increased by charges to income, through the provision for loan losses expense, and decreased by charge-offs (net of recoveries).

Management calculates the ALL and evaluates it for adequacy every quarter. This process is lengthy and thorough. The calculation is based on information such as past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect a borrower s ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral, and current economic conditions. The quarterly process includes consideration of certain borrowers payment histories compared to the terms of each loan agreement and other adverse factors such as divorce, loss of employment income, and bankruptcy. Each loan is then given a risk grade which represents the extent (or lack) of weakness. This grading process occurs dynamically throughout each quarter as new information is learned about each borrowing relationship.

The ALL calculation has three main elements. First, large commercial and construction loan relationships with adverse risk rating grades, in bankruptcy, nonaccruing, or more than 30 days past due are evaluated for impairment. For loans determined to be impaired, a specific allowance is provided when the loan balance exceeds its discounted collateral value. Real estate collateral value is determined based on appraisals done by third parties. At such time as a loan is assigned to a watch grade, if the most recent appraisal is more than two years old, a new appraisal will generally be ordered. Discounts applied to collateral include estimated realtor commissions on real estate (in consideration of selling costs should the Bank end up owning the property), and industry-standard reductions in values for accounts receivable, inventory and other varying forms of collateral.

Second, loans not deemed impaired under the first element plus smaller commercial loans, residential mortgages and consumer loans are collectively evaluated in groups of homogenous pools called segments, then a historical loss factor is applied to each segment of loans. The historical loss factor for each segment is calculated by averaging the losses over the prior six quarters.

Finally, a set of qualitative factors, such as changes in credit quality, changes in loan staff experience, changes in loan policies and underwriting guidelines, and changes in national and local economic conditions, is used to estimate the value of intrinsic risk in each of the segments.

The summation of these three elements results in the total estimated ALL. Management may also include an unallocated component to cover uncertainties in the level of probable losses. This estimate is inherently subjective and actual losses could be greater or less than the estimates. For a more detailed description of the ALL, see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of the previously filed Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

## EARNINGS SUMMATION

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, net income was $\$ 270$ thousand, a decrease of $9.6 \%$ compared to the $\$ 299$ thousand for the similar period in 2010. Diluted earnings per average share for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 were $\$ 0.10$ and $\$ 0.11$, respectively; annualized return on average assets was $0.11 \%$ and $0.12 \%$, respectively; and annualized return on average equity was $1.3 \%$ and $1.5 \%$, respectively. For the quarter ended September 30, 2011, net income was $\$ 55$ thousand, an increase of $\$ 33$ thousand compared to the quarter ended September 30, 2010. Diluted earnings per share were $\$ 0.02$ and $\$ 0.01$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010, respectively; annualized return on average assets was $0.07 \%$ and $0.03 \%$, respectively; and annualized return on average equity was $0.8 \%$ and $0.3 \%$, respectively.
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The principal source of earnings for the Company is net interest income. Net interest income is the amount by which interest income exceeds interest expense. The net interest margin is net interest income expressed as a percentage of interest-earning assets. Changes in the volume and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the associated yields and rates, and the volume of non-performing assets have an effect on net interest income, the net interest margin, and net income. Although interest income is down by $\$ 800$ thousand in the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010, interest expense is down more, by $\$ 937$ thousand, thereby increasing the level of net interest income. The $\$ 800$ thousand decrease in interest income was driven by both lower average loan balances and reduced loan yields. The $\$ 937$ thousand decrease in interest expense was primarily due to reductions in time deposit balances and to a lesser degree, reduced rates on time deposits and reduced FHLB borrowings. Reduced provision expense contributed further to an improvement in net interest income after the provision for loan losses of $\$ 275$ thousand, or $3.9 \%$ for the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. For the quarter ended September 30, 2011, compared to the same quarter in 2010, the results are the same interest income is down by $\$ 284$ thousand, but interest expense is down by $\$ 377$ thousand, creating an increase in net interest income of $\$ 93$ thousand. Net interest income after the provision for loan losses is up by $\$ 58$ for the same time frame comparison.

## Net Interest Income Analysis (unaudited)

| (Fully taxable equivalent basis) | Average Balances, Income and Expense, Yields and Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Dollars in Thousands) | Average Balance | Income/ Expense | Yield/ Cost | Average Balance |  | ncome/ Expense | Yield/ Cost |
| INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable investments | \$ 25,737 | \$ 571 | 2.96\% | \$ 26,081 |  | 700 | 3.58\% |
| Tax-exempt investments (1) | 7,771 | 298 | 5.12\% | 9,052 |  | 380 | 5.60\% |
| Total investments | 33,508 | 869 | 3.46\% | 35,133 |  | 1,080 | 4.10\% |
| Gross loans (2) | 242,144 | 10,177 | 5.61\% | 249,862 |  | 10,801 | 5.77\% |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 22,540 | 41 | 0.24\% | 18,367 |  | 36 | 0.26\% |
| Federal funds sold | 5,449 | 7 | 0.18\% | 4,012 |  | 6 | 0.18\% |
| Total Interest-Earning Assets | \$ 303,641 | \$ 11,094 | 4.87\% | \$ 307,374 |  | 11,923 | 5.17\% |
| INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings deposits | \$ 48,705 | \$ 303 | 0.83\% | \$ 45,706 | \$ | 296 | 0.87\% |
| NOW deposits | 39,416 | 75 | 0.25\% | 36,033 |  | 79 | 0.29\% |
| Time deposits $=>$ \$ 100,000 | 48,903 | 900 | 2.46\% | 52,580 |  | 1,130 | 2.87\% |
| Time deposits < \$100,000 | 64,725 | 1,114 | 2.30\% | 71,003 |  | 1,519 | 2.86\% |
| Money market deposit accounts | 20,597 | 117 | 0.76\% | 19,991 |  | 130 | 0.87\% |
| Total Deposits | \$ 222,346 | \$ 2,509 | 1.51\% | \$ 225,313 | \$ | 3,155 | 1.87\% |
| Federal funds purchased | \$ | \$ | 0.00\% | \$ 22 | \$ |  | 0.66\% |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements | 6,403 | 11 | 0.23\% | 6,388 |  | 10 | 0.21\% |
| FHLB advances | 26,344 | 747 | 3.79\% | 30,000 |  | 1,039 | 4.63\% |
| Total Interest-Bearing Liabilities | \$ 255,093 | \$ 3,267 | 1.71\% | \$ 261,723 | \$ | 4,204 | 2.15\% |
| Net interest income and net interest margin |  | \$ 7,827 | 3.44\% |  | \$ | 7,719 | 3.35\% |
| Net interest rate spread |  |  | 3.12\% |  |  |  | 3.03\% |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) Yield and income assumes a federal tax rate of $34 \%$.
(2) Includes VISA program and nonaccrual loans.
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The annualized net interest margin was $3.44 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, up from $3.35 \%$ for the same period in 2010. The main reason for this improvement is reductions in deposit costs. Unfortunately, because interest rates remain at historic lows, new loans are generally added to the loan portfolio at lower yields than the average yield of the portfolio as a whole. Combined with paydowns and payoffs of generally higher yielding loans, and shrinkage of the loan portfolio, interest income has continued to decline. However, deposit rates have declined to reduce the cost of funding and interest expense. One FHLB advance matured during the second quarter of 2011, allowing management to reduce the level of FHLB borrowings at materially lower interest rates. Two more FHLB advances were prepaid in August of 2011. These reductions in rates and balances of interest bearing liabilities have more than mitigated the declines in interest income, thus improving net interest income and the net interest margin. Further reductions in the cost of funds are anticipated as time deposits mature and are replaced at lower rates. As long as market rates remain low, this trend is expected to continue for another two years, but at a slower pace, and to have positive effects on the net interest margin.

Average interest-earning assets decreased $1.2 \%$ to $\$ 303.6$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to $\$ 307.4$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Average interest-earning assets as a percent of total average assets was $93.0 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to $92.2 \%$ for the comparable period of 2010. As shown in the table above, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the loan portfolio, with $\$ 242.1$ million, is the largest category of interest-earning assets.

Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased $2.5 \%$ to $\$ 255.1$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to $\$ 261.7$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The largest category of interest-bearing liabilities is time deposits, with combined average balances of $\$ 113.6$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, down from $\$ 123.6$ million for the similar period in 2010. Noticeable increases in average balances occurred in negotiable order of withdrawal ( NOW ) and savings account deposits.

The net interest spread, which is the difference between the annualized yield on earning assets and the annualized cost of interest-bearing liabilities, increased to $3.12 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $3.03 \%$ for the same period in 2010.

## LIQUIDITY

Liquidity represents an institution $s$ ability to meet present and future financial obligations (such as commitments to fund loans) through either the sale or maturity of existing assets or the acquisition of additional funds through liability management. Liquid assets include cash, interest-bearing deposits with other banks, federal funds sold and investments and loans maturing within one year. The Company sability to obtain deposits and purchase funds at favorable rates are major factors for liquidity. Management believes that the Company maintains overall liquidity that is sufficient to satisfy its depositors requirements and to meet its customers credit needs.

At September 30, 2011, federal funds sold totaled $\$ 2.5$ million, interest-bearing deposits at the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond totaled $\$ 17.2$ million, and securities maturing in one year or less totaled $\$ 7.8$ million. The liquidity ratio as of September 30, 2011 was $15.7 \%$ as compared to $15.3 \%$ as of December 31, 2010. The Company determines this ratio by dividing the sum of cash and cash equivalents, investment securities maturing in one year or less, loans maturing in one year or less and federal funds sold, by total assets. The Bank has a formal liquidity management policy and contingency plan. Given current economic uncertainty, management is maintaining a historically high level of liquidity.

In addition, as noted earlier, the Company has a line of credit with the FHLB worth $\$ 65.5$ million, plus federal funds lines of credit with correspondent banks totaling $\$ 20.3$ million.

## OFF BALANCE SHEET COMMITMENTS

In the normal course of business, the Company offers various financial products to its customers to meet their credit and liquidity needs. These instruments may involve elements of liquidity, credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company s exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instruments for commitments to extend credit and standby-letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of these instruments. Subject to its normal credit standards and risk monitoring procedures, the Company makes contractual commitments to extend credit. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments may expire without being completely drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Conditional commitments are issued by the Company in the form of performance stand-by letters of credit, which guarantee the performance of a customer to a third-party. The credit risk of issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers.
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## Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

| (Dollars in Thousands) | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Loan Commitments Outstanding | $\$$ | 31,361 | $\$$ | 32,351 |
| Standby-by Letters of Credit |  | 344 | 446 |  |

The Company maintains liquidity and credit facilities with non-affiliated banks in excess of the total loan commitments and stand-by letters of credit. As these commitments are earning assets only upon takedown of the instrument by the customer, thereby increasing loan balances, management expects the revenue of the Company to be enhanced as these credit facilities are utilized.

There have been no material changes to the off balance sheet items disclosed in Management siscussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

## CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

There have been no material changes outside the ordinary course of business to the contractual obligations disclosed in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

## CAPITAL RESOURCES

Capital resources represent funds, earned or obtained, over which a financial institution can exercise greater long-term control in comparison with deposits and borrowed funds. The adequacy of the Company s capital is reviewed by management on an ongoing basis with reference to size, composition, and quality of the Company s resources and consistency with regulatory requirements and industry standards. Management seeks to maintain a capital structure that will assure an adequate level of capital to support anticipated asset growth and to absorb potential losses, yet allow management to effectively leverage its capital to maximize return to shareholders. The Company s capital, also known as equity, is comprised mainly of outstanding stock and retained earnings. Capital can be increased with securities offerings or through earnings. The Company has a formal capital policy and plan and management believes that the capital level at September 30, 2011, supports current economic uncertainty.

From December 31, 2010 to September 30, 2011, total shareholders equity increased from $\$ 27.3$ million to $\$ 28.2$ million. Several factors impact shareholder s equity, including net income, earnings returned to shareholders through cash dividends and regulatory capital requirements.

The Company s capital resources are also impacted by net unrealized gains or losses on securities. The available-for-sale securities portfolio is marked to market monthly and unrealized gains or losses, net of taxes, are recognized as accumulated other comprehensive income on the balance sheets and statement of changes in shareholders equity. Another factor effecting accumulated other comprehensive income is changes in the fair value of the Company s pension and post-retirement benefit plans. Shareholders equity before accumulated other comprehensive income was $\$ 27.5$ million on September 30, 2011 compared to $\$ 27.2$ million on December 31, 2010. Accumulated other comprehensive income increased $\$ 616.1$ thousand between December 31, 2010 and June September 30, 2011, a result of unrealized gains in the investment portfolio.

Book value per share, basic, increased by $3.3 \%$ to $\$ 10.84$ on September 30, 2011 from $\$ 10.49$ on December 31, 2010. Book value per share, basic, before accumulated other comprehensive income on September 30, 2011, compared to December 31, 2010, increased by $1.1 \%$ to $\$ 10.54$ from $\$ 10.43$. No cash dividends were paid for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011, nor for the comparable period ended September 30, 2010. Of the 5,000,000 common shares authorized, 2,605,856 were outstanding on September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

The Company began a share repurchase program in August of 1999 and has continued the program into 2011. No repurchases were made during the first nine months of 2011 or during the comparable period in 2010.

The Bank is subject to minimum regulatory capital ratios as defined by Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council guidelines. These ratios continue to be well in excess of regulatory minimums. As of September 30, 2011, the Bank maintained Tier 1 capital of $\$ 24.4$ million, net risk weighted assets of $\$ 222.7$ million, and Tier 2 capital of $\$ 2.8$ million. On September 30, 2011, the Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets ratio was $10.94 \%$, the total capital ratio was $12.19 \%$, and the Tier 1 leverage ratio was $7.49 \%$.
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## FINANCIAL CONDITION

Total assets decreased by $1.7 \%$ to $\$ 321.6$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Cash and due from banks, which produce no income, increased to $\$ 4.4$ million on September 30, 2011 from $\$ 3.3$ million at year-end 2010.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, gross loans declined by $\$ 9.7$ million or $4.0 \%$, to $\$ 237.6$ million from $\$ 247.4$ million at year-end 2010. The largest component of this decrease was in residential first mortgages with a $6.4 \%$ decrease of $\$ 7.4$ million to $\$ 108.1$ million. The bright spot was growth in Commercial mortgages, non-owner occupied, with a $17.8 \%$ increase of $\$ 2.3$ million to $\$ 15.1$ million.

Consumer loans, including residential real estate loans, are generally underwritten based on the borrower s debt-to-income ratio, credit score or payment history and the ratio of the requested loan amount to the value of any collateral. There are established underwriting criteria for these parameters to determine if a loan will be considered an acceptable credit risk. For commercial borrowers, factors we assess include the legal entity of the borrower, the capacity of the borrower to cover its debt service obligations, the strength and creditworthiness of any guarantor support, the value of any collateral relative to the loan amount, stability and predictability of the borrower s cash flow, and the borrower s standing with the Virginia State Corporation Commission.

As of September 30, 2011, loans valued at $\$ 5.9$ million were considered impaired, whereas $\$ 6.9$ million were considered impaired as of December 31, 2010. Between December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011, new impaired loans totaled $\$ 3.2$ million, whereas $\$ 4.1$ million were dispensed, mainly through foreclosures. Management has reviewed these impaired credits and the underlying collateral and expects no additional losses above those which are specifically reserved in the ALL.

Risk rating grades are assigned conservatively, causing some homogenous loans, like residential mortgages, to fall into the pool of adversely risk rated loans and thereby evaluated for impairment, even though they may be performing as agreed and therefore not impaired. An expanded set of risk rating grades was completed and implemented during December of 2010, which expanded the number of pass grades to improve monitoring of performing loans.

## Non-performing Assets

|  | September 30, 2011 |  | December 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dollars\$ | Percent | Dollars | Percent |
| (percentages are as a percent of total loans) |  |  |  |  |
| Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing | \$ 959,177 | 0.4\% | \$ 202,349 | 0.1\% |
| Non-accruing loans | 4,347,668 | 1.8\% | 5,574,009 | 2.3\% |
| Total non-performing loans | \$ 5,306,845 | 2.2\% | \$ 5,776,358 | 2.3\% |
| (percentages are as a percent of total loans plus OREO) |  |  |  |  |
| Other real estate owned | 2,708,933 | 1.1\% | 4,085,939 | 1.6\% |
| Total non-performing assets | \$8,015,778 | 3.3\% | \$ 9,862,297 | 3.9\% |

Non-performing loans, which include loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing plus non-accruing loans, as a percentage of total loans, declined to $2.2 \%$ as of September 30, 2011 from 2.3\% as of December 31, 2010. Non-accruing loans totaled $\$ 4.3$ million as of September 30, 2011, down from $\$ 5.6$ million at year-end 2010. Loans still accruing interest but delinquent for 90 days or more totaled $\$ 959$ thousand on September 30, 2011, up from $\$ 202$ thousand on December 31, 2010. Non-performing assets, which include OREO in addition to non-performing loans, decreased to $3.3 \%$ at September 30, 2011 from $3.9 \%$ at December 31, 2010. The Bank has two TDRs which are comprised by two borrowers, one more at September 30, 2011 than at December 31, 2010, for a total of $\$ 2.4$ million. The most recent TDR involves seven loans totaling $\$ 1.8$ million, all of which had already been placed on nonaccrual during the fourth quarter of 2010.

Loans charged off during the first nine months of 2011, net of recoveries, declined materially to $\$ 292$ thousand compared to $\$ 968$ thousand for the comparable period in 2010. This represents a reduction in the annualized net charge-off ratio from $0.51 \%$ for the first nine months of 2010 to $0.16 \%$ for the same period of 2011 . The majority of these charge-offs were anticipated and specific reserves had been provided for them in the ALL. Although trends in the quality of the Bank s loan portfolio clearly show improvement, management is maintaining a conservative level of the ALL at $1.40 \%$ of total loans up from $1.31 \%$ on December 31, 2010.

## Edgar Filing: BAY BANKS OF VIRGINIA INC - Form 10-Q

The Company now maintains $\$ 2.7$ million of OREO as of September 30, 2011, another material reduction compared to $\$ 4.1$ million at year-end 2010. OREO consists of nine residences, fourteen lots, one former restaurant, a former lodging property with a restaurant, two former convenience stores, a former seafood house and one piece of farmland. In 2011, five OREO properties with a book value of $\$ 2.8$ million were sold for a loss of $\$ 40$ thousand, and thirteen properties with a total value of $\$ \$ 1.6$ million from seven borrowers were added through foreclosures and a deed in lieu of foreclosure. All properties maintained as OREO are valued at the lesser of carrying value or fair value less estimated costs to sell and are actively marketed
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As of September 30, 2011, securities available-for-sale at fair value totaled $\$ 39.5$ million as compared to $\$ 34.1$ million on December 31, 2010. This represents a net increase of $\$ 5.4$ million or $1.6 \%$ for the nine months. As of September 30, 2011, these securities represented $12.3 \%$ of total assets and $13.2 \%$ of earning assets. All securities in the Company s investment portfolio are classified as available-for-sale and marked to market on a monthly basis. These gains or losses, net of tax, are booked as an adjustment to shareholders equity based upon market conditions, and are not realized as an adjustment to earnings until the securities are actually sold or an other than temporary impairment occurs. Management does not consider any of the securities to be other than temporarily impaired.

As of September 30, 2011, total deposits were $\$ 271.5$ million compared to $\$ 260.9$ million at year-end 2010 . This represents an increase in balances of $\$ 10.7$ million or $4.1 \%$ during the nine months. This increase was due materially to savings and interest bearing demand deposits with a $4.8 \%$ increase of $\$ 4.9$ million.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## NON-INTEREST INCOME

Non-interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, was down by $\$ 156$ thousand, or $7.2 \%$, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The main driver of this decrease is write-downs and losses of $\$ 360$ thousand on OREO year-to-date through September 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 221$ thousand for the same period in 2010. Another factor in this decline is reduced fees from fewer sales of mortgages into the secondary market. Income from Investment Advantage was up to $\$ 201$ thousand from $\$ 171$ thousand for the same period of 2010, an increase of $\$ 30$ thousand. Investment Advantage contributes the majority of income to other service charges and fees, and since income from Investment Advantage is commission-based, increases in investment activity will cause increases in the Company s income. VISA related fees declined by $\$ 14$ thousand to $\$ 591$ thousand in the first nine months of 2011 compared to the similar period in 2010. For the quarter ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same quarter of 2010, non-interest income was up by $\$ 139$ thousand, due primarily to declines of $\$ 182$ thousand in OREO losses.

Income from fiduciary activities was up by $\$ 11$ thousand to $\$ 449$ thousand for the first nine months of 2011 compared to the similar period in 2010. The Company s fiduciary income is derived from the operations of its subsidiary, Bay Trust Company, which offers a broad range of trust and related fiduciary services. Among these are estate settlement and testamentary trusts, revocable and irrevocable personal trusts, managed agency, custodial accounts, and rollover IRAs, both self-directed and managed. Fiduciary income is largely affected by changes in the performance of the stock and bond market, which directly impacts the market value of the accounts upon which fees are earned and therefore the levels of this fee income.

## NON-INTEREST EXPENSE

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, non-interest expenses totaled $\$ 9.1$ million, an increase of $\$ 136$ thousand, or $1.5 \%$, compared to the same period in 2010, due mainly to a $\$ 199$ thousand increase in salaries expense. Salaries and employee benefits expense, the largest component of non-interest expense, is up due to the filling of one vacancy, one new credit-related position, and customary salary increases. The main driver of the $\$ 75$ thousand in reduced occupancy expense is declines in depreciation expense for equipment acquired in 2008 which is now fully depreciated. Also, FDIC insurance assessments are down by $\$ 58$ thousand for the same period comparison, as result of the FDIC s new calculation methodology, which was effective April 1, 2011.

Other non-interest expenses include bank franchise taxes, which decreased to $\$ 110$ thousand for the first nine months of 2011 compared to $\$ 123$ thousand for the same period in 2010; expenses related to the VISA ${ }^{\circledR}$ program, which increased to $\$ 512$ thousand for the first nine months of 2011 compared to $\$ 503$ thousand for the same period in 2010; and telephone expenses, which decreased to $\$ 129$ thousand for the current period compared to $\$ 138$ thousand for the same period in 2010. Telephone expenses include the cost of the Company s Customer Care Center and data network communications.

For the quarter ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same quarter in 2010, non-interest expense was up by $\$ 147$ thousand. This was due primarily to an increase in both salaries and benefits expense and other expense by $\$ 105$ thousand and $\$ 107$ thousand, respectively.
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## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. ASU 2010-06 amends Subtopic 820-10 to clarify existing disclosures, require new disclosures, and includes conforming amendments to guidance on employers disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets. ASU 2010-06 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of the new guidance did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310) Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. The new disclosure guidance significantly expands the existing requirements and will lead to greater transparency into an entity s exposure to credit losses from lending arrangements. The extensive new disclosures of information as of the end of a reporting period became effective for both interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. Specific disclosures regarding activity that occurred before the issuance of the ASU, such as the allowance roll forward and modification disclosures, were required for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The Company has included the required disclosures in its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-28, Intangible Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts. The amendments in this ASU modify Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is not permitted. The adoption of the new guidance did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-29, Business Combinations (Topic 805) Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations. The guidance requires pro forma disclosure for business combinations that occurred in the current reporting period as though the acquisition date for all business combinations that occurred during the year had been as of the beginning of the annual reporting period. If comparative financial statements are presented, the pro forma information should be reported as though the acquisition date for all business combinations that occurred during the current year had been as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period. ASU 2010-29 is effective for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of the new guidance did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) issued Final Rule No. 33-9002, Interactive Data to Improve Financial Reporting. The rule requires companies to submit financial statements in extensible business reporting language ( XBRL ) format with their SEC filings on a phased-in schedule. Large accelerated filers and foreign large accelerated filers using U.S. GAAP were required to provide interactive data reports starting with their first quarterly report for fiscal periods ending on or after June 15, 2010. All remaining filers are required to provide interactive data reports starting with their first quarterly report for fiscal periods ending on or after June 15, 2011. The Company complied with this rule beginning with the filing of the June 30, 2011 Form 10-Q.

In March 2011, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB ) 114. This SAB revises or rescinds portions of the interpretive guidance included in the codification of the Staff Accounting Bulletin Series. This update is intended to make the relevant interpretive guidance consistent with current authoritative accounting guidance issued as a part of the FASB s Codification. The principal changes involve revision or removal of accounting guidance references and other conforming changes to ensure consistency of referencing through the SAB Series. The effective date for SAB 114 is March 28, 2011. The adoption of the new guidance did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-02, Receivables (Topic 310) A Creditor s Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring. The amendments in this ASU clarify the guidance on a creditor $s$ evaluation of whether it has granted a concession to a debtor. They also clarify the guidance on a creditor s evaluation of whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulty. The amendments in this ASU are effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. Retrospective application to the beginning of the annual period of adoption for modifications occurring on or after the beginning of the annual adoption period is required. As a result of applying these amendments, an entity may identify receivables that are newly considered to be impaired. For purposes of measuring impairment of those receivables, an entity should apply the amendments prospectively for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011. The Company has adopted ASU 2011-02 and included the required disclosures in its consolidated financial statements.
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In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-03, Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860) Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements. The amendments in this ASU remove from the assessment of effective control (1) the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee and (2) the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion. The amendments in this ASU are effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The guidance should be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact that ASU 2011-03 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820) Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. This ASU is the result of joint efforts by the FASB and IASB to develop a single, converged fair value framework on how (not when) to measure fair value and what disclosures to provide about fair value measurements. The ASU is largely consistent with existing fair value measurement principles in U.S. GAAP (Topic 820), with many of the amendments made to eliminate unnecessary wording differences between U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. The amendments are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 with prospective application. Early application is not permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact that ASU 2011-04 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) Presentation of Comprehensive Income. The objective of this ASU is to improve the comparability, consistency and transparency of financial reporting and to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income by eliminating the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders equity. The amendments require that all non-owner changes in stockholders equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The single statement of comprehensive income should include the components of net income, a total for net income, the components of other comprehensive income, a total for other comprehensive income, and a total for comprehensive income. In the two-statement approach, the first statement should present total net income and its components followed consecutively by a second statement that should present all the components of other comprehensive income, a total for other comprehensive income, and a total for comprehensive income. The amendments do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income, the option for an entity to present components of other comprehensive income either net of related tax effects or before related tax effects, or the calculation or reporting of earnings per share. The amendments in this ASU should be applied retrospectively. The amendments are effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted because compliance with the amendments is already permitted. The amendments do not require transition disclosures. The Company is currently assessing the impact that ASU 2011-05 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2011, the SEC issued Final Rule No. 33-9250, Technical Amendments to Commission Rules and Forms related to the FASB s Accounting Standards Codification. The SEC has adopted technical amendments to various rules and forms under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and the Investment Company Act of 1940. These revisions were necessary to conform those rules and forms to the FASB Accounting Standards Codification. The technical amendments include revision of certain rules in Regulation S-X, certain items in Regulation S-K, and various rules and forms prescribed under the Securities Act, Exchange Act and Investment Company Act. The Release was effective as of August 12, 2011. The adoption of the release did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, Intangible Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) Testing Goodwill for Impairment. The amendments in this ASU permit an entity to first assess qualitative factors related to goodwill to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill test described in Topic 350. The more-likely-than-not threshold is defined as having a likelihood of more than 50 percent. Under the amendments in this ASU, an entity is not required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit unless the entity determines that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. The amendments in this ASU are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted, including for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed as of a date before September 15, 2011, if an entity s financial statements for the most recent annual or interim period have not yet been issued. The Company is currently assessing the impact that ASU 2011-08 will have on its consolidated financial statements.
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## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Not required.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period to which this report relates, the Company has carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. In designing and evaluating its disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures are met. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential conditions. Based upon their evaluation, the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information relating to the Company (including its consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in the Company s periodic SEC filings as of September 30, 2011.

## CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There was no change to the Company s internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2011 that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the ordinary course of its operations, the Company is a party to various legal proceedings. Based upon information currently available, management believes that such legal proceedings, in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the business, financial condition, or results of operations of the Company.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS
Not required.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The Company began a share repurchase program in August of 1999 and has continued the program into 2011. There are a total of 280,000 shares authorized for repurchase under the program. No shares were repurchased during the quarter ended September 30, 2011.

## ITEM 3. DEFAULT UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None to report.
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## ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED

## ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None to report.

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

31.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101 The following materials from the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.*

* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data File attached as Exhibit 101 hereto is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under such sections.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## Bay Banks of Virginia, Inc.

(Registrant)
By: /s/ Randal R. Greene
Randal R. Greene
President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Deborah M. Evans
Deborah M. Evans
Treasurer
(Principal Financial Officer)


[^0]:    See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

[^1]:    (1) Junior mortgages include equity lines
    (2) includes credit cards

