

ALBEMARLE CORP
Form 10-K
February 22, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

x **Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

or

.. **Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**
For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-12658

ALBEMARLE CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

VIRGINIA
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

54-1692118
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

451 Florida Street

Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70801

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **225-388-8011**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| COMMON STOCK, \$.01 Par Value | NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE |

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for at least the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its Corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Number of shares of common stock outstanding as of February 3, 2012: 88,937,768

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$6.3 billion based on the reported last sale price of common stock on June 30, 2011, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Portions of Albemarle Corporation's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are incorporated by reference into Parts II and III of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

Index to Form 10-K

Year Ended December 31, 2011

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| PART I | |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u> | 3 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 11 |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 19 |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u> | 20 |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 22 |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 22 |
| <u>Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | 23 |
| PART II | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 25 |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 26 |
| Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 26 |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 49 |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 51 |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 96 |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 96 |
| Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u> | 96 |
| PART III | |
| Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 96 |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 97 |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 97 |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 97 |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 97 |
| PART IV | |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 97 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 101 |

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

PART I

Item 1. Business.

Albemarle Corporation was incorporated in Virginia in 1993. Our principal executive offices are located at 451 Florida Street, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70801. Unless the context otherwise indicates, the terms Albemarle, we, us, our or the Company mean Albemarle Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries.

We are a leading global developer, manufacturer and marketer of highly-engineered specialty chemicals that meet customer needs across an exceptionally diverse range of end markets including the petroleum refining, consumer electronics, plastics/packaging, construction, automotive, lubricants, pharmaceuticals, crop protection, food-safety and custom chemistry services markets. We are committed to global sustainability and are advancing responsible eco-practices and solutions in our three business segments. We believe that our commercial and geographic diversity, technical expertise, innovative capability, flexible, low-cost global manufacturing base, experienced management team, and strategic focus on our core base technologies will enable us to maintain leading market positions in those areas of the specialty chemicals industry in which we operate.

We and our joint ventures currently operate 50 facilities, encompassing production, research and development facilities, and administrative and sales offices in North and South America, Europe, the Middle East, Asia, Africa and Australia. We serve approximately 3,000 customers in over 100 countries. For information regarding our unconsolidated joint ventures see Note 8, Investments to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 beginning on page 51.

Business Segments

Our operations are managed and reported as three operating segments: Polymer Solutions, Catalysts and Fine Chemistry.

For financial information regarding our operating segments, including revenues generated for each of the last three fiscal years from each of the product categories included in our operating segments, and geographic areas, see Note 23, Operating Segments and Geographic Area Information to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 beginning on page 51.

Polymer Solutions

Our Polymer Solutions segment consists of two product market categories: flame retardants and stabilizers and curatives.

Flame Retardants. Our fire safety technology enables the use of plastics in high performance, high heat applications by enhancing the flame resistant properties of these materials. Some of the end market products that benefit from our fire safety technology include plastic enclosures for consumer electronics, printed circuit boards, wire and cable, electrical connectors, textiles, foam insulation, and foam seating in furniture and automobiles. We compete in all of the markets for the major fire safety chemistries: brominated, mineral and phosphorus. Our brominated flame retardants include products such as Saytex[®]; our mineral-based flame retardants include products such as Martinal[®] and Magnifin[®]; and our phosphorus-based flame retardants include products such as Antiblaze[®] and Ncendx[®]. Our strategy is to have a broad range of chemistries applicable to each major flame retardant application.

Stabilizers and Curatives. We produce plastic additives as well as other additives, such as curatives, antioxidants and stabilizers, which are often specially developed and formulated for a customer's specific manufacturing requirements. Our additives products include curatives for polyurethane, polyurea, and epoxy system polymerization. This business also produces antioxidants and stabilizers to improve the performance integrity of thermoplastic resins. We are well-positioned for global growth, notably with our leading antioxidant supplier position in the rapidly growing Chinese market.

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

Our Ethacure[®] curatives are used in cast elastomers, coatings, reaction injection molding (RIM) and specialty adhesives that are incorporated into products such as wheels, tires and rollers. Our line of Ethanox[®] antioxidants is used by manufacturers of polyolefins to maintain physical properties during the manufacturing process, including the color of the final product. These antioxidants are found in applications such as slit film, wire and cable, food packaging and pipes.

We also produce antioxidants used in fuels and lubricants. Our line of Ethanox[®] fuel and lubricant antioxidants is used by refiners and fuel marketers to extend fuel storage life and protect fuel systems, and by oil marketers and lubricant manufacturers to extend the useful life of lubricating oils, fluids and greases used in engines and various types of machinery.

Customers

Our Polymer Solutions segment offers more than 80 products to a variety of end-markets. We sell our products mostly to chemical manufacturers and processors, such as polymer resin suppliers, lubricant manufacturers, refiners and other specialty chemical companies.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

Sales of Polymer Solutions in Asia are expected to grow long-term due to the underlying growth in consumer demand and the shift of the production of consumer electronics from the United States, or U.S., and Europe to Asia. In response to this development, we have established a sales and marketing network in China, Japan, Korea and Singapore with products sourced from the U.S., Europe, China and the Middle East. We are now operating three production facilities in China to deliver polymer solutions products to this rapidly growing market.

A number of customers of our Polymer Solutions segment manufacture products for cyclical industries, including the consumer electronics, building and construction, and automotive industries. As a result, demand from our customers in such industries is also cyclical.

Competition

Our Polymer Solutions segment serves the following geographic markets: the U.S., Asia, Europe and the Middle East, each of which is highly competitive. Product performance and quality, price competition and contract terms are the primary factors in determining which qualified supplier is awarded a contract. Research and development, product and process improvements, specialized customer services, the ability to attract and retain skilled personnel, and maintenance of a good safety record have also been important factors to compete effectively in the Polymer Solutions marketplace.

Competition also arises from the substitution of different polymers and resin systems in end-products in an effort to reduce costs or change product qualities. For flame retardants, competition can be introduced from alternative chemistries, which is why our product portfolio includes bromine, mineral and phosphorus chemistries that are common in over 80% of end uses today. For other additives, competition is introduced by low-cost antioxidant suppliers. We offer our basic antioxidant products from lower cost manufacturing sites in China.

We are a market leader in the brominated flame retardants business and our most significant competitors are Chemtura Corporation and Israel Chemicals Ltd, Industrial Products division, or Israel Chemicals. We are also a market leader in the phosphorus-based flame retardants business and in the mineral-based flame retardants business. Our most significant competitors in the phosphorus-based flame retardants business are Israel Chemicals, Jiangsu Yoke Technology Co., Ltd. and Zhejiang Wansheng Chemical Co., Ltd. In our mineral-based flame retardants business, our most significant competitors include J.M. Huber Corporation, Kyowa Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. and Nabaltec GmbH. We are a market leader in the plastic additives business and our most significant competitors are BASF Corporation, Chemtura Corporation and Songwon Industrial Co., Ltd.

Raw Materials and Significant Supply Contracts

The major raw materials we use in our Polymer Solutions operations are bromine, bisphenol-A, phenol, benzene, caustic soda, phosphorus oxychloride, alumina trihydrate, polystyrene, isobutylene, and phosphorous derivatives, most of which are readily available from numerous independent suppliers and are purchased under contracts at prices we believe are competitive. The cost of raw materials is generally based on market prices although we may use contracts with price caps or other tools, as appropriate, to mitigate price volatility. Many of our customers operate under long-term supply contracts that provide for either the pass-through of raw material and energy cost changes, or pricing based on short-term tenders in which changing market conditions are quickly reflected in the pricing of the finished product.

The bromine we use in our Polymer Solutions segment comes from two locations: Arkansas and the Dead Sea. Our brine reserves in Arkansas are supported by an active brine rights leasing program. We believe that we have in excess of 50 years of proven bromine reserves in Arkansas. In addition, through our 50% interest in Jordan Bromine Company Limited, or JBC, a consolidated joint venture with operations in Safi, Jordan, we produce bromine from the Dead Sea, which has virtually inexhaustible reserves. In addition, we have a joint venture with Weifang Sinobrom Import and Export Company, Ltd., or Sinobrom, in China that allows us the option to source bromine directly from China's Shandong Province brine fields.

We entered into a range of phosphorus derivative supply agreements with Rhodia S.A. as part of the acquisition of the Rhodia polyurethane flame retardants business in 2003.

Catalysts

Our Catalysts segment includes our refinery catalysts and performance catalyst solutions (formerly polyolefin catalysts) businesses.

Refinery Catalysts. Our two main refinery catalysts product lines are hydroprocessing catalysts, or HPC, and fluidized catalytic cracking, or FCC, catalysts and additives. In renewable, non-crude based fuels, we have also launched new catalysts for customers, along with ongoing research and development initiatives with additional potential customers.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

HPC catalysts are primarily used to reduce the quantity of sulfur and other impurities in petroleum products as well as to convert heavy feedstock into lighter, more valuable products. FCC catalysts assist in the cracking of petroleum streams into derivative, higher-value products such as fuels and petrochemical feedstock. Our FCC additives are used to remove sulfur in gasoline and to reduce emissions of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide in FCC units, to increase liquefied petroleum gas olefins yield and to boost octane in gasoline. We offer approximately 130 different HPC catalysts products and approximately 40 different FCC catalysts and additives products to our customers.

Performance Catalyst Solutions (PCS). We have three business units in our PCS division: polymer catalysts, chemical catalysts, and electronic materials. We manufacture organometallic co-catalysts (e.g., aluminum, magnesium, and zinc alkyls) as well as metallocene components and co-catalysts (e.g., methylaluminumoxane, organoborons, metallocene compounds, and finished polymerization catalysts comprising these products). We also offer finished Single-Site catalysts with or without our proprietary ActivCat[®] technology and a line of proprietary Ziegler-Natta catalysts under the Advantage brand. Our co-catalysts and finished catalysts are used in our customers' production of polyolefin polymers. Such polymers are commodity (i.e., Ziegler Natta polymerization technology) and specialty (i.e., Single Site polymerization technology) plastics serving a wide variety of end markets including packaging, non-packaging, films and injection molding. Some of our organometallic products are also used in the manufacture of alpha-olefins (i.e., hexene, octene, decene). In electronic materials, we manufacture and sell high purity metal organic products into electronic applications such as the production of light emitting diodes (LEDs) for displays and general lighting, as well as other products used in the production of solar cells. Our chemical catalysts include a variety of catalysts used in the broad chemical industry, for example, catalysts used in the production of ethylene dichloride and methylamines, among others.

Customers

Our Catalysts segment customers include multinational corporations such as ExxonMobil Corporation, Royal Dutch Shell plc, Chevron Corporation, TOTAL S.A., Saudi Basic Industries Corporation, and INEOS Group Holdings S.A.; independent petroleum refining companies such as Valero Energy Corporation and Tesoro Petroleum Corporation; and national petroleum refining companies such as Saudi Aramco Refinery Company Ltd., Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. and Petróleos Mexicanos.

We estimate that there are currently approximately 450 FCC units being operated globally, each of which requires a constant supply of FCC catalysts. In addition, we estimate that there are approximately 3,000 HPC units being operated globally, each of which typically requires replacement HPC catalysts once every one to three years. There are approximately 1,000 polyolefin and elastomer units worldwide which require a constant supply of co-catalysts and finished catalysts.

Competition

Our Catalysts segment serves the following geographic markets: the Americas, Asia, Europe and the Middle East, each of which is highly competitive. Product performance and quality, price competition and contract terms are the primary factors in determining which qualified supplier is awarded a contract. Research and development, product and process improvements, specialized customer services, the ability to attract and retain skilled personnel and the maintenance of a good safety record have also been important factors to compete effectively in the Catalysts marketplace. Through our research and development programs, we strive to differentiate our business by developing value-added products and products based on proprietary technologies.

We are a market leader in the HPC, FCC, and polyolefin organometallic catalysts markets. Our major competitors in the HPC catalysts market include Criterion Catalysts and Technologies, W.R. Grace & Co./Advanced Refining Technologies and Haldor Topsoe. Our major competitors in the FCC catalysts market include W.R. Grace & Co. and BASF Corporation. Our major competitors in the organometallics market include AkzoNobel and Chemtura Corporation, as well as W.R. Grace & Co and BASF in the Ziegler Natta catalysts area. Some of our major catalysts competitors have alliances with global major refiners to facilitate new product development and introduction.

Raw Materials

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

The major raw materials we use in our Catalysts operations include aluminum, ethylene, alpha-olefins, sodium silicate, sodium aluminate, kaolin, rare earths, molybdenum, nickel and cobalt, most of which are readily available from numerous independent suppliers and are purchased or provided under contracts at prices we believe are competitive. The cost of raw materials is generally based on market prices, although we may use contracts with price caps or other tools, as appropriate, to mitigate price volatility. These raw materials may nevertheless be subject to significant volatility despite our mitigating efforts. Our profitability may be affected if we are unable to recover significant raw material costs from our customers.

Fine Chemistry

Our Fine Chemistry (formerly Fine Chemicals) segment consists of two categories: performance chemicals and fine chemistry services and intermediates.

Performance Chemicals. Performance chemicals include products such as elemental bromine, alkyl bromides, inorganic bromides, brominated powdered activated carbon and a number of bromine fine chemicals. Our products are used in chemical synthesis, oil and gas well drilling and completion fluids, mercury control, paper manufacturing, water purification, beef and poultry

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

processing and various other industrial applications. Other performance chemicals that we produce include tertiary amines for surfactants, biocides, disinfectants and sanitizers; potassium-based products used in industrial applications; alkenyl succinic anhydride used in paper-sizing formulations; and aluminum oxides used in a wide variety of refractory, ceramic and polishing applications. We sell these products to customers throughout the world for use in personal care products, automotive insulation, foundry bricks and other industrial products.

Fine Chemistry Services and Intermediates. In addition to supplying the specific fine chemistry products and performance chemicals for the pharmaceutical and agricultural uses described below, our fine chemistry services business offers custom manufacturing, research and chemical scale-up services for companies. We believe that these services position us to support customers in developing their new products, such as new drugs, specialty materials and chemicals from renewables.

Our most significant pharmaceutical bulk active is ibuprofen. Ibuprofen is widely used to provide temporary pain relief and fever reduction. Bulk ibuprofen is formulated by pharmaceutical companies that sell in both the prescription and over-the-counter markets. This product competes against other painkillers, including aspirin and acetaminophen. We are one of the largest global producers of ibuprofen. We also produce a range of intermediates used in the manufacture of a variety of over-the-counter and prescription drugs.

Our agrichemicals are sold to agrichemical manufacturers and distributors that produce and distribute finished agricultural herbicides, insecticides, fungicides and soil fumigants. Our products include orthoalkylated anilines used in the acetanilide family of pre-emergent herbicides used with corn, soybeans and other crops and methyl bromide, which is used as a soil fumigant. We also manufacture and supply a variety of custom chemical intermediates for the agricultural industry.

In recent years, the market for methyl bromide has changed significantly, driven by the Montreal Protocol of 1990 and related regulations prompted by findings regarding the chemical's potential to deplete the ozone layer. Methyl bromide is injected into the soil by end users before planting to eliminate bacteria, nematodes, fungus and weeds. Methyl bromide is used on high-value crops, such as strawberries, tomatoes, melons and peppers.

We will continue to sell methyl bromide in our current markets throughout 2012, as current regulations allow, with smaller critical-use allowances compared to 2011. In accordance with the Montreal Protocol and the U.S. Clean Air Act, completion of the phase-out of methyl bromide as a fumigant in the U.S., Western Europe and Japan took effect in 2005. Methyl bromide, however, can continue to be used for critical uses where there are no other alternatives. Growers submit applications on a yearly basis detailing the amount of methyl bromide they will need for critical uses. Once approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, the U.S. submits the application for approval by the parties to the Montreal Protocol. The critical use process is done annually and will continue until feasible alternatives are available. Certain other markets for methyl bromide, including quarantine and pre-shipment and chemical intermediate uses, are not restricted by the Montreal Protocol.

Customers

Our Fine Chemistry segment manufactures more than 100 products, which are used in a variety of end-markets. Sales of products and services are mostly to chemical manufacturers and processors, including pharmaceutical, agricultural, drilling and oil services, water treatment and photographic companies, and to other specialty chemical companies.

Pricing for many of our fine chemistry products and services is based upon negotiation with customers. The critical factors that affect prices are the level of technology differentiation we provide, the maturity of the product and the level of assistance required to bring a new product through a customer's developmental process.

Competition

Our Fine Chemistry segment serves the following geographic markets: the Americas, Asia, Europe and the Middle East, each of which is highly competitive. Product performance and quality, price competition and contract terms are the primary factors in determining which qualified

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

supplier is awarded a contract. Research and development, product and process improvements, specialized customer services, the ability to attract and retain skilled personnel and the maintenance of a good safety record have also been important factors to compete effectively in the fine chemistry marketplace.

We are a market leader in the bromine-based products groups and primarily compete with two other integrated global bromine producers, Chemtura Corporation and Israel Chemicals. We are a leading producer of pharmaceutical bulk actives (i.e., ibuprofen and propofol) and we primarily compete with a few major Western competitors, such as BASF Corporation, Lonza, Clariant Ltd. and Cilag AG; however, there is increasing competition from Asian sources. We differentiate ourselves from our competitors by developing new, high quality innovative products, offering cost reductions and enhancing the services that we offer.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

Raw Materials

The major raw materials we use in our Fine Chemistry operations include potassium chloride, chlorine, ammonia, aluminum chloride, alpha-olefins, methyl amines and propylene, most of which are readily available from numerous independent suppliers.

The bromine that we use in our Fine Chemistry segment comes from two locations: Arkansas and the Dead Sea. Our brine reserves in Arkansas are supported by an active brine rights leasing program. We believe that we have in excess of 50 years of proven bromine reserves in Arkansas. In addition, through our 50% interest in JBC, a consolidated joint venture with operations in Safi, Jordan, we produce bromine from the Dead Sea, which has virtually inexhaustible reserves. In addition, we have our Sinobrom joint venture in China that allows us the option to source bromine directly from China's Shandong Province brine fields.

Sales, Marketing and Distribution

We have an international strategic account program that uses cross-functional teams to serve large global customers. This program emphasizes creative strategies to improve and strengthen strategic customer relationships with emphasis on creating value for customers and promoting post-sale service. Complementing this program are regional Albemarle sales personnel around the world who serve numerous additional customers within North America, Europe, the Middle East, India, Asia Pacific, Russia, Africa and Latin America. We also use more than 60 selected distributors, commissioned sales representatives and specialists in specific market areas, some of which are subsidiaries of large chemical companies.

Research and Development

We believe that in order to generate revenue growth, maintain our margins, and remain competitive, we must continually invest in research and development, product and process improvements and specialized customer services. Through research and development, we continue to seek increased margins by introducing value-added products and proprietary processes and innovative green chemistry technologies. Our green chemistry efforts focus on the development of products that benefit society in a manner that minimizes waste and the use of raw materials and energy, avoids the use of toxic reagents and solvents and is produced in safe, environmentally friendly manufacturing processes. Green chemistry is encouraged with our researchers through periodic focus group discussions and special rewards and recognition for outstanding new green developments.

Our research and development efforts support each of our business segments. The focus of research in Polymer Solutions is divided among new and improved flame retardants, plastic and other additives and blends, and curing agents. Flame retardant research is focused primarily on developing new flame retardants which not only meet the higher performance requirements required by today's polymer producers, formulators and original equipment manufacturers but which also have superior toxicological and environmental profiles, such as our newly commercialized Earthwise™ brand, which provides polymer solutions products (including our Greenarmor™ product) that are greatly enhanced in both end product performance and environmental responsibility. Plastic and other additives research is focused primarily on developing improved capabilities to deliver commodity and value-added plastic and other additive blends to the polymer market. Curatives research is focused primarily on improving and extending our line of curing agents and formulations.

Catalysts research is focused on the needs of our refinery catalysts customers, our performance catalysts customers, and the developing markets for advanced biofuels. Refinery catalysts research is focused primarily on the development of more effective catalysts and related additives to produce clean fuels and to maximize the production of the highest value refined products. In the performance catalysts area, we are focused primarily on developing metal organics for LED applications, catalysts, co-catalysts and finished catalyst systems for polymer producers to meet the market's demand for improved polyolefin polymers and elastomers. For biofuel production, we work closely with customers to develop sustainable and efficient liquid fuels from renewable resources, including the supply of catalysts for the production of high-performance biodiesel.

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

The primary focus of our Fine Chemistry research program is the development of efficient processes for the manufacture of chemical intermediates and actives for the pharmaceutical and agrichemical industries. Another area of research is the development of bromine-based products for use as biocides in industrial water treatment and food safety applications, and as additives used to reduce mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants.

We have recognized research and development expenses of \$77.1 million, \$58.4 million, and \$60.9 million in 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Intellectual Property

Our intellectual property, including our patents, licenses and trade names, is an important component of our business. As of December 31, 2011, we owned approximately 1,600 active U.S. and foreign patents and approximately 1,400 pending U.S. and foreign patent applications. We also have acquired rights under patents and inventions of others through licenses, and we license certain patents and inventions to third parties.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

Regulation

Our business is subject to a broad array of employee health and safety laws and regulations, including those under the Occupational Safety and Health Act. We also are subject to similar state laws and regulations as well as local laws and regulations for our non-U.S. operations. We devote significant resources and have developed and implemented comprehensive programs to promote the health and safety of our employees and we maintain an active health, safety and environmental program. We finished 2011 with an occupational injury and illness rate of 0.29 for Albemarle employees and nested contractors, down from 0.54 in 2010.

Our business and our customers also may be subject to significant new requirements under the European Commission's Proposal for the Registration, Evaluation and Authorization of Chemicals, or REACH. REACH imposes obligations on European Union manufacturers and importers of chemicals and other products into the European Union to compile and file comprehensive reports, including testing data, on each chemical substance, and perform chemical safety assessments. Additionally, substances of high concern - such as Carcinogenic, Mutagenic and Reprotoxic, or CMRs; Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic, or PBTs; very Persistent, very Bioaccumulative, or vPvB; and endocrine disruptors - will be subject to an authorization process. Authorization may result in restrictions in the use of products by application or even banning the product. In 2009, one of our products was designated by European regulators as a substance of very high concern under authorization, Hexabromocyclododecane, or HBCD. Our sales of HBCD approximated 2.1%, 1.2% and 1.4% of our total annual net sales in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The REACH regulations impose significant additional burdens on chemical producers, importers, downstream users of chemical substances and preparations, and the entire supply chain. Our significant manufacturing presence and sales activities in the European Union will require us to incur significant additional compliance costs and may result in increases in the costs of raw materials we purchase and the products we sell. Increases in the costs of our products could result in a decrease in their overall demand; additionally, customers may seek products that are not regulated by REACH, which could also result in a decrease in the demand of certain of our products subject to the REACH regulations.

Recently, there has been increased scrutiny by regulatory authorities, legislative bodies and environmental interest groups in various countries in the world of certain brominated flame retardants. We manufacture a broad range of brominated flame retardant products, which are used in a variety of applications. Concern about the impact of some of our products on human health or the environment may lead to regulation or reaction in our markets, independent of regulation. For example, in 2009 the state of Vermont passed a law that bans the use of decabromodiphenyl ether as a flame retardant in mattresses and upholstered furniture after July 1, 2010, and in televisions and computers after July 1, 2012. The state of Oregon also passed a ban on the use of decabromodiphenyl ether as a flame retardant after January 1, 2011. In 2010, the state of Maine broadened existing restrictions on the use of decabromodiphenyl ether to include its use in plastic pallets, effective January 1, 2012. Also, the European Union's existing restrictions on the use of decabromodiphenyl ether in electrical and electronic equipment have been copied by the Republic of India and are likely to be adopted in the People's Republic of China as well. In December 2009, we, along with other leading producers announced a voluntary withdrawal, in cooperation with the EPA, from the production and sale of decabromodiphenyl ether in the U.S. over a period of three to four years which should be completed by 2014. A similar agreement was reached later with Canada. Bills to restrict or ban the use of decabromodiphenyl ether are still under consideration in several U.S. states.

Environmental Regulation

We are subject to numerous foreign, federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations, including those governing the discharge of pollutants into the air and water, the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes and the cleanup of contaminated properties. Ongoing compliance with such laws and regulations is an important consideration for us. Key aspects of our operations are subject to these laws and regulations. In addition, we incur substantial capital and operating costs in our efforts to comply with them.

Liabilities associated with the investigation and cleanup of hazardous substances, as well as personal injury, property damages, or natural resource damages arising from the release of, or exposure to, such hazardous substances, may be imposed in many situations without regard to violations of laws or regulations or other fault, and may also be imposed jointly and severally (so that a responsible party may be held liable for more than its share of the losses involved, or even the entire loss). Such liabilities also may be imposed on many different entities with a

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

relationship to the hazardous substances at issue, including, for example, entities that formerly owned or operated the property affected by the hazardous substances and entities that arranged for the disposal of the hazardous substances at the affected property, as well as entities that currently own or operate such property. We are subject to such laws, including the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, commonly known as CERCLA or Superfund, in the U.S., and similar foreign and state laws. We may have liability as a potentially responsible party, or PRP, with respect to active off-site locations under CERCLA or state equivalents. We have sought to resolve our liability as a PRP at these sites through indemnification by third parties and settlements, which would provide for payment of our allocable share of remediation costs. Because the cleanup costs are estimates and are subject to revision as more information becomes available about the extent of remediation required, and in some cases we have asserted a defense to any liability, our estimates could change. Moreover, liability

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

under CERCLA and equivalent state statutes may be joint and several, meaning that we could be required to pay in excess of our pro rata share of remediation costs. Our understanding of the financial strength of other PRPs has been considered, where appropriate, in estimating our liabilities. Accruals for these matters are included in the environmental reserve discussed below. Our management is actively involved in evaluating environmental matters and, based on information currently available to us, we have concluded that our outstanding environmental liabilities for unresolved waste sites currently known to us should not have a material effect on our operations.

We use and generate hazardous substances and wastes in our operations and may become subject to claims for personal injury and/or property damage relating to the release of such substances into the environment. In addition, some of our current properties are, or have been, used for industrial purposes, which could contain currently unknown contamination that could expose us to governmental requirements or claims relating to environmental remediation, personal injury, and/or property damage. While we conduct our operations so as to minimize the risk of incurring such losses, the nature of our business and the types of operations in which we engage create a potential for such losses to occur. These risks could expose us to substantial liability for personal injury, wrongful death, property damage, loss of production, pollution and other environmental damages. Depending on the frequency and severity of such incidents, it is possible that the Company's operating costs, insurability and relationships with customers, employees and regulators could be impaired. In particular, our customers may elect not to purchase our product if they view our safety record as unacceptable. This could also cause us to lose customers and substantial revenues. However, we believe that the likelihood of an environmental-related catastrophic occurrence or a series of occurrences that could materially affect the Company's financial position or competitiveness is low.

We record accruals for environmental and asset retirement obligation matters when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. It is possible that new information or future developments could require us to reassess our potential exposure related to environmental matters. We may incur significant costs and liabilities in order to comply with existing environmental laws and regulations. It is also possible that other developments, such as increasingly strict environmental laws, regulations and orders of regulatory agencies, as well as claims for damages to property and the environment or injuries to employees and other persons resulting from our current or past operations, could result in substantial costs and liabilities in the future. As this information becomes available, or other relevant developments occur, we will adjust our accrual amounts accordingly. While there are still uncertainties related to the ultimate costs we may incur, based upon our evaluation and experience to date, we believe our reserves are adequate. We cannot assure you that, as a result of former, current or future operations, there will not be some future impact on us relating to new regulations or additional environmental remediation or restoration liabilities. See "Safety and Environmental Matters" in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations on page 47.

Climate Change

The growing concerns about climate change and the related imposition by governments of more stringent regulations may provide us with new or expanded business opportunities. The Company seeks to capitalize on the "green revolution" by providing solutions to companies pursuing alternative fuel products and technologies (such as biofuels, gas-to-liquids, and others), emission control technologies (including mercury emissions) and other similar solutions. As demand for, and legislation mandating or incentivizing the use of, alternative fuels and alternative fuel technologies that limit or eliminate greenhouse gas emissions increase, we continue to invest in, and expand our product offerings of, alternative fuel technologies. As a result of the investments in alternative fuel technology products and services to date and our continued focus on these growth areas, we believe we are well positioned to take advantage of opportunities that may arise if new legislation is enacted. See also page 15 for further discussion on climate change in Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Recent Acquisitions and Joint Ventures

Over the last three years, we have devoted resources to acquisitions and joint ventures, including the subsequent integration of acquired businesses. These acquisitions and joint ventures have expanded our base business, provided our customers with a wider array of products and presented new alternatives for discovery through additional chemistries. Following is a summary of our acquisitions and joint ventures during the last three years.

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

On May 11, 2011, we announced that we had expanded our presence in the biofuels market with the acquisition of Catilin Inc. Based in Ames, Iowa, Catilin is a technology leader in the development and application of heterogeneous biodiesel catalysis. Catilin's technology and products will further strengthen our offerings for the renewable fuels market and will also provide benefit toward a number of research and development and distribution synergies resulting from the acquisition.

On December 6, 2010, we announced that we had signed a memorandum of understanding to build a world-scale HPC production plant on the site of our existing joint venture Fábrica Carioca de Catalisadores SA (FCC SA) in Santa Cruz, Brazil with Petrobras. The new facility will complement existing production of FCC catalysts. We are also further enhancing our partnership with Petrobras by engaging in a joint technical cooperation aimed at the further development of advanced HPC products, mirroring our very successful existing cooperation in the area of FCC catalysts.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

On September 13, 2010, we announced the purchase of certain property and equipment in Yeosu, South Korea in connection with our plans for building a metallocene polyolefin catalyst and trimethyl gallium (TMG) manufacturing site. The site will effectively mirror Albemarle's world scale metallocene polyolefin catalyst and TMG capabilities located in Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

On October 27, 2009, we entered into an agreement with Ibn Hayyan Plastic Products Company (TAYF), an affiliate of Saudi Basic Industries Corporation (SABIC), to form a joint venture called Saudi Organometallic Chemicals Company (SOCC). Under the terms of the joint venture agreement, the two parent companies will build a world-scale organometallics production facility strategically located in the Arabian gulf industrial city of Al-Jubail. Start-up of this facility is anticipated in late 2012.

Employees

As of December 31, 2011, we had 4,260 employees of whom 2,155, or 51%, are employed in the U.S.; 1,165, or 27%, are employed in Europe; 615, or 14%, are employed in Asia and 325, or 8%, are employed in the Middle East. Approximately 17% of our U.S. employees are unionized. We have bargaining agreements at three of our U.S. locations:

Baton Rouge, Louisiana – United Steel Workers (USW);

Orangeburg, South Carolina – International Brotherhood of Teamsters-Industrial Trades Division (IBT); and

Pasadena, Texas – United Steel Workers (USW); Sheet Metal Workers International Association (SMWIA); United Association of Journeymen & Apprentices of Plumbing and Pipefitting Industry (UAJAPPI); and International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW).

We believe that we have good working relationships with these unions, and we have operated without a labor work stoppage at each of these locations for more than 18 years. Bargaining agreements expire at our Orangeburg, South Carolina location in 2013, our Pasadena, Texas location in 2014 and our Baton Rouge, Louisiana location in 2015.

We have two works councils representing the majority of our European sites – Amsterdam, the Netherlands and Bergheim, Germany – covering approximately 920 employees. In addition, we have approximately 50 employees at our manufacturing facility in Avonmouth, United Kingdom that are represented by unions through a current collective bargaining agreement. We believe that we have a generally good relationship with these councils and bargaining representatives. In September 2009, we entered into consultation processes under local laws at our Amsterdam and Bergheim locations for restructuring programs that included planned reductions in force. During the fourth quarter of 2009, approximately \$1.6 million in related charges were recorded for our Amsterdam restructuring program, followed by approximately \$6.6 million in charges associated with the program at our Bergheim location during the first quarter of 2010.

Available Information

Our internet website address is <http://www.albemarle.com>. We make available free of charge through our website our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, as well as reports on Forms 3, 4 and 5 filed pursuant to Section 16 of the Exchange Act, as soon as reasonably practicable after such documents are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC. The information on our website is not, and shall not be deemed to be, a part of this report or incorporated into any other filings we make with the SEC. These reports may also be obtained at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549. The SEC also maintains a website at <http://www.sec.gov> that contains reports, proxy statements and other information regarding SEC registrants, including Albemarle.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and the charters of the Audit, Health Safety and Environmental, Executive Compensation, and Nominating and Governance Committees are also available on our website and are available in print to any shareholder upon request by writing to Investor Relations, 451 Florida Street, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70801, or by calling (225) 388-8011.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

You should consider carefully the following risks when reading the information, including the financial information, contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Adverse conditions in the global economy and volatility and disruption of financial markets can negatively impact our customers and suppliers and therefore have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

A global economic downturn may reduce customer demand or inhibit our ability to produce our products, negatively impacting our operating results. Our business and operating results have been and will continue to be sensitive to global economic downturns (including credit market tightness which can impact our liquidity as well as our customers and suppliers) declining consumer and business confidence, fluctuating commodity prices, volatile exchange rates, and other challenges that can affect the global economy. Our customers may experience deterioration of their businesses, cash flow shortages, and difficulty obtaining financing. As a result, existing or potential customers can delay or cancel plans to purchase products and may not be able to fulfill their obligations in a timely fashion. Further, suppliers may be experiencing similar conditions, which could impact their ability to fulfill their obligations to us. If the current weakness in much of the global economy continues for an extended period or deepens significantly, our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

Our inability to pass through increases in costs and expenses for raw materials and energy, on a timely basis or at all, could have a material adverse effect on the margins of our products.

Our raw material and energy costs can be volatile and may increase significantly. Increases are primarily driven by significantly tighter market conditions and major increases in pricing of basic building blocks for our products such as crude oil, chlorine and metals (including molybdenum and rare earths which are used in the refinery catalysts business). We generally attempt to pass through changes in the prices of raw materials and energy to our customers, but we may be unable to or be delayed in doing so. Our inability to pass through price increases or any limitation or delay in our passing through price increases could adversely affect our margins. In addition to raising prices, raw material suppliers may extend lead times or limit supplies. Constraints on the supply or delivery of critical raw materials could disrupt production and adversely affect the performance of our business.

We face competition from other specialty chemical companies, which places downward pressure on the prices and margins of our products.

We operate in a highly competitive marketplace, competing against a number of domestic and foreign specialty chemical producers. Competition is based on several key criteria, including product performance and quality, product price, product availability and security of supply, responsiveness of product development in cooperation with customers and customer service. Some of our competitors are larger than we are and may have greater financial resources. These competitors may also be able to maintain significantly greater operating and financial flexibility than we do. As a result, these competitors may be better able to withstand changes in conditions within our industry, changes in the prices of raw materials and energy and in general economic conditions. Additionally, competitors' pricing decisions could compel us to decrease our prices, which could affect our margins and profitability adversely. Our ability to maintain or increase our profitability is, and will continue to be, dependent upon our ability to offset decreases in the prices and margins of our products by improving production efficiency and volume, shifting to higher margin chemical products and improving existing products through innovation and research and development. If we are unable to do so or to otherwise maintain our competitive position, we could lose market share to our competitors.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

Downturns in our customers' cyclical industries could adversely affect our sales and profitability.

Downturns in the businesses that use our specialty chemicals will adversely affect our sales. Many of our customers are in industries, including the electronics, building and construction, and automotive industries, that are cyclical in nature and sensitive to changes in general economic conditions. Historically, downturns in general economic conditions have resulted in diminished product demand, excess manufacturing capacity and lower average selling prices, and we may experience similar problems in the future. A decline in economic conditions in our customers' cyclical industries may have a material adverse effect on our sales and profitability.

Our results are subject to fluctuation because of irregularities in the demand for our HPC catalysts and certain of our agrichemicals.

Our HPC catalysts are used by petroleum refiners in their processing units to reduce the quantity of sulfur and other impurities in petroleum products. The effectiveness of HPC catalysts diminishes with use, requiring the HPC catalysts to be replaced, on average, once every one to three years. The sales of our HPC catalysts, therefore, are largely dependent on the useful life cycle of the HPC catalysts in the processing units and may vary materially by quarter. In addition, the timing and profitability of HPC catalysts sales can have a significant impact on revenue and profit in any one quarter. Sales of our agrichemicals are also subject to fluctuation as demand varies depending on climate and other environmental conditions, which may prevent farming for extended periods. In addition, crop pricing and timing of when farms alternate from one crop to another crop in a particular year can also alter sales of agrichemicals.

Changes in our customers' products can reduce the demand for our specialty chemicals.

Our customers use our specialty chemicals for a broad range of applications. Changes in our customers' products or processes may enable our customers to reduce consumption of the specialty chemicals that we produce or make our specialty chemicals unnecessary. Customers may also find alternative materials or processes that no longer require our products. For example, many of our flame retardants are incorporated into resin systems to enhance the flame retardancy of a particular polymer. Should a customer decide to use a different polymer due to price, performance or other considerations, we may not be able to supply a product that meets the customer's new requirements. Consequently, it is important that we develop new products to replace the sales of products that mature and decline in use. Our business, results of operations, cash flows and margins could be materially adversely affected if we are unable to manage successfully the maturation of our existing products and the introduction of new products.

Our research and development efforts may not succeed and our competitors may develop more effective or successful products.

The specialty chemicals industry is subject to periodic technological change and ongoing product improvements. In order to maintain our margins and remain competitive, we must successfully develop, manufacture and market new or improved products. As a result, we must commit substantial resources each year to research and development. Ongoing investments in research and development for future products could result in higher costs without a proportional increase in revenues. Additionally, for any new product program, there is a risk of technical or market failure in which case we may not be able to develop the new commercial products needed to maintain our competitive position or we may need to commit additional resources to new product development programs. Moreover, new products may have lower margins than the products they replace.

We also expect competition to increase as our competitors develop and introduce new and enhanced products. For example, our Fine Chemistry segment is experiencing increased competition from large-scale producers of pharmaceuticals, particularly from Asian producers. In our Catalysts segment, our petroleum refinery customers are processing crude oil feedstocks of declining quality, while at the same time operating under increasingly stringent regulations requiring the gasoline, diesel and other fuels they produce to contain fewer impurities, including sulfur. As a result, our petroleum refining customers are demanding more effective and more cost-effective catalysts products. As new products enter the market, our products may become obsolete or competitors' products may be marketed more effectively than our products. If we fail to develop new products, maintain or improve our margins with our new products or keep pace with technological developments, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows will suffer.

Our inability to protect our intellectual property rights could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Protection of our proprietary processes, methods and compounds and other technology is important to our business. We generally rely on patent, trade secret, trademark and copyright laws of the U.S. and certain other countries in which our products are produced or sold, as well as licenses and nondisclosure and confidentiality agreements, to protect our intellectual property rights. The patent, trade secret, trademark and copyright laws of some countries may not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as the laws of the U.S. Failure to protect our intellectual property rights may result in the loss of valuable proprietary technologies. Additionally, some of our technologies are not covered by any patent or patent application and, even if a patent application has been filed, it may not result in an issued patent. If patents are issued to us, those patents may not provide meaningful protection against competitors or against competitive technologies. We cannot assure you that our intellectual property rights will not be challenged, invalidated, circumvented or rendered unenforceable.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

We could face patent infringement claims from our competitors or others alleging that our processes or products infringe on their proprietary technologies. If we are found to be infringing on the proprietary technology of others, we may be liable for damages, and we may be required to change our processes, to redesign our products partially or completely, to pay to use the technology of others or to stop using certain technologies or producing the infringing product entirely. Even if we ultimately prevail in an infringement suit, the existence of the suit could prompt customers to switch to products that are not the subject of infringement suits. We may not prevail in intellectual property litigation and such litigation may result in significant legal costs or otherwise impede our ability to produce and distribute key products.

We also rely upon unpatented proprietary manufacturing expertise, continuing technological innovation and other trade secrets to develop and maintain our competitive position. While we generally enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees and third parties to protect our intellectual property, we cannot assure you that our confidentiality agreements will not be breached, that they will provide meaningful protection for our trade secrets and proprietary manufacturing expertise or that adequate remedies will be available in the event of an unauthorized use or disclosure of our trade secrets or manufacturing expertise.

Our business and operations could suffer in the event of cyber-security breaches.

Attempts by others to gain unauthorized access to our information technology systems are becoming more sophisticated. These attempts, which might be related to industrial or other espionage, include covertly introducing malware to our computers and networks and impersonating authorized users, among others. We seek to detect and investigate all security incidents and to prevent their recurrence, but in some cases, we might be unaware of an incident or its magnitude and effects. The theft, unauthorized use or publication of our intellectual property and/or confidential business information could harm our competitive position, reduce the value of our investment in research and development and other strategic initiatives or otherwise adversely affect our business. To the extent that any cyber-security breach results in inappropriate disclosure of our customers' or licensees' confidential information, we may incur liability as a result.

Our substantial international operations subject us to risks of doing business in foreign countries, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We conduct a substantial portion of our business outside of the U.S. We and our joint ventures currently have over 30 facilities located outside the U.S., including facilities and offices located in Austria, Australia, Belgium, Brazil, France, Germany, Hungary, India, Italy, Japan, Jordan, Korea, the Netherlands, the People's Republic of China, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, United Arab Emirates and the United Kingdom. We expect sales from international markets to continue to represent a significant portion of our net sales and the net sales of our joint ventures. Accordingly, our business is subject to risks related to the differing legal, political, social and regulatory requirements and economic conditions of many jurisdictions. Risks inherent in international operations include the following:

fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates may affect product demand and may adversely affect the profitability in U.S. Dollars of products and services we provide in international markets where payment for our products and services is made in the local currency;

transportation and other shipping costs may increase;

intellectual property rights may be more difficult to enforce;

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

changes in foreign laws and tax rates or U.S. laws and tax rates with respect to foreign income may unexpectedly increase the rate at which our income is taxed, impose new and additional taxes or cause the loss of previously recorded tax benefits;

foreign countries may adopt other restrictions on foreign trade or investment, including currency exchange controls;

trade sanctions could result in losing access to customers and suppliers in those countries;

unexpected adverse changes in foreign laws or regulatory requirements may occur;

agreements may be difficult to enforce and receivables difficult to collect;

compliance with a variety of foreign laws and regulations may be burdensome;

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

unexpected adverse changes in export duties, quotas and tariffs and difficulties in obtaining export licenses;

general economic conditions in the countries in which we operate could have an adverse effect on our earnings from operations in those countries;

foreign operations may experience staffing difficulties and labor disputes;

foreign governments may nationalize private enterprises; and

our business and profitability in a particular country could be affected by political or economic repercussions on a domestic, country specific or global level from terrorist activities and the response to such activities.

In addition, certain of our joint ventures operate, and we have ongoing capital projects in, high-risk regions of the world such as the Middle East and South America. Unanticipated events, such as geopolitical changes, could result in a write-down of our investment in the affected joint venture, a delay or cancellation of those capital projects and negatively impact our future growth and profitability. Our success as a global business will depend, in part, upon our ability to succeed in differing legal, regulatory, economic, social and political conditions by developing, implementing and maintaining policies and strategies that are effective in each location where we and our joint ventures do business.

We are exposed to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates, which may adversely affect our operating results and net income.

We conduct our business and incur costs in the local currency of most of the countries in which we operate. The financial condition and results of operations of each foreign operating subsidiary and joint venture are reported in the relevant local currency and then translated to U.S. Dollars at the applicable currency exchange rate for inclusion in our consolidated financial statements. Changes in exchange rates between these foreign currencies and the U.S. Dollar will affect the recorded levels of our assets, liabilities, net sales, cost of goods sold and operating margins and could result in exchange losses. The primary currencies to which we have exposure are the European Union Euro, Japanese Yen, British Pound Sterling, Korean Won, Chinese Renminbi and the U.S. Dollar (in certain of our foreign locations). Exchange rates between these currencies and the U.S. Dollar in recent years have fluctuated significantly and may do so in the future. Significant changes in these foreign currencies relative to the U.S. Dollar could also have an adverse effect on our ability to meet interest and principal payments on any foreign currency-denominated debt outstanding. In addition to currency translation risks, we incur currency transaction risks whenever one of our operating subsidiaries or joint ventures enters into either a purchase or a sales transaction using a different currency from its functional currency. Our operating results and net income may be affected by any volatility in currency exchange rates and our ability to manage effectively our currency transaction and translation risks.

We incur substantial costs in order to comply with extensive environmental, health and safety laws and regulations.

In the jurisdictions in which we operate, we are subject to numerous federal, state and local environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, including those governing the discharge of pollutants into the air and water, the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes and the cleanup of contaminated properties. Ongoing compliance with such laws and regulations is an important consideration for us and we incur substantial capital and operating costs in our compliance efforts. Environmental laws have become increasingly strict in recent years. We expect this trend to continue and anticipate that compliance will continue to require increased capital expenditures and operating costs.

Violations of environmental, health and safety laws and regulations may subject us to fines, penalties and other liabilities and may require us to change certain business practices or curtail production.

If we violate environmental, health and safety laws or regulations, in addition to being required to correct such violations, we can be held liable in administrative, civil or criminal proceedings for substantial fines and other sanctions could be imposed that could disrupt or limit our operations. Liabilities associated with the investigation and cleanup of hazardous substances, as well as personal injury, property damages or natural resource damages arising from the release of, or exposure to, such hazardous substances, may be imposed in many situations without regard to violations of laws or regulations or other fault, and may also be imposed jointly and severally (so that a responsible party may be held liable for more than its share of the losses involved, or even the entire loss). Such liabilities may also be imposed on many different entities with a relationship to the hazardous substances at issue, including, for example, entities that formerly owned or operated the property affected by the hazardous substances and entities that arranged for the disposal of the hazardous substances at the affected property, as well as entities that currently own or operate such property. Such liabilities can be difficult to identify and the extent of any such liabilities can be difficult to predict. We use, and in the past have used, hazardous substances at many of our facilities, and we have in the past, and may in the future, be subject to claims relating to exposure to hazardous materials and the associated liabilities may be material. We also have generated, and continue to generate, hazardous wastes at a number of our facilities. Some of our facilities also have lengthy histories of manufacturing or other activities that have resulted in site contamination. We have also given contractual indemnities for environmental conditions relating to facilities we no

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

longer own or operate. The nature of our business, including historical operations at our current and former facilities, exposes us to risks of liability under these laws and regulations due to the production, storage, use, transportation and sale of materials that can cause contamination or personal injury if released into the environment. Additional information may arise in the future concerning the nature or extent of our liability with respect to identified sites, and additional sites may be identified for which we are alleged to be liable, that could cause us to materially increase our environmental accrual or the upper range of the costs we believe we could reasonably incur for such matters.

We may be exposed to certain regulatory and financial risks related to climate change.

Growing concerns about climate change may result in the imposition of additional environmental regulations to which we may become subject. Potentially, some form of U.S. federal regulation is forthcoming with respect to greenhouse gas emissions (including carbon dioxide (CO₂)) and/or cap and trade legislation. Additionally, some of our operations are within other jurisdictions that have, or are developing, regulatory regimes governing greenhouse gas emissions. For example, we have operations in the European Union, Brazil, China, Japan, Jordan, Saudi Arabia, Singapore and the United Arab Emirates, which have implemented measures to achieve objectives under the Kyoto Protocol, an international agreement linked to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change which set binding targets for reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The first commitment period under the Kyoto Protocol is set to expire in 2012 and global attention is focused on the development of a successor global policy framework. The outcome of new legislation in the U.S. and other jurisdictions in which we operate may result in new or additional regulation, additional charges to fund energy efficiency activities or other regulatory actions. While certain climate change initiatives may result in new business opportunities for us in the area of alternative fuel technologies and emissions control, compliance with these initiatives may also result in additional costs to us, including, among other things, increased production costs or additional taxes or reduced emission allowances. We may not be able to recover the cost of compliance with new or more stringent environmental laws and regulations through our contractual terms, which could adversely affect our business and negatively impact our growth. Furthermore, the potential impacts of climate change and related regulation on our customers are highly uncertain and may adversely affect our operations.

Contractual indemnities may be ineffective in protecting us from environmental liabilities.

At several of our properties where hazardous substances are known to exist (including some sites where hazardous substances are being investigated or remediated), we believe we are entitled to contractual indemnification from one or more former owners or operators; however, in the event we make a claim, the indemnifier may disagree with us or not have the financial capacity to fulfill its indemnity obligation. If our contractual indemnity is not upheld or effective, our accrual and/or our costs for the investigation and cleanup of hazardous substances could increase materially.

Regulation, or the threat of regulation, of some of our products could have an adverse effect on our sales and profitability.

We manufacture or market a number of products that are or have been the subject of attention by regulatory authorities and environmental interest groups. For example, for many years we have marketed methyl bromide, a chemical that is particularly effective as a soil fumigant. In recent years, the market for methyl bromide has changed significantly, driven by the Montreal Protocol of 1990 and related regulations prompted by findings regarding the chemical's potential to deplete the ozone layer. Completion of the phase-out of methyl bromide as a fumigant took effect January 1, 2005 with continued use for critical uses allowed on an annual basis until feasible alternatives are available.

Recently, there has been increased scrutiny by regulatory authorities, legislative bodies and environmental interest groups in various countries in the world of certain brominated flame retardants. We manufacture a broad range of brominated flame retardant products, which are used in a variety of applications. Concern about the impact of some of our products on human health or the environment may lead to regulation, or reaction in our markets independent of regulation, that could reduce or eliminate markets for such products.

The only brominated flame retardant that we currently sell that has been banned for specified applications to date is decabromodiphenyl ether, which was banned for some applications in the states of Washington, Maine, Oregon and Vermont. In 2009, the state of Vermont passed a law that bans the use of decabromodiphenyl ether as a flame retardant in mattresses and upholstered furniture after July 1, 2010, and in televisions

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

and computers after July 1, 2012. The state of Oregon also passed a ban on the use of decabromodiphenyl ether as a flame retardant after January 1, 2011. In December 2009, we, along with other leading producers announced a voluntary withdrawal, in cooperation with the EPA, from the production and sale of decabromodiphenyl ether in the U.S. over a period of three to four years. A similar agreement was reached later with Canada. Bills to restrict or ban the use of decabromodiphenyl ether are still under consideration in several U.S. states.

Norway restricted decabromodiphenyl ether in April 2008, except for certain transportation applications. On April 1, 2008, the European Court of Justice annulled the exemption of decabromodiphenyl ether from the RoHS Directive, ruling that the European Commission had followed an incorrect procedure when adopting the exemption. As a consequence, the use of decabromodiphenyl

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

ether in electrical and electronic equipment was banned in the European Union effective July 1, 2008. In 2010, the Republic of India decided to adopt similar legislation, effective January 1, 2012. In 2011, less than 1% of our net sales were derived from decabromodiphenyl ether. None of these legislative restrictions has caused or is expected to cause a significant adverse effect on our profitability.

Additionally, agencies in the European Union continue to evaluate the risks to human health and the environment associated with certain brominated flame retardants, including decabromodiphenyl ether, hexabromocyclododecane and tetrabromobisphenol A. We manufacture each of these brominated flame retardants. The Persistent Organic Pollutants Review Committee, a scientific body to the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs), recommended in October 2011 that hexabromocyclododecane be listed under the Convention. The United Nations UNEP agency continues its evaluation of hexabromocyclododecane; no action restricting the use of hexabromocyclododecane is expected before mid-2013. In February 2011, the European Union included hexabromocyclododecane on a list of substances published under Annex XIV of the REACH regulation. Our expectation is that the sale of hexabromocyclododecane could be banned in Europe under the REACH process as soon as 2014. In 2011, approximately 2.1% of our net sales were derived from hexabromocyclododecane.

Additional government regulations, including limitations or bans on the use of brominated flame retardants, would likely result in a decline in our net sales of brominated flame retardants and have an adverse effect on our sales and profitability. In addition, the threat of additional regulation or concern about the impact of brominated flame retardants on human health or the environment could lead to a negative reaction in our markets that could reduce or eliminate our markets for these products, which could have an adverse effect on our sales and profitability.

We could be subject to damages based on claims brought against us by our customers or lose customers as a result of the failure of our products to meet certain quality specifications.

Our products provide important performance attributes to our customers' products. If a product fails to perform in a manner consistent with quality specifications or has a shorter useful life than guaranteed, a customer could seek replacement of the product or damages for costs incurred as a result of the product failing to perform as guaranteed. These risks apply to our refinery catalysts in particular because, in certain instances, we sell our refinery catalysts under agreements that contain limited performance and life cycle guarantees. A successful claim or series of claims against us could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations and could result in a loss of one or more customers.

Our business is subject to hazards common to chemical businesses, any of which could interrupt our production and adversely affect our results of operations.

Our business is subject to hazards common to chemical manufacturing, storage, handling and transportation, including explosions, fires, inclement weather, natural disasters, mechanical failure, unscheduled downtime, transportation interruptions, remediation, chemical spills, discharges or releases of toxic or hazardous substances or gases and other risks. These hazards can cause personal injury and loss of life, severe damage to, or destruction of, property and equipment and environmental contamination. In addition, the occurrence of material operating problems at our facilities due to any of these hazards may diminish our ability to meet our output goals. Accordingly, these hazards, and their consequences could have a material adverse effect on our operations as a whole, including our results of operations and cash flows, both during and after the period of operational difficulties.

Natural disasters and weather-related matters could impact our results of operations.

In 2005 and again in the third quarter of 2008, major hurricanes caused significant disruption to the operations on the U.S. Gulf Coast for many of our customers and our suppliers of certain raw materials, which had an adverse impact on volume and cost for some of our products. If similar weather-related matters or other natural disasters occur in the future, they could negatively affect the results of operations at our sites in the affected regions as well as have adverse impacts on the global economy.

The insurance that we maintain may not fully cover all potential exposures.

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

We maintain property, business interruption and casualty insurance but such insurance may not cover all risks associated with the hazards of our business and is subject to limitations, including deductibles and maximum liabilities covered. We may incur losses beyond the limits, or outside the coverage, of our insurance policies, including liabilities for environmental remediation. In addition, from time to time, various types of insurance for companies in the specialty chemical industry have not been available on commercially acceptable terms or, in some cases, have not been available at all. We are potentially at additional risk if one or more of our insurance carriers fail. Additionally, severe disruptions in the domestic and global financial markets could adversely impact the ratings and survival of some insurers. Future downgrades in the ratings of enough insurers could adversely impact both the availability of appropriate insurance coverage and its cost. In the future, we may not be able to obtain coverage at current levels, if at all, and our premiums may increase significantly on coverage that we maintain.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

We may incur significant charges in the event we close or divest all or part of a manufacturing plant or facility.

We periodically assess our manufacturing operations in order to manufacture and distribute our products in the most efficient manner. Based on our assessments, we may make capital improvements to modernize certain units, move manufacturing or distribution capabilities from one plant or facility to another plant or facility, discontinue manufacturing or distributing certain products or close or divest all or part of a manufacturing plant or facility. We also have shared services agreements at several of our plants and if such agreements are terminated or revised, we would assess and potentially adjust our manufacturing operations. The closure or divestiture of all or part of a manufacturing plant or facility could result in future charges that could be significant.

If we are unable to retain key personnel or attract new skilled personnel, it could have an adverse effect on our business.

The unanticipated departure of any key member of our management team could have an adverse effect on our business. In addition, because of the specialized and technical nature of our business, our future performance is dependent on the continued service of, and on our ability to attract and retain, qualified management, scientific, technical, marketing and support personnel. Competition for such personnel is intense, and we may be unable to continue to attract or retain such personnel.

Some of our employees are unionized, represented by workers councils or are employed subject to local laws that are less favorable to employers than the laws of the U.S.

As of December 31, 2011, we had 4,260 employees. Approximately 17% of our 2,155 U.S. employees are unionized. Our collective bargaining agreements expire in 2013, 2014 and 2015. In addition, a large number of our employees are employed in countries in which employment laws provide greater bargaining or other rights to employees than the laws of the U.S. Such employment rights require us to work collaboratively with the legal representatives of the employees to effect any changes to labor arrangements. For example, most of our employees in Europe are represented by workers councils that must approve any changes in conditions of employment, including salaries and benefits and staff changes, and may impede efforts to restructure our workforce. Although we believe that we have a good working relationship with our employees, a strike, work stoppage or slowdown by our employees or significant dispute with our employees could result in a significant disruption of our operations or higher ongoing labor costs.

Our joint ventures may not operate according to their business plans if our partners fail to fulfill their obligations, which may adversely affect our results of operations and may force us to dedicate additional resources to these joint ventures.

We currently participate in a number of joint ventures and may enter into additional joint ventures in the future. The nature of a joint venture requires us to share control with unaffiliated third parties. If our joint venture partners do not fulfill their obligations, the affected joint venture may not be able to operate according to its business plan. In that case, our results of operations may be adversely affected and we may be required to increase the level of our commitment to the joint venture. Also, differences in views among joint venture participants may result in delayed decisions or failures to agree on major issues. If these differences cause the joint ventures to deviate from their business plans, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

We may not be able to consummate future acquisitions or integrate future acquisitions into our business, which could result in unanticipated expenses and losses.

As part of our business growth strategy, we have acquired businesses and entered into joint ventures in the past and intend to pursue acquisitions and joint venture opportunities in the future. Our ability to implement this component of our growth strategy will be limited by our ability to identify appropriate acquisition or joint venture candidates and our financial resources, including available cash and borrowing capacity. The expense incurred in consummating acquisitions or entering into joint ventures, the time it takes to integrate an acquisition or our failure to integrate businesses successfully, could result in unanticipated expenses and losses. Furthermore, we may not be able to realize any of the anticipated benefits from acquisitions or joint ventures.

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

The process of integrating acquired operations into our existing operations may result in unforeseen operating difficulties and may require significant financial resources that would otherwise be available for the ongoing development or expansion of existing operations. Some of the risks associated with the integration of acquisitions include:

potential disruption of our ongoing business and distraction of management;

unforeseen claims and liabilities, including unexpected environmental exposures;

unforeseen adjustments, charges and write-offs;

problems enforcing the indemnification obligations of sellers of businesses or joint venture partners for claims and liabilities;

unexpected losses of customers of, or suppliers to, the acquired business;

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

difficulty in conforming the acquired businesses' standards, processes, procedures and controls with our operations;

variability in financial information arising from the implementation of purchase price accounting;

inability to coordinate new product and process development;

loss of senior managers and other critical personnel and problems with new labor unions; and

challenges arising from the increased scope, geographic diversity and complexity of our operations.

Although our pension plans currently meet minimum funding requirements, events could occur that would require us to make significant contributions to the plans and reduce the cash available for our business.

We have several defined benefit pension plans around the world, including in the U.S., the Netherlands, Germany, Belgium, and Japan, covering most of our employees. The U.S. plans represent approximately 94% of the total liabilities of the plans worldwide. We are required to make cash contributions to our pension plans to the extent necessary to comply with minimum funding requirements imposed by the various countries' benefit and tax laws. The amount of any such required contributions will be determined annually based on an actuarial valuation of the plans as performed by the plans' actuaries.

During 2011, we made \$50.0 million of voluntary contributions to our U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plans, and in 2010 we made \$70.0 million of voluntary contributions to these plans. We anticipate that the funded status of each of our U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plans will be at least 80% in 2012 and, therefore, the plans should not be subject to benefit limitations in conjunction with the Pension Protection Act of 2006. Additional voluntary pension contributions in and after 2012 may vary depending on factors such as asset returns, then-current interest rates, and legislative changes. The amounts we may elect or be required to contribute to our pension plans in the future may increase significantly. These contributions could be substantial and would reduce the cash available for our business.

The occurrence or threat of extraordinary events, including domestic and international terrorist attacks, may disrupt our operations and decrease demand for our products.

Chemical-related assets may be at greater risk of future terrorist attacks than other possible targets in the U.S. and throughout the world. As an American Chemistry Council member company, we have completed vulnerability assessments of our U.S. manufacturing locations and meet the requirements of this industry standard. We have a corporate security standard and audit our facilities for compliance. Recent investments have been made to upgrade site security. However, federal legislation is under consideration that could impose new site security requirements, specifically on chemical manufacturing facilities, which may increase our overhead expenses.

New federal regulations have already been adopted to increase the security of the transportation of hazardous chemicals in the U.S. We believe we have met these requirements but additional federal and local regulations that limit the distribution of hazardous materials are being considered. We ship and receive materials that are classified as hazardous. Bans on movement of hazardous materials through cities like Washington, D.C. could affect the efficiency of our logistical operations. Broader restrictions on hazardous material movements could lead to additional investment to produce hazardous raw materials and change where and what products we manufacture.

The occurrence of extraordinary events, including future terrorist attacks and the outbreak or escalation of hostilities, cannot be predicted, and their occurrence can be expected to continue to negatively affect the economy in general and specifically the markets for our products. The

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

resulting damage from a direct attack on our assets, or assets used by us, could include loss of life and property damage. In addition, available insurance coverage may not be sufficient to cover all of the damage incurred or, if available, may be prohibitively expensive.

We will need a significant amount of cash to service our indebtedness and our ability to generate cash depends on many factors beyond our control.

Our ability to generate sufficient cash flow from operations to make scheduled payments on our debt depends on a range of economic, competitive and business factors, many of which are outside our control. Based on a weighted average interest rate of 4.8% and outstanding borrowings at December 31, 2011 of \$763.7 million, our annual interest expense would be approximately \$36.7 million. A hypothetical 10% change (approximately 52 basis points) in the average interest rate applicable to the variable portion of such borrowings would change our annualized interest expense by approximately \$0.3 million. Our business may not generate sufficient cash flow from operations to service our debt obligations. If we are unable to service our debt obligations, we may need to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness on or before maturity, reduce or delay capital expenditures, sell assets or raise additional

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

equity. We may not be able to refinance any of our indebtedness, sell assets or raise additional equity on commercially reasonable terms or at all, which could cause us to default on our obligations and impair our liquidity. Our inability to generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our debt obligations, or to refinance our obligations on commercially reasonable terms, could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

Restrictive covenants in our debt instruments may adversely affect our business.

Our September 2011 credit agreement and the indentures governing our senior notes contain select restrictive covenants. These covenants provide constraints on our financial flexibility. The failure to comply with the covenants in our September 2011 credit agreement, the indentures governing the senior notes and the agreements governing other indebtedness, including indebtedness incurred in the future, could result in an event of default, which, if not cured or waived, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. See Financial Condition and Liquidity Long-Term Debt in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations on page 45.

A downgrade of the ratings on our debt or an increase in interest rates will cause our debt service obligations to increase.

Borrowings under our September 2011 credit agreement bear interest at floating rates. The rates are subject to adjustment based on the ratings of our senior unsecured long-term debt by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services, or S&P and Moody's Investors Services, or Moody's. S&P has rated our senior unsecured long-term debt as BBB+ and Moody's has rated our senior unsecured long-term debt as Baa1. S&P and/or Moody's may, in the future, downgrade our ratings. The downgrading of our ratings or an increase in benchmark interest rates would result in an increase of our interest expense on borrowings under our September 2011 credit agreement.

Changes in credit ratings issued by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations could adversely affect our cost of financing and the market price of our securities.

Credit rating agencies rate our debt securities on factors that include our operating results, actions that we take, their view of the general outlook for our industry and their view of the general outlook for the economy. Actions taken by the rating agencies can include maintaining, upgrading, or downgrading the current rating or placing us on a watch list for possible future downgrading. Downgrading the credit rating of our debt securities or placing us on a watch list for possible future downgrading would likely increase our cost of future financing, could limit our access to the capital markets and have an adverse effect on the market price of our securities.

Because a significant portion of our operations is conducted through our subsidiaries and joint ventures, our ability to service our debt is largely dependent on our receipt of distributions or other payments from our subsidiaries and joint ventures.

A significant portion of our operations is conducted through our subsidiaries and joint ventures. As a result, our ability to service our debt is largely dependent on the earnings of our subsidiaries and joint ventures and the payment of those earnings to us in the form of dividends, loans or advances and through repayment of loans or advances from us. Payments to us by our subsidiaries and joint ventures will be contingent upon our subsidiaries' or joint ventures' earnings and other business considerations and may be subject to statutory or contractual restrictions. In addition, there may be significant tax and other legal restrictions on the ability of non-U.S. subsidiaries or joint ventures to remit money to us.

The instruments governing our indebtedness do not limit our acquisitions and may allow us to incur additional indebtedness, including indebtedness in relation to acquisitions.

We have historically expanded our business primarily through acquisitions. A part of our business strategy is to continue to grow through acquisitions that complement and expand our distribution network. The terms of our indebtedness do not limit the number or scale of acquisitions that we may complete. Because the consummation of acquisitions and integration of acquired businesses involves significant risk, this means that investors in our securities will be subject to the risks inherent in our acquisition strategy.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.
NONE

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***Item 2. Properties.**

We operate on a global basis. We believe that our production facilities, research and development facilities, and administrative and sales offices are generally well maintained, effectively used and are adequate to operate our business. During 2011, the Company's manufacturing plants operated at approximately 74% capacity in the aggregate.

Set forth below is information at December 31, 2011 regarding our significant facilities operated by our joint ventures and us:

| Location | Principal Use | Owned/Leased |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Ames, Iowa | Research and development of heterogeneous biodiesel catalysis | Leased |
| Amsterdam, the Netherlands | Production of refinery catalysts, research and product development activities | Owned |
| Avonmouth, United Kingdom | Production of flame retardants | Owned; on leased land |
| Baton Rouge, Louisiana | Research and product development activities, and production of flame retardants, catalysts and additives | Owned; on leased land |
| Baton Rouge, Louisiana | Principal executive offices | Leased |
| Beijing, China | Regional sales and administrative offices | Leased |
| Bergheim, Germany | Production of flame retardants and specialty products based on aluminum trihydrate and aluminum oxide, and research and product development activities | Owned |
| Budapest, Hungary | Regional shared services office | Leased |
| Dalian, China | Regional shared services office | Leased |
| Dubai, United Arab Emirates | Regional sales and administrative offices | Leased |
| Houston, Texas | Regional sales and administrative offices | Leased |
| Jin Shan District, Shanghai, China | Production of antioxidants and polymer intermediates | Owned; on leased land |
| Jubail, Saudi Arabia | Manufacturing and marketing of organometallics | Owned; Albemarle Netherlands BV and Saudi Specialty Chemicals Company (a SABIC affiliate) each owns 50% interest |
| Louvain-la-Neuve, | Regional offices and research and customer technical service activities | Owned |

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--------------------|---|---|
| Belgium | | |
| La Voulte, France | Refinery catalysts regeneration and treatment, research and development activities | Owned by Eurecat S.A., a joint venture owned 50% by each of IFP Investissements and us |
| Magnolia, Arkansas | Production of flame retardants, bromine, inorganic bromides, agricultural intermediates and tertiary amines | Owned |
| Mobile, Alabama | Production of tin stabilizers | Owned by Arkema Group LLC which operates the plant for Stannica LLC, a joint venture in which we and Arkema Group LLC each own a 50% interest |
| Moscow, Russia | Regional sales and administrative offices | Leased |
| Nanjing, China | Technology center, product repackaging and flame retardant production | Owned; on leased land |
| Nevada, Iowa | Research and development of heterogeneous biodiesel catalysis | Leased |

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries*

| Location | Principal Use | Owned/Leased |
|--|--|--|
| Niihama, Japan | Production of refinery catalysts | Leased by Nippon Ketjen Company Limited, a joint venture owned 50% by each of Sumitomo Metal Mining Company Limited and us |
| Ninghai County, Zhejiang Province, China | Production of antioxidants and polymer intermediates | Owned; on leased land |
| Orangeburg, South Carolina | Production of flame retardants, aluminum alkyls and fine chemistry products, including pharmaceutical actives, fuel additives, orthoalkylated phenols, polymer modifiers and phenolic antioxidants | Owned |
| Pasadena, Texas | Production of aluminum alkyls, alkenyl succinic anhydride, orthoalkylated anilines, and other specialty chemicals | Owned |
| Pasadena, Texas | Production of refinery catalysts, research and development activities | Owned |
| Pasadena, Texas | Refinery catalysts regeneration services | Owned by Eurecat U.S. Incorporated, a joint venture in which we own a 57.5% interest and a consortium of entities in various proportions owns the remaining interest |
| Safi, Jordan | Production of bromine and derivatives and flame retardants | Owned and leased by JBC, a joint venture owned 50% by each of Arab Potash Company Limited and us |
| St. Jakobs/Breitenau, Austria | Production of specialty magnesium hydroxide products | Leased by Magnifin Magnesiaprodukte GmbH & Co. KG, a joint venture owned 50% by each of Radex Heraklith Industriebeteiligung AG and us |
| Santa Cruz, Brazil | Production of catalysts, research and product development activities | Owned by Fábrica Carioca de Catalisadores S.A, a joint venture owned 50% by each of Petrobras Química S.A. PETROQUISA and us |
| Seoul, South Korea | Regional sales and administrative offices | Leased |
| Shandong, China | Regional sales and administrative offices | Owned by Shandong Sinobrom, a joint venture in which we own a 75% interest, and Weifang Rui Yin Investment Management and Consultancy Co. Ltd., owns a 25% interest |
| Shanghai, China | Regional sales and administrative offices | Leased |
| Singapore | Regional sales and administrative offices | Leased |
| South Haven, Michigan | Production of custom fine chemistry products including pharmaceutical actives | Owned |
| Takaishi City, Osaka, Japan | Production of aluminum alkyls | Owned by Nippon Aluminum Alkyls, a joint venture owned 50% by each of Mitsui Chemicals, Inc. and us |

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|-----------------|---|---|
| Tokyo, Japan | Regional sales and administrative offices | Leased |
| Tokyo, Japan | Administrative offices | Leased by Nippon Ketjen Co., Ltd., a joint venture 50% owned by each of Sumitomo Metal Mining Co. Ltd. and us |
| Tokyo, Japan | Regional sales and administrative offices | Leased by Nippon Aluminum Alkyls, a joint venture owned 50% by each of Mitsui Chemicals, Inc. and us |
| Twinsburg, Ohio | Production of bromine-activated carbon | Leased |

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries*

| Location | Principal Use | Owned/Leased |
|----------------------|--|---------------------|
| Tyrene, Pennsylvania | Production of custom fine chemistry products, agricultural intermediates, performance polymer products and research and development activities | Owned |
| Yeosu, South Korea | Research and product development activities/small scale production of catalysts and catalyst components | Owned |

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

On July 3, 2006, we received a Notice of Violation, (the 2006 NOV) from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 4, or EPA, regarding the implementation of the Pharmaceutical Maximum Achievable Control Technology standards at our plant in Orangeburg, South Carolina. The alleged violations include (i) the applicability of the specific regulations to certain intermediates manufactured at the plant, (ii) failure to comply with certain reporting requirements, (iii) improper evaluation and testing to properly implement the regulations and (iv) the sufficiency of the leak detection and repair program at the plant. In the second quarter of 2011, the Company was served with a complaint by the EPA in the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, based on the alleged violations set out in the 2006 NOV seeking civil penalties and injunctive relief. The complaint was subsequently amended to add the State of South Carolina as a plaintiff. We intend to vigorously defend this action. Any settlement or finding adverse to us could result in the payment by us of fines, penalties, capital expenditures, or some combination thereof. At this time, it is not possible to predict with any certainty the outcome of this litigation or the financial impact which may result therefrom. However, we do not expect any financial impact to have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

In addition, we are involved from time to time in legal proceedings of types regarded as common in our business, including administrative or judicial proceedings seeking remediation under environmental laws, such as Superfund, products liability, breach of contract liability and premises liability litigation. Where appropriate, we may establish financial reserves as estimated by our general counsel for such proceedings. We also maintain insurance to mitigate certain of such risks.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***Executive Officers of the Registrant.**

The names, ages and biographies of our executive officers and certain other officers as of February 3, 2012 are set forth below. The term of office of each officer is until the meeting of the Board of Directors following the next annual shareholders meeting (May 9, 2012).

| Name | Age | Position |
|-----------------------|-----|---|
| Luther C. Kissam, IV | 47 | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director |
| John M. Steitz | 53 | Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer |
| Karen G. Narwold | 52 | Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary |
| Scott A. Tozier | 46 | Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Risk Officer |
| William B. Allen, Jr. | 47 | Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer |
| David W. Clary | 52 | Vice President, Chief Sustainability Officer |
| Nicole C. Daniel | 43 | Vice President, Deputy General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer |
| Richard G. Fishman | 59 | Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Tax Counsel |
| Ronald R. Gardner | 60 | Vice President, Fine Chemistry |
| Matthew K. Juneau | 51 | Vice President, Global Sales and Services |
| John J. Nicols | 47 | Vice President, Catalysts |
| Anthony S. Parnell | 52 | Vice President, Polymer Solutions |
| Milan R. Shah | 36 | Vice President, Business Development |
| Ronald C. Zumstein | 50 | Vice President, Manufacturing |

Luther C. Kissam, IV was elected to our Board of Directors on November 2, 2011 and as our Chief Executive Officer effective September 1, 2011, following his previous appointment as President on March 15, 2010. Previously, Mr. Kissam served as Executive Vice President, Manufacturing and Law and Secretary from May 2009 until March 15, 2010, and as Senior Vice President, Manufacturing and Law, and Corporate Secretary from January 8, 2008 until May 2009. Mr. Kissam joined us in September 2003 and served as Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary from that time until December 16, 2005, when he was promoted to Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary. Before joining us, Mr. Kissam served as Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of Merisant Company (manufacturer and marketer of sweetener and consumer food products), having previously served as Associate General Counsel of Monsanto Company (provider of agricultural products and solutions).

John M. Steitz was appointed as our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer effective April 11, 2007. Mr. Steitz served as Senior Vice President, Business Operations since January 1, 2004 and as Vice President, Business Operations from October 2002 until January 2004. From July 2000 until October 2002, Mr. Steitz served as Vice President, Fine Chemistry on a global basis. Before joining us, he was Vice President and General Manager, Pharmaceutical Chemicals of Mallinckrodt, Incorporated (global provider of specialty healthcare products in the areas of diagnostic imaging, respiratory care and pain relief, and business unit of Tyco Healthcare) for 22 years. Mr. Steitz currently serves as a director on the Board of Directors of Innophos Holdings Inc.

Karen G. Narwold joined us in September of 2010, as Senior Vice President and General Counsel of Albemarle. Ms. Narwold also serves as our Corporate Secretary. Ms. Narwold has over 20 years of legal, management and business experience with global industrial and chemical

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

companies. After five years in private practice, she served as Vice President, General Counsel, Human Resources and Secretary of GrafTech International Ltd., a global graphite and carbon manufacturer and former subsidiary of Union Carbide. She then served as Vice President and Strategic Counsel of Barzel Industries, a North American steel processor and distributor. Most recently, Ms. Narwold served as Special Counsel with Kelley Drye & Warren LLP and with Symmetry Advisors where she worked in the areas of strategic, financial and capital structure planning and restructuring for public and private companies.

Scott A. Tozier was elected as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer effective January 31, 2011. Mr. Tozier also serves as our Chief Risk Officer. Mr. Tozier has over 20 years of diversified international financial management experience. Following four years of assurance services with the international firm Ernst & Young, LLP, Mr. Tozier joined Honeywell

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

International, Inc., where his 16 year career spanned senior financial positions in the U.S., Australia and Europe. His roles of increasing responsibilities included management of financial planning, analysis and reporting, global credit and treasury services and Chief Financial Officer of Honeywell's Transportation Systems, Turbo Technologies and Building Solutions divisions. Most recently, Mr. Tozier served as Vice President of Finance, Operations and Transformation of Honeywell International, Inc.

William B. Allen, Jr. was elected as our Chief Accounting Officer on August 12, 2010 following his previous appointment as Vice President and Corporate Controller on May 13, 2009. Mr. Allen had previously served as our Chief Financial Officer for our Catalysts and Fine Chemistry divisions from January 2006 until April 2009 and Corporate Controller from September 2003 until December 2005. Mr. Allen has held various other financial positions of increasing responsibility since joining us in May of 1994, including Director of Corporate Financial Planning and Analysis from July 2002 until September 2003 and Director of Finance for our European operations from April 1997 until June 2002.

David W. Clary was elected Vice President and Chief Sustainability Officer effective July 1, 2008. Dr. Clary previously served as Division Vice President of our Fine Chemistry Services and Intermediates business from January 1, 2006 until July 2008. Since joining the Company and Ethyl Corporation in 1985, Dr. Clary served as Director of Fine Chemistry Research and Development, and in other positions in research and development, manufacturing, and business management.

Nicole C. Daniel serves as Vice President, Deputy General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer. Ms. Daniel has also held the positions of Assistant Corporate Secretary, Corporate Secretary and Director, Investor Relations at Albemarle. Ms. Daniel joined Albemarle in November 2002 as Associate Counsel. In March 2010, Ms. Daniel assumed the role of Chief Compliance Officer, where she oversees the Company's global corporate compliance initiatives.

Richard G. Fishman was elected Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Tax Counsel effective February 18, 2009. He also served as the Company's Interim Chief Financial Officer from August 30, 2010 until January 30, 2011. Mr. Fishman previously served as our Vice President, Tax and Chief Tax Counsel. Before joining us in May of 2006, he served nearly 18 years with Honeywell International Inc. in various tax positions, most recently as Director of International Taxation & Associate General Tax Counsel.

Ronald R. Gardner was elected Vice President, Fine Chemistry effective January 1, 2007. Mr. Gardner had previously served as Divisional Vice President, Performance Chemicals since 2002, and was Business Director, Bromine and Derivatives including Jordan Bromine start up and integration since 2001. Previously, he worked in research and development, manufacturing, international distribution, project management, and international business management (including a five-year assignment in Europe) since joining the Company and Ethyl Corporation in May 1973.

Matthew K. Juneau was elected Vice President, Global Sales and Service on May 13, 2009. Mr. Juneau previously held the position of Division Vice President of our performance chemicals business in the Fine Chemistry division since January 2007. Prior to that, Mr. Juneau held various positions of increasing responsibility in research and development and business management with us including Managing Director of our European operations from January 2003 until December 2007. Mr. Juneau joined us as a chemical engineer in June 1982.

John J. Nicols joined the Company and Ethyl Corporation in February 1990 and served as our Vice President, Fine Chemistry from June 2002 until January 1, 2007 when he was elected Vice President, Catalysts. Previously, Mr. Nicols ran our Global Flame Retardants business from February 1999 through June 2002. Additionally, Mr. Nicols led our Bromine Businesses in Asia from Tokyo, Japan from 1995 through 1998. Before joining us, Mr. Nicols worked for three years in manufacturing and research and development for Hercules, Inc. (specialty chemicals).

Anthony S. Parnell was elected Vice President, Polymer Solutions effective May 13, 2009. He previously held the position of Vice President, Global Sales, Service and Operations Planning since January 1, 2007. Prior to that, Mr. Parnell served as Vice President, Americas Sales Operations since 2002, and was Managing Director of our European operations from 1996 until 2002. He previously served in various commercial leadership positions at the Company and Ethyl Corporation since 1982.

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

Milan R. Shah joined us in December of 2008 and was elected Vice President, Business Development effective February 18, 2009. Before joining us, Mr. Shah was with Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. as a senior investment banker within its chemical industry advisory practice. While at Deutsche Bank, he worked with many of the leading companies in the chemical industry, including Albemarle, on financings, portfolio management, merger and acquisition execution and strategy development.

Ronald C. Zumstein was elected Vice President of Manufacturing on March 15, 2010 after having previously served as Vice President, Manufacturing Operations effective March 31, 2008. Dr. Zumstein previously served as our Vice President of Health, Safety and Environment and Vice President of Manufacturing for our Polymer Solutions division. Dr. Zumstein previously held various positions of increasing responsibility since joining the Company and Ethyl Corporation in 1987, including serving as Plant Manager at several of our U.S. manufacturing locations.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***PART II****Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**

Our common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange, or the NYSE, under the symbol ALB. The following table sets forth on a per share basis the high and low sales prices for our common stock for the periods indicated as reported on the NYSE composite transactions reporting system and the dividends declared per share on our common stock.

| | Common Stock Price Range | | Dividends Declared Per |
|----------------|--------------------------|----------|---------------------------|
| | High | Low | Share of Common Stock |
| 2010 | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 43.80 | \$ 34.49 | \$ 0.14 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 46.97 | \$ 38.21 | \$ 0.14 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 47.15 | \$ 38.47 | \$ 0.14 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 57.34 | \$ 46.16 | \$ 0.14 |
| 2011 | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 60.70 | \$ 52.64 | \$ 0.165 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 71.79 | \$ 56.28 | \$ 0.165 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 71.21 | \$ 39.76 | \$ 0.165 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 56.92 | \$ 38.02 | \$ 0.175 |

There were 88,841,240 shares of common stock held by 3,404 shareholders of record as of December 31, 2011. On February 15, 2012, we declared a dividend of \$0.20 per share of common stock, payable April 1, 2012.

Our stock repurchase plan, which was authorized by our Board of Directors, became effective on October 25, 2000 and included ten million shares. On February 27, 2008, after 98% of the originally authorized repurchase was executed, our Board of Directors approved an increase to five million shares authorized for repurchase under our stock repurchase plan. Following the repurchase of three million shares of our common stock during the third quarter of 2011, on October 13, 2011, our Board of Directors authorized another increase in the number of shares the Company is permitted to repurchase under the plan up to a maximum of five million shares. The stock repurchase plan will expire when we have repurchased all shares authorized for repurchase thereunder, unless the repurchase plan is earlier terminated by action of our Board of Directors or further shares are authorized for repurchase. We did not repurchase any shares of our common stock during the three-month period ended December 31, 2011.

The information required by Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K is contained in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act, or the Proxy Statement, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

Stock Performance Graph

The graph below shows the cumulative total shareholder return assuming the investment of \$100 in our common stock on December 31, 2006 and the reinvestment of all dividends thereafter. The information contained in the graph below is furnished and therefore not to be considered filed with the SEC, and is not incorporated by reference into any document that incorporates this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The information for the five years ended December 31, 2011, is contained in the Five-Year Summary included in Part IV, Item 15, Exhibit 99.1 and incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.
Forward-looking Statements**

Some of the information presented in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the documents incorporated by reference, may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations, which are in turn based on assumptions that we believe are reasonable based on our current knowledge of our business and operations. We have used words such as anticipate, believe, could, estimate, expect, intend, may, should, will and v such words and similar expressions to identify such forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions, which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond our control. There can be no assurance, therefore, that our actual results will not differ materially from the results and expectations expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially include, without limitation:

deterioration in economic and business conditions;

future financial and operating performance of our major customers and industries served by us;

the timing of orders received from customers;

the gain or loss of significant customers;

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

competition from other manufacturers;

changes in the demand for our products;

limitations or prohibitions on the manufacture and sale of our products;

availability of raw materials;

changes in the cost of raw materials and energy, and our inability to pass through such increases;

performance of acquired companies;

changes in our markets in general;

fluctuations in foreign currencies;

changes in laws and increased government regulation of our operations or our products;

the occurrence of claims or litigation;

the occurrence of natural disasters;

the inability to maintain current levels of product or premises liability insurance or the denial of such coverage;

political unrest affecting the global economy, including adverse effects from terrorism or hostilities;

political unrest or instability affecting our manufacturing operations or joint ventures;

changes in accounting standards;

the inability to achieve results from our global manufacturing cost reduction initiatives as well as our ongoing continuous improvement and rationalization programs;

changes in jurisdictional mix of our earnings and changes in tax laws and rates;

changes in monetary policies, inflation or interest rates that may impact our ability to raise capital or increase our cost of funds, impact the performance of our pension fund investments, and increase our pension expense and funding obligations;

volatility and substantial uncertainties in the debt and equity markets; and

the other factors detailed from time to time in the reports we file with the SEC.

For further discussion regarding the Company's business risks, see also Item 1A. Risk Factors.

We assume no obligation to provide revisions to any forward-looking statements should circumstances change, except as otherwise required by securities and other applicable laws. The following discussion should be read together with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The following is a discussion and analysis of results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009. A discussion of consolidated financial condition and sources of additional capital is included under a separate heading "Financial Condition and Liquidity" on page 44.

Overview and Outlook

We are a leading global developer, manufacturer and marketer of highly-engineered specialty chemicals that meet customer needs across an exceptionally diverse range of end markets including the petroleum refining, consumer electronics, plastics/packaging, construction, automotive, lubricants, pharmaceuticals, crop protection, food-safety and custom chemistry services markets. We are committed to global sustainability and are advancing responsible eco-practices and solutions in our three business segments. We believe that our commercial and geographic diversity, technical expertise, innovative capability, flexible, low-cost global manufacturing base, experienced management team, and strategic focus on our core base technologies will enable us to maintain leading market positions in those areas of the specialty chemicals industry in which we operate.

Our diverse product portfolio, broad geographic presence and customer-focused solutions will continue to be key drivers to our future earnings growth. We continue to build upon our existing green solutions portfolio and our ongoing mission to provide

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

innovative, yet commercially viable, clean energy products and services to the marketplace. We believe our disciplined cost reduction efforts, on-going productivity improvements and strong balance sheet position us well to take advantage of strengthening economic conditions while softening the negative impact of any temporary disruption in the economy.

2011 Highlights

Selection as one of Corporate Responsibility Magazine's 100 Best Corporate Citizens. In the second quarter of 2011, we were selected as one of Corporate Responsibility Magazine's 100 Best Corporate Citizens for 2011. The companies listed in the 100 Best Corporate Citizens List are ranked based on scores in seven different categories including environment, climate change, human rights, philanthropy, employee relations and governance.

Acquisition of Catilin, Inc. We expanded our presence in the biofuels market with the acquisition of Catilin, Inc., announced on May 11, 2011. Catilin is a technology leader in the development and application of heterogeneous biodiesel catalysis.

Construction of new Aluminum Alkyls Manufacturing Facility. We held a groundbreaking ceremony with SABIC to initiate the construction of the new aluminum alkyls manufacturing facility in Jubail, Saudi Arabia in connection with our SOCC joint venture.

Formation of new Environmental Division. We announced the formation of our new Environmental division with the opening of a new environmental research and development facility in Baton Rouge, LA. Our Environmental division is part of our Fine Chemistry segment and will provide environmental control solutions to the coal-fired utility, cement kiln and industrial boiler markets through the development of multi-pollutant control technologies.

Expansion of Share Repurchase Program. We repurchased a total of three million shares during 2011 under our existing share repurchase program. On October 13, 2011 we authorized an increase in the number of shares permitted to repurchase under this program to a maximum of five million shares.

Operating Results. In 2011, we achieved annual earnings of \$436.3 million, up 35% over 2010. These solid operating results contributed to strong cash flows from operations in the amount of \$487.4 million for 2011.

Increased Dividends. In the first quarter of 2011, we increased our quarterly dividend for the 17th consecutive year, to \$0.165 per share. In the fourth quarter of 2011, we increased our regular quarterly dividend by an additional 6% to \$0.175 per share.

Outlook

For most of 2011 we saw strong performance in the global markets that we serve, with our businesses being well positioned to capitalize on new market opportunities as well as opportunities to invest in those markets that continue to bring new demand. During the third quarter of 2011, we began to see expected signs of volume softness affecting mainly our Polymer Solutions segment which we attribute to end market response to global economic weakness. We are closely monitoring customer order patterns and other performance trends in our businesses overall and the

markets which they serve in light of these current uncertainties as well as working to manage potential headwinds such as increased raw material and energy costs, pension and other personnel costs. Overall, our business fundamentals remain strong, and we believe that if the end markets we serve begin to stabilize and accelerate over the course of 2012 we should continue to make progress towards our long-term growth objectives.

Polymer Solutions: Our pricing programs, combined with favorable impacts from foreign currency, resulted in strong year-over-year financial performance for 2011, especially in our fire safety business. Improved pricing helped offset raw material inflation as well as fund further investment in new products and technologies. However, during the third quarter of 2011 and through the end of the year, we began to see signs of softening demand in our fire safety business (largely in mineral flame retardants) which we believe was attributable to end-market order patterns in response to the current weakness in the global economy. We are closely monitoring customer order patterns in this business for indications of sustained slow growth. However, we believe our business fundamentals in this sector remain strong, and combined with our competitive position, product innovations, pricing initiatives to offset raw material inflation and overall operating discipline, we believe the Company continues to be well positioned to manage through any potentially slower growth trends in the markets served by this business.

On a long-term basis, we continue to believe improving global standards of living, coupled with the potential for increasingly stringent fire safety regulations and global climate initiatives, should drive continued demand for fire safety products. Further, we continue to focus on globalization in this segment, with our antioxidants facility in Shanghai positioning us well for growth in China.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

Greenarmor™, the first Earthwise™ product from our Polymer Solutions segment, has reached the commercialization stage and we are currently negotiating potential supply contracts with key customers for this product. The Earthwise™ portfolio, comprised of products that serve the polymers industry and which are greatly enhanced in both end market performance and environmental responsibility, is expected to grow to include products from other business units and segments of Albemarle.

Catalysts: Favorable impacts from overall improved volumes, higher pricing to counter significant raw material price increases, and stronger year-over-year results from our equity joint ventures drove net sales and earnings growth in our Catalysts segment during 2011. Increased global demand for petroleum products, generally deteriorating quality of crude oil feedstock and implementation of more stringent fuel quality requirements are expected to drive growth in our refinery catalysts business. We expect growth in our performance catalysts solutions division to come from growing global demand for plastics, particularly in Asia and the Middle East. Our fluidized catalytic cracking, or FCC, refinery catalysts business has seen significant price increases in rare earth materials due to recent Chinese export quotas. Our steps to maintain sufficient security of supply for the foreseeable future, as well as cost pass-through mechanisms that have been implemented, are helping us sustain current profitability levels for this business in the current year.

New market penetrations and introduction of innovative cost-effective products for the refining and polyolefins industries contributed to the segment's performance in 2011. We believe our focus on advanced product development in Catalysts is achieving commercial success, and we have introduced new value-added refining solutions and technologies that enable refiners to increase yields, a critical advantage for refiners. Our marketing and research groups are tightly aligned, enabling us to continue to bring innovative technologies to the market. Additionally, we expect to continue exploring new alternative fuel opportunities by partnering with leading renewable fuels technology developers who can benefit from Albemarle's catalysis expertise. We recently expanded our presence in the biofuels market with the acquisition of Catilin, Inc., announced on May 11, 2011. Catilin is a technology leader in the development and application of heterogeneous biodiesel catalysis whose technology and products will further strengthen our offerings for the renewable fuels market.

We expect to leverage our existing positions in the Middle East, Asia and Brazil, along with our joint ventures, to capitalize on growth opportunities and further develop our leading position in those emerging markets. Our joint venture in Saudi Arabia with SABIC, expected to be operational in 2012, positions us to lead in the fast-growing Middle East polyolefins market. Construction at our recently acquired Yeosu, South Korea site is progressing well, where existing assets have allowed us to rapidly develop research and small-scale production facilities, adding immediate value to the metallocene polyolefin and high brightness LED regional markets. Intermediate commercial operations at the site began in 2011, with the commercial facility expected to be fully operational in 2012, to meet regional growth in metallocene polyolefins and trimethyl gallium (TMG) markets for high brightness LED. Additionally, we are working on project scope and design elements for a future Brazilian hydroprocessing catalysts investment with Petrobras.

Fine Chemistry: Our Fine Chemistry segment continues to benefit from the rapid pace of innovation and the introduction of new products, coupled with the movement by companies to outsource certain research, product development and manufacturing functions. We believe we can sustain healthy margins with continued focus on the two strategic areas in our Fine Chemistry segment—maximizing our bromine franchise value in the performance chemicals sector and continued growth of our fine chemistry services business.

In our performance chemicals sector, we saw strong growth over the course of 2011 as demand across our bromine franchise expanded, with new applications widening the breadth of use of our bromine and bromine derivatives and global supply remaining tight. Our completion fluids business regained traction in 2011 and we believe this trend should continue into 2012 as global drilling activity continues to operate at significantly higher levels than in the prior year. Further, we have commenced an expansion of our bromine production capacity at our JBC joint venture in Safi, Jordan. Additionally, we are encouraged by long-term drivers in the U.S. and China for our mercury control business. We are positioned to provide these markets with sensible, sustainable solutions to meet new regulatory demands, including emission prevention and control directives for coal-fueled power plants in the U.S. and China and waste reduction initiatives in the cement production industry in connection with the new Cement-MACT mercury emission standard. In response to the emerging opportunities in this market, during the third quarter of 2011, we formed our new Environmental division. This division will function within our Fine Chemistry segment and will provide environmental control solutions to the coal-fired utility, cement kiln and industrial boiler markets through the development of multi-pollutant control technologies.

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

We are focused on profitably growing our globally competitive bromine and derivatives production network to serve all major bromine consuming products and markets. We believe the global supply/demand gap will continue to tighten as demand for existing and new uses of bromine expand and global supply remains tight. We are positioned to expand capacity as needed at our low-cost production facilities.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

Our fine chemistry services product pipeline is strong and opportunities are expanding. Our pharmaceutical and crop protection businesses continue to deliver solid results. We expect product development opportunities to continue, such as partnering with ExxonMobil Corporation to make a specialty lubricant and with pharmaceutical developers like SIGA Technologies in their manufacture of the ST-246 smallpox drug. Also, in the third quarter of 2011 we announced our agreement to provide customer scale-up and production services for synthetic, renewable base oils for the lubricants market to Novvi S.A., a joint venture between Amyris, Inc. and Cosan S.A. Indústria e Comércio, focused on the development, production, marketing and distribution of high-performance renewable base oils.

Our technical expertise, manufacturing capabilities and speed to market allow us to develop preferred outsourcing positions serving leading chemical and pharmaceutical innovators in diverse industries. We believe we will continue to generate growth in profitable niche products leveraged from this service business.

Corporate and Other: We continue to focus on cash generation, working capital management and process efficiencies. Our global effective tax rate for 2011 was 23.6%, and we expect our rate for 2012 will be approximately 25%. However, our tax rate continues to be subject to potential variability based on the jurisdictions in which income is actually earned and changes in tax rates and laws. Further, in 2012, we expect our noncash U.S. pension and postretirement expense could increase up to \$20 million over 2011 expense amounts.

The strong performance of our businesses in 2011 enabled us to announce two dividend increases. In the first quarter of 2011 we increased our quarterly dividend payout to 16.5 cents per share, followed by another increase to 17.5 cents per share on October 13, 2011 to shareholders of record at the close of business on December 15, 2011.

We repurchased three million shares of our common stock in the third quarter of 2011 for approximately \$178 million under our existing share repurchase program, and we may periodically repurchase shares in the future on an opportunistic basis.

On September 22, 2011, we amended and restated our previous \$675.0 million credit facility. Under the terms of the amended and restated five-year, revolving, unsecured credit facility, we have the ability to borrow \$750.0 million (with an option for another \$250.0 million subject to the terms of the agreement), which we believe will give us continuing liquidity for both our short-term and long-term operating needs.

We remain committed to evaluating the merits of any opportunities that may arise for acquisitions or other business development activities that will complement our business footprint. Additional information regarding our products, markets and financial performance is provided at our web site, www.albemarle.com. Our web site is not a part of this document nor is it incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

Results of Operations

The following data and discussion provides an analysis of certain significant factors affecting our results of operations during the periods included in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income.

| Selected Financial Data | Year Ended December 31, | | | Percentage Change | |
|--|--|--------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2011 vs. 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 |
| | (In thousands, except percentages and per share amounts) | | | | |
| NET SALES | \$ 2,869,005 | \$ 2,362,764 | \$ 2,005,394 | 21% | 18% |
| Cost of goods sold | 1,891,946 | 1,616,842 | 1,521,532 | 17% | 6% |
| GROSS PROFIT | 977,059 | 745,922 | 483,862 | 31% | 54% |
| GROSS PROFIT MARGIN | 34.1% | 31.6% | 24.1% | | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 312,136 | 265,722 | 212,628 | 17% | 25% |
| Research and development expenses | 77,083 | 58,394 | 60,918 | 32% | (4)% |
| Restructuring and other charges | | 6,958 | 11,643 | * | (40)% |
| Port de Bouc facility disposition charges | | | 12,393 | * | * |
| OPERATING PROFIT | 587,840 | 414,848 | 186,280 | 42% | 123% |
| OPERATING PROFIT MARGIN | 20.5% | 17.6% | 9.3% | | |
| Interest and financing expenses | (37,574) | (25,533) | (24,584) | 47% | 4% |
| Other income (expenses), net | 357 | 2,788 | (1,423) | (87)% | 296% |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND EQUITY IN NET INCOME OF UNCONSOLIDATED INVESTMENTS | 550,623 | 392,103 | 160,273 | 40% | 145% |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 130,014 | 92,719 | (7,028) | 40% | * |
| Effective tax rate | 23.6% | 23.6% | (4.4)% | | |
| INCOME BEFORE EQUITY IN NET INCOME OF UNCONSOLIDATED INVESTMENTS | 420,609 | 299,384 | 167,301 | 40% | 79% |
| Equity in net income of unconsolidated investments (net of tax) | 43,754 | 37,975 | 22,322 | 15% | 70% |
| NET INCOME | \$ 464,363 | \$ 337,359 | \$ 189,623 | 38% | 78% |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (28,083) | (13,639) | (11,255) | 106% | 21% |
| NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO ALBEMARLE CORPORATION | \$ 436,280 | \$ 323,720 | \$ 178,368 | 35% | 81% |
| PERCENTAGE OF NET SALES | 15.2% | 13.7% | 8.9% | | |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 4.82 | \$ 3.54 | \$ 1.95 | 36% | 82% |

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----|------|----|------|----|------|-----|-----|
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ | 4.77 | \$ | 3.51 | \$ | 1.94 | 36% | 81% |
|----------------------------|----|------|----|------|----|------|-----|-----|

* Percentage calculation is not meaningful.

Comparison of 2011 to 2010

Net Sales

For the year ended December 31, 2011, we recorded net sales of \$2.87 billion, a 21% increase compared to net sales of \$2.36 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010. This increase was due mainly to favorable pricing in all segments, as well as benefitting from favorable volume impacts for the Company as a whole resulting mainly from improved market conditions on a year-over-year basis. Pricing was favorable 16% while volume had a favorable impact on our net sales of 3%. Additionally, foreign currency impacts on net sales were favorable 2% in 2011 over 2010 (due mainly to the stronger Euro).

Polymer Solutions net sales increased \$98.2 million, or 11%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010, due mainly to the impact of favorable pricing of 16% as well as favorable currency impacts of 3%, partly offset by impacts from lower volumes of 8%. Catalysts net sales increased \$226.9 million, or 25%, for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to 2010 due mainly to favorable pricing of 18% as well as favorable volume impacts contributing 6% and favorable foreign currency impacts of 1%. Fine Chemistry net sales increased \$181.2 million, or 32%, for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

2010, primarily due to higher volumes contributing 18% of the increase as well as favorable pricing impacts of 12% and favorable foreign currency impacts of 2%. For a detailed discussion of revenues and segment income for each segment, see [Segment Information Overview](#) below.

Gross Profit

For the year ended December 31, 2011, our gross profit increased \$231.1 million, or 31%, from the corresponding 2010 period due mainly to favorable pricing across our segments as well as overall favorable net impacts from foreign currency, partly offset mainly by higher variable input costs (primarily raw materials) and manufacturing spending. Overall, these factors contributed to our improved gross profit margin for the year ended December 31, 2011 of 34.1%, up from 31.6% for the corresponding period in 2010.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

For the year ended December 31, 2011, our selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses increased \$46.4 million, or 17%, from the year ended December 31, 2010. This increase was primarily due to higher personnel-related costs, including performance based compensation and pension costs, higher sales commissions and unfavorable foreign currency impacts (due mainly to the stronger Euro). As a percentage of net sales, SG&A expenses were 10.9% for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to 11.2% for the corresponding period in 2010.

Research and Development Expenses

For the year ended December 31, 2011, our research and development (R&D) expenses increased \$18.7 million, or 32%, from the year ended December 31, 2010, mainly due to higher department spending associated with our ongoing investment in organic growth opportunities as well as unfavorable foreign currency impacts (due mainly to the stronger Euro). As a percentage of net sales, R&D expenses were 2.7% for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to 2.5% for the corresponding period in 2010.

Restructuring and Other Charges

The year ended December 31, 2010 included charges amounting to \$7.0 million (\$4.6 million after income taxes) for restructuring costs related to reductions in force at our Bergheim, Germany site. The program associated with these charges have and are expected to continue to yield favorable impacts in our reported operating costs in future reporting periods. We have and will continue to fund the majority of the obligations associated with these type programs with cash flow generated from operating activities.

Interest and Financing Expenses

Interest and financing expenses for the year ended December 31, 2011 were \$37.6 million as compared to \$25.5 million for the corresponding 2010 period. This increase was due mainly to higher average interest rates on our outstanding borrowings.

Other Income, Net

Other income, net for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$0.4 million versus \$2.8 million for the corresponding 2010 period. This change was due primarily to comparatively unfavorable results on our foreign exchange gains and losses year-over-year, partly offset by higher interest income versus the corresponding period in 2010 based on higher average cash balances year-over-year.

Income Tax Expense

The effective income tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 was 23.6%. The Company's effective income tax rate fluctuates based on, among other factors, our level and location of income. The difference between the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate and our

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

effective income tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 was mainly due to the impact of earnings from outside the U.S.

Equity in Net Income of Unconsolidated Investments

Equity in net income of unconsolidated investments was \$43.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$38.0 million in 2010. This increase was due primarily to improved results in our Catalysts segment joint ventures FCC SA and Nippon Ketjen.

Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests

For the year ended December 31, 2011, net income attributable to noncontrolling interests was \$28.1 million compared to \$13.6 million in 2010. This increase was due primarily to improved volumes and profitability from our consolidated joint venture Jordan Bromine Company Limited, or JBC, based on higher demand for the products in our bromine and derivatives portfolio.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries**Net Income Attributable to Albemarle Corporation*

Net income attributable to Albemarle Corporation was \$436.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, up from \$323.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, primarily due to overall favorable sales pricing impacts, favorable net impacts from foreign currency, lower restructuring and other charges and favorable equity in net income of unconsolidated investments. These favorable impacts were partly offset primarily by higher variable input costs, higher manufacturing spending, higher SG&A and R&D costs, higher interest and financing expenses, higher income taxes and higher net income attributable to noncontrolling interests.

Segment Information Overview. We have identified three reportable segments according to the nature and economic characteristics of our products as well as the manner in which the information is used internally by the Company's key decision maker, our Chief Executive Officer, in accordance with current accounting guidance. Our Polymer Solutions segment is comprised of the flame retardants and stabilizers and curatives product areas. Our Catalysts segment is comprised of the refinery catalysts and performance catalyst solutions product areas. Our Fine Chemistry segment is comprised of the performance chemicals and fine chemistry services and intermediates product areas. Segment income represents operating profit (adjusted for significant non-recurring items) and equity in net income of unconsolidated investments and is reduced by net income attributable to noncontrolling interests. On January 1, 2010, we sold a 10% interest in our previously consolidated investment in Stannica LLC, resulting in a change in accounting for this subsidiary to the equity method. Segment data includes intersegment transfers of raw materials at cost and allocations for certain corporate costs.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | Percentage Change 2011 vs. 2010 |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | 2011 | % of net sales | 2010 | % of net sales | |
| (In thousands, except percentages) | | | | | |
| Net sales: | | | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | \$ 1,001,922 | 34.9% | \$ 903,745 | 38.2% | 11% |
| Catalysts | 1,116,863 | 38.9% | 890,007 | 37.7% | 25% |
| Fine Chemistry | 750,220 | 26.2% | 569,012 | 24.1% | 32% |
| Total net sales | \$ 2,869,005 | 100.0% | \$ 2,362,764 | 100.0% | 21% |
| Segment operating profit: | | | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | \$ 239,918 | 23.9% | \$ 195,681 | 21.7% | 23% |
| Catalysts | 287,342 | 25.7% | 219,125 | 24.6% | 31% |
| Fine Chemistry | 157,884 | 21.0% | 79,505 | 14.0% | 99% |
| Subtotal | 685,144 | | 494,311 | | 39% |
| Equity in net income of unconsolidated investments: | | | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | 7,696 | | 8,734 | | (12)% |
| Catalysts | 36,259 | | 29,648 | | 22% |
| Fine Chemistry | | | | | % |
| Corporate & other | (201) | | (407) | | (51)% |
| Total equity in net income of unconsolidated investments | 43,754 | | 37,975 | | 15% |

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests: | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------------|
| Polymer Solutions | (9,803) | | (6,154) | | 59% |
| Catalysts | | | | | % |
| Fine Chemistry | (18,306) | | (7,357) | | 149% |
| Corporate & other | 26 | | (128) | | (120)% |
| Total net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (28,083) | | (13,639) | | 106% |
| Segment income: | | | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | 237,811 | 23.7% | 198,261 | 21.9% | 20% |
| Catalysts | 323,601 | 29.0% | 248,773 | 28.0% | 30% |
| Fine Chemistry | 139,578 | 18.6% | 72,148 | 12.7% | 93% |
| Total segment income | 700,990 | | 519,182 | | 35% |
| Corporate & other | (97,479) | | (73,040) | | 33% |
| Restructuring and other charges | | | (6,958) | | * |
| Interest and financing expenses | (37,574) | | (25,533) | | 47% |
| Other income, net | 357 | | 2,788 | | (87)% |
| Income tax expense | (130,014) | | (92,719) | | 40% |
| Net income attributable to Albemarle Corporation | \$ 436,280 | | \$ 323,720 | | 35% |

* Percentage calculation is not meaningful.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries*

Our segment information includes measures we refer to as segment operating profit and segment income which are financial measures that are not required by, or presented in accordance with, accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). The Company has reported segment operating profit and segment income because management believes that these financial measures provide transparency to investors and enable period-to-period comparability of financial performance. Segment operating profit and segment income should not be considered as an alternative to operating profit or net income attributable to Albemarle Corporation, respectively, as determined in accordance with GAAP.

See below for a reconciliation of segment operating profit and segment income, the non-GAAP financial measures, to operating profit and net income attributable to Albemarle Corporation, respectively, the most directly comparable financial measures calculated and reported in accordance with GAAP.

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Total segment operating profit | \$ 685,144 | \$ 494,311 |
| Add (less): | | |
| Corporate & other ^(a) | (97,304) | (72,505) |
| Restructuring and other charges | | (6,958) |
| GAAP Operating profit | \$ 587,840 | \$ 414,848 |
| | | |
| Total segment income | \$ 700,990 | \$ 519,182 |
| Add (less): | | |
| Corporate & other | (97,479) | (73,040) |
| Restructuring and other charges | | (6,958) |
| Interest and financing expenses | (37,574) | (25,533) |
| Other income, net | 357 | 2,788 |
| Income tax expense | (130,014) | (92,719) |
| GAAP Net income attributable to Albemarle Corporation | \$ 436,280 | \$ 323,720 |

- (a) Excludes corporate equity income and noncontrolling interest adjustments of \$(175) and \$(535) for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Polymer Solutions

Polymer Solutions segment net sales for the year ended December 31, 2011 were \$1.0 billion, up 11%, compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, due mainly to the impact of favorable pricing of 16% resulting mainly from the execution of recent price increases during the year in our fire safety portfolio largely in response to rising raw material costs. Lower volume impacts of 8% for the segment were offset by favorable foreign currency impacts of 3%. Our stabilizers and curatives business also showed favorable pricing and foreign currency impacts in 2011 over 2010, although partly offset by lower volumes. Segment income for Polymer Solutions was \$237.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, up 20% versus the same period in 2010, due mainly to the overall pricing improvements noted above and favorable impacts

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

from foreign currency, partly offset by higher variable input costs (mainly raw materials), lower volumes and higher manufacturing and SG&A/R&D spending. Further, Polymer Solutions segment results for 2011 were unfavorably impacted \$3.6 million in higher charges from net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in our JBC joint venture, while equity in net income from our unconsolidated investment Magnifin was \$7.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, down \$1.0 million from the year ended December 31, 2010 due to lower year-over-year sales volumes for that joint venture.

Catalysts

Catalysts segment net sales for the year ended December 31, 2011 were \$1.1 billion, an increase of 25%, versus the year ended December 31, 2010. This increase was due mainly to favorable pricing impacts of 18%, favorable volume impacts contributing 6% and favorable effects from foreign currency of 1%. The favorable volume impacts were mainly in HPC and performance catalyst solutions,

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries*

partly offset by lower volumes in alternative fuels and FCC refinery catalysts. Also, pricing was up for the year 2011 versus prior year in refinery catalysts (mainly FCC as well as in HPC) due mainly to the pass-through of higher raw material costs along with other announced price increases. Catalysts segment income increased 30% to \$323.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 in comparison to the year ended December 31, 2010. This increase was primarily in our refinery catalysts business due mainly to the pricing gains noted above as well as favorable impacts from foreign currency, partly offset by higher variable input costs (mainly raw materials) and higher manufacturing and SG&A/R&D spending. Catalysts segment income for the year ended December 31, 2011 also benefitted from year-over-year improvement in equity in net income from unconsolidated investments of \$6.6 million, resulting mainly from improved performance in our refinery catalysts joint venture FCC SA due to favorable sales performance year-over-year as well as favorable results from Nippon Ketjen.

Fine Chemistry

Fine Chemistry segment net sales for the year ended December 31, 2011 were \$750.2 million, an increase of 32% versus the year ended December 31, 2010. This increase was primarily attributable to higher volumes (particularly in our custom services, agricultural intermediates and performance chemicals businesses) contributing an 18% increase resulting mainly from improved customer demand versus the corresponding period of 2010. These favorable volume impacts on the segment's net sales were net of \$9.6 million in unfavorable impact from the July 30, 2010 divestiture of our Teesport, UK site. Pricing was also favorable 12% year-over-year for the segment (mainly in performance chemicals) while foreign currency impacts were also favorable 2%. Segment income for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$139.6 million, nearly double the corresponding period in 2010. These improved results were due mainly to higher sales pricing and volumes in the segment mentioned above as well as favorable foreign currency impacts, offset in part by higher variable input costs (mainly raw materials) and higher manufacturing and SG&A/R&D spending. Also, Fine Chemistry segment income results were unfavorably impacted by higher charges from net income attributable to noncontrolling interests of \$10.9 million (mainly from improved bromine portfolio performance in our JBC joint venture).

Corporate and Other

For the year ended December 31, 2011, our Corporate and other expense was \$97.5 million versus \$73.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. This increase was primarily due to higher employee-related costs, including performance based compensation (reflected mainly in SG&A expenses) and pension costs as well as unfavorable impacts from foreign currency.

Comparison of 2010 to 2009*Net Sales*

For the year ended December 31, 2010, we reported net sales of \$2.36 billion, which was an 18% increase compared to net sales of \$2.01 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009. This increase was due primarily to an increase in volumes in all segments which benefited from the global economic recovery as well as favorable price/mix, partly offset by unfavorable foreign exchange impacts (mainly the weaker Euro). Volumes and price/mix had a positive impact on sales of 17% and 2% respectively, partly offset by unfavorable foreign exchange impacts of 1%.

Polymer Solutions net sales increased \$206.5 million, or 30%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 versus the year ended December 31, 2009. This increase was mainly due to the impact of higher volumes of 25% and favorable pricing/mix of 6%, offset in part by unfavorable foreign exchange of 1%. Catalysts net sales for the year 2010 increased \$81.9 million, or 10%, compared to 2009 due mainly to higher volumes. Fine Chemistry net sales increased \$68.9 million, or 14%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to last year mainly due to the impact of higher volumes of 17%, partly offset by unfavorable price/mix impacts of 2% and unfavorable foreign exchange impacts of 1%. For a detailed discussion of revenues and segment income for each segment see Segment Information Overview below.

Gross Profit

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

For the year ended December 31, 2010, our gross profit increased \$262.1 million, or 54%, from the corresponding 2009 period, due mainly to sales volume improvements, favorable production rate impacts on cost in our bromine franchise and improved realization of metals costs in HPC refinery catalysts. We operated our manufacturing facilities at higher rates in 2010 than prior year to meet current sales demands, contributing to favorable profit effects from higher fixed cost absorption with only minor offsetting increases in manufacturing spending due to the increased production levels. These key factors contributed to overall improvement in our gross profit margin for the year ended December 31, 2010 to 31.6%, up from 24.1% for the year 2009.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

For the year ended December 31, 2010, our SG&A expenses increased \$53.1 million, or 25%, from the year ended December 31, 2009. This increase was primarily due to higher employee related expenses during 2010 versus the corresponding period in 2009.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

Also, SG&A expenses for 2009 included adjustments of \$7.0 million associated with the reversal of certain long-term employee benefit accruals. As a percentage of net sales, SG&A expenses were 11.2% for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to 10.6% for the corresponding period in 2009.

Research and Development Expenses

For the year ended December 31, 2010, our R&D expenses decreased \$2.5 million, or 4%, from the year ended December 31, 2009. This decrease was primarily due to reductions in discretionary spending partly offset with continuing investment in the technologies supporting our growth programs across our segments. As a percentage of net sales, R&D expenses were 2.5% for the year ended December 31, 2010 in comparison to 3.0% for the corresponding period in 2009.

Restructuring and Other Charges

The year ended December 31, 2010 included charges amounting to \$7.0 million (\$4.6 million after income taxes) for restructuring costs related principally to reductions in force at our Bergheim, Germany site. The year ended December 31, 2009 includes \$11.6 million in pre-tax charges (\$7.6 million after income taxes) for restructuring and other costs related principally to reductions in force and to the write-off of certain assets at our Arkansas facility. The programs associated with these charges have and will continue to yield favorable impacts in our reported operating costs in future reporting periods. We have and will continue to fund the majority of the obligations associated with these programs with cash flow generated from operating activities.

Port de Bouc Facility Disposition Charges

The year ended December 31, 2009 included charges amounting to \$12.4 million (\$8.2 million after income taxes) that related to the costs of a final contract settlement arising from the 2008 divestiture of the Port de Bouc, France facility. Monetary obligations associated with these charges were substantially settled in 2009.

Interest and Financing Expenses

Interest and financing expenses for the year ended December 31, 2010 were \$25.5 million, comparable with the corresponding 2009 period amount of \$24.6 million.

Other Income (Expenses), Net

Other income (expenses), net for the year ended December 31, 2010 was favorable \$4.2 million compared to 2009 due primarily to higher net foreign currency exchange gains and other miscellaneous items in the current year, offset in part by lower interest income.

Income Tax Expense (Benefit)

The effective income tax rate for 2010 was 23.6% compared to (4.4)% for 2009. The Company's effective income tax rate fluctuates based on, among other factors, our level and location of income. The 2009 period was impacted by \$22.8 million in tax benefits comprised mainly from the settlement of the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, tax audits for the tax years 2005 through 2007.

The significant differences between the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate on pretax income and the effective income tax rate for 2010 and 2009, respectively, are as follows:

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

| | % of Income Before Income Taxes | |
|---|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Federal statutory rate | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| State taxes, net of federal tax benefit | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Change in valuation allowance | (0.4) | (0.9) |
| Impact of foreign earnings, net | (9.4) | (22.6) |
| Effect of net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | | (0.8) |
| Effect of completing domestic audits | | 5.7 |
| Depletion | (1.0) | (1.7) |
| Revaluation of unrecognized tax benefits/reserve requirements | 0.1 | (19.7) |
| Manufacturing tax deduction | (1.6) | |
| Other items, net | (0.4) | (0.5) |
| Effective income tax rate | 23.6% | (4.4)% |

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

Equity in Net Income of Unconsolidated Investments

Equity in net income of unconsolidated investments was \$38.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$22.3 million in 2009. This increase of \$15.7 million was due primarily to higher overall equity earnings from our various Catalysts segment joint ventures, particularly in our Nippon Ketjen joint venture due mainly to favorable material input costs in the current year, our FCC SA joint venture due to lower variable input costs compared to the prior year, and the inclusion of the equity results of our Stannica LLC joint venture (which we deconsolidated on January 1, 2010). We also had higher equity earnings from our Magnifin joint venture in our Polymer Solutions segment due to increased demand in the automotive sector.

Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests

For the year ended December 31, 2010, net income attributable to noncontrolling interests was \$13.6 million compared to \$11.3 million in 2009. This increase was due primarily to \$5.6 million in higher noncontrolling interest earnings of JBC as a result of improvements in bromine franchise sales volumes, offset in part by the impacts of the deconsolidation of our Stannica LLC joint venture, which reported \$3.7 million in net income attributable to noncontrolling interests for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Net Income Attributable to Albemarle Corporation

Net income attributable to Albemarle Corporation increased to \$323.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$178.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 primarily due to sales and production volume increases, favorable fixed cost absorption across our businesses, favorable metals cost realization in our HPC refinery catalysts business, favorable equity in net income of our unconsolidated investments, lower special items, lower R&D spending and favorable other income (expense), net. These favorable impacts were partially offset by higher SG&A costs, higher income taxes, higher net income attributable to noncontrolling interests and higher interest and financing expenses.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries*

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | Percentage Change 2010 vs. 2009 |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | 2010 | % of net sales | 2009 | % of net sales | |
| (In thousands, except percentages) | | | | | |
| Net sales: | | | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | \$ 903,745 | 38.2% | \$ 697,206 | 34.8% | 30% |
| Catalysts | 890,007 | 37.7% | 808,063 | 40.3% | 10% |
| Fine Chemistry | 569,012 | 24.1% | 500,125 | 24.9% | 14% |
| Total net sales | \$ 2,362,764 | 100.0% | \$ 2,005,394 | 100.0% | 18% |
| Segment operating profit: | | | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | \$ 195,681 | 21.7% | \$ 63,780 | 9.1% | 207% |
| Catalysts | 219,125 | 24.6% | 129,691 | 16.0% | 69% |
| Fine Chemistry | 79,505 | 14.0% | 52,589 | 10.5% | 51% |
| Subtotal | 494,311 | | 246,060 | | 101% |
| Equity in net income of unconsolidated investments: | | | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | 8,734 | | 3,496 | | 150% |
| Catalysts | 29,648 | | 18,934 | | 57% |
| Fine Chemistry | | | | | % |
| Corporate & other | (407) | | (108) | | 277% |
| Total equity in net income of unconsolidated investments | 37,975 | | 22,322 | | 70% |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests: | | | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | (6,154) | | (5,886) | | 5% |
| Catalysts | | | | | % |
| Fine Chemistry | (7,357) | | (5,471) | | 34% |
| Corporate & other | (128) | | 102 | | 225% |
| Total net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (13,639) | | (11,255) | | 21% |
| Segment income: | | | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | 198,261 | 21.9% | 61,390 | 8.8% | 223% |
| Catalysts | 248,773 | 28.0% | 148,625 | 18.4% | 67% |
| Fine Chemistry | 72,148 | 12.7% | 47,118 | 9.4% | 53% |
| Total segment income | 519,182 | | 257,133 | | 102% |
| Corporate & Other | (73,040) | | (35,750) | | 104% |
| Restructuring and other charges | (6,958) | | (11,643) | | (40)% |

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Port de Bouc facility disposition charges | | (12,393) | * |
| Interest and financing expenses | (25,533) | (24,584) | 4% |
| Other income (expenses), net | 2,788 | (1,423) | 296% |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (92,719) | 7,028 | * |
| Net income attributable to Albemarle Corporation | \$ 323,720 | \$ 178,368 | 81% |

* Percentage calculation is not meaningful.

Our segment information includes measures we refer to as segment operating profit and segment income which are financial measures that are not required by, or presented in accordance with GAAP. The Company has reported segment operating profit and segment income because management believes that these financial measures provide transparency to investors and enable period-to-period comparability of financial performance. Segment operating profit and segment income should not be considered as an alternative to operating profit or net income attributable to Albemarle Corporation, respectively, as determined in accordance with GAAP.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries*

See below for a reconciliation of segment operating profit and segment income, the non-GAAP financial measures, to operating profit and net income attributable to Albemarle Corporation, respectively, the most directly comparable financial measures calculated and reported in accordance with GAAP.

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Total segment operating profit | \$ 494,311 | \$ 246,060 |
| Add (less): | | |
| Corporate & other ^(a) | (72,505) | (35,744) |
| Restructuring and other charges | (6,958) | (11,643) |
| Port de Bouc facility disposition charges | | (12,393) |
| GAAP Operating profit | \$ 414,848 | \$ 186,280 |
| Total segment income | \$ 519,182 | \$ 257,133 |
| Add (less): | | |
| Corporate & other | (73,040) | (35,750) |
| Restructuring and other charges | (6,958) | (11,643) |
| Port de Bouc facility disposition charges | | (12,393) |
| Interest and financing expenses | (25,533) | (24,584) |
| Other income (expenses) , net | 2,788 | (1,423) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (92,719) | 7,028 |
| GAAP Net income attributable to Albemarle Corporation | \$ 323,720 | \$ 178,368 |

(a) Excludes corporate equity income and noncontrolling interest adjustments of \$(535) and \$(6) for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Polymer Solutions

Polymer Solutions segment net sales for the year ended December 31, 2010 were \$903.7 million, up \$206.5 million, or 30%, in comparison to the year ended December 31, 2009. This increase was mainly due to the impact of higher volumes of 25% (net of \$42.9 million in unfavorable net sales impact from the January 1, 2010 Stannica LLC deconsolidation) and favorable price/mix impacts of 6%, offset in part by unfavorable foreign exchange impacts of 1% (mainly the weaker Euro). The increase in volumes, directly resulting from improved economic conditions over 2009, was primarily in our fire safety portfolio in the consumer electronics, automotive and construction sectors, while our stabilizers and curatives product lines also showed higher volumes in antioxidants and curatives. Segment income for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$198.3 million versus \$61.4 million for 2009. The year-over-year improvement in operating performance was due mainly to the sales volume and price/mix improvements noted above, as well as higher production volumes which contributed to favorable fixed cost absorption. Also, Polymer Solutions segment income for 2010 benefited from higher equity in net income of \$5.2 million from its unconsolidated investment Magnifin due to increased demand in the automotive sector compared to the prior year, as well as the favorable impact of the January 1, 2010 deconsolidation of Stannica LLC (which reported \$3.7 million in net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Polymer Solutions segment results during the year ended December 31, 2009). These favorable items were partially offset by \$4.0 million in higher net income

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

attributable to noncontrolling interests resulting from improved performance in our JBC joint venture.

Catalysts

Catalysts segment net sales for the year ended December 31, 2010 were \$890.0 million, an increase of \$81.9 million, or 10%, versus the year ended December 31, 2009. This increase was due mainly to higher volumes in refinery catalysts, particularly FCC refinery catalysts (due to higher refinery operating rates) and alternative fuels, as well as an increase in volumes in performance catalyst solutions arising from stronger customer demand over the challenged 2009 year. Catalysts segment income increased 67%, or \$100.1 million, to \$248.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the year ended December 31, 2009. This increase was mainly in our HPC business due mainly to improved realization of metals cost pass-through impacts year-over-year, as well as favorable sales

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

and production volumes in FCC refinery catalysts and alternative fuels, and favorable volumes in performance catalyst solutions. Catalysts segment income for the year ended December 31, 2010 also benefited \$10.7 million from higher equity in net income from unconsolidated joint ventures, particularly in Nippon Ketjen due mainly to favorable material input costs versus the prior year, our FCC SA joint venture due to lower variable input costs and favorable equity results from our Stannica LLC joint venture investment (which we deconsolidated on January 1, 2010 and whose results were previously reported in the Polymer Solutions segment in prior years). These favorable impacts on segment income for Catalysts were offset in part by higher SG&A/R&D spending of \$10.5 million compared to the corresponding period in 2009.

Fine Chemistry

Fine Chemistry segment net sales for the year ended December 31, 2010 were \$569.0 million, an increase of \$68.9 million, or 14%, versus the year ended December 31, 2009, with higher volumes mainly in performance chemicals (resulting from overall improved customer demand) contributing 17% of the increase. These favorable volume impacts (net of \$7.2 million in unfavorable sales impacts from the July 30, 2010 divestiture of our Teesport, UK site) were partly offset by unfavorable price/mix impacts of 2% and unfavorable foreign exchange impacts of 1% (mainly the weaker Euro). Fine Chemistry segment income for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$72.1 million, up \$25.0 million, or 53%, from 2009. These improved results were due to higher sales and production volumes in the segment, mainly in performance chemicals, offset in part by higher SG&A/R&D spending of \$6.4 million and \$1.9 million in higher net income in noncontrolling interests resulting mainly from improved performance in our JBC joint venture.

Corporate and Other

For the year ended December 31, 2010, our Corporate and other expense was \$73.0 million versus \$35.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. This increase was primarily due to higher employee related costs. Also, the year ended December 31, 2009 included adjustments of \$7.8 million associated with the reversal of certain long-term employee benefit accruals, reflected mainly in SG&A expenses.

Summary of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Estimates, Assumptions and Reclassifications

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., or U.S. GAAP, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Listed below are the estimates and assumptions that we consider to be critical in the preparation of our financial statements.

Certain amounts in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes thereto have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Recovery of Long-Lived Assets. We evaluate the recovery of our long-lived assets on a reporting unit basis by periodically analyzing our operating results and considering significant events or changes in the business environment.

Income Taxes. We assume the deductibility of certain costs in our income tax filings and estimate the future recovery of deferred tax assets.

Environmental Remediation Liabilities. We estimate and accrue the costs required to remediate a specific site depending on site-specific facts and circumstances. Cost estimates to remediate each specific site are developed by assessing (i) the scope of our contribution to the environmental matter, (ii) the scope of the anticipated remediation and monitoring plan, and (iii) the extent of other parties' share of responsibility.

Actual results could differ materially from the estimates and assumptions that we use in the preparation of our financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize sales when the revenue is realized or realizable, and has been earned, in accordance with authoritative accounting guidance. We recognize net sales as risk and title to the product transfer to the customer, which usually occurs at the time shipment is made. Significant portions of our sales are sold free on board (FOB) shipping point or on an equivalent basis, and other transactions are based upon specific contractual arrangements. Our standard terms of delivery are generally included in our contracts of sale, order confirmation documents and invoices. We recognize revenue from services when performance of the services has been completed. We have a limited amount of consignment sales that are billed to the customer upon monthly notification of amounts used by the customers under these contracts. Where the Company incurs pre-production design and development costs under long-term supply

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

contracts, these costs are expensed where they relate to the products sold unless contractual guarantees for reimbursement exist. Conversely, these costs are capitalized if they pertain to equipment that we will own and use in producing the products to be supplied and expect to utilize for future revenue generating activities.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

We account for goodwill and other intangibles acquired in a business combination in conformity with current accounting guidance which requires goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets to not be amortized.

We test goodwill for impairment by comparing the estimated fair value of our reporting units to the related carrying value. We measure the fair value based on present value techniques involving future cash flows. Future cash flows include assumptions for sales volumes, selling prices, raw material prices, labor and other employee benefit costs, capital additions and other economic or market related factors. Significant management judgment is involved in estimating these variables and they include inherent uncertainties since they are forecasting future events. We use a Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) approach to determine our discount rate for goodwill recoverability testing. Our WACC calculation incorporates industry-weighted average returns on debt and equity from a market perspective. The factors in this calculation are largely external to our company and, therefore, are beyond our control. We test our recorded goodwill balances for impairment in the fourth quarter of each year or upon the occurrence of events or changes in circumstances that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of our reporting units below their carrying amounts.

Definite-lived intangible assets, such as purchased technology, patents, customer lists and trade names, are amortized over their estimated useful lives generally for periods ranging from three to fifty years. We continually evaluate the reasonableness of the useful lives of these assets and test for impairment in accordance with current accounting guidance. See Note 10, *Goodwill and Other Intangibles* to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 beginning on page 51.

Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits

Under authoritative accounting standards, assumptions are made regarding the valuation of benefit obligations and the performance of plan assets. As required, we recognize a balance sheet asset or liability for each of the pension or postretirement benefit plans equal to the plan's funded status as of the measurement date. The primary assumptions are as follows:

Discount Rate The discount rate is used in calculating the present value of benefits, which is based on projections of benefit payments to be made in the future.

Expected Return on Plan Assets We project the future return on plan assets based on prior performance and future expectations for the types of investments held by the plans as well as the expected long-term allocation of plan assets for these investments. These projected returns reduce the net benefit costs recorded currently.

Rate of Compensation Increase For salary-related plans, we project employees' annual pay increases, which are used to project employees' pension benefits at retirement.

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

Rate of Increase in the Per Capita Cost of Covered Health Care Benefits We project the expected increases in the cost of covered health care benefits.

During 2011, we made changes to the assumptions related to the discount rate, the per capita cost of covered healthcare benefits pre-65 premium cap, and the related healthcare trend rates. We consider available information that we deem relevant when selecting each of these assumptions.

In selecting the discount rates for the U.S. plans, we establish a range of reasonable rates based on methods developed by subject matter experts that reflect current market conditions. For 2011, we relied on methods developed by Citigroup, AonHewitt, and Milliman to establish a range of acceptable discount rates based on authoritative accounting guidance. These methods calculate discount rates based on high-quality bond data and the projected plan cash flows. We believe our selected discount rates accurately reflect market conditions as of the December 31, 2011 measurement date.

In selecting the discount rates for the foreign plans, we relied on AonHewitt methods, including the AonHewitt Top-Quartile and a yield curve derived from fixed-income security yields. The yield curve is generally based on a universe containing Aa-graded corporate bonds in the Euro zone without special features or options, which could affect the duration. In some countries, the yield curve is based on local government bond rates with a premium added to reflect corporate bond risk. Payments we expect to be made from our retirement plans are applied to the resulting yield curve. For each plan, the discount rate was developed as the level equivalent rate that would produce the same present value as that using spot rates aligned with the projected benefit payments.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries*

At December 31, 2011, the weighted-average discount rate was reduced for the pension plans from 5.40% to 5.04% and for the other postretirement plans from 5.30% to 5.10% to reflect market conditions as of the December 31, 2011 measurement date.

In estimating the expected return on plan assets, we consider past performance and future expectations for the types of investments held by the plan as well as the expected long-term allocation of plan assets to these investments. For the years 2011 and 2010, the weighted-average expected rate of return on domestic pension plan assets was 8.25%. There has been no change to the assumed rate of return on U.S. pension plan assets effective January 1, 2012. Also, there was no change in the weighted-average expected 7.0% return on other postretirement benefit plan assets. Our U.S. defined benefit plan for non-represented employees was closed to new participants effective March 31, 2004. We adopted a defined contribution pension plan for U.S. employees hired after March 31, 2004.

In projecting the rate of compensation increase, we consider past experience in light of movements in inflation rates. At December 31, 2011, the assumed weighted-average rate of compensation increase changed to 3.96% from 3.93% for the pension plans. The assumed weighted-average rate of compensation increase remained unchanged at 4.0% for the other postretirement plans at December 31, 2011.

In selecting the rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered health care benefits, we consider past performance and forecasts of future health care cost trends in relation to the employer-paid premium cap. At December 31, 2011, the previously assumed ultimate rate of increase in the pre-65 and post-65 per capita cost of covered health care benefits for U.S. retirees was reduced to zero as the employer-paid premium cap is expected to meet the cap starting January 1, 2013. For 2012, the assumed trend rate for pre-65 coverage is 8.0% per year, ultimately decreasing to zero in the year 2013 due to the employer-paid premium cap. The 2012 assumed trend rate for post-65 coverage is expected to be zero due to the employer-paid premium cap.

A 1% increase or decrease in the U.S. health care cost trend rate would not have a material effect on the benefit obligation and service and interest benefit cost components.

A variance in the assumptions discussed above would have an impact on the projected benefit obligations, the accrued other postretirement benefit liabilities, and the annual net periodic pension and other postretirement benefit cost. The following table reflects the sensitivities associated with a hypothetical change in certain assumptions, primarily in the U.S. (in thousands):

| | (Favorable) Unfavorable | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 1% Increase | | 1% Decrease | |
| | Increase (Decrease) in Benefit Obligation | Increase (Decrease) in Benefit Cost | Increase (Decrease) in Benefit Obligation | Increase (Decrease) in Benefit Cost |
| Actuarial Assumptions | | | | |
| Discount Rate: | | | | |
| Pension | \$ (71,816) | \$ (13,651) | \$ 87,516 | \$ 13,826 |
| Other postretirement benefits | \$ (6,900) | \$ (1,400) | \$ 6,800 | \$ 1,600 |
| Expected return on plan assets: | | | | |
| Pension | * | \$ (6,129) | * | \$ 6,129 |
| Other postretirement benefits | * | \$ (71) | * | \$ 71 |
| Rate of increase (decrease) in per capital cost of covered health care benefits | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

* Not applicable.

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

Of the \$538.8 million total pension and postretirement assets at December 31, 2011, \$73.0 million, or 13.6%, are measured using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). Gains (losses) attributable to these assets are recognized in the consolidated balance sheets as either an increase or decrease in plan assets. See Note 17, Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 beginning on page 51.

Income Taxes

We use the liability method for determining our income taxes, under which current and deferred tax liabilities and assets are recorded in accordance with enacted tax laws and rates. Under this method, the amounts of deferred tax liabilities and assets at the end of each period are determined using the tax rate expected to be in effect when taxes are actually paid or recovered. Future tax benefits are recognized to the extent that realization of such benefits is more likely than not.

Deferred income taxes are provided for the estimated income tax effect of temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax basis of existing assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets are also provided for operating losses, capital

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

losses and certain tax credit carryovers. A valuation allowance, reducing deferred tax assets, is established when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The realization of such deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of sufficient future taxable income of the appropriate character. Although realization is not assured, we do not establish a valuation allowance when we believe it is more likely than not that a net deferred tax asset will be realized.

We only recognize a tax benefit after concluding that it is more likely than not that the benefit will be sustained upon audit by the respective taxing authority based solely on the technical merits of the associated tax position. Once the recognition threshold is met, we recognize a tax benefit measured as the largest amount of the tax benefit that, in our judgment, is greater than 50% likely to be realized. Interest and penalties related to income tax liabilities are included in income tax expense.

We are subject to income taxes in the U.S. and numerous foreign jurisdictions. We are no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax examinations by tax authorities for years prior to 2008 since the IRS has completed a review of our income tax returns through 2007, or for any U.S. state income tax audit prior to 2002. With respect to jurisdictions outside the U.S., we are no longer subject to income tax audits for years prior to 2006. During 2011, we completed tax audits for one of our Belgian companies for 2008 and 2009, our Japanese company for 2006 through 2010, and two of our Chinese companies through 2010. During 2010, we completed a tax audit for one of our Belgian companies for the 2007 tax year. No significant tax was assessed as a result of these audits. We were informed in 2011 that German tax authorities would commence an audit of one of our German companies for 2006 through 2009, and Chinese tax authorities would commence an audit of one of our Chinese companies for 2006 through 2010.

While we believe we have adequately provided for all tax positions, amounts asserted by taxing authorities could be greater than our accrued position. Accordingly, additional provisions on federal and foreign tax-related matters could be recorded in the future as revised estimates are made or the underlying matters are settled or otherwise resolved.

Since the timing of resolutions and/or closure of tax audits are uncertain, it is difficult to predict with certainty the range of reasonably possible significant increases or decreases in the liability related to uncertain tax positions that may occur within the next twelve months. Our current view is that it is reasonably possible that we could record a decrease in the liability related to uncertain tax positions, relating to a number of issues, up to approximately \$5.9 million as a result of closure of tax statutes.

We have designated the undistributed earnings of substantially all of our foreign operations as permanently reinvested and as a result we do not provide for deferred income taxes on the unremitted earnings of these subsidiaries. Our foreign earnings are computed under U.S. federal tax earnings and profits, or E&P, principles. In general, to the extent our financial reporting book basis over tax basis of a foreign subsidiary exceeds these E&P amounts, deferred taxes have not been provided, as they are essentially permanent in duration. The determination of the amount of such unrecognized deferred tax liability is not practicable. We provide for deferred income taxes on our undistributed earnings of foreign operations that are not deemed to be permanently reinvested.

Stock-based Compensation Expense

The fair value of restricted stock awards and performance unit awards is determined based on the number of shares or units granted and the quoted price of our common stock at grant date, and the fair value of stock options is determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The fair value of these awards is determined after giving effect to estimated forfeitures. Such value is recognized as expense over the service period, which is generally the vesting period of the equity grant. To the extent restricted stock awards, performance unit awards and stock options are forfeited prior to vesting in excess of the estimated forfeiture rate, the corresponding previously recognized expense is reversed as an offset to operating expenses.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002, or SOX 404, requires that we make an assertion as to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filings. Our independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, provides its assessment of our effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. In order to make our assertion, we are required to identify material financial and operational processes, document internal controls supporting the financial reporting process and evaluate the design and effectiveness of these controls. See Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting in Item 8.

We have a dedicated SOX 404 team to facilitate ongoing internal control testing, provide direction to the business groups and corporate staff in their control processes and assist in the overall assessment of internal control over financial reporting. Status and updates are provided to executive management and our Audit Committee of our Board of Directors on an ongoing basis. We also retain accounting firms other than our independent registered public accounting firm to assist us in our compliance with SOX 404.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

Our SOX 404 effort involves many of our employees around the world, including participation by our business and functional groups. We view our ongoing evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting as more than a regulatory exercise it provides us an opportunity to continually assess our financial control environment and make us a more effective company.

Financial Condition and Liquidity

Overview

The principal uses of cash in our business generally have been investment in our assets, funding working capital and repayment of debt. Additionally, we have made total contributions to our defined benefit pension and postretirement plans of approximately \$60 million, \$80 million and \$40 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We also periodically repurchase shares of our common stock on an opportunistic basis, including \$178.1 million in share repurchases during 2011.

We are continuing our program to improve working capital efficiency and working capital metrics particularly in the areas of accounts receivable and inventory. We expect the combination of our current cash balances and availability under our September 2011 credit agreement, which is discussed below, to remain sufficient to fund working capital requirements for the foreseeable future.

Cash Flow

Our cash and cash equivalents were \$469.4 million at December 31, 2011 as compared to our ending balance at December 31, 2010 of \$529.7 million. Our cash flows from operations provided \$487.4 million, \$331.3 million and \$358.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The increase in cash flows from operations in 2011 versus 2010 was primarily due to an increase in the profitability of our businesses, favorable impacts from changes in working capital (mainly accounts receivable in connection with our ongoing working capital management initiatives) and lower pension contributions, offset in part by lower deferred income taxes. The decrease of \$27.2 million in operating cash flows for 2010 compared to 2009 was due primarily to an increase in working capital (mainly inventories and accounts receivable in connection with the global economic recovery) and pension contributions, offset in part by the strong increase in profitability of our businesses.

In 2011, our operating cash flows funded capital expenditures for plant machinery and equipment of \$190.6 million, repurchases of common stock of \$178.1 million, dividends to shareholders of \$57.8 million, and \$109.6 million in long-term debt repayments during the year. In September 2011, we amended and restated our previous \$675.0 million credit facility. See Long-Term Debt below.

Our cash flows from operations in 2010 were used mainly for funding capital expenditures for plant machinery and equipment of \$75.5 million, dividends to shareholders of \$49.6 million, repurchases of common stock of \$14.9 million and normal debt repayments during the year. Additionally, our cash balances in 2010 were unfavorably impacted \$12.6 million as a result of the January 1, 2010 deconsolidation of our Stannica LLC joint venture. Further, we raised approximately \$473 million in borrowings in 2010, including \$346.9 million in net proceeds from our 4.5% senior notes offering in December 2010.

For the year 2009, our cash flows from operating activities funded capital expenditures of \$100.8 million for plant machinery and equipment, \$120.0 million in net repayments of debt, \$44.4 million in dividends to shareholders and \$16.4 million in obligations arising from the 2008 Port de Bouc facility divestiture.

Net current assets decreased \$29.6 million to \$954.4 million at December 31, 2011 from \$984.0 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in net current assets was due primarily to a decrease in cash and cash equivalents and an increase in accrued expenses, partly offset mainly by increases in inventories and accounts receivable.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

Capital expenditures were \$190.6 million, \$75.5 million and \$100.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and were incurred mainly for plant machinery and equipment. We expect our capital expenditures to approach \$300 million in 2012 for capacity increases, cost reduction, and continuity of operations projects.

While we continue to closely monitor our cash generation, working capital management and capital spending in light of weakness in the global economy, we are optimistic that as we enter into 2012 we will have the financial flexibility and capability to opportunistically fund future growth initiatives. Additionally, we anticipate that future capital spending should be financed primarily with cash flow provided from operations with additional cash needed, if any, provided by borrowings, including borrowings under our September 2011 credit agreement. The amount and timing of any additional borrowings will depend on our specific cash requirements.

At December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, our cash and cash equivalents were comprised of approximately \$420.4 million and \$337.8 million, respectively, held by our foreign subsidiaries. The majority of these foreign cash balances are associated with earnings that we have asserted are permanently reinvested and which we plan to use to support our continued growth plans outside the U.S. through funding of capital expenditures, acquisitions, research, operating expenses or other similar cash needs of our foreign operations. From time to time, we repatriate cash from our foreign subsidiaries to the U.S. for normal operating needs through intercompany dividends, but only from subsidiaries whose earnings we have not asserted to be permanently reinvested or whose earnings qualify as previously taxed income as defined by the Internal Revenue Code. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 we repatriated approximately \$98.5 million, \$14.5 million and \$5.6 million in cash, respectively, as part of these foreign cash repatriation activities.

Long-Term Debt

We currently have outstanding \$325.0 million of 5.10% senior notes due in 2015 and \$350.0 million of 4.50% senior notes due in 2020, or the senior notes. The senior notes are senior unsecured obligations and rank equally with all of our other senior unsecured indebtedness from time to time outstanding. The senior notes will be effectively subordinated to any of our future secured indebtedness and to the existing and future indebtedness of our subsidiaries. We may redeem the senior notes before their maturity, in whole at any time or in part from time to time, at a redemption price equal to the greater of (i) 100% of the principal amount of the senior notes to be redeemed or (ii) the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest thereon (exclusive of interest accrued to the date of redemption) discounted to the redemption date on a semi-annual basis using the Treasury Rate (as defined in the indenture governing the senior notes) plus 15 basis points for the senior notes maturing in 2015 and 25 basis points for the senior notes maturing in 2020, plus, in each case, accrued interest thereon to the date of redemption. However, the 2020 senior notes are redeemable as a whole or in part, at our option, at any time on or after three months prior to the maturity date, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the senior notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest on the senior notes to be redeemed to the date of redemption. Holders of the 2020 senior notes may require us to purchase such notes at 101% upon a Change of Control Triggering Event, as defined in the related indenture agreement.

The principal amounts of the senior notes becomes immediately due and payable upon the occurrence of certain bankruptcy or insolvency events involving us or certain of our subsidiaries and may be declared immediately due and payable by the trustee or the holders of not less than 25% of the senior notes upon the occurrence of an event of default. Events of default include, among other things: failure to pay principal or interest at required times; failure to perform or remedy a breach of covenants within prescribed periods; an event of default on any of our other indebtedness or certain indebtedness of our subsidiaries of \$40.0 million or more that is caused by a failure to make a payment when due or that results in the acceleration of that indebtedness before its maturity; and certain bankruptcy or insolvency events involving us or certain of our subsidiaries. We believe that as of December 31, 2011, we were, and currently are, in compliance with all of the covenants of the indentures governing the senior notes.

In September 2011, we amended and restated our previous \$675.0 million credit facility. The amended and restated five-year, revolving, unsecured credit facility matures on September 22, 2016 and (i) increased the borrowing capacity to \$750.0 million from \$675.0 million; (ii) provides for an additional \$250.0 million in credit, if needed, subject to the terms of the agreement; (iii) provides for the ability to extend the maturity date under certain conditions; (iv) eliminated the covenant that required a minimum level of consolidated tangible domestic assets; and (v) increased the interest rate spread and commitment fees applicable to the Company's borrowings under the credit facility. Fees and expenses of

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

\$2.7 million were incurred and paid in connection with this new agreement. Borrowings bear interest at variable rates based on the LIBOR for deposits in the relevant currency plus an applicable margin which ranges from 0.900% to 1.400%, depending on the Company's credit rating applicable from time to time. The applicable margin on the facility was 0.975% as of December 31, 2011. We had no borrowings outstanding under the September 2011 credit agreement as of December 31, 2011.

Borrowings under the September 2011 credit agreement are conditioned upon compliance with the following covenants: (i) consolidated funded debt, as defined in the agreement, must be less than or equal to 3.50 times consolidated EBITDA, as defined in

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries*

the agreement, (which reflects adjustments for certain non-recurring or unusual items such as restructuring charges, facility divestiture charges and other significant non-recurring items), or herein consolidated adjusted EBITDA, as of the end of any fiscal quarter; (ii) with the exception of liens specified in our new credit facility, liens may not attach to assets when the aggregate amount of all indebtedness secured by such liens plus unsecured subsidiary indebtedness, other than indebtedness incurred by our subsidiaries under the new credit facility, would exceed 20% of consolidated net worth, as defined in the agreement; and (iii) with the exception of indebtedness specified in our new credit facility, subsidiary indebtedness may not exceed the difference between 20% of consolidated net worth, as defined in the agreement, and indebtedness secured by liens permitted under the agreement. We believe that as of December 31, 2011, we were, and currently are, in compliance with all of our debt covenants.

We previously maintained a \$675.0 million five-year unsecured revolving senior credit facility, which we referred to as the March 2007 credit agreement. The total spread and fees ranged from 0.32% to 0.675% over the LIBOR applicable to the currency of the borrowing and were based on our credit rating as determined by the major rating agencies. There were no borrowings outstanding under the March 2007 credit agreement at December 31, 2010.

The non-current portion of our long-term debt amounted to \$749.3 million at December 31, 2011, compared to \$851.9 million at December 31, 2010. In addition, at December 31, 2011, we had the ability to borrow \$750.0 million under our September 2011 credit agreement and \$233.8 million under other existing lines of credit, subject to various financial covenants under our September 2011 credit agreement. We have the ability to refinance our borrowings under our other existing credit lines with borrowings under the September 2011 credit agreement, as applicable. Therefore, the amounts outstanding under our other existing credit lines are classified as long-term debt.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In the normal course of business with customers, vendors and others, we have entered into off-balance sheet arrangements, including bank guarantees and letters of credit which totaled approximately \$35.9 million at December 31, 2011. None of these off-balance sheet arrangements either has, or is likely to have, a material effect on our current or future financial condition, results of operations, liquidity or capital resources.

Other Obligations

The following table summarizes our projected contractual obligations for plant construction, purchases of equipment, various take or pay and throughput agreements and obligations under our existing credit agreements based on projected borrowings (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Thereafter |
|---|------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Long-term debt obligations | \$ 12,410 | \$ 12,705 | \$ 6,008 | \$ 327,066 | \$ 50,351 | \$ 356,044 |
| Capital lease obligation | 2,006 | | | | | |
| Expected interest payments on long-term debt obligations* | 36,417 | 35,850 | 35,449 | 21,347 | 17,837 | 62,322 |
| Operating lease obligations (rental) | 8,337 | 5,140 | 3,480 | 2,657 | 2,110 | 10,091 |
| Take or pay / throughput agreements** | 31,736 | 21,435 | 11,857 | 8,971 | 6,080 | 2,084 |
| Letters of credit and guarantees | 23,328 | 7,374 | 43 | 95 | 4 | 5,076 |
| Capital projects | 75,380 | 34,296 | | | | |
| Total | \$ 189,614 | \$ 116,800 | \$ 56,837 | \$ 360,136 | \$ 76,382 | \$ 435,617 |

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

* These amounts are based on interest rates of 5.1% for the 2015 senior notes and 4.5% for the 2020 senior notes. A weighted average interest rate of 5.7% was used for our remaining long-term debt obligations and capital lease for 2012, and for the years 2013 and thereafter the weighted average interest rate used was 4.7%. Interest payments on our variable-rate foreign bank loans are calculated through September 2016 when our credit agreement expires. As of December 31, 2011, we had no borrowings outstanding under the September 2011 credit agreement.

** These amounts primarily relate to contracts entered into with certain third party vendors in the normal course of business to secure raw materials for our production processes. In order to secure materials, sometimes for long durations, these contracts mandate a minimum amount of product to be purchased at predetermined rates over a set timeframe.

Amounts in the table above exclude required employer pension contributions. We believe that the expected 2012 contributions to our domestic and foreign qualified and nonqualified pension plans, including our SERP, should approximate \$17 million. We may choose to make additional pension contributions in excess of this amount. We have made \$56.1 million in contributions to our qualified and nonqualified pension plans during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

The liability related to uncertain tax positions, including interest and penalties, recorded in Other noncurrent liabilities totaled \$30.7 million and \$21.9 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Related assets for corresponding offsetting benefits recorded in Other assets totaled \$21.8 million and \$12.3 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We cannot estimate the amounts of any cash payments during the next twelve months associated with these liabilities and are unable to estimate the timing of any such cash payments in the future at this time.

Liquidity Outlook

We anticipate that cash provided by operating activities and borrowing capacity under our September 2011 credit agreement will be sufficient to pay our operating expenses, satisfy debt service obligations, fund capital expenditures, make pension contributions and make dividend payments for the foreseeable future. In addition, as we have historically done, we will continue to evaluate the merits of any opportunities that may arise for acquisitions of businesses or assets, which may require additional liquidity.

While we maintain business relationships with a diverse group of financial institutions, an adverse change in their credit standing could lead them to not honor their contractual credit commitments, decline funding under existing but uncommitted lines of credit, renew their extensions of credit or provide new financing. While the corporate bond market remains strong, availability of bank debt is more limited than in prior years due to a variety of factors, including tighter bank regulations and more stringent bank capital requirements in the wake of the financial crisis, and most recently, increased concern regarding fallout from the European sovereign debt issues. If bank debt remains relatively less prevalent, we may incur increased borrowing costs and reduced credit capacity as our various credit facilities mature. It is also possible that our ability to access the capital markets may be limited by market or counterparty factors at a time when we would need or desire to do so, which could have an impact on our ability to finance our businesses or react to changing economic and business conditions. In addition, our cash flows from operations may be negatively affected by adverse consequences to our customers and the markets in which we compete as a result of moderating global economic conditions and reduced capital availability.

At December 31, 2011, we had the ability to borrow in excess of \$983.8 million under our September 2011 credit agreement and other existing lines of credit, subject to various financial covenants under our September 2011 credit agreement. With generally strong cash generative businesses and no significant debt maturities before 2015, we believe we have and will maintain a solid liquidity position.

We had cash and cash equivalents totaling \$469.4 million as of December 31, 2011, which represent an important source of our liquidity. Our cash is invested in short-term investments including time deposits and readily marketable securities with relatively short maturities.

Safety and Environmental Matters

We are subject to federal, state, local and foreign requirements regulating the handling, manufacture and use of materials (some of which may be classified as hazardous or toxic by one or more regulatory agencies), the discharge of materials into the environment and the protection of the environment. To our knowledge, we are currently complying and expect to continue to comply in all material respects with applicable environmental laws, regulations, statutes and ordinances. Compliance with existing federal, state, local, and foreign environmental protection laws is not expected to have a material effect on earnings or our competitive position, but the costs associated with increased legal or regulatory requirements could have an adverse effect on our results.

Among other environmental requirements, we are subject to the federal Superfund law, and similar state laws, under which we may be designated as a PRP, and may be liable for a share of the costs associated with cleaning up various hazardous waste sites. Management believes that in cases in which we may have liability as a PRP, our liability for our share of cleanup is de minimis. Further, almost all such sites represent environmental issues that are quite mature and have been investigated, studied and in many cases settled. In de minimis situations, our policy generally is to negotiate a consent decree and to pay any apportioned settlement, enabling us to be effectively relieved of any further liability as a PRP, except for remote contingencies. In other than de minimis PRP matters, our records indicate that unresolved PRP exposures should be immaterial. We accrue and expense our proportionate share of PRP costs. Because management has been actively involved in evaluating environmental matters, we are able to conclude that the outstanding environmental liabilities for unresolved PRP sites should not be material to

our operations.

Our environmental and safety operating costs charged to expense were \$35.4 million, \$34.8 million and \$36.3 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, excluding depreciation of previous capital expenditures, and are expected to be in the same range in the next few years. Costs for remediation have been accrued and payments related to sites are charged against accrued liabilities, which at December 31, 2011 totaled approximately \$12.4 million, a decrease of \$1.4 million from \$13.8 million at December 31, 2010.

We believe that any sum we may be required to pay in connection with environmental remediation and asset retirement obligation matters in excess of the amounts recorded should occur over a period of time and should not have a material adverse effect upon our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows on a consolidated annual basis, although any such sum could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows in a particular quarterly reporting period. See also Item 3. Legal Proceedings on page 22.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries*

Capital expenditures for pollution-abatement and safety projects, including such costs that are included in other projects, were approximately \$16.1 million, \$7.8 million and \$11.4 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In the future, capital expenditures for these types of projects may increase due to more stringent environmental regulatory requirements and our efforts in reaching sustainability goals. Management's estimates of the effects of compliance with governmental pollution-abatement and safety regulations are subject to (i) the possibility of changes in the applicable statutes and regulations or in judicial or administrative construction of such statutes and regulations, and (ii) uncertainty as to whether anticipated solutions to pollution problems will be successful, or whether additional expenditures may prove necessary.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued new accounting guidance relating to separating consideration in multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. Under this guidance, multiple-deliverable arrangements will be accounted for separately (rather than on a combined basis) by selecting the best evidence of selling price among vendor-specific objective evidence, third-party evidence or estimated selling price. This new guidance, effective for fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, new accounting guidance was issued by the FASB that requires additional disclosures about amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and the reasons therefor. Additionally, entities are now required to present information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements separately in the reconciliation of fair value measurements using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs. The amendments also clarified that entities should provide fair value measurement disclosures for each class, or subset, of assets or liabilities within a line item in the statement of financial position, and entities should disclose information about inputs and valuation techniques for Level 2 and Level 3 fair value measurements, whether recurring or nonrecurring. These amendments were effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the reconciliation of fair value measurements using Level 3 inputs, which were effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The provisions of this guidance did not have a have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB, amended its accounting guidance related to the disclosure of pro forma information for business combinations. Under the amended guidance, a public entity that presents comparative financial statements must disclose the revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the prior annual reporting period. The amendments also require public entities to provide a description of the nature and amount of any material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to business combination(s) that are included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. These amendments became effective for us on January 1, 2011 and did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued additional authoritative guidance relating to fair value measurement and disclosure requirements. For fair value measurements categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, the new guidance requires (1) disclosure of quantitative information about unobservable inputs; (2) a description of the valuation processes used by the entity; and (3) a qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of the fair value measurement to changes in unobservable inputs and the interrelationships between those unobservable inputs, if any. Entities must report the level in the fair value hierarchy of assets and liabilities that are not recorded at fair value in the statement of financial position but for which fair value is disclosed. The new requirements clarify that the concepts of *highest and best use* and *valuation premise* only apply to measuring the fair value of nonfinancial assets. The new requirements also specify that in the absence of a Level 1 input, a reporting entity should incorporate a premium or a discount in a fair value measurement if a market participant would take into account such an input in pricing an asset or liability. Additionally, the new guidance introduces an option to measure certain financial assets and financial liabilities with offsetting positions on a net basis if certain criteria are met. For public entities, these new requirements become effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. We are assessing the impact of these new requirements on our financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance which eliminates the current option to present other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in equity. However, under the new guidance, comprehensive income and its components must still be presented under one of two new alternatives. Under the first alternative, the components of other comprehensive income and the components of

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

net income may be presented in one continuous statement referred to as the statement of comprehensive income. Under the second alternative, a statement of other comprehensive income would immediately follow the statement of net income and must be shown with equal prominence as the other primary financial statements. Under either alternative, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. The Company will adopt these new financial statement presentation requirements effective January 1, 2012 with retrospective application to all prior periods presented.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

In September 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance intended to simplify how entities test goodwill for impairment. The new guidance gives entities the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If an entity believes, as a result of its qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the quantitative impairment test under existing accounting guidance is required to be performed. Otherwise, no further testing is required. These new provisions are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. However, early adoption is permitted in certain circumstances. We do not expect this new guidance to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that will require entities to disclose information about instruments (including derivatives) and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position or subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. These new provisions are effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods, and should be applied retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. We are assessing the impact of these new requirements on our financial statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

The primary currencies to which we have foreign currency exchange rate exposure are the European Union Euro, Japanese Yen, British Pound Sterling, Korean Won, Chinese Renminbi and the U.S. Dollar (in certain of our foreign locations). In response to greater fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates in recent periods, we have increased the degree of exposure risk management activities to minimize the potential impact on earnings.

We manage our foreign currency exposures by balancing certain assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies and through the use from time to time of foreign currency forward contracts. The principal objective of such contracts is to minimize the risks and/or costs associated with global operating activities. The counterparties to these contractual agreements are major financial institutions with which we generally have other financial relationships. We are exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by these counterparties. However, we do not anticipate nonperformance by the counterparties. We do not utilize financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes.

The primary method we use to reduce foreign currency exposure is to identify natural hedges, in which the operating activities denominated in respective currencies across various subsidiaries balance in respect to timing and the underlying exposures. In the event a natural hedge is not available, we may employ a forward contract to reduce exposure, generally expiring within one year. While these contracts are subject to fluctuations in value, such fluctuations are generally offset by the value of the underlying exposures being hedged. Gains and losses on foreign currency forward contracts are recognized currently in income but do not have a significant impact on results of operations.

Our financial instruments, which are subject to foreign currency exchange risk, consist of foreign currency forward contracts with an aggregate notional value of \$148.7 million and with a fair value representing a net liability position of \$0.9 million at December 31, 2011. Fluctuations in the value of these contracts are generally offset by the value of the underlying exposures being hedged. We conducted a sensitivity analysis on the fair value of our foreign currency hedge portfolio assuming an instantaneous 10% change in select foreign currency exchange rates from their levels as of December 31, 2011, with all other variables held constant. A 10% appreciation of the U.S. Dollar against foreign currencies that we hedge would result in a decrease of approximately \$4.7 million in the fair value of our foreign currency forward contracts. A 10% depreciation of the U.S. Dollar against foreign currencies would result in an increase of approximately \$2.7 million in the fair value of our foreign currency forward contracts. The sensitivity of the fair value of our foreign currency hedge portfolio represents changes in fair values estimated based on market conditions as of December 31, 2011, without reflecting the effects of underlying anticipated transactions. When those anticipated transactions are realized, actual effects of changing foreign currency exchange rates could have a material impact on our earnings and cash flows in future periods.

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

We are exposed to changes in interest rates that could impact our results of operations and financial condition. We manage global interest rate and foreign exchange exposure as part of our regular operational and financing strategies. We had outstanding variable interest rate borrowings of \$64.3 million and \$149.5 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010 respectively. These borrowings represented 8% and 17% of total outstanding debt and bore average interest rates of 5.15% and 2.25% at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. A hypothetical 10% change (approximately 52 basis points) in the average interest rate applicable to these

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

borrowings would change our annualized interest expense by approximately \$0.3 million as of December 31, 2011. We may enter into interest rate swaps, collars or similar instruments with the objective of reducing interest rate volatility relating to our borrowing costs.

Our raw materials are subject to price volatility caused by weather, supply conditions, political and economic variables and other unpredictable factors. Historically, we have not used futures, options or swap contracts to manage the volatility related to the above exposures. However, the refinery catalysts business has used financing arrangements to provide long-term protection against changes in metals prices. We seek to limit our exposure by entering into long-term contracts when available, and we seek price increase limitations through contracts. These contracts do not have a significant impact on our results of operations.

In addition, certain of our operations use natural gas as a source of energy which can expose our business to market risk when the price of natural gas changes suddenly. In an attempt to mitigate the impact and volatility of price swings in the natural gas market, from time to time we enter into natural gas hedge contracts with one or more major financial institutions for a portion of our 12-month rolling forecast for North American natural gas requirements. Such derivatives are held to secure natural gas at fixed prices and are not entered into for trading purposes. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had no natural gas hedge contracts outstanding.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with management's and our directors' authorizations; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011. In making this assessment, management used the criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting described in the Internal Control-Integrated Framework set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on the assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2011, our internal control over financial reporting was effective to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. The conclusion of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer is based on the recognition that there are inherent limitations in all systems of internal control. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included herein.

/s/ LUTHER C. KISSAM IV

Luther C. Kissam IV
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
(principal executive officer)
February 22, 2012

/s/ SCOTT A. TOZIER

Scott A. Tozier
Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Risk Officer
(principal financial officer)
February 22, 2012

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Albemarle Corporation:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Albemarle Corporation and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
New Orleans, Louisiana
February 22, 2012

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS***(In Thousands)*

| December 31 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 469,416 | \$ 529,650 |
| Trade accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts (2011 \$2,709; 2010 \$2,527) | 355,372 | 340,888 |
| Other accounts receivable | 36,199 | 33,772 |
| Inventories: | | |
| Finished goods | 311,869 | 279,365 |
| Raw materials | 74,809 | 66,645 |
| Stores, supplies and other | 44,817 | 43,200 |
| | 431,495 | 389,210 |
| Other current assets | 63,138 | 54,678 |
| Total current assets | 1,355,620 | 1,348,198 |
| Property, plant and equipment, at cost | 2,619,428 | 2,440,178 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | 1,489,948 | 1,433,865 |
| Net property, plant and equipment | 1,129,480 | 1,006,313 |
| Investments | 198,427 | 180,690 |
| Other assets | 116,871 | 125,878 |
| Goodwill | 273,145 | 272,238 |
| Other intangibles, net of amortization | 130,281 | 134,764 |
| Total assets | \$ 3,203,824 | \$ 3,068,081 |
| Liabilities and Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 184,472 | \$ 175,183 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 14,416 | 8,983 |
| Accrued expenses | 175,257 | 143,684 |
| Dividends payable | 15,237 | 12,547 |
| Income taxes payable | 11,796 | 23,780 |
| Total current liabilities | 401,178 | 364,177 |
| Long-term debt | 749,257 | 851,927 |
| Postretirement benefits | 57,588 | 55,014 |
| Pension benefits | 127,964 | 102,836 |

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|--------|--------------|--------------|
| Other noncurrent liabilities | | 111,107 | 108,811 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 77,903 | 109,570 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 15) | | | |
| Equity: | | | |
| Albemarle Corporation shareholders' equity: | | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value (authorized 150,000 shares), issued and outstanding in 2011 and 91,594 in 2010 | 88,841 | 888 | 916 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 15,194 | 18,835 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | | (222,922) | (164,196) |
| Retained earnings | | 1,798,117 | 1,560,519 |
| Total Albemarle Corporation shareholders' equity | | 1,591,277 | 1,416,074 |
| Noncontrolling interests | | 87,550 | 59,672 |
| Total equity | | 1,678,827 | 1,475,746 |
| Total liabilities and equity | | \$ 3,203,824 | \$ 3,068,081 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME***(In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)*

| Year Ended December 31 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net sales | \$ 2,869,005 | \$ 2,362,764 | \$ 2,005,394 |
| Cost of goods sold | 1,891,946 | 1,616,842 | 1,521,532 |
| Gross profit | 977,059 | 745,922 | 483,862 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 312,136 | 265,722 | 212,628 |
| Research and development expenses | 77,083 | 58,394 | 60,918 |
| Restructuring and other charges | | 6,958 | 11,643 |
| Port de Bouc facility disposition charges | | | 12,393 |
| Operating profit | 587,840 | 414,848 | 186,280 |
| Interest and financing expenses | (37,574) | (25,533) | (24,584) |
| Other income (expenses), net | 357 | 2,788 | (1,423) |
| Income before income taxes and equity in net income of unconsolidated investments | 550,623 | 392,103 | 160,273 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 130,014 | 92,719 | (7,028) |
| Income before equity in net income of unconsolidated investments | 420,609 | 299,384 | 167,301 |
| Equity in net income of unconsolidated investments (net of tax) | 43,754 | 37,975 | 22,322 |
| Net income | \$ 464,363 | \$ 337,359 | \$ 189,623 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (28,083) | (13,639) | (11,255) |
| Net income attributable to Albemarle Corporation | \$ 436,280 | \$ 323,720 | \$ 178,368 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 4.82 | \$ 3.54 | \$ 1.95 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 4.77 | \$ 3.51 | \$ 1.94 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding basic | 90,522 | 91,393 | 91,512 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding diluted | 91,522 | 92,184 | 92,046 |
| Cash dividends declared per share of common stock | \$ 0.67 | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.50 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY***(In Thousands, Except Share Data)*

| | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-in Capital | Accumulated | Retained Earnings | Total | Non- controlling Interests | Total Equity |
|--|--------------|---------|----------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Shares | Amounts | | Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income | | Albemarle Shareholders Equity | | |
| Balance at January 1, 2009 | 90,980,309 | \$ 910 | \$ | \$ (100,642) | \$ 1,165,503 | \$ 1,065,771 | \$ 50,712 | \$ 1,116,483 |
| Comprehensive income (loss): | | | | | | | | |
| Net income for 2009 | | | | | 178,368 | 178,368 | 11,255 | 189,623 |
| Foreign currency translation, net of tax of \$(5,082) | | | | 34,272 | | 34,272 | | 34,272 |
| Pension and postretirement benefits, net of tax of \$13,367 | | | | (25,100) | | (25,100) | | (25,100) |
| Other, net of tax of \$279 | | | | (390) | | (390) | | (390) |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | 8,782 | 178,368 | 187,150 | 11,255 | 198,405 |
| Cash dividends declared for 2009 | | | | | (45,763) | (45,763) | (14,681) | (60,444) |
| Stock-based compensation and other | | | 8,730 | | (5,582) | 3,148 | | 3,148 |
| Exercise of stock options | 341,666 | 3 | 4,150 | | | 4,153 | | 4,153 |
| Shares repurchased and retired | (174,900) | (2) | (5,810) | | | (5,812) | | (5,812) |
| Tax benefit related to stock plans | | | 2,111 | | | 2,111 | | 2,111 |
| Issuance of common stock, net | 584,015 | 6 | (6) | | | | | |
| Acquisitions | | | | | | | 336 | 336 |
| Shares withheld for withholding taxes associated with common stock issuances | (221,991) | (2) | (517) | | (4,543) | (5,062) | | (5,062) |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | 91,509,099 | \$ 915 | \$ 8,658 | \$ (91,860) | \$ 1,287,983 | \$ 1,205,696 | \$ 47,622 | \$ 1,253,318 |
| Balance at January 1, 2010 | 91,509,099 | \$ 915 | \$ 8,658 | \$ (91,860) | \$ 1,287,983 | \$ 1,205,696 | \$ 47,622 | \$ 1,253,318 |
| Comprehensive income (loss): | | | | | | | | |
| Net income for 2010 | | | | | 323,720 | 323,720 | 13,639 | 337,359 |
| Foreign currency translation, net of tax of \$(6,009) | | | | (62,629) | | (62,629) | | (62,629) |
| Pension and postretirement benefits, net of tax of \$6,082 | | | | (9,812) | | (9,812) | | (9,812) |
| Other, net of tax of \$(59) | | | | 105 | | 105 | | 105 |
| Total comprehensive (loss) income | | | | (72,336) | 323,720 | 251,384 | 13,639 | 265,023 |
| Deconsolidation of Stannica LLC | | | | | | | (8,121) | (8,121) |
| Cumulative dividend adjustment on JBC noncontrolling interest | | | | | | | 8,017 | 8,017 |

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|--------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Cash dividends declared for 2010 | | | | (51,184) | (51,184) | (1,485) | (52,669) | |
| Stock-based compensation and other | | | 13,995 | | 13,995 | | 13,995 | |
| Exercise of stock options | 494,559 | 5 | 7,130 | | 7,135 | | 7,135 | |
| Shares repurchased and retired | (400,356) | (4) | (14,941) | | (14,945) | | (14,945) | |
| Tax benefit related to stock plans | | | 7,981 | | 7,981 | | 7,981 | |
| Issuance of common stock, net | 81,864 | 1 | (1) | | | | | |
| Shares withheld for withholding taxes associated with common stock issuances | (91,182) | (1) | (3,987) | | (3,988) | | (3,988) | |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 91,593,984 | \$ 916 | \$ 18,835 | \$ (164,196) | \$ 1,560,519 | \$ 1,416,074 | \$ 59,672 | \$ 1,475,746 |

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (CONTINUED)***(In Thousands, Except Share Data)*

| | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income | Retained Earnings | Total Albemarle Shareholders Equity | Non- controlling Interests | Total Equity |
|--|--------------|---------|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Shares | Amounts | | | | | | |
| Balance at January 1, 2011 | 91,593,984 | \$ 916 | \$ 18,835 | \$ (164,196) | \$ 1,560,519 | \$ 1,416,074 | \$ 59,672 | \$ 1,475,746 |
| Comprehensive income (loss): | | | | | | | | |
| Net income for 2011 | | | | | 436,280 | 436,280 | 28,083 | 464,363 |
| Foreign currency translation, net of tax of \$3,909 | | | | (13,360) | | (13,360) | (205) | (13,565) |
| Pension and postretirement benefits, net of tax of \$26,676 | | | | (45,528) | | (45,528) | | (45,528) |
| Other, net of tax of \$(96) | | | | 162 | | 162 | | 162 |
| Total comprehensive (loss) income | | | | (58,726) | 436,280 | 377,554 | 27,878 | 405,432 |
| Cash dividends declared for 2011 | | | | | (60,450) | (60,450) | | (60,450) |
| Stock-based compensation and other | | | 26,556 | | | 26,556 | | 26,556 |
| Exercise of stock options | 169,350 | 2 | 2,228 | | | 2,230 | | 2,230 |
| Shares repurchased and retired | (3,000,000) | (30) | (39,870) | | (138,232) | (178,132) | | (178,132) |
| Tax benefit related to stock plans | | | 10,574 | | | 10,574 | | 10,574 |
| Issuance of common stock, net | 131,713 | 1 | (1) | | | | | |
| Shares withheld for withholding taxes associated with common stock issuances | (53,807) | (1) | (3,128) | | | (3,129) | | (3,129) |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 88,841,240 | \$ 888 | \$ 15,194 | \$ (222,922) | \$ 1,798,117 | \$ 1,591,277 | \$ 87,550 | \$ 1,678,827 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS***(In Thousands)*

| Year Ended December 31 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | \$ 529,650 | \$ 308,791 | \$ 253,303 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | 464,363 | 337,359 | 189,623 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 96,753 | 95,578 | 100,513 |
| Restructuring and other charges | | 6,958 | 11,643 |
| Port de Bouc charges | | | 12,393 |
| Stock-based compensation | 27,069 | 15,694 | 307 |
| Excess tax benefits realized from stock-based compensation arrangements | (10,574) | (7,981) | (2,111) |
| Equity in net income of unconsolidated investments (net of tax) | (43,754) | (37,975) | (22,322) |
| Dividends received from unconsolidated investments and nonmarketable securities | 23,685 | 16,414 | 18,045 |
| Pension and postretirement expense | 27,161 | 20,993 | 9,708 |
| Pension and postretirement contributions | (59,773) | (80,105) | (39,700) |
| Unrealized gain on investments in marketable securities | (688) | (1,532) | (3,554) |
| Net change in noncurrent income tax payables and receivables | (731) | 2,241 | (34,020) |
| Net change in noncurrent environmental liabilities | (1,220) | 2,354 | (4,573) |
| Deferred income taxes | 14,682 | 47,099 | 1,720 |
| Change in current assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and special items: | | | |
| (Increase) decrease in accounts receivable | (16,435) | (57,414) | 1,269 |
| (Increase) decrease in inventories | (41,749) | (58,582) | 180,132 |
| Decrease (increase) in other current assets excluding deferred income taxes | 4,499 | (14,511) | (5,012) |
| (Decrease) increase in accounts payable | (11,971) | 13,463 | (53,543) |
| Increase (decrease) in accrued expenses and income taxes payable | 28,229 | 35,774 | (18,715) |
| Other, net | (12,187) | (4,518) | 16,722 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 487,359 | 331,309 | 358,525 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (190,574) | (75,478) | (100,786) |
| Cash payments related to acquisitions and other | (13,164) | (11,978) | (4,017) |
| Cash payments related to the Port de Bouc facility divestiture | | | (16,440) |
| Cash impact from deconsolidation of Stannica LLC, net | | (12,649) | |
| Cash proceeds from divestitures | | 8,600 | |
| Sales of (investments in) marketable securities, net | 1,670 | 652 | (347) |
| Investments in equity and other corporate investments | (10,868) | (1,338) | (40) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (212,936) | (92,191) | (121,630) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of senior notes | | 346,853 | |
| Proceeds from other borrowings | 9,415 | 125,797 | 14,300 |

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Repayments of long-term debt | (109,591) | (424,123) | (134,332) |
| Dividends paid to shareholders | (57,759) | (49,643) | (44,432) |
| Repurchases of common stock | (178,132) | (14,945) | (5,812) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 2,230 | 7,135 | 4,153 |
| Excess tax benefits realized from stock-based compensation arrangements | 10,574 | 7,981 | 2,111 |
| Withholding taxes paid on stock-based compensation award distributions | (3,129) | (3,988) | (5,062) |
| Dividends paid to noncontrolling interests | | | (11,709) |
| Debt financing costs | (2,727) | (3,005) | |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (329,119) | (7,938) | (180,783) |
| Net effect of foreign exchange on cash and cash equivalents | (5,538) | (10,321) | (624) |
| (Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (60,234) | 220,859 | 55,488 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 469,416 | \$ 529,650 | \$ 308,791 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

Basis of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts and operations of Albemarle Corporation and our wholly owned, majority owned and controlled subsidiaries. Unless the context otherwise indicates, the terms Albemarle, we, us, our or the Company mean Albemarle Corporation and our consolidated subsidiaries. We apply the equity method of accounting for investments in which we have an ownership interest from 20% to 50% or where we exercise significant influence over the related investee's operations. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

On January 1, 2009, we adopted new accounting guidance that changed the accounting for and reporting of minority interests (now referred to as noncontrolling interests). Noncontrolling interests are now classified as equity in our consolidated financial statements. Our consolidated statement of income presentation format was also changed and net income now includes the net income for both the parent and the noncontrolling interests, with disclosure of both amounts on the consolidated statements of income. The calculation of earnings per share continues to be based on net income amounts attributable to the parent. Prior period amounts related to noncontrolling interests have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize sales when the revenue is realized or realizable, and has been earned, in accordance with authoritative accounting guidance. We recognize net sales as risk and title to the product transfer to the customer, which usually occurs at the time shipment is made. Significant portions of our sales are sold free on board (FOB) shipping point or on an equivalent basis, and other transactions are based upon specific contractual arrangements. Our standard terms of delivery are generally included in our contracts of sale, order confirmation documents and invoices. We recognize revenue from services when performance of the services has been completed. We have a limited amount of consignment sales that are billed to the customer upon monthly notification of amounts used by the customers under these contracts. Where the Company incurs pre-production design and development costs under long-term supply contracts, these costs are expensed where they relate to the products sold unless contractual guarantees for reimbursement exist. Conversely, these costs are capitalized if they pertain to equipment that we will own and use in producing the products to be supplied and expect to utilize for future revenue generating activities.

Performance and Life Cycle Guarantees

We provide customers certain performance guarantees and life cycle guarantees. These guarantees entitle the customer to claim compensation if the product does not conform to performance standards originally agreed upon. Performance guarantees relate to minimum technical specifications that products produced with the delivered product must meet, such as yield and product quality. Life cycle guarantees relate to minimum periods for which performance of the delivered product is guaranteed. When either performance guarantees or life cycle guarantees are contractually agreed upon, an assessment of the appropriate revenue recognition treatment is evaluated. When testing or modeling of historical results predict that the performance or life cycle criteria will be satisfied, revenue is recognized in accordance with shipping terms at the time of delivery. When testing or modeling of historical results predict that the performance or life cycle criteria may not be satisfied, we bill the customer upon shipment and defer the related revenue and cost associated with these products. These deferrals are released to earnings when the contractual period expires.

Estimates, Assumptions and Reclassifications

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., or U.S. GAAP, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain amounts in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes thereto have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Shipping and Handling Costs

Amounts billed to customers in a sales transaction related to shipping and handling have been classified as net sales and the cost incurred by us for shipping and handling has been classified as cost of goods sold in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. In addition, taxes billed to customers in a sales transaction are presented in the consolidated statements of income on a net basis.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash and highly liquid investments with insignificant interest rate risks and original maturities of three months or less.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at lower of cost or market with cost determined primarily on the first-in, first-out basis. Cost is determined on the weighted-average basis for a small portion of our inventories at foreign plants and our stores, supplies and other inventory. A portion of our domestic produced finished goods and raw materials are determined on the last-in, first-out basis.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment include costs of assets constructed, purchased or leased under a capital lease, related delivery and installation costs and interest incurred on significant capital projects during their construction periods. Expenditures for renewals and betterments also are capitalized, but expenditures for normal repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Costs associated with yearly planned major maintenance are deferred and amortized over 12 months. The cost and accumulated depreciation applicable to assets retired or sold are removed from the respective accounts, and gains or losses thereon are included in income. Depreciation is computed by the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the assets. We have a policy where our internal engineering group provides asset life guidelines for book purposes. These guidelines are reviewed against the economic life of the business for each project and asset life is determined as the lesser of the manufacturing life or the business life. The engineering guidelines are reviewed periodically.

We evaluate historical and expected undiscounted operating cash flows of our business segments to determine the future recoverability of any property, plant and equipment recorded. Property, plant and equipment is re-evaluated whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recoverable.

The costs of brine wells, leases and royalty interests are primarily amortized over the estimated average life of the field on a straight-line basis. On a yearly basis for all fields, this approximates a units-of-production method based upon estimated reserves and production volumes.

Investments

Investments are accounted for using the equity method of accounting if the investment gives us the ability to exercise significant influence, but not control, over the investee. Significant influence is generally deemed to exist if we have an ownership interest in the voting stock of the investee between 20% and 50%, although other factors, such as representation on the investee's board of directors and the impact of commercial arrangements, are considered in determining whether the equity method of accounting is appropriate. Under the equity method of accounting, we record our investments in equity-method investees in the consolidated balance sheets as Investments and our share of investees' earnings or losses together with other-than temporary impairments in value as Equity in net income of unconsolidated investments in the consolidated statements of income.

Certain mutual fund investments are accounted for as trading equities and are marked-to-market on a monthly basis through the consolidated statements of income. Investments in joint ventures and nonmarketable securities of immaterial entities are estimated based upon the overall performance of the entity where financial results are not available on a timely basis.

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, amended its accounting guidance on the consolidation of variable interest entities with an effective date of January 1, 2010. This new guidance eliminated the quantitative approach previously required for determining the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity and requires ongoing qualitative reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary. The adoption of the new guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Environmental Compliance and Remediation

Environmental compliance costs include the cost of purchasing and/or constructing assets to prevent, limit and/or control pollution or to monitor the environmental status at various locations. These costs are capitalized and depreciated based on estimated useful lives. Environmental compliance costs also include maintenance and operating costs with respect to pollution prevention and control facilities and other administrative costs. Such operating costs are expensed as incurred. Environmental remediation costs of facilities used in current operations are generally immaterial and are expensed as incurred.

On an undiscounted basis, we accrue for environmental remediation costs and post-remediation costs that relate to existing conditions caused by past operations at facilities or off-plant disposal sites in the accounting period in which responsibility is

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

established and when the related costs are estimable. In developing these cost estimates, we evaluate currently available facts regarding each site, with consideration given to existing technology, presently enacted laws and regulations, prior experience in remediation of contaminated sites, the financial capability of other potentially responsible parties and other factors, subject to uncertainties inherent in the estimation process. Additionally, these estimates are reviewed periodically, with adjustments to the accruals recorded as necessary.

Research and Development Expenses

Our research and development expenses related to present and future products are expensed as incurred. These expenses consist primarily of personnel-related costs and other overheads, as well as outside service and consulting costs incurred for specific programs. Our U.S. facilities in Michigan, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Texas, and Louisiana and our global facilities in the Netherlands, Germany, Belgium, China and Korea form the capability base for our contract research and custom manufacturing businesses. These business areas provide research and scale-up services primarily to innovative life science companies.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

We account for goodwill and other intangibles acquired in a business combination in conformity with current accounting guidance that requires that goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets not be amortized.

We test goodwill for impairment by comparing the estimated fair value of our reporting units to the related carrying value. We measure the fair value based on present value techniques involving future cash flows. Future cash flows include assumptions for sales volumes, selling prices, raw material prices, labor and other employee benefit costs, capital additions and other economic or market related factors. Significant management judgment is involved in estimating these variables and they include inherent uncertainties since they are forecasting future events. We use a Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) approach to determine our discount rate for goodwill recoverability testing. Our WACC calculation incorporates industry-weighted average returns on debt and equity from a market perspective. The factors in this calculation are largely external to our company, and therefore, are beyond our control. We test our recorded goodwill balances for impairment in the fourth quarter of each year or upon the occurrence of events or changes in circumstances that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of our reporting units below their carrying amounts.

Definite-lived intangible assets, such as purchased technology, patents, customer lists and trade names are amortized over their estimated useful lives, generally for periods ranging from three to fifty years. We continually evaluate the reasonableness of the useful lives of these assets and test for impairment in accordance with current accounting guidance. See Note 10, Goodwill and Other Intangibles.

Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits

Under authoritative accounting standards, assumptions are made regarding the valuation of benefit obligations and the performance of plan assets. As required, we recognize a balance sheet asset or liability for each of the pension or postretirement benefit plans equal to the plan's funded status as of the measurement date. The primary assumptions are as follows:

Discount Rate The discount rate is used in calculating the present value of benefits, which is based on projections of benefit payments to be made in the future.

Expected Return on Plan Assets We project the future return on plan assets based on prior performance and future expectations for the types of investments held by the plans, as well as the expected long-term allocation of plan assets for these investments. These projected returns reduce the net benefit costs recorded currently.

Rate of Compensation Increase For salary-related plans, we project employees' annual pay increases, which are used to project employees' pension benefits at retirement.

Rate of Increase in the Per Capita Cost of Covered Health Care Benefits We project the expected increases in the cost of covered health care benefits.

During 2011, we made changes to the assumptions related to the discount rate, the per capita cost of covered healthcare benefits pre-65 premium cap, and the related healthcare trend rates. We consider available information that we deem relevant when selecting each of these assumptions.

In selecting the discount rates for the U.S. plans, we establish a range of reasonable rates based on methods developed by subject matter experts that reflect current market conditions. For 2011, we relied on methods developed by Citigroup, AonHewitt, and Milliman to establish a range of acceptable discount rates based on authoritative accounting guidance. These methods calculate discount rates based on high-quality bond data and the projected plan cash flows. We believe our selected discount rates accurately reflect market conditions as of the December 31, 2011 measurement date.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In selecting the discount rates for the foreign plans, we relied on AonHewitt methods, including the AonHewitt Top-Quartile and a yield curve derived from fixed-income security yields. The yield curve is generally based on a universe containing Aa-graded corporate bonds in the Euro zone without special features or options, which could affect the duration. In some countries, the yield curve is based on local government bond rates with a premium added to reflect corporate bond risk. Payments we expect to be made from our retirement plans are applied to the resulting yield curve. For each plan, the discount rate was developed as the level equivalent rate that would produce the same present value as that using spot rates aligned with the projected benefit payments.

At December 31, 2011, the weighted-average discount rate was reduced for the pension plans from 5.40% to 5.04% and for the other postretirement plans from 5.30% to 5.10% to reflect market conditions as of the December 31, 2011 measurement date.

In estimating the expected return on plan assets, we consider past performance and future expectations for the types of investments held by the plan as well as the expected long-term allocation of plan assets to these investments. For the years 2011 and 2010, the weighted-average expected rate of return on domestic pension plan assets was 8.25%. There has been no change to the assumed rate of return on U.S. pension plan assets effective January 1, 2012. Also, there was no change in the weighted-average expected 7.0% return on other postretirement benefit plan assets. Our U.S. defined benefit plan for non-represented employees was closed to new participants effective March 31, 2004. We adopted a defined contribution pension plan for U.S. employees hired after March 31, 2004.

In projecting the rate of compensation increase, we consider past experience in light of movements in inflation rates. At December 31, 2011, the assumed weighted-average rate of compensation increase changed to 3.96% from 3.93% for the pension plans. The assumed weighted-average rate of compensation increase remained unchanged at 4.0% for the other postretirement plans at December 31, 2011.

In selecting the rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered health care benefits, we consider past performance and forecasts of future health care cost trends in relation to the employer-paid premium cap. At December 31, 2011, the previously assumed ultimate rate of increase in the pre-65 and post-65 per capita cost of covered health care benefits for U.S. retirees was reduced to zero as the employer-paid premium cap is expected to meet the cap starting January 1, 2013. For 2012, the assumed trend rate for pre-65 coverage is 8.0% per year, ultimately decreasing to zero in the year 2013 due to the employer-paid premium cap. The 2012 assumed trend rate for post-65 coverage is expected to be zero due to the employer-paid premium cap.

Employee Savings Plan

Certain of our employees participate in our defined contribution 401(k) employee savings plan, which is generally available to all U.S. full-time salaried and non-union hourly employees and to employees who are covered by a collective bargaining agreement that provides for such participation.

This U.S. defined contribution plan is funded with contributions made by the participants and us. Our contributions to the 401(k) plan amounted to \$9.1 million, \$8.4 million and \$8.2 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We amended our 401(k) plan in 2004 to allow pension contributions to be made by us to participants hired or rehired on or after April 1, 2004 as these participants are not eligible to participate in the Company's defined benefit pension plan. The pension contributions in the defined contribution plan are made in cash and are equal to 5%, 6% or 7% of the participant's base pay depending on years of service. In 2011, 2010 and 2009, these contributions amounted to \$4.5 million, \$3.9 million and \$3.5 million, respectively.

With respect to our foreign subsidiaries, we also have a defined contribution pension plan for employees in the United Kingdom. The annual contribution to the United Kingdom defined contribution plan is based on a percentage of eligible employee compensation and amounted to \$0.3 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In 2006, we formalized a new plan in the Netherlands similar to a collective defined contribution plan. We paid approximately \$9.9 million, \$8.8 million and \$9.0 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, in annual premiums and related costs pertaining to this plan.

Deferred Compensation Plan

We maintain an Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, or the Plan, that was adopted in 2001 and subsequently amended. The purpose of the Plan is to provide current tax planning opportunities, as well as supplemental funds upon the retirement or death of certain employees of Albemarle. The Plan is intended to aid in attracting and retaining employees of exceptional ability by providing them with these benefits. We also maintain a Benefit Protection Trust, or the Trust, that was set up to provide a source of funds to assist in meeting the obligations of the Plan, subject to the claims of our creditors in the event of our insolvency. Assets of the Trust are consolidated in accordance with authoritative guidance. The assets of the Trust consist primarily of mutual fund investments (which are accounted for as trading securities and are marked-to-market on a monthly basis through the consolidated statement of income) and cash and cash equivalents.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Stock-based Compensation Expense

The fair value of restricted stock awards and performance unit awards is determined based on the number of shares or units granted and the quoted price of our common stock at grant date, and the fair value of stock options is determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The fair value of these awards is determined after giving effect to estimated forfeitures. Such value is recognized as expense over the service period, which is generally the vesting period of the equity grant. To the extent restricted stock awards, performance unit awards and stock options are forfeited prior to vesting in excess of the estimated forfeiture rate, the corresponding previously recognized expense is reversed as an offset to operating expenses.

Income Taxes

We use the liability method for determining our income taxes, under which current and deferred tax liabilities and assets are recorded in accordance with enacted tax laws and rates. Under this method, the amounts of deferred tax liabilities and assets at the end of each period are determined using the tax rate expected to be in effect when taxes are actually paid or recovered. Future tax benefits are recognized to the extent that realization of such benefits is more likely than not.

Deferred income taxes are provided for the estimated income tax effect of temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax basis of existing assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets are also provided for operating losses, capital losses and certain tax credit carryovers. A valuation allowance, reducing deferred tax assets, is established when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The realization of such deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of sufficient future taxable income of the appropriate character. Although realization is not assured, we do not establish a valuation allowance when we believe it is more likely than not that a net deferred tax asset will be realized.

We only recognize a tax benefit after concluding that it is more likely than not that the benefit will be sustained upon audit by the respective taxing authority based solely on the technical merits of the associated tax position. Once the recognition threshold is met, we recognize a tax benefit measured as the largest amount of the tax benefit that, in our judgment, is greater than 50% likely to be realized. Interest and penalties related to income tax liabilities under current accounting guidance for uncertain tax positions are included in income tax expense.

We have designated the undistributed earnings of substantially all of our foreign operations as permanently reinvested and as a result we do not provide for deferred income taxes on the unremitted earnings of these subsidiaries. Our foreign earnings are computed under U.S. federal tax earnings and profits, or E&P, principles. In general, to the extent our financial reporting book basis over tax basis of a foreign subsidiary exceeds these E&P amounts, deferred taxes have not been provided as they are essentially permanent in duration. The determination of the amount of such unrecognized deferred tax liability is not practicable. We provide for deferred income taxes on our undistributed earnings of foreign operations that are not deemed to be permanently reinvested.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Accumulated other comprehensive loss is comprised principally of foreign currency translation adjustments and net transition asset, net prior service benefit, net benefit plan loss for our defined benefit plans and related deferred income taxes in accordance with current accounting guidance.

Foreign Currency Translation

The assets and liabilities of all foreign subsidiaries were prepared in their respective functional currencies and translated into U.S. Dollars based on the current exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet dates, while income and expenses were translated at average exchange rates for the periods presented. Translation adjustments are reflected as a separate component of equity.

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

Our consolidated statements of income include foreign exchange transaction gains (losses) of \$(3.6) million, \$1.0 million, and \$(3.7) million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Derivative Financial Instruments

We manage our foreign currency exposures by balancing certain assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies and through the use of foreign currency forward contracts from time to time, which generally expire within one year. The principal objective of such contracts is to minimize the financial risks and costs associated with global operating activities. While these contracts are subject to fluctuations in value, such fluctuations are generally offset by the value of the underlying foreign currency exposures being hedged. Gains and losses on foreign currency forward contracts are recognized currently in income, but generally do not have a significant impact on results of operations.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The counterparties to these contractual agreements are major financial institutions with which we generally have other financial relationships. We are exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by these counterparties. However, we do not anticipate nonperformance by the counterparties. We do not utilize financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had outstanding foreign currency forward contracts with notional values totaling \$148.7 million and \$375.4 million, respectively.

In 2004, we entered into treasury lock agreements, or T-locks, with a notional value of \$275.0 million, to fix the yield on the U.S. Treasury security used to set the yield for approximately 85% of our January 2005 public offering of senior notes. The T-locks fixed the yield on the U.S. Treasury security at approximately 4.25%. The value of the T-locks resulted from the difference between (i) the yield-to-maturity of the 10-year U.S. Treasury security that had the maturity date most comparable to the maturity date of the senior notes issued and (ii) the fixed rate of approximately 4.25%. The cumulative loss effect of the T-lock agreements was \$2.2 million and is being amortized over the life of the senior notes as an adjustment to the interest expense of the senior notes. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, there were unrealized losses of approximately \$0.7 million (\$0.4 million after income taxes) and \$0.9 million (\$0.6 million after income taxes), respectively, in accumulated other comprehensive loss.

In addition, certain of our operations use natural gas as a source of energy which can expose our business to market risk when the price of natural gas changes suddenly. In an attempt to mitigate the impact and volatility of price swings in the natural gas market, from time to time we enter into natural gas hedge contracts with one or more major financial institutions for a portion of our 12-month rolling forecast for North American natural gas requirements. Such derivatives are held to secure natural gas at fixed prices and are not entered into for trading purposes. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had no natural gas hedge contracts outstanding.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the FASB issued new accounting guidance relating to separating consideration in multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. Under this guidance, multiple-deliverable arrangements will be accounted for separately (rather than on a combined basis) by selecting the best evidence of selling price among vendor-specific objective evidence, third-party evidence or estimated selling price. This new guidance, effective for fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, new accounting guidance was issued by the FASB that requires additional disclosures about amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and the reasons therefor. Additionally, entities are now required to present information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements separately in the reconciliation of fair value measurements using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs. The amendments also clarified that entities should provide fair value measurement disclosures for each class, or subset, of assets or liabilities within a line item in the statement of financial position, and entities should disclose information about inputs and valuation techniques for Level 2 and Level 3 fair value measurements, whether recurring or nonrecurring. These amendments were effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the reconciliation of fair value measurements using Level 3 inputs, which were effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The provisions of this guidance did not have a have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB, amended its accounting guidance related to the disclosure of pro forma information for business combinations. Under the amended guidance, a public entity that presents comparative financial statements must disclose the revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the prior annual reporting period. The amendments also require public entities to provide a description of the nature and amount of any material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to business combination(s) that are included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. These amendments became effective for us on January 1, 2011 and did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

In May 2011, the FASB issued additional authoritative guidance relating to fair value measurement and disclosure requirements. For fair value measurements categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, the new guidance requires (1) disclosure of quantitative information about unobservable inputs; (2) a description of the valuation processes used by the entity; and (3) a qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of the fair value measurement to changes in unobservable inputs and the interrelationships between those unobservable inputs, if any. Entities must report the level in the fair value hierarchy of assets and liabilities that are not recorded at fair value in the statement of financial position but for which fair value is disclosed. The new requirements clarify that the concepts of *highest and best use* and *valuation premise* only apply to measuring the fair value of nonfinancial assets. The new requirements also

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

specify that in the absence of a Level 1 input, a reporting entity should incorporate a premium or a discount in a fair value measurement if a market participant would take into account such an input in pricing an asset or liability. Additionally, the new guidance introduces an option to measure certain financial assets and financial liabilities with offsetting positions on a net basis if certain criteria are met. For public entities, these new requirements become effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. We are assessing the impact of these new requirements on our financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance which eliminates the current option to present other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in equity. However, under the new guidance, comprehensive income and its components must still be presented under one of two new alternatives. Under the first alternative, the components of other comprehensive income and the components of net income may be presented in one continuous statement referred to as the statement of comprehensive income. Under the second alternative, a statement of other comprehensive income would immediately follow the statement of net income and must be shown with equal prominence as the other primary financial statements. Under either alternative, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. The Company will adopt these new financial statement presentation requirements effective January 1, 2012 with retrospective application to all prior periods presented.

In September 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance intended to simplify how entities test goodwill for impairment. The new guidance gives entities the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If an entity believes, as a result of its qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the quantitative impairment test under existing accounting guidance is required to be performed. Otherwise, no further testing is required. These new provisions are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. However, early adoption is permitted in certain circumstances. We do not expect this new guidance to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that will require entities to disclose information about instruments (including derivatives) and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position or subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. These new provisions are effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods, and should be applied retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. We are assessing the impact of these new requirements on our financial statements.

NOTE 2 Supplemental Cash Flow Information:

Supplemental information related to the consolidated statements of cash flows is as follows (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash paid during the year for: | | | |
| Income taxes (net of refunds of \$4,339, \$2,611 and \$13,733 in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively) | \$ 123,341 | \$ 34,808 | \$ 14,807 |
| Interest (net of capitalization) | \$ 33,127 | \$ 21,905 | \$ 22,144 |
| Supplemental non-cash disclosures due to the divestiture of the Port de Bouc, France facility effective December 31, 2008: | | | |
| Decrease in inventory | \$ | \$ | \$ (3,689) |
| Decrease in other current assets | \$ | \$ | \$ (7,878) |
| Decrease in current liabilities (principally accrued expenses) | \$ | \$ | \$ (4,745) |

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****NOTE 3 Earnings Per Share:**

On January 1, 2009, we adopted new accounting guidance associated with share-based payment transactions considered to be participating securities. This guidance states that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends, such as certain of our restricted stock awards, are participating securities and therefore shall be included in the earnings per share calculation pursuant to the two-class method. For the year ended December 31, 2009, this new guidance resulted in a decrease of \$0.01 in our basic earnings per share as a result of an increase in our basic weighted-average common shares outstanding of approximately 317,000 shares while there was no impact on our reported diluted earnings per share despite an increase in our diluted weighted-average common shares of approximately 153,000 shares.

Basic and diluted earnings per share are calculated as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Basic earnings per share | | | |
| Numerator: | | | |
| Net income attributable to Albemarle Corporation | \$ 436,280 | \$ 323,720 | \$ 178,368 |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Weighted-average common shares for basic earnings per share | 90,522 | 91,393 | 91,512 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 4.82 | \$ 3.54 | \$ 1.95 |
| Diluted earnings per share | | | |
| Numerator: | | | |
| Net income attributable to Albemarle Corporation | \$ 436,280 | \$ 323,720 | \$ 178,368 |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Weighted-average common shares for basic earnings per share | 90,522 | 91,393 | 91,512 |
| Incremental shares under stock compensation plans | 1,000 | 791 | 534 |
| Total shares | 91,522 | 92,184 | 92,046 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 4.77 | \$ 3.51 | \$ 1.94 |

The Company's policy on how to determine windfalls and shortfalls for purposes of calculating assumed stock award proceeds under the treasury stock method when determining the denominator for diluted earnings per share is to exclude the impact of pro forma deferred tax assets (i.e. the windfall or shortfall that would be recognized in the financial statements upon exercise of the award). At December 31, 2011, there were 390,667 common stock equivalents not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share.

We have the authority to issue 15,000,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more classes or series. As of December 31, 2011, no shares of preferred stock have been issued.

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

On October 13, 2011, our Board of Directors authorized an increase in the number of shares the Company is permitted to repurchase under our stock repurchase plan up to a maximum of five million shares.

NOTE 4 Other Accounts Receivable:

Other accounts receivable consist of the following at December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Value added tax/consumption tax | \$ 16,236 | \$ 15,040 |
| Other | 19,963 | 18,732 |
| Total | \$ 36,199 | \$ 33,772 |

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****NOTE 5 Inventories:**

Approximately 26% and 23% of our inventories are valued using the last-in, first-out, or LIFO, method at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The portion of our domestic inventories stated on the LIFO basis amounted to \$111.7 million and \$91.4 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, which are below replacement cost by approximately \$56.8 million and \$45.7 million, respectively.

NOTE 6 Other Current Assets:

Other current assets consist of the following at December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Deferred income taxes current ^(a) | \$ 9,383 | \$ 4,689 |
| Income tax receivables | 8,303 | 10,274 |
| Prepaid expenses | 45,452 | 39,715 |
| Total | \$ 63,138 | \$ 54,678 |

(a) See Note 18, Income Taxes.

NOTE 7 Property, Plant and Equipment:

Property, plant and equipment, at cost, consist of the following at December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

| | Useful Lives (Years) | | December 31, | |
|--|----------------------------|----|------------------|------------------|
| | | | 2011 | 2010 |
| Land | | | \$ 59,137 | \$ 55,638 |
| Land improvements | 5 | 30 | 50,302 | 48,798 |
| Buildings and improvements | 10 | 45 | 186,444 | 204,858 |
| Machinery and equipment ^(a) | 3 | 19 | 1,552,557 | 1,484,515 |
| Machinery and equipment (major plant components) ^(b) | 20 | 45 | 541,953 | 502,906 |
| Property, plant and equipment under capital lease ^(c) | 19 | 50 | 24,652 | 24,652 |
| Long-term mineral rights and production equipment costs | 7 | 60 | 62,245 | 60,630 |
| Construction in progress | | | 142,138 | 58,181 |
| Total | | | \$ 2,619,428 | \$ 2,440,178 |

(a)

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

- Consists primarily of (1) short-lived production equipment components, office and building equipment and other equipment with estimated lives ranging 3 – 7 years, and (2) production process equipment (intermediate components) with estimated lives ranging 8 – 19 years.
- (b) Consists primarily of (1) production process equipment (major unit components) with estimated lives ranging 20 – 29 years, and (2) production process equipment (infrastructure and other) with estimated lives ranging 30 – 45 years.
 - (c) Assets under capital lease are primarily machinery and equipment with useful lives ranging from 19 – 25 years. Includes a building with a cost of \$1.3 million which has a useful life of 50 years.

The cost of property, plant and equipment, including machinery and equipment under capital lease, is depreciated generally by the straight-line method. Depreciation expense amounted to \$83.6 million, \$82.5 million and \$87.3 million during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Interest capitalized on significant capital projects in 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$2.4 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, while amortization of capitalized interest (which is included in depreciation expense) in 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$1.2 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.3 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, accumulated amortization for assets under capital lease was \$8.6 million and \$7.6 million, respectively.

In the third quarter of 2010, we purchased certain property and equipment in Yeosu, South Korea in connection with our plans for building a metallocene polyolefin catalyst and TMG manufacturing site. Cash payments related to this acquisition were \$6.5 million and \$8.0 million in 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

On July 30, 2010, we sold our Teesport, UK manufacturing site for net proceeds of approximately \$8.6 million. The proceeds of this sale approximated the net book values of the assets sold.

NOTE 8 Investments:

Investments include our share of unconsolidated joint ventures, nonmarketable securities and marketable equity securities. The following table details our investment balances at December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands).

| | December 31, | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Joint ventures | \$ 180,437 | \$ 160,839 |
| Nonmarketable securities | 1,187 | 2,059 |
| Marketable equity securities | 16,803 | 17,792 |
| Total | \$ 198,427 | \$ 180,690 |

In June 2009, the FASB amended its accounting guidance on the consolidation of variable interest entities with an effective date of January 1, 2010. This new guidance eliminated the quantitative approach previously required for determining the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity and requires ongoing qualitative reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary. The adoption of the new guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2010, we entered into a new operating agreement relating to our heretofore consolidated joint venture Stannica LLC and divested ten percent of our interest in the venture to our partner for proceeds of approximately \$2.1 million (of which \$1.6 million in cash was received in the first quarter of 2010 and the remainder was collected in the third quarter of 2010), reducing our ownership to fifty percent. We determined that the joint venture was a variable interest entity but that we were not the primary beneficiary of the venture arrangement; accordingly, we deconsolidated our investment in this venture. We recorded a gain of approximately \$1.1 million on the transaction (included in consolidated gross profit), an \$8.1 million reduction in noncontrolling interests and \$20.4 million reduction in other consolidated net assets comprised of \$14.7 million in cash plus other net working capital. Our retained equity investment in the joint venture was recorded at its fair value of \$11.3 million (giving rise to the gain amount noted above) and is reported in Investments in our consolidated balance sheet. To estimate the fair value of our investment, we used an income approach based on a discounted cash flow model which incorporated estimates and assumptions supported mainly by unobservable inputs, including pricing and volume data, anticipated growth rates, profitability levels, inflation factors, tax and discount rates. Our maximum exposure to loss in connection with our continuing involvement with Stannica LLC is limited to our investment carrying value. Starting in the first quarter of 2010, the earnings associated with our investment in Stannica LLC were reported in Equity in net income of unconsolidated investments in our consolidated statement of income in our Catalysts segment. Prior to this transaction, Stannica LLC was included in our Polymer Solutions segment. The carrying value of our investment in Stannica LLC was \$7.3 million and \$10.2 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the carrying amount of our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures exceeded the amount of underlying equity in net assets by approximately \$9.7 million and \$16.0 million, respectively. These amounts represent the differences between the value of certain assets of the joint ventures and our related valuation on a U.S. GAAP basis. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, \$2.3 million and \$2.9 million, respectively, remained to be amortized over the remaining useful lives of the assets with the balance of the difference representing primarily our share of the joint ventures' goodwill.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Our ownership positions in significant unconsolidated investments are shown below:

| | December 31, | | |
|---|--------------|------|------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| * Nippon Aluminum Alkyls a joint venture with Mitsui Chemicals, Inc. that produces aluminum alkyls | 50% | 50% | 50% |
| * Magnifin Magnesiaprodukte GmbH & Co. KG a joint venture with Radex Heraklith Industriebeteiligung AG that produces specialty magnesium hydroxide products | 50% | 50% | 50% |
| * Nippon Ketjen Company Limited a joint venture with Sumitomo Metal Mining Company Limited that produces refinery catalysts | 50% | 50% | 50% |
| * Eurecat S.A. a joint venture with IFP Investissements for refinery catalysts regeneration services | 50% | 50% | 50% |
| * Fábrica Carioca de Catalisadores S.A. a joint venture with Petrobras Quimica S.A. PETROQUISA that produces catalysts and includes catalysts research and product development activities | 50% | 50% | 50% |
| * Stannica, LLC a joint venture with Arkema Group LLC that produces tin stabilizers | 50% | 50% | N/A |

Our investment in the significant unconsolidated joint ventures above amounted to \$165.4 million and \$155.7 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and the amount included in Equity in net income of unconsolidated investments (net of tax) in the consolidated statements of income totaled \$43.3 million, \$37.1 million and \$21.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. All of the unconsolidated joint ventures in which we have investments are private companies and accordingly do not have a quoted market price available. The following summary lists our assets, liabilities and results of operations for our significant unconsolidated joint ventures presented herein (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Summary of Balance Sheet Information: | | |
| Current assets | \$ 307,358 | \$ 301,769 |
| Noncurrent assets | 174,431 | 170,622 |
| Total assets | \$ 481,789 | \$ 472,391 |
| Current liabilities | \$ 112,589 | \$ 135,622 |
| Noncurrent liabilities | 42,850 | 43,591 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 155,439 | \$ 179,213 |

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Summary of Statements of Income Information: | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 672,859 | \$ 557,372 | \$ 441,141 |

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Gross profit | \$ 189,691 | \$ 161,273 | \$ 124,641 |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 132,399 | \$ 100,853 | \$ 74,462 |
| Net income | \$ 88,414 | \$ 69,974 | \$ 49,575 |

We have evaluated each of the unconsolidated investments pursuant to current accounting guidance and none qualify for consolidation. Dividends received from our significant unconsolidated investments were \$22.8 million, \$15.8 million and \$18.0 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Assets of the Benefit Protection Trust, in conjunction with our Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, are accounted for as trading securities in accordance with authoritative accounting guidance. The assets of the Trust consist primarily of mutual fund investments and are marked-to-market on a monthly basis through the consolidated statements of income. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, these marketable securities amounted to \$16.8 million and \$17.8 million, respectively.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

During the second quarter of 2010, we finalized an agreement with our joint venture partner to adjust the allocation of profits and dividends in connection with our consolidated investment in Jordan Bromine Company Limited, or JBC. As a result of this agreement, we recorded \$8.0 million in cumulative dividend adjustments to noncontrolling interests as reported in the consolidated statement of changes in equity for the year ended December 31, 2010.

In the fourth quarter of 2010, we finalized certain agreements in connection with our new 50% owned joint venture Saudi Organometallic Chemicals Company (SOCC). During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we made capital contributions of approximately \$10.9 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, in this investment.

NOTE 9 Other Assets:

Other assets consist of the following at December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Deferred income taxes noncurrent ^(a) | \$ 50,957 | \$ 64,629 |
| Assets related to unrecognized tax benefits ^(a) | 21,794 | 12,262 |
| Other | 44,120 | 48,987 |
| Total | \$ 116,871 | \$ 125,878 |

(a) See Note 18, Income Taxes.

NOTE 10 Goodwill and Other Intangibles:

Goodwill and other intangibles consist principally of goodwill, customer lists, trade names, patents, and other intangibles.

The following table summarizes the changes in goodwill by operating segment for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

| | Polymer Solutions | Catalysts | Fine Chemistry | Total |
|--|----------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 36,153 | \$ 228,166 | \$ 28,402 | \$ 292,721 |
| Sale of assets ^(a) | | | (3,711) | (3,711) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 57 | (16,743) | (86) | (16,772) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 36,210 | 211,423 | 24,605 | 272,238 |
| Acquisitions ^(b) | | 3,672 | | 3,672 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 953 | (3,885) | 167 | (2,765) |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 37,163 | \$ 211,210 | \$ 24,772 | \$ 273,145 |

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

- (a) Relates to the July 2010 sale of our Teesport, UK manufacturing facility. See Note 7 Property, Plant and Equipment.
- (b) Relates to our acquisition of Catilin, Inc. as discussed in Note 22 Acquisitions.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Other intangibles consist of the following at December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

| | Customer Lists and Relationships | Trade Names | Patents and Technology | Land Use Rights | Manufacturing Contracts and Supply/Service Agreements | Other | Total |
|---|--|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---|-------------|--------------|
| Gross Asset Value | | | | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 102,533 | \$ 46,110 | \$ 45,959 | \$ 7,094 | \$ 12,289 | \$ 20,075 | \$ 234,060 |
| Acquisitions | | | | | | | |
| Sale of assets | | | | | | (65) | (65) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments and other | (2,024) | (1,310) | (1,367) | 224 | (202) | 308 | (4,371) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 100,509 | 44,800 | 44,592 | 7,318 | 12,087 | 20,318 | 229,624 |
| Acquisitions ^(a) | | | 1,400 | | | | 1,400 |
| Sale of assets | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments and other | (431) | 599 | (19) | 780 | 1,695 | 3,843 | 6,467 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 100,078 | \$ 45,399 | \$ 45,973 | \$ 8,098 | \$ 13,782 | \$ 24,161 | \$ 237,491 |
| Accumulated Amortization | | | | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | (23,059) | (9,149) | (26,714) | (280) | (9,398) | (14,937) | (83,537) |
| Amortization | (4,635) | (1,614) | (4,800) | (108) | (1,247) | (574) | (12,978) |
| Sale of assets | | | | | | 65 | 65 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments and other | 644 | 266 | 975 | (96) | 178 | (377) | 1,590 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | (27,050) | (10,497) | (30,539) | (484) | (10,467) | (15,823) | (94,860) |
| Amortization | (4,780) | (1,658) | (4,982) | (176) | (551) | (1,002) | (13,149) |
| Sale of assets | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments and other | 1,549 | (65) | 309 | (458) | (95) | (441) | 799 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ (30,281) | \$ (12,220) | \$ (35,212) | \$ (1,118) | \$ (11,113) | \$ (17,266) | \$ (107,210) |
| Net Book Value at December 31, 2010 | \$ 73,459 | \$ 34,303 | \$ 14,053 | \$ 6,834 | \$ 1,620 | \$ 4,495 | \$ 134,764 |
| Net Book Value at December 31, 2011 | \$ 69,797 | \$ 33,179 | \$ 10,761 | \$ 6,980 | \$ 2,669 | \$ 6,895 | \$ 130,281 |

(a) The increase of \$1.4 million in Patents and Technology relates to our acquisition of Catilin, Inc. as discussed in Note 22 Acquisitions.

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

Useful lives range from 15 to 35 years for customer lists and relationships; 5 to 35 years for trade names; 8 to 19 years for patents and technology; 37 to 50 years for land use rights; 8 to 12 years for manufacturing contracts and supply/service agreements, and 3 to 35 years for other.

Amortization of other intangibles amounted to \$13.1 million, \$13.1 million and \$13.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Total estimated amortization expense of other intangibles for the next five fiscal years is as follows (in thousands):

| | Estimated Amortization Expense |
|------|---|
| 2012 | \$ 11,297 |
| 2013 | \$ 8,869 |
| 2014 | \$ 8,864 |
| 2015 | \$ 8,126 |
| 2016 | \$ 7,123 |

In April 2008, the FASB issued new accounting guidance which amends the factors an entity should consider in developing renewal or extension assumptions used in determining the useful life of recognized intangible assets. This new guidance was required to be applied prospectively to intangible assets acquired after the effective date. We have applied this new guidance to intangible assets acquired after January 1, 2009. The adoption of this new guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**NOTE 11 Accrued Expenses:**

Accrued expenses consist of the following at December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Employee benefits, payroll and related taxes | \$ 67,727 | \$ 48,978 |
| Taxes other than income taxes and payroll taxes | 19,834 | 22,294 |
| Deferred revenue | 18,819 | 14,914 |
| Accrued sales commissions | 9,525 | 3,827 |
| Accrued interest payable | 8,075 | 8,211 |
| Other | 51,277 | 45,460 |
| Total | \$ 175,257 | \$ 143,684 |

NOTE 12 Long-Term Debt:

Long-term debt consists of the following at December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Variable-rate domestic bank loans | \$ | \$ |
| 5.10% Senior notes, net of unamortized discount of \$103 at December 31, 2011 and \$137 at December 31, 2010 | 324,897 | 324,863 |
| 4.50% Senior notes, net of unamortized discount of \$2,814 at December 31, 2011 and \$3,128 at December 31, 2010 | 347,186 | 346,872 |
| Fixed rate foreign borrowings | 24,778 | 33,223 |
| Capital lease obligation | 2,006 | 5,873 |
| Variable-rate foreign bank loans | 64,326 | 149,520 |
| Miscellaneous | 480 | 559 |
| Total long-term debt | 763,673 | 860,910 |
| Less amounts due within one year | 14,416 | 8,983 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | \$ 749,257 | \$ 851,927 |

Aggregate annual maturities of long-term debt as of December 31, 2011 are as follows (in millions): 2012 \$14.4; 2013 \$12.7; 2014 \$6.0; 2015 \$327.1; 2016 \$50.4; thereafter \$356.0.

In September 2011, we amended and restated our previous \$675.0 million credit facility. The amended and restated five-year, revolving, unsecured credit facility (hereinafter referred to as the September 2011 credit agreement) matures on September 22, 2016 and (i) increased the borrowing capacity to \$750.0 million from \$675.0 million; (ii) provides for an additional \$250.0 million in credit, if needed, subject to the terms of the agreement; (iii) provides for the ability to extend the maturity date under certain conditions; (iv) eliminated the covenant that required a

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

minimum level of consolidated tangible domestic assets; and (v) increased the interest rate spread and commitment fees applicable to the Company's borrowings under the credit facility. Fees and expenses of \$2.7 million were incurred and paid in connection with this new agreement. Borrowings bear interest at variable rates based on the London Inter-Bank Offered Rate (LIBOR) for deposits in the relevant currency plus an applicable margin which ranges from 0.900% to 1.400%, depending on the Company's credit rating applicable from time to time. The applicable margin on the facility was 0.975% as of December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2011, we had no borrowings outstanding under the September 2011 credit agreement.

Borrowings under the September 2011 credit agreement are conditioned upon compliance with the following covenants: (i) consolidated funded debt, as defined in the agreement, must be less than or equal to 3.50 times consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the agreement, (which reflects adjustments for certain non-recurring or unusual items such as restructuring charges, facility divestiture charges and other significant non-recurring items), or herein consolidated adjusted EBITDA, as of the end of any fiscal quarter; (ii) with the exception of liens specified in our new credit facility, liens may not attach to assets when the aggregate amount of all indebtedness secured by such liens plus unsecured subsidiary indebtedness, other than indebtedness incurred by our subsidiaries under the new credit facility, would exceed 20% of consolidated net worth, as defined in the agreement; and (iii) with the exception of indebtedness specified in our new credit facility, subsidiary indebtedness may not exceed the difference between 20% of consolidated net worth, as defined in the agreement, and indebtedness secured by liens permitted under the agreement. We believe that as of December 31, 2011, we were, and currently are, in compliance with all of our debt covenants.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We previously maintained a \$675.0 million five-year unsecured revolving senior credit facility, which we referred to as the March 2007 credit agreement. The total spread and fees ranged from 0.32% to 0.675% over the LIBOR applicable to the currency of the borrowing and were based on our credit rating as determined by the major rating agencies. There were no borrowings outstanding under the March 2007 credit agreement at December 31, 2010.

Our \$325.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes, issued in January 2005, bear interest at a rate of 5.10%, payable semi-annually on February 1 and August 1 of each year. The effective interest rate on these senior notes is approximately 5.19%. These senior notes mature on February 1, 2015.

On December 10, 2010, we concluded the sale of \$350.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes through a public offering at a price of 99.101% of par netting us \$346.9 million in proceeds. We used \$100.0 million of the net proceeds from the sale of these senior notes to fund pension obligations (\$50.0 million of which was contributed in December 2010 and \$50.0 million in January 2011), with the remainder used to repay other indebtedness. These senior notes bear an interest rate of 4.50%, which is payable semi-annually on June 15 and December 15 of each year, beginning June 15, 2011. The effective interest rate on these senior notes is approximately 4.70%. These senior notes mature on December 15, 2020.

We have additional agreements with financial institutions that provide for borrowings under uncommitted credit lines up to a maximum of \$60.0 million. There were no outstanding borrowings under these agreements at either December 31, 2011 or December 31, 2010. The average interest rate on borrowings under these agreements during 2011 and 2010 was 1.43% and 1.44%, respectively.

On December 31, 2010, one of our foreign subsidiaries had an agreement with a foreign bank that provided an immediate, uncommitted credit line of up to 70 million Euros. At December 31, 2010, there were outstanding borrowings of 70 million Euros (approximately \$92.2 million at December 31, 2010, based on applicable exchange rates) under this agreement. The average rate on borrowings under this agreement was 1.3% at December 31, 2010. This borrowing was repaid in January 2011, and the related credit line was cancelled.

We have an agreement with a foreign bank that provides immediate U.S Dollar or Euro-denominated borrowings under uncommitted credit lines up to a maximum of \$48.0 million or the Euro equivalent. At December 31, 2011, there were no outstanding borrowings under this agreement.

One of our foreign subsidiaries has existing agreements with several foreign banks, which provide immediate borrowings under uncommitted credit lines up to a maximum of 4.5 billion Japanese Yen (approximately \$57.7 million at December 31, 2011, based on applicable exchange rates). At December 31, 2011 there were no outstanding borrowings under these agreements and at December 31, 2010 there were outstanding borrowing under these agreements of \$8.2 million. The weighted average interest rate on borrowings under these agreements during 2011 and 2010 was 1.07% and 1.19%, respectively.

Certain of our remaining foreign subsidiaries have additional agreements with foreign institutions that provide immediate uncommitted credit lines, on a short term basis, up to an aggregate maximum of approximately \$97.2 million, of which \$83.2 million supports foreign subsidiaries based in China. We have guaranteed these agreements. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, there were borrowings under these agreements of \$50.3 million and \$38.5 million, respectively. The weighted average interest rate on borrowings under these agreements was 6.1% and 4.90% at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had the ability to refinance our borrowings under our other existing credit lines with borrowings under the September 2011 and March 2007 credit agreements, respectively. Therefore, the amounts outstanding under our other existing credit lines are classified as long-term debt at December 31, 2011 and 2010. At December 31, 2011, we had the ability to borrow \$750.0 million under our September 2011 credit agreement, plus an additional \$250.0 million if needed, subject to the terms of the September 2011 credit agreement.

Our consolidated joint venture JBC has foreign currency denominated debt, which amounted to \$40.8 million and \$46.2 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and principally includes (i) foreign plant-related construction borrowings maturing in April 2015 amounting to

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

\$18.8 million and \$23.8 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, which bore interest at rates ranging from 4.28% to 7.12% at December 31, 2011, and (ii) a capitalized lease obligation maturing in July 2012 related to certain plant equipment amounting to \$2.0 million and \$5.9 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, bearing interest at 5.5%. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the JBC debt also included a \$6.0 million unsecured non-interest bearing loan from its other shareholder. At December 31, 2011, JBC had additional borrowing capacity of approximately \$23.0 million.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****NOTE 13 Other Noncurrent Liabilities:**

Other noncurrent liabilities consist of the following at December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|---|---------------------|----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Liabilities related to uncertain tax positions ^(a) | \$ 30,677 | \$ 21,875 |
| Executive deferred compensation plan obligation | 16,786 | 17,763 |
| Deferred revenue long-term | 11,412 | 13,918 |
| Asset retirement obligations ^(b) | 14,865 | 14,213 |
| Other | 37,367 | 41,042 |
| Total | \$ 111,107 | \$ 108,811 |

(a) See Note 18, Income Taxes.

(b) See Note 15, Commitments and Contingencies.

NOTE 14 Stock-based Compensation Expense:*Incentive Plans*

We have various share-based compensation plans that authorize the granting of (i) stock options to purchase shares of our common stock, (ii) restricted common stock awards, (iii) performance unit awards and (iv) stock appreciation rights (SARs) to employees and non-employee directors. The plans provide for payment of incentive awards in one or more of the following at our option: cash, shares of our common stock, qualified and non-qualified stock options, SARs, restricted stock awards and performance unit awards. The share-based awards granted by us generally contain vesting provisions ranging from one to five years, and with respect to stock options granted by us, have a term of not more than ten years from the date of grant. Stock options granted to employees generally vest over three years and have a term of ten years. Restricted common stock awards vest in periods ranging from one to five years from the date of grant. Performance unit awards are earned at a level ranging from zero to 200% contingent upon the achievement of specific performance criteria over periods ranging from one to two years. Distribution of the earned units occurs generally 50% upon completion of a two-year measurement period with the remaining 50% of the earned units distributed one year thereafter.

We granted 401,500, 389,000 and 1,255,500 stock options during 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. There were no significant modifications made to any share-based grants during these periods.

On April 20, 2010, the maximum number of shares available for issuance to participants under the Albemarle Corporation 2008 Incentive Plan (the Incentive Plan) increased by 4,470,000 shares to 7,470,000 shares. With respect to any awards, other than stock options or SARs, the number of shares available for awards under the Incentive Plan were reduced by 1.6 shares for each share covered by such award or to which such award related. Under the Albemarle Corporation 2008 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the Non-Employee Directors Plan), a maximum aggregate number of 100,000 shares of our common stock was authorized for issuance to the Company's non-employee directors. The fair market value of shares to be issued to each participant during a calendar year shall not exceed \$100,000. At December 31, 2011, there were 4,723,670 shares available for grant under the Incentive Plan and 49,875 shares available for grant under the Non-Employee Directors Plan.

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

Total stock-based compensation expense associated with our incentive plans for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 amounted to \$27.1 million, \$15.7 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, and is included in cost of goods sold and selling, general and administrative, or SG&A, expenses on the consolidated statements of income. Total related recognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 amounted to \$10.0 million, \$5.8 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. During 2009, we reduced certain of our stock-based compensation accruals in the amount of \$7.8 million based on revised estimates of expected performance. This accrual reversal served to offset a significant portion of stock-based compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The following table summarizes information about the Company's fixed-price stock options as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011:

| | Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|---|--|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2010 | 1,972,522 | \$ 24.17 | 7.2 | \$ 62,357 |
| Granted | 401,500 | 56.34 | | |
| Exercised | (169,350) | 13.17 | | |
| Forfeited | (33,500) | 34.37 | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2011 | 2,171,172 | \$ 30.82 | 7.1 | \$ 46,846 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2011 | 426,937 | \$ 22.54 | 4.1 | \$ 12,368 |

The fair value of each option granted during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Dividend yield | 1.53% | 1.66% | 2.13% |
| Volatility | 33.04% | 33.13% | 32.94% |
| Average expected life (years) | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 3.67% | 3.92% | 3.37% |
| Fair value of options granted | \$ 18.42 | \$ 13.76 | \$ 6.70 |

Dividend yield is the average of historical yields and those estimated over the average expected life. The stock volatility is based on historical volatilities of our common stock. The average expected life represents the weighted average period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding giving consideration to vesting schedules and our historical exercise patterns. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury strip rate with stripped coupon interest for the period equal to the contractual term of the share option grant in effect at the time of grant.

The intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$7.9 million, \$15.1 million and \$5.8 million, respectively. The intrinsic value of a stock option is the amount by which the market value of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of the option.

Total compensation cost not yet recognized for nonvested stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2011 is approximately \$6.2 million and is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average period of 1.3 years. Cash proceeds from stock options exercised and tax benefits related to stock options exercised were \$2.2 million and \$2.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, respectively. The Company issues new shares of common stock upon exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted common stock awards.

The following table summarizes activity in performance unit awards:

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--|----------|--|-----------|--|
| | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2009 | |
| | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| Nonvested, beginning of period | 184,196 | \$ 41.88 | 7,500 | \$ 38.41 | 1,263,500 | \$ 30.04 |
| Granted | 190,700 | 56.14 | 198,700 | 41.94 | | |
| Vested | (2,946) | 38.41 | (2,947) | 38.41 | (575,890) | 21.60 |
| Forfeited | (1,800) | 48.26 | (19,057) | 41.64 | (680,110) | 37.10 |
| Nonvested, end of period | 370,150 | 49.23 | 184,196 | 41.88 | 7,500 | 38.41 |

Total compensation cost not yet recognized for nonvested performance unit awards outstanding as of December 31, 2011 is approximately \$11.8 million and is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average period of one year. Each performance unit represents one share of common stock. The fair value of the performance based restricted stock was estimated on the date of grant.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The following table summarizes activity in non-performance based restricted stock awards:

| | 2011 | | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | 2009 | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|--|---------------------------------|--|----------|--|
| | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| Nonvested, beginning of period | 333,416 | \$ 34.38 | 535,625 | \$ 35.10 | 536,700 | \$ 36.53 |
| Granted | 63,600 | 58.43 | 92,750 | 40.98 | 88,625 | 21.85 |
| Vested | (159,751) | 35.94 | (250,126) | 37.48 | (69,700) | 28.98 |
| Forfeited | (9,000) | 48.64 | (44,833) | 39.43 | (20,000) | 36.03 |
| Nonvested, end of period | 228,265 | 41.35 | 333,416 | 34.38 | 535,625 | 35.10 |

Total compensation cost not yet recognized for nonvested non-performance based restricted shares as of December 31, 2011 is approximately \$4.3 million and is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average period of 2.2 years. The fair value of the non-performance based restricted stock was estimated on the date of grant adjusted for a dividend factor, if necessary.

Deferred Directors Compensation

Under the 1996 Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan (as amended and restated in 2005), a maximum aggregate number of 200,000 shares of our common stock is authorized for issuance to the Company's non-employee directors. In 2009, the Company made a discretionary allocation of 700 shares per director (5,600 common shares) to each director's deferral account with a one-year minimum deferral period.

NOTE 15 Commitments and Contingencies:

In the ordinary course of business, we have commitments in connection with various activities, the most significant of which are as follows:

Environmental

We had the following activity in our recorded environmental liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 13,806 | \$ 15,567 | \$ 18,970 |
| Expenditures | (1,081) | (1,128) | (1,414) |
| Changes in estimates recorded to earnings and other | (270) | 419 | (2,202) |
| Foreign currency translation | (96) | (1,052) | 213 |
| Balance, end of year | 12,359 | 13,806 | 15,567 |
| Less amounts reported in Accrued expenses | 1,433 | 1,661 | 5,775 |

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Amounts reported in Other noncurrent liabilities | \$ 10,926 | \$ 12,145 | \$ 9,792 |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|

The amounts recorded represent our future remediation and other anticipated environmental liabilities. Approximately 70% of our recorded liability is related to the closure and post-closure activities at a former landfill associated with our Bergheim, Germany site, which was recorded at the time of our acquisition of this site in 2001. This closure project has been approved under the authority of the governmental permit for this site and is scheduled for completion in 2017, with post-closure monitoring to occur for 30 years thereafter. The remainder of our recorded liability is associated with sites that are being evaluated under governmental authority but for which final remediation plans have not yet been approved. These liabilities typically arise during the normal course of our operational and environmental management activities or at the time of acquisition of the site, and are based on internal analysis as well as input from outside consultants. As evaluations proceed at each relevant site, changes in risk assessment practices, remediation techniques and regulatory requirements can occur, therefore such liability estimates may be adjusted accordingly. The timing and duration of remediation activities at these sites will be determined when evaluations are completed. Although it is difficult to quantify the potential magnitude of these remediation liabilities, management estimates (based on the latest available information) that there is a reasonable possibility that future environmental remediation costs associated with our past operations, in excess of amounts already recorded, could be up to approximately \$16.5 million before income taxes.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

We believe that any sum we may be required to pay in connection with environmental remediation matters in excess of the amounts recorded should occur over a period of time and should not have a material adverse effect upon our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows on a consolidated annual basis although any such sum could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows in a particular quarterly reporting period.

Rental Expense

Our rental expenses include a capital lease related to machinery and equipment at JBC and a number of operating lease agreements, primarily for office space, transportation equipment and storage facilities. The following schedule details the future non-cancelable minimum lease payments for the next five years and thereafter (in thousands):

| | Minimum Capital Lease Payments | Minimum Operating Lease Payments |
|---|---|---|
| 2012 | \$ 2,151 | \$ 8,337 |
| 2013 | | \$ 5,140 |
| 2014 | | \$ 3,480 |
| 2015 | | \$ 2,657 |
| 2016 | | \$ 2,110 |
| Thereafter | | \$ 10,091 |
| Total minimum obligations | 2,151 | |
| Interest | (145) | |
| Present value of net minimum obligations | 2,006 | |
| Current portion | 2,006 | |
| Long-term obligations | \$ | |

Rental expense was approximately \$30.9 million, \$29.0 million, and \$27.3 million for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Rental expense is shown net of rental income which was minimal during 2011, 2010 and 2009.

Litigation

On July 3, 2006, we received a Notice of Violation (the 2006 NOV) from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 4, or EPA, regarding the implementation of the Pharmaceutical Maximum Achievable Control Technology standards at our plant in Orangeburg, South Carolina. The alleged violations include (i) the applicability of the specific regulations to certain intermediates manufactured at the plant, (ii) failure to comply with certain reporting requirements, (iii) improper evaluation and testing to properly implement the regulations and (iv) the sufficiency of the leak detection and repair program at the plant. In the second quarter of 2011, the Company was served with a complaint by the EPA in the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, based on the alleged violations set out in the 2006 NOV seeking civil penalties and injunctive relief. The complaint was subsequently amended to add the State of South Carolina as a plaintiff. We intend to vigorously defend this action. Any settlement or finding adverse to us could result in the payment by us of fines, penalties, capital expenditures, or some combination thereof. At this time, it is not possible to predict with any certainty the outcome of this litigation or the financial impact which may result therefrom. However, we do not expect any financial impact to have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

In addition, we are involved from time to time in legal proceedings of types regarded as common in our business, including administrative or judicial proceedings seeking remediation under environmental laws, such as Superfund, products liability, breach of contract liability and premises liability litigation. Where appropriate, we may establish financial reserves as estimated by our general counsel for such proceedings. We also maintain insurance to mitigate certain of such risks. Costs for legal services are generally expensed as incurred.

Other

The following table summarizes our letters of credit and guarantee agreements (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Thereafter |
|----------------------------------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|------|------------|
| Letters of credit and guarantees | \$ 23,328 | \$ 7,374 | \$ 43 | \$ 95 | \$ 4 | \$ 5,076 |

The Company has standby letters of credit and guarantees with various financial institutions. At December 31, 2011, the Company had \$35.9 million of outstanding letters of credit and guarantees. The outstanding letters of credit are primarily related to performance bonds, environmental guarantees and insurance claim payment guarantees with expiration dates ranging from 2012 to 2020.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The majority of the Company's guarantees relates to custom and port authorities that have expiration dates ranging from one year to three years. The guarantees arose during the ordinary course of business. We do not have recorded reserves for the letters of credit and guarantees as of December 31, 2011. We are unable to estimate the maximum amount of the potential future liability under guarantees and letters of credit. However, we accrue for any potential loss for which we believe a future payment is probable and a range of loss can be reasonably estimated. We believe our liability under such obligations is immaterial.

In connection with the remediation activities at our Bergheim, Germany site as required by the German environmental authorities, we have pledged certain of our land and housing facilities at this site with a recorded value of \$5.8 million.

We had asset retirement obligations of \$14.9 million and \$14.2 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, associated with certain property and equipment. During 2011, we recorded a minimal amount of new asset retirement obligations, and the increase from December 31, 2010 is primarily related to accretion expense recorded during 2011. We have not recognized conditional asset retirement obligations for which a fair value cannot be reasonably estimated in our consolidated financial statements. It is the opinion of our management that the possibility is remote that such conditional asset retirement obligations, when estimable, will have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements based on current costs.

We currently, and are from time to time, subject to sales and use tax audits in various taxing jurisdictions in the United States and to customs audits globally. We do not expect the financial impact of any of these audits to have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

NOTE 16 Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income:

The components and activity in Accumulated other comprehensive loss (net of deferred income taxes) consisted of the following during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

| | Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments | Net Transition Asset | Net Prior Service Benefit | Net Benefit Plan Loss | Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Marketable Securities | Other | Total |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------|--------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | \$ 97,962 | \$ 12 | \$ 13,782 | \$ (211,545) | \$ (5) | \$ (848) | \$ (100,642) |
| Current period change | 39,354 | (10) | (8,556) | (29,901) | 1 | (670) | 218 |
| Tax benefit (expense) | (5,082) | 4 | 3,060 | 10,303 | | 279 | 8,564 |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | 132,234 | 6 | 8,286 | (231,143) | (4) | (1,239) | (91,860) |
| Current period change | (56,620) | (9) | (2,978) | (12,907) | 1 | 163 | (72,350) |
| Tax benefit (expense) | (6,009) | 3 | 1,123 | 4,956 | | (59) | 14 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 69,605 | | 6,431 | (239,094) | (3) | (1,135) | (164,196) |
| Current period change | (17,269) | | (2,158) | (70,046) | 1 | 257 | (89,215) |
| Tax benefit (expense) | 3,909 | | 794 | 25,882 | (1) | (95) | 30,489 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 56,245 | \$ | \$ 5,067 | \$ (283,258) | \$ (3) | \$ (973) | \$ (222,922) |

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 17 Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits:

We have certain noncontributory defined benefit pension plans covering certain U.S., German, Japanese, and the Netherlands employees. We also have a contributory defined benefit plan covering certain Belgian employees. The benefits for these plans are based primarily on compensation and/or years of service. The funding policy for each plan complies with the requirements of relevant governmental laws and regulations. The pension information for all periods presented includes amounts related to salaried and hourly plans.

During 2009, the U.S. defined benefit pension plans were amended to be in compliance with the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (PPA), which was signed into law on August 16, 2006. This law amended the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) and included new rules regarding methods and assumptions, including measuring the benefit obligation and plan assets, use of interest rate assumptions, mortality tables, valuation date, credit balances for carryover, and pre-funded balances, etc.

Our U.S. defined benefit plan for non-represented employees was closed to new participants effective March 31, 2004. For participants who retire on or after December 31, 2012, final average earnings shall be determined as of December 31, 2012, and for participants who retire on or after December 31, 2020, final average earnings shall be determined as of December 31, 2014.

On March 31, 2004, a new defined contribution pension plan for U.S. non-represented employees hired after March 31, 2004 was adopted. The annual contribution to the defined contribution plan is based on 5% of eligible employee compensation and was amended January 1, 2007 to increase the pension contributions to 6% and 7% for certain employees depending on years of service. Contributions amounted to \$4.5 million, \$3.9 million and \$3.5 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We also have a defined contribution pension plan for employees in the United Kingdom. The annual contribution to the United Kingdom defined contribution plan is based on a percentage of eligible employee compensation and amounted to \$0.3 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

We have two benefit plans that cover employees in the Netherlands – a defined benefit plan and a plan similar to a collective defined contribution plan. Our defined benefit plan is a transitional arrangement in which benefits are based primarily on employee compensation and/or years of service. This plan is for certain individuals born on or before 1949 whom had a prior agreement, which we elected to honor, in connection with the refinery catalysts business acquisition in 2004. The collective defined contribution plan is supported by annuity contracts through an insurance company. The insurance company unconditionally undertakes the legal obligation to provide specific benefits to specific individuals in return for a fixed amount of premiums. Our obligation under this plan is limited to a variable calculated employer match for each participant plus an additional fixed amount of contributions to assist in covering estimated cost of living and salary increases (indexing) and administrative costs for the overall plan. We paid approximately \$9.9 million, \$8.8 million and \$9.0 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, in annual premiums and related costs pertaining to this plan.

Pension coverage for the employees of our other foreign subsidiaries is provided through separate plans. The plans are funded in conformity with the funding requirements of applicable governmental regulations. The pension cost, actuarial present value of benefit obligations and plan assets for all plans are combined in the other pension disclosure information presented.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The following provides a reconciliation of benefit obligations, plan assets, and funded status of the plans, as well as a summary of significant assumptions for our pension benefit plans (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2011 | | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Total Pension Benefits | Domestic Pension Benefits | Total Pension Benefits | Domestic Pension Benefits |
| Change in benefit obligations: | | | | |
| Benefit obligation at January 1 | \$ 613,880 | \$ 572,963 | \$ 570,871 | \$ 526,478 |
| Service cost | 12,830 | 11,169 | 11,271 | 9,577 |
| Interest cost | 32,933 | 30,945 | 31,844 | 29,934 |
| Plan amendments | 508 | 508 | 288 | 288 |
| Actuarial loss | 49,729 | 48,977 | 40,289 | 40,124 |
| Benefits paid | (35,249) | (30,378) | (37,528) | (33,438) |
| Employee contributions | 299 | | 258 | |
| Foreign exchange loss (gain) | (265) | | (3,413) | |
| | | | | |
| Benefit obligation at December 31 | \$ 674,665 | \$ 634,184 | \$ 613,880 | \$ 572,963 |
| | | | | |
| Change in plan assets: | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at January 1 | \$ 507,064 | \$ 498,967 | \$ 417,125 | \$ 410,037 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 3,107 | 2,662 | 50,988 | 50,471 |
| Employer contributions | 56,105 | 51,157 | 76,841 | 71,897 |
| Benefits paid | (35,249) | (30,378) | (37,528) | (33,438) |
| Employee contributions | 299 | | 258 | |
| Foreign exchange gain (loss) | (221) | | (620) | |
| | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at December 31 | \$ 531,105 | \$ 522,408 | \$ 507,064 | \$ 498,967 |
| | | | | |
| Funded status at December 31 | \$ (143,560) | \$ (111,776) | \$ (106,816) | \$ (73,996) |
| | | | | |
| | December 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
| | Total Pension Benefits | Domestic Pension Benefits | Total Pension Benefits | Domestic Pension Benefits |
| Amounts recognized in consolidated balance sheets: | | | | |
| Current liabilities (accrued expenses) | \$ (15,596) | \$ (13,927) | \$ (3,980) | \$ (1,332) |
| Noncurrent liabilities (pension benefits) | (127,964) | (97,849) | (102,836) | (72,664) |
| | | | | |
| Net pension liability | \$ (143,560) | \$ (111,776) | \$ (106,816) | \$ (73,996) |
| | | | | |
| Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss: | | | | |
| Prior service benefit | \$ (7,200) | \$ (7,623) | \$ (8,661) | \$ (9,141) |

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Net actuarial loss | 420,909 | 416,314 | 351,778 | 346,699 |
| Net amount recognized | \$ 413,709 | \$ 408,691 | \$ 343,117 | \$ 337,558 |

Weighted-average assumption percentages:

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Discount rate | 5.04% | 5.07% | 5.40% | 5.45% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 3.96% | 4.11% | 3.93% | 4.11% |

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit pension plans was \$657.0 million and \$598.6 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Postretirement medical benefits and life insurance is provided for certain groups of U.S. retired employees. Medical and life insurance benefit costs have been funded principally on a pay-as-you-go basis. Although the availability of medical coverage after retirement varies for different groups of employees, the majority of employees who retire before becoming eligible for Medicare can continue group coverage by paying a portion of the cost of a monthly premium designed to cover the claims incurred by retired employees subject to a cap on payments allowed. The availability of group coverage for Medicare-eligible retirees also varies by employee group with coverage designed either to supplement or coordinate with Medicare. Retirees generally pay a portion of the cost of the coverage. Plan assets for retiree life insurance are held under an insurance contract and are reserved for retiree life insurance benefits. In 2005, the postretirement medical benefit available to U.S. employees was changed to provide that employees who are under age 50 as of December 31, 2005 would no longer be eligible for a company-paid retiree medical premium subsidy. Employees who are of age 50 and above as of December 31, 2005 and who retire after January 1, 2006 will have their retiree medical premium subsidy capped. Effective January 1, 2008, our medical insurance for certain groups of U.S. retired employees is now insured through a medical carrier.

In connection with the acquisition of the refinery catalysts business in 2004, we assumed the obligation for postretirement medical benefits for employees in the Netherlands who will retire after August 2009. The benefit costs are funded principally on a pay-as-you-go basis. However, effective January 1, 2007, the Netherlands postretirement plan was terminated.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The following provides a reconciliation of benefit obligations, plan assets, and funded status of the plans, as well as a summary of significant assumptions for our postretirement benefit plans (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|---|--|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| | Total Other Postretirement Benefits | Total Other Postretirement Benefits |
| Change in benefit obligations: | | |
| Benefit obligation at January 1 | \$ 66,436 | \$ 65,739 |
| Service cost | 263 | 382 |
| Interest cost | 3,393 | 3,564 |
| Actuarial loss | 3,555 | 552 |
| Benefits paid | (4,712) | (3,801) |
| Benefit obligation at December 31 | \$ 68,935 | \$ 66,436 |
| Change in plan assets: | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at January 1 | \$ 7,985 | \$ 8,207 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 740 | 315 |
| Employer contributions | 3,668 | 3,264 |
| Benefits paid | (4,712) | (3,801) |
| Fair value of plan assets at December 31 | \$ 7,681 | \$ 7,985 |
| Funded status at December 31 | \$ (61,254) | \$ (58,451) |
| | | |
| | December 31, | |
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| | Total Other Postretirement Benefits | Total Other Postretirement Benefits |
| Amounts recognized in consolidated balance sheets: | | |
| Current liabilities (accrued expenses) | \$ (3,666) | \$ (3,437) |
| Noncurrent liabilities (postretirement benefits) | (57,588) | (55,014) |
| Net postretirement liability | \$ (61,254) | \$ (58,451) |
| Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss: | | |
| Prior service benefit | (620) | (1,317) |
| Net actuarial loss | 19,801 | 18,885 |

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

Net amount recognized \$ 19,181 \$ 17,568

| | | |
|--|-------|-------|
| Weighted-average assumption percentages: | | |
| Discount rate | 5.10% | 5.30% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 4.00% | 4.00% |

The components of pension benefits expense are as follows (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2011 | | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | Year Ended December 31, 2009 | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Total Pension Benefits | Domestic Pension Benefits | Total Pension Benefits | Domestic Pension Benefits | Total Pension Benefits | Domestic Pension Benefits |
| Service cost | \$ 12,830 | \$ 11,169 | \$ 11,271 | \$ 9,577 | \$ 10,568 | \$ 8,544 |
| Interest cost | 32,933 | 30,945 | 31,844 | 29,934 | 32,967 | 30,608 |
| Expected return on assets | (48,645) | (48,235) | (41,941) | (41,630) | (42,341) | (42,080) |
| Amortization of net transition asset | | | (9) | (9) | (10) | (10) |
| Amortization of prior service benefit | (953) | (1,009) | (986) | (1,038) | (984) | (1,039) |
| Amortization of net loss | 26,137 | 24,934 | 17,410 | 16,222 | 12,348 | 11,440 |
| Benefits expense | \$ 22,302 | \$ 17,804 | \$ 17,589 | \$ 13,056 | \$ 12,548 | \$ 7,463 |

Weighted-average assumption percentages:

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Discount rate | 5.40% | 5.45% | 5.77% | 5.86% | 6.45% | 6.50% |
| Expected return on plan assets | 8.19% | 8.25% | 8.19% | 8.25% | 8.69% | 8.75% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 3.93% | 4.11% | 3.90% | 4.11% | 4.11% | 4.33% |

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The estimated amounts to be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic pension costs during 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

| | Total Pension Benefits | Domestic Pension Benefits |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Amortization of prior service benefit | \$ (1,027) | \$ (1,084) |
| Amortization of net actuarial loss | \$ 36,635 | \$ 35,228 |

The components of postretirement benefits expense (income) are as follows (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | Total Other Postretirement Benefits | Total Other Postretirement Benefits | Total Other Postretirement Benefits |
| Service cost | \$ 263 | \$ 382 | \$ 438 |
| Interest cost | 3,393 | 3,564 | 3,769 |
| Expected return on assets | (509) | (526) | (571) |
| Amortization of prior service benefit | (697) | (1,704) | (7,572) |
| Amortization of net loss | 2,409 | 1,688 | 1,096 |
| Benefits expense (income) | \$ 4,859 | \$ 3,404 | \$ (2,840) |
| Weighted-average assumption percentages: | | | |
| Discount rate | 5.30% | 5.70% | 6.55% |
| Expected return on plan assets | 7.00% | 7.00% | 7.00% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 4.00% | 4.00% | 4.25% |

The estimated amounts to be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic postretirement costs during 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

| | Total Other Postretirement Benefits |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Amortization of prior service benefit | \$ (95) |
| Amortization of net actuarial loss | \$ 2,576 |

In estimating the expected return on plan assets, consideration is given to past performance and future performance expectations for the types of investments held by the plan, as well as the expected long term allocations of plan assets to these investments. The expected rate of return on plan assets for our domestic pension plans was 8.25% at December 31, 2011 and 2010. There has been no change to the assumed rate of return on our domestic pension plan assets effective January 1, 2012. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the expected rate of return on plan assets for our other postretirement benefit plan was 7.00%. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the weighted-average expected rate of return on pension plan

assets for foreign plans was 4.50%.

In December 2008, new accounting guidance was issued regarding employers' disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets. This new guidance was effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009 and requires additional disclosures regarding benefit plan assets including (a) the investment allocation decision process, (b) the fair value of each major category of plan assets and (c) the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of plan assets. We have adopted this guidance and have provided the additional disclosures required upon adoption.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). The inputs used to measure fair value are classified into the following hierarchy:

- Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- Level 2 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, or
Unadjusted quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or
Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability

We endeavor to utilize the best available information in measuring fair value. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Investments for which market quotations are readily available are valued at the closing price on the last business day of the year. Listed securities for which no sale was reported on such date are valued at the mean between the last reported bid and asked price. Securities traded in the over-the-counter market are valued at the closing price on the last business day of the year or at bid price. The net asset value of shares or units is based on the quoted market value of the underlying assets. The market value of corporate bonds is based on institutional trading lots and is most often reflective of bid price. Government securities are valued at the mean between bid and ask prices. Holdings in private investment companies are typically valued using the net asset valuations provided by the underlying private investment companies.

The following table sets forth our financial assets that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2011 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Items (Level 1) | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Similar Items (Level 2) | Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| Pension Assets: | | | | |
| Domestic Equity ^(a) | \$ 229,842 | \$ 173,710 | \$ 56,132 | \$ |
| International Equity ^(b) | 90,056 | | 90,056 | |
| Fixed Income ^(c) | 129,608 | 46,308 | 83,300 | |
| Absolute Return ^(d) | 78,432 | 5,407 | | 73,025 |
| Cash | 3,167 | 3,167 | | |
| Total Pension Assets | \$ 531,105 | \$ 228,592 | \$ 229,488 | \$ 73,025 |
| Postretirement Assets: | | | | |
| Fixed Income ^(c) | \$ 7,681 | \$ | \$ 7,681 | \$ |

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

- (a) Consists primarily of U.S. equity securities covering a diverse group of companies and U.S. stock funds that primarily track or are actively managed and measured against indices including the S&P 500 and the Russell 2000.
- (b) Consists primarily of international equity funds which include stocks and debt obligations of non-U.S. entities that primarily track or are actively managed and measured against various MSCI indices.
- (c) Consists primarily of fixed income mutual funds, corporate bonds, U.S. Treasury notes, other government securities and insurance policies.
- (d) Consists primarily of holdings in private investment companies. See additional information about the Absolute Return investments below.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of the plans' Level 3 assets for the year ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2011 |
|--|---|
| Absolute Return: | |
| Beginning Balance | \$ 69,399 |
| Total gains relating to assets sold during the period ^(a) | 4,471 |
| Total unrealized losses relating to assets still held at the reporting date ^(a) | (6,367) |
| Purchases | 25,000 |
| Sales | (19,478) |
| Ending Balance | \$ 73,025 |

(a) These gains (losses) are recognized in the consolidated balance sheets and are included as changes in plan assets in the tables above. The following table sets forth our financial assets that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2010 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Items (Level 1) | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Similar Items (Level 2) | Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Pension Assets: | | | | |
| Domestic Equity ^{(a)(e)} | \$ 233,788 | \$ 173,079 | \$ 60,709 | \$ |
| International Equity ^(b) | 91,239 | | 91,239 | |
| Fixed Income ^(c) | 108,471 | 38,859 | 69,612 | |
| Absolute Return ^{(d)(e)} | 70,520 | 1,121 | | 69,399 |
| Cash | 3,046 | 3,046 | | |
| Total Pension Assets | \$ 507,064 | \$ 216,105 | \$ 221,560 | \$ 69,399 |
| Postretirement Assets: | | | | |
| Fixed Income ^(c) | \$ 7,985 | \$ | \$ 7,985 | \$ |

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

- (a) Consists primarily of U.S. equity securities covering a diverse group of companies and U.S. stock funds that primarily track or are actively managed and measured against indices including the S&P 500 and the Russell 2000.
- (b) Consists primarily of international equity funds which include stocks and debt obligations of non-U.S. entities that primarily track or are actively managed and measured against various MSCI indices.
- (c) Consists primarily of fixed income mutual funds, corporate bonds, U.S. Treasury notes, other government securities and insurance policies.
- (d) Consists primarily of holdings in private investment companies. See additional information about the Absolute Return investments below.
- (e) In 2011, amounts were reclassified from Absolute Return to Domestic Equity, levels 1 and 2 only. These reclassifications had no impact on total pension assets by level.

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of the plans' Level 3 assets for the year ended December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2010 |
|---|---|
| Absolute Return: | |
| Beginning Balance | \$ 65,902 |
| Total gains relating to assets sold during the period ^(a) | 79 |
| Total unrealized gains relating to assets still held at the reporting date ^(a) | 4,537 |
| Sales | (1,119) |
| Ending Balance | \$ 69,399 |

(a) These gains are recognized in the consolidated balance sheets and are included as changes in plan assets in the tables above. The investment objective of the U.S. pension plan assets is maximum return with a strong emphasis on preservation of capital. Assets should participate in rising markets, with defensive action in declining markets expected to an even greater degree. Target

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

asset allocations include 65% in long equity holdings and the remaining 35% in asset classes that provide diversification from traditional long equity holdings. Depending on market conditions, the broad asset class targets may range up or down by approximately 10%. These asset classes include, but are not limited to hedge fund of funds, bonds and other fixed income vehicles, high yield equities and distressed debt.

Our Absolute Return investments consist primarily of our investments in hedge fund of funds. These are holdings in private investment companies with fair values that are based on significant unobservable inputs including assumptions where there is little, if any, market activity for the investment. Investment managers or fund managers associated with these investments provide valuations of the investments on a monthly basis utilizing the net asset valuation approach for determining fair values. These valuations are reviewed by the Company for reasonableness based on applicable sector, benchmark and company performance to validate the appropriateness of the net asset values as a fair value measurement. Where available, audited financial statements are obtained and reviewed for the investments as support for the manager's investment valuation. In general, the investment objective of these funds is high risk-adjusted returns with an emphasis on preservation of capital. The investment strategies of each of the funds vary; however, the objective of our Absolute Return investments is complementary to the overall investment objective of our U.S. pension plan assets.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, equity securities held by our pension and other postretirement benefit plans did not include Albemarle common stock.

On January 3, 2011, we made a \$50.0 million contribution to our domestic qualified pension plans. We expect contributions to our domestic nonqualified and foreign qualified and nonqualified pension plans in 2012, including our SERP, to approximate \$17 million. Also, we expect to pay approximately \$5.3 million in premiums to our U.S. postretirement benefit plan in 2012. However, we may choose to make additional voluntary pension contributions in excess of these amounts.

The current forecast of benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, amounts to (in millions):

| | Total Pension Benefits | Domestic Pension Benefits | Total Postretirement Benefits |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2012 | \$ 47.9 | \$ 46.0 | \$ 5.1 |
| 2013 | \$ 38.0 | \$ 35.2 | \$ 5.2 |
| 2014 | \$ 39.5 | \$ 36.6 | \$ 5.3 |
| 2015 | \$ 39.9 | \$ 38.0 | \$ 5.3 |
| 2016 | \$ 40.8 | \$ 39.2 | \$ 5.3 |
| 2017-2021 | \$ 235.1 | \$ 222.6 | \$ 23.5 |

We have a supplemental executive retirement plan, or SERP, which provides unfunded supplemental retirement benefits to certain management or highly compensated employees. The SERP provides for incremental pension payments to offset the limitations imposed by federal income tax regulations. Expenses relating to the SERP of \$4.5 million, \$3.6 million and \$3.6 million were recorded for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The projected benefit obligation for the SERP recognized in the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$31.9 million and \$28.2 million, respectively. The benefit expenses and obligations of this SERP are included in the tables above. Benefits of \$13.9 million are expected to be paid to SERP retirees in 2012. The SERP was amended to reflect the same changes as the U.S. qualified defined benefit plan. For participants who retire on or after December 31, 2012, final average earnings shall be determined as of December 31, 2012, and for participants who retire on or after December 31, 2020, final average earnings shall be determined as of December 31, 2014.

In selecting the rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered health care benefits, we consider past performance and forecasts of future health care cost trends in relation to the employer-paid premium cap. At December 31, 2011, the previously assumed ultimate rate of increase in the pre-65 and post-65 per capita cost of covered health care benefits for U.S. retirees was reduced to zero as the employer-paid premium cap is

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

expected to meet the cap starting January 1, 2013. For 2012, the assumed trend rate for pre-65 coverage is 8.0% per year, ultimately decreasing to zero in the year 2013 due to the employer-paid premium cap. The 2012 assumed trend rate for post-65 coverage is expected to be zero due to the employer-paid premium cap.

A 1% increase or decrease in the U.S. health care cost trend rate would not have a material effect on the benefit obligation and service and interest benefit cost components.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS***Other Postemployment Benefits*

Certain postemployment benefits to former or inactive employees who are not retirees are funded on a pay-as-you-go basis. These benefits include salary continuance, severance and disability health care and life insurance, which are accounted for in accordance with authoritative guidance. The accrued postemployment benefit liability was \$0.6 million and \$0.7 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

NOTE 18 Income Taxes:

Income before income taxes and equity in net income of unconsolidated investments and current and deferred income tax expense (benefit) are composed of the following (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Income before income taxes and equity in net income of unconsolidated investments: | | | |
| Domestic | \$ 280,245 | \$ 235,222 | \$ 103,050 |
| Foreign | 270,378 | 156,881 | 57,223 |
| Total | \$ 550,623 | \$ 392,103 | \$ 160,273 |
| Current income tax expense (benefit): | | | |
| Federal ^(a) | \$ 82,379 | \$ 14,620 | \$ (23,908) |
| State | 4,774 | 5,224 | 772 |
| Foreign | 28,179 | 25,776 | 14,388 |
| Total | \$ 115,332 | \$ 45,620 | \$ (8,748) |
| Deferred income tax expense (benefit): | | | |
| Federal | \$ 1,626 | \$ 57,194 | \$ 29,618 |
| State | 850 | (691) | 1,341 |
| Foreign | 12,206 | (9,404) | (29,239) |
| Total | \$ 14,682 | \$ 47,099 | \$ 1,720 |
| Total income tax expense (benefit) | \$ 130,014 | \$ 92,719 | \$ (7,028) |

(a) Current income tax expense Federal for the year ended December 31, 2010 is net of a tax benefit from an NOL carryforward of \$9.6 million.

The significant differences between the U.S. federal statutory rate and the effective income tax rate are as follows:

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

| | % of Income Before Income Taxes | | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Federal statutory rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| State taxes, net of federal tax benefit | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Change in valuation allowance | (0.3) | (0.4) | (0.9) |
| Impact of foreign earnings, net ^(a) | (9.8) | (9.4) ^(c) | (22.6) |
| Effect of net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | | | (0.8) |
| Effect of completing domestic audits | | | 5.7 ^(b) |
| Depletion | (0.8) | (1.0) | (1.7) |
| Revaluation of unrecognized tax benefits/reserve requirements | (0.1) | 0.1 | (19.7) ^(b) |
| Manufacturer tax deduction | (1.0) | (1.6) | ^(d) |
| Other items, net | (0.1) | (0.4) | (0.5) |
| Effective income tax rate | 23.6% | 23.6% | (4.4)% |

- (a) In prior years, we designated the undistributed earnings of substantially all of our foreign subsidiaries as permanently reinvested. The benefit of the lower tax rates in the jurisdictions for which we made this designation have been reflected in our effective income tax rate. During 2011, 2010 and 2009, we received distributions of \$33.8 million, \$68.7 million and \$6.1 million, respectively, from various foreign subsidiaries and joint ventures and realized an expense, net of foreign tax credits, of \$5.4 million, \$2.7 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, related to the repatriation of these

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

high taxed earnings. We have asserted for all periods being reported, permanent reinvestment of our share of the income of JBC, a Free Zones company under the laws of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan. The applicable provisions of the Jordanian law, and applicable regulations thereunder, do not have a termination provision and the exemption is permanent. As a Free Zones company, JBC is not subject to income taxes on the profits of products exported from Jordan and currently all of the profits are from exports.

(b) During 2009, the completion of IRS tax audits from 2005 through 2007 tax years provided a net benefit of \$19.5 million.

(c) The percentage impact of foreign earnings decreased significantly due to higher domestic earnings.

(d) In 2009, limitations imposed by our domestic taxable income precluded us from claiming the manufacturer tax deduction.

The deferred income tax assets and liabilities recorded on the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 consist of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|---|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Postretirement benefits other than pensions | \$ 15,705 | \$ 15,332 |
| Accrued employee benefits | 37,861 | 22,682 |
| Operating loss carryovers | 72,570 | 84,185 |
| Pensions | 45,213 | 32,335 |
| Tax credit carryovers | 49,999 | 43,856 |
| Other | 16,097 | 24,330 |
| | | |
| Gross deferred tax assets | 237,445 | 222,720 |
| Valuation allowance | (36,419) | (39,802) |
| | | |
| Deferred tax assets | 201,026 | 182,918 |
| | | |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Depreciation | (193,814) | (197,123) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (6,979) | (13,077) |
| Other | (19,801) | (15,193) |
| | | |
| Deferred tax liabilities | (220,594) | (225,393) |
| | | |
| Net deferred tax liabilities | \$ (19,568) | \$ (42,475) |
| | | |
| Classification in the consolidated balance sheets: | | |
| Current deferred tax assets | \$ 9,383 | \$ 4,689 |
| Current deferred tax liabilities | (2,005) | (2,223) |
| Noncurrent deferred tax assets | 50,957 | 64,629 |
| Noncurrent deferred tax liabilities | (77,903) | (109,570) |
| | | |
| Net deferred tax liabilities | \$ (19,568) | \$ (42,475) |

At December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$51.3 million of domestic credits available to offset future payments of income taxes, expiring in varying amounts between 2016 and 2026. We have established valuation allowances for \$2.7 million of those domestic credits since we believe

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

that it is more likely than not that the related deferred tax assets will not be realized. We believe that sufficient taxable income will be generated during the carryover period in order to utilize the other remaining credit carryovers.

At December 31, 2011, we have \$8.9 million of domestic net operating losses and \$211.6 million of foreign net operating loss carryovers. We have established valuation allowances for \$5.6 million of domestic net operating losses, and \$102.7 million of those foreign net operating loss carryovers since we believe that it is more likely than not that the related deferred tax assets will not be realized. The realization of the deferred tax assets is dependent on the generation of sufficient taxable income in the appropriate tax jurisdictions. Although realization is not assured, we believe it is more likely than not that the remaining deferred tax assets will be realized. However, the amount considered realizable could be reduced if estimates of future taxable income change. We believe that it is more likely than not that our company will generate sufficient taxable income in the future to fully utilize all other deferred tax assets.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Liabilities related to uncertain tax positions were \$30.7 million and \$21.9 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, inclusive of interest and penalties of \$0.9 million for both years, and are reported in Other noncurrent liabilities as provided in Note 13. These liabilities at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were reduced by \$21.8 million and \$12.3 million, respectively, for offsetting benefits from the corresponding effects of potential transfer pricing adjustments, state income taxes and rate arbitrage related to foreign structure. These offsetting benefits are recorded in Other assets as provided in Note 9. The resulting net liabilities of \$8.0 million and \$8.7 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, if recognized and released, would favorably affect earnings.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we recorded a reduction of interest and penalties of \$(2.8) million as a component of income tax expense (benefit) in connection with our liabilities related to uncertain tax positions.

The liabilities related to uncertain tax positions, exclusive of interest, were \$29.8 million and \$20.9 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The following is a reconciliation of our total gross liability related to uncertain tax positions for 2011, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Balance at January 1 | \$ 20,949 | \$ 23,416 | \$ 77,548 |
| Additions for tax positions related to prior years | | | 5,082 |
| Reductions for tax positions related to prior years | (1,639) | 150 | (48,054) |
| Additions for tax positions related to current year | 10,802 | 463 | 1,495 |
| Settlements | | | (12,627) |
| Lapses in statutes of limitations | (323) | (3,080) | (28) |
| Balance at December 31 | \$ 29,789 | \$ 20,949 | \$ 23,416 |

We are subject to income taxes in the U.S. and numerous foreign jurisdictions. We are no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax examinations by tax authorities for years prior to 2008 since the IRS has completed a review of our income tax returns through 2007, or for any U.S. state income tax audit prior to 2002.

With respect to jurisdictions outside the U.S., we are no longer subject to income tax audits for years prior to 2006. During 2011, we completed tax audits for one of our Belgian companies for 2008 and 2009, our Japanese company for 2006 through 2010, and two of our Chinese companies through 2010. During 2010, we completed a tax audit for one of our Belgian companies for the 2007 tax year. No significant tax was assessed as a result of these audits. We were informed in 2011 that German tax authorities would commence an audit of one of our German companies for 2006 through 2009, and Chinese tax authorities would commence an audit of one of our Chinese companies for 2006 through 2010.

While we believe we have adequately provided for all tax positions, amounts asserted by taxing authorities could be greater than our accrued position. Accordingly, additional provisions on federal and foreign tax-related matters could be recorded in the future as revised estimates are made or the underlying matters are settled or otherwise resolved.

Since the timing of resolutions and/or closure of tax audits is uncertain, it is difficult to predict with certainty the range of reasonably possible significant increases or decreases in the liability related to uncertain tax positions that may occur within the next twelve months. Our current view is that it is reasonably possible that we could record a decrease in the liability related to uncertain tax positions, relating to a number of issues, up to approximately \$5.9 million as a result of closure of tax statutes.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****NOTE 19 Special Items:**

Special items reported in the consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 consist of the following (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Restructuring and other charges ^(a) | \$ 6,958 | \$ 11,643 |
| Port de Bouc facility disposition charges ^(b) | | 12,393 |
| Total special items | \$ 6,958 | \$ 24,036 |

- (a) The year ended December 31, 2010 included charges amounting to \$7.0 million (\$4.6 million after income taxes) that related principally to reductions in force at our Bergheim, Germany site. The year ended December 31, 2009 results included \$11.6 million in pre-tax charges (\$7.6 million after income taxes) for restructuring and other costs, related principally to reductions in force and the write-off of assets at our Arkansas facility.
- (b) The year ended December 31, 2009 included charges amounting to \$12.4 million (\$8.2 million after income taxes) that related to the costs of the final contract settlement arising from the divestiture of our Port de Bouc, France facility to International Chemical Investors Group S.A. effective December 31, 2008.

We had the following activity in our recorded workforce reduction liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 7,074 | \$ 4,880 | \$ 20,276 |
| Workforce reduction charges | 1,859 | 6,605 | 4,912 |
| Payments | (4,292) | (3,568) | (19,932) |
| Amount reversed to income | 19 | (370) | (365) |
| Foreign currency translation | 120 | (473) | (11) |
| Balance, end of year | 4,780 | 7,074 | 4,880 |
| Less amounts reported in Accrued expenses | 2,843 | 3,845 | 4,880 |
| Amounts reported in Other noncurrent liabilities | \$ 1,937 | \$ 3,229 | \$ |

The year ended December 31, 2011 included charges of \$1.9 million related to restructuring programs at various manufacturing locations which are reflected in Cost of goods sold. Payments under these programs are expected to occur within the next 12 months.

The year ended December 31, 2010 included a charge of \$6.6 million related to reductions in force at our Bergheim, Germany site. Payments under this restructuring plan are expected to occur through 2014.

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

The year ended December 31, 2009 included a charge of \$4.9 million related to planned reductions in force at various company locations. The majority of the payments under this restructuring plan were paid in 2010.

NOTE 20 Fair Value of Financial Instruments:

In assessing the fair value of financial instruments, we use methods and assumptions that are based on market conditions and other risk factors existing at the time of assessment. Fair value information for our financial instruments is as follows:

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Trade and Other Accounts Receivables and Accounts Payable The carrying value approximates fair value due to their short-term nature.

Long-Term Debt The carrying value of long-term debt reported in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010, with the exceptions of the 4.50% and 5.10% senior notes and the JBC foreign currency denominated debt, approximates fair value as substantially all of the long-term debt bears interest based on prevailing variable market rates currently available in the countries in which we have borrowings. See Note 12, Long-Term Debt.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

| | 2011 | | December 31 | | 2010 | |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | Recorded Amount | Fair Value | Recorded Amount | Fair Value | Recorded Amount | Fair Value |
| | (In thousands) | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$ 763,673 | \$ 819,854 | \$ 860,910 | \$ 879,511 | | |

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts We enter into foreign currency forward contracts in connection with our risk management strategies in an attempt to minimize the financial impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates. These derivative financial instruments are used to manage risk and are not used for trading or other speculative purposes. The fair values of our foreign currency forward contracts are estimated based on current settlement values. At December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had outstanding foreign currency forward contracts with notional values totaling \$148.7 million and \$375.4 million, respectively. At December 31, 2011, \$0.9 million was included in Accrued expenses associated with the fair value of our foreign currency forward contracts. At December 31, 2010, we had balances of \$0.5 million and \$5.4 million in Other accounts receivable and Accrued expenses, respectively, associated with the fair value of our foreign currency forward contracts.

Gains and losses on foreign currency forward contracts are recognized currently in income; however, fluctuations in the value of these contracts are generally offset by the changes in the value of the underlying exposures being hedged. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 we recognized gains (losses) of \$1.0 million, \$(6.5) million and \$0.6 million, respectively, in Other income (expenses), net in our consolidated statements of income related to the change in the fair value of our foreign currency forward contracts. These amounts are substantially offset by changes in the value of the underlying exposures being hedged which are also reported in Other income (expenses), net. Also, for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we recorded \$(1.0) million and \$6.5 million, respectively, related to the change in the fair value of our foreign currency forward contracts, and cash settlements of \$(3.0) million and \$(1.3) million, respectively, in Other, net in our consolidated statement of cash flows. Such amounts were not material for the year ended December 31, 2009.

NOTE 21 Fair Value Measurement:

In September 2006, authoritative guidance established a common definition for fair value to be applied to U.S. GAAP requiring use of fair value and also established a framework for measuring fair value, while expanding disclosure about such fair value measurements. This guidance applied to other accounting pronouncements that required or permitted fair value measurements and was effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. In February 2008, the effective date of this guidance was delayed for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statement on a recurring basis, to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The adoption of the deferred portion on January 1, 2009 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. On January 1, 2008, we adopted the portion of the guidance that was not delayed, and since our existing fair value measurements are consistent with the guidance of the statement, the partial adoption of the statement did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). The inputs used to measure fair value are classified into the following hierarchy:

- Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- Level 2 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, or
Unadjusted quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or
Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We endeavor to utilize the best available information in measuring fair value. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The following tables set forth our financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2011 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Items (Level 1) | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Similar Items (Level 2) | Unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
|---|----------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Investments under executive deferred compensation plan ^(a) | \$ 16,786 | \$ 16,786 | \$ | \$ |
| Equity securities ^(b) | \$ 17 | \$ 17 | \$ | \$ |
| Pension assets ^(d) | \$ 531,105 | \$ 228,592 | \$ 229,488 | \$ 73,025 |
| Postretirement assets ^(d) | \$ 7,681 | \$ | \$ 7,681 | \$ |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Obligations under executive deferred compensation plan ^(a) | \$ 16,786 | \$ 16,786 | \$ | \$ |
| Foreign currency forward contracts ^(c) | \$ 869 | \$ | \$ 869 | \$ |
| | December 31, 2010 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Items (Level 1) | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Similar Items (Level 2) | Unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Investments under executive deferred compensation plan ^(a) | \$ 17,763 | \$ 17,763 | \$ | \$ |
| Equity securities ^(b) | \$ 29 | \$ 29 | \$ | \$ |
| Foreign currency forward contracts ^(c) | \$ 540 | \$ | \$ 540 | \$ |
| Pension assets ^(d) | \$ 507,064 | \$ 216,105 | \$ 221,560 | \$ 69,399 |
| Postretirement assets ^(d) | \$ 7,985 | \$ | \$ 7,985 | \$ |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Obligations under executive deferred compensation plan ^(a) | \$ 17,763 | \$ 17,763 | \$ | \$ |
| Foreign currency forward contracts ^(c) | \$ 5,413 | \$ | \$ 5,413 | \$ |

- (a) We maintain an Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, or the Plan, that was adopted in 2001 and subsequently amended. The purpose of the Plan is to provide current tax planning opportunities as well as supplemental funds upon the retirement or death of certain of our employees. The Plan is intended to aid in attracting and retaining employees of exceptional ability by providing them with these benefits. We also maintain a Benefit Protection Trust, or the Trust, that was credited to provide a source of funds to assist in meeting the obligations

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

of the Plan, subject to the claims of our creditors in the event of our insolvency. Assets of the Trust are consolidated in accordance with authoritative guidance. The assets of the Trust consist primarily of mutual fund investments (which are accounted for as trading securities and are marked-to-market on a monthly basis through the consolidated statements of income) and cash and cash equivalents. As such, these assets and obligations are classified within Level 1.

- (b) Our investments in equity securities are classified as available-for-sale and are reported in Investments in the consolidated balance sheets. The changes in fair value are reported in Other within Comprehensive income (loss) in our statements of changes in equity. The securities are classified within Level 1.
- (c) As a result of our global operating and financing activities, we are exposed to market risks from changes in interest and foreign currency exchange rates, which may adversely affect our operating results and financial position. When deemed appropriate, we minimize our risks from interest and foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations through the use of derivative financial instruments. The foreign currency forward contracts are valued using broker quotations or market transactions in either the listed or over-the counter markets. As such, these derivative instruments are classified within Level 2.
- (d) See Note 17 Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits for further discussion on fair value measurements of our pension and postretirement assets.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 22 Acquisitions:

In the third quarter of 2010, we purchased certain property and equipment in Yeosu, South Korea in connection with our plans for building a metallocene polyolefin catalyst and TMG manufacturing site. Cash payments related to this acquisition were \$6.5 million and \$8.0 million in 2011 and 2010, respectively.

On May 11, 2011, we announced that we had expanded our presence in the biofuels market with the acquisition of Catilin Inc. Based in Ames, Iowa, Catilin is a technology leader in the development and application of heterogeneous biodiesel catalysis. Catilin's technology and products will further strengthen our offerings for the renewable fuels market and will also provide benefit toward a number of research and development and distribution synergies resulting from the acquisition. Cash payments related to this acquisition were \$4.5 million in 2011.

NOTE 23 Operating Segments and Geographic Area Information:

We have identified three reportable segments as required by current accounting guidance. Our Polymer Solutions segment is comprised of the flame retardants and stabilizers and curatives product areas. Our Catalysts segment is comprised of the refinery catalysts and performance catalyst solutions product areas. Our Fine Chemistry segment is comprised of the performance chemicals and fine chemistry services and intermediates product areas. Segment income represents Operating profit (adjusted for significant non-recurring items) and Equity in net income of unconsolidated investments and is reduced by Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests. Segment data includes intersegment transfers of raw materials at cost and allocations for certain corporate costs.

Summarized financial information concerning our reportable segments is shown in the following tables. The Corporate & Other segment includes corporate-related items not allocated to the reportable segments.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Net sales: | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | \$ 1,001,922 | \$ 903,745 | \$ 697,206 |
| Catalysts | 1,116,863 | 890,007 | 808,063 |
| Fine Chemistry | 750,220 | 569,012 | 500,125 |
| Total net sales | \$ 2,869,005 | \$ 2,362,764 | \$ 2,005,394 |
| Segment operating profit: | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | \$ 239,918 | \$ 195,681 | \$ 63,780 |
| Catalysts | 287,342 | 219,125 | 129,691 |
| Fine Chemistry | 157,884 | 79,505 | 52,589 |
| Total segment operating profit | 685,144 | 494,311 | 246,060 |
| Equity in net income of unconsolidated investments: | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | 7,696 | 8,734 | 3,496 |
| Catalysts | 36,259 | 29,648 | 18,934 |
| Fine Chemistry | | | |
| Corporate & Other | (201) | (407) | (108) |
| Total equity in net income of unconsolidated investments | 43,754 | 37,975 | 22,322 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests: | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | (9,803) | (6,154) | (5,886) |
| Catalysts | | | |
| Fine Chemistry | (18,306) | (7,357) | (5,471) |
| Corporate & Other | 26 | (128) | 102 |
| Total net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (28,083) | (13,639) | (11,255) |
| Segment income: | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | 237,811 | 198,261 | 61,390 |
| Catalysts | 323,601 | 248,773 | 148,625 |
| Fine Chemistry | 139,578 | 72,148 | 47,118 |
| Total segment income | 700,990 | 519,182 | 257,133 |
| Corporate & Other | (97,479) | (73,040) | (35,750) |
| Restructuring and other charges | | (6,958) | (11,643) |
| Port de Bouc facility disposition charges | | | (12,393) |
| Interest and financing expenses | (37,574) | (25,533) | (24,584) |
| Other income (expenses), net | 357 | 2,788 | (1,423) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (130,014) | (92,719) | 7,028 |

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Net income attributable to Albemarle Corporation | \$ 436,280 | \$ 323,720 | \$ 178,368 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | 2011 | As of December 31, 2010 | 2009 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Identifiable assets: | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | \$ 692,924 | \$ 700,800 | \$ 667,133 |
| Catalysts | 1,308,528 | 1,204,586 | 1,184,841 |
| Fine Chemistry | 512,676 | 424,527 | 413,614 |
| Corporate & Other | 689,696 | 738,168 | 505,969 |
| Total identifiable assets | \$ 3,203,824 | \$ 3,068,081 | \$ 2,771,557 |
| Goodwill: | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | \$ 37,163 | \$ 36,210 | \$ 36,153 |
| Catalysts | 211,210 | 211,423 | 228,166 |
| Fine Chemistry | 24,772 | 24,605 | 28,402 |
| Total goodwill | \$ 273,145 | \$ 272,238 | \$ 292,721 |
| | 2011 | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | 2009 |
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Depreciation and amortization: | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | \$ 30,436 | \$ 30,854 | \$ 34,481 |
| Catalysts | 43,978 | 42,396 | 42,508 |
| Fine Chemistry | 21,004 | 21,570 | 22,751 |
| Corporate & Other | 1,335 | 758 | 773 |
| Total depreciation and amortization | \$ 96,753 | \$ 95,578 | \$ 100,513 |
| Capital expenditures: | | | |
| Polymer Solutions | \$ 51,186 | \$ 18,413 | \$ 24,209 |
| Catalysts | 63,478 | 38,967 | 39,872 |
| Fine Chemistry | 60,679 | 17,193 | 33,621 |
| Corporate & Other | 15,231 | 905 | 3,084 |
| Total capital expenditures | \$ 190,574 | \$ 75,478 | \$ 100,786 |
| | 2011 | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | 2009 |
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Net Sales:^(a) | | | |
| United States | \$ 1,106,580 | \$ 863,297 | \$ 801,201 |
| Foreign | 1,762,425 | 1,499,467 | 1,204,193 |
| Total | \$ 2,869,005 | \$ 2,362,764 | \$ 2,005,394 |

- (a) No sales in a foreign country exceed 10% of total net sales. Also, net sales are attributed to countries based upon shipments to final destination.

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

| | 2011 | As of December 31, 2010 | 2009 |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Long-Lived Assets: | | | |
| United States | \$ 652,022 | \$ 582,763 | \$ 569,873 |
| Netherlands | 185,799 | 186,960 | 213,925 |
| Jordan | 141,725 | 107,148 | 109,379 |
| Brazil | 83,452 | 75,816 | 60,743 |
| Germany | 70,051 | 67,579 | 70,128 |
| China | 64,449 | 63,672 | 61,431 |
| France | 28,652 | 25,075 | 21,851 |
| Korea | 25,008 | 12,074 | 73 |
| United Kingdom | 12,436 | 13,530 | 16,935 |
| Other foreign countries | 46,323 | 33,206 | 30,072 |
| Total | \$ 1,309,917 | \$ 1,167,823 | \$ 1,154,410 |

Net sales to external customers in each of the segments consists of the following:

| | 2011 | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | 2009 |
|--|------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Polymer Solutions: | | | |
| Flame Retardants | \$ 780,541 | \$ 688,801 | \$ 489,484 |
| Stabilizers and Curatives | 221,381 | 214,944 | 207,722 |
| Total Polymer Solutions | \$ 1,001,922 | \$ 903,745 | \$ 697,206 |
| Catalysts: | | | |
| Performance Catalyst Solutions | \$ 265,381 | \$ 221,416 | \$ 181,406 |
| Refinery Catalysts | 851,482 | 668,591 | 626,657 |
| Total Catalysts | \$ 1,116,863 | \$ 890,007 | \$ 808,063 |
| Fine Chemistry: | | | |
| Performance Chemicals | \$ 460,026 | \$ 361,044 | \$ 294,823 |
| Fine Chemistry Services and Intermediates Business | 290,194 | 207,968 | 205,302 |
| Total Fine Chemistry | \$ 750,220 | \$ 569,012 | \$ 500,125 |

Table of Contents*Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries***NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****NOTE 24 Quarterly Financial Summary (Unaudited):**

| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
|---|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | (In thousands, except per share amounts) | | | |
| 2011 | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 696,530 | \$ 742,108 | \$ 722,977 | \$ 707,390 |
| Gross profit | \$ 233,016 | \$ 251,949 | \$ 258,012 | \$ 234,082 |
| Net income attributable to Albemarle Corporation | \$ 106,580 | \$ 114,159 | \$ 116,098 | \$ 99,443 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 1.16 | \$ 1.24 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.12 |
| Shares used to compute basic earnings per share | 91,633 | 91,713 | 89,935 | 88,805 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 1.15 | \$ 1.23 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 1.11 |
| Shares used to compute diluted earnings per share | 92,517 | 92,795 | 90,958 | 89,819 |
| 2010 | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 580,270 | \$ 592,483 | \$ 585,036 | \$ 604,975 |
| Gross profit | \$ 164,471 | \$ 188,167 | \$ 196,823 | \$ 196,461 |
| Restructuring and other charges ^(a) | \$ 6,958 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Net income attributable to Albemarle Corporation | \$ 63,308 | \$ 81,751 | \$ 93,689 | \$ 84,972 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.69 | \$ 0.90 | \$ 1.03 | \$ 0.93 |
| Shares used to compute basic earnings per share | 91,386 | 91,308 | 91,312 | 91,567 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.69 | \$ 0.89 | \$ 1.02 | \$ 0.92 |
| Shares used to compute diluted earnings per share | 92,193 | 92,111 | 92,082 | 92,350 |

- (a) First quarter of 2010 results include \$7.0 million in pre-tax charges (\$4.6 million after income taxes) that related principally to reductions in force at our Bergheim, Germany site.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

NONE

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that, as of end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Design and Evaluation of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011. In making this assessment, management used the criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting described in the Internal Control-Integrated Framework set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on the assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2011, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report, which is included herein. Management's report and the independent registered public accounting firm's attestation report are included in Item 8 under the captions entitled "Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting" and "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" and are incorporated herein by reference.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)) occurred during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2011 that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

NONE

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

The information required by this Item 10 is contained in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference. In addition, the information in Executive Officers of the Registrant appearing after Item 4 Part I of this Annual Report, is incorporated herein.

Code of Business Conduct

We have adopted a code of business conduct and ethics for directors, officers and employees, known as the Albemarle Code of Business Conduct. The Code of Business Conduct is available on our website at <http://www.albemarle.com>. Shareholders may also request a free copy of the Code of Business Conduct from: Albemarle Corporation, Attention: Investor Relations, 451 Florida Street, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70801. We will disclose any amendments to, or waivers from, a provision of our Code of Business Conduct that applies to the principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions that relates to any element of the Code of Business Conduct as defined in Item 406 of Regulation S-K by posting such information on our website.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

New York Stock Exchange Certifications

Because our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, our Chief Executive Officer is required to make, and he has made, an annual certification to the NYSE stating that he was not aware of any violation by us of the corporate governance listing standards of the NYSE. Our Chief Executive Officer made his annual certification to that effect to the NYSE as of May 31, 2011. In addition, we have filed, as exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the certifications of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer required under Sections 906 and 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission regarding the quality of our public disclosure.

Additional information is contained in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information required by this Item 11 is contained in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information required by this Item 12 is contained in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The information required by this Item 13 is contained in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

The information required by this Item 14 is contained in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a)(1) The following consolidated financial and informational statements of the registrant are included in Part II Item 8 on pages 51 to 95:

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

Consolidated Statements of Income, Changes in Equity and Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(a)(2) No Financial Statement Schedules are provided in accordance with Item 15(a)(2) as the information is either not applicable, not required or has been furnished in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes thereto.

(a)(3) Exhibits

The following documents are filed as exhibits to this Form 10-K pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-K:

- 3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (including Amendment thereto) [filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-119723) and incorporated herein by reference].
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the registrant effective as of November 2, 2011 [filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on November 7, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 4.1 Indenture, dated as of January 20, 2005, between the Company and The Bank of New York, as trustee [filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on January 20, 2005, and incorporated herein by reference].

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

- 4.2 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 20, 2005, between the Company and The Bank of New York, as trustee [filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on January 20, 2005, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 4.3 Form of Global Security for the 5.10% Senior Notes due 2015 (included as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.2 hereto).
- 4.4 Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 10, 2010, between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee [filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on December 10, 2010, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 4.5 Form of Global Security for the 4.50% Senior Notes due 2020 [filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on December 10, 2010, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.1 Credit Agreement, dated as of March 23, 2007, among Albemarle Corporation, Albemarle Europe SPRL and Albemarle Netherlands BV, as borrowers, and certain of the Company's subsidiaries that from time to time become parties thereto, as guarantors, the several banks and other financial institutions as may from time to time become parties thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer [filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on March 29, 2007, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.2 First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2007, among Albemarle Corporation, Albemarle Europe SPRL, Albemarle Netherlands BV, Albemarle Global Finance Company SA, certain of the Company's subsidiaries that from time to time become parties thereto, as guarantors, the several banks and other financial institutions as may from time to time become parties thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer [filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-K (No. 1-12658) filed on February 25, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.3 Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of September 22, 2011, among Albemarle Corporation and Albemarle Global Finance Company SCA, as borrowers, and certain of the Company's subsidiaries that from time to time become parties thereto, the several banks and other financial institutions as may from time to time become parties thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer [filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on September 22, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.4 Albemarle Corporation 1994 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, adopted on February 8, 1994 [filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 33-77452), and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.5 Amendment to the Albemarle Corporation 1994 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, adopted December 30, 2002 [filed as Exhibit 10.2.1 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 (No. 1-12658), and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.6 Albemarle Corporation 1998 Incentive Plan, adopted April 22, 1998, and amended effective January 1, 2003 [filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 (No. 1-12658), and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.7 Amendment to the Albemarle Corporation 1998 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, adopted as of October 1, 2003 [filed as Exhibit 10.7.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 (No. 1-12658), and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.8 Compensation Arrangement with Mark C. Rohr, dated February 26, 1999 [filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 (No. 1-12658), and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.9 Amendment to Compensation Arrangement with Mark C. Rohr, dated March 4, 2005 [filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on March 8, 2005, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.10 Restricted Stock Award Agreement for Mark C. Rohr [filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on February 12, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference].

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

- 10.11 Compensation Arrangement with Luther C. Kissam, IV, dated August 29, 2003 [filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (No. 1-12658), and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.12 Albemarle Corporation 2003 Incentive Plan, adopted January 31, 2003 and approved by the shareholders on March 26, 2003 [filed as Annex A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on 14A (No. 1-12658) filed on February 26, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference].

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

- 10.13 First Amendment to the Albemarle Corporation 2003 Incentive Plan, dated as of December 13, 2006 [filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on December 18, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.14 Albemarle Corporation Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, approved by shareholders on April 24, 1996 [filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 (No. 1-12658), and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.15 First Amendment to the Albemarle Corporation Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, dated as of December 13, 2006 [filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on December 18, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.16 First Amendment to the Albemarle Corporation Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, dated as of May 13, 2009 [filed as Exhibit 10.36 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 (No. 1-12658), and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.17 Form of Stock Option Agreement [filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658), filed February 4, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.18 Form of Amendment to Outstanding Stock Option Agreements [filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on December 18, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.19 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement [filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658), filed February 4, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.20 Form of Performance Stock Unit Agreement [filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658), filed February 4, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.21 Notice of Performance Unit Award [filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A (No. 1-12658) filed on February 13, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.22 Notice of Restricted Stock Unit Award [filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on April 3, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.23 Notice of Option Grant [filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on April 3, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.24 Form of Amendment to Outstanding Performance Unit Agreements [filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on December 18, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.25 Amended and Restated Albemarle Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, effective as of January 1, 2005 [filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on December 14, 2005, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.26 Second Amendment to the Albemarle Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, dated as of December 13, 2006 [filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on December 18, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.27 Amended and Restated Albemarle Corporation Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of January 1, 2005 [filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on December 14, 2005, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.28 First Amendment to the Albemarle Corporation Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, dated as of December 13, 2006 [filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on December 18, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference].

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

- 10.29 2006 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors of Albemarle Corporation [filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on April 20, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.30 Share Purchase Agreement, among Albemarle Corporation, Albemarle Overseas Development Corporation and International Chemical Investors, SA, dated August 31, 2006 [filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006 (No. 1-12658), and incorporated herein by reference].
- 10.31 Form of Severance Compensation Agreement [filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on December 12, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference].

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

| | |
|-------|---|
| 10.32 | Albemarle Corporation Severance Pay Plan, as revised effective as of December 13, 2006 [filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on December 18, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference]. |
| 10.33 | Amended and Restated Albemarle Corporation Benefits Protection Trust, effective as of December 13, 2006 [filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (No. 1-12658) filed on December 18, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference]. |
| 10.34 | Albemarle Corporation 2008 Incentive Plan [filed as Annex A to the Company's definitive Proxy Statement (No. 1-12658) filed on March 12, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference]. |
| 10.35 | First Amendment to the Albemarle Corporation 2008 Incentive Plan [filed as Appendix A to the Company's definitive Proxy Statement (No. 1-12658) filed on March 31, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference]. |
| 10.36 | 2008 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors of Albemarle Corporation [filed as Annex B to the Company's definitive Proxy Statement (No. 1-12658) filed on March 12, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference]. |
| 10.37 | Albemarle Corporation Employee Relocation Policy [filed as Exhibit 10.33 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 (No. 1-12658) filed on August 7, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference]. |
| 10.38 | Albemarle Corporation 2008 Incentive Plan, as amended and restated as of April 20, 2010 [filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (No. 333-166828) filed on May 14, 2010, and incorporated herein by reference]. |
| 10.39 | Second Amendment to the Albemarle Corporation 2008 Incentive Plan [filed as Appendix A to the Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Commission on March 9, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference]. |
| 10.40 | First Amendment to the Albemarle Corporation 2008 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors [filed as Appendix B to the Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Commission on March 9, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference]. |
| *12.1 | Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges. |
| *21.1 | Subsidiaries of the Company. |
| *23.1 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. |
| *31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended. |
| *31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended. |
| *32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *99.1 | Five-Year Summary. |
| *101 | Interactive Data File (Annual Report on Form 10-K, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, furnished in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language)) |

Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following documents formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Statements of Income for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, (ii) the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that this

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

* Included with this filing.

Table of Contents

Albemarle Corporation and Subsidiaries

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ALBEMARLE CORPORATION

(Registrant)

By: /s/ LUTHER C. KISSAM IV
(Luther C. Kissam IV)
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

Dated: February 22, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated as of February 22, 2012.

| Signature | Title |
|---|---|
| /s/ LUTHER C. KISSAM IV (Luther C. Kissam IV) | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (principal executive officer) |
| /s/ SCOTT A. TOZIER (Scott A. Tozier) | Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Risk Officer (principal financial officer) |
| /s/ WILLIAM B. ALLEN, JR. (William B. Allen, Jr.) | Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer (principal accounting officer) |
| /s/ J. ALFRED BROADDUS, JR. (J. Alfred Broaddus, Jr.) | Director |
| /s/ WILLIAM H. HERNANDEZ (William H. Hernandez) | Director |
| /s/ R. WILLIAM IDE III (R. William Ide III) | Director |
| /s/ RICHARD L. MORRILL (Richard L. Morrill) | Director |
| /s/ JIM W. NOKES (Jim W. Nokes) | Chairman of the Board |

Edgar Filing: ALBEMARLE CORP - Form 10-K

| | |
|---|----------|
| /s/ BARRY W. PERRY (Barry W. Perry) | Director |
| /s/ JOHN SHERMAN, JR. (John Sherman, Jr.) | Director |
| /s/ HARRIETT TEE TAGGART (Harriett Tee Taggart) | Director |
| /s/ ANNE M. WHITTEMORE (Anne M. Whittemore) | Director |