

Forestar Group Inc.
Form 10-K
March 14, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

þ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2012

or

“ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Transition Period From to

Commission File Number: 001-33662

Forestar Group Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
*(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)*

26-1336998
*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

6300 Bee Cave Road

Building Two, Suite 500

Austin, Texas 78746-5149

(Address of Principal Executive Offices, including Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (512) 433-5200

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange On Which Registered
Common Stock, par value \$1.00 per share	New York Stock Exchange
Preferred Share Purchase Rights	New York Stock Exchange
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None	

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the closing sales price of the Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2012, was approximately \$318 million. For purposes of this computation, all officers, directors, and ten percent beneficial owners of the registrant (as indicated in Item 12) are deemed to be affiliates. Such determination should not be deemed an admission that such directors, officers, or ten percent beneficial owners are, in fact, affiliates of the registrant.

As of March 11, 2013, there were 34,621,448 shares of Common Stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Selected portions of the Company's definitive proxy statement for the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I.</u>	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	3
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	26
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	39
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	39
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	39
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	39
<u>PART II.</u>	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	40
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	42
Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	43
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	66
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	68
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	110
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	110
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	110
<u>PART III.</u>	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	111
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	111
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	111
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	112
Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	112
<u>PART IV.</u>	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	112
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	116

Table of Contents

PART I

**Item 1. *Business*
Overview**

Forestar Group Inc. is a real estate and natural resources company. We own directly or through ventures almost 136,000 acres of real estate located in 10 states and 14 markets. We have over 750,000 net acres of oil and natural gas mineral interests, consisting of fee ownership and leasehold interests located in eight oil and natural gas basins and 14 states in the continental U.S. We have about 121,000 acres of timber, primarily in Georgia, and about 17,000 acres of timber under lease. In 2012, we had revenues of \$173 million and net income of \$13 million. Unless the context otherwise requires, references to we, us, our and Forestar mean Forestar Group Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries. Unless otherwise indicated, information is presented as of December 31, 2012, and references to acreage owned include all acres owned by ventures regardless of our ownership interest in a venture.

Strategic Acquisition

On September 28, 2012, we acquired 100 percent of the outstanding common stock of CREDO Petroleum Corporation (Credo) in an all cash transaction for \$14.50 per share, representing an equity purchase price of approximately \$146.4 million. In addition, we paid in full \$8.8 million of Credo's outstanding debt. Credo is an independent oil and natural gas exploration, development and production company based in Denver, Colorado. The acquired assets include leasehold interests in the Bakken and Three Forks formations of North Dakota, the Lansing Kansas City formation in Kansas and Nebraska, and the Tonkawa and Cleveland formations in Texas.

We manage our operations through three business segments:

Real estate,

Mineral resources, and

Fiber resources.

A summary of significant business segment assets at year-end 2012 follows:

Table of Contents

Our real estate segment provided 70 percent of our 2012 consolidated revenues. We secure entitlements and develop infrastructure, primarily for single-family residential and mixed-use communities. We own about 100,000 acres in a broad area around Atlanta, Georgia, with the balance located primarily in Texas. We invest in projects principally in our strategic growth corridors, regions across the southern half of the United States that possess key demographic and growth characteristics that we believe make them attractive for long-term real estate investment. We also develop and own directly or through ventures, multifamily communities as income producing properties, primarily in our target markets. Once these multifamily communities reach stabilization, we expect to market the properties for sale.

We have 15 real estate projects representing about 26,000 acres in the entitlement process, principally in Georgia. We also have about 74 entitled, developed or under development projects in seven states and 11 markets encompassing almost 15,000 remaining acres, comprised of land planned for about 24,000 residential lots and about 2,400 commercial acres. We own and manage projects both directly and through ventures. We sell land at any point within the value chain when additional time required for entitlement or investment in development will not meet our return criteria. In 2012, we sold over 9,300 acres of undeveloped land through our retail land sales program at an average price of about \$2,100 per acre.

Our mineral resources segment provided 26 percent of our 2012 consolidated revenues. We promote the exploitation, exploration and development of oil and natural gas on our 590,000 net mineral acres owned and may participate in working interests or drill as an operator. The four principal areas of ownership are Texas, Louisiana, Alabama and Georgia. The majority of our revenues are from oil and natural gas royalties from over 542 gross productive wells operated by third parties in Texas and Louisiana and lease bonus payments received. Historically, these operations require low capital investment and are low risk. In addition, we have approximately 162,000 net mineral acres leased from others and overriding royalty interests associated with our 2012 acquisition of Credo, of which 37,000 acres are held by production at year-end 2012. The principal areas of operations of our leasehold interests are in Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, North Dakota and Texas and include 394 gross oil and natural gas wells with working interest ownership of which we operate approximately 136 wells.

Our fiber resources segment provided 4 percent of our 2012 consolidated revenues. We sell wood fiber from our land, primarily in Georgia, and lease land for recreational uses. We have about 121,000 acres of timber we own directly or through ventures and about 17,000 acres of timber under lease.

Our real estate origins date back to the 1955 incorporation of Lumbermen's Investment Corporation, which in 2006 changed its name to Forestar (USA) Real Estate Group Inc. We have a decades-long legacy of residential and commercial real estate development operations, primarily in Texas. Our mineral resources origins date back to the mid-1940s when we started leasing our oil and natural gas mineral interests to third-party exploration and production companies. In 2007, Temple-Inland distributed all of the issued and outstanding shares of our common stock to its stockholders, which we will refer to as the spin-off.

Our results of operations, including information regarding our business segments, are discussed in Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and in Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Strategy

Our strategy is:

Recognizing and responsibly delivering the greatest value from every acre; and

Growing through strategic and disciplined investments.

We are focused on delivering the greatest real estate value from every acre through the entitlement and development of strategically-located residential and mixed-use communities. We secure entitlements by delivering thoughtful plans and balanced solutions that meet the needs of the communities where we operate. Moving land through the entitlement and development process creates significant real estate value. Residential development activities target lot sales to national and regional home builders who build quality products and have strong and effective marketing and sales programs. The lots we deliver in the majority of our communities are for mid-priced homes, predominantly in the first and second move-up categories. We also actively market

Table of Contents

and sell undeveloped land through our retail sales program. We develop multifamily commercial tracts ourselves as a merchant builder or we may venture with capital partners for the construction, operation, and sale of income producing properties.

We seek to maximize value from our owned oil and natural gas mineral interests through promoting leasing, exploration and production activity by increasing the acreage leased, lease rates, royalty interests, negotiating additional interests in production and by entering into seismic exploration agreements and joint ventures. In addition, we lease mineral interests for exploration and production and participate in working interests or drill as an operator on both our owned and leased mineral interests. We realize value from our undeveloped land by selling fiber and by managing it for future real estate development and conservation uses. We also generate cash flow and earnings through recreational leases.

We are committed to disciplined investment in our business. Approximately 61 of our real estate projects were acquired in the open market, with the remainder coming from entitlement efforts associated with our low basis lands principally located in and around Atlanta, Georgia. Our mineral interest investments are typically in conventional and unconventional oil and liquid-rich plays.

Our portfolio of assets in combination with our strategy, management expertise, stewardship and reinvestment in our business, position Forestar to maximize and grow long-term value for shareholders.

2012 Strategic Initiatives

In 2012, we announced Triple in FOR, new strategic initiatives designed to further enhance shareholder value by:

Accelerating value realization of our real estate and natural resources by increasing total residential lot sales, oil and natural gas production, and total segment EBITDA.

Optimizing transparency and disclosure by expanding reported oil and natural gas resources, providing additional information related to groundwater interests, and establishing a progress report on corporate responsibility efforts.

Raising our net asset value through strategic and disciplined investments by pursuing growth opportunities which help prove up our asset value and meet return expectations, developing a low-capital, high-return multifamily business, and accelerating investment in lower-risk oil and natural gas opportunities.

2012 Highlights

Real Estate

Sold 1,365 developed residential lots, a 22% increase compared with 2011

Nearly 1,340 lots under option contracts at year-end

Generated \$18.4 million in gross profit from residential lot sales, including our share of venture activity, up 60% compared with 2011

Acquired entire interest in 17 residential and mixed-use real estate projects from the CL Realty and TEMCO ventures for a net investment of approximately \$23.5 million

Sold over 9,300 acres of undeveloped land for about \$19 million through our retail sales program

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

Sold two stabilized multifamily communities, Broadstone Memorial and Las Brisas, generating nearly \$40 million in cash flow

Completed construction of Promesa, a 289-unit multifamily community in Austin, Texas

Initiated construction of two new multifamily venture properties, with locations in Austin and Denver

Mineral Resources

Oil production increased over 144% compared with 2011

Year-end 2012 proven reserves were 5.6 million barrels of oil equivalent (BOE), up 87% compared with 2011, principally due to the acquisition of Credo, which accounted for 3.7 million BOE

Table of Contents

Nearly 30 additional oil and natural gas wells completed; 936 total producing gross wells at year-end 2012

Over 8,900 net mineral acres leased to third party exploration and production companies

Real Estate

In our real estate segment, we conduct a wide array of project planning and management activities related to the acquisition, entitlement, development and sale of real estate, primarily residential and mixed-use communities. We own and manage our projects either directly or through ventures, which we use to achieve a variety of business objectives, including more effective capital deployment, risk management, and leveraging a partner's local market contacts and expertise.

We have real estate in 10 states and 14 markets encompassing almost 136,000 acres, including about 100,000 acres located in a broad area around Atlanta, Georgia, with the balance located primarily in Texas. Our development projects are principally located in the major markets of Texas.

Our strategy for creating value in our real estate segment is to move acres up the value chain by moving land located in growth corridors but not yet entitled, through the entitlement process, and into development. The chart below depicts our real estate value chain:

We have approximately 95,000 undeveloped acres located in the path of population growth. As markets grow and mature, we intend to secure the necessary entitlements, the timing for which varies depending upon the size, location, use and complexity of a project. We have over 26,000 acres in the entitlement process, which includes obtaining zoning and access to water, sewer and roads. Additional entitlements, such as flexible land use provisions, annexation, and the creation of local financing districts generate additional value for our business and may provide us the right to reimbursement of major infrastructure costs. We have almost 15,000 acres entitled, developed and under development, comprised of land planned for about 24,000 residential lots and about 2,400 commercial acres. We use return criteria, which include return on cost, internal rate of return, and cash multiples, when determining whether to invest initially or make additional investment in a project. When investment in

Table of Contents

development meets our return criteria, we will initiate the development process with subsequent sale of lots to homebuilders or, for commercial tracts, internal development, sale to or venture with commercial developers. We sell land at any point within the value chain when additional time required for entitlement or investment in development will not meet our return criteria. In 2012, we sold over 9,300 acres of undeveloped land through our retail land sales program at an average price of about \$2,100 per acre.

A summary of our real estate projects in the entitlement process^(a) at year-end 2012 follows:

Project	County	Project Acres^(b)
California		
Hidden Creek Estates	Los Angeles	700
Terrace at Hidden Hills	Los Angeles	30
Georgia		
Ball Ground	Cherokee	500
Crossing	Coweta	230
Fincher Road	Cherokee	3,890
Fox Hall	Coweta	960
Garland Mountain	Cherokee/Bartow	350
Martin s Bridge	Banks	970
Mill Creek	Coweta	770
Serenity	Carroll	440
Waleska	Cherokee	90
Wolf Creek	Carroll/Douglas	12,230
Yellow Creek	Cherokee	1,060
Texas		
Lake Houston	Harris/Liberty	3,700
San Jacinto	Montgomery	150
Total		26,070

^(a) A project is deemed to be in the entitlement process when customary steps necessary for the preparation of an application for governmental land-use approvals, like conducting pre-application meetings or similar discussions with governmental officials, have commenced, or an application has been filed. Projects listed may have significant steps remaining, and there is no assurance that entitlements ultimately will be received.

^(b) Project acres, which are the total for the project regardless of our ownership interest, are approximate. The actual number of acres entitled may vary.

Products

The majority of our projects are single-family residential and mixed-use communities. In some cases, commercial land uses within a project enhance the desirability of the community by providing convenient locations for resident support services. We sometimes undertake projects consisting exclusively of commercial tracts and, on occasion, we invest in a venture to develop a single commercial project.

We develop lots for single-family homes and develop multifamily properties as a merchant builder on our commercial tracts or other developed sites we may purchase. In addition, we sell commercial tracts that are substantially ready for construction of buildings for retail, office, industrial or other commercial uses. We sell residential lots primarily to national and regional homebuilders and, to a lesser extent, local homebuilders. We have 74 entitled, developed or under development projects in seven states and 11 markets, principally in the major markets of Texas, encompassing almost 15,000 remaining acres, comprised of land planned for about 24,000 residential lots and about 2,400 commercial acres. We focus our lot sales on the first and second move-up

Table of Contents

primary housing categories. First and second move-up segments are homes priced above entry-level products yet below the high-end and custom home segments. As a multifamily merchant builder, we develop and own directly or through ventures multifamily communities as income producing properties, primarily in our target markets. Once these multifamily communities reach stabilization, we expect to market the properties for sale. We also actively market and sell undeveloped land through our retail sales program.

Commercial tracts are developed internally or sold to or ventured with commercial developers that specialize in the construction and operation of income producing properties, such as apartments, retail centers, or office buildings. We also sell land designated for commercial use to regional and local commercial developers. We have about 2,400 acres of entitled land designated for commercial use.

Cibolo Canyons is a significant mixed-use project in the San Antonio market area. Cibolo Canyons includes 2,100 acres planned to include approximately 1,475 residential lots, of which 734 have been sold as of year-end 2012 at an average price of \$67,000 per lot. The residential component is planned to include not only traditional single-family homes but also an active adult section and condominiums. The commercial component is planned to include about 150 acres designated for multifamily and retail uses, of which 96 acres have been sold as of year-end 2012. Located at Cibolo Canyons is the JW Marriott® San Antonio Hill Country Resort & Spa, a 1,002 room destination resort and two PGA Tour® Tournament Players Club® (TPC) golf courses designed by Pete Dye and Greg Norman. The resort hotel began operations in January 2010. We have the right to receive from a legislatively created special improvement district (SID) nine percent of hotel occupancy revenues and 1.5 percent of other resort sales revenues collected as taxes by the SID through 2034 and to reimbursement of certain infrastructure costs related to the mixed-use development.

Table of Contents

A summary of activity within our projects in the development process, which includes entitled^(a), developed and under development single-family and mixed-use projects, at year-end 2012 follows:

Project	County	Interest Owned ^(b)	Residential Lots ^(c)		Commercial Acres ^(d)		
			Lots Sold Since Inception	Lots Remaining	Acres Sold Since Inception	Acres Remaining ^(f)	
Projects we own							
California							
San Joaquin River	Contra Costa/Sacramento	100%					288
Colorado							
Buffalo Highlands	Weld	100%		164			
Johnstown Farms	Weld	100%	170	443	2		7
Pinery West	Douglas	100%					111
Stonebraker	Weld	100%		603			
Texas							
Arrowhead Ranch	Hays	100%		259			6
Bar C Ranch	Tarrant	100%	292	907			
Barrington Kingwood	Harris	100%	48	132			
Cibolo Canyons	Bexar	100%	734	741	96		54
Harbor Lakes	Hood	100%	203	246	2		19
Hunter s Crossing	Bastrop	100%	397	93	38		71
Lakes of Prosper	Collin	100%		285			
La Conterra	Williamson	100%	120	380			58
Maxwell Creek	Collin	100%	808	191	10		
Oak Creek Estates	Comal	100%	131	516	13		
Stoney Creek	Dallas	100%	144	610			
Summer Creek Ranch	Tarrant	100%	820	454	35		44
Summer Lakes	Fort Bend	100%	473	657	56		
Summer Park ^(g)	Fort Bend	100%		210	27		63
The Colony	Bastrop	100%	438	711	22		31
The Preserve at Pecan Creek	Denton	100%	370	424			7
Village Park	Collin	100%	504	256	3		2
Westside at Buttercup Creek	Williamson	100%	1,413	83	66		
Other projects (11)	Various	100%	2,493	171	227		38
Georgia							
Seven Hills	Paulding	100%	653	434	26		113
Villages of Burt Creek	Dawson	100%		1,715			57
Towne West	Bartow	100%		2,674			121
Other projects (18)	Various	100%	1,729	3,040	3		705
Florida							
Other projects (3)	Various	100%	708	137			
Missouri and Utah							
Other projects (2)	Various	100%	499	55			
			13,147	16,591	626		1,795
Projects in entities we consolidate							
Texas							
City Park	Harris	75%	1,210	101	50		115
Lantana	Denton	55% ^(e)	957	1,291			12
Timber Creek	Collin	88%		614			
Willow Creek	Walter/Fort Bend	90%		231			
Other projects (2)	Various	Various	7	202			129
Georgia							
The Georgian	Paulding	75%	289	1,052			
			2,463	3,491	50		256
Total owned and consolidated			15,610	20,082	676		2,051

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

Projects in ventures that we account for using the equity method

Texas						
Entrada	Travis	50%		821		
Fannin Farms West	Tarrant	50%	324	24		12
Harper s Preserve	Montgomery	50%	202	1,523		72
Lantana	Denton	Various ^(e)	1,470	62	16	42
Long Meadow Farms	Fort Bend	37%	1,003	796	119	180
Southern Trails	Brazoria	80%	576	407		
Stonewall Estates	Bexar	50%	305	83		
Other projects (1)	Nueces	50%				15
Total in ventures			3,880	3,716	135	321
Combined Total			19,490	23,798	811	2,372

^(a) A project is deemed entitled when all major discretionary governmental land-use approvals have been received. Some projects may require additional permits and/or non-governmental authorizations for development.

Table of Contents

- (b) Interest owned reflects our net equity interest in the project, whether owned directly or indirectly. There are some projects that have multiple ownership structures within them. Accordingly, portions of these projects may appear as owned, consolidated or accounted for using the equity method.
- (c) Lots are for the total project, regardless of our ownership interest. Lots remaining represent vacant developed lots, lots under development and future planned lots and are subject to change based on business plan revisions.
- (d) Commercial acres are for the total project, regardless of our ownership interest, and are net developable acres, which may be fewer than the gross acres available in the project.
- (e) The Lantana project consists of a series of 26 partnerships in which our voting interests range from 25 percent to 55 percent. We account for three of these partnerships using the equity method and we consolidate the remaining partnerships.
- (f) Excludes acres associated with commercial and income producing properties.
- (g) Formerly Waterford Park.

A summary of our significant commercial and income producing properties at year-end 2012 follows:

Project	County	Market	Interest Owned ^(a)	Type	Acres	Description
Radisson Hotel	Travis	Austin	100 %	Hotel	2	413 guest rooms and suites
Promesa	Travis	Austin	100 %	Multifamily	16	289 unit luxury apartment
Eleven ^(b)	Travis	Austin	25 %	Multifamily	3	257 unit luxury apartment
360 ^(b)	Arapahoe	Denver	20 %	Multifamily	4	304 unit luxury apartment

(a) Interest owned reflects our net equity interest in the project, whether owned directly or indirectly.

(b) Construction in progress.

Our net investment in owned and consolidated real estate by geographic location follows:

State	Entitled, Developed, and Under Development Projects	Undeveloped Land and Land in Entitlement	Income Producing Properties	Total
(In thousands)				
Texas	\$ 301,879	\$ 9,385	\$ 55,259	\$ 366,523
Georgia	23,467	56,622		80,089
Colorado	21,290			21,290
California	8,915	15,362		24,277
Tennessee			11,422	11,422
North Carolina			5,954	5,954
Other	6,276	1,319		7,595

Total	\$ 361,827	\$ 82,688	\$ 72,635	\$ 517,150
--------------	-------------------	------------------	------------------	-------------------

Over 70% of our net investment in real estate is in the major markets of Texas.

Markets

Current U.S. single-family residential market conditions are showing signs of stability with improvement in various markets; however, high unemployment rates, difficult financing environment for purchasers and competition from foreclosure inventory continue to negatively influence housing markets. It is difficult to predict when and at what rate these broader negative conditions will improve. Declining finished lot inventories and lack of real estate development is increasing demand for our developed lots, principally in the Texas markets. Multifamily market conditions continue to be strong, with many markets experiencing healthy occupancy levels and positive rent growth. This improvement has been driven primarily by limited new construction activity, reduced single-family mortgage credit availability, and the increased propensity to rent among the 18 to 34 year old demographic of the U.S. population.

Table of Contents

We target investments primarily in markets within our strategic growth corridors, which we define as areas possessing favorable growth characteristics for population, employment and household formation. These markets are generally located across the southern half of the U.S., and we believe they represent attractive long-term real estate investment opportunities. Demand for residential lots, single-family housing, and commercial land is substantially influenced by these growth characteristics, as well as by immigration and in-migration. Currently, most of our development projects are located within the major markets of Texas.

Our ten strategic growth corridors encompass 164,000 square miles, or approximately 4.6 percent of the total land area in the U.S. According to 2010 census data, 91.7 million people, 30 percent of the U.S. total, reside in these corridors. The population density in these growth corridors is over six times the national average and is projected to grow to over 10 times the national average between 2010 and 2040. During that time, the corridors are projected to garner approximately 49 percent of the nation's population growth and 40 percent of total employment growth. Estimated housing demand from these ten growth corridors from 2010 to 2040 exceeds 24 million new homes.

Forestar Strategic Growth Corridors

Our value creation strategy includes not only entitlement and development on our own lands but also growth through strategic and disciplined investment in acquisitions that meet our investment criteria. We continually monitor the markets in our strategic growth corridors for opportunities to purchase developed lots and land at prices that meet our return criteria.

Competition

We face competition for the acquisition, entitlement, development and sale of real estate in our markets. Our major competitors include other landowners who market and sell undeveloped land and numerous national, regional and local developers. In addition, our projects compete with other development projects offering similar amenities,

Table of Contents

products and/or locations. Competition also exists for investment opportunities, financing, available land, raw materials and labor, with entities that may possess greater financial, marketing and other resources than us. The presence of competition may increase the bargaining power of property owners seeking to sell. These competitive market pressures sometimes make it difficult to acquire, entitle, develop or sell land at prices that meet our return criteria. Some of our real estate competitors are well established and financially strong, may have greater financial resources than we do, or may be larger than us and/or have lower cost of capital and operating costs than we have and expect to have.

The land acquisition and development business is highly fragmented, and we are unaware of any meaningful concentration of market share by any one competitor. Enterprises of varying sizes, from individuals or small companies to large corporations, actively engage in the real estate development business. Many competitors are local, privately-owned companies. We have a few regional competitors and virtually no national competitors other than national homebuilders that, depending on business cycles and market conditions, may enter or exit the real estate development business in some locations to develop lots on which they construct and sell homes. During periods when access to capital is restricted, participants with weaker financial conditions tend to be less active. We believe the current environment is one where participants with stronger financial conditions will have a competitive advantage and where fewer participants will be active.

Mineral Resources

We typically lease our mineral interests owned to third parties for the exploration and production of oil and natural gas, principally in Texas and Louisiana. When we lease our mineral interests, we may negotiate a lease bonus payment and retain a royalty interest and may take an additional participation in production, including a working interest. Working interests refer to well interests in which we pay a share of the costs to drill, complete and operate a well and receive a proportionate share of the production revenues.

On September 28, 2012, we acquired 100 percent of the outstanding common stock of Credo in an all cash transaction for \$14.50 per share, representing an equity purchase price of approximately \$146.4 million. In addition, we paid in full \$8.8 million of Credo's outstanding debt. Credo is an independent oil and natural gas exploration, development and production company based in Denver, Colorado. The acquired assets include leasehold interests in the Bakken and Three Forks formations of North Dakota, the Lansing - Kansas City formation in Kansas and Nebraska, and the Tonkawa and Cleveland formations in Texas.

Products

Mineral Interests Owned

We own mineral interests beneath approximately 590,000 net acres located in the United States, principally in Texas, Louisiana, Georgia and Alabama. Our minerals revenue is primarily from oil and natural gas royalty interests, lease bonus payments and delay rentals received, working interests and other related activities. We engage in leasing certain portions of these mineral interests to third parties for the exploration and production of oil and natural gas, and we are increasingly leveraging our mineral interests to participate in wells drilled on or near our mineral acreage.

Table of Contents

Our strategy for maximizing value from our owned mineral interests is to move acres up the minerals value chain by increasing the net acreage leased, the lease bonus amount per acre and the size of retained royalty interests. Additionally, we may participate in working interests in the drilling, completion and production of oil and natural gas on or nearby our mineral interests. The chart below depicts our owned minerals value chain.

At year-end 2012, of our 590,000 net acres of owned mineral interests, about 522,000 net acres are available for lease. We have about 68,000 net acres leased for oil and natural gas exploration activities, of which about 39,000 net acres are held by production from over 542 gross oil and natural gas royalty wells that are operated by others, in which we have working interest ownership in nine of these wells.

Our principal areas of ownership follow:

East Texas and Gulf Coast Basins

We have about 251,000 net mineral acres in East Texas and about 144,000 net mineral acres in Louisiana located within the East Texas and Gulf Coast Basins. These basins contain numerous oil and natural gas producing formations consisting of conventional, unconventional, and tight sand reservoirs. Of these reservoirs, we have mineral interests in and around production trends in the Wilcox, Frio, Cockfield, James Lime, Pettet, Travis Peak, Cotton Valley, Austin Chalk, Haynesville Shale, and Bossier formations. A significant portion of our Louisiana net mineral acres were severed from the surface estate shortly before our spin-off. Under Louisiana law, a mineral servitude that is not producing minerals or which has not been the subject of good-faith drilling operations will cease to burden the property upon the tenth anniversary of the date of its creation.

Fort Worth Basin

We have about 1,000 net mineral acres in the Fort Worth Basin. This basin contains numerous oil and natural gas producing formations consisting of conventional, unconventional, and tight sand reservoirs. Of these reservoirs, we have mineral interests in and around the Barnett Shale.

Table of Contents

Alabama & Georgia

We have about 40,000 net mineral acres in Alabama and about 152,000 net mineral acres in Georgia. These areas have historically had very little oil and natural gas exploration activity.

A summary of our net mineral acres^(a) owned at year-end 2012 follows:

State	Unleased	Leased ^(b)	Held By Production ^(c)	Total ^(d)
Texas	213,000	12,000	27,000	252,000
Louisiana	115,000	17,000	12,000	144,000
Georgia	152,000			152,000
Alabama	40,000			40,000
California	1,000			1,000
Indiana	1,000			1,000
	522,000	29,000	39,000	590,000

(a) Includes ventures.

(b) Includes leases in primary lease term or for which a delayed rental payment has been received. In the ordinary course of business, leases covering a significant portion of leased net mineral acres may expire from time to time in a single reporting period.

(c) Acres being held by production are producing oil or natural gas in paying quantities.

(d) Texas, Louisiana, California and Indiana net acres are calculated as the gross number of surface acres multiplied by our percentage ownership of the mineral interest. Alabama and Georgia net acres are calculated as the gross number of surface acres multiplied by our estimated percentage ownership of the mineral interest based on county sampling. Excludes 477 net mineral acres located in Colorado including 379 acres leased and 29 acres held by production.

A summary of our Texas and Louisiana net mineral acres owned^(a) by county or parish at year-end 2012 follows:

County	Texas		Louisiana ^(b)	
		Net Acres	Parish	Net Acres
Trinity		46,000	Beauregard	79,000
Angelina		42,000	Vernon	39,000
Houston		29,000	Calcasieu	17,000
Anderson		25,000	Allen	7,000
Cherokee		24,000	Rapides	1,000
Sabine		23,000	Other	1,000
Red River		14,000		144,000
Newton		13,000		
San Augustine		13,000		
Jasper		12,000		

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

Other	11,000
	252,000

(a) Includes ventures.

(b) A significant portion of our Louisiana net mineral acres were severed from the surface estate shortly before our spin-off. Under Louisiana law, a mineral servitude that is not producing minerals or which has not been the subject of good-faith drilling operations will cease to burden the property upon the tenth anniversary of the date of its creation.

Table of Contents

We engage in leasing certain portions of our owned mineral interests to third parties for the exploration and production of oil and natural gas. Leasing mineral acres for exploration and production can create significant value because we may negotiate a lease bonus payment and retain a royalty interest in all revenues generated by the lessee from oil and natural gas production. The significant terms of these arrangements include granting the exploration company the rights to oil or natural gas it may find and requiring that drilling be commenced within a specified period. In return, we may receive an initial payment (bonus), subsequent payments if drilling has not started within the specified period (delay rentals), and a percentage interest in the value of any oil or natural gas produced (royalties). If no oil or natural gas is produced during the required period, all rights are returned to us. Historically, our capital requirements for our owned mineral acres have been minimal and primarily consist of acquisition costs allocated to mineral interests and administrative costs.

Our royalty revenues are contractually defined and based on a percentage of production and are received in cash. Our royalty revenues fluctuate based on changes in the market prices for oil and natural gas, the inevitable decline in production in existing wells, and other factors affecting the third-party oil and natural gas exploration and production companies that operate wells on our minerals including the cost of development and production.

Most leases are for a three to five year term although a portion or all of a lease may be extended by the lessee as long as actual production is occurring. Financial terms vary based on a number of market factors including the location of the mineral interest, the number of acres subject to the agreement, our mineral interest, proximity to transportation facilities such as pipelines, depth of formations to be drilled and risk.

Mineral Interests Leased

At year-end 2012, we have approximately 162,000 net mineral acres leased from others and overriding royalty interests associated with our acquisition of Credo. Credo's operations principally are in Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, North Dakota and Texas. These operations include nearly 37,000 net acres held by production and 394 gross oil and natural gas wells with working interest ownership, of which 136 are operated by us. In addition, we have approximately 15,000 net mineral acres leased from others in Georgia, Alabama and Texas not related to our acquisition of Credo.

Our principal areas of net mineral interests leased as a result of our acquisition of Credo follow:

Nebraska and Kansas

We have about 122,000 net mineral acres in this area. Kansas acreage is located on or near the Central Kansas Uplift and in the western Kansas counties of Logan, Lane, Thomas and Gove. The Nebraska acreage is located in the southwest portion of Nebraska in the counties of Dundee, Red Willow and Hitchcock. We currently own interests in 73 gross producing wells with an average working interest of 44.8 percent.

Oklahoma

We have about 17,000 net mineral acres primarily located on the northern shelf of the Anadarko Basin of Oklahoma, where we own interests in approximately 184 gross producing wells with an average working interest of 30.7 percent. All of this acreage is currently held by production.

North Dakota

We have about 6,000 net acres in or near the core of the Bakken and Three Forks play. Most of the acreage is located on the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation, south and west of the Parshall Field. We own interests in 35 gross producing oil wells with an average working interest of 8.3 percent. Where a well has been drilled on a spacing unit, in most cases we expect additional development wells to be drilled on those spacing units in the future.

Texas

We have about 3,000 net mineral acres primarily in Hemphill, Tyler and Fayette counties. We own interests in 34 gross producing wells. These wells have an average working interest of 21.9 percent.

Table of Contents

A summary of our net mineral acres leased from others as a result of our acquisition of Credo as of year-end 2012 follows:

State	Undeveloped	Held By Production	Total ^(b)
Nebraska	77,000	2,000	79,000
Kansas	40,000	3,000	43,000
Oklahoma		17,000	17,000
North Dakota	4,000	2,000	6,000
Texas	1,000	2,000	3,000
Other ^(a)	3,000	11,000	14,000
	125,000	37,000	162,000

(a) Includes approximately 8,400 net acres of overriding royalty interests.

(b) Excludes approximately 15,000 net acres leased in Georgia, Alabama and Texas not related to our acquisition of Credo. Most leases are for a three to five year term although a portion or all of a lease may be extended as long as production is occurring. Financial terms vary based on a number of factors including the location of the leasehold interest, the number of acres subject to the agreement, proximity to transportation facilities such as pipelines, depth of formations to be drilled and risk.

Water Interests

We have water interests in about 1.5 million acres which includes a 45 percent nonparticipating royalty interest in groundwater produced or withdrawn for commercial purposes or sold from approximately 1.4 million acres in Texas, Louisiana, Georgia and Alabama, and about 20,000 acres of ground water leases in central Texas. We have not received significant revenues or earnings from these interests.

Proved Reserves

Our net proved oil and natural gas reserves as of year-end 2012, 2011 and 2010 set forth in the table below, all of which are located in the United States, are based on the reserve report prepared by Netherland, Sewell & Associates, Inc. (NSAI), a petroleum engineering firm, in accordance with the definitions and guidelines of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Table of Contents

Net quantities of proved oil and natural gas reserves, principally located in the Nebraska, Kansas, North Dakota, East Texas, Gulf Coast and Fort Worth Basins, related to our royalty and working interests follow (excludes Credo reserves for year-end 2011 and 2010):

	Net Reserves	
	Oil (Barrels)	Natural Gas (Mcf)
	(In thousands)	
Consolidated entities:		
Proved developed	2,416	10,448
Proved undeveloped	804	1,274
Year-end 2012	3,220	11,722
Year-end 2011	1,064	8,203
Year-end 2010	609	6,659
Our share of ventures accounted for using the equity method:		
Proved developed		2,572
Proved undeveloped		
Year-end 2012		2,572
Year-end 2011		3,283
Year-end 2010		3,871
Total consolidated and our share of equity method ventures:		
Proved developed	2,416	13,020
Proved undeveloped	804	1,274
Year-end 2012	3,220	14,294
Year-end 2011 ^(a)	1,064	11,486
Year-end 2010 ^(a)	609	10,530

^(a) In 2011 and 2010, consolidated entities and equity method ventures did not include any proved undeveloped reserves. In 2012, proved undeveloped reserves are a result of our acquisition of Credo.

Table of Contents

The following summarizes the changes in proved reserves for 2012:

	Net Reserves	
	Oil (Barrels)	Natural Gas (Mcf)
	(In thousands)	
Consolidated entities:		
Year-end 2011	1,064	8,203
Revisions of previous estimates	45	(2,163)
Extensions and discoveries	86	241
Acquisitions	2,396	7,109
Production	(371)	(1,668)
Year-end 2012	3,220	11,722
Our share of ventures accounted for using the equity method:		
Year-end 2011		3,283
Revisions of previous estimates		(390)
Extensions and discoveries		
Production		(321)
Year-end 2012		2,572
Total consolidated and our share of equity method ventures:		
Year-end 2012	3,220	14,294

We do not have any estimated reserves of synthetic oil, synthetic natural gas or products of other non-renewable natural resources that are intended to be upgraded into synthetic oil and natural gas.

Reserve estimates were based on the economic and operating conditions existing at year-end 2012, 2011 and 2010. For 2012, 2011 and 2010, oil prices are based on a twelve month average spot price of \$94.71, \$92.71 and \$75.96 per barrel of West Texas Intermediate Crude and natural gas prices are based on a twelve month average price of \$2.76, \$4.12 and \$4.38 per MMBTU per the Henry Hub spot market. All prices were adjusted for quality, transportation fees and regional price differentials. Since the determination and valuation of proved reserves is a function of the interpretation of engineering and geologic data and prices for oil and natural gas and the cost to produce these reserves, the reserves presented should be expected to change as future information becomes available. For an estimate of the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows from proved oil and natural gas reserves, please read Note 20 Supplemental Oil and Gas Disclosures (Unaudited) to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

In 2012, decreases in gas prices accounted for about 800,000 Mcf of downward revisions in natural gas reserves for our consolidated entities and about 330,000 Mcf of downward revisions for our equity method ventures. The remaining downward revisions in natural gas reserves for our consolidated entities were attributable to adverse performance from reducing the total fluid withdrawal in a natural water drive reservoir, adverse performance from increasing total fluid withdrawal rate in another natural water drive reservoir, from unfavorable performance from newer wells in over-pressured reservoirs that are exhibiting pressure-dependent permeability reductions, and generally due to higher operating pressures adversely affecting natural gas well performances in a higher back-pressure environment.

The process of estimating oil and natural gas reserves is complex, involving decisions and assumptions in evaluating the available geological, geophysical, engineering and economic data. Accordingly, these estimates are imprecise. Actual future production, oil and natural gas prices, capital costs, operating costs, revenues, taxes and quantities of recoverable oil and natural gas reserves might vary from those estimated. Any variance could materially affect the estimated quantities and present value of proved reserves. In addition, estimates of proved reserves may be adjusted to reflect production history, development, prevailing oil and natural gas prices and other factors, many of which are beyond our control.

Table of Contents

The primary internal technical person in charge of overseeing our reserves estimates has a Bachelor of Science in Petroleum Engineering and a Masters of Business Administration in Finance and Accounting. He has over 30 years of experience in the exploration and production business as well as experience in natural gas processing, refining and marketing, coal, geothermal, manufactured utilities and electricity generation.

As part of our internal control over financial reporting, we have a process for reviewing well production data and division of interest percentages prior to submitting well level data to NSAI to prepare reserve estimates on our behalf. Prior to inclusion in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our primary internal technical person and other members of management review the reserve estimates prepared by NSAI, including the underlying assumptions and estimates upon which they are based, for accuracy and reasonableness.

Production

Oil and natural gas produced and average unit prices related to our royalty and working interests follows:

	2012	For the Year 2011	2010
<i>Consolidated entities:</i>			
Oil production (barrels)	371,300	151,900	115,400
Average price per barrel	\$ 85.09	\$ 96.84	\$ 73.09
Natural gas production (millions of cubic feet)	1,667.7	1,128.6	1,223.6
Average price per thousand cubic feet	\$ 2.76	\$ 4.01	\$ 4.32
<i>Our share of ventures accounted for using the equity method:</i>			
Natural gas production (millions of cubic feet)	321.3	493.4	572.8
Average price per thousand cubic feet	\$ 2.40	\$ 3.81	\$ 4.12
<i>Total consolidated and our share of equity method ventures:</i>			
Oil production (barrels)	371,300	151,900	115,400
Average price per barrel	\$ 85.09	\$ 96.84	\$ 73.09
Natural gas production (millions of cubic feet)	1,989.0	1,622.0	1,796.4
Average price per thousand cubic feet	\$ 2.71	\$ 3.95	\$ 4.26
Total BOE (barrel of oil equivalent) ^(a)	702,800	422,200	414,800
Average price per barrel of oil equivalent	\$ 52.61	\$ 50.02	\$ 38.77

^(a) Natural gas is converted to barrels of oil equivalent (BOE) using the conversion of six Mcf to one barrel of oil.

In fourth quarter 2012, operations acquired from Credo produced approximately 116,600 barrels of oil at an average price of \$79.94 per barrel and 225 MMcf of natural gas at an average price of \$3.64 per Mcf.

At year-end 2012, production lifting costs, which exclude ad valorem and severance taxes, were \$7.47 per BOE related to 403 gross wells in which we have a working interest. At year-end 2011, production lifting costs, which exclude ad valorem and severance taxes, were \$8.88 per BOE related to seven gross wells in which we have a working interest. At year-end 2010, production lifting costs were \$10.83 per BOE related to six gross wells in which we have a working interest.

Table of Contents

Drilling and Other Exploratory and Development Activities; Present Activities

The following tables set forth the number of gross and net oil and natural gas wells in which we participated:

Year	Gross Wells						
	Total	Exploratory			Development		
		Oil	Gas	Dry	Oil	Gas	Dry
2012 ^(a)	40	8	1	9	16	2	4
2011	38	1	7	2	10	18	
2010 ^(b)	23	1	5	1		16	

(a) Of the gross wells drilled in 2012, we operated 11 or 27.5 percent. The remaining wells represent our participations in wells operated by others. All of the dry holes were located in Kansas and Nebraska.

(b) Includes seven development gas wells drilled on property held by an equity method venture.

Year	Net Wells						
	Total	Exploratory			Development		
		Oil	Gas	Dry	Oil	Gas	Dry
2012 ^(a)	13.0	3.0		4.9	2.6	0.2	2.3
2011	4.6	0.2	0.4	0.4	2.4	1.2	
2010 ^(b)	1.7	0.1	0.3	0.1		1.2	

(a) Of the net wells drilled in 2012, we operated 7.6 or 58.4 percent. The remaining wells represent our participations in wells operated by others. All of the dry holes were located in Kansas and Nebraska.

(b) Includes 0.9 development gas wells drilled on property held by an equity method venture.

At year-end 2012, there were eight wells being drilled and there were 11 wells in some stage of the completion process requiring additional activities prior to generating sales.

In 2012, we conducted exploratory activities related to unproven properties in Georgia, Alabama, Kansas and Nebraska by acquiring leases and seismic data, and evaluating leasehold and existing mineral acreage for potential exploratory drilling. The leases have terms ranging from one to five years. In 2011, we conducted exploratory activities in Georgia and Alabama. We did not conduct any exploratory or development activities in 2010.

Table of Contents**Delivery Commitments**

We have no oil or natural gas delivery commitments.

Wells and Acreage

The number of gross wells as of year-end 2012, 2011 and 2010, follows:

	Oil	Gross Wells Natural Gas	Total
Consolidated entities:			
Year-end 2012 ^(a)	491	422	913
Year-end 2011	273	234	507
Year-end 2010	262	209	471
Ventures accounted for using the equity method:			
Year-end 2012		23	23
Year-end 2011		23	23
Year-end 2010		23	23
Total consolidated and equity method ventures:			
Year-end 2012	491	445	936
Year-end 2011	273	257	530
Year-end 2010	262	232	494

^(a) Excludes 1,181 overriding royalty interest wells.

We have royalty interests in 542 gross wells at year-end 2012, 530 at year-end 2011, and 494 at year-end 2010. We have working interests in 403 gross wells at year-end 2012, eight wells at year-end 2011, and six wells at year-end 2010. Total net wells from our royalty and working interests were 174, 47 and 43 at year-end 2012, 2011 and 2010.

The amounts above include two gross wells (0.05 net wells) with multiple completions. We did not have any wells with production of synthetic oil, synthetic natural gas or products of other non-renewable natural resources that are intended to be upgraded into synthetic oil and natural gas as of year-end 2012, 2011 or 2010. Our plugging liabilities are accrued on the balance sheet based on the present value of our estimated future obligation.

At year-end 2012, our working interests represent approximately 87,000 gross developed acres and 30,000 net developed acres leased from others that are held by production. We had approximately 219,000 gross undeveloped acres and 140,000 net undeveloped acres at year-end 2012. We have approximately 58,000 gross and 33,000 net undeveloped acres scheduled to expire in 2013, some of which we are currently evaluating for lease extension.

Capital Expenditure Budget

Our anticipated 2013 mineral resources capital expenditure budget for drilling and completion is \$72.5 million, of which we have allocated \$43.7 million to the Williston Basin of North Dakota to participate as a non-operator in an estimated 54 gross wells in the Bakken and Three Forks formations. Our average working interest in these wells is expected to be approximately 8.0 percent. An additional \$14.5 million is allocated for 82 gross wells in the Lansing Kansas City formation of Kansas and Nebraska through a combination of operated and non-operated working interests with the remaining \$14.3 million allocated to 42 operated and non-operated gross wells across a number of formations principally in Texas, Louisiana and Oklahoma.

Table of Contents

Our 2013 capital expenditure budget is subject to various conditions, including third-party operator drilling plans, oilfield services and equipment availability, commodity prices and drilling results. Although a portion of our capital expenditure budget is allocated to acquiring additional leasehold interests, if we decide to pursue incremental leasehold acquisitions, it would require us to adjust our budget. Other factors that could cause us to adjust our budget include commodity prices, service or material costs, or the performance of wells.

Markets

Oil and natural gas revenues are influenced by prices of, and supply and demand for oil and natural gas. These commodities as determined by both regional and global markets depend on numerous factors beyond our control, including seasonality, the condition of the domestic and global economies, political conditions in other oil and natural producing countries, the extent of domestic production and imports of oil and natural gas, the proximity and capacity of natural gas pipelines and other transportation facilities, supply and demand for oil and natural gas and the effects of federal, state and local regulation. The oil and natural gas industry also competes with other industries in supplying the energy and fuel requirements of industrial, commercial and individual consumers. Mineral leasing activity is influenced by the location of our mineral interests owned relative to existing or projected oil and natural gas reserves and by the proximity of successful production efforts to our mineral interests and by the evolution of new plays and improvements in drilling and extraction technology.

Competition

The oil and natural gas industry is highly competitive, and we compete for prospective properties, producing properties, personnel and services with a substantial number of other companies that may have greater resources. Many of these companies explore for, produce and market oil and natural gas, carry on refining operations and market the end products on a worldwide basis. The primary areas in which we encounter substantial competition are in locating and acquiring desirable leasehold acreage for our drilling and development operations, locating and acquiring attractive producing oil and natural gas properties, attracting highly-skilled personnel and obtaining purchasers and transporters of the oil and natural gas we produce. We also face competition from alternative fuel sources, including coal, heating oil, imported LNG, nuclear and other nonrenewable fuel sources, and renewable fuel sources such as wind, solar, geothermal, hydropower and biomass. Competitive conditions may also be substantially affected by various forms of energy legislation and/or regulation considered from time to time by the United States government. It is not possible to predict whether such legislation or regulation may ultimately be adopted or its precise effects upon our future operations. Such laws and regulations may, however, substantially increase the costs of exploring for, developing or producing oil and natural gas and may prevent or delay the commencement or continuation of our operations.

In locations where our mineral interests owned are close to producing wells and proven reserves, we may have multiple parties interested in leasing our minerals. Conversely, where our mineral interests are in or near areas where reserves have not been discovered, we may receive nominal interest in leasing our minerals. When oil and natural gas prices are higher, we are likely to receive greater interest in leasing our minerals close to producing areas because the economics will support more exploration and extraction activities. Portions of our Texas and Louisiana minerals are in close proximity to producing wells and proven reserves. Being a mineral owner may afford us the opportunity to achieve favorable terms from oil and natural gas operators.

Fiber Resources

We sell wood fiber from portions of our land, primarily in Georgia, and lease land for recreational uses.

We have about 121,000 acres of timber we own directly or through ventures and about 17,000 acres of timber under lease. We manage our timberland in accordance with the Sustainable Forestry Initiative[®] program of Sustainable Forestry Initiative, Inc. At year-end 2012, 99 percent of available acres of our land including ventures, primarily in Georgia, are leased for recreational purposes. Most recreational leases are for a one-year term but may be terminated by us on 30 days' notice to the lessee. These leases do not inhibit our ability to harvest timber.

Table of Contents

Fiber sales volumes and recreational leasing has decreased in year 2012 and 2011 when compared with 2010 primarily due to the sale of over 217,000 acres of timberland since year-end 2008.

Information about our principal timber products follows:

	2012	For the Year 2011	2010
Pulpwood tons sold	370,200	266,200	392,900
Average pulpwood price per ton	\$ 9.83	\$ 8.69	\$ 9.93
Sawtimber tons sold	123,700	56,800	144,300
Average sawtimber price per ton	\$ 21.77	\$ 16.13	\$ 17.94
Total tons sold	493,900	323,000	537,200
Average price per ton	\$ 12.82	\$ 10.00	\$ 12.08

Information about our recreational leases follows:

	2012	For the Year 2011	2010
Average recreational acres leased	129,800	174,500	208,100
Average price per leased acre	\$ 8.73	\$ 8.80	\$ 8.32

Markets

We have an agreement to sell wood fiber to Temple-Inland, acquired by International Paper in first quarter 2012, at market prices, primarily for use at International Paper's Rome, Georgia mill complex. The agreement expires at year-end 2013. Base prices are determined by independent sources and are indexed to third-party sources. Payment for timber is advanced to us on a quarterly basis. We also sell wood fiber to other parties at market prices.

Competition

We face significant competition from other landowners for the sale of our wood fiber. Some of these competitors own similar timber assets that are located in the same or nearby markets. However, due to its weight, the cost for transporting wood fiber long distances is significant, resulting in a competitive advantage for timber that is located reasonably close to paper and building products manufacturing facilities. A significant portion of our wood fiber is reasonably close to such facilities so we expect continued demand for our wood fiber.

Employees

We have approximately 130 employees. None of our employees participate in collective bargaining arrangements. We believe we have a good relationship with our employees.

Environmental Regulations

Our operations are subject to federal, state and local laws, regulations and ordinances relating to protection of public health and the environment. Changes to laws and regulations may adversely affect our ability to harvest and sell timber, develop minerals, remediate contaminated properties or develop real estate. These laws and regulations may relate to, among other things, the protection of timberlands, endangered species, timber harvesting practices, protection and restoration of natural resources, air and water quality, and remedial standards for contaminated property and groundwater. Additionally, these laws may impose liability on property owners or operators for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous or toxic substances on real property, without regard to whether the owner or operator knew, or was responsible for, the presence of the hazardous or toxic substances. The presence of, or the failure to properly remediate, such substances may adversely affect the value of a property, as well as our ability to sell the property or to borrow funds using that property as collateral or the ability to produce oil and natural gas from that property. Environmental claims generally would not be covered by our insurance programs.

Table of Contents

The particular environmental laws that apply to any given real estate development site vary according to the site's location, its environmental condition, and the present and former uses of the site and adjoining properties. Environmental laws and conditions may result in delays, may cause us to incur substantial compliance or other costs and can prohibit or severely restrict development activity or mineral production in environmentally sensitive regions or areas, which could negatively affect our results of operations.

We own approximately 288 acres in several parcels in or near Antioch, California, portions of which were sites of a Temple-Inland paper manufacturing operation that are in remediation. The remediation is being conducted voluntarily with oversight by the California Department of Toxic Substances Control, or DTSC. We have received certificates of completion on all but one 80 acre tract, a portion of which includes subsurface contamination. We estimate the remaining cost to complete remediation activities is about \$1.6 million as of year-end 2012.

Oil and natural gas operations are subject to numerous federal, state and local laws and regulations controlling the generation, use, storage and discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment. These laws and regulations affect our operations and costs as a result of their effect on crude oil and natural gas exploration, development and production operations. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of administrative, civil and criminal penalties, including the assessment of monetary penalties, the imposition of investigatory and remedial obligations, the suspension or revocation of necessary permits, licenses and authorizations, the requirement that additional pollution controls be installed and the issuance of orders enjoining future operations or imposing additional compliance requirements.

Compliance with environmental laws and regulations increases our overall cost of business, but has not had, to date, a material adverse effect on our operations, financial condition or results of operations. It is not anticipated, based on current laws and regulations, that we will be required in the near future to expend amounts (whether for environmental control facilities or otherwise) that are material in relation to our total exploration and development expenditure program in order to comply with such laws and regulations. However, given that such laws and regulations are subject to change, we are unable to predict the ultimate cost of compliance or the ultimate effect on our operations, financial condition and results of operations.

Legal Structure

Forestar Group Inc. is a Delaware corporation. The following chart presents the ownership structure for our significant subsidiaries. It does not contain all our subsidiaries and ventures, some of which are immaterial entities.

Our principal executive offices are located at 6300 Bee Cave Road, Building Two, Suite 500, Austin, Texas 78746-5149. Our telephone number is (512) 433-5200.

Table of Contents

Available Information

From our Internet website, <http://www.forestargroup.com>, you may obtain additional information about us including:

our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, including amendments to these reports, and other documents as soon as reasonably practicable after we file them with the Securities and Exchange Commission;

beneficial ownership reports filed by officers, directors, and principal security holders under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (or the Exchange Act); and

corporate governance information that includes our:

corporate governance guidelines,

audit committee charter

management development and executive compensation committee charter,

nominating and governance committee charter,

standards of business conduct and ethics,

code of ethics for senior financial officers, and

information on how to communicate directly with our board of directors.

We will also provide printed copies of any of these documents to any stockholder free of charge upon request. In addition, the materials we file with the SEC may be read and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. Information about the operation of the Public Reference Room is available by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains an Internet site (<http://www.sec.gov>) that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information that is filed electronically with the SEC.

Executive Officers

The names, ages and titles of our executive officers are:

Name	Age	Position
James M. DeCosmo	54	President and Chief Executive Officer
Christopher L. Nines	41	Chief Financial Officer
Bruce F. Dickson	59	Chief Real Estate Officer
Flavious J. Smith, Jr.	54	Chief Oil and Gas Officer

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

Phillip J. Weber	52	Executive Vice President
Charles T. Etheredge, Jr.	49	Executive Vice President
David M. Grimm	52	Chief Administrative Officer, General Counsel and Secretary
Charles D. Jehl	44	Chief Accounting Officer

James M. DeCosmo has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer since 2006. He served as Group Vice President of Temple-Inland from 2005 to 2007, and previously served as Vice President, Forest from 2000 to 2005 and as Director of Forest Management from 1999 to 2000. Prior to joining Temple-Inland, he held various land management positions throughout the southeastern United States. Mr. Decosmo also serves on the Policy Advisory Board of the Harvard Housing Institute.

Christopher L. Nines has served as our Chief Financial Officer since 2007. He served as Temple-Inland's Director of Investor Relations from 2003 to 2007 and as Corporate Finance Director from 2001 to 2003. He was Senior Vice President of Finance for ConnectSouth Communications, Inc. from 2000 to 2001.

Table of Contents

Bruce F. Dickson has served as our Chief Real Estate Officer since March 2011. From 2009 through March 2011, he was the owner of Fairchild Investments LLC, a real estate investment firm. He served Standard Pacific Corp., as Southeast Region President, from 2004 to 2009 and as Austin Division President from 2002 to 2004. From 1991-2001, he held region or division president positions with D.R. Horton, Inc., Milburn Homes and Continental Homes. His prior experience includes investment banking and financial services.

Flavious J. Smith, Jr. has served as our Chief Oil and Gas Officer since October 2012. From 2008 to 2012, he served as our Executive Vice President. He served as Division Land Manager for EOG Resources, Inc. from 2005 to 2008. He owned and operated Flavious Smith Petroleum Properties, an independent oil and natural gas operator, from 1989 to 2005, and previously held various leadership positions with several oil and gas and energy-related companies.

Phillip J. Weber has served as our Executive Vice President since October 2009. He served the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) as Senior Vice President – Multifamily from 2006 to October 2009, as Chief of Staff to the CEO from 2004 to 2006, as Chief of Staff to non-Executive Chairman of the Board and Corporate Secretary from 2005 to 2006, and as Senior Vice President, Corporate Development in 2005.

Charles T. Etheredge, Jr. has served as our Executive Vice President since 2006. He was a member of Guaranty Bank's commercial real estate lending segment from 1992 to 2006, where he served as Senior Vice President and Managing Director for the Eastern Region from 1999 to 2006 and as Vice President and Division Manager from 1997 to 1999.

David M. Grimm has served as our Chief Administrative Officer since 2007, in addition to holding the offices of General Counsel and Secretary since 2006. Mr. Grimm served Temple-Inland as Group General Counsel from 2005 to 2006, Associate General Counsel from 2003 to 2005, and held various other legal positions from 1992 to 2003. Prior to joining Temple-Inland, Mr. Grimm was an attorney in private practice in Dallas, Texas.

Charles D. Jehl has served as our Chief Accounting Officer since 2006. He served as Chief Operations Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Guaranty Insurance Services, Inc. from 2005 to 2006 and as Senior Vice President and Controller from 2000 to 2005. From 1989 to 1999, Mr. Jehl held various financial management positions within Temple-Inland's financial services segment.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

General Risks Related to our Operations

Both our real estate and mineral resources businesses are cyclical in nature.

The operating results of our business segments reflect the general cyclical pattern of each segment. While the cycles of each industry do not necessarily coincide, demand and prices in each may drop substantially in an economic downturn. Real estate development of residential lots is further influenced by new home construction activity. Mineral resources may be further influenced by national and international commodity prices, principally for oil and natural gas. Cyclical downturns may materially and adversely affect our business, liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

We may be unable to achieve some or all of our Triple in FOR strategic initiatives.

In 2012, we announced Triple in FOR, new strategic initiatives designed to further enhance shareholder value by accelerating value realization of our real estate and natural resources, optimizing transparency and disclosure, and raising net asset value through strategic and disciplined investments. Our initiatives include: increasing total residential lot sales; increasing oil and natural gas production; increasing total segment EBITDA; expanding reported oil and natural gas resource potential; providing additional information regarding groundwater interests; establishing a progress report on corporate responsibility; pursuing growth opportunities which help prove up our asset value and meeting return expectations; developing a low-capital, high-return multifamily business; and accelerating investment in lower-risk oil and natural gas opportunities.

Table of Contents

All of these activities and initiatives have inherent risks and there remain significant challenges and uncertainties, including economic and general business conditions, that could limit our ability to achieve anticipated benefits associated with announced strategic initiatives and affect our financial results. We may not achieve any or all of these goals and are unable to predict whether these initiatives will produce significant revenues, profits or increases in net asset value.

The real estate and mineral resource industries are highly competitive and a number of entities with which we compete are larger and have greater resources, and competitive conditions may adversely affect our results of operations.

The real estate and mineral resource industries in which we operate are highly competitive and are affected to varying degrees by supply and demand factors and economic conditions, including changes in interest rates, new housing starts, home repair and remodeling activities, credit availability, consumer confidence, unemployment, housing affordability, changes in oil and natural gas prices, and federal energy policies.

The competitive conditions in the real estate industry may result in difficulties acquiring suitable land at acceptable prices, lower sales volumes and prices, increased development costs and delays in construction. We compete with numerous regional and local developers for the acquisition, entitlement, and development of land suitable for development. We also compete with some of our national and regional home builder customers who develop real estate for their own use in homebuilding operations, many of which are larger and have greater resources, including greater marketing and technology budgets. Any improvement in the cost structure or service of our competitors will increase the competition we face.

We face intense competition from both major and independent oil and natural gas companies in seeking to acquire desirable producing properties, seeking new properties for future exploration and seeking the human resource expertise necessary to effectively develop properties. Many of our competitors have financial and other resources substantially greater than ours, and some of them are fully integrated oil and natural gas companies. These companies may be able to pay more for development prospects and productive oil and natural gas properties and are able to define, evaluate, bid for, purchase and subsequently drill a greater number of properties and prospects than our financial or human resources permit, effectively reducing our ability to participate in drilling on certain of our acreage as a working interest owner. Our ability to develop and exploit our oil and natural gas properties and to acquire additional quality properties in the future will depend upon our ability to successfully evaluate, select and acquire suitable properties and join in drilling with reputable operators in this highly competitive environment.

Our business, financial condition and results of operations may be negatively affected by any of these factors.

Our activities are subject to environmental regulations and liabilities that could have a negative effect on our operating results.

Our operations are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations related to the protection of the environment. Compliance with these provisions or the promulgation of new environmental laws and regulations may result in delays, may cause us to invest substantial funds to ensure compliance with applicable environmental regulations and can prohibit or severely restrict timber harvesting, real estate development or mineral production activity in environmentally sensitive regions or areas.

Significant reductions in cash flow from slowing real estate, mineral resources or fiber resources market conditions could lead to higher levels of indebtedness, limiting our financial and operating flexibility.

We must comply with various covenants contained in our senior secured credit facility, the indenture governing our 3.75% convertible senior notes due 2020, and any other future debt arrangements. Significant reductions in cash flow from slowing real estate, mineral resources or fiber resources market conditions could require us to increase borrowing levels under our revolving loans under our senior secured credit facility or borrow under other debt arrangements and lead to higher levels of indebtedness, limiting our financial and operating flexibility, and ultimately limiting our ability to comply with our debt covenants. Realization of any of these factors could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Restrictive covenants under our senior secured credit facility may limit the manner in which we operate.

Our senior secured credit facility contains various covenants and conditions that limit our ability to, among other things:

incur or guarantee additional debt;

pay dividends or make distributions to our stockholders;

repurchase or redeem capital stock or subordinated indebtedness;

make loans, investments or acquisitions;

incur restrictions on the ability of certain of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or to make other payments to us;

enter into transactions with affiliates;

create liens;

merge or consolidate with other companies or transfer all or substantially all of our assets; and

transfer or sell assets, including capital stock of subsidiaries.

As a result of these covenants, we are limited in the manner in which we conduct our business and we may be unable to engage in favorable business activities or finance future operations or capital needs.

Debt within some of our ventures may not be renewed or may be difficult or more expensive to replace.

As of December 31, 2012, our unconsolidated joint ventures had approximately \$38.4 million of debt, substantially all of which was non-recourse to us. Many lenders have substantially curtailed or ceased making real estate acquisition and development loans. When debt within our ventures matures, some of our ventures may be unable to renew existing loans or secure replacement financing, or replacement financing may be more expensive. If our ventures are unable to renew existing loans or secure replacement financing, we may be required to contribute additional equity to our ventures which could increase our risk or increase our borrowings under our senior secured credit facility, or both. If our ventures secure replacement financing that is more expensive, our profits may be reduced.

We may not be able to generate sufficient cash flow to service all of our indebtedness and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy our obligations under our indebtedness, which may not be successful.

As of December 31, 2012, we had approximately \$294.1 million of consolidated debt outstanding. On February 26, 2013 we issued \$125 million aggregate principal amount of our 3.75% convertible senior notes due 2020. Our aggregate borrowing under the revolving line of credit under our senior secured credit facility is \$200 million but may be increased up to \$300 million, subject to certain conditions. Our ability to make scheduled payments or to refinance these or future debt obligations depends on our financial and operating performance, which is subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions and to certain financial, business and other factors beyond our control. We cannot assure you that we will maintain a level of cash flows from operating activities sufficient to permit us to pay the principal, premium, if any, and interest on our indebtedness.

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

If our cash flows and capital resources are insufficient to fund our debt service obligations, we may be forced to reduce or delay capital expenditures, sell assets or operations, seek additional debt or equity capital or restructure or refinance our indebtedness. We cannot assure you that we would be able to take any of these actions, that these actions would be successful and permit us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations or that these actions would be permitted under the terms of our existing or future debt agreements. In the absence of such operating results and resources, we could face substantial liquidity problems and might be required to dispose of material assets or operations to meet our debt service and other obligations.

Despite current indebtedness levels, we and our subsidiaries may be able to incur substantially more debt.

We and our subsidiaries may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. If new debt is added to our and our subsidiaries current debt levels, the related risks that we and they now face could intensify.

Table of Contents

Current global financial conditions have been characterized by increased volatility which could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

Current global financial conditions and recent market events have been characterized by increased volatility and the resulting tightening of the credit and capital markets has reduced the amount of available liquidity and overall economic activity. We cannot assure you that debt or equity financing, the ability to borrow funds or cash generated by operations will be available or sufficient to meet or satisfy our initiatives, objectives or requirements. Our inability to access sufficient amounts of capital on terms acceptable to us for our operations could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

Our business may suffer if we lose key personnel.

We depend to a large extent on the services of certain key management personnel. These individuals have extensive experience and expertise in our business segments in which they work. The loss of any of these individuals could have a material adverse effect on our operations. We do not maintain key-man life insurance with respect to any of our employees. Our success will be dependent on our ability to continue to employ and retain skilled personnel in each of our business segments.

Risks Related to Our Real Estate Operations

Tepid demand for new housing or commercial tracts in the markets where we operate could adversely impact our profitability.

The residential development industry is cyclical and is significantly affected by changes in general and local economic conditions, such as employment levels, availability of financing for home buyers, interest rates, consumer confidence and housing demand. Adverse changes in these conditions generally, or in the markets where we operate, could decrease demand for lots for new homes in these areas. Despite improved single-family housing market conditions in some markets, aggregate annual new home starts remain substantially below historical norms. Current mortgage credit standards continue to limit the availability of mortgage loans to acquire new and existing homes. Decline in housing demand could negatively affect our real estate development activities, which could result in a decrease in our revenues and earnings.

Furthermore, the market value of undeveloped land and lots held by us, including commercial tracts, can fluctuate significantly as a result of changing economic and real estate market conditions. If there are significant adverse changes in economic or real estate market conditions, we may have to hold land in inventory longer than planned. Inventory carrying costs can be significant and can result in losses or lower returns and adversely affect our liquidity.

Development of real estate entails a lengthy, uncertain and costly entitlement process.

Approval to develop real property entails an extensive entitlement process involving multiple and overlapping regulatory jurisdictions and often requiring discretionary action by local governments. This process is often political, uncertain and may require significant exactions in order to secure approvals. Real estate projects must generally comply with local land development regulations and may need to comply with state and federal regulations. The process to comply with these regulations is usually lengthy and costly, may not result in the approvals we seek, and can be expected to materially affect our real estate development activities, which may adversely affect our business, liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

Our real estate development operations are currently concentrated in the major markets of Texas, and a significant portion of our undeveloped land holdings are concentrated in Georgia. As a result, our financial results are dependent on the economic growth and strength of those areas.

The economic growth and strength of Texas, where the majority of our real estate development activity is located, are important factors in sustaining demand for our real estate development activities. Further, the future economic growth and real estate development opportunities in broad area around Atlanta, Georgia may be

Table of Contents

adversely affected if its infrastructure, such as roads, utilities, and schools, are not improved to meet increased demand. There can be no assurance that these improvements will occur. As a result, any adverse impact to the economic growth and health, or infrastructure development, of those areas could materially adversely affect our business, liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

Our real estate development operations are highly dependent upon national, regional and local homebuilders.

We are highly dependent upon our relationships with national, regional, and local homebuilders to purchase lots in our residential developments. If homebuilders do not view our developments as desirable locations for homebuilding operations, our business, liquidity, financial condition and results of operations will be adversely affected.

In addition, we enter into contracts to sell lots to builders. A builder could decide to delay purchases of lots in one of our developments due to adverse real estate conditions wholly unrelated to our areas of operations, such as the corporate decisions regarding allocation of limited capital or human resources. Further, home mortgage credit standards have tightened substantially and many markets have excess housing inventory so fewer new houses are being constructed and sold. As a result, some builders are experiencing liquidity shortfalls and may be unwilling or unable to close on previously committed lot purchases and, upon the occurrence of any such event, we cannot assure you that we would be able to recover any damages from such builders. As a result, we may sell fewer lots and may have lower sales revenues, which could have an adverse effect on our business, liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

Our strategic partners may have interests that differ from ours and may take actions that adversely affect us.

We enter into strategic alliances or venture relationships as part of our overall strategy for particular developments or regions. While these partners may bring development experience, industry expertise, financing capabilities, and local credibility or other competitive attributes, they may also have economic or business interests or goals that are inconsistent with ours or that are influenced by factors unrelated to our business. We may also be subject to adverse business consequences if the market reputation or financial condition of a partner deteriorates.

A formal agreement with a partner may also involve special risks, such as: we may not have voting control over the venture; the venture partner may take actions contrary to our instructions or requests, or contrary to our policies or objectives with respect to the real estate investments; the venture partner could experience financial difficulties and actions by a venture partner may subject property owned by the venture to liabilities greater than those contemplated by the venture agreement or have other adverse consequences.

As a result, actions by a partner may have the result of subjecting venture property to liabilities in excess of those contemplated by the terms of the applicable agreement or have other adverse consequences. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that any such arrangements will achieve the results anticipated or otherwise prove successful.

Our partners inability to fund their capital commitments and otherwise fulfill their operating and financial obligations related to a venture could have an adverse effect on the venture and us.

When we enter into a venture, we may rely on our venture partner to fund its share of capital commitments to the venture and to otherwise fulfill its operating and financial obligations. Failure of a venture partner to timely satisfy its funding or other obligations to the venture could require us to elect whether to increase our financial or other operating support of the venture in order to preserve our investment, which may reduce our returns or cause us to incur losses, or to not fund such obligations, which may subject the venture and us to adverse consequences.

Delays or failures by governmental authorities to take expected actions could reduce our returns or cause us to incur losses on certain real estate development projects.

We rely on governmental utility and special improvement districts (SID) to issue bonds as a revenue source for the districts to reimburse us for qualified expenses, such as road and utility infrastructure costs. Bonds

Table of Contents

must be supported by districts tax revenues, usually from ad valorem taxes. Slowing new home sales, decreasing real estate prices or difficult credit markets for bond sales can reduce or delay district bond sale revenues, causing such districts to delay reimbursement of our qualified expenses. Failure to receive timely reimbursement for qualified expenses could adversely affect our cash flows and reduce our returns or cause us to incur losses on certain real estate development projects.

We are unable to control the approval or timing of reimbursements or other payments from the SID in which our Cibolo Canyons project is located. Delays or failure by the SID to approve infrastructure costs for reimbursement or to issue bonds, or lower than expected revenues generated from taxes, could negatively impact the timing of our future cash flows.

The SID in which our Cibolo Canyons project is located is an independent governmental entity not affiliated with us. The SID has an elected governing board of directors comprised of members living within the district, none of whom are affiliated with us. Reimbursement of our infrastructure costs, and timing of payment, is subject to approval and determination by the SID. The SID is also obligated to pay to us certain amounts generated from hotel occupancy revenues and other resort sales revenues collected as taxes by the SID within the district. The amount of revenues collected by the SID will be impacted by hotel occupancy and resort sales, each of which could be lower than projected. If the revenues collected by the SID are lower than expected, then the amount of our future cash flows from the SID could be adversely affected. The amount and timing of receipts from the SID will be impacted by decisions made by the SID in regard to whether and when to issue bonds that would generate funds to support payments to us. Decisions by the SID to delay approval of reimbursements or issuance of bonds could negatively impact the timing of our future cash flows.

Unfavorable changes in apartment markets and economic conditions could adversely affect multifamily occupancy levels and rental rates.

Market and economic conditions may significantly affect multifamily occupancy levels and rental rates and therefore profitability. In general, factors that may adversely affect market and economic conditions include the following:

the economic climate, which may be adversely impacted by a reduction in jobs, industry slowdowns and other factors;

local conditions, such as oversupply of, or reduced demand for, apartment homes;

declines in household formation;

favorable residential mortgage rates;

rent control or stabilization laws, or other laws regulating rental housing, which could prevent us from raising rents to offset increases in operating costs; and;

competition from other available apartments and other housing alternatives and changes in market rental rates.

Any of these factors would adversely affect our ability to achieve desired operating results from our multifamily communities.

Development and construction risks could impact our profitability.

We may develop and construct multifamily communities through wholly-owned projects or through ventures with unaffiliated parties. Our development and construction activities may be exposed to the following risks:

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

we may incur construction costs for a property that exceed original estimates due to increased materials, labor or other costs or unforeseen environmental or other conditions, which could make completion of the property uneconomical, and we may not be able to increase rents to compensate for the increase in construction costs;

Table of Contents

we may be unable to complete construction and/or lease-up of a community on schedule and meet financial goals for development projects;

an adverse incident during construction or development could adversely affect our ability to complete construction, conduct operations or cause substantial losses, including personal injury or loss of life, damage to or destruction of property, equipment, pollution or other environmental contamination, regulatory penalties, suspension of operations, and attorney's fees and other expenses incurred in the prosecution or defense of litigation; and

because occupancy rates and rents at a newly developed community may fluctuate depending on a number of factors, including market and economic conditions, we may be unable to meet our profitability goals for that community.

Possible difficulty of selling multifamily communities could limit our operational and financial flexibility.

Purchasers may not be willing to pay acceptable prices for multifamily communities that we wish to sell. Furthermore, general uncertainty in the real estate markets has resulted in conditions where pricing of certain real estate assets may be difficult due to uncertainty with respect to capitalization rates and valuations, among other things. Also, if we are unable to sell multifamily communities or if we can only sell multifamily communities at prices lower than are generally acceptable, then we may have to take on additional leverage in order to provide adequate capital to execute our business strategy.

Increased competition and increased affordability of residential homes could limit our ability to retain residents, lease apartment homes or increase or maintain rents.

Our multifamily communities compete with numerous housing alternatives in attracting residents, including other multifamily communities and single-family rental homes, as well as owner occupied single and multifamily homes. Competitive housing in a particular area and the increasing affordability of owner occupied single and multifamily homes caused by declining housing prices, mortgage interest rates and government programs to promote home ownership could adversely affect our ability to retain residents, lease apartment homes and increase or maintain rents.

Acquired multifamily development sites and communities may not achieve anticipated results.

We may selectively acquire multifamily communities that meet our investment criteria. Our acquisition activities and their success may be exposed to the following risks:

an acquired community may fail to achieve expected occupancy and rental rates and may fail to perform as expected;

we may not be able to successfully integrate acquired properties and operations;

our estimates of the costs of repositioning or redeveloping the acquired property may prove inaccurate, causing us to fail to meet profitability goals; and

we may be unable to obtain third party co-investment for development of communities.

Failure to succeed in new markets may limit our growth.

We may from time to time commence development activity or make acquisitions outside of our existing market areas if appropriate opportunities arise. Our historical experience in existing markets does not ensure that we will be able to operate successfully in new markets. We may be exposed to a variety of risks if we choose to enter new markets, including, among others:

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

an inability to evaluate accurately local apartment or housing market conditions and local economies;

an inability to obtain land for development or to identify appropriate acquisition opportunities;

an inability to hire and retain key personnel; and

lack of familiarity with local governmental and permitting procedures.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to Our Mineral Resources Operations

We may be unable to realize the expected benefits of acquiring Credo, and the Credo acquisition may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The success of the Credo acquisition will depend, in part, on our ability to achieve the synergies and value creation from combining our existing business with that of Credo. It will also depend, in part, on our ability to promptly and effectively integrate Credo's operations into our existing operations and integrate Credo's employees into our company. The integration of Credo's business may result in unforeseen expenses. In addition, our increased indebtedness and higher debt-to-equity ratio following the Credo acquisition may have the effect, among other things, of reducing our flexibility to respond to changing business or economic conditions and will increase interest costs. In addition, it is possible that, in connection with the Credo acquisition, our capital expenditures could be higher than we anticipated and that we may not realize the expected benefits of such capital expenditures. Credo's leased acreage is subject to expiration in the ordinary course of business and as a result the gross and net acres acquired could decrease materially in subsequent reporting periods if delay rentals are not paid or if the acreage is not held by production. As a result of the Credo acquisition, based on the purchase price allocation, we recorded goodwill and other intangibles of approximately \$58.4 million. If we are unable to successfully integrate the Credo operations, there can be no assurance that such changes would not materially impact the carrying value of our goodwill.

Our operations are subject to the numerous risks of oil and natural gas drilling and production activities.

Our oil and natural gas drilling and production activities are subject to numerous risks, many of which are beyond our control. These risks include the risk of fire, explosions, blow-outs, pipe failure, abnormally pressured formations and environmental hazards. Environmental hazards include oil spills, gas leaks, ruptures, discharges of toxic gases, underground migration and surface spills or mishandling of any toxic fracture fluids, including chemical additives. In addition, title problems, weather conditions and mechanical difficulties or shortages or delays in delivery of drilling rigs and other equipment could negatively affect our operations. If any of these or other similar industry operating risks occur, we could have substantial losses. Substantial losses also may result from injury or loss of life, severe damage to or destruction of property, clean-up responsibilities, environmental damage, regulatory investigation and penalties and suspension of operations. In accordance with industry practice, we maintain insurance against some, but not all, of the risks described above. We cannot assure you that our insurance will be adequate to cover losses or liabilities. Also, we cannot predict the continued availability of insurance at premium levels that justify its purchase.

Expenditures related to drilling activities could lead to higher levels of indebtedness.

We expect increasing drilling expenditures that we plan to pay for with cash flow from operations and borrowings under our senior secured credit facility. We cannot assure you that we will have sufficient capital resources in the future to finance all of our planned drilling expenditures. If cash flows from operations decrease for any reason, our ability to undertake exploration and development activities could be adversely affected and we may have to borrow additional capital under our credit facility to finance such activities. Such borrowings, if available, could lead to higher levels of indebtedness, limiting our financial and operating flexibility and limiting our ability to comply with the debt covenants under our credit facility.

The lack of availability or high cost of drilling rigs, equipment, supplies, personnel and oil field services could adversely affect our ability to execute our exploitation and development plans on a timely basis and within our budget.

From time to time, there are shortages of drilling rigs, equipment, supplies, oil field services or qualified personnel. During these periods, the costs and delivery times of rigs, equipment and supplies are substantially greater. In addition, the demand for, and wage rates of, qualified drilling rig crews rise as the number of active rigs in service increases. During times and in areas of increased activity, the demand for oilfield services will also likely rise, and the costs of these services will likely increase, while the quality of these services may suffer. If the lack of availability or high cost of drilling rigs, equipment, supplies, oil field services or qualified personnel

Table of Contents

were particularly severe in any of our areas of operation, we could be materially and adversely affected. Delays could also have an adverse effect on our results of operations, including the timing of the initiation of production from new wells.

Our drilling operations may be curtailed, delayed or cancelled as a result of a variety of factors that are beyond our control.

Our drilling operations are subject to a number of risks, including:

unexpected drilling conditions;

facility or equipment failure or accidents;

adverse weather conditions;

title problems;

unusual or unexpected geological formations;

fires, blowouts and explosions; and

uncontrollable flows of oil and natural gas or well fluids.

The occurrence of any of these events could adversely affect our ability to conduct operations or cause substantial losses, including personal injury or loss of life, damage to or destruction of property, natural resources and equipment, pollution or other environmental contamination, loss of wells, regulatory penalties, suspension of operations, and attorney's fees and other expenses incurred in the prosecution or defense of litigation.

We may not find any commercially productive oil and natural gas reservoirs.

There is no assurance that new wells we drill will be productive or that we will recover all or any portion of our capital investment in the wells. In addition, drilling for oil and natural gas may be unprofitable. Wells that are productive but do not produce sufficient net revenues after drilling, operating and other costs are unprofitable.

Hydraulic fracturing, the process used for extracting oil and natural gas from shale and other formations, has come under increased scrutiny and could be the subject of further regulation that could impact the timing and cost of extractive activities.

Hydraulic fracturing is the primary production method used to extract reserves located in many of the unconventional oil and natural gas plays in the United States. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") is currently engaged in a long-term study mandated by Congress regarding the potential impacts of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water resources that could influence federal and state legislative and regulatory developments. Pending federal regulatory developments include draft permitting guidance issued by EPA to regulate the underground injection of hydraulic fracturing fluids that use diesel fuel as a fracking fluid or propping agent; EPA air regulations for the oil and natural gas industry, issued in 2012, that among other things include a requirement that, commencing in January 2015, reduced emissions completion technology be used after hydraulic fracturing although in response to a petition challenging these regulations, EPA has filed a motion with the D.C. Circuit to delay the challenges while it reconsiders unspecified aspects of the regulations; and U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management regulations originally proposed in May 2012 but which, in response to public comments, are to be revised and proposed again in the first quarter of 2013, to govern hydraulic fracturing on federal and tribal lands.

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

Depending on legislation that may ultimately be enacted or regulations that may be adopted at the federal, state and local levels, exploration, exploitation and production activities that entail hydraulic fracturing could be subject to additional regulation and permitting requirements. Individually or collectively, such new legislation or regulation could lead to operational delays, increased costs and other burdens that could delay the development of unconventional oil and natural gas resources from formations that are not commercial without the use of hydraulic fracturing. This could have a material effect on our oil and natural gas production operations and on the operators conducting activities on our minerals and on the cash flows we receive from them.

Table of Contents

Volatile oil and natural gas prices could adversely affect our cash flows and results of operations.

Our cash flows and results of operations are dependent in part on oil and natural gas prices, which are volatile. Oil and natural gas prices also impact the amounts we receive for selling and renewing our mineral leases. Moreover, oil and natural gas prices depend on factors we cannot control, such as: changes in foreign and domestic supply and demand for oil and natural gas; actions by the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries; weather; political conditions in other oil-producing countries, including the possibility of insurgency or war in such areas; prices of foreign exports; domestic and international drilling activity; price and availability of alternate fuel sources; the value of the U.S. dollar relative to other major currencies; the level and effect of trading in commodity markets; the effect of worldwide energy conservation measures and governmental regulations. Any substantial or extended decline in the price of oil and natural gas could have a negative impact on our business, liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

Our estimated proved reserves are based on many assumptions that may prove to be inaccurate. Any material inaccuracies in these reserve estimates or underlying assumptions will materially affect the quantities and present value of our reserves and may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

The process of estimating oil and natural gas reserves is complex involving decisions and assumptions in evaluating the available geological, geophysical, engineering and economic data. Accordingly, these estimates are imprecise. Actual future production, oil and natural gas prices, revenues, taxes and quantities of recoverable oil and natural gas reserves might vary from those estimated. Any variance could materially affect the estimated quantities and present value of proved reserves. In addition, we may adjust estimates of proved reserves to reflect production history, development, prevailing oil and natural gas prices and other factors, many of which are beyond our control.

The estimates of our reserves as of December 31, 2012 are based upon various assumptions about future production levels, prices and costs that may not prove to be correct over time. In particular, estimates of oil and natural gas reserves, future net revenue from proved reserves and the standardized measure thereof for our oil and natural gas interests are based on the assumption that future oil and natural gas prices remain the same as the twelve month first-day-of-the-month average oil and natural gas prices for the year ended December 31, 2012. The average realized sales prices as of such date used for purposes of such estimates were \$3.00 per thousand cubic feet (mcf) of natural gas and \$89.26 per barrel of oil. The December 31, 2012 estimates also assume that the working interest owners will make future capital expenditures which are necessary to develop and realize the value of proved reserves.

The standardized measure of future net cash flows from our proved reserves is not necessarily the same as the current market value of our estimated reserves.

Any material inaccuracies in reserve estimates or underlying assumptions will materially affect the quantities and present value of our reserves. As required by SEC regulations, we base our present value of estimated future oil and natural gas revenues on prices and costs in effect at the time of the estimate. However, actual future net cash flows from our properties will be affected by numerous factors not subject to our control and will be affected by factors such as:

decisions and activities of the well operators;

supply of and demand for oil and natural gas;

actual prices we receive for oil and natural gas;

actual operating costs;

the amount and timing of capital expenditures;

the amount and timing of actual production; and

changes in governmental regulations or taxation.

Table of Contents

The timing of production will affect the timing of actual future net cash flows from proved reserves, and thus their actual present value. In addition, the 10% discount factor we use when calculating discounted future net cash flow, which is required by the SEC, may not be the most appropriate discount factor based on interest rates in effect from time to time and risks associated with us or the oil and natural gas industry in general. Any material inaccuracies in our reserve estimates or underlying assumptions will materially affect the quantities and present value of our reserves.

Our reserves and production will decline from their current levels.

The rate of production from oil and natural gas properties generally declines as reserves are produced. Our reserves will decline as they are produced which could materially and adversely affect our future cash flow, liquidity and results of operations.

A portion of our oil and natural gas production may be subject to interruptions that could have a material and adverse effect on us.

A portion of oil and natural gas production from our mineral interests may be interrupted, or shut in, from time to time for various reasons, including as a result of accidents, weather conditions, loss of gathering, processing, compression or transportation facility access or field labor issues, or intentionally as a result of market conditions such as oil and natural gas prices that the operators of our mineral leases, whose decisions we do not control, deem uneconomic. If a substantial amount of production is interrupted, our business, liquidity and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

We may acquire properties that are not as commercially productive as we initially believed.

From time to time, we seek to acquire oil and natural gas properties. Although we perform reviews of properties to be acquired in a manner that we believe is consistent with industry practices, reviews of records and properties may not necessarily reveal existing or potential problems, nor may they permit a buyer to become sufficiently familiar with the properties in order to assess fully their deficiencies and potential. Even when problems with a property are identified, we may assume environmental and other risks and liabilities in connection with acquired properties pursuant to the acquisition agreements. Moreover, there are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of oil and natural gas reserves, actual future production rates and associated costs with respect to acquired properties. Actual reserves, production rates and costs may vary substantially from those assumed in our estimates.

We do not insure against all potential losses and could be materially and adversely affected by unexpected liabilities.

The exploration for, and production of, oil and natural gas can be hazardous, involving natural disasters and other unforeseen occurrences such as blowouts, cratering, fires and loss of well control, which can damage or destroy wells or production facilities, result in injury or death, and damage property and the environment. We maintain insurance against many, but not all, potential losses or liabilities arising from operations on our property in accordance with what we believe are customary industry practices and in amounts and at costs that we believe to be prudent and commercially practicable. In addition, we require third party operators to maintain customary and commercially practicable types and limits of insurance, but potential losses or liabilities may not be covered by such third party's insurance which may subject us to liability as the mineral estate owner. The occurrence of any of these events and any costs or liabilities incurred as a result of such events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We have limited control over the activities on properties we do not operate and are unable to ensure their proper operation and profitability.

The properties in which we have an interest are currently operated by other companies and involve third-party working interest owners. As a result, we have limited ability to influence or control the operation or future

Table of Contents

development of such properties, including compliance with environmental, safety and other regulations, or the amount of capital expenditures that we will be required to fund with respect to such properties other than drilling requirements in the lease. Moreover, we are dependent on the other working interest owners of such projects to fund their contractual share of the capital expenditures of such projects. These limitations and our dependence on the operator and other working interest owners for these projects could cause us to incur unexpected future costs and materially and adversely affect our business, liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, operators determine when and where to drill wells and we have no influence over these decisions. The success and timing of the drilling and development activities on our properties therefore depends upon a number of factors currently outside of our control, including the operator's timing and amount of capital expenditures, expertise and financial resources, inclusion of other participants in drilling wells and use of technology, and the operators of our properties may not have the same financial and other resources as other oil and natural gas companies with whom they compete. Further, new wells may not be productive or may not produce at a level to enable us to recover all or any portion of our capital investment where we have a non-operating working interest.

The ability to sell and deliver oil and natural gas produced from wells on our mineral interests could be materially and adversely affected if adequate gathering, processing, compression and transportation services are not obtained.

The sale of oil and natural gas produced from wells on our mineral interests depends on a number of factors beyond our control, including the availability, proximity and capacity of, and costs associated with, gathering, processing, compression and transportation facilities owned by third parties. These facilities may be temporarily unavailable due to market conditions, mechanical reasons or other factors or conditions, and may not be available in the future on terms the operator considers acceptable, if at all. Any significant change in market or other conditions affecting these facilities or the availability of these facilities, including due to the failure or inability to obtain access to these facilities on terms acceptable to the operator or at all, could materially and adversely affect our business, liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

A significant portion of our Louisiana net mineral acres are subject to prescription of non-use under Louisiana law.

A significant portion of our Louisiana net mineral acres were severed from surface ownership and retained by creation of one or more mineral servitudes shortly before our spin-off. Under Louisiana law, a mineral servitude that is not producing minerals or which has not been the subject of good-faith drilling operations will cease to burden the property upon the tenth anniversary of the date of its creation. Upon such event, the mineral rights effectively will revert to the surface owner and we will no longer own the right to lease, explore for or produce minerals from such acreage.

Our water interests may require governmental permits, the consent of third parties and/or completion of significant transportation infrastructure prior to commercialization, all of which are dependent on the actions of others.

Many jurisdictions require governmental permits to withdraw and transport water for commercial uses, the granting of which may be subject to discretionary determinations by such jurisdictions regarding necessity. In addition, we do not own the executory rights related to our non-participating royalty interest, and as a result, third-party consent from the executor rights owner(s) would be required prior to production. The process to obtain permits can be lengthy, and governmental jurisdictions or third parties from whom we seek permits or consent may not provide the approvals we seek. We may be unable to secure a buyer at commercially economic prices for water that we have a right to extract and transport, and transportation infrastructure across property not owned or controlled by us is required for transport of water prior to commercial use. Such infrastructure can require significant capital and may also require the consent of third parties. We may not have cost effective means to transport water from property we own, lease or manage to buyers. As a result, we may lose some or all of our investment in water assets, or our returns may be diminished.

Table of Contents

Weather and climate may have a significant and adverse impact on us.

Demand for natural gas is, to a significant degree, dependent on weather and climate, which impacts, among other things, the price we receive for the commodities produced from wells on our mineral interests and, in turn, our cash flow and results of operations. For example, relatively warm temperatures during a winter season generally result in relatively lower demand for natural gas, higher inventory (as less natural gas is used to heat residences and businesses) and, as a result, relatively lower prices for natural gas production.

Also, the EPA has proposed regulations for the purpose of restricting greenhouse gas emissions from stationary sources. Such regulatory and legislative proposals to restrict greenhouse gas emissions, or to address climate change generally, could increase our operating costs as well operators incur costs to comply with new rules. Such increased costs may include installation of new or expanded emissions control systems, purchase of allowances to authorize greenhouse gas emissions, and increased taxes. There also could be an adverse impact on oil and natural gas markets.

Risks Related to Our Fiber Resources Operations

If the International Paper mill complex in Rome, Georgia were to permanently cease operations, the price we receive for our wood fiber may decline, and the cost of delivering logs to alternative customers could increase.

Prior to our 2007 spin-off from Temple-Inland, acquired by International Paper, we entered into an agreement to sell wood fiber to Temple-Inland at market prices, primarily for use at its Rome, Georgia mill complex. The agreement expires at year-end 2013, although we expect to continue sales following expiration of the agreement. A significant portion of our fiber resources revenues are generated through sales to the International Paper Rome, Georgia mill complex, which is a significant consumer of wood fiber within the immediate area in which a substantial portion of our Georgia timberlands are located. If the International Paper mill complex in Rome, Georgia was to permanently cease operations, was not willing to pay for wood fiber at a price we deem acceptable or was to cease purchasing wood fiber from us after the expiration of our agreement at year-end 2013, we may not be able to enter into agreements with alternative customers for the wood fiber, any agreements with alternative customers we do enter into may be for lower rates than we currently receive from International Paper and the cost of delivering wood fiber to such alternative customers could increase.

Our ability to harvest and deliver timber may be affected by our sales of timberland and may be subject to other limitations, which could adversely affect our operations.

We have sold over 217,000 acres of our timberland in accordance with our near-term strategic initiatives announced in 2009 and from our retail sales program, and we now own directly or through ventures about 121,000 acres with timber. Sales of our timberland reduce the amount of timber that we have available for harvest.

In addition, weather conditions, timber growth cycles, access limitations, availability of contract loggers and haulers, and regulatory requirements associated with the protection of wildlife and water resources may restrict harvesting of timberlands as may other factors, including damage by fire, insect infestation, disease, prolonged drought, flooding and other natural disasters. Although damage from such natural causes usually is localized and affects only a limited percentage of the timber, there can be no assurance that any damage affecting our timberlands will in fact be so limited. As is common in the forest products industry, we do not maintain insurance coverage with respect to damage to our timberlands.

The revenues, income and cash flow from operations for our fiber resources segment are dependent to a significant extent on the pricing of our products and our continued ability to harvest timber at adequate levels.

Other Risks

The market price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly

The market price of our common stock may be volatile in response to a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, including actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly financial results, changes in

Table of Contents

financial estimates for us by securities analysts and announcements by our competitors of significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments. Our financial results may be below the expectations of securities analysts and investors. If this were to occur, the market price of our common stock could decrease, perhaps significantly. Any volatility or a significant decrease in the market price of our common stock could also negatively affect our ability to make acquisitions using shares of our common stock as consideration.

U.S. securities markets have experienced significant price and volume fluctuations. These fluctuations often have been unrelated to the operating performance of companies in these markets. Broad market and industry factors may negatively affect the market price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance. If we were to be the object of securities class action litigation as a result of volatility in our common stock price or for other reasons, it could result in substantial costs and diversion of our management's attention and resources, which could negatively affect our financial results.

Provisions of Delaware law, our charter documents, our shareholder rights plan and the indenture governing the convertible senior notes may impede or discourage a takeover, which could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

We are a Delaware corporation, and the anti-takeover provisions of Delaware law impose various impediments to the ability of a third party to acquire control of us, even if a change in control would be beneficial to our existing stockholders. In addition, our board of directors has the power, without stockholder approval, to designate the terms of one or more series of preferred stock and issue shares of preferred stock. We have implemented a shareholders' rights plan, called a poison pill, which would substantially reduce or eliminate the expected economic benefit to an acquirer from acquiring us in a manner or terms not approved by our board of directors. These and other impediments to third party acquisition or change of control could limit the price investors are willing to pay for shares of our common stock, which could in turn reduce the market price of our common stock.

The repurchase rights in the convertible senior notes triggered by the occurrence of a fundamental change under the terms of the notes, as well as the additional shares of our common stock by which the conversion rate is increased in connection with certain make-whole fundamental change transactions under the terms of the notes could discourage a potential acquirer.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments.*

None.

Item 2. *Properties.*

Our principal executive offices are located in Austin, Texas, where we lease approximately 32,000 square feet of office space. We also lease office space in Atlanta, Georgia; Dallas, Texas; Denver, Colorado; Fort Worth, Texas; and Lufkin, Texas. We believe these offices are suitable for conducting our business.

For a description of our properties in our real estate, mineral resources and fiber resources segments, see *Business - Real Estate*, *Business - Mineral Resources* and *Business - Fiber Resources*, respectively, in Part I, Item 1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings.*

We are involved directly or through ventures in various legal proceedings that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of doing business. We believe we have established adequate reserves for any probable losses and that the outcome of any of the proceedings should not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or long-term results of operations or cash flows. It is possible, however, that charges related to these matters could be significant to results of operations or cash flow in any single accounting period.

Item 4. *Mine Safety Disclosures.*

Not Applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities. Market Information**

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange. The high and low sales prices in each quarter in 2012 and 2011 were:

	2012 Price Range		2011 Price Range	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 17.12	\$ 13.87	\$ 20.77	\$ 17.75
Second Quarter	15.97	12.00	19.95	14.64
Third Quarter	18.63	11.13	17.59	10.29
Fourth Quarter	17.80	13.61	15.95	9.94
For the Year	18.63	11.13	20.77	9.94

Shareholders

Our stock transfer records indicated that as of March 11, 2013, there were approximately 3,669 holders of record of our common stock.

Dividend Policy

We currently intend to retain any future earnings to support our business and do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. The declaration and payment of any future dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors after taking into account various factors, including without limitation, our financial condition, earnings, capital requirements of our business, the terms of any credit agreements to which we may be a party at the time, legal requirements, industry practice, and other factors that our Board of Directors deems relevant.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities^(a)

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased ^(b)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
Month 1 (10/1/2012 - 10/31/2012)		\$		5,092,305
Month 2 (11/1/2012 - 11/30/2012)	28,881	\$ 14.73	25,000	5,067,305
Month 3 (12/1/2012 - 12/31/2012)	70,097	\$ 14.99	69,450	4,997,855
Total	98,978		94,450	

(a)

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

On February 11, 2009, we announced that our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 7,000,000 shares of our common stock. We have purchased 2,002,145 shares under this authorization, which has no expiration date. We have no repurchase plans or programs that expired during the period covered by the table above and no repurchase plans or programs that we intend to terminate prior to expiration or under which we no longer intend to make further purchases.

- (b) Includes shares withheld to pay taxes in connection with vesting of restricted stock awards and exercises of stock options.

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

In 2012, we changed our peer group to represent a mix of real estate and oil and gas exploration companies following our acquisition of Credo. We composed an index of our peers consisting of AV Homes Inc., Matador Resources Co., Approach Resources, Inc., Bluegreen Corporation, BRE Properties, Inc., Consolidated-Tomoka Land Co., Cousins Properties Incorporated, Contango Oil and Gas Co., Goodrich Petroleum Corp., Magnum Hunter Resources Corp., Penn Virginia Corp., Petroquest Energy Inc., Post Properties, Inc., Potlatch Corporation, Resolute Energy Corp., The St. Joe Company, and Tejon Ranch Co. Our old custom peer group (Old Custom Peer Index) consisted of Avatar Holdings Inc., Consolidated-Tomoka Land Co., Tejon Ranch Co. and The St. Joe Company. Our cumulative total shareholder return for the last five years compared to the Russell 2000 Index, New Custom Peer Index, and to the Old Custom Peer Index was as shown in the following graph (assuming \$100 invested on January 1, 2008):

Pursuant to SEC rules, returns of each of the companies in the Peer Index are weighted according to the respective company's stock market capitalization at the beginning of each period for which a return is indicated.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data.**

	2012	2011	For the Year 2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands, except per share amount)				
Revenues:					
Real estate	\$ 120,115	\$ 106,168	\$ 68,269	\$ 94,436	\$ 98,859
Mineral resources	44,220	24,584	24,790	36,256	47,671
Fiber resources	8,256	4,821	8,301	15,559	13,192
Total revenues	\$ 172,591	\$ 135,573	\$ 101,360	\$ 146,251	\$ 159,722
Segment earnings (loss):					
Real estate ^(a)	\$ 53,582	\$ (25,704)	\$ (4,634)	\$ 3,182	\$ 9,075
Mineral resources	21,581	16,023	22,783	32,370	44,076
Fiber resources	5,056	1,893	5,058	9,622	8,896
Total segment earnings (loss)	80,219	(7,788)	23,207	45,174	62,047
Items not allocated to segments:					
General and administrative expense ^(b)	(25,176)	(20,110)	(17,341)	(22,399)	(19,318)
Share-based compensation expense	(14,929)	(7,067)	(11,596)	(11,998)	(4,516)
Gain on sale of assets ^(c)	16	61,784	28,607	104,047	
Interest expense	(19,363)	(17,012)	(16,446)	(20,459)	(21,283)
Other corporate non-operating income ^(d)	191	368	1,164	375	279
Income before taxes	20,958	10,175	7,595	94,740	17,209
Income tax expense	(8,016)	(3,021)	(2,470)	(35,633)	(5,235)
Net income attributable to Forestar Group Inc.	\$ 12,942	\$ 7,154	\$ 5,125	\$ 59,107	\$ 11,974
Diluted net income per common share	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.14	\$ 1.64	\$ 0.33
Average diluted common shares outstanding	35,482	35,781	36,377	36,102	35,892
At year-end:					
Assets	\$ 918,434	\$ 794,857	\$ 789,324	\$ 784,734	\$ 834,576
Debt	\$ 294,063	\$ 221,587	\$ 221,589	\$ 216,626	\$ 337,402
Noncontrolling interest	\$ 4,059	\$ 1,686	\$ 4,715	\$ 5,879	\$ 6,600
Forestar Group Inc. shareholders' equity	\$ 529,488	\$ 509,526	\$ 509,564	\$ 512,456	\$ 447,292
Ratio of total debt to total capitalization	36%	30%	30%	30%	43%

^(a) Real estate segment earnings (loss) include non-cash impairments of \$45,188,000 in 2011, \$11,271,000 in 2010, \$10,619,000 in 2009 and \$3,325,000 in 2008. Real estate segment earnings (loss) also include the effects of net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests.

^(b) In 2012, general and administrative expense includes \$6,323,000 in costs associated with our acquisition of Credo and in 2011, includes \$3,187,000 associated with proposed private debt offerings that we withdrew as a result of deterioration of terms available to us in the credit markets.

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

- (c) Gain on sale of assets in 2011, 2010 and 2009 represents gains from timberland sales in accordance with our strategic initiatives announced first quarter 2009 and completed in 2011.
- (d) In 2010, other corporate non-operating income principally represents interest income related to a loan to a third-party equity investor in the resort development located at our Cibolo Canyons development. We received payment in full plus interest in fourth quarter 2010.

Table of Contents

Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.*

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and other materials we have filed or may file with the Securities and Exchange Commission contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. These forward-looking statements are identified by their use of terms and phrases such as believe, anticipate, could, estimate, likely, intend, may, plan, expect, and similar expressions, including assumptions. These statements reflect our current views with respect to future events and are subject to risk and uncertainties. We note that a variety of factors and uncertainties could cause our actual results to differ significantly from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors and uncertainties that might cause such differences include, but are not limited to:

general economic, market or business conditions in Texas or Georgia, where our real estate activities are concentrated;

our ability to achieve some or all of our strategic initiatives;

the opportunities (or lack thereof) that may be presented to us and that we may pursue;

our ability to hire and retain key personnel;

significant customer concentration;

future residential, multifamily or commercial entitlements, development approvals and the ability to obtain such approvals;

obtaining approvals of reimbursements and other payments from special improvement districts and timing of such payments;

accuracy of estimates and other assumptions related to investment in real estate, the expected timing and pricing of land and lot sales and related cost of real estate sales, impairment of long-lived assets, income taxes, share-based compensation and oil and natural gas reserves;

the levels of resale housing inventory and potential impact of foreclosures in our mixed-use development projects and the regions in which they are located;

fluctuations in costs and expenses;

demand for new housing, which can be affected by a number of factors including the availability of mortgage credit;

competitive actions by other companies;

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

changes in governmental policies, laws or regulations and actions or restrictions of regulatory agencies;

our realization of the expected benefits of acquiring CREDO Petroleum Corporation (Credo);

risks associated with oil and natural gas drilling production activities;

fluctuations in oil and natural gas commodity prices;

government regulation of exploration and production technology, including hydraulic fracturing;

the results of financing efforts, including our ability to obtain financing with favorable terms, or at all;

our ability to make interest and principal payments on our debt and satisfy the other covenants contained in our senior credit facility, indenture and other debt agreements;

our partners' ability to fund their capital commitments and otherwise fulfill their operating and financial obligations;

the effect of limitations, restrictions and natural events on our ability to harvest and deliver timber;

inability to obtain permits for, or changes in laws, governmental policies or regulations effecting, water withdrawal or usage;

Table of Contents

the final resolutions or outcomes with respect to our contingent and other liabilities related to our business; and

our ability to execute our growth strategy and deliver acceptable returns from acquisitions and other investments.

Other factors, including the risk factors described in Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, may also cause actual results to differ materially from those projected by our forward-looking statements. New factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for us to predict all such factors, nor can we assess the impact of any such factor on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement.

Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made, and, except as required by law, we expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to disseminate any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Strategy

Our strategy is:

Recognizing and responsibly delivering the greatest value from every acre; and

Growing through strategic and disciplined investments.

2012 Strategic Initiatives

In 2012, we announced Triple in FOR, new strategic initiatives designed to further enhance shareholder value by:

Accelerating value realization of our real estate and natural resources by increasing total residential lot sales, oil and natural gas production, and total segment EBITDA.

Optimizing transparency and disclosure by expanding reported oil and natural gas resources, providing additional information related to groundwater interests, and establishing a progress report on corporate responsibility efforts.

Raising our net asset value through strategic and disciplined investments by pursuing growth opportunities which help prove up our asset value and meet return expectations, developing a low-capital, high-return multifamily business, and accelerating investment in lower-risk oil and natural gas opportunities.

Strategic Acquisition

On September 28, 2012, we acquired 100 percent of the outstanding common stock of Credo in an all cash transaction for \$14.50 per share, representing an equity purchase price of approximately \$146,445,000. In addition, we paid in full \$8,770,000 of Credo's outstanding debt. Credo is an independent oil and natural gas exploration, development and production company based in Denver, Colorado. The acquired assets include leasehold interests in the Bakken and Three Forks formations of North Dakota, the Lansing Kansas City formation in Kansas and Nebraska, and the Tonkawa and Cleveland formations in Texas.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations for the Years Ended 2012, 2011 and 2010**

A summary of our consolidated results by business segment follows:

	2012	For the Year 2011 (In thousands)	2010
Revenues:			
Real estate	\$ 120,115	\$ 106,168	\$ 68,269
Mineral resources	44,220	24,584	24,790
Fiber resources	8,256	4,821	8,301
Total revenues	\$ 172,591	\$ 135,573	\$ 101,360
Segment earnings (loss):			
Real estate	\$ 53,582	\$ (25,704)	\$ (4,634)
Mineral resources	21,581	16,023	22,783
Fiber resources	5,056	1,893	5,058
Total segment earnings (loss)	80,219	(7,788)	23,207
Items not allocated to segments:			
General and administrative expense	(25,176)	(20,110)	(17,341)
Share-based compensation expense	(14,929)	(7,067)	(11,596)
Gain on sale of assets	16	61,784	28,607
Interest expense	(19,363)	(17,012)	(16,446)
Other corporate non-operating income	191	368	1,164
Income before taxes	20,958	10,175	7,595
Income tax expense	(8,016)	(3,021)	(2,470)
Net income attributable to Forestar Group Inc.	\$ 12,942	\$ 7,154	\$ 5,125

Significant aspects of our results of operations follow:

2012

Real estate segment earnings benefited from a \$11,675,000 gain from the sale of our 25 percent ownership interest in Palisades West LLC, a \$10,180,000 gain from the sale of Broadstone Memorial, a 401-unit multifamily investment property in Houston, \$8,247,000 in earnings from an unconsolidated venture's sale of Las Brisas, a 414-unit multifamily property near Austin, a \$3,401,000 gain from a consolidated venture's bulk sale of 800 acres near Dallas, and increased residential lot and commercial tract sales activity.

Mineral resources segment earnings benefited from increased lease bonus revenues, higher production volume and earnings attributable to our exploration and production operations from our acquisition of Credo in third quarter 2012, partially offset by lower oil and natural gas prices, increased costs associated with developing our water resources initiatives, and increased depletion and production severance taxes due to higher production volumes.

Fiber resources segment earnings increased principally as a result of higher levels of harvesting activity.

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

General and administrative expense includes \$6,323,000 in transaction costs paid to outside advisors associated with our acquisition of Credo in 2012.

Share-based compensation increased principally as a result of our higher stock price in 2012 and its impact on cash-settled awards.

Table of Contents

2011
Interest expense includes a \$4,448,000 loss on extinguishment of debt in connection with the amendment and extension of our term loan.

Real estate segment earnings were negatively impacted by \$45,188,000 of non-cash impairment charges principally associated with residential development projects located near Atlanta, Denver, and the Texas gulf coast and with our decision to acquire certain assets from CL Realty and TEMCO, ventures in which we own a 50 percent interest. Segment earnings were positively impacted by increased undeveloped land sales and higher residential lot and tract sales. In addition, segment earnings were positively impacted by \$3,083,000 as result of settled litigation and reallocation from us to noncontrolling financial interests of a previously recognized loss related to foreclosure of a lien on a property owned by a consolidated venture.

Mineral resources segment earnings declined primarily due to lower lease bonus revenues and increased costs associated with developing our water resources initiatives. These items were partially offset by increased oil production volumes and higher average oil prices.

Fiber resources segment earnings decreased principally due to lower harvest volume as a result of selling over 217,000 acres of timberland since year-end 2008.

General and administrative expenses includes \$3,187,000 in costs paid to outside advisors associated with proposed private debt offerings that we withdrew as a result of deterioration of terms available to us in the credit markets.

Share-based compensation decreased principally as a result of a decline in our stock price in 2011 and its impact on cash-settled awards.

2010
Gain on sale of assets represents the sale of about 57,000 acres of timberland for \$87,061,000 in accordance with our 2009 strategic initiatives which we completed in 2011.

Real estate segment earnings declined principally due to lower undeveloped land sales from our retail sales program and \$11,271,000 of non-cash impairment charges principally associated with residential development projects located near Atlanta and Fort Worth, and commercial real estate tract near the Texas gulf coast.

Mineral resources segment earnings declined principally due to lower lease bonus revenues as a result of reduced leasing activity by exploration and production companies that concentrated investments in drilling activities to hold existing leases rather than leasing new mineral interests in our basins. This decline in lease bonus revenue was partially offset by increased oil and natural gas production and higher oil prices.

Fiber resources segment earnings decreased principally due to reduced harvest activity resulting from the sale of over 140,000 acres of timberland since first quarter 2009 and delaying harvest plans on about 55,000 acres classified as held for sale.

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

Gain on sale of assets represents the sale of about 24,000 acres of timberland for \$38,778,000 in accordance with our 2009 strategic initiatives.

Interest expense decreased principally due to lower interest rates as a result of the maturity of our interest rate swap agreement, lower average debt levels outstanding and decreased amortization of prepaid loan fees due to refinancing and extending the maturity date of our senior credit facility.

Current Market Conditions

Current U.S. single-family residential market conditions are showing signs of stability with improvement in various markets; however, high unemployment rates, difficult financing environment for purchasers and competition from foreclosure inventory continue to negatively influence housing markets. It is difficult to predict

Table of Contents

when and at what rate these broader negative conditions will improve. Declining finished lot inventories and lack of real estate development is increasing demand for our developed lots, principally in the Texas markets. Multifamily market conditions continue to be strong, with many markets experiencing healthy occupancy levels and positive rent growth. This improvement has been driven primarily by limited new construction activity, reduced single-family mortgage credit availability, and the increased propensity to rent among the 18 to 34 year old demographic of the U.S. population.

Oil prices have weakened as compared with 2011 reflecting market concerns about world economic activity and oil demand. Natural gas prices have remained near low historical levels due to abundant supplies and high inventories. Shale resource drilling and production remains strong and working natural gas inventories are expected to remain relatively high. In the East Texas Basin, exploration and production companies continue to focus drilling on high liquid rich gas prospects due to relatively high condensate and natural gas liquid prices. In the Gulf Coast Basin, in Louisiana, activity has increased as operators have shifted exploration efforts to oil and high liquid natural gas plays. These conditions may impact the demand for new mineral leases on our owned minerals, new exploration activity and the amount of revenues we receive.

Pine sawtimber prices are beginning to show signs of improvement due to increased demand for solid wood products, principally lumber, while pine pulpwood demand remains steady and pricing is relatively flat.

Business Segments

We manage our operations through three business segments:

Real estate,

Mineral resources, and

Fiber resources.

We evaluate performance based on earnings before unallocated items and income taxes. Segment earnings (loss) consist of operating income, equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated ventures, gain on sale of assets, interest income on loans secured by real estate and net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests. Items not allocated to our business segments consist of general and administrative expenses, share-based compensation, gain on sale of strategic timberland, interest expense and other corporate non-operating income and expense. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the accounting policy note to the consolidated financial statements.

We operate in cyclical industries. Our operations are affected to varying degrees by supply and demand factors and economic conditions including changes in interest rates, availability of mortgage credit, consumer and home builder sentiment, new housing starts, real estate values, employment levels, changes in the market prices for oil, natural gas, and timber, and the overall strength or weakness of the U.S. economy.

Real Estate

We own directly or through ventures about 136,000 acres of real estate located in 10 states and 14 markets. Our real estate segment secures entitlements and develops infrastructure on our lands, primarily for single-family residential and mixed-use communities. We own nearly 100,000 acres in a broad area around Atlanta, Georgia, with the balance located primarily in Texas. We target investments principally in our strategic growth corridors, regions across the southern half of the United States that possess key demographic and growth characteristics that we believe make them attractive for long-term real estate investment. We own and manage our projects either directly or through ventures. Our real estate segment revenues are principally derived from the sales of residential single-family lots and tracts, undeveloped land and commercial real estate and from the operation of income producing properties, primarily a hotel and multifamily properties we develop.

Table of Contents

A summary of our real estate results follows:

	2012	For the Year 2011 (In thousands)	2010
Revenues	\$ 120,115	\$ 106,168	\$ 68,269
Cost of sales	(70,039)	(62,975)	(45,485)
Operating expenses	(34,160)	(36,184)	(29,338)
	15,916	7,009	(6,554)
Interest income on loan secured by real estate	3,430		
Gain on sale of assets	25,273		
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated ventures	13,897	(30,626)	2,629
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(4,934)	(2,087)	(709)
Segment earnings (loss)	\$ 53,582	\$ (25,704)	\$ (4,634)

In 2012, cost of sales include \$10,977,000 related to multifamily construction contract costs we incurred as general contractor and paid to sub-contractors associated with our development of two multifamily properties. We did not have any non-cash impairment charges in 2012. Cost of sales includes non-cash impairment charges of \$11,525,000 in 2011 principally associated with owned and consolidated residential development projects near Denver and the Texas gulf coast and \$9,042,000 in 2010 principally associated with owned and consolidated residential development projects located near Atlanta and Fort Worth.

Interest income represents earnings from a loan we hold secured by a mixed-use community in Houston in which we have a first lien position.

In 2012, gain on sale of assets principally includes a \$11,675,000 gain from the sale of our 25 percent ownership interest in Palisades West LLC, a \$10,180,000 gain from the sale of Broadstone Memorial, a 401-unit multifamily investment property in Houston and a \$3,401,000 gain from a consolidated venture's bulk sale of 800 acres in Dallas.

In 2012, segment results include \$8,247,000 in earnings associated with an unconsolidated venture's sale of Las Brisas, a 414-unit multifamily property near Austin, for \$40,400,000. Equity in earnings from unconsolidated ventures includes \$11,013,000 in earnings related to this sale, of which (\$2,766,000) was allocated to net income attributable to noncontrolling interests.

Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated ventures include non-cash impairment charges of \$33,663,000 in 2011 principally associated with our decision to acquire certain assets from CL Realty and TEMCO ventures and \$2,229,000 in 2010 principally related to a commercial real estate tract located near the Texas gulf coast. In 2011 as a result of entering into the agreement with CL Realty to acquire certain assets, we offset \$2,164,000 of deferred gains against our share of venture losses. In 2010, equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated ventures includes about \$4,869,000 in gains that were previously deferred by us due to our continuing involvement with the property which was sold to a third party.

In 2011, segment earnings (loss) include a benefit of \$1,741,000 as a result of settled litigation and \$1,342,000 associated with reallocation of a previously recognized loss related to a foreclosure of a lien on a property owned by a consolidated venture. We allocated this loss to the noncontrolling financial interests as we believed the likelihood we will be subject to any potential lender liabilities related to this foreclosure is remote.

Table of Contents

Revenues in our owned and consolidated ventures consist of:

	2012	For the Year 2011 (In thousands)	2010
Residential real estate	\$ 51,369	\$ 36,586	\$ 24,540
Commercial real estate	8,320	736	352
Undeveloped land	18,924	40,517	20,111
Income producing properties	38,656	26,820	21,225
Other	2,846	1,509	2,041
 Total revenues	 \$ 120,115	 \$ 106,168	 \$ 68,269

Residential real estate revenues principally consist of the sale of single-family developed lots to national, regional and local homebuilders. In 2012 and 2011, residential real estate revenues increased principally as a result of higher lot sales volume due to demand for finished lot inventory by homebuilders in markets where supply has diminished. In 2010, residential real estate revenues declined principally as a result of decreased demand for single-family lots due to the overall decline in the housing industry.

In 2012, commercial tract sales benefited from increased demand in our Texas markets as commercial credit became more readily available to third-party purchasers. In 2012, we sold about 83 commercial acres for \$9,551,000 or \$114,800 per acre from our owned and consolidated projects located in San Antonio, Houston, Dallas and Fort Worth, of which, \$929,000 of profit was deferred as result of our continued involvement in post-closing construction obligations and will be recognized using the percentage of completion method. These sales generated combined segment earnings of \$5,359,000. In 2011 and 2010, commercial real estate revenues were negatively impacted by limited availability of commercial real estate acquisition and development mortgages to potential third-party purchasers.

Market conditions for undeveloped land sales under our retail sales program remained challenging due to limited credit availability, low consumer confidence and alternate investment options to buyers in the marketplace. In 2012, undeveloped land sales include the sale of about 6,800 acres for \$12,800,000 in three retail transactions resulting in combined segment earnings of about \$9,700,000. In 2011, undeveloped land sales increased principally due to the sale of about 9,700 acres for \$17,980,000. This sale represented a retail transaction of several non-contiguous tracts and resulted in segment earnings of about \$13,396,000. In 2010, the average price per acre sold increased principally as a result of selling about 700 acres of land in the entitlement process for about \$8,200 per acre.

In 2012, income producing properties revenue increased primarily as a result of construction revenues of \$11,500,000 associated with our multifamily guaranteed maximum price construction contracts as general contractor. We are reimbursed for costs paid to sub-contractors plus earn a development and construction fee, and the sum of which is included in income producing properties revenue. In addition, 2012 and 2011 income producing revenues increased when compared with 2010 as a result of acquisitions and rent growth from a 401-unit multifamily investment property located in Houston, Texas, which was sold in 2012.

In 2012, other revenues include \$1,611,000, from selling 11 acres of impervious cover rights to homebuilders resulting in about \$1,416,000 in segment earnings.

Table of Contents

Units sold in our owned and consolidated ventures consist of:

	2012	For the Year 2011	2010
Residential real estate:			
Lots sold	926	567	442
Average price per lot sold	\$ 52,016	\$ 56,697	\$ 55,076
Commercial real estate:			
Acres sold	83.2	4.0	2.4
Average price per acre sold	\$ 114,846	\$ 185,344	\$ 146,047
Undeveloped land:			
Acres sold	9,190	17,130	5,812
Average price per acre sold	\$ 2,059	\$ 2,365	\$ 3,460

Operating expenses consist of:

	2012	For the Year 2011	2010
		(In thousands)	
Employee compensation and benefits	\$ 10,261	\$ 7,798	\$ 6,188
Property taxes	7,903	7,881	7,205
Depreciation and amortization	4,340	5,259	2,924
Professional services	4,050	4,938	4,471
Environmental	173	2,652	148
Other	7,433	7,656	8,402
Total operating expenses	\$ 34,160	\$ 36,184	\$ 29,338

In 2012, employee compensation and benefits increased primarily due to higher incentive compensation as a result of our improved operating results and value creation activities. In 2011, employee compensation and benefits increased principally due to developing and staffing our multifamily organization. In 2011, depreciation and amortization increased primarily as a result of a 401-unit multifamily investment property acquired in 2010 which we sold in 2012. In 2011, environmental costs increased principally as a result of a \$2,500,000 charge related to environmental remediation activities at our San Joaquin River project located in Antioch, California.

Table of Contents

Information about our real estate projects and our real estate ventures follows:

	Year-End	
	2012	2011
Owned and consolidated ventures:		
Entitled, developed and under development projects		
Number of projects	67	54
Residential lots remaining	20,084	18,344
Commercial acres remaining	2,051	1,849
Undeveloped land and land in the entitlement process		
Number of projects	15	16
Acres in entitlement process	26,070	27,590
Acres undeveloped	89,610	96,877
Ventures accounted for using the equity method:		
Ventures – lot sales (for the year)		
Lots sold	439	550
Average price per lot sold	\$ 52,080	\$ 37,729
Ventures – entitled, developed and under development projects		
Number of projects	7	21
Residential lots remaining	3,716	8,767
Commercial acres sold (for the year)	12	22
Average price per acre sold	\$ 239,754	\$ 195,230
Commercial acres remaining	321	617
Ventures – undeveloped land and land in the entitlement process		
Acres sold (for the year)	135	19
Average price per acre sold	\$ 2,600	\$ 3,000
Acres undeveloped	5,655	5,790

We underwrite real estate development projects based on a variety of assumptions incorporated into our development plans, including the timing and pricing of sales and leasing and costs to complete development. Our development plans are periodically reviewed in comparison to our return projections and expectations, and we may revise our plans as business conditions warrant. If as a result of changes to our development plans the anticipated future net cash flows are reduced such that our basis in a project is not fully recoverable, we may be required to recognize a non-cash impairment charge for such project.

In 2012, we acquired from CL Realty and Temco, 14 entitled, developed and under development projects and interests in three ventures accounted for using the equity method. The acquired assets represented approximately 1,130 fully developed lots, 4,900 planned lots, and over 460 commercial acres at time of acquisition, principally in the major markets of Texas. We also acquired two multifamily development sites in Charlotte and Nashville for \$16,651,000 and one single-family project in North Dallas for \$8,951,000. In addition, we completed the construction on a 289-unit multifamily project in Austin and at year-end 2012 our investment in this project was \$30,057,000. This property is being marketed for sale and is targeted to close in the first half of 2013.

Table of Contents

Our net investment in owned and consolidated real estate by geographic location follows:

State	Entitled, Developed, and Under Development Projects	Undeveloped Land and Land in Entitlement (In thousands)	Income Producing Properties	Total
Texas	\$ 301,879	\$ 9,385	\$ 55,259	\$ 366,523
Georgia	23,467	56,622		80,089
Colorado	21,290			21,290
California	8,915	15,362		24,277
Tennessee			11,422	11,422
North Carolina			5,954	5,954
Other	6,276	1,319		7,595
Total	\$ 361,827	\$ 82,688	\$ 72,635	\$ 517,150

Over 70% of our net investment in real estate is in the major markets of Texas.

Mineral Resources

We lease portions of our 590,000 net mineral acres owned located principally in Texas, Louisiana, Georgia and Alabama to oil and natural gas companies in return for lease bonus, delay rental and royalty revenues and may exercise an option to participate in oil and natural gas production that may be discovered on our acreage. At year-end 2012, we have about 29,000 net acres under lease and about 39,000 net acres held by production by third-parties.

On September 28, 2012, we acquired 100 percent of the outstanding common stock of Credo in an all cash transaction for \$14.50 per share, representing an equity purchase price of approximately \$146,445,000. In addition, we paid in full \$8,770,000 of Credo's outstanding debt. Credo is an independent oil and natural gas exploration, development and production company based in Denver, Colorado. The acquired assets include leasehold interests in the Bakken and Three Forks formations of North Dakota, the Lansing Kansas City formation in Kansas and Nebraska, and the Tonkawa and Cleveland formations in Texas.

With this acquisition we now own working interests in about 394 gross oil and natural gas wells, of which 136 are operated by us. These leasehold interests include approximately 6,000 net mineral acres in the Bakken and Three Forks formations. At year-end 2012, the assets represent approximately 162,000 net mineral acres leased from others and overriding royalty interests, of which 37,000 are held by production. In fourth quarter 2012, the operations from this acquisition generated approximately \$10,134,000 in revenues and \$2,040,000 in segment earnings.

A summary of our mineral resources results follows:

	2012	For the Year 2011 (In thousands)	2010
Revenues	\$ 44,220	\$ 24,584	\$ 24,790
Cost of sales	(12,176)	(2,918)	(1,097)
Operating expenses	(10,972)	(7,037)	(2,982)
	21,072	14,629	20,711
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated ventures	509	1,394	2,072

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

Segment earnings	\$ 21,581	\$ 16,023	\$ 22,783
------------------	-----------	-----------	-----------

Cost of sales represents our share of oil and natural gas production severance taxes, which are calculated based on a percentage of oil and natural gas produced, exploration expenses, depletion and costs related to our oil

Table of Contents

and natural gas working interests and delay rental payments related to groundwater leases in central Texas. In 2012, cost of sales includes \$6,892,000 related to our acquisition of Credo at third quarter-end 2012.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated ventures includes our share of royalty revenue from producing wells in the Barnett Shale natural gas formation.

Revenues consist of:

	2012	For the Year 2011 (In thousands)	2010
Royalties	\$ 23,553	\$ 18,079	\$ 13,073
Working interests	12,650	1,160	651
Other lease revenues	8,017	5,345	11,066
Total revenues	\$ 44,220	\$ 24,584	\$ 24,790

In 2012, royalty revenue increased principally as a result of increased oil and natural gas production which was partially offset by decreases in oil and natural gas prices in our owned and consolidated properties. Decrease in oil price is due to impact of decrease in prices of natural gas liquids. Increased oil production contributed about \$8,465,000 which was offset by about \$2,204,000 due to a decrease in oil prices. Increased natural gas production contributed about \$1,125,000 which was offset by about \$1,922,000 due to a decrease in natural gas prices.

In 2011, royalty revenue increased principally as a result of higher oil prices and increased oil production which was partially offset by decreases in natural gas production and lower prices in our owned and consolidated properties. Increased oil prices contributed about \$3,608,000 and oil production increases contributed about \$2,666,000, which was offset by decreased natural gas prices resulting in a reduction of about \$350,000 and decreased natural gas production resulting in a reduction of about \$411,000.

In 2010, royalty revenues increased as a result of higher oil prices and oil production partially offset by decreases in natural gas production in our owned and consolidated properties. Increased oil prices contributed about \$1,873,000 and oil production increases contributed about \$466,000. The production increase primarily relates to new oil wells commencing production in late 2009 and early 2010. Increased natural gas prices contributed about \$245,000 which was offset by decreased natural gas production of about \$774,000.

In 2012, working interest revenues increased principally due to increased oil and natural gas production associated with our acquisition of Credo at third quarter-end 2012. Increased oil production contributed about \$13,861,000, which was partially offset by decreased oil prices of about \$3,239,000. Increased natural gas production contributed about \$1,199,000, which was offset by decreased natural gas prices of about \$331,000.

In 2012, other lease revenues include \$5,319,000 in lease bonus payments received from leasing over 8,900 net mineral acres owned to third-parties for an average of about \$600 per acre and \$2,219,000 related to delay rental payments received.

In 2011, other lease revenues include \$2,250,000 in lease bonus payments received from leasing about 8,100 net mineral acres owned to third-parties for an average of about \$280 per acre, \$1,555,000 related to a mineral seismic exploration agreement associated with 31,100 acres in Louisiana and \$992,000 related to delay rental payments received.

In 2010, other lease revenues include \$7,655,000 in lease bonus payments received from leasing about 16,900 net mineral acres owned to third-parties for an average of about \$460 per acre and \$2,168,000 related to delay rental payments received. In addition, other lease revenues include about \$1,126,000 as a result of an option exercised to extend an existing lease on over 3,200 acres.

Table of Contents

Oil and natural gas produced and average unit prices related to our royalty and working interests follows:

	2012	For the Year 2011	2010
Consolidated entities:			
Oil production (barrels)	371,300	151,900	115,400
Average price per barrel	\$ 85.09	\$ 96.84	\$ 73.09
Natural gas production (millions of cubic feet)	1,667.7	1,128.6	1,223.6
Average price per thousand cubic feet	\$ 2.76	\$ 4.01	\$ 4.32
Our share of ventures accounted for using the equity method:			
Natural gas production (millions of cubic feet)	321.3	493.4	572.8
Average price per thousand cubic feet	\$ 2.40	\$ 3.81	\$ 4.12
Total consolidated and our share of equity method ventures:			
Oil production (barrels)	371,300	151,900	115,400
Average price per barrel	\$ 85.09	\$ 96.84	\$ 73.09
Natural gas production (millions of cubic feet)	1,989.0	1,622.0	1,796.4
Average price per thousand cubic feet	\$ 2.71	\$ 3.95	\$ 4.26
Total BOE (barrel of oil equivalent) ^(a)	702,800	422,200	414,800
Average price per barrel of oil equivalent	\$ 52.61	\$ 50.02	\$ 38.77

^(a) Natural gas is converted to barrels of oil equivalent (BOE) using the conversion of six Mcf to one barrel of oil.

In fourth quarter 2012, operations acquired from Credo produced approximately 116,600 barrels of oil at an average price of \$79.94 per barrel and 225 MMcf of natural gas at an average price of \$3.64 per Mcf.

At year-end 2012, there were 936 productive gross wells of which 542 were operated by others on our owned mineral acres and 394 wells were associated with our third quarter acquisition of Credo, of which 136 were operated by us. At year-end 2011 and 2010, there were 530 and 494 productive gross wells that were operated by others on our owned mineral acres.

Operating expenses consist of:

	2012	For the Year 2011 (In thousands)	2010
Employee compensation and benefits	\$ 4,737	\$ 2,407	\$ 1,182
Professional and consulting services	3,908	2,906	566
Depreciation	435	318	269
Property taxes	312	257	255
Other	1,580	1,149	710
Total operating expenses	\$ 10,972	\$ 7,037	\$ 2,982

The increase in professional and consulting services in 2012 and 2011 when compared with 2010 is primarily due to non-cash amortization of contingent consideration paid to the seller of a water resources company acquired in 2010. These costs are being amortized ratably over the performance period assuming certain milestones are achieved by July 2014. Employee compensation and benefits increased as a result of incremental staffing to support our oil, natural gas and water interests. In 2012, total operating expenses include \$1,202,000 related to our acquisition of Credo at third quarter-end 2012.

Table of Contents**Mineral Interests Owned**

A summary of our oil and natural gas net mineral interests ^(a) owned at year-end 2012 follows:

State	Unleased	Leased ^(b)	Held By Production ^(c)	Total ^(d)
Texas	213,000	12,000	27,000	252,000
Louisiana	115,000	17,000	12,000	144,000
Georgia	152,000			152,000
Alabama	40,000			40,000
California	1,000			1,000
Indiana	1,000			1,000
	522,000	29,000	39,000	590,000

^(a) Includes ventures.

^(b) Includes leases in primary lease term or for which a delayed rental payment has been received. In the ordinary course of business, leases covering a significant portion of leased net mineral acres owned may expire from time to time in a single reporting period.

^(c) Acres being held by production are producing oil or natural gas in paying quantities.

^(d) Texas, Louisiana, California and Indiana net acres are calculated as the gross number of surface acres multiplied by our percentage ownership of the mineral interest. Alabama and Georgia net acres are calculated as the gross number of surface acres multiplied by our estimated percentage ownership of the mineral interest based on county sampling. Excludes 477 net mineral acres located in Colorado including 379 acres leased and 29 acres held by production.

Mineral Interests Leased

A summary of our net mineral acres leased from others at year-end 2012 as a result of our acquisition of Credo follows:

State	Undeveloped	Held By Production	Total ^(b)
Nebraska	77,000	2,000	79,000
Kansas	40,000	3,000	43,000
Oklahoma		17,000	17,000
North Dakota	4,000	2,000	6,000
Texas	1,000	2,000	3,000
Other ^(a)	3,000	11,000	14,000
	125,000	37,000	162,000

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

- (a) Includes approximately 8,400 net acres of overriding royalty interests.
- (b) Excludes approximately 15,000 net acres leased from others in Georgia, Alabama, and Texas not related to our acquisition of Credo.

Water Interests

In addition, we have water interests in about 1,534,000 acres, including a 45 percent nonparticipating royalty interest in groundwater produced or withdrawn for commercial purposes or sold from approximately 1,400,000 acres in Texas, Louisiana, Georgia and Alabama and about 20,000 acres of groundwater leases in central Texas. We have not received significant revenue or earnings from these interests.

Table of Contents**Fiber Resources**

Our fiber resources segment focuses principally on the management of our timber holdings and recreational leases. We have about 121,000 acres of timber we own directly or through ventures, primarily in Georgia, and about 17,000 acres of timber under lease. Our fiber resources segment revenues are principally derived from the sales of wood fiber from our land and leases for recreational uses.

A summary of our fiber resources results follows:

	2012	For the Year 2011 (In thousands)	2010
Revenues	\$ 8,256	\$ 4,821	\$ 8,301
Cost of sales	(1,661)	(1,072)	(1,640)
Operating expenses	(2,296)	(2,060)	(2,274)
	4,299	1,689	4,387
Gain on sale of assets, primarily timber termination	694	181	671
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated ventures	63	23	
Segment earnings	\$ 5,056	\$ 1,893	\$ 5,058

In 2012, fiber resources segment earnings increased principally as a result of higher levels of harvesting activity.

Revenues consist of:

	2012	For the Year 2011 (In thousands)	2010
Fiber	\$ 6,332	\$ 3,229	\$ 6,491
Recreational leases and other	1,924	1,592	1,810
Total revenues	\$ 8,256	\$ 4,821	\$ 8,301

Fiber sold consists of:

	2012	For the Year 2011	2010
Pulpwood tons sold	370,200	266,200	392,900
Average pulpwood price per ton	\$ 9.83	\$ 8.69	\$ 9.93
Sawtimber tons sold	123,700	56,800	144,300
Average sawtimber price per ton	\$ 21.77	\$ 16.13	\$ 17.94
Total tons sold	493,900	323,000	537,200
Average price per ton	\$ 12.82	\$ 10.00	\$ 12.08

In 2012, total fiber tons sold increased principally as a result of accelerated harvesting levels to meet customer demand, primarily International Paper's Rome, Georgia mill, and no longer delaying harvesting due to our previously announced strategic timberland sales initiative. The majority of our fiber sales were to International Paper at market prices. The decrease in total fiber tons sold in 2011 when compared with 2010 is principally due to selling over 217,000 acres of timberland since year-end 2008.

Table of Contents

Information about our recreational leases follows:

	2012	For the Year 2011	2010
Average recreational acres leased	129,800	174,500	208,100
Average price per leased acre	\$ 8.73	\$ 8.80	\$ 8.32

Operating expenses consist of:

	2012	For the Year 2011 (In thousands)	2010
Employee compensation and benefits	\$ 1,039	\$ 945	\$ 1,115
Facility and long-term timber lease costs	466	445	424
Professional services	431	374	342
Other	360	296	393
Total operating expenses	\$ 2,296	\$ 2,060	\$ 2,274

Items Not Allocated to Segments

Unallocated items represent income and expenses managed on a company-wide basis and include general and administrative expenses, share-based compensation, gain on sale of strategic timberland, interest expense and other corporate non-operating income and expense. General and administrative expenses principally consist of accounting and finance, tax, legal, human resources, internal audit, information technology and our board of directors. These functions support all of our business segments and are not allocated.

General and administrative expenses consist of:

	2012	For the Year 2011 (In thousands)	2010
Professional services	\$ 9,468	\$ 6,578	\$ 2,937
Employee compensation and benefits	7,523	5,662	5,480
Depreciation and amortization	1,114	1,393	1,480
Insurance costs	944	1,083	1,235
Facility costs	766	800	1,214
Other	5,361	4,594	4,995
Total general and administrative expenses	\$ 25,176	\$ 20,110	\$ 17,341

In 2012, professional services include \$6,323,000 in transaction costs paid to outside advisors associated with our acquisition of Credo. In 2012, employee compensation and benefits increased primarily due to higher incentive compensation associated with our improved operating results and value creation activities. In 2011, professional services include \$3,187,000 in costs paid to outside advisors associated with proposed private debt offerings that we withdrew as a result of deterioration in terms available to us in the capital markets.

Our share-based compensation expense fluctuates because a portion of our awards are cash settled and as a result are affected by changes in the market price of our common stock. In 2012, share-based compensation increased principally as a result of increase in our stock price and its impact on cash-settled awards. In 2011, share-based compensation decreased principally as a result of a decline in our stock price and its impact on cash-settled awards.

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

Gain on sale of assets represents gains associated with our 2009 strategic initiatives, which we completed in 2011. In 2011, we recognized gains of \$61,784,000 from the sale of 57,000 acres of timberland and in 2010, we recognized gains of \$28,607,000 from the sale of 24,000 acres of timberland.

Table of Contents

In 2012, interest expense includes \$4,448,000 loss on extinguishment of debt in connection with the amendment and extension of our term loan. Interest expense in 2012, excluding loss on extinguishment of debt, decreased principally due to lower interest rates and lower average levels of debt outstanding.

Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate and the benefit attributable to noncontrolling interests was 31 percent and 7 percent in 2012, 25 percent and 6 percent in 2011, and 30 percent and 3 percent in 2010. Our 2012 rate includes a benefit from percentage depletion associated with oil and natural gas produced and a charge from nondeductible acquisition expenses while our 2011 and 2010 rates include benefits for percentage depletion associated with oil and natural gas produced and charitable contributions related to timberland conservation.

We have not provided a valuation allowance for our federal deferred tax asset because we believe it is likely it will be recoverable in future periods based on considerations including taxable income in prior carryback years, future reversals of existing temporary differences, tax planning strategies and future taxable income. If these sources of income are not sufficient in future periods, we may be required to provide a valuation allowance for our federal deferred tax asset.

Capital Resources and Liquidity

Sources and Uses of Cash

We operate in cyclical industries and our cash flows fluctuate accordingly. Our principal operating cash requirements are for the acquisition and development of real estate and investment in oil and natural gas leasing and production activities, either directly or indirectly through ventures, taxes, interest and compensation. Our principal sources of cash are proceeds from the sale of real estate and timber, the cash flow from oil and natural gas and income producing properties, borrowings, and reimbursements from utility and improvement districts. Operating cash flows are affected by the timing of the payment of real estate development expenditures and the collection of proceeds from the eventual sale of the real estate, the timing of which can vary substantially depending on many factors including the size of the project, state and local permitting requirements and availability of utilities, and by the timing of oil and natural gas leasing and production activities. Working capital is subject to operating needs, the timing of sales of real estate and timber, oil and natural gas leasing and production activities, collection of receivables, reimbursement from utility and improvement districts and the payment of payables and expenses.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Cash flows from our real estate development activities, undeveloped land sales, income producing properties, timber sales, oil and natural gas properties and recreational leases and reimbursements from utility and improvement districts are classified as operating cash flows.

Net cash provided by (used for) operations was (\$26,022,000) in 2012, \$34,992,000 in 2011 and \$13,551,000 in 2010.

In 2012, our expenditures for real estate development and acquisitions significantly exceeded non-cash real estate cost of sales, principally as result of acquiring real estate assets from CL Realty and Temco for \$47,000,000. Subsequent to closing of this acquisition, we received \$23,370,000 from the ventures, representing our pro-rata share of distributable cash. We invested \$17,334,000 in construction of a 289-unit multifamily development property near Austin which was completed at year-end 2012. We acquired two multifamily development sites in Charlotte and Nashville for \$16,651,000, acquired a single-family development project near Dallas for \$8,951,000 and we paid \$21,678,000 in federal and state taxes, net of refunds. In addition, we received \$24,294,000 in net proceeds from a consolidated venture's bulk sale of 800 acres near Dallas, \$10,759,000 in reimbursements from two new multifamily ventures which represents our venture partners' pro-rata share of costs we previously incurred and \$8,524,000 in reimbursements from utility and improvement districts.

In 2011, the sale of 57,000 acres of timberland in accordance with our 2009 strategic initiatives generated net proceeds of \$86,018,000. Expenditures for development and acquisitions exceeded non-cash real estate cost

Table of Contents

of sales principally due to our acquisition of a non-performing loan secured by a lien on approximately 900 acres of developed and undeveloped land near Houston for \$21,137,000 and \$32,789,000 in real estate acquisitions principally located in various Texas markets. We received \$10,461,000 in reimbursements from utility and improvement districts, of which \$8,656,000 was related to our Cibolo Canyons project and was accounted for as a reduction of our investment. We paid \$25,335,000 in federal and state income taxes, net of refunds.

In 2010, operating cash flow was adversely affected by lower operating income primarily due to difficult conditions in the housing industry and lower proceeds from timberland sales in accordance with our 2009 strategic initiatives. Expenditures for real estate development were slightly less than non-cash cost of real estate sales due to a reduction in real estate development. In 2010, we sold about 24,000 acres of timberland in Georgia, Alabama and Texas generating net proceeds of \$38,040,000, of which \$24,392,000 was held by a qualified intermediary under IRC Section 1031.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Capital contributions to and capital distributions from unconsolidated ventures, business acquisitions and investment in oil and natural gas properties and equipment are classified as investing activities. In addition, proceeds from the sale of property and equipment, software costs and expenditures related to reforestation activities are also classified as investing activities.

In 2012, net cash (used for) investing activities was (\$105,119,000) principally due to our acquisition of Credo for \$152,915,000 purchase price, net of cash acquired. In addition, we invested in \$21,416,000 in oil and natural gas properties and equipment. Partially offsetting our investment in Credo and oil and natural gas properties were proceeds received from the sale of our 25 percent ownership interest in Palisades West LLC for \$32,095,000 and \$29,474,000 in net proceeds from the sale of Broadstone Memorial, a 401-unit multifamily investment property in Houston. We also invested \$2,735,000 in property and equipment, software and reforestation and received \$10,336,000 in net distributions from unconsolidated ventures, of which \$6,850,000 is associated with a venture's sale of Las Brisas, a 414-unit multifamily property near Austin, representing a return of our investment.

In 2011, net cash (used for) investing activities was (\$4,895,000). We invested \$4,304,000 in oil and natural gas properties and equipment associated with our working interests and \$2,044,000 in property, equipment, software and reforestation. Net cash return of investment in our unconsolidated ventures was \$1,060,000.

In 2010, net cash (used for) investing activities was (\$26,597,000). We acquired a 401 unit, multifamily investment property in Houston, Texas for \$49,100,000. We used \$23,045,000 of the proceeds held by a qualified intermediary under Internal Revenue Code Section 1031 and \$26,500,000 of non-recourse borrowings to fund this acquisition. In addition, we acquired a water resources company in central Texas for \$12,000,000.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

In 2012, net cash provided by financing activities was \$123,219,000. Our net increase in borrowings of \$129,416,000 was principally used to fund our acquisition of Credo and our real estate development and acquisition expenditures and our investment in oil and natural gas properties. We paid \$5,883,000 in financing fees primarily related to the amendment and extension of our senior secured credit facility. Also, in 2012, our other consolidated debt decreased by \$57,491,000, of which \$26,500,000 was due to the sale of Broadstone Memorial, a 401-unit multifamily investment property in Houston and the buyer's assumption of the debt and \$30,991,000 was due to our consolidated venture's bulk sale of 800 acres in Dallas and the buyer's assumption of debt. We also purchased about 94,450 shares of our common stock for \$1,409,000 which was offset by \$1,430,000 in proceeds from exercise of stock options.

In 2011, net cash (used for) financing activities was (\$17,180,000) as we repurchased about 907,000 shares of our common stock for \$12,977,000 and incurred \$3,750,000 in deferred financing fees primarily related to supplementing and amending our senior secured credit facility.

In 2010, net cash (used for) financing activities was (\$2,639,000) as we repurchased about 1,001,000 shares of our common stock for \$15,178,000 and incurred \$6,304,000 in bank fees primarily related to our amendment

Table of Contents

and extension of our senior credit facility, which was partially offset by a net increase in our debt of \$18,170,000 which is principally due to \$26,500,000 in non-recourse borrowings used to finance a 401 unit, multifamily investment property acquired in fourth quarter 2010.

Liquidity and Contractual Obligations**Liquidity**

In 2012, we entered into a Second Amended and Restated Revolving and Term Credit Agreement in order to consolidate previous amendments and to effect the following principal amendments to: increase the term loan commitment from \$130,000,000 to \$200,000,000; extend the maturity date of the revolving loan to September 14, 2015 (with a one-year extension option) and of the term loan to September 14, 2017; reduce the interest rate spread over LIBOR from 4.5 percent to 4.0 percent, and eliminate the LIBOR rate floor of 2 percent; increase the minimum interest coverage ratio from 1.05x to 1.50x; reduce the unused fee rate from 0.45 percent per annum to 0.25 percent 0.35 percent per annum based on usage; and eliminate the minimum value to commitment ratio covenant and replace it with a reduction to the borrowing base to the extent the ratio of the value of assets in the borrowing base to the aggregate commitments under the facility is less than 1.50x. We incurred fees of \$5,486,000 related to this amendment. The amendment and restatement of the term loan was an extinguishment of debt under the accounting guidance and as result, we recognized a \$4,448,000 loss in 2012 which is included in interest expense.

On September 28, 2012 we acquired 100 percent of outstanding stock of Credo in an all cash transaction for \$14.50 per share, representing an equity purchase price of approximately \$146,445,000. In addition, we paid in full \$8,770,000 of Credo's outstanding debt. This transaction was funded with approximately \$70,000,000 in borrowings from our term loan, \$35,000,000 in borrowings from our revolving line of credit with the balance paid from cash and short-term investments.

At year-end 2012, our senior secured credit facility provides for a \$200,000,000 term loan and a \$200,000,000 revolving line of credit. The term loan and revolving line of credit may be prepaid at any time without penalty. The revolving line of credit includes a \$100,000,000 sublimit for letters of credit, of which \$2,807,000 is outstanding at year-end 2012. Total borrowings under our senior secured credit facility (including the face amount of letters of credit) may not exceed a borrowing base formula. At year-end 2012, net unused borrowing capacity under our senior secured credit facility is calculated as follows:

	Senior Credit Facility (In thousands)
Borrowing base availability	\$ 400,000
Less: borrowings	(244,000)
Less: letters of credit	(2,807)
Unused borrowing capacity	\$ 153,193

Our unused borrowing capacity during 2012 ranged from a high of \$170,396,000 to a low of \$145,507,000. This facility is used primarily to fund our operating cash needs, which fluctuate due to timing of residential real estate sales, undeveloped land sales, oil and natural gas leasing and production activities and mineral lease bonus payments received, timber sales, payment of accounts payables and expenses and capital expenditures.

Our senior credit facility and other debt agreements contain financial covenants customary for such agreements including minimum levels of interest coverage and limitations on leverage. At year-end 2012, we were in compliance with the financial covenants of these agreements.

Table of Contents

The following table details our compliance with the financial and other covenants calculated as provided in the senior credit facility:

Financial Covenant	Requirement	Year-End 2012
Interest Coverage Ratio ^(a)	³ 1.50:1.0	5.56:1.0
Revenues/Capital Expenditures Ratio ^(b)	³ 1.00:1.0	1.88:1.0
Total Leverage Ratio ^(c)	£40%	29.7%
Net Worth ^(d)	³ \$449 million	\$516 million
Collateral Value to Loan Commitment Ratio ^(e)	³ 1.50:1.0	1.87:1.0

- (a) Calculated as EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization), plus non-cash compensation expense, plus other non-cash expenses, divided by interest expense excluding loan fees. This covenant is applied at the end of each quarter on a rolling four quarter basis.
- (b) Calculated as total gross revenues (excluding the revenues of the Credo Entities), plus our pro rata share of the operating revenues from unconsolidated ventures, divided by capital expenditures. Capital expenditures are defined as consolidated development and acquisition expenditures plus our pro rata share of unconsolidated ventures' development and acquisition expenditures. This covenant is applied at the end of each quarter on a rolling four quarter basis.
- (c) Calculated as total funded debt divided by adjusted asset value. Total funded debt includes indebtedness for borrowed funds, secured liabilities, reimbursement obligations with respect to letters of credit or similar instruments, and our pro-rata share of joint venture debt outstanding. Adjusted asset value is defined as the sum of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, timberlands, high value timberlands, raw entitled lands, entitled land under development, minerals business, Credo asset value, Special improvement district receipts (SIDR) reimbursements value, Cibolo Resort Special improvement district hotel occupancy tax (SIDHT) value and other real estate owned at book value without regard to any indebtedness and our pro rata share of joint ventures' book value without regard to any indebtedness. This covenant is applied at the end of each quarter.
- (d) Calculated as the amount by which consolidated total assets (excluding Credo acquisition goodwill over \$50,000,000) exceeds consolidated total liabilities. At year-end 2012, the requirement is \$449,000,000 computed as: \$441,000,000 plus 85 percent of the aggregate net proceeds received by us from any equity offering, plus 75 percent of all positive net income, on a cumulative basis. This covenant is applied at the end of each quarter.
- (e) Calculated as the total collateral value of timberland, high value timberland and our minerals business, raw entitled land that is part of mortgaged property, Credo asset value, SIDR reimbursements value, Cibolo Resort SIDHT value divided by total aggregate loan commitment. This covenant is applied at the end of each quarter.

To make additional investments, acquisitions, or distributions, we must maintain available liquidity equal to 10 percent of the aggregate commitments in place. At year-end 2012, the minimum liquidity requirement was \$40,000,000, compared with \$159,745,000 in actual available liquidity based on the unused borrowing capacity under our senior secured credit facility plus unrestricted cash and cash equivalents. As of year-end 2012, we were in compliance with these requirements. The failure to maintain such minimum liquidity does not constitute a default or event of default of our senior secured credit facility. In addition, we may elect to make distributions so long as the total leverage ratio is less than 30%, the interest coverage is greater than 3.0:1.0, the revenues / capital expenditures ratio exceeds 1.5:1.0, and available liquidity is not less than \$125,000,000.

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

In 2012, we obtained a loan for construction of a 289-unit multifamily development project located near Austin which provides up to \$19,548,000 in financing. The interest rate on the loan is equal to 1.75 basis points plus the highest base rate of (i) the lender's prime rate, (ii) the Federal Funds Open Rate plus 50 basis points, and (iii) the Daily LIBOR Rate plus 100 basis points or if LIBOR option is chosen then the Daily LIBOR Rate plus 225 basis points. The loan has an initial term of 36 months and may be extended for two additional 12-month periods based on certain specified conditions. At year-end 2012, we have \$18,771,000 outstanding on this loan.

Table of Contents**3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2020**

On February 20, 2013, we entered into an underwriting agreement to sell \$125,000,000 aggregate principal amount of 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2020 (Notes), which includes \$15,000,000 aggregate principal amount as a result of the underwriters exercising in full their option to purchase additional Notes. We closed the sale of the Notes on February 26, 2013.

The Notes will pay interest semiannually at a rate of 3.75 percent per annum and will mature on March 1, 2020. The Notes will have an initial conversion rate of 40.8351 per \$1,000 principal amount (equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$24.49 per share of common stock and a conversion premium of 37.5 percent based on the closing share price of \$17.81 per share of our common stock on February 20, 2013). The initial conversion rate is subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of certain events. Prior to November 1, 2019, the Notes will be convertible only upon certain circumstances, and thereafter will be convertible at any time prior to the close of business on the second scheduled trading day prior to maturity. Upon conversion, holders will receive cash, shares of our common stock or a combination thereof at our election.

Net proceeds from the offering were used to repay \$68,000,000 under our revolving line of credit, and we also intend to use net proceeds for general corporate purposes, including investments in oil and natural gas exploration and drilling and real estate acquisition and development.

Contractual Obligations

At year-end 2012, contractual obligations consist of:

	Total	Payments Due or Expiring by Year			Thereafter
		2013	2014-15 (In thousands)	2016-17	
Debt ^(a)	\$ 294,063	\$ 8,683	\$ 81,838	\$ 203,542	\$
Interest payments on debt	47,964	12,193	21,837	13,934	
Purchase obligations	27,741	27,741			
Operating leases	17,041	2,742	5,450	4,557	4,292
Other commitments	45	45			
Total	\$ 386,854	\$ 51,404	\$ 109,125	\$ 222,033	\$ 4,292

^(a) Items included in our balance sheet.

Interest payments on debt include interest payments related to our fixed rate debt and estimated interest payments related to our variable rate debt. Estimated interest payments on variable rate debt were calculated assuming that the outstanding balances and interest rates that existed at year-end 2012 remain constant through maturity.

Purchase obligations are defined as legally binding and enforceable agreements to purchase goods and services. Our purchase obligations include commitments for land acquisition and land development, engineering and construction contracts for development and service contracts.

Our operating leases are for timberland, facilities, equipment and groundwater. In 2008, we entered into a 10-year agreement to lease approximately 32,000 square feet in Austin, Texas as our corporate headquarters. At year-end 2012, the remaining contractual obligation is \$7,794,000. Also included in operating leases is a long-term timber lease of about 16,000 acres that has a remaining lease term of 13 years and a remaining contractual obligation of \$4,801,000 and about 20,000 acres of groundwater leases in central Texas with remaining contractual obligations of \$2,454,000.

We have other long-term liabilities that are not included in the table because they do not have scheduled maturities.

Table of Contents**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

From time to time, we enter into off-balance sheet arrangements to facilitate our operating activities. At year-end 2012, our off-balance sheet unfunded arrangements, excluding contractual interest payments, purchase obligations, operating lease obligations and venture contributions included in the table of contractual obligations, consist of:

	Total	Payments Due or Expiring by Year			Thereafter
		2013	2014-15	2016-17	
		(In thousands)			
Performance bonds	\$ 31,102	\$ 30,879	\$ 223	\$	\$
Standby letters of credit	2,807	1,287	1,520		
Recourse obligations	1,812	628	236	57	891
Total	\$ 35,721	\$ 32,794	\$ 1,979	\$ 57	\$ 891

Performance bonds, letters of credit and recourse obligations provided on behalf of certain ventures would be drawn on due to failure to satisfy construction obligations as general contractor or for failure to timely deliver streets and utilities in accordance with local codes and ordinances. In connection with our unconsolidated venture operations, we have provided performance bonds and letters of credit aggregating \$26,630,000 at year-end 2012.

In 2012, CJUF III RH Holdings, an equity method venture in which we own a 25 percent interest, obtained a senior secured construction loan in the amount of \$23,936,000 to develop a 257-unit multifamily property in downtown Austin. There is no significant balance outstanding at year-end 2012. We have a construction completion guaranty, a repayment guaranty for 20 percent of the principal balance and unpaid accrued interest, and a standard non-recourse carve-out guaranty. The repayment guaranty will reduce from 20 percent to 0 percent upon achievement of certain conditions.

In 2012, FMF Peakview, an equity method venture in which we own a 20 percent interest, obtained a senior secured construction loan in the amount of \$31,550,000 to develop a 304-unit multifamily property in Denver. There is no balance outstanding at year-end 2012. We have a construction completion guaranty, a repayment guaranty for 25 percent of the principal and unpaid accrued interest, and a standard non-recourse carve-out guaranty. Our sources of funding are our operating cash flows and borrowings under our senior credit facility. Our contractual obligations due in 2012 will likely be paid from operating cash flows and from borrowings under our senior credit facility.

At year-end 2012, we participate in three partnerships that have total assets of \$15,533,000 and total liabilities of \$48,123,000, which includes \$31,792,000 of borrowings classified as current maturities. These partnerships are managed by third parties who intend to extend or refinance these borrowings; however, there is no assurance that this can be done. Although these borrowings are guaranteed by third parties, we may under certain circumstances elect or be required to provide additional equity to these partnerships. We do not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will have a significant effect on our earnings or financial position. Our investment in these partnerships is \$397,000 at year-end 2012. These three partnerships are variable interest entities.

Cibolo Canyons San Antonio, Texas

Cibolo Canyons consists of the JW Marriott® San Antonio Hill Country Resort & Spa development owned by third parties and a mixed-use development we own. We have about \$78,096,000 invested in Cibolo Canyons at year-end 2012.

Resort Hotel, Spa and Golf Development

In 2007, we entered into agreements to facilitate third-party construction and ownership of the JW Marriott® San Antonio Hill Country Resort & Spa, which includes a 1,002 room destination resort and two PGA Tour® Tournament Players Club® (TPC) golf courses. Under these agreements, we agreed to transfer to third-party owners 700 acres of undeveloped land, to provide \$30,000,000 cash and to provide \$12,700,000 of other consideration principally consisting of golf course construction materials, all of which has been provided.

Table of Contents

In exchange for our commitment to the resort, the third-party owners assigned to us certain rights under an agreement between the third-party owners and a legislatively created Special Improvement District (SID). This agreement includes the right to receive from the SID nine percent of hotel occupancy revenues and 1.5 percent of other resort sales revenues collected as taxes by the SID through 2034. The amount we receive will be net of annual ad valorem tax reimbursements by the SID to the third-party owners of the resort through 2020. In addition, these payments will be net of debt service, if any, on bonds issued by the SID collateralized by hotel occupancy tax and other resort sales tax through 2034.

The amounts we collect under this agreement are dependent on several factors including the amount of revenues generated by and ad valorem taxes imposed on the resort and the amount of any applicable debt service incurred by the SID. As a result, there is significant uncertainty as to the amount and timing of collections under this agreement. Until these uncertainties are clarified, amounts collected under the agreement will be accounted for as a reduction of our investment in the resort development. The resort began operations in January 2010.

In 2012, we received \$2,850,000 in reimbursements from the SID. Since inception, we have received \$10,756,000 in reimbursements and have accounted for this as a reduction of our investment. At year-end 2012, we have \$32,517,000 invested in the resort development.

Mixed-Use Development

The mixed-use development we own consists of 2,100 acres planned to include about 1,475 residential lots and about 150 commercial acres designated for multifamily and retail uses, of which 734 lots and 96 commercial acres have been sold through year-end 2012.

In 2007, we entered into an agreement with the SID providing for reimbursement of certain infrastructure costs related to the mixed-use development. Reimbursements are subject to review and approval by the SID and unreimbursed amounts accrue interest at 9.75 percent. The SID's funding for reimbursements is principally derived from its ad valorem tax collections and bond proceeds collateralized by ad valorem taxes, less debt service on these bonds and annual administrative and public service expenses.

Because the amount of each reimbursement is dependent on several factors, including timing of SID approval and the SID having an adequate tax base to generate funds that can be used to reimburse us, there is uncertainty as to the amount and timing of reimbursements under this agreement. We expect to recover our investment from lot and tract sales and reimbursement of approved infrastructure costs from the SID. We have not recognized income from interest due, but not collected. As these uncertainties are clarified, we will modify our accounting accordingly.

Through year-end 2012, we have submitted and received approval for reimbursement of about \$57,322,000 of infrastructure costs and have received reimbursements totaling \$23,070,000, of which \$550,000 was received in 2012, \$1,750,000 was received in 2011 and \$500,000 in 2010 and \$20,270,000 in 2009, all were accounted for as a reduction of our investment in the mixed-use development. At year-end 2012, we have \$34,252,000 in approved and pending reimbursements, excluding interest. At year-end 2012, we have \$45,579,000 invested in the mixed-use development.

Accounting Policies

Critical Accounting Estimates

In preparing our financial statements, we follow generally accepted accounting principles, which in many cases require us to make assumptions, estimates, and judgments that affect the amounts reported. Our significant accounting policies are included in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Many of these principles are relatively straightforward. There are, however, a few accounting policies that are critical because they are important in determining our financial condition and results of operations and involve significant assumptions, estimates and judgments that are difficult to determine. We must make these assumptions, estimates and judgments currently about matters that are inherently uncertain, such as future economic conditions, operating results and valuations, as well as our intentions. As the difficulty increases, the level of precision decreases, meaning actual results can, and probably will, differ from those currently estimated. We base our assumptions, estimates and judgments on a

Table of Contents

combination of historical experiences and other factors that we believe are reasonable. We have reviewed the selection and disclosure of these critical accounting estimates with our Audit Committee.

Investment in Real Estate and Cost of Real Estate Sales In allocating costs to real estate owned and real estate sold, we must estimate current and future real estate values. Our estimates of future real estate values sometimes must extend over periods 15 to 20 years from today and are dependent on numerous assumptions including our intentions and future market and economic conditions. In addition, when we sell real estate from projects that are not finished, we must estimate future development costs through completion. Differences between our estimates and actual results will affect future carrying values and operating results.

Impairment of Real Estate Long-Lived Assets Measuring real assets for impairment requires estimating future fair values based on our intentions as to holding periods, future operating cash flows and the residual value of assets under review, primarily undeveloped land. Depending on the asset under review, we use varying methods to determine fair value, such as discounting expected future cash flows, determining resale values by market, or applying a capitalization rate to net operating income using prevailing rates in a given market. Changes in economic conditions, demand for real estate, and the projected net operating income for a specific property will inevitably change our estimates.

Impairment of Oil and Natural Gas Properties Measuring oil and natural gas properties for impairment requires significant amount of judgment since the results are based on estimated future events. Such events include a projection of future oil and natural gas sales prices, an estimate of the ultimate amount of recoverable proved oil and natural gas reserves that will be produced from a field, the timing of this future production, future costs to produce the oil and natural gas, and future inflation levels. The need to test a property for impairment can be based on several factors, including a significant reduction in commodity prices for oil and natural gas, unfavorable adjustments to reserves or other changes to contracts, environmental regulations or tax laws. Due to the uncertainty inherent in these factors, we cannot predict the amount of impairment charges that may be recorded in the future.

Goodwill impairment Measuring goodwill for impairment which is required annually requires estimation of future cash flows and fair values of related assets based on certain key factors, including future selling prices and volumes, observable market inputs, weighted average cost of capital, operating expenses and various other projected operating economic factors. Changes in economic and operating conditions can affect these assumptions and could result in additional interim testing and goodwill impairment charges in the future periods.

Share-Based Compensation We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the fair value of stock options. The determination of the fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model is affected by the stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of other variables. These variables include expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards, actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors (term of option), risk-free interest rate and expected dividends. We have limited historical experience as a stand-alone company so we utilized alternative methods in determining our valuation assumptions. The expected life was based on the simplified method utilizing the midpoint between the vesting period and the contractual life of the awards. In 2012 and 2011, the expected stock price volatility was based on a blended rate utilizing our historical volatility and historical prices of our peers' common stock for a period corresponding to the expected life of the options. In 2010 and 2009, the expected stock price volatility was based on historical prices of our peers' common stock for a period corresponding to the expected life of the options. Pre-vesting forfeitures are estimated based upon the pool of participants and their expected activity and historical trends.

Asset Retirement Obligations We make estimates of the future costs of the retirement obligations of our producing oil and natural gas properties. Estimating future costs involves significant assumptions and judgments regarding such factors as estimated costs of plugging and abandonment, timing of settlements, discount rates and inflation rates. Such cost estimates could be subject to significant revisions in subsequent years due to changes in regulatory requirements, technological advances and other factors which may be difficult to predict.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes In preparing our consolidated financial statements, significant judgment is required to estimate our income taxes. Our estimates are based on our interpretation of federal and state tax laws. We estimate our actual current tax due and assess temporary and permanent differences resulting from differing treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. The temporary differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included in our consolidated balance sheet. If needed, we record a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. In addition, when we believe a tax position is supportable but the outcome uncertain, we include the item in our tax return but do not recognize the related benefit in our provision for taxes. Instead, we record a reserve for unrecognized tax benefits, which represents our expectation of the most likely outcome considering the technical merits and specific facts of the position. Changes to liabilities are only made when an event occurs that changes the most likely outcome, such as settlement with the relevant tax authority, expiration of statutes of limitations, changes in tax law, or recent court rulings. Adjustments to temporary differences, permanent differences or uncertain tax positions could materially impact our financial position, cash flow and results of operation.

Oil and Natural Gas Reserves The estimation of oil and natural gas reserves is a significant estimate which affect the amount of non-cash depletion expense we record as well as impairment analysis we perform. On an annual basis, our consulting petroleum engineering firm, with our assistance, prepares estimates of crude oil and natural gas reserves based on available geologic and seismic data, reservoir pressure data, core analysis reports, well logs, analogous reservoir performance history, production data and other available sources of engineering, geological and geophysical information. Oil and natural gas prices are volatile and largely affected by worldwide or domestic production and consumption and are outside our control.

Adopted and Pending Accounting Pronouncements

We adopted two new accounting pronouncements in 2012, the adoption of which did not have a significant effect on our earnings or financial position. There are two pending accounting pronouncements that we will be required to adopt in 2013 and adoption is not anticipated to have a significant effect on our earnings or financial position. Please read Note 2 – New Accounting Pronouncements to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Effects of Inflation

Inflation has had minimal effects on operating results the past three years. Our real estate, oil and natural gas properties, timber, and property and equipment are carried at historical costs. If carried at current replacement costs, the cost of real estate sold, timber cut, and depreciation expense would have been significantly higher than what we reported.

Legal Proceedings

We are involved in various legal proceedings that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of doing business. We believe we have established adequate reserves for any probable losses, and we do not believe that the outcome of any of these proceedings should have a material adverse effect on our financial position, long-term results of operations, or cash flow. It is possible, however, that charges related to these matters could be significant to results of operations or cash flows in any one accounting period.

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.*

Interest Rate Risk

Our interest rate risk is principally related to our variable-rate debt. Interest rate changes impact earnings due to the resulting increase or decrease in our variable-rate debt, which was \$290,074,000 at year-end 2012 and \$191,656,000 at year-end 2011.

The following table illustrates the estimated effect on our pre-tax income of immediate, parallel, and sustained shifts in interest rates for the next 12 months on our variable-rate debt at year-end 2012, with comparative year-end 2011 information. This estimate assumes that debt reductions from contractual payments

Table of Contents

will be replaced with short-term, variable-rate debt; however, that may not be the financing alternative we choose.

Change in Interest Rates	At Year-End	
	2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
+2%	\$ (5,801)	\$ (3,296)
+1%	(2,901)	(1,917)
-1%	2,901	1,917
-2%	5,697	3,833

Foreign Currency Risk

We have no exposure to foreign currency fluctuations.

Commodity Price Risk

We have exposure to commodity price fluctuations which can materially affect our revenues and cash flows. To manage our exposure to commodity price risks associated with the sale of oil and natural gas, we may periodically enter into derivative hedging transactions for a portion of our estimated production. We do not have any commodity derivative positions outstanding at year-end 2012.

Table of Contents

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.*

Index to Financial Statements

	Page
<u>Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u>	69
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	70
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	71
Audited Financial Statements	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	72
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income</u>	73
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity</u>	74
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	75
<u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	76
Financial Statement Schedule	
<u>Schedule III – Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation</u>	106

Table of Contents

**MANAGEMENT'S ANNUAL REPORT ON
INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

The management of Forestar is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Management has designed our internal control over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurance that our published financial statements are fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Management is required by paragraph (c) of Rule 13a-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, to assess the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of each year end. In making this assessment, management used the *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued in July 1994 by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

Management conducted the required assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of year-end. Based upon this assessment, management believes that our internal control over financial reporting is effective as of year-end 2012.

We completed the acquisition of CREDO Petroleum Corporation (Credo) on September 28, 2012. Due to the timing of the acquisition, we have excluded Credo from our evaluation of the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting.

Ernst & Young LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited our financial statements included in this Form 10-K, has also audited our internal control over financial reporting. Their attestation report follows this report of management.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Forestar Group Inc.:

We have audited Forestar Group Inc. and subsidiaries (Forestar Group) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Forestar Group’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. As indicated in the accompanying Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting management’s assessment of and conclusion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting did not include the internal controls of Forestar Petroleum Corporation (formerly known as CREDO Petroleum Corporation), which is included in the 2012 consolidated financial statements of Forestar Group and constituted \$194,358,000 and \$156,751,000 of total and net assets, respectively, as of December 31, 2012 and \$10,134,000 and \$1,536,000 of revenues and net income, respectively, for the year then ended. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting of Forestar Group also did not include an evaluation of the internal control over financial reporting of Forestar Petroleum Corporation.

In our opinion, Forestar Group maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Forestar Group as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and the related consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years ended December 31, 2012 and our report dated March 14, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Austin, Texas

March 14, 2013

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Forestar Group Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Forestar Group Inc. and subsidiaries (Forestar Group) as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income, equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Forestar Group Inc. at December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all materials respects the information set forth therein. We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Forestar Group's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 14, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Ernst & Young LLP

Austin, Texas

March 14, 2013

Table of Contents**FORESTAR GROUP INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	At Year-End	
	2012	2011
	(In thousands, except share data)	
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 10,361	\$ 18,283
Real estate	517,150	565,367
Oil and natural gas properties and equipment, net of accumulated depletion of \$4,657 in 2012 and \$155 in 2011	158,427	4,561
Investment in unconsolidated ventures	41,546	64,223
Timber	12,293	14,240
Receivables, net	33,623	23,281
Prepaid expenses	6,455	2,931
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$5,721 in 2012 and \$5,164 in 2011	4,859	5,178
Deferred tax asset, net	54,748	72,942
Goodwill and other intangible assets	63,868	5,451
Other assets	15,104	18,400
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 918,434	\$ 794,857
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Accounts payable	\$ 25,800	\$ 5,044
Accrued employee compensation and benefits	5,667	1,421
Accrued property taxes	4,231	4,986
Accrued interest	1,168	1,086
Income taxes payable	587	8,501
Other accrued expenses	15,168	7,716
Other liabilities	38,203	33,304
Debt	294,063	221,587
TOTAL LIABILITIES	384,887	283,645
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Forestar Group Inc. shareholders' equity:		
Common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 200,000,000 authorized shares, 36,946,603 issued at December 31, 2012 and 36,835,732 issued at December 31, 2011	36,947	36,836
Additional paid-in capital	407,206	398,517
Retained earnings	121,097	108,155
Treasury stock, at cost, 2,327,623 shares at December 31, 2012 and 2,212,876 shares at December 31, 2011	(35,762)	(33,982)
Total Forestar Group Inc. shareholders' equity	529,488	509,526
Noncontrolling interests	4,059	1,686
TOTAL EQUITY	533,547	511,212
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$ 918,434	\$ 794,857

Please read the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**FORESTAR GROUP INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

	2012	For the Year 2011	2010
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)		
REVENUES			
Real estate sales and other	\$ 81,459	\$ 79,348	\$ 47,043
Commercial and income producing properties	38,656	26,820	21,226
Real estate	120,115	106,168	68,269
Mineral resources	44,220	24,584	24,790
Fiber resources and other	8,256	4,821	8,301
	172,591	135,573	101,360
EXPENSES			
Cost of real estate sales and other	(40,400)	(44,929)	(27,503)
Cost of commercial and income producing properties	(29,639)	(18,046)	(17,982)
Cost of mineral resources	(12,176)	(2,918)	(1,097)
Cost of fiber resources and other	(1,661)	(1,072)	(1,640)
Other operating	(55,213)	(49,132)	(40,950)
General and administrative	(32,320)	(23,326)	(22,581)
	(171,409)	(139,423)	(111,753)
GAIN ON SALE OF ASSETS	25,983	61,965	29,278
OPERATING INCOME	27,165	58,115	18,885
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated ventures	14,469	(29,209)	4,701
Interest expense	(19,363)	(17,012)	(16,446)
Other non-operating income	3,621	368	1,164
INCOME BEFORE TAXES	25,892	12,262	8,304
Income tax expense	(8,016)	(3,021)	(2,470)
CONSOLIDATED NET INCOME	17,876	9,241	5,834
Less: Net (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests	(4,934)	(2,087)	(709)
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO FORESTAR GROUP INC.	\$ 12,942	\$ 7,154	\$ 5,125
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING			
Basic	35,214	35,413	35,893
Diluted	35,482	35,781	36,377
NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE			
Basic	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.14
Diluted	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.14
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME			
Consolidated net income	\$ 17,876	\$ 9,241	\$ 5,834
Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreement (matured in 2010)			393
Income tax effect of change in fair value			(137)
Total comprehensive income	17,876	9,241	6,090
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(4,934)	(2,087)	(709)

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO FORESTAR GROUP INC.	\$ 12,942	\$ 7,154	\$ 5,381
---	-----------	----------	----------

Please read the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

FORESTAR GROUP INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

	Forestar Group Inc. Shareholders								
	Total	Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Treasury Stock		Accumulated Other		
		Shares	Amount		Shares	Amount	Comprehensive Income	Retained Earnings	Noncontrolling Interest
	(In thousands, except share data)								
Balances at December 31, 2009	\$ 518,335	36,255,336	\$ 36,255	\$ 384,795	(209,544)	\$ (4,214)	\$ (256)	\$ 95,876	\$ 5,879
Net income	5,834							5,125	709
Unrealized gain on interest rate swap, net of taxes of (\$137)	256						256		
Comprehensive income	\$ 6,090								
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	(2,690)								(2,690)
Contributions from noncontrolling interest	817								817
Issuances of common stock		2,585	3	(3)					
Issuances of restricted stock		308,697	309	(309)					
Issuances from exercises of stock options	1,199	91,078	91	1,108					
Issuances from restricted stock units	165	9,514	9	156					
Shares withheld for payroll taxes	(7)				(389)	(7)			
Shares exchanged for options exercised	(54)				(2,858)	(54)			
Shares repurchased	(15,178)				(1,000,987)	(15,178)			
Forfeitures of restricted stock				3	(2,869)	(3)			
Share-based compensation	5,572			5,572					
Tax benefit from exercise of restricted stock units and stock options and vested restricted stock	30			30					
Balances at December 31, 2010	\$ 514,279	36,667,210	\$ 36,667	\$ 391,352	(1,216,647)	\$ (19,456)	\$ 101,001	\$ 4,715	
Net income	9,241							7,154	2,087
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	(5,259)								(5,259)
Contributions from noncontrolling interest	143								143
Issuances of common stock		1,347	1	(1)					
Issuances of restricted stock		39,595	40	(40)					
Issuances from exercises of stock options	1,470	127,580	128	1,342					
Shares withheld for payroll taxes	(1,367)				(77,562)	(1,367)			
Shares exchanged for options exercised	(180)				(9,795)	(180)			
Shares repurchased	(12,977)				(906,708)	(12,977)			
Forfeitures of restricted stock				2	(2,164)	(2)			
Share-based compensation	5,972			5,972					
Tax benefit from exercise of restricted stock units and stock options and vested restricted stock	(110)			(110)					
Balances at December 31, 2011	\$ 511,212	36,835,732	\$ 36,836	\$ 398,517	(2,212,876)	\$ (33,982)	\$ 108,155	\$ 1,686	
Net income	17,876							12,942	4,934
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	(3,694)								(3,694)

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

Contributions from noncontrolling interest	1,133							1,133	
Issuances of common stock		18,469	19	(19)					
Issuances of restricted stock	300			(129)	27,934	429			
Issuances from exercises of stock options	1,430	92,402	92	899	28,496	439			
Shares withheld for payroll taxes	(968)				(59,603)	(968)			
Shares exchanged for options exercised	(271)				(17,124)	(271)			
Shares repurchased	(1,409)				(94,450)	(1,409)			
Forfeitures of restricted stock									
Share-based compensation	7,572			7,572					
Tax benefit from exercise of restricted stock units and stock options and vested restricted stock	366			366					
Balances at December 31, 2012	\$ 533,547	36,946,603	\$ 36,947	\$ 407,206	(2,327,623)	\$ (35,762)	\$	\$ 121,097	\$ 4,059

Please read the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**FORESTAR GROUP INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	2012	For the Year 2011 (In thousands)	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Consolidated net income	\$ 17,876	\$ 9,241	\$ 5,834
Adjustments:			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	18,926	10,802	10,558
Deferred income taxes	(6,506)	(27,177)	(6,527)
Tax benefits not recognized for book purposes	151	(147)	133
Equity in (earnings) loss of unconsolidated ventures	(14,469)	29,209	(4,701)
Distributions of earnings of unconsolidated ventures	3,251	6,597	1,609
Distributions of earnings to noncontrolling interests	(3,804)	(4,860)	(1,881)
Proceeds from consolidated ventures sale of assets, net	24,294		
Non-cash share-based compensation	14,929	7,067	11,596
Non-cash real estate cost of sales	39,360	34,137	18,261
Non-cash cost of assets sold		24,931	9,503
Dry hole exploration costs	1,069		
Proceeds reinvested through qualified intermediary			(23,045)
Real estate development and acquisition expenditures, net	(91,940)	(66,997)	(16,660)
Acquisition of loan secured by real estate		(21,137)	
Reimbursements from utility and improvement districts	8,524	10,461	4,752
Other changes in real estate	1,384	(284)	179
Deferred income	1,070	32	1,307
Asset impairments		11,525	9,042
Gain on sale of assets	(25,983)	(134)	(394)
Other	(21)	73	(16)
Changes in:			
Notes and accounts receivables	(1,132)	1,359	104
Proceeds due from qualified intermediary			(1,347)
Prepaid expenses and other	(2,560)	536	1,154
Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities	(2,527)	4,549	(6,394)
Income taxes	(7,914)	5,209	484
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	(26,022)	34,992	13,551
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Property, equipment, software, reforestation and other	(2,735)	(2,044)	(2,701)
Oil and natural gas properties and equipment	(21,416)	(4,304)	(1)
Investment in unconsolidated ventures	(2,318)	(2,007)	(3,291)
Return of investment in unconsolidated ventures	12,654	3,067	14,849
Business acquisition, net of cash acquired	(152,915)		(38,055)
Proceeds from sale of multifamily investment property	29,474		
Proceeds from sale of venture interest	32,095		
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	42	103	2,602
Proceeds from termination of timber lease		290	
Net cash (used for) investing activities	(105,119)	(4,895)	(26,597)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Payments of debt	(74,226)	(123,399)	(63,420)
Additions to debt	203,642	123,397	81,590
Deferred financing fees	(5,883)	(3,750)	(6,304)
Return of investment to noncontrolling interest	(69)	(407)	(809)
Exercise of stock options	1,430	1,470	1,199
Repurchases of common stock	(1,409)	(12,977)	(15,178)
Payroll taxes on restricted stock and stock options	(1,239)	(1,547)	(61)
Tax benefit from share-based compensation	366	(110)	30
Other	607	143	314

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

Net cash (used for) provided by financing activities	123,219	(17,180)	(2,639)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(7,922)	12,917	(15,685)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	18,283	5,366	21,051
Cash and cash equivalents at year-end	\$ 10,361	\$ 18,283	\$ 5,366
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid during the year for:			
Interest	\$ 12,820	\$ 14,166	\$ 11,889
Income taxes	\$ 21,678	\$ 25,335	\$ 8,423
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NON-CASH INFORMATION:			
Capitalized interest	\$ 721	\$ 625	\$ 75
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS INFORMATION:			
Proceeds reinvested through qualified intermediary under IRC Section 1031	\$	\$	\$ 23,045
Proceeds provided by financing activities			38,055
Total business acquisitions	\$	\$	\$ 61,100

Please read the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

FORESTAR GROUP INC.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Forestar Group Inc., all subsidiaries, ventures, and other entities in which we have a controlling interest and variable interest entities of which we are the primary beneficiary. We eliminate all material intercompany accounts and transactions. Noncontrolling interests in consolidated pass-through entities are recognized before income taxes. We account for our investment in other entities in which we have significant influence over operations and financial policies using the equity method (we recognize our share of the entities' income or loss and any preferential returns and treat distributions as a reduction of our investment). We account for our investment in other entities in which we do not have significant influence over operations and financial policies using the cost method (we recognize as income only distribution of accumulated earnings).

We prepare our financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, which require us to make estimates and assumptions about future events. Actual results can, and probably will, differ from those we currently estimate. Examples of significant estimates include those related to allocating costs to real estate, measuring assets for impairment and depletion of our oil and natural gas properties.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash and other short-term instruments with original maturities of three months or less. Restricted cash included in cash and cash equivalents was \$1,160,000 at year-end 2012 and \$1,255,000 at year-end 2011.

Cash Flows

Expenditures for the acquisition and development of single-family and multifamily real estate are classified as operating activities. Expenditures for the acquisition of stabilized income producing properties, investment in oil and natural gas properties and equipment, and business acquisitions are classified as investing activities.

Capitalized Software

We capitalize purchased software costs as well as the direct internal and external costs associated with software we develop for our own use. We amortize these capitalized costs using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives ranging from three to seven years. The carrying value of capitalized software was \$1,797,000 at year-end 2012 and \$2,176,000 at year-end 2011 and is included in other assets. The amortization of these capitalized costs was \$1,320,000 in 2012, \$1,493,000 in 2011 and \$1,206,000 in 2010 and is included in general and administrative and operating expenses.

Environmental and Asset Retirement Obligations

We recognize environmental remediation liabilities on an undiscounted basis when environmental assessments or remediation are probable and we can reasonably estimate the cost. We adjust these liabilities as further information is obtained or circumstances change. Our asset retirement obligations are related to the abandonment and site restoration requirements that result from the acquisition, construction and development of our oil and natural gas properties. We record the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation in the period in which it is incurred and a corresponding increase in the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. Accretion expense related to the asset retirement obligation and depletion expense related to capitalized asset

Table of Contents

retirement cost is included in cost of mineral resources on our consolidated statements of income. The following summarizes the changes in asset retirement obligations in 2012:

	(In thousands)
Beginning balance	\$
Acquisition of Credo	1,255
Accretion expense	26
Additions	79
	\$ 1,360

Fair Value Measurements

Financial instruments for which we did not elect the fair value option include cash and cash equivalents, accounts and notes receivables, other current assets, long-term debt, accounts payable and other current liabilities. With the exception of long-term notes receivable and debt, the carrying amounts of these financial instruments approximate their fair values due to their short-term nature or variable interest rates.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

We record goodwill when the purchase price of a business acquisition exceeds the estimated fair value of net identified tangible and intangible assets acquired. We do not amortize goodwill or other indefinite lived intangible assets. Instead, we measure these assets for impairment based on the estimated fair values at least annually or more frequently if impairment indicators exist. We perform the annual impairment measurement as of the beginning of the fourth quarter of each year. Intangible assets with finite useful lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We review long-lived assets held for use, principally real estate, for impairment when events or circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable. Impairment exists if the carrying amount of the long-lived asset is not recoverable from the undiscounted cash flows expected from its use and eventual disposition. We determine the amount of the impairment loss by comparing the carrying value of the long-lived asset to its estimated fair value. In the absence of quoted market prices, we determine estimated fair value generally based on the present value of future probability weighted cash flows expected from the sale of the long-lived asset. Non-cash impairment charges related to our owned and consolidated real estate assets are included in cost of real estate sales and other.

We evaluate our oil and natural gas properties, including facilities and equipment, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. Performing these evaluations requires a significant amount of judgment since the results are based on estimated future events. Such events include a projection of future oil and natural gas sales prices, an estimate of the ultimate amount of recoverable proved oil and natural gas reserves that will be produced from a field, the timing of this future production, future costs to produce the oil and natural gas, future inflation levels, drilling results, reservoir performance, seismic interpretation and future plans to develop acreage. The need to test a property for impairment can be based on several factors, including a significant reduction in commodity prices for oil and natural gas, unfavorable adjustments to reserves or other changes to contracts, environmental regulations or tax laws. Impairment of proved properties is required when the carrying value exceeds the related undiscounted future net cash flows of the proved reserves. We evaluate our unproved property investment and record impairment based on time or geologic factors in addition to the use of an undiscounted future net cash flow approach. Impairment expense for proved and unproved oil and natural gas properties are included in cost of mineral resources.

Income Taxes

We provide deferred income taxes using current tax rates for temporary differences between the financial accounting carrying value of assets and liabilities and their tax accounting carrying values. We recognize and value income tax exposures for the various taxing jurisdictions where we operate based on laws, elections,

Table of Contents

commonly accepted tax positions, and management estimates. We include tax penalties and interest in income tax expense. We provide a valuation allowance for any deferred tax asset that is not likely to be recoverable in future periods.

When we believe a tax position is supportable but the outcome uncertain, we include the item in our tax return but do not recognize the related benefit in our provision for taxes. Instead, we record a reserve for unrecognized tax benefits, which represents our expectation of the most likely outcome considering the technical merits and specific facts of the position. Changes to liabilities are only made when an event occurs that changes the most likely outcome, such as settlement with the relevant tax authority, expiration of statutes of limitations, changes in tax law, or recent court rulings.

Mineral Interests Owned

We acquire real estate that may include the subsurface rights associated with the property, including minerals. We capitalize the costs of acquiring these mineral interests. We amortize the cost assigned to unproved interests, principally acquisition costs, using the straight-line method over appropriate periods based on our experience, generally no longer than 10 years. Costs assigned to individual unproven interests are minimal and amortized on an aggregate basis. When we lease these interests to third-party oil and natural gas exploration and production entities, any related unamortized costs are accounted for using the cost recovery method from the cash proceeds received from lease bonus payments.

When we lease our mineral interests to third-party exploration and production entities, we retain a royalty interest and may take an additional participation in production, including a working interest. Mineral interests and working interests related to our owned mineral interests are included in oil and natural gas properties and equipment on our balance sheet, net of accumulated amortization.

Oil and Natural Gas Properties

We use the successful efforts method of accounting for our oil and natural gas producing activities. Costs to acquire mineral interests leased, costs to drill and complete development of oil and natural gas wells and related asset retirement costs are capitalized. Costs to drill exploratory wells are capitalized pending determination of whether the wells have proved reserves and if determined incapable of producing commercial quantities of oil and natural gas it is expensed as dry hole costs. Exploration costs include dry hole costs, geological and geophysical costs, seismic studies, and delay rentals paid. We generally capitalize interest on expenditures for exploration and development projects that last more than six months while activities are in progress to bring the assets to their intended use. Production costs incurred to maintain wells and related equipment are charged to expense as incurred.

Depreciation and depletion of producing oil and natural gas properties is calculated using the units-of-production method. Proved developed reserves are used to compute unit rates for unamortized tangible and intangible drilling and completion costs. Proved reserves are used to compute unit rates for unamortized acquisition of proved leasehold costs. Unit-of-production amortization rates are revised whenever there is an indication of the need for revision but at least once a year and those revisions are accounted for prospectively as changes in accounting estimates.

Operating Leases

We occupy office space in various locations under operating leases. The lease agreements may contain rent escalation clauses, construction allowances and/or contingent rent provisions. We expense operating leases ratably over the shorter of the useful life or the lease term. For scheduled rent escalation clauses, we recognize the base rent expense on a straight-line basis and record the difference between the recognized rent expense and the amounts payable under the lease as deferred lease credits included in other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. Deferred lease credits are amortized over the lease term. For construction allowances, we record leasehold improvement assets included in property and equipment in the consolidated balance sheets amortized over the shorter of their economic lives or the lease term. The related deferred lease credits are amortized as a reduction of rent expense over the lease term.

Table of Contents**Property and Equipment**

We carry property and equipment at cost less accumulated depreciation. We capitalize the cost of significant additions and improvements, and we expense the cost of repairs and maintenance. We capitalize interest costs incurred on major construction projects. We depreciate these assets using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives as follows:

	Estimated Useful Lives	Carrying Value Year-End	
		2012	2011
Buildings and building improvements	10 to 40 years	\$ 4,835	\$ 4,916
Property and equipment	2 to 10 years	5,745	5,426
		10,580	10,342
Less: accumulated depreciation		(5,721)	(5,164)
		\$ 4,859	\$ 5,178

Depreciation expense of property and equipment was \$962,000 in 2012, \$893,000 in 2011 and \$890,000 in 2010.

Real Estate

We carry real estate at the lower of cost or fair value less cost to sell. We capitalize interest costs once development begins, and we continue to capitalize throughout the development period. We also capitalize infrastructure, improvements, amenities, and other development costs incurred during the development period. We determine the cost of real estate sold using the relative sales value method. When we sell real estate from projects that are not finished, we include in the cost of real estate sold estimates of future development costs through completion, allocated based on relative sales values. These estimates of future development costs are reevaluated at least annually, with any adjustments being allocated prospectively to the remaining units available for sale.

Income producing properties are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation computed using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives.

We have agreements with utility or improvement districts, principally in Texas, whereby we agree to convey to the districts water, sewer and other infrastructure-related assets we have constructed in connection with projects within their jurisdiction. The reimbursement for these assets ranges from 70 to 100 percent of allowable cost as defined by the district. The transfer is consummated and we receive payment when the districts have a sufficient tax base to support funding of their bonds. The cost we incur in constructing these assets is included in capitalized development costs, and upon collection, we remove the assets from capitalized development costs. We provide an allowance to reflect our past experiences related to claimed allowable development costs.

Reclassifications

In 2012, we reclassified non-cash cost of timber cut for 2011 and 2010 on the statement of cash flows to depreciation, depletion and amortization to conform with current year presentation.

Revenue**Real Estate**

We recognize revenue from sales of real estate when a sale is consummated, the buyer's initial investment is adequate, any receivables are probable of collection, the usual risks and rewards of ownership have been transferred to the buyer, and we do not have significant continuing involvement with the real estate sold. If we determine that the earnings process is not complete, we defer recognition of any gain until earned. We recognize revenue from hotel room sales and other guest services when rooms are occupied and other guest services have

Table of Contents

been rendered. We recognize revenue from our multifamily properties when payments are due from residents, generally on a monthly basis.

We exclude from revenue amounts we collect from utility or improvement districts related to the conveyance of water, sewer and other infrastructure related assets. We also exclude from revenue amounts we collect for timber sold on land being developed. These proceeds reduce capitalized development costs. We exclude from revenue amounts we collect from customers that represent sales tax or other taxes that are based on the sale. These amounts are included in other accrued expenses until paid.

Mineral Resources

We recognize revenue from the sale of oil and natural gas produced where we have a working interest when production is sold to a purchaser at a fixed or determinable price, when delivery has occurred and title has transferred, and if collectability of the revenue is probable. We estimate amount of production delivered to purchasers and the prices we receive based on our knowledge of our properties, their historical performance, NYMEX and local spot market prices and other factors. Variances between estimates and the actual amounts received are recorded when payment is received, or when better information is available.

A majority of our sales are made under contractual arrangements with terms that are considered to be usual and customary in the oil and natural gas industry. The contracts are for periods of up to five years with prices determined upon a percentage of pre-determined and published monthly index price. The terms of these contracts have not had an effect on how we recognize revenue.

We recognize revenue from mineral bonus payments received as a result of leasing our mineral interests owned to others when we have received an executed agreement with the exploration company transferring the rights to any oil or natural gas it may find and requiring drilling be done within a specified period, the payment has been collected, and we have no obligation to refund the payment. We recognize revenue from delay rentals received if drilling has not started within the specified period and when the payment has been collected. We recognize revenue from mineral royalties when the minerals have been delivered to the buyer, the value is determinable, and we are reasonably sure of collection.

Fiber Resources

We recognize revenue from timber sales upon passage of title, which occurs at delivery; when the price is fixed and determinable; and we are reasonably sure of collection. We recognize revenue from recreational leases on the straight-line basis over the lease term if we are reasonably sure of collection.

Share-Based Compensation

We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model for stock options, Monte Carlo simulation pricing model for market-leveraged stock units, grant date fair value for equity-settled awards and period-end fair value for cash-settled awards. We expense share-based awards ratably over the vesting period or earlier based on retirement eligibility.

Timber

We carry timber at cost less the cost of timber cut. We expense the cost of timber cut based on the relationship of the timber carrying value to the estimated volume of recoverable timber multiplied by the amount of timber cut. We include the cost of timber cut in cost of fiber resources and other in the income statement. We determine the estimated volume of recoverable timber using statistical information and other data related to growth rates and yields gathered from physical observations, models and other information gathering techniques. Changes in yields are generally due to adjustments in growth rates and similar matters and are accounted for prospectively as changes in estimates. We capitalize reforestation costs incurred in developing viable seedling plantations (up to two years from planting), such as site preparation, seedlings, planting, fertilization, insect and wildlife control, and herbicide application. We expense all other costs, such as property taxes and costs of forest management personnel, as incurred. Once the seedling plantation is viable, we expense all costs to maintain the viable plantations, such as fertilization, herbicide application, insect and wildlife control, and thinning, as incurred.

Table of Contents**Note 2 New and Pending Accounting Pronouncements****Accounting Standards Adopted in 2012**

In first quarter 2012, we adopted Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2011-04 *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs* and ASU 2011-05 *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income*. Adoption of these pronouncements did not affect our earnings or financial position.

Pending Accounting Standards

ASU 2011-10 *Property, Plant, and Equipment: Derecognition of in Substance Real Estate* will be effective first quarter 2013. Under ASU 2011-10, when a parent ceases to have a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary that is in substance real estate as a result of default on the subsidiary's nonrecourse debt, the reporting entity would continue to include the real estate, debt and results of the subsidiary's operations in its consolidated financial statements until legal title to the real estate is transferred to legally satisfy the debt. The amendment should be applied prospectively to deconsolidation events occurring after the effective date. Adoption is not anticipated to have a significant effect on our earnings or financial position but may result in certain additional disclosures.

ASU 2012-02 *Intangibles-Goodwill and Other* will be effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. The amendment provides entities with the option to make a qualitative assessment about the likelihood that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired to determine whether it should perform a quantitative impairment test, which is equivalent to the impairment testing requirements for other long-lived assets. Adoption is not anticipated to have a significant effect on our earnings or financial position.

Note 3 Business Acquisitions

On September 28, 2012, pursuant to the terms of the previously announced Agreement and Plan of Merger dated June 3, 2012, we acquired 100 percent of the outstanding common stock of CREDO Petroleum Corporation (Credo) in an all cash transaction for \$14.50 per share, representing an equity purchase price of approximately \$146,445,000. In addition, we paid in full \$8,770,000 of Credo's outstanding debt. In 2012, we have incurred approximately \$6,323,000 in costs to outside advisors related to this transaction, which are included in general and administrative expenses.

The following summarizes the allocation of the purchase price to the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The allocation is subject to change as we obtain additional information during the acquisition measurement period, in particular, the estimated value assigned to oil and natural gas properties and equipment, goodwill and deferred tax liability.

	Purchase Price Allocation (In thousands)
Cash and short-term investments	\$ 2,300
Receivables	9,144
Oil and natural gas properties and equipment	140,514
Other properties and equipment	67
Goodwill and other intangible assets	58,396
Other	676
Total assets acquired	211,097
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	29,927
Deferred tax liability	24,700
Other liabilities	1,255
Total liabilities assumed	55,882
Estimated fair value of net assets acquired	\$ 155,215

Table of Contents

The following unaudited pro forma information for the year 2012 and 2011 represents the results of our consolidated operations as if the acquisition of Credo had occurred on January 1, 2011. This information is based on historical results of operations, adjusted for certain estimated accounting adjustments and does not purport to represent our actual results of operations if the transaction would have occurred on January 1, 2011, nor is it necessarily indicative of future results.

	For the Year	
	2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
Revenues	\$ 190,634	\$ 152,340
Net income	21,583	(2,639)

In 2012, we acquired from CL Realty, L.L.C. and Temco Associates, LLC, the ventures' interest in 17 residential and mixed-use real estate projects for \$47,000,000. Subsequent to closing of these acquisitions, we received \$23,370,000 from the ventures, representing our pro-rata share of distributable cash. The purchase price was allocated to the acquired assets and liabilities based on their estimated fair value: \$31,891,000 to real estate; \$14,236,000 to investment in unconsolidated ventures; \$1,385,000 to other assets; and \$512,000 to liabilities directly related to the real estate acquired. Transaction costs of about \$463,000 are included in other operating expense.

The acquired assets and operating results are included within our real estate segment and represented approximately 1,130 fully developed lots, 4,900 planned lots and over 460 commercial acres on the date of acquisition, principally in the major markets of Texas. Pro forma consolidated operating income (loss) assuming these acquisitions had occurred at the beginning of 2011 would not be significantly different than reported.

Note 4 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Carrying value of goodwill and other intangible assets follows:

	At Year-End	
	2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
Goodwill	\$ 61,680	\$ 3,874
Identified intangibles, net	2,188	1,577
	\$ 63,868	\$ 5,451

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the tangible and identifiable intangible assets of \$57,806,000 associated with our acquisition of Credo in 2012 and \$3,874,000 associated with a water resources company acquired in 2010.

Identified intangibles includes \$590,000 related to patents with definite lives associated with the Calliope Gas Recovery System acquired as part of our acquisition of Credo and is being amortized over the average remaining useful life of the patents. The net carrying value at year-end 2012 is \$566,400. In addition, identified intangibles include \$1,622,000 in indefinite lived groundwater leases associated with a water resources company acquired in 2010.

Table of Contents**Note 5 Real Estate**

Real estate consists of:

	At Year-End	
	2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
Entitled, developed and under development projects	\$ 361,827	\$ 383,026
Undeveloped land (includes land in entitlement)	82,688	80,076
Income producing properties		
Carrying value	100,855	129,220
Accumulated depreciation	(28,220)	(26,955)
Net carrying value	72,635	102,265
	\$ 517,150	\$ 565,367

Included in entitled, developed and under development projects are the estimated costs of assets we expect to convey to utility and improvement districts of \$50,476,000 in 2012 and \$61,526,000 in 2011, including about \$34,252,000 at year-end 2012 and about \$34,802,000 at year-end 2011 related to our Cibolo Canyons project near San Antonio. These costs relate to water, sewer and other infrastructure assets we have submitted to utility or improvement districts for approval and reimbursement. In 2012, these costs decreased by \$11,065,000 as result of a consolidated venture's bulk sale of approximately 800 acres near Dallas. We submitted for reimbursement to these districts \$6,432,000 in 2012 and \$3,328,000 in 2011. We collected \$5,674,000 from these districts in 2012, of which \$550,000 related to our Cibolo Canyons project and was accounted for as a reduction of our investment in the mixed-use development. We collected \$3,294,000 from these districts in 2011, of which \$1,750,000 related to our Cibolo Canyons project. We expect to collect the remaining amounts billed when these districts achieve adequate tax bases to support payment.

Also included in entitled, developed and under development projects is our investment in the resort development owned by third parties at our Cibolo Canyons project. In 2012 and 2011, we received \$2,850,000 and \$6,906,000 from the Special Improvement District (SID) from hotel occupancy and sales revenues collected as taxes by the SID. We currently account for these receipts as a reduction of our investment in the resort development. At year-end 2012, we have \$32,517,000 invested in the resort development.

In 2012, entitled, developed and under development projects increased by \$31,891,000 as a result of our acquisition of certain residential and mixed-use projects from CL Realty and Temco. Please read **Note 3** for additional information. Also in 2012, entitled, developed and under development projects decreased by \$51,493,000 as a result of consolidated venture's bulk sale of approximately 800 acres (Light Farms Project) near Dallas. We received \$24,294,000 in net proceeds, the buyer assumed the outstanding debt of \$30,991,000 and we recognized a gain of \$3,401,000.

In 2012, commercial and income producing properties decreased by \$45,794,000 as a result of selling Broadstone Memorial, a 401-unit multifamily investment property in Houston for \$56,400,000. We received \$29,474,000 in net proceeds, the buyer assumed outstanding debt of \$26,500,000, and we recognized a gain of \$10,180,000. At year-end 2012, commercial and income producing properties primarily represents a 413 guest room hotel in Austin with net carrying value of \$19,992,000 and a 289-unit multifamily property in Austin with net carrying value of \$30,057,000. In addition, we acquired multifamily development sites located in Charlotte and Nashville for \$16,651,000.

We did not recognize any non-cash asset impairment charges in 2012. We recognized non-cash asset impairment charges of \$11,525,000 in 2011 principally associated with owned and consolidated residential real estate projects located near Denver and the Texas gulf coast. We recognized non-cash asset impairment charges of \$9,042,000 in 2010 principally associated with a residential development project located near Atlanta and a residential development with golf course and country club property located near Fort Worth.

Table of Contents

Depreciation expense related to income producing properties was \$3,640,000 in 2012, \$3,547,000 in 2011 and \$2,680,000 in 2010 and is included in other operating expense.

Note 6 Timber

We own directly or through ventures about 121,000 acres of timber, primarily in Georgia, and about 17,000 acres of timber under lease. The non-cash cost of timber cut and sold is \$1,220,000 in 2012, \$990,000 in 2011 and \$1,544,000 in 2010 and is included in depreciation, depletion and amortization in our statement of cash flows.

Note 7 Investment in Unconsolidated Ventures

At year-end 2012, we had ownership interests in 13 ventures that we account for using the equity method. We have no real estate ventures that are accounted for using the cost method.

In 2012, we formed two new unconsolidated ventures:

CJUF III, RH Holdings, LP was formed with Canyon-Johnson Urban Funds (CJUF) to develop a 257-unit multifamily property overlooking downtown Austin. We own a 25 percent interest and CJUF owns the remaining 75 percent interest. We contributed land and pre-development costs to the venture and received reimbursements of \$3,516,000 from the venture. The venture obtained a senior secured construction loan in the amount of \$23,936,000 that bears interest at LIBOR plus 2 percent with no significant balance outstanding at year-end 2012. The loan has an initial term of 36 months and may be extended for two additional 12-month periods if certain conditions are met. We have a guaranty of completion of the improvements, a repayment guarantee for 20 percent of principal balance and unpaid accrued interest and a standard non-recourse carve-out guaranty. The repayment guarantee will reduce from 20 percent to 0 percent upon achievement of certain conditions. At year-end 2012, our investment in this venture is \$3,836,000.

FMF Peakview, LLC was formed with Guggenheim Real Estate, LLC (Guggenheim) to develop a 304-unit multifamily property in Denver. We own a 20 percent interest and Guggenheim owns the remaining 80 percent interest. We contributed land and pre-development costs to the venture and received reimbursements of \$7,243,000 from the venture. The venture obtained a senior secured construction loan in the amount of \$31,550,000 that bears interest at LIBOR plus 2.25 percent with no balance outstanding at year-end 2012. The loan has an initial term of 36 months and may be extended for two additional 12-month periods if certain conditions are met. We have a guaranty of completion of the improvements, a repayment guarantee for 25 percent of principal and unpaid accrued interest and a standard non-recourse carve-out guaranty. At year-end 2012, our investment in this venture is \$2,666,000.

In 2012, we acquired from CL Realty and Temco their interest in 17 residential and mixed-use projects for \$47,000,000, principally representing \$31,891,000 in real estate and \$14,236,000 in investment in unconsolidated ventures. Please read **Note 3** for additional information. We sold our 25 percent interest in Palisades West LLC, which owns two office buildings and an accompanying parking garage in Austin for \$32,095,000, resulting in a gain of \$11,675,000.

Table of Contents

Combined summarized balance sheet information for our ventures accounted for using the equity method follows:

	Venture Assets		Venture Borrowings (a)		Venture Equity		Our Investment	
	2012	2011	2012	At Year-End		2011	2012	2011
				2011	2012			
	(In thousands)							
242, LLC ^(b)	\$ 21,408	\$ 23,688	\$ 810	\$ 4,429	\$ 19,576	\$ 18,536	\$ 8,903	\$ 8,332
CJUF III, RH Holdings	15,970		1		13,701		3,836	
CL Ashton Woods ^(c)	15,701				15,044		5,775	
CL Realty	8,245	51,096		1,056	7,842	48,608	3,921	24,304
FMF Peakview	16,859				13,331		2,666	
HM Stonewall Estates ^(c)	5,184		104		5,080		2,470	
LM Land Holdings ^(c)	21,094		3,086		13,128		6,045	
Palisades West		124,588				81,635		20,412
Round Rock Luxury Apartments	332	34,434		28,544	325	4,865	225	3,312
Temco	13,255	18,922		2,787	13,066	15,896	6,533	7,948
Other ventures (4) ^(d)	16,797	16,938	34,357	38,002	(31,600)	(34,045)	1,172	(85)
	\$ 134,845	\$ 269,666	\$ 38,358	\$ 74,818	\$ 69,493	\$ 135,495	\$ 41,546	\$ 64,223

Combined summarized income statement information for our ventures accounted for using the equity method follows:

	Revenues			Earnings (Loss) For the Year			Our Share of Earnings (Loss)		
	2012	2011	2010	2012	2011		2012	2011	2010
					2011	2010			
	(In thousands)								
242, LLC ^(b)	\$ 4,868	\$ 2,378	\$ 1	\$ 1,040	\$ 239	\$ (103)	\$ 572	\$ 153	\$ (51)
CJUF III, RH Holdings				(241)			(241)		
CL Ashton Woods ^(c)	3,353			1,472			2,024		
CL Realty ^(e)	2,667	9,141	28,663	1,060	(22,832)	228	530	(11,416)	114
FMF Peakview				(116)			(23)		
HM Stonewall Estates ^(c)	2,500			829			332		
LM Land Holdings ^(c)	10,268			1,895			257		
Palisades West		16,230	13,588		5,858	4,668		1,464	1,167
Round Rock Luxury Apartments ^(f)	3,749	4,442	3,308	7,944	(483)	(1,429)	5,552	(292)	(996)
Temco ^(g)	702	653	2,180	(80)	(42,242)	210	(40)	(21,121)	105
Other ventures ^(h) (4)	5,041	8,030	8,765	2,088	49	(15,889)	5,506	2,003	4,362
	\$ 33,148	\$ 40,874	\$ 56,505	\$ 15,891	\$ (59,411)	\$ (12,315)	\$ 14,469	\$ (29,209)	\$ 4,701

(a) Total includes current maturities of \$32,323,000 at year-end 2012, of which \$32,083,000 is non-recourse to us, and \$71,816,000 at year-end 2011, of which \$43,144,000 is non-recourse to us.

(b) Includes unamortized deferred gains on real estate contributed by us to ventures. We recognize deferred gains as income as real estate is sold to third parties and is included in our share of earnings (loss) from the respective ventures. Deferred gains of \$885,000 are reflected as a reduction to our investment in unconsolidated ventures at year-end 2012.

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

- (c) In 2012, we acquired CL Realty's equity investment in these residential and mixed-use ventures at estimated fair value. The difference between estimated fair value of the equity investment and our capital account within the respective ventures at closing (basis difference) will be accreted as income or expense over the life of the investment and included in our share of earnings (loss) from the respective ventures. Unrecognized basis difference of \$2,957,000 is reflected as a reduction of our investment in unconsolidated ventures at year-end 2012.

Table of Contents

- (d) Our investment in other ventures reflects our ownership interests generally ranging from 25 to 50 percent, excluding venture losses that exceed our investment where we are not obligated to fund those losses. Please read **Note 17** for additional information.
- (e) In 2011, CL Realty's loss includes non-cash impairment charges of \$25,750,000, of which, \$23,255,000 relates to additional non-cash impairments associated with real estate assets sold in 2012. Please read **Note 3** for additional information. In 2010, CL Realty's earnings include impairment charges of \$4,458,000 principally related to a commercial real estate tract located near the Texas gulf coast.
- (f) In 2012, Round Rock Luxury Apartments earnings include \$8,247,000 gain related to sale of 414-unit multifamily property near Austin for \$40,400,000. Our share of equity earnings related to this sale is \$5,707,000.
- (g) In 2011, Temco's loss includes non-cash impairment charges of \$41,226,000, of which, \$21,426,000 principally relates to additional non-cash impairments associated with real estate assets sold in 2012. Please read **Note 3** for additional information.
- (h) In 2012, other ventures earnings include \$5,307,000 related to a consolidated venture's share of the gain associated with Round Rock Luxury Apartments sale of Las Brisas. Our share of these earnings was \$2,541,000 and we allocated \$(2,766,000) to net income attributable to noncontrolling interests. In 2011, our share of other ventures earnings (loss) includes \$2,164,000 in earnings related to a deferred gain recognized as a result of entering into an agreement to acquire certain of CL Realty's real estate assets and \$4,869,000 in deferred gains for year 2010 related to CL Realty's sale of 625 acres to a third party for \$20,250,000. In 2010, other ventures loss includes a \$13,061,000 loss on sale of a golf course and country club property in Denton, Texas. This loss did not impact our equity in the earnings (loss) of this venture as we exclude losses that exceed our investment where we are not obligated to provide additional equity. In 2012, we invested \$2,318,000 in these ventures and received \$15,905,000 in distributions; in 2011, we invested \$2,007,000 in these ventures and received \$9,664,000 in distributions; in 2010, we invested \$3,291,000 in these ventures and received \$16,458,000 in distributions. Distributions include both return of investments and distributions of earnings.

We provide development services for some of these ventures for which we receive fees. Fees for these services were \$662,000 in 2012, \$912,000 in 2011 and \$1,091,000 in 2010 and are included in real estate and mineral revenues. In 2010, we received fees of \$1,013,000 related to the sale of approximately 625 acres by CL Realty for marketing the property and closing the transaction on behalf of the venture.

Note 8 Receivables

Receivables consist of:

	At Year-End	
	2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
Loan secured by real estate	\$ 18,507	\$ 20,666
Notes receivable, average interest rate of 6.24% at year-end 2012 and 7.16% at year-end 2011	1,875	1,817
Receivables and accrued interest	13,303	860
	33,685	23,343
Allowance for bad debts	(62)	(62)
	\$ 33,623	\$ 23,281

At year-end 2012, we have \$18,507,000 invested in a loan secured by real estate. The loan was acquired from a financial institution in 2011 when it was non-performing and is secured by a lien on developed and undeveloped real estate located near Houston designated for single-family residential and commercial development. In 2012, an approved bankruptcy plan of reorganization of the borrower became effective establishing a principal amount of \$33,900,000 maturing in April 2017. Interest accrues at nine percent the first three years escalating to 10 percent in year four and 12 percent in year five, with interest above 6.25 percent to be

Table of Contents

forgiven if the loan is prepaid by certain dates. Commencing with the reorganization, we estimate future cash flows and calculate accretable yield to be recognized over the term of the loan, which is included in other non-operating income. In 2012, we received principal payments of \$3,887,000 and interest payments of \$1,635,000. In 2011, we received \$471,000 in payments and accounted for these receipts as a reduction of the carrying value of the loan. At year-end 2012, the outstanding principal balance was \$30,013,000.

Estimated accretable yield at year-end 2012 follows:

	(In thousands)
Beginning of period (First Quarter-End 2012)	\$ 28,926
Change in accretable yield due to change in timing of estimated cash flows	(515)
Interest income recognized	(3,262)
	\$ 25,149

Notes receivable generally are secured by a deed of trust and due within three years. Receivables and accrued interest principally include miscellaneous operating receivables arising in the normal course of business. In 2012, receivables include \$8,095,000 associated with our acquisition of Credo.

Note 9 Debt

Debt consists of:

	At Year-End	
	2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
Senior secured credit facility		
Term loan facility average interest rate of 4.21% at year-end 2012 and 6.50 % at year-end 2011	\$ 200,000	\$ 130,000
Revolving line of credit average interest rate of 4.75% at year-end 2012	44,000	
Secured promissory notes average interest rates of 2.80% at year-end 2012 and 4.34% at year-end 2011	34,171	41,900
Other indebtedness due through 2017 at variable and fixed interest rates ranging from 0.24% to 8.00%	15,892	49,687
	\$ 294,063	\$ 221,587

Our debt agreements contain financial covenants customary for such agreements including minimum levels of interest coverage and limitations on leverage. At year-end 2012, we were in compliance with the financial covenants of these agreements.

In 2012, we entered into a Second Amended and Restated Revolving and Term Credit Agreement in order to consolidate previous amendments and to effect the following principal amendments to: increase the term loan commitment from \$130,000,000 to \$200,000,000; extend the maturity date of the revolving loan to September 14, 2015 (with one-year extension option) and of the term loan to September 14, 2017; reduce the interest rate spread over LIBOR from 4.5 percent to 4.0 percent, and eliminate the LIBOR rate floor of 2 percent; increase the minimum interest coverage from 1.05x to 1.50x; reduce the unused fee commitment ratio covenant and replace it with a reduction to the borrowing base to the extent the ratio of the value of assets in the borrowing base to the aggregate commitments under the facility is less than 1.50x. We incurred fees of \$5,486,000 related to this amendment. The amendment and restatement of the term loan was an extinguishment of debt under the accounting guidance and, as result, we recognized a \$4,448,000 loss in 2012 which is included in interest expense.

On September 28, 2012 we acquired 100 percent of the outstanding stock of Credo in an all cash transaction for \$14.50 per share, representing an equity purchase price of approximately \$146,445,000. In addition, we paid in full \$8,770,000 of Credo's outstanding debt. This transaction was funded with approximately \$70,000,000 in borrowings from our term loan, \$35,000,000 in borrowings from our revolving line of credit, and the remaining paid from our existing balance of cash and short-term investments.

Table of Contents

At year-end 2012, our senior secured credit facility provides for a \$200,000,000 term loan and a \$200,000,000 revolving line of credit. The term loan and revolving line of credit may be prepaid at any time without penalty. The revolving line of credit includes a \$100,000,000 sublimit for letters of credit, of which \$2,807,000 is outstanding at year-end 2012. Total borrowings under our senior secured credit facility (including the face amount of letters of credit) may not exceed a borrowing base formula. At year-end 2012, we had \$153,193,000 in net unused borrowing capacity under our senior credit facility.

Under the terms of the amended and restated credit agreement, at our option, we can borrow at LIBOR plus 4.0 percent or at the alternate base rate plus 3.0 percent. The alternate base rate is the highest of (i) KeyBank National Association's base rate, (ii) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.5 percent or (iii) 30 day LIBOR plus 1 percent. Borrowings under the senior secured credit facility are or may be secured by (a) mortgages on the timberland, high value timberland and portions of raw entitled land, as well as pledges of other rights including certain oil and natural gas operating properties, (b) assignments of current and future leases, rents and contracts, (c) a security interest in our primary operating account, (d) a pledge of the equity interests in current and future material operating subsidiaries or majority-owned joint venture interest, or if such pledge is not permitted, a pledge of the right to distributions from such entities, (e) a pledge of reimbursements, hotel occupancy and other revenues payable to us from special improvement district tax collections in connection with our Cibolo Canyons project, and (f) a negative pledge (without a mortgage) on other assets. The senior secured credit facility provides for releases of real estate provided that borrowing base compliance is maintained.

At year-end 2012, secured promissory notes include a \$15,400,000 loan collateralized by a 413 guest room hotel located in Austin with a carrying value of \$19,992,000 and a \$18,771,000 construction loan collateralized by a 289-unit multifamily project located in Austin with a carrying value of \$30,057,000. This loan will provide up to \$19,548,000 in construction financing for this project.

At year-end 2012, other indebtedness, principally non-recourse, is collateralized by entitled, developed and under development projects with a carrying value of \$65,545,000. In 2012, other indebtedness decreased by \$26,500,000 as result of selling Broadstone Memorial, a 401-unit multifamily investment property in Houston and decreased by \$30,991,000 as result of a consolidated venture's bulk sale of approximately 800 acres (Light Farms Project) near Dallas. Please read Schedule III for additional information.

At year-end 2012 and 2011, we have \$6,508,000 and \$8,364,000 in unamortized deferred fees which are included in other assets. Amortization of deferred financing fees, excluding loss on extinguishment of debt, was \$2,922,000 in 2012, \$2,881,000 in 2011 and \$4,106,000 in 2010 and is included in interest expense.

Debt maturities during the next five years are: 2013 \$8,683,000; 2014 \$17,792,000; 2015 \$64,046,000; 2016 \$2,125,000; 2017 \$201,417,000 and thereafter \$0.

Note 10 Fair Value

Non-financial assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis principally include real estate assets, goodwill and intangible assets, which are measured for impairment. We did not recognize any non-cash impairment charges in 2012. In 2011, certain real estate assets were remeasured and reported at fair value due to events or circumstances that indicated the carrying value may not be recoverable. We determined estimated fair value based on the present value of future probability weighted cash flows expected from the sale of the long-lived asset or based on a third-party appraisal of current value. As a result, we recognized non-cash asset impairments of \$11,525,000 in 2011 associated with our owned and consolidated projects. The carrying value of these assets may have subsequently increased or decreased from the fair value reflected due to activity that has occurred since the measurement date.

	Year-End 2012			Total	Year-End 2011			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
<i>Non-Financial Assets and Liabilities:</i>								
Real estate	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 24,161	\$ 24,161

Table of Contents

We elected not to use the fair value option for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, other current assets, variable debt, accounts payable and other current liabilities. The carrying amounts of these financial instruments approximate their fair values due to their short-term nature or variable interest rates. We determine the fair value of fixed rate financial instruments using quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets.

Information about our fixed rate financial instruments not measured at fair value follows:

	Year-End 2012		Year-End 2011		Valuation Technique
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount (In thousands)	Fair Value	
Loan secured by real estate	\$ 18,507	\$ 35,824	\$ 20,666	\$ (a)	Level 2
Fixed rate debt	\$ (3,989)	\$ (4,070)	\$ (29,931)	\$ (32,478)	Level 2

(a) At year-end 2011 not applicable due to its non-performing status.

Note 11 Capital Stock

Pursuant to our shareholder rights plan, each share of common stock outstanding is coupled with one-quarter of a preferred stock purchase right (Right). Each Right entitles our shareholders to purchase, under certain conditions, one one-hundredth of a share of newly issued Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock at an exercise price of \$100. Rights will be exercisable only if someone acquires beneficial ownership of 20 percent or more of our common shares or commences a tender or exchange offer, upon consummation of which they would beneficially own 20 percent or more of our common shares. We will generally be entitled to redeem the Rights at \$0.001 per Right at any time until the 10th business day following public announcement that a 20 percent position has been acquired. The Rights will expire on December 11, 2017.

Please read Note 18 Share-Based Compensation for information about additional shares of common stock that could be issued under terms of our share-based compensation plans.

As a result of the 2007 spin-offs from Temple-Inland, at year-end 2012, personnel of former affiliates held options to purchase 953,000 shares of our common stock. The options have a weighted average exercise price of \$22.82 and a weighted average remaining contractual term of three years. At year-end 2012, the options have an aggregate intrinsic value of \$1,083,000.

In 2012, we repurchased 94,450 shares of our common stock for \$1,409,000. We have repurchased 2,002,145 shares of our common stock for \$29,564,000 since we announced our 2009 strategic initiative of repurchasing up to 20 percent or 7,000,000 shares of our common stock.

Note 12 Net Income per Share

Earnings available to common shareholders and weighted average common shares outstanding used to compute earnings per share were:

	2012	For the Year 2011 (In thousands)	2010
Earnings available to common shareholders:			
Consolidated net income	\$ 17,876	\$ 9,241	\$ 5,834
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(4,934)	(2,087)	(709)
Net income attributable to Forestar Group Inc.	\$ 12,942	\$ 7,154	\$ 5,125
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic			
	35,214	35,413	35,893
Dilutive effect of stock options, restricted stock and equity-settled awards			
	268	368	484
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted			
	35,482	35,781	36,377

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

Anti-dilutive awards excluded from diluted weighted average shares outstanding	2,713	2,008	1,574
--	-------	-------	-------

Table of Contents**Note 13 Income Taxes**

Income tax expense consists of:

	2012	For the Year 2011 (In thousands)	2010
Current tax provision:			
U.S. Federal	\$ (11,834)	\$ (27,442)	\$ (7,582)
State and other	(2,171)	(3,013)	(1,252)
	(14,005)	(30,455)	(8,834)
Deferred tax provision:			
U.S. Federal	4,910	26,264	6,084
State and other	1,079	1,170	280
	5,989	27,434	6,364
Income tax expense	\$ (8,016)	\$ (3,021)	\$ (2,470)

A reconciliation of the federal statutory rate to the effective income tax rate on continuing operations follows:

	2012	For the Year 2011	2010
Federal statutory rate	35 %	35 %	35 %
State, net of federal benefit	5	10	8
State rate change due to acquisition	(2)		
Acquisition costs	4		
Noncontrolling interests	(7)	(6)	(3)
Charitable contributions		(6)	(5)
Compensation			3
Oil and natural gas percentage depletion	(5)	(8)	(10)
Other	1		2
Effective tax rate	31%	25%	30%

Our effective tax rate includes a two percent non-cash benefit associated with state deferred tax rate changes due to operating in additional states following the acquisition of Credo.

Significant components of deferred taxes are:

	At Year-End 2012	2011
Deferred Tax Assets:		
Real estate	\$ 74,946	\$ 74,970
Employee benefits	15,323	11,284
Net operating loss carryforwards	11,897	
Income producing properties	3,209	
Oil and natural gas percentage depletion carryforwards	3,193	
Accruals not deductible until paid	1,608	1,113

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

Gross deferred tax assets	110,176	87,367
Valuation allowance	(643)	
Deferred tax asset net of valuation allowance	109,533	87,367
Deferred Tax Liabilities:		
Oil and natural gas properties	(44,631)	
Undeveloped land	(8,345)	(8,479)
Income producing properties		(4,093)
Timber	(1,809)	(1,853)
Gross deferred tax liabilities	(54,785)	(14,425)
Net Deferred Tax Asset	\$ 54,748	\$ 72,942

Table of Contents

At year-end 2012, we had federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$31,000,000 primarily as a result of our acquisition of Credo at third quarter-end 2012. If not utilized, these carryforwards will expire in the years 2030 through 2032 for federal purposes and 2013 through 2032 for state purposes. We had approximately \$8,800,000 of oil and natural gas percentage depletion carryforwards that also were a result of our acquisition of Credo. These carryforwards do not expire.

We have not provided a valuation allowance for our federal deferred tax asset because we believe it is likely it will be recoverable in future periods. We have provided a valuation allowance for some of our state net operating loss carryforwards. Our deferred tax liability on oil and natural gas properties is related to our acquisition of Credo in 2012 and is primarily related to the excess of fair value allocated to oil and natural gas properties at closing over the carry-over tax basis received at our estimated effective tax rate. Goodwill associated with our acquisition of Credo is not deductible for income tax purposes.

Prior to our spin-off, we were included in Temple-Inland's consolidated income tax returns. In conjunction with our spin-off we entered into an agreement with Temple-Inland whereby we agreed to indemnify Temple-Inland for any adjustments related to our tax positions reported in their pre-spin income tax returns. With few exceptions, we are no longer subject to U.S. federal or state income tax examinations by tax authorities for years prior to 2006. In 2009, Temple-Inland informed us that the IRS began an examination of its 2007 and 2006 federal income tax returns. The finalization of this examination is still in process as of year-end 2012 but we were informed that the IRS has not proposed any adjustments affecting our reported tax positions.

We file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and in various state jurisdictions. In 2011, the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) completed its examination of our 2008 and 2007 (one day of operations) federal income tax returns. No changes were made to these returns as a result of the examination.

We have entered into a settlement agreement with the IRS regarding the audit of the Credo pre-acquisition years of 2010, 2009 and 2008 with no taxes paid as result of the settlement. State tax returns remain subject to examination for the Credo pre-acquisition years of 2008 through 2011.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of tax benefits not recognized for book purposes is as follows:

	2012	At Year-End 2011 (In thousands)	2010
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 5,831	\$ 7,394	\$ 7,441
Reductions for tax positions of prior years		(1,563)	(47)
Balance at end of year	\$ 5,831	\$ 5,831	\$ 7,394

At year-end 2012 and 2011, there were \$5,831,000 and at year-end 2010 there were \$6,019,000 of tax benefits not recognized for book purposes that would affect the annual effective tax rate, if recognized.

We recognize interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. In 2012, 2011 and 2010, we recognized approximately \$152,000, \$41,000 and \$133,000 in interest expense. At year-end 2012, 2011 and 2010, we have \$420,000, \$269,000 and \$229,000 of accrued interest and no penalties.

It is reasonably possible that unrecognized tax benefits affecting our effective tax rate will decrease by \$5,831,000 during the next twelve months as a result of the expiration of the statute of limitations.

Note 14 Litigation and Environmental Contingencies**Litigation**

In connection with our acquisition of Credo Petroleum Corporation, several class action lawsuits were filed against Credo, its board of directors and us. These actions generally allege that Credo and its board of directors breached fiduciary duties to Credo stockholders with respect to the transaction. The actions also allege that we aided and abetted the alleged breaches. On September 14, 2012, parties entered into a memorandum of understanding (MOU) to settle those actions. The MOU is contingent on confirmatory discovery and court approval of the settlement. The MOU provides that in consideration of supplemental disclosures filed by Credo

Table of Contents

with the SEC on September 14, 2012, the final settlement will include a release of all asserted claims. We believe that the claims in all cases are entirely without merit and intend to defend the actions vigorously.

In 2011, Credo filed a lawsuit for declaratory judgment regarding contract rights under two agreements with a third party that in the aggregate involved five to ten percent of Credo's working interest in most of its leases in North Dakota. In fourth quarter 2012, the case was settled by paying \$3,700,000 and conveying a nominal working interest in exchange for termination of the two agreements. Our preliminary allocation of goodwill was adjusted based on this settlement.

We are involved in various other legal proceedings that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of doing business and believe that adequate reserves have been established for any probable losses. We do not believe that the outcome of any of these proceedings should have a significant adverse effect on our financial position, long-term results of operations or cash flows. It is possible, however, that charges related to these matters could be significant to our results or cash flows in any one accounting period.

Environmental

Environmental remediation liabilities arise from time to time in the ordinary course of doing business, and we believe we have established adequate reserves for any probable losses that we can reasonably estimate. We own 288 acres near Antioch, California, portions of which were sites of a former Temple-Inland paper manufacturing operation that are in remediation. We have received certificates of completion on all but one 80 acre tract, a portion of which includes subsurface contamination. In 2011, we increased our reserves for environmental remediation by \$2,500,000 due to additional testing and remediation requirements by the state regulatory agencies. We estimate the remaining cost to complete remediation activities will be approximately \$1,572,000, which is included in other accrued expenses. It is possible that remediation or monitoring activities could be required in addition to those included within our estimate, but we are unable to determine the scope, timing or extent of such activities.

Note 15 Commitments and Other Contingencies

We lease timberland, facilities and equipment under non-cancelable long-term operating lease agreements. In addition, we have various obligations under other office space and equipment leases of less than one year. Lease expense on timberland was \$382,000 in 2012, \$349,000 in 2011 and \$289,000 in 2010. Rent expense on facilities and equipment was \$2,115,000 in 2012, \$2,000,000 in 2011 and \$2,048,000 in 2010. Future minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating leases having a remaining term in excess of one year are: 2013 \$2,742,000; 2014 \$2,763,000; 2015 \$2,687,000; 2016 \$2,315,000; 2017 \$2,242,000 and thereafter \$4,292,000.

We have 13 years remaining on a 65-year timber lease associated with about 16,000 acres. At year-end 2012, the remaining contractual obligation for this lease is \$4,801,000. In addition, we have 5 years remaining on groundwater leases of about 20,000 acres. At year-end 2012, the remaining contractual obligation for these groundwater leases is \$2,454,000.

In 2008, we entered into a 10-year operating lease for approximately 32,000 square feet in Austin, Texas, which we occupy as our corporate headquarters. This lease contains predetermined fixed increases of the minimum rental rate during the initial lease term and a construction allowance for leasehold improvements. The remaining contractual obligation for this lease is \$7,794,000.

We may provide performance bonds and letters of credit on behalf of certain ventures that would be drawn on due to failure to satisfy construction obligations as general contractor or for failure to timely deliver streets and utilities in accordance with local codes and ordinances. In connection with our unconsolidated venture operations, we have provided performance bonds and letters of credit aggregating \$26,630,000 at year-end 2012.

Note 16 Segment Information

We manage our operations through three business segments: real estate, mineral resources and fiber resources. Real estate secures entitlements and develops infrastructure on our lands for single-family residential and mixed-use communities, and manages our undeveloped land and income producing properties, primarily a

Table of Contents

hotel and our multifamily properties. Mineral resources manages our oil, natural gas and water interests. Fiber resources manages our timber and recreational leases.

We evaluate performance based on segment earnings (loss) before unallocated items and income taxes. Segment earnings (loss) consist of operating income, equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated ventures, gain on sale of assets, interest income on loans secured by real estate and net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests. Items not allocated to our business segments consist of general and administrative expense, share-based compensation, gain on sale of strategic timberland, interest expense and other corporate non-operating income and expense. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the accounting policy note to the consolidated financial statements. Our revenues are derived from our U.S. operations and all of our assets are located in the U.S. In 2012, no single customer accounted for more than 10 percent of our total revenues. In 2011, revenues of \$17,980,000 from one customer of our real estate segment exceeded 10 percent of our total revenues as result of selling about 9,700 acres of undeveloped land from our retail sales program.

	Real Estate	Mineral Resources	Fiber Resources (In thousands)	Items Not Allocated to Segments	Total
For the year or at year-end 2012:					
Revenues	\$ 120,115	\$ 44,220	\$ 8,256	\$	\$ 172,591
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	4,340	4,987	1,254	8,345	18,926
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated ventures	13,897	509	63		14,469
Income (loss) before taxes	53,582	21,581	5,056	(59,261) ^(a)	20,958
Total assets	588,137	238,825	12,302	79,170	918,434
Investment in unconsolidated ventures	41,546				41,546
Capital expenditures ^(b)	1,093	21,986	277	795	24,151
For the year or at year-end 2011:					
Revenues	\$ 106,168	\$ 24,584	\$ 4,821	\$	\$ 135,573
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	5,729	339	1,029	3,705	10,802
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated ventures	(30,626)	1,394	23		(29,209)
Income (loss) before taxes	(25,704)	16,023	1,893	17,963 ^(a)	10,175
Total assets	659,802	16,199	14,444	104,412	794,857
Investment in unconsolidated ventures	64,223				64,223
Capital expenditures ^(b)	739	4,796	47	766	6,348
For the year or at year-end 2010:					
Revenues	\$ 68,269	\$ 24,790	\$ 8,301	\$	\$ 101,360
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	3,089	333	1,583	5,553	10,558
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated ventures	2,629	2,072			4,701
Income (loss) before taxes	(4,634)	22,783	5,058	(15,612) ^(a)	7,595
Total assets	668,689	13,399	18,258	88,978	789,324
Investment in unconsolidated ventures	101,166				101,166
Capital expenditures ^(b)	2,392	49	3	258	2,702

^(a) Items not allocated to segments consist of:

	2012	For the Year 2011 (In thousands)	2010
General and administrative expense	\$ (25,176)	\$ (20,110)	\$ (17,341)
Share-based compensation expense	(14,929)	(7,067)	(11,596)
Gain on sale of assets	16	61,784	28,607
Interest expense	(19,363)	(17,012)	(16,446)
Other corporate non-operating income	191	368	1,164

\$ (59,261) \$ 17,963 \$ (15,612)

Table of Contents

(b) Consists of expenditures for oil and natural gas properties and equipment, property, plant and equipment and reforestation of timber. In 2012, general and administrative expense includes \$6,323,000 in transaction costs associated with our acquisition of Credo. Share-based compensation increased principally as a result of increase in our stock price and impact on cash-settled awards. Interest expense includes a \$4,448,000 loss on extinguishment of debt associated with the amendment and extension of our term loan in 2012.

In 2011, general and administrative expense includes \$3,187,000 associated with proposed private debt offerings that we withdrew as a result of deterioration of terms available to us in the credit markets. Share-based compensation decreased principally as a result of a decline in our stock price and its impact on cash-settled awards. Gain on sale of assets represents the sale of about 57,000 acres of timberland for \$87,061,000 in accordance with our 2009 strategic initiatives, which we completed in 2011.

In 2010, gain on sale of assets represents the sale of over 24,000 acres of timberland for \$38,778,000 in accordance with our 2009 strategic initiatives. Interest expense decreased principally due to lower interest rates as a result of the maturity of our interest rate swap agreement and decreased amortization of prepaid loan fees.

Note 17 Variable Interest Entities

We participate in real estate ventures for the purpose of acquiring and developing residential and mixed-use communities in which we may or may not have a controlling financial interest. Generally accepted accounting principles require consolidation of variable interest entities (VIE) in which an enterprise has a controlling financial interest and is the primary beneficiary. A controlling financial interest will have both of the following characteristics: (a) the power to direct the VIE activities that most significantly impact economic performance and (b) the obligation to absorb the VIE losses and right to receive benefits that are significant to the VIE. We examine specific criteria and use judgment when determining whether we are the primary beneficiary and must consolidate a VIE. We perform this review initially at the time we enter into venture agreements and subsequently when reconsideration events occur.

At year-end 2012, we are the primary beneficiary of one VIE that we consolidate. We have provided the majority of equity to this VIE, which absent our contributions or advances does not have sufficient equity to fund its operations. We have the authority to approve project budgets and the issuance of additional debt. At year-end 2012, our consolidated balance sheet includes \$1,342,000 in liabilities related to this VIE. In 2012, we contributed or advanced \$2,000 to this VIE. In 2011, our earnings benefited from a \$1,342,000 reallocation of a previously recognized loss related to foreclosure of a lien on property in the above VIE. Based on our access to new information, we determined this loss and related liability should be allocated from us to the noncontrolling financial interests as we believe the likelihood we will be subject to any potential lender liabilities is remote. In addition, in 2011, we were released from liability due to settled litigation related to this property and as result, our earnings benefited from the reversal of \$1,741,000 in liabilities.

Also at year-end 2012, we are not the primary beneficiary of three VIEs that we account for using the equity method. The unrelated managing partners oversee the day-to-day operations and guarantee some of the debt of the VIEs while we have the authority to approve project budgets and the issuance of additional debt. Although some of the debt is guaranteed by the managing partners, we may under certain circumstances elect or be required to provide additional funds to these VIEs. At year-end 2012, these three VIEs have total assets of \$15,533,000, substantially all of which represent developed and undeveloped real estate and total liabilities of \$48,123,000, which includes \$31,792,000 of borrowings classified as current maturities. These amounts are included in other ventures in the combined summarized balance sheet information for ventures accounted for using the equity method in **Note 7**. At year-end 2012, our investment in these three VIEs is \$397,000 and is included in investment in unconsolidated ventures. In 2012, we contributed or advanced \$148,000 to these VIEs. Our maximum exposure to loss related to these VIEs is estimated at \$4,217,000, which exceeds our investment as we have a nominal general partner interest in two of these VIEs and could be held responsible for their liabilities. The maximum exposure to loss represents the maximum loss that we could be required to recognize assuming all the ventures' assets (principally real estate) are worthless, without consideration of the probability of a loss or of any actions we may take to mitigate any such loss.

Table of Contents**Note 18 Share-Based Compensation**

Share-based compensation expense consists of:

	2012	For the Year 2011 (In thousands)	2010
Cash-settled awards	\$ 6,465	\$ 1,095	\$ 6,023
Equity-settled awards	3,059	941	
Restricted stock	2,154	2,505	3,461
Stock options	3,251	2,526	2,112
	\$ 14,929	\$ 7,067	\$ 11,596

Share-based compensation expense is included in:

	2012	For the Year 2011 (In thousands)	2010
General and administrative	\$ 7,144	\$ 3,216	\$ 5,240
Other operating	7,785	3,851	6,356
	\$ 14,929	\$ 7,067	\$ 11,596

In 2012, share-based compensation expense increased principally as a result of increase in our stock price and its impact on cash-settled awards. In 2011, share-based compensation expense decreased principally as a result of a decline in our stock price and its impact on cash-settled awards.

The fair value of awards granted to retirement-eligible employees and expensed at the date of grant was \$595,000 in 2012, \$654,000 in 2011 and \$286,000 in 2010. Unrecognized share-based compensation expense related to non-vested equity-settled awards, restricted stock and stock options is \$8,579,000 at year-end 2012. The weighted average period over which this amount will be recognized is estimated to be two years. We did not capitalize any share-based compensation in 2012, 2011 or 2010.

In 2012 and 2011, we withheld 76,727 and 87,357 shares having a value of \$1,239,000 and \$1,547,000 in connection with vesting of restricted stock awards and exercises of stock options. These shares are accounted for as treasury stock and are reflected in financing activities in our consolidated statements of cash flows.

A summary of awards granted under our 2007 Stock Incentive Plan follows:

Cash-settled awards

Cash-settled awards granted to our employees in the form of restricted stock units or stock appreciation rights generally vest over three to four years from the date of grant and generally provide for accelerated vesting upon death, disability or if there is a change in control. Cash-settled awards granted in 2012 vest over one to three years from the date of grant. Vesting for some restricted stock unit awards is also conditioned upon achievement of a minimum one percent annualized return on assets over a three-year period. Cash-settled stock appreciation rights have a ten-year term, generally become exercisable ratably over four years and provide for accelerated or continued vesting upon retirement, death, disability or if there is a change in control. Stock appreciation rights were granted with an exercise price equal to the market value of our stock on the date of grant.

Cash-settled awards granted to our directors in the form of restricted stock units are fully vested at the time of grant and payable upon retirement.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the activity of cash-settled restricted stock unit awards in 2012:

	Equivalent Units (In thousands)	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value (Per unit)
Non-vested at beginning of period	449	\$13.13
Granted	187	16.11
Vested	(286)	10.32
Forfeited		
Non-vested at end of period	350	17.03

The weighted average grant date fair value of our non-vested cash-settled restricted stock unit awards at year-end 2011 was \$13.13 for 449,000 equivalent units and at year-end 2010 was \$11.88 for 376,000 equivalent units.

The following table summarizes the activity of cash-settled stock appreciation rights in 2012:

	Rights Outstanding (In thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price (Per share)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (In years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (Current Value Less Exercise Price) (In thousands)
Balance at beginning of period	895	\$11.31	7	\$3,986
Granted				
Exercised	(29)	9.29		
Forfeited				
Balance at end of period	866	11.38	6	5,256
Exercisable at end of period	618	10.90	6	\$4,027

The weighted average exercise price of our cash-settled stock appreciation rights at year-end 2011 was \$11.31 for 895,000 awards and at year-end 2010 was \$11.28 for 909,000 awards.

The fair value of awards settled in cash was \$5,299,000 in 2012, \$197,000 in 2011 and \$751,000 in 2010. At year-end 2012, the fair value of vested cash-settled awards is \$19,071,000 and is included in other liabilities. The aggregate current value of non-vested awards is \$7,278,000 at year-end 2012 based on a year-end stock price of \$17.33.

Equity-settled awards

Equity-settled awards granted to our employees include restricted stock units (RSU), which vest over or after three years from the date of grant, market-leveraged stock units (MSU), which vest after three years and performance stock units (PSU), which vest after three years from the date of grant if certain performance goals are met. The following table summarizes the activity of equity-settled awards in 2012:

	Equivalent Units (In thousands)	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value (Per unit)
Non-vested at beginning of period	159	\$ 20.74
Granted	368	17.01
Vested	(118)	15.17
Forfeited		
Non-vested at end of period	409	18.99

Table of Contents

In 2012, we granted a 41,031 performance stock unit award to be settled in common stock upon achievement of the performance goal over the performance measurement period of 3 years. The number of shares to be issued could range from a high of 92,320 shares to a low of no shares issued upon performance.

In 2012, we granted 154,900 MSU awards. These awards will be settled in common stock based upon our stock price performance over three years from the date of grant. The number of shares to be issued could range from a high of 232,370 shares if our stock price increases by 50 percent or more, to a low of 77,460 shares if our stock price decreases by 50 percent, or could be zero if our stock price decreases by more than 50 percent, the minimum threshold performance. MSU awards are valued using a Monte Carlo simulation pricing model, which includes expected stock price volatility and risk-free interest rate assumptions. Compensation expense is recognized regardless of achievement of performance conditions, provided the requisite service period is satisfied.

Unrecognized share-based compensation expense related to non-vested equity-settled awards is \$4,351,000 at year-end 2012. The weighted average period over which this amount will be recognized is estimated to be two years.

Restricted stock

Restricted stock awards vest either ratably over or after three years, generally if we achieve a minimum one percent annualized return on assets over such three-year period. The following table summarizes the activity of restricted stock awards in 2012:

	Restricted Shares (In thousands)	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value (Per unit)
Non-vested at beginning of period	399	\$ 15.02
Granted	9	15.33
Vested	(197)	12.97
Forfeited		
Non-vested at end of period	211	16.95

The weighted average grant date fair value of our non-vested restricted stock awards at year-end 2011 was \$15.02 for 399,000 non-vested restricted shares and at year-end 2010 was \$17.56 for 636,000 non-vested restricted shares.

Unrecognized share-based compensation expense related to non-vested restricted stock awards is \$585,000 at year-end 2012. The weighted average period over which this amount will be recognized is estimated to be one year.

Stock options

Stock options have a ten-year term, generally become exercisable ratably over four years and provide for accelerated or continued vesting upon retirement, death, disability or if there is a change in control. Options were granted with an exercise price equal to the market value of our stock on the date of grant. The following table summarizes the activity of stock option awards in 2012:

	Options Outstanding (In thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price (Per share)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (In years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (Current Value Less Exercise Price) (In thousands)
Balance at beginning of period	1,284	\$ 22.22	7	\$ 944

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

Granted	473	15.94		
Exercised				
Forfeited	(1)	16.89		
Balance at end of period	1,756	20.53	7	1,956
Exercisable at end of period	975	23.66	6	1,079

Table of Contents

We estimate the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and the following assumptions:

	2012	For the Year 2011	2010
Expected stock price volatility	60.2 %	56.2 %	51.0 %
Risk-free interest rate	1.3 %	2.4 %	2.3 %
Expected life of options (years)	6	6	6
Weighted average estimated fair value of options at grant date	\$ 9.22	\$ 10.11	\$ 8.98

We have limited historical experience as a stand-alone company so we utilized alternative methods in determining our valuation assumptions. The expected life was based on the simplified method utilizing the midpoint between the vesting period and the contractual life of the awards. In 2012 and 2011, the expected stock price volatility was based on a blended rate utilizing our historical volatility and historical prices of our peers common stock for a period corresponding to the expected life of the options. In 2010, the expected stock price volatility was based on historical prices of our peers common stock for a period corresponding to the expected life of the options. Pre-vesting forfeitures are estimated based upon the pool of participants and their expected activity and historical trends.

Unrecognized share-based compensation expense related to non-vested stock options is \$3,643,000 at year-end 2012. The weighted average period over which this amount will be recognized is estimated to be two years.

Pre-Spin Awards

Certain of our employees participated in Temple-Inland's share-based compensation plans. In conjunction with our 2007 spin-off, these awards were equitably adjusted into separate awards of the common stock of Temple-Inland and the spin-off entities. As a result of Temple-Inland's merger with International Paper in first quarter 2012, all outstanding awards on Temple-Inland stock were settled with an intrinsic value of \$1,132,000.

Pre-spin stock option awards to our employees to purchase our common stock have a ten-year term, generally become exercisable ratably over four years and provide for accelerated or continued vesting upon retirement, death, disability or if there is a change in control. At year-end 2012, there were 60,000 pre-spin awards outstanding and exercisable on our stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$25.21, weighted average remaining term of three years and aggregate intrinsic value of \$27,000.

The intrinsic value of options exercised was \$64,000 in 2012, \$766,000 in 2011 and \$578,000 in 2010.

Note 19 Retirement Plans

Our defined contribution retirement plans include a 401(k) plan, which is funded, and a supplemental plan for certain employees, which is unfunded. The expense of our defined contribution retirement plans was \$1,393,000 in 2012, \$924,000 in 2011 and \$679,000 in 2010. The unfunded liability for our supplemental plan was \$449,000 at year-end 2012 and \$369,000 at year-end 2011 and is included in other liabilities.

Note 20 Supplemental Oil and Natural Gas Disclosures (Unaudited)

The following unaudited information regarding our oil and natural gas reserves has been prepared and is presented pursuant to requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB).

We lease our mineral interests, principally in Texas and Louisiana, to third-party entities for the exploration and production of oil and natural gas. When we lease our mineral interests, we may negotiate a lease bonus payment and we retain a royalty interest and may take an additional participation in production, including a working interest in which we pay a share of the costs to drill, complete and operate a well and receive a proportionate share of the production revenues.

Table of Contents

On September 28, 2012, we acquired 100 percent of the outstanding common stock of Credo in an all cash transaction for \$14.50 per share, representing an equity purchase price of approximately \$146,445,000. In addition, we paid in full \$8,770,000 of Credo's outstanding debt. Credo is an independent oil and natural gas exploration, development and production company based in Denver, Colorado. The acquired assets include leasehold interests in the Bakken and Three Forks formations of North Dakota, the Lansing Kansas City formation in Kansas and Nebraska, and the Tonkawa and Cleveland formations in Texas.

We engaged independent petroleum engineers, Netherland, Sewell & Associates, Inc., to prepare estimates of our proved oil and natural gas reserves, all of which are located in the U.S., and future net cash flows as of year-end 2012, 2011 and 2010. These estimates were based on the economic and operating conditions existing at year-end 2012, 2011 and 2010. Proved developed reserves are those quantities of petroleum from existing wells and facilities, which by analysis of geosciences and engineering data, can be estimated with reasonable certainty to be commercially recoverable, from a given date forward for known reservoirs and under defined economic conditions, operating methods and government regulations.

SEC rules require disclosure of proved reserves using the twelve-month average beginning-of-month price (which we refer to as the average price) for the year. These same average prices also are used in calculating the amount of (and changes in) future net cash inflows related to the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows.

For 2012, 2011 and 2010, the average spot price per barrel of oil based on the West Texas Intermediate Crude price is \$94.71, \$92.71 and \$75.96 and the average price per MMBTU of natural gas based on the Henry Hub spot market is \$2.76, \$4.12 and \$4.38. All prices were adjusted for quality, transportation fees and regional price differentials.

The process of estimating proved reserves and future net cash flows is complex involving decisions and assumptions in evaluating the available engineering and geologic data and prices for oil and natural gas and the cost to produce these reserves and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. As a result, these estimates are imprecise and should be expected to change as future information becomes available. These changes could be significant. In addition, this information should not be construed as being the current fair market value of our proved reserves.

Table of Contents***Estimated Quantities of Proved Oil and Natural Gas Reserves***

Estimated quantities of proved oil and natural gas reserves are summarized as follows:

	Net Reserves	
	Oil	Natural
	(Barrels)	Gas
	(In thousands)	
Consolidated entities:		
Year-end 2009	580	6,660
Revisions of previous estimates	123	709
Extensions and discoveries	21	514
Production	(115)	(1,224)
Year-end 2010	609	6,659
Revisions of previous estimates	197	3
Extensions and discoveries	410	2,670
Production	(152)	(1,129)
Year-end 2011	1,064	8,203
Revisions of previous estimates	45	(2,163)
Extensions and discoveries	86	241
Acquisitions	2,396	7,109
Production	(371)	(1,668)
Year-end 2012	3,220	11,722
Our share of ventures accounted for using the equity method:		
Year-end 2009		2,508
Revisions of previous estimates		1,041
Extensions and discoveries		895
Production		(573)
Year-end 2010		3,871
Revisions of previous estimates		(95)
Extensions and discoveries		
Production		(493)
Year-end 2011		3,283
Revisions of previous estimates		(390)
Extensions and discoveries		
Production		(321)
Year-end 2012		2,572
Total consolidated and our share of equity method ventures:		
Year-end 2010 ^(a)	609	10,530
Year-end 2011 ^(a)	1,064	11,486
Year-end 2012		
Proved developed reserves	2,416	13,020
Proved undeveloped reserves	804	1,274
Total Year-end 2012	3,220	14,294

(a) In 2011 and 2010, consolidated entities and equity method ventures did not include any proved undeveloped reserves. In 2012, proved undeveloped reserves are a result of our acquisition of Credo.

We do not have any estimated reserves of synthetic oil, synthetic natural gas or products of other non-renewable natural resources that are intended to be upgraded into synthetic oil and natural gas.

In 2012, decreases in gas prices accounted for about 800,000 Mcf of downward revisions in natural gas reserves for our consolidated entities and about 330,000 Mcf of downward revisions for our equity method ventures. The remaining downward revisions in natural gas reserves for our consolidated entities were attributable to adverse performance from reducing the total fluid withdrawal rate in a natural water drive

Table of Contents

reservoir, adverse performance from increasing total fluid withdrawal rate in another natural water drive reservoir, from unfavorable performance from newer wells in over-pressured reservoirs that are exhibiting pressure-dependent permeability reductions, and generally due to higher operating pressures adversely affecting natural gas well performances in a higher back-pressure environment.

In 2011, increases in oil prices accounted for about 28,000 barrels of the upward revisions in oil reserves for our consolidated entities. The remaining upward revisions to oil reserves were attributable to continued improved response from a steam injection program, improved operational efficiencies from water drive reservoirs, improved performance of recently completed oil wells and generally from improved production performances as a result of more efficient operations driven by higher oil prices.

In 2010, increases in oil and natural gas prices accounted for about 27,000 barrels and about 475,000 Mcf of upward revisions in reserves for our consolidated entities. The remaining upward revisions to oil reserves were attributable to improved performance of natural water drive reservoirs, response from a lease steam injection program, a work-over and installation of gas lift valves on a high volume and high royalty interest well, improved performance from a well that came online in late 2009 and the associated natural gas liquids, reactivation of two abandoned oil wells, two recompletions, and generally from improved production performances as a result of more efficient operations driven by higher oil prices. The balance of the upward revisions to natural gas reserves is attributable to the associated natural gas from the upward revisions in oil reserves. For ventures accounted for by the equity method, increases in natural gas prices accounted for about 46,000 Mcf of the upward revisions in natural gas reserves and the remaining upward revisions in natural gas reserves are from better than expected performance from nine Barnett Shale wells that were classified as proved developed non-producing at year-end 2009. These long-lateral horizontal wells began production in first quarter 2010.

In 2012, 2011 and 2010, reserve additions from new wells drilled and completed during the year are shown for both consolidated entities and ventures accounted for using the equity method under extensions and discoveries for the royalty interest wells and in 2012 with the acquisition of Credo, working interest wells apply industry practices for new well classifications. There were 27 new well additions in 2012, 36 new well additions in 2011 and 22 new well additions in 2010.

Capitalized Costs Relating to Oil and Natural Gas Producing Activities

Capitalized costs related to our oil and natural gas producing activities are as follows:

	At Year-End	
	2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
Consolidated entities:		
Unproved oil and natural gas properties	\$ 81,672	\$ 714
Proved oil and natural gas properties	81,412	4,002
Total costs	163,084	4,716
Less accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization	(4,657)	(155)
Net capitalized costs	\$ 158,427	\$ 4,561

We have not capitalized any costs for our share in ventures accounted for using the equity method. Proved and unproved oil and natural gas properties increased in 2012 principally due to our acquisition of Credo. At year-end 2012, oil and natural gas properties and equipment includes approximately \$150,223,000 in net carrying value arising out of the Credo acquisition.

Table of Contents**Costs Incurred in Oil and Natural Gas Property Acquisition, Exploration and Development**

Costs incurred in oil and natural gas property acquisition, exploration and development activities, whether capitalized or expensed, follows:

	2012	For the Year 2011 (In thousands)	2010
Consolidated entities:			
Acquisition of properties	\$ 4,418	\$ 714	\$
Exploration costs	1,752	549	
Development costs	15,938	3,597	5
Total cost incurred for consolidated entities	\$ 22,108	\$ 4,860	\$ 5

We have not incurred any costs for our share in ventures accounted for using the equity method. In 2012, acquisition of leasehold interests, exploration expenses, and development costs have increased as a result of our increased focus on these activities to increase production, reserves, and add net asset value, and also to explore and develop the assets acquired from Credo. In 2011, acquisition of properties represents unproved leasehold associated with 13,000 net mineral acres acquired in Alabama and Georgia. In 2011, the increase in development costs when compared with 2010 was due to our participation as a non-operating working interest owner in two wells during 2011.

Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows

Estimates of future cash flows from proved oil and natural gas reserves are shown in the following table. Estimated income taxes are calculated by applying the appropriate tax rates to the estimated future pre-tax net cash flows less depreciation of the tax basis of properties and the statutory depletion allowance.

	2012	At Year-End 2011 (In thousands)	2010
Consolidated entities:			
Future cash inflows	\$ 322,098	\$ 142,043	\$ 74,264
Future production and development costs	(104,441)	(18,929)	(9,003)
Future income tax expenses	(50,350)	(38,681)	(20,570)
Future net cash flows	167,307	84,433	44,691
10% annual discount for estimated timing of cash flows	(60,764)	(31,735)	(17,881)
Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows	\$ 106,543	\$ 52,698	\$ 26,810
Our share in ventures accounted for using the equity method:			
Future cash inflows	\$ 5,125	\$ 12,346	\$ 15,748
Future production and development costs	(551)	(1,731)	(3,545)
Future income tax expenses	(1,738)	(3,154)	(3,542)
Future net cash flows	2,836	7,461	8,661
10% annual discount for estimated timing of cash flows	(1,423)	(3,953)	(4,334)
Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows	\$ 1,413	\$ 3,508	\$ 4,327
Total consolidated and our share of equity method ventures	\$ 107,956	\$ 56,206	\$ 31,137

Future net cash flows were computed using prices used in estimating proved oil and natural gas reserves, year-end costs, and statutory tax rates (adjusted for tax deductions) that relate to proved oil and natural gas reserves.

Table of Contents

Changes in the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flow follow:

	Consolidated	For the Year Our Share of Equity Method Ventures (In thousands)	Total
Year-end 2009	\$ 21,138	\$ 2,672	\$ 23,810
Changes resulting from:			
Net change in sales prices and production costs	9,929	939	10,868
Sales of oil and natural gas, net of production costs	(12,690)	(2,104)	(14,794)
Net change due to extensions and discoveries	2,148	1,526	3,674
Net change due to revisions of quantity estimates	9,153	2,224	11,377
Accretion of discount	2,340	279	2,619
Net change in income taxes	(5,208)	(1,209)	(6,417)
Aggregate change for the year	5,672	1,655	7,327
Year-end 2010	26,810	4,327	31,137
Changes resulting from:			
Net change in sales prices and production costs	8,476	153	8,629
Sales of oil and natural gas, net of production costs	(17,747)	(1,622)	(19,369)
Net change due to extensions and discoveries	32,671		32,671
Net change due to revisions of quantity estimates	17,586	(204)	17,382
Accretion of discount	3,013	466	3,479
Net change in income taxes	(18,111)	388	(17,723)
Aggregate change for the year	25,888	(819)	25,069
Year-end 2011	52,698	3,508	56,206
Changes resulting from:			
Net change in sales prices and production costs	(5,709)	(2,497)	(8,206)
Net change in future development costs	(1,834)		(1,834)
Sales of oil and natural gas, net of production costs	(31,732)	(632)	(32,364)
Net change due to extensions and discoveries	5,596		5,596
Net change due to acquisition of reserves	86,013		86,013
Net change due to revisions of quantity estimates	(2,254)	18	(2,236)
Previously estimated development costs incurred	1,007		1,007
Accretion of discount	7,377	401	7,778
Net change in income taxes	(4,619)	615	(4,004)
Aggregate change for the year	53,845	(2,095)	51,750
Year-end 2012	\$ 106,543	\$ 1,413	\$ 107,956

Results of Operations for Oil and Natural Gas Producing Activities

Our royalty interests are contractually defined and based on a percentage of production at prevailing market prices. We receive our percentage of production in cash. Similarly, our working interests and the associated net revenue interests are contractually defined and we pay our proportionate share of the capital and operating costs to develop and operate the well and we market our share of the production. Our revenues fluctuate based on changes in the market prices for oil and natural gas, the inevitable decline in production in existing wells, and other factors affecting oil and natural gas exploration and production activities, including the cost of development and production.

Table of Contents

Information about the results of operations of our oil and natural gas interests follow:

	2012	For the Year 2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Consolidated entities^(a)			
Revenues	\$ 36,204	\$ 19,239	\$ 13,724
Production costs	(4,472)	(1,492)	(1,032)
Exploration expenses	(1,754)	(549)	
Depreciation, depletion, amortization	(4,931)	(337)	(334)
Oil and natural gas administrative expenses	(8,332)	(4,445)	(3,295)
Income tax expenses	(4,841)	(3,645)	(2,637)
Results of operations	\$ 11,874	\$ 8,771	\$ 6,426
Our share in ventures accounted for using the equity method:			
Royalty revenues	\$ 770	\$ 1,882	\$ 2,359
Production costs	(138)	(260)	(255)
Exploration expenses			
Depreciation, depletion, amortization			
Oil and natural gas administrative expenses	(123)	(228)	(70)
Income tax expenses	(147)	(400)	(605)
Results of operations	\$ 362	\$ 994	\$ 1,429
Total results of operations	\$ 12,326	\$ 9,765	\$ 7,855

^(a) 2012 includes only three months of operations from Credo due to our September 28, 2012 acquisition. Production costs represent our share of oil and natural gas production severance taxes, and lease operating expenses.

Oil and natural gas produced and average unit prices related to our royalty and working interests follows:

	2012	For the Year 2011	2010
Consolidated entities:			
Oil production (barrels)	371,300	151,900	115,400
Average price per barrel	\$ 85.09	\$ 96.84	\$ 73.09
Natural gas production (millions of cubic feet)	1,667.7	1,128.6	1,223.6
Average price per thousand cubic feet	\$ 2.76	\$ 4.01	\$ 4.32
Our share of ventures accounted for using the equity method:			
Natural gas production (millions of cubic feet)	321.3	493.4	572.8
Average price per thousand cubic feet	\$ 2.40	\$ 3.81	\$ 4.12
Total consolidated and our share of equity method ventures:			
Oil production (barrels)	371,300	151,900	115,400
Average price per barrel	\$ 85.09	\$ 96.84	\$ 73.09
Natural gas production (millions of cubic feet)	1,989.0	1,622.0	1,796.4
Average price per thousand cubic feet	\$ 2.71	\$ 3.95	\$ 4.26
Total BOE (barrel of oil equivalent) ^(a)	702,800	422,200	414,800
Average price per barrel of oil equivalent	\$ 52.61	\$ 50.02	\$ 38.77

^(a) Natural gas is converted to barrels of oil equivalent (BOE) using the conversion of six Mcf to one barrel of oil.

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

In fourth quarter 2012, operations acquired from Credo produced approximately 116,600 barrels of oil at an average price of \$79.94 per barrel and 225 MMcf of natural gas at an average price of \$3.64 per Mcf.

Table of Contents**Note 21 Summary of Quarterly Results of Operations (Unaudited)**

Summarized quarterly financial results for 2012 and 2011 follows:

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)			
2012				
Total revenues	\$ 28,092	\$ 35,312	\$ 40,610	\$ 68,577
Gross profit	16,258	18,748	20,636	33,073
Operating income (loss)	8,220	3,959	4,843	10,143
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated ventures	724	768	680	12,297
Income (loss) before taxes	5,117	2,203	(1,458)	20,030
Net income (loss) attributable to Forestar Group Inc.	2,802	811	(703)	10,032
Net income (loss) per share basic	0.08	0.02	(0.02)	0.28
Net income (loss) per share diluted	0.08	0.02	(0.02)	0.28
2011				
Total revenues	\$ 29,840	\$ 25,485	\$ 26,241	\$ 54,007
Gross profit	18,629	14,405	12,928	22,646
Operating income (loss)	984	(2,927)	60,171	(113)
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated ventures	582	402	648	(30,841)
Income (loss) before taxes	(2,416)	(7,154)	56,574	(34,742)
Net income (loss) attributable to Forestar Group Inc.	(2,473)	(3,921)	36,428	(22,880)
Net income (loss) per share basic	(0.07)	(0.11)	1.03	(0.65)
Net income (loss) per share diluted	(0.07)	(0.11)	1.02	(0.65)

Note 22 Subsequent Event

On February 20, 2013, we entered into an underwriting agreement to sell \$125,000,000 aggregate principal amount of 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2020 (Notes), which includes \$15,000,000 aggregate principal amount as a result of the underwriters exercising in full their option to purchase additional Notes. We closed the sale of the Notes on February 26, 2013.

The Notes will pay interest semiannually at a rate of 3.75 percent per annum and will mature on March 1, 2020. The Notes will have an initial conversion rate of 40.8351 per \$1,000 principal amount (equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$24.49 per share of common stock and a conversion premium of 37.5 percent based on the closing share price of \$17.81 per share of our common stock on February 20, 2013). The initial conversion rate is subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of certain events. Prior to November 1, 2019, the Notes will be convertible only upon certain circumstances, and thereafter will be convertible at any time prior to the close of business on the second scheduled trading day prior to maturity. Upon conversion, holders will receive cash, shares of our common stock or a combination thereof at our election.

Net proceeds from the offering were used to repay \$68,000,000 under our revolving line of credit, and we also intend to use net proceeds for general corporate purposes, including investments in oil and natural gas exploration and drilling and real estate acquisition and development.

Table of Contents**Forestar Group Inc.****Schedule III Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation****Year-End 2012****(In thousands)**

Description	Encumbrances	Initial Cost to Company		Costs Capitalized		Gross Amount Carried at End of Period			Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction	Date Acquired
		Land	Buildings & Improvements	Subsequent to Acquisition Improvements less Cost of Sales and Other	Carrying Costs	Land & Improvements	Buildings & Improvements	Total			
Entitled, Developed, and Under Development Projects:											
CALIFORNIA											
<i>Contra Costa County</i>											
San Joaquin River		\$ 12,225		\$ (3,310)		\$ 8,915		\$ 8,915			(b)
COLORADO											
<i>Douglas County</i>											
Pinery West		7,308		2,219		9,527		9,527		2006	2006
<i>Weld County</i>											
Buffalo Highlands		3,001		575		3,576		3,576		2006	2005
Johnstown Farms		2,749		2,643	\$ 188	5,580		5,580		2002	2002
Stonebraker		3,878		(1,271)		2,607		2,607		2005	2005
FLORIDA											
<i>Hillsborough County</i>											
Bridle Path Estates		2,683				2,683		2,683			2012
GEORGIA											
<i>Bartow County</i>											
Towne West		936		923		1,859		1,859			(b)
Euharlee North		269		138		407		407			(b)
Parkside at Woodbury		134		374		508		508			(b)
<i>Coweta County</i>											
Cedar Creek Preserve		852		228		1,080		1,080			(b)
Corinth Landing		607		585		1,192		1,192			(b)
<i>Coweta South</i>											
Industrial Park		532		477		1,009		1,009			(b)
Fox Hall		166		2,239		2,405		2,405			(b)
Genesee		480		1,176		1,656		1,656			(b)
<i>Dawson County</i>											
Woodlands at Burt Creek		71		1,670		1,741		1,741			(b)
TEXAS											
<i>Bastrop County</i>											
Hunters Crossing		3,613		7,593	358	11,564		11,564		2001	2001
The Colony		8,726		12,575	161	21,462		21,462		1999	1999
<i>Bexar County</i>											
Cibolo Canyons		25,569		50,978	1,549	78,096		78,096		2004	1986
<i>Calhoun County</i>											
Caracol	\$ 6,517	8,603		3,953	2,047	14,603		14,603		2006	2006
Harbor Mist		2,822		(2,822)							2007

Table of Contents**Forestar Group Inc.****Schedule III Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation****Year-End 2012****(In thousands)**

Description	Encumbrances	Initial Cost to Company			Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition		Gross Amount Carried at End of Period		Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction Acquired	Date
		Land	Improvements	Buildings & Other	Carrying Costs ^(a)	Land & Improvements	Buildings & Improvements	Total			
Collin County											
Lakes of Prosper		\$ 8,951		\$ 7		\$ 8,958		\$ 8,958			2012
Maxwell Creek		9,904		(4,204)	\$ 635	6,335		6,335		2000	2000
Timber Creek	\$ 3,431	7,282		3,053		10,335		10,335		2007	2007
Village Park		6,550		(129)	81	6,502		6,502			2012
Comal County											
Oak Creek Estates		1,921		1,892	175	3,988		3,988		2006	2005
Dallas County											
Stoney Creek		12,822		1,324	158	14,304		14,304		2007	2007
Denton County											
Lantana	5,403	31,451		831		32,282		32,282		2000	1999
The Preserve at Pecan Creek		5,855		857	436	7,148		7,148		2006	2005
Fort Bend County											
Summer Lakes		4,269		(1,003)		3,266		3,266			2012
Summer Park		4,803		(1,381)		3,422		3,422			2012
Willow Creek Farms	113	3,479		2,161		5,640		5,640			2012
Harris County											
Barrington		8,950		(1,720)		7,230		7,230			2011
City Park	28	3,946		(2,732)	1,641	2,855		2,855		2002	2001
Hays County											
Arrowhead Ranch		12,856		1,978		14,834		14,834			2007
Hood County											
Harbor Lakes		3,514		355	312	4,181		4,181		2000	1998
Nueces County											
Tortuga Dunes		12,080		10,289		22,369		22,369			2006
Tarrant County											
Summer Creek Ranch		2,887		(482)		2,405		2,405			2012
The Bar C Ranch		1,365		24		1,389		1,389			2012
Williamson County											
Westside at Buttercup Creek		13,149		(10,573)	488	3,064		3,064		1993	1993
Chandler Road Properties	400	3,552		(546)		3,006		3,006		2004	2004
La Conterra		4,023		1,743	293	6,059		6,059			2006
MISSOURI											
Clay County											
Somerbrook		3,061		(218)	13	2,856		2,856		2003	2001
Other		27,390		(9,284)	823	18,929		18,929			

Total Entitled, Developed, and Under Development Projects	\$	15,892	\$	279,284	\$	73,185	\$	9,358	\$	361,827	\$	361,827	\$
--	----	--------	----	---------	----	--------	----	-------	----	---------	----	---------	----

Table of Contents**Forestar Group Inc.****Schedule III Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation****Year-End 2012****(In thousands)**

Description	Encumbrances	Initial Cost to Company		Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition		Gross Amount Carried at End of Period			Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction	Date Acquired
		Land	Improvements	Improvements	less Cost of Sales and Carrying Costs ^(a)	Land & Improvements	Buildings & Improvements	Total			
Undeveloped Land and land in entitlement:											
CALIFORNIA											
Los Angeles County											
Land In Entitlement Process		\$ 3,969		\$ 11,393		\$ 15,362		\$ 15,362			1997
GEORGIA											
Bartow County											
Undeveloped Land		4,055		85		4,140		4,140			
Carroll County											
Undeveloped Land		6,619		131		6,750		6,750			
Land In Entitlement Process		9,309		2,343		11,652		11,652			
Cherokee County											
Undeveloped Land		3,522		98		3,620		3,620			
Land In Entitlement Process		2,400		568		2,968		2,968			
Coweta County											
Undeveloped Land		473		318		791		791			
Land In Entitlement Process		2,128		412		2,540		2,540			
Dawson County											
Undeveloped Land		2,394		1,761		4,155		4,155			
Gilmer County											
Undeveloped Land		2,908		22		2,930		2,930			
Lumpkin County											
Undeveloped Land		3,117		4		3,121		3,121			
Paulding County											
Undeveloped Land		1,406		242		1,648		1,648			
Pickens County											
Undeveloped Land		2,400		29		2,429		2,429			
TEXAS											
Bexar County											
Undeveloped Land				1,588		1,588		1,588			
Harris County											
Land in Entitlement Process		685		1,141		1,826		1,826			
San Augustine County											
Undeveloped Land		1,610				1,610		1,610			
Other											
Undeveloped Land		9,692		4,114		13,806		13,806			
Land in Entitlement Process		3,179		(1,427)		1,752		1,752			

Total Undeveloped Land and land in entitlement	\$	\$ 59,866	\$	\$ 22,822	\$	\$ 82,688	\$	\$ 82,688	\$
---	----	-----------	----	-----------	----	-----------	----	-----------	----

Table of Contents**Forestar Group Inc.****Schedule III Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation****Year-End 2012****(In thousands)**

Description	Encumbrance	Initial Cost to Company		Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition		Gross Amount Carried at End of Period			Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction	Date Acquired
		Land	Buildings & Improvements	Improvements less Cost of Sales and Other	Carrying Costs ^(a)	Land & Improvements	Buildings & Improvements	Total			
Income Producing Properties:											
NORTH CAROLINA											
<i>Mechlanburg County</i>											
East Morehead		\$ 5,779		\$ 175		\$ 5,954		\$ 5,954			2012
TENNESSEE											
<i>Davidson County</i>											
Westmont		11,546		(124)		11,422		11,422			2012
TEXAS											
<i>Dallas County</i>											
Cedar Hill		2,267		1,231		3,498		3,498			2011
<i>Travis County</i>											
Radisson Hotel & Suites	15,400		\$ 10,603	35,668			\$ 46,271	46,271	\$ (26,279)		
Promesa	18,771	2,872		27,226	\$ 551	30,649		30,649	(592)	2006	2006
<i>Hood County</i>											
Harbor Lakes Golf Club			1,446	1,615			3,061	3,061	(1,349)	2000	1998
Total Income Producing Properties	\$ 34,171	\$ 22,464	\$ 12,049	\$ 65,791	\$ 551	\$ 51,523	\$ 49,332	\$ 100,855	\$ (28,220)		
Total	\$ 50,063	\$ 361,614	\$ 12,049	\$ 161,798	\$ 9,909	\$ 496,038	\$ 49,332	\$ 545,370	\$ (28,220)		

(a) We do not capitalize carrying costs until development begins.

(b) The acquisition date for this land is not available.

Table of Contents**Reconciliation of real estate:**

	2012	2011 (In thousands)	2010
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 592,322	\$ 585,090	\$ 567,229
Amounts capitalized	143,711	66,338	65,024
Amounts retired or adjusted	(190,663)	(59,106)	(47,163)
Balance at close of period	\$ 545,370	\$ 592,322	\$ 585,090

Reconciliation of accumulated depreciation:

	2012	2011 (In thousands)	2010
Balance at beginning of year	\$ (26,955)	\$ (23,438)	\$ (24,417)
Depreciation expense	(3,640)	(3,547)	(2,582)
Amounts retired or adjusted	2,375	30	3,561
Balance at close of period	\$ (28,220)	\$ (26,955)	\$ (23,438)

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

(a) Disclosure controls and procedures

Our management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (or the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act and are effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Internal control over financial reporting

Management's report on internal control over financial reporting is included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(c) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

We completed the acquisition of CREDO Petroleum Corporation (Credo) in September 2012. Due to the timing of the acquisition we have excluded Credo from our evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. For the period ended and as of December 31, 2012, Credo net revenues and assets represented approximately 6 percent of revenues and 21 percent of total assets.

Item **9B. Other Information.**
None.

Table of Contents**PART III****Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.**

Set forth below is certain information about the members of our Board of Directors:

Name	Age	Year First Elected to the Board	Principal Occupation
Kenneth M. Jastrow, II	65	2007	Non-Executive Chairman of Forestar Group Inc.
Kathleen Brown	67	2007	Chairman of Investment Banking for the Midwest Region, Goldman, Sachs & Co.
William G. Currie	65	2007	Chairman of Universal Forest Products, Inc.
James M. DeCosmo	54	2007	President and Chief Executive Officer of Forestar Group Inc.
Michael E. Dougherty	72	2008	Founder and Chairman of Dougherty Financial Group LLC
James A. Johnson	69	2007	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Johnson Capital Partners
Charles W. Matthews	68	2012	Former Vice President and General Counsel of Exxon Mobil Corporation
William C. Powers, Jr.	66	2007	President of The University of Texas at Austin
James A. Rubright	66	2007	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Rock-Tenn Company
Richard M. Smith	67	2007	President of Pinkerton Foundation
Carl A. Thomason	60	2012	President of Great Northern Gathering and Marketing, LLC

The remaining information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from our definitive proxy statement, involving the election of directors, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A with the SEC not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K (or Definitive Proxy Statement). Certain information required by this item concerning executive officers is included in Part I of this report.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from our Definitive Proxy Statement.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Table of Contents**Equity Compensation Plan Information**

We have only one equity compensation plan, the Forestar 2007 Stock Incentive Plan. It was approved by our sole stockholder prior to spin-off and material terms and amendments thereto were subsequently approved by our stockholders. Information at year-end 2012 about our equity compensation plan under which our common stock may be issued follows:

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ (a)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	2,715,120	\$ 21.96	2,408,087
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	None	None	None
Total	2,715,120	\$ 21.96	2,408,087

(1) Includes approximately 1,107,000 issuable to personnel of Temple-Inland and the other spin-off entity resulting from the equitable adjustment of Temple-Inland equity awards in connection with our spin-off.

(2) Includes approximately 129,000 equity-settled restricted stock units and 124,700 market-leveraged stock units, which are excluded from the calculation of weighted-average exercise price. The market-leveraged stock unit awards will be settled in common stock based upon our stock price performance over three years from the date of grant. The number of shares to be issued could range from a high of 187,050 shares if our stock price increases by 50 percent or more, to a low of 62,350 shares if our stock price decreases by 50 percent, or could be zero if our stock price decreases by more than 50 percent, the minimum threshold performance.

The remaining information required by this item is incorporated by reference from our Definitive Proxy Statement.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from our Definitive Proxy Statement.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from our Definitive Proxy Statement.

PART IV**Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.**

(a) Documents filed as part of this report.

(1) Financial Statements

Our Consolidated Financial Statements are included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

Schedule III Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation is included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Schedules other than those listed above are omitted as the required information is either inapplicable or the information is presented in our Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits

The exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index in (b) below are filed or incorporated by reference as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(b) Exhibits

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated June 3, 2012, by and among CREDO Petroleum Corporation, Forestar Group Inc. and Longhorn Acquisition Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on June 4, 2012).
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 11, 2007).
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 11, 2007).
3.3	First Amendment to Amended and Restated Bylaws of Forestar Real Estate Group Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on February 19, 2008).
3.4	Certificate of Designation of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 11, 2007).
3.5	Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Bylaws of Forestar Real Estate Group Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 5, 2009).
3.6	Certificate of Ownership and Merger, dated November 21, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on November 24, 2008).
3.7	Third Amendment to Amended and Restated Bylaws of Forestar Group Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on November 24, 2008).
3.8	Fourth Amendment to the Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on November 26, 2012).
4.1	Specimen Certificate for shares of common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, of Forestar Real Estate Group Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Amendment No. 5 to the Company's Form 10 filed with the Commission on December 10, 2007).
4.2	Rights Agreement, dated December 11, 2007, between Forestar Real Estate Group Inc. and Computershare Trust Company, N.A., as Rights Agent (including Form of Rights Certificate) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 11, 2007).
4.3	Indenture, dated February 26, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on February 26, 2013).
4.4	Supplemental Indenture, dated February 26, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on February 26, 2013).
4.5	Form of 3.75% Convertible Senior Note due 2020 (included in Exhibit 4.4 above) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on February 26, 2013).
10.1	Employee Matters Agreement, dated December 11, 2007, among Forestar Real Estate Group Inc., Guaranty Financial Group Inc., and Temple Inland Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 11, 2007).
10.2	Form of Forestar Real Estate Group Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of Amendment No. 5 to the Company's Form 10 filed with the Commission on December 10, 2007).
10.3 *	Amendment No. 1 to Forestar Group Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan.
10.4	Form of Forestar Real Estate Group 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of Amendment No. 5 to the Company's Form 10 filed with the Commission on December 10, 2007).

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit
10.5	Form of Forestar Real Estate Group Director's Fee Deferral Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of Amendment No. 5 to the Company's Form 10 filed with the Commission on December 10, 2007).
10.6	Form of Indemnification Agreement to be entered into between the Company and each of its directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of Amendment No. 5 to the Company's Form 10 filed with the Commission on December 10, 2007).
10.7	Form of Change in Control Agreement between the Company and its named executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of Amendment No. 5 to the Company's Form 10 filed with the Commission on December 10, 2007).
10.8	Employment Agreement between the Company and James M. DeCosmo dated August 9, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of Amendment No. 5 to the Company's Form 10 filed with the Commission on December 10, 2007).
10.9	Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 5, 2009).
10.10 *	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement.
10.11 *	Form of Restricted Stock Units Agreement.
10.12	Form of Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on February 12, 2009).
10.13	First Amendment to Forestar Group Inc. Director's Fee Deferral Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 5, 2009).
10.14	First Amendment to the Forestar Real Estate Group Inc. 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on May 13, 2009).
10.15	Second Amendment to the Forestar Group Inc. 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 3, 2010).
10.16	Severance Agreement dated October 12, 2009, by and between the Company and Phillip J. Weber (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 2, 2011).
10.17	First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of November 10, 2010, by and between the Company and James M. DeCosmo (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 2, 2011).
10.18 *	Form of Market-Leveraged Stock Unit Award Agreement.
10.19 *	Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into between the Company and each of its executive officers.
10.20	Assignment and Assumption of Membership Interest dated January 20, 2012, executed by Forestar (USA) Real Estate Group Inc. and Dimensional Fund Advisors LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on January 24, 2012).
10.21	Underwriting Agreement, dated as of February 20, 2013, by and between the Company and Goldman, Sachs & Co. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on February 26, 2013).
10.22	Second Amended and Restated Revolving and Term Credit Agreement dated September 14, 2012, by and among the Company; Forestar (USA) Real Estate Group Inc. and certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries signatory thereto; KeyBank National Association, as lender, swing line lender and agent, the lenders party thereto; and the other parties thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on September 17, 2012).
10.23	Consulting Agreement, dated effective as of October 1, 2012, by and between Forestar (USA) Real Estate Group Inc. and Craig A. Knight (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on November 9, 2012).

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit
10.24	Guaranty Agreement dated June 28, 2012 by Forestar (USA) Real Estate Group. in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on June 29, 2012).
10.25	Voting Agreement, dated June 3, 2012, by and among Forestar Group Inc., James T. Huffman, RCH Energy Opportunity Fund III, LP and RCH Energy SSI Fund, LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on June 4, 2012).
10.26	Guaranty Agreement dated May 24, 2012 by Forestar (USA) Real Estate Group Inc. in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on May 29, 2012).
10.27	Purchase and Sale Agreement dated February 20, 2012, by and among Forestar (USA) Real Estate Group Inc., CL Realty, L.L.C., and Cousins Real Estate Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on May 10, 2012).
10.28	Purchase and Sale Agreement dated February 20, 2012, by and among Forestar Realty Inc., Temco Associates, LLC, and Cousins Real Estate Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on May 10, 2012).
21.1*	List of Subsidiaries of the Company.
23.1*	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.
23.2*	Consent of Netherland, Sewell & Associates, Inc.
31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act rule 13a-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act rule 13a-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.1	Reserve report of Netherland, Sewell & Associates, Inc., dated February 14, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on February 15, 2013).
101.1*	The following materials from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income, (iii) Consolidated Statement of Equity (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (iv) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

* Filed herewith.

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

FORESTAR GROUP INC.

By: */s/ James M. DeCosmo*
James M. DeCosmo
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 14, 2013

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Capacity	Date
<i>/s/ James M. DeCosmo</i> James M. DeCosmo	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	March 14, 2013
<i>/s/ Christopher L. Nines</i> Christopher L. Nines	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	March 14, 2013
<i>/s/ Charles D. Jehl</i> Charles D. Jehl	Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)	March 14, 2013
<i>/s/ Kenneth M. Jastrow, II</i> Kenneth M. Jastrow, II	Non-Executive Chairman of the Board	March 14, 2013
<i>/s/ Kathleen Brown</i> Kathleen Brown	Director	March 14, 2013
<i>/s/ William G. Currie</i> William G. Currie	Director	March 14, 2013
<i>/s/ Michael E. Dougherty</i> Michael E. Dougherty	Director	March 14, 2013
<i>/s/ James A. Johnson</i> James A. Johnson	Director	March 14, 2013
<i>/s/ Charles W. Matthews</i> Charles W. Matthews	Director	March 14, 2013
<i>/s/ William C. Powers, Jr.</i> William C. Powers, Jr.	Director	March 14, 2013
<i>/s/ James A. Rubright</i> James A. Rubright	Director	March 14, 2013

Edgar Filing: Forestar Group Inc. - Form 10-K

/s/ Richard M. Smith
Richard M. Smith

Director

March 14, 2013

/s/ Carl A. Thomason
Carl A. Thomason

Director

March 14, 2013