

FNB CORP/PA/
Form 10-K
February 23, 2017
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016

Commission file number 001-31940

F.N.B. CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Pennsylvania

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

25-1255406

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**12 Federal Street, One North Shore Center, Pittsburgh,
PA**

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area

code:

15212

(Zip Code)

800-555-5455

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

Depository Shares each representing a 1/40th interest in a

share of Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual

Name of Exchange on which Registered

New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange

Preferred Stock, Series E, par value \$0.01 per share

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/PA/ - Form 10-K

required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's outstanding voting common stock held by non-affiliates on June 30, 2016, determined using a per share closing price on that date of \$12.54, as quoted on the New York Stock Exchange, was \$2,526,762,411.

As of January 31, 2017, the registrant had outstanding 211,102,122 shares of common stock.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of F.N.B. Corporation's definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 17, 2017 are incorporated by reference into Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. F.N.B. Corporation will file its definitive proxy statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before April 15, 2017.

Table of Contents**INDEX**

| | PAGE |
|--|-------------|
| PART I | |
| Item 1. <u>Business.</u> | 3 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors.</u> | 24 |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments.</u> | 38 |
| Item 2. <u>Properties.</u> | 38 |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings.</u> | 38 |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures.</u> | 38 |
| PART II | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.</u> | 39 |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data.</u> | 41 |
| Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.</u> | 42 |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.</u> | 79 |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.</u> | 80 |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.</u> | 156 |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures.</u> | 156 |
| Item 9B. <u>Other Information.</u> | 157 |
| PART III | |
| Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.</u> | 157 |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation.</u> | 157 |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.</u> | 157 |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.</u> | 158 |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services.</u> | 158 |
| PART IV | |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.</u> | 158 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 159 |
| <u>Index to Exhibits</u> | 161 |

Table of Contents

PART I

Forward-Looking Statements: From time to time F.N.B. Corporation has made and may continue to make written or oral forward-looking statements with respect to our outlook or expectations for earnings, revenues, expenses, capital levels, asset quality or other future financial or business performance, strategies or expectations, or the impact of legal, regulatory or supervisory matters on our business operations or performance. This Annual Report on Form 10-K (the Report) also includes forward-looking statements. See Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information in Item 7 of this Report.

The terms FNB, the Corporation, we, us and our throughout this Report mean F.N.B. Corporation and its subsidiaries when appropriate.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

We are a financial holding company under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (GLB Act). We were formed in 1974 as a bank holding company and are headquartered in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. We completed a redomestication from the State of Florida to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on August 30, 2016. The redomestication was effected pursuant to a plan of conversion approved by our Board of Directors and stockholders. As a result of the redomestication, we are organized under and subject to Pennsylvania law, and remain the same entity that existed before the redomestication, with the same legal existence without interruption, and are deemed to have commenced our existence as the time we were incorporated under Florida law in 2001. We were originally incorporated in 1974 in Pennsylvania and reincorporated in Florida in 2001 after experiencing substantial growth of our business and operations in Florida in prior years. In 2004, we spun-off our Florida operations in a newly formed public company and refocused on growing our markets in Pennsylvania. Since that time, the majority of our assets, operations and employees have been located in Pennsylvania. Since closing our Florida commercial loan office in 2013 (which had been maintained solely to manage a small portfolio of Florida commercial real estate loans originated from 2004 to 2009), we have no operations or employees in Florida.

The redomestication did not cause any change in the business, physical location, management, assets, debts or liabilities of FNB. All individuals who served as directors, officers and employees of FNB prior to the redomestication continued to serve in those capacities after the redomestication. Except for the change in the state law governing our legal existence, the redomestication did not affect our common stock or Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series E shares or the trading of those securities on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbols FNB and FNBPrE, respectively.

We have four reportable business segments: Community Banking, Wealth Management, Insurance and Consumer Finance. As of December 31, 2016, we have 324 Community Banking offices in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Maryland and West Virginia, and 77 Consumer Finance offices in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Tennessee and Kentucky.

As a diversified financial services holding company, FNB, through our subsidiaries, provides a full range of financial services, principally to consumers, corporations, governments and small- to medium-sized businesses in our market areas. Our business strategy focuses primarily on providing quality, consumer- and commercial-based financial services adapted to the needs of each of the markets we serve. We seek to maintain our community orientation by providing local management with certain autonomy in decision making, enabling them to respond to customer requests more quickly and to concentrate on transactions within their market areas. We seek to preserve some decision

making at a local level, however, we have centralized legal, loan review, credit underwriting, accounting, investment, audit, loan operations, deposit operations and data processing functions. The centralization of these processes enables us to maintain consistent quality of these functions and to achieve certain economies of scale.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2016, we had total assets of \$21.8 billion, loans of \$14.9 billion and deposits of \$16.1 billion. See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, of this Report.

Recent Developments

We seek to grow organically and by opportunistic acquisitions. Since December 31, 2015, our total assets have grown \$4.3 billion, or 24.4%, through a combination of organic growth and acquisitions. Descriptions of the acquisitions completed during 2016 are provided below.

Branch Purchase Fifth Third Bank

On April 22, 2016, we completed our purchase of 17 branch-banking locations and related consumer loans in the Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania metropolitan area from Fifth Third Bank (Fifth Third). The fair value of the acquired assets totaled \$316.6 million, including \$198.9 million in cash, \$97.7 million in loans and \$14.1 million in fixed and other assets. We also assumed \$302.5 million in deposits, for which we paid a deposit premium of 1.97%, as part of the transaction.

Metro Bancorp, Inc.

On February 13, 2016, we completed our acquisition of Metro Bancorp, Inc. (METR), a bank holding company based in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. On the acquisition date, METR had assets with a net book value of \$2.8 billion, including \$1.9 billion in loans and deposits with a net book value of \$2.3 billion. The acquisition was valued at \$404.0 million and resulted in FNB issuing 34,041,181 shares of our common stock in exchange for 14,345,319 shares of METR common stock.

Other acquisitions, exclusive of branch and insurance acquisitions, completed during the last five years are summarized below:

| Acquired Entity | Acquired Bank | Year | Fair Value of Assets Acquired |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------|-------------------------------|
| (dollars in millions) | | | |
| OBA Financial Services, Inc. (OBA) | OBA Bank | 2014 | \$ 390.2 |
| BCSB Bancorp, Inc. (BCSB) | Baltimore County Savings Bank | 2014 | 596.1 |
| PVF Capital Corp. (PVF) | Park View Federal Savings Bank | 2013 | 737.2 |
| Annapolis Bancorp, Inc. (ANNB) | BankAnnapolis | 2013 | 430.3 |
| Parkvale Financial Corporation (PVFC) | Parkvale Bank | 2012 | 1,743.9 |

These acquisitions have supported our expansion into the attractive markets of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Cleveland, Ohio and Baltimore, Maryland. For more detailed information concerning the most recent acquisitions, see Note 3, Mergers and Acquisitions in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

Pending Acquisition Yadkin Financial Corporation

On July 20, 2016, we entered into a definitive merger agreement to acquire Yadkin Financial Corporation (YDKN), a bank holding company based in Raleigh, North Carolina, with approximately \$7.5 billion in total assets. The acquisition is valued at approximately \$1.4 billion (using the December 30, 2016 FNB stock price of \$16.03 per share, the acquisition is valued at \$1.8 billion). Under the terms of the merger agreement, YDKN common stockholders will be entitled to receive 2.16 shares of FNB common stock for each share of YDKN

Table of Contents

common stock. We expect to issue approximately 111.7 million shares of our common stock in exchange for approximately 51.7 million shares of YDKN common stock. YDKN's banking affiliate, Yadkin Bank, will merge into First National Bank of Pennsylvania (FNBPA) on the acquisition date. This acquisition will enable us to enter attractive North Carolina markets, including Raleigh, Charlotte and the Piedmont Triad, which is comprised of Winston-Salem, Greensboro and High Point. This transaction is expected to be completed in March 2017, pending regulatory approvals and the satisfaction of other closing conditions. The transaction has already been approved by the stockholders of both FNB and YDKN.

Business Segments

In addition to the following information relating to our business segments, more detailed information is contained in Note 22, *Business Segments* in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report. As of December 31, 2016, FNB had four business segments, with the largest being the Community Banking segment consisting of a regional community bank. The Wealth Management segment consists of a trust company, a registered investment advisor and a subsidiary that offers broker-dealer services through a third party networking arrangement with a non-affiliated licensed broker-dealer entity. The Insurance segment consists of an insurance agency and a reinsurer. The Consumer Finance segment consists of a multi-state consumer finance company.

Community Banking

Our Community Banking segment consists of FNBPA, which offers commercial and consumer banking services. Commercial banking solutions include corporate banking, small business banking, investment real estate financing, international banking, business credit, capital markets and lease financing. Consumer banking products and services include deposit products, mortgage lending, consumer lending and a complete suite of mobile and online banking services. As of December 31, 2016, our Community Banking segment operated in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Maryland and West Virginia.

The goals of Community Banking are to generate high-quality, profitable revenue growth through increased business with our current customers, attract new customer relationships through FNBPA's current branches and expand into new and existing markets through de novo branch openings, acquisitions and the establishment of loan production offices. We consider Community Banking an important source of revenue opportunity through the cross-selling of products and services offered by our other business segments.

The lending philosophy of Community Banking is to establish high-quality customer relationships, while minimizing credit losses by following strict credit approval standards (which include independent analysis of realizable collateral value), diversifying our loan portfolio by industry and borrower, and conducting ongoing review and management of the loan portfolio. Commercial loans are generally made to established businesses within the geographic market areas served by Community Banking.

No material portion of the loans or deposits of Community Banking has been obtained from a single customer or small group of customers, and the loss of any one customer's loans or deposits or a small group of customers' loans or deposits by Community Banking would not have a material adverse effect on the Community Banking segment or on FNB. The substantial majority of the loans and deposits have been generated within the geographic market areas in which Community Banking operates.

Wealth Management

Our Wealth Management segment delivers wealth management services to individuals, corporations and retirement funds, as well as existing customers of Community Banking, located primarily within our geographic markets.

Table of Contents

Our Wealth Management operations are conducted through three subsidiaries of FNBPA. First National Trust Company (FNTC) provides a broad range of personal and corporate fiduciary services, including the administration of decedent and trust estates. As of December 31, 2016, the fair value of trust assets under management was approximately \$4.1 billion. FNTC is required to maintain certain minimum capitalization levels in accordance with regulatory requirements. FNTC periodically measures its capital position to ensure all minimum capitalization levels are maintained.

Our Wealth Management segment also includes two other subsidiaries. First National Investment Services Company, LLC (FNIS) offers a broad array of investment products and services for customers of Wealth Management through a networking relationship with a third-party licensed brokerage firm. F.N.B. Investment Advisors, Inc. (FNBIA), an investment advisor registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), offers customers of Wealth Management comprehensive investment programs featuring mutual funds, annuities, stocks and bonds.

No material portion of the business of Wealth Management has been obtained from a single customer or small group of customers, and the loss of any one customer's business or the business of a small group of customers by Wealth Management would not have a material adverse effect on the Wealth Management segment or on FNB.

Insurance

Our Insurance segment operates principally through First National Insurance Agency, LLC (FNIA), which is a subsidiary of FNB. FNIA is a full-service insurance brokerage agency offering numerous lines of commercial and personal insurance through major carriers to businesses and individuals primarily within FNB's geographic markets. The goal of FNIA is to grow revenue through cross-selling to existing clients of Community Banking and to gain new clients through its own channels.

Our Insurance segment also includes a reinsurance subsidiary, Penn-Ohio Life Insurance Company (Penn-Ohio). Penn-Ohio underwrites, as a reinsurer, credit life and accident and health insurance sold by FNB's lending subsidiaries. Additionally, FNBPA owns a direct subsidiary, First National Corporation, which offers title insurance products.

No material portion of the business of Insurance has been obtained from a single customer or small group of customers, and the loss of any one customer's business or the business of a small group of customers by Insurance would not have a material adverse effect on the Insurance segment or on FNB.

Consumer Finance

Our Consumer Finance segment operates through our subsidiary, Regency Finance Company (Regency), which is involved principally in making personal installment loans to individuals and purchasing installment sales finance contracts from retail merchants. Such activity is primarily funded through the sale at Regency's branch offices of subordinated notes which are issued by one of our indirect subsidiaries, FNB Financial Services, LP, and guaranteed by FNB. The Consumer Finance segment operates in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Tennessee and Kentucky.

No material portion of the business of Consumer Finance has been obtained from a single customer or small group of customers, and the loss of any one customer's business or the business of a small group of customers by Consumer Finance would not have a material adverse effect on the Consumer Finance segment or on FNB.

Other

We also operate other non-banking subsidiaries which are not to be considered reportable segments of FNB. F.N.B. Capital Corporation, LLC (FNBCC) was formed as a merchant banking subsidiary to offer mezzanine

Table of Contents

financing options for small- to medium-sized businesses that need financial assistance beyond the parameters of typical commercial bank lending products. FNBCC ceased financing new portfolio companies in July 2013. FNBCC has a 21.9% funding commitment in Tecum Capital Partners, L.P. (formerly known as F.N.B. Capital Partners, L.P.) (Tecum), a Small Business Investment Company licensed by the U.S. Small Business Administration. Tecum is not an affiliate or a subsidiary of FNB. F.N.B. Statutory Trust II and Omega Financial Capital Trust I issued trust preferred securities (TPS) to third-party investors. Regency Consumer Financial Services, Inc. and FNB Consumer Financial Services, Inc. are the general partner and limited partner, respectively, of FNB Financial Services, LP, the company established to issue, administer and repay the subordinated notes through which loans in the Consumer Finance segment are funded. Additionally, Bank Capital Services, LLC, a subsidiary of FNBPA, offers commercial loans and leases to customers in need of new or used equipment. Certain financial information concerning these subsidiaries, along with the parent company and intercompany eliminations, are included in the Parent and Other category in Note 22, Business Segments in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

Market Area and Competition

We operate in Pennsylvania, eastern Ohio, and northern West Virginia, which are areas with relatively stable markets and modest growth. Additionally, we operate in the Baltimore, Maryland Metropolitan Statistical Area and Montgomery County, Maryland which are relatively higher growth markets. In addition to Pennsylvania and Ohio, our Consumer Finance segment also operates in northern and central Tennessee and western and central Kentucky.

Our subsidiaries compete for loans, deposits and financial services business with a large number of financial institutions and other lenders engaged in the business of extending credit. Competition for loans comes principally from commercial banks, savings banks, mortgage banking companies, credit unions, insurance companies and other financial services companies. The most direct competition for deposits comes from commercial banks, savings banks and credit unions. Additional competition for deposits comes from non-depository competitors such as financial technology companies, mutual funds, securities and brokerage firms and insurance companies. In providing wealth and asset management services, as well as insurance brokerage services, our subsidiaries compete with many other financial services firms, brokerage firms, mutual fund complexes, investment management firms, trust and fiduciary service providers and insurance agencies.

In Regency's market areas of Pennsylvania, Ohio, Tennessee and Kentucky, our active competitors include banks, credit unions and national, regional and local consumer finance companies, some of which have substantially greater resources than that of Regency. The ready availability of consumer credit through charge accounts and credit cards constitutes additional competition. In this market area, competition is based on the rates of interest charged for loans, the rates of interest paid to obtain funds and the availability of customer services.

The ability to deploy and use technology effectively is an important competitive factor in the financial services industry. Technology is not only important with respect to delivery of financial services, management of risk management matters and protection of the security of customer information, but also in processing information. FNB and each of our subsidiaries must continually make technological investments to remain competitive in the financial services industry. FNBPA has executed several initiatives that have integrated and streamlined its physical branch and e-delivery channels.

Underwriting

Commercial Loans

Our Credit Policy requires, among other things, that all commercial loans be underwritten to document the borrower's financial capacity to support the cash flow required to repay the loan. The Credit Policy also contains additional guidelines and requirements applicable to specific loan products or lines of business. We have

Table of Contents

developed a proprietary underwriting system for all corporate business loan relationships and utilize a third party solution for small business loan relationships, with both platforms supporting consistency in underwriting across the entire footprint and credit decisions to be made at the local and regional level in accordance with approval policies. As part of this underwriting, we require clear and concise documentation of the borrower's ability to repay the loan based on current financial statements and/or tax returns, plus pro-forma financial statements, as appropriate. Specific guidelines for loan terms and conditions are outlined in our Credit Policy. The guidelines also detail the collateral requirements for various loan types. It is our general practice to obtain personal guarantees, supported by current personal financial statements and/or tax returns, to reduce the credit risk, as appropriate.

For loans secured by commercial real estate, we obtain current and independent appraisals from licensed or certified appraisers to assess the value of the underlying collateral. Our general policy for commercial real estate loans is to limit the terms of the loans to not more than 20 years and to have loan-to-value (LTV) ratios not exceeding 80% on owner-occupied and income producing properties, while land and development-secured projects have more stringent LTV requirements of 65% and 75%, respectively. For non-owner occupied commercial real estate loans, the loan terms are generally aligned with the property's lease terms, and in many instances, these loans mature within 5 years. As it relates to non-real estate secured loans, our Credit Policy dictates similar guidelines for maximum terms and acceptable advance rates for loans that are not secured by real estate.

Consumer Loans

Our revolving home equity lines of credit (HELOC) are generally variable rate loans underwritten based on fully indexed rates. For home equity loans, our policy is to generally require a LTV ratio not in excess of 85% and Fair Isaac Corporation (FICO) scores of not less than 710. In certain circumstances, we will extend credit to borrowers with a LTV ratio over 85% on a limited and closely monitored basis. Our underwriters evaluate a borrower's debt service capacity on all line of credit applications by utilizing an interest shock rate of 3% over the prevailing variable interest rate at origination. The borrower's debt-to-income ratio must remain within our guidelines under the shock rate repayment formula. FNB has elected, with the onset of the qualified mortgage (QM) rules established by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) in 2014, to tightly limit the origination of non-QM loans.

FNB's policy for our indirect installment loans, which third parties (primarily auto dealers) within our approved dealer network originate, is to require a minimum FICO score of 640 for the borrower, the age of the vehicle not to exceed 8 years or 100,000 miles and an appropriate LTV ratio, not to exceed 115% inclusive of back-end added products, based on the year and make of the vehicle financed.

We structure our consumer loan products to meet the diverse credit needs of consumers in our market for personal and household purposes. These loan products are on a fixed amount or revolving basis depending on customer need and borrowing capacity. Our loans and lines of credit attempt to balance borrower budgeting sensitivities with realistic repayment maturities within a philosophy that encourages consumer financial responsibility, sound credit risk management and development of strong customer relationships.

Our consumer loan policies and procedures require prospective borrowers to provide appropriate and accurate financial information that will enable our loan underwriting personnel to make sound credit decisions. Specific information requirements vary based on loan type, risk profile and secondary investor requirements where applicable. In all extensions of credit, however, we require evidence of capacity to repay as well as an independent credit report, both of which help assess the prospective borrower's willingness and ability to repay the debt. If any information submitted by the prospective borrower raises reasonable doubts with respect to the willingness and ability of the borrower to repay the loan, FNB denies the credit. We do not provide loans in which there is no verification of the prospective borrower's income. We do not make interest-only or similar type residential mortgage loans.

Table of Contents

We often take collateral to support an extension of credit and to provide additional protection should the primary source of repayment fail. Consequently, we limit unsecured extensions of credit in amount and only grant them to borrowers with adequate capacity and above-average credit profiles. We expressly discourage unsecured credit lines for debt consolidation, unless there is compelling evidence that the borrower has sufficient liquidity and net worth to repay the loan from alternative sources in the event of income disruption.

Our loan policy requires full independent appraisals of residential real estate collateral values on residential mortgage applications of \$250,000 and greater, though it is our general practice to obtain full independent appraisals for applications of \$100,000 and greater. We may use algorithm-based valuation models for residential mortgages under \$100,000. We recognize the limitations as well as the benefits of these valuation products. FNB's policy is to be conservative in their use but fluid and flexible in interpreting reasonable collateral values when obtained.

We monitor consumer loans with exceptions to our policy including, but not limited to, LTV ratios, FICO scores and debt-to-income ratios. Management routinely evaluates the type, nature, trend and scope of these exceptions and reacts through policy changes, lender counseling, adjustment of loan authorities and similar prerogatives to assure that the retail assets generated meet acceptable credit quality standards. As an added precaution, our risk management personnel conduct periodic reviews of loan files.

Regency Finance Company Loans

Regency originates three general types of loans: direct non-real estate, indirect sales finance and limited direct real estate. Regency uses a quality control program to review, in an independent manner, loan origination and servicing on a monthly basis to ensure adherence with compliance and credit criteria standards. Regency loans comprise 1.2% of our total loans and leases as of December 31, 2016, with an average loan size of \$2,415.

Regency evaluates each applicant for credit on an individual basis measuring attributes derived from the review of credit reports, income verification and collateral, if applicable, with product-specific underwriting standards. Regency utilizes a prospective borrower's reported income to derive debt-to-income ratios that permit Regency to follow a conservative approach in evaluating a potential borrower's ability to pay debt service. Regency utilizes an on-line loan origination system that provides consistency in its loan application and approval process.

Regency underwrites limited direct real estate loans utilizing a risk-based pricing matrix that evaluates the applicants by FICO score, credit criteria and LTV ratio. First lien general LTV standards permit a maximum of 85% of appraised value. Regency does not offer variable rate real estate secured loans. Regency does not offer unverified or no documentation loans.

Regency underwrites direct financing for automobile secured loans utilizing a risk-based pricing matrix that evaluates the applicants by FICO score, credit criteria and advance rate as a percentage of the book value of the vehicle. Regency will only grant credit secured by an automobile at the current (time of application) National Automobile Dealers Association Book retail price.

Regency generates indirect sales finance applications and subsequent loans through dealers that Regency approves for the purpose of the customer's finance of a purchase such as furniture or windows. Regency grants credit in a similar manner as set forth above for direct real estate loans. Pricing parameters are generally dealer and geography specific. Regency underwrites direct non-real estate personal and secured loans represented above with the exception that this product does not rely solely on FICO scores. Specific analysis of the applicant's credit report and income verification are the principal elements of Regency's credit decision with respect to direct non-real estate personal and secured loans.

Employees

As of January 31, 2017, FNB and our subsidiaries had 3,326 full-time and 495 part-time employees. Our management considers our relationship with our employees to be satisfactory.

Table of Contents

Government Supervision and Regulation

The following summary sets forth certain material elements of the regulatory framework applicable to FNB and our subsidiaries. The bank regulatory framework is intended primarily for the protection of depositors through the federal deposit insurance guarantee, and not for the protection of security holders. Numerous laws and regulations govern the operations of financial services institutions and their holding companies. Significant elements of the laws and regulations applicable to FNB and our affiliates are described in this section. To the extent that the following information describes statutory and regulatory provisions or governmental policies, such descriptions are qualified in their entirety by reference to the full text of the statutes, regulations and policies referenced herein. In addition, certain of FNB's public disclosure, internal control environment, risk and capital management and corporate governance principles are subject to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (SOX), the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act) and related regulations and rules of the SEC under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Also, FNB is subject to the rules of the NYSE for listed companies. New laws or regulations or changes to existing laws and regulations (including changes in interpretation or enforcement) could materially adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations. As a financial institution, to the extent that different regulatory systems impose overlapping or inconsistent requirements on the conduct of our business, we face increased complexity and additional risks and costs in our compliance efforts.

GENERAL

FNB is a legal entity separate and distinct from our subsidiaries. As a financial holding company and a bank holding company, FNB is regulated under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (BHC Act), and is subject to regulation, inspection, examination and supervision by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB).

The FRB is the umbrella regulator of a financial holding company. In addition, a financial holding company's operating entities, meaning its subsidiary broker-dealers, investment managers, investment advisory companies, insurance companies and banks, as applicable, are subject to the jurisdiction of various federal and state functional regulators.

Our subsidiary bank, FNBPA, and FNBPA's subsidiary trust company, FNTC, are organized as national banking associations, which are subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), which is a bureau of the U.S. Department of the Treasury (UST). FNBPA is also subject to certain regulatory requirements of the CFPB, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), the FRB and other federal and state regulatory agencies, including requirements to maintain reserves against deposits, capital requirements, limitations regarding dividends, restrictions on the types and amounts of loans that may be granted and the interest that may be charged on loans, inter-affiliate transactions, Community Reinvestment Act (CRA), consumer compliance and anti-discrimination laws and unfair and deceptive practices prohibitions, monitoring obligations under the federal bank secrecy act and anti-money laundering requirements, limitations on the types of investments that may be made, activities that may be engaged in and types of services that may be offered. In addition to banking laws, regulations and regulatory agencies, FNB and our subsidiaries are subject to various other laws and regulations and supervision and examination by other regulatory agencies, all of which directly or indirectly affect the operations and management of FNB and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders. If we fail to comply with these or other applicable laws and regulations, we may be subject to civil monetary penalties, imposition of cease and desist orders or other written directives, removal of management and, in certain cases, criminal penalties.

Pursuant to the GLB Act, bank holding companies such as FNB that have qualified as financial holding companies because they are well-capitalized and well managed have broad authority to engage in activities that are financial in nature or incidental to such financial activity, including insurance underwriting and brokerage, merchant banking,

securities underwriting, dealing and market-making; and such additional activities

Table of Contents

as the FRB in consultation with the Secretary of the UST determines to be financial in nature, incidental thereto or complementary to a financial activity. The GLB Act repealed or modified a number of significant statutory provisions, including those of the Glass-Steagall Act and the BHC Act, which had previously restricted banking organizations ability to engage in certain types of business activities (subsequently further modified by the Dodd-Frank Act, as discussed below). As a result of the GLB Act, a bank holding company may engage in those activities directly or through subsidiaries by qualifying as a financial holding company. FNB is a financial holding company. A financial holding company may engage directly or indirectly in activities considered financial in nature, either de novo or by acquisition, provided the financial holding company continues such status and gives the FRB after-the-fact notice of the new activities. The GLB Act also permits national banks, such as FNBPA, to engage in activities considered financial in nature through a financial subsidiary, subject to certain conditions and limitations and with the approval of the OCC (see discussion under the caption, *Financial Holding Company Status and Activities*).

As a regulated financial holding company, FNB's relationships and good standing with our regulators are of fundamental importance to the continuation and growth of our businesses. The FRB, OCC, FDIC, CFPB and SEC have broad enforcement powers and authority to approve, deny or refuse to act upon applications or notices of FNB or our subsidiaries to open new or close existing offices, conduct new activities, acquire or divest businesses or assets or reconfigure existing operations. In addition, FNB, FNBPA, FNTC and other affiliates are subject to examination by the various federal regulators, which results in examination reports (which are not publicly available) and ratings that can impact the conduct and growth of our businesses. These examinations consider not only safety and soundness principles, but also compliance with applicable laws and regulations, including bank secrecy and anti-money laundering requirements, loan quality and administration, capital levels, asset quality and risk management ability and performance, earnings, liquidity, consumer compliance, anti-discrimination laws, unfair and deceptive practices prohibitions, community reinvestment and various other factors. An examination downgrade by any of FNB's federal bank regulators could potentially result in the imposition of significant fines, penalties, limitations and prohibitions on the activities and growth of FNB and our subsidiaries.

There are numerous laws, regulations and rules governing the activities of financial institutions, financial holding companies and bank holding companies. The following discussion is general in nature and seeks to highlight some of the more significant of these regulatory requirements, but does not purport to be complete or to describe all of the laws and regulations that apply to us and our subsidiaries.

Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010

Implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act has had and will continue to have a broad impact on the financial services industry by introducing significant regulatory and compliance changes including, among other things,

- enhanced authority over troubled and failing banks and their holding companies;
- increased capital and liquidity requirements;
- increased regulatory examination fees;
- increased to the assessments banks must pay the FDIC for federal deposit insurance; and
- specific provisions designed to improve supervision and oversight of bank safety and soundness and consumer practices, by imposing restrictions and limitations on the scope and type of banking and financial activities.

In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act establishes a new framework for systemic risk oversight within the financial system that is enforced by new and existing federal regulatory agencies and authorities, including the Financial Stability Oversight Council (FSOC), FRB, OCC, FDIC and CFPB. The following description briefly summarizes certain

impacts of the Dodd-Frank Act on the operations and activities, both currently and prospectively, of FNB and our subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

Deposit Insurance. The Dodd-Frank Act made permanent the \$250,000 deposit insurance limit for insured deposits. Amendments to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act also revised the assessment base against which an insured depository institution's deposit insurance premiums paid to the FDIC's Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) are calculated. Under the amendments, the FDIC assessment base is no longer the institution's deposit base, but rather its average consolidated total assets less its average tangible equity. The Dodd-Frank Act also changed the minimum designated reserve ratio of the DIF, requiring the minimum to be increased from 1.15% to 1.35% of the estimated amount of total insured deposits by September 30, 2020, and eliminated the requirement that the FDIC pay dividends to depository institutions when the reserve ratio exceeds certain thresholds, leaving the designated reserve ratio to the discretion of the FDIC, which has set 2% as the designated reserve ratio. Several of these provisions have increased, and may continue to increase, the FDIC deposit insurance premiums FNBPA pays. In 2016, the FDIC premium surcharge assessed on assets over \$10 billion was at 4.5 basis points annualized, which resulted in an additional expense for the last six months of 2016 of \$2.2 million.

Interest on Demand Deposits. The Dodd-Frank Act permits depository institutions to pay interest on demand deposits. In accordance therewith, we pay interest on certain classes of commercial demand deposits.

Trust Preferred Securities. Pursuant to Section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Act (the Volcker Rule), the federal bank regulatory agencies issued rules which provide that for banking entities with \$15 billion or more in consolidated assets, TPS, on a phased-out basis, no longer qualifies as tier 1 capital after January 1, 2016 (see discussion under the captions, *Capital and Operational Requirements* and *Increased Capital Standards and Enhanced Supervision*).

The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. The Dodd-Frank Act created a new, independent CFPB within the FRB. The CFPB's responsibility is to establish, implement and enforce laws, rules and regulations under certain federal consumer protection laws with respect to the conduct of both bank and non-bank providers of certain consumer financial products and services. The CFPB has rulemaking and enforcement authority over many of the statutes that govern products and services banks offer to consumers. The CFPB has authority to prevent unfair, discriminatory, deceptive or abusive practices in connection with the offering of consumer financial products. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act permits states to adopt consumer protection laws and regulations that are more stringent than those regulations promulgated by the CFPB, and state attorneys general will have the authority to enforce consumer protection rules that the CFPB adopts against state-chartered institutions and against, with respect to certain non-preempted laws, national banks. Compliance with any such new regulation or other precedent established by the CFPB and/or states could reduce our revenue, increase our cost of operations and compliance, and limit, prevent, or make more costly, our ability to expand into certain products and services.

Debit Card Interchange Fees. On June 29, 2011, the FRB, pursuant to its authority under the Dodd-Frank Act, issued rules regarding interchange fees charged for electronic debit transactions by payment card issuers having assets over \$10 billion, adopting a per-transaction interchange cap base of \$0.21 plus 0.05% of the transaction total (and an additional one cent to account for fraud protection costs).

Transactions with Affiliates. The Dodd-Frank Act enhances the requirements for certain transactions with affiliates under Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, including an expansion of the definition of covered transactions to include the borrowing or lending of securities or derivative transactions, and an increase in the amount of time for which collateral requirements regarding covered transactions must be maintained.

Certain transactions (including loans and credit extensions from FNBPA) between FNBPA and FNB and/or our affiliates and subsidiaries are subject to quantitative and qualitative limitations, collateral requirements, and other restrictions imposed by statute and FRB regulation. Transactions subject to these restrictions are generally required to be made on an arm's-length basis. These restrictions generally do not apply to transactions between FNBPA and its

direct wholly-owned subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

Transactions with Insiders. The Dodd-Frank Act expands insider transaction limitations through the strengthening of loan restrictions to insiders and extending the types of transactions subject to the various requirements to include derivative transactions, repurchase agreements, reverse repurchase agreements and securities lending and borrowing transactions. The Dodd-Frank Act also places restrictions on certain asset sales to and from an insider of an institution, including requirements that such sales be on market terms and, in certain circumstances, receive the approval of the institution's board of directors.

Enhanced Lending Limits. The Dodd-Frank Act strengthens the existing limits on a depository institution's credit exposure to one borrower. Federal banking law currently limits a national bank's ability to extend credit to one person or group of related persons to an amount that does not exceed certain thresholds. Among other things, the Dodd-Frank Act expands the scope of these restrictions to include credit exposure arising from derivative transactions, repurchase agreements and securities lending and borrowing transactions.

The changes resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act continue to impact our profitability, require changes to certain of our business practices, including limitations on fee income opportunities, increased compliance costs, imposition of more stringent capital, liquidity and leverage requirements upon us or otherwise adversely affect our business. These changes may also require us to continue to invest significant management attention and compliance, legal, risk and audit resources to evaluate and make any changes necessary to comply with new statutory and regulatory requirements. We cannot predict what effect any newly implemented, presently contemplated or future changes in the laws or regulations or their interpretations would have on us.

Capital and Operational Requirements

The FRB, OCC and FDIC issued substantially similar risk-based and leverage capital guidelines applicable to U.S. banking organizations. In addition, these regulatory agencies may from time to time require that a banking organization maintain capital above the minimum levels, due to its financial condition or actual or anticipated growth.

The FRB's risk-based guidelines are based on a three-tier capital framework. Tier 1 capital includes common stockholders' equity and qualifying preferred stock, less goodwill and other adjustments. Tier 2 capital consists of preferred stock not qualifying as tier 1 capital, mandatory convertible debt, limited amounts of subordinated debt, other qualifying term debt and the allowance for credit losses of up to 1.25 percent of risk-weighted assets. Tier 3 capital includes subordinated debt that is unsecured, fully paid, and has an original maturity of at least two years, is not redeemable before maturity without prior approval by the FRB and includes a lock-in clause precluding payment of either interest or principal if the payment would cause the issuing bank's risk-based capital ratio to fall or remain below the required minimum.

FNB, like other bank holding companies, through December 31, 2016 was required to maintain common equity tier 1, tier 1 and total capital (the sum of tier 1 and tier 2 capital) equal to at least 5.125%, 6.625% and 8.625%, respectively, of our total risk-weighted assets (including various off-balance sheet items). Risk-based capital ratios are calculated by dividing tier 1 and total capital by risk-weighted assets. The risk-based capital standards are designed to make regulatory capital requirements more sensitive to differences in credit and market risk profiles among banks and financial holding companies, to account for off-balance sheet exposure, and to minimize disincentives for holding liquid assets. Assets and off-balance sheet items are assigned to broad risk categories, each with appropriate weights. The resulting capital ratios represent capital as a percentage of total risk-weighted assets and off-balance sheet items. At December 31, 2016, our common equity tier 1, tier 1 and total capital ratios under these guidelines were 9.2%, 9.9% and 12.0%, respectively. At December 31, 2016, we had \$173.2 million of capital securities and subordinated debt that qualified as tier 2 capital.

In addition, the FRB has established minimum leverage ratio guidelines for bank holding companies. These guidelines currently provide for a minimum ratio of tier 1 capital to average total assets, less goodwill and certain other intangible assets (the leverage ratio), of 4.0% for bank holding companies that meet certain specified

Table of Contents

criteria, including the highest regulatory rating. The guidelines also provide that bank holding companies experiencing internal growth or making acquisitions will be expected to maintain strong capital positions substantially above the minimum supervisory levels without significant reliance on intangible assets. Our leverage ratio at December 31, 2016 was 7.7%.

Further, the FRB has indicated that it will consider a tangible tier 1 capital leverage ratio (deducting all intangibles) and all other indicators of capital strength in evaluating proposals for expansion or new activities.

Increased Capital Standards and Enhanced Supervision

The Dodd-Frank Act imposes a series of more onerous capital requirements on financial companies and other companies, including swap dealers and non-bank financial companies that are determined to be of systemic risk. Compliance with heightened capital standards may reduce FNB's ability to generate or originate revenue-producing assets and thereby restrict revenue generation from banking and non-banking operations.

The Dodd-Frank Act's regulatory capital requirements are intended to ensure that financial institutions hold sufficient capital to absorb losses during future periods of financial distress. The Dodd-Frank Act directs federal banking agencies to establish minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements on a consolidated basis for insured depository institutions, their holding companies and non-bank financial companies that have been determined to be systemically significant by the FSOC.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires that, at a minimum, regulators apply to bank holding companies and other systemically significant non-bank financial companies the same capital and risk standards that such regulators apply to banks insured by the FDIC. An important consequence of this requirement is that hybrid capital instruments, such as TPS, were no longer included in the definition of tier 1 capital after December 31, 2016, for banking entities with over \$15 billion in consolidated assets. Tier 1 capital includes common stock, retained earnings, certain types of preferred stock and TPS. Since TPS are not currently counted as tier 1 capital for insured banks, the effect of the Dodd-Frank Act is that such securities will no longer be included as tier 1 capital for bank holding companies or financial holding companies. Excluding TPS from tier 1 capital could significantly decrease regulatory capital levels of holding companies that have traditionally relied on TPS to meet capital requirements. The Dodd-Frank Act capital requirements may force bank holding companies to raise other forms of tier 1 capital, for example, by issuing perpetual non-cumulative preferred stock. Since common stock must typically constitute at least 50 percent of tier 1 capital, many bank holding companies and systemically significant non-bank companies may consider dilutive follow-on offerings of common stock, such as the offering we executed in November 2013.

In order to ease the compliance burden associated with the new capital requirements, the Dodd-Frank Act provides a number of exceptions and phase-in periods. For bank holding companies with over \$15 billion in consolidated assets and systemically important non-bank financial companies, any regulatory capital deductions for debt or equity issued before May 19, 2010 were phased in incrementally from January 1, 2013 to January 1, 2016. The term regulatory capital deductions refers to the exclusion of hybrid capital from tier 1 capital. On a fully phased-in basis under these new standards, we continue to exceed all estimated well-capitalized regulatory requirements.

Basel III Capital Rules

In July 2013, FNB's and FNBPA's primary federal regulator, the FRB, published the Basel III Capital Rules (Basel III) establishing a new comprehensive capital framework for U.S. banking organizations. The rules implement the Basel Committee's December 2010 framework for strengthening international capital standards as well as certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. Basel III substantially revised the risk-based capital requirements applicable to bank holding

companies and depository institutions, including FNB and FNBPA, compared to the then-existing U.S. risk-based capital rules. Basel III defines the components of capital and

Table of Contents

addresses other issues affecting the numerator in banking institutions' regulatory capital ratios. Basel III also addresses risk weights and other issues affecting the denominator in a banking institution's regulatory capital ratios and replaces the existing risk-weighting approach, which was derived from the Basel I capital accords of the Basel Committee, with a more risk-sensitive approach based, in part, on the standardized approach in the Basel Committee's 2004 Basel II capital accords. Basel III also implements the requirements of Section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Act to remove references to credit ratings from the federal banking agencies' rules. Basel III became effective for FNB and FNBPA on January 1, 2015 (subject to a phase-in period for certain provisions).

Basel III, among other things, (i) introduces a new capital measure called Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1), (ii) specifies that tier 1 capital consists of CET1 and Additional Tier 1 capital instruments meeting specified requirements, (iii) defines CET1 narrowly by requiring that most deductions/adjustments to regulatory capital measures be made to CET1 and not to the other components of capital and (iv) expands the scope of the deductions/adjustments as compared to existing regulations.

When fully phased in on January 1, 2019, Basel III will require FNB and FNBPA to maintain (i) a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 4.5%, plus a 2.5% capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 4.5% CET1 ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 7% upon full implementation), (ii) a minimum ratio of tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 6.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 6.0% tier 1 capital ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5% upon full implementation), (iii) a minimum ratio of total capital (that is, tier 1 plus tier 2) to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 8.0% total capital ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum total capital ratio of 10.5% upon full implementation) and (iv) a minimum leverage ratio of 4%, calculated as the ratio of tier 1 capital to average quarterly assets (as compared to a current minimum leverage ratio of 3% for banking organizations that either have the highest supervisory rating or have implemented the appropriate federal regulatory authority's risk-adjusted measure for market risk).

The aforementioned capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress. Banking institutions with a ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets above the minimum but below the conservation buffer will face constraints on dividends, equity repurchases and compensation depending on the amount of the shortfall.

Under Basel III, the initial minimum capital ratios that became effective on January 1, 2015 are as follows:

- 4.5% CET1 to risk-weighted assets;
- 6.0% tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets;
- 8.0% total capital to risk-weighted assets; and
- 4.0% tier 1 capital to average quarterly assets.

Basel III provides for a number of deductions from and adjustments to CET1. These include, for example, the requirement that mortgage servicing rights, deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences that could not be realized through net operating loss carrybacks and significant investments in non-consolidated financial entities be deducted from CET1 to the extent that any one such category exceeds 10% of CET1 or all such categories in the aggregate exceed 15% of CET1. Under capital standards in effect as of December 31, 2014, the effects of accumulated other comprehensive income items included in capital are excluded for the purposes of determining regulatory capital ratios. Under Basel III, the effects of certain accumulated other comprehensive items are not excluded; however, banking organizations which do not use the advanced approach, such as FNB and FNBPA, may make a one-time

permanent election to continue to exclude these items. FNB and FNBPA made this election in order to avoid significant variations in the level of capital depending upon the impact of interest rate fluctuations on the fair value of FNB's available-for-sale securities portfolio. Basel III also precludes certain hybrid securities, such as TPS, as tier 1 capital of bank holding companies, subject to phase-out. TPS no longer included in FNB's tier 1 capital may nonetheless be included as a component of tier 2 capital on a permanent basis without phase-out.

Table of Contents

Implementation of the deductions and other adjustments to CET1 began on January 1, 2015 and will be phased-in over a four-year period (starting at 40% on January 1, 2015, with an additional 20% per year thereafter over the implementation period). The implementation of the capital conservation buffer will begin on January 1, 2016 at the 0.625% level and be phased in over a four-year period (increasing by that amount on each subsequent January 1, until it reaches 2.5% on January 1, 2019).

With respect to FNBPA, Basel III also revises the prompt corrective action regulations pursuant to Section 38 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, as discussed below under the caption *Prompt Corrective Action*.

Basel III prescribes a standardized approach for risk weightings that expand the risk-weighting categories from the four Basel I-derived categories (0%, 20%, 50% and 100%) to a much larger and more risk-sensitive number of categories, depending on the nature of the assets, generally ranging from 0% for U.S. government and agency securities, to 600% for certain equity exposures, and resulting in higher risk weights for a variety of asset categories. Specific changes to the rules impacting FNB's determination of risk-weighted assets include, among other things:

- applying a 150% risk weight instead of a 100% risk weight for certain high volatility commercial real estate acquisition, development and construction loans;
- assigning a 150% risk weight to exposures (other than residential mortgage exposures) that are 90 days past due;
- providing for a 20% credit conversion factor for the unused portion of a commitment with an original maturity of one year or less that is not unconditionally cancellable (currently set at 0%);
- providing for a risk weight, generally not less than 20% with certain exceptions, for securities lending transactions based on the risk weight category of the underlying collateral securing the transaction;
- providing for a 100% risk weight for claims on securities firms;
- eliminating the current 50% cap on the risk weight for OTC derivatives.

In addition, Basel III provides more advantageous risk weights for derivatives and repurchase-style transactions cleared through a qualifying central counterparty and increases the scope of eligible guarantors and eligible collateral for purposes of credit risk mitigation.

Management believes that, as of December 31, 2016, FNB and FNBPA meet all capital adequacy requirements under Basel III on a fully phased-in basis as if such requirements had been in effect.

Stress Testing

As required by the Dodd-Frank Act, the FRB and OCC published final rules regarding company-run stress testing (DFAST). The DFAST rules require institutions, such as FNB and FNBPA, with average total consolidated assets greater than \$10 billion, to conduct an annual company-run stress test of capital, consolidated earnings and losses under one base and at least two stress scenarios provided by the federal bank regulators. Implementation of the DFAST rules for covered institutions with total consolidated assets between \$10 billion and \$50 billion began in 2013. The DFAST rules and guidance require increased involvement by boards of directors in the stress testing process and public disclosure of the results. Public disclosure of summary stress test results under the severely adverse scenario began in June 2015 for stress tests commencing in 2014. The public disclosure of FNB's stress testing results using data as of December 31, 2015 was in October 2016. Our capital ratios reflected in the stress test calculations exceeded the well-capitalized levels, even under the severely adverse scenario. This is an important factor considered by the FRB and OCC in evaluating the capital adequacy of FNB and FNBPA and whether the appropriateness of any proposed payments of dividends or stock repurchases may be an unsafe or unsound practice. In reviewing FNB's and

FNBPA's stress test results, the FRB and OCC will consider both quantitative and qualitative factors.

Table of Contents*Liquidity Requirements*

Historically, the regulation and monitoring of bank and bank holding company liquidity has been addressed as a supervisory matter, without required formulaic measures. Liquidity risk management has become increasingly important since the financial crisis. The Basel III liquidity framework requires banks and bank holding companies to measure their liquidity against specific liquidity tests that, although similar in some respects to liquidity measures historically applied by banks and regulators for management and supervisory purposes, going forward would be required by regulation. One test, referred to as the liquidity coverage ratio (LCR), is designed to ensure that the banking entity maintains an adequate level of unencumbered high-quality liquid assets equal to the entity's expected net cash outflow for a 30-day time horizon (or, if greater, 25% of its expected total cash outflow) under an acute liquidity stress scenario. The other test, referred to as the net stable funding ratio (NSFR), is designed to promote more medium- and long-term funding of the assets and activities of banking entities over a one-year time horizon. These requirements are designed to incent banking entities to increase their holdings of U.S. Treasury securities and other sovereign debt as a component of assets and increase the use of long-term debt as a funding source.

Prompt Corrective Action

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA), among other things, classifies insured depository institutions into five capital categories (well-capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized) and requires the respective federal regulatory agencies to implement systems for prompt corrective action for insured depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements within such categories. FDICIA imposes progressively more restrictive constraints on operations, management and capital distributions, depending on the category in which an institution is classified. Failure to meet the capital guidelines could also subject a banking institution to capital-raising requirements, restrictions on its business and a variety of enforcement remedies, including the termination of deposit insurance by the FDIC, and in certain circumstances the appointment of a conservator or receiver. An undercapitalized bank must develop a capital restoration plan and its parent holding company must guarantee that bank's compliance with the plan. The liability of the parent holding company under any such guarantee is limited to the lesser of five percent of the bank's assets at the time it became undercapitalized or the amount needed to comply with the plan. Furthermore, in the event of the bankruptcy of the parent holding company, the obligation under such guarantee would take priority over the parent's general unsecured creditors. In addition, FDICIA requires the various regulatory agencies to prescribe certain non-capital standards for safety and soundness relating generally to operations and management, asset quality and executive compensation and permits regulatory action against a financial institution that does not meet such standards.

The various regulatory agencies have adopted substantially similar regulations that define the five capital categories identified by FDICIA, using the total risk-based capital, tier 1 risk-based capital, common equity tier 1 and leverage capital ratios as the relevant capital measures. Such regulations establish various degrees of corrective action to be taken when an institution is considered undercapitalized. Under the regulations, a well-capitalized institution must have a common equity tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of at least 6.5%, a tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of at least 8.0%, a total risk-based capital ratio of at least 10.0% and a leverage ratio of at least 5.0% and not be subject to a capital directive order. Under these guidelines, FNBPA was considered well-capitalized as of December 31, 2016.

When determining the adequacy of an institution's capital, federal regulators must also take into consideration (a) concentrations of credit risk; (b) interest rate risk (when the interest rate sensitivity of an institution's assets does not match the sensitivity of its liabilities or its off-balance sheet position) and (c) risks from non-traditional activities, as well as an institution's ability to manage those risks. This evaluation is made as part of the institution's regular safety and soundness examination. In addition, any bank with significant trading activity, must incorporate a measure for market risk in their regulatory capital calculations.

Table of Contents

Expanded FDIC Powers Upon Insolvency of Insured Depository Institutions

The Dodd-Frank Act provides a mechanism for appointing the FDIC as receiver for a financial company if the failure of the company and its liquidation under the Bankruptcy Code or other insolvency procedures would pose a significant risk to the financial stability of the U.S.

If appointed as receiver for a failing financial company for which a systemic risk determination has been made, the FDIC has broad authority under the Dodd-Frank Act and the Orderly Liquidation Authority it created to operate or liquidate the business, sell the assets, and resolve the liabilities of the company immediately after its appointment as receiver or as soon as conditions make this appropriate. This authority will enable the FDIC to act immediately to sell assets of the company to another entity or, if that is not possible, to create a bridge financial company to maintain critical functions as the entity is wound down. In receiverships of insured depository institutions, the ability to act quickly and decisively has been found to reduce losses to creditors while maintaining key banking services for depositors and businesses. The FDIC will similarly be able to act quickly in resolving non-bank financial companies under the Dodd-Frank Act.

The FDIC Office of Complex Financial Institutions is responsible for implementing its expanded responsibilities attendant to its new receivership authority. The FDIC adopted five major rules for the implementation of its new receivership authority.

Under to these rules, if the FDIC is appointed the conservator or receiver of an insured depository institution upon its insolvency or in certain other events, the FDIC has the power to:

transfer any of the depository institution's assets and liabilities to a new obligor without the approval of the depository institution's creditors;

enforce the terms of the depository institution's contracts pursuant to their terms; and

repudiate or disaffirm any contract or lease to which the depository institution is a party, the performance of which is determined by the FDIC to be burdensome and the disaffirmation or repudiation of which is determined by the FDIC to promote the orderly administration of the depository institution. Also, under applicable law, the claims of a receiver of an insured depository institution for administrative expense and claims of holders of U.S. deposit liabilities (including the FDIC, as subrogee of the depositors) have priority over the claims of other unsecured creditors of the institution in the event of the liquidation or other resolution of the institution. As a result, whether or not the FDIC would ever seek to repudiate any obligations held by public note holders, such persons would be treated differently from, and could receive, if anything, substantially less than the depositors of the depository institution.

Community Reinvestment Act

The Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (CRA) requires depository institutions to assist in meeting the credit needs of their market areas consistent with safe and sound banking practices. Under the CRA, each depository institution is required to help meet the credit needs of its market areas by, among other things, providing credit to and investments in low- and moderate-income individuals and communities. Depository institutions are periodically examined for compliance with the CRA and are assigned ratings. In order for a financial holding company to commence any new activity permitted by the BHC Act, or to acquire any company engaged in any new activity permitted by the BHC Act, each insured depository institution subsidiary of the financial holding company must have received a rating of at least satisfactory in its most recent examination under the CRA. Furthermore, banking regulators take into account CRA ratings when considering approval of a proposed transaction.

Financial Privacy

In accordance with the GLB Act, federal banking regulators adopted rules that limit the ability of banks and other financial institutions to disclose non-public information about consumers to nonaffiliated third parties. These

Table of Contents

limitations require disclosure of privacy policies to consumers and, in some circumstances, allow consumers to prevent disclosure of certain personal information to a nonaffiliated third party. The privacy provisions of the GLB Act affect how consumer information is transmitted through diversified financial companies and conveyed to outside vendors.

Anti-Money Laundering Initiatives and the USA PATRIOT Act

A major focus of governmental policy on financial institutions in recent years has been aimed at combating money laundering and terrorist financing. The USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 (USA PATRIOT Act) substantially broadened the scope of U.S. anti-money laundering laws and regulations by imposing significant new compliance and due diligence obligations, creating new crimes and penalties and expanding the extra-territorial jurisdiction of the U.S. The UST has issued a number of regulations that apply various requirements of the USA PATRIOT Act to financial institutions such as FNBPA. These regulations require financial institutions to maintain appropriate policies, procedures and controls to detect, prevent and report money laundering and terrorist financing and to verify the identity of their customers. Failure of a financial institution to maintain and implement adequate programs to combat money laundering and terrorist financing, or to comply with all of the relevant laws or regulations, could have serious legal, including criminal law enforcement, and reputational consequences for the institution.

Office of Foreign Assets Control Regulation

The U.S. has instituted economic sanctions which affect transactions with designated foreign countries, nationals and others. These are typically known as the OFAC rules because they are administered by the UST Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC). The OFAC-administered sanctions target countries in various ways. Generally, however, they contain one or more of the following elements: (i) restrictions on trade with or investment in a sanctioned country, including prohibitions against direct or indirect imports from and exports to a sanctioned country, and prohibitions on U.S. persons engaging in financial transactions which relate to investments in, or providing investment-related advice or assistance to, a sanctioned country; and (ii) a blocking of assets in which the government or specially designated nationals of the sanctioned country have an interest, by prohibiting transfers of property subject to U.S. jurisdiction (including property in the possession or control of U.S. persons). Blocked assets (e.g., property and bank deposits) cannot be paid out, withdrawn, set off or transferred in any manner without a license from OFAC. Failure to comply with these sanctions could have serious legal and reputational consequences for the institution.

Consumer Protection Statutes and Regulations

In addition to the consumer regulations promulgated by the FRB, OCC and state agencies, and the regulations that may be issued by the CFPB pursuant to its authority under the Dodd-Frank Act, FNBPA is subject to various federal consumer protection statutes and regulations including the Truth in Lending Act, Truth in Savings Act, Equal Credit Opportunity Act, Fair Housing Act, Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act and Home Mortgage Disclosure Act. Among other things, these acts:

- require banks to disclose credit terms in meaningful and consistent ways;
- prohibit discrimination against an applicant in any consumer or business credit transaction;
- prohibit discrimination in housing-related lending activities;
- require banks to collect and report applicant and borrower data regarding loans for home purchases or improvement projects;

require lenders to provide borrowers with more detailed information regarding the nature and cost of real estate settlements;
prohibit certain lending practices and limit escrow account amounts with respect to real estate transactions;

Table of Contents

prescribe possible penalties for violations of the requirements of consumer protection statutes and regulations and prohibit unfair and deceptive practices in connection with consumer loans.

The CFPB has implemented a series of final consumer protection and disclosure rules related to mortgage loan origination and mortgage loan servicing designed to address the Dodd-Frank Act mortgage lending protections. In particular, the CFPB issued a rule implementing the ability-to-repay and QM provisions of the Truth in Lending Act, as amended by the Dodd-Frank Act (the QM Rule). The ability-to-repay provision requires creditors to make reasonable, good faith determinations that borrowers are able to repay their mortgages before extending the credit based on a number of factors and consideration of financial information about the borrower from reasonably reliable third-party documents. Under the Dodd-Frank Act and the QM Rule, loans meeting the definition of "qualified mortgage" are entitled to a presumption that the lender satisfied the ability-to-repay requirements. The presumption is a conclusive presumption/safe harbor for prime loans meeting the QM requirements, and a rebuttable presumption for higher-priced/subprime loans meeting the QM requirements. The definition of a "qualified mortgage" incorporates the statutory requirements, such as not allowing negative amortization or terms longer than 30 years. The QM Rule also adds an explicit maximum 43% debt-to-income ratio for borrowers if the loan is to meet the QM definition, though some mortgages that meet underwriting guidelines of U.S. government-sponsored entities, the Federal Housing Administration and the U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs may, for a period not to exceed seven years, meet the QM definition without being subject to the 43% debt-to-income limits. The QM Rule became effective January 10, 2014. Additionally, regulations governing the servicing of residential mortgages that became effective in January 2014 have placed additional requirements on mortgage servicers that often lengthen the process for foreclosing on residential mortgages.

We continuously evaluate the impact of the consumer rules issued by the CFPB to determine if they will have any long-term impact on its mortgage loan origination and servicing activities. Compliance with these rules will likely increase our overall regulatory compliance costs and decrease fee income opportunities.

Dividend Restrictions

Our primary source of funds for cash distributions to our stockholders, and funds used to pay principal and interest on our indebtedness, is dividends received from FNBPA. FNBPA is subject to federal laws and regulations governing its ability to pay dividends to FNB, including requirements to maintain capital above regulatory minimums. Under federal law, the amount of dividends that a national bank, such as FNBPA, may pay in a calendar year is dependent on the amount of its net income for the current year combined with its retained net income for the two preceding years. The OCC has the authority to prohibit the payment of dividends by a national bank if it determines such payment would be an unsafe or unsound banking practice. In addition to dividends from FNBPA, other sources of parent company liquidity for FNB include cash and short-term investments, as well as dividends and loan repayments from other subsidiaries.

In addition, the ability of FNB and FNBPA to pay dividends may be affected by the various minimum capital requirements previously described in the *Capital and Operational Requirements*, *Basel III Capital Rules* and *Stress Testing* discussions herein, and the capital and non-capital standards established under FDICIA, as described above. The right of FNB, our stockholders and our creditors to participate in any distribution of the assets or earnings of our subsidiaries is further subject to the prior claims of creditors of the respective subsidiaries.

Source of Strength

According to the Dodd-Frank Act and FRB policy, a financial or bank holding company is expected to act as a source of financial strength to each of its subsidiary banks and to commit resources to support each such subsidiary.

Consistent with the source of strength policy, the FRB has stated that, as a matter of prudent banking, a bank or financial holding company generally should not maintain a rate of cash dividends unless its

Table of Contents

net income has been sufficient to fully fund the dividends and the prospective rate of earnings retention appears to be consistent with our capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition. This support may be required at times when the parent holding company may not be able to provide such support.

In addition, if FNBPA was no longer well-capitalized and well-managed within the meaning of the BHC Act and FRB rules (which take into consideration capital ratios, examination ratings and other factors), the expedited processing of certain types of FRB applications would not be available to us. Moreover, examination ratings of 3 or lower, unsatisfactory ratings, capital ratios below well-capitalized levels, regulatory concerns regarding management, controls, assets, operations or other factors can all potentially result in the loss of financial holding company status, practical limitations on the ability of a bank or bank (or financial) holding company to engage in new activities, grow, acquire new businesses, repurchase its stock or pay dividends or continue to conduct existing activities.

Financial Holding Company Status and Activities

Under the BHC Act, an eligible bank holding company may elect to be a financial holding company and thereafter may engage in a range of activities that are financial in nature and that were not previously permissible for banks and bank holding companies. FNB is a financial holding company under the BHC Act. The financial holding company may engage directly or through a subsidiary in certain statutorily authorized activities (subject to certain restrictions and limitations imposed by the Dodd-Frank Act). A financial holding company may also engage in any activity that has been determined by rule or order to be financial in nature, incidental to such financial activity, or (with prior FRB approval) complementary to a financial activity and that does not pose substantial risk to the safety and soundness of an institution or to the financial system generally. In addition to these activities, a financial holding company may engage in those activities permissible for a bank holding company that has not elected to be treated as a financial holding company.

For a bank holding company to be eligible for financial holding company status, all of its subsidiary U.S. depository institutions must be well-capitalized and well-managed. The FRB generally must deny expanded authority to any bank holding company with a subsidiary insured depository institution that received less than a satisfactory rating on its most recent CRA review as of the time it submits its request for financial holding company status. If, after becoming a financial holding company and undertaking activities not permissible for a bank holding company under the BHC Act, the company fails to continue to meet any of the requirements for financial holding company status, the company must enter into an agreement with the FRB to comply with all applicable capital and management requirements. If the company does not return to compliance within 180 days, the FRB may order the company to divest its subsidiary banks or the company may discontinue or divest investments in companies engaged in activities permissible only for a bank holding company that has elected to be treated as a financial holding company.

Activities and Acquisitions

The BHC Act requires a bank or financial holding company to obtain the prior approval of the FRB before:

- the company may acquire direct or indirect ownership or control of any voting shares of any bank or savings and loan association, if after such acquisition the bank holding company will directly or indirectly own or control more than five percent of any class of voting securities of the institution;
- any of the company's subsidiaries, other than a bank, may acquire all or substantially all of the assets of any bank or savings and loan association; or
- the company may merge or consolidate with any other bank or financial holding company.

The Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 (Interstate Banking Act) generally permits bank holding companies to acquire banks in any state, and preempts all state laws restricting the ownership by a holding company of banks in more than one state. A bank is subject to any state requirement that

Table of Contents

the bank has been organized and operating for a minimum period of time and the requirement that the bank holding company, after the proposed transaction, controls no more than 10 percent of the total amount of deposits of insured depository institutions in the U.S. and no more than 30 percent or such lesser or greater amount set by the state law of such deposits in that state. The Interstate Banking Act also permits:

- a bank to merge with an out-of-state bank and convert any offices into branches of the resulting bank;
- a bank to acquire branches from an out-of-state bank; and
- a bank to establish and operate de novo interstate branches whenever the host state permits de novo branching of its own state-chartered banks.

Bank or financial holding companies and banks seeking to engage in mergers authorized by the Interstate Banking Act must be at least adequately capitalized as of the date that the application is filed, and the resulting institution must be well-capitalized and managed upon consummation of the transaction.

The Change in Bank Control Act prohibits a person, entity or group of persons or entities acting in concert, from acquiring control of a bank holding company or bank unless the FRB has been given prior notice and has not objected to the transaction. Under FRB regulations, the acquisition of 10% or more (but less than 25%) of the voting stock of a corporation would, under the circumstances set forth in the regulations, create a rebuttable presumption of acquisition of control of the corporation.

Securities and Exchange Commission

FNBIA is registered with the SEC as an investment advisor and, therefore, is subject to the requirements of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and other applicable SEC regulations. The principal purpose of the regulations applicable to investment advisors is the protection of investment advisory clients and the securities markets, rather than the protection of creditors and stockholders of investment advisors. The regulations applicable to investment advisors cover all aspects of the investment advisory business, including limitations on the ability of investment advisors to charge performance-based or non-refundable fees to clients, record-keeping, operating, marketing and reporting requirements, disclosure requirements, limitations on principal transactions between an advisor or its affiliates and advisory clients, as well as other anti-fraud prohibitions. FNBIA also may be subject to certain state securities laws and regulations.

Additional legislation, changes in or new rules promulgated by the SEC and other federal and state regulatory authorities and self-regulatory organizations or changes in the interpretation or enforcement of existing laws and rules, may directly affect the method of operation and profitability of FNBIA. The profitability of FNBIA could also be affected by rules and regulations that impact the business and financial communities in general, including changes to the laws governing taxation, antitrust regulation, homeland security and electronic commerce.

Under various provisions of the federal and state securities laws, including in particular those applicable to broker-dealers, investment advisors and registered investment companies and their service providers, a determination by a court or regulatory agency that certain violations have occurred at a company or its affiliates can result in a limitation of permitted activities and disqualification to continue to conduct certain activities.

FNBIA also may be required to conduct its business in a manner that complies with rules and regulations promulgated by the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), among others. The principal purpose of these regulations is the protection of clients and plan assets and beneficiaries, rather than the protection of stockholders and creditors. The DOL has adopted a new fiduciary rule that is expected to

affect FNBIA's business and compliance practices to the extent it provides fiduciary investment advice to clients regarding individual retirement accounts or other retirement accounts. The rule is scheduled to become applicable in April 2017. However, the implementation date of the rule may be delayed pursuant to a rule proposal issued by the DOL on February 9, 2017; and the rule may be rescinded or revised pursuant to the Presidential Memorandum issued on February 3, 2017, which directs the DOL to reexamine the rule to determine whether it may adversely affect access to retirement information and financial advice, and to rescind or revise the rule in such case. We cannot predict whether there will be material changes to existing laws and regulations or how any changes may affect FNBIA's business and industry.

Table of Contents

Consumer Finance Subsidiary

Regency is subject to regulation under Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Ohio and Kentucky state laws that require, among other things, that it maintain licenses in effect for consumer finance operations for each of its offices. Representatives of the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities, the Tennessee Department of Financial Institutions, the Ohio Division of Financial Institutions and the Kentucky Department of Financial Institutions may periodically visit Regency's offices and conduct examinations in order to determine compliance with such laws and regulations. Additionally, the FRB, as umbrella regulator of FNB pursuant to the GLB Act, may conduct an examination of Regency's offices or operations. Such examinations include a review of loans and the collateral securing those loans, as well as a check of the procedures employed for making and collecting loans. Additionally, Regency is under the jurisdiction of the CFPB and is subject to certain federal consumer protection laws that require that certain information relating to credit terms be disclosed to customers and, in certain instances, afford customers the right to rescind transactions. The CFPB may also periodically visit Regency's offices and conduct extensive consumer protection examinations. As a Pennsylvania corporation, Regency is subject to Pennsylvania's requirements concerning dividend payments.

Insurance Agencies

FNIA is subject to licensing requirements and extensive regulation under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the various states in which FNIA conducts its insurance agency business. These laws and regulations are primarily for the protection of policyholders. In all jurisdictions, the applicable laws and regulations are subject to amendment or interpretation by regulatory authorities. Generally, those authorities are vested with relatively broad discretion to grant, renew and revoke licenses and approvals and to implement regulations. Licenses may be denied or revoked for various reasons, including for regulatory violations or upon conviction for certain crimes. Possible sanctions that may be imposed for violation of regulations include the suspension of individual employees, limitations on engaging in a particular business for a specified period of time, revocation of licenses, censures and fines.

Penn-Ohio is subject to examination by the Arizona Department of Insurance. Representatives of the Arizona Department of Insurance periodically determine whether Penn-Ohio has maintained required reserves, established adequate deposits under a reinsurance agreement and complied with reporting requirements under the applicable Arizona statutes.

Governmental Policies

The operations of FNB and our subsidiaries are affected not only by general economic conditions, but also by the policies of various regulatory authorities. In particular, the FRB regulates monetary policy and interest rates in order to influence general economic conditions. These policies have a significant influence on overall growth and distribution of loans, investments and deposits and affect interest rates charged on loans or paid for deposits. FRB monetary policies have had a significant effect on the operating results of all financial institutions in the past and may continue to do so in the future.

The 2016 U.S. presidential election resulted in a new Administration controlling the Executive Branch of the Federal Government. The new Administration may bring changes to the U.S. financial services industry, and monetary and fiscal policy that we cannot predict at this time. The Administration's early public comments suggest an intention to evaluate the laws and regulations (including the Dodd-Frank Act) governing the financial services industry. At this point, we are unable to determine the impact that the Administration's possible policy changes may have on FNB and subsidiaries.

Available Information

We make available through our website at www.fnbcorporation.com, free of charge, our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K (and amendments to

Table of Contents

any of the foregoing) as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are filed with or furnished to the SEC. Information on our website is not incorporated by reference into this document and should not be considered part of this Report. Our common stock is traded on the NYSE under the symbol **FNB** .

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

FNB is subject to numerous risks, many of which are inherent to our business. As a financial services organization, we must balance revenue generation and profitability with the risks associated with our business activities. For information about how our risk oversight and management process operates, see Item 7 of this Report, **Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Risk Management**. The following discussion highlights specific risks that could affect us and our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Based on the information currently known, FNB believes that the following information identifies the most significant risk factors affecting us. However, the risks and uncertainties we face are not limited to those described below. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known or that we currently believe to be immaterial may also adversely affect our business.

You should carefully consider each of the following risks and all of the other information set forth in this Report. If any of the following risks and uncertainties develop into actual events or if the circumstances described in the risks and uncertainties occur or continue to occur, these events or circumstances could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. These events could also have a negative effect on the trading price of our securities.

If we are not able to continue our historical levels of growth, we may not be able to maintain our historical revenue trends.

To achieve our past levels of growth, we have focused on both organic growth and acquisitions. We may not be able to sustain our historical rate of growth or may not be able to grow at all. More specifically, we may not be able to obtain the financing necessary to fund additional growth and may not be able to find suitable acquisition candidates. Various factors, such as economic conditions and competition, may impede or prohibit the opening of new retail branches and the completion of acquisitions. Further, we may be unable to attract and retain experienced bankers, which could adversely affect our internal growth. If we are not able to continue our historical levels of growth, we may not be able to maintain our historical revenue trends.

Our results of operations are significantly affected by the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans. A significant portion of our loan portfolio is comprised of commercial and consumer loans, which generally bear a higher risk of non-payment and loss than certain other types of loans, such as one-to-four family residential mortgage loans.

Lending money is an essential part of the banking business. However, for various reasons, borrowers do not always repay their loans. The risk of non-payment is affected by:

- credit risks of a particular borrower;
- changes in economic conditions which impact certain geographic markets or industries;
- the duration of the loan; and
- in the case of a collateralized loan, uncertainties as to the future value of the collateral.

Generally, commercial and industrial, construction and commercial real estate loans present a greater risk of non-payment by a borrower than other types of loans. They typically involve larger loan balances and are particularly

sensitive to economic conditions. The borrower's ability to repay usually depends on the successful operation of its business and income stream. In addition, some of our commercial borrowers have more than one loan outstanding with us, which means that an adverse development with respect to one loan or one credit relationship can expose us to significantly greater risk of loss. In the case of commercial and industrial loans,

Table of Contents

collateral often consists of accounts receivable, inventory and equipment, which may not yield substantial recovery of principal losses incurred, and is susceptible to deterioration or other loss in advance of liquidation of such collateral. These types of loans, however, have historically driven the growth in our loan portfolio and we intend to continue our lending efforts for commercial and industrial products. At December 31, 2016, commercial and industrial, construction and commercial real estate loans comprised 45.7% of our loan portfolio. In addition to commercial loans, consumer loans (comprised of direct installment loans, indirect installment loans and consumer lines of credit) comprised 27.3% of our loan portfolio at December 31, 2016. These loans typically have shorter terms and lower balances with higher yields compared to real estate mortgage loans, but generally carry higher risks of default. Consumer loan collections are dependent on the borrower's continuing financial stability, and thus are more likely to be affected by adverse personal circumstances. Furthermore, the application of various federal and state laws, including bankruptcy and insolvency laws, may limit the amount that can be recovered on these loans. For additional information, see the Lending Activity section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which is included in Item 7 of this Report.

Our financial condition may be adversely affected if we are unable to attract sufficient deposits to fund our anticipated loan growth and meet liquidity objectives.

We fund our loan growth primarily through deposits and customer repurchase agreements. Deposits and customer repurchase agreements are a low cost and stable source of funding for us. We compete with commercial banks, savings banks and credit unions, as well as non-depository competitors such as mutual funds, securities and brokerage firms and insurance companies, for deposits and customer repurchase agreements. To the extent that we are unable to attract and maintain sufficient levels of deposits and customer repurchase agreements to fund our loan growth and liquidity objectives, we may be subject to paying higher funding costs by raising interest rates that are paid on deposits and customer repurchase agreements. Higher funding costs would reduce our net interest margin and net interest income. We also could seek to raise additional funds through public or private financings, however, we can give no assurance that we would be able to obtain these funds on terms that are attractive to us.

Our financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected if we must further increase our provision for credit losses or if our allowance for credit losses is not sufficient to absorb actual losses.

There is no precise method of predicting loan losses. We can give no assurance that our allowance for credit losses will be sufficient to absorb actual loan losses. Excess loan losses could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. We attempt to maintain an appropriate allowance for credit losses to provide for estimated losses inherent in our loan portfolio as of the corresponding reporting date based on various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of the loan portfolio. We periodically determine the amount of our allowance for credit losses based upon consideration of several quantitative and qualitative factors including, but not limited to, the following:

- a regular review of the quality, mix and size of the overall loan portfolio;
- historical loan loss experience;
- evaluation of non-performing loans;
- geographic or industry concentrations;
- assessment of economic conditions and their effects on FNB's existing portfolio;
- the amount and quality of collateral, including guarantees, securing loans; and
- geographic or industry economic market conditions.

The level of the allowance for credit losses reflects the judgment and estimates of management regarding the amount and timing of future cash flows, current fair value of the underlying collateral and other qualitative risk factors that may affect the loan. Determination of the allowance is inherently subjective and is based on factors that are susceptible to significant change. Continuing deterioration in economic conditions affecting borrowers,

Table of Contents

new information regarding existing loans, identification of additional problem loans and other factors, both within and outside of our control, may require an increase in the allowance for credit losses. In addition, bank regulatory agencies periodically review our allowance and may require an increase in the provision for credit losses or the recognition of additional loan charge-offs, based on judgments different from those of management. In addition, if charge-offs in future periods exceed the allowance for credit losses, we will need additional provisions to increase the allowance. Any increases in the allowance will result in a decrease in net income and capital and may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. For additional discussion relating to this matter, refer to the Allowance and Provision for Credit Losses section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which is included in Item 7 of this Report.

Changes in economic conditions and the composition of our loan portfolio could lead to higher loan charge-offs or an increase in our provision for credit losses and may reduce our net income.

Changes in national and regional economic conditions, and in large metropolitan areas within our market, continue to impact our loan portfolios. For example, an increase in unemployment, a decrease in real estate values or changes in interest rates, as well as other factors, could weaken the economies of the communities we serve. Weakness in the market areas served by FNB could depress our earnings and consequently our financial condition because customers may not want or need our products or services; borrowers may not be able to repay their loans; the value of the collateral securing our loans to borrowers may decline; and the quality of our loan portfolio may decline. Any of the latter three scenarios could require us to charge-off a higher percentage of our loans and/or increase our provision for credit losses, which would reduce our net income.

Our business and financial performance is impacted significantly by market rates and changes in those rates. The monetary, tax and other policies of governmental agencies, including the UST and the FRB, have a direct impact on interest rates and overall financial market performance over which we have no control and which may not be able to be predicted with reasonable accuracy.

As a result of the high percentage of our assets and liabilities that are in the form of interest-bearing or interest-related instruments, changes in interest rates in the shape of the yield curve or in spreads between different market interest rates can have a material effect on our business, profitability and the value of our financial assets and liabilities. Such scenarios may include the following:

- changes in interest rates or interest rate spreads can affect the difference between the interest that FNBPA can earn on assets and the interest that FNBPA may pay on liabilities, which impacts FNBPA's overall net interest income and profitability;
- such changes can affect the ability of borrowers to meet obligations under variable or adjustable rate loans and other debt instruments and can, in turn, affect our loss rates on those assets;
- such changes may decrease the demand for interest rate-based products or services, including bank loans and deposit products and the subordinated notes offered at Regency offices;
- such changes can also affect our ability to hedge various forms of market and interest rate risks and may decrease the profitability or increase the risk associated with such hedges; and
- movements in interest rates also affect mortgage repayment speeds and could result in impairments of mortgage servicing assets or otherwise affect the profitability of such assets.

The monetary, tax and other policies of the U.S. Government and its agencies also have a significant impact on interest rates and overall financial market performance. An important function of the FRB is to regulate the national supply of bank credit and certain interest rates. The actions of the FRB influence the rates of interest that FNBPA may

charge on loans and what FNBPA may pay on borrowings and interest-bearing deposits and can also affect the value of FNB's and FNBPA's on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet financial instruments. Principally due to the impact of rates and by controlling access to direct funding from the FRB, the FRB's policies also influence to a significant extent, FNBPA's cost of funding. We cannot predict the nature or timing

Table of Contents

of future changes in monetary, fiscal, tax and other policies or the effects that may be implemented by the new Administration and that they may have on FNBPA's and other affiliates' activities and financial results.

The financial soundness of other financial institutions may adversely affect FNB, FNBPA and other affiliates.

Financial institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty and other relationships. FNB, FNBPA and other affiliates are exposed to many different industries and counterparties and they routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment banks and other institutional clients. Many of these types of transactions expose FNB, FNBPA and other affiliates to credit risk in the event of default of the counterparty or client. In addition, FNBPA and other affiliates' credit risks may be exacerbated when the collateral held by us cannot be realized or is liquidated at prices that are not sufficient to recover the full amount of the loan or derivative exposure that we are due.

There may be risks resulting from the extensive use of models in our business.

We rely on quantitative models to measure risks and to estimate certain financial values. Models may be used in such processes as determining the pricing of various products, assessing potential acquisition opportunities, developing presentations made to market analysts and others, creating loans and extending credit, measuring interest rate and other market risks, predicting losses, assessing capital adequacy, testing, developing strategic planning initiatives, capital stress testing and calculating regulatory capital levels, as well as to estimate the value of financial instruments and balance sheet items. Poorly designed or implemented models present the risk that our business decisions based on information incorporating models will be adversely affected due to the inadequacy of such information. Also, information we provide to the public or to our regulators based on poorly designed or implemented models could be inaccurate or misleading. Certain decisions that the regulators make, including those related to capital distributions and dividends to our stockholders, could be adversely affected due to the regulator's perception that the quality of the models used to generate our relevant information is insufficient.

Our asset valuations may include methodologies, estimations and assumptions that are subject to differing interpretations and this, along with market factors such as volatility in one or more markets or industries, could result in changes to asset valuations that may materially adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition.

We must use estimates, assumptions and judgments when assets are measured and reported at fair value. Assets carried at fair value inherently result in a higher degree of financial statement volatility. Because the assets are carried at fair value, a decline in their value may cause us to incur losses even if the assets in question present minimal risk. Fair values and information used to record valuation adjustments for certain assets and liabilities are based on quoted market prices and/or other observable inputs provided by independent third-party resources, when available. When such third-party information is not available, we estimate fair value primarily by using cash flow and other financial modeling techniques utilizing assumptions such as credit quality, liquidity, interest rates and other relative inputs. Changes in underlying factors or assumptions in any of the areas underlying these estimates could materially impact our future financial condition and results of operations.

During periods of market disruption, including periods of significantly rising or high interest rates, rapidly widening credit spreads or illiquidity, it may be more difficult to value certain assets if trading becomes less frequent and/or market data becomes less observable. There may be certain asset classes that were historically in active markets with significant observable data that rapidly become illiquid due to market volatility, a loss in market confidence or other factors. In such cases, valuations in certain asset classes may require more subjectivity and management discretion; valuations may include inputs and assumptions that are less observable or require greater estimation. Further, rapidly changing and unprecedented market conditions in any particular market (e.g., credit, equity, fixed income) could

materially impact the valuation of assets as reported within our consolidated financial statements, and the period-to-period changes in value could vary significantly.

Table of Contents

We may be required to record future impairment charges if the declines in asset values are considered other-than-temporary. If the impairment charges are significant enough, they could affect the ability of FNBPA to pay dividends to FNB (which could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity and our ability to pay dividends to stockholders), and could also negatively impact our regulatory capital ratios and result in FNBPA not being classified as well-capitalized for regulatory purposes.

We are subject to operational risk that could damage our reputation and our business. We engage in a variety of businesses in diverse markets and rely on systems, employees, service providers and counterparties to properly process a high volume of transactions.

Like all businesses, we are subject to operational risk, which represents the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes in our systems, human error and external events. Operational risk also encompasses technology, compliance and legal risk, which is the risk of loss from violations of, or noncompliance with, rules, regulations, prescribed practices or ethical standards, as well as the risk of FNB's and our subsidiaries' noncompliance with contractual and other obligations. Many strategic initiatives, such as development of new products, product enhancements, use of technology, staffing reductions, changes in business processes and acquisitions of other financial services companies or their assets, could substantially increase operational risk. We are also exposed to operational risk through our outsourcing arrangements, and the effect the changes in circumstances or capabilities of FNB's outsourcing vendors can have on our ability to continue to perform operational functions necessary to FNB's business. We outsource certain of our data processing and online and mobile banking services to third party providers. Those third party providers could also be sources of operational and information security risk to FNB, including from breakdowns or failures of their own systems or capacity constraints. Although we seek to mitigate operational risks through a system of internal controls which we regularly review and update, no system of controls, however well designed and maintained, is infallible, and, to the extent the risks arise from the operations of third party vendors or customers, we have limited ability to control those risks. Control weaknesses or failures or other operational risk could result in charges, increased operational costs, harm to our reputation, inability to secure insurance, civil litigation, regulatory intervention or sanctions, foregone business opportunities, the loss of customer business, especially if customers are discouraged from using our mobile bill pay, mobile banking and online banking services, or the unauthorized release, gathering, monitoring, misuse, loss or destruction of proprietary information.

Our business could be adversely affected by difficult economic conditions in the regions in which we operate.

We operate primarily in Pennsylvania, eastern Ohio, Maryland and northern West Virginia. Most of our customers are individuals and small- and medium-sized businesses that are dependent upon their regional economies. The economic conditions in these local markets may be different from, and in some instances worse than, economic conditions in the United States as a whole. Difficult economic and employment conditions in the market areas FNB serves could result in the following consequences, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations:

- demand for our loans, deposits and services may decline;
- loan delinquencies, problem assets and foreclosures may increase;
- weak economic conditions could limit the demand for loans by creditworthy borrowers, limiting our capacity to leverage our retail deposits and maintain our net interest income;
- collateral for our loans may decline in value; and
- the amount of our low-cost or non-interest-bearing deposits may decrease.

Our financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected by changes in accounting policies, standards and interpretations.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), regulatory agencies and other bodies that establish accounting standards periodically change the financial accounting and reporting standards governing the

Table of Contents

preparation of our financial statements. Additionally, those bodies that establish and interpret the accounting standards (such as the FASB, SEC and banking regulators) may change prior interpretations or positions on how these standards should be applied. These changes can be difficult to predict and can materially affect how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations.

Of the newly issued guidance, the most significant to us is the FASB Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2016-13, *Financial Instruments – Credit Losses (Topic 326)*, commonly referred to as CECL, which introduces new guidance for the accounting for credit losses on instruments within its scope. This ASU introduces an expected losses approach for calculating credit reserves on certain types of financial instruments. It also modifies the impairment model for debt securities available for sale and provides for a simplified accounting model for purchased financial assets with credit deterioration since their origination. For further information, see Note 2, *New Accounting Standards* of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

We could be adversely affected by changes in the law, especially changes in the regulation of the banking industry.

We operate in a highly regulated environment and our businesses are subject to supervision and regulation by several governmental agencies, including the SEC, FRB, OCC, CFPB, FDIC, FSOC and state regulatory and licensing agencies. Regulations are generally intended to provide protection for depositors, borrowers and other customers, as well as the stability of the financial services industry, rather than for investors in our securities. We are subject to changes in federal and state law, regulations, governmental policies, tax laws and accounting principles. Changes in regulations or the regulatory environment could adversely affect the banking and financial services industry as a whole and could limit our growth and the return to investors by restricting such activities as:

- the payment of dividends;
- mergers with or acquisitions of other institutions or branches;
- investments;
- loans and interest rates;
- assessments of fees, such as overdraft and electronic transfer interchange fees;
- the provision of securities, insurance, brokerage or trust services;
- the types of non-deposit activities in which our subsidiaries may engage; and
- offering of new products and services.

Under regulatory capital adequacy guidelines and other regulatory requirements, FNB and FNBPA must meet guidelines subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors. From time to time, the regulators implement changes to those regulatory capital adequacy guidelines. Changes resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act and the regulatory accords on international banking institutions formulated by the Basel Committee on banking supervision and implemented by the FRB, when fully phased in, will likely require FNB to satisfy additional, more stringent and complex capital adequacy standards (see discussion under *Business – Government Supervision and Regulation – Basel III Capital Rules*).

These changes to present capital and liquidity requirements could restrict our activities and require us to maintain additional capital. Compliance with heightened capital standards may reduce our ability to generate or originate revenue-producing assets and thereby restrict revenue generation from banking and non-banking operations. If we fail to meet these minimum liquidity capital guidelines and other regulatory requirements, our financial condition would be materially and adversely affected.

The Dodd-Frank Act effects fundamental changes in the regulation of the financial services industry, some of which may adversely affect our business.

The Dodd-Frank Act imposes regulatory requirements and oversight over banks and other financial institutions in a number of ways, among which are: (i) created the CFPB to regulate consumer financial products and services

Table of Contents

sold by banks and non-banks, and to review their compliance with federal consumer protection unfair and deceptive practice standards and fair lending laws; (ii) created the FSOC to identify and impose stronger regulatory oversight on large financial firms and to identify systemic risks; (iii) granting orderly liquidation authority to the FDIC for the liquidation of financial corporations that pose a risk to the financial system of the U.S.; (iv) limiting debit card interchange fees; (v) adopting certain changes to stockholder rights, including a stockholder say on pay vote on executive compensation; (vi) strengthening the SEC's powers to regulate securities markets; (vii) regulating OTC derivative markets; (viii) making more loans subject to provisions for higher cost loans, new disclosures, and certain other revisions; (ix) providing consumers a defense of set-off or recoupment in a foreclosure or collection action if the lender violates the newly created reasonable ability to repay provision; (x) amending the Truth in Lending Act with respect to mortgage originations, including originator compensation, disallowing mandatory arbitration, and prepayment considerations; (xi) the Volcker Rule which, among other things, imposes restrictions on proprietary trading and investment activities of banks and bank holding companies and restricts the sponsoring of hedge funds or private equity funds; (xii) reform related to the regulation of credit rating agencies; and (xiii) placing additional and sometimes more time consuming requirements on the process of foreclosing on residential mortgage loans in default.

Regulators are tasked with adopting regulations that implement and define the breadth and scope of the Dodd-Frank Act, many of which have yet to be implemented. A number of the regulations that must be adopted under the Dodd-Frank Act have yet to be proposed, and it is difficult to gauge the impact of certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act because so many important details related to the concepts adopted in the Dodd-Frank Act were left within the sole discretion of the regulators. For example, the CFPB has the power to adopt new regulations, such as the QM Rule, to protect consumers, which power it may exercise at its discretion so long as it advances the general concept of the protection of consumers.

Consequently, the full impact of these regulations and other regulations to be adopted pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act remains unclear, but they may impair our ability to meet all of the product needs of our customers, lead customers to seek financial solutions and products through non-banking channels and adversely affect our profitability. Moreover, the increased regulatory scrutiny resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act regulations will likely continue to increase our cost of compliance, divert our resources and may adversely affect profitability.

Among those regulations that have been proposed or adopted, the following may adversely affect our business:

- limitations on debit card interchange fees may adversely affect our revenues and earnings;
- changing the methodology for calculating deposit insurance premium rates will become more complex, less predictable and more pro-cyclical, diverting our resources and potentially having a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay dividends;
- changing the procedures for liquidation may adversely impact our credit ratings and adversely impact our liquidity, financial condition, and our ability to adequately fund our activities;
- increases in requirements for regulatory capital while eliminating certain sources of capital may adversely affect our financial condition and ability to pay dividends;
- the ability to pay interest on commercial demand deposit accounts may increase our interest expenses;
- and
- uncertainty as to the types of activities which may be deemed unfair and deceptive practices which may impact fee income opportunities.

These provisions may limit the types of products we are able to offer, the methods of offering them and prices at which they are offered. They may also increase the cost of offering these products. These provisions likely will affect different financial institutions in different ways, and therefore, may also affect the competitive landscape.

Increases in or required prepayments of FDIC insurance premiums may adversely affect our earnings.

In order to maintain a strong funding position and restore reserve ratios of the DIF, the FDIC has increased assessment rates of insured institutions. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the minimum reserve ratio for the DIF

Table of Contents

was increased from 1.15% to 1.35% of estimated insured deposits, or the assessment base, and the FDIC was directed to take the steps needed to cause the reserve ratio of the DIF to reach 1.35% of estimated insured deposits by September 30, 2020. As part of its long-range management plan to ensure that the DIF is able to maintain a positive balance despite banking crises and steady, moderate assessment rates despite economic and credit cycles, the FDIC set the DIF's designated reserve ratio (DRR) at 2% of estimated insured deposits. The FDIC is required to offset the effect of the increased minimum reserve ratio for banks with assets of less than \$10 billion, so smaller community banks will be spared the cost of funding the increase in the minimum reserve ratio.

Historically, the FDIC utilized a risk-based assessment system that imposed insurance premiums based upon a risk matrix that takes into account several components, including but not limited to the bank's capital level and supervisory rating. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the FDIC amended its regulations to base insurance assessments on the average consolidated assets less the average tangible equity of the insured depository institution during the assessment period; to set deposit insurance assessment rates in light of the new assessment base; and to revise the assessment system applicable to large banks (those having at least \$10 billion in total assets) to better differentiate for the risks that a large bank could pose to the DIF.

The likely effect of the new assessment scheme will be to increase assessment fees for institutions that rely more heavily on non-deposit funding sources. However, the higher assessments for institutions that have relied on non-deposit sources of funding in the past could force these institutions to change their funding models and more actively search for deposits. If this happens, it could drive up the costs to attain deposits across the market, a situation that would negatively impact community banks like FNBPA, which derive the majority of their funding from deposits.

We generally will be unable to control the amount of premiums that we are required to pay for FDIC insurance. Any future increases in or required prepayments of FDIC insurance premiums may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. In light of the recent increases in the assessment rates, the potential for additional increases, and our status as a large bank, FNBPA may be required to pay additional amounts to the DIF, which could have an adverse effect on our earnings. If FNBPA's deposit insurance premium assessment rate increases again, either because of our risk classification, because of emergency assessments, or because of another uniform increase, our earnings could be further adversely impacted.

We must comply with stress-testing requirements.

The stress testing requirements under the Dodd-Frank Act stipulate that all U.S. banks and bank holding companies with consolidated assets between \$10 billion and \$50 billion are required to conduct annual stress tests calculated under a multi-scenario analysis (see discussion under Business – Government Supervision and Regulation – *Stress Testing*).

The economic and financial market scenarios used in the annual company-run stress test include baseline, adverse and severely adverse scenarios. Each scenario includes numerous variables, including economic activity, unemployment, exchange rates, prices, incomes and interest rates. The adverse and severely adverse scenarios are not forecasts, but rather hypothetical scenarios designed to assess the strength and resilience of financial institutions under severe economic conditions. If we fail to meet these stress-test requirements, we could be required to take certain actions, including raising additional capital. The results of the stress test could also impact the FRB's decision-making regarding future dividend payments, as well as acquisitions and new business activities by FNB.

An interruption in or breach in security of our information systems may result in a loss of customer business and have an adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

As part of our business, we collect, process and retain sensitive and confidential client and customer information in both paper and electronic form and rely heavily on communications and information systems for these

Table of Contents

functions. Additionally, certain of these data processing functions are not handled by us directly, but are outsourced to third party providers. We devote significant resources and management focus to ensuring the integrity of our systems, including adoption of policies and plans that involve our third party providers to detect and deter cyber-related crimes intended to infiltrate our networks, capture sensitive client and customer information, deny service to customers, or harm electronic processing capabilities. Despite these efforts, our facilities and systems, and those of our third party service providers, may be vulnerable to security breaches, acts of vandalism, computer viruses or compromises, misplaced or lost data, programming and/or human errors or other similar events. Any security breach involving the misappropriation, loss or other unauthorized disclosure of our confidential business, employee or customer information, whether originating with us, our vendors or retail businesses, could severely damage our reputation, expose us to the risks of civil litigation and liability, disrupt our operations, and have a material adverse effect on our business. Moreover, cyber-security risks appear to be growing. In the last few years, there have been an increasing number of cyber incidents, including several well-publicized cyber-attacks that targeted other U.S. companies, including financial services companies much larger than us. As technology advances, the ability to initiate transactions and access data has also become more widely distributed among mobile devices, personal computers, automated teller machines, remote deposit capture sites and similar access points, some of which are not controlled or secured by FNB. It is possible that we could have exposure to liability and suffer losses as a result of a security breach or cyber-attack that occurred through no fault of FNB. Although we maintain specific cyber insurance coverage, which would apply in the event of various breach scenarios, the amount of coverage may not be adequate in any particular case. In addition, cyber threat scenarios are inherently difficult to predict and can take many forms, many of which may not be covered under our cyber insurance coverage. As cyber threats continue to evolve and increase, we may be required to spend significant additional resources to continue to modify or enhance our protective and preventative measures or to investigate and remediate any information security vulnerabilities.

The banking and financial services industry continually encounters technological change, especially in the systems that are used to deliver products to, and execute transactions on behalf of, customers, and if we fail to continue to invest in technological improvements as they become appropriate or necessary, our ability to compete effectively could be severely impaired.

The banking and financial services industry continually undergoes technological changes, with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. The effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables financial institutions to better serve customers and reduce costs. Our future success will depend, in part, on our ability to address customer needs by using secure technology to provide products and services that will satisfy customer demands, as well as create additional efficiencies in our operations. Many of our competitors have greater resources to invest in technological improvements, and we may not effectively implement new technology-driven products and services or do so as quickly as our competitors. Failure to successfully keep pace with technological change affecting the banking and financial services industry could negatively affect our revenue and profitability.

Our day-to-day operations rely heavily on the proper functioning of products, information systems and services provided by third-party, external vendors.

We rely on certain external vendors to provide products, information systems and services necessary to maintain our day-to-day operations. These third parties provide key components of our business operations such as data processing, recording and monitoring transactions, online banking interfaces and services, Internet connections and network access. While we have selected these third party vendors carefully, we do not control their actions. Any complications caused by these third parties, including those resulting from disruptions in communication services provided by a vendor, failure of a vendor to handle current or higher volumes, cyber-attacks and security breaches at a vendor, failure of a vendor to provide services for any reason or poor performance of services, could adversely affect our ability to deliver products and services to our customers and otherwise conduct our business. Financial or operational

difficulties of a third party vendor could also hurt our operations if those difficulties interfere with the vendor's ability to provide services. Furthermore, our vendors could also be sources

Table of Contents

of operational and information security risk, including from breakdowns or failures of their own systems or capacity constraints. Replacing these third-party vendors could also create significant delay and expense. Problems caused by external vendors could be disruptive to our operations, which could have a material adverse impact on our business and, in turn, our financial condition and results of operations.

Our failure to continue to recruit and retain qualified banking professionals could adversely affect our ability to compete successfully and affect our profitability.

Our continued success and future growth depends heavily on our ability to attract and retain highly skilled and motivated banking professionals. We compete against many institutions with greater financial resources both within our industry and in other industries to attract these qualified individuals. Our failure to recruit and retain adequate talent could reduce our ability to compete successfully and adversely affect our business and profitability.

We could experience significant difficulties and complications in connection with future growth through acquisitions.

We have grown significantly over the last few years, including through acquisitions, and may continue to seek growth by acquiring financial institutions and branches as well as non-depository entities engaged in permissible activities for our financial institution subsidiaries. However, the market for acquisitions is highly competitive. We may not be as successful as we anticipate in identifying financial institutions and branch acquisition candidates, integrating acquired institutions or preventing deposit erosion at acquired institutions or branches. Even if we are successful with this strategy, there can be no assurance that we will be able to manage this growth adequately and profitably. For example, acquiring any bank or non-bank entity will involve risks commonly associated with acquisitions, including:

- potential exposure to unknown or contingent liabilities, including fraud, of banks and non-bank entities that we acquire;

- exposure to potential asset quality issues of acquired banks and non-bank entities due to different underwriting standards that may have been employed by the predecessor entities;

- potential disruption to our business;

- potential diversion of the time and attention of our management;

- the possible loss of key employees and customers of the banks and other businesses that we acquire; and

- potential dilution of our current stockholders' ownership to the extent that we issue additional shares of stock to pay for those acquisitions.

We may encounter unforeseen expenses, as well as difficulties and complications in integrating expanded operations and new employees without disruption to our overall operations. Following each acquisition, we must expend substantial resources to integrate the entities. The integration of non-banking entities often involves combining different industry cultures and business methodologies. The failure to integrate acquired entities successfully with our existing operations may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. As we grow, our regulatory costs also may become more significant.

In addition to acquisitions, we may expand into additional communities or attempt to strengthen our position in our current markets by undertaking additional de novo branch openings. Based on our experience, we believe that it generally takes up to three years for new banking facilities to achieve operational profitability due to the impact of organizational and overhead expenses and the start-up phase of generating loans and deposits. To the extent that we undertake additional de novo branch openings or branch acquisitions, we are likely to continue to experience the effects of higher operating expenses relative to operating income from the new banking facilities, which may have an adverse effect on our net income, earnings per share, return on average stockholders' equity and return on average

assets.

Table of Contents

Our growth may require us to raise additional capital in the future, but that capital may not be available when it is needed.

We are required by federal and state regulatory authorities to maintain adequate levels of capital to support our operations (see the *Government Supervision and Regulation* section included in Item 1 of this Report). As a financial holding company, we seek to maintain capital sufficient to meet the well-capitalized standard set by regulators. We anticipate that our current capital resources will satisfy our capital requirements for the foreseeable future. We may at some point, however, need to raise additional capital to support continued growth, whether such growth occurs organically or through acquisitions.

The availability of additional capital or financing will depend on a variety of factors, many of which are outside of our control, such as market conditions, the general availability of credit, the overall availability of credit to the financial services industry, our credit ratings and credit capacity, marketability of our stock, as well as the possibility that lenders could develop a negative perception of our long- or short-term financial prospects if we incur large credit losses or if the level of business activity decreases due to economic conditions. Accordingly, there can be no assurance of our ability to expand our operations through internal growth or acquisitions. As such, we may be forced to delay raising capital, issue shorter term securities than desired or bear an unattractive cost of capital, which could decrease profitability and significantly reduce financial flexibility. In addition, if we decide to raise additional equity capital, it could be dilutive to our existing stockholders.

Our key assets include our brand and reputation and our business may be affected by how we are perceived in the market place.

Our brand and our reputation are key assets of FNB. Our ability to attract and retain banking, insurance, consumer finance, wealth management and corporate clients and employees is highly dependent upon external perceptions of our level of service, security, trustworthiness, business practices and financial condition. Negative perceptions or publicity regarding these matters could damage our reputation among existing customers and corporate clients and employees, which could make it difficult for us to attract new clients and employees and retain existing ones. Adverse developments with respect to the financial services industry may also, by association, negatively impact our reputation, or result in greater regulatory or legislative scrutiny or litigation against us. Although we monitor developments for areas of potential risk to our reputation and brand, negative perceptions or publicity could materially and adversely affect our revenues and profitability.

We are dependent on dividends from our subsidiaries to meet our financial obligations and pay dividends to stockholders.

We are a holding company and conduct almost all of our operations through our subsidiaries. We do not have any significant assets other than cash and the stock of our subsidiaries. Accordingly, we depend on dividends from our subsidiaries to meet our financial obligations and to pay dividends to stockholders. Our right to participate in any distribution of earnings or assets of our subsidiaries is subject to the prior claims of creditors of such subsidiaries. Under federal law, the amount of dividends that a national bank, such as FNBPA, may pay in a calendar year is dependent on the amount of our net income for the current year combined with our retained net income for the two preceding years. The OCC has the authority to prohibit FNBPA from paying dividends if it determines such payment would be an unsafe and unsound banking practice. Likewise, FNB's state-based entities are subject to state laws governing dividend practices and payments.

Regulatory authorities may restrict our ability to pay dividends on and repurchase our common stock.

Dividends on our common stock will be payable only if, when and as authorized and declared by our board of directors. In addition, banking laws and regulations and our banking regulators may limit our ability to pay dividends and make share repurchases. For example, our ability to make capital distributions, including our ability to pay dividends or repurchase shares of our common stock, is subject to the review and non-objection of

Table of Contents

our annual capital plan by the FRB. In certain circumstances, we will not be able to make a capital distribution unless the FRB has approved such distribution, including if the dividend could not be fully funded by our net income over the last four quarters (net of dividends paid), our prospective rate of earnings retention appears inconsistent with our capital needs, asset quality, and overall financial condition, or we will not be able to continue meeting minimum required capital ratios. As a bank holding company, we also are required to consult with the FRB before increasing dividends or redeeming or repurchasing capital instruments. Additionally, the FRB could prohibit or limit our payment of dividends if it determines that payment of the dividend would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice. There can be no assurance that we will declare and pay any dividends or repurchase any shares of our common stock in the future.

We have outstanding securities senior to the common stock which could limit our ability to pay dividends on our common stock.

We have outstanding TPS and Series E preferred stock that are senior to the common stock and could adversely affect our ability to declare or pay dividends or distributions on our common stock. The terms of the TPS prohibit us from declaring or paying dividends or making distributions on our junior capital stock, including the common stock, or purchasing, acquiring, or making a liquidation payment on any junior capital stock, if: (1) an event of default has occurred and is continuing under the junior subordinated debentures underlying the TPS, (2) we are in default with respect to a guarantee payment under the guarantee of the related TPS or (3) we have given notice of our election to defer interest payments, but the related deferral period has not yet commenced or a deferral period is continuing. We also would be prohibited from paying dividends on our common stock unless all full dividends for the latest dividend period have been declared and paid on all outstanding shares of the Series E preferred stock. If we experience a material deterioration in our financial condition, liquidity, capital, results of operations or risk profile, our regulators may not permit us to make future payments on our TPS or preferred stock, which would also prevent us from paying any dividends on our common stock.

Certain provisions of our Articles of Incorporation and By-laws and Pennsylvania law may discourage takeovers.

Our Articles of Incorporation and By-laws contain certain anti-takeover provisions that may discourage or may make more difficult or expensive a tender offer, change in control or takeover attempt that is opposed by our Board of Directors. In particular, our Articles of Incorporation and By-laws:

- require shareholders to give us advance notice to nominate candidates for election to our Board of Directors or to make shareholder proposals at a shareholders' meeting;
- permit our Board of Directors to issue, without approval of our common shareholders unless otherwise required by law, preferred stock with such terms as our Board of Directors may determine;
- require the vote of the holders of at least 75% of our voting shares for shareholder amendments to our By-laws;
- in the case of a proposed business combination with a shareholder owning 10% or more of the voting shares of FNB, the vote of the holders of at least two-thirds of the voting shares not owned by such shareholder is required to approve the business combination, unless it is approved by a majority of FNB's disinterested directors.

Under Pennsylvania law, only shareholders holding at least 25% of a corporation's outstanding stock may call a special meeting for any purpose. In addition, Pennsylvania law provides that in discharging their duties, including in the context of a takeover attempt, the board of directors, committees of the board and individual directors may consider a broad range of factors as they deem pertinent, which may include but is not limited to shareholders' interests, in

considering the best interests of the corporation.

These provisions of our Articles of Incorporation and By-laws and of Pennsylvania law could discourage potential acquisition proposals and could delay or prevent a change in control, even though the holders of a

Table of Contents

majority of our stock may consider such proposals desirable. Such provisions could also make it more difficult for third parties to remove and replace members of our Board of Directors. Moreover, these provisions could diminish the opportunities for shareholders to participate in certain tender offers, including tender offers at prices above the then-current market price of our common stock, and may also inhibit increases in the trading price of our common stock that could result from takeover attempts.

Risk Factors Relating to the Pending YDKN Acquisition

Combining FNB and YDKN may be more difficult, costly or time-consuming than expected, and the anticipated benefits and cost savings of the merger with YDKN may not be realized.

FNB and YDKN have operated and, until the completion of the merger, will continue to operate, independently from each other. The success of the merger, including anticipated benefits and cost savings, will depend, in part, on our ability to successfully combine and integrate the businesses of FNBPA and Yadkin Bank within our projected timeframe in a manner that permits growth opportunities and does not materially disrupt existing customer relationships or result in decreased revenues due to loss of customers.

A number of factors could affect our ability to successfully combine our business with YDKN's. Conversion of core data systems is expected to be more challenging than in prior acquisitions because we will need to convert two separate core data systems: YDKN's own core data systems and those of NewBridge Bancorp, which was acquired by YDKN in March 2016 and whose core data systems have not yet been converted to YDKN's core systems. Key employees of YDKN, whose services will be needed to complete the integration process, may elect to terminate their employment as a result of, or in anticipation of, the merger. The integration process itself could be disruptive to ours or YDKN's ongoing businesses, causing loss of momentum in one or more of their businesses or inconsistencies or changes in standards, practices, business models, controls, procedures and policies that could adversely affect our ability to maintain relationships with customers and employees. If we encounter significant difficulties in the integration process, the anticipated benefits of the merger may not be realized fully, or at all, or may take longer to realize than expected. Failure to achieve the anticipated benefits of the merger in the projected timeframes could result in increased costs and decreased revenues. This could adversely affect the combined company's earnings per share.

If the merger is not completed, we will have incurred substantial expenses without realizing the expected benefits of the merger.

We have incurred and will continue to incur substantial expenses in connection with the proposed merger with YDKN, which are charged to earnings as incurred. If the merger is not completed, these expenses will still be charged to earnings even though we would not have realized the expected benefits of the merger.

Termination of the merger agreement could negatively impact our prospects and stock price.

If the merger agreement with YDKN is terminated, there may be various adverse consequences to FNB. For example, we may have failed to pursue other beneficial opportunities due to the focus of management on the merger with YDKN without realizing any of the anticipated benefits of completing the merger, and there can be no assurance that we would be successful in competing with other financial institutions for other potential acquisition candidates. Additionally, the market price of our common stock could decline to the extent that the current market prices reflect a market assumption that the merger will be completed.

Regulatory approvals for the merger may not be received, may take longer than expected or may impose conditions that are not presently anticipated or cannot be met.

Before the merger between FNB and YDKN and the merger between their bank subsidiaries may be completed, various approvals must be obtained from bank regulatory agencies and other governmental authorities, including

Table of Contents

the FRB and the OCC. These governmental entities may not grant approval of either the merger or the bank merger, may engage in an extended regulatory review process, or may impose conditions on the granting of their approvals. The regulatory delays, conditions or changes they impose, as well as the process of obtaining regulatory approvals, could have the effect of delaying completion of the merger or of imposing additional costs or limitations on us following the merger. We may elect not to consummate the merger if, in connection with any regulatory approval required to consummate the merger, any governmental or regulatory entity imposes a restriction, requirement or condition on us that, individually or in the aggregate, would be reasonably likely to have a material and adverse effect on us and our subsidiaries, taken as a whole, after giving effect to the merger. As a result, there can be no assurance that the desired regulatory approvals for the merger will be obtained or that the merger will be completed.

We may be subject to business uncertainties while the merger is pending, which could result in loss of key employees or customers.

Uncertainties about the effect of the merger on employees and customers may have an adverse effect on us, as well as YDKN. These uncertainties may impair our or YDKN's ability to attract, retain and motivate key personnel until the merger is completed, and could cause customers and others that deal with us or YDKN to consider changing their existing business relationships with us or YDKN. Retention of employees while the merger is pending may be challenging, as they may experience uncertainty about their future roles. Departure of key employees and loss of customers could negatively affect our ability to realize the anticipated benefits of the merger.

We may not be able to compete successfully in YDKN's market area or in specialty lending areas that are part of YDKN's business.

We have no prior operating experience in YDKN's market area (North Carolina and South Carolina). YDKN's market area also is geographically remote from our existing market areas, and is a more competitive market environment than our primary markets in Pennsylvania, Maryland and Ohio. Our success in YDKN's market will depend, in part, on our ability to enter YDKN's markets with products and services that YDKN does not currently offer, such as asset-based lending, wealth management and private banking. This business strategy will require us to attract and retain qualified and experienced personnel in YDKN's markets to support those new products and services. Competition for qualified personnel may be intense, and we may be unable to recruit these individuals away from other banks and financial institutions, or may be unable to do so at a reasonable cost. YDKN also engages in certain specialty lending areas, such as Small Business Administration lending, in which we have not developed comparable expertise, and we must rely on YDKN's existing teams of bankers to maintain and expand those lending areas. Although we will seek to retain key YDKN employees following the completion of the merger, including certain members of YDKN's senior management, there can be no guarantee that we will be able to retain those employees. Moreover, the geographic distance between YDKN's markets and our primary markets, as well as the lack of awareness of our brand in YDKN's market area, could adversely affect our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel and our overall ability to operate successfully and compete in this new market area. We could lose existing customers or fail to acquire new customers in this new market, may not adequately address our new market in terms of the products and services offered, and may fail to compete successfully with financial institutions already established within this market area.

The merger may not be accretive, and may be dilutive, to our earnings per share, which may negatively affect the market price of FNB's common stock.

We currently expect the merger to be accretive to earnings per share in the first full calendar year after closing (excluding one-time charges). This expectation, however, is based on preliminary estimates which may materially change, including the currently expected timing of the merger. We may encounter additional transaction- and integration-related costs or other factors such as a delay in the closing of the merger, may fail to realize all of the

benefits anticipated in the merger or may be subject to other factors that affect preliminary

Table of Contents

estimates or our ability to realize operational efficiencies. Any of these factors could cause a decrease in our earnings per share or decrease or delay the expected accretive effect of the merger and contribute to a decrease in the price of our common stock.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

NONE.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our corporate headquarters are located in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. The Pittsburgh headquarters, which are leased, are also occupied by Community Banking, Wealth Management and Insurance employees. During the fourth quarter of 2016, we expanded our corporate headquarters campus to include a new operations and customer service center, which is also leased and will house nearly 100 additional operations and customer service personnel. We also lease office space for regional headquarters in the Cleveland, Ohio and Baltimore, Maryland markets. In Hermitage, Pennsylvania, we continue to maintain administrative offices, as well as offices for Community Banking and Wealth Management personnel, in a six-story office building, and a data processing and technology center in a two-story office building, both of which are owned by us. Additionally, we lease other office space in Harrisburg and Hermitage, Pennsylvania, which house various support departments.

The operating leases for the Community Banking and Consumer Finance branches/retail offices expire at various dates through the year 2035 and generally include options to renew. For additional information regarding the lease commitments, see Note 8, *Premises and Equipment* in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

Following is a table that shows the branches/retail offices, by state, for the Community Banking and Consumer Finance segments, as well as the total branches/retail offices owned and leased for each of these segments:

| December 31, 2016 | Community Banking | Consumer Finance |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Pennsylvania | 260 | 24 |
| Ohio | 31 | 19 |
| Maryland | 31 | |
| West Virginia | 2 | |
| Tennessee | | 16 |
| Kentucky | | 18 |
| Total number of branches/retail offices | 324 | 77 |
| Total branches/retail offices owned | 173 | |
| Total branches/retail offices leased | 151 | 77 |

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The information required by this Item is set forth in the *Other Legal Proceedings* discussion in Note 14, *Commitments, Credit Risk and Contingencies* in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report, and which portion is incorporated herein by reference in response to this Item.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not Applicable.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT**

The name, age and principal occupation for each of our executive officers as of January 31, 2017 are set forth below:

| Name | Age | Principal Occupation |
|---------------------------|-----|---|
| Vincent J. Delie, Jr. | 52 | President and Chief Executive Officer of FNB; Chief Executive Officer of FNBPA |
| Vincent J. Calabrese, Jr. | 54 | Chief Financial Officer of FNB; Executive Vice President of FNBPA |
| Gary L. Guerrieri | 56 | Chief Credit Officer of FNB; Executive Vice President of FNBPA |
| James G. Orié | 58 | Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary of FNB; Senior Vice President of FNBPA |
| Timothy G. Rubritz | 62 | Corporate Controller and Senior Vice President of FNB |
| Robert M. Moorehead | 62 | Chief Wholesale Banking Officer of FNBPA |
| Barry C. Robinson | 53 | Chief Consumer Banking Officer of FNBPA |

There are no family relationships among any of the above executive officers, and there is no arrangement or understanding between any of the above executive officers and any other person pursuant to which he was selected as an officer. The executive officers are elected by our Board of Directors subject in certain cases to the terms of an employment agreement between the officer and us.

PART II.**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Our common stock is listed on the NYSE under the symbol FNB. The accompanying table shows the range of high and low sales prices per share of the common stock as reported by the NYSE for 2016 and 2015. The table also shows dividends per share paid on the outstanding common stock during those periods. As of January 31, 2017, there were 10,927 holders of record of our common stock.

| | Low | High | Dividends |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Quarter Ended 2016 | | | |
| March 31 | \$ 11.16 | \$ 13.40 | \$ 0.12 |
| June 30 | 11.69 | 13.59 | 0.12 |
| September 30 | 11.75 | 13.44 | 0.12 |

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----|--------------|----|--------------|----|-------------|
| December 31 | | 12.08 | | 16.43 | | 0.12 |
| Quarter Ended 2015 | | | | | | |
| March 31 | \$ | 11.82 | \$ | 13.43 | \$ | 0.12 |
| June 30 | | 12.85 | | 14.61 | | 0.12 |
| September 30 | | 12.00 | | 14.80 | | 0.12 |
| December 31 | | 12.31 | | 14.66 | | 0.12 |

The information required by this Item 5 with respect to securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans is set forth in Part III, Item 12 of this Report.

We did not purchase any of our own equity securities during the fourth quarter of 2016.

Table of Contents

STOCK PERFORMANCE GRAPH

Comparison of Total Return on F.N.B. Corporation's Common Stock with Certain Averages

The following five-year performance graph compares the cumulative total shareholder return (assuming reinvestment of dividends) on our common stock (") to the NASDAQ Bank Index (), the Russell 2000 Index (p), the KBW Regional Banking Index (X) and the S&P MidCap 400 Index (O). This stock performance graph assumes \$100 was invested on December 31, 2011, and the cumulative return is measured as of each subsequent fiscal year end.

F.N.B. Corporation Five-Year Stock Performance

Total Return, Including Stock and Cash Dividends

The base year reflects the impact of lost revenue and added costs associated with the Durbin Amendment of the Dodd-Frank Act and other regulatory items due to crossing the \$10 billion asset threshold in 2012.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

Dollars in thousands, except per share data

| Year Ended December 31 | 2016 (1) | 2015 (2) | 2014 (3) | 2013 (4) | 2012 (5) |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total interest income | \$ 678,963 | \$ 546,795 | \$ 508,983 | \$ 440,386 | \$ 431,906 |
| Total interest expense | 67,451 | 48,573 | 42,686 | 44,344 | 59,055 |
| Net interest income | 611,512 | 498,222 | 466,297 | 396,042 | 372,851 |
| Provision for credit losses | 55,752 | 40,441 | 38,648 | 31,090 | 31,302 |
| Total non-interest income | 201,761 | 162,410 | 158,274 | 135,778 | 131,252 |
| Total non-interest expense | 511,133 | 390,549 | 379,253 | 338,170 | 318,618 |
| Net income | 170,891 | 159,649 | 144,050 | 117,804 | 110,410 |
| Net income available to common stockholders | 162,850 | 151,608 | 135,698 | 117,804 | 110,410 |
| At Year-End | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 21,844,817 | \$ 17,557,662 | \$ 16,127,090 | \$ 13,563,405 | \$ 12,023,976 |
| Net loans | 14,738,884 | 12,048,428 | 11,121,112 | 9,395,310 | 8,033,345 |
| Deposits | 16,065,647 | 12,623,463 | 11,382,208 | 10,198,232 | 9,082,174 |
| Short-term borrowings | 2,503,010 | 2,048,896 | 2,041,658 | 1,241,239 | 1,083,138 |
| Long-term borrowings | 539,494 | 641,480 | 541,443 | 219,133 | 293,444 |
| Total stockholders equity | 2,571,617 | 2,096,182 | 2,021,456 | 1,774,383 | 1,402,069 |
| Per Common Share | | | | | |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.79 | \$ 0.87 | \$ 0.81 | \$ 0.81 | \$ 0.79 |
| Diluted earnings per share | 0.78 | 0.86 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.79 |
| Cash dividends declared | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| Book value | 11.68 | 11.34 | 11.00 | 10.49 | 10.02 |
| Tangible book value (non-GAAP) (6) | 6.53 | 6.38 | 5.99 | 5.38 | 4.92 |
| Ratios | | | | | |
| Return on average assets | 0.83% | 0.96% | 0.96% | 0.93% | 0.94% |
| Return on average tangible assets (non-GAAP) (6) | 0.92 | 1.06 | 1.08 | 1.04 | 1.05 |
| Return on average equity | 6.84 | 7.70 | 7.50 | 7.78 | 8.02 |
| Return on average tangible common equity (non-GAAP) (6) | 12.89 | 14.46 | 14.91 | 16.66 | 17.64 |
| Dividend payout ratio | 62.43 | 55.74 | 59.85 | 60.48 | 61.27 |
| Average equity to average assets | 12.09 | 12.48 | 12.84 | 11.98 | 11.68 |

(1) On April 22, 2016 and February 13, 2016, we completed our purchase of 17 branch-banking locations and related consumer loans from Fifth Third Bank and completed the acquisition of Metro Bancorp, Inc., respectively.

(2)

On September 18, 2015, we completed our purchase of five branch-banking locations from Bank of America. On June 22 and July 18, 2015, we, through our wholly owned subsidiary, First National Insurance Agency, LLC, acquired certain insurance-related assets from Pittsburgh-area insurance companies.

- (3) On February 15, 2014 and September 19, 2014, we completed the acquisitions of BCSB Bancorp, Inc. and OBA Financial Services, Inc., respectively.
- (4) On April 6, 2013 and October 12, 2013, we completed the acquisitions of Annapolis Bancorp, Inc. and PVF Capital Corp., respectively.
- (5) On January 1, 2012, we completed the acquisition of Parkvale Financial Corporation.
- (6) Refer to the *Non-GAAP Financial Measures and Key Performance Indicators* section in Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of this Report.

Table of Contents**QUARTERLY EARNINGS SUMMARY**

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

| Quarter Ended 2016 | Dec. 31 | Sept. 30 | June 30 | Mar. 31 |
|---|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Total interest income | \$ 177,168 | \$ 175,110 | \$ 170,931 | \$ 155,754 |
| Total interest expense | 17,885 | 17,604 | 16,562 | 15,400 |
| Net interest income | 159,283 | 157,506 | 154,369 | 140,354 |
| Provision for credit losses | 12,705 | 14,639 | 16,640 | 11,768 |
| Net securities gains | 116 | 299 | 226 | 71 |
| Other non-interest income | 50,950 | 52,941 | 51,185 | 45,973 |
| Total non-interest expense | 123,806 | 121,050 | 129,629 | 136,648 |
| Net income | 51,291 | 52,168 | 41,300 | 26,132 |
| Net income available to common stockholders | 49,280 | 50,158 | 39,290 | 24,122 |
| Per Common Share | | | | |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.24 | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0.12 |
| Diluted earnings per share | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.12 |
| Cash dividends declared | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| Quarter Ended 2015 | | | | |
| Total interest income | \$ 140,781 | \$ 137,197 | \$ 135,448 | \$ 133,369 |
| Total interest expense | 13,448 | 11,996 | 11,681 | 11,448 |
| Net interest income | 127,333 | 125,201 | 123,767 | 121,921 |
| Provision for credit losses | 12,664 | 10,777 | 8,864 | 8,136 |
| Net securities gains (losses) | 503 | 314 | 14 | (9) |
| Other non-interest income | 42,614 | 41,045 | 39,738 | 38,191 |
| Total non-interest expense | 101,246 | 98,149 | 96,499 | 94,655 |
| Net income | 39,122 | 40,053 | 40,131 | 40,343 |
| Net income available to common stockholders | 37,111 | 38,043 | 38,121 | 38,333 |
| Per Common Share | | | | |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.22 | \$ 0.22 | \$ 0.22 |
| Diluted earnings per share | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| Cash dividends declared | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 |

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management's discussion and analysis represents an overview of our consolidated results of operations and financial condition. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes presented in Item 8 of this Report. Results of operations for the periods included in this review are not necessarily indicative of results to be obtained during any future period.

IMPORTANT CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This Report contains forward looking statements which may contain our expectations or predictions of future financial or business performance or conditions. Forward-looking statements, that do not describe historical or current facts, typically are identified by words such as, believe , plan , expect , anticipate , intend , outlook , estimate , fore should , project , goal , and other similar words and expressions. These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties. The forward-looking statements are intended to be subject to the safe harbor provided under Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Table of Contents

In addition to factors previously disclosed in the Risk Factors section included in Item 1A of this Report, the following risk factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from forward-looking statements or historical performance: changes in asset quality and credit risk; changes in general economic, political or industry conditions; uncertainty in U.S. fiscal policy and monetary policy, including interest rate policies of the FRB; the inability to sustain revenue and earnings growth; changes in interest rates and capital markets; inflation; customer acceptance of our products and services; customer borrowing, repayment, investment and deposit practices; customer disintermediation; the introduction, withdrawal, success and timing of business initiatives; the inability to realize cost savings or revenues or to implement integration plans and other consequences expected in connection with mergers, acquisitions and divestitures; the impact, extent and timing of technological changes, capital management activities, competitive pressures on product pricing and services; ability to keep pace with technological changes, including changes regarding maintaining cybersecurity; success, impact and timing of our business strategies, including market acceptance of any new products or services; and implementing our banking philosophy and strategies. Additional risks include the nature, extent, timing and results of governmental and regulatory actions, examinations, reviews, reforms, regulations and interpretations, including those related to the Dodd-Frank Act and Basel III regulatory or capital reforms (including DFAST), as well as those involving the OCC, FRB, FDIC, FSOC and CFPB; and other factors that may affect our future results. There is no assurance that any of the risks, uncertainties or risk factors identified herein is complete and actual results or events may differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements contained in this document/communication/information.

All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made and are based on information available at that time. We do not assume any obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect circumstances or events that occur after the date the forward-looking statements were made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events except as required by federal securities laws. As forward-looking statements involve significant risks and uncertainties, caution should be exercised against placing undue reliance on such statements.

APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Application of these principles requires management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates, assumptions and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the consolidated financial statements; accordingly, as this information changes, the consolidated financial statements could reflect different estimates, assumptions and judgments. Certain policies inherently are based to a greater extent on estimates, assumptions and judgments of management and, as such, have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported.

The most significant accounting policies followed by FNB are presented in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report. These policies, along with the disclosures presented in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, provide information on how we value significant assets and liabilities in the consolidated financial statements, how we determine those values and how we record transactions in the consolidated financial statements.

Management views critical accounting policies to be those which are highly dependent on subjective or complex judgments, estimates and assumptions, and where changes in those estimates and assumptions could have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements. Management currently views the determination of the allowance for credit losses, accounting for acquired loans, fair value of financial instruments, goodwill and other intangible assets, litigation and income taxes to be critical accounting policies.

Table of Contents

Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for credit losses addresses credit losses inherent in the existing loan portfolio and in unfunded loan commitments and standby letters of credit at the balance sheet date, and is presented as a reserve against loans and other liabilities, respectively, on the consolidated balance sheets.

Management's assessment of the appropriateness of the allowance for credit losses considers individual impaired loans, pools of homogeneous loans with similar risk characteristics and other risk factors concerning the economic environment. These analyses involve a high degree of judgment in estimating the amount of loss associated with specific impaired loans, including estimating the amount and timing of future cash flows, current fair value of the underlying collateral and other qualitative risk factors that may affect the loan, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. The evaluation of this component of the allowance for credit losses requires considerable judgment in order to reasonably estimate inherent loss exposures.

Loans with similar risk characteristics are categorized into pools based on loan type and by internal risk rating for commercial loans, or payment performance and FICO score for consumer loans. There is considerable judgment involved in setting internal commercial risk ratings, including an evaluation of the borrower's current financial condition and ability to repay the loan. Transition matrices are generated on a monthly basis to determine probabilities of default, while historical loss experience is used to generate loss given default results for the pools. Inherent but undetected losses may arise due to uncertainties in economic conditions, delays in obtaining information, including unfavorable information about a borrower's financial condition, the difficulty in identifying triggering events that correlate to subsequent loss rates and risk factors that have not yet manifested themselves in loss allocation factors. Uncertainty surrounding the strength and timing of economic cycles also affects estimates of loss. The historical loss experience used in the transition matrices and historical loss experience analysis may not be representative of actual unrealized losses inherent in the portfolio.

Management evaluates the impact of various qualitative factors which pose additional risks that may not adequately be addressed in the analyses described above. Expected loss rates for each loan category may be adjusted for levels of and trends in loan volumes, net charge-offs, delinquency and non-performing loans. In addition, management takes into consideration the impact of changes to lending policies; the experience and depth of lending management and staff; the results of internal loan reviews; concentrations of credit; competition, legal and regulatory risk; market uncertainty and collateral illiquidity; national and local economic trends; or any other common risk factor that might affect loss experience across one or more components of the portfolio. The assessment of relevant economic factors indicates that FNB's primary markets historically tend to lag the national economy, with local economies in our primary market areas also improving or weakening, as the case may be, but at a more measured rate than the national trends. Regional economic factors influencing management's estimate of allowance for credit losses include, but are not limited to, uncertainty of the labor markets, industrial presence, commercial real estate activity and residential real estate values. The determination of this qualitative component of the allowance for credit losses is particularly dependent on the judgment of management. To the extent actual outcomes differ from management estimates, additional provisions for credit losses could be required that may adversely affect our earnings or financial position in future periods.

The Provision for Credit Losses section in the Results of Operations includes a discussion of the factors affecting changes in the allowance for credit losses during the current period. See Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and the Note 6, Loans and Leases in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on the allowance for credit losses.

Accounting for Acquired Loans

All acquired loans are initially measured at fair value at the date of acquisition. The fair value of acquired loans is based on a discounted cash flow methodology that involves assumptions and judgments as to credit risk, default rates, loss severity, collateral values, discount rates, prepayment speeds, prepayment risk and liquidity

Table of Contents

risk. The measurement of fair value on acquired loans prohibits the carryover or establishment of an allowance for loan losses at acquisition date.

Acquired loans are evaluated for impairment in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 310-30. Acquired loans are considered impaired if there is evidence of credit deterioration since origination and if it is probable at time of acquisition that all contractually required payments will not be collected. The present value of any decreases in expected cash flows after the acquisition date will generally result in an impairment charge recorded as a provision for credit losses.

For acquired non-impaired loans, including revolving loans (lines of credit and credit card loans) and leases that are excluded from acquired impaired loan accounting, the difference between the acquisition date fair value and the contractual amounts due at the acquisition date represents the fair value adjustment. Fair value adjustments may be discounts (or premiums) to a loan's cost basis and are accreted (or amortized) to interest income over the loan's remaining life using the level yield method. Subsequent to the acquisition date, the methods utilized to estimate the required allowance for credit losses for these loans is similar to originated loans; however, we record a provision for credit losses only when the required allowance exceeds the remaining fair value adjustment.

These estimates are inherently subjective and can result in significant changes in the cash flow estimates over the life of the loan. To the extent actual outcomes differ from management estimates, the outcome may adversely affect our earnings or financial position in future periods.

See Note 1, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* and Note 6, *Loans and Leases* in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of accounting for acquired loans.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We use fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain financial assets and liabilities and determine fair value disclosure. Additionally, from time to time we may be required to record at fair value other assets on a non-recurring basis, such as mortgage loans held for sale, certain impaired loans, OREO and certain other assets. The accounting guidance for fair value measurements includes a three-level hierarchy for disclosure of assets and liabilities recorded at fair value based on whether the inputs to the valuation methodology used for measurement are observable or unobservable. Judgment is required to determine which level of the three-level hierarchy certain assets or liabilities measured at fair value are classified.

Fair value represents the price that would be received to sell a financial asset or paid to transfer a financial liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. We use significant and complex estimates, assumptions and judgements when assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at, or adjusted to reflect, fair value. Where available, fair value and information used to record valuation adjustments for certain assets or liabilities is based on either quoted market prices or are provided by independent third-party sources, including appraisers and valuation specialists. When such third-party information is not available, we may estimate fair value by using cash flow and other financial modeling techniques. Our assumptions about what a market participant would use in pricing an asset or liability is developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. These estimates are inherently subjective and can result in significant changes in the fair value estimates over the life of the asset or liability. Assets and liabilities carried at fair value inherently result in a higher degree of financial statement volatility.

See Note 1, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* and Note 23, *Fair Value Measurements* in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of accounting for financial instruments.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

As a result of acquisitions, we have recorded goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets on our balance sheet. Goodwill represents the cost of acquired companies in excess of the fair value of net assets, including

Table of Contents

identifiable intangible assets, at the acquisition date. Our recorded goodwill relates to value inherent in our Community Banking, Wealth Management and Insurance and Consumer Finance segments.

The value of goodwill and other identifiable intangibles is dependent upon our ability to provide quality, cost-effective services in the face of competition. As such, these values are supported ultimately by revenue that is driven by the volume of business transacted. A decline in earnings as a result of a lack of growth or our inability to deliver cost-effective services over sustained periods can lead to impairment in value which could result in additional expense and adversely impact earnings in future periods.

Other identifiable intangible assets such as core deposit intangibles, customer renewal lists and mortgage servicing rights are amortized over their estimated useful lives.

We perform a quantitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of each reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. Determining fair values of each reporting unit, of its individual assets and liabilities, and also of other identifiable intangible assets requires considering market information that is publicly available as well as the use of significant estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions could have a significant impact on whether or not an impairment charge is recognized and also the magnitude of any such charge. Inputs used in determining fair values where significant estimates and assumptions are necessary include discounted cash flow calculations, market comparisons and recent transactions, projected future cash flows, discount rates reflecting the risk inherent in future cash flows, long-term growth rates and determination and evaluation of appropriate market comparables.

See Note 1, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, Note 2, *New Accounting Standards* and Note 9, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets.

Income Taxes

We are subject to the income tax laws of the U.S., the states and other jurisdictions where we conduct business. The laws are complex and subject to different interpretations by the taxpayer and various taxing authorities. In determining the provision for income taxes, management must make judgments and estimates about the application of these inherently complex tax statutes, related regulations and case law. In the process of preparing our tax returns, management attempts to make reasonable interpretations of the tax laws. These interpretations are subject to challenge by the taxing authorities or based on management's ongoing assessment of the facts and evolving case law.

On a quarterly basis, management assesses the reasonableness of our effective tax rate based on management's current best estimate of net income and the applicable taxes for the full year. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are assessed on an annual basis, or sooner, if business events or circumstances warrant. Deferred income taxes represent amounts available to reduce income taxes payable on taxable income in future years. Such assets arise because of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and from operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. We evaluate the recoverability of these future tax deductions and credits by assessing the adequacy of future expected taxable income from all sources, including reversal of taxable temporary differences, forecasted operating earnings and available tax planning strategies.

We establish a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that we will not be able to realize a benefit from our deferred tax assets, or when future deductibility is uncertain. Periodically, the valuation allowance is reviewed and adjusted based on management's assessments of realizable deferred tax assets.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements and Developments

Note 2, *New Accounting Standards* in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report, discusses new accounting pronouncements adopted by us in 2016 and the expected impact of accounting pronouncements recently issued or proposed but not yet required to be adopted.

Table of Contents

USE OF NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES AND KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

We use non-GAAP financial measures, such as operating net income available to common stockholders, operating net income per diluted common share, return on average tangible common equity, return on average tangible assets, tangible book value per common share, the ratio of tangible common equity to tangible assets, efficiency ratio, net interest margin and core net interest margin to provide information useful to investors in understanding our operating performance and trends, and to facilitate comparisons with the performance of our peers. Management uses these measures internally to assess and better understand our underlying business performance and trends related to core business activities. The non-GAAP financial measures and key performance indicators used by us may differ from the non-GAAP financial measures and key performance indicators other financial institutions use to assess their performance and trends.

Non-GAAP financial measures should be viewed in addition to, and not as an alternative for, our reported results prepared in accordance with GAAP. Reconciliations of GAAP to non-GAAP operating measures to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures are included later in this report under the heading Non-GAAP Financial Measures and Key Performance Indicators .

Management believes merger expenses are not organic costs to run our operations and facilities. These charges represent expenses to satisfy contractual obligations of the acquired entity without any useful benefit to us and to convert and consolidate the entity's records onto our platforms. These costs are specific to each individual transaction, and may vary significantly based on the size and complexity of the transaction.

For the calculation of net interest margin and efficiency ratio, net interest income amounts are reflected on a fully taxable equivalent (FTE) basis which adjusts for the tax benefit of income on certain tax-exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory tax rate of 35% for each period presented. We use these measures to provide an economic view believed to be the preferred industry measurement for these items and to provide relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts.

OVERVIEW

FNB, headquartered in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, is a diversified financial services company operating in six states and three major metropolitan areas, including Pittsburgh, Baltimore, Maryland and Cleveland, Ohio. As of December 31, 2016, we had 324 banking offices throughout Pennsylvania, Ohio, Maryland and West Virginia. We provide a full range of commercial banking, consumer banking, insurance and wealth management solutions through our subsidiary network which is led by our largest affiliate, FNBPA. Commercial banking solutions include corporate banking, small business banking, investment real estate financing, international banking, business credit, capital markets and lease financing. Consumer banking products and services include deposit products, mortgage lending, consumer lending and a complete suite of mobile and online banking services. Wealth management services include asset management, private banking and insurance. We also operate Regency, which had 77 consumer finance offices in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Kentucky and Tennessee as of December 31, 2016.

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

We continue to grow organically and through our successful merger with METR, which occurred on February 13, 2016 and Fifth Third branch purchase which occurred on April 22, 2016. Net income available to common stockholders for 2016 was \$162.9 million or \$0.78 per diluted share. Excluding the impact of merger-related costs, operating earnings per share would have been \$0.90 per diluted share. The 2016 revenue (net interest income plus non-interest income) of \$813.3 million reflects continued loan and deposit growth and strong performance from

fee-based businesses.

Commercial loan growth during 2016 was largely driven by activity in the Pittsburgh, Baltimore and Cleveland metro markets. Commercial lending opportunities were strong, commensurate with the expanded geographic footprint, including new opportunities provided by the aforementioned METR acquisition.

Table of Contents

We continue to invest in new technology geared towards enhancing the client experience both online and within our retail locations. During 2016, we deployed leading-edge technology to offer extended hours and improved efficiency throughout our footprint.

Additionally, we were recently named as a winner of ten 2016 Greenwich Associates Excellence in Banking Awards. In our fifth consecutive year of recognition by Greenwich Associates, we received both national and regional honors for Small Business and Middle Market Banking.

Income Statement Highlights

Net income available to common stockholders was \$162.9 million for 2016, compared to \$151.6 million for 2015.

Operating net income available to common stockholders (non-GAAP) was \$187.7 million for 2016, compared to \$153.7 million for 2015.

Earnings per diluted common share was \$0.78 for 2016, compared to \$0.86 for 2015.

Operating earnings per diluted common share (non-GAAP) was \$0.90 for 2016, compared to \$0.87 for 2015.

Non-interest income was \$201.8 million for 2016, compared to \$162.4 million for 2015.

Net interest margin on an FTE basis (non-GAAP) was 3.38% for 2016, compared to 3.42% for 2015.

Non-interest expense, excluding merger-related costs, was \$473.7 million for 2016, compared to \$387.5 million for 2015.

The efficiency ratio (non-GAAP) was 55.4% for 2016, compared to 56.1% for 2015.

Balance Sheet Highlights

Total assets were \$21.8 billion at December 31, 2016, compared to \$17.6 billion at December 31, 2015.

Total stockholders' equity was \$2.6 billion at December 31, 2016, compared to \$2.1 billion at December 31, 2015.

Average loans grew 22.4% for 2016, compared to 2015, through continued organic growth and the loans added through the METR acquisition and Fifth Third branch purchase.

Average deposits grew 26.5%, compared to 2015, through continued growth and the deposits added through the METR acquisition and Fifth Third and Bank of America (BofA) branch purchases.

The ratio of loans to deposits was 92.7% at December 31, 2016, compared to 96.6% at December 31, 2015.

Asset quality was satisfactory with a delinquency ratio of 1.04% on the originated portfolio at December 31, 2016, compared to 0.93% at December 31, 2015.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Year Ended December 31, 2016 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2015

Net income available to common stockholders for 2016 was \$162.9 million or \$0.78 per diluted common share, compared to net income available to common stockholders for 2015 of \$151.6 million or \$0.86 per diluted common share. The results for 2016 included \$37.4 million, or \$0.12 per diluted common share, in merger costs and reflect costs and benefits associated with the METR acquisition that closed on February 13, 2016, combined with the Fifth Third branch purchase that closed on April 22, 2016. The results for 2015 included \$3.0 million, or \$0.01 in diluted

common share, in merger costs and reflect costs and benefits associated with the BofA branch purchase that closed on September 18, 2015, combined with costs associated with the METR acquisition. Average diluted common shares outstanding increased 31.4 million shares or 17.8% to 207.8 million shares for 2016, primarily as a result of the METR acquisition.

Table of Contents

The major categories of the income statement and their respective impact to the increase (decrease) in net income are presented below:

| (in thousands, except per share data) | Year Ended December 31 | | \$ | % |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | Change | Change |
| Net interest income | \$ 611,512 | \$ 498,222 | \$ 113,290 | 22.7% |
| Provision for credit losses | 55,752 | 40,441 | 15,311 | 37.9% |
| Non-interest income | 201,761 | 162,410 | 39,351 | 24.2% |
| Non-interest expense | 511,133 | 390,549 | 120,584 | 30.9% |
| Income taxes | 75,497 | 69,993 | 5,504 | 7.9% |
| Net income | \$ 170,891 | \$ 159,649 | \$ 11,242 | 7.0% |
| Less: Preferred stock dividends | 8,041 | 8,041 | | |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ 162,850 | \$ 151,608 | \$ 11,242 | 7.4% |
| Net income per common share Basic | \$ 0.79 | \$ 0.87 | \$ (0.08) | (9.2)% |
| Net income per common share Diluted | 0.78 | 0.86 | (0.08) | (9.3)% |
| Cash dividends per common share | 0.48 | 0.48 | | |

The following table presents selected financial ratios and other relevant data used to analyze our performance.

| Year Ended December 31 | 2016 | 2015 |
|---|--------|--------|
| (dollars in thousands) | | |
| Return on average equity | 6.84% | 7.70% |
| Return on average tangible common equity (non-GAAP) | 12.89% | 14.46% |
| Return on average assets | 0.83% | 0.96% |
| Return on average tangible assets (non-GAAP) | 0.92% | 1.06% |

Average equity for 2016 reflects the impact of the METR acquisition.

In addition to evaluating our results of operations in accordance with GAAP, we routinely supplement our evaluation with an analysis of certain non-GAAP financial measures, such as operating net income, return on average tangible common equity, return on average tangible assets and net interest income on an FTE basis. We believe these non-GAAP financial measures enhance the ability of investors to better understand financial performance and the underlying trends related to core business activities. Additionally, these non-GAAP financial measures facilitate comparisons with the performance of our peers. The non-GAAP financial measures used by us may differ from the non-GAAP financial measures other financial institutions use to measure their results of operations. Non-GAAP financial measures should be viewed in addition to, and not as an alternative for, our reported results prepared in accordance with GAAP.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information regarding the average balances and yields earned on interest-earning assets (non-GAAP) and the average balances and rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities:

Year Ended December 31

| (dollars in thousands) | 2016 | | | 2015 | | | 2014 | | |
|--|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | Average Balance | Interest Income/Expense | Yield/Rate | Average Balance | Interest Income/Expense | Yield/Rate | Average Balance | Interest Income/Expense | Yield/Rate |
| Assets | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits with banks | \$ 116,769 | \$ 444 | 0.38% | \$ 70,116 | \$ 117 | 0.17% | \$ 51,070 | \$ 94 | 0.18% |
| Taxable investment securities (1) | 3,720,800 | 71,853 | 1.93 | 2,864,795 | 58,148 | 2.03 | 2,590,746 | 54,060 | 2.09 |
| Tax-exempt investment securities (1) (2) | 319,836 | 13,815 | 4.32 | 204,076 | 9,853 | 4.83 | 155,608 | 8,148 | 5.24 |
| Residential mortgage loans held for sale | 16,525 | 726 | 4.39 | 7,773 | 382 | 4.91 | 3,932 | 355 | 9.02 |
| Loans (2) (3) | 14,265,032 | 603,373 | 4.23 | 11,650,742 | 485,930 | 4.17 | 10,364,199 | 453,225 | 4.37 |
| Total interest-earning assets (2) | 18,438,962 | 690,211 | 3.74 | 14,797,502 | 554,430 | 3.75 | 13,165,555 | 515,882 | 3.92 |
| Cash and due from banks | 275,432 | | | 206,566 | | | 197,210 | | |
| Allowance for credit losses | (152,751) | | | (133,508) | | | (117,027) | | |
| Premises and equipment | 219,192 | | | 165,253 | | | 163,986 | | |
| Other assets | 1,896,882 | | | 1,570,334 | | | 1,552,416 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 20,677,717 | | | \$ 16,606,147 | | | \$ 14,962,140 | | |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Deposits: | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing demand | \$ 6,652,953 | 16,029 | 0.24 | \$ 5,040,102 | 8,562 | 0.17 | \$ 4,352,050 | 6,812 | 0.16 |
| Savings | 2,237,020 | 1,712 | 0.08 | 1,714,587 | 787 | 0.05 | 1,556,040 | 698 | 0.04 |
| Certificates and other time | 2,600,340 | 23,498 | 0.90 | 2,565,937 | 21,858 | 0.85 | 2,681,055 | 22,093 | 0.82 |
| | 1,975,742 | 12,183 | 0.61 | 1,664,143 | 7,075 | 0.42 | 1,442,842 | 5,638 | 0.39 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|--------|--------------|----------------------|--------|--------------|----------------------|--------|--------------|
| Short-term borrowings | | | | | | | | | |
| Long-term borrowings | 616,283 | 14,029 | 2.28 | 566,914 | 10,291 | 1.82 | 411,433 | 7,445 | 1.81 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 14,082,338 | 67,451 | 0.48 | 11,551,683 | 48,573 | 0.42 | 10,443,420 | 42,686 | 0.41 |
| Non-interest-bearing demand | 3,884,941 | | | 2,832,982 | | | 2,448,546 | | |
| Other liabilities | 210,462 | | | 149,312 | | | 149,734 | | |
| Total liabilities | 18,177,741 | | | 14,533,977 | | | 13,041,700 | | |
| Stockholders equity | 2,499,976 | | | 2,072,170 | | | 1,920,440 | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 20,677,717 | | | \$ 16,606,147 | | | \$ 14,962,140 | | |
| Excess of interest-earning assets over interest-bearing liabilities | \$ 4,356,624 | | | \$ 3,245,819 | | | \$ 2,722,135 | | |
| Net interest income (FTE) (2) | 622,760 | | | 505,857 | | | 473,196 | | |
| Tax-equivalent adjustment | (11,248) | | | (7,635) | | | (6,899) | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 611,512 | | | \$ 498,222 | | | \$ 466,297 | | |
| Net interest spread | | | 3.26% | | | 3.33% | | | 3.51% |
| Net interest margin (2) | | | 3.38% | | | 3.42% | | | 3.59% |

(1) The average balances and yields earned on securities are based on historical cost.

(2) The interest income amounts are reflected on an FTE basis (non-GAAP), which adjusts for the tax benefit of income on certain tax-exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory tax rate of 35% for each period presented. The yield on earning assets and the net interest margin are presented on an FTE basis. We believe this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and provides relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts.

(3) Average balances include non-accrual loans. Loans consist of average total loans less average unearned income
Net Interest Income

Net interest income, which is our major source of revenue, is the difference between interest income from interest-earning assets and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities. In 2016, net interest income,

Table of Contents

which comprised 75.2% of net revenue (net interest income plus non-interest income) compared to 75.4% in 2015, was affected by the general level of interest rates, changes in interest rates, the timing of repricing of assets and liabilities, the shape of the yield curve, the level of non-accrual loans and changes in the amount and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

Net interest income on an FTE basis (non-GAAP) of \$622.8 million for 2016 increased \$116.9 million or 23.1% from \$505.9 million for 2015. Average interest earning assets increased \$3.6 billion or 24.6% and average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$2.5 billion or 21.9% from 2015, primarily due to the METR acquisition, combined with organic growth in loans and deposits. Our net interest margin (non-GAAP) was 3.38% for 2016, compared to 3.42% for 2015, reflecting the impact of an extended low interest rate environment and a competitive landscape for interest-earning assets. The tax-equivalent adjustment to net interest income (non-GAAP) from amounts reported in our financial statements is shown in the preceding table.

The following table provides certain information regarding changes in net interest income on an FTE basis (non-GAAP) attributable to changes in the average volumes and yields earned on interest-earning assets and the average volume and rates paid for interest-bearing liabilities for the periods indicated:

| (in thousands) | 2016 vs 2015 | | | 2015 vs 2014 | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|
| | Volume | Rate | Net | Volume | Rate | Net |
| Interest Income | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits with banks | \$ 112 | \$ 215 | \$ 327 | \$ 30 | \$ (7) | \$ 23 |
| Securities (2) | 22,677 | (5,010) | 17,667 | 7,241 | (1,448) | 5,793 |
| Residential mortgage loans held for sale | 388 | (44) | 344 | 239 | (212) | 27 |
| Loans and leases (2) | 110,659 | 6,784 | 117,443 | 54,258 | (21,553) | 32,705 |
| Total interest income (2) | 133,836 | 1,945 | 135,781 | 61,768 | (23,220) | 38,548 |
| Interest Expense | | | | | | |
| Deposits: | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing demand | 3,868 | 3,599 | 7,467 | 1,560 | 190 | 1,750 |
| Savings | 320 | 605 | 925 | 111 | (22) | 89 |
| Certificates and other time | 297 | 1,343 | 1,640 | (967) | 732 | (235) |
| Short-term borrowings | 2,702 | 2,406 | 5,108 | 2,187 | (750) | 1,437 |
| Long-term borrowings | 954 | 2,784 | 3,738 | 2,823 | 23 | 2,846 |
| Total interest expense | 8,141 | 10,737 | 18,878 | 5,714 | 173 | 5,887 |
| Net change (2) | \$ 125,695 | \$ (8,792) | \$ 116,903 | \$ 56,054 | \$ (23,393) | \$ 32,661 |

(1) The amount of change not solely due to rate or volume was allocated between the change due to rate and the change due to volume based on the net size of the rate and volume changes.

(2)

Interest income amounts are reflected on an FTE basis (non-GAAP) which adjusts for the tax benefit of income on certain tax-exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory tax rate of 35.0% for each period presented.

We believe this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and provides relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts.

Interest income on an FTE basis (non-GAAP) of \$690.2 million for 2016, increased \$135.8 million or 24.5% from 2015, primarily due to increased interest-earning assets, which was slightly offset by lower yields. During 2016 and 2015, we recognized benefits of \$6.7 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, in accretable yield adjustments on acquired loans. The increase in interest-earning assets was primarily driven by a \$2.6 billion or 22.4% increase in average loans and leases, including \$929.2 million or 8.0% of organic growth, which reflects the benefit of our expanded banking footprint and successful sales management. Loans added at closing in the Fifth Third branch purchase and METR acquisition were \$95.4 million and \$1.9 billion, respectively. The loans added in the BofA branch purchase were immaterial. Additionally, average securities increased \$971.8 million or 31.7%, primarily due to replacing the securities acquired from METR with new securities. The yield on average interest-earning assets (non-GAAP) decreased 1 basis point from 3.75% for 2015 to 3.74% for 2016.

Table of Contents

Interest expense of \$67.5 million for 2016 increased \$18.9 million or 38.9% from 2015 due to an increase in rates paid and growth in interest-bearing liabilities, as all categories of interest-bearing liabilities increased over the same period of 2015. Average interest-bearing deposits increased \$2.2 billion or 23.3%, including \$242.4 million or 11.2% of organic growth, which reflects the benefit of our expanded banking footprint. Additionally, interest-bearing deposits added at closing in the Fifth Third branch purchase, METR acquisition and BofA branch purchase were \$258.1 million, \$1.9 billion and \$105.3 million, respectively. Average short-term borrowings increased \$311.6 million or 18.7%, primarily as a result of a \$538.2 increase in federal funds purchased, partially offset by declines of \$201.3 million and \$25.3 million in customer repurchase agreements and short-term FHLB borrowings, respectively. Average long-term borrowings increased \$49.4 million or 8.7%, primarily due to \$100.0 million in subordinated notes that we issued in our October 2015 debt offering in anticipation of the acquisitions in early 2016. The rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities increased 6 basis points to 0.48% for 2016, compared to 0.42% for 2015, due to the debt offering and changes in the funding mix as borrowings increased faster than deposits. Given the relatively low level of interest rates and the current rates paid on the various deposit products, we believe there is limited opportunity for reductions in the overall rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities.

Provision for Credit Losses

The provision for credit losses is determined based on management's estimates of the appropriate level of allowance for credit losses needed to absorb probable losses inherent in the existing loan and lease portfolio, after giving consideration to charge-offs and recoveries for the period.

The following table presents information regarding the provision for credit losses and net charge-offs for the years 2014 through 2016:

| (dollars in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 vs 2015 | | 2014 | 2015 vs 2014 | |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | | | \$ Change | % Change | | \$ Change | % Change |
| Provision for credit losses: | | | | | | | |
| Originated | \$ 55,422 | \$ 41,484 | \$ 13,938 | 33.6% | \$ 34,094 | \$ 7,390 | 21.7% |
| Acquired | 330 | (1,043) | 1,373 | (131.6)% | 4,554 | (5,597) | (122.9)% |
| Total provision for credit losses | \$ 55,752 | \$ 40,441 | \$ 15,311 | 37.9% | \$ 38,648 | \$ 1,793 | 4.6% |
| Net charge-offs: | | | | | | | |
| Originated | \$ 39,915 | \$ 24,151 | \$ 15,764 | 65.3% | \$ 21,026 | \$ 3,125 | 14.9% |
| Acquired | (210) | 204 | (414) | (202.9)% | 2,480 | (2,276) | (91.8)% |
| Total net charge-offs | \$ 39,705 | \$ 24,355 | \$ 15,350 | 63.0% | \$ 23,506 | \$ 849 | 3.6% |
| Net loan charge-offs / total average loans and leases | 0.28% | 0.21% | | | 0.23% | | |
| Net originated loan charge-offs / total average originated loans and leases | 0.34% | 0.24% | | | 0.24% | | |

The provision for credit losses of \$55.8 million during 2016 increased \$15.3 million from 2015. This increase was related to organic loan growth, an increase in charge-offs, which included a \$4.0 million charge-off from a single commercial relationship involving a borrower alleged to have falsified documents and financial information over an extended period of time, and credit migration. For additional information relating to the allowance and provision for credit losses, refer to the Allowance for Credit Losses section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Table of Contents*Non-Interest Income*

The breakdown of non-interest income for the years 2014 through 2016 is presented in the following table:

| (dollars in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 vs 2015 | | 2014 | 2015 vs 2014 | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | | | \$ | % | | \$ | % |
| | 2016 | 2015 | Change | Change | 2014 | Change | Change |
| Service charges | \$ 99,033 | \$ 70,698 | \$ 28,335 | 40.1% | \$ 68,267 | \$ 2,431 | 3.6% |
| Trust fees | 21,173 | 20,934 | 239 | 1.1% | 19,365 | 1,569 | 8.1% |
| Insurance commissions and fees | 18,328 | 16,270 | 2,058 | 12.6% | 16,758 | (488) | (2.9)% |
| Securities commissions and fees | 13,468 | 13,642 | (174) | (1.3)% | 11,453 | 2,189 | 19.1% |
| Swap fee income | 13,921 | 9,477 | 4,444 | 46.9% | 5,744 | 3,733 | 65.0% |
| Mortgage banking operations | 12,106 | 8,619 | 3,487 | 40.5% | 3,705 | 4,914 | 132.6% |
| Bank owned life insurance | 10,150 | 8,010 | 2,140 | 26.7% | 7,716 | 294 | 3.8% |
| Net securities gains | 712 | 822 | (110) | (13.4)% | 11,717 | (10,895) | n/m |
| Other | 12,870 | 13,938 | (1,068) | (7.7)% | 13,549 | 389 | 2.9% |
| Total non-interest income | \$ 201,761 | \$ 162,410 | \$ 39,351 | 24.2% | \$ 158,274 | \$ 4,136 | 2.6% |

n/m not meaningful

Total non-interest income of \$201.8 million for 2016 increased \$39.4 million or 24.2% from \$162.4 million in 2015. The variances in significant individual non-interest income items are further explained in the following paragraphs, with an overriding theme of the increases for several line items related to the expanded operations from the METR acquisition and BofA and Fifth Third branch purchases.

Service charges on loans and deposits of \$99.0 million for 2016 increased \$28.3 million or 40.1% from \$70.7 million in 2015. The impact of organic growth and the expanded customer base due to acquisitions resulted in increases of \$17.9 million or 45.0% in deposit-related service charges and \$10.4 million or 33.7% in other service charges and fees over this same period.

Insurance commissions and fees of \$18.3 million for 2016 increased \$2.1 million or 12.6% from \$16.3 million in 2015, primarily due to revenues from the insurance businesses acquired in June 2015, combined with the impact of new client acquisition due to expanded product capabilities and the benefits of new hires during 2015.

Swap fee income of \$13.9 million for 2016 increased \$4.4 million or 46.9% from \$9.5 million for 2015, as we earned more in fees through our commercial loans interest rate swap program, reflecting stronger demand from commercial loan customers to swap floating-rate interest payments for fixed-rate interest payments enabling those customers to better manage their interest rate risk.

Mortgage banking operations income of \$12.1 million for 2016 increased \$3.5 million or 40.5% from \$8.6 million for 2015, primarily due to strong organic growth resulting from our strategic decision to expand the scope of this business unit. During 2016, we sold \$704.2 million of residential mortgage loans, compared to \$444.7 million for 2015.

Income from BOLI of \$10.2 million for 2016 increased \$2.1 million or 26.7% from \$8.0 million in 2015, due to a combination of reinvesting into a higher yielding policy and death benefits received.

Other non-interest income was \$12.9 million and \$13.9 million for 2016 and 2015, respectively. During 2016, we recognized a gain of \$2.4 million relating to the \$10.0 million redemption of TPS that was originally issued by a company that we acquired. Additionally during 2016, we recorded \$2.2 million less in dividends on

Table of Contents

non-marketable equity securities, primarily resulting from a special dividend paid by the FHLB totaling \$1.0 million during 2015, combined with a decreased rate of FRB dividends resulting from December 2015 legislation for banks with more than \$10 billion in total assets. During 2016, we also recorded \$0.8 million more in gains from an equity investment and \$0.5 million less in gains on the sale of fixed assets and repossessed assets.

Non-Interest Expense

The breakdown of non-interest expense for the years 2014 through 2016 is presented in the following table:

| (dollars in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 vs 2015 | | 2014 | 2015 vs 2014 | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------|
| | | | \$ | % | | \$ | % |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 239,798 | \$ 202,068 | \$ 37,730 | 18.7% | \$ 195,016 | \$ 7,052 | 3.6% |
| Net occupancy | 40,086 | 33,670 | 6,416 | 19.1% | 32,281 | 1,389 | 4.3% |
| Equipment | 38,046 | 31,869 | 6,177 | 19.4% | 29,245 | 2,624 | 9.0% |
| Amortization of intangibles | 11,210 | 8,305 | 2,905 | 35.0% | 9,717 | (1,412) | (14.5)% |
| Outside services | 43,737 | 34,698 | 9,039 | 26.0% | 33,208 | 1,490 | 4.5% |
| FDIC insurance | 19,203 | 12,888 | 6,315 | 49.0% | 13,258 | (370) | (2.8)% |
| Supplies | 10,834 | 8,064 | 2,770 | 34.4% | 7,102 | 962 | 13.5% |
| Bank shares and franchise taxes | 8,940 | 8,139 | 801 | 9.8% | 6,954 | 1,185 | 17.0% |
| Telephone | 7,159 | 6,234 | 925 | 14.8% | 5,710 | 524 | 9.2% |
| Advertising and promotional | 10,141 | 8,396 | 1,745 | 20.8% | 7,824 | 572 | 7.3% |
| Loan related | 8,170 | 6,161 | 2,009 | 32.6% | 4,933 | 1,228 | 24.9% |
| Other real estate owned | 5,153 | 4,637 | 516 | 11.1% | 4,401 | 236 | 5.4% |
| Merger and acquisition related | 37,439 | 3,033 | 34,406 | n/m | 9,611 | (6,578) | n/m |
| Other | 31,217 | 22,387 | 8,830 | 39.4% | 19,993 | 2,394 | 12.0% |
| Total non-interest expense | \$ 511,133 | \$ 390,549 | \$ 120,584 | 30.9% | \$ 379,253 | \$ 11,296 | 3.0% |

n/m not meaningful

Total non-interest expense of \$511.1 million for 2016 increased \$120.6 million or 30.9% from \$390.5 million in 2015. The variances in the individual non-interest expense items are further explained in the following paragraphs with an overriding theme of the increases for several line items related to the expanded operations from the METR acquisition and BofA and Fifth Third branch purchases.

Salaries and employee benefits of \$239.8 million for 2016 increased \$37.7 million or 18.7% from \$202.1 million in 2015, primarily due to employees added in conjunction with the aforementioned acquisitions and heightened regulatory compliance costs, combined with new hires, merit increases and higher medical insurance costs in 2016.

Net occupancy and equipment expense of \$78.1 million for 2016 increased \$12.6 million or 19.2% from \$65.5 million in 2015, primarily resulting from the acquisitions, combined with additional costs associated with our continued investment in new technology. The increased technology costs include upgrades to meet customer needs via the utilization of electronic delivery channels, such as online and mobile banking, investment in infrastructure to support our larger company and expenditures to meet regulatory requirements. These increases were partially offset by lower costs attributable to a mild winter season in the early part of 2016, compared to the same period of 2015.

Amortization of intangibles expense of \$11.2 million for 2016 increased \$2.9 million or 35.0% from \$8.3 million in 2015, due to the additional core deposit intangibles added as a result of the METR acquisition and BofA and Fifth Third branch purchases.

Table of Contents

Outside services expense of \$43.7 million for 2016 increased \$9.0 million or 26.0% from \$34.7 million in 2015, primarily due to an increase of \$5.1 million in services, such as reporting and monitoring, shredding, printing and filing, that are provided by others. Additionally, data processing services, check card expenses and licenses, fees and dues increased \$1.3 million, \$0.9 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. These increases were all primarily due to additional costs associated with the recent acquisitions and were partially offset by a decrease of \$0.8 million decrease in consulting fees.

FDIC insurance of \$19.2 million for 2016 increased \$6.3 million or 49.0% from \$12.9 million in 2015, primarily due to a higher assessment base due to merger and acquisition activity. Additionally, we are now paying a surcharge for banks with over \$10 billion in assets and a higher level due to increased classified assets relating to the METR acquisition. The surcharge is assessed on all assets over \$10 billion at the rate of 4.5 basis points annualized, which resulted in additional expense in the last half of 2016 of \$2.2 million.

Supplies expense of \$10.8 million for 2016 increased \$2.8 million or 34.4% from \$8.1 million in 2015, as we recognized additional costs associated with the recent acquisitions.

Bank shares and franchise taxes expense of \$8.9 million for 2016 increased \$0.8 million or 9.8% from \$8.1 million in 2015, primarily due to a higher assessment base resulting from enhanced capital levels at FNBPA in conjunction with the recent acquisitions.

Telephone expense of \$7.2 million for 2016 increased \$0.9 million or 14.8% from \$6.2 million in 2015, as we recognized additional costs associated with the recent acquisitions.

Advertising and promotional expense of \$10.1 million for 2016 increased \$1.7 million or 20.8% from \$8.4 million in 2015, primarily due to higher expenses associated with the recent acquisitions, combined with additional costs associated with promotional efforts to support further expansion in the Cleveland, Ohio and Baltimore, Maryland metropolitan markets.

Loan-related expense of \$8.2 million for 2016 increased \$2.0 million or 32.6% from \$6.2 million in 2015, primarily due to higher appraisal fees and collection expenses, partially offset by lower filing fees.

OREO expense of \$5.2 million for 2016 increased \$0.5 million or 11.1% from \$4.6 million in 2015, primarily due to write-downs to permit the sale of certain properties during the year, combined with updated valuations.

We recorded \$37.4 and \$3.0 million in merger-related costs in 2016 and 2015, respectively. The 2016 costs were related to the METR acquisition, the Fifth Third branch purchase and the pending YDKN acquisition, while the 2015 costs were associated with the METR acquisition and BofA branch purchase. These costs are specific to each individual transaction, and may vary significantly based on the size and complexity of the transaction. The costs for 2015 and 2016 are summarized in the following table:

| Year ended December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 |
|---|------------------|-------------|
| Professional services | \$ 18,114 | \$ 1,643 |
| Severance and other employee benefit costs | 14,149 | 212 |
| Data processing conversion costs | 2,028 | 443 |
| Marketing costs | 1,219 | 341 |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------|
| Other expenses | 1,929 | 394 |
| Total merger-related costs | \$ 37,439 | \$ 3,033 |

Other non-interest expense was \$31.2 million and \$22.4 million for 2016 and 2015, respectively. During 2016, we incurred a \$2.6 million impairment charge on acquired other assets relating to low income housing projects.

Table of Contents

Additionally, during 2016, business development expenses increased \$1.0 million and postage expense increased \$0.7 million, both primarily due to the recent acquisitions. Also, other miscellaneous losses increased \$2.2 million over this same period, primarily due to higher credit card disputes and fraud losses given our expanded size and geographic footprint.

Income Taxes

The following table presents information regarding income tax expense and certain tax rates:

| Year ended December 31 (dollars in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Income tax expense | \$ 75,497 | \$ 69,993 | \$ 62,620 |
| Effective tax rate | 30.6% | 30.5% | 30.3% |
| Statutory tax rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |

Our income tax expense for 2016 increased \$5.5 million or 7.9% from 2015. The effective tax rate was 30.6% for 2016 compared to 30.5% for 2015. Both periods' tax rates are lower than the 35% federal statutory tax rate due to the tax benefits primarily resulting from tax-exempt income on investments and loans, tax credits and income from BOLI.

Year Ended December 31, 2015 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2014

Refer to the previous section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis for tables which reflect a comparison of the years ended 2015 versus 2014. Certain significant changes for the years ended 2015 versus 2014 are discussed in the paragraphs that follow.

Net income available to common stockholders for 2015 was \$151.6 million or \$0.86 per diluted common share, compared to net income available to common stockholders for 2014 of \$135.7 million or \$0.80 per diluted common share. The results for 2015 included \$3.0 million in merger costs and reflect costs and benefits associated with the BofA branch purchase that closed on September 18, 2015, combined with costs associated with the METR acquisition that closed on February 13, 2016. The results for 2014 included \$9.6 million in merger costs and reflect the OBA, BCSB and PVF acquisitions that closed on September 19, 2014, February 15, 2014 and October 12, 2013, respectively. Average diluted common shares outstanding increased 7.3 million shares or 4.3% to 176.3 million shares for 2015, primarily as a result of the full-year effect of the previously-mentioned acquisitions.

Our return on average equity was 7.70% and our return on average assets was 0.96% for 2015, compared to 7.50% and 0.96%, respectively, for 2014. Our return on average tangible common equity was 14.46% and our return on average tangible assets was 1.06% for 2015, compared to 14.91% and 1.08%, respectively, for 2014. Average equity was \$2.1 billion and \$1.9 billion for 2015 and 2014, respectively, while average tangible equity was \$1.2 billion and \$1.1 billion, respectively, for those same periods. Average equity for 2015 reflects the full-year impact of the above-mentioned acquisitions that were completed during 2014.

Net Interest Income

In 2015, net interest income, which comprised 75.4% of net revenue compared to 74.7% in 2014, was affected by the general level of interest rates, changes in interest rates, the timing of repricing of assets and liabilities, the shape of the yield curve, the level of non-accrual loans and changes in the amount and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

Net interest income, on an FTE basis, increased \$32.7 million or 6.9% from \$473.2 million for 2014 to \$505.9 million for 2015. Average interest-earning assets increased \$1.6 billion or 12.4% and average interest-

Table of Contents

bearing liabilities increased \$1.1 billion or 10.6% from 2014, due to the acquisitions of OBA and BCSB, combined with organic growth in loans and deposits. Our net interest margin was 3.42% for 2015, compared to 3.59% for 2014, as loan yields declined faster than deposit rates primarily as a result of the current low interest rate environment. Accretable yield adjustments added 6 basis points to the net interest margin for both 2015 and 2014.

Interest income, on an FTE basis, of \$554.4 million for 2015, increased \$38.5 million or 7.5% from 2014, primarily due to increased interest-earning assets, partially offset by lower yields due to competitive market rates throughout 2015 being less than portfolio yields at the end of 2014. During 2015 and 2014, we recognized a benefit of \$5.0 million and \$6.6 million, respectively, in accretable yield adjustments on acquired loans. The increase in interest-earning assets was primarily driven by a \$1.3 billion or 12.4% increase in average loans, including \$1.0 billion or 9.7% of organic growth, which reflects the benefit of our expanded banking footprint and successful sales management. The yield on interest-earning assets decreased 17 basis points from 3.92% for 2014 to 3.75% for 2015, reflecting the decreases in market interest rates, competitive pressures and the above-mentioned changes in accretable yield adjustments on acquired loans.

Interest expense of \$48.6 million for 2015 increased \$5.9 million or 13.8% from 2014 due to growth in interest-bearing liabilities. The rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities increased 1 basis point to 0.42% for 2015, compared to 0.41% for 2014, reflecting changes in interest rates and the effect of the subordinated debt borrowed in October 2015, partially offset by a favorable shift in deposit mix to lower-cost transaction deposits. The growth in average interest-bearing liabilities was primarily attributable to growth in average interest-bearing deposits, which increased \$0.7 billion or 8.5%, including \$0.5 billion or 5.0% of organic growth.

Provision for Credit Losses

The provision for credit losses of \$40.4 million during 2015 increased \$1.8 million from 2014, primarily due to an increase of \$7.4 million in the provision for the originated portfolio supporting loan growth, and to a lesser degree, some slight credit migration within the originated commercial portfolio. This was partially offset by a decrease of \$5.6 million in the provision for the acquired portfolio, which resulted from lower acquired net charge-offs and generally more favorable cash flow re-estimations during the year. During 2015, net charge-offs were \$24.4 million, or 0.21% of average loans and leases, compared to \$23.5 million, or 0.23% of average loans and leases, for 2014. The ratio of the allowance for credit losses to total loans and leases equaled 1.16% and 1.12% at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, reflecting our overall favorable credit quality performance, along with the addition of loans acquired in the BCSB and OBA acquisitions during 2014, which did not carry a corresponding allowance for credit losses in accordance with acquired loan accounting rules. For additional information relating to the allowance and provision for credit losses, refer to the Allowance for Credit Losses section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Non-Interest Income

Total non-interest income of \$162.4 million for 2015 increased \$4.1 million or 2.6% from \$158.3 million in 2014. The variances in significant individual non-interest income items are further explained in the following paragraphs.

Service charges on loans and deposits of \$70.7 million for 2015 increased \$2.4 million or 3.6% from \$68.3 million in 2014. Deposit-related service charges decreased \$0.5 million or 1.3% over this period following a nationwide trend as consumers are better managing their accounts to avoid these types of fees. Other service charges and fees increased \$3.0 million or 10.7% over this period, reflecting the impact of organic growth and the expanded customer base due to acquisitions.

Trust fees of \$20.9 million for 2015 increased \$1.6 million or 8.1% from \$19.4 million in 2014, primarily driven by strong organic growth activity, geographic expansion and improved market conditions. The market value of assets under management increased \$194.9 million or 5.5% to \$3.7 billion from December 31, 2014 to December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

Insurance commissions and fees of \$16.3 million for 2015 decreased \$0.5 million or 2.9% from \$16.8 million in 2014, primarily due to reduced contingent fee income resulting from increases in claims and higher loss ratios during 2015 compared to 2014.

Securities commissions of \$13.6 million for 2015 increased \$2.2 million or 19.1% from \$11.5 million in 2014, primarily due to positive results from initiatives generating new customer relationships, combined with increased volume, geographic expansion and improved market conditions. Partially offsetting these increases were the costs associated with a securities system conversion, combined with the impact of severe weather conditions throughout FNB's market area in the first half of 2014.

Swap fee income of \$9.5 million for 2015 increased \$3.7 million or 65.0% from \$5.7 million in 2014, as we recorded more in fees earned through our commercial loan interest rate swap program, reflecting strong commercial loan growth.

Net securities gains were \$0.8 million and \$11.7 million for 2015 and 2014, respectively. During 2014, FNB strategically sold our entire portfolio of pooled TPS for net proceeds of \$51.5 million and a gain of \$13.8 million. Of the 23 pooled securities sold, one was determined to be a disallowed investment under the Volcker Rule of the Dodd-Frank Act, and as such, was required to be disposed of by July 2016. Partially offsetting this gain was a net loss of \$2.1 million relating to the sale of other securities. By selling these securities, we strengthened the risk profile of our investment portfolio, improved our capital levels due to lowered risk-weighted assets and generated capital to support future growth.

Mortgage banking operations generated income of \$8.6 million and \$3.7 million for 2015 and 2014, respectively. This increase was primarily due to higher origination volume and successful cross-selling efforts generated from a strengthened mortgage management team and expanded market presence. During 2015, we sold \$444.7 million of residential mortgage loans, compared to \$162.7 million for 2014.

Other non-interest income was \$13.9 million and \$13.5 million for 2015 and 2014, respectively. During 2015, we recorded \$1.7 million more in dividends on non-marketable equity securities, primarily resulting from a special dividend paid by the FHLB totaling \$1.0 million. Additionally, we recorded \$1.0 million more in gains from an equity investment, a gain of \$0.4 million relating to the sale of our ownership interest in a non-banking affiliate and a gain of \$0.4 million relating to the settlement of an insurance benefit. Also during 2015, we recognized \$0.5 million more in gains on the sale of fixed assets, \$0.5 million more in foreign currency exchange income, \$0.4 million less in recoveries of impaired loans acquired in previous acquisitions and \$0.5 million less in swap valuation income due to changes in the yield curve. During 2015, we recorded \$0.9 million in short-term equipment rental income. During 2014, we recognized a one-time \$2.7 million gain from an overpayment related to a predecessor bank's acquisition of another bank prior to becoming part of us and recorded a gain of \$0.9 million related to the sale of impaired commercial loans.

Non-Interest Expense

Total non-interest expense of \$390.5 million for 2015 increased \$11.3 million or 3.0% from \$379.3 million in 2014. The variances in the individual non-interest expense items are further explained in the following paragraphs with an overriding theme of the expense increases being primarily related to the expanded operations from acquisitions.

Salaries and employee benefits of \$202.1 million for 2015 increased \$7.1 million or 3.6% from \$195.0 million in 2014. This increase primarily relates to employees added in conjunction with the acquisitions and heightened regulatory compliance costs, combined with new hires, merit increases and higher medical insurance costs in 2015.

Occupancy and equipment expense of \$65.5 million for 2015 increased \$4.0 million or 6.5% from \$61.5 million in 2014, primarily resulting from acquisitions, combined with an increase in rental expense relating to the

Table of Contents

Pittsburgh headquarters and regional headquarters in Cleveland, Ohio and Baltimore, Maryland. Additionally, our continued focus on new technology, both in meeting customer needs via the utilization of electronic delivery channels, such as online and mobile banking, and in meeting the continued regulatory requirements, resulted in an increase of \$2.6 million in technology-related expense during 2015.

Amortization of intangibles expense of \$8.3 million for 2015 decreased \$1.4 million or 14.5% from \$9.7 million in 2014, due to a combination of certain intangible assets being completely amortized during 2014 and declining amortization expense on some intangible assets due to accelerated amortization methods.

Outside services expense of \$34.7 million for 2015 increased \$1.5 million or 4.5% from \$33.2 million in 2014. During 2015, data processing services, security services and other services provided increased \$0.5 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, primarily resulting from the OBA and BCSB acquisitions and costs related to compliance with new regulations. Additionally, consulting fees increased \$0.8 million during this same period, as 2014 reflected a refund of previously paid consulting fees, and check card expenses decreased \$0.8 million as a result of obtaining a new contract with an outside party providing processing services.

FDIC insurance of \$12.9 million for 2015 decreased \$0.4 million or 2.8% from \$13.3 million in 2014, due to the implementation of certain business strategies to lower our assessment rate along with a refinement of previous estimates related to Basel III requirements.

Supplies expense of \$8.1 million for 2015 increased \$1.0 million or 13.6% from \$7.1 million in 2014, as we recognized additional costs associated with the recent acquisitions and recent company-wide conversion to an enhanced internal network platform.

Bank shares and franchise taxes expense of \$8.1 million for 2015 increased \$1.2 million or 17.0% from \$7.0 million in 2014, primarily due to a higher assessment base resulting from enhanced capital levels at FNBPA due to the 2014 acquisitions.

Telephone expense of \$6.2 million for 2015 increased \$0.5 million or 9.2% from \$5.7 million in 2014, as we recognized additional costs associated with the recent acquisitions and an expanded mobile work force.

Advertising and promotional expense of \$8.4 million for 2015 increased \$0.6 million or 7.3% from \$7.8 million in 2014, primarily due to higher expenses associated with the recent acquisitions, as we implemented promotional efforts to support further expansion in the Cleveland, Ohio and the higher cost Baltimore, Maryland metropolitan markets.

Loan-related expense of \$6.2 million for 2015 increased \$1.2 million or 24.9% from \$4.9 million in 2014, primarily due to appraisal fees and processing costs incurred for enhanced underwriting standards.

OREO expense of \$4.6 million for 2015 increased slightly from 2014, as we incurred higher levels of write-downs to permit the sale of certain properties in our continuing effort to manage expenses.

We recorded \$3.0 million in merger-related costs in 2015, primarily associated with the METR acquisition and BofA branch purchase. The detail of these costs was previously disclosed. Merger-related costs recorded during 2014 were \$9.6 million, primarily in conjunction with the OBA and BCSB acquisitions. The merger-related costs for 2014 were comprised of \$4.9 million in severance and other employee benefit costs, \$2.8 million in professional services, \$1.0 million in data processing conversion costs, \$0.7 million in marketing costs and \$0.2 million in other expenses.

Other non-interest expense was \$22.4 million and \$20.0 million for 2015 and 2014, respectively. During 2015, we recognized \$0.7 million more in other taxes, primarily due to acquisitions and volume increases related to organic growth. In addition, other miscellaneous losses increased \$0.3 million, primarily due to higher credit card disputes and fraud losses. We also recorded \$0.6 million in costs associated with short-term equipment rental income during 2015.

Table of Contents*Income Taxes*

Our income tax expense of \$70.0 million for 2015 increased \$7.4 million or 11.8% from \$62.6 million in 2014. The effective tax rate was 30.5% for 2015 compared to 30.3% for 2014. Both periods' tax rates are lower than the 35% federal statutory tax rate due to the tax benefits primarily resulting from tax-exempt income on investments and loans, tax credits and income from BOLI.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

The following table presents our condensed consolidated balance sheets:

| (dollars in thousands) | December 31 | | \$ | % |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | Change | Change |
| Assets | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 371,407 | \$ 489,119 | \$ (117,712) | (24.1)% |
| Securities | 4,569,329 | 3,267,628 | 1,301,701 | 39.8% |
| Residential mortgage loans held for sale | 11,908 | 4,781 | 7,127 | 149.1% |
| Loans and leases, net | 14,738,884 | 12,048,428 | 2,690,456 | 22.3% |
| Goodwill and other intangibles | 1,099,456 | 878,730 | 220,726 | 25.1% |
| Other assets | 1,053,833 | 868,976 | 184,857 | 21.3% |
| Total Assets | \$ 21,844,817 | \$ 17,557,662 | \$ 4,287,155 | 24.4% |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | | | |
| Deposits | \$ 16,065,647 | \$ 12,623,463 | \$ 3,442,184 | 27.3% |
| Borrowings | 3,042,504 | 2,690,376 | 352,128 | 13.1% |
| Other liabilities | 165,049 | 147,641 | 17,408 | 11.8% |
| Total liabilities | 19,273,200 | 15,461,480 | 3,811,720 | 24.7% |
| Stockholders' equity | 2,571,617 | 2,096,182 | 475,435 | 22.7% |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | \$ 21,844,817 | \$ 17,557,662 | \$ 4,287,155 | 24.4% |

The December 31, 2016 balance sheet includes the METR acquisition and Fifth Third branch purchase mentioned previously.

Lending Activity

The loan and lease portfolio consists principally of loans and leases to individuals and small- and medium-sized businesses within our primary market area of Pennsylvania, eastern Ohio, Maryland and northern West Virginia. The total portfolio also contains consumer finance loans to individuals in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Tennessee and Kentucky, which totaled \$184.7 million or 1.2% of total loans at December 31, 2016, compared to \$186.2 million or 1.5% of the total portfolio at December 31, 2015. Due to the relative size of the consumer finance loan portfolio, they are not segregated from other consumer loans.

Table of Contents

Following is a summary of loans and leases:

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Commercial real estate | \$ 5,435,162 | \$ 4,109,056 | \$ 3,815,708 | \$ 3,245,209 | \$ 2,707,046 |
| Commercial and industrial | 3,042,781 | 2,601,722 | 2,318,015 | 1,881,474 | 1,602,314 |
| Commercial leases | 196,636 | 204,553 | 177,824 | 158,895 | 130,133 |
| Commercial loans and leases | 8,674,579 | 6,915,331 | 6,311,547 | 5,285,578 | 4,439,493 |
| Direct installment | 1,844,399 | 1,706,636 | 1,644,621 | 1,467,236 | 1,178,530 |
| Residential mortgages | 1,844,574 | 1,395,971 | 1,263,053 | 1,086,739 | 1,092,228 |
| Indirect installment | 1,196,313 | 996,729 | 875,551 | 655,587 | 582,037 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 1,301,200 | 1,137,255 | 1,110,976 | 965,771 | 805,494 |
| Other | 35,878 | 38,518 | 41,290 | 45,183 | 39,937 |
| Total loans and leases | \$ 14,896,943 | \$ 12,190,440 | \$ 11,247,038 | \$ 9,506,094 | \$ 8,137,719 |

The loans and leases portfolio categories are comprised of the following:

Commercial real estate includes both owner-occupied and non-owner-occupied loans secured by commercial properties.

Commercial and industrial includes loans to businesses that are not secured by real estate.

Commercial leases consist of leases for new or used equipment.

Direct installment is comprised of fixed-rate, closed-end consumer loans for personal, family or household use, such as home equity loans and automobile loans.

Residential mortgages consist of conventional and jumbo mortgage loans for 1-4 family properties.

Indirect installment is comprised of loans originated by approved third parties and underwritten by us, primarily automobile loans.

Consumer lines of credit include home equity lines of credit (HELOC) and consumer lines of credit that are either unsecured or secured by collateral other than home equity.

Other is comprised primarily of credit cards, mezzanine loans and student loans.

Additional information relating to originated and acquired loans is provided in Note 6, Loans and Leases in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

Total loans and leases increased \$2.7 billion or 22.2% to \$14.9 billion at December 31, 2016, compared to \$12.2 billion at December 31, 2015, as we acquired \$1.9 billion and \$97.7 million in loans from the METR acquisition and Fifth Third branch purchase, respectively. Additionally, organic growth resulted in an additional increase of \$748.1 million in total loans.

Total loans and leases increased \$943.4 million or 8.4% to \$12.2 billion at December 31, 2015, compared to \$11.2 billion at December 31, 2014, as a result of organic growth, particularly in commercial loans and leases.

As of December 31, 2016, 36.2% of the commercial real estate loans were owner-occupied, while the remaining 63.8% were non-owner-occupied, compared to 38.1% and 61.9%, respectively, as of December 31, 2015. As of

December 31, 2016 and 2015, we had commercial construction loans of \$460.0 million and \$352.3 million, respectively, representing 3.1% and 2.9% of total loans and leases, respectively.

Within our primary lending footprint, certain industries are more predominant given the geographic location of these lending markets. We strive to maintain a diverse commercial loan portfolio by avoiding undue concentrations or exposures to any particular sector, and we actively monitor our commercial loan portfolio to ensure that our industry mix is appropriate and within targeted thresholds. Several factors are taken into consideration when determining these thresholds, including recent economic and market trends. Over the last

Table of Contents

several quarters, certain borrowers in commodity-based industries have faced challenges related to softer commodity prices, which has led to migration during this time, as these borrowers are more sensitive to price movements in these markets.

Following is a summary of the maturity distribution of certain loan categories with fixed and floating interest rates for those loans with maturity dates over one year as of December 31, 2016:

| (in thousands) | Within 1 Year | 1-5 Years | Over 5 Years | Total |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Commercial loans and leases | \$ 788,291 | \$ 2,995,251 | \$ 4,891,037 | \$ 8,674,579 |
| Residential mortgages | 4,073 | 28,358 | 1,812,143 | 1,844,574 |
| Total | \$ 792,364 | \$ 3,023,609 | \$ 6,703,180 | \$ 10,519,153 |

Interest rates for loans with maturities over one year:

| | | | |
|----------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Fixed | \$ 945,521 | \$ 1,739,522 | \$ 2,685,043 |
| Floating | 1,968,858 | 5,072,888 | 7,041,746 |

For additional information relating to lending activity, see Note 6, Loans and Leases in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

Non-Performing Assets

Non-performing loans include non-accrual loans and non-performing troubled debt restructurings (TDRs). Past due loans are reviewed on a monthly basis to identify loans for non-accrual status. We place a loan on non-accrual status and discontinue interest accruals on originated loans generally when principal or interest is due and has remained unpaid for a certain number of days, unless the loan is both well secured and in the process of collection. Commercial loans are placed on non-accrual at 90 days, installment loans are placed on non-accrual at 120 days and residential mortgages and consumer lines of credit are generally placed on non-accrual at 180 days. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, all unpaid accrued interest is reversed. Non-accrual loans may not be restored to accrual status until all delinquent principal and interest have been paid and the ultimate ability to collect the remaining principal and interest is reasonably assured. TDRs are loans in which the borrower has been granted a concession on the interest rate or the original repayment terms due to financial distress. Non-performing assets also include debt securities on which OTTI has been taken in the current or prior periods that have not been returned to accrual status.

Following is a summary of non-performing assets:

| December 31 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| (dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Non-accrual loans | \$ 65,479 | \$ 49,897 | \$ 45,113 | \$ 58,755 | \$ 66,004 |
| Troubled debt restructurings | 20,428 | 22,028 | 23,439 | 18,698 | 14,876 |
| Total non-performing loans | 85,907 | 71,925 | 68,552 | 77,453 | 80,880 |
| Other real estate owned (OREO) | 32,490 | 38,918 | 41,466 | 40,681 | 35,257 |

| | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Total non-performing loans and OREO | 118,397 | 110,843 | 110,018 | 118,134 | 116,137 |
| Non-performing investments | | | | 797 | 2,809 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 118,397 | \$ 110,843 | \$ 110,018 | \$ 118,931 | \$ 118,946 |
| Non-performing loans/total loans and leases | 0.58% | 0.59% | 0.61% | 0.81% | 0.99% |
| Non-performing loans + OREO/ total loans and leases + OREO | 0.79% | 0.91% | 0.97% | 1.24% | 1.42% |
| Non-performing assets/total assets | 0.54% | 0.63% | 0.68% | 0.88% | 0.99% |

Table of Contents

During 2016, non-performing loans and OREO increased \$7.6 million. This reflects an increase of \$15.6 million in non-accrual loans, partially offset by decreases of \$1.6 million and \$6.4 million in TDRs and OREO, respectively. The increase in non-accrual loans was primarily attributable to the migration of a few borrowers in the commercial and industrial portfolio that operate largely within commodity-based industries that have faced pricing pressures. The decrease in TDRs was primarily attributable to payoffs of commercial credits. Despite the additional OREO properties acquired from METR and Fifth Third, including banking facilities that are no longer in use, OREO decreased, primarily due to the sale of several commercial OREO properties.

During 2015, non-performing loans and OREO increased \$0.8 million. This reflects an increase of \$4.8 million in non-accrual loans, partially offset by decreases of \$1.4 million and \$2.5 million in TDRs and OREO, respectively. The increase in non-accrual loans was primarily due to the migration of a single commercial and industrial credit tied to the metals market. The decrease in TDRs was attributed to additional consumer borrowers that met the modified terms of their agreements for the required period of time and were returned to performing status. The decrease in OREO was attributed to property sales during 2015 outpacing transfers in, particularly for commercial properties.

Following is a summary of non-performing loans and leases, by class:

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Commercial real estate | \$ 21,225 | \$ 26,087 | \$ 26,134 | \$ 43,648 | \$ 48,483 |
| Commercial and industrial | 26,256 | 14,846 | 8,852 | 6,683 | 6,099 |
| Commercial leases | 3,429 | 659 | 722 | 734 | 965 |
| Total commercial loans and leases | 50,910 | 41,592 | 35,708 | 51,065 | 55,547 |
| Direct installment | 14,952 | 13,791 | 15,901 | 10,577 | 8,541 |
| Residential mortgages | 13,367 | 12,763 | 13,842 | 14,012 | 11,415 |
| Indirect installment | 2,181 | 1,514 | 1,305 | 1,202 | 1,131 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 3,497 | 2,265 | 1,796 | 597 | 746 |
| Other | 1,000 | | | | 3,500 |
| Total non-performing loans and leases | \$ 85,907 | \$ 71,925 | \$ 68,552 | \$ 77,453 | \$ 80,880 |

TDRs are loans whose contractual terms have been modified in a manner that grants a concession to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties. TDRs typically result from loss mitigation activities and could include the extension of a maturity date, interest rate reduction, principal forgiveness, deferral or decrease in payments for a period of time and other actions intended to minimize the economic loss and to avoid foreclosure or repossession of collateral.

TDRs that are accruing and performing include loans that we can reasonably estimate the timing and amount of the expected cash flows on such loans and for which we expect to fully collect the new carrying value of the loans. TDRs that are accruing and non-performing are comprised of loans that have not demonstrated a consistent repayment pattern on the modified terms for more than nine months, however it is expected that we will collect all future principal and interest payments. TDRs that are on non-accrual are not placed on accruing status until all delinquent principal and interest have been paid and the ultimate ability to collect the remaining principal and interest is reasonably assured. Some loan modifications classified as TDRs may not ultimately result in the full collection of principal and interest, as modified, and may result in incremental losses which are factored into the allowance for

credit losses estimate. Additional information related to our TDRs is included in Note 6, Loans and Leases in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

Table of Contents

Following is a summary of performing, non-performing and non-accrual originated TDRs, by class:

| (in thousands) | Performing | Non-Performing | Non-Accrual | Total |
|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|
| December 31, 2016 | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ | \$ 162 | \$ 3,857 | \$ 4,019 |
| Commercial and industrial | | 4 | 2,113 | 2,117 |
| Total commercial loans and leases | | 166 | 5,970 | 6,136 |
| Direct installment | 10,414 | 8,468 | 1,597 | 20,479 |
| Residential mortgages | 4,730 | 10,051 | 1,128 | 15,909 |
| Indirect installment | | 198 | 2 | 200 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 2,326 | 1,545 | 338 | 4,209 |
| Total | \$ 17,470 | \$ 20,428 | \$ 9,035 | \$ 46,933 |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ | \$ 1,653 | \$ 6,051 | \$ 7,704 |
| Commercial and industrial | | 361 | 813 | 1,174 |
| Total commercial loans and leases | | 2,014 | 6,864 | 8,878 |
| Direct installment | 7,908 | 8,985 | 1,137 | 18,030 |
| Residential mortgages | 5,184 | 9,881 | 190 | 15,255 |
| Indirect installment | | 153 | 24 | 177 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 2,073 | 995 | 92 | 3,160 |
| Total | \$ 15,165 | \$ 22,028 | \$ 8,307 | \$ 45,500 |
| December 31, 2014 | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ | \$ 2,002 | \$ 6,188 | \$ 8,190 |
| Commercial and industrial | 727 | 542 | 132 | 1,401 |
| Total commercial loans and leases | 727 | 2,544 | 6,320 | 9,591 |
| Direct installment | 4,830 | 8,784 | 1,352 | 14,966 |
| Residential mortgages | 3,689 | 10,878 | 503 | 15,070 |
| Indirect installment | | 156 | 47 | 203 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 195 | 1,077 | 50 | 1,322 |
| Total | \$ 9,441 | \$ 23,439 | \$ 8,272 | \$ 41,152 |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 24 | \$ 2,688 | \$ 10,435 | \$ 13,147 |
| Commercial and industrial | 749 | 40 | 237 | 1,026 |
| Total commercial loans and leases | 773 | 2,728 | 10,672 | 14,173 |
| Direct installment | 5,404 | 5,891 | 1,070 | 12,365 |

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/PA/ - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Residential mortgages | 3,743 | 9,752 | 883 | 14,378 |
| Indirect installment | | 142 | 80 | 222 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 300 | 185 | | 485 |
| Total | \$ 10,220 | \$ 18,698 | \$ 12,705 | \$ 41,623 |

December 31, 2012

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Commercial real estate | \$ 850 | \$ 588 | \$ 11,156 | \$ 12,594 |
| Commercial and industrial | 775 | 82 | 283 | 1,140 |
| Total commercial loans and leases | 1,625 | 670 | 11,439 | 13,734 |
| Direct installment | 5,613 | 5,199 | 749 | 11,561 |
| Residential mortgages | 5,401 | 8,524 | 107 | 14,032 |
| Indirect installment | | 92 | 90 | 182 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 20 | 391 | | 411 |
| Total | \$ 12,659 | \$ 14,876 | \$ 12,385 | \$ 39,920 |

Table of Contents

Following is a summary of loans and leases 90 days or more past due on which interest accruals continue:

| December 31 (dollars in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Loans and leases 90 days or more past due: | | | | | |
| Originated loans and leases | \$ 9,113 | \$ 7,024 | \$ 9,248 | \$ 7,971 | \$ 6,706 |
| Acquired loans | 40,524 | 29,718 | 38,024 | 45,823 | 36,585 |
| Total loans and leases 90 days or more past due | \$ 49,637 | \$ 36,742 | \$ 47,272 | \$ 53,794 | \$ 43,291 |

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| As a percentage of total loans and leases | 0.33% | 0.30% | 0.42% | 0.57% | 0.53% |
|---|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|

The increases in loans and leases 90 days or more past due and accruing in 2016 and 2013 were primarily the result of acquisitions. Acquired loans that are 90 days or more past due are considered to be accruing since we can reasonably estimate future cash flows and we expect to fully collect the carrying value of these loans. The acquired loans were discounted and marked to fair value with interest income recognized via accretion in accordance with GAAP.

Following is a table showing the amounts of contractual interest income and actual interest income related to non-accrual loans and non-performing TDRs:

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Gross interest income: | | | | | |
| Per contractual terms | \$ 11,756 | \$ 7,328 | \$ 7,366 | \$ 9,221 | \$ 8,646 |
| Recorded during the year | 696 | 747 | 650 | 559 | 369 |
| Allowance for Credit Losses | | | | | |

The allowance for credit losses represents management's estimate of probable loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio at a specific point in time. This estimate includes losses associated with specifically identified loans, as well as estimated probable credit losses inherent in the remainder of the loan portfolio. Additions are made to the allowance for credit losses through both periodic provisions charged to income and recoveries of losses previously recorded. Reductions to the allowance for credit losses occur as loans are charged off. Additional information related to our policy for our allowance for credit losses is included in the Application of Critical Accounting Policies section of this financial review and in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

Table of Contents

Following is a summary of changes in the allowance for credit losses related to loans and leases:

| Year Ended December 31 (dollars in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 142,012 | \$ 125,926 | \$ 110,784 | \$ 104,374 | \$ 100,662 |
| Charge-offs: | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | (6,657) | (4,443) | (6,568) | (5,465) | (8,688) |
| Commercial and industrial | (19,584) | (3,562) | (3,454) | (5,124) | (8,098) |
| Commercial leases | (962) | (544) | (415) | (432) | (509) |
| Commercial loans and leases | (27,203) | (8,549) | (10,437) | (11,021) | (17,295) |
| Direct installment | (10,153) | (10,844) | (9,600) | (9,059) | (7,875) |
| Residential mortgages | (441) | (1,010) | (760) | (1,345) | (1,050) |
| Indirect installment | (7,855) | (6,427) | (3,627) | (3,337) | (2,926) |
| Consumer lines of credit | (2,085) | (1,653) | (1,495) | (1,974) | (2,137) |
| Other | (2,729) | (1,691) | (1,329) | (965) | (1,039) |
| Purchased impaired loans | (399) | (64) | (2,614) | (299) | |
| Other acquired loans | (649) | (830) | (873) | (2,530) | (254) |
| Total charge-offs | (51,514) | (31,068) | (30,735) | (30,530) | (32,576) |
| Recoveries: | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | 3,669 | 1,117 | 2,351 | 1,799 | 1,765 |
| Commercial and industrial | 2,508 | 1,773 | 1,412 | 2,108 | 693 |
| Commercial leases | 66 | 101 | 105 | 179 | 224 |
| Commercial loans and leases | 6,243 | 2,991 | 3,868 | 4,086 | 2,682 |
| Direct installment | 1,822 | 1,527 | 1,163 | 931 | 942 |
| Residential mortgages | 74 | 85 | 74 | 162 | 194 |
| Indirect installment | 2,015 | 1,190 | 875 | 773 | 605 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 265 | 175 | 218 | 274 | 234 |
| Other | 131 | 55 | 24 | | 14 |
| Purchased impaired loans | 42 | 19 | 1 | | |
| Other acquired loans | 1,217 | 671 | 1,006 | (376) | 315 |
| Total recoveries | 11,809 | 6,713 | 7,229 | 5,850 | 4,986 |
| Net charge-offs | (39,705) | (24,355) | (23,506) | (24,680) | (27,590) |
| Provision for credit losses | 55,752 | 40,441 | 38,648 | 31,090 | 31,302 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 158,059 | \$ 142,012 | \$ 125,926 | \$ 110,784 | \$ 104,374 |
| Net loan charge-offs/average loans | 0.28% | 0.21% | 0.23% | 0.28% | 0.35% |
| Allowance for credit losses/total loans | 1.06% | 1.16% | 1.12% | 1.17% | 1.28% |
| Allowance for credit losses/ non-performing loans | 183.99% | 197.44% | 183.69% | 143.03% | 129.05% |

The allowance for credit losses at December 31, 2016 increased \$16.1 million or 11.3% from December 31, 2015, primarily in support of organic loan growth, credit migration and additional specific reserves of \$0.6 million. The

provision for credit losses for 2016 was \$55.8 million, covering organic loan growth, net charge-offs of \$39.7 million, which included a \$4.0 million charge-off from a single commercial relationship involving a borrower alleged to have falsified documents and financial information over an extended period of time, and credit migration.

The allowance for credit losses at December 31, 2015 increased \$16.1 million or 12.8% from December 31, 2014 as the provision for credit losses for 2015 of \$40.4 million exceeded net charge-offs of \$24.4 million, with the remainder supporting loan growth in the originated portfolio, and some credit migration within the commercial and industrial and indirect installment portfolios.

Table of Contents

The allowance for credit losses at December 31, 2014 increased \$15.1 million or 13.7% from December 31, 2013 as the provision for credit losses for 2014 of \$38.6 million exceeded net charge-offs of \$23.5 million, with the remainder supporting loan growth and incurred losses in the originated and acquired loan portfolios.

The allowance for credit losses at December 31, 2013 increased \$6.4 million or 6.1% from December 31, 2012 as the provision for loans losses for 2013 of \$31.1 million exceeded net charge-offs of \$24.7 million, with the remainder supporting loan growth and incurred losses in the originated and acquired loan portfolios.

The allowance for credit losses at December 31, 2012 increased \$3.7 million or 3.7% from December 31, 2011 as the provision for credit losses for 2012 of \$31.3 million exceeded net charge-offs of \$27.6 million, with the remainder of the provision supporting loan growth and incurred losses in the originated and acquired loan portfolios.

Following is a summary of the allocation of the allowance for credit losses and the percentage of loans in each category to total loans:

| December 31 (dollars in thousands) | 2016 | | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
|--|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|
| | Allowance of | Loans % | Allowance of | Loans % | Allowance of | Loans % | Allowance of | Loans % | Allowance of | Loans % |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 46,635 | 28% | \$ 41,741 | 29% | \$ 37,588 | 27% | \$ 32,548 | 28% | \$ 34,810 | 30% |
| Commercial and industrial | 47,991 | 18 | 41,023 | 21 | 32,645 | 19 | 32,603 | 18 | 31,849 | 19 |
| Commercial leases | 3,280 | 1 | 2,541 | 1 | 2,398 | 2 | 1,903 | 2 | 1,744 | 2 |
| Commercial loans and leases | 97,906 | 47 | 85,305 | 51 | 72,631 | 48 | 67,054 | 48 | 68,403 | 51 |
| Direct installment | 21,391 | 12 | 21,587 | 14 | 20,538 | 14 | 17,824 | 15 | 15,130 | 14 |
| Residential mortgages | 10,082 | 10 | 7,909 | 9 | 8,024 | 7 | 5,836 | 7 | 5,155 | 8 |
| Indirect installment | 10,564 | 8 | 9,889 | 8 | 7,504 | 8 | 6,409 | 7 | 5,449 | 7 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 9,456 | 7 | 9,582 | 8 | 8,496 | 9 | 7,231 | 9 | 6,057 | 9 |
| Other | 1,392 | | 1,013 | | 759 | | 530 | | | |
| Total originated loans | 150,791 | 84 | 135,285 | 90 | 117,952 | 86 | 104,884 | 86 | 100,194 | 89 |
| Purchased credit-impaired loans | 572 | | 834 | | 660 | | 1,000 | | 759 | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Other acquired loans | 6,696 | 16 | 5,893 | 10 | 7,314 | 14 | 4,900 | 14 | 3,421 | 11 |
| Total | \$ 158,059 | 100% | \$ 142,012 | 100% | \$ 125,926 | 100% | \$ 110,784 | 100% | \$ 104,374 | 100% |

During 2016, the allowance for credit losses allocated to commercial loans increased to support organic loan growth, as well as migration within the commercial and industrial portfolio, which was impacted by the continued softness in the commodity industries, which has adversely impacted certain borrowers that operate in this area. The allowance for credit losses allocated to residential mortgages increased during 2016 largely due to organic growth within that portfolio.

During 2015, the allowance for credit losses allocated to commercial loans and consumer loans (direct installment, indirect installment and consumer lines of credit) increased to support organic loan growth, while a portion of the commercial and industrial and indirect installment allowance for credit losses also supported some limited credit migration within those portfolios. The allowance for credit losses allocated to residential mortgages decreased slightly during this same period, which was the result of growth-related reserves being more than offset by general improvements in asset quality within that portfolio. The allowance for credit losses allocated to acquired loans decreased during the year as a result of favorable quarterly cash flow re-estimation results and problem credit resolution, with the PVF, ANNB, and Comm Bancorp, Inc. (CBI) portfolios driving the decrease.

During 2014, the allowance for credit losses allocated to commercial loans, consumer loans and residential mortgages increased to support organic loan growth. The allowance for credit losses increased as a result of the growth in each of the loan portfolios noted above and was partially offset by allowance declines as a result of the general improvement in asset quality and charge-offs throughout 2014, particularly in the commercial loan portfolios. Furthermore, we expanded the number of modeling segments in 2014, which allowed for a more precise allowance calculation and moderately offset the required allowance as a result of organic loan growth.

Table of Contents

The allowance for credit losses allocated to acquired loans increased during the year as a result of the quarterly cash flow re-estimation process, moderate builds in a few loan pools and, to a lesser extent, the addition of the BCSB and OBA portfolios.

During 2013, the allowance for credit losses allocated to residential mortgages and consumer loans increased to support organic loan growth. Positive asset quality results in the commercial loan portfolio outpaced loan provisions for organic growth, resulting in the allowance for credit losses allocated to that portfolio to decrease. The allowance for credit losses related to acquired loans increased during the year primarily as a result of some deterioration in expected cash flows since acquisition in a few small business pools within the PVF and CBI portfolios.

Investment Activity

Investment activities serve to enhance net interest income while supporting interest rate sensitivity and liquidity positions. Securities purchased with the intent and ability to hold until maturity are categorized as securities held to maturity and carried at amortized cost. All other securities are categorized as securities available for sale and are recorded at fair value. Securities, like loans, are subject to similar interest rate and credit risk. In addition, by their nature, securities classified as available for sale are also subject to fair value risks that could negatively affect the level of liquidity available to us, as well as stockholders' equity. A change in the value of securities held to maturity could also negatively affect the level of stockholders' equity if there was a decline in the underlying creditworthiness of the issuers and an OTTI is deemed to have occurred or if there was a change in our intent and ability to hold the securities to maturity.

As of December 31, 2016, securities totaling \$2.2 billion and \$2.3 billion were classified as available for sale and held to maturity, respectively. During 2016, securities available for sale increased by \$601.4 million and securities held to maturity increased by \$700.3 million from December 31, 2015, primarily due to the acquisition of METR. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, we did not hold any trading securities.

Table of Contents

The following table indicates the respective maturities and weighted-average yields of securities as of December 31, 2016:

| (dollars in thousands) | Amount | Weighted Average Yield |
|---|---------------------|------------------------------|
| Obligations of U.S. Treasury: | | |
| Maturing within one year | \$ 29,953 | 1.17% |
| Maturing after ten years | 500 | 5.25 |
| Obligations of U.S. government-sponsored entities: | | |
| Maturing within one year | 60,255 | 1.08 |
| Maturing after one year but within five years | 574,899 | 1.45 |
| Maturing after ten years | 2,589 | 2.49 |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions: | | |
| Maturing within one year | 392 | 5.45 |
| Maturing after one year but within five years | 24,191 | 3.39 |
| Maturing after five years but within ten years | 71,967 | 4.02 |
| Maturing after ten years | 356,647 | 4.22 |
| Other debt securities: | | |
| Maturing within one year | 5,017 | 4.28 |
| Maturing after ten years | 4,470 | 1.77 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: | | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities | 2,105,013 | 2.13 |
| Agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,279,122 | 1.86 |
| Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 2,586 | 4.38 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 51,088 | 2.49 |
| Equity securities | 640 | 3.88 |
| Total | \$ 4,569,239 | 2.16 |

The weighted average yields for tax-exempt securities are computed on an FTE basis using the federal statutory tax rate of 35.0%. The weighted average yields for securities available for sale are based on amortized cost.

For additional information relating to investment activity, see Note 4, *Securities* in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

Deposits and Short-Term Borrowings

As a bank holding company, our primary source of funds is deposits. These deposits are provided by businesses, municipalities and individuals located within the markets served by our Community Banking subsidiary.

Total deposits increased \$3.4 billion to \$16.1 billion at December 31, 2016, compared to December 31, 2015, primarily as a result of the METR acquisition and Fifth Third branch purchase, combined with an organic increase in transaction accounts, which are comprised of non-interest-bearing, savings and NOW accounts (which includes money market deposit accounts). The METR acquisition and Fifth Third branch purchase added \$2.3 billion and \$302.5 million in deposits, respectively. The increase in transaction accounts is a result of our ongoing marketing

campaigns designed to attract new customers to our local approach to banking, combined with higher balances being carried by existing customers.

Short-term borrowings, made up of customer repurchase agreements (also referred to as securities sold under repurchase agreements), FHLB advances, federal funds purchased and subordinated notes, increased to \$2.5 billion at December 31, 2016 from \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2015. The composition of short-term

Table of Contents

borrowings has changed over this period, as federal funds purchased increased \$469.0 million as these funds were utilized to support loan growth. Customer repurchase agreements, which are sweep accounts with next day maturities utilized by larger commercial customers to earn interest on their funds, increased \$46.3 million over this same period. FHLB borrowings decreased \$65.0 million in 2016.

Following is a summary of selected information relating to certain components of short-term borrowings:

| At or for the Year Ended December 31 (dollars in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Customer Repurchase Agreements | | | |
| Balance at year-end | \$ 313,062 | \$ 266,732 | \$ 882,696 |
| Maximum month-end balance | 322,235 | 835,889 | 925,659 |
| Average balance during year | 313,822 | 515,108 | 826,125 |
| Weighted average interest rates: | | | |
| At year-end | 0.26% | 0.19% | 0.22% |
| During the year | 0.26% | 0.21% | 0.22% |
| FHLB Advances (Short-term) | | | |
| Balance at year-end | \$ 1,025,000 | \$ 1,090,000 | \$ 820,000 |
| Maximum month-end balance | 1,075,000 | 1,090,000 | 820,000 |
| Average balance during year | 490,771 | 516,025 | 101,978 |
| Weighted average interest rates: | | | |
| At year-end | 0.73% | 0.48% | 0.31% |
| During the year | 0.59% | 0.40% | 0.30% |
| Federal Funds Purchased | | | |
| Balance at year-end | \$ 1,037,000 | \$ 568,000 | \$ 210,000 |
| Maximum month-end balance | 1,238,000 | 1,003,000 | 775,000 |
| Average balance during year | 1,045,489 | 507,321 | 385,987 |
| Weighted average interest rates: | | | |
| At year-end | 0.62% | 0.29% | 0.25% |
| During the year | 0.49% | 0.28% | 0.28% |

For additional information relating to deposits and short-term borrowings, see Note 10, Deposits and Note 11, Short-Term Borrowings in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report.

Capital Resources

The access to, and cost of, funding for new business initiatives, including acquisitions, the ability to engage in expanded business activities, the ability to pay dividends and the level and nature of regulatory oversight depend, in part, on our capital position.

The assessment of capital adequacy depends on a number of factors such as asset quality, liquidity, earnings performance, changing competitive conditions and economic forces. We seek to maintain a strong capital base to support our growth and expansion activities, to provide stability to current operations and to promote public confidence.

We have an effective shelf registration statement filed with the SEC. Pursuant to this registration statement, we may, from time to time, issue and sell in one or more offerings any combination of common stock, preferred stock or debt securities. On October 2, 2015, we completed our offering of \$100.0 million aggregate principal amount of 4.875% subordinated notes due in 2025 under this registration statement. The subordinated notes are

Table of Contents

treated as tier 2 capital for regulatory capital purposes. The net proceeds of the debt offering after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses were \$98.4 million. We used the net proceeds from the sale of the subordinated notes for general corporate purposes, which included investments at the holding company level, providing capital to support the growth of FNBPA and our business, including the acquisition of METR and the Fifth Third branches.

Capital management is a continuous process with capital plans and stress testing for FNB and FNBPA updated at least annually. These capital plans include assessing the adequacy of expected capital levels assuming various scenarios by projecting capital needs for a forecast period of 2-3 years beyond the current year. Both FNB and FNBPA are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. For additional information, see Note 20, Regulatory Matters in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 of this Report. From time to time, we issue shares initially acquired by us as treasury stock under our various benefit plans. We may continue to grow through acquisitions, which can potentially impact our capital position. We may issue additional preferred stock, common stock or subordinated debt in order to maintain our well-capitalized status.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS, COMMITMENTS AND OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

The following table sets forth contractual obligations of principal that represent required and potential cash outflows as of December 31, 2016:

| (in thousands) | Within 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | After 5 Years | Total |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Deposits without a stated maturity | \$ 13,309,152 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 13,309,152 |
| Certificates and other time deposits | 1,299,330 | 763,358 | 379,550 | 134,257 | 2,576,495 |
| Operating leases | 20,141 | 33,417 | 23,891 | 55,128 | 132,577 |
| Long-term debt | 179,147 | 105,357 | 54,104 | 157,986 | 496,594 |
| Total | \$ 14,807,770 | \$ 902,132 | \$ 457,545 | \$ 347,371 | \$ 16,514,818 |

The following table sets forth the amounts and expected maturities of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit as of December 31, 2016:

| (in thousands) | Within 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | After 5 Years | Total |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Commitments to extend credit | \$ 2,982,018 | \$ 629,206 | \$ 417,597 | \$ 396,013 | \$ 4,424,834 |
| Standby letters of credit | 99,877 | 17,194 | 227 | 434 | 117,732 |
| Total | \$ 3,081,895 | \$ 646,400 | \$ 417,824 | \$ 396,447 | \$ 4,542,566 |

Commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit do not necessarily represent future cash requirements because while the borrower has the ability to draw upon these commitments at any time, these commitments often expire without being drawn upon. Additionally, a significant portion of these commitments can be terminated by FNB. For additional information relating to commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, see Note 14,

Commitments, Credit Risk and Contingencies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in

Item 8 of this Report.

LIQUIDITY

Our goal in liquidity management is to satisfy the cash flow requirements of customers and the operating cash needs of FNB with cost-effective funding. Our Board of Directors has established an Asset/Liability Management Policy in order to guide management in achieving and maintaining earnings performance consistent

Table of Contents

with long-term goals, while maintaining acceptable levels of interest rate risk, a well-capitalized balance sheet and adequate levels of liquidity. Our Board of Directors has also established a Contingency Funding Policy to guide management in addressing stressed liquidity conditions. These policies designate our Asset/Liability Committee (ALCO) as the body responsible for meeting these objectives. The ALCO, which is comprised of members of executive management, reviews liquidity on a continuous basis and approves significant changes in strategies that affect balance sheet or cash flow positions. Liquidity is centrally managed on a daily basis by our Treasury Department.

FNBPA generates liquidity from its normal business operations. Liquidity sources from assets include payments from loans and investments, as well as the ability to securitize, pledge or sell loans, investment securities and other assets. Liquidity sources from liabilities are generated primarily through the banking offices of FNBPA in the form of deposits and customer repurchase agreements. FNB also has access to reliable and cost-effective wholesale sources of liquidity. Short- and long-term funds can be acquired to help fund normal business operations, as well as to serve as contingency funding in the event that we would be faced with a liquidity crisis.

The principal sources of the parent company's liquidity are its strong existing cash resources plus dividends it receives from its subsidiaries. These dividends may be impacted by the parent's or its subsidiaries' capital needs, statutory laws and regulations, corporate policies, contractual restrictions, profitability and other factors. In addition, FNB, through one of our subsidiaries, regularly issues subordinated notes, which are guaranteed by FNB. Cash on hand at the parent has been managed by various strategies over the last few years. These include strong earnings, increasing earnings retention rate and capital actions. On October 2, 2015, we issued \$100.0 million aggregate principal amount of 4.875% subordinated debt due in 2025. The net proceeds of the debt offering after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses were \$98.4 million. In 2016, we utilized a portion of the net proceeds from the debt offering to provide \$70.0 million in capital to support the growth of FNBPA, primarily the METR acquisition. As a result, the parent's cash position decreased \$62.3 million from \$226.9 million at December 31, 2015 to \$164.6 million at December 31, 2016.

Management believes our cash levels are appropriate given the current environment. Two metrics that are used to gauge the adequacy of the parent company's cash position are the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) and Months of Cash on Hand (MCH). The LCR is defined as the sum of cash on hand plus projected cash inflows over the next 12 months divided by projected cash outflows over the next 12 months. The MCH is defined as the number of months of corporate expenses and dividends that can be covered by the cash on hand. Both metrics have improved versus the prior year end.

The LCR and MCH ratios, as well as subordinated notes data, are presented in the following table:

| (dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 | Internal limit |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Liquidity coverage ratio (LCR) | 2.3 times | 1.8 times | > 1 time |
| Months of cash on hand (MCH) | 14.9 months | 14.0 months | > 12 months |
| Subordinated notes | \$ 215,095 | \$ 208,832 | n/a |

Our liquidity position continues to be strong as evidenced by our ability to generate growth in relationship-based accounts. Total average deposits totaled \$15.4 billion at December 31, 2016 and increased \$3.2 billion, or 26.5%, year over year, due to the acquisition of METR and the Fifth Third branches, as well as organic growth. Average organic growth was \$887.2 million or 7.2% for the year-to-date period. Organic growth in low-cost transaction deposits for 2016 was \$1.0 billion, or 10.5%, led by strong organic growth in average non-interest bearing deposits of

\$644.9 million, or 22.5% , and average savings and NOW of \$367.8 million, or 5.4%. The strong growth in low-cost transaction deposits was slightly offset by a decline in average time deposits of \$125.5 million, or 4.9% for the period on an organic basis.

FNBPA had unused wholesale credit availability sources that include the availability to borrow from the FHLB, the FRB, correspondent bank lines and access to brokered certificates of deposit. In addition to credit availability,

Table of Contents

FNBPA also possesses salable unpledged government and agency securities which could be utilized to meet funding needs. The ALCO Policy minimum guideline level for salable unpledged government and agency securities is 3.0%.

The following table presents certain information relating to credit availability and salable unpledged securities:

| (dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Unused wholesale credit availability | \$ 6,343,433 | \$ 5,209,505 |
| Unused wholesale credit availability as a % of FNBPA assets | 29.3% | 30.0% |
| Salable unpledged government and agency securities | \$ 1,451,157 | \$ 1,261,723 |
| Salable unpledged government and agency securities as a % of FNBPA assets | 6.7% | 7.3% |

Another metric for measuring liquidity risk is the liquidity gap analysis. The following liquidity gap analysis as of December 31, 2016 compares the difference between our cash flows from existing assets and liabilities over future time intervals. Management seeks to limit the size of the liquidity gaps so that sources and uses of funds are reasonably matched in the normal course of business. A reasonably matched position lays a better foundation for dealing with additional funding needs during a potential liquidity crisis. The twelve-month cumulative gap to total assets was (3.3)% and (2.6)% as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

| (dollars in thousands) | Within 1 Month | 2-3 Months | 4-6 Months | 7-12 Months | Total 1 Year |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Assets | | | | | |
| Loans | \$ 318,315 | \$ 631,384 | \$ 874,384 | \$ 1,558,723 | \$ 3,382,806 |
| Investments | 137,804 | 153,992 | 182,376 | 411,222 | 885,394 |
| | 456,119 | 785,376 | 1,056,760 | 1,969,945 | 4,268,200 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Non-maturity deposits | 133,931 | 267,863 | 401,796 | 803,591 | 1,607,181 |
| Time deposits | 147,056 | 291,927 | 346,246 | 514,328 | 1,299,557 |
| Borrowings | 1,795,310 | 118,689 | 61,195 | 98,848 | 2,074,042 |
| | 2,076,297 | 678,479 | 809,237 | 1,416,767 | 4,980,780 |
| Period Gap (Assets - Liabilities) | \$ (1,620,178) | \$ 106,897 | \$ 247,523 | \$ 553,178 | \$ (712,580) |
| Cumulative Gap | \$ (1,620,178) | \$ (1,513,281) | \$ (1,265,758) | \$ (712,580) | |
| Cumulative Gap to Total Assets | (7.4)% | (6.9)% | (5.8)% | (3.3)% | |

In addition, the ALCO regularly monitors various liquidity ratios and stress scenarios of our liquidity position. The stress scenarios forecast that adequate funding will be available even under severe conditions. Management believes we have sufficient liquidity available to meet our normal operating and contingency funding cash needs.

MARKET RISK

Market risk refers to potential losses arising from changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equity prices and commodity prices. We are primarily exposed to interest rate risk inherent in our lending and deposit-taking activities as a financial intermediary. To succeed in this capacity, we offer an extensive variety of financial products to meet the diverse needs of our customers. These products sometimes contribute to interest rate risk for us when product groups do not complement one another. For example, depositors may want short-term deposits while borrowers desire long-term loans.

Changes in market interest rates may result in changes in the fair value of our financial instruments, cash flows and net interest income. The ALCO is responsible for market risk management which involves devising policy

Table of Contents

guidelines, risk measures and limits, and managing the amount of interest rate risk and its effect on net interest income and capital. We use derivative financial instruments for interest rate risk management purposes and not for trading or speculative purposes.

Interest rate risk is comprised of repricing risk, basis risk, yield curve risk and options risk. Repricing risk arises from differences in the cash flow or repricing between asset and liability portfolios. Basis risk arises when asset and liability portfolios are related to different market rate indexes, which do not always change by the same amount. Yield curve risk arises when asset and liability portfolios are related to different maturities on a given yield curve; when the yield curve changes shape, the risk position is altered. Options risk arises from embedded options within asset and liability products as certain borrowers have the option to prepay their loans when rates fall, while certain depositors can redeem their certificates of deposit early when rates rise.

We use an asset/liability model to measure our interest rate risk. Interest rate risk measures we utilize include earnings simulation, economic value of equity (EVE) and gap analysis.

Gap analysis and EVE are static measures that do not incorporate assumptions regarding future business. Gap analysis, while a helpful diagnostic tool, displays cash flows for only a single rate environment. EVE's long-term horizon helps identify changes in optionality and longer-term positions. However, EVE's liquidation perspective does not translate into the earnings-based measures that are the focus of managing and valuing a going concern. Net interest income simulations explicitly measure the exposure to earnings from changes in market rates of interest. In these simulations, our current financial position is combined with assumptions regarding future business to calculate net interest income under various hypothetical rate scenarios. The ALCO reviews earnings simulations over multiple years under various interest rate scenarios on a periodic basis. Reviewing these various measures provides us with a comprehensive view of our interest rate risk profile.

The following repricing gap analysis as of December 31, 2016 compares the difference between the amount of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities subject to repricing over a period of time. Management utilizes the repricing gap analysis as a diagnostic tool in managing net interest income and EVE risk measures.

| (dollars in thousands) | Within 1 Month | 2-3 Months | 4-6 Months | 7-12 Months | Total 1 Year |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Assets | | | | | |
| Loans | \$ 6,153,613 | \$ 767,217 | \$ 626,918 | \$ 1,150,070 | \$ 8,697,818 |
| Investments | 162,557 | 164,916 | 189,075 | 410,766 | 927,314 |
| | 6,316,170 | 932,133 | 815,993 | 1,560,836 | 9,625,132 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Non-maturity deposits | 4,683,685 | | | | 4,683,685 |
| Time deposits | 236,793 | 292,383 | 344,835 | 510,650 | 1,384,661 |
| Borrowings | 2,265,627 | 277,742 | 42,525 | 61,507 | 2,647,401 |
| | 7,186,105 | 570,125 | 387,360 | 572,157 | 8,715,747 |
| Off-balance sheet | (100,000) | 150,000 | | | 50,000 |
| Period Gap (assets - liabilities + off-balance sheet) | \$ (969,935) | \$ 512,008 | \$ 428,633 | \$ 988,679 | \$ 959,385 |

| | | | | |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| Cumulative Gap | \$ (969,935) | \$ (457,927) | \$ (29,294) | \$ 959,385 |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|------------|

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| Cumulative Gap to Assets | (5.0)% | (2.3)% | (0.1)% | 4.9% |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|------|

The twelve-month cumulative repricing gap to total assets was 4.9% and 5.6% as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The positive cumulative gap positions indicate that we have a greater amount of repricing earning assets than repricing interest-bearing liabilities over the subsequent twelve months. If interest rates increase then net interest income will increase and, conversely, if interest rates decrease then net interest income will decrease.

Table of Contents

The allocation of non-maturity deposits and customer repurchase agreements to the one-month maturity category above is based on the estimated sensitivity of each product to changes in market rates. For example, if a product's rate is estimated to increase by 50% as much as the market rates, then 50% of the account balance was placed in this category.

Utilizing net interest income simulations, the following net interest income metrics were calculated using rate shocks which move market rates in an immediate and parallel fashion. The variance percentages represent the change between the net interest income and EVE calculated under the particular rate scenario versus the net interest income and EVE that was calculated assuming market rates as of December 31, 2016.

The following table presents an analysis of the potential sensitivity of our net interest income and EVE to changes in interest rates:

| | December 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 | ALCO Limits |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Net interest income change (12 months): | | | |
| + 300 basis points | 3.9% | 5.7% | n/a |
| + 200 basis points | 2.8% | 4.0% | (5.0)% |
| + 100 basis points | 1.5% | 2.0% | (5.0)% |
| 100 basis points | (4.0)% | (3.0)% | (5.0)% |
| Economic value of equity: | | | |
| + 300 basis points | (3.8)% | 1.6% | (25.0)% |
| + 200 basis points | (2.4)% | 1.8% | (15.0)% |
| + 100 basis points | (0.6)% | 1.3% | (10.0)% |
| 100 basis points | (4.3)% | (5.3)% | (10.0)% |

We also model rate scenarios which move all rates gradually over twelve months (Rate Ramps) and also model scenarios that gradually change the shape of the yield curve. A +300 basis point Rate Ramp increases net interest income (12 months) by 3.3% and 3.6% at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Our strategy is generally to manage to a neutral interest rate risk position. However, given the current interest rate environment, the interest rate risk position has been managed to a modestly asset-sensitive position. Currently, rising rates are expected to have a modest, positive effect on net interest income versus net interest income if rates remained unchanged.

The ALCO utilizes several tactics to manage our interest rate risk position. As mentioned earlier, the growth in transaction deposits provides funding that is less interest rate-sensitive than time deposits and wholesale borrowings. On the lending side, we regularly sell long-term fixed-rate residential mortgages to the secondary market and have been successful in the origination of consumer and commercial loans with short-term repricing characteristics. Total variable and adjustable-rate loans were 60.3% and 58.3% of total loans as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. As of December 31, 2016, 77.0% of these loans, or 46.4% of total loans, are tied to the Prime and one-month LIBOR rates. The investment portfolio is used, in part, to manage our interest rate risk position. We have managed the duration of our investment portfolio to be relatively short, resulting in a portfolio duration of 3.9 years and 3.5 years at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Finally, we have made use of interest rate swaps to commercial borrowers (commercial swaps) to manage our interest rate risk position as the commercial swaps effectively increase adjustable-rate loans. As of December 31, 2016, the commercial swaps totaled \$1.7 billion of

notional principal, with \$651.2 million in notional swap principal originated during 2016. The success of the aforementioned tactics has resulted in a moderately asset-sensitive position. For additional information regarding interest rate swaps, see Note 13, *Derivative and Hedging Activities* to the financial statements in this Report.

We desired to remain modestly asset-sensitive during 2016. A number of management actions and market occurrences resulted in a slight decrease in the asset sensitivity of our interest rate risk position during the period.

Table of Contents

The slight decrease was due to the timing of funding loan and investment growth balanced with deposit activity. The primary drivers decreasing asset sensitivity compared to the prior year end included an increase in short-term borrowings, which is being managed relative to the pending YDKN acquisition and included approximately \$200.0 million in investment activity with the increase in interest rates toward the end of 2016. Offsetting factors, which increased asset-sensitivity, included growth in transaction deposits referred to earlier, an increase in the amount of adjustable loans repricing in twelve months or less, and terming out fixed borrowings. Organic deposit balance sheet growth and the METR and Fifth Third acquisitions provided core transaction accounts which are less rate-sensitive deposits. The amount of outstanding variable and adjustable loans repricing in twelve months or less increased by \$1.6 billion during 2016, and totaled \$6.2 billion at December 31, 2016. In addition, we executed \$200.0 million of pay fixed/receive floating interest rate swaps against three-month FHLB borrowings, which resulted in a lower cost of funds.

We recognize that all asset/liability models have some inherent shortcomings. Asset/liability models require certain assumptions to be made, such as prepayment rates on interest-earning assets and repricing impact on non-maturity deposits, which may differ from actual experience. These business assumptions are based upon our experience, business plans, economic and market trends and available industry data. While management believes that its methodology for developing such assumptions are reasonable, there can be no assurance that modeled results will be achieved. Furthermore, the metrics are based upon the balance sheet structure as of the valuation date and do not reflect the planned growth or management actions that could be taken.

RISK MANAGEMENT

As a financial institution, we take on a certain amount of risk in every business decision, transaction and activity. Our Board of Directors and senior management have identified seven major categories of risk: credit risk, market risk, liquidity risk, reputational risk, operational risk, legal and compliance risk and strategic risk. In its oversight role of our risk management function, the Board of Directors focuses on the strategies, analyses and conclusions of management relating to identifying, understanding and managing risks so as to optimize total stockholder value, while balancing prudent business and safety and soundness considerations.

We support our risk management process through a governance structure involving our Board of Directors and senior management. The joint Risk Committee of our Board of Directors and the FNBPA Board of Directors helps ensure that our business decisions are executed within appropriate risk tolerances. The Risk Committee has the oversight responsibilities with respect to the following:

- identification, measurement, assessment and monitoring of enterprise-wide risk;
- development of appropriate and meaningful risk metrics to use in connection with the oversight of our businesses and strategies;
- review and assessment of our policies and practices to manage our credit, market, liquidity, legal, regulatory and operating risk (including technology, operational, compliance and fiduciary risks); and
- identification and implementation of risk management best practices.

The Risk Committee serves as the primary point of contact between our Board of Directors and the Risk Management Council, which is the senior management level committee responsible for risk management.

As noted above, we have a Risk Management Council comprised of senior management. The purpose of this committee is to provide regular oversight of specific areas of risk with respect to the level of risk and risk management structure. Management has also established an Operational Risk Committee that is responsible for

identifying, evaluating and monitoring operational risks across FNB, evaluating and approving appropriate remediation efforts to address identified operational risks and providing periodic reports concerning operational risks to the Risk Management Council. The Risk Management Council reports on a regular basis to the Risk Committee of our Board of Directors regarding our enterprise-wide risk profile and other significant risk

Table of Contents

management issues. Our Chief Risk Officer is responsible for the design and implementation of our enterprise-wide risk management strategy and framework and ensures the coordinated and consistent implementation of risk management initiatives and strategies on a day-to-day basis. Our Compliance Department, which reports to the Chief Risk Officer, is responsible for developing policies and procedures and monitoring compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Our Information and Cyber Security Department, which reports to the Chief Risk Officer, is responsible for maintaining a risk assessment of our information and cyber security risks and ensuring appropriate controls are in place to manage and control such risks, including designing appropriate testing plans to ensure the integrity of information and cyber security controls. Further, our audit function performs an independent assessment of our internal controls environment and plays an integral role in testing the operation of internal controls systems and reporting findings to management and our Audit Committee. Both the Risk Committee and Audit Committee of our Board of Directors regularly report on risk-related matters to the full Board of Directors. In addition, both the Risk Committee of our Board of Directors and our Risk Management Council regularly assess our enterprise-wide risk profile and provide guidance on actions needed to address key and emerging risk issues.

The Board of Directors believes that our enterprise-wide risk management process is effective and enables the Board of Directors to:

- assess the quality of the information we receive;
- understand our businesses, investments and financial, accounting, legal, regulatory and strategic considerations, and the risks that we face;
- oversee and assess how senior management evaluates risk; and
- assess appropriately the quality of our enterprise-wide risk management process.

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES AND KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

We use certain non-GAAP financial information and performance measures to provide information useful to investors in understanding our operating performance, efficiency and trends, and to facilitate comparisons with our peers. The non-GAAP financial measures we use may differ from the non-GAAP financial measures other financial institutions use to measure their results of operations.

Non-GAAP financial measures should be viewed in addition to, and not as an alternative for, our reported results prepared in accordance with GAAP. Non-GAAP financial measures have inherent limitations, are not required to be uniformly applied and are not audited. Although non-GAAP financial measures are frequently used by stakeholders in the evaluation of a company, these measures have limitations as analytical tools and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analyses of results as reported under GAAP. Accordingly, we encourage readers to consider our Consolidated Financial Statements in their entirety and to not rely on any single financial measure.

Reconciliations of non-GAAP operating measures to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures are included in the following tables.

Operating Net Income Available to Common Stockholders

| Year Ended December 31 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| (dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ 162,850 | \$ 151,608 | \$ 135,698 |

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/PA/ - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------|------------|
| Merger, acquisition and severance costs | 37,439 | 3,033 | 12,150 |
| Tax benefit of merger, acquisition and severance costs | (12,550) | (948) | (4,253) |
| Operating net income available to common stockholders (non-GAAP) | \$ 187,739 | \$ 153,693 | \$ 143,595 |

Table of Contents

The table above shows how operating net income available to common stockholders (non-GAAP) is derived from amounts reported in our financial statements. We believe this measurement helps investors understand the effect of acquisition activity in reported results. We use operating net income available to common stockholders to better understand business performance and the underlying trends produced by core business activities. We believe merger, acquisition and severance costs are not organic costs to run our operations and facilities. These charges represent expenses to satisfy contractual obligations of an acquired entity without any useful benefit to us and to convert and consolidate the entity's records onto our platforms. These costs are specific to each individual transaction, and may vary significantly based on the size and complexity of the transaction.

Operating Net Income per Diluted Common Share

| Year Ended December 31 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net income per diluted common share | \$ 0.78 | \$ 0.86 | \$ 0.80 |
| Effect of merger, acquisition and severance costs | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.07 |
| Effect of tax benefit of merger, acquisition and severance costs | (0.06) | (0.01) | (0.02) |
| Operating net income per diluted common share (non-GAAP) | \$ 0.90 | \$ 0.87 | \$ 0.85 |

Return on Average Tangible Common Equity

| Year Ended December 31 (dollars in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ 162,850 | \$ 151,608 | \$ 135,698 |
| Amortization of intangibles, net of tax | 8,943 | 6,861 | 8,002 |
| Tangible net income available to common stockholders | \$ 171,793 | \$ 158,469 | \$ 143,700 |
| Average total stockholders' equity | \$ 2,499,976 | \$ 2,072,170 | \$ 1,920,440 |
| Less: Average preferred stockholders' equity | (106,882) | (106,882) | (106,882) |
| Less: Average intangibles | (1,059,856) | (869,347) | (849,933) |
| Average tangible common equity | \$ 1,333,238 | \$ 1,095,941 | \$ 963,625 |
| Return on average tangible common equity (non-GAAP) | 12.89% | 14.46% | 14.91% |

Return on Average Tangible Assets

| Year Ended December 31 (dollars in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net income | \$ 170,891 | \$ 159,649 | \$ 144,050 |
| Amortization of intangibles, net of tax | 8,943 | 6,861 | 8,002 |

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/PA/ - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|----------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Tangible net income | \$ 179,834 | \$ 166,510 | \$ 152,052 |
| Average total assets | \$ 20,677,717 | \$ 16,606,147 | \$ 14,962,140 |
| Less: Average intangibles | (1,059,856) | (869,347) | (849,933) |
| Average tangible assets | \$ 19,617,861 | \$ 15,736,800 | \$ 14,112,207 |
| Return on average tangible assets (non-GAAP) | 0.92% | 1.06% | 1.08% |

Table of Contents*Tangible Book Value per Common Share*

| December 31 (in thousands, except per share data) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Total stockholders' equity | \$ 2,571,617 | \$ 2,096,182 | \$ 2,021,456 |
| Less: Preferred stockholders' equity | (106,882) | (106,882) | (106,882) |
| Less: Intangibles | (1,085,935) | (869,809) | (872,859) |
| Tangible common equity | \$ 1,378,800 | \$ 1,119,491 | \$ 1,041,715 |
| Common shares outstanding | 211,059,547 | 175,441,670 | 173,992,258 |
| Tangible book value per common share (non-GAAP) | \$ 6.53 | \$ 6.38 | \$ 5.99 |

Core Net Interest Margin

| Year Ended December 31 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net interest margin (FTE) (non-GAAP) | 3.38% | 3.42% | 3.59% |
| Accretible yield adjustments | (0.04)% | (0.03)% | (0.05)% |
| Core net interest margin (FTE) (non-GAAP) | 3.34% | 3.39% | 3.54% |

Efficiency Ratio

| Year Ended December 31 (dollars in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Non-interest expense | \$ 511,133 | \$ 390,549 | \$ 379,253 |
| Less: Amortization of intangibles | (11,210) | (8,305) | (9,717) |
| Less: OREO expense | (5,153) | (4,637) | (4,401) |
| Less: Merger, acquisition and severance costs | (37,439) | (3,033) | (12,150) |
| Less: Impairment charge on other assets | (2,585) | | |
| Adjusted non-interest expense | \$ 454,746 | \$ 374,574 | \$ 352,985 |
| Net interest income | \$ 611,512 | \$ 498,222 | \$ 466,297 |
| Taxable equivalent adjustment | 11,248 | 7,635 | 6,899 |
| Non-interest income | 201,761 | 162,410 | 158,274 |
| Less: Net securities gains | (712) | (822) | (11,717) |
| Less: Gain on redemption of TPS | (2,422) | | |
| Less: Purchase accounting adjustment | | | (2,713) |
| Adjusted net interest income (FTE) + non-interest income | \$ 821,387 | \$ 667,445 | \$ 617,040 |

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|
| Efficiency ratio (FTE) (non-GAAP) | 55.36% | 56.12% | 57.21% |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information called for by this item is provided in the Market Risk section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which is included in Item 7 of this Report, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Report of Management on F.N.B. Corporation's Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

February 23, 2017

F.N.B. Corporation's (FNB) internal control over financial reporting is a process effected by the board of directors, management, and other personnel, designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the preparation of reliable financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. An entity's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the entity; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the entity are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and the board of directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention, or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the entity's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016 based on the framework set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* (2013 framework). Based on that assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2016, our internal control over financial reporting is effective based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* (2013 framework). Ernst & Young LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting.

F.N.B. Corporation

/s/ Vincent J. Delie, Jr.

By: Vincent J. Delie, Jr.

President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Vincent J. Calabrese, Jr.

By: Vincent J. Calabrese, Jr.

Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

F.N.B. Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of F.N.B. Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016. These financial statements are the responsibility of F.N.B. Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of F.N.B. Corporation and subsidiaries at December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), F.N.B. Corporation's internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated February 23, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

February 23, 2017

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

F.N.B. Corporation

We have audited F.N.B. Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). F.N.B. Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Report of Management on F.N.B. Corporation's Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, F.N.B. Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of F.N.B. Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016 of F.N.B. Corporation and subsidiaries and our report dated February 23, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

February 23, 2017

Table of Contents**F.N.B. CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

Dollars in thousands, except par values

| | December 31 | |
|---|----------------------|---------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Assets | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 303,526 | \$ 207,399 |
| Interest-bearing deposits with banks | 67,881 | 281,720 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | 371,407 | 489,119 |
| Securities available for sale | 2,231,987 | 1,630,567 |
| Securities held to maturity (fair value of \$2,294,777 and \$1,643,416) | 2,337,342 | 1,637,061 |
| Residential mortgage loans held for sale | 11,908 | 4,781 |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income of \$52,723 and \$51,642 | 14,896,943 | 12,190,440 |
| Allowance for credit losses | (158,059) | (142,012) |
| Net Loans and Leases | 14,738,884 | 12,048,428 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 243,956 | 159,080 |
| Goodwill | 1,032,129 | 833,086 |
| Core deposit and other intangible assets, net | 67,327 | 45,644 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 330,152 | 308,192 |
| Other assets | 479,725 | 401,704 |
| Total Assets | \$ 21,844,817 | \$ 17,557,662 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Non-interest-bearing demand | \$ 4,205,337 | \$ 3,059,949 |
| Interest-bearing demand | 6,931,381 | 5,311,589 |
| Savings | 2,352,434 | 1,786,459 |
| Certificates and other time deposits | 2,576,495 | 2,465,466 |
| Total Deposits | 16,065,647 | 12,623,463 |
| Short-term borrowings | 2,503,010 | 2,048,896 |
| Long-term borrowings | 539,494 | 641,480 |
| Other liabilities | 165,049 | 147,641 |
| Total Liabilities | 19,273,200 | 15,461,480 |
| Stockholders Equity | | |
| Preferred stock \$0.01 par value; liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share | | |
| Authorized 20,000,000 shares | | |
| Issued 110,877 shares | 106,882 | 106,882 |
| Common stock \$0.01 par value | | |

| | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Authorized | 500,000,000 shares | | |
| Issued | 212,378,494 and 176,595,060 shares | 2,125 | 1,766 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 2,234,366 | 1,808,210 |
| Retained earnings | | 304,397 | 243,217 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | | (61,369) | (51,133) |
| Treasury stock | 1,318,947 and 1,153,390 shares at cost | (14,784) | (12,760) |
| Total Stockholders Equity | | 2,571,617 | 2,096,182 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | | \$ 21,844,817 | \$ 17,557,662 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**F.N.B. CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

Dollars in thousands, except per share data

| | Year Ended December 31 | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Interest Income | | | |
| Loans and leases, including fees | \$ 597,621 | \$ 482,086 | \$ 449,502 |
| Securities: | | | |
| Taxable | 71,853 | 58,148 | 53,877 |
| Tax-exempt | 9,011 | 6,405 | 5,282 |
| Dividends | 34 | 39 | 228 |
| Other | 444 | 117 | 94 |
| Total Interest Income | 678,963 | 546,795 | 508,983 |
| Interest Expense | | | |
| Deposits | 41,239 | 31,207 | 29,603 |
| Short-term borrowings | 12,183 | 7,075 | 5,638 |
| Long-term borrowings | 14,029 | 10,291 | 7,445 |
| Total Interest Expense | 67,451 | 48,573 | 42,686 |
| Net Interest Income | 611,512 | 498,222 | 466,297 |
| Provision for credit losses | 55,752 | 40,441 | 38,648 |
| Net Interest Income After Provision for Credit Losses | 555,760 | 457,781 | 427,649 |
| Non-Interest Income | | | |
| Service charges | 99,033 | 70,698 | 68,267 |
| Trust services | 21,173 | 20,934 | 19,365 |
| Insurance commissions and fees | 18,328 | 16,270 | 16,758 |
| Securities commissions and fees | 13,468 | 13,642 | 11,453 |
| Swap fee income | 13,921 | 9,477 | 5,744 |
| Mortgage banking operations | 12,106 | 8,619 | 3,705 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 10,150 | 8,010 | 7,716 |
| Net securities gains | 712 | 822 | 11,717 |
| Other | 12,870 | 13,938 | 13,549 |
| Total Non-Interest Income | 201,761 | 162,410 | 158,274 |
| Non-Interest Expense | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 239,798 | 202,068 | 195,016 |
| Net occupancy | 40,086 | 33,670 | 32,281 |
| Equipment | 38,046 | 31,869 | 29,245 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 11,210 | 8,305 | 9,717 |
| Outside services | 43,737 | 34,698 | 33,208 |

| | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------|------------|
| FDIC insurance | 19,203 | 12,888 | 13,258 |
| Supplies | 10,834 | 8,064 | 7,102 |
| Bank shares and franchise taxes | 8,940 | 8,139 | 6,954 |
| Telephone | 7,159 | 6,234 | 5,710 |
| Advertising and promotional | 10,141 | 8,396 | 7,824 |
| Loan related | 8,170 | 6,161 | 4,933 |
| Other real estate owned | 5,153 | 4,637 | 4,401 |
| Merger and acquisition related | 37,439 | 3,033 | 9,611 |
| Other | 31,217 | 22,387 | 19,993 |
| Total Non-Interest Expense | 511,133 | 390,549 | 379,253 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 246,388 | 229,642 | 206,670 |
| Income taxes | 75,497 | 69,993 | 62,620 |
| Net Income | 170,891 | 159,649 | 144,050 |
| Preferred stock dividends | 8,041 | 8,041 | 8,352 |
| Net Income Available to Common Stockholders | \$ 162,850 | \$ 151,608 | \$ 135,698 |
| Net Income per Common Share | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.79 | \$ 0.87 | \$ 0.81 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.78 | \$ 0.86 | \$ 0.80 |
| Cash Dividends Paid per Common Share | \$ 0.48 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 0.48 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**F.N.B. CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

Dollars in thousands

| | Year Ended December 31 | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Net income | \$ 170,891 | \$ 159,649 | \$ 144,050 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income: | | | |
| Securities available for sale: | | | |
| Unrealized (losses) gains arising during the period, net of tax (benefit) expense of \$(7,477), \$(1,561), and \$13,593 | (13,886) | (2,899) | 25,242 |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income, net of tax expense of \$249, \$288 and \$4,101 | (463) | (534) | (7,616) |
| Derivative instruments: | | | |
| Unrealized gains arising during the period, net of tax expense of \$2,739, \$1,887 and \$4,629 | 5,086 | 3,504 | 8,597 |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income, net of tax expense of \$685, \$1,035 and \$1,160 | (1,272) | (1,921) | (2,154) |
| Pension and postretirement benefit obligations: | | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period, net of tax expense (benefit) of \$161, \$(1,766) and \$(7,080) | 299 | (3,280) | (13,148) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income | (10,236) | (5,130) | 10,921 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 160,655 | \$ 154,519 | \$ 154,971 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**F.N.B. CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**

Dollars in thousands, except per share data

| | Preferred Stock | Common Stock | Additional Paid-In Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Treasury Stock | Total |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------|----------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2014 | \$ 106,882 | \$ 1,592 | \$ 1,608,117 | \$ 121,870 | \$ (56,924) | \$ (7,154) | \$ 1,774,383 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | 144,050 | 10,921 | | 154,971 |
| Dividends declared: | | | | | | | |
| Preferred stock | | | | (8,352) | | | (8,352) |
| Common stock: \$0.48/share | | | | (81,220) | | | (81,220) |
| Issuance of common stock | | 23 | 14,524 | (228) | | (9,127) | 5,192 |
| Issuance of common stock acquisitions | | 139 | 170,011 | | | | 170,150 |
| Restricted stock compensation | | | 3,618 | | | | 3,618 |
| Tax benefit of stock-based compensation | | | 2,714 | | | | 2,714 |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | 106,882 | 1,754 | 1,798,984 | 176,120 | (46,003) | (16,281) | 2,021,456 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | 159,649 | (5,130) | | 154,519 |
| Dividends declared: | | | | | | | |
| Preferred stock | | | | (8,041) | | | (8,041) |
| Common stock: \$0.48/share | | | | (84,511) | | | (84,511) |
| Issuance of common stock | | 12 | 4,737 | | | 3,521 | 8,270 |
| Restricted stock compensation | | | 4,461 | | | | 4,461 |
| Tax benefit of stock-based compensation | | | 28 | | | | 28 |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | 106,882 | 1,766 | 1,808,210 | 243,217 | (51,133) | (12,760) | 2,096,182 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | 170,891 | (10,236) | | 160,655 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Dividends declared: | | | | | | | |
| Preferred stock | | | | (8,041) | | | (8,041) |
| Common stock: | | | | | | | |
| \$0.48/share | | | | (101,670) | | | (101,670) |
| Issuance of common stock | 19 | 13,411 | | | (2,024) | | 11,406 |
| Issuance of common stock acquisitions | 340 | 403,866 | | | | | 404,206 |
| Restricted stock compensation | | | 7,066 | | | | 7,066 |
| Tax benefit of stock-based compensation | | | 1,813 | | | | 1,813 |
| Balance at | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2016 | \$ 106,882 | \$ 2,125 | \$ 2,234,366 | \$ 304,397 | \$ (61,369) | \$ (14,784) | \$ 2,571,617 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**F.N.B. CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

Dollars in thousands

| | Year Ended December 31 | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Operating Activities | | | |
| Net income | \$ 170,891 | \$ 159,649 | \$ 144,050 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion | 60,503 | 43,949 | 40,119 |
| Provision for credit losses | 55,752 | 40,441 | 38,648 |
| Deferred tax expense | 15,250 | 550 | 44,113 |
| Net securities gains | (712) | (822) | (11,717) |
| Tax benefit of stock-based compensation | (1,813) | (28) | (2,714) |
| Loans originated for sale | (713,087) | (445,558) | (162,010) |
| Loans sold | 716,705 | 455,623 | 168,533 |
| Gain on sale of loans | (10,746) | (8,666) | (5,565) |
| Net change in: | | | |
| Interest receivable | (5,214) | (4,688) | (2,211) |
| Interest payable | (150) | 760 | (875) |
| Securities classified as trading in business combination and sold | | | 241,595 |
| Bank owned life insurance | (5,373) | (6,397) | (10,401) |
| Other, net | 10,702 | (11,333) | (34,746) |
| Net cash flows provided by operating activities | 292,708 | 223,480 | 446,819 |
| Investing Activities | | | |
| Net increase in loans and leases | (816,093) | (985,999) | (1,192,618) |
| Securities available for sale: | | | |
| Purchases | (1,066,361) | (421,901) | (829,800) |
| Sales | 615,199 | 33,499 | 175,872 |
| Maturities | 543,923 | 284,483 | 303,875 |
| Securities held to maturity: | | | |
| Purchases | (1,063,273) | (465,597) | (475,579) |
| Sales | | | 4,570 |
| Maturities | 357,111 | 277,967 | 213,730 |
| Purchase of bank owned life insurance | (16,587) | (72,688) | (16) |
| Withdrawal/surrender of bank owned life insurance | | 72,664 | 21,968 |
| Increase in premises and equipment | (59,327) | (9,723) | (20,238) |
| Net cash received in business combinations | 245,762 | 144,629 | 59,980 |
| Net cash flows used in investing activities | (1,259,646) | (1,142,666) | (1,738,256) |

Financing Activities

| | | | |
|---|-------------------|------------|------------|
| Net change in: | | | |
| Demand (non-interest-bearing and interest-bearing) and savings accounts | 933,793 | 1,259,765 | 652,808 |
| Time deposits | (119,734) | (166,283) | (292,026) |
| Short-term borrowings | 251,713 | 7,238 | 789,318 |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term borrowings | 46,357 | 134,953 | 385,656 |
| Repayment of long-term borrowings | (173,477) | (34,968) | (96,906) |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock | 18,472 | 12,731 | 12,857 |
| Tax benefit of stock-based compensation | 1,813 | 28 | 2,714 |
| Cash dividends paid: | | | |
| Preferred stock | (8,041) | (8,041) | (8,352) |
| Common stock | (101,670) | (84,511) | (81,220) |
| Net cash flows provided by financing activities | 849,226 | 1,120,912 | 1,364,849 |
| Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents | (117,712) | 201,726 | 73,412 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 489,119 | 287,393 | 213,981 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year | \$ 371,407 | \$ 489,119 | \$ 287,393 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

F.N.B. CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NATURE OF OPERATIONS

F.N.B. Corporation (FNB), headquartered in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, is a diversified financial services company operating in six states and three major metropolitan areas, including Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Baltimore, Maryland and Cleveland, Ohio. As of December 31, 2016, we had 324 banking offices throughout Pennsylvania, Ohio, Maryland and West Virginia. We provide a full range of commercial banking, consumer banking, and wealth management solutions through our subsidiary network which is led by our largest affiliate, First National Bank of Pennsylvania (FNBPA). Commercial banking solutions include corporate banking, small business banking, investment real estate financing, international banking, business credit, capital markets and lease financing. Consumer banking provides a full line of consumer banking products and services including deposit products, mortgage lending, consumer lending and a complete suite of mobile and online banking services. Wealth management services include asset management, private banking and insurance. We also operate Regency Finance Company (Regency), which had 77 consumer finance offices in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Kentucky and Tennessee as of December 31, 2016.

Redomestication

We completed our redomestication from the State of Florida to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on August 30, 2016. The redomestication was effected pursuant to a plan of conversion approved by the Board of Directors and stockholders of FNB. As a result of the redomestication, we are organized under and subject to Pennsylvania law, and remain the same entity that existed before the redomestication, with the same legal existence without interruption, and we are deemed to have commenced our existence at the time we were incorporated under Florida law in 2001. We were originally incorporated in 1974 in Pennsylvania and reincorporated in Florida in 2001 after experiencing substantial growth of our business and operations in Florida in prior years. In 2004, we spun off our Florida operations in a newly formed public company and refocused on growing our markets in Pennsylvania. Since that time, the majority of our assets, operations and employees have been located in Pennsylvania. Since closing our Florida commercial loan office in 2013 (which had been maintained solely to manage a small portfolio of Florida commercial real estate loans originated from 2004 to 2009), we have no operations or employees in Florida.

The redomestication did not cause any change in the business, physical location, management, assets, debts or liabilities of FNB. All individuals who served as directors, officers and employees of FNB prior to our redomestication continued to serve in those capacities after our redomestication. Except for the change in the state law governing our legal existence, the redomestication did not affect our common stock or depositary shares or the trading of those securities on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbols FNB and FNBPrE, respectively.

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

Our accompanying consolidated financial statements and these notes to the financial statements include subsidiaries in which we have a controlling financial interest. We own and operate FNBPA, First National Trust Company, First National Investment Services Company, LLC, F.N.B. Investment Advisors, Inc., First National Insurance Agency, LLC (FNIA), Regency, Bank Capital Services, LLC, and F.N.B. Capital Corporation, LLC, and include results for each of these entities in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include all adjustments that are necessary, in the opinion of management, to fairly reflect our financial position and results of operations in accordance with U.S. generally

Table of Contents

accepted accounting principles (GAAP). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. Such reclassifications had no impact on our net income and stockholders' equity. Events occurring subsequent to the date of the balance sheet have been evaluated for potential recognition or disclosure in the consolidated financial statements through the date of the filing of the consolidated financial statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Use of Estimates

Our accounting and reporting policies conform with GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant changes include the allowance for credit losses, accounting for acquired loans, fair value of financial instruments, goodwill and other intangible assets, litigation and income taxes.

Business Combinations

Business combinations are accounted for by applying the acquisition method in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 805, *Business Combinations*. Under the acquisition method, identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date are measured at their fair values as of that date, and are recognized separately from goodwill. Results of operations of the acquired entities are included in the consolidated statements of income from the date of acquisition.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, cash items in transit and amounts due from the Federal Reserve Bank (FRB) and other depository institutions (including interest-bearing deposits).

Securities

Investment securities, which consist of debt securities and certain equity securities, comprise a significant portion of our consolidated balance sheets. Such securities can be classified as trading, securities held to maturity or securities available for sale.

Securities acquired in conjunction with acquisitions during 2014 were classified as trading securities and were carried at fair value, with unrealized gains (losses) reflected through the consolidated statements of income. We both acquired and sold these trading securities during the quarters in which each of the acquisitions occurred. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, we did not hold any trading securities.

Securities held to maturity are comprised of debt securities, for which management has the positive intent and ability to hold until their maturity. Such securities are carried at cost, adjusted for related amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts through interest income from securities, and other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI), if any.

Securities that are not classified as trading or held to maturity are classified as securities available for sale. Our available for sale securities portfolio is comprised of debt securities and marketable equity securities. Such securities are carried at fair value with net unrealized gains and losses deemed to be temporary and unrealized losses deemed to be other-than-temporary and attributable to non-credit factors reported separately as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax. Realized gains and losses on the sale of available for sale securities and

credit-related OTTI charges are recorded within non-interest income in the consolidated statements of income. Realized gains and losses on the sale of securities are determined using the specific-identification method.

Table of Contents

We evaluate our investment securities portfolio for OTTI on a quarterly basis. Impairment is assessed at the individual security level. We consider an investment security impaired if the fair value of the security is less than its cost or amortized cost basis.

When impairment of an equity security is considered to be other-than-temporary, the security is written down to its fair value and an impairment loss is recorded as a loss within non-interest income in the consolidated statements of income. When impairment of a debt security is considered to be other-than-temporary, the amount of the OTTI recorded as a loss within non-interest income and thereby recognized in earnings depends on whether we intend to sell the security or whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis.

If we intend to sell the debt security or it is more likely than not we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, OTTI must be recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the investments' amortized cost basis and its fair value.

If we do not intend to sell the debt security and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, OTTI must be separated into the amount representing credit loss and the amount related to all other market factors. The amount related to credit loss will be recognized in earnings. The amount related to other market factors will be recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes.

We perform our OTTI evaluation process in a consistent and systematic manner and include an evaluation of all available evidence. Documentation of the process is as extensive as necessary to support a conclusion as to whether a decline in fair value below cost or amortized cost is temporary or other-than-temporary and includes documentation supporting both observable and unobservable inputs and a rationale for conclusions reached.

This process considers factors such as the severity, length of time and anticipated recovery period of the impairment, recoveries or additional declines in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date, recent events specific to the issuer, including investment downgrades by rating agencies and economic conditions in its industry, and the issuer's financial condition, repayment capacity, capital strength and near-term prospects.

For debt securities, we also consider the payment structure of the debt security, the likelihood of the issuer being able to make future payments, failure of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest and principal payments, whether we have made a decision to sell the security and whether our cash or working capital requirements or contractual or regulatory obligations indicate that the debt security will be required to be sold before a forecasted recovery occurs. For equity securities, we also consider our intent and ability to retain the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for a recovery in fair value. Among the factors that we consider in determining our intent and ability to retain the security is a review of our capital adequacy, interest rate risk position and liquidity. The assessment of a security's ability to recover any decline in fair value, the ability of the issuer to meet contractual obligations, our intent and ability to retain the security, and whether it is more likely than not we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis require considerable judgment.

Debt securities with credit ratings below AA at the time of purchase that are repayment-sensitive securities are evaluated using the guidance of ASC 325, *Investments - Other*. All other securities are required to be evaluated under ASC 320, *Investments - Debt Securities*.

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are accounted for as collateralized financing transactions and are recorded at the amounts at which the securities were sold plus accrued interest. Securities, generally U.S. government and federal agency securities, pledged as collateral under these financing arrangements cannot be

Table of Contents

sold or repledged by the secured party. The fair value of collateral either received from or provided to a third party is continually monitored and additional collateral is obtained or is requested to be returned to us as deemed appropriate.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

From time to time, we may enter into derivative transactions principally to protect against the risk of adverse price or interest rate movements on the value of certain assets and liabilities and on future cash flows. We formally document all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as our risk management objective and strategy for undertaking each hedge transaction. All derivative instruments are carried at fair value on the balance sheet as either an asset or liability in accordance with the requirements of ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*.

Changes in fair value of a derivative instrument that has been designated and qualifies as a cash flow hedge are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI), net of tax. Amounts are reclassified from AOCI to the consolidated statements of income in the period or periods in which the hedged transaction affects earnings.

At the hedge's inception and at least quarterly thereafter, a formal assessment is performed to determine whether changes in the fair values or cash flows of the derivative instruments have been highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of the hedged items and whether they are expected to be highly effective in the future. If it is determined a derivative instrument has not been or will not continue to be highly effective as a hedge, hedge accounting is discontinued. Derivative gains and losses under cash flow hedges not effective in hedging the change in fair value or expected cash flows of the hedged item are recognized immediately in the consolidated statements of income.

In addition, we enter into interest rate swap agreements to meet the financing, interest rate and equity risk management needs of qualifying commercial loan customers. These agreements provide the customer the ability to convert from variable to fixed interest rates. We then enter into positions with a derivative counterparty in order to offset our exposure on the fixed components of the customer agreements. The credit risk associated with derivatives executed with customers is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans and is subject to normal credit policies and monitoring. We seek to minimize counterparty credit risk by entering into transactions with only high-quality institutions. These arrangements meet the definition of derivatives, but are not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815. The interest rate swap agreement with the loan customer and with the counterparty are reported at fair value in other assets and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets with any resulting gain or loss recorded in current period earnings as other income.

Mortgage Loans Held for Sale and Loan Commitments

Certain residential mortgage loans are originated for sale in the secondary mortgage loan market. These loans are classified as loans held for sale and are carried at the lower of cost or estimated market value on an aggregate basis. Market value is determined on the basis of rates obtained in the respective secondary market for the type of loan held for sale. Loans are generally sold at a premium or discount from the carrying amount of the loan. Such premium or discount is recognized at the date of sale. Gain or loss on the sale of loans is recorded in non-interest income at the time consideration is received and all other criteria for sales treatment have been met.

We routinely issue interest rate lock commitments to make loans that we intend to sell. These commitments are considered derivatives. We also enter into commitments to sell loans to mitigate the risk that the market value of residential loans may decline between the time the rate commitment is issued to the customer and the time we contract to sell the loan. These commitments and sales contracts are also derivatives. Both types of derivatives are recorded at fair value. Sales contracts and commitments to make loans are not designated in a qualified hedge accounting

program. Fair value adjustments related to these derivatives are recorded in current period earnings as part of mortgage banking income.

Table of Contents

Loans (Excluding Acquired Loans)

Loans we originate and intend to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff are reported at their outstanding principal balances, net of any deferred origination fees or costs. Interest income on loans is computed over the term of the loans using the effective interest method. Loan origination fees and certain direct costs incurred to extend credit are deferred and amortized over the term of the loan or loan commitment period as an adjustment to the related loan yield.

Non-performing Loans

Interest is not accrued on loans where collectability is uncertain. We discontinue interest accruals on originated loans generally when principal or interest is due and has remained unpaid for a certain number of days unless the loan is both well secured and in the process of collection. Commercial loans are placed on non-accrual at 90 days, installment loans are placed on non-accrual at 120 days and residential mortgages and consumer lines of credit are generally placed on non-accrual at 180 days. Past due status is based on the contractual terms of the loan.

When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, all unpaid interest is reversed against interest income and the amortization of deferred fees and costs is suspended. Payments subsequently received are generally applied to either principal or interest or both, depending on management's evaluation of collectability. A loan is returned to accrual status when principal and interest are no longer past due and collectability is probable. This generally requires a sustained period of timely principal and interest payments.

Loans are generally written off when deemed uncollectible or when they reach a predetermined number of days past due depending upon loan product, terms, and other factors. Recoveries of amounts previously charged off are credited to the allowance for credit losses.

We consider a loan impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. The impairment loss is measured by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral, less estimated selling costs, if the loan is collateral dependent. Acquired impaired loans are not classified as non-performing assets as the loans are considered to be performing under the provisions of ASC 310-30, *Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*.

Restructured loans are those in which concessions of terms have been made as a result of deterioration in a borrower's financial condition. In general, the modification or restructuring of a debt constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) if we for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties grant a concession to the borrower that we would not otherwise consider under current market conditions. Debt restructurings or loan modifications for a borrower occur during the normal course of business and do not necessarily constitute TDRs. To designate a loan as a TDR, the presence of both borrower financial distress and a concession of terms must exist. Additionally, a loan designated as a TDR does not necessarily result in the automatic placement of the loan on non-accrual status. When the full collection of principal and interest is reasonably assured on a loan designated as a TDR and the borrower does not otherwise meet the criteria for non-accrual status, we will continue to accrue interest on the loan.

In accordance with ASC 310-40, a restructured acquired loan that is accounted for as a component of a pool in accordance with ASC 310-30 is not considered a TDR.

Table of Contents*Allowance for Credit Losses*

The allowance for credit losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision charged to earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance for credit losses when management believes the uncollectability of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance for credit losses. Allowances for impaired commercial loans over \$500,000 are generally determined based on collateral values or the present value of estimated cash flows. All other impaired loans are evaluated in the aggregate based on loan segment loss given default. Changes in the allowance for credit losses related to impaired loans are charged or credited to the provision for credit losses.

The allowance for credit losses is maintained at a level that, in management's judgment, is believed appropriate to absorb probable losses associated with specifically identified loans, as well as estimated probable credit losses inherent in the remainder of the loan portfolio. The appropriateness of the allowance for credit losses is based on management's evaluation of potential loan losses in the loan portfolio, which includes an assessment of past experience, current economic conditions in specific industries and geographic areas, general economic conditions, known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio, the estimated value of underlying collateral and residuals and changes in the composition of the loan portfolio. Determination of the allowance for credit losses is inherently subjective as it requires significant estimates, including the amounts and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on transition matrices with predefined loss emergence periods and consideration of qualitative factors, all of which are susceptible to significant change.

Credit impaired loans obtained through acquisitions are accounted for under the provisions of ASC 310-30. We also account for certain acquired loans considered performing at the time of acquisition by analogy to ASC 310-30. ASC 310-30 requires the initial recognition of acquired loans at the present value of amounts expected to be received. Any deterioration in the credit quality of acquired loans subsequent to acquisition would be considered in the allowance for credit losses.

Acquired Loans

Acquired loans (impaired and non-impaired) are initially recorded at their acquisition-date fair values. Fair values are based on a discounted cash flow methodology that involves assumptions and judgments as to credit risk, default rates, loss severity, collateral values, discount rates, payment speeds, prepayment risk, and liquidity risk.

The carryover of allowance for credit losses related to acquired loans is prohibited as any credit losses in the loans are included in the determination of the fair value of the loans at the acquisition date. The allowance for credit losses on acquired loans reflects only those losses incurred after acquisition and represents the present value of cash flows expected at acquisition that is no longer expected to be collected.

At acquisition, we consider the following factors as indicators that an acquired loan has evidence of deterioration in credit quality and is therefore impaired and in the scope of ASC 310-30:

- loans that were 90 days or more past due;
- loans that had an internal risk rating of substandard or worse. Substandard is consistent with regulatory definitions and is defined as having a well-defined weakness that jeopardizes liquidation of the loan;
- loans that were classified as non-accrual by the acquired bank at the time of acquisition; or
- loans that had been previously modified in a TDR.

Any acquired loans that were not individually in the scope of ASC 310-30 because they didn't meet the criteria above were pooled into groups of similar loans based on various factors including borrower type, loan purpose,

Table of Contents

and collateral type. For these pools, we used certain loan information, including outstanding principal balance, estimated expected losses, weighted average maturity, weighted average margin, and weighted average interest rate along with estimated prepayment rates, probability of default and loss given default to estimate the expected cash flow for each loan pool. We believe analogizing to ASC 310-30 is the more appropriate option to follow in accounting for discount accretion on non-impaired acquired loans other than revolving loans and therefore account for such loans in accordance with ASC 310-30. ASC 310-30 guidance does not apply to revolving loans. Consequently, discount accretion on revolving loans acquired is accounted for using the ASC 310-20 approach.

The excess of cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition over recorded fair value is referred to as the accretable yield. The accretable yield is recognized into income over the remaining life of the loan, or pool of loans, using an effective yield method, if the timing and/or amount of cash flows expected to be collected can be reasonably estimated (the accretion model). If the timing and/or amount of cash flows expected to be collected cannot be reasonably estimated, the cost recovery method of income recognition must be used. The difference between the loan's total scheduled principal and interest payments over all cash flows expected at acquisition is referred to as the non-accretable difference. The non-accretable difference represents contractually required principal and interest payments which we do not expect to collect.

Over the life of the acquired loan, we continue to estimate cash flows expected to be collected. Decreases in expected cash flows, other than from prepayments or rate adjustments, are recognized as impairments through a charge to the provision for credit losses resulting in an increase in the allowance for credit losses. Subsequent improvements in cash flows result in first, reversal of existing valuation allowances recognized subsequent to acquisition, if any, and next, an increase in the amount of accretable yield to be subsequently recognized on a prospective basis over the loan's remaining life.

Acquired loans that met the criteria for non-accrual of interest prior to acquisition are considered performing upon acquisition, regardless of whether the customer is contractually delinquent, if we can reasonably estimate the timing and amount of expected cash flows on such loans. Accordingly, we do not consider acquired contractually delinquent loans to be non-accrual or non-performing and continue to recognize interest income on these loans using the accretion model.

Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the asset's estimated useful life. Leasehold improvements are expensed over the lesser of the asset's estimated useful life or the term of the lease including renewal periods when reasonably assured. Useful lives are dependent upon the nature and condition of the asset and range from 3 to 40 years. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred, while major improvements are capitalized and amortized to expense over the identified useful life.

Cloud Computing Arrangements

Beginning in 2016, for new or materially modified contracts, we prospectively adopted new accounting principles to evaluate fees paid for cloud computing arrangements to determine if those arrangements include the purchase of or license to software that should be accounted for separately as internal-use software. If a contract includes the purchase or license to software that should be accounted for separately as internal-use software, the contract is amortized over the software's identified useful life in amortization of intangibles. For contracts that do not include a software license, the contract is accounted for as a service contract with fees paid recorded in other non-interest expense.

Other Real Estate Owned

Other real estate owned (OREO) is comprised principally of commercial and residential real estate properties obtained in partial or total satisfaction of loan obligations. OREO acquired in settlement of indebtedness is

Table of Contents

included in other assets initially at the lower of estimated fair value of the asset less estimated selling costs or the carrying amount of the loan. Changes to the value subsequent to transfer are recorded in non-interest expense along with direct operating expenses. Gains or losses not previously recognized resulting from sales of OREO are recognized in non-interest expense on the date of sale.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of an acquisition over the fair value of the net assets acquired. Other intangible assets represent purchased assets that lack physical substance but can be distinguished from goodwill because of contractual or other legal rights. Intangible assets that have finite lives, such as core deposit intangibles, customer relationship intangibles and renewal lists, are amortized over their estimated useful lives and subject to periodic impairment testing. Core deposit intangibles are primarily amortized over ten years using accelerated methods. Customer renewal lists and mortgage servicing rights are amortized over their estimated useful lives which range from eight to thirteen years.

Goodwill and other intangibles are subject to impairment testing at the reporting unit level, which must be conducted at least annually. We perform impairment testing during the fourth quarter of each year, or more frequently if impairment indicators exist. We also continue to monitor other intangibles for impairment and to evaluate carrying amounts, as necessary.

We perform a quantitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing updated quantitative factors, we determine it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, we do not have to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. Determining the fair value of a reporting unit under the first step of the goodwill impairment test and determining the fair value of individual assets and liabilities of a reporting unit under the second step of the goodwill impairment test are judgmental and often involve the use of significant estimates and assumptions. Similarly, estimates and assumptions are used in determining the fair value of other intangible assets. Estimates of fair value are primarily determined using discounted cash flows, market comparisons and recent transactions. These approaches use significant estimates and assumptions including projected future cash flows, discount rates reflecting the market rate of return, projected growth rates and determination and evaluation of appropriate market comparables. Based on the results of quantitative assessments of all reporting units, we concluded that no impairment existed at December 31, 2016. However, future events could cause us to conclude that goodwill or other intangibles have become impaired, which would result in recording an impairment loss. Any resulting impairment loss could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Income Taxes

We file a consolidated federal income tax return. The provision for federal and state income taxes is based on income reported on the consolidated financial statements, rather than the amounts reported on the respective income tax returns. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are computed using tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those assets and liabilities are expected to be realized. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities resulting from a change in tax rates is recognized as income or expense in the period that the change in tax rates is enacted.

We make certain estimates and judgments in determining income tax expense for financial statement purposes. These estimates and judgments are applied in the calculation of certain tax credits and in the calculation of the deferred income tax expense or benefit associated with certain deferred tax assets and liabilities. Significant changes to these estimates may result in an increase or decrease to our tax provision in a subsequent period. We recognize interest

and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

We assess the likelihood that we will be able to recover our deferred tax assets. If recovery is not likely, we will increase our provision for income taxes by recording a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets that

Table of Contents

are unlikely to be recovered. We believe that we will ultimately recover the deferred tax assets recorded on our balance sheet. However, should there be a change in our ability to recover our deferred tax assets, the effect of this change would be recorded through the provision for income taxes in the period during which such change occurs.

We periodically review the tax positions we take on our tax return and apply a more likely than not recognition threshold for all tax positions that are uncertain. The amount recognized in the financial statements is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the more likely than not test, no tax benefit is recorded.

Advertising and Promotional Costs

Advertising and promotional costs are generally expensed as incurred.

Per Share Amounts

Earnings per common share is computed using net income available to common stockholders, which is net income adjusted for preferred stock dividends.

Basic earnings per common share is calculated by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding, net of unvested shares of restricted stock.

Diluted earnings per common share is calculated by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding, adjusted for the dilutive effect of potential common shares issuable for stock options, warrants and restricted shares, as calculated using the treasury stock method. Adjustments to net income available to common stockholders and the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding are made only when such adjustments dilute earnings per common share.

Retirement Plans

FNB sponsors retirement plans for our employees. The expense associated with the plans is calculated in accordance with ASC 715, *Compensation – Retirement Benefits*. The plans utilize assumptions and methods determined in accordance with ASC 715, including reflecting trust assets at their fair value for the qualified pension plans and recognizing the overfunded and underfunded status of the plans on our consolidated balance sheets. Gains and losses, prior service costs and credits are recognized in AOCI, net of tax, until they are amortized, or immediately upon curtailment.

Stock Based Compensation

We account for our stock based compensation awards in accordance with ASC 718, *Compensation – Stock Compensation*, which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense, based on estimated fair values, for all stock-based awards, including stock options and restricted stock, made to employees and directors.

ASC 718 requires companies to estimate the fair value of stock-based awards on the date of grant. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense in our consolidated statement of comprehensive income over the shorter of requisite service periods or the period through the date that the employee first becomes eligible to retire. Some of our plans contain performance targets that affect vesting and can be achieved after the requisite service period and are accounted for as performance conditions. Beginning in 2016, the performance target is not reflected in the estimation of the award's grant date fair value and compensation cost is recognized in the

period in which it becomes probable that the performance condition will be achieved.

Table of Contents

Because stock-based compensation expense is based on awards that are ultimately expected to vest, stock-based compensation expense has been reduced to account for estimated forfeitures. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates.

2. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

The following paragraphs summarize accounting pronouncements applicable to FNB that have been issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) but are not yet effective.

Goodwill

Accounting Standards Update (ASU or Update) 2017-04, *Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment*, eliminates the requirement of Step 2 in the current guidance to calculate the implied fair value of goodwill to measure a goodwill impairment charge. Instead, entities will record an impairment charge based on the excess of a reporting unit's carrying amount over its fair value in Step 1 of the current guidance. The Update is effective the first quarter of 2020. Early adoption is permitted for annual or interim goodwill impairment tests with a measurement date after January 1, 2017. We intend to early adopt this Update in 2017 for the next goodwill impairment test. This Update is to be applied prospectively and is not expected to have a material effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Business Combinations

ASU 2017-01, *Business Combinations (Topic 850): Clarifying the Definition of a Business*, clarifies the definition of a business with the objective of providing guidance to assist in the evaluation of whether transactions should be accounted for as acquisitions (disposals) of assets or businesses. The Update is effective for the first quarter of 2018. Early adoption is permitted for transactions that occurred before the issuance date or effective date of the Update if the transactions were not reported in financial statements that have been issued or made available for issuance. This Update is to be applied prospectively on or after the effective date and is not expected to have a material effect on the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Statement of Cash Flows

ASU 2016-15, *Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments (a consensus of the Emerging Issues Task Force)*, adds or clarifies guidance on eight cash flow issues. The Update is effective the first quarter of 2018. Early application is permitted. We are currently assessing the potential impact to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Credit Losses

ASU 2016-13, *Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments*, commonly referred to as CECL, replaces the current incurred loss impairment methodology with a methodology that reflects expected credit losses for most financial assets measured at amortized cost and certain other instruments, including loans, held-to-maturity debt securities, net investments in leases and off-balance sheet credit exposures. In addition, the Update will require the use of a modified available-for-sale debt security impairment model and eliminate the current accounting for purchased credit impaired loans and debt securities. The Update is effective the first quarter of 2020. Early application is permitted. We are currently assessing the potential impact to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Revenue Recognition

ASU 2016-12, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients*, addresses certain issues in the guidance on assessing collectability, presentation of sales taxes, noncash consideration, and completed contracts and contract modifications at transition.

Table of Contents

ASU 2016-10, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing*, clarifies several aspects of identifying performance obligations and licensing implementation guidance including guidance that is expected to reduce the cost and complexity by eliminating the need to assess whether goods and services are performance obligations if they are immaterial in the context of the contract with the customer.

ASU 2016-08, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Principal versus Agent Considerations (Reporting Revenue Gross versus Net)*, clarifies the guidance on principal versus agent considerations when another party is involved in providing goods and services to a customer. The guidance requires a company to determine whether it is required to provide the specific good or service itself or to arrange for that good or service to be provided by another party.

ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*, modifies the guidance used to recognize revenue from contracts with customers for transfers of goods and services and transfers of nonfinancial assets, unless those contracts are within the scope of other guidance. The guidance also requires new qualitative and quantitative disclosures about contract balances and performance obligations.

We expect to adopt ASU 2014-09 in the first quarter of 2018 under the modified retrospective method where the cumulative effect is recognized at the date of initial application. Our evaluation of ASU 2014-09 is ongoing and not complete. The FASB has issued, and may issue in the future, interpretative guidance which may cause our evaluation to change. Based on our evaluation under the current guidance, we estimate that approximately 80% of our total interest income and non-interest income will be out-of-scope from ASU 2014-09 because the revenue from those contracts with customers is covered by other guidance in U.S. GAAP that was not amended or superseded with the issuance of ASU 2014-09. While we anticipate some changes to revenue recognition within trust, investment management fees and insurance commissions and fees, we have not yet assessed the potential impact to our Consolidated Financial Statements upon adoption.

Stock Based Compensation

ASU 2016-09, *Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting*, simplifies several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities and classification on the statement of cash flows. The Update is effective in the first quarter of 2017 by an application method determined by the type of transaction impacted by the adoption. Early application is permitted. This Update is not expected to have a material effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Investments

ASU 2016-07, *Investments – Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323): Simplifying the Transition to the Equity Method of Accounting*, eliminates the requirement for an investor to retrospectively apply the equity method when an investment that it had accounted for by another method qualifies for use of the equity method. The Update is effective in the first quarter of 2017 with prospective application. Early application is permitted. This Update is not expected to have a material effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Derivative and Hedging Activities

ASU 2016-06, *Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Contingent Put and Call Options in Debt Instruments (a consensus of the Emerging Issues Task Force)*, provides clarification that determination of whether an embedded contingent put or call option in a financial instrument is clearly and closely related to the debt host requires only an

analysis of the four-step decision sequence described in ASC 815-15-25-42. The Update is effective in the first quarter of 2017 with modified retrospective application. Early application is permitted. If an entity is no longer required to bifurcate an embedded derivative as a result of this Update and elects fair value accounting, the effects should be reported as a cumulative-effect adjustment. This Update is not expected to have a material effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ASU 2016-05, *Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Effect of Derivative Contract Novations on Existing Hedge Accounting Relationships (a consensus of the Emerging Issues Task Force)*, clarifies that a change in counterparty to a derivative instrument that has been designated as a hedging instrument under Topic 815 does not, in and of itself, require dedesignation of that hedging relationship provided all other hedge accounting criteria continue to be met. The Update is effective in the first quarter of 2017 with either prospective or modified retrospective application. Early application is permitted. This Update is not expected to have a material effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Extinguishments of Liabilities

ASU 2016-04, *Liabilities – Extinguishments of Liabilities (Subtopic 405-20): Recognition of Breakage for Certain Prepaid Stored-Value Products (a consensus of the Emerging Issues Task Force)*, requires entities that sell prepaid stored-value products redeemable for goods, services or cash at third-party merchants to recognize breakage. The Update is effective in the first quarter of 2018 with either the modified retrospective method by means of a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings or retrospective application. Early application is permitted. This Update is not expected to have a material effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Leases

ASU 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)*, requires lessees to put most leases on their balance sheets but recognize expenses in the income statement similar to current accounting. In addition, the Update changes the guidance for sale-leaseback transactions, initial direct costs and lease executory costs for most entities. All entities will classify leases to determine how to recognize lease related revenue and expense. The Update is effective in the first quarter of 2019 with modified retrospective application including a number of optional practical expedients. Early application is permitted. We are currently assessing the potential impact to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Financial Instruments – Recognition and Measurement

ASU 2016-01, *Financial Instruments – Overall (Subtopic 825-10): Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, amends the presentation and accounting for certain financial instruments, including liabilities measured at fair value under the fair value option, and equity investments. The guidance also updates fair value presentation and disclosure requirements for financial instruments measured at amortized cost. The Update is effective in the first quarter of 2018 with a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. Early application is prohibited except for the provision requiring the recognition of changes in fair value related to changes in an entity's own credit risk in other comprehensive income for financial liabilities measured using the fair value option. We are currently assessing the potential impact to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

3. MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS*Branch Purchase – Fifth Third Bank*

On April 22, 2016, we completed our purchase of 17 branch-banking locations and certain consumer loans in the Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania metropolitan area from Fifth Third Bank (Fifth Third). The fair value of the acquired assets totaled \$312.4 million, including \$198.9 million in cash, \$95.4 million in loans and \$14.1 million in fixed and other assets. We also assumed \$302.5 million in deposits, for which we paid a deposit premium of 1.97%, as part of the transaction. The assets and liabilities relating to these purchased branches were recorded on our balance sheet at their fair values as of April 22, 2016, and the related results of operations for these branches have been included in our consolidated income statement since that date. We recorded \$14.1 million in goodwill and \$4.1 million in core deposit

intangibles as a result of the purchase transaction. The goodwill for this transaction is deductible for income tax purposes.

Table of Contents*Metro Bancorp, Inc.*

On February 13, 2016, we completed our acquisition of Metro Bancorp, Inc. (METR), a bank holding company based in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. The acquisition enhances our distribution and scale across Central Pennsylvania, strengthens our position as the largest Pennsylvania-based regional bank and allows us to leverage the significant infrastructure investments made in connection with the expansion of our product offerings and risk management systems. On the acquisition date, the fair values of METR included \$2.8 billion in assets, \$1.9 billion in loans and \$2.3 billion in deposits. The acquisition was valued at \$404.2 million and resulted in FNB issuing 34,041,181 shares of our common stock in exchange for 14,345,319 shares of METR common stock. We also acquired the fully vested outstanding stock options of METR. The assets and liabilities of METR were recorded on our consolidated balance sheet at their fair values as of February 13, 2016, the acquisition date, and METR's results of operations have been included in our consolidated income statement since that date. METR's banking affiliate, Metro Bank, was merged into FNBPA on February 13, 2016. Based on the purchase price allocation, we recorded \$185.1 million in goodwill and \$24.2 million in core deposit intangibles as a result of the acquisition. None of the goodwill is deductible for income tax purposes as the acquisition is accounted for as a tax-free exchange for tax purposes.

The following pro forma financial information for the year ended December 31, 2015 reflects our estimated consolidated pro forma results of operations as if the METR acquisition occurred on January 1, 2015, unadjusted for potential cost savings and other business synergies we expect to receive as a result of the acquisition:

| (dollars in thousands) | FNB | METR | Pro Forma Adjustments | Pro Forma Combined |
|---|------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Revenue (net interest income and non-interest income) | \$ 660,632 | \$ 134,003 | \$ (4,244) | \$ 790,391 |
| Net income | 159,649 | 20,215 | (8,014) | 171,850 |
| Net income available to common stockholders | 151,608 | 20,135 | (7,934) | 163,809 |
| Earnings per common share basic | 0.87 | 1.42 | | 0.78 |
| Earnings per common share diluted | 0.86 | 1.40 | | 0.78 |

The pro forma adjustments reflect amortization and associated taxes related to the purchase accounting adjustments made to record various acquired items at fair value.

The revenue and earnings of METR since the acquisition date of February 13, 2016 are included in our financial statements and it is not practical to disclose them separately.

In connection with the METR acquisition, we incurred expenses related to systems conversions and other costs of integrating and conforming acquired operations with and into FNB. These merger-related charges, that were expensed as incurred, amounted to \$31.0 million and \$1.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. Severance costs comprised 40.4% of the merger-related expense, with the remainder consisting of other non-interest expenses, including professional services, marketing and advertising, technology and communications, occupancy and equipment, and charitable contributions. We also incurred stock issuance costs of \$0.7 million which were charged to additional paid-in capital.

Branch Purchase Bank of America

On September 18, 2015, we completed our purchase of five branch-banking locations in southeastern Pennsylvania from Bank of America (BoFA). The fair value of the acquired assets totaled \$153.1 million, including \$148.2 million in cash and \$2.0 million in fixed and other assets. We also assumed \$154.6 million in deposits associated with these

branches. We paid a deposit premium of 1.96% and acquired an immaterial amount of loans as part of the transaction. Our operating results for 2015 include the impact of branch activity subsequent to the September 18, 2015 closing date. We recorded \$1.5 million in goodwill and \$3.0 million in core deposit intangibles as a result of the purchase transaction. The goodwill for this transaction is deductible for income tax purposes.

Table of Contents

Insurance Brokerage Purchases

On June 22 and July 18, 2015, we, through our wholly-owned subsidiary, FNIA, acquired certain insurance-related assets from Pittsburgh-area insurance brokerage firms. Under the combined purchase agreements, we paid \$3.4 million in cash and recorded goodwill of \$1.8 million, other intangibles of \$1.4 million and miscellaneous other assets of \$241,000 in connection with these acquisitions.

OBA Financial Services, Inc.

On September 19, 2014, we completed our acquisition of OBA Financial Services, Inc. (OBA), a bank holding company based in Germantown, Maryland. On the acquisition date, the fair values of OBA included \$390.2 million in assets, \$291.4 million in loans and \$295.9 million in deposits. The acquisition was valued at \$85.6 million and resulted in FNB issuing 7,170,037 shares of our common stock in exchange for 4,025,895 shares of OBA common stock. We also acquired the outstanding stock options of OBA that became fully vested upon the acquisition. The assets and liabilities of OBA were recorded on our consolidated balance sheet at their fair values as of September 19, 2014, the acquisition date, and OBA's results of operations have been included in our consolidated income statements since that date. OBA's banking affiliate, OBA Bank, was merged into FNBPA on September 19, 2014. Based on the purchase price allocation, we recorded \$20.1 million in goodwill and \$4.3 million in core deposit intangibles as a result of the acquisition. None of the goodwill is deductible for income tax purposes.

BCSB Bancorp, Inc.

On February 15, 2014, we completed our acquisition of BCSB Bancorp, Inc. (BCSB), a bank holding company based in Baltimore, Maryland. On the acquisition date, the fair values of BCSB included \$596.1 million in assets, \$304.9 million in loans and \$532.2 million in deposits. The acquisition was valued at \$80.5 million and resulted in FNB issuing 6,730,597 shares of our common stock in exchange for 3,235,961 shares of BCSB common stock. We also acquired the outstanding stock options of BCSB that became fully vested upon the acquisition. The assets and liabilities of BCSB were recorded on our consolidated balance sheet at their fair values as of February 15, 2014, the acquisition date, and BCSB's results of operations have been included in our consolidated income statements since that date. BCSB's banking affiliate, Baltimore County Savings Bank, was merged into FNBPA on February 15, 2014. Based on the purchase price allocation, we recorded \$42.5 million in goodwill and \$6.6 million in core deposit intangibles as a result of the acquisition. None of the goodwill is deductible for income tax purposes.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the amounts recorded on the consolidated balance sheets as of each of the acquisition dates in conjunction with the acquisitions noted above:

| (in thousands) | Fifth Third Branches | METR | BofA Branches | OBA | BCSB |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Fair value of consideration paid | \$ | \$ 404,207 | \$ | \$ 85,554 | \$ 80,547 |
| Fair value of identifiable assets acquired: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 198,872 | 46,890 | 148,159 | 32,913 | 26,980 |
| Securities | | 722,980 | | 39,891 | 208,538 |
| Loans | 95,354 | 1,862,447 | 842 | 291,393 | 304,932 |
| Core deposit intangibles | 4,129 | 24,163 | 3,000 | 4,304 | 6,591 |
| Fixed and other assets | 14,069 | 127,185 | 1,133 | 21,659 | 49,081 |
| Total identifiable assets acquired | 312,424 | 2,783,665 | 153,134 | 390,160 | 596,122 |
| Fair value of liabilities assumed: | | | | | |
| Deposits | 302,529 | 2,328,238 | 154,619 | 295,922 | 532,197 |
| Borrowings | | 227,539 | | 27,602 | 17,011 |
| Other liabilities | 24,041 | 8,735 | | 1,189 | 8,819 |
| Total liabilities assumed | 326,570 | 2,564,512 | 154,619 | 324,713 | 558,027 |
| Fair value of net identifiable assets acquired | (14,146) | 219,153 | (1,485) | 65,447 | 38,095 |
| Goodwill recognized (1) | \$ 14,146 | \$ 185,054 | \$ 1,485 | \$ 20,107 | \$ 42,452 |

(1) All of the goodwill for these transactions has been recorded in the Community Banking segment.

Pending Acquisition Yadkin Financial Corporation

On July 20, 2016, we entered into a definitive merger agreement to acquire Yadkin Financial Corporation (YDKN), a bank holding company based in Raleigh, North Carolina, with approximately \$7.5 billion in total assets. The acquisition is valued at approximately \$1.4 billion (using the December 30, 2016 FNB stock price of \$16.03 per share, the acquisition is valued at \$1.8 billion). Under the terms of the merger agreement, YDKN common stockholders will be entitled to receive 2.16 shares of FNB common stock for each share of YDKN common stock. We expect to issue approximately 111.7 million shares of our common stock in exchange for approximately 51.7 million shares of YDKN common stock. YDKN's banking affiliate, Yadkin Bank, will merge into FNBPA on the acquisition date. This acquisition will enable us to enter attractive North Carolina markets, including Raleigh, Charlotte and the Piedmont Triad, which is comprised of Winston-Salem, Greensboro and High Point. This transaction is expected to be completed in March 2017, pending regulatory approvals and the satisfaction of other closing conditions. The transaction has already been approved by the stockholders of both FNB and YDKN.

Table of Contents**4. SECURITIES**

The amortized cost and fair value of securities are as follows:

| (in thousands) | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Securities Available for Sale (AFS): | | | | |
| December 31, 2016 | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 29,874 | \$ 79 | \$ | \$ 29,953 |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities | 367,604 | 864 | (3,370) | 365,098 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: | | | | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities | 1,267,535 | 2,257 | (16,994) | 1,252,798 |
| Agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 546,659 | 419 | (11,104) | 535,974 |
| Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 891 | 6 | | 897 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 1,292 | | (1) | 1,291 |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions | 36,065 | 86 | (302) | 35,849 |
| Other debt securities | 9,828 | 94 | (435) | 9,487 |
| Total debt securities | 2,259,748 | 3,805 | (32,206) | 2,231,347 |
| Equity securities | 273 | 367 | | 640 |
| Total securities available for sale | \$ 2,260,021 | \$ 4,172 | \$ (32,206) | \$ 2,231,987 |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 29,738 | \$ 58 | \$ | \$ 29,796 |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities | 368,463 | 856 | (1,325) | 367,994 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: | | | | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities | 703,069 | 4,594 | (2,832) | 704,831 |
| Agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 503,328 | 1,032 | (8,530) | 495,830 |
| Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,177 | 13 | | 1,190 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 4,299 | | (12) | 4,287 |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions | 10,748 | 309 | | 11,057 |
| Other debt securities | 14,729 | 208 | (651) | 14,286 |
| Total debt securities | 1,635,551 | 7,070 | (13,350) | 1,629,271 |
| Equity securities | 975 | 324 | (3) | 1,296 |
| Total securities available for sale | \$ 1,636,526 | \$ 7,394 | \$ (13,353) | \$ 1,630,567 |
| December 31, 2014 | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 29,604 | \$ 78 | \$ | \$ 29,682 |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities | 338,330 | 742 | (1,939) | 337,133 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: | | | | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities | 546,572 | 7,548 | (35) | 554,085 |
| Agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 580,601 | 1,617 | (9,047) | 573,171 |

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/PA/ - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,414 | 17 | | 1,431 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 7,891 | | (11) | 7,880 |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions | 12,713 | 477 | (32) | 13,158 |
| Other debt securities | 16,615 | 420 | (857) | 16,178 |
| Total debt securities | 1,533,740 | 10,899 | (11,921) | 1,532,718 |
| Equity securities | 1,031 | 316 | | 1,347 |
| Total securities available for sale | \$ 1,534,771 | \$ 11,215 | \$ (11,921) | \$ 1,534,065 |

Table of Contents

| (in thousands) | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Securities Held to Maturity (HTM): | | | | |
| December 31, 2016 | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 500 | \$ 137 | \$ | \$ 637 |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities | 272,645 | 348 | (4,475) | 268,518 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: | | | | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities | 852,215 | 5,654 | (8,645) | 849,224 |
| Agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 743,148 | 447 | (17,801) | 725,794 |
| Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,689 | 3 | (6) | 1,686 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 49,797 | 181 | (226) | 49,752 |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions | 417,348 | 1,456 | (19,638) | 399,166 |
| Total securities held to maturity | \$ 2,337,342 | \$ 8,226 | \$ (50,791) | \$ 2,294,777 |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 500 | \$ 153 | \$ | \$ 653 |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities | 137,385 | 809 | (395) | 137,799 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: | | | | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities | 709,970 | 9,858 | (1,176) | 718,652 |
| Agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 499,694 | 803 | (7,657) | 492,840 |
| Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 2,681 | 14 | | 2,695 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 51,258 | 115 | (259) | 51,114 |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions | 235,573 | 4,191 | (101) | 239,663 |
| Total securities held to maturity | \$ 1,637,061 | \$ 15,943 | \$ (9,588) | \$ 1,643,416 |
| December 31, 2014 | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 502 | \$ 168 | \$ | \$ 670 |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities | 101,602 | 885 | (524) | 101,963 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: | | | | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities | 677,169 | 16,712 | (346) | 693,535 |
| Agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 501,965 | 1,858 | (7,329) | 496,494 |
| Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 4,285 | 28 | | 4,313 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 17,560 | 179 | | 17,739 |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions | 150,272 | 3,315 | (43) | 153,544 |
| Total securities held to maturity | \$ 1,453,355 | \$ 23,145 | \$ (8,242) | \$ 1,468,258 |

Gross gains and gross losses were realized on securities as follows:

| Year Ended December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|--------|--------|-----------|
| Gross gains | \$ 713 | \$ 831 | \$ 20,241 |
| Gross losses | (1) | (9) | (8,524) |

| | | | |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|
| Net gains | \$ 712 | \$ 822 | \$ 11,717 |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|

During 2014, we strategically sold our entire portfolio of pooled trust preferred securities (TPS) with net proceeds of \$51.5 million and a gain of \$13.8 million. These were previously classified as collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) available for sale. Of the 23 pooled securities sold, one was determined to be a disallowed investment under the Volcker Rule (Section 619) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act), and as such, was required to be disposed of by July 2016. Partially offsetting this gain was a net loss of \$2.0 million relating to the sale of other securities. By selling these

Table of Contents

securities, we strengthened the risk profile of our investment portfolio, improved our capital levels due to lowered risk-weighted assets and generated capital to support future growth.

As of December 31, 2016, the amortized cost and fair value of securities, by contractual maturities, were as follows:

| (in thousands) | Available for Sale | | Held to Maturity | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 84,929 | \$ 85,222 | \$ 10,395 | \$ 10,404 |
| Due from one to five years | 330,096 | 327,428 | 271,662 | 267,497 |
| Due from five to ten years | 21,064 | 20,973 | 50,994 | 50,567 |
| Due after ten years | 7,282 | 6,764 | 357,442 | 339,853 |
| | 443,371 | 440,387 | 690,493 | 668,321 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: | | | | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities | 1,267,535 | 1,252,798 | 852,215 | 849,224 |
| Agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 546,659 | 535,974 | 743,148 | 725,794 |
| Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 891 | 897 | 1,689 | 1,686 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 1,292 | 1,291 | 49,797 | 49,752 |
| Equity securities | 273 | 640 | | |
| Total securities | \$ 2,260,021 | \$ 2,231,987 | \$ 2,337,342 | \$ 2,294,777 |

Maturities may differ from contractual terms because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without penalties. Periodic payments are received on residential mortgage-backed securities based on the payment patterns of the underlying collateral.

Following is information relating to securities pledged:

| December 31 | 2016 | 2015 |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| (dollars in thousands) | | |
| Securities pledged (carrying value): | | |
| To secure public deposits, trust deposits and for other purposes as required by law | \$ 2,779,335 | \$ 1,728,939 |
| As collateral for short-term borrowings | 322,038 | 272,629 |
| Securities pledged as a percent of total securities | 67.9% | 61.3% |

Table of Contents

Following are summaries of the fair values and unrealized losses of securities, segregated by length of impairment:

| (dollars in thousands) | Less than 12 Months | | | Greater than 12 Months | | | Total | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | # | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | # | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | # | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Securities Available for Sale: | | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. | | | | | | | | | |
| government-sponsored entities | 11 | \$ 211,636 | \$ (3,370) | | | | 11 | \$ 211,636 | \$ (3,370) |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities | 55 | 1,056,731 | (16,994) | | | | 55 | 1,056,731 | (16,994) |
| Agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 26 | 346,662 | (7,261) | 9 | \$ 89,040 | \$ (3,843) | 35 | 435,702 | (11,104) |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | | | | |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions | 1 | 1,291 | (1) | | | | 1 | 1,291 | (1) |
| Other debt securities | 20 | 28,631 | (302) | 3 | 4,470 | (435) | 23 | 33,101 | (737) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | 113 | \$ 1,644,951 | \$ (27,928) | 12 | \$ 93,510 | \$ (4,278) | 125 | \$ 1,738,461 | \$ (32,206) |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. | | | | | | | | | |
| government-sponsored entities | 6 | \$ 99,131 | \$ (814) | 2 | \$ 34,487 | \$ (511) | 8 | \$ 133,618 | \$ (1,325) |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities | 19 | 359,250 | (2,832) | | | | 19 | 359,250 | (2,832) |
| Agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 9 | 126,309 | (1,366) | 18 | 215,330 | (7,164) | 27 | 341,639 | (8,530) |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Other debt securities | 1 | 4,287 | (12) | 3 | 4,245 | (651) | 4 | 8,532 | (663) |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|--------------|-------------|----|------------|------------|-----|--------------|-------------|
| Equity securities | 1 | 632 | (3) | | | | 1 | 632 | (3) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | | | | | | | | | |
| AFS | 36 | \$ 589,609 | \$ (5,027) | 23 | \$ 254,062 | \$ (8,326) | 59 | \$ 843,671 | \$ (13,353) |
| Securities Held to Maturity: | | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities | 10 | \$ 185,525 | \$ (4,475) | | | | 10 | \$ 185,525 | \$ (4,475) |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities | 36 | 551,404 | (8,645) | | | | 36 | 551,404 | (8,645) |
| Agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 29 | 516,237 | (13,710) | 12 | \$ 112,690 | (4,091) | 41 | 628,927 | (17,801) |
| Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 3 | 1,128 | (6) | | | | 3 | 1,128 | (6) |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 1 | 12,317 | (10) | 1 | 8,267 | (216) | 2 | 20,584 | (226) |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions | 94 | 247,301 | (19,638) | | | | 94 | 247,301 | (19,638) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | | | | | | | | | |
| HTM | 173 | \$ 1,513,912 | \$ (46,484) | 13 | \$ 120,957 | \$ (4,307) | 186 | \$ 1,634,869 | \$ (50,791) |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities | 3 | \$ 39,843 | \$ (173) | 1 | \$ 14,778 | \$ (222) | 4 | \$ 54,621 | \$ (395) |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities | 17 | 212,024 | (1,159) | 1 | 917 | (17) | 18 | 212,941 | (1,176) |
| Agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 11 | 150,593 | (1,434) | 14 | 160,716 | (6,223) | 25 | 311,309 | (7,657) |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 3 | 46,278 | (259) | | | | 3 | 46,278 | (259) |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions | 9 | 17,616 | (101) | | | | 9 | 17,616 | (101) |

**Total temporarily
impaired securities**

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|----|----|---------|----|---------|----|----|---------|----|---------|----|----|---------|----|---------|
| HTM | 43 | \$ | 466,354 | \$ | (3,126) | 16 | \$ | 176,411 | \$ | (6,462) | 59 | \$ | 642,765 | \$ | (9,588) |
|------------|----|----|---------|----|---------|----|----|---------|----|---------|----|----|---------|----|---------|

We do not intend to sell the debt securities and it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell the securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis.

Table of Contents*Other-Than-Temporary Impairment*

We evaluate our investment securities portfolio for OTTI on a quarterly basis. Impairment is assessed at the individual security level. We consider an investment security impaired if the fair value of the security is less than its cost or amortized cost basis. The following table presents a summary of the cumulative credit-related OTTI charges recognized as components of earnings for securities for which a portion of an OTTI is recognized in other comprehensive income:

| (in thousands) | Equities | Total |
|--|-----------------|--------------|
| For the Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 27 | \$ 27 |
| Loss where impairment was not previously recognized | | |
| Additional loss where impairment was previously recognized | | |
| Reduction due to credit impaired securities sold | (27) | (27) |
| Ending balance | \$ | \$ |
| For the Year Ended December 31, 2015 | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 27 | \$ 27 |
| Loss where impairment was not previously recognized | | |
| Additional loss where impairment was previously recognized | | |
| Reduction due to credit impaired securities sold | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 27 | \$ 27 |

We did not recognize any OTTI losses on securities for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

States of the U.S. and Political Subdivisions

Our municipal bond portfolio of \$453.2 million as of December 31, 2016 is highly rated with an average entity-specific rating of AA and 99.0% of the portfolio rated A or better. All of the securities in the municipal portfolio are general obligation bonds. Geographically, municipal bonds support our primary footprint as 83.6% of the securities are from municipalities located throughout Pennsylvania, Ohio and Maryland. The average holding size of the securities in the municipal bond portfolio is \$2.2 million. In addition to the strong stand-alone ratings, 72.0% of the municipalities have some formal credit enhancement insurance that strengthens the creditworthiness of their issue. Management also reviews the credit profile of each issuer on a quarterly basis.

5. FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK STOCK

We are a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) of Pittsburgh. The FHLB requires members to purchase and hold a specified minimum level of FHLB stock based upon their level of borrowings, collateral balances and participation in other programs offered by the FHLB. Stock in the FHLB is non-marketable and is redeemable at the discretion of the FHLB. Both cash and stock dividends on FHLB stock are reported as income.

Members do not purchase stock in the FHLB for the same reasons that traditional equity investors acquire stock in an investor-owned enterprise. Rather, members purchase stock to obtain access to the low-cost products and services

offered by the FHLB. Unlike equity securities of traditional for-profit enterprises, the stock of FHLB does not provide its holders with an opportunity for capital appreciation because, by regulation, FHLB stock can only be purchased, redeemed and transferred at par value.

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, our FHLB stock totaled \$85.0 million and \$72.9 million, respectively, and is included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheets. We account for the stock in accordance with ASC 325, which requires the investment to be carried at cost and evaluated for impairment based on the ultimate recoverability of the par value. Due to the continued improvement of the FHLB's financial performance and stability over the past several years, along with a special dividend in 2015, combined with regular quarterly dividends in 2015 and 2016, we believe our holdings in FHLB stock are ultimately recoverable at par value and,

Table of Contents

therefore, determined that the stock was not other-than-temporarily impaired. In addition, we have ample liquidity and do not require redemption of our FHLB stock in the foreseeable future.

6. LOANS AND LEASES

Following is a summary of loans and leases, net of unearned income:

| (in thousands) | Originated Loans and Leases | Acquired Loans | Total Loans and Leases |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| December 31, 2016 | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 4,095,817 | \$ 1,339,345 | \$ 5,435,162 |
| Commercial and industrial | 2,711,886 | 330,895 | 3,042,781 |
| Commercial leases | 196,636 | | 196,636 |
| Total commercial loans and leases | 7,004,339 | 1,670,240 | 8,674,579 |
| Direct installment | 1,765,257 | 79,142 | 1,844,399 |
| Residential mortgages | 1,446,776 | 397,798 | 1,844,574 |
| Indirect installment | 1,196,110 | 203 | 1,196,313 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 1,099,627 | 201,573 | 1,301,200 |
| Other | 35,878 | | 35,878 |
| Total loans and leases, net of unearned income | \$ 12,547,987 | \$ 2,348,956 | \$ 14,896,943 |
| December 31, 2015 | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 3,531,146 | \$ 577,910 | \$ 4,109,056 |
| Commercial and industrial | 2,534,351 | 67,371 | 2,601,722 |
| Commercial leases | 204,553 | | 204,553 |
| Total commercial loans and leases | 6,270,050 | 645,281 | 6,915,331 |
| Direct installment | 1,660,717 | 45,919 | 1,706,636 |
| Residential mortgages | 1,044,689 | 351,282 | 1,395,971 |
| Indirect installment | 996,175 | 554 | 996,729 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 1,021,830 | 115,425 | 1,137,255 |
| Other | 38,518 | | 38,518 |
| Total loans and leases, net of unearned income | \$ 11,031,979 | \$ 1,158,461 | \$ 12,190,440 |

The loans and leases portfolio categories are comprised of the following:

- Commercial real estate includes both owner-occupied and non-owner-occupied loans secured by commercial properties;
- Commercial and industrial includes loans to businesses that are not secured by real estate;
- Commercial leases consist of leases for new or used equipment;

Direct installment is comprised of fixed-rate, closed-end consumer loans for personal, family or household use, such as home equity loans and automobile loans;

Residential mortgages consist of conventional and jumbo mortgage loans for 1-4 family properties;

Indirect installment is comprised of loans originated by approved third parties and underwritten by us, primarily automobile loans;

Consumer lines of credit include home equity lines of credit (HELOC) and consumer lines of credit that are either unsecured or secured by collateral other than home equity; and

Other is comprised primarily of credit cards, mezzanine loans and student loans.

The loans and leases portfolio consists principally of loans to individuals and small- and medium-sized businesses within our primary market areas of Pennsylvania, eastern Ohio, Maryland and northern West Virginia. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, there were no concentrations of loans relating to any industry in excess of 10% of total loans.

Table of Contents

The loans and leases portfolio also contains Regency consumer finance loans to individuals in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Tennessee and Kentucky. Due to the relative size of the consumer finance loan portfolio, these loans are not segregated from other consumer loans. The following table shows certain information relating to the Regency consumer finance loans:

| December 31 | 2016 | 2015 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| (dollars in thousands) | | |
| Regency consumer finance loans | \$ 184,687 | \$ 186,162 |
| Percent of total loans and leases | 1.2% | 1.5% |

The following table shows certain information relating to commercial real estate loans:

| December 31 | 2016 | 2015 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| (dollars in thousands) | | |
| Commercial construction loans | \$ 459,995 | \$ 352,322 |
| Percent of total loans and leases | 3.1% | 2.9% |
| Commercial real estate: | | |
| Percent owner-occupied | 36.2% | 38.1% |
| Percent non-owner-occupied | 63.8% | 61.9% |

We have extended credit to certain directors and executive officers and their related interests. These related-party loans were made in the ordinary course of business under normal credit terms and do not involve more than a normal risk of collection. Following is a summary of the activity for these loans to related parties during 2016:

| | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
| (in thousands) | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 41,801 |
| New loans | 4,246 |
| Repayments | (4,013) |
| Other | (20,465) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 21,569 |

Other represents the net change in loan balances resulting from changes in related parties during 2016.

Acquired Loans

All acquired loans were initially recorded at fair value at the acquisition date. Refer to the Acquired Loans section in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, for a discussion of ASC 310-20 and ASC 310-30 loans. The outstanding balance and the carrying amount of acquired loans included in the consolidated balance sheets are as follows:

| December 31 | 2016 | 2015 |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|

(in thousands)

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Accounted for under ASC 310-30: | | |
| Outstanding balance | \$ 2,346,687 | \$ 1,258,418 |
| Carrying amount | 2,015,904 | 1,011,139 |
| Accounted for under ASC 310-20: | | |
| Outstanding balance | 342,015 | 146,161 |
| Carrying amount | 325,784 | 140,595 |
| Total acquired loans: | | |
| Outstanding balance | 2,688,702 | 1,404,579 |
| Carrying amount | 2,341,688 | 1,151,734 |

Table of Contents

The carrying amount of purchased credit impaired loans included in the table above totaled \$2.8 million at December 31, 2016 and \$5.9 million at December 31, 2015, representing less than 1% of the carrying amount of total acquired loans as of each date.

The following table provides changes in accretable yield for all acquired loans accounted for under ASC 310-30. Loans accounted for under ASC 310-20 are not included in this table.

| Year Ended December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 256,120 | \$ 331,899 |
| Acquisitions | 308,312 | |
| Reduction due to unexpected early payoffs | (86,046) | (47,075) |
| Reclass from non-accretable difference | 92,823 | 32,141 |
| Disposals/transfers | (409) | (674) |
| Accretion | (103,730) | (60,171) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 467,070 | \$ 256,120 |

Cash flows expected to be collected on acquired loans are estimated quarterly by incorporating several key assumptions similar to the initial estimate of fair value. These key assumptions include probability of default and the amount of actual prepayments after the acquisition date. Prepayments affect the estimated life of the loans and could change the amount of interest income, and possibly principal expected to be collected. In reforecasting future estimated cash flows, credit loss expectations are adjusted as necessary. Improved cash flow expectations for loans or pools are recorded first as a reversal of previously recorded impairment, if any, and then as an increase in prospective yield when all previously recorded impairment has been recaptured. Decreases in expected cash flows are recognized as impairment through a charge to the provision for credit losses and credit to the allowance for credit losses.

During 2016, there was an overall improvement in cash flow expectations which resulted in a reclassification of \$92.8 million from the non-accretable difference to accretable yield. This reclassification was \$32.1 million for 2015. The reclassification from the non-accretable difference to the accretable yield results in prospective yield adjustments on the loan pools.

The following table reflects amounts at acquisition for all purchased loans subject to ASC 310-30 (impaired and non-impaired loans with deteriorated credit quality) acquired from METR and Fifth Third in 2016.

| (in thousands) | Acquired Impaired Loans | Acquired Performing Loans | Total |
|---|--|--|--------------|
| Contractually required cash flows at acquisition | \$ 99,611 | \$ 2,191,476 | \$ 2,291,087 |
| Non-accretable difference (expected losses and foregone interest) | (52,995) | (264,233) | (317,228) |
| Cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition | 46,616 | 1,927,243 | 1,973,859 |
| Accretable yield | (1,063) | (307,248) | (308,311) |

| | | | |
|---|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| Basis in acquired loans at acquisition | \$ 45,553 | \$ 1,619,995 | \$ 1,665,548 |
|---|-----------|--------------|--------------|

In addition, loans purchased in the METR acquisition and Fifth Third branch purchase that were not subject to ASC 310-30 had the following balances at the date of acquisition: fair value of \$292.3 million; unpaid principal balance of \$315.1 million; and contractual cash flows not expected to be collected of \$103.0 million.

Credit Quality

Management monitors the credit quality of our loan portfolio and uses several performance measures to do so based on payment activity and borrower performance.

Table of Contents

Non-performing loans include non-accrual loans and non-performing troubled debt restructurings (TDRs). Past due loans are reviewed on a monthly basis to identify loans for non-accrual status. We place originated loans on non-accrual status and discontinue interest accruals on originated loans generally when principal or interest is due and has remained unpaid for a certain number of days, or when the full amount of principal and interest is due and has remained unpaid for a certain number of days, unless the loan is both well secured and in the process of collection. Commercial loans are placed on non-accrual at 90 days, installment loans are placed on non-accrual at 120 days and residential mortgages and consumer lines of credit are generally placed on non-accrual at 180 days, though we may place a loan on non-accrual prior to these past due thresholds as warranted. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, all unpaid accrued interest is reversed. Non-accrual loans may not be restored to accrual status until all delinquent principal and interest have been paid and the ultimate ability to collect the remaining principal and interest is reasonably assured. TDRs are loans in which we have granted a concession on the interest rate or the original repayment terms due to the borrower's financial distress.

Following is a summary of non-performing assets:

| December 31 | 2016 | 2015 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| (dollars in thousands) | | |
| Non-accrual loans | \$ 65,479 | \$ 49,897 |
| Troubled debt restructurings | 20,428 | 22,028 |
| Total non-performing loans | 85,907 | 71,925 |
| Other real estate owned (OREO) | 32,490 | 38,918 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 118,397 | \$ 110,843 |

Asset quality ratios:

| | | |
|---|--------------|-------|
| Non-performing loans / total loans and leases | 0.58% | 0.59% |
| Non-performing loans + OREO / total loans and leases + OREO | 0.79% | 0.91% |
| Non-performing assets / total assets | 0.54% | 0.63% |

The carrying value of residential OREO held as a result of obtaining physical possession upon completion of a foreclosure or through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure amounted to \$5.3 million at December 31, 2016. Also, the recorded investment of consumer mortgage loans secured by residential real estate properties for which formal foreclosure proceedings are in process at December 31, 2016 amounted to \$12.0 million.

Table of Contents

The following tables provide an analysis of the aging of loans by class segregated by loans and leases originated and loans acquired:

| (in thousands) | 30-89 Days Past Due | ³ 90 Days Past Due and Still Accruing | Non- Accrual | Total Past Due | Current | Total Loans and Leases |
|--|------------------------|--|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| Originated Loans and Leases | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2016 | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 8,452 | \$ 1 | \$ 20,114 | \$ 28,567 | \$ 4,067,250 | \$ 4,095,817 |
| Commercial and industrial | 16,019 | 3 | 24,141 | 40,163 | 2,671,723 | 2,711,886 |
| Commercial leases | 973 | 1 | 3,429 | 4,403 | 192,233 | 196,636 |
| Total commercial loans and leases | 25,444 | 5 | 47,684 | 73,133 | 6,931,206 | 7,004,339 |
| Direct installment | 10,573 | 4,386 | 6,484 | 21,443 | 1,743,814 | 1,765,257 |
| Residential mortgages | 10,594 | 3,014 | 3,316 | 16,924 | 1,429,852 | 1,446,776 |
| Indirect installment | 9,312 | 513 | 1,983 | 11,808 | 1,184,302 | 1,196,110 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 3,529 | 1,112 | 1,616 | 6,257 | 1,093,370 | 1,099,627 |
| Other | 398 | 83 | 1,000 | 1,481 | 34,397 | 35,878 |
| Total originated loans and leases | \$ 59,850 | \$ 9,113 | \$ 62,083 | \$ 131,046 | \$ 12,416,941 | \$ 12,547,987 |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 11,006 | \$ 1 | \$ 23,503 | \$ 34,510 | \$ 3,496,636 | \$ 3,531,146 |
| Commercial and industrial | 5,409 | 3 | 14,382 | 19,794 | 2,514,557 | 2,534,351 |
| Commercial leases | 924 | | 659 | 1,583 | 202,970 | 204,553 |
| Total commercial loans and leases | 17,339 | 4 | 38,544 | 55,887 | 6,214,163 | 6,270,050 |
| Direct installment | 9,254 | 3,813 | 4,806 | 17,873 | 1,642,844 | 1,660,717 |
| Residential mortgages | 8,135 | 1,470 | 2,882 | 12,487 | 1,032,202 | 1,044,689 |
| Indirect installment | 9,472 | 379 | 1,361 | 11,212 | 984,963 | 996,175 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 2,410 | 1,189 | 1,181 | 4,780 | 1,017,050 | 1,021,830 |
| Other | 73 | 169 | | 242 | 38,276 | 38,518 |
| Total originated loans and leases | \$ 46,683 | \$ 7,024 | \$ 48,774 | \$ 102,481 | \$ 10,929,498 | \$ 11,031,979 |

Table of Contents

| (in thousands) | 30-89 Days Past Due | ³ 90 Days Past Due and still Accruing | Non- Accrual | Total Past Due (1)(2) | Current | (Discount)/ Premium | Total Loans |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|--|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Acquired Loans | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2016 | | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 9,501 | \$ 23,890 | \$ 949 | \$ 34,340 | \$ 1,384,752 | \$ (79,747) | \$ 1,339,345 |
| Commercial and industrial | 1,789 | 2,942 | 2,111 | 6,842 | 353,494 | (29,441) | 330,895 |
| Total commercial loans | 11,290 | 26,832 | 3,060 | 41,182 | 1,738,246 | (109,188) | 1,670,240 |
| Direct installment | 2,317 | 1,344 | | 3,661 | 73,479 | 2,002 | 79,142 |
| Residential mortgages | 8,428 | 10,816 | | 19,244 | 416,561 | (38,007) | 397,798 |
| Indirect installment | 19 | 4 | | 23 | 96 | 84 | 203 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 2,156 | 1,528 | 336 | 4,020 | 201,958 | (4,405) | 201,573 |
| Total acquired loans | \$ 24,210 | \$ 40,524 | \$ 3,396 | \$ 68,130 | \$ 2,430,340 | \$ (149,514) | \$ 2,348,956 |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 6,399 | \$ 12,752 | \$ 931 | \$ 20,082 | \$ 593,128 | \$ (35,300) | \$ 577,910 |
| Commercial and industrial | 1,065 | 616 | 103 | 1,784 | 72,037 | (6,450) | 67,371 |
| Total commercial loans | 7,464 | 13,368 | 1,034 | 21,866 | 665,165 | (41,750) | 645,281 |
| Direct installment | 837 | 659 | | 1,496 | 43,596 | 827 | 45,919 |
| Residential mortgages | 5,871 | 15,136 | | 21,007 | 366,742 | (36,467) | 351,282 |
| Indirect installment | 32 | 9 | | 41 | 571 | (58) | 554 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 830 | 546 | 89 | 1,465 | 117,443 | (3,483) | 115,425 |
| Total acquired loans | \$ 15,034 | \$ 29,718 | \$ 1,123 | \$ 45,875 | \$ 1,193,517 | \$ (80,931) | \$ 1,158,461 |

- (1) Past due information for loans acquired is based on the contractual balance outstanding at December 31, 2016 and 2015.
- (2) Acquired loans are considered performing upon acquisition, regardless of whether the customer is contractually delinquent, if we can reasonably estimate the timing and amount of expected cash flows on such loans. In these instances, we do not consider acquired contractually delinquent loans to be non-accrual or non-performing and continue to recognize interest income on these loans using the accretion method. Acquired loans are considered non-accrual or non-performing when, due to credit deterioration or other factors, we determine we are no longer able to reasonably estimate the timing and amount of expected cash flows on such loans. We do not recognize interest income on acquired loans considered non-accrual or non-performing.

We utilize the following categories to monitor credit quality within our commercial loan and lease portfolio:

| Rating Category | Definition |
|------------------------|---|
| Pass | in general, the condition of the borrower and the performance of the loan is satisfactory or better |
| Special Mention | in general, the condition of the borrower has deteriorated, requiring an increased level of monitoring |
| Substandard | in general, the condition of the borrower has significantly deteriorated and the performance of the loan could further deteriorate if deficiencies are not corrected |
| Doubtful | in general, the condition of the borrower has significantly deteriorated and the collection in full of both principal and interest is highly questionable or improbable |

Table of Contents

The use of these internally assigned credit quality categories within the commercial loan portfolio permits management's use of transition matrices to estimate a quantitative portion of credit risk. Our internal credit risk grading system is based on past experiences with similarly graded loans and conforms with regulatory categories. In general, loan risk ratings within each category are reviewed on an ongoing basis according to our policy for each class of loans. Each quarter, management analyzes the resulting ratings, as well as other external statistics and factors such as delinquency, to track the migration performance of the commercial loan portfolio. Loans within the Pass credit category or that migrate toward the Pass credit category generally have a lower risk of loss compared to loans that migrate toward the Substandard or Doubtful credit categories. Accordingly, management applies higher risk factors to Substandard and Doubtful credit categories.

The following tables present a summary of our commercial loans by credit quality category segregated by loans and leases originated and loans acquired:

| | Commercial Loan and Lease Credit Quality Categories | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| (in thousands) | Pass | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Total |
| <u>Originated Loans and Leases</u> | | | | | |
| December 31, 2016 | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 3,895,764 | \$ 130,452 | \$ 69,588 | \$ 13 | \$ 4,095,817 |
| Commercial and industrial | 2,475,955 | 104,652 | 128,089 | 3,190 | 2,711,886 |
| Commercial leases | 188,662 | 3,789 | 4,185 | | 196,636 |
| Total originated commercial loans and leases | \$ 6,560,381 | \$ 238,893 | \$ 201,862 | \$ 3,203 | \$ 7,004,339 |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 3,416,527 | \$ 52,887 | \$ 61,411 | \$ 321 | \$ 3,531,146 |
| Commercial and industrial | 2,335,103 | 109,539 | 87,380 | 2,329 | 2,534,351 |
| Commercial leases | 198,207 | 2,447 | 3,899 | | 204,553 |
| Total originated commercial loans and leases | \$ 5,949,837 | \$ 164,873 | \$ 152,690 | \$ 2,650 | \$ 6,270,050 |
| <u>Acquired Loans</u> | | | | | |
| December 31, 2016 | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 1,144,676 | \$ 85,894 | \$ 108,128 | \$ 647 | \$ 1,339,345 |
| Commercial and industrial | 274,819 | 20,593 | 34,967 | 516 | 330,895 |
| Total acquired commercial loans | \$ 1,419,495 | \$ 106,487 | \$ 143,095 | \$ 1,163 | \$ 1,670,240 |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 464,162 | \$ 47,619 | \$ 66,129 | | \$ 577,910 |
| Commercial and industrial | 56,446 | 3,182 | 7,743 | | 67,371 |
| Total acquired commercial loans | \$ 520,608 | \$ 50,801 | \$ 73,872 | | \$ 645,281 |

Credit quality information for acquired loans is based on the contractual balance outstanding at December 31, 2016 and 2015.

We use delinquency transition matrices within the consumer and other loan classes to enable management to estimate a quantitative portion of credit risk. Each month, management analyzes payment and volume activity, FICO scores and other external factors such as unemployment, to determine how consumer loans are performing.

Table of Contents

Following is a table showing originated consumer and other loans by payment status:

| (in thousands) | Consumer Loan Credit Quality by Payment Status | | |
|--|--|------------------|---------------------|
| | Performing | Non-Performing | Total |
| December 31, 2016 | | | |
| Direct installment | \$ 1,750,305 | \$ 14,952 | \$ 1,765,257 |
| Residential mortgages | 1,433,409 | 13,367 | 1,446,776 |
| Indirect installment | 1,193,930 | 2,180 | 1,196,110 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 1,096,642 | 2,985 | 1,099,627 |
| Total originated consumer loans | \$ 5,474,286 | \$ 33,484 | \$ 5,507,770 |
| December 31, 2015 | | | |
| Direct installment | \$ 1,646,925 | \$ 13,792 | \$ 1,660,717 |
| Residential mortgages | 1,031,926 | 12,763 | 1,044,689 |
| Indirect installment | 994,661 | 1,514 | 996,175 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 1,019,783 | 2,047 | 1,021,830 |
| Total originated consumer loans | \$ 4,693,295 | \$ 30,116 | \$ 4,723,411 |

Loans and leases are designated as impaired when, in the opinion of management, based on current information and events, the collection of principal and interest in accordance with the loan and lease contract is doubtful. Typically, we do not consider loans and leases for impairment unless a sustained period of delinquency (i.e., 90-plus days) is noted or there are subsequent events that impact repayment probability (i.e., negative financial trends, bankruptcy filings, imminent foreclosure proceedings, etc.). Impairment is evaluated in the aggregate for consumer installment loans, residential mortgages, consumer lines of credit and commercial loan relationships less than \$500,000 based on loan segment loss given default. For commercial loan relationships greater than or equal to \$500,000, a specific valuation allowance is allocated, if necessary, so that the loan is reported net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using a market interest rate or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Consistent with our existing method of income recognition for loans and leases, interest income on impaired loans, except those classified as non-accrual, is recognized using the accrual method. Impaired loans, or portions thereof, are charged off when deemed uncollectible.

Table of Contents

Following is a summary of information pertaining to originated loans and leases considered to be impaired, by class of loan and lease:

| (in thousands) | Unpaid Contractual Principal Balance | Recorded Investment With No Specific Reserve | Recorded Investment With Specific Reserve | Total Recorded Investment | Specific Reserve | Average Recorded Investment |
|--|---|--|---|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| At or for the Year Ended | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2016 | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 23,771 | \$ 19,699 | \$ 464 | \$ 20,163 | \$ 13 | \$ 19,217 |
| Commercial and industrial | 25,719 | 14,781 | 8,996 | 23,777 | 3,190 | 29,730 |
| Commercial leases | 3,429 | 3,429 | | 3,429 | | 3,394 |
| Total commercial loans and leases | 52,919 | 37,909 | 9,460 | 47,369 | 3,203 | 52,341 |
| Direct installment | 16,440 | 14,952 | | 14,952 | | 14,997 |
| Residential mortgages | 14,090 | 13,367 | | 13,367 | | 13,200 |
| Indirect installment | 5,172 | 2,180 | | 2,180 | | 2,037 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 3,858 | 2,985 | | 2,985 | | 2,813 |
| Other | 1,000 | 1,000 | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| Total | \$ 93,479 | \$ 72,393 | \$ 9,460 | \$ 81,853 | \$ 3,203 | \$ 86,388 |
| At or for the Year Ended | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 33,780 | \$ 24,423 | \$ 772 | \$ 25,195 | \$ 321 | \$ 26,143 |
| Commercial and industrial | 15,860 | 9,176 | 5,543 | 14,719 | 2,329 | 12,298 |
| Commercial leases | 659 | 659 | | 659 | | 747 |
| Total commercial loans and leases | 50,299 | 34,258 | 6,315 | 40,573 | 2,650 | 39,188 |
| Direct installment | 14,679 | 13,792 | | 13,792 | | 13,267 |
| Residential mortgages | 13,394 | 12,763 | | 12,763 | | 12,896 |
| Indirect installment | 3,745 | 1,514 | | 1,514 | | 1,401 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 2,408 | 2,047 | | 2,047 | | 2,198 |
| Total | \$ 84,525 | \$ 64,374 | \$ 6,315 | \$ 70,689 | \$ 2,650 | \$ 68,950 |

Interest income continued to accrue on impaired loans that were still accruing and totaled approximately \$4.6 million, \$4.1 million and \$3.7 million during 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The above tables do not reflect the additional allowance for credit losses relating to acquired loans in the following pools and categories:

| December 31 | 2016 | 2015 |
|--|-----------------|-------------|
| (in thousands) | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 4,538 | \$ 3,073 |
| Commercial and industrial | 500 | 695 |
| Total commercial loans | 5,038 | 3,768 |
| Direct installment | 1,005 | 1,557 |
| Residential mortgages | 632 | 659 |
| Indirect installment | 221 | 221 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 372 | 522 |
| Total allowance on acquired loans | \$ 7,268 | \$ 6,727 |

Table of Contents*Troubled Debt Restructurings*

TDRs are loans whose contractual terms have been modified in a manner that grants a concession to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties. TDRs typically result from loss mitigation activities and could include the extension of a maturity date, interest rate reduction, principal forgiveness, deferral or decrease in payments for a period of time and other actions intended to minimize the economic loss and to avoid foreclosure or repossession of collateral.

Following is a summary of the composition of total TDRs:

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Accruing: | | |
| Performing | \$ 17,470 | \$ 15,165 |
| Non-performing | 20,428 | 22,028 |
| Non-accrual | 9,035 | 8,307 |
| Total TDRs | \$ 46,933 | \$ 45,500 |

TDRs that are accruing and performing include loans that met the criteria for non-accrual of interest prior to restructuring for which we can reasonably estimate the timing and amount of the expected cash flows on such loans and for which we expect to fully collect the new carrying value of the loans. During 2016, we returned to performing status \$5.3 million in restructured residential mortgage loans that have consistently met their modified obligations for more than six months. TDRs that are accruing and non-performing are comprised of consumer loans that have not demonstrated a consistent repayment pattern on the modified terms for more than nine months, however it is expected that we will collect all future principal and interest payments. TDRs that are on non-accrual are not placed on accruing status until all delinquent principal and interest have been paid and the ultimate collectability of the remaining principal and interest is reasonably assured. Some loan modifications classified as TDRs may not ultimately result in the full collection of principal and interest, as modified, and may result in potential incremental losses which are factored into the allowance for credit losses.

Excluding purchased impaired loans, commercial loans over \$500,000 whose terms have been modified in a TDR are generally placed on non-accrual, individually analyzed and measured for estimated impairment based on the fair value of the underlying collateral. Our allowance for credit losses included specific reserves for commercial TDRs and pooled reserves for individual loans under \$500,000 based on loan segment loss given default. Upon default, the amount of the recorded investment in the TDR in excess of the fair value of the collateral, less estimated selling costs, is generally considered a confirmed loss and is charged-off against the allowance for credit losses. The reserve for commercial TDRs included in the allowance for credit losses is presented in the following table:

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Specific reserves for commercial TDRs | \$ 291 | \$ 300 |
| Pooled reserves for individual commercial loans under \$500 | 276 | 929 |

All other classes of loans, which are primarily secured by residential properties whose terms have been modified in a TDR, are pooled and measured for estimated impairment based on the expected net present value of the estimated future cash flows of the pool. Our allowance for credit losses included pooled reserves for these classes of loans of \$3.7 million and \$3.5 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Upon default of an individual loan, our charge-off policy is followed accordingly for that class of loan.

Table of Contents

The majority of TDRs are the result of interest rate concessions for a limited period of time. Following is a summary of loans, by class, that have been restructured:

| Year Ended December 31 | 2016 | | | 2015 | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|---|--|
| | Number of Contracts | Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Number of Contracts | Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |
| (dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | 4 | \$ 778 | \$ 737 | 3 | \$ 1,165 | \$ 960 |
| Commercial and industrial | 3 | 1,727 | 1,504 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| Total commercial loans | 7 | 2,505 | 2,241 | 4 | 1,170 | 964 |
| Direct installment | 527 | 6,090 | 5,566 | 489 | 6,712 | 6,314 |
| Residential mortgages | 45 | 2,155 | 2,081 | 45 | 1,667 | 1,660 |
| Indirect installment | 19 | 51 | 51 | 17 | 55 | 48 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 81 | 1,419 | 1,283 | 58 | 950 | 832 |
| Total | 679 | \$ 12,220 | \$ 11,222 | 613 | \$ 10,554 | \$ 9,818 |

Following is a summary of originated TDRs, by class, for which there was a payment default, excluding loans that were either charged-off or cured by period end. Default occurs when a loan is 90 days or more past due and is within 12 months of restructuring.

| Year Ended December 31 | 2016 | | 2015 | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| | Number of Contracts | Recorded Investment | Number of Contracts | Recorded Investment |
| (dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | | \$ | 1 | \$ 26 |
| Commercial and industrial | | | | |
| Total commercial loans | | | 1 | 26 |
| Direct installment | 90 | 313 | 97 | 510 |
| Residential mortgages | 7 | 285 | 7 | 306 |
| Indirect installment | 18 | 35 | 9 | 14 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 3 | 394 | | |
| Total | 118 | \$ 1,027 | 114 | \$ 856 |

Table of Contents**7. ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES**

Following is a summary of changes in the allowance for credit losses, by loan and lease class:

| (in thousands) | Balance at Beginning of Year | Charge- Offs | Recoveries | Net Charge- Offs | Provision for Credit Losses | Balance at End of Year |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 41,741 | \$ (6,657) | \$ 3,669 | \$ (2,988) | \$ 7,882 | \$ 46,635 |
| Commercial and industrial | 41,023 | (19,584) | 2,508 | (17,076) | 24,044 | 47,991 |
| Commercial leases | 2,541 | (962) | 66 | (896) | 1,635 | 3,280 |
| Commercial loans and leases | 85,305 | (27,203) | 6,243 | (20,960) | 33,561 | 97,906 |
| Direct installment | 21,587 | (10,153) | 1,822 | (8,331) | 8,135 | 21,391 |
| Residential mortgages | 7,909 | (441) | 74 | (367) | 2,540 | 10,082 |
| Indirect installment | 9,889 | (7,855) | 2,015 | (5,840) | 6,515 | 10,564 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 9,582 | (2,085) | 265 | (1,820) | 1,694 | 9,456 |
| Other | 1,013 | (2,729) | 131 | (2,598) | 2,977 | 1,392 |
| Total allowance on originated loans | 135,285 | (50,466) | 10,550 | (39,916) | 55,422 | 150,791 |
| Purchased credit-impaired loans | 834 | (399) | 42 | (357) | 95 | 572 |
| Other acquired loans | 5,893 | (649) | 1,217 | 568 | 235 | 6,696 |
| Total allowance on acquired loans | 6,727 | (1,048) | 1,259 | 211 | 330 | 7,268 |
| Total allowance | \$ 142,012 | \$ (51,514) | \$ 11,809 | \$ (39,705) | \$ 55,752 | \$ 158,059 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2015 | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 37,588 | \$ (4,443) | \$ 1,117 | \$ (3,326) | \$ 7,479 | \$ 41,741 |
| Commercial and industrial | 32,645 | (3,562) | 1,773 | (1,789) | 10,167 | 41,023 |
| Commercial leases | 2,398 | (544) | 101 | (443) | 586 | 2,541 |
| Commercial loans and leases | 72,631 | (8,549) | 2,991 | (5,558) | 18,232 | 85,305 |
| Direct installment | 20,538 | (10,844) | 1,527 | (9,317) | 10,366 | 21,587 |
| Residential mortgages | 8,024 | (1,010) | 85 | (925) | 810 | 7,909 |
| Indirect installment | 7,504 | (6,427) | 1,190 | (5,237) | 7,622 | 9,889 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 8,496 | (1,653) | 175 | (1,478) | 2,564 | 9,582 |
| Other | 759 | (1,691) | 55 | (1,636) | 1,890 | 1,013 |
| Total allowance on originated loans | 117,952 | (30,174) | 6,023 | (24,151) | 41,484 | 135,285 |
| Purchased credit-impaired loans | 660 | (64) | 19 | (45) | 219 | 834 |
| Other acquired loans | 7,314 | (830) | 671 | (159) | (1,262) | 5,893 |

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/PA/ - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| Total allowance on acquired loans | 7,974 | (894) | 690 | (204) | (1,043) | 6,727 |
| Total allowance | \$ 125,926 | \$ (31,068) | \$ 6,713 | \$ (24,355) | \$ 40,441 | \$ 142,012 |

Year Ended December 31, 2014

| | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| Commercial real estate | \$ 32,548 | \$ (6,568) | \$ 2,351 | \$ (4,217) | \$ 9,257 | \$ 37,588 |
| Commercial and industrial | 32,603 | (3,454) | 1,412 | (2,042) | 2,084 | 32,645 |
| Commercial leases | 1,903 | (415) | 105 | (310) | 805 | 2,398 |
| Commercial loans and leases | 67,054 | (10,437) | 3,868 | (6,569) | 12,146 | 72,631 |
| Direct installment | 17,824 | (9,600) | 1,163 | (8,437) | 11,151 | 20,538 |
| Residential mortgages | 5,836 | (760) | 74 | (686) | 2,874 | 8,024 |
| Indirect installment | 6,409 | (3,627) | 875 | (2,752) | 3,847 | 7,504 |
| Consumer lines of credit | 7,231 | (1,495) | 218 | (1,277) | 2,542 | 8,496 |
| Other | 530 | (1,329) | 24 | (1,305) | 1,534 | 759 |
| Total allowance on originated loans | 104,884 | (27,248) | 6,222 | (21,026) | 34,094 | 117,952 |
| Purchased credit-impaired loans | 1,000 | (2,614) | 1 | (2,613) | 2,273 | 660 |
| Other acquired loans | 4,900 | (873) | 1,006 | 133 | 2,281 | 7,314 |
| Total allowance on acquired loans | 5,900 | (3,487) | 1,007 | (2,480) | 4,554 | 7,974 |
| Total allowance | \$ 110,784 | \$ (30,735) | \$ 7,229 | \$ (23,506) | \$ 38,648 | \$ 125,926 |

Table of Contents

Following is a summary of the individual and collective originated allowance for credit losses and corresponding originated loan and lease balances by class:

| (in thousands) | Allowance | | Loans and Leases Outstanding | | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| | Individually Evaluated for Impairment | Collectively Evaluated for Impairment | Originated Loans and Leases | Individually Evaluated for Impairment | Collectively Evaluated for Impairment |
| December 31, 2016 | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 13 | \$ 46,622 | \$ 4,095,817 | \$ 12,973 | \$ 4,082,844 |
| Commercial and industrial | 3,190 | 44,801 | 2,711,886 | 21,746 | 2,690,140 |
| Commercial leases | | 3,280 | 196,636 | | 196,636 |
| Total commercial loans and leases | 3,203 | 94,703 | 7,004,339 | 34,719 | 6,969,620 |
| Direct installment | | 21,391 | 1,765,257 | | 1,765,257 |
| Residential mortgages | | 10,082 | 1,446,776 | | 1,446,776 |
| Indirect installment | | 10,564 | 1,196,110 | | 1,196,110 |
| Consumer lines of credit | | 9,456 | 1,099,627 | | 1,099,627 |
| Other | | 1,392 | 35,878 | | 35,878 |
| Total | \$ 3,203 | \$ 147,588 | \$ 12,547,987 | \$ 34,719 | \$ 12,513,268 |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 321 | \$ 41,420 | \$ 3,531,146 | \$ 12,904 | \$ 3,518,242 |
| Commercial and industrial | 2,329 | 38,694 | 2,534,351 | 10,802 | 2,523,549 |
| Commercial leases | | 2,541 | 204,553 | | 204,553 |
| Total commercial loans and leases | 2,650 | 82,655 | 6,270,050 | 23,706 | 6,246,344 |
| Direct installment | | 21,587 | 1,660,717 | | 1,660,717 |
| Residential mortgages | | 7,909 | 1,044,689 | | 1,044,689 |
| Indirect installment | | 9,889 | 996,175 | | 996,175 |
| Consumer lines of credit | | 9,582 | 1,021,830 | | 1,021,830 |
| Other | | 1,013 | 38,518 | | 38,518 |
| Total | \$ 2,650 | \$ 132,635 | \$ 11,031,979 | \$ 23,706 | \$ 11,008,273 |

The above table excludes acquired loans that were pooled into groups of loans for evaluating impairment.

8. PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Following is a summary of premises and equipment:

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|

| | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Land | \$ 45,640 | \$ 33,023 |
| Premises | 186,784 | 143,757 |
| Equipment | 174,325 | 130,066 |
| | 406,749 | 306,846 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (162,793) | (147,766) |
| Total premises and equipment, net | \$ 243,956 | \$ 159,080 |

Table of Contents

Depreciation expense for premises and equipment is presented in the following table:

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Depreciation expense for premises and equipment | \$ 23,355 | \$ 20,009 | \$ 18,671 |

We have operating leases extending to 2046 for certain land, office locations and equipment, many of which have renewal options. Leases that expire are generally expected to be replaced by other leases. Lease costs are expensed in accordance with ASC 840, *Leases*, taking into account escalation clauses. Rental expense is presented in the following table:

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Rental expense | \$ 21,015 | \$ 16,193 | \$ 14,564 |

Following is a summary of future minimum lease payments for years following December 31, 2016:

| (in thousands) | |
|---|-------------------|
| 2017 | \$ 20,141 |
| 2018 | 17,927 |
| 2019 | 15,490 |
| 2020 | 12,979 |
| 2021 | 10,912 |
| Later years | 55,128 |
| Total minimum rental commitment under leases | \$ 132,577 |

9. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table shows a rollforward of goodwill by line of business:

| (in thousands) | Community Banking | Wealth Manage- ment | Insurance | Consumer Finance | Total |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2015 | \$ 813,434 | \$ 8,020 | \$ 8,950 | \$ 1,809 | \$ 832,213 |
| Goodwill (deductions) additions | (1,035) | | 1,908 | | 873 |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | 812,399 | 8,020 | 10,858 | 1,809 | 833,086 |
| Goodwill (deductions) additions | 199,200 | | (157) | | 199,043 |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | \$ 1,011,599 | \$ 8,020 | \$ 10,701 | \$ 1,809 | \$ 1,032,129 |

We recorded goodwill during 2015 and 2016 as a result of the purchase accounting adjustments relating to the various acquisitions described in Note 3, Mergers and Acquisitions.

Table of Contents

The following table shows a summary of core deposit intangibles, customer renewal lists and mortgage servicing rights:

| (in thousands) | Core Deposit Intangibles | Customer Renewal Lists | Mortgage Servicing Rights | Total Finite- lived Intangibles |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| December 31, 2016 | | | | |
| Gross carrying amount | \$ 139,886 | \$ 12,352 | \$ 19,914 | \$ 172,152 |
| Accumulated amortization | (89,888) | (8,544) | (6,393) | (104,825) |
| Net carrying amount | \$ 49,998 | \$ 3,808 | \$ 13,521 | \$ 67,327 |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | |
| Gross carrying amount | \$ 111,594 | \$ 12,351 | \$ 12,766 | \$ 136,711 |
| Accumulated amortization | (79,362) | (7,860) | (3,845) | (91,067) |
| Net carrying amount | \$ 32,232 | \$ 4,491 | \$ 8,921 | \$ 45,644 |

Core deposit intangibles are being amortized primarily over 10 years using accelerated methods. Customer renewal lists and mortgage servicing rights are being amortized over their estimated useful lives, which range from eight to thirteen years.

The amortization relating to mortgage servicing rights is included in mortgage banking operations within the non-interest income section on the consolidated income statements, while the amortization expense relating to core deposit intangibles and customer renewal lists is reflected as a separate line item within the non-interest expense section. The following table summarizes amortization expense recognized:

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Amortization expense of other core deposit intangibles and customer renewal lists | \$ 11,210 | \$ 8,305 | \$ 9,717 |
| Amortization expense of mortgage servicing rights | 2,548 | 2,250 | 2,593 |
| Total amortization of intangibles | \$ 13,758 | \$ 10,555 | \$ 12,310 |

Following is a summary of the expected amortization expense on finite-lived intangible assets, assuming no new additions, for each of the five years following December 31, 2016:

| (in thousands) | |
|----------------|-----------|
| 2017 | \$ 12,362 |
| 2018 | 9,864 |

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/PA/ - Form 10-K

| | |
|-------|-----------|
| 2019 | 8,608 |
| 2020 | 7,894 |
| 2021 | 7,032 |
| Total | \$ 45,760 |

Goodwill and other intangible assets are tested annually for impairment, and more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. We completed this test in 2016 and 2015 and determined that our intangible assets are not impaired.

Table of Contents**10. DEPOSITS**

Following is a summary of deposits:

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Non-interest-bearing demand | \$ 4,205,337 | \$ 3,059,949 |
| Interest-bearing demand | 6,931,381 | 5,311,589 |
| Savings | 2,352,434 | 1,786,459 |
| Certificates and other time deposits: | | |
| Less than \$100,000 | 1,680,068 | 1,390,619 |
| \$100,000 through \$250,000 | 642,509 | 861,042 |
| Greater than \$250,000 | 253,918 | 213,805 |
| Total deposits | \$ 16,065,647 | \$ 12,623,463 |

Following is a summary of time deposits of \$100,000 or more by remaining maturity at December 31, 2016:

| (in thousands) | Certificates of Deposit | Other Time Deposits | Total |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Three months or less | \$ 94,704 | \$ 9,006 | \$ 103,710 |
| Three to six months | 98,110 | 8,265 | 106,375 |
| Six to twelve months | 157,512 | 16,879 | 174,391 |
| Over twelve months | 394,627 | 117,324 | 511,951 |
| Total | \$ 744,953 | \$ 151,474 | \$ 896,427 |

Following is a summary of the scheduled maturities of certificates and other time deposits for the years following December 31, 2016:

| (in thousands) | |
|----------------|---------------------|
| 2017 | \$ 1,299,330 |
| 2018 | 473,266 |
| 2019 | 290,092 |
| 2020 | 246,590 |
| 2021 | 132,960 |
| Later years | 134,257 |
| Total | \$ 2,576,495 |

11. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

Following is a summary of short-term borrowings:

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 |
|---|---------------------|--------------|
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements | \$ 313,062 | \$ 266,732 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 1,025,000 | 1,090,000 |
| Federal funds purchased | 1,037,000 | 568,000 |
| Subordinated notes | 127,948 | 124,164 |
| Total short-term borrowings | \$ 2,503,010 | \$ 2,048,896 |

Table of Contents

Borrowings with original maturities of one year or less are classified as short-term. Securities sold under repurchase agreements are comprised of customer repurchase agreements, which are sweep accounts with next day maturities utilized by larger commercial customers to earn interest on their funds. Securities are pledged to these customers in an amount equal to the outstanding balance.

The following represents weighted average interest rates on short-term borrowings:

| December 31 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|----------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Year-to-date average | 0.61% | 0.42% | 0.39% |
| Period-end | 0.69% | 0.48% | 0.37% |

12. LONG-TERM BORROWINGS

Following is a summary of long-term borrowings:

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | \$ 305,110 | \$ 400,017 |
| Subordinated notes | 87,147 | 84,668 |
| Junior subordinated debt | 48,600 | 58,298 |
| Other subordinated debt | 98,637 | 98,497 |
| Total long-term borrowings | \$ 539,494 | \$ 641,480 |

Scheduled annual maturities for the long-term borrowings for the years following December 31, 2016 are as follows:

| (in thousands) | |
|----------------|-------------------|
| 2017 | \$ 179,147 |
| 2018 | 61,800 |
| 2019 | 43,557 |
| 2020 | 49,339 |
| 2021 | 47,665 |
| Later years | 157,986 |
| Total | \$ 539,494 |

Federal Home Loan Bank advances

Our banking affiliate has available credit with the FHLB of \$5.8 billion of which \$1.3 billion was used as of December 31, 2016. These advances are secured by loans collateralized by residential mortgages, HELOCs, commercial real estate and FHLB stock and are scheduled to mature in various amounts periodically through the year 2021. Effective interest rates paid on the long-term advances ranged from 0.95% to 4.19% for the year ended December 31, 2016 and 0.76% to 4.19% for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Subordinated notes

Subordinated notes are unsecured and subordinated to our other indebtedness. The subordinated notes mature in various amounts periodically through the year 2026. At December 31, 2016, all of the subordinated notes are redeemable by the holders prior to maturity at a discount equal to three to twelve months of interest, depending on the term of the note. We may require the holder to give 30 days prior written notice. No sinking fund is

Table of Contents

required and none has been established to retire the notes. The weighted average interest rate on the subordinated notes are presented in the following table:

| December 31 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Subordinated notes weighted average interest rate | 2.71% | 2.73% | 2.70% |
| <i>Junior subordinated debt</i> | | | |

Junior subordinated debt is comprised of the debt securities issued by FNB in relation to our two unconsolidated subsidiary trusts (collectively, the Trusts), F.N.B. Statutory Trust II and Omega Financial Capital Trust I, which are unconsolidated variable interest entities. One hundred percent of the common equity of each Trust is owned by FNB. The Trusts were formed for the purpose of issuing FNB-obligated mandatorily redeemable capital securities (TPS) to third-party investors. The proceeds from the sale of TPS and the issuance of common equity by the Trusts were invested in junior subordinated debt securities issued by FNB, which are the sole assets of each Trust. Since third-party investors are the primary beneficiaries, the Trusts are not consolidated in our financial statements. The Trusts pay dividends on the TPS at the same rate as the distributions paid by us on the junior subordinated debt held by the Trusts. Omega Financial Capital Trust I was assumed as a result of an acquisition. During 2016, we redeemed \$10.0 million of the TPS issued by Omega Financial Capital Trust I.

We record the distributions on the junior subordinated debt issued to the Trusts as interest expense. The TPS are subject to mandatory redemption, in whole or in part, upon repayment of the junior subordinated debt. The TPS are eligible for redemption, at any time, at our discretion. Under capital guidelines, effective January 1, 2016, the junior subordinated debt, net of our investments in the Trusts, is included in tier 2 capital. We have entered into agreements which, when taken collectively, fully and unconditionally guarantee the obligations under the TPS subject to the terms of each of the guarantees.

The following table provides information relating to the Trusts as of December 31, 2016:

| (dollars in thousands) | Trust Preferred Securities | Common Securities | Junior Subordinated Debt | Stated Maturity Date | Interest Rate | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--|
| F.N.B. Statutory Trust II | \$ 21,500 | \$ 665 | \$ 22,165 | 6/15/36 | 2.61% | Variable; LIBOR + 165 basis points (bps) |
| Omega Financial Capital Trust I | 26,000 | 1,114 | 26,435 | 10/18/34 | 3.07% | Variable; LIBOR + 219 bps |
| Total | \$ 47,500 | \$ 1,779 | \$ 48,600 | | | |

Other subordinated debt

Other subordinated debt is comprised of the \$100.0 million aggregate principal amount of 4.875% subordinated notes due in 2025 issued by us in our October 2015 debt offering. The net proceeds of the debt offering after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering costs were \$98.4 million. These subordinated notes are eligible for treatment as tier 2 capital for regulatory capital purposes.

13. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

We are exposed to certain risks arising from both our business operations and economic conditions. We principally manage our exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of our core business activities. We manage economic risks, including interest rate risk, primarily by managing the amount, source, and duration of our assets and liabilities, and through the use of derivative instruments. Derivative instruments are used to reduce the effects that changes in interest rates may have on net income and cash flows. We also use derivative instruments to facilitate transactions on behalf of our customers.

Table of Contents

All derivatives are carried on the consolidated balance sheets at fair value and do not take into account the effects of master netting arrangements we have with other financial institutions. Credit risk is included in the determination of the estimated fair value of derivatives. Derivative assets are classified in the consolidated balance sheets under other assets and derivative liabilities are classified in the consolidated balance sheets under other liabilities. Changes in fair value are recognized in earnings except for certain changes related to derivative instruments designated as part of a cash flow hedging relationship.

The following table presents notional amounts and gross fair values of our derivative assets and derivative liabilities:

| December 31 (in thousands) | Notional Amount | 2016 Fair Value | | Notional Amount | 2015 Fair Value | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--|
| | | Asset | Liability | | Asset | Liability | |
| Gross Derivatives | | | | | | | |
| Subject to master netting arrangements: | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts designated | \$ 450,000 | \$ 9,256 | \$ 1,171 | \$ 250,000 | \$ 3,178 | \$ 962 | |
| Interest rate swaps not designated | 1,689,157 | 12,720 | 34,046 | 1,262,964 | 1 | 50,491 | |
| Equity contracts not designated | 1,180 | 61 | | 1,180 | 18 | | |
| Total subject to master netting arrangements | 2,140,337 | 22,037 | 35,217 | 1,514,144 | 3,197 | 51,453 | |
| Not subject to master netting arrangements: | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps not designated | 1,689,157 | 32,170 | 11,866 | 1,262,964 | 49,998 | 1 | |
| Credit risk contracts not designated | 174,538 | 13 | 123 | 114,753 | 7 | 133 | |
| Equity contracts not designated | 1,180 | | 61 | 1,180 | | 18 | |
| Total not subject to master netting arrangements | 1,864,875 | 32,183 | 12,050 | 1,378,897 | 50,005 | 152 | |
| Total | \$ 4,005,212 | \$ 54,220 | \$ 47,267 | \$ 2,893,041 | \$ 53,202 | \$ 51,605 | |

Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments under GAAP

Interest Rate Contracts. We entered into interest rate derivative agreements to modify the interest rate characteristics of certain commercial loans and three of our FHLB advances from variable rate to fixed rate in order to reduce the impact of changes in future cash flows due to market interest rate changes. These agreements are designated as cash flow hedges (i.e., hedging the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows). The effective portion of the derivative's gain or loss is initially reported as a component of other comprehensive income and subsequently reclassified into earnings in the same line item associated with the forecasted transaction when the forecasted transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the gain or loss is reported in earnings immediately.

Following is a summary of key data related to interest rate contracts:

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 |
|--|-------------------|-------------|
| Notional amount | \$ 450,000 | \$ 250,000 |
| Fair value included in other assets | 9,256 | 3,178 |
| Fair value included in other liabilities | 1,171 | 962 |

Table of Contents

The following table shows amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI):

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | | 2015 | |
|--|--------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Total | Net of Tax | Total | Net of Tax |
| Reclassified from AOCI to interest income | \$ 2,659 | \$ 1,728 | \$ 3,248 | \$ 2,111 |
| Reclassified from AOCI to interest expense | 703 | 457 | 292 | 189 |

As of December 31, 2016, the maximum length of time over which forecasted interest cash flows are hedged is seven years. In the twelve months that follow December 31, 2016, we expect to reclassify from the amount currently reported in AOCI net derivative gains of \$1.9 million (\$1.2 million net of tax), in association with interest on the hedged loans and FHLB advances. This amount could differ from amounts actually recognized due to changes in interest rates, hedge de-designations, and the addition of other hedges subsequent to December 31, 2016.

There were no components of derivative gains or losses excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness related to these cash flow hedges. For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, there was no hedge ineffectiveness. Also, during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, there were no gains or losses from cash flow hedge derivatives reclassified to earnings because it became probable that the original forecasted transactions would not occur.

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments under GAAP

Interest Rate Swaps. We enter into interest rate swap agreements to meet the financing, interest rate and equity risk management needs of qualifying commercial loan customers. These agreements provide the customer the ability to convert from variable to fixed interest rates. The credit risk associated with derivatives executed with customers is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans and is subject to normal credit policies and monitoring. Swap derivative transactions with customers are not subject to enforceable master netting arrangements and are generally secured by rights to non-financial collateral, such as real and personal property.

We enter into positions with a derivative counterparty in order to offset our exposure on the fixed components of the customer interest rate swap agreements. We seek to minimize counterparty credit risk by entering into transactions only with high-quality financial dealer institutions. These arrangements meet the definition of derivatives, but are not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*. Substantially all contracts with dealers that require central clearing (generally, transactions since June 10, 2014) are novated to a SEC registered clearing agency who becomes our counterparty.

Following is a summary of key data related to interest rate swaps:

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Notional amount | \$ 3,378,314 | \$ 2,525,928 |
| Fair value included in other assets | 44,890 | 49,999 |
| Fair value included in other liabilities | 45,912 | 50,492 |

The interest rate swap agreement with the loan customer and with the counterparty is reported at fair value in other assets and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets with any resulting gain or loss recorded in current period

earnings as other income or other expense.

Credit Risk Contracts. We purchase and sell credit protection under risk participation agreements to share with other counterparties some of the credit exposure related to interest rate derivative contracts or to take on credit exposure to generate revenue. We will make/receive payments under these agreements if a customer defaults on our obligation to perform under certain derivative swap contracts.

Table of Contents

Risk participation agreements sold with notional amounts totaling \$125.6 million as of December 31, 2016 have remaining terms ranging from two months to fourteen years. Under these agreements, our maximum exposure assuming a customer defaults on our obligation to perform under certain derivative swap contracts with third parties would be \$0.1 million at both December 31, 2016 and 2015.

The fair values of risk participation agreements purchased and sold were not material at December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Counterparty Credit Risk

We are party to master netting arrangements with most of our swap derivative counterparties. Collateral, usually marketable securities and/or cash, is exchanged between FNB and our counterparties, and is generally subject to thresholds and transfer minimums. For swap transactions that require central clearing, we post cash to our clearing agency. Collateral positions are valued daily, and adjustments to amounts received and pledged by us are made as appropriate to maintain proper collateralization for these transactions.

Certain master netting agreements contain provisions that, if violated, could cause the counterparties to request immediate settlement or demand full collateralization under the derivative instrument. If we had breached our agreements with our derivative counterparties we would be required to settle our obligations under the agreements at the termination value and would be required to pay an additional \$1.1 million and \$1.3 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, in excess of amounts previously posted as collateral with the respective counterparty.

Table of Contents

The following table presents information about derivative assets and derivative liabilities that are subject to enforceable master netting arrangements as well as those not subject to enforceable master netting arrangements:

| (in thousands) | Gross Amount | Gross Amounts Offset in the Balance Sheet | Net Amount Presented in the Balance Sheet |
|---|------------------|---|---|
| December 31, 2016 | | | |
| Derivative Assets | | | |
| Subject to master netting arrangements: | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | | |
| Designated | \$ 9,256 | | \$ 9,256 |
| Not designated | 12,720 | | 12,720 |
| Equity contracts not designated | 61 | | 61 |
| Not subject to master netting arrangements: | | | |
| Interest rate contracts not designated | 32,170 | | 32,170 |
| Credit contracts not designated | 13 | | 13 |
| Total derivative assets | \$ 54,220 | | \$ 54,220 |
| Derivative Liabilities | | | |
| Subject to master netting arrangements: | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | | |
| Designated | \$ 1,171 | | \$ 1,171 |
| Not designated | 34,046 | | 34,046 |
| Not subject to master netting arrangements: | | | |
| Interest rate contracts not designated | 11,866 | | 11,866 |
| Credit contracts not designated | 123 | | 123 |
| Equity contracts not designated | 61 | | 61 |
| Total derivative liabilities | \$ 47,267 | | \$ 47,267 |
| December 31, 2015 | | | |
| Derivative Assets | | | |
| Subject to master netting arrangements: | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | | |
| Designated | \$ 3,178 | | \$ 3,178 |
| Not designated | 1 | | 1 |
| Equity contracts not designated | 18 | | 18 |
| Not subject to master netting arrangements: | | | |
| Interest rate contracts not designated | 49,998 | | 49,998 |
| Credit contracts not designated | 7 | | 7 |
| Total derivative assets | \$ 53,202 | | \$ 53,202 |

Derivative Liabilities

Subject to master netting arrangements:

Interest rate contracts

| | | |
|------------|--------|--------|
| Designated | \$ 962 | \$ 962 |
|------------|--------|--------|

| | | |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Not designated | 50,491 | 50,491 |
|----------------|--------|--------|

Not subject to master netting arrangements:

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| Interest rate contracts not designated | 1 | 1 |
|--|---|---|

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Credit contracts not designated | 133 | 133 |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----|

| | | |
|---------------------------------|----|----|
| Equity contracts not designated | 18 | 18 |
|---------------------------------|----|----|

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Total derivative liabilities | \$ 51,605 | \$ 51,605 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|

Table of Contents

The following table presents a reconciliation of the net amounts of derivative assets and derivative liabilities presented in the balance sheets to the net amounts that would result in the event of offset:

| (in thousands) | Net Amount Presented in the Balance Sheet | Amount Not Offset in the Balance Sheet | | Net Amount |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| | | Financial Instruments | Cash Collateral | |
| December 31, 2016 | | | | |
| Derivative Assets | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts: | | | | |
| Designated | \$ 9,256 | \$ 843 | \$ 8,413 | \$ |
| Not designated | 12,720 | 474 | 12,132 | 114 |
| Equity contracts not designated | 61 | 61 | | |
| Total | \$ 22,037 | \$ 1,378 | \$ 20,545 | \$ 114 |
| Derivative Liabilities | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts: | | | | |
| Designated | \$ 1,171 | \$ 1,171 | \$ | \$ |
| Not designated | 34,046 | 15,490 | 17,651 | 905 |
| Total | \$ 35,217 | \$ 16,661 | \$ 17,651 | \$ 905 |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | |
| Derivative Assets | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts: | | | | |
| Designated | \$ 3,178 | \$ 1,516 | \$ 1,662 | |
| Not designated | 1 | 1 | | |
| Equity contracts not designated | 18 | 18 | | |
| Total | \$ 3,197 | \$ 1,535 | \$ 1,662 | |
| Derivative Liabilities | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts: | | | | |
| Designated | \$ 962 | \$ 792 | \$ 170 | \$ |
| Not designated | 50,491 | 24,579 | 24,632 | 1,280 |
| Total | \$ 51,453 | \$ 25,371 | \$ 24,802 | \$ 1,280 |

The following table presents the effect of certain derivative financial instruments on our income statement:

| | Income Statement | | Year Ended December 31, | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| | | | 2016 | 2015 |
| (in thousands) | | Location | | |
| Interest Rate Contracts | Interest income | loans and leases | \$ 2,659 | \$ 3,248 |
| Interest Rate Contracts | Interest expense | short-term borrowings | 703 | 292 |
| Interest Rate Swaps | Other income | | (529) | (451) |
| Credit Risk Contracts | Other income | | 16 | (126) |
| <i>Other</i> | | | | |

We have entered into interest rate lock commitments to originate residential mortgage loans held for sale and forward commitments to sell residential mortgage loans to secondary market investors. These arrangements are considered derivative instruments. The fair values of our interest rate lock commitments to customers and commitments to originate residential mortgage loans with investors at December 31, 2016 and 2015 are not material.

Table of Contents**14. COMMITMENTS, CREDIT RISK AND CONTINGENCIES**

We have commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit that involve certain elements of credit risk in excess of the amount stated in the consolidated balance sheets. Our exposure to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the customer is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The credit risk associated with commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers and is subject to normal credit policies. Since many of these commitments expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash flow requirements.

Following is a summary of off-balance sheet credit risk information:

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Commitments to extend credit | \$ 4,424,834 | \$ 3,781,719 |
| Standby letters of credit | 117,732 | 92,979 |

At December 31, 2016, funding of 78.1% of the commitments to extend credit was dependent on the financial condition of the customer. We have the ability to withdraw such commitments at our discretion. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Based on management's credit evaluation of the customer, collateral may be deemed necessary. Collateral requirements vary and may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment and income-producing commercial properties.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by us that may require payment at a future date. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is actively monitored through review of the historical performance of our portfolios.

In addition to the above commitments, debt issued by FNB Financial Services, LP, a wholly-owned finance subsidiary, is fully and unconditionally guaranteed by FNB.

Other Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary course of business, we are routinely named as defendants in, or made parties to, pending and potential legal actions. Also, as regulated entities, we are subject to governmental and regulatory examinations, information-gathering requests, investigations and proceedings (both formal and informal). Claims for significant monetary damages may be asserted in many of these types of legal actions, while claims for disgorgement, restitution, penalties and/or other remedial actions or sanctions may be sought in regulatory matters. It is inherently difficult to predict the eventual outcomes of such matters given their complexity and the particular facts and circumstances at issue in each of these matters. However, on the basis of current knowledge and understanding, and advice of counsel, we do not believe that judgments, sanctions, settlements or orders, if any, that may arise from these matters (either individually or in the aggregate, after giving effect to applicable reserves and insurance coverage) will have a material adverse effect on our financial position or liquidity, although they could have a material effect on net income in a given period. In view of the inherent unpredictability of outcomes in litigation and governmental and regulatory matters, particularly where (i) the damages sought are indeterminate, (ii) the proceedings are in the early stages, or (iii) the matters involve novel legal theories or a large number of parties, as a matter of course, there is considerable uncertainty surrounding the timing or ultimate resolution of litigation and governmental and regulatory matters, including a possible eventual loss, fine, penalty, business or adverse reputational impact, if any, associated with each

such matter. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, we establish accruals for litigation and governmental and regulatory matters when those matters proceed to a stage where they present loss contingencies that are both probable and reasonably estimable. In such cases, there may be a possible exposure to loss in excess of any

Table of Contents

amounts accrued. We will continue to monitor such matters for developments that could affect the amount of the accrual, and will adjust the accrual amount as appropriate. If the loss contingency in question is not both probable and reasonably estimable, we do not establish an accrual and the matter will continue to be monitored for any developments that would make the loss contingency both probable and reasonably estimable. We believe that our accruals for legal proceedings are appropriate and, in the aggregate, are not material to our consolidated financial position, although future accruals could have a material effect on net income in a given period.

15. STOCK INCENTIVE PLANS*Restricted Stock*

We issue restricted stock awards, consisting of both restricted stock and restricted stock units, to key employees under our Incentive Compensation Plans (Plans). Beginning in 2014, we issue time-based awards and performance-based awards under these Plans, both of which are based on a three-year vesting period. The grant date fair value of the time-based awards is equal to the price of our common stock on the grant date. The fair value of the performance-based awards is based on a Monte-Carlo Simulation valuation of our common stock as of the grant date.

We issued 277,174 and 400,436 performance-based restricted stock units in 2016 and 2015, respectively. Recipients will earn shares, totaling between 0% and 175% of the number of units issued, based on our total stockholder return relative to a specified peer group of financial institutions over the three-year period. These market-based restricted stock units are included in the table below as if the recipients earned shares equal to 100% of the units issued.

Prior to 2014, more than half of the restricted stock awards granted to management were earned if we met or exceeded certain financial performance results when compared to our peers. These performance-related awards were expensed ratably from the date that the likelihood of meeting the performance measure was probable through the end of a four-year vesting period. The service-based awards were expensed ratably over a three-year vesting period. We also issued discretionary service-based awards to certain employees that vested over five years.

The following table details our issuance of restricted stock awards and the aggregate weighted average grant date fair values under these plans for the years indicated. As of December 31, 2016, we had available up to 3,238,464 shares of common stock to issue under these Plans.

| (dollars in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Restricted stock awards | 574,125 | 664,337 | 387,165 |
| Weighted average grant date fair values | \$ 7,383 | \$ 8,802 | \$ 5,227 |

The unvested restricted stock awards are eligible to receive cash dividends or dividend equivalents which are ultimately used to purchase additional shares of stock and are subject to forfeiture if the requisite service period is not completed or the specified performance criteria are not met. These awards are subject to certain accelerated vesting provisions upon retirement, death, disability or in the event of a change of control as defined in the award agreements.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes certain information concerning restricted stock awards:

| | 2016 | Weighted Average Grant Price per Share | 2015 | Weighted Average Grant Price per Share | 2014 | Weighted Average Grant Price per Share |
|---|------------------|---|------------------|---|------------------|---|
| Unvested shares outstanding at beginning of year | 1,548,444 | \$ 12.85 | 1,354,093 | \$ 11.86 | 1,729,033 | \$ 10.23 |
| Granted | 574,125 | 12.86 | 664,337 | 13.25 | 387,165 | 13.50 |
| Net adjustment due to performance | 72,070 | 11.79 | 13,115 | 19.74 | (36,600) | 12.01 |
| Vested | (384,704) | 12.11 | (484,010) | 10.70 | (707,074) | 8.81 |
| Forfeited | (31,394) | 13.02 | (41,130) | 13.24 | (65,399) | 11.72 |
| Dividend reinvestment | 57,822 | 13.08 | 42,039 | 11.86 | 46,968 | 12.66 |
| Unvested shares outstanding at end of year | 1,836,363 | 12.97 | 1,548,444 | 12.85 | 1,354,093 | 11.86 |

The following table provides certain information related to restricted stock awards:

| Year Ended December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Stock-based compensation expense | \$ 7,066 | \$ 4,461 | \$ 3,584 |
| Tax benefit associated with compensation expense | 2,473 | 1,561 | 1,254 |
| Fair value of awards vested | 4,587 | 6,070 | 10,713 |

As of December 31, 2016, there was \$9.9 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock awards granted, \$0.4 million of which is subject to accelerated vesting under the plan's immediate vesting upon retirement provision for awards granted prior to the adoption of ASC 718. The components of the restricted stock awards as of December 31, 2016 are as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | Service- Based Awards | Performance- Based Awards | Total |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Unvested restricted stock awards | 839,106 | 997,257 | 1,836,363 |
| Unrecognized compensation expense | \$ 4,620 | \$ 5,279 | \$ 9,899 |
| Intrinsic value | \$ 13,451 | \$ 15,986 | \$ 29,437 |
| Weighted average remaining life (in years) | 1.87 | 1.97 | 1.92 |

Stock Options

All outstanding stock options were assumed from acquisitions and are fully vested. Upon consummation of our acquisitions, all outstanding stock options issued by the acquired companies were converted into equivalent FNB

stock options. We issue shares of treasury stock or authorized but unissued shares to satisfy stock options exercised.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the activity related to stock options during the periods indicated:

| | 2016 | Weighted Average Price per Share | 2015 | Weighted Average Price per Share | 2014 | Weighted Average Price per Share |
|---|----------------|--|----------------|--|----------------|--|
| Options outstanding at beginning of year | 435,340 | \$ 8.86 | 568,834 | \$ 8.86 | 533,524 | \$ 11.50 |
| Assumed from acquisitions | 1,707,036 | 7.83 | | | 805,507 | 7.39 |
| Exercised | (1,128,075) | 7.18 | (93,822) | 5.94 | (690,973) | 7.75 |
| Forfeited | (121,769) | 9.33 | (39,672) | 15.66 | (79,224) | 21.40 |
| Options outstanding and exercisable at end of year | 892,532 | 8.95 | 435,340 | 8.86 | 568,834 | 8.86 |

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2016:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Options Outstanding and Exercisable | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Years | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| \$3.45 - \$5.18 | 195,134 | 3.29 | \$ 4.88 |
| \$5.19 - \$7.78 | 140,179 | 3.65 | 6.82 |
| \$7.79 - \$11.68 | 337,780 | 4.91 | 10.06 |
| \$11.69 - \$13.54 | 219,439 | 0.73 | 12.23 |
| | 892,532 | | |

The intrinsic value of outstanding and exercisable stock options at December 31, 2016 was \$6.2 million.

The following table summarizes certain information relating to stock options exercised:

| Year Ended December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|----------|--------|----------|
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | \$ 7,816 | \$ 557 | \$ 3,292 |
| Tax benefit recognized from stock options exercised | 1,862 | 130 | 808 |
| Intrinsic value of stock options exercised | 6,577 | 693 | 3,289 |

Warrants

In conjunction with our participation in the UST's Capital Purchase Program (CPP), we issued to the UST a warrant to purchase up to 1,302,083 shares of our common stock. Pursuant to Section 13(H) of the Warrant to Purchase Common

Stock, the number of shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrant has been reduced in half to 651,042 shares as of June 16, 2009, the date we completed a public offering. The warrant, which expires in 2019, was sold at auction by the UST and has an exercise price of \$11.52 per share.

In conjunction with the ANNB acquisition on April 6, 2013, the warrant issued by ANNB to the UST under the CPP has been converted into a warrant to purchase up to 342,564 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$3.57 per share. Subsequent adjustments related to actual dividends paid by us have increased the share amount of these warrants to 389,724, with a resulting lower exercise price of \$3.14 per share as of December 31, 2016. The warrant, which was recorded at its fair value on April 6, 2013, was sold at auction by the UST and expires in 2019.

16. RETIREMENT PLANS

We sponsor the Retirement Income Plan (RIP), a qualified noncontributory defined benefit pension plan that covered substantially all salaried employees hired prior to January 1, 2008. The RIP covers employees who

Table of Contents

satisfied minimum age and length of service requirements. Our funding guideline has been to make annual contributions to the RIP each year, if necessary, such that minimum funding requirements have been met. The RIP was frozen as of December 31, 2010.

We also sponsor two supplemental non-qualified retirement plans. The ERISA Excess Retirement Plan provides retirement benefits equal to the difference, if any, between the maximum benefit allowable under the Internal Revenue Code and the amount that would be provided under the RIP, if no limits were applied. The Basic Retirement Plan (BRP) is applicable to certain officers whom the Board of Directors designates. Officers participating in the BRP receive a benefit based on a target benefit percentage based on years of service at retirement and a designated tier as determined by the Board of Directors. When a participant retires, the basic benefit under the BRP is a monthly benefit equal to the target benefit percentage times the participant's highest average monthly cash compensation during five consecutive calendar years within the last ten calendar years of employment. This monthly benefit was reduced by the monthly benefit the participant receives from Social Security, the RIP, the ERISA Excess Retirement Plan and the annuity equivalent of the previous three percent automatic contributions to the qualified 401(k) defined contribution plan and the ERISA Excess Lost Match Plan. The BRP was frozen as of December 31, 2008. The ERISA Excess Retirement Plan was frozen as of December 31, 2010.

The following tables provide information relating to the accumulated benefit obligation, change in benefit obligation, change in plan assets, the plans' funded status and the amount included in the consolidated balance sheets for the qualified and non-qualified plans described above (collectively, the Plans):

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 |
|--|--------------------|-------------|
| Accumulated benefit obligation | \$ 152,586 | \$ 150,754 |
| Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ 151,015 | \$ 156,924 |
| Service cost | (15) | (14) |
| Interest cost | 6,129 | 5,897 |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | 3,723 | (3,381) |
| Benefits paid | (7,936) | (8,411) |
| Projected benefit obligation at end of year | \$ 152,916 | \$ 151,015 |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | \$ 132,762 | \$ 140,140 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 10,787 | (331) |
| Corporation contribution | 1,345 | 1,364 |
| Benefits paid | (7,936) | (8,411) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | \$ 136,958 | \$ 132,762 |
| Funded status of plans | \$ (15,958) | \$ (18,253) |

The unrecognized actuarial loss, prior service cost and net transition obligation are required to be recognized into earnings over the average remaining participant life due to the freezing of the RIP, which may, on a net basis reduce future earnings.

Actuarial assumptions used in the determination of the projected benefit obligation in the Plans are as follows:

| Assumptions at December 31 | 2016 | 2015 |
|--|--------------|-------------|
| Weighted average discount rate | 3.96% | 4.19% |
| Rates of average increase in compensation levels | 3.50% | 3.50% |

The discount rate assumption at December 31, 2016 and 2015 was determined using a yield-curve based approach. A yield curve was produced for a universe containing the majority of U.S.-issued Aa-graded corporate

Table of Contents

bonds, all of which were non-callable (or callable with make-whole provisions), and after excluding the 10% of the bonds with the highest and lowest yields. The discount rate was developed as the level equivalent rate that would produce the same present value as that using spot rates aligned with the projected benefit payments.

The net periodic pension cost and other comprehensive income for the Plans included the following components:

| Year Ended December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Service cost | \$ (15) | \$ (14) | \$ 62 |
| Interest cost | 6,129 | 5,897 | 6,411 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (9,413) | (9,964) | (9,946) |
| Transition amount amortization | | | (21) |
| Prior service credit amortization | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Actuarial loss amortization | 2,383 | 2,112 | 1,367 |
| Net periodic pension income | (909) | (1,962) | (2,120) |
| Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income: | | | |
| Current year actuarial loss (gain) | 2,349 | 6,914 | 21,586 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | (2,383) | (2,112) | (1,367) |
| Amortization of prior service credit | (7) | (7) | (7) |
| Amortization of transition asset | | | 21 |
| Total amount recognized in other comprehensive income | (41) | 4,795 | 20,233 |
| Total amount recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income | \$ (950) | \$ 2,833 | \$ 18,113 |

The plans have an actuarial measurement date of December 31. Actuarial assumptions used in the determination of the net periodic pension cost in the Plans are as follows:

| Assumptions for the Year Ended December 31 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Weighted average discount rate | 4.19% | 3.85% | 4.67% |
| Rates of increase in compensation levels | 3.50% | 3.50% | 4.00% |
| Expected long-term rate of return on assets | 7.25% | 7.25% | 7.25% |

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets has been established by considering historical and anticipated expected returns on the asset classes invested in by the pension trust and the allocation strategy currently in place among those classes.

The change in plan assets reflects benefits paid from the qualified pension plans of \$6.6 million and \$7.0 million for 2016 and 2015, respectively. The employer did not make any contributions to the qualified pension plans during 2016 or 2015. For the non-qualified pension plans, the change in plan assets reflects benefits paid from and contributions made to the plans in the same amount. This amount represents the actual benefit payments paid from general plan assets of \$1.3 million for 2016 and \$1.4 million for 2015.

As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets for the qualified and non-qualified pension plans were as follows:

| (in thousands) December 31 | Qualified Pension Plans | | Non-Qualified Pension Plans | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Projected benefit obligation | \$ 132,902 | \$ 130,797 | \$ 20,014 | \$ 20,218 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | 132,902 | 130,797 | 19,684 | 19,957 |
| Fair value of plan assets | 136,958 | 132,762 | | |

Table of Contents

The impact of changes in the discount rate and expected long-term rate of return on plan assets would have had the following effects on 2016 pension expense:

| (in thousands) | Estimated Effect on Pension Expense |
|---|--|
| 0.5% decrease in the discount rate | \$ (24) |
| 0.5% decrease in the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets | 649 |

The following table provides information regarding estimated future cash flows relating to the Plans at December 31, 2016 (in thousands):

| | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Expected employer contributions: | 2017 | \$ 1,356 |
| Expected benefit payments: | 2017 | 7,631 |
| | 2018 | 8,305 |
| | 2019 | 8,532 |
| | 2020 | 8,739 |
| | 2021 | 9,011 |
| | 2022 2026 | 46,689 |

The qualified pension plan contributions are deposited into a trust and the qualified benefit payments are made from trust assets. For the non-qualified plans, the contributions and the benefit payments are the same and reflect expected benefit amounts, which we pay from general assets.

Our subsidiaries participate in a qualified 401(k) defined contribution plan under which employees may contribute a percentage of their salary. Employees are eligible to participate upon their first day of employment. Under this plan, we match 100% of the first six percent that the employee defers. Additionally, we may provide a performance-based company contribution of up to three percent if we exceed annual financial goals. Our contribution expense is presented in the following table:

| Year Ended December 31 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| (in thousands) | | | |
| 401(k) contribution expense | \$ 9,069 | \$ 8,055 | \$ 10,188 |

We also sponsor an ERISA Excess Lost Match Plan for certain officers. This plan provides retirement benefits equal to the difference, if any, between the maximum benefit allowable under the Internal Revenue Code and the amount that would have been provided under the qualified 401(k) defined contribution plan, if no limits were applied.

Pension Plan Investment Policy and Strategy

Our investment strategy for the RIP is to diversify plan assets between a wide mix of equity and debt securities in an effort to allow the plan the opportunity to meet the plan's expected long-term rate of return requirements while minimizing short-term volatility. In this regard, the plan has targeted allocations within the equity securities category

for domestic large cap, domestic mid cap, domestic small cap, real estate investment trusts, emerging market and international securities. Within the debt securities category, the plan has targeted allocation levels for U.S. Treasury, U.S. agency, domestic investment-grade bonds, high-yield bonds, inflation-protected securities and international bonds.

Table of Contents

The following table presents asset allocations for our pension plans as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the target allocation for 2017, by asset category:

| December 31 Asset Category | Target | Percentage of Plan Assets | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| | Allocation 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Equity securities | 45 - 65% | 61% | 57% |
| Debt securities | 30 - 50 | 35 | 39 |
| Cash equivalents | 0 - 10 | 4 | 4 |

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, equity securities included 575,128 shares of our common stock, representing 6.7% and 5.8% of total plan assets at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Dividends received on our common stock held by the Plan were \$276,000 for both 2016 and 2015.

The fair values of our pension plan assets by asset category are as follows:

| (in thousands) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|---|-------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| December 31, 2016 | | | | |
| Asset Class | | | | |
| Cash | \$ 5,125 | \$ | | \$ 5,125 |
| Equity securities: | | | | |
| F.N.B. Corporation | 9,219 | | | 9,219 |
| Other large-cap U.S. financial services companies | 2,999 | | | 2,999 |
| Other large-cap U.S. companies | 36,570 | | | 36,570 |
| International companies | 718 | | | 718 |
| Other equity | 596 | | | 596 |
| Mutual fund equity investments: | | | | |
| U.S. equity index funds: | | | | |
| U.S. large-cap equity index funds | 559 | | | 559 |
| U.S. small-cap equity index funds | 3,035 | | | 3,035 |
| U.S. mid-cap equity index funds | 3,795 | | | 3,795 |
| Non-U.S. equities growth fund | 9,555 | | | 9,555 |
| U.S. equity funds: | | | | |
| U.S. mid-cap | 7,615 | | | 7,615 |
| U.S. small-cap | 3,173 | | | 3,173 |
| Other | 5,928 | | | 5,928 |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | |
| U.S. government agencies | | 36,891 | | 36,891 |
| Fixed income mutual funds: | | | | |
| U.S. investment-grade fixed income securities | 10,766 | | | 10,766 |
| Non-U.S. fixed income securities | 414 | | | 414 |
| Total | \$ 100,067 | \$ 36,891 | | \$ 136,958 |

Table of Contents

| (in thousands) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|---|------------------|------------------|---------|-------------------|
| December 31, 2015 | | | | |
| Asset Class | | | | |
| Cash | \$ 4,847 | \$ | | \$ 4,847 |
| Equity securities: | | | | |
| F.N.B. Corporation | 7,672 | | | 7,672 |
| Other large-cap U.S. financial services companies | 2,541 | | | 2,541 |
| Other large-cap U.S. companies | 33,387 | | | 33,387 |
| International companies | 521 | | | 521 |
| Other equity | 596 | | | 596 |
| Mutual fund equity investments: | | | | |
| U.S. equity index funds: | | | | |
| U.S. large-cap equity index funds | 511 | | | 511 |
| U.S. small-cap equity index funds | 2,607 | | | 2,607 |
| U.S. mid-cap equity index funds | 3,464 | | | 3,464 |
| Non-U.S. equities growth fund | 9,613 | | | 9,613 |
| U.S. equity funds: | | | | |
| U.S. mid-cap | 6,989 | | | 6,989 |
| U.S. small-cap | 2,679 | | | 2,679 |
| Other | 5,540 | | | 5,540 |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | |
| U.S. government agencies | | 40,735 | | 40,735 |
| Fixed income mutual funds: | | | | |
| U.S. investment-grade fixed income securities | 10,648 | | | 10,648 |
| Non-U.S. fixed income securities | 412 | | | 412 |
| Total | \$ 92,027 | \$ 40,735 | | \$ 132,762 |

The classifications for Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3 are discussed in Note 23, Fair Value Measurements.

17. INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense, allocated based on a separate tax return basis, consists of the following:

| Year Ended December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Current income taxes: | | | |
| Federal taxes | \$ 57,894 | \$ 69,572 | \$ 18,111 |
| State taxes | 2,329 | 989 | 396 |
| Total current income taxes | 60,223 | 70,561 | 18,507 |
| Deferred income taxes: | | | |
| Federal taxes | 14,983 | 63 | 44,113 |
| State taxes | 291 | (631) | |
| Total deferred income taxes | 15,274 | (568) | 44,113 |

| | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Total income taxes | \$ 75,497 | \$ 69,993 | \$ 62,620 |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|

Income tax expense related to gains on the sale of securities is presented in the following table:

| Year Ended December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Income tax expense related to gains on sale of securities | \$ 249 | \$ 288 | \$ 4,101 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents the tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities:

| December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 |
|---|------------------|-------------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Allowance for credit losses | \$ 56,090 | \$ 50,414 |
| Discount on acquired loans | 48,978 | 28,503 |
| Net operating loss/tax credit carryforwards | 17,753 | 16,487 |
| Deferred compensation | 12,236 | 8,515 |
| Securities impairments | | 252 |
| Pension and other defined benefit plans | 7,713 | 8,539 |
| Net unrealized securities losses | 6,972 | 1,301 |
| Other | 11,264 | 8,020 |
| Total | 161,006 | 122,031 |
| Valuation allowance | (18,945) | (17,179) |
| Total deferred tax assets | 142,061 | 104,852 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Loan costs | (2,222) | (2,966) |
| Depreciation | (12,392) | (10,836) |
| Prepaid expenses | (682) | (628) |
| Amortizable intangibles | (18,506) | (12,940) |
| Lease financing | (5,538) | (6,763) |
| Debt discharge income deferral | (1,361) | (2,042) |
| Originated mortgage servicing rights | (748) | (1,238) |
| Fair value adjustments on securities | (86) | |
| Other | (1,253) | (1,928) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (42,788) | (39,341) |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ 99,273 | \$ 65,511 |

We establish a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that we will not be able to realize the benefit of the deferred tax assets or when future deductibility is uncertain. Periodically, the valuation allowance is reviewed and adjusted based on management's assessment of realizable deferred tax assets. At December 31, 2015, we reversed \$1.9 million of the valuation allowance recorded against state deferred taxes due to expansion of operations in the state of Maryland as a result of recent mergers. The remaining balance of the valuation allowance primarily relates to unused state net operating loss carryforwards expiring from 2018 to 2036 and other net deferred tax assets. We anticipate that neither the state net operating loss carryforwards nor the other net deferred tax assets at certain of our subsidiaries will be utilized and, as such, have recorded a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets related to these carryforwards.

The effective tax rates for 2016, 2015 and 2014 were all lower than the statutory tax rate due to tax benefits resulting from tax-exempt income on investments and loans, tax credits and income from BOLI.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a reconciliation between the statutory tax rate and the actual effective tax rate:

| Year Ended December 31 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Statutory tax rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| State taxes, net of federal benefit | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| Valuation allowance reversal | | (0.8) | |
| Tax-exempt interest | (2.9) | (2.2) | (1.8) |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance | (1.5) | (1.3) | (1.3) |
| Tax credits | (0.9) | (1.1) | (1.4) |
| Other items | 0.2 | 0.1 | (0.3) |
| Actual effective tax rate | 30.6% | 30.5% | 30.3% |

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits (excluding interest and the federal income tax benefit of unrecognized state tax benefits) is as follows:

| Year Ended December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 455 | \$ 401 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to current year | 163 | 134 |
| Additions based on tax positions of prior year | | |
| Reductions for tax positions of prior years | | |
| Reductions due to expiration of statute of limitations | (76) | (80) |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 542 | \$ 455 |

As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, we have approximately \$0.5 million of unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and the federal tax benefit of unrecognized state tax benefits. Also, as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, additional unrecognized tax benefits relating to accrued interest, net of the related federal tax benefit, amounted to \$19,000 and \$18,000 respectively. As of December 31, 2016, \$0.4 million of these tax benefits would affect the effective tax rate if recognized. We recognize potential accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. To the extent interest is not assessed with respect to uncertain tax positions, amounts accrued will be reduced and reflected as a reduction of the overall income tax provision.

We file numerous income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and in several state jurisdictions. We are no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax examinations for years prior to 2013. With limited exception, we are no longer subject to state income tax examinations for years prior to 2013. We anticipate that a reduction in the unrecognized tax benefit of up to \$56,000 may occur in the next twelve months from the expiration of statutes of limitations which would result in a reduction in income taxes.

Table of Contents**18. OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

The following table presents changes in AOCI, net of tax, by component:

| (in thousands) | Unrealized Net Gains (Losses) on Securities Available for Sale | Unrealized Gains on Derivative Instruments | Unrecognized Pension and Postretirement Obligations | Total |
|---|---|---|--|--------------------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ (3,873) | \$ 1,440 | \$ (48,700) | \$ (51,133) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications | (13,886) | 5,086 | 299 | (8,501) |
| Amounts reclassified from AOCI | (463) | (1,272) | | (1,735) |
| Net current period other comprehensive income (loss) | (14,349) | 3,814 | 299 | (10,236) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ (18,222) | \$ 5,254 | \$ (48,401) | \$ (61,369) |

The amounts reclassified from AOCI related to securities available for sale are included in net securities gains on the consolidated income statements, while the amounts reclassified from AOCI related to derivative instruments are included in interest income on loans and leases on the consolidated income statements.

The tax (benefit) expense amounts reclassified from AOCI in connection with the securities available for sale and derivative instruments reclassifications are included in income taxes on the consolidated income statements.

19. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share:

| Year Ended December 31 (dollars in thousands, except per share data) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Net income | \$ 170,891 | \$ 159,649 | \$ 144,050 |
| Less: Preferred stock dividends | 8,041 | 8,041 | 8,352 |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ 162,850 | \$ 151,608 | \$ 135,698 |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding | 206,244,498 | 174,971,785 | 167,347,906 |
| Net effect of dilutive stock options, warrants and restricted stock | 1,524,111 | 1,367,168 | 1,730,939 |
| | 207,768,609 | 176,338,953 | 169,078,845 |

**Diluted weighted average common shares
outstanding****Earnings per common share:**

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|----|-------------|----|------|----|------|
| Basic | \$ | 0.79 | \$ | 0.87 | \$ | 0.81 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.78 | \$ | 0.86 | \$ | 0.80 |

The following table shows the average shares excluded from the above calculation as their effect would have been anti-dilutive:

| Year Ended December 31 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Average shares excluded from the diluted earnings per common share calculation | 9,980 | 18,167 | 35,442 |

Table of Contents**20. REGULATORY MATTERS**

FNB and FNBPA are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Quantitative measures established by regulators to ensure capital adequacy require FNB and FNBPA to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total, tier 1 and common equity tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined) and of leverage ratio (as defined). Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on our consolidated financial statements, dividends and future merger and acquisition activity. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, FNB and FNBPA must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. FNB's and FNBPA's capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

As of December 31, 2016, the most recent notification from the federal banking agencies categorized FNB and FNBPA as well-capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. There are no conditions or events since the notification which management believes have changed this categorization.

Following are the capital ratios for FNB and FNBPA:

| (dollars in thousands) | Actual | | Well-Capitalized Requirements | | Minimum Capital Requirements | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| As of December 31, 2016 | | | | | | |
| F.N.B. Corporation: | | | | | | |
| Total capital | \$ 1,917,386 | 12.0% | \$ 1,597,951 | 10.0% | \$ 1,378,232 | 8.6% |
| Tier 1 capital | 1,582,251 | 9.9 | 1,278,360 | 8.0 | 1,058,642 | 6.6 |
| Common equity tier 1 | 1,475,369 | 9.2 | 1,038,668 | 6.5 | 818,950 | 5.1 |
| Leverage | 1,582,251 | 7.7 | 1,027,831 | 5.0 | 822,265 | 4.0 |
| FNBPA: | | | | | | |
| Total capital | 1,768,561 | 11.1 | 1,588,989 | 10.0 | 1,370,503 | 8.6 |
| Tier 1 capital | 1,614,167 | 10.2 | 1,271,191 | 8.0 | 1,052,705 | 6.6 |
| Common equity tier 1 | 1,534,167 | 9.7 | 1,032,843 | 6.5 | 814,357 | 5.1 |
| Leverage | 1,614,167 | 7.9 | 1,019,034 | 5.0 | 815,227 | 4.0 |
| As of December 31, 2015 | | | | | | |
| F.N.B. Corporation: | | | | | | |
| Total capital | \$ 1,629,270 | 12.8% | \$ 1,275,939 | 10.0% | \$ 1,020,751 | 8.0% |
| Tier 1 capital | 1,321,972 | 10.4 | 1,020,751 | 8.0 | 765,563 | 6.0 |
| Common equity tier 1 | 1,200,715 | 9.4 | 829,360 | 6.5 | 574,172 | 4.5 |
| Leverage | 1,321,972 | 8.1 | 811,553 | 5.0 | 649,243 | 4.0 |
| FNBPA: | | | | | | |
| Total capital | 1,426,284 | 11.3 | 1,265,990 | 10.0 | 1,012,792 | 8.0 |
| Tier 1 capital | 1,289,965 | 10.2 | 1,012,792 | 8.0 | 759,594 | 6.0 |
| Common equity tier 1 | 1,209,965 | 9.6 | 822,893 | 6.5 | 569,695 | 4.5 |
| Leverage | 1,289,965 | 8.0 | 803,041 | 5.0 | 642,433 | 4.0 |

Beginning in 2016, the minimum capital requirements reflect the phase-in of the capital conservation buffer, in accordance with Basel III standards. The minimum capital requirements presented for December 31, 2015 are based on the regulations that were in effect at that time.

FNBPA was required to maintain aggregate cash reserves with the FRB amounting to \$17.0 million at December 31, 2016. We also maintain deposits for various services such as check clearing.

Table of Contents

Certain limitations exist under applicable law and regulations by regulatory agencies regarding dividend distributions to a parent by our subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2016, our subsidiaries had \$202.9 million of retained earnings available for distribution to us without prior regulatory approval.

Under current FRB regulations, FNBPA is limited in the amount it may lend to non-bank affiliates, including FNB. Such loans must be secured by specified collateral. In addition, any such loans to a non-bank affiliate may not exceed 10% of FNBPA's capital and surplus and the aggregate of loans to all such affiliates may not exceed 20% of FNBPA's capital and surplus. The maximum amount that may be borrowed by FNB affiliates under these provisions was \$302.7 million at December 31, 2016.

21. CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Following is a summary of cash flow information:

| Year Ended December 31 (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Interest paid on deposits and other borrowings | \$ 67,296 | \$ 47,805 | \$ 43,057 |
| Income taxes paid | 60,000 | 61,500 | 27,000 |
| Transfers of loans to other real estate owned | 14,592 | 9,628 | 16,535 |
| Transfers of other real estate owned to loans | 441 | 372 | 390 |

Supplemental non-cash information relating to our acquisitions is included in Note 3, Mergers and Acquisitions.

22. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We operate in four reportable segments: Community Banking, Wealth Management, Insurance and Consumer Finance.

The Community Banking segment provides commercial and consumer banking services. Commercial banking solutions include corporate banking, small business banking, investment real estate financing, asset based lending, capital markets and lease financing. Consumer banking products and services include deposit products, mortgage lending, consumer lending and a complete suite of mobile and online banking services.

The Wealth Management segment provides a broad range of personal and corporate fiduciary services including the administration of decedent and trust estates. In addition, it offers various alternative products, including securities brokerage and investment advisory services, mutual funds and annuities.

The Insurance segment includes a full-service insurance agency offering all lines of commercial and personal insurance through major carriers. The Insurance segment also includes a reinsurer.

The Consumer Finance segment primarily makes installment loans to individuals and purchases installment sales finance contracts from retail merchants. The Consumer Finance segment activity is funded through the sale of subordinated notes, which are issued by a wholly-owned subsidiary and guaranteed by us.

The following tables provide financial information for these segments of FNB. The information provided under the caption "Parent and Other" represents operations not considered to be reportable segments and/or general

Table of Contents

operating expenses of FNB, and includes the parent company, other non-bank subsidiaries and eliminations and adjustments to reconcile to the consolidated financial statements.

| (in thousands) | Community Banking | Wealth Manage- ment | Insurance | Consumer Finance | Parent and Other | Consolidated |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| At or for the Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 629,700 | \$ | \$ 84 | \$ 40,922 | \$ 8,257 | \$ 678,963 |
| Interest expense | 56,182 | | | 3,759 | 7,510 | 67,451 |
| Net interest income | 573,518 | | 84 | 37,163 | 747 | 611,512 |
| Provision for credit losses | 47,386 | | | 6,706 | 1,660 | 55,752 |
| Non-interest income | 151,149 | 35,283 | 14,750 | 3,002 | (2,423) | 201,761 |
| Non-interest expense (1) | 435,089 | 27,201 | 12,965 | 21,662 | 3,006 | 499,923 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 10,526 | 259 | 425 | | | 11,210 |
| Income taxes (benefit) | 70,756 | 2,845 | 532 | 4,488 | (3,124) | 75,497 |
| Net income (loss) | 160,910 | 4,978 | 912 | 7,309 | (3,218) | 170,891 |
| Total assets | 21,659,634 | 19,619 | 22,053 | 193,349 | (49,838) | 21,844,817 |
| Total intangibles | 1,075,118 | 10,189 | 12,340 | 1,809 | | 1,099,456 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|------------|--------|--------|-----------|----------|------------|
| At or for the Year Ended December 31, 2015 | | | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 500,030 | \$ | \$ 89 | \$ 39,868 | \$ 6,808 | \$ 546,795 |
| Interest expense | 41,227 | | | 3,518 | 3,828 | 48,573 |
| Net interest income | 458,803 | | 89 | 36,350 | 2,980 | 498,222 |
| Provision for credit losses | 32,125 | | | 7,396 | 920 | 40,441 |
| Non-interest income | 116,141 | 35,246 | 13,052 | 2,926 | (4,955) | 162,410 |
| Non-interest expense (1) | 319,923 | 27,264 | 13,891 | 20,189 | 977 | 382,244 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 7,544 | 273 | 488 | | | 8,305 |
| Income taxes (benefit) | 65,071 | 2,803 | (412) | 4,709 | (2,178) | 69,993 |
| Net income (loss) | 150,281 | 4,906 | (826) | 6,982 | (1,694) | 159,649 |
| Total assets | 17,374,384 | 20,753 | 22,207 | 195,048 | (54,730) | 17,557,662 |
| Total intangibles | 853,551 | 10,447 | 12,923 | 1,809 | | 878,730 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|------------|--------|--------|-----------|----------|------------|
| At or for the Year Ended December 31, 2014 | | | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 463,376 | \$ | \$ 98 | \$ 38,914 | \$ 6,595 | \$ 508,983 |
| Interest expense | 36,318 | | | 3,352 | 3,016 | 42,686 |
| Net interest income | 427,058 | | 98 | 35,562 | 3,579 | 466,297 |
| Provision for credit losses | 30,872 | | | 6,920 | 856 | 38,648 |
| Non-interest income | 115,858 | 31,497 | 13,598 | 2,919 | (5,598) | 158,274 |

Edgar Filing: FNB CORP/PA/ - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------|--------|--------|---------|----------|------------|
| Non-interest expense (1) | 311,834 | 25,338 | 11,558 | 19,692 | 1,114 | 369,536 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 9,025 | 288 | 404 | | | 9,717 |
| Income taxes (benefit) | 57,634 | 2,135 | 625 | 4,430 | (2,204) | 62,620 |
| Net income (loss) | 133,551 | 3,736 | 1,109 | 7,439 | (1,785) | 144,050 |
| Total assets | 15,944,040 | 20,877 | 19,222 | 187,796 | (44,845) | 16,127,090 |
| Total intangibles | 857,066 | 10,720 | 10,122 | 1,809 | | 879,717 |

(1) Excludes amortization of intangibles, which is presented separately.

Table of Contents**23. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

We use fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain financial assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. Securities available for sale and derivatives are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, from time to time, we may be required to record at fair value other assets on a non-recurring basis, such as mortgage loans held for sale, certain impaired loans, OREO and certain other assets.

Fair value is defined as an exit price, representing the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value measurements are not adjusted for transaction costs. Fair value is a market-based measure considered from the perspective of a market participant who holds the asset or owes the liability rather than an entity-specific measure.

In determining fair value, we use various valuation approaches, including market, income and cost approaches. ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, which are developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of FNB. Unobservable inputs reflect our assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, which are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances.

The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurement). The fair value hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the reliability of inputs as follows:

| Measurement Category | Definition |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Level 1 | valuation is based upon unadjusted quoted market prices for identical instruments traded in active markets. |
| Level 2 | valuation is based upon quoted market prices for similar instruments traded in active markets, quoted market prices for identical or similar instruments traded in markets that are not active and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market or can be corroborated by market data. |
| Level 3 | valuation is derived from other valuation methodologies including discounted cash flow models and similar techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in determining fair value. |

A financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies we use for financial instruments recorded at fair value on either a recurring or non-recurring basis:

Securities Available For Sale

Securities available for sale consist of both debt and equity securities. These securities are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. At December 31, 2016, 99.9% of these securities used valuation methodologies involving market-based or market-derived information, collectively Level 1 and Level 2 measurements, to measure fair value. The remaining 0.1% of these securities were measured using model-based techniques, with primarily unobservable (Level 3) inputs.

Table of Contents

We closely monitor market conditions involving assets that have become less actively traded. If the fair value measurement is based upon recent observable market activity of such assets or comparable assets (other than forced or distressed transactions) that occur in sufficient volume, and do not require significant adjustment using unobservable inputs, those assets are classified as Level 1 or Level 2; if not, they are classified as Level 3. Making this assessment requires significant judgment.

We use prices from independent pricing services and, to a lesser extent, indicative (non-binding) quotes from independent brokers, to measure the fair value of investment securities. We validate prices received from pricing services or brokers using a variety of methods, including, but not limited to, comparison to secondary pricing services, corroboration of pricing by reference to other independent market data such as secondary broker quotes and relevant benchmark indices, and review of pricing information by corporate personnel familiar with market liquidity and other market-related conditions.

Derivative Financial Instruments

We determine fair value for derivatives using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects contractual terms of the derivative, including the period to maturity and uses observable market based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities.

We incorporate credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both our own non-performance risk and the respective counterparty's non-performance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of our derivative contracts for the effect of non-performance risk, we consider the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts and guarantees.

Although we have determined that the majority of the inputs used to value our derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with our derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of our default and the default of our counterparties. However, as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, we have assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of our derivative positions and have determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of our derivatives. As a result, we have determined that our derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Residential Mortgage Loans Held For Sale

These loans are carried at the lower of cost or fair value. Under lower of cost or fair value accounting, periodically, it may be necessary to record non-recurring fair value adjustments. Fair value, when recorded, is based on independent quoted market prices and is classified as Level 2.

Impaired Loans

We reserve for commercial loan relationships greater than or equal to \$500,000 that we consider impaired as defined in ASC 310 at the time we identify the loan as impaired based upon the present value of expected future cash flows available to pay the loan, or based upon the fair value of the collateral less estimated selling costs where a loan is collateral dependent. Collateral may be real estate and/or business assets including equipment, inventory and accounts receivable.

We determine the fair value of real estate based on appraisals by licensed or certified appraisers. The value of business assets is generally based on amounts reported on the business financial statements. Management must rely on the financial statements prepared and certified by the borrower or their accountants in determining the value of these business assets on an ongoing basis, which may be subject to significant change over time. Based on the quality of information or statements provided, management may require the use of business asset

Table of Contents

appraisals and site-inspections to better value these assets. We may discount appraised and reported values based on management's historical knowledge, changes in market conditions from the time of valuation or management's knowledge of the borrower and the borrower's business. Since not all valuation inputs are observable, we classify these non-recurring fair value determinations as Level 2 or Level 3 based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

We review and evaluate impaired loans no less frequently than quarterly for additional impairment based on the same factors identified above.

Other Real Estate Owned

OREO is comprised principally of commercial and residential real estate properties obtained in partial or total satisfaction of loan obligations. OREO acquired in settlement of indebtedness is recorded at the lower of carrying amount of the loan or fair value less costs to sell. Subsequently, these assets are carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value less costs to sell. Accordingly, it may be necessary to record non-recurring fair value adjustments. Fair value is generally based upon appraisals by licensed or certified appraisers and other market information and is classified as Level 2 or Level 3.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

| (in thousands) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|--|---------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| December 31, 2016 | | | | |
| <u>Assets Measured at Fair Value</u> | | | | |
| Debt securities available for sale | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ | \$ 29,953 | \$ | \$ 29,953 |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities | | 365,098 | | 365,098 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | | | | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities | | 1,252,798 | | 1,252,798 |
| Agency collateralized mortgage obligations | | 535,974 | | 535,974 |
| Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations | | 3 | 894 | 897 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | | 1,291 | | 1,291 |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions | | 35,849 | | 35,849 |
| Other debt securities | | 9,487 | | 9,487 |
| Total debt securities available for sale | | 2,230,453 | 894 | 2,231,347 |
| Equity securities available for sale | | | | |
| Financial services industry | | | 492 | 492 |
| Insurance services industry | 148 | | | 148 |
| Total equity securities available for sale | 148 | | 492 | 640 |
| Total securities available for sale | 148 | 2,230,453 | 1,386 | 2,231,987 |
| Derivative financial instruments | | | | |
| Trading | | 44,951 | | 44,951 |
| Not for trading | | 9,269 | | 9,269 |
| Total derivative financial instruments | | 54,220 | | 54,220 |
| Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis | \$ 148 | \$ 2,284,673 | \$ 1,386 | \$ 2,286,207 |
| <u>Liabilities Measured at Fair Value</u> | | | | |
| Derivative financial instruments | | | | |
| Trading | | \$ 45,973 | | \$ 45,973 |
| Not for trading | | 1,294 | | 1,294 |
| Total derivative financial instruments | | 47,267 | | 47,267 |
| Total liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis | | \$ 47,267 | | \$ 47,267 |

Table of Contents

| (in thousands) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|--|---------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| December 31, 2015 | | | | |
| <u>Assets Measured at Fair Value</u> | | | | |
| Debt securities available for sale | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ | \$ 29,796 | \$ | \$ 29,796 |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities | | 367,994 | | 367,994 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | | | | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities | | 704,831 | | 704,831 |
| Agency collateralized mortgage obligations | | 495,830 | | 495,830 |
| Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations | | 6 | 1,184 | 1,190 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | | 4,287 | | 4,287 |
| States of the U.S. and political subdivisions | | 11,057 | | 11,057 |
| Other debt securities | | 14,286 | | 14,286 |
| Total debt securities available for sale | | 1,628,087 | 1,184 | 1,629,271 |
| Equity securities available for sale | | | | |
| Financial services industry | 97 | 632 | 439 | 1,168 |
| Insurance services industry | 128 | | | 128 |
| Total equity securities available for sale | 225 | 632 | 439 | 1,296 |
| Total securities available for sale | 225 | 1,628,719 | 1,623 | 1,630,567 |
| Derivative financial instruments | | | | |
| Trading | | 50,017 | | 50,017 |
| Not for trading | | 3,185 | | 3,185 |
| Total derivative financial instruments | | 53,202 | | 53,202 |
| Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis | \$ 225 | \$ 1,681,921 | \$ 1,623 | \$ 1,683,769 |
| <u>Liabilities Measured at Fair Value</u> | | | | |
| Derivative financial instruments | | | | |
| Trading | | \$ 50,510 | | \$ 50,510 |
| Not for trading | | 1,095 | | 1,095 |
| Total derivative financial instruments | | 51,605 | | 51,605 |
| Total liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis | | \$ 51,605 | | \$ 51,605 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents additional information about assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and for which we have utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value:

| (in thousands) | Equity Securities | Residential Non-Agency Collateralized Mortgage Obligations | Total |
|--|----------------------|--|-----------------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2016 | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 439 | \$ 1,184 | \$ 1,623 |
| Total gains (losses) realized/unrealized: | | | |
| Included in earnings | | | |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 53 | (7) | 46 |
| Accretion included in earnings | | 6 | 6 |
| Purchases, issuances, sales and settlements: | | | |
| Purchases | | | |
| Issuances | | | |
| Sales/redemptions | | | |
| Settlements | | (289) | (289) |
| Transfers from Level 3 | | | |
| Transfers into Level 3 | | | |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 492 | \$ 894 | \$ 1,386 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2015 | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 475 | \$ 1,420 | \$ 1,895 |
| Total gains (losses) realized/unrealized: | | | |
| Included in earnings | | | |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 20 | (4) | 16 |
| Accretion included in earnings | | 5 | 5 |
| Purchases, issuances, sales and settlements: | | | |
| Purchases | | | |
| Issuances | | | |
| Sales/redemptions | | | |
| Settlements | | (237) | (237) |
| Transfers from Level 3 | (56) | | (56) |
| Transfers into Level 3 | | | |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 439 | \$ 1,184 | \$ 1,623 |

We review fair value hierarchy classifications on a quarterly basis. Changes in the observability of the valuation attributes may result in reclassification of certain financial assets or liabilities. Such reclassifications are reported as transfers in/out of Level 3 at fair value at the beginning of the period in which the changes occur. See the Securities Available for Sale discussion within this footnote for information relating to determining Level 3 fair values. There were no transfers of assets or liabilities between the hierarchy levels for 2016. During 2015, we transferred an equity security totaling \$56,000 to non-marketable equity securities, reflected in other assets on the consolidated balance

sheets.

For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, there were no gains or losses included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held as of those dates. The total gains included in earnings are in the net securities gains line item in the consolidated statements of income.

In accordance with GAAP, from time to time, we measure certain assets at fair value on a non-recurring basis. These adjustments to fair value usually result from the application of lower of cost or fair value accounting or

Table of Contents

write-downs of individual assets. Valuation methodologies used to measure these fair value adjustments were previously described. For assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis still held at the balance sheet date, the following table provides the hierarchy level and the fair value of the related assets or portfolios:

| (in thousands) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| December 31, 2016 | | | | |
| Impaired loans | | \$ 500 | \$ 5,883 | \$ 6,383 |
| Other real estate owned | | 11,017 | 3,181 | 14,198 |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | |
| Impaired loans | | \$ 124 | \$ 3,704 | \$ 3,828 |
| Other real estate owned | | 5,705 | 2,126 | 7,831 |

Substantially all of the fair value amounts in the table above were estimated at a date during the twelve months ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. Consequently, the fair value information presented is not as of the period's end.

Impaired loans measured or re-measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis during 2016 had a carrying amount of \$9.5 million and an allocated allowance for credit losses of \$3.2 million at December 31, 2016. The allocated allowance is based on fair value of \$6.4 million less estimated costs to sell of \$0.1 million. The allowance for credit losses includes a provision applicable to the current period fair value measurements of \$2.3 million, which was included in the provision for credit losses for 2016.

OREO with a carrying amount of \$16.0 million was written down to \$12.5 million (fair value of \$14.2 million less estimated costs to sell of \$1.7 million), resulting in a loss of \$3.5 million, which was included in earnings for 2016.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each financial instrument:

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Accrued Interest Receivable and Accrued Interest Payable. For these short-term instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Securities. For both securities available for sale and securities held to maturity, fair value equals the quoted market price from an active market, if available, and is classified within Level 1. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices for similar securities or pricing models, and is classified as Level 2. Where there is limited market activity or significant valuation inputs are unobservable, securities are classified within Level 3. Under current market conditions, assumptions used to determine the fair value of Level 3 securities have greater subjectivity due to the lack of observable market transactions.

Loans. The fair value of fixed rate loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities less an illiquidity discount. The fair value of variable and adjustable rate loans approximates the carrying amount. Due to the significant judgment involved in evaluating credit quality, loans are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Derivative Assets and Liabilities. See the Derivative Financial Instruments discussion included within this footnote.

Deposits. The estimated fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts and certain money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date because of the customers ability to withdraw funds immediately. The fair value of fixed-maturity deposits is estimated by discounting future cash flows using rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Table of Contents

Short-Term Borrowings. The carrying amounts for short-term borrowings approximate fair value for amounts that mature in 90 days or less. The fair value of subordinated notes is estimated by discounting future cash flows using rates currently offered.

Long-Term Borrowings. The fair value of long-term borrowings is estimated by discounting future cash flows based on the market prices for the same or similar issues or on the current rates offered to us for debt of the same remaining maturities.

Loan Commitments and Standby Letters of Credit. Estimates of the fair value of these off-balance sheet items were not made because of the short-term nature of these arrangements and the credit standing of the counterparties. Also, unfunded loan commitments relate principally to variable rate commercial loans, typically are non-binding, and fees are not normally assessed on these balances.

Nature of Estimates. Many of the estimates presented herein are based upon the use of highly subjective information and assumptions and, accordingly, the results may not be precise. Management believes that fair value estimates may not be comparable to other financial institutions due to the wide range of permitted valuation techniques and numerous estimates which must be made. Further, because the disclosed fair value amounts were estimated as of the balance sheet date, the amounts actually realized or paid upon maturity or settlement of the various financial instruments could be significantly different.

Table of Contents

The fair values of our financial instruments are as follows:

| (in thousands) | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Fair Value Measurements | | |
|---|--------------------|------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------|
| | | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| December 31, 2016 | | | | | |
| Financial Assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 371,407 | \$ 371,407 | \$ 371,407 | \$ | \$ |
| Securities available for sale | 2,231,987 | 2,231,987 | 148 | 2,230,453 | 1,386 |
| Securities held to maturity | 2,337,342 | 2,294,777 | | 2,293,091 | 1,686 |
| Net loans and leases, including loans held for sale | 14,750,792 | 14,446,274 | | | 14,464,274 |
| Derivative assets | 54,220 | 54,220 | | 54,220 | |
| Accrued interest receivable | 58,712 | 58,712 | 58,712 | | |
| Financial Liabilities | | | | | |
| Deposits | 16,065,647 | 16,045,323 | 13,489,152 | 2,556,171 | |
| Short-term borrowings | 2,503,010 | 2,503,277 | 2,503,277 | | |
| Long-term borrowings | 539,494 | 536,088 | | | 536,088 |
| Derivative liabilities | 47,267 | 47,267 | | 47,267 | |
| Accrued interest payable | 7,612 | 7,612 | 7,612 | | |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | | |
| Financial Assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 489,119 | \$ 489,119 | \$ 489,119 | \$ | \$ |
| Securities available for sale | 1,630,567 | 1,630,567 | 225 | 1,628,719 | 1,623 |
| Securities held to maturity | 1,637,061 | 1,643,416 | | 1,640,721 | 2,695 |
| Net loans and leases, including loans held for sale | 12,053,209 | 11,863,882 | | | 11,863,882 |
| Derivative assets | 53,202 | 53,202 | | 53,202 | |
| Accrued interest receivable | 44,920 | 44,920 | 44,920 | | |
| Financial Liabilities | | | | | |
| Deposits | 12,623,463 | 12,610,914 | 10,157,997 | 2,452,917 | |
| Short-term borrowings | 2,048,896 | 2,048,943 | 2,048,943 | | |
| Long-term borrowings | 641,480 | 637,935 | | | 637,935 |
| Derivative liabilities | 51,605 | 51,605 | | 51,605 | |
| Accrued interest payable | 7,457 | 7,457 | 7,457 | | |

Table of Contents**24. PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The following is condensed financial information of F.N.B. Corporation (parent company only). In this information, the parent company's investments in subsidiaries are stated at cost plus equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries since acquisition. This information should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements.

Balance Sheets (in thousands)

| December 31 | 2016 | 2015 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 164,276 | \$ 227,554 |
| Securities available for sale | 492 | 1,168 |
| Other assets | 17,405 | 17,206 |
| Investment in bank subsidiary | 2,598,520 | 2,073,352 |
| Investments in and advances to non-bank subsidiaries | 269,998 | 260,341 |
| Total Assets | \$ 3,050,691 | \$ 2,579,621 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Other liabilities | \$ 26,063 | \$ 26,831 |
| Advances from affiliates | 295,897 | 289,540 |
| Long-term borrowings | 147,916 | 157,777 |
| Subordinated notes: | | |
| Short-term | 8,172 | 8,216 |
| Long-term | 1,026 | 1,075 |
| Total Liabilities | 479,074 | 483,439 |
| Stockholders' Equity | 2,571,617 | 2,096,182 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | \$ 3,050,691 | \$ 2,579,621 |

Statements of Income (in thousands)

| Year Ended December 31 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Income | | | |
| Dividend income from subsidiaries: | | | |
| Bank | \$ 108,954 | \$ 87,580 | \$ 85,000 |
| Non-bank | 8,525 | 7,863 | 9,900 |
| | 117,479 | 95,443 | 94,900 |
| Interest income | 5,041 | 4,845 | 4,856 |
| Other income | 2,799 | 1,053 | 1,920 |
| Total Income | 125,319 | 101,341 | 101,676 |

| | | | |
|---|-------------------|------------|------------|
| Expenses | | | |
| Interest expense | 13,609 | 9,526 | 8,503 |
| Other expenses | 10,377 | 8,993 | 9,252 |
| Total Expenses | 23,986 | 18,519 | 17,755 |
| Income Before Taxes and Equity in Undistributed Income of Subsidiaries | | | |
| Income tax benefit | 6,352 | 5,088 | 4,498 |
| | 107,685 | 87,910 | 88,419 |
| Equity in undistributed income (loss) of subsidiaries: | | | |
| Bank | 60,924 | 71,581 | 55,742 |
| Non-bank | 2,282 | 158 | (111) |
| Net Income | \$ 170,891 | \$ 159,649 | \$ 144,050 |

Table of Contents**Statements of Cash Flows** (in thousands)

| Year Ended December 31 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Operating Activities | | | |
| Net income | \$ 170,891 | \$ 159,649 | \$ 144,050 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Undistributed earnings from subsidiaries | (63,206) | (71,739) | (55,631) |
| Other, net | (2,530) | 680 | (637) |
| Net cash flows provided by operating activities | 105,155 | 88,590 | 87,782 |
| Investing Activities | | | |
| Proceeds from sale of securities available for sale | 815 | | 934 |
| Net (increase) decrease in advances to subsidiaries | (6,263) | 3,285 | 2,018 |
| Payment for further investment in subsidiaries | (71,050) | (9,060) | (2,877) |
| Net cash received in business combinations | 1,089 | | 5,594 |
| Net cash flows (used in) provided by investing activities | (75,409) | (5,775) | 5,669 |
| Financing Activities | | | |
| Net decrease in advance from affiliate | 6,356 | (2,797) | (1,908) |
| Net decrease in short-term borrowings | (44) | (135) | (88) |
| Decrease in long-term debt | (10,291) | (650) | (34,865) |
| Increase in long-term debt | 381 | 98,794 | 821 |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock | 18,472 | 12,731 | 12,857 |
| Tax benefit of stock-based compensation | 1,813 | 28 | 2,714 |
| Cash dividends paid: | | | |
| Preferred stock | (8,041) | (8,041) | (8,352) |
| Common stock | (101,670) | (84,511) | (81,220) |
| Net cash flows (used in) provided by financing activities | (93,024) | 15,419 | (110,041) |
| Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents | (63,278) | 98,234 | (16,590) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 227,554 | 129,320 | 145,910 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year | \$ 164,276 | \$ 227,554 | \$ 129,320 |
| Cash paid during the year for: | | | |
| Interest | \$ 13,620 | \$ 8,309 | \$ 9,112 |

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

NONE.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES. We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. FNB's management, with the participation of our CEO and CFO, evaluated the effectiveness of FNB's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period

Table of Contents

covered by this Report. Based upon such evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that, as of the end of such period, FNB's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING. Information required by this item is set forth in Management's Report on F.N.B. Corporation's Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Reporting at a Bank Holding Company Level and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING. There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during the quarter ended December 31, 2016 to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

NONE.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information relating to this item is provided in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC in connection with our annual meeting of stockholders to be held May 17, 2017. Such information is incorporated herein by reference. Certain information regarding executive officers is included under the caption Executive Officers of the Registrant after Part I, Item 4, of this Report.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information relating to this item is provided in FNB's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC in connection with our annual meeting of stockholders to be held May 17, 2017. Such information is incorporated herein by reference. Neither the Report of the Compensation Committee nor the Report of the Audit Committee shall be deemed filed with the SEC, but shall be deemed furnished to the SEC in this Report, and will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent that FNB specifically incorporates it by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

With the exception of the equity compensation plan information provided below, the information relating to this item is provided in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC in connection with our annual meeting of stockholders to be held May 17, 2017. Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information related to equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2016:

| Plan Category | Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Stock Options, Warrants and Rights (a) | Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Stock Options, Warrants and Rights (b) | Number of Securities Remaining for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c) |
|--|--|--|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 1,836,363 (1) | n/a | 3,238,464 (2) |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | 892,532 (3) | \$ 8.95 | n/a |

- (1) Restricted common stock awards subject to forfeiture. The shares of restricted stock vest over periods ranging from three to five years from the award date.
- (2) Represents shares of common stock registered with the SEC which are eligible for issuance pursuant to stock option or restricted stock awards granted under various plans.
- (3) Represents the securities to be issued upon exercise of stock options that we assumed in various acquisitions. We do not intend to grant any new awards under these plans.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information relating to this item is provided in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC in connection with our annual meeting of stockholders to be held May 17, 2017. Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Information relating to this item is provided in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC in connection with our annual meeting of stockholders to be held May 17, 2017. Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The consolidated financial statements of F.N.B. Corporation and subsidiaries required in response to this item are incorporated by reference to Item 8 of this Report.

(b) EXHIBITS

The exhibits filed or incorporated by reference as a part of this report are listed in the Index to Exhibits which appears at page 161 and is incorporated by reference.

(c) SCHEDULES

No financial statement schedules are being filed because of the absence of conditions under which they are required or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes thereto.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

F.N.B. CORPORATION

By /s/ Vincent J. Delie, Jr.
 Vincent J. Delie, Jr.
 President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| /s/ Vincent J. Delie, Jr. | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director | February 23, 2017 |
| Vincent J. Delie, Jr. | (Principal Executive Officer) | |
| /s/ Vincent J. Calabrese, Jr. | Chief Financial Officer | February 23, 2017 |
| Vincent J. Calabrese, Jr. | (Principal Financial Officer) | |
| /s/ Timothy G. Rubritz | Corporate Controller and Senior Vice President | February 23, 2017 |
| Timothy G. Rubritz | (Principal Accounting Officer) | |
| /s/ Stephen J. Gurgovits | Chairman of the Board and Director | February 23, 2017 |
| Stephen J. Gurgovits | | |
| /s/ William B. Campbell | Director | February 23, 2017 |
| William B. Campbell | | |
| /s/ James D. Chiafullo | Director | February 23, 2017 |
| James D. Chiafullo | | |
| /s/ Laura E. Ellsworth | Director | February 23, 2017 |
| Laura E. Ellsworth | | |
| /s/ Robert A. Hormell | Director | February 23, 2017 |
| Robert A. Hormell | | |

| | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|-------------------|
| /s/ David J. Malone | Director | February 23, 2017 |
| David J. Malone | | |
| /s/ D. Stephen Martz | Director | February 23, 2017 |
| D. Stephen Martz | | |
| /s/ Robert J. McCarthy, Jr. | Director | February 23, 2017 |
| Robert J. McCarthy, Jr. | | |
| /s/ Frank C. Mencini | Director | February 23, 2017 |
| Frank C. Mencini | | |

Table of Contents

| | | |
|------------------------|----------|-------------------|
| /s/ David L. Motley | Director | February 23, 2017 |
| David L. Motley | | |
| /s/ Gary L. Nalbandian | Director | February 23, 2017 |
| Gary L. Nalbandian | | |
| /s/ Heidi A. Nicholas | Director | February 23, 2017 |
| Heidi A. Nicholas | | |
| /s/ John S. Stanik | Director | February 23, 2017 |
| John S. Stanik | | |
| /s/ William J. Strimbu | Director | February 23, 2017 |
| William J. Strimbu | | |

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are filed or incorporated by reference as part of this report:

- 2.1. Plan of Conversion of F.N.B. Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 30, 2016).
- 2.2. Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of June 13, 2013, between F.N.B. Corporation and BCSB Bancorp, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 19, 2013).
- 2.3. Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of April 7, 2014, between F.N.B. Corporation and OBA Financial Services, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 10, 2014).
- 2.4. Purchase and Assumption Agreement, dated as of May 27, 2015, between Bank of America, National Association and First National Bank of Pennsylvania (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 27, 2015).
- 2.5. Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of August 4, 2015, between F.N.B. Corporation and Metro Bancorp, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 7, 2015).
- 2.6. Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of July 20, 2016, between F.N.B. Corporation and Yadkin Financial Corporation (Incorporated by reference to FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 21, 2016).
- 3.1. Articles of Incorporation of F.N.B. Corporation, effective as of August 30, 2016 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 30, 2016).
- 3.2. By-laws of F.N.B. Corporation, effective as of August 30, 2016 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 30, 2016).
- 4.1. Warrant to purchase up to 1,302,083 shares of Common Stock, issued to the United States Department of the Treasury. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2009).
- 4.2. Warrant to purchase up to 342,564 shares of Common Stock, issued to the United States Department of the Treasury (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 8, 2013).
- 4.3. Deposit Agreement, dated as of November 1, 2013, by and between F.N.B. Corporation and Computershare Limited (successor in interest to Registrar and Transfer Company), as Depository (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 1, 2013).
- 4.4. Specimen Stock Certificate for Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series E (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 of FNB's Amendment No. 1 to Form 8-A filed on August 30, 2016).
- 4.5. Form of Depository Receipt (included as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.3 above).

- 4.6. There are no instruments with respect to long-term debt of FNB and its subsidiaries that involve securities authorized under the instrument in an amount exceeding 10 percent of the total assets of FNB and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. FNB agrees to provide the SEC with a copy of instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of FNB and its subsidiaries upon request.

Table of Contents

- 10.1. Form of Deferred Compensation Agreement by and between First National Bank of Pennsylvania and four of our executive officers. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3. of FNB's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1993 (File No. 000-08144)). *
- 10.2. Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Named Executive Officers (pursuant to 2007 Incentive Compensation Plan). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 27, 2012). *
- 10.3. Amendment to Deferred Compensation Agreement of Stephen J. Gurgovits. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 22, 2008). *
- 10.4. Basic Retirement Plan (formerly the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan) of F.N.B. Corporation effective January 1, 1992. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9. of FNB's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1993 (File No. 000-08144)). *
- 10.5. Form of Amendment to Employment Agreements of Vincent Calabrese, Jr. and Gary Guerrieri. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 22, 2008). *
- 10.6. F.N.B. Corporation 2007 Incentive Compensation Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit A of FNB's 2015 Proxy Statement filed on April 1, 2015). *
- 10.7. First Amendment to F.N.B. Corporation 2007 Incentive Compensation Plan. (filed herewith). *
- 10.8. Restricted Stock Agreement. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 19, 2007). *
- 10.9. Performance Restricted Stock Award Agreement. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 19, 2007). *
- 10.10. Form of Indemnification Agreement for directors. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 23, 2008). *
- 10.11. Form of Indemnification Agreement for officers. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 23, 2008). *
- 10.12. Employment Agreement between First National Bank of Pennsylvania and Timothy G. Rubritz. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 22, 2009). *
- 10.13. Employment Agreement between F.N.B. Corporation, First National Bank of Pennsylvania and Vincent J. Delie, Jr. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 21, 2010). *
- 10.14. Tax Indemnification Agreement between F.N.B. Corporation and Robert J. McCarthy, Jr. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 4, 2012).
- 10.15. Employment Agreement between F.N.B. Corporation and Vincent J. Calabrese. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1. of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 26, 2013). *
- 10.16. Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award for Vincent J. Delie, Jr. and Vincent J. Calabrese, Jr. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of FNB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 22, 2015). *
11. Computation of Per Share Earnings **
12. Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges. (filed herewith).

14. Code of Ethics. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3. of FNB s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009). *

Table of Contents

- 21. Subsidiaries of the Registrant. (filed herewith).
 - 23. Consent of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. (filed herewith).
 - 31.1. Certification of Chief Executive Officer Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302. (filed herewith).
 - 31.2. Certification of Chief Financial Officer Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302. (filed herewith).
 - 32.1. Certification of Chief Executive Officer Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 906. (furnished herewith).
 - 32.2. Certification of Chief Financial Officer Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 906. (furnished herewith).
 - 101. The following materials from F.N.B. Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2016, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. (filed herewith).
- * Management contracts and compensatory plans or arrangements required to be filed as exhibits pursuant to Item 15(a)(3) of this Report.
- ** This information is provided in Note 19, Earnings Per Share in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Item 8 in this Report.