NOMURA HOLDINGS INC Form 6-K August 23, 2018 Table of Contents

FORM 6-K

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Report of Foreign Private Issuer

Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16 of

the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number: 1-15270

For the month of August 2018

NOMURA HOLDINGS, INC.

(Translation of registrant s name into English)

9-1, Nihonbashi 1-chome

Chuo-ku, Tokyo 103-8645

Japan

(Address of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover Form 20-F or Form 40-F.
Form 20-F <u>X</u> Form 40-F
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1):
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7):

Information furnished on this form:

EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number

- 1. (English Translation) Quarterly Securities Report Pursuant to the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2018
- 2. (English Translation) Confirmation Letter
- 3. Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Computation Thereof for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2018
 The registrant hereby incorporates Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 to this report on Form 6-K by reference in the prospectus that is part of the Registration Statement on Form F-3 (Registration No. 333-209596) of the registrant, filed with the SEC on February 19, 2016.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NOMURA HOLDINGS, INC.

Date: August 23, 2018

By: /s/ Hajime Ikeda

Hajime Ikeda

Senior Managing Director

Exhibit 1

Quarterly Securities Report Pursuant to the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2018

Items included in the Quarterly Securities Report

Dout I. Comparate Information	Page
Part I Corporate Information	1
Item 1. Information on Company and Its Subsidiaries and Affiliates	1
1. Selected Financial Data	1
2. Business Overview	1
Item 2. Operating and Financial Review	2
1. Risk Factors	2
2. Operating, Financial and Cash Flow Analyses by Management	2
3. Significant Contracts	16
Item 3. Company Information	17
1. Share Capital Information	17
2. Directors and Executive Officers	
Item 4. Financial Information	19
Preparation Method of Consolidated Financial Statements and Quarterly Review Certificate	19
1. Consolidated Financial Statements	20
(1) Consolidated Balance Sheets (UNAUDITED)	20
(2) Consolidated Statements of Income (UNAUDITED)	23
(3) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (UNAUDITED)	24
(4) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity (UNAUDITED)	25
(5) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (UNAUDITED)	26
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (UNAUDITED)	28
2. Other	103
Part II Information on Guarantor of the Company	
Quarterly Review Report of Independent Auditors	104

Note: Translations for the underlined items are attached to this form as below.

Part I Corporate Information

Item 1. Information on Company and Its Subsidiaries and Affiliates

1. Selected Financial Data

		Three months ended June 30, 2017	Three months ended June 30, 2018	Year ended March 31, 2018
Total revenue	(Mil yen)	467,926	430,985	1,972,158
Net revenue	(Mil yen)	360,823	271,997	1,496,969
Income before income taxes	(Mil yen)	77,443	13,643	328,158
Net income attributable to Nomura Holdings, Inc. (NHI)			
shareholders	(Mil yen)	56,856	5,223	219,343
Comprehensive income attributable to NHI shareholders	(Mil yen)	54,292	42,032	126,335
Total equity	(Mil yen)	2,910,789	2,845,199	2,799,824
Total assets	(Mil yen)	44,175,432	42,828,467	40,343,947
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders per				
share basic	(Yen)	16.07	1.54	63.13
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders per				
share diluted	(Yen)	15.77	1.50	61.88
Total NHI shareholders equity as a percentage of total				
assets	(%)	6.4	6.5	6.8
Cash flows from operating activities	(Mil yen)	(506,622)	(86,196)	(445,690)
Cash flows from investing activities	(Mil yen)	(4,339)	12,800	(56,172)
Cash flows from financing activities	(Mil yen)	292,178	139,649	373,168
Cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents at end of period	(Mil yan)	2,321,022	2,463,239	2,354,868
equivalents at end of period	(Mil yen)	4,341,044	2,403,239	4,334,000

- The selected financial data of Nomura Holdings, Inc. (the Company) and other entities in which it has a controlling financial interest (collectively referred to as Nomura, we, our, or us) are stated in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP).
- 2 Taxable transactions do not include consumption taxes and local consumption taxes.
- 3 As the consolidated financial statements have been prepared, selected financial data on the Company are not disclosed.
- 4 Due to the changes in our accounting policy which Nomura adopted on April 1, 2018, certain reclassifications of previously reported amounts have been made to conform to the current year presentation. Please refer to Item 4. Financial Information, 1. Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 1. *Basis of Accounting* for further details.
- In accordance with Accounting Standard Update (ASU) 2016-18 Restricted Cash which Nomura adopted on April 1, 2018, certain reclassification of amounts previously reported as Cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and for the year ended March 31, 2018, and Cash flows from operating activities for the year ended March 31, 2018 have been made to conform to the current year presentation.

2. Business Overview

There were no significant changes to the businesses of the Company and its 1,254 consolidated subsidiaries for the three months ended June 30, 2018.

There were 12 affiliated companies which were accounted for by the equity method as of June 30, 2018.

1

Item 2. Operating and Financial Review

1. Risk Factors

There is no significant change in our Risk Factors for the three months ended June 30, 2018 and until the submission date of this report.

2. Operating, Financial and Cash Flow Analyses by Management

(1) Operating Results

Nomura reported net revenue of ¥272.0 billion, non-interest expenses of ¥258.4 billion, income before income taxes of ¥13.6 billion, and net income attributable to NHI shareholders of ¥5.2 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2018.

The breakdown of net revenue and non-interest expenses on the consolidated statements of income is as follows:

	Millions of yen			
	Three months ended June 3			d June 30
		2017		2018
Commissions	¥	90,968	¥	79,456
Brokerage commissions		60,464		54,342
Commissions for distribution of investment trust		23,190		17,820
Other		7,314		7,294
Fees from investment banking		22,707		23,959
Underwriting and distribution		7,459		12,758
M&A / financial advisory fees		8,340		7,308
Other		6,908		3,893
Asset management and portfolio service fees		58,343		62,981
Asset management fees		53,918		58,835
Other		4,425		4,146
Net gain on trading		120,467		71,887
Gain (loss) on private equity investments		359		553
Net interest		27,289		10,602
Gain (loss) on investments in equity securities		62		2,092
Other		40,628		20,467
Net revenue	¥	360,823	¥	271,997

	Millions of yen		en	
	Three months ended June			d June 30
		2017		2018
Compensation and benefits	¥	136,249	¥	127,700
Commissions and floor brokerage		23,775		20,935
Information processing and communications		44,569		40,961

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Occupancy and related depreciation	17,056	16,376
Business development expenses	8,409	8,896
Other	53,322	43,486
Non-interest expenses	¥ 283,380	¥ 258,354

Business Segment Information

Results by business segment are noted below.

Reconciliations of *Net revenue* and *Income* (*loss*) *before income taxes* on segment results of operations and the consolidated statements of income are set forth in Item 4. Financial Information, 1. Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 16. *Segment and geographic information*.

Net revenue

	Three mo	ns of yen nths ended ne 30
	2017	2018
Retail	¥ 101,684	¥ 92,833
Asset Management	28,097	26,089
Wholesale	179,316	137,290
Other (Incl. elimination)	51,707	13,738
Total	¥ 360,804	¥ 269,950

Non-interest expenses

	Three mor	s of yen nths ended se 30
	2017	
Retail	¥ 76,792	¥ 72,909
Asset Management	14,527	15,806
Wholesale	153,963	144,714
Other (Incl. elimination)	38,098	24,925
Total	¥283,380	¥ 258,354

Income (loss) before income taxes

	Million	s of yen	
	Three months ended		
	June 30		
	2017	2018	
Retail	¥ 24,892	¥ 19,924	
Asset Management	13,570	10,283	
Wholesale	25,353	(7,424)	
Other (Incl. elimination)	13,609	(11,187)	

Total ¥ 77,424 ¥ 11,596

Retail

Net revenue was ¥92.8 billion primarily due to decrease in transactions for Japanese stock because of uncertainty in the market. Non-interest expenses were ¥72.9 billion and income before income taxes was ¥19.9 billion. Retail client assets were ¥118.6 trillion as of June 30, 2018, a ¥0.9 trillion increase from March 31, 2018.

Asset Management

Net revenue was ¥26.1 billion. Non-interest expenses were ¥15.8 billion and income before income taxes was ¥10.3 billion. Assets under management were ¥50.8 trillion as of June 30, 2018, a ¥0.8 trillion increase from March 31, 2018, primarily due to market appreciation and net inflow into funds and products such as U.S. high-yield products.

Wholesale

Net revenue was ¥137.3 billion. Non-interest expenses were ¥144.7 billion and loss before income taxes was ¥7.4 billion.

The breakdown of net revenue for Wholesale is as follows:

	Thr	Millions of yen Three months ended June 30		
		2017	2018	
Global Markets	¥	152,250	¥ 112,188	
Investment Banking		27,066	25,102	
Net revenue	¥	179,316	¥ 137,290	

Global Markets net revenue was ¥112.2 billion. Fixed Income net revenue decreased from ¥93.8 billion in the previous year to ¥57.7 billion because of uncertain markets and turmoil in emerging markets which led to a tough environment for the trading business. Equities net revenue decreased from ¥58.5 billion in the previous year to ¥54.5 billion due to a decrease in trading volume in the cash markets. Investment banking net revenue was ¥25.1 billion.

Nomura established Client Financing and Solutions (CFS) in April, 2018. In CFS, Global Markets and Investment Banking co-work and revenue generated from CFS is allocated to Global Markets and Investment Banking in a certain manner. Accordingly, we reclassified a part of net revenue which previously belonged to Global Markets to Investment Banking.

Other Operating Results

Other operating results include net gain (loss) related to economic hedging transactions, realized gain (loss) on investments in equity securities held for operating purposes, equity in earnings of affiliates, corporate items, and other financial adjustments. Other operating results for the three months ended June 30, 2018 include losses of ¥0.8 billion from changes in the fair value of derivative liabilities attributable to the change in its own creditworthiness and losses of ¥1.4 billion from changes in counterparty credit spread. Net revenue was ¥13.7 billion, non-interest expenses were ¥24.9 billion and loss before income taxes was ¥11.2 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2018.

Cyber Security Incident

One of our foreign subsidiaries recently experienced a cyber incident that resulted in the unauthorized access to certain of its systems including client information. We may suffer financial loss through reputational damage, legal liability and enforcement actions against us, and expect to incur increased costs for our operations generally, resulting from and in connection with the remediation of this incident and to strengthen and enhance cyber security within other Nomura group companies.

Geographic Information

Please refer to Item 4. Financial Information, 1. Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 16. *Segment and geographic information* for net revenue and income (loss) before income taxes by geographic allocation.

Cash Flow Information

Please refer to (6) Liquidity and Capital Resources.

4

- (2) Assets and Liabilities Associated with Investment and Financial Services Business
- 1) Exposure to Certain Financial Instruments and Counterparties

Market conditions continue to impact numerous products to which we have certain exposures. We also have exposures to Special Purpose Entities (SPEs) and others in the normal course of business.

Leveraged Finance

We provide loans to clients in connection with leveraged buy-outs and leveraged buy-ins. As this type of financing is usually initially provided through a commitment, we have both funded and unfunded exposures on these transactions.

The following table sets forth our exposure to leveraged finance by geographic location of the target company as of June 30, 2018.

		Millions of ye	en	
		June 30, 2018		
	Funded	Unfunded	Total	
Europe	¥ 20,822	¥ 37,947	¥ 58,769	
Americas	29,274	244,572	273,846	
Asia and Oceania	11,182	1,424	12,606	
Total	¥ 61,278	¥ 283,943	¥ 345,221	

Special Purpose Entities

Our involvement with these entities includes structuring, underwriting, as well as, subject to prevailing market conditions, distributing and selling debt instruments and beneficial interests issued by these entities. In the normal course of securitization and equity derivative activities business, we also act as a transferor of financial assets to, and underwriter, distributor and seller of repackaged financial instruments issued by these entities. We retain, purchase and sell variable interests in SPEs in connection with our market-making, investing and structuring activities. Our other types of involvement with SPEs include guarantee agreements and derivative contracts.

For further discussion on Nomura s involvement with variable interest entities (VIEs), see Item 4. Financial Information, 1. Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 7. Securitizations and Variable Interest Entities.

2) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

A significant amount of our financial instruments are carried at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized through the consolidated statements of income or the consolidated statements of comprehensive income on a recurring basis. Use of fair value is either specifically required under U.S. GAAP or we make an election to use fair value for certain eligible items under the fair value option.

Other financial assets and financial liabilities are carried at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, where the primary measurement basis is not fair value. Fair value is only used in specific circumstances after initial recognition, such as to measure impairment.

In accordance with Accounting Standard Codification (ASC) 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures , all financial instruments measured at fair value have been categorized into a three-level hierarchy based on the transparency of inputs used to establish fair value.

5

Level 3 financial assets as a proportion of total financial assets, carried at fair value on a recurring basis was 3% as of June 30, 2018 as listed below:

Billions of yen June 30, 2018 Counterparty

> and Cash Collateral

				Cash Conateral	
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting	Total
Financial assets measured at fair value					
(Excluding derivative assets)	¥9,446	¥ 8,681	¥ 537	¥	¥ 18,664
Derivative assets	21	15,678	148	(14,888)	959
Total	¥9,467	¥ 24,359	¥ 685	¥ (14,888)	¥ 19,623

Please refer to Item 4. Financial Information, 1. Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 2. Fair value measurements for

further information.

(3) Trading Activities

Assets and liabilities for trading purposes

Please refer to Item 4. Financial Information, 1. Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 2. *Fair value measurements* and Note 3. *Derivative instruments and hedging activities* regarding the balances of assets and liabilities for trading purposes.

Risk management of trading activity

We adopt Value at Risk (VaR) for measurement of market risk arising from trading activity.

1) Assumptions on VaR

Confidence Level: 99%

Holding period: One day

Consideration of price movement among the products

2) Records of VaR

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

	Billions of yen		
		Ju	ne 30,
	March 31, 2018	2	2018
Equity	¥ 1.2	¥	2.2
Interest rate	3.1		2.9
Foreign exchange	3.2		2.3
Subtotal	7.5		7.4
Diversification benefit	(1.1)		(2.9)
VaR	¥ 6.4	¥	4.5

		Billions of yen			
	Three m	Three months ended June 30, 2018)18
	Maximum ⁽¹⁾	Minimu	$1m^{(1)}$	Aver	age ⁽¹⁾
VaR	¥ 6.1	¥	3.6	¥	4.7

(1) Represents the maximum, average and minimum VaR based on all daily calculations over the three-month period.

(4) Deferred Tax Assets Information

Details of deferred tax assets and liabilities

The following table presents details of deferred tax assets and liabilities reported within *Other assets Other* and *Other liabilities*, respectively, in the consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2018.

		ions of yen e 30, 2018
Deferred tax assets		
Depreciation, amortization and valuation of fixed assets	¥	20,016
Investments in subsidiaries and affiliates		48,061
Valuation of financial instruments		67,839
Accrued pension and severance costs		26,462
Other accrued expenses and provisions		61,097
Operating losses		370,100
Other		2,565
Gross deferred tax assets		596,140
Less Valuation allowance		(437,446)
Total deferred tax assets		158,694
Deferred tax liabilities		
Investments in subsidiaries and affiliates		133,688
Valuation of financial instruments		44,211
Undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries		839
Valuation of fixed assets		10,028
Other		3,930
Total deferred tax liabilities		192,696
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	¥	(34,002)

Calculation method of deferred tax assets

In accordance with U.S. GAAP, we recognize deferred tax assets to the extent we believe that it is more likely than not that a benefit will be realized. A valuation allowance is provided for tax benefits available to us, which are not deemed more likely than not to be realized.

(5) Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

1) Risk Management

Nomura defines risks as (i) the potential erosion of Nomura s capital base due to unexpected losses arising from risks to which its business operations are exposed, such as market risk, credit risk, operational risk and model risk, (ii) liquidity risk, the potential lack of access to funds or higher cost of funding than normal levels due to a deterioration in Nomura s creditworthiness or deterioration in market conditions, and (iii) business risk, the potential failure of revenues to cover costs due to a deterioration in the earnings environment or a deterioration in the efficiency or effectiveness of its business operations.

A fundamental principle established by Nomura is that all employees shall regard themselves as principals of risk management and appropriately manage these risks. Nomura seeks to promote a culture of proactive risk management throughout all levels of the organization and to limit risks to the confines of its risk appetite. The risk management framework that Nomura uses to manage these risks consists of its risk appetite, risk management governance and oversight, the management of financial resources, the management of all risk classes, and processes to measure and control risks.

2) Global Risk Management Structure

The Board of Directors has established the Structure for Ensuring Appropriate Business of Nomura Holdings, Inc. as the Company s basic principle and set up a framework for managing the risk of loss based on this. In addition, they are continuously making efforts to improve, strengthen and build up our risk management capabilities under this framework. Moreover, the Group Integrated Risk Management Committee (GIRMC), upon delegation from the Executive Management Board (EMB), has established the Risk Management Policy, describing Nomura s overall risk management framework including the fundamental risk management principles followed by Nomura.

Market Risk Management

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from fluctuations in the value of financial assets and liabilities (including off-balance sheet items) due to fluctuations in market factors (interest rates, foreign exchange rates, prices of securities and others). Effective management of market risk requires the ability to analyze a complex and evolving portfolio in a constantly changing global market environment, identify problematic trends and ensure that appropriate action is taken in a timely manner.

Nomura uses a variety of statistical risk measurement tools to assess and monitor market risk on an ongoing basis, including, but not limited to, VaR, Stressed VaR (SVaR) and Incremental Risk Charge (IRC). In addition, Nomura uses sensitivity analysis and stress testing to measure and analyze its market risk. Sensitivities are measures used to show the potential changes to a portfolio due to standard moves in market risk factors. They are specific to each asset class and cannot usually be aggregated across risk factors. Stress testing enables the analysis of portfolio risks or tail risks, including non-linear behaviors and can be aggregated across risk factors at any level of the group hierarchy, from group level to business division, units or desk levels. Market risk is monitored against a set of approved limits, with daily reports and other management information provided to the business units and senior management.

Credit Risk Management

Credit risk is the risk of loss arising from an obligor s default, insolvency or administrative proceeding which results in the obligor s failure to meet its contractual obligations in accordance with agreed terms. This includes both on and

off-balance sheet exposures. It is also the risk of loss arising through a credit valuation adjustment (CVA) associated with deterioration in the creditworthiness of a counterparty.

Nomura manages credit risk on a global basis and on an individual Nomura legal entity basis.

The measurement, monitoring and management of credit risk at Nomura are governed by a set of global policies and procedures. Credit Risk Management (CRM), a global function within the Risk Management Division, is responsible for the implementation and maintenance of these policies and procedures. These policies are authorized by the GIRMC and/or Global Risk Strategic Committee (GRSC), prescribe the basic principles of credit risk management and set delegated authority which enables CRM personnel to set Credit limits.

Credit risk is managed by CRM together with various global and regional risk committees. This ensures transparency of material credit risks and compliance with established credit limits, the approval of material extensions of credit and the escalation of risk concentrations to appropriate senior management.

8

CRM operates as a credit risk control function within the Risk Management Division, reporting to the Chief Risk Officer. The process for managing credit risk at Nomura includes:

Evaluation of likelihood that a counterparty defaults on its payments and obligations;

Assignment of internal credit ratings to all active counterparties;

Approval of extensions of credit and establishment of credit limits;

Measurement, monitoring and management of Nomura s current and potential future credit exposures;

Setting credit terms in legal documentation;

Use of appropriate credit risk mitigants including netting, collateral and hedging. For regulatory capital calculation purposes, Nomura has been applying the Foundation Internal Rating Based Approach in calculating credit risk weighted asset since the end of March 2011. The Standardized Approach is applied to certain business units or asset types, which are considered immaterial to the calculation of credit risk weighted assets.

The exposure calculation model used for counterparty credit risk management has also been used for the Internal Model Method based exposure calculation for regulatory capital reporting purposes since the end of December 2012.

Operational Risk Management

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, and systems or from external events. It excludes strategic risk (the risk of loss as a result of poor strategic business decisions), but includes the risk of breach of legal and regulatory requirements, and the risk of damage to Nomura s reputation if caused by an operational risk.

Nomura adopts the industry standard Three Lines of Defence for the management of operational risk, comprising the following elements:

- 1) 1st Line of Defence: The business which owns and manages its risks
- 2) 2nd Line of Defence: The Operational Risk Management (ORM) function, which co-ordinates Nomura s operational risk framework and its implementation, and provides challenge to the 1st Line of Defence

3) 3rd Line of Defence: Internal Audit, who provide independent assurance An Operational Risk Management Framework has been established in order to allow Nomura to identify, assess, manage, monitor and report on operational risk. The GIRMC, with delegated authority from the EMB has formal oversight over the management of operational risk.

Nomura uses the Standardized Approach for calculating regulatory capital for operational risk. This involves using a three-year average of gross income allocated to business lines, which is multiplied by a fixed percentage (Beta Factor) determined by the Financial Services Agency of Japan (FSA), to establish the amount of required operational risk capital.

Model Risk Management

Nomura uses risk models for regulatory and economic capital calculations and valuation models for pricing and sensitivity calculations of positions. Model risk is the risk of loss arising from model errors or incorrect or inappropriate model application with regard to valuation models and risk models. Errors can occur at any point from model assumptions through to implementation. In addition, the quality of model outputs depends on the quality of model parameters and any input data. Even a fundamentally sound model producing accurate outputs consistent with the design objective of the model may exhibit high model risk if it is misapplied or misused. To address these risks, Nomura has established its model risk appetite, which includes a qualitative statement and a quantitative measure. The qualitative statement for model risk specifies that it is expected that models are used correctly and appropriately. The quantitative risk appetite measure is based on Nomura s assessment of the potential loss arising from model risk.

Nomura has documented policies and procedures in place, approved by the GIRMC and/or GRSC, which define the process and validation requirements for implementing changes to valuation and risk models. Before these models are put into official use, the Model Validation Group (MVG) is responsible for validating their integrity and comprehensiveness independently from those who design and build them. All models are also subject to an annual re-approval process by MVG to ensure they remain suitable. In addition, a Model Performance Monitoring process has been established to identify and assess specific events, that can indicate that a Model is not performing as it should or is potentially unsuitable and to determine what actions (for example, additional validation work) might be necessary. For changes with an impact above certain materiality thresholds, model approval is required.

9

(6) Liquidity and Capital Resources

Funding and Liquidity Management

Overview

We define liquidity risk as the risk of loss arising from difficulty in securing the necessary funding or from a significantly higher cost of funding than normal levels due to deterioration of the Nomura Group's creditworthiness or deterioration in market conditions. This risk could arise from Nomura-specific or market-wide events such as inability to access the secured or unsecured debt markets, a deterioration in our credit ratings, a failure to manage unplanned changes in funding requirements, a failure to liquidate assets quickly and with minimal loss in value, or changes in regulatory capital restrictions which may prevent the free flow of funds between different group entities. Our global liquidity risk management policy is based on liquidity risk appetite formulated by the Executive Management Board (EMB). Nomura's liquidity risk management, under market-wide stress and in addition, under Nomura-specific stress, seeks to ensure enough continuous liquidity to meet all funding requirements and unsecured debt obligations across one year and 30-day periods, respectively, without raising funds through unsecured funding or through the liquidation of assets. We are required to meet regulatory notice on the liquidity coverage ratio issued by the FSA.

We have in place a number of liquidity risk management frameworks that enable us to achieve our primary liquidity objective. These frameworks include (1) Centralized Control of Residual Cash and Maintenance of Liquidity Portfolio; (2) Utilization of Unencumbered Assets as Part of Our Liquidity Portfolio; (3) Appropriate Funding and Diversification of Funding Sources and Maturities Commensurate with the Composition of Assets; (4) Management of Credit Lines to Nomura Group Entities; (5) Implementation of Liquidity Stress Tests; and (6) Contingency Funding Plan.

Our EMB has the authority to make decisions concerning group liquidity management. The Chief Financial Officer (CFO) has the operational authority and responsibility over our liquidity management based on decisions made by the EMB.

10

1. Centralized Control of Residual Cash and Maintenance of Liquidity Portfolio

We centrally control residual cash held at Nomura Group entities for effective liquidity utilization purposes. As for the usage of funds, the CFO decides the maximum amount of available funds, provided without posting any collateral, for allocation within Nomura and the EMB allocates the funds to each business division. Global Treasury monitors usage by businesses and reports to the EMB.

In order to enable us to transfer funds smoothly between group entities, we limit the issuance of securities by regulated broker-dealers or banking entities within the Nomura Group and seek to raise unsecured funding primarily through the Company or through unregulated subsidiaries. The primary benefits of this strategy include cost minimization, wider investor name recognition and greater flexibility in providing funding to various subsidiaries across the Nomura Group.

To meet any potential liquidity requirement, we maintain a liquidity portfolio, managed by Global Treasury apart from other assets, in the form of cash and highly liquid, unencumbered securities that may be sold or pledged to provide liquidity. As of June 30, 2018, our liquidity portfolio was ¥5,112.4 billion which sufficiently met liquidity requirements under the stress scenarios.

2. Utilization of Unencumbered Assets as Part of Our Liquidity Portfolio

In addition to our liquidity portfolio, we had unencumbered assets comprising mainly of unpledged trading assets that can be used as an additional source of secured funding. Global Treasury monitors other unencumbered assets and can, under a liquidity stress event when the contingency funding plan has been invoked, monetize and utilize the cash generated as a result. The aggregate of our liquidity portfolio and other unencumbered assets was sufficient against our total unsecured debt maturing within one year.

3. Appropriate Funding and Diversification of Funding Sources and Maturities Commensurate with the Composition of Assets

We seek to maintain a surplus of long-term debt and equity above the cash capital requirements of our assets. We also seek to achieve diversification of our funding by market, instrument type, investors, currency, and staggered maturities in order to reduce unsecured refinancing risk.

We diversify funding by issuing various types of debt instruments these include both structured loans and structured notes with returns linked to interest rates, currencies, equities, commodities, or related indices. We issue structured loans and structured notes in order to increase the diversity of our debt instruments. We typically hedge the returns we are obliged to pay with derivatives and/or the underlying assets to obtain funding equivalent to our unsecured long-term debt.

3.1 Short-Term Unsecured Debt

Our short-term unsecured debt consists of short-term bank borrowings (including long-term bank borrowings maturing within one year), other loans, commercial paper, deposit at banking entities, certificates of deposit and debt securities maturing within one year. Deposits at banking entities and certificates of deposit comprise customer deposits and certificates of deposit of our banking subsidiaries. Short-term unsecured debt includes the current portion of long-term unsecured debt.

The following table presents an analysis of our short-term unsecured debt by type of financial liability as of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018.

	Bill	Billions of yen	
	March 31,		
	2018	Jun	e 30, 2018
Short-term bank borrowings	¥ 143.6	¥	243.8
Other loans	176.2		206.9
Commercial paper	179.3		371.0
Deposits at banking entities	925.8		915.4
Certificates of deposit	11.1		11.1
Debt securities maturing within one year	671.0		582.2
Total short-term unsecured debt	¥2.107.0	¥	2.330.4

3.2 Long-Term Unsecured Debt

We meet our long-term capital requirements and also achieve both cost-effective funding and an appropriate maturity profile by routinely funding through long-term debt and diversifying across various maturities and currencies.

Our long-term unsecured debt includes senior and subordinated debt issued through U.S. registered shelf offerings and our U.S. registered medium-term note programs, our Euro medium-term note programs, registered shelf offerings in Japan and various other debt programs.

As a globally competitive financial services group in Japan, we have access to multiple global markets and major funding centers. The Company, Nomura Securities Co. Ltd., Nomura Europe Finance N.V., Nomura Bank International plc, and Nomura International Funding Pte. Ltd. are the main group entities that borrow externally, issue debt instruments and engage in other funding activities. By raising funds to match the currencies and liquidities of our assets or by using foreign exchange swaps as necessary, we pursue optimization of our funding structures.

We use a wide range of products and currencies to ensure that our funding is efficient and well diversified across markets and investor types. Our unsecured senior debt is mostly issued without financial covenants, such as covenants related to adverse changes in our credit ratings, cash flows, results of operations or financial ratios, which could trigger an increase in our cost of financing or accelerate repayment of the debt.

The following table presents an analysis of our long-term unsecured debt by type of financial liability as of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018.

	Billions of yen		
	March 31, 2018	June 30, 2018	
Long-term deposits at banking entities	¥ 214.5	¥ 221.4	
Long-term bank borrowings	2,567.6	2,639.7	
Other loans	118.6	95.2	
Debt securities ⁽¹⁾	2,318.2	3,286.1	
Total long-term unsecured debt	¥ 5,218.9	¥ 6,242.4	

(1) Excludes long-term debt securities issued by consolidated special purpose entities and similar entities that meet the definition of variable interest entities under ASC 810 *Consolidation* and secured financing transactions recognized within Long-term borrowings as a result of transfers of financial assets that are accounted for as financings rather than sales in accordance with ASC 860 *Transfer and Servicing*.

3.3 Maturity Profile

We also seek to maintain an average maturity for our plain vanilla debt securities and borrowings greater than or equal to three years. A significant amount of our structured loans and structured notes are linked to interest rates, currencies, equities, commodities, or related indices. These maturities are evaluated based on internal models and monitored by Global Treasury. Where there is a possibility that these may be called prior to their scheduled maturity date, maturities are based on our internal stress option adjusted model. The model values the embedded optionality under stress market conditions in order to determine when the debt securities or borrowings are likely to be called.

3.4 Secured Funding

We typically fund our trading activities through secured borrowings, repurchase agreements and Japanese Gensaki Repo transactions. We believe such funding activities in the secured markets are more cost-efficient and less credit-rating sensitive than financing in the unsecured market. Our secured funding capabilities depend on the quality of the underlying collateral and market conditions. While we have shorter term secured financing for highly liquid assets, we seek longer terms for less liquid assets. We also seek to lower the refinancing risks of secured funding by transacting with a diverse group of global counterparties and delivering various types of securities collateral. In addition, we reserve an appropriate level of liquidity portfolio for the refinancing risks of secured funding maturing in the short term for less liquid assets. For more detail of secured borrowings and repurchase agreements, see Note 5 *Collateralized transactions* in our consolidated financial statements.

12

4. Management of Credit Lines to Nomura Group Entities

We maintain and expand credit lines to Nomura Group entities from other financial institutions to secure stable funding. We ensure that the maturity dates of borrowing agreements are distributed evenly throughout the year in order to prevent excessive maturities in any given period.

5. Implementation of Liquidity Stress Tests

We maintain our liquidity portfolio and monitor the sufficiency of our liquidity based on an internal model which simulates changes in cash outflow under specified stress scenarios to comply with our above mentioned liquidity management policy.

We assess the liquidity requirements of the Nomura Group under various stress scenarios with differing levels of severity over multiple time horizons. We evaluate these requirements under Nomura-specific and broad market-wide events, including potential credit rating downgrades at the Company and subsidiary levels. We call this risk analysis our Maximum Cumulative Outflow (MCO) framework.

The MCO framework is designed to incorporate the primary liquidity risks for Nomura and models the relevant future cash flows in the following two primary scenarios:

Stressed scenario To maintain adequate liquidity during a severe market-wide liquidity event without raising funds through unsecured financing or through the liquidation of assets for a year; and

Acute stress scenario To maintain adequate liquidity during a severe market-wide liquidity event coupled with credit concerns regarding Nomura s liquidity position, without raising funds through unsecured funding or through the liquidation of assets for 30 days.

We assume that Nomura will not be able to liquidate assets or adjust its business model during the time horizons used in each of these scenarios. The MCO framework therefore defines the amount of liquidity required to be held in order to meet our expected liquidity needs in a stress event to a level we believe appropriate based on our liquidity risk appetite.

As of June 30, 2018, our liquidity portfolio exceeded net cash outflows under the stress scenarios described above.

We constantly evaluate and modify our liquidity risk assumptions based on regulatory and market changes. The model we use in order to simulate the impact of stress scenarios includes the following assumptions:

No liquidation of assets;

No ability to issue additional unsecured funding;

Upcoming maturities of unsecured debt (maturities less than one year);

Potential buybacks of our outstanding debt;
Loss of secured funding lines particularly for less liquid assets;
Fluctuation of funding needs under normal business circumstances;
Cash deposits and free collateral roll-off in a stress event;
Widening of haircuts on outstanding repo funding;
Additional collateralization requirements of clearing banks and depositories;
Drawdown on loan commitments;
Loss of liquidity from market losses;
Assuming a two-notch downgrade of our credit ratings, the aggregate fair value of assets that we would be required to post as additional collateral in connection with our derivative contracts; and
Legal and regulatory requirements that can restrict the flow of funds between entities in the Nomura Group
13

6. Contingency Funding Plan

We have developed a detailed contingency funding plan to integrate liquidity risk control into our comprehensive risk management strategy and to enhance the quantitative aspects of our liquidity risk control procedures. As a part of our Contingency Funding Plan (CFP), we have developed an approach for analyzing and quantifying the impact of any liquidity crisis. This allows us to estimate the likely impact of both Nomura-specific and market-wide events; and specifies the immediate action to be taken to mitigate any risk. The CFP lists details of key internal and external parties to be contacted and the processes by which information is to be disseminated. This has been developed at a legal entity level in order to capture specific cash requirements at the local level it assumes that our parent company does not have access to cash that may be trapped at a subsidiary level due to regulatory, legal or tax constraints. We periodically test the effectiveness of our funding plans for different Nomura-specific and market-wide events. We also have access to central banks including, but not exclusively, the Bank of Japan, which provide financing against various types of securities. These operations are accessed in the normal course of business and are an important tool in mitigating contingent risk from market disruptions.

Liquidity Regulatory Framework

In 2008, the Basel Committee published Principles for Sound Liquidity Risk Management and Supervision. To complement these principles, the Committee has further strengthened its liquidity framework by developing two minimum standards for funding liquidity. These standards have been developed to achieve two separate but complementary objectives.

The first objective is to promote short-term resilience of a financial institution s liquidity risk profile by ensuring that it has sufficient high-quality liquid assets to survive a significant stress scenario lasting for 30 days. The Committee developed the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) to achieve this objective.

The second objective is to promote resilience over a longer time horizon by creating additional incentives for financial institutions to fund their activities with more stable sources of funding on an ongoing basis. The Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR) has a time horizon of one year and has been developed to provide a sustainable maturity structure of assets and liabilities.

These two standards are comprised mainly of specific parameters which are internationally harmonized with prescribed values. Certain parameters, however, contain elements of national discretion to reflect jurisdiction-specific conditions.

In Japan, the regulatory notice on the LCR, based on the international agreement issued by the Basel Committee with necessary national revisions, was published by Financial Services Agency. The notices have been implemented since the end of March 2015 with phased-in minimum standards. Average of Nomura s LCRs for the three months ended June 30, 2018 was 184.8%, and Nomura was compliant with requirements of the above notices. As for the NSFR, it is not yet implemented in Japan.

Cash Flows

Cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents balance as of June 30, 2017 and as of June 30, 2018 were \(\frac{\pmathbf{\

from investing activities for the three months ended June 30, 2017 were outflows of ¥4.3 billion due primarily to *Payments for purchases of office buildings, land, equipment and facilities* and the comparable period in 2018 were inflows of ¥12.8 billion due primarily to *Proceeds from sales of office buildings, land, equipment and facilities*. Cash flows from financing activities for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2018 were inflows of ¥292.2 and inflows of ¥139.6 billion due primarily to an increase in *Long-term borrowings*.

Balance Sheet and Financial Leverage

Total assets as of June 30, 2018, were ¥42,828.5 billion, an increase of ¥2,484.5 billion compared with ¥40,343.9 billion as of March 31, 2018, primarily due to increases in *Trading assets* and *Securities purchased under agreements to resell*. Total liabilities as of June 30, 2018, were ¥39,983.3 billion, an increase of ¥2,439.1 billion compared with ¥37,544.1 billion as of March 31, 2018, primarily due to an increase in *Securities sold under agreements to repurchase*. NHI shareholders equity as of June 30, 2018, was ¥2,797.2 billion, an increase of ¥47.9 billion compared with ¥2,749.3 billion as of March 31, 2018, primarily due to an increase in *Accumulated other comprehensive income*.

Due to the changes in our accounting policy which Nomura adopted on April 1, 2018, certain reclassifications of previously reported amounts have been made to conform to the current year presentation. Please refer to Item 4. Financial Information, 1. Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 1. *Basis of Accounting* for further details.

14

We seek to maintain sufficient capital at all times to withstand losses due to extreme market movements. The EMB is responsible for implementing and enforcing capital policies. This includes the determination of our balance sheet size and required capital levels. We continuously review our equity capital base to ensure that it can support the economic risk inherent in our business. There are also regulatory requirements for minimum capital of entities that operate in regulated securities or banking businesses.

As leverage ratios are commonly used by other financial institutions similar to us, we voluntarily provide a Leverage ratio and Adjusted leverage ratio primarily for benchmarking purposes so that users of our annual report can compare our leverage against other financial institutions. Adjusted leverage ratio is a non-GAAP financial measure that Nomura considers to be a useful supplemental measure of leverage.

The following table sets forth NHI shareholders equity, total assets, adjusted assets and leverage ratios:

	Billions of yen, except ratios	
	March 31, 2018	June 30, 2018
NHI shareholders equity	¥ 2,749.3	¥ 2,797.2
Total assets	40,343.9	42,828.5
Adjusted assets ⁽¹⁾	24,106.2	25,430.7
Leverage ratio ⁽²⁾	14.7x	15.3x
Adjusted leverage ratio ⁽³⁾	8.8x	9.1x

(1) Represents total assets less *Securities purchased under agreements to resell* and *Securities borrowed*. Adjusted assets is a non-GAAP financial measure and is calculated as follows:

	Billio	Billions of yen		
	March 31, 2018	•	June 30, 2018	
Total assets	¥ 40,343.9	¥	42,828.5	
Less:				
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	9,853.9		13,097.8	
Securities borrowed	6,383.8		4,300.0	
Adjusted assets	¥24,106.2	¥	25,430.7	

- (2) Equals total assets divided by NHI shareholders equity.
- (3) Equals adjusted assets divided by NHI shareholders equity.

Total assets increased by 6.2% reflecting primarily increases in *Securities purchased under agreements to resell* and *Trading assets*. NHI shareholders equity increased by 1.7% primarily due to an increase in *Accumulated other comprehensive income*. As a result, our leverage ratio rose from 14.7 times as of March 31, 2018 to 15.3 times as of June 30, 2018.

Adjusted assets increased primarily due to an increase in *Trading assets*. As a result, our adjusted leverage ratio rose from 8.8 times as of March 31, 2018 to 9.1 times as of June 30, 2018.

Consolidated Regulatory Capital Requirements

The FSA established the Guideline for Financial Conglomerates Supervision (Financial Conglomerates Guideline) in June 2005 and set out the rules on consolidated regulatory capital. We started monitoring our consolidated capital adequacy ratio in accordance with the Financial Conglomerates Guideline from April 2005.

The Company has been assigned by the FSA as a Final Designated Parent Company who must calculate a consolidated capital adequacy ratio according to the Capital Adequacy Notice on Final Designated Parent Company in April 2011. Since then, we have been calculating our consolidated capital adequacy ratio according to the Capital Adequacy Notice on Final Designated Parent Company. The Capital Adequacy Notice on Final Designated Parent Company has been revised to be in line with Basel 2.5 and Basel III since then. We have calculated a Basel III-based consolidated capital adequacy ratio from the end of March 2013. Basel 2.5 includes significant change in calculation method of market risk and Basel III includes redefinition of capital items for the purpose of requiring higher quality of capital and expansion of the scope of credit risk-weighted assets calculation.

15

In accordance with Article 2 of the Capital Adequacy Notice on Final Designated Parent Company, our consolidated capital adequacy ratio is currently calculated based on the amounts of common equity Tier 1 capital, Tier 1 capital (sum of common equity Tier 1 capital and additional Tier 1 capital), total capital (sum of Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital), credit risk-weighted assets, market risk and operational risk. As of June 30, 2018, our common equity Tier 1 capital ratio (common equity Tier 1 capital divided by risk-weighted assets) was 16.10%, Tier 1 capital ratio (Tier 1 capital divided by risk-weighted assets) was 17.15% and consolidated capital adequacy ratio (total capital divided by risk-weighted assets) was 17.54% and we were in compliance with the requirement for each ratio set out in the Capital Adequacy Notice on Final Designated Parent Company (required level as of June 30, 2018 was 6.79% for common equity Tier 1 capital ratio, 8.29% for Tier 1 capital ratio and 10.29% for consolidated capital adequacy ratio).

The following table presents the Company s consolidated capital adequacy ratios as of June 30, 2018.

	Billions of yen, except ratios June 30, 2018	
Common equity Tier 1 capital	¥	2,544.9
Tier 1 capital		2,710.9
Total capital		2,772.1
Risk-Weighted Assets		
Credit risk-weighted assets		7,749.3
Market risk equivalent assets		5,414.4
Operational risk equivalent assets		2,637.7
Total risk-weighted assets	¥	15,801.4
Consolidated Capital Adequacy Ratios		
Common equity Tier 1 capital ratio		16.10%
Tier 1 capital ratio		17.15%
Consolidated capital adequacy ratio Consolidated Leverage Ratio Requirements		17.54%

In March 2015, the FSA set out requirements for the calculation and disclosure of a consolidated leverage ratio, through amendments to revising Specification of items which a final designated parent company should disclose on documents to show the status of its sound management (2010 FSA Regulatory Notice No. 132; Notice on Pillar 3 Disclosure) and the publication of Consolidated Leverage Ratio prescribed by Commissioner of Financial Services Agency in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 1 of Pillar 3 Notice (2015 FSA Regulatory Notice No. 11; Notice on Consolidated Leverage Ratio). We started calculating and disclosing a consolidated leverage ratio from March 31, 2015 in accordance with the Notice on Pillar 3 Disclosure and Notice on Consolidated Leverage Ratio. Management receives and reviews this consolidated leverage ratio on a regular basis. As of June 30, 2018, our consolidated leverage ratio was 4.59%.

(7) Current Challenges

There is no significant change to our current challenges nor new challenges for the three months ended June 30, 2018 and until the submission date of this report.

3. Significant Contracts

Not applicable.

16

Item 3. Company Information

1. Share Capital Information

- (1) Total Number of Shares
- A. Number of Authorized Share Capital

	Authorized Share Capital
Type	(shares)
Common stock	6,000,000,000
Class 1 preferred stock	200,000,000
Class 2 preferred stock	200,000,000
Class 3 preferred stock	200,000,000
Class 4 preferred stock	200,000,000
Total	6,000,000,000

The Authorized Share Capital is stated by the type of stock and the Total is the number of authorized share capital as referred in the Articles of Incorporation.

B. Issued Shares

	Number of	Number of		
	Issued Shares as of	Issued Shares as of		
Type	June 30, 2018	August 14, 2018	Trading Markets	Details
Common stock	3,643,562,601	3,643,562,601	Tokyo Stock Exchange ⁽²⁾	1 unit is 100 shares
			Nagoya Stock Exchange ⁽²⁾	
			Singapore Exchange	
			New York Stock Exchange	
Total	3,643,562,601	3,643,562,601		

- (1) Shares that may have increased from exercise of stock options between August 1, 2018 and the submission date (August 14, 2018) are not included in the number of issued shares as of the submission date.
- (2) Listed on the First Section of each stock exchange.
- (2) Stock Acquisition Rights

A. Stock option

Not applicable in this quarter.

B. Other stock acquisition rights

Not applicable in this quarter.

(3) Exercise of Moving Strike Bonds with Subscription Warrant

None

(4) Changes in Issued Shares, Shareholders Equity, etc.

					Millions of yen	
		Inc	crease/Dec	erease		
			of			
		;	Sharehold	ers	Increase/Decreas	e of
	Increase/Decrease of	f	Equity	Shareholde	rs Additional	
	Issued	Total	Commo	n Equity	capital	Additional
Date	Shares	Issued Shares	stock	Common sto	ck reserve	capital reserve
June 30, 2018		3,643,562,601		594,49	3	559,676

(5) Major Shareholders

Not applicable as this is the first quarter.

17

(6) Voting Rights

The Voting Rights as of the end of the current first quarter is presented as of March 31, 2018, the most recent cutoff date, because the number of beneficiary shareholders as of June 30, 2018, could not be ascertained.

A. Outstanding Shares

	As of March 31, 2018				
	Number of Sl	hares	Number of Votes	Description	
Stock without voting right					
Stock with limited voting right (Treasury stocks, etc.)					
Stock with limited voting right (Others)					
Stock with full voting right					
(Treasury stocks, etc.)	(Treasury Stocks) Common stock	250,285,100			
	(Crossholding Stocks)				
	Common stock	1,005,000			
Stock with full voting right		,			
(Others)	Common stock	3,390,692,200	33,906,922		
Shares less than 1 unit	Common stock	1,580,301		Shares less than 1 unit	
				(100 shares)	
Total Shares Issued		3,643,562,601			
Voting Rights of Total Shareholders			33,906,922		

2,000 shares held by Japan Securities Depository Center, Inc. are included in Stock with full voting right (Others). 15 shares of treasury stocks are included in Shares less than 1 unit.

B. Treasury Stocks

			As of Ma	rch 31, 201	8
		Directly held	Indirectly held		Percentage of
Name	Address	shares	shares	Total	Issued Shares(%)
(Treasury Stocks)					
Nomura Holdings, Inc.		250,285,100)	250,285,10	00 6.87

1-9-1, Nihonbashi, Chuo-ku,

Tokyo, Japan

	1 Okyo, sapan			
(Crossholding Stocks)				
Nomura Real Estate	1-26-2, Nishishinjuku,			
Development Co., Ltd.				
	Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, Japan	1,000,000	1,000,000	0.03
Nomura Japan Corporation.	2-1-3			
	Nihonbashihoridomecho,			
	Chuo-ku, Tokyo, Japan	5,000	5,000	0.00
		2,000	2,000	
Total		251,290,100	251,290,100	6.90

Item 4. Financial Information

- 1 Preparation Method of Consolidated Financial Statements
 - (1) The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles, procedures, and presentations which are required in order to issue American Depositary Shares, i.e., U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, pursuant to Article 95 of Regulations Concerning the Terminology, Forms and Preparation Methods of Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements (Cabinet Office Ordinance No. 64, 2007).
 - (2) The consolidated financial statements have been prepared by making necessary adjustments to the financial statements of each consolidated company which were prepared in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in each country. Such adjustments have been made to comply with the principles noted in (1) above.

2 Quarterly Review Certificate

Under Article 193-2 Section 1 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act, Ernst & Young ShinNihon LLC performed a quarterly review of the consolidated financial statements for the three months ended June 30, 2018.

<Note>

Although Ernst & Young ShinNihon LLC reported that they applied limited procedures in accordance with professional standards in Japan on the interim consolidated financial statements, prepared in Japanese for the three months ended June 30, 2018, they have not performed any such limited procedures nor have they performed an audit on the English translated version of the consolidated financial statements for the above-mentioned periods which are included in this report on Form 6-K.

19

1. Consolidated Financial Statements

(1) Consolidated Balance Sheets (UNAUDITED)

	Notes	Millions of yen March 31, June 30, 2018 2018		
ASSETS				
Cash and cash deposits:				
Cash and cash equivalents		¥ 2,354,639	· · ·	
Time deposits		315,445		
Deposits with stock exchanges and other segregated cash		288,962	2 313,141	
Total cash and cash deposits		2,959,046	3,059,799	
Loans and receivables:				
Loans receivable (including ¥554,137 million and ¥596,900 million				
measured at fair value by applying the fair value option as of				
March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018, respectively)	*2, 8	2,462,503	3 2,326,461	
Receivables from customers (including ¥13 million and ¥14 million				
measured at fair value by applying the fair value option as of				
March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018, respectively)	*2, 4	442,343	562,382	
Receivables from other than customers		973,867	593,551	
Allowance for doubtful accounts	*8	(3,514	(3,719)	
Total loans and receivables		3,875,199	3,478,675	
Collateralized agreements:				
Securities purchased under agreements to resell (including ¥1,186,096 million and ¥1,360,674 million measured at fair value by applying the fair value option as of March 31, 2018 and June 30,				
2018, respectively)	*2	9,853,898	3 13,097,791	
Securities borrowed		6,383,845	4,299,985	
Total collateralized agreements		16,237,743	3 17,397,776	
Trading assets and private equity investments:				
Trading assets (including securities pledged as collateral of \$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\				
option as of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018, respectively)	*2, 3	14,962,690	16,579,439	
Private equity investments (including ¥4,416 million and ¥3,938 million measured at fair value by applying the fair value	2, 3	11,702,070	10,017, 107	
option as of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018, respectively)	*2	17,466	16,607	

Total trading assets and private equity investments		14,980,156	16,596,046
Other assets:			
Office buildings, land, equipment and facilities (net of accumulated			
depreciation and amortization of ¥397,834 million and			
¥412,793 million as of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018,			
respectively)		338,984	337,683
Non-trading debt securities	*2	485,891	474,422
Investments in equity securities	*2	150,760	152,969
Investments in and advances to affiliated companies		408,034	412,360
Other (including ¥176,029 million and ¥173,928 million measured at			
fair value by applying the fair value option as of March 31, 2018 and			
June 30, 2018, respectively)	*2, 10	908,134	918,737
Total other assets		2,291,803	2,296,171
Total assets		¥ 40,343,947	¥ 42,828,467

(1) Consolidated Balance Sheets (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

	Notes	Millions of yen March 31, June 30,		
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	Notes	2018	2018	
Short-term borrowings (including ¥372,188 million and				
¥425,137 million measured at fair value by applying the fair value				
option as of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018, respectively)	*2	¥ 743,497	¥ 1,029,404	
Payables and deposits:		,	,, .	
Payables to customers	*4	1,176,773	1,266,237	
Payables to other than customers		1,239,540	1,724,750	
Deposits received at banks	*2	1,151,342	1,147,823	
Total payables and deposits		3,567,655	4,138,810	
Collateralized financing:				
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (including				
¥435,905 million and ¥601,141 million measured at fair value by				
applying the fair value option as of March 31, 2018 and June 30,				
2018, respectively)	*2	14,759,010	16,624,743	
Securities loaned (including ¥133,375 million and ¥134,619 million				
measured at fair value by applying the fair value option as of	4.0	4 704 0 60	4 44 5 00 5	
March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018, respectively)	*2	1,524,363	1,415,907	
Other secured borrowings		413,621	419,162	
Total collateralized financing		16,696,994	18,459,812	
Trading liabilities	*2, 3	8,202,936	8,246,226	
Other liabilities (including ¥25,482 million and ¥21,963 million measured at fair value by applying the fair value option as of	·			
March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018, respectively)	*2, 10	950,534	779,688	
Long-term borrowings (including ¥2,857,835 million and				
¥2,912,067 million measured at fair value by applying the fair value				
option as of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018, respectively)	*2	7,382,507	7,329,328	
Total liabilities		37,544,123	39,983,268	
Commitments and contingencies	*15			
Equity:				
Nomura Holdings, Inc. (NHI) shareholders equity:				
Common stock				
No par value share				
Authorized 6,000,000,000 shares as of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018				
Issued 3,643,562,601 shares as of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018				

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Outstanding 3,392,937,486 shares as of March 31, 2018 and			
3,399,304,818 shares as of June 30, 2018		594,493	594,493
Additional paid-in capital		675,280	676,312
Retained earnings		1,696,890	1,703,081
Accumulated other comprehensive income	*14	(59,356)	(22,547)
Total NHI shareholders equity before treasury stock		2,907,307	2,951,339
Common stock held in treasury, at cost 250,625,115 shares as of			
March 31, 2018 and 244,257,783 shares as of June 30, 2018		(157,987)	(154,114)
Total NHI shareholders equity		2,749,320	2,797,225
Noncontrolling interests		50,504	47,974
Total equity		2,799,824	2,845,199
•			
Total liabilities and equity		¥ 40,343,947	¥ 42,828,467

(1) Consolidated Balance Sheets (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

The following table presents the classification of consolidated variable interest entities (VIEs) assets and liabilities included in the consolidated balance sheets above. The assets of a consolidated VIE may only be used to settle obligations of that VIE. Creditors do not typically have any recourse to Nomura beyond the assets held in the VIEs. See Note 7 Securitizations and Variable Interest Entities for further information.

	Billions of yen			
	Ma	March 31,		une 30,
		2018		2018
Cash and cash deposits	¥	23	¥	21
Trading assets and private equity investments		1,186		1,234
Other assets		91		90
Total assets	¥	1,300	¥	1,345
Trading liabilities	¥	22	¥	21
Other liabilities		2		2
Borrowings		953		936
Total liabilities	¥	977	¥	959

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

(2) Consolidated Statements of Income (UNAUDITED)

			Million Three ended	mon	ths
	Notes		2017		2018
Revenue:					
Commissions	*4	¥	90,968	¥	79,456
Fees from investment banking	*4		22,707		23,959
Asset management and portfolio service fees	*4		58,343		62,981
Net gain on trading	*2, 3		120,467		71,887
Gain on private equity investments			359		553
Interest and dividends			134,392		169,590
Gain on investments in equity securities			62		2,092
Other	*4		40,628		20,467
Total revenue			467,926		430,985
Interest expense			107,103		158,988
Net revenue			360,823		271,997
Non-interest expenses:					
Compensation and benefits			136,249		127,700
Commissions and floor brokerage	*4		23,775		20,935
Information processing and communications			44,569		40,961
Occupancy and related depreciation			17,056		16,376
Business development expenses			8,409		8,896
Other			53,322		43,486
Total non-interest expenses			283,380		258,354
Income before income taxes			77,443		13,643
Income tax expense	*13		19,405		6,930
Net income		¥	58,038	¥	6,713
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests			1,182		1,490
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders		¥	56,856	¥	5,223

		Y	en
		Three	months
		ended ,	June 30
	Notes	2017	2018
Per share of common stock:	*11		

Basic

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

Net income attributable to NHI shareholders per share	¥	16.07	¥	1.54
Diluted				
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders per share	¥	15.77	¥	1.50

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

(3) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (UNAUDITED)

	Millions of yen Three months ended June 30 2017 2018			d June 30
Net income	¥	58,038	¥	6,713
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Cumulative translation adjustments:				
Cumulative translation adjustments		3,086		32,356
Deferred income taxes		(321)		252
Total		2,765		32,608
Defined benefit pension plans:				
Pension liability adjustment		(1,709)		1,046
Deferred income taxes		103		(326)
Total		(1,606)		720
Non-trading securities:				
Net unrealized gain (loss) on non-trading securities		2,165		
Deferred income taxes		(474)		
Total		1,691		
Own credit adjustments:				
Own credit adjustments:		(6,077)		5,252
Deferred income taxes		1,211		(1,022)
Total		(4,866)		4,230
Total other comprehensive income (loss)		(2,016)		37,558
Comprehensive income (loss)	¥	56,022	¥	44,271
Less: Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests		1,730		2,239
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to NHI shareholders	¥	54,292	¥	42,032

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

(4) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity (UNAUDITED)

	Millions of yen Three months ended June 30 2017 2018			
Common stock				
Balance at beginning of year	¥	594,493	¥	594,493
Balance at end of period		594,493		594,493
Additional paid-in capital				
Balance at beginning of year		681,329		675,280
Stock-based compensation awards		(5,483)		1,032
Balance at end of period		675,846		676,312
Retained earnings				
Balance at beginning of year		1,663,234		1,696,890
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle ⁽¹⁾		,,-		1,564
Net income attributable to NHI shareholders		56,856		5,223
Gain (loss) on sales of treasury stock		(3,317)		(596)
Balance at end of period		1,716,773		1,703,081
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)				
Cumulative translation adjustments				
Balance at beginning of year		47,767		(15,596)
Net change during the period		2,602		31,859
Balance at end of period		50,369		16,263
Defined benefit pension plans				
Balance at beginning of year		(41,020)		(47,837)
Pension liability adjustment		(1,606)		720
Balance at end of period		(42,626)		(47,117)
Non-trading securities				
Balance at beginning of year		20,344		
Net unrealized gain on non-trading securities		1,306		
Balance at end of period		21,650		
Own credit adjustments				
Balance at beginning of year		6,561		4,077
Own credit adjustments		(4,866)		4,230
·				,

Balance at end of period		1,695		8,307
Balance at end of period		31,088		(22,547)
Common stock held in treasury				
•		(192.702)		(157 007)
Balance at beginning of year Repurchases of common stock		(182,792)		(157,987)
Sales of common stock		(3)		(2)
		11.507		
Common stock issued to employees		11,587		3,875
Other net change in treasury stock		28		
Balance at end of period		(171,180)		(154,114)
Total NHI shareholders equity				
Balance at end of period		2,847,020		2,797,225
Noncontrolling interests				
Balance at beginning of year		53,875		50,504
Cash dividends		(1,490)		(1,580)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests		1,182		1,490
Accumulated other comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling				
interests		548		749
Purchase / sale of subsidiary shares, net		3		415
Other net change in noncontrolling interests		9,651		(3,604)
Balance at end of period		63,769		47,974
Total equity				
Balance at end of period	¥	2,910,789	¥	2,845,199

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

⁽¹⁾ Represents the adjustment to initially apply Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers.

(5) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (UNAUDITED)

	Millions of yen Three months ended June 30 2017 2018			
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net income	¥	58,038	¥	6,713
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization		17,583		14,713
Gain on investments in equity securities		(62)		(2,092)
Deferred income taxes		5,317		(8,958)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Time deposits		14,151		34,187
Deposits with stock exchanges and other segregated cash		(33,365)		(14,754)
Trading assets and private equity investments ⁽¹⁾	(1	1,723,318)	((1,277,097)
Trading liabilities ⁽¹⁾		(146,568)		(112,878)
Securities purchased under agreements to resell, net of securities sold under				
agreements to repurchase		40,051	((1,577,351)
Securities borrowed, net of securities loaned]	1,831,942		1,986,260
Other secured borrowings		(11,731)		2,171
Loans and receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts ⁽¹⁾		(441,981)		485,438
Payables ⁽¹⁾		(12,905)		563,952
Bonus accrual		(89,088)		(98,238)
Accrued income taxes, net		(17,556)		(18,698)
Other, net ⁽¹⁾		2,870		(69,564)
Net cash used in operating activities		(506,622)		(86,196)
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Payments for purchases of office buildings, land, equipment and facilities		(55,201)		(87,275)
Proceeds from sales of office buildings, land, equipment and facilities		33,803		81,411
Payments for purchases of investments in equity securities		(61)		
Proceeds from sales of investments in equity securities		63		168
Decrease in loans receivable at banks, net		6,384		3,329
Decrease in non-trading debt securities, net		5,602		13,059
Other, net		5,071		2,108
Net cash provided used in by investing activities		(4,339)		12,800
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Increase in long-term borrowings		647,768		306,472
Decrease in long-term borrowings		(393,821)		(403,530)
Increase in short-term borrowings, net		53,273		273,647
Increase in deposits received at banks, net		23,682		323
Proceeds from sales of common stock held in treasury		100		65

Payments for repurchases of common stock held in treasury	(3)	(2)
Payments for cash dividends	(38,821)	(37,326)
Net cash provided by financing activities	292,178	139,649
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents ⁽²⁾	2,739	42,118
Net increase (decrease) in cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents ⁽²⁾	(216,044)	108,371
Cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents at beginning of year ⁽²⁾	2,537,066	2,354,868
Cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents at end of period ⁽²⁾	¥ 2,321,022	¥ 2,463,239
Supplemental information:		
Cash paid during the period for		
Interest	¥ 112,064	¥ 155,390
Income tax payments, net	¥ 31,644	¥ 34,587

- (1) Due to changes in accounting policy which Nomura adopted on April 1, 2018, certain reclassifications of amounts previously reported amounts have been made to conform to the current year presentation. See Item 4. Financial Information, 1. Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 1. Basis of Accounting for further details.
- (2) In accordance with ASU 2016-18 Restricted Cash which Nomura adopted on April 1, 2018, certain reclassification of amounts previously reported as cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents for the three months ended June 30, 2017 have been made to conform to the current year presentation.

26

The following table presents a reconciliation of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents as reported within the consolidated balance sheets to the total of the same such amounts shown in the statements of cash flows above. Restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents are amounts where access, withdrawal or usage by Nomura is substantively prohibited by a third party entity outside of the Nomura group.

	Tł	Million nree moi Jun	•	
	2	017	2	018
Cash and cash equivalents reported in Cash and cash equivalents	¥2,3	20,788	¥ 2,4	63,050
Restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents reported in Deposits with stock exchanges				
and other segregated cash	¥	234	¥	189
Total cash, cash equivalent, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents	¥2,3	21,022	¥2,4	63,239

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (UNAUDITED)

1. Basis of accounting:

In December 2001, Nomura Holdings, Inc. (the Company) filed a registration statement, in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in order to list its American Depositary Shares (ADS) on the New York Stock Exchange. Since then, the Company has had an obligation to file an annual report on Form 20-F with the SEC in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Therefore, the Company and other entities in which it has a controlling financial interest (collectively Nomura) prepares consolidated financial statements in accordance with the accounting principles, procedures and presentations which are required in order to issue ADS, i.e., U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP), pursuant to Article 95 of Regulations Concerning the Terminology, Forms and Preparation Methods of Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements (Cabinet Office Ordinance No. 64, 2007).

The following paragraphs describe the major differences between U.S. GAAP applied by Nomura and accounting principles generally accepted in Japan (Japanese GAAP) for the three months ended June 30, 2018. Where the effect of these major differences are significant to *Income before income taxes*, Nomura discloses as (higher) or (lower) below the amount by which *Income before income taxes* based on U.S. GAAP was higher or lower than Japanese GAAP, respectively.

Scope of consolidation

Under U.S. GAAP, the scope of consolidation is mainly determined by the ownership of a majority of the voting interests in an entity or by identifying the primary beneficiary of variable interest entities. Under Japanese GAAP, the scope of consolidation is determined by a financial controlling model , which takes into account the ownership level of voting interests in an entity and other factors.

Unrealized gains and losses on investments in equity securities

Under U.S. GAAP applicable to broker-dealers, minority investments in equity securities are measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. Under Japanese GAAP, these investments are also measured at fair value, but unrealized gains and losses, net of applicable income taxes, are reported in other comprehensive income. *Income before income taxes* prepared under U.S. GAAP, therefore, was ¥19 million (higher) and ¥2,047 million (higher) for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2018, respectively.

Unrealized gains and losses on non-trading debt and equity securities

Under U.S. GAAP applicable to broker-dealers, non-trading securities are measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. Under Japanese GAAP, these securities are also measured at fair value, but unrealized gains and losses, net of applicable income taxes, are reported in other comprehensive income. *Income before income taxes* prepared under U.S. GAAP, therefore, was ¥159 million (higher) and ¥811 million (lower) for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2018, respectively for non-trading debt securities. *Income before income taxes* prepared under U.S. GAAP was ¥600 million (higher) and ¥406 million (higher) for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2018, respectively for non-trading equity securities.

Retirement and severance benefits

Under U.S. GAAP, gains or losses resulting from either experience that is different from an actuarial assumption or a change in assumption is amortized over the average remaining service period of employees when a net gain or loss at the beginning of the year exceeds the Corridor which is defined as 10% of the larger of projected benefit obligation or the fair value of plan assets. Under Japanese GAAP, these gains or losses are amortized over a certain period regardless of the Corridor.

28

Amortization of goodwill and equity method goodwill

Under U.S. GAAP, goodwill is not amortized and is tested for impairment periodically. Under Japanese GAAP, goodwill is amortized over a certain periods of less than 20 years using the straight-line method. Therefore, under U.S. GAAP, *Income before income taxes* was ¥1,799 million (higher) and ¥1,722 million (higher) for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2018, respectively.

Changes in the fair value of derivative contracts

Under U.S. GAAP, all derivative contracts, including derivative contracts that have been designated as hedges of specific assets or specific liabilities, are carried at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized either in earnings or other comprehensive income. Under Japanese GAAP, derivative contracts that have been entered into for hedging purposes are carried at fair value with changes in fair value, net of applicable income taxes, recognized in other comprehensive income.

Fair value for financial assets and financial liabilities

Under U.S. GAAP, the fair value option may be elected for eligible financial assets and financial liabilities which would otherwise be carried on a basis other than fair value (the fair value option). Where the fair value option is elected, the financial asset or liability is carried at fair value with changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. Under Japanese GAAP, the fair value option is not permitted. Therefore, under U.S. GAAP, *Income before income taxes* was ¥482 million (higher) and ¥3,743 million (lower) for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2018, respectively. In addition, non-marketable equity securities which are carried at fair value under U.S. GAAP applicable to broker-dealers are carried at cost less impairment loss under Japanese GAAP.

Offsetting of amounts related to certain contracts

Under U.S. GAAP, an entity that is party to a master netting arrangement is permitted to offset fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral (a receivable) or the obligation to return cash collateral (a payable) against fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments that have been offset under the same master netting arrangement. Under Japanese GAAP, offsetting of such amounts is not permitted.

Stock issuance costs

Under U.S. GAAP, stock issuance costs are deducted from capital. Under Japanese GAAP, stock issuance costs are either immediately expensed or capitalized as a deferred asset and amortized over periods of up to three years using the straight-line method.

Accounting for change in controlling interest in a consolidated subsidiary s shares

Under U.S. GAAP, when a parent s ownership interest decreases as a result of sales of a subsidiary s common shares by the parent and such subsidiary becomes an equity method investee, the parent s remaining investment in the former subsidiary is measured at fair value as of the date of loss of a controlling interest and a related valuation gain or loss is recognized in earnings. Under Japanese GAAP, the remaining investment on the parent s consolidated balance sheet is calculated as the sum of the carrying amount of investment in the equity method investee recorded in the parent s stand-alone balance sheet as adjusted for the share of net income or losses and other adjustments from initial acquisition through to the date of loss of a controlling interest multiplied by the ratio of the remaining shareholding percentage against the holding percentage prior to loss of control.

ASU 2016-15,

New accounting pronouncements recently adopted

The following table presents a summary of new accounting pronouncements relevant to Nomura which have been adopted during the three months ended June 30, 2018:

Pronouncement ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers ⁽¹⁾	Summary of new guidance Replaces existing revenue recognition guidance ASC 605 Revenue Recognition and certain industry-specific revenue recognition guidance with a new prescriptive model for recognition of revenue for services provided to customers.	Actual adoption date and method of adoption iModified retrospective adoption from April 1, 2018.	Effect on these consolidated statements ¥1,564 million adjustment to Retained earnings, ¥517 million adjustment to Payables to other than customers,
	Introduces specific guidance for the treatment of variable consideration, non-cash consideration, significant financing arrangements and amounts payable to the customer.	Ē	¥1,750 million adjustment to Other long-term assets, and ¥703 million to Deferred tax assets due to change in timing of
	Revises existing guidance for principal-versus-agency determination.		recognition of revenues from sales of certain investment funds upon adoption on
	Requires revenue recognition and measurement principles to be applied to sales of nonfinancial and in substance nonfinancial assets to noncustomers.		April 1, 2018. Other transitional changes were not significant. ⁽²⁾
	Specifies the accounting for costs to obtain or fulfill a customer contract.		
	Requires extensive new footnote disclosures around nature and type of revenue from services		

Table of Contents 58

and cash payments in the statement of cash flows. adoption from

Amends the classification of certain cash receiptsFull retrospective ¥189 million of

restricted cash and

provided to customers.

Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash April 1, 2018.

Payments and ASU Requires movements in restricted cash and 2016-18, Restricted Cashrestricted cash equivalents to be presented as part of cash and cash equivalents in the statement of cash flows.

Requires new disclosures on the nature and amount of restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents.

restricted cash equivalents are now presented with cash and cash equivalents in the statements of cash flows during the quarter ended June 30, 2018 and similar reclassifications have been made in comparative periods presented. See the reconciliation table provided with the statements of cash flows for further details.

30

		Expected adoption	
		date and method of	Effect on these consolidated
Pronouncement	Summary of new guidance	adoption	statements
ASU 2017-07,	Clarifies the service cost component of net period	di E ull	¥280 million
Improving the Presentation of Net Periodic Pension Cost and Net Periodic Postretirement Benefit	pension cost to be reported in the same income statement line item as compensation costs arising from other services.	retrospective adoption from April 1, 2018.	reclassification from Compensation and benefits to Other expenses. Impacts on comparative
Cost	Clarifies only the service cost component is eligible for capitalization as an asset when applicable.		periods presented were immaterial.

- (1) As subsequently amended by ASU 2015-14 Revenue from Contracts with Customers Deferral of the Effective Date, ASU 2016-08 Revenue from Contracts with Customers Principal versus Agent Considerations, ASU 2016-10 Revenue from Contracts with Customers Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing and certain other Accounting Standard Updates.
- (2) See Note 4 *Revenue from services provided to customers* for new disclosures of revenues from services have been made and for further details of the impact of adoption of the new guidance.

 In addition, Nomura changed its accounting policy for derivative transactions as follows.

Nomura collects and remits cash margin between institutional investors and central clearing houses in its execution and clearing services of exchange-traded derivative transactions. Cash margin remitted to central clearing houses was reflected on Nomura s consolidated balance sheets. However, with effect from April 1, 2018, revisiting nature of the transactions, Nomura has revised its accounting policy for when such balances are recognized on Nomura s consolidated group balance sheet and as a result, certain cash margin amounts as well as an equivalent amount reflecting the obligation to return such amounts to clients are no longer recognized on the balance sheet if certain criteria are met. Nomura has restated previously reported amounts of *Receivables from other than customers* decreased by ¥237.0 billion and *Payables to other than customers* decreased by ¥237.0 billion, respectively, to conform to the current presentation.

Daily variation margin for certain derivative transactions traded in Japan was reflected on Nomura s consolidated balance sheets. However, from April 1, 2018, Nomura changed its accounting policy as a result of amendment of the rules of a specific central clearing house and daily variation margin and related derivative assets and liabilities are no longer recognized on the balance sheet. Nomura has restated previously reported amounts of *Trading assets* decreased by ¥4.9 billion, *Receivables from other than customers* decreased by ¥5.5 billion and *Trading liabilities* decreased by ¥10.4 billion respectively to conform to the current presentation.

Future accounting developments

The following table presents a summary of new authoritative accounting pronouncements relevant to Nomura which will be adopted on or after April 1, 2019 and which may have a material impact on these financial statements:

Pronouncement ASU 2016-02, Leases ⁽¹⁾	Summary of new guidance Replaces ASC 840 Leases, the current guide on lease accounting, and revised the definition of a lease.	Expected adoption date and method of adoption aModified retrospective adoption from April 1, 2019. ⁽²⁾	Effect on these consolidated statements Currently evaluating the potential impact however a gross up of Nomura s
	Requires all lessees to recognize a right of use asset and corresponding lease liability on balance sheet.		balance sheet is expected on adoption date and in subsequent reporting periods.
	Lessor accounting is largely unchanged from current guidance.		
	Simplifies the accounting for sale leaseback and build-to-suit leases.	ad	
	Requires extensive new qualitative and quantitative footnote disclosures on lease arrangements.		
ASU 2016-13, Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments	Introduces a new model for recognition and measurement of credit losses against certain financial instruments such as loans, debt securities and receivables which are not carried at fair value with changes in fair value recognized through earnings. The model also applies to off balance sheet credit exposures such as written loan commitments, standby letters of credit and issued financial guarantees	Modified retrospective adoption from April 1, 2020. ⁽²⁾	Currently evaluating the potential impact but an overall increase in allowances for credit losses are expected to be recognized against

Table of Contents 61

financial

instruments in scope of the new

not accounted for as insurance, which are not

carried at fair value through earnings.

The new model based on lifetime current expected credit losses (CECL) measurement, to be recognized at the time an in-scope instrument is originated, acquired or issued.

model which will impact earnings in subsequent reporting periods.

Replaces existing incurred credit losses model under current GAAP.

Requires enhanced qualitative and quantitative disclosures around credit risk, the methodology used to estimate and monitor expected credit losses and changes in estimates of expected credit losses.

- (1) As subsequently amended by ASU 2018-01 Land Easement Practical Expedient for Transition to Topic 842, ASU 2018-10 Codification Improvements to Topic 842, Leases, and ASU 2018-11 Leases (Topic 842): Targeted Improvements.
- (2) Unless Nomura early adopts which is considered unlikely as of the date of these consolidated financial statements.

32

2. Fair value measurements:

The fair value of financial instruments

A significant amount of Nomura s financial instruments are measured at fair value. Financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis are reported in the consolidated balance sheets within *Trading assets and private equity investments, Loans and receivables, Collateralized agreements* and *Other assets*. Financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are reported within *Trading liabilities, Short-term borrowings, Payables and deposits, Collateralized financing, Long-term borrowings* and *Other liabilities*.

Other financial assets and financial liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, where the primary measurement basis is not fair value but where fair value is used in specific circumstances after initial recognition, such as to measure impairment.

In all cases, fair value is determined in accordance with ASC 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820) which defines fair value as the amount that would be exchanged to sell a financial asset or transfer a financial liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. It assumes that the transaction occurs in the principal market for the relevant financial assets or financial liabilities, or in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market.

Fair value is usually determined on an individual financial instrument basis consistent with the unit of account of the financial instrument. However, certain financial instruments managed on a portfolio basis are valued as a portfolio, namely based on the price that would be received to sell a net long position (i.e., a net financial asset) or transfer a net short position (i.e., a net financial liability) consistent with how market participants would price the net risk exposure at the measurement date.

Financial assets measured at fair value also include investments in certain funds where, as a practical expedient, fair value is determined on the basis of net asset value per share (NAV per share) if the NAV per share is calculated in accordance with certain industry standard principles.

Increases and decreases in the fair value of assets and liabilities will significantly impact Nomura s position, performance, liquidity and capital resources. As explained below, valuation techniques applied contain inherent uncertainties and Nomura is unable to predict the accurate impact of future developments in the market. Where appropriate, Nomura uses economic hedging strategies to mitigate its risk, although these hedges are also subject to unpredictable movements in the market.

Valuation methodology for financial instruments carried at fair value on a recurring basis

The fair value of financial instruments is based on quoted market prices including market indices, broker or dealer quotations or an estimation by management of the expected exit price under current market conditions. Various financial instruments, including cash instruments and over-the-counter (OTC) contracts, have bid and offer prices that are observable in the market. These are measured at the point within the bid-offer range which best represents Nomura's estimate of fair value. Where quoted market prices or broker or dealer quotations are not available, prices for similar instruments or valuation pricing models are considered in the determination of fair value.

Where quoted prices are available in active markets, no valuation adjustments are taken to modify the fair value of assets or liabilities marked using such prices. Other instruments may be measured using valuation techniques, such as valuation pricing models incorporating observable valuation inputs, unobservable parameters or a combination of

both. Valuation pricing models use valuation inputs which would be considered by market participants in valuing similar financial instruments.

Valuation pricing models and their underlying assumptions impact the amount and timing of unrealized and realized gains and losses recognized, and the use of different valuation pricing models or underlying assumptions could produce different financial results. Valuation uncertainty results from a variety of factors, including the valuation technique or model selected, the quantitative assumptions used within the valuation model, the inputs into the model, as well as other factors. Valuation adjustments are used to reflect the assessment of this uncertainty. Common valuation adjustments include model reserves, credit adjustments, close-out adjustments, and other appropriate instrument-specific adjustments, such as those to reflect transfer or sale restrictions.

The level of adjustments is largely judgmental and is based on an assessment of the factors that management believe other market participants would use in determining the fair value of similar financial instruments. The type of adjustments taken, the methodology for the calculation of these adjustments, and the valuation inputs for these calculations are reassessed periodically to reflect current market practice and the availability of new information.

For example, the fair value of certain financial instruments includes adjustments for credit risk; both with regards to counterparty credit risk on positions held and Nomura s own creditworthiness on positions issued. Credit risk on financial assets is significantly mitigated by credit enhancements such as collateral and netting arrangements. Any net credit exposure is measured using available and applicable valuation inputs for the relevant counterparty. The same approach is used to measure the credit exposure on Nomura s financial liabilities as is used to measure counterparty credit risk on Nomura s financial assets.

Such valuation pricing models are calibrated to the market on a regular basis and inputs used are adjusted for current market conditions and risks. The Global Model Validation Group (MVG) within Nomura s Risk Management Department reviews pricing models and assesses model appropriateness and consistency independently of the front office. The model reviews consider a number of factors about a model s suitability for valuation and sensitivity of a particular product. Valuation models are calibrated to the market on a periodic basis by comparison to observable market pricing, comparison with alternative models and analysis of risk profiles.

As explained above, any changes in fixed income, equity, foreign exchange and commodity markets can impact Nomura s estimates of fair value in the future, potentially affecting trading gains and losses. Where financial contracts have longer maturity dates, Nomura s estimates of fair value may involve greater subjectivity due to the lack of transparent market data.

Fair value hierarchy

All financial instruments measured at fair value, including those measured at fair value using the fair value option, have been categorized into a three-level hierarchy (fair value hierarchy) based on the transparency of valuation inputs used by Nomura to estimate fair value. A financial instrument is classified in the fair value hierarchy based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the financial instrument. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are defined as follows, with Level 1 representing the most transparent inputs and Level 3 representing the least transparent inputs:

Level 1:

Observable valuation inputs that reflect quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical financial instruments traded in active markets at the measurement date.

Level 2:

Valuation inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are either directly or indirectly observable for the financial instrument.

Level 3:

Unobservable valuation inputs which reflect Nomura assumptions and specific data.

The availability of valuation inputs observable in the market varies by product and can be affected by a variety of factors. Significant factors include, but are not restricted to the prevalence of similar products in the market, especially for customized products, how established the product is in the market, for example, whether it is a new product or is relatively mature, and the reliability of information provided in the market which would depend, for example, on the frequency and volume of current data. A period of significant change in the market may reduce the availability of observable data. Under such circumstances, financial instruments may be reclassified into a lower level in the fair value hierarchy.

Significant judgments used in determining the classification of financial instruments include the nature of the market in which the product would be traded, the underlying risks, the type and liquidity of market data inputs and the nature of observed transactions for similar instruments.

Where valuation models include the use of valuation inputs which are less observable or unobservable in the market, significant management judgment is used in establishing fair value. The valuations for Level 3 financial instruments, therefore, involve a greater degree of judgment than those valuations for Level 1 or Level 2 financial instruments.

Certain criteria management use to determine whether a market is active or inactive include the number of transactions, the frequency that pricing is updated by other market participants, the variability of price quotes among market participants, and the amount of publicly available information.

34

The following tables present the amounts of Nomura s financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018 within the fair value hierarchy.

			Marc	ons of yen h 31, 2018 Counterparty and Cash Collateral	Balance as of	
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting ⁽¹⁾	March 31, 2018	3
Assets:						
Trading assets and private equity investments ⁽²⁾						
Equities ⁽³⁾	¥ 1,741	¥ 907	¥ 21	¥	¥ 2,669)
Private equity investments ⁽³⁾		3	3		6	
Japanese government securities	2,205				2,205	j
Japanese agency and municipal securities		188	1		189)
Foreign government, agency and municipal						
securities	2,980	1,234	6		4,220)
Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for						
trading purposes		1,186	139		1,325	į
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)		2	2		4	F
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)		2,803	0		2,803	,
Real estate-backed securities			63		63	,
Collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) and other		62	24		86)
Investment trust funds and other	271	67	1		339)
Total trading assets and private equity investments	7,197	6,452	260		13,909)
Derivative assets ⁽⁵⁾⁽¹³⁾						
Equity contracts	2	973	36		1,011	
Interest rate contracts	16	8,009	71		8,096)
Credit contracts	0	498	17		515	j
Foreign exchange contracts	0	5,447	48		5,495	j
Commodity contracts	1	0			1	
Netting				(14,094)	(14,094	F)
Total derivative assets	19	14,927	172	(14,094)	1,024	
Subtotal	¥7,216	¥21,379	¥ 432	¥ (14,094)	¥ 14,933	,
Loans and receivables ⁽⁶⁾		484	70		554	Ļ
Collateralized agreements ⁽⁷⁾		1,181	5		1,186	
Other assets		,			, , ,	
Non-trading debt securities	133	353			486)
Other $(2)(3)$	463	15	169		647	
					3.,	
Total	¥7,812	¥23,412	¥ 676	¥ (14,094)	¥ 17,806)

10	hı	111100 ·	
1 4 4	111	lities:	

Diamines.								
Trading liabilities								
Equities	¥ 1,146	¥ 191	¥	1	¥		¥	1,338
Japanese government securities	2,263							2,263
Japanese agency and municipal securities		1						1
Foreign government, agency and municipal								
securities	2,786	590						3,376
Bank and corporate debt securities		391		0				391
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)		1						1
Collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) and other		3		0				3
Investment trust funds and other	71	25	i	0				96
Total trading liabilities	6,266	1,202	2	1				7,469
Derivative liabilities ⁽⁵⁾⁽¹³⁾								
Equity contracts	1	1,080)	37				1,118
Interest rate contracts	9	7,427	'	124				7,560
Credit contracts	0	410)	15				425
Foreign exchange contracts	0	5,066)	21				5,087
Commodity contracts	1	()					1
Netting						(13,457)		(13,457)
Total derivative liabilities	11	13,983	\	197		(13,457)		734
Subtotal	¥ 6,277	¥ 15,185	¥	198	¥	(13,457)	¥	8,203
Short-term borrowings ⁽⁸⁾	¥	¥ 355	¥	17	¥		¥	372
Payables and deposits ⁽⁹⁾		()	(1)				(1)
Collateralized financing ⁽⁷⁾		566)	3				569
Long-term borrowings ⁽⁸⁾⁽¹⁰⁾⁽¹¹⁾	18	2,403	,	429				2,850
Other liabilities ⁽¹²⁾	293	33	1	1				327
Total	¥ 6,588	¥ 18,542	¥	647	¥	(13,457)	¥	12,320

		Billions of yen June 30, 2018 Counterparty and Cash							
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Collateral Netting ⁽¹⁾		ance as of 2018			
Assets:									
Trading assets and private equity investments ⁽²⁾									
Equities ⁽³⁾	¥ 1,616	¥ 920	¥ 21	¥	¥	2,557			
Private equity investments ⁽³⁾		3	9			12			
Japanese government securities	3,560					3,560			
Japanese agency and municipal securities		171	1			172			
Foreign government, agency and municipal									
securities	3,348	1,080	5			4,433			
Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for									
trading purposes		1,128	142			1,270			
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)		2	3			5			
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)		3,022	1			3,023			
Real estate-backed securities			63			63			
Collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) and other		67	22			89			
Investment trust funds and other	352	65	1			418			
Total trading assets and private equity investments	8,876	6,458	268			15,602			
Derivative assets ⁽⁵⁾									
Equity contracts	5	960	31			996			
Interest rate contracts	13	7,834	51			7,898			
Credit contracts	1	493	23			517			
Foreign exchange contracts	1	6,391	43			6,435			
Commodity contracts	1	0				1			
Netting				(14,888)		(14,888)			
Total derivative assets	21	15,678	148	(14,888)		959			
Subtotal	¥8,897	¥22,136	¥ 416	¥ (14,888)	¥	16,561			
Loans and receivables ⁽⁶⁾		510	87			597			
Collateralized agreements ⁽⁷⁾		1,356	5			1,361			
Other assets		,				,			
Non-trading debt securities	127	347				474			
Other $^{(2)(3)}$	443	10	177			630			
Total	¥9,467	¥24,359	¥ 685	¥ (14,888)	¥	19,623			
Liabilities:									
Trading liabilities									
Equities	¥ 1,185	¥ 182	¥ 1	¥	¥	1,368			

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

- (1) Represents the amount offset under counterparty netting of derivative assets and liabilities as well as cash collateral netting against net derivatives.
- (2) Certain investments that are measured at fair value using net asset value per share as a practical expedient have not been classified in the fair value hierarchy. As of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018, the fair values of these investments which are included in *Trading assets and private equity investments* were ¥47 billion and ¥35 billion, respectively. As of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018, the fair values of these investments which are included in *Other assets Others* were ¥2 billion and ¥2 billion, respectively.
- (3) Includes equity investments that would have been accounted for under the equity method had Nomura not chosen to elect the fair value option.
- (4) Includes collateralized loan obligations (CLOs) and asset-backed securities (ABS) such as those secured on credit card loans, auto loans and student loans.
- (5) Each derivative classification includes derivatives with multiple risk underlyings. For example, interest rate contracts include complex derivatives referencing interest rate risk as well as foreign exchange risk or other factors such as prepayment rates. Credit contracts include credit default swaps as well as derivatives referencing corporate and government debt securities.
- (6) Includes loans for which the fair value option has been elected.
- (7) Includes collateralized agreements or collateralized financing for which the fair value option has been elected.
- (8) Includes structured notes for which the fair value option has been elected.
- (9) Includes embedded derivatives bifurcated from deposits received at banks. If unrealized gains are greater than unrealized losses, deposits are reduced by the excess amount.
- (10) Includes embedded derivatives bifurcated from issued structured notes. If unrealized gains are greater than unrealized losses, borrowings are reduced by the excess amount.
- (11) Includes liabilities recognized from secured financing transactions that are accounted for as financings rather than sales. Nomura elected the fair value option for these liabilities.
- (12) Includes loan commitments for which the fair value option has been elected.
- (13) Due to the changes in our accounting policy which Nomura adopted on April 1, 2018, certain reclassifications of previously reported amounts have been made to conform to the current year presentation. Please refer to Note 1.

 Basis of accounting for further details.**

Valuation techniques by major class of financial instrument

The valuation techniques used by Nomura to estimate fair value for major classes of financial instruments, together with the significant inputs which determine classification in the fair value hierarchy, are as follows.

Equities and equity securities reported within Other assets Equities and equity securities reported within Other assets include direct holdings of both listed and unlisted equity securities, and fund investments. The fair value of listed equity securities is determined using quoted prices for identical securities from active markets where available. These valuations should be in line with market practice and therefore can be based on bid prices or mid-market prices. Nomura determines whether the market is active depending on the sufficiency and frequency of trading activity. Where these securities are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy, no valuation adjustments are made to fair value. Listed equity securities traded in inactive markets are also generally valued using the exchange price and are classified in Level 2. Whilst rare in practice, Nomura may apply a discount or liquidity adjustment to the exchange price of a listed equity security traded in an inactive market if the exchange price is not considered to be an appropriate representation of fair value. These adjustments are determined by individual security and are not determined or influenced by the size of holding. The amount of such adjustments made to listed equity securities traded in inactive markets was \(\frac{1}{2}\) and June 30, 2018, respectively. The fair value of unlisted equity securities is determined using the same methodology as private equity investments described below and are

usually classified in Level 3 because significant valuation inputs such as liquidity discounts and credit spreads are unobservable. As a practical expedient, fund investments which do not have a readily determinable fair value are generally valued using NAV per share where available. Publicly traded mutual funds which are valued using a daily NAV per share are classified in Level 1. Fund investments where Nomura has the ability to redeem its investment with the investee at NAV per share as of the balance sheet date or within the near term are classified in Level 2. Fund investments where Nomura does not have the ability to redeem in the near term or does not know when it can redeem are classified in Level 3.

Private equity investments The determination of fair value of unlisted private equity investments requires significant management judgment because the investments, by their nature, have little or no price transparency. Private equity investments are initially carried at cost as an approximation of fair value. Adjustments to carrying value are made if there is third-party evidence of a change in value. Adjustments are also made, in the absence of third-party transactions, if it is determined that the expected exit price of the investment is different from carrying value. In reaching that determination, Nomura primarily uses either a discounted cash flow (DCF) or market multiple valuation technique. A DCF valuation technique incorporates estimated future cash flows to be generated from the underlying investee, as adjusted for an appropriate growth rate discounted at a weighted average cost of capital (WACC). Market multiple valuation techniques include comparables such as Enterprise Value/earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EV/EBITDA) ratios, Price/Earnings (PE) ratios, Price/Book ratios, Price/Embedded Value ratios and other multiples based on relationships between numbers reported in the financial statements of the investee and the price of comparable companies. A liquidity discount may also be applied to either a DCF or market multiple valuation to reflect the specific characteristics of the investee. The liquidity discount includes considerations for various uncertainties in the model and inputs to valuation. Where possible these valuations are compared with the operating cash flows and financial performance of the investee or properties relative to budgets or projections, price/earnings data for similar quoted companies, trends within sectors and/or regions and any specific rights or terms associated with the investment, such as conversion features and liquidation preferences. Private equity investments are generally classified in Level 3 since the valuation inputs such as those mentioned above are usually unobservable.

Government, agency and municipal securities The fair value of Japanese and other G7 government securities is primarily determined using quoted market prices, executable broker or dealer quotations, or alternative pricing sources. These securities are traded in active markets and therefore are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Non-G7 government securities, agency securities and municipal securities are valued using similar pricing sources but are generally classified in Level 2 as they are traded in inactive markets. Certain non-G7 securities may be classified in Level 1 because they are traded in active markets. Certain securities may be classified in Level 3 because they are traded infrequently and there is not sufficient information from comparable securities to classify them in Level 2. These are valued using DCF valuation techniques which include significant unobservable inputs such as credit spreads of the issuer.

Bank and corporate debt securities The fair value of bank and corporate debt securities is primarily determined using DCF valuation techniques but also using broker or dealer quotations and recent market transactions of identical or similar debt securities, if available. Consideration is given to the nature of the broker and dealer quotations, namely whether these are indicative or executable, the number of available quotations and how these quotations compare to any available recent market activity or alternative pricing sources. The significant valuation inputs used for DCF valuations are yield curves, asset swap spreads, recovery rates and credit spreads of the issuer. Bank and corporate debt securities are generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy because these valuation inputs are usually observable or market-corroborated. Certain bank and corporate debt securities will be classified in Level 3 because they are traded infrequently and there is insufficient information from comparable securities to classify them in Level 2, or credit spreads or recovery rates of the issuer used in DCF valuations are unobservable.

Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) and Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) The fair value of CMBS and RMBS is primarily determined using DCF valuation techniques but also using broker or dealer quotations and recent market transactions of identical or similar securities, if available. Consideration is given to the nature of the broker and dealer quotations, namely whether these are indicative or executable, the number of available quotations and how these quotations compare to any available recent market activity or alternative pricing sources. The significant valuation inputs include yields, prepayment rates, default probabilities and loss severities. CMBS and RMBS securities are generally classified in Level 2 because these valuation inputs are observable or market-corroborated. Certain CMBS and RMBS positions will be classified in Level 3 because they are traded

infrequently and there is insufficient information from comparable securities to classify them in Level 2, or one or more of the significant valuation inputs used in DCF valuations are unobservable.

Real estate-backed securities The fair value of real estate-backed securities is determined using broker or dealer quotations, recent market transactions or by reference to a comparable market index. Consideration is given to the nature of the broker and dealer quotations, namely whether these are indicative or executable, the number of available quotations and how these quotations compare to any available recent market activity or alternative pricing sources. Where all significant inputs are observable, the securities will be classified in Level 2. For certain securities, no direct pricing sources or comparable securities or indices may be available. These securities are valued using DCF or valuation techniques and are classified in Level 3 as the valuation includes significant unobservable valuation inputs such as yields or loss severities.

Collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) and other The fair value of CDOs is primarily determined using DCF valuation techniques but also using broker or dealer quotations and recent market transactions of identical or similar securities, if available. Consideration is given to the nature of the broker and dealer quotations, namely whether these are indicative or executable, the number of available quotations and how these quotations compare to any available recent market activity or alternative pricing sources. The significant valuation inputs used include market spread data for each credit rating, yields, prepayment rates, default probabilities and loss severities. CDOs are generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy because these valuation inputs are observable or market-corroborated. CDOs will be classified in Level 3 where one or more of the significant valuation inputs used in the DCF valuations are unobservable.

Investment trust funds and other The fair value of investment trust funds is primarily determined using NAV per share. Publicly traded funds which are valued using a daily NAV per share are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. For funds that are not publicly traded but Nomura has the ability to redeem its investment with the investee at NAV per share on the balance sheet date or within the near term, the investments are classified in Level 2. Investments where Nomura does not have the ability to redeem in the near term or does not know when it can redeem are classified in Level 3. The fair value of certain other investments reported within Investment trust funds and other is determined using DCF valuation techniques. These investments are classified in Level 3 as the valuation includes significant unobservable valuation inputs such as credit spreads of issuer and correlation.

Derivatives Equity contracts Nomura enters into both exchange-traded and OTC equity derivative transactions such as index and equity options, equity basket options and index and equity swaps. Where these derivatives are traded in active markets and the exchange price is representative of fair value, the fair value of exchange-traded equity derivatives is determined using an unadjusted exchange price and classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of exchange-traded equity derivatives which are traded in inactive markets or where the exchange price is not representative of fair value is determined using a model price and are classified in Level 2. The fair value of OTC equity derivatives is determined through option models such as Black-Scholes and Monte Carlo simulation. The significant valuation inputs used include equity prices, dividend yields, volatilities and correlations. Valuation adjustments are also made to model valuations in order to reflect counterparty credit risk on derivative assets and Nomura s own creditworthiness on derivative liabilities. OTC equity derivatives are generally classified in Level 2 because all significant valuation inputs and adjustments are observable or market-corroborated. Certain less liquid vanilla or more complex equity derivatives are classified in Level 3 where dividend yield, volatility or correlation valuation inputs are significant and unobservable.

Derivatives Interest rate contracts Nomura enters into both exchange-traded and OTC interest rate derivative transactions such as interest rate swaps, currency swaps, interest rate options, forward rate agreements, swaptions, caps and floors. Where these derivatives are traded in active markets and the exchange price is representative of fair value, the fair value of exchange-traded interest rate derivatives is determined using an unadjusted exchange price and classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of exchange-traded interest rate derivatives which are traded in inactive markets or where the exchange price is not representative of fair value is determined using a model price and are classified in Level 2. The fair value of OTC interest rate derivatives is determined through DCF valuation techniques as well as option models such as Black-Scholes and Monte Carlo simulation. The significant valuation inputs used include interest rates, forward foreign exchange (FX) rates, volatilities and correlations. Valuation adjustments are also made to model valuations in order to reflect counterparty credit risk on derivative assets and Nomura s own creditworthiness on derivative liabilities. OTC interest rate derivatives are generally classified in Level 2 because all significant valuation inputs and adjustments are observable or market-corroborated. Certain less liquid vanilla or more complex OTC interest rate derivatives are classified in Level 3 where interest rate, volatility or correlation valuation inputs are significant and unobservable.

Derivatives Credit contracts Nomura enters into OTC credit derivative transactions such as credit default swaps and credit options on single names, indices or baskets of assets. The fair value of OTC credit derivatives is determined through DCF valuation techniques as well as option models such as Black-Scholes and Monte Carlo simulation. The significant valuation inputs used include interest rates, credit spreads, recovery rates, default probabilities, volatilities and correlations. Valuation adjustments are also made to model valuations in order to reflect counterparty credit risk on derivative assets and Nomura s own creditworthiness on derivative liabilities. OTC credit derivatives are generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy because all significant valuation inputs and adjustments are observable or market-corroborated. Certain less liquid vanilla or more complex OTC credit derivatives are classified in Level 3 where credit spread, recovery rate, volatility or correlation valuation inputs are significant and unobservable.

Derivatives Foreign exchange contracts Nomura enters into both exchange-traded and OTC foreign exchange derivative transactions such as foreign exchange forwards and currency options. The fair value of exchange-traded foreign exchange derivatives which are traded in inactive markets or where the exchange price is not representative of fair value is determined using a model price and are classified in Level 2. The fair value of OTC foreign exchange derivatives is determined through DCF valuation techniques as well as option models such as Black-Scholes and Monte Carlo simulation. The significant valuation inputs used include interest rates, forward FX rates, spot FX rates and volatilities. Valuation adjustments are also made to model valuations in order to reflect counterparty credit risk on derivative assets and Nomura s own creditworthiness on derivative liabilities. OTC foreign exchange derivatives are generally classified in Level 2 because all significant valuation inputs and adjustments are observable or market-corroborated. Certain foreign exchange derivatives are classified in Level 3 where interest rates, volatility or correlation valuation inputs are significant and unobservable.

Nomura includes valuation adjustments in its estimation of fair value of certain OTC derivatives relating to funding costs associated with these transactions to be consistent with how market participants in the principal market for these derivatives would determine fair value.

Loans The fair value of loans carried at fair value either as trading assets or through election of the fair value option is primarily determined using DCF valuation techniques as quoted prices are typically not available. The significant valuation inputs used are similar to those used in the valuation of corporate debt securities described above. Loans are generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy because all significant valuation inputs are observable. Certain loans, however, are classified in Level 3 because they are traded infrequently and there is not sufficient information from comparable securities to classify them in Level 2 or credit spreads of the issuer used in DCF valuations are significant and unobservable.

Collateralized agreements and Collateralized financing The primary types of collateralized agreement and financing transactions carried at fair value are reverse repurchase and repurchase agreements elected for the fair value option. The fair value of these financial instruments is primarily determined using DCF valuation techniques. The significant valuation inputs used include interest rates and collateral funding spreads such as general collateral or special rates. Reverse repurchase and repurchase agreements are generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy because these valuation inputs are usually observable.

Non-trading debt securities These are debt securities held by certain non-trading subsidiaries in the group and are valued and classified in the fair value hierarchy using the same valuation techniques used for other debt securities classified as *Government*, agency and municipal securities and Bank and corporate debt securities described above.

Short-term and long-term borrowings (Structured notes) Structured notes are debt securities issued by Nomura or by consolidated variable interest entities (VIEs) which contain embedded features that alter the return to the investor from simply receiving a fixed or floating rate of interest to a return that depends upon some other variables, such as an equity or equity index, commodity price, foreign exchange rate, credit rating of a third party or a more complex interest rate (i.e., an embedded derivative).

The fair value of structured notes is determined using a quoted price in an active market for the identical liability if available, and where not available, using a mixture of valuation techniques that use the quoted price of the identical liability when traded as an asset, quoted prices for similar liabilities, similar liabilities when traded as assets, or an internal model which combines DCF valuation techniques and option pricing models, depending on the nature of the embedded features within the structured note. Where an internal model is used, Nomura estimates the fair value of both the underlying debt instrument and the embedded derivative components. The significant valuation inputs used to estimate the fair value of the debt instrument component include yield curves, prepayment rates, default probabilities

and loss severities. The significant valuation inputs used to estimate the fair value of the embedded derivative component are the same as those used for the relevant type of freestanding OTC derivative discussed above. A valuation adjustment is also made to the entire structured note in order to reflect Nomura s own creditworthiness. This adjustment is determined based on recent observable secondary market transactions and executable broker quotes involving Nomura debt instruments and is therefore typically treated as a Level 2 valuation input. Structured notes are generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy as all significant valuation inputs and adjustments are observable. Where any unobservable inputs are significant, such as yields, prepayment rates, default probabilities, loss severities, volatilities and correlations used to estimate the fair value of the embedded derivative component, structured notes are classified in Level 3.

Long-term borrowings (Secured financing transactions) Secured financing transactions are liabilities recognized when a transfer of a financial asset does not meet the criteria for sales accounting under ASC 860 Transfer and Servicing (ASC 860) and therefore the transaction is accounted for as a secured borrowing. These liabilities are valued using the same valuation techniques that are applied to the transferred financial assets which remain on the consolidated balance sheets and are therefore classified in the same level in the fair value hierarchy as the transferred financial assets. These liabilities do not provide general recourse to Nomura and therefore no adjustment is made to reflect Nomura s own creditworthiness.

40

Valuation processes

In order to ensure the appropriateness of any fair value measurement of a financial instrument used within these consolidated financial statements, including those classified in Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy, Nomura operates a governance framework which mandates determination or validation of a fair value measurement by control and support functions independent of the trading businesses assuming the risk of the financial instrument. Such functions within Nomura with direct responsibility for either defining, implementing or maintaining valuation policies and procedures are as follows:

The Product Control Valuations Group (PCVG) within Nomura s Finance Department has primary responsibility for determining and implementing valuation policies and procedures in connection with determination of fair value measurements. In particular, this group will ensure that valuation policies are documented for each type of financial instrument in accordance with U.S. GAAP. While it is the responsibility of market makers and investment professionals in our trading businesses to price our financial instruments, the PCVG are responsible for independently verifying or validating these prices. In the event of a difference in opinion or where the estimate of fair value requires significant judgment, the valuation used within these consolidated financial statements is reviewed by senior managers independent of the trading businesses. The PCVG group reports to the Global Head of Product Control and ultimately to the Chief Financial Officer (CFO);

The Accounting Policy Group within Nomura s Finance Department defines the group s accounting policies and procedures in accordance with U.S. GAAP, including those associated with determination of fair value under ASC 820 and other relevant U.S. GAAP pronouncements. This group reports to the Global Head of Accounting Policy and ultimately to the CFO; and

The MVG within Nomura s Risk Management Department validates the appropriateness and consistency of pricing models used to determine fair value measurements independently of those who design and build the models. This group reports to the Chief Risk Officer.

The fundamental components of this governance framework over valuation processes within Nomura particularly as it relates to Level 3 financial instruments are the procedures in place for independent price verification, pricing model validation and revenue substantiation.

Independent price verification processes

The key objective of the independent price verification processes within Nomura is to verify the appropriateness of fair value measurements applied to all financial instruments within Nomura. In applying these control processes, observable inputs are used whenever possible and when unobservable inputs are necessary, the processes seek to ensure the valuation technique and inputs are appropriate, reasonable and consistently applied.

The independent price verification processes aim to verify the fair value of all positions to external levels on a regular basis. The process will involve obtaining data such as trades, marks and prices from internal and external sources and examining the impact of marking the internal positions at the external prices. Margin disputes within the collateral process will also be investigated to determine if there is any impact on valuations.

Where third-party pricing information sourced from brokers, dealers and consensus pricing services is used as part of the price verification process, consideration is given as to whether that information reflects actual recent market transactions or prices at which transactions involving identical or similar financial instruments are currently executable. If such transactions or prices are not available, the financial instrument will generally be classified in Level 3.

Where there is a lack of observable market information around the inputs used in a fair value measurement, then the PCVG and the MVG will assess the inputs used for reasonableness considering available information including comparable products, surfaces, curves and past trades. Additional valuation adjustments may be taken for the uncertainty in the inputs used, such as correlation and where appropriate trading desks may be asked to execute trades to evidence market levels.

41

Model review and validation

For more complex financial instruments pricing models are used to determine fair value measurements. The MVG performs an independent model approval process which incorporates a review of the model assumptions across a diverse set of parameters. Considerations include:

Scope of the model (different financial instruments may require different but consistent pricing approaches);

Mathematical and financial assumptions;

Full or partial independent benchmarking along with boundary and stability tests, numerical convergence, calibration quality and stability;

Model integration within Nomura s trading and risk systems;

Calculation of risk numbers and risk reporting; and

Hedging strategies/practical use of the model.

New models are reviewed and approved by the MVG. The frequency of subsequent MVG reviews (Model Re-approvals) is at least annually.

Revenue substantiation

Nomura s Product Control function also ensures adherence to Nomura s valuation policies through daily and periodic analytical review of net revenues. This process involves substantiating revenue amounts through explanations and attribution of revenue sources based on the underlying factors such as interest rates, credit spreads, volatilities, foreign exchange rates etc. In combination with the independent price verification processes, this daily, weekly, monthly and quarterly review substantiates the revenues made while helping to identify and resolve potential booking, pricing or risk quantification issues.

Level 3 financial instruments

As described above, the valuation of Level 3 financial assets and liabilities is dependent on certain significant valuation inputs which are unobservable. Common characteristics of an inactive market include a low number of transactions of the financial instrument, stale or non-current price quotes, price quotes that vary substantially either over time or among market makers, non-executable broker quotes or little publicly released information.

If corroborative evidence is not available to value Level 3 financial instruments, fair value may be measured using other equivalent products in the market. The level of correlation between the specific Level 3 financial instrument and the available benchmark instrument is considered as an unobservable valuation input. Other techniques for determining an appropriate value for unobservable input may consider information such as consensus pricing data

among certain market participants, historical trends, extrapolation from observable market data and other information Nomura would expect market participants to use in valuing similar instruments.

Use of reasonably possible alternative valuation input assumptions to value Level 3 financial instruments will significantly influence fair value determination. Ultimately, the uncertainties described above about input assumptions imply that the fair value of Level 3 financial instruments is a judgmental estimate. The specific valuation for each instrument is based on management s judgment of prevailing market conditions, in accordance with Nomura s established valuation policies and procedures.

Quantitative and qualitative information regarding significant unobservable inputs

The following tables present quantitative and qualitative information about the significant unobservable valuation inputs used by Nomura to measure the fair value of financial instruments classified in Level 3 as of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2018. These financial instruments will also typically include observable valuation inputs (i.e. Level 1 or Level 2 valuation inputs) which are not included in the table and are also often hedged using financial instruments which are classified in Level 1 or Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Changes in each of these significant unobservable valuation inputs used by Nomura will impact upon the fair value measurement of the financial instrument. The following tables also therefore qualitatively summarize the sensitivity of the fair value measurement for each type of financial instrument as a result of an increase in each unobservable valuation input and summarize the interrelationship between significant unobservable valuation inputs where more than one is used to measure fair value.

March 31, 2018

					11	riai cii 31,	2010		
Financial Instrument	Faivalue	e in ons	Valuation technique	Significant unobservable valuation input		ge of 1 inputs ⁽¹⁾	Weighted Average ⁽²⁾	Impact of increases in significant unobservable valuation inputs ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	Interrelationships between valuation inputs ⁽⁵⁾
Assets:	·		•	•		•	J	•	•
Trading assets and private equity investments									
Equities	¥	21	DCF	Liquidity discounts	27.5	75.0%	68.3%	Lower fair value	Not applicable
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities		6	DCF	Credit spreads	0.0	6.7%	0.8%	Lower fair value	Not applicable
Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes		139	DCF	Credit spreads Recovery rates	0.1 0.0	19.6% 98.0%		Lower fair value Higher fair value	No predictable interrelationship
Commercial mortgage- backed securities (CMBS)		2	DCF	Yields	6.6	8.9%	7.7%	Lower fair value	Not applicable

Edgar Filing: NOMURA HOLDINGS INC - Form 6-K

63	DCF	Yields Loss severities	6.2 0.0	23.9% 70.8%	16.3% Lower fair value 8.1% Lower fair value	interrelationship
					20,,01 1411	
24	DCF	Yields	6.0	24.0%	13.1% Lower fair value	Change in default probabilities typically
		Prepayment rates	20).0%	20.0% Lower fair value	
		Default probabilities	1.0	2.0%	2.0% Lower fair value	change in loss severities
		Loss severities	40.0	100.0%	91.6% Lower fair value	and opposite change in
			Loss severities 24 DCF Yields Prepayment rates Default probabilities	Loss severities 0.0 24 DCF Yields 6.0 Prepayment rates 20 Default probabilities 1.0	Loss severities 0.0 70.8% 24 DCF Yields 6.0 24.0% Prepayment rates 20.0% Default probabilities 1.0 2.0%	Loss severities 0.0 70.8% 8.1% Lower fair value 24 DCF Yields 6.0 24.0% 13.1% Lower fair value Prepayment rates 20.0% 20.0% Lower fair value Default probabilities 1.0 2.0% 2.0% Lower fair value

March 31, 2018

Einonoial	Fair value in	Valuation	Significant	Range of	Weighted		Interrelationships
Financial Instrument	billions of yen	technique	unobservable valuation input	valuation inputs ⁽¹⁾	Average ⁽²⁾	valuation inputs ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	between valuation inputs ⁽⁵⁾
Derivatives, net:							
Equity contracts	¥ (1)	Option models	Dividend yield	0.0 11.5%		Higher fair value	No predictable interrelationship
			Volatilities	7.3 64.0%		Higher fair value	
			Correlations	(0.84) 0.95		Higher fair value	
Interest rate contracts	(53)	DCF/	Interest rates	0.2 3.0%		Higher fair value	No predictable interrelationship
contracts		Option	Volatilities	11.2 15.7%			mericiationsinp
		models	Volatilities	28.0 71.2bp		Higher fair value	
			Correlations	(0.67) 0.98		Higher fair value	
						Higher fair value	
Credit contracts	2	DCF/	Credit spreads	0.0 122.1%		Higher fair value	No predictable interrelationship
		Option models	Recovery rates	0.0 90.0%		Higher fair	
		11100015	Volatilities	35.0 83.0%		value	
			Correlations	0.34 0.82		Higher fair value	
						Higher fair value	
Foreign exchange	27	DCF/	Interest rates	0.2 2.6%		Higher fair value	No predictable interrelationship
contracts		Option	Volatilities	2.4 23.7%			merrelationship
		models	Volatilities	237.0 280.0bp		Higher fair value	
			Correlations	(0.25) 0.80		Higher fair value	

						Higher fair value	
Loans and receivables	70	DCF	Credit spreads	0.0 9.5%	4.0%	Lower fair value	Not applicable
Collateralized agreements	5	DCF	Repo rate	3.5%	3.5%	Lower fair value	Not applicable
Other assets							
Other (6)	169	DCF	WACC	11.4%	11.4%	Lower fair value	No predictable interrelationship
			Growth rates	2.5%	2.5%	Higher fair	r
			Liquidity discounts	10.0%	10.0%	value	
						Lower fair value	
		Market multiples	EV/EBITDA ratios	3.3 7.8 x	5.7 x	Higher fair value	Generally changes in multiples results
			PE ratios	7.5 126.4 x	23.0 x		in a corresponding similar directional
			Price/Book ratios	0.0 2.2 x	0.6 x	Higher fair value	change in a fair
			Liquidity discounts	10.0 30.0%	29.0%	Higher fair value	measurement, assuming earnings
						Lower fair value	levels remain constant.
Liabilities:							
Short-term	17	DCF/	Volatilities	7.3 50.9%		Higher fair	No predictable
borrowings		Option models	Correlations	(0.84) 0.95		value Higher fair value	interrelationship
Collateralized financing	3	DCF	Repo rate	3.5%	3.5%	Lower fair value	Not applicable
Long-term borrowings	429	DCF/	Volatilities	7.3 50.9%		Higher fair value	No predictable interrelationship
C		Option	Volatilities	33.5 62.3bp			1
		models	Correlations	(0.84) 0.98		Higher fair value	
						Higher fair value	

44

June 30, 2018

Impact of

prepayment rates

Fair value in billions of yen	Valuation	Significant		J	Weighted Average ⁽²⁾	increases in significant unobservable valuation inputs ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	Interrelationships between valuation inputs ⁽⁵⁾
or yen	teeninque	unobservable input	variatio	iiiputs	Tiverage	inputs	mputs
¥ 21	DCF	Liquidity discounts	75	.0%	75.0%	Lower fair value	Not applicable
5	DCF	Credit spreads	0.0	7.4%	0.8%	Lower fair value	Not applicable
142	DCF	Credit spreads Recovery rates	0.3 0.0	36.8% 98.8%	5.3% 79.5%	Lower fair value Higher fair value	No predictable interrelation
3	DCF	Yields	6.6	8.8%	8.3%	Lower fair value	Not applicable
63	DCF	Yields Loss severities	8.5 0.0	38.7% 59.7%			No predictable interrelation
22	DCF	Yields Prepayment rates	3.3	24.0%	12.0%	Lower fair value	Change in default
ner		Default probabilities Loss severities					probabilities typically accompanied by direction
			1.0	2.0%	2.0%	Lower fair value	similar change in loss seve
			40.0	100.0%	83.4%	Lower fair value	and opposite change i
	value in billions of yen ¥ 21 5 142 3 63	yalue in billions of yen technique ** 21 DCF 5 DCF 142 DCF 3 DCF 63 DCF 22 DCF	value in billions of yen Valuation technique Significant unobservable input ¥ 21 DCF Liquidity discounts 5 DCF Credit spreads 142 DCF Credit spreads Recovery rates 3 DCF Yields 63 DCF Yields Loss severities 22 DCF Yields Prepayment rates Default probabilities	value in billions of yen Valuation technique Significant unobservable input unobservable input valuation Rank valuation \$\frac{1}{2}\$ DCF Liquidity discounts 75 5 DCF Credit spreads 0.0 Recovery rates 0.3 0.0 Recovery rates 6.6 63 DCF Yields 8.5 Loss severities 0.0 22 DCF Yields 3.3 Prepayment rates Default probabilities Loss severities 20 Loss severities 1.0	value in billions of yen Valuation technique Significant unobservable input Range of valuation inputs (1) \$\frac{2}{3}\$ DCF Liquidity discounts 75.0% 142 DCF Credit spreads 0.0 7.4% 142 DCF Credit spreads Recovery rates 0.3 36.8% 0.0 98.8% 3 DCF Yields Loss severities 6.6 8.8% 4 DCF Yields Loss severities 0.0 59.7% 5 DCF Yields Prepayment rates Default probabilities Loss severities 20.0% 1 DCF Yields Prepayment rates Default probabilities Loss severities 1.0 2.0%	value in billions of yen Valuation technique Significant unobservable input Range of valuation inputs(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Fair value in billions of yen technique unobservable input valuation inputs (1) Average(2) inputs (3)(4) (2) (3)(4) (3)(4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4)

June 30, 2018

				June 30, 201	٠٥		!
	of	Valuation	S	Range of	Weighted	valuation	Interrelationships between valuation inputs ⁽⁵⁾
'inancial Instrument Derivatives, net:	yen	technique	unobservable input	Valuation inputs(-)	Average	inputs	inputs(*)
Equity contracts	¥ (3)	Option models	Dividend yield Volatilities Correlations	0.0 7.4% 6.6 91.2% (0.80) 0.98		Higher fair value Higher fair value Higher fair value	interrelationship
nterest rate contracts	(64)	DCF/	Interest rates	0.2 3.0%		Higher fair value	No predictable interrelationship
		Option models	Volatilities	11.1 15.6%		Higher fair value	_
		models	Volatilities	28.4 71.5bp		Higher fair value	
			Correlations	(0.67) 1.00		Higher fair value	
Credit contracts	3	DCF/	Credit spreads	0.0 154.0%		Higher fair value	-
		Option models	Recovery rates	0.0 103.4%		Higher fair value	interrelationship
		IIIOUCIS	Volatilities	16.2 83.0%		Higher fair value	
			Correlations	0.31 0.82		Higher fair value	1
Foreign exchange ontracts	24	DCF/	Interest rates	0.2 2.5%		Higher fair value	No predictable interrelationship
Ond access		Option models	Volatilities	2.2 24.8%		Higher fair value	•
			Volatilities	298.0 349.0bp		Higher fair value	
			Correlations	(0.25) 0.80		Higher fair value	
Loans and receivables	87	DCF	Credit spreads	0.0 9.5%	4.3%	Lower fair value	Not applicable
Collateralized greements	5	DCF	Repo rate	3.5%	3.5%	Lower fair value	Not applicable
Other assets							
Other ⁽⁶⁾	177	DCF	WACC	11.2%	11.2%	6 Lower fair value	No predictable interrelationship
			Growth rates	2.5%	2.5%	Higher fair value	*
			Liquidity discounts	10.0%	10.0%	Lower fair value	

		Market multiples	EV/EBITDA ratios	4.2 16.1 x	7.6 x Higher fair value	Generally change in multiples result
		_	PE Ratios	9.8 34.5 x	16.6 x Higher fair value	in a corresponding similar directiona
			Price/Book ratios	0.4 2.3 x	0.7 x Higher fair value	change in a fair value
			Liquidity discounts	10.0 30.0%	29.8% Lower fair value	measurement, assuming earning levels remain constant.
Liabilities:						
Short-term borrowings	33	DCF/	Volatilities	6.6 53.6%	Higher fair value	No predictable interrelationship
		Option models	Correlations	(0.75) 0.89	Higher fair value	·
Collateralized inancing	3	DCF	Repo rate	3.5%	3.5% Lower fair value	Not applicable
Long-term borrowings	461	DCF/option models	Volatilities	6.6 53.6%	Higher fair value	No predictable interrelationship
		models	Volatilities	32.4 67.0bp	Higher fair value	merrelationship
			Correlations	(0.75) 0.98	Higher fair value	

- (1) Range information is provided in percentages, coefficients and multiples and represents the highest and lowest level significant unobservable valuation input used to value that type of financial instrument. A wide dispersion in the range does not necessarily reflect increased uncertainty or subjectivity in the valuation input and is typically just a consequence of the different characteristics of the financial instruments themselves.
- (2) Weighted average information for non-derivative instruments is calculated by weighting each valuation input by the fair value of the financial instrument.
- (3) The above table only considers the impact of an increase in each significant unobservable valuation input on the fair value measurement of the financial instrument. However, a decrease in the significant unobservable valuation input would have the opposite effect on the fair value measurement of the financial instrument. For example, if an increase in a significant unobservable valuation input would result in a lower fair value measurement, a decrease in the significant unobservable valuation input would result in a higher fair value measurement.
- (4) The impact of an increase in the significant unobservable input on the fair value measurement for a derivative assumes Nomura is long risk to the input e.g., long volatility. Where Nomura is short such risk, the impact of an increase would have a converse effect on the fair value measurement of the derivative.
- (5) Consideration of the interrelationships between significant unobservable inputs is only relevant where more than one unobservable valuation input is used to determine the fair value measurement of the financial instrument.
- (6) Valuation technique(s) and unobservable valuation inputs in respect of equity securities reported within *Other* assets in the consolidated balance sheets.

47

Qualitative discussion of the ranges of significant unobservable inputs

The following comments present qualitative discussion about the significant unobservable valuation inputs used by Nomura for financial instruments classified in Level 3.

Derivatives Equity contracts The significant unobservable inputs are dividend yield, volatilities and correlations. The range of dividend yields varies as some companies do not pay any dividends, for example due to a lack of profits or as a policy during a growth period, and hence have a zero dividend yield while others may pay high dividends for example to return money to investors. The range of volatilities is wide as the volatilities of shorter-dated equity derivatives or those based on single equity securities can be higher than those of longer-dated instruments or those based on indices. Correlations represent the relationships between one input and another (pairs) and can either be positive or negative amounts. The range of correlations moves from positive to negative because the movement of some pairs is very closely related and in the same direction causing highly positive correlations while others generally move in opposite directions causing highly negative correlations with pairs that have differing relationships throughout the range.

Derivatives Interest rate contracts The significant unobservable inputs are interest rates, volatilities and correlations. The range of interest rates is due to interest rates in different countries/currencies being at different levels with some countries having extremely low levels and others being at levels that while still relatively low are less so. The range of volatilities is wide as volatilities can be higher when interest rates are at extremely low levels, and also because volatilities of shorter-dated interest rate derivatives are typically higher than those of longer-dated instruments. The range of correlations moves from positive to negative because the movement of some pairs is very closely related and in the same direction causing highly positive correlations while others generally move in opposite directions causing highly negative correlations with pairs that have differing relationships through the range. All significant unobservable inputs are spread across the ranges.

Derivatives Credit contracts The significant unobservable inputs are credit spreads, recovery rates, volatilities and correlations. The range of credit spreads reflects the different risk of default present within the portfolio. At the low end of the range, underlying reference names have a very limited risk of default whereas at the high end of the range, underlying reference names have a much greater risk of default. The range of recovery rates varies primarily due to the seniority of the underlying exposure with senior exposures having a higher recovery than subordinated exposures. The range of volatilities is wide as the volatilities of shorter-dated credit contracts are typically higher than those of longer-dated instruments. The correlation range is positive since credit spread moves are generally in the same direction. Highly positive correlations are those for which the movement is very closely related and in the same direction, with correlation falling as the relationship becomes less strong.

Derivatives Foreign exchange contracts The significant unobservable inputs are interest rates, volatilities and correlations. The range of interest rates is due to interest rates in different countries/currencies being at different levels with some countries having extremely low levels and others being at levels that while still relatively low are less so. The range of volatilities is mainly due to the lower end of the range arising from currencies that trade in narrow ranges e.g. versus the U.S. Dollar while the higher end comes from currencies with a greater range of movement such as emerging market currencies. The range of correlations moves from positive to negative because the movement of some pairs is very closely related and in the same direction causing highly positive correlations while others generally move in opposite directions causing highly negative correlations with pairs that have differing relationships through the range.

Short-term borrowings and Long-term borrowings The significant unobservable inputs are yields, prepayment rates, default probabilities, loss severities, volatilities and correlations. The range of volatilities is wide as the volatilities of

shorter-dated instruments are typically higher than those in longer-dated instruments. The range of correlations moves from positive to negative because the movement of some pairs is very closely related and in the same direction causing highly positive correlations while others generally move in opposite directions causing highly negative correlations with pairs that have differing relationships through the range.

48

Movements in Level 3 financial instruments

The following tables present gains and losses as well as increases and decreases of financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis which Nomura classified in Level 3 for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2018. Financial instruments classified in Level 3 are often hedged with instruments within Level 1 or Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The gains or losses presented below do not reflect the offsetting gains or losses for these hedging instruments. Level 3 financial instruments are also measured using both observable and unobservable valuation inputs. Fair value changes presented below, therefore, reflect realized and unrealized gains and losses resulting from movements in both observable and unobservable valuation inputs.

For the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2018, gains and losses related to Level 3 assets and liabilities did not have a material impact on Nomura s liquidity and capital resources management.

Billions of yen Three months ended June 30, 2017

Total gains **Beginning** (losses) balance as of recognized three molitical gains in ended (losses) other

Balance as of three months

June 30ecognioed prehen Binachases / Sales / 2017n revenue issuestedemptionsettlements vements et la Bevel 3 (3) 2017

Foreigfransfeffansfers ended exchangeinto out of June 30,

Assets:						-										
Trading assets and private																
equity investments																
Equities	¥ 34	¥ 0	¥	¥	0	¥	(1)	¥	¥	0	¥	1	¥	0	¥	34
Private equity investments	13	0			1		(4)			1		0		(1)		10
Japanese agency and																
municipal securities	1	0					0									1
Foreign government, agency																
and municipal securities	3	1			27		(29)			0		3		0		5
Bank and corporate debt																
securities and loans for trading																
purposes	108	3			15		(17)			0		9		(2)		116
Commercial mortgage-backed																
securities (CMBS)	1	0			4					0						5
Residential mortgage-backed																
securities (RMBS)	0	0					0			0						0
Real estate-backed securities	41	1			11		(13)			0						40
Collateralized debt obligations																
(CDOs) and other	27	(4)			17		(19)			0		2		(3)		20
Investment trust funds and																
other	0	0			0		0			0		0		0		0
	228	1			75		(83)			1		15		(6)		231

Total trading assets and private equity investments																				
Derivatives, net ⁽⁴⁾																				
Equity contracts		(6)		(1)								(1)		0		5		5		2
Interest rate contracts		22)		3								11		0				(3)		(11)
Credit contracts		10)		1								3		0		0		0		(6)
Foreign exchange contracts	-	23		(4)								0		0		0		1		20
Total derivatives, net	(15)		(1)								13		0		5		3		5
Subtotal	¥ 2	13	¥	0	¥		¥	75	¥	(83)	¥	13	¥	1	¥	20	¥	(3)	¥	236
Loans and receivables	(66		0				6		(30)				0						42
Collateralized agreements		5		0						(= =)				0						5
Other assets																				
Other	10	53		4		0		0		(1)				0		0		0		166
Total	¥ 44	47	¥	4	¥	0	¥	81	¥	(114)	¥	13	¥	1	¥	20	¥	(3)	¥	449
Liabilities:																				
Trading liabilities																				
Equities	¥	1	¥	0	¥		¥	0	¥	0	¥		¥	0	¥	0	¥	0	¥	1
Bank and corporate debt																				
securities		0		0						0				0		0		0		0
Collateralized debt obligations	3																			
(CDOs) and other		1		0				0		(1)				0						0
Investment trust funds and																				
other		0		0				0												0
Total trading liabilities	¥	2	¥	0	¥		¥	0	¥	(1)	¥		¥	0	¥	0	¥	0	¥	1
Short-term borrowings	,	70		(1)		0		54		(24)				0		0		(4)		97
Payables and deposits		0		0				0		0				U				(')		0
Collateralized financing		3		J				J						0						3
Long-term borrowings	4	10		(8)		(1)		61		(26)				0		13		(22)		445
Other liabilities		1		0		(-)		0		0				0		0		(1)		0
Total	¥ 48		¥	(9)	¥	(1)	¥	115	¥	(51)	¥		¥		¥		¥	(27)	¥	546

Real estate-backed securities

Billions of yen Three months ended June 30, 2018

Beginning Total balance gains as of (losses) Balance as of threeTotal gainscognized three months(losses) in months endedecognized other Foreigfransfersansfers ended June 30, in comprehe Privales & Sales / exchangeinto out of June 30, 2018 revenue⁽¹⁾ income issues Edemptio Settlements emdated 3 Bevel 3 (3) 2018

Assets: Trading assets and private equity investments ¥ 21 ¥ 1 ¥ 0 ¥ 21 **Equities** (1) Y1 ¥ (3) ¥ 2 ¥ 3 0 6 0 9 Private equity investments Japanese agency and municipal 1 0 0 securities 1 Foreign government, agency and municipal securities 6 0 5 0 0 0 5 (6) Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading 29 purposes 139 1 (23)4 8 (16)142 Commercial mortgage-backed 2 0 3 securities (CMBS) 1 Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) 0 0 0 0 1 1

43

(45)

0

63