

HORNBECK OFFSHORE SERVICES INC /LA
Form DEF 14A
April 15, 2009

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934
(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant ..

Check the appropriate box:

.. Preliminary Proxy Statement

.. **Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**

x Definitive Proxy Statement

.. Definitive Additional Materials

.. Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Hornbeck Offshore Services, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1) Amount Previously Paid:

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

3) Filing Party:

4) Date Filed:

Hornbeck Offshore Services, Inc.

April 15, 2009

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting) of Hornbeck Offshore Services, Inc. to be held in the Company's corporate training room located at 103 Northpark Boulevard, Suite 135, in Covington, Louisiana 70433, on Tuesday, May 26, 2009 at 9:00 a.m., Central Daylight Savings Time. For those of you who cannot be present at the Annual Meeting, we urge that you participate by indicating your choices on the proxy card provided to you and completing and returning it at your earliest convenience. If you sign and return your proxy card without specifying your choices, it will be understood that you wish to have your shares voted in accordance with our Board of Directors' recommendations.

This booklet includes the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and the Proxy Statement, which contains details of the business to be conducted at the Annual Meeting. At the Annual Meeting, you will have an opportunity to discuss each item of business described in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement and to ask questions about our operations and the Company.

Our 2008 Annual Report to Stockholders, which is not part of the Proxy Statement, provides additional information regarding our financial results for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008.

It is important that your shares are represented at the Annual Meeting, whether or not you are able to attend personally. Accordingly, please complete, sign, date and return the proxy card as promptly as possible in the envelope provided, or submit your proxy by Internet, as described in the proxy card. If you do attend the Annual Meeting, you may withdraw your proxy and vote your shares in person.

On behalf of our Board of Directors, thank you for your cooperation and continued support.

Sincerely,

Todd M. Hornbeck
Chairman, President and

Chief Executive Officer

Hornbeck Offshore Services, Inc.

Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders

April 15, 2009

Notice is hereby given that the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Annual Meeting") of Hornbeck Offshore Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), will be held on Tuesday, May 26, 2009 at 9:00 a.m., Central Daylight Savings Time, in the Company's corporate training room located at 103 Northpark Boulevard, Suite 135, in Covington, Louisiana 70433, for the following purposes, as more fully described in the accompanying Proxy Statement:

1. to elect three Class II directors to serve on the Company's Board of Directors for terms of three years or until their successors are duly elected and qualified or until the earlier of their death, resignation or removal;
2. to ratify the reappointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accountants and auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009; and
3. to transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting and any postponement(s) or adjournment(s) thereof.

All stockholders are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting in person. However, to ensure that each stockholder's vote is counted at the Annual Meeting, stockholders are requested to complete, sign, date and return the proxy card provided to you as promptly as possible in the envelope provided, or to submit their proxy by Internet, as described in the proxy card previously mailed to you. Stockholders attending the Annual Meeting may vote in person even if they have previously submitted their proxy authorization.

Only stockholders of record as of the close of business on April 1, 2009 are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting and any postponement(s) or adjournment(s) thereof. A list of such stockholders shall be open to the examination of any stockholder of record at the Company's offices during normal business hours for a period of ten days prior to the Annual Meeting, and shall also be open for examination at the Annual Meeting and any postponement(s) or adjournment(s) thereof.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Paul M. Ordogne
Corporate Secretary

Covington, Louisiana

April 15, 2009

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOUR SHARES OF COMMON STOCK BE REPRESENTED AT THE ANNUAL MEETING REGARDLESS OF THE NUMBER OF SHARES YOU HOLD. PLEASE COMPLETE, SIGN AND MAIL THE PROXY CARD IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED OR SUBMIT YOUR PROXY AUTHORIZATION THROUGH THE INTERNET EVEN IF YOU INTEND TO BE PRESENT AT THE ANNUAL MEETING. SUBMITTING YOUR PROXY AUTHORIZATION WILL NOT LIMIT YOUR RIGHT TO VOTE IN PERSON OR TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, BUT WILL ENSURE YOUR REPRESENTATION IF YOU CANNOT ATTEND. IF YOU HAVE SHARES OF COMMON STOCK IN MORE THAN ONE NAME, OR IF YOUR SHARES ARE REGISTERED IN MORE THAN ONE WAY, YOU MAY RECEIVE MORE THAN ONE COPY OF THE PROXY MATERIALS. IF SO, SIGN AND RETURN EACH OF THE PROXY CARDS YOU RECEIVE OR SUBMIT YOUR PROXY AUTHORIZATION THROUGH THE INTERNET SO THAT ALL OF YOUR SHARES MAY BE VOTED. YOU MAY REVOKE YOUR PROXY AUTHORIZATION AT ANY TIME BEFORE ITS USE.

Hornbeck Offshore Services, Inc.

103 Northpark Boulevard, Suite 300

Covington, Louisiana 70433

PROXY STATEMENT

April 15, 2009

General Information

The 2008 Annual Report to Stockholders, including financial statements, will be made available to stockholders together with these proxy materials on or about April 15, 2009.

This Proxy Statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors (the Board or the Board of Directors) of Hornbeck Offshore Services, Inc. (Hornbeck Offshore or the Company), for the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 26, 2009, and any postponement(s) or adjournment(s) thereof (the Annual Meeting). This Proxy Statement and the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting and proxy card are first being made available to stockholders on or about April 15, 2009.

Record Date and Voting Securities

Stockholders of record as of the close of business on April 1, 2009 (the Record Date) are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. There were 25,958,998 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding on the Record Date. Each outstanding share of common stock is entitled to one vote upon each matter properly submitted to a vote at the Annual Meeting.

Stockholders that are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting may do so in person at the Annual Meeting, or by proxy submitted by mail or Internet as described on the notice and access card.

Abstentions and broker non-votes are counted for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum for the transaction of business. Broker non-votes occur when a broker or other nominee does not have discretionary authority to vote the shares with respect to a particular matter and has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner with respect to that matter.

The vote of a plurality of the shares entitled to vote and represented at a meeting at which a quorum is present is required for the election of directors. Thus, broker non-votes and abstentions will have no effect on the election of directors.

Edgar Filing: HORNBECK OFFSHORE SERVICES INC /LA - Form DEF 14A

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of common stock entitled to vote and represented in person or by proxy at a meeting at which a quorum is present is required to approve the proposals relating to the approval of the ratification of the reappointment of independent registered public accountants and auditors. Shares represented at the Annual Meeting that abstain with respect to this proposal will be considered in determining whether the requisite number of affirmative votes are cast on such matter. Accordingly, such abstentions will have the same effect as a vote against the ratification of the reappointment of independent registered accountants and auditors, as applicable. Broker non-votes will not be treated as shares represented at the Annual Meeting and are not entitled to vote for purposes of this proposal, and therefore will have no effect.

Quorum

Except as may be otherwise required by law or the Company's Second Restated Certificate of Incorporation (Certificate of Incorporation) or Fourth Restated Bylaws (Bylaws), the holders of a majority of the Company's shares of common stock entitled to vote and present in person or represented by proxy shall constitute a quorum at a meeting of the stockholders. The persons whom we appoint to act as inspectors of election will determine whether a quorum exists. Shares of the Company's common stock represented by properly executed and returned proxies will be treated as present. Shares of the Company's common stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting that abstain from voting or that are the subject of broker non-votes will be counted as present for purposes of determining a quorum.

How Your Proxy Will be Voted on Actions to be Taken

The Board of Directors is soliciting a proxy in the enclosed form to provide you with an opportunity to vote on all matters scheduled to come before the Annual Meeting, whether or not you attend in person.

Granting Your Proxy. If you properly execute and return a proxy in the enclosed form, your shares of common stock will be voted as you specify. If you make no specifications, your proxy representing our common stock will be voted:

FOR each of the proposed director nominees; and

FOR the ratification of the reappointment of independent registered public accountants and auditors.

We expect no matters to be presented for action at the Annual Meeting other than the items described in this Proxy Statement. By signing and returning the proxy, however, you will give to the persons named as proxies therein discretionary voting authority with respect to any other matter that may properly come before the Annual Meeting, and they intend to vote on any such other matter in accordance with their best judgment.

Revoking Your Proxy. If you submit a proxy, you may subsequently revoke it or submit a revised proxy at any time before it is voted. You may also attend the Annual Meeting in person and vote by ballot, which would cancel any proxy that you previously submitted. If you wish to vote in person at the Annual Meeting but hold your stock in street name (that is, in the name of a broker, bank or other institution), then you must have a proxy from the broker, bank or institution in order to vote at the Annual Meeting.

Proxy Solicitation

We will pay all expenses of soliciting proxies for the Annual Meeting. In addition to solicitations by mail, arrangements have been made for brokers and nominees to send proxy materials to their principals, and we will reimburse them for their reasonable expenses. We may have our employees or other representatives (who will receive no additional compensation for their services) solicit proxies by telephone, telecopy, personal interview or other means.

Stockholder Proposals

If you want us to consider including a proposal in next year's proxy statement, you must deliver it in writing to the Corporate Secretary, Hornbeck Offshore Services, Inc., 103 Northpark Boulevard, Suite 300, Covington, Louisiana 70433 by no later than December 16, 2009.

If you want to present a proposal at the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in person but do not wish to have it included in our proxy statement, you must submit it in writing to our Corporate Secretary, at the above address, by February 28, 2010 to be considered timely, in accordance with the specific procedural requirements set forth in our Bylaws. If you would like a copy of these procedures, please contact our Corporate Secretary for a copy of our Bylaws.

Pursuant to the rules of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, the designated proxies may use discretionary authority to vote with respect to stockholder proposals presented in person at the 2009 Annual Meeting if the stockholder making the proposal has not given the Company timely notice of such proposal.

Delivery of One Proxy Statement and Annual Report to a Single Household to Reduce Duplicate Mailings

Each year in connection with the annual meeting of stockholders, we are required to send to each stockholder of record a notice and access card to the proxy statement and annual report, and to arrange for a proxy statement and annual report to be provided to each beneficial stockholder whose shares are held by or in the name of a broker, bank, trust or other nominee. Because some stockholders hold shares of the Company's common stock in multiple accounts, this process results in duplicate mailings of notice and access cards to stockholders who share the same address. Stockholders may avoid receiving duplicate mailings and save us the cost of producing and mailing duplicate documents as follows:

Stockholders of Record. If your shares are registered in your own name and you are interested in consenting to the delivery of a single proxy statement or annual report, you may contact the Company by mail at 103 Northpark Boulevard, Suite 300, Covington, Louisiana 70433, by telephone at (985) 727-2000 or by e-mail at ir@hornbeckoffshore.com.

Beneficial Stockholders. If your shares are not registered in your own name, your broker, bank, trust or other nominee that holds your shares may have asked you to consent to the delivery of a single proxy statement or annual report if there are other Hornbeck Offshore stockholders who share an address with you. If you currently receive more than one proxy statement or annual report at your household, and would like to receive only one copy of each in the future, you should contact your nominee.

Right to Request Separate Copies. If you consent to the delivery of a single proxy statement and annual report but later decide that you would prefer to receive a separate copy of the proxy statement or annual report, as applicable, for each stockholder sharing your address, then please notify us or your nominee, as applicable, and we or they will promptly deliver such additional proxy statements or annual reports. If you wish to receive a separate copy of the proxy statement or annual report for each stockholder sharing your address in the future, you may contact the Company by mail at 103 Northpark Boulevard, Suite 300, Covington, Louisiana, 70433, by telephone at (985) 727-2000 or by e-mail at ir@hornbeckoffshore.com.

Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors

Term of Directors

Our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws provide that the Board of Directors is classified into three classes. These are designated as Class I directors, Class II directors and Class III directors, with members of each class holding office for staggered three-year terms. Vacancies on the Board resulting from death, resignation, disqualification, removal or other causes may be filled by the affirmative vote of a majority of the remaining directors then in office, even if less than a quorum of the Board is present, or by a sole remaining director.

There are currently three Class II directors, whose terms expire at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, two Class I directors, whose terms expire at the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, and two Class III directors, whose terms expire at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or, in all cases, until their successors are duly elected and qualified or until their earlier death, resignation or removal in accordance with the Bylaws.

Director Nominees and Voting

The Board of Directors has nominated for election as directors the three persons named below. Our Bylaws require that our directors be stockholders of the Company. Each of the nominees for election as Class II directors is currently on the Board and has indicated his willingness to serve, if elected, but if either should be unable or unwilling to serve, proxies may be voted for a substitute nominee designated by the Board. If elected at the Annual Meeting, each of the three nominees will serve until the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (subject to the election and qualification of his successor and to his earlier death, resignation or removal). See *Nomination Process* below for additional information on the nomination of directors.

If any nominee should be unavailable for election as a result of an unexpected occurrence, the Board's proxies shall vote such shares for the election of such substitute nominee as the Board of Directors may propose. It is not anticipated that any nominee will be unable or unwilling to serve as a director if elected.

The names, ages as of April 1, 2009, principal occupations and other information concerning each nominee for Class II director, are set forth below.

Larry D. Hornbeck, 70, has served as one of our directors since August 2001. An executive with over 30 years' experience in the offshore supply vessel business worldwide, Mr. Larry Hornbeck was the founder of the original Hornbeck Offshore Services, Inc., a NASDAQ-listed publicly traded offshore service vessel company with over 100 vessels operating worldwide. From its inception in 1981 until its merger with Tidewater Inc. (NYSE:TDW), Mr. Larry Hornbeck served as its Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer. Following the merger, Mr. Larry Hornbeck served as a director of Tidewater Inc. from March 1996 until October 2000. From 1969 to 1980, Mr. Larry Hornbeck was Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Sealcraft Operators, Inc., a publicly held, specialty service offshore supply vessel company operating worldwide. Mr. Larry Hornbeck is the father of Todd M. Hornbeck, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer.

Steven W. Krablin, 58, was appointed to our Board of Directors as a Class II Director in August 2005. On March 24, 2009, Mr. Krablin was appointed President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of T-3 Energy Services Inc. (NASDAQ:TTES), a publicly held company that designs, manufactures, repairs and services products used in the drilling and completion of new oil and gas wells, the workover of existing wells, and the production and transportation of oil and gas. From April 2005 to the present, Mr. Krablin has been a private investor. From April 2008 until August 2008, Mr. Krablin served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of privately-held IDM Group, designers and manufacturers of world class drilling systems for the international energy industry. From January 1996 until April 2005, Mr. Krablin served as the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of National Oilwell, Inc. (NYSE:NOI), a major manufacturer and distributor of oil and gas drilling equipment and related services for land and offshore drilling rigs. In March 2005, National Oilwell merged with Varco International, Inc. (NYSE:VRC) to become National Oilwell Varco, Inc. (NYSE:NOV). Prior to 1996, Mr. Krablin served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Enterra Corporation until its merger with Weatherford International. From November 2004 to March 2009, Mr. Krablin served as a director of Penn Virginia Corporation (NYSE:PVA), an energy company engaged in the exploration, acquisition, development and production of crude oil and natural gas. Since July 2006, Mr. Krablin has served as a director of Chart Industries, Inc. (NASDAQ:GTLS), a global manufacturer of standard and custom-engineered products and systems for cryogenic and heat-transfer applications. Mr. Krablin is an inactive certified public accountant.

David A. Trice, 60, has served as one of our directors since October 2002. Mr. Trice served as the President of Newfield Exploration Company (NYSE:NFX), an independent oil and gas company engaged in the exploration, development and acquisition of crude oil and natural gas properties, from May 1999 to February 2009. He currently serves as Newfield's Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board, positions he has held since February 2000 and September 2004, respectively. He has been a member of the Board of Directors since 2000. Mr. Trice has announced that he will retire as Newfield's Chief Executive Officer in May 2009, but will remain Chairman of the Board if re-elected to the Newfield Board at the annual meeting of stockholders in May 2009. From May 1999 to February 2000, he served as Chief Operating Officer of Newfield and from July 1997 to May 1999, he served as its Vice President Finance and International. Mr. Trice served as the President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of the Huffco Group, an international exploration and production company, from December 1991 to May 1997. Since March 2004, Mr. Trice has served as a director of New Jersey Resources (NYSE: NJR), a retail and wholesale energy service company which provides distribution services to customers in New Jersey and in states from the Gulf coast to New England and Canada. NJR is a Fortune 1000 company and a member of the Forbes Platinum 400. Mr. Trice served as a director of Grant Prideco, Inc. (NYSE: GRP), a drill stem technology and drill pipe manufacturing company, from May 2003 until April 1, 2008 when Grant Prideco was merged into National Oilwell Varco.

The vote of a plurality of the shares entitled to vote and represented at a meeting at which a quorum is present is required for the election of directors.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends that the stockholders vote FOR the election of each of the nominees.

Incumbent Class I Directors

The names, ages as of April 1, 2009, principal occupations and other information concerning each Class I director, are set forth below.

Bruce W. Hunt, 51, has served as one of our directors since August 1997 and was appointed Lead Independent Director in May 2005. He has been President of Petrol Marine Corporation since 1988 and President and Director of Petro-Hunt, L.L.C. since 1997, each of which is an energy-related company. Mr. Hunt served as a director of the original Hornbeck Offshore Services, Inc., a NASDAQ-listed publicly traded offshore service vessel company, from November 1992 to March 1996, when it merged with Tidewater Inc.

Bernie W. Stewart, 64, has served as one of our directors since November 2001 and served as our Chairman of the Board from February 2002 to May 2005. Mr. Stewart was Senior Vice President, Operations of R&B Falcon Corporation (NYSE:FLC), a contract drilling company, and President of R&B Falcon Drilling U.S., its domestic operating subsidiary, from May 1999 until R&B Falcon Corporation merged with Transocean Sedco Forex Inc. (NYSE:RIG) in January 2001. Between April 1996 and May 1999, he served as Chief Operating Officer of R&B Falcon Holdings, Inc. and as its President from January 1998 until May 1999. From 1993 until joining R&B Falcon Holdings, he was Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the original Hornbeck Offshore Services, Inc., a NASDAQ-listed publicly traded offshore service vessel company, where he was responsible for overall supervision of the company's operations. From 1986 until 1993, he was President of Western Oceanics, Inc., an offshore drilling contractor. Since leaving R&B Falcon Corporation upon its merger with Transocean Sedco Forex, Mr. Stewart has been an independent business consultant.

Incumbent Class III Directors

The names, ages as of April 1, 2009, principal occupations and other information concerning each Class III director, are set forth below.

Todd M. Hornbeck, 40, has served as our President and as a director since he co-founded the Company in June 1997. Until February 2002, he also served as Chief Operating Officer. In February 2002, Mr. Todd Hornbeck was appointed Chief Executive Officer and in May 2005, he was appointed Chairman of the Board of Directors. Until February 2007, he also served as our Secretary. Mr. Todd Hornbeck worked for the original Hornbeck Offshore Services, Inc., from 1991 to 1996, serving in various positions relating to business strategy and development. Following its merger with Tidewater Inc. (NYSE:TDW) in March 1996, he accepted a position as Marketing Director Gulf of Mexico with Tidewater, where his responsibilities included managing relationships and overall business development in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico region. He remained with Tidewater until our formation. Mr. Todd Hornbeck currently serves on the Board of Directors of both the National Ocean Industries Association and the Offshore Marine Service Association, and is a member of the International Support Vessel Owners Association. Mr. Todd Hornbeck is the son of Larry D. Hornbeck, one of our directors.

Patricia B. Melcher, 49, has served as one of our directors since October 2002. Since November 2004, she has co-owned and managed Go Appetit Foods, LLC (formerly Allegretto Ventures, LLC), a privately-owned company manufacturing all natural foods and beverages,

and was named Chief Executive Officer in February 2006. From 1997 to 2006, Ms. Melcher served as the President of Allegro Capital Management, Inc., a privately-owned investment company focused on private equity investments in and consulting to energy-related companies, and served as Interim CEO of Petrocom Energy Ltd., a privately held energy trading firm, from October 1, 2003 to April 1, 2004. From 1989 to 1994, she worked for SCF Partners, L.P., an investment fund sponsor specializing in private equity investments in oilfield service companies, and from 1995 to 1997, she served as a board member and advisory board member of its general partner, L.E. Simmons & Associates, Incorporated. From 1986 to 1989, Ms. Melcher worked for Simmons & Company International, an investment banking firm serving the energy industry.

Board Structure, Committee Composition and Meetings

As of the date of this Proxy Statement, the Board of Directors was comprised of seven members, divided into three classes as described under Term of Directors above. Our Board has a standing audit committee, compensation committee and nominating/corporate governance committee. The Board may also establish other committees from time to time as necessary to facilitate the management of the business and affairs of the Company and to comply with the corporate governance rules of the NYSE.

The Board is comprised of a majority of independent directors including a Lead Independent Director. The Board has determined that Ms. Patricia A. Melcher and Messrs. Bruce W. Hunt, Bernie W. Stewart, Steven W. Krablin and David A. Trice are independent for purposes of Section 303A of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) Listed Company Manual, and that the members of the audit committee are also independent for purposes of Section 10A(m)(3) of the Exchange Act, and Section 303A.07 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual. The Board based its determinations of independence primarily on a review of the responses our directors provided to questions regarding employment and compensation history, affiliations and family and other relationships. In the case of Mr. David A. Trice, the Board considered the business transactions that have occurred between the Company and Newfield Exploration Company as described below under Certain Relationships with Related Persons. These transactions, taken in the aggregate, were not considered material to Newfield Exploration Company and did not exceed thresholds set forth in the applicable independence definitions. No other such relationships between the Company and any independent directors were discerned.

During 2008, our Board of Directors held six meetings and took action by unanimous written consent three times. All of the directors attended at least 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board of Directors and of each committee of the Board on which they served. All directors are expected to attend Annual Meetings, and all but one of our directors attended our last Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The Company has established Corporate Governance Guidelines, which may be found on the Governance page of the Company's website, www.hornbeckoffshore.com. The Corporate Governance Guidelines include the definition of independence used by the Company to determine whether its directors and nominees for directors are independent, which are the same qualifications prescribed under the NYSE Listing Standards. Pursuant to the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, our non-management directors are required to meet in separate sessions without management on a regularly scheduled basis

four times a year. Generally, these meetings occur as an executive session without the management director in attendance in conjunction with regularly scheduled meetings of the Board throughout the year. Because the Chairman of the Board is also a member of management, the separate non-management sessions are presided over by the Lead Independent Director or in his absence by a director elected by a majority of the non-management directors. If the non-management directors include directors that are not independent directors (as determined by our Board), the non-management directors' separate session is presided over by the Lead Independent Director or in his absence by an independent director elected by a majority of the independent directors.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Audit Committee

The Board of Directors has established an audit committee currently comprised of Ms. Melcher and Messrs. Hunt, Krablin, and Stewart. The audit committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors. The Board has determined that each director currently serving on the audit committee meets the independence requirements of the NYSE and satisfies the financial literacy requirements of the NYSE. The Board has also determined that Ms. Melcher and Mr. Krablin qualify as audit committee financial experts as defined in Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K of the Exchange Act. Each of Ms. Melcher and Mr. Krablin is financially literate and has accounting or related financial management expertise, as described in their biographical information under Director Nominees and Voting above. The audit committee met five times during 2008 and took action by unanimous written consent once in 2008.

In addition to certain duties prescribed by applicable law, the audit committee is charged, under its written charter, to select and engage the independent public accountants to audit our annual financial statements, subject to stockholder ratification. The audit committee also establishes the scope of, and oversees the annual audit and approves any other services provided by public accounting firms. Furthermore, the audit committee provides assistance to the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibility to the stockholders, potential stockholders, the investment community and others relating to the integrity of our financial statements, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the independent auditor's qualifications and independence, the performance of our internal audit function and independent auditor, and oversees our system of disclosure controls and procedures and system of internal controls regarding finance, accounting, legal compliance and ethics that management and the Board have established. In doing so, it is the responsibility of the audit committee to maintain free and open communication between the audit committee and our independent auditors, the internal auditing function and management of the company. See Audit Committee Report below for further information on the functions of the audit committee.

Compensation Committee

The Board of Directors has established a compensation committee currently comprised of Messrs. Krablin, Stewart, and Trice. The compensation committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors. In addition to certain duties prescribed by applicable law, the compensation committee is charged, under its written charter, to address all forms of compensation of the Company's executive officers and directors. The

compensation committee approves and monitors annual executive and director compensation over the course of each year and as part of the Company's annual budget process. The compensation committee has sole authority to retain compensation consultants and may not form or delegate authority to subcommittees without Board approval. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis below for additional information on the Company's procedures for consideration and determination of executive and director compensation.

Our Board has determined that each member of the compensation committee meets the independence requirements of the NYSE. The compensation committee met five times during 2008 and took action by unanimous written consent once in 2008.

Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee

Our Board of Directors has also established a nominating/corporate governance committee, currently comprised of Messrs. Trice, Hunt and Stewart. In addition to certain duties prescribed by NYSE listing requirements, the committee is charged, under its written charter, to develop, review and recommend to the Board a set of corporate governance principles for the Company, and to identify, review and recommend to the Board possible candidates for Board membership.

Our Board has determined that each member of the nominating/corporate governance committee meets the independence requirements of the NYSE. The nominating/corporate governance committee met four times and did not take any action by unanimous written consent during 2008.

Availability of Certain Committee Charters and Other Information

The charters for our audit, compensation and nominating/corporate governance committees, as well as our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Procedures for Communication with Directors, Employee Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (which applies to all employees, including our Chief Executive Officer and our principal Financial and Accounting Officers), and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Members of the Board of Directors, can all be found, free of charge, on the Governance page of the Company's website, www.hornbeckoffshore.com. We intend to disclose any changes to or waivers from the Employee Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that would otherwise be required to be disclosed under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K on our website. We will also provide printed copies of these materials to any stockholder or other interested person upon request to Hornbeck Offshore Services, Inc., Attn: Samuel A. Giberga, 103 Northpark Boulevard, Suite 300, Covington, Louisiana 70433. The information on our website is not, and shall not be deemed to be, a part of this report or incorporated into any other filings we make with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the Commission.

We also make available on our website, free of charge, access to our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to those reports, as well as other documents that we file with or furnish to the Commission pursuant to Sections 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, as soon as reasonably practicable after such documents are filed with, or furnished to, the Commission.

Nomination Process

It is our Board of Director's responsibility to nominate members for election to the Board and for filling vacancies on the Board that may occur between annual meetings of stockholders. The nominating/corporate governance committee assists the Board by identifying and reviewing potential candidates for Board membership consistent with criteria approved by the Board. The nominating/corporate governance committee also annually recommends qualified candidates (which may include existing directors) for approval by the Board of a slate of nominees to be proposed for election to the Board at the annual meeting of stockholders. In February 2009, the nominating/corporate governance committee met and discussed the Class II director candidates, and recommended to the Board of Directors the reelection of the three candidates nominated above.

As provided in the Company's Bylaws, the Board is authorized to nominate and elect a new director when a vacancy occurs between annual meetings of stockholders. In the event of a vacancy on the Board between annual meetings of the Company's stockholders, the Board may request that the nominating/corporate governance committee identify, review and recommend qualified candidates for Board membership for Board consideration to fill such vacancies, if the Board determines that such vacancies will be filled. The Company's Bylaws allow for up to nine directors. At present, the Company has seven directors and the Board has not taken action to add any additional directors. The Board is permitted by the Bylaws to create a new directorship upon the affirmative vote of 66 2/3% of the directors then in office and to fill existing or newly created directorship slots by a majority vote of the directors then in office.

When formulating its recommendations for potential Board nominees, the nominating/corporate governance committee seeks and considers advice and recommendations from management, other members of the Board and may seek or consider advice and recommendations from consultants, outside counsel, accountants, or other advisors as the nominating/corporate governance committee or the Board may deem appropriate.

Board membership criteria, which are disclosed in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines on the Governance page of the Company's website, www.hornbeckoffshore.com, are determined by the Board, with input from the nominating/corporate governance committee. The Board is responsible for periodically determining the appropriate skills, perspectives, experiences, and characteristics required of Board candidates, taking into account the Company's needs and current make-up of the Board. This assessment should include appropriate knowledge, experience, and skills in areas deemed critical to understanding the Company and its business; personal characteristics, such as integrity and judgment; and the candidate's commitments to the boards of other companies. Each Board member is expected to ensure that other existing and planned future commitments do not materially interfere with the member's service as a director and that he or she devotes the time necessary to discharge his or her duties as a director. The Board believes the qualification guidelines included as Exhibit A to the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines are currently appropriate, but it may change these guidelines as the Company's and Board's needs warrant.

Nominations for Directors

The nominating/corporate governance committee will consider candidates for director nominees that are recommended by stockholders of the Company in the same manner as Board recommended nominees, in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Bylaws. Any such nominations should be submitted to the Board of Directors care of the Corporate Secretary, Hornbeck Offshore Services, Inc., 103 Northpark Boulevard, Suite 300, Covington, Louisiana 70433 and accompany it with the following information:

appropriate biographical information, a statement as to the qualifications of the nominee and any other information relating to such nominee that is required to be disclosed pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act (including such person's written consent to being named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serving as a director if elected); and

the name(s) and address(es) of the stockholder(s) making the nomination and the number of shares of the Company's common stock that are owned beneficially and of record by such stockholder(s).

The written recommendation should be submitted within the time frame described under the caption "Stockholder Proposals" above.

Communications with the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors, of which a majority are independent, has unanimously approved a process for stockholders, or other interested persons, to communicate with the Board of Directors. This process is located on the Governance page of the Company's website, www.hornbeckoffshore.com. The relevant document is titled "Procedures for Communication with Directors".

In addition, stockholders, or other interested persons, wishing to communicate with our Board of Directors for Anonymous Complaints about Accounting, Internal Accounting Control and Auditing Issues may call the Company's toll-free Governance Hotline at 1-800-506-6374. Our audit committee monitors these calls. All calls are documented, and those reports that are deemed to be substantive will be passed on to the Board. Stockholders, or other interested persons, calling the hotline should provide a sufficiently detailed description of the nature of the matter that the person wishes to communicate with the Board, as well as a name, telephone number, email address, or other contact information so that the Company can either respond to the communication or obtain additional information about the matter.

Proposal No. 2 Selection and Ratification of the Independent Registered Public

Accountants and Auditors

Our audit committee and Board of Directors seek stockholder ratification of the reappointment of Ernst & Young LLP to act as the independent registered public accountants and auditors of our consolidated financial statements for the 2009 fiscal year. If the stockholders do not ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young, the audit committee will reconsider this appointment. Representatives of Ernst & Young are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting to respond to appropriate questions, and those representatives will also have an opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of common stock entitled to vote and represented in person or by proxy at a meeting at which a quorum is present is required to ratify the selection of the independent auditors.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends that the stockholders vote FOR the ratification of the reappointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accountants and auditors for fiscal year 2009.

Independent Auditors and Fees

Ernst & Young LLP, certified public accountants, began serving as the Company's independent auditors in 2002. The audit committee approved the reappointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent registered public accountants and auditors for the 2009 fiscal year, subject to ratification by the stockholders.

The following table presents fees for professional audit services rendered by Ernst & Young for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2008, December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, and fees billed for other services rendered by Ernst & Young during those periods.

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
Audit fees (1)	\$ 364,010	\$ 272,000
Audit related fees (2)	16,050	
Tax fees (3)	154,230	44,800
Total	\$ 534,290	\$ 316,800

- (1) Audit fees: Consists of fees billed for professional services rendered for the audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements, for the review of the interim condensed consolidated financial statements included in quarterly reports, services that are normally provided by Ernst & Young in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements and attest services, except those not required by statute or regulation.
- (2) Audit related fees: Consists of fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's consolidated financial statements and are not reported under Audit Fees. These services include accounting consultations in connection with acquisitions, attest services that are not required by statute or regulation, and consultations concerning financial accounting and reporting standards.
- (3) Tax fees: Consists of tax compliance and preparation and other tax services. Tax compliance and preparation consists of fees billed for professional services related to federal, state and international tax compliance, assistance with tax audits and appeals, assistance related to the impact of mergers and acquisitions, and tax return preparation. Other tax services consist of fees billed for other miscellaneous tax consulting and planning.

Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditors.

The audit committee is responsible for appointing, setting compensation, and overseeing the work of the independent auditors. The audit committee's policy is to pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the independent auditors. Requests for approval are generally submitted at a meeting of the audit committee. The audit committee may delegate

pre-approval authority to a committee member, provided that any decisions made by such member shall be presented to the full committee at its next scheduled meeting.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The names, ages as of April 1, 2009, position and other information concerning our named executive officers are set forth below.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position</u>
Todd M. Hornbeck	40	Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer
Carl G. Annessa	52	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
James O. Harp, Jr.	48	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Samuel A. Giberga	47	Senior Vice President and General Counsel
John S. Cook	39	Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer

Todd M. Hornbeck has served as our President and as a director since he co-founded the Company in June 1997. Until February 2002, he also served as Chief Operating Officer. In February 2002, he was appointed Chief Executive Officer. In May 2005, he was appointed Chairman of the Board. Until February 2007, he also served as our Secretary. Please refer to Incumbent Class III Directors above for additional information with respect to Mr. Todd Hornbeck's background and experience.

Carl G. Annessa was appointed Executive Vice President in February 2005. Prior to that time, Mr. Annessa served as our Vice President of Operations beginning in September 1997. In February 2002, he was appointed Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Annessa is responsible for operational oversight and design and implementation of our vessel construction programs. Prior to joining us, he was employed for 17 years by Tidewater Inc. (NYSE:TDW) in various technical and operational management positions, including management of large fleets of offshore supply vessels in the Arabian Gulf, Caribbean and West African markets, and was responsible for the design of several of Tidewater's vessels. Mr. Annessa was employed for two years by Avondale Shipyards, Inc. as a naval architect before joining Tidewater. Mr. Annessa received a degree in naval architecture and mechanical engineering from the University of Michigan in 1979.

James O. Harp, Jr. was appointed Executive Vice President in February 2005. Prior to that time, Mr. Harp served as our Vice President and Chief Financial Officer beginning in January 2001. Before joining us, Mr. Harp served as Vice President in the Energy Group of RBC Dominion Securities Corporation, an investment banking firm, from August 1999 to January 2001, and as Vice President in the Energy Group of Jefferies & Company, Inc., an investment banking firm, from June 1997 to August 1999. During his investment banking career, Mr. Harp worked extensively with marine-related oil service companies, including as our investment banker in connection with our private placement of common stock in November 2000. From July 1982 to June 1997, he held roles of increasing responsibility in the tax section of Arthur Andersen LLP, ultimately serving as a Tax Principal, and had a significant concentration of international clients in the oil service and maritime industries. Since April 1992, he has also served as Treasurer and Director of SEISCO, Inc., a privately held seismic brokerage company that he co-founded. Mr. Harp is an inactive certified public accountant in Louisiana.

Samuel A. Giberga was appointed Senior Vice President in February 2005. Mr. Giberga has also served as our General Counsel since January 2004. Prior to joining us, Mr. Giberga was engaged in the private practice of law for fourteen years. Mr. Giberga was a partner in

the New Orleans based law firm of Corroero, Fishman, Haygood, Phelps, Walmsley & Casteix from February 2000 to December 2003 and served as a partner at Rice, Fowler, Kingsmill, Vance & Flint, LLP from March 1996 to February 2000. During his legal career, Mr. Giberga has worked extensively with marine and energy service companies in a variety of contexts with a significant concentration in general business, international and intellectual property matters. He was also a co-founder of Maritime Claims Americas, L.L.C., which operates a network of correspondent offices for marine protection and indemnity associations throughout Latin America. From June 2005 through February 2007, Mr. Giberga served as a director of the American Steamship Owners Mutual Protection and Indemnity Association Inc. (the American Club), a mutual protection and indemnity association in which the Company's principal operating subsidiaries were entered as members. Mr. Giberga also served as an adjunct professor in intellectual property law matters at Loyola University Law School in New Orleans.

John S. Cook was appointed Senior Vice President in April 2008. Mr. Cook was designated an Executive Officer and appointed a Vice President in February 2006. Mr. Cook has also served as our Chief Information Officer since May 2002. Before joining us, Mr. Cook held roles of increasing responsibility in the business consulting section of Arthur Andersen LLP from January 1992 to May 2002, ultimately serving as a Senior Manager. During his consulting career, Mr. Cook assisted numerous marine and energy service companies in various business process and information technology initiatives, including strategic planning and enterprise software implementations. Mr. Cook is a certified public accountant in Louisiana and is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the Society of Louisiana Certified Public Accountants and is a Certified Information Systems Auditor and a Project Management Professional.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Philosophies and objectives of the Company's executive compensation program

The Company's executive compensation programs reflect its entrepreneurial culture and philosophy that executives 1) are hired to devise and execute strategies that create long-term stockholder value consistent with the Company's mission statement; and 2) are appropriately rewarded for doing so. The objectives of our executive compensation programs are 1) to attract and retain executives that possess abilities essential to the Company's long-term competitiveness and success; 2) to support a performance-oriented environment; and 3) to create a culture of ownership allowing executives to share meaningfully with stockholders in the long-term enhancement of stockholder value.

The Company's compensation program for executive officers rewards the following attributes:

Performance. The Company rewards decision-making that achieves operating results that increase stockholder value over the long-term.

Excellence. The Company expects its executive officers to discharge their duties with excellence and professionalism. The Company expects a high level of enthusiasm, diligence, analytical rigor, business acumen and attention to detail.

Leadership. Executives of the Company are expected to demonstrate leadership.

Teamwork. Executives are evaluated as members of a team, not merely as individuals.

Loyalty. We promote a culture of ownership throughout the Company and reward employees, including our named executive officers, who remain dedicated to the Company over the long-term with equity ownership opportunities.

The elements of compensation used by the Company

The Company's executive compensation program is comprised of the following elements:

Base Salary

Cash Incentive Compensation and, when appropriate, Cash Bonuses

Equity Incentive Compensation

Benefits and Certain Perquisites

Generally. The compensation committee considers Company information, historical compensation information about each named executive officer and data derived from market sources, including data regarding certain peer companies, as points of reference for the appropriate mix of compensation elements. Our compensation consultant, Mercer Human Resources Consulting, or Mercer, recommended the composition of our Industry Peer Group in 2005. A discussion concerning how we conduct comparisons with such group is provided in the section entitled "How and why we benchmark executive compensation against our peers" on pages 22 through 24 below. In late 2008, Mercer reviewed the composition of our Industry Peer Group for purposes of assisting the compensation committee in establishing

compensation to be paid in 2009. To effectively attract, retain and incentivize the best possible executive talent, the Company believes that an executive's total potential compensation should be attractive, but not guaranteed. The total amount of cash compensation that our executives can earn is contingent upon the Company achieving certain performance measures that are established by the compensation committee. The compensation committee believes these performance measures require a high level of performance and effort by the Company's executives. For further discussion, see the section entitled "How and why we use adjusted EBITDA as the performance measure to determine whether cash compensation has been earned" on pages 24 and 25 below.

Base Salary. The Company pays base salary to executive officers in order to compensate them for day-to-day services rendered to the Company over the course of each year. Salaries for executive officers are reviewed annually by the compensation committee. In determining individual salaries, the compensation committee considers the scope of the executive's job responsibilities, unique skill sets and experience, individual contributions, market conditions, current compensation as compared to peer and competitor companies, including the Industry Peer Group and the financial budget of the Company for the coming year. In 2008, the compensation committee increased the base salaries of each of our named executive officers by percentages ranging between 5% and 17%. The base salary increases were given after the compensation committee reviewed peer and competitor company compensation data indicating that the base salaries of our named executive officers in 2007 were below the market median. In addition, the compensation committee considered the overall performance and increasing size of the Company as well as the recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer, as it concerned the compensation of the other named executive officers.

Cash Incentive Compensation and Bonuses. The Company utilizes cash incentive pay in order to incentivize the achievement of specific operating results each year and to encourage short-term performance. The program for awarding annual cash incentive pay is identical (other than the percentage of base salary that can be achieved as set forth in the table below) for all of our named executive officers and is described in the employment agreements of Messrs. Hornbeck, Annessa and Harp. The program provides for cash incentive payments comprised of two components.

The first component is based on the Company achieving a target level of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, as adjusted by loss on early extinguishment of debt (if applicable), or adjusted EBITDA, established at the beginning of the year by the compensation committee. A discussion concerning our use of adjusted EBITDA in connection with compensation-related matters is described in the section entitled "How and why we use adjusted EBITDA as the performance measure to determine whether cash compensation has been earned" on pages 24 and 25 below. The compensation committee also reviews the recommended cash incentive compensation potential of each executive officer not subject to an employment agreement, and may revise, upward or downward, the threshold, target and maximum percentages of base salary that can be awarded to each of them as compensation under the first component.

If the Company achieves an adjusted EBITDA of between 80% and 100%, or between 100% and 120%, of the adjusted EBITDA target, cash incentive compensation is paid in an

amount equal to the percentage of base salary that will be earned by the named executive officers as determined by the compensation committee based on the actual adjusted EBITDA achieved, interpolated on a straight-line basis between 80% and 100%, or 100% and 120%, as applicable. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in accordance with the employment agreements, the compensation committee, in its sole discretion, may award a bonus to the named executive officers under the first component for an adjusted EBITDA achievement percentage that is less than 80%, and the compensation committee, in its sole discretion, may award an additional bonus to the named executive officers for an adjusted EBITDA achievement percentage in excess of 120%. In 2008, the adjusted EBITDA target was set at approximately \$230 million, which was roughly 70% higher than the prior year's adjusted EBITDA target.

The second component of annual cash incentive compensation represents approximately 50% of the aggregate potential cash incentive compensation that can be earned by our named executive officers and is determined by the compensation committee in its sole discretion based on an evaluation of Company and individual performance. For each of the last four years, this component of cash incentive compensation has been equal to the cash award under the first component described above.

The table below demonstrates the eligibility of each named executive officer under the formulaic approach of both components of cash incentive compensation in 2008.

<u>Executive</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Minimum Cash Incentive Compensation @ 80% of Plan (% of Base Salary)</u>	<u>Cash Incentive Compensation @ 100% of Plan (% of Base Salary)</u>	<u>Maximum Cash Incentive Compensation @ 120% of Plan (% of Base Salary)</u>
Hornbeck, Todd M.	Chairman, President & CEO	20.00%	100.00%	200.00%
Annessa, Carl G.	Executive Vice President & COO	20.00%	100.00%	200.00%
Harp, James O., Jr.	Executive Vice President & CFO	20.00%	100.00%	200.00%
Giberga, Samuel A.	Senior Vice President & General Counsel	15.00%	75.00%	131.26%
Cook, John S.	Senior Vice President & CIO	15.00%	75.00%	131.26%

In extraordinary circumstances, such as the Company's initial public offering of common stock in 2004 or the Sea Mar acquisition in 2007, the compensation committee can, and has, awarded event-driven bonuses that exceed the incentive cash compensation derived under the formulaic approach of the first component and the correlative second component applicable to the named executive officers.

The Company's actual 2008 adjusted EBITDA was approximately \$239 million, which exceeded the adjusted EBITDA target of \$230 million by roughly 4%. This performance entitled each of the named executive officers to receive cash incentive compensation according to the sliding scale formula allowed under the first component of the program described above. The compensation committee exercised its discretion to award each of the named executive officers additional cash incentive compensation under the second component of the program described above, in an amount equal to that which was received under the first component. In exercising this discretion as in the past, the compensation committee considered the Company's overall performance in 2008 and the absence of any compelling factors warranting an adjustment to the second component of incentive cash compensation.

Equity Incentive Compensation. The Company believes that the interests of stockholders are best served when a meaningful portion of executive and management compensation is tied to equity ownership. Pursuant to the Company's incentive compensation plan, the compensation committee is authorized to grant stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock unit awards and other equity-based awards. Historically, the Company has used a combination of stock options and restricted stock unit awards as a means to incentivize long-term employment and performance and to align individual compensation with the objective of building long-term stockholder value. The Company uses equity incentive compensation, with vesting based on time, performance or both, as a means of encouraging a culture of ownership among employees, including our named executive officers. The compensation committee believes that by using equity forms of incentive compensation, the interests of the Company's stockholders and the Company's management employees remain aligned over the long-term. The compensation committee exercises discretion in determining the number and type of equity awards to be given to our named executive officers as long-term incentive compensation. In exercising its discretion, the compensation committee considers a number of factors, including individual responsibilities, competitive market data, stock price performance, and individual and Company performance. Subject to the express provisions of the incentive compensation plan and direction from the Board, the compensation committee is authorized, among other things, (i) to select the executives to whom equity awards will be granted; (ii) to determine the type, size, terms and conditions of equity awards including vesting provisions and whether such equity awards will be time or performance-based; and (iii) to establish the terms for treatment of equity awards upon a termination of employment. Historically, the compensation committee's practice was to award options at an exercise price, and in recent years to award restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards based on a price, equal to the New York Stock Exchange's closing price of the Company's common stock on the effective date of the grant. Such grants are typically made to named executive officers at the February meetings of the Board and the compensation committee each year, which usually precede the public announcement of the Company's fourth quarter earnings for the prior year by a few days.

In setting individual awards for the annual grants made in 2006, 2007 and 2008, the compensation committee considered data provided by Mercer in its 2005 report. In February 2006, for the named executive officers other than the chief executive officer, the compensation committee targeted a 50% allocation of the total long-term equity incentive value to be comprised of stock options with the remaining 50% to be comprised of long-term restricted stock awards. For our Chief Executive Officer, the allocation was roughly 64% stock options and 36% restricted stock. For the long-term equity incentive grants awarded in February 2007, February 2008 and February 2009, the Company used a combination of time-based and performance-based restricted stock unit awards. No stock options were granted to our named executive officers or any other employee in 2007 or 2008.

In February 2008, the compensation committee also awarded each of the named executive officers a discretionary grant of time-based restricted stock unit awards that vest upon such officer's service for one year following the grant. This discretionary grant of time-based awards was in consideration of the executives' role in the successful acquisition and integration of the Sea Mar Fleet during 2007.

Restricted stock awards given to named executive officers in February 2006 and restricted stock unit awards given named executive officers in February 2007 and 2008 are dependent on 1) such officer's service for three years following the grant and 2) the Company achieving specified relative stock price performance objectives over such three-year period compared to a peer group including companies that comprise the PHLX Oil Services Index, or OSX, plus three additional public peers in the energy-related marine industry, or the Supplemented OSX Peer Group. Since the February 2006 grant, certain companies included in the Supplemented OSX Peer Group have either been merged with or acquired by other peers. As data from the acquired members of the Supplemented OSX Peer Group loses comparability or becomes unavailable, they have been or will be removed from the list. This Supplemented OSX Peer Group, which is separate and distinct from the Industry Peer Group compiled by Mercer and used to benchmark executive compensation, consists of the following companies:

Peer Group Used to Measure the Company's Stock Price Performance (1):

Baker Hughes Inc. (BHI)
 BJ Services Company (BJS)
 Cameron International Corporation (CAM)
 Global Industries LTD (GLBL)
 Gulfmark Offshore Inc. (GLF)
 Halliburton Company (HAL)
 Kirby Corp (KEX)
 Nabors Industries Ltd. (NBR)
 National Oilwell Varco, Incorporated (NOV)
 Noble Corp. (NE)
 Rowan Companies Inc. (RDC)
 Seacor Holdings Inc. (CKH)
 Schlumberger Limited (SLB)
 Smith International Inc. (SII)
 Tidewater Inc. (TDW)
 Transocean Ltd. (RIG)
 Weatherford International Ltd. (WFT)

(1) The companies excluded from the original Supplemented OSX Peer Group compiled in February 2006 include: a) Maritrans Inc. (TUG), which was acquired by Overseas Shipholding Group (OSG) in November 2006 and b) Global SantaFe (GSF), which merged with Transocean Ltd. (RIG) in November 2007.

On February 14, 2009, it was determined that the performance-based restricted stock awards granted to the named executive officers in February 2006 would not vest and were forfeited in their entirety. Messrs. Todd Hornbeck, Annessa, Harp, Giberga and Cook forfeited 30,000, 14,600, 14,600, 11,750 and 10,500 shares, respectively, which represent the maximum number of shares that such named executive officer could have earned under his 2006 restricted stock award agreement. As specified in the 2006 restricted stock award agreements, the forfeitures resulted from the Company's stock price performance relative to the Supplemented OSX Peer Group, as described above. The Supplemented OSX Peer Group used to measure our stock price performance to determine vesting of performance-based restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards granted to the named executive officers in 2006, 2007 and 2008 is separate and distinct from the Industry Peer Group identified by Mercer.

Equity incentive compensation for our named executive officers was targeted at the seventy-fifth percentile of the Industry Peer Group during the 2006 compensation benchmarking process. After taking into account the effect of the forfeiture of all performance-based restricted stock awards granted in February 2006, actual equity incentive compensation and total direct compensation for the 2005/06 compensation period fell significantly below the fiftieth percentile for our named executive officers taken as a group.

Benefits and Perquisites. The Company provides the named executive officers and other employees with perquisites and other personal benefits as part of providing a competitive executive compensation program and for employee retention. The following table generally identifies the Company's benefit plans and identifies those employees who may be eligible to participate. The named executive officers participate in the following benefit plans in the same manner that our employees do, except where noted as below:

<u>Benefit Plan</u>	<u>Executive Officers</u>	<u>Certain Managers</u>	<u>Full-time Employees</u>	<u>Notes</u>
Medical Insurance	X	X	X	(1)
Dental Insurance	X	X	X	(1)
Vision Insurance	X	X	X	
Employee Assistance Plan	X	X	X	
Life and Disability Insurance	X	X	X	(2)
Flexible Spending Accounts	X	X	X	
Employee Stock Purchase Plan	X	X	X	
401(k) Plan	X	X	X	

(1) In 2008, Messrs. Todd Hornbeck, Carl Annessa, James Harp, Samuel Giberga and John Cook had a supplemental medical insurance policy that pays all out-of-pocket medical and dental expenses.

(2) The named executive officers and certain other officers have company-paid basic life and accidental death and dismemberment insurance of 1.5 times their salary, up to \$300,000. All other employees have company-paid basic life and accidental death and dismemberment insurance of 1.5 times their salary, up to \$100,000. In addition, the Chief Executive Officer and each of the Company's vice presidents are entitled to disability benefits as long as they are disabled from performing their own occupation. For all other employees, they are entitled to disability benefits up to 36 months if they are disabled from performing their own occupation, and after 36 months they must be unable to work in any occupation.

The Company believes it should provide limited perquisites for executive officers. As a result, the Company has historically given nominal perquisites. The following table generally illustrates the perquisites we do and do not provide and identifies those employees who may be eligible to receive them:

<u>Type of Perquisite</u>	<u>Executive Officers</u>	<u>Certain Managers</u>	<u>Certain Full Time Employees</u>
Company Vehicle	X(1)	Not offered	Not offered
Vehicle Allowance	Not offered	X	X
Supplemental Medical Insurance	X	Not offered	Not offered
Country Club Memberships	Not offered	Not offered	Not offered
Dwellings for Personal Use	Not offered	Not offered	Not offered
Security Services	Not offered	Not offered	Not offered
Supplemental Executive Retirement Program (SERP)	Not offered	Not offered	Not offered
Deferred Compensation Plan	X(2)	Not offered	Not offered

(1) Applicable to Messrs. Todd Hornbeck, Carl Annessa and James Harp.

(2)

Edgar Filing: HORNBECK OFFSHORE SERVICES INC /LA - Form DEF 14A

A Deferred Compensation Plan was adopted by the Board of Directors during 2007. However, no matching provision has been authorized under the plan and no executive has availed himself of plan participation.

How the elements of compensation fit into our overall compensation objectives

Consistent with the Company's compensation philosophy and objectives discussed above, the compensation committee believes that its use of the three primary components of compensation described above provides competitive salaries, allows opportunities for significant cash incentive compensation to encourage short-term performance and establishes significant long-term equity incentive opportunities aligned with stockholder interests. The Company also adds value to the compensation package of its executives through certain perquisites.

The role of the Compensation Committee

Our compensation committee is comprised solely of directors who (i) meet the independence requirements of Section 303A of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) Listed Company Manual and any other regulatory requirements, (ii) qualify as Non-Employee Directors under Rule 16b-3 of the Exchange Act, and (iii) satisfy the requirements of an outside director for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code. The compensation committee is responsible for 1) establishing and administering an overall compensation program for our executive officers and approving all compensation for named executive officers; 2) establishing and administering the Company's policies governing annual cash compensation and equity incentive awards for employees other than executive officers and 3) administering the Company's incentive compensation and certain employee benefit plans. The compensation committee meets several times a year to analyze and discuss the Company's compensation plans, proposals and other compensation-related issues. It also engages in several informal sessions with and without executive management. These sessions usually coincide with the Company's annual budget process. At the regular meeting of the compensation committee in February of each year, the compensation committee determines and approves the award, if any, of prior year cash incentive compensation. In addition, at its February meeting, the compensation committee determines the current year's annual compensation for our named executive officers, including the establishment of base salaries, determination of potential cash incentive compensation targets and participation levels of each named executive officer and approval of long-term incentive compensation awards. When appropriate, the compensation committee recommends to the full Board of Directors compensation or benefit policies or plans or amendments to existing policies or plans and amendments to employment agreements with executive officers. The Chief Executive Officer reviews the performance of the other executive officers and recommends to the compensation committee the base salary, cash incentive compensation, equity incentive compensation and other benefits for such officers. The compensation committee considers the Chief Executive Officer's recommendations when establishing the base salary, cash incentive compensation, equity incentive compensation and other benefits for the other named executive officers.

The compensation committee analyzes tally sheets that are prepared by management. The purpose of these tally sheets is to compile in one place, segregated by compensation elements, the amount of actual and potential compensation that each of our named executive officers was paid in the prior year and is proposed to be paid in the current year. The tally sheets help ensure that there is a correlation between the Company's compensation philosophy and objectives and the actual compensation of our executives. These tally sheets

reflect all compensation and related commitments for executive officers, including base salary, annual performance-based cash incentives, cash bonuses, if applicable, outstanding and proposed stock options, restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards, benefits and perquisites. The tally sheets also include the amounts that our named executive officers would receive in the event of a termination in their employment or change in control of the Company. The tally sheets and related discussions are intended to provide the compensation committee with a comprehensive single point of reference for all of the compensation earned by or proposed for our executives. The tally sheets are provided with benchmarking data for comparable executives in our Industry Peer Group.

How and when we have used a compensation consultant

The compensation committee has the authority to directly engage independent consultants. On occasion, consultants have provided advice on compensation strategy and program design. Consultants have also been used to compare the Company's compensation programs with those of other companies. In 2005, the compensation committee interviewed a total of five firms and then engaged Mercer to provide a study of our executive and director compensation and to advise appropriate strategies for motivating and rewarding our executives. As part of its report, Mercer designed the Industry Peer Group that has been used, in part, by the Company in benchmarking the compensation of named executive officers with compensation earned by executives at comparable pay levels at other peer companies. The compensation committee took the study into account as a baseline tool in determining compensation in 2006, 2007 and 2008. The results of the study were supplemented in 2007 and 2008 by referring to more current survey data available to the Company through Equilar, Inc., or Equilar, an executive compensation data subscription service. We have selected Equilar as the source of public company filings based upon our consideration of the completeness and timeliness of the proxy data compiled and reported by Equilar. Late in 2008, the compensation committee engaged Mercer to perform an updated study of our executive compensation and to refresh the Industry Peer Group, which was one of the tools used to benchmark compensation to be paid in 2009 for the named executive officers. The compensation committee may in the future retain outside compensation consultants, such as Mercer, to review compensation issues.

How and why we benchmark executive compensation against our peers

We compete with other companies for executive talent. In so doing, we consider prevailing executive compensation trends in order to establish whether our compensation is appropriate, competitive and in-line with our overall executive compensation philosophy and objectives. The compensation committee considers competitive market data including compensation levels and other information derived from 1) public filings of publicly traded energy service companies (including publicly traded marine service companies, some of which are direct competitors) identified by Mercer as having sufficiently similar operating characteristics with the Company so as to provide a source of meaningful comparison, or our Industry Peer Group; and 2) published survey information for the energy industry as well as the broader commercial industry. While the compensation committee has considered the compensation practices prevailing in both of the foregoing groups, it tended to overweight our Industry Peer Group. Our competitive market is not comprised strictly of vessel owners because the competition we face for executive talent is not limited to marine companies and

we believe that the number of such companies represents too small of a sample size for a reasonable comparison. Generally, the compensation committee considers how the compensation of our executives compares with the individual elements of, as well as the total direct compensation of, the named executive officers of our peers. The compensation committee has historically considered the median compensation levels determined at the fiftieth, sixtieth and seventy-fifth percentiles of our peers among the factors it uses when establishing executive compensation. Since the 2005 Mercer report, certain companies originally included in the Industry Peer Group have either been acquired by other members of the Industry Peer Group or have undergone significant changes in their corporate structure. As data from the acquired members of our Industry Peer Group loses comparability or becomes unavailable, they have been or will be removed from the list. The companies included in the Industry Peer Group for 2008 were substantially the same as those listed in the 2005 Mercer report and consisted of the following:

Industry Peer Group Used to Benchmark Executive Compensation

K-Sea Transportation LP (KSP)
Gulfmark Offshore Inc. (GLF)
US Shipping Partners LP (USS)
Tidewater Inc. (TDW)
Seacor Holdings Inc. (CKH)
Overseas Shipholding Group (OSG)
OMI Corp (OMM)
Kirby Corp (KEX)
Oil States International Inc. (OIS)
Superior Energy Services Inc. (SPN)
Global Industries LTD (GLBL)
Rowan Companies Inc. (RDC)
Grant Prideco Inc. (GRP)
T3 Energy Services, Inc. (TTES)
Oceaneering International (OII)

Since the 2005 Mercer study, the Company has assessed the relative competitiveness of its executive compensation program by referring to data compiled by Equilar from the public company filings of the companies in the Industry Peer Group filtered by position and/or pay rank. Using a methodology similar to that previously used by Mercer, in February 2007 we applied an aging factor based on recent survey data available from certain other third party sources to the compensation data produced by Equilar, as the company filings reflected executive compensation for fiscal years ending in 2005 and early 2006. This aging factor was not applied to the data produced by Equilar for purposes of the February 2008 executive compensation analysis notwithstanding the potential two-year time lag.

In 2008, total annual cash compensation, which consists of base salary, cash incentive compensation and bonuses, was targeted at the sixtieth percentile of the Industry Peer Group. Equity incentive compensation for our named executive officers was targeted at the seventy-fifth percentile of the Industry Peer Group. Actual total annual cash compensation for 2008 was slightly below the fiftieth percentile and equity incentive compensation awarded in 2008 fell between the sixtieth and seventy-fifth percentile for our named executive officers taken as a group. We use Equilar to value equity incentive compensation during the

benchmarking process, which utilizes a standard set of assumptions applied to the Black-Scholes model. The assumptions (term, volatility, dividend yield, and interest rate) are derived from information found in our Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table and those of the companies that comprise our Industry Peer Group. Total direct compensation, including total annual cash and equity incentive compensation but excluding other compensation, fell slightly above the fiftieth percentile for our named executive officers taken as a group for 2008.

The role of executive management in the compensation process

The compensation committee works with executive management with respect to the practical aspects of the design and execution of our executive compensation programs. Because our executives' non-equity compensation is derived, in part, from the Company's annual operating performance, the annual budget process is a key component of the process by which compensation is determined. The Chief Executive Officer and other members of management also evaluate comparative data of the Industry Peer Group and the broader commercial industry in order to compare proposed compensation against such peer companies and provide such information to the compensation committee. Following proposals made by executive management, including the Chief Executive Officer's recommendations regarding the other named executive officers, the compensation committee engages in one or more discussion sessions, with and without executive management, in order to make a final determination of compensation for the named executive officers.

How and why we use adjusted EBITDA as the performance measure to determine whether incentive cash compensation has been earned

We disclose and discuss EBITDA as a non-GAAP financial measure in our public releases, including quarterly earnings releases, investor conference calls and other filings with the Commission. EBITDA is used by management (i) as a supplemental internal measure for planning and forecasting overall expectations and for evaluating actual results against such expectations; (ii) to compare to the EBITDA of other companies when evaluating potential acquisitions; and (iii) to assess our ability to service existing fixed charges and incur additional indebtedness. Because of the significance of EBITDA to the Company as an analytical measure, the compensation committee uses EBITDA, which may be adjusted for certain items, as the objective criterion for determining the amount of annual cash incentive compensation that may be paid to our executive officers and other shore-based employees. The adjustments that the compensation committee might make to EBITDA include adjustments for losses on early extinguishment of debt, stock-based compensation expense and interest income. The compensation committee will typically make these adjustments in years in which they have relevance to our compensation analysis and/or are unpredictable for budgeting purposes. In setting the adjusted EBITDA target used for purposes of determining eligibility for our cash incentive compensation each year, the compensation committee sets the adjusted EBITDA target based on expected performance for the year taking into account industry conditions, competitor performance and expectations of the Board of Directors. Our goal is to ensure that management only begins to share, financially, in results after our stockholders have received an appropriate opportunity to achieve return on their invested capital. This approach has historically resulted in adjusted EBITDA targets that are designed to incentivize management to perform at demanding levels.

The adjusted EBITDA target is not necessarily the same as that which the Company may from time to time include in earnings guidance. However, if guidance for a year is given, the

adjusted EBITDA target established at the beginning of the year is within the initial range of earnings guidance announced by the Company for that year. While the Company may alter its guidance range during the year, it has not, in the past, changed the adjusted EBITDA target other than, on occasion, to adjust for significant acquisitions or financings that may have occurred that were unanticipated at the time the adjusted EBITDA target was originally set.

The Company's objective is to increase EBITDA in conjunction with the growth of our fleet and improving market conditions. For the last four years, the Company has met or exceeded its adjusted EBITDA target. In 2008, the major factors affecting the Company's ability to meet its increased adjusted EBITDA target were the ability to timely deliver vessels under construction and the ability to achieve and maintain targeted dayrates and utilization for our Downstream segment. The degree of difficulty in achieving the adjusted EBITDA target is impacted by a number of factors, including:

The probability for volatility in demand for our OSV services due to changes in the level of offshore oil and gas exploration, development and production on the basis of changes in capital spending budgets of our customers, unavailability of drilling rigs in the GoM, our principal operating area, prevailing oil and natural gas prices and expectations about future prices and price volatility, weather conditions, and other factors beyond our control

Possible reduction in dayrates, profitability and market share due to increases in the supply of vessels and intensifying competition in our industry

The potential failure to successfully complete construction or conversion of our vessels in addition to repairs, maintenance and routine drydockings on schedule and on budget and to utilize such vessels and the other vessels in our fleet at profitable levels

Adverse effects of the cost, manner or feasibility of doing business resulting from the complex laws and regulations, including environmental regulations, to which the Company is subject

The potential for disruption of our business due to catastrophic marine disasters, adverse weather and sea conditions, mechanical failures, collisions or allisions, oil and hazardous substance spills, navigation errors, acts of God, and war and terrorism for which insurance may be unavailable or inadequate to protect us from the resulting losses

The effect of unexpected increases in operating expenses such as materials and supplies, crew wages, maintenance and repairs, and insurance costs

Further detail of the above risks and certain other internal and external risks the Company faces can be found in our Risk Factors in the Company's 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 2, 2009.

Management of dilution caused by equity compensation

Under our incentive compensation plan, the Company is authorized to issue a maximum of 3,500,000 shares of Common Stock as awards and, as of February 28, 2009, 423,326 remain available for future grants. The Company is mindful of dilution and the rate at which

shares are used and intends to target an annual share usage level consistent with industry benchmarks compiled by reputable outside consultants, such as Mercer, and other independent third-party sources. The actual annual usage rate based on shares granted divided by total shares outstanding is expected to vary from year to year, depending on the achievement of specified performance targets and objectives and the Company's current stock price. In keeping with its overall compensation philosophy and entrepreneurial culture, the Company has historically granted a significant amount of stock-based compensation to employees other than its named executive officers, including for three of the past four years, its vessel personnel. Overall, the shares granted to employees in February 2006, February 2007 and February 2008 represented approximately 2.0%, 1.6% and 1.8% of the Company's then-outstanding shares, respectively. Among the issues considered by the Company in deciding whether to award stock options, restricted stock awards, restricted stock unit awards or some other form of long-term equity incentive compensation is the relative dilutive impact to existing stockholders.

Tax and accounting treatment issues

Under Section 162(m) of the Code, the Company may not deduct, for federal income tax purposes, compensation paid in excess of \$1,000,000 to a named executive officer employed by the Company at year-end unless it qualifies as performance-based compensation. As part of its responsibilities, the compensation committee reviews and considers the deductibility of compensation paid to named executive officers under Section 162(m) of the Code, and, generally, has endeavored to design the compensation payable to the Company's named executive officers so that it is fully deductible by the Company. A portion of the compensation paid pursuant to our annual incentive bonus plan and certain of our restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards generally qualify as performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m). Base salaries and time-based restricted stock unit award grants do not qualify as performance-based compensation pursuant to the requirements of Section 162(m). The compensation committee believes that, in order to ensure competitive levels of total compensation for its named executive officers, there may be circumstances in which the Company's interests are best served by approving compensation for its named executive officers that will not meet the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Code and, therefore, will not be deductible by the Company for federal income tax purposes. Accordingly, the compensation committee has approved, and may approve in the future, compensation for one or more of its named executive officers that is not deductible for federal income tax purposes. For 2008, compensation for Mr. Todd Hornbeck exceeded the Section 162(m) limitation due primarily to a combination of base salary and incentive awards not considered to be performance-based compensation under Section 162(m).

Under FAS 123R, the Company is required to record stock-based compensation expense related to equity awards prior to the vesting of those awards. In February of 2006, 2007 and 2008, the Company granted performance-based restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards that utilize market-based conditions in the performance criteria. FAS 123R requires the Company to record stock-based compensation expense for these performance-based awards, which are valued using a Monte Carlo simulation, even if vesting does not occur. As a result, the Company may record compensation expenses for certain restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards that are never earned by the employee. On February 14, 2009, it was determined that the performance-based restricted stock awards

granted on February 14, 2006 would not vest and all awards were forfeited, as the Company did not meet certain stock price performance objectives relative to the Supplemented OSX Peer Group specified in the grant agreement. Because the performance-criterion for these restricted stock awards represents a market-based condition, the Company was not permitted under FAS 123R to reverse upon forfeiture in February 2009 the stock-based compensation expense, or FAS 123R expense, recognized over the three-year vesting period beginning in February 2006.

Our review and analysis of the need for termination and change in control arrangements

The Company uses employment agreements in the Company's retention efforts and can, under appropriate circumstances, use them for recruiting purposes. The Company has entered into long-term employment agreements with its three most senior executive officers. Todd M. Hornbeck serves as our President and Chief Executive Officer, Carl G. Annessa serves as our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer and James O. Harp, Jr. serves as our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Each long-term employment agreement has a current term expiring December 31, 2011. The terms of each agreement automatically extend for an additional year every January 1, unless notice of termination is given before such date by the employee or us. Under the terms of our incentive compensation plan, and such employment agreements, the Chief Executive Officer and the other executive officers named above are entitled to payments and benefits upon the occurrence of specified events including termination of employment without cause and upon a change in control of the Company.

In the case of each employment agreement, the terms of the termination and change in control arrangements were established through a process of arms-length negotiations between the Company and the named executive officers. In February 2007, the compensation committee reevaluated the terms of the employment agreements and determined to strengthen, and in the case of our Chief Executive Officer to add, provisions that restrict the ability of these individuals to compete with the Company following their termination of employment with the Company. In addition, the agreements were amended to add provisions that prohibit the solicitation of employees for a specified period following termination of employment and that enhance obligations concerning confidentiality of Company information. The foregoing restrictions were a significant factor considered by the compensation committee in agreeing to termination and change in control payments under the employment agreements. The age of our executives was also a factor in favor of our obtaining the foregoing restrictions in exchange for termination payments. All of our named executive officers are of such an age that if terminated, will likely continue working. It is also likely that any future employment would be with a competitor. Consequently, the compensation committee determined that it was in the company's best interest to have obtained such enhanced restrictions in exchange for termination and change in control payment provisions and gross-up provisions for (a) income taxes, if any, payable with respect to extended medical benefits and for (b) excise taxes payable with respect to any excess payments under Section 280G of the Code and for (c) excise taxes and all other taxes with respect to any gross-up payments under (b).

In August 2008, the Company entered into change in control agreements with Samuel A. Giberga, Senior Vice President and General Counsel, and John S. Cook, Senior Vice

President and Chief Information Officer. The terms of the change in control agreements are substantially the same as the change in control provisions defined in the employment agreements discussed above except for the multiple regarding cash amounts received for salary and bonus and the time period for which medical and other insurance benefits would be provided after termination subsequent to a change in control. The change in control agreements also restrict the ability of Messrs. Giberga and Cook to compete with the Company following their termination of employment with the Company, prohibit the solicitation of employees for a specified period following termination of employment, and delineate obligations concerning confidentiality of Company information. The change in control agreements also include gross-up provisions for (a) income taxes, if any, payable with respect to extended medical benefits and for (b) excise taxes payable with respect to any excess payments under Section 280G of the Code and for (c) excise taxes and all other taxes with respect to any gross-up payments under (b).

To the extent that accelerated vesting provisions are not expressly addressed otherwise in the employment agreements or the change in control agreements, as applicable, each of our named executive officers is entitled to accelerated vesting of incentive compensation awards in the event of retirement, death or disability pursuant to the terms of our incentive compensation plan. The specific terms of the arrangements described in this section, as well as an estimate of the compensation that would have been payable had they been triggered as of fiscal year-end, are described in detail in the section entitled *Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control* on pages 36 through 41, below.

Our policies regarding trading in our securities by our executive officers

The Company has in effect a written Insider Trading Policy, which is applicable to all personnel. The policy forbids trading in our securities at any time the individual employee is in possession of material non-public information. In addition, irrespective of whether the individual employee is in possession of material non-public information, the policy prohibits trading at any time that the Company has closed its trading window. Since one effect of the trading window is to limit significantly the period of time in any given year in which trading in our securities may be undertaken by the Company's officers, directors and certain of its shore-based employees, the Company has authorized the use of stock trading plans that comply with Rule 10b5-1 under the Exchange Act. Under such a qualified plan, trading may occur at any time pursuant to a pre-approved trading plan over which the officer or director has no discretion or control. In addition, the Insider Trading Policy contains a prohibition against writing or trading in options on our securities or otherwise engaging in derivative or hedging transactions involving our securities. The Insider Trading Policy also restricts the ability of officers or directors, including our named executive officers, from engaging in margin transactions, pledging or otherwise using our securities to collateralize indebtedness, without authorization. In 2008, shares of common stock owned by Mr. Carl G. Annessa were sold pursuant to an involuntary margin call affected by Mr. Annessa's broker. The Company did not waive the prohibition against margin transactions with respect to Mr. Annessa. The margin balance has since been eliminated and no other shares owned by Mr. Annessa are subject to margin requirements. While the Company encourages and promotes share ownership by all of its employees, it does not have a written policy concerning share ownership by executive officers or other employees. See the section entitled *Principal Stockholders* on pages 45 and 46 below for information regarding share ownership by our named executive officers.

Post year-end actions affecting compensation

As discussed above, in February of each year the compensation committee determines the cash incentive compensation and/or bonuses for the executive officers for services provided during the previous fiscal year. The compensation committee also determines equity incentive compensation awards for the named executive officers, taking into account services provided during the previous fiscal year and the intended incentive for long-term employment and performance.

All budgeted annual salaries, equity incentive awards, potential cash incentive awards and the adjusted EBITDA target related thereto applicable to the named executive officers are addressed by the Board of Directors in its approval of the Company's final annual budget.

2008 SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The table below summarizes the total compensation paid or earned by each of the named executive officers for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. Amounts listed under the column Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation, were determined by the Committee at its February 17, 2009 meeting and were paid shortly thereafter. The named executive officers also received payments that have been characterized as Bonus payments for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$ (1))	Bonus (\$ (2))	Stock Awards (\$ (3))	Option Awards (\$ (3))	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$ (4))	Change in Pension Value and Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation Deferred Earnings (\$ (h))	All Other Compensation (\$ (5))	Total (\$ (j))
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
Todd M. Hornbeck Chairman, President & CEO	2008	\$ 575,000	\$	\$ 1,930,020	\$ 236,488	\$ 690,000	\$	\$ 70,101	\$ 3,501,609
	2007	500,000	50,000	758,756	443,620	950,000		54,803	2,757,179
	2006	450,000		160,060	499,895	900,000		47,032	2,056,987
Carl G. Annessa Executive Vice President & COO	2008	\$ 350,000	\$	\$ 823,155	\$ 71,020	\$ 420,000	\$	\$ 32,585	\$ 1,696,760
	2007	335,000	25,125	298,833	166,227	477,375		36,839	1,339,399
	2006	290,000		77,896	207,138	435,000		32,118	1,042,152
James O. Harp, Jr. Executive Vice President & CFO	2008	\$ 350,000	\$	\$ 823,155	\$ 71,020	\$ 420,000	\$	\$ 36,958	\$ 1,701,133
	2007	300,000	22,500	298,833	165,823	427,500		37,564	1,252,220
	2006	270,000		77,896	204,072	405,000		32,263	989,231
Samuel A. Giberga Sr. Vice President & General Counsel	2008	\$ 275,000	\$	\$ 432,939	\$ 53,425	\$ 237,188	\$	\$ 12,633	\$ 1,011,185
	2007	250,000	9,375	196,281	108,541	209,375		10,833	784,405
	2006	225,000		62,690	115,367	196,875		7,308	607,240
John S. Cook Sr. Vice President & CIO	2008	\$ 250,000	\$	\$ 395,123	\$ 47,028	\$ 215,625	\$	\$ 12,501	\$ 920,277
	2007	225,000	8,437	176,171	90,774	188,438		10,833	699,653
	2006	200,000		56,021	99,071	175,000		6,708	536,800

- (1) On February 17, 2009, the compensation committee determined that the annual salaries to be paid to each of our named executive officers in 2009 would not change from the annual salaries paid to each of our named executive officers in 2008.
- (2) The amounts in this column reflect a discretionary cash bonus paid to our named executive officers in 2007 for their role in the successful completion and integration of the August 2007 Sea Mar Fleet acquisition.
- (3) The amounts in this column reflect the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2008, December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, in accordance with FAS 123R, including awards granted in years prior to December 31, 2006, pursuant to our incentive compensation plan. The 2007 FAS 123R amounts reported in the 2007 Summary Compensation Table in the prior year's proxy have been amended in the above table to reflect the correction of a clerical error when compiling the 2007 expense related to stock options for the named executive officers. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in Note 8 to the Company's consolidated financial statements for year ended December 31, 2008 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 2, 2009.
- (4) The amounts in this column reflect the cash incentive payments to the named executives under both components of annual cash incentive compensation pursuant to the incentive compensation plan and under the employment agreements for Messrs. Todd Hornbeck, Annessa and Harp. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis above for additional information on the cash incentive compensation components and see Employment Agreements below for additional information on the employment agreements.
- (5) The amounts in this column reflect for each named executive officer during 2008:
 - Matching contributions allocated by the Company to each of the named executive officers pursuant to the Hornbeck Offshore Services, Inc. 401(k) plan;
 - Premiums paid by the Company for term life insurance policies for each named executive officer;
 - Claims paid under the supplemental health insurance policies for Messrs. Todd Hornbeck, Annessa, Harp, Giberga and Cook of \$30,130, \$7,012, \$7,874, \$1,503 and \$1,371, respectively; and
 - Automobile, fuel and insurance expenses on Company-provided vehicles for Messrs. Todd Hornbeck, Annessa and Harp of \$24,485, \$14,443 and \$17,954, respectively. The amounts reflect the actual lease payments through lease term, fuel and insurance costs for Mr. Annessa. Messrs Hornbeck and Harp's automobiles are owned by the Company and their cost includes the 2008 depreciation of the vehicles and their actual fuel and insurance costs.

Employment Agreements

Todd M. Hornbeck serves as our President and Chief Executive Officer, Carl G. Annessa serves as our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer and James O. Harp, Jr. serves as our

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Each of Messrs. Todd Hornbeck, Annessa and Harp serves under an employment agreement, as amended, with a current term expiring December 31, 2011. The terms of each of their agreements automatically extend for an additional year every January 1, unless terminated before any such date by the employee or us.

For a detailed description of the determination of the base salary amounts and performance measures, please see the discussion above under the caption Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, the employment agreements of Messrs. Todd Hornbeck, Annessa and Harp, in each case, as amended, provided for annual base salaries of \$575,000, \$350,000 and \$350,000, respectively.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Our Board of Directors and stockholders adopted an incentive compensation plan, which was amended and restated with their respective approvals in 2006. The purpose of the Second Amended and Restated Incentive Compensation Plan, or the incentive compensation plan, is to make awards with the purpose of strengthening our Company by providing an incentive to our employees, officers, consultants, non-employee directors and advisors to devote their abilities and energies to our success. The incentive compensation plan provides for the granting or awarding of incentive and nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation and dividend equivalent rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock unit awards, performance-based awards and any other awards. With the approval of our stockholders, we have reserved 3,500,000 shares of our common stock for issuance pursuant to awards made under the incentive compensation plan, of which 423,326 shares were available for future grants as of February 28, 2009. On May 3, 2005, our Board of Directors and stockholders adopted the Hornbeck Offshore Services, Inc. 2005 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or ESPP. Under the ESPP, the Company is authorized to issue up to 700,000 shares of common stock to eligible employees of the Company and its designated subsidiaries, of which 609,065 shares were available for future issuance as of February 28, 2009.

The following table summarizes information as of December 31, 2008 about our plans.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (1)	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (2)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) (3)
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	2,098,820	\$ 18.13	1,089,170
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	2,098,820	\$ 18.13	1,089,170

(1) This amount includes:
966,318 shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding stock options;

186,179 shares governed by restricted stock awards granted in 2006; and

946,323 shares governed by restricted stock unit awards granted in 2006, 2007 and 2008;

but does not include the effect of 245,566 shares, with maximum potential being 100% of target awards, governed by restricted stock unit awards granted in connection with annual compensation reviews in early 2009 in part for services rendered in 2008. The restricted stock award and restricted stock unit award shares included in this table reflect the maximum potential shares to be issued upon performance-based and time-based vesting.

- (2) The weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights does not take into account restricted stock awards or restricted stock unit awards, since these awards have no exercise price.
- (3) This amount includes 480,105 and 609,065 shares of common stock available for future issuance under the incentive compensation plan and the ESPP, respectively.

The incentive compensation plan is administered by the compensation committee. Subject to the express provisions of the incentive compensation plan and directions from the Board, the committee is authorized, among other things:

to select the persons to whom stock, stock options and other awards will be granted;

to determine the type, size and terms and conditions of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other awards;

to establish the terms for treatment of stock options and other awards upon a termination of employment; and

to delegate to the Chief Executive Officer and to other senior officers of the Company its duties under the incentive compensation plan related to non-executive employee compensation pursuant to conditions or limitations as the compensation committee may establish, subject to certain limitations under the incentive compensation plan.

Under the incentive compensation plan, awards other than stock options and stock appreciation rights given to any of our executive officers