Lagar i mig. Hoodardo ot	apital corp. Tomi to a	
Resource Capital Corp.		
Form 10-Q		
November 12, 2013		
UNITED STATES		
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION		
Washington, D.C. 20549		
FORM 10-Q		
(Mark One)		-
QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 (OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF	F
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013 OR		
TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 (OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT (OF
For the transition period from to		
Commission File Number: 1-32733		
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP.		
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)	20 2207124	
Maryland (State or other jurisdiction of	20-2287134 (I.R.S. Employer	
incorporation or organization)	Identification No.)	
meorporation of organization)	identification 140.)	
712 5th Avenue, 12th Floor, New York, New York 10019 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)		
(212) 506-3899		
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)		
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed a	• •	the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 me		
required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to suc		
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted	* *	
any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the		
þ No "		
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large acce		
or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.	accelerated ther, accelerated ther and smaller repo	липg
Large accelerated filer "	Accelerated filer	þ
Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller	1	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell com-		
Yes p No	- · ·	
The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's commo	on stock on November 7, 2013 was 127,745,144 shares.	

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

DADEL		PAGE
PART I Item 1:	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets - September 30, 2013 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2012	<u>3</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012	<u>5</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited) Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012	<u>6</u>
	Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited) Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	7
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012	<u>8</u>
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)	<u>10</u>
Item 2:	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>61</u>
Item 3:	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>98</u>
Item 4:	Controls and Procedures	<u>100</u>
PART II		
Item 6:	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>101</u>
SIGNATUR	<u>ES</u>	<u>103</u>

PART I

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

ASSETS (1)	September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2012
Cash and cash equivalents	\$144,463	\$85,278
Restricted cash	59,195	94,112
Investment securities, trading	12,099	24,843
Investment securities, trading Investment securities available-for-sale, pledged as collateral, at fair value	173,994	195,200
Investment securities available-for-sale, at fair value	46,690	36,390
Linked transactions, net at fair value	29,978	6,835
Loans held for sale	332,351	48,894
Investment in real estate	55,144	75,386
Loans, pledged as collateral and net of allowances of \$12.9 million and \$17.7	33,144	73,300
million	1,305,739	1,793,780
Loans receivable–related party	8,067	8,324
Investments in unconsolidated entities	72,955	45,413
Interest receivable	8,078	7,763
Deferred tax asset	3,268	2,766
Principal paydown receivable	7	25,570
Intangible assets	11,728	13,192
Prepaid expenses	4,961	10,396
Other assets	4,347	4,109
Total assets	\$2,273,064	\$2,478,251
LIABILITIES (2)		
Borrowings	\$1,422,430	\$1,785,600
Distribution payable	26,796	21,655
Accrued interest expense	2,708	2,918
Derivatives, at fair value	12,208	14,687
Accrued tax liability	4,989	13,641
Deferred tax liability	7,690	8,376
Accounts payable and other liabilities	12,829	18,029
Total liabilities	1,489,650	1,864,906
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	, ,	, ,
Preferred stock, par value \$0.001: 8.50% Series A 100,000,000 shares authorized,		
680,952 and 676,373 shares issued and outstanding	1	1
Preferred stock, par value \$0.001: 8.25% Series B 100,000,000 shares authorized,	2	
3,229,317 and 1,126,898 shares issued and outstanding	3	1
Common stock, par value \$0.001: 500,000,000 shares authorized; 127,237,134 and	d	
105,118,093 shares issued and outstanding (including 3,046,343 and 3,308,343	127	105
unvested restricted shares)		
Additional paid-in capital	1,028,826	836,053
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(17,383)	(27,078)
	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(,)

 Distributions in excess of earnings
 (228,160) (195,737)

 Total stockholders' equity
 783,414 613,345

 TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY
 \$2,273,064 \$2,478,251

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements (Back to Index)

PART I

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2012
(1) Assets of consolidated VIEs included in the total assets above:		
Restricted cash	\$53,752	\$90,108
Investments securities available-for-sale, pledged as collateral, at fair value	110,993	135,566
Loans held for sale	332,351	14,894
Loans, pledged as collateral and net of allowances of \$7.3 million and \$15.2 million	981,513	1,678,719
Interest receivable	5,506	5,986
Prepaid expenses	254	328
Principal paydown receivable	7	25,570
Other assets	35	333
Total assets of consolidated VIEs	\$1,484,411	\$1,951,504
(2) Liabilities of consolidated VIEs included in the total liabilities above:		
Borrowings	\$1,166,209	\$1,614,882
Accrued interest expense	2,184	2,666
Derivatives, at fair value	11,766	14,078
Accounts payable and other liabilities	646	698
Total liabilities of consolidated VIEs	\$1,180,805	\$1,632,324

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Unaudited)

	Three Month September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2013	2012	2013	2012		
REVENUES						
Interest income:						
Loans	\$24,374	\$24,130	\$78,370	\$70,757		
Securities	3,411	3,564	10,949	10,520		
Interest income – other	649	2,218	3,150	8,204		
Total interest income	28,434	29,912	92,469	89,481		
Interest expense	11,762	8,208	34,061	25,460		
Net interest income	16,672	21,704	58,408	64,021		
Rental income	4,649	2,689	15,875	6,642		
Dividend income	223	17	256	51		
Equity in net losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries	(505)	(779)	(858	(1,469)		
Fee income	1,245	1,777	4,182	5,528		
Net realized and unrealized gain on sales of investment						
securities	570	346	3,355	2,148		
available-for-sale and loans						
Net realized and unrealized (loss) gain on investment	(220	0.792	(964	12.250		
securities, trading	(229)	9,782	(864	13,350		
Unrealized gain (loss) and net interest income on linked	1 161	122	(4.2.42	206		
transactions, net	1,161	133	(4,343	386		
Total revenues	23,786	35,669	76,011	90,657		
OPERATING EXPENSES						
Management fees – related party	5,113	5,521	11,006	13,512		
Equity compensation – related party	2,120	1,404	7,866	3,412		
Professional services	1,396	845	3,745	2,562		
Insurance	214	161	588	478		
Rental operating expense	3,523	1,827	11,084	4,456		
General and administrative	1,288	844	4,428	3,377		
Depreciation and amortization	904	1,249	3,041	3,974		
Income tax expense	722	3,979	4,221	6,978		
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	255	9	811	180		
Provision for loan losses	741	1,370	541	7,801		
Total operating expenses	16,276	17,209	47,331	46,730		
	7,510	18,460	28,680	43,927		
OTHER REVENUE (EXPENSE)						
Gain on the extinguishment of debt		_	_	5,464		
Gain on sale of real estate	16,607		16,607	_		
Total other revenue	16,607	_	16,607	5,464		
NET INCOME	24,117	18,460	45,287	49,391		
Net income allocated to preferred shares	(1,996)	(308)	(5,107) (333		
NET INCOME ALLOCABLE TO COMMON SHARES	\$22,121	\$18,152	\$40,180	\$49,058		

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE – BASIC	\$0.18	\$0.20	\$0.34	\$0.58
NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE – DILUTED	\$0.18	\$0.20	\$0.34	\$0.57
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON				
SHARES	124,212,032	89,066,927	116,471,142	84,594,892
OUTSTANDING – BASIC				
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON				
SHARES	126,072,682	89,965,680	117,973,978	85,365,343
OUTSTANDING – DILUTED				

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements $(\underline{Back\ to\ Index})$

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (in thousands) (Unaudited)

	Three Month September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Net income	\$24,117	\$18,460	\$45,287	\$49,391	
Other comprehensive income:					
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses included in net income	396	89	(4,728)	1,023	
Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities, net	1,723	6,820	11,644	15,216	
Reclassification adjustments associated with unrealized losses (gains) from interest rate hedges included in net income	⁸ 129	58	322	170	
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives, net	498	(2,625)	2,480	(2,985)	
Foreign currency translation	(23)	_	(23)	_	
Total other comprehensive income	2,723	4,342	9,695	13,424	
Comprehensive income allocable to common shares	\$26,840	\$22,802	\$54,982	\$62,815	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements (Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013 (in thousands, except share and per share data) (Unaudited)

Common Stock

	Common Stoc	/IX								
	Shares	Amour	Shares nt-	-	red s Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensi Loss	Retair	Distributions led in Excess of less Earnings	Total Stockhold Equity	lers'
Balance, January 1, 2013	105,118,093	\$ 105	\$1	\$1	\$836,053	\$ (27,078)	\$—	\$(195,737)	\$ 613,345	5
Proceeds from dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan	3,079,261	3	_	_	19,089	_	_	_	19,092	
Proceeds from issuance of commor stock	18,687,500	19	_	_	118,259	_	_	_	118,278	
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock	_	_	_	2	52,229	_		_	52,231	
Offering costs	_		_	_	(5,322)	_	_	_	(5,322)
Stock based compensation	361,062				652	_		_	652	,
Amortization of stock	_				7,866	_		_	7,866	
based compensation Forfeitures	(8,782)									
Net Income	(6,762)						45,28	 7	45,287	
Preferred dividends						_	(5,10)		(5,107)
Securities							(5,10)		(5,107	,
available-for-sale, fair value	_		_		_	6,916	_	_	6,916	
adjustment, net Designated derivatives, fair value adjustment	_	_	_	_	_	2,802	_	_	2,802	
Cumulative translation adjustment	_	_	_	_	_	(23)		_	(23)
Distributions on common stock	_		_	_	_	_	(40,1)	30(32,423)	(72,603)
Balance, September 30, 2013	127,237,134	\$127	\$1	\$3	\$1,028,826	\$ (17,383)	\$—	\$(228,160)	\$ 783,414	1

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements (Back to Index)

(Back to Index) RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands) (unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2013	2012	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	\$45,287	\$49,391	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	•	,	
Provision for loan losses	541	7,801	
Depreciation of investments in real estate and other	1,638	1,264	
Amortization of intangible assets	1,463	2,709	
Amortization of term facilities	876	710	
Accretion of net discounts on loans held for investment	(8,306	(10,738)
Accretion of net discounts on securities available-for-sale	(1,925	(2,444)
Amortization of discount on notes of CDOs	3,937	1,037	
Amortization of debt issuance costs on notes of CDOs	2,868	3,330	
Amortization of stock-based compensation	7,866	3,412	
Amortization of terminated derivative instruments	322	169	
Distribution accrued to preferred stockholders	(5,107) —	
Accretion of interest-only available-for-sales securities	(714) (463)
Non-cash incentive compensation to the Manager	484	814	
Deferred income tax (benefits)	502	(1,315)
Purchase of securities, trading	(11,044	(8,348)
Principal payments on securities, trading	4,211	981	
Proceeds from sales of securities, trading	18,713	33,579	
Net realized and unrealized loss (gain) on investment securities, trading	864	(13,350)
Net realized gain on sales of investment securities available-for-sale and loans	(3,355) (2,148)
Gain on early extinguishment of debt		(5,464)
Gain on sale of real estate	(16,607) —	
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	802	180	
Linked transactions fair value adjustments	5,224		
Equity in net losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries	858	1,469	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	17,434	(9,504)
Net cash provided by operating activities	66,832	53,072	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Decrease in restricted cash	30,079	85,413	
Purchase of securities available-for-sale	•) (70,654)
Principal payments on securities available-for-sale	33,010	36,365	
Proceeds from sale of securities available-for-sale	7,025	6,719	
Investment in unconsolidated entity	(25,508) (725)
Minority interest equity	2,200	1,979	
Equity contribution to VIE		(710)
Improvement of real estate held-for-sale	•) (138)
Proceeds from sale of real estate held-for-sale	37,001	2,886	

Purchase of loans	(555,051) (479,172)
Principal payments received on loans	487,606	356,866	
Proceeds from sale of loans	314,112	139,708	
Distributions from investments in real estate	522	1,152	
Improvements in investments in real estate	(365) (852)
Purchase of furniture and fixtures	(128) —	
Principal payments received on loans – related parties	499	459	
Net cash provided by investing activities	209,999	79,296	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements (Back to Index)

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS - (Continued)

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

	Nine Months Er September 30,	nded	
	2013	2012	
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Net proceeds from issuances of common stock (net of offering costs of \$4,228 and	114,018	55,502	
\$2,165)	111,010	33,302	
Net proceeds from dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan (net of offering costs of \$0 and \$19)	19,092	50,424	
Proceeds from issuance of 8.5% Series A redeemable	112	16,411	
preferred shares (net of offering costs of \$3 and \$781)	112	10,411	
Proceeds from issuance of 8.25% Series B redeemable	51,057		
preferred shares (net of offering costs of \$1,091 and \$0)	31,037		
Proceeds from borrowings:			
Repurchase agreements	143,203	33,820	
Payments on borrowings:			
Collateralized debt obligations		(156,989)
Mortgage payable	(13,600) —	
Retirement of debt	_	(4,850)
Payment of debt issuance costs	` ') (586)
Payment of equity to third party sub-note holders		(2,160)
Distributions paid on preferred stock	(4,389) (93)
Distributions paid on common stock	(68,010) (54,231)
Net cash (used in) financing activities	\$(217,646)	\$(62,752))
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	59,185	69,616	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	85,278	43,116	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$144,463	\$112,732	
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE:			
Interest expense paid in cash	\$28,391	\$24,209	
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements			
(Back to Index)			
9			

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Resource Capital Corp. and subsidiaries' (collectively the "Company") principal business activity is to purchase and manage a diversified portfolio of commercial real estate-related assets and commercial finance assets. The Company's investment activities are managed by Resource Capital Manager, Inc. ("Manager") pursuant to a management agreement (the "Management Agreement"). The Manager is a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of Resource America, Inc. ("Resource America") (NASDAQ: REXI). In September 2013, it was determined that the Company is a variable interest entity ("VIE") and that Resource America is the primary beneficiary of the Company. Therefore, the Company's financial statements will be consolidated into Resource America's financial statements. The following subsidiaries are consolidated in the Company's financial statements:

RCC Real Estate, Inc. ("RCC Real Estate") holds real estate investments, including commercial real estate loans, commercial real estate-related securities and investments in real estate. RCC Real Estate owns 100% of the equity of the following VIEs:

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2006-1 ("RREF CDO 2006-1"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and qualified real estate investment trust ("REIT") subsidiary ("QRS"). RREF CDO 2006-1 was established to complete a collateralized debt obligation ("CDO") issuance secured by a portfolio of commercial real estate loans and commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS").

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2007-1 ("RREF CDO 2007-1"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and QRS. RREF CDO 2007-1 was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of commercial real estate loans, CMBS and property available-for-sale.

RCC Commercial, Inc. ("RCC Commercial") holds bank loan investments. RCC Commercial owns 100% of the equity of the following VIE:

Apidos CDO III, Ltd. ("Apidos CDO III"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS"). Apidos CDO III was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans and asset-backed securities ("ABS").

RCC Commercial II, Inc. ("Commercial II") holds bank loan investments. Commercial II owns 100% and 68.3%, respectively, of the equity of the following VIEs:

Apidos Cinco CDO, Ltd. ("Apidos Cinco CDO"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and TRS. Apidos Cinco CDO was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans, ABS and corporate bonds. Whitney CLO I, Ltd. ("Whitney CLO I"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and TRS. Whitney CLO I is a collateralized loan obligation ("CLO") issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans and corporate bonds. The Company is the primary beneficiary of Whitney CLO I and therefore consolidates 100% of this VIE in its financial statements. In September 2013, the Company liquidated Whitney CLO I, and as a result substantially all of the assets were sold. Total proceeds from the sale of these assets, plus proceeds from previous sales and paydowns in the CLO were used to pay down the remaining balance on the outstanding notes of \$103.7 million.

RCC Commercial III, Inc. ("Commercial III") holds bank loan investments and commercial real estate-related securities. Commercial III owns 90% of the equity of the following VIE:

Apidos CDO I, Ltd. ("Apidos CDO I"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and TRS. Apidos CDO I was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans and ABS.

Resource TRS, Inc. ("Resource TRS"), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company's equity investment in a leasing company and holds all of its investment securities, trading. Resource TRS owns 100% of the following:

Resource TRS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, established to invest in structured finance securities through an investment manager, including securities issued by CDOs, ABS and CMBS.

Resource TRS II, Inc. ("Resource TRS II"), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company's management rights in bank loan CLOs not originated by the Company. Resource TRS II owns 100% of the equity of the following VIE:

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

Resource Capital Asset Management ("RCAM"), a domestic limited liability company, is entitled to collect senior, subordinated, and incentive fees related to four CLO issuers to which it provides management services through CVC Credit Partners, LLC, formerly Apidos Capital Management, a subsidiary of CVC Capital Partners SICAV-FIS, S.A., a private equity firm ("CVC"). Resource America, Inc. owns a 33% interest in CVC Credit Partners, LLC ("CVC Credit Partners"). Whitney CLO I, one of the RCAM CLOs, is consolidated in the Company's financial statements as a result of a purchase of its preferred equity which gave the Company a controlling interest.

Resource TRS III, Inc. ("Resource TRS III"), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company's interests in a bank loan CDO originated by the Company. Resource TRS III owns 33% of the equity of the following VIE: Apidos CLO VIII, Ltd ("Apidos CLO VIII"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and TRS. Apidos CLO VIII was established to complete a CLO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans and corporate bonds. The Company is the primary beneficiary of Apidos CLO VIII and therefore consolidates 100% of this VIE in its financial statements.

Resource TRS IV, Inc. ("Resource TRS IV"), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company's equity investment in hotel condominium units acquired in conjunction with a loan foreclosure.

Resource TRS V, Inc. ("Resource TRS V"), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company's equity investment in a held for sale condominium complex.

RSO EquityCo, LLC owns 10% of the equity of Apidos CDO I and 10% of the equity of Apidos CLO VIII.

Long Term Care Conversion, Inc. ("LTCC"), a TRS directly owned by the Company, is a Delaware corporation which owns 100% of the following entity:

Long Term Care Conversion, Funding ("LTCC Funding"), a New York limited liability company, owns a 30% equity interest in Life Care Funding, LLC ("LCF") and provides funding through a financing facility to fund the acquisition of life settlement contracts.

LCF, a New York limited liability company, is a joint venture between LTCC and Life Care Funding Group Partners and was established for the purpose of originating and acquiring life settlement contracts.

RCC Residential, Inc., a TRS directly owned by the Company is a Delaware corporation established to acquire a residential mortgage origination platform.

The consolidated financial statements and the information and tables contained in the notes to the consolidated financial statements are unaudited. However, in the opinion of management, these interim financial statements include all adjustments necessary to fairly present the results of the interim periods presented. The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 may not necessarily be indicative of the results of operations for the full year ending December 31, 2013.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company.

All inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Investment Securities

The Company classifies its investment portfolio as trading or available-for-sale. The Company, from time to time, may sell any of its investments due to changes in market conditions or in accordance with its investment strategy.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

The Company's investment securities, trading are reported at fair value (see Note 19). To determine fair value, the Company uses an independent third-party valuation firm utilizing appropriate prepayment, default, and recovery rates. These valuations are validated utilizing dealer quotes or bids. If there is a material difference between the value indicated by the third-party valuation firm and the dealer quote or bid, the Company will evaluate the difference which could result in an updated valuation from the third party or a revised dealer quote. Any changes in fair value are recorded in the Company's results of operations as net realized and unrealized gain on investment securities, trading. The Company's investment securities available-for-sale are reported at fair value (see Note 19). To determine fair value, the Company uses an independent third-party valuation firm utilizing market color as well as appropriate prepayment, default, and recovery rates. These valuations are validated utilizing dealer quotes or bids. If there is a material difference between the value indicated by the third-party valuation firm and the dealer quote or bid, the Company will evaluate the difference which could result in an updated valuation from the third party or a revised dealer quote. Based on the market color available for each position, the Company categorizes these investments as either Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

On a quarterly basis, the Company evaluates its available-for-sale investments for other-than-temporary impairment. An available-for-sale investment is impaired when its fair value has declined below its amortized cost basis. An impairment is considered other-than-temporary when the amortized cost basis of the investment or some portion thereof will not be recovered. In addition, the Company's intent to sell as well as the likelihood that the Company will be required to sell the security before the recovery of the amortized cost basis is considered. Where credit quality is believed to be the cause of the other-than-temporary impairment, that component of the impairment is recognized as an impairment loss in the statement of operations. Where other market components are believed to be the cause of the impairment, that component of the impairment is recognized as other comprehensive loss. Investment security transactions are recorded on the trade date. Realized gains and losses on investment securities are determined on the specific identification method.

Investment Interest Income Recognition

Interest income on the Company's mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities is accrued using the effective yield method based on the actual coupon rate and the outstanding principal amount of the underlying mortgages or other assets. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted into interest income over the lives of the securities also using the effective yield method, adjusted for the effects of estimated prepayments. For an investment purchased at par, the effective yield is the contractual interest rate on the investment. If the investment is purchased at a discount or at a premium, the effective yield is computed based on the contractual interest rate increased for the accretion of a purchase discount or decreased for the amortization of a purchase premium. The effective yield method requires the Company to make estimates of future prepayment rates for its investments that can be contractually prepaid before their contractual maturity date so that the purchase discount can be accreted, or the purchase premium can be amortized, over the estimated remaining life of the investment. The prepayment estimates that the Company uses directly impact the estimated remaining lives of its investments. Actual prepayment estimates are reviewed as of each quarter end or more frequently if the Company becomes aware of any material information that would lead it to believe that an adjustment is necessary. If prepayment estimates are incorrect, the amortization or accretion of premiums and discounts may have to be adjusted, which would have an impact on future income.

Allowance for Loan Loss

The Company maintains an allowance for loan loss. Loans held for investment are first individually evaluated for impairment so specific reserves can be applied. Loans for which a specific reserve is not applicable are then evaluated for impairment as a homogeneous pool of loans with substantially similar characteristics so that a general reserve can be established, if needed. These evaluations are performed at least quarterly.

The Company considers a loan to be impaired if one of two conditions exists. The first condition is if, based on current information and events, management believes it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all

amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The second condition is if the loan is deemed to be a troubled-debt restructuring ("TDR") where a concession has been given to a borrower in financial difficulty. These TDRs may not have an associated specific loan loss allowance if the principal and interest amount is considered recoverable based on current market conditions, expected collateral performance and / or guarantees made by the borrowers.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

When a loan is impaired under either of these two conditions, the allowance for loan losses is increased by the amount of the excess of the amortized cost basis of the loan over its fair value. Fair value may be determined based on the present value of estimated cash flows; on market price, if available; or on the fair value of the collateral less estimated disposition costs. When a loan, or a portion thereof, is considered uncollectible and pursuit of collection is not warranted, the Company will record a charge-off or write-down of the loan against the allowance for loan losses. An impaired loan may remain on accrual status during the period in which the Company is pursuing repayment of the loan; however, the loan would be placed on non-accrual status at such time as (i) management believes that scheduled debt service payments will not be met within the coming 12 months; (ii) the loan becomes 90 days delinquent; (iii) management determines the borrower is incapable of, or has ceased efforts toward, curing the cause of the impairment; or (iv) the net realizable value of the loan's underlying collateral approximates the Company's carrying value for such loan. While on non-accrual status, the Company recognizes interest income only when an actual payment is received.

Investments in Real Estate

Investments in real estate are carried net of accumulated depreciation. Costs directly related to the acquisition are expensed as incurred. Ordinary repairs and maintenance which are not reimbursed by the tenants are expensed as incurred. Costs related to the improvement of the real property are capitalized and depreciated over their useful lives. Acquisitions of real estate assets and any related intangible assets are recorded initially at fair value under Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") ASC Topic 805, "Business Combinations." The Company allocates the purchase price of its investments in real estate to land, building, site improvements, the value of in-place leases and the value of above or below market leases. The value allocated to above or below market leases is amortized over the remaining lease term as an adjustment to rental income. The Company amortizes the value allocated to in-place leases over the weighted average remaining lease term to depreciation and amortization expense. The Company depreciates real property using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

Category Term Building 25-40 years

Site improvements Lesser of the remaining life of building or useful lives

Long-Lived and Intangible Assets

Long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles to be held and used are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. The review of recoverability is based on an estimate of the future undiscounted cash flows (excluding interest charges) expected to result from the long-lived asset's use and eventual disposition. If impairment has occurred, the loss will be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over the fair value of the asset.

There were no impairment charges recorded on the Company's investment in real estate or intangible assets during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

Recent Accounting Standards

In July 2013, the FASB issued guidance which permits the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (OIS) to be used as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes. This guidance is effective prospectively for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. Adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2013, the FASB issued guidance which clarifies the characteristics of an investment company, provides comprehensive guidance for assessing whether an entity is an investment company and requires an investment company to measure noncontrolling ownership interests in other investment companies at fair value rather than using the equity method of accounting. The guidance also requires additional disclosure. This guidance is effective for an entity's interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years that begin after December 15, 2013. Earlier application is prohibited. The Company is currently evaluating the effect of adoption, but does not expect adoption will have a

material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

In February 2013 the FASB issued guidance which amends required information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income but only if the amount reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures required under U.S. GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. The amendment in this guidance was effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company provided the enhanced footnote disclosure as required by this amendment in its consolidated financial statements (see Note 16).

In January 2013, the FASB issued guidance which clarifies the scope of accounting for certain derivatives including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The amendments in this guidance were effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and must be applied retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. The Company provided the enhanced footnote disclosure as required by this amendment in its consolidated financial statements (see Note 21).

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2012 consolidated financial statements to conform to the 2013 presentation.

NOTE 3 – VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

The Company has evaluated its securities, loans, investments in unconsolidated entities, liabilities to subsidiary trusts issuing preferred securities (consisting of unsecured junior subordinated notes) and its CDOs in order to determine if they qualify as VIEs. The Company monitors these investments and, to the extent it has determined that it owns a material investment in the current controlling class of securities of a particular entity, analyzes the entity for potential consolidation. The Company will continually analyze investments and liabilities, including when there is a reconsideration event, to determine whether such investments or liabilities are VIEs and whether any such VIE should be consolidated. This analysis requires considerable judgment in determining the primary beneficiary of a VIE and could result in the consolidation of an entity that would otherwise not have been consolidated or the non-consolidation of an entity that would have otherwise been consolidated.

Consolidated VIEs (the Company is the primary beneficiary)

Based on management's analysis, the Company is the primary beneficiary of seven VIEs at September 30, 2013: Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CLO VIII, RREF CDO 2006-1, RREF CDO 2007-1 and Whitney CLO I. In performing the primary beneficiary analysis for six of these VIEs (other than Whitney CLO I, which is discussed below), it was determined that the persons that have the power to direct the activities that are most significant to each of these VIEs and the Company who has the right to receive benefits and the obligation to absorb losses that could potentially be significant to these VIEs, are a related party group. It was then determined that the Company was the party within that group that is more closely associated to each such VIE because of its preferred equity (and in some cases debt) interest in them.

These CDO and CLO entities were formed on behalf of the Company (except for Whitney CLO I, referred to below) to invest in real estate-related securities, CMBS, property available-for-sale, bank loans, corporate bonds and asset-backed securities, or ABS, and were financed by the issuance of debt securities. The manager manages these entities on behalf of the Company. By financing these assets with long-term borrowings through the issuance of CDO and CLO bonds, the Company seeks to generate attractive risk-adjusted equity returns and to match the term of its

assets and liabilities. The primary beneficiary determination for each of these VIEs was made at each VIE's inception. Whitney CLO I, the seventh consolidated VIE, is one in which the Company acquired the rights to manage the assets held by the entity as collateral for its CLOs in February 2011. For a discussion on the primary beneficiary analysis for Whitney CLO I, see "— Unconsolidated VIEs – Resource Capital Asset Management," below. For a discussion of the Company's CDOs and CLOs, see Note 1, and for a discussion of the debt issued through the CDOs and CLOs, see Note 12.

For CLOs in which the Company does not own 100% of the subordinated notes, the Company imputes an interest rate using expected cash flows over the life of the CLO and records the third party's share of the cash flows as interest expense on the consolidated statement of income.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

The Company has exposure to CDO and CLO losses to the extent of its subordinated debt and preferred equity interests in them. The Company is entitled to receive payments of principal and interest on the debt securities it holds and, to the extent revenues exceed debt service requirements and other expenses of the CDO or CLO, distributions with respect to its preferred equity interests. As a result of consolidation, debt and equity interests the Company holds in these CDOs and CLOs have been eliminated, and the Company's consolidated balance sheet reflects both the assets held and debt issued by the CDOs and CLOs to third parties and any accrued expense to third parties. The Company's operating results and cash flows include the gross amounts related to CDO and CLO assets and liabilities as opposed to the Company's net economic interests in the CDO and CLO entities. Assets and liabilities related to the CDOs and CLOs are disclosed, in the aggregate, on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

The creditors of the Company's seven consolidated VIEs have no recourse to the general credit of the Company. However, in its capacity as manager, the Company has voluntarily supported two credits in one of its commercial real estate CDOs as the credits went through a restructuring in order to maximize their future cash flows. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company provided financial support of \$0 and \$199,000, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company provided no financial support. The Company has provided no financial support to any of its other VIEs nor does it have any requirement to do so, although it may choose to do so in the future to maximize future cash flows on such investments by the Company. There are no explicit arrangements or implicit variable interests that obligate the Company to provide financial support to any of its consolidated VIEs, although the Company may choose to do so in the future.

The following table shows the classification and carrying value of assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs as of September 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Apidos I	Apidos III	Apidos Cinco	Apidos VIII	Whitney CLO I	RREF 2006	RREF 2007	Total
ASSETS								
Restricted cash (1)	\$10,253	\$2,943	\$18,368	\$16,152	\$5,586	\$20	\$430	\$53,752
Investment securities								
available-for-sale,	0.007	6.017	16 600	1.075		11 650	((101	110.002
pledged as	8,097	6,217	16,622	1,975	_	11,658	66,424	110,993
collateral, at fair value								
Loans, pledged as	100.260	1.40.660	200 120	7.074	C25	150.650	057.000	001.512
collateral	100,268	148,660	308,128	7,874	635	158,659	257,289	981,513
Loans held for sale		183	3,688	325,675	2,805	_	_	332,351
Interest receivable	(148)	597	1,094	752	(18)	1,388	1,841	5,506
Prepaid assets	14	14	26	7	23	99	71	254
Principal receivable		_	7	_	_	_	_	7
Other assets	_		35					35
Total assets (2)	\$118,484	\$158,614	\$347,968	\$352,435	\$9,031	\$171,824	\$326,055	\$1,484,411
LIABILITIES								
Borrowings	\$101,827	\$145,875	\$320,997	\$321,360	\$2,424	\$95,151	\$178,575	\$1,166,209
Accrued interest	263	63	325	1,386		41	106	2,184
expense	203	03	323	1,360	_	41	100	2,104
Derivatives, at fair						1,379	10,387	11,766
value						1,379	10,567	11,700
Accounts payable and	154	18	23	384	43	22	2	646
other liabilities	137	10	43	JUT	1 3	<i>44</i>	4	UTU

Total liabilities \$102,244 \$145,956 \$321,345 \$323,130 \$2,467 \$96,593 \$189,070 \$1,180,805

- (1) Includes \$16.7 million available for reinvestment in certain of the CDOs.
- (2) Assets of each of the consolidated VIEs may only be used to settle the obligations of each respective VIE. Unconsolidated VIEs (the Company is not the primary beneficiary, but has a variable interest)

 Based on management's analysis, the Company is not the primary beneficiary of the VIEs discussed below since it does not have both (i) the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and (ii) the obligation to absorb the losses of the VIE or the right to receive the benefits from the VIE, which could be significant to the VIE. Accordingly, the following VIEs are not consolidated in the Company's consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2013. The Company's maximum exposure to risk for each of these unconsolidated VIEs is set forth in the "Maximum Risk Exposure" column in the table below.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

LEAF Commercial Capital, Inc.

On November 16, 2011, the Company, together with LEAF Financial, a subsidiary of Resource America, and Leaf Commercial Capital, Inc. ("LCC"), subsidiaries of Resource America, entered into a stock purchase agreement and related agreements (collectively the "SPA") with Eos Partners, L.P., a private investment firm, and its affiliates ("Eos"). In exchange for its prior interests in its lease related investments, the Company received 31,341 shares of Series A Preferred Stock (the "Series A Preferred Stock"), 4,872 shares of newly issued 8% Series B Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Series B Preferred Stock") and 2,364 shares of newly issued Series D Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Series D Preferred Stock"), collectively representing, on a fully-diluted basis assuming conversion, a 26.7% interest in LCC. Several approaches were used, including discounted expected cash flows, market approach and comparable sales transactions to estimate the fair value of its investment in LCC as a result of the transaction. These approaches required assumptions and estimates of many critical factors, including revenue and market growth, operating cash flows, market multiples, and discount rates, which were based on the current economic environment and credit market conditions. On January 18, 2013, the Company entered into another stock purchase agreement with LCC to purchase 3,682 shares of newly issued Series A-1 Preferred Stock (the "Series A-1 Preferred Stock") for \$3.7 million. During the second quarter of 2013, the Company entered into another stock purchase agreement with LCC to purchase 3,323 shares of newly issued Series E Preferred Stock (the "Series E Preferred Stock") for \$3.3 million. The Series E Preferred Stock has priority over all other classes of preferred stock. The Company's fully-diluted interest in LCC assuming conversion is 27.5%. The Company's investment in LCC was held at \$40.8 million and \$33.1 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The Company determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of LCC because it does not participate in any management or portfolio decisions, holds only two of six board positions, and only controls 27.5% of the voting rights in the entity. Furthermore, Eos holds consent rights with respect to significant LCC actions, including incurrence of indebtedness, consummation of a sale of the entity, liquidation or initiating a public offering.

In connection with this transaction, the Company and Resource America have undertaken a contingent obligation with respect to the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LEAF Receivables Funding 3 ("LRF 3"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of LCC which owns equipment, equipment leases and notes. LRF 3 was included in the assets contributed to LCC by the Company. As part of the SPA, the Company and Resource America agreed that, to the extent the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LRF 3 is less than approximately \$18.7 million (the value of the equity of LRF 3 on the date it was contributed to LCC by the Company), as of the final testing date, which must be within 90 days following December 31, 2013, they will be jointly and severally obligated to contribute cash to LCC to make up the deficit. The Company does not believe it is probable that it will be required to fund LCC, in accordance with the SPA, based on estimated operating results of LRF 3, and has therefore recorded no liability as of September 30, 2013. Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures

The Company has a 100% interest in the common shares of Resource Capital Trust I ("RCT I") and RCC Trust II ("RCT II"), valued at \$1.5 million in the aggregate (or 3% of each trust). RCT I and RCT II were formed for the purposes of providing debt financing to the Company, as described below. The Company completed a qualitative analysis to determine whether or not it is the primary beneficiary of each of the trusts and determined that it was not the primary beneficiary of either trust because it does not have the power to direct the activities most significant to the trusts, which include the collection of principal and interest and protection of collateral through servicing rights. Accordingly, neither trust is consolidated into the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The Company records its investments in RCT I and RCT II's common shares as investments in unconsolidated trusts using the cost method and records dividend income when declared by RCT I and RCT II. The trusts each hold subordinated debentures for which the Company is the obligor in the amount of \$25.8 million for RCT I and \$25.8 million for RCT II. The debentures were funded by the issuance of trust preferred securities of RCT I and RCT II. The Company will continuously reassess whether it should be deemed to be the primary beneficiary of the trusts.

(Back to Index)
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

Resource Capital Asset Management CLOs

In February 2011, the Company purchased a company that managed \$1.9 billion of bank loan assets through five CLOs. As a result, the Company is entitled to collect senior, subordinated and incentive management fees from these CLOs. The purchase price of \$22.5 million resulted in an intangible asset that was allocated to each of the five CLOs and is being amortized over the expected life of each CLO. The unamortized balance of the intangible asset was \$11.7 million and \$13.1 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The Company recognized fee income of \$1.2 million and \$4.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, and \$1.8 million and \$5.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. With respect to four of these CLOs, the Company determined that it does not hold a controlling interest and, therefore, is not the primary beneficiary. One of the CLOs was liquidated in January 2013. With respect to the fifth CLO, Whitney CLO I, in October 2012, the Company purchased 66.6% of its preferred equity, which was determined to be a reconsideration event. Based upon that purchase, the Company determined that it does have an obligation to absorb losses and/or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to Whitney CLO I and that a related party has the power to direct the activities that are most significant to the VIE. As a result, together with the related party, the Company has both the power to direct and the right to receive benefits and the obligation to absorb losses. It was then determined that, between the Company and the related party, the Company was the party within that group that is more closely associated with Whitney CLO I because of its preferred equity interest in Whitney CLO I. The Company, therefore, consolidated Whitney CLO I. In May 2013, the Company purchased additional equity in this CLO which increased its equity ownership to 68.3% of the outstanding preferred equity of Whitney CLO I. In September 2013, the Company liquidated Whitney CLO I, and as a result substantially all of the assets were sold. Total proceeds from the sale of these assets, plus proceeds from previous sales and paydowns in the CLO were used to pay down the remaining balance on the outstanding notes of \$103.7 million.

Real Estate Joint Ventures

On December 1, 2009, the Company purchased a membership interest in RRE VIP Borrower, LLC (a VIE that holds interests in a real estate joint venture) from Resource America. This joint venture, which is structured as a credit facility with Värde Investment Partners, LP acting as lender, finances the acquisition of distressed properties and mortgage loans and has the objective of repositioning both the directly-owned properties and the properties underlying the mortgage loans to enhance their value. The Company acquired the membership interests for \$2.1 million. The joint venture agreement requires the Company to contribute 3% to 5% (depending on the terms of the agreement pursuant to which the particular asset is being acquired) of the total funding required for each asset acquisition as needed up to a specified amount. The Company provided funding of \$20,000 and \$157,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and \$145,000 and \$465,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, for these investments. Resource Real Estate Management, LLC ("RREM"), an affiliate of Resource America, acts as asset manager of the venture and receives a monthly asset management fee. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recorded losses of \$521,000 and \$735,000, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recorded income of \$346,000 and \$931,000, respectively. Using the equity method of accounting, the income/losses were recorded in equity in net losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. The Company's investment in RRE VIP Borrower, LLC at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$(330,000) and \$2.3 million, respectively. On June 19, 2012, the Company entered into a second joint venture with Värde Investment Partners, LP acting as lender, to purchase two condominium developments. The Company purchased a 7.5% equity interest in the venture. The Company may be subject to a capital call based on its pro rata share of equity interest in the venture up to the earlier of the end of the investment period, ending in May 2015, or the date the aggregate of all capital contributions exceeds \$500.0 million. RREM was appointed as the asset manager of the venture to perform lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and permitting, as applicable. RREM is also

responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. The Company's investment in the joint venture at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$575,000 and \$526,000, respectively. Using the equity method of accounting, the Company recognized equity in earnings related to this investment of \$6,000 and \$49,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. The Company recorded a loss of \$100,000 for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

The Company has determined that it does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of each of these ventures, which include asset underwriting and acquisition, lease review and approval, and loan asset servicing, and, therefore, the Company is not the primary beneficiary of either.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund

In May, June, and July 2013, the Company invested a total of \$15.0 million in CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund, a fund which seeks to generate returns targeting corporate credit through a master-feeder fund structure. Because CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund is not a VIE and the Company owns only 34.4%, the Company will not consolidate it. The Company records its investment in the fund using the equity method. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recognized \$433,000 and \$526,000 of income in equity in losses of unconsolidated entities on the consolidated income statement. The investment balance of \$15.5 million at September 30, 2013 is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

Life Care Funding

In January 2013, Long Term Care Conversion, Inc. (LTCC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of RCC invested \$2.0 million into Life Care Funding, LLC (LCF) for the purpose of originating and acquiring life settlement contracts. Although the Investment Committee and Board are controlled by the joint venture partner, the joint venture partner must obtain LTCC's approval to make any investments and the joint venture partner must obtain LTCC approval for all material business operations. As a result, the Company determined that there was joint control and will not consolidate LCF. Using the equity method, the Company recognized a loss of \$107,000 and \$349,000 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, as equity in net losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries. The Company's investment in LCF of \$1.7 million at September 30, 2013 is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

Harvest CLO VII Limited

In September 2013, the Company invested \$5.3 million in the subordinated notes of a European CLO, which represented 9.52% of the subordinated notes. The CLO is managed by an independent third party and therefore the Company does not have control and is not deemed to be the primary beneficiary. Therefore, the CLO is not consolidated onto the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company records its investment in the CLO by imputing an interest rate using expected cash flows over the expected life of the CLO and records the income as equity in net losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income.

The following table shows the classification, carrying value and maximum exposure to loss with respect to the Company's unconsolidated VIEs as of September 30, 2013 (in thousands):

Unconsolidated Variable Interest Entities

	LEAF Commerc Capital, Inc.	Unsecured sidlinior Subordinate Debentures	Resource Capital Asset Manageme CLOs	RRE VIP Borrowe nt LLC	Värde Investmor,Partners LP	Life ent Care 'Funding	CVC Global Opps Fund	Harvest CLO VII	Total	Maximum Exposure to Loss
Investment in										
unconsolidate	d\$40,820	\$ 1,548	\$ <i>—</i>	\$(330)	\$ 575	\$1,651	\$15,526	\$4,999	\$64,789	\$64,789
entities										
Intangible assets	_	_	11,687		_	_	_		11,687	\$11,687
Total assets	40,820	1,548	11,687	(330)	575	1,651	15,526	4,999	76,476	
Borrowings	_	50,956		_				_	50,956	N/A
Total liabilities	_	50,956	_	_	_	_	_	_	50,956	N/A
Net asset	\$40,820	\$ (49,408)	\$11,687	\$(330)	\$ 575	\$1,651	\$15,526	\$4,999	\$25,520	N/A

(liability)

(1) The Company's maximum exposure to loss at September 30, 2013 does not exceed the carrying amount of its investment, subject to the LRF3's contingent obligation as described above.

Other than the contingent obligation arrangement described above in connection with LCC and the commitments to fund its real estate joint ventures, there were no explicit arrangements or implicit variable interests that could require the Company to provide financial support to any of its unconsolidated VIEs.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

NOTE 4 – SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2013	2012	
Non-cash investing activities include the following:			
Acquisition of real estate investments	\$	\$(21,661)
Conversion of loans to investment in real estate	\$ —	\$21,661	
Non-cash financing activities include the following:			
Distributions on common stock declared but not paid	\$25,447	\$19,897	
Distribution on preferred stock declared but not paid	\$2,023	\$308	
Income taxes paid in cash	\$8,997	\$19,771	
Issuance of restricted stock	\$242	\$480	
Subscription receivable	\$257	\$24,213	
NOTE 5 INVESTMENT SECURITIES TO A DING			

NOTE 5 – INVESTMENT SECURITIES, TRADING

The following table summarizes the Company's structured notes and residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") which are classified as investment securities, trading and carried at fair value (in thousands):

	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair Value
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Tan value
September 30, 2013:				
Structured notes	\$8,554	\$4,026	\$(1,000) \$11,580
RMBS	1,934	_	(1,415) 519
Total	\$10,488	\$4,026	\$(2,415	\$12,099
December 31, 2012:				
Structured notes	\$9,413	\$10,894	\$(1,028) \$19,279
RMBS	6,047	858	(1,341) 5,564
Total	\$15,460	\$11,752	\$(2,369) \$24,843

The Company purchased four securities and sold six securities during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, for a net gain of \$6.9 million. The Company held 11 and 13 investment securities, trading as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

NOTE 6 – INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE

The Company pledges a portion of its CMBS as collateral against its borrowings under repurchase agreements and derivatives. If the Company finances the purchase of securities with repurchase agreements with the same counterparty from whom the securities are purchased and both transactions are entered into contemporaneously or in contemplation of each other, the transactions are presumed not to meet sale accounting criteria and the Company will account for the purchase of such securities and the repurchase agreement on a net basis and record a forward purchase commitment to purchase securities (each, a "Linked Transaction") at fair value on the Company's consolidated balance sheet in the line item Linked Transactions, at fair value. Changes in the fair value of the assets and liabilities underlying the Linked Transactions and associated interest income and expense are reported as unrealized gain (loss) and net interest income on linked transactions, net on the Company's consolidated statement of income. CMBS that are accounted for as components of Linked Transactions are not reflected in the tables set forth in this note, as they are accounted for as derivatives. (see Notes 2 and 20).

(Back to Index)
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

The following table summarizes the Company's investment securities, including those pledged as collateral and classified as available-for-sale, which are carried at fair value (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost (1)	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
September 30, 2013:				
CMBS	\$194,268	\$7,297	\$(13,792) \$187,773
ABS	26,317	1,776	(495) 27,598
Corporate bonds	5,375	29	(91) 5,313
Other asset-backed	_	_	_	
Total	\$225,960	\$9,102	\$(14,378) \$220,684
December 31, 2012:				
CMBS	\$182,828	\$4,626	\$(16,639) \$170,815
ABS	25,885	1,700	(1,115) 26,470
Corporate Bonds	34,361	111	(190) 34,282
Other asset-backed	_	23	_	23
Total	\$243,074	\$6,460	\$(17,944	\$231,590

⁽¹⁾ As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$174.0 million and \$195.2 million, respectively, of securities were pledged as collateral security under related financings.

The following table summarizes the estimated maturities of the Company's CMBS, ABS, and corporate bonds according to their estimated weighted average life classifications (in thousands, except percentages):

Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Weighted Average Coupon	
\$42,561 (1)	\$45,731	4.97%	
136,672	138,629	4.67%	
37,088	36,905	2.71%	
4,363	4,695	4.03%	
\$220,684	\$225,960	4.39%	
\$42,618 (1)	\$46,522	4.09%	
122,509	131,076	4.55%	
61,780	60,801	3.31%	
4,683	4,675	4.03%	
\$231,590	\$243,074	4.12%	
	\$42,561 (1) 136,672 37,088 4,363 \$220,684 \$42,618 122,509 61,780 4,683	\$42,561 (1) \$45,731 136,672 138,629 37,088 36,905 4,363 4,695 \$220,684 \$225,960 \$42,618 (1) \$46,522 122,509 131,076 61,780 60,801 4,683 4,675	

⁽¹⁾ The Company expects that the maturity date of these CMBS will either be extended or the CMBS will be paid in full.

The contractual maturities of the CMBS investment securities available-for-sale range from October 2013 to April 2025. The contractual maturities of the ABS investment securities available-for-sale range from November 2015 to August 2022. The contractual maturities of the corporate bond investment securities available-for-sale range from December 2015 to April 2021.

(Back to Index)
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

The following table shows the fair value and gross unrealized losses, aggregated by investment category and length of time, of those individual investment securities available-for-sale that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position during the periods specified (in thousands):

	Less than 12 Months			More than 12	Months	Total			
		Gross			Gross			Gross	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses		Fair Value	Unrealized Losses		Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	
September 30, 2013:									
CMBS	\$62,839	\$(8,333)	\$12,124	\$(5,459)	\$74,963	\$(13,792)
ABS	201	(2)	6,589	(493)	6,790	(495)
Corporate bonds	2,976	(91)	_	_		2,976	(91)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$66,016	\$(8,426)	\$18,713	\$(5,952)	\$84,729	\$(14,378)
December 31, 2012:									
CMBS	\$25,803	\$(442)	\$38,734	\$(16,197)	\$64,537	\$(16,639)
ABS	_	_		5,961	(1,115)	5,961	(1,115)
Corporate bonds	19,445	(190)				19,445	(190)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$45,248	\$(632)	\$44,695	\$(17,312)	\$89,943	\$(17,944)

The Company held nine and 19 CMBS investment securities available-for-sale that have been in a loss position for more than 12 months as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The Company held nine ABS investment securities available-for-sale that have been in a loss position for more than 12 months as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The Company had no corporate bonds that have been in a loss position for more than 12 months as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The unrealized losses in the above table are considered to be temporary impairments due to market factors and are not reflective of credit deterioration. The determination of other-than-temporary impairment is a subjective process, and different judgments and assumptions could affect the timing of loss realization. The Company reviews its portfolios and makes other-than-temporary impairment determinations at least quarterly. The Company considers the following factors when determining if there is an other-than-temporary impairment on a security:

- the length of time the market value has been less than amortized cost;
- the severity of the impairment;
- the expected loss of the security as generated by a third-party valuation model;
- original and current credit ratings from the rating agencies;
- underlying credit fundamentals of the collateral backing the securities;
- whether, based upon the Company's intent, it is more likely than not that the Company will sell the security before the recovery of the amortized cost basis; and
- third-party support for default, for recovery, prepayment speed and reinvestment price assumptions.
- At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company held \$187.8 million and \$170.8 million, respectively, (net of net unrealized losses of \$6.5 million and \$12.0 million, respectively), of CMBS recorded at fair value. To determine fair value, the Company uses a third party valuation firm. (see Note 2).
- At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company held \$27.6 million and \$26.5 million, respectively, (net of net unrealized gains of \$1.3 million and \$585,000, respectively), of ABS recorded at fair value (see Note 2). To determine their fair value, the Company uses dealer quotes.

At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company held \$5.3 million and \$34.3 million, respectively, (net of net unrealized losses of \$62,000 and losses of \$78,000, respectively), of corporate bonds recorded at fair value (see Note 2). To determine their fair value, the Company uses dealer quotes.

(Back to Index)

The Company's securities classified as available-for-sale have increased in fair value on a net basis as of September 30, 2013 as compared to December 31, 2012, primarily due to improving marks and new purchases in 2013. The Company performs an on-going review of third-party reports and updated financial data on the underlying properties in order to analyze current and projected security performance. Rating agency downgrades are considered with respect to the Company's income approach when determining other-than-temporary impairment and, when inputs are subjected to testing for economic changes within possible ranges, the resulting projected cash flows reflect a full recovery of principal and interest indicating no impairment. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recognized other-than-temporary impairment losses of \$255,000 and \$276,000, respectively, on positions that supported the Company's CMBS investment. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recognized other-than-temporary impairment losses of \$9,000 and \$42,000, respectively, on positions that supported the Company's CMBS investment.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, there were no ABS sales. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company sold two and five ABS positions with a total par of \$1.4 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, and recognized gains of \$89,000 and \$111,000, respectively.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company sold 17 and 32 corporate bond positions with a total par value of \$30.0 million and \$33.0 million, respectively, and recognized a net loss of \$441,000 and \$432,000, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013 the Company had two corporate bond positions redeemed with a total par value of \$3.5 million, and recognized a loss of \$11,000. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company had one corporate bond position redeemed with a total par value of \$182,000, and recognized a gain of \$39,000.

Changes in interest rates may also have an effect on the rate of mortgage principal prepayments and, as a result, prepayments on CMBS in the Company's investment portfolio. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the aggregate discount due to interest rate changes exceeded the aggregate premium on the Company's CMBS by approximately \$4.8 million and \$8.0 million, respectively. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the aggregate discount on the Company's ABS portfolio was \$2.6 million and \$3.1 million, respectively. There were no premiums on the Company's ABS investment portfolio at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. At September 30, 2013 the aggregate discount on the Company's corporate bond portfolio was \$154,000. At December 31, 2012, the aggregate premium on the Company's corporate bond portfolio was \$608,000.

NOTE 7 – INVESTMENTS IN REAL ESTATE

The table below summarizes the Company's investments in real estate (in thousands):

	As of September 30, 2013			per 31, 2012
	Book Value	Number of	Book Value	Number of
	book value	Properties		Properties
Multi-family property	\$22,102	1	\$42,179	2
Office property	10,244	1	10,149	1
Hotel property	25,718	1	25,608	1
Subtotal	58,064		77,936	
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(2,920)	(2,550)
Investments in real estate	\$55,144		\$75,386	

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company made no acquisitions. On September 30, 2013, the Company sold one of its multi-family properties. The gain from the sale of this property is recorded on the income statement in gain on sale of real estate. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company foreclosed on one self-originated loan and converted the loan to equity with a fair value of \$25.5 million at acquisition. The loan was collateralized by a 179 unit hotel property in Coconut Grove, Florida. The property had an occupancy rate of 75% at acquisition.

(Back to Index) 22

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

Description

The following table is a summary of the aggregate estimated fair value of the assets and liabilities acquired on the respective date of acquisition during the year ended December 31, 2012 (in thousands). There were no such acquisitions during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

December 31, 2012

Description		December	51, 2012	
Assets acquired:				
Investments in real estate		\$25,500		
Other assets		(89)
Total assets acquired		25,411		
Liabilities assumed:				
Accounts payable and other liabilities		3,750		
Total liabilities assumed		3,750		
Estimated fair value of net assets acquired		\$21,661		
NOTE 8 – LOANS HELD FOR INVESTMENT				
The following is a summary of the Company's loans (in thou	sands):			
Loan Description	Principal	Unamortized (Discount) Premium (1)	Carrying Value (2)	
September 30, 2013:				
Bank loans (3)	\$914,676	\$(5,648	\$909,028	
Commercial real estate loans:				
Whole loans	671,118	(3,003) 668,115	
B notes	16,328	(90) 16,238	
Mezzanine loans	57,667	(93) 57,574	
Total commercial real estate loans	745,113	(3,186) 741,927	
Subtotal loans before allowances	1,659,789	(8,834) 1,650,955	
Allowance for loan loss	(12,865) —	(12,865)
Total	\$1,646,924	\$(8,834) \$1,638,090	
December 31, 2012:				
Bank loans (3)	\$1,218,563	\$(25,249) \$1,193,314	
Commercial real estate loans:	\$ 1, 2 10,6 00	Ψ (=ε,= :>) 41,120,011	
Whole loans (4)	569,829	(1,891) 567,938	
B notes	16,441	(114) 16,327	
Mezzanine loans	82,992	(206) 82,786	
Total commercial real estate loans	669,262	(2,211) 667,051	
Subtotal loans before allowances	1,887,825	(27,460) 1,860,365	
Allowance for loan loss	(17,691) —	(17,691)
Total	\$1,870,134	\$(27,460) \$1,842,674	
	. , ,	, = =	, . , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Amounts include deferred amendment fees of \$288,000 and \$450,000 and deferred upfront fees of \$260,000 and \$334,000 being amortized over the life of the bank loans as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012,

(2)

⁽¹⁾ respectively. Amounts include loan origination fees of \$2.9 million and \$1.9 million and loan extension fees of \$189,000 and \$214,000 being amortized over the life of the commercial real estate loans as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Substantially all loans are pledged as collateral under various borrowings at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

- (3) Amounts include \$332.4 million and \$14.9 million of bank loans held for sale at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.
- Amount includes \$34.0 million from two whole loans which are classified as loans held for sale at December 31, 2012.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, approximately 39.2% and 47.7%, respectively, of the Company's commercial real estate loan portfolio was concentrated in commercial real estate loans located in California; approximately 7.1% and 7.9%, respectively, in Arizona; and approximately 14.8% and 11.1%, respectively, in Texas. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, approximately 15.2% and 13.2%, of the Company's bank loan portfolio was concentrated in the collective industry grouping of healthcare, education and childcare. At September 30, 2013, the Company's bank loan portfolio consisted of \$906.1 million (net of allowance of \$3.0 million) of floating rate loans, which bear interest ranging between the three month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus 1.5% and three month LIBOR plus 10.0% with maturity dates ranging from December 2013 to April 2021

At December 31, 2012, the Company's bank loan portfolio consisted of \$1.2 billion (net of allowance of \$9.7 million) of floating rate loans, which bear interest ranging between the three month LIBOR plus 1.5% and three month LIBOR plus 8.8% with maturity dates ranging from August 2013 to January 2021.

The following is a summary of the weighted average life of the Company's bank loans, at amortized cost (in thousands):

	September 30,	December 31,
	2013 (1)	2012
Less than one year	\$29,008	\$10,028
Greater than one year and less than five years	574,042	821,568
Five years or greater	305,978	361,718
	\$909,028	\$1,193,314

Bank loans include \$4.6 million with maturity dates less than one year, \$157.4 million with maturity dates greater (1)than one year and less than five years and \$170.3 million with maturity dates five years or greater that are held for sale as of September 30, 2013.

The following is a summary of the Company's commercial real estate loans held for investment (dollars in thousands):

Description	Quantity	Amortized	Contracted	Maturity	
September 30, 2013:		Cost	Interest Rates	Dates (3)	
Whole loans, floating rate (1)	47	\$668,115	LIBOR plus 2.50% to LIBOR plus 8.0%	December 2013 to August 2019	
B notes, fixed rate	1	16,238	8.68%	April 2016	
Mezzanine loans, fixed rate (6)	4	57,574	0.50% to 20.00%	December 2014 to September 2019	
Total (2)	52	\$741,927		2019	
December 31, 2012:					
Whole loans, floating rate (1)(4)(5)	37	\$567,938	LIBOR plus 2.50% to LIBOR plus 5.50%	June 2013 to February 2019	
B notes, fixed rate	1	16,327	8.68%	April 2016	
Mezzanine loans, floating rate	2	15,845	LIBOR plus 2.50% to LIBOR plus 7.45%	August 2013 to December 2013	
Mezzanine loans, fixed rate (6)	3	66,941	0.50% to 20.00%	September 2014 to September 2019	
Total (2)	43	\$667,051		•	

Whole loans had \$6.6 million and \$8.9 million in unfunded loan commitments as of September 30, 2013 and

- (1) December 31, 2012, respectively. These commitments are funded as the borrowers request additional funding and have satisfied the requirements to obtain this additional funding.
- (2) The total does not include an allowance for loan loss of \$9.9 million and \$8.0 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) **SEPTEMBER 30, 2013** (Unaudited)

- (3) Maturity dates do not include possible extension options that may be available to the borrowers.
- Floating rate whole loans include a \$2.0 million portion of a whole loan that has a fixed rate of 15.0% as of December 31, 2012.
- (5) Amount includes \$34.0 million from two whole loans that were classified as loans held for sale at December 31, 2012.

Fixed rate mezzanine loans include a mezzanine loan that was modified into two tranches which both currently pay (6) interest at 0.50%. In addition, the subordinate tranche accrues interest at LIBOR plus 18.50% which is deferred until maturity.

The following is a summary of the weighted average life of the Company's commercial real estate loans, at amortized cost (in thousands):

Description	2013	2014	2015 and Thereafter	Total
September 30, 2013:				
B notes	\$	\$—	\$16,238	\$16,238
Mezzanine loans	_	11,398	46,176	57,574
Whole loans	4,067	_	664,048	668,115
Total (1)	\$4,067	\$11,398	\$726,462	\$741,927
December 31, 2012:				
B notes	\$	\$—	\$16,327	\$16,327
Mezzanine loans	5,328	20,694	56,764	82,786
Whole loans	71,799	_	496,139	567,938
Total (1)	\$77,127	\$20,694	\$569,230	\$667,051

(1) Weighted average life of commercial real estate loans assumes full exercise of extension options available to borrowers.

The following is a summary of the allocation of the allowance for loan loss with respect to the Company's commercial real estate and bank loans (in thousands, except percentages) by asset class:

Description	Allowance for	
Description	Loan Loss	Total Allowance
September 30, 2013:		
B notes	\$166	1.29%
Mezzanine loans	531	4.12%
Whole loans	9,214	71.63%
Bank loans	2,954	22.96%
Total	\$12,865	
December 31, 2012:		
B notes	\$206	1.16%
Mezzanine loans	860	4.86%
Whole loans	6,920	39.12%
Bank loans	9,705	54.86%
Total	\$17,691	

As of September 30, 2013, the Company had recorded an allowance for loan losses of \$12.9 million consisting of a \$3.0 million allowance on the Company's bank loan portfolio and a \$9.9 million allowance on the Company's

commercial real estate portfolio as a result of the provisions taken on three bank loans and one commercial real estate loan as well as the maintenance of a general reserve with respect to these portfolios. The bank loan allowance decreased \$6.8 million from \$9.7 million as of December 31, 2012 to \$3.0 million as of September 30, 2013 as a result of improved credit conditions . The whole loan allowance increased \$2.3 million from \$6.9 million as of December 31, 2012 to \$9.2 million as of September 30, 2013 as a result of specific provisions taken on one commercial real estate loan.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had recorded an allowance for loan losses of \$17.7 million consisting of a \$9.7 million allowance on the Company's bank loan portfolio and a \$8.0 million allowance on the Company's commercial real estate portfolio as a result of the impairment of one bank loan and four commercial real estate loans as well as the maintenance of a general reserve with respect to these portfolios.

(Back to Index)

NOTE 9 – INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

In May 2013, the Company entered into a limited partnership agreement with CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund, L.P. ("the Partnership"), a Delaware limited partnership which generally invests in assets through a master-feeder fund structure ("the Master Fund"). The Company invested \$15.0 million as of September 30, 2013. The General Partner of the Partnership and the Master Fund is CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund GP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company. The investment manager of the partnership and the Master Fund is CVC Credit Partners, LLC. CVC Capital Partners SICAV-FIS, S.A., a Luxembourg company, together with its affiliates, and Resource America, own a majority and a significant minority, respectively, of the investment manager. The fund will pay the investment manager a quarterly management fee in advance calculated at the rate of 1.5% annually based on the balance of each limited partner's capital account. The Company's management fee was waived upon entering the agreement given that the Company is a related party of CVC Credit Partners, LLC. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recorded earnings of \$433,000 and \$526,000, respectively, which were recorded in equity in net losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. The investment balance of \$15.5 million at September 30, 2013 is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet using the equity method.

In January 2013, LTCC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of RCC, invested \$2.0 million into LCF for the purpose of originating and acquiring life settlement contracts. Although the Investment Committee and Board are controlled by the joint venture partner, the joint venture partner must obtain LTCC's unanimous approval to make any investments and the joint venture partner must obtain LTCC approval for all material business operations. As a result, the Company determined that there was joint control and, therefore, neither Company nor its joint venture partner will consolidate LCF. Using the equity method, the Company recognized a loss of \$107,000 and \$349,000 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, as equity in net losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries. The Company's investment of LCF was \$1.7 million at September 30, 2013 is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet using the equity method.

On June 19, 2012, the Company entered into a joint venture with Värde Investment Partners, LP acting as lender, to purchase two condominium developments. The Company purchased a 7.5% equity interest in the venture. RREM, was appointed as the asset manager of the venture to perform lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and permitting, as applicable (see Note 3). RREM is also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM receives an annual asset management fee equal to 1.0% of outstanding contributions. The Company incurred fees payable to RREM of \$7,000 and \$33,000, respectively, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. There were no such fees for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recorded earnings of \$6,000 and \$49,000, which were recorded in equity in net losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. The Company recorded a loss of \$100,000 for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The investment balance of \$575,000 and \$526,000 at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet using the equity method.

On November 16, 2011, the Company, together with LEAF Financial and LCC, entered into a SPA with Eos Partners, L.P. In exchange for its prior interest in LCC, the Company received 31,341 shares of Series A Preferred Stock, 4,872 shares of newly issued 8% Series B Redeemable Preferred Stock and 2,364 shares of newly issued Series D Redeemable Preferred Stock, collectively representing, on a fully-diluted basis assuming conversion, a 26.7% interest in LCC. The Company's investment in LCC was valued at \$36.3 million based on a third-party valuation. Several approaches were used, including discounted expected cash flows, market approach and comparable sales transactions to estimate the fair value of its investment in LCC as a result of the transaction. These approaches required

assumptions and estimates of many critical factors, including revenue and market growth, operating cash flows, market multiples, and discount rates, which were based on the current economic environment and credit market conditions. The Company recorded a loss of \$2.2 million in conjunction with the transaction. On January 18, 2013, the Company entered into another stock purchase agreement with LCC to purchase 3,682 shares of newly issued Series A-1 Preferred Stock for \$3.7 million. During the second quarter of 2013, the Company entered into another stock purchase agreement with LCC to purchase 3,323 shares of newly issued Series E Preferred Stock for \$3.3 million. The Series E Preferred Stock has priority over all other classes of preferred stock. The Company accrued \$207,000 on the Series E Preferred Stock shares to date. The Company's fully-diluted basis assuming conversion is 27.5%. The Company's interest in the investment is accounted for under the equity method. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recorded losses of \$346,000 and \$378,000, respectively, which was recorded in equity in net losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statements of income. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recorded a loss of \$1.0 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, which was recorded in equity in net losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statements of income. The Company's investment in LCC was carried at \$40.8 million and \$33.1 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

In accordance with the SPA, the Company and Resource America have undertaken a contingent obligation with respect to the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LRF 3, a wholly-owned subsidiary of LCC which owns equipment, equipment leases and notes. To the extent that the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LRF 3 is less than approximately \$18.7 million (the value of the equity of LRF 3 on the date it was contributed to LCC by the Company), as of the final testing date within 90 days of December 31, 2013, the Company and Resource America have agreed to be jointly and severally obligated to contribute cash to LCC to make up the deficit. The LRF 3 equity as of September 30, 2013 was in excess of this commitment and, therefore, the Company was not required to record a liability with respect to this obligation.

On December 1, 2009, the Company purchased a membership interest in RRE VIP Borrower, LLC (an unconsolidated VIE that holds the Company's interests in a real estate joint venture) from Resource America at book value. This joint venture, which is structured as a credit facility with Värde Investment Partners, LP acting as lender, finances the acquisition of distressed properties and mortgage loans and has the objective of repositioning both the directly-owned properties and the properties underlying the mortgage loans to enhance their value (see Note 3). The Company acquired the membership interests for \$2.1 million. The agreement requires the Company to contribute 3% to 5% (depending on the asset agreement) of the total funding required for each asset acquisition on a monthly basis. RREM, an affiliate of Resource America, acts as asset manager of the venture and receives a monthly asset management fee equal to 1% of the combined investment calculated as of the last calendar day of the month. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company paid RREM management fees of \$6,500 and \$23,000, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company paid RREM management fees of \$11,000 and \$35,000, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recorded losses of \$521,000 and \$735,000, respectively, which was recorded in equity in net losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recorded earnings of \$346,000 and \$931,000, respectively, which was recorded in equity net losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. The investment balance of \$(330,000) and \$2.3 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet using the equity method.

The Company has a 100% interest valued at \$1.5 million in the common shares (3% of the total equity) in two trusts, RCT I and RCT II and determined it was not the primary beneficiary of either trust. The Company records its investments in RCT I and RCT II's common shares of \$774,000 each as investments in unconsolidated trusts using the cost method and records dividend income upon declaration by RCT I and RCT II. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 the Company recognized \$604,000 and \$1.8 million, respectively, of interest expense with respect to the subordinated debentures it issued to RCT I and RCT II which included \$48,000 and \$143,000, respectively, of amortization of deferred debt issuance costs. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recognized \$626,000 and \$1.9 million, respectively, of interest expense with respect to the subordinated debentures it issued to RCT I and RCT II which included \$46,000 and \$136,000, respectively, of amortization of deferred debt issuance costs. The Company will continuously reassess whether it should be deemed to be the primary beneficiary of the trusts.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

NOTE 10 -FINANCING RECEIVABLES

The following tables show the allowance for loan losses and recorded investments in loans for the years indicated (in thousands):

	Commercial Real Estate Loans	Bank Loans	Loans Receivable-Related Party	l Total
September 30, 2013:	Louis		Tarty	
Allowance for Loan Losses:				
Allowance for losses at January 1, 2013	\$7,986	\$9,705	\$ —	\$17,691
Provision (benefit) for loan loss	2,017			541
Loans charged-off	•	(5,275)	_	(5,367)
Allowance for losses at September 30, 2013	\$9,911	\$2,954	\$ —	\$12,865
Ending balance:				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$4,067	\$1,882	\$ —	\$5,949
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$5,844	\$1,072	\$ — \$ — \$ —	\$6,916
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Loans:				
Ending balance:				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$191,100	\$3,553	\$ 8,067	\$202,720
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$550,827	\$905,475	\$ — \$ —	\$1,456,302
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
December 31, 2012:				
Allowance for Loan Losses:				
Allowance for losses at January 1, 2012	\$24,221	\$3,297	\$ —	\$27,518
Provision for loan loss	5,225	11,593		16,818
Loans charged-off	(21,460)	(5,185)	_	(26,645)
Allowance for losses at December 31, 2012	\$7,986	\$9,705	\$ —	\$17,691
Ending balance:				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$2,142	\$3,236	\$ — \$ — \$ —	\$5,378
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$5,844	\$6,469	\$ —	\$12,313
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ —	\$—	\$ —	\$ —
Loans:				
Ending balance:				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$177,055	\$4,689	\$ 8,324	\$190,068
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$489,996	\$1,187,874	\$ —	\$1,677,870
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$—	\$751	\$ —	\$751
Credit quality indicators				

Rank Loans

The Company uses a risk grading matrix to assign grades to bank loans. Loans are graded at inception and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-5 with 1 representing the Company's highest rating and 5 representing its lowest rating. The Company also designates loans that are sold after the period end as held for sale at the lower of their fair market value or cost, net of any allowances and costs associated with the loan sales. The Company considers metrics such as performance of the underlying company, liquidity, collectability of interest, enterprise valuation, default probability, ratings from rating agencies, and

industry dynamics in grading its bank loans.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Credit risk profiles of bank loans were as follows (in thousands):

-	Rating 1	Rating 2	Rating 3	Rating 4	Rating 5	Held for Sale	Total
As of September 30, 2013 Bank loans	\$ \$514,277	\$39,123	\$16,206	\$3,518	\$3,553	\$332,351	\$909,028
As of December 31, 2012 Bank loans	\$1,095,148	\$33,677	\$27,837	\$16,318	\$5,440	\$14,894	\$1,193,314

All of the Company's bank loans are performing with the exception of three loans with an amortized cost of \$3.6 million as of September 30, 2013, none of which defaulted during the three months ended September 30, 2013. As of December 31, 2012, all of the Company's bank loans were performing with the exception of five loans with an amortized cost of \$5.4 million, one of which defaulted as of December 31, 2012, three of which defaulted as of March 31, 2012 (including a loan acquired with deteriorated credit quality as a result of the acquisition of Whitney CLO I), and one of which defaulted on December 31, 2011.

Commercial Real Estate Loans

The Company uses a risk grading matrix to assign grades to commercial real estate loans. Loans are graded at inception and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-4 with 1 representing the Company's highest rating and 4 representing its lowest rating. The Company also designates loans that are sold after the period end at the lower of their fair market value or cost, net of any allowances and costs associated with the loan sales. In addition to the underlying performance of the loan collateral, the Company considers metrics such as the strength of underlying sponsorship, payment history, collectability of interest, structural credit enhancements, market trends and loan terms in grading its commercial real estate loans. Credit risk profiles of commercial real estate loans were as follows (in thousands):

	Rating 1	Rating 2	Rating 3	Rating 4	Held for Sale	Total
As of September 30, 2013						
Whole loans	\$591,105	\$44,943	\$32,067	\$ —	\$ —	\$668,115
B notes	16,238				_	16,238
Mezzanine loans	57,574	_				57,574
	\$664,917	\$44,943	\$32,067	\$—	\$ —	\$741,927
As of December 31, 2012						
Whole loans	\$427,456	\$ —	\$106,482	\$ —	\$34,000	\$567,938
B notes	16,327	_				16,327
Mezzanine loans	38,296	_	44,490			82,786
	\$482,079	\$ —	\$150,972	\$ —	\$34,000	\$667,051

All of the Company's commercial real estate loans were performing as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

(Back to Index)

29

TT.11 C.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Loan Portfolios Aging Analysis

The following table shows the loan portfolio aging analysis as of the dates indicated at cost basis (in thousands):

Č	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	Greater than 90 Days	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans Receivable	Total Loans > 90 Days and Accruing
September 30, 2013:			·				C
Whole loans	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$	\$668,115	\$668,115	\$
B notes					16,238	16,238	
Mezzanine loans					57,574	57,574	
Bank loans	_	_	3,553	3,553	905,475	909,028	
Loans receivable- related party	_	_	_	_	8,067	8,067	_
Total loans	\$ —	\$ —	\$3,553	\$3,553	\$1,655,469	\$1,659,022	\$—
December 31, 2012:							
Whole loans	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$567,938	\$567,938	\$ —
B notes				_	16,327	16,327	
Mezzanine loans			_	_	82,786	82,786	_
Bank loans	1,549		3,891	5,440	1,187,874	1,193,314	
Loans receivable- related party	_	_	_		8,324	8,324	
Total loans	\$1,549	\$ —	\$3,891	\$5,440	\$1,863,249	\$1,868,689	\$—
(Rack to Index)							

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) **SEPTEMBER 30, 2013** (Unaudited)

Impaired Loans

The following tables show impaired loans in the categories indicated (in thousands):

The following tables show impaired loar	The following tables show impaired loans in the categories indicated (in thousands):							
	Recorded Balance	Unpaid Principal Balance	Specific Allowance	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized			
September 30, 2013:								
Loans without a specific valuation								
allowance:								
Whole loans	\$127,961	\$127,961	\$—	\$121,371	\$6,951			
B notes	\$	\$	\$—	\$ —	\$			
Mezzanine loans	\$38,072	\$38,072	\$	\$38,072	\$1,300			
Bank loans	\$	\$	\$—	\$	\$			
Loans receivable - related party	\$5,924	\$5,924	\$	\$ —	\$			
Loans with a specific valuation								
allowance:								
Whole loans	\$25,067	\$25,067	\$(4,067)	\$24,562	\$1,824			
B notes	\$ —	\$ —	\$	\$ —	\$ —			
Mezzanine loans	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —			
Bank loans	\$3,553	\$3,553	\$(1,882)	\$	\$ —			
Loans receivable - related party	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —			
Total:								
Whole loans	\$153,028	\$153,028	\$(4,067)	\$145,933	\$8,775			
B notes	_	_	_	_				
Mezzanine loans	38,072	38,072	_	38,072	1,300			
Bank loans	3,553	3,553	(1,882	· —				
Loans receivable - related party	5,924	5,924	_	_				
	\$200,577	\$200,577	\$(5,949)	\$184,005	\$10,075			
December 31, 2012:								
Loans without a specific valuation								
allowance:	Φ115 O41	Φ117 O41	ф	Φ114.60 2	#2.426			
Whole loans	\$115,841	\$115,841 \$—	\$—	\$114,682	\$3,436			
B notes	\$— \$20,072		\$—	\$— \$28,072	\$— \$267			
Mezzanine loans	\$38,072	\$38,072	\$—	\$38,072	\$367			
Bank loans	\$— \$6.754	\$— \$6.754	5 —	5 —	\$			
Loans receivable - related party	\$6,754	\$6,754	\$ —	\$ —	\$851			
Loans with a specific valuation								
allowance:	¢22 142	¢22 142	¢(2 1/2	¢22.576	¢ 9∩1			
Whole loans	\$23,142	\$23,142	\$(2,142)	\$22,576	\$801			
B notes	\$— \$	\$— \$	\$— \$	\$— \$	\$— ¢			
Mezzanine loans	р— \$5.440	р— \$5.440	р— Ф(2.226	. •	ф—			
Bank loans	\$5,440	\$5,440	\$(3,236)	φ—	Φ			
Loans receivable - related party	\$—	\$—	\$—	> —	> —			

Total:					
Whole loans	\$138,983	\$138,983	\$(2,142) \$137,258	\$4,237
B notes				_	_
Mezzanine loans	38,072	38,072	_	38,072	367
Bank loans	5,440	5,440	(3,236) —	_
Loans receivable - related party	6,754	6,754	_	_	851
	\$189,249	\$189,249	\$(5,378) \$175,330	\$5,455

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Troubled- Debt Restructurings

The following tables show troubled-debt restructurings in the Company's loan portfolio (in thousands):

	Number of Loans	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Balance	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Balance
Three Months Ended September 30, 2013:			
Whole loans	2	\$48,374	\$52,716
B notes	_	_	_
Mezzanine loans	_	_	_
Bank loans	_	_	_
Loans receivable - related party		_	_
Total loans	2	\$48,374	\$52,716
Three Months Ended September 30, 2012:			
Whole loans	2	\$42,550	\$42,550
B notes	_	_	_
Mezzanine loans	1	38,072	38,072
Bank loans		_	_
Loans receivable		_	_
Loans receivable - related party		_	_
Total loans	3	\$80,622	\$80,622
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013:			
Whole loans	4	\$104,702	\$109,044
B notes		_	_
Mezzanine loans	_	_	_
Bank loans		_	
Loans receivable - related party	1	6,592	6,592
Total loans	5	\$111,294	\$115,636
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012:			
Whole loans	5	\$168,708	\$151,422
B notes		_	_
Mezzanine loans	1	38,072	38,072
Bank loans	_		_
Loans receivable		_	_
Loans receivable - related party	1	7,797	7,797
Total loans	7	\$214,577	\$197,291

As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were no troubled-debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted.

(Back to Index)

NOTE 11 - INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets represent identifiable intangible assets acquired as a result of the Company's acquisition of RCAM in February 2011, its conversion of loans to investments in real estate in June 2011, and the acquisition of real estate in August 2011. The Company amortizes identified intangible assets to expense over their estimated lives or period of benefit using the straight-line method. The Company evaluates intangible assets for impairment as events and circumstances change. In October 2012, the Company purchased 66.6% of preferred equity and began consolidating Whitney CLO I, one of the RCAM CLOs (see Note 3). As a result of this transaction and the consolidation of Whitney CLO I, the Company wrote-off the unamortized balance of \$2.6 million, the intangible asset associated with this CLO, which was recorded in gain/(loss) on consolidation in the consolidated statement of income during the year ended December 31, 2012. In May 2013, the Company purchased additional equity, increasing its ownership percentage to 68.3%. Due to an event whereby a second CLO liquidated in early 2013, the Company accelerated the amortization of the remaining balance of its intangible asset and recorded a \$657,000 charge to depreciation and amortization on the consolidated statement of income during the year ended December 31, 2012. The Company expects to record amortization expense on intangible assets of approximately \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, and \$1.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017. The weighted average amortization period was 8.0 years and 8.7 years at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively and the accumulated amortization was \$12.0 million and \$10.5 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The following table summarizes intangible assets at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands).

Beginning Balance	Accumulated Amortization	Net Asset
\$21,213	\$(9,526)	\$11,687
2,461	(2,421	40
29	(28	1
2,490	(2,449	41
\$23,703	\$(11,975	\$11,728
\$21,213	\$(8,108	\$13,105
2,461	(2,379	82
29	(24	5
2,490	(2,403	87
\$23,703	\$(10,511	\$13,192
	Balance \$21,213 2,461 29 2,490 \$23,703 \$21,213 2,461 29 2,490	Balance Amortization \$21,213 \$(9,526) 2,461 (2,421) 29 (28) 2,490 (2,449) \$23,703 \$(11,975) \$21,213 \$(8,108) 2,461 (2,379) 29 (24) 2,490 (2,403)

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recognized \$1.2 million and \$4.2 million, respectively of fee income related to the investment in RCAM. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recognized \$1.8 million and \$5.4 million, respectively of fee income related to the investment in RCAM.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

NOTE 12 – BORROWINGS

The Company historically has financed the acquisition of its investments, including investment securities, loans and lease receivables, through the use of secured and unsecured borrowings in the form of CDOs, securitized notes, repurchase agreements, secured term facilities, warehouse facilities and trust preferred securities issuances. Certain information with respect to the Company's borrowings at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 is summarized in the following table (in thousands, except percentages):

in the following table (in thousands, except per	centages).			
	Outstanding Borrowings	Weighted Average Borrowing	Weighted Average Remaining	Value of Collateral
0 1 20 2012		Rate	Maturity	
September 30, 2013:	ΦΩ5 151	1.060	22.0	ф 170 OF 5
RREF CDO 2006-1 Senior Notes (1)	\$95,151	1.86%	32.9 years	\$170,255
RREF CDO 2007-1 Senior Notes (2)	178,575	0.85%	33.0 years	325,551
Apidos CDO I Senior Notes (3)	101,827	1.53%	3.8 years	117,827
Apidos CDO III Senior Notes (4)	145,875	0.86%	6.7 years	157,009
Apidos Cinco CDO Senior Notes (5)	320,997	0.77%	6.6 years	339,154
Apidos CLO VIII Senior Notes (6)	302,916	2.09%	17 days	351,434
Apidos CLO VIII Securitized Borrowings (10)	18,444	14.13%	17 days	
Whitney CLO I Senior Notes ⁽⁹⁾	_	—%	n/a	8,573
Whitney CLO I Securitized Borrowings (9)	2,424	5.78%	n/a	_
Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures (7)	50,956	4.22%	22.9 years	
Repurchase Agreements (8)	205,265	2.29%	18 days	284,290
Total	\$1,422,430	1.73%	9.6 years	\$1,754,093
December 31, 2012:				
RREF CDO 2006-1 Senior Notes (1)	\$145,664	1.42%	33.6 years	\$295,759
RREF CDO 2007-1 Senior Notes (2)	225,983	0.81%	33.8 years	292,980
Apidos CDO I Senior Notes (3)	202,969	1.07%	4.6 years	217,745
Apidos CDO III Senior Notes (4)	221,304	0.80%	7.5 years	232,655
Apidos Cinco CDO Senior Notes (5)	320,550	0.82%	7.4 years	344,105
Apidos CLO VIII Senior Notes (6)	300,951	2.16%	8.8 years	351,014
Apidos CLO VIII Securitized Borrowings (10)	20,047	15.27%	8.8 years	
Whitney CLO I Senior Notes ⁽⁹⁾	171,555	1.82%	4.2 years	191,704
Whitney CLO I Securitized Borrowings (9)	5,860	9.50%	4.2 years	
Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures (7)	50,814	4.26%	23.7 years	
Repurchase Agreements (8)	106,303	2.28%	18 days	145,234
Mortgage Payable	13,600	4.17%	5.6 years	18,100
Total	\$1,785,600	1.62%	12.5 years	\$2,089,296

Amount represents principal outstanding of \$95.4 million and \$146.4 million less unamortized issuance costs of (1)\$268,000 and \$728,000 as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in August 2006.

Amount represents principal outstanding of \$179.4 million and \$227.4 million less unamortized issuance costs of (2)\$786,000 and \$1.4 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in June 2007.

Amount represents principal outstanding of \$101.8 million and \$203.2 million less unamortized issuance costs of (3)\$0 and \$274,000 as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in August 2005.

Amount represents principal outstanding of \$146.1 million and \$222.0 million less unamortized issuance costs of (4)\$205,000 and \$659,000 as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in May 2006.

Amount represents principal outstanding of \$322.0 million and \$322.0 million less unamortized issuance costs of (5)\$1.0 million and \$1.5 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in May 2007.

(Back to Index)

Amount represents principal outstanding of \$317.6 million and \$317.6 million, less unamortized issuance costs of \$4.1 million and \$4.7 million, and less unamortized discounts of \$10.6 million and \$11.9 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in October 2011. Apidos CLO VIII was called and the notes were paid in full in October 2013.

- (7) Amount represents junior subordinated debentures issued to RCT I and RCT II in May 2006 and September 2006, respectively.
 - Amount represents principal outstanding of \$52.7 million and \$47.5 million less unamortized deferred debt costs of \$47,000 and \$23,000 plus accrued interest costs of \$25,000 and \$27,000 related to CMBS repurchase facilities as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and principal outstanding of \$153.8 million and \$59.1 million less unamortized deferred debt costs of \$1.3 million and \$348,000 plus accrued interest costs of
- (8) \$161,000 and \$79,000 related to CRE repurchase facilities as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Amount does not reflect CMBS repurchase agreement borrowings that are components of Linked Transactions. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had repurchase agreements of \$63.7 million and \$20.4 million and accrued interest costs of \$41,000 and \$10,000, respectively, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and, as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the above table. (See Note 20).
- Amount represents principal outstanding of \$174.1 million less unamortized discounts of \$2.5 million as of December 31, 2012. In September 2013, the Company called and liquidated Whitney CLO I. As a result, substantially all of the remaining assets were sold and the balance on the outstanding notes totaling \$103.7 million was paid down.
- The securitized borrowings are collateralized by the same assets as the Apidos CLO VIII Senior Notes and the Whitney CLO I Senior Notes, respectively.

Collateralized Debt Obligations

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2007-1

In June 2007, the Company closed RREF CDO 2007-1, a \$500.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for commercial real estate loans and commercial mortgage-backed securities. The investments held by RREF CDO 2007-1 collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. RREF CDO 2007-1 issued a total of \$265.6 million of senior notes at par to unrelated investors. RCC Real Estate purchased 100% of the class H senior notes (rated BBB+:Fitch), class K senior notes (rated BBB-:Fitch), class L senior notes (rated BB:Fitch) and class M senior notes (rated B: Fitch) for \$68.0 million. In addition, Resource Real Estate Funding 2007-1 CDO Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$41.3 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The senior notes purchased by RCC Real Estate are subordinated in right of payment to all other senior notes issued by RREF CDO 2007-1 but are senior in right of payment to the preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by RREF CDO 2007-1. The reinvestment period for RREF 2007-1 ended in June 2012 which results in the sequential pay down of notes as underlying collateral matures and pays down. As of September 30, 2013, \$62.6 million of Class A-1 notes have been paid down and \$50.0 million of the class A-1R notes have been paid down.

At closing, the senior notes issued to investors by RREF CDO 2007-1 consisted of the following classes: (i) \$180.0 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.28%; (ii) \$50.0 million of unissued class A-1R notes, which allowed the CDO to fund future funding obligations under the existing whole loan participations that had future funding commitments; the undrawn balance of the class A-1R notes accrued a commitment fee at a rate per annum equal to 0.18%, the drawn balance bore interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.32%; (iii) \$57.5 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.46%; (iv) \$22.5 million of class B notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.80%; (v) \$7.0 million of class C notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.423%; (vi) \$26.8

million of class D notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.95%; (vii) \$11.9 million of class E notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.15%; (viii) \$11.9 million of class F notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.30%; (ix) \$11.3 million of class G notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.55%; (x) \$11.3 million of class H notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 2.30%; (xi) \$11.3 million of class J notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 2.95%; (xii) \$10.0 million of class K notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 3.25%; (xiii) \$18.8 million of class L notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 7.50% and (xiv) \$28.8 million of class M notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 8.50%. All of the notes issued mature in September 2046, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after July 2017 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes issued to outside investors and net of repurchased notes was 0.85% and 0.81% at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 the Company repurchased \$50.0 million of the Class A-1R notes in RREF CDO 2007-1 at a weighted average price of 90.00% to par which, after fees paid to an investment bank to finance the transaction and related expenses, resulting in a \$3.6 million gain reported as a gain on the extinguishment of debt in the consolidated statements of income. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company did not repurchase any notes.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

As a result of the Company's ownership of senior notes, both the notes repurchased subsequent to closing and those retained at the CDO's closing eliminate in consolidation.

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2006-1

In August 2006, the Company closed RREF CDO 2006-1, a \$345.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for commercial real estate loans. The investments held by RREF CDO 2006-1 collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. RREF CDO 2006-1 issued a total of \$308.7 million of senior notes at par to investors of which RCC Real Estate purchased 100% of the class J senior notes (rated BB: Fitch) and class K senior notes (rated B:Fitch) for \$43.1 million. In addition, Resource Real Estate Funding 2006-1 CDO Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$36.3 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The senior notes purchased by RCC Real Estate are subordinated in right of payment to all other senior notes issued by RREF CDO 2006-1 but are senior in right of payment to the preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by RREF CDO 2006-1. The reinvestment period for RREF 2006-1 ended in September 2011 which results in the sequential pay down of notes as underlying collateral matures and pays down. As of September 30, 2013, \$108.1 million, respectively, of Class A-1 notes have been paid down.

At closing, the senior notes issued to investors by RREF CDO 2006-1 consisted of the following classes: (i) \$129.4 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.32%; (ii) \$17.4 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.35%; (iii) \$5.0 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 5.842%; (iv) \$6.9 million of class B notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.40%; (v) \$20.7 million of class C notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.62%; (vi) \$15.5 million of class D notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.80%; (viii) \$20.7 million of class E notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.30%; (viii) \$19.8 million of class F notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.60%; (ix) \$17.3 million of class G notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.90%; (x) \$12.9 million of class H notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 3.75%, (xi) \$14.7 million of class J notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.00% and (xii) \$28.4 million of class K notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.00%. All of the notes issued mature in August 2046, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after August 2016 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes issued to outside investors and net of repurchased notes was 1.86% and 1.42% at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company repurchased \$4.3 million of the Class A-1 notes and \$4.0 million of the Class C notes in RREF CDO 2006-1 at a weighted average price of 81.63% to par which resulted in a \$1.5 million gain reported as a gain on the extinguishment of debt in the consolidated statements of income. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company did not repurchase any notes. As a result of the Company's ownership of senior notes, both the notes repurchased subsequent to closing and those retained at the CDO's closing eliminate in consolidation.

Whitney CLO I

In February 2011, the Company acquired the rights to manage the assets held by Whitney CLO I. In October 2012, the Company purchased a \$20.9 million preferred equity interest at a discount of 42.5% which represents 66.6% of the outstanding preference shares in Whitney CLO I. In May 2013 the Company purchased an additional \$550,000 equity interest in Whitney CLO I and as of September 30, 2013 holds 68.3% of the outstanding preference shares. Based upon those purchases, the Company determined that it had a controlling interest and consolidated Whitney CLO I. The preferred equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Whitney CLO I. In September 2013, the Company liquidated Whitney CLO I, and as a result substantially all of the assets were sold. Total proceeds from the sale of these assets, plus proceeds from previous sales and paydowns in the CLO were used to pay down the remaining balance on the outstanding notes of \$103.7 million.

The balance of senior notes issued to investors when the Company acquired a controlling interest in October 2012 were as follows: (i) \$48.8 million of class A-1L notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.32%; (ii) \$26.5 million of class A-1LA notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.29%; (iii) \$36.5 million of class A-1LB notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.45%; (iv) \$19.8 million of class A-2F notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 5.19%; (v) \$15.0 million of class A-2L notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.57%; (vi) \$25.0 million of class A-3L notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 1.05%; (vii) \$23.5 million of class B-1LA notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.1%; (viii) \$14.4 million of class B-1LB notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 1.0%. All of the notes issued mature on March 1, 2017. The Company has the right to call the notes anytime after March 1, 2009 until maturity in March 2017. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 0.00% and 1.82% at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(Back to Index)

Apidos CLO VIII

In October 2011, the Company closed Apidos CLO VIII, a \$350.0 million CLO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CLO VIII collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. Apidos CLO VIII issued a total of \$317.6 million of senior notes at a discount of 4.4% to investors and RCC Commercial purchased a \$15.0 million interest representing 43.0% of the outstanding subordinated debt. The remaining 57.0% of subordinated debt is owned by unrelated third parties. The subordinated debt interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos CLO VIII.

The senior notes issued to investors by Apidos CLO VIII consist of the following classes: (i) \$231.2 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 1.50%; (ii) \$35.0 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.00%; (iii) \$17.3 million of class B-1 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.50%; (iv) \$6.8 million of class B-2 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.50%; (v) \$14.1 million of class C notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 3.10% and (vi) \$13.2 million of class D notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 4.50%. All of the notes issued mature on October 17, 2021, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime from October 17, 2013 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 2.09% and 2.16% at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. In October 2013, Apidos CLO VIII was called and liquidated. Proceeds from the liquidation were used to pay the notes down in full.

Apidos Cinco CDO

In May 2007, the Company closed Apidos Cinco CDO, a \$350.0 million CDO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos Cinco CDO collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. Apidos Cinco CDO issued a total of \$322.0 million of senior notes at par to investors and RCC Commercial purchased a \$28.0 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The reinvestment period for Apidos Cinco CDO will end in May 2014. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos Cinco CDO.

The senior notes issued to investors by Apidos Cinco CDO consist of the following classes: (i) \$37.5 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.24%; (ii) \$200.0 million of class A-2a notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.23%; (iii) \$22.5 million of class A-2b notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.32%; (iv) \$19.0 million of class A-3 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.42%; (v) \$18.0 million of class B notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.80%; (vi) \$14.0 million of class C notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.25% and (vii) \$11.0 million of class D notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 4.25%. All of the notes issued mature on May 14, 2020, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after May 14, 2011 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 0.77% and 0.82% at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Apidos CDO III

In May 2006, the Company closed Apidos CDO III, a \$285.5 million CDO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CDO III collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. Apidos CDO III issued a total of \$262.5 million of senior notes at par to investors and RCC Commercial purchased a \$23.0 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos CDO III.

At closing, the senior notes issued to investors by Apidos CDO III consisted of the following classes: (i) \$212.0 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.26%; (ii) \$19.0 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.45%; (iii) \$15.0 million of class B notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.75%; (iv) \$10.5 million of class C notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 1.75%; and (v) \$6.0 million of class D notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 4.25%. All of the notes issued mature on September 12, 2020,

although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after September 12, 2011 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 0.86% and 0.80% at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The reinvestment period for Apidos CDO III ended in June 2012 which results in the sequential pay down of notes as underlying collateral matures and pays down. As of September 30, 2013, \$116.4 million of Class A-1 notes have been paid down.

(Back to Index)

Apidos CDO I

In August 2005, the Company closed Apidos CDO I, a \$350.0 million CDO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CDO I collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. Apidos CDO I issued a total of \$321.5 million of senior notes at par to investors and RCC Commercial purchased a \$28.5 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos CDO I.

At closing, the senior notes issued to investors by Apidos CDO I consisted of the following classes: (i) \$259.5 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.26%; (ii) \$15.0 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.42%; (iii) \$20.5 million of class B notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.75%; (iv) \$13.0 million of class C notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 1.85%; and (v) \$8.0 million of class D notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 9.25%. All of the notes issued mature on July 27, 2017, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after July 27, 2010 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 1.52% and 1.07% at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The reinvestment period for Apidos CDO I ended in July 2011 which results in the sequential pay down of notes as underlying collateral matures and pays down. As of September 30, 2013, \$217.7 million of Class A-1 Notes have been paid down. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company repurchased \$2.0 million of the Class B notes in Apidos CDO I at a weighted average price of 85.11% to par which resulted in a \$298,000 gain reported as a gain on the extinguishment of debt in the consolidated statements of income. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company did not repurchase any notes.

Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures

In May 2006 and September 2006, the Company formed RCT I and RCT II, respectively, for the sole purpose of issuing and selling capital securities representing preferred beneficial interests. Although the Company owns 100% of the common securities of RCT I and RCT II, RCT I and RCT II are not consolidated into the Company's consolidated financial statements because the Company is not deemed to be the primary beneficiary of these entities. In connection with the issuance and sale of the capital securities, the Company issued junior subordinated debentures to RCT I and RCT II of \$25.8 million each, representing the Company's maximum exposure to loss. The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II are included in borrowings and are being amortized into interest expense in the consolidated statements of income using the effective yield method over a ten year period.

The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II at September 30, 2013 were \$285,000 and \$306,000, respectively. The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II at December 31, 2012 were \$358,000 and \$377,000, respectively. The rates for RCT I and RCT II, at September 30, 2013, were 4.22% and 4.22%, respectively. The rates for RCT I and RCT II, at December 31, 2012, were 4.26% and 4.26%, respectively.

The rights of holders of common securities of RCT I and RCT II are subordinate to the rights of the holders of capital securities only in the event of a default; otherwise, the common securities' economic and voting rights are pari passu with the capital securities. The capital and common securities of RCT I and RCT II are subject to mandatory redemption upon the maturity or call of the junior subordinated debentures held by each. Unless earlier dissolved, RCT I will dissolve on May 25, 2041 and RCT II will dissolve on September 29, 2041. The junior subordinated debentures are the sole assets of RCT I and RCT II, mature on September 30, 2036 and October 30, 2036, respectively, and may be called at par by the Company any time after September 30, 2011 and October 30, 2011, respectively. The Company records its investments in RCT I and RCT II's common securities of \$774,000 each as investments in unconsolidated trusts and records dividend income upon declaration by RCT I and RCT II.

Repurchase and Credit Facilities CMBS – Term Repurchase Facility

In February 2011, the registrant's wholly-owned subsidiaries, RCC Commercial Inc. and RCC Real Estate, Inc. (collectively, the "RCC Subsidiaries"), entered into a master repurchase and securities contract (the "2011 Facility") with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ("Wells Fargo"). Under the 2011 Facility, from time to time, the parties may enter into transactions in which the RCC Subsidiaries and Wells Fargo agree to transfer from the RCC Subsidiaries to Wells Fargo all of their right, title and interest to certain commercial mortgage backed securities and other assets (the "Assets") against the transfer of funds by Wells Fargo to the RCC Subsidiaries, with a simultaneous agreement by Wells Fargo to transfer back to the RCC Subsidiaries such Assets at a date certain or on demand, against the transfer of funds from the RCC Subsidiaries to Wells Fargo. The maximum amount of the Facility is \$100.0 million which has a two year term with a one year option to extend, and an interest rate equal to the one-month LIBOR plus 1.00% plus a .25% initial structuring fee and a .25% extension fee upon exercise. On February 1, 2013, the Company exercised the option to extend the 2011 Facility to January 31, 2014 and negotiated another one year option to extend to January 31, 2015. The RCC Subsidiaries may enter into interest rate swaps and cap agreements for securities whose average life exceeds two years to mitigate interest rate risk under the 2011 Facility. The 2011 Facility contains customary events of default, including payment defaults, breaches of covenants and/or certain representations and warranties, cross-defaults, guarantor defaults, and the institution of bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings that remain unstayed. The remedies for such events of default are also customary for this type of transaction and include the acceleration of all obligations of the RCC Subsidiaries to repay the purchase price for purchased assets.

The 2011 Facility also contains margin call provisions relating to a decline in the market value of a security. Under these circumstances, Wells Fargo may require the RCC Subsidiaries to transfer cash in an amount sufficient to eliminate any margin deficit resulting from such a decline.

Under the terms of the 2011 Facility and pursuant to a guarantee agreement dated February 1, 2011 (the "2011 Guaranty"), the Company agreed to unconditionally and irrevocably guarantee to Wells Fargo the prompt and complete payment and performance of (a) all payment obligations owing by the RCC Subsidiaries to Wells Fargo under or in connection with the Facility and any other governing agreements and any and all extensions, renewals, modifications, amendments or substitutions of the foregoing; (b) all expenses, including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and disbursements, that are incurred by Wells Fargo in the enforcement of any of the foregoing or any obligation of the registrant; and (c) any other obligations of the RCC Subsidiaries with respect to Wells Fargo under each of the governing documents. The 2011 Guaranty includes covenants that, among other things, limit the Company's leverage and debt service ratios and require maintenance of certain levels of cash and net worth. RCC Real Estate and RCC Commercial were in compliance with all debt covenants as of September 30, 2013.

At September 30, 2013, RCC Real Estate and RCC Commercial had borrowed \$51.0 million (net of \$47,000 of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which the RCC Subsidiaries had guaranteed. At September 30, 2013, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by highly-rated CMBS with an estimated fair value of \$60.1 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.22%, or 1.30%. At December 31, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$42.5 million (net of \$23,000 of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which the RCC Subsidiaries had guaranteed. At December 31, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by highly-rated CMBS with an estimated fair value of \$51.4 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.30%, or 1.53%. At September 30, 2013, the Company also had repurchase agreements of \$9.3 million, with a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.42% or 1.60%, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). The borrowings, accounted for as Linked Transactions, under the repurchase agreement were secured by highly-rated CMBS with an estimated fair value of \$11.3 million as of September 30,

2013. At December 31, 2012, the Company also had repurchase agreements of \$12.2 million, with a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.22% or 1.40%, respectively, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). The borrowings, accounted for as Linked Transactions, under the repurchase agreement were secured by highly-rated CMBS with an estimated fair value of \$14.6 million as of December 31, 2012, respectively.

(Back to Index)

The following table shows information about the amount at risk under this facility (dollars in thousands):

	Amount at Risk (1)	Weighted Average Maturity in Days	Weighted Average Interest Rate	
September 30, 2013: Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. (2)	\$11,195	18	1.30	%
December 31, 2012: Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. (2)	\$10,722	18	1.53	%

- (1) Equal to the estimated fair value of securities or loans sold, plus accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities plus accrued interest expense.
- \$9.3 million and \$12.2 million of linked repurchase agreement borrowings are being included as derivative instruments as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, (see Note 20).

CRE – Term Repurchase Facilities

On February 27, 2012, RCC Real Estate entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement (the "2012 Facility") with Wells Fargo to finance the origination of commercial real estate loans. The 2012 facility had a maximum amount of \$150.0 million and an initial 18 month term with two one year options to extend. The Company paid an origination fee of 37.5 basis points (0.375%). The Company guaranteed RCC Real Estate's performance of its obligations under the 2012 Facility. On April 2, 2013, RCC Real Estate entered into an amendment which increased the size to \$250.0 million and extended the current term of the 2012 Facility to February of 2015 and provides two additional one year extension options at RSO's discretion. RCC Real Estate paid an additional structuring fee of \$101,000 and an extension fee of \$938,000 in connection with the amendment and will amortize the additional fees over the term of the extension. At September 30, 2013, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$152.5 million (net of \$1.3 million of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which the Company had guaranteed. At September 30, 2013, borrowings under the 2012 Facility were secured by 20 commercial real estate loans with an estimated fair value of \$220.2 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 2.43%, or 2.61%. At December 31, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$58.8 million (net of \$348,000 of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which the Company had guaranteed. At December 31, 2012, borrowings under the 2012 Facility were secured by eight commercial real estate loans with an estimated fair value of \$85.4 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 2.67%, or 2.88%.

This 2012 Facility contains customary events of default, including payment defaults, breaches of covenants and/or certain representations and warranties, cross-defaults, guarantor defaults, and the institution of bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings that remain unstayed. The remedies for such events of default are also customary for this type of transaction and include the acceleration of all obligations of the Company to repay the purchase price for purchased assets.

The 2012 Facility also contains margin call provisions relating to a decline in the market value of an security. Under these circumstances, Wells Fargo may require the Company to transfer cash in an amount sufficient to eliminate any margin deficit resulting from such a decline.

Under the terms of the 2012 Facility and pursuant to a guarantee agreement dated February 27, 2012 (the "2012 Guaranty"), the Company agreed to unconditionally and irrevocably guarantee to Wells Fargo the prompt and complete payment and performance of (a) all payment obligations owing by the Company to Wells Fargo under or in connection with the 2012 Facility and any other governing agreements and any and all extensions, renewals, modifications, amendments or substitutions of the foregoing; (b) all expenses, including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and disbursements, that are incurred by Wells Fargo in the enforcement of any of the

foregoing or any obligation of the registrant; and (c) any other obligations of the Company with respect to Wells Fargo under each of the governing documents. The 2012 Guaranty includes covenants that, among other things, limit the registrant's leverage and debt service ratios and require maintenance of certain levels of cash and net worth. RCC Real Estate was in compliance with all debt covenants as of September 30, 2013.

(Back to Index)

The following table shows information about the amount at risk under the facility (dollars in thousands);

	Amount at Risk (1)	Weighted Average Maturity in Days	Weighted Average Interest Rate	
September 30, 2013: Wells Fargo	\$66,619	18	2.61	%
December 31, 2012 Wells Fargo	\$26,332	18	2.88	%

(1) Equal to the estimated fair value of securities or loans sold, plus accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities plus accrued interest expense.

On July 19, 2013, RCC Real Estate's wholly-owned subsidiary, RCC Real Estate SPE 5 (or "SPE 5"), entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement (the "DB Facility") with Deutsche Bank AG, Cayman Islands Branch ("DB") to finance the origination of commercial real estate loans. The DB Facility had a maximum amount of \$200 million and an initial 12 month term with two one-year extensions at the option of SPE 5 and subject further to the right of SPE 5 to repurchase the assets held in the facility earlier. The Company paid a structuring fee of 0.25% of the maximum facility amount, as well as other reasonable closing costs. The Company guaranteed SPE 5's performance of its obligations under the DB Facility. There were no outstanding borrowings under this facility as of September 30, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

The DB Facility contains provisions that provide DB with certain rights if certain credit events have occurred with respect to one or more assets financed on the DB Facility to either repay a portion of the advance on such asset(s) or repay such advance in full (by repurchase of such asset(s)). Depending on the nature of the credit event, such repayment may be required notwithstanding the availability of interest and principal payments from assets financed on the DB Facility, or may only be required to the extent of the availability of such payments.

The DB Facility contains events of default (subject to certain materiality thresholds and grace periods) customary for this type of financing arrangement, including but not limited to: payment defaults; bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings; a change of control of SPE 5 or the Company; breaches of covenants and/or certain representations and warranties; performance defaults by the Company; a judgment in an amount greater than \$100,000 against SPE 5 or \$5.0 million in the aggregate against the Company; or a default involving the failure to pay or acceleration of a monetary obligation in excess of \$100,000 of SPE 5 or \$5.0 million of the Company. The remedies for such events of default are also customary for this type of transaction and include the acceleration of the principal amount outstanding under the DB Facility and the liquidation by DB of assets then subject to the DB Facility. The Company was in compliance with all debt covenants as of September 30, 2013.

Short-Term Repurchase Agreements

On November 6, 2012, the Company entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with JP Morgan Securities LLC to finance the origination of CMBS. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement has no stated maturity with monthly resets of interest rates. At September 30, 2013, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$17.8 million, all of which the Company had guaranteed, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). At September 30, 2013, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by four CMBS bonds with an estimated fair value of \$26.8 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 0.79%, or 0.98%. At December 31, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$4.7 million, all of which the Company had guaranteed, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). At December 31,

2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by a CMBS bond with an estimated fair value of \$7.2 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 0.80%, or 1.01%.

(Back to Index)

The following table shows information about the amount at risk under this facility (dollars in thousands);

	Amount at Risk (1)	Weighted Average Maturity in Days	Weighted Average Interest Rate	
September 30, 2013: JP Morgan Securities, LLC (2)	\$9,696	30	0.98	%
December 31, 2012: JP Morgan Securities, LLC (2)	\$2,544	11	1.01	%

⁽¹⁾ Equal to the estimated fair value of securities or loans sold, plus accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities plus accrued interest expense.

On February 14, 2012, RCC Real Estate entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with Wells Fargo Securities, LLC to finance the origination of CMBS. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement has no stated maturity date with monthly resets of interest rates. The Company guaranteed RCC Real Estate's performance of its obligations under the repurchase agreement. At September 30, 2013, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$1.7 million, all of which the Company had guaranteed. At September 30, 2013, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by one CMBS bond with an estimated fair value of \$2.8 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.01%, or 1.19%. At December 31, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$1.9 million, all of which the Company had guaranteed. At December 31, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by one CMBS bond with an estimated fair value of \$3.1 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.25% or 1.46%. At September 30, 2013, the Company also had repurchase agreements of \$21.5 million, with a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.02% or 1.20%, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). At September 30, 2013, borrowings under the repurchase agreement accounted for as Linked Transactions were secured by seven CMBS bonds with an estimated fair value of \$31.1 million. At December 31, 2012, the Company also had repurchase agreements of \$3.5 million, with a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.25% or 1.46%, respectively, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). At December 31, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement accounted for as Linked Transactions were secured by a CMBS bond with an estimated fair value of \$5.7 million.

The following table shows information about the amount at risk under this facility (dollars in thousands);

	Amount at Risk (1)	Weighted Average Maturity in Days	Weighted Average Interest Rate	
September 30, 2013: Wells Fargo Securities, LLC ⁽²⁾	\$10,854	30	1.19	%
December 31, 2012: Wells Fargo Securities, LLC (2)	\$1,956	28	1.46	%

(1)

^{\$17.8} million and \$4.7 million linked repurchase agreement borrowings are being included as derivative instruments as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. (See Note 20).

Equal to the estimated fair value of securities or loans sold, plus accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities plus accrued interest expense.

\$21.5 million and \$3.5 million of linked repurchase agreement borrowings are being included as derivative instruments as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. (See Note 20).

(Back to Index)

On March 8, 2005, RCC Real Estate entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. to finance the origination of CMBS and commercial real estate loans. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement has an initial 12 month term with monthly resets of interest rates. The Company guaranteed RCC Real Estate's performance of its obligations under the repurchase agreement. At September 30, 2013, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$15.1 million, all of which the Company had guaranteed, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). At September 30, 2013, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by five CMBS bonds with an estimated fair value of \$24.3 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.27%, or 1.45%. At December 31, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$3.1 million, all of which the Company had guaranteed, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). At December 31, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by a CMBS bond with an estimated fair value of \$5.1 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.25%, or 1.46%.

The following table shows information about the amount at risk under this facility (dollars in thousands);

	Amount at Risk (1)	Weighted Average Maturity in Days	Weighted Average Interest Rate	
September 30, 2013: Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.	\$9,276	19	1.45	%
December 31, 2012 Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.	\$2,069	7	1.46	%

⁽¹⁾ Equal to the estimated fair value of securities or loans sold, plus accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities plus accrued interest expense.

Mortgage Payable

On August 1, 2011, the Company, through RCC Real Estate, purchased Whispertree Apartments, a 504 unit multi-family property located in Houston, Texas, for \$18.1 million. The property was 95% occupied at acquisition. In conjunction with the purchase of the property, the Company entered into a seven year mortgage of \$13.6 million with a lender. The mortgage bears interest at a rate of one-month LIBOR plus 3.95%. As of September 30, 2013, there were no outstanding borrowings under this agreement as the property was sold during the three months ended September 30, 2013 and the underlying mortgage was repaid. At December 31, 2012 there was \$13.6 million outstanding and the borrowing rate was 4.17%.

NOTE 13 – SHARE ISSUANCE AND REPURCHASE

In April 2013, the Company sold 18,687,500 shares of common stock in an underwritten public common stock follow-on offering, including 2,437,500 shares exercised through the underwriters' over-allotment option, at a price of \$6.33 per share. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$114.6 million after payment of underwriting discounts and commissions of approximately \$3.6 million and before other offering expenses of approximately \$200,000.

On March 15, 2013, the Company and Resource Capital Manager entered into an At-the-Market Issuance Sales Agreement with MLV & Co, LLC ("MLV") to sell up to 1,500,000 shares of its 8.25% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock from time to time through an "at the market" equity offering program under which MLV will act as sales agent. During the three months ended September 30, 2013, the Company issued 156,550 shares at a weighted average offering price of \$24.06. As of September 30, 2013, 1,229,317 shares have been issued under this

agreement at a weighted average offering price of \$24.82. This agreement superseded the November 19, 2012 agreement with MLV.

Under the November 2012 agreement, MLV had agreed to sell up to 1,000,000 shares the Company's 8.25% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock from time to time. As of June 2013, all shares under this agreement have been issued at a weighted average price of \$24.77.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

On June 28, 2012, the Company and Resource Capital Manager, Inc. entered into an At-the-Market Issuance Sales Agreement with MLV & Co. LLC ("MLV") to sell up to 1,000,000 shares of its 8.50% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock from time to time through an "at the market" equity offering program under which MLV will act as sales agent. During the during the three months ended September 30, 2013, the Company issued 4,579 shares at a weighted-average offering price of \$25.02. As of September 30, 2013, 415,952 shares have been issued under this agreement at a weighted average offering price of \$24.26.

Under a dividend reinvestment plan authorized by the board of directors on March 21, 2013, the Company is authorized to issue up to 20,000,000 shares of common stock. Under this plan, the Company issued 153,094 shares during the three months ended September 30, 2013 at a weighted-average net share price of \$6.08 and received proceeds of \$925,000 (net of costs). The Company had issued a total of 173,589 shares of common stock under this plan since inception. This plan superseded the February 2012 plan.

Under the February 2012 dividend reinvestment plan, the Company was authorized to issue up to 15,000,000 shares of common stock. Under this plan, the Company issued 2,899,708 shares in January 2013 and February 2013 at a weighted average share price of \$6.20 per share. The Company issued a total of 13,095,754 million shares of common stock under this plan since inception.

NOTE 14 - SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

The following table summarizes restricted common stock transactions:

	Non-Employee Directors	Non-Employees	Total
Unvested shares as of January 1, 2013	19,509	3,288,834	3,308,343
Issued	38,704	211,719	250,423
Vested	(19,509)	(484,132)	(503,641)
Forfeited	_	(8,782)	(8,782)
Unvested shares as of September 30, 2013	38,704	3,007,639	3,046,343

The Company is required to value any unvested shares of restricted common stock granted to non-employees at the current market price. The estimated fair value of the unvested shares of restricted stock granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, including the grant date fair value of shares issued to the Company's six non-employee directors, was \$1.6 million and \$2.7 million, respectively.

On February 1, 2013, March 8, 2013, March 12, 2013, and June 6, 2013, the Company issued 3,582, 13,572, 16,065, and 5,485 shares of restricted common stock, respectively, under its Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan to the Company's non-employee directors as part of their annual compensation. These shares vest in full on the first anniversary of the date of grant.

On March 21, 2013, the Company issued 2,000 shares of restricted common stock under its Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan. These restricted shares will vest 33.3% on March 21, 2014. The balance will vest 33.3% annually thereafter through March 21, 2016.

In connection with a grant of restricted common stock made on August 25, 2011, the Company agreed to issue up to 336,000 additional shares of common stock if certain loan origination performance thresholds are achieved by personnel from the Company's loan origination team. The performance criteria are measured at the end of three annual measurement periods beginning April 1, 2011. The agreement also provides dividend equivalent rights pursuant to which the dividends that would have been paid on the shares had they been issued on the date of grant will be paid at the end of each annual measurement period if the performance criteria are met. If the performance criteria are not met, the accrued dividends will be forfeited. As a consequence, the Company does not record the dividend equivalent rights until earned. On March 30, 2013, the second annual measurement period ended and 112,000 shares were earned on April 1, 2013. These shares will vest over the subsequent 18 months at the rate of one-sixth per quarter. In addition, at March 30, 2013, \$168,000 of accrued dividend equivalent rights were earned. At September 30, 2013,

there was an additional \$235,200 of dividends payable upon achievement of the performance criteria. If earned, any future performance shares issued will vest over the subsequent 18 months at the rate of one-sixth per quarter.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

On August 7, 2013, the Company issued 97,719 shares of restricted common stock under its Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan. These restricted shares will vest 33.3% on August 7, 2014. The balance will vest 33.3% annually thereafter through August 7, 2016.

The following table summarizes the status of the Company's unvested stock options as of September 30, 2013:

Unvested Options	Options	Grant Grant
•	-	Date Fair Value
Unvested at January 1, 2013	26,667	\$6.40
Granted		
Vested	(13,333) 6.40
Forfeited	_	
Unvested at September 30, 2013	13,334	\$6.40

The following table summarizes the status of the Company's vested stock options as of September 30, 2013:

Vested Options	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Vested as of January 1, 2013	614,999	\$14.80		
Vested	13,333	\$6.40		
Exercised	_			
Forfeited	(1,000	\$15.00		
Vested at September 30, 2013	627,332	\$14.62	2	\$67

There were no options granted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. The outstanding stock options have a weighted average remaining contractual term of two years.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the components of equity compensation expense were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months I	Ended	Nine Months Er	ıded
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Options granted to Manager and non-employees	\$4	\$ —	\$7	\$1
Restricted shares granted to Manager and	2,052	1,376	7,704	3,327
non-employees	2,032	1,370	7,704	3,321
Restricted shares granted to non-employee directors	64	28	155	84
Total equity compensation expense	\$2,120	\$1,404	\$7,866	\$3,412

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Manager received zero and 110,639 shares as incentive compensation valued at \$0 and \$653,000, respectively, pursuant to the Management Agreement. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Manager received 83,776 and 112,028 shares as incentive compensation valued at \$454,000 and \$608,000, respectively, pursuant to the Management Agreement. The incentive management fee is paid one quarter in arrears.

Apart from incentive compensation payable under the Management Agreement, the Company has established no formal criteria for equity awards as of September 30, 2013. All awards are discretionary in nature and subject to approval by the Compensation Committee.

(Back to Index) 45

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

NOTE 15 – EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table presents a reconciliation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods presented as follows (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		
	September 30,		September 30,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Basic:					
Net income allocable to common shares	\$22,121	\$18,152	\$40,180	\$49,058	
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	124,212,032	89,066,927	116,471,142	84,594,892	
Basic net income per share	\$0.18	\$0.20	\$0.34	\$0.58	
Diluted:					
Net income allocable to common shares	\$22,121	\$18,152	\$40,180	\$49,058	
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	124,212,032	89,066,927	116,471,142	84,594,892	
Additional shares due to assumed conversion of	1 960 650	000 752	1 502 926	770 451	
dilutive instruments	1,860,650	898,753	1,502,836	770,451	
Adjusted weighted-average number of common	106 070 690	90.065.690	117 072 079	05 265 242	
shares outstanding	126,072,682	89,965,680	117,973,978	85,365,343	
Diluted net income per share	\$0.18	\$0.20	\$0.34	\$0.57	

Potentially dilutive shares relating to 640,666 options for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 641,666 options for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 were not included in the calculation of diluted net income per share because the effect was anti-dilutive.

NOTE 16 - ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

The following table, which is presented gross of tax, presents the changes in each component of accumulated other comprehensive income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

	Net unrealized (loss) gain on derivatives	l	Net unrealized (loss) gain on securities, available-for-sal	le	Foreign Currency Translation		Net unrealized (loss) gain	
January 1, 2013	\$(15,595)	\$ (11,483)	\$ —		\$(27,078)
Other comprehensive gain/(loss) before reclassifications	2,480		11,644		(23)	14,101	
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income	322		(4,728)	_		(4,406)
Net current-period other comprehensive income	2,802		6,916		(23)	9,695	
September 30, 2013	\$(12,793)	\$ (4,567)	\$(23)	\$(17,383)
NOTE 17 DELATED DADTY TO ANGACTION	C							

NOTE 17 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Relationship with Resource America and Certain of its Subsidiaries

Relationship with Resource America. On September 19, 2013, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Resource America concluded that Resource America should consolidate the financial statements of the Company, which was previously treated as an unconsolidated variable interest entity. The Audit Committee reached this conclusion after consultations with the Office of the Chief Accountant of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") following comments received from the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance of the Commission and the Audit Committee's discussion with the Company's management and its independent registered public accounting firm. Resource America's Audit Committee noted that consolidation of the Company was not

expected to materially affect Resource America's previously reported net income attributable to common shareholders. At September 30, 2013, Resource America owned 2,781,403 shares, or 2.2%, of the Company's outstanding common stock. In addition, Resource America held 2,166 options to purchase common stock.

(Back to Index)

The Company is managed by the Manager, which is an affiliate of Resource America, pursuant to a Management Agreement that provides for both base and incentive management fees. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Manager earned base management fees of approximately \$3.0 million and \$8.6 million, respectively, and \$1.9 million incentive management fees for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Manager earned base management fees of approximately \$2.1 million and \$5.9 million, respectively, and incentive management fees of \$906,000 and \$3.4 million, respectively. The Company also reimburses the Manager and Resource America for expenses, including the expense of employees of Resource America who perform legal, accounting, due diligence and other services that outside professionals or consultants would otherwise perform, and for the wages, salaries and benefits of several Resource America personnel dedicated to the Company's operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company paid the Manager \$848,000 and \$2.7 million, respectively, as expense reimbursements. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company paid the Manager \$918,000 and \$2.5 million, respectively, as expense reimbursements.

On November 24, 2010, the Company entered into an Investment Management Agreement with Resource Capital Markets, Inc. ("RCM"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America. The initial agreement provided that: (a) RCM may invest up to \$5.0 million of the Company's funds, with the investable amount being adjusted by portfolio gains/(losses) and collections, and offset by expenses, taxes and realized management fees, and (b) RCM can earn a management fee in any year that the net profits earned exceed a preferred return. On June 17, 2011, the Company entered into a revised Investment Management Agreement with RCM which provided an additional \$8.0 million of the Company's funds and established a management fee of 20% of the amount by which the net profits exceed the preferred return. RCM earned \$30,000 in management fees for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, RCM earned \$2.2 million and \$3.7 million in management fees, respectively. The Company has reinvested gains from its activity and holds \$12.1 million in fair market value of trading securities as of September 30, 2013, a decrease of \$12.7 million from \$24.8 million at fair market value as of December 31, 2012. In addition, the Company and RCM have established an escrow account that allocates the net profit or net losses of the portfolio on a yearly basis based on the net asset value of the account. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, RCM earned \$0 and \$35,000, respectively, as its share of the net profits as defined in the Investment Management Agreement. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, RCM earned \$301,000 and \$527,000, respectively, as its share of the net profits as defined in the Investment Management Agreement.

At September 30, 2013, the Company was indebted to the Manager for \$3.6 million, comprised of base management fees of \$1.0 million, incentive management fees of \$1.9 million and expense reimbursements of \$606,000. At December 31, 2012, the Company was indebted to the Manager for \$3.8 million, comprised of base management fees of \$833,000, incentive management fees of \$2.6 million and expense reimbursements of \$307,000. At September 30, 2013, the Company was indebted to RCM, under the Company's Investment Management Agreement for \$111,000, comprised of \$30,000 of incentive management fees and \$81,000 of expense reimbursements. At December 31, 2012, the Company was indebted to RCM under the Company's Investment Management Agreement for \$4.3 million, comprised of \$4.3 million of incentive management fees and \$48,000 of expense reimbursements.

The Company had executed seven CDO transactions as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, which were structured for the Company by the Manager. Under the Management Agreement, the Manager was not separately compensated by the Company for executing these transactions and is not separately compensated for managing the CDO entities and their assets.

Relationship with LEAF Financial. LEAF Financial, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America, originates and manages equipment leases and notes on behalf of the Company.

On March 5, 2010, the Company entered into agreements with Lease Equity Appreciation Fund II, L.P. ("LEAF II") (an equipment leasing partnership sponsored by LEAF Financial and of which a LEAF Financial subsidiary is the general partner), pursuant to which the Company provided and funded an \$8.0 million credit facility to LEAF II. The credit facility initially had a one year term at 12% per year, payable quarterly, and was secured by all the assets of LEAF II including its entire ownership interest in LEAF II Receivables Funding. The Company received a 1% origination fee in connection with establishing the facility. The facility originally matured on March 3, 2011 and was extended until September 3, 2011 with a 1% extension fee paid on the outstanding loan balance. On June 3, 2011, the Company entered into an amendment to extend the maturity to February 15, 2012 and decrease the interest rate from 12% to 10% per annum resulting in a troubled-debt restructuring under current accounting guidance. On February 15, 2012, the credit facility was further amended to extend the maturity to February 15, 2013 with a 1% extension fee accrued and added to the amount outstanding. On January 11, 2013, the Company entered into another amendment to extend the maturity to February 15, 2014 with an additional 1% extension fee accrued and added to the amount outstanding. The loan is current and performing with balances outstanding at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 of \$5.9 million and \$6.8 million, respectively.

(Back to Index)

On November 16, 2011, the Company, together with LEAF Financial and LCC, subsidiaries of Resource America, entered into the SPA with Eos Partners, L.P., a private investment firm, and its affiliates (see Note 9). In exchange for its prior interest in LCC, the Company received 31,341 shares of Series A Preferred Stock, 4,872 shares of newly issued 8% Series B Redeemable Preferred Stock and 2,364 shares of newly issued Series D Redeemable Preferred Stock, collectively representing, on a fully-diluted basis, a 26.7% interest in LCC. On January 18, 2013, the Company entered into another stock purchase agreement with LCC to purchase 3,682 shares of newly issued Series A-1 Preferred Stock for \$3.7 million. During the second quarter of 2013, the Company entered into another stock purchase agreement with LCC to purchase 3,323 shares of newly issued Series E Preferred Stock for \$3.3 million (see Note 9). The Series E Preferred Stock has priority over all other classes of preferred stock. The Company accrued \$207,000 on the Series E Preferred Stock shares to date. The Company's fully-diluted interest in LCC assuming conversion is 27.5%. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recorded losses of \$346,000 and \$378,000, respectively, which was recorded in equity in net losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statements of income. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recorded a loss of \$1.0 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, which was recorded in equity in net losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statements of income. The Company's investment in LCC was carried at \$40.8 million and \$33.1 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

In accordance with the SPA, the Company and Resource America have undertaken a contingent obligation with respect to the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LRF 3, a wholly-owned subsidiary of LCC which owns equipment, equipment leases and notes. To the extent that the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LRF 3 is less than approximately \$18.7 million (the value of the equity of LRF 3 on the date it was contributed to LCC by the Company), as of the final testing date within 90 days of December 31, 2013, the Company and Resource America have agreed to be jointly and severally obligated to contribute cash to LCC to make up the deficit. The LRF 3 equity as of September 30, 2013 was in excess of this commitment and, therefore, the Company was not required to record a liability with respect to this obligation.

Relationship with CVC Credit Partners. On April 17, 2012, Apidos Capital Management ("ACM"), a former subsidiary of Resource America, was sold to CVC Credit Partners, LLC (CVC Credit Partners), a joint venture entity in which Resource America owns a 33% interest. CVC Credit Partners manages internally and externally originated bank loan assets on the Company's behalf. On February 24, 2011, a subsidiary of the Company purchased 100% of the ownership interests in Churchill Pacific Asset Management LLC ("CPAM") from Churchill Financial Holdings LLC for \$22.5 million. CPAM subsequently changed its name to RCAM. Through RCAM, the Company is entitled to collect senior, subordinated and incentive fees related to five CLO holdings of approximately \$1.9 billion in assets managed by RCAM. RCAM is assisted by CVC Credit Partners in managing the five CLOs. CVC Credit Partners is entitled to 10% of all subordinated fees and 50% of the incentive fees received by RCAM. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, CVC Credit Partners earned subordinated fees of \$160,000 and \$515,000, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, CVC Credit Partners earned subordinated fees of \$197,000 and \$604,000, respectively. In October 2012, the Company purchased 66.6% of the preferred equity in one of the RCAM CLOs. In May 2013, the Company purchased additional equity in this CLO, increasing its ownership percentage to 68.3%. In September 2013, this CLO was called and the notes were paid down in full. Another RCAM-managed CLO also elected to redeem its outstanding notes in whole earlier this year in February 2013.

In May 2013, the Company entered into a limited partnership agreement with CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership which generally invests in assets through a master-feeder fund structure ("the Master Fund"). The Company invested \$15.0 million as of September 30, 2013. The General Partner of the Partnership and the Master Fund is CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund GP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company. The investment manager of the partnership and the Master Fund is CVC Credit Partners, LLC. CVC Capital

Partners SICAV-FIS, S.A., a Luxembourg company, together with its affiliates, and Resource America, own a majority and a significant minority, respectively, of the investment manager. The fund will pay the investment manager a quarterly management fee in advance calculated at the rate of 1.5% annually based on the balance of each limited partner's capital account. The Company's management fee was waived upon entering the agreement given that the Company is a related party of CVC Credit Partners. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recorded earnings of \$433,000 and \$526,000, respectively, which were recorded in equity in net losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. The fund's investment balance of \$15.5 million is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet using the equity method.

Relationship with Resource Real Estate. Resource Real Estate, a subsidiary of Resource America, originates, finances and manages the Company's commercial real estate loan portfolio, including whole loans, A notes, B notes, mezzanine loans, and investments in real estate. The Company reimburses Resource Real Estate for loan origination costs associated with all loans originated. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company was indebted to Resource Real Estate for loan origination costs in connection with the Company's commercial real estate loan portfolio of \$0 and \$20,000, respectively.

(Back to Index)

On August 9, 2006, the Company, through its subsidiary, RCC Real Estate, originated a loan to Lynnfield Place, a multi-family apartment property, in the amount of \$22.4 million. The loan was then purchased by RREF CDO 2006-1. The loan, which matures on May 9, 2018, carries an interest rate of LIBOR plus a spread of 3.50% with a LIBOR floor of 2.50%. On June 14, 2011, RCC Real Estate converted this loan, collateralized by a multi-family building, to equity. The loan was kept outstanding and continues to be used as collateral in RREF CDO 2006-1. Resource Real Estate Management, LLC ("RREM"), an affiliate of Resource America, was appointed as the asset manager as of August 1, 2011. RREM performs lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and/or entitlements and permitting, as applicable. RREM is also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM is entitled to a monthly asset management fee equal to 4.0% of the gross receipts generated from the property. The Company incurred fees payable to RREM in the amounts of \$34,000 and \$103,000 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. The Company incurred fees payable to RREM in the amounts of \$34,000 and \$101,000 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. On December 1, 2009, the Company purchased a membership interest in RRE VIP Borrower, LLC (an unconsolidated VIE that holds the Company's interests in a real estate joint venture) from Resource America at book value for \$2.1 million. This joint venture, which is structured as a credit facility with Värde Investment Partners, LP acting as lender, finances the acquisition of distressed properties and mortgage loans and has the objective of repositioning both the directly-owned properties and the properties underlying the mortgage loans to enhance their value. The agreement requires the Company to contribute 3% to 5% (depending on the terms of the agreement pursuant to which the particular asset is being acquired) of the total funding required for each asset acquisition as needed up to a specified amount. RREM acts as asset manager of the venture and receives a monthly asset management fee equal to 1.0% of the combined investment calculated as of the last calendar day of the month. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company paid RREM management fees of \$6,500 and \$23,000, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company paid RREM management fees of \$11,000 and \$35,000, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recorded losses of \$521,000 and \$735,000, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recorded earnings of \$346,000 and \$931,000, respectively, which was recorded in equity in net losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. The Company's investment balance of \$(330,000) and \$2.3 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheets using the equity method.

On January 15, 2010, the Company loaned \$2.0 million to Resource Capital Partners, Inc. ("RCP"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America, so that it could acquire a 5.0% limited partnership interest in Resource Real Estate Opportunity Fund, L.P. ("RRE Opportunity Fund"). RCP is the general partner of the RRE Opportunity Fund. The loan is secured by RCP's partnership interest in the RRE Opportunity Fund. The promissory note bears interest at a fixed rate of 8.0% per annum on the unpaid principal balance. In the event of default, interest will accrue and be payable at a rate of 5.0% in excess of the fixed rate. Interest is payable quarterly. Mandatory principal payments must also be made to the extent distributable cash or other proceeds from the partnership represent a return of RCP's capital. The loan matures on January 14, 2015, and RCP has options to extend the loan for two additional 12-month periods. No principal payments were made during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. The loan balance was \$1.6 million at both September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

On June 21, 2011, the Company entered into a joint venture with an unaffiliated third party to form CR SLH Partners, L.P. ("SLH Partners") to purchase a defaulted promissory note secured by a mortgage on a multi-family apartment building. The Company purchased a 10% equity interest in the venture and also loaned SLH Partners \$7.0 million to finance the project secured by a first mortgage lien on the property. On May 23, 2012, SLH Partners repaid the \$7.0 million loan in its entirety. The loan had a maturity date of September 21, 2012 and bore interest at a fixed rate of

10.0% per annum on the unpaid principal balance, payable monthly. The Company received a commitment fee equal to 1.0% of the loan amount at the origination of the loan and received a \$70,000 exit fee upon repayment. RREM was appointed as the asset manager of the venture. RREM performs lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and permitting, as applicable. RREM is also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM receives an annual asset management fee equal to 2.0% of the gross receipts generated from the property. The Company held a \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million preferred equity investment in SLH Partners as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(Back to Index)

On August 1, 2011, the Company, through RCC Real Estate, entered into an agreement to purchase Whispertree Apartments, a multi-family apartment building, for \$18.1 million. RREM was appointed as asset manager. RREM performs lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and permitting, as applicable. RREM is also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM is entitled to a monthly asset management fee equal to the greater of 4.0% of the gross receipts generated from the property or \$12,600. The Company incurred fees payable to RREM in the amounts of \$54,000 and \$151,000 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. The Company incurred fees payable to RREM in the amounts of \$43,000 and \$122,000 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. On September 30, 2013, the property was sold for a gain of \$16.6 million, which was recorded in gain on sale of real estate on the income statement.

On June 19, 2012, the Company entered into a joint venture with Värde Investment Partners, LP acting as lender, to purchase two condominium developments. The Company purchased a 7.5% equity interest in the venture. RREM was appointed as the asset manager of the venture to perform lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and permitting, as applicable. RREM is also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM receives an annual asset management fee equal to 1% of outstanding contributions. The Company incurred fees payable to RREM of \$7,000 and \$33,000, respectively, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. There were no such fees for the three and nine months ended months ended September 30, 2012. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recorded earnings of \$6,000 and \$49,000, which were recorded in equity in net losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. The Company recorded a loss of \$100,000 for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The investment balance of \$575,000 and \$526,000 at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet using the equity method.

Relationship with The Bancorp. The Bancorp, Inc. (Nasdaq: TBBK), or TBBK, is a bank holding company that was organized in 2000 with Resource America's participation. Mr. Daniel G. Cohen, or Mr. D. Cohen, is the chairman of the board and Mrs. Betsy Z. Cohen, or Mrs. B. Cohen, is the Chief Executive Officer of TBBK and its subsidiary bank. Mrs. B. Cohen is the wife of Mr. E. Cohen, and Mr. E. Cohen and Mrs. B. Cohen are the parents of Messrs. J. Cohen, the Company's President and chief executive officer, and D. Cohen. Walter Beach, a director of TBBK since 1999, has also served as a director of the Company since March 2005. On July 7, 2011, the Company and RCC Real Estate entered into a \$10.0 million revolving credit facility with Bancorp. The note matured on June 30, 2012 and was not renewed.

Relationship with Law Firm. Until 1996, Edward E. Cohen, a director who was the Company's Chairman from its inception until November 2009, was of counsel to Ledgewood, P.C., a law firm. In addition, one of the Company's executive officers, Jeffrey F. Brotman, was employed by Ledgewood until 2007. Mr. E. Cohen receives certain debt service payments from Ledgewood related to the termination of his affiliation with Ledgewood and its redemption of his interest in the firm. Mr. Brotman also receives certain debt service payments from Ledgewood related to the termination of his affiliation with the firm. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company paid Ledgewood \$70,000 and \$155,000, respectively, in connection with legal services rendered to the Company as compared to \$160,000 and \$277,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. NOTE 18 – DISTRIBUTIONS

In order to qualify as a REIT, the Company must currently distribute at least 90% of its taxable income. In addition, the Company must distribute 100% of its taxable income in order not to be subject to corporate federal income taxes on retained income. The Company anticipates it will distribute substantially all of its taxable income to its

stockholders. Because taxable income differs from cash flow from operations due to non-cash revenues or expenses (such as provisions for loan and lease losses and depreciation), in certain circumstances, the Company may generate operating cash flow in excess of its distributions or, alternatively, may be required to borrow to make sufficient distribution payments.

On September 20, 2013, the Company declared (i) a quarterly distribution of \$0.20 per share of common stock or \$25.4 million in the aggregate, which was paid on October 28, 2013, to stockholders of record as of September 30, 2013; (ii) a quarterly distribution of \$362,000 or \$0.53 per share of its Series A Preferred Stock, which was paid on October 30, 2013 to its preferred share stockholders of record as of October 1, 2013; and (iii) a quarterly distribution of \$1.7 million or \$0.52 per share of its Series B Preferred Stock, which was paid on October 30, 2013 to its preferred share stockholders of record as of October 1, 2013.

(Back to Index)

On June 14, 2013, the Company declared (i) a quarterly distribution of \$0.20 per share of common stock or \$25.4 million in the aggregate, which was paid on July 26, 2013, to stockholders of record as of June 28, 2013; (ii) a quarterly distribution on June 18, 2013, totaling \$359,000 or \$0.53 per share of its Series A Preferred Stock, which was paid on July 30, 2013 to its preferred share stockholders of record as of July 1, 2013; and (iii) a quarterly distribution on June 18, 2013 totaling \$1.6 million or \$0.52 per share of its Series B Preferred Stock, which was paid on July 30, 2013 to its preferred share stockholders of record as of July 1, 2013.

On March 15, 2013, the Company declared (i) a quarterly distribution of \$0.20 per share of common stock or \$21.6 million in the aggregate, which was paid on April 26, 2013, to stockholders of record as of March 28, 2013; (ii) quarterly distribution on March 18, 2013 totaling \$359,000 or \$0.53 per share of its Series A Preferred Stock, which was paid on April 30, 2013 to its preferred share stockholders of record as of April 1, 2013; and (iii) quarterly distribution on March 18, 2013, totaling \$1.2 million or \$0.52 per share of its Series B Preferred share stockholders, which was paid on April 30, 2013 to its preferred share stockholders of record as of April 1, 2013.

NOTE 19 - FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

In analyzing the fair value of its investments accounted for on a fair value basis, the Company follows the fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The Company determines fair value based on quoted prices when available or, if quoted prices are not available, through the use of alternative approaches, such as using third party valuation firms or discounting the expected cash flows using market interest rates commensurate with the credit quality and duration of the investment. The hierarchy followed defines three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 - Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset and liability or can be corroborated with observable market data for substantially the entire contractual term of the asset or liability. Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that reflect the entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in the pricing of the asset or liability and are consequently not based on market activity, but rather through particular valuation techniques.

The determination of where an asset or liability falls in the hierarchy requires significant judgment. The Company evaluates its hierarchy disclosures each quarter; depending on various factors, it is possible that an asset or liability may be classified differently from quarter to quarter. However, the Company expects that changes in classifications between levels will be rare.

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The following is a discussion of these assets and liabilities as well as the valuation techniques applied to each for fair value measurement.

The Company reports its investment securities available-for-sale at fair value. To determine fair value, the Company uses an independent third-party valuation firm utilizing market color as well as appropriate prepayment, default, and recovery rates. These valuations are validated utilizing dealer quotes or bids. If there is a material difference between the value indicated by the third-party valuation firm and the dealer quote or bid, the Company will evaluate the difference which could result in an updated valuation from the third party or a revised dealer quote. Based on the market color available for each position, the Company categorizes these investments as either Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

The Company reports its investment securities, trading at fair value, based on an independent third-party valuation. The Company evaluates the reasonableness of the valuation it receives by using a dealer quote. If there is a material difference between the value indicated by the third party and a quote the Company receives, the Company will evaluate the difference. Any changes in fair value are recorded on the Company's results of operations as net unrealized (loss) gain on investment securities, trading.

The CMBS underlying the Company's Linked Transactions are valued using the same techniques as those used for the Company's other CMBS. The value of the underlying CMBS is then netted against the carrying amount (which approximates fair value) of the repurchase agreement borrowing at the valuation date. The fair value of Linked Transactions also includes accrued interest receivable on the CMBS and accrued interest payable on the underlying repurchase agreement borrowings. The Company's Linked Transactions are classified as Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index) RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) **SEPTEMBER 30, 2013** (Unaudited)

Derivatives (interest rate swaps and interest rate caps), both assets and liabilities, are reported at fair value, and are valued by a third-party pricing agent using an income approach with models that use, as their primary inputs, readily observable market parameters. This valuation process considers factors including interest rate yield curves, time value, credit factors and volatility factors. Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads, to evaluate the likelihood of default by the Company and its counterparties. The Company assesses the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and, if material, categorizes those derivatives within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

The following table presents information about the Company's assets (including derivatives that are presented net) measured at fair value on a recurring basis and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value as follows (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
September 30, 2013:				
Assets:				
Investment securities, trading	\$ —	\$ —	\$12,099	\$12,099
Investment securities available-for-sale	4,972	85,826	129,886	220,684
CMBS - linked transactions	_	9,410	20,568	29,978
Total assets at fair value	\$4,972	\$95,236	\$162,553	\$262,761
*				
Liabilities:		*	* =	* . * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Derivatives (net)	\$—	\$442	\$11,766	\$12,208
Total liabilities at fair value	\$—	\$442	\$11,766	\$12,208
December 31, 2012:				
Assets:				
Investment securities, trading	\$ —	\$ —	\$24,843	\$24,843
Investment securities available-for-sale	9,757	132,561	89,272	231,590
CMBS - linked transactions	<i>J</i> ,737	4,802	2,033	6,835
Total assets at fair value	\$9,757	\$137,363	\$116,148	\$263,268
Total assets at fall value	Φ9,131	\$137,303	\$110,140	Φ203,200
Liabilities:				
Derivatives (net)	\$—	\$610	\$14,077	\$14,687
Total liabilities at fair value	\$ —	\$610	\$14,077	\$14,687
(Back to Index)				

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

The following table presents additional information about assets which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs (in thousands):

	Level 3	
Beginning balance, January 1, 2013	\$116,148	
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):		
Included in earnings	8,530	
Purchases	89,514	
Sales	(30,196)
Paydowns	(20,186)
Unrealized gains (losses) – included in accumulated other comprehensive income	(1,257)
Transfers from level 2	_	
Ending balance, September 30, 2013	\$162,553	

The following table presents additional information about liabilities which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs (in thousands):

	Level 3	
Beginning balance, January 1, 2013	\$14,077	
Unrealized gains – included in accumulated other comprehensive income	(2,311)
Ending balance, September 30,	\$11,766	
2013	φ11,700	

The Company had \$255,000 and \$811,000 of impairment losses included in earnings due to other-than-temporary impairment charges on one and four securities during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. The Company had \$9,000 and \$180,000 of impairment losses included in earnings due to other-than-temporary impairment charges on two and four securities during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. These losses are included in the consolidated statements of income as net impairment losses recognized in earnings.

Loans held for sale consist of bank loans and commercial real estate loans ("CRE loans") identified for sale due to credit concerns. Interest on loans held for sale is recognized according to the contractual terms of the loan and included in interest income on loans. The fair value of bank loans held for sale and impaired bank loans is based on what secondary markets are currently offering for these loans. As such, the Company classifies these loans as nonrecurring Level 2. For the Company's CRE loans where there is no primary market, fair value is measured using discounted cash flow analysis and other valuation techniques and these loans are classified as nonrecurring Level 3. The amount of nonrecurring fair value losses for impaired loans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$69,000 and \$3.1 million, respectively, as compared to \$3.4 million and \$5.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and is included in the consolidated statements of income as provision for loan losses.

The following table summarizes the financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value as follows (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
September 30, 2013:				
Assets:				
Loans held for sale	\$ —	\$332,351	\$ —	\$332,351
Impaired loans		1,046	_	1,046
Total assets at fair value	\$ —	\$333,397	\$ —	\$333,397

December 31, 2012:

Assets:

 Loans held for sale
 \$—
 \$14,894
 \$34,000
 \$48,894

 Impaired loans
 —
 4,366
 21,000
 25,366

 Total assets at fair value
 \$—
 \$19,260
 \$55,000
 \$74,260

(Back to Index)

For Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis as of September 30, 2013, the significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurements were as follows (in thousands):

	Fair Value at September 30, 2013	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Input Value
Interest rate swap agreements	\$12,208	Discounted cash flow	Weighted average credit spreads	5.00%

The Company is required to disclose the fair value of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value. The fair value of short-term financial instruments such as cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, principal paydown receivable, interest receivable, distribution payable and accrued interest expense approximates their carrying value on the consolidated balance sheet. The fair value of the Company's investment securities-trading is reported in Note 5. The fair value of the Company's investment securities available-for-sale is reported in Note 6. The fair value of the Company's derivative instruments and linked transactions is reported in Note 20.

Loans held-for-investment: The fair value of the Company's Level 2 Loans held-for-investment was primarily measured using a third-party pricing service. The fair value of the Company's Level 3 Loans held-for-investment was measured by discounting the expected future cash flows using the current interest rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

Loans receivable-related party are estimated by discounting the expected future cash flows using the current interest rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

CDO notes are valued using the dealer quotes, typically the dealer who underwrote the CDO in which the notes are held.

Junior subordinated notes are estimated by obtaining quoted prices for similar assets in active markets.

The fair values of the Company's remaining financial instruments that are not reported at fair value on the consolidated balance sheets are reported below (in thousands):

		Fair Value Me	asurements		
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets of Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
September 30, 2013:					
Loans held-for-investment	\$1,305,739	\$1,299,103	\$ —	\$568,545	\$730,558
Loans receivable-related party	\$8,067	\$8,067	\$ —	\$ —	\$8,067
CDO notes	\$1,166,209	\$1,020,919	\$ —	\$1,020,919	\$ —
Junior subordinated notes	\$50,956	\$17,450	\$ —	\$ —	\$17,450
Repurchase agreement	\$205,265	\$205,265	\$—	\$ —	\$205,265
December 31, 2012:					
Loans held-for-investment	\$1,793,780	\$1,848,617	\$ —	\$1,186,642	\$661,975
Loans receivable-related party	\$8,324	\$8,324	\$ —	\$ —	\$8,324
CDO notes	\$1,614,883	\$1,405,124	\$ —	\$1,405,124	\$ —
Junior subordinated notes	\$50,814	\$17,308	\$ —	\$ —	\$17,308
Repurchase agreement	\$106,303	\$106,303	\$—	\$ —	\$106,303

(Back to Index) 54

NOTE 20 - INTEREST RATE RISK AND DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

A significant market risk to the Company is interest rate risk. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond the Company's control. Changes in the general level of interest rates can affect net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on interest-earning assets and the interest expense incurred in connection with the interest-bearing liabilities, by affecting the spread between the interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Changes in the level of interest rates also can affect the value of the Company's interest-earning assets and the Company's ability to realize gains from the sale of these assets. A decline in the value of the Company's interest-earning assets pledged as collateral for borrowings could result in the counterparties demanding additional collateral pledges or liquidation of some of the existing collateral to reduce borrowing levels. The Company seeks to manage the extent to which net income changes as a function of changes in interest rates by matching adjustable-rate assets with variable-rate borrowings. During periods of changing interest rates, interest rate mismatches could negatively impact the Company's consolidated financial condition, consolidated results of operations and consolidated cash flows. In addition, the Company mitigates the potential impact on net income of periodic and lifetime coupon adjustment restrictions in its investment portfolio by entering into interest rate hedging agreements such as interest rate caps and interest rate swaps.

The Company has made an accounting policy election to use the exception in FASB ASC 820-10-35-18D (commonly referred to as the "portfolio exception") with respect to measuring counterparty credit risk for derivative instruments, consistent with the guidance in FASB ASC 820-10-35-18G. The basis for use of this exception, as provided in FASB ASC 820-10-35-18E is as follows:

The Company manages credit risk for its derivative positions on a counterparty-by-counterparty basis (that is, on the basis of its net portfolio exposure with each counterparty), consistent with its risk management strategy for such transactions. The Company manages credit risk by considering indicators of risk such as credit ratings, and by negotiating terms in its ISDA master netting arrangements (or similar agreements) and, if applicable, any associated Credit Support Annex ("CSA") documentation, with each individual counterparty. Credit risk plays a central role in the decision of which counterparties to consider for such relationships and when deciding with whom it will enter into derivative transactions.

Since the effective date of FASB ASC 820, management has monitored and measured credit risk and calculated credit valuation adjustments ("CVAs") for its derivative transactions on the basis of its relationships at the counterparty portfolio/ISDA master netting arrangement level. Management receives reports from an independent third-party valuation specialist on a monthly basis providing the CVAs at the counterparty portfolio level for purposes of reviewing and managing its credit risk exposures. Since the portfolio exception applies only to the fair value measurement and not to financial statement presentation, the portfolio-level adjustments are then allocated in a reasonable and consistent manner each period to the individual assets or liabilities that make up the group, in accordance with other applicable accounting guidance and the Company's accounting policy elections.

Derivative transactions are required under FASB ASC 815 to be measured at fair value in the statement of financial position each reporting period.

Finally, the Company notes that key market participants take into account the existence of arrangements that mitigate credit risk exposure in the event of default (in the Company's case, ISDA master netting arrangements with the counterparty).

At September 30, 2013, the Company had 16 interest rate swap contracts outstanding whereby the Company paid an average fixed rate of 5.01% and received a variable rate equal to one-month LIBOR. The aggregate notional amount of these contracts was \$130.8 million at September 30, 2013. The counterparties for the Company's designated interest rate hedge contracts at such date were Credit Suisse International and Wells Fargo, with which the Company had master netting agreements.

At December 31, 2012, the Company had 16 interest rate swap contracts outstanding whereby the Company paid an average fixed rate of 4.94% and received a variable rate equal to one-month LIBOR. The aggregate notional amount of these contracts was \$135.2 million at December 31, 2012. The counterparties for the Company's designated interest rate hedge contracts at such date were Credit Suisse International and Wells Fargo, with which the Company had master netting agreements.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

The estimated fair value of the Company's interest rate swaps was (\$12.2) million and (\$14.7) million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The Company had aggregate unrealized losses of \$12.8 million and \$15.6 million on the interest rate swap agreements as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, which is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss. In connection with the August 2006 close of RREF CDO 2006-1, the Company realized a swap termination loss of \$119,000, which is being amortized over the term of RREF CDO 2006-1. The amortization is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income. In connection with the June 2007 close of RREF CDO 2007-1, the Company realized a swap termination gain of \$2.6 million, which is being amortized over the term of RREF CDO 2007-1. The accretion is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income. In connection with the termination of a \$53.6 million swap related to RREF CDO 2006-1 during the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the Company realized a swap termination loss of \$4.2 million, which is being amortized over the term of a new \$45.0 million swap. The amortization is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income. In connection with the payoff of a fixed-rate commercial real estate loan during the three months ended September 30, 2008, the Company terminated a \$12.7 million swap and realized a \$574,000 swap termination loss, which is being amortized over the original term of the terminated swap. The amortization is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

The following tables present the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the balance sheets and on the consolidated statement of income for the years presented: Fair Value of Derivative Instruments as of September 30, 2013 (in thousands)

	Liability Derivatives					
	Notional Amount	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value			
Interest rate swap contracts	\$130,785	Derivatives, at fair value Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$(12,208 \$12,793)		

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Income for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 (in thousands)

	Liability Derivatives				
	Notional	Statement of Operations Location	Unrealized		
	Amount	Statement of Operations Location	Loss (1)		
Interest rate swap contracts	\$130,785	Interest expense	\$5,118		

Liability Derivatives

(1) Negative values indicate a decrease to the associated balance sheets or consolidated statements of income line items.

Linked Transactions

The Company's Linked Transactions are evaluated on a combined basis, reported as forward (derivative) instruments and presented as assets on the Company's consolidated balance sheets at fair value. The fair value of Linked Transactions reflect the value of the underlying CMBS, interest receivable, linked repurchase agreement borrowings and accrued interest payable on such instruments. The Company's linked transactions are not designated as hedging instruments and, as a result, the change in the fair value and net interest income from Linked Transactions is reported in other income on the Company's consolidated statement of income.

The following tables present certain information about the CMBS and repurchase agreements underlying the Company's Linked Transactions at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments as of (in thousands)

	Asset Derivative		
	Designation	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
As of September 30, 2013			
Linked Transactions at fair value	Non-Hedging	Linked Transactions, net at fair value	\$29,978
As of December 31, 2012			
Linked Transactions at fair value	Non-Hedging	Linked Transactions, net at fair value	\$6,835

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Income for the Nine Months Ended September 30, (in thousands)

Asset Derivatives

	A RESCRIBETIVATIVES					
	Designation	Statement of Operations Location	Revenues (1)			
Linked Transactions at fair value, 2013 Non-Hed	Non Hodging	Unrealized gain/(loss) and net interest	\$(4,343	`		
Linked Transactions at fair value, 2013	Non-medging	income on linked transactions, net	φ(4 ,3 4 3			
Linked Transactions at fair value, 2012	Non Hodging	Unrealized gain/(loss) and net interest	\$386			
	Non-neuging	income on linked transactions, net	\$30U			

(1) Negative values indicate a decrease to the associated balance sheets or consolidated statements of income line items.

The following table presents certain information about the components of the unrealized net gains and net interest income from Linked Transactions included in the Company's consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively (in thousands):

	Three Months	Ended	Nine Months Ended		
	September 30,		September 30),	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Components of Unrealized Net Gains and Net					
Interest					
Income (Expense) from Linked Transactions					
Interest income attributable to CMBS underlying	\$801	\$193	\$2,005	\$573	
linked transactions	ψ001	Ψ1/3	Ψ2,003	Ψ373	
Interest expense attributable to linked repurchase					
agreement borrowings underlying linked	(201)	(60	(524) (187	
transactions					
Change in fair value of linked transactions included	561		(5,824) —	
in earnings	301	_	(3,624) —	
Unrealized (loss) gain and net interest income from	\$1,161	\$133	\$(4,343) \$386	
linked transactions, net	φ1,101	φ133	Φ(4,543) \$300	

The following table summarizes the Company's CMBS Linked Transactions calculated basis of fair value (in thousands):

A at a .d	I Immoslimed	I Imma alima d	Dain Malma
Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair Value

C	Cost	Gains	Losses			
September 30, 2013: CMBS linked transactions	\$98,954	\$184	\$(5,659) \$93,479		
December 31, 2012: CMBS linked transactions	\$27,082	\$190	\$(22) \$27,250		
(Back to Index) 57						

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

The following table summarizes the estimated maturities of the Company's CMBS Linked Transactions according to their estimated weighted average life classifications (in thousands, except percentages):

then estimated weighted average fire elassifications (in thousands, except percentages).							
Weighted Average Life	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Weighted Average Coupon				
September 30, 2013:							
Less than one year	\$3,411	\$3,389	5.28%				
Greater than one year and less than five years	20,483	20,716	5.21%				
Greater than five years and less than ten years	55,996	59,695	2.88%				
Greater than ten years	13,589	15,154	3.34%				
Total	\$93,479	\$98,954	3.53%				
December 31, 2012:							
Less than one year	\$9,827	\$9,822	5.09%				
Greater than one year and less than five years	5,444	5,446	6.11%				
Greater than five years and less than ten years	11,979	11,814	2.69%				
Total	\$27,250	\$27,082	4.23%				

The following table shows the fair value, gross unrealized losses and the length of time the CMBS Linked Transactions underlying assets have been in a continuous unrealized loss position during the periods specified (in thousands):

inousunus).											
	Less than 12 Months		Mor	More than 12 Months			Total				
		Gross				Gross				Gross	
	Fair Value	Unrea	lized	Fair	Value	Unreali	zed	Fair Valu	ıe	Unrealized	
		Losse	S			Losses				Losses	
September 30, 2013:											
CMBS Linked Transactions	\$76,779	\$(5,65	59	\$		\$		\$76,779		\$(5,659)
December 31, 2012:											
CMBS Linked Transactions	\$20,894	\$(22		\$		\$—		\$20,894		\$(22)
The following table summar	izes the Compar	ny's CN	MBS lin	ked tra	nsaction	is (in tho	usands,	, except pe	erce	ntages):	
	Fair Value at	t					MTM		F	air Value at	
	December 31	1, N	let		Upgrad	les/	Chang	ge	S	eptember 30,	
	2012	P	urchase	S	Downg	rades	Same	Ratings	20	013	
Moody's Ratings Category:								_			
Aaa	\$14,585	\$	10,090		\$—		\$5,20	4	\$	29,879	
Aa1 through Aa3	_	8	,925						8.	,925	
A1 through A3	5,444	_	_				(5,444	ļ)	_	_	
Baa1 through Baa3	<u> </u>	_	_		(5,452)	10,903	3	5.	,451	
Ba1 through Ba3	_	8	,939		_	ŕ	_			,939	
B1 through B3	_		2,235							2,235	
Non-Rated	7,221		6,783				4,046			8,050	
Total	\$27,250		56,972		\$(5,452	2)	\$14,70			93,479	
•			- , —		. (-)	,	. ,.			,	

S&P Ratings Category:

AAA	\$21,806	\$ —	\$	\$732	\$22,538
BBB+ through BBB-	_	9,942		_	9,942
B+ through B-	5,444	11,485		8	16,937
Non-Rated	_	35,544		8,518	44,062
Total	\$27,250	\$56,971	\$ —	\$9,258	\$93,479

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

The following table summarizes the Company's CMBS linked repurchase agreements (in thousands, except percentages):

	As of			As of		
		September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012		
	•	Weighted			Weighted	
Maturity or Repricing	Balance (1)	Average		Balance (1)	Average	
		Interest Rate			Interest Rate	
Within 30 days	\$63,760	1.25	%	\$20,415	1.40	%
>30 days to 90 days	_		%			%
Total	\$63,760		%	\$20,415	1.40	%

(1) Balance includes \$41,000 of accrued interest expense as of September 30, 2013.

NOTE 21 - OFFSETTING OF FINANCIAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The Company has no offsetting of financial assets. The following table presents a summary of the Company's offsetting of financial liabilities and derivative liabilities as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

				(iv)		
				Gross Amounts Not Offset		
				in the Consolidated Balance Sheet		
	(i)	(ii) Gross	(iii) = (i) - (ii) Net Amounts			
	Gross	Amounts	of Liabilities	Financial	Cash	
	Amounts of	Offset in the	Presented in	Instruments	Collateral	(v) = (iii) - (iv)
	Recognized	Consolidated	the	(1)	Pledged (1)	Net Amount
	Liabilities	Balance	Consolidated	(1)	Ticugcu (1)	
	Liabilities	Sheet	Balance Sheet			
September 30, 2013						
Derivative hedging						
instruments,	\$12,208	\$	\$12,208	\$ —	\$500	\$11,708
at fair value (2)						
Repurchase agreements	205,265		205,265	205,265		
(3)	203,203		203,203	203,203		
Total	\$217,473	\$ —	\$217,473	\$205,265	\$500	\$11,708
December 31, 2012						
Derivative hedging						
instruments,	\$14,687	\$ —	\$14,687	\$ —	\$500	\$14,187
at fair value (2)	+ - 1,007	-	7 - 1,001	*	+	7 - 1,1
Repurchase agreements						
(3)	106,303		106,303	106,303		_
Total	\$120,990	\$ —	\$120,990	\$106,303	\$500	\$14,187

Amounts disclosed in the Financial Instruments column of the table above represents collateral pledged that is available to be offset against liability balances associated with repurchase agreement and derivative transactions. Amounts disclosed in the Cash Collateral Pledged column of the table above represents amounts pledged as collateral against derivative transactions.

- (2) The fair value of securities pledged against the Company's swaps was \$8.3 million and \$13.1 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.
- The fair value of securities pledged against the Company's repurchase agreements was \$284.3 million and \$145.2 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

In the Company's consolidated balance sheets, all balances associated with the repurchase agreement and derivatives transactions are presented on a gross basis.

Certain of the Company's repurchase agreement and derivative transactions are governed by underlying agreements that generally provide for a right of offset in the event of default or in the event of a bankruptcy of either party to the transaction.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

NOTE 22 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the filing of this form and determined that there have not been any events that have occurred that would require adjustments to or disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, except the following.

The Company received \$619,000 in proceeds from the issuance of 27,577 shares of preferred stock through the Company's at-the-market program during October 2013.

In October 2013, the Company closed and issued \$115.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 6.00% convertible senior notes due 2018, which included an additional \$15.0 million aggregate principal amount of the notes to cover over-allotments. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$111.1 million after payment of underwriting discounts and commissions and other offering expenses.

Apidos CLO VIII's non-call period ended on October 17, 2013, at which time substantially all assets were liquidated and all outstanding notes were paid off.

On October 31, 2013, the Company, through RCC Residential, Inc., the Company's newly-formed taxable REIT subsidiary, acquired a residential mortgage origination company, Primary Capital Advisors LC, an Atlanta based firm, for \$8.4 million; consisting of \$7.6 million in cash and \$800,000 in shares of the Company's common stock. The shares of common stock were issued in a private transaction exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Of this \$7.6 million cash consideration, \$1.8 million was set aside in an escrow account as a contingency for potential purchase price adjustments.

(Back to Index)

ITEM 2 . MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion provides information to assist you in understanding our financial condition and results of operations. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements. Actual results could differ materially from those expressed in or implied by those forward-looking statements. Please see "Forward-Looking Statements" and "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for a discussion of certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions associated with those statements. Overview

We are a specialty finance company whose principal business activities are to originate, purchase and manage a diversified portfolio of commercial real estate-related assets and commercial finance assets. We are organized and conduct our operations to qualify as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Our objective is to provide our stockholders with total returns over time, including quarterly distributions and capital appreciation, while seeking to manage the risks associated with our investment strategy. We invest in a combination of real estate-related assets and, to a lesser extent, higher-yielding commercial finance assets. We have financed a substantial portion of our portfolio investments through borrowing strategies seeking to match the maturities and repricing dates of our financings with the maturities and repricing dates of those investments, and have sought to mitigate interest rate risk through derivative instruments.

We are externally managed by Resource Capital Manager, Inc., or the Manager, a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of Resource America, Inc. (NASDAQ: REXI), or Resource America, a specialized asset management company that uses industry-specific expertise to evaluate, originate, service and manage investment opportunities through its commercial real estate, commercial finance and financial fund management operating segments. As of June 30, 2013, Resource America managed approximately \$16.1 billion of assets in these sectors. To provide its services, the Manager draws upon Resource America, its management team and their collective investment experience.

We generate our income primarily from the spread between the revenues we receive from our assets and the cost to finance the purchase of those assets, from management of assets and from hedging interest rate risks. We generate revenues from the interest and fees we earn on our whole loans, A notes, B notes, mezzanine debt, commercial mortgage-backed securities, or CMBS, bank loans, other asset-backed securities, or ABS, and structured note investments. We also generate revenues from the rental and other income from real properties we own, from management of externally originated bank loans and from our investment in an equipment leasing business. Historically, we have used a substantial amount of leverage to enhance our returns and we have financed each of our different asset classes with different degrees of leverage. The cost of borrowings to finance our investments is a significant part of our expenses. Our net income depends on our ability to control these expenses relative to our revenue. In our bank loan, CMBS and ABS portfolios, we historically have used warehouse facilities as a short-term financing source and collateralized debt obligations, or CDOs and, to a lesser extent, other term financing as long-term financing sources. In our commercial real estate loan portfolio, we historically have used repurchase agreements as a short-term financing source, and CDOs and, to a lesser extent, other term financing, as long-term financing sources. Our other term financing has consisted of long-term match-funded financing provided through long-term bank financing and asset-backed financing programs, depending upon market conditions and credit availability.

Although economic conditions in the United States have improved, previous conditions in real estate and credit markets continue to affect both us and a number of our commercial real estate borrowers. Over a period of several years, we entered into loan modifications with respect to 16 of our outstanding commercial real estate loans. During the past three years, we have added to our provision for loan losses to reflect the effect of these conditions on our borrowers and have recorded both temporary and other than temporary impairments in the market valuation of CMBS and ABS in our investment portfolio. However, during 2012 and into 2013, the improved economic conditions led to a stabilization in the credit quality of our portfolio and, as a result, our provisions for loan losses have decreased significantly, we increased provisions by \$741,000 and by \$541,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to increased provisions of \$1.4 million and \$7.8 million for the three

and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. Our asset impairments have increased slightly, we recognized asset impairments of \$255,000 and \$811,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively as compared to \$9,000 and \$180,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. We also saw a marked improvement in other comprehensive income with respect to our available for sale securities portfolio and interest rate derivatives to a loss of \$17.4 million at September 30, 2013 from a loss of \$27.1 million at December 31, 2012. While we believe we have appropriately valued the assets in our investment portfolio at September 30, 2013, we cannot assure you that further impairments will not occur or that our assets will otherwise not be adversely affected by market conditions.

(Back to Index)

Improved economic conditions have also resulted in several other positive operating developments for us. Our ability to access the credit and capital markets has become relatively easier. We have sourced several credit facilities the during last 12 months through September 30, 2013 and have used these facilities to finance investments in \$294.4 million of commercial real estate loans and \$37.0 million of CMBS as of September 30, 2013. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we were able to access the equity capital markets, selling \$19.1 million of common stock through our dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan and selling 18,687,500 shares of common stock in an April 2013 underwritten public common stock follow-on offering, including 2,437,500 shares exercised through the underwriters' over-allotment option, at a price of \$6.33 per share for net proceeds of approximately \$114.6 million. We supplemented our common equity capital raises with issuances of preferred stock. During 2012, we entered into an at-the-market sales agreement through which we sold \$51.1 million of 8.25% Series B preferred stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and \$112,000 of 8.50% Series A preferred stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

We continue to engage in discussions with potential financing sources about providing commercial real estate term financing to augment and cautiously grow our loan and security portfolio. On July 19, 2013, we completed a \$200.0 million commercial real estate, or CRE, term financing facility with Deutsche Bank AG, or DB, to augment our CRE loan origination platform. The DB facility has an initial one-year term with the right to extend for an additional two years to July 16, 2016. We expect to be able to grow our portfolio to the amount required to begin exploring long-term securitization opportunities during 2013. We caution investors that even as credit becomes more available through these markets, we may not be able to obtain economically favorable terms.

In terms of our investments and investment portfolio growth, we continued to see increased opportunities to deploy our capital. In the twelve months ended September 30, 2013, we have underwritten 22 new CRE loans for a total of \$294.4 million, which were financed through our new credit facilities and new equity capital. We also purchased 41 newly-underwritten CMBS for \$164.2 million during the same period, of which \$37.0 million were financed through a facility with Wells Fargo, \$73.8 million was purchased short term repurchase agreements and \$53.4 million was purchased outright. We have also used recycled capital in one of our bank loan collateralized loan obligations, or CLOs, structures with remaining reinvestment periods, (Apidos Cinco), to make new investments at discounts to par. The reinvestment period for Apidos Cinco continues to May 2014. We expect that the reinvested capital and related discounts will produce modest additional income as the discounts are accreted into interest income. In addition, the purchase of these investments at discounts allows us to build collateral in the CLO structures since we receive credit in at par. From net discounts of approximately \$12.3 million at September 30, 2013, we recognized income of approximately \$1.5 million in our bank loan CLO portfolio for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 and expect to accrete approximately \$6.3 million into income in the remainder of calendar year 2013. We have no further capacity in three of our bank loan CLOs, and both of our two real estate CDOs have seen their reinvestment periods end. In September 2013, we liquidated Whitney CLO I, and as a result substantially all of the assets were sold. Total proceeds from the sale of these assets, plus proceeds from previous sales and paydowns in the CLO were used to pay down the remaining balance on the outstanding notes of \$103.7 million. In October 2013, Apidos CLO VIII was called and liquidated. Proceeds from the liquidation were used to pay the notes down in full.

In addition, to our newly-minted financing facility with DB for \$200.0 million of capacity, we intend to use the existing capacity in our CMBS and CRE, term credit facilities with Wells Fargo of \$39.7 million and \$96.2 million, respectively, as of September 30, 2013 to help finance new investments.

Due to these recent investments, our increased ability to access credit markets, our recent capital markets efforts and our investment of a significant portion of our available unrestricted and restricted cash balances during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we expect to continue to modestly increase our CRE interest income in the remainder of 2013. However, because we believe that economic conditions in the United States are fragile, and could be significantly harmed by occurrences over which we have no control, we cannot assure you that we will be able to meet our expectations, or that we will not experience net interest income reductions.

On October 31, 2013, we, through RCC Residential, Inc., our newly-formed taxable REIT subsidiary, acquired a residential mortgage origination company, Primary Capital Advisors LC ("PCA"), an Atlanta based firm, for \$8.4 million; consisting of \$7.6 million in cash and \$800,000 in shares of our common stock. The shares of common stock

were issued in a private transaction exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Of the \$7.6 million cash consideration, \$1.8 million was set aside in an escrow account as a contingency for potential purchase price adjustments. Our acquisition of PCA represents a return to the residential mortgage investment market, by providing us with our first residential mortgage origination platform. We intend to cautiously expand this business over the next 12 to 15 months while adding infrastructure, staff and new technology.

(Back to Index)

As of September 30, 2013, we had invested 78% of our portfolio in CRE assets, 18% in commercial bank loans and 4% in other assets. As of December 31, 2012, we had invested 77% of our portfolio in CRE assets, 15% in commercial bank loans and 8% in other investments.

Results of Operations

Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 as compared to Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

Our net income allocable to common shares for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$22.1 million, or \$0.18 per share (basic and diluted) and \$40.2 million, or \$0.34 per share (basic and diluted), respectively, as compared to net income allocable to common shares of \$18.2 million, or \$0.20 per share (basic and diluted) and \$49.1 million, or \$0.58 per shared-basic (\$0.57 per share-diluted) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. Our share count increased to 127,237,134 at September 30, 2013 from 105,118,093 at December 31, 2012.

Interest Income

The following tables set forth information relating to our interest income recognized for the periods presented (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Mor September			Three Months Ended September 30, 2012			
	Interest Weighted Average		Interest		d Average		
	Income	Yield	Balance	Income	Yield	Balance	
Interest income:							
Interest income from loans:							
Bank loans	\$12,196	4.95%	\$966,233	\$13,666	4.63%	\$1,152,678	
Commercial real estate loans	12,178	6.15%	\$771,903	10,464	5.74%	\$714,871	
Total Interest income from loans	24,374			24,130			
Interest income from securities:							
CMBS-private placement	2,910	4.93%	\$237,595	2,896	5.31%	\$217,362	
ABS	290	4.19%	\$27,359	348	4.29%	\$31,044	
Corporate bonds	143	5.57%	\$10,329	25	13.95%	\$690	
Residential mortgage-backed securities, or RMBS	68	2.94%	\$9,273	295	5.47%	\$21,853	
Total interest income from securities	3,411			3,564			
Interest income - other:							
Preference payments on structured notes	572	6.06%	\$37,729	2,159	15.44%	\$55,941	
Temporary investment in over-night repurchase agreements	77	N/A	N/A	59	N/A	N/A	
Total interest income - other	649			2,218			
Total interest income	\$28,434			\$29,912			
(Back to Index)							

(Back to Index)

	Nine Mont	hs Ended		Nine Months Ended				
	September	30, 2013		September 30, 2012				
	Interest	Weighte	d Average	Interest	Weighted	d Average		
	Income	Yield	Balance	Income	Yield	Balance		
Interest income:								
Interest income from loans:								
Bank loans	\$45,399	5.62%	\$1,065,656	\$43,242	4.83%	\$1,175,976		
Commercial real estate loans	32,971	5.82%	\$737,263	27,515	5.22%	\$692,894		
Total Interest income from loans	78,370			70,757				
Interest income from securities:								
CMBS-private placement	8,515	5.04%	\$227,907	8,384	5.27%	\$213,630		
ABS	1,058	5.19%	\$27,052	1,131	4.69%	\$31,762		
Corporate bonds	739	3.8%	\$25,872	110	14.71%	\$1,008		
Residential mortgage-backed securities, or RMBS	637	6.35%	\$13,393	895	2.96%	\$40,284		
Total interest income from securities	10,949			10,520				
Interest income - other:								
Preference payments on structured notes	2,932	9.54%	\$40,969	8,040	18.72%	\$57,264		
Temporary investment in over-night repurchase agreements	218	N/A	N/A	164	N/A	N/A		
Total interest income - other	3,150			8,204				
Total interest income	\$92,469			\$89,481				
(Back to Index) 64								

(Back to Index)

Type of Security	Coupon Interest		Unamortiz (Discount) Premium		Net Amortization Accretion	1/	Interest Income	Fee Income	Total
Three Months Ended September 30,									
2013:									
Bank loans	4.23	%	\$(5,010)	\$1,369		\$10,464	\$363	\$12,196
Commercial real estate loans	5.75	%	\$(101)	9		11,820	349	12,178
Total interest income from loans					1,378		22,284	712	24,374
CMBS-private placement	3.69	%	\$(7,023)	505		2,405		2,910
ABS	2.06	%	\$(2,620)	145		145		290
Corporate bonds	5.95	%	\$(154)	(9)	152		143
Residential mortgage-backed									
securities,							68		68
or RMBS									
Total interest income from					641		2,770		3,411
securities					041		2,770		3,411
Preference payments on					_		572		572
structured notes							312		312
Other					_		77	_	77
Total interest income - other					_		649		649
Total interest income					\$2,019		\$25,703	\$712	\$28,434
Three Months Ended September 30, 2012:									
Bank loans	4.35	0%	\$(20,110	`	\$2,717		\$10,606	\$343	\$13,666
Commercial real estate loans	5.18		\$(20,110))	8		9,494	962	10,464
Total interest income from loans	3.10	70	Ψ(133	,	2,725		20,100	1,305	24,130
CMBS-private placement	3.53	0/0	\$(12,238)	715		2,181	1,505 —	2,896
ABS	2.24		\$(3,304	-	169		179		348
Corporate bonds	7.61		\$(10,929)	—		25	_	25
Residential mortgage-backed	7.01	70	ψ(10,72)	,			23		23
securities,					_		295		295
or RMBS									
Total interest income from					004		2 (00		2.564
securities					884		2,680		3,564
Preference payments on							2.150		0.150
structured notes					_		2,159		2,159
Other							59		59
Total interest income - other							2,218		2,218
Total interest income					\$3,609		\$24,998	\$1,305	\$29,912
(Back to Index)									
65									

(Back to Index)

Type of Security	Coupon Interest		Unamortize (Discount) Premium	ed	Net Amortization/ Accretion	Interest Income	Fee Income	Total
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013:								
Bank loans	4.28	%	\$(5,010)	\$8,281	\$34,725	\$2,393	\$45,399
Commercial real estate loans	5.56		\$(101)	26	31,601	1,344	32,971
Total interest income from loans				,	8,307	66,326	3,737	78,370
CMBS-private placement	3.68	%	\$(7,023)	1,657	6,858		8,515
ABS	2.06	%	\$(2,620)	493	565	_	1,058
Corporate bonds	3.92	%	\$(154)	(23)	762	_	739
Residential mortgage-backed								
securities,						637		637
or RMBS								
Total interest income from					2,127	8,822	_	10,949
securities					2,127	0,022		10,747
Preference payments on						2,932		2,932
structured notes								
Other					_	218	_	218
Total interest income - other					<u> </u>	3,150	— + 2 5 2 5	3,150
Total interest income					\$10,434	\$78,298	\$3,737	\$92,469
Nine Months Ended Sentember 20								
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012:								
Bank loans	4.23	0%	\$(20,110)	\$10,714	\$31,469	\$1,059	\$43,242
Commercial real estate loans	5.08		\$(135))	24	26,332	1,159	27,515
Total interest income from loans	3.00	70	ψ(133	,	10,738	57,801	2,218	70,757
CMBS-private placement	3.70	%	\$(12,238)	1,912	6,472		8,384
ABS	2.33		\$(3,304)		600	_	1,131
Corporate bonds	7.66		\$(53,290)		110		110
Residential mortgage-backed	,,,,,	, c	\$ (\$\delta \delta \delt	,		110		110
securities,					_	895	_	895
or RMBS								
Total interest income from					2 4 4 2	0.077		10.500
securities					2,443	8,077	_	10,520
Preference payments on						0.040		0.040
structured notes						8,040	_	8,040
Other					_	164	_	164
Total interest income - other					_	8,204	_	8,204
Total interest income					\$13,181	\$74,082	\$2,218	\$89,481

Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 as compared to Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

Aggregate interest income decreased \$1.5 million (5%) and increased \$3.0 million (3%) to \$28.4 million and \$92.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, from \$29.9 million and \$89.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. We attribute these increases to the following: Interest Income from Loans. Aggregate interest income from loans increased \$244,000 (1%) and \$7.6 million (11%) to \$24.4 million and \$78.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, from \$24.1 million and \$70.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

Interest income on bank loans decreased \$1.5 million (11%) and increased \$2.2 million (5%) to \$12.2 million and \$45.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, from \$13.7 million and \$43.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The decrease for the three months ended September 30, 2013 is primarily the result of a decrease in the weighted average balance of assets of \$186.4 million to \$966.2 million from \$1.2 billion principally due to the fact that three of our five CLOs have reached the end of their reinvestment period and as a result, any principal collected is used to pay down notes instead of being reinvested in new assets.

(Back to Index)

This decrease for the three months ended was partially offset, and the increase for the nine months ended was positively impacted from, an increase in the weighted average yield to 4.95% and 5.62% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively as compared to 4.63% and 4.83% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, principally as a result of the increase in accretion income due to a change in accounting estimate from Apidos CLO VIII, a consolidated variable interest entity, or VIE, for which we began acquiring assets in July 2011 and from Whitney CLO which we began consolidating in October 2012 when we acquired a controlling interest. The increase in accretion income from Apidos CDO VIII was partially offset by a decrease in accretion income from two of our legacy CLO structures (Apidos CDO I and Apidos CDO III); those CLOs have decreasing asset and discount balances as both have reached the end of their reinvestment periods. Interest income on commercial real estate, or CRE, loans increased \$1.7 million (16%) and \$5.5 million (20%) to \$12.2 million and \$33.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$10.5 million and \$27.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. These increases are a result of the following combination of factors:

an increase in the weighted average yield to 6.15% and 5.82% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, from 5.74% and 5.22% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, as a result of newly originated real estate loans with higher stated interest rates than our legacy portfolio and as a result of exit fees from seven loans that paid off during the nine months ended September 30, 2013; and an increase of \$57.0 million and \$44.4 million in the weighted average loan balance to \$771.9 million and \$737.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, from \$714.9 million and \$692.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, as we reinvested proceeds from payoffs and paydowns, classified as restricted CDO cash on our balance sheet, beginning in the fourth quarter of 2011, with the majority of these proceeds being reinvested during the second and third quarters of 2012. In addition, we began to originate new loans financed by our Wells Fargo CRE credit facility coupled with new equity raised in 2012 and 2013.

Interest Income from Securities. Aggregate interest income from securities decreased \$153,000 (4%) and increased \$429,000 (4%) to \$3.4 million and \$10.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, from \$3.6 million and \$10.5 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. These changes resulted principally from the following:

Interest income on CMBS-private placement increased \$14,000 (0%) and \$131,000 (2%) to \$2.9 million and \$8.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$2.9 million and \$8.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 resulted from an increase in weighted average balance of assets of \$20.2 million and \$14.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively to \$237.6 million and \$227.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, from \$217.4 million and \$213.6 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The increases were primarily a result of the purchase of assets on our Wells Fargo CMBS facility beginning in February 2011 and purchases using three short-term repurchase agreements as well as proceeds from our common and preferred offerings. This was partially offset both periods by the reclassification of assets to linked transactions when certain assets were financed. The increases in interest income were partially offset by decreases in the weighted average yield of assets to 4.93% and 5.04% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to 5.31% and 5.27% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The decreases primarily resulted from the decrease in accretion income as a result of the purchase price at which we are purchasing assets. The new assets financed by our Wells facility are typically purchased at a premium. Our legacy CMBS assets had previously been purchased at a discount.

Interest income from ABS decreased \$58,000 (17%) and \$73,000 (6%) to \$290,000 and \$1.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, from \$348,000 and \$1.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, as a result of the following:

• a decrease of \$3.7 million and \$4.7 million in the weighted average loan balance to \$27.4 million and \$27.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, from \$31.0 million and \$31.8

million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, as a result of \$5.7 million in paydowns from October 2012 through September 2013; and

A decrease in the weighted average yield during the three months ended September 30, 2013 to 4.19% from 4.29% during the three months ended September 30, 2012 as a result of a decrease in LIBOR which is a reference index for the rates receivable on these securities. The decrease in LIBOR was entirely offset by an increase in the yield for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 to 5.19% from 4.69% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 primarily as a result of paydowns which accelerated accretion income.

(Back to Index)

Interest income from corporate bonds increased \$118,000 (472%) and \$629,000 (572%) to \$143,000 and \$739,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, from \$25,000 and \$110,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and was the result of our acquisition of 66.6% in October 2012 and an additional 1.7% in May 2013 of the equity in Whitney CLO I which resulted in us consolidating this entity, which held some corporate bonds.

Interest income on RMBS decreased \$227,000 (77%) and \$258,000 (29%) to \$68,000 and \$637,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$295,000 and \$895,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The decrease is almost entirely the result of the sale of four positions during the year ended December 31, 2012 and two positions during the six months ended June 30, 2013. Interest Income - Other. Aggregate interest income-other decreased \$1.6 million (71%) and \$5.1 million (62%) to \$649,000 and \$3.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$2.2 million and \$8.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and is primarily related to our trading securities investment program with Resource Capital Markets, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America that invested \$13.0 million of our funds under an investment management agreement. The payments vary from period to period and are based on cash flows from the underlying securities rather than on a contractual interest rate. The decrease was related to a decrease in the weighted average balance of \$18.2 million and \$16.3 million to \$37.7 million and \$41.0 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$55.9 million and \$57.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, primarily as a result of the sale of 6 securities in June 2013 and 12 securities in September 2012, which has significantly reduced the balance of investments held in trading securities. The remaining portfolio has decreased substantially as there were 11 positions and 26 positions at September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively, and as a result, there are fewer distributions from the positions to recognize. Interest Expense

The following tables sets forth information relating to our interest expense incurred for the periods presented by asset class (in thousands, except percentages):

, , , , , ,	Three Month	s Ended			Three Month	ns Ended			
	September 30, 2013				September 30, 2012				
	Interest	Weighted Average		erage	Interest	Weighted Average			
	Expense	Yield		Balance	Expense	Yield		Balance	
Interest expense:									
Bank loans	\$6,251	2.59	%	\$988,600	\$3,600	1.31	%	\$1,137,291	
Commercial real estate loans	2,381	2.25	%	\$418,461	1,806	1.61	%	\$444,205	
CMBS-private placement	212	1.65	%	\$53,324	271	2.12	%	\$47,296	
Hedging instruments	1,730	5.48	%	\$123,677	1,748	5.18	%	\$133,167	
Securitized borrowings	441	7.63	%	\$23,097		N/A		N/A	
General	747	4.52	%	\$65,148	783	4.71	%	\$65,148	
Total interest expense	\$11,762				\$8,208				
	Nine Mor	ths Ended			Nine Month	ns Ended			
	Septembe	r 30, 2013			September 30, 2012				
	Interest	Weight	ed A	Average	Interest	Weighte	d A	verage	
	Expense	Yield		Balance	Expense	Yield		Balance	
Interest expense:									
Bank loans	\$17,368	2.15		% \$1,106,895	\$11,167	1.31	9	6 \$1,171,086	
Commercial real estate loan	is 6,504	2.13		% \$404,714	5,536	1.57	9	6 \$464,282	
CMBS-private placement	643	1.75		% \$48,922	770	2.08	9	6 \$48,788	
Hedging instruments	5,118	5.38		% \$124,282	5,582	5.16	9	6 \$142,292	
Securitized borrowings	2,200	10.70		% \$27,421		N/A		N/A	

General 2,228 4.51 % \$65,148 2,405 4.86 % \$65,148

Total interest expense \$34,061 \$25,460

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)

	G		Unamortize Deferred	ed		T		
Type of Security	Coupo Interes		Debt and Loan Origination Costs	1	Net Amortization	Interest Expense	Other	Total
Three Months Ended September 3 2013:	0,							
Bank loans	1.43	%	\$3,306		\$499	\$5,752	\$ —	\$6,251
Commercial real estate loans	1.63	%	\$(951) 561	1,820		2,381
CMBS-private placement	1.39	%	\$47		35	177	_	212
Hedging	5.03	%	\$666			1,730		1,730
Securitized borrowings	14.91	%	\$		_	441	_	441
General	4.23	%	\$592		48	699	_	747
Total interest expense					\$1,143	\$10,619	\$ —	\$11,762
Three Months Ended September 3 2012:	0,							
Bank loans	1.35	%	\$7,969		\$577	\$3,023	\$ —	\$3,600
Commercial real estate loans	1.13		\$1,498		447	1,359	_	1,806
CMBS-private placement	1.54		\$91		68	203	_	271
Hedging	4.96	%	\$		_	1,748	_	1,748
Securitized borrowings	_	%	\$			-		_
General	4.37	%	\$781		46	737		783
Total interest expense					\$1,138	\$7,070	\$	\$8,208
Type of Security	Coupon Interest	D ar O	namortized peferred Debt nd Loan prigination osts	-	Net Amortization	Interest Expense	Other	Total
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013:								
Bank loans	1.39	% \$	3,306		\$1,788	\$15,580	\$	\$17,368
Commercial real estate loans	1.49	% \$	(951)	1,697	4,807	_	6,504
CMBS-private placement	1.43	% \$	47		116	527		643
Hedging								