BRT REALTY TRUST Form 10-K December 14, 2006

> UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

> > FORM 10-K

(Mark One) [X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006

or

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 001-07172

BRT REALTY TRUST

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Massachusetts13-2755856(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)(I.R.S. employer
identification no.)

 60 Cutter Mill Road, Great Neck, New York
 11021

 (Address of principal executive offices)
 (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code 516-466-3100

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Name of each exchange on which registered Shares of Beneficial New York Stock Exchange Interest, \$3.00 Par Value

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

NONE

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No X $\,$

1

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes $$\rm No$\ X$$

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K?

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer X Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes $$\rm No\ X$$

The aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$94,732,000 based on the last sale price of the common equity on March 31, 2006, which is the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter.

As of December 12, 2006, the registrant had 10,858,747 shares of Beneficial Interest outstanding, excluding treasury shares.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the proxy statement for the annual meeting of shareholders of BRT Realty Trust to be filed not later than January 29, 2007 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

PART I

Item 1. Business.

General

We are a real estate investment trust, also known as a REIT, organized as a business trust under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in 1972. We are primarily engaged in originating and holding for investment senior and junior commercial mortgage loans secured by real property in the United States. These loans generally have high yields and are short term or bridge loans with an average duration ranging from six months to three years. We generally lend at a floating rate of interest based on a spread over the prime rate and receive an origination fee for the loans we originate. At September 30, 2006, we had 61 loans outstanding that were secured by properties located in 12 states. We believe that our ability to act promptly on loan requests and to expedite a closing provides us with many lending opportunities and enables us to be competitive with other firms that offer similar lending products.

From time to time, we have also participated as both an equity investor in, and as a mortgage lender to, joint ventures which acquire income-producing real property and in the past we have purchased equity securities in other REITS. As of September 30, 2006, we had equity investments totaling approximately \$9.6 million in seven real estate joint ventures, and we owned approximately 1.0 million Common Shares of Entertainment Properties Trust.

As of September 30, 2006, our portfolio consisted of approximately \$284.6 million in mortgage loans (before allowances of \$669,000) with an average interest rate of 13.06%. As of September 30, 2006, all outstanding loans, except for one mortgage loan in the aggregate principal amount of \$1,346,000, with an allowance of \$25,000 for loan losses, were earning interest. The mortgage loan not earning interest represents approximately .5 % of our outstanding loan portfolio at September 30, 2006.

Of the principal amount of loans outstanding on September 30, 2006, 92% represent first mortgage loans or mortgage loans in which we held a senior or pari passu participation interest, and 8% represent junior mortgage loans or junior participations.

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, in addition to originating mortgage loans, we were engaged in servicing our loan portfolio, supervising the management of real estate assets owned by us and overseeing the activities of joint ventures in which we are involved as an equity participant.

We entered into a joint venture agreement with CIT Capital USA, Inc. dated as of November 2, 2006 and agreed to present all loan proposals received by us to the joint venture, which is known as BRT Funding LLC, for its consideration on a first refusal basis until the joint venture originates \$100 million in aggregate principal amount of loans (or \$150 million, in the event that the joint venture obtains a line of credit of \$50 million). The joint venture will fund 100% of the principal of loans that meet its investment criteria until the joint venture has originated loans with an aggregate principal amount of \$50 million. Upon funding \$50 million of loans, the joint venture will then fund 50% of the principal of loans that it accepts, and we will fund the other 50%. Notwithstanding the foregoing, because we expect that certain of the loan proposals that we present to the joint venture will not meet the specified investment criteria of the joint venture, we will continue to originate loans for our own account during the period prior to the point when the joint venture has originated loans with an aggregate principal amount of \$50 million and thereafter. The joint venture is described in greater detail in this Form 10-K under "Business, Joint Venture with CIT Capital U.S.A., Inc."

On December 11, 2006, we completed a public offering of 2,800,000 shares of our common shares deriving net proceeds of approximately \$74.3 million, before expenses. On December 13, 2006, the underwriters exercised their over-allotment option in part and purchased an additional 132,500 of our common shares resulting in additional net proceeds to us of approximately \$3.5 million.

The net proceeds received by us on December 11, 2006 from the public offering have been used to reduce indebtedness under our margin lines of credit and our revolving credit facility. The net proceeds received by us on December 14, 2006 from the exercise by the underwriters of their over-allotment option have been used to further reduce indebtedness under our revolving credit facility.

Our Investment Strategy and Underwriting Criteria

Our primary strategy is to maintain and increase the cash available for distribution to our shareholders by originating mortgage loans secured by a diversified portfolio of real property. We actively pursue lending opportunities with property owners and prospective property owners who require short-term financing until permanent financing can be obtained or until the property is sold. Our investment policy emphasizes the origination of short-term senior and junior real estate mortgage loans secured by liens on improved real property which generate rental income. As of September 30, 2006, 92% of the aggregate principal balance of our portfolio consisted of first mortgage loans. Our lending activities focus on operating properties such as multi-family residential properties (including residential property being renovated and converted to condominium ownership), office buildings, shopping centers, mixed use buildings, hotels/motels, industrial buildings and undeveloped real property. During the past year, the percentage of our loans that are secured by residential property that is pending renovation and conversion to condominium ownership has increased, and at September 30, 2006, 39% of our loan portfolio consisted of such loans. We also originate and hold for investment loans secured by improved commercial or multi-family residential property which is vacant, pending renovation and sale or leasing of the property.

We may sell, from time to time, senior, junior or pari passu participations in mortgage loans that we originate. We may also acquire participations in mortgage loans originated by others, and we may invest in the securities of other REIT's.

In the past, we have originated mezzanine loans to the owners of real property secured by some or all of the ownership interests that directly or indirectly control the real property. Mezzanine loans are subordinate to the direct mortgage or mortgages placed on the property owned and senior to the equity of the ownership entity.

When we invest in junior mortgage loans, junior participations in existing loans or in mezzanine loans, the collateral securing our loans is subordinate to the liens of senior mortgages or senior participations. At September 30, 2006, approximately 8 % of our real estate mortgages, or \$22.6 million in principal amount, were represented by junior mortgages or junior participations. In certain cases, we may find it advisable to make additional payments in order to maintain the current status of prior liens or to discharge them entirely or to make working capital advances to support current operations. It is possible that the amount which may be recovered by us in cases in which we hold a junior position may be less than our total investment, less allowances for possible losses, and we could lose our entire investment in that loan.

We also originate mortgage loans to joint ventures in which we are an equity participant. If we determine that a real property investment provides an opportunity to participate in capital appreciation, we may make an equity investment with a joint venturer, and make a mortgage loan, either senior or junior, to the venture. At September 30, 2006, we had \$9,608,000 invested in

joint ventures in which we were an equity participant, and \$550,000 in second mortgage loans was due to us from these joint ventures. In most instances, a mortgage loan made by us to a joint venture in which we are an equity participant is secured by the real property owned by the joint venture.

In the past three fiscal years there has been significant growth in our loan originations. We originated \$309.7 million, \$259.3 million and \$231.6 million of mortgage loans in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In this three year period our lending activities have become nationwide and we have seen an increase in the average loan originated. Both of these factors we attribute to an increase in our marketing activities. As of September 30, 2006, we had loans outstanding that were secured by properties located in 12 states. It is not our present intent to originate or otherwise invest in any mortgage loan secured by property located outside the United States and Puerto Rico.

When underwriting a loan, the primary focus of our analysis is the intrinsic value of a property, which we determine by considering a number of factors including, without limitation, its location, potential for alternative use, current and potential net operating income and local demographics. We also examine the creditworthiness of a borrower or its principals and take into consideration its or their ability to meet the operational needs of the property and the experience of the borrower or its principals in the real estate industry. Because of our emphasis on fundamental property value, we believe that in the event of default, foreclosure and acquisition of title to a property, we will generally be able to manage a property until market conditions present a favorable opportunity to dispose of the property.

Our Origination Process

We originate mortgage loans in a number of ways. We rely on the relationships developed by our officers and loan originators with real estate investors, commercial real estate brokers, mortgage brokers and bankers. We have also experienced a great deal of repeat business with our borrowers. Once a loan application is processed, it goes through our due diligence process.

Loan approvals are based on a review of property information as well as other due diligence activities undertaken by us, including a site visit to the property, an in-house property valuation, a review of the results of operations of the property (if any) or, in a case of an acquisition by our borrower, a review of the borrower's projected results of operations for the property, and a review of the financial condition of the prospective borrower and its principals. If management determines that an environmental assessment of the underlying property is necessary, then such an assessment is conducted by a third-party. Before a loan commitment is issued, a loan must be approved by our loan committee. Loan approval occurs after the assent of not less than four of the seven members of our loan committee, all of whom are our executive officers. We generally obtain a non-refundable cash payment allocable for legal and other expenses from a prospective borrower at the time of issuing a loan commitment, and our loan commitments are generally issued subject to receipt by us of title documentation, in a form satisfactory to us, for the underlying property. The approval of our Board of Trustees is required for each loan which exceeds \$20 million in principal amount, and the approval of our Board of Trustees is also required where loans by us to one borrower exceed \$30 million, in the aggregate.

We require either a personal guarantee or a "walk-away guarantee" from the principal or principals of the borrower, in substantially all of the loans originated by us. A "walk-away guarantee" generally provides that the full

guarantee terminates only if (1) the borrower conveys title to the property to us within a negotiated period of time after a loan default and (2) the borrower or the guarantor satisfy certain obligations, such as current payment of all real estate taxes and operating expenses. The "walk-away guarantee" is intended to provide an incentive to the principals of a borrower, in a situation where the borrower has defaulted, to have the collateral deeded to us in lieu of foreclosure, thereby eliminating the cost of foreclosure proceedings. By complying with the terms of the "walk-away guarantee," the principals of the borrower avoid the further risk of being personally responsible for any difference between the amount owed to us and the amount we recover in a foreclosure proceeding. If we make more than one loan to a borrower, we may require that all or some of the outstanding loans to that borrower be cross-collateralized.

Our Loan Portfolio

At September 30, 2006, we had 61 outstanding mortgage loans, aggregating approximately \$284.6 million in principal amount before allowances of \$669,000, which include senior and junior mortgage loans, participations in mortgage loans (which as of September 30, 2006 were all on a pari passu basis) and loans to joint ventures in which we are an equity participant. Our allowances of \$669,000 relate to two of our mortgage loans with an aggregate principal amount of \$26.1 million, to two borrowers, of which one borrower was performing its financial obligations under a loan with a principal amount of \$24.8 million and the other borrower was not performing under a loan with a principal amount of \$1.3 million, as of September 30, 2006.

At September 30, 2006, our loan portfolio was secured by real property located in 12 states. Loans representing 53% of the principal amount of our total outstanding loans were secured by properties located in the New York metropolitan area, including New Jersey and Connecticut, 34% of the principal amount by properties located in Florida, 5% of the principal amount by properties located in Tennessee, and 8% of the principal amount by properties in the remaining states.

During the year ended September 30, 2006, we originated approximately \$309.7 million of mortgage loans, approximately \$157.5 million of our outstanding loans were repaid in whole or in part and we sold participation interests of approximately \$61.2 million. Our three largest mortgage loans outstanding (net of participations to others) at September 30, 2006 of approximately \$24.8 million, \$23.6 million and \$16.0 million, each of which is secured by one property, represented approximately 6.7%, 6.4% and 4.3% respectively, of our total assets. There were no other mortgage loans in our portfolio that represented more than 3.6% of our total assets as of September 30, 2006. From the period commencing with our 1999 fiscal year, or October 1, 1998, through September 30, 2006, we originated \$1.02 billion of real estate loans on which we have realized losses of \$212,000.

From time to time, we make loans to multiple borrowers that are controlled by the same individual. At September 30, 2006, we had loans outstanding with an aggregate principal amount of \$21.8 million to two borrowers controlled by one individual and loans outstanding with an aggregate principal amount of \$19.3 million to five borrowers controlled by another individual. The second of these individuals, who at December 11, 2006 controlled six of our borrowers having loans with an aggregate principal amount of \$27.1 million, pleaded guilty on November 9, 2006 to four criminal charges relating to (1) the use of a false social security number in connection with a real estate loan that was not from us and (2) the failure to file federal tax returns and to pay federal income taxes for the calendar years 1999, 2000 and 2001. Sentencing in the matter is currently scheduled for February 2007. As of the date of this filing, we have not taken any action, under the applicable loan documents,

against the borrowers as a result of this matter. Each of the borrowers under the six loans is performing its financial obligations to us under the loans as of the date of this report, but we can not provide any assurance that the borrowers will continue to perform. We believe that the collateral securing the loans is adequate to support the principal balance due thereunder.

At September 30, 2006, approximately 95% of our mortgage loans had a floating rate of interest calculated based on a variable spread above the prime rate, with a stated minimum interest rate (also referred to as adjustable rate mortgages), and approximately 5% of our mortgage loans provided for a fixed rate of interest. Interest on our mortgage loans is payable to us monthly. Under our first mortgage loans, we usually require and hold funds in escrow that are payable to us monthly and which are used to pay real estate taxes and casualty insurance premiums. In many instances, a borrower will fund an interest reserve out of the net loan proceeds, from which all or a portion of the interest payments due us are made for a specified period of time.

The following sets forth information regarding our mortgage loans outstanding at September 30, 2006:

	Total	Interest Earning	Non-Interest Earning	
First mortgage loans:				
Short-term (five years or less):				
Condominium development/				
units	110,995,000	110,995,000	-	
Multi-Family Residential	57,623,000	57,623,000	_	
Land35,074,000	35,074,000	-	_	
Shopping centers/retail	25,689,000	25,689,000	_	
Office	20,803,000	20,803,000	_	
Industrial buildings	6,221,000	4,875,000	1,346,000	
Residential	5,598,000	5,598,000	_	
Second mortgage loans and				
junior participations:				
Short-term (five years or less):				
Retail	19,225,000	19,225,000	- 40,97	
Multi-Family Residential	2,850,000	2,850,000	- 11,07	
Office	550,000	550,000	- 3,41	

Loan Defaults

Loan defaults will reduce our current return and may require us to become involved in expensive and time consuming procedures, including foreclosure and/or bankruptcy proceedings. In the event of a default by a borrower on a mortgage loan, we will foreclose on the mortgage or other collateral held by us or seek to protect our investment through negotiations with the borrower or other interested parties, which may involve further cash outlays. During a foreclosure proceeding, we will usually not receive interest payments under our mortgage. Foreclosure proceedings in certain jurisdictions

can take a considerable period of time, and may extend for in excess of two years in many instances. In addition, if a borrower files for protection under the United States federal bankruptcy laws during the foreclosure process, the delays may be longer. In a mortgage foreclosure proceeding, we will typically seek to have a receiver appointed by the court or an independent third party property manager appointed with the borrower's agreement in order to preserve the rental income stream and provide for the maintenance of the property. At the conclusion of the foreclosure or negotiated workout process, which occurs after the property is either sold at auction to a third party purchaser, acquired by us, or the workout process results in the borrower or its designee retaining the property, then the amounts, if any, collected by the receiver or the third party manager, less costs and expenses of operating the property and the receiver's or manager's fees, are usually paid over to us. In certain negotiated workouts, we have acquired title to a property from the borrower and afforded the borrower the opportunity to reacquire the property within a specified period of time at a fixed price.

Our Credit Facility and Our Lines of Credit

We have a credit facility, which we refer to herein as our revolving credit facility, with a group of banks consisting of North Fork Bank, VNB New York Corp., Signature Bank and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company to finance our real estate mortgage lending, and pursuant to which those banks make available up to an aggregate of \$185 million on a revolving basis. The revolving credit facility matures on February 1, 2008, and may be extended for two additional one-year periods for a fee of \$462,500. The amount which can be outstanding under the revolving credit facility may not exceed an amount equal to the sum of (1) 65% of our first mortgages, plus (2) 50% of our second mortgages and (3) 50% of the fair market value of certain of our owned real estate, all of which are pledged to the lending banks as collateral. At September 30, 2006, \$152 million was available to be drawn based on the lending formula under the revolving credit facility and \$122 million was outstanding. At November 30, 2006, \$173 million was available to be drawn down based on the lending formula under our credit facility and \$123 million was outstanding. On December 11, 2006, we applied \$55 million from the net proceeds received by us in the public offering of our common beneficial shares, which was consummated on December 11, 2006, to reduce the outstanding amount due on our credit line to \$68 million and on December 14, 2006 we applied the \$3.5 million received by us on the exercise by the underwriters of their over-allotment option to further reduce the outstanding amount due on our credit line to \$64.5 million. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at 30 day LIBOR plus 225 basis points, or 7.58% per annum as of September 30, 2006. The loan agreement between us and our lenders contains affirmative and negative covenants, including (1) a requirement that the ratio of shareholders' equity to bank debt shall not be less than 1.00 to 1.00, and (2) a required debt coverage ratio of 1.50 to 1.00.

We also have the ability to borrow under two margin lines of credit maintained with a national brokerage firm, secured (1) by the common shares we own in Entertainment Properties Trust and (2) by various other securities that we own. Under the terms of these lines of credit, we may borrow up to an amount equal to 50% of the market value of the securities we own. At September 30, 2006, \$19.5 million was outstanding under one of these margin accounts, and zero was outstanding under the other margin account. For the three month period ended September 30, 2006, the average interest rate paid on these margin accounts was 8.18%. Our margin lines were paid in full by us on December 11, 2006, using a portion of the public offering proceeds.

Trust Preferred Securities

We have issued trust preferred securities, in an aggregate principal amount of \$56.7 million, through two wholly-owned subsidiaries, BRT Realty Trust

Statutory Trust I and BRT Realty Trust Statutory Trust II. Of these, trust preferred securities with an aggregate principal amount of \$25.8 million require distributions at a rate of 8.23% per annum through April 30, 2016, and trust preferred securities with an aggregate principal amount of \$30.9 million require distributions at a rate of 8.49% per annum through April 30, 2016. The trust preferred securities mature on April 30, 2036 and are redeemable at our option at par beginning on April 30, 2011.

Joint Venture with CIT Capital USA, Inc.

On November 2, 2006, BRT Joint Venture No. 1 LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of ours (which we refer to herein as the BRT member), entered into a joint venture agreement with and among (1) CIT Capital USA, Inc., which we refer to herein as the CIT member and which is a wholly owned subsidiary of CIT Group, Inc., and (2) BRT Funding LLC, a limited liability company formed under the laws of the State of Delaware, which we refer to as the joint venture. The joint venture will engage in the business of investing in short-term commercial real estate loans for terms of six months to three years, commonly referred to as bridge loans, similar to those that we originate. The BRT member is the managing member of the joint venture. The initial capitalization of the joint venture will be up to \$100 million, of which 25% will be funded by the BRT member and 75% will be funded by the CIT member. In addition, the joint venture contemplates that it will obtain a line of credit from a third party lender for up to \$50 million. At this time, however, there are no agreements or commitments in place with respect to such line of credit and neither we nor the joint venture can provide any assurance that the joint venture will ultimately obtain a line of credit.

We have agreed to present all loan proposals received by us to the joint venture for its consideration on a first refusal basis, under procedures set forth in the joint venture agreement, until the joint venture originates loans with an aggregate principal amount of \$100 million (or, in the event that a line of credit at the maximum level is obtained, \$150 million).

Following is a summary of the provisions of the joint venture agreement, which is qualified in its entirety by reference to the joint venture agreement, a copy of which was filed as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 8, 2006.

Funding. During the current period and for so long as the joint venture does not have a line of credit from a third party lender, the BRT member will fund 25% of each loan made by the joint venture, and the CIT member will fund 75% of each loan made by the joint venture. In the event that the joint venture obtains a line of credit from a third party lender, the joint venture will draw down on the line of credit to fund one third of each loan made by the joint venture, the BRT member will fund one sixth of the principal amount of such loans and the CIT member will fund half of the principal amount of such loans. The joint venture will fund 100% of the principal amount of loans that meet its investment criteria until the joint venture has originated loans with an aggregate principal amount of \$50 million. Upon funding \$50 million of loans, the joint venture will then fund 50% of the principal of loans that it accepts, and BRT will fund the other 50%, in each such case pursuant to a participation agreement with respect to each such loan to be entered into with the joint venture.

Allocations. We will manage the joint venture and will receive a management allocation calculated as 1% of the loan portfolio amount, annualized, and payable quarterly. Origination fees up to 2% of the principal amount of a loan will be distributed 37.5% to the CIT member and 62.5% to the BRT member. Any amount of origination fees in excess of 2% of the principal amount of a loan but not exceeding 3% of the principal amount of the loan will be paid to REIT Management Corp., BRT's advisor. Any amounts of the joint venture's origination

fees which exceed 3% of the principal amount of a loan will be paid 37.5% to the CIT member and 62.5% to the BRT member. The joint venture will distribute net available cash to its two members on a pro-rata basis until the CIT member receives a return of 9% (inclusive of origination fees), annualized on its outstanding advances. If the joint venture is able to provide the CIT member with an annualized 9% return, thereafter, additional available net cash will be distributed, 37.5% to the CIT member and 62.5% to the BRT member.

Loan Review. Loan proposals presented to the joint venture will be reviewed by BRT's loan committee. Up to three individuals shall be designated as the designees of the CIT member to receive notice of, to attend and to participate in any such meeting of BRT's loan committee. If a proposed loan meets the joint ventures specified investment criteria, it will be deemed accepted by both members. If a proposed loan does not meet such criteria, then following the meeting of the loan committee, the CIT member shall have two business days to indicate its disapproval of the proposal, and if such disapproval is not provided, then the loan proposal shall be deemed approved; provided, however, that in the event that the CIT member requests additional information with respect to any loan proposal, the CIT member shall have two business days following the earlier of (1) the receipt of such information or (2) the loan closing to approve or disapprove of such loan. BRT may originate for its own account any loan that is disapproved, or deemed to be disapproved, by the CIT member.

Losses. If the joint venture sustains any loss of principal with respect to loans that are foreclosed upon, the BRT member will reimburse the CIT member up to 75% of the actual loss, but only to the extent that amounts received by BRT member from cash distributions exceed the BRT member's 9% return, with such reimbursement to be capped at two-thirds of 1% of the highest aggregate principal amount of the venture's loans outstanding.

Restrictions. The joint venture agreement includes a number of restrictions on the activities of BRT, the BRT member, CIT and the CIT member, some of which are summarized herein:

During the term of the joint venture agreement and until eighteen months following the dissolution of the joint venture (which period is referred to as the restricted period), CIT's commercial real estate business unit will not, without the consent of BRT or the BRT member, make any commercial real estate loans to any borrowers that are initially introduced to the joint venture by the BRT member, by a mortgage broker associated with the BRT member or by any of BRT's affiliates.

During the term of the joint venture agreement, without the consent of CIT or of the CIT member, BRT will not make any commercial real estate loan other than through the joint venture or as provided by the joint venture agreement; provided however, that BRT shall not be precluded during the term of the joint venture agreement from making any loan that is disapproved or deemed disapproved by the joint venture or that the joint venture is not able to make because of the absence of available funding.

During the term of the joint venture agreement, BRT will not enter into any transaction or arrangement with any other person to manage or service such person's mortgage loan portfolio or other real estate loans. BRT has also agreed that it shall not during the term of the joint venture agreement, enter into any joint venture or partnership to make, manage or service any third parties mortgage loan portfolio or other real estate loans.

CIT shall be entitled to enter into a joint venture or other arrangement with another person to make or invest in commercial real estate loans, provided that prior to entering into any such joint venture or other arrangement during the restricted period, CIT provides BRT with 30 days notice

of such proposed action. In the event BRT desires to participate in such investment, at a level of up 25% of the investment, BRT shall provide notice of its intention to do so within 20 days of being notified by CIT of the proposed investment. CIT has agreed that in the event that BRT so provides timely notice of its intention to participate, CIT will not close or otherwise proceed with any such joint venture or other arrangement unless BRT is given the opportunity to participate in the investment along substantially the same terms and conditions as CIT.

In addition to the foregoing, CIT shall be entitled to lend funds to another person that makes commercial real estate loans; provided however, that prior to entering into any such transaction during the restricted period, CIT shall provide BRT with 30 days notice of its intention to do so. In the event that BRT desires to participate in any such loan in an amount up to 25% of the loan, BRT shall, within 20 days following its receipt of such notice, gives CIT written notice of its commitment to do so. In the event that BRT does provide such notice within such 20 day period, CIT shall not close on any such loan unless BRT is given he opportunity to participate in CIT's investment on the same or substantially the same terms and conditions as CIT.

Termination. The joint venture agreement is terminable by either member upon 60 days notice. Upon any such termination, any loans then held by the joint venture will continue to be held by the joint venture until the maturity or, if earlier, repayment, of such loans.

We have agreed to pay a fee of 4% of the funds advanced by the CIT member to the joint venture, as and when such funds are advanced, to a merchant banking firm that performed certain services for us and the joint venture in the transaction. One of the managing directors of the merchant bank is an independent director of One Liberty Properties, Inc., which is an affiliate of BRT. The merchant banking firm is otherwise unrelated to BRT.

Our Investment in Entertainment Properties Trust

As of September 30, 2006, we owned approximately 1.0 million common shares of Entertainment Properties Trust, a New York Stock Exchange listed company, which is referred to herein as EPR. These shares were purchased at an average cost for book purposes of \$13.14 per share. As of September 30, 2006, the market value of this investment was approximately \$49.8 million, or \$49.32 per share and as of November 30, 2006 was approximately \$61.3 million, or \$60.70 per share. In our 2006 fiscal year, EPR paid or declared cash dividends to its shareholders at a quarterly rate of \$.6875 per share, which provided us with an annual yield of 21% on our book cost. From time to time, we evaluate our investment in EPR and determine whether or not to sell any EPR shares, taking into consideration EPR's results of operations and business prospects, as well as general market conditions.

Our Real Estate Assets

In addition to originating mortgage loans, we supervise the management of our real estate assets, which include properties that were acquired by foreclosure and properties owned by joint ventures in which we participate as an equity investor. At September 30, 2006, approximately 2% of our total assets, or an aggregate of approximately \$6.2 million, was represented by three operating properties, two of which were acquired by foreclosure. One of the properties acquired in foreclosure, with a book value of \$2.8 million, was sold in October 2006 for a consideration of \$3.2 million. At September 30, 2006, approximately 3% of our total assets, or an aggregate of approximately \$9.6 million, was represented by interests in the joint ventures that collectively own seven properties. In November 2006, one of the joint ventures in which we hold a 50% equity interest sold its only property for a consideration of \$17.4 million, which results in a book gain to us of approximately \$1.8 million in the quarter

ending December 31, 2006. This sale also results in a decrease of \$7.4 million in the amount of our assets represented by interests in joint ventures. From time to time, we evaluate the status of our real estate assets and determine our short-term and long-term objectives for these investments.

Competition

With respect to our real estate lending activities, we compete for investments with other entities, including other mortgage REITs, commercial banks, savings and loan associations, specialty finance companies, conduits, pension funds, public and private lending companies, and mortgage bankers. Competition for mortgage loans is highly competitive, with lenders competing on rate, fees, amounts committed, term and service. Many of our competitors possess greater financial and other resources than we possess. Competitive variables include market visibility, size of loans offered and underwriting standards. To the extent a competitor is willing to risk larger capital in a particular transaction, or employ more liberal underwriting standards, our origination volume and profit margins could be adversely impacted. We compete by offering rapid response time in terms of approval and closing and by offering "no prepayment penalty" loans, and we may offer a higher loan to value ratio than institutional competitors. In order to compete more effectively, we engage in an active advertising and marketing program.

Our Structure

We share facilities, personnel and other resources with several affiliated entities including, among others, Gould Investors L.P., a master limited partnership involved in the ownership and operation of a diversified portfolio of real estate, and One Liberty Properties, Inc., a publicly-traded REIT. Jeffrey A. Gould, our President and Chief Executive Officer, George Zweier, our Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, two officers engaged in loan origination and underwriting activities, four others engaged in underwriting and servicing activities devote substantially all of their business time to our company, while our other personnel share their services on a part-time basis with us and other affiliated entities that share our executive offices. The allocation of expenses for the shared facilities, personnel and other resources is computed in accordance with a shared services agreement by and among us and the affiliated entities, which we refer to as the Shared Services Agreement. The allocation is based on the estimated time devoted by executive, administrative and clerical personnel to the affairs of each entity that is a party to the Shared Services Agreement.

In addition, we are party to an advisory agreement, which we refer to as the Advisory Agreement, between us and REIT Management Corp. Pursuant to the Advisory Agreement, REIT Management furnishes advisory and administrative services with respect to our business, including, without limitation, arranging credit lines for us, participating in our loan analysis and approvals, providing investment advice, providing assistance with building inspections and litigation support. For services performed by REIT Management under the Advisory Agreement, REIT Management has received, and will receive through December 31, 2006, an annual fee of 1% payable on mortgages receivable, subordinated land leases and investments in unconsolidated ventures, as well as an annual fee of 1/2 of 1% of our invested assets other than mortgages receivable, subordinated land leases and investments in unconsolidated ventures. During the year ended September 30, 2006, we paid \$2.7 million directly to REIT Management under the Advisory Agreement. In addition, our borrowers pay fees directly to REIT Management based on their loans, which generally are one-time fees payable upon funding of the

loan commitment, in the amount of 1% of the total commitment amount. During the year ended September 30, 2006, these fees totaled \$3.2 million. The Advisory Agreement has been amended to provide that effective January 1, 2007 the asset management fee will be six tenths of 1% of our invested assets and that there will be an incentive fee from borrowers payable upon funding a loan commitment of 1/2 of 1% of the total commitment amount, provided that we have received at least a loan commitment fee of 1% from the borrower in any such transaction and any loan commitment fee in excess of 1 1/2% of the total commitment amount will be retained by us. REIT Management Corp. is also entitled to receive certain fees under the joint venture agreement with CIT Capital USA, Inc. REIT Management is wholly owned by the chairman of our Board of Trustees and he and other of our executive officers receive compensation, directly or indirectly, from REIT Management Corp. We discuss compensation paid by REIT Management Corp. to our Chairman and to certain of our executive officers in our proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

We believe that the Shared Services Agreement and the Advisory Agreement allow our company to benefit from access to, and from the services of, a group of senior executives with significant real estate knowledge and experience.

We also engage affiliated entities to manage properties held by us and some of the joint ventures in which we are an equity participant, including cooperative apartments. These management services include, among other things, rent billing and collection, property maintenance, contractor negotiation, construction management, sales, leasing and mortgage brokerage. In management's judgment, the fees paid by us to these affiliated entities are competitive with fees that would be charged for comparable services by unrelated entities.

Available Information

You can access financial and other information regarding our company on our website: www.brtrealty.com. The information on our website is not a part of, nor is it incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report. We make available, free of charge, copies of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and Amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after electronically filing such material with, or furnishing such material to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

In addition to the other information contained or incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K, readers should carefully consider the following risk factors:

Risks Related to Our Business

If borrowers default on loans, we will experience a decrease in income and any recovery may be limited by the value of the underlying property

Loan defaults will result in a decrease in interest income and may require an increase in loan loss reserves. The decrease in interest income resulting from loan defaults may be for a prolonged period of time as we seek to recover primarily through legal proceedings, the outstanding principal balance, accrued interest, default interest and our legal costs. These legal proceedings, which may include foreclosure actions and bankruptcy and reorganization proceedings, are expensive and time consuming. The decrease in interest income and the costs involved in seeking to recover the outstanding amounts will reduce the amount of cash available to meet our expenses. In addition, the decrease in interest income and increases in loan loss reserves will have an adverse impact

on our net income, taxable income and shareholders' equity. The decrease in interest income and the costs involved in seeking to recover the outstanding amounts could have an adverse impact on the cash distributions paid by us to our shareholders and our ability to continue to pay cash distributions in the future.

Our primary source of recovery in the event of a loan default is the real property underlying a defaulted loan and, therefore, the value of our loan depends upon the value of the underlying real property. The value of the underlying property is dependent on numerous factors outside of our control, including national, regional and local business and economic conditions, government economic policies, the level of interest rates and non-performance of lease obligations by tenants occupying space at the underlying real property. The loan to value ratio is the ratio of the amount of the loan, plus any senior indebtedness, to the value of the real property underlying the loan as determined by our own in-house procedures. The higher the loan to value ratio, the greater the risk that the amount obtainable from a foreclosure or bankruptcy sale may be insufficient to repay the loan in full upon default. The loan to value ratio of certain of our loans exceeds 80%. In addition, we may find it necessary to acquire the property at a foreclosure sale or bankruptcy auction, in which event we assume the risks that may result from ownership of the property.

If a significant number of our mortgage loans are in default or we otherwise must write down our loans, a breach of our revolving credit facility could occur

Our revolving credit facility with North Fork Bank, VNB New York Corp., Signature Bank and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company includes financial covenants that require us to maintain certain financial ratios, including a debt service ratio and an equity to indebtedness ratio. If a significant number of our mortgage loans are in default or if a recessionary environment exists under which generally accepted accounting principles require us to take provisions against our loans or against our real estate assets, our financial position could be materially adversely affected causing us to be in breach of the financial covenants.

A breach by us of the covenants to maintain the financial ratios would place us in default under our revolving credit facility, and, if the banks called a default and required us to repay the full amount outstanding under the revolving credit facility, we might be required to dispose of assets in a rapid fashion, which could have an adverse impact on the amounts we would receive on such disposition. If we are unable to dispose of assets in a timely fashion to the satisfaction of the banks, the banks could foreclose on all, or any portion of, our loan portfolio pledged to the banks as collateral, which could result in the disposition of loans at below market values. The disposition of loans at below our carrying value would adversely affect our net income, reduce our net worth and adversely affect our ability to pay cash distributions to our shareholders.

The inability of our borrowers to refinance or sell underlying real property may lead to defaults on our loans

A substantial majority of our mortgage portfolio is short term and due within five years. In addition, our borrowers are required to pay all or substantially all of the principal balance of our loans at maturity, in most cases with little or no amortization of principal over the term of the loan. Accordingly, in order to satisfy this obligation, at the maturity of a loan, a borrower will be required to refinance or sell the property or otherwise raise a substantial amount of cash. The ability to refinance or sell or otherwise raise a substantial amount of cash is dependent upon certain factors which neither we nor our borrowers control, such as national, local and regional business and economic conditions, government economic policies and the level of interest

rates. If a borrower is unable to pay the balance due at maturity, and we are not willing to extend or restructure the loan, in most cases we will be required to foreclose on the property, which can be expensive and time consuming and could adversely affect our net income, shareholders' equity and cash distributions to shareholders.

A portion of our loans are subordinate loans which carry a greater risk of loss than senior loans

We also loan funds to our borrowers in the form of junior mortgage loans or junior participations in mortgage loans. Because of their subordinate position, junior liens carry a greater risk than senior liens, including a substantially greater risk of non-payment of interest or principal. A decline in real estate values in the region in which the underlying property is located could adversely affect the value of our collateral, so that the outstanding balance of senior liens may exceed the value of the underlying property.

In the event of a default on a junior lien, if permitted, we may elect to make payments to the senior mortgage holder in order to prevent foreclosure of the senior lien holder. However, in certain situations, we may not have the right to make payments to the senior lien holder, or may choose not to make such payments despite having the right to do so. In such cases, the senior lien holder may foreclose and we will be entitled to share in the proceeds of the foreclosure sale only after amounts due to senior lien holders have been paid in full. This can result in the loss of all or part of our investment, adversely affecting our net income, shareholders' equity and cash distributions to our shareholders.

We may suffer a loss if a borrower defaults on a loan that is secured by undeveloped land

We provide loans that are secured by undeveloped land. These loans are subject to a higher risk of default because such properties generally are not income-producing properties. Following a borrower's default, we may experience delays in enforcing our rights as a lender and may incur costs in protecting our investment. In addition, the market value of such properties may be volatile. Consequently, in the event of a default and foreclosure, we may not be able to sell such a property for an amount equal to our investment or at all. As a result, we may lose all or part of our investment, adversely affecting our net income, shareholders' equity and cash distributions to our shareholders.

We may suffer a loss if a borrower defaults on a loan that is not secured by underlying real estate

We occasionally provide loans that are secured by equity interests in the borrowing entities. These loans are subject to the risk that other lenders may be directly secured by the real estate assets of the borrower. In the event of a default and foreclosure or bankruptcy sale, those secured lenders would have priority over us with respect to the proceeds of a sale of the underlying real estate. As a result, we may lose all or part of our investment, adversely affecting our net income, shareholders' equity and cash distributions to our shareholders.

We are subject to the risks associated with loan participations, such as lack of full control rights

Some of our investments are participating interests in loans in which we share the rights, obligations and benefits of the loan with other participating lenders. We may need the consent of these parties to exercise our rights under such loans, including rights with respect to amendment of loan documentation, enforcement proceeding and the institution of, and control over, foreclosure proceedings. In addition, to the extent our participation represents

a minority interest, a majority of the participants may be able to take actions which are not consistent with our objectives.

We may have less control of our investment when we invest in joint ventures

We have made loans to, and acquired equity interests in, joint ventures that own income producing real property. Our co-venturers may have different interests or goals than we do or our co-venturers may not be able or willing to take an action that is desired by us. A disagreement with respect to the activities of the joint venture could result in a substantial diversion of time and effort by our management and could result in our exercise, or one of our co-venturers exercise, of the buy/sell provision typically contained in our joint venture organizational documents. In addition, there is no limitation under our charter documents as to the amount of funds that we may invest in joint ventures. Accordingly, we may invest a substantial amount of our funds in joint ventures which ultimately may not be profitable as a result of disagreements with and among our co-venturers.

The accounting treatment of the assets held by our CIT joint venture could make it difficult to analyze our future financial statements and to compare them with our prior period financial statements

We presently are a 25% joint venture partner with CIT Capital USA, Inc. in a joint venture that was established in November 2006 to originate bridge loans similar to those which we generally originate. Because our share of earnings from the joint venture will be shown on our financial statements under the equity method of accounting, it will be more difficult to analyze our earnings. In addition, it may be difficult to compare our investment in the joint venture, as reflected in our financial statements, with our financial statements from prior periods.

Our inability to control our joint venture with CIT could result in diversion of time and effort by our management and the inability to achieve the goals of the joint venture

Our investment in the joint venture with CIT Capital USA, Inc. may involve risks not otherwise present in investments made solely by us, including that our co-investor may have different interests or goals than we do, and that our co-investor may not be willing to take an action that is desired by us. Disagreements with our co-investor could result in the inability of the joint venture to successfully fund, finance or otherwise manage loans as intended by the joint venture agreement. In addition, under the joint venture agreement, we have agreed to present loan proposals received by us to the joint venture, for its consideration on a right of first refusal basis, until the joint venture originates loans with an aggregate principal amount of \$100 million (or \$150 million if the joint venture obtains a line of credit of \$50 million). As a result, we will be required to share in the income of all loans we originate that the joint venture accepts, until the joint venture's portfolio reaches \$100 million (or \$150 million if the joint venture obtains a line of credit of \$50 million). The BRT member of the joint venture has also agreed to reimburse the CIT member, on a limited basis, for certain losses, if any, incurred by the joint venture on foreclosed property.

Our allowance for loan losses may not be adequate to cover actual losses

A significant source of risk arises from the possibility that losses could be sustained because borrowers, guarantors and related parties may fail to perform in accordance with the terms of their loans. We maintain an allowance for loan losses to manage the risk associated with loan defaults and non-performance by assessing the likelihood of non-performance, tracking loan performance and diversifying our portfolio. However, unexpected losses may occur that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition,

results of operations and cash flows. Unexpected losses may arise from a wide variety of specific or systemic factors, many of which are beyond our ability to predict, influence or control.

The allowance for loan losses reflects our estimate of the probable losses in our loan portfolio at the relevant balance sheet date. Our allowance for loan losses is based on prior experience, as well as an evaluation of the known risks in the current portfolio and economic factors. The determination of an appropriate level of loan loss allowance is an inherently difficult process and is based on numerous assumptions. The amount of future losses is susceptible to changes in economic, operating and other conditions, including changes in interest rates, that may be beyond our control and these losses may exceed current estimates. Our allowance for loan losses may not be adequate to cover actual loan losses, and future provisions for loan losses could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We are exposed to risk of environmental liabilities with respect to properties to which we take title

In the course of our business, we may foreclose and take title to real estate, and could be subject to environmental liabilities with respect to these properties. We may be held liable to governmental entities or to third parties for property damage, personal injury, investigation and clean-up costs incurred by these parties in connection with environmental contamination, or may be required to investigate or clean up hazardous or toxic substances, or chemical releases at a property. The costs associated with investigation or remediation activities could be substantial. In addition, as the owner or former owner of a contaminated site, we may be subject to common law claims by third parties based on damages and costs resulting from environmental contamination associated with the property. If we become subject to significant environmental liabilities, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

The geographic concentration of our portfolio may make our revenues and the value of our portfolio vulnerable to adverse changes in local economic conditions

A substantial amount of our outstanding loans are secured by properties located in the New York metropolitan area, including New Jersey and Connecticut, and in Florida, although we originate and hold for investment loans secured by real property located anywhere in the United States and Puerto Rico. A lack of geographical diversification may make our mortgage portfolio more sensitive to local or regional economic conditions, which may result in higher default rates than might be incurred if our portfolio were more geographically diverse.

We face intense competition in acquiring desirable mortgage investments

We encounter significant competition from other mortgage REITs, commercial banks, savings and loan associations, specialty finance companies, conduits, pension funds, public and private lending companies and mortgage bankers. Many of our competitors are larger than us, may have greater access to capital and other resources and may have other advantages over us in providing certain services to borrowers. Competition may result in higher prices for mortgage assets, lower yields and a narrower spread of yields over borrowing costs. In addition, an increase in funds available to lenders, or a decrease in borrowing activity, may increase competition for making loans and may result in loans available to us having a greater risk.

We are subject to the general risks of the real estate market. These include adverse changes in general and local economic conditions, demographics, retailing trends and traffic patterns, competitive overbuilding, casualty losses and other factors beyond our control. The value of the collateral underlying our loans, as well as the real estate owned by us and by joint ventures in which we are an equity participant, also may be negatively affected by factors such as the cost of complying with environmental regulations and liability under applicable environmental laws, interest rate changes and the availability of financing. Income from a commercial or multifamily residential property will also be adversely affected if a significant number of tenants are unable to pay rent, if tenants terminate or cancel leases or if available space cannot be rented on favorable terms. Operating and other expenses of properties, particularly significant expenses such as real estate taxes, maintenance costs and casualty and liability insurance costs, generally do not decrease when income decreases and even if revenues increase, operating and other expenses may increase faster than revenues.

Changes in interest rates may harm our results of operations

Our results of operations are likely to be harmed during any period of unexpected or rapid changes in interest rates. A substantial or sustained increase in interest rates could harm our ability to originate mortgage loans or acquire participations in mortgage loans. Interest rate fluctuations may also harm our earnings by causing an increase in mortgage prepayments or by changing the spread between the interest rates on our borrowings and the interest rates on our mortgage assets.

Our revenues and the value of our portfolio may be negatively affected by casualty events occurring on properties securing our loans

We require our borrowers to obtain, for our benefit, comprehensive insurance covering the property and any improvements to the property collateralizing our loan in an amount intended to be sufficient to provide for the costs of replacement in the event of casualty. In addition, joint ventures in which we are an equity participant carry comprehensive insurance covering the property and any improvements to the property owned by the joint venture for the costs of replacement in the event of a casualty. Further, we carry insurance for such purpose on properties owned by us. However, the amount of insurance coverage maintained for any property may not be sufficient to pay the full replacement cost following a casualty event. In addition, the rent loss coverage under a policy may not extend for the full period of time that a tenant may be entitled to a rent abatement that is a result of, or that may be required to complete restoration following, a casualty event. In addition, there are certain types of losses, such as those arising from earthquakes, floods, hurricanes and terrorist attacks, that may be uninsurable or that may not be economically insurable. Changes in zoning, building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors may make it impossible for our borrower, a joint venture or us, as the case may be, to use insurance proceeds to replace damaged or destroyed improvements at a property. If any of these or similar events occur, the amount of coverage may not be sufficient to replace a damaged or destroyed property and/or to repay in full the amount due on all loans collateralized by such property. As a result, our returns and the value of our investment may be reduced.

An SEC investigation involving our affiliate could adversely affect our stock price

On June 21, 2006, One Liberty Properties, Inc., a company affiliated with us, announced that it received a formal order of investigation from the SEC. One Liberty has disclosed that the SEC has requested information regarding "related party" transactions between One Liberty and entities affiliated with it and with certain of One Liberty's officers and directors and compensation paid

to certain of One Liberty's executive officers by those affiliates. In connection with such investigation, the SEC served a subpoena on us requesting that the we produce certain documents, relating to, among other things, related party transactions between us and certain affiliates of ours and our executive officers. One Liberty and BRT have several executive officers and directors in common. Moreover, we have engaged in the past in related party transactions with some of the same affiliated entities as One Liberty and others and continue to do so. We are complying with the SEC's subpoena. We are not aware of the scope of the SEC's investigation of One Liberty. We cannot predict what the outcome of the SEC's investigation or document request will be.

Senior management and other key personnel are critical to our business and our future success may depend on our ability to retain them

We depend on the services of Fredric H. Gould, chairman of our board of trustees, Jeffrey A. Gould, our president and chief executive officer, and other members of our senior management to carry out business and investment strategies. In addition to Jeffrey A. Gould, only three other executive officers, our vice presidents, David Heiden and Mitchell Gould, and our vice president and chief financial officer, George Zweier, devote substantially all of their business time to our company. The remainder of our executive management personnel share their services on a part-time basis with entities affiliated with us and located in the same executive offices. In addition, Jeffrey A. Gould devotes a limited amount of his business time to entities affiliated with us. As we grow our business, we will need to attract and retain qualified senior management and other key personnel, both on a full-time and part-time basis. The loss of the services of any of our senior management or other key personnel or our inability to recruit and retain qualified personnel in the future, could impair our ability to carry out our business and our investment strategies. We do not carry key man life insurance on members of our senior management.

Our transactions with affiliated entities involve conflicts of interest

Entities affiliated with us and with certain of our officers provide services to us and on our behalf and we intend to continue the relationships with such entities in the future. Although our policy is to ensure that we receive terms in transactions with affiliates that are at least as favorable as those that we would receive if the transactions were entered into with unaffiliated entities, these transactions raise the potential that we may not receive terms as favorable as those that we would receive if the transactions were entered into with unaffiliated entities.

We will be adversely affected by a decrease in the market value of, or cash distributions paid on, shares of Entertainment Properties Trust

The closing market value of the shares of EPR owned by us at September 30, 2006 and November 30, 2006 were \$49.8 million and \$61.3 million, respectively, while our cost basis was \$13.3 million. At September 30, 2006, our balance sheet reflects as an asset \$53.3 million of available-for-sale securities, of which \$49.8 million represents the market value of the shares of EPR owned by us on September 30, 2006 and \$36.5 million, or 24% of our shareholders' equity, represents the difference between our cost basis for such shares and the market value for such shares. We have no business relationship, affiliation with or influence over the business or operations of EPR. Any substantial decrease in the market value of EPR shares, whether resulting from activities of EPR, its management, market forces or otherwise, could result in a material decrease in our total assets and our shareholders' equity.

Our ownership of shares of EPR resulted in the receipt by us for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 of cash dividends of \$2.7 million. If there is a decrease in the EPR dividend for any reason, it could reduce the amount of cash distributions available for our shareholders. In addition, if the stock

price of EPR were to decline, our profit from the sale of these shares would decline or could be eliminated.

We have established two margin lines of credit collateralized primarily by the EPR shares owned by us. At September 30, 2006, \$26.5 million was available under these margin lines of credit, of which \$19.5 million was outstanding, and at November 30, 2006, \$31.5 million was available under these margin lines of credit, of which \$19.0 million was outstanding. Our margin lines of credit were paid in full on December 11, 2006 from the proceeds we received from our underwritten public offering completed on December 11, 2006. When we have amounts outstanding under these margin lines of credit, a significant decrease in the value of the EPR shares could result in a margin call and, if cash is not available from other sources, a sale of EPR shares may be required at a time when we would prefer not to sell EPR shares, resulting in the possibility that such shares could be sold at a loss.

Risks Related to the REIT Industry

Failure to qualify as a REIT would result in material adverse tax consequences and would significantly reduce cash available for distributions

We believe that we operate so as to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, also known as the Code. Qualification as a REIT involves the application of technical and complex legal provisions for which there are limited judicial and administrative interpretations. The determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control may affect our ability to qualify as a REIT. In addition, no assurance can be given that legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions will not significantly change the tax laws with respect to qualification as a REIT or the Federal income tax consequences of such qualification. If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will be subject to Federal, state and local income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on our taxable income at regular corporate rates and would not be allowed a deduction in computing our taxable income for amounts distributed to shareholders. In addition, unless entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, we would be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which qualification is lost. The additional tax would reduce significantly our net income and the cash available for distributions to shareholders.

We are subject to certain distribution requirements that may result in our having to borrow funds at unfavorable rates

To obtain the favorable tax treatment associated with being a REIT, we are required, among other things, to distribute to our shareholders at least 90% of our ordinary taxable income (subject to certain adjustments) each year. To the extent that we satisfy the distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income, we will be subject to Federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which certain distributions paid by us with respect to any calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of our ordinary income, 95% of our capital gain net income and 100% of our undistributed income from prior years.

As a result of differences in timing between the receipt of income and the payment of expenses, and the inclusion of such income and the deduction of such expenses in arriving at taxable income, and the effect of nondeductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves and the timing of required debt service (including amortization) payments, we may need to borrow funds on a short-term basis in order to make the distributions to our shareholders necessary to retain the tax benefits associated with qualifying as a REIT, even if we believe that then prevailing market conditions are not generally favorable

for such borrowings. Such borrowings could reduce our net income and the cash available for distributions to holders of our shares.

Compliance with REIT requirements may hinder our ability to maximize profits

In order to qualify as a REIT for Federal income tax purposes, we must continually satisfy tests concerning among other things, our sources of income, the amounts we distribute to our shareholders and the ownership of securities. We may also be required to make distributions to shareholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution. Accordingly, compliance with REIT requirements may hinder our ability to operate solely on the basis of maximizing profits.

In order to qualify as a REIT, we must also ensure that at the end of each calendar quarter at least 75% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, government securities and qualified REIT real estate assets. The remainder of our investment in securities cannot include more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer or more than 10% of the total value of the outstanding securities of such issuer. In addition, no more than 5% of the value of our assets can consist of the securities of any one issuer, other than a qualified REIT security. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we must dispose of the portion of our assets in excess of such amounts within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter in order to avoid losing our REIT status and suffering adverse tax consequences. This requirement could cause us to dispose of assets for consideration of less than their true value and could lead to a material adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

We cannot assure you of our ability to pay dividends in the future

We intend to pay quarterly dividends and to make distributions to our shareholders in amounts such that all or substantially all of our taxable income in each year, subject to certain adjustments, is distributed. This, along with other factors, should enable us to qualify for the tax benefits accorded to a REIT under the Code. We have not established a minimum dividend payment level and our ability to pay dividends may be adversely affected by the risk factors described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. All distributions will be made at the discretion of our board of trustees and will depend on our earnings, our financial condition, maintenance of our REIT status and such other factors as our board of trustees may deem relevant from time to time. We cannot assure you that we will be able to pay dividends in the future.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by us contains certain-forward looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and include this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain beliefs and assumptions and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of words such as "may," "will," "will likely result," "shall," "believe," "expect," "intend," "anticipate," "estimate," "project" or similar expressions or variations thereof. You should not rely on forward-looking statements since they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which are, in some

cases, beyond our control and which could materially affect actual results, performance or achievements. We do not intend to update our forward looking statements. Factors which may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to:

- an inability to originate loans on favorable terms;
- o increased competition from entities engaged in mortgage lending;
- o general and local economic and business conditions;
- o general and local real estate conditions;

o changes in Federal, state and local governmental laws and regulations;

- o an inability to retain our REIT qualification; and
- o the availability of and costs associated with sources of liquidity.

Accordingly, there can be no assurance that our expectations will be realized.

Executive Officers of Registrant

Set forth below is a list of our executive officers whose terms will expire at our 2007 annual Board of Trustees' meeting. The business history of officers who are also Trustees will be provided in our proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than January 29, 2007.

Name	Office		
Fredric H. Gould*	Chairman of the Board of Trustees		
Jeffrey A. Gould*	President and Chief Executive Officer; Trustee		
Matthew J. Gould*	Senior Vice President; Trustee		
Simeon Brinberg**	Senior Vice President; Senior Counsel and Secretary		
David W. Kalish	Senior Vice President, Finance		
Israel Rosenzweig	Senior Vice President		
Mark H. Lundy**	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Assistant Secretary		
George E. Zweier	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer		
David Heiden	Vice President		

Mitchell K. Gould Vice President

* Fredric H. Gould is the father of Jeffrey A. and Matthew J. Gould.
 ** Simeon Brinberg is Mark H. Lundy's father-in-law.

Simeon Brinberg (age 72) has been our Secretary since 1983, a Senior Vice President since 1988, and Senior Counsel since March 2006. Mr. Brinberg has been a Vice President of Georgetown Partners, Inc., the managing general partner of Gould Investors L.P., since October 1988. Gould Investors L.P. is primarily engaged in the ownership and operation of real estate properties held for investment. Since June 1989, Mr. Brinberg has been a Vice President of One

Liberty Properties, Inc., a REIT engaged in the ownership of income producing real properties leased to tenants under long term leases. Mr. Brinberg is a member of the New York Bar and was engaged in the private practice of law for approximately 30 years prior to 1988.

David W. Kalish (age 59) has been our Senior Vice President, Finance since August 1998. Mr. Kalish was our Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from June 1990 until August 1998. He has also been Chief Financial Officer of One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Georgetown Partners, Inc. since June 1990. For more than five years prior to June 1990, Mr. Kalish, a certified public accountant, was a partner of Buchbinder Tunick & Company LLP and its predecessors.

Israel Rosenzweig (age 59) has been a Senior Vice President since April 1998. Mr. Rosenzweig has been a Vice President of Georgetown Partners, Inc. since May 1997 and since 2000 has been President of GP Partners, Inc., an affiliate of Gould Investors L.P., which is engaged in providing advisory services in the real estate and financial services industries to an investment advisor. He also has been a Senior Vice President of One Liberty Properties, Inc. since May 1997.

Mark H. Lundy (age 44) has been our General Counsel and Assistant Secretary since March 2006 and a Senior Vice President since March 2005. Prior to March 2005 and since 1993, he has been a Vice President. He has been the Secretary of One Liberty Properties, Inc. since June 1993 and he also serves as a Senior Vice President of One Liberty Properties, Inc. Mr. Lundy has been a Vice President of Georgetown Partners, Inc. (currently Senior Vice President) since July 1990. He is a member of the bars of New York and Washington, D.C.

George E. Zweier (age 42) has been employed by us since June 1998 and was elected Vice President, Chief Financial Officer in August 1998. For approximately five years prior to joining us, Mr. Zweier, a certified public accountant, was an accounting officer with the Bank of Tokyo--Mitsubishi Limited in its New York office.

David Heiden (age 40) has been employed by us since April 1998 and has been a Vice President since March 1999. From May 1997 until April 1998, Mr. Heiden was an associate at GMAC Commercial Mortgage engaged in originating and underwriting commercial real estate loans for securitization. He is a licensed real estate appraiser and real estate broker.

Mitchell K. Gould (age 33) has been employed by us since May 1998 and has been a Vice President since March 1999. From January 1998 until May 1998, Mr. Gould was employed by Bear Stearns Companies, Inc. where he was engaged in originating and underwriting commercial real estate loans for securitization.

Item 2. Properties.

Our executive offices are located at 60 Cutter Mill Road, Great Neck, New York, where we currently occupy approximately 12,000 square feet with Gould Investors L.P., REIT Management Corp., One Liberty Properties, Inc. and other related entities. The building in which our executive offices are located is owned by a subsidiary of Gould Investors L.P. For the year ended September 30, 2006, we contributed \$69,000 to the annual rent of \$388,000 paid by Gould Investors L.P., REIT Management Corp., One Liberty Properties, Inc., and related entities. We also lease, under a direct lease with a subsidiary of Gould Investors L.P., an additional 1,800 square feet directly adjacent to the 12,000 square feet at an annual rental of \$55,000.

At September 30, 2006, we did not own any real property with a book value equal to or greater than 10% of our total assets. It has been our policy to operate, with a view toward eventual sale, all real estate assets acquired by us in foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure. During the year ended September 30, 2006, we sold two cooperative apartments located in New York City for a gain on sale of \$726,000.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

We are not a defendant in any material pending legal proceedings nor, to our knowledge, is any material litigation threatened against us, other than routine litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, which collectively are not expected to have a material affect on our business, financial condition or results of operation.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

There were no matters submitted to a vote of our security holders during the fourth quarter of the year ended September 30, 2006.

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Our common shares of beneficial interest, or Beneficial Shares, are listed on the New York Stock Exchange, or the NYSE. The following table shows for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices of the Beneficial Shares on the NYSE as reported on the Composite Tape and the per share dividend paid for the periods indicated:

Fiscal Year Ended September 30,	High	Low
2006		
2006		
First Quarter	\$24.75	\$21.90
Second Quarter	27.42	23.80
Third Quarter	27.65	25.00
Fourth Quarter	32.35	25.33
2005		
First Quarter	\$25.10	\$21.05
Second Quarter	24.60	20.70
Third Quarter	24.46	20.75
Fourth Quarter	24.25	22.01
Louion guaroor	21.20	22.01

As of December 11, 2006, there were approximately 1,011 holders of record of our Beneficial Shares and approximately 4,153 shareholders.

We qualify as a REIT for Federal income tax purposes. In order to maintain that status, we are required to distribute to our shareholders at least 90% of our annual ordinary taxable income. The amount and timing of future cash distributions will be at the discretion of our Board of Trustees and will depend upon our financial condition, earnings, business plan, cash flow and other

factors. Provided we are not in default of the affirmative and negative covenants contained in our revolving credit facility with North Fork Bank, VNB New York Corp. and Signature Bank, the credit facility does not preclude the payment by us of the cash distributions necessary to maintain our status as a REIT for Federal income tax purposes.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The table below provides information as of September 30, 2006 with respect to our Beneficial Shares that may be issued under the BRT Realty Trust 1996 Stock Option Plan and the BRT Realty Trust 2003 Incentive Plan:

Number of		
securities		i
to be issued	Weighted-	
upon exercise	average	c
of outstanding	exercise price	pl
options,	of outstanding	
warrants and	options, warrants	
rights	and rights	
(a)	(b)	

Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	26,250 (1)	\$ 8.88
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	_	-
Total	26,250 (1)	\$ 8.88

(1) Does not include 125,010 shares of restricted stock issued to officers, directors, employees and consultants of ours. None of these restricted shares vest, except under special circumstances if vesting is accelerated by our Compensation Committee and Board of Trustees, until 2008.

Item 6. Selected Financial Information.

The following table, not covered by the report of the independent registered public accounting firm, sets forth selected historical financial data for each of the fiscal periods in the five years ended September 30, 2006. This table should be read in conjunction with the detailed information and financial statements appearing elsewhere herein.

		Fiscal Years Ende September 30,			
	2006	2005	2004	2003	
			except for per		
Operating statement data					
Total revenues	\$37,488	\$25,491	\$17,661	\$13,8	
Total expenses	20,708				
Income from continuing operations	19,279	14,441	10,347	12,7	
Discontinued operations	792	1,773			
Net income (1)	20,071	16,214	12,002	13,6	
Income per beneficial share: (1)					
Income from continuing operations	\$2.43	\$1.86	\$1.36	\$1.	
Discontinued operations	.10	.23	.22	•	
Basic earnings per share	\$2.53	\$2.09		\$1.	
Income from continuing operations	\$2.42	\$1.85	\$1.34	\$1.	
Discontinued operations	.10	.23		•	
Diluted earnings per share	\$2.52	\$2.08		 \$1.	
Cash distribution per					
common share	\$2.14	\$1.96	\$1.79	\$1.	
Balance sheet data:					
Total assets	371,042	266,198	198,005	139,0	
Earning real					
estate loans (2)	283,282	192,012	132,229	63,7	
Non-earning real					
estate loans (2)	1,346	1,617	•		
Real estate assets	12,950	12,188	13,680	13,0	
Available-for-sale securities			11 101		
at market Borrowed funds	53,252	48,453			
Borrowed Lunds Junior Subordinated Notes	141,464	110,932	53,86	24,7	
Loans and mortgages	56,702	-	-		
payable	2,471	2,542	2,609	2,6	
Shareholders' equity		142,655	•	125,9	
Sugremoration edurch	101,100	172,000	102,000	12J , J	

(1) Includes \$680,000, \$1,641,000 and \$4,332,000, or \$.09, \$.21 and \$.57 per share on a diluted basis, for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, from gain on sale of available-for-sale-securities. There were no gains from the sale of available-for-sale securities in 2006 or 2002.

(2) Earning and non-earning loans and are presented without deduction of the related allowance for possible losses.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations

General

Our primary business operations involve the origination and holding for investment and servicing of mortgage loans. Our profitability in any year is most affected by the principal amount of loans originated, the type of loans originated and the payoff and pay down of outstanding mortgage loans during such year. These factors determine, to a significant extent, the interest income and fee income earned during such year. We cannot project the principal amount or type of loans which will be originated in any year or those loan applications submitted to us which will be approved by our loan committee or Board of Trustees, as the case may be. Due to the short term nature of our loan portfolio and our "no prepayment penalty" policy, we cannot project the rate of payoffs or paydowns against our loan portfolio in any year. As noted in the discussion below, both the 2006 fiscal year and the 2005 fiscal year reflect an increase in interest and fee income compared to the preceding fiscal year. The primary reason for these increases is the significant increase in loan originations which we attribute to the increase in our marketing activities.

2006 vs. 2005

Interest and fees on loans increased to \$33,263,000 for the year ended September 30, 2006, as compared to \$21,549,000 for the year ended September 30, 2005, an increase of \$11,714,000, or 54%. During the current fiscal year, we experienced an increase in the volume of loan originations that caused the average balance of loans outstanding to increase to \$216,400,000 in the current fiscal year from \$145,700,000 in the prior fiscal year. This resulted in an increase in interest income of \$9,541,000. Increases in the prime rate of interest caused the interest rate earned on our portfolio to increase from 12.63% to 13.62%, resulting in a \$1,539,000 increase in interest income. We recognized an increase of \$1,003,000 in fee income in 2006, which is consistent with the increased loan volume we experienced in the current fiscal year. We also realized \$51,000 of additional interest income from the collection of interest on a loan that was previously in default. Offsetting these increases was a decline in interest income of \$420,000 resulting from the collection of interest in excess of the stated rate on a loan that went into default and was paid in full in the prior fiscal year.

Operating income from real estate properties increased by \$231,000, or 23%, to \$1,214,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 from \$983,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005. This increase is the result of the write off in the 2005 fiscal year of \$370,000 of straight line rent related to a retail tenant that filed for bankruptcy in October 2005. This was offset by the loss of rental income of \$242,000 on this space in the current year. This space was re-leased in July 2006 to a new tenant. Additionally, in fiscal 2006 we recorded \$85,000 of additional income from the refund of real estate taxes on a property that was sold in a prior year.

Other income, primarily investment income, increased by \$52,000, or 2%, from \$2,959,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 to \$3,011,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006. This increase was partially the result of a 10% increase in the annual dividend paid on the EPR shares we own from \$2.50 per share to \$2.75 per share and an increase in the average balance of other investment balances. Offsetting these increases was a decline of \$365,000 from the payoff of a loan in fiscal 2005, a portion of which had been previously written off.

Interest expense on borrowed funds increased to 10,718,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 from 4,324,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005. This increase of 6,394,000, or 148%, is due to an increase

in the average balance of borrowed funds outstanding to fund increased loan originations. The average balance of borrowed funds outstanding increased by \$68.9 million, from \$66.2 million in the prior fiscal year to \$135.1 million in the current fiscal year. This caused an increase in interest expense of \$5,495,000. An increase in the average rate paid on our borrowings from 6.44% to 7.93% caused \$899,000 of the increase in interest expense. The average interest rate includes the amortization of deferred borrowing costs and a .3% fee, based on the value of the assets in the margin account, to maintain the margin account.

The advisor's fee paid to REIT Management Corp., which is calculated pursuant to the Advisory Agreement and is based on invested assets, increased \$820,000, or 44%, in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 to \$2,682,000 from \$1,862,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005. The increase is a result of a larger outstanding balance of invested assets, primarily loans, in the current fiscal year, directly resulting in an increase in the fee.

General and administrative expenses increased to \$5,809,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 from \$4,398,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005. This increase of \$1,411,000, or 32%, was the result of several factors. Payroll and payroll related expenses increased by \$730,000, as a result of staff additions, increased commissions paid to loan originators and restricted stock amortization. In the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, we incurred \$296,000 in legal, professional and printing expenses related to a contemplated public offering which was cancelled due to adverse market conditions. Professional fees also increased by \$111,000 primarily due to foreclosure related legal expenses. The expenses allocated to us pursuant to a shared services agreement among us and related entities for legal and accounting services increased by \$73,000, in the year ended September 30, 2006, primarily as the result of the negotiation of our new credit facility (which closed in January 2006) and as the result of professional services related to the cancelled offering. Advertising expense also increased by \$117,000, as we continued to expand our marketing efforts. The remaining increase in expense of \$84,000 was due to higher operating expenses in several categories, none of which was significant.

Other taxes increased by \$146,000, or 35%, to \$563,000 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 from \$417,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005. This was the result of an increase in the amount of federal excise tax recorded. The federal excise tax is based on taxable income generated during the current fiscal year but not distributed.

Equity in (loss) earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures decreased by \$264,000, or 103%, from \$257,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 to a loss of \$7,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006. In the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, we experienced an increased operating loss of \$557,000 from the operations of a joint venture that owned a property located in Atlanta, Georgia, which was sold in December 2005. This increased loss was the result of increased interest expense of \$882,000, resulting from the prepayment of the first mortgage upon the sale of the property. Additionally, the prior fiscal year contains an increase in income of \$200,000 from another joint venture relating to the sale of cooperative apartment units. Offsetting these declines was the receipt by us of \$437,000, our share of an early termination fee paid by a tenant to our joint venture which owned a property located in Dover, Delaware.

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, we realized a gain on disposition of real estate related to unconsolidated joint ventures, the result

of the sale in December 2005 of a multi-family apartment property located in Atlanta, Georgia. The venture recognized a gain of approximately \$5.1 million, of which we recorded \$2,531,000 as our share.

Gain on sale of available-for-sale securities declined \$680,000, or 100%, from \$680,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 to zero in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006. In the prior fiscal year, we sold 23,900 shares of EPR and other miscellaneous securities which resulted in net proceeds of \$1,059,000 and had a cost basis of \$379,000. There were no securities sales in the current year.

Income from discontinued operations declined \$981,000 from \$1,773,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 to \$792,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006. Discontinued operations in the current fiscal year reflect the operations of a property located in Charlotte, North Carolina, acquired in foreclosure in January 2005, and a \$726,000 gain from the sale of two cooperative apartment units. The discontinued operations in the prior fiscal year reflect the results of operations of the Charlotte property and the operations and gain on sale from a property located in Rock Springs, Wyoming, which we sold in July 2005.

2005 vs. 2004

Interest and fees on loans increased to \$21,549,000 for the year ended September 30, 2005, as compared to \$13,913,000 for the year ended September 30, 2004, an increase of \$7,636,000, or 55%. During the 2005 fiscal year, we experienced an increase in the volume of loan originations that caused the average balance of loans outstanding to increase to \$145,700,000 in the current fiscal year from \$107,300,000 in the prior fiscal year. This resulted in an increase in interest income of \$4,636,000. Increases in the prime rate of interest caused the interest rate earned on our loan portfolio to increase from 10.87% to 12.63%, resulting in a \$2,095,000 increase in interest income. We recognized an increase of \$946,000 in fee income in 2005, which is consistent with the increased loan volume and an acceleration of amortization from the prepayment of loans. We also realized an increase in interest income of \$420,000 resulting from the collection of interest in excess of the stated rate on a loan that went into default in the 2004 fiscal year but was paid in full in the 2005 fiscal year. Offsetting these increases was a decline in interest income of \$461,000 due to the receipt in the 2004 fiscal year of interest in excess of the stated rate on four loans that went into default during the prior year and subsequently returned to performing status.

Operating income from real estate properties declined by \$389,000, or 28%, to \$983,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 from \$1,372,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004. This decline is due to the write off of \$370,000 of straight line rent related to a retail tenant that filed for bankruptcy in October 2005.

Other income, primarily investment income, increased by \$583,000, or 25%, from \$2,376,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004 to \$2,959,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005. This increase was partially the result of a 14% increase in the dividend paid on our EPR shares from \$2.1875 per share to \$2.50 per share. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005, we also recognized \$365,000 of income from the payoff of a loan, a portion of which was written off in a prior year.

Interest expense on borrowed funds increased to \$4,324,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 from \$1,408,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004. This increase of \$2,916,000, or 207%, is due to an increase in the average balance of borrowed funds outstanding to fund our increased loan originations, which increased by \$38.1 million, from \$28.1 million in the prior fiscal year to \$66.2 million in the current fiscal year. This caused an increase

in interest expense of \$2,550,000. An increase in the average rate paid on our borrowings from 4.93% to 6.44% caused \$366,000 of the increase in interest expense. The average interest rate includes the amortization of deferred borrowing costs and a .3% fee, based on the value of the assets in the margin account, to maintain the margin account.

The advisor's fee paid to REIT Management Corp., which is calculated pursuant to the Advisory Agreement and is based on invested assets, increased \$418,000, or 29%, in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 to \$1,862,000 from \$1,444,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004. The increase is a result of a larger outstanding balance of invested assets, primarily loans, in the current fiscal year, thereby causing the increase in the fee.

General and administrative expenses increased to \$4,398,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 from \$3,828,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004. This increase of \$570,000, or 15%, was the result of several factors. Payroll and payroll related expenses increased by \$389,000, as a result of staff additions, increased commissions paid to loan originators and restricted stock amortization. Accounting expenses increased by \$325,000, a result of Sarbanes-Oxley compliance activities. These increases were offset by a \$209,000 decline in legal expenses that resulted from a decline in foreclosure related activities and the expensing in the 2004 fiscal year of legal costs associated with the organization of a "de novo" bank that we did not pursue. The remaining increase in expense of \$65,000 was due to higher operating expenses in several categories, none of which was significant.

Other taxes decreased by \$63,000, or 13%, to \$417,000 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 from \$480,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004. The decrease is the result of a decline of \$172,000 in federal and state income taxes. In the prior fiscal year we were liable for federal and state income taxes on earnings that were not distributed to shareholders. This was offset by a \$109,000 increase in federal excise tax recorded. The federal excise tax is based on taxable income during the current fiscal year not distributed.

Operating expenses relating to real estate declined by \$976,000, or 54%, from \$1,809,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004 to \$833,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005. In the prior fiscal year, we incurred legal and other professional expenses of \$945,000 in connection with a litigation related to a property that was sold in 1997. This litigation was resolved in June 2004. In the current year, we also refinanced the mortgage on an existing leasehold interest resulting in a reduction of interest expense of \$62,000.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures increased by \$55,000, or 27%, from \$202,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004 to \$257,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005. This increase resulted from the sale of three cooperative apartment units by one of our joint ventures, offset by a decline in rental income related to a property located in Dover, Delaware, where, upon a lease renewal, a major tenant reduced the amount of space it occupies.

Gain on sale of available-for-sale securities declined \$961,000, or 59%, from \$1,641,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004 to \$680,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005. In the current fiscal year, we sold 23,900 shares of EPR and other miscellaneous securities which resulted in net proceeds of \$1,059,000 and had a cost basis of \$379,000. In the prior fiscal year, we sold 61,300 shares of EPR and 58,550 shares of Atlantic Liberty which resulted in net proceeds of \$3,384,000 and had a cost basis of \$1,743,000.

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005, gain on sale of real estate assets, which is included in discontinued operations, increased to

\$1,569,000 from \$1,261,000 in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004. In the current fiscal year, the gain resulted from our sale of a shopping center located in Wyoming. In the prior fiscal year, the gain was the result of the sale of one condominium and four cooperative apartment units.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We are primarily engaged in originating and holding for investment senior and junior commercial mortgage loans secured by real property in the United States. From time to time, we also participate as both an equity investor in, and as a mortgage lender to, joint ventures which acquire income-producing real property. Our focus is to originate loans secured by real property, which generally have high yields and are short term or bridge loans, with an average duration ranging from six months to three years. Repayments to us of real estate loans in the amount of \$269.6 million are due during the twelve months ending September 30, 2007, including \$9.9 million due on demand and \$111.0 million secured by mortgages on multi-family properties being converted to condominium ownership. The availability of mortgage financing secured by real property and the market for buying and selling real estate is cyclical. In addition the sale of condominium units by borrowers is dependent on the market conditions for such product in the geographic area in which the property is located, mortgage availability for this product and interest rates available on such mortgage financing. Since these are the principal sources for the generation of funds by our borrowers to repay our outstanding real estate loans, we cannot project the portion of loans maturing during the next twelve months which will be paid or the portion of loans which will be extended for a fixed term or on a month to month basis.

On December 11, 2006, we completed a public offering of 2,800,000 shares of our common shares deriving net proceeds of approximately \$74.3 million, before expenses. On December 13, 2006, the underwriters exercised their over-allotment option in part and purchased an additional 132,500 of our common shares resulting in additional net proceeds to us of approximately \$3.5 million. The net proceeds received by us on December 11, 2006 from the public offering have been used to reduce indebtedness under our margin lines of credit and our revolving credit facility. The net proceeds received by us on December 14, 2006 from the exercise by the underwriters of their over-allotment option have been used to further reduce indebtedness under our revolving credit facility.

Credit Facilities

We have a credit facility with a group of banks consisting of North Fork Bank, VNB New York Corp., Signature Bank and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company. Under the credit facility, North Fork Bank, VNB New York Corp., Signature Bank and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company make available to us up to an aggregate of \$185 million on a revolving basis. The credit facility matures on February 1, 2008 and may be extended for two one-year periods for a fee of \$462,500 for each extension. Under the credit facility, we are required to maintain cash or marketable securities at all times of not less than \$15 million. Borrowings under the credit facility are secured by specific receivables and the facility provides that the amount borrowed will not exceed 65% of first mortgages, plus 50% of second mortgages and certain owned real estate pledged to the participating banks and may not exceed 15% of the borrowing base. At September 30, 2006, \$152.0 million was available to be drawn based on the lending formula under our credit facility and \$122.0 million was outstanding. At November 30, 2006, \$173 million was available to be drawn based on the lending formula under our credit facility and \$123 million was outstanding. On December 14, 2006, our outstanding balance under the credit facility was reduced to \$64.5 million. We applied proceeds derived by us from a public offering of our common beneficial shares, which was consummated on December 11, 2006, plus the additional proceeds we received on December 14, 2006

on the exercise by the underwriters of the over-allotment option to reduce the outstanding balance due to the bank group under the credit facility.

We also have the ability to borrow under our margin lines of credit maintained with national brokerage firms, secured by the common shares we own in EPR and other investment securities. Under the terms of the margin lines of credit, we may borrow up to an amount equal to 50% of the market value of the shares we own. At September 30, 2006, \$26.5 million was available under the margin lines of credit, of which \$19.5 million was outstanding. At November 30, 2006 \$31.5 million was available under the margins lines of credit and \$19.0 million was outstanding. If the value of the EPR shares (our principal securities investment) were to decline, the available funds under the margin lines of credit might decline and we could be required to repay a portion or all of the margin loans. Our margin lines were paid in full on December 11, 2006, using a portion of the public offering proceeds.

Trust Preferred Securities

On March 21, 2006, we issued 30-year subordinated notes to BRT Realty Trust Statutory Trust I, an unconsolidated affiliate of our company. The Statutory Trust was formed to issue \$774,000 worth of common securities (all of the Statutory Trust's common securities) to us and to sell \$25 million of preferred securities to third party investors. The notes pay interest quarterly at a fixed rate of 8.23% per annum for ten years at which time they convert to a floating rate of LIBOR plus 300 basis points. The Statutory Trust remits dividends to the common and preferred security holders on the same terms as the subordinated notes. The subordinated notes and trust preferred securities mature in April 2036 and may be redeemed in whole or in part anytime after five years, without penalty, at our option. To the extent we redeem subordinated notes, the Statutory Trust is required to redeem a corresponding amount of trust preferred securities.

On April 27, 2006, we issued 30-year subordinated notes to BRT Realty Trust Statutory Trust II, an unconsolidated affiliate of our company. The Statutory Trust was formed to issue \$928,000 worth of common securities (all of the Statutory Trust's common securities) to us and to sell \$30 million of preferred securities to third party investors. The notes pay interest quarterly at a fixed rate of 8.49% per annum for ten years at which time they convert to a floating rate of LIBOR plus 290 basis points. The Statutory Trust remits dividends to common and preferred security holders on the same terms as the subordinated notes. The subordinated notes and trust preferred securities mature in April 2036 and may be redeemed in whole or in part anytime after five years, without penalty, at our option. To the extent we redeem subordinated notes, the Statutory Trust is required to redeem a corresponding amount of trust preferred securities.

The trust preferred securities are treated as debt for financial statement purposes. The net proceeds to us from the sale of the subordinated notes has been used, and will continue to be used by us to provide capital to fund our loan originations. The obligations relating to the trust preferred securities are subordinate and junior in right of payment to all of our present and future non-affiliated senior indebtedness, including our revolving credit facility and are considered as equity for the purpose of calculating the covenants under our revolving credit facility.

Cash from Operations

During the twelve months ended September 30, 2006, we generated cash of \$19.9 million from operating activities, \$157.5 million from collections from real estate loans, \$61.2 million from the sale of participations in loans originated by us and \$55 million from the issuance of junior subordinated notes. These funds, in addition to cash on hand and funds borrowed under our revolving

credit facility and our margin lines of credit, were used primarily to fund real estate loan originations of \$309.7 million, and to pay cash distributions to shareholders in the amount of \$16.4 million.

We will satisfy our liquidity needs in the year ending September 30, 2007 from cash and cash investments on hand, the credit facility with North Fork Bank, VNB New York Corp., Signature Bank and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, availability from our margin lines of credit, interest and principal payments received on outstanding real estate loans, and net cash flow generated from the operation and sale of real estate assets.

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth as of September 30, 2006 our known contractual obligations:

	Payment due by Period		
Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years 	3-5 Year
59,173,000	76,000	166,000	188,0
_	_	_	
1,045,000	58,000	116,000	116,0
_	_	_	
	_		
-	_	_	
60,218,000 ========	134,000	282,000	304,0
	 59,173,000 - 1,045,000 - -	Less than Total 1 Year 59,173,000 76,000 1,045,000 58,000 	Less than 1-3 Total 1 Year Years

Outlook

The real estate business is cyclical and to a large extent depends, among other factors, upon national and local business and economic conditions, government economic policies and the level and volatility of interest rates. A difficult or declining real estate market in the New York metropolitan area, in the state of Florida, or in other parts of the country and a recessionary economy could potentially have the following adverse effects on our business: (i) an increase in loan defaults which will result in decreased interest and fees on our outstanding real estate loans; (ii) an increase in loan loss reserves; (iii) an increase in expenses incurred in foreclosures and restructurings; (iv) a decrease in loan originations; (v) a decrease in rental

income from properties owned by us or joint ventures in which we are a venture participant; and (vi) an increase in operating expenses related to real estate properties.

A declining real estate market however could also provide us with opportunities since, in a declining market, other lenders, particularly institutional lenders, become more conservative in their lending activities. If such a lending environment should occur, the amount of potential business for us could increase.

Since approximately 95% of our loan portfolio at September 30, 2006 provides for adjustable interest rates with stated minimum interest rates, an increase or decrease in interest rates should not have a material adverse effect on our revenues and net income. Interest on our mortgage loans is payable to us monthly.

Cash Distribution Policy

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code since our organization. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we distribute currently to our shareholders at least 90% of our adjusted ordinary taxable income. It is the current intention of our management to comply with these requirements and maintain our REIT status. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to corporate Federal income tax on taxable income we distribute currently in accordance with the Code and applicable regulations to shareholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to Federal income taxes at regular corporate rates and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent tax years. Even if we qualify for Federal taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain state and local taxes on our income and to Federal income and excise taxes on undistributed taxable income, i.e., taxable income not distributed in the amounts and in the time frames prescribed by the Code and applicable regulations thereunder.

For tax purposes, we report on a calendar year basis as distinguished from financial reporting purposes for which we are on a September 30th fiscal year. We distributed substantially all of our taxable income for calendar 2005 by October 2006. We estimate taxable income for calendar 2006 will be approximately \$22.8 million, of which approximately \$3.7 million is expected to represent capital gain income. To comply with the time frames prescribed by the Code and the applicable regulations thereunder, at least 90% of the calendar 2006 ordinary taxable income is required to be declared by September 15, 2007 and, assuming we continue to pay the quarterly dividends on or about the 1st day of each calendar quarter (January 1st, April 1st, July 1st and October 1st), distributed by October 1, 2007.

It is our intention to pay to our shareholders within the time periods prescribed by the Code substantially all of our annual taxable income, including gains from the sale of real estate and recognized gains on sale of available-for-sale securities.

Significant Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements. The preparation of financial statements and related disclosure in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make certain judgments and estimates that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Certain of our accounting policies are particularly important to understand our financial position and results of operations and require the application of significant judgments and estimates by our management; as a result they are subject to a degree of uncertainty. These

significant accounting policies include the following:

Allowance for Possible Losses

We review our mortgage portfolio, real estate assets underlying our mortgage portfolio and owned by us and real estate assets owned by joint ventures in which we are an equity participant on a quarterly basis to ascertain if there has been any impairment in the value of the real estate assets underlying our loans or any impairment in the value of any owned real estate assets, in order to determine if there is a need for a provision for an allowance for possible losses against our real estate loans or an impairment allowance against owned real estate assets.

In reviewing the value of the collateral underlying our loan portfolio, our real estate assets, and the real estate assets owned by joint ventures in which we are an equity participant, we seek to arrive at the fair value of the underlying collateral or such real estate on an individual basis by taking into account numerous factors, including, market evaluations of the underlying collateral or the real estate, estimated operating cash flow from the property during a projected holding period and an estimated sales value computed by applying an expected capitalization rate to the stabilized net operating income of the specific property, less selling costs, discounted at market discount rates. Each of these factors entails significant judgments and estimates. Real estate assets held for use and real estate assets owned by joint ventures are evaluated for indicators of impairment using an undiscounted cash flow analysis. If that analysis suggests that the undiscounted cash flows to be generated by the property will be insufficient to recover our investment, an impairment provision will be calculated based upon the excess of the carrying amount of the property over its fair value. Real estate assets which are held for sale are valued at the lower of the recorded cost or estimated fair value, less the cost to sell. We do not obtain any independent appraisals of either the real property underlying our loans or the real estate assets which are owned by us and by the joint ventures in which we are an equity participant, but we rely on our own "in-house" analysis and valuations. Any valuation allowances taken with respect to our loan portfolio or real estate assets will reduce our net income, assets and shareholders' equity to the extent of the amount of the valuation allowance, but it will not affect our cash flow until such time as the property is sold. No additional valuation allowance was recorded against our mortgage portfolio in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 and no valuation adjustment was recorded in fiscal 2006 against any real estate assets.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize interest income and rental income on an accrual basis, unless we make a judgment that impairment of a loan or of real estate owned renders doubtful collection of interest or rent in accordance with the applicable loan documents or lease. In making a judgment as to the collectibility of interest or rent, we consider, among other factors, the status of the loan or property, the borrower's or tenant's financial condition, payment history and anticipated events in the future. Income recognition is suspended for loans when, in the opinion of management, a full recovery of income and principal becomes doubtful. Income recognition is resumed when the loan becomes contractually current and continued performance is demonstrated. Accordingly, management must make a significant judgment as to whether to treat a loan or real estate owned as impaired. If we make a decision to treat a "problem" loan or real estate asset as not impaired and therefore continue to recognize the interest and rent as income on an accrual basis, we could overstate income by recognizing income that will not be collected and the uncollectible amount will ultimately have to be written off. The period in which the uncollectible amount is written off could adversely affect taxable income for a specific year and our ability to pay cash distributions.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk.

Our primary component of market risk is interest rate sensitivity. Our interest income, and to a lesser extent our interest expense, are subject to changes in interest rates. We seek to minimize these risks by originating loans that are indexed to the prime rate, with a stated minimum interest rate, and borrowing, when necessary, from our available revolving bank credit lines which are also indexed to the prime rate. At September 30, 2006, approximately 95% of our portfolio was comprised of variable rate loans tied primarily to the prime rate. Changes in the prime interest rate would affect our net interest income accordingly. When determining interest rate sensitivity, we assume that any change in interest rates is immediate and that the interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities existing at the beginning of the period remain constant over the period being measured. We assessed the market risk for our variable rate mortgage receivables and variable rate debt and believe that a one percent increase in interest rates would cause an increase of income before taxes of \$1.3 million and a one percent decline in interest rates would cause an increase of income before taxes of approximately \$134,000 based on line of credit balance, margin account balance and loan portfolio as of September 30, 2006. In addition, we originate loans with short maturities and maintain a strong capital position. As of September 30, 2006, a majority of our loan portfolio was secured by properties located in the New York metropolitan area, including New Jersey and Connecticut, and in Florida, and it is therefore subject to risks associated with the economies of these localities.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

This information appears in a separate section of this Report following $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Part}}$ IV.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

A review and evaluation was performed by our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based on that review and evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that our current disclosure controls and procedures, as designed and implemented, were effective. There have been no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect our internal controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation. There were no significant material weaknesses identified in the course of such review and evaluation and, therefore, we took no corrective measures.

Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, a company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by a company's board, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP and includes those policies and procedures that:

0

pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail

accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and ispositions of the assets of a company;

- o provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures of a company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of a company; and
- o provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of a company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2006. In making this assessment, our management used criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework.

Based on its assessment, our management believes that, as of September 30, 2006, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria.

Our independent auditors, Ernst & Young, LLP, have issued an audit report on management's assessment of our internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on page F1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Apart from certain information concerning our executive officers which is set forth in Part I of this report, the other information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the applicable information in the proxy statement for our 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, including the information set forth under the captions "Election of Trustees," "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance," "Corporate Governance of Our Company - Code of Business Conduct and Ethics," "Corporate Governance of Our Company -Audit Committee" and "Corporate Governance of Our Company - Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee."

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information concerning our executive compensation required by Item 11 shall be included in the proxy statement to be filed relating to our 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information concerning our beneficial owners required by Item 12 shall be included in the proxy statement to be filed relating to our 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.

The information concerning relationships and certain transactions required by Item 13 shall be included in the proxy statement to be filed relating to our 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

The information concerning our principal accounting fees required by Item 14 shall be included in the proxy statement to be filed relating to our 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

(a)

1. All Financial Statements.

The response is submitted in a separate section of this report following Part IV.

2. Financial Statement Schedules.

The response is submitted in a separate section of this report following Part IV.

- 3. Exhibits:
- 3.1 Third Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Form 10-K of BRT Realty Trust for the year ended September 30, 2005).
- 3.2 By-laws of BRT Realty Trust, formerly known as Berg Enterprise Realty Group (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Form 10-K of BRT Realty Trust for the year ended September 30, 2005).
- 4.1 Junior Subordinated Indenture between JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, as trustee, dated March 21, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-K of BRT Realty Trust filed March 22, 2006).
- 4.2 Amended and Restated Trust Agreement among BRT Realty Trust, JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, Chase Bank USA, National Association and the Administrative Trustees named therein, dated March 21, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Form 8-K of BRT Realty Trust filed March 22, 2006).
- 4.3 Junior Subordinated Indenture between BRT Realty Trust and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, as trustee, dated as of April 27, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-K of BRT Realty Trust filed May 1, 2006).

- 4.4 Amended and Restated Trust Agreement among BRT Realty Trust, JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, Chase Bank USA, National Association and The Administrative Trustees named therein, dated as of April 27, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Form 8-K of BRT Realty Trust filed May 1, 2006).
- 10.1 Amended and Restated Advisory Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2007, between BRT Realty Trust and REIT Management Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K of BRT Realty Trust filed November 27, 2006).
- 10.2 Shared Services Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2002, by and among Gould Investors L.P., BRT Realty Trust, One Liberty Properties, Inc., Majestic Property Management Corp., Majestic Property Affiliates, Inc. and REIT Management Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c) to the Form 10-K of BRT Realty Trust for the year ended September 30, 2002).
 - 10.3 Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of January 9, 2006, between by BRT Realty Trust and North Fork Bank (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K of BRT Realty Trust filed January 11, 2006).
- 10.4 Second Consolidated and Restated Secured Promissory Note, dated October 31, 2006, by BRT Realty Trust in favor of North Fork Bank, in the aggregate principal amount of \$185,000,000. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Form 8-K of BRT Realty Trust filed November 2, 2006).
 - 10.5 Letter, dated January 13, 2006, by North Fork Bank to BRT Realty Trust (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Form 8-K of BRT Realty Trust filed January 17, 2006).
 - 10.6 Second Amendment to Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2006, between BRT Realty Trust and North Fork Bank (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K of BRT Realty Trust filed November 2, 2006).
 - 10.7 Purchase Agreement among BRT Realty Trust, BRT Realty Trust Statutory Trust I and Merrill Lynch International, dated March 21, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K of BRT Realty Trust filed March 22, 2006).
 - 10.8 Purchase Agreement among BRT Realty Trust, BRT Realty Trust Statutory Trust II, and Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc., dated as of April 27, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K of BRT Realty Trust filed May 1, 2006).
 - 10.9 Limited Liability Company Agreement of BRT Funding LLC, dated as of November 2, 2006, by and among BRT Funding LLC, CIT Capital USA, Inc. and BRT Joint Venture No. 1 LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 to the Form 8-K of BRT Realty Trust filed November 8, 2006).
 - 10.10 Underwriting Agreement dated December 5, 2006 between BRT Realty Trust and Friedman, Billings, Ramsey & Co., Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K of BRT Realty Trust filed December 6, 2006).

- 14.1 Revised Code of Business Conduct and Ethics of BRT Realty Trust, adopted June 12, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 to the Form 8-K of BRT Realty Trust filed June 14, 2006).
- 21.1 Subsidiaries (filed herewith).
- 23.1 Consent of Ernst & Young, LLP (filed herewith).
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the "Act") (filed herewith).
- 31.2 Certification of Senior Vice President Finance pursuant to Section 302 of the Act (filed herewith).
- 31.3 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Act (filed herewith).
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Act (filed herewith).
- 32.2 Certification of Senior Vice President-Finance pursuant to Section 906 of the Act (filed herewith).
- 32.3 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Act (filed herewith).
- (b) Exhibits.

See Item 15(a)(3) above.

(c) Financial Statements.

See Item 15(a)(2) above.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

BRT REALTY TRUST

Date: December 12, 2006

By: /s/ Jeffrey A. Gould

Jeffrey A. Gould Chief Executive Officer, President and Trustee

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacity and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Fredric H. Gould	Chairman of the Board	December 12, 2006
Fredric H. Gould		
/s/ Jeffrey A. Gould	Chief Executive Officer, President and Trustee	December 12, 2006
Jeffrey A. Gould	(Principal Executive Officer)	
/s/ Kenneth Bernstein	Trustee	December 12, 2006
Kenneth Bernstein		
/s/ Patrick J. Callan	Trustee	December 12, 2006
Patrick J. Callan		
/s/ Alan Ginsburg	Trustee	December 12, 2006
Alan Ginsburg		
/s/ Louis C. Grassi	Trustee	December 12, 2006
Louis C. Grassi		
/s/ Matthew J. Gould	Trustee	December 12, 2006
Matthew J. Gould		
/s/ Gary Hurand	Trustee	December 12, 2006
Gary Hurand		
/s/ Jeffrey Rubin	Trustee	December 12, 2006
Jeffrey Rubin		
/s/ Jonathan Simon	Trustee	December 12, 2006
Jonathan Simon		
/s/ George E. Zweier George E. Zweier	Chief Financial Officer, Vice President (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	December 12, 2006

Annual Report on Form 10-K Item 8, Item 15(a)(1) and (2)

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules

	Page No.
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2006 and 2005	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8
Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules for the year ended September 30, 2006:	
III - Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation	F-28
IV - Mortgage Loans on Real Estate	F-30

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or the notes thereto.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Trustees and Shareholders of BRT Realty Trust and Subsidiaries

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying

Management Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting in Item 9A, Controls and Procedures, of Form 10K, that BRT Realty Trust and Subsidiaries (the "Trust") maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control--Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). The Trust's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Trust's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that the Trust maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the COSO criteria. Also, in our opinion, the Trust maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2006, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of BRT Realty Trust and Subsidiaries as of September 30, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2006 of the Trust and our report dated December 11, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

New York, New York December 11, 2006

F-1

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Trustees and Shareholders of BRT Realty Trust and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of BRT Realty Trust and Subsidiaries (the "Trust") as of September 30, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2006. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedules are the responsibility of the Trust's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of BRT Realty Trust and Subsidiaries at September 30, 2006 and 2005, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of BRT Realty Trust and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated December 11, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

New York, New York

December 11, 2006

F-2

BRT REALTY TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Balance Sheets (Dollar amounts in thousands except per share amounts)

ASSETS

Real estate loans Earning interest, including \$550 and \$3,500 from related parties Not earning interest

Allowance for possible losses

Real estate assets Real estate properties net of accumulated depreciation of \$725 and \$613 Investment in unconsolidated real estate ventures at equity

Cash and cash equivalents Available-for-sale securities at market Real estate property held for sale Other assets

Total Assets

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Liabilities: Borrowed funds Junior subordinated notes Mortgage payable Accounts payable and accrued liabilities including deposits payable of \$5,061 and \$2,606 Dividends payable

Total liabilities

Commitments and contingencies Shareholders' equity Preferred shares, \$1 par value:

Authorized 10,000 shares, none issued Shares of beneficial interest, \$3 par value: Authorized number of shares, unlimited, issued 9,065 and 8,947 shares Additional paid-in capital Accumulated other comprehensive income - net unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities Unearned compensation Retained earnings

Cost of 1,171 and 1,226 treasury shares of beneficial interest

Total shareholders' equity

Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-3

	BRT 1	REALTY	TRUST .	AND SUB	SIDIA	ARIES	
	Conse	olidat	ed Stat	ements (of Ir	ncome	
(Dollar	amounts	in th	ousands	except	per	share	amounts)

Ye 2006

Revenues: Interest and fees on real estate loans, including \$109, \$651 and \$742 from related parties Operating income from real estate properties Other, primarily investment income	\$ 33,263 1,214 3,011
Total Revenues	37,488
Expenses:	
- Interest – borrowed funds	10,718
Advisor's fees, related party	2,682
General and administrative - including \$782, \$708	
and \$754 to related parties	5,809
Other taxes	563
Operating expenses relating to real estate properties including interest on mortgages payable	
of \$159, \$174 and \$254	791
Amortization and depreciation	145

Total Expenses	20,708
<pre>Income before equity in earnings of unconsolidated real estate ventures, gain on sale of available-for-sale securities, minority interest and discontinued operations Equity (loss) in earnings of unconsolidated real estate ventures Gain on disposition of real estate related to unconsolidated real estate venture</pre>	16,780 (7) 2,531
Income before gain on sale of available-for-sale securities, minority interest and discontinued operations	19,304
Gain on sale of available-for-sale securities Minority interest	_ (25)
Income from continuing operations	19,279
Discontinued Operations Income from operations Gain on sale of real estate assets	66 726
Income from discontinued operations	792
Net income	\$20,071
Earnings per share of beneficial interest:	
Income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations	\$ 2.43 .10
Basic earnings per share	\$ 2.53
Income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations	\$ 2.42 .10
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 2.52
Cash distributions per common share	\$ 2.14
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: Basic	7,931,734
Diluted	======= 7,959,955 =======

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

BRT REALTY TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity Years Ended September 30, 2006, 2005, and 2004 (Dollar amounts in thousands except per share data)

	Sharos of	Additional	Accumulated Other Compre-	Unearned
	Beneficial Interest		hensive Income	Compen- sation
Balances, September 30, 2003 Distributions - Common share	\$ 26,650	\$81 , 151	\$19,282	\$ 406)
(\$1.79 per share)	-	_	-	-
Exercise of Stock Options	-	(74)	-	_
Issuance of restricted stock	_	700	-	(700)
Restricted stock vesting	_	(8)	-	_
Compensation expense - restricted stock	_	_	_	206
Net income	_	_	_	200
Other comprehensive income - unrealized				
gain on sale of avail- able-for-sale				
securities (net of reclassification				
adjustment for gains included in				
net income of \$1,641)	-	-	6,880	_
Comprehensive income	-	_	_	_
Balances, September 30, 2004	26,650	81,769	26,162	(900)
Shares issued – Purchase plan Distributions – Common share	191	1,247	_	_
(\$1.96 per share)	-	-	-	-
Exercise of Stock Options	-	3	-	-
Issuance of restricted stock	-	870	-	(870)
Forfeiture of restricted stock	-	(166)	-	166
Compensation expense -				202
restricted stock Net income	_	_	-	293
Other comprehensive income - unrealized gain	_	_	_	_
on sale of avail- able-for-sale securities (net of reclassification				
adjustment for gains included in net				
income of \$680)	-	-	7,341	-
Comprehensive income	_	_	_	_
-				
Balances, September 30, 2005	26,841	83,723	33,503	(1,311)
Reclassification upon the adoption				
of FASB No 123(R)	-	(1,311)	-	1,311
Shares issued - Purchase plan	353	2,524	_	-
Distributions - Common share				
(\$2.14 per share)	-	-	-	-
Exercise of Stock Options	_	5	_	-
Restricted stock vesting Compensation expense -	-	(32)	-	_
stock option and restricted stock	_	589	_	_
Net income	_		_	_

Other comprehensive income - unrealized gain on sale of avail- able-for-sale securities	_	-	4,816	_
Comprehensive income	-	_	_	-
Balances, September 30, 2006	\$27 , 194	\$85,498	\$38,319	\$ -

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-5

BRT	REALTY	TRUST	AND	SUBS	SIDIA	RIES
Conso	lidated	Statem	ents	s of	Cash	Flows
(1	Dollar	amounts	in	thou	usands	5)

Cash flows from operating activities:	
Net income	\$ 20,071
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided	· _ · / · · _
by operating activities:	
Amortization and depreciation	608
Amortization of restricted stock and stock options	589
Net gain on sale of real estate assets from	000
discontinued operations	(726)
Payoff of loan in excess of carrying amount	-
Net gain on sale of available-for-sale securities	_
Equity in loss (earnings) of unconsolidated	
real estate ventures	7
Gain on disposition of real estate related	
to unconsolidated real estate venture	(2,531)
Distributions of earnings of unconsolidated real estate ventures	681
(Increase) Decrease in straight line rent	(57)
Increase in interest and dividends receivable	(1,418)
(Increase) Decrease in prepaid expenses	(19)
Increase (Decrease) in accounts payable and	
accrued liabilities	5,313
Increase in deferred costs	(2,523)
Other	(146)
Net cash provided by operating activities	19,849
Cash flows from investing activities:	
Collections from real estate loans	157,540
Proceeds from sale of participation interests	61,188
Additions to real estate loans	(309,727)
Net costs capitalized to real estate owned	(244)
Additions to real estate	_

Y

2006

Proceeds from sale of real estate owned Purchase of investment securities Sale of available-for-sale securities Contributions to unconsolidated real estate ventures Distributions of capital of unconsolidated real estate ventures	778 - (40) 987
Net cash used in investing activities	(89,518)
Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from borrowed funds Repayment of borrowed funds Proceeds from sale of junior subordinated notes Mortgage amortization Exercise of stock options Cash distribution - common shares Issuance of shares-stock purchase plan	255,000 (224,468) 55,000 (71) 453 (16,438) 2,877
Net cash provided by financing activities	72,353
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	2,684 5,709
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 8,393

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-6

BRT REALTY TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Dollar amounts in thousands) (Continued)

Year End

2006

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:

Cash paid during the year for inter	est expense \$9,389
Cash paid during the year for incor and excise taxes	le \$ 396
	======

2006

Non cash investing and financing activity:	
Reclassification of loan to real estate upon foreclosure	Ś –
	Ŷ
	=====
Accrued distributions	\$4,491
	======
Junior subordinated notes issued to	
purchase statutory trust common	
securities	\$1,702
Securities	91, 102
	=====

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-7

BRT REALTY TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Years Ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION, BACKGROUND AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization and Background

BRT Realty Trust is a real estate investment trust organized as a business trust in 1972 under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Our principal business activity is to generate income by originating and holding for investment, for our own account, senior and junior real estate mortgage loans secured by real property. The Trust may also participate as both an equity investor in, and as a mortgage lender to, joint ventures which acquire income producing properties.

Principles of Consolidation; Basis of Preparation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of BRT Realty Trust and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. With respect to its unconsolidated joint ventures, as the Trust (1) is primarily the managing member but does not exercise substantial operating control over these entities pursuant to EITF 04-05, and (2) such entities are not variable-interest entities pursuant to FASB Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities", it has determined that such joint ventures should be accounted for under the equity method of accounting for financial statement purposes. Many wholly-owned subsidiaries were organized to take title to various properties acquired by BRT Realty Trust. BRT Realty Trust and its subsidiaries are hereinafter referred to as the "Trust" or the "Company."

Income Tax Status

The Trust qualifies as a real estate investment trust under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code.

The Trustees may, at their option, elect to operate the Trust as a business trust not qualifying as a real estate investment trust.

Income Recognition

Income and expenses are recorded on the accrual basis of accounting for financial reporting purposes. The Trust does not accrue interest on impaired loans where, in the judgment of management, collection of interest according to the contractual terms is considered doubtful. Among the factors the Trust considers in making an evaluation of the amount of interest that is collectable, are the financial condition of the borrower and anticipated future events. The Trust accrues interest on performing impaired loans and records cash receipts as a reduction of the recorded investment leaving the valuation allowance constant throughout the life of the loan. For impaired non-accrual loans, interest is recognized on a cash basis. Loan discounts are amortized over the life of the real estate loan using the constant interest method.

F-8

Loan commitment and extension fee income on loans held in our portfolio is deferred and recorded as a component of interest income over the life of the commitment and loan. Commitment fees are generally non-refundable. When a commitment expires or the Trust no longer has any other obligation to perform, the remaining fee is recognized into income.

Rental income includes the base rent that each tenant is required to pay in accordance with the terms of their respective leases reported on a straight line basis over the initial term of the lease.

The basis on which the cost was determined in computing the realized gain or loss on available-for-sale securities is average historical cost.

Allowance for Possible Losses

A loan evaluated for impairment is deemed to be impaired when based on current information and events, it is probable that the Trust will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. When making this evaluation numerous factors are considered, including, market evaluations of the underlying collateral, estimated operating cash flow from the property during the projected holding period, and estimated sales value computed by applying an expected capitalization rate to the stabilized net operating income of the specific property, less selling costs, discounted at market discount rates. If upon completion of the valuations, the underlying collateral securing the loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, an allowance is created with a corresponding charge to expense.

Real Estate Assets

Real estate properties, shown net of accumulated depreciation, is comprised of real property in which the Trust has invested directly and properties acquired by foreclosure.

When real estate is acquired by foreclosure or by a deed in lieu of foreclosure, it is recorded at the lower of the recorded investment of the loan or estimated fair value at the time of foreclosure. The recorded investment is the face amount of the loan that has been increased or decreased by any accrued interest, acquisition costs and may also reflect a previous direct write down of the loan. Real estate assets, including assets acquired through foreclosure, are operated for the production of income and are depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Costs incurred in connection with the foreclosure of the properties collateralizing the real estate loans and costs incurred to extend the life or improve the assets subsequent to foreclosure are capitalized.

The Trust accounts for the sale of real estate when title passes to the buyer, sufficient equity payments have been received and when there is reasonable assurance that the remaining receivable, if any, will be collected.

Investments in real estate ventures in which the Trust does not have the ability to exercise operational or financial control, are accounted for using the equity method. Accordingly, the Trust reports its pro rata share of net profits and losses from its investments in unconsolidated real estate ventures in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

F-9

Valuation Allowance on Real Estate Assets

The Trust reviews each real estate asset owned, including investments in real estate ventures, for which indicators of impairment are present to determine whether the carrying amount of the asset will be recovered. Recognition of impairment is required if the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by the assets are less than the assets' carrying amount. Measurement is based upon the fair value of the asset. Real estate assets held for sale are valued at the lower of cost or fair value, less costs to sell, on an individual asset basis. Upon evaluating a property, many indicators of value are considered, including estimated current and expected operating cash flow from the property during the projected holding period, costs necessary to extend the life or improve the asset, expected capitalization rates, projected stabilized net operating income, selling costs, and the ability to hold and dispose of such real estate owned in the ordinary course of business. Valuation adjustments may be necessary in the event that effective interest rates, rent-up periods, future economic conditions, and other relevant factors vary significantly from those assumed in valuing the property. If future evaluations result in a diminution in the value of the property, the reduction will be recognized as an addition to the valuation allowance.

Loan Participations

SFAS No. 140 "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities" (SFAS 140") allows the recognition of transfers of financial assets as sales, provided control has been relinquished. Control is deemed to be relinquished only when all of the following conditions have been met: (i) the

assets have been isolated from the transferor, even in bankruptcy or other receivership (true sale opinions are required), (ii) the transferee has the right to pledge or exchange the assets received and (iii) the transferor has not maintained effective control over the transferred assets. In accordance with this standard, the Trust only recognizes its retained interests of loan participations in the financial statements.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments:

Cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable (included in Other assets), accounts payable and accrued liabilities: The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for these instruments approximate their fair values due to the short term nature of these accounts.

Available-for-sale securities: Investments in securities are considered "available-for-sale", and are reported on the balance sheet based upon quoted market prices.

Real estate loans: The earning mortgage loans of the Trust have either variable interest rate provisions, which are based upon a margin over the prime rate, or are currently fixed at effective interest rates which approximate market for similar types of loans. Accordingly, the carrying amounts of the earning, non-impaired mortgage loans approximate their fair values. For loans which are impaired, the Trust has valued such loans based upon the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral.

F-10

Borrowed funds, junior subordinated notes and mortgage payable: There is no material difference between the carrying amounts and fair value because interest rates approximate current market rates for similar types of debt instruments.

Per Share Data

Basic earnings per share was determined by dividing net income applicable to common shareholders for each year by the weighted average number of Shares of Beneficial Interest outstanding during each year. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue Shares of Beneficial Interest were exercised or converted into Shares of Beneficial Interest or resulted in the issuance of Shares of Beneficial Interest that then shared in the earnings of the Company. Diluted earnings per share was determined by dividing net income applicable to common shareholders for each year by the total of the weighted average number of Shares of Beneficial Interest outstanding plus the dilutive effect of the Company's unvested restricted stock and outstanding options using the treasury stock method.

Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments, primarily direct United States treasury obligations and money market type U.S. Government obligations, with maturities of three months or less when

purchased.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Segment Reporting

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 131, Disclosure About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, established standards for the way that public business enterprises report information about operating segments in annual financial statements and requires that those enterprises report selected information about operating segments in interim financial reports. SFAS No. 131 also established standards for related disclosures about products and services, geographical areas, and major customers. As the Trust operates predominantly in one industry segment, management has determined it has one reportable segment and believes it is in compliance with the standards established by SFAS No. 131.

Accounting For Long-Lived Assets

The Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No.144 "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets" which supersedes SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed of"; however it retained the fundamental provisions of that statement related to the recognition and measurement of the impairment of long-lived assets to be "held and used". In addition,

F-11

SFAS No. 144 provides more guidance on estimating cash flows when performing a recoverability test, requires that a long-lived asset or asset group to be disposed of other than by sale (e.g. abandoned) be classified as "held and used" until it is disposed of, and establishes more restrictive criteria to classify an asset or asset group as "held for sale." The adoption of this statement did not have an effect on the earnings or the financial position of the Trust.

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities", which explains how to identify variable interest entities ("VIE") and how to assess whether to consolidate such entities. The provisions of this interpretation became immediately effective for VIE's formed after January 31, 2003. For VIEs formed prior to January 31, 2003, the provisions of this interpretation apply to the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after December 15, 2003. Management has reviewed its unconsolidated joint ventures and determined that none represent variable interest entities which would require consolidation by the Trust pursuant to the interpretation.

New Accounting Pronouncements

Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue 04-5, "Investor's Accounting for an Investment in a Limited Partnership when the Investor is the Sole General Partner and the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights" was ratified by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") in September 2005. This EITF provides guidance in determining whether a general partner controls a limited partnership and what rights held by the limited partners(s) preclude the sole general partner from consolidating the limited partnership in accordance with the U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. This EITF covers entities that are equivalent to limited partnerships, such as limited liability companies, in which the Company is a managing member. This EITF is effective no later than fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005 and as of September 29, 2005 for new or modified arrangements. Management has adopted the EITF issue and its adoption did not have an effect on earnings or the financial position of the Company.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" ("FIN 48"). This interpretation, among other things, creates a two step approach for evaluating uncertain tax positions. Recognition (step one) occurs when an enterprise concludes that a tax position, based solely on its technical merits, is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination. Measurement (step two) determines the amount of benefit that more-likely-than-not will be realized upon settlement. Derecognition of a tax position that was previously recognized would occur when a company subsequently determines that a tax position no longer meets the more-likely-than-not threshold of being sustained. FIN 48 specifically prohibits the use of a valuation allowance as a substitute for derecognition of tax positions, and it has expanded disclosure requirements. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, in which the impact of adoption should be accounted for as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings. The Company is evaluating FIN 48 and has not yet determined the impact the adoption will have on the consolidated financial statements, but it is not expected to be significant.

F-12

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS No. 157"). SFAS No. 157 provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. This statement clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. SFAS No.157 establishes a fair value hierarchy, giving the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable data. SFAS No. 157 applies whenever other standards require assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. This statement is effective in fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company believes that the adoption of this standard on January 1, 2008 will not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 ("SAB 108"), which becomes effective beginning on January 1, 2007. SAB 108 provides guidance on the consideration of the effects of prior period misstatements in quantifying current year misstatements for the purpose of a materiality assessment. SAB108 provides for the quantification of the impact of correcting all misstatements, including both the carryover and reversing effects of prior year misstatements, on the current year

financial statements. If a misstatement is material to the current year financial statements, the prior year financial statements should also be corrected, even though such revision was, and continues to be, immaterial to the prior year financial statements. Correcting prior year financial statements for immaterial errors would not require previously filed reports to be amended. Such correction should be made in the current period filings. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SAB 108.

Reclassification

Certain amounts reported in previous financial statements have been reclassified in the accompanying financial statements to conform to the current year's presentation.

NOTE 2 - REAL ESTATE LOANS

At September 30, 2006, information as to real estate loans, is summarized as follows (Dollar amounts in thousands):

	Total
First mortgage loans:	
Short-term (five years or less):	
Condominium development/units	\$110,995
Multi-family residential	57,623
Land	35,074
Shopping centers/retail	25,689
Office	20,803
Industrial buildings	6,221
Residential	5,598
Second mortgage loans and mezzanine loans:	
Retail	19,225
Multi-family residential	2,850
Office	550
	\$284,628

F-13

A summary of loans at September 30, 2005 is as follows (Dollar amounts in thousands):

	Total
First mortgage loans:	
Long-term:	
Residential	\$ 31
Short-term (five years or less):	
Multi-family residential	103,091
Shopping centers/retail	27,517
Land	23,853
Condominium development/units	18,558

Ea In

Industrial buildings	8,628
Second mortgage loans and junior participations:	
Multi-family residential	9,119
Retail	1,510
Office	1,322
	\$193,629

There was one real estate loan not earning interest at September 30, 2006. This loan, with an outstanding balance of \$1,346,000, is deemed impaired as it is probable that the Trust will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms and an allowance has been established for it. Of the real estate loans earning interest at September 30, 2006 and 2005 \$24,770,000 and \$1,447,000, respectively, were deemed impaired and are subject to allowances for possible losses. During the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, an average of \$3,122,000, \$3,770,000 and \$5,011,000 of real estate loans were deemed impaired, on which \$137,000, \$460,000 and \$373,000 of interest income was recognized.

Loans originated by the Trust generally provide for interest rates, which are indexed to the prime rate. The weighted average earning interest rate on all loans was 13.06% and 12.23% at September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Included in real estate loans is one second mortgage to a venture in which the Trust (through a wholly owned subsidiary) holds a 50% interest. At September 30, 2006, the balance of the mortgage loan was \$550,000. At September 30, 2005, the balance of mortgage loans to ventures was \$3,500,000, which included two second mortgages. Interest received on these loans totaled \$109,000 and \$651,000 for the year ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, respectively.

As of September 30, 2006, two borrowers had loans outstanding, each of which represented in excess of 8% of the outstanding loans. The first borrower had one loan outstanding of \$24,770,000, which is approximately 8.7% of the Trust's loan portfolio and 6.7% of the Trust's total assets. This loan has an adjustable interest rate. The second borrower had a loan outstanding of \$23,623,000, which is approximately 8.3% of the Trust's loan portfolio and 6.4% of the Trust's total assets. This loan has an adjustable interest rate. At September 30, 2006, there were two other borrowers who had multiple loans outstanding at September 30, 2006. The first borrower had two loans outstanding with a combined principal balance at September 30, 2006 of \$21,780,000. The second borrower had five loans outstanding with a combined principal amount at September 30, 2006 of \$19,263,000. These amounts represented 7.7% and 6.8% of the loans outstanding at September 30, 2006, respectively. No other borrower or single loan accounted for more than 6% of the outstanding loans.

F-14

Annual maturities of real estate loans receivable before allowances for possible losses during the next five years and thereafter are summarized as follows (Dollar amounts in thousands):

Years Ending September 30 Amount

\$269,609 14,994
-
-
25
\$284,628

The Trust's portfolio consists primarily of senior and junior mortgage loans, secured by residential and commercial property, 53% of which are located in the New York metropolitan area (which includes New Jersey and Connecticut), 34% in the state of Florida, 5% in the state of Tennessee and 8% in seven other states.

If a loan is not repaid at maturity, in addition to foreclosing on the property, the Trust may either extend the loan or consider the loan past due. The Trust analyzes each loan separately to determine the appropriateness of an extension. In analyzing each situation, management examines many aspects of the loan receivable, including the value of the collateral, the financial strength of the borrower, past payment history and plans of the owner of the property. There were \$172,013,000 of real estate loans receivable which matured in Fiscal 2006, of which, \$51,634,000 were extended.

If all loans classified as non-earning were earning interest at their contractual rates for the year ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, interest income would have increased by \$98,000 and \$198,000, respectively.

At September 30, 2006 the three largest real estate loans had principal balances outstanding of approximately \$24,770,000, \$23,623,000 and \$16,000,000, respectively. Of the total interest and fees earned on real estate loans during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, 5%, 1% and 7% related to these loans, respectively.

The Trust sold participations during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 totaling \$61,188,000. All of these participations were sold at par, and accordingly no gain or loss was recognized on the sales.

Included within the participations sold are 50% pari passu participations the Trust sold to Gould Investors L.P. ("Gould"), a related party, at par, in two separate loans. The first loan in the face amount of \$46 million was sold on March 30, 2006 for \$23 million. At September 30, 2006 the balance on this loan was \$25.9 million and BRT and Gould each retained their 50% pari passu interests. Gould received \$333,438 representing its 50% share of the total commitment fee paid by the borrower. The second loan with an outstanding balance of \$20.8 million, net of interest and repair reserves at September 27, 2006, was sold for \$10.4 million. Gould received a pro rata share of the commitment fee paid by the borrower to BRT, or \$219,818. On November 16, 2006 the Trust repaid this participation in full, at which time the outstanding participation balance was \$9.5 million. Gould has repaid the Trust \$159,000, representing the unamortized portion of the commitment fee of \$219,818.

NOTE 3 - REAL ESTATE ASSETS

Real Estate Properties

A summary of real estate properties for the year ended September 30, 2006 is as follows (Dollar amounts in thousands):

	Sept. 30, 2005 Amount 	Costs Capitalized/ Amortization	Sales
Residential units-shares of Cooperative corporations Shopping centers/retail	\$21 4,012	\$ 31 _	\$ (778) -
Depreciation and Amortization	4,033 (558)	31 (112)	(778) _
Total real estate properties	\$3,475	\$ (81)	\$ (778)

The Trust holds, with a minority partner, a leasehold interest in a portion of a retail shopping center located in Yonkers, New York. The leasehold interest is for approximately 28,500 square feet and, including all option periods, expires in 2045. The minority equity interest, which equals 10%, amounted to \$146,000 at September 30, 2006 and \$130,000 at September 30, 2005 is included as a component of accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet.

Future minimum rentals to be received by the Trust, pursuant to noncancellable operating leases in excess of one year, from properties on which the Trust has title at September 30, 2006 are as follows (Dollar amounts in thousands):

Years Ending September 30,	Amount
2007	\$ 816
2008	928
2009	928
2010	928
2011	964
Thereafter	5,199
Total	\$9,763

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 the Trust sold two cooperative apartments for a gain of \$726,000, which is reported as

discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Investment in Unconsolidated Real Estate Ventures at Equity

At September 30, 2006, the Trust was a partner in eight unconsolidated real estate ventures which operate seven properties. In addition to making an equity contribution, the Trust may hold a first or second mortgage on the property owned by a joint venture. A brief summary of the most significant real estate ventures are listed below:

Blue Hen Corporate Center and Mall - The Trust is a 50% venture partner in the Blue Hen Corporate Center and Mall, located in Dover, Delaware.

F-16

Unaudited condensed financial information for this venture at September 30, 2006 and for the year then ended is as follows (Dollar amounts in thousands):

	Blue Hen Venture
Condensed Balance Sheet	
Cash and cash equivalents Real estate assets, net Other assets	\$ 1,804 14,862 492
Total assets	\$17,158 ========
Mortgages payable Other liabilities Equity	\$ - 249 16,909
Total liabilities and equity	\$17,158
Trust's equity investment	\$ 7,448
Condensed Statement of Operations	
Revenues, primarily rental income	\$ 3,938
Operating expenses Depreciation Interest expense	1,718 609
Total expense	2,327
Net income attributable to members	\$ 1,611 ======
Trust's share of net income	\$ 805 ======

Amount recorded in income statement (1)

(1) The unamortized excess of the Trust's share of the net equity over its investment in the Blue Hen venture that is attributable to building and improvements is being amortized over the life of the related property. The portion that is attributable to land will be recognized upon the disposition of the land.

In November 2006, this property was sold for \$17,400,000 and the Trust recorded a gain of approximately \$1,800,000 for book purposes, on its 50% interest in the joint venture.

Rutherford Glen - In November 2005 one of our joint ventures in which we were a 50% joint venture partner, sold a 248-unit garden apartment complex (Rutherford Glen) in the Atlanta, Georgia area. The joint venture recognized a gain on the sale of approximately \$5,062,000, of which the Trust recorded its 50% share of approximately \$2,531,000. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, the Trust also received cash distributions of \$950,000 from this joint venture. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, the venture recorded losses of \$1,998,000 from the operations of the property which included additional interest expense of \$1,764,000 resulting from the prepayment of the first mortgage upon the sale of the property. The Trust recorded its 50% share of this loss, or \$999,000.

F-17

The remaining six ventures contributed \$170,000 in equity earnings for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006.

NOTE 4 - ALLOWANCE FOR POSSIBLE LOAN LOSSES

The Trust did not record any additional allowance provisions for possible loan losses nor valuation adjustments on owned real estate during the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

An analysis of the allowance for possible losses is as follows (Dollar amounts in thousands):

		Year	Ended	Septem	ber	30,
	2	2006	20	005	2	004
Balance at beginning of year Charge-offs	\$	669	\$	881 (212)	\$	881
Balance at end of year	 \$ ===	669	 \$ ===	 669 =====	 \$ ==	881

The allowance for possible losses applies to two loans aggregating \$26,116,000, aggregating at September 30, 2006, two loans aggregating \$3,065,000 at September 30, 2005 and three loans \$4,919,000 at September 30, 2004.

NOTE 5 - AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE SECURITIES

The cost of available-for-sale securities at September 30, 2006 was

\$14,933,000. The fair value of these securities was \$53,252,000 at September 30, 2006. Gross unrealized gains at September 30, 2006 were \$38,319,000 and are reflected as accumulated other comprehensive income on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. There were no unrealized losses at September 30, 2006.

Included in available for sale securities are 1,009,600 shares of Entertainment Properties Trust (NYSE:EPR), which have a cost basis of \$13,262,000 and a fair value at September 30, 2006 of \$49,793,000. The fair value of the Trust's investment in Entertainment Properties Trust at November 30, 2006 was \$61,283,000. During the year ended September 30, 2005, 23,900 shares were sold for a gain of \$729,000.

NOTE 6 - DEBT OBLIGATIONS

Debt obligations consist of the following (Dollar amounts in thousands):

Septembe

2006

Notes payable – credit facility Margin account	\$122,000 19,464
Borrowed funds	141,464
Junior subordinated notes	56,702
Mortgage payable	2,471
Total debt obligations	\$200,637 ======

F-18

The Trust has a \$185 million credit facility with North Fork Bank, VNB New York Corp., Signature Bank and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company. The credit facility was increased from \$155 million to \$185 million effective October 31, 2006. The credit facility matures on February 1, 2008 and may be extended for two one-year periods for a fee of \$462,500 for each extension. Under the credit facility, the Trust is required to maintain cash or marketable securities at all times of not less than \$15 million. Borrowings under the credit facility are secured by specific receivables and the facility provides that the amount borrowed will not exceed 65% of first mortgages, plus 50% of second mortgages and certain owned real estate pledged to the participating banks and may not exceed 15% of the borrowing base. At September 30, 2006, \$152 million was available to be drawn based on the lending formula under the credit facility and \$122 million was outstanding.

The average outstanding balance on the credit facility for the year ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$88,527,000 and \$49,847,000,

respectively, and the average interest rate paid, which includes amortization of fees, was 7.71% and 6.61%, respectively. Interest expense for the year ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$6,917,000 and \$3,341,000, respectively. The interest rate at September 30, 2006 was 7.58%. At November 30, 2006, \$123 million was outstanding on the credit line.

In addition to its credit facility, BRT has the ability to borrow funds through its two margin accounts. In order to maintain one of the accounts an annual fee equal to .3% of the market value of the pledged securities, which is included in interest expense, is paid. At September 30, 2006, there was an outstanding balance of \$19,464,000 on the first margin account and no balance on the second margin account. The weighted average interest rate at September 30, 2006 was 7.50%. Marketable securities with a fair market value at September 30, 2006 of \$53,252,000 were pledged as collateral. For the year ended September 30, 2006, there was an average outstanding balance of \$19,933,000 at a rate of 7.36%. The average outstanding balance on the margin facilities for the year ended September 30, 2005 was \$16,410,000 and the average interest rate paid was 5.92%. Interest expense for the year ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$1,488,000and \$985,000, respectively. At November 30, 2006, \$18,997,000 was outstanding on the margin accounts.

On April 27, 2006, BRT issued \$30,928,000 principal amount 30-year subordinated notes to BRT Realty Trust Statutory Trust II, an unconsolidated affiliate of BRT. The Statutory Trust was formed to issue \$928,000 worth of common securities (all of the Statutory Trust's common securities) to BRT and to sell \$30 million of preferred securities to third party investors. The notes pay interest quarterly at a fixed rate of 8.49% per annum for ten years at which time they convert to a floating rate of LIBOR plus 290 basis points. The Statutory Trust remits dividends to the common and preferred security holders under the same terms as the subordinated notes. The notes and preferred securities mature in April 2036 and may be redeemed in whole or in part anytime after five years, without penalty, at BRT's option. To the extent BRT redeems notes, the Statutory Trust is required to redeem a corresponding amount of preferred securities. Issuance costs of \$944,500 were incurred in connection with this transaction and are included in other assets. These costs are being amortized over the intended 10-year holding period of the notes. Interest expense for the year ended September 30, 2006 was \$1,157,000.

F-19

On March 21, 2006, BRT issued \$25,774,000 principal amount 30-year subordinated notes to BRT Realty Trust Statutory Trust I, an unconsolidated affiliate of BRT. The Statutory Trust was formed to issue \$774,000 worth of common securities (all of the Statutory Trust's common securities) to BRT and to sell \$25 million of preferred securities to third party investors. The notes pay interest quarterly at a fixed rate of 8.23% per annum for ten years at which time they convert to a floating rate of LIBOR plus 300 basis points. The Statutory Trust remits dividends to the common and preferred security holders under the same terms as the subordinated notes. The notes and preferred securities mature in April 2036 and may be redeemed in whole

or in part anytime after five years, without penalty, at BRT's option. To the extent BRT redeems notes, the Statutory Trust is required to redeem a corresponding amount of preferred securities. Issuance costs of \$822,000 were incurred in connection with this transaction and are included in other assets. These costs are being amortized over the intended 10 year holding period of the notes. Interest expense for the year ended September 30, 2006 was \$1,157,000.

BRT Realty Trust Statutory Trusts I and II are variable interest entities under FIN 46R. Under the provisions of FIN 46, BRT has determined that the holders of the preferred securities are the primary beneficiaries of the two Statutory Trusts. Accordingly, BRT does not consolidate the Statutory Trusts and has reflected the obligations of the Statutory Trusts under the caption "Junior Subordinated Notes." The investment in the common securities of the Statutory Trusts is reflected in other assets and is accounted under the equity method of accounting.

The mortgage payable was placed on a shopping center in which the Trust, through a subsidiary, is a joint venture partner and holds a majority interest in a leasehold position. The mortgage with an original principal balance of \$2,850,000 bears interest at a fixed rate of 6.25% for the first five years and has a maturity of October 1, 2011. There is an option to extend the mortgage to October 1, 2016. At September 30, 2006, the outstanding balance was \$2,471,000.

Scheduled principal repayments on the mortgage during the initial and extended maturity are as follows (Dollar amounts in thousands):

Years Ending Septem	ber 30,	Amount
2007		76
2008		80
2009		86
2010		91
2011 and t	hereafter	2,138
		2,471

NOTE 7 - INCOME TAXES

The Trust has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust ("REIT"), as defined under the Internal Revenue Code of 1985, as amended. As a REIT, the Trust will generally not be subject to Federal income taxes at the corporate level if it distributes at least 90% of its REIT taxable income, as defined, to its shareholders. There are a number organizational and operational requirements the Trust must meet to remain a REIT. If the Trust fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, its taxable income will be subject to

F-20

Federal income tax at regular corporate tax rates and it may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent tax years. Even if it is qualified as a REIT, the Trust is subject to certain state and local income taxes and to Federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed taxable income. For income tax purposes the Trust reports on a calendar year.

During the years ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, the Trust recorded \$563,000 and \$417,000, respectively, of corporate tax expense which included (i) \$574,000 and \$388,000, respectively, for the payment of

Federal excise tax which is based on taxable income generated but not yet distributed; and (ii) (\$11,000) and \$29,000, respectively, for state and local taxes relating to the 2006 and 2005 tax years.

Earnings and profits, which determine the taxability of dividends to shareholders, differ from net income reported for financial statement purposes due to various items among which are timing differences related to depreciation methods and carrying values.

The taxable income is expected to be approximately \$100,000 higher than the financial statement income during calendar 2006.

NOTE 8 - SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Distributions

During the year ended September 30, 2006, BRT declared cash distributions in the amount of \$2.14 per share. It is estimated that 23% of the distribution or \$.49 will be capital gain distributions and the remaining \$1.65 will be ordinary income.

Stock Options

On December 6, 1996, the Board of Trustees adopted the BRT 1996 Stock Option Plan (Incentive/Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan), whereby a maximum of 450,000 shares of beneficial interest are reserved for issuance to the Trust's officers, employees, trustees and consultants or advisors to the Trust. Incentive stock options are granted at per share amounts at least equal to the fair value at the date of grant, whereas for nonstatutory stock options, the exercise price may be any amount determined by the Board, but not less than the par value of a share. In December 2001, the 1996 stock option plan was amended to allow for an additional 250,000 shares to be issued.

In December 1998, the Board of Directors granted, under the 1996 Stock Option Plan options to purchase 180,000 shares of beneficial interest at \$5.9375 per share to a number of officers, employees, consultants and trustees of the Trust. The options are cumulatively exercisable at a rate of 25% per annum, commencing after one year (50,000) and two years (130,000), and expire five years (50,000) and ten years (130,000) after the date of the grant. During the current year 5,000 options were cancelled. At September 30, 2006, there were no remaining options to purchase under the grant.

In December 2000, the Board of Directors granted under the 1996 Stock Option Plan, options to purchase 165,500 shares of beneficial interest at \$7.75 per share to a number of officers, employees and consultants of the Trust. The options are cumulatively exercisable at a rate of 25% per annum, commencing after two years and expire ten years after grant date. During the current year, 33,186 of the options were exercised. At September 30, 2006, options to purchase 15,250 shares are remaining, all of which are exercisable.

F-21

In December 2001 the Board of Directors granted, under the 1996 Stock Option Plan, options to purchase 89,000 shares of beneficial interest at \$10.45 per share to a number of officers, employees and consultants of the Trust. The options are cumulatively exercisable at a rate of 25% per annum, commencing after one year and expiring ten years after grant date. During the current year 18,750 of the options were exercised. At September 30, 2006 options to purchase 11,000 shares are remaining, all

of which are exercisable.

The Trust accounts for its employee stock options under the fair value method. The fair value for these options was estimated at the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions for both 2005 and 2004: risk free interest rate of 4.46%, volatility factor of the expected market price of the Trust's shares of beneficial interest based on historical results of .207, dividend yield of 5.7% and an expected option life of six years.

The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options, which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including expected stock price volatility. Because the Trust's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimated, management believes the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its employee stock options.

The Trust recorded \$17,000 of compensation expense during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 related to options which vested in the current fiscal year. As of December 13, 2005 all stock options have fully vested.

Pro forma net income and earnings per share calculated using the Black-Scholes option valuation model for prior years is as follows (Dollar amounts in thousands):

	Year Ended S
	2005
Net income to common	
shareholders as reported	\$16,214
Less: Total stock-based	
employee compensation	
expense determined under	
fair value based methods	
for all awards	64
Pro forma net income	\$16,150
Pro forma earnings per share of beneficial interest:	
Basic	2.08
Diluted	2.07

Changes in the number of shares under all option arrangements are summarized as follows:

	Year
	2006
Outstanding at beginning of period Cancelled	83,186 (5,000)
Exercised	(51,936)
Outstanding at end of period	26,250
Exercisable at end of period	26,250
Option prices per share outstanding	\$7.75-\$10.45 \$5

As of September 30, 2006, the outstanding options had a weighted average remaining contractual life of approximately 4.6 years and a weighted average exercise price of \$8.88.

Restricted Shares

On December 16, 2002, the Board of Trustees adopted and on March 24, 2003 the shareholders of BRT approved the 2003 BRT Incentive Plan, whereby a maximum of 350,000 shares of beneficial interest may be issued in the form of options or restricted shares to the Trust's officers, employees, trustees and consultants.

During the year ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, the Trust issued 42,450 and 36,950 restricted shares under the Plan, respectively. The shares vest five years from the date of issuance and under certain circumstances may vest earlier. For accounting purposes, the restricted stock is not included in the outstanding shares shown on the balance sheet until they vest. In 2006 the Trust adopted provisions of Financial Accounting Standard Board ("FASB") No. 123 (R), "Shared-Based Payment (revised 2004.)" These provisions require that the estimated fair value of the restricted stock at the date of grant be amortized ratably into expense over the appropriate vesting period. For the year ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, the Trust recognized \$572,000 and \$293,000 of compensation expense.

Changes in number of shares under the 2003 BRT Incentive Plan is shown below:

Years Ended Sept

	2006	2005
Outstanding at beginning of period	86,310	57,080
Issued	42,450	36,950
Cancelled	_	(7,720)
Vested	(3,750)	-
Outstanding at the end of period	125,010	86,310

F-23

Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (Dollar amounts in thousands):

	2006	
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share: Net income	\$20,071	
Denominator:		
Denominator for basic earnings per share -weighted average shares Effect of dilutive securities: Employee stock options	7,931,734 28,221	7,
Denominator for diluted earnings per share - adjusted weighted average shares and assumed conversions	7,959,955	7,
Basic earnings per share	\$ 2.53	
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 2.52	

Treasury Shares

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, no shares were purchased by the Trust.

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, 94,386 treasury shares were issued in connection with the exercise of stock options and

restricted stock issuance under the Trust's plans. In the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005, the Trust issued 91,168 Treasury shares in connection with the exercise of stock options under the Trust's existing stock option plan. As of September 30, 2006, the Trust owns 1,170,716 treasury shares of beneficial interest at an aggregate cost of \$10,086,000.

NOTE 9 - ADVISOR'S COMPENSATION AND CERTAIN TRANSACTIONS

Certain of the Trust's officers and trustees are also officers, directors of REIT Management Corp. ("REIT"), to which the Trust pays advisory fees for administrative services and investment advice. Fredric H. Gould, Chairman of the Board, is the sole shareholder of REIT Management Corp. The agreement, which expires on December 31, 2010, provides that directors and officers of REIT may serve as trustees, officers and employees of the Trust, but shall not be compensated for services rendered in such latter capacities. Advisory fees are charged to operations at a rate of 1% on real estate loans and 1/2 of 1% on other invested assets. Advisory fees amounted to \$2,682,000, \$1,862,000 and \$1,444,000 for the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. At September 30, 2006, \$133,000 remains unpaid and is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet.

Our Borrowers pay fees directly to REIT Management Corp. based on their loans, which generally are one-time fees payable upon funding of the loan commitment, in the amount

F-24

of 1% of the total commitment. These fees, which are allowed by the advisory agreement, on loans arranged on behalf of the Trust and amounted to \$3,200,000, \$2,697,000 and \$2,029,000 for the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The Advisory Agreement between us and REIT Management Corp., an affiliate of BRT has been amended and restated. As a result, effective January 1, 2007, the Advisory Agreement will provide that we pay REIT Management Corp. a base fee of six tenths of 1% of our invested assets, and that our borrowers pay REIT Management Corp. an incentive fee upon funding a loan commitment of 1/2 of 1% of the total commitment amount, provided that we have received at least a loan commitment fee of 1% from the borrower in any such transaction.

Management of certain properties for the Trust is provided by Majestic Property Management Corp., a corporation in which our chairman is the sole shareholder, under renewable year-to-year agreements. Certain of the Trust's officers and Trustees are also officers and directors of Majestic Property Management Corp. Majestic Property Management Corp. provides real property management, real estate brokerage and construction supervision services to the Trust and its joint venture properties. For the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004 these fees for these services aggregated \$322,000, \$387,000 and \$400,000, respectively.

The Chairman of the Board of Trustees of the Trust is also Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of One Liberty Properties, Inc., a related party, and is an executive officer and sole shareholder of Georgetown Partners Inc., the managing general partner of Gould Investors L.P. and the sole member of Gould General LLC, a general partner of Gould Investors L.P., a related party. Certain of the Trust's officers and Trustees are also officers and directors of

Georgetown Partners Inc. During the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004, allocated general and administrative expenses reimbursed by the Trust to Gould Investors L.P. pursuant to a Shared Services Agreement, aggregated \$782,000, \$708,000 and \$754,000, respectively. At September 30, 2006, \$101,000 remains unpaid and is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet.

NOTE 10 - COMMITMENT

The Trust maintains a non-contributory defined contribution pension plan covering eligible employees and officers. Contributions by the Trust are made through a money purchase plan, based upon a percent of qualified employees' total salary as defined. Pension expense approximated \$237,000, \$202,000 and \$180,000 during the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. At September 30, 2006, \$7,000 remains unpaid and is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet.

NOTE 11 - OTHER MATTERS

One Liberty Properties, Inc. ("One Liberty"), an entity affiliated with BRT, announced on June 21, 2006 that it had received notification of a formal order of investigation from the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). One Liberty has disclosed that the SEC has requested information regarding "related party" transactions between One Liberty and entities affiliated with it and with certain of One Liberty's officers and directors and compensation paid to certain of One Liberty's executive officers by those affiliates. In

F-25

connection with such investigation, the SEC served a subpoena on BRT requesting that it produce certain documents, relating to, among other things, related party transactions between BRT and certain affiliates of BRT and BRT's executive officers. One Liberty and BRT have several executive officers and directors in common. Moreover, BRT has engaged in the past in related party transactions with some of the same affiliated entities as One Liberty and others and continues to do so. BRT is complying with the SEC's subpoena.

NOTE 12 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On November 1, 2006, BRT sold a property that was previously acquired in foreclosure. This property which was classified as held for sale was sold for \$3,200,000. BRT will record a gain on the sale of approximately \$350,000. In connection BRT provided a purchase money mortgage in the amount of \$2,560,000.

On November 2, 2006, BRT Joint Venture I LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Trust (which is referred to as the BRT member), entered into a joint venture agreement with and among (1) CIT Capital USA, Inc., which is referred to herein as the CIT member and which is a wholly owned subsidiary of CIT Group, Inc. and (2) BRT Funding LLC, a limited liability company formed under the laws of the State of Delaware, which is referred to as the joint venture. The joint venture will engage in the business of investing in short-term commercial real estate loans for terms of six months to three years, commonly referred to as bridge loans. The BRT member is the managing member of the joint venture. The initial capitalization of the joint venture will be up to \$100 million of which 25% will be funded by the BRT member and 75% will be funded by the CIT member. In addition, the joint venture contemplates that it

will obtain a line of credit from a third party lender for up to \$50 million. At this time, however, there are no agreements or commitments in place with respect to such line of credit and neither we nor the joint venture can provide any assurance that the joint venture will ultimately obtain any such line of credit.

We will manage the joint venture and will receive a management allocation calculated as 1% of the loan portfolio amount, annualized, and payable quarterly. Origination fees up to 2% of the principal amount of a loan will be distributed 37.5% to the CIT member and 62.5% to the BRT member. Any amount of origination fees in excess of 2% of the principal amount of a loan but not exceeding 3% of the principal amount of the loan will be paid to REIT Management Corp., BRT's advisor. Any amounts of the joint venture's origination fees which exceeds 3% of the principal amount of a loan will be paid 37.5% to the CIT member and 62.5% to the BRT member. The joint venture will distribute net available cash to its two members on a pro-rata basis until the CIT member receives a return of 9% (inclusive of origination fees), annualized on its outstanding advances. If the joint venture is able to provide the CIT member with an annualized 9% return, thereafter, additional available net cash will be distributed, 37.5% to the CIT member and 62.5% to the BRT member.

We have agreed to present all loan proposals received by us to the joint venture for its consideration on a first refusal basis, under procedures set forth in the joint venture agreement, until the joint venture originates loans with an aggregate principal amount of \$100 million (or, in the event that a line of credit at the maximum level is obtained, \$150 million).

On December 5, 2006, the Trust entered into an Underwriting Agreement with Friedman, Billings, Ramsey & Co., Inc., as representative of the several underwriters named in the Agreement in connection with the public offering of 2,800,000 shares of its beneficial interest, par value \$3.00 per share. The Agreement also grants the Underwriters an option

F-26

to purchase up to an additional 420,000 Common Shares from the Trust to cover over-allotments, if any, until December 14, 2006. The offering closed on December 11, 2006 and the net proceeds to the Trust, after deducting the underwriting discount and estimated offering expenses payable by the Trust, was approximately \$73.6 million. On December 13, 2006 the underwriters exercised the over allotment option to the extent of 132,500 shares resulting in additional net proceeds to the Trust, after underwriting discount, of approximately \$3,500,000.

NOTE 13 - QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (Unaudited)

1st Quarter OctDec.	2nd Quarter JanMarch 	3rd Quarter April-June
		2006
\$ 7,400	\$ 8,121	\$10,106

Income before equity in earnings of unconsolidated real estate ventures, gain on sale of available-for-sale securities, minority interest and discontinued operations Discontinued operations Net income	3,131 (62) 4,715	3,653 345 4,119	4,336 48 4,950
Income per beneficial share Continuing operations Discontinued operations	.61 (.01)	.48	.61 .01
Basic earnings per share	\$.60	\$.52	\$.62
	lst Quarter OctDec.	2nd Quarter JanMarch 	3rd Quarter April-June
			2005
Revenues Income before equity in earnings of unconsolidated real estate ventures, gain on sale of available-for-sale securities,	\$ 5,806	\$ 5,735	2005 \$ 6,021
Income before equity in earnings of unconsolidated real estate ventures, gain on sale of	\$ 5,806 3,429 109 4,311	\$ 5,735 3,074 81 3,039	
<pre>Income before equity in earnings of unconsolidated real estate ventures, gain on sale of available-for-sale securities, minority interest and discontinued operations Discontinued operations</pre>	3,429 109	3,074 81	\$ 6,021 3,060 58

Per share earnings represent basic earnings per beneficial share.

(a) Calculated on weighted average shares outstanding for the fiscal year. May not foot due to rounding.

F-27

BRT REALTY TRUST SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE PROPERTIES AND ACCUMULATED DEPREC SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Description	Encum- brances		And	Costs Subsequent	Gross Capitalized to Acquisition Carrying Costs	Sept		16
Residential Charlotte, North Carolina Commercial Yonkers, New York			\$1,945 4,000	\$ 464 12	-	\$501 	\$2,409 4,012	\$2,91
TOTAL	\$2,471 =====	\$ 501 =====	\$5,945 =====	\$ 476 =======	\$ -	\$501 =====	\$6,421	\$6,92 ===== (a)

F-28

BRT REALTY TRUST SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE PROPERTIES AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Notes to the schedule:

(a)	Total real estate properties	\$ 6,922
	Less: Accumulated depreciation and	
	amortization	747
	Net real estate properties	\$ 6 , 175

- (b) Amortization of the Trust's leasehold interests is over the shorter of estimated useful life or the term of the respective land lease.
- (c) Information not readily obtainable.
- (d) Reported as real estate property held for sale on consolidated balance sheet.

A reconciliation of real estate properties (including real estate property held for sale) is as follows:

	2006
	6 C 11
Balance at beginning of year Additions:	\$ 6,11
Acquisitions	
Capitalization of expenses	24
	6,36
Deductions:	
Sales	7
Depreciation/amortization	11
	18
Balance at end of year	\$ 6,17

The aggregate cost of investments in real estate assets for federal income tax purposes approximates book value.

F-29

BRT REALTY TRUST SCHEDULE IV - MORTGAGE LOANS ON SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

DESCRIPTION	# OF LOANS	FINAL INTEREST RATE	MATURITY DATE	PERIO	DIC PAYME	NT TERMS
First mortgage loans:						
Short term:						
Multi-family/Condo conversion Apopka, FL	1	Prime+5.00	Apr-07	Interest r	monthly,	principa
Multi-family/Condo conversion	1	Prime+4.00	Sept-07	Interest r	monthly,	principa

Land, Daytona Beach, FL	1			terest monthly, j	
Retail, Hoboken, NJ	1			terest monthly, g	
Condominium, Tampa, FL	1			terest monthly, p	
Multi-family Condo conversion New York, NY	1	Prime+5.00%	Sept-07 Int	terest monthly,]	principa
Apartments, Ft. Wayne, IN Condominium Units,	1	Prime+5.00%	Oct-06 Inte	erest monthly, p	rincipal
Miami, FL	1	Prime+4.75%	Mar-07 Tr	nterest monthly,	princir
Multi-family Condo, Titusville, FL		Prime+5.00%		nterest monthly,	
Aiscellaneous					
\$0-\$999	9				
\$1,000-\$1,999	12				
\$2,000-\$2,999	6				
\$3,000-\$3,999	7				
\$4,000-\$4,999	2				
\$5,000-\$5,999	6				
\$6,000-\$6,999	2				
\$7,000-\$7,999	2				
Junior mortgage loans and mezzanine	loan:				
Short Term:					
Apartments, Wildwood, NJ	1	Prime+5.00%	Mar-07 Ir	nterest monthly,	princip
Miscellaneous					
\$0-\$999	1				
\$1,000-\$1,999	2				
\$3,000-\$3,999	1				
\$5,000-\$5,999	1				
\$5,000-\$5,999	⊥ 				
	61				
	F-30				
			F	BRT REALTY TRUST	
		SCHE		ORTGAGE LOANS ON	REAL ES
				SEPTEMBER 30, 20	
				ar amounts in the	
					E
				CARRYING	OI
DESCRIPTION			ACE AMOUNT 7 MORTGAGES	AMOUNT OF MORTGAGES	PRIN
First mortgage loans:					
Short term:					

Short term: Multi-family/Condo conversion Apopka, FL

\$24,770 \$24,126

Multi-family/Condo conversion	23,623	23,623	
New York, NY			
Land, Daytona Beach, FL	16,000	16,000	
Retail, Hoboken, NJ	13,302	13,302	
Condominium, Tampa, FL	12,953	12,953	
Multi-family Condo conversion	10,772	10,772	
New York, NY			
Apartments, Ft. Wayne, IN	10,601	10,601	
Condominium Units,			
Miami, FL	10,303	10,303	
Multi-family Condo, Titusville, FL	8,828	8,828	
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Miscellaneous			
\$0-\$999	3,641	3,641	
\$1,000-\$1,999	17,635	17,610	
\$2,000-\$2,999	13,985	13,985	
\$3,000-\$3,999	24,875	24,875	
\$4,000-\$4,999	8,808	8,808	
\$5,000-\$5,999	33,916	33,916	
\$6,000-\$6,999	13,467	13,467	
\$7,000-\$7,999	14,524	14,524	
Junior mortgage loans and mezzanine loan:			
Short Term:			
Apartments, Wildwood, NJ	10,250	10,250	
Miscellaneous			
\$0-\$999	550	550	
\$1,000-\$1,999	2,850	2,850	
\$3,000-\$3,999	3,564	3,564	
\$5,000-\$5,999	5,411	5,411	
	· · ·	\$283,959	

F-30

BRT REALTY TRUST SCHEDULE IV - MORTGAGE LOANS ON REAL ESTATE SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Notes to the schedule:

(a) The following summary reconciles mortgage loans at their carrying values:

Year	Ended	Sep
	2	2005
	-	

2006

Balance at beginning of year	\$192,960	\$134 , 4
Additions: Advances under real estate loans	309,727	259 , 3
	502,687	393 , 7
Deductions:		
Collections of principal	157,540	159 , 9
Sale of participation interests	61,188	38,4
Transfer to real estate upon foreclosure	_	2,4
	218,728	200,8
Balance at end of year	\$283,959	 \$192 , 9

- (b) Carrying amount of mortgage loans in 2004 are net of a direct write off in the amount of \$365 that was recognized in a prior year and allowances for loan losses in the amount of \$669 in 2006 and 2005 and \$881 in 2004.
- (c) The aggregate cost of investments in mortgage loans is the same for financial reporting purposes and Federal income tax purposes.

F-31

EXHIBIT 21.1

SUBSIDIARIES

COMPANY STATE OF INCORPORATION	
Forest Green Corporation	New York
TRB No. 1 Corp.	New York
Blue Realty Corp.	Delaware
TRB No. 3 Owners Corp.	Wyoming
2190 Boston Post Road Realty Corp.	New York
TRB Ashbourne Road Corp.	Pennsylvania
BRT Funding Corp.	New York
TRB 69th Street Corp.	New York
TRB Lawrence Realty Corp.	New York
TRB Yonkers Corp.	New York

TRB Hartford Corp. TRB Realty Atlanta LLC TRB Stroudsburg Realty LLC TRB New York Corp. TRB Charlotte Apartments LLC BRT Joint Venture No. 1 LLC BRT Realty Trust Statutory Trust I BRT Realty Trust Statutory Trust II Connecticut Georgia Pennsylvania New York North Carolina Delaware Delaware Delaware

EXHIBIT 23.1

Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-128458 pertaining to the shelf registration of securities) and in the related Prospectuses, and the Registration Statements (Form S-8 No. 333-101681 pertaining to the 1996 Stock Option Plan, Form S-8 No. 333-104461 pertaining to the 2003 Incentive Plan, and Form S-3 No. 333-118915 pertaining to the Dividend Reinvestment and Share Purchase Plan) of BRT Realty Trust of our reports dated December 11, 2006, with respect to the consolidated financial statements and schedules of BRT Realty Trust, BRT Realty Trust management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting of BRT Realty Trust, included in this Annual Report (Form 10-K) for the year ended September 30, 2006.

New York, New York December 11, 2006

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Exhibit 31.1 CERTIFICATION

I, Jeffrey A. Gould, President and Chief Executive Officer of BRT Realty Trust, certify that:

- I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 of BRT Realty Trust;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material

respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

> (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

> (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

> (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal controls over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 14, 2006

/s/ Jeffrey A. Gould
----President and Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 31.2 CERTIFICATION I, David W. Kalish, Senior Vice President-Finance of BRT Realty Trust, certify that:

- I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 of BRT Realty Trust;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal controls over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 14, 2006

/s/ David W. Kalish
-----Senior Vice President-Finance

Exhibit 31.3 CERTIFICATION

I, George Zweier, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of BRT Realty Trust, certify that:

- I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 of BRT Realty Trust;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth

quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal controls over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

 (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 14, 2006

/s/ George Zweier -----Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT 32.1

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER

PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 (SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002)

The undersigned, Jeffrey A. Gould, the Chief Executive Officer of BRT Realty Trust, does hereby certify to his knowledge, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that based upon a review of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2006 of the registrant, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof:

(1) The report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and

(2) The information contained in the report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the registrant.

Date: December 14, 2006

/s/ Jeffrey A. Gould

Jeffrey Gould Chief Executive Officer

EXHIBIT 32.2

CERTIFICATION OF SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT-FINANCE

PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 (SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002)

The undersigned, David W. Kalish, Senior Vice President-Finance of BRT Realty Trust, does hereby certify to his knowledge, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that based upon a review of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2006 of the registrant, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof:

(1) The report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and

(2) The information contained in the report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the registrant.

Date: December 14, 2006

/s/ David W. Kalish

David W. Kalish Senior Vice President-Finance

EXHIBIT 32.3

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER

PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 (SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002)

The undersigned, George Zweier, the Chief Financial Officer of BRT Realty Trust, does hereby certify to his knowledge, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that based upon a review of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2006 of the registrant, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof:

(1) The report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and

(2) The information contained in the report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the registrant.

Date: December 14, 2006

/s/ George Zweier

George Zweier Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
