KINDER MORGAN, INC. Form 10-Q October 23, 2015 <u>Table of Contents</u>

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

b QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2015

or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____to____

Commission file number: 001-35081

KINDER MORGAN, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 80-0682103 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1001 Louisiana Street, Suite 1000, Houston, Texas 77002 (Address of principal executive offices)(zip code) Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 713-369-9000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer b Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No þ

As of October 21, 2015, the registrant had 2,231,514,695 Class P shares outstanding.

KINDER MORGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Glossary Information Regarding Forward-Looking Statements	Page Number <u>2</u> <u>3</u>
<u>Item 1.</u>	PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION Financial Statements (Unaudited) Consolidated Statements of Income - Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income - Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 Consolidated Balance Sheets - September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity - Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014	4 5 6 7 8
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	9
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> <u>General and Basis of Presentation</u> <u>Results of Operations</u> <u>Financial Condition</u>	<u>39</u> <u>39</u> 55
<u>Item 3.</u>	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>58</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures	<u>58</u>
<u>Item 1.</u>	PART II. OTHER INFORMATION Legal Proceedings	<u>58</u>
<u>Item</u> 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>58</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>58</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	<u>58</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>59</u>
<u>Item 5.</u>	Other Information	<u>59</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	Exhibits	<u>59</u>
	Signature	<u>60</u>

KINDER MORGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES GLOSSARY

Company Abbreviations

CIG	= Colorado Interstate Gas Company, L.L.C.	KMI	$= \frac{\text{Kinder Morgan, Inc. and its majority-owned}}{\text{and/or}}$
Copano	= Copano Energy, L.L.C.		controlled subsidiaries
CPG	= Cheyenne Plains Gas Pipeline Company, L.L.C.	KMLP	= Kinder Morgan Louisiana Pipeline LLC
Elba Express	= Elba Express Company, L.L.C.	KMP	= Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. and its
EPB	= El Paso Pipeline Partners, L.P. and its majority-		majority-owned and controlled subsidiaries
	owned and controlled subsidiaries	KMR	= Kinder Morgan Management, LLC
EPNG	= El Paso Natural Gas Company, L.L.C.	SFPP	= SFPP, L.P.
EPPOC	= El Paso Pipeline Partners Operating Company,	SLNG	= Southern LNG Company, L.L.C.
	L.L.C.	SNG	= Southern Natural Gas Company, L.L.C.
KMEP	= Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P.	TGP	= Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, L.L.C.
KMGP	= Kinder Morgan G.P., Inc.		

Unless the context otherwise requires, references to "we," "us," or "our," are intended to mean Kinder Morgan, Inc. and its majority-owned and/or controlled subsidiaries.

Common Industry and Other Terms

Common m	dustry and Other Terms		
/d	= per day	FASB	= Financial Accounting Standards Board
AFUDC	= allowance for funds used during construction	FERC	= Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
BBtu	= billion British Thermal Units	GAAP	= United States Generally Accepted Accounting
Bcf	= billion cubic feet		Principles
CERCLA	= Comprehensive Environmental Response,	LLC	= limited liability company
	Compensation and Liability Act	MBbl	= thousand barrels
CO_2	= carbon dioxide or our CO_2 business segment	t MMBbl	= million barrels
CPUC	= California Public Utilities Commission	NGL	= natural gas liquids
DCF	= distributable cash flow	NYMEX	= New York Mercantile Exchange
DD&A	= depreciation, depletion and amortization	NYSE	= New York Stock Exchange
EBDA	= earnings before depreciation, depletion and	OTC	= over-the-counter
	amortization expenses, including amortization of	PHMSA	= United States Department of Transportation
	excess cost of equity investments		Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety
EPA	= United States Environmental Protection Agency		Administration

When we refer to cubic feet measurements, all measurements are at a pressure of 14.73 pounds per square inch.

Information Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This report includes forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are identified as any statement that does not relate strictly to historical or current facts. They use words such as "anticipate," "believe," "intend," "plan," "projection," "forecast," "strategy," "position," "continue," "estimate," "expect," "may," or the negative of those terms or othe variations of them or comparable terminology. In particular, expressed or implied statements concerning future actions, conditions or events, future operating results or the ability to generate sales, income or cash flow or to pay dividends are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance. They involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Future actions, conditions or events and future results of operations may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements. Many of the factors that will determine these results are beyond our ability to control or predict.

See "Information Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" and Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 (2014 Form 10-K) and Item 1A "Risk Factors" included elsewhere in this report for a more detailed description of factors that may affect the forward-looking statements. You should keep these risk factors in mind when considering forward-looking statements. These risk factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement. Because of these risks and uncertainties, you should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement. We plan to provide updates to projections included in this report when we believe previously disclosed projections no longer have a reasonable basis.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

KINDER MORGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)

	Three M Septemb	onths Ended oer 30,	Nine Mon September	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Revenues				
Natural gas sales	\$744	\$1,043	\$2,206	\$3,154
Services	2,015	2,050	5,948	5,655
Product sales and other	948	1,198	2,613	3,466
Total Revenues	3,707	4,291	10,767	12,275
Operating Costs, Expenses and Other				
Costs of sales	1,106	1,642	3,281	4,895
Operations and maintenance	612	557	1,707	1,580
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	617	520	1,725	1,518
General and administrative	160	135	540	461
Taxes, other than income taxes	108	105	339	326
Loss on impairments and disposals of long-lived assets, net	385		489	3
Other income, net	(2) —	(5) —
Total Operating Costs, Expenses and Other	2,986	2,959	8,076	8,783
Operating Income	721	1,332	2,691	3,492
Other Income (Expense)				
Earnings from equity investments	114	107	330	306
Loss on impairments of equity investments			(26) —
Amortization of excess cost of equity investments	(13) (12) (39) (33)
Interest, net	(540) (432) (1,524) (1,320)
Other, net	9	30	33	56
Total Other Expense	(430) (307) (1,226) (991)
Income Before Income Taxes	291	1,025	1,465	2,501
Income Tax Expense	(108) (246) (521) (624)
Net Income	183	779	944	1,877
Net Loss (Income) Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests	3	(450) 4	(977)
Net Income Attributable to Kinder Morgan, Inc.	\$186	\$329	\$948	\$900

Class P Shares Basic Earnings Per Common Share	\$0.08	\$0.32	\$0.43	\$0.87
Basic Weighted Average Shares Outstanding	2,203	1,028	2,173	1,028
Diluted Earnings Per Common Share	\$0.08	\$0.32	\$0.43	\$0.87
Diluted Weighted Average Shares Outstanding	2,203	1,028	2,181	1,028
Dividends Per Common Share Declared for the Period	\$0.51	\$0.44	\$1.48	\$1.29

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

KINDER MORGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (In Millions)

(Unaudited)

	Three Mor September 2015		Nine Mor Septembe 2015		ed
Net income Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	\$183	\$779	\$944	\$1,877	
Change in fair value of hedge derivatives (net of tax (expense) benefit of \$(60), \$(37), \$(25) and \$4, respectively)	104	121	44	(20)
Reclassification of change in fair value of derivatives to net income (net of tax benefit (expense) of \$37, \$1, \$111 and \$(8), respectively)	(63)	(1)	(192)	29	
Foreign currency translation adjustments (net of tax benefit of \$45, \$23, \$98 and \$24, respectively)	(79)	(73)	(170)	(79)
Benefit plan adjustments (net of tax expense of \$-, \$(1), \$(4) and \$-, respectively)	1	(1)	7	_	
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	(37)	46	(311)	(70)
Comprehensive income Comprehensive loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests Comprehensive income attributable to KMI	146 3 \$149	825 (478) \$347	633 4 \$637	1,807 (933 \$874)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

KINDER MORGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In Millions, Except Share and Per Share Amounts)

ASSETS Current Assets	
	4 1,641
~	4 1,641
Cash and cash equivalents \$179	
Accounts receivable, net 1,404	459
Inventories 445	
Fair value of derivative contracts529	535
Deferred income taxes 50	56
Other current assets 460	746
Total current assets3,067	7 3,752
Property, plant and equipment, net 40,60	38,564
Investments 5,94	-
Goodwill 24,95	
Other intangibles, net 3,619	
Deferred income taxes 5,32	
Deferred charges and other assets 2,16	,
Total Assets\$85,	677 \$83,049
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current Liabilities	
Current portion of debt \$3,0	03 \$2,717
Accounts payable 1,220	6 1,588
Accrued interest 563	637
Accrued contingencies 322	383
Other current liabilities 1,07	7 1,037
Total current liabilities6,19	6,362
Long-term liabilities and deferred credits	
Long-term debt	
Outstanding 39,6'	75 38,212
Preferred interest in general partner of KMP 100	100
Debt fair value adjustments 1,85	-
Total long-term debt41,63	
Other long-term liabilities and deferred credits 2,014	-
Total long-term liabilities and deferred credits43,64	
Total Liabilities49,83	48,623
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 3 and 9) Stockholders' Equity	
Class P shares, \$0.01 par value, 4,000,000,000 shares authorized, 2,227,894,462 and 2,125,147,116 shares, respectively, issued and outstanding 22	21

Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, none			
outstanding		_	
Additional paid-in capital	40,062	36,178	
Retained deficit	(4,242) (2,106)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(328) (17)
Total Kinder Morgan, Inc.'s stockholders' equity	35,514	34,076	
Noncontrolling interests	328	350	
Total Stockholders' Equity	35,842	34,426	
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$85,677	\$83,049	
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financia	al statements.		

KINDER MORGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In Millions) (Unaudited)

	Nine Mont September		
	2015	2014	
Cash Flows From Operating Activities			
Net income	\$944	\$1,877	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	1,725	1,518	
Deferred income taxes	524	369	
Amortization of excess cost of equity investments	39	33	
Loss on impairments and disposals of long-lived assets and equity investments, net	515	3	
Earnings from equity investments	(330) (306)
Distributions from equity investment earnings	289	294	
Pension contributions and noncash pension benefit credits	(78) (79)
Changes in components of working capital, net of the effects of acquisitions			
Accounts receivable, net	304	23	
Income tax receivable	195		
Inventories	2	(29)
Other current assets	82	3	
Accounts payable	(264) (90)
Accrued interest, net of interest rate swaps	(72) (113)
Accrued contingencies and other current liabilities	6	228	
Other, net	(374) (239)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	3,507	3,492	
Cash Flows From Investing Activities			
Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(1,864) (961)
Acquisitions of other assets and investments	(55) (139)
Capital expenditures	(2,999) (2,678)
Contributions to investments	(69) (342)
Distributions from equity investments in excess of cumulative earnings	181	138	
Other, net	84	(38)
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(4,722) (4,020)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities			
Issuances of debt	12,281	13,399	
Payments of debt	(11,893) (11,585)
Debt issue costs	(20) (52)
Issuances of shares	3,833		
Cash dividends	(3,084) (1,304)
Repurchases of shares and warrants	(12) (192)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	7	1,638	
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(25) (1,491)
Other, net	(1) (2)

Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities	1,086	411	
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents	(7) (9)
Net decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of period Cash and Cash Equivalents, end of period	(136 315 \$179) (126 598 \$472)
Non-cash Investing and Financing Activities Assets acquired by the assumption or incurrence of liabilities Net assets contributed to equity investment	\$1,680 \$46	\$73 \$—	
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information Cash paid during the period for interest (net of capitalized interest) Cash (refunded) paid during the period for income taxes, net	\$1,596 \$(183	\$1,446) \$228	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

KINDER MORGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (In Millions) (Unaudited)

	Nine Mo	nths Ende	d Septembe	r 30, 2015				
	Outstand shares	Par ingalue of common shares	Additional paid-in capital	Retained deficit	other	d Stockholder equity ivettributable to KMI	Non-controllin	ng Total
Beginning Balance at December 31, 2014	2,125	\$21	\$36,178	\$(2,106)	\$ (17)	\$34,076	\$ 350	\$34,426
Issuances of shares Warrants repurchased	101	1	3,832 (12)			3,833 (12)))	3,833 (12)
EP Trust I Preferred security conversions	1		23			23		23
Warrants exercised Restricted shares Net income Distributions	1		2 40	948		2 40 948	(4)	2 40 944 (25)
Contributions Cash dividends Other			(1)	(3,084)		 (3,084) (1)	(25) 7	(25) 7 (3,084) (1)
Other comprehensive loss Ending Balance at September 30, 2015	2,228	\$22	\$40,062	\$(4,242)	(311) \$ (328)	(- , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\$ 328	(311) \$35,842
	Nine Mo	onths Ende	ed Septembe	er 30, 2014				
	Nine Mo Outstand shares	Par value of common	ed Septembe Additional paid-in n capital		Accumulate other	dStockholder equity ivætributable to KMI	Non-controllin	Total
Beginning Balance at	Outstand	Par value ling of	Additional paid-in	Retained	Accumulate other comprehens loss	equity ivattributable to KMI	Non-controllin	¹⁹ Total \$28,285
December 31, 2013 Shares repurchased Warrants repurchased Restricted shares	Outstand shares	Par value of common shares	Additional paid-in n capital	Retained deficit	Accumulate other comprehens loss	equity ivættributable to KMI	Non-controllin interests	
December 31, 2013 Shares repurchased Warrants repurchased Restricted shares Impact from equity transactions of KMP,	Outstand shares	Par value of common shares	Additional paid-in n capital \$14,479 (94) (98)	Retained deficit	Accumulate other comprehens loss	equity ivættributable to KMI \$13,093 (94) (98)	Non-controllin interests	\$28,285 (94) (98)
December 31, 2013 Shares repurchased Warrants repurchased Restricted shares Impact from equity transactions of KMP, EPB and KMR Net income Distributions	Outstand shares	Par value of common shares	Additional paid-in capital \$14,479 (94) (98) 38	Retained deficit	Accumulate other comprehens loss	equity ivættributable to KMI \$13,093 (94) (98) 38	Non-controllin interests \$ 15,192 (44) 977 (1,491)	\$28,285 (94) (98) 38 (15) 1,877 (1,491)
December 31, 2013 Shares repurchased Warrants repurchased Restricted shares Impact from equity transactions of KMP, EPB and KMR Net income Distributions Contributions Cash dividends Other	Outstand shares	Par value of common shares	Additional paid-in capital \$14,479 (94) (98) 38	Retained deficit \$(1,372)	Accumulate other comprehens loss \$ (24)	equity ivættributable to KMI \$ 13,093 (94) (98) 38 29 900 	Non-controllin interests \$ 15,192 (44) 977 (1,491) 1,638 (4)	\$28,285 (94) (98) 38 (15) 1,877 (1,491) 1,638 (1,304) 3
December 31, 2013 Shares repurchased Warrants repurchased Restricted shares Impact from equity transactions of KMP, EPB and KMR Net income Distributions Contributions Cash dividends	Outstand shares	Par value of common shares	Additional paid-in n capital \$14,479 (94) (98) 38 29	Retained deficit \$(1,372) 900	Accumulate other comprehens loss	equity ivættributable to KMI \$ 13,093 (94) (98) 38 29 900 	Non-controllin interests \$ 15,192 (44) 977 (1,491) 1,638 (4)	\$28,285 (94) (98) 38 (15) 1,877 (1,491) 1,638 (1,304)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

KINDER MORGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

1. General

Organization

We are the largest energy infrastructure and the third largest energy company in North America with an enterprise value of approximately \$110 billion. We own an interest in or operate approximately 84,000 miles of pipelines and 165 terminals. Our pipelines transport natural gas, refined petroleum products, crude oil, condensate, CO_2 and other products, and our terminals transload and store petroleum products, ethanol and chemicals, and handle such products as coal, petroleum coke and steel. We are also the leading producer and transporter of CO_2 , which is utilized for enhanced oil recovery projects in North America.

On November 26, 2014, we completed our acquisition, pursuant to three separate merger agreements, of all of the outstanding common units of KMP and EPB and all of the outstanding shares of KMR that we did not already own. The transactions, valued at approximately \$77 billion, are referred to collectively as the "Merger Transactions." On January 1, 2015, EPB and its subsidiary, EPPOC merged with and into KMP. References to EPB refer to EPB for periods prior to its merger into KMP.

Prior to the Merger Transactions, we owned an approximate 10% limited partner interest (including our interest in KMR) and the 2% general partner interest including incentive distribution rights in KMP, and an approximate 39% limited partner interest and the 2% general partner interest and incentive distribution rights in EPB. Effective with the Merger Transactions, the incentive distribution rights held by the general partner of KMP were eliminated.

The earnings recorded by KMP, EPB and KMR that are attributed to their units and shares, respectively, held by the public prior to the Merger Transactions are reported as "Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests" in our accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Basis of Presentation

General

Our reporting currency is U.S. dollars, and all references to dollars are U.S. dollars, unless stated otherwise. Our accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared under the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). These rules and regulations conform to the accounting principles contained in the FASB's Accounting Standards Codification, the single source of GAAP. Under such rules and regulations, all significant intercompany items have been eliminated in consolidation. Additionally, certain amounts from prior years have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

In the nine months ended September 30, 2015, we adopted Accounting Standards Updates (ASU) 2015-03, "Interest-Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs" and ASU 2015-15, "Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements—Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to Staff Announcement at June 18, 2015 EITF Meeting (SEC Update)." These ASUs are designed to simplify presentation of debt issuance costs. The standards require that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability, except for

line-of-credit debt issuance costs, be presented in the balance sheet as an offset to the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. The application of this new accounting guidance resulted in the reclassification of \$149 million of debt issuance costs from "Deferred charges and other assets" to "Debt fair value adjustments" in our accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2014.

Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year; accordingly, you should read these consolidated financial statements in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included in our 2014 Form 10-K.

Impairments

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, we recorded non-cash pre-tax impairment charges of \$387 million and \$523 million, respectively. These amounts include \$388 million and \$397 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, within our CO_2 business segment primarily related to our Goldsmith oil and gas field,

primarily driven by a decrease in commodity prices during the quarter. The nine months ended September 30, 2015 amount also includes \$99 million of impairments, related to the sale of certain gas gathering and processing assets within our Oklahoma midstream operations and the continued deterioration of the commodity price environment, and \$26 million related to our investments in Fort Union Gas Gathering L.L.C. and Bighorn Gas Gathering L.L.C., which are all included in our Natural Gas Pipelines business segment.

As conditions warrant, we routinely evaluate our assets for potential triggering events that could impact the fair value of certain assets or our ability to recover the carrying value of long-lived assets. Such assets include accounts receivable, equity investments, goodwill, other intangibles and property plant and equipment, including oil and gas properties and in-process construction. Depending on the nature of the asset, these evaluations require the use of significant judgments including but not limited to judgments related to customer credit worthiness, future cash flow estimates, future volume expectations, current and future commodity prices, management's decisions to dispose of certain assets, as well as general economic conditions and the related demand for products handled or transported by our assets. In the current commodity price environment and to the extent conditions further deteriorate, we may identify additional triggering events that may necessitate further impairments to the carrying value of our assets. Such non-cash impairments could have a significant effect on our results of operations.

Earnings per Share

We calculate earnings per share using the two-class method. Earnings were allocated to Class P shares of common stock and participating securities based on the amount of dividends paid in the current period plus an allocation of the undistributed earnings or excess distributions over earnings to the extent that each security participates in earnings or excess distributions over earnings. Our unvested restricted stock awards do not participate in excess distributions over earnings.

The following tables set forth the allocation of net income available to shareholders of Class P shares and participating securities and the reconciliation of Basic Weighted Average Shares Outstanding to Diluted Weighted Average Shares Outstanding (in millions):

-	Three Mont	hs Ended	Nine Mon	ths Ended
	September 3	30,	September	: 30,
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Class P	\$182	\$327	\$938	\$892
Participating securities(a)	4	2	10	8
Net Income Attributable to Kinder Morgan, Inc.	\$186	\$329	\$948	\$900
	Three Mon	ths Ended	Nine Mon	ths Ended
	Three Mon September		Nine Mon September	211000
	111100		1 1110 11101	
Basic Weighted Average Shares Outstanding	September	30,	September	r 30,
Basic Weighted Average Shares Outstanding Effect of dilutive securities:	September 2015	30, 2014	September 2015	r 30, 2014
	September 2015	30, 2014	September 2015	r 30, 2014

Participating securities are unvested restricted stock awards, which may be stock or stock units issued to

(a) management employees and include non-forfeitable dividend equivalent payments. As of September 30, 2015, there were approximately 8 million such restricted stock awards.

(b)

Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one share of our common stock for an exercise price of \$40 per share, payable in cash or by cashless exercise, at any time until May 25, 2017.

The following potential common stock equivalents are antidilutive and, accordingly, are excluded from the determination of diluted earnings per share (in millions on a weighted-average basis):

	Three Mo	nths Ended	Nine Mon	ths Ended
	September	r 30,	September	r 30,
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Unvested restricted stock awards	8	7	7	7
Warrants to purchase our Class P shares	296	298	290	316
Convertible trust preferred securities	8	10	8	10

2. Acquisitions

Hiland Partners, LP

On February 13, 2015, we acquired Hiland Partners, LP, a privately held Delaware limited partnership (Hiland) for aggregate consideration of approximately \$3,120 million, including assumed debt. Approximately \$368 million of the debt assumed was immediately paid down after closing. Hiland's assets consist primarily of crude oil gathering and transportation pipelines and gas gathering and processing systems, primarily handling production from the Bakken Formation in North Dakota and Montana. The acquired gathering and processing assets are included in our Natural Gas Pipelines business segment while the acquired crude oil transport pipeline (Double H pipeline) is included in our Products Pipelines business segment.

Vopak Terminal Assets

On February 27, 2015, we acquired three U.S. terminals and one undeveloped site from Royal Vopak (Vopak) for approximately \$158 million in cash. The acquisition included (i) a 36-acre, 1,069,500-barrel storage facility at Galena Park, Texas that handles base oils, biodiesel and crude oil and is immediately adjacent to our Galena Park terminal facility; (ii) two terminals in North Carolina: one in North Wilmington that handles chemicals and black oil and the other in South Wilmington that is not currently operating; and (iii) an undeveloped waterfront access site in Perth Amboy, New Jersey. We include the acquired assets as part of the Terminals business segment.

Allocation of Purchase Price

The evaluation of the assigned fair values for the above acquisitions is ongoing and subject to adjustment. Our preliminary allocation of the purchase price for each of our significant acquisitions during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 is detailed below (in millions).

ons	
Vopak Terminal Assets	
\$2	
155	
7	
—	
164	
) (2)
) —	
) (4)
	Vopak Terminal Assets \$2 155 7 — 164) (2) —

Cash consideration

\$1,709 \$158

(a)Relates to customer contracts and relationships with a weighted average amortization period of 16.4 years.

After measuring all of the identifiable tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed at fair value on the acquisition date, goodwill is an intangible asset representing the future economic benefits expected to be derived from an acquisition that are not assigned to other identifiable, separately recognizable assets. We believe the primary items that generated our goodwill are both the value of the synergies created between the acquired assets and our pre-existing assets, and

our expected ability to grow the business we acquired by leveraging our pre-existing business experience. We expect our recorded goodwill associated with the above acquisitions to be deductible for tax purposes.

Asset Purchase

On July 15, 2015, we purchased from Shell US Gas & Power LLC (Shell) its 49% interest in a joint venture, Elba Liquefaction Company (ELC), that was in the pre-construction stage of development for liquefaction facilities at Elba Island, Georgia. The transaction was treated as an asset purchase with the net cash consideration of \$185 million attributed to incremental costs of construction. The purchase gives us full ownership and control of ELC. Therefore, we prospectively changed our method of accounting for ELC from the equity method to full consolidation. Shell continues to subscribe to 100% of the liquefaction capacity.

3. Debt

We classify our debt based on the contractual maturity dates of the underlying debt instruments. We defer costs associated with debt issuance over the applicable term. These costs are then amortized as interest expense in our accompanying consolidated statements of income. The following table provides detail on the principal amount of our outstanding debt balances. The table amounts exclude all debt fair value adjustments, including debt discounts and premiums (in millions):

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
KMI		
Senior notes, 1.50% through 8.25%, due 2015 through 2098(a)	\$13,385	\$11,438
Credit facility due November 26, 2019(b)	275	850
Commercial paper borrowings(b)	193	386
KMP		
Senior notes, 2.65% through 9.00%, due 2015 through 2044(c)	20,360	20,660
TGP senior notes, 7.00% through 8.375%, due 2016 through 2037	1,790	1,790
EPNG senior notes, 5.95% through 8.625%, due 2017 through 2032	1,115	1,115
Copano senior notes, 7.125%, due April 1, 2021	332	332
CIG senior notes, 5.95% through 6.85%, due 2015 through 2037	440	475
SNG notes, 4.40% through 8.00%, due 2017 through 2032	1,211	1,211
Other Subsidiary Borrowings (as obligor)		
Kinder Morgan Finance Company, LLC, senior notes, 5.70% through 6.40%,	1,636	1,636
due 2016 through 2036	1,050	1,050
Hiland Partners Holdings LLC, senior notes, 5.50% and 7.25%, due 2020 and 2022	974	
EPC Building, LLC, promissory note, 3.967%, due 2015 through 2035	445	453
Preferred securities, 4.75%, due March 31, 2028	221	280
KMGP, \$1,000 Liquidation Value Series A Fixed-to-Floating Rate Term	100	100
Cumulative Preferred Stock	100	100
Other miscellaneous debt	301	303
Total debt – KMI and Subsidiaries	42,778	41,029
Less: Current portion of debt(d)	3,003	2,717
Total long-term debt – KMI and Subsidiaries(e)	\$39,775	\$38,312

September 30, 2015 amount includes senior notes that are denominated in Euros and have been converted and are reported at the September 30, 2015 exchange rate of 1.1177 U.S. dollars per Euro. From the issuance date of these senior notes in March 2015 through September 30, 2015, our debt increased by \$40 million as a result of the change in the exchange rate of U.S. dollars per Euro. We entered into cross-currency swap agreements associated with these senior notes (see Note 5 "Risk Management—Foreign Currency Risk Management").

- (b) As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the weighted average interest rates on our credit facility borrowings, including commercial paper borrowings, were 1.34% and 1.54%, respectively.
- On January 1, 2015, EPB and EPPOC merged with and into KMP. On that date, KMP succeeded EPPOC as the (c)issuer of approximately \$2.9 billion of EPPOC's senior notes, which were guaranteed by EPB, and EPB and EPPOC ceased to be obligors for those senior notes.
- (d)Amounts include outstanding credit facility and commercial paper borrowings.
- Excludes our "Debt fair value adjustments" which, as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, increased our
- (e) combined debt balances by \$1,855 million and \$1,785 million, respectively. In addition to all unamortized debt discount/premium amounts, debt

issuance costs (resulting from the implementation of ASU Nos. 2015-03 and 2015-15) and purchase accounting on our debt balances, our debt fair value adjustments also include amounts associated with the offsetting entry for hedged debt and any unamortized portion of proceeds received from the early termination of interest rate swap agreements.

Credit Facilities

As of September 30, 2015, we had \$275 million outstanding under our five-year \$4.0 billion revolving credit facility, \$193 million outstanding under our \$4.0 billion commercial paper program and \$117 million in letters of credit. Our availability under this facility as of September 30, 2015 was \$3,415 million. Borrowings under our revolving credit facility can be used for working capital and other general corporate purposes and as a backup to our commercial paper program. Borrowings under our commercial paper program reduce the borrowings allowed under our credit facility.

On February 13, 2015, in connection with the Hiland acquisition, we entered into and made borrowings of \$1,641 million under a new six-month bridge credit facility with UBS AG, Stamford Branch. Interest under this bridge credit facility was charged at the same rate as our \$4.0 billion revolving credit facility. Prior to March 31, 2015, we repaid the outstanding borrowings and the facility was terminated on April 6, 2015.

Hiland Debt Acquired

As of the February 13, 2015 Hiland acquisition date, we assumed (i) \$975 million in principal amount of senior notes (which were valued at \$1,043 million as of the acquisition date) and (ii) \$368 million of other borrowings that were immediately repaid after closing, primarily consisting of borrowings outstanding under a revolving credit facility. The senior notes are subject to our cross guarantee agreement discussed in Note 11.

Long-term Debt Issuances and Repayments

Apart from the assumption of the Hiland debt discussed above, following are significant long-term debt issuances and repayments made during the nine months ended September 30, 2015:

Issuances	\$800 million 5.05% notes due 2046 \$815 million 1.50% notes due 2022(a) \$543 million 2.25% notes due 2027(a)
Repayments	\$300 million 5.625% notes due 2015 \$250 million 5.15% notes due 2015

Senior notes are denominated in Euros and are presented above in U.S. dollars at the exchange rate on the issuance date of 1.0860 U.S. dollars per Euro. At the time of issuance, we entered into cross-currency swap agreements a_{cont}

^(a) effectively converting these senior notes to U.S. dollars (see Note 5 "Risk Management—Foreign Currency Risk Management").

4. Stockholders' Equity

Common Equity

As of September 30, 2015, our common equity consisted of our Class P common stock. For additional information regarding our Class P common stock, see Note 10 to our consolidated financial statements included in our 2014 Form 10-K.

On June 12, 2015, we announced that our board of directors approved a warrant repurchase program authorizing us to repurchase in the aggregate up to \$100 million of warrants. As of September 30, 2015, we had \$91 million of availability remaining under the above announced program. As of December 31, 2014, we had \$2 million available for repurchases under our 2014 repurchase program, which was exhausted in June 2015.

On December 19, 2014, we entered into an equity distribution agreement authorizing us to issue and sell through or to the managers party thereto, as sales agents and/or principals, shares of our Class P common stock having an aggregate offering of up to \$5.0 billion from time to time during the term of this agreement. During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, we issued and sold 101,290,190 shares of our Class P common stock pursuant to the equity distribution agreement, and issued an additional 1,324,318 shares after September 30, 2015 to settle sales made on or before September 30, 2015, resulting in net proceeds of \$3.9 billion.

Dividends

Holders of our common stock share equally in any dividend declared by our board of directors, subject to the rights of the holders of any outstanding preferred stock. The following table provides information about our per share dividends:

	Three Mor	nths Ended	Nine Mon	ths Ended	
	September	: 30,	September 30,		
	2015	2014	2015	2014	
Per common share cash dividend declared for the period	\$0.51	\$0.44	\$1.48	\$1.29	
Per common share cash dividend paid in the period	\$0.49	\$0.43	\$1.42	\$1.26	

On October 21, 2015, our board of directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.51 per share for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2015, which is payable on November 13, 2015 to shareholders of record as of November 2, 2015.

5. Risk Management

Certain of our business activities expose us to risks associated with unfavorable changes in the market price of natural gas, NGL and crude oil. We also have exposure to interest rate and foreign currency risk as a result of the issuance of our debt obligations. Pursuant to our management's approved risk management policy, we use derivative contracts to hedge or reduce our exposure to certain of these risks. In addition, we have legacy power forward and swap contracts for which we entered into offsetting positions that eliminate the price risks associated with these power contracts.

As of December 31, 2014, we had discontinued hedge accounting on certain of our crude derivative contracts as we did not expect them to continue to be highly effective, for accounting purposes, in offsetting the variability in cash flows. This was caused primarily by volatility in basis differentials. As the forecasted transactions are still probable, accumulated gains and losses remain in other comprehensive income until earnings are impacted by the forecasted transactions. Changes in the derivative contracts' fair value subsequent to the discontinuance of hedge accounting are reported in earnings. We re-designate certain of these hedging relationships as the expected effectiveness improves to required levels.

Energy Commodity Price Risk Management

As of September 30, 2015, we had the following outstanding commodity forward contracts to hedge our forecasted energy commodity purchases and sales:

	Net open	position long/(short)
Derivatives designated as hedging contracts		
Crude oil fixed price	(23.0) MMBbl
Crude oil basis	(9.4) MMBbl
Natural gas fixed price	(41.2) Bcf
Natural gas basis	(16.7) Bcf
Derivatives not designated as hedging contracts		
Crude oil fixed price	(1.8) MMBbl
Crude oil basis	(1.7) MMBbl
Natural gas fixed price	(20.6) Bcf
Natural gas basis	(15.0) Bcf
NGL and other fixed price	(1.9) MMBbl

As of September 30, 2015, the maximum length of time over which we have hedged, for accounting purposes, our exposure to the variability in future cash flows associated with energy commodity price risk is through December 2018. We have additional economic hedge contracts not designated as accounting hedges through December 2019.

Interest Rate Risk Management

As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we had a combined notional principal amount of \$9,700 million and \$9,200 million, respectively, of fixed-to-variable interest rate swap agreements, effectively converting the interest expense

associated with certain series of senior notes from fixed rates to variable rates based on an interest rate of London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a spread. All of our swap agreements have termination dates that correspond to the maturity dates of the related series of senior notes and, as of September 30, 2015, the maximum length of time over which we have hedged a portion of our exposure to the variability in the value of this debt due to interest rate risk is through March 15, 2035.

Foreign Currency Risk Management

In connection with the issuance of our Euro denominated senior notes in March 2015 (see Note 3), we entered into cross-currency swap agreements to manage the related foreign currency risk by effectively converting all of the fixed-rate Euro denominated debt, including annual interest payments and the payment of principal at maturity, to U.S. dollar denominated debt at fixed rates equivalent to approximately 3.79% and 4.67% for the 7-year and 12-year senior notes, respectively. These cross-currency swaps are accounted for as cash flow hedges. The terms of the cross-currency swap agreements correspond to the related hedged senior notes, and such agreements have the same maturities as the hedged senior notes.

Fair Value of Derivative Contracts

The following table summarizes the fair values of our derivative contracts included in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets (in millions):

Fair Value of Derivative Contracts

Fail value of Derivative C	ontracts					
		Asset derivat September 30	Liability de September			
		2015	2014	2015	201	
	Location	Fair value		Fair value		
Derivatives designated as hedging contracts						
Natural gas and crude derivative contracts	Fair value of derivative contracts/(Other current liabilities)	\$347	\$309	\$(33) \$(3	34)
	Deferred charges and other assets/(Other long-term	233	6	(4)	
	liabilities and deferred credits)		0	(4) —	
Subtotal	nuomitos una actorioa cicano)	580	315	(37) (34	.)
Interest rate swap	Fair value of derivative contracts/(Other current	153	143	`		,
agreements	liabilities) Deferred charges and other					
	assets/(Other long-term	361	260	(1) (53)
Subtotal	liabilities and deferred credits)	514	403	(1) (53)
Cross-currency swap agreements	Fair value of derivative contracts/(Other current liabilities)	_	_	(14) —	
	Deferred charges and other assets/(Other long-term liabilities and deferred credits)	1	_	(21) —	

Subtotal Total		1 1,095	— 718	(35 (73) —) (87)
Derivatives not designated as hedging contracts						
Natural gas, crude, NGL	Fair value of derivative					
and other derivative	contracts/(Other current	23	73	(4) (2)
contracts	liabilities)					
	Deferred charges and other assets/(Other long-term	13	196	(1) —	
	liabilities and deferred credits)	15	170	(1)	
Subtotal		36	269	(5) (2)
	Fair value of derivative					
Power derivative contracts		6	10	(30) (57)
	liabilities)					
	Deferred charges and other				(16	
	assets/(Other long-term liabilities and deferred credits)		_		(16)
Subtotal	habilities and deferred credits)	6	10	(30) (73)
		42		•	, ,)
Total			279 # 007	(35) (75)
Total derivatives		\$1,137	\$997	\$(108) \$(162)

Effect of Derivative Contracts on the Income Statement

The following tables summarize the impact of our derivative contracts on our accompanying consolidated statements of income (in millions):

Derivatives in fa relationships	air value hedging	Location	on deriva	s) recogniz atives and r onths Endec er 30, 2014	elated hed	ged item	onths Ende ber 30, 20	
Interest rate swa	p agreements	Interest, net	\$251	\$(25)	\$163	\$8	7
Hedged fixed ra	te debt	Interest, net	\$(283) \$25		\$(166) \$(8	
Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships	Gain/(loss) recognized in O on derivative (effective portion)(a)	CI Location	Gain/(loss reclassifie Accumula into incon (effective	ed from ated OCI	Location	L	on deriva (ineffecti and amore excluded	ed in income ative ive portion unt
	Three Months Ended Septemb 30, 2015 2014	er	Three Mo Ended Se 30, 2015				Three M	0,
Energy commodity derivative contracts	\$119 \$121	Revenues—Natu gas sales	·	\$9	gas sales		\$—	\$—
		Revenues—Prod sales and other		(5)	sales and		(6	26
Interest rate		Costs of sales	(2)	(2)	Costs of	sales	—	_
swap agreements	(4) —	Interest, net	(1)	(1)	Interest,	net	_	—
Cross-currency swap	(11) —	Other, net	2	_				
Total	\$104 \$121	Total	\$63	\$1	Total		\$(6) Gain/(los	\$26
Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships	Gain/(loss) recognized in O on derivative (effective portion)(a)	CI Location	Gain/(loss) reclassified from Accumulated OCI into income (effective portion)(b)		Location b)		recogniz on deriva (ineffect and amo excluded	ed in income ative ive portion unt
	Nine Months Ended Septemb	er	Nine Mon Septembe	nths Ended er 30,				onths Ended

	30, 2015	2014			2015		2014			2015	2014	
Energy commodity derivative contracts	\$72	\$(10)	Revenues—Natur gas sales			\$—		Revenues—Natu gas sales	ral \$	\$—	
				Revenues—Produ- sales and other Costs of sales	uct 161 (21)	(30 4)	Revenues—Prod sales and other Costs of sales	uct 4	(6)
Interest rate swap agreements	(6) (10)	Interest, net	(21))	(3)	Interest, net	_	_	
Cross-currency swap Total	(22 \$44) — \$(20)	Other, net Total	25 \$ 192)	Total	\$4	\$(6)
Total	ϕ ++	$\varphi(20)$)	Total	φ192		φ(29)	Total	Ψ+	φίΟ)

We expect to reclassify an approximate \$161 million gain associated with cash flow hedge price risk management (a) activities included in our accumulated other comprehensive loss balances as of September 30, 2015 into earnings during the next twelve months (when the associated forecasted sales and purchases are also expected to occur),

during the next twelve months (when the associated forecasted sales and purchases are also expected to occur), however, actual amounts reclassified into earnings could vary materially as a result of changes in market prices. Amounts reclassified were the result of the hedged forecasted transactions actually affecting earnings (i.e., when

(b) Amounts reclassified were the result of the hedged forecasted transactions actually affecting earnings (i.e., when the forecasted sales and purchases actually occurred).

Derivatives not designated as accounting hedges	Location	Gain/(loss) recognized in income on derivatives							
		Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Month September 2	30,				
		2015	2014	2015	2014				
Energy commodity derivative contracts	Revenues—Natural gas sales	\$6	\$4	\$9	\$(12)			
	Revenues-Product sales and other	169	5	173	6				
	Costs of sales		(3	·	4				
	Other expense (income)				(2)			
Total(a)	-	\$175	\$6	\$182	\$(4)			

(a) For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, includes approximate gains of \$19 million and \$21 million, respectively, associated with natural gas, crude and NGL derivative contract settlements.

Credit Risks

In conjunction with the purchase of exchange-traded derivative contracts or when the market value of our derivative contracts with specific counterparties exceeds established limits, we are required to provide collateral to our counterparties, which may include posting letters of credit or placing cash in margin accounts. As of both September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we had \$2 million and \$20 million, respectively, of outstanding letters of credit supporting our commodity price risk management program. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we had cash margins of \$14 million and \$47 million posted as collateral and \$32 million and \$13 million, respectively, held as collateral.

We also have agreements with certain counterparties to our derivative contracts that contain provisions requiring the posting of additional collateral upon a decrease in our credit rating. As of September 30, 2015, based on our current mark to market positions and posted collateral, we estimate that if our credit rating were downgraded one or two notches, we would not be required to post additional collateral.

Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Cumulative revenues, expenses, gains and losses that under GAAP are included within our comprehensive income but excluded from our earnings are reported as "Accumulated other comprehensive loss" within "Stockholders' Equity" in our consolidated balance sheets. Changes in the components of our "Accumulated other comprehensive loss" not including non-controlling interests are summarized as follows (in millions):

	Net unrealized gains/(losses) on cash flow hedge derivatives	Foreign currency translation adjustments		Pension and other postretiremen liability adjustments	nt	Total accumulated other comprehensive loss	e
Balance as of December 31, 2014	\$327	\$(108)	\$(236)	\$(17)
Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications	44	(170)	7		(119)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	(192)	_				(192)
Net current-period other comprehensive loss	(148)	(170)	7		(311)
Balance as of September 30, 2015	\$179	\$(278)	\$(229)	\$(328)
	Net unrealized gains/(losses)	Foreign currency		Pension and other		Total	

	on cash flow hedge derivatives	translation adjustments	postretirement liability adjustments	accumulated other comprehensiv loss	e
Balance as of December 31, 2013	\$(3) \$2	\$(23) \$(24)
Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications	(8) (31) 2	(37)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	11			11	
Net current-period other comprehensive loss	3	(31) 2	(26)
Balance as of September 30, 2014	\$—	\$(29) \$(21) \$(50)
17					

6. Fair Value

The fair values of our financial instruments are separated into three broad levels (Levels 1, 2 and 3) based on our assessment of the availability of observable market data and the significance of non-observable data used to determine fair value. Each fair value measurement must be assigned to a level corresponding to the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

The three broad levels of inputs defined by the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1 Inputs—quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date;

Level 2 Inputs—inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. If the asset or liability has a specified (contractual) term, a Level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability; and

Level 3 Inputs—unobservable inputs for the asset or liability. These unobservable inputs reflect the entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, and are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances (which might include the reporting entity's own data).

Fair Value of Derivative Contracts

The following two tables summarize the fair value measurements of our (i) energy commodity derivative contracts; (ii) interest rate swap agreements; and (iii) cross-currency swap agreements, based on the three levels established by the Codification (in millions). The tables also identify the impact of derivative contracts which we have elected to present on our accompanying consolidated balance sheets on a gross basis that are eligible for netting under master netting agreements.

	Balance sheet asset							
	fair value measurements by level					Nat		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Gross amount	Contracts available for netting	Cash collateral held(b)	Net amount	
As of September 30, 2015								
Energy commodity derivative contracts(a)	\$54	\$561	\$7	\$622	\$(40)	\$(32)	\$550	
Interest rate swap agreements	\$—	\$514	\$—	\$514	\$(1)	\$—	\$513	
Cross-currency swap agreements	\$—	\$1	\$—	\$1	\$(1)	\$—	\$—	
As of December 31, 2014								
Energy commodity derivative contracts(a)	\$49	\$533	\$12	\$594	\$(46)	\$(13)	\$535	
Interest rate swap agreements	\$—	\$403	\$—	\$403	\$(44)	\$—	\$359	
	Balance sheet liability							
	fair value measurements by level					Net		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Gross amount	Contracts available for netting	Collateral posted(c)		
As of September 30, 2015 Energy commodity derivative contracts(a)	\$(9) \$(33) \$(30) \$(72) \$40	\$14	\$(18)	

Interest rate swap agreements Cross-currency swap agreements As of December 31, 2014	\$— \$—	\$(1 \$(35)\$—)\$—	\$(1 \$(35)\$1)\$1	\$— \$—	\$— \$(34)
Energy commodity derivative contracts(a) Interest rate swap agreements	\$(25 \$—) \$(11 \$(53) \$(73) \$—) \$(109 \$(53)\$46)\$44	\$47 \$—	\$(16 \$(9))

Level 1 consists primarily of NYMEX natural gas futures. Level 2 consists primarily of OTC West Texas (a) Intermediate swaps and options. Level 3 consists primarily of power derivative contracts.

(b) Cash margin deposits held by us associated with our energy commodity contract positions and OTC swap agreements and reported within "Other current liabilities" on our accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

(c) Cash margin deposits posted by us associated with our energy commodity contract positions and OTC swap agreements and reported within "Other current assets" on our accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

The table below provides a summary of changes in the fair value of our Level 3 energy commodity derivative contracts (in millions):

Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)

		Months September		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2015	2014	2015	2014		
Derivatives-net asset (liability)						
Beginning of Period	\$(37) \$(116) \$(61) \$(110)		
Total gains or (losses)						
Included in earnings	(1) 14	(1) —		
Included in other comprehensive loss		10		—		
Settlements	15	13	39	31		
End of Period	\$(23) \$(79) \$(23) \$(79)		
The amount of total gains or (losses) for the period included in earnings						
attributable to the change in unrealized gains or (losses) relating to assets	\$—	\$16	\$2	\$(4)		
held at the reporting date						

As of September 30, 2015, our Level 3 derivative assets and liabilities consisted primarily of power derivative contracts, where a significant portion of fair value is calculated from underlying market data that is not readily observable. The derived values use industry standard methodologies that may consider the historical relationships among various commodities, modeled market prices, time value, volatility factors and other relevant economic measures. The use of these inputs results in management's best estimate of fair value.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The estimated fair value of our outstanding debt balances (the carrying amounts below include both short-term and long-term and debt fair value adjustments), is disclosed below (in millions):

	September 30, 2015		December 31, 2014	
	Carrying	Estimated	Carrying	Estimated
	value	fair value	value	fair value
Total debt	\$44,633	\$41,136	\$42,814	\$43,582

We used Level 2 input values to measure the estimated fair value of our outstanding debt balances as of both September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

7. Reportable Segments

Financial information by segment follows (in millions):

Financial information by segment follows (in millions):	Three Mo Septembo	onths Ended er 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2015	2014	2015	2014		
Revenues						
Natural Gas Pipelines						
Revenues from external customers	\$2,176	\$2,745	\$6,444	\$7,766		
Intersegment revenues	8	6	16	11		
CO ₂	517	508	1,316	1,445		
Terminals						
Revenues from external customers	469	433	1,395	1,244		
Intersegment revenues	—	—	1	1		
Products Pipelines						
Revenues from external customers	467	520	1,388	1,578		
Intersegment revenues	—		1			
Kinder Morgan Canada	68	73	193	210		
Other		3	3	5		
Total segment revenues	3,705	4,288	10,757	12,260		
Other revenues	10	9	28	27		
Less: Total intersegment revenues	(8) (6)		(12)		
Total consolidated revenues	\$3,707	\$4,291	\$10,767	\$12,275		
		onths Ended	Nine Mont			
	Septemb		September			
	2015	2014	2015	2014		
Segment Earnings Before DD&A(a)						
Natural Gas Pipelines	\$993	\$1,182	\$2,936	\$3,207		
CO ₂	29	388	605	1,083		
Terminals	249	249	798	692		
Products Pipelines	288	222	811	632		
Kinder Morgan Canada	42	50	120	138		
Other	(9) 6		13		
Total segment earnings before DD&A	1,592	2,097	5,215	5,765		
DD&A expense	(617			(1,518)		
Amortization of excess cost of equity investments	(13			(33)		
Other revenues	10	9	28	27		
General and administrative expense	(160			(461)		
Interest expense, net of unallocable interest income	(539) (431)		(1,325)		
Unallocable income tax expense	(90) (229)		(578)		
Total consolidated net income	\$183	\$779	\$944	\$1,877		
		September 30,	Decem	ber 31,		
Arreste	2	015	2014			
Assets	¢	54 705	¢ 50 50	2		
Natural Gas Pipelines		54,725	\$52,53	Z		
CO ₂ Terminala		,906	5,227			
Terminals Products Dipolines		,212	8,850 7,170			
Products Pipelines	8	,471	7,179			

Kinder Morgan Canada	1,452	1,593
Other	427	455
Total segment assets	79,193	75,836
Corporate assets(b)	6,438	7,157
Assets held for sale	46	56
Total consolidated assets	\$85,677	\$83,049

We evaluate performance based on each segment's earnings before DD&A. Amounts include revenues, earnings from equity investments, allocable interest income, and other, net, less operating expenses, allocable income taxes, (a) and other expense (income), net, and losses on impairments and disposals of long-lived assets, net and equity

investments. Operating expenses include natural gas purchases and other costs of sales, operations and maintenance expenses, and taxes, other than income taxes.

Includes cash and cash equivalents, margin and restricted deposits, unallocable interest receivable, prepaid assets and deferred charges, deferred tax assets, risk management assets related to debt fair value adjustments and (b) minerally

- (b) miscellaneous corporate assets (such as information technology and telecommunications equipment) not allocated to individual segments.
- 8. Income Taxes

Income tax expense included in our accompanying consolidated statements of income were as follows (in millions, except percentages):

	Three Mon	Three Months Ended September 30,				ths Endec	l Septeml	oer 30,
	2015		2014		2015		2014	
Income tax expense	\$108		\$246		\$521		\$624	
Effective tax rate	37.1	%	24.0	%	35.6	%	25.0	%

Income tax expense for the three months ended September 30, 2015 is approximately \$108 million resulting in an effective tax rate of 37.1%, as compared with \$246 million income tax expense and an effective tax rate of 24.0%, for the same period of 2014. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2015 is higher than the statutory federal rate of 35% primarily due to state and foreign income taxes, partially offset by dividend-received deductions from our investment in Florida Gas Pipeline (Citrus) and adjustments to our income tax reserve for uncertain tax positions.

Income tax expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 is approximately \$521 million resulting in an effective tax rate of 35.6%, as compared with \$624 million income tax expense and an effective tax rate of 25.0%, for the same period of 2014. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 is marginally higher than the statutory federal rate of 35% primarily due to state and foreign income taxes, offset by (i) dividend-received deductions from our investment in Citrus; (ii) the change in the effective state tax rate as a result of the Hiland acquisition; and (iii) adjustments to our income tax reserve for uncertain tax positions.

The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2014 is lower than the statutory federal rate of 35% primarily due to the net effect of consolidating KMP's and EPB's income tax provisions and dividend-received deductions from our investment in Citrus, partially offset by state income taxes.

The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 is lower than the statutory federal rate of 35% primarily due to the net effect of consolidating KMP's and EPB's income tax provisions and dividend-received deductions from our investment in Citrus. These decreases are partially offset by state income taxes and the amortization of the deferred charge recorded as a result of the drop-downs of TGP, EPNG, and the midstream assets.

As of September 30, 2015, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits relating to uncertain tax positions is \$156 million, a decrease of \$33 million from the December 31, 2014 balance of \$189 million. This \$33 million decrease in unrecognized tax benefits resulted primarily from the settlement of a claim for refund and certain statute of limitations expiration related to state income taxes.

9. Litigation, Environmental, Other Contingencies and Commitments

We and our subsidiaries are parties to various legal, regulatory and other matters arising from the day-to-day operations of our businesses that may result in claims against the Company. Although no assurance can be given, we believe, based on our experiences to date and taking into account established reserves, that the ultimate resolution of such items will not have a material adverse impact on our business, financial position, results of operations or dividends to our shareholders. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the matters to which we are a party and intend to vigorously defend the Company. When we determine a loss is probable of occurring and is reasonably estimable, we accrue an undiscounted liability for such contingencies based on our best estimate using information available at that time. If the estimated loss is a range of potential outcomes and there is no better estimate within the range, we accrue the amount at the low end of the range. We disclose contingencies where an adverse outcome may be material, or in the judgment of management, we conclude the matter should otherwise be disclosed.

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Proceedings

SFPP

The tariffs and rates charged by SFPP are subject to a number of ongoing proceedings at the FERC, including the complaints and protests of various shippers. In general, these complaints and protests allege the rates and tariffs charged by SFPP are not just and reasonable under the Interstate Commerce Act (ICA). In late June of 2014, certain shippers filed additional complaints with the FERC (docketed at OR14-35 and OR14-36) challenging SFPP's adjustments to its rates in 2012 and 2013 for inflation under the FERC's indexing regulations. If the shippers are successful in proving these claims or other of their claims, they are entitled to seek reparations (which may reach back up to two years prior to the filing of their complaints) or refunds of any excess rates paid, and SFPP may be required to reduce its rates going forward. These proceedings tend to be protracted, with decisions of the FERC often appealed to the federal courts. The issues involved in these proceedings include, among others, whether indexed rate increases are justified, and the appropriate level of return and income tax allowance we may include in our rates. With respect to all of the SFPP proceedings at the FERC, we estimate that the shippers are seeking approximately \$20 million in annual rate reductions and approximately \$119 million in refunds. However, applying the principles of several recent FERC decisions in SFPP cases, as applicable, to pending cases would result in substantially lower rate reductions and refunds than those sought by the shippers. We do not expect refunds in these cases to have an impact on our dividends to our shareholders.

EPNG

The tariffs and rates charged by EPNG are subject to two ongoing FERC proceedings (the "2008 rate case" and the "2010 rate case"). With respect to the 2008 rate case, the FERC issued its decision (Opinion 517-A) in July 2015. FERC generally upheld its prior determinations, ordered refunds to be paid within 60 days, and stated that it will apply its findings in Opinion 517-A to the same issues in the 2010 rate case. EPNG has sought federal appellate review of Opinion 517-A. With respect to the 2010 rate case, the FERC issued its decision (Opinion 528) on October 17, 2013. EPNG sought rehearing on certain issues in Opinion 528. As required by Opinion 528, EPNG filed revised pro forma recalculated rates consistent with the terms of Opinion 528. The FERC also required an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) to conduct an additional hearing concerning one of the issues in Opinion 528. On September 17, 2014, the ALJ issued an initial decision finding certain shippers qualify for lower rates under a prior settlement. EPNG has sought FERC review of the ALJ decision. EPNG believes it has an appropriate reserve related to the findings in Opinions 517-A and 528 for both rate cases. We do not expect refunds in these cases to have an impact on our dividends to our shareholders.

Other Commercial Matters

Union Pacific Railroad Company Easements & Related Litigation

SFPP and Union Pacific Railroad Company (UPRR) are engaged in a proceeding to determine the extent, if any, to which the rent payable by SFPP for the use of pipeline easements on rights-of-way held by UPRR should be adjusted pursuant to existing contractual arrangements for the ten-year period beginning January 1, 2004 (Union Pacific Railroad Company v. Santa Fe Pacific Pipelines, Inc., SFPP, L.P., Kinder Morgan Operating L.P. "D", Kinder Morgan G.P., Inc., et al., Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles, filed July 28, 2004). In September 2011, the trial judge determined that the annual rent payable as of January 1, 2004 was \$14 million, subject to annual consumer price index increases. Judgment was entered by the Superior Court on May 29, 2012 and SFPP

appealed the judgment.

By notice dated October 25, 2013, UPRR demanded the payment of \$22.3 million in rent for the first year of the next ten-year period beginning January 1, 2014, which SFPP rejected.

On November 5, 2014, the Court of Appeals issued an opinion which reversed the judgment, including the award of prejudgment interest, and remanded the matter to the trial court for a determination of UPRR's property interest in its right-of-way, including whether UPRR has sufficient interest to grant SFPP's easements. UPRR filed a petition for rehearing with the Court of Appeals, and a subsequent petition for review to the California Supreme Court, both of which were denied.

On April 23, 2015, after the above-referenced decision by the California Court of Appeals which held that UPRR does not own the subsurface rights to grant certain easements and may not be able to collect rent from those easements, a purported class action lawsuit was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (Case No. 01842) by private landowners in California who claim to be the lawful owners of subsurface real property allegedly used or occupied by UPRR or SFPP. Substantially similar follow-on lawsuits have been filed in federal courts by landowners in Nevada, Arizona, New

Mexico and Texas. These suits, which are brought purportedly as class actions on behalf of all landowners who own land in fee adjacent to and underlying the railroad easement under which the SFPP pipeline is located in those respective states, assert claims against UPRR, SFPP, KMGP, and Kinder Morgan Operating L.P. "D" for declaratory judgment, trespass, ejectment, quiet title, unjust enrichment, accounting, and alleged unlawful business acts and practices arising from defendants' alleged improper use or occupation of subsurface real property. SFPP views these cases as primarily a dispute between UPRR and the plaintiffs. UPRR purported to grant SFPP a network of subsurface pipeline easements along UPRR's railroad right-of-way. SFPP relied on the validity of those easements and paid rent to UPRR for the value of those easements. We believe we have recorded a right-of-way liability sufficient to cover our potential liability, if any, for back rent.

SFPP and UPRR have engaged in multiple disputes over the circumstances under which SFPP must pay for relocations of its pipeline within the UPRR right-of-way and the safety standards that govern relocations. In 2006, following a bench trial regarding the circumstances under which SFPP must pay for relocations, the judge determined that SFPP must pay for any relocations resulting from any legitimate business purpose of the UPRR. The decision was affirmed on appeal. In addition, UPRR contends that SFPP must comply with the more expensive American Railway Engineering and Maintenance-of-Way Association (AREMA) standards in determining when relocations are necessary and in completing relocations. Each party has sought declaratory relief with respect to its positions regarding the application of these standards with respect to relocations. In 2011, a jury verdict was reached that SFPP was obligated to comply with AREMA standards in connection with a railroad project in Beaumont Hills, California. In 2014, the trial court entered judgment against SFPP, consistent with the jury's verdict. On June 29, 2015, the parties entered into a confidential settlement of all of the claims relating to the project in Beaumont Hills and the case was dismissed.

Since SFPP does not know UPRR's plans for projects or other activities that would cause pipeline relocations, it is difficult to quantify the effects of the outcome of these cases on SFPP. Even if SFPP is successful in advancing its positions, significant relocations for which SFPP must nonetheless bear the cost (i.e., for railroad purposes, with the standards in the federal Pipeline Safety Act applying) could have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows, and our dividends to our shareholders. These effects could be even greater in the event SFPP is unsuccessful in one or more of these lawsuits.

Plains Gas Solutions, LLC v. Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, L.L.C. et al.

On October 16, 2013, Plains Gas Solutions, LLC (Plains) filed a petition in the 151st Judicial District Court for Harris County, Texas (Case No. 62528) against TGP, Kinetica Partners, LLC and two other Kinetica entities. The case was removed to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas. The suit arises from the sale by TGP of the Cameron System in Louisiana to Kinetica Partners, LLC on September 1, 2013. Plains alleges that defendants breached a straddle agreement requiring that gas on the Cameron System be committed to Plains' Grand Chenier gas-processing facility, that requisite daily volume reports were not provided, that TGP improperly assigned its obligations under the straddle agreement to Kinetica, and that defendants interfered with Plains' contracts with producers. The petition alleges damages of at least \$100 million. Under the Amended and Restated Purchase and Sale Agreement with Kinetica, Kinetica is obligated to defend and indemnify TGP in connection with the gas commitment and reporting claims. After agreeing initially to defend and indemnify TGP against such claims, Kinetica withdrew its defense and disputed its indemnity obligation. We intend to vigorously defend the suit and pursue Kinetica, if necessary, for indemnity and costs of defense.

Brinckerhoff v. El Paso Pipeline GP Company, LLC., et al.

In December 2011 (Brinckerhoff I), March 2012, (Brinckerhoff II), May 2013 (Brinckerhoff III) and June 2014 (Brinckerhoff IV), derivative lawsuits were filed in Delaware Chancery Court against El Paso Corporation, El Paso Pipeline GP Company, L.L.C., the general partner of EPB, and the directors of the general partner at the time of the relevant transactions. EPB was named in these lawsuits as a "Nominal Defendant." The lawsuits arise from the March 2010, November 2010, May 2012 and June 2011 drop-down transactions involving EPB's purchase of SLNG, Elba Express, CPG and interests in SNG and CIG. The lawsuits allege various conflicts of interest and that the consideration paid by EPB was excessive. Brinckerhoff I and II were consolidated into one proceeding. Motions to dismiss were filed in Brinckerhoff III and Brinckerhoff IV, and such motions remain pending. On June 12, 2014, defendants' motion for summary judgment was granted in Brinckerhoff I, dismissing the case in its entirety. Defendants' motion for summary judgment in Brinckerhoff II was granted in part, dismissing certain claims and allowing the matter to go to trial in late 2014 on the remaining claims. On April 20, 2015, the Court issued a post-trial memorandum opinion (Memorandum Opinion) in Brinckerhoff II entering judgment in favor of all of the defendants other than the general partner of EPB, but finding the general partner liable for breach of contract in connection with EPB's purchase of 49% interests in Elba and SLNG and a 15% interest in SNG in a \$1.13 billion drop-down transaction that closed on November 19, 2010 (Fall Dropdown), prior to our acquisition of El Paso Corporation in 2012. In its Memorandum Opinion, the Court determined that EPB suffered damages of \$171 million from the Fall Dropdown, which the Court determined to be

the amount that EPB overpaid for Elba. We believe the claim is derivative in nature and was extinguished by our acquisition on November 26, 2014, pursuant to a merger agreement, of all of the outstanding common units of EPB that we did not already own. On December 2, 2014, we filed a motion to dismiss the remaining claims in Brinckerhoff II based upon our acquisition of all of the outstanding common units of EPB. Oral argument on the motion was held on September 3, 2015 and we await the Court's decision. In the event our motion to dismiss is denied, we will consider an appeal to the Delaware Supreme Court once a final decision is issued. At the present time, we do not believe that an ultimate award, if any, will have a material financial impact on our Company. We continue to believe the transactions at issue were appropriate and in the best interests of EPB and we intend to continue to defend the lawsuits vigorously.

Price Reporting Litigation

Beginning in 2003, several lawsuits were filed by purchasers of natural gas against El Paso Corporation, El Paso Marketing L.P. and numerous other energy companies based on a claim under state antitrust law that such defendants conspired to manipulate the price of natural gas by providing false price information to industry trade publications that published gas indices. Several of the cases have been settled or dismissed. The remaining cases, which were pending in Nevada federal court, were dismissed, but the dismissal was reversed by the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals. The U.S. Supreme Court affirmed the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals in a decision dated April 21, 2015, and the cases were then remanded to the Nevada federal court for further consideration and trial, if necessary, of numerous remaining issues. Although damages in excess of \$140 million have been alleged in total against all defendants in one of the remaining lawsuits where a damage number is provided, there remains significant uncertainty regarding the validity of the causes of action, the damages asserted and the level of damages, if any, that may be allocated to us. Therefore, our costs and legal exposure related to the remaining lawsuits and claims are not currently determinable.

Kinder Morgan, Inc. Corporate Reorganization Litigation

Certain unitholders of KMP and EPB filed five putative class action lawsuits in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware in connection with the Merger Transactions, which the Court consolidated under the caption In re Kinder Morgan, Inc. Corporate Reorganization Litigation (Consolidated Case No. 10093-VCL). The plaintiffs originally sought to enjoin one or more of the proposed Merger Transactions, which relief the Court denied on November 5, 2014. On December 12, 2014, the plaintiffs filed a Verified Second Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint, which purports to assert claims on behalf of both the former EPB unitholders and the former KMP unitholders. The EPB plaintiff alleged that (i) El Paso Pipeline GP Company, L.L.C. (EPGP), the general partner of EPB, and the directors of EPGP breached duties under the EPB partnership agreement, including the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, by entering into the EPB Transaction; (ii) EPB, E Merger Sub LLC, KMI and individual defendants aided and abetted such breaches; and (iii) EPB, E Merger Sub LLC, KMI, and individual defendants tortiously interfered with the EPB partnership agreement by causing EPGP to breach its duties under the EPB partnership agreement.

The KMP plaintiffs allege that (i) KMR, KMGP, and individual defendants breached duties under the KMP partnership agreement, including the implied duty of good faith and fair dealing, by entering into the KMP Transaction and by failing to adequately disclose material facts related to the transaction; (ii) KMI aided and abetted such breach; and (iii) KMI, KMP, KMR, P Merger Sub LLC, and individual defendants tortiously interfered with the rights of the plaintiffs and the putative class under the KMP partnership agreement by causing KMGP to breach its duties under the KMP partnership agreement. The complaint seeks declaratory relief that the transactions were unlawful and unenforceable, reformation, rescission, rescissory or compensatory damages, interest, and attorneys' and experts' fees and costs. On December 30, 2014, the defendants moved to dismiss the complaint. On April 2, 2015, the

EPB plaintiff and the defendants submitted a stipulation and proposed order of dismissal, agreeing to dismiss all claims brought by the EPB plaintiff with prejudice as to the EPB lead plaintiff and without prejudice to all other members of the putative EPB class. The Court entered such order on April 2, 2015.

On August 24, 2015, the Court issued an order granting the defendants' motion to dismiss the remaining counts of the complaint for failure to state a claim. On September 21, 2015, plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal to the Supreme Court of the State of Delaware, captioned Haynes Family Trust et al. v. Kinder Morgan G.P., Inc. et al. (Case No. 515). The plaintiffs are only appealing the dismissal of claims brought against defendants KMGP, Ted A. Gardner, Gary L. Hultquist, and Perry M. Waughtal and not those asserted against KMI, P. Merger Sub LLC, Richard D. Kinder, Steven J. Kean, KMP and KMR. The defendants believe the allegations against them lack merit, and they intend to vigorously defend these lawsuits.

Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. Capex Litigation

Putative class action and derivative complaints were filed in the Court of Chancery in the State of Delaware against defendants KMI, KMGP and nominal defendant KMEP on February 5, 2014 and March 27, 2014 captioned Slotoroff v. Kinder

Morgan, Inc., Kinder Morgan G.P., Inc. et al (Case No. 9318) and Burns et al v. Kinder Morgan, Inc., Kinder Morgan G.P., Inc. et al (Case No. 9479) respectively. The cases were consolidated on April 8, 2014 (Consolidated Case No. 9318). The consolidated suit seeks to assert claims both individually and on behalf of a putative class consisting of all public holders of KMEP units during the period of February 5, 2011 through the date of the filing of the complaints. The suit alleges direct and derivative causes of action for breach of the partnership agreement, breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing, aiding and abetting, and tortious interference. Among other things, the suit alleges that defendants made a bad faith allocation of capital expenditures to expansion capital expenditures rather than maintenance capital expenditures for the alleged purpose of "artificially" inflating KMEP's distributions and growth rate. The suit alleges that hundreds of millions of dollars were distributed improperly and seeks disgorgement of any distributions to KMGP, KMI and any related entities, beyond amounts that would have been distributed in accordance with a "good faith" allocation of maintenance capital expenses, together with other unspecified monetary damages including punitive damages and attorney fees.

On August 14, 2015, the parties entered into a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement pursuant to which defendants will pay \$27.5 million (the "Settlement Fund") to a class of former holders of KMEP common units, and all claims asserted in the consolidated suit will be released. The settlement is subject to court approval following notice to the putative class members. If the court approves the settlement, the final judgment will also include a release of all claims asserted in the Walker litigation discussed below. Plaintiffs' counsel is seeking an award of attorneys' fees and litigation expenses from the Court which would be paid from the Settlement Fund. The Court has scheduled a hearing for November 23, 2015 to consider the proposed settlement as well as Plaintiff counsel's request for fees and expenses. All of the defendants believe they acted properly, in good faith, and in a manner consistent with any and all legal, contractual and equitable duties and obligations, including those contained in the Limited Partnership Agreement. We are entering into this settlement solely to avoid the substantial burden, expense, inconvenience and distraction of continued litigation and to resolve each of the released claims.

Walker v. Kinder Morgan, Inc., Kinder Morgan G.P., Inc. et al.

On March 6, 2014, a putative class action and derivative complaint was filed in the District Court of Harris County, Texas (Case No. 2014-11872 in the 215th Judicial District) against KMI, KMGP, KMR, Richard D. Kinder, Steven J. Kean, Ted A. Gardner, Gary L. Hultquist, Perry M. Waughtal and nominal defendant KMEP. The suit was filed by Kenneth Walker, a purported unit holder of KMEP, and alleges derivative causes of action for alleged violation of duties owed under the partnership agreement, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, "abuse of control" and "gross mismanagement" in connection with the calculation of distributions and allocation of capital expenditures to expansion capital expenditures and maintenance capital expenditures. The suit seeks unspecified money damages, interest, punitive damages, attorney and expert fees, costs and expenses, unspecified equitable relief, and demands a trial by jury. By agreement of the parties, the case is stayed and all claims asserted in this action will be released with prejudice if the Delaware Court approves the settlement in the Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. Capex Litigation described above.

Pipeline Integrity and Releases

From time to time, despite our best efforts, our pipelines experience leaks and ruptures. These leaks and ruptures may cause explosions, fire, and damage to the environment, damage to property and/or personal injury or death. In connection with these incidents, we may be sued for damages caused by an alleged failure to properly mark the locations of our pipelines and/or to properly maintain our pipelines. Depending upon the facts and circumstances of a particular incident, state and federal regulatory authorities may seek civil and/or criminal fines and penalties.

General

As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, our total reserve for legal matters was \$441 million and \$400 million, respectively. The reserve primarily relates to various claims from regulatory rate and right-of-way proceedings arising in our products and natural gas pipeline segments and certain corporate matters. The overall increase in the reserve from December 31, 2014 is related to certain legal developments during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 on corporate matters.

Environmental Matters

We and our subsidiaries are subject to environmental cleanup and enforcement actions from time to time. In particular, CERCLA generally imposes joint and several liability for cleanup and enforcement costs on current and predecessor owners and operators of a site, among others, without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, subject to the right of a liable party to establish a "reasonable basis" for apportionment of costs. Our operations are also subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to protection of the environment. Although we believe our operations are in substantial compliance with applicable environmental law and regulations, risks of additional costs and liabilities are inherent in pipeline,

terminal and CO_2 field and oil field operations, and there can be no assurance that we will not incur significant costs and liabilities. Moreover, it is possible that other developments, such as increasingly stringent environmental laws, regulations and enforcement policies under the terms of authority of those laws, and claims for damages to property or persons resulting from our operations, could result in substantial costs and liabilities to us.

We are currently involved in several governmental proceedings involving alleged violations of environmental and safety regulations. As we receive notices of non-compliance, we attempt to negotiate and settle such matters where appropriate. We do not believe that these alleged violations will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or dividends to our shareholders.

We are also currently involved in several governmental proceedings involving groundwater and soil remediation efforts under administrative orders or related state remediation programs. We have established a reserve to address the costs associated with the cleanup.

In addition, we are involved with and have been identified as a potentially responsible party in several federal and state superfund sites. Environmental reserves have been established for those sites where our contribution is probable and reasonably estimable. In addition, we are from time to time involved in civil proceedings relating to damages alleged to have occurred as a result of accidental leaks or spills of refined petroleum products, NGL, natural gas and CO_2 .

Portland Harbor Superfund Site, Willamette River, Portland, Oregon

In December 2000, the EPA issued General Notice letters to potentially responsible parties including GATX Terminals Corporation (n/k/a KMLT). At that time, GATX owned two liquids terminals along the lower reach of the Willamette River, an industrialized area known as Portland Harbor. Portland Harbor is listed on the National Priorities List and is designated as a Superfund Site under CERCLA. A group of potentially responsible parties formed what is known as the Lower Willamette Group (LWG), of which KMLT is a non-voting member and pays a minimal fee to be part of the group. The LWG agreed to conduct the remedial investigation and feasibility study (RI/FS) leading to the proposed remedy for cleanup of the Portland Harbor site. Once the EPA determines the cleanup remedy from the remedial investigations and feasibility studies conducted during the last decade at the site, it will issue a Record of Decision (ROD). Currently, KMLT and 90 other parties are involved in a non-judicial allocation process to determine each party's respective share of the cleanup costs. We are participating in the allocation process on behalf of KMLT and KMBT in connection with their current or former ownership or operation of four facilities located in Portland Harbor. We expect the RI/FS process to conclude in 2016, after which the EPA is expected to develop a proposed plan leading to a ROD targeted for 2017. The allocation process will follow the issuance of the ROD with an expected completion date of 2017. We anticipate that the cleanup activities will begin within two years after the ROD is issued.

Roosevelt Irrigation District v. Kinder Morgan G.P., Inc., Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P., U.S. District Court, Arizona

The Roosevelt Irrigation District sued KMGP, KMEP and others under CERCLA for alleged contamination of the water purveyor's wells. The First Amended Complaint sought \$175 million in damages against approximately 70 defendants. On August 6, 2013 plaintiffs filed their Second Amended Complaint seeking monetary damages in unspecified amounts and reducing the number of defendants to 26 including KMEP and SFPP. The claims now presented against KMEP and SFPP are related to alleged releases from a specific parcel within the SFPP Phoenix Terminal and the alleged impact of such releases on water wells owned by the plaintiffs and located in the vicinity of the Terminal. We have filed an answer, general denial, and affirmative defenses in response to the Second Amended

Complaint.

Mission Valley Terminal Lawsuit

In August 2007, the City of San Diego, on its own behalf and purporting to act on behalf of the People of the State of California, filed a lawsuit against us and several affiliates seeking injunctive relief and unspecified damages allegedly resulting from hydrocarbon and methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) impacted soils and groundwater beneath the City's stadium property in San Diego arising from historic operations at the Mission Valley terminal facility. The case was filed in the Superior Court of California, San Diego County (Case No. 37-2007-00073033). On September 26, 2007, we removed the case to the U.S. District Court, Southern District of California (Case No. 07CV1883WCAB). The City disclosed in discovery that it is seeking approximately \$170 million in damages for alleged lost value/lost profit from the redevelopment of the City's property and alleged lost use of the water resources underlying the property. Later, in 2010, the City amended its initial disclosures to add claims for restoration of the site as well as a number of other claims that increased its claim for damages to approximately \$365 million.

On November 29, 2012, the Court issued a Notice of Tentative Rulings on the parties' summary adjudication motions. The Court tentatively granted our partial motions for summary judgment on the City's claims for water and real estate damages and the State's claims for violations of California Business and Professions Code § 17200, tentatively denied the City's motion for summary judgment on its claims of liability for nuisance and trespass, and tentatively granted our cross motion for summary judgment on such claims. On January 25, 2013, the Court rendered judgment in favor of all defendants on all claims asserted by the City.

On February 20, 2013, the City of San Diego filed a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. On May 21, 2015, the Court of Appeals issued a memorandum decision which affirmed the District Court's summary judgment in our favor with respect to the City's claim under California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act, but reversed the District Court's summary judgment decision in our favor on the City's remaining claims, and also reversed the District Court's decision to exclude the City's expert testimony. On July 14, 2015, the Court of Appeals denied our petition for rehearing and issued a mandate returning the case to the U.S. District Court. We intend to pursue dispositive motions before the U.S. District Court and continue to vigorously defend the case.

This site remains under the regulatory oversight and order of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB). SFPP has completed the soil and groundwater remediation at the City of San Diego's stadium property site and conducted quarterly sampling and monitoring through 2014 as part of the compliance evaluation required by the RWQCB. SFPP expects the RWQCB to issue a notice of no further action with respect to the stadium property site. SFPP's remediation effort is now focused on its adjacent Mission Valley Terminal site.

Uranium Mines in Vicinity of Cameron, Arizona

In the 1950s and 1960s, Rare Metals Inc., a historical subsidiary of EPNG, mined approximately twenty uranium mines in the vicinity of Cameron, Arizona, many of which are located on the Navajo Indian Reservation. The mining activities were in response to numerous incentives provided to industry by the U.S. to locate and produce domestic sources of uranium to support the Cold War-era nuclear weapons program. In May 2012, EPNG received a general notice letter from the EPA notifying EPNG of the EPA's investigation of certain sites and its determination that the EPA considers EPNG to be a potentially responsible party within the meaning of CERCLA. In August 2013, EPNG and the EPA entered into an Administrative Order on Consent and Scope of Work pursuant to which EPNG will conduct a radiological assessment of the surface of the mines. On September 3, 2014, EPNG filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the District of Arizona (Case No. 3:14-08165-DGC) seeking cost recovery and contribution from the applicable federal government agencies toward the cost of environmental activities associated with the mines, given the pervasive control of such federal agencies over all aspects of the nuclear weapons program. Defendants filed an answer and counterclaims seeking contribution and recovery of response costs allegedly incurred by the federal agencies in investigating uranium impacts on the Navajo Reservation.

Lower Passaic River Study Area of the Diamond Alkali Superfund Site, Essex, Hudson, Bergen and Passaic Counties, New Jersey

EPEC Polymers, Inc. (EPEC Polymers) and EPEC Oil Company Liquidating Trust (EPEC Oil Trust), former El Paso Corporation entities now owned by KMI, are involved in an administrative action under CERCLA known as the Lower Passaic River Study Area Superfund Site (Site) concerning the lower 17-mile stretch of the Passaic River. It has been alleged that EPEC Polymers and EPEC Oil Trust may be potentially responsible parties under CERCLA based on prior ownership and/or operation of properties located along the relevant section of the Passaic River. EPEC Polymers and EPEC Oil Trust entered into two Administrative Orders on Consent (AOCs) which obligate them to

investigate and characterize contamination at the Site. They are also part of a joint defense group (JDG) of approximately 70 cooperating parties which have entered into AOCs and are directing and funding the work required by the EPA. Under the first AOC, draft remedial investigation and feasibility studies (RI/FS) of the Site were submitted to the EPA in 2015, and comments from the EPA are expected by the end of 2016. Under the second AOC, the JDG members conducted a CERCLA removal action at the Passaic River Mile 10.9, and the group is currently conducting EPA-directed post-remedy monitoring in the removal area. We have established a reserve for the anticipated cost of compliance with the AOCs.

On April 11, 2014, the EPA announced the issuance of its Focused Feasibility Study (FFS) for the lower eight miles of the Passaic River Study Area, and its proposed plan for remedial alternatives to address the dioxin sediment contamination from the mouth of Newark Bay to River Mile 8.3. The EPA estimates the cost for the alternatives will range from \$365 million to \$3.2 billion. The EPA's preferred alternative would involve dredging the river bank-to-bank and installing an engineered cap at an estimated cost of \$1.7 billion. In its FFS, the EPA stated that it has identified over 100 industrial facilities as potentially

responsible parties and it is likely that there are hundreds more private and public entities that could be named in any litigation concerning responsibility for the Site contamination.

No final remedy for this portion of the Site will be selected until the public comment and response period for the FFS is completed and the Record of Decision (ROD) is issued by the EPA, which is expected by the end of 2015. Until the ROD is issued, there is uncertainty about what remedy will be implemented and the extent of potential costs. There is also uncertainty as to the impact of the RI/FS that the CPG is currently preparing for portions of the Site. The draft RI/FS was submitted by the CPG earlier in 2015 and proposes a different remedy than the FFS announced by the EPA. Therefore, the scope of potential EPA claims for the lower eight miles of the Passaic River is not reasonably estimable at this time.

Philadelphia and Point Breeze Terminals, Notices of Violation

On August 7, 2015, KMLT's Philadelphia Terminal received a Notice of Violation (NOV) from the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) related to an alleged ethanol release from an above ground storage tank at the facility. The NOV alleged a failure to investigate and confirm a suspected release within the regulatory time period and failure of emergency containment to contain a release from a tank. On July 30, 2015, KMLT's Point Breeze Terminal received a NOV from the PADEP relating to an alleged violation of a regulatory requirement to remove storm water from the emergency containment areas surrounding above ground storage tanks at the facility prior to capacity of containment being reduced by ten percent (10%) or more. Following an informal administrative hearing with the PADEP on October 14, 2015 with respect to both matters, the NOV related to the Philadelphia Terminal was tentatively settled for approximately \$0.6 million and the NOV related to the Point Breeze Terminal was tentatively settled for approximately \$0.2 million.

Central Florida Pipeline Release, Tampa, Florida

On July 22, 2011, our subsidiary Central Florida Pipeline LLC (CFPL) reported a refined petroleum products release on a section of its 10-inch diameter pipeline near Tampa, Florida. The pipeline carries jet fuel and diesel to Orlando and was carrying jet fuel at the time of the incident. There was no fire and no injuries associated with the incident. CFPL cleaned up the release in coordination with federal, state and local agencies. The cause of the incident was determined to be a third party line strike. In August 2015, the EPA requested that CFPL engage in settlement discussions regarding potential penalties sought by the EPA under the Clean Water Act up to the statutory maximum of approximately \$0.9 million. Although CFPL does not believe it caused the incident, and is prepared to vigorously defend any claims that might be asserted by the EPA, we are engaging in good faith settlement negotiations as requested by the EPA.

Southeast Louisiana Flood Protection Litigation

On July 24, 2013, the Board of Commissioners of the Southeast Louisiana Flood Protection Authority - East (SLFPA) filed a petition for damages and injunctive relief in state district court for Orleans Parish, Louisiana (Case No. 13-6911) against TGP, SNG and approximately 100 other energy companies, alleging that defendants' drilling, dredging, pipeline and industrial operations since the 1930's have caused direct land loss and increased erosion and submergence resulting in alleged increased storm surge risk, increased flood protection costs and unspecified damages to the plaintiff. The SLFPA asserts claims for negligence, strict liability, public nuisance, private nuisance, and breach of contract. Among other relief, the petition seeks unspecified monetary damages, attorney fees, interest, and injunctive relief in the form of abatement and restoration of the alleged coastal land loss including but not limited to backfilling and re-vegetation of canals, wetlands and reef creation, land bridge construction, hydrologic restoration,

shoreline protection, structural protection, and bank stabilization. On August 13, 2013, the suit was removed to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana. On February 13, 2015, the Court granted defendants' motion to dismiss the suit for failure to state a claim, and issued an order dismissing the SLFPA's claims with prejudice. The SLFPA filed a notice of appeal on February 20, 2015.

Plaquemines Parish Louisiana Coastal Zone Litigation

On November 8, 2013, the Parish of Plaquemines, Louisiana filed a petition for damages in the state district court for Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana (Docket No. 60-999) against TGP and 17 other energy companies, alleging that defendants' oil and gas exploration, production and transportation operations in the Bastian Bay, Buras, Empire and Fort Jackson oil and gas fields of Plaquemines Parish caused substantial damage to the coastal waters and nearby lands (Coastal Zone) within the Parish, including the erosion of marshes and the discharge of oil waste and other pollutants which detrimentally affected the quality of state waters and plant and animal life, in violation of the State and Local Coastal Resources Management Act of 1978 (Coastal Zone Management Act). As a result of such alleged violations of the Coastal Zone Management Act, Plaquemines Parish seeks, among other relief, unspecified monetary relief, attorney fees, interest, and payment of costs necessary to restore the allegedly affected Coastal Zone to its original condition, including costs to clear, vegetate and detoxify the Coastal Zone. The

case was removed to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana, but it has since been remanded to the state district court, where the parties are engaged in discovery. In connection with this suit, TGP has made two tenders for defense and indemnity: (1) to Anadarko, as successor to the entity that purchased TGP's oil and gas assets in Bastian Bay, and (2) to Kinetica, which purchased TGP's pipeline assets in Bastian Bay in 2013. Anadarko has accepted TGP's tender (limited to oil and gas assets), and Kinetica rejected TGP's tender. TGP responded to Kinetica by reasserting TGP's demand for defense and indemnity and reserving its rights.

General

Although it is not possible to predict the ultimate outcomes, we believe that the resolution of the environmental matters set forth in this note, and other matters to which we and our subsidiaries are a party, will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows. As of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we have accrued a total reserve for environmental liabilities in the amount of \$306 million and \$340 million, respectively. In addition, as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we have recorded a receivable of \$13 million and \$14 million, respectively, for expected cost recoveries that have been deemed probable.

Commitments

Commitment for Jones Act Trade Fleet Expansion

In August 2015, we entered into a definitive agreement with Philly Tankers LLC totaling \$568 million for the construction of four new Tier II, LNG-conversion-ready tankers each with a capacity of 337 MBbl. The tankers are expected to be delivered between November 2016 and November 2017 and would increase our Jones Act tanker fleet to 16 ships by late 2017. Our obligation for payments due under the terms of this agreement total \$14 million in 2015; \$170 million in 2016; and \$384 million in 2017.

10. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

ASU No. 2014-09

On May 28, 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)." This ASU is designed to create greater comparability for financial statement users across industries and jurisdictions. The provisions of ASU No. 2014-09 include a five-step process by which entities will recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to customers in amounts that reflect the payment to which an entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The standard also will require enhanced disclosures, provide more comprehensive guidance for transactions such as service revenue and contract modifications, and enhance guidance for multiple-element arrangements. ASU No. 2014-09 will be effective for us January 1, 2018. Early adoption is permitted for the interim periods within the adoption year. We are currently reviewing the effect of ASU No. 2014-09 on our revenue recognition and assessing the timing of our adoption.

ASU No. 2015-02

On February 18, 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-02, "Consolidation (Topic 810) - Amendments to the Consolidated Analysis." This ASU focuses on the consolidation evaluation for reporting organizations that are required to evaluate whether they should consolidate certain legal entities. ASU No. 2015-02 will be effective for us January 1, 2016. We are currently reviewing the effect of ASU No. 2015-02.

On July 22, 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-11, "Inventory (Topic 330): Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory." This ASU requires entities to subsequently measure inventory at the lower of cost and net realizable value, and defines net realizable value as the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal, and transportation. ASU No. 2015-11 will be effective for us January 1, 2017. We are currently reviewing the effect of ASU No. 2015-11.

11. Guarantee of Securities of Subsidiaries

KMI, along with its direct and indirect subsidiaries KMP and Copano, are issuers of certain public debt securities. After the completion of the Merger Transactions, KMI, KMP, Copano and substantially all of KMI's wholly owned domestic subsidiaries, entered into a cross guarantee agreement whereby each party to the agreement unconditionally guarantees, jointly and severally, the payment of specified indebtedness of each other party to the agreement. Accordingly, with the exception of certain subsidiaries identified as Subsidiary Non-Guarantors, the parent issuer, subsidiary issuers and other subsidiaries are all guarantors of each series of public debt. As a result of the cross guarantee agreement, a holder of any of the guaranteed public debt securities issued by KMI, KMP or Copano are in the same position with respect to the net assets, income and cash flows of KMI and the Subsidiary Issuers and Guarantors. The only amounts that are not available to the holders of each of the guaranteed public debt securities to satisfy the repayment of such securities are the net assets, income and cash flows of the Subsidiary Non-Guarantors.

In lieu of providing separate financial statements for each subsidiary issuer and guarantor, we have included the accompanying condensed consolidating financial statements based on Rule 3-10 of the SEC's Regulation S-X. We have presented each of the parent and subsidiary issuers in separate columns in this single set of condensed consolidating financial statements.

Excluding fair value adjustments, as of September 30, 2015, Parent Issuer and Guarantor, Subsidiary Issuer and Guarantor-KMP, Subsidiary Issuer and Guarantor-Copano, and Subsidiary Guarantors had \$13,853 million, \$20,360 million, \$332 million, and \$7,222 million of Guaranteed Notes outstanding, respectively. Included in the Subsidiary Guarantors debt balance as presented in the accompanying September 30, 2015 condensed consolidating balance sheets are approximately \$177 million of capitalized lease debt that is not subject to the cross guarantee agreement.

The accounts within the Parent Issuer and Guarantor, Subsidiary Issuer and Guarantor-KMP, Subsidiary Issuer and Guarantor-Copano, Subsidiary Guarantors and Subsidiary Non-Guarantors are presented using the equity method of accounting for investments in subsidiaries, including subsidiaries that are guarantors and non-guarantors, for purposes of these condensed consolidating financial statements only. These intercompany investments and related activity eliminate in consolidation and are presented separately in the accompanying balance sheets and statements of income and cash flows.

A significant amount of each Issuers' income and cash flow is generated by its respective subsidiaries. As a result, the funds necessary to meet its debt service and/or guarantee obligations are provided in large part by distributions or advances it receives from its respective subsidiaries. We utilize a centralized cash pooling program among our majority-owned and consolidated subsidiaries, including the Subsidiary Issuers and Guarantors and Subsidiary Non-Guarantors. The following Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows present the intercompany loan and distribution activity, as well as cash collection and payments made on behalf of our subsidiaries, as cash activities.

On January 1, 2015, EPB and its subsidiary, EPPOC merged with and into KMP with KMP surviving the merger. As a result of such merger, all of the wholly owned subsidiaries of EPB became wholly owned subsidiaries of KMP and effective January 1, 2015, EPB is no longer a Subsidiary Issuer and Guarantor. The condensed consolidating financial information reflects this transaction for all periods presented below.

Effective November 26, 2014, the Merger Transactions close date, KMR merged into KMI. Therefore, for all periods presented KMR's financial statement balances and activities are reflected within the Parent Issuer and Guarantor column.

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2015 (In Millions) (Unaudited)

(Unaudited)	Parent Issuer and Guarar		Issuer and Guaran	-	y Subsid Issuer and Guarar - Copane	nto	Subsidi	-	Subsidia Non-Gua	-	Consolida or A djustme		•	lated
Total Revenues	\$9		\$—		\$ <u> </u>		\$ 3,289		\$ 421		\$ (12)	\$ 3,707	
Operating costs, expenses and other Costs of sales	_						1,007		98		1		1,106	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	6						508		103				617	
Other operating expenses	16		1		(2)	1,100		161		(13)	1,263	
Total operating costs, expenses and other	22		1		(2)	2,615		362		(12)	2,986	
Operating (loss) income	(13)	(1)	2		674		59		_		721	
Other income (expense) Earnings from consolidated subsidiaries	366		484		48		376		10		(1,284)	_	
Earnings from equity investments			—				114		—				114	
Interest, net	(155)	23		(12)	(381)	(15)			(540)
Amortization of excess cost of equity investments and other, net			_				(5)	1				(4)
Income before income taxes	198		506		38		778		55		(1,284)	291	
Income tax expense	(12)	(2)			(93)	(1)	—		(108)
Net income	186		504		38		685		54		(1,284)	183	
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests											3		3	
Net income attributable to controlling interests	\$186		\$504		\$38		\$ 685		\$ 54		\$ (1,281)	\$ 186	
Net Income Total other comprehensive loss Comprehensive income (loss) Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	\$ 186 (37 149 —)	\$504 (42 462 —)	\$38 		\$ 685 (24 661 —)	\$ 54 (125 (71))	\$ (1,284 191 (1,093 3))	\$ 183 (37 146 3)

Edgar Filing: KINDER MORGAN, INC Form 10-Q											
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to controlling interests	\$149	\$462	\$38	\$ 661	\$ (71)	\$ (1,090) \$ 149				
31											

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 (In Millions) (Unaudited)

	Parent Issuer and Guarante	or	Subsidia Issuer ar Guarante - KMP	nd	and	•	Subsidia	•	Subsidiar Non-Guai		Consolida or A djustme		•	lated
Total Revenues	\$9		\$—		\$—		\$ 3,649		\$ 637		\$ (4)	\$ 4,291	
Operating costs, expenses and other														
Costs of sales					_		1,510		124		8		1,642	
Depreciation, depletion and	5						423		92				520	
amortization Other operating expenses	4		2		9		667		127		(12)	797	
Total operating costs, expenses and other	9		2		9		2,600		343		(4)	2,959	
Operating (loss) income			(2)	(9)	I	1,049		294		_		1,332	
Other income (expense) Earnings from consolidated subsidiaries	581		1,126		59		640		487		(2,893)	_	
Earnings from equity investments			_		_		108		(1)			107	
Interest, net	(111)	(28)	(13)		(261)	(19)	_		(432)
Amortization of excess cost of equity investments and other, net	—				—		(6)	24		—		18	
Income before income taxes	470		1,096		37		1,530		785		(2,893)	1,025	
Income tax expense	(57)	(3)			(21)	(165)			(246)
Net income	413		1,093		37		1,509		620		(2,893)	779	
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(84)	(44)							(322)	(450)
Net income attributable to controlling interests	\$329		\$1,049		\$37		\$ 1,509		\$ 620		\$ (3,215)	\$ 329	
Net Income	\$413		\$1,093		\$37		\$ 1,509		\$ 620		\$ (2,893)	\$ 779	
Total other comprehensive	24		58				85		(83)	(38)	46	
income (loss) Comprehensive income	437		1,151		37		1,594		537		(2,931)	825	

Comprehensive income									
attributable to noncontrolling	(90) (45) —			(343)	(478)
interests									
Comprehensive income									
attributable to controlling	\$347	\$1,106	\$37	\$ 1,594	\$ 537	\$ (3,274)	\$ 347	
interests									

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 (In Millions) (Unaudited)

Subsidiary Subsidiary Issuer Parent Issuer and Issuer Subsidiary Subsidiary ConsolidatingConsolidated and Guarantor Guarantor Guarantors Non-Guarantor Adjustments KMI and Guarantor KMP Copano \$9.565 **Total Revenues** \$28 \$---**\$**— \$ 1,210 \$ (36) \$10,767 Operating costs, expenses and other 2,997 2 Costs of sales 282 3,281 Depreciation, depletion and 16 1,423 286 1,725 amortization 39 452) 3.070 Other operating expenses 66 (1) 2,552 (38 Total operating costs, expenses 82 39) 6,972) 8,076 (1 1,020 (36 and other 2,593 190 2,691 Operating (loss) income (54)) (39) 1 Other income (expense) Earnings from consolidated 1,454 2,033 20 1,510 (5.058)41) subsidiaries Earnings from equity 304 304 investments Interest, net (356) 30 (36) (1,133) (29) (1,524)) Amortization of excess cost of equity investments and other, (13)) 7 (6) net Income (loss) before income 1.044 2,024) 3,261 209 (5,058 (15)1,465) taxes Income tax expense (96) (6 (409)) (10) (521)) — 948 2,018 199 (5,058)944 Net income (loss) (15) 2,852) Net loss attributable to 4 4 noncontrolling interests Net income (loss) attributable to \$948 \$ 199 \$ (5,054) \$ 948 \$2,018 \$(15) \$2,852 controlling interests \$948 Net Income (loss) \$2,018 \$(15) \$2,852 \$ 199 \$ (5,058) \$ 944 Total other comprehensive loss (311) (419 (525)) (266 1,210 (311) —)) Comprehensive income (loss) 637 1,599 (15)) 2,327 (67 (3,848)633))

Edgar Filing: KINDER MORGAN, INC Form 1	10-Q

Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interests				_			4	4
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to controlling interests	\$637	\$1,599	\$(15) \$2,327	\$ (67)	\$ (3,844)	\$ 637

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 (In Millions) (Unaudited)

	Parent Issuer and Guarant	toı	Issuer and Guarant	J	V Subsidi Issuer and Guaran - Copance	itoi	Subsidia	•	Subsidiar Non-Guar				ngConsolid 5 KMI	ated
Total Revenues	\$27		\$—		\$—	J	\$ 10,784	ļ	\$ 1,465		\$ (1)	\$ 12,275	
Operating costs, expenses and other														
Costs of sales							4,467		393		35		4,895	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	15		_				1,232		271				1,518	
Other operating expenses	24		5		24		1,980		373		(36)	2,370	
Total operating costs, expenses and other	39		5		24		7,679		1,037		(1)	8,783	
Operating (loss) income	(12)	(5)	(24)	3,105		428		_		3,492	
Other income (expense) Earnings from consolidated subsidiaries	1,554		2,897		159		1,432		1,414		(7,456)	_	
Earnings from equity investments			—				307		(1)			306	
Interest, net	(373)	(80)	(35)	(766)	(66)	—		(1,320)
Amortization of excess cost of equity investments and other, net	_		_		_		(13)	36		_		23	
Income before income taxes	1,169		2,812		100		4,065		1,811		(7,456)	2,501	
Income tax expense	(98)	(8)	—		(50)	(468)			(624)
Net income	1,071		2,804		100		4,015		1,343		(7,456)	1,877	
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(171)	(156)			_		_		(650)	(977)
Net income attributable to controlling interests	\$900		\$2,648		\$100		\$ 4,015		\$ 1,343		\$ (8,106)	\$ 900	
Net Income Total other comprehensive loss Comprehensive income	\$ 1,071 (33 1,038 (164)	\$2,804 (93 2,711 (152)	\$100 		\$ 4,015 (106 3,909 —)	\$ 1,343 (128 1,215 —)	\$ (7,456 290 (7,166 (617)))	\$ 1,877 (70 1,807 (933))

Comprehensive income						
attributable to noncontrolling						
interests						
Comprehensive income						
attributable to controlling	\$874	\$2,559	\$100	\$ 3,909	\$ 1,215	\$ (7,783) \$ 874
interests						

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2015 (In Millions)

(Unaudited)

	Parent Issuer and Guarantor	Issuer and Guarantor	Subsidiary Issuer and Guarantor - Copano	•	Subsidiary Non-Guaranto		-	Consolidated KMI
ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents	\$12	\$—	\$—	\$25	\$ 142	\$—		\$ 179
Other current assets - affiliates	1915 1047	1,042	19	9,399	550	(12,925)	_
All other current assets	184	129	1	2,305	276	(7)	2,888
Property, plant and equipment, net	258	—		31,972	8,378	_		40,608
Investments	16	2		5,811	114	_		5,943
Investments in subsidiaries	33,775	29,470	2,294	18,420	3,337	(87,296)	_
Goodwill	15,089	22	920	5,743	3,178	_		24,952
Notes receivable from affiliates	4,588	22,175	_	2,228	360	(29,351)	_
Deferred tax assets Other non-current assets Total assets	 267 \$56,104	 349 \$53,189	 \$3,234	8,939 5,046 \$89,888	 118 \$ 16,453	(3,612 — \$ (133,191		5,327 5,780 \$ 85,677
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Liabilities								
Current portion of debt	\$535	\$875	\$—	\$1,471	\$ 122	\$ —		\$ 3,003
Other current liabilities - affiliates	664	9,654	259	1,761	587	(12,925)	_
All other current liabilities	334	260	15	1,987	599	(7)	3,188
Long-term debt	13,953	20,149	380	6,461	687	_		41,630
Notes payable to affiliates	2,516	448	651	24,378	1,358	(29,351)	_
Deferred income taxes	2,147		2		1,463	(3,612)	—
All other long-term liabilities and deferred credits	441	180	_	965	428			2,014
Total liabilities	20,590	31,566	1,307	37,023	5,244	(45,895)	49,835
Stockholders' equity Total KMI equity Noncontrolling interests	35,514	21,623	1,927 —	52,865 —	11,209 —	(87,624 328)	35,514 328

Edgar Filing: KINDER MORGAN, INC Form 10-Q									
Total stockholders' equity Total liabilities and		21,623	1,927	52,865	11,209	(87,296		35,842	
stockholders' equity	\$56,104	\$53,189	\$3,234	\$89,888	\$ 16,453	\$ (133,191)	\$85,677	

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 (In Millions)

	Parent Issuer and Guarantor	Subsidiary Issuer and Guarantor	Subsidiary Issuer and Guarantor	Subsidiary Guarantors	Subsidiary Consolidatin Non-GuarantorsAdjustments		g Consolidated KMI
		KMP	Copano				
ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents	\$4	\$15	\$—	\$17	\$ 279	\$—	\$ 315
Other current assets - affiliates	1,868	1,335	11	11,573	403	(15,190) —
All other current assets	397	152	3	2,547	358	(20) 3,437
Property, plant and equipment, net	263	_	5	29,490	8,806	_	38,564
Investments	16	1	_	5,910	109	_	6,036
Investments in subsidiaries	31,372	33,414	1,911	17,868	3,337	(87,902) —
Goodwill	15,087	22	920	5,419	3,206		24,654
Notes receivable from affiliates	4,459	19,832	_	2,415	496	(27,202) —
Deferred tax assets—Other non-current assets258Total assets\$53,724	 249 \$55,020	 \$2,850	9,256 3,772 \$88,267	 113 \$ 17,107) 5,651 4,392) \$83,049	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Liabilities							
Current portion of debt	\$1,486	\$699	\$—	\$381	\$ 151	\$ <i>—</i>	\$ 2,717
Other current liabilities - affiliates	709	11,949	115	1,551	866	(15,190) —
All other current liabilities	319	498	12	1,812	1,024	(20) 3,645
Long-term debt	11,833	20,564	386	6,599	715	_	40,097
Notes payable to affiliates	2,619	153	753	22,437	1,240	(27,202) —
Deferred income taxes	2,099		2	_	1,504	(3,605) —
Other long-term liabilities and deferred credits	583	78	2	987	514		2,164
Total liabilities	19,648	33,941	1,270	33,767	6,014	(46,017) 48,623
Stockholders' equity Total KMI equity Noncontrolling interests Total stockholders' equity	34,076 	21,079 	1,580 — 1,580	54,500 — 54,500	11,093 	(88,252 350 (87,902) 34,076 350) 34,426
1 5	*	*	*	<i>.</i>	*		

Edgar Filing: KINDER MORGAN, INC Form 10-Q									
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$53,724	\$55,020	\$2,850	\$88,267	\$ 17,107	\$(133,919) \$83,049			
36									

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 (In Millions) (Unaudited)

(Unaudited)	Parent Issuer and Guarantor	Subsidiary Issuer and Guarantor - KMP	and	Subsidiary	Subsidiary Non-Guaran		ingConsolid ts KMI	lated
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$(2,208)	\$5,917	\$81	\$ 6,834	\$ 193	\$ (7,310) \$ 3,507	
Cash flows from investing activities Funding to affiliates Capital expenditures Contributions to investments Investment in KMP Acquisitions of assets and investments Distributions from equity investments in excess of cumulative earnings Other, net Net cash used in investing activities	(1,767) (9) (5) (159) (1,709) 1,060 (2,589)	(7,699) 16 (7,683)	. ,	(7,293) (2,747) (62) 	(597) (245) (7) — — 18 (831)	17,358 5 5 159 (992 (5 16,530	(2,999 (69 (1,919)) 181) 84 (4,722))))
Cash flows from financing activities Issuances of debt Payments of debt Funding from (to) affiliates Debt issue costs Issuances of shares Cash dividends Repurchases of warrants Contributions from parents Contributions from noncontrolling interests Distributions to parents Distributions to parents Distributions to noncontrolling interests Other, net Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	12,281 (11,544) 3,351 (20) 3,833 (3,084) (12) 4,805	(300) 5,602 		(42) 7,842 (4,480) 3,323	(7)) 644 	 (17,358 (171 7 8,327 (25 (9,220	$12,281 \\ (11,893) \\ \\ (20) \\ 3,833 \\ (3,084) \\ (12) \\ \\ 7 \\ \\) (25) \\ (1) \\ 1,086 \\$))))
		_	_		(7)	_	(7)

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents								
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	8	(15) —	8	(137)	_	(136)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	4	15		17	279	—	315	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$12	\$—	\$—	\$ 25	\$ 142	\$ —	\$ 179	
37								

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 (In Millions) (Unaudited)

(Unaudited)	Parent Issuer and Guarantor	Subsidiary Issuer and Guarantor - KMP	and	Subsidiary	⁷ Subsidiary s Non-Guaranto	Consolidatin orAdjustments	•	ated
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$1,166	\$2,868	\$(92)	\$ 3,897	\$ 1,219	\$ (5,566)	\$ 3,492	
Cash flows from investing activities Funding to affiliates Capital expenditures Contributions to investments Investment in KMP Drop down assets to KMP Acquisitions of assets and investments Distributions from equity investments in excess of cumulative earnings Other, net Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(197) (11) 	$ \begin{array}{cccc} (5,037 &) \\ \hline (118 &) \\ \hline (875 &) \\ \hline 367 \\ (2 &) \\ (5,665 &) \end{array} $	 199 135	(2,785) (2,254) (342) 	(1,149) (548) — — (15) — (60) (1,772)	9,168 199 118 34 (438) (198) 8,883	 (2,678 (342 (1,100 138 (38 (4,020))))
Cash flows from financing activities Issuances of debt Payments of debt Funding from (to) affiliates Debt issue costs Cash dividends Repurchases of shares and warrants Contributions from parents Contributions from noncontrolling interests Distributions to parents Distributions to parents Distributions to noncontrolling interests Other, net Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	3,258 (3,804) 149 (28) (1,304) (192) 	10,141 (7,698) 2,225 (24) — 1,578 — (3,322) — (1) 2,899				(9,168)) (1,791)) 1,638 7,495 (1,491)) (3,317))	$ \begin{array}{r} 13,399\\(11,585\\-\\(52\\(1,304\\(192\\-\\1,638\\-\\(1,491\\(2\\411\end{array}) \end{array} $))))

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents			_			_		(9)	—	(9)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(52)	102	(1)	(9)	(166)	_	(126)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	83		88	1		17		409		_	598	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$31		\$190	\$—		\$8		\$ 243		\$—	\$ 472	
38												

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. General and Basis of Presentation

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our accompanying interim consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report, and in conjunction with (i) our consolidated financial statements and related notes and (ii) our management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations included in our 2014 Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

Non-GAAP Measures

The non-GAAP financial measures, DCF before certain items and segment EBDA before certain items are presented below under "—Distributable Cash Flow" and "—Consolidated Earnings Results," respectively. Certain items are items that ar required by GAAP to be reflected in net income, but typically either do not have a cash impact, or by their nature are separately identifiable from our normal business operations and, in our view, are likely to occur only sporadically.

Our non-GAAP measures described below should not be considered as an alternative to GAAP net income or any other GAAP measure. DCF before certain items and segment EBDA before certain items are not financial measures in accordance with GAAP and have important limitations as analytical tools. You should not consider either of these non-GAAP measures in isolation or as a substitute for an analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Because DCF before certain items excludes some but not all items that affect net income and because DCF measures are defined differently by different companies in our industry, our DCF before certain items may not be comparable to DCF measures of other companies. Our computation of segment EBDA before certain items has similar limitations. Management compensates for the limitations of these non-GAAP measures by reviewing our comparable GAAP measures, understanding the differences between the measures and taking this information into account in its analysis and its decision making processes.

Distributable Cash Flow

DCF before certain items is an overall performance metric we use to estimate the ability of our assets to generate cash flows on an ongoing basis and as a measure of cash available to pay dividends. We believe the primary measure of company performance used by us, investors and industry analysts is cash generation performance. Therefore, we believe DCF before certain items is an important measure to evaluate our operating and financial performance and to compare it with the performance of other publicly traded companies within the industry. For a discussion of our anticipated dividends for 2015, see "—Financial Condition—Cash Flows—Dividends."

Three Months Ended Nine Months Ended September 30, September 30, 2015 2014 2015 2014 \$779 \$944 Net Income \$183 \$1,877 Add/(Subtract): Certain items before book tax(a) 260 (269)) 350 (229)) Book tax certain items (95) 27 (136)) 28 Certain items after book tax 165 (242)) 214 (201)) Net income before certain items 348 537 1,158 1.676 Add/(Subtract): Net income attributable to third-party noncontrolling interests(b) (3) (4) (16) (7) 2,004 Depreciation, depletion and amortization(c) 708 608 1,780 Book taxes(d) 224 655 240 713) (19) (437 Cash taxes(e)(3) (133) Other, net(f)7 12 23 26 Sustaining capital expenditures(g) (152)) (397) (353) (144) Declared distributions to noncontrolling interests(h) (681) — (2,000)) DCF before certain items \$1,340 \$1,129 \$435 \$3,466 Weighted Average Shares Outstanding for Dividends(i) 2,210 1,036 2,189 1,035 DCF per share before certain items \$0.51 \$0.42 \$1.58 \$1.29 Declared dividend per common share \$0.51 \$0.44 \$1.48 \$1.29

Consists of certain items summarized in footnotes (b) through (d) to the "—Consolidated Earnings Results" table (a) included below, and described in more detail below in the footnotes to tables included in both our management's discussion and analysis of segment results and "—General and Administrative, Interest, and Noncontrolling Interests." Represents net income allocated to third-party ownership interests in consolidated subsidiaries other than our former master limited partnerships. Three and nine month 2015 amounts exclude a loss attributable to

(b) noncontrolling interests of \$6 million and \$20 million, respectively, related to impairments included as certain items.

Includes DD&A and amortization of excess cost of equity investments. Three and nine month 2015 amounts also (c)include \$78 million and \$240 million, respectively, and three and nine month 2014 amounts also include \$76 million and \$229 million, respectively, of our share of equity investee's DD&A.

Excludes book tax certain items and includes income tax allocated to the segments. Three and nine month 2015 (d) amounts also include \$21 million and \$56 million, respectively, and three and nine month 2014 amounts also

include \$21 million and \$59 million, respectively, of our share of taxable equity investee's book tax expense. Three and nine month 2015 amounts include (2) million and (8) million, respectively, and three and nine month

(e)2014 amounts include \$(4) million and \$(18) million, respectively, of our share of taxable equity investee's cash taxes.

(f) For 2015, consists primarily of non-cash compensation associated with our restricted stock program and for 2014 consists primarily of excess coverage from our former master limited partnerships.

Three and nine month 2015 amounts include \$(16) million and \$(50) million, respectively, and three and nine (g)month 2014 amounts include \$(11) million and \$(36) million, respectively, of our share of equity investee's sustaining capital expenditures.

(h)Represents distributions to KMP and EPB limited partner units formerly owned by the public.

The table below details the reconciliation of Net Income to DCF before certain items:

(i) Includes restricted stock awards that participate in dividends and dilutive effect of warrants.

Consolidated Earnings Results

In the Results of Operations table below and in the business segment tables that follow, segment EBDA before certain items is calculated by adjusting the segment earnings before DD&A for the applicable certain item amounts in the footnotes to those tables.

In general, interest expense, general and administrative expenses, DD&A and unallocable income taxes are not controllable by our business segment operating managers and therefore are not included when we measure business segment operating performance. Our general and administrative expenses include such items as employee benefits insurance, rentals, unallocated litigation and environmental expenses, and shared corporate services including accounting, information technology, human resources and legal services.

We evaluate business segment performance primarily based on segment EBDA before certain items in relation to the level of capital allocated and consider this to be an important measure of our business segment performance. We account for intersegment sales at market prices, which are eliminated in consolidation.

Results of Operations

	Three Months Ended September 30,								
	2015	2014		Earnings increase/(decrease)					
	(In millions, except percentages)								
Segment earnings before DD&A(a)	× ×	· 1	1 0	,					
Natural Gas Pipelines	\$993	\$1,182	\$(189) (16)%				
CO_2	29	388	(359) (93)%				
Terminals	249	249			%				
Products Pipelines	288	222	66	30	%				
Kinder Morgan Canada	42	50	(8) (16)%				
Other	(9) 6	(15) (250)%				
Total segment earnings before DD&A(b)	1,592	2,097	(505) (24)%				
DD&A expense	(617) (520) (97) (19)%				
Amortization of excess cost of equity investments	(13) (12) (1) (8)%				
Other revenues	10	9	1	11	%				
General and administrative expense(c)	(160) (135) (25) (19)%				
Interest expense, net of unallocable interest income(d)	(539) (431) (108) (25)%				
Income before unallocable income taxes	273	1,008	(735) (73)%				
Unallocable income tax expense	(90) (229) 139	61	%				
Net income	183	779	(596) (77)%				
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests	3	(450) 453	101	%				
Net income attributable to Kinder Morgan, Inc.	\$186	\$329	\$(143) (43)%				
		nths Ende	d						
	Septemb	er 30,	- ·						
	2015	2014	Earni	-					
				se/(decrease))				
	(In millio	ons, excep	t percentag	es)					
Segment earnings before DD&A(a)	¢2.026	¢ 2 207	¢ (07 1) (0					
Natural Gas Pipelines	\$2,936	\$3,207	-)%				
CO ₂	605 708	1,083	(478) (44)% ″				
Terminals	798	692 632	106	15 28	%				
Products Pipelines	811 120	138	179		%				
Kinder Morgan Canada			(18) (13)%				
Other Total common commings before $DD \ (h)$	(55 5,215) 13	(68 (550) (523)%)%				
Total segment earnings before DD&A(b)	(1,725	5,765) (1,518) (10) (14)%)%				
DD&A expense Amortization of excess cost of equity investments	(1,723)) (1,518)) (33) (207)) (6) (14)) (18))%)%				
Other revenues	28	27) (0	4)% %				
General and administrative expense(c)	28 (540) (461) (79		%)%				
Interest expense, net of unallocable interest income(d)	(1,525) (401) (79) (17) (15)%)%				
Income before unallocable income taxes	1,414	2,455	(1,04))%)%				
Unallocable income tax expense	(470) (578) 108	19 (42)% %				
Net income	(470 944	1,877	(933						
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests	944 4	(977) 981) (50 100)% %				
Net income attributable to Kinder Morgan, Inc.	4 \$948	\$900	\$48	5	70 %				
Net meetine autoutable to Kinder Worgan, me.	ψ 2+0	φ 200	ψτο	J	/0				

Includes revenues, earnings from equity investments, allocable interest income and other, net, less operating expenses, allocable income taxes, other expense(income), net, and losses on impairments and disposals of

(a)long-lived assets, net and equity investments. Operating expenses include natural gas purchases and other costs of sales, operations and maintenance expenses, and taxes, other than income taxes. Allocable income tax expenses included in segment earnings for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 were \$18

million and \$17 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 were \$51 million and \$46 million, respectively.

Certain item footnotes

Three and nine month 2015 amounts include decreases in earnings of \$247 million and \$363 million, respectively, (b) respectively, related to the combined effect from all of the 2015 and 2014 certain items impacting segment earnings before DD&A and disclosed below in our management discussion and analysis of segment results. Three and nine month 2015 amounts include a decrease in expense of \$2 million and an increase in expense of \$27 million, respectively, and three and nine month 2014 amounts include decreases in expense of \$15 million and \$18

- (c) million, respectively, and three and nine month 2014 amounts include decreases in expense of \$15 million and \$18 million, respectively, related to the combined effect from the 2015 and 2014 certain items related to general and administrative expense disclosed below in "—General and Administrative, Interest, and Noncontrolling Interests." Three and nine month 2015 amounts include an increase in expense of \$15 million and a decrease in expense of \$40 million, respectively, and three and nine month 2014 amounts include a decrease in expense of \$13 million for
- (d)both respective periods, related to the combined effect from the 2015 and 2014 certain items related to interest expense, net of unallocable interest income disclosed below in "—General and Administrative, Interest, and Noncontrolling Interests."

The certain item totals reflected in footnotes (b), (c) and (d) to the tables above totaled a \$529 million decrease in income before unallocable income taxes for the third quarter of 2015, when compared to the same prior year period (combining a decrease of \$260 million and an increase of \$269 million in income before unallocable income taxes for the third quarters of 2015 and 2014, respectively), and totaled a \$579 million decrease in income before unallocable income taxes for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, when compared to the same prior year period (combining a decrease of \$350 million and an increase of \$229 million in income before unallocable income taxes for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, when compared to the same prior year period (combining a decrease of \$350 million and an increase of \$229 million in income before unallocable income taxes for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively). After giving effect to these certain items, the remaining decreases of \$206 million (28%) and \$462 million (21%) from the prior year quarter and year-to-date, respectively, in income before unallocable income taxes is primarily attributable to increased DD&A expense, general and administrative expense and interest expense, net of unallocable interest income. Our segment earnings before DD&A were relatively flat for the quarter and year-to-date when compared to the prior comparable periods as unfavorable commodity prices affecting our CO2 business segment were offset by increased results from our Products Pipelines and Terminals business segments.

Natural Gas Pipelines

Three Months Ended Nine Months Ended							
Septeml	ber	· 30,		September 30,			
2015		2014		2015		2014	
(In milli	ion	s, except	oper	ating stati	sti	cs)	
\$2,184		\$2,751		\$6,460		\$7,777	
(1,289)	(1,651)	(3,688)	(4,802)
2		(5)	(116)	(7)
				3			
91		85		264		235	
6		4		18		13	
(1)	(2)	(5)	(9)
993		1,182		2,936		3,207	
(18)	(204)	91		(195)
\$975		\$978		\$3,027		\$3,012	
Increase	e/(I	Decrease)					
\$(378)	(15)%	\$(1,141)	(15)%
\$(3)		%	\$15		—	%
28,580 2,445 3,541 343		27,250 2,446 3,508 321		28,230 2,416 3,554 340		26,891 2,303 3,354 282	
	Septemi 2015 (In mill \$2,184 (1,289 2 91 6 (1 993 (18 \$975 Increase \$(378 \$(3 28,580 2,445 3,541	September 2015 (In million \$2,184 (1,289)) 2 91 6 (1)) 993 (18)) \$975 Increase/(I \$(378)) \$(3)) 28,580 2,445 3,541	September 30, 201520152014(In millions, except $\$2,184$ $\$2,751$ $(1,289$) $(1,651)$ 2 (5) 918564 (1)) (2) 993 $1,182$ (18)) (204) $\$975$ $\$978$ Increase/(Decrease) $\$(378)$) (15) $\$(3)$ -28,58027,2502,4452,4463,5413,508	September 30, 201520152014(In millions, except oper $$2,184$ $$2,184$ $$2,751$ $(1,289$) $(1,651$)2 $(5$) $-$ 918564 $(1$) $(2$)993 $1,182$ $(18$) $(204$) $\$975$ $\$978$ Increase/(Decrease) $\$(378)$ $\$(3)$ $ \%$ 28,58027,2502,4452,4463,5413,508	September 30,Septembr201520142015(In millions, except operating stati $\$2,184$ $\$2,751$ $\$2,184$ $\$2,751$ $\$2,184$ $\$2,751$ $\$2,184$ $\$2,751$ $\$6,460$ $(1,289)$ $(1,651)$ $(1,289)$ $(1,651)$ 2 (5) 91 85 2 (5) 91 85 264 6 4 (1) (2) (1) (2) (18) (204) $\$975$ $\$978$ $\$3,027$ Increase/(Decrease) $\$(378)$ (15) $\$(378)$ (15) $\$(378)$ (15) $\$(378)$ $(27,250)$ $28,580$ $27,250$ $28,580$ $27,250$ $28,580$ $27,250$ $28,541$ $3,508$ $3,554$	September 30,September201520142015(In millions, except operating statisti $\$2,184$ $\$2,751$ $\$6,460$ $(1,289)$ $(1,651)$ $(3,688)$ $)$ 2 (5) (116) $)$ $ 3$ 91 85 264 6 4 18 (1) (2) (5) 993 $1,182$ $2,936$ (18) (204) 91 $\$975$ $\$978$ $\$3,027$ Increase/(Decrease) $\$(378)$ (15) $)\%$ $\$(378)$ (15) $)\%$ $\$(378)$ (15) $)\%$ $\$(3,78)$ $(27,250)$ $28,230$ $2,445$ $2,446$ $2,416$ $3,541$ $3,508$ $3,554$	September 30, 2015September 30, 2014September 30, 20152015201420152014(In millions, except operating statistics) $\$2,184$ $\$2,751$ $\$6,460$ $\$7,777$ $(1,289)$ $(1,651)$ $(3,688)$ $(4,802)$ 2 (5) (116) (7) 3-9185264235641813 (1) (2) (5) (9) 993 $1,182$ 2,9363,207 (18) (204) 91 (195) $\$975$ $\$978$ $\$3,027$ $\$3,012$ Increase/(Decrease) $\$(378)$ (15) $)\%$ $\$(1,141)$ $\$(378)$ (15) $)\%$ $\$15$ 28,58027,25028,23026,8912,4452,4462,4162,3033,5413,5083,5543,354

Certain item footnotes

Three and nine month 2015 amounts include increases in revenue of \$17 million and \$23 million, respectively, and three and nine month 2014 amounts include increases in revenue of \$8 million and \$1 million, respectively, related to non-cash mark-to-market derivative contracts used to hedge forecasted natural gas, NGL and crude oil sales.

(a) Three and nine month 2014 amounts also include a \$198 million increase in revenue for both periods associated with the early termination charge of a long-term natural gas transportation contract from a certain customer of Kinder Morgan Louisiana Pipeline LLC.

Three and nine month 2015 amounts include increases in earnings of \$17 million and \$23 million, respectively, related to derivative contracts, as described in footnote (a) and increases in earnings of \$1 million and \$4 million, respectively, from other certain items. The nine month ended 2015 amount also includes a decrease in earnings of \$128 million related to losses on impairments and disposals of long-lived assets and equity investments partially

(b) offset by a \$10 million gain on the sale of an asset. Three and nine month 2014 amounts include increases in earnings of \$8 million and \$1 million, respectively, related to derivative contracts and \$198 million for both periods associated with the early termination charge of a transportation contract, as described in footnote (a). Three and nine month 2014 amounts also include decreases in earnings of \$2 million and \$4 million, respectively, from other certain items.

Other footnotes

(c) Includes pipeline volumes for Kinder Morgan North Texas Pipeline LLC, Monterrey, TransColorado Gas Transmission Company LLC, Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC, Kinder Morgan Louisiana Pipeline LLC, Fayetteville Express Pipeline LLC, TGP, EPNG, Copano South Texas, the Texas intrastate natural gas pipeline group, CIG, Wyoming Interstate Company, L.L.C., CPG, SNG, Elba Express, Sierrita, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America LLC (NGPL), Citrus and Ruby Pipeline, L.L.C. Joint Venture throughput is reported at our ownership share. Volumes for acquired pipelines are included for all periods. However, EBDA contributions from acquisitions are included only for the periods subsequent to their acquisition.

(d) Represents volumes for the Texas intrastate natural gas pipeline group and Kinder Morgan North Texas Pipeline LLC.

Includes Copano operations, Camino Real Gathering Company, L.L.C. (Camino Real), Kinder Morgan Altamont LLC, KinderHawk Field Services LLC (KinderHawk), Endeavor, Bighorn Gas Gathering L.L.C., Webb Duval

(e)Gatherers, Fort Union Gas Gathering L.L.C., EagleHawk, Red Cedar Gathering Company and Hiland Midstream throughput volumes. Joint venture throughput is reported at our ownership share. Volumes for acquired pipelines are included for all periods.

Includes Hiland Midstream, EagleHawk and Camino Real. Joint Venture throughput is reported at our ownership share. Volumes for acquired pipelines are included for all periods.

Following is information related to the increases and decreases in both EBDA and revenues before certain items, in the comparable three and nine month periods of 2015 and 2014:

Three months ended September 30, 2015 versus Three months ended September 30, 2014

1 2	EBDA	Ĩ	Revenues	5	
	increase	/(decrease)	increase/	(decrease)	
	(In milli	ons, except per	centages)		
Hiland Midstream	\$37	n/a	\$145	n/a	
EPNG	13	13	% 25	17	%
EagleHawk field services(a)	5		% n/a	n/a	
KinderHawk field services	(21) (41)% (22) (39)%
KMLP	(17) (74)% (17) (68)%
Oklahoma Midstream	(10) (59)% (61) (47)%
CPG	(8) (38)% (7) (27)%
EP Midstream asset operations	(6) (24)% (17) (33)%
South Texas Midstream	(5) (6)% (173) (35)%
Texas Intrastate Natural Gas Pipeline Group	(2) (3)% (289) (28)%
All others (including eliminations)	11	2	% 38	7	%
Total Natural Gas Pipelines	\$(3) —	% \$(378) (15)%

Nine months ended September 30, 2015 versus Nine months ended September 30, 2014

	EBDA		Revenues		
	increase/(decre	ease)	increase/(decrease)		
	(In millions, ex	cept percentage	es)		
Hiland Midstream	\$95	n/a	\$363		