

DUPONT E I DE NEMOURS & CO  
Form 10-Q  
October 23, 2012  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

OR  
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934

Commission File Number 1-815

E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware

(State or other Jurisdiction of

Incorporation or Organization)

1007 Market Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19898

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

51-0014090

(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

(302) 774-1000

(Registrant's Telephone Number)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that registrant was required to submit and post such files.) Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  
Yes  No

Edgar Filing: DUPONT E I DE NEMOURS & CO - Form 10-Q

The Registrant had 932,471,000 shares (excludes 87,041,000 shares of treasury stock) of common stock, \$0.30 par value, outstanding at October 15, 2012.

---

Table of Contents

## E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY

## Table of Contents

The terms “DuPont” or the “company” as used herein refer to E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company and its consolidated subsidiaries, or to E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, as the context may indicate.

	Page
<u>Part I</u>	<u>Financial Information</u>
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>
	<u>Consolidated Income Statements</u> 3
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u> 4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> 5
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> 6
	<u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u>
	<u>Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u> 7
	<u>Note 2. Discontinued Operations</u> 7
	<u>Note 3. Employee Separation / Asset Related Charges, Net</u> 8
	<u>Note 4. Other Income, Net</u> 9
	<u>Note 5. Provision for Income Taxes</u> 9
	<u>Note 6. Earnings Per Share of Common Stock</u> 10
	<u>Note 7. Inventories</u> 11
	<u>Note 8. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets</u> 11
	<u>Note 9. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities</u> 12
	<u>Note 10. Stockholders' Equity</u> 14
	<u>Note 11. Financial Instruments</u> 16
	<u>Note 12. Long-Term Employee Benefits</u> 21
	<u>Note 13. Segment Information</u> 22
	<u>Note 14. Subsequent Event</u> 23
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> 24
	<u>Cautionary Statements About Forward-Looking Statements</u> 24
	<u>Recent Developments</u> 24
	<u>Results of Operations</u> 25
	<u>Segment Reviews</u> 28
	<u>Liquidity &amp; Capital Resources</u> 30
	<u>Contractual Obligations</u> 31
	<u>PFOA</u> 31
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> 31
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u> 32
<u>Part II</u>	<u>Other Information</u>
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u> 33
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u> 34
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> 34
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u> 34
<u>Signature</u>	<u>35</u>



Table of Contents

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company

## Consolidated Income Statements (Unaudited)

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net sales	\$7,390	\$8,138	\$27,487	\$26,338
Other (loss) income, net	(54	) 165	251	404
Total	7,336	8,303	27,738	26,742
Cost of goods sold and other operating charges	5,722	6,345	19,621	18,947
Selling, general and administrative expenses	772	809	2,725	2,567
Research and development expense	506	546	1,520	1,383
Interest expense	116	116	347	331
Employee separation / asset related charges, net	394	36	394	36
Total	7,510	7,852	24,607	23,264
(Loss) income from continuing operations before income taxes	(174	) 451	3,131	3,478
(Benefit from) provision for income taxes on continuing operations	(134	) 75	657	616
(Loss) income from continuing operations after income taxes	(40	) 376	2,474	2,862
Income from discontinued operations after income taxes	53	84	227	271
Net income	13	460	2,701	3,133
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	3	8	24	32
Net income attributable to DuPont	\$10	\$452	\$2,677	\$3,101
Basic (loss) earnings per share of common stock:				
Basic (loss) earnings per share of common stock from continuing operations	\$(0.05	) \$0.39	\$2.62	\$3.04
Basic earnings per share of common stock from discontinued operations	0.06	0.09	0.24	0.29
Basic earnings per share of common stock	\$0.01	\$0.48	\$2.86	\$3.33
Diluted (loss) earnings per share of common stock:				
Diluted (loss) earnings per share of common stock from continuing operations	\$(0.05	) \$0.39	\$2.59	\$2.99
Diluted earnings per share of common stock from discontinued operations	0.06	0.09	0.24	0.29
Diluted earnings per share of common stock	\$0.01	\$0.48	\$2.83	\$3.28
Dividends per share of common stock	\$0.43	\$0.41	\$1.27	\$1.23

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page 7.

Table of Contents

E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company  
 Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)  
 (Dollars in millions, except per share)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net income	\$13	\$460	\$2,701	\$3,133
Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax:				
Cumulative translation adjustment	189	(348)	(53)	(231)
Net revaluation and clearance of cash flow hedges to earnings:				
Additions and revaluations of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges	(6)	11	30	14
Clearance of hedge results to earnings	(11)	25	(66)	77
Net revaluation and clearance of cash flow hedges to earnings	(17)	36	(36)	91
Pension benefit plans:				
Net loss	(609)	—	(628)	(3)
Prior service cost (benefit)	—	—	22	(2)
Reclassifications to net income:				
Amortization of prior service cost	3	4	10	12
Amortization of loss	222	153	661	459
Curtailment	2	—	2	—
Pension benefit plans, net	(382)	157	67	466
Other benefit plans:				
Net loss	(141)	—	(141)	—
Prior service cost	857	—	857	—
Reclassifications to net income:				
Amortization of prior service benefit	(44)	(30)	(104)	(91)
Amortization of loss	24	15	68	45
Curtailment	3	—	3	—
Other benefit plans, net	699	(15)	683	(46)
Net unrealized loss on securities	(5)	—	(3)	—
Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax	484	(170)	658	280
Income tax expense related to items of other comprehensive income	(126)	(63)	(266)	(179)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	358	(233)	392	101
Comprehensive income	371	227	3,093	3,234
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	3	3	51	24
Comprehensive income attributable to DuPont	\$368	\$224	\$3,042	\$3,210

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page 7.

Table of Contents

E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company  
 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)  
 (Dollars in millions, except per share)

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$3,418	\$3,586
Marketable securities	105	433
Accounts and notes receivable, net	7,879	6,022
Inventories	6,752	7,195
Prepaid expenses	135	151
Deferred income taxes	971	671
Assets held for sale	3,157	—
Total current assets	22,417	18,058
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation (September 30, 2012 - \$18,892; December 31, 2011 - \$19,349)	12,528	13,412
Goodwill	4,579	5,413
Other intangible assets	5,145	5,413
Investment in affiliates	1,098	1,117
Deferred income taxes	3,825	4,067
Other assets	1,015	1,012
Total	\$50,607	\$48,492
Liabilities and Equity		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$4,154	\$4,816
Short-term borrowings and capital lease obligations	4,564	817
Income taxes	644	255
Other accrued liabilities	3,831	5,297
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	1,010	—
Total current liabilities	14,203	11,185
Long-term borrowings and capital lease obligations	10,502	11,736
Other liabilities	14,136	15,508
Deferred income taxes	1,055	1,001
Total liabilities	39,896	39,430
Commitments and contingent liabilities		
Stockholders' equity		
Preferred stock	237	237
Common stock, \$0.30 par value; 1,800,000,000 shares authorized; Issued at September 30, 2012 - 1,019,411,000; December 31, 2011 - 1,013,164,000	306	304
Additional paid-in capital	10,594	10,107
Reinvested earnings	14,581	13,422
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(8,385)	(8,750)
Common stock held in treasury, at cost (87,041,000 shares at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011)	(6,727)	(6,727)
Total DuPont stockholders' equity	10,606	8,593
Noncontrolling interests	105	469
Total equity	10,711	9,062
Total	\$50,607	\$48,492

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page 7.

5

---



Table of Contents

E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company  
 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)  
 (Dollars in millions)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2012	2011	
Operating activities			
Net income	\$2,701	\$3,133	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash used for operating activities:			
Depreciation	1,047	944	
Amortization of intangible assets	266	195	
Contributions to pension plans	(762)	(272)	)
Other noncash charges and credits - net	907	846	
Change in operating assets and liabilities - net	(4,585)	(4,415)	)
Cash (used for) provided by operating activities	(426)	)431	
Investing activities			
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(1,139)	(1,211)	)
Investments in affiliates	(31)	(35)	)
Payments for businesses - net of cash acquired	(18)	(6,459)	)
Proceeds from sales of assets - net of cash sold	175	62	
Net decrease in short-term financial instruments	336	2,365	
Forward exchange contract settlements	23	(299)	)
Other investing activities - net	(13)	)1	
Cash used for investing activities	(667)	(5,576)	)
Financing activities			
Dividends paid to stockholders	(1,191)	(1,152)	)
Net increase in borrowings	2,524	4,503	
Repurchase of common stock	(400)	(672)	)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	520	833	
Payments for noncontrolling interest	(447)	)—	
Other financing activities - net	38	52	
Cash provided by financing activities	1,044	3,564	
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(23)	)68	
Cash classified as held for sale	(96)	)—	
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	\$(168)	\$(1,513)	)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	3,586	4,263	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$3,418	\$2,750	

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page 7.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

## Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

## Interim Financial Statements

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP) for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair statement of the results for interim periods have been included. Results for interim periods should not be considered indicative of results for a full year. These interim Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto contained in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, collectively referred to as the "2011 Annual Report". The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the company and all of its subsidiaries in which a controlling interest is maintained, as well as variable interest entities for which DuPont is the primary beneficiary.

Certain reclassifications of prior year's data have been made to conform to current year's presentation. In the third quarter 2012, the company signed a definitive agreement to sell its Performance Coatings business (which represented a reportable segment). In accordance with GAAP, the results of Performance Coatings are presented as discontinued operations and, as such, have been excluded from continuing operations and segment results for all periods presented. See Note 2 for additional information.

## Note 2. Discontinued Operations

On August 30, 2012, the company entered into a definitive agreement with Flash Bermuda Co. Ltd., a Bermuda exempted limited liability company formed by affiliates of The Carlyle Group (collectively referred to as "Carlyle") in which Carlyle has agreed to purchase certain subsidiaries and assets comprising the company's Performance Coatings business for approximately \$4,900 in cash and the assumption of certain liabilities, including approximately \$250 of unfunded pension liabilities. The transaction is expected to close in the first quarter of 2013, subject to customary closing conditions and regulatory approvals.

Beginning in third quarter 2012, the results of operations of Performance Coatings have been reclassified to reflect the business as discontinued operations for all periods presented and the assets and liabilities of the business have been reclassified as held for sale at September 30, 2012.

The results of discontinued operations are summarized below:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net sales	\$1,039	\$1,100	\$3,178	\$3,198
Income before income taxes	\$164	\$118	\$436	\$382
Provision for income taxes <sup>1</sup>	111	34	209	111
Income from discontinued operations after income taxes	\$53	\$84	\$227	\$271

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 includes expense of \$62 to accrue taxes associated with earnings<sup>1</sup> of certain Performance Coatings subsidiaries that were previously considered permanently reinvested as these entities have been reclassified as held for sale.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

The key components of the assets and liabilities classified as held for sale at September 30, 2012 related to Performance Coatings consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2012
Cash	\$96
Accounts and notes receivable, net	870
Inventories	487
Prepaid expenses	6
Deferred income taxes - current	25
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	710
Goodwill	808
Other intangible assets	64
Deferred income taxes - noncurrent	29
Other assets - noncurrent	62
Total assets held for sale	\$3,157
Accounts payable	\$427
Income taxes	1
Other accrued liabilities	265
Other liabilities - noncurrent	284
Deferred income taxes - noncurrent	33
Total liabilities related to assets held for sale	\$1,010

Note 3. Employee Separation / Asset Related Charges, Net  
2012 Restructuring Program

In the third quarter 2012, the company commenced a restructuring plan to increase productivity, enhance competitiveness and accelerate growth. The plan is designed to eliminate corporate costs previously allocated to the Performance Coatings business as well as utilize additional cost-cutting actions to improve competitiveness. As a result, a pre-tax charge of about \$210 is expected related to this plan, of which \$152 was recorded in the third quarter 2012 in employee separation / asset related charges, net. The third quarter 2012 charge consists of \$133 of employee separation costs and \$19 of asset related charges, which includes \$17 of asset impairments and \$2 of asset shut downs.

The third quarter 2012 charge impacted segment earnings as follows: Agriculture - \$3, Electronics & Communications - \$7, Industrial Biosciences - \$3, Nutrition & Health - \$13, Performance Chemicals - \$3, Performance Materials - \$9, and Safety & Protection - \$55, as well as Corporate expenses - \$59.

The company expects to record the remaining charges in connection with this plan during the fourth quarter 2012. The company expects this plan and all related payments to be substantially complete by December 31, 2013.

Account balances and activity for the 2012 restructuring program are summarized below:

	Asset Related	Employee Separation Costs	Total
Charges to income for three and nine months ended September 30, 2012	\$19	\$133	\$152
Charges to accounts:			
Payments	—	—	—
Asset write-offs and adjustments	(19	)—	(19 )
Balance as of September 30, 2012	\$—	\$133	\$133



Table of Contents

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

## Asset Impairments

During the third quarter 2012, as a result of conditions in the thin film photovoltaic market, the company determined that an impairment triggering event had occurred and that an assessment of the asset group related to its thin film photovoltaic modules and systems was warranted. This assessment determined that the carrying value of the asset group exceeded its fair value. The basis of the fair value was calculated utilizing a discounted cash flow approach which included assumptions concerning future operating performance and economic conditions that may differ from actual cash flows. As a result of our impairment test, a \$150 pre-tax impairment charge was recorded at September 30, 2012. The charge was recorded within the Electronics & Communications segment.

During the third quarter 2012, as a result of deteriorating conditions in an industrial polymer market, the company determined that an impairment triggering event had occurred and that an assessment of the asset group related to this polymer product was warranted. This assessment determined that the carrying value of the asset group exceeded its fair value. The basis of the fair value was calculated utilizing a discounted cash flow approach which included assumptions concerning future operating performance and economic conditions that may differ from actual cash flows. As a result of our impairment test, a \$92 pre-tax impairment charge was recorded at September 30, 2012. The charge was recorded within the Performance Materials segment.

In connection with the matters discussed above, at September 30, 2012, the company had long-lived assets with a remaining net book value of approximately \$125 accounted for at fair value on a nonrecurring basis after initial recognition. These nonrecurring fair value measurements were determined using level 3 inputs within the fair value hierarchy, as described in the company's 2011 Annual Report in Note 1, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies".

## Note 4. Other Income, Net

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Cozaar <sup>®</sup> /Hyzaar <sup>®</sup> income	\$9	\$68	\$48	\$195
Royalty income	21	29	84	91
Interest income	27	28	87	88
Equity in earnings of affiliates, excluding exchange gains/losses	11	35	42	117
Gain on sale of equity method investment	—	—	122	—
Net gain (losses) on sales of other assets	1	(1	)11	38
Net exchange (losses) gains <sup>1</sup>	(130	)6	(161	)(132
Miscellaneous income and expenses, net <sup>2</sup>	7	—	18	7
Other (loss) income, net	\$(54	)\$165	\$251	\$404

The company routinely uses foreign currency exchange contracts to offset its net exposures, by currency, related to the foreign currency-denominated monetary assets and liabilities. The objective of this program is to maintain an approximately balanced position in foreign currencies in order to minimize, on an after-tax basis, the effects of exchange rate changes on net monetary asset positions. The net pre-tax exchange gains and losses are recorded in other (loss) income, net and the related tax impact is recorded in (benefit from) provision for income taxes on continuing operations on the interim Consolidated Income Statements.

<sup>2</sup> Miscellaneous income and expenses, net, generally includes interest items, insurance recoveries, litigation settlements and other items.

## Note 5. Income Taxes

In the third quarter 2012, the company recorded a tax benefit of \$134 on the pre-tax loss from continuing operations of \$174, including \$71 of tax benefit primarily associated with the company's policy of hedging the foreign

currency-denominated monetary assets and liabilities of its operations.

Year-to-date 2012, the company recorded a tax provision on continuing operations of \$657, including \$48 of tax benefit primarily associated with the company's policy of hedging the foreign currency denominated monetary assets and liabilities of its operations.

In the third quarter 2011, the company recorded a tax provision on continuing operations of \$75, including \$42 of tax expense primarily associated with the company's policy of hedging the foreign currency-denominated monetary assets and liabilities of its operations.

Year-to-date 2011, the company recorded a tax provision on continuing operations of \$616, including \$101 of tax benefit primarily associated with the company's policy of hedging the foreign currency denominated monetary assets and liabilities of its operations.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

Each year the company files hundreds of tax returns in the various national, state and local income taxing jurisdictions in which it operates. These tax returns are subject to examination and possible challenge by the taxing authorities. Positions challenged by the taxing authorities may be settled or appealed by the company. As a result, there is an uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the company's financial statements in accordance with accounting for income taxes and accounting for uncertainty in income taxes. It is reasonably possible that changes to the company's global unrecognized tax benefits could be significant, however, due to the uncertainty regarding the timing of completion of audits and possible outcomes, a current estimate of the range of increases or decreases that may occur within the next twelve months cannot be made.

## Note 6. Earnings Per Share of Common Stock

Set forth below is a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator for basic and diluted (loss) earnings per share calculations for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Numerator:				
(Loss) income from continuing operations after income taxes attributable to DuPont	\$ (43	) \$ 368	\$ 2,450	\$ 2,830
Preferred dividends	(3	) (3	) (8	) (8
(Loss) income from continuing operations after income taxes available to DuPont common stockholders	\$ (46	) \$ 365	\$ 2,442	\$ 2,822
Income from discontinued operations after income taxes	\$ 53	\$ 84	\$ 227	\$ 271
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 7	\$ 449	\$ 2,669	\$ 3,093
Denominator:				
Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding - Basic	931,737,000	932,356,000	933,227,000	929,369,000
Dilutive effect of the company's employee compensation plans <sup>1</sup>	8,789,000	11,129,000	9,297,000	13,443,000
Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding - Diluted	940,526,000	943,485,000	942,524,000	942,812,000

<sup>1</sup> Dilutive effect of the company's employee compensation plans are excluded from calculation of dilutive loss per share of common stock from continuing operations for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

The following average number of stock options were antidilutive, and therefore, were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculations:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Average number of stock options	12,631,000	8,678,000	12,035,000	2,904,000

The change in the average number of stock options that were antidilutive in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods last year was primarily due to changes in the company's average stock price.





Table of Contents

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

## Note 7. Inventories

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Finished products	\$3,505	\$4,541
Semifinished products	2,820	2,293
Raw materials, stores and supplies	1,291	1,262
	7,616	8,096
Adjustment of inventories to a last-in, first-out (LIFO) basis	(864	) (901
Total	\$6,752	\$7,195

## Note 8. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The decrease in goodwill from \$5,413 at December 31, 2011 to \$4,579 at September 30, 2012 primarily relates to goodwill associated with the Performance Coatings business that has been reclassified as held for sale. See Note 2 for additional information.

The gross carrying amounts and accumulated amortization of other intangible assets by major class are as follows:

	September 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Intangible assets subject to amortization (Definite-lived):						
Customer lists	\$1,821	\$(301	)\$1,520	\$1,841	\$(220	)\$1,621
Patents	519	(113	)406	518	(77	)441
Purchased and licensed technology	1,926	(995	)931	1,854	(878	)976
Trademarks	57	(28	)29	57	(25	)32
Other <sup>1</sup>	213	(101	)112	330	(151	)179
	4,536	(1,538	)2,998	4,600	(1,351	)3,249
Intangible assets not subject to amortization(Indefinite-lived):						
In-process research and development	61	—	61	70	—	70
Microbial cell factories <sup>2</sup>	306	—	306	306	—	306
Pioneer germplasm <sup>3</sup>	975	—	975	975	—	975
Trademarks/tradenames	805	—	805	813	—	813
	2,147	—	2,147	2,164	—	2,164
Total	\$6,683	\$(1,538	)\$5,145	\$6,764	\$(1,351	)\$5,413

<sup>1</sup> Primarily consists of sales and grower networks, marketing and manufacturing alliances and noncompetition agreements.

<sup>2</sup> Microbial cell factories, derived from natural microbes, are used to sustainably produce enzymes, peptides and chemicals using natural metabolic processes. The company recognized the microbial cell factories as an intangible asset upon the acquisition of Danisco. This intangible asset is expected to contribute to cash flows beyond the foreseeable future and there are no legal, regulatory, contractual, or other factors which limit its useful life.

<sup>3</sup> Pioneer germplasm is the pool of genetic source material and body of knowledge gained from the development and delivery stage of plant breeding. The company recognized germplasm as an intangible asset upon the acquisition of Pioneer. This intangible asset is expected to contribute to cash flows beyond the foreseeable future and there are no legal, regulatory, contractual, or other factors which limit its useful life.

The aggregate pre-tax amortization expense from continuing operations for definite-lived intangible assets was \$62 and \$247 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and \$51 and \$178 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. The estimated aggregate pre-tax amortization expense from continuing operations for the remainder of 2012 and each of the next five years is approximately \$66, \$312, \$333, \$341, \$301 and \$175.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

## Note 9. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

## Guarantees

## Indemnifications

In connection with acquisitions and divestitures, the company has indemnified respective parties against certain liabilities that may arise in connection with these transactions and business activities prior to the completion of the transaction. The term of these indemnifications, which typically pertain to environmental, tax and product liabilities, is generally indefinite. In addition, the company indemnifies its duly elected or appointed directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law, against liabilities incurred as a result of their activities for the company, such as adverse judgments relating to litigation matters. If the indemnified party were to incur a liability or have a liability increase as a result of a successful claim, pursuant to the terms of the indemnification, the company would be required to reimburse the indemnified party. The maximum amount of potential future payments is generally unlimited. Although it is reasonably possible that future payments may exceed amounts accrued, due to the nature of indemnified items, it is not possible to make a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential loss or range of loss. No assets are held as collateral and no specific recourse provisions exist. The carrying amounts recorded for all indemnifications as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were \$36 and \$105, respectively. The decrease in the carrying amount at September 30, 2012 primarily relates to the payment associated with the settlement of the 2008 lawsuit filed by subsidiaries of Koch Industries, Inc. (INVISTA).

## Obligations for Equity Affiliates &amp; Others

The company has directly guaranteed various debt obligations under agreements with third parties related to equity affiliates, customers and suppliers. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the company had directly guaranteed \$455 and \$563, respectively, of such obligations. These amounts represent the maximum potential amount of future (undiscounted) payments that the company could be required to make under the guarantees. The company would be required to perform on these guarantees in the event of default by the guaranteed party.

The company assesses the payment/performance risk by assigning default rates based on the duration of the guarantees. These default rates are assigned based on the external credit rating of the counterparty or through internal credit analysis and historical default history for counterparties that do not have published credit ratings. For counterparties without an external rating or available credit history, a cumulative average default rate is used.

In certain cases, the company has recourse to assets held as collateral, as well as personal guarantees from customers and suppliers. Assuming liquidation, these assets are estimated to cover approximately 44 percent of the \$268 of guaranteed obligations of customers and suppliers. Set forth below are the company's guaranteed obligations at September 30, 2012:

	Short-Term	Long-Term	Total
Obligations for customers and suppliers <sup>1</sup> :			
Bank borrowings (terms up to 5 years)	\$ 171	\$ 97	\$ 268
Obligations for equity affiliates <sup>2</sup> :			
Bank borrowings (terms up to 1 year)	187	—	187
Total	\$ 358	\$ 97	\$ 455

<sup>1</sup> Existing guarantees for customers and suppliers arose as part of contractual agreements. As of September 30, 2012, approximately \$21 of these guarantees relate to customers of the Performance Coatings business.

<sup>2</sup> Existing guarantees for equity affiliates arose for liquidity needs in normal operations.

The company has received claims and been served with multiple lawsuits alleging that the use of Imprelis<sup>®</sup> herbicide caused damage to certain trees. The lawsuits seeking class action status have been consolidated in multidistrict litigation in federal court in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. In addition, about 80 individual actions on behalf of approximately 180 plaintiffs have been filed in state court in various jurisdictions. DuPont has removed most of these cases to federal court in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

In August 2011, the company suspended sales of Imprelis<sup>®</sup> and in September 2011 began a process to fairly resolve claims associated with the use of Imprelis<sup>®</sup>. The company believes that the number of unasserted claims is limited due to the fact that sales were suspended in August 2011 and the product was last applied during the 2011 spring application season.

The company has established review processes to verify and evaluate damage claims. There are several variables that impact the evaluation process including the number of trees on a property, the species of tree with reported damage, the height of the tree, the extent of damage and the possibility for trees to naturally recover over time. Upon receiving claims, DuPont verifies their

Table of Contents

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

accuracy and validity which often requires physical review of the property.

At September 30, 2012, DuPont had recorded charges of \$615 related to the Imprelis® matter, which included charges of \$125 and \$440 recorded during the third quarter and year-to-date 2012, respectively. It is reasonably possible that additional charges could result related to this matter. While there is a high degree of uncertainty, total charges could range up to \$700. DuPont has submitted and will continue to submit requests for payment to its insurance carriers for costs associated with this matter in excess of \$100.

Litigation

The company is subject to various legal proceedings arising out of the normal course of its business including product liability, intellectual property, commercial, environmental and antitrust lawsuits. It is not possible to predict the outcome of these various proceedings. Except as otherwise noted, management does not anticipate their resolution will have a materially adverse effect on the company's consolidated financial position or liquidity. However, the ultimate liabilities could be significant to results of operations in the period recognized.

PFOA

DuPont uses PFOA (collectively, perfluorooctanoic acids and its salts, including the ammonium salt), as a processing aid to manufacture fluoropolymer resins and dispersions at various sites around the world including its Washington Works plant in West Virginia. At September 30, 2012, DuPont has accruals of \$16 related to the PFOA matters discussed below.

The accrual includes charges related to DuPont's obligations under agreements with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and voluntary commitments to the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection. These obligations include surveying, sampling and testing drinking water in and around certain company sites and offering treatment or an alternative supply of drinking water if tests indicate the presence of PFOA in drinking water at or greater than the national Provisional Health Advisory.

Drinking Water Actions

In August 2001, a class action, captioned Leach v DuPont, was filed in West Virginia state court alleging that residents living near the Washington Works facility had suffered, or may suffer, deleterious health effects from exposure to PFOA in drinking water.

DuPont and attorneys for the class reached a settlement in 2004 that binds about 80,000 residents. In 2005, DuPont paid the plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and expenses of \$23 and made a payment of \$70, which class counsel designated to fund a community health project. The company is also funding a series of health studies by an independent science panel of experts (the "C8 Science Panel") in the communities exposed to PFOA to evaluate available scientific evidence on whether any probable link exists, as defined in the settlement agreement, between exposure to PFOA and human disease. The company expects the C8 Science Panel to complete these health studies through October 2012 at a total estimated cost of \$33.

The C8 Science Panel announced its findings of a probable link, as defined in the settlement agreement, between exposure to PFOA and pregnancy-induced hypertension, which includes preeclampsia, in December 2011; kidney and testicular cancer in April 2012; and thyroid disease and ulcerative colitis in July 2012. A panel of medical experts will determine an appropriate medical monitoring protocol, if any, as a result of these findings. If a medical monitoring protocol for any of these diseases is defined, DuPont is required to fund a medical monitoring program to pay for such medical testing. Plaintiffs may pursue personal injury claims against DuPont only for those human diseases for which the C8 Science Panel determines a probable link exists once the C8 Science Panel completes its work. In January

2012, the company put \$1 in an escrow account as required by the settlement agreement. The company will reassess its liability based on the medical monitoring panel's determination since costs are not reasonably estimable until a medical monitoring protocol, if any, is identified. The company will continue to reassess its liability based on the C8 Science Panel's future probable link findings, if any, and associated medical monitoring protocols, if any. Under the settlement agreement, the company's total obligation to pay for medical monitoring cannot exceed \$235. In addition, the company must continue to provide water treatment designed to reduce the level of PFOA in water to six area water districts, including the Little Hocking Water Association (LHWA), and private well users.

An Ohio action brought by the LHWA is currently in discovery. In addition to general claims of PFOA contamination of drinking water, the action claims "imminent and substantial endangerment to health and or the environment" under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). DuPont denies these claims and is defending itself vigorously.

A lawsuit was filed in August 2012 in Ohio state court on behalf of a plaintiff claiming bodily injury from exposure to PFOA in his drinking water. DuPont denies the allegations in the lawsuit and is defending itself vigorously.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

While DuPont believes that it is reasonably possible that it could incur losses related to PFOA matters in addition to those matters discussed above for which it has established accruals, a range of such losses, if any, cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

**Monsanto Patent Dispute**

On August 1, 2012, a St. Louis, Missouri jury awarded \$1,000 in damages to Monsanto on its claims that the company willfully infringed Monsanto's RE 39,247 patent directed to Roundup® Ready® soybean seed technology. The company intends to appeal this verdict when it is appropriate to do so. The company believes that it will prevail on appeal. Accordingly, as of September 30, 2012, no amounts have been accrued related to this matter.

Monsanto alleged that by combining Pioneer's Optimum® GAT® trait with Monsanto's patented Roundup® Ready® trait, Pioneer violated its 2002 Amended and Restated Roundup® Ready® Soybean License Agreement and, in doing so, infringed Monsanto's RE 39,247 patent. The company has never sold soybeans containing a combination of the Optimum® GAT® and Roundup® Ready® traits and discontinued in 2011 its commercialization efforts for such soybeans.

**Environmental**

The company is also subject to contingencies pursuant to environmental laws and regulations that in the future may require the company to take further action to correct the effects on the environment of prior disposal practices or releases of chemical or petroleum substances by the company or other parties. The company accrues for environmental remediation activities consistent with the policy as described in the company's 2011 Annual Report in Note 1, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies." Much of this liability results from the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA, often referred to as Superfund), RCRA and similar state and global laws. These laws require the company to undertake certain investigative, remediation and restoration activities at sites where the company conducts or once conducted operations or at sites where company-generated waste was disposed. The accrual also includes estimated costs related to a number of sites identified by the company for which it is probable that environmental remediation will be required, but which are not currently the subject of enforcement activities.

Remediation activities vary substantially in duration and cost from site to site. These activities, and their associated costs, depend on the mix of unique site characteristics, evolving remediation technologies, diverse regulatory agencies and enforcement policies, as well as the presence or absence of potentially responsible parties. At September 30, 2012, the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet included a liability of \$434, relating to these matters and, in management's opinion, is appropriate based on existing facts and circumstances. The average time frame, over which the accrued or presently unrecognized amounts may be paid, based on past history, is estimated to be 15-20 years. Considerable uncertainty exists with respect to these costs and, under adverse changes in circumstances, potential liability may range up to three times the amount accrued as of September 30, 2012.

**Note 10. Stockholders' Equity**

**Share Repurchase Program**

During the first quarter 2012, the company entered into an agreement with a financial institution in which the company paid \$400 for the purchase of shares of common stock which were received in the second quarter 2012. As a result, during the second quarter 2012, the company purchased and retired 7.8 million shares in connection with this agreement. These purchases completed the 2001 \$2,000 share buyback plan and began purchases under the 2011 \$2,000 share buyback plan. Under the completed 2001 plan, the company purchased a total of 42.0 million shares. Under the 2011 plan, the company has purchased 5.5 million shares at a total cost of \$284 as of September 30, 2012. There is no required completion date for the purchases under the 2011 plan.

Noncontrolling Interest

In May 2012, the company completed the acquisition of the remaining 28 percent interest in the Solae, LLC joint venture from Bunge Limited for \$447. As the purchase of the remaining interest did not result in a change of control, the difference between the carrying value of the noncontrolling interest of \$362 and the consideration paid, net of taxes of \$74, was recorded as an \$11 reduction to additional paid-in capital.



Table of Contents

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

## Other Comprehensive Income

A summary of the changes in other comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 is provided as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012			Three Months Ended September 30, 2011		
	Pre-Tax	Tax	After-Tax	Pre-Tax	Tax	After-Tax
Cumulative translation adjustment	\$189	\$—	\$189	\$(348)	\$—	\$(348)
Net revaluation and clearance of cash flow hedges to earnings:						
Additions and revaluations of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges	(6)	)1	(5)	)11	(5)	)6
Clearance of hedge results to earnings	(11)	)5	(6)	)25	(9)	)16
Net revaluation and clearance of cash flow hedges to earnings	(17)	)6	(11)	)36	(14)	)22
Pension benefit plans:						
Net loss	(609)	)185	(424)	)—	—	—
Prior service cost	—	—	—	—	—	—
Amortization of prior service cost	3	(1)	)2	4	(2)	)2
Amortization of loss	222	(75)	)147	153	(52)	)101
Curtailement	2	(1)	)1	—	—	—
Pension benefit plans, net	(382)	)108	(274)	)157	(54)	)103
Other benefit plans:						
Net loss	(141)	)51	(90)	)—	—	—
Prior service cost	857	(299)	)558	—	—	—
Amortization of prior service benefit	(44)	)16	(28)	)30	)10	(20)
Amortization of loss	24	(9)	)15	15	(5)	)10
Curtailement	3	(1)	)2	—	—	—
Other benefit plans, net	699	(242)	)457	(15)	)5	(10)
Net unrealized loss on securities:						
Unrealized loss on securities arising during the period	(5)	)2	(3)	)—	—	—
Reclassification of loss realized in net income	—	—	—	—	—	—
Net unrealized loss on securities	(5)	)2	(3)	)—	—	—
Other comprehensive income (loss) income	\$484	\$(126)	)\$358	\$(170)	\$(63)	)\$(233)

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011			
	Pre-Tax	Tax	After-Tax	Pre-Tax	Tax	After-Tax	
Cumulative translation adjustment	\$(53	)\$—	\$(53	)\$(231	)\$—	\$(231	)
Net revaluation and clearance of cash flow hedges to earnings:							
Additions and revaluations of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges	30	(14	)16	14	(6	)8	
Clearance of hedge results to earnings	(66	)28	(38	)77	(29	)48	
Net revaluation and clearance of cash flow hedges to earnings	(36	)14	(22	)91	(35	)56	
Pension benefit plans:							
Net loss	(628	)195	(433	)3	)1	(2	)
Prior service cost (benefit)	22	(8	)14	(2	)—	(2	)
Amortization of prior service cost	10	(3	)7	12	(4	)8	
Amortization of loss	661	(227	)434	459	(158	)301	
Curtailement	2	(1	)1	—	—	—	
Pension benefit plans, net	67	(44	)23	466	(161	)305	
Other benefit plans:							
Net loss	(141	)51	(90	)—	—	—	
Prior service cost	857	(299	)558	—	—	—	
Amortization of prior service benefit	(104	)36	(68	)91	)32	(59	)
Amortization of loss	68	(24	)44	45	(15	)30	
Curtailement	3	(1	)2	—	—	—	
Other benefit plans, net	683	(237	)446	(46	)17	(29	)
Net unrealized loss on securities:							
Unrealized loss on securities arising during the period	(5	)2	(3	)—	—	—	
Reclassification of loss realized in net income	2	(1	)1	—	—	—	
Unrealized loss on securities	(3	)1	(2	)—	—	—	
Other comprehensive income	\$658	\$(266	)\$392	\$280	\$(179	)\$101	

## Note 11. Financial Instruments

## Debt

The estimated fair value of the company's total debt including interest rate financial instruments was determined using level 2 inputs within the fair value hierarchy, as described in the company's 2011 Annual Report in Note 1, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies." Based on quoted market prices for the same or similar issues or on current rates offered to the company for debt of the same remaining maturities, the fair value of the company's debt was approximately \$16,441 and \$13,880 as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

## Cash Equivalents

The estimated fair value of the company's cash equivalents was determined using level 2 inputs. Based on current interest rates for similar investments with comparable credit risk and time to maturity, the fair value of the company's cash equivalents approximates its stated value of \$1,709 and \$1,932 as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

## Derivative Instruments

Objectives and Strategies for Holding Derivative Instruments

In the ordinary course of business, the company enters into contractual arrangements (derivatives) to reduce its exposure to foreign currency, interest rate and commodity price risks. The company has established a variety of derivative programs to be utilized for financial risk management. These programs reflect varying levels of exposure coverage and time horizons based on an assessment of risk.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

Derivative programs have procedures and controls and are approved by the Corporate Financial Risk Management Committee, consistent with the company's financial risk management policies and guidelines. Derivative instruments used are forwards, options, futures and swaps. The company has not designated any nonderivatives as hedging instruments.

The company's financial risk management procedures also address counterparty credit approval, limits and routine exposure monitoring and reporting. The counterparties to these contractual arrangements are major financial institutions and major commodity exchanges. The company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by these counterparties. The company utilizes collateral support annex agreements with certain counterparties to limit its exposure to credit losses. The company's derivative assets and liabilities are reported on a gross basis in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The company anticipates performance by counterparties to these contracts and therefore no material loss is expected. Market and counterparty credit risks associated with these instruments are regularly reported to management.

The notional amounts of the company's derivative instruments were as follows:

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:		
Interest rate swaps	\$1,000	\$1,000
Foreign currency contracts	1,389	2,032
Commodity contracts	8	553
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:		
Foreign currency contracts	7,692	6,444
Commodity contracts	21	437

**Foreign Currency Risk**

The company's objective in managing exposure to foreign currency fluctuations is to reduce earnings and cash flow volatility associated with foreign currency rate changes. Accordingly, the company enters into various contracts that change in value as foreign exchange rates change to protect the value of its existing foreign currency-denominated assets, liabilities, commitments and cash flows.

The company routinely uses forward exchange contracts to offset its net exposures, by currency, related to the foreign currency-denominated monetary assets and liabilities of its operations. The primary business objective of this hedging program is to maintain an approximately balanced position in foreign currencies so that exchange gains and losses resulting from exchange rate changes, net of related tax effects, are minimized. The company also uses foreign currency exchange contracts to offset a portion of the company's exposure to certain foreign currency-denominated revenues so that gains and losses on these contracts offset changes in the USD value of the related foreign currency-denominated revenues. The objective of the hedge program is to reduce earnings and cash flow volatility related to changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

**Interest Rate Risk**

The company uses interest rate swaps to manage the interest rate mix of the total debt portfolio and related overall cost of borrowing.

Interest rate swaps involve the exchange of fixed for floating rate interest payments to effectively convert fixed rate debt into floating rate debt based on USD LIBOR. Interest rate swaps allow the company to achieve a target range of floating rate debt.

#### Commodity Price Risk

Commodity price risk management programs serve to reduce exposure to price fluctuations on purchases of inventory such as copper, corn, soybeans and soybean meal. The company enters into over-the-counter and exchange-traded derivative commodity instruments to hedge the commodity price risk associated with energy feedstock and agricultural commodity exposures.

#### Fair Value Hedges

##### Interest Rate Swaps

At September 30, 2012, the company maintained a number of interest rate swaps, which were implemented at the time debt instruments were issued. All interest rate swaps qualify for the shortcut method of hedge accounting, thus there is no ineffectiveness related to these hedges.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

## Cash Flow Hedges

## Foreign Currency Contracts

The company uses foreign currency exchange instruments such as forwards and options to offset a portion of the company's exposure to certain foreign currency-denominated revenues so that gains and losses on these contracts offset changes in the USD value of the related foreign currency-denominated revenues.

## Commodity Contracts

The company enters into over-the-counter and exchange-traded derivative commodity instruments, including options, futures and swaps, to hedge the commodity price risk associated with energy feedstock and agriculture commodity exposures.

While each risk management program has a different time maturity period, most programs currently do not extend beyond the next two-year period. Cash flow hedge results are reclassified into earnings during the same period in which the related exposure impacts earnings. Reclassifications are made sooner if it appears that a forecasted transaction will not materialize. The following table summarizes the after-tax effect of cash flow hedges on accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Beginning balance	\$29	\$6	\$41	\$(31)
Net revaluation and clearance of cash flow hedges to earnings	(11)	)24	(23)	)61
Ending balance	\$18	\$30	\$18	\$30

At September 30, 2012, the after-tax amount expected to be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into earnings over the next 12 months is \$15.

## Derivatives not Designated in Hedging Relationships

## Foreign Currency Contracts

The company routinely uses forward exchange contracts to reduce its net exposure, by currency, related to foreign currency-denominated monetary assets and liabilities of its operations so that exchange gains and losses resulting from exchange rate changes are minimized. The netting of such exposures precludes the use of hedge accounting; however, the required revaluation of the forward contracts and the associated foreign currency-denominated monetary assets and liabilities intends to achieve a minimal earnings impact, after taxes. Additionally, the company has cross-currency swaps to hedge foreign currency fluctuations on long-term intercompany loans.

In third quarter 2012, the company initiated a program to utilize forward exchange contracts to reduce the net exposure related to foreign currency-denominated monetary assets and liabilities of its discontinued operations.

## Commodity Contracts

The company utilizes options, futures and swaps that are not designated as hedging instruments to reduce exposure to commodity price fluctuations on purchases of inventory such as corn, soybeans and soybean meal.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

## Fair Values of Derivative Instruments

The table below presents the fair values of the company's derivative assets and liabilities within the fair value hierarchy, as described in the company's 2011 Annual Report in Note 1, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies."

	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value Using Level 2 Inputs	
		September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Asset derivatives:			
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
Interest rate swaps <sup>1</sup>	Other assets	\$62	\$66
Foreign currency contracts	Accounts and notes receivable, net	10	44
		72	110
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Foreign currency contracts	Accounts and notes receivable, net	30	100
Foreign currency contracts <sup>1</sup>	Other assets	65	43
		95	143
Total asset derivatives		\$167	\$253
Cash collateral <sup>1</sup>	Other accrued liabilities	\$44	\$—
Liability derivatives:			
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
Foreign currency contracts	Other accrued liabilities	\$8	\$12
Commodity contracts	Other accrued liabilities	—	1
		8	13
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Foreign currency contracts	Other accrued liabilities	105	21
Commodity contracts	Other accrued liabilities	1	2
		106	23
Total liability derivatives		\$114	\$36

Cash collateral held as of September 30, 2012 represents \$13 related to interest rate swap derivatives designated as <sup>1</sup> hedging instruments and \$31 related to foreign currency derivatives not designated as hedging instruments. No cash collateral was held as of December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

## Effect of Derivative Instruments

Three Months Ended September 30,	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI <sup>1</sup> (Effective Portion)		Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income <sup>2</sup>		Income Statement Classification
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Fair value hedges:					
Interest rate swaps	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$22	Interest expense <sup>3</sup>
Cash flow hedges:					
Foreign currency contracts	(16	) 15	13	(7	) Net sales
Commodity contracts	10	(2	) (2	) (18	) COGS <sup>4</sup>
	(6	) 13	11	(3	)
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:					
Foreign currency contracts	—	—	(221	) 222	Other (loss) income, net <sup>5</sup>
Commodity contracts	—	—	(8	) (7	) COGS <sup>4</sup>
	—	—	(229	) 215	
Total derivatives	\$(6	) \$13	\$(218	) \$212	

Nine Months Ended September 30,	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI <sup>1</sup> (Effective Portion)		Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income <sup>2</sup>		Income Statement Classification
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Fair value hedges:					
Interest rate swaps	\$—	\$—	\$(4	) \$31	Interest expense <sup>3</sup>
Cash flow hedges:					
Foreign currency contracts	1	(5	) 20	(19	) Net sales
Commodity contracts	28	24	46	(58	) COGS <sup>4</sup>
	29	19	62	(46	)
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:					
Foreign currency contracts	—	—	(111	) (202	) Other (loss) income, net <sup>5</sup>
Commodity contracts	—	—	(22	) 4	COGS <sup>4</sup>
Interest rate swaps	—	—	—	(1	) COGS <sup>4</sup>
	—	—	(133	) (199	)
Total derivatives	\$29	\$19	\$(71	) \$(245	)

<sup>1</sup> OCI is defined as other comprehensive income (loss).<sup>2</sup> For cash flow hedges, this represents the effective portion of the gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated OCI into income during the period. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, there was no material



ineffectiveness with regard to the company's cash flow hedges.

<sup>3</sup> Gain (loss) recognized in income of derivative is offset to \$0 by gain (loss) recognized in income of the hedged item.

<sup>4</sup> COGS is defined as costs of goods sold and other operating charges.

<sup>5</sup> Gain (loss) recognized in other (loss) income, net, was partially offset by the related gain (loss) on the foreign currency-denominated monetary assets and liabilities of the company's operations, which were \$91 and \$(216) for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$(50) and \$70 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

## Note 12. Long-Term Employee Benefits

## Pension Plans

In August 2012, the company announced the sale of its Performance Coatings business (See Note 2). As a result of the planned sale, the company recorded a pension curtailment charge of \$2 and re-measured the principal U.S. pension plan and certain other pension plans as of August 31, 2012. In connection with the re-measurement, the company updated the discount rate and expected return on plan assets, assumed at December 31, 2011, from 4.50 percent to 4.10 percent and from 9.00 percent to 8.75 percent, respectively. The curtailment and re-measurement increased the underfunded status of the pension plans and the pre-tax net loss by \$609.

The following sets forth the components of the company's net periodic benefit cost for pensions:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Service cost	\$67	\$62	\$201	\$182
Interest cost	290	316	882	940
Expected return on plan assets	(378)	(371)	(1,138)	(1,105)
Amortization of unrecognized loss	222	153	661	459
Amortization of prior service cost	3	4	10	12
Curtailment charge	2	—	2	—
Net periodic benefit cost	\$206	\$164	\$618	\$488

## Other Long-Term Employee Benefit Plans

During the third quarter 2012, the company amended its U.S. parent company retiree medical and dental plans for Medicare-eligible retirees and survivors. Beginning in 2013, the company is replacing the coverage for Medicare-eligible plan participants in the company sponsored plans with a new company-funded Health Reimbursement Arrangement (HRA). Medicare-eligible plan participants will enroll in individual health plans in the open market and the company will reimburse their health care expenses with HRA based on the provisions of the amended plans. As a result of this change, the company was required to re-measure the associated plans as of July 31, 2012, which included updating the discount rate assumption to 4.00 percent from 4.50 percent assumed at December 31, 2011. The re-measurement and amendment resulted in a net decrease of \$700 to the company's other long-term employee benefit obligation, which included an actuarial loss of \$138 due to a lower discount rate and a credit of \$838 to the prior service cost due to the plan amendment. The company's other long-term employee benefit expense was reduced by approximately \$18 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

The following sets forth the components of the company's net periodic benefit cost for other long-term employee benefits:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Service cost	\$9	\$8	\$28	\$25
Interest cost	41	53	137	159
Amortization of unrecognized loss	24	15	68	45
Amortization of prior service benefit	(44)	(30)	(104)	(91)
Curtailment charge	3	—	3	—
Net periodic benefit cost	\$33	\$46	\$132	\$138



Table of Contents

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

## Note 13. Segment Information

Segment sales include transfers to another business segment. Products are transferred between segments on a basis intended to reflect, as nearly as practicable, the market value of the products. Segment pre-tax operating income (loss) (PTOI) is defined as income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes excluding exchange gains (losses), corporate expenses and interest.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012	Agriculture <sup>1</sup>	Electronics & Communications	Industrial Biosciences	Nutrition & Health	Performance Chemicals	Performance Materials	Safety & Protection	Pharmaceuticals	Other	Total
Segment sales	\$ 1,423	\$ 607	\$ 292	\$ 876	\$ 1,732	\$ 1,614	\$ 934	\$ —	\$ 2	\$ 7,480
Less: Transfers	—	4	3	—	56	24	3	—	—	90
Net sales	1,423	603	289	876	1,676	1,590	931	—	2	7,390
PTOI	(213 ) <sup>2,3</sup>	(117 ) <sup>3,4</sup>	39	74	369	205	48	10	(59)	356
2011										
Segment sales	\$ 1,368	\$ 841	\$ 293	\$ 844	\$ 2,142	\$ 1,745	\$ 1,001	\$ —	\$ 2	\$ 8,236
Less: Transfers	1	4	4	—	58	27	4	—	—	98
Net sales	1,367	837	289	844	2,084	1,718	997	—	2	8,138
PTOI	(194 ) <sup>2,5</sup>	99	(27 ) <sup>6</sup>	(34 ) <sup>6</sup>	593	231	118	70	(78 ) <sup>6</sup>	778
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012										
Segment sales	\$ 8,891	\$ 2,079	\$ 880	\$ 2,569	\$ 5,600	\$ 4,913	\$ 2,861	\$ —	\$ 4	\$ 27,797
Less: Transfers	4	13	8	—	202	74	9	—	—	310
Net sales	8,887	2,066	872	2,569	5,398	4,839	2,852	—	4	27,487
PTOI	1,712	113	124	269	1,419	762	275	53	(325) <sup>8</sup>	4,402
2011										
Segment sales	\$ 7,869	\$ 2,543	\$ 416	\$ 1,654	\$ 5,934	\$ 5,197	\$ 2,991	\$ —	\$ 39	\$ 26,643
Less: Transfers	1	14	5	—	194	81	10	—	—	305
Net sales	7,868	2,529	411	1,654	5,740	5,116	2,981	—	39	26,338
PTOI	1,743	313	(34 ) <sup>6,9</sup>	(4 ) <sup>6,9</sup>	1,490	773	406	200	(179) <sup>6</sup>	4,708

As of September 30, 2012, Agriculture net assets were \$8,306, an increase of \$3,541 from \$4,765 at December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily due to higher trade receivables due to normal seasonality in the sales and cash collections cycle.

<sup>2</sup> Included charges of \$(125) and \$(440) during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and a \$(75) charge during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, recorded in cost of goods sold and other operating charges associated with the company's process to fairly resolve claims associated with the use of Imprelis®. See Note 9 for additional information.

<sup>3</sup> Included a \$(93) restructuring charge impacting the following segments: Agriculture - \$(3), Electronics & Communications - \$(7), Industrial Biosciences - \$(3), Nutrition & Health - \$(13), Performance Chemicals - \$(3),

Performance Materials - \$(9), and Safety & Protection - \$(55).

<sup>4</sup> Included a \$(242) impairment charge recorded in employee separation/asset related charges, net related to asset groupings, which impacted the segments as follows: Electronics & Communications - \$(150) and Performance Materials - \$(92). See Note 3 for additional information.

<sup>5</sup> Included a \$(50) charge recorded in research and development expense in connection with a milestone payment associated with a Pioneer licensing agreement. Since this milestone was reached before regulatory approval was secured by Pioneer, it was charged to research and development expense.

<sup>6</sup> Included charges related to the Danisco acquisition of \$(168). These charges included \$(132) recorded in cost of goods sold and other operating charges for transaction related costs and the fair value step-up of inventories that were acquired from Danisco and sold in the third quarter 2011. These charges also included a \$(36) restructuring charge recorded in employee separation / asset related charges, net related to severance and related benefit costs. These charges impacted the segments as follows: Industrial Biosciences - \$(61), Nutrition & Health - \$(89) and Other - \$(18).

<sup>7</sup> Included a \$122 gain recorded in other (loss) income, net related to the sale of the company's interest in an equity method investment.

<sup>8</sup> Included a \$(137) charge recorded in cost of goods sold and other operating charges primarily related to the company's settlement of litigation with INVISTA. See Note 9 for additional information.

<sup>9</sup> Included a \$(50) charge recorded in cost of goods sold and other operating charges for transaction related costs and the fair value step-up of inventories that were acquired from Danisco and sold in the second quarter 2011, which impacted the segments as follows: Industrial Biosciences - \$(17) and Nutrition & Health - \$(33).

Table of Contents

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in millions, except per share)

## Reconciliation to Consolidated Income Statements

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Total segment PTOI	\$356	\$778	\$4,402	\$4,708
Net exchange (losses) gains, including affiliates	(130	)6	(161	)(132
Corporate expenses and net interest	(400	)(333	)(1,110	)(1,098
(Loss) income from continuing operations before income taxes	\$(174	)\$451	\$3,131	\$3,478

## Note 14. Subsequent Event

In October 2012, the company completed the sale of certain assets within the Professional Products business and expects to record an after-tax gain of approximately \$75. The gain will be recorded in the Agriculture segment.

## Table of Contents

### Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### Cautionary Statements About Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements which may be identified by their use of words like "plans," "expects," "will," "anticipates," "believes," "intends," "projects," "estimates" or other words of similar meaning. All statements that address expectations or projections about the future, including statements about the company's strategy for growth, product development, regulatory approval, market position, anticipated benefits of recent acquisitions, outcome of contingencies, such as litigation and environmental matters, expenditures and financial results, are forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements are based on certain assumptions and expectations of future events which may not be accurate or realized. Forward-looking statements also involve risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond the company's control. Some of the important factors that could cause the company's actual results to differ materially from those projected in any such forward-looking statements are:

- Fluctuations in energy and raw material prices;
- Failure to develop and market new products and optimally manage product life cycles;
- Outcome of significant litigation and environmental matters, including those related to divested businesses;
- Failure to appropriately manage process safety and product stewardship issues;
- Effect of changes in tax, environmental and other laws and regulations or political conditions in the United States of America (U.S.) and other countries in which the company operates;
- Conditions in the global economy and global capital markets, including economic factors, such as inflation, deflation and fluctuations in currency exchange rates, interest rates and commodity prices, as well as regulatory requirements;
- Impact of business disruptions, including supply disruptions, and security threats, regardless of cause, including acts of sabotage, cyber-attacks, terrorism or war, weather events and natural disasters;
- Inability to protect and enforce the company's intellectual property rights; and
- Successful integration of acquired businesses and completion of divestitures of underperforming or non-strategic assets or businesses.

For additional information on these and other risks and factors that could affect our forward-looking statements, see the company's Risk Factors set forth under Part I, Item 1A of the company's 2011 Annual Report.

#### Recent Developments

##### Disposition of a Business

On August 30, 2012, the company entered into a definitive agreement with Flash Bermuda Co. Ltd., a Bermuda exempted limited liability company formed by affiliates of The Carlyle Group (collectively referred to as "Carlyle") in which Carlyle has agreed to purchase certain subsidiaries and assets comprising the company's Performance Coatings business for approximately \$4.9 billion in cash and the assumption of certain liabilities, including approximately \$250 million of unfunded pension liabilities. The company estimates that it will receive about \$4.0 billion in after-tax proceeds from the sale of Performance Coatings. The transaction is expected to close in the first quarter of 2013, subject to customary closing conditions and regulatory approvals.

In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S. (GAAP), the results of Performance Coatings are presented as discontinued operations and, as such, have been excluded from continuing operations and segment results for all periods presented. See Note 2 for additional information.

#### Long Term Employee Benefits

In August 2012, the company announced the sale of its Performance Coatings business. As a result of the planned sale, the company recorded a pension curtailment charge of \$2 million and re-measured the principal U.S. pension plan and certain other pension plans as of August 31, 2012. In connection with the re-measurement, the company updated the discount rate and expected return on plan assets, assumed at December 31, 2011, from 4.50 percent to 4.10 percent and from 9.00 percent to 8.75 percent, respectively. The curtailment and re-measurement increased the underfunded status of the pension plans and the pre-tax net loss by \$609 million and increased the pension expense by \$1 million for 2012.



Table of Contents

During the third quarter 2012, the company amended its U.S. parent company retiree medical and dental plans for Medicare-eligible retirees and survivors. As a result of this change, the company was required to re-measure the associated plans as of July 31, 2012, which included updating the discount rate assumption to 4.00 percent from 4.50 percent assumed at December 31, 2011. The re-measurement and amendment resulted in a net decrease of \$700 million to the company's other long-term employee benefit obligation, which included an actuarial loss of \$138 million due to a lower discount rate and a credit of \$838 million to the prior service cost due to the plan amendment. The company's other long-term employee benefit expense will be reduced by approximately \$46 million for full year 2012. See Note 12 for additional information.

## Results of Operations

## Overview

The following is a summary of the results of continuing operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012:

Third quarter and year-to-date 2012 diluted (loss) earnings from continuing operations were \$(.05) and \$2.59 per share, respectively, versus \$0.39 and \$2.99 per share in the same periods last year.

Third quarter results include pre-tax charges of \$519 million taken for Imprelis® herbicide claims, restructuring and asset impairments.

Sales of \$7.4 billion for the third quarter 2012 were down 9 percent reflecting a 4 percent negative impact from currency, partly offset by 1 percent higher local prices. Volume was 5 percent lower compared to the same period last year, principally due to lower sales in the Performance Chemicals, Electronics & Communications and Safety & Protection segments. Sales in developing markets<sup>1</sup> were 7 percent lower, primarily due to volume declines in Performance Chemicals and Electronic & Communications segments and currency impact. Year-to-date sales of \$27.5 billion were up 4 percent.

Segment pre-tax operating income for the third quarter 2012 was \$356 million versus \$778 million for the same period last year. Year-to-date segment pre-tax operating income was \$4.4 billion, down 6 percent compared to the same period last year.

The company has commenced a restructuring plan to increase productivity, enhance competitiveness and accelerate growth. The plan will deliver 2013 pre-tax cost savings of about \$300 million by eliminating corporate costs supporting Performance Coatings and taking additional cost-cutting actions to improve competitiveness. In addition, the company remains on track to achieve its full-year 2012 productivity targets for both fixed costs and working capital.

## Net Sales

Net sales for the third quarter 2012 were \$7.4 billion versus \$8.1 billion in the prior year, a decrease of 9 percent, reflecting 5 percent lower volume, a 4 percent reduction from currency impact and a 1 percent net decrease from portfolio changes, partly offset by 1 percent higher local prices. The decrease in total company volume principally reflects declines in Performance Chemicals and Electronics & Communications, partly offset by increases for Agriculture, Nutrition & Health, Performance Materials and Industrial Biosciences. Sales in developing markets of \$3.0 billion decreased 7 percent reflecting lower volume and adverse currency impact. The percentage of total company sales in these markets increased to 40 percent from 39 percent in the prior year.

The table below shows a regional breakdown of net sales based on location of customers and percentage variances from the prior year:

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012	Percent Change vs. 2011	Percent Change Due to:			
		Local Price	Currency Effect	Volume	Portfolio
Net Sales (\$ Billions)					

Edgar Filing: DUPONT E I DE NEMOURS & CO - Form 10-Q

Worldwide	\$7.4	(9	)1	(4	)5	)1	)
U.S. & Canada	2.5	(4	)2	—	(2	)4	)
Europe, Middle East & Africa (EMEA)	1.6	(15	)1	(11	)6	)1	
Asia Pacific	1.9	(15	)5	)1	)10	)1	
Latin America	1.4	(1	)5	(8	)2	—	

---

<sup>1</sup> Developing markets include China, India and countries located in Latin America, Eastern and Central Europe, Middle East, Africa and Southeast Asia.

Table of Contents

Net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 were \$27.5 billion versus \$26.3 billion in the prior year, an increase of 4 percent, reflecting a 5 percent increase in local prices and a 4 percent net increase from portfolio changes, principally the Danisco acquisition, partly offset by 3 percent lower volume and a 2 percent sales reduction from currency impact. Lower volume reflects increases in all regions for Agriculture, more than offset by combined 7 percent lower volume for the other segments, primarily due to lower sales in Asia Pacific and EMEA. Sales in developing markets of \$9.0 billion improved 7 percent from 2011. The percentage of total company sales in these markets increased to 33 percent from 32 percent in the prior year.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		Percent Change Due to:			
	Net Sales (\$ Billions)	Percent Change vs. 2011	Local Price	Currency Effect	Volume	Portfolio
Worldwide	\$27.5	4	5	(2	) (3	) 4
U.S. & Canada	11.7	9	8	—	—	1
EMEA	6.5	2	5	(7	) (4	) 8
Asia Pacific	6.0	(4	) —	(1	) (8	) 5
Latin America	3.3	12	10	(5	) 3	4

**Other (Loss) Income, Net**

Other (loss) income, net, totaled \$(54) million for the third quarter 2012, a decrease of \$219 million compared to \$165 million in the prior year. The decrease was due primarily to a decrease in Cozaar®/Hyzaar® income of \$59 million and a decrease in pre-tax net exchange gains of \$136 million.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, other (loss) income, net was \$251 million compared to \$404 million last year, a decrease of \$153 million. The decrease was due primarily to a decrease in Cozaar®/Hyzaar® income of \$147 million, a decrease in equity in earnings of affiliates of \$75 million, and an increase in pre-tax net exchange losses of \$29 million, offset by a \$122 million gain recorded during the second quarter 2012 related to the sale of the company's interest in an equity method investment.

Additional information related to the company's other income, net, is included in Note 4 to the interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Cost of Goods Sold and Other Operating Charges (COGS)**

COGS totaled \$5.7 billion in the third quarter 2012 versus \$6.3 billion in the prior year, a decrease of 10 percent. COGS as a percent of net sales decreased 1 percentage point to 77 percent, reflecting higher local selling prices and a 5 percent decrease in raw material, energy and freight costs excluding reductions due to currency. COGS includes charges for Imprelis® claims.

COGS for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$19.6 billion, an increase of 4 percent versus \$18.9 billion in the prior year. COGS as a percent of net sales decreased 1 percentage point to 71 percent as higher raw material, energy and freight costs, were offset by higher local selling prices and productivity improvements. COGS includes charges for Imprelis® claims and the company's settlement of litigation with INVISTA. See Note 9 to the interim Consolidated Financial Statements for more information related to these matters.

**Selling, General and Administrative Expenses (SG&A)**

SG&A totaled \$772 million for the third quarter 2012 versus \$809 million in the prior year. Year-to-date SG&A totaled \$2.7 billion versus \$2.6 billion in 2011. The decrease for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was due to lower selling expenses, primarily in the Nutrition & Health and Agriculture segments. The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was due to increased global commissions and selling and marketing investments

primarily in the Agriculture segment, predominantly during the first half of the year. SG&A was approximately 10 percent of net sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

**Research and Development Expense (R&D)**

R&D totaled \$506 million and \$546 million for the third quarter 2012 and 2011, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, R&D was \$1.5 billion versus \$1.4 billion last year. The decrease for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was due primarily to the absence of the \$50 million charge recorded in third quarter 2011 for a milestone payment related to a Pioneer licensing agreement. The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 in R&D was due to continued growth investments in the Agriculture segment, primarily related to spending for development of new products. R&D was approximately 7 percent of net sales for the third quarter 2012 and 2011. R&D was approximately 6 percent and 5 percent of net sales for the nine months

Table of Contents

ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Interest Expense

Interest expense totaled \$116 million in the third quarter 2012, flat compared to 2011. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2012, interest expense was \$347 million versus \$331 million last year. The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to higher average debt, partially offset by lower interest rates.

Employee Separation / Asset Related Charges, Net

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the company recorded charges of \$394 million in employee separation / asset related charges, net compared to \$36 million in the same periods of prior year. The \$394 million in charges recorded during 2012 consisted of a \$152 million charge related to the 2012 restructuring plan and \$242 million in charges related to asset impairments, as discussed below. The \$36 million charge recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 pertained to actions initiated to achieve cost synergies associated with the Danisco acquisition.

2012 Restructuring Program

In the third quarter 2012, the company commenced a restructuring plan to increase productivity, enhance competitiveness and accelerate growth. The plan is designed to eliminate corporate costs previously allocated to the Performance Coatings business as well as utilize additional cost-cutting actions to improve competitiveness. As a result, a pre-tax charge of about \$210 million is expected related to this plan, of which \$152 million was recorded in the third quarter 2012. The third quarter 2012 charge consists of \$133 million of employee separation costs and \$19 million of asset related charges, which includes \$17 million of asset impairments and \$2 million of asset shut downs. The company expects this plan and all related payments to be substantially complete by December 31, 2013. The actions related to this plan are expected to achieve pre-tax cost savings of approximately \$300 million in 2013 and approximately \$450 million per year in subsequent years.

The third quarter 2012 charge impacted segment earnings as follows: Agriculture - \$3 million, Electronics & Communications - \$7 million, Industrial Biosciences - \$3 million, Nutrition & Health - \$13 million, Performance Chemicals - \$3 million, Performance Materials - \$9 million, and Safety & Protection - \$55 million, as well as Corporate expenses - \$59 million.

Asset Impairments

During the third quarter 2012, the company recorded asset impairment charges of \$242 million to write-down the carrying value of certain asset groups to fair value.

The company continually reviews its diverse portfolio of assets to ensure they are achieving their greatest potential and are aligned with the company's growth strategy. Strategic decisions involving a particular group of assets may trigger an assessment of the recoverability of the related assets. Such an assessment could result in impairment losses.

Additional details related to the 2012 restructuring program and asset impairments discussed above can be found in Note 3 to the interim Consolidated Financial Statements and within the individual segment reviews.

(Benefit from) Provision for Income Taxes on Continuing Operations

The company's effective tax rate for the third quarter 2012 was 77.0 percent on a pre-tax loss from continuing operations as compared to 16.6 percent on pre-tax income from continuing operations in 2011. The higher effective tax rate principally relates to the tax impact of the Imprelis® matter, and certain restructuring and asset impairment charges.

The company's effective tax rate for year-to-date 2012 was 21.0 percent as compared to 17.7 percent in 2011. The higher effective tax rate principally relates to geographic mix of earnings.

See Note 5 to the interim Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

(Loss) Income from Continuing Operations after Income Taxes

(Loss) income from continuing operations after income taxes for third quarter 2012 was \$(40) million compared to \$376 million in the same period last year. Year-to-date 2012 income from continuing operations after income taxes was \$2.5 billion compared to \$2.9 billion in the same period last year. The decreases in both periods are due to the reasons noted above.

Table of Contents

## Segment Reviews

Summarized below are comments on individual segment sales and pre-tax operating income (loss) (PTOI) for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared with the same periods in 2011. Segment sales include transfers to another business segment. Products are transferred between segments on a basis intended to reflect, as nearly as practicable, the market value of the products. Segment PTOI is defined as income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes excluding exchange gains (losses), corporate expenses and interest. All references to prices are on a U.S. dollar (USD) basis, including the impact of currency. A reconciliation of segment sales to consolidated net sales and segment PTOI to income from continuing operations before income taxes for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 is included in Note 13 to the interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table summarizes third quarter and year-to-date 2012 segment sales and related variances versus prior year:

	Three Months Ended				
	September 30, 2012	Percentage Change Due to:			
Segment	Percent	Price	Volume	Portfolio and Other	
Sales (\$ Billions)	Change vs. 2011				
Agriculture	\$1.4	4	(3)	)7	—
Electronics & Communications	0.6	(28)	)8	)20	)—
Industrial Biosciences	0.3	—	(7)	)7	—
Nutrition & Health	0.9	4	—	4	—
Performance Chemicals	1.7	(19)	)1	)18	)—
Performance Materials	1.6	(8)	)7	)2	(3)
Safety & Protection	0.9	(7)	)4	)3	)—
	Nine Months Ended				
	September 30, 2012	Percentage Change Due to:			
Segment	Percent	Price	Volume	Portfolio and Other	
Sales (\$ Billions)	Change vs. 2011				
Agriculture	\$8.9	13	6	7	—
Electronics & Communications	2.1	(18)	)3	)15	)—
Industrial Biosciences	0.9	112	(5)	)10	107
Nutrition & Health	2.6	55	—	3	52
Performance Chemicals	5.6	(6)	)8	(14)	)—
Performance Materials	4.9	(5)	)1	)1	)3
Safety & Protection	2.9	(4)	)—	(4)	)—

Agriculture - Sales of \$1.4 billion were up 4 percent on 7 percent higher volume partially offset by 3 percent lower prices, which includes unfavorable currency of 10 percent. For Pioneer seed, volume growth reflects a strong start to the Southern Hemisphere planting season. Higher local prices for both corn and soybeans were partially offset by the impact of unfavorable currency. Crop Protection sales growth was underpinned by strong demand for insect control products and fungicides; while higher local prices across all market segments were more than offset by unfavorable currency. PTOI seasonal loss was \$213 million compared to a loss of \$194 million in the prior year as strong sales were more than offset by unfavorable currency, higher input costs in seeds and higher investments in commercial and R&D activities to support growth. Third quarter 2012 PTOI included a \$125 million charge related to Imprelis® claims; while third quarter 2011 PTOI included a charge of \$75 million related to Imprelis® claims and a \$50 million charge in connection with a milestone payment associated with a Pioneer licensing agreement. See Note 9 to the

interim Consolidated Financial Statements for more information related to the Imprelis® matter.

Year-to-date sales of \$8.9 billion were up \$1.0 billion, or 13 percent, with 7 percent volume and 6 percent price gains. Pioneer seed business delivered strong Northern Hemisphere and Brazil Safrinha performance with global price and volume gains on new product penetration. Crop Protection sales growth reflects continued strong demand in all product lines with standout performance in insect control products. PTOI of \$1,712 million decreased \$31 million as higher volume and price and the absence of the licensing agreement charge noted above were more than offset by higher charges related to Imprelis® claims. 2012 and 2011 PTOI



Table of Contents

included charges related to Imprelis® claims of \$440 million and \$75 million, respectively.

Electronics & Communications - Sales of \$607 million were down 28 percent on 20 percent lower volume and 8 percent lower prices, primarily pass-through of lower metals prices. Volume declined in photovoltaic materials, partially offset by continued strong demand for materials in smart phones and tablets. PTOI loss of \$117 million decreased \$216 million due to a \$150 million asset impairment charge and lower volume. See Note 3 to the interim Consolidated Financial Statements for more information related to the impairment charge.

Year-to-date sales of \$2.1 billion were down 18 percent on 15 percent lower volume and 3 percent lower prices, primarily pass-through of lower metals prices. Sales reflect lower photovoltaic materials volume, partially offset by increased demand for smart phones and tablets. PTOI of \$113 million decreased \$200 million from the asset impairment charge noted above and lower volume, partially offset by a \$122 million gain related to the sale of the company's interest in an equity method investment. Additionally, 2011 PTOI included a \$20 million reduction due to extreme volatility in metals prices.

Industrial Biosciences - Sales of \$292 million were flat compared to prior year as higher volume was offset by lower prices, primarily related to unfavorable currency. Volume growth reflects strong Sorona® renewably sourced polymer for carpet fiber, as well as continued growth in animal nutrition and food enzymes. PTOI of \$39 million was up \$66 million from the absence of a \$61 million charge recorded in the prior year related to the Danisco enzyme business acquisition for transaction related costs and the fair value step-up of inventories acquired.

Year-to-date sales of \$880 million increased 112 percent primarily reflecting the Danisco acquisition. PTOI \$124 million was up \$158 million reflecting benefits of the acquisition and the absence of a \$78 million charge recorded in the prior year for transaction related costs and the fair value step-up of inventories acquired.

Nutrition & Health - Sales of \$876 million were up 4 percent on higher volume, reflecting strong demand for specialty food ingredients and Solae soy specialties. Higher local prices were offset by unfavorable currency. PTOI of \$74 million was up \$108 million primarily related to the absence of an \$89 million charge recorded in the prior year related to the Danisco specialty food ingredients acquisition for transaction related costs and the fair value step-up of inventories acquired, as well as higher local prices and the realization of cost synergies.

Year-to-date sales of \$2.6 billion increased \$0.9 billion primarily reflecting the Danisco acquisition. PTOI of \$269 million increased \$273 million primarily reflecting benefits of the acquisition, realization of cost synergies and the absence of a \$122 million charge recorded in the prior year for transaction related costs and the fair value step-up of inventories acquired.

Performance Chemicals - Sales of \$1.7 billion were down 19 percent, with 18 percent lower volume and 1 percent lower prices. Sales declined on lower demand for titanium dioxide and fluoropolymers. Volumes were pressured by softness in Europe and Asia Pacific due to lower infrastructure spend and weak housing markets. PTOI of \$369 million decreased \$224 million on lower volume.

Year-to-date sales of \$5.6 billion were down 6 percent, with 14 percent lower volume partially offset by 8 percent higher prices. Higher local prices more than offset unfavorable currency. Lower volume primarily reflects continued softness in titanium dioxide, particularly in Asia Pacific and Europe, and weak demand in fluoropolymers. PTOI of \$1,419 million decreased \$71 million as higher local prices were more than offset by lower volume, as well as unfavorable currency.

Performance Materials - Sales of \$1.6 billion were down 8 percent, with 7 percent lower prices and a 3 percent reduction from a portfolio change, partially offset by 2 percent higher volume. Lower prices reflect unfavorable

currency and mix. Stable packaging markets and continued strong demand in automotive were partially offset by softness in the industrial and electronics markets. PTOI of \$205 million decreased \$26 million as lower feedstock costs and higher volume were more than offset by a \$92 million asset impairment charge and unfavorable currency. See Note 3 to the interim Consolidated Financial Statements for more information related to the impairment charge.

Year-to-date sales of \$4.9 billion were down 5 percent, with a 3 percent reduction from a portfolio change, 1 percent lower volume and 1 percent lower prices. Stable packaging markets and continued demand in automotive was more than offset by softness in the industrial and electronics markets. PTOI of \$762 million decreased \$11 million as lower feedstock costs was more than offset by the asset impairment charge noted above and unfavorable currency.

Table of Contents

Safety & Protection - Sales of \$934 million were down 7 percent, with 4 percent lower prices, primarily due to unfavorable currency, and 3 percent lower volume. Volume declined due to stalled infrastructure projects in China, weaker conditions in Europe and lower U.S. public sector demand. PTOI of \$48 million decreased \$70 million from a \$55 million restructuring charge and unfavorable currency. See Note 3 to the interim Consolidated Financial Statements for more information related to the restructuring charge.

Year-to-date sales of \$2.9 billion were down 4 percent on lower volume. Volume decreased due to lower public sector demand and continued softness in industrial markets. Higher local prices were offset by the impact of unfavorable currency. PTOI of \$275 million decreased \$131 million from the restructuring charge noted above, lower volume and unfavorable currency.

Pharmaceuticals - Third quarter PTOI was \$10 million compared to \$70 million in the same period last year. Year-to-date PTOI was \$53 million compared to \$200 million in the prior year. Decreased PTOI reflects the expiration of certain patents for Cozaar<sup>®</sup>/Hyzaar<sup>®</sup>.

## Liquidity &amp; Capital Resources

(Dollars in millions)	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities	\$3,523	\$4,019
Total debt	15,066	12,553

The company believes its ability to generate cash from operations and access to capital markets will be adequate to meet anticipated cash requirements to fund working capital, capital spending, dividend payments, debt maturities and other cash needs. The company's liquidity needs can be met through a variety of sources, including: cash provided by operating activities, cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, commercial paper, syndicated credit lines, bilateral credit lines, equity and long-term debt markets and asset sales. The company's current strong financial position, liquidity and credit ratings provide excellent access to the capital markets. In addition, spending and capital productivity actions have been implemented. The company will continue to monitor the financial markets in order to respond to changing conditions. Depending on these conditions, the proceeds of commercial paper may be invested in cash equivalents or marketable securities.

Pursuant to its cash discipline policy, the company seeks first to maintain a strong balance sheet and second, to return excess cash to shareholders unless the opportunity to invest for growth is compelling. Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities provide primary liquidity to support all short-term obligations. A substantial majority of the company's cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities is held by foreign subsidiaries and is considered to be indefinitely reinvested and expected to be utilized to fund local operating activities and capital expenditure requirements. The company believes that it has sufficient sources of domestic liquidity to further support its assumption that undistributed earnings at September 30, 2012 can be considered reinvested indefinitely. The company has access to approximately \$4.3 billion in unused credit lines with several major financial institutions, as additional support to meet short-term liquidity needs and general corporate purposes, including letters of credit.

The company continually reviews its debt portfolio and occasionally may rebalance it to ensure adequate liquidity and an optimum debt maturity schedule.

The company's credit ratings impact its access to the debt capital market and cost of capital. The company remains committed to a strong financial position and strong investment-grade rating. The company's long-term and short-term credit ratings are as follows:

	Long-term	Short-term	Outlook
Standard & Poor's	A	A-1	Stable

Moody's Investors Service	A2	P-1	Stable
Fitch Ratings	A	F1	Stable

#### Summary of Cash Flows

Cash used for operating activities was \$0.4 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to cash provided by operating activities of \$0.4 billion during the same period last year. The \$0.8 billion change was primarily due to a \$0.5 billion contribution to the U.S. pension plan.

Cash used for investing activities was \$0.7 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to cash used for investing activities of \$5.6 billion for the same period last year. The \$4.9 billion change was primarily due to a decrease in payments for businesses acquired, partially offset by lower proceeds from the sale of short-term financial instruments. Purchases of property,

Table of Contents

plant and equipment for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 totaled \$1.1 billion, about equal to the same period last year.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$1.0 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$3.6 billion for the same period last year. The \$2.6 billion difference was due primarily to lower proceeds from borrowings and the purchase of the noncontrolling interest in the Solae, LLC joint venture.

(Dollars in millions)	Nine Months Ended		
	September 30,		
	2012	2011	
Cash used for operating activities	\$(426	)\$431	
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(1,139	)(1,211	)
Free cash flow	\$(1,565	)(780	)

Free cash flow is a measurement not recognized in accordance with GAAP and should not be viewed as an alternative to GAAP measures of performance. All companies do not calculate non-GAAP financial measures in the same manner and, accordingly, the company's free cash flow definition may not be consistent with the methodologies used by other companies. The company defines free cash flow as cash provided by operating activities less purchases of property, plant and equipment, and therefore indicates operating cash flow available for payment of dividends, other investing activities and other financing activities. Free cash flow is useful to investors and management to evaluate the company's cash flow and financial performance, and is an integral financial measure used in the company's financial planning process.

Dividends paid to shareholders during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 totaled \$1.2 billion. In August 2012, the company's Board of Directors declared a third quarter common stock dividend of \$0.43 per share. The third quarter dividend was the company's 43<sup>rd</sup> consecutive quarterly dividend since the company's first dividend in the fourth quarter 1904.

In February 2012, the company entered into an agreement with a financial institution and paid \$400 million for the purchase of shares of common stock. The shares were received and retired in May 2012. See Note 10 to the interim Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

#### Guarantees and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

For detailed information related to Guarantees, Indemnifications, and Obligations for Equity Affiliates and Others, see page 31 of the company's 2011 Annual Report, and Note 9 to the interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Contractual Obligations

Information related to the company's contractual obligations at December 31, 2011 can be found on page 31 of the company's 2011 Annual Report. There have been no significant changes to the company's contractual obligations during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

#### PFOA

See discussion under "PFOA" on page 35 of the company's 2011 Annual Report and Note 9 to the interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

### Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

See Note 11, "Financial Instruments", to the interim Consolidated Financial Statements. See also Part II, Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, on pages 35 - 36 of the company's 2011 Annual Report

for information on the company's utilization of financial instruments and an analysis of the sensitivity of these instruments.

Table of Contents

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The company maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures to give reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the company's reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission. These controls and procedures also give reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in such reports is accumulated and communicated to management to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

As of September 30, 2012, the company's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), together with management, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in the company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect the company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The company is subject to various litigation matters, including, but not limited to, product liability, patent infringement, antitrust claims, and claims for third party property damage or personal injury stemming from alleged environmental torts. Information regarding certain of these matters is set forth below and in Note 9 to the interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

Litigation

Imprelis® Herbicide Claims Process

Information related to this matter is included in Note 9 to the interim Consolidated Financial Statements under the heading Imprelis®.

PFOA: Environmental and Litigation Proceedings

For purposes of this report, the term PFOA means collectively perfluorooctanoic acid and its salts, including the ammonium salt and does not distinguish between the two forms. Information related to this matter is included in Note 9 to the interim Consolidated Financial Statements under the heading PFOA.

Monsanto Patent Dispute

Information related to this matter is included in Note 9 to the interim Consolidated Financial Statements under the heading Monsanto Patent Dispute.

Environmental Proceedings

Belle Plant, West Virginia

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is investigating three chemical releases at DuPont's Belle facility in West Virginia which occurred in January 2010. One of the releases involved the death of a DuPont employee after exposure to phosgene.

Chambers Works Plant, Deepwater, New Jersey

In 2010, the government initiated an enforcement action alleging that the facility violated recordkeeping requirements of certain provisions of the Clean Air Act (CAA) and the Federal Clean Air Act Regulations (FCAR) governing Leak Detection and Reporting and that it failed to report emissions of a compound from Chambers Works' waste water treatment facility under the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act. The alleged non-compliance was identified by EPA in 2007 and 2009 following separate environmental audits. DuPont is in settlement negotiations with EPA and the Department of Justice (DOJ).

LaPorte Plant, LaPorte, Texas

EPA conducted a multimedia inspection at the LaPorte facility in January 2008. DuPont, EPA and DOJ began discussions in the fall of 2011 relating primarily to the management of certain materials in the facility's wastewater treatment system. These negotiations continue.

Sabine Plant, Orange, Texas

In June 2012, DuPont received allegations related to a multimedia inspection that the EPA conducted at the Sabine facility in March 2009. DuPont, EPA and DOJ are in discussions relating to the management of materials in the facility's waste water treatment system, hazardous waste management and air emissions.

Sabine Plant, Orange, Texas

In September 2012, DuPont agreed to pay a penalty of \$107,500 to resolve allegations from the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality regarding wastewater effluent limitations in 2011 and 2012 at the Sabine facility.



Yerkes Plant, Buffalo, New York

The government alleges that the facility violated recordkeeping requirements of certain provisions of the CAA and the FCAR governing Leak Detection and Reporting and that it failed to accurately report emissions under the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act. The alleged non-compliance was identified by EPA in 2006 and 2010 following separate environmental audits. DuPont is in settlement negotiations with EPA and DOJ.

Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA)

In July 2012, DuPont received a “notice of noncompliance and show cause” letter from EPA Region III for alleged violations of FIFRA related to product labeling and adverse effects reporting for Imprelis®.

DuPont (Australia) Pty Limited

The New South Wales Environmental Protection Authority (NSWEPA) alleges that 2011 dust particulate emissions from a DuPont

Table of Contents

(Australia) Pty Limited facility caused damage to trees, shrubs, and garden plants. In April 2012, NSW EPA commenced proceedings against DuPont (Australia) Pty Limited and under applicable laws and regulations, fines of up to AUD 1,000,000 (approximately \$1,000,000) can be imposed.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in the company's risk factors from those disclosed in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors, in the company's 2011 Annual Report.

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Information regarding mine safety and other regulatory actions at the company's surface mine in Starke, Florida is included in Exhibit 95 to this report.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibits: The list of exhibits in the Exhibit Index to this report is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY  
(Registrant)

Date: October 23, 2012

By: /s/ Nicholas C. Fanandakis

Nicholas C. Fanandakis  
Executive Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer  
(As Duly Authorized Officer and  
Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007).
3.2	Company's Bylaws, as last amended effective November 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009).
4	The company agrees to provide the Commission, on request, copies of instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of the company and its subsidiaries.
10.1*	The DuPont Stock Accumulation and Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors, as last amended effective January 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).
10.2*	Company's Supplemental Retirement Income Plan, as last amended effective June 4, 1996 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011).
10.3*	Company's Pension Restoration Plan, as restated effective July 17, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2011).
10.4*	Company's Rules for Lump Sum Payments, as last amended effective December 20, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2011).
10.5*	Company's Stock Performance Plan, as last amended effective January 25, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011).
10.6*	Company's Equity and Incentive Plan as amended and restated effective March 2, 2011 and approved by the company's shareholders on April 27, 2011 (incorporated by reference to pages B1-B15 of the company's Annual Meeting Proxy Statement dated March 18, 2011).
10.7*	Form of Award Terms under the company's Equity and Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2009).
10.8*	Company's Retirement Savings Restoration Plan, as last amended effective June 1, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2011).
10.9*	Company's Retirement Income Plan for Directors, as last amended January 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2012).



Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.10*	Company's Management Deferred Compensation Plan, adopted on May 2, 2008, as last amended May 12, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2010).
10.11*	Supplemental Deferral Terms for Deferred Long Term Incentive Awards and Deferred Variable Compensation Awards (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).
10.12*	Purchase Agreement dated as of August 30, 2012, by and between E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company and Flash Bermuda Co. Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 4, 2012). The company agrees to furnish supplementally a copy of any omitted schedules to the Commission upon request.
12	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of the company's Principal Executive Officer.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of the company's Principal Financial Officer.
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of the company's Principal Executive Officer. The information contained in this Exhibit shall not be deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission nor incorporated by reference in any registration statement filed by the registrant under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of the company's Principal Financial Officer. The information contained in this Exhibit shall not be deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission nor incorporated by reference in any registration statement filed by the registrant under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.
95	Mine Safety Disclosures.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

---

\*Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-Q.

