

INTEGRATED DEVICE TECHNOLOGY INC
Form 10-Q
November 03, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 26, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 0-12695

INTEGRATED DEVICE TECHNOLOGY, INC.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

DELAWARE
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)
6024 SILVER CREEK VALLEY ROAD, 95138
SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

94-2669985
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)
(Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (408) 284-8200

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common stock, \$.001 par value	The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED DEVICE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-Q

required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of “large accelerated filer”, “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer
Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)
Yes No

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's Common Stock, \$.001 par value, as of October 31, 2010, was approximately 153,525,145.

Table of Contents

INTEGRATED DEVICE TECHNOLOGY, INC.
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 26, 2010
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 26, 2010 and March 28, 2010</u>	3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended September 26, 2010 and September 27, 2009</u>	4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended September 26, 2010 and September 27, 2009</u>	5
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
	<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	30
Item 2.		
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	39
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	39

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	40
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	41
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	51
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	52
Item 4.	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	52
Item 5.	<u>Other Information</u>	52
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	53
	<u>Signatures</u>	53

Table of ContentsPART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTSINTEGRATED DEVICE TECHNOLOGY, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(unaudited; in thousands, except per share data)

	Sept. 26, 2010	Mar. 28, 2010
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$74,392	\$120,526
Short-term investments	264,662	222,663
Accounts receivable, net	74,269	68,957
Inventories	53,051	50,676
Prepayments and other current assets	20,564	25,086
Total current assets	486,938	487,908
Property, plant and equipment, net	68,951	67,988
Goodwill	104,020	103,074
Acquisition-related intangible assets, net	61,026	65,242
Other assets	27,039	26,733
Total assets	\$747,974	\$750,945
Liabilities and stockholders' equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$34,880	\$34,717
Accrued compensation and related expenses	30,811	20,738
Deferred income on shipments to distributors	19,079	18,761
Income taxes payable	1,104	513
Other accrued liabilities	29,698	31,972
Total current liabilities	115,572	106,701
Long-term income tax payable	21,417	21,098
Other long-term obligations	21,889	23,406
Total liabilities	158,878	151,205
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 15)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock; \$.001 par value: 10,000 shares authorized; no shares issued	--	--
Common stock; \$.001 par value: 350,000 shares authorized; 154,623 and 162,878 shares outstanding at September 26, 2010 and March 28, 2010, respectively	155	163
Additional paid-in capital	2,324,400	2,310,450
Treasury stock; at cost: 71,849 shares and 61,917 shares at September 26, 2010 and March 28, 2010, respectively	(857,745)	(802,217)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,351	1,046

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED DEVICE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-Q

Accumulated deficit	(879,065)	(909,702)
Total stockholders' equity	589,096	599,740
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$747,974	\$750,945

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

INTEGRATED DEVICE TECHNOLOGY, INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 (unaudited; in thousands, except per share data)

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Revenues	\$ 166,907	\$ 139,504	\$ 325,180	\$ 255,458
Cost of revenues	76,613	88,373	152,720	157,162
Gross profit	90,294	51,131	172,460	98,296
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	43,986	41,455	87,722	77,770
Selling, general and administrative	26,841	30,662	54,199	56,097
Total operating expenses	70,827	72,117	141,921	133,867
Operating income (loss)	19,467	(20,986)	30,539	(35,571)
Gain on divestiture	--	82,747	--	82,747
Interest income and other, net	1,177	1,188	1,441	2,594
Income before income taxes	20,644	62,949	31,980	49,770
Provision for income taxes	420	2,409	1,343	3,351
Net income	\$ 20,224	\$ 60,540	\$ 30,637	\$ 46,419
Basic net income per share	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.28
Diluted net income per share	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.28
Weighted average shares:				
Basic	157,021	165,591	159,340	165,511
Diluted	157,649	166,075	160,171	165,853

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

INTEGRATED DEVICE TECHNOLOGY, INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 (unaudited; in thousands)

	Six months ended	
	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Cash flows provided by operating activities:		
Net income	\$30,637	\$46,419
Adjustments:		
Depreciation	9,098	11,970
Amortization of intangible assets	9,927	11,327
Gain from divestiture of the NWD assets	--	(82,747)
Stock-based compensation expense	8,694	8,178
Asset impairments	--	2,002
Deferred tax provision	72	161
Changes in assets and liabilities (net of effects of acquisitions and divestitures):		
Accounts receivable, net	(4,476)	(3,743)
Inventories	(1,372)	17,457
Prepayments and other assets	7,006	3,091
Accounts payable	(971)	8,066
Accrued compensation and related expenses	9,981	(1,235)
Deferred income on shipments to distributors	318	(1,876)
Income taxes payable and receivable	892	3,565
Other accrued liabilities and long term liabilities	(3,116)	7,375
Net cash provided by operating activities	66,690	30,010
Cash flows provided by (used for) investing activities		
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(6,247)	(64,482)
Proceeds from divestiture of the NWD assets	--	100,000
Cash in escrow related to acquisition	(1,800)	--
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(9,501)	(6,291)
Purchase of non-marketable securities	(2,000)	--
Purchases of short-term investments	(247,447)	(144,973)
Proceeds from sales of short-term investments	13,396	78,327
Proceeds from maturities of short-term investments	190,598	44,516
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	(63,001)	7,097
Cash flows provided by (used for) financing activities		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	5,382	2,227
Repurchases of common stock	(55,528)	--
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	(50,146)	2,227
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	323	1,090
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(46,134)	40,424
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	120,526	136,036
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$74,392	\$176,460
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities		

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED DEVICE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-Q

Common stock options assumed in connection with Tundra acquisition	\$--	\$721
--	------	-------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

INTEGRATED DEVICE TECHNOLOGY, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

Note 1
Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Integrated Device Technology, Inc. (“IDT” or the “Company”) contain all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary to state fairly the interim financial information included therein. Certain prior period balances have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. The year-end condensed balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the Company’s financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 28, 2010. Operating results for the three and six months ended September 26, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of operating results for an entire fiscal year.

Note 2
Significant Accounting Policies

Investments:

Available-for-Sale Investments. Investments designated as available-for-sale include marketable debt and equity securities. Available-for-sale investments are classified as short-term, as these investments generally consist of highly marketable securities that are intended to be available to meet near-term cash requirements. Marketable securities classified as available-for-sale are reported at market value, with net unrealized gains or losses recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income, a separate component of stockholders' equity, until realized. Realized gains and losses on investments are computed based upon specific identification and are included in interest income and other, net.

Non-Marketable Equity Securities. Non-marketable equity securities are accounted for at historical cost or, if the Company has significant influence over the investee, using the equity method of accounting.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment. All of the Company’s available-for-sale investments and non-marketable equity securities are subject to a periodic impairment review. Investments are considered to be impaired when a decline in fair value is judged to be other-than-temporary. This determination requires significant judgment. For publicly traded investments, impairment is determined based upon the specific facts and circumstances present at the time, including a review of the closing price over the previous six months, general market conditions and the Company’s intent and ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for recovery. For non-marketable equity securities, the impairment analysis requires the identification of events or circumstances that would likely have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment, including revenue and earnings trends, overall business prospects and general market conditions in the investees’ industry or geographic area. Investments identified as having an indicator of impairment are subject to further analysis to determine if the investment is other-than-temporarily

impaired, in which case the investment is written down to its impaired value.

Table of Contents

Concentrations of credit Risk. Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to a significant concentration of credit risk consist of cash, cash equivalents, short term investments, and trade accounts receivable. The Company invests its excess funds primarily in money market funds, United States government treasuries and agency securities, corporate bonds and corporate commercial paper with reputable major financial institutions.

The Company sells integrated circuits primarily in the U.S., Europe and Asia. The Company monitors the financial condition of its major customers, including performing credit evaluations of those accounts which management considers high risk, and generally does not require collateral from its customers. When deemed necessary, the Company may limit the credit extended to certain customers. The Company's relationship with the customer, and the customer's past and current payment experience, are also factored into the evaluation in instances where limited financial information is available. The Company maintains and reviews its allowance for doubtful accounts by considering factors such as historical bad debts, age of the account receivable balances, customer credit-worthiness and current economic conditions that may affect customer's ability to pay.

The Company utilizes global and regional distributors around the world, who buy product directly from the Company on behalf of their customers. One family of distributors, Maxtek and its affiliates, represented approximately 20% of the Company's revenues for the three and six months ended September 26, 2010 and 24% and 20% of the Company's revenues for the three and six months ended September 27, 2009, respectively. At September 26, 2010 and March 28, 2010, Maxtek and its affiliates, represented approximately 25% and 23% of the Company's gross accounts receivable, respectively.

Inventories. Inventories are recorded at the lower of standard cost (which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out basis) or market value. Inventory held at consignment locations is included in finished goods inventory as the Company retains full title and rights to the product. Inventory valuation includes provisions for obsolete and excess inventory based on management's forecasts of demand over specific future time horizons and reserves to value the Company's inventory at the lower of cost or market which rely on forecasts of average selling prices (ASPs) in future periods.

Revenue Recognition. The Company's revenue results from semiconductors sold through three channels: direct sales to original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs") and electronic manufacturing service providers ("EMSs"), consignment sales to OEMs and EMSs, and sales through distributors. The Company recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the price is fixed or determinable, and its ability to collect is reasonably assured.

For distributors in North America and Europe regions, who have stock rotation, price protection and ship from stock pricing adjustment rights, the Company defers revenue and related cost of revenues on sales to these distributors until the product is sold through by the distributor to an end-customer. Subsequent to shipment to the distributor, the Company may reduce product pricing through price protection based on market conditions, competitive considerations and other factors. Price protection is granted to distributors on the inventory that they have on hand at the date the price protection is offered. The Company also grants certain credits to its distributors on specifically identified portions of the distributors' business to allow them to earn a competitive gross margin on the sale of the Company's products to their end customers. As a result of its inability to estimate these credits, the Company has determined that the sales price to these distributors is not fixed or determinable until the final sale to the end-customer.

In the Asia Pacific ("APAC") and Japan regions, the Company has distributors for which revenue is recognized upon shipment, with reserves recorded for the estimated return and pricing adjustment exposures. The determination of the amount of reserves to be recorded for stock rotation rights requires the Company to make estimates as to the amount of product which will be returned by customers within their limited contractual rights. The Company utilizes

historical return rates to estimate the future returns. In addition, from time-to-time, the Company can offer pricing adjustments to distributors for product purchased in a given quarter that remains in their inventory. These amounts are estimated by management based on discussions with customers, assessment of market trends, as well as historical practice.

Table of Contents

Based on the terms in the agreements with its distributors and the application of this policy, the Company recognizes revenue once the distributor sells our products to an end-customer for North American and European distributors and recognizes revenue upon shipment to Japanese and other Asian Pacific distributors.

Stock-based Compensation. The fair value of employee restricted stock units is equal to the market value of the Company's common stock on the date the award is granted. The Company estimates the fair value of employee stock options and the right to purchase shares under the employee stock purchase plan using the Black-Scholes valuation model, consistent with FASB's authoritative guidance for share-based payments. Option-pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the expected term of options and the expected price volatility of the stock underlying such options. In addition, the Company is required to estimate the number of stock-based awards that will be forfeited due to employee turnover. The Company attributes the value of stock-based compensation to expense on an accelerated method. Finally, the Company capitalizes into inventory a portion of the periodic stock-based compensation expense that relates to employees working in manufacturing activities.

The Company updates the expected term of stock option grants annually based on its analysis of the stock option exercise behavior over a period of time. The interest rate is based on the average U.S. Treasury interest rate over the expected term during the applicable quarter. The Company believes that the implied volatility of its common stock is an important consideration of overall market conditions and a good indicator of the expected volatility of its common stock. However, due to the limited volume of options freely traded over the counter, the Company believes that implied volatility, by itself, is not representative of the expected volatility of its common stock. Therefore, the Company uses a volatility factor that reflects a blend of historical volatility of its common stock and implied volatility of call options and dealer quotes on call options, generally having a term of less than twelve months. The Company has not paid, nor does it have current plans to pay dividends on its common stock in the foreseeable future.

Income Taxes. The Company accounts for income taxes under an asset and liability approach that requires the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between book and tax bases of assets and liabilities be recognized as deferred tax assets and liabilities. Generally accepted accounting principles require the Company to evaluate the ability to realize the value of its net deferred tax assets on an ongoing basis. A valuation allowance is recorded to reduce the net deferred tax assets to an amount that will more likely than not be realized. Accordingly, the Company considers various tax planning strategies, forecasts of future taxable income and its most recent operating results in assessing the need for a valuation allowance. In consideration of the ability to realize the value of net deferred tax assets, recent results must be given substantially more weight than any projections of future profitability. Since the fourth quarter of fiscal 2003, the Company has determined that, under applicable accounting principles, it could not conclude that it was more likely than not that the Company would realize the value of its net deferred tax assets. The Company's assumptions regarding the ultimate realization of these assets remained unchanged in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 and accordingly, the Company continues to record a valuation allowance to reduce its deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

The Company recognizes the tax liability for uncertain income tax positions on the income tax return based on the two-step process. The first step is to determine whether it is more likely than not that each income tax position would be sustained upon audit. The second step is to estimate and measure the tax benefit as the amount that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the tax authority. Estimating these amounts requires the Company to determine the probability of various possible outcomes. The Company evaluates these uncertain tax positions on a quarterly basis. This evaluation is based on the consideration of several factors including changes in facts or circumstances, changes in applicable tax law, settlement of issues under audit, and new exposures. If the Company later determines that the exposure is lower or that the liability is not sufficient to cover its revised expectations, the Company adjusts the liability and effects a related change in its tax provision during the period in which the Company makes such determination.

Table of Contents

Note 3

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB issued updated guidance related to fair value measurements and disclosures, which requires a reporting entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and to describe the reasons for the transfers. In addition, in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs, or Level 3, a reporting entity should disclose separately information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements (that is, on a gross basis rather than one net number). The updated guidance also requires that an entity should provide fair value measurement disclosures for each class of assets and liabilities and disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements for Level 2 and Level 3 fair value measurements. The updated guidance is effective for interim or annual financial reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the roll forward activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, which are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of this guidance did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements or related footnotes.

Note 4

Net Income Per Share

Basic net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. Potential common shares include employee stock options and restricted stock units.

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Net income	\$20,224	\$60,540	\$30,637	\$46,419
Weighted average common shares outstanding	157,021	165,591	159,340	165,511
Dilutive effect of employee stock options and restricted stock units	628	484	831	342
Weighted average common shares outstanding, assuming dilution	157,649	166,075	160,171	165,853
Basic net income per share	\$0.13	\$0.37	\$0.19	\$0.28
Diluted net income per share	\$0.13	\$0.36	\$0.19	\$0.28

Stock options to purchase 19.7 million shares and 19.9 million shares for the three and six months ended September 26, 2010, respectively, and 29.5 million shares and 29.6 million shares for the three and six months ended September 27, 2009, respectively, were outstanding, but were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because the exercise price of the stock options was greater than the average share price of the common shares and therefore, the effect would have been anti-dilutive. In addition, unvested restricted stock units of less than 0.1 million for the three and six months ended September 26, 2010, respectively, and 0.4 million and less than 0.1 million for the three and six months ended September 27, 2009, respectively, were excluded from the calculation because they were anti-dilutive after considering unrecognized stock-based compensation expense.

Table of Contents

Note 5

Business Combinations

Acquisition of certain assets of IKOR Acquisition Corporation (“IKOR”)

On April 16, 2010, the Company completed its acquisition of certain assets of IKOR, a former subsidiary of iWatt Corporation. IKOR designs and manufactures power voltage regulator module (VRM) solutions for high-performance computing. The total purchase price was \$7.7 million, including a fair value of contingent consideration of \$1.5 million payable upon the achievement of certain business performance metrics during the twelve months after the closing date. The fair value of the contingent consideration was estimated using probability-based forecasted revenue as of the acquisition date. The maximum payment for this contingent consideration is \$2.8 million. As a result, during the first six months of fiscal 2010, \$1.8 million in cash was held in escrow and will be utilized to fund the payments, subject to any payments first to Indemnified Persons as defined in the Asset Purchase Agreement between the parties. Changes to the fair value of the contingent consideration will be recorded in selling and administrative expenses.

Pursuant to the agreement and upon closing the transaction, the Company acquired IKOR- patented coupled conductor (“CL”) technology and related assets and hired members of IKOR’ engineering team.

The Company has allocated the purchase price to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values. The excess purchase price over those fair values is recorded as goodwill. The acquired CL technology complements the Company’s growing power management initiative, allowing it to achieve higher levels of performance and integration. The fair values assigned to tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on management estimates and assumptions. The amount of goodwill expected to be deductible for tax purposes is \$0.9 million.

The Company incurred approximately \$0.3 million of acquisition-related costs, which were included in selling, general and administrative (“SG&A”) expenses on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the first six months of fiscal 2011.

The aggregate purchase price has been allocated as follows:

(in thousands)

Accounts receivable	\$836
Inventories	1,136
Prepayments and other current assets	63
Property, plant and equipment, net	277
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(1,226)
Amortizable intangible assets	5,711
Goodwill	946
Total purchase price	\$7,743

A summary of the allocation of amortizable intangible assets is as follows:

(in thousands)

Amortizable intangible assets:	
Developed Technologies	\$5,224

Customer Relationships	443
Backlog	44
Total	\$5,711

10

Table of Contents

Identifiable Tangible Assets

IKOR's assets and liabilities were reviewed and adjusted, if required, to their estimated fair value.

Inventories – The value allocated to inventories reflects the estimated fair value of the acquired inventory based on the expected sales price of the inventory, less reasonable selling margin.

Amortizable Intangible Assets

Existing technologies consist of products that have reached technological feasibility. The Company valued the existing technologies utilizing a discounted cash flow (“DCF”) model, which uses forecasts of future revenues and expenses related to the intangible assets. The Company utilized discount factors of 35% - 36% for the existing technologies and is amortizing the intangible assets over 7 years on a straight-line basis.

Customer relationship values have been estimated utilizing a DCF model, which uses forecasts of future revenues and expenses related to the intangible asset. The Company utilized discount factor of 35% for this intangible asset and is amortizing this intangible asset over 5 years on a straight-line basis.

Backlog represents the value of the standing orders for IKOR products as of the closing date of the acquisition. Backlog was valued utilizing a DCF model and a discount factor of 15%. The value was amortized over five month period.

IKOR acquisition related financial results have been included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations from the closing date of the acquisition on April 16, 2010. Pro forma earnings information has not been presented because the effect of the acquisition is not material to the Company's historical financial results.

Acquisition of Mobius Microsystems, Inc. (“Mobius”)

On January 14, 2010, the Company completed its acquisition of Mobius, a privately-held, fabless semiconductor company based in Sunnyvale, California, acquiring all of Mobius' outstanding shares of common stock for approximately \$21 million in cash. Pursuant to the agreement and upon closing the transaction, the Company acquired Mobius' patented all-silicon oscillator technology and related assets along with members of Mobius' engineering team.

A summary of the total purchase price was as follows:

(in thousands)

Cash paid	\$20,188
Acquisition-related costs assumed by the Company	500
Total purchase price	\$20,688

The Company allocated the purchase price to the tangible and intangible assets acquired, including in-process research and development (“IPR&D”), based on their estimated fair values. The excess purchase price over those fair values is recorded as goodwill. The acquisition is expected to extend the Company's leadership into high accuracy, crystal oscillator replacements. The all-silicon timing technology will provide the Company with power, size and time-to-market advantages. The fair values assigned to tangible and intangible assets acquired are based on management estimates and assumptions. The goodwill as a result of this acquisition is not expected to be deductible for tax purpose.

The Company incurred approximately \$0.3 million of acquisition-related costs, which were included in selling, general and administrative (“SG&A”) expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for fiscal 2010.

Table of Contents

The purchase price has been allocated as follows:

(in thousands)	
Cash	\$ 170
Property, plant and equipment, net	237
Other assets	44
Developed technology	15,768
In-process research and development	3,536
Goodwill	2,105
Liabilities assumed	(1,172)
Total purchase price	\$20,688

Net tangible assets were reviewed and adjusted, if necessary, to their estimated fair value.

Developed technology consists of products that have reached technological feasibility. The Company used a DCF model with a discount rate of 30% to determine the fair value of the developed technology and is amortizing it on a straight-line basis over 7 years.

Projects that qualify as IPR&D represent those at the development stage and require further research and development to determine technical feasibility and commercial viability. Technological feasibility is established when an enterprise has completed all planning, designing, coding, and testing activities that are necessary to establish that a product can be produced to meet its design specifications, including functions, features, and technical performance requirements. The value of IPR&D was determined by considering the importance of each project to the Company's overall development plan, estimating costs to develop the purchased IPR&D into commercially viable products, estimating the resulting net cash flows from the projects when completed and discounting the net cash flows to their present value based on the percentage of completion of the IPR&D projects. The Company utilized the DCF method to value the IPR&D, using a discount factor of 33% and will amortize this intangible asset once the projects are complete. There were two IPR&D projects underway at Mobius at the acquisition date and the fair value assigned to each project was \$2.4 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. As of September 26, 2010, one project was 90% complete and approximately \$4.3 million costs have been incurred, another project was 50% complete and approximately \$1.1 million costs have been incurred. The Company estimates that an additional investment of \$0.9 million and \$3.1 million will be required to complete the projects with an estimated completion date during the third and fourth quarter of fiscal 2011. Pro forma earnings information has not been presented because the effect of the acquisition is not material to the Company's historical financial results.

Acquisition of Tundra Semiconductor Corporation ("Tundra")

On June 29, 2009, the Company completed its acquisition of Tundra, a Canadian corporation, pursuant to which the Company acquired 100% of the voting common stock of Tundra at a price of CAD\$6.25 per share, or an aggregate purchase price of approximately CAD\$120.8 million. The Company paid approximately \$104.3 million in cash. In addition, as part of the consideration in the acquisition, the Company assumed options to purchase up to 0.8 million shares of its common stock. As a result, the acquisition resulted in the issuance of approximately 0.8 million stock options with a fair value of \$0.7 million. The total consideration was approximately \$105.0 million. The options were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Approximately \$3.4 million of acquisition-related costs were included SG&A expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for fiscal 2010. A summary of the total purchase price is as follows:

(in thousands)

Cash paid	\$104,316
Assumed stock options	721
Total purchase price	\$105,037

12

Table of Contents

The Company allocated the purchase price to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values. The excess purchase price over those fair values was recorded as goodwill. Tundra's technology and development capabilities are complementary to the Company's existing product portfolios for RapidIO and PCI Express. This strategic combination will provide customers with a broader product offering, as well as improved service, support and a future roadmap for serial connectivity. These are the significant contributing factors to the establishment of the purchase price, resulting in the recognition of goodwill. The fair values assigned to tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on management's estimates and assumptions. The amount of goodwill expected to be deductible for tax purposes is \$12.8 million.

The aggregate purchase price has been allocated as follows:

(in thousands)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$46,085
Accounts receivable	1,260
Inventories	19,881
Prepayments and other current assets	6,119
Property, plant and equipment, net	7,692
Other assets	4,025
Accounts payable and accruals	(11,877)
Other long-term obligations	(3,549)
Amortizable intangible assets	19,979
Goodwill	15,422
Total purchase price	\$105,037

A summary of the allocation of amortizable intangible assets is as follows:

(in thousands)	
Amortizable intangible assets:	
Developed Technology	\$8,476
Customer Relationships	7,973
Trade name	2,911
In-process research and development	619
Total	\$19,979

Identifiable Tangible Assets

Tundra's assets and liabilities were reviewed and adjusted, if required, to their estimated fair value.

Inventories – The value allocated to inventories reflects the estimated fair value of the acquired inventory based on the expected sales price of the inventory, less a reasonable selling margin.

Property, plant and equipment – The fair value was determined under the continued use premise as the assets were valued as part of a going concern. This premise assumes that the assets will remain “as-is, where is” and continue to be used at their present location for the continuation of business operations. Value in use includes all direct and indirect costs necessary to acquire, install, fabricated and make the assets operational. The fair value was estimated using a cost approach methodology.

Amortizable Intangible Assets

Developed technology consists of products that have reached technological feasibility. The Company valued the developed technology utilizing a DCF model, which uses forecasts of future revenues and expenses related to the intangible asset. The Company utilized discount factors of 20% - 22% for the developed technology and is amortizing the intangible assets over 5 years on a straight-line basis.

Customer relationship values were estimated utilizing a DCF model, which uses forecasts of future revenues and expenses related to the intangible asset. The Company utilized discount factors of 20%-22% for each of these intangible assets and is amortizing the intangible assets over 5 years on a straight-line basis.

Table of Contents

The Tundra trade name was determined using the relief from royalty method, which represents the benefit of owning this intangible asset rather than paying royalties for its use. The Company utilized a discount rate of 20% for the trade name and is amortizing this intangible asset over 7 years on a straight-line basis.

The Company utilized the DCF method to value the IPR&D, using a discount factor of 22%-24%. There were two IPR&D projects underway at Tundra at the acquisition date. Both projects were completed. The Company is amortizing these intangible assets over 5 years on a straight-line basis.

Pro Forma Financial Information (unaudited)

The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents the combined results of operations of the Company and Tundra as if the acquisition had occurred as of the beginning of fiscal 2010. The unaudited pro forma financial information is presented for informational purposes only and is not indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved if the acquisition had been taken place at the beginning of fiscal 2010. The unaudited pro forma financial information presented below combines the historical IDT and historical Tundra results for the six months ended September 27, 2009 and September 28, 2008, respectively, and includes the business combination effect of the amortization charges from acquired intangible assets, the amortization of FMV inventory write-up, adjustments to interest income and related tax effects.

(Unaudited) (in thousands, except per share amounts)	Six months ended	
	Sept. 27, 2009	Sept. 28, 2008
Actual included in the second quarter of fiscal 2010		
Tundra's net revenues (6/29/09 through 9/27/09)	9,230	--
Tundra's net income (6/29/09 through 9/27/09)	(708)	--
Supplement pro forma		
Net revenues (1)	\$265,698	\$424,079
Net income (1)	35,784	14,890
Basic income per share	\$0.21	\$0.09
Diluted income per share	\$0.22	\$0.09

(1) Supplement pro forma information includes Tundra's financial results for the periods March 30, 2009 through June 28, 2009 and March 24, 2008 through September 28, 2008, respectively.

Note 6

Fair Value Measurement

Fair value measurement is the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing assets or liabilities. When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required or permitted to be recorded at fair value, the Company considers the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact.

Fair Value Hierarchy

The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value are as follows:

Level 1: Quoted market prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets at the measure date.

Level 2: Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Inputs reflect management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. The inputs are unobservable in the market and significant to the instrument's valuation.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 26, 2010:

(in thousands)	Fair Value at Reporting Date Using:			Total Balance
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Cash Equivalents and Short Term Investments:				
US government agencies securities	\$ 108,719	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 108,719
Money market funds	30,550	--	--	30,550
U.S. government treasuries	18,120	--	--	18,120
Corporate bonds	--	97,538	--	97,538
Corporate commercial paper	--	48,177	--	48,177
Bank deposits	--	5,430	--	5,430
Municipal bonds	--	202	--	202
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 157,389	\$ 151,347	\$ --	\$ 308,736
Liabilities:				
Fair value of contingent consideration	--	--	1,503	1,503
Total liabilities measured at fair value	\$--	\$ --	\$ 1,503	\$ 1,503

The following table summarizes the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 28, 2010:

(in thousands)	Fair Value at Reporting Date Using:			Total Balance
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Cash Equivalents and Short Term Investments:				
US government agencies securities	\$ 110,173	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 110,173
U.S. government treasuries	34,983	--	--	34,983
Money market funds	43,476	--	--	43,476
Corporate bonds	--	82,678	--	82,678
Corporate commercial paper	--	46,339	--	46,339
Bank deposits	--	3,685	--	3,685
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 188,632	\$ 132,702	\$ --	\$ 321,334

U.S. treasuries and U.S. government agency securities as of September 26, 2010 and March 28, 2010 do not include any U.S. government guaranteed bank issued paper. Corporate bonds include bank-issued securities that are guaranteed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

The securities in Level 1 are highly liquid and actively traded in exchange markets or over-the-counter markets. Level 2 fixed income securities are priced using quoted market prices for similar instruments or nonbinding market prices that are corroborated by observable market data.

Table of Contents

In connection with the acquisition of IKOR (see Note 5), a liability was recognized for the Company's estimate of the fair value of contingent consideration on the acquisition date based on probability-based forecasted revenue. This fair value measurement is based on significant inputs not observed in the market and thus represents a Level 3 measurement. This fair value measurement is valued based on unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and reflect the Company's own assumptions concerning future revenue of the acquired business in measuring fair value.

Cash equivalents are highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase. The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalents with reputable major financial institutions. Deposits with these banks may exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") insurance limits or similar limits in foreign jurisdictions. These deposits typically may be redeemed upon demand and, therefore, bear minimal risk. While the Company monitors daily the cash balances in its operating accounts and adjusts the balances as appropriate, these balances could be impacted if one or more of the financial institutions with which the Company deposits fails or is subject to other adverse conditions in the financial markets. As of September 26, 2010, the Company has not experienced any losses in its operating accounts.

All of the Company's available-for-sale investments are subject to a periodic impairment review. Investments are considered to be impaired when a decline in fair value is judged to be other-than-temporary. This determination requires significant judgment. For publicly traded investments, impairment is determined based upon the specific facts and circumstances present at the time, including a review of the closing price over the length of time, general market conditions and the Company's intent and ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for recovery. Although the Company believes its portfolio continues to be comprised of sound investments due to high credit ratings and government guarantees of the underlying investments, a further decline in the capital and financial markets would adversely impact the market values of its investments and their liquidity. The Company continually monitors the credit risk in its portfolio and future developments in the credit markets and makes appropriate changes to its investment policy as deemed necessary. The Company did not record any impairment loss related to its short-term investments in the three and six months ended September 26, 2010 and September 27, 2009.

Note 7

Investments

Available for Sale Securities

Available-for-sale investments at September 26, 2010 were as follows:

(in thousands)	Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
U.S. government treasuries and agency securities	\$126,771	\$69	\$(1)	\$126,839
Corporate bonds	97,322	241	(25)	97,538
Corporate commercial paper	48,176	1	--	48,177
Money market funds	30,550	--	--	30,550
Bank deposits	5,420	10	--	5,430
Municipal bonds	200	2	--	202
Total available-for-sale investments	308,439	323	(26)	308,736
Less amounts classified as cash equivalents	(44,074)	--	--	(44,074)
Short-term investments	\$264,365	\$323	\$(26)	\$264,662

Table of Contents

Available-for-sale investments at March 28, 2010 were as follows:

(in thousands)	Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
U.S. government treasuries and agency securities	\$145,074	\$84	\$(2)	\$145,156
Corporate bonds	82,447	266	(35)	82,678
Corporate commercial paper	46,339	--	--	46,339
Money market funds	43,476	--	--	43,476
Bank deposits	3,685	--	--	3,685
Total available-for-sale investments	321,021	350	(37)	321,334
Less amounts classified as cash equivalents	(98,671)	--	--	(98,671)
Short-term investments	\$222,350	\$350	\$(37)	\$222,663

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of available-for-sale debt securities at September 26, 2010, by contractual maturity, were as follows:

(in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Due in 1 year or less	\$277,095	\$277,260
Due in 1-2 years	27,938	28,030
Due in 2-5 years	3,406	3,446
Total investments in available-for-sale debt securities	\$308,439	\$308,736

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value of the Company's investments with unrealized losses as of September 26, 2010, aggregated by length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous loss position.

(in thousands)	Less than 12 months		12 months or Greater		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
Corporate bonds	\$26,838	\$(25)	\$--	\$--	\$26,838	\$(25)
U.S. government treasuries and agency securities	20,734	(1)	--	--	20,734	(1)
Commercial paper	1,500	--	--	--	1,500	--
Total	\$49,072	\$(26)	\$--	\$--	\$49,072	\$(26)

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value of the Company's investments with unrealized losses as of March 28, 2010, aggregated by length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous loss position.

(in thousands)	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or Greater		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
Corporate bonds	\$39,680	\$(35)	\$--	\$--	\$39,680	\$(35)
U.S. government agency securities	6,099	(2)	--	--	6,099	(2)
Total	\$45,779	\$(37)	\$--	\$--	\$45,779	\$(37)

Table of Contents

Currently, a significant portion of its available-for-sale investments that the Company holds are all high grade instruments. As of September 26, 2010, the unrealized losses on the Company's available-for-sale investments represented an insignificant amount in relation to its total available-for-sale portfolio. Because the Company has the ability to hold these investments until a recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, the Company did not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 26, 2010 and March 28, 2010.

Non-Marketable Equity Securities

The Company accounts for its equity investments in privately held companies under the cost method. These investments are subject to periodic impairment review and measured and recorded at fair value when they are deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired. In determining whether a decline in value of its investment has occurred and is other than temporary, an assessment was made by considering available evidence, including the general market conditions, the investee's financial condition, near-term prospects, market comparables and subsequent rounds of financing. The valuation also takes into account the investee's capital structure, liquidation preferences for its capital and other economic variables. The valuation methodology for determining the decline in value of non-marketable equity securities is based on inputs that require management judgment. The aggregate carrying value of the Company's non-marketable equity securities was approximately \$5.0 million and \$3.0 million, and was classified within other assets on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 26, 2010 and March 28, 2010. The Company did not recognize any impairment loss during the three and six months ended September 26, 2010 and September 27, 2009.

Note 8

Derivative Financial Instruments

As a result of its international operations, sales and purchase transactions, the Company is subject to risks associated with fluctuating currency exchange rates. The Company may use derivative financial instruments to hedge these risks when instruments are available and cost effective in an attempt to minimize the impact of currency exchange rate movements on its operating results and on the cost of capital equipment purchases. The Company may enter into hedges of forecasted transactions when the underlying transaction is highly probable and reasonably certain to occur within the subsequent twelve months. Examples of these exposures would include forecasted expenses of a foreign manufacturing plant, design center or sales office. The Company may additionally enter into a derivative to hedge the foreign currency risk of a capital equipment purchase if the capital equipment purchase order is executed and designated as a firm commitment.

The Company may also utilize currency forward contracts to hedge currency exchange rate fluctuations related to certain short term foreign currency assets and liabilities. As of September 26, 2010 and March 28, 2010, the Company did not have any outstanding foreign currency contracts that were designated as hedges of forecasted cash flows or capital equipment purchases. The Company does not enter into derivative financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes.

Gains and losses on these undesignated derivatives substantially offset gains and losses on the assets and liabilities being hedged and the net amount is included in interest income and other (expense), net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company did not have any outstanding foreign currency contracts that were designated as hedges of certain short term foreign currency assets and liabilities at the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2011. An immaterial amount of net gains and losses were included in interest income and other, net during the first six months of fiscal 2011 and 2010.

Besides foreign exchange rate exposure, the Company's cash and investment portfolios are subject to risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates. While the Company's policies allow for the use of derivative financial instruments

to hedge the fair values of such investments, the Company has yet to enter into this type of hedging arrangement.

Table of ContentsNote 9
Stock-Based Compensation

Compensation Expense

The following table summarizes stock-based compensation expense by line items appearing in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations:

(in thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Cost of revenue	\$381	\$994	\$890	\$1,620
Research and development	2,458	2,930	5,149	5,675
Selling, general and administrative	1,147	(6)	2,655	883
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$3,986	\$3,918	\$8,694	\$8,178

Stock-based compensation expense recognized in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations is based on awards ultimately expected to vest. The authoritative guidance for stock-based compensation requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. In the second quarter of fiscal 2010, the Company changed the way in which it determines and applies its forfeiture estimates, and as a result, reduced its compensation expense by \$1.5 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The change is not expected to have a significant impact on future periods' stock-based compensation expense. The Company attributes the value of stock-based compensation to expense on an accelerated method.

Valuation Assumptions

Assumptions used in the Black-Scholes valuation model and resulting weighted average grant-date fair values were as follows:

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Stock option plans:				
Expected Term	4.58 years	4.67 years	4.58 years	4.67 years
Risk-free interest rate	1.36 %	2.27 %	2.00 %	2.12 %
Volatility	42.5 %	42.3 %	41.7 %	45.8 %
Dividend Yield	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
Weighted average grant-date fair value	\$1.96	\$2.44	\$2.16	\$2.15
ESPP:				
Expected Term	0.25 years	0.25 years	0.25 years	0.25 years
Risk-free interest rate	0.2 %	0.2 %	0.2 %	0.2 %
Volatility	44.9 %	62.5 %	46.3 %	62.5 %
Dividend Yield	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
Weighted average fair value	\$1.28	\$1.65	\$1.39	\$1.65

Table of Contents

Equity Incentive Programs

The Company currently issues awards under two equity-based plans in order to provide additional incentive and retention to directors and employees who are considered to be essential to the long-range success of the Company. These plans are further described below.

2004 Equity Plan (2004 Plan)

In September 2004, the Company's stockholders approved the 2004 Plan. Under the 2004 Plan, 28,500,000 shares of common stock have been made available for issuance as stock options, restricted stock awards, stock appreciation rights, performance awards, restricted stock unit awards, and stock-based awards to employees, directors and consultants. On July 21, 2010, the Board of Directors of the Company approved an amendment to the Company's 2004 Plan to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance from 28,500,000 shares to 36,800,000 shares (an increase of 8,300,000 shares), provided, however, that the aggregate number of common shares available for issuance under the 2004 Plan is reduced by 1.74 shares for each common share delivered in settlement of any full value award, which are awards other than stock options and stock appreciation rights, that are granted under the 2004 Plan on or after September 23, 2010. On September 23, 2010, the stockholders of the Company approved the proposed amendment described above, which also includes certain other changes to the 2004 Plan, including an extension of the term of the 2004 Plan. The incremental of 8.3 million shares will be available for issuance upon registration with the SEC. The 2004 Plan allows for time-based and performance-based vesting for the awards. Options granted by the Company under the 2004 Plan generally expire seven years from the date of grant and generally vest over a four-year period from the date of grant, with one-quarter of the shares of common stock vesting on the one-year anniversary of the grant date and the remaining shares vesting monthly for the 36 months thereafter. The exercise price of the options granted by the Company under the 2004 Plan shall not be less than 100% of the fair market value for a common share subject to such option on the date the option is granted. Full value awards made under the 2004 Plan shall become vested over a period of not less than three years (or, if vesting is performance-based, over a period of not less than one year) following the date such award is made; provided, however, that full value awards that result in the issuance of an aggregate of up to 5% of common stock available under the 2004 Plan may be granted to any one or more participants without respect to such minimum vesting provisions. Restricted stock units available for grant by the Company under the 2004 Plan generally vest over a 48-month period from the grant date. Prior to vesting, participants holding restricted stock units do not have shareholder rights. Shares are issued on or as soon as administratively practicable following the vesting date of the restricted stock units and upon issuance, recordation and delivery, the participant will have all the rights of a shareholder of the Company with respect to voting such stock and receipt of dividends and distributions on such stock. As of September 26, 2010, there were 7.0 million shares available for future grant under the 2004 Plan.

The following table summarizes the Company's stock option activities for the six months ended September 26, 2010:

(in thousands, except per share data)	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Options outstanding as of March 28, 2010	22,290	\$ 9.72
Granted	2,697	5.77
Exercised	(24)	4.47
Canceled, forfeited or expired	(5,386)	11.92
Options outstanding as of September 26, 2010	19,577	\$ 8.57
Options exercisable at September 26, 2010	10,163	\$ 10.92

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the Company's restricted stock unit activities for the six months ended September 26, 2010:

(in thousands, except per share data)	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
RSU's outstanding as of March 28, 2010	1,874	\$8.04
Granted	1,229	5.77
Released	(491)	9.17
Forfeited	(158)	7.60
Outstanding at September 26, 2010	2,454	\$6.70

2009 ESPP

On June 18, 2009, the Board approved implementation of the 2009 Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("2009 ESPP") and authorized the reservation and issuance of up to 9,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock, subject to stockholder approval. On September 17, 2009, the Company's stockholders approved the plan at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The 2009 ESPP is intended to be implemented in successive quarterly purchase periods commencing on the first day of each fiscal quarter of the Company. In order to maintain its qualified status under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code, the 2009 ESPP imposes certain restrictions, including the limitation that no employee is permitted to participate in the 2009 ESPP if the rights of such employee to purchase common stock of the Company under the 2009 ESPP and all similar purchase plans of the Company or its subsidiaries would accrue at a rate which exceeds \$25,000 of the fair market value of such stock (determined at the time the right is granted) for each calendar year. During the six months ended September 26, 2010, the Company issued 1.2 million shares of common stock with a weighted-average purchase price of \$4.54 per share.

Note 10

Balance Sheet Detail

(in thousands)	Sept. 26, 2010	Mar. 28, 2010
Inventories		
Raw materials	\$5,126	\$3,903
Work-in-process	29,513	28,715
Finished goods	18,412	18,058
Total inventories	\$53,051	\$50,676

Table of Contents

Note 11

Deferred Income on Shipments to Distributors

Included in the caption “Deferred income on shipments to distributors” on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets are amounts related to shipments to certain distributors for which revenue is not recognized until the Company’s product has been sold by the distributor to an end customer. The components at September 26, 2010 and March 28, 2010 were as follows:

(in thousands)	Sept. 26, 2010	Mar. 28, 2010
Gross deferred revenue	\$ 22,633	\$ 22,008
Gross deferred costs	3,554	3,247
Deferred income on shipments to distributors	\$ 19,079	\$ 18,761

The gross deferred revenue represents the gross value of shipments to distributors at the list price billed to the distributor less any price protection credits provided to them in connection with reductions in list price while the products remain in their inventory. The amount ultimately recognized as revenue will be lower than this amount as a result of future price protection and ship from stock pricing credits which are issued in connection with the sell through of the Company’s products to end customers. Historically this amount has represented an average of approximately 25% of the list price billed to the customer. The gross deferred costs represent the standard costs, which approximate actual costs of products, the Company sells to the distributors. Although the Company monitors the levels and quality of inventory in the distribution channel, the experience is that product returned from these distributors are able to be sold to a different distributor or in a different region of the world. As such, inventory write-downs for product in the distribution channel have not been significant.

Note 12

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The changes in the carrying amounts of goodwill by segment for the three months ended September 26, 2010 were as follows:

(in thousands)	Communications	Computing and Consumer	Total
Balance as of March 28, 2010	\$ 74,673	\$28,401	\$103,074
Additions (1)	--	946	946
Balance as of June 27, 2010	74,673	29,347	104,020
Additions	--	--	--
Balance as of September 26, 2010	\$ 74,673	\$29,347	\$104,020

(1) the IKOR acquisition.

Table of Contents

Identified intangible asset balances are summarized as follows:

	September 26, 2010		
(in thousands)	Gross assets	Accumulated amortization	Net assets
Identified intangible assets:			
Existing technology	\$247,625	\$ (203,627)	\$43,998
Trademarks	3,421	(660)	2,761
Customer relationships	133,684	(123,231)	10,453
IPR&D	3,814	--	3,814
Total identified intangible assets	\$388,544	\$ (327,518)	\$61,026

	March 28, 2010		
(in thousands)	Gross Assets	Accumulated Amortization	Net Assets
Identified intangible assets:			
Existing technology	\$258,336	\$ (212,554)	\$45,782
Trademarks	12,271	(9,262)	3,009
Customer relationships	145,485	(132,848)	12,637
IPR&D	3,814	--	3,814
Total	\$419,906	\$ (354,664)	\$65,242

Amortization expense for identified intangibles is summarized below:

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
(in thousands)	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Existing technology	\$3,535	\$4,262	\$7,007	\$8,180
Trademarks	122	122	244	140
Customer relationships	1,320	1,723	2,632	3,004
Foundry & assembler relationships	--	1	--	3
Other	26	--	44	--
Total	\$5,003	\$6,108	\$9,927	\$11,327

Based on the identified intangible assets recorded at September 26, 2010, and assuming no subsequent additions to or impairment of the underlying assets, the remaining estimated amortization expense is expected to be as follows: (in thousands):

Fiscal year	Amount
Remainder of FY 2011	\$9,952
2012	15,583
2013	10,687
2014	8,236
2015	5,572
Thereafter	7,182
Total	\$57,212

Table of Contents

Note 13

Comprehensive Income

The components of comprehensive income were as follows:

(in thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Net income	\$20,224	\$60,540	\$30,637	\$46,419
Currency translation adjustments	858	300	322	987
Change in net unrealized gain (loss) on investment	91	(2)	(17)	125
Comprehensive income	\$21,173	\$60,838	\$30,942	\$47,531

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, were as follows:

(in thousands)	Sept. 26, 2010	Mar.28, 2010
Cumulative translation adjustments	\$1,055	\$733
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale investments	296	313
Total accumulated other comprehensive income	\$1,351	\$1,046

Note 14

Industry Segments

The Company's reportable segments include the following:

- Communications segment: includes high-performance timing products, Rapid I/O switching solutions, flow-control management devices, FIFOs, integrated communications processors, high-speed SRAM, military application (divested in the third quarter of fiscal 2010), digital logic, telecommunications and network search engines (divested in the second quarter of fiscal 2010).
- Computing and Consumer segment: includes timing products, PCI Express switching and bridging solutions, high-performance server memory interfaces, multi-port products, touch controller, signal integrity products, PC audio and video products.

The tables below provide information about these segments:

Revenues by segment

(in thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Communications	\$ 76,719	\$ 60,314	\$ 147,384	\$ 117,974
Computing and Consumer	90,188	79,190	177,796	137,484
Total	\$ 166,907	\$ 139,504	\$ 325,180	\$ 255,458

Table of Contents

Income (loss) by segment

(in thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Communications	\$35,338	\$18,627	\$63,643	\$36,573
Computing and Consumer	(4,357)	(6,130)	(8,883)	(21,199)
Amortization of intangible assets	(5,003)	(6,108)	(9,927)	(11,327)
Acquisition related costs and other	(432)	(353)	(1,139)	(3,946)
Gain on divestiture of the NWD assets	--	82,747	--	82,747
Fair market value adjustment to acquired inventory sold	(117)	(7,634)	(379)	(7,634)
Restructuring and related costs	(160)	(14,036)	(1,657)	(15,535)
Fabrication product transfer costs	(1,383)	(322)	(2,212)	(322)
Compensation expense - deferred compensation plan	(616)	(1,112)	(489)	(2,001)
Asset impairments	183	--	276	(2,002)
Stock-based compensation expense	(3,986)	(3,918)	(8,694)	(8,178)
Interest income and other, net	1,177	1,188	1,441	2,594
Income before income taxes	\$20,644	\$62,949	\$31,980	\$49,770

The Company does not allocate amortization of intangible assets, severance and retention costs, acquisition-related costs, stock-based compensation, interest income and other (expense), net, and interest expense to its segments. In addition, the Company does not allocate assets to its segments. The Company excludes these items consistent with the manner in which it internally evaluates its results of operations.

The Company's significant operations outside of the United States include manufacturing facility in Malaysia, design centers in the U.S., Canada and China, and sales subsidiaries in Japan, Asia Pacific and Europe. Revenues from unaffiliated customers by geographic area, based on the customers' shipment locations, were as follows:

(in thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Asia Pacific	\$107,951	\$94,419	\$215,159	\$169,711
Americas	24,878	23,785	48,062	46,382
Japan	19,049	12,118	33,124	21,604
Europe	15,029	9,182	28,835	17,761
Total consolidated revenues	\$166,907	\$139,504	\$325,180	\$255,458

The Company's property, plant and equipment are summarized below by geographic area:

(in thousands)	Sept. 26,	Mar. 28,
	2010	2010
United States	\$52,664	\$52,979
Canada	5,892	6,437
Malaysia	8,849	6,446
Singapore	--	1,233
All other countries	1,546	893
Total property, plant and equipment, net	\$68,951	\$67,988

Table of Contents

On February 1, 2010, the Company announced a plan to discontinue manufacturing operations in our Singapore facility and consolidate with our manufacturing operations in Malaysia. As a result, in the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company completed the transfer of all property, plant and equipment located in Singapore to Malaysia.

Note 15

Commitments and Contingencies

Guarantees

As of September 26, 2010, the Company's financial guarantees consisted of guarantees and standby letters of credit, which are primarily related to the Company's electrical utilities in Malaysia, utilization of non-country nationals in Malaysia and Singapore, consumption tax in Japan and value-added tax obligations in Singapore and Holland, and a workers' compensation plan in the United States. The maximum amount of potential future payments under these arrangements is approximately \$2.4 million.

Indemnification

During the normal course of business, the Company makes certain indemnifications and commitments under which it may be required to make payments in relation to certain transactions. In addition to indemnifications related to non-infringement of patents and intellectual property, other indemnifications include indemnification of the Company's directors and officers in connection with legal proceedings, indemnification of various lessors in connection with facility leases for certain claims arising from such facility or lease, and indemnification of other parties to certain acquisition agreements. The duration of these indemnifications and commitments varies, and in certain cases, is indefinite. The Company believes that substantially all of its indemnities and commitments provide for limitations on the maximum potential future payments the Company could be obligated to make. However, the Company is unable to estimate the maximum amount of liability related to its indemnities and commitments because such liabilities are contingent upon the occurrence of events which are not reasonably determinable. The Company believes that any liability for these indemnities and commitments would not be material to its accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

The Company maintains an accrual for obligations it incurs under its standard product warranty program and customer, part, or process specific matters. The Company's standard warranty period is one year, however in certain instances the warranty period may be extended to as long as two years. Management estimates the fair value of the Company's warranty liability based on actual past warranty claims experience, its policies regarding customer warranty returns and other estimates about the timing and disposition of product returned under the standard program. Customer, part, or process specific reserves are estimated using a specific identification method. Historical profit and loss impact related to warranty returns activity has been minimal. The total accrual was \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million as of September 26, 2010 and March 28, 2010, respectively.

Litigation

On October 24, 2006, the Company was served with a civil antitrust complaint filed by Reclaim Center, Inc., et. al. as plaintiffs in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against the Company and 37 other entities on behalf of a purported class of indirect purchasers of Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) products. The Complaint alleges that the Company and other defendants conspired to raise the prices of SRAM, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, the California Cartwright Act, and several other states' antitrust, unfair competition, and consumer protection statutes. Shortly thereafter, a number of other plaintiffs filed similar complaints on behalf of direct and indirect purchasers of SRAM products. Given the similarity of the complaints, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation transferred the cases to a single judge in the Northern District of California and consolidated

the cases for pretrial proceedings in February 2007. The consolidated cases are captioned In re Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) Antitrust Litigation. In August 2007, direct purchasers of SRAM products and indirect purchasers of SRAM products filed separate Consolidated Amended Complaints. The Company is

Table of Contents

not named as a defendant in either complaint. Pursuant to tolling agreements with the indirect and direct purchaser plaintiffs, the statute of limitations was tolled until January 10, 2009 as to potential claims against the Company. The tolling agreements have now expired and the statute of limitations is running on potential claims against the Company. Discovery has closed in both cases. The Company intends to vigorously defend itself against any claims that may be raised in this matter implicating the Company.

In April 2008, LSI Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary Agere Systems Inc. (collectively “LSI”) instituted an action in the United States International Trade Commission (ITC or “Commission”), naming the Company and several other respondents. The ITC action sought an exclusion order under section 337 of the Tariff Act to prevent importation into the U.S. of semiconductor integrated circuit devices and products made by methods alleged to infringe an LSI patent relating to tungsten metallization in semiconductor manufacturing. LSI also filed a companion case in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas seeking an injunction and damages of an unspecified amount relating to such alleged infringement. Some of the defendants in the action have since settled the claims against them. On March 22, 2010, the full ITC Commission issued its Notice of Decision indicating that it had found that the patent claims asserted by LSI were invalid and that there had been no violation of section 337 by the Company, and thereupon terminated its investigation. On May 14, 2010, LSI filed a Notice of Appeal in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (“CAFC”) for review of the Final Determination of the Commission. On July 13, 2010, the patent asserted by LSI in these actions expired. A motion to dismiss the LSI appeal, based on the expiration of the asserted patent, was filed by defendants on July 27, 2010, and is currently under consideration by the CAFC. The action in the U.S. District Court is stayed pending the outcome of the ITC action.

In December 2009, Ring Technology Enterprises of Texas, LLC (“Ring”) filed a complaint in the Eastern District of Texas against 30 defendants alleging infringement of a Ring patent related to memory access technology. Ring filed another, separate complaint in the Eastern District of Texas in March of 2010 claiming infringement of the same patent by 43 additional defendants. The Company was not named as a defendant in either complaint; however, a number of the defendants are purchasers of the Company’s Advanced Memory Buffer (“AMB”) products, which may be relevant to the claims made against defendants in the complaints by Ring. Certain of these defendants have requested that the Company indemnify and provide a defense under the terms of purchase for the Company’s AMB products. Based on a good-faith review of the claims by Ring, the Company initially agreed to provide a defense for some of these defendants. The Company reserved the right to withdraw its defense if, during the course of litigation it is determined that the Company has no liability for indemnification based on the claims made by Ring. On June 25, 2010, the Company entered into a Membership and License Agreement with RPX Corporation, a licensee of the patent asserted by Ring. Under the agreement, RPX has granted the Company a sublicense under the Ring Patent covering Company products and services. The Company has notified defendants that are IDT AMB customers of this development, and has withdrawn from providing a further defense in this litigation.

Note 16
Restructuring

The following table shows the provision of the restructuring charges and the liability remaining as of September 26, 2010:

(in thousands)	Cost of Goods Sold	Operating Expenses	Total
Balance as of March 28, 2010	\$7,064	\$2,367	\$9,431
Provision	737	398	1,135
Cash payments	(1,151)	(1,102)	(2,253)
Balance as of June 27, 2010	\$6,650	\$1,663	\$8,313
Provision	15	44	59

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED DEVICE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-Q

Cash payments	(969)	(718)	(1,687)
Balance as of September 26, 2010	\$5,696		\$989		\$6,685	

27

Table of Contents

As part of an effort to streamline operations with changing market conditions and to create a more efficient organization, the Company has undertaken restructuring actions, to reduce its workforce and consolidate facilities. The Company's restructuring expenses have been comprised primarily of: (i) severance and termination benefit costs related to the reduction of its workforce; and (ii) lease termination costs and costs associated with permanently vacating certain facilities.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company initiated a restructuring action intended to further adjust its skills mix to new strategic and product opportunities. The restructuring action included a reduction in headcount in its multiple divisions. As a result, the Company recorded restructuring expenses of \$0.5 million for severance payments, payments under federal, state and province notice statutes and retention and other benefits associated with this restructuring action. The Company completed this restructuring action in the second quarter of fiscal 2011.

In connection with the discontinuing manufacturing operations at our Singapore facility in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010, the Company exited its leased facility in Singapore in the first quarter of fiscal 2011. As a result, the Company recorded lease impairment charges of approximately \$0.8 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011, which represented the future rental payments under the agreements, reduced by an estimate of sublease incomes, and discounted to present value using an interest rate applicable to us. These charges were recorded as cost of goods sold. Since the initial restructuring, the Company has made lease payments of \$0.1 million. As of September 26, 2010, the remaining accrued lease liabilities were \$0.7 million. The Company expects to pay off the facility lease charges through the third quarter of fiscal 2013.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010, the Company initiated restructuring actions designed to improve operational efficiency. These actions included discontinuing manufacturing operations at our Singapore facility and a reduction in headcount in its Enterprise Computing division. In connection with those actions, the Company recorded a charge of \$2.6 million primarily representing severance, retention and other benefits related to the terminated employees. The Company completed these restructuring actions in the second quarter of fiscal 2011.

In connection with the divestitures in the third quarter of fiscal 2010, the Company exited certain leased facilities. As a result, the Company recorded lease impairment charges of approximately \$0.9 million, which represented the future rental payments under the agreements, reduced by an estimate of sublease incomes, and discounted to present value using an interest rate applicable to us. These charges were recorded as SG&A. Since the initial restructuring, the Company has made lease payments of \$0.5 million related to the vacated facilities. As of September 26, 2010, the remaining accrued lease liabilities were \$0.4 million. The Company expects to pay off the facility lease charges through the first quarter of fiscal 2013.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2010, the Company initiated a restructuring action following its acquisition of Tundra and an assessment of ongoing personnel needs in light of the acquisition. The restructuring action included a reduction of approximately 133 positions worldwide. In addition, the Company also impaired some facilities due to vacating certain locations. In addition, the Company impaired some facilities due to vacating certain locations. As a result, the Company recorded restructuring expenses of \$8.3 million for lease impairment charges, severance payments, payments under federal, state and province notice statutes and retention and other benefits associated with this restructuring action, of which \$8.1 million was related to severance, retention and other benefits to the terminated employees and \$0.2 million was for facilities impairment charges, which was recorded as SG&A expense. The Company completed this restructuring action in the second quarter of fiscal 2011. In addition, in connection with its plan to transition the manufacture of products to TSMC, the Company's management approved a plan to exit wafer production operations at its Oregon fabrication facility. As a result, the Company accrued restructuring expenses of \$4.8 million for severance payments and other benefits associated with this restructuring action in fiscal 2010. The Company expects to complete this restructuring action in the second quarter of fiscal 2012.

Table of Contents

During the second quarter of fiscal 2006, the Company completed the consolidation of its Northern California workforce into its San Jose headquarters and exited a leased facility in Salinas, California. The Company recorded lease impairment charges of approximately \$2.1 million, of which \$0.6 million was recorded as cost of revenues, \$0.9 million was recorded as R&D expense and \$0.6 million was recorded as SG&A expense of \$0.7 million. Since the initial restructuring, the Company has made lease payments of \$1.3 million related to the vacated facility in Salinas. As of September 26, 2010, the remaining accrued lease liabilities were \$0.8 million.

Note 17

Income Taxes

The Company recorded an income tax provision of approximately \$0.4 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, a decrease of \$2.0 million compared to \$2.4 million recorded in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The provision for income taxes in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 was attributable to estimated U.S. and state taxes and foreign income taxes. The provision for income taxes in the second quarter of fiscal 2010 was attributable to the discrete income tax provision of \$2.5 million for the sale of the NWD assets, estimated U.S. Federal and state taxes and estimated foreign income taxes.

As of September 26, 2010, the Company was subject to examination in the U.S. federal tax jurisdiction for the fiscal years beginning with 2004. In February 2009, the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) commenced a tax audit for fiscal years beginning 2006 through 2008. Currently, the Company believes that it is reasonably possible that the IRS audit and the audits in foreign jurisdictions may be resolved within the next twelve months or there will be an expiration of the statute of limitations. Although we believe our tax estimates are reasonable, the ultimate tax outcome may differ from the tax amounts recorded in our consolidated financial statements and may affect our income tax provision, net income, or cash flows in the period or periods for which such determination and settlement is made. The Company is unable to make a reasonable estimate as to when cash settlements, if any, with the relevant taxing authorities will occur.

As of September 26, 2010 and March 28, 2010, the Company was subject to examination in various state and foreign jurisdictions for tax years 2004 forward, none of which were individually material.

Note 18

Share Repurchase Program

On January 18, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors initiated a \$200 million share repurchase program. During fiscal 2008, the Company's Board of Directors approved a \$200 million expansion of the share repurchase program to a total \$400 million. In fiscal 2008 and 2007, the Company repurchased approximately 28.9 million and 1.6 million shares at an average price of \$11.60 per share and \$15.95 per share for a total purchase price of \$334.8 million and \$25.0 million, respectively. On April 30, 2008, the Company's Board of Directors approved an additional \$100 million expansion of the share repurchase program to a total \$500 million. In fiscal 2010 and 2009, the Company repurchased approximately 4.2 million and 8.4 million shares at an average price of \$5.83 per share and \$7.46 per share for a total purchase price of \$24.3 million and \$62.3 million. During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company repurchased approximately 4.2 million shares at an average price of \$5.78 per share for a total purchase price of \$24.2 million. On July 21, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors approved a new share repurchase plan to repurchase up to \$225 million of its common stock. During the second quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company repurchased approximately 5.8 million shares at an average price of \$5.45 per share for a total purchase price of \$31.4 million, of which \$5.8 million was under the old plan and \$25.6 million was under the new plan. The old share repurchase program was canceled upon the approval of new share repurchase program. As of September 26, 2010, approximately \$199.4 million was available for future purchase under the new share repurchase program. Share repurchases were recorded as treasury stock and resulted in a reduction of stockholders' equity.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements involve a number of risks and uncertainties. These include, but are not limited to: global business and economic conditions; operating results; new product introductions and sales; competitive conditions; capital expenditures and resources; manufacturing capacity utilization; customer demand and inventory levels; intellectual property matters; mergers and acquisitions and integration activities; and the risk factors set forth in Part II, Item 1A "Risk Factors" to this Report on Form 10-Q. As a result of these risks and uncertainties, actual results could differ from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements. Unless otherwise required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly revise these statements for future events or new information after the date of this Report on Form 10-Q.

This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying Notes included in this report and the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 28, 2010 filed with the SEC. Operating results for the three months and six months ended September 26, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of operating results for an entire fiscal year.

Forward-looking statements, which are generally identified by words such as "anticipates," "expects," "plans," and similar terms, include statements related to revenues and gross profit, research and development activities, selling, general and administrative expenses, intangible expenses, interest income and other, taxes, capital spending and financing transactions, as well as statements regarding successful development and market acceptance of new products, industry and overall economic conditions and demand, and capacity utilization.

Results of Operations

We design, develop, manufacture and market a broad range of high-performance, mixed-signal semiconductor solutions for the advanced communications, computing and consumer industries. This is achieved by developing detailed systems-level knowledge, and applying our fundamental semiconductor heritage in high speed serial interfaces, timing, switching and memory to create solutions to compelling technology problems faced by customers.

Our reportable segments include the following:

- § Communications segment: includes high-performance timing products, Rapid I/O switching solutions, flow-control management devices, FIFOs, multi-port products, integrated communications processors, high-speed SRAM, military application (divested in the third quarter of fiscal 2010), digital logic, telecommunications and network search engines (divested in the second quarter of fiscal 2010),
- § Computing and Consumer segment: includes timing products, PCI Express switching and bridging solutions, high-performance server memory interfaces, touch controller, signal integrity products, PC audio and video products.

Revenues

(in thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Communications	\$ 76,719	\$ 60,314	\$ 147,384	\$ 117,974

Edgar Filing: INTEGRATED DEVICE TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-Q

Computing and Consumer	90,188	79,190	177,796	137,484
Total	\$ 166,907	\$ 139,504	\$ 325,180	\$ 255,458

30

Table of Contents

Communications Segment

Revenues in our Communications segment increased \$16.4 million, or 27% in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2010 reflected the improvement in overall economic conditions and the ramp up of new products. Revenues from our communication timing and telecom products increased 43% due to increased demand for our timing products in the communications markets. Revenues from our SRAM, multi-port, FIFO, and digital logic products increased 30% due to the strength in the communication integrated circuit market and the Tundra acquisition in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The Tundra acquisition also contributed to the revenue growth in our flow control management products, as sales of our Rapid I/O switching solutions products continued to ramp. Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in sales of our networking search engine products and military products as a result of our divestiture of the networking (NWD) assets and military (MNC) business in the second quarter and third quarter of fiscal 2010, respectively.

Computing and Consumer Segment

Revenues in our Computing and Consumer segment increased \$11.0 million, or 14% in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2010 due to the increased demand for our consumer products and the improvement in overall economic conditions. Revenues within our analog group increased 7% as a result of increased end customer demand for systems using our personal computing and consumer products and the IKOR acquisition in the first quarter of fiscal 2011. Revenues within our Enterprise Computing division increased 10% primary due to the continued growth in our data double rate 3 (DDR3) and PCI Express products and the Tundra acquisition. The growth in our video and display business was driven by the ramp up of new products.

Revenues (first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to first six months of fiscal 2010). Our year-to-date revenues through the second quarter of fiscal 2011 were \$325.2 million, an increase of \$69.7million, or 27% when compared to the same period one year ago. The increase in revenues was primarily attributable to the factors discussed above,

Revenues in APAC, North America, Japan and Europe accounted for 65%, 11%, 15% and 9%, respectively, of our consolidated revenues in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to 68%, 17%, 9% and 6%, respectively, in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The Asia Pacific region continues to be our strongest region, as many of our largest customers utilize manufacturers in that region.

Included in the Balance Sheet caption "Deferred income on shipments to distributors" are amounts related to shipments to certain distributors for which revenue is not recognized until our product has been sold by the distributor to an end customer. The components as of September 26, 2010 and March 28, 2010 are as follows:

(in thousands)	Sept. 26, 2010	Mar. 28, 2010
Gross deferred revenue	\$ 22,633	\$ 22,008
Gross deferred costs	3,554	3,247
Deferred income on shipments to distributors	\$ 19,079	\$ 18,761

The gross deferred revenue represents the gross value of shipments to distributors at the list price billed to the distributor less any price protection credits provided to them in connection with reductions in list price while the products remain in their inventory. Based on our history, the amount ultimately recognized as revenue will be lower than this amount as a result of ship from stock pricing credits, which are issued in connection with the sell through of the product to an end customer. As the amount of price adjustments subsequent to shipment is dependent on the overall market conditions, the levels of these adjustments can fluctuate significantly from period to period. Historically, this amount has represented an average of approximately 25% of the list price billed to the customer. As these credits are issued, there is no impact to working capital as this reduces both accounts receivable and deferred

revenue. The gross deferred costs

31

Table of Contents

represent the standard costs (which approximate actual costs) of products we sell to the distributors. The deferred income on shipments to distributors increased \$0.3 million or 2% for the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010. The increase was primarily attributable to the increased shipments to distributors.

Gross Profit

(in thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Gross profit	\$90,294	\$51,131	\$172,460	\$98,296
Gross margin	54	% 37	% 53	% 38

Gross profit (the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2010). Gross profit for the second quarter of fiscal 2011 was \$90.3 million, an increase of \$39.2 million, or 77% compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The increase in gross profit was primarily driven by higher revenue. Our gross margin percentage was positively impacted by a favorable shift in the mix of products sold, a higher utilization of our fabrication facility and manufacturing cost reduction initiatives. The utilization of our manufacturing capacity in Oregon increased from approximately 77% of equipped capacity in the second quarter of fiscal 2010 to 100% of equipped capacity in the second quarter of fiscal 2011. In addition, gross margin in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 was positively impacted by cost savings from the closure of our test facility in Singapore and consolidated with our manufacturing operations in Malaysia. In the second quarter of fiscal 2011, severance and benefit costs decreased \$4.9 million primarily due to a reduction in force associated with our acquisition of Tundra and the restructuring action in our Oregon fabrication facility in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. Partially offsetting these decreases was an increase of \$0.6 million in variable compensation expense.

Gross Profit (first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2010). Our year to date gross profit through the second quarter of fiscal 2011 was \$172.5 million, an increase of \$74.2 million, or 75% compared to the same period one year ago. The increase in gross profit was primarily attributable to the factors discussed above, including higher utilization of our fabrication facility, a favorable shift in the mix of products sold and cost savings from the consolidation of our manufacturing operations in Malaysia. In addition, our gross profit for the first six months of fiscal 2010 was negatively impacted by an impairment charge related to a note receivable for the assets we sold to our subcontractor in fiscal 2007 and restructuring actions, whereas we had no such charges in the first six months of fiscal 2011. Our gross margin in the first six months of fiscal 2011 benefited from a \$1.2 million decrease in intangible asset amortization as the majority intangible assets from the ICS acquisition were fully amortized. Partially offsetting these decreases was \$1.1 million increase in variable compensation expense and \$1.2 million decrease in the benefit to gross profit from the sale of inventory previously written down.

Operating Expenses

The following table shows our operating expenses:

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Sept. 26, 2010	% of Net Revenues	Sept. 27, 2009	% of Net Revenues
Research and Development	\$43,986	26 %	\$41,455	30 %
Selling, General and Administrative	\$26,841	16 %	\$30,662	22 %

Table of Contents

Research and Development (“R&D”)

R&D (the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2010). R&D expenses increased \$2.5 million, or 6%, to \$44.0 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to the second quarter fiscal 2010 primarily due to \$2.8 million increase in variable compensation expense and \$0.9 million increase in other performance bonus expense associated with the acquisitions. Equipment expenses, product development and other outside services increased \$0.4 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, as we increased development efforts to bring products to market to meet customer requirements. Partially offsetting these increases were a \$1.4 million decrease in severance and retention expense and \$0.5 million decrease in stock based compensation expense.

R&D (the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2010). Our year to date R&D expenses through the second quarter of fiscal 2011 were \$87.7 million, an increase of \$10.0 million, or 13% compared to the same period one year ago. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$4.8 million increase in variable compensation expense. The salary and related costs and other performance bonus expense increased \$2.7 million and \$1.9 million as a result of acquisitions. Equipment expenses and other outside services increased \$2.3 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. Partially offsetting these increases were a \$1.2 million decrease in severance and retention expense, \$0.8 million decrease in gain in the participant portfolio of the executive deferred compensation plan and \$0.5 million decrease in stock based compensation expense.

Selling, general and administrative (“SG&A”)

SG&A (the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2010). SG&A expenses decreased \$3.8 million, or 13%, to \$26.8 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2010 due to \$5.3 million decrease in severance and retention expense as a result of the restructuring action associated with the Tundra acquisition in the second quarter of fiscal 2010, while we had no such action in the second quarter of fiscal 2011. The salary and related costs decreased \$1.0 million due to the lower headcounts in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2010. In addition, intangible assets amortization expense decreased \$0.4 million as the majority of intangible assets acquired from the ICS acquisition were fully amortized. Partially offsetting these decreases were a \$1.1 million increase in variable compensation expense. The stock based compensation expense increased \$1.2 million. Other increases in SG&A expense included a \$0.4 million increase in travel and entertainment costs and \$0.3 million in sales conference and trade shows.

SG&A (the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2010). Our year to date SG&A expenses through the second quarter of fiscal 2011 were \$54.2 million, a decrease of \$1.9 million, or 3% compared to the same period one year ago. The decrease was primarily attributable to a \$5.9 million decrease in severance and retention expense. The gain in the participant portfolio of the executive deferred compensation plan decreased \$0.6 million. In addition, outside service expense decreased \$2.3 million primarily related to reductions in legal, tax, accounting and outside consulting services. Partially offsetting these decreases were a \$2.0 million increase in variable compensation expense, a \$1.8 million increase in stock based compensation expense, a \$0.8 million increase in travel and entertainment costs and \$0.3 million increase in sales conference and trade shows. In addition, sales commission expense increased \$0.4 million due to revenue increase.

Restructuring

As part of an effort to streamline operations with changing market conditions and to create a more efficient organization, we have undertaken restructuring actions through September 26, 2010, to reduce our workforce and consolidate facilities. Our restructuring expenses have been comprised primarily of: (i) severance and termination benefit costs related to the reduction of our workforce; and (ii) lease termination costs and costs associated with permanently vacating certain facilities.

Table of Contents

In the first quarter of fiscal 2011, we initiated a restructuring action intended to further adjust our skills mix to new strategic and product opportunities. The restructuring action included a reduction in headcount in our multiple divisions. As a result, we recorded restructuring expenses of \$0.5 million for severance payments, payments under federal, state and province notice statutes and retention and other benefits associated with this restructuring action in the first quarter of fiscal 2011. We completed this restructuring action in the second quarter of fiscal 2011.

In connection with discontinuing manufacturing operations at our Singapore facility in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010, we exited our leased facility in Singapore in the first quarter of fiscal 2011. As a result, the Company recorded lease impairment charges of approximately \$0.8 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011, which represented the future rental payments under the lease agreements, reduced by an estimate of sublease incomes, and discounted to present value using an interest rate applicable to us. These charges were recorded as cost of goods sold. Since the initial restructuring, we have made lease payments of \$0.1 million. As of September 26, 2010, the remaining accrued lease liabilities were \$0.7 million. We expect to pay the facility lease charges through the third quarter of fiscal 2013.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010, we initiated restructuring actions designed to improve operational efficiency. These actions included discontinuing manufacturing operations at our Singapore facility and a reduction in headcount in our Enterprise Computing division. In connection with those actions, we recorded a charge of \$2.6 million primarily representing severance, retention and other benefits related to the terminated employees in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010 and the first six months of fiscal 2011. We completed this restructuring action in the second quarter of fiscal 2011.

In connection with the divestitures in the third quarter of fiscal 2010, we exited certain leased facilities. As a result, we recorded lease impairment charges of approximately \$0.9 million, which represented the future rental payments under the agreements, reduced by an estimate of sublease incomes, and discounted to present value using an interest rate applicable to us. These charges were recorded as SG&A. Since the initial restructuring, we have made lease payments of \$0.5 million related to the vacated facilities. As of September 26, 2010, the remaining accrued lease liabilities were \$0.4 million. We expect to pay off the facility lease charges through the first quarter of fiscal 2013.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we initiated a restructuring action following our acquisition of Tundra and an assessment of ongoing personnel needs in light of the acquisition. The restructuring action included a reduction of approximately 133 positions worldwide. In addition, we impaired some facilities due to vacating certain locations. As a result, we recorded restructuring expenses of \$8.3 million for lease impairment charges, severance payments, payments under federal, state and province notice statutes and retention and other benefits associated with this restructuring action, of which \$8.1 million was related to severance, retention and other benefits to the terminated employees and \$0.2 million was for facilities impairment charges, which was recorded as SG&A expense. We completed this restructuring action in the second quarter of fiscal 2011.

In addition, in connection with our plan to transition the manufacture of products to TSMC, our management approved a plan to exit wafer production operations at our Oregon fabrication facility. As a result, we accrued restructuring expenses of \$4.8 million for severance payments and other benefits associated with this restructuring action in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. We expect to complete this restructuring action in the second quarter of fiscal 2012.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2006, we completed the consolidation of our Northern California workforce into our San Jose headquarters and exited a leased facility in Salinas, California. We recorded lease impairment charges of approximately \$2.1 million, of which \$0.6 million was recorded as cost of revenues, \$0.9 million was recorded as R&D expense and \$0.6 million was recorded as SG&A expense. Since the initial restructuring, we have made lease payments of \$1.3 million related to the vacated facility in Salinas. As of September 26, 2010, the remaining accrued

lease liabilities were \$0.8 million.

Table of Contents

Interest Income and Other, Net

The components of interest income and other, net are summarized as follows:

(in thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009	Sept. 26, 2010	Sept. 27, 2009
Interest income	\$ 312	\$ 480	\$ 561	\$ 1,024
Other income, net	865	708	880	1,570
Interest income and other, net	\$ 1,177	\$ 1,188	\$ 1,441	\$ 2,594

Interest income decreased \$0.2 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2010, primarily attributable to lower interest rates. Other income, net increased \$0.2 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$0.4 million miscellaneous gain recorded in the second quarter of fiscal 2011. In addition, we recorded \$0.1 million gain on sale of our fixed assets in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, whereas in the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we recorded a loss of \$0.2 million. Partially offsetting this increase was a \$0.5 million decrease in gain on our investment portfolio of marketable equity securities related to our deferred compensation arrangements in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2010.

Interest income decreased \$0.5 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2010. The decrease is primarily attributable to less favorable interest rates. Other income, net decreased \$0.7 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011 as compared to the first six months of fiscal 2011. The decrease was primarily attributable to a \$1.5 million decrease in gain on our investment portfolio of marketable equity securities related to our deferred compensation arrangements in the first six month of fiscal 2011 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2010. Partially offsetting this decrease was a \$0.4 million miscellaneous gain in the first six months of fiscal 2011 and \$0.1 million gain on sale of our fixed assets in the first six months of fiscal 2011, whereas we recorded a \$0.1 million loss in the first six months of fiscal 2010.

Provision for Income Taxes

We recorded an income tax provision of \$0.4 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, a decrease of \$2.0 million, or 83% compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The income tax provision in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 was attributable to estimated U.S. and state taxes and foreign income taxes. The income tax provision in the second quarter of fiscal 2010 was attributable to the discrete income tax provision of \$2.5 million for the sale of the NWD assets, estimated U.S. Federal and state taxes and estimated foreign income taxes.

As of September 26, 2010, we continued to maintain a valuation allowance against our net U.S. deferred tax asset, as we could not conclude that it is more likely than not that we will be able to realize our U.S. deferred tax assets in the foreseeable future. We will continue to evaluate the release of the valuation allowance on a quarterly basis.

As of September 26, 2010, we were subject to examination in the U.S. federal tax jurisdiction for the fiscal years beginning with 2004. In February 2009, the IRS commenced a tax audit for fiscal years beginning 2006 through 2008. Although the final outcome is uncertain, based on currently available information, we believe that the ultimate outcome will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our cash and available for sale investments were \$339.1 million at September 26, 2010, a decrease of \$4.1 million compared to March 28, 2010. The decrease was primarily attributable to the repurchase of approximately \$55.5 million of common stock, net cash payments of \$8.0 million relating to the acquisition of IKOR including \$1.8 million held in escrow and \$9.5 million used to purchase capital equipment, partially offset by \$66.7 million cash from operations in the first six months of fiscal 2011. We had no outstanding debt at September 26, 2010 and March 28, 2010.

Cash equivalents are highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase. We maintain the cash and cash equivalents with reputable major financial institutions. Deposits with these banks may exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance limits or similar limits in foreign jurisdictions. These deposits typically may be redeemed upon demand and, therefore, bear minimal risk. In addition, a significant portion of cash equivalents is concentrated in money market funds which are invested in U.S. government treasuries only. While we monitor daily the cash balances in our operating accounts and adjust the balances as appropriate, these balances could be impacted if one or more of the financial institutions with which we deposit fails or is subject to other adverse conditions in the financial markets. As of September 26, 2010, we have not experienced any loss or lack of access to our invested cash or cash equivalents in our operating accounts. However, we can provide no assurances that access to our invested cash and cash equivalents will not be impacted by adverse conditions in the financial markets. See Part II, Item 1A-“Risk Factors: Global Market and Economic Conditions, including those related to the credit markets, may adversely affect our business and results of operations.”

In addition, as much of our revenues are generated outside the U.S., a significant portion of our cash and investment portfolio accumulates offshore. At September 26, 2010, we had cash, cash equivalents and investments of approximately \$249.1 million invested overseas in accounts belonging to various IDT foreign operating entities. While these amounts are primarily invested in U.S. dollars, a portion is held in foreign currencies, and all offshore balances are exposed to local political, banking, currency control and other risks. In addition, these amounts may be subject to tax and other transfer restrictions.

All of our available-for-sale investments are subject to a periodic impairment review. Investments are considered to be impaired when a decline in fair value is judged to be other-than-temporary. This determination requires significant judgment. For publicly traded investments, impairment is determined based upon the specific facts and circumstances present at the time, including a review of the closing price over the length of time, general market conditions and our intent and ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for recovery. Although we believe the portfolio continues to be comprised of sound investments due to high credit ratings and government guarantees of the underlying investments, a further decline in the capital and financial markets would adversely impact the market values of its investments and their liquidity. We continually monitor the credit risk in our portfolio and future developments in the credit markets and make appropriate changes to our investment policy as deemed necessary. We did not record any other-than-temporary impairment charges related to our short-term investments in the first six months of fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2010.

We recorded a net income of \$30.6 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to a net income of \$46.4 million in the first six months of fiscal 2010. Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$36.7 million to \$66.7 million for the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to \$30.0 million for the first six months of fiscal 2010. A summary of the non-cash items included in net income that changed significantly year over year are as follows:

- We recorded an \$82.7 million gain in connection with the sale of our NWD assets in the first six months of fiscal 2010. We recorded no such gain in the first six months of fiscal 2011.

- Depreciation expense was \$9.1 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to \$12.0 million in the first six months of fiscal 2010. The decrease was primarily attributable to a large

Table of Contents

- portion of our manufacturing equipment being fully depreciated and our continuous efforts to control capital asset purchases.
- Amortization of intangible assets was \$9.9 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to \$11.3 million in the same period one year ago. The decrease was due to a large portion of intangible assets related to the ICS acquisition being fully amortized.
- In the first six months of fiscal 2010, we recorded a \$2.0 million impairment charge related to a note receivable from one of our subcontractors in connection with the sale of equipment in fiscal 2007. We did not record such charges in the first six months of fiscal 2011.

Net cash provided by working capital related items decreased \$24.4 million, from a net \$32.7 million provided by cash in the first six months of fiscal 2010 to a net \$8.3 million cash provided in the first six months of fiscal 2011. A summary of significant working capital items used relatively more cash in the first six months of fiscal 2011 included:

- An increase in accounts receivable of \$4.5 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to an increase of \$3.7 million in the first six months of fiscal 2010. The increases in both periods were primarily attributable to the increased revenue and the timing of shipments.
- An increase in inventory of \$1.4 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to a decrease of \$17.5 million in the first six months of fiscal 2010. The changes in both periods were primarily attributable to our efforts to align our inventory levels to meet current demand.
- A decrease in accounts payable of \$1.0 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to an increase of \$8.1 million in the first six months of fiscal 2010. The decrease in the first six months of fiscal 2011 was primarily attributable to the timing of payments. The increase in the first six months of fiscal 2010 was primarily attributable to the increase in the volume of foundry and subcontractor activity and timing of payments.
- A decrease in other accrued liabilities and long-term liabilities of \$3.1 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to an increase of \$7.4 million in the first six months of fiscal 2010. The decrease in the first six months of fiscal 2011 was primarily attributable to a decrease in accruals related to our restructuring actions, decreases in supplier obligations and miscellaneous bonus payable due to payments made in the first six months of fiscal 2011. The increase in the first six months of fiscal 2010 was primarily attributable to the increase in accruals related to our restructuring actions, an accrual for the fair value of the supply agreement signed in connection with divestiture of our NWD assets and an increase in the fair value of our executive deferred compensation plan due to stock market performance improvement in the first six months of fiscal 2010.
- An increase in income taxes payable of \$0.9 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to an increase of \$3.6 million in the first six months of fiscal 2010. The increase in the first six months of fiscal 2011 was primarily attributable to the increase in tax provision. The increase in the first six months of fiscal 2010 was attributable to the discrete income tax provision of \$2.5 million related to the sale of the NWD assets.

The factors listed above were partially offset by other working capital items that used relatively more cash in the first six months of fiscal 2011:

- A decrease in prepayments and other assets of \$7.0 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to a decrease of \$3.1 million in the first six months of fiscal 2010. The decrease in the first six months of fiscal 2011 was primarily attributable to the normal recurring prepaid

Table of Contents

- amortization, partially offset by additional software maintenance and license agreements signed and paid during the quarter. The decrease in the first six months of fiscal 2010 was primarily attributable to \$1.1 million reduction in the receivable from one of our foundries related to defective products, a \$0.3 million VAT refund from a foreign government and normal recurring prepaid amortization, partially offset by additional software maintenance agreements signed and paid during the quarter.
- An increase in accrued compensation of \$10.0 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to a decrease of \$1.2 million in the first six months of fiscal 2010. The increase in accrued compensation in the first six months of fiscal 2011 was primarily attributable to an accrual related to variable compensation expense as we implemented our new annual incentive plan in the beginning of the first quarter of fiscal 2011 and an increase in other employee bonus accrual as a result of our acquisitions. The decrease in accrued compensation in the first six months of fiscal 2010 was due to the suspension of our old performance bonus program as a result of our cost control efforts.
- An increase in deferred income on shipments to distributors of \$0.3 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to a decrease of \$1.9 million in the first six months of fiscal 2010. The increase in deferred income on shipments to distributors in the first six months of fiscal 2011 was attributable to the increased shipments to distributors. The decrease in deferred income on shipments to distributors in the first six months of 2010 was attributable to our distributors adjusting their inventory levels in light of challenges in the end markets.

Net cash used by investing activities was \$63.0 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011, compared to net cash provided by investing activities of \$7.1 million in the first six months of fiscal 2010. In the first six months of fiscal 2011, net cash used to purchase short-term investments and non-marketable securities were \$249.4 million, partially offset by cash proceeds from sale and maturity of short-term investments of \$190.6 million. In addition, in the first six months of fiscal 2011, we paid approximately \$8.0 million for the IKOR acquisition including \$1.8 million held in escrow for contingent consideration and used \$9.5 million to purchase capital equipment. In the first six months of fiscal 2010, net cash proceeds from the divestiture of NWD assets was \$100.0 million and sale and maturity of short-term investments were \$122.8 million, partially offset by cash used to purchase short-term investments of \$145.0 million. In addition, we paid approximately \$58.2 million and \$6.0 million, net of cash acquired, in conjunction with the acquisitions of Tundra and Leadis. We used \$6.3 million to purchase capital equipment.

Net cash used by financing activities was \$50.1 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011, compared to net cash provided for financing activities of \$2.2 million in the first six months of fiscal 2010, primarily due to the absence of repurchases of common stock during the first six months of fiscal 2010. In the first six months of fiscal 2011, we repurchased approximately \$55.5 million of common stock, partially offset by proceeds of approximately \$5.4 million from the exercise of employee stock options and the issuance of stock under our employee stock purchase plan. In the first six months of fiscal 2010, we received approximately \$2.2 million from the exercise of employee stock options and issuance of stock under our employee stock purchase plan.

We anticipate capital expenditures of approximately \$23 million during fiscal 2011 to be financed through cash generated from operations and existing cash and investments. This estimate includes \$9.5 million in capital expenditures in the first six months of fiscal 2011.

We believe that existing cash and investment balances, together with cash flows from operations, will be sufficient to meet our working capital and capital expenditure needs for at least the next twelve months.

Table of Contents

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 26, 2010, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined under SEC Regulation S-K Item 303(a)(4)(ii).

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

For a description of recent accounting pronouncements, including the expected dates of adoption and estimated effects, if any, on our consolidated condensed financial statements, see “Note 3 Recent Accounting Pronouncements” in the Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our interest rate risk relates primarily to our short-term investments of \$264.7 million as of September 26, 2010. By policy, we limit our exposure to long-term investments and mitigate the credit risk through diversification and adherence to a policy requiring the purchase of highly rated securities. As of September 26, 2010, the Company’s cash, cash equivalents and investment portfolio was concentrated in securities with same day liquidity and at the end of fiscal 2010, a substantial majority of securities in our investment portfolio had maturities of less than two years. Although a hypothetical 10% change in interest rates could have a material effect on the value of our investment portfolio at a given time, we normally hold these investments until maturity, which results in no realized impact on results of operations or cash flows. We do not currently use derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio.

At September 26, 2010, we had no outstanding debt.

We are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk as a result of international sales, assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries, local operating expenses of our foreign entities and capital purchases denominated in foreign currencies. We may use derivative financial instruments to help manage our foreign currency exchange exposures. We do not enter into derivatives for speculative or trading purposes. We performed a sensitivity analysis as of September 26, 2010 and determined that, without hedging the exposure, a 10% change in the value of the U.S. dollar would result in an approximate 0.3% impact on gross profit margin percentage, as we operate manufacturing facilities in Malaysia and Singapore, and an approximate 0.8% impact to operating expenses (as a percentage of revenue) as we operate sales offices in Japan and throughout Europe and design centers in the U.S., China and Canada. At September 26, 2010 we did not have any outstanding foreign exchange contract.

We did not have any currency exposure related to any outstanding capital purchases as of September 26, 2010.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognizes that any

disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures.

Table of Contents

At September 26, 2010, the end of the quarter covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the Company's Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level. There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonable likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On October 24, 2006, we were served with a civil antitrust complaint filed by Reclaim Center, Inc., et. al. as plaintiffs in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against us and 37 other entities on behalf of a purported class of indirect purchasers of Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) products. The Complaint alleges that IDT and other defendants conspired to raise the prices of SRAM, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, the California Cartwright Act, and several other states' antitrust, unfair competition, and consumer protection statutes. Shortly thereafter, a number of other plaintiffs filed similar complaints on behalf of direct and indirect purchasers of SRAM products. Given the similarity of the complaints, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation transferred the cases to a single judge in the Northern District of California and consolidated the cases for pretrial proceedings in February 2007. The consolidated cases are captioned In re Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) Antitrust Litigation. In August 2007, direct purchasers of SRAM products and indirect purchasers of SRAM products filed separate Consolidated Amended Complaints. We were not named as a defendant in either complaint. Pursuant to tolling agreements with the indirect and direct purchaser plaintiffs, the statute of limitations was tolled until January 10, 2009 as to potential claims against us. The tolling agreements have now expired and the statute of limitations is running on potential claims against us. Discovery has closed in both cases. We intend to vigorously defend ourselves against any claims that may be raised in this matter implicating us.

In April 2008, LSI Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary Agere Systems Inc. (collectively "LSI") instituted an action in the United States International Trade Commission (ITC or "Commission"), naming IDT and several other respondents. The ITC action sought an exclusion order under section 337 of the Tariff Act to prevent importation into the U.S. of semiconductor integrated circuit devices and products made by methods alleged to infringe an LSI patent relating to tungsten metallization in semiconductor manufacturing. LSI also filed a companion case in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas seeking an injunction and damages of an unspecified amount relating to such alleged infringement. Some of the defendants in the action have since settled the claims against them. On March 22, 2010, the full ITC Commission issued its Notice of Decision indicating that it had found that the patent claims asserted by LSI were invalid and that there had been no violation of section 337 by us, and thereupon terminated its investigation. On May 14, 2010, LSI filed a Notice of Appeal in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ("CAFC") for review of the Final Determination of the Commission. On July 13, 2010, the patent asserted by LSI in these actions expired. A motion to dismiss the LSI appeal, based on the expiration of the asserted patent, was filed by defendants on July 27, 2010, and is currently under consideration by the CAFC. The action in the U.S. District Court is stayed pending the outcome of the ITC action.

In December 2009, Ring Technology Enterprises of Texas, LLC ("Ring") filed a complaint in the Eastern District of Texas against 30 defendants alleging infringement of a Ring patent related to memory access technology. Ring filed another, separate complaint in the Eastern District of Texas in March of 2010 claiming infringement of the same patent by 43 additional defendants. We were not named as a defendant in either complaint; however, a number of the defendants are purchasers of the Company's Advanced

Table of Contents

Memory Buffer (“AMB”) products, which may be relevant to the claims made against defendants in the complaints by Ring. Certain of these defendants have requested that we indemnify and provide a defense under the terms of purchase for the Company’s AMB products. Based on a good-faith review of the claims by Ring, we initially agreed to provide a defense for some of these defendants. We reserved the right to withdraw its defense if, during the course of litigation it is determined that the Company has no liability for indemnification based on the claims made by Ring. On June 25, 2010, we entered into a Membership and License Agreement with RPX Corporation, a licensee of the patent asserted by Ring. Under the agreement, RPX has granted us a sublicense under the Ring Patent covering Company products and services. We have notified defendants that are IDT AMB customers of this development, and have withdrawn from providing a further defense in this litigation.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below and all information contained in this report before you decide to purchase our common stock. If any of the possible adverse events described below actually occurs, we may be unable to conduct our business as currently planned and our financial condition and operating results could be harmed. In addition, the trading price of our common stock could decline due to the occurrence of any of these risks, and you may lose all or part of your investment. The risks described below are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks not currently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial may also impair our business operations, results, and financial condition.

Our operating results can fluctuate dramatically. Our operating results have fluctuated in the past and are likely to vary in the future. For example, we recorded net income of \$40.0 million in fiscal 2010, a net loss of \$1,045.2 million in fiscal 2009 and net income of \$34.2 million in fiscal 2008. Fluctuations in operating results can result from a wide variety of factors, including:

- Global market and economic conditions, including those related to the credit markets, may adversely affect our business and results of operations;
 - Changes in the demand for and mix of products sold and in the markets we and our customers serve;
 - The cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry;
 - The availability of industry-wide wafer processing capacity;
- The availability of industry-wide and package specific assembly subcontract capacity and related raw materials;
 - Competitive pricing pressures;
- The success and timing of new product and process technology announcements and introductions from us or our competitors;
 - Potential loss of market share among a concentrated group of customers;
 - Difficulty in attracting and retaining key personnel;
 - Difficulty in predicting customer product requirements;
 - Production difficulties and interruptions caused by our complex manufacturing and logistics operations;
 - Difficulty in managing fixed costs of our manufacturing capability in the face of changes in demand;
- Reduced control over our manufacturing and product delivery as a result of our increasing reliance on subcontractors, foundry and other manufacturing services;
 - Costs and other issues relating to future acquisitions;
 - Availability and costs of raw materials from a limited number of suppliers;
 - Political and economic conditions in various geographic areas;
 - Costs associated with other events, such as intellectual property disputes or other litigation; and
 - Legislative, tax, accounting, or regulatory changes or changes in their interpretation.

Table of Contents

Global Market and Economic Conditions, including those related to the credit markets, may adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Adverse changes in global financial markets and rapidly deteriorating business conditions in the world's developed economies in late 2008 and the first half of calendar year 2009 resulted in a significant global economic recession. Concerns about the impact of high energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of credit, the U.S. mortgage market, a declining real estate market in the U.S. and added concerns fueled by federal government interventions in the U.S. financial and credit markets contributed to instability in both U.S. and international capital and credit markets, reduced corporate profits and capital spending, weakened demand and diminished expectations for the U.S. and global economy. These conditions, and the resulting low business and consumer confidence and high unemployment have contributed to substantial volatility in global capital markets and uncertain demand for our products throughout fiscal 2010. It is difficult for our customers, our vendors and us to accurately forecast and plan future business activities in this economic environment.

As a result of these market and business conditions, the cost and availability of capital and credit have been and may continue to be adversely affected by illiquid credit markets and wider credit spreads. If these market conditions continue, they may limit our ability, and the ability of our customers, to timely borrow and access the capital and credit markets to meet liquidity needs, resulting in an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Poor credit market conditions and the contraction of global economic activity may have an adverse effect on the financial condition of our customers or suppliers. Should one or more of our major customers become financially constrained, or insolvent, our revenues and results of operations may be adversely affected.

The economic slowdown resulted in reduced customer spending for semiconductors and weakened demand for our products which had a negative impact on our revenue, gross profit, results of operations and cash flows during fiscal 2010. Although business conditions improved during the second half of fiscal 2010, credit markets continue to be volatile and continued improvement in global economic activity is uncertain. The rate of economic growth in the United States as measured by gross domestic product (GDP) declined significantly in the second calendar quarter of 2010. Order rates from our customers declined in the quarter ended September 26, 2010 which is an indicator of lower demand for our products. Should the rate of global economic growth falter, customer demand for our products may continue to decline which is likely to have a negative impact on our revenue, gross profit, results of operations and cash flows. Reduced customer spending and weakened demand may drive the semiconductor industry to reduce product pricing, which would also have a negative impact on revenue, gross profit and results of operations. In addition, the semiconductor industry has traditionally been highly cyclical and has often experienced significant downturns in connection with, or in anticipation of, deterioration in general economic conditions and we cannot accurately predict how severe and prolonged any downturn might be.

The cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry exacerbates the volatility of our operating results.

The semiconductor industry is highly cyclical. The semiconductor industry has experienced significant downturns, often in connection with product cycles of both semiconductor companies and their customers, but also related to declines in general economic conditions. These downturns have been characterized by volatile customer demand, high inventory levels and accelerated erosion of average selling prices. Any future downturns could significantly impact our business from one period to the next relative to demand and resulting selling price declines. In addition, the semiconductor industry has experienced periods of increased demand, during which we may experience internal and external manufacturing constraints. We may experience substantial changes in future operating results due to the cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry.

Demand for our products depends primarily on demand in the communications, enterprise computing, personal computer (PC), and consumer markets which can be significantly impacted by concerns over macroeconomic issues.

Table of Contents

Our product portfolio consists predominantly of semiconductor solutions for the communications, computing, and consumer markets. Our strategy and resources will be directed at the development, production and marketing of products for these markets. The markets for our products will depend on continued and growing demand for communications equipment, servers, PCs and consumer electronics. These end-user markets may experience changes in demand that could adversely affect our business and could be greater in periods of economic uncertainty and contraction. To the extent demand for our products or markets for our products do not grow, our business could be adversely affected.

We build most of our products based on estimated demand forecasts.

Demand for our products can change rapidly and without advance notice. Demand can also be affected by changes in our customers' levels of inventory and differences in the timing and pattern of orders from their end customers. A large percentage of our revenue in the Asia Pacific region is recognized upon shipment to our distributors. Consequently, we have less visibility over both inventory levels at our distributors and end customer demand for our products. Further, the distributors have assumed more risk associated with changes in end demand for our products. Accordingly, significant changes in end demand in the semiconductor business in general, or for our products in particular, may be difficult for us to detect or otherwise measure, which could cause us to incorrectly forecast end-market demand for our products. If we are not able to accurately forecast end demand for our products, we may be left with large amounts of unsold products, may not be able to fill all actual orders, and may not be able to efficiently utilize our existing manufacturing capacity or make optimal investment and other business decisions. As a result, we may end up with excess and obsolete inventory or we may be unable to meet customer short-term demands, either of which could have an adverse impact on our operating results.

If we are unable to execute our business strategy, our revenues and profitability may be adversely affected.

Our future financial performance and success are largely dependent on our ability to execute our business strategy successfully. Our present business strategy to be a leading provider of essential mixed signal semiconductor solutions [that enrich the digital media experience] includes, without limitation, plans to: (1) our ability to continue to aggressively manage, maintain and refine our product portfolio including focus on the development and growth of new applications; (2) our ability to continue to maintain existing customers, aggressively pursue and win new customers; (3) our ability to successfully develop, manufacture and market new products in a timely manner; (4) develop new products in a more efficient manner; (5) sufficient differentiation and enhancement of our products; (5) successful deployment of R&D investment in area of displays, silicon timing, power management, signal integrity and radio frequency; (6) our ability to rationalize our manufacturing operations including consolidation of manufacturing operations in lower cost regions.

We cannot ensure you that we will successfully implement our business strategy or that implementing our strategy will sustain or improve our results of operations. In particular, we cannot assure you that we will be able to build our position in markets with high growth potential, increase our volume or revenue, rationalize our manufacturing operations or reduce our costs and expenses.

Our business strategy is based on our assumptions about the future demand for our current products and the new products and applications that we are developing and on our ability to produce our products profitably. Each of these factors depends on one or more of the risk factors set forth in this report. Several of risks that could affect our ability to implement our business strategy are beyond our control. In addition, circumstances beyond our control and changes in our business or industry may require us to change our business strategy.

Table of Contents

Our results are dependent on the success of new products.

The markets we serve are characterized by competition, rapid technological change, evolving standards, short product life cycles and continuous erosion of average selling prices. Consequently, our future success will be highly dependent upon our ability to continually develop new products using the latest and most cost-effective technologies, introduce our products in commercial quantities to the marketplace ahead of the competition and have our products selected for inclusion in leading system manufacturers' products. In addition, the development of new products will continue to require significant R&D expenditures. If we are unable to successfully develop, produce and market new products in a timely manner, have our products available in commercial quantities ahead of competitive products or have our products selected for inclusion in products of systems manufacturers and sell them at gross margins comparable to or better than our current products, our future results of operations could be adversely impacted. In addition, our future revenue growth is also partially dependent on our ability to penetrate new markets in which we have limited experience and where competitors are already entrenched. Even if we are able to develop, produce and successfully market new products in a timely manner, such new products may not achieve market acceptance.

We are dependent on a concentrated group of customers for a significant part of our revenues.

A large portion of our revenues depends on sales to a limited number of customers. If these relationships were to diminish, or if these customers were to develop their own solutions or adopt a competitor's solution instead of buying our products, our results could be adversely affected. For example, any diminished relationship with one or more of our key customers could adversely affect our results.

Many of our end-customer OEMs have outsourced their manufacturing to a concentrated group of global EMSs and original design manufacturers ("ODMs") who then buy product directly from us or from our distributors on behalf of the OEM. These EMSs and ODMs have achieved greater autonomy in the design win, product qualification and product purchasing decisions, especially for commodity products. Competition for the business of these EMSs and ODMs is intense and there is no assurance we can remain competitive and retain our existing market share with these customers. If these companies were to allocate a higher share of commodity or second-source business to our competitors instead of buying our products, our results would be adversely affected. Furthermore, as EMSs and ODMs have represented a growing percentage of our overall business, our concentration of credit and other business risks with these customers has increased. Competition among global EMSs and ODMs is intense as they operate on extremely thin margins. If any one or more of these global EMSs or ODMs were to file for bankruptcy or otherwise experience significantly adverse financial conditions, our business would be adversely impacted as well.

Finally, we utilize a relatively small number of global and regional distributors around the world, who buy product directly from us on behalf of their customers. For example, one family of distributors, Maxtek and its affiliates, represented approximately 20% of our total revenues for the six months of fiscal 2011 and represented approximately 25% of our gross accounts receivable as of September 26, 2010. If our business relationships were to diminish or any of these global distributors were to file for bankruptcy or otherwise experience significantly adverse financial conditions, our business could be adversely impacted. Because we continue to be dependent upon continued revenue from a small group of OEM end customers, global and regional distributors, any material delay, cancellation or reduction of orders from or loss of these or other major customers could cause our sales to decline significantly, and we may not be able to reduce the accompanying expenses at the same rate.

We are reliant upon subcontractors and third-party foundries.

Beginning in fiscal 2008, we do not perform assembly services in-house and are now totally dependent on subcontractors for assembly operations. We are also dependent on third-party outside foundries for the manufacture

of a portion of our silicon wafers. Our increased reliance on subcontractors and third-party

Table of Contents

foundries for our current products increases certain risks because we will have less control over manufacturing quality and delivery schedules, maintenance of sufficient capacity to meet our orders and generally, maintaining the manufacturing processes we require. We expect our use of subcontractors and third-party foundries to continue to increase. Due to production lead times and potential capacity constraints, any failure on our part to adequately forecast the mix of product demand and resulting foundry and subcontractor requirements could adversely affect our operating results. In addition, we cannot be certain that these foundries and subcontractors will continue to manufacture, assemble, package and test products for us on acceptable economic and quality terms, or at all, and it may be difficult for us to find alternatives in a timely and cost-effective manner if they do not do so.

We are dependent on a limited number of suppliers.

Our manufacturing operations depend upon obtaining adequate raw materials on a timely basis. The number of suppliers of certain raw materials, such as silicon wafers, ultra-pure metals and certain chemicals and gases needed for our products, is very limited. In addition, certain packages for our products require long lead times and are available from only a few suppliers. From time to time, suppliers have extended lead times or limited supply to us due to capacity constraints. Our results of operations would be materially and adversely affected if we were unable to obtain adequate supplies of raw materials in a timely manner or if there were significant increases in the costs of raw materials, or if foundry or assembly subcontractor capacity was not available, or was only available at uncompetitive prices.

We have made and may continue to make acquisitions and divestitures which could divert management's attention, cause ownership dilution to our stockholders, be difficult to integrate and/or adversely affect our financial results.

Acquisitions and divestitures are commonplace in the semiconductor industry and we have and may continue to acquire or divest businesses or technologies. Integrating newly acquired businesses or technologies could put a strain on our resources, could be costly and time consuming, and might not be successful. Acquisitions or divestitures could divert our management's attention from other business concerns. In addition, we might lose key employees while integrating new organizations. Acquisitions and divestitures could also result in customer dissatisfaction, performance problems with an acquired company or technology, dilutive or potentially dilutive issuances of equity securities, the incurrence of debt, the assumption or incurrence of contingent liabilities, or other unanticipated events or circumstances, any of which could harm our business. Consequently, we might not be successful in integrating any acquired businesses, products or technologies, and might not achieve anticipated revenues and cost benefits.

Intellectual property claims against and /or on behalf of the Company could adversely affect our business and operations.

The semiconductor industry is characterized by vigorous protection and pursuit of intellectual property rights, which has resulted in significant and often protracted and expensive litigation. We have been involved with patent litigation and asserted intellectual property claims in the past, both as a plaintiff and a defendant, some of which have adversely affected our operating results. Although we have obtained patent licenses from certain semiconductor manufacturers, we do not have licenses from a number of semiconductor manufacturers that have broad patent portfolios. Claims alleging infringement of intellectual property rights have been asserted against us in the past and could be asserted against us in the future. These claims could result in our having to discontinue the use of certain processes; license certain technologies; cease the manufacture, use and sale of infringing products; incur significant litigation costs and damages; and develop non-infringing technology. We might not be able to obtain such licenses on acceptable terms or develop non-infringing technology. Further, the failure to renew or renegotiate existing licenses on favorable terms, or the inability to obtain a key license, could materially and adversely affect our business. Future litigation, either as a plaintiff or a defendant, could adversely affect our operating results, as a result of increased expenses, the cost of settled claims, and/or payment of damages.

Table of Contents

Our product manufacturing operations are complex and subject to interruption.

From time to time, we have experienced production difficulties, including lower manufacturing yields or products that do not meet our or our customers' specifications, which has resulted in delivery delays, quality problems and lost revenue opportunities. While delivery delays have been infrequent and generally short in duration, we could experience manufacturing problems, capacity constraints and/or product delivery delays in the future as a result of, among other things, the complexity of our manufacturing processes, changes to our process technologies (including transfers to other facilities and die size reduction efforts), and difficulties in ramping production and installing new equipment at our facilities. In addition, any significant quality problems could damage our reputation with our customers and could take focus away from the development of new and enhanced products. These could have a significant negative impact on our financial results.

Substantially all of our revenues are derived from products manufactured at facilities which are exposed to the risk of natural disasters. If we are unable to use our facilities or those of our subcontractors and third party foundries as a result of a natural disaster or otherwise, our operations would be materially adversely affected. While we maintain certain levels of insurance against selected risks of business interruption, not all risks can be insured at a reasonable cost. For example, we do not insure our facilities for earthquake damage due to the costs involved. Even if we have purchased insurance, the adverse impact on our business, including both costs and lost revenue opportunities, could greatly exceed the amounts, if any, that we might recover from our insurers.

We are dependent upon electric power and water provided by public utilities where we operate our manufacturing facilities. We maintain limited backup generating capability, but the amount of electric power that we can generate on our own is insufficient to fully operate these facilities, and prolonged power interruptions and restrictions on our access to water could have a significant adverse impact on our business.

Our financial results may be adversely impacted by higher than expected tax rates or exposure to additional tax liabilities. Tax audits may have a material affect on our profitability.

As a global company, our effective tax rate is highly dependent upon the geographic composition of worldwide earnings and tax regulations governing each region. We are subject to income taxes in the United States and various foreign jurisdictions, and significant judgment is required to determine worldwide tax liabilities. Our effective tax rate could be adversely affected by changes in the mix of earnings between countries with differing statutory tax rates, in the valuation of deferred tax assets, in tax laws or by material audit assessments, which could affect our profitability. In particular, the carrying value of deferred tax assets, which are predominantly in the United States, is dependent upon our ability to generate future taxable income in the United States. In addition, the amount of income taxes we pay is subject to ongoing audits in various jurisdictions, and a material assessment by a governing tax authority such as the United States Internal Revenue Service could have a material affect on our profitability.

The costs associated with the legal proceedings in which we are involved can be substantial, specific costs are unpredictable and not completely within our control, and unexpected increases in litigation costs could adversely affect our operating results.

We are currently involved in legal proceedings, as described below in Part II, Item 1 "Legal Proceedings." The costs associated with legal proceedings are typically high, relatively unpredictable and are not completely within our control. While we do our best to forecast and control such costs, the costs may be materially more than expected, which could adversely affect our operating results. Moreover, we may become involved in unexpected litigation with additional companies at any time, which would increase our aggregate litigation costs and could adversely affect our operating results. We are not able to predict the outcome of any of our legal actions and an adverse decision in any of our legal actions could significantly harm our business and financial performance.

Table of Contents

We are dependent on key personnel.

Our performance is substantially dependent on the performance of our executive officers and key employees. The loss of the services of any of our executive officers, technical personnel or other key employees could adversely affect our business. In addition, our future success depends on our ability to successfully compete with other technology firms in attracting and retaining specialized technical and management personnel. If we are unable to identify, hire and retain highly qualified technical and managerial personnel, our business could be harmed.

Our results of operations could vary as a result of the methods, estimates and judgments we use in applying our accounting policies.

The methods, estimates and judgments we use in applying our accounting policies have a significant impact on our results of operations (see “Significant Accounting Policies” in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q). Such methods, estimates and judgments are, by their nature, subject to substantial risks, uncertainties and assumptions, and factors may arise over time that leads us to change our methods, estimates and judgments. Changes in those methods, estimates and judgments could significantly affect our results of operations. In particular, the calculation of stock-based compensation expense under the authoritative guidance requires us to use valuation methodologies that were not developed for use in valuing employee stock options and make a number of assumptions, estimates and conclusions regarding matters such as expected forfeitures, expected volatility of our share price and the exercise behavior of our employees. Changes in these variables could impact our stock-based compensation expense and have a significant impact on our gross margins, research and development and selling, general and administrative expenses.

Our reported financial results may be adversely affected by new accounting pronouncements or changes in existing accounting standards and practices.

We prepare our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. These accounting principles are subject to interpretation by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and various organizations formed to interpret and create appropriate accounting standards and practices. New accounting pronouncements and varying interpretations of accounting standards and practices have occurred and may occur in the future. New accounting pronouncements or a change in the interpretation of existing accounting standards or practices may have a significant effect on our reported financial results and may even affect our reporting of transactions completed before the change is announced or effective.

Tax benefits we receive may be terminated or reduced in the future, which would increase our costs.

As a result of our international manufacturing operations, a significant portion of our worldwide profits are in jurisdictions outside the United States, including Bermuda, Singapore and Malaysia which offer significant reductions in tax rates. These lower tax rates allow us to record a relatively low tax expense on a worldwide basis. Under current Bermuda law, we are not subject to tax on our income and capital gains. If U.S. corporate income tax laws were to change regarding deferral of manufacturing profits or other issues impacting our operating structure, this would have a significant impact to our financial results. President Obama’s Administration recently announced new U.S. tax legislative proposals that, if enacted, may adversely impact our effective tax rate and overall tax paying position in the U.S.

In addition, in Malaysia, we have been granted a tax holiday related to certain profits. If we are unable to renew this tax holiday when it expires, we will be required to start paying income tax at the statutory tax rate on our operations, which will adversely impact our effective tax rate.

Table of Contents

International operations add increased volatility to our operating results.

A substantial percentage of our total revenues are derived from international sales, as summarized below:

(percentage of total revenues)	First six months of					
	Fiscal 2011		Fiscal 2010		Fiscal 2009	
Asia Pacific	66	%	65	%	63	%
Americas	10	%	17	%	20	%
Japan	15	%	10	%	9	%
Europe	9	%	8	%	8	%
Total	100	%	100	%	100	%

In addition, our facilities in Malaysia and Singapore, our design centers in Canada and China, and our foreign sales offices incur payroll, facility and other expenses in local currencies. Accordingly, movements in foreign currency exchange rates can impact our revenues and costs of goods sold, as well as both pricing and demand for our products.

Our offshore sites and export sales are also subject to risks associated with foreign operations, including:

- Political instability and acts of war or terrorism, which could disrupt our manufacturing and logistical activities;
 - Regulations regarding use of local employees and suppliers;
- Currency controls and fluctuations, devaluation of foreign currencies, hard currency shortages and exchange rate fluctuations;
 - Changes in local economic conditions;
- Governmental regulation of taxation of our earnings and those of our personnel; and
 - Changes in tax laws, import and export controls, tariffs and freight rates.

Contract pricing for raw materials and equipment used in the fabrication and assembly processes, as well as for foundry and subcontract assembly services, may also be impacted by currency controls, exchange rate fluctuations and currency devaluations. We sometimes hedge currency risk for currencies that are highly liquid and freely quoted, but may not enter into hedge contracts for currencies with limited trading volume.

In addition, as much of our revenues are generated outside the United States, a significant portion of our cash and investment portfolio accumulates offshore. At September 26, 2010, we had cash, cash equivalents and investments of approximately \$249.1 million invested overseas in accounts belonging to various our company's foreign operating entities. While these amounts are primarily invested in U.S. dollars, a portion is held in foreign currencies, and all offshore balances are exposed to local political, banking, currency control and other risks. In addition, these amounts may be subject to tax and other transfer restrictions.

If the recent credit market conditions worsen, it could have a material adverse impact on our investment portfolio.

Although we manage our investment portfolio by purchasing only highly rated securities and diversifying our investments across various sectors, investment types, and underlying issuers, recent volatility in the short-term financial markets has been unprecedented. We have a nominal amount of securities in asset backed commercial paper and hold no auction rated or mortgage backed securities. However it is uncertain as to the full extent of the current credit and liquidity crisis and with possible further deterioration, particularly within one or several of the large financial institutions, the value of our investments could be negatively impacted.

Table of Contents

We rely upon certain critical information systems for the operation of our business.

We maintain and rely upon certain critical information systems for the effective operation of our business. These information systems include telecommunications, the Internet, our corporate intranet, various computer hardware and software applications, network communications, and e-mail. These information systems are subject to attacks, failures, and access denials from a number of potential sources including viruses, destructive or inadequate code, power failures, and physical damage to computers, communication lines and networking equipment. To the extent that these information systems are under our control, we have implemented security procedures, such as virus protection software and emergency recovery processes, to address the outlined risks. While we believe that our information systems are appropriately controlled and that we have processes in place to adequately manage these risks, security procedures for information systems cannot be guaranteed to be failsafe and our inability to use or access these information systems at critical points in time could unfavorably impact the timely and efficient operation of our business.

We are exposed to potential impairment charges on certain assets.

Over the past several years, we have made several acquisitions. As a result of these acquisitions, we had over \$1 billion of goodwill and over \$204 million of intangible assets on our balance sheet at the beginning of fiscal 2009. As a result of our impairment analysis in fiscal 2009, we recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$946.3 million and an acquisition-related intangible asset impairment charge of \$79.4 million in fiscal 2009. In determining fair value, we consider various factors including our market capitalization, forecasted revenue and costs, risk-adjusted discount rates, future economic and market conditions, determination of appropriate market comparables and expected periods over which our assets will be utilized and other variables.

If our assumptions regarding forecasted cash flow, revenue and margin growth rates of certain long-lived asset groups and reporting units are not achieved, it is reasonably possible that an impairment review may be triggered for the remaining balance of goodwill and long-lived assets prior to the next annual review in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, which could result in material charges that could impact our operating results and financial position. In addition, from time to time, we have made investments in other companies, both public and private. If the companies that we invest in are unable to execute their plans and succeed in their respective markets, we may not benefit from such investments, and we could potentially lose the amounts we invest. In addition, we evaluate our investment portfolio on a regular basis to determine if impairments have occurred. Impairment charges could have a material impact on our results of operations in any period.

We are subject to a variety of environmental and other regulations related to hazardous materials used in our manufacturing processes.

Any failure by us to adequately control the use or discharge of hazardous materials under present or future regulations could subject us to substantial costs or liabilities or cause our manufacturing operations to be suspended.

We have limited experience with government contracting, which entails differentiated business risks.

We derive some revenue from contracts and subcontracts with agencies of, or prime contractors to, the U.S. government, including U.S. military agencies. As a company engaged, in part, in supplying defense-related equipment to U.S. government agencies, we are subject to certain business risks that are particular to

Table of Contents

companies that contract with U.S. government agencies. These risks include the ability of the U.S. government or related contractors to unilaterally:

- Terminate contracts at its convenience;
- Terminate, modify or reduce the value of existing contracts, if budgetary constraints or needs change;
- Cancel multi-year contracts and related orders, if funds become unavailable;
- Adjust contract costs and fees on the basis of audits performed by U.S. government agencies;
- Control and potentially prohibit the export of our products;
- Require that the company continue to supply products despite the expiration of a contract under certain circumstances;
- Require that the company fill certain types of rated orders for the U.S. government prior to filling any orders for other customers; and
- Suspend us from receiving new contracts pending resolution of any alleged violations of procurement laws or regulations.

In addition, because we have defense industry contracts with respect to products that are sold both within and outside of the United States, we are subject to the following additional risks in connection with government contracts:

- The need to bid on programs prior to completing the necessary design, which may result in unforeseen technological difficulties, delays and/or cost overruns;
- The difficulty in forecasting long-term costs and schedules and the potential obsolescence of products related to long-term fixed price contracts; and
- The need to transfer and obtain security clearances and export licenses, as appropriate.

Our common stock has experienced substantial price volatility.

Volatility in the price of our common stock may occur in the future, particularly as a result of the current economic downturn and quarter-to-quarter variations in our actual or anticipated financial results, or the financial results of other semiconductor companies or our customers. Stock price volatility may also result from product announcements by us or our competitors, or from changes in perceptions about the various types of products we manufacture and sell. In addition, our stock price may fluctuate due to price and volume fluctuations in the stock market, especially in the technology sector, and as a result of other considerations or events described in this section.

We depend on the ability of our personnel, raw materials, equipment and products to move reasonably unimpeded around the world.

Any political, military, world health or other issue which hinders the worldwide movement of our personnel, raw materials, equipment or products or restricts the import or export of materials could lead to significant business disruptions. Furthermore, any strike, economic failure, or other material disruption on the part of major airlines or other transportation companies could also adversely affect our ability to conduct business. If such disruptions result in cancellations of customer orders or contribute to a general decrease in economic activity or corporate spending on information technology, or directly impact our marketing, manufacturing, financial and logistics functions, our results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table sets forth information with respect to repurchases of our common stock during the second quarter of fiscal 2011:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
June 27, 2010 – July 21, 2010	1,118,400	\$5.16	1,118,400	\$23,595,360
July 22, 2010 – July 25, 2010	116,300	\$5.15	116,300	\$224,401,223
July 26, 2010 – August 22, 2010	2,324,116	\$5.72	2,324,116	\$211,118,942
August 23, 2010 – September 26, 2010	2,192,700	\$5.34	2,192,700	\$199,401,063
Total	5,751,516	\$5.45	5,751,516	

On January 18, 2007, our Board of Directors initiated a \$200 million share repurchase program. During fiscal 2008, our Board of Directors approved a \$200 million expansion of the share repurchase program to a total \$400 million. In fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, we repurchased approximately 28.9 million shares and 1.6 million at an average price of \$11.60 per share and \$15.95 per share for a total purchase price of \$334.8 million and \$25.0 million, respectively. On April 30, 2008, our Board of Directors approved an additional \$100 million expansion of the share repurchase program to a total \$500 million. In fiscal 2009, we repurchased approximately 8.4 million shares at an average price of \$7.46 per share for a total purchase price of \$62.3 million. In fiscal 2010, we repurchased approximately 4.2 million shares at an average price of \$5.83 per share for a total purchase price of \$24.3 million. During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, we repurchased approximately 4.2 million shares at an average price of \$5.78 per share for a total purchase price of \$24.2 million. On July 21, 2010, our Board of Directors approved a new share repurchase plan to repurchase up to \$225 million of its common stock. During the second quarter of fiscal 2011, we repurchased approximately 5.8 million shares at an average price of \$5.45 per share for a total purchase price of \$31.4 million, of which \$5.8 million was under the old plan and \$25.6 million was under the new plan. The old share repurchase program was canceled upon the approval of new share repurchase program. As of September 27, 2010, approximately \$199.4 million was available for future purchase under the new share repurchase program. Share repurchases were recorded as treasury stock and resulted in a reduction of stockholders' equity.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

On September 23, 2010, we held our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders at our corporate headquarters, located at 6024 Silver Creek Valley Road, San Jose, California, 95138. On July 25, 2010, the record date, 158,571,350 shares of our Common Stock were outstanding and entitled to be voted. Tabulated proxies at the meeting represented 149,477,268 shares, or 94% of the total eligible to vote as of the record date. The results of the voting on the matters submitted to the stockholders are as follows:

Proposal I: Election of directors.

Name:	Vote for	Withheld
John Schofield	134,187,019	1,234,233
Lew Eggebrecht	134,193,253	1,227,999
Umesh Padval	134,178,707	1,242,545
Gordon Parnell	134,803,428	617,824
Donald Schrock	134,778,561	642,691
Ron Smith, Ph.D.	134,181,325	1,239,927
Theodore I, Tewksbury III, Ph.D.	134,729,593	691,659

Proposal II: To approve the amendment to the Company's 2004 Equity Plan to increase the number of shares reserved for issuance thereunder from 28.5 million to 36.8 million.

Votes For	Against	Abstained	No Vote
117,578,950	17,016,299	826,003	14,056,016

Proposal III: To ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending March 27, 2011.

Votes For	Against	Abstained
148,429,086	1,002,741	45,441

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a) The following exhibits are filed herewith:

Exhibit number	Description
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer as required by Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, dated November 3, 2010.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer as required by Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, dated November 3, 2010.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer as required by Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 dated November 3, 2010.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer as required by Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 dated November 3, 2010.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: November 3, 2010

INTEGRATED DEVICE
TECHNOLOGY, INC.

/S/ THEODORE L. TEWKSBURY
III
Theodore L. Tewksbury III
President and Chief Executive
Officer

Date: November 3, 2010

/S/ RICHARD D. CROWLEY, JR.
Richard D. Crowley, Jr.
Vice President, Chief Financial
Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit number	Description
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer as required by Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, dated November 3, 2010.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer as required by Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, dated November 3, 2010.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer as required by Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 dated November 3, 2010.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer as required by Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 dated November 3, 2010.

Table of Contents