EVERSOURCE ENERGY Form 10-K February 26, 2016

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	
Year Ended <u>December 31</u>	<u>, 2015</u>
od from to _	
	I.R.S. Employer <u>Identification No.</u>
ry association) ts 01104	04-2147929
n) 7-1616	OMPANY 06-0303850
	Year Ended December 31, or RSUANT TO SECTION 13 ES EXCHANGE ACT OF od from to

	Edgar Filing: EVERSOURCE ENERGY - Form 10-K	
1-02301	NSTAR ELECTRIC COMPANY (a Massachusetts corporation) 800 Boylston Street Boston, Massachusetts 02199 Telephone: (617) 424-2000	04-1278810
1-6392	PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE (a New Hampshire corporation) Energy Park 780 North Commercial Street Manchester, New Hampshire 03101-1134 Telephone: (603) 669-4000	02-0181050
0-7624	WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY (a Massachusetts corporation) 300 Cadwell Drive Springfield, Massachusetts 01104 Telephone: (413) 785-5871	04-1961130

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

		Name of Each Exchange
Registrant	Title of Each Class	on Which Registered
Eversource Energy	Common Shares, \$5.00 par value	New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Registrant

Title of Each Class

The Connecticut Light and Power Company Preferred Stock, par value \$50.00 per share, issuable in series, of which the following series are outstanding:

\$1.90	Series	of 1947
\$2.00	Series	of 1947
\$2.04	Series	of 1949
\$2.20	Series	of 1949
3.90%	Series	of 1949
\$2.06	Series E	of 1954
\$2.09	Series F	of 1955
4.50%	Series	of 1956
4.96%	Series	of 1958
4.50%	Series	of 1963
5.28%	Series	of 1967
\$3.24	Series G	of 1968
6.56%	Series	of 1968

NSTAR Electric Company

Preferred Stock, par value \$100.00 per share, issuable in series, of which the following series are outstanding:

4.25%	Series
4.78%	Series

NSTAR Electric Company, Public Service Company of New Hampshire and Western Massachusetts Electric Company each meet the conditions set forth in General Instruction I(1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-K and each is therefore filing this Form 10-K with the reduced disclosure format specified in General Instruction I(2) to Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark if the registrants are well-known seasoned issuers, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
х	

Indicate by check mark if the registrants are not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
	х

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes	<u>No</u>
X	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants have submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web sites, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes	<u>No</u>
Х	

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrants' knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K."

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer" and "large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

	Large Accelerated Filer	Accelerated Filer	Non-accelerated Filer
Eversource Energy	Х		
The Connecticut Light and Power Company			Х
NSTAR Electric Company			Х
Public Service Company of New Hampshire			Х
Western Massachusetts Electric Company			Х

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants are shell companies (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act):

	Yes	<u>No</u>
Eversource Energy		Х
The Connecticut Light and Power Company		х
NSTAR Electric Company		х
Public Service Company of New Hampshire		х
Western Massachusetts Electric Company		Х

The aggregate market value of Eversource Energy s Common Shares, \$5.00 par value, held by non-affiliates, computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of Eversource Energy's most recently completed second fiscal quarter (June 30, 2015) was \$14,345,789,335 based on a closing market price of \$45.41 per share for the 315,916,964 common shares outstanding on June 30, 2015.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuers' classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Company - Class of Stock

Outstanding as of January 31, 2016

Eversource Energy	
Common shares, \$5.00 par value	317,191,249 shares
The Connecticut Light and Power Company	
Common stock, \$10.00 par value	6,035,205 shares
NSTAR Electric Company	
Common Stock, \$1.00 par value	100 shares
Public Service Company of New Hampshire	
Common stock, \$1.00 par value	301 shares
Western Massachusetts Electric Company	
Common stock, \$25.00 par value	434,653 shares

Eversource Energy holds all of the 6,035,205 shares, 100 shares, 301 shares, and 434,653 shares of the outstanding common stock of The Connecticut Light and Power Company, NSTAR Electric Company, Public Service Company of New Hampshire and Western Massachusetts Electric Company, respectively.

Eversource Energy, The Connecticut Light and Power Company, NSTAR Electric Company, Public Service Company of New Hampshire, and Western Massachusetts Electric Company each separately file this combined Form 10-K. Information contained herein relating to any individual registrant is filed by such registrant on its own behalf. Each registrant makes no representation as to information relating to the other registrants.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

The following is a glossary of abbreviations or acronyms that are found in this report:

Current or former Eversource Energy companies, segments or investments:

Current or former Eversource Energy comp	panies, segments or investments:
Eversource, ES or the Company	Eversource Energy and subsidiaries
Eversource parent or ES parent	Eversource Energy, a public utility holding company
ES parent and other companies	ES parent and other companies are comprised of Eversource parent,
	Eversource Service and other subsidiaries, which primarily includes
	our unregulated businesses, HWP Company, The Rocky River Realty
	Company (a real estate subsidiary), and the consolidated operations
	of CYAPC and YAEC
CL&P	The Connecticut Light and Power Company
NSTAR Electric	NSTAR Electric Company
PSNH	Public Service Company of New Hampshire
WMECO	Western Massachusetts Electric Company
NSTAR Gas	NSTAR Gas Company
Yankee Gas	Yankee Gas Services Company
NPT	Northern Pass Transmission LLC
Eversource Service	Eversource Energy Service Company (effective January 1, 2014
	includes the operations of NSTAR Electric & Gas)
NSTAR Electric & Gas	NSTAR Electric & Gas Corporation, a former Eversource Energy
	service company (effective January 1, 2014 merged into Eversource
	Energy Service Company)
CYAPC	Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company
MYAPC	Maine Yankee Atomic Power Company
YAEC	Yankee Atomic Electric Company
Yankee Companies	CYAPC, YAEC and MYAPC
Regulated companies	The Eversource Regulated companies are comprised of the electric
	distribution and transmission businesses of CL&P, NSTAR Electric,
	PSNH, and WMECO, the natural gas distribution businesses of
	Yankee Gas and NSTAR Gas, the generation activities of PSNH and
	WMECO, and NPT
Regulators:	
DEEP	Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOER	Massachusetts Department of Energy Resources
DPU	Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
ISO-NE	ISO New England, Inc., the New England Independent System
	Operator
MA DEP	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
NHPUC	New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission
PURA	Connecticut Public Utilities Regulatory Authority
SEC	U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
SJC	Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts

Other Terms and Abbreviations:	
AFUDC	Allowance For Funds Used During Construction
AOCI	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss)
ARO	Asset Retirement Obligation
C&LM	Conservation and Load Management
CfD	Contract for Differences
Clean Air Project	The construction of a wet flue gas desulphurization system, known as
	"scrubber technology," to reduce mercury emissions of the
	Merrimack coal-fired generation station in Bow, New Hampshire
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
CPSL	Capital Projects Scheduling List
СТА	Competitive Transition Assessment
CWIP	Construction Work in Progress
EPS	Earnings Per Share
ERISA	Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974
ES 2014 Form 10-K	The Eversource Energy and Subsidiaries 2014 combined Annual
	Report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC
ESOP	Employee Stock Ownership Plan
ESPP	Employee Share Purchase Plan
FERC ALJ	FERC Administrative Law Judge
Fitch	Fitch Ratings
FMCC	Federally Mandated Congestion Charge
FTR	Financial Transmission Rights
GAAP	Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of
	America
GSC	Generation Service Charge
GSRP	Greater Springfield Reliability Project

i

GWh	Gigawatt-Hours
HQ	Hydro-Québec, a corporation wholly owned by the Québec government, including its
	divisions that produce, transmit and distribute electricity in Québec, Canada
HVDC	High voltage direct current
Hydro Renewable Energy	Hydro Renewable Energy, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Hydro-Québec
IPP	Independent Power Producers
ISO-NE Tariff	ISO-NE FERC Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff
kV	Kilovolt
kVa	Kilovolt-ampere
kW	Kilowatt (equal to one thousand watts)
kWh	Kilowatt-Hours (the basic unit of electricity energy equal to one kilowatt of power
	supplied for one hour)
LBR	Lost Base Revenue
LNG	Liquefied natural gas
LRS	Supplier of last resort service
MGP	Manufactured Gas Plant
MMBtu	One million British thermal units
Moody's	Moody's Investors Services, Inc.
MW	Megawatt
MWh	Megawatt-Hours
NEEWS	New England East-West Solution
Northern Pass	The high voltage direct current transmission line project from Canada into New
	Hampshire
NO _x	Nitrogen oxides
PAM	Pension and PBOP Rate Adjustment Mechanism
PBOP	Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pension
PBOP Plan	Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pension Plan that provides certain retiree benefits,
	primarily medical, dental and life insurance
PCRBs	Pollution Control Revenue Bonds
Pension Plan	Single uniform noncontributory defined benefit retirement plan
PPA	Pension Protection Act
RECs	Renewable Energy Certificates
Regulatory ROE	The average cost of capital method for calculating the return on equity related to the
	distribution and generation business segment excluding the wholesale transmission
	segment
ROE	Return on Equity
RRB	Rate Reduction Bond or Rate Reduction Certificate
RSUs	Restricted share units
S&P	Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC
SBC	Systems Benefits Charge
SCRC	Stranded Cost Recovery Charge
SERP	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans and non-qualified defined benefit retirement
	plans
SIP	Simplified Incentive Plan
SO ₂	Sulfur dioxide
SS	Standard service
TCAM	Transmission Cost Adjustment Mechanism

TSATransmission Service AgreementUIThe United Illuminating Company

EVERSOURCE ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY NSTAR ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE AND SUBSIDIARY WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY

2015 FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PART I	
Item 1.	Business	2
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	16
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	19
Item 2.	Properties	19
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	21
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	22
	PART II	
Item 5.	Market for the Registrants' Common Equity, Related Stockholder	24
	Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	
Item 6.	Selected Consolidated Financial Data	26
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and	28
	Results of Operations	
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	60
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	61
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and	136
	Financial Disclosure	
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	136
Item 9B.	Other Information	136
	PART III	
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	137
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	140
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and	165
	Related Stockholder Matters	
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director	166
	Independence	
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	167
	PART IV	
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	169
Signatures		170

Page

Edgar Filing: EVERSOURCE ENERGY - Form 10-K EVERSOURCE ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY NSTAR ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE AND SUBSIDIARY WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY

SAFE HARBOR STATEMENT UNDER THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

References in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to "Eversource," "the Company," "we," "our," and "us" refer to Eversource and its consolidated subsidiaries. On April 30, 2015, the Company's legal name was changed from Northeast Utilities to Eversource Energy. CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO are each doing business as Eversource Energy.

From time to time we make statements concerning our expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, strategies, assumptions of future events, future financial performance or growth and other statements that are not historical facts. These statements are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You can generally identify our forward-looking statements through the use of words or phrases such as "estimate," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "project," "believe," "forecast," "should," "could," and other similar expressions. Forward-looking statements are based on the current expectations, estimates, assumptions or projections of management and are not guarantees of future performance. These expectations, estimates, assumptions or projections may vary materially from actual results. Accordingly, any such statements are qualified in their entirety by reference to, and are accompanied by, the following important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those contained in our forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to:

cyber breaches, acts of war or terrorism, or grid disturbances,

•

actions or inaction of local, state and federal regulatory, public policy and taxing bodies,

changes in business conditions, which could include disruptive technology related to our current or future business model,

changes in economic conditions, including impact on interest rates, tax policies, and customer demand and payment ability,

fluctuations in weather patterns,

.

.

•

•

•

.

•

.

changes in laws, regulations or regulatory policy,

.

changes in levels or timing of capital expenditures,

disruptions in the capital markets or other events that make our access to necessary capital more difficult or costly,

developments in legal or public policy doctrines,

technological developments,

changes in accounting standards and financial reporting regulations,

actions of rating agencies, and

other presently unknown or unforeseen factors.

Other risk factors are detailed in our reports filed with the SEC and updated as necessary, and we encourage you to consult such disclosures.

All such factors are difficult to predict, contain uncertainties that may materially affect our actual results and are beyond our control. You should not place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements, each speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made, and we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement or statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. New factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for us to predict all of such factors, nor can we assess the impact of each such factor on the business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. For more information, see Item 1A, *Risk Factors*, included in this combined Annual Report on Form 10-K. This Annual Report on Form 10-K also describes material contingencies and critical accounting policies in the accompanying *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* and *Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*. We encourage you to review these items.

EVERSOURCE ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY NSTAR ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE AND SUBSIDIARY WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY

PART I

Item 1.

Business

•

Please refer to the Glossary of Terms for definitions of defined terms and abbreviations used in this combined Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Eversource Energy, headquartered in Boston, Massachusetts and Hartford, Connecticut, is a public utility holding company subject to regulation by the FERC under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 2005. We are engaged primarily in the energy delivery business through the following wholly owned utility subsidiaries:

The Connecticut Light and Power Company (CL&P), a regulated electric utility that serves residential, commercial and industrial customers in parts of Connecticut;

NSTAR Electric Company (NSTAR Electric), a regulated electric utility that serves residential, commercial and industrial customers in parts of eastern Massachusetts;

Public Service Company of New Hampshire (PSNH), a regulated electric utility that serves residential, commercial and industrial customers in parts of New Hampshire and owns generation assets used to serve customers;

Western Massachusetts Electric Company (WMECO), a regulated electric utility that serves residential, commercial and industrial customers in parts of western Massachusetts and owns solar generating assets;

NSTAR Gas Company (NSTAR Gas), a regulated natural gas utility that serves residential, commercial and industrial customers in parts of Massachusetts; and

Yankee Gas Services Company (Yankee Gas), a regulated natural gas utility that serves residential, commercial and industrial customers in parts of Connecticut.

CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO also serve New England customers through Eversource Energy's electric transmission business.

On April 30, 2015, the Company's legal name was changed from Northeast Utilities to Eversource Energy. CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and

WMECO are each doing business as Eversource Energy.

.

Eversource Energy, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO each report their financial results separately. We also include information in this report on a segment basis for Eversource Energy. Eversource Energy recognizes three reportable segments: electric distribution, electric transmission and natural gas distribution. Eversource Energy's electric distribution segment includes the generation businesses of PSNH and WMECO. These three segments represented substantially all of Eversource Energy's total consolidated revenues for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO do not report separate business segments.

ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION SEGMENT

General

Eversource Energy's electric distribution segment consists of the distribution businesses of CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO, which are engaged in the distribution of electricity to retail customers in Connecticut, eastern Massachusetts, New Hampshire and western Massachusetts, respectively, plus the regulated electric generation businesses of PSNH and WMECO.

The following table shows the sources of 2015 electric franchise retail revenues for Eversource Energy's electric distribution companies, collectively, based on categories of customers:

(Thousands of Dollars, except		
percentages)	2015	% of Total
Residential	\$ 3,608,155	55
Commercial	2,476,686	38
Industrial	326,564	5
Other	151,195	2
Total Retail Electric Revenues	\$ 6,562,600	100%

2

A summary of our distribution companies' retail electric GWh sales volumes and percentage changes for 2015, as compared to 2014, is as follows:

			Percentage
	2015	2014	Change
Residential	21,441	21,317	0.6 %
Commercial	27,598	27,449	0.5 %
Industrial	5,577	5,676	(1.7)%
Total	54,616	54,442	0.3 %

Our 2015 consolidated retail electric sales volumes were slightly higher, as compared to 2014, due primarily to the impact of colder winter weather experienced in the first quarter of 2015 and warmer weather in the third quarter of 2015, partially offset by milder winter weather in the fourth quarter of 2015 throughout our service territories as well as an increase in customer conservation efforts, including the impact of energy efficiency programs sponsored by CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO.

Fluctuations in retail electric sales volumes at NSTAR Electric and PSNH impact earnings. For CL&P (effective December 1, 2014) and WMECO, fluctuations in retail electric sales volumes do not impact earnings due to their respective regulatory commission approved revenue decoupling mechanisms. These distribution revenues are decoupled from their customer sales volumes, which breaks the relationship between sales volumes and revenues recognized. CL&P and WMECO reconcile their annual base distribution rate recovery amounts to their respective pre-established levels of baseline distribution delivery service revenues. Any difference between the allowed level of distribution revenue and the actual amount incurred during a 12-month period is adjusted through rates in the following period.

ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION CONNECTICUT

THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY

CL&P's distribution business consists primarily of the purchase, delivery and sale of electricity to its residential, commercial and industrial customers. As of December 31, 2015, CL&P furnished retail franchise electric service to approximately 1.2 million customers in 149 cities and towns in Connecticut, covering an area of 4,400 square miles. CL&P does not own any electric generation facilities.

The following table shows the sources of CL&P's 2015 electric franchise retail revenues based on categories of customers:

CL&P

(Thousands of Dollars, except		
percentages)	2015	% of Total
Residential	\$ 1,641,165	61
Commercial	841,093	31
Industrial	129,544	5
Other	62,704	3
Total Retail Electric Revenues	\$ 2,674,506	100%

A summary of CL&P's retail electric GWh sales volumes and percentage changes for 2015, as compared to 2014, is as follows:

			Percentage
	2015	2014	Change
Residential	10,094	10,026	0.7~%
Commercial	9,635	9,643	(0.1)%
Industrial	2,342	2,377	(1.5)%
Total	22,071	22,046	0.1 %

Rates

CL&P is subject to regulation by the PURA, which, among other things, has jurisdiction over rates, certain dispositions of property and plant, mergers and consolidations, issuances of long-term securities, standards of service and construction and operation of facilities. CL&P's present general rate structure consists of various rate and service classifications covering residential, commercial and industrial services. CL&P's retail rates include a delivery service component, which includes distribution, transmission, conservation, renewables, CTA, SBC and other charges that are assessed on all customers. Connecticut utilities are entitled under state law to charge rates that are sufficient to allow them an opportunity to recover their reasonable operating and capital costs, in order to attract needed capital and maintain their financial integrity, while also protecting relevant public interests.

Under Connecticut law, all of CL&P's customers are entitled to choose their energy suppliers, while CL&P remains their electric distribution company. For those customers who do not choose a competitive energy supplier, under SS rates for customers with less than 500 kilowatts of demand, and LRS rates for customers with 500 kilowatts or more of demand, CL&P purchases power under standard offer contracts and passes the cost of the power to customers through a combined GSC and FMCC charge on customers' bills.

CL&P continues to supply approximately 40 percent of its customer load at SS or LRS rates while the other 60 percent of its customer load has migrated to competitive energy suppliers. Because this customer migration is only for energy supply service, it has no impact on CL&P's electric distribution business or its operating income.

The rates established by the PURA for CL&P are comprised of the following:

•

•

An electric generation services charge (GSC), which recovers energy-related costs incurred as a result of providing electric generation service supply to all customers that have not migrated to competitive energy suppliers. The GSC is adjusted periodically and reconciled semi-annually in accordance with the policies and procedures of the PURA, with any differences refunded to, or recovered from, customers.

A revenue decoupling adjustment (effective December 1, 2014) that reconciles the amounts recovered from customers, on an annual basis, to the distribution revenue requirement approved by the PURA in its last rate case, which currently is an annual amount of \$1.059 billion.

A distribution charge, which includes a fixed customer charge and a demand and/or energy charge to collect the costs of building and expanding the infrastructure to deliver power to customers, as well as ongoing operating costs to maintain the infrastructure.

A federally-mandated congestion charge (FMCC), which recovers any costs imposed by the FERC as part of the New England Standard Market Design, including locational marginal pricing, locational installed capacity payments, and any costs approved by the PURA to reduce these charges. The FMCC also recovers costs associated with CL&P's system resiliency program. The FMCC is adjusted periodically and reconciled semi-annually in accordance with the policies and procedures of the PURA, with any differences refunded to, or recovered from, customers.

A transmission charge that recovers the cost of transporting electricity over high voltage lines from generating plants to substations, including costs allocated by ISO-NE to maintain the wholesale electric market.

A competitive transition assessment charge (CTA), assessed to recover stranded costs associated with electric industry restructuring such as various IPP contracts. The CTA is reconciled annually to actual costs incurred and reviewed by the PURA, with any difference refunded to, or recovered from, customers.

A systems benefits charge (SBC), established to fund expenses associated with: various hardship and low income programs; a program to compensate municipalities for losses in property tax revenue due to decreases in the value of electric generating facilities resulting directly from electric industry restructuring. The SBC is reconciled annually to actual costs incurred and reviewed by the PURA, with any difference refunded to, or recovered from, customers.

A Clean Energy Fund charge, which is used to promote investment in renewable energy sources. Amounts collected by this charge are deposited into the Clean Energy Fund and administered by the Clean Energy Finance and Investment Authority. The Clean Energy Fund charge is set by statute and is currently 0.1 cent per kWh.

A conservation charge, comprised of a statutory rate established to implement cost-effective energy conservation programs and market transformation initiatives, plus a conservation adjustment mechanism charge to recover the residual energy efficiency spending associated with the expanded energy efficiency costs directed by the Comprehensive Energy Strategy Plan for Connecticut.

As required by regulation, CL&P, jointly with UI, entered into the following contracts whereby UI will share 20 percent and CL&P will share 80 percent of the costs and benefits (CL&P's portion of these costs are either recovered from, or refunded to, customers through the FMCC charge):

Four CfDs (totaling approximately 787 MW of capacity) with three electric generation units and one demand response project, which extend through 2026 and have terms of up to 15 years beginning in 2009. The capacity CfDs obligate both CL&P and UI to make or receive payments on a monthly basis to or from the project and generation owners based on the difference between a contractually set capacity price and the capacity market prices that the project and generation owners receive in the ISO-NE capacity markets.

Three CfDs (totaling approximately 500 MW of peaking capacity) with three peaking generation units. The three peaker CfDs pay the generation owners the difference between capacity, forward reserve and energy market revenues and a cost-of service payment stream for 30 years beginning in 2008 (including costs of plant operation and the prices that the generation owners receive for capacity and other products in the ISO-NE markets).

Long-term commitments to purchase approximately 250 MW of wind power from a Maine wind farm and 20 MW of solar power from a multi-site project in Connecticut. Both of these projects are expected to be operational by the end of 2016.

.

On December 17, 2014 the PURA approved CL&P's application to amend customer rates, effective December 1, 2014, for a total base distribution rate increase of \$152 million, which includes an authorized ROE of 9.02 percent for the first twelve month period and 9.17 percent thereafter. The distribution rate increase included a revenue decoupling mechanism effective December 1, 2014, and the recovery of 2011 and 2012 storm restoration costs and system resiliency costs. Also in December 2014, the PURA granted a re-opener request to CL&P s base distribution rate application for further review of the appropriate balance of ADIT utilized in the calculation of rate base. On July 2, 2015, the PURA issued a final order that approved a settlement agreement filed on May 19, 2015 between CL&P and the PURA Prosecutorial Staff, and which included an increase to total allowed annual revenue requirements of \$18.4 million beginning December 1, 2014.

4

Sources and Availability of Electric Power Supply

As noted above, CL&P does not own any generation assets and purchases energy supply to serve its SS and LRS loads from a variety of competitive sources through requests for proposals. CL&P periodically enters into full requirements contracts for the majority of SS loads for periods of up to one year for its residential customers and small and medium commercial and industrial customers. CL&P is authorized to supply the remainder of the SS loads through a self-managed process that includes bilateral purchases and spot market purchases. CL&P typically enters into full requirements contracts for LRS for larger commercial and industrial customers every three months. Currently, CL&P has full requirements contracts in place for 80 percent of its SS loads for the first half of 2016 and has bilateral purchases in place to self-manage the remaining 20 percent. For the second half of 2016, CL&P has 50 percent of its SS load for 2017 has been procured. CL&P has full requirements and will self-manage the remainder as needed. None of the SS load for 2017 has been procured. CL&P has full requirements contracts in place for its LRS loads through the second quarter of 2016 and intends to purchase 100 percent of full requirements for the third and fourth quarters of 2016.

ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION MASSACHUSETTS

NSTAR ELECTRIC COMPANY

WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY

The electric distribution businesses of NSTAR Electric and WMECO consist primarily of the purchase, delivery and sale of electricity to residential, commercial and industrial customers within their respective franchise service territories. As of December 31, 2015, NSTAR Electric furnished retail franchise electric service to approximately 1.2 million customers in Boston and 80 surrounding cities and towns in Massachusetts, including Cape Cod and Martha's Vineyard, covering an area of approximately 1,700 square miles. WMECO provides retail franchise electric service to approximately 209,000 customers in 59 cities and towns in the western region of Massachusetts, covering an area of approximately 1,500 square miles. Neither NSTAR Electric nor WMECO owns any generating facilities used to supply customers, and each purchases its respective energy requirements from competitive energy suppliers.

In 2009, WMECO was authorized by the DPU to install solar energy generation in its service territory. From 2010 through 2014, WMECO completed development of a total of 8 MW solar generation facilities on sites in Pittsfield, Springfield, and East Springfield, Massachusetts. WMECO will sell all energy and other products from its solar generation facilities into the ISO-NE market. NSTAR Electric does not own any solar generation facilities.

The following table shows the sources of the 2015 electric franchise retail revenues of NSTAR Electric and WMECO based on categories of customers:

	NSTAF	R Electric	WMEC	0
(Thousands of Dollars, except				
percentages)	2015	% of Total	2015	% of Total
Residential	\$ 1,205,387	48	\$ 255,797	59
Commercial	1,187,452	47	135,222	31
Industrial	84,667	3	35,439	8
Other	47,610	2	5,778	2
Total Retail Electric Revenues	\$ 2,525,116	100%	\$ 432,236	100%

A summary of NSTAR Electric's and WMECO's retail electric GWh sales volumes and percentage changes for 2015, as compared to 2014, is as follows:

	NSTAR Electric			WMECO		
			Percentage			Percentage
	2015	2014	Change	2015	2014	Change
Residential	6,687	6,625	0.9 %	1,465	1,494	(2.0)%
Commercial	13,120	13,009	0.9 %	1,478	1,466	0.8~%
Industrial	1,248	1,291	(3.3)%	620	626	(0.9)%
Total	21,055	20,925	0.6 %	3,563	3,586	(0.6)%

Rates

NSTAR Electric and WMECO are each subject to regulation by the DPU, which, among other things, has jurisdiction over rates, certain dispositions of property and plant, mergers and consolidations, issuances of long-term securities, acquisition of securities, standards of service and construction and operation of facilities. The present general rate structure for both NSTAR Electric and WMECO consists of various rate and service classifications covering residential, commercial and industrial services. Massachusetts utilities are entitled under state law to charge rates that are sufficient to allow them an opportunity to recover their reasonable operating and capital costs, in order to attract needed capital and maintain their financial integrity, while also protecting relevant public interests.

Under Massachusetts law, all customers of each of NSTAR Electric and WMECO are entitled to choose their energy suppliers, while NSTAR Electric or WMECO remains their electric distribution company. Both NSTAR Electric and WMECO purchase power from competitive suppliers on behalf of, and pass the related cost through to, their respective customers who do not choose a competitive energy supplier (basic service). Most of the residential customers of NSTAR Electric and WMECO have continued to buy their power from NSTAR Electric or WMECO at basic service rates. Most commercial and industrial customers have switched to a competitive energy supplier.

The Cape Light Compact, an inter-governmental organization consisting of the 21 towns and two counties on Cape Cod and Martha's Vineyard, serves 200,000 customers through the delivery of energy efficiency programs, effective consumer advocacy, competitive electricity supply and green power options. NSTAR Electric continues to provide electric service to these customers including the delivery of power, maintenance of infrastructure, capital investment, meter reading, billing, and customer service.

NSTAR Electric continues to supply approximately 39 percent of its customer load at basic service rates while the other 61 percent of its customer load has migrated to competitive energy suppliers. WMECO continues to supply approximately 41 percent of its customer load at basic service rates while the other 59 percent of its customer load has migrated to competitive energy suppliers. Because customer migration is limited to energy supply service, it has no impact on the delivery business or operating income of NSTAR Electric and WMECO.

The rates established by the DPU for NSTAR Electric and WMECO are comprised of the following:

.

A basic service charge that represents the collection of energy costs, including costs related to charge-offs of uncollectible energy costs from customers. Electric distribution companies in Massachusetts are required to obtain and resell power to retail customers through basic service for those who choose not to buy energy from a competitive energy supplier. Basic service rates are reset every six months (every three months for large commercial and industrial customers). Additionally, the DPU has authorized NSTAR Electric to recover the cost of its Dynamic Pricing Smart Grid Pilot Program and NSTAR Green wind contracts through the basic service charge. Basic service costs are reconciled annually, with any differences refunded to, or recovered from, customers.

A distribution charge, which includes a fixed customer charge and a demand and/or energy charge to collect the costs of building and expanding the infrastructure to deliver power to its destination, as well as ongoing operating costs.

For WMECO, a revenue decoupling adjustment that reconciles distribution revenue, on an annual basis, to the amount of distribution revenue approved by the DPU in its last rate case in 2011. Currently, WMECO is allowed to collect \$132.4 million annually.

A transmission charge that recovers the cost of transporting electricity over high voltage lines from generating plants to substations, including costs allocated by ISO-NE to maintain the wholesale electric market.

A transition charge that represents costs to be collected primarily from previously held investments in generating plants, costs related to existing above-market power contracts, and contract costs related to long-term power contract buy-outs.

An energy efficiency charge that represents a legislatively-mandated charge to collect costs for energy efficiency programs.

Reconciling adjustment charges that recover certain DPU-approved costs as follows: pension and PBOP benefits, low income customer discounts, lost revenue and credits associated with net-metering facilities installed by customers, storms, consultants retained by the attorney general, long-term renewable contracts and energy efficiency programs and lost base revenue associated with energy efficiency measures. In addition to these adjustments common to both NSTAR Electric and WMECO, NSTAR Electric has reconciling adjustment charges that collect costs associated with certain safety and reliability projects and a Smart Grid pilot program. WMECO has a reconciling adjustment charge that recovers costs associated with certain solar projects owned and operated by WMECO.

As required by regulation, NSTAR Electric and WMECO, along with two other Massachusetts electric utilities, signed long-term commitments to purchase a combined estimated generating capacity of approximately 334 MW of wind power from two wind farms in Maine over 15 years. The projects are in various stages of permitting, development, or operation. One unit began operating in late 2015, and the other unit is expected to be in operation by December 2016. In addition, WMECO previously signed a long-term commitment to purchase an estimated generating capacity of approximately 37.5 MW of wind power from a wind farm in Maine over 15 years that is expected to be in operation in 2016.

Pursuant to a 2008 DPU order, Massachusetts electric utilities must adopt rate structures that decouple the volume of energy sales from the utility's revenues in their next rate case. WMECO is currently decoupled and NSTAR Electric will propose decoupling in its next rate case.

NSTAR Electric and WMECO are each subject to service quality (SQ) metrics that measure safety, reliability and customer service, and could be required to pay to customers a SQ charge of up to 2.5 percent of annual transmission and distribution revenues for failing to meet such metrics. Neither NSTAR Electric nor WMECO will be required to

pay a SQ charge for its 2015 performance as each company achieved results at or above target for all of its respective SQ metrics in 2015.

Sources and Availability of Electric Power Supply

As noted above, neither NSTAR Electric nor WMECO owns any generation assets (other than WMECO's solar generation), and both companies purchase their respective energy requirements from a variety of competitive sources through requests for proposals issued periodically, consistent with DPU regulations. NSTAR Electric and WMECO enter into supply contracts for basic service for 50 percent of their respective residential and small commercial and industrial customers twice per year for twelve month terms. Both NSTAR Electric and WMECO enter into supply contracts for basic service for 100 percent of large commercial and industrial customers every three months.

6

ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION NEW HAMPSHIRE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

PSNH's distribution business consists primarily of the generation, delivery and sale of electricity to its residential, commercial and industrial customers. As of December 31, 2015, PSNH furnished retail franchise electric service to approximately 503,000 retail customers in 211 cities and towns in New Hampshire, covering an area of approximately 5,630 square miles. PSNH currently owns and operates approximately 1,200 MW of primarily coal-, natural gas-, and oil-fired electricity generation plants. PSNH's distribution business includes the activities of its generation business.

The Clean Air Project, a wet flue gas desulphurization system (Scrubber), was constructed and placed in service by PSNH at its Merrimack Station in 2011. The Scrubber reduces emissions of SO2 and mercury from Merrimack Station by over 90 percent, which is well in excess of state and federal requirements. PSNH is permitted to recover prudent Scrubber costs through its default energy service rates under New Hampshire law. Effective January 1, 2016, PSNH is recovering all Scrubber costs in rates charged to customers. For further information, see "Regulatory Developments and Rate Matters New Hampshire Clean Air Project Prudence Proceeding" in the accompanying Item *7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*.

The following table shows the sources of PSNH's 2015 electric franchise retail revenues based on categories of customers:

	PSNH	
(Thousands of Dollars, except		
percentages)	2015	% of Total
Residential	\$ 505,806	54
Commercial	312,918	34
Industrial	76,914	8
Other	35,103	4
Total Retail Electric Revenues	\$ 930,741	100%

A summary of PSNH's retail electric GWh sales volumes and percentage changes for 2015, as compared to 2014, is as follows:

			Percentage
	2015	2014	Change
Residential	3,195	3,172	0.7 %

Commercial	3,365	3,332	1.0 %
Industrial	1,367	1,382	(1.1)%
Total	7,927	7,886	0.5~%

Rates

PSNH is subject to regulation by the NHPUC, which, among other things, has jurisdiction over rates, certain dispositions of property and plant, mergers and consolidations, issuances of securities, standards of service and construction and operation of facilities. New Hampshire utilities are entitled under state law to charge rates that are sufficient to allow them an opportunity to recover their reasonable operating and capital costs, in order to attract needed capital and maintain their financial integrity, while also protecting relevant public interests.

Under New Hampshire law, all of PSNH's customers are entitled to choose competitive energy suppliers, with PSNH providing default energy service under its ES rate for those customers who do not choose a competitive energy supplier. At the end of 2015, approximately 21 percent of all of PSNH's customers (approximately 53 percent of load) were taking service from competitive energy suppliers, compared to 21 percent of customers (approximately 46 percent of load) at the end of 2014.

The rates established by the NHPUC for PSNH are comprised of the following:

A default energy service charge which recovers energy-related costs incurred as a result of providing electric generation service supply to all customers that have not migrated to competitive energy suppliers. These charges recover the costs of PSNH's generation, as well as purchased power, and include an allowed ROE of 9.81 percent.

A distribution charge, which includes an energy and/or demand-based charge to recover costs related to the maintenance and operation of PSNH's infrastructure to deliver power to its destination, as well as power restoration and service costs. This includes a customer charge to collect the cost of providing service to a customer; such as the installation, maintenance, reading and replacement of meters and maintaining accounts and records.

A transmission charge that recovers the cost of transporting electricity over high voltage lines from generating plants to substations, including costs allocated by ISO-NE to maintain the wholesale electric market.

A stranded cost recovery charge (SCRC), which allows PSNH to recover its stranded costs, including above-market expenses incurred under mandated power purchase obligations and other long-term investments and obligations.

•

•

A system benefits charge (SBC), which funds energy efficiency programs for all customers as well as assistance programs for residential customers within certain income guidelines.

An electricity consumption tax, which is a state mandated tax on electric energy consumption.

The energy charge and SCRC rates change semi-annually and are reconciled annually and differences between actual costs incurred versus current rates are either refunded or recovered in subsequent rates charged to customers.

PSNH distribution rates were set in a 2010 NHPUC rate case settlement, which expired on June 30, 2015. In the 2015 PSNH Settlement Agreement, the Company agreed that its present distribution rates will stay in effect until at least July 1, 2017. However, certain aspects of the 2010 rate case settlement will continue, including funding for reliability enhancement program activities, adjustment of distribution rates for certain exogenous events that in the aggregate exceed \$1 million, and major storm reserve funding.

Generation Divestiture

In 2013, the NHPUC opened a docket to investigate market conditions affecting PSNH's default energy service rate, how PSNH will maintain just and reasonable rates in light of those conditions, and any impact of PSNH's generation ownership on the New Hampshire competitive electric market. In April 2014, the NHPUC staff issued a "Preliminary Status Report Addressing the Economic Interest of PSNH's Retail Customers as it Relates to the Potential Divestiture of PSNH's Generating Plants," which included a consultant's analysis of the fair market value of PSNH generating assets and long-term power purchase contracts. The consultant's analysis estimated the fair market value of PSNH's generation assets to be \$225 million as of December 31, 2013 and compared that amount to a stated net book value of \$660 million, implying potential "stranded costs" of approximately \$435 million. An abbreviated draft update by the consultant dated August 17, 2015, increased the estimated fair market value of PSNH s generation assets to \$235 million.

In 2014, the Legislature enacted changes to the laws governing divestiture of PSNH's generation assets, effective September 30, 2014. The new law required the NHPUC to initiate a proceeding to determine whether all or some of PSNH's generation assets should be divested. The law gives the NHPUC express authority to order the divestiture of all or some of PSNH's generation assets if the NHPUC finds it is in the economic interest of customers to do so. The law also clarified the definition of "stranded costs" to include costs approved for recovery by the NHPUC in connection with the divestiture or retirement of PSNH's generation assets.

On June 10, 2015, Eversource and PSNH entered into the 2015 Public Service Company of New Hampshire Restructuring and Rate Stabilization Agreement (the Agreement) with the New Hampshire Office of Energy and Planning, certain members of the NHPUC staff, the Office of Consumer Advocate, two state senators, and several other parties. The Agreement was filed with the NHPUC on the same day. Under the terms of the Agreement, PSNH

has agreed to divest its generation assets upon NHPUC approval. The Agreement is designed to provide a resolution of issues pertaining to PSNH's generation assets in pending regulatory proceedings before the NHPUC. The Agreement provided for the Clean Air Project prudence proceeding to be resolved and all remaining Clean Air Project costs to be included in rates effective January 1, 2016. As part of the Agreement, PSNH has agreed to forego recovery of \$25 million of the deferred equity return related to the Clean Air Project. In addition, PSNH will not seek a general distribution rate increase effective before July 1, 2017 and will contribute \$5 million to create a clean energy fund, which will not be recoverable from its customers.

In 2015, the Legislature enacted changes to law to allow the use of securitization financing to recover any stranded costs resulting from the divestiture of PSNH s generating assets. If the Agreement is approved, following divestiture of PSNH s generating assets, bonds will be issued to recover resulting stranded costs.

On January 26, 2016, Advisory Staff of the NHPUC and the parties to the Agreement filed a stipulation with the NHPUC agreeing that near-term divestiture of PSNH s generation was in the public interest and that the Agreement should be approved. Implementation of the Agreement is subject to NHPUC approval, which is expected in early 2016.

Sources and Availability of Electric Power Supply

During 2015, approximately 54 percent of PSNH's load was met through its own generation, long-term power supply provided pursuant to orders of the NHPUC, and contracts with competitive energy suppliers. The remaining 46 percent of PSNH's load was met by short-term (less than one year) purchases and spot purchases in the competitive New England wholesale power market. PSNH expects to meet its load requirements in 2016 in a similar manner. Included in the 54 percent above are PSNH's obligations to purchase power from approximately two dozen IPPs, the output of which it either uses to serve its customer load or sells into the ISO-NE market.

Merrimack and Schiller Stations have recently operated at lower than typical capacity factors due to moderate regional temperatures. The Hydro stations have been operating at high capacity factors. PSNH s Energy Service Rate has been set at 9.99 cents per kWh effective January 1, 2016, which includes 1.27 cents per kWh reflecting full recovery of costs related to the Clean Air Project.

ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION SEGMENT

General

Each of CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO owns and maintains transmission facilities that are part of an interstate power transmission grid over which electricity is transmitted throughout New England. Each of CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO, and most other New England utilities, are parties to a series of agreements that provide for coordinated planning and operation of the region's transmission facilities and the rules by which they acquire transmission services. Under these arrangements, ISO-NE, a non-profit corporation whose board of directors and staff are independent of all market participants, serves as the regional transmission organization of the New England transmission system.

Wholesale Transmission Revenues

A summary of Eversource Energy's wholesale transmission revenues is as follows:

(Thousands of Dollars)	2015
CL&P	\$ 513,025
NSTAR Electric	299,241
PSNH	127,509
WMECO	129,502
Total Wholesale Transmission	
Revenues	\$ 1,069,277

Wholesale Transmission Rates

Wholesale transmission revenues are recovered through FERC approved formula rates. Transmission revenues are collected from New England customers, the majority of which are distribution customers of CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO. The transmission rates provide for the annual reconciliation of estimated to actual costs. The financial impacts of differences between actual and estimated costs are deferred for future recovery from, or refunded to, transmission customers.

FERC Base ROE Complaints

Three separate complaints have been filed at the FERC by combinations of New England state attorneys general, state regulatory commissions, consumer advocates, consumer groups, municipal parties and other parties (the "Complainants"). In these three separate complaints, the Complainants challenged the NETOs' base ROE of 11.14 percent that had been utilized since 2006 and sought an order to reduce it prospectively from the date of the final FERC order and for the 15-month complaint refund periods stipulated in the separate complaints. In 2014, the FERC ordered a 10.57 percent base ROE for the first complaint refund period and prospectively from October 16, 2014 and that a utility's total or maximum ROE shall not exceed the top of the new zone of reasonableness, which was set at 11.74 percent. The NETOs and the Complainants sought rehearing from the FERC. In late 2014, the NETOs made a compliance filing and the Company began issuing refunds to customers from the first complaint period.

On March 3, 2015, FERC issued an order denying all issues raised on rehearing by the NETOs and Complainants in the first complaint. The FERC order upheld the base ROE of 10.57 percent for the first complaint refund period and prospectively from October 16, 2014, and upheld that the utility's total ROE (the base ROE plus any incentive adders) for the transmission assets to which the adder applies is capped at the top of the zone of reasonableness, which is

currently set at 11.74 percent. The NETOs and Complainants have filed appeals to the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals, which have been consolidated, and briefing is scheduled to be concluded in the second quarter of 2016. A court decision is expected in late 2016.

For the second and third complaint proceedings, hearings were held in late June and early July 2015 and briefs were filed in July and August 2015. The state parties, municipal utilities and FERC trial staff each believe that the base ROE should be reduced to an amount lower than 10.57 percent. The NETOs believe that the Complainants' positions are without merit, and the existing base ROE of 10.57 is just and reasonable and should be maintained. On December 18, 2015, the FERC ALJ reopened the record to have the NETOs and FERC trial staff review certain calculations. The FERC ALJ s initial recommendation is expected by March 31, 2016. A final FERC order is expected in late 2016 or early 2017.

Although Eversource is uncertain on the final outcome of the second and third complaints regarding the ROE, we believe the current reserves established are appropriate to reflect probable and reasonably estimable refunds. For further information, see "FERC Regulatory Issues" FERC ROE Complaints" in the accompanying Item 7, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*.

FERC Order No. 1000

On August 15, 2014, the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals upheld the FERC's authority to order major changes to transmission planning and cost allocation in FERC Order No. 1000 and Order No. 1000-A, including transmission planning for public policy needs, and the requirement that utilities remove from their transmission tariffs their rights of first refusal to build transmission. On March 19, 2015, the FERC acted on all rehearing requests filed by the NETOs, including CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO, and other parties and accepted the November 2013 compliance filing made by ISO-NE and the NETOs, subject to further compliance. The FERC accepted our proposal that the new competitive transmission planning process will not apply to certain projects, which have been declared as the preferred solution by ISO-NE, unless ISO-NE later decides a solution must be re-evaluated. The FERC determined on rehearing that we can restore provisions that recognize the NETOs rights to retain use and control of their existing rights of ways. Final compliance was filed by the NETOs in November 2015 and was accepted by the FERC on December 14, 2015.

Additionally, the FERC affirmed that it can eliminate our right of first refusal to build transmission in New England even though the FERC previously approved and granted special protections to these rights. The NETOs filed an appeal to the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals, challenging this FERC ruling. State regulators also filed an appeal, challenging FERC s determination that ISO-NE should select public policy transmission projects after a competitive process. The Court is expected to resolve the appeals in 2016.

Transmission Projects

During 2015, we were involved in the planning, development and construction of a series of electric transmission projects, including the NEEWS family of projects; the Greater Hartford Central Connecticut (GHCC) solutions; and Greater Boston Reliability Solutions, which are a series of new transmission projects over the next five years that will enhance system reliability and improve capacity. We were involved in the planning and

development of Northern Pass, which is our planned HVDC transmission line from the Québec-New Hampshire border to Franklin, New Hampshire and an associated alternating current radial transmission line between Franklin and Deerfield, New Hampshire; and the Clean Energy Connect Project, which is a planned transmission, wind and hydro generation project that we intend to develop with experienced renewable generation companies. For further information, see "Business Development and Capital Expenditures" Electric Transmission Business" in the accompanying Item 7, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*.

Transmission Rate Base

Under our FERC-approved tariff, and with the exception of transmission projects that received specific FERC approval to include CWIP in rate base, transmission projects generally enter rate base after they are placed in commercial operation. At the end of 2015, our estimated transmission rate base was approximately \$5.2 billion, including approximately \$2.4 billion at CL&P, \$1.4 billion at NSTAR Electric, \$548 million at PSNH, and \$625 million at WMECO.

NATURAL GAS DISTRIBUTION SEGMENT

NSTAR Gas distributes natural gas to approximately 286,000 customers in 51 communities in central and eastern Massachusetts covering 1,067 square miles, and Yankee Gas distributes natural gas to approximately 226,000 customers in 71 cities and towns in Connecticut covering 2,187 square miles. Total throughput (sales and transportation) in 2015 was approximately 71.7 Bcf for NSTAR Gas and 57.8 Bcf for Yankee Gas. Our natural gas businesses provide firm natural gas sales service to retail customers who require a continuous natural gas supply throughout the year, such as residential customers who rely on natural gas for heating, hot water and cooking needs, and commercial and industrial customers who choose to purchase natural gas from Eversource Energy's natural gas distribution companies. A portion of the storage of natural gas supply for NSTAR Gas during the winter heating season is provided by Hopkinton LNG Corp., an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Eversource Energy. NSTAR Gas has access to Hopkinton LNG Corp. facilities in Hopkinton, Massachusetts consisting of a LNG liquefaction and vaporization plant and three above-ground cryogenic storage tanks having an aggregate capacity of 3.0 Bcf of liquefied natural gas. NSTAR Gas also has access to Hopkinton LNG Corp. facilities in Acushnet, Massachusetts that include additional storage capacity of 0.5 Bcf and additional vaporization capacity.

Yankee Gas owns a 1.2 Bcf LNG facility in Waterbury, Connecticut, which is used primarily to assist Yankee Gas in meeting its supplier-of-last-resort obligations and also enables it to provide economic supply and make economic refill of natural gas typically during periods of low demand.

NSTAR Gas and Yankee Gas generate revenues primarily through the sale and/or transportation of natural gas. Predominantly all residential customers in the NSTAR Gas service territory buy gas supply and delivery from NSTAR Gas while all customers may choose their natural gas suppliers. Retail natural gas service in Connecticut is partially unbundled: residential customers in Yankee Gas' service territory buy natural gas supply and delivery only

from Yankee Gas while commercial and industrial customers may choose their natural gas suppliers. NSTAR Gas offers firm transportation service to all customers who purchase natural gas from sources other than NSTAR Gas while Yankee Gas offers firm transportation service to its commercial and industrial customers who purchase natural gas from sources other than Yankee Gas. In addition, both natural gas distribution companies offer interruptible transportation and interruptible natural gas sales service to those high volume commercial and industrial customers, generally during the colder months, that have the capability to switch from natural gas to an alternative fuel on short notice, for whom NSTAR Gas and Yankee Gas can interrupt service during peak demand periods or at any other time to maintain distribution system integrity.

The following table shows the sources of the 2015 total Eversource Energy natural gas franchise retail revenues based on categories of customers:

(Thousands of Dollars, except		
percentages)	2015	% of Total
Residential	\$ 497,873	54
Commercial	327,439	36
Industrial	93,378	10
Total Retail Natural Gas	\$ 019 600	
Revenues	918,690	100%

A summary of our firm natural gas sales volumes in million cubic feet and percentage changes for 2015, as compared to 2014, is as follows:

			Percentage
	2015	2014	Change
Residential	38,455	38,969	(1.3)%
Commercial	43,006	42,977	0.1 %
Industrial	21,538	22,245	(3.2)%
Total	102,999	104,191	(1.1)%
Total, Net of Special Contracts			
(1)	98,458	99,500	(1.0)%

(1)

1 (D 11

Special contracts are unique to the customers who take service under such an arrangement and generally specify the amount of distribution revenue to be paid to Yankee Gas regardless of the customers' usage.

Our firm natural gas sales volumes are subject to many of the same influences as our retail electric sales volumes. In addition, they have benefited from customer growth in both of our natural gas distribution companies. In 2015, consolidated firm natural gas sales volumes were lower, as compared to 2014. The 2015 firm natural gas sales volumes were negatively impacted by record warm weather in the fourth quarter of 2015, when compared to 2014,

partially offset by colder winter weather in the first quarter of 2015, as compared to 2014, throughout our natural gas service territories. Weather-normalized Eversource consolidated firm natural gas sales volumes increased 2.5 percent in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to improved economic conditions as well as residential and commercial customer growth, through conversions to natural gas service.

Rates

.

.

NSTAR Gas and Yankee Gas are subject to regulation by the DPU and the PURA, respectively, which, among other things, have jurisdiction over rates, certain dispositions of property and plant, mergers and consolidations, issuances of long-term securities, standards of service and construction and operation of facilities. Both of Eversource Energy's natural gas companies are entitled under their respective state law to charge rates that are sufficient to allow them an opportunity to recover their reasonable operating and capital costs, in order to attract needed capital and maintain their financial integrity, while also protecting relevant public interests.

Retail natural gas delivery and supply rates are established by the DPU and the PURA and are comprised of:

A distribution charge consisting of a fixed customer charge and a demand and/or energy charge that collects the costs of building and expanding the natural gas infrastructure to deliver natural gas supply to its customers. This also includes collection of ongoing operating costs;

A seasonal cost of gas adjustment clause (CGAC) at NSTAR Gas that collects natural gas supply costs, pipeline and storage capacity costs, costs related to charge-offs of uncollected energy costs and working capital related costs. The CGAC is reset semi-annually. In addition, NSTAR Gas files interim changes to its CGAC factor when the actual costs of natural gas supply vary from projections by more than five percent; and

A local distribution adjustment clause (LDAC) at NSTAR Gas that collects all energy efficiency and related program costs, environmental costs, pension and PBOP related costs, attorney general consultant costs, and costs associated with low income customers. The LDAC is reset annually and provides for the recovery of certain costs applicable to both sales and transportation customers.

Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) clause, which allows Yankee Gas to recover the costs of the procurement of natural gas for its firm and seasonal customers. Differences between actual natural gas costs and collection amounts on August 31st of each year are deferred and then recovered from or refunded to customers during the following year. Carrying charges on outstanding balances are calculated using Yankee Gas' weighted average cost of capital in accordance with the directives of the PURA; and

Conservation Adjustment Mechanism (CAM) at Yankee Gas, which allows 100 percent recovery of conservation costs through this mechanism including program incentives to promote energy efficiency, as well as recovery of any lost revenues associated with implementation of energy conservation measures. A reconciliation of CAM revenues to expenses is performed annually with any difference being recovered from or refunded to customers, with carrying charges, during the following year.

NSTAR Gas purchases financial contracts based on NYMEX natural gas futures in order to reduce cash flow variability associated with the purchase price for approximately one-third of its natural gas purchases. These purchases are made under a program approved by the DPU in 2006. This practice attempts to minimize the impact of fluctuations in natural gas prices to NSTAR Gas' firm natural gas customers. These financial contracts do not procure natural gas supply. All costs incurred or benefits realized when these contracts are settled are included in the CGAC.

NSTAR Gas is subject to service quality (SQ) metrics that measure safety, reliability and customer service and could be required to pay to customers a SQ charge of up to 2.5 percent of annual distribution revenues for failing to meet such metrics. NSTAR Gas will not be required to pay a SQ charge for its 2015 performance as it achieved results at or above target for all of its SQ metrics in 2015.

On October 30, 2015, the DPU issued its order in the NSTAR Gas distribution rate case, which approved an annualized base rate increase of \$15.8 million, plus other increases of approximately \$11.5 million, mostly relating to recovery of pension and PBOP expenses and the Hopkinton Gas Service Agreement, effective January 1, 2016. In the order, the DPU also approved an authorized regulatory ROE of 9.8 percent, the establishment of a revenue decoupling mechanism, the recovery of certain bad debt expenses, and a 52.1 percent equity component of its capital structure. On November 19, 2015, NSTAR Gas filed a motion for reconsideration of the order with the DPU seeking the correction of mathematical errors and other plant and cost of service items.

Yankee Gas last rate proceeding was in 2011, which approved an allowed ROE of 8.83 percent and allowed for a substantial increase in annual spending for bare steel and cast iron pipeline replacement. In 2015, Yankee Gas entered into a settlement agreement with the PURA staff pursuant to which Yankee Gas provided a \$1.5 million rate credit to firm customers beginning in December 2015, and established an earnings sharing mechanism whereby Yankee Gas and its customers will share equally in any earnings exceeding a 9.5 percent ROE in a twelve month period commencing with the period from April 1, 2015 through March 31, 2016.

Massachusetts Natural Gas Replacement and Expansion

On July 7, 2014, Massachusetts enacted "An Act Relative to Natural Gas Leaks" (the Act). The Act establishes a uniform natural gas leak classification standard for all Massachusetts natural gas utilities and a program that accelerates the replacement of aging natural gas infrastructure. The program will enable companies, including NSTAR Gas, to better manage the scheduling and costs of replacement. The Act also calls for the DPU to authorize natural gas utilities to design and offer programs to customers that will increase the availability, affordability and feasibility of natural gas service for new customers.

In October 2014, pursuant to the Act, NSTAR Gas filed the Gas System Enhancement Program (GSEP) with the DPU. NSTAR Gas' program accelerates the replacement of certain natural gas distribution facilities in the system to within 25 years. The GSEP includes a new tariff effective January 1, 2016 that provides NSTAR Gas an opportunity to collect the costs for the program on an annual basis through a newly designed

reconciling factor. On April 30, 2015, the DPU approved the GSEP. We expect capital expenditures of approximately \$255 million for the period 2016 through 2019 for the GSEP.

Connecticut Natural Gas Expansion Plan

In 2013, in accordance with Connecticut law and regulations, the PURA approved a comprehensive joint natural gas infrastructure expansion plan (expansion plan) filed by Yankee Gas and other Connecticut natural gas distribution companies. The expansion plan described how Yankee Gas expects to add approximately 82,000 new natural gas heating customers over a 10-year period. Yankee Gas estimates that its portion of the plan will cost approximately \$700 million over 10 years. In January 2015, the PURA approved a joint settlement agreement proposed by Yankee Gas and other Connecticut natural gas distribution companies and regulatory agencies that clarified the procedures and oversight criteria applicable to the expansion plan. On March 20, 2015, Yankee Gas filed its initial System Expansion (SE) Rate reconciliation for 2014. The proposed SE rate was approved by the PURA for implementation as of April 1, 2015, pending final PURA approval following a contested hearing.

Sources and Availability of Natural Gas Supply

NSTAR Gas maintains a flexible resource portfolio consisting of natural gas supply contracts, transportation contracts on interstate pipelines, market area storage and peaking services. NSTAR Gas purchases transportation, storage, and balancing services from Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company and Algonquin Gas Transmission Company, as well as other upstream pipelines that transport gas from major producing regions in the U.S., including the Gulf Coast, Mid-continent region, and Appalachian Shale supplies to the final delivery points in the NSTAR Gas service area. NSTAR Gas purchases all of its natural gas supply under a firm portfolio management contract with a term of one year, which has a maximum quantity of approximately 154,700 MMBtu/day of firm flowing natural gas supplies and 76,700 MMBtu/day of firm natural gas storage supplies.

In addition to the firm transportation and natural gas supplies mentioned above, NSTAR Gas utilizes contracts for underground storage and LNG facilities to meet its winter peaking demands. The LNG facilities, described below, are located within NSTAR Gas' distribution system and are used to liquefy and store pipeline natural gas during the warmer months for vaporization and use during the heating season. During the summer injection season, excess pipeline capacity and supplies are used to deliver and store natural gas in market area underground storage facilities located in the New York and Pennsylvania regions. Stored natural gas is withdrawn during the winter season to supplement flowing pipeline supplies in order to meet firm heating demand. NSTAR Gas has firm underground storage contracts and total storage capacity entitlements of approximately 6.6 Bcf.

A portion of the storage of natural gas supply for NSTAR Gas during the winter heating season is provided by Hopkinton LNG Corp., which owns an LNG liquefaction and vaporization plant and three above-ground cryogenic storage tanks having an aggregate capacity of 3.0 Bcf of liquefied natural gas. NSTAR Gas also has access to Hopkinton LNG Corp. facilities that include additional storage capacity of 0.5 Bcf and additional vaporization

capacity.

The PURA requires that Yankee Gas meet the needs of its firm customers under all weather conditions. Specifically, Yankee Gas must structure its supply portfolio to meet firm customer needs under a design day scenario (defined as the coldest day in 30 years) and under a design year scenario (defined as the average of the four coldest years in the last 30 years). Yankee Gas' on-system stored LNG and underground storage supplies help to meet consumption needs during the coldest days of winter. Yankee Gas obtains its interstate capacity from the three interstate pipelines that directly serve Connecticut: the Algonquin, Tennessee and Iroquois Pipelines. Yankee Gas has long-term firm contracts for capacity on TransCanada Pipelines Limited Pipeline, Vector Pipeline, L.P., Tennessee Gas Pipeline, Iroquois Gas Transmission Pipeline, Algonquin Pipeline, Union Gas Limited, Dominion Transmission, Inc., National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation, Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, and Texas Eastern Transmission, L.P. pipelines.

Based on information currently available regarding projected growth in demand and estimates of availability of future supplies of pipeline natural gas, NSTAR Gas and Yankee Gas each believes that participation in planned and anticipated pipeline and storage expansion projects will be required in order for it to meet current and future sales growth opportunities.

NATURAL GAS PIPELINE EXPANSION

Access Northeast is a natural gas pipeline and storage project (the "Project") being developed jointly by Eversource, Spectra Energy Corp and National Grid. Access Northeast will enhance the Algonquin and Maritimes & Northeast pipeline systems using existing routes and will include two new LNG storage tanks and liquefaction and vaporization facilities in Acushnet, Massachusetts that will be connected to the Algonquin gas pipeline. The Project is expected to be capable of delivering approximately 900 million cubic feet of additional natural gas per day to New England on peak demand days. Eversource and Spectra Energy Corp each own a 40 percent interest in the Project, with the remaining 20 percent interest owned by National Grid. The total projected cost for both the pipeline and the LNG storage is expected to be approximately \$3 billion with anticipated in-service dates commencing in November 2018. The Project is subject to FERC and other federal and state regulatory approvals. On November 17, 2015, the FERC accepted the Project s request to initiate the pre-filing review process. Upon completion of the pre-filing review, a certificate application will be filed with the FERC. In late 2015, the Project bid into the New England Natural Gas Pipeline Capacity RFP conducted by certain EDCs in Massachusetts and Rhode Island, including NSTAR Electric and WMECO in Massachusetts, and in December 2015 and January 2016, those Massachusetts EDCs filed with the DPU seeking approval of the contracts for pipeline and storage capacity with the Project. We expect the Rhode Island EDC to file its selected contracts with the Rhode Island regulatory agencies in the first half of 2016. In February 2016, PSNH filed for approval with the NHPUC, of its proposed contract for natural gas pipeline capacity and storage with the Project.

PROJECTED CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

We project to make capital expenditures of approximately \$9.2 billion from 2016 through 2019. Of the \$9.2 billion, we expect to invest approximately \$4.9 billion in our electric and natural gas distribution segments and \$3.9 billion in our electric transmission segment. In addition, we

project to invest approximately \$0.4 billion in information technology and facilities upgrades and enhancements. These projections do not include capital expenditures related to Access Northeast or Clean Energy Connect.

FINANCING

Our credit facilities and indentures require that Eversource Energy parent and certain of its subsidiaries, including CL&P, NSTAR Electric, NSTAR Gas, PSNH, WMECO and Yankee Gas, comply with certain financial and non-financial covenants as are customarily included in such agreements, including maintaining a ratio of consolidated debt to total capitalization of no more than 65 percent. All of these companies currently are, and expect to remain, in compliance with these covenants.

As of December 31, 2015, a total of \$200 million of Eversource s long-term debt, all at NSTAR Electric, will be paid in the next 12 months.

NUCLEAR FUEL STORAGE

CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH, WMECO and several other New England electric utilities are stockholders in three inactive regional nuclear generation companies, CYAPC, MYAPC and YAEC (collectively, the Yankee Companies). The Yankee Companies have completed the physical decommissioning of their respective generation facilities and are now engaged in the long-term storage of their spent nuclear fuel. The Yankee Companies have completed collection of their decommissioning and closure costs through the proceeds from the spent nuclear fuel litigation against the DOE and has refunded amounts to its member companies. These proceeds were used by the Yankee Companies to offset the decommissioning and closure cost amounts due from their member companies or to decrease the wholesale FERC-approved rates charged under power purchase agreements with CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO and several other New England utilities. The decommissioning rates charged by the Yankee Companies have been reduced to zero. CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO can recover these costs from, or refund proceeds to, their customers through state regulatory commission-approved retail rates.

We consolidate the assets and obligations of CYAPC and YAEC on our consolidated balance sheet because we own more than 50 percent of these companies.

For information on the DOE proceeds received related to the spent nuclear fuel litigation, see Note 11C, "Commitments and Contingencies Contractual Obligations Yankee Companies," in the accompanying Item 8, *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*.

OTHER REGULATORY AND ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

General

We are regulated in virtually all aspects of our business by various federal and state agencies, including FERC, the SEC, and various state and/or local regulatory authorities with jurisdiction over the industry and the service areas in which each of our companies operates, including the PURA, which has jurisdiction over CL&P and Yankee Gas, the NHPUC, which has jurisdiction over PSNH, and the DPU, which has jurisdiction over NSTAR Electric, NSTAR Gas and WMECO.

Environmental Regulation

We are subject to various federal, state and local requirements with respect to water quality, air quality, toxic substances, hazardous waste and other environmental matters. Additionally, major generation and transmission facilities may not be constructed or significantly modified without a review of the environmental impact of the proposed construction or modification by the applicable federal or state agencies.

Water Quality Requirements

The Clean Water Act requires every "point source" discharger of pollutants into navigable waters to obtain a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit from the EPA or state environmental agency specifying the allowable quantity and characteristics of its effluent. States may also require additional permits for discharges into state waters. We are in the process of maintaining or renewing all required NPDES or state discharge permits in effect for PSNH's generation facilities.

In 1997, PSNH filed in a timely manner for a renewal of the NPDES permit for the Merrimack Station. As a result, the existing permit was administratively continued. In 2011, the EPA issued a draft renewal NPDES permit for PSNH's Merrimack Station for public review and comment. The proposed permit contains many significant conditions to future operation. The proposed permit would require PSNH to install a closed-cycle cooling system (including cooling towers) at the station. The EPA estimated that the net present value cost to install this system and operate it over a 20-year period would be approximately \$112 million. PSNH and other electric utility groups filed thousands of pages of comments contesting EPA's draft permit requirements. PSNH stated that the data and studies supplied to the EPA demonstrate the fact that a closed-cycle cooling system is not warranted. On April 18, 2015 EPA issued a revised section of the draft NPDES permit for Merrimack Station. The revised portion of the draft permit deals solely with the treatment of wastewater from the flue gas desulfurization system. On August 18, 2015 PSNH again submitted comments. The EPA does not have a set deadline to consider comments and to issue a final permit. Merrimack Station is permitted to continue to operate under its present permit pending issuance of the final permit

and subsequent resolution of matters appealed by PSNH and other parties. Due to the site specific characteristics of PSNH's other coal- and oil-fired electric generating stations, we believe it is unlikely that they would face similar permitting determinations.

-1	\mathbf{a}
	- 1
1	

Air Quality Requirements

The Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA), as well as New Hampshire law, impose stringent requirements on emissions of SO_2 and NO_X for the purpose of controlling acid rain and ground level ozone. In addition, the CAAA address the control of toxic air pollutants. Requirements for the installation of continuous emissions monitors and expanded permitting provisions also are included.

In 2011, the EPA finalized the Mercury and Air Toxic Standards (MATS) that require the reduction of emissions of hazardous air pollutants from new and existing coal- and oil-fired electric generating stations. Previously referred to as the Utility MACT (maximum achievable control technology) rules, it establishes emission limits for mercury, arsenic and other hazardous air pollutants from coal- and oil-fired electric generating stations. MATS is the first implementation of a nationwide emissions standard for hazardous air pollutants across all electric generating units and provides utility companies with up to five years to meet the requirements. PSNH owns and operates approximately 1,000 MW of coal- and oil-fired electric generating stations subject to MATS, including the two units at Merrimack Station, Newington Station and the two coal units at Schiller Station. We believe the Clean Air Project at our Merrimack Station, together with existing equipment, will enable the facility to meet the MATS requirements. At Schiller Station additional controls are being installed at the two coal-fired units, the cost of which is estimated to be approximately \$2.5 million.

Each of the states in which we do business also has Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS) requirements, which generally require fixed percentages of our energy supply to come from renewable energy sources such as solar, hydropower, landfill gas, fuel cells and other similar sources.

New Hampshire's RPS provision requires increasing percentages of the electricity sold to retail customers to have direct ties to renewable sources. In 2015, the total RPS obligation was 8.3 percent and it will ultimately reach 24.8 percent in 2025. Energy suppliers, like PSNH, must possess sufficient quantities of RECs to satisfy the RPS requirements. PSNH owns renewable sources and uses a portion of internally generated RECs to meet its RPS obligations and sells other internally generated RECs when it is economically beneficial to do so. To the extent that a supplier, like PSNH, does not possess sufficient RECs to satisfy its RPS requirements, it makes up any shortfall by making an alternative compliance payment at a rate per REC established by law. The costs of both the RECs and alternative compliance payments are recovered by PSNH through its default energy service rates charged to customers.

Similarly, Connecticut's RPS statute requires increasing percentages of the electricity sold to retail customers to have direct ties to renewable sources. In 2015, the total RPS obligation was 19.5 percent and will ultimately reach 27 percent in 2020. CL&P is permitted to recover any costs incurred in complying with RPS from its customers through its GSC rate.

Massachusetts' RPS program also requires electricity suppliers to meet renewable energy standards. For 2015, the requirement was 19.25 percent, and will ultimately reach 22.1 percent in 2020. NSTAR Electric and WMECO are permitted to recover any costs incurred in complying with RPS from its customers through rates. WMECO also owns renewable solar generation resources. The RECs generated from WMECO's solar units are sold to other energy suppliers, and the proceeds from these sales are credited back to customers.

Hazardous Materials Regulations

We have recorded a liability for what we believe, based upon currently available information, is our reasonably estimable environmental investigation, remediation, and/or Natural Resource Damages costs for waste disposal sites for which we have probable liability. Under federal and state law, government agencies and private parties can attempt to impose liability on us for recovery of investigation and remediation costs at hazardous waste sites. As of December 31, 2015, the liability recorded for our reasonably estimable and probable environmental remediation costs for known sites needing investigation and/or remediation, exclusive of recoveries from insurance or from third parties, was approximately \$51.1 million, representing 64 sites. These costs could be significantly higher if additional remediation becomes necessary or when additional information as to the extent of contamination becomes available.

The most significant liabilities currently relate to future clean-up costs at former MGP facilities. These facilities were owned and operated by our predecessor companies from the mid-1800's to mid-1900's. By-products from the manufacture of gas using coal resulted in fuel oils, hydrocarbons, coal tar, purifier wastes, metals and other waste products that may pose risks to human health and the environment. We currently have partial or full ownership responsibilities at former MGP sites that have a reserve balance of \$45.5 million of the total \$51.1 million as of December 31, 2015. Many of these MGP costs are recoverable from customers through our rates.

Electric and Magnetic Fields

For more than twenty years, published reports have discussed the possibility of adverse health effects from electric and magnetic fields (EMF) associated with electric transmission and distribution facilities and appliances and wiring in buildings and homes. Although weak health risk associations reported in some epidemiology studies remain unexplained, most researchers, as well as numerous scientific review panels, considering all significant EMF epidemiology and laboratory studies, have concluded that the available body of scientific information does not support the conclusion that EMF affects human health.

In accordance with recommendations of various regulatory bodies and public health organizations, we reduce EMF associated with new transmission lines by the use of designs that can be implemented without additional cost or at a modest cost. We do not believe that other capital expenditures are appropriate to minimize unsubstantiated risks.

Global Climate Change and Greenhouse Gas Emission Issues

Global climate change and greenhouse gas emission issues have received an increased focus from state governments and the federal government. The EPA initiated a rulemaking addressing greenhouse gas emissions and, on December 7, 2009, issued a finding that concluded that greenhouse gas emissions are "air pollution" that endangers public health and welfare and should be regulated. The largest source of greenhouse gas emissions in the U.S. is the electricity generating sector. The EPA has mandated greenhouse gas emission reporting beginning in 2011 for emissions for certain aspects of our business including stationary combustion, volume of gas supplied to large customers and fugitive emissions of SF_6 gas and methane.

We are continually evaluating the regulatory risks and regulatory uncertainty presented by climate change concerns. Such concerns could potentially lead to additional rules and regulations that impact how we operate our business, both in terms of the generating facilities we own and operate as well as general utility operations. These could include federal "cap and trade" laws, carbon taxes, fuel and energy taxes, or regulations requiring additional capital expenditures at our generating facilities. We expect that any costs of these rules and regulations would be recovered from customers.

Connecticut, New Hampshire and Massachusetts are each members of the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI), a cooperative effort by nine northeastern and mid-Atlantic states, to develop a regional program for stabilizing and reducing CO_2 emissions from coal- and oil-fired electric generating plants. Because CO_2 allowances issued by any participating state are usable across all nine RGGI state programs, the individual state CO_2 trading programs, in the aggregate, form one regional compliance market for CO_2 emissions. The third three-year control period took effect on January 1, 2015 and extends through December 31, 2017. In this control period, each regulated power plant must hold CO_2 allowances equal to 50 percent of its emissions during each of the first two years of the three-year period, and hold CO_2 allowances equal to 100 percent of its remaining emissions for the three-year control period at the end of the period.

PSNH anticipates that its generating units will emit between one million and three million tons of CO_2 per year, depending on the capacity factor and the utilization of the respective generation plant, excluding emissions from the operation of PSNH's Northern Wood Power Project, which emissions are an offset. PSNH satisfied its RGGI requirements by purchasing CO_2 allowances at auction. The cost of complying with RGGI requirements is recoverable from PSNH customers. Current legislation provides that the portion of the RGGI auction proceeds in excess of \$1 per allowance will be refunded to customers.

Because none of Eversource Energy's other subsidiaries, CL&P, NSTAR Electric or WMECO, currently owns any generating assets (other than WMECO's solar photovoltaic facilities that do not emit CO_2), none of them is required to acquire CO_2 allowances. However, the CO_2 allowance costs borne by the generating facilities that are utilized by wholesale energy suppliers to satisfy energy supply requirements to CL&P, NSTAR Electric and WMECO are likely to be included in the overall wholesale rates charged, which costs are then recoverable from customers.

FERC Hydroelectric Project Licensing

Federal Power Act licenses may be issued for hydroelectric projects for terms of 30 to 50 years as determined by the FERC. Upon the expiration of an existing license, (i) the FERC may issue a new license to the existing licensee, (ii) the United States may take over the project, or (iii) the FERC may issue a new license to a new licensee, upon payment to the existing licensee of the lesser of the fair value or the net investment in the project, plus severance damages, less certain amounts earned by the licensee in excess of a reasonable rate of return.

PSNH currently owns nine hydroelectric generating stations with a current claimed capability representing winter rates of approximately 71 MW, eight of which are licensed by the FERC under long-term licenses that expire on varying dates from 2017 through 2047. PSNH and its hydroelectric projects are subject to conditions set forth in such licenses, the Federal Power Act and related FERC regulations, including provisions related to the condemnation of a project upon payment of just compensation, amortization of project investment from excess project earnings, possible takeover of a project after expiration of its license upon payment of net investment and severance damages and other matters. PSNH is currently completing the relicensing application for its 6.5 MW Eastman Falls Hydro Station, the license for which expires in 2017.

EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2015, Eversource Energy employed a total of 7,943 employees, excluding temporary employees, of which 1,037 were employed by CL&P, 1,240 were employed by NSTAR Electric, 694 were employed by PSNH, and 291 were employed by WMECO. Approximately 50 percent of our employees are members of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, the Utility Workers Union of America or The United Steelworkers, and are covered by 14 collective bargaining agreements.

INTERNET INFORMATION

Our website address is www.eversource.com. We make available through our website a link to the SEC's EDGAR website (http://www.sec.gov/edgar/searchedgar/companysearch.html), at which site Eversource Energy's, CL&P's, NSTAR Electric's, PSNH's and WMECO's Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to those reports may be reviewed. Information contained on the Company's website or that can be accessed through the website is not incorporated into and does not constitute a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Printed copies of these reports may be obtained free of charge by writing to our Investor Relations Department at Eversource Energy, 107 Selden Street, Berlin, CT 06037.

Item 1A.

Risk Factors

In addition to the matters set forth under "Safe Harbor Statement Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995" included immediately prior to Item 1, *Business*, above, we are subject to a variety of significant risks. Our susceptibility to certain risks, including those discussed in detail below, could exacerbate other risks. These risk factors should be considered carefully in evaluating our risk profile.

Cyber breaches, acts of war or terrorism, or grid disturbances could negatively impact our business.

Cyber breaches, acts of war or terrorism, physical attacks or grid disturbances resulting from internal or external sources could target our transmission, distribution and generation facilities or our information technology systems. Such actions could impair our ability to manage these facilities, operate our systems effectively, or properly manage our data, networks and programs, resulting in loss of service to customers.

We have instituted safeguards to protect our operational systems and information technology assets. We devote substantial resources to network and application security, encryption and other measures to protect our computer systems and infrastructure from unauthorized access or misuse and interface with numerous external entities to improve our cybersecurity situational awareness. FERC, through the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, requires certain safeguards to be implemented to deter cyber and/or physical attacks. These safeguards may not always be effective due to the evolving nature of cyber and/or physical attacks.

Because our generation and transmission facilities are part of an interconnected regional grid, we face the risk of blackout due to a disruption on a neighboring interconnected system.

Any such cyber breaches, acts of war or terrorism, physical attacks or grid disturbances could result in a significant decrease in revenues, significant expense to repair system damage or security breaches, and liability claims, which could have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Strategic development opportunities in both electric and natural gas transmission may not be successful and projects may not commence operation as scheduled or be completed, which could have a material adverse effect on our business prospects.

We are pursuing broader strategic development investment opportunities that will benefit the New England region related to the construction of electric and natural gas transmission facilities, interconnections to generating resources and other investment opportunities. The development, construction and expansion of electric transmission and natural gas transmission facilities involve numerous risks. Various factors could result in increased costs or result in delays or cancellation of these projects. Risks include regulatory approval processes, new legislation, economic events or factors, environmental and community concerns, design and siting issues, difficulties in obtaining required rights of way, competition from incumbent utilities and other entities, and actions of strategic partners. Should any of these factors result in such delays or cancellations, our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows could be adversely affected or our future growth opportunities may not be realized as anticipated.

As a result of legislative and regulatory changes during 2015, the states in which we provide service have implemented new procedures to select for construction new major electric transmission and gas pipeline facilities. These procedures require the review of competing projects and permit the selection of only those projects that are expected to provide the greatest benefit to customers. If the projects in which we have invested are not selected for construction, it would have a material adverse effect on our future financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

The actions of regulators and legislators can significantly affect our earnings, liquidity and business activities.

The rates that our electric and gas companies charge their customers are determined by their state regulatory commissions and by FERC. These commissions also regulate the companies' accounting, operations, the issuance of certain securities and certain other matters. FERC also regulates the transmission of electric energy, the sale of electric energy at wholesale, accounting, issuance of certain securities and certain other matters.

Under state and federal law, our electric and gas companies are entitled to charge rates that are sufficient to allow them an opportunity to recover their reasonable operating and capital costs, to attract needed capital and maintain their financial integrity, while also protecting relevant public interests. Each of these companies prepares and submits periodic rate filings with their respective regulatory commissions for review and approval.

The FERC has jurisdiction over our transmission costs recovery and the allowed return on equity. The ROE has been contested by outside parties as unjust and unreasonable. Certain outside parties have filed three complaints against all electric companies under the jurisdiction of ISO-NE alleging that the ROE is unjust and unreasonable. The first complaint, which was concluded in 2015, resulted in a decrease of the allowed ROE. The second and third complaints are currently under review with the FERC. The FERC has initiated a review of the regional and local transmission rates due to a lack of adequate transparency. FERC also found that the formula rates generally lacked sufficient details to determine how costs are derived and recovered in rates.

A federal appeals court decision has upheld the FERC's authority to order major changes to transmission planning and cost allocation in FERC Order No. 1000 and Order No. 1000-A, including transmission planning for public policy

needs, and the requirement that utilities remove from their transmission tariffs their rights of first refusal to build transmission. Additionally, the FERC affirmed that it can eliminate our right of first refusal to build transmission in New England even though the FERC previously approved and granted special protections to these rights. Implementation of FERC's goals in New England, including within our service territories, may expose us to competition for construction of transmission projects, additional regulatory considerations, and potential delay with respect to future transmission projects, which may adversely affect our results of operation.

There is no assurance that the commissions will approve the recovery of all costs incurred by our electric and gas companies, including costs for construction, operation and maintenance, as well as a reasonable return on their respective regulated assets. The amount of costs incurred by the companies, coupled with increases in fuel and energy prices, could lead to consumer or regulatory resistance to the timely recovery of such costs, thereby adversely affecting our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

If our settlement agreement regarding the divestiture of our generation assets in New Hampshire is not approved, it could have a material adverse effect on our earnings.

Under our settlement agreement for the divestiture of our generation assets in New Hampshire, we will be entitled to collect from customers an amount equal to the difference between the proceeds from the sale of these assets and the undepreciated book value of those assets. Costs related to the divestiture would also be recoverable. To minimize the financial impact on customers in New Hampshire, the legislature passed legislation that allows for the securitization of stranded costs to be recovered. If the NHPUC does not approve the settlement, we may not be able to fully recover these costs in future rate proceedings, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Our transmission, distribution and generation systems may not operate as expected, and could require unplanned expenditures, which could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Our ability to properly operate our transmission, distribution and generation systems is critical to the financial performance of our business. Our transmission, distribution and generation businesses face several operational risks, including the breakdown, failure of, or damage to operating equipment, information technology systems, or processes, especially due to age; labor disputes; disruptions in the delivery of electricity and natural gas, including impacts on us or our customers; increased capital expenditure requirements, including those due to environmental regulation; catastrophic events such as fires, explosions, or other similar occurrences; extreme weather conditions beyond equipment and plant design capacity; other unanticipated operations and maintenance expenses and liabilities; and potential claims for property damage or personal injuries beyond the scope of our insurance coverage. Many of our transmission projects are expected to alleviate identified reliability issues and reduce customers' costs. However, if the in-service date for one or more of these projects is delayed due to economic events or factors, or regulatory or other delays, the risk of failures in the electricity transmission system may increase. Any failure of our transmission, distribution and generation and maintenance costs. Outages at generating stations may be deemed imprudent by the NHPUC resulting in disallowance of replacement power and repair costs. Such costs that are not recoverable from our customers would have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Increases in electric and gas prices and/or a weak economy can lead to changes in legislative and regulatory policy promoting increased energy efficiency, conservation, and self-generation and/or a reduction in our customers' ability to pay their bills, which may adversely impact our business.

Energy consumption is significantly impacted by the general level of economic activity and cost of energy supply. Economic downturns or periods of high energy supply costs typically can lead to the development of legislative and regulatory policy designed to promote reductions in energy consumption and increased energy efficiency and self-generation by customers. This focus on conservation, energy efficiency and self-generation may result in a decline in electricity and natural gas sales in our service territories. Economic downturns or periods of high energy supply costs can also impact customers ability to pay their energy bills, resulting in increased bad debt expense. If energy use were to decline or bad debt expense were to increase, without corresponding adjustments in rates at our electric and gas companies that do not currently have revenue decoupling, then our revenues would be reduced, which would have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Severe storms could cause significant damage to any of our facilities requiring extensive expenditures, the recovery for which is subject to approval by regulators.

Severe weather, such as ice and snow storms, hurricanes and other natural disasters, may cause outages and property damage, which may require us to incur additional costs that may not be recoverable from customers. The cost of repairing damage to our operating subsidiaries' facilities and the potential disruption of their operations due to storms, natural disasters or other catastrophic events could be substantial, particularly as regulators and customers demand better and quicker response times to outages. If, upon review, any of our state regulatory authorities finds that our actions were imprudent, some of those restoration costs may not be recoverable from customers. The inability to recover a significant amount of such costs could have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Our goodwill is valued and recorded at an amount that, if impaired and written down, could adversely affect our future operating results and total capitalization.

We have a significant amount of goodwill on our consolidated balance sheet. As of December 31, 2015, goodwill totaled \$3.5 billion. The carrying value of goodwill represents the fair value of an acquired business in excess of identifiable assets and liabilities as of the acquisition date. We test our goodwill balances for impairment on an annual basis or whenever events occur or circumstances change that would indicate a potential for impairment. A determination that goodwill is deemed to be impaired would result in a non-cash charge that could materially adversely affect our financial position, results of operations and total capitalization. The annual goodwill impairment test in 2015 resulted in a conclusion that our goodwill is not impaired.

Eversource Energy and its utility subsidiaries are exposed to significant reputational risks, which make them vulnerable to increased regulatory oversight or other sanctions.

Because utility companies, including our electric and natural gas utility subsidiaries, have large customer bases, they are subject to adverse publicity focused on the reliability of their distribution services and the speed with which they are able to respond to electric outages, natural gas leaks and similar interruptions caused by storm damage or other unanticipated events. Adverse publicity of this nature could harm the reputations of Eversource Energy and its subsidiaries; may make state legislatures, utility commissions and other regulatory authorities less likely to view Eversource Energy and its subsidiaries in a favorable light; and may cause Eversource Energy and its subsidiaries to be subject to less favorable legislative and regulatory outcomes or increased regulatory oversight. Unfavorable regulatory outcomes can include more stringent laws and regulations governing our operations, such as reliability and customer service quality standards or vegetation management requirements, as well as fines, penalties or other sanctions or requirements. The imposition of any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on the business, results of operations, cash flow and financial condition of Eversource Energy and each of its utility subsidiaries.

Limits on our access to and increases in the cost of capital may adversely impact our ability to execute our business plan.

We use short-term debt and the long-term capital markets as a significant source of liquidity and funding for capital requirements not obtained from our operating cash flow. If access to these sources of liquidity becomes constrained, our ability to implement our business strategy could be adversely affected. In addition, higher interest rates would increase our cost of borrowing, which could adversely impact our results of operations. A downgrade of our credit ratings or events beyond our control, such as a disruption in global capital and credit markets, could increase our cost of borrowing and cost of capital or restrict our ability to access the capital markets and negatively affect our ability to maintain and to expand our businesses.

Our counterparties may not meet their obligations to us or may elect to exercise their termination rights, which could adversely affect our earnings.

We are exposed to the risk that counterparties to various arrangements who owe us money, have contracted to supply us with energy, coal, or other commodities or services, or who work with us as strategic partners, including on significant capital projects, will not be able to perform their obligations, will terminate such arrangements or, with respect to our credit facilities, fail to honor their commitments. Should any of these counterparties fail to perform their obligations or terminate such arrangements, we might be forced to replace the underlying commitment at higher market prices and/or have to delay the completion of, or cancel a capital project. Should any lenders under our credit facilities fail to perform, the level of borrowing capacity under those arrangements could decrease. In any such events, our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows could be adversely affected.

The unauthorized access to and the misappropriation of confidential and proprietary customer, employee, financial or system operating information could adversely affect our business operations and adversely impact our reputation.

In the regular course of business we maintain sensitive customer, employee, financial and system operating information and are required by various federal and state laws to safeguard this information. Cyber intrusions, security breaches, theft or loss of this information by cyber crime or otherwise could lead to the release of critical operating information or confidential customer or employee information, which could adversely affect our business operations or adversely impact our reputation, and could result in significant costs, fines and litigation. We maintain limited privacy protection liability insurance to cover limited damages and defense costs arising from unauthorized disclosure of, or failure to protect, private information as well as costs for notification to, or for credit card monitoring of, customers, employees and other persons in the event of a breach of private information, and costs of a qualified forensics firm to determine the cause, source and extent of a network attack or to investigate, examine and analyze our network to find the cause, source and extent of a data breach. While we have implemented measures designed to prevent cyber-attacks and mitigate their effects should they occur. These measures may not be effective due to the continually evolving nature of efforts to access confidential information.

The loss of key personnel or the inability to hire and retain qualified employees could have an adverse effect on our business, financial position and results of operations.

Our operations depend on the continued efforts of our employees. Retaining key employees and maintaining the ability to attract new employees are important to both our operational and financial performance. We cannot guarantee that any member of our management or any key employee at the Eversource parent or subsidiary level will continue to serve in any capacity for any particular period of time. In addition, a significant portion of our workforce, including many workers with specialized skills maintaining and servicing the electrical infrastructure, will be eligible to retire over the next five to ten years. Such highly skilled individuals cannot be quickly replaced due to the technically complex work they perform. We have developed strategic workforce plans to identify key functions and proactively implement plans to assure a ready and qualified workforce, but cannot predict the impact of these plans on our ability to hire and retain key employees.

Market performance or changes in assumptions require us to make significant contributions to our pension and other postretirement benefit plans.

We provide a defined benefit pension plan and other postretirement benefits for a substantial number of employees, former employees and retirees. Our future pension obligations, costs and liabilities are highly dependent on a variety of factors beyond our control. These factors include estimated investment returns, interest rates, discount rates, health care cost trends, benefit changes, salary increases and the demographics of plan participants. If our assumptions prove to be inaccurate, our future costs could increase significantly. In addition, various factors, including underperformance of plan investments and changes in law or regulation, could increase the amount of contributions required to fund our pension plan in the future. Additional large funding requirements, when combined with the financing requirements of our construction program, could impact the timing and amount of future financings and negatively affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. For further information, see Note 9A, "Employee Benefits - Pensions and Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions," to the financial statements.

Costs of compliance with environmental regulations, including climate change legislation, may increase and have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Our subsidiaries' operations are subject to extensive federal, state and local environmental statutes, rules and regulations that govern, among other things, air emissions, water discharges and the management of hazardous and solid waste. Compliance with these requirements requires us to incur significant costs relating to environmental monitoring, maintenance and upgrading of facilities, remediation and permitting. The costs of compliance with existing legal requirements or legal requirements not yet adopted may increase in the future. An increase in such costs, unless promptly recovered, could have an adverse impact on our business and our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In addition, global climate change issues have received an increased focus from federal and state government agencies . Although we would expect that any costs of these rules and regulations would be recovered from customers, their impact on energy use by customers and the ultimate impact on our business would be dependent upon the specific rules and regulations adopted and cannot be determined at this time. The impact of these additional costs to customers could lead to a further reduction in energy consumption resulting in a decline in electricity and gas sales in our service territories, which would have an adverse impact on our business and financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Any failure by us to comply with environmental laws and regulations, even if due to factors beyond our control, or reinterpretations of existing requirements, could also increase costs. Existing environmental laws and regulations may be revised or new laws and regulations seeking to protect the environment may be adopted or become applicable to us. Revised or additional laws could result in significant additional expense and operating restrictions on our facilities or increased compliance costs, which may not be fully recoverable in distribution company rates. The cost impact of any such laws, rules or regulations would be dependent upon the specific requirements adopted and cannot be determined at this time. For further information, see Item 1, *Business - Other Regulatory and Environmental Matters*, included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

As a holding company with no revenue-generating operations, Eversource parent's liquidity is dependent on dividends from its subsidiaries, its commercial paper program, and its ability to access the long-term debt and equity capital markets.

Eversource parent is a holding company and as such, has no revenue-generating operations of its own. Its ability to meet its debt service obligations and to pay dividends on its common shares is largely dependent on the ability of its subsidiaries to pay dividends to or repay borrowings from Eversource parent, and/or Eversource parent's ability to access its commercial paper program or the long-term debt and equity capital markets. Prior to funding Eversource parent, the subsidiary companies have financial obligations that must be satisfied, including among others, their operating expenses, debt service, preferred dividends of certain subsidiaries, and obligations to trade creditors. Additionally, the subsidiary companies could retain their free cash flow to fund their capital expenditures in lieu of receiving equity contributions from Eversource parent. Should the subsidiary companies not be able to pay dividends or repay funds due to Eversource parent, or if Eversource parent's ability to pay interest, dividends and its own debt obligations would be restricted.

Item 1B.

Unresolved Staff Comments

We do not have any unresolved SEC staff comments.

Item 2.

Properties

Transmission and Distribution System

As of December 31, 2015, Eversource and our electric operating subsidiaries owned the following:

Eversource	Electric Distribution	Electric Transmission
Number of substations owned	512	66
Transformer capacity (in kVa)	41,484,000	13,780,000
Overhead lines (in circuit miles)	40,258	3,932
Capacity range of overhead transmission lines (in kV)	N/A	69 to 345
Underground lines (distribution in circuit miles and		
transmission in cable miles)	16,778	407
Capacity range of underground transmission lines (in kV)	N/A	69 to 345

CL	&Р	NSTAR	Electric	PS	NH	WM	IECO
Distribution 7	Fransmission	Distribution	Transmission	Distribution	Transmission	Distribution	Transmission
Number							
of substations ¹⁸²	19	133	24	154	16	43	7
owned							
Transformer							
capacity (in (in	3,117,000	11,431,000	6,728,000	5,257,000	3,868,000	5,191,000	67,000
kVa)							
Overhead							
lines							
(in							
circuit							
miles) 16,951	1,662	7,983	750	11,913	1,039	3,411	481
Capacity N/A	69 to 345	N/A	115 to 345	N/A	115 to 345	N/A	69 to 345
range							
of							

overhead

transm lines (in kV) Undergro lines (distribut								
in circuit miles and								
transm in cable miles) Capacity range of undergro		136	7,354	260	1,821	1	1,075	10
transm lines (in kV)	iission N/A	69 to 345	N/A	115 to 345	N/A	115	N/A	115

		NSTAR								
	Eversource	CL&P	Electric	PSNH	WMECO					
Underground and overhead line transformers in service	618,387	288,352	126,353	160,848	42,834					
Aggregate capacity (in kVa)	35,097,967	15,300,765	11,429,921	6,202,270	2,165,011					

Electric Generating Plants

As of December 31, 2015, PSNH owned the following electric generating plants:

Type of Plant	Number of Units	Year Installed	Claimed Capability* (kilowatts)
Steam Plants	5	1952-74	935,343
Hydro	20	1901-83	58,115
Internal Combustion	5	1968-70	101,869
Biomass	1	2006	42,594
Total PSNH Generating Plant	31		1,137,921

*

Claimed capability represents winter ratings as of December 31, 2015. The combined nameplate capacity of the generating plants is approximately 1,200 MW.

As of December 31, 2015, WMECO owned the following electric generating plants:

	Number	Year	Claimed Capability**
Type of Plant	of Sites	Installed	(kilowatts)
Solar Fixed Tilt, Photovoltaic	3	2010-14	8,000

** Claimed capability represents the direct current nameplate capacity of the plant.

CL&P and NSTAR Electric do not own any electric generating plants.

Natural Gas Distribution System

As of December 31, 2015, Yankee Gas owned 28 active gate stations, 203 district regulator stations, and approximately 3,317 miles of natural gas main pipeline. Yankee Gas also owns a liquefaction and vaporization plant and above ground storage tank with a storage capacity equivalent of 1.2 Bcf of natural gas in Waterbury, Connecticut.

As of December 31, 2015, NSTAR Gas owned 21 active gate stations, 164 district regulator stations, and approximately 3,250 miles of natural gas main pipeline. Hopkinton, another subsidiary of Eversource, owns a satellite vaporization plant and above ground storage tanks in Acushnet, MA. In addition, Hopkinton owns a liquefaction and vaporization plant with above ground storage tanks in Hopkinton, MA. Combined, the two plants' tanks have an aggregate storage capacity equivalent to 3.5 Bcf of natural gas that is provided to NSTAR Gas under contract.

Franchises

<u>CL&P</u> Subject to the power of alteration, amendment or repeal by the General Assembly of Connecticut and subject to certain approvals, permits and consents of public authority and others prescribed by statute, CL&P has, subject to certain exceptions not deemed material, valid franchises free from burdensome restrictions to provide electric transmission and distribution services in the respective areas in which it is now supplying such service.

In addition to the right to provide electric transmission and distribution services as set forth above, the franchises of CL&P include, among others, limited rights and powers, as set forth under Connecticut law and the special acts of the General Assembly constituting its charter, to manufacture, generate, purchase and/or sell electricity at retail, including to provide Standard Service, Supplier of Last Resort service and backup service, to sell electricity at wholesale and to erect and maintain certain facilities on public highways and grounds, all subject to such consents and approvals of public authority and others as may be required by law. The franchises of CL&P include the power of eminent domain. Connecticut law prohibits an electric distribution company from owning or operating generation assets. However, under "An Act Concerning Energy Independence," enacted in 2005, CL&P is permitted to own up to 200 MW of peaking facilities if the PURA determines that such facilities will be more cost effective than other options for mitigating FMCC and Locational Installed Capacity (LICAP) costs. In addition, under "An Act Concerning Electric generating plant located in Connecticut that is offered for sale, subject to prior approval from the PURA and a determination by the PURA that such purchase is in the public interest. Finally, Connecticut law also allows CL&P to submit a proposal to the DEEP to build, own or operate one or more generation facilities up to 10 MWs using Class I renewable energy.

NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas Through their charters, which are unlimited in time, NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas have the right to engage in the business of delivering and selling electricity and natural gas within their

respective service territories, and have powers incidental thereto and are entitled to all the rights and privileges of and subject to the duties imposed upon electric and natural gas companies under Massachusetts laws. The locations in public ways for electric transmission and distribution lines and natural gas distribution pipelines are obtained from municipal and other state authorities who, in granting these locations, act as agents for the state. In some cases the actions of these authorities are subject to appeal to the DPU. The rights to these locations are not limited in time and are subject to the action of these authorities and the legislature. Under Massachusetts law, with the exception of municipal-owned utilities, no other entity may provide electric or natural gas delivery service to retail

customers within NSTAR's service territory without the written consent of NSTAR Electric and/or NSTAR Gas. This consent must be filed with the DPU and the municipality so affected.

The Massachusetts restructuring legislation defines service territories as those territories actually served on July 1, 1997 and following municipal boundaries to the extent possible. The restructuring legislation further provides that until terminated by law or otherwise, distribution companies shall have the exclusive obligation to serve all retail customers within their service territories and no other person shall provide distribution service within such service territories without the written consent of such distribution companies. Pursuant to the Massachusetts restructuring legislation, the DPU (then, the Department of Telecommunications and Energy) was required to define service territories for each distribution company, including NSTAR Electric. The DPU subsequently determined that there were advantages to the exclusivity of service territories and issued a report to the Massachusetts Legislature recommending against, in this regard, any changes to the restructuring legislation.

PSNH The NHPUC, pursuant to statutory requirements, has issued orders granting PSNH exclusive franchises to distribute electricity in the respective areas in which it is now supplying such service.

In addition to the right to distribute electricity as set forth above, the franchises of PSNH include, among others, rights and powers to manufacture, generate, purchase, and transmit electricity, to sell electricity at wholesale to other utility companies and municipalities and to erect and maintain certain facilities on certain public highways and grounds, all subject to such consents and approvals of public authority and others as may be required by law. PSNH's status as a public utility gives it the ability to petition the NHPUC for the right to exercise eminent domain for its transmission and distribution services in appropriate circumstances.

PSNH is also subject to certain regulatory oversight by the Maine Public Utilities Commission and the Vermont Public Service Board.

WMECO WMECO is authorized by its charter to conduct its electric business in the territories served by it, and has locations in the public highways for transmission and distribution lines. Such locations are granted pursuant to the laws of Massachusetts by the Department of Public Works of Massachusetts or local municipal authorities and are of unlimited duration, but the rights thereby granted are not vested. Such locations are for specific lines only and for extensions of lines in public highways. Further similar locations must be obtained from the Department of Public Works of Massachusetts or the local municipal authorities. In addition, WMECO has been granted easements for its lines in the Massachusetts Turnpike by the Massachusetts Turnpike Authority and pursuant to state laws, has the power of eminent domain.

The Massachusetts restructuring legislation applicable to NSTAR Electric (described above) is also applicable to WMECO.

Yankee Gas Yankee Gas holds valid franchises to sell natural gas in the areas in which Yankee Gas supplies natural gas service, which it acquired either directly or from its predecessors in interest. Generally, Yankee Gas holds franchises to serve customers in areas designated by those franchises as well as in most other areas throughout Connecticut so long as those areas are not occupied and served by another natural gas utility under a valid franchise of its own or are not subject to an exclusive franchise of another natural gas utility. Yankee Gas' franchises are perpetual but remain subject to the power of alteration, amendment or repeal by the General Assembly of the State of Connecticut, the power of revocation by the PURA and certain approvals, permits and consents of public authorities and others prescribed by statute. Generally, Yankee Gas' franchises include, among other rights and powers, the right and power to manufacture, generate, purchase, transmit and distribute natural gas and to erect and maintain certain facilities on public highways and grounds, and the right of eminent domain, all subject to such consents and approvals of public authorities and others as may be required by law.

Item 3.

Legal Proceedings

1.

Yankee Companies v. U.S. Department of Energy

DOE Phase I Damages - In 1998, the Yankee Companies (CYAPC, YAEC and MYAPC) filed separate complaints against the DOE in the Court of Federal Claims seeking monetary damages resulting from the DOE's failure to begin accepting spent nuclear fuel for disposal by January 31, 1998 pursuant to the terms of the 1983 spent fuel and high level waste disposal contracts between the Yankee Companies and the DOE (DOE Phase I Damages). Phase I covered damages for the period 1998 through 2002. Following multiple appeals and cross-appeals in December 2012, the judgment awarding CYAPC \$39.6 million, YAEC \$38.3 million and MYAPC \$81.7 million became final.

In January 2013, the proceeds from the DOE Phase I Damages Claim were received by the Yankee Companies and transferred to each Yankee Company's respective decommissioning trust.

In June 2013, FERC approved CYAPC, YAEC and MYAPC to reduce rates in their wholesale power contracts through the application of the DOE proceeds for the benefit of customers. Changes to the terms of the wholesale power contracts became effective on July 1, 2013. In accordance with the FERC order, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO began receiving the benefit of the DOE proceeds, and the benefits have been passed on to customers.

On September 17, 2014, in accordance with the MYAPC s three-year refund plan, MYAPC returned a portion of the DOE Phase I Damages proceeds to the member companies, including CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH, and WMECO, in the amount of \$3.2 million, \$1.1 million, \$1.4 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. On September 28, 2015,

MYAPC returned the remaining DOE Phase I Damages proceeds to the member companies, including CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH, and WMECO, in the amount of \$2.3 million, \$0.8 million, \$1 million and \$0.6 million, respectively.

DOE Phase II Damages - In December 2007, the Yankee Companies each filed subsequent lawsuits against the DOE seeking recovery of actual damages incurred related to the alleged failure of the DOE to provide for a permanent facility to store spent nuclear fuel generated in years 2001 through 2008 for CYAPC and YAEC and from 2002 through 2008 for MYAPC (DOE Phase II Damages). In November 2013, the court issued a

final judgment awarding CYAPC \$126.3 million, YAEC \$73.3 million, and MYAPC \$35.8 million. On January 14, 2014, the Yankee Companies received a letter from the U.S. Department of Justice stating that the DOE will not appeal the court's final judgment.

In March and April 2014, CYAPC, YAEC and MYAPC received payment of \$126.3 million, \$73.3 million and \$35.8 million, respectively, of the DOE Phase II Damages proceeds and made the required informational filing with FERC in accordance with the process and methodology outlined in the 2013 FERC order. The Yankee Companies returned the DOE Phase II Damages proceeds to the member companies, including CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH, and WMECO, for the benefit of their respective customers, on June 1, 2014. Refunds to CL&P's, NSTAR Electric's, PSNH's and WMECO's customers for these DOE proceeds began in the third quarter of 2014 and all refunds under these proceedings have been disbursed.

DOE Phase III Damages - In August 2013, the Yankee Companies each filed subsequent lawsuits against the DOE seeking recovery of actual damages incurred in the years 2009 through 2012. The trial on this matter was held on June 30 and July 1, 2015, with a post-trial briefing that concluded on October 14, 2015. The parties are awaiting a decision from the court.

2.

Conservation Law Foundation v. PSNH

On July 21, 2011, the Conservation Law Foundation (CLF) filed a citizens suit under the provisions of the federal Clean Air Act against PSNH alleging permitting violations at the company's Merrimack generating station. The suit alleges that PSNH failed to have proper permits for replacement of the Unit 2 turbine at Merrimack, installation of activated carbon injection equipment for the unit, and violated a permit condition concerning operation of the electrostatic precipitators at the station. On September 27, 2012, the federal court dismissed portions of CLF's suit pertaining to the installation of activated carbon injection and the electrostatic precipitators. CLF filed an amended complaint on May 28, 2013, related to routine maintenance of the boiler performed in 2008 and 2009. The suit seeks injunctive relief, civil penalties, and costs. CLF has pursued similar claims before the NHPUC, the N.H. Air Resources Council, and the N.H. Site Evaluation Committee, all of which have been denied. PSNH continues to believe this suit is without merit and intends to defend it vigorously. However, at this time the case has been stayed while the State settlement process related to the divestiture of generating assets, including Merrimack Station, continues.

3.

Other Legal Proceedings

For further discussion of legal proceedings, see Item 1, *Business:* "- Electric Distribution Segment," "- Electric Transmission Segment," and "- Natural Gas Distribution Segment" for information about various state and federal regulatory and rate proceedings, civil lawsuits related thereto, and information about proceedings relating to power, transmission and pricing issues; "- Nuclear Fuel Storage" for information related to high-level nuclear waste; and "- Other Regulatory and Environmental Matters" for information about proceedings involving surface water and air quality requirements, toxic substances and hazardous waste, electric and magnetic fields, licensing of hydroelectric projects, and other matters. In addition, see Item 1A, *Risk Factors*, for general information about several significant risks.

Item 4.

Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The following table sets forth the executive officers of Eversource Energy as of February 16, 2016. All of the Company's officers serve terms of one year and until their successors are elected and qualified:

Name	Age	Title
Thomas J. May	68	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer
James J. Judge	60	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Leon J. Olivier	67	Executive Vice President-Enterprise Energy Strategy and Business
		Development
David R. McHale	55	Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer
Werner J. Schweiger	56	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
Gregory B. Butler	58	Senior Vice President and General Counsel
Christine M.	53	Senior Vice President-Human Resources of Eversource Service
Carmody*		
Joseph R. Nolan, Jr.*	52	Senior Vice President-Corporate Relations of Eversource Service
Jay S. Buth	46	Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer

*Deemed an executive officer of Eversource Energy pursuant to Rule 3b-7 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Thomas J. May. Mr. May has served as Chairman of the Board of Eversource Energy since October 10, 2013, and as President and Chief Executive Officer and as a Trustee of Eversource Energy; as Chairman and a Director of CL&P,

NSTAR Electric, NSTAR Gas, PSNH, WMECO and Yankee Gas; and as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer and a Director of Eversource Service since April 10, 2012. Mr. May has served as a Director of NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas since September 27, 1999. Mr. May previously served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer and a Trustee of NSTAR, and as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas until April 10, 2012. He served as Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and a Trustee since NSTAR was formed in 1999, and was elected President in 2002. Mr. May has served as Chairman of the Board of Eversource Energy Foundation, Inc. since October 15, 2013, and as a Director of Eversource Energy Foundation, Inc. since April 10, 2012. He previously served as President of Eversource Energy Foundation, Inc. from October 15, 2013 to September 29, 2014. He has served as a Trustee of the NSTAR Foundation since August 18, 1987.

James J. Judge. Mr. Judge has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Eversource Energy, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, NSTAR Gas, PSNH, WMECO, Yankee Gas and Eversource Service and as a Director of CL&P, PSNH, WMECO, Yankee Gas and Eversource Service since April 10, 2012 and of NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas since September 27, 1999. Previously, Mr. Judge served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of NSTAR, NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas from 1999 until April 2012. Mr. Judge has served as Treasurer and as a Director of Eversource Energy Foundation, Inc. since April 10, 2012. He has served as a Trustee of the NSTAR Foundation since December 12, 1995.

Leon J. Olivier. Mr. Olivier has served as Executive Vice President-Enterprise Energy Strategy and Business Development of Eversource Energy since September 2, 2014 and as a Director of Eversource Service since January 17, 2005. Mr. Olivier previously served as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Eversource Energy and Eversource Service from May 13, 2008 until September 2, 2014, and as Chief Executive Officer of NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas from April 10, 2012 until August 11, 2014, of CL&P, PSNH, WMECO and Yankee Gas from January 15, 2007 to September 29, 2014, and of CL&P from September 10, 2001 to September 29, 2014, and as a Director of NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas from November 27, 2012 to September 29, 2014, of PSNH, WMECO and Yankee Gas from January 17, 2005 to September 29, 2014, and of CL&P from September 10, 2001 to September 10, 2001 to September 29, 2014. Previously, Mr. Olivier served as Executive Vice President-Operations of Eversource Energy from February 13, 2007 to May 12, 2008. He has served as a Director of Eversource Energy Foundation, Inc. since April 1, 2006. Mr. Olivier has served as a Trustee of the NSTAR Foundation since April 10, 2012.

David R. McHale. Mr. McHale has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of Eversource Energy and Eversource Service since April 10, 2012 and as a Director of Eversource Service since January 1, 2005. Mr. McHale previously served as Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of CL&P, NSTAR Electric, NSTAR Gas, PSNH, WMECO and Yankee Gas from April 10, 2012 to September 29, 2014 and as a Director of NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas from November 27, 2012 to September 29, 2014, of PSNH, WMECO and Yankee Gas from January 15, 2007 to September 29, 2014. Previously, Mr. McHale served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Eversource Energy, CL&P, PSNH, WMECO, Yankee Gas and Eversource Service from January 2009 to April 2012, and as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Eversource Service from January 2005 to December 2008. He has served as a Director of Eversource Energy Foundation, Inc. since January 1, 2005. Mr. McHale has served as a Trustee of the NSTAR Foundation since April 10, 2012.

Werner J. Schweiger. Mr. Schweiger has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Eversource Energy since September 2, 2014 and of Eversource Service since August 11, 2014, and as President of CL&P since June 2, 2015 and as Chief Executive Officer of CL&P, NSTAR Electric, NSTAR Gas, PSNH, WMECO and Yankee Gas since August 11, 2014, and as a Director of Eversource Service, NSTAR Gas and Yankee Gas since September 29, 2014 and of CL&P, PSNH, NSTAR Electric and WMECO since May 28, 2013. He previously served as President-Electric Distribution of Eversource Service from January 16, 2013 until August 11, 2014 and as President of NSTAR Electric from April 10, 2012 until January 16, 2013 and as a Director of NSTAR Electric from November 27, 2012 to January 16, 2013. From February 27, 2002 until April 10, 2012, Mr. Schweiger was Senior Vice President-Operations of NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas. Mr. Schweiger has served as a Director of Eversource Energy Foundation, Inc. since September 29, 2014. He has served as a Trustee of the NSTAR Foundation since September 29, 2014.

Gregory B. Butler. Mr. Butler has served as Senior Vice President and General Counsel of Eversource Energy since May 1, 2014, of NSTAR Electric, and NSTAR Gas since April 10, 2012, and of CL&P, PSNH, WMECO, Yankee Gas and Eversource Service since March 9, 2006. Mr. Butler has served as a Director of NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas since April 10, 2012, of Eversource Service since November 27, 2012, and of CL&P, PSNH, WMECO and Yankee Gas since April 22, 2009. Mr. Butler previously served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of Eversource Energy from April 10, 2012 until May 1, 2014, and as Senior Vice President and General Counsel of Eversource Energy from December 1, 2005 to April 10, 2012. He has served as a Director of Eversource Energy Foundation, Inc. since December 1, 2002. He has been a Trustee of the NSTAR Foundation since April 10, 2012.

Christine M. Carmody. Ms. Carmody has served as Senior Vice President-Human Resources of Eversource Service since April 10, 2012 and as a Director of Eversource Service since November 27, 2012. Ms. Carmody previously served as Senior Vice President-Human Resources of CL&P, PSNH, WMECO and Yankee Gas from November 27, 2012 to September 29, 2014, and of NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas from August 1, 2008 to September 29, 2014, and as a Director of CL&P, PSNH, WMECO and Yankee Gas from April 10, 2012 to September 29, 2014 and of NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas from April 10, 2012 to September 29, 2014 and of NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas from November 27, 2012 to September 29, 2014. Previously, Ms. Carmody served as Vice President-Organizational Effectiveness of NSTAR, NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas from June 2006 to August 2008. Ms. Carmody has served as a Director of Eversource Energy Foundation, Inc. since April 10, 2012. She has served as a Trustee of the NSTAR Foundation since August 1, 2008.

Joseph R. Nolan, Jr. Mr. Nolan has served as Senior Vice President-Corporate Relations of Eversource Service since April 10, 2012 and as a Director of Eversource Service since November 27, 2012. Mr. Nolan previously served as Senior Vice President-Corporate Relations of NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas from April 10, 2012 to September 29, 2014, and of CL&P, PSNH, WMECO and Yankee Gas from November 27, 2012 to September 29, 2014 and of NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas from November 27, 2014 and of NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas from November 27, 2012 to September 29, 2014 and of NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas from November 27, 2012 to September 29, 2014. Previously, Mr. Nolan served as Senior Vice President-Customer & Corporate Relations of NSTAR, NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas from 2006 until April 10, 2012. Mr. Nolan has served as a Director of Eversource Energy Foundation, Inc. since April 10, 2012, and has served as Executive Director of Eversource Energy Foundation, Inc. since October 15, 2013. He has served as a Trustee of the NSTAR Foundation since October 1, 2000.

Jay S. Buth. Mr. Buth has served as Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer of Eversource Energy, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, NSTAR Gas, PSNH, WMECO, Yankee Gas and Eversource Service since April 10, 2012. Previously, Mr. Buth served as Vice President-Accounting and Controller of Eversource Energy, CL&P, PSNH, WMECO, Yankee Gas and Eversource Service from June 2009 until April 10, 2012. From June 2006 through January 2009, Mr. Buth served as the Vice President and Controller for New Jersey Resources Corporation, an energy services holding company that provides natural gas and wholesale energy services, including transportation, distribution and asset management.

PART II

Item 5.

Market for the Registrants' Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

(a)

Market Information and (c) Dividends

Eversource. Our common shares are listed on the New York Stock Exchange. The ticker symbol is "ES." The high and low sales prices of our common shares and the dividends declared, for the past two years, by quarter, are shown below.

					Divi	dends	
Year	Quarter	High	Lo	W	Declared		
2015	First	\$ 56.83	\$	48.54	\$	0.4175	
	Second	51.42	45.20)	0.4175		
	Third	52.15	44.64		0.4175		
	Fourth	52.85	48.18		0.4175		
2014	First	\$ 45.69	\$ 41.28	\$	0.3925		
	Second	47.60	44.28	1	0.3925		
	Third	47.37	41.92	2	0.3925		
	Fourth	56.66	44.37	,	0.3925		

Information with respect to dividend restrictions for us, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH, and WMECO is contained in Item 7, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*, under the caption "Liquidity" and Item 8, *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*, in the *Combined Notes to Financial Statements*, within this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

There is no established public trading market for the common stock of CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO. All of the common stock of CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO is held solely by Eversource.

Common stock dividends approved and paid to Eversource during the year were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,							
(Millions of Dollars)	,	2014						
CL&P	\$	196.0	\$	171.2				
NSTAR Electric		198.0		253.0				
PSNH		106.0		66.0				
WMECO		37.2		60.0				

(b)

Holders

As of January 31, 2016, there were 42,493 registered common shareholders of our company on record. As of the same date, there were a total of 317,191,249 common shares issued.

(d)

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

For information regarding securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans, see Item 12, *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters*, included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(e)

Performance Graph

The performance graph below illustrates a five-year comparison of cumulative total returns based on an initial investment of \$100 in 2010 in Eversource Energy common stock, as compared with the S&P 500 Stock Index and the EEI Index for the period 2011 through 2015, assuming all dividends are reinvested.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

The following table discloses purchases of our common shares made by us or on our behalf for the periods shown below. The common shares purchased consist of open market purchases made by the Company or an independent

agent. These share transactions related to shares awarded under the Company's Incentive Plan and Dividend Reinvestment Plan and matching contributions under the Eversource 401k Plan.

					Approximate Dollar
				Total Number of Shares Purchased as	Value of Shares that
	Total Number of Shares		Average rice Paid	Part of Publicly Announced Plans	May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans and Programs (at month
Period	Purchased		er Share	or Programs	end)
October 1 - October 31, 2015	117,887	\$	50.33	-	-
November 1 - November 30,					
2015	3,178		50.76	-	-
December 1 - December 31,					
2015	6,001		51.17	-	-
Total	127,066	\$	50.38	-	-

Item 6. Selected Consolidated

Financial Data

Eversource Selected Consolidated Financial Data (Unaudited)

(Thousands of	2015		2014		2013		2012 (a)		2011
Dollars, except									
percentages and									
common share									
information)									
Balance Sheet									
Data:									
Property, Plant	10 802 111	\$	19 647 041	¢	17,576,186	\$	16 605 010	¢	10 402 065
and Equipment, \$ Net	19,892,441	Ф	18,647,041	\$		Ф	16,605,010	\$	10,403,065
Total Assets ^(b) Total	30,580,309		29,740,387		27,760,315		28,269,780		15,617,627
Capitalization (b) (c) (d)	19,542,240		18,946,395		18,042,052		17,323,068		9,048,882
Obligations	0.000		0.424		10 744		11.071		10 250
Under Capital Leases ^(c)	8,222		9,434		10,744		11,071		12,358
Income Statement									
Data:									
Operating Revenues	7,954,827	\$	7,741,856	\$	7,301,204	\$	6,273,787	\$	4,465,657
Net Income	886,004		827,065		793,689		533,077		400,513
Net Income Attributable to	7,510		7.510		7 (00		7 100		5 000
Noncontrolling	7,519		7,519		7,682		7,132		5,820
Interests									
Net Income									
Attributable to \$	878,485	\$	819,546	\$	786,007	\$	525,945	\$	394,693
Shareholders									
Common Share									
Data:									
Net Income									
Attributable to									
Common									
Shareholders:									
Basic									
Earnings Per Common	2.77	\$	2.59	\$	2.49	\$	1.90	\$	2.22
Share									
\$	2.76	\$	2.58	\$	2.49	\$	1.89	\$	2.22

Diluted	-	-							
Earnings Per Common Share									
Weighted									
Average									
Common									
Shares									
Outstanding:									
Basic	317,336,881		316,136,748		315,311,387		277,209,819		177,410,167
Diluted	318,432,687		317,417,414		316,211,160		277,993,631		177,804,568
Dividends	1.67	¢	1 57	¢	1 47	¢	1 22	¢	1 10
Declared Per \$ Common Share	1.67	\$	1.57	\$	1.47	\$	1.32	\$	1.10
Market Price -									
Closing (high) \$	54.52	\$	56.15	\$	45.33	\$	40.57	\$	36.31
(e)	51.52	Ψ	50.15	Ψ	10.00	Ψ	10.07	Ψ	50.51
Market Price -									
Closing (low) \$	44.63	\$	41.52	\$	38.67	\$	33.53	\$	30.46
(e)									
Market Price -									
Closing (end of \$	51.07	\$	53.52	\$	42.39	\$	39.08	\$	36.07
year) ^(e)									
Book Value Per		.		.	20.40	<i>•</i>	• • • • •	<i>•</i>	22 (7
Common Share \$	32.64	\$	31.47	\$	30.49	\$	29.41	\$	22.65
(end of year)									
Tangible Book Value Per									
Common Share \$	21.54	\$	20.37	\$	19.32	\$	18.21	\$	21.03
(end of year) ^(f)									
Rate of Return									
Earned on									
Average	8.7		8.4		8.3		7.9		10.1
Common									
Equity (%) ^(g)									
Market-to-Book									
Ratio (end of	1.6		1.7		1.4		1.3		1.6
year) ^(h)									
Capitalization:	53 9	7.	53 9	7.	53 9	7.	53 9	7.	44 %
Total Equity Preferred Stock	55 %	0	55%	/0	55 %	/0	55 %	/0	44 %
Not Subject to									
Mandatory	1		1		1		1		1
Redemption									
Long-Term	16		16		10		16		EE
Debt ^(b) ^(c) ^(d)	46		46		46		46		55
	100 %	6	100 %	%	100 9	%	100 9	%	100 %

CL&P Selected Financial Data (Unaudited)

(Thousands of Dollars)	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Operating \$ Revenues	2,802,675	\$ 2,692,582	\$ 2,442,341	\$ 2,407,449	\$ 2,548,387
Net Income	299,360	287,754	279,412	209,725	250,164
Cash Dividends on Common Stock	196,000	171,200	151,999	100,486	243,218
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net	7,156,809	6,809,664	6,451,259	6,152,959	5,827,384
Total Assets ^(b)	9,592,957	9,344,400	8,965,906	9,127,602	8,775,451
Long-Term Debt ^(b) (c)	2,763,682	2,826,243	2,726,613	2,848,303	2,567,808
Preferred Stock Not Subject to Mandatory Redemption	116,200	116,200	116,200	116,200	116,200
Obligations Under Capital Leases ^(c)	7,624	8,439	9,309	9,960	10,715

(a) The 2012 results include the operations of NSTAR beginning April 10, 2012.

- (b) The 2011 through 2014 amounts reflect reclassifications due to the adoption of new accounting guidance that changed the balance sheet presentation of debt issuance costs. Unamortized debt issuance costs are now presented as a direct reduction from the carrying amount of the debt liability rather than as a deferred cost. Prior year amounts were retrospectively adjusted to conform to the current year presentation. See Note 1C, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Accounting Standards," for further information.
- (c) Includes portions due within one year.
- (d) Excludes RRBs.

(e) Market price information reflects closing prices as reflected by the New York Stock Exchange.

- (f) Common Shareholders' Equity adjusted for goodwill and intangibles divided by total common shares outstanding.
- (g) Net Income Attributable to Common Shareholders divided by average Common Shareholders' Equity.
- (h) The closing market price divided by the book value per share.

See the *Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a description of any accounting changes materially affecting the comparability of the information reflected in the tables above.

Eversource Selected Consolidated Sales Statistics

Statistics		2015		2014		2013		2012 (a)		2011
Revenues:		2013		2014		2013		2012		2011
(Thousands)										
Residential	\$	3,608,155	\$	3,288,313	\$	3,073,181	\$	2,731,951	\$	2,091,270
Commercial	Ψ	2,476,686	Ψ	2,471,440	Ψ	2,387,535	Ψ	1,604,661	Ψ	1,236,374
Industrial		326,564		348,698		339,917		753,974		252,878
Wholesale		411,749		447,899		486,515		357,223		350,413
Other and										
Eliminations		110,013		97,090		56,547		130,137		47,485
Total Electric		6,933,167		6,653,440		6,343,695		5,577,946		3,978,420
Natural Gas		993,662		1,002,880		855,601		572,857		430,799
Total - Regulated		7.02(.020						(150 002		
Companies		7,926,829		7,656,320		7,199,296		6,150,803		4,409,219
Other and		27 009		95 526		101 008		122 084		56 129
Eliminations		27,998		85,536		101,908		122,984		56,438
Total	\$	7,954,827	\$	7,741,856	\$	7,301,204	\$	6,273,787	\$	4,465,657
Regulated										
Companies - Sales										
Volumes:										
Electric (GWh)										
Residential		21,441		21,317		21,896		19,719		14,766
Commercial		27,598		27,449		27,787		24,537		14,628
Industrial		5,577		5,676		5,648		5,462		4,418
Wholesale		3,215		3,018		855		2,154		1,020
Total Electric		57,831		57,460		56,186		51,872		34,832
Natural Gas (million		102,999		104,191		98,258		69,894		46,880
cubic feet)		102,777		104,171		70,230		07,074		+0,000
Regulated										
Companies -										
Customers:										
(Average)										
Residential		2,747,679		2,734,047		2,718,727		2,711,407		1,710,342
Commercial		374,552		373,511		371,897		370,389		199,240
Industrial		7,868		8,016		8,109		8,279		7,083
Total Electric		3,130,099		3,115,574		3,098,733		3,090,075		1,916,665
Natural Gas		506,175		499,186		493,563		483,770		207,753
Total - Regulated		3,636,274		3,614,760		3,592,296		3,573,845		2,124,418
Companies		, ,		, , ,		, , ,		, , ,		, , , -

(a) The 2012 results include the operations of NSTAR beginning April 10, 2012.

CL&P Selected S	ales					
Statistics						
		2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Revenues:						
(Thousands)						
Residential	\$	1,641,165	\$ 1,474,181	\$ 1,294,160	\$ 1,263,845	\$ 1,345,290
Commercial		841,093	879,343	780,585	732,620	758,145
Industrial		129,544	149,220	129,557	126,165	126,783
Wholesale		128,169	146,787	219,367	214,807	278,751
Other		62,704	43,051	18,672	70,012	39,418
Total	\$	2,802,675	\$ 2,692,582	\$ 2,442,341	\$ 2,407,449	\$ 2,548,387
Sales Volumes:						
(GWh)						
Residential		10,094	10,026	10,314	9,978	10,092
Commercial		9,635	9,643	9,770	9,705	9,809
Industrial		2,342	2,377	2,320	2,426	2,414
Wholesale		712	736	851	1,155	1,592
Total		22,783	22,782	23,255	23,264	23,907
Customers:						
(Average)						
Residential		1,117,778	1,111,467	1,105,417	1,103,397	1,100,740
Commercial		109,339	109,093	108,735	108,589	108,235
Industrial		3,163	3,213	3,247	3,301	3,331
Total		1,230,280	1,223,773	1,217,399	1,215,287	1,212,306

Item 7.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

EVERSOURCE ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related combined notes included in this combined Annual Report on Form 10-K. References in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to "Eversource," the "Company," "we," "us," and "our" refer to Eversource Energy and its consolidated subsidiaries. All per share amounts are reported on a diluted basis. The consolidated financial statements of Eversource, NSTAR Electric and PSNH and the financial statements of CL&P and WMECO are herein collectively referred to as the "financial statements."

On April 30, 2015, the Company's legal name was changed from Northeast Utilities to Eversource Energy. CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO are each doing business as Eversource Energy.

Refer to the Glossary of Terms included in this combined Annual Report on Form 10-K for abbreviations and acronyms used throughout this *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*.

The only common equity securities that are publicly traded are common shares of Eversource. The earnings and EPS of each business discussed below do not represent a direct legal interest in the assets and liabilities of such business but rather represent a direct interest in our assets and liabilities as a whole. EPS by business is a financial measure not recognized under GAAP that is calculated by dividing the Net Income Attributable to Common Shareholders of each business by the weighted average diluted Eversource common shares outstanding for the period. The discussion below also includes non-GAAP financial measures referencing our 2015, 2014 and 2013 earnings and EPS excluding certain integration costs incurred by Eversource parent and our Regulated companies. We use these non-GAAP financial measures to evaluate and to provide details of earnings by business and to more fully compare and explain our 2015, 2014 and 2013 results without including the impact of these items. Due to the nature and significance of these items on Net Income Attributable to Common Shareholders, we believe that the non-GAAP presentation is more representative of our financial performance and provides additional and useful information to readers of this report in analyzing historical and future performance by business. These non-GAAP financial measures should not be considered as an alternative to reported Net Income Attributable to Common Shareholders or EPS determined in accordance with GAAP as an indicator of operating performance.

Reconciliations of the above non-GAAP financial measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measures of consolidated diluted EPS and Net Income Attributable to Common Shareholders are included under "Financial Condition and Business Analysis Overview Consolidated" and "Financial Condition and Business Analysis Overview Regulated Companies" in *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of*

Operations, herein.

Financial Condition and Business Analysis

Executive Summary

Results:

We earned \$878.5 million, or \$2.76 per share, in 2015, compared with \$819.5 million, or \$2.58 per share, in 2014. Excluding integration costs, we earned \$894.3 million, or \$2.81 per share, in 2015 and \$841.6 million, or \$2.65 per share, in 2014.

Our electric distribution segment, which includes generation, earned \$507.9 million, or \$1.59 per share, in 2015, compared with \$462.4 million, or \$1.45 per share, in 2014. Our electric transmission segment earned \$304.5 million, or \$0.96 per share, in 2015, compared with \$295.4 million, or \$0.93 per share, in 2014. Our natural gas distribution segment earned \$72.4 million, or \$0.23 per share, in 2015, compared with \$72.3 million, or \$0.23 per share, in 2014. The 2015 electric and natural gas distribution results exclude \$0.8 million of after-tax integration costs.

Eversource parent and other companies earned \$9.5 million, or \$0.03 per share, in 2015, compared with \$11.5 million, or \$0.04 per share, in 2014. The 2015 and 2014 results exclude \$15 million, or \$0.05 per share, and \$22.1 million, or \$0.07 per share, respectively, of after-tax integration costs.

Liquidity:

Cash flows provided by operating activities totaled \$1.4 billion in 2015, compared with \$1.6 billion in 2014. Investments in property, plant and equipment totaled \$1.7 billion in 2015 and \$1.6 billion in 2014. Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$23.9 million as of December 31, 2015, compared with \$38.7 million as of December 31, 2014.

In 2015, we issued approximately \$1.23 billion of new long-term debt consisting of \$450 million by Eversource parent, \$350 million by CL&P, \$250 million by NSTAR Electric, \$100 million by NSTAR Gas, and \$75 million by Yankee Gas. In 2015, we repaid \$212 million of existing long-term debt consisting of \$162 million by CL&P and \$50 million by WMECO.

.

•

In 2015, we paid cash dividends on common shares of \$529.8 million, compared with \$475.2 million in 2014. On February 3, 2016, our Board of Trustees approved a common share dividend payment of \$0.445 per share, payable on March 31, 2016 to shareholders of record as of March 2, 2016. The 2016 dividend represented an increase of 6.6 percent over the dividend paid in December 2015, and is the equivalent to dividends on common shares of approximately \$565 million on an annual basis.

We project to make capital expenditures of approximately \$9.2 billion from 2016 through 2019. Of the \$9.2 billion, we expect to invest approximately \$4.9 billion in our electric and natural gas distribution segments and \$3.9 billion in our electric transmission segment. In addition, we project to invest approximately \$0.4 billion in information technology and facilities upgrades and enhancements. These projections do not include capital investments related to Access Northeast or Clean Energy Connect.

Strategic, Legislative, Regulatory, Policy and Other Items:

•

.

On December 18, 2015, the New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee (NH SEC) accepted NPT s application as complete allowing the formal siting process to move forward. The project is expected to be operational in the first half of 2019. On January 28, 2016, NPT bid into the three-state Clean Energy RFP process.

The Clean Energy Connect Project is a planned transmission, wind and hydro generation project that we plan to co-develop with experienced renewable generation companies. On January 28, 2016, the Clean Energy Connect project was bid into the three-state Clean Energy RFP process. Our investment, should the Clean Energy Connect Project be selected in the RFP process, is currently estimated to be at least \$400 million and will consist of the Massachusetts portion of a new 25-mile, 345 kV transmission line with a 600 MW capacity.

On January 28, 2016, the DPU approved NSTAR Electric s, WMECO s, and NSTAR Gas three-year electric and natural gas energy efficiency plan, which was jointly developed with other Massachusetts electric distribution companies (EDCs) and natural gas distribution companies. On December 31, 2015, DEEP approved CL&P s and Yankee Gas three-year electric and natural gas C&LM plan, which was jointly developed with other Connecticut EDCs and natural gas distribution companies. These electric and natural gas energy efficiency and C&LM plans include the ability to earn performance incentives as well as recover LBR for NSTAR Electric until it is operating under a decoupled rate structure.

On January 7, 2015, the DPU issued an order concluding that NSTAR Electric had removed energy-related bad debt costs from base distribution rates effective January 1, 2006. As a result of the DPU order, in the first quarter of 2015 NSTAR Electric increased its regulatory assets and reduced its operations and maintenance expense by \$24.2 million for energy-related bad debt costs through 2014, resulting in after-tax earnings of \$14.5 million. NSTAR Electric filed for recovery of the energy-related bad debt costs regulatory asset from customers and on November 20, 2015, the

DPU approved NSTAR Electric s proposed rate increase to recover these costs over a 12-month period, beginning January 1, 2016.

Overview

Consolidated: A summary of our earnings by business, which also reconciles the non-GAAP financial measures of consolidated non-GAAP earnings and EPS, as well as EPS by business, to the most directly comparable GAAP measures of consolidated Net Income Attributable to Common Shareholders and diluted EPS, is as follows:

	For the Years Ended Decembe								r 31,				
	2015			2014					2013				
(Millions of Dollars, Except													
Per Share Amounts)		Amount		Per Share		Amount		Per Share		Amount		Per Share	
Net Income Attributable to													
Common Shareholders (GAAP)	\$	878.5	\$	2.76	\$	819.5	\$	2.58	\$	786.0	\$	2.49	
Regulated Companies	\$	884.8	\$	2.78	\$	830.1	\$	2.61	\$	774.9	\$	2.45	
Eversource Parent and Other													
Companies		9.5		0.03		11.5		0.04		24.9		0.08	
Non-GAAP Earnings		894.3		2.81		841.6		2.65		799.8		2.53	
Integration Costs (after-tax)		(15.8)		(0.05)		(22.1)		(0.07)		(13.8)		(0.04)	
Net Income Attributable to													
Common Shareholders (GAAP)	\$	878.5	\$	2.76	\$	819.5	\$	2.58	\$	786.0	\$	2.49	

The 2015 and 2014 integration costs are associated with our branding efforts and severance costs.

Regulated Companies: Our Regulated companies consist of the electric distribution, electric transmission, and natural gas distribution segments. Generation activities of PSNH and WMECO are included in our electric distribution segment. A summary of our segment earnings and EPS is as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,											
	20		015		2014			2013				
(Millions of Dollars, Except Per												
Share Amounts)	A	mount	Per	Share	Α	mount	Per	• Share	Α	mount	Per	Share
Electric Distribution	\$	507.9	\$	1.59	\$	462.4	\$	1.45	\$	427.0	\$	1.35
Electric Transmission		304.5		0.96		295.4		0.93		287.0		0.91
Natural Gas Distribution		72.4		0.23		72.3		0.23		60.9		0.19
Non-GAAP Earnings		884.8		2.78		830.1		2.61		774.9		2.45
Integration Costs (after-tax)		(0.8)		-		-		-		-		-
	\$	884.0	\$	2.78	\$	830.1	\$	2.61	\$	774.9	\$	2.45

Net Income - Regulated Companies

The 2015 Regulated companies' integration costs include severance in connection with cost saving initiatives.

Excluding integration costs, our electric distribution segment earnings increased \$45.5 million in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to the impact of the December 1, 2014 CL&P base distribution rate increase, the \$27.5 million favorable earnings impact related to the resolution of NSTAR Electric s basic service bad debt adder and the settlement with the Massachusetts Attorney General on eleven open dockets covering the CPSL program filings and the recovery of LBR related to 2009 through 2011 energy efficiency programs at NSTAR Electric, an increase in the recovery of LBR at NSTAR Electric related to 2015 energy efficiency programs, and higher retail sales volumes at NSTAR Electric and PSNH. Partially offsetting these favorable earnings impacts were a higher effective tax rate in 2015, higher property taxes, higher depreciation expense and a \$5 million contribution in 2015 to create a clean energy fund in connection with the PSNH divestiture agreement.

Our electric transmission segment earnings increased \$9.1 million in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to the result of lower reserve charges associated with the FERC ROE complaint proceedings of \$12.4 million recorded in 2015, as compared to \$22.4 million recorded in 2014, and a higher transmission rate base as a result of an increased investment in our transmission infrastructure. These favorable earnings impacts were partially offset by a higher effective tax rate in 2015.

Our natural gas distribution segment earnings increased \$0.1 million in 2015, as compared to 2014. Our natural gas distribution segment earnings were favorably impacted by a decrease in operations and maintenance costs primarily attributable to lower employee-related expenses, a lower effective tax rate in 2015, and additional natural gas heating customers. These favorable earnings impacts were offset by a decrease in firm natural gas sales volumes driven by record warm weather in the fourth quarter of 2015, as compared to 2014, higher depreciation expense and higher property taxes.

Eversource Parent and Other Companies: Excluding the impact of integration costs, Eversource parent and other companies had earnings of \$9.5 million in 2015, compared with earnings of \$11.5 million in 2014. The earnings decrease was due primarily to a higher effective tax rate at Eversource parent in 2015, as compared to 2014, higher interest expense at Eversource parent as a result of new debt issuances in January 2015, and reduced earnings in 2015 from Eversource's unregulated electrical contracting business, which was sold in April 2015. These unfavorable earnings impacts were partially offset by a reduction in operations and maintenance costs.

Electric and Natural Gas Sales Volumes: Weather, fluctuations in energy supply costs, conservation measures (including utility-sponsored energy efficiency programs), and economic conditions affect customer energy usage. Industrial sales volumes are less sensitive to temperature variations than residential and commercial sales volumes. In our service territories, weather impacts electric sales volumes during the summer and both electric and natural gas sales volumes during the winter; however, natural gas sales volumes are more sensitive to temperature variations than are electric sales volumes. Customer heating or cooling usage may not directly correlate with historical levels or with the level of degree-days that occur.

Fluctuations in retail electric sales volumes at NSTAR Electric and PSNH impact earnings ("Traditional" in the table below). For CL&P (effective December 1, 2014) and WMECO, fluctuations in retail electric sales volumes do not impact earnings due to their respective regulatory commission approved revenue decoupling mechanisms (Decoupled

in the table below). These distribution revenues are decoupled from their customer sales volumes, which breaks the relationship between sales volumes and revenues recognized. CL&P and WMECO reconcile their annual base distribution rate recovery amounts to their respective pre-established levels of baseline distribution delivery service revenues. Any difference between the allowed level of distribution revenue and the actual amount incurred during a 12-month period is adjusted through rates in the following period.

A summary of our retail electric GWh sales volumes and our firm natural gas sales volumes in million cubic feet and percentage changes is as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2015 Compared to 2014								
	Sales Volum	Percentage							
Electric	2015	2014	Increase/(Decrease)						
Traditional:									
Residential	9,882	9,798	0.9%						
Commercial	16,486	16,340	0.9%						
Industrial	2,614	2,673	(2.2)%						
Total - Traditional	28,982	28,811	0.6%						
Decoupled:									
Residential	11,559	11,519	0.3%						
Commercial	11,112	11,109	- %						
Industrial	2,963	3,003	(1.3)%						
Total - Decoupled	25,634	25,631	- %						
Total Sales Volumes	54,616	54,442	0.3%						
	For the Year En	ded December 31, 20	015 Compared to 2014						
	Sales Volumes (mi	llion cubic feet)	Percentage						
Firm Natural Gas	2015	2014	Increase/(Decrease)						
Residential	38,455	38,969	(1.3)%						
Commercial	43,006	42,977	0.1 %						
Industrial	21,538	22,245	(3.2)%						
Total Sales Volumes	102,999	104,191	(1.1)%						
Total, Net of Special Contracts ⁽¹⁾	98,458	99,500	(1.0)%						

(1)

Special contracts are unique to the natural gas distribution customers who take service under such an arrangement and generally specify the amount of distribution revenue to be paid to Yankee Gas regardless of the customers' usage.

Our 2015 retail electric sales volumes at our electric utilities with a traditional rate structure (NSTAR Electric and PSNH) were slightly higher, as compared to 2014, due primarily to the impact of colder winter weather experienced in the first quarter of 2015 and warmer weather in the third quarter of 2015, partially offset by milder winter weather in the fourth quarter of 2015 throughout those service territories. In 2015, heating degree days were 1 percent lower in the Boston metropolitan area, and 5 percent lower in New Hampshire, as compared to 2014. Cooling degree days in 2015 were 19 percent higher in the Boston metropolitan area and 57 percent higher in New Hampshire, as compared to 2014. Weather-normalized retail electric sales volumes were relatively unchanged in 2015, as compared to 2014. Improved economic conditions were offset by an increase in customer conservation efforts resulting from company-sponsored energy efficiency programs.

Our firm natural gas sales volumes are subject to many of the same influences as our retail electric sales volumes. In addition, they have benefited from customer growth in both of our natural gas distribution companies. In 2015, consolidated firm natural gas sales volumes were lower, as compared to 2014. The 2015 firm natural gas sales volumes were negatively impacted by record warm weather in the fourth quarter of 2015, when compared to 2014, partially offset by colder winter weather in the first quarter of 2015, as compared to 2014, throughout our natural gas service territories. Weather-normalized Eversource consolidated firm natural gas sales volumes increased 2.5 percent in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to improved economic conditions as well as residential and commercial customer growth, partially offset by customer conservation efforts resulting from company-sponsored energy efficiency programs. On October 30, 2015, the DPU issued its order in the NSTAR Gas distribution rate case, which included the establishment of a revenue decoupling mechanism beginning January 1, 2016.

Prior to December 1, 2014, CL&P earned LBR related to reductions in sales volume as a result of successful energy efficiency programs. LBR was recovered from retail customers through the FMCC. Effective December 1, 2014, CL&P no longer earns LBR due to its revenue decoupling mechanism. NSTAR Electric recognized LBR of \$60.6 million in 2015 and \$39.9 million in 2014. On January 28, 2016, NSTAR Electric received approval of a three-year energy efficiency plan, which includes recovery of LBR until it is operating under a decoupled rate structure.

For further information, see "Regulatory Developments and Rate Matters - Massachusetts - NSTAR Electric, WMECO and NSTAR Gas Energy Efficiency Plan" and "Regulatory Developments and Rate Matters - Massachusetts - NSTAR Gas Distribution Rates" in this *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results* of Operations.

Future Outlook

2016 EPS Guidance: We currently project 2016 earnings of between \$2.90 per diluted share and \$3.05 per diluted share.

Liquidity

Consolidated: Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$23.9 million as of December 31, 2015, compared with \$38.7 million as of December 31, 2014.

Long-Term Debt Issuances and Repayments: On January 15, 2015, Eversource parent issued \$150 million of 1.60 percent Series G Senior Notes, due to mature in 2018, and \$300 million of 3.15 percent Series H Senior Notes, due to mature in 2025.

On May 20, 2015 and December 1, 2015, CL&P issued \$300 million and \$50 million, respectively, of 4.15 percent 2015 Series A First and Refunding Mortgage Bonds due to mature in 2045.

On September 10, 2015, Yankee Gas issued \$75 million of 3.35 percent 2015 Series M First Mortgage Bonds due to mature in 2025.

On November 18, 2015, NSTAR Electric issued \$250 million of 3.25 percent debentures, due to mature in 2025.

On December 8, 2015, NSTAR Gas issued \$100 million of 4.35 percent Series O First Mortgage Bonds due to mature in 2045.

The proceeds of all debt issuances, net of issuance costs, were used to repay short-term borrowings and fund capital expenditures and working capital.

On April 1, 2015, CL&P repaid at maturity the \$100 million 5.00 percent 2005 Series A First and Refunding Mortgage Bonds and also redeemed the \$62 million 1996A Series 1.55 percent PCRBs that were subject to mandatory tender, using short term borrowings.

On August 3, 2015, WMECO repaid at maturity the \$50 million 5.24 percent Series C Senior Notes, using short-term borrowings.

Long-Term Debt Issuance Authorizations: On November 25, 2015, PURA approved Yankee Gas request to extend the authorization period for issuance of up to \$125 million in long-term debt from December 31, 2015 to December 31, 2016. On December 4, 2015, the DPU authorized WMECO to issue up to \$100 million in long-term debt for the period through December 31, 2016. On December 4, 2015, the DPU approved NSTAR Electric s request to extend the authorization period for issuance of up to \$250 million in long-term debt from December 31, 2015 to December 31, 2016.

Credit Agreements and Commercial Paper Programs: Eversource parent, CL&P, PSNH, WMECO, NSTAR Gas and Yankee Gas are parties to a five-year \$1.45 billion revolving credit facility. On October 26, 2015, this revolving credit facility was amended and restated and the termination date was extended to September 4, 2020. Under the revolving credit facility, CL&P has a borrowing sublimit of \$600 million, and PSNH and WMECO each have borrowing sublimits of \$300 million. The revolving credit facility serves to backstop Eversource parent's \$1.45 billion commercial paper program. The commercial paper program allows Eversource parent to issue commercial paper as a form of short-term debt. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, Eversource parent had approximately \$1.1 billion in short-term borrowings outstanding on each date under the

Eversource parent commercial paper program, leaving \$351.5 million and \$348.9 million of available borrowing capacity as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The weighted-average interest rate on these borrowings as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 was 0.72 percent and 0.43 percent, respectively. As of December 31, 2015, there were intercompany loans from Eversource parent of \$277.4 million to CL&P, \$231.3 million to PSNH and \$143.4 million to WMECO. As of December 31, 2014, there were intercompany loans from Eversource parent of \$133.4 million to CL&P, \$90.5 million to PSNH and \$21.4 million to WMECO.

NSTAR Electric has a five-year \$450 million revolving credit facility. On October 26, 2015, this revolving credit facility was amended and restated and the termination date was extended to September 4, 2020. The facility serves to backstop NSTAR Electric's \$450 million commercial paper program. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, NSTAR Electric had \$62.5 million and \$302 million, respectively, in short-term borrowings outstanding under its commercial paper program, leaving \$387.5 million and \$148 million of available borrowing capacity as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The weighted-average interest rate on these borrowings as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 was 0.40 percent and 0.27 percent, respectively.

Cash Flows: Cash flows provided by operating activities totaled \$1.4 billion in 2015, compared with \$1.6 billion in 2014. The decrease in operating cash flows in 2015 compared to 2014 was due primarily to the \$302 million payment made to fully satisfy the obligation with the DOE, as discussed below, and an increase in purchased power and congestion costs at NSTAR Electric, WMECO and CL&P that will be recovered in future periods. Also contributing to the decrease in operating cash flows were DOE Damages proceeds received from the Yankee Companies of \$4.7 million in 2015, compared to \$132 million in 2014. Partially offsetting these unfavorable cash flow impacts were a decrease of \$49.2 million in Pension and PBOP Plan cash contributions in 2015, as compared to 2014, and lower federal income tax payments of approximately \$324 million in 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily due to the extension of the accelerated depreciation deduction.

In late 2015, CL&P and WMECO made payments of \$244.6 million and \$57.4 million, respectively, to fully satisfy their obligations with the DOE, which were classified as long-term debt on the balance sheets as of December 31, 2014, for costs associated with the disposal of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste for all periods prior to 1983 from their previous ownership interest in the Millstone nuclear power station. CL&P and WMECO divested their ownership interest in Millstone in 2001. These payments included accumulated interest of \$178 million and \$41.8 million for CL&P and WMECO, respectively. CL&P funded its payment with the issuance of debt, and WMECO liquidated its spent nuclear fuel trust to satisfy its obligation with the DOE.

On December 18, 2015, the "Protecting Americans from Tax Hikes" Act became law, which extended the accelerated deduction of depreciation to businesses from 2015 through 2019. This extended stimulus provides us with cash flow benefits in 2016 of approximately \$275 million (including approximately \$105 million for CL&P) due to a refund of taxes paid in 2015 and lower expected tax payments in 2016 of approximately \$300 million.

In 2015, we paid cash dividends of \$529.8 million, or \$1.67 per common share, compared with \$475.2 million, or \$1.57 per share in 2014. Our quarterly common share dividend payment was \$0.4175 per share, in 2015, as compared to \$0.3925 per share, in 2014. On February 3, 2016, our Board of Trustees approved a common share dividend

payment of \$0.445 per share, payable on March 31, 2016 to shareholders of record as of March 2, 2016. The 2016 dividend represented an increase of 6.6 percent over the dividend paid in December 2015, and is equivalent to dividends on common shares of approximately \$565 million on an annual basis.

In 2015, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH, and WMECO paid \$196 million, \$198 million, \$106 million, and \$37.2 million, respectively, in common stock dividends to Eversource parent.

Investments in Property, Plant and Equipment on the statements of cash flows do not include amounts incurred on capital projects but not yet paid, cost of removal, AFUDC related to equity funds, and the capitalized portions of pension expense. In 2015, investments for Eversource, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH, and WMECO were \$1.7 billion, \$523.8 million, \$469.5 million, \$308 million, and \$134.6 million, respectively.

Each of Eversource, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO use its available capital resources to fund its respective construction expenditures, meet debt requirements, pay operating costs, including storm-related costs, pay dividends and fund other corporate obligations, such as pension contributions. The current growth in Eversource's construction expenditures utilizes a significant amount of cash for projects that have a long-term return on investment and recovery period. In addition, Eversource's Regulated companies recover their electric and natural gas distribution construction expenditures as the related project costs are depreciated over the life of the assets. This impacts the timing of the revenue stream designed to fully recover the total investment plus a return on the equity and debt used to finance the investments. These factors have resulted in current liabilities exceeding current assets by approximately \$371 million and \$82 million at Eversource and WMECO, respectively, as of December 31, 2015.

As of December 31, 2015, a total of \$200 million of Eversource s long-term debt classified as current liabilities, all at NSTAR Electric, will be paid in the next 12 months. The remaining \$28.9 million of Eversource's long-term debt classified as current liabilities relates to fair value adjustments from the merger that will be amortized in the next 12 months and have no cash flow impact. Eversource, with its strong credit ratings, has several options available in the financial markets to repay or refinance these maturities with the issuance of new long-term debt. Eversource, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO will reduce their short-term borrowings with operating cash flows or with the issuance of new long-term debt, determined by considering capital requirements and maintenance of Eversource's credit rating and profile. We expect the future operating cash flows of Eversource, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO, along with the access to financial markets, will be sufficient to meet any future operating requirements and capital investment forecasted opportunities.

Credit Ratings: On April 23, 2015, S&P upgraded the corporate credit ratings by one level and changed the outlooks to stable from positive of Eversource parent, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH, WMECO, Yankee Gas and NSTAR Gas. On May 19, 2015, Moody's changed the outlooks of PSNH and WMECO to positive from stable and affirmed their corporate credit ratings. On June 2, 2015, Fitch changed the outlooks to positive from stable of CL&P, PSNH and WMECO and affirmed its corporate credit ratings of Eversource parent, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH, WMECO and NSTAR Electric, PSNH, WMECO and NSTAR Gas.

A summary of our corporate credit ratings and outlooks by Moody's, S&P and Fitch is as follows:

	Moo	ody's		S&P		Fitch				
	Current	Outlook	Current	Outlook	Current	Outlook				
Eversource	Baa1	Stable	А	Stable	BBB+	Stable				
Parent										
CL&P	Baa1	Stable	А	Stable	BBB+	Positive				
NSTAR	A2	Stable	А	Stable	А	Stable				
Electric										
PSNH	Baa1	Positive	А	Stable	BBB+	Positive				
WMECO	A3	Positive	А	Stable	BBB+	Positive				

A summary of the current credit ratings and outlooks by Moody's, S&P and Fitch for senior unsecured debt of Eversource parent, NSTAR Electric, and WMECO and senior secured debt of CL&P and PSNH is as follows:

	Moo	ody's		S&P		Fitch			
	Current	Outlook	Current	Outlook	Current	Outlook			
Eversource	Baa1	Stable	A-	Stable	BBB+	Stable			
Parent									
CL&P	A2	Stable	A+	Stable	А	Positive			
NSTAR	A2	Stable	А	Stable	A+	Stable			
Electric									
PSNH	A2	Positive	A+	Stable	А	Positive			
WMECO	A3	Positive	А	Stable	A-	Positive			

Business Development and Capital Expenditures

Our consolidated capital expenditures, including amounts incurred but not paid, cost of removal, AFUDC, and the capitalized portions of pension expense (all of which are non-cash factors), totaled \$1.9 billion in 2015, \$1.7 billion in 2014, and \$1.6 billion in 2013. These amounts included \$102 million in 2015, \$58.3 million in 2014, and \$44.7 million in 2013 related to information technology and facilities upgrades and enhancements, primarily at Eversource Service and The Rocky River Realty Company.

Natural Gas Transmission Business:

Access Northeast: Access Northeast is a natural gas pipeline and storage project (the "Project") being developed jointly by Eversource, Spectra Energy Corp and National Grid. Access Northeast will enhance the Algonquin and Maritimes & Northeast pipeline systems using existing routes and will include two new LNG storage tanks and liquefaction and vaporization facilities in Acushnet, Massachusetts that will be connected to the Algonquin gas pipeline. The Project is expected to be capable of delivering approximately 900 million cubic feet of additional natural gas per day to New England on peak demand days. Eversource and Spectra Energy Corp each own a 40 percent interest in the Project, with the remaining 20 percent interest owned by National Grid. The total projected cost for both the pipeline and the LNG storage is expected to be approximately \$3 billion with anticipated in-service dates commencing in November 2018. The Project is subject to FERC and other federal and state regulatory approvals. On November 17, 2015, the FERC accepted the Project s request to initiate the pre-filing review process. Upon completion of the pre-filing review, a certificate application will be filed with the FERC. In late 2015, the Project bid into the New England Natural Gas Pipeline Capacity RFP conducted by certain EDCs in Massachusetts and Rhode Island, including NSTAR Electric and WMECO in Massachusetts, and in December 2015 and January 2016, those Massachusetts EDCs filed with the DPU seeking approval of the contracts for pipeline and storage capacity with the Project. We expect the Rhode Island EDC to file its selected contracts with the Rhode Island regulatory agencies in the first half of 2016. In February 2016, PSNH filed for approval with the NHPUC, its proposed contract for natural gas pipeline capacity and storage with the Project. For further information on the RFP process, see "Regulatory Developments and Rate Matters General New England Natural Gas Pipeline Capacity" in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations.

<u>Electric Transmission Business</u>: Our consolidated electric transmission business capital expenditures increased by \$106 million in 2015, as compared to 2014. A summary of electric transmission capital expenditures by company is as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,										
(Millions of Dollars)		2015		2014	2013						
CL&P	\$	252.9	\$	259.2	\$	211.9					
NSTAR Electric		238.2		223.8		220.8					
PSNH		161.2		120.8		99.7					
WMECO		116.0		68.5		87.2					
NPT		38.3		28.3		39.9					
Total Electric Transmission											
Segment	\$	806.6	\$	700.6	\$	659.5					

NEEWS: The Interstate Reliability Project (IRP), the second project within the NEEWS family of projects, was fully energized on December 18, 2015. The project involved CL&P's construction of an approximately 40-mile, 345-kV overhead line from Lebanon, Connecticut to the Connecticut-Rhode Island border where it connects to transmission enhancements constructed by National Grid in Rhode Island. IRP was placed in service in December 2015 at a final cost to CL&P of \$192.6 million. Through December 31, 2015, CL&P and WMECO capitalized \$377.9 million and \$570.6 million, respectively, in costs associated with NEEWS.

GHCC: The Greater Hartford Central Connecticut (GHCC) solutions are comprised of 27 projects and are expected to cost approximately \$350 million and be placed in service from 2016 through 2018. ISO-NE posted the final Solutions Study for GHCC in late February 2015 and approved our Proposed Plan Applications on April 16, 2015. Through December 31, 2015, we have filed siting applications for five projects all of which have been approved by the Connecticut Siting Council. During 2016, fifteen projects are expected to be in active construction, and three additional siting applications are expected to be filed. All GHCC projects are expected to be completed by late 2018. As of December 31, 2015, CL&P had capitalized \$50.6 million in costs associated with GHCC.

Northern Pass: Northern Pass is Eversource's planned HVDC transmission line from the Québec-New Hampshire border to Franklin, New Hampshire and an associated alternating current radial transmission line between Franklin and Deerfield, New Hampshire. Northern Pass will interconnect at the Québec-New Hampshire border with a planned HQ HVDC transmission line. On July 21, 2015, the DOE issued the draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Northern Pass representing a key milestone in the permitting process. On August 18, 2015, a revised route was announced with an additional 52 miles of the route underground in and around the White Mountain National Forest region. As a result, the NPT project cost estimate increased from \$1.4 billion to \$1.6 billion. Concurrently, NPT announced the Forward NH Plan, which is a commitment to allocate \$200 million to projects associated with economic development, community betterment, and clean energy innovations to benefit the state of New Hampshire. This commitment is contingent upon the Northern Pass transmission line going into commercial operation.

On October 19, 2015, NPT filed its NH SEC application, which was accepted as complete by the NH SEC on December 18, 2015, allowing the formal siting process to move forward. In response to requests by the New Hampshire congressional delegation, the DOE announced that it would issue a supplement to the draft EIS. Public hearings on the draft EIS will be held in March 2016. The DOE has asked for comments by April 4, 2016. The project is expected to be operational in the first half of 2019. On January 28, 2016, NPT bid into the three-state Clean Energy RFP process. For further information on the RFP process, see "Regulatory Developments and Rate Matters General Clean Energy RFP" in this *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations*.

Clean Energy Connect: The Clean Energy Connect project is a planned transmission, wind and hydro generation project that we plan to co-develop with experienced renewable generation companies. On January 28, 2016, the Clean Energy Connect project was bid into the three-state Clean Energy RFP process. Our investment, should the Clean Energy Connect Project be selected in the RFP process, is currently estimated to be at least \$400 million, and would involve the construction of a new 25-mile, 345kV transmission line with a 600 MW capacity from western Massachusetts to eastern New York.

Greater Boston Reliability Solutions: In February 2015, ISO-NE selected Eversource's and National Grid's proposed Greater Boston and New Hampshire Solution (Solution) to satisfy the requirements identified in the Greater Boston study. The Solution consists of a portfolio of electric transmission upgrades straddling southern New Hampshire and northern Massachusetts in the Merrimack Valley and continuing into the greater Boston metropolitan area. We are pursuing the necessary regulatory approvals and have filed several siting applications in Massachusetts and New Hampshire. We estimate our portion of the investment in the Solution will be \$544 million.

Distribution Business: A summary of distribution capital expenditures by company is as follows:

(Millions of Dollars)		2015	2014		2013
CL&P:					
Basic Business	\$	141.1	\$ 120.2	\$	60.9
Aging Infrastructure		151.0	118.0		160.7
Load Growth		42.2	66.3		76.9
Total CL&P		334.3	304.5		298.5
NSTAR Electric:					
Basic Business		108.7	99.0		98.5
Aging Infrastructure		103.1	104.2		110.6
Load Growth		51.9	43.1		53.6
Total NSTAR Electric		263.7	246.3		262.7
PSNH:					
Basic Business		59.2	62.1		22.7
Aging Infrastructure		57.3	45.3		50.5
Load Growth		25.5	27.1		29.3
Total PSNH		142.0	134.5		102.5
WMECO:					
Basic Business		18.2	19.0		7.9
Aging Infrastructure		18.5	16.1		24.6
Load Growth		6.6	6.1		9.2
Total WMECO		43.3	41.2		41.7
Total - Electric Distribution (excluding					
Generation)		783.3	726.5		705.4
Other Distribution		-	-		0.7
PSNH Generation		33.3	13.1		9.7
WMECO Generation		-	7.6		4.5
Natural Gas		212.6	193.7		175.2
Total Electric and Natural Gas Distribution					
Segment	\$	1,029.2	\$ 940.9	\$	895.5

For the electric distribution business, basic business includes the purchase of meters, tools, vehicles, information technology, transformer replacements, equipment facilities, and the relocation of plant. Aging infrastructure relates to reliability and the replacement of overhead lines, plant substations, underground cable replacement, and equipment failures. Load growth includes requests for new business and capacity additions on distribution lines and substation additions and expansions.

Natural Gas Distribution Business Expansion and Enhancement: In 2013, in accordance with Connecticut law and regulations, PURA approved a comprehensive joint natural gas infrastructure expansion plan (expansion plan) filed by Yankee Gas and other Connecticut natural gas distribution companies. The expansion plan described how Yankee Gas expects to add approximately 82,000 new natural gas heating customers over a 10-year period. Yankee Gas estimated that its portion of the plan would cost approximately \$700 million over 10 years. In January 2015, the PURA approved a joint settlement agreement proposed by Yankee Gas and other Connecticut natural gas distribution companies and regulatory agencies that clarified the procedures and oversight criteria applicable to the expansion

plan. On March 20, 2015, Yankee Gas filed its initial System Expansion (SE) Rate reconciliation for 2014. The proposed SE rate was approved by the PURA for implementation as of April 1, 2015, pending final PURA approval following a contested hearing.

In October 2014, pursuant to new legislation, NSTAR Gas filed the Gas System Enhancement Program (GSEP) with the DPU. NSTAR Gas' program accelerates the replacement of certain natural gas distribution facilities in the system to within 25 years. The GSEP includes a new tariff effective January 1, 2016 that provides NSTAR Gas an opportunity to collect the costs for the program on an annual basis through a newly designed reconciling factor. On April 30, 2015, the DPU approved the GSEP. We expect capital expenditures of approximately \$255 million for the period 2016 through 2019 for the GSEP.

<u>Projected Capital Expenditures</u>: A summary of the projected capital expenditures for the Regulated companies' electric transmission and for the total electric distribution, generation, and natural gas distribution businesses for 2016 through 2019, including information technology and facilities upgrades and enhancements on behalf of the Regulated companies, is as follows:

			Years			
(Millions of Dollars)	2016	2017	2018	2019	2	016-2019 Total
CL&P Transmission	\$ 351	\$ 250	\$ 215	\$ 157	\$	973
NSTAR Electric						
Transmission	302	216	238	149		905
PSNH Transmission	112	65	38	56		271
WMECO Transmission	115	78	22	40		255
NPT	31	684	636	149		1,500
Total Electric Transmission	\$ 911	\$ 1,293	\$ 1,149	\$ 551	\$	3,904
Electric Distribution	\$ 892	\$ 963	\$ 888	\$ 840	\$	3,583
Generation	20	-	-	-		20
Natural Gas	284	318	339	357		1,298
Total Distribution	\$ 1,196	\$ 1,281	\$ 1,227	\$ 1,197	\$	4,901
Information Technology and						
All Other	\$ 105	\$ 88	\$ 82	\$ 87	\$	362
Total	\$ 2,212	\$ 2,662	\$ 2,458	\$ 1,835	\$	9,167

The projections do not include capital investments related to Access Northeast or Clean Energy Connect. Actual capital expenditures could vary from the projected amounts for the companies and years above.

FERC Regulatory Issues

FERC ROE Complaints: Three separate complaints have been filed at FERC by combinations of New England state attorneys general, state regulatory commissions, consumer advocates, consumer groups, municipal parties and other parties (the "Complainants"). In these three separate complaints, the Complainants challenged the NETOs' base ROE of 11.14 percent that had been utilized since 2006 and sought an order to reduce it prospectively from the date of the final FERC order and for the 15-month complaint refund periods stipulated in the separate complaints. In 2014, the FERC ordered a 10.57 percent base ROE for the first complaint refund period and prospectively from October 16, 2014, and that a utility's total or maximum ROE shall not exceed the top of the new zone of reasonableness, which was set at 11.74 percent. The NETOs and the Complainants sought rehearing from FERC. In late 2014, the NETOs made a compliance filing and the Company began issuing refunds to customers from the first complaint period.

As a result of the actions taken by the FERC and other developments in the first complaint matter, the Company recorded reserves at its electric subsidiaries in 2015, 2014 and 2013. In 2015, Eversource recognized an after-tax charge to earnings (excluding interest) of \$12.4 million, of which \$7.9 million was recorded at CL&P, \$1.4 million at NSTAR Electric, \$0.6 million at PSNH, and \$2.5 million at WMECO. The net aggregate after-tax charge to earnings

(excluding interest) in 2014 totaled \$22.4 million, of which \$12.4 million was recorded at CL&P, \$4.9 million at NSTAR Electric, \$1.7 million at PSNH and \$3.4 million at WMECO. The aggregate after-tax charge to earnings (excluding interest) in 2013 totaled \$14.3 million, of which \$7.7 million was recorded at CL&P, \$3.4 million at NSTAR Electric, \$1.4 million at PSNH and \$1.8 million at WMECO. The NETOs and Complainants have filed appeals to the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals. A court decision is expected in late 2016.

For the second and third complaints, the state parties, municipal utilities and FERC trial staff each believe that the base ROE should be reduced to an amount lower than 10.57 percent. The NETOs believe that the Complainants' positions are without merit, and the existing base ROE of 10.57 percent is just and reasonable and should be maintained. The FERC ALJ s initial recommendation is expected by March 31, 2016. A final FERC order is expected in late 2016 or early 2017.

As of December 31, 2015, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH, and WMECO had approximately \$2.7 billion of aggregate shareholder equity invested in their transmission facilities. As a result, each 10 basis point change in the authorized base ROE would change annual consolidated earnings by an approximate \$2.7 million. Although we are uncertain on the final outcome of the second and third complaints regarding the ROE, we believe the current reserves established are appropriate to reflect probable and reasonably estimable refunds.

FERC Order No. 1000: On August 15, 2014, the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals upheld the FERC's authority to order major changes to transmission planning and cost allocation in FERC Order No. 1000 and Order No. 1000-A, including transmission planning for public policy needs, and the requirement that utilities remove from their transmission tariffs their rights of first refusal to build transmission. On March 19, 2015, the FERC acted on all rehearing requests filed by the NETOs, including CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO, and other parties and accepted the November 2013 compliance filing made by ISO-NE and the NETOs, subject to further compliance. The FERC accepted our proposal that the new competitive transmission planning process will not apply to certain projects, which have been declared as the preferred solution by ISO-NE, unless ISO-NE later decides a solution must be re-evaluated. The FERC determined on rehearing that we can restore provisions that recognize the NETOs rights to retain use and control of their existing rights of ways. Final compliance was filed by the NETOs in November 2015 and was accepted by the FERC on December 14, 2015.

Additionally, the FERC affirmed that it can eliminate our right of first refusal to build transmission in New England even though the FERC previously approved and granted special protections to these rights. The NETOs filed an appeal to the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals, challenging this FERC ruling. State regulators also filed an appeal, challenging FERC s determination that ISO-NE should select public policy transmission projects after a competitive process. The Court is expected to resolve the appeals in 2016.

Regulatory Developments and Rate Matters

General:

<u>Clean Energy RFP</u>: In February 2015, pursuant to clean energy goals established in three New England states (Connecticut, Massachusetts and Rhode Island), CL&P, NSTAR Electric, WMECO, other EDCs, and state agencies in the three states jointly developed and issued a draft request for proposal (RFP) for clean energy resources (including Class I renewable generation and large hydroelectric generation). The draft RFP solicits offers for clean energy and the transmission to deliver that energy to the three states. The procurement will allow the states to identify large-scale projects that may offer the potential to meet their clean energy goals in a cost-effective manner when entered into jointly, while complying with the clean energy statutes within the three states.

The DPU and the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission (PUC) approved the draft RFP that was jointly submitted by certain EDCs. The draft RFP encompassed the timetable and method for the solicitation and execution of any associated long-term contracts. On August 31, 2015, the DEEP issued a notice of proceeding on the Connecticut portion of the draft RFP and accepted public comment through September 30, 2015. On November 12, 2015, the DEEP and the Massachusetts and Rhode Island EDCs issued the RFP to a wide range of potentially interested bidders. In late January 2016, bidders submitted project proposals, among which were the Northern Pass and Clean Energy Connect projects, selection of which will take place between April and July 2016. The expected timeframe within which EDCs will execute contracts and submit them for regulatory commission approval from the respective state regulators is from June through October 2016 with approval expected in late 2016.

New England Natural Gas Pipeline Capacity: In 2014, the six New England states began to explore ways to address and mitigate winter natural gas price volatility and the associated impact on electric power supply costs attributable to winter pipeline capacity constraints. Five states are currently pursuing natural gas capacity expansion efforts. In 2014, Rhode Island approved legislation authorizing the Rhode Island Division of Public Utilities and Carriers and the Office of Energy Resources to participate in the RFP process and file proposals with the PUC. In late 2015, Access Northeast bid on the natural gas pipeline and storage RFP issued by the Rhode Island EDC. We expect the EDC will file their selected contracts with the PUC in the first half of 2016. The Massachusetts DPU determined that it has the authority to allow EDCs to contract for natural gas pipeline capacity and in late 2015, certain Massachusetts EDCs, including NSTAR Electric and WMECO, issued a natural gas pipeline capacity RFP. In December 2015 and January 2016, those Massachusetts EDCs filed with the DPU seeking approval of the contracts for pipeline and storage capacity, including Access Northeast. On January 19, 2016, the NHPUC issued an order accepting a staff report that concluded that the NHPUC could approve contracts between pipelines and EDCs if they were shown to reduce electricity costs and be in the public interest. In February 2016, PSNH filed for approval with the NHPUC, its proposed contract for natural gas pipeline capacity and storage with Access Northeast. The Connecticut DEEP expects to provide an opportunity for public comment on a natural gas pipeline capacity RFP in the first quarter of 2016.

Electric and Natural Gas Base Distribution Rates:

Each Eversource utility subsidiary is subject to the regulatory jurisdiction of the state in which it operates: CL&P and Yankee Gas operate in Connecticut and are subject to PURA regulation; NSTAR Electric, WMECO and NSTAR Gas operate in Massachusetts and are subject to DPU regulation; and PSNH operates in New Hampshire and is subject to NHPUC regulation. The Regulated companies' distribution rates are set by their respective state regulatory commissions, and their tariffs include mechanisms for periodically adjusting their rates for the recovery of specific incurred costs.

In Connecticut, CL&P distribution rates were established in a 2014 PURA approved rate case. Yankee Gas distribution rates were established in a 2011 PURA approved rate case. In Massachusetts, electric utility companies are required to file at least one distribution rate case every five years, and natural gas companies to file at least one distribution rate case every five years, and natural gas companies to file at least one distribution rate case every five years, and natural gas companies to file at least one distribution rate case every 10 years, and those companies are limited to one settlement agreement in any 10-year period. NSTAR Electric and WMECO were subject to a base distribution rate freeze through December 31, 2015. NSTAR Gas distribution rates effective January 1, 2016 were established in an October 30, 2015 DPU distribution rate order. See *Massachusetts NSTAR Gas Distribution Rates* in this *Regulatory Developments and Rate Matters* section for further information. In New Hampshire, PSNH distribution rates were established in a settlement approved by the NHPUC in 2010. Prior to the expiration of that settlement, the NHPUC approved the continuation, and increased funding via rates, of PSNH s reliability enhancement program. See *New Hampshire - Distribution Rates* in this *Regulatory Developments and Rate Matters* section for further information.

Electric and Natural Gas Retail Rates:

The Eversource EDCs obtain and resell power to retail customers who choose not to buy energy from a competitive energy supplier. The natural gas distribution companies procure natural gas for firm and seasonal customers. These energy supply procurement costs are recovered from customers in energy supply rates that are approved by the respective state regulatory commission. The rates are reset periodically and are fully reconciled to their costs. Each electric and natural gas distribution company fully recovers its energy supply costs through approved regulatory rate mechanisms and, therefore, such costs have no impact on earnings.

The electric and natural gas distribution companies also recover certain costs on a fully reconciling basis through regulatory commission-approved cost tracking mechanisms and, therefore, such costs have no impact on earnings. Costs recovered through costs tracking mechanisms include energy efficiency program costs, electric transmission charges, electric federally mandated congestion charges, system resiliency costs, certain uncollectible hardship bad debt expenses, and restructuring and stranded costs resulting from deregulation. The reconciliation filings compare the total actual costs allowed to revenue requirements related to these services and the difference between the costs incurred (or the rate recovery allowed) and the actual costs allowed is deferred and included, to be either recovered or refunded, in future customer rates.

Connecticut:

<u>CL&P Distribution Rates</u>: In December 2014, the PURA granted a re-opener request to CL&P s base distribution rate application for further review of the appropriate balance of ADIT utilized in the calculation of rate base. On July 2, 2015, the PURA issued a final order that approved a settlement agreement filed on May 19, 2015 between CL&P and the PURA Prosecutorial Staff. The order allows for an increase to rate base of approximately \$163 million associated with ADIT, including a regulatory asset to recover the incremental revenue requirement for the period December 1, 2014 through November 30, 2015 over a subsequent 24-month period. The rate base increase provided an increase to total allowed annual revenue requirements of \$18.4 million beginning December 1, 2014. As part of the settlement agreement, the \$18.4 million for the period December 1, 2014 through November 30, 2015 was recorded as a regulatory asset with a corresponding increase in Operating Revenues, and is being collected from customers in rates over a 24-month period beginning December 1, 2015.

<u>CL&P and Yankee Gas Conservation and Load Management Plan</u>: On December 31, 2015, DEEP approved the three-year electric and natural gas C&LM plan filed by CL&P and Yankee Gas, which was jointly developed with the Connecticut EDCs and natural gas distribution companies. The C&LM plan, which covers the years 2016 through 2018, was built upon the continued success and momentum of the previous C&LM plans and includes performance incentives totaling \$24 million over the three-year period related to proposed savings goals for CL&P and Yankee Gas.

<u>Yankee Gas Settlement Agreement</u>: On April 29, 2015, the PURA approved a settlement agreement entered into among Yankee Gas, the Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel, and the PURA Staff, which eliminated the requirement to file a base distribution rate case in 2015. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, Yankee Gas provided a \$1.5 million rate credit to firm customers beginning in December 2015 and continued through February 2016, and established an earnings sharing mechanism whereby Yankee Gas and its customers will share equally in any earnings exceeding a 9.5 percent ROE in a twelve month period commencing with the period from April 1, 2015 through March 31, 2016. Additionally, Yankee Gas shall forgo its right to file a rate case for an increase in its base distribution rates prior to January 1, 2017. This does not impact the rates charged under the Connecticut comprehensive energy strategy (CES) program. The settlement agreement also resolved two pending regulatory proceedings before the PURA pertaining to a review of Yankee Gas overearnings. In 2015, Yankee Gas recorded the \$1.5 million expected refund to customers as a reduction to operating revenues.

Massachusetts:

<u>NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas Comprehensive Settlement Agreement</u>: On March 2, 2015, the DPU approved the comprehensive settlement agreement between NSTAR Electric, NSTAR Gas and the Massachusetts Attorney General (the "Settlement") as filed with the DPU on December 31, 2014. The Settlement resolved the outstanding NSTAR Electric CPSL program filings for 2006 through 2011, the NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas PAM and energy efficiency-related customer billing adjustments reported in 2012, and the recovery of LBR related to NSTAR Electric's energy efficiency programs for 2009 through 2011 (11 dockets in total). In the first quarter of 2015, as a result of the DPU order, NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas commenced refunding a combined \$44.7 million to

customers, which was recorded as a regulatory liability. Refunds to customers will continue through December 2016. As a result of the Settlement, NSTAR Electric increased its operating revenues and decreased its amortization expense in 2015, resulting in the recognition of a \$13 million after-tax benefit.

<u>NSTAR Electric Basic Service Bad Debt Adder</u>: On January 7, 2015, the DPU issued an order concluding that NSTAR Electric had removed energy-related bad debt costs from base distribution rates effective January 1, 2006. As a result of the DPU order, in the first quarter of 2015, NSTAR Electric increased its regulatory assets and reduced its operations and maintenance expense by an under recovered amount of \$24.2 million for energy-related bad debt costs through 2014, resulting in after-tax earnings of \$14.5 million. NSTAR Electric filed for recovery of the energy-related bad debt costs regulatory asset from customers and on November 20, 2015, the DPU approved NSTAR Electric s proposed rate increase to recover these costs over a 12-month period, beginning January 1, 2016.

<u>NSTAR Electric and WMECO Grid Modernization Plan</u>: As part of the DPU s investigation into the modernization of the electric grid, in August 2015, NSTAR Electric and WMECO filed a comprehensive ten-year plan with the DPU. The plan focuses on technologies and investments that modernize the grid with proposed investments in equipment that reduces the frequency and duration of power outages, optimizes and manages electrical demand, integrates distributed energy resources, and improves workforce and asset management. The plan includes incremental spending of approximately \$430 million over the first five years, which would be recovered from customers in rates, and is pending DPU review and approval. There is currently no timeline for the DPU to take any action on this plan.

NSTAR Electric, WMECO and NSTAR Gas Energy Efficiency Plan: The Massachusetts EDCs and natural gas distribution companies have increased their energy efficiency savings achievements significantly since the enactment of the Green Communities Act in 2008, with electric savings almost tripling between 2008 and 2014. On January 28, 2016, the DPU issued an order approving NSTAR Electric s, WMECO s, and NSTAR Gas three-year electric and natural gas energy efficiency plan, which was jointly developed with other Massachusetts EDCs and natural gas distribution companies. As part of this plan, which covers the years 2016 through 2018, NSTAR Electric, WMECO, and NSTAR Gas will maintain aggressive savings goals. The plan includes the ability to earn performance incentives related to these aggressive savings goals totaling \$58 million over the three-year period for NSTAR Electric, WMECO and NSTAR Gas, as well as recovery of LBR of approximately \$50 million on an annual basis for NSTAR Electric until it is operating under a decoupled rate structure.

<u>NSTAR Electric DPU Safety and Reliability Programs</u>: The safety and reliability programs allowed NSTAR Electric to recover \$15 million per year, through December 31, 2015, related to DPU approved safety and reliability programs, which are designed to mitigate stray voltage and repair and replace portions of the system to increase and enhance customer safety.

<u>NSTAR Gas Distribution Rates</u>: On October 30, 2015, the DPU issued its order in the NSTAR Gas distribution rate case, which approved an annualized base rate increase of \$15.8 million, plus other increases of approximately \$11.5 million, mostly relating to recovery of pension and PBOP expenses and the Hopkinton Gas Service Agreement (GSA), effective January 1, 2016. In the order, the DPU also approved an authorized regulatory

ROE of 9.8 percent, the establishment of a revenue decoupling mechanism, the recovery of certain bad debt expenses, and a 52.1 percent equity component of its capital structure. On November 19, 2015, NSTAR Gas filed a motion for reconsideration of the order with the DPU seeking the correction of mathematical errors and other plant and cost of service items.

As a result of this order, Eversource recorded regulatory deferrals for costs that have been approved for recovery or are expected to be approved for recovery in future rate proceedings, which resulted in the recognition of a \$10.3 million after-tax benefit in 2015. Included in this amount is a \$6.3 million after-tax benefit recorded at NSTAR Electric for certain uncollectible hardship accounts receivable that are expected to be recovered in future rates given the allowed recoveries of uncollectible hardship accounts receivable by WMECO and NSTAR Gas.

<u>NSTAR Gas - Gas Service Agreement:</u> On April 29, 2015, the DPU approved the GSA, subject to DPU modifications, between NSTAR Gas and Hopkinton LNG Corp. (HOPCO), an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Eversource. On October 30, 2015, the DPU issued its order in the NSTAR Gas distribution rate case that required minor changes to the GSA. On May 22, 2015 and November 17, 2015, we filed revised GSAs with the DPU reflecting these modifications. The GSA effectively replaces the former gas services agreement in place between NSTAR Gas and HOPCO, maintains NSTAR Gas Company's entitlement to 100 percent of the current capacity of the HOPCO facilities, and provides for the recovery of costs associated with planned capital expenditures at the HOPCO facilities. We currently estimate the HOPCO facilities capital expenditures to be approximately \$200 million, most of which will be invested and placed into service in the first five years of the GSA. The GSA has a 30-year term commencing on January 1, 2016.

New Hampshire:

<u>Distribution Rates</u>: PSNH distribution rates were established in a settlement approved by the NHPUC in 2010. Rates established therein will continue until changed by the NHPUC in a subsequent distribution rate proceeding. In June 2015, PSNH sought and obtained approval for a distribution rate increase to fund continuation of the reliability enhancement program beyond the end of the PSNH's 2010 distribution rate settlement.

Generation Divestiture:

On June 10, 2015, Eversource and PSNH entered into the 2015 Public Service Company of New Hampshire Restructuring and Rate Stabilization Agreement (the Agreement) with the New Hampshire Office of Energy and Planning, certain members of the NHPUC staff, the Office of Consumer Advocate, two State Senators, and several other parties. The Agreement was filed with the NHPUC on the same day. Under the terms of the Agreement, PSNH has agreed to divest its generation assets upon NHPUC approval. The Agreement is designed to provide a resolution of issues pertaining to PSNH's generation assets in pending regulatory proceedings before the NHPUC. The Agreement provided for the Clean Air Project prudence proceeding to be resolved and all remaining Clean Air Project costs to be included in rates effective January 1, 2016. As part of the Agreement, PSNH has agreed to forego recovery

of \$25 million of the deferred equity return related to the Clean Air Project. In addition, PSNH will not seek a general distribution rate increase effective before July 1, 2017 and will contribute \$5 million to create a clean energy fund, which will not be recoverable from its customers. In 2015, PSNH recorded the \$5 million contribution as a long-term liability and an increase to Operations and Maintenance expense on the statements of income.

Upon completion of the divestiture process, all remaining stranded costs will be recovered via bonds that will be secured by a non-bypassable charge or through other recoveries in rates billed to PSNH's customers. For further information on the securitization legislation that was signed into law on July 9, 2015, see "Legislative and Policy Matters" New Hampshire" in this *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations*.

On January 26, 2016, Advisory Staff of the NHPUC and the parties to the Agreement filed a stipulation with the NHPUC agreeing that near-term divestiture of PSNH s generation was in the public interest and that the Agreement should be approved. Implementation of the Agreement is subject to NHPUC approval, which is expected in early 2016.

We believe that full recovery of PSNH's generation assets is probable through a combination of cash flows during the remaining operating period, sales proceeds upon divestiture, and recovery of stranded costs in future rates.

<u>Clean Air Project Prudence Proceeding</u>: The Clean Air Project, which involved the installation of wet scrubber technology at PSNH's Merrimack coal-fired generation station in Bow, New Hampshire, pursuant to state law, was placed in service in September 2011. In April 2012, the NHPUC issued an order authorizing temporary rates to recover a significant portion of the Clean Air Project costs.

Pursuant to the Agreement, on December 22, 2015, the NHPUC approved PSNH s request to increase its default energy service rate for full recovery of costs (including a return) related to the Clean Air Project, as well as a deferred equity return, effective January 1, 2016. The approved energy supply portion of the 2016 rate is 9.99 cents per kWh (including all Clean Energy Project-related costs), and the SCRC rate for 2016 is a credit to customers of 0.017 cents per kWh.

Legislative and Policy Matters

Federal: On December 18, 2015, the "Protecting Americans from Tax Hikes" Act became law, which extended the accelerated deduction of depreciation to businesses from 2015 through 2019. This extended stimulus provides us with cash flow benefits of approximately \$275 million (including approximately \$105 million for CL&P) due to a refund of taxes paid in 2015 and lower expected tax payments in 2016 of approximately \$300 million.

New Hampshire: On July 9, 2015, the Governor of New Hampshire signed "An Act Relative to Electric Rate Reduction Financing" (the Act) permitting the NHPUC to issue finance orders that authorize the issuance of rate reduction bonds in accordance with the PSNH divestiture agreement and the expected NHPUC divestiture order, regarding cost recovery of the Clean Air project and divestiture of PSNH s remaining generation plants.

Connecticut: In 2015, the state of Connecticut enacted several changes to its corporate tax laws. Among the changes, commencing as of January 1, 2015, is the reduction in the amount of tax credits that corporations can utilize against its tax liability in a year and a continuation of the corporate income tax surcharge through 2018, which effectively increases the state corporate tax rate to 9 percent for the years 2016 and 2017 and 8.25 percent for 2018. Also, effective January 1, 2016, all Connecticut companies have a mandatory unitary tax filing requirement. We continue to review the tax law changes and their impact on the effective tax rates of Eversource and CL&P.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates, assumptions and, at times, difficult, subjective or complex judgments. Changes in these estimates, assumptions and judgments, in and of themselves, could materially impact our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Our management discusses with the Audit Committee of our Board of Trustees significant matters relating to critical accounting policies. Our critical accounting policies are discussed below. See the combined notes to our financial statements for further information concerning the accounting policies, estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our financial statements.

Regulatory Accounting: Our Regulated companies are subject to rate-regulation that is based on cost recovery and meets the criteria for application of accounting guidance for rate-regulated operations, which considers the effect of regulation on the timing of the recognition of certain revenues and expenses. The Regulated companies' financial statements reflect the effects of the rate-making process.

The application of accounting guidance for rate-regulated enterprises results in recording regulatory assets and liabilities. Regulatory assets represent the deferral of incurred costs that are probable of future recovery in customer rates. Regulatory assets are amortized as the incurred costs are recovered through customer rates. In some cases, we record regulatory assets before approval for recovery has been received from the applicable regulatory commission. We must use judgment to conclude that costs deferred as regulatory assets are probable of future recovery. We base our conclusion on certain factors, including, but not limited to, regulatory precedent. Regulatory liabilities represent revenues received from customers to fund expected costs that have not yet been incurred or probable future refunds to customers.

We use our best judgment when recording regulatory assets and liabilities; however, regulatory commissions can reach different conclusions about the recovery of costs, and those conclusions could have a material impact on our financial statements. We believe it is probable that each of the Regulated companies will recover the regulatory assets

that have been recorded. If we determine that we can no longer apply the accounting guidance applicable to rate-regulated enterprises to our operations, or that we cannot conclude it is probable that costs will be recovered from customers in future rates, the costs would be charged to earnings in the period in which the determination is made.

Unbilled Revenues: The determination of retail energy sales to residential, commercial and industrial customers is based on the reading of meters, which occurs regularly throughout the month. Billed revenues are based on these meter readings, and the majority of our recorded annual revenues is based on actual billings. Because customers are billed throughout the month based on pre-determined cycles rather than on a calendar month basis, an estimate of electricity or natural gas delivered to customers for which the customers have not yet been billed is calculated as of the balance sheet date.

Unbilled revenues represent an estimate of electricity or natural gas delivered to customers but not yet billed. Unbilled revenues are included in Operating Revenues on the statement of income and are assets on the balance sheet that are reclassified to Accounts Receivable in the following month as customers are billed. Such estimates are subject to adjustment when actual meter readings become available or when there is a change in our estimates.

The Regulated companies estimate unbilled sales monthly using the daily load cycle method. The daily load cycle method allocates billed sales to the current calendar month based on the daily load for each billing cycle. The billed sales are subtracted from total month load, net of delivery losses, to estimate unbilled sales. Unbilled revenues are estimated by first allocating unbilled sales to the respective customer classes, then applying an estimated rate by customer class to those sales. The estimate of unbilled revenues is sensitive to factors such as energy demand, weather and changes in the composition of customer classes that can significantly impact the amount of revenues recorded at NSTAR Electric and PSNH because they do not have a revenue decoupling mechanism. CL&P and WMECO record a regulatory deferral to reflect the actual allowed amount of revenue for decoupling, and unbilled revenues estimation is not critical to CL&P and WMECO.

Pension and PBOP: We sponsor Pension and PBOP Plans to provide retirement benefits to our employees. Effective January 1, 2015, the two Pension Plans were merged into one Pension Plan, sponsored by Eversource Service, and our PBOP Plans were merged into one PBOP Plan, sponsored by Eversource Service. For each of these plans, several significant assumptions are used to determine the projected benefit obligation, funded status and net periodic benefit cost. These assumptions include the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, discount rate, compensation/progression rate, mortality assumptions, and health care cost trend rates. We evaluate these assumptions at least annually and adjust them as necessary. Changes in these assumptions could have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Pre-tax net periodic benefit expense for the Pension Plan (excluding the SERP Plans) was \$124.2 million, \$118.4 million and \$236.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The pre-tax net periodic benefit expense for the PBOP Plan was \$2.4 million, \$8.1 million and \$32.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Expected Long-Term Rate of Return on Plan Assets: In developing this assumption, we consider historical and expected returns as well as input from our consultants. Our expected long-term rate of return on assets is based on assumptions regarding target asset allocations and corresponding expected rates of return for each asset class. We routinely review the actual asset allocations and periodically rebalance the investments to the targeted asset allocations when appropriate. For the year ended December 31, 2015, our aggregate expected long-term rate of return assumption of 8.25 percent was used to determine our pension and PBOP expense. For the forecasted 2016 pension and PBOP expense, our expected long-term rate of return of 8.25 percent for all plans was used reflecting our target asset allocations.

<u>Discount Rate</u>: Payment obligations related to the Pension and PBOP Plans are discounted at interest rates applicable to the expected timing of each plan's cash flows. The discount rate that was utilized in determining the 2015 pension and PBOP obligations was based on a yield-curve approach. This approach utilizes a population of bonds with an average rating of AA based on bond ratings by Moody's, S&P and Fitch, and uses bonds with above median yields within that population. As of December 31, 2015, the discount rates used to determine the funded status were 4.6 percent for the Pension Plan and 4.62 percent for the PBOP Plan. As of December 31, 2014, the discount rate used were 4.2 percent for the Pension Plans and 4.22 percent for the PBOP Plans. The increase in the discount rate used to calculate the funded status resulted in a decrease on the Pension and PBOP Plan's liability of approximately \$267 million and \$60 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2015.

<u>Compensation/Progression Rate</u>: This assumption reflects the expected long-term salary growth rate, including consideration of the levels of increases built into collective bargaining agreements, and impacts the estimated benefits that Pension Plan participants receive in the future. As of both December 31, 2015 and 2014, the compensation/progression rate used to determine the funded status was 3.5 percent.

<u>Mortality Assumptions:</u> Assumptions as to mortality of the participants in our Pension and PBOP Plans are a key estimate in measuring the expected payments a participant may receive over their lifetime and the corresponding plan liability we need to record. During 2014, the Society of Actuaries released a series of updated mortality tables resulting from studies that measured mortality rates for various groups of individuals. The updated mortality tables released in 2014 increased the life expectancy of plan participants by three to five years and had the effect of increasing the estimated benefits to be provided to plan participants. The impact of adopting the updated mortality tables on Eversource's liability as of December 31, 2014 was an increase of approximately \$340 million and \$82 million for the Pension and PBOP Plans, respectively. In 2015, a revised scale for the mortality table was released having the effect of decreasing the estimate of benefits to be provided to plan participants. The impact of the adoption of the new mortality scale resulted in a decrease of \$48 million and \$23 million for the Pension and PBOP Plans' liability, respectively, as of December 31, 2015.

<u>Actuarial Determination of Expense</u>: Pension and PBOP expense is determined by our actuaries and consists of service cost and prior service cost, interest cost based on the discounting of the obligations, and amortization of actuarial gains and losses, offset by the expected return on plan assets. Actuarial gains and losses represent differences between assumptions and actual information or updated assumptions.

The expected return on plan assets is determined by applying the assumed long-term rate of return to the Pension and PBOP Plan asset balances. This calculated expected return is compared to the actual return or loss on plan assets at the end of each year to determine the investment gains or losses to be immediately reflected in unrecognized actuarial gains and losses.

<u>Forecasted Expenses and Expected Contributions</u>: We estimate that the expense for the Pension Plan (excluding the SERP Plans) will be approximately \$65 million and income for the PBOP Plan will be approximately \$7.7 million, respectively, in 2016. Effective January 1, 2016, we elected to transition the discount rate to the spot rate methodology from the yield-curve approach for the service and interest cost components of Pension and PBOP expense because it provides a more precise measurement by matching projected cash flows to the corresponding spot rates on the yield curve. Historically, these components were estimated using the same weighted-average discount rate as for the funded status. The discount rates used to estimate the 2016 service costs are 4.91 percent and 5.14 percent for the Pension and PBOP Plans, respectively. The discount rates used to estimate the 2016 interest costs are 3.80 percent and 3.72 percent for the Pension and PBOP Plans, respectively. Pension and PBOP expense for subsequent years will depend on future investment performance, changes in future discount rates and other assumptions, and various other factors related to the populations participating in the plans. Pension and PBOP expense charged to earnings is net of the amounts capitalized.

Our policy is to annually fund the Pension Plan in an amount at least equal to the amount that will satisfy all federal funding requirements. We contributed \$154.6 million to the Pension Plan in 2015. We currently estimate approximately \$146 million of contributions to the Pension Plan in 2016.

For the PBOP Plan, it is our policy to annually fund the PBOP Plan though tax deductible contributions to external trusts. We contributed \$7.9 million to the PBOP Plan in 2015. We currently estimate approximately \$9.5 million in contributions to the PBOP Plan in 2016.

<u>Sensitivity Analysis</u>: The following represents the hypothetical increase to the Pension Plan's (excluding the SERP Plans) and PBOP Plan's reported annual cost as a result of a change in the following assumptions by 50 basis points:

(Millions of Dollars)	Increase in Pension Plan C					st		Increase in PBOP Plan Cost					
Assumption Change	As of December 31,												
Eversource		201	5		201	4		2015		2014			
Lower expected long-term rate of	\$	20.6	5	\$	1	9.3	\$	4.2	\$	4.()		
return													
Lower discount rate	\$	26.3	\$	19.1	\$	6.	2 \$	2.2					
Higher compensation rate	\$	12.4	\$	10.2		N/A	1	N/A					

<u>Health Care Cost</u>: As of December 31, 2015, the health care cost trend rate assumption used to determine the PBOP Plan's year end funded status was 6.25 percent, subsequently decreasing to an ultimate rate of 4.5 percent in 2023. The effect of a hypothetical increase in the health care cost trend rate by one percentage point would be an increase to the service and interest cost components of PBOP Plan expense by \$8.5 million in 2015, and a \$115.3 million increase to the PBOP obligation.

Goodwill: We have recorded approximately \$3.5 billion of goodwill associated with previous mergers and acquisitions. We have identified our reporting units for purposes of allocating and testing goodwill as Electric Distribution, Electric Transmission and Natural Gas Distribution. These reporting units are consistent with our operating segments underlying our reportable segments. Electric Distribution and Electric Transmission reporting units include carrying values for the respective components of CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO. The Natural Gas Distribution reporting unit includes the carrying values of NSTAR Gas and Yankee Gas. As of December 31, 2015, goodwill was allocated to the reporting units as follows: \$2.5 billion to Electric Distribution, \$0.6 billion to Electric Transmission, and \$0.4 billion to Natural Gas Distribution.

We are required to test goodwill balances for impairment at least annually by considering the fair values of the reporting units, which requires us to use estimates and judgments. We have selected October 1st of each year as the annual goodwill impairment testing date. Goodwill impairment is deemed to exist if the carrying value of a reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value and if the implied fair value of goodwill based on the estimated fair values of the reporting units' assets and liabilities is less than the carrying amount of the goodwill. If goodwill were deemed to be impaired, it would be written down in the current period to the extent of the impairment.

We performed an impairment test of goodwill as of October 1, 2015 for the Electric Distribution, Electric Transmission and Natural Gas Distribution reporting units. This evaluation required the consideration of several factors that impact the fair value of the reporting units, including conditions and assumptions that affect the future cash flows of the reporting units. Key considerations include discount rates, utility sector market performance and merger transaction multiples, and internal estimates of future cash flows and net income.

The 2015 goodwill impairment test resulted in a conclusion that goodwill is not impaired and no reporting unit is at risk of a goodwill impairment.

Income Taxes: Income tax expense is estimated for each of the jurisdictions in which we operate and is recorded each quarter using an estimated annualized effective tax rate. This process to record income tax expense involves estimating current and deferred income tax expense or benefit and the impact of temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items for financial reporting and income tax return reporting purposes. Such differences are the result of timing of the deduction for expenses, as well as any impact of permanent differences, non-tax deductible expenses, or other items that directly impact income tax expense as a result of regulatory activity (flow-through items). The temporary differences and flow-through items result in deferred tax assets and liabilities that are included in the balance sheets.

We also account for uncertainty in income taxes, which applies to all income tax positions previously filed in a tax return and income tax positions expected to be taken in a future tax return that have been reflected on our balance sheets. The determination of whether a tax position meets the recognition threshold under applicable accounting guidance is based on facts and circumstances available to us. Once a tax position meets the recognition threshold, the tax benefit is measured using a cumulative probability assessment. Assigning probabilities in measuring a recognized tax position and evaluating new information or events in subsequent periods requires significant judgment and could change previous conclusions used to measure the tax position estimate. New information or events may include tax examinations or appeals (including information gained from those examinations), developments in case law, settlements of tax positions, changes in tax law and regulations, rulings by taxing authorities and statute of limitation expirations. Such information or events may have a significant impact on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Accounting for Environmental Reserves: Environmental reserves are accrued when assessments indicate it is probable that a liability has been incurred and an amount can be reasonably estimated. Adjustments made to estimates of environmental liabilities could have an adverse impact on earnings. We estimate these liabilities based on findings through various phases of the assessment, considering the most likely action plan from a variety of available remediation options (ranging from no action required to full site remediation and long-term monitoring), current site information from our site assessments, remediation estimates from third party engineering and remediation contractors, and our prior experience in remediating contaminated sites. If a most likely action plan cannot yet be determined, we estimate the liability based on the low end of a range of possible action plans. A significant portion of our environmental sites and reserve amounts relate to former MGP sites that were operated several decades ago and manufactured gas from coal and other processes, which resulted in certain by-products remaining in the environment that may pose a potential risk to human health and the environment. As assessments on these sites are performed, we may receive new information to be considered in our estimates related to the extent and nature of the contamination and the costs of required remediation.

Our estimates also incorporate currently enacted state and federal environmental laws and regulations and data released by the EPA and other organizations. The estimates associated with each possible action plan are judgmental in nature partly because there are usually several different remediation options from which to choose. Our estimates are subject to revision in future periods based on actual costs or new information from other sources, including the level of contamination at the site, the extent of our responsibility or the extent of remediation required, recently enacted laws and regulations or a change in cost estimates due to certain economic factors.

Fair Value Measurements: We follow fair value measurement guidance that defines fair value as the price that would be received for the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (an exit price). We have applied this guidance to our Company's derivative contracts that are not elected or designated as "normal purchases or normal sales" (normal), to marketable securities held in trusts, to our investments in our Pension and PBOP Plans, and to nonfinancial assets such as goodwill and AROs. This guidance was also applied in estimating the fair value of preferred stock and long-term debt.

Changes in fair value of the Regulated company derivative contracts are recorded as Regulatory Assets or Liabilities, as we recover the costs of these contracts in rates charged to customers. These valuations are sensitive to the prices of energy and energy-related products in future years for which markets have not yet developed and assumptions are

made.

We use quoted market prices when available to determine the fair value of financial instruments. If quoted market prices are not available, fair value is determined using quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments that are not active and model-derived valuations. When quoted prices in active markets for the same or similar instruments are not available, we value derivative contracts using models that incorporate both observable and unobservable inputs. Significant unobservable inputs utilized in the models include energy and energy-related product prices for future years for long-dated derivative contracts and market volatilities. Discounted cash flow valuations incorporate estimates of premiums or discounts, reflecting risk adjusted profit that would be required by a market participant to arrive at an exit price, using available historical market transaction information. Valuations of derivative contracts also reflect our estimates of nonperformance risk, including credit risk.

Other Matters

CL&P

Accounting Standards: For information regarding new accounting standards, see Note 1C, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Accounting Standards," to the financial statements.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments: Information regarding our contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of December 31, 2015 is summarized annually through 2020 and thereafter as follows:

Eversource												
(Millions of Dollars)	201	6	2017		2018		2019		2020	T	Thereafter	Total
Long-term debt maturities (a)	\$ 20	0.0	\$ 745.0) \$	960.0	\$	800.0	\$	295.0	\$	5,736.6	\$ 8,736.6
Estimated interest payments on existing debt ^(b)	37	1.2	366.6)	313.1		284.2		245.8		2,849.6	4,430.5
Capital leases ^(c)		2.2	2.1		2.1		2.0		2.0		1.4	11.8
Operating leases (d)	1	6.4	13.8	8	10.4		8.5		6.8		15.4	71.3
Funding of pension obligations (d) (e)	14	6.0	167.5	5	114.5		70.6		20.2		-	518.8
Funding of PBOP obligations (d)		9.5	9.2	2	9.4		9.6		-		-	37.7
Estimated future annual long-term contractual costs ^(f)	68	4.5	590.6)	442.3		376.2		344.9		2,371.7	4,810.2
Total ^(g)	\$ 1,42	9.8	\$ 1,894.8	\$	1,851.8	\$	1,551.1	\$	914.7	\$	10,974.7	\$ 18,616.9
Long-term debt maturities ^(a) Estimated interest payments on existing debt ^(b) Capital leases ^(c) Operating leases ^(d) Funding of pension obligations ^(d) ^(e) Funding of PBOP obligations ^(d) Estimated future annual long-term contractual costs ^(f)	\$ 20 37 1 14 68	0.0 1.2 2.2 6.4 6.0 9.5 4.5	\$ 745.0 366.6 2.1 13.8 167.5 9.2 590.6		960.0 313.1 2.1 10.4 114.5 9.4 442.3	Ţ	800.0 284.2 2.0 8.5 70.6 9.6 376.2	•	295.0 245.8 2.0 6.8 20.2 - 344.9	\$	5,736.6 2,849.6 1.4 15.4 - 2,371.7	8,73 4,43 1 7 51 3 4,81

CLAI									
(Millions of Dollars)	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	T	hereafter	Total	
Long-term debt maturities ^(a)	\$ -	\$ 250.0	\$ 300.0	\$ 250.0	\$ -	\$	1,990.3	\$ 2,790.3	
Estimated interest payments on existing debt ^(b)	140.0	136.0	117.8	102.4	95.5		1,402.7	1,994.4	
Capital leases ^(c)	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0		1.4	11.2	
Operating leases ^(d)	2.9	2.0	1.3	1.0	0.7		1.7	9.6	
	0.4	15.5	26.3	21.1	6.1		-	69.4	

Funding of pension obligations (d) (e) Estimated future annual 279.4207.9 159.5 126.9 114.5 711.6 1.599.8 long-term contractual costs (f) Total (g) \$ 424.6 \$ 613.3 \$ 606.9 \$ 503.4 \$ 218.8 \$ 4,107.7 \$ 6,474.7

(a)

Long-term debt maturities exclude the CYAPC pre-1983 spent nuclear fuel obligation, net unamortized premiums, discounts and debt issuance costs, and other fair value adjustments.

(b)

Estimated interest payments on fixed-rate debt are calculated by multiplying the coupon rate on the debt by its scheduled notional amount outstanding for the period of measurement. Estimated interest payments on floating-rate debt are calculated by multiplying the end of 2015 floating-rate reset on the debt by its scheduled notional amount outstanding for the period of measurement. This same rate is then assumed for the remaining life of the debt.

(c)

The capital lease obligations include interest.

(d)

Amounts are not included on our balance sheets.

(e)

These amounts represent Eversource's estimated pension contributions to its qualified Pension Plan. Contributions in 2017 through 2020 and thereafter will vary depending on many factors, including the performance of existing plan assets, valuation of the plan's liabilities and long-term discount rates, and are subject to change.

(f)

Other than certain derivative contracts held by the Regulated companies, these obligations are not included on our balance sheets.

Does not include other long-term liabilities recorded on our balance sheet, such as environmental reserves, employee medical insurance, workers compensation and long-term disability insurance reserves, ARO liability reserves and other reserves, as we cannot make reasonable estimates of the timing of payments. Also does not include amounts not included on our balance sheets for future funding of the Access Northeast project or for a contingent commitment of approximately \$20 million to an energy investment fund, which would be invested under certain conditions, as we cannot make reasonable estimates of the investment contributions.

For further information regarding our contractual obligations and commercial commitments, see Note 6, "Asset Retirement Obligations," Note 7, "Short-Term Debt," Note 8, "Long-Term Debt," Note 9A, "Employee Benefits - Pension Benefits and Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions," Note 11, "Commitments and Contingencies," and Note 12, "Leases," to the financial statements.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS EVERSOURCE ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES

The following provides the amounts and variances in operating revenues and expense line items in the statements of income for Eversource for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Comparison of 2015 to 2014:

	For the Years Ended December 31,								
					In	crease/			
(Millions of Dollars)		2015		2014	(De	ecrease)	Percent		
Operating Revenues	\$	7,954.8	\$	7,741.9	\$	212.9	2.7 %		
Operating Expenses:									
Purchased Power, Fuel and		3,086.9		3,021.6		65.3	2.2		
Transmission		5,080.9		3,021.0		05.5	2.2		
Operations and Maintenance		1,329.3		1,427.6		(98.3)	(6.9)		
Depreciation		665.9		614.7		51.2	8.3		
Amortization of Regulatory Assets, N	et	22.3		10.7		11.6	(a)		
Energy Efficiency Programs		495.7		473.1		22.6	4.8		
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes		590.5		561.4		29.1	5.2		
Total Operating Expenses		6,190.6		6,109.1		81.5	1.3		
Operating Income		1,764.2		1,632.8		131.4	8.0		
Interest Expense		372.4		362.1		10.3	2.8		
Other Income, Net		34.2		24.6		9.6	39.0		
Income Before Income Tax Expense		1,426.0		1,295.3		130.7	10.1		
Income Tax Expense		540.0		468.3		71.7	15.3		
Net Income		886.0		827.0		59.0	7.1		
Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests		7.5		7.5		-	-		
Net Income Attributable to Common Shareholders	\$	878.5	\$	819.5	\$	59.0	7.2 %		

(a) Percent greater than 100 percent not shown as it is not meaningful.

Operating Revenues

	Fo	r the	Years Ende	d Dece	mber 31,	
				In	crease /	
(Millions of Dollars)	2015		2014	(De	ecrease)	Percent
Electric Distribution	\$ 5,903.6	\$	5,663.4	\$	240.2	4.2 %
Natural Gas Distribution	995.5		1,007.3		(11.8)	(1.2)
Electric Transmission	1,069.1		1,018.2		50.9	5.0
Other and Eliminations	(13.4)		53.0		(66.4)	(a)
Total Operating Revenues	\$ 7,954.8	\$	7,741.9	\$	212.9	2.7 %

(a) Percent greater than 100 percent not shown as it is not meaningful.

A summary of our retail electric sales volumes and firm natural gas sales volumes were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31, Increase/									
	2015	D								
Electric Sales Volumes in GWh:	2015	2014	(Decrease)	Percent						
	20.002	2 0.011	171							
Traditional	28,982	28,811	171	0.6 %						
Decoupled	25,634	25,631	3	-						
Total Electric Sales Volumes in GWh	54,616	54,442	174	0.3 %						
Firm Natural Gas Sales Volumes in Million Cubic Feet	102,999	104,191	(1,192)	(1.1)%						

Operating Revenues, which primarily consist of base electric and natural gas distribution revenues and tracked revenues further described below, increased by \$212.9 million in the aggregate in 2015 compared to 2014.

Base electric and natural gas distribution revenues: Base electric distribution segment revenues increased \$150.9 million due primarily to CL&P s base distribution rate increase, effective December 1, 2014 (\$136.3 million) and higher retail sales volumes driven by weather impacts at our non-decoupled operating companies (traditional). In addition, Operating Revenues increased \$19.9 million at CL&P due to the PURA-approved settlement agreement regarding ADIT, \$11 million for the Comprehensive Settlement Agreement associated with the recovery of LBR related to 2009 through 2011 energy efficiency programs at NSTAR Electric, and \$20.7 million increase of 2015 LBR recognition at NSTAR Electric compared to 2014 LBR amounts. The \$19.9 million represents CL&P's revenue requirement from the settlement agreement's rate increase through December 31, 2015, and is being collected from customers in rates over a 24-month period beginning December 1, 2015. The impact of colder winter weather experienced in the first quarter of 2015 and warmer weather in the third quarter of 2015, partially offset by milder winter weather in the fourth quarter of 2015, all as compared to the same periods in 2014, were the primary drivers of the increase in 2015 retail electric sales volumes of 0.6 percent and base electric distribution revenues at NSTAR Electric and PSNH.

For CL&P (effective December 1, 2014) and WMECO, fluctuations in retail electric sales volumes do not impact earnings due to their respective regulatory commission approved revenue decoupling mechanisms. The revenue decoupling mechanisms permit recovery of a base amount of distribution revenues and break the relationship between sales volumes and revenues recognized. Revenue decoupling mechanisms result in the

recovery of our approved base distribution revenue requirements. Therefore, changes in sales volumes had no impact on the level of base distribution revenue realized at our decoupled companies.

Firm natural gas base distribution segment revenues decreased \$4.9 million due primarily to a 1.1 percent decrease in firm natural gas sales volumes in 2015, as compared to 2014. This was due to record warm weather in the fourth quarter of 2015 when compared to 2014, partially offset by colder winter weather in the first quarter of 2015 compared to 2014. Weather-normalized firm natural gas sales volumes (based on 30-year average temperatures) increased 2.5 percent in 2015 compared to 2014, due primarily to improved economic conditions as well as residential and commercial customer growth, partially offset by the impact of customer conservation efforts resulting from company-sponsored energy efficiency programs.

Tracked distribution revenues: Tracked revenues consist of certain costs that are recovered from customers in rates through regulatory commission-approved cost tracking mechanisms and therefore have no impact on earnings. Costs recovered through cost tracking mechanisms include energy supply procurement costs and other energy-related costs for our electric and natural gas customers, retail transmission charges, energy efficiency program costs, and restructuring and stranded cost recovery revenues. Tracked electric distribution segment revenues increased primarily as a result of increases in energy supply costs (\$176.4 million), driven by increased average retail rates, and increases in energy efficiency program revenues (\$18.3 million). These increases were partially offset by a decrease in retail electric transmission charges (\$77.5 million) and a decrease in the federally mandated congestion charge primarily driven by refunds in 2015 for a prior year overrecovery (\$103.9 million). Tracked natural gas supply revenues decreased \$20.1 million as a result of a decrease in average rates related to the recovery of natural gas supply costs.

Electric transmission revenues: The electric transmission segment revenues increased by \$50.9 million due primarily to the result of lower reserves associated with the FERC ROE complaint proceedings in 2015 compared to 2014 and higher revenue requirements associated with ongoing investments in our transmission infrastructure.

Other: Other revenues decreased due primarily to the sale of Eversource's unregulated contracting business on April 13, 2015 (\$55 million).

Purchased Power, Fuel and Transmission expense includes costs associated with purchasing electricity and natural gas on behalf of our customers. These energy supply costs are recovered from customers in rates through reconciling cost tracking mechanisms, which have no impact on earnings (tracked costs). Purchased Power, Fuel and Transmission increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to the following:

(Millions of Dollars)	Increase/	(Decrease)
Electric Distribution	\$	74.8
Natural Gas Distribution		(1.6)
Electric Transmission		2.8
Other and Eliminations		(10.7)

Total Purchased Power, Fuel and Transmission	\$	65.3
--	----	------

The increase in purchased power costs at the electric distribution business was driven by higher prices associated with the procurement of energy supply in 2015, as compared to 2014. The decrease in purchased power costs at the natural gas distribution business was due to lower average natural gas prices in 2015, as compared to 2014.

Operations and Maintenance expense includes tracked costs and costs that are part of base electric and natural gas distribution rates with changes impacting earnings (non-tracked costs). Operations and Maintenance decreased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to the following:

(Millions of Dollars)	Increase/(Decrease)	
Base Electric Distribution:		
Resolution of basic service bad debt adder mechanism at	\$ (24.2))
NSTAR Electric	φ	
Contribution to create clean energy fund in connection with		
the generation		
divestiture agreement at PSNH	5.0	
Increase in employee-related expenses, including labor and	1.8	
benefits		
Other operations and maintenance	7.0	
Total Base Electric Distribution	(10.4))
Total Base Natural Gas Distribution	(1.5))
Total Tracked costs (Transmission and Electric and Natural Gas	(9.3))
Distribution)		
Total Distribution and Transmission	(21.2))
Other and eliminations:		
Integration costs	(8.4))
Absence of Eversource's unregulated electrical contracting		
business due to sale		
in April 2015, net	(45.7))
Merger-related costs allowed for recovery	(7.0))
ES Parent and Other Companies	(16.0))
Total Operations and Maintenance	\$ (98.3))

Depreciation increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to higher utility plant in service balances resulting from completed construction projects placed into service and an increase in depreciation rates at CL&P as a result of the distribution rate case effective December 1, 2014.

Amortization of Regulatory Assets, Net, which are tracked costs, include certain regulatory-approved tracking mechanisms. Fluctuations in these costs are recovered from customers in rates and have no impact on earnings. Amortization of Regulatory Assets, Net, increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to the following:

(Millions of Dollars)	Increase/(Decrease)
CL&P:	
Amortization increase (including storm cost recovery) approved and	\$ 61.0
included in	
base distribution rates	
Energy and energy-related supply costs tracking mechanism	(108.0)
NSTAR Electric (primarily the recognition of the Comprehensive	
Settlement	
Agreement, partially offset by transition costs tracking mechanism)	(6.7)
PSNH (primarily default energy service charge tracking mechanism)	45.9
WMECO (primarily the absence of the refund of DOE proceeds to	
customers in 2014	
and energy and energy-related cost tracking mechanisms)	20.7
Other	(1.3)
Total Amortization of Regulatory Assets, Net	\$ 11.6

The increase in CL&P's amortization was due primarily to an increase in storm cost recovery, which was approved and included in distribution rates effective December 1, 2014. In connection with the Comprehensive Settlement Agreement associated with the CPSL program filings, NSTAR Electric recognized an \$11.7 million benefit in the first quarter of 2015, which was recorded as a reduction to amortization expense.

The remaining fluctuations in amortization expense are driven by the deferral of energy supply and energy-related costs, which can fluctuate from period to period based on the timing of costs incurred and related rate changes to recover these costs. Fluctuations in energy supply and energy-related costs, which are the primary drivers in amortization, are recovered from customers in rates and have no impact on earnings.

Energy Efficiency Programs, which are tracked costs, increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to an increase in energy efficiency costs in accordance with the three-year program guidelines established by the DPU at NSTAR Electric.

Taxes Other Than Income Taxes increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to an increase in property taxes as a result of both an increase in utility plant balances and property tax rates.

Interest Expense increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to an increase in interest on long-term debt (\$9.3 million) as a result of new debt issuances in 2015 and an increase in interest on notes payable (\$1.9 million).

Other Income, Net increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to higher equity AFUDC amounts (\$5.1 million) and an increase in interest income related to the deferred compensation plans (\$4.3 million), partially offset by the absence in 2015 of a gain on the sale of land recorded in 2014 at CL&P (\$4.5 million).

Income Tax Expense increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to higher pre-tax earnings (\$45.7 million), higher state taxes, the impact of adjusting our estimated tax expense to what was filed on our tax return (provision to return), the lower tax benefit in 2015 compared to 2014 from a change in tax reserves (\$19.8 million), and higher items that impact our tax rate as a result of regulatory treatment (flow-through items) (\$6.2 million).

Comparison of 2014 to 2013:

		For the Years Ended December 31, Increase/					
(Millions of Dollars)		2014		2013		ecrease)	Percent
Operating Revenues	\$	7,741.9	\$	7,301.2	\$	440.7	6.0 %
Operating Expenses:							
Purchased Power, Fuel and		3,021.6		2,483.0		538.6	21.7
Transmission		5,021.0		2,405.0		550.0	21.7
Operations and Maintenance		1,427.6		1,515.0		(87.4)	(5.8)
Depreciation		614.7		610.8		3.9	0.6
Amortization of Regulatory Assets, Ne	t	10.7		206.3		(195.6)	(94.8)
Amortization of Rate Reduction Bonds		-		42.6		(42.6)	(100.0)
Energy Efficiency Programs		473.1		401.9		71.2	17.7
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes		561.4		512.2		49.2	9.6
Total Operating Expenses		6,109.1		5,771.8		337.3	5.8
Operating Income		1,632.8		1,529.4		103.4	6.8
Interest Expense		362.1		338.7		23.4	6.9
Other Income, Net		24.6		29.9		(5.3)	(17.7)
Income Before Income Tax Expense		1,295.3		1,220.6		74.7	6.1
Income Tax Expense		468.3		426.9		41.4	9.7
Net Income		827.0		793.7		33.3	4.2
Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests		7.5		7.7		(0.2)	(2.6)
Net Income Attributable to Controlling Interest	\$	819.5	\$	786.0	\$	33.5	4.3 %

Operating Revenues

operating recented	For the Years Ended December 31,						
					In	crease /	
(Millions of Dollars)		2014		2013	(De	ecrease)	Percent
Electric Distribution	\$	5,663.4	\$	5,362.3	\$	301.1	5.6 %
Natural Gas Distribution		1,007.3		855.8		151.5	17.7
Transmission		1,018.2		978.7		39.5	4.0
Other and Eliminations		53.0		104.4		(51.4)	(49.2)
Total Operating Revenues	\$	7,741.9	\$	7,301.2	\$	440.7	6.0 %

A summary of our retail electric sales volumes and firm natural gas sales volumes were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,						
			Increase/				
	2014	2013	(Decrease)	Percent			
Retail Electric Sales Volumes in GWh	54,442	55,331	(889)	(1.6)%			
Firm Natural Gas Sales Volumes in Million Cubic Feet	104,191	98,258	5,933	6.0			

Operating Revenues increased \$440.7 million in 2014 compared to 2013.

The most significant factor in the increase in revenues relates to cost tracking mechanisms for the recovery of higher costs associated with the procurement of energy supply, which increased \$506.8 million and \$126.9 million for electric distribution and natural gas distribution, respectively. These costs were impacted by the overall New England wholesale energy supply market in which higher natural gas delivery costs had an adverse impact on the cost of electric energy purchased for our retail electric customers and the cost of natural gas purchased on behalf of our retail natural gas customers. Energy supply costs are recovered from customers in rates through cost tracking mechanisms and therefore have no impact on earnings. These costs and related recovery impacts were partially offset by decreases in transition cost recovery revenues, which are recovered through cost tracking mechanisms, reflecting the full collection in 2013 of previously deferred costs, as well as the full amortization of RRBs.

Firm base natural gas distribution revenues increased \$26.3 million in 2014, as compared to 2013, which reflected a 6 percent increase in firm natural gas sales volumes. The increase in sales volumes was driven primarily by the colder winter weather experienced throughout our service territories in the first quarter of 2014. The weather conditions experienced were significantly colder than both normal and the same period last year throughout New England and our service territories in Connecticut and Massachusetts. Weather-normalized total firm natural gas sales volumes (based on 30-year average temperatures) increased 2.9 percent in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to residential and commercial customer growth.

Base electric distribution revenues decreased \$12.1 million in 2014 compared to 2013. This reflected the impact of a 1.6 percent decrease in retail electric sales volumes. The decrease in sales volumes was driven primarily by the cooler summer weather in 2014 compared to 2013, as well as the impact of our utility-sponsored energy efficiency programs. Weather-normalized retail electric sales volumes decreased 1 percent in 2014, as compared to 2013, reflecting the impact of our utility-sponsored energy efficiency programs. The negative sales volume impact was partially offset by the impact of CL&P's base distribution rate increase effective December 1, 2014.

CL&P and NSTAR Electric recognized lost base revenue (LBR) related to reductions in sales volume as a result of energy efficiency. LBR is recovered from retail distribution customers. Including the impact from the recognition of LBR, base distribution revenues increased in 2014, as compared to 2013. We recognized \$45.2 million of LBR in 2014, compared to \$20.3 million in 2013. Effective December 1, 2014, CL&P no longer recognizes LBR due to its revenue decoupling mechanism, which, similar to WMECO's revenue decoupling mechanism, provides a base amount of distribution revenues (\$1.059 billion on an annual basis) that effectively breaks the relationship between revenues and customer electricity usage. The revenue decoupling mechanism is designed to allow each of CL&P and WMECO to encourage energy efficiency for its customers without negatively impacting its revenues.

Transmission revenues increased \$39.5 million in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to the recovery of higher revenue requirements associated with ongoing investments in our transmission infrastructure. This increase was partially offset by the impact of the \$37 million net reserve recorded in 2014 as a result of the 2014 FERC ROE orders, compared to the \$23.7 million reserve recorded in 2013 for the FERC ALJ initial decision in the FERC base ROE complaints.

Purchased Power, Fuel and Transmission expense includes costs associated with purchasing electricity and natural gas on behalf of our customers. These energy supply costs are recovered from customers in rates through reconciling cost tracking mechanisms, which have no impact on earnings (tracked costs). Purchased Power, Fuel and Transmission increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to the following:

(Millions of Dollars)	Increase/(Decrease)		
Electric Distribution	\$	458.2	
Natural Gas Distribution		104.1	
Transmission		(2.8)	
Other and Eliminations		(20.9)	
Total Purchased Power, Fuel and Transmission	\$	538.6	

The increase in purchased power, fuel and transmission at the electric and natural gas distribution businesses were driven by the higher costs associated with the procurement of energy supply. As a result of increases in the New England wholesale energy supply market for both electricity and natural gas, the costs incurred to purchase energy on behalf of our customers were significantly higher in 2014 compared to 2013. Our energy supply costs were impacted by higher natural gas delivery costs, which had an adverse impact on the cost of electric energy purchased for our retail electric customers and the cost of natural gas purchased on behalf of our retail natural gas customers.

Operations and Maintenance expense includes tracked costs and costs that are recovered through base electric and natural gas distribution rates, which therefore impact earnings (non-tracked costs). Operations and Maintenance decreased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to the following:

(Millions of Dollars) Base Electric Distribution:

Increase/(Decrease)

Labor and other employee-related costs, including pension costs	¹ \$	(77.3)
Implementation of a new outage restoration program at		9.2
CL&P		
Storm restoration costs		(11.4)
All other operations and maintenance		(29.4)
Total Base Electric Distribution		(108.9)
Total Base Natural Gas Distribution		(0.9)
Total Tracked costs (Transmission and Electric and Natural		16.6
Gas Distribution)		10.0
Total Distribution and Transmission		(93.2)
Other and eliminations:		
Integration and severance costs		13.3
All other (including eliminations)		(7.5)
Total Operations and Maintenance	\$	(87.4)

Depreciation increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to an increase related to higher utility plant balances resulting from completed construction projects placed into service (\$34.5 million), partially offset by a decrease in the CYAPC and YAEC decommissioning costs, which do not impact earnings (\$30.6 million).

Amortization of Regulatory Assets, Net, which are tracked costs, include certain regulatory-approved tracking mechanisms. Fluctuations in these costs are recovered from customers in rates and have no impact on earnings. Amortization of Regulatory Assets, Net, decreased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to the following:

(Millions of Dollars)	Increase/(Decrease)
NSTAR Electric (primarily recovery of transition costs)	\$ (236.4)
PSNH (primarily default energy service charge)	(9.2)
CL&P (primarily energy supply and energy-related costs)	54.4
WMECO (primarily recovery of transition costs)	(3.0)
Other	(1.4)
Total Amortization of Regulatory Assets, Net	\$ (195.6)

Amortization of Rate Reduction Bonds decreased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due to the maturity in 2013 of RRBs of NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO.

Energy Efficiency Programs, which are tracked costs, increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to the expanded energy conservation programs at CL&P in 2014 as a result of 2013 legislative action, and an increase in energy efficiency costs in accordance with the three-year program guidelines established by the DPU at NSTAR Electric and WMECO, partially offset by a decrease in the amortization of previously deferred costs at NSTAR Electric.

Taxes Other Than Income Taxes increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to an increase in property taxes as a result of both an increase in utility plant balances and property tax rates.

Interest Expense increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to lower interest income related to a decrease in the recovery of previously deferred transition costs (\$9.9 million), an increase in interest on long-term debt (\$4 million) as a result of new debt issuances in 2014 and the absence in 2014 of the favorable impact from the resolution of a Connecticut state income tax audit in 2013.

Other Income, Net decreased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to lower unrealized gains on the assets supporting the deferred compensation plans (\$13 million), and the absence in 2014 of an insurance policy claim received in 2013 (\$1.5 million), partially offset by higher AFUDC related to equity funds (\$6.6 million), and a net gain on the sale of land (\$4.5 million).

Income Tax Expense increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to higher pre-tax earnings (\$26.1 million), and higher state taxes and various other impacts (\$15.3 million). The higher state taxes include a net reduction in the valuation allowance for state tax positions, which is based on the most recent available data.

EARNINGS SUMMARY

Excluding the impact of integration costs, our 2014 earnings increased by \$41.8 million, as compared to 2013. The increase was due primarily to lower operations and maintenance costs that impact earnings, which were primarily driven by lower labor and other employee-related costs, including approximately \$30 million of non-tracked pension costs, and lower storm restoration costs, as well as higher firm natural gas sales volumes as a result of the colder weather in the first quarter of 2014, as compared to the first quarter of 2013. Partially offsetting this increase was the absence in 2014 of a favorable impact from the resolution of a state income tax audit in 2013, higher property taxes, higher depreciation expense at our regulated companies, and lower retail electric sales volumes as a result of cooler summer weather in 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013. Earnings were also unfavorably impacted by the 2014 after-tax net reserve of \$22.4 million related to the 2014 FERC ROE orders, as compared to the 2013 after-tax reserve of \$14.3 million related to the 2013 FERC ALJ initial decision in the FERC base ROE complaints.

Our electric distribution segment earnings increased \$35.4 million in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to lower operations and maintenance costs that impact earnings, which were primarily driven by lower labor and other employee-related costs, including pension costs, and lower storm restoration costs. Partially offsetting these favorable earnings impacts, as compared to 2013, were higher property taxes and depreciation expense, lower retail electric sales volumes as a result of cooler summer weather in 2014, and the absence in 2014 of regulatory interest income on stranded cost deferrals in 2013.

Our transmission segment earnings increased \$8.4 million in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to a decrease in transmission segment state income tax expense and a higher transmission rate base as a result of an increased investment in our transmission infrastructure. These favorable impacts were partially offset by the after-tax net reserve of \$22.4 million related to the 2014 FERC ROE orders, as compared to the \$14.3 million after-tax reserve related to the 2013 FERC ALJ initial decision in the FERC base ROE complaints.

Our natural gas distribution segment earnings increased \$11.4 million in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to higher firm natural gas sales volumes and peak demand revenues resulting from colder weather in the first quarter of 2014 and additional natural gas heating customers.

ES parent and other companies, which include our unregulated businesses, had a net loss of \$10.6 million in 2014, compared with earnings of \$11.1 million in 2013. Excluding the impact of integration costs, ES parent and other companies earned \$11.5 million in 2014, compared with \$24.9 million in 2013. The earnings decrease in 2014 was due primarily to a higher effective tax rate.

LIQUIDITY

Cash flows provided by operating activities totaled \$1.64 billion in 2014, compared with \$1.66 billion in 2013. The 2014 operating cash flows were favorably impacted by approximately \$132 million in DOE Damages proceeds resulting from the spent nuclear fuel litigation received by CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO from the Yankee Companies, the absence of 2013 cash disbursements for major storm restoration costs, the decrease of approximately \$130 million in Pension and PBOP Plan cash contributions and changes in the timing of working capital items. These favorable impacts were more than offset by higher income tax payments in 2014 and the unfavorable cash flow impact resulting from lower recoveries from customers in 2014, as compared to 2013, relating to regulatory cost recovery tracking mechanisms. For further information on the spent nuclear fuel litigation, see Note 11C, "Commitments and Contingencies Contractual Obligations Yankee Companies," in this combined Annual Report on Form 10-K.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY

The following provides the amounts and variances in operating revenues and expense line items in the statements of income for CL&P for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

Comparison of 2015 to 2014:

	For the Years Ended December 31, Increase/						
(Millions of Dollars)		2015		2014	(D	ecrease)	Percent
Operating Revenues	\$	2,802.7	\$	2,692.6	\$	110.1	4.1 %
Operating Expenses:							
Purchased Power and Transmission		1,054.3		982.9		71.4	7.3
Operations and Maintenance		487.3		494.6		(7.3)	(1.5)
Depreciation		215.3		188.8		26.5	14.0
Amortization of Regulatory Assets, Net		12.3		59.3		(47.0)	(79.3)
Energy Efficiency Programs		153.7		156.3		(2.6)	(1.7)
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes		268.7		255.4		13.3	5.2
Total Operating Expenses		2,191.6		2,137.3		54.3	2.5
Operating Income		611.1		555.3		55.8	10.0
Interest Expense		145.8		147.4		(1.6)	(1.1)
Other Income, Net		11.5		13.4		(1.9)	(14.2)
Income Before Income Tax Expense		476.8		421.3		55.5	13.2
Income Tax Expense		177.4		133.5		43.9	32.9
Net Income	\$	299.4	\$	287.8	\$	11.6	4.0 %

Operating Revenues

CL&P's retail sales volumes were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,					
	2015	2014	Increase	Percent		
Retail Sales Volumes in GWh	22,071	22,046	25	0.1 %		

Operating Revenues

CL&P's Operating Revenues, which consist of base distribution revenues and tracked revenues further described below, increased by \$110.1 million in the aggregate in 2015 compared to 2014.

Base distribution revenues: Base distribution revenues increased \$136.3 million due to a base distribution rate increase effective December 1, 2014. In addition, CL&P recognized \$19.9 million in Operating Revenues due to the PURA-approved settlement agreement regarding ADIT. The \$19.9 million represents the revenue requirement from the settlement agreement's rate increase through December 31, 2015, and is being collected from customers in rates

over a 24-month period beginning December 1, 2015.

Effective December 1, 2014, CL&P s distribution revenues were decoupled from its sales volumes. As a result, CL&P no longer earns LBR related to its energy efficiency programs. The revenue decoupling mechanism permits recovery of a base amount of distribution revenues (\$1.059 billion annually effective December 1, 2014) and breaks the relationship between sales volumes and revenues recognized. Revenue decoupling mechanisms result in the recovery of our approved base distribution revenue requirements. Therefore, changes in sales volumes had no impact on the level of base distribution revenue realized in 2015 and prospectively.

Tracked revenues: Tracked revenues consist of certain costs that are recovered from customers in rates through PURA-approved cost tracking mechanisms and therefore have no impact on earnings. Costs recovered through cost tracking mechanisms include energy supply procurement and other energy-related costs, retail transmission charges, energy efficiency program costs and restructuring and stranded cost recovery revenues. Tracked distribution revenues decreased primarily as a result of a decrease in the federally mandated congestion charge primarily driven by refunds in 2015 for a prior year overrecovery (\$103.9 million) and a decrease in competitive transition assessment charges (\$17 million), partially offset by an increase in energy supply costs (\$51.1 million) driven by increased average retail rates, and an increase in retail transmission charges (\$22.7 million).

Transmission revenues increased \$5.8 million due primarily to the result of lower reserves associated with the FERC ROE complaint proceedings recorded in 2015 as compared to 2014, and higher revenue requirements associated with ongoing investments in our transmission infrastructure.

Purchased Power and Transmission expense includes costs associated with purchasing electricity on behalf of CL&P's customers. These energy supply costs are recovered from customers in PURA-approved cost tracking mechanisms, which have no impact on earnings (tracked costs). Purchased Power and Transmission increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to the following:

(Millions of Dollars)	Increase/(Decrease)			
Purchased Power Costs	\$	54.6		
Transmission Costs		17.8		
Other		(1.0)		
Total Purchased Power and	\$	71.4		
Transmission	φ	/1.4		

Included in purchased power are the costs associated with CL&P's generation services charge (GSC) and deferred energy supply costs. The GSC recovers energy-related costs incurred as a result of providing electric generation service supply to all customers that have not migrated to third party

suppliers. The increase in purchased power was due primarily to higher prices associated with the procurement of energy supply related to standard offer from third party suppliers. The increase in transmission costs was primarily the result of higher Local Network Service (LNS) expenses, which are included in the retail transmission cost deferral.

Operations and Maintenance expense includes tracked costs and costs that are part of base distribution rates with changes impacting earnings (non-tracked costs). Operations and Maintenance decreased in 2015, as compared to 2014, driven by an \$11.1 million decrease in non-tracked costs, which was primarily attributable to lower employee-related expenses, partially offset by higher bad debt expense. Tracked costs, which have no earnings impact, increased \$3.8 million, which was primarily attributable to higher tracked bad debt expense, partially offset by lower employee-related expenses.

Depreciation increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to an increase in depreciation rates as a result of the distribution rate case decision that was effective December 1, 2014 and higher utility plant in service balances.

Amortization of Regulatory Assets, Net decreased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to a decrease in the deferral of energy supply and energy-related costs that can fluctuate from period to period based on the timing of costs incurred and related rate changes to recover these costs (\$108 million decrease in 2015 compared to 2014), partially offset by an increase in storm cost recovery and other cost recovery approved and included in distribution rates effective December 1, 2014 (\$61 million increase in 2015 compared to 2014). Fluctuations in energy supply and energy-related costs, which are the primary drivers in amortization, are recovered from customers in rates and have no impact on earnings.

Energy Efficiency Programs, which are tracked costs, decreased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to a decrease in the deferral, which reflects the actual costs of energy efficiency programs compared to estimated amounts billed to customers. CL&P is allowed to recover its costs for various state energy policy initiatives and expanded energy efficiency programs.

Taxes Other Than Income Taxes increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to an increase in property taxes as a result of both an increase in utility plant balances and property tax rates.

Other Income, Net decreased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to the absence in 2015 of a gain on the sale of land recorded in 2014 (\$4.5 million), partially offset by higher equity AFUDC amounts (\$2.3 million).

Income Tax Expense increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to higher pre-tax earnings (\$19.4 million), higher state income taxes, the impact of adjusting estimated tax expense to what was filed on our tax return (provision to return), the lower tax benefit in 2015 compared to 2014 from a change in tax reserves (\$17.3 million), and higher items that impact our tax rate as a result of regulatory treatment (flow-through items) (\$7.2 million).

EARNINGS SUMMARY

CL&P's earnings increased \$11.6 million in 2015, as compared to 2014, driven by higher distribution revenues due primarily to the impact of the December 1, 2014 base distribution rate increase and the PURA-approved settlement agreement. In addition, earnings increased due to lower operations and maintenance costs, which were primarily attributable to lower employee-related expenses, and lower reserves associated with the FERC ROE complaint proceedings recorded in 2015 compared to 2014. These favorable earnings impacts were partially offset by higher income tax expense as a result of lower tax benefits available for utilization in 2015, higher property taxes and the absence of a gain on the sale of land recorded in 2014.

LIQUIDITY

In 2015, CL&P had cash flows provided by operating activities of \$298.3 million, compared with \$612.4 million in 2014. The decrease in operating cash flows was due primarily to the approximate \$245 million in payments made to fully satisfy the pre-1983 spent nuclear fuel obligation with the DOE. Also contributing to the decrease in operating cash flows were DOE Damages proceeds received from the Yankee Companies of \$2.3 million in 2015, compared to \$68.6 million in 2014.

In late 2015, CL&P made a payment of \$244.6 million to fully satisfy its obligation with the DOE, which was classified as long-term debt on the balance sheet as of December 31, 2014, for costs associated with the disposal of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste for all periods prior to 1983 from its previous ownership interest in the Millstone nuclear power station. CL&P divested its ownership interest in Millstone in 2001. This payment included accumulated interest of \$178 million. CL&P funded its payment with the issuance of debt.

On December 18, 2015, the "Protecting Americans from Tax Hikes" Act became law, which extended the accelerated deduction of depreciation to businesses from 2015 through 2019. This extended stimulus provides CL&P with cash flow benefits in 2016 of approximately \$105 million due to a refund of taxes paid in 2015 and lower expected tax payments in 2016.

Investments in Property, Plant and Equipment on the statements of cash flows do not include amounts incurred on capital projects but not yet paid, cost of removal, AFUDC related to equity funds, and the capitalized portions of pension expense. CL&P's investments totaled \$523.8 million in 2015, compared with \$515.7 million in 2014.

On October 26, 2015, ES parent and certain of its subsidiaries, including CL&P, amended and restated their joint \$1.45 billion revolving credit facility and the termination date was extended to September 4, 2020. The revolving

credit facility serves to backstop ES parent's \$1.45 billion commercial paper program. The commercial paper program allows ES parent to issue commercial paper as a form of short-term debt with

intercompany loans to certain subsidiaries, including CL&P. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, there were intercompany loans from ES parent of \$277.4 million and \$133.4 million, respectively, to CL&P.

On May 20, 2015 and December 1, 2015, CL&P issued \$300 million and \$50 million, respectively, of 4.15 percent 2015 Series A First and Refunding Mortgage Bonds due to mature in 2045. The proceeds, net of issuance costs, were used to repay short-term borrowings.

On April 1, 2015, CL&P repaid at maturity the \$100 million 5.00 percent 2005 Series A First and Refunding Mortgage Bonds using short-term borrowings and also redeemed the \$62 million 1996A Series 1.55 percent PCRBs that were subject to mandatory tender, using short term borrowings.

Financing activities in 2015 included \$196 million in common stock dividends paid to ES parent.

Comparison of 2014 to 2013:

	For the Years Ended December 31, Increase/						
(Millions of Dollars)		2014		2013	(D	ecrease)	Percent
Operating Revenues	\$	2,692.6	\$	2,442.3	\$	250.3	10.2 %
Operating Expenses:							
Purchased Power and Transmission		982.9		872.8		110.1	12.6
Operations and Maintenance		494.6		523.2		(28.6)	(5.5)
Depreciation		188.8		177.6		11.2	6.3
Amortization of Regulatory Assets, Net	ļ.	59.3		4.9		54.4	(a)
Energy Efficiency Programs		156.3		89.8		66.5	74.1
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes		255.4		234.4		21.0	9.0
Total Operating Expenses		2,137.3		1,902.7		234.6	12.3
Operating Income		555.3		539.6		15.7	2.9
Interest Expense		147.4		133.6		13.8	10.3
Other Income, Net		13.4		15.1		(1.7)	(11.3)
Income Before Income Tax Expense		421.3		421.1		0.2	-
Income Tax Expense		133.5		141.7		(8.2)	(5.8)
Net Income	\$	287.8	\$	279.4	\$	8.4	3.0 %

(a) Percent greater than 100 percent not shown as it is not meaningful.

Operating Revenues

CL&P's retail sales volumes were as follows:

For the Years Ended December 31,							
2014	2013	Decrease	Percent				

Retail Sales Volumes in GWh	22,046	22,404	(358)	(1.6)%
-----------------------------	--------	--------	-------	--------

CL&P's Operating Revenues increased \$250.3 million in 2014 compared to 2013. The increase primarily reflects recovery of higher costs associated with the procurement of energy supply, which increased \$275.4 million, and increased cost recovery related to our energy efficiency programs. The energy supply costs were impacted by the overall wholesale electricity market in New England in which higher natural gas delivery costs had an adverse impact on the cost of electric energy purchased for our retail customers. Energy supply costs are recovered from customers in rates through cost tracking mechanisms and therefore have no impact on earnings.

Partially offsetting this increase was the impact of the \$20.7 million net reserve recorded in 2014 as a result of the 2014 FERC ROE orders, as compared to the \$12.8 million reserve recorded in 2013 for the FERC ALJ initial decision in the FERC base ROE complaints.

Base distribution revenues increased \$9.1 million in 2014 compared to 2013, which was primarily attributable to the impact of the December 1, 2014 base distribution rate increase and the impact of LBR, partially offset by the impact of cooler summer weather as well as energy efficiency programs. Enhancements to CL&P's energy efficiency programs were mandated by the Connecticut legislature in 2013. Through November 30, 2014, CL&P was permitted to bill customers for LBR related to reductions in sales volume as a result of energy efficiency, and effective December 1, 2014, fluctuations in retail electric sales volumes do not impact earnings due to the PURA-approved revenue decoupling mechanism as a result of CL&P's base distribution rate case. The revenue decoupling mechanism provides a base amount of distribution revenues (\$1.059 billion on an annual basis) that effectively breaks the relationship between revenues and customer electricity usage. The revenue decoupling mechanism is designed to allow CL&P to encourage energy efficiency for its customers without negatively impacting its revenues.

Purchased Power and Transmission expense includes costs associated with purchasing electricity on behalf of CL&P's customers. These energy supply costs are recovered from customers in PURA-approved cost tracking mechanisms, which have no impact on earnings (tracked costs). Purchased Power and Transmission increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to the following:

(Millions of Dollars)	Increase	/(Decrease)
Purchased Power Costs	\$	169.7
Transmission Costs		(50.8)
Other		(8.8)
Total Purchased Power and Transmission	\$	110.1

Included in purchased power are the costs associated with CL&P's generation services charge (GSC) and deferred energy supply costs. The GSC recovers energy-related costs incurred as a result of providing electric generation service supply to all customers that have not migrated to third party suppliers. The increase in purchased power was due primarily to higher average supply prices and increased standard offer load as a result of customers returning from third party suppliers. The decrease in transmission costs was the result of a decrease in the retail transmission cost deferral, which reflects the actual costs of transmission service compared to estimated amounts billed to customers.

Operations and Maintenance expense includes tracked costs and costs that are part of base distribution rates with changes impacting earnings (non-tracked costs). Operations and Maintenance decreased in 2014, as compared to 2013, driven by a \$38.4 million reduction in non-tracked costs, which was primarily attributable to lower labor and other employee-related costs, including pension costs, and lower storm restoration costs, partially offset by an increase in costs for the implementation of a new outage restoration program that began in the second quarter of 2014. Partially offsetting this decrease was a \$9.8 million increase in tracked costs, which have no earnings impact, that was primarily attributable to higher tracked bad debt expense and increased transmission maintenance expenses.

Depreciation increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to higher utility plant balances resulting from completed construction projects placed into service.

Amortization of Regulatory Assets, Net increased in 2014, as compared to 2013. Fluctuations in energy supply and energy-related costs, which are the primary drivers in amortization, are recovered from customers in rates through cost tracking mechanisms and have no impact on earnings.

Energy Efficiency Programs, which are tracked costs, increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to expanded energy conservation programs in 2014 as a result of 2013 legislative action. In 2013, Connecticut enacted into law Public Act 13-298, which implemented a number of recommendations, including allowing electric distribution companies to recover their costs from various state energy policy initiatives and expanded energy efficiency programs.

Taxes Other Than Income Taxes increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to an increase in property taxes as a result of both an increase in utility plant balances and property tax rates.

Interest Expense increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to an increase in interest on long-term debt (\$5 million) as a result of a new debt issuance in April 2014 and an increase in regulatory interest due to the refund of the DOE proceeds in 2014 and the absence in 2014 of the favorable impact from the resolution of a state income tax audit in 2013.

Other Income, Net decreased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to lower unrealized gains on the assets supporting the deferred compensation plans (\$6.7 million), partially offset by a gain on the sale of land (\$4.5 million).

Income Tax Expense decreased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to lower state taxes, which includes the reduction in the valuation allowance for state tax positions, and various other impacts.

EARNINGS SUMMARY

CL&P's earnings increased in 2014, as compared to 2013, due primarily to a decrease in operations and maintenance costs primarily attributable to lower employee-related costs, as well as lower income tax expense due to the net reduction in the valuation allowance for state tax positions. Partially offsetting these favorable earnings impacts were lower retail electric sales volumes, higher depreciation expense, higher property tax expense, higher interest expense and the after-tax reserve recorded for the 2014 FERC ROE orders as compared to the reserve recorded in 2013 for the FERC ALJ initial decision in the FERC base ROE complaints.

LIQUIDITY

In 2014, CL&P had cash flows provided by operating activities of \$612.4 million, compared with \$495.3 million in 2013. The improved operating cash flows were due primarily to \$68.6 million in DOE damages proceeds received in 2014 from the Yankee Companies associated with the spent nuclear fuel litigation, the absence of cash disbursements for major storm restoration costs, and the favorable cash flow impact resulting from an increase in recoveries from customers in 2014, as compared to 2013, relating to regulatory cost recovery tracking mechanisms, partially offset by higher income tax payments in 2014, as compared to 2013, and changes in working capital items.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS NSTAR ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY

The following provides the amounts and variances in operating revenues and expense line items in the statements of income for NSTAR Electric for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

	Fo	or the	Years Endeo		mber 31, crease/	
(Millions of Dollars)	2015		2014	(De	ecrease)	Percent
Operating Revenues	\$ 2,681.3	\$	2,536.7	\$	144.6	5.7 %
Operating Expenses:						
Purchased Power and Transmission	1,190.2		1,122.3		67.9	6.1
Operations and Maintenance	306.5		327.0		(20.5)	(6.3)
Depreciation	196.8		188.7		8.1	4.3
Amortization of Regulatory Liabilities, Net	(13.0)		(6.3)		(6.7)	(a)
Energy Efficiency Programs	224.8		193.5		31.3	16.2
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	133.2		133.0		0.2	0.2
Total Operating Expenses	2,038.5		1,958.2		80.3	4.1
Operating Income	642.8		578.5		64.3	11.1
Interest Expense	75.4		77.9		(2.5)	(3.2)
Other Income, Net	5.1		4.5		0.6	13.3
Income Before Income Tax Expense	572.5		505.1		67.4	13.3
Income Tax Expense	228.0		202.0		26.0	12.9
Net Income	\$ 344.5	\$	303.1	\$	41.4	13.7 %

(a) Percent greater than 100 percent not shown as it is not meaningful.

Operating Revenues

NSTAR Electric's retail sales volumes were as follows:

	For	the Years Ended	December 31,	
	2015	2014	Increase	Percent
Retail Sales Volumes in GWh	21,055	20,925	130	0.6 %

NSTAR Electric's Operating Revenues, which consist of base distribution revenues and tracked revenues further described below, increased by \$144.6 million in the aggregate in 2015 compared to 2014.

Base distribution revenues: Base distribution revenues, excluding LBR, increased \$6.5 million as a result of weather impacts. The impact of colder winter weather experienced in the first quarter of 2015 and warmer weather in the third quarter of 2015, partially offset by milder winter weather in the fourth quarter of 2015, all as compared to the same periods in 2014, were the primary drivers of the increase in 2015 retail electric sales volumes of 0.6 percent. In addition, NSTAR Electric is allowed to recover LBR related to reductions in sales volumes as a result of successful

energy efficiency programs. NSTAR Electric recognized \$20.7 million more LBR in 2015 compared to 2014.

In connection with the Comprehensive Settlement Agreement, NSTAR Electric recognized an \$11 million benefit in the first quarter of 2015 associated with the recovery of LBR related to 2009 through 2011 energy efficiency programs, which was recorded as an increase to Operating Revenues. For further information, see "Regulatory Developments and Rate Matters" Massachusetts NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas Comprehensive Settlement Agreement" in this *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*.

Tracked revenues: Tracked revenues consist of certain costs that are recovered from customers in rates through DPU-approved cost tracking mechanisms and therefore have no impact on earnings. Costs recovered through cost tracking mechanisms include energy supply costs, retail transmission charges, energy efficiency program costs, net metering for distributed generation and transition cost recovery revenues. Tracked distribution revenues increased primarily as a result of an increase in energy supply costs (\$116.2 million), driven by increased average retail rates, and increased cost recovery related to energy efficiency programs (\$31.1 million). These increases were partially offset by decreased retail transmission charges (\$80.6 million).

Transmission revenues increased by \$23.9 million due primarily to higher revenue requirements associated with ongoing investments in our transmission infrastructure and the result of lower reserves associated with the FERC ROE complaint proceedings recorded in 2015 as compared to 2014.

Purchased Power and Transmission expense includes costs associated with purchasing electricity on behalf of NSTAR Electric's customers. These energy supply costs are recovered from customers in DPU-approved cost tracking mechanisms, which have no impact on earnings (tracked costs). Purchased Power and Transmission increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to the following:

(Millions of Dollars)	Increas	e/(Decrease)
Purchased Power Costs	\$	133.2
Transmission Costs		(65.4)
Other		0.1
Total Purchased Power and	\$	67.9
Transmission		

Included in purchased power are the costs associated with NSTAR Electric's basic service charge and deferred energy supply costs. The basic service charge recovers energy-related costs incurred as a result of providing electric generation service supply to all customers that have not migrated to third party suppliers. The increase in purchased power costs was due primarily to higher prices associated with the procurement of energy supply. The decrease in transmission costs was primarily the result of a decrease in the retail transmission cost deferral, which reflects the actual costs of transmission service compared to estimated amounts billed to customers.

Operations and Maintenance expense includes tracked costs and costs that are part of base distribution rates with changes impacting earnings (non-tracked costs). Operations and Maintenance decreased in 2015, as compared to 2014, driven by a \$6.8 million reduction in non-tracked costs, which was primarily attributable to the resolution of the basic service bad debt adder mechanism (\$24.2 million) and lower bad debt expense, partially offset by increased employee-related expenses. Tracked costs, which have no earnings impact, decreased \$13.7 million, which was primarily attributable to lower employee-related expenses. As a result of the October 30, 2015 DPU order in the NSTAR Gas distribution rate case, which allows for the recovery of certain uncollectible hardship accounts receivable, NSTAR Electric recorded regulatory deferrals for costs expected to be recovered in future rates given the allowed recoveries of uncollectible hardship accounts receivable by WMECO and NSTAR Gas, which resulted in the recognition of a \$10.5 million pre-tax benefit in 2015.

Depreciation increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to higher utility plant in service balances.

Amortization of Regulatory Liabilities, Net, reflects an \$11.7 million benefit recognized in connection with the Comprehensive Settlement Agreement associated with the CPSL program filings in the first quarter of 2015, which was recorded as a reduction to amortization expense. For further information, see "Regulatory Developments and Rate Matters Massachusetts NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas Comprehensive Settlement Agreement" in this *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*. Partially offsetting this benefit was an increase in the recovery of previously deferred tracked transition costs, which increased amortization expense, in 2015 compared to 2014. Fluctuations in these costs are recovered from customers in rates and have no impact on earnings.

Energy Efficiency Programs, which are tracked costs, increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to an increase in energy efficiency costs incurred in accordance with the three-year program guidelines established by the DPU.

Income Tax Expense increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to higher pre-tax earnings (\$23.6 million), and higher state taxes and the impact of adjusting estimated tax expense to what was filed on our tax return (provision to return) (\$2.4 million).

EARNINGS SUMMARY

NSTAR Electric's earnings increased \$41.4 million in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to the resolution of the basic service bad debt adder mechanism (\$14.5 million), the favorable impact associated with the Comprehensive Settlement Agreement, which resolved eleven open dockets including the CPSL program filings and the recovery of LBR related to 2009 through 2011 energy efficiency programs (\$13 million), the recovery of higher LBR related to 2015 energy efficiency programs, an increase in transmission earnings due primarily to a higher transmission rate base and lower reserves associated with the FERC ROE complaint proceedings recorded in 2015 compared to 2014, and higher retail sales volumes. These favorable earnings impacts were partially offset by an increase in employee-related expenses and higher depreciation expense.

LIQUIDITY

NSTAR Electric had cash flows provided by operating activities of \$657 million in 2015, compared with \$533 million in 2014. The improved operating cash flows were due primarily to a \$110 million decrease in Pension and PBOP Plan cash contributions in 2015 compared to 2014, the \$236.9 million favorable impact of receiving net income tax refunds in 2015 compared with making net income tax payments in 2014 due to the extension of the accelerated depreciation deduction. These favorable cash flow impacts were partially offset by the impact of the timing of regulatory recoveries resulting from the increase in purchased power costs and the timing of collections and payments related to our working capital items, including affiliated company receivables, accounts receivable and accounts payable. Accounts receivable increased due primarily to an increase in basic service rates effective January 1, 2015. Also offsetting the favorable impacts were DOE Damages proceeds received from the Yankee Companies of \$0.8 million in 2015, compared to \$30.2 million in 2014.

NSTAR Electric has a five-year \$450 million revolving credit facility. On October 26, 2015, this revolving credit facility was amended and restated and the termination date was extended to September 4, 2020. This facility serves to backstop NSTAR Electric's existing \$450 million commercial paper program. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, NSTAR Electric had \$62.5 million and \$302 million, respectively, in short-term borrowings outstanding under its commercial paper program, leaving \$387.5 million and \$148 million of available borrowing capacity as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The weighted-average interest rate on these borrowings as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 was 0.40 percent and 0.27 percent, respectively.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE AND SUBSIDIARY

The following provides the amounts and variances in operating revenues and expense line items in the statements of income for PSNH for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

	For the Years Ended December 31, Increase/						
(Millions of Dollars)		2015		2014	(De	ecrease)	Percent
Operating Revenues	\$	972.2	\$	959.5	\$	12.7	1.3 %
Operating Expenses:							
Purchased Power, Fuel and Transmission		247.7		313.7		(66.0)	(21.0)
Operations and Maintenance		276.5		261.9		14.6	5.6
Depreciation		105.4		98.4		7.0	7.1
Amortization of Regulatory Assets/(Liabilities), Net		16.3		(29.6)		45.9	(a)
Energy Efficiency Programs		14.3		14.3		-	-
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes		81.8		71.4		10.4	14.6
Total Operating Expenses		742.0		730.1		11.9	1.6
Operating Income		230.2		229.4		0.8	0.3
Interest Expense		46.0		45.4		0.6	1.3
Other Income, Net		3.3		2.0		1.3	65.0
Income Before Income Tax Expense		187.5		186.0		1.5	0.8
Income Tax Expense		73.1		72.1		1.0	1.4
Net Income	\$	114.4	\$	113.9	\$	0.5	0.4 %

(a) Percent greater than 100 percent not shown as it is not meaningful.

Operating Revenues

PSNH's retail sales volumes were as follows:

	For	the Years Endec	December 31,	
	2015	2014	Increase	Percent
Retail Sales Volumes in GWh	7,927	7,886	41	0.5 %

PSNH's Operating Revenues, which consist of base distribution revenues and tracked revenues further described below, increased by \$12.7 million in the aggregate in 2015 compared to 2014.

Base distribution revenues: Base distribution revenues increased \$8.1 million as a result of a distribution rate increase effective July 1, 2015 and higher retail sales volumes driven by weather impacts. Sales volumes increased 0.5 percent in 2015, as compared to 2014, primarily related to the impact of colder winter weather experienced in the first quarter of 2015 and warmer weather in the third quarter of 2015, partially offset by milder winter weather in the fourth quarter of 2015, all as compared to the same periods in 2014.

Tracked revenues: Tracked revenues consist of certain costs that are recovered from customers in rates through NHPUC-approved cost tracking mechanisms and therefore have no impact on earnings. Costs recovered through cost tracking mechanisms include energy supply costs and costs associated with the generation of electricity for customers, retail transmission charges, energy efficiency program costs and stranded cost recovery revenues. Tracked distribution revenues decreased primarily as a result of a reduction in wholesale generation revenues, partially offset by an increase in energy supply costs in 2015, as compared to 2014 (\$11.2 million).

Transmission revenues increased by \$12.5 million due primarily to higher revenue requirements associated with ongoing investments in our transmission infrastructure and lower reserves associated with the FERC ROE complaint proceedings recorded in 2015 compared to 2014.

Purchased Power, Fuel and Transmission expense includes costs associated with PSNH's generation of electricity as well as purchasing electricity on behalf of its customers. These energy supply costs are recovered from customers in NHPUC-approved cost tracking mechanisms, which have no impact on earnings (tracked costs). Purchased Power, Fuel and Transmission decreased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to the following:

(Millions of Dollars)	De	ecrease
Generation Fuel Costs	\$	(25.0)
Purchased Power Costs		(23.6)
Transmission Costs		(14.1)
Other		(3.3)
Total Purchased Power, Fuel and		
Transmission	\$	(66.0)

PSNH procures power through its own generation, long-term power supply contracts, and short-term purchases and spot purchases in the competitive New England wholesale power market. The decrease in generation fuel costs was due primarily to a decrease in the amount of electricity generated by PSNH facilities during 2015, as compared to 2014. The decrease in purchased power costs was due to lower power prices of short-term and spot purchases made in the wholesale power market during 2015, as compared to 2014. The decrease in transmission costs was primarily the result of a decrease in the retail transmission cost deferral, which reflects the actual costs of transmission service compared to estimated amounts billed to customers.

Operations and Maintenance expense includes tracked costs and costs that are part of base distribution rates with changes impacting earnings (non-tracked costs). Operations and Maintenance increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, driven by a \$7.5 million increase in tracked costs, which have no earnings impact, that was primarily attributable to increased maintenance activities at PSNH's generating facilities, partially offset by lower employee-related expenses, and a \$7.1 million increase in non-tracked costs, which was primarily attributable to a \$5 million contribution to create a clean energy fund that was recorded in 2015 in connection with the generation divestiture agreement, which is not recoverable from customers.

Depreciation increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to higher utility plant in service balances.

Amortization of Regulatory Assets/(Liabilities), Net reflects an increase in the deferral to expense of energy supply costs and other amortizations for 2015, as compared to 2014. Fluctuations in these costs are recovered from customers in rates and have no impact on earnings.

Taxes Other Than Income Taxes increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to an increase in property taxes as a result of an increase in utility plant balances.

EARNINGS SUMMARY

PSNH's earnings increased \$0.5 million in 2015 compared to 2014, driven by higher distribution revenues due primarily to the impact of the distribution rate increase effective July 1, 2015 and higher retail sales volumes, and an increase in transmission earnings due primarily to a higher transmission rate base and lower reserves associated with the FERC ROE complaint proceedings recorded in 2015 compared to 2014. These favorable earnings impacts were offset by a \$5 million contribution to create a clean energy fund recorded in 2015 in connection with the generation divestiture agreement, which is not recoverable from customers, higher property tax expense, higher depreciation expense and an increase in operations and maintenance costs.

LIQUIDITY

PSNH had cash flows provided by operating activities of \$274.5 million in 2015, as compared to \$248 million in 2014. The increase in operating cash flows was due primarily to the timing of payments related to fuel, materials and supplies as well as an increase in recoveries from customers in 2015, compared to 2014, and the timing of collections and payments related to our working capital items, including accounts receivable and accounts payable. Partially offsetting these favorable impacts were DOE Damages proceeds received from the Yankee Companies of \$1 million in 2015, compared to \$14.5 million in 2014.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY

The following provides the amounts and variances in operating revenues and expense line items in the statements of income for WMECO for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

	For the Years Ended December 31, Increase/						
(Millions of Dollars)		2015		2014	(De	crease)	Percent
Operating Revenues	\$	518.1	\$	493.4	\$	24.7	5.0 %
Operating Expenses:							
Purchased Power and Transmission		177.2		172.9		4.3	2.5
Operations and Maintenance		86.3		89.4		(3.1)	(3.5)
Depreciation	43.4			41.9		1.5	3.6
Amortization of Regulatory Assets/(Liabilities), Net		14.5		(6.2)		20.7	(a)
Energy Efficiency Programs		42.9		42.9		-	-
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes		38.3		34.9		3.4	9.7
Total Operating Expenses		402.6		375.8		26.8	7.1
Operating Income		115.5		117.6		(2.1)	(1.8)
Interest Expense		24.7		24.9		(0.2)	(0.8)
Other Income, Net		2.7		2.4		0.3	12.5
Income Before Income Tax Expense		93.5		95.1		(1.6)	(1.7)
Income Tax Expense		37.0		37.3		(0.3)	(0.8)
Net Income	\$	56.5	\$	57.8	\$	(1.3)	(2.2)%

(a) Percent greater than 100 percent not shown as it is not meaningful

Operating Revenues

WMECO's retail sales volumes were as follows:

	For	the Years Ended	l December 31,	
	2015	2014	Decrease	Percent
Retail Sales Volumes in GWh	3,563	3,586	(23)	(0.6)%

Operating Revenues

WMECO's Operating Revenues increased by \$24.7 million in 2015 compared to 2014.

Fluctuations in WMECO's sales volumes have no impact on total operating revenues or earnings, as WMECO s revenues are decoupled from sales volumes. Fluctuations in the overall level of operating revenues are primarily related to tracked revenues. Tracked revenues consist of certain costs that are recovered from customers in rates through DPU-approved cost tracking mechanisms and therefore have no impact on earnings. Costs recovered through cost tracking mechanisms include energy supply costs, transmission related costs, energy efficiency programs, low income assistance programs, and restructuring and stranded costs as a result of deregulation. Tracked revenues increased due primarily to an increase in energy supply costs (\$20.3 million) driven by increased average retail rates.

The increase in Operating Revenues was partially offset by a \$3.9 million decrease in revenues that impacts earnings due to the absence of a 2014 wholesale billing adjustment.

Transmission revenues increased by \$8.7 million due primarily to higher revenue requirements associated with ongoing investments in our transmission infrastructure and the impact of a lower FERC ROE complaint proceedings reserve recorded in 2015 as compared to 2014.

Purchased Power and Transmission expense includes costs associated with purchasing electricity on behalf of WMECO's customers. These energy supply costs are recovered from customers in DPU-approved cost tracking mechanisms, which have no impact on earnings (tracked costs). Purchased Power and Transmission increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to the following:

(illions of Dollars) Increase/(Decre		se/(Decrease)
Purchased Power Costs	\$	18.1
Transmission Costs		(13.8)
Total Purchased Power and Transmission	\$	4.3

Included in purchased power are the costs associated with WMECO's basic service charge and deferred energy supply costs. The basic service charge recovers energy-related costs incurred as a result of providing electric generation service supply to all customers that have not migrated to competitive energy suppliers. The increase in purchased power costs was due primarily to higher prices associated with the procurement of energy supply. The decrease in transmission costs was as a result of a decrease in the retail transmission cost deferral, which reflects the actual costs of transmission service compared to estimated amounts billed to customers.

Operations and Maintenance expense includes tracked costs and costs that are part of base distribution rates with changes impacting earnings (non-tracked costs). Operations and Maintenance decreased in 2015, as compared to 2014, driven by \$3.9 million reduction in tracked costs, which have no earnings impact, that was primarily attributable to lower employee-related expenses, partially offset by higher tracked bad debt expense. Non-tracked costs increased \$0.8 million, which was primarily attributable to higher bad debt expense, partially offset by a decrease in workers' compensation claims.

Depreciation increased in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to higher utility plant in service balances.

Amortization of Regulatory Assets/(Liabilities), Net reflects the absence of the refund of the DOE proceeds to customers in 2014 as well as energy and energy related costs and amortizations that can fluctuate period to period based on timing of costs incurred and related rate changes to recover these costs. Fluctuations in energy and energy related costs are recovered from customers in rates and have no impact on earnings.

Taxes Other Than Income Taxes increased in 2015, as compared 2014, due primarily to an increase in property taxes as a result of an increase in utility plant balances.

EARNINGS SUMMARY

WMECO's earnings decreased \$1.3 million in 2015, as compared to 2014, due primarily to the absence of a 2014 wholesale billing adjustment, which favorably impacted 2014 revenues and interest expense, higher property tax expense and an increase in non-tracked operations and maintenance costs. Partially offsetting these unfavorable earnings impacts was an increase in transmission earnings due primarily to a higher transmission rate base and lower reserves associated with the FERC ROE complaint proceedings recorded in 2015 compared to 2014.

LIQUIDITY

WMECO had cash flows provided by operating activities of \$43 million in 2015, compared with \$153.3 million in 2014. The decrease in operating cash flows was due primarily to the \$57.4 million payment made from WMECO s spent nuclear fuel trust to fully satisfy the pre-1983 spent nuclear fuel obligation with the DOE. Also contributing to the decrease in operating cash flows were DOE Damages proceeds received from the Yankee Companies of \$0.6 million in 2015, compared to \$18.9 million in 2014, the unfavorable impact of accounts receivable due primarily to an increase in basic service rates effective January 1, 2015, and the timing of regulatory recoveries resulting from the increase in purchased power costs. Partially offsetting these unfavorable cash flow impacts were lower income tax payments in 2015 compared to 2014.

Item 7A.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market Risk Information

Commodity Price Risk Management: Our Regulated companies enter into energy contracts to serve our customers and the economic impacts of those contracts are passed on to our customers. Accordingly, the Regulated companies have no exposure to loss of future earnings or fair values due to these market risk-sensitive instruments. Eversource's Energy Supply Risk Committee, comprised of senior officers, reviews and approves all large scale energy related transactions entered into by its Regulated companies.

Other Risk Management Activities

We have an Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) program for identifying the principal risks of the Company. Our ERM program involves the application of a well-defined, enterprise-wide methodology designed to allow our Risk Committee, comprised of our senior officers and directors of the Company, to identify, categorize, prioritize, and mitigate the principal risks to the Company. The ERM program is integrated with other assurance functions throughout the Company including Compliance, Auditing, and Insurance to ensure appropriate coverage of risks that could impact the Company. In addition to known risks, ERM identifies emerging risks to the Company, through participation in industry groups, discussions with management and in consultation with outside advisers. Our management then analyzes risks to determine materiality, likelihood and impact, and develops mitigation strategies. Management broadly considers our business model, the utility industry, the global economy and the current environment to identify risks. The Finance Committee of the Board of Trustees is responsible for oversight of the Company's ERM program and enterprise-wide risks as well as specific risks associated with insurance, credit, financing, investments, pensions and overall system security including cyber security. The findings of the ERM process are periodically discussed with the Finance Committee of our Board of Trustees, as well as with other Board Committees or the full Board of Trustees, as appropriate, including reporting on how these issues are being measured and managed. However, there can be no assurances that the Enterprise Risk Management process will identify or manage every risk or event that could impact our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Interest Rate Risk Management: We manage our interest rate risk exposure in accordance with our written policies and procedures by maintaining a mix of fixed and variable rate long-term debt. As of December 31, 2015, approximately 95 percent of our long-term debt, including fees and interest due for CYAPC's spent nuclear fuel disposal costs, was at a fixed interest rate. The remaining long-term debt is at variable interest rates and is subject to interest rate risk that could result in earnings volatility. Assuming a one percentage point increase in our variable interest rates, annual interest expense would have increased by a pre-tax amount of \$4.7 million.

Credit Risk Management: Credit risk relates to the risk of loss that we would incur as a result of non-performance by counterparties pursuant to the terms of our contractual obligations. We serve a wide variety of customers and transact with suppliers that include IPPs, industrial companies, natural gas and electric utilities, oil and gas producers, financial institutions, and other energy marketers. Margin accounts exist within this diverse group, and we realize interest receipts and payments related to balances outstanding in these margin accounts. This wide customer and supplier mix generates a need for a variety of contractual structures, products and terms that, in turn, require us to manage the portfolio of market risk inherent in those transactions in a manner consistent with the parameters established by our risk management process.

Our Regulated companies are subject to credit risk from certain long-term or high-volume supply contracts with energy marketing companies. Our Regulated companies manage the credit risk with these counterparties in accordance with established credit risk practices and monitor contracting risks, including credit risk. As of December 31, 2015, our Regulated companies did not hold collateral (letters of credit) from counterparties related to our standard service contracts. As of December 31, 2015, Eversource had \$17.1 million of cash posted with ISO-NE related to energy purchase transactions.

For further information on cash collateral deposited and posted with counterparties, see Note 1G, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Deposits," and Note 4, "Derivative Instruments," to the financial statements.

If the respective unsecured debt ratings of Eversource or its subsidiaries were reduced to below investment grade by either Moody's or S&P, certain of Eversource's contracts would require additional collateral in the form of cash to be provided to counterparties and independent system operators. Eversource would have been and remains able to provide that collateral.

Item 8.

Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Eversource	Company Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Consolidated Financial Statements
CL&P	Company Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Financial Statements
NSTAR Electric	Company Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Consolidated Financial Statements
PSNH	Company Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Consolidated Financial Statements
WMECO	Company Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Financial Statements

Company Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

Eversource Energy

Management is responsible for the preparation, integrity, and fair presentation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Eversource Energy and subsidiaries (Eversource or the Company) and of other sections of this annual report. Eversource's internal controls over financial reporting were audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting. The Company's internal control framework and processes have been designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. There are inherent limitations of internal controls over financial reporting that could allow material misstatements due to error or fraud to occur and not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by employees during the normal course of business. Additionally, internal controls over financial reporting may become inadequate in the future due to changes in the business environment.

Under the supervision and with the participation of the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, Eversource conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting based on criteria established in *Internal Control* Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this evaluation under the framework in COSO, management concluded that internal controls over financial reporting were effective as of December 31, 2015.

February 26, 2016

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Trustees and Shareholders of Eversource Energy:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Eversource Energy and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, common shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 15 of Part IV. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedules, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Company Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Eversource Energy and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Hartford, Connecticut

February 26, 2016

EVERSOURCE ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	As of December 3			
(Thousands of Dollars)	2015			2014
ASSETS				
Current Assets:				
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	23,947	\$	38,703
Receivables, Net		775,480		856,346
Unbilled Revenues		202,647		211,758
Taxes Receivable		305,359		337,307
Fuel, Materials and Supplies		336,476		349,664
Regulatory Assets		845,843		672,493
Prepayments and Other Current Assets		129,034		226,194
Total Current Assets		2,618,786		2,692,465
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net		19,892,441		18,647,041
Deferred Debits and Other Assets:				
Regulatory Assets		3,737,960		4,054,086
Goodwill		3,519,401		3,519,401
Marketable Securities		516,478		515,025
Other Long-Term Assets		295,243		312,369
Total Deferred Debits and Other Assets		8,069,082		8,400,881
Total Assets	\$	30,580,309	\$	29,740,387
LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION				
Current Liabilities:				
Notes Payable	\$	1,160,953	\$	956,825
Long-Term Debt - Current Portion		228,883		245,583
Accounts Payable		813,646		868,231
Regulatory Liabilities		107,759		235,022
Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes		-		160,288
Other Current Liabilities		678,549		668,432
Total Current Liabilities		2,989,790		3,134,381
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:				
Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes		5,147,678		4,467,473
Regulatory Liabilities		513,595		515,144
Derivative Liabilities		337,102		409,632
Accrued Pension, SERP and PBOP		1,407,288		1,638,558
Other Long-Term Liabilities		871,499		874,387
Total Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities		8,277,162		7,905,194

Capitalization:				
Long-Term Debt	8,805,574		8,568,429	
Noncontrolling Interest - Preferred Stock of Subsidiaries	155,568		155,568	
Equity:				
Common Shareholders' Equity:				
Common Shares	1,669,313		1,666,796	
Capital Surplus, Paid In	6,262,368			
Retained Earnings	2,797,355		2,448,661	
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	(66,844)		(74,009)	
Treasury Stock	(309,977)		(300,467)	
Common Shareholders' Equity	10,352,215		9,976,815	
Total Capitalization	19,313,357		18,700,812	
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 11)				
Total Liabilities and Capitalization	\$ 30,580,309	\$	29,740,387	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

EVERSOURCE ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

		er 31,			
(Thousands of Dollars, Except Share Information)	2015	2014		2013	
Operating Revenues \$	7,954,827	\$ 7,741,856	\$	7,301,204	
Operating Expenses:					
Purchased Power, Fuel and Transmission	3,086,905	3,021,550		2,482,954	
Operations and Maintenance	1,329,289	1,427,589		1,514,986	
Depreciation	665,856	614,657		610,777	
Amortization of Regulatory Assets, Net	22,339	10,704		206,322	
Amortization of Rate Reduction Bonds	-	-		42,581	
Energy Efficiency Programs	495,701	473,127		401,919	
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	590,573	561,380	512,230		
Total Operating Expenses	6,190,663	6,109,007		5,771,769	
Operating Income	1,764,164	1,632,849		1,529,435	
Interest Expense	372,420	362,106		338,699	
Other Income, Net	34,227	24,619		29,894	
Income Before Income Tax Expense	1,425,971	1,295,362		1,220,630	
Income Tax Expense	539,967	468,297		426,941	
Net Income	886,004	827,065		793,689	
Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests	7,519	7,519		7,682	
Net Income Attributable to Common Shareholders\$	878,485	\$ 819,546	\$	786,007	
Basic Earnings Per Common Share \$	2.77	\$ 2.59	\$	2.49	
Diluted Earnings Per Common Share \$	2.76	\$ 2.58	\$	2.49	
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding:					
Basic	317,336,881	316,136,748		315,311,387	
Diluted	318,432,687	317,417,414		316,211,160	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Net Income	\$	886,004	\$ 827,065	\$ 793,689
Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss), Net of 7	Гах:			
Qualified Cash Flow Hedging Instruments		2,079	2,037	2,049
		(2,588)	315	(940)

Changes in Unrealized (Losses)/Gains o	n						
Marketable Securities							
Changes in Funded Status of Pension,		7,674		(30,330)	25,714		
SERP and PBOP Benefit Plans		/,0/4		(30,330)	23,714		
Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss), Net of Tax	-	7,165		(27,978)	26,823		
Comprehensive Income Attributable to		(7,519)		(7,519)	(7,682)		
Noncontrolling Interests		(7,319)		(7,319)	(7,082)		
Comprehensive Income Attributable to Common	¢	885,650	¢	791,568 \$	812,830		
Shareholders	Ψ	885,050	ψ	/91,300 \$	012,030		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

EVERSOURCE ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMMON SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Common	Shares	Capital Surplus,	Retained	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	Treasury	Total Common Shareholders'
(Thousands of Dollars, Except Share Information)	Shares	Amount	Paid In	Earnings	Income/(Loss)	Stock	Equity
Balance as of January 1, 2013 Net Income	314,053,634	\$ 1,662,547	\$ 6,183,267	\$ 1,802,714 793,689	\$ (72,854)	\$ (338,624)	\$ 9,237,050 793,689
Dividends on Common Shares - \$1.47 Per Share				(462,741)			(462,741)
Dividends on Preferred Stock				(7,682)	,		(7,682)
Issuance of Common Shares, \$5 Par Value	560,848	2,804	8,274				11,078
Long-Term Incentive Plan Activity			(10,748)				(10,748)
Issuance of Treasury Shares	659,077		17,381			12,087	29,468
Other Changes in Shareholders' Equity			(5,409)				(5,409)
Other Comprehensive Income					26,823		26,823
Balance as of December 31, 2013	315,273,559	1,665,351	6,192,765	2,125,980	(46,031)	(326,537)	9,611,528
Net Income Dividends on				827,065			827,065
Common Shares - \$1.57 Per Share				(496,524)			(496,524)
Dividends on Preferred Stock				(7,519)			(7,519)
Issuance of Common Shares, \$5 Par Value	288,941	1,445	5,164				6,609
Long-Term Incentive Plan Activity			(9,569)				(9,569)
Issuance of Treasury Shares	1,420,837		37,817			26,070	63,887
Other Changes in Shareholders' Equity			9,657	(341)			9,316
Other Comprehensive Loss					(27,978)		(27,978)
Balance as of December 31, 2014	316,983,337	1,666,796	6,235,834	2,448,661	(74,009)	(300,467)	9,976,815
Net Income Dividends on Common Shares -				886,004 (529,791)	1		886,004 (529,791)

\$1.67 Per Share							
Dividends on				(7,519)			(7,519)
Preferred Stock				(7,519)			(7,319)
Issuance of Common	503,443	2,517	6,951				9,468
Shares, \$5 Par Value	303,443	2,317	0,951				9,408
Long-Term Incentive			(6,140)				(6,140)
Plan Activity			(0,140)				(0,140)
Increase in Treasury	(295,531)		22,070			(9,510)	12,560
Shares	(295,551)		22,070			(9,510)	12,300
Other Changes in			3,653				3,653
Shareholders' Equity			5,055				5,055
Other Comprehensive					7,165		7,165
Income					7,105		7,105
Balance as of December 31, 2015	317 101 240	\$	\$	\$	\$ (66,844)	\$	\$
2015	517,191,249	1,669,313	6,262,368	2,797,355	\$ (00,644)	(309,977)	10,352,215

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

EVERSOURCE ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Thousands of Dollars)		For the Years Ended December 31 2015 2014			oer 31,	, 2013	
Operating Activities:							
Net Income	\$	886,004	\$	827,065	\$	793,689	
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net							
Cash Flows							
Provided by Operating Activities:							
Depreciation		665,856		614,657		610,777	
Deferred Income Taxes		491,736		443,259		431,413	
Pension, SERP and PBOP Expens	e	96,017		99,056		195,698	
Pension and PBOP Contributions		(162,452)		(211,649)		(342,184)	
Regulatory (Under)/Over		(163,287)		6,853		(24,276)	
Recoveries, Net		(105,287)		0,055		(24,270)	
Amortization of Regulatory Assets, Net		22,339		10,704		206,322	
Amortization of Rate Reduction Bonds		-		-		42,581	
(Payments)/Refunds Related to Spent Nuclear Fuel, Net		(297,253)		132,138		-	
Other		(91,945)		39,523		56,071	
Changes in Current Assets and Liabilities:							
Receivables and Unbilled		(39,797)		(122,139)		(163,549)	
Revenues, Net		24 112		(41.210)		(14 011)	
Fuel, Materials and Supplies		34,112		(41,310)		(14,811)	
Taxes Receivable/Accrued, Net		30,282		(323,224)		(50,950)	
Accounts Payable Other Current Assets and		(91,618)		144,743		(54,619)	
Liabilities, Net		44,031		15,797		(22,623)	
Net Cash Flows Provided by Operating Activities		1,424,025		1,635,473		1,663,539	
Investing Activities							
Investing Activities: Investments in Property, Plant and Equipment		(1,724,139)		(1,603,744)		(1,456,787)	
Proceeds from Sales of Marketable Securities		799,165		488,789		627,532	
Purchases of Marketable Securities		(717,114)		(491,220)		(679,784)	
Other Investing Activities		(17,062)		14,380		67,816	
Net Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities		(1,659,150)		(1,591,795)		(1,441,223)	
The cush r lows osed in investing renvines		(1,059,150)		(1,5)1,755)		(1,111,223)	
Financing Activities:							
Cash Dividends on Common Shares		(529,791)		(475,227)		(462,741)	
Cash Dividends on Preferred Stock		(7,519)		(7,519)		(7,682)	
(Decrease)/Increase in Short-Term Debt		(242,122)		285,075		(397,000)	
Issuance of Long-Term Debt		1,225,000		725,000		1,680,000	
Retirements of Long-Term Debt		(216,700)		(576,551)		(929,885)	

Retirements of Rate Reduction Bonds	-	-	(82,139)
Other Financing Activities	(8,499)	883	(25,253)
Net Cash Flows Provided by/(Used in) Financing Activities	220,369	(48,339)	(224,700)
Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(14,756)	(4,661)	(2,384)
Cash and Cash Equivalents - Beginning of Year	38,703	43,364	45,748
Cash and Cash Equivalents - End of Year	\$ 23,947	\$ 38,703	\$ 43,364

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

~	_
6	7
υ	1

Company Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

The Connecticut Light and Power Company

Management is responsible for the preparation, integrity, and fair presentation of the accompanying financial statements of The Connecticut Light and Power Company (CL&P or the Company) and of other sections of this annual report.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting. The Company's internal control framework and processes have been designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. There are inherent limitations of internal controls over financial reporting that could allow material misstatements due to error or fraud to occur and not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by employees during the normal course of business. Additionally, internal controls over financial reporting may become inadequate in the future due to changes in the business environment.

Under the supervision and with the participation of the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, CL&P conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this evaluation under the framework in COSO, management concluded that internal controls over financial reporting were effective as of December 31, 2015.

February 26, 2016

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholder of The Connecticut Light and Power Company:

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of The Connecticut Light and Power Company (the "Company") as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related statements of income, comprehensive income, common stockholder's equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15 of Part IV. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The Connecticut Light and Power Company as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Hartford, Connecticut

February 26, 2016

THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY BALANCE SHEETS

	As of December 31,				
(Thousands of Dollars)		2015	2014		
ASSETS					
Current Assets:					
Cash	\$	1,057	\$	2,356	
Receivables, Net		352,536		355,140	
Accounts Receivable from Affiliated Companies		21,214		16,757	
Unbilled Revenues		99,879		102,137	
Taxes Receivable		137,643		116,148	
Regulatory Assets		268,318		220,344	
Materials and Supplies		43,124		46,664	
Prepayments and Other Current Assets		32,234		37,822	
Total Current Assets		956,005		897,368	
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net		7,156,809		6,809,664	
Deferred Debits and Other Assets:					
Regulatory Assets		1,369,028		1,475,508	
Other Long-Term Assets		111,115		161,860	
Total Deferred Debits and Other Assets		1,480,143		1,637,368	
Total Assets	\$	9,592,957	\$	9,344,400	
LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION					
Current Liabilities:					
Notes Payable to Eversource Parent	\$	277,400	\$	133,400	
Long-Term Debt - Current Portion		-		162,000	
Accounts Payable		267,764		272,971	
Accounts Payable to Affiliated Companies		66,456		65,594	
Obligations to Third Party Suppliers		60,746		73,624	
Regulatory Liabilities		61,155		124,722	
Derivative Liabilities		91,820		88,459	
Other Current Liabilities		110,631		153,420	
Total Current Liabilities		935,972		1,074,190	
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:					
Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes		1,820,865		1,642,805	
Regulatory Liabilities		74,830		81,298	
Derivative Liabilities		336,189		406,199	
Accrued Pension, SERP and PBOP		271,056		273,854	
Other Long-Term Liabilities		133,446		148,844	
Total Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities		2,636,386		2,553,000	

Capitalization:				
Long-Term Debt		2,763,682		2,664,243
Preferred Stock Not Subject to Mandatory Redemption		116,200		116,200
Common Stockholder's Equity:				
Common Stock		60,352		60,352
Capital Surplus, Paid In		1,910,663		1,804,869
Retained Earnings		1,170,278	1,072,477	
Accumulated Other Comprehensive	e Loss	(576)		(931)
Common Stockholder's Equity		3,140,717		2,936,767
Total Capitalization		6,020,599		5,717,210
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 11)				
Total Liabilities and Capitalization	\$	9,592,957	\$	9,344,400

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	For the Years Ended December 31,					
(Thousands of Dollars)		2015	2014		2013	
Operating Revenues	\$	2,802,675	\$	2,692,582	\$	2,442,341
Operating Expenses:						
Purchased Power and Transmission		1,054,313		982,876		872,769
Operations and Maintenance		487,281		494,578		523,247
Depreciation		215,289		188,837		177,603
Amortization of Regulatory Assets, Net		12,318		59,336		4,870
Energy Efficiency Programs		153,725		156,335		89,858
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes		268,688		255,370		234,418
Total Operating Expenses		2,191,614		2,137,332		1,902,765
Operating Income		611,061		555,250		539,576
Interest Expense		145,795		147,421		133,650
Other Income, Net		11,490		13,376		15,149
Income Before Income Tax Expense		476,756		421,205		421,075
Income Tax Expense		177,396		133,451		141,663
Net Income	\$	299,360	\$	287,754	\$	279,412

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Net Income	\$	299,360	\$ 287,754	\$ 279,412
Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Tax:				
Qualified Cash Flow Hedging Instrument	S	444	444	444
Changes in Unrealized (Losses)/Gains on		(89)	12	(31)
Marketable Securities		(89)	12	(31)
Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Tax		355	456	413
Comprehensive Income	\$	299,715	\$ 288,210	\$ 279,825

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY STATEMENTS OF COMMON STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

	Commo	on Stock	Capital Surplus,	Retained	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	Total Common Stockholder's
(Thousands of			1		1	
Dollars, Except	Stock	Amount	Paid In	Earnings	Income/(Loss)	Equity
Stock Information)						
Balance as of	6,035,205	\$ 60,352	\$ 1,640,149	\$ 839,628	\$ (1,800)	\$ 2,538,329
January 1, 2013	0,033,203	\$ 00,332	\$ 1,040,147		\$ (1,000)	
Net Income				279,412		279,412
Dividends on				(5,559)		(5,559)
Preferred Stock						
Dividends on Common Stock				(151,999)		(151,999)
Allocation of						
Benefits -			1,847			1,847
ESOP			1,047			1,047
Capital Stock						
Expenses, Net			51			51
Capital						
Contributions						
from			40,000			40,000
Eversource						
Parent						
Other						
Comprehensive	;				413	413
Income						
Balance as of	6,035,205	60,352	1,682,047	961,482	(1,387)	2,702,494
December 31, 2013 Net Income				287,754		207 751
Dividends on				201,134		287,754
Preferred Stock				(5,559)		(5,559)
Dividends on						
Common Stock				(171,200)		(171,200)
Allocation of						
Benefits -			2,771			2,771
ESOP						
Capital Stock			51			51
Expenses, Net			51			51
Capital						
Contributions						
from			120,000			120,000
Eversource						
Parent						
Other Comprehensive					456	456
Comprehensive Income	,				430	430
meonie						

Balance as of December 21, 2014 6,035,205	60,352	1,804,869	1,072,477		(931)	2,936,767
December 51, 2014	00,002	1,001,005			()01)	
Net Income			299,360			299,360
Dividends on			(5,559)			(5,559)
Preferred Stock			(3,339)			(3,339)
Dividends on			(106,000)			(106,000)
Common Stock			(196,000)			(196,000)
Allocation of						
Benefits -		743				743
ESOP						
Capital Stock		51				51
Expenses, Net		51				51
Capital						
Contributions						
from		105,000				105,000
Eversource						
Parent						
Other						
Comprehensive					355	355
Income						
Balance as of	¢ (0.252	¢ 1 0 1 0 ((0	¢ 1 150 050	¢		* 2 1 4 0 7 1 7
December 31, 2015 6,035,205	\$ 60,352	\$ 1,910,663	\$ 1,170,278	\$	(576)	\$ 3,140,717

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Years Ended December 31,			
(Thousands of Dollars)	2015	2014	2013	
Operating Activities:				
Net Income \$	5 299,360	\$ 287,754	\$ 279,412	
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net	277,300	φ 201,151	$\varphi = 277,112$	
Cash Flows				
Provided by Operating Activities:				
Depreciation	215,289	188,837	177,603	
Deferred Income Taxes	135,994	130,949	130,038	
Pension, SERP and PBOP Expense,				
Net of PBOP Contributions	14,091	14,992	24,416	
Regulatory (Under)/Over	(52 501)		20.000	
Recoveries, Net	(53,781)	(20,502)	28,298	
Amortization of Regulatory Assets,	10 010	50.000	4.070	
Net	12,318	59,336	4,870	
(Payments)/Refunds Related to	(242.021)	(0 (10		
Spent Nuclear Fuel, Net	(242,231)	68,610	-	
Other	(36,385)	(1,342)	(3,478)	
Changes in Current Assets and Liabilities:				
Receivables and Unbilled	(20, 105)	(79, (21))	(5(502)	
Revenues, Net	(29,195)	(78,631)	(56,593)	
Materials and Supplies	22,810	13,063	9,997	
Taxes Receivable/Accrued, Net	(13,517)	(126,376)	(41,594)	
Accounts Payable	(16,910)	68,891	(66,225)	
Other Current Assets and	(9,514)	6,838	8,513	
Liabilities, Net	(9,314)	0,030	8,515	
Net Cash Flows Provided by Operating Activities	298,329	612,419	495,257	
Investing Activities:				
Investments in Property, Plant and Equipment	(523,849)	(515,710)	(434,934)	
Other Investing Activities	(716)	12,653	2,650	
Net Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities	(524,565)	(503,057)	(432,284)	
	((===;===;)	(
Financing Activities:				
Cash Dividends on Common Stock	(196,000)	(171,200)	(151,999)	
Cash Dividends on Preferred Stock	(5,559)	(5,559)	(5,559)	
Decrease in Short-Term Debt	-	-	(89,000)	
Increase/(Decrease) in Notes Payable to	144,000	(153,900)	(117,800)	
Eversource Parent				
Issuance of Long-Term Debt	350,000	250,000	400,000	
Retirements of Long-Term Debt	(162,000)	(150,000)	(125,000)	
Capital Contributions from Eversource Parent	105,000	120,000	40,000	
Other Financing Activities	(10,504)	(3,584)	(6,379)	

Net Cash Flows Provided by/(Used in) Financing Activities	224,937	(114,243)	(55,737)
Net (Decrease)/Increase in Cash	(1,299)	(4,881)	7,236
Cash - Beginning of Year	2,356	7,237	1
Cash - End of Year	\$ 1,057	\$ 2,356	\$ 7,237

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Company Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

NSTAR Electric Company

Management is responsible for the preparation, integrity, and fair presentation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements of NSTAR Electric Company and subsidiary (NSTAR Electric or the Company) and of other sections of this annual report.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting. The Company's internal control framework and processes have been designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. There are inherent limitations of internal controls over financial reporting that could allow material misstatements due to error or fraud to occur and not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by employees during the normal course of business. Additionally, internal controls over financial reporting may become inadequate in the future due to changes in the business environment.

Under the supervision and with the participation of the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, NSTAR Electric conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this evaluation under the framework in COSO, management concluded that internal controls over financial reporting were effective as of December 31, 2015.

February 26, 2016

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholder of NSTAR Electric Company:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of NSTAR Electric Company and subsidiary (the "Company") as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, common stockholder's equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15 of Part IV. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of NSTAR Electric Company and subsidiary as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Hartford, Connecticut

February 26, 2016

NSTAR ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	As of December 31,					
(Thousands of Dollars)		2015	2014			
ASSETS						
Current Assets:						
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	3,346	\$	12,773		
Receivables, Net		229,936		234,481		
Accounts Receivable from Affiliated Companies		4,034		40,353		
Unbilled Revenues		29,464		29,741		
Taxes Receivable		70,236		144,601		
Materials and Supplies		75,487		74,179		
Regulatory Assets		348,408		198,710		
Prepayments and Other Current Assets		11,448		10,815		
Total Current Assets		772,359		745,653		
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net		5,655,458		5,335,436		
Deferred Debits and Other Assets:						
Regulatory Assets		1,112,977		1,179,100		
Other Long-Term Assets		62,467		61,880		
Total Deferred Debits and Other Assets		1,175,444		1,240,980		
Total Assets	\$	7,603,261	\$	7,322,069		
LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION						
Current Liabilities:						
Notes Payable	\$	62,500	\$	302,000		
Long-Term Debt - Current Portion		200,000		4,700		
Accounts Payable		228,250		217,311		
Accounts Payable to Affiliated Companies		38,648		63,517		
Obligations to Third Party Suppliers		56,718		34,824		
Renewable Portfolio Standards Compliance Obligations		104,847		60,750		
Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes		-		55,136		
Regulatory Liabilities		3,281		49,611		
Other Current Liabilities		72,007		90,939		
Total Current Liabilities		766,251		878,788		
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:						
Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes		1,760,339		1,527,667		
Regulatory Liabilities		264,352		262,738		
Accrued Pension, SERP and PBOP		209,153		235,529		
Other Long-Term Liabilities		120,939		129,279		
Total Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities		2,354,783		2,155,213		

Capitalization:		
Long-Term Debt	1,829,766	1,781,541
Preferred Stock Not Subject to Mandatory Redemption	43,000	43,000
Common Stockholder's Equity:		
Common Stock Capital Surplus, Paid In	- 995,378	- 994,130
Retained Earnings	1,613,538	1,468,955
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	545	442
Common Stockholder's Equity	2,609,461	2,463,527
Total Capitalization	4,482,227	4,288,068
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 11)		
Total Liabilities and Capitalization	\$ 7,603,261	\$ 7,322,069

NSTAR ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	For the Years Ended December 31,						
(Thousands of Dollars)		2015		2014		2013	
Operating Revenues	\$	2,681,342	\$	2,536,677	\$	2,493,479	
Operating Expenses:							
Purchased Power and Transmission		1,190,191		1,122,298		849,149	
Operations and Maintenance		306,528		326,972		376,360	
Depreciation		196,770		188,693		180,298	
Amortization of Regulatory (Liabilities)/Assets, Net		(12,989)		(6,330)		230,148	
Amortization of Rate Reduction Bonds		-		-		15,054	
Energy Efficiency Programs		224,755		193,516		206,536	
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes		133,260		133,072		127,778	
Total Operating Expenses		2,038,515		1,958,221		1,985,323	
Operating Income		642,827		578,456		508,156	
Interest Expense		75,347		77,878		70,383	
Other Income, Net		5,106		4,491		3,639	
Income Before Income Tax Expense		572,586		505,069		441,412	
Income Tax Expense		228,044		201,981		172,866	
Net Income	\$	344,542	\$	303,088	\$	268,546	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Net Income	\$ 344,542	\$ 303,088	\$ 268,546
Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Tax:			
Changes in Funded Status of SERP Benefit Plan	103	442	-
Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Tax	103	442	-
Comprehensive Income	\$ 344,645	\$ 303,530	\$ 268,546

NSTAR ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMMON STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

(Thousands of	Comr	non Stock	Capital Surplus,	Retained	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	Total Common Stockholder's
Dollars, Except Stock Information)	Stock	Amount	Paid In	Earnings	Income	Equity
Balance as of January 1, 2013	100	\$ -	\$ 992,625	\$ 1,210,405	\$-	\$ 2,203,030
Net Income				268,546		268,546
Dividends on Preferred Stock				(2,123)		(2,123)
Dividends on Common Stock				(56,000)		(56,000)
Balance as of December 31, 2013	100	-	992,625	1,420,828	-	2,413,453
Net Income				303,088		303,088
Dividends on Preferred Stock				(1,961)		(1,961)
Dividends on Common Stock Other Changes in				(253,000)		(253,000)
Stockholder's Equity Accumulated			1,505			1,505
Other Comprehensive Income					442	442
Balance as of December 31, 2014	100	-	994,130	1,468,955	442	2,463,527
Net Income				344,542		344,542
Dividends on Preferred Stock				(1,960)		(1,960)
Dividends on Common Stock				(197,999)		(197,999)
Other Changes in Stockholder's Equity Accumulated			1,248			1,248
Other Comprehensive Income					103	103
Balance as of December 31, 2015	100	\$ -	\$ 995,378	\$ 1,613,538	\$ 545	\$ 2,609,461

NSTAR ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

		For the	Ended Decem	ded December 31,		
(Thousands of Dollars)		2015		2014		2013
Operating Activities:						
Net Income	\$	344,542	\$	303,088	\$	268,546
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net	φ	544,542	φ	505,000	φ	208,540
Cash Flows						
Provided by Operating Activities:						
Depreciation		196,770		188,693		180,298
Deferred Income Taxes		173,155		108,133		48,808
Pension and PBOP Expense		10,786		6,760		35,731
Pension and PBOP Contributions		(9,886)		(120,306)		(82,000)
		(9,000)		(120,500)		(82,000)
Regulatory (Under)/Over Recoveries, Net		(124,323)		57,696		(119,433)
Amortization of Regulatory (Liabilities)/Assets, Net		(12,989)		(6,330)		230,148
Amortization of Rate Reduction		-		-		15,054
Bonds Bod Debt Expense		14,228		24,740		29 109
Bad Debt Expense Refunds Related to Spent Nuclear		14,220		24,740		28,108
Fuel		783		30,193		-
Other		(56,063)		(51,478)		4,428
Changes in Current Assets and Liabilities:						,
Receivables and Unbilled						
Revenues, Net		(35,525)		(18,853)		(45,405)
Materials and Supplies		406		(29,943)		3,227
Taxes Receivable/Accrued, Net		77,429		(122,746)		(38,003)
Accounts Payable		21,961		9,753		31,875
Accounts Receivable from/Payable						
to Affiliates, Net		11,450		115,092		(44,491)
Other Current Assets and		44.000		20 525		(6.460)
Liabilities, Net		44,302		38,535		(6,468)
Net Cash Flows Provided by Operating Activities		657,026		533,027		510,423
Investing Activities:						
Investments in Property, Plant and Equipment		(469,466)		(465,028)		(476,600)
Decrease in Special Deposits		-		-		37,604
Other Investing Activities		-		-		400
Net Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities		(469,466)		(465,028)		(438,596)
Financing Activities:		(107,000)		(252,000)		(56,000)
Cash Dividends on Common Stock		(197,999)		(253,000)		(56,000)
Cash Dividends on Preferred Stock		(1,960)		(1,961)		(2,123)
(Decrease)/Increase in Short-Term Debt		(239,500)		198,500		(172,500)

Issuance of Long-Term Debt	250,000	300,000	200,000
Retirements of Long-Term Debt	(4,700)	(301,650)	(1,650)
Retirements of Rate Reduction Bonds	-	-	(43,493)
Other Financing Activities	(2,828)	(5,136)	(1,735)
Net Cash Flows Used in Financing Activities	(196,987)	(63,247)	(77,501)
Net (Decrease)/Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(9,427)	4,752	(5,674)
Cash and Cash Equivalents - Beginning of Year	12,773	8,021	13,695
Cash and Cash Equivalents - End of Year	\$ 3,346	\$ 12,773	\$ 8,021

Company Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

Public Service Company of New Hampshire

Management is responsible for the preparation, integrity, and fair presentation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Public Service Company of New Hampshire and subsidiary (PSNH or the Company) and of other sections of this annual report.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting. The Company's internal control framework and processes have been designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. There are inherent limitations of internal controls over financial reporting that could allow material misstatements due to error or fraud to occur and not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by employees during the normal course of business. Additionally, internal controls over financial reporting may become inadequate in the future due to changes in the business environment.

Under the supervision and with the participation of the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, PSNH conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this evaluation under the framework in COSO, management concluded that internal controls over financial reporting were effective as of December 31, 2015.

February 26, 2016

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholder of Public Service Company of New Hampshire:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Public Service Company of New Hampshire and subsidiary (the "Company") as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, common stockholder's equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15 of Part IV. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Public Service Company of New Hampshire and subsidiary as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Hartford, Connecticut

February 26, 2016

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	As of De	cember 31	ember 31,		
(Thousands of Dollars)	2015	2014			
ASSETS					
Current Assets:					
Cash	\$ 1,733	\$	489		
Receivables, Net	77,546		80,151		
Accounts Receivable from Affiliated Companies	2,352		3,194		
Unbilled Revenues	38,207		40,181		
Taxes Receivable	43,128		14,571		
Fuel, Materials and Supplies	156,868		148,139		
Regulatory Assets	104,971		111,705		
Prepayments and Other Current Assets	24,302		27,821		
Total Current Assets	449,107		426,251		
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net	2,855,363		2,635,844		
Deferred Debits and Other Assets:					
Regulatory Assets	257,873		293,115		
Other Long-Term Assets	34,176		32,963		
Total Deferred Debits and Other Assets	292,049		326,078		
Total Assets	\$ 3,596,519	\$	3,388,173		
LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION					
Current Liabilities:					
Notes Payable to Eversource Parent	\$ 231,300	\$	90,500		
Accounts Payable	87,925		93,349		
Accounts Payable to Affiliated Companies	24,214		33,734		
Regulatory Liabilities	6,898		16,044		
Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	-		36,164		
Other Current Liabilities	43,921		38,969		
Total Current Liabilities	394,258		308,760		
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:					
Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	705,894		587,292		
Regulatory Liabilities	47,851		51,372		
Accrued Pension, SERP and PBOP	89,579		93,243		
Other Long-Term Liabilities	50,746		50,155		
Total Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities	894,070		782,062		

Capitalization:

Edgar Filing: EVERSOURCE ENERGY - Form 10-K								
Long-Term Debt		1,071,017		1,070,021				
Common Stockholder's Equity: Common Stock		-		-				
Capital Surplus, Paid In Retained Earnings		748,634 494,901		748,240 486,459				
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	:	(6,361)		(7,369)				
Common Stockholder's Equity Total Capitalization		1,237,174 2,308,191		1,227,330 2,297,351				
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 11)								
Total Liabilities and Capitalization	\$	3,596,519	\$	3,388,173				

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	For the Years Ended December 31,						
(Thousands of Dollars)		2015		2014		2013	
Operating Revenues	\$	972,203	\$	959,500	\$	935,402	
Operating Expenses:							
Purchased Power, Fuel and Transmission		247,721		313,732		269,754	
Operations and Maintenance		276,554		261,848		267,797	
Depreciation		105,372		98,436		91,581	
Amortization of Regulatory Assets/(Liabilities), Net		16,276		(29,602)		(20,387)	
Amortization of Rate Reduction Bonds		-		-		19,748	
Energy Efficiency Programs		14,324		14,286		14,494	
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes		81,779		71,417		67,196	
Total Operating Expenses		742,026		730,117		710,183	
Operating Income		230,177		229,383		225,219	
Interest Expense		45,990		45,349		46,176	
Other Income, Net		3,315		2,045		3,455	
Income Before Income Tax Expense		187,502		186,079		182,498	
Income Tax Expense		73,060		72,135		71,101	
Net Income	\$	114,442	\$	113,944	\$	111,397	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Net Income	\$ 114,442	\$ 113,944	\$ 111,397
Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Tax:			
Qualified Cash Flow Hedging Instruments	1,162	1,162	1,162
Changes in Unrealized (Losses)/Gains on Marketable Securities	(154)	19	(54)
Changes in Funded Status of SERP Benefit Plan	-	-	(3)
Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Tax	1,008	1,181	1,105
Comprehensive Income	\$ 115,450	\$ 115,125	\$ 112,502

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMMON STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

	Comr	non Stock	Capital Surplus,	Retained		Accumulated Other Comprehensive		Total Common Stockholder's	
(Thousands of Dollars, Except Stock Information)	Stock Amount		nt Paid In	Earnings	Income/(Loss)		Equity		
Balance as of January 1, 2013	301	\$ -	\$ 701,052	\$ 395,118	\$	(9,655)	\$	1,086,515	
Net Income				111,397				111,397	
Dividends on Common Stock				(68,000)				(68,000)	
Allocation of Benefits - ESOP Other			859					859	
Comprehensive Income						1,105		1,105	
Balance as of December 31, 2013	301	-	701,911	438,515		(8,550)		1,131,876	
Net Income				113,944				113,944	
Dividends on				(66,000)				(66,000)	
Common Stock Capital									
Contributions from Eversource Parent			45,000					45,000	
Allocation of Benefits - ESOP Other			1,329					1,329	
Comprehensive Income						1,181		1,181	
Balance as of December 31, 2014	301	-	748,240	486,459		(7,369)		1,227,330	
Net Income				114,442				114,442	
Dividends on				(106,000)				(106,000)	
Common Stock Allocation of Benefits ESOP			394					394	
Other Comprehensive Income						1,008		1,008	
Balance as of December 31, 2015	301	\$-	\$ 748,634	\$ 494,901	\$	(6,361)	\$	1,237,174	

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

		For the Years Ended December 31,					
(Thousands of Dollars)		2015		2014		2013	
Operating Activities							
Operating Activities: Net Income	\$	114,442	\$	113,944	\$	111,397	
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net	φ	114,442	φ	115,944	φ	111,397	
Cash Flows							
Provided by Operating Activities:							
Depreciation		105,372		98,436		91,581	
Deferred Income Taxes		83,776		94,813		75,693	
Pension, SERP and PBOP Expense		4,580		7,197		26,846	
Pension and PBOP Contributions	·	(982)		(2,482)		(112,964)	
Regulatory Over/(Under)							
Recoveries, Net		41		(11,875)		(8,481)	
Amortization of Regulatory							
Assets/(Liabilities), Net		16,276		(29,602)		(20,387)	
Amortization of Rate Reduction							
Bonds		-		-		19,748	
Refunds Related to Spent Nuclear				4.4.4.50			
Fuel		979		14,453		-	
Other		8,677		10,095		16,079	
Changes in Current Assets and Liabilities:							
Receivables and Unbilled		(1, 750)		(15, 576)		2 412	
Revenues, Net		(4,750)		(15,576)		2,412	
Fuel, Materials and Supplies		(8,729)		(19,403)		(33,391)	
Taxes Receivable/Accrued, Net		(23,909)		(23,857)		26,462	
Accounts Payable		(22,203)		17,796		2,632	
Other Current Assets and		953		(5,972)		(9,520)	
Liabilities, Net		933		(3,972)		(9,320)	
Net Cash Flows Provided by Operating Activities		274,523		247,967		188,107	
Investing Activities:							
Investments in Property, Plant and Equipment		(308,036)		(256,159)		(186,009)	
(Increase)/Decrease in Special Deposits		(300,030)		(1,013)		22,040	
Other Investing Activities		306		(139)		(88)	
Net Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities		(307,730)		(257,311)		(164,057)	
6		()				(-))	
Financing Activities:							
Cash Dividends on Common Stock		(106,000)		(66,000)		(68,000)	
Increase in Short-Term Debt		-		4,000		23,200	
Issuance of Long-Term Debt		-		75,000		250,000	
Retirements of Long-Term Debt		-		(50,000)		(198,235)	
Retirements of Rate Reduction Bonds		-		-		(29,294)	
Increase in Notes Payable to Eversource Parent		140,800		-		-	

Capital Contributions from Eversource Parent	-	45,000	-
Other Financing Activities	(349)	1,703	(4,084)
Net Cash Flows Provided by/(Used in) Financing Activities	34,451	9,703	(26,413)
Net Increase/(Decrease) in Cash	1,244	359	(2,363)
Cash - Beginning of Year	489	130	2,493
Cash - End of Year	\$ 1,733	\$ 489	\$ 130

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Company Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

Western Massachusetts Electric Company

Management is responsible for the preparation, integrity, and fair presentation of the accompanying financial statements of Western Massachusetts Electric Company (WMECO or the Company) and of other sections of this annual report.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting. The Company's internal control framework and processes have been designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. There are inherent limitations of internal controls over financial reporting that could allow material misstatements due to error or fraud to occur and not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by employees during the normal course of business. Additionally, internal controls over financial reporting may become inadequate in the future due to changes in the business environment.

Under the supervision and with the participation of the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, WMECO conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting based on criteria established in *Internal Control* Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this evaluation under the framework in COSO, management concluded that internal controls over financial reporting were effective as of December 31, 2015.

February 26, 2016

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholder of Western Massachusetts Electric Company:

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Western Massachusetts Electric Company (the "Company") as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 and the related statements of income, comprehensive income, common stockholder's equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15 of Part IV. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Western Massachusetts Electric Company as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Hartford, Connecticut

February 26, 2016

WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY BALANCE SHEETS

	As of Dec	cember 3	Ι,
(Thousands of Dollars)	2015		2014
ASSETS			
Current Assets:			
Cash	\$ 834	\$	-
Receivables, Net	50,912		51,066
Accounts Receivable from Affiliated Companies	18,633		7,851
Unbilled Revenues	15,065		15,146
Taxes Receivable	33,407		18,126
Regulatory Assets	56,166		51,923
Marketable Securities	-		28,658
Prepayments and Other Current Assets	7,882		7,607
Total Current Assets	182,899		180,377
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net	1,575,306		1,461,321
Deferred Debits and Other Assets:			
Regulatory Assets	135,010		146,307
Marketable Securities	-		29,452
Other Long-Term Assets	24,875		18,731
Total Deferred Debits and Other Assets	159,885		194,490
Total Assets	\$ 1,918,090	\$	1,836,188
LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION			
Current Liabilities:			
Notes Payable to Eversource Parent	\$ 143,400	\$	21,400
Long-Term Debt - Current Portion	-		50,000
Accounts Payable	58,364		53,732
Accounts Payable to Affiliated Companies	19,896		14,328
Regulatory Liabilities	13,122		22,486
Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	-		18,089
Other Current Liabilities	29,927		24,080
Total Current Liabilities	264,709		204,115
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:			
Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	470,539		416,822
Regulatory Liabilities	11,597		10,835
Accrued Pension, SERP and PBOP	19,515		17,705
Other Long-Term Liabilities	36,819		33,747
Total Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities	538,470		479,109

Capitalization:			
Long-Term Debt		517,329	575,184
Common Stockholder's Equity:			
Common Stock		10,866	10,866
Capital Surplus, Paid In		391,398	391,256
Retained Earnings		198,140	178,834
Accumulated Other Comprehensive	e Loss	(2,822)	(3,176)
Common Stockholder's Equity		597,582	577,780
Total Capitalization		1,114,911	1,152,964
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 11)			
Total Liabilities and Capitalization	\$	1,918,090	\$ 1,836,188

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	For the Years Ended December 3					·		
(Thousands of Dollars)		2015		2014		2013		
Operating Revenues	\$	518,128	\$	493,423	\$	472,724		
Operating Expenses:								
Purchased Power and Transmission		177,172		172,876		147,059		
Operations and Maintenance		86,360		89,406		96,194		
Depreciation		43,362		41,886		37,568		
Amortization of Regulatory Assets/(Liabilities), Net		14,545		(6,228)		(3,206)		
Amortization of Rate Reduction Bonds		-		-		7,780		
Energy Efficiency Programs		42,867		42,937		39,524		
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes		38,302		34,907		28,458		
Total Operating Expenses		402,608		375,784		353,377		
Operating Income		115,520		117,639		119,347		
Interest Expense		24,792		24,931		24,851		
Other Income, Net		2,748		2,379		3,310		
Income Before Income Tax Expense		93,476		95,087		97,806		
Income Tax Expense		36,970		37,268		37,368		
Net Income	\$	56,506	\$	57,819	\$	60,438		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Net Income	\$ 56,506	\$ 57,819	\$ 60,438
Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Tax:			
Qualified Cash Flow Hedging Instruments	379	338	338
Changes in Unrealized (Losses)/Gains on	(25)	2	(0)
Marketable Securities	(25)	5	(9)
Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Tax	354	341	329
Comprehensive Income	\$ 56,860	\$ 58,160	\$ 60,767

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY STATEMENTS OF COMMON STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

	Comm	on Stock	Capital Surplus,	Retained		ccumulated Other nprehensive	Total Common ockholder's
(Thousands of Dollars, Except Stock Information)	Stock	Amount	Paid In	Earnings	Inc	ome/(Loss)	Equity
Balance as of January 1, 2013	434,653	\$ 10,866	\$ 390,412	\$ 160,577	\$	(3,846)	\$ 558,009
Net Income				60,438			60,438
Dividends on Common Stock				(40,001)			(40,001)
Allocation of Benefits - ESOP Other			331				331
Comprehensive Income						329	329
Balance as of December 31, 2013	434,653	10,866	390,743	181,014		(3,517)	579,106
Net Income				57,819			57,819
Dividends on				(59,999)			(59,999)
Common Stock Allocation of Benefits - ESOP Other			513				513
Comprehensive Income						341	341
Balance as of December 31, 2014	434,653	10,866	391,256	178,834		(3,176)	577,780
Net Income				56,506			56,506
Dividends on Common Stock				(37,200)			(37,200)
Allocation of Benefits - ESOP Other			142				142
Comprehensive Income						354	354
Balance as of December 31, 2015	434,653	\$ 10,866	\$ 391,398	\$ 198,140	\$	(2,822)	\$ 597,582

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Thousands of Dollars)	For the 2015	e Years	Ended Decem 2014	Ended December 31, 2014	
Operating Activities:					
Net Income	\$ 56,506	\$	57,819	\$	60,438
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net					
Cash Flows					
Provided by Operating Activities:					
Depreciation	43,362		41,886		37,568
Deferred Income Taxes	39,428		34,108		87,028
Regulatory (Under)/Over	(17,501)		1,925		8,458
Recoveries, Net	(17,501)		1,925		0,150
Amortization of Regulatory	14,545		(6,228)		(3,206)
Assets/(Liabilities), Net	1 1,0 10		(0,220)		(0,200)
Amortization of Rate Reduction	-		-		7,780
Bonds					.,
(Payments)/Refunds Related to	(56,784)		18,883		-
Spent Nuclear Fuel, Net			(2,005)		2 201
Other Changes in Connect Access and Lightilities	(6,421)		(2,005)		3,381
Changes in Current Assets and Liabilities: Receivables and Unbilled					
Revenues, Net	(17,822)		39,872		(53,292)
Taxes Receivable/Accrued, Net	(15,281)		(22,454)		19,840
Accounts Payable	(13,281) (2,602)		1,269		7,456
Other Current Assets and					7,450
Liabilities, Net	5,594		(11,796)		3,356
Net Cash Flows Provided by Operating Activities	43,024		153,279		178,807
Investing Activities:					
Investments in Property, Plant and Equipment	(134,551)		(116,205)		(128,786)
Proceeds from Sales of Marketable Securities	186,444		73,198		70,778
Purchases of Marketable Securities	(128,861)		(73,888)		(71,390)
Other Investing Activities	-		3,200		7,401
Net Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities	(76,968)		(113,695)		(121,997)
Financing Activities:					
Cash Dividends on Common Stock	(37,200)		(59,999)		(40,001)
Issuance of Long-Term Debt	-		-		80,000
Retirements of Long-Term Debt	(50,000)		-		(55,000)
Increase/(Decrease) in Notes Payable to	122,000		21,400		(31,900)
Eversource Parent	,000		,		
Retirements of Rate Reduction Bonds	-		-		(9,352)
Other Financing Activities	(22)		(985)		(558)
	34,778		(39,584)		(56,811)

Net Cash Flows Provided by/(Used in) Financing			
Activities			
Net Increase/(Decrease) in Cash	834	-	(1)
Cash - Beginning of Year	-	-	1
Cash - End of Year	\$ 834	\$ -	\$ -

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

EVERSOURCE ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY NSTAR ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE AND SUBSIDIARY WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY

COMBINED NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Refer to the Glossary of Terms included in this combined Annual Report on Form 10-K for abbreviations and acronyms used throughout the combined notes to the financial statements.

1.

SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A.

About Eversource, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO

Eversource Energy: Eversource Energy is a public utility holding company primarily engaged, through its wholly owned regulated utility subsidiaries, in the energy delivery business. Eversource Energy's wholly owned regulated utility subsidiaries consist of CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH, WMECO, Yankee Gas and NSTAR Gas. Eversource provides energy delivery service to approximately 3.6 million electric and natural gas customers through these six regulated utilities in Connecticut, Massachusetts and New Hampshire.

On April 30, 2015, the Company's legal name was changed from Northeast Utilities to Eversource Energy. CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO are each doing business as Eversource Energy.

Eversource, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO are reporting companies under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Eversource Energy is a public utility holding company under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 2005. Arrangements among the regulated electric companies and other Eversource companies, outside agencies and other utilities covering interconnections, interchange of electric power and sales of utility property are subject to regulation by the FERC. The Regulated companies are subject to regulation of rates, accounting and other matters by

the FERC and/or applicable state regulatory commissions (the PURA for CL&P and Yankee Gas, the DPU for NSTAR Electric, WMECO and NSTAR Gas, and the NHPUC for PSNH).

Regulated Companies: CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO furnish franchised retail electric service in Connecticut, Massachusetts and New Hampshire. Yankee Gas and NSTAR Gas are engaged in the distribution and sale of natural gas to customers within Connecticut and Massachusetts, respectively. CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO's results include the operations of their respective distribution and transmission businesses. PSNH and WMECO's distribution results include the operations of their respective generation businesses. Eversource also has a regulated subsidiary, NPT, which was formed to construct, own and operate the Northern Pass line, a HVDC transmission line from Québec to New Hampshire under development that will interconnect with a new HVDC transmission line being developed by a transmission subsidiary of HQ.

Other: Eversource Service, Eversource's service company, Rocky River Realty Company, a wholly-owned real estate subsidiary of Eversource, Renewable Properties, Inc., an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Eversource, and Properties, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of PSNH, provide support services to Eversource, including its Regulated companies. Eversource Gas Transmission LLC, an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Eversource, holds an equity interest in the Access Northeast project.

В.

Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements of Eversource, NSTAR Electric and PSNH include the accounts of each of their respective subsidiaries. Intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements of Eversource, NSTAR Electric and PSNH and the financial statements of CL&P and WMECO are herein collectively referred to as the "financial statements."

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Eversource consolidates CYAPC and YAEC because CL&P's, NSTAR Electric's, PSNH's and WMECO's combined ownership interest in each of these entities is greater than 50 percent. Intercompany transactions between CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO and the CYAPC and YAEC companies have been eliminated in consolidation of the Eversource financial statements.

Eversource's utility subsidiaries' distribution (including generation) and transmission businesses are subject to rate-regulation that is based on cost recovery and meets the criteria for application of accounting guidance for entities

with rate-regulated operations, which considers the effect of regulation on the differences in the timing of the recognition of certain revenues and expenses from those of other businesses and industries. See Note 2, "Regulatory Accounting," for further information.

Certain reclassifications of prior year data were made in the accompanying financial statements to conform to the current year presentation and as a result of the adoption of new accounting guidance. See Note 1C, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Accounting Standards," for further information.

In accordance with accounting guidance on noncontrolling interests in consolidated financial statements, the Preferred Stock of CL&P and the Preferred Stock of NSTAR Electric, which are not owned by Eversource or its consolidated subsidiaries and are not subject to mandatory redemption, have been presented as noncontrolling interests in the financial statements of Eversource. The Preferred Stock of CL&P and the

Preferred Stock of NSTAR Electric are considered to be temporary equity and have been classified between liabilities and permanent shareholders' equity on the balance sheets of Eversource, CL&P and NSTAR Electric due to a provision in the preferred stock agreements of both CL&P and NSTAR Electric that grant preferred stockholders the right to elect a majority of the CL&P and NSTAR Electric Boards of Directors, respectively, should certain conditions exist, such as if preferred dividends are in arrears for a specified amount of time. The Net Income reported in the statements of income and cash flows represents net income prior to apportionment to noncontrolling interests, which is represented by dividends on preferred stock of CL&P and NSTAR Electric.

As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, Eversource's carrying amount of goodwill was approximately \$3.5 billion. Eversource performs an assessment for possible impairment of its goodwill at least annually. Eversource completed its annual goodwill impairment test for each of its reporting units as of October 1, 2015 and determined that no impairment exists. See Note 21, "Goodwill," for further information.

C.

Accounting Standards

Accounting Standards Issued but not Yet Effective: In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, which amends existing revenue recognition guidance and is required to be applied retrospectively (either to each reporting period presented or cumulatively at the date of initial application). In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* Deferral of the Effective Date, which defers the effective date of ASU 2014-09 to the first quarter of 2018, with 2017 application permitted. The Company is reviewing the requirements of ASU 2014-09 and will implement the standard in the first quarter of 2018. The ASU is not expected to have a material impact on the financial statements of Eversource, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH or WMECO.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-01, *Financial Instruments-Overall: Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Liabilities*, which is required to be implemented in the first quarter of 2018. The Company is reviewing the requirements of the ASU. The ASU will remove the available-for-sale designation for equity securities, whereby changes in fair value are recorded in other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity, and will require changes in fair value of all equity securities to be recorded in earnings beginning on January 1, 2018, with the unrealized gain or loss on available-for-sale equity securities as of that date reclassified to retained earnings as a cumulative effect of adoption. The fair value of available-for-sale equity securities subject to this guidance as of December 31, 2015 was approximately \$52 million. The remaining available-for-sale equity securities included in marketable securities on the balance sheet are held in nuclear decommissioning trusts and are subject to regulatory accounting treatment and will not be impacted by this guidance. Implementation of the ASU for other financial instruments is not expected to have a material impact on the financial statements of Eversource, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH or WMECO.

On February 25, 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, *Leases*, which changes existing lease accounting guidance and is required to be applied in the first quarter of 2019, with earlier application permitted. The ASU is required to be implemented for leases beginning on the date of initial application. For prior periods presented, leases are required to be recognized and measured using a modified retrospective approach. The Company is reviewing the requirements of

ASU 2016-02.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards: In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, *Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs*, that changed the balance sheet presentation of debt issuance costs. Under the ASU, issuance costs related to debt are presented on the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of the debt liability rather than as a deferred cost. The new accounting guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning in the first quarter of 2016 with early adoption permitted and is required to be applied retrospectively. On December 31, 2015, the Company adopted the new accounting guidance and applied it retrospectively to all prior periods presented in the financial statements. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material effect on the balance sheets and had no impact on the results of operations or cash flows of Eversource, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH or WMECO. See Note 8, "Long-Term Debt," for the prior year amounts that have been retrospectively adjusted.

On November 20, 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-17, *Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes*, that required all deferred tax liabilities and assets, along with any related valuation allowance, be classified as noncurrent on the balance sheet. This new accounting guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning in the first quarter of 2017 with early adoption permitted and may be applied either prospectively or retrospectively. On December 31, 2015, the Company adopted the new accounting guidance and applied it prospectively. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material effect on the balance sheets and had no impact on the results of operations or cash flows of Eversource, CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH or WMECO. The current portion of Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes as of December 31, 2014, which was included in Total Current Liabilities on the balance sheets, was \$160.3 million for Eversource, \$34.1 million for CL&P, \$55.1 million for NSTAR Electric, \$36.2 million for PSNH, and \$18.1 million for WMECO.

D.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand and short-term cash investments that are highly liquid in nature and have original maturities of three months or less. At the end of each reporting period, any overdraft amounts are reclassified from Cash and Cash Equivalents to Accounts Payable on the balance sheets.

E.

Provision for Uncollectible Accounts

Eversource, including CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO, presents its receivables at estimated net realizable value by maintaining a provision for uncollectible accounts. This provision is determined based upon a variety of judgments and factors, including the application of an estimated uncollectible percentage to each receivable aging category. The estimate is based upon historical collection and write-off experience and management's assessment of collectability from customers. Management continuously assesses the collectability of receivables and adjusts collectability estimates based on actual experience. Receivable balances are written off against the provision for uncollectible accounts when the customer accounts are terminated and these balances are deemed to be uncollectible.

The PURA allows CL&P and Yankee Gas to accelerate the recovery of accounts receivable balances attributable to qualified customers under financial or medical duress (uncollectible hardship accounts receivable) outstanding for greater than 180 days and 90 days, respectively. The DPU allows WMECO and NSTAR Gas to also recover in rates amounts associated with certain uncollectible hardship accounts receivable. Certain of NSTAR Electric's uncollectible hardship accounts receivable are expected to be recovered in future rates, similar to WMECO and NSTAR Gas. Uncollectible customer account balances, which are expected to be recovered in rates, are included in Regulatory Assets or Other Long-Term Assets on the balance sheets.

The total provision for uncollectible accounts and for uncollectible hardship accounts, which is included in the total provision, are included in Receivables, Net on the balance sheets, and were as follows:

	То	otal Provision Acco As of Dec	ounts		Uncollectible Hardship As of December 31,				
(Millions of Dollars)		2015		2014	2015		2014		
Eversource	\$	190.7	\$	175.3	\$ 118.5	\$	91.5		
CL&P		79.5		84.3	68.1		74.0		
NSTAR Electric		52.6		40.7	25.3		-		
PSNH		8.7		7.7	-		-		
WMECO		14.0		9.9	7.4		6.2		

F.

Fuel, Materials and Supplies and Allowance Inventory

Fuel, Materials and Supplies include natural gas, coal, biomass and oil inventories as well as materials purchased primarily for construction or operation and maintenance purposes. Natural gas, coal, biomass and oil inventories are valued at their respective weighted average cost. Materials and supplies are valued at the lower of average cost or market.

Fuel, Materials and Supplies also include Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs), which are purchased from suppliers of renewable sources of generation. RECs are used to meet state mandated Renewable Portfolio Standards requirements.

PSNH is subject to federal and state laws and regulations that regulate emissions of air pollutants, including SO₂, CO₂, and NO_x related to its regulated generation units, and uses SO₂, CO₂, and NO_x emissions allowances. At the end of each compliance period, PSNH is required to relinquish SO₂, CO₂, and NO_x emissions allowances corresponding to the actual respective emissions emitted by its generating units over the compliance period. SO₂ and NO_x emissions allowances are obtained through an annual allocation from the federal and state regulators that are granted at no cost and through purchases from third parties. CO₂ emissions allowances are obtained through an annual allocation from the state regulator that are granted at no cost and are acquired through auctions and through purchases from third parties. SO₂, CO₂, and NO_x emissions allowances are charged to expense based on their weighted average cost as

they are utilized against emissions volumes at PSNH's generating units. SO_2 , CO_2 , and NO_x emissions allowances are recorded within Fuel, Materials and Supplies on the balance sheet and are classified as short-term or long-term depending on the period in which they are expected to be utilized against actual emissions. Current SO_2 and CO_2 emissions allowances were classified as Fuel, Materials and Supplies on the balance sheets and long-term SO_2 and CO_2 emissions allowances were classified as Other Long-Term Assets on the balance sheets.

The carrying amount of fuel, materials and supplies, RECs, and emission allowances were as follows:

		As of December 31,										
(Millions of Dollars)	Eve	ersource	NS	015 STAR ectric]	PSNH	Eve	ersource	N	014 STAR lectric	F	PSNH
Current:												
Fuel	\$	152.5	\$	-	\$	103.4	\$	164.3	\$	-	\$	95.1
Materials and Supplies		131.2		32.2		44.6		159.5		49.1		52.2
RECs		50.9		43.3		7.0		25.8		25.1		0.7
Emission Allowances		1.9		-		1.9		0.1		-		0.1
Long-Term:												• • •
Emission Allowances		17.5		-		17.5		20.1		-		20.1

G.

Deposits

As of December 31, 2015, Eversource, CL&P, NSTAR Electric and PSNH had \$17.1 million, \$0.7 million, \$8.5 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, of cash collateral posted not subject to master netting agreements, with ISO-NE related to energy purchase transactions, which was included in Prepayments and Other Current Assets on the balance sheets. As of December 31, 2014, these amounts were \$9.9 million, \$1.2 million and \$2.5 million for Eversource, CL&P and PSNH, respectively.

H.

Fair Value Measurements

Fair value measurement guidance is applied to derivative contracts that are not elected or designated as "normal purchases or normal sales" (normal) and to the marketable securities held in trusts. Fair value measurement guidance is also applied to valuations of the investments used to calculate the funded status of pension and PBOP plans, the nonrecurring fair value measurements of nonfinancial assets such as goodwill and AROs, and the estimated fair value of preferred stock and long-term debt.

Fair Value Hierarchy: In measuring fair value, Eversource uses observable market data when available in order to minimize the use of unobservable inputs. Inputs used in fair value measurements are categorized into three fair value hierarchy levels for disclosure purposes. The entire fair value measurement is categorized based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Eversource evaluates the

classification of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a quarterly basis, and Eversource's policy is to recognize transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy as of the end of the reporting period. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1 - Inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reporting date. Active markets are those in which transactions for the asset or liability occur in sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis.

Level 2 - Inputs are quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable.

Level 3 - Quoted market prices are not available. Fair value is derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or assumptions are unobservable. Where possible, valuation techniques incorporate observable market inputs that can be validated to external sources such as industry exchanges, including prices of energy and energy-related products.

Determination of Fair Value: The valuation techniques and inputs used in Eversource's fair value measurements are described in Note 4, "Derivative Instruments," Note 5, "Marketable Securities," Note 6, "Asset Retirement Obligations," Note 9A, "Employee Benefits Pension Benefits and Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions," and Note 13, "Fair Value of Financial Instruments" to the financial statements.

I.

Derivative Accounting

Many of the Regulated companies' contracts for the purchase and sale of energy or energy-related products are derivatives. The accounting treatment for energy contracts entered into varies and depends on the intended use of the particular contract and on whether or not the contract is a derivative. For the Regulated companies, regulatory assets or regulatory liabilities are recorded to offset the fair values of derivative contracts, as contract settlements are recovered from, or refunded to, customers in future rates.

The application of derivative accounting is complex and requires management judgment in the following respects: identification of derivatives and embedded derivatives, election and designation of a contract as normal, and determination of the fair value of derivative contracts. All of these judgments can have a significant impact on the financial statements.

The judgment applied in the election of a contract as normal (and resulting accrual accounting) includes the conclusion that it is probable at the inception of the contract and throughout its term that it will result in physical delivery of the underlying product and that the quantities will be used or sold by the business in the normal course of business. If facts and circumstances change and management can no longer support this conclusion, then a contract cannot be considered normal and accrual accounting is terminated, and fair value accounting is applied prospectively.

The fair value of derivative contracts is based upon the contract terms and conditions and the underlying market price or fair value per unit. When quantities are not specified in the contract, the Company determines whether the contract has a determinable quantity by using amounts referenced in default provisions and other relevant sections of the contract. The fair value of derivative assets and liabilities with the same counterparty are offset and recorded as a net derivative asset or liability on the balance sheets.

All changes in the fair value of derivative contracts are recorded as regulatory assets or liabilities and do not impact net income.

For further information regarding derivative contracts, see Note 4, "Derivative Instruments," to the financial statements.

J.

Equity Method Investments

Equity investments are included in Other Long-Term Assets on the balance sheets and net earnings related to these equity investments are included in Other Income, Net on the statements of income.

Regional Decommissioned Nuclear Companies: CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO own common stock in three regional nuclear generation companies (CYAPC, YAEC and MYAPC, collectively referred to as the Yankee Companies), each of which owned a single nuclear generating facility that has been decommissioned. For CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO, the respective investments in CYAPC, YAEC and MYAPC are accounted for under the equity method. Eversource consolidates CYAPC and YAEC because CL&P's, NSTAR Electric's, PSNH's and WMECO's combined ownership interest in each of these entities is greater than 50 percent. Intercompany transactions between CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO and the CYAPC and YAEC companies have been eliminated in consolidation of the Eversource financial statements.

CL&P's, NSTAR Electric's, PSNH's and WMECO's ownership interests in the Yankee Companies and the total carrying values, which were included in Other Long-Term Assets on their respective balance sheets, were as follows:

		ership Interests (per December 31, 2015 ar	Carrying Amount (in millions) As of December 31,					
	CYAPC	YAEC	MYAPC	2	015	2	014	
CL&P	34.5 %	24.5 %	12.0 %	\$	1.2	\$	1.2	
NSTAR Electric	14.0	14.0	4.0		0.5		0.5	
PSNH	5.0	7.0	5.0		0.3		0.3	
WMECO	9.5	7.0	3.0		0.3		0.3	

For further information on the Yankee Companies, see Note 11C, "Commitments and Contingencies - Contractual Obligations - Yankee Companies," to the financial statements.

Infrastructure and Other Investments: As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, Eversource had an equity ownership interest in an energy investment fund of \$30.3 million and \$17.8 million, respectively. Eversource had a 40 percent equity ownership interest in the Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC (legal entity that owns Access Northeast assets) of \$10.7 million as of December 31, 2015.

K.

Revenues

Regulated Companies' Retail Revenues: The Regulated companies' retail revenues are based on rates approved by their respective state regulatory commissions. In general, rates can only be changed through formal proceedings with the state regulatory commissions. The Regulated companies' rates are designed to recover the costs to provide service to their customers, and include a return on investment. The Regulated companies also utilize regulatory commission-approved tracking mechanisms to recover certain costs on a fully-reconciling basis. These tracking mechanisms require rates to be changed periodically to ensure recovery of actual costs incurred.

CL&P (effective December 1, 2014), WMECO, and NSTAR Gas (effective January 1, 2016), each have a regulatory commission approved revenue decoupling mechanism. Distribution revenues are decoupled from customer sales volumes, which breaks the relationship between sales volumes and revenues recognized. CL&P and WMECO reconcile their annual base distribution rate recovery to pre-established levels of baseline distribution delivery service revenues. Any difference between the allowed level of distribution revenue and the actual amount incurred during a 12-month period is adjusted through rates in the following period.

A significant portion of the Regulated companies' retail revenues relate to the recovery of costs incurred for the sale of electricity and natural gas purchased on behalf of customers. These energy supply costs are recovered from customers in rates through cost tracking mechanisms. Energy purchases are recorded in Purchased Power, Fuel and Transmission, and the sales of energy associated with these purchases are recorded in Operating Revenues.

Regulated Companies' Unbilled Revenues: Because customers are billed throughout the month based on pre-determined cycles rather than on a calendar month basis, an estimate of electricity or natural gas delivered to customers for which the customers have not yet been billed is calculated as of the balance sheet date. Unbilled revenues are included in Operating Revenues on the statements of income and in Current Assets on the balance sheets. Actual amounts billed to customers when meter readings become available may vary from the estimated amount.

The Regulated companies estimate unbilled sales monthly using the daily load cycle method. The daily load cycle method allocates billed sales to the current calendar month based on the daily load for each billing cycle. The billed sales are subtracted from total month load, net of delivery losses, to estimate unbilled sales. Unbilled revenues are estimated by first allocating unbilled sales to the respective customer classes, then applying an estimated rate by customer class to those sales. The estimate of unbilled revenues can significantly impact the amount of revenues recorded at NSTAR Electric and PSNH because they do not have a revenue decoupling mechanism. CL&P and WMECO record a regulatory deferral to reflect the actual allowed amount of revenue for decoupling.

Regulated Companies' Transmission Revenues - Wholesale Rates: Wholesale transmission revenues are recovered through FERC approved formula rates. Wholesale transmission revenues for CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH, and WMECO are collected through a combination of regional and local rates, both of which are under the ISO New England Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (ISO-NE Tariff). The ISO-NE Tariff includes Regional Network Service (RNS), Schedule 21 ES rate schedules, which recover the costs of transmission and other transmission-related services for CL&P, PSNH and WMECO, and Schedule 21 - NSTAR rate schedules, which recover costs of transmission and other transmission-related services for NSTAR Electric. The RNS rate, administered by ISO-NE and billed to all New England transmission load, including CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO's distribution businesses, is reset on June 1st of each year and recovers the revenue requirements associated with Pool Transmission Facilities (PTF) that benefit the entire New England region. The Schedule 21 ES rate and Schedule 21 - NSTAR rate are administered by Eversource and recover any PTF costs not recovered under RNS rates, as well as the cost of transmission facilities associated with the respective utility's local system. The Schedule 21 - ES rate is reset on January 1st and June 1st of each year, while the Schedule 21 - NSTAR rate is reset on June 1st of each year. The Schedule 21 ES rate and Schedule 21 - NSTAR rate calculations recover total transmission revenue requirements net of revenues received from other sources (i.e., RNS, rentals, etc.), thereby ensuring that Eversource recovers all of CL&P's, NSTAR Electric s, PSNH's and WMECO's regional and local transmission revenue requirements in accordance with the ISO-NE Tariff. The RNS, Schedule 21 ES rate and Schedule 21 - NSTAR rate provide for the annual reconciliation and recovery or refund of estimated costs to actual costs. The financial impacts of differences between actual and estimated costs are deferred for future recovery from, or refunded to, transmission customers. See Note 11E, "Commitments and Contingencies FERC ROE Complaints," for complaints filed at the FERC relating to Eversource's ROE.

Regulated Companies' Transmission Revenues - Retail Rates: A significant portion of the Eversource transmission segment revenue comes from ISO-NE charges to the distribution businesses of CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO, each of which recovers these costs through rates charged to their retail customers. CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO each have a retail transmission cost tracking mechanism as part of their rates, which allows the electric distribution companies to charge their retail customers for transmission costs on a timely basis.

L.

Operating Expenses

Costs related to fuel and natural gas included in Purchased Power, Fuel and Transmission on the statements of income were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,								
(Millions of Dollars)	2015		2014		2013				
Eversource - Natural Gas and Fuel	\$ 516.7	\$	599.4	\$	466.5				
PSNH - Fuel	85.4		113.4		104.8				

М.

Allowance for Funds Used During Construction

AFUDC represents the cost of borrowed and equity funds used to finance construction and is included in the cost of the Regulated companies' utility plant on the balance sheet. The portion of AFUDC attributable to borrowed funds is recorded as a reduction of Other Interest Expense, and the AFUDC related to equity funds is recorded as Other Income, Net on the statements of income. AFUDC costs are recovered from customers over the service life of the related plant in the form of increased revenue collected as a result of higher depreciation expense.

The Regulated companies' average AFUDC rate is based on a FERC-prescribed formula using the cost of a company's short-term financings and capitalization (preferred stock, long-term debt and common equity), as appropriate. The average rate is applied to average eligible CWIP amounts to calculate AFUDC.

AFUDC costs and the weighted-average AFUDC rates were as follows:

Eversource			For the Years Ended December 31,																				
(Millions of Dollars, except percentages)				2015						2014							2013						
Borrowed					\$				7.	2		\$				5	.8		\$				4.1
Equity Fur									18.							13							7.1
Total AFUDC				\$				26.			\$				19			\$				11.2	
Average A	Average AFUDC Rate							3.9	%						3.4	%						2.7%	
								Foi	r the `	Yea	ars En	de	d Dece	em	ber 31,								
			20	15							20					,			20	13			
(Millions																							
of																							
Dollars,		N.	STAR							N	STAR							NS	STAR				
except percentage	F)&P	F	ectric	р	SNH	w	MECO		L&P	FI	lectric	р	SNH	w	MECO		Ч&Р	FL	ectric	р	SNH	w	MECO
Borrowed	-																						
Funds	2.6	\$	2.0	\$	1.0	\$	1.0	\$	1.9	\$	2.0	\$	0.6	\$	0.9	\$	2.2	\$	0.5	\$	0.5	\$	0.5
Equity	5.2		4.3		1.2		1.7		2.9		3.8		0.6		1.7		2.9				0.2		1.0
Funds	3.2		4.3		1.2		1./		2.9		3.8		0.0		1./		2.9		-		0.2		1.0
Total \$	7.8	\$	6.3	\$	2.2	\$	2.7	\$	4.8	\$	5.8	\$	1.2	\$	2.6	\$	5.1	\$	0.5	\$	0.7	\$	1.5
AFUDC $^{\circ}$		+		Ŧ		т		-		+		+		Ŧ		-		+	0.00	+		т	
Average AFUDC	5.5%		3.2%		1.8%		4.4%		3.4%		2.5%		1.8%		5.6%		3.7%		0.5%		1.1%		6.1%
Rate	2.270		2.270		1.070				2.170		2.0 /0		1.070		2.070		2.170		0.070		1.170		0.170

N.

Other Income, Net

Items included within Other Income, Net on the statements of income primarily consist of investment income/(loss), interest income, AFUDC related to equity funds, and equity in earnings of equity method investees. Investment income/(loss) primarily relates to debt and equity securities held in trust. For further information, see Note 5, "Marketable Securities," to the financial statements. For further information on AFUDC related to equity funds, see Note 1M, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Allowance for Funds Used During Construction," to the financial statements.

0.

Other Taxes

(Millions of

P.

Gross receipts taxes levied by the state of Connecticut are collected by CL&P and Yankee Gas from their respective customers. These gross receipts taxes are shown separately with collections in Operating Revenues and with payments in Taxes Other Than Income Taxes on the statements of income as follows:

For the Years Ended December 31,

Dollars)	2015	2014	2013	
Eversource	\$ 147.2	\$ 148.2	\$ 144.	1
CL&P	128.5	127.9	128.2	2

As agents for state and local governments, Eversource's companies that serve customers in Connecticut and Massachusetts collect certain sales taxes that are recorded on a net basis with no impact on the statements of income.

Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Eversource	As of and For the Years Ended December 31,								
(Millions of Dollars)		2015		2014	,	2013			
Cash Paid During the Year for:									
Interest, Net of Amounts Capitalized	\$	365.9	\$	349.6	\$	343.3			
Income Taxes		10.3		334.2		50.0			
Non-Cash Investing Activities:									
Plant Additions Included in Accounts Payable (As of)		216.6		181.9		193.1			

	As of and For the Years Ended December 31,									
	2015	2014	2013							
	NSTAR	NSTAR	NSTAR							
(Millions of										
Dollars)	CL&P Electric PSNHWMEC	OCL&P Electric PSNHWM	ECOCL&P Electric PSNH WMEC	CO						

	Edgar Filing: EVERSOURCE ENERGY - Form 10-K											
Cash Paid/(Received) During the Year for:												
Interest, Net of Amounts												
Capitalized \$	5 144.4	\$ 75.7	\$ 42.3	\$ 26.7	\$ 144.1	\$ 75.3	\$41.1	\$ 25.9	\$ 131.6	\$ 75.8	\$ 43.3	\$ 25.8
Income Taxes	55.2	(19.8)	14.4	14.7	135.4	217.1	2.3	25.1	55.0	163.4	(30.1)	(69.0)
Non-Cash Investing Activities:												
Plant Additions Included in Accounts Payable (As												
of)	76.0	23.5	46.5	27.0	63.5	34.6	39.3	14.2	51.4	57.0	34.9	19.5

The 2015 cash paid for interest excludes interest payments made by CL&P and WMECO in connection with the full satisfaction of their respective obligations to the DOE for the disposal of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste. For further information, see Note 8, "Long-Term Debt," to the financial statements.

In 2014, as a result of damages awarded to the Yankee Companies for spent nuclear fuel lawsuits against the DOE described in Note 11C, "Commitments and Contingencies - Contractual Obligations - Yankee Companies," Eversource received total proceeds of \$132.1 million, which were net of \$80.6 million in proceeds CYAPC and YAEC returned to non-affiliated member companies.

Q.

Related Parties

Eversource Service, Eversource's service company, provides centralized accounting, administrative, engineering, financial, information technology, legal, operational, planning, purchasing, and other services to Eversource's companies. The Rocky River Realty Company, Renewable Properties, Inc. and Properties, Inc., three other Eversource subsidiaries, construct, acquire or lease some of the property and facilities used by Eversource's companies.

As of both December 31, 2015 and 2014, CL&P, PSNH and WMECO had long-term receivables from Eversource Service in the amounts of \$25 million, \$3.8 million and \$5.5 million, respectively, which were included in Other Long-Term Assets on the balance sheets. These amounts related to the funding of investments held in trust by Eversource Service in connection with certain postretirement benefits for CL&P, PSNH and WMECO employees and have been eliminated in consolidation on the Eversource financial statements.

Included in the CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO balance sheets as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 were Accounts Receivable from Affiliated Companies and Accounts Payable to Affiliated Companies relating to transactions between CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO and other subsidiaries that are wholly-owned by Eversource. These amounts have been eliminated in consolidation on the Eversource financial statements.

R.

Severance Benefits

For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, Eversource recorded severance benefit expense of \$4.7 million, \$15 million and \$9.7 million, respectively, in connection with organizational and cost saving initiatives, and, in 2014, the partial outsourcing of information technology functions. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the severance accrual totaled \$9.3 million and \$10.4 million, respectively, and was included in Other Current Liabilities on the balance sheets.

2.

REGULATORY ACCOUNTING

Eversource's Regulated companies are subject to rate-regulation that is based on cost recovery and meets the criteria for application of accounting guidance for rate-regulated operations, which considers the effect of regulation on the timing of the recognition of certain revenues and expenses. The Regulated companies' financial statements reflect the effects of the rate-making process. The rates charged to the customers of Eversource's Regulated companies are designed to collect each company's costs to provide service, including a return on investment.

Management believes it is probable that each of the Regulated companies will recover their respective investments in long-lived assets, including regulatory assets. If management were to determine that it could no longer apply the accounting guidance applicable to rate-regulated enterprises to any of the Regulated companies' operations, or if management could not conclude it is probable that costs would be recovered from customers in future rates, the costs would be charged to net income in the period in which the determination is made.

Regulatory Assets: The components of regulatory assets were as follows:

Eversource	As of December 31,								
(Millions of Dollars)		2015		2014					
Benefit Costs	\$	1,828.2	2 \$	2,016.0					
Derivative Liabilities		388.0)	425.5					
Income Taxes, Net		650.9)	635.3					
Storm Restoration Costs		436.9)	502.8					
Goodwill-related		484.9)	505.4					
Regulatory Tracker Mechanisms		526.	5	350.5					
Contractual Obligations - Yankee Companies		134.4	4	123.8					
Other Regulatory Assets		134.0)	167.3					
Total Regulatory Assets		4,583.8	3	4,726.6					
Less: Current Portion		845.8	3	672.5					
Total Long-Term Regulatory Assets	\$	3,738.0) \$	4,054.1					

	As of December 31,											
		201 NSTAR	5	2014 NSTAR								
(Millions of												
Dollars)	CL&P	Electric	PSNH	WMECO	CL&P	Electric	PSNH	WMECO				
Benefit Costs \$	413.6	\$ 479.9	\$ 164.2	\$ 84.9	\$ 445.4	\$ 515.9	\$ 174.3	\$ 85.0				
Derivative Liabilities	380.8	1.3	-	-	410.9	4.5	-	-				
Income Taxes, Net	444.4	85.7	34.5	31.8	437.7	83.7	38.0	35.5				
Storm Restoration Costs	271.4	110.9	31.5	23.1	319.6	103.7	47.7	31.8				
Goodwill-related	-	416.3	-	-	-	433.9	-	-				
Regulatory												
Tracker	45.1	311.0	101.2	40.1	16.1	141.4	103.5	33.0				
Mechanisms												
Other Regulatory Assets	82.0	56.3	31.5	11.3	66.1	94.7	41.3	12.9				
Total Regulatory Assets	1,637.3	1,461.4	362.9	191.2	1,695.8	1,377.8	404.8	198.2				
Less: Current Portion	268.3	348.4	105.0	56.2	220.3	198.7	111.7	51.9				
Total Long-Term Regulatory Assets	1,369.0	\$ 1,113.0	\$ 257.9	\$ 135.0	\$ 1,475.5	\$ 1,179.1	\$ 293.1	\$ 146.3				

<u>Benefit Costs</u>: Eversource's Pension, SERP and PBOP Plans are accounted for in accordance with accounting guidance on defined benefit pension and other PBOP plans. The liability recorded by the Regulated companies to recognize the funded status of their retiree benefit plans is offset by a regulatory asset in lieu of a charge to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss), reflecting ultimate recovery from customers through rates. The regulatory asset is amortized as the actuarial gains and losses and prior service cost are amortized to net periodic benefit cost for the pension and PBOP plans. All amounts are remeasured annually. Regulatory accounting is also applied to the portions of Eversource's service company costs that support the Regulated companies, as these amounts are also recoverable. As these regulatory assets do not represent a cash outlay for the Regulated companies, no carrying charge is recovered from customers.

CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO recover benefit costs related to their distribution and transmission operations from customers in rates as allowed by their applicable regulatory commissions. NSTAR Electric and WMECO each recover their qualified pension and PBOP expenses related to distribution operations through rate reconciling mechanisms that fully track the change in net pension and PBOP expenses each year.

<u>Derivative Liabilities:</u> Regulatory assets are recorded as an offset to derivative liabilities and relate to the fair value of contracts used to purchase energy and energy-related products that will be recovered from customers in future rates. These assets are excluded from rate base and are being recovered as the actual settlements occur over the duration of the contracts. See Note 4, "Derivative Instruments," to the financial statements for further information on these

contracts.

<u>Income Taxes, Net:</u> The tax effect of temporary book-tax differences (differences between the periods in which transactions affect income in the financial statements and the periods in which they affect the determination of taxable income, including those differences relating to uncertain tax positions) is accounted for in accordance with the rate-making treatment of the applicable regulatory commissions and accounting guidance for income taxes. Differences in income taxes between the accounting guidance and the rate-making treatment of the applicable regulatory assets. As these assets are offset by deferred income tax liabilities, no carrying charge is collected. The amortization period of these assets varies depending on the nature and/or remaining life of the underlying assets and liabilities. For further information regarding income taxes, see Note 10, "Income Taxes," to the financial statements.

Storm Restoration Costs: The storm restoration cost deferrals relate to costs incurred for major storm events at CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO that each company expects to recover from customers. A storm must meet certain criteria to qualify as a major storm with the criteria specific to each state jurisdiction and utility company. Once a storm qualifies as a major storm, all qualifying expenses incurred during storm restoration efforts are deferred and recovered from customers. In addition to storm restoration costs, CL&P and PSNH are each allowed to recover pre-staging storm costs. Of the total deferred storm restoration costs, \$197 million is pending regulatory approval (including \$106 million at NSTAR Electric, \$61 million at PSNH, and \$30 million at WMECO). Management believes the storm restoration costs were prudent and meet the criteria for specific cost recovery in Connecticut, Massachusetts and New Hampshire, and that recovery from customers is probable through the applicable regulatory recovery process. Each electric utility has sought, or is seeking, recovery of its deferred storm restoration costs through its applicable regulatory recovery process. Each electric utility company earns a return on its deferred storm restoration cost regulatory asset balance.

<u>Goodwill-related</u>: The goodwill regulatory asset originated from a 1999 merger transaction and the DPU allowed its recovery in NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas rates. This regulatory asset is currently being amortized and recovered from customers in rates without a carrying charge over a 40-year period, and, as of December 31, 2015, there were 24 years of amortization remaining.

<u>Regulatory Tracker Mechanisms:</u> The Regulated companies approved rates are designed to recover their costs incurred to provide service to customers. The Regulated companies recover certain of their costs on a fully-reconciling basis through regulatory commission-approved tracking mechanisms. The differences between the costs incurred (or the rate recovery allowed) and the actual revenues are recorded as regulatory assets (for undercollections) or as regulatory liabilities (for overcollections) to be included in future customer rates each year. Carrying charges are recorded on all material regulatory tracker mechanisms.

CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO each recover, on a fully reconciling basis, the costs associated with the procurement of energy, transmission related costs from FERC-approved transmission tariffs, energy efficiency programs (including LBR at NSTAR Electric), low income assistance programs, certain uncollectible accounts receivable for hardship customers, and restructuring and stranded costs as a result of deregulation. Energy

procurement costs at PSNH include the costs related to its generating stations and at WMECO include the costs related to its solar generation.

CL&P (effective December 1, 2014) and WMECO each have a regulatory commission approved revenue decoupling mechanism. Distribution revenues are decoupled from customer sales volumes, which breaks the relationship between sales volumes and revenues recognized. CL&P and WMECO reconcile their annual base distribution rate recovery to pre-established levels of baseline distribution delivery service revenues. Any difference between the allowed level of distribution revenue and the actual amount received during a 12-month period is adjusted through rates in the following period. CL&P and WMECO's revenue decoupling mechanisms permit recovery of an annual base amount of distribution revenues of \$1.059 billion and \$132.4 million, respectively.

<u>Contractual Obligations - Yankee Companies:</u> CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO are responsible for their proportionate share of the remaining costs of the CYAPC, YAEC and MYAPC nuclear facilities, including nuclear fuel storage. A portion of these costs was recorded as a regulatory asset. Amounts for CL&P are earning a return and are being recovered through the CTA. Amounts for NSTAR Electric and WMECO are being recovered without a return through the transition charge. Amounts for PSNH were fully recovered in 2006. As a result of Eversource's consolidation of CYAPC and YAEC, Eversource's regulatory asset balance also includes the regulatory assets of CYAPC and YAEC, which totaled \$110.9 million and \$97.8 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Intercompany transactions between CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO and the CYAPC and YAEC companies have been eliminated in consolidation of the Eversource financial statements.

<u>Other Regulatory Assets</u>: Other Regulatory Assets primarily include asset retirement obligations, environmental remediation costs, losses associated with the reacquisition or redemption of long-term debt, purchase power contract termination costs and various other items.

Regulatory Costs in Other Long-Term Assets: The Regulated companies had \$75.3 million (including \$3.1 million for CL&P, \$35.4 million for NSTAR Electric, \$4.8 million for PSNH and \$16.7 million for WMECO) and \$60.5 million (including \$1.3 million for CL&P, \$33.2 million for NSTAR Electric, \$0.9 million for PSNH, and \$11 million for WMECO) of additional regulatory costs as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, that were included in Other Long-Term Assets on the balance sheets. These amounts represent incurred costs for which recovery has not yet been specifically approved by the applicable regulatory agency. However, based on regulatory policies or past precedent on similar costs, management believes it is probable that these costs will ultimately be approved and recovered from customers in rates. The NSTAR Electric balance as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 primarily related to the deferral of certain bad debt costs expected to be recovered in future rates.

Equity Return on Regulatory Assets: For rate-making purposes, the Regulated companies recover the carrying costs related to their regulatory assets. For certain regulatory assets, the carrying cost recovered includes an equity return component. This equity return, which is not recorded on the balance sheets, totaled \$1.5 million and \$1.7 million for CL&P as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. These carrying costs will be recovered from customers in future rates.

As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, this equity return, which is not recorded on the balance sheets, totaled \$48.3 million and \$43.3 million, respectively, for PSNH. These amounts include \$25 million of equity return on the Clean

Air Project costs that PSNH has agreed not to bill customers pending NHPUC approval of a generation divestiture settlement agreement. For further information on the divestiture, see Note 11H, "Commitments and Contingencies PSNH Generation Restructuring."

Regulatory Liabilities: The components of regulatory liabilities were as follows:

Eversource	As of December 31,								
(Millions of Dollars)		2015		2014					
Cost of Removal	\$	437.1	\$	439.9					
Regulatory Tracker Mechanisms		99.7		192.3					
AFUDC - Transmission		66.1		67.1					
Other Regulatory Liabilities		18.5		50.8					
Total Regulatory Liabilities		621.4		750.1					
Less: Current Portion		107.8		235.0					
Total Long-Term Regulatory Liabilities	\$	513.6	\$	515.1					

		As of December 31,														
			N	201 STAR	15				2014 NSTAR							
(Millions of																
Dollars)	(CL&P	Ε	lectric	P	SNH	W	MECO	(CL&P	E	lectric	P	SNH	WN	ЛЕСО
Cost of Removal	\$	24.1	\$	257.4	\$	47.2	\$	2.8	\$	19.7	\$	258.3	\$	50.3	\$	1.1
Regulatory Tracker Mechanisms		56.2		3.3		3.4		12.9		122.6		20.7		14.2		22.3
AFUDC - Transmission		51.5		5.7		-		8.9		53.6		4.4		-		9.1
Other Regulatory Liabilities		4.2		1.3		4.2		0.1		10.1		28.9		2.9		0.8
Total Regulatory Liabilities		136.0		267.7		54.8		24.7		206.0		312.3		67.4		33.3
Less: Current Portion		61.2		3.3		6.9		13.1		124.7		49.6		16.0		22.5
Total Long-Term																
Regulatory	\$	74.8	\$	264.4	\$	47.9	\$	11.6	\$	81.3	\$	262.7	\$	51.4	\$	10.8
Liabilities																

<u>Cost of Removal:</u> Eversource's Regulated companies currently recover amounts in rates for future costs of removal of plant assets over the lives of the assets. The estimated cost to remove utility assets from service is recognized as a component of depreciation expense and the cumulative amount collected from customers but not yet expended is recognized as a regulatory liability. Expended costs that exceed amounts collected from customers are recognized as regulatory assets, as they are probable of recovery in future rates.

<u>AFUDC - Transmission</u>: Regulatory liabilities were recorded by CL&P and WMECO for AFUDC accrued on certain reliability-related transmission projects to reflect local rate base recovery as a result of a FERC-approved transmission tariff. A regulatory liability was recorded by NSTAR Electric for AFUDC accrued on certain reliability-related transmission projects through December 31, 2015 to reflect local rate base recovery. These regulatory liabilities for CL&P, NSTAR Electric and WMECO will be amortized over the depreciable life of the related transmission assets.

2015 Regulatory Developments:

<u>FERC ROE Complaints</u>: As a result of the actions taken by the FERC and other developments in the pending ROE complaint proceedings described in Note 11E, "Commitments and Contingencies FERC ROE Complaints," Eversource recorded reserves for the first and second ROE complaints, which were recorded as a regulatory liability and as a reduction to operating revenues. The cumulative pre-tax reserves (excluding interest) as of December 31, 2015, which include the impact of refunds given to customers, totaled \$39.1 million for Eversource (including \$21.4 million for CL&P, \$8.5 million for NSTAR Electric, \$3.1 million for PSNH, and \$6.1 million for WMECO).

NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas Comprehensive Settlement Agreement: On March 2, 2015, the DPU approved the comprehensive settlement agreement between NSTAR Electric, NSTAR Gas and the Massachusetts Attorney General (the "Settlement") as filed with the DPU on December 31, 2014. The Settlement resolved the outstanding NSTAR Electric CPSL program filings for 2006 through 2011, the NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas PAM and energy efficiency-related customer billing adjustments reported in 2012, and the recovery of LBR related to NSTAR Electric's energy efficiency programs for 2009 through 2011 (11 dockets in total). In 2015, as a result of the DPU order, NSTAR Electric and NSTAR Gas commenced refunding a combined \$44.7 million to customers, which was recorded as a regulatory liability. Refunds to customers will continue through December 2016. As a result of the Settlement, NSTAR Electric increased its operating revenues and decreased its amortization expense in 2015, resulting in the recognition of a \$21.7 million pre-tax benefit in 2015.

<u>NSTAR Electric Basic Service Bad Debt Adder</u>: On January 7, 2015, the DPU issued an order concluding that NSTAR Electric had removed energy-related bad debt costs from base distribution rates effective January 1, 2006. As a result of the DPU order, in the first quarter of 2015, NSTAR Electric increased its regulatory assets and reduced its operations and maintenance expense by an under recovered amount of \$24.2 million for energy-related bad debt costs through 2014, resulting in a pre-tax benefit in 2015. NSTAR Electric filed for recovery of the energy-related bad debt costs regulatory asset from customers and on November 20, 2015 the DPU approved NSTAR Electric's proposed rate increase to recover these costs over a 12-month period, effective January 1, 2016.

<u>CL&P Distribution Rates</u>: On July 2, 2015, PURA issued a final order that approved a settlement agreement filed on May 19, 2015, which allows for an increase to rate base of approximately \$163 million associated with ADIT, including a regulatory asset to recover the incremental revenue requirement for the period December 1, 2014 through November 30, 2015 over a subsequent 24-month period. The rate base increase provided an increase to total allowed annual revenue requirements of \$18.4 million beginning December 1, 2014. As part of the settlement agreement, the \$18.4 million for the period December 1, 2014 through November 30, 2015 was recorded as a regulatory asset with a corresponding increase in Operating Revenues, and is being collected from customers in rates over a 24-month period beginning December 1, 2015.

<u>NSTAR Gas Distribution Rates</u>: On October 30, 2015, the DPU issued its order in the NSTAR Gas distribution rate case, which approved an annualized base rate increase of \$15.8 million, plus other increases of approximately \$11.5 million, mostly relating to recovery of pension and PBOP expenses and the Hopkinton GSA, effective January 1, 2016. In the order, the DPU also approved an authorized regulatory ROE of 9.8 percent, the establishment of a

revenue decoupling mechanism, the recovery of certain bad debt expenses, and a 52.1 percent equity component of its capital structure. On November 19, 2015, NSTAR Gas filed a motion for reconsideration of the order with the DPU seeking the correction of mathematical errors and other plant and cost of service items.

As a result of this order, Eversource recorded regulatory deferrals for costs that have been approved for recovery or are expected to be approved for recovery in future rate proceedings, which resulted in the recognition of a \$17.2 million pre-tax benefit in 2015. Included in this amount is a \$10.5 million pre-tax benefit recorded at NSTAR Electric for certain uncollectible hardship accounts receivable that are expected to be recovered in future rates given the allowed recoveries of uncollectible hardship accounts receivable by WMECO and NSTAR Gas.

3.

PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

Utility property, plant and equipment is recorded at original cost. Original cost includes materials, labor, construction overhead and AFUDC for regulated property. The cost of repairs and maintenance, including planned major maintenance activities, is charged to Operating Expenses as incurred.

The following tables summarize the investments in utility property, plant and equipment by asset category:

Eversource	As of December 31,							
(Millions of Dollars)		2015		2014				
Distribution - Electric	\$	13,054.8	\$	12,495.2				
Distribution - Natural Gas		2,727.2		2,595.4				
Transmission - Electric		7,691.9		6,930.7				
Generation		1,194.1		1,170.9				
Electric and Natural Gas Utility		24,668.0		23,192.2				
Other ⁽¹⁾		558.6		551.3				
Property, Plant and Equipment, Gross		25,226.6		23,743.5				
Less: Accumulated Depreciation								
Electric and Natural Gas Utility		(6,141.1)		(5,777.8)				
Other		(255.6)		(231.8)				
Total Accumulated Depreciation		(6,396.7)		(6,009.6)				
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net		18,829.9		17,733.9				
Construction Work in Progress		1,062.5		913.1				
Total Property, Plant and Equipment, Net	\$	19,892.4	\$	18,647.0				

These assets are primarily comprised of building improvements, computer software, hardware and equipment at Eversource Service.

	As of December 31,															
				20	15							20 1	14			
(Millions of				NSTAR								NSTAR				
(Millions of Dollars)		CL&P		Electric		PSNH	v	VMECO		CL&P		Electric		PSNH	W	'MECO
Distribution	\$	5,377.2	\$		\$	1,804.8	\$	812.3	\$	5,158.8	\$	4,895.5	\$	1,696.7	\$	784.2
Transmission	Ψ	3,618.0	Ψ	2,131.3	Ψ	928.2	Ψ	964.9	Ψ	3,274.0	Ψ	1,928.5	Ψ	789.7	Ψ	891.0
Generation		-		_,		1,158.1		36.0		-		-,		1,136.5		34.4
Property, Plant						,								,		
and																
Equipment,																
Gross		8,995.2		7,231.8		3,891.1		1,813.2		8,432.8		6,824.0		3,622.9		1,709.6
Less:																
Accumulated		(2,041.9)		(1,886.8)		(1,171.0)		(307.0)		(1,928.0)		(1,761.4)		(1,090.0)		(297.4)
Depreciation																
Property, Plant		6 052 2		5 2 4 5 0		2 720 1		1 506 2		65049		5 062 6		2 522 0		1 412 2
and Equipment Net	,	6,953.3		5,345.0		2,720.1		1,506.2		6,504.8		5,062.6		2,532.9		1,412.2
Construction																
Work in		203.5		310.5		135.3		69.1		304.9		272.8		102.9		49.1
Progress		20010		01010		10010		0711		00119		2/2/0		1020		.,,,,
Total Property,																
Plant and																
Equipment,																
Net	\$	7,156.8	\$	5,655.5	\$	2,855.4	\$	1,575.3	\$	6,809.7	\$	5,335.4	\$	2,635.8	\$	1,461.3

As of December 31, 2015, PSNH had \$1.2 billion in gross generation utility plant assets and related Accumulated Depreciation of \$522.4 million. These generation assets are the subject of a divestiture agreement entered into on June 10, 2015 between Eversource, PSNH and key New Hampshire officials whereby, among other resolutions, PSNH has agreed to divest these generation assets upon NHPUC approval. Upon completion of the divestiture process, remaining costs not recovered by the sale of these assets (stranded costs) will be recovered via bonds that will be secured by a non-bypassable charge or other recovery mechanisms in rates billed to PSNH s customers. See Note 11H, Commitments and Contingencies PSNH Generation Restructuring, for further information.

Depreciation of utility assets is calculated on a straight-line basis using composite rates based on the estimated remaining useful lives of the various classes of property (estimated useful life for PSNH distribution). The composite rates, which are subject to approval by the appropriate state regulatory agency, include a cost of removal component (other than PSNH Generation), which is collected from customers over the lives of the plant assets and is recognized as a regulatory liability. Depreciation rates are applied to property from the time it is placed in service.

Upon retirement from service, the cost of the utility asset is charged to the accumulated provision for depreciation. The actual incurred removal costs are applied against the related regulatory liability.

The depreciation rates for the various classes of utility property, plant and equipment aggregate to composite rates as follows:

(Percent)	2015	2014	2013
Eversource	2.9 %	3.0 %	2.8 %
CL&P	2.7 %	2.7 %	2.5 %
NSTAR Electric	3.0 %	3.0 %	2.9 %
PSNH	3.2 %	3.0 %	3.0 %
WMECO	2.7 %	3.3 %	2.9 %

The following table summarizes average remaining useful lives of depreciable assets:

		As of December 31, 2015 NSTAR									
(Years)	Eversource	CL&P	Electric	PSNH	WMECO						
Distribution	34.8	37.3	31.9	31.3	30.5						
Transmission	41.6	38.7	43.8	41.6	50.0						
Generation	30.7	-	-	30.9	25.0						
Other	14.1	-	-	-	-						

4.

DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

The Regulated companies purchase and procure energy and energy-related products, which are subject to price volatility, for their customers. The costs associated with supplying energy to customers are recoverable from customers in future rates. The Regulated companies manage the risks associated with the price volatility of energy and energy-related products through the use of derivative and nonderivative contracts.

Many of the derivative contracts meet the definition of, and are designated as, normal and qualify for accrual accounting under the applicable accounting guidance. The costs and benefits of derivative contracts that meet the definition of normal are recognized in Operating Expenses or Operating Revenues on the statements of income, as applicable, as electricity or natural gas is delivered.

Derivative contracts that are not designated as normal are recorded at fair value as current or long-term Derivative Assets or Derivative Liabilities on the balance sheets. For the Regulated companies, regulatory assets or regulatory liabilities are recorded to offset the fair values of derivatives, as contract settlement amounts are recovered from, or refunded to, customers in their respective energy supply rates.

The gross fair values of derivative assets and liabilities with the same counterparty are offset and reported as net Derivative Assets or Derivative Liabilities, with current and long-term portions, on the balance sheets. The following table presents the gross fair values of contracts, categorized by risk type, and the net amounts recorded as current or long-term derivative assets or liabilities:

			As of December 31,									
				2015						2014		
	2	mmodity Supply			N	et Amount		commodity Supply			N	et Amount
	a	nd Price Risk			R	Recorded as		and Price Risk			Recorded as	
(<i>Millions of Dollars</i> <u>Current Derivative</u> <u>Assets:</u> Level 3:) Ma	nagement	N	etting ⁽¹⁾	a	Derivative	Μ	lanagement	N	etting ⁽¹⁾	a]	Derivative
Eversource	\$	16.7	\$	(10.9)	\$	5.8	\$	16.2	\$	(6.6)	\$	9.6
CL&P		16.7		(10.9)		5.8		16.1		(6.6)		9.5
NSTAR Electric		-		-		-		0.1		-		0.1
<u>Long-Term</u> <u>Derivative Assets:</u> Level 2:												
Eversource	\$	0.1	\$	-	\$	0.1	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Level 3:												
Eversource		62.0		(19.3)		42.7		93.5		(19.2)		74.3
CL&P		60.7		(19.3)		41.4		93.5		(19.2)		74.3
NSTAR Electric		1.3		-		1.3		-		-		-
<u>Current Derivative</u> <u>Liabilities:</u> Level 2:												
Eversource	\$	(5.8)	\$	-	\$	(5.8)	\$	(9.8)	\$	-	\$	(9.8)
Level 3:												
Eversource		(92.3)		-		(92.3)		(90.0)		-		(90.0)
CL&P		(91.8)		-		(91.8)		(88.5)		-		(88.5)
NSTAR Electric		(0.5)		-		(0.5)		(1.5)		-		(1.5)
Long-Term Derivat	ive Li	abilities:										
_ Eversource Level 3:	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(0.3)	\$	-	\$	(0.3)
Eversource		(337.1)		-		(337.1)		(409.3)		-		(409.3)
CL&P		(336.2)		-		(336.2)		(406.2)		-		(406.2)
NSTAR Electric		(0.9)		-		(0.9)		(3.1)		-		(3.1)

(1)

Amounts represent derivative assets and liabilities that Eversource elected to record net on the balance sheets. These amounts are subject to master netting agreements or similar agreements for which the right of offset exists.

The business activities that result in the recognition of derivative assets also create exposure to various counterparties. As of December 31, 2015, Eversource s and CL&P's derivative assets were exposed to counterparty credit risk. Of Eversource's and CL&P's derivative assets, approximately \$47 million was contracted with investment grade entities.

For further information on the fair value of derivative contracts, see Note 1H, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Fair Value Measurements," and Note 1I, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Derivative Accounting," to the financial statements.

Derivative Contracts At Fair Value with Offsetting Regulatory Amounts

Commodity Supply and Price Risk Management: As required by regulation, CL&P, along with UI, has capacity-related contracts with generation facilities. CL&P has a sharing agreement with UI, with 80 percent of the costs or benefits of each contract borne by or allocated to CL&P and 20 percent borne by or allocated to UI. The combined capacity of these contracts is 787 MW. The capacity contracts extend through 2026 and obligate both CL&P and UI to make or receive payments on a monthly basis to or from the generation facilities based on the difference between a set capacity price and the capacity market price received in the ISO-NE capacity markets. In addition, CL&P has a contract to purchase 0.1 million MWh of energy per year through 2020.

NSTAR Electric has a renewable energy contract to purchase 0.1 million MWh of energy per year through 2018 and a capacity-related contract to purchase up to 35 MW per year through 2019.

As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, Eversource had NYMEX financial contracts for natural gas futures in order to reduce variability associated with the purchase price of approximately 9.1 million and 8.8 million MMBtu of natural gas, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, there were losses of \$60.2 million and gains of \$134.4 million and \$160.6 million, respectively, deferred as regulatory costs, which reflect the change in fair value associated with Eversource's derivative contracts.

Credit Risk

Certain of Eversource's derivative contracts contain credit risk contingent provisions. These provisions require Eversource to maintain investment grade credit ratings from the major rating agencies and to post collateral for contracts in a net liability position over specified credit limits. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, Eversource had \$5.8 million and \$10 million, respectively, of derivative contracts in a net liability position that were

subject to credit risk contingent provisions and would have been required to post additional collateral of \$5.8 million and \$10 million, respectively, if Eversource parent's unsecured debt credit ratings had been downgraded to below investment grade.

Fair Value Measurements of Derivative Instruments

Derivative contracts classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy relate to the financial contracts for natural gas futures. Prices are obtained from broker quotes and are based on actual market activity. The contracts are valued using NYMEX natural gas prices. Valuations of these contracts also incorporate discount rates using the yield curve approach.

The fair value of derivative contracts classified as Level 3 utilizes significant unobservable inputs. The fair value is modeled using income techniques, such as discounted cash flow valuations adjusted for assumptions relating to exit price. Significant observable inputs for valuations of these contracts include energy and energy-related product prices in future years for which quoted prices in an active market exist. Fair value measurements categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy are prepared by individuals with expertise in valuation techniques, pricing of energy and energy-related products, and accounting requirements. The future power and capacity prices for periods that are not quoted in an active market or established at auction are based on available market data and are escalated based on estimates of inflation in order to address the full time period of the contract.

Valuations of derivative contracts using a discounted cash flow methodology include assumptions regarding the timing and likelihood of scheduled payments and also reflect non-performance risk, including credit, using the default probability approach based on the counterparty's credit rating for assets and the Company's credit rating for liabilities. Valuations incorporate estimates of premiums or discounts that would be required by a market participant to arrive at an exit price, using historical market transactions adjusted for the terms of the contract.

The following is a summary of Eversource's, including CL&P's and NSTAR Electric's, Level 3 derivative contracts and the range of the significant unobservable inputs utilized in their respective valuations over the duration of the contracts:

		As of December 31,										
		2015			2014							
			Period		Period							
		Range	Covered		Range	Covered						
Capacity Prices:												
Evercource	¢	10.81 15.82 per	2016 - 2026	¢	5 30 12 08 per	2016 -						
Eversource	\$	$10.81 - 15.82 \frac{\text{per}}{\text{kW-Month}}$	2026	φ	5.30 - 12.98 $\frac{\text{per}}{\text{kW-Month}}$	2026						
	¢	10.81 12.60 per	2019 -	¢	11.08 12.08 per	2018 -						
CL&P	Ф	10.81 - 12.60 ^{per} kW-Month	2026	Ф	11.08 - 12.98 per kW-Month	2026						
NOTAD Electric	¢	10.91 15.92 per	2016 -	¢	5 20 11 10 per	2016 -						
NSTAR Electric	\$	$10.81 - 15.82 \frac{\text{per}}{\text{kW-Month}}$	2016 - \$ 5 th 2019	5.30 - 11.10 $\frac{\text{per}}{\text{kW-Month}}$	2019							

Forward Reserve: Eversource, CL&	Р\$	2.00	per kW-Month	2016 - 2024	\$ 5.80 - 9.50	per kW-Month	2015 - 2024
<u>REC Prices:</u> Eversource, NSTAR Electric	\$	45 - 51	per REC	2016 - 2018	\$ 38 - 56	per REC	2015 - 2018

Exit price premiums of 5 percent to 22 percent are also applied on these contracts and reflect the uncertainty and illiquidity premiums that would be required based on the most recent market activity available for similar type contracts.

Valuations using significant unobservable inputs: The following table presents changes in the Level 3 category of derivative assets and derivative liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The derivative assets and liabilities are presented on a net basis.

(Millions of Dollars)		Eversource		CL&P	NS	FAR Electric
Derivatives, Net:						
Fair Value as of January 1, 2014	\$	(635.2)	\$	(630.6)	\$	(7.3)
Net Realized/Unrealized Gains						
Included in						
Regulatory Assets and Liabilities		141.3		139.7		4.3
Settlements		78.5		80.0		(1.5)
Fair Value as of December 31, 2014	¢	(415.4)	¢	(410.9)	¢	(4.5)
Net Realized/Unrealized Losses	φ	(413.4)	φ	(410.9)	φ	(4.3)
Included in						
Included III						
Regulatory Assets and Liabilities		(52.1)		(51.3)		(0.8)
Settlements		86.6		81.4		5.2
Fair Value as of December 31, 2015	\$	(380.9)	\$	(380.8)	\$	(0.1)

Significant increases or decreases in future energy or capacity prices in isolation would decrease or increase, respectively, the fair value of the derivative liability. Any increases in risk premiums would increase the fair value of the derivative liability. Changes in these fair values are recorded as a regulatory asset or liability and do not impact net income.

5.

MARKETABLE SECURITIES

Eversource maintains trusts that hold marketable securities to fund certain non-qualified executive benefits. These trusts are not subject to regulatory oversight by state or federal agencies. CYAPC and YAEC maintain legally restricted trusts, each of which holds marketable securities, to fund the decommissioning and spent nuclear fuel removal obligations of their nuclear fuel storage facilities.

WMECO maintained a spent nuclear fuel trust to fund WMECO's pre-1983 spent nuclear fuel obligation. In late 2015, this trust was liquidated to satisfy the spent nuclear fuel obligation with the DOE. For further information, see Note 8, "Long-Term Debt."

Trading Securities: Eversource has elected to record certain equity securities as trading securities, with the changes in fair values recorded in Other Income, Net on the statements of income. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, these securities were classified as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy and totaled \$14.2 million and \$85.1 million, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, net gains on these securities of \$2 million, \$1.9 million and \$10.2 million, respectively, were recorded in Other Income, Net on the statements of income. Dividend income is recorded in Other Income, Net when dividends are declared. In 2015, certain of the securities classified as trading securities were sold and the proceeds were re-invested in equity securities designated as available-for-sale securities.

Available-for-Sale Securities: The following is a summary of available-for-sale securities, which are recorded at fair value and are included in current and long-term Marketable Securities on the balance sheets.

						1	As of Dec	emb	oer 31,						
			20	15				2014							
		Pre	-Tax	Pr	e-Tax					Pr	e-Tax	Pr	e-Tax		
	Amortized	Unre	alized	Uni	realized			An	nortized	Uni	ealized	Unr	realized		
(Millions of							Fair								Fair
Dollars)	Cost	Ga	nins	L	osses		Value		Cost	(Fains	L	osses		Value
Eversource															
Debt															
Securities (1)	\$ 256.5	\$	4.5	\$	(0.6)	\$	260.4	\$	313.0	\$	7.5	\$	(0.3)	\$	320.2
(2)															
Equity	215.3		59.2		(3.4)		271.1		160.6		73.3		_		233.9
Securities ⁽¹⁾	213.3		57.2		(3.1)		271.1		100.0		10.0				200.7
WMECO															
Debt									58.2				(0.1)		58.1
Securities (2)	-		-		-		-		50.2		-		(0.1)		56.1

(1)

Amounts include CYAPC's and YAEC's marketable securities held in nuclear decommissioning trusts of \$436.9 million and \$450.8 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Unrealized gains and losses for the nuclear decommissioning trusts are recorded in Marketable Securities with the corresponding offset to Other Long-Term Liabilities on the balance sheets, with no impact on the statements of income.

(2)

Unrealized gains and losses on debt securities held by WMECO were recorded in Marketable Securities with the corresponding offset to Other Long-Term Assets on the balance sheets.

Unrealized Losses and Other-than-Temporary Impairment: There have been no significant unrealized losses, other-than-temporary impairments or credit losses in 2015 or 2014. Factors considered in determining whether a credit loss exists include the duration and severity of the impairment, adverse conditions specifically affecting the

issuer, and the payment history, ratings and rating changes of the security. For asset-backed debt securities, underlying collateral and expected future cash flows are also evaluated.

Realized Gains and Losses: Realized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are recorded in Other Income, Net for Eversource's benefit trust and are offset in Other Long-Term Liabilities for CYAPC and YAEC. Eversource utilizes the specific identification basis method for the Eversource benefit trust and the average cost basis method for the CYAPC and YAEC nuclear decommissioning trusts to compute the realized gains and losses on the sale of available-for-sale securities.

Contractual Maturities: As of December 31, 2015, the contractual maturities of available-for-sale debt securities were as follows:

Eversource	An	Fair	
(Millions of Dollars)		Cost	Value
Less than one year ⁽¹⁾	\$	33.3 \$	33.2
One to five years		50.2	50.7
Six to ten years		56.6	57.2
Greater than ten years		116.4	119.3
Total Debt Securities	\$	256.5 \$	260.4

(1)

Amounts in the Less than one year category include securities in the CYAPC and YAEC nuclear decommissioning trusts, which are restricted and are classified in long-term Marketable Securities on the balance sheets.

Fair Value Measurements: The following table presents the marketable securities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis by the level in which they are classified within the fair value hierarchy:

Eversource			As of December 31,						
(Millions of Dolld	ars)	2015		2014					
Level 1:									
	Mutual Funds and Equities	\$	285.3	\$	319.0				
	Money Market Funds		26.9		24.9				
Total Level 1		\$	312.2	\$	343.9				
Level 2:									
	U.S. Government Issued Debt								
	Securities								
	(Agency and Treasury)	\$	46.6	\$	51.3				
	Corporate Debt Securities		43.9		49.1				
	Asset-Backed Debt Securities		20.0		54.1				

Municipal Bonds	111.4	116.3
Other Fixed Income Securities	11.6	24.5
Total Level 2	\$ 233.5	\$ 295.3
Total Marketable Securities	\$ 545.7	\$ 639.2

As of December 31, 2014, the WMECO spent nuclear fuel trust included investments in money market funds of \$4.3 million classified as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy, and \$14.7 million of corporate debt securities, \$14.5 million of asset-backed debt securities, \$13 million of municipal bonds and \$11.6 million of other fixed income securities classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. The trust was liquidated in late 2015.

U.S. government issued debt securities are valued using market approaches that incorporate transactions for the same or similar bonds and adjustments for yields and maturity dates. Corporate debt securities are valued using a market approach, utilizing recent trades of the same or similar instrument and also incorporating yield curves, credit spreads and specific bond terms and conditions. Asset-backed debt securities include collateralized mortgage obligations, commercial mortgage backed securities, and securities collateralized by auto loans, credit card loans or receivables. Asset-backed debt securities are valued using recent trades of similar instruments, prepayment assumptions, yield curves, issuance and maturity dates, and tranche information. Municipal bonds are valued using a market approach that incorporates reported trades and benchmark yields. Other fixed income securities are valued using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, and discounted cash flows.

6.

ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

Eversource, including CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO, recognizes a liability for the fair value of an ARO on the obligation date if the liability's fair value can be reasonably estimated and is conditional on a future event. Settlement dates and future costs are reasonably estimated when sufficient information becomes available. Management has identified various categories of AROs, primarily certain assets containing asbestos and hazardous contamination, and has performed fair value calculations reflecting expected probabilities for settlement scenarios.

The fair value of an ARO is recorded as a liability in Other Long-Term Liabilities with a corresponding amount included in Property, Plant and Equipment, Net on the balance sheets. The ARO assets are depreciated, and the ARO liabilities are accreted over the estimated life of the obligation with corresponding credits recorded as accumulated depreciation and ARO liabilities, respectively. As the Regulated companies are rate-regulated on a cost-of-service basis, these companies apply regulatory accounting guidance and both the depreciation and accretion costs associated with the Regulated companies' AROs are recorded as increases to Regulatory Assets on the balance sheets.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending carrying amounts of ARO liabilities are as follows:

Eversource	As of December 31,								
(Millions of Dollars)		2015	2014						
Balance as of Beginning of Year	\$	426.3	\$	424.9					
Liabilities Incurred During the Year		6.6		1.3					
Liabilities Settled During the Year		(18.2)		(19.5)					

Accretion	26.5	25.1
Revisions in Estimated Cash Flows	(11.1)	(5.5)
Balance as of End of Year	\$ 430.1	\$ 426.3

						As	of Dec	emł	oer 31,							
	2015									2014						
		NS	STAR							N	STAR					
(Millions of Dollars)	CL&P	El	ectric	P	SNH	WN	ЛЕСО	C	CL&P	E	lectric	P	SNH	WN	IECO	
Balance as of Beginning of Year	35.3	\$	34.3	\$	20.6	\$	5.9	\$	35.0	\$	32.8	\$	19.5	\$	4.5	
Liabilities Incurred During the Year	-		6.2		0.4		-		-		-		-		1.1	
Liabilities Settled During the Year	-		(1.5)		-		(0.1)		(1.1)		-		-		-	
Accretion	2.2		1.8		1.3		0.4		1.9		1.5		1.1		0.3	
Revisions in Estimated Cash Flows	(3.7)		(5.5)		(0.7)		(0.5)		(0.5)		-		-		-	
Balance as of End of Year \$	33.8	\$	35.3	\$	21.6	\$	5.7	\$	35.3	\$	34.3	\$	20.6	\$	5.9	

Eversource's amounts include CYAPC and YAEC's AROs of \$319.1 million and \$317.3 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The fair value of the ARO for CYAPC and YAEC includes uncertainties of the fuel off-load dates related to the DOE s timing of performance regarding its obligation to dispose of the spent nuclear fuel and high level waste. The incremental asset recorded as an offset to the ARO liability was fully depreciated since the plants have no remaining useful life. Any changes in the assumptions used to calculate the fair value of the ARO liability are recorded with a corresponding offset to the related regulatory asset. The assets held in the CYAPC and YAEC nuclear decommissioning trusts are restricted for settling the ARO and all other decommissioning obligations. For further information on the assets held in the nuclear decommissioning trusts, see Note 5, "Marketable Securities," to the financial statements.

SHORT-TERM DEBT

Short-Term Borrowing Limits: The amount of short-term borrowings that may be incurred by CL&P, NSTAR Electric and WMECO is subject to periodic approval by the FERC. As a result of the NHPUC having jurisdiction over PSNH's short-term debt, PSNH is not currently required to obtain FERC approval for its short-term borrowings. On June 16, 2015, the FERC granted authorization that allows CL&P and WMECO to incur total short-term borrowings up to a maximum of \$600 million and \$300 million, respectively, effective January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017. On June 11, 2014, the FERC granted authorization to allow NSTAR Electric to issue total short-term debt securities in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$655 million outstanding at any one time, effective October 24, 2014 through October 23, 2016.

PSNH is authorized by regulation of the NHPUC to incur short-term borrowings up to 10 percent of net fixed plant plus an additional \$60 million until further ordered by the NHPUC. As of December 31, 2015, PSNH's short-term debt authorization under the 10 percent of net fixed plant test plus \$60 million totaled approximately \$325 million.

CL&P's certificate of incorporation contains preferred stock provisions restricting the amount of unsecured debt that CL&P may incur, including limiting unsecured indebtedness with a maturity of less than 10 years to 10 percent of total capitalization. As of December 31, 2015, CL&P had \$327.3 million of unsecured debt capacity available under this authorization.

Yankee Gas and NSTAR Gas are not required to obtain approval from any state or federal authority to incur short-term debt.

Credit Agreements and Commercial Paper Programs: Eversource parent, CL&P, PSNH, WMECO, NSTAR Gas and Yankee Gas are parties to a five-year \$1.45 billion revolving credit facility. On October 26, 2015, this revolving credit facility was amended and restated and the termination date was extended to September 4, 2020. Under the revolving credit facility, CL&P has a borrowing sublimit of \$600 million, and PSNH and WMECO each have borrowing sublimits of \$300 million. The revolving credit facility serves to backstop Eversource parent's \$1.45 billion commercial paper program. The commercial paper program allows Eversource parent to issue commercial paper as a form of short-term debt. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, Eversource parent had approximately \$1.1 billion in short-term borrowings outstanding on each date under the Eversource parent commercial paper program, leaving \$351.5 million and \$348.9 million of available borrowing capacity as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The weighted-average interest rate on these borrowings as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 was 0.72 percent and 0.43 percent, respectively. As of December 31, 2015, there were intercompany loans from Eversource parent of \$277.4 million to CL&P, \$231.3 million to PSNH and \$143.4 million to WMECO. As of December 31, 2014, there were intercompany loans from Eversource parent of \$213.4 million to PSNH and \$143.4 million to CL&P, \$90.5 million to PSNH and \$21.4 million to WMECO.

NSTAR Electric has a five-year \$450 million revolving credit facility. On October 26, 2015, this revolving credit facility was amended and restated and the termination date was extended to September 4, 2020. The facility serves to backstop NSTAR Electric's \$450 million commercial paper program. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, NSTAR Electric had \$62.5 million and \$302 million, respectively, in short-term borrowings outstanding under its commercial paper program, leaving \$387.5 million and \$148 million of available borrowing capacity as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The weighted-average interest rate on these borrowings as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 was 0.40 percent and 0.27 percent, respectively.

Except as described below, amounts outstanding under the commercial paper programs are included in Notes Payable for Eversource and NSTAR Electric and are classified in current liabilities on the balance sheets as all borrowings are outstanding for no more than 364 days at one time. Intercompany loans from Eversource parent to CL&P, PSNH and WMECO are included in Notes Payable to Eversource Parent and are classified in current liabilities on their respective balance sheets. Intercompany loans from Eversource to CL&P, PSNH and WMECO are eliminated in consolidation on Eversource's balance sheets.

On January 15, 2015, Eversource parent issued \$150 million of 1.60 percent Series G Senior Notes due to mature in 2018 and \$300 million of 3.15 percent Series H Senior Notes, due to mature in 2025. The proceeds, net of issuance costs, were used to repay short-term borrowings outstanding under the Eversource parent commercial paper program. As the debt proceeds, net of issuance costs, refinanced short-term debt, the short-term debt was classified as Long-Term Debt as of December 31, 2014. See Note 8, "Long-Term Debt," for further information on these debt issuances.

Under the credit facilities described above, Eversource and its subsidiaries must comply with certain financial and non-financial covenants, including a consolidated debt to total capitalization ratio. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, Eversource and its subsidiaries were in compliance with these covenants. If Eversource or its subsidiaries were not in compliance with these covenants, an event of default would occur requiring all outstanding borrowings by such borrower to be repaid and additional borrowings by such borrower would not be permitted under its respective credit facility.

8.

LONG-TERM DEBT

Details of long-term debt outstanding are as follows:

CL&P		As of Dec	omhor	31
(Millions of Dollars)		2015	emper	2014
First Mortgage Bonds:		2013		2014
7.875% 1994 Series D due 2024	\$	139.8	\$	139.8
5.750% 2004 Series B due 2034	Ψ	130.0	Ψ	130.0
5.000% 2005 Series A due 2015		-		100.0
5.625% 2005 Series B due 2035		100.0		100.0
6.350% 2006 Series A due 2036		250.0		250.0
5.375% 2007 Series A due 2017		150.0		150.0
5.750% 2007 Series B due 2037		150.0		150.0
5.750% 2007 Series C due 2017		100.0		100.0
6.375% 2007 Series D due 2037		100.0		100.0
5.650% 2008 Series A due 2018		300.0		300.0
5.500% 2009 Series A due 2019		250.0		250.0
2.500% 2013 Series A due 2023		400.0		400.0
4.300% 2014 Series A due 2044		250.0		250.0
4.150% 2015 Series A due 2045		350.0		-
Total First Mortgage Bonds		2,669.8		2,419.8
Pollution Control Revenue Bonds:		,		,
4.375% Fixed Rate Tax Exempt due 202	8	120.5		120.5
1.550% Fixed Rate Tax Exempt due 203		-		62.0
Total Pollution Control Revenue Bonds		120.5		182.5
Pre-1983 Spent Nuclear Fuel Obligation		-		244.5
Less Amounts due Within One Year		-		(162.0)
Unamortized Premiums and Discounts, Net		(10.7)		(4.8)
Unamortized Debt Issuance Costs ⁽¹⁾		(15.9)		(15.8)
CL&P Long-Term Debt ⁽¹⁾	\$	2,763.7	\$	2,664.2

NSTAR Electric		As of December 31,								
(Millions of Dollars)			2015	2014						
Debentures:										
	5.750% due 2036	\$	200.0	\$	200.0					
	5.625% due 2017		400.0		400.0					
	5.500% due 2040		300.0		300.0					
	2.375% due 2022		400.0		400.0					
	Variable Rate due 2016 (0.6036% and 0.4721%		200.0		200.0					
	as of December 31, 2015 and 2014)		200.0		200.0					
	4.400% due 2044		300.0		300.0					
	3.250% due 2025		250.0		-					
Total Debentures			2,050.0		1,800.0					

Bonds:		
7.375% Tax Exempt Sewage Facility Revenue		4.7
Bonds, due 2015	-	4.7
Less Amounts due Within One Year	(200.0)	(4.7)
Unamortized Premiums and Discounts, Net	(8.5)	(7.3)
Unamortized Debt Issuance Costs ⁽¹⁾	(11.7)	(11.2)
NSTAR Electric Long-Term Debt ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,829.8	\$ 1,781.5

PSNH	As of December 31,							
(Millions of Dollars)		2015		2014				
First Mortgage Bonds:								
5.60% Series M due 2035	\$	50.0	\$	50.0				
6.15% Series N due 2017		70.0		70.0				
6.00% Series O due 2018		110.0		110.0				
4.50% Series P due 2019		150.0		150.0				
4.05% Series Q due 2021		122.0		122.0				
3.20% Series R due 2021		160.0		160.0				
3.50% Series S due 2023		325.0		325.0				
Total First Mortgage Bonds		987.0		987.0				
Pollution Control Revenue Bonds:								
Adjustable Rate Tax Exempt Series A due 202	21							
(0.193% and 0.175% as of December 31, 201	5							
and 2014)		89.3		89.3				
Unamortized Premiums and Discounts, Net		0.1		-				
Unamortized Debt Issuance Costs ⁽¹⁾		(5.4)		(6.3)				
PSNH Long-Term Debt ⁽¹⁾	\$	1,071.0	\$	1,070.0				

WMECO (<i>Millions of Dollars</i>) Notes:			As of Dec 2015	cember 3	1, 2014
Notes.	5.90% Senior Notes Series B, due 2034	\$	50.0	\$	50.0
	5.24% Senior Notes Series C, due 2015	Ψ	-	Ψ	50.0
	6.70% Senior Notes Series D, due 2017		40.0		40.0
	5.10% Senior Notes Series E, due 2020		95.0		95.0
	3.50% Senior Notes Series F, due 2021		250.0		250.0
	3.88% Senior Notes Series G, due 2023		80.0		80.0
Total Notes			515.0		565.0
Pre-1983 Spent Nuclea	ar Fuel Obligation		-		57.4
Less Amounts due Wit	-		-		(50.0)
Unamortized Premium			5.2		6.1
Unamortized Debt Issu			(2.9)		(3.3)
WMECO Long-Term		\$	517.3	\$	575.2
OTHER			As of Dec	cember 3	1,
(Millions of Dollars)			2015		2014
Yankee Gas - First Mo	ortgage Bonds:				
	8.48% Series B due 2022	\$	20.0	\$	20.0
	5.26% Series H due 2019		50.0		50.0
	5.35% Series I due 2035		50.0		50.0
	6.90% Series J due 2018		100.0		100.0
	4.87% Series K due 2020		50.0		50.0
	4.82% Series L due 2044		100.0		100.0
	3.35% Series M due 2025		75.0		-
Total First Mortgage B	Bonds		445.0		370.0
Unamortized Premium	L		0.4		0.6
Unamortized Debt Issu	ance Costs ⁽¹⁾		(1.7)		(1.5)
Yankee Gas Long-Terr	m Debt ⁽¹⁾		443.7		369.1
NSTAR Gas - First Mo	ortgage Bonds:				
	9.95% Series J due 2020		25.0		25.0
	7.11% Series K due 2033		35.0		35.0
	7.04% Series M due 2017		25.0		25.0
	4.46% Series N due 2020		125.0		125.0
	4.35% Series O due 2045		100.0		-
Total First Mortgage B	Bonds		310.0		210.0
Unamortized Debt Issu			(0.8)		(0.6)
NSTAR Gas Long-Ter	rm Debt ⁽¹⁾		309.2		209.4
Eversource Parent - No	otes and Debentures:				
	4.50% Debentures due 2019		350.0		350.0
	1.45% Senior Notes Series E due 2018		300.0		300.0
	2.80% Senior Notes Series F due 2023		450.0		450.0
	1.60% Senior Notes Series G due 2018		150.0		-

3.15% Senior Notes Series H due 2025		300.0	-
Eversource Parent Commercial Paper Borrowin	ngs	-	446.3
Total Eversource Parent Notes and Debentures		1,550.0	1,546.3
Pre-1983 Spent Nuclear Fuel Obligation (CYAPC)		179.5	179.4
Fair Value Adjustment ⁽²⁾		173.5	202.3
Less Fair Value Adjustment - Current Portion ⁽²⁾		(28.9)	(28.9)
Unamortized Premiums and Discounts, Net		(1.3)	(1.2)
Unamortized Debt Issuance Costs ⁽¹⁾		(1.9)	1.1
Total Other Long-Term Debt ⁽¹⁾	\$	2,623.8	\$ 2,477.5
Total Eversource Long-Term Debt ⁽¹⁾	\$	8,805.6	\$ 8,568.4

(1)

Effective December 31, 2015, the carrying amount of Long-Term Debt includes unamortized debt issuance costs presented as a direct reduction from the carrying amount of the debt liability, in accordance with new accounting guidance. The December 31, 2014 carrying amount of Long-Term Debt was retrospectively adjusted to conform to the current year presentation. See Note 1C, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Accounting Standards," for further information.

(2)

The fair value adjustment amount is the purchase price adjustment, net of amortization, required to record the NSTAR long-term debt at fair value on the date of the merger.

Long-Term Debt Issuances: On January 15, 2015, Eversource parent issued \$150 million of 1.60 percent Series G Senior Notes, due to mature in 2018, and \$300 million of 3.15 percent Series H Senior Notes, due to mature in 2025. As the debt proceeds, net of issuance costs, refinanced short-term debt, the short-term debt was classified as Long-Term Debt as of December 31, 2014. On May 20, 2015 and December 1, 2015, CL&P issued \$300 million and \$50 million, respectively, of 4.15 percent 2015 Series A First and Refunding Mortgage Bonds due to mature in 2045. On September 10, 2015, Yankee Gas issued \$75 million of 3.35 percent 2015 Series M First Mortgage Bonds due to mature in 2025. On November 18, 2015, NSTAR Electric issued \$250 million of 3.25 percent debentures, due to mature in 2025. On December 8, 2015, NSTAR Gas issued \$100

million of 4.35 percent Series O First Mortgage Bonds due to mature in 2045. The proceeds of all debt issuances, net of issuance costs, were used to repay short-term borrowings and fund capital expenditures and working capital.

Long-Term Debt Repayments: On April 1, 2015, CL&P repaid at maturity the \$100 million 5.00 percent 2005 Series A First and Refunding Mortgage Bonds and also redeemed the \$62 million 1996A Series 1.55 percent PCRBs that were subject to mandatory tender using short-term borrowings. On August 3, 2015, WMECO repaid at maturity the \$50 million 5.24 percent Series C Senior Notes, using short-term borrowings.

Long-Term Debt Issuance Authorizations: On November 25, 2015, PURA approved Yankee Gas request to extend the authorization period for issuance of up to \$125 million in long-term debt from December 31, 2015 to December 31, 2016. On December 4, 2015, the DPU authorized WMECO to issue up to \$100 million in long-term debt for the period through December 31, 2016. On December 4, 2015, the DPU approved NSTAR Electric s request to extend the authorization period for issuance of up to \$250 million in long-term debt from December 31, 2015 to December 31, 2016.

Long-Term Debt Provisions: The utility plant of CL&P, PSNH, Yankee Gas and NSTAR Gas is subject to the lien of each company's respective first mortgage bond indenture. The Eversource parent, NSTAR Electric and WMECO debt is unsecured. Additionally, the long-term debt agreements provide that Eversource and certain of its subsidiaries must comply with certain covenants as are customarily included in such agreements, including a minimum equity requirement for NSTAR Gas. Under the minimum equity requirement, the outstanding long-term debt of NSTAR Gas must not exceed equity.

CL&P's obligation to repay the PCRBs is secured by first mortgage bonds. The first mortgage bonds contain similar terms and provisions as the applicable series of PCRBs. If CL&P fails to meet its obligations under the first mortgage bonds, then the holder of the first mortgage bonds (the issuer of the PCRBs) would have rights under the first mortgage bonds. CL&P's \$120.5 million tax-exempt PCRBs will be subject to redemption at par on or after September 1, 2021. All other long-term debt securities are subject to make-whole provisions.

PSNH's obligation to repay the PCRBs is secured by first mortgage bonds and bond insurance. The first mortgage bonds contain similar terms and provisions as the PCRBs. If PSNH fails to meet its obligations under the first mortgage bonds, then the holder of the first mortgage bonds (the issuer of the PCRBs) would have rights under the first mortgage bonds. The PSNH Series A tax-exempt PCRBs are currently callable at 100 percent of par. The PCRBs bear interest at a rate that is periodically set pursuant to auctions. PSNH is not obligated to purchase these PCRBs, which mature in 2021, from the remarketing agent.

Yankee Gas has certain long-term debt agreements that contain cross-default provisions. No other debt issuances contain cross-default provisions as of December 31, 2015.

Pre-1983 Spent Nuclear Fuel Obligation: Under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, CL&P and WMECO were obligated to pay the DOE for the costs of disposal of pre-1983 spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste for the period prior to the sale of their ownership shares in the Millstone nuclear power stations, which were sold in March 2001. The DOE is responsible for the selection and development of repositories for, and the disposal of, spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste. After the sale of the Millstone nuclear power stations in March 2001, CL&P and WMECO remained responsible for their share of the disposal costs for nuclear fuel used to generate electricity prior to April 7, 1983 (pre-1983 Spent Nuclear Fuel) and recorded an accrual for the full liability thereof to the DOE. This liability accrued interest costs at the 3-month Treasury bill yield rate. As of December 31, 2014, CL&P and WMECO's pre-1983 Spent Nuclear Fuel obligation was \$244.5 million and \$57.4 million, respectively, which included accumulated interest costs of \$178 million for CL&P and \$41.8 million for WMECO.

In late 2015, CL&P and WMECO made payments of \$244.6 million and \$57.4 million, respectively, to fully satisfy their pre-1983 Spent Nuclear Fuel obligations to the DOE, which included accumulated interest of \$178 million and \$41.8 million, respectively. CL&P issued debt to fund its payment while WMECO liquidated its spent nuclear fuel trust.

In addition, as a result of consolidating CYAPC, Eversource has consolidated \$179.5 million and \$179.4 million, respectively, in additional pre-1983 spent nuclear fuel obligations to the DOE, which include accumulated interest costs of \$130.7 million and \$130.6 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. CYAPC maintains a trust to fund amounts due to the DOE for the disposal of pre-1983 spent nuclear fuel. For further information, see Note 5, "Marketable Securities," to the financial statements.

Long-Term Debt Maturities: Long-term debt maturities on debt outstanding for the years 2016 through 2020 and thereafter are shown below. These amounts exclude the CYAPC pre-1983 spent nuclear fuel obligation, net unamortized premiums, discounts and debt issuance costs, and other fair value adjustments as of December 31, 2015:

	NSTAR											
(Millions of Dollars)	of Dollars) Eversource			CL&P		Electric		PSNH	1	WMECO		
2016	\$	200.0	\$	-	\$	200.0	\$	-	\$	-		
2017		745.0		250.0		400.0		70.0		-		
2018		960.0		300.0		-		110.0		-		
2019		800.0		250.0		-		150.0		-		
2020		295.0		-		-		-		95.0		
Thereafter		5,736.6		1,990.3		1,450.0		746.3		420.0		
Total	\$	8,736.6	\$	2,790.3	\$	2,050.0	\$	1,076.3	\$	515.0		

9.

EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

A.

Pension Benefits and Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions

As of December 31, 2014, Eversource Service sponsored two defined benefit retirement plans that covered eligible employees, including, among others, employees of CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO. Effective January 1, 2015, these two pension plans were merged into one plan, sponsored by Eversource Service (Pension Plan). The Pension Plan is subject to the provisions of ERISA, as amended by the PPA of 2006. Eversource s policy is to annually fund the Pension Plan in an amount at least equal to an amount that will satisfy all federal funding requirements. In addition to the Pension Plan, Eversource maintains non-qualified defined benefit retirement plans sponsored by Eversource Service (herein collectively referred to as the SERP Plans), which provide benefits in excess of Internal Revenue Code limitations to eligible current and retired participants.

As of December 31, 2014, Eversource Service also sponsored defined benefit postretirement plans that provided certain retiree benefits, primarily medical, dental and life insurance, to retired employees that met certain age and service eligibility requirements, including, among others, employees of CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO. Effective January 1, 2015, these postretirement plans were merged into one plan, sponsored by Eversource Service (PBOP Plan). Under certain circumstances, eligible retirees are required to contribute to the costs of postretirement benefits. The benefits provided under the PBOP Plan are not vested and the Company has the right to modify any benefit provision subject to applicable laws at that time. Eversource annually funds postretirement costs through tax deductible contributions to external trusts.

Because the Regulated companies recover the retiree benefit costs from customers through rates, regulatory assets are recorded in lieu of recording an adjustment to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) for the funded status of the Pension, SERP and PBOP Plans. Regulatory accounting is also applied to the portions of the Eversource Service costs that support the Regulated companies, as these costs are also recovered from customers. Adjustments to the Pension and PBOP Plans funded status for the unregulated companies are recorded on an after-tax basis to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss). For further information, see Note 2, "Regulatory Accounting," and Note 14, "Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss)," to the financial statements.

For the year ended December 31, 2015, the difference between the actual return and calculated expected return on plan assets for the Pension and PBOP Plans are reflected as a component of unrecognized actuarial gains or losses, which are recorded in Regulatory Assets or Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss). Unrecognized actuarial gains or losses are amortized as a component of pension and PBOP expense over the estimated average future employee service period.

Pension and SERP Plans: On January 1, 2014, NSTAR Electric & Gas was merged into Eversource Service (service company merger) and, concurrently, all employees were transferred to the company they predominantly provide services for: Eversource Service, NSTAR Electric or NSTAR Gas. As a result of these employee transfers, the pension and SERP assets and liabilities of NSTAR Electric & Gas were attributed by participant and transferred to the applicable operating company's balance sheets. This change had no impact on the income statement or net assets of NSTAR Electric or Eversource.

The Pension and SERP Plans are accounted for under the multiple-employer approach, with each operating company's balance sheet reflecting its share of the funded status of the plans. Although Eversource maintains marketable securities in a benefit trust, the SERP Plans do not contain any assets. For further information, see Note 5, "Marketable Securities," to the financial statements. The following tables provide information on the Pension and SERP Plan benefit obligations, fair values of Pension Plan assets, and funded status:

Eversource	Pension and SERP As of December 31,					
(Millions of Dollars)	2015		2014			
Change in Benefit Obligation						
Benefit Obligation as of Beginning of Year	\$ (5,486.2)	\$	(4,676.5)			
Service Cost	(91.4)		(79.9)			
Interest Cost	(227.0)		(225.7)			
Actuarial Gain/(Loss)	331.5		(739.6)			
Benefits Paid - Pension	238.5		230.3			
Benefits Paid - Lump Sum	149.5		-			
Benefits Paid - SERP	5.0		5.2			
Benefit Obligation as of End of Year	\$ (5,080.1)	\$	(5,486.2)			
Change in Pension Plan Assets						
Fair Value of Pension Plan Assets as of Beginning of Year	\$ 4,126.5	\$	3,985.9			
Employer Contributions	154.6		171.6			
Actual Return on Pension Plan Assets	12.3		199.3			
Benefits Paid	(238.5)		(230.3)			
Benefits Paid - Lump Sum	(149.5)		-			
Fair Value of Pension Plan Assets as of End of Year	\$ 3,905.4	\$	4,126.5			
Funded Status as of December 31st	\$ (1,174.7)	\$	(1,359.7)			

	Pension and SERP												
	А	s of Decemb NSTAR	oer 31, 2015										
(Millions of Dollars)	CL&P	Electric	PSNH	WMECO	CL&P	Electric	PSNH	WMECO					
Change in Benefit Obligation													
Benefit Obligation as of Beginning of	\$ (1,230.1)	\$ (982.6)	\$ (580.7)	\$ (249.4)	\$ (1,083.4)	\$ (1,353.3)	\$ (529.0)	\$ (223.9)					
Year Change due to													
transfer of employees	(4.6)	6.2	(1.9)	(1.3)	26.4	479.9	32.2	6.2					
Service Cost Interest Cost	(24.7) (51.1)	(14.9) (40.2)	(12.1) (24.3)	(4.3) (10.4)	(20.2) (50.5)	(13.6) (41.3)	(9.7) (23.8)	(3.5) (10.3)					
Actuarial Gain/(Loss)	77.8	34.1	38.9	12.6	(161.0)	(107.0)	(73.3)	(29.8)					
Benefits Paid - Pension	60.2	47.6	23.2	12.7	58.3	52.4	22.8	11.9					
Benefits Paid - Lump Sum	14.5	-	9.1	2.5	-	-	-	-					
Benefits Paid - SERP	0.4	0.1	0.2	-	0.3	0.3	0.1	-					
Benefit Obligation as of S End of Year Change in	\$ (1,157.6)	\$ (949.7)	\$ (547.6)	\$ (237.6)	\$ (1,230.1)	\$ (982.6)	\$ (580.7)	\$ (249.4)					
Pension Plan Assets Fair Value of Pension Plan													
Assets as of Beginning of Year	\$ 980.8	\$ 879.0	\$ 498.4	\$ 234.0	\$ 1,016.3	\$ 1,235.3	\$ 528.6	\$ 240.4					
Change due to transfer of employees	4.6	(6.2)	1.9	1.3	(26.4)	(441.4)	(32.2)	(6.2)					
Employer Contributions	-	5.0	1.0	-	-	101.0	-	-					
Actual Return on Pension Plan Assets	2.8	2.7	1.5	0.7	49.2	36.5	24.8	11.7					
Benefits Paid	(60.2) (14.5)	(47.6)	(23.2) (9.1)	(12.7) (2.5)	(58.3)	(52.4)	(22.8)	(11.9)					

		-~	gairinn	9		 					
Benefits Paid - Lump Sum											
Fair Value of											
Pension Plan Assets as of End ^{\$}	913.5	\$	832.9	\$	470.5	\$ 220.8 \$	5	980.8	\$ 879.0 \$	498.4 \$	234.0
of Year											
Funded Status as of December 31 st	(244.1)	\$	(116.8)	\$	(77.1)	\$ (16.8) \$	5	(249.3)	\$ (103.6) \$	(82.3) \$	(15.4)

In August 2015, Eversource made a total lump-sum payout of \$149.5 million, which reduced the projected benefit obligation and Pension Plan assets by a corresponding amount. Therefore, the lump-sum payment had no impact on the net Accrued Pension Liability reflected on the Eversource, CL&P, PSNH and WMECO balance sheets as of December 31, 2015.

During 2014, the Society of Actuaries released a series of updated mortality tables resulting from studies that measured mortality rates for various groups of individuals. The updated mortality tables released in 2014 increased the life expectancy of plan participants by three to five years and had the effect of increasing the estimated benefits to be provided to plan participants. The impact of adopting the updated mortality tables on Eversource's liability as of December 31, 2014 was an increase of approximately \$340 million. In 2015, a revised scale for the mortality table was released having the effect of decreasing the estimate of benefits to be provided to plan participants. The impact of the adoption of the new mortality scale resulted in a decrease of \$48 million on Eversource's liability as of December 31, 2015.

The increase in the discount rate used to calculate the funded status resulted in a decrease on Eversource's liability of approximately \$267 million as of December 31, 2015. Decreases in the discount rates resulted in an increase on Eversource's liability of approximately \$530 million as of December 31, 2014.

The pension and SERP Plans' funded status includes the current portion of the SERP liability, which is included in Other Current Liabilities on the accompanying balance sheets.

As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the accumulated benefit obligation for the Pension and SERP Plans is as follows:

(Millions of Dollars)	f Ev	ersource	CL&P		STAR llectric	J	PSNH	WMECO		
2015	\$	4,733.2	\$ 1,062.7	\$	888.8	\$	506.4	\$	222.3	
2014		5,000.1	1,101.4		910.4		524.5		226.4	

The following actuarial assumptions were used in calculating the Pension and SERP Plans' year end funded status:

Pension and SERP As of December 31,

	2015	2014
Discount Rate	4.21 % - 4.60 %	4.20 %
Compensation/Progression Rate	3.50%	3.50 %

Pension and SERP Expense: Eversource charges net periodic pension expense to its subsidiaries based on the actual participant demographic data for each subsidiary's participants. The actual investment return in the trust is allocated to each of the subsidiaries annually in proportion to the investment return expected to be earned during the year. For the year ended December 31, 2013 (prior to the service company merger), the net periodic pension expense recorded at NSTAR Electric represented the full cost of the plan with a portion of the costs allocated to affiliated companies based on participant demographic data.

The components of net periodic benefit expense for the Pension and SERP Plans are shown below. The net periodic benefit expense and the intercompany allocations less the capitalized portion of pension and SERP amounts are included in Operations and Maintenance expense on the statements of income. Capitalized pension amounts relate to employees working on capital projects and are included in Property, Plant and Equipment, Net on the balance sheets. Pension and SERP expense reflected in the statements of cash flows for CL&P, NSTAR Electric, PSNH and WMECO does not include the intercompany allocations or the corresponding capitalized portion, as these amounts are cash settled on a short-term basis.

	Pension and SERP For the Year Ended December 31, 2015 NSTAR											
	Ev	ersource (1)	(Б	1 4 - 1 -	D	TATE (1)		MECO		
(Millions of Dollars)		(1)		CL&P		lectric		SNH ⁽¹⁾		MECO		
Service Cost	\$	91.4	\$	24.7	\$	14.9	\$	12.1	\$	4.3		
Interest Cost		227.0		51.1		40.2		24.3		10.4		
Expected Return on Pension Plan Assets		(335.9)		(78.9)		(70.0)		(40.4)		(18.9)		
Actuarial Loss		148.5		32.2		35.8		11.6		6.4		
Prior Service Cost/(Credit)		3.7		1.5		(0.1)		0.5		0.3		
Total Net Periodic Benefit Expense	\$	134.7	\$	30.6	\$	20.8	\$	8.1	\$	2.5		
Intercompany Allocations		N/A	\$	22.5	\$	13.6	\$	6.7	\$	4.4		
Capitalized Pension Expense	\$	41.0	\$	18.8	\$	11.4	\$	3.5	\$	1.9		

		31, 2014								
(Millions of Dollars)	Eversource		CL&P		Electric		PSNH		WMECO	
Service Cost	\$	79.9	\$	20.2	\$	13.6	\$	9.7	\$	3.5
Interest Cost		225.7		50.5		41.3		23.8		10.3
Expected Return on Pension Plan		(310.8)		(75.4)		(63.0)		(38.1)		(17.9)
Assets		(510.0)		(73.4)		(05.0)		(30.1)		(17.7)
Actuarial Loss		128.4		33.7		23.5		11.6		6.9
Prior Service Cost		4.4		1.8		-		0.7		0.4
Total Net Periodic Benefit Expense	\$	127.6	\$	30.8	\$	15.4	\$	7.7	\$	3.2
Intercompany Allocations		N/A	\$	26.7	\$	10.4	\$	7.6	\$	5.1
Capitalized Pension Expense	\$	35.2	\$	17.6	\$	7.9	\$	3.0	\$	2.4

....

Pension and SERP

1 1 1 1

(Millions of Dollars)	Pension and SERP For the Year Ended December 31, 2013 NSTAR											
	Eve	rsource	CL&P		Electric ⁽²⁾		PSNH		WMECO			
Service Cost	\$	102.3	\$	24.9	\$	33.1	\$	13.1	\$	4.7		
Interest Cost		206.7		48.3		58.0		23.6		10.0		
Expected Return on Pension Plan Assets		(278.1)		(73.8)		(84.4)		(35.4)		(17.4)		
Actuarial Loss		210.5		55.9		58.1		21.6		11.8		
Prior Service Cost/(Credit)		4.0		1.8		(0.3)		0.7		0.4		
Total Net Periodic Benefit Expense	\$	245.4	\$	57.1	\$	64.5	\$	23.6	\$	9.5		
Intercompany Allocations		N/A	\$	44.9	\$	(8.4)	\$	10.5	\$	8.0		

Edgar Filing: EVERSOURCE ENERGY - Form 10-K										
Capitalized Pension Expense	\$	73.2	\$	28.0	\$	28.9	\$	7.3	\$	5.2

(1)

Amounts exclude \$3.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 that represent amounts included in other deferred debits.

(2)

NSTAR Electric's allocated expense associated with the NSTAR SERP was \$3.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 and was not included in the NSTAR Electric amounts in the table above. For the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, the SERP amount is now allocated to NSTAR Electric due to the service company merger.

The following actuarial assumptions were used to calculate Pension and SERP expense amounts:

		Pension and SERP For the Years Ended Decembe	r 31,
	2015	2014	2013
Discount Rate	4.20%	4.85 % - 5.03 %	4.13 % - 4.24 %
Expected Long-Term Rate of Return	8.25 %	8.25 %	8.25%
Compensation/Progression Rate	3.50 %	3.50 % - 4.00 %	3.50 % - 4.00 %

The following is a summary of the changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in Regulatory Assets and Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) as well as amounts in Regulatory Assets and OCI that were reclassified as net periodic benefit expense during the years presented:

	Regu	egulatory Assets			OCI							
	For the Years Ended De						ecember 31,					
(Millions of Dollars)	2015		20	014	20)15	20)14				
Actuarial (Gains)/Losses Arising During the Year	\$	(2.0)	\$	797.3	\$	(6.2)	\$	55.9				
Actuarial Losses Reclassified as Net Periodic Benefit Expense		(142.3)		(122.8)		(6.2)		(5.6)				
Prior Service Cost Reclassified as Net Periodic Benefit Expense	se	(3.5)		(4.2)		(0.2)		(0.2)				

The following is a summary of the remaining Regulatory Assets and Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss amounts that have not been recognized as components of net periodic benefit expense as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, as well as the amounts that are expected to be recognized as components in 2016:

	Regulatory A	Assets as of	Ex	spected	AOC	I as	of	Ex	pected
	Decemb	December 31,		2016	December 31,			2016	
(Millions of Dollars)	2015	2014	E	xpense	2015		2014	Ex	pense
Actuarial Loss	\$ 1,667.6	\$ 1,811.9	\$	120.6	\$ 81.1	\$	93.5	\$	5.4
Prior Service Cost	9.7	13.2		3.4	0.6		0.8		0.2

PBOP Plan: On January 1, 2014, concurrent with the service company merger, the PBOP assets and liabilities of NSTAR Electric & Gas were attributed by participant and transferred to the applicable operating company's balance sheets. This change had no impact on the income statements or net assets of NSTAR Electric or Eversource. The PBOP Plan is accounted for under the multiple-employer approach, with each operating company's balance sheet reflecting its share of the funded status of the plan. The following tables provide information on the PBOP Plan benefit obligations, fair values of plan assets, and funded status:

	PBOP						
Eversource	As of December 31,						
(Millions of Dollars)	2015			2014			
Change in Benefit Obligation							
Benefit Obligation as of Beginning of Year	\$	(1,147.9)	\$	(1,038.0)			
Service Cost		(16.3)		(12.5)			
Interest Cost		(47.2)		(49.5)			
Actuarial Gain/(Loss)		106.0		(95.5)			
Benefits Paid		54.0		47.6			
Benefit Obligation as of End of Year	\$	(1,051.4)	\$	(1,147.9)			
Change in Plan Assets							
Fair Value of Plan Assets as of Beginning of Year	\$	862.6	\$	826.5			
Actual Return on Plan Assets		(4.3)		43.7			

Employer Contributions	7.9	40.0
Benefits Paid	(54.0)	