

IRIDEX CORP
Form 10-K
March 30, 2007

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K**

Annual report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2006

or

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number 0-27598

IRIDEX CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)**

**77-0210467
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)**

1212 Terra Bella Avenue, Mountain View CA 94043-1824

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(650) 940-4700

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on which Registered

Common

NASDAQ Global Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (Section 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was approximately \$45,675,676, as of July 1, 2006, the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed

second fiscal quarter, based on the closing price reported for such date on the NASDAQ Global Market. The registrant did not have any non-voting common equity outstanding. For purposes of this disclosure, shares of common stock held by each executive officer and director and by each holder of 5% or more of the outstanding shares of common stock have been excluded from this calculation, because such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

As of March 23, 2007, Registrant had 8,168,624 shares of common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Certain parts of the Proxy Statement for the Registrant's 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Proxy Statement) are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

| | Page No. |
|---|----------|
| <u>Part I</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Business</u> | 3 |
| <u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u> | 18 |
| <u>Item 2. Properties</u> | 35 |
| <u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u> | 35 |
| <u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 37 |
| <u>Part II</u> | |
| <u>Item 5. Market for Registrants' Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 37 |
| <u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u> | 39 |
| <u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 40 |
| <u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 46 |
| <u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 46 |
| <u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 72 |
| <u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u> | 72 |
| <u>Item 9B. Other Information</u> | 74 |
| <u>Part III</u> | |
| <u>Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | 74 |
| <u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u> | 74 |
| <u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 74 |
| <u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u> | 74 |
| <u>Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 74 |
| <u>Part IV</u> | |
| <u>Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K</u> | 75 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 78 |
| <u>EXHIBIT 23.1</u> | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 31.1</u> | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 31.2</u> | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 32.1</u> | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 32.2</u> | |

Table of Contents**PART I**

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, such as statements relating to levels of future sales and operating results; actual order rate and market acceptance of our products; expectations for future sales growth, generally, including expectations of additional sales from our new products and new applications of our existing products; impact of the acquisition of the Laserscope aesthetics business leveraging our core business and increasing recurring revenues; broadening our product lines through product innovation; our marketing programs, generally, including the Valued IRIDEX Partner Program; efforts to decrease costs; higher gross estimate of the size of our markets; levels of future investment in research and development efforts; our ability to develop and introduce new products through strategic alliances, OEM relationships and acquisitions; outcome of our current litigation; results of clinical studies, risks associated with bringing new products to market, general economic conditions and levels of international sales, our current liquidity, ability to obtain additional financing and impact of concern regarding our ability to continue as a going concern. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology, such as may, will, should, expects, plans, anticipates, believes, estimates, predicts, intends, potential, continue, or the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The reader is strongly urged to read the information contained under the captions Part I, Item 1, Business, and Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Factors That May Affect Future Results in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a more detailed description of these significant risks and uncertainties. The reader is cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect management's analysis only as of the date of this Form 10-K. We undertake no obligation to update such forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this report.

Item 1. Business**General**

IRIDEX Corporation is a leading worldwide provider of therapeutic based laser systems and delivery devices used to treat eye diseases in ophthalmology and skin conditions in dermatology (also referred to as aesthetics). Our products are sold in the United States predominantly through a direct sales force and internationally through 77 independent distributors in 107 countries. Total product sales in 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$35.9 million, \$37.0 million, and \$32.8 million respectively, which generated a net (loss) income for those corresponding years of (\$2.6) million, \$1.7 million and (\$0.4) million.

The current family of OcuLight laser systems, which accounts for the majority of our revenues, is used for ophthalmic applications primarily in operating rooms. The OcuLight product family includes the OcuLight TX, IRIS Medical IQ810 Laser System, the OcuLight Symphony (Laser Delivery System), OcuLight SL, OcuLight SLx, OcuLight GL and OcuLight GLx laser photocoagulation systems. Our ophthalmology products contributed \$30.8 million, \$30.7 million, and \$27.8 million to our total revenues in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Our ophthalmology products are used in the treatment of serious eye diseases, including the three leading causes of irreversible blindness, diabetic retinopathy, glaucoma and age-related macular degeneration (AMD). In addition, our ophthalmology products are often used in vitrectomy procedures (proliferative diabetic retinopathy, macular holes, retinal tears and detachments) which are generally performed in the operating room require a disposable single use laser probe (EndoProbe) to deliver the light to the back of the eye.

Table of Contents

Our dermatology products treat skin conditions, primarily vascular and pigmented lesions. Our dermatology laser systems include the DioLite XP, and the VariLite Dual Wavelength Laser systems. Our dermatology products are primarily used in a dermatologist or plastic surgeon's office and contributed \$5.1 million, \$6.4 million, and \$5.1 million to our total revenues in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

In January 2007, the Company acquired Laserscope's aesthetics business including its subsidiaries in France and the United Kingdom (UK) from American Medical Systems Holdings (AMS). Laserscope aesthetic treatments encompass minimally invasive surgical techniques for hair removal, leg veins, wrinkle removal, acne damage, sun damage and skin rejuvenation. These procedures are usually not performed in an operating room and are therefore paid for by patients without the assistance of any insurance or medicare reimbursement. Laserscope's aesthetic products include the Gemini Laser System, Venus-i Laser Systems, Lyra-i Laser System, Aura-i Laser System featuring StarPulse and Solis IPL System. Laserscope's delivery devices VersaStat i SmartScan Plus, SmartScan, CoolSpot, Dermastats and MicronSpot Dermastats.

The Iridex ophthalmic and dermatology laser system, exclusive of the Laserscope products, consist of small, portable laser consoles and delivery devices. While dermatologists almost always use our laser systems in their offices, ophthalmologists and plastic surgeons typically use our laser systems in hospital operating rooms (OR) and ambulatory surgical centers (ASC), as well as their offices. In the OR and ASC, ophthalmologists use our laser with either an indirect laser ophthalmoscope or a disposable, single use EndoProbe. Our business includes a recurring revenue component which includes the sales of the disposable, single use laser probes, EndoProbes, combined with the repair, servicing and extended warranty protection for our laser systems. Since our first shipment in 1990, more than 8,300 IRIDEX medical laser systems, both ophthalmic and dermatology, have been sold worldwide.

IRIDEX Corporation was incorporated in California in February 1989 as IRIS Medical Instruments, Inc. In 1996, we changed our name to IRIDEX Corporation and reincorporated in Delaware. Our executive offices are located at 1212 Terra Bella Avenue, Mountain View, California 94043-1824, and our telephone number is (650) 940-4700. We can also be reached at our website at www.iredex.com, however, the information on, or that can be accessed through, our website is not part of this report. As used in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the terms "Company," "IRIDEX," "us" and "our" refer to IRIDEX Corporation, a Delaware corporation, and, when the context so requires, our wholly owned subsidiaries, IRIS Medical Instruments, Inc. and Light Solutions Corporation, both California corporations.

The IRIDEX Strategy

We are one of the worldwide leaders in developing, manufacturing, marketing, selling and servicing innovative medical laser systems. We have three key elements in our strategy: 1) leveraging our core business and increasing recurring revenues in our ophthalmology business, 2) broadening our product lines through product innovation, and 3) developing new market opportunities through strategic alliances, OEM relationships and acquisitions including the successful integration of the aesthetics business of Laserscope.

1. Leverage our Core Business and Increase Recurring Revenues. We believe that we can continue to grow our current installed base of more than 8,300 IRIDEX laser systems worldwide. With the initiatives of our expanded sales and marketing team, we expect to grow our current product offerings in laser systems, delivery devices and disposables. Despite the fact that the majority of our sales are in a competitive replacement market, the features of our laser systems combined with our large installed laser base as well as our new product innovations should allow us to increase our market share.

Table of Contents

Our recurring revenue includes the sale of our disposable, single use laser probes, EndoProbes, combined with the repair, servicing and extended warranty protection for our laser systems. In 2006 recurring revenues were 43% of the overall business up from 36% in 2005 and 33% in 2004. With our increased sales force and new marketing programs, we believe that there is an opportunity to significantly increase our recurring revenues over the next several years. Our new sales programs include an increased number of domestic area sales managers from 10 to 12 focused on the entire ophthalmic product offering and whose incentive plan is more focused on the recurring revenue opportunity, particularly laser probe sales. Our new U.S. marketing programs include a VIP (Valued IRIDEX Partner) program that allows customers to access additional IRIDEX products based upon the purchase of disposable laser probes. During the past two years, we have introduced many different types of EndoProbes into the market, including our stepped, intuitive and illuminating probes.

When a customer purchases our laser system, a warranty is included. In addition, we market extended warranty protection plans which can be purchased at the time of the product acquisition or after the expiration of the original warranty. We also repair products out of warranty under a program which allows our customer to obtain a laser overnight while their unit is returned for repair. The customer is charged a reasonable fee for these services.

2. Broaden Product Lines through Product Innovation. One of our core strengths has been the introduction at regular intervals of new laser systems, delivery devices including disposable probes and product upgrades to enhance the benefits of our laser systems. We attempt to leverage the knowledge and know-how of our existing products and technology when developing new products. In October 2000, we introduced the EasyFit family of portable slit lamp adapters (or SLAs), which allow for improved viewing clarity of the retina by the physician. In October 2002, we introduced the OcuLight Symphony Laser Delivery System which combines the clinical versatility and convenience of infrared and visible photocoagulation consoles into one delivery device. We also introduced an expanded EndoProbe product line and a 5 millimeter Large Spot Slit Lamp Adapter. In December 2002, we commenced shipment of the Millennium Endolase module, which we manufacture to be included in Bausch & Lomb's Millennium Microsurgical System. In 2003, we introduced a 50 micron spot slit lamp adapter, the smallest spot size diameter available on IRIDEX slit lamp adapters. In addition, in 2003 and the first quarter of 2004, we introduced four additions to our Endoprobe product line. In 2004, we launched a new, menu driven infrared platform for ophthalmology, the IQ810, designed to allow easier physician access to a variety of advanced laser energy delivery modes used to perform Minimum Intensity Photocoagulation (MIP) procedures. For the dermatology market, we introduced the VariLite, a dual wavelength laser in the fourth quarter of 2004 that offers both high power 532 nm and 940 nm wavelengths for added clinical versatility and convenience for the physician. In 2005, we continued to expand our disposable EndoProbe product line with new designs in stepped probes, intuitive probes and illuminating probes. In 2005, we also launched the DioLite XP laser which is a high power 532 nm wavelength laser system and ScanLite XP scanner for dermatological applications. In 2006 at the American Academy of Ophthalmology (AAO) we introduced two new laser systems:

the OcuLight TX, a new green light laser with an optional remote control and wireless foot switch. This first shipped in late 2006, and

the first true yellow 577nm laser system which requires FDA approval and is currently scheduled to ship in mid 2007.

We intend to continue our investment in research and product innovation to improve the performance of our systems and broaden our product offerings. We also intend to develop innovative technologies which can address the customer needs of the ophthalmic and aesthetic markets.

Table of Contents

3. Develop New Market Opportunities Through Strategic Acquisitions and Alliances. In January 2007, we acquired the aesthetics business of Laserscope from AMS to complement the laser systems that Iridex has been selling to dermatologists and plastic surgeons since 1994. In addition to having a strong presence in the offices of dermatologists and plastic surgeons, the Laserscope products have primarily been sold to general practitioners and ob-gyn doctors. The Iridex dermatology products treat skin conditions, primarily vascular and pigmented lesions while Laserscope product treatments encompass minimally invasive surgical techniques for hair removal, leg veins, wrinkle removal, acne damage, sun damage and skin rejuvenation. The successful integration of the Laserscope aesthetics business will be one of the major objectives of our management team in 2007. We have negotiated a supply agreement with AMS to provide us with Laserscope products for up to a nine month period ending October 2007, however, we plan to integrate the manufacture of the Laserscope products into our Mountain View facility within a shorter period of time. In addition we have already transitioned the customer service and support functions related to the Laserscope products to our existing facility in Mountain View.

In order to achieve the desired level of growth, we must explore opportunities to acquire technologies or companies which strategically fit our current core competencies. We have an excellent reputation, within the retinal segment of ophthalmology, as a company with innovative and reliable technology combined with responsive customer service. Given the level of management experience within the company and the size of the market opportunity, an acquisition within ophthalmology would be a good fit strategically. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Factors That May Affect Future Results We Depend on Collaborative Relationships to Develop, Introduce and Market New Products, Product Enhancements and New Applications.

Products

We utilize a systems approach to product design. Each system includes a console, which generates the laser energy, and a number of interchangeable peripheral delivery devices, including disposable delivery devices, for use in specific clinical applications. This approach allows our customers to purchase a basic console system and add additional delivery devices as their needs expand or as new applications develop. We believe that this systems approach is a distinguishing characteristic and also brings economies-of-scale to our product development and manufacturing efforts because individual applications do not require the design and manufacture of complete stand-alone products. Our primary non-disposable products range in price from \$2,000 to \$60,000, and consist of laser consoles and specialized delivery devices and our line of disposable products has list prices of between \$150 and \$200 to end customers.

Consoles: Our laser consoles incorporate the economic and technical benefits of semiconductor laser technology. *Infrared Photocoagulator Consoles.* These OcuLight and IQ810 photocoagulator consoles used by ophthalmologists are available in two infrared (810nm) output power ranges: the OcuLight SL at 2 Watts and the IQ810 and OcuLight SLx at 3 Watts. Each console weighs 14 pounds, has dimensions of 4 H x 12 W x 12 D, and requires no external air or water cooling. We believe that the smaller overall sizes, lower weights and low power requirements to operate represent distinct advantages over competing products.

Table of Contents

Visible (or Green) Photocoagulator Consoles. Our OcuLight TX, OR, GL and OcuLight GLx semiconductor-based photocoagulator consoles used in ophthalmology deliver visible (532nm) laser light. The OcuLight TX was first shipped in late 2006 and offers an optional remote control and wireless footswitch. The OcuLight GLx has increased power and delivery device capability. Our visible laser light dermatology products, the DioLite XP and VariLite are also based on semiconductor-based technology. The OcuLight OR/GL/GLx/DioLite XP/DioLite consoles weigh 15 pounds, have dimensions of 6 H x 12 W x 12 D, draw a maximum of 300 Watts of wall power and require no external air or water cooling. In December 2002, we commenced shipment of the Millennium Endolase module, which is sold exclusively to Bausch & Lomb for use in their Millennium Microsurgical System. It integrates 532nm photocoagulator capability into Bausch & Lomb's array of microsurgical capabilities for the vitrectomy procedure. The Millennium Endolase module is compatible with the IRIDEX disposable EndoProbe handpieces and Laser Indirect Ophthalmoscope.

Combination Infrared/Visible Photocoagulator Consoles. The OcuLight Symphony Laser Delivery System, is used by ophthalmologists and consists of an OcuLight SLx infrared (810nm) laser console, OcuLight GLx green (532 nm) laser console, multi-fiber slit lamp adapter, slit lamp and a custom cart. The OcuLight Symphony Laser Delivery System combines the clinical versatility and convenience of a 532 nm, 810 nm and large spot 810 nm into one delivery device for retinal photocoagulation and glaucoma procedures. Our VariLite product which is used in dermatology is a dual wavelength combination of 532nm and infrared 940nm. The VariLite wavelength can be changed with just a simple flip of a single switch and can be used in conjunction with the ScanLite as well as several different sized handpieces. We believe that this product offers a unique value-added proposition and the efficiency of dual laser wavelength delivery in a single product.

Specialized Delivery Devices. Our versatile family of consoles and delivery devices has been designed to accommodate the addition of new capabilities with a minimal incremental investment. Users of this product can add capabilities by simply purchasing new interchangeable delivery devices and utilizing them with their existing console. We have developed both disposable and nondisposable delivery devices and expect to continue to develop additional devices.

Ophthalmic Delivery Devices:

TruFocus Laser Indirect Ophthalmoscope (LIO). The indirect ophthalmoscope is designed to be worn on the physician's head and to be used in procedures to treat peripheral retinal disorders, particularly in infants or adults requiring treatment in the supine position. This product can be used in both diagnosis and treatment procedures at the point-of-care.

Slit Lamp Adapter (SLA). These adapters allow the physician to utilize a standard slit lamp in both diagnosis and treatment procedures. Doctors can install a slit lamp adapter in a few minutes and convert standard diagnostic slit lamps into a therapeutic photocoagulator delivery system. Slit lamp adapters are used in treatment procedures for both retinal diseases and glaucoma. These devices are available in a wide variety of spot diameters. In 2003, we introduced a 50 micron spot slit lamp adapter, a reduction in the smallest spot size diameter available on IRIDEX slit lamp adapters.

Operating Microscope Adapter. These adapters allow the physician to utilize a standard operating microscope in both diagnosis and laser treatment procedures. These devices are similar to slit lamp adapters, except that they are oriented horizontally and therefore can be used to deliver retinal photocoagulation to a supine patient.

EndoProbe. The EndoProbe or laser probe is used for endophotocoagulation, a retinal treatment procedure performed in the hospital operating room or surgery center during a vitrectomy procedure. These sterile disposable probes are available in tapered, angled, fluted, illuminating, stepped, endocular and intuitive styles.

G-Probe. The G-Probe is used in procedures to treat medically and surgically uncontrolled glaucoma, in many instances replacing cyclocryotherapy, or freezing of the eye. The G-Probe's non-invasive procedure takes approximately ten minutes, is done to an anesthetized eye in the doctor's office and results in less pain and fewer adverse side effects than cyclocryotherapy. The G-Probe is a sterile disposable product.

Table of Contents

DioPexy Probe. The DioPexy Probe is a hand-held instrument which is used in procedures to treat retinal tears and breaks, non-invasively through the sclera, as an alternative method of attaching the retina. Our DioPexy Probe results in increased precision, less pain and less inflammation than traditional cryotherapy.

Dermatology Delivery Devices:

DioLite Handpiece. The DioLite Handpiece is a hand held instrument that is used in the treatment of vascular and pigmented skin lesions. These devices are available in 200, 500, 700, 1000 and 1400 micron spot diameters.

VariLite Handpiece. The VariLite Handpiece is a handheld instrument used in the treatment of vascular, pigmented cutaneous skin lesions and small area hair removal. Ergonomic handpieces can be used with both the 532 nm and 940 nm wavelengths and are available in 700, 1,000, 1,400, 2,000 and 2,800 micron spot diameter.

ScanLite Scanner. The ScanLite XP and ScanLite are computer pattern generators with integrated controls designed to enhance the capabilities of the DioLite XP and DioLite 532 laser systems. They allow rapid and uniform treatment of large-area vascular and pigmented skin lesions including port wine stains, matted telangiectasia, and cafe au lait stains.

The following chart lists the eye disease procedures that can utilize our photocoagulator systems, including the console and delivery devices that we offer for use in treating these diseases. The selection of delivery device is often determined by the severity and location of the disease. These diseases are treated either within the physician's office, clinic, or the operating room.

Ophthalmology Treatments:

| Condition | Procedure | Console | Delivery Devices |
|----------------------------------|---|--------------------|---|
| Age-related Macular Degeneration | Retinal Photocoagulation | Infrared & Visible | Slit Lamp Adapter |
| Diabetic Retinopathy | | | |
| Macular Edema | Grid Retinal Photocoagulation | Infrared & Visible | Slit Lamp Adapter & Operating Microscope Adapter, |
| | Focal Retinal Photocoagulation | Visible | Slit Lamp Adapter |
| Proliferative | Pan-Retinal Photocoagulation Vitrectomy Procedure | Infrared & Visible | Slit Lamp Adapter, Operating Microscope Adapter, Laser Indirect Ophthalmoscope, EndoProbe* |
| Glaucoma | | | |
| Primary Open-Angle | Trabeculoplasty | Infrared & Visible | Slit Lamp Adapter |
| Angle-closure | Iridotomy | Infrared & Visible | Slit Lamp Adapter |

Table of Contents

| Condition | Procedure | Console | Delivery Devices |
|-------------------------------|---|--------------------|--|
| Uncontrolled Glaucoma | Transscleral Cyclophotocoagulation | Infrared | G-Probe* |
| Retinal Tears and Detachments | Retinopexy Retinal Photocoagulation Vitrectomy Procedure | Infrared & Visible | Slit Lamp Adapter, Laser Indirect Ophthalmoscope, Operating Microscope Adapter, EndoProbe* |
| | Transscleral Retinal Photocoagulation | Infrared | DioPexy Probe |
| Retinopathy of Prematurity | Retinal Photocoagulation | Infrared | Laser Indirect Ophthalmoscope |
| Ocular Tumors | Retinal Photocoagulation | Infrared | Slit Lamp Adapter, Operating Microscope Adapter, Laser Indirect Ophthalmoscope |
| Macular Holes | Vitrectomy Procedure | Visible | EndoProbe* |

* Disposable single use products

The following chart lists the procedures for treating skin diseases that can utilize our dermatology laser systems. These procedures are normally performed in a physician's office and are elective and private pay.

Dermatology***Treatments:***

| Condition | Procedure | Console | Delivery Devices |
|-------------------|----------------------------|---------|-------------------|
| Vascular Lesions | Selective Photothermolysis | Visible | DioLite Handpiece |
| Pigmented Lesions | Selective Photothermolysis | Visible | DioLite Handpiece |

Table of Contents**Research and Product Innovation**

We have close working relationships with researchers, clinicians and practicing physicians around the world who provide new ideas, test the feasibility of these new ideas and assist us in validating new products and new applications before they are introduced.

Our product innovation group (formerly known as product development) activities are performed by a current team of 19 engineers with an average of 22 years of experience each in medical products, laser systems and delivery devices. The core competencies of the team include: mechanical engineering, electrical engineering, optics, software, firmware and delivery devices. The team is being transitioned with a focus to introduce innovative products which satisfy the unmet and emerging needs of our customers. Their approach is a rapid product development process which integrates all the necessary disciplines of the Company from product inception through customer acceptance. This approach should allow for rapid and reliable new product innovations and a consistent pipeline of innovative products for our customers.

Our research activities are performed internally by our research staff. From time to time, we supplement our internal research staff by hiring consultants and/or partnering with universities to gain specialized expertise. Research efforts are directed toward the development of new products and new applications for our existing products, as well as the identification of markets which may include clinical trials and may not be currently addressed by our products.

We believe that it is important to make a substantial contribution to the treatment of serious eye diseases such as age-related macular degeneration, diabetic retinopathy and glaucoma. The objectives of developing new photocoagulation treatments and applications are to expand the number of patients who can be treated, to more effectively treat diseases, to treat patients earlier in the treatment regimen and to reduce the sideeffects of treatment. Examples of such studies with regard to particular eye afflictions are included in the following paragraphs.

Age-Related Macular Degeneration (AMD) Wet Form. AMD is a progressive disease that damages the central vision and affects a person's ability to read, see faces, and drive. About 50 million people worldwide have AMD and, of these, about 5 million have the more severe wet form. Though the wet form of AMD constitutes about 10% of all AMD, it accounts for about 80% of all severe vision loss associated with AMD. We are pursuing several approaches to treat wet AMD at different stages. All of these approaches close new blood vessels in the eye's macula caused by wet AMD with less damage than conventional laser treatments.

Age-Related Macular Degeneration Dry Form. About 90% of AMD is the dry form. Our approach to the treatment of dry AMD is to preserve or improve vision by following a MIP protocol that uses the OcuLight infrared laser to cause resorption of dry AMD deposits (drusen) which have accumulated in the macula. We have supported a multi-center clinical trial which is testing a treatment of eyes with dry age-related macular degeneration (PTAMD trial).

Glaucoma. Preliminary studies are underway to evaluate the use of the G-Probe as a primary surgical treatment modality for glaucoma in various parts of the world.

Diabetic Retinopathy. Other MIP studies are underway to investigate the treatment of diabetic retinopathy using the MicroPulse operating mode available in our OcuLight SLx product with the objective of causing regression of the disease with less loss of vision than conventional laser therapy.

Ocular Tumors. Clinical studies have reported successful treatment of ocular tumors using OcuLight infrared lasers using the TTT approach.

All of these clinical projects should be considered in the research area and they may or may not result in additional commercial opportunities. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Factors That May Affect Future Results We are Dependent on the Successful Outcome of Clinical Trials of Our Products and New Applications Using Our Products.

Table of Contents**Customers and Customer Support**

Our products are currently sold to ophthalmologists, particularly those specializing in retina, glaucoma and pediatrics, dermatologists and plastic surgeons. Other customers include research and teaching hospitals, government installations, surgical centers and hospitals. No customer or distributor accounted for 10% or more of total sales in any of 2006, 2005 or 2004. See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

We are continuing our efforts to broaden our customer base through the development of new products and new applications of our existing products for use by ophthalmologists and dermatologists. We currently estimate that there are approximately 15,000-20,000 ophthalmologists in the United States and 40,000-60,000 internationally who are each potential customers. Additionally, we estimate that there are approximately 4,900 and 18,000 hospitals in the United States and internationally, respectively, as well as approximately 4,000 ambulatory surgical centers in the United States which potentially represent multiple unit sales. We believe there are approximately 10,000 dermatologists and approximately 9,000 plastic surgeons in the United States who are potential customers. Because independent ophthalmologists and dermatologists frequently practice at their own offices as well as through affiliation with hospitals or other medical centers, each independent ophthalmologist, dermatologist, plastic surgeon, office, hospital and medical center is a potential customer for our products. We are seeking to broaden our customer base by developing new products directed at addressing the needs of ophthalmologists and dermatologists.

We seek to provide superior customer support and service and therefore created our Global Customer Care Group chartered with the responsibility for handling customer requests and product repairs, which has resulted in a significant improvement in our response times to customer support and service issues. We believe that our superior customer service and technical support distinguish our product offerings from those of our competitors. Our customer support representatives assist customers with orders, warranty returns and other administrative functions. Our technical support engineers provide customers with answers to technical and product-related questions. We maintain an around-the-clock telephone service line to service our customers. If a problem with a product cannot be diagnosed and resolved by telephone, a replacement unit is shipped overnight to any domestic customer and by the most rapid delivery means available to any international customer, and the problem unit is returned to us. The small size and rugged design of our products allows for economical shipment and quick response to customers almost anywhere in the world.

Sales and Marketing

We market our products in the United States predominantly through our direct sales force. Our direct sales force is separated into two separate divisions, one for ophthalmology and one for aesthetics. In total we have a direct sales force of 18 employees who are engaged in sales efforts within the United States as of December 30, 2006. Our sales and marketing organization is based at our corporate headquarters in Mountain View, California with area sales managers located throughout the United States.

International product sales represented 39.2%, 38.6% and 39.4% of our sales in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. We believe that our international sales will continue to represent a significant portion of our revenues for the foreseeable future. Our international sales are made principally to customers in Europe, Asia, Pacific Rim, Middle East and Latin America. Our products are sold internationally through our 77 independent distributors into 107 countries. International sales are administered through our corporate headquarters in Mountain View, California. Our distribution agreements with our international distributors are generally exclusive and typically can be terminated by either party without cause with 90 days notice. International sales may be adversely affected by the imposition of governmental controls, currency fluctuations, restrictions on export technology, political instability, trade restrictions, changes in tariffs and the economic condition in each country in which we sell our products. See Item 7,

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Factors That May Affect Future Results We Depend on International Sales.

Table of Contents

To support our sales process we conduct marketing programs which include direct mail, trade shows, public relations, market research, and advertising in trade and academic journals and newsletters. We annually participate in over 100 trade shows internationally. These meetings allow us to present our products to existing and prospective buyers. One of our new marketing programs designed to help us reach our goal of increasing our recurring revenues, includes a VIP (Valued IRIDEX Partner) program which allows customers to access additional IRIDEX products through a contractual agreement on the purchase of disposable laser probes. In 2006, recurring revenues (including sales of EndoProbes and service revenue) were 40% of the overall business up from 36% in 2005. During the past two years, we have introduced many specialty types of EndoProbes into the market, including our new stepped, intuitive and illuminating probes.

We believe that educating patients and physicians at an early stage about the long-term health benefits and cost-effectiveness of diagnosis and treatment of diseases that cause blindness is critical to market acceptance of our ophthalmic products. The trend toward management of health care costs in the United States should lead to increased awareness of and early intervention of disease management with cost-effective treatments and, as a result, will increase demand for our ophthalmic products. Our marketing efforts are made to promote the education of our customers on these topics.

Through marketing, we collaborate with our customers to enhance our ability to identify new applications for our products, validate new procedures using our products and identify new product applications which help meet their unmet needs. Customers include key opinion leaders who are often the heads of the departments in which they work or professors at universities. We believe that these luminaries in the field of ophthalmology and dermatology are key to the successful introduction of new products and the subsequent acceptance of these new products by the general market. Acceptance of our products by these early adopters is key to our strategy in the validation and commercialization of our new products.

Operations

The manufacture of our infrared and visible light photocoagulators and the related delivery devices is a highly complex and precise process. Completed systems must pass quality control and reliability tests before shipment. Our manufacturing activities consist of specifying, sourcing, assembling and testing of components and certain subassemblies for assembly into our final product. As of December 30, 2006, we had a total of 52 employees engaged in manufacturing activities.

The medical devices manufactured by us are subject to extensive regulation by numerous governmental authorities, including federal, state, and foreign governmental agencies. The principal regulator in the United States is the Food and Drug Administration (the FDA). In April 1998, we received certification for ISO 9001/EN 46001. ISO 9001/EN 46001 is a documented international quality system standard that documents compliance to the European Medical Device Directive. In February 2004, we were certified to ISO 13485:2003 which replaced ISO 9001/EN46001 as the international standard for quality systems as applied to medical devices.

We rely on third parties to manufacture substantially all of the components used in our products, although we assemble critical subassemblies and the final product at our facility in Mountain View, California. Some of these suppliers and manufacturers are sole source. We have some long-term or volume purchase agreements with our suppliers and currently purchase most components on a purchase order basis. These components may not be available in the quantities required, on reasonable terms, or at all. Financial or other difficulties faced by our suppliers or significant changes in demand for these components or materials could limit their availability. Any failures by such third parties to adequately perform may delay the submission of products for regulatory approval, impair our ability to deliver products on a timely basis or otherwise impair our competitive position. See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Factors That May Affect Future Results - We Face Risks of Manufacturing and We Depend on Key Manufacturers and Suppliers.

Table of Contents

International regulatory bodies often establish varying product standards, packaging requirements, labeling requirements, tariff regulations, duties and tax requirements. As a result of our sales in Europe, we are required to have all products CE marked, an international symbol affixed to all products demonstrating compliance to the European Medical Device Directive and all applicable standards. In July 1998, we received CE mark under Annex II guidelines, the most stringent path to CE certification. With Annex II CE certification, we have demonstrated our ability to both understand and comply with all applicable European standards. This allows us to CE mark any product upon our internal verification of compliance to all applicable European standards. Currently, all released products are CE marked. Continued certification is based on successful review of the process by our European Registrar during its annual audit. Any loss of certification would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Factors That May Affect Future Results-We Are Subject to Government Regulation.

Competition

Competition in the market for laser systems and delivery devices used for ophthalmic and dermatology treatment procedures is intense and is expected to increase. This market is also characterized by rapid technological innovation and change, and our products could become obsolete as a result of future innovations. Our competitive position depends on a number of factors including product performance, characteristics and functionality, ease of use, scalability, durability and cost. In addition to other companies that manufacture photocoagulators and dermatological devices, we compete with pharmaceutical solutions, other technologies and other surgical techniques available in both the dermatologic and ophthalmic markets. Our principal competitors in ophthalmology are Lumenis Ltd., Nidek, Inc., Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Alcon Inc. and Synergetics. All of these companies currently offer a competitive, semiconductor-based laser system for ophthalmology. Also within ophthalmology pharmaceutical alternative treatments for AMD such as Lucentis (Genentech), and to a lesser extent Visudyne (Novartis) and Macugen (Eyetechnology) compete rigorously with traditional laser procedures. Our principal competitors in dermatology are Palomar Technologies, Candela Corporation, Syneron, and Lumenis Ltd. Some competitors have substantially greater financial, engineering, product development, manufacturing, marketing and technical resources than we do. Some companies also have greater name recognition than us and long-standing customer relationships. In addition, other medical companies, academic and research institutions, or others, may develop new technologies or therapies, including medical devices, surgical procedures or pharmacological treatments and obtain regulatory approval for products utilizing such techniques that are more effective in treating the conditions targeted by us, or are less expensive than our current or future products. Our technologies and products could be rendered obsolete by such developments. Any such developments could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Factors That May Affect Future Results Our Market is Competitive.

Patents and Proprietary Rights

Our success and ability to compete is dependent in part upon our proprietary information. We rely on a combination of patents, trade secrets, copyright and trademark laws, nondisclosure and other contractual agreements and technical measures to protect our intellectual property rights. We file patent applications to protect technology, inventions and improvements that are significant to the development of our business. We have been issued 15 United States patents and five foreign patents on the technologies related to our products and processes, which have expiration dates ranging from 2009 to 2023. We have approximately five pending patent applications in the United States and five foreign pending patent applications that have been filed. Our patent applications may not be approved.

In addition to patents, we rely on trade secrets and proprietary know-how which we seek to protect, in part, through proprietary information agreements with employees, consultants and other parties. Our proprietary information agreements with our employees and consultants contain provisions requiring such individuals to assign to us, without additional consideration, any inventions conceived or reduced to practice by them while employed or retained by us, subject to customary exceptions See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Factors That May Affect Future Results We Rely on Patents and Proprietary Rights.

Table of Contents**Government Regulation**

The medical devices to be marketed and manufactured by us are subject to extensive regulation by numerous governmental authorities, including federal, state, and foreign governmental agencies. Pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as amended, and the regulations promulgated thereunder (the FDA Act), the FDA serves as the principal federal agency within the United States with authority over medical devices and regulates the research, clinical testing, manufacture, labeling, distribution, sale, marketing and promotion of such devices. Noncompliance with applicable requirements can result in, among other things, warning letters, fines, injunctions, civil penalties, recall or seizures of products, total or partial suspension of production, failure of the government to grant premarket clearance or approval for devices, withdrawal of marketing approvals, and criminal prosecution. The FDA also has the authority to request repair, replacement or refund of the cost of any device manufactured or distributed by us.

In the United States, medical devices are classified into one of three classes (Class I, II or III), on the basis of the controls deemed necessary by the FDA to reasonably assure the safety and effectiveness of such products. Under FDA regulations, Class I devices are subject to general controls (for example, labeling, premarket notification and adherence to Quality System Regulations (QSRs) requirements). Class II devices receive marketing clearance through either a 510(k) premarket notification or a PMA. A 510(k) clearance will be granted if the submitted information establishes that the proposed device is substantially equivalent to a legally marketed Class I or II medical device, or to a Class III medical device for which the FDA has not called for a PMA. The FDA may determine that a proposed device is not substantially equivalent to a legally marketed device, or that additional information or data are needed before a substantial equivalence determination can be made. A request for additional data may require that clinical studies of the device's safety and efficacy be performed.

Commercial distribution of a device for which a 510(k) notification is required can begin only after the FDA issues an order finding the device to be substantially equivalent to a previously cleared device. The FDA has recently been requiring a more rigorous demonstration of substantial equivalence than in the past. Even in cases where the FDA grants a 510(k) clearance, it can take the FDA from three to six months from the date of submission to grant a 510(k) clearance, but it may take longer.

A not substantially equivalent determination, or a request for additional information, could delay the market introduction of new products that fall into this category and could have a materially adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. For any of our products that are cleared through the 510(k) process, such as our IQ810 system, modifications or enhancements that could significantly affect the safety or efficacy of the device or that constitute a major change to the intended use of the device will require new 510(k) submissions.

A PMA application must be submitted if a proposed device is not substantially equivalent to a legally marketed Class I or Class II device, or if it is a Class III device for which the FDA has called for PMAs. A PMA application must be supported by valid scientific evidence which typically includes extensive data, including human clinical trial data, to demonstrate the safety and effectiveness of the device. The PMA application must also contain the results of all relevant bench tests, laboratory and animal studies, a complete description of the device and its components, and a detailed description of the methods, facilities and controls used to manufacture the device. In addition, the submission must also contain the proposed labeling, advertising literature and training methods.

Upon receipt of a PMA application, the FDA makes a threshold determination as to whether the application is sufficiently complete to permit a substantive review. If the FDA determines that the PMA application is sufficiently complete to permit a substantive review, the FDA will accept the application for filing. Once the submission is accepted for filing, the FDA begins an in-depth review of the PMA. An FDA review of a PMA application generally takes one to two years from the date the PMA application is accepted for filing, but may take significantly longer. The review time is often significantly extended as a result of the FDA asking for more information or clarification of information already provided in the submission. During the review period, an advisory committee, typically a panel of clinicians, will likely be convened to review and evaluate the application and provide recommendations to the FDA as to whether the device should be approved. The FDA is not bound by the recommendations of the advisory panel. Toward the end of the PMA review process, the FDA generally will conduct an inspection of the manufacturer's facilities to ensure that the facilities are in compliance with applicable QSR requirements.

Table of Contents

If the FDA's evaluations of both the PMA application and the manufacturing facilities are favorable, the FDA will either issue an approval letter or an approvable letter, which may contain a number of conditions that must be met in order to secure final approval of the PMA. When, and if, those conditions have been fulfilled to the satisfaction of the FDA, the agency will issue a PMA approval letter, authorizing commercial marketing of the device for certain indications. The FDA may also determine that additional clinical trials are necessary or other deficiencies exist in the PMA, in which case PMA approval may be delayed. The PMA process can be expensive, uncertain and lengthy, and a number of devices for which the FDA approval has been sought by other companies have never been approved for marketing.

If human clinical trials of a device are required in connection with either a 510(k) notification or a PMA, and the device presents a significant risk, the sponsor of the trial (usually the manufacturer or the distributor of the device) is required to file an investigational device exemption (IDE) application prior to commencing human clinical trials. The IDE application must be supported by data, typically including the results of animal and laboratory testing. If the IDE application is reviewed and approved by the FDA and one or more appropriate institutional review boards (IRBs), human clinical trials may begin at a specific number of investigational sites with a specific number of patients, as approved by the FDA. If the device presents a non significant risk to the patient, a sponsor may begin the clinical trial after obtaining approval for the study by one or more appropriate IRBs.

We have obtained 510(k) clearance for all of our marketed products. We have also modified aspects of our products since receiving regulatory clearance, but we believe that new 510(k) clearances are not required for these modifications. After a device receives 510(k) clearance or a PMA, any modification that could significantly affect its safety or effectiveness, or that would constitute a major change in its intended use, will require a new clearance or approval. The FDA requires each manufacturer to make this determination initially, but the FDA can review any such decision and can disagree with a manufacturer's determination. If the FDA disagrees with our determination not to seek a new 510(k) clearance or PMA, the FDA may retroactively require us to seek 510(k) clearance or premarket approval. The FDA could also require us to cease marketing and distribution and/or recall the modified device until 510(k) clearance or PMA approval is obtained. Also, in these circumstances, we may be subject to significant regulating fines or penalties.

Any products manufactured or distributed by us pursuant to FDA clearances or approvals are subject to pervasive and continuing regulation by the FDA, including record keeping requirements and reporting of adverse experiences with the use of the device. Device manufacturers are required to register their establishments and list their devices with the FDA and certain state agencies, and are subject to periodic inspections by the FDA and certain state agencies. The FDA Act requires devices to be manufactured to comply with applicable QSR regulations which impose certain procedural and documentation requirements upon us with respect to design, development, manufacturing and quality assurance activities. We are subject to unannounced inspections by the FDA and the Food and Drug Branch of the California Department of Health Services, or CDHS, to determine our compliance with the QSR and other regulations, and these inspections may include the manufacturing facilities of our subcontractors.

Labeling and promotion activities are subject to scrutiny by the FDA and, in certain instances, by the Federal Trade Commission. The FDA actively enforces regulations prohibiting marketing of products for unapproved uses. We and our products are also subject to a variety of state laws and regulations in those states or localities where our products are or will be marketed. Any applicable state or local regulations may hinder our ability to market our products in those states or localities. Manufacturers are also subject to numerous federal, state and local laws relating to such matters as safe working conditions, manufacturing practices, environmental protection, fire hazard control and disposal of hazardous or potentially hazardous substances. We may be required to incur significant costs to comply with such laws and regulations now or in the future. Such laws or regulations may have a material adverse effect upon our ability to do business.

Table of Contents

Exports of our products are regulated by the FDA and are covered by the Export Amendment of 1996, which greatly expanded the export of approved and unapproved United States medical devices. However, some foreign countries require manufacturers to provide an FDA certificate for products for export (CPE) which requires the device manufacturer to certify to the FDA that the product has been granted premarket clearance in the United States and that the manufacturing facilities appeared to be in compliance with QSR at the time of the last QSR inspection. The FDA will refuse to issue a CPE if significant outstanding QSR violations exist.

We are also regulated under the Radiation Control for Health and Safety Act, which requires laser products to comply with performance standards, including design and operation requirements, and manufacturers to certify in product labeling and in reports to the FDA that their products comply with all such standards. The law also requires laser manufacturers to file new product and annual reports, maintain manufacturing, testing and sales records and report product defects. Various warning labels must be affixed and certain protective devices installed, depending on the class of the product.

The introduction of our products in foreign markets will also subject us to foreign regulatory clearances which may impose substantial additional costs and burdens. International sales of medical devices are subject to the regulatory requirements of each country. The regulatory review process varies from country to country. Many countries also impose product standards, packaging requirements, labeling requirements and import restrictions on devices. In addition, each country has its own tariff regulations, duties and tax requirements. The approval by the FDA and foreign government authorities is unpredictable and uncertain. The necessary approvals or clearances may not be granted on a timely basis, if at all. Delays in receipt of, or a failure to receive, such approvals or clearances, or the loss of any previously received approvals or clearances, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Changes in existing requirements or adoption of new requirements or policies by the FDA or other foreign and domestic regulatory authorities could adversely affect our ability to comply with regulatory requirements. Failure to comply with regulatory requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We may be required to incur significant costs to comply with laws and regulations in the future. These laws or regulations may have a material adverse effect upon our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Reimbursement

The cost of a significant portion of medical care in the United States is funded by government programs, health maintenance organizations and private insurance plans. Our ophthalmology products are typically purchased by doctors, clinics, hospitals and other users, which bill various third-party payers, such as government programs and private insurance plans, for the health care services provided to their patients. Government imposed limits on reimbursement of hospitals and other health care providers have significantly impacted the spending budgets of doctors, clinics and hospitals to acquire new equipment, including our products. Under certain government insurance programs, a health care provider is reimbursed for a fixed sum for services rendered in treating a patient, regardless of the actual charge for such treatment. The Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) reimburses hospitals on a prospectively-determined fixed amount for the costs associated with an in-patient hospitalization based on the patient's discharge diagnosis. CMS reimburses physicians a prospectively-determined fixed amount based on the procedure performed, regardless of the actual costs incurred by the hospital or physician in furnishing the care and regardless of the specific devices used in that procedure. Reimbursement issues have affected sales of our ophthalmic products to a greater extent than sales of our dermatologic products because dermatology procedures, in general, are not covered under most insurance programs and the cost of these procedures are paid for by the patient.

Table of Contents

Private third-party reimbursement plans are also developing increasingly sophisticated methods of controlling health-care costs by imposing limitations on reimbursable procedures and the exploration of more cost-effective methods of delivering health care. In general, these government and private measures have caused health care providers, including our customers, to be more selective in the purchase of medical products. In addition, changes in government regulation or in private third-party payers' policies may limit or eliminate reimbursement for procedures employing our products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Factors That May Affect Future Results - We Depend on Third Party Coverage and Reimbursement Policies.

Doctors, clinics, hospitals and other users of our products may not obtain adequate reimbursement for use of our products from third-party payers. While we believe that the laser procedures using our products have generally been reimbursed, payers may deny coverage and reimbursement for our products if they determine that the device was not reasonable and necessary for the purpose used, was investigational or was not cost-effective.

Product Liability and Insurance

We may be subject to product liability claims in the future. Our products are highly complex and are used to treat extremely delicate eye tissue and skin conditions on and near a patient's face. Our products are often used in situations where there is a high risk of serious injury or adverse side effects. We recommend that our disposable products only be used once and prominently label these disposables. If a disposable product is not adequately sterilized by the customer between such uses, a patient could suffer serious consequences, possibly resulting in a suit against us for damages. Accordingly, the manufacture and sale of medical products entails significant risk of product liability claims. Although we currently maintain and intend to continue the Company's product liability insurance, adequate insurance may not be available on acceptable terms, if at all and may not provide adequate coverage against potential liabilities. Such insurance is expensive and in the future may not be available on acceptable terms, if at all. A successful claim brought against us in excess of our insurance coverage could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. To date, we have not experienced any product liability claims which would result in payments in excess of our policy limits.

Backlog

We generally do not maintain a high level of backlog. As a result, we do not believe that our backlog at any particular time is indicative of future sales levels.

Employees

At December 30, 2006, we had a total of 121 full-time employees, including 52 in operations, 37 in sales and marketing, 19 in research and product innovation and 13 in finance and administration. We also employ, from time to time, a number of temporary and part-time employees as well as consultants on a contract basis. At December 30, 2006, we employed 19 such persons. We intend to hire additional personnel during the next twelve months primarily in the product innovation, direct sales, production and finance areas. We hired 62 additional employees in January 2007 in connection with our acquisition of the assets of Laserscope's aesthetics business. Our future success will depend in part on our ability to attract, train, retain and motivate highly qualified employees, who are in great demand. We may not be successful in attracting and retaining such personnel. Our employees are not represented by a collective bargaining organization, and we have never experienced a work stoppage or strike. We consider our employee relations to be good.

Available Information

Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to reports pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are available, free of charge, on our website at www.iridex.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are electronically filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, however, the information on, or that can be accessed through, our website is not part of this report.

Table of Contents**Item 1A. Risk Factors****Factors That May Affect Future Results**

In addition to the other information contained in this Annual Report Form 10-K, we have identified the following risks and uncertainties that may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operation. You should carefully consider the risks described below before making an investment decision.

We do not believe that our current liquidity and capital resources will be sufficient to meet our currently planned operating requirements for the next 12 months.

We do not believe that our current liquidity and capital resources, cash expected to be generated from operations and our ability to draw-down on our credit facilities, if at all, will be sufficient to meet our currently planned operating requirements for the next 12 months. Our concerns about our ability to satisfy our liquidity requirements over the next 12 months are primarily a result of our current operating performance relative to plan, as well as our continuing losses, negative cash flows and current liquidity in relation to future obligations, including our obligation to make a payment in October 2007 to Laserscope for the purchase of certain inventory under our Supply Agreement we entered into with American Medical Systems Holdings in connection with the acquisition of the aesthetics business of Laserscope and our expected inability to satisfy certain covenants under our loan agreement with Mid-Peninsula Bank, part of Greater Bay Bank N.A., and the Export-Import Bank as of March 31, 2007. Our independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, issued an opinion in connection with their audit of our financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2006 which stated, that there was substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern.

Our recent and current operating performance has not met our expectations, primarily as a result of our inability to realize the full benefits of the acquisition of the aesthetics business of Laserscope in our previously anticipated time frame, as well as recent negative cash flows from operations. In particular, revenues from the aesthetics business have been below our expectations. Our ability to realize the potential benefits of the acquisition will depend, in part, on our ability to integrate the aesthetics business. As expected, our efforts towards integrating the aesthetics business of Laserscope has and will continue to take a significant amount of time and place a significant strain on our managerial, operational and financial resources, and may continue to be more difficult and expensive than originally anticipated. This continued diversion of our management's attention and any additional delays or difficulties encountered in connection with the integration of the aesthetics business will harm our operating results and increase the difficulty of our being able to satisfy our liquidity requirements.

In addition, we currently expect that our operating performance for our first fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2007 will result in our not being able to satisfy certain current restrictive covenants contained in our credit facilities with Mid-Peninsula Bank and the Export-Import Bank, and if we default on these credit facilities and the lenders exercise their remedies, this will further contribute to the difficulties we expect to face in meeting our liquidity requirements over the next 12 months. Our obligations under these credit facilities are secured by a lien on substantially all of the Company's assets. Each of these credit facilities contain certain customary covenants which require the Company to maintain profitability beginning in our second fiscal quarter of 2007 and to meet certain tangible net worth and debt service requirements. In addition, we must maintain \$3.0 million in restricted cash at all times when borrowings are outstanding. We currently have drawn down \$11.4 million under this credit facility which is the full amount currently available, and, given our current financial status, we currently do not expect to be able to satisfy the restrictive covenants relating to these facilities as of March 31, 2007. In the event of default by the Company with the covenants under these facilities, Mid-Peninsula Bank and the Export-Import Bank, would be entitled to exercise their remedies, under these facilities, which include declaring all obligations immediately due and payable and disposing of the collateral if obligations were not paid. We have initiated discussions with a view toward restructuring these credit facilities to enable us to come into compliance with applicable covenants; however, we cannot assure you that these discussions will be successful. See Note 11 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II of this report for more information regarding these credit facilities.

In order to address our liquidity issues, we plan to, among other things: (i) work towards integrating the aesthetics business as quickly and efficiently as possible and maximizing the potential benefits that may be realized from the acquisition, (ii) modify our planned operations in order to increase our cash flows from operations, (iii) seek to

restructure our credit facilities, and (iv) raise additional capital through equity or debt financing in order to enhance our liquidity.

Table of Contents

We cannot assure you that we will be successful in these efforts or that any additional capital raised through debt or equity financings will be available on favorable terms or at all. If we are unsuccessful in these efforts, we may have to suspend or cease operations or significantly dilute our stockholders' equity holdings.

We Have More Indebtedness and Fewer Liquid Resources After the Acquisition of the Aesthetics Business of Laserscope, Which Could Adversely Affect Our Cash Flows and Business.

In order to complete the acquisition, we entered into financing arrangements that provide for a \$6 million term loan and a revolving credit line of up to \$6 million. We had no debt outstanding at December 30, 2006. We had \$12 million outstanding on January 17, 2007 when the acquisition of the aesthetics business of Laserscope was consummated. We also used the majority of our liquid resources to finance the acquisition of the aesthetics business of Laserscope. Upon the transfer of the manufacturing of the Laserscope products from Laserscope to us, we are required to purchase up to \$9 million of raw materials inventory from Laserscope. As a result of the increase in debt, demands on our cash resources may increase after the completion of the acquisition. The increased levels of debt could, among other things:

- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our debt, thereby reducing funds available for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions and other purposes;

- making it more difficult for us to meet our payment and other obligations under our outstanding debt;

- increase our vulnerability to, and limit our flexibility in planning for, adverse economic and industry conditions;
- increase our sensitivity to interest rate increases on our indebtedness with variable interest rates;

- result in an event of default if we fail to comply with the financial and other restrictive covenants contained in our debt agreements, which event of default could result in all of our debt becoming immediately due and payable;

- affect our credit rating;

- limit our ability to obtain additional financing to fund future working capital, capital expenditures, additional acquisitions and other general corporate requirements;

- create competitive disadvantages compared to other companies with less indebtedness; and

- limit our ability to apply proceeds from an offering or asset sale to purposes other than the repayment of debt.

Our Loan Agreements Contain Covenant Restrictions that May Limit Our Ability to Operate Our Business and To Service Our Indebtedness, We Will Require a Significant Amount of Cash. Our Ability to Generate Cash Flow Depends on Many Factors Beyond Our Control.

Our ability to meet our payment and other obligations under our debt depends on our ability to generate significant cash flow in the future. This, to some extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative and regulatory factors as well as other factors that are beyond our control. We cannot assure holders that our business will generate cash flow from operations, or that future borrowings will be available to us under our senior credit facility or otherwise, in an amount sufficient to enable us to meet our payment obligations under our debt and to fund other liquidity needs. Our loan agreements contain covenant restrictions that may limit our ability to operate our business.

Table of Contents

Although We Expect that Our Acquisition of the Aesthetics Business of Laserscope Will Result in Benefits to the Company, the Company May Not Realize Those Benefits Because of Integration and Other Challenges.

On January 16, 2007, we completed our acquisition of the aesthetics business of Laserscope (the Aesthetics Business), a wholly-owned subsidiary of American Medical Systems Holdings, Inc. Our ability to realize the anticipated benefits of the acquisition will depend, in part, on our ability to integrate the Aesthetics Business with our business. Integrating the Aesthetics Business may be expensive and time-consuming and we may not be able to successfully do so. Integration efforts often take a significant amount of time, place a significant strain on managerial, operational and financial resources and can prove to be more difficult or expensive than predicted. The diversion of our management's attention and any delay or difficulties encountered in connection with the pending acquisition of the Aesthetics Business could result in the disruption of our on-going business or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that could negatively affect our ability to maintain relationships with customers, suppliers, collaborators, employees and others with whom we have business dealings. These disruptions could harm our operating results. Further, the following specific factors may adversely affect our ability to integrate the Aesthetics Business:

coordinating marketing functions;

transferring of the manufacturing of the Laserscope products to the Company;

unanticipated issues in integrating information, communications and other systems;

unanticipated incompatibility of purchasing, logistics, marketing and administration methods;

greater than anticipated liabilities;

retaining key employees;

consolidating corporate and administrative infrastructures;

the diversion of management's attention from ongoing business concerns;

coordinating our current product and process development efforts with those of the Aesthetics Business in a way which permits us to bring future new products to the market in a timely and cost-effective manner; and

coordinating geographically separate organizations.

We cannot assure you that the combination of the Aesthetics Business with us will result in the realization of the full benefits anticipated from the acquisition.

In addition, as part of our acquisition, we entered into agreements with Laserscope to obtain certain manufacturing support, administrative services and future intellectual property rights. In the event that Laserscope fails to provide this support and service, or provides such support and service at a level of quality and timeliness inconsistent with the historical delivery of such support and service, or fails to grant us the intellectual property rights we expected, our ability to integrate the Aesthetics Business will be hampered and our operating results may be harmed.

Failure to Remediate the Material Weaknesses in Our Disclosure Controls and Procedures in a Timely Manner, or at All, Could Harm Our Operating Results or Cause Us to Fail to Meet Our Regulatory or Reporting Obligations.

We evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report and, based on this evaluation, management concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective because of the material weaknesses detailed in Item 9A of Part II of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

In particular, the material weaknesses identified related to the Company's period-end review procedures. We are taking a number of remedial actions designed to remedy the material weaknesses summarized above. However, if despite our remediation efforts, we fail to remediate our material weaknesses, we could be subject to regulatory scrutiny and a loss of public confidence in our disclosure controls and procedures. These remediation efforts will

likely increase our general and administrative expenses and could, therefore, have an adverse effect on our reported net income.

Even if we are to successfully remediate such material weaknesses, because of inherent limitations, our disclosure controls and procedures may not prevent or detect misstatements or material omissions. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Table of Contents

We Rely on Continued Market Acceptance of Our Existing Products and Any Decline in Sales of Our Existing Products Would Adversely Affect Our Business and Results of Operations.

We currently market visible and infrared light therapeutic-based photocoagulator medical laser systems and delivery devices to the ophthalmology and aesthetics markets. We believe that continued and increased sales, if any, of these medical laser systems is dependent upon a number of factors including the following:

- § acceptance of product performance, features, ease of use, scalability and durability;

- § acceptance of the company's new marketing programs;
- § recommendations and opinions by ophthalmologists, dermatologists, other clinicians, plastic surgeons and their associated opinion leaders, including study outcomes;

- § price of our products and prices of competing products and technologies;

- § availability of competing products, technologies and alternative treatments; and

- § level of reimbursement for treatments administered with our products.

In addition, we derive a meaningful portion of our sales from recurring revenues including disposable laser probes, EndoProbes and service. Our ability to increase recurring revenues from the sale of EndoProbes will depend primarily upon the features of our current products and product innovation, ease of use and prices of our products, including the relationship to prices of competing delivery devices. The level of service revenues will depend on our quality of care, responsiveness and the willingness of our customers to request our services rather than purchase competing products or services. Any significant decline in market acceptance of our products or our revenues derived from the sales of laser consoles, delivery devices or services may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If There is Not Sufficient Demand for the Procedures Performed with Our Products, Practitioner Demand for Our Products Could be Inhibited, Resulting in Unfavorable Operating Results and Reduced Growth Potential.

Continued expansion of the global market for laser- and other light-based aesthetic procedures is a material assumption of our growth strategy. Most procedures performed using our products are elective procedures not reimbursable through government or private health insurance, with the costs borne by the patient. The decision to utilize our products may therefore be influenced by a number of factors, including:

- evolving customer needs;

- the introduction of new products and technologies;

- evolving surgical practices;

- evolving industry standards;

- the cost of procedures performed using our products;

- the cost, safety and effectiveness of alternative treatments, including treatments which are not based upon laser- or other light-based technologies and treatments which use pharmaceutical products;

- the success of our sales and marketing efforts; and

- consumer confidence, which may be impacted by economic and political conditions.

If, as a result of these factors, there is not sufficient demand for the procedures performed with our products, practitioner demand for our products could be reduced, resulting in unfavorable operating results and lower growth potential.

Table of Contents

Our Future Success Depends on Our Ability to Develop and Successfully Introduce New Products and New Applications.

Our future success is dependent upon, among other factors, our ability to develop, obtain regulatory approval or clearance of, manufacture and market new products. Successful commercialization of new products and new applications will require that we effectively transfer production processes from research and development to manufacturing and effectively coordinate with our suppliers. In addition, we must successfully sell and achieve market acceptance of new products and applications and enhanced versions of existing products. The extent of, and rate at which, market acceptance and penetration are achieved by future products is a function of many variables, which include, among other things, price, safety, efficacy, reliability, marketing and sales efforts, the development of new applications for these products, the availability of third-party reimbursement of procedures using our new products, the existence of competing products and general economic conditions affecting purchasing patterns. Our ability to market and sell new products may also be subject to government regulation, including approval or clearance by the United States Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, and foreign government agencies. Any failure in our ability to successfully develop and introduce new products or enhanced versions of existing products and achieve market acceptance of new products and new applications could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and would cause our net revenues to decline.

While We Devote Significant Resources to Research and Development, Our Research and Development May Not Lead to New Products that Achieve Commercial Success.

Our research and development process is expensive, prolonged, and entails considerable uncertainty. Because of the complexities and uncertainties associated with ophthalmic and aesthetic research and development, products we are currently developing may not complete the development process or obtain the regulatory approvals required to market such products successfully. The products currently in our development pipeline may not be approved by regulatory entities and may not be commercially successful, and our current and planned products could be surpassed by more effective or advanced products of current or future competitors. Therefore, even if we are able to successfully develop enhancements or new generations of our products, these enhancements or new generations of products may not produce revenue in excess of the costs of development and they may be quickly rendered obsolete by changing customer preferences or the introduction by our competitors of products embodying new technologies or features.

The Clinical Trial Process Required to Obtain Regulatory Approvals is Costly and Uncertain, and Could Result in Delays in New Product Introductions or Even an Inability to Release a Product.

The clinical trials required to obtain regulatory approvals for our products are complex and expensive and their outcomes are uncertain. We incur substantial expense for, and devote significant time to, clinical trials but cannot be certain that the trials will ever result in the commercial sale of a product. We may suffer significant setbacks in clinical trials, even after earlier clinical trials showed promising results. Any of our products may produce undesirable side effects that could cause us or regulatory authorities to interrupt, delay or halt clinical trials of a product candidate. We, the FDA, or another regulatory authority may suspend or terminate clinical trials at any time if they or we believe the trial participants face unacceptable health risks.

We Face Strong Competition in Our Markets and Expect the Level of Competition to Grow in the Foreseeable Future.

Competition in the market for devices used for ophthalmic and dermatology treatment procedures is intense and is expected to increase. Our competitive position depends on a number of factors including product performance, characteristics and functionality, ease of use, scalability, durability and cost. Our principal competitors in ophthalmology are Lumenis Ltd., Nidek, Carl Zeiss, Inc., Alcon, and Synergetics, Inc. Most of these companies currently offer a competitive semiconductor-based laser system in ophthalmology. Also within ophthalmology pharmaceutical alternative treatments for AMD such as Visudyne (Novartis), Macugen (OSI Pharmaceuticals) and Lucentis (Genentech) compete rigorously with traditional laser procedures. Our principal competitors in dermatology are Palomar Technologies, Candela Corporation, Syneron, and Lumenis Ltd. Some competitors have substantially greater financial, engineering, product development, manufacturing, marketing and technical resources than we do. Some companies also have greater name recognition than we do and long-standing customer relationships. In addition to other companies that manufacture photocoagulators, we compete with pharmaceuticals, other technologies and

other surgical techniques. Some medical companies, academic and research institutions, or others, may develop new technologies or therapies that are more effective in treating conditions targeted by us or are less expensive than our current or future products. Any such developments could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

If We Cannot Increase Our Sales Volumes, Reduce Our Costs or Introduce Higher Margin Products to Offset Anticipated Reductions in the Average Unit Price of Our Products, Our Operating Results May Suffer.

We have experienced some declines in the average unit price of our products and expect to continue to suffer from declines in the future. The average unit price of our products may decrease in the future in response to changes in product mix, competitive pricing pressures, new product introductions by our competitors or other factors. If we are unable to offset the anticipated decrease in our average selling prices by increasing our sales volumes or through new product introductions, our net revenues will decline. In addition, to maintain our gross margins we must continue to reduce the manufacturing cost of our products. If we cannot maintain our gross margins our business could be seriously harmed, particularly if the average selling price of our products decreases significantly without a corresponding increase in sales.

We Depend on Sales of Our Ophthalmology Products for a Significant Portion of Our Operating Results.

We derive, and expect to continue to derive, a large portion of our revenue and profits from sales of our ophthalmology products. For the fiscal year ended December 30, 2006, our ophthalmology sales were \$30.8 million or 86% of total sales. We anticipate that sales of our ophthalmology products will continue to account for a significant portion of our revenues in the foreseeable future.

We Depend on International Sales for a Significant Portion of Our Operating Results.

We derive, and expect to continue to derive, a large portion of our revenue from international sales. For the year ended December 30, 2006, our international sales were \$14.0 million. We anticipate that international sales will continue to account for a significant portion of our revenues, particularly ophthalmology, in the foreseeable future. None of our international revenues and costs has been denominated in foreign currencies. As a result, an increase in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies makes our products more expensive and thus less competitive in foreign markets. The factors stated above could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. Our international operations and sales are subject to a number of other risks and potential costs, including:

differing local product preferences and product requirements;

cultural differences;

changes in foreign medical reimbursement and coverage policies and programs;

political and economic instability;

impact of recessions in economies outside of the United States;

difficulty in staffing and managing foreign operations;

performance of our international channel of distributors;

foreign certification requirements, including continued ability to use the CE mark in Europe;

Table of Contents

reduced or limited protections of intellectual property rights in jurisdictions outside the United States;

longer accounts receivable collection periods;

fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates;

potentially adverse tax consequences; and

multiple protectionist, adverse and changing foreign governmental laws and regulations.

Any one or more of these factors stated above could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

As we expand our existing international operations, especially following our acquisition of the aesthetics business of Laserscope, we may encounter new risks. For example, as we focus on building our international sales and distribution networks in new geographic regions, we must continue to develop relationships with qualified local distributors and trading companies. If we are not successful in developing these relationships, we may not be able to grow sales in these geographic regions. These or other similar risks could adversely affect our revenue and profitability.

We Are Exposed to Risks Associated With Worldwide Economic Slowdowns and Related Uncertainties.

Concerns about consumer and investor confidence, volatile corporate profits and reduced capital spending, international conflicts, terrorist and military activity, civil unrest and pandemic illness could cause a slowdown in customer orders or cause customer order cancellations. In addition, political and social turmoil related to international conflicts and terrorist acts may put further pressure on economic conditions in the United States and abroad. Unstable political, social and economic conditions make it difficult for our customers, our suppliers and us to accurately forecast and plan future business activities. In particular, it is difficult to develop and implement strategy, sustainable business models and efficient operations, as well as effectively manage supply chain relationships. If such conditions persist, our business, financial condition and results of operations could suffer.

We Rely on Our Direct Sales Force and Network of International Distributors to Sell Our Products and any Failure to Maintain Our Direct Sales Force and Distributor Relationships Could Harm Our Business.

Our ability to sell our products and generate revenue depends upon our direct sales force within the United States and relationships with independent distributors outside the United States. Currently our direct sales force consists of 21 employees and we maintain relationships with 77 independent distributors internationally selling our products into 107 countries through four direct Area Sales Managers. We generally grant our distributors exclusive territories for the sale of our products in specified countries. The amount and timing of resources dedicated by our distributors to the sales of our products is not within our control. Our international sales are entirely dependent on the efforts of these third parties. If any distributor breaches terms of its distribution agreement or fails to generate sales of our products, we may be forced to replace the distributor and our ability to sell our products into that exclusive sales territory would be adversely affected.

We do not have any long-term employment contracts with the members of our direct sales force. We may be unable to replace our direct sales force personnel with individuals of equivalent technical expertise and qualifications, which may limit our revenues and our ability to maintain market share. The loss of the services of these key personnel would harm our business. Similarly, our distributor agreements are generally terminable at will by either party and distributors may terminate their relationships with us, which would affect our international sales and results of operations.

Table of Contents

If We Lose Key Personnel or Fail to Integrate Replacement Personnel Successfully, Our Ability to Manage Our Business Could Be Impaired.

Our future success depends upon the continued service of our key management, technical, sales, and other critical personnel. Our officers and other key personnel are employees-at-will, and we cannot assure you that we will be able to retain them. Key personnel have left our company in the past and there likely will be additional departures of key personnel from time to time in the future. The loss of any key employee could result in significant disruptions to our operations, including adversely affecting the timeliness of product releases, the successful implementation and completion of company initiatives, and the results of our operations. Competition for these individuals is intense, and we may not be able to attract, assimilate or retain highly qualified personnel. Competition for qualified personnel in our industry and the San Francisco Bay Area, as well as other geographic markets in which we recruit, is intense and characterized by increasing salaries, which may increase our operating expenses or hinder our ability to recruit qualified candidates. In addition, the integration of replacement personnel could be time consuming, may cause additional disruptions to our operations, and may be unsuccessful.

If We Fail to Accurately Forecast Demand For Our Product and Component Requirements For the Manufacture of Our Product, We Could Incur Additional Costs or Experience Manufacturing Delays and May Experience Lost Sales or Significant Inventory Carrying Costs.

We use quarterly and annual forecasts based primarily on our anticipated product orders to plan our manufacturing efforts and determine our requirements for components and materials. It is very important that we accurately predict both the demand for our product and the lead times required to obtain the necessary components and materials. Lead times for components vary significantly and depend on numerous factors, including the specific supplier, the size of the order, contract terms and current market demand for such components. If we overestimate the demand for our product, we may have excess inventory, which would increase our costs. Over the past several quarters, we have placed a high priority on our asset management efforts to, among other things, reduce overall inventory levels and increase our cash position. If we underestimate demand for our product and, consequently, our component and materials requirements, we may have inadequate inventory, which could interrupt our manufacturing, delay delivery of our product to our customers and result in the loss of customer sales. Any of these occurrences would negatively impact our business and operating results.

We Depend on Sole Source or Limited Source Suppliers.

We rely on third parties to manufacture substantially all of the components used in our products, including optics, laser diodes and crystals. We have some long term or volume purchase agreements with our suppliers and currently purchase components on a purchase order basis. Some of our suppliers and manufacturers are sole or limited sources. In addition, some of these suppliers are relatively small private companies that may discontinue their operations at any time. There are risks associated with the use of independent manufacturers, including the following:

- § unavailability of, shortages or limitations on the ability to obtain supplies of components in the quantities that we require;
- § delays in delivery or failure of suppliers to deliver critical components on the dates we require;
- § failure of suppliers to manufacture components to our specifications, and potentially reduced quality; and
- § inability to obtain components at acceptable prices.

Table of Contents

Our business and operating results may suffer from the lack of alternative sources of supply for critical sole and limited source components. The process of qualifying suppliers is complex, requires extensive testing with our products, and may be lengthy, particularly as new products are introduced. New suppliers would have to be educated in our production processes. In addition, the use of alternate components may require design alterations to our products and additional product testing under FDA and relevant foreign regulatory agency guidelines, which may delay sales and increase product costs. Any failures by our vendors to adequately supply limited and sole source components may impair our ability to offer our existing products, delay the submission of new products for regulatory approval and market introduction, materially harm our business and financial condition and cause our stock price to decline. Establishing our own capabilities to manufacture these components would be expensive and could significantly decrease our profit margins. Our business, results of operations and financial condition would be adversely affected if we are unable to continue to obtain components in the quantity and quality desired and at the prices we have budgeted.

We Face Risks Associated with our Collaborative and OEM Relationships.

Our collaborators may not pursue further development and commercialization of products resulting from collaborations with us or may not devote sufficient resources to the marketing and sale of such products. For example, in 2005 we developed and sold a laser system on an OEM basis for a third party which positively impacted the revenues and gross margins during the second half of 2005. We cannot provide assurance that these types of relationships will continue over a longer period. Our reliance on others for clinical development, manufacturing and distribution of our products may result in unforeseen problems. Further, our collaborative partners may develop or pursue alternative technologies either on their own or in collaboration with others. If a collaborator elects to terminate its agreement with us, our ability to develop, introduce, market and sell the product may be significantly impaired and we may be forced to discontinue altogether the product resulting from the collaboration. We may not be able to negotiate alternative collaboration agreements on acceptable terms, if at all. The failure of any current or future collaboration efforts could have a material adverse effect on our ability to introduce new products or applications and therefore could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We Face Manufacturing Risks.

The manufacture of our infrared and visible light photocoagulators and the related delivery devices is a highly complex and precise process. We assemble critical subassemblies and all of our final products at our facility in Mountain View, California. We may experience manufacturing difficulties, quality control issues or assembly constraints, particularly with regard to new products that we may introduce. We may not be able to manufacture sufficient quantities of our products, which may require that we qualify other manufacturers for our products. Furthermore, we may experience delays, disruptions, capacity constraints or quality control problems in our manufacturing operations and, as a result, product shipments to our customers could be delayed, which would negatively impact our net revenues.

We Depend on Collaborative Relationships to Develop, Introduce and Market New Products, Product Enhancements and New Applications.

We depend on both clinical and commercial collaborative relationships. We have entered into collaborative relationships with academic medical centers and physicians in connection with the research and innovation and clinical testing of our products. Commercially, we currently collaborate with Bausch & Lomb to design and manufacture a solid-state green wavelength (532nm) laser photocoagulator module, called the Millennium Endolase module. The Millennium Endolase module is designed to be a component of Bausch & Lomb's ophthalmic surgical suite product offering and is not expected to be sold as a stand-alone product. Sales of the Millennium Endolase module are dependent upon the actual order rate from and shipment rate to Bausch & Lomb, which depends on the efforts of our partner and is beyond our control. We cannot assure you that our relationship with Bausch & Lomb will result in further sales of our Millennium Endolase module. The failure to obtain any additional future clinical or commercial collaborations and the resulting failure or success of such arrangements of any current or future clinical or commercial collaboration relationships could have a material adverse effect on our ability to introduce new products or applications and therefore could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

If We Fail to Maintain Our Relationships With Health Care Providers, Customers May Not Buy Our Products and Our Revenue and Profitability May Decline.

We market our products to numerous health care providers, including eye care professionals, hospitals, ambulatory surgical centers, corporate optometry chains and group purchasing organizations. We have developed and strive to maintain close relationships with members of each of these groups who assist in product research and development and advise us on how to satisfy the full range of surgeon and patient needs. We rely on these groups to recommend our products to their patients and to other members of their organizations. The failure of our existing products and any new products we may introduce to retain the support of these various groups could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our Operating Results May Fluctuate from Quarter to Quarter and Year to Year.

Our sales and operating results may vary significantly from quarter to quarter and from year to year in the future. Our operating results are affected by a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. Factors contributing to these fluctuations include the following:

general economic uncertainties and political concerns;

the timing of the introduction and market acceptance of new products, product enhancements and new applications;

changes in demand for our existing line of dermatology and ophthalmic products;

the cost and availability of components and subassemblies, including the ability of our sole or limited source suppliers to deliver components at the times and prices that we have planned;

our ability to maintain sales volumes at a level sufficient to cover fixed manufacturing and operating costs;

fluctuations in our product mix between dermatology and ophthalmic products and foreign and domestic sales;

the effect of regulatory approvals and changes in domestic and foreign regulatory requirements;

introduction of new products, product enhancements and new applications by our competitors, entry of new competitors into our markets, pricing pressures and other competitive factors;

our long and highly variable sales cycle;

changes in the prices at which we can sell our products;

changes in customers or potential customers budgets as a result of, among other things, reimbursement policies of government programs and private insurers for treatments that use our products; and

increased product innovation costs.

In addition to these factors, our quarterly results have been, and are expected to continue to be, affected by seasonal factors.

Table of Contents

Our expense levels are based, in part, on expected future sales. If sales levels in a particular quarter do not meet expectations, we may be unable to adjust operating expenses quickly enough to compensate for the shortfall of sales, and our results of operations may be adversely affected. In addition, we have historically made a significant portion of each quarter's product shipments near the end of the quarter. If that pattern continues, any delays in shipment of products could have a material adverse effect on results of operations for such quarter. Due to these and other factors, we believe that quarter to quarter and year to year comparisons of our past operating results may not be meaningful. You should not rely on our results for any quarter or year as an indication of our future performance. Our operating results in future quarters and years may be below expectations, which would likely cause the price of our common stock to fall.

Our Stock Price Has Been and May Continue to be Volatile and an Investment in Our Common Stock Could Suffer a Decline in Value.

The trading price of our common stock has been subject to wide fluctuations in response to a variety of factors, some of which are beyond our control, including quarterly variations in our operating results, announcements by us or our competitors of new products or of significant clinical achievements, changes in market valuations of other similar companies in our industry and general market conditions. From time to time, we meet with investors and potential investors. In addition, we receive attention by securities analysts and present at analyst meetings when invited. Our common stock may experience an imbalance between supply and demand resulting from low trading volumes. These broad market fluctuations could have a significant impact on the market price of our common stock regardless of our performance.

Material Increases in Interest Rates May Harm Our Sales.

We currently sell our products primarily to health care providers in general practice. These health care providers often purchase our products with funds they secure through various financing arrangements with third party financial institutions, including credit facilities and short-term loans. If interest rates continue to increase, these financing arrangements will be more expensive to our customers, which would effectively increase the overall cost of owning our products for our customers and, thereby, may decrease demand for our products. Any reduction in the sales of our products would cause our business to suffer.

We Are Subject To Government Regulation Which May Cause Us to Delay or Withdraw the Introduction of New Products or New Applications for Our Products.

The medical devices that we market and manufacture are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA and by foreign and state governments. Under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and the related regulations, the FDA regulates the design, development, clinical testing, manufacture, labeling, sale, distribution and promotion of medical devices. Before a new device can be introduced into the market, the product must undergo rigorous testing and an extensive regulatory review process implemented by the FDA under federal law. Unless otherwise exempt, a device manufacturer must obtain market clearance through either the 510(k) premarket notification process or the lengthier premarket approval application (PMA) process. Depending upon the type, complexity and novelty of the device and the nature of the disease or disorder to be treated, the FDA process can take several years, require extensive clinical testing and result in significant expenditures. Even if regulatory approval is obtained, later discovery of previously unknown safety issues may result in restrictions on the product, including withdrawal of the product from the market. Other countries also have extensive regulations regarding clinical trials and testing prior to new product introductions. Our failure to obtain government approvals or any delays in receipt of such approvals would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The FDA imposes additional regulations on manufacturers of approved medical devices. We are required to comply with the applicable Quality System regulations and our manufacturing facilities are subject to ongoing periodic inspections by the FDA and corresponding state agencies, including unannounced inspections, and must be licensed as part of the product approval process before being utilized for commercial manufacturing. Noncompliance with the applicable requirements can result in, among other things, fines, injunctions, civil penalties, recall or seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production, withdrawal of marketing approvals, and criminal prosecution. The FDA also has the authority to request repair, replacement or refund of the cost of any device we manufacture or distribute. Any of these actions by the FDA would materially and adversely affect our ability to continue operating our

business and the results of our operations.

Table of Contents

In addition, we are also subject to varying product standards, packaging requirements, labeling requirements, tariff regulations, duties and tax requirements. As a result of our sales in Europe, we are required to have all products CE marked, an international symbol affixed to all products demonstrating compliance with the European Medical Device Directive and all applicable standards. While currently all of our released products are CE marked, continued certification is based on the successful review of our quality system by our European Registrar during their annual audit. Any loss of certification would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If We Fail to Comply With the FDA's Quality System Regulation and Laser Performance Standards, Our Manufacturing Operations Could Be Halted, and Our Business Would Suffer.

We are currently required to demonstrate and maintain compliance with the FDA's Quality System Regulation, or QSR. The QSR is a complex regulatory scheme that covers the methods and documentation of the design, testing, control, manufacturing, labeling, quality assurance, packaging, storage and shipping of our products. Because our products involve the use of lasers, our products also are covered by a performance standard for lasers set forth in FDA regulations. The laser performance standard imposes specific record-keeping, reporting, product testing and product labeling requirements. These requirements include affixing warning labels to laser products, as well as incorporating certain safety features in the design of laser products. The FDA enforces the QSR and laser performance standards through periodic unannounced inspections. We have been, and anticipate in the future being, subject to such inspections. Our failure to take satisfactory corrective action in response to an adverse QSR inspection or our failure to comply with applicable laser performance standards could result in enforcement actions, including a public warning letter, a shutdown of our manufacturing operations, a recall of our products, civil or criminal penalties, or other sanctions, such as those described in the preceding paragraph, which would cause our sales and business to suffer.

If We Modify One of Our FDA Approved Devices, We May Need to Seek Reapproval, Which, if Not Granted, Would Prevent Us from Selling Our Modified Products or Cause Us to Redesign Our Products.

Any modifications to an FDA-cleared device that would significantly affect its safety or effectiveness or that would constitute a major change in its intended use would require a new 510(k) clearance or possibly a pre-market approval. We may not be able to obtain additional 510(k) clearance or pre-market approvals for new products or for modifications to, or additional indications for, our existing products in a timely fashion, or at all. Delays in obtaining future clearance would adversely affect our ability to introduce new or enhanced products in a timely manner, which in turn would harm our revenue and future profitability. We have made modifications to our devices in the past and may make additional modifications in the future that we believe do not or will not require additional clearance or approvals. If the FDA disagrees, and requires new clearances or approvals for the modifications, we may be required to recall and to stop marketing the modified devices, which could harm our operating results and require us to redesign our products.

We Rely on Patents and Proprietary Rights to Protect our Intellectual Property and Business.

Our success and ability to compete is dependent in part upon our proprietary information. We rely on a combination of patents, trade secrets, copyright and trademark laws, nondisclosure and other contractual agreements and technical measures to protect our intellectual property rights. We file patent applications to protect technology, inventions and improvements that are significant to the development of our business. We have been issued fifteen United States patents and five foreign patents on the technologies related to our products and processes. We have approximately five pending patent applications in the United States and six foreign pending patent applications that have been filed. Our patent applications may not be approved. Any patents granted now or in the future may offer only limited protection against potential infringement and development by our competitors of competing products. Moreover, our competitors, many of which have substantial resources and have made substantial investments in competing technologies, may seek to apply for and obtain patents that will prevent, limit or interfere with our ability to make, use or sell our products either in the United States or in international markets.

Table of Contents

In addition to patents, we rely on trade secrets and proprietary know-how which we seek to protect, in part, through proprietary information agreements with employees, consultants and other parties. Our proprietary information agreements with our employees and consultants contain industry standard provisions requiring such individuals to assign to us without additional consideration any inventions conceived or reduced to practice by them while employed or retained by us, subject to customary exceptions. Proprietary information agreements with employees, consultants and others may be breached, and we may not have adequate remedies for any breach. Also, our trade secrets may become known to or independently developed by competitors.

The laser and medical device industry is characterized by frequent litigation regarding patent and other intellectual property rights. Companies in the medical device industry have employed intellectual property litigation to gain a competitive advantage. Numerous patents are held by others, including academic institutions and our competitors. Until recently patent applications were maintained in secrecy in the United States until the patents issued. Patent applications filed in the United States after November 2000 generally will be published eighteen months after the filing date. However, since patent applications continue to be maintained in secrecy for at least some period of time, both within the United States and with regards to international patent applications, we cannot assure you that our technology does not infringe any patents or patent applications held by third parties. We have, from time to time, been notified of, or have otherwise been made aware of, claims that we may be infringing upon patents or other proprietary intellectual property owned by others. If it appears necessary or desirable, we may seek licenses under such patents or proprietary intellectual property. Although patent holders commonly offer such licenses, licenses under such patents or intellectual property may not be offered or the terms of any offered licenses may not be reasonable.

Any claims, with or without merit, and regardless of whether we are successful on the merits, would be time-consuming, result in costly litigation and diversion of technical and management personnel, cause shipment delays or require us to develop noninfringing technology or to enter into royalty or licensing agreements. An adverse determination in a judicial or administrative proceeding and failure to obtain necessary licenses or develop alternate technologies could prevent us from manufacturing and selling our products, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. Management believes that liabilities resulting from the Synergetics Litigation Matters described in Part I, Item 3 , or other claims which are pending or known to be threatened, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations. However, it is possible that cash flows or results or operations could be materially affected in any particular period by the unfavorable resolution of one or more of these contingencies or because of the diversion of management's attention and the incurrence of significant expenses.

The Requirements of Complying with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Might Strain Our Resources, Which May Adversely Affect Our Business and Financial Condition.

We are subject to a number of requirements, including the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Beginning in 2007 we will be required to comply with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act which will require management to perform an assessment of internal control over financial reporting. These requirements might place a strain on our systems and resources. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires, among other things, that we maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting. In order to maintain and improve the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting, significant resources and management oversight will be required. As a result, our management's attention might be diverted from other business concerns, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and operating results. In addition, we might need to hire additional accounting and financial staff with appropriate public company experience and technical accounting knowledge, and we might not be able to do so in a timely fashion.

Table of Contents

Because We Do Not Require Training for Users of Our Products, and Sell Our Products to Non-physicians, There Exists an Increased Potential for Misuse of Our Products, Which Could Harm Our Reputation and Our Business.

Federal regulations allow us to sell our products to or on the order of licensed practitioners. The definition of licensed practitioners varies from state to state. As a result, our products may be purchased or operated by physicians with varying levels of training, and in many states by non-physicians, including nurse practitioners, chiropractors and technicians. Outside the United States, many jurisdictions do not require specific qualifications or training for purchasers or operators of our products. We do not supervise the procedures performed with our products, nor do we require that direct medical supervision occur. We, and our distributors, generally offer but do not require purchasers or operators of our products to attend training sessions. In addition, we sometimes sell our systems to companies that rent our systems to third parties and that provide a technician to perform the procedure. The lack of training and the purchase and use of our products by non-physicians may result in product misuse and adverse treatment outcomes, which could harm our reputation and expose us to costly product liability litigation.

Some of Our Laser Systems Are Complex in Design and May Contain Defects That Are Not Detected Until Deployed By Our Customers, Which Could Increase Our Costs and Reduce Our Revenues.

Laser systems are inherently complex in design and require ongoing regular maintenance. The manufacture of our lasers, laser products and systems involves a highly complex and precise process. As a result of the technical complexity of our products, changes in our or our suppliers' manufacturing processes or the inadvertent use of defective materials by us or our suppliers could result in a material adverse effect on our ability to achieve acceptable manufacturing yields and product reliability. To the extent that we do not achieve such yields or product reliability, our business, operating results, financial condition and customer relationships would be adversely affected. We provide warranties on certain of our product sales, and allowances for estimated warranty costs are recorded during the period of sale. The determination of such allowances requires us to make estimates of failure rates and expected costs to repair or replace the products under warranty. We currently establish warranty reserves based on historical warranty costs for each product line. If actual return rates and/or repair and replacement costs differ significantly from our estimates, adjustments to recognize additional cost of sales may be required in future periods.

Our customers may discover defects in our products after the products have been fully deployed and operated under peak stress conditions. In addition, some of our products are combined with products from other vendors, which may contain defects. As a result, should problems occur, it may be difficult to identify the source of the problem. If we are unable to identify and fix defects or other problems, we could experience, among other things:

loss of customers;

increased costs of product returns and warranty expenses;

damage to our brand reputation;

failure to attract new customers or achieve market acceptance;

diversion of development and engineering resources; and

legal actions by our customers.

The occurrence of any one or more of the foregoing factors could seriously harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Our Products Could Be Subject to Recalls Even After Receiving FDA Approval or Clearance. A Recall Would Harm Our Reputation and Adversely Affect Our Operating Results.

The FDA and similar governmental authorities in other countries in which we market and sell our products have the authority to require the recall of our products in the event of material deficiencies or defects in design or manufacture. A government mandated recall, or a voluntary recall by us, could occur as a result of component failures, manufacturing errors or design defects, including defects in labeling. A recall could divert management's attention, cause us to incur significant expenses, harm our reputation with customers and negatively affect our future sales.

If We Fail to Manage Growth Effectively, Our Business Could Be Disrupted Which Could Harm Our Operating Results.

We have experienced and may continue to experience growth in our business. We have made and expect to continue to make significant investments to enable our future growth through, among other things, new product innovation and clinical trials for new applications and products. We must also be prepared to expand our work force and to train, motivate and manage additional employees as the need for additional personnel arises. Our personnel, systems, procedures and controls may not be adequate to support our future operations. Any failure to effectively manage future growth could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our Manufacturing Capacity May Not Be Adequate to Meet the Demands of Our Business.

If our sales increase substantially, we may need to increase our production capacity. Any prolonged disruption in the operation of our manufacturing facilities could materially harm our business. We cannot assure you that if we choose to scale-up our manufacturing operations, we will have the resources necessary to do so, or that we will be able to obtain regulatory approvals in a timely fashion, which could affect our ability to meet product demand or result in additional costs.

If Product Liability Claims are Successfully Asserted Against Us, We may Incur Substantial Liabilities That May Adversely Affect Our Business or Results of Operations.

We may be subject to product liability claims from time to time. Our products are highly complex and some are used to treat extremely delicate eye tissue and skin conditions on and near a patient's face. Although we maintain product liability insurance with coverage limits of \$10.0 million per occurrence and an annual aggregate maximum of \$10.0 million, our coverage from our insurance policies may not be adequate. Product liability insurance is expensive. We might not be able to obtain product liability insurance in the future on acceptable terms or in sufficient amounts to protect us, if at all. A successful claim brought against us in excess of our insurance coverage could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our Operating Results May be Adversely Affected by Changes in Third Party Coverage and Reimbursement Policies and any Uncertainty Regarding Healthcare Reform Measures.

Our ophthalmology products are typically purchased by doctors, clinics, hospitals and other users, which bill various third-party payers, such as governmental programs and private insurance plans, for the health care services provided to their patients. Third-party payers are increasingly scrutinizing and challenging the coverage of new products and the level of reimbursement for covered products. Doctors, clinics, hospitals and other users of our products may not obtain adequate reimbursement for use of our products from third-party payers. While we believe that the laser procedures using our products have generally been reimbursed, payers may deny coverage and reimbursement for our products if they determine that the device was not reasonable and necessary for the purpose used, was investigational or was not cost-effective. In addition, third party payers may not initiate coverage of new procedures using our products for a significant period. In September 2000, the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, advised that claims for reimbursement for certain age related macular degeneration, or AMD, procedures which use our OcuLight SLx laser system, could be submitted for reimbursement, with coverage and payment to be determined by the local medical carriers at their discretion. To date five carriers representing 17 states have written reimbursement coverage policies on Transpupillary Thermotherapy, or TTT. The states reimbursing for TTT are Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado,

Table of Contents

Hawaii, Iowa, Idaho, Mississippi, North Carolina, North Dakota, Nevada, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Washington and Wyoming. Domestic sales of the OcuLight SLx laser system may continue to be limited until more local medical carriers reimburse for performing such AMD procedures or until CMS advises that claims for these procedures may be submitted directly to CMS at the national level. The clinical results of the TTT4CNV trial and other clinical trials may influence the individual state or CMS decision to reimburse for certain laser procedures. In November 2005, we filed a CPT (Current Procedural Terminology) Change Request Form seeking the extension of Category III (Emerging Technology) codes 0016T and 0017T for wet and dry forms of AMD. We learned in early May that the panel had voted to retain the Category III codes 0016T and 0017T on reporting Transpupillary Thermotherapy/Ablation of macular drusen for an extension of five years or until codes have been accepted for placement in the Category I section of CPT.

Changes in government legislation or regulation or in private third-party payers' policies toward reimbursement for procedures employing our products may prohibit adequate reimbursement. There have been a number of legislative and regulatory proposals to change the healthcare system, reduce the costs of healthcare and change medical reimbursement policies. Doctors, clinics, hospitals and other users of our products may decline to purchase our products to the extent there is uncertainty regarding reimbursement of medical procedures using our products and any healthcare reform measures. Further proposed legislation, regulation and policy changes affecting third party reimbursement are likely. We are unable to predict what legislation or regulation, if any, relating to the health care industry or third-party coverage and reimbursement may be enacted in the future, or what effect such legislation or regulation may have on us. However, denial of coverage and reimbursement of our products would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The Successful Outcome of Clinical Trials and the Development of New Applications Using Certain of Our Products will Accelerate Future Revenue Growth Rates.

The Company's ability to generate incremental revenue growth will depend, in part, on the successful outcome of clinical trials that lead to the development of new applications using our products. Clinical trials are long, expensive and uncertain processes. If the future results of any of our clinical trials fail to demonstrate improved patient outcomes and/or the development of new product applications, our ability to generate incremental revenue growth would be adversely affected. We have supported several clinical trials, including, for example, the TTT4CNV and the PTAMD clinical trials.

If Our Facilities Were To Experience Catastrophic Loss, Our Operations Would Be Seriously Harmed.

Our facilities could be subject to catastrophic loss such as fire, flood or earthquake. All of our research and product innovation activities, manufacturing, our corporate headquarters and other critical business operations are located near major earthquake faults in Mountain View, California. Any such loss at any of our facilities could disrupt our operations, delay production, shipments and revenue and result in large expense to repair and replace our facilities.

Table of Contents*Our Business is Subject to Environmental Regulations.*

Our facilities and operations are subject to federal, state and local environmental and occupational health and safety requirements of the United States and foreign countries, including those relating to discharges of substances to the air, water and land, the handling, storage and disposal of hazardous materials and wastes and the cleanup of properties affected by pollutants. Failure to maintain compliance with these regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business or financial condition.

In the future, federal, state or local governments in the United States or foreign countries could enact new or more stringent laws or issue new or more stringent regulations concerning environmental and worker health and safety matters that could affect our operations. Also, in the future, contamination may be found to exist at our current or former facilities or off-site locations where we have sent wastes. We could be held liable for such newly discovered contamination which could have a material adverse effect on our business or financial condition. In addition, changes in environmental and worker health and safety requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business or financial condition.

Our Export Controls May Not be Adequate to Ensure Compliance With United States Export Laws, Especially When We Sell Our Products to Distributors Over Which We Have Limited Control.

The United States government has declared an embargo that restricts the export of products and services to a number of countries, including Iran, Syria, Sudan and Cuba, for a variety of reasons, including the support by these countries of terrorism. We sell our products through distributors in Europe, Asia and the Middle East, and in such circumstances, the distributor is responsible for interacting with the end user of our products, including assisting in the set up of any products purchased by such end user. In order to comply with United States export laws, we have instituted export controls including training for our personnel in export restrictions and requirements, appointing an export control officer to oversee our export procedures, executing agreements with our distributors that include defining their territory for sale and requirements pertaining to United States export laws, obtaining end user information from our distributors and screening it to restricted party lists maintained by the United States government. While we believe that these procedures are adequate to prevent the export or re-export of our products into countries under embargo by the United States government, we cannot assure you that our products will not be exported or re-exported by our distributors into such restricted countries. In particular, our control over what our distributors do with our products is necessarily limited, and we cannot assure you that they will not sell our products to an end user in a country in violation of United States export laws. Any violation of United States export regulations could result in substantial legal, consulting and accounting costs, and significant fines and/or criminal penalties. In the event that our products are exported to countries under a United States trade embargo in violation of applicable United States export laws and regulations, such violations, costs and penalties or other actions that could be taken against us could adversely affect our reputation and/or have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, prospects or results of operations.

We have sold and may continue to sell, with a license, our products into countries that are under embargo by the United States and as a result have incurred and may continue to incur significant legal, consulting and accounting fees and may place our Company's reputation at risk.

United States export laws permit the sale of medical products to certain countries under embargo by the United States government if the seller of such products obtains a license to do so, which requirements are in place because the United States has designated such countries as state sponsors of terrorism. Certain of our products have been sold in Syria under license through a distribution agreement with an independent distributor. In addition, certain of our products were distributed in Iran without United States governmental authorization. The aggregate revenue generated by sales of our products into Sudan and Syria have been immaterial to our business and results of operations.

We may continue to supply medical devices to Sudan and Syria and other countries that are under embargo by the United States government upon obtaining all necessary licenses. We do not believe, however, that our sales into such countries will be material to our business or results of operations. There are risks we face in selling to countries under United States embargo, including, but not limited to, possible

Table of Contents

damage to our reputation for sales to countries that are deemed to support terrorism, and failure of our export controls to limit sales strictly to the terms of the relevant license, which failure may result in civil and criminal penalties. In addition, we may incur significant legal, consulting and accounting costs in ensuring compliance with our export licenses to countries under embargo. Any damage to our reputation from such sales, failure to comply with the terms of our export licenses or the additional costs we incur in making such sales could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, prospects or results of operations.

Item 2. Properties

We lease 37,000 square feet of space in Mountain View, California. This facility is being substantially utilized for all of our manufacturing and research and development efforts and also serves as our corporate headquarters. This facility is utilized for both our ophthalmology medical device segment and our dermatology medical device segment. In September 2003, we entered into a lease amendment for our facility in Mountain View. The original lease term of this facility, which ended in February 2004, was amended and extended until February 2009. The lease was also amended to grant us an option to renew this lease for an additional five year period beginning 2009 and continuing until 2014 at a base monthly rental amount to be negotiated at the time of the renewal.

Management believes that our facility has capacity adequate for our current needs including the requirements for the acquisition of Laserscope products and that suitable additional space or an alternative space would be available as needed in the future on commercially reasonable terms.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

On October 19, 2005, the Company filed a suit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri against Synergetics, USA, Inc. for infringement of a patent. The Company later amended its complaint to assert infringement claims against Synergetics, Inc.; Synergetics USA, Inc. was dismissed from the suit. The Company alleges that Synergetics infringes the Company's patent by making and selling infringing products, including its Quick Disconnect laser probes and its Quick Disconnect Laser Probe Adapter, and seeks injunctive relief, monetary damages, treble damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

On July 7, 2006, the Court issued a Claim Construction Ruling, interpreting 14 disputed phrases within the Company's patent. The Court adopted the Company's position with respect to 13 of the 14 patent terms, and adopted a position between the Company's and Synergetics' positions with respect to the 14th term.

Discovery closed on February 15, 2007. Near the close of discovery, the Company filed five summary judgment motions and Synergetics filed four. After the Court's rulings on these motions, only four issues remain for trial. Those issues are: (1) the jury will decide the amount of damages caused by Synergetics infringement with their Quick Disconnect products, (2) the jury will decide whether Synergetics' infringement was willful, (3) the jury will decide the validity of the IRIDEX patent on the issue of obviousness, and (4) the Court will decide if IRIDEX's right to recover damages for Synergetics' infringement is limited by the equitable doctrines of laches and estoppel.

Table of Contents

Trial is scheduled to begin on April 16, 2007. The Company is confident that its patent claims have merit, and if the parties do not reach a settlement, the Company intends to vigorously pursue its claims to judgment.

The company is involved in two other pending suits with Synergetics. The first suit, entitled *Synergetics, Inc. v. Peregrine Surgical, Ltd., Innovatech Surgical, Inc., and IRIDEX Corporation*, is Case No. 06-CV-107 in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Synergetics filed suit against the Company on April 25, 2006, by adding the Company as a defendant to a then-existing lawsuit against the other two defendants. Synergetics alleges that the Company infringes its patent and seeks injunctive relief, monetary damages, treble damages, costs and attorneys' fees. On June 29, the Company filed its response to Synergetics' pleading, denying Synergetics' claims and asserting counterclaims seeking a declaratory judgment that it does not infringe Synergetics' patent. Synergetics responded to the Company's counterclaims on July 24, 2006, denying them. On August 10, 2006, the case was reassigned to District Judge Thomas Golden. Judge Golden has set a status conference for May 4, 2007. There have not yet been any significant proceedings in this case.

The second suit, entitled *Synergetics, Inc. v. IRIDEX Corporation*, is pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. Synergetics filed suit against the Company on February 21, 2007, alleging that the Company's assertion of patent infringement against Synergetics constitutes a violation of the federal antitrust laws and also abuse of process in Missouri. The Company has not yet been served with a copy of the summons and complaint, which was filed under seal. The Company believes that Synergetics' suit lacks merit (in part because the Court granted the Company judgment of infringement and denied Synergetics' motion for judgment on laches) and intends to vigorously defend against Synergetics' claims should Synergetics choose to proceed with the suit.

Management believes that liabilities resulting from such proceedings, or claims which are pending or known to be threatened will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations. The company may incur significant dedication of management resources and legal costs in connection with this lawsuit.

Table of Contents**Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

Not applicable.

PART II**Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****Market Information for Common Equity**

Our common stock is quoted on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol **IRIX** since our initial public offering on February 15, 1996. The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the high and low sales prices for our common stock, as reported on the NASDAQ Global Market.

| | High | Low |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|
| Fiscal 2006 | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 11.65 | \$ 8.03 |
| Third Quarter | 10.69 | 7.20 |
| Second Quarter | 13.40 | 9.75 |
| First Quarter | 13.34 | 7.50 |
| Fiscal 2005 | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 8.93 | \$ 6.29 |
| Third Quarter | 8.80 | 6.16 |
| Second Quarter | 6.53 | 5.07 |
| First Quarter | 6.19 | 4.21 |

On March 15, 2007 the closing price on the NASDAQ Global Market for our common stock was \$10.25 per share. As of March 15, 2007, there were approximately 72 holders of record (not in street name) of our common stock. Because many of our shares of common stock are held by brokers and other institutions on behalf of our stockholders, we are unable to estimate the total number of stockholders represented by these record holders.

Dividend Policy

We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock. We currently intend to retain any earnings for use in our business and do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. In addition, the payment of cash dividends to our stockholders is currently prohibited by our credit facility. See Note 4 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans**

As of December 30, 2006, we had three equity compensation plans. These plans are the 2005 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, 1995 Director Option Plan and 1998 Stock Option Plan, all of which have been approved by our stockholders. The following table summarizes our equity compensation plans as of December 30, 2006:

| | (a) | (b) | (c) |
|--|---|---|---|
| Plan category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) |
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 1,822,466(1) | \$ 5.93 | 471,621(2) |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | 309,104(3) | 6.42 | 0 |
| Total | 2,131,570 | \$ 6.00 | 471,621 |

(1) Includes 1,462,791 options to purchase shares outstanding under the 1998 Stock Plan, 97,500 options to purchase shares outstanding under the 1995 Director Option Plan and 262,175, options to purchase shares outstanding under the Amended and Restated 1989

Incentive Stock Plan.

- (2) Includes 458,673 options available for future issuance under the 1998 Stock Plan and 12,948 shares issuable under the 2005 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.
- (3) Consists of three items. The first is a Stand-Alone Option granted to Barry G. Caldwell on July 5, 2005, entitling Mr. Caldwell to purchase up to 234,104 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$6.07 per share, issued as a stand-alone option, outside of the Company's existing stock plans and as a material inducement to Mr. Caldwell accepting employment with the Company. The shares covered by the Stand-Alone Option vest over a four (4) year period, with

1/4th of the total number of shares subject to the Stand-Alone Option vesting on July 5, 2006 and 1/48th of the total number of shares subject to the Stand-Alone Option vesting each full month thereafter, provided that Mr. Caldwell continues to be a service provider to the Company on each such date.

The second item is a warrant, issued in conjunction with the employment of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, in consideration of services performed under a recruiting contract, to purchase 25,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$6.07 per share. The warrant is exercisable at any time and expires on July 5, 2008.

The third item is a stand-alone option granted outside of the Company's existing stock plans to Deborah Tomasco, the Company's Vice President of Product Innovation. The option entitles Ms. Tomasco to purchase up to 50,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$8.26 per share.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following selected consolidated financial data as of December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, and for the years ended December 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 and January 1, 2005, has been derived from, and are qualified by reference to, our audited consolidated financial statements included herein. The selected consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended January 3, 2004 and December 28, 2002, and the consolidated balance sheet data as of January 1, 2005, January 3, 2004 and December 28, 2002 has been derived from our audited financial statements not included herein. These historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for any future period.

The data set forth below (in thousands, except per share data) are qualified by reference to, and should be read in conjunction with Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and our consolidated financial statements, related financial statement notes and other financial information included in Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

| | Fiscal Year 2006 | Fiscal Year 2005 | Fiscal Year 2004 | Fiscal Year 2003 | Fiscal Year 2002 |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Consolidated Statement of Operations Data: | | | | | |
| Sales | \$ 35,904 | \$ 37,029 | \$ 32,810 | \$ 31,699 | \$ 30,634 |
| Cost of sales | 17,099 | 18,854 | 17,922 | 17,628 | 17,046 |
| Gross profit | 18,805 | 18,175 | 14,888 | 14,071 | 13,588 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Research and development | 5,511 | 4,195 | 4,509 | 4,032 | 4,315 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 18,059 | 12,171 | 11,455 | 10,087 | 9,454 |
| Total operating expenses | 23,570 | 16,366 | 15,964 | 14,119 | 13,769 |
| (Loss) income from operations | (4,765) | 1,809 | (1,076) | (48) | (181) |
| Interest and other income, net | 733 | 528 | 319 | 212 | 122 |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | (4,032) | 2,337 | (757) | 164 | (59) |
| (Provision) benefit from income taxes | (1,721) | (666) | 355 | 207 | 209 |
| Income (loss) | \$ (5,753) | \$ 1,671 | \$ (402) | \$ 371 | \$ 150 |
| Share Data (basic and diluted): | | | | | |
| Basic net income (loss) per common share | \$ (0.75) | \$ 0.23 | \$ (0.06) | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.02 |
| Diluted net income (loss) per common share | \$ (0.75) | \$ 0.21 | \$ (0.06) | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.02 |

Shares used in net income (loss) per
common share calculation

| | | | | | |
|---------|----------|----------|---------|---------|----------|
| Basic | 7,713 | 7,405 | 7,200 | 6,933 | 6,870 |
| Diluted | 7,713 | 7,880 | 7,200 | 7,072 | 6,928 |
| | December | December | January | January | December |
| | 30, | 31, | 1, | 3, | 28, |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2005 | 2004 | 2002 |

Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:

| | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Cash, cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities | \$21,051 | \$21,434 | \$18,028 | \$16,292 | \$11,542 |
| Working capital | 29,846 | 32,330 | 25,342 | 28,462 | 28,072 |
| Total assets | 40,177 | 41,104 | 39,093 | 35,839 | 34,272 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 32,157 | 34,517 | 31,783 | 30,834 | 30,198 |

Table of Contents**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations****Overview**

IRIDEX Corporation is a leading worldwide provider of therapeutic based laser systems and delivery devices used to treat eye diseases in ophthalmology and skin conditions in dermatology (aesthetics). Our products are sold in the United States predominantly through a direct sales force and internationally through 77 independent distributors into 107 countries. Total product sales in 2006, 2005, and 2004 were \$35.9 million, \$37.0 million, and \$32.8 million respectively.

Our revenues arise primarily from the sale of our IRIS Medical OcuLight Systems, IQ810 lasers, VariLite, DioLite 532 systems, delivery devices, disposables and revenues from service and support activities. Our current family of OcuLight systems includes the IRIS Medical OcuLight Symphony, OcuLight SL, OcuLight SLx, OcuLight TX, OcuLight GL and OcuLight GLx laser photocoagulation systems as well as the IQ810 laser. In 2006 at the American Academy of Ophthalmology (AAO) we introduced two new ophthalmic products; the OcuLight TX, a new green light laser with an optional remote control and wireless footswitch which first shipped in late 2006 and the first true yellow 577 nm laser system which is currently scheduled to ship in the second half of 2007 once FDA approval has been obtained. We also produce the Millennium Endolase module which is sold exclusively to Bausch & Lomb and incorporated into their Millennium Microsurgical System. We believe that our future growth in revenue will be based upon the successful implementation of our strategy in these areas: 1) leveraging our core business and increasing recurring revenues, 2) broadening our product lines through product innovation, and 3) developing new market opportunities through strategic acquisitions and alliances.

Our business includes a recurring revenue component which includes the sale of our disposable single use laser probes, EndoProbes, combined with the repair, servicing and extended warranty protection for our laser systems. In 2006, recurring revenues were 43% of the overall business up from 36% in 2005 and 33% in 2004. With our new sales and marketing programs, combined with having sold more than 8,300 IRIDEX laser systems worldwide, we believe that there is an opportunity to significantly increase our recurring revenues over the next several years. Our new sales programs include an increase in the number of our domestic area sales managers from 10 to 12 focused on the entire ophthalmic product offering with emphasis in their incentive plan on the recurring revenue opportunity. Our new marketing programs include a VIP (Valued IRIDEX Partner) program which allows customers to access additional IRIDEX products through a contractual agreement on the purchase of disposable laser probes. During the past two years, we have introduced many different types of EndoProbes into the market, including our new stepped, intuitive and illuminating probes.

Sales to international distributors are made on open credit terms or letters of credit. Sales of our products internationally are currently denominated in United States dollars and, accordingly, are not subject to risks associated with international monetary conditions and currency fluctuations.

Cost of sales consists primarily of the cost of purchasing components and sub-systems, assembling, packaging, shipping and testing components at our facility, and direct labor and associated overhead. Research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel costs, materials and research support provided to clinicians at medical institutions developing new applications which utilize our products.

Research and development costs have been expensed as incurred. Sales, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of costs of personnel, sales commissions, travel expenses, advertising and promotional expenses, facilities cost, legal and accounting fees, insurance and other expenses not allocated to other departments.

Results of Operations 2006, 2005 and 2004

Revenue: Revenue by source for 2006, 2005 and 2004 were as follows (in thousands):

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Revenue: | | | |
| Ophthalmology Domestic | \$ 18,184 | \$ 17,762 | \$ 16,443 |
| Ophthalmology International | 12,641 | 12,900 | 11,310 |
| Total Ophthalmology | 30,826 | 30,663 | 27,753 |

Edgar Filing: IRIDEX CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Dermatology Domestic | 3,641 | 4,951 | 3,451 |
| Dermatology International | 1,437 | 1,415 | 1,606 |
| Total Dermatology | 5,078 | 6,366 | 5,057 |
| Total Revenue | \$ 35,904 | \$ 37,029 | \$ 32,810 |

Table of Contents*Ophthalmology and Dermatology Revenue.*

We manage and evaluate our business in two major segments—Ophthalmology and Dermatology. We then further break down these major segments by geography—Domestic (United States) and International (the rest of the world). Total revenue in 2006 declined to \$35.9 million from \$37 million in 2005, a \$1.1 million or 3.0% decrease. The decrease resulted from Dermatology sales in the domestic segment which declined \$1.3 million or 26.5%. Changes in the composition of the selling force in the first half of the year significantly contributed to this decrease. Dermatology international sales were essentially flat from 2005 levels. Overall, Dermatology sales in both segments declined by \$1.3 million, a 20.2% decrease. Ophthalmology sales in the domestic segment increased \$0.4 million or 2.4% in 2006 from 2005. This increase in domestic ophthalmology revenue was offset by a decrease in OEM revenue from 2005 levels which reflected a large one time OEM order. Ophthalmology international sales declined \$0.2 million in 2006, a 2% decrease due to a decline of approximately \$1.0 million in sales to end customers in China and Hong Kong offset by strength in international sales in Europe and other parts of the world. Overall Ophthalmology sales growth was \$0.2 million or 0.5% in 2006 compared to 2005.

Total Revenue in 2005 increased by \$4.2 million over 2004, a 13% increase. In 2005, ophthalmology sales increased 10.5% to \$30.7 million from \$27.8 million in 2004. Domestic ophthalmology sales increased 8% to \$17.8 million in 2005 from \$16.4 million in 2004. Domestic ophthalmology sales increased during this period mainly as a result of \$1.2 million in increased unit sales of visible lasers, \$0.5 million in increased service revenue, \$0.1 million in increased unit sales of delivery devices offset by \$0.4 million in decreased unit sales of infrared lasers and \$0.2 million in decreased average selling prices. International ophthalmology sales increased 14.1% to \$12.9 million from \$11.3 million in 2004. The increase in international sales was due primarily to a \$1.2 million increase in unit sales of visible laser consoles, \$0.4 million in increased delivery device sales, \$0.2 million in increased average selling prices and \$0.1 million in increased international ophthalmology service revenue offset by a \$0.5 million decrease in unit sales of infrared lasers. Dermatology sales increased 25.9% in 2005 to \$6.4 million from \$5.1 million in 2004. Domestic dermatology sales increased 43.5% to \$5.0 million in 2005 from \$3.5 million in 2004. The increase in domestic dermatology sales was due primarily to \$2.4 million in increased unit sales of the VariLite laser, \$0.1 million in unit sales of the new DioLite XP laser and \$0.2 million in increased domestic dermatology service revenue offset by \$0.7 million in decreased unit sales of the DioLite laser and \$0.5 million in sales of the Apex hair removal laser which was discontinued in 2005. International dermatology sales decreased 11.9% to \$1.4 million in 2005 from \$1.6 million in 2004. The decrease in international dermatology sales was due to a \$0.9 million decrease in unit sales of the Apex hair removal laser which was discontinued in 2005 offset by a \$0.7 million increase in unit sales of the VariLite laser. We expect revenues to increase primarily due to the acquisition of the assets of the aesthetics business of Laserscope.

Gross Margin:

Gross profit was \$18.8 million in 2006, \$18.2 million in 2005 and \$14.9 million in 2004. Gross margin represented 52.4% of sales in 2006, 49.1% of sales in 2005 and 45.4% of sales in 2004. The 3.3% increase in gross profit in 2006 was primarily due to a decrease in warranty reserves due to a change in the duration of our standard warranty, which was reduced from three years to one year and a benefit due to the sale of previously reserved inventory. In addition gross margin was positively impacted by a slight improvement in overall average selling prices.

The 3.7% increase in gross profit in 2005 from 2004 resulted from a 1.9% decrease in direct costs combined with a 1.8% decrease in overhead spending. Additional factors having a positive impact on gross margin include the mix impact of the increase in disposable laser probe revenue and some OEM revenues which may not be repeatable.

We believe gross profit in dollars will likely increase as unit volumes increase and unit production costs decrease by improved design and production efficiencies. Overall, however, gross margins as a percentage of sales will continue to fluctuate due to changes in the relative proportions of domestic and international sales, the product mix of sales, costs associated with future product introductions and the manufacturing transition of the Laserscope products and a variety of other factors. See **Factors That May Affect Future Results—Our Operating Results May Fluctuate from Quarter to Quarter and Year to Year.**

Research and Development.

Research and development includes the cost of research and product innovation efforts. Research and product innovation expenses increased by 31.4% to \$5.5 million in 2006 from \$4.2 million in 2005. The increase in spending in 2006 related to increased project spending of \$0.5 million associated with increased development efforts, \$0.4 million of increased salary and benefit expense associated with increased headcount including expenses for consulting and temporary help and stock compensation expense of \$0.3 million recorded in 2006.

Research and product innovation expenses decreased by 7.0% in 2005 to \$4.2 million from \$4.5 million in 2004. The decrease in 2005, consisted of a \$0.2 million decrease in project spending and \$0.1 million in decreased clinical spending. The decrease in project spending in 2005 was primarily driven by completion of development of the VariLite and IQ810 lasers in 2004. Total research and development expenses were 15.3% of net sales in 2006, 11.3% of net sales in 2005 and 13.7% of net sales in 2004. The decrease in research and product innovation expense, as a percentage of net sales in 2005 was attributable to the decrease in research and product innovation spending in that year due to completion of certain products. We expect to target our level of research and development spend at approximately 10% of our revenues in future periods to maintain a consistent level of new product introductions.

Table of Contents*Sales, General and Administrative.*

Sales, general and administrative expense increased in 2006 by 48.4% to \$18.1 million from \$12.2 million in 2005. General and administrative expenses increased \$4.0 million in 2006 due to \$2.4 million in increased legal spending related to litigation, \$1.0 million in stock compensation expense, \$0.2 million in increased business development spending and \$0.5 million in professional and legal fees associated with an internal investigation of our revenue recognition policy and resulting financial restatement. Selling expenses increased 21.4% or \$1.1 million in 2006. This increase was largely related to increased salary and benefit expense and recruiting expense of \$0.8 million related to increased sales headcount. In addition, selling expense was increased for charges related to demonstration units used in the sales process of \$0.1 million and increased bad debt expense of \$0.1 million. Marketing expense increased \$0.8 million in 2006. This increase was due to increased salary and benefit expense of \$0.3 million associated with increased headcount, \$0.2 million of stock compensation expense, and \$0.3 million in increased advertising and trade show expenses. We expect sales, general and administrative expense to increase in the first half of 2007 due to ongoing legal spending related to litigation and increased consulting fees associated with the Laserscope integration.

Sales, general and administrative expense increased by 6.3% in 2005 to \$12.2 million from \$11.5 million in 2004. The increase in 2005 was driven by an increase of \$0.3 million in marketing spending, \$0.2 million in increased selling expenses and \$0.2 million in increased general and administrative costs. The increase in marketing spending was primarily related to spending on trade shows, advertising and consulting. The increase in selling expense was due mainly to increased sales headcount and related expenses. General and administrative expense increased primarily due to spending related to the hiring of a new Chief Executive Officer as well as increased consulting, legal expenses and public company expenses offset by a one-time \$1.0 million charge to establish a reserve for unpaid sales tax in 2004. These expenses were 32.9% of sales in 2005 and 34.9% of sales in 2004. The decrease, as a percentage of net sales, from 2004 to 2005, was attributable to the level of increase in sales, general and administrative expense relative to the increase in the level of sales.

Interest and Other income, net.

Other income, net consists primarily of interest income earned on available-for-sales securities. Interest income was \$736,000, \$534,000 and \$249,000 in 2006, 2005 and 2004 respectively. Interest income increased in 2006 over 2005 and 2005 over 2004 based on higher average cash balances and increased interest rates in 2006 and 2005. We do not expect to earn interest income in the future and instead expect to incur interest expense related to our new credit facilities.

Income Taxes

Significant components affecting the effective tax rate include pre-tax net income or loss, changes in valuation allowance, federal and state R&D tax credits, income from tax-exempt securities, the state composite tax rate and recognition of certain deferred tax assets subject to valuation allowance. We recorded a tax provision of \$1.7 million in 2006 resulting from the establishment of a valuation allowance with respect of our deferred tax assets based on our past losses and uncertainty regarding our ability to project taxable income. Our tax provision for 2005 was \$0.7 million based upon a 28% annual effective tax rate. This rate was calculated based on a statutory tax rate benefited by R&D tax credits and state tax benefits. We recorded a tax benefit in 2004 of \$0.4 million. The 2004 tax rate resulted primarily from a small pre-tax loss benefited by R&D tax credits. In 2007 we do not anticipate recording a tax provision until we determine it is appropriate to revise the valuation allowance.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our recent and current operating performance has not met our expectations, primarily as a result of our inability to realize the full benefits of the acquisition of the aesthetics business of Laserscope in our previously anticipated time frame, as well as recent negative cash flows from operations. In particular, revenues from the aesthetics business have been below our expectations. Our ability to realize the potential benefits of the acquisition will depend, in part, on our ability to integrate the aesthetics business. As expected, our efforts towards integrating the aesthetics business of Laserscope has and will continue to take a significant amount of time and place a significant strain on our managerial, operational and financial resources, and may continue to be more difficult and expensive than originally anticipated. This continued diversion of our management's attention and any additional delays or difficulties encountered in connection with the integration of the aesthetics business will harm our operating results and increase the difficulty of

our being able to satisfy our liquidity requirements.

We do not believe that our current liquidity and capital resources, cash expected to be generated from operations and our ability to draw-down on our credit facilities, if at all, will be sufficient to meet our currently planned operating requirements for the next 12 months. Our concerns about our ability to satisfy our liquidity requirements over the next 12 months are primarily a result of our current operating performance relative to plan, as well as our continuing losses, negative cash flows and current liquidity in relation to future obligations, including our obligation to make a payment in October 2007 to Laserscope for the purchase of certain inventory under our Supply Agreement we entered into with American Medical Systems Holdings in connection with the acquisition of the aesthetics business of Laserscope and our expected inability to satisfy certain covenants under our loan agreement with Mid-Peninsula Bank, part of Greater Bay Bank N.A., and the Export-Import Bank as of March 31, 2007. Our independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, issued an opinion in connection with their audit of our financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2006 which stated, that there was substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern.

Table of Contents

In addition, we currently expect that our operating performance for our first fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2007 will result in our not being able to satisfy certain current restrictive covenants contained in our credit facilities with Mid-Peninsula Bank and the Export-Import Bank, and if we default on these credit facilities and the lenders exercise their remedies, this will further contribute to the difficulties we expect to face in meeting our liquidity requirements over the next 12 months. Our obligations under these credit facilities are secured by a lien on substantially all of the Company's assets. Each of these credit facilities contain certain customary covenants which require the Company to maintain profitability beginning in our second fiscal quarter of 2007 and to meet certain tangible net worth and debt service requirements. In addition, we must maintain \$3.0 million in restricted cash at all times when borrowings are outstanding. We currently have drawn down \$11.4 million under this credit facility which is the full amount currently available, and, given our current financial status, we currently do not expect to be able to satisfy the restrictive covenants relating to these facilities as of March 31, 2007. In the event of default by the Company with the covenants under these facilities, Mid-Peninsula Bank and the Export-Import Bank, would be entitled to exercise their remedies, under these facilities, which include declaring all obligations immediately due and payable and disposing of the collateral if obligations were not paid. We have initiated discussions with a view toward restructuring these credit facilities to enable us to come into compliance with applicable covenants; however, we cannot assure you that these discussions will be successful. See Note 11 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II of this report for more information regarding these credit facilities.

In order to address our liquidity issues, we plan to, among other things: (i) work towards integrating the aesthetics business as quickly and efficiently as possible and maximizing the potential benefits that may be realized from the acquisition, (ii) modify our planned operations in order to increase our cash flows from operations, (iii) seek to restructure our credit facilities, and (iv) raise additional capital through equity or debt financing in order to enhance our liquidity.

We cannot assure you that we will be successful in these efforts or that any additional capital raised through debt or equity financings will be available on favorable terms or at all. If we are unsuccessful in these efforts, we may have to suspend or cease operations or significantly dilute our stockholders' equity holdings.

Net cash used by operations in 2006 totaled \$1.5 million. This resulted largely from a net loss of \$5.7 million an increase in net inventories of \$0.9 million, offset by \$3.2 million associated with the recording of a valuation allowance on the deferred tax asset and non-cash stock compensation expense recorded during the year of \$1.8 million. Cash provided by investing activities was \$8.3 million due to the conversion of available-for-sale securities into cash and cash equivalents in anticipation of closing the acquisition of the aesthetics business of Laserscope in January 2007. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$1.6 million related to the issuance of stock in connection with our employee stock purchase programs.

Net cash generated by operations in 2005 totaled \$2.8 million. The primary sources of cash in 2005 included net income of \$1.7 million, a decrease in net accounts receivable of \$0.8 million due to a decrease in days sales outstanding and an increase in deferred income taxes of \$0.6 million. Uses of cash in 2005 consisted mainly of a decrease in accrued expenses of \$0.7 million due to payments in 2005 against a sales tax reserve offset by increased bonus accruals for 2005. We used \$1.5 million for investing activities in 2005 primarily due to the purchase of available-for-sale securities and the acquisition of capital assets. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$1.0 million from the issuance of stock in connection with our employee stock purchase programs.

Net cash generated by operations in 2004 totaled \$0.8 million and consisted mainly of an increase in net accrued expenses and accounts payable of \$2.0 million due primarily to a reserve for sales tax and associated consulting as well as payroll accruals related to the timing of payroll, depreciation of \$0.4 million, an increase in deferred revenue of \$0.3 million based on increased sales of extended warranty contracts offset by an increase in net accounts receivable of \$0.8 million related mainly to the timing of sales in the fourth quarter of 2004, an increase in the deferred tax asset of \$0.6 million, a net loss of \$0.4 million and an increase in inventory of \$0.2 million associated with inventory purchased in connection with new product introductions. We used \$2.3 million for investing activities in 2004 primarily due to the purchase of available-for-sale securities and the acquisition of capital assets. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$1.4 million from the issuance of stock in connection with our employee stock purchase programs.

Our contractual payment obligations that were fixed and determinable as of December 30, 2006 were as follows:

| | Payments Due by Period | | | | | 2011 and thereafter |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|--------|-------|------|---------------------|
| | Total | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | |
| Contractual Obligations | | | | | | |
| Operating Leases | \$ 983 | \$ 438 | \$ 451 | \$ 94 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Unconditional Purchase Obligations* | \$ 1,472 | \$ 1,472 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Total Contractual Cash Obligations | \$ 2,455 | \$ 1,910 | \$ 451 | \$ 94 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |

* Related to the acquisition of the aesthetics business of AMS in January 2007, we have agreed to purchase up to \$9.0 million worth of certain inventory from AMS book value, following the termination of our Product Supply Agreement with AMS no later than October 2007. Principal payments under the term loans entered into in conjunction with the acquisition are \$98,000 per month over a term of 5 years.

Table of Contents

The following annual operating lease commitments as of January 17, 2007 were acquired as part of the acquisition of the French and UK subsidiaries of Laserscope.

| | |
|------|--------|
| 2007 | \$ 328 |
| 2008 | 224 |
| 2009 | 145 |
| 2010 | 33 |
| | \$ 730 |

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, net sales and expenses, and the related disclosures. We base our estimates on historical experience, our knowledge of economic and market factors and various other assumptions we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe the following critical accounting policies are affected by significant estimates, assumptions, and judgments used in the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

Our revenues arise from the sale of laser consoles, delivery devices, disposables and service and support activities. Revenue from product sales is recognized upon receipt of a purchase order and product shipment provided that no significant obligations remain and collection of the receivables is reasonably assured. Shipments are generally made with Free-On-Board (FOB) shipping point terms, whereby title passes upon shipment from our dock. Any shipments with FOB receiving point terms are recorded as revenue when the shipment arrives at the receiving point. Up-front fees received in connection with product sales are deferred and recognized over the associated product shipments. Revenue relating to extended warrant contracts is recognized on a straight line basis over the period of the applicable warranty contract. We recognize repair service revenue upon completion of the work. Cost is recognized as product sales revenue is recognized. The Company's sales may include post-sales obligations for training or other deliverables. When these obligations are fulfilled after product shipment, the Company recognizes revenue in accordance with the multiple element accounting guidance set forth in Emerging Issues Task Force No. 00-21, Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables. When the Company has objective and reliable evidence of fair value of the undelivered elements, it defers revenue attributable to the post-sale obligations and recognizes such revenue when the obligation is fulfilled. Otherwise, the Company defers all revenue related to the transaction until all elements are delivered.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market and include on-hand inventory, sales demo inventory and service loaner inventory. Cost is determined on a standard cost basis which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. Lower of cost or market is evaluated by considering obsolescence, excessive levels of inventory, deterioration and other factors. Adjustments to reduce the cost of inventory to its net realizable value, if required, are made for estimated excess, obsolescence or impaired inventory and are charged to cost of goods sold. Factors influencing these adjustments include changes in demand, product life cycle and development plans, component cost trends, product pricing, physical deterioration and quality issues. Revisions to these adjustments would be required if these factors differ from our estimates.

Sales Returns Allowance and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

In the process of preparing financial statements we make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Specifically, we estimate future product returns related to current period product revenue. We analyze historical returns, and changes in customer demand and acceptance of our products when evaluating the adequacy of the sales returns allowance and other allowances. Significant management judgments and estimates must be made and used in connection with establishing the sales returns and

other allowances in any accounting period. Material differences may result in the amount and timing of our revenue for any period if management made different judgments or utilized different estimates. The provision for sales returns amounted to \$0.2 million in fiscal years 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Similarly our management must make estimates regarding the uncollectability of our accounts receivable. We are exposed to credit risk in the event of non-payment by customers to the extent of amounts recorded on the balance sheet. As of December 30, 2006, we had accounts receivable totaling \$6.1 million, net of an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.4 million. As sales levels increase the level of accounts receivable would likely also increase. In the event that customers were to delay their payments to us, the levels of accounts receivable would likely also increase. We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on past payment history with the customer, analysis of the customer's current financial condition, the aging of the accounts receivable balance, customer concentration and other known factors.

Table of Contents*Warranty*

The Company accrues for estimated warranty costs upon shipment of products. Actual warranty costs incurred have not materially differed from those accrued. The Company's warranty policy is applicable to products which are considered defective in their performance or fail to meet the product specifications. Warranty costs are reflected in the statement of operations as a cost of sales.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, which requires that deferred tax assets and liabilities be recognized using enacted tax rates for the effect of temporary differences between the book and tax bases of recorded assets and liabilities. Under SFAS No. 109, the liability method is used in accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between financial reporting and the tax basis of assets and liabilities, and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. SFAS No. 109 also requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. We evaluate annually the realizability of our deferred tax assets by assessing our valuation allowance and by adjusting the amount of such allowance, if necessary. The factors used to assess the likelihood of realization include our forecast of future taxable income and available tax planning strategies that could be implemented to realize the net deferred tax assets. In the quarter ended December 30, 2006 we recorded a full valuation allowance for our deferred tax assets based on our past losses and uncertainty regarding our ability to project future taxable income. In future periods if we are able to generate income we may reduce or eliminate the valuation allowance.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

On January 1, 2006 we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123(R)), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based awards made to employees and directors, including employee non-qualified and incentive stock options, restricted stock units and employee purchase rights under our Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP Shares) based on estimated fair values. SFAS 123(R) supersedes previous accounting under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (APB 25) for periods beginning in fiscal year 2006. In March 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 (SAB 107) providing supplemental implementation guidance for SFAS 123(R). We have applied the provisions of SAB 107 in our adoption of SFAS 123(R).

SFAS 123(R) requires companies to estimate the fair value of share-based awards on the date of grant using an option pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense over the requisite service periods in our consolidated statements of income. We adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method which requires the application of the accounting standard starting from January 1, 2006, the first day of our fiscal year 2006. Our consolidated financial statements, as of and for the year ended December 30, 2006, reflect the impact of SFAS 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective transition method we used in adopting SFAS 123(R), our results of operations prior to fiscal year 2006 have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS 123(R).

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), we accounted for share-based awards to employees and directors using the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB 25 and FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 44, *Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation* an Interpretation of APB Opinion No. 25. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for our fixed cost stock option plans because stock-based awards were issued at fair market value on the date of grant

Stock-based compensation expense recognized in the year ended December 30, 2006, included stock-based compensation expense for share-based awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of December 31, 2005, based on the fair value on the grant date estimated in accordance with the pro forma provisions of SFAS 123, and stock-based compensation expense for the share-based awards granted subsequent to December 31, 2005, based on the fair value on the grant date estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R). In conjunction with the adoption of SFAS 123(R), we changed our method of attributing the value of stock-based compensation expense from the accelerated multiple-option method (for the purposes of non-GAAP information under SFAS 123) to the straight-line

single option method. Stock-based compensation expense for all share-based awards granted on or prior to December 31, 2005 will continue to be recognized using the accelerated multiple-option approach, while stock-based compensation expense for all share-based awards granted subsequent to December 30, 2005 will be recognized using the straight-line single option method. SFAS 123(R) requires that we recognize expense for awards ultimately expected to vest; therefore we are required to develop an estimate of the number of awards expected to cancel prior to vesting (forfeiture rate). The forfeiture rate is estimated based on historical pre-vest cancellation experience and is applied to all share-based awards. SFAS 123(R) requires the forfeiture rate to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Prior to fiscal year 2006, we accounted for forfeitures as they actually occurred.

Upon adoption of SFAS 123(R), we selected the Black-Scholes option pricing model as the most appropriate method for determining the estimated fair value for stock options and ESPP Shares. The Black-Scholes model requires the use of highly subjective and complex assumptions which determine the fair value of share-based awards, including the option's expected term and the price volatility of the underlying stock. For restricted stock or restricted stock units, stock-based compensation expense is calculated based on the fair market value of our stock on the date of grant.

Table of Contents**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of SFAS 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 prescribes a comprehensive model for recognizing, measuring, presenting and disclosing in the financial statements tax positions taken or expected to be taken on a tax return, including a decision whether to file or not to file in a particular jurisdiction. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We will adopt FIN 48 in our year ended December 29, 2007. We do not believe that the adoption of the provisions of FIN 48 will materially impact our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

On September 13, 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (SAB 108), which provides interpretive guidance on how the effects of the carryover or reversal of prior year misstatements should be considered in quantifying a current year misstatement. The guidance became effective for our fiscal year 2006. Our adoption of SAB 108 did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements under GAAP. The changes to current practice resulting from the application of SFAS 157 relate to the definition of fair value, the methods used to measure fair value, and the expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. We do not believe that the adoption of the provisions of SFAS 157 will materially impact our consolidated financial position and results of operations

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Market risk represents the risk of loss that may impact the financial position, results of operations or cash flows due to adverse changes in financial and commodity market prices and rates. Although limited, our exposure to market risk in the areas of changes in United States and foreign interest rates and changes in foreign currency exchange rates are measured against the United States dollar. These exposures are directly related to our normal operating and funding activities.

We are exposed to fluctuations in interest rates on cash and cash equivalents, available-for-sale marketable securities and any future financing requirements. At December 30, 2006, we had no available-for-sale securities. Our primary interest rate exposure relates to the impact of interest rate movements on our ability to obtain adequate financing to fund future operations. We have no debt outstanding at December 30, 2006.

Currently, the majority of our revenue is denominated in United States dollars. Increases in the value of the United States dollar against any local currencies could cause our products to become relatively more expensive to customers in a particular country or region, leading to reduced revenue or profitability in that country or region. As we continue to expand our international sales, we expect our non-United States dollar denominated revenue and our exposure to gains and losses on international currency transactions to increase due to our acquisition of two European subsidiaries as part of our acquisition of the assets of the aesthetics business of Laserscope. We currently do not engage in transactions to hedge against the risk of the currency fluctuation, but we may do so in the future.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Our consolidated balance sheets as of December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 and the consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), stockholders equity and cash flows for each of the three years ending in the period December 30, 2006, together with the related notes and the report of our independent auditors, are on the following pages. Additional required financial information is described in Item 15.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of IRIDEX Corporation:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of IRIDEX Corporation and its subsidiaries at December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 30, 2006 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) presents fairly in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, the Company will not be in compliance with certain debt covenants as of the quarter ended March 31, 2007 and does not have available resources to repay the debt if required to do so by the lender which raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 1. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

As discussed in Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for stock-based compensation in 2006.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

San Jose, California

March 30, 2007

Table of Contents

IRIDEX Corporation
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

| | December 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 21,051 | \$ 12,655 |
| Available-for-sale securities | | 8,779 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$439 in 2006 and \$559 in 2005 | 6,052 | 6,589 |
| Inventories, net | 9,499 | 8,594 |
| Prepays and other current assets | 1,264 | 885 |
| Short term deferred income taxes | | 1,415 |
| Total current assets | 37,866 | 38,917 |
| Property and equipment, net | 1,087 | 1,114 |
| Other long term assets | 1,224 | |
| Deferred income taxes | | 1,073 |
| Total assets | \$ 40,177 | \$ 41,104 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 1,830 | \$ 1,094 |
| Accrued expenses | 4,775 | 4,421 |
| Deferred revenue | 1,415 | 1,072 |
| Total liabilities | 8,020 | 6,587 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 5). | | |
| Stockholders Equity | | |
| Convertible Preferred Stock, \$.01 par value: | | |
| Authorized: 2,000,000 shares; | | |
| Issued and outstanding: none | | |
| Common Stock, \$.01 par value: | | |
| Authorized: 30,000,000 shares; | | |
| Issued and outstanding: 7,841,781 shares in 2006 and 7,520,358 shares in 2005 | | |
| | 79 | 76 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 29,697 | 26,334 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | | (27) |
| Treasury stock, at cost | (430) | (430) |
| Retained earnings | 2,811 | 8,564 |

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Total stockholders' equity | 32,157 | 34,517 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 40,177 | \$ 41,104 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

48

Table of Contents

IRIDEX Corporation
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | Year Ended January 1, 2004 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Sales | \$ 35,904 | 37,029 | \$ 32,810 |
| Cost of sales | 17,099 | 18,854 | 17,922 |
| Gross profit | 18,805 | 18,175 | 14,888 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Research and development | 5,511 | 4,195 | 4,509 |
| Sales, general and administrative | 18,059 | 12,171 | 11,455 |
| Total operating expenses | 23,570 | 16,366 | 15,964 |
| (Loss) income from operations | (4,765) | 1,809 | (1,076) |
| Interest and other income, net | 733 | 528 | 319 |
| (Loss) Income before income taxes | (4,032) | 2,337 | (757) |
| (Provision for) Benefit from income taxes | (1,721) | (666) | 355 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (5,753) | 1,671 | \$ (402) |
| Basic (loss) net income per common share | \$ (0.75) | \$ 0.23 | \$ (0.06) |
| Diluted (loss) net income per common share | \$ (0.75) | \$ 0.21 | \$ (0.06) |
| Shares used in computing net (loss) income per share basic | 7,713 | 7,405 | 7,200 |
| Shares used in computing net (loss) income per common share diluted | 7,713 | 7,880 | 7,200 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

IRIDEX Corporation
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
(in thousands, except share data)

| | Common Stock | | Additional | Treasury | Accumulated Other Comprehensive | Retained | Total |
|--|--------------|--------|------------|----------|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | Shares | Amount | Capital | Stock | (Loss) | Earnings | |
| Balances, January 3, 2004 | 6,987,033 | \$ 70 | \$ 23,900 | \$ (430) | \$ (1) | \$ 7,295 | \$ 30,834 |
| Issuance of Common Stock under Stock Option Plan | 294,852 | 4 | 1,081 | | | | 1,085 |
| Issuance of Common Stock under Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 26,972 | | 122 | | | | 122 |
| Tax Benefit of Employee Stock Option Plan | | | 178 | | | | 178 |
| Change in unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities | | | | | (34) | | (34) |
| Net loss | | | | | | (402) | (402) |
| | | | | | | | |
| Balances, January 1, 2005 | 7,308,857 | 74 | 25,281 | (430) | (35) | 6,893 | 31,783 |
| Issuance of Common Stock under Stock Option Plan | 183,873 | 2 | 661 | | | | 663 |
| Issuance of Common Stock under Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 27,628 | | 134 | | | | 134 |
| Tax Benefit of Employee Stock Option Plan | | | 171 | | | | 171 |
| Change in unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities | | | | | 8 | | 8 |
| Warrants issued for services | | | 87 | | | | 87 |
| Net income | | | | | | 1,671 | 1,671 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Balances, December 31, 2005 | 7,520,358 | 76 | \$ 26,334 | (430) | (27) | 8,564 | 34,517 |
| Issuance of Common Stock under Stock Option Plan | 276,578 | 3 | 1,289 | | | | 1,292 |
| Issuance of Common Stock under Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 44,845 | | 295 | | | | 295 |
| Employee Stock-Based Compensation Expense | | | 1,779 | | | | 1,779 |
| Change in unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities | | | | | 27 | | 27 |
| Net loss | | | | | | (5,753) | (5,753) |
| | | | | | | | |
| Balances, December 30, 2006 | 7,841,781 | \$ 79 | \$ 29,697 | \$ (430) | \$ | \$ 2,811 | \$ 32,157 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

IRIDEX Corporation
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | Year Ended January 1, 2005 |
|--|---|---|---|
| Operating activities: | | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (5,753) | \$ 1,671 | \$ (402) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 542 | 435 | 384 |
| Stock compensation cost recognized | 1,816 | | |
| Issuance of warrant | | 87 | |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 141 | 132 | 376 |
| Provision for inventories | (296) | 407 | 694 |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,488 | 585 | (579) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 396 | 683 | (1,232) |
| Inventories | (609) | (436) | (895) |
| Prepays and other current assets | (379) | (71) | 120 |
| Other long term assets | (154) | | |
| Accounts payable | (274) | (139) | 204 |
| Accrued expenses | 354 | (746) | 1,787 |
| Deferred revenue | 343 | 162 | 314 |
| Net cash/(used) provided by operating activities | (1,385) | 2,770 | 771 |
| Investing activities: | | | |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (18,250) | (8,770) | (7,681) |
| Proceeds from maturity of available-for-sale securities | 27,056 | 7,646 | 5,751 |
| Business acquisition cost | (60) | | |
| Acquisition of property and equipment | (515) | (340) | (386) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 8,231 | (1,464) | (2,316) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans | 1,550 | 968 | 1,385 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 1,550 | 968 | 1,385 |

Edgar Filing: IRIDEX CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 8,396 | 2,274 | (160) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 12,655 | 10,381 | 10,541 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 21,051 | \$ 12,655 | \$ 10,381 |

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

Cash paid during the year for:

| | | | |
|--------------|--------|--------|-------|
| Income taxes | \$ 243 | \$ 209 | \$ 25 |
|--------------|--------|--------|-------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

IRIDEX Corporation
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | Year Ended January 1, 2005 |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Net (loss) income | \$ (5,753) | \$ 1,671 | \$ (402) |
| Changes in unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities, net of tax | 27 | 6 | (34) |
| Comprehensive (loss) income | \$ (5,726) | \$ 1,677 | \$ (436) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

IRIDEX Corporation
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Business of the Company*Description of Business*

IRIDEX Corporation is a worldwide provider of therapeutic based laser systems and delivery devices used to treat eye diseases in ophthalmology and skin conditions in dermatology. Our products are sold in the United States predominately through a direct sales force and internationally through 77 independent distributors into 107 countries.

The Company's financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis which contemplates the realization of assets and the settlement of liabilities and commitments in the normal course of business.

The Company does not expect that current cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, revenue expected to be generated from operations and available credit facilities, if any, will be sufficient to meet the Company's planned operating requirements for the next 12 months. The Company expects that for our first fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2007 the Company will not be able to satisfy certain restrictive covenants contained in credit facilities with Mid-Peninsula Bank and the Export-Import Bank (see Note 11.). As of March 30, 2007 we have drawn down \$11.4 million under this credit facility which is the full amount currently available. The obligations under these credit facilities are collateralized by a lien on substantially all of the Company's assets. Each of these credit facilities contain certain customary covenants which require the Company to maintain profitability beginning in the second fiscal quarter of 2007 and to meet certain tangible net worth and debt service requirements. In addition, the Company must maintain \$3.0 million in unrestricted cash at all times when borrowings are outstanding. In the event of default by the Company with the covenants under these facilities, Mid-Peninsula Bank and the Export-Import Bank, would be entitled to exercise their remedies, under these facilities, which include declaring all obligations immediately due and payable and disposing of the collateral if obligations are not paid. The Company has initiated discussions with the lenders with a view toward restructuring these credit facilities to enable the Company to come into compliance with applicable covenants; however, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to satisfactory restructure the credit facilities. The Company also intends to modify planned operations in order to increase cash flows from operations, and raise additional capital through equity or debt financing in order to enhance liquidity. However, there can be no assurances that the Company will be successful in these efforts or that any additional capital raised through debt or equity financings will be available on favorable terms or at all. If unsuccessful in these efforts, the Company may have to suspend or cease operations. These matters raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The accompanying financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Table of Contents**2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies***Financial Statement Presentation*

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Iridex Corporation and our wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Our fiscal year always ends on the Saturday closest to December 31. Fiscal 2006 ended on December 30, 2006; fiscal 2005 ended on December 31, 2005 and fiscal 2004 ended on January 1, 2005.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For financial statement purposes, we consider all highly liquid debt instruments with insignificant interest rate risk and an original maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents consist primarily of cash deposits in money market funds that are available for withdrawal without restriction.

Available-for-Sale Securities

All marketable securities as December 31, 2005 are considered to be available-for-sale and therefore are carried at fair value. Available-for-sale securities are classified as current assets when they have original maturities of less than one year. Available-for-sale securities are classified as non current assets when they have original maturities of more than one year. Marketable securities include auction rate and floating rate securities. These securities are structured as short-term, highly liquid investments that can be readily converted into cash every 30, 60 or 90 days. However, since the stated or contractual maturities of these securities is greater than 90 days, these securities are classified as marketable securities and not cash equivalents and included with current assets. Unrealized holding gains and losses on such securities net of related taxes are reported as a component of comprehensive income in shareholders' equity until realized. Realized gains and losses on sales of all such securities are reported in interest and other income and are computed using the specific identification cost method.

Sales Returns Allowance and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

In the process of preparing financial statements we make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Specifically, we estimate future product returns related to current period product revenue. We analyze historical returns, and changes in customer demand and acceptance of our products when evaluating the adequacy of the sales returns allowance and other allowances. Significant management judgments and estimates must be made and used in connection with establishing the sales returns and other allowances in any accounting period. Material differences may result in the amount and timing of our revenue for any period if management made different judgments or utilized different estimates. The provision for sales returns amounted to \$0.2 million in fiscal years 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Similarly our management must make estimates regarding the uncollectability of our accounts receivable. We are exposed to credit risk in the event of non-payment by customers to the extent of amounts recorded on the balance sheet. As of December 30, 2006, we had accounts receivable totaling \$6.1 million, net of an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.4 million as compared with total accounts receivable of \$6.6 million and \$7.4 million, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.6 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2005 and January 1, 2005. As sales levels increase the level of accounts receivable would likely also increase. In the event that customers were to delay their payments to us, the levels of accounts receivable would likely also increase. We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on past payment history with the customer, analysis of the customer's current financial condition, the aging of the accounts receivable balance, customer concentration and other known factors.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market and include on-hand inventory, sales demo inventory and service loaner inventory. Cost is determined on a standard cost basis which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. Lower of cost or market is evaluated by considering obsolescence, excessive levels of inventory, deterioration and other factors. Adjustments to reduce the cost of inventory to its net realizable value, if required, are made for estimated excess, obsolescence or impaired inventory and are charged to cost of goods sold. Factors influencing these adjustments include changes in demand, product life cycle and development plans, component cost trends, product pricing, physical deterioration and quality issues. Revisions to these adjustments

would be required if these factors differ from our estimates.

Table of Contents*Property and Equipment*

Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is provided on a straight line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which is generally three years. Amortization of leasehold improvements and property and equipment is computed using the straight line method over the estimated useful life of the related assets, typically three years. Our net property and equipment was \$1.1 million at the end of fiscal 2006 and at the end of fiscal 2005. We invested \$0.5 million in property and equipment in 2006 compared with \$0.3 million in 2005. Capital expenditures in the last two years have been primarily for engineering, manufacturing and office equipment.

Revenue Recognition

Our revenues arise from the sale of laser consoles, delivery devices, disposables and service and support activities. Revenue from product sales is recognized upon receipt of a purchase order and product shipment provided that no significant obligations remain and collection of the receivables is reasonably assured. Shipments are generally made with Free-On-Board (FOB) shipping point terms, whereby title passes upon shipment from our dock. Any shipments with FOB receiving point terms are recorded as revenue when the shipment arrives at the receiving point. Up-front fees received in connection with product sales are deferred and recognized over the associated product shipments. Revenue relating to extended warrant contracts is recognized on a straight line basis over the period of the applicable warranty contract. We recognize repair service revenue upon completion of the work. Cost is recognized as product sales revenue is recognized. The Company's sales may include post-sales obligations for training or other deliverables. When these obligations are fulfilled after product shipment, the Company recognizes revenue in accordance with the multiple element accounting guidance set forth in Emerging Issues Task Force No. 00-21, Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables. When the Company has objective and reliable evidence of fair value of the undelivered elements, it defers revenue attributable to the post-sale obligations and recognizes such revenue when the obligation is fulfilled. Otherwise, the Company defers all revenue related to the transaction until all elements are delivered.

Deferred Revenue

Revenue related to extended service contracts is deferred and recognized on a straight line basis over the period of the applicable service period. Costs associated with these service arrangements are recognized as incurred. A reconciliation of the changes in the Company's deferred revenue balances for the years ended December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 is provided as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|----------------------------|----------|
| Balance, January 1, 2005 | \$ 910 |
| Additions to deferral | 1,451 |
| Revenue recognized | (1,289) |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | \$ 1,072 |
| Additions to deferral | 1,688 |
| Revenue recognized | (1,345) |
| Balance, December 30, 2006 | \$ 1,415 |

Table of Contents*Warranty*

The Company accrues for estimated warranty costs upon shipment of products. Actual warranty costs incurred have not materially differed from those accrued. The Company's warranty policy is applicable to products which are considered defective in their performance or fail to meet the product specifications. Warranty costs are reflected in the statement of operations as a cost of sales. A reconciliation of the changes in the Company's warranty liability for the years ended December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 is provided as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|--|----------|
| Balance, January 1, 2005 | \$ 933 |
| Accruals for warranties issued during the year | 1,163 |
| Settlements made in kind during the year | (968) |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | \$ 1,128 |
| Accruals for warranties issued during the year | 741 |
| Settlements made in kind during the year | (1,003) |
| Balance, December 30, 2006 | \$ 866 |

Research and Development

Research and development expenditures are charged to operations as incurred.

Advertising

Our policy is to expense advertising and promotion costs as they are incurred. Our advertising and promotion expenses were approximately \$424,000 in 2006, \$288,000 in 2005 and \$218,000 in 2004 and are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Carrying amounts of our financial instruments including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate fair value due to their short maturities. The fair value for marketable debt and equity securities are based on quoted market prices.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, which requires that deferred tax assets and liabilities be recognized using enacted tax rates for the effect of temporary differences between the book and tax bases of recorded assets and liabilities. Under SFAS No. 109, the liability method is used in accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between financial reporting and the tax basis of assets and liabilities, and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. SFAS No. 109 also requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. We evaluate annually the realizability of our deferred tax assets by assessing our valuation allowance and by adjusting the amount of such allowance, if necessary. The factors used to assess the likelihood of realization include our forecast of future taxable income and available tax planning strategies that could be implemented to realize the net deferred tax assets.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

On January 1, 2006 we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based awards made to employees and directors, including employee non-qualified and incentive stock options,

restricted stock units and employee purchase rights under our Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP Shares) based on estimated fair values. SFAS 123(R) supersedes previous accounting under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25) for periods beginning in fiscal year 2006. In March 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 (SAB 107) providing supplemental implementation guidance for SFAS 123(R). We have applied the provisions of SAB 107 in our adoption of SFAS 123(R).

Table of Contents

SFAS 123(R) requires companies to estimate the fair value of share-based awards on the date of grant using an option pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense over the requisite service periods in our consolidated statements of income. We adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method which requires the application of the accounting standard starting from January 1, 2006, the first day of our fiscal year 2006. Our consolidated financial statements, as of and for the year ended December 30, 2006, reflect the impact of SFAS 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective transition method we used in adopting SFAS 123(R), our results of operations prior to fiscal year 2006 have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS 123(R).

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), we accounted for share-based awards to employees and directors using the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB 25 and FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 44, *Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation* an Interpretation of APB Opinion No. 25. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for our fixed cost stock option plans because stock-based awards were issued at fair market value on the date of grant

Stock-based compensation expense recognized in the year ended December 30, 2006, included stock-based compensation expense for share-based awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of December 31, 2005, based on the fair value on the grant date estimated in accordance with the pro forma provisions of SFAS 123, and stock-based compensation expense for the share-based awards granted subsequent to December 31, 2005, based on the fair value on the grant date estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R). In conjunction with the adoption of SFAS 123(R), we changed our method of attributing the value of stock-based compensation expense from the accelerated multiple-option method (for the purposes of non-GAAP information under SFAS 123) to the straight-line single option method. Stock-based compensation expense for all share-based awards granted on or prior to December 31, 2005 will continue to be recognized using the accelerated multiple-option approach, while stock-based compensation expense for all share-based awards granted subsequent to December 30, 2005 will be recognized using the straight-line single option method. SFAS 123(R) requires that we recognize expense for awards ultimately expected to vest; therefore we are required to develop an estimate of the number of awards expected to cancel prior to vesting (*forfeiture rate*). The forfeiture rate is estimated based on historical pre-vest cancellation experience and is applied to all share-based awards. SFAS 123(R) requires the forfeiture rate to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Prior to fiscal year 2006, we accounted for forfeitures as they actually occurred.

Upon adoption of SFAS 123(R), we selected the Black-Scholes option pricing model as the most appropriate method for determining the estimated fair value for stock options and ESPP Shares. The Black-Scholes model requires the use of certain subjective and complex assumptions which determine the fair value of share-based awards, including the option's expected term and the price volatility of the underlying stock. For restricted stock or restricted stock units, stock-based compensation expense is calculated based on the fair market value of our stock on the date of grant.

Concentration of Credit Risk and Other Risks and Uncertainties

The Company's cash and cash equivalents are deposited in demand and money market accounts of three financial institutions. Deposits held with banks may exceed the amount of insurance provided on such deposits. Generally these deposits may be redeemed upon demand and therefore, bear minimal risk.

The Company markets its products to distributors and end-users throughout the world. Sales to international distributors are generally made on open credit terms and letters of credit. Management performs ongoing credit evaluations of our customers and maintains an allowance for potential credit losses. Historically, the Company has not experienced any significant losses related to individual customers or group of customers in any particular geographic area. For the years ended December 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 and January 1, 2005 no customer accounted for greater than 10% of total sales. As of December 30, 2006, December 31, 2005, January 1, 2005 no customer accounted for more than 10% of our accounts receivable, net balance.

The Company's products require approvals from the Food and Drug Administration and international regulatory agencies prior to commercialized sales. The Company's future products may not receive required approvals. If the Company were denied such approvals, or if such approvals were delayed, it would have a materially adverse impact

on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents*Reliance on Certain Suppliers*

Certain components and services used by the Company to manufacture and develop its products are presently available from only one or a limited number of suppliers or vendors. The loss of any of these suppliers or vendors would potentially require a significant level of hardware and/or software development efforts to incorporate the products or services into the Company's products.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States require us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses and the related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates. In addition, any change in these estimates or their related assumptions could have an adverse effect on our operating results.

Net Income (loss) per Share

Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share are computed by dividing net income (loss) for the year by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. The calculation of diluted net income (loss) per share excludes potential common stock if their effect is anti-dilutive. Potential common stock consists of incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options. See Note 10.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* an interpretation of SFAS 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 prescribes a comprehensive model for recognizing, measuring, presenting and disclosing in the financial statements tax positions taken or expected to be taken on a tax return, including a decision whether to file or not to file in a particular jurisdiction. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We will adopt FIN 48 in our year ended December 29, 2007. We do not believe that the adoption of the provisions of FIN 48 will materially impact our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

On September 13, 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements* (SAB 108), which provides interpretive guidance on how the effects of the carryover or reversal of prior year misstatements should be considered in quantifying a current year misstatement. The guidance became effective for our fiscal year 2006. Our adoption of SAB 108 did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The changes to current practice resulting from the application of SFAS 157 relate to the definition of fair value, the methods used to measure fair value, and the expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. We do not believe that the adoption of the provisions of SFAS 157 will materially impact our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Table of Contents**3. Balance Sheet Detail***Available-for-sale securities (in thousands):*

| | Cost | Unrealized Gain (Loss) | Estimated Fair Value | Maturity Dates |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2005, available-for-sale securities consisted of the following: | | | | |
| Corporate notes | \$ 5,442 | \$ (19) | \$ 5,423 | 2/06 6/06 |
| Government agencies | 3,364 | (8) | 3,356 | 1/06 3/06 |
| Total | \$ 8,806 | \$ (27) | \$ 8,779 | |

As of December 30, 2006 there were no available-for-sales securities.
There were no realized capital gains or losses recognized in 2006, 2005 and 2004.

| | December 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Inventories: | | |
| Raw materials and work in process | \$ 4,000 | \$ 5,191 |
| Finished goods | 5,499 | 3,403 |
| Total inventories | \$ 9,499 | \$ 8,594 |
| Property and Equipment: | | |
| Equipment | \$ 5,344 | \$ 4,937 |
| Leasehold improvements | 2,029 | 1,921 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization | (6,286) | (5,744) |
| Property and equipment, net | \$ 1,087 | \$ 1,114 |
| Depreciation expense related to property and equipment was \$544,000, \$435,000 and \$384,000 for the years ended December 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 and January 1, 2005. | | |
| Accrued Expenses: | | |
| Accrued payroll, vacation and related expenses | \$ 1,517 | \$ 1,671 |
| Accrued warranty | 866 | 1,129 |
| Income taxes payable | | 552 |
| Sales and use tax payable | 150 | 220 |
| Other accrued expenses | 2,242 | 849 |
| Total accrued expenses | \$ 4,775 | \$ 4,421 |

4. Bank Borrowings

At December 31, 2005, the Company had a revolving line of credit agreement with a bank which provided for borrowings of up to \$4.0 million at the bank's prime rate (7.25% at December 31, 2005). There were no borrowings outstanding against this credit agreement at December 31, 2005. This credit agreement expired on October 5, 2006. See footnote 11 regarding subsequent events.

Table of Contents**5. Commitments and Contingencies***Lease Agreements*

The Company leases its operating facilities under a noncancelable operating lease. In September 2003, the Company entered into a lease amendment for our facility in Mountain View, California. The original lease term of this facility, which ended in February 2004, was amended and extended until February 2009. The lease was also amended to grant the Company an option to renew this lease for an additional five year period beginning 2009 until 2014 at a base monthly rental amount to be negotiated at the time of the renewal. Rent expense totaled \$403,000 for each of the fiscal years ended December 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 and January 1, 2005.

Future minimum lease payments under current operating leases at December 30, 2006 are summarized as follows (*in thousands*):

| Fiscal Year | Operating Lease Payments |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
| 2007 | 438 |
| 2008 | 451 |
| 2009 | 94 |
| | \$ 983 |

* Related to the acquisition of the aesthetics business of AMS in January 2007, we have agreed to purchase up to \$9.0 million worth of certain inventory from AMS book value, following the termination of our Product Supply Agreement with AMS no later than October 2007. Principal payments under the term loans entered into in conjunction with the acquisition are \$98,000 per month over a term of 5 years.

The following annual operating lease commitments as of January 17, 2007 were acquired as part of the acquisition of the French and UK subsidiaries of Laserscope.

| | |
|------|--------|
| 2007 | \$ 328 |
| 2008 | 224 |
| 2009 | 145 |
| 2010 | 33 |
| | \$ 730 |

License Agreements

The Company is obligated to pay royalties equivalent to 5% and 7.5% of sales on certain products under certain license agreements. Royalty expense was \$93,000, \$71,000 and \$80,000 for the years ended December 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 and January 1, 2005, respectively.

Contingencies

Patent Litigation On October 19, 2005, the Company filed a suit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri against Synergetics, USA, Inc. for infringement of a patent. The Company later amended its complaint to assert infringement claims against Synergetics, Inc.; Synergetics USA, Inc. was dismissed from the suit. The Company alleges that Synergetics infringes the Company's patent by making and selling infringing products, including its Quick Disconnect laser probes and its Quick Disconnect Laser Probe Adapter, and seeks injunctive relief, monetary damages, treble damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

On July 7, 2006, the Court issued a Claim Construction Ruling, interpreting 14 disputed phrases within the Company's patent. The Court adopted the Company's position with respect to 13 of the 14 patent terms, and adopted a position between the Company's and Synergetics' positions with respect to the 14th term.

Discovery closed on February 15, 2007. Near the close of discovery, the Company filed five summary judgment motions and Synergetics filed four. After the Court's rulings on these motions, only four issues remain for trial. Those issues are: (1) the jury will decide the amount of damages caused by Synergetics infringement with their Quick Disconnect products, (2) the jury will decide whether Synergetics' infringement was willful, (3) the jury will decide the validity of the IRIDEX patent on the issue of obviousness, and (4) the Court will decide if IRIDEX's right to recover damages for Synergetics' infringement is limited by the equitable doctrines of laches and estoppel.

Trial is scheduled to begin on April 16, 2007. The Company is confident that its patent claims have merit, and if the parties do not reach a settlement, the Company intends to vigorously pursue its claims to judgment.

Table of Contents

The company is involved in two other pending suits with Synergetics. The first suit, entitled *Synergetics, Inc. v. Peregrine Surgical, Ltd., Innovatech Surgical, Inc., and IRIDEX Corporation*, is Case No. 06-CV-107 in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Synergetics filed suit against the Company on April 25, 2006, by adding the Company as a defendant to a then-existing lawsuit against the other two defendants. Synergetics alleges that the Company infringes its patent and seeks injunctive relief, monetary damages, treble damages, costs and attorneys' fees. On June 29, the Company filed its response to Synergetics' pleading, denying Synergetics' claims and asserting counterclaims seeking a declaratory judgment that it does not infringe Synergetics' patent. Synergetics responded to the Company's counterclaims on July 24, 2006, denying them. On August 10, 2006, the case was reassigned to District Judge Thomas Golden. Judge Golden has set a status conference for May 4, 2007. There have not yet been any significant proceedings in this case.

The second suit, entitled *Synergetics, Inc. v. IRIDEX Corporation*, is pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. Synergetics filed suit against the Company on February 21, 2007, alleging that the Company's assertion of patent infringement against Synergetics constitutes a violation of the federal antitrust laws and also abuse of process in Missouri. The Company has not yet been served with a copy of the summons and complaint, which was filed under seal. The Company believes that Synergetics' suit lacks merit (in part because the Court granted the Company judgment of infringement and denied Synergetics' motion for judgment on laches) and intends to vigorously defend against Synergetics' claims should Synergetics choose to proceed with the suit.

Management believes that liabilities resulting from such proceedings, or claims which are pending or known to be threatened will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations. The company may incur significant dedication of management resources and legal costs in connection with this lawsuit.

The Company enters into standard indemnification arrangements in our ordinary course of business. Pursuant to these arrangements, the Company indemnifies, holds harmless, and agrees to reimburse the indemnified parties for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party, generally our business partners or customers, in connection with any trade secret, copyright, patent or other intellectual property infringement claim by any third party with respect to our products. The term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual anytime after the execution of the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these agreements is not determinable. The Company has never incurred costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. As a result, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal.

The Company has entered into indemnification agreements with its directors and officers that may require the Company: to indemnify its directors and officers against liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service as directors or officers, other than liabilities arising from willful misconduct of a culpable nature; to advance their expenses incurred as a result of any proceeding against them as to which they could be indemnified; and to make good faith determination whether or not it is practicable for the Company to obtain directors and officers insurance. The Company currently has directors and officers liability insurance.

6. Stockholders' Equity**Convertible Preferred Stock**

Our Articles of Incorporation authorize 2,000,000 shares of undesignated preferred stock. Preferred Stock may be issued from time to time in one or more series. As of December 30, 2006, we had no preferred stock issued and outstanding.

Treasury Stock

In December 1998, we instituted a stock repurchase program whereby up to 150,000 shares of our Common Stock may be repurchased in the open market. We plan to utilize all of the reacquired shares for reissuance in connection with our employee stock programs. In 2003, 2004 and 2005, no shares of Common Stock were repurchased. A total of 103,000 shares of common stock had been repurchased as of December 31, 2005. The stock repurchase program was discontinued in 2005.

Table of Contents**Stock Option Plans***Amended and Restated 1989 Incentive Stock Plan*

The Amended and Restated 1989 Plan (the 1989 Plan) provided for the grant of options and stock purchase rights to purchase shares of our Common Stock to employees and consultants. The terms of the 1989 Plan, which expired in August 1999, are substantially the same as the 1998 Plan described below.

1998 Stock Plan

The 1998 Stock Plan (the 1998 Plan), as amended, provides for the granting to employees (including officers and employee directors) of incentive stock options and for the granting to employees (including officers and employee directors) and consultants of nonstatutory stock options, stock purchase rights (SPRs), restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares, performance units and stock appreciation rights. The exercise price of incentive stock options and stock appreciation rights granted under the 1998 Plan must be at least equal to the fair market value of the shares at the time of grant. With respect to any recipient who owns stock possessing more than 10% of the voting power of our outstanding capital stock, the exercise price of any option or SPR granted must be at least equal to 110% of the fair market value at the time of grant. Options granted under the 1998 Plan are exercisable at such times and under such conditions as determined by the Administrator; generally over a four year period. The maximum term of incentive stock options granted to any recipient must not exceed ten years; provided, however, that the maximum term of an incentive stock option granted to any recipient possessing more than 10% of the voting power of our outstanding capital stock must not exceed five years. In the case of SPRs, unless the Administrator determines otherwise, we have a repurchase option exercisable upon the voluntary or involuntary termination of the purchaser's employment with us for any reason (including death or disability). Such repurchase option lapses at a rate determined by the Administrator. The purchase price for shares repurchased by us is the original price paid by the purchaser. As of December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, no shares were subject to repurchase. The form of consideration for exercising an option or stock purchase right, including the method of payment, is determined by the Administrator. The 1998 Plan expires in June 2008. In June of 2006, this plan was amended to shorten the contractual life of all option grants made after June 2006 to a seven year term.

1995 Director Option Plan

In October 1995, we adopted the 1995 Director Option Plan (the Director Plan), under which members of the Board of Directors are granted options to purchase 11,250 shares upon the first to occur of their appointment or the adoption of the Director Plan (First Option) and an option to purchase 3,750 shares (Subsequent Option) on July 1 of each year thereafter provided that he or she has served on the Board for at least the preceding six months. The options granted are at fair market value on the date of grant. The First Option becomes exercisable as to one-twelfth (1/12) of the shares subject to the First Option for each quarter over a three-year period. Each Subsequent Option becomes exercisable as to one-fourth (1/4) of the shares subject to the Subsequent Option for each quarter, commencing one quarter after the First Option and any previously granted Subsequent Options have become fully exercisable. Options granted under the Director Plan had a contractual term of ten years. In the event of our merger with or into another corporation, resulting in a change of control, or the sale of substantially all of our assets, each Director Plan options become exercisable in full and shall be exercisable for 30 days after written notice to the holder of the event causing the change in control. The Director Plan terminated in 2005.

Stand-Alone Options

In July 2005, in connection with the employment of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, the Company's Board of Directors granted a stand alone option, outside of the Company's existing stock plans, to Barry Caldwell, its Chief Executive Officer. The option entitles Mr. Caldwell to purchase up to 234,104

Table of Contents

shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$6.07 per share. In conjunction with the employment of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, in consideration of services performed under a recruiting contract, the Company issued a warrant to purchase 25,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$6.07 per share. The warrant is exercisable at any time and expires on July 5, 2008. The fair value of the warrants of \$87,000 was recorded as an expense for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2005. The fair value of the warrant was calculated using the Black-Scholes pricing model with the following assumptions: dividend yield 0 percent, contractual life of 3 years, risk free rates of 4.04 percent and volatility of 83 percent. At December 30, 2006, this option remains outstanding.

In March 2006, in connection with the employment of the Company's Vice President of Product Innovation, the Company's Board of Directors granted a stand alone option, outside of the Company's existing stock plans, to Deborah Tomasco, the Company's Vice President of Product Innovation. The option entitles Ms. Tomasco to purchase up to 50,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$8.26 per share.

2005 Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Our 2005 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the Purchase Plan) was adopted by the Board of Directors in June 2005. The total number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the Purchase Plan at December 30, 2006 was 12,948. The Purchase Plan permits eligible employees (including officers) to purchase Common Stock through payroll deductions, which may not exceed 10% of an employee's compensation. No employee may purchase more than \$25,000 worth of stock in any calendar year or more than 2,000 shares of Common Stock in any twelve-month period. The price of shares purchased under the Purchase Plan is 85% of the lower of the fair market value of the Common Stock at the beginning of the offering period or the end of the offering period.

Information with respect to activity under these option plans are set forth below (in thousands except share and per share data):

| | Shares Available for Grant | Outstanding Options | | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| | | Number of Shares | Aggregate Price | |
| Balances, January 3, 2004 | 313,212 | 2,004,283 | 9,835 | 4.91 |
| Additional shares reserved | 270,000 | | | |
| Options granted | (214,750) | 214,750 | 1,379 | 6.42 |
| Options exercised | | (294,852) | (1,085) | 3.68 |
| Options cancelled | (15,000) | | | |
| Options terminated | 100,789 | (100,789) | (701) | 6.95 |
| Balances, January 1, 2005 | 454,251 | 1,823,392 | 9,428 | 5.18 |
| Additional shares reserved | 434,104 | | | |
| Options granted | (622,050) | 622,050 | 3,791 | 6.09 |
| Warrants issued | (25,000) | 25,000 | | |
| Options exercised | | (183,873) | (663) | 3.60 |
| Options cancelled | (78,355) | | | |
| Options terminated | 132,566 | (132,566) | (866) | 6.53 |
| Balances, December 31, 2005 | 295,516 | 2,154,003 | 11,690 | 5.50 |
| Additional shares reserved | 435,000 | | | |
| Options granted | (300,650) | 300,650 | 2,551 | 8.48 |
| Options exercised | | (276,578) | (1,291) | 4.67 |

Edgar Filing: IRIDEX CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|
| Options cancelled | (4,750) | | | |
| Options terminated | 46,505 | (46,505) | (311) | 6.69 |
| Balances, December 30, 2006 | 471,621 | 2,131,570 | \$12,639 | \$ 6.00 |

62

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes information with respect to stock options outstanding at December 30, 2006:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Options Outstanding | | | Options Vested and Exercisable | | |
|--------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|
| | Number of Shares Outstanding at December 30, 2006 | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number of Shares Exercisable at December 30, 2006 | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) |
| \$2.94- \$3.50 | 232,427 | 6.12 | 3.36 | 211,551 | \$ 3.36 | 6.17 |
| \$3.52 - \$4.00 | 287,758 | 2.80 | 3.87 | 279,782 | \$ 3.88 | 2.72 |
| \$4.01 - \$5.08 | 287,557 | 5.51 | 4.61 | 212,117 | \$ 4.51 | 4.81 |
| \$5.13 - \$5.66 | 217,865 | 5.64 | 5.46 | 123,667 | \$ 5.47 | 4.30 |
| \$5.69 - \$6.00 | 17,891 | 7.31 | 5.82 | 5,905 | \$ 5.76 | 8.26 |
| \$6.07 - \$6.07 | 325,000 | 8.51 | 6.07 | 131,251 | \$ 6.07 | 7.18 |
| \$6.19 - \$7.84 | 287,378 | 6.71 | 7.15 | 110,494 | \$ 6.96 | 6.04 |
| \$7.98 - \$8.75 | 243,894 | 6.85 | 8.34 | 59,148 | \$ 8.09 | 6.90 |
| \$8.88 - \$10.86 | 213,300 | 3.30 | 9.36 | 175,970 | \$ 9.08 | 2.93 |
| \$11.38 - \$12.75 | 18,500 | 4.05 | 12.28 | 15,500 | \$ 12.46 | 3.50 |
| \$2.94 - \$12.75 | 2,131,570 | 6.01 | 6.00 | 1,325,385 | \$ 5.52 | 5.38 |

At December 31, 2005 and January 1, 2005 options to purchase 2,154,003 and 1,823,392 shares of common stock were exercisable at a weighted average exercise price of \$6.31 and \$5.36, respectively.

As of December 30, 2006, the aggregate intrinsic value of fully vested and exercisable options was \$4.4 million.

Adoption of SFAS 123(R)

The Company adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective method, which requires the application of the accounting standard as of January 1, 2006, the first day of the Company's fiscal year. The Company's financial statements for the year ended December 30, 2006 reflect the impact of SFAS 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective method, the Company's financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include the impact of SFAS 123(R). Stock-based compensation expense recognized under SFAS 123(R) for the twelve months ended December 30, 2006 was \$1.8 million, which consisted of stock-based compensation expense related to stock options and employee stock purchases.

Table of Contents

We estimate the fair value of stock options granted using the Black-Scholes option-pricing formula. In conjunction with the adoption of SFAS 123(R) on January 1, 2006, the Company changed its method of attributing the value of stock-based compensation from the accelerated multiple-option approach to the straight-line single option method for options granted following the adoption of SFAS 123(R).

The determination of fair value of all options granted by the Company is computed based on the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

| | Employee Stock Option Plan | | | Employee Stock Purchase Plan | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Average risk free interest rate | 4.80% | 4.40% | 3.50% | 4.43% | 4.20% | 2.56% |
| Expected life (in years) | 3.8 | 5-7 years | 4.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Dividend yield | | | | | | |
| Average volatility | 50.0 - 60.0% | 77.0 -83.0% | 88.0% | 34.0-60.0% | 46.0% | 84.0% |

Option-pricing models require the input of various subjective assumptions, including the option's expected life and the price volatility of the underlying stock. The expected stock price volatility is based on analysis of the Company's stock price history over a period commensurate with the expected term of the options, trading volume of the Company's stock, look-back volatilities and Company specific events that affected volatility in a prior period. The expected term of options granted is based on an analysis of historical exercise and post-vesting employment termination behavior. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. No dividend yield is included as the Company has not issued any dividends and does not anticipate issuing any dividends in the future.

The following table shows stock-based compensation expense included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for 2006 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 30, 2006 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Cost of sales | \$ 122 |
| Research and development | 251 |
| Sales, general and administrative | 1,443 |
| | \$ 1,816 |

The modified prospective transition method of SFAS 123(R) requires the presentation of pro-forma information for periods presented prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R) regarding net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share as if the Company had accounted for the Company's stock options under the fair value method of SFAS 123. If compensation expense had been determined based upon the fair value at grant date for employee compensation arrangements, consistent with the methodology prescribed under SFAS 123, the Company's pro forma net income and net income per common share under SFAS 123 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005 and January 1, 2005 would have been as follows (in thousands except per share data).

Table of Contents

| | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | Year Ended January 1, 2005 |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Net income (loss), as reported for prior periods | \$ 1,671 | \$ (402) |
| Stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options and employee stock purchases | (966) | (560) |
| Pro forma net income | \$ 705 | \$ (962) |
| Basic net income per share: | | |
| As reported | \$ 0.23 | (\$0.06) |
| Pro forma | \$ 0.10 | (\$0.13) |
| Diluted net income per share: | | |
| As reported | \$ 0.21 | (\$0.06) |
| Pro forma | \$ 0.09 | (\$0.13) |

Information with respect to activity under these option plans are set forth below (in thousands except per share data):

| | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2005 | 2,154,003 | \$ 5.50 | \$ 14,518 |
| Options granted | 300,650 | 8.48 | 117 |
| Options exercised | (276,578) | 4.67 | (1,162) |
| Options forfeited/cancelled/expired | (46,505) | 6.69 | (101) |
| Outstanding at December 30, 2006 | 2,131,570 | \$ 5.53 | \$ 13,372 |

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during 2006 was \$4.38 per share.

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pretax intrinsic value (the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of fiscal 2006 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on December 30, 2006. This amount changes based on the fair market value of the Company's stock. Total intrinsic value of options exercised in 2006 was \$1.2 million. Total fair value of options vested and expensed was \$1.1 million, net of tax, for 2006.

As a result of adopting the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123(R), the impact to the consolidated financial statements for 2006 from stock-based compensation is as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

Year Ended
December

| | 30, 2006 |
|---|----------|
| Stock-based compensation expense by award type: | |
| Employee stock options granted | \$ 1,708 |
| Employee stock purchase plan | 108 |
| Total stock-based compensation | 1,816 |
| Total effect on stock-based compensation at the Company's marginal tax rate | (690) |
| Effect on net income (loss) | \$ 1,126 |
| Effect on net income (loss) per share: | |
| Basic and diluted earnings per share | (\$0.15) |

Table of Contents

A summary of the status of the Company's non-vested shares as of December 30, 2006 and changes during the period ended December 30, 2006 is presented below (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Grant Dated Fair Value |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Non-vested at December 31, 2005 | 893,119 | \$ 5.72 |
| Granted | 300,650 | \$ 4.38 |
| Vested | (341,075) | \$ 3.98 |
| Cancelled/forfeited | (46,505) | \$ 4.47 |
| Non-vested at December 30, 2006 | 806,189 | \$ 4.42 |

As of December 30, 2006, there were \$2.2 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements under both of the plans. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of three years.

7. Employee Benefit Plan

The Company has a plan known as the IRIS Medical Instruments 401(k) trust to provide retirement benefits through the deferred salary deductions for substantially all employees. Employees may contribute up to 15% of their annual compensation to the plan, limited to a maximum amount set by the Internal Revenue Service. The plan also provides for Company contributions at the discretion of the Board of Directors. On April 1, 2000 the Company commenced a Company match for the 401(k) in the amount of 50% of employee contributions up to an annual maximum of \$1,000 per year. The Company contributions totaled \$106,000 in 2006, \$94,000 in 2005 and \$88,000 in 2004.

8. Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes includes:

| | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | Year Ended January 1, 2005 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$ (371) | \$ 59 | \$ 212 |
| State | | 31 | 14 |
| | (371) | 90 | 226 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | 1,756 | 451 | (519) |
| State | 337 | 125 | (62) |
| | 2,093 | 576 | (581) |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | \$ 1,721 | \$ 666 | \$ (355) |

Table of Contents

The Company's effective tax rate differs from the statutory federal income tax rate as shown in the following schedule:

| | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | Year Ended January 1, 2005 |
|--|---|---|---|
| Income tax provision at statutory rate | 34% | 34% | 34% |
| State income taxes, net of federal benefit | 1% | 6% | 8% |
| Tax exempt interest | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Nondeductible permanent differences | (10%) | 1% | (5%) |
| Research and development credits | 2% | (13%) | 12% |
| Change in valuation allowance | (73%) | 0% | 0% |
| Other | 0% | 0% | (2%) |
| Effective tax rate | (45%) | 28% | 47% |

The tax effect of temporary differences and carry-forwards that give rise to significant portions of the net deferred tax assets are presented below (in thousands):

| | December 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Fixed assets | \$ 568 | \$ 558 |
| Accrued liabilities | 530 | 595 |
| Allowance for excess and obsolete inventories | 467 | 599 |
| Research credit | 695 | 514 |
| State tax | 2 | 1 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 167 | 215 |
| Other | 299 | 5 |
| Net operating loss | 160 | |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ 2,888 | \$ 2,487 |
| Valuation Allowance | (2,888) | |
| Net Deferred Tax Assets (Liability) | \$ 0 | \$ 2,487 |

During the quarter ending December 30, 2006, as a result of the losses incurred in 2006 and uncertainty regarding the ability to project future profitable results, management determined it was no longer more likely than not that the company would realize the deferred tax assets recorded. As such, the company recorded a valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets. The amount of this valuation allowance was \$2,888,000. The company will continue to evaluate its ability to utilize the deferred tax assets on a quarterly basis.

As of December 30, 2006, the company had Federal and State net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$1,838,000 and \$1,950,00 respectively. The federal losses will expire in 2027 and the state losses will begin to expire in 2017. Of the above NOL's, \$1,451,000 and \$1,095,000 respectively, relate to windfall stock option deductions which when realized will be credited to equity.

As of December 30, 2006, the Company had Federal and State research credit carryforwards of approximately \$704,000 and \$731,000 available to offset future liabilities. The Federal credits will begin expiring in 2020 if not

used. The state research credits do not expire.

The above net operating losses and R&D credits are subject to IRC sections 382 & 383. In the event of a change in ownership as defined by these code sections, the usage of the above mentioned NOL s and credits may be limited.

9. Major Customers and Business Segments

The Company operates in two reportable segments: the ophthalmology medical device segment and the dermatology medical device segment. In both segments, the Company develops, manufactures and markets medical devices. Our revenues arise from the sale of consoles, delivery devices, disposables and service and support activities.

In the years ended December 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 and January 1, 2005, no customer individually accounted for more than 10% of our revenue.

Table of Contents

Revenue information shown (in thousands) by geographic region is as follows:

| | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | Year Ended December 30, 2005 | Year Ended January 1, 2005 |
|------------------|---|---|---|
| United States | \$ 21,826 | \$ 22,713 | \$ 19,894 |
| Europe | \$ 7,787 | 7,138 | 6,498 |
| Rest of Americas | \$ 1,836 | 1,703 | 631 |
| Asia/Pacific Rim | \$ 4,455 | 5,475 | 5,787 |
| | \$ 35,904 | \$ 37,029 | \$ 32,810 |

Revenues are attributed to countries based on location of end customers. In the years ended December 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 and January 1, 2005, no individual country accounted for more than 10% of the Company's sales, except for the United States, which accounted for 60.8% of sales in 2006, 61.4% in 2005 and 60.6% in 2004.

Information on reportable segments for the three years ended December 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 and January 1, 2005 is as follows:

| | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| | Ophthalmology Medical Devices | Dermatology Medical Devices | Total |
| Sales | \$ 30,826 | \$ 5,078 | \$ 35,904 |
| Direct cost of goods sold | \$ 9,312 | \$ 2,125 | \$ 11,437 |
| Direct gross margin | \$ 21,514 | \$ 2,953 | \$ 24,467 |
| Total unallocated indirect costs | | | \$ 29,232 |
| Income from operations | | | \$ (4,765) |

| | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| | Ophthalmology Medical Devices | Dermatology Medical Devices | Total |
| Sales | \$ 30,663 | \$ 6,366 | \$ 37,029 |
| Direct cost of goods sold | \$ 10,374 | \$ 3,138 | \$ 13,512 |
| Direct gross margin | \$ 20,289 | \$ 3,228 | \$ 23,517 |

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Total unallocated indirect costs | \$ 21,708 |
| Loss from operations | \$ 1,809 |

| | Year Ended January 1, 2005 | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| | Ophthalmology Medical Devices | Dermatology Medical Devices | Total |
| Sales | \$ 27,753 | \$ 5,057 | \$ 32,810 |
| Direct cost of goods sold | \$ 9,876 | \$ 2,898 | \$ 12,774 |
| Direct gross margin | \$ 17,877 | \$ 2,159 | \$ 20,036 |
| Total unallocated indirect costs | | | \$ 21,112 |
| Loss from operations | | | \$ (1,076) |

Table of Contents

Indirect costs of manufacturing, research and development and selling, general and administrative costs are not allocated to the segments.

The Company's assets and liabilities are not evaluated on a segment basis. Accordingly, no disclosure on segment assets and liabilities is provided.

10. Computation of Net Income Per Common Share and Per Diluted Common Share

A reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of net income (loss) per common share and diluted net income (loss) per common share is provided as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | Year Ended January 1, 2005 |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Net (loss) income | \$ (5,753) | \$ 1,671 | \$ (402) |
| Denominator | | | |
| Net income (loss) per common share | | | |
| Weighted average common stock outstanding | 7,713 | 7,405 | 7,200 |
| Effect of dilutive securities | | | |
| Weighted average common stock options | | 475 | |
| Total weighted average stock and options outstanding | 7,713 | 7,880 | 7,200 |
| Net (loss) income per common share | \$ (0.75) | \$ 0.23 | \$ (0.06) |
| Diluted net (loss) income per common share | \$ (0.75) | \$ 0.21 | \$ (0.06) |

In 2006 and 2004 there were 2,131,570 and 463,588 outstanding options to purchase shares at a weighted average exercise price of \$5.53 and \$8.65 per share, respectively, that were not included in the computation of diluted net loss per common share because their effect was antidilutive. These options could dilute earnings per share in future periods. In 2005, there were 454,918 options outstanding at a weighted average exercise price of \$8.48 that were not included in the computation of diluted net income (loss) per common share since the exercise price of the options exceeded the market price of the common stock.

11. Subsequent Events*Credit Facility*

On January 16, 2007, the Company entered into (i) a Business Loan and Security Agreement (the Business Loan Agreement) with Mid-Peninsula Bank, part of Greater Bay Bank N.A. (Lender), (ii) an Export-Import Bank Loan and Security Agreement (the Exim Agreement) with Lender, and (iii) a Borrower Agreement (the Borrower Agreement) and together with the Business Loan Agreement and the Exim Agreement, the Credit Agreement) in favor of Lender and Export-Import Bank of the United States

Table of Contents

(Exim Bank). The Credit Agreement provides for an asset-based revolving line of credit of up to \$6 million (the Revolving Loans) and a \$6 million term loan (the Term Loan). Of the Revolving Loans, up to \$3 million principal amount (the Exim Sublimit) will be guaranteed by Exim Bank. The Company's obligations under the Term Loans and the Revolving Loans (including the Exim Sublimit) are secured by a lien on substantially all of the Company's assets. Interest on the Term Loan and the Revolving Loans (including the Exim Sublimit) is the prime rate as published in the Wall Street Journal, minus 0.5%, subject to adjustment under certain circumstances including adjustments to the prime rate, late payment or the occurrence of an event of default. Payments of principal outstanding under the Term Loan are due in sixty monthly installments beginning February 28, 2007 and ending February 28, 2012. All outstanding amounts under the Revolving Loans are payable in full on January 31, 2009. If at any the amount outstanding under the Revolving Loans exceeds the Borrowing Base, the Company will be required to pay the difference between the outstanding amount and the Borrowing Base. The Company may prepay all amounts outstanding under the Term Loan and Revolving Loans without penalty. These facilities contain certain financial and other covenants, including the requirement for Iridex to maintain profitability on a quarterly basis. Tangible net worth of \$15.5 million, maintain unrestricted cash/marketable securities of \$3 million and maintain a debt service ratio of 1.75 to 1.00 on an annual basis. In addition, the Company must maintain \$3 million in unrestricted cash in an account with Lender. Other covenants include, but are not limited to, covenants limiting or restricting the Company's ability to incur indebtedness, incur liens, enter into mergers or consolidations, dispose of assets, make investments, pay dividends, enter into transactions with affiliates, or prepay certain indebtedness. In the event of noncompliance by the Company with the covenants under these facilities, the Mid-Peninsula Bank and Export-Import Bank, would be entitled to exercise their remedies, under these facilities, which include declaring all obligations immediately due and payable and disposing of the collateral if obligations were not paid. The Company anticipates being in non-compliance with the covenants as of March 30, 2007.

Completion of Acquisition

On January 16, 2007, the Company completed its acquisition of the aesthetics business of Laserscope, a California corporation (Laserscope), a wholly owned subsidiary of American Medical Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation (AMS), pursuant to that certain Asset Purchase Agree, dated as of November 30, 2006, by and among the Company, AMS and Laserscope. Pursuant to the terms of the Asset Purchase Agreement, IRIDEX purchased certain equipment, finished goods inventory, contracts relating to the Aesthetics Business, accounts receivable and prepaid expenses, intellectual property, customer lists and other assets and liabilities related to the Aesthetics Business. In addition, the Company acquired all of the outstanding equity interests in Laserscope's subsidiaries, Laserscope (UK) Ltd., a British private limited company, and Laserscope France, S.A., a French société anonyme (together, the Subsidiaries), after segregation of the assets and the liabilities of each entity which were not part of the Aesthetics Business. In exchange for such net assets and equity interests in the Subsidiaries, the Company assumed certain liabilities specified in the Asset Purchase Agreement and paid Laserscope \$28 million at closing, subject to certain post-closing adjustments, consisting of \$26 million in immediately available funds and 213,435 shares of the Company's common stock, based upon the average closing price of the Company's common stock for a 20-day period immediately preceding the closing date of the transaction, which was calculated to be \$9.37. The Company will also pay Laserscope up to an additional \$9.0 million as determined by the book value of certain inventory following termination of a manufacturing transition period of approximately six to nine months.

Table of Contents*Stand-Alone Options*

On February 13, 2007, in connection with the employment of the Company's Chief Financial Officer, the Company's Board of Directors granted a stand alone option, outside of the Company's existing stock plans, to Meryl Rains, its Chief Financial Officer. The option entitles Ms. Rains to purchase up to 50,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$9.42 per share.

In February 2007, the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors approved the grant of 235,000 non-qualified stock options, outside of the Company's existing stock plans, to a total of 54 new employees, both domestic and international, hired in connection with the Company's recently completed acquisition of the assets of the aesthetics business of Laserscope. The option is granted as of February 28, 2007 at an exercise price of \$10.06 per share.

12. Selected Quarterly Financial Data, (Unaudited)

| | Quarter | | | |
|--|---|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth |
| | (In thousands, except per share amounts) | | | |
| Year Ended December 30, 2006 | | | | |
| Sales | \$8,843 | \$8,804 | \$ 9,222 | \$ 9,035 |
| Gross profit | \$4,262 | \$4,659 | \$ 4,872 | \$ 5,012 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (303) | \$ (534) | \$(1,143) | \$ (3,773) |
| Net income (loss) per common share | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.07) | \$ (0.15) | \$ (0.48) |
| Diluted net income (loss) per common share | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.07) | \$ (0.15) | \$ (0.48) |
| Year Ended December 31, 2005 | | | | |
| Sales | \$8,145 | \$9,387 | \$ 9,081 | \$10,416 |
| Gross profit | \$3,678 | \$4,545 | \$ 4,879 | \$ 5,073 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (20) | \$ 430 | \$ 879) | \$ 381 |
| Net income (loss) per common share | \$ (0.00) | \$ 0.06) | \$ 0.12) | \$ 0.05 |
| Diluted net income (loss) per common share | \$ (0.00) | \$ 0.05) | \$ 0.11) | \$ 0.05 |

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in And Disagreements with Accountants On Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

Our management evaluated, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and its Chief Financial Officer (CFO), the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13A-15(e) and Rule 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the '34 Act'), as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed with the objective of ensuring that (i) information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the '34 Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and (ii) information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Internal control procedures, which are designed with the objective of providing reasonable assurance that our transactions are properly authorized, our assets are safeguarded against unauthorized or improper use and its transactions are properly recorded and reported, are intended to permit the preparation of our financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. To the extent that elements of our internal controls over financial reporting are included within our disclosure controls and procedures, they are included in the scope of our quarterly controls evaluation.

Based on that evaluation, and as a result of the material weakness in our internal controls over financial reporting discussed below, the CEO and CFO concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective.

A material weakness is a control deficiency, or a combination of control deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. Management determined that the following control deficiencies constitute a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting at December 30, 2006.

In connection with the annual audit of our financial statements as of December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, our independent registered public accounting firm communicated to our management and the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors that they had identified a control deficiency that existed in the design or operation of our internal controls over financial reporting that they considered to be a material weakness, because the control deficiency resulted in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement could occur in our annual financial statements and not be prevented or detected. Specifically, the material weakness identified by our independent accountants relates to a failure to maintain adequate period-end review procedures to ensure the completeness and accuracy of certain journal entries impacting general ledger accounts. As a result, incorrect entries were recorded to the financial statements which were not identified and corrected by management in a timely manner.

Plan for remediation of material weaknesses

To address the material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting identified above, management has designed a remediation plan which will supplement the existing controls of the Company. The remediation plan addresses the following corrective actions:

Table of Contents

implementation of additional controls over the preparation and review of key spreadsheets;

implementation of automated general ledger reports to replace existing key spreadsheets where possible;

implementation of additional review procedures; and

enhancement of the current capabilities of the finance function.

Even if we are to successfully remediate each of the material weaknesses described above, because of inherent limitations, our disclosure controls and procedures may not prevent or detect misstatements or material omissions. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

(b) Changes in internal control over financial reporting

As disclosed in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2006, in August 2006, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors engaged outside counsel and initiated an independent review of our revenue recognition practices. This review was initiated in response to an allegation made by a former employee. In the course of this review, errors in revenue recognition were identified and management, with the participation of the CEO and CFO, determined the Company did not maintain effective controls over the accounting for revenue. In the quarter ended December 30, 2006 the Company implemented the following changes to internal control related to our revenue recognition practices:

reassignment of responsibilities for oversight of the sales function and responsibilities for internal control over sales transactions;

provision of additional training on a recurring basis for all domestic sales personnel on revenue recognition policies and procedures;

establishment of annual formal training for our customer service group on revenue recognition policies and procedures;

establishment of a checklist for use by our customer service group in processing revenue transactions to verify proper recognition and establishment of a policy by which this checklist is signed off by the preparer and at least one reviewer;

establishment of internal audit procedures over all domestic laser sale transactions; and

formalization over our sales returns process to include more thorough documentation, review and approval for all returns.

We believe that the implementation of these corrective actions have mitigated the material weaknesses that were identified as of September 30, 2006 related to our revenue recognition practices.

Subsequent to December 30, 2006 the Company enhanced the current capabilities of the Company's finance function by adding a new Chief Financial Officer.

Table of Contents

No other change has occurred in our internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the 34 Act) during the quarter ended December 30, 2006, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting. As discussed in (a) above, management has designed a plan for remediation and is implementing changes in our internal control over financial reporting to remediate the material weaknesses identified above.

Item 9B. Other Information

Not applicable.

PART III

Certain information required by Part III has been omitted from this Form 10-K. This information is instead incorporated herein by reference to our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Proxy Statement), which we will file within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A in time for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held June 7, 2007.

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

Information regarding our directors is incorporated herein by reference to Proposal One - Election of Directors Nominees in our Proxy Statement. The information concerning our current executive officers is incorporated herein by reference to Executive Officers in our Proxy Statement. Information regarding delinquent filers is incorporated by reference to Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance in our Proxy Statement. Information regarding our code of business conduct and ethics is incorporated herein by reference to Proposal One Election of Directors Corporate Governance Matters Code of Business Conduct and Ethics in our Proxy Statement.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to Executive Compensation in our Proxy Statement.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management in our Proxy Statement.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to Certain Relationships and Related Transactions in our Proxy Statement.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to Proposal Five Ratification of Appointment of Independent Accountants in our Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents**PART IV****Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K**

The following documents are filed in Part II of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

| | Page in Form 10-K Report |
|---|---|
| 1. Financial Statements | |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 47 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005</u> | 48 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 30, 2006, December 31, 2005, and January 1, 2005</u> | 49 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 30, 2006, December 31, 2005, and January 1, 2005</u> | 50 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 30, 2006, December 31, 2005, and January 1, 2005</u> | 51 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 30, 2006, December 31, 2005, and January 1, 2005</u> | 52 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 52 |

2. Financial Statement Schedule

The following financial statement schedule of IRIDEX Corporation for the years ended December 30, 2006, December 31, 2005, and January 1, 2005 is filed as part of this Annual Report and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements of IRIDEX Corporation

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u> | 77 |
|--|----|

Other schedules have been omitted because they are either not required, not applicable, or the required information is included in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

3. Exhibits

| Exhibits | Exhibit Title |
|----------|--|
| 2.1(9) | Asset Purchase Agreement dated November 30, 2006 by and among American Medical Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Laserscope, a California corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of American Medical Systems, Inc. and IRIDEX Corporation. |
| 3.1(1) | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant. |
| 3.2(2) | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant. |
| 10.1(1) | Form of Indemnification Agreement with directors and officers. |
| 10.2(7) | 2005 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. |
| 10.3(5) | Employment Agreement with Barry G. Caldwell dated July 5, 2005. |
| 10.4(6) | Executive Transition Agreement entered into by and between the Company and Theodore A. Boutacoff dated April 28, 2005. |
| 10.5(6) | |

Edgar Filing: IRIDEX CORP - Form 10-K

Amended and Restated Severance and Change of Control Agreement entered into by and between the Company and Larry Tannenbaum on April 29, 2005.

10.6(4) Lease Agreement dated December 6, 1996 by and between Zappettini Investment Co. and the Registrant, as amended.

75

Table of Contents

| Exhibits | Exhibit Title |
|----------|--|
| 10.7(3) | 1998 Stock Option Plan, as amended. |
| 10.8(8) | 2006 Incentive Program. |
| 21.1(1) | Subsidiaries of Registrant. |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. |
| 24.1 | Power of Attorney (See page 78). |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| (1) | Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits filed with the Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (No. 333-00320-LA) which was declared effective on February 15, 1996. |
| (2) | Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits in Registrant's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 3, 1998. |
| (3) | Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits in Registrant's Proxy Statement for the Company's 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders which |

was filed May 5,
2006.

- (4) Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits in Registrant's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 27, 2003.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits filed with the Registrant's Report on Form 8-K dated July 5, 2005.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits filed with the Registrant's Report on Form 8-K dated May 4, 2005.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits filed with the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the Company's 2004 Annual Meeting of Stockholders which was filed on April 30, 2004.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits filed with the Registrant's Report on Form 8-K dated March 14, 2006.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits filed with the Registrant's Report on Form 8-K dated December 6, 2006.

Trademark Acknowledgments

IRIDEX, the IRIDEX logo, IRIS Medical, OcuLight, IQ810, VariLite, DioLite XP, SmartKey, EndoProbe and Apex are our registered trademarks. IRIDERM, G-Probe, DioPexy, DioVet, TruFocus, TrueCW, UltraView, DioLite 532, Long Pulse, MicroPulse Scanlite Scanner, ColdTip Handpiece, Varispot Handpiece and EasyFit product names are our trademarks. All other trademarks or trade names appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are the property of their respective owners.

Table of Contents**Schedule II****IRIDEX CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS***(in thousands)*

| Description | Balance at Beginning of The Period | Charged to Costs and Expenses | Deductions | Balance at End of The Period |
|--|---|--|-------------------|---|
| Balance for the year ended January 1, 2005: | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable | \$ 120 | \$ 376 | \$ (30) | \$ 466 |
| Provision for inventory | \$ 1,043 | \$ 694 | \$ | \$1,737 |
| Balance for the year ended December 31, 2005: | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable | \$ 466 | \$ 132 | \$ (39) | \$ 559 |
| Provision for inventory | \$ 1,737 | \$ 407 | \$ (89) | \$2,055 |
| Balance for the year ended December 30, 2006: | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable | \$ 559 | \$ 141 | \$(261) | \$ 439 |
| Provision for inventory | \$ 2,055 | \$(296) | \$ 1 | \$1,760 |
| | 77 | | | |

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Mountain View, State of California, on the 30th day of March, 2007.

IRIDEX CORPORATION

By: /s/ Barry G. Caldwell
 Barry G. Caldwell
*President, Chief Executive Officer, and
 Director*

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Barry G. Caldwell and Meryl Rains, jointly and severally, their attorney-in-fact, each with full power of substitution, for him in any and all capacities, to sign on behalf of the undersigned any amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and each of the undersigned does hereby ratifying and confirming all that each of said attorneys-in-fact, or his substitutes, may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| | | |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------|
| /s/ Barry G. Caldwell | <i>President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director</i> | March 30, 2007 |
| (Barry G. Caldwell) | <i>(Principal Executive Officer)</i> | |
| /s/ Meryl Rains | <i>Chief Financial Officer and Vice President</i> | March 30, 2007 |
| (Meryl Rains) | <i>(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)</i> | |
| (James L. Donovan) | <i>Vice President, Corporate Business Development and Director</i> | |
| /s/ Robert K. Anderson | <i>Director</i> | March 30, 2007 |
| (Robert K. Anderson) | | |
| /s/ Donald L. Hammond | <i>Director</i> | March 30, 2007 |
| (Donald L. Hammond) | | |
| /s/ Sanford Fitch | <i>Director</i> | March 30, 2007 |
| (Sanford Fitch) | | |
| /s/ Garrett A. Garrettson | <i>Director</i> | March 30, 2007 |
| (Garett A. Garrettson) | | |
| /s/ Theodore A. Boutacoff | <i>Chairman of the Board</i> | |

(Theodore A. Boutacoff)

78

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

| Exhibits | Exhibit Title |
|----------|--|
| 2.1(9) | Asset Purchase Agreement dated November 30, 2006 by and among American Medical Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Laserscope, a California corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of American Medical Systems, Inc. and IRIDEX Corporation. |
| 3.1(1) | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant. |
| 3.2(2) | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant. |
| 10.1(1) | Form of Indemnification Agreement with directors and officers. |
| 10.2(7) | 2005 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. |
| 10.3(5) | Employment Agreement with Barry G. Caldwell dated July 5, 2005. |
| 10.4(6) | Executive Transition Agreement entered into by and between the Company and Theodore A. Boutacoff dated April 28, 2005. |
| 10.5(6) | Amended and Restated Severance and Change of Control Agreement entered into by and between the Company and Larry Tannenbaum on April 29, 2005. |
| 10.6(4) | Lease Agreement dated December 6, 1996 by and between Zappettini Investment Co. and the Registrant, as amended. |
| 10.7(3) | 1998 Stock Option Plan, as amended. |
| 10.8(8) | 2006 Incentive Program. |
| 21.1(1) | Subsidiaries of Registrant. |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. |
| 24.1 | Power of Attorney (See page 78). |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| (1) | Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits filed with |

the Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (No. 333-00320-LA) which was declared effective on February 15, 1996.

- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits in Registrant's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 3, 1998.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits in Registrant's Proxy Statement for the Company's 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders which was filed May 5, 2006.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits in Registrant's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 27, 2003.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits filed with the Registrant's Report on Form 8-K dated July 5, 2005.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits filed with the Registrant's Report on Form 8-K dated May 4, 2005.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to the

Exhibits filed with the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the Company's 2004 Annual Meeting of Stockholders which was filed on April 30, 2004.

- (8) Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits filed with the Registrant's Report on Form 8-K dated March 14, 2006.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to the Exhibits filed with the Registrant's Report on Form 8-K dated December 6, 2006.