

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC
Form N-CSR
January 06, 2006

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-05120

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Jessica R. Droeger
Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606

(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

Date of fiscal year end: October 31

Date of reporting period: October 31, 2005

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

ANNUAL REPORT October 31, 2005

Nuveen Investments
Municipal Exchange-Traded
Closed-End Funds

NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND, INC.
NUV

NUVEEN MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND, INC.
NMI

Photo of: Man, woman and child at the beach.
Photo of: A child.

DEPENDABLE, TAX-FREE INCOME BECAUSE IT'S NOT WHAT YOU EARN, IT'S WHAT YOU
KEEP. (R)

Logo: NUVEEN Investments

Photo of: Woman
Photo of: Man and child
Photo of: Woman

NOW YOU CAN RECEIVE YOUR
NUVEEN FUND REPORTS FASTER.

NO MORE WAITING.
SIGN UP TODAY TO RECEIVE NUVEEN FUND INFORMATION BY E-MAIL.

It only takes a minute to sign up for E-Reports. Once enrolled, you'll receive
an e-mail as soon as your Nuveen Investments Fund information is ready -- no
more waiting for delivery by regular mail. Just click on the link within the
e-mail to see the report, and save it on your computer if you wish.

DELIVERY DIRECT TO
YOUR E-MAIL INBOX

IT'S FAST, EASY & FREE:

WWW.INVESTORDELIVERY.COM
if you get your Nuveen Fund dividends
and statements from your financial
advisor or brokerage account.

OR

WWW.NUVEEN.COM/ACCOUNTACCESS
if you get your Nuveen Fund dividends

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

and statements directly from Nuveen.

(Be sure to have the address sheet that accompanied this report handy. You'll need it to complete the enrollment process.)

Logo: NUVEEN Investments

Photo of: Timothy R. Schwertfeger

Timothy R. Schwertfeger
Chairman of the Board

Chairman's
LETTER TO SHAREHOLDERS

Once again, I am pleased to report that over the 12-month period covered by this report your Fund continued to provide you with attractive monthly tax-free income. For more details about the management strategy and performance of your Fund, please see the Portfolio Managers' Comments and Performance Overview sections of this report.

Given recent reports of a strengthening economy, some market commentators are speculating about whether longer-term interest rates will soon begin to rise substantially, mirroring the rise that has taken place in shorter-term rates. If longer-term rates do begin to rise significantly, some have suggested that this would be a signal to begin selling your holdings of fixed-income investments.

"IN FACT, A WELL-DIVERSIFIED PORTFOLIO MAY ACTUALLY HELP TO REDUCE YOUR OVERALL INVESTMENT RISK OVER THE LONG TERM."

Nobody knows what the market will do in the future or what investments will turn out to be tomorrow's best performers. But from our experience, we do know that a well-balanced portfolio, structured and carefully monitored with the help of a trusted investment professional, can be an important component in helping you achieve your long-term financial goals. In fact, a well-diversified portfolio may actually help to reduce your overall investment risk over the long term. That is one reason why we believe that a municipal bond investment like your Fund can be an important building block in a comprehensive investment program designed to perform well in a variety of market conditions.

As an added convenience for you, I urge you to consider receiving future Fund reports and other Fund information by e-mail and the Internet. Not only will you be able to receive the information faster, but this also may help lower your Fund's expenses. Sign up is quick and easy - see the inside front cover of this report for instructions.

Earlier in 2005, The St. Paul Travelers Companies, Inc., which owned 79% of Nuveen Investments, Inc. (the parent of your Fund's investment adviser), sold a substantial portion of its stake in Nuveen. More recently, St. Paul sold the balance of its shares to Nuveen Investments or to others. Please be assured that these transactions only affect Nuveen's corporate structure, and they do not have any impact on the investment objectives or management of your Fund.

At Nuveen Investments, our mission continues to be to assist you and your

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

financial advisor by offering investment services and products that can help you to secure your financial objectives. We are grateful that you have chosen us as a partner as you pursue your financial goals, and we look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead.

Sincerely,
/s/ Timothy R. Schwertfeger

Timothy R. Schwertfeger
Chairman of the Board

December 15, 2005

Nuveen Investments Municipal Exchange-Traded Closed-End Funds
NUV, NMI

Portfolio Managers'
COMMENTS

Portfolio managers Tom Spalding and John Miller discuss the economic and municipal market environments, key investment strategies and the annual performance of NUV and NMI. With 30 years of investment experience at Nuveen, Tom has managed NUV since its inception in 1987. John, who has 12 years of municipal market experience, including 9 years with Nuveen, assumed portfolio management responsibility for NMI in 2001.

WHAT FACTORS AFFECTED THE U.S. ECONOMY AND MUNICIPAL MARKET DURING THE 12-MONTH PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2005?

Between November 1, 2004, and October 31, 2005, the Federal Reserve implemented eight 0.25% increases in the closely-watched fed funds rate. These increases, which were aimed at controlling the pace of inflation, raised this short-term target rate to 3.75% from 1.75%. (On November 1, 2005 and December 13, 2005, the fed funds rate was increased by 0.25% to reach 4.25%.) Over this same 12-month period, shorter-term municipal market rates also rose significantly.

Longer-term yields declined throughout much of this period before rising toward the end of the fiscal year. The yield on the benchmark 10-year U.S. Treasury note ended October 2005 at 4.56%, compared with 4.03% one year earlier. Longer-term yields in the municipal market followed a similar pattern, with the yield on the Bond Buyer 25 Revenue Bond Index, a widely followed measure of longer-term municipal market rates, ending the reporting period at 5.21%, an increase of 24 basis points from October 31, 2004. Together, the steady rise in shorter-term rates and the much more modest increase in longer rates over this period produced an overall flattening of the yield curve, which generally led to better relative performance for bonds with longer effective maturities and poorer returns for bonds with shorter maturities or short call dates.

The economy continued to improve over the 12-month period. After expanding at an annualized rate of 3.3% in the fourth quarter of 2004, the U.S. gross domestic product (GDP) grew by annualized rates of 3.8% in the first quarter of 2005, 3.3% in the second quarter and 3.8% in the third quarter. The overall employment picture showed some improvement, with national unemployment at 5.0% in October 2005, down from 5.5% in October 2004. However, the 4.3% year-over-year increase

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

in the Consumer Price Index as of October 2005 raised some inflation concerns.

Over the 12 months ended October 2005, municipal bond new issue supply nationwide remained strong, as \$363.4 billion in new securities came to market. A major factor behind this strong supply was the flattening yield curve, which made advance refundings more economically attractive for many issuers. (Advance refundings, also known as pre-refundings or refinancings, occur when an issuer sells new bonds and uses the proceeds to fund the principal and interest payments of older, previously issued bonds that carry a higher coupon rate. This process usually results in lower total borrowing costs for bond

4

issuers.) Between January and October 2005, pre-refunding volume was nearly 50% higher than during the same period in 2004, as issuers sought to take advantage of the current interest rate environment.

WHAT KEY STRATEGIES WERE USED TO MANAGE THESE FUNDS DURING THE 12 MONTHS ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2005?

With the Fed continuing to raise short-term interest rates and the overall flattening of the yield curve, our focus during this reporting period centered on finding attractive bonds that we believed would add value to the portfolios of NUV and NMI and that, in our judgment, also had the potential to perform well under a variety of future market scenarios.

While both NUV and NMI are diversified, unleveraged Funds, their investment parameters differ slightly. For example, NMI can invest up to 25% of its portfolio in below investment-grade quality bonds that may carry more credit risk but typically offer higher yields. NUV is more restricted in its ability to invest in below-investment-grade securities. As a result, there were some minor differences in areas of emphasis between the two Funds during this reporting period. Overall, the ample new issue supply available during this period provided both Funds with good opportunities to find the types of bonds they were seeking, and we kept an opportunistic eye toward all types of issuance that we believed could add value to the Funds' portfolios.

In NUV, our purchase activity generally emphasized finding bonds with adequate call protection that matured in about 25 years. In particular, we looked for premium bonds, which are bonds trading above their par values because their coupons were higher than the coupon levels of newly issued bonds. Historically, premium bonds have held their value better than current coupon bonds when interest rates have risen. Premium bonds also are good candidates for advance refundings, and trading pre-refunded bonds at opportune times in the interest rate cycle has historically been a key strategy for adding value to this Fund.

In general in NUV, we tried to buy essential services revenue bonds that would also help us keep the Fund well diversified geographically. Lower-rated bonds generally were in great demand during this period. As a result we did not find many attractive opportunities among lower-rated issues to add securities that we believed would enhance the value of the portfolio. The majority of our purchase activity focused on higher quality bonds, especially during the second half of this 12-month period.

In NMI, we believed the Fund was already aggressively positioned in higher-yielding, lower-rated credits. Therefore, our new purchases during this period tended to focus on higher-grade and insured securities, primarily in the 20-year to 30-year part of the yield curve. As mentioned earlier, the flattening of the yield curve resulted in a dramatic rise in advance refundings. While this

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

had a positive impact on NMI's performance, it also had a shortening effect on the Fund's duration.¹ The longer durations of the bonds we added to

- 1 Duration is a measure of a bond's price sensitivity as interest rates change, with longer duration bonds displaying more sensitivity to these changes than bonds with shorter durations.

5

NMI's portfolio during this period enabled us to maintain the Fund's duration within our desired range and helped to improve the Fund's overall call protection. We found many of the higher-quality, longer maturity bonds that we sought in the healthcare and public power sectors.

HOW DID THE FUNDS PERFORM?

Individual results for these Funds, as well as for comparative indexes and averages, are presented in the accompanying table.

TOTAL RETURNS ON NET ASSET VALUE*

For periods ended 10/31/05

	1-YEAR	5-YEAR	10-YEAR
NUV	5.73%	6.27%	5.82%
NMI	5.93%	5.36%	5.15%
Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index ²	2.54%	5.98%	5.85%
Lipper General and Insured Unleveraged Municipal Debt Funds Average ³	4.28%	5.40%	5.44%

*Annualized

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares.

For additional information, see the individual Performance Overview for your Fund in this report.

For the 12 months ended October 31, 2005, the total returns on net asset value (NAV) for both NUV and NMI significantly exceeded the return on the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index. Both Funds also outperformed the average return for their Lipper peer group for this period.

As noted earlier, the municipal market yield curve flattened over the course of this reporting period. Longer maturity bonds generally performed better than securities with shorter maturities. Relatively heavier exposure to the longer part of the yield curve helped the performances of both Funds when compared with the Lehman Brothers index, which is more evenly weighted across the entire yield

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

curve.

Two of the key elements in the performance of both Funds for this period were credit exposure and advance refundings. Both Funds benefited from their allocations of lower-quality bonds, as securities rated BBB and lower generally outperformed higher-rated credits. This was largely the result of the interest rate environment during this time, as investor demand for the higher yields typically associated with lower-quality bonds drove up the value of these bonds even though values of higher-rated bonds were falling

- 2 The Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index is an unleveraged, unmanaged national index comprising a broad range of investment-grade municipal bonds. Results for the Lehman index do not reflect any expenses.
- 3 The Lipper General and Insured Unleveraged Municipal Debt Funds category average is calculated using the returns of all closed-end exchange-traded funds in this category for each period as follows: 1 year, 8 funds; 5 years, 8 funds; and 10 years, 8 funds. Fund and Lipper returns assume reinvestment of dividends.

6

because of rising interest rates. As of October 31, 2005, allocations of bonds rated BBB and lower and non-rated bonds accounted for 22% of NUV's portfolio and 52% of NMI. In an environment where the high-yield segment of the Lehman municipal index substantially outperformed the general municipal market, this higher weighting in lower-quality bonds gave NMI a slight performance advantage over NUV over this one-year period.

In assessing individual lower-rated credits during this period, deciding which securities to sell or avoid due to the potential for credit problems was just as important to the Funds' performances as deciding which securities to buy or continue to hold. Among the lower-rated holdings making significant contributions to the Funds' total returns for this period were bonds backed by the 1998 master tobacco settlement agreement. As the litigation environment improved and supply/demand dynamics drove tobacco bond prices higher, these securities made strong, positive contributions to the returns of both Funds. Both held approximately 6% of their portfolios in tobacco bonds as of October 31, 2005. Lower-rated hospital holdings also contributed to the Funds' total returns, as the healthcare sector ranked second in terms of performance among the Lehman municipal revenue sectors for the period. Two of the better-performing hospital bonds held by both Funds were securities issued for the Detroit Medical Center, which had its outlook upgraded to positive by all three major credit rating agencies, and Knox County hospital revenue bonds issued for Baptist Health System of East Tennessee. NMI sold its Detroit Medical Center position prior to the end of this reporting period.

An additional positive factor in the performance of these Funds was their holdings of zero coupon bonds. These bonds do not make periodic interest payments and therefore typically have very long initial maturities. The prices of these bonds tend to be very sensitive to changes in interest rates. Zero coupon bonds in the Lehman Municipal Bond Index outperformed the general market by 130 basis points.

In addition to yield curve positioning, credit exposure, and specific holdings, another important factor in the Funds' returns during this period was advance refundings. Refinancings rose sharply during this period, and the Funds'

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

performances benefited from the amount of their portfolios that became advance refunded and the timing of these events. Generally, newly refunded bonds received a higher credit rating, which usually translates to a higher price.

While newly pre-refunded bonds tended to enhance the Funds' returns, the Funds' holdings of older, previously pre-refunded bonds tended to underperform the general municipal market during this period, primarily because of the shorter effective maturities of these bonds. As more of the Funds' holdings became advance refunded, we were selling selected issues to keep our allocations of pre-refunded credits at desired levels.

7

HOW WERE THE FUNDS POSITIONED IN TERMS OF CREDIT QUALITY AND BOND CALLS AS OF OCTOBER 31, 2005?

Even though lower-rated credits continued to perform well during this period, we believed that maintaining overall strong credit quality was an important requirement. As of October 31, 2005, NUV held 65% of its portfolio in bonds rated AAA/U.S. guaranteed and AA. NMI, which can invest up to 25% of its portfolio in below investment-grade quality bonds, held 82% of its portfolio in securities either rated investment-grade or in unrated bonds considered by us to be of investment-grade quality.

As of October 31, 2005, potential call exposure for the period from November 2005 through the end of 2006 totaled 10% in NUV and 12% in NMI. The number of actual bond calls in these Funds depends largely on future market interest rates.

8

Dividend and Share Price INFORMATION

The dividends of both NUV and NMI remained stable throughout the 12-month reporting period ended October 31, 2005.

In addition, due to normal portfolio activity, common shareholders of these Funds received capital gains and net ordinary income distributions at the end of December 2004 as follows:

	LONG-TERM CAPITAL GAINS (PER SHARE)	ORDINARY INCOME (PER SHARE)
NUV	\$ 0.0559	\$ 0.0063
NMI	\$ --	\$ 0.0002

Each of these Funds seeks to pay stable dividends at rates that reflect the Fund's past results and projected future performance. During certain periods, each Fund may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net investment income actually earned by the Fund during the period. If a Fund has cumulatively earned more than it has paid in dividends, it holds the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of the

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Fund's NAV. Conversely, if a Fund has cumulatively paid dividends in excess of its earnings, the excess constitutes negative UNII that is likewise reflected in the Fund's NAV. Each Fund will, over time, pay all of its net investment income as dividends to shareholders. As of October 31, 2005, both NUV and NMI had positive UNII balances for both tax purposes and financial statement purposes.

At the end of the reporting period, the Funds' share prices were trading at discounts to their NAVs as shown in the accompanying chart:

	10/31/05 DISCOUNT	12-MONTH AVERAGE DISCOUNT
NUV	-5.62%	-7.87%
NMI	-2.76%	-4.80%

9

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc.

NUV

Performance

OVERVIEW As of October 31, 2005

Pie Chart:

CREDIT QUALITY

(as a % of total investments)

AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	53%
AA	12%
A	13%
BBB	14%
BB or Lower	7%
NR	1%

Bar Chart:

2004-2005 MONTHLY TAX-FREE DIVIDENDS PER SHARE²

Nov	0.039
Dec	0.039
Jan	0.039
Feb	0.039
Mar	0.039
Apr	0.039
May	0.039
Jun	0.039
Jul	0.039
Aug	0.039
Sep	0.039
Oct	0.039

Line Chart:

SHARE PRICE PERFORMANCE

Weekly Closing Price

Past performance is not predictive of future results.

11/1/04	9.33
	9.37
	9.35
	9.38

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

9.27
9.09
9.27
9.19
9.28
9.33
9.31
9.28
9.32
9.26
9.2
9.23
9.21
9.2
9.16
9.1
9.1
9.17
9.1
9.19
9.21
9.23
9.21
9.24
9.19
9.15
9.14
9.14
9.1
9.09
9.09
9.12
9.08
9.1
9.09
9.12
9.11
9.12
9.19
9.2
9.17
9.17
9.18
9.2
9.23
9.23
9.19
9.17
9.19
9.26
9.25
9.29
9.29
9.32
9.31
9.29
9.3
9.31
9.32
9.32
9.33
9.33

9.36
9.38
9.42
9.42
9.45
9.41
9.42
9.4
9.42
9.38
9.35
9.29
9.35
9.42
9.43
9.4
9.41
9.41
9.39
9.39
9.41
9.42
9.3
9.3
9.18
9.18
9.15
9.13
9.18
9.15
9.09
9.02
8.97
9.07
9.01
9.03
9.06
9.17
9.2
9.19
9.2
9.2
9.15
9.19
9.22
9.24
9.2
9.21
9.24
9.25
9.26
9.24
9.21
9.23
9.23
9.22
9.25
9.26
9.31
9.31
9.29
9.3

9.32
9.33
9.28
9.33
9.37
9.36
9.35
9.36
9.37
9.4
9.46
9.38
9.4
9.41
9.41
9.37
9.4
9.4
9.42
9.47
9.5
9.49
9.53
9.59
9.59
9.57
9.53
9.45
9.4
9.45
9.52
9.53
9.55
9.57
9.58
9.58
9.57
9.53
9.56
9.59
9.61
9.58
9.61
9.64
9.68
9.68
9.68
9.7
9.66
9.66
9.66
9.62
9.67
9.62
9.59
9.6
9.59
9.65
9.62
9.64
9.62
9.62

9.62
9.59
9.62
9.62
9.59
9.56
9.52
9.53
9.56
9.57
9.59
9.61
9.58
9.62
9.67
9.72
9.7
9.72
9.71
9.77
9.81
9.85
9.89
9.9
9.9
9.97
9.95
9.95
9.95
9.92
9.84
9.81
9.75
9.71
9.73
9.68
9.66
9.56
9.53
9.56
9.57
9.62
9.66
9.81
9.79
9.81
9.78
9.75
9.67
9.62
9.64
9.52
9.44
9.46
9.47
9.47
9.55
9.52
9.57
9.56
9.55
9.45

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

	9.52
	9.5
10/31/05	9.58

FUND SNAPSHOT

Share Price	\$9.58
Net Asset Value	\$10.15
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-5.62%
Market Yield	4.89%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield ¹	6.79%
Net Assets (\$000)	1,979,396
Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Years)	19.38
Modified Duration	5.92

AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN
(Inception 6/17/87)

	ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
1-Year	8.25%	5.73%
5-Year	8.30%	6.27%
10-Year	6.21%	5.82%

STATES

(as a % of total investments)

New York	15.5%
Illinois	11.5%
California	10.4%
Texas	6.1%
Indiana	4.8%
Michigan	4.6%
New Jersey	4.4%
Massachusetts	3.0%
Colorado	2.9%
South Carolina	2.8%
Missouri	2.8%

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Wisconsin	2.6%
-----	-----
Louisiana	2.2%
-----	-----
Washington	2.2%
-----	-----
Georgia	2.2%
-----	-----
Nevada	2.0%
-----	-----
District of Columbia	1.9%
-----	-----
Florida	1.8%
-----	-----
Pennsylvania	1.6%
-----	-----
Other	14.7%
-----	-----

SECTORS

(as a % of total investments)

-----	-----
Healthcare	19.6%
-----	-----
Tax Obligation/Limited	16.8%
-----	-----
U.S. Guaranteed	13.8%
-----	-----
Transportation	11.2%
-----	-----
Utilities	10.2%
-----	-----
Tax Obligation/General	9.8%
-----	-----
Consumer Staples	5.8%
-----	-----
Other	12.8%
-----	-----

- 1 Taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a federal income tax rate of 28%. When comparing the Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the taxable-equivalent yield is lower.
- 2 The Fund also paid shareholders capital gains and net ordinary income distributions in December 2004 of \$0.0622 per share.

Nuveen Municipal Income Fund, Inc.
NMI

Performance

OVERVIEW As of October 31, 2005

Pie Chart:

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

CREDIT QUALITY

(as a % of total investments)

AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	26%
AA	10%
A	12%
BBB	34%
BB or Lower	9%
NR	9%

Bar Chart:

2004-2005 MONTHLY TAX-FREE DIVIDENDS PER SHARE²

Nov	0.044
Dec	0.044
Jan	0.044
Feb	0.044
Mar	0.044
Apr	0.044
May	0.044
Jun	0.044
Jul	0.044
Aug	0.044
Sep	0.044
Oct	0.044

Line Chart:

SHARE PRICE PERFORMANCE

Weekly Closing Price

Past performance is not predictive of future results.

11/1/04	10.02
	10
	9.97
	9.94
	9.75
	9.58
	9.68
	9.7
	9.71
	9.75
	9.75
	9.84
	9.92
	9.95
	9.75
	9.75
	9.83
	10.02
	9.96
	9.86
	9.85
	9.84
	9.8
	9.81
	9.98
	10.13
	10.12
	10.14
	9.99
	10.05
	9.91
	9.91
	9.98

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

9.9
9.9
10.06
9.89
9.84
9.84
9.89
9.85
9.83
9.85
9.82
9.8
9.85
9.86
9.94
9.92
9.94
9.96
10.01
9.9
9.95
9.96
10.13
9.95
10.17
10.12
10.25
10.18
10.16
10.19
10.25
10.24
10.45
10.82
10.7
10.5
10.6
10.6
10.45
10.47
10.46
10.35
10.27
10.17
10.07
10.04
10.14
10.17
10.11
10.04
10.03
10.04
10.1
10.15
10.19
10
10.02
10
10.02
10.03
10.12
10.06

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

10.06
10.02
9.82
9.8
9.8
9.86
9.96
9.85
9.94
10.1
10.05
9.92
9.96
9.9
9.82
9.9
9.85
9.81
9.83
9.76
9.92
10.02
9.99
9.94
9.97
9.92
9.85
9.9
10.05
10.03
10.03
9.98
10.03
10.09
10.32
10.55
10.54
10.47
10.6
10.5
10.54
10.55
10.54
10.59
10.66
10.66
10.5
10.57
10.58
10.66
10.8
10.68
10.94
10.91
10.88
10.88
10.85
10.82
10.84
10.87
10.83
10.76

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

10.88
10.78
10.7
10.66
10.69
10.69
10.65
10.68
10.7
10.69
10.59
10.58
10.56
10.59
10.67
10.62
10.82
11
11.02
11.05
10.99
10.91
10.88
11.15
11.07
11.05
11.33
11.2
11.03
10.86
10.92
10.89
10.89
10.9
11.14
11.09
11.13
10.96
10.89
11.1
11.15
11.09
10.97
10.92
11.05
11.14
11.25
11.29
11.2
11.13
11.15
11.07
11.08
10.86
10.82
10.83
10.8
10.76
10.76
10.71
10.69
10.77

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

	10.94
	10.95
	11.01
	10.95
	10.93
	10.74
	10.74
	10.74
	10.65
	10.55
	10.58
	10.55
	10.63
	10.56
	10.55
	10.62
	10.57
	10.66
	10.67
	10.65
	10.68
	10.62
	10.48
	10.16
	9.94
	10.01
	9.99
	10.05
	10.11
	10.19
	10.26
	10.32
	10.23
	10.36
	10.41
10/31/05	10.56

FUND SNAPSHOT

Share Price	\$10.56
Net Asset Value	\$10.86
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-2.76%
Market Yield	5.00%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield ¹	6.94%
Net Assets (\$000)	88,147
Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Years)	16.63
Modified Duration	5.24

AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN
(Inception 4/20/88)

ON SHARE PRICE	ON NAV
----------------	--------

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

1-Year	10.21%	5.93%
5-Year	4.37%	5.36%
10-Year	5.39%	5.15%

STATES
(as a % of total investments)

California	19.1%
Illinois	8.7%
Texas	7.8%
New York	6.6%
Connecticut	6.0%
Colorado	5.6%
South Carolina	5.2%
Indiana	4.2%
Michigan	3.9%
Ohio	3.4%
Virginia	3.3%
Virgin Islands	3.2%
Pennsylvania	3.0%
Louisiana	2.8%
Tennessee	2.6%
Other	14.6%

SECTORS
(as a % of total investments)

Utilities	18.6%
Healthcare	16.9%
Tax Obligation/Limited	12.6%
U.S. Guaranteed	11.8%
Tax Obligation/General	10.8%
Consumer Staples	8.4%
Education and Civic Organizations	7.2%

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Materials	5.8%

Other	7.9%

- 1 Taxable-equivalent yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a federal income tax rate of 28%. When comparing the Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the taxable-equivalent yield is lower.
- 2 The Fund also paid shareholders a net ordinary income distribution in December 2004 of \$0.0002 per share.

11

Shareholder
MEETING REPORT

The Annual Shareholder Meeting was held on July 26, 2005 at The Northern Trust Bank, 50 S. LaSalle St., Chicago, Illinois.

APPROVAL OF THE NEW INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT
AGREEMENT WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS:

=====

For
Against
Abstain

Total

=====

APPROVAL OF THE BOARD MEMBERS WAS REACHED AS FOLLOWS:

William C. Hunter

For
Withhold

Total

=====

David J. Kundert

For
Withhold

Total

=====

Eugene S. Sunshine

For
Withhold

Total

=====

Report of
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED
PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS
NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND, INC.
NUVEEN MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND, INC.

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities, including the portfolios of investments, of Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. and Nuveen Municipal Income Fund, Inc. as of October 31, 2005, and the related statements of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the Standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of October 31, 2005, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers or other appropriate auditing procedures where replies from brokers were not received. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. and Nuveen Municipal Income Fund, Inc. at October 31, 2005, and the results of their operations for the year then ended, changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and their financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Ernst & Young LLP

Chicago, Illinois
December 14, 2005

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (NUV)
 Portfolio of
 INVESTMENTS October 31, 2005

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO

	ALABAMA - 1.3%	
\$ 1,240	Alabama Housing Finance Authority, Collateralized Home Mortgage Program Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1998A-2, 5.450%, 10/01/28 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	4/08 at 102
5,000	Courtland Industrial Development Board, Alabama, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, Champion International Paper Corporation, Series 1999A, 6.700%, 11/01/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/09 at 101
1,750	Huntsville Healthcare Authority, Alabama, Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.750%, 6/01/31	6/11 at 101
4,000	Jasper Medical Clinic Board, Alabama, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Walker Regional Medical Center Inc., Series 1993, 6.375%, 7/01/18	1/06 at 100
12,000	Jefferson County, Alabama, Sewer Revenue Capital Improvement Warrants, Series 1999A, 5.375%, 2/01/36 (Pre-refunded to 2/01/09) - FGIC Insured	2/09 at 101

	ALASKA - 0.5%	
3,335	Alaska Housing Finance Corporation, General Housing Purpose Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 12/01/30 - FGIC Insured	12/14 at 100
3,000	Anchorage, Alaska, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003B, 5.000%, 9/01/23 - FGIC Insured	9/13 at 100
2,800	Northern Tobacco Securitization Corporation, Alaska, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2000, 6.200%, 6/01/22	6/10 at 100

	ARIZONA - 1.2%	
4,900	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital System Revenue Bonds, Phoenix Children's Hospital, Series 1999A, 6.250%, 11/15/29	11/09 at 100
1,400	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital System Revenue Bonds, Phoenix Children's Hospital, Series 2002A, 6.250%, 2/15/21	2/12 at 101
13,100	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Catholic Healthcare West, Series 1999A, 6.625%, 7/01/20	7/10 at 101
3,000	Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, GNMA Collateralized Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Park Lee	4/15 at 100

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Apartments, Series 2004A, 5.050%, 10/20/44 (Alternative Minimum Tax)

ARKANSAS - 0.8%		
10,460	Cabot School District 4, Lonoke County, Arkansas, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 2/01/32 - AMBAC Insured	8/08 at 100
355	Conway, Arkansas, Sales and Use Tax Capital Improvement Bonds, Series 1997A, 5.350%, 12/01/17 - FSA Insured	12/06 at 101
2,750	Jefferson County, Arkansas, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Entergy Arkansas Inc., Series 1997, 5.600%, 10/01/17	12/05 at 100
2,000	University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Various Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series 2002, 5.000%, 12/01/32 - FGIC Insured	12/12 at 100

CALIFORNIA - 10.3%		
7,310	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Loyola Marymount University, Series 2000, 0.000%, 10/01/24 (Pre-refunded to 10/01/09) - MBIA Insured	10/09 at 39
6,830	California Infrastructure Economic Development Bank, Revenue Bonds, J. David Gladstone Institutes, Series 2001, 5.250%, 10/01/34	10/11 at 101
14,600	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003: 5.250%, 2/01/28	8/13 at 100
11,250	5.000%, 2/01/33	8/13 at 100
7,500	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 2/01/33	2/14 at 100

14

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO
<hr/>		
CALIFORNIA (continued)		
	California Department of Water Resources, Power Supply Revenue Bonds, Series 2002A:	
\$ 10,000	5.125%, 5/01/19	5/12 at 101
10,000	5.250%, 5/01/20	5/12 at 101
17,155	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Various University of California Projects, Series 1993A, 5.500%, 6/01/21	12/05 at 100
15,500	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Certificates of Participation, Internext Group, Series 1999, 5.375%, 4/01/17	4/09 at 101

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

3,000	Capistrano Unified School District, Orange County, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District 98-2 - Ladera, Series 1999, 5.750%, 9/01/29 (Pre-refunded to 9/01/09)	9/09 at 102
6,005	Central California Joint Powers Health Finance Authority, Certificates of Participation, Community Hospitals of Central California, Series 1993, 5.000%, 2/01/23	2/06 at 100
30,000	Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, California, Toll Road Revenue Bonds, Series 1995A:	No Opt. C
2,500	0.000%, 1/01/22	1/07 at 100
	6.000%, 1/01/34 (Pre-refunded to 1/01/07)	
3,500	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2003A-1, 6.750%, 6/01/39	6/13 at 100
33,150	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2003B, 5.000%, 6/01/38 (Pre-refunded to 6/01/13) - AMBAC Insured	6/13 at 100
5,000	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A:	
2,000	5.000%, 6/01/38 - FGIC Insured	6/15 at 100
	5.000%, 6/01/45	6/15 at 100
9,000	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, California, Waterworks Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.125%, 7/01/41	7/11 at 100
4,000	Los Angeles Regional Airports Improvement Corporation, California, Sublease Revenue Bonds, Los Angeles International Airport, American Airlines Inc. Terminal 4 Project, Series 2002C, 7.500%, 12/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/12 at 102
8,000	Rancho Mirage Joint Powers Financing Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Eisenhower Medical Center, Series 2004, 5.625%, 7/01/34	7/14 at 100
7,300	San Diego County, California, Certificates of Participation, Burnham Institute, Series 1999, 6.250%, 9/01/29	9/09 at 101
2,474	Yuba County Water Agency, California, Yuba River Development Revenue Bonds, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Series 1966A, 4.000%, 3/01/16	3/06 at 100

 COLORADO - 2.8%

1,800	Colorado Educational and Cultural Facilities Authority, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Peak-to-Peak Charter School, Series 2001, 7.625%, 8/15/31 (Pre-refunded to 8/15/11)	8/11 at 100
2,100	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2002A, 5.500%, 3/01/32	3/12 at 100
500	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Vail Valley Medical Center, Series 2001, 5.750%, 1/15/22	1/12 at 100

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

2,580	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sisters of Charity Healthcare Systems Inc., Series 1994, 5.250%, 5/15/14	11/05 at 101
18,915	Denver, Colorado, Airport System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2003B, 5.000%, 11/15/33 - XLCA Insured	11/13 at 100
5,000	E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Senior Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.750%, 9/01/35 - MBIA Insured	9/10 at 102
	E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Senior Revenue Bonds, Series 2000B:	
39,700	0.000%, 9/01/28 (Pre-refunded to 9/01/10) - MBIA Insured	9/10 at 31
15,000	0.000%, 9/01/32 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
10,000	E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Toll Revenue Bonds, Series 2004B, 0.000%, 3/01/36 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C

15

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (NUV) (continued)
Portfolio of INVESTMENTS October 31, 2005

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO

	COLORADO (continued)	
\$ 1,450	Northwest Parkway Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Senior Series 2001A, 5.500%, 6/15/19 - AMBAC Insured	6/11 at 102
7,000	Northwest Parkway Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Senior Series 2001C, 0.000%, 6/15/21 - AMBAC Insured	6/16 at 100

	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA - 1.8%	
	Washington Convention Center Authority, District of Columbia, Senior Lien Dedicated Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 1998:	
2,500	5.250%, 10/01/15 - AMBAC Insured	10/08 at 101
33,555	4.750%, 10/01/28 - AMBAC Insured	10/08 at 100

	FLORIDA - 1.7%	
4,000	Escambia County Health Facilities Authority, Florida, Revenue Bonds, Ascension Health Credit Group, Series 2002C, 5.750%, 11/15/32	11/12 at 101
10,690	Jacksonville, Florida, Better Jacksonville Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 10/01/30 - AMBAC Insured	10/11 at 100
4,880	Lee County, Florida, Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 6.000%, 10/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax) - FSA Insured	10/10 at 101

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

5,000	Orange County Health Facilities Authority, Florida, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Orlando Regional Healthcare System, Series 1999E, 6.000%, 10/01/26	10/09 at 101
8,250	Orange County School Board, Florida, Certificates of Participation, Series 2002A, 5.000%, 8/01/27 - MBIA Insured	8/12 at 100

GEORGIA - 2.1%		
2,500	Atlanta, Georgia, Water and Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 11/01/33 - MBIA Insured	5/12 at 100
10,040	Atlanta, Georgia, Water and Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.000%, 11/01/38 - FGIC Insured	5/09 at 101
4,000	Augusta, Georgia, Water and Sewerage Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250%, 10/01/39 - FSA Insured	10/14 at 100
500	Coffee County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Revenue Anticipation Certificates, Coffee Regional Medical Center Inc., Series 1997A: 6.250%, 12/01/06	No Opt. C
21,100	6.750%, 12/01/26 (Pre-refunded to 12/01/06)	12/06 at 102
2,250	Royston Hospital Authority, Georgia, Revenue Anticipation Certificates, Ty Cobb Healthcare System Inc., Series 1999, 6.500%, 7/01/27	7/09 at 102

HAWAII - 0.4%		
7,500	Hawaii, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003DA, 5.250%, 9/01/23 - MBIA Insured	9/13 at 100

ILLINOIS - 11.3%		
2,060	Aurora, Illinois, Golf Course Revenue Bonds, Series 2000, 6.375%, 1/01/20	1/10 at 100
9,715	Chicago, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A: 5.625%, 1/01/39 (Pre-refunded to 7/01/12) - AMBAC Insured	7/12 at 100
285	5.625%, 1/01/39 - AMBAC Insured	7/12 at 100
2,425	Chicago Board of Education, Illinois, Unlimited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Dedicated Tax Revenues, Series 1997A, 5.250%, 12/01/22 - AMBAC Insured	12/07 at 102
15,000	Chicago Board of Education, Illinois, Unlimited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Dedicated Tax Revenues, Series 1998B-1, 0.000%, 12/01/24 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C
5,000	Chicago Housing Authority, Illinois, Revenue Bonds, Capital Fund Program, Series 2001, 5.375%, 7/01/18	7/12 at 100
1,125	Chicago Greater Metropolitan Sanitary District, Illinois, General Obligation Capital Improvement Bonds, Series 1991, 7.000%, 1/01/11	No Opt. C

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

2,575	Chicago, Illinois, Second Lien Passenger Facility Charge Revenue Bonds, O'Hare International Airport, Series 2001C, 5.100%, 1/01/26 (Alternative Minimum Tax) - AMBAC Insured	1/11 at 101
-------	---	-------------

16

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO

ILLINOIS (continued)		
\$ 3,020	Cook County High School District 209, Proviso Township, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 0.000%, 12/01/19 - FSA Insured	12/16 at 100
2,000	DuPage County Community School District 200, Wheaton, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003B, 5.250%, 11/01/20 - FSA Insured	11/13 at 100
5,000	Illinois Development Finance Authority, Gas Supply Revenue Bonds, Peoples Gas, Light and Coke Company, Series 2003E, 4.875%, 11/01/38 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (Mandatory put 11/01/18) - AMBAC Insured	11/13 at 101
28,030	Illinois Development Finance Authority, Local Government Program Revenue Bonds, Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties School District U46 - Elgin, Series 2002, 0.000%, 1/01/19 - FSA Insured	No Opt. C
6,495	Illinois Development Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Presbyterian Home of Lake Forest, Series 1996B: 6.400%, 9/01/31 (Pre-refunded to 9/01/06)	9/06 at 102
990	6.400%, 9/01/31 - FSA Insured	9/06 at 102
1,800	Illinois Development Finance Authority, Local Government Program Revenue Bonds, Winnebago and Boone Counties School District 205 - Rockford, Series 2000, 0.000%, 2/01/19 - FSA Insured	No Opt. C
3,180	Illinois Development Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Chicago Charter School Foundation, Series 2002A, 6.250%, 12/01/32	12/21 at 100
8,000	Illinois Development Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Illinois Wesleyan University, Series 2001, 5.125%, 9/01/35 - AMBAC Insured	9/11 at 100
5,000	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Series 2004A, 5.500%, 8/15/43	8/14 at 100
18,015	Illinois Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Rush-Presbyterian St. Luke's Medical Center Obligated Group, Series 1993, 5.250%, 11/15/20 - MBIA Insured	11/05 at 100
4,350	Illinois Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, South Suburban Hospital, Series 1992, 7.000%, 2/15/18	No Opt. C

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

8,145	Illinois Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sherman Health Systems, Series 1997, 5.250%, 8/01/22 - AMBAC Insured	8/07 at 101
15,000	Illinois Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Condell Medical Center, Series 2000, 6.500%, 5/15/30	5/10 at 101
15,000	Illinois Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Edward Hospital Obligated Group, Series 2001B, 5.250%, 2/15/34 - FSA Insured	2/11 at 101
5,000	Illinois Sports Facility Authority, State Tax Supported Bonds, Series 2001, 0.000%, 6/15/30 - AMBAC Insured	6/15 at 101
	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 1992A:	
18,955	0.000%, 6/15/17 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C
12,830	0.000%, 6/15/18 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C
	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 1994B:	
7,250	0.000%, 6/15/18 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
3,385	0.000%, 6/15/21 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
5,190	0.000%, 6/15/28 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
10,975	0.000%, 6/15/29 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C
	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Refunding Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 1996A:	
16,550	0.000%, 12/15/21 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
1,650	5.250%, 6/15/27 - AMBAC Insured	6/06 at 102
	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 2002A:	
10,000	0.000%, 6/15/24 - MBIA Insured	6/22 at 101
21,375	0.000%, 6/15/34 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
21,000	0.000%, 12/15/35 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
20,000	0.000%, 6/15/36 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
22,055	0.000%, 6/15/39 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
2,150	5.250%, 6/15/42 - MBIA Insured	6/12 at 101

17

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (NUV) (continued)
Portfolio of INVESTMENTS October 31, 2005

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO

	ILLINOIS (continued)	
	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Refunding Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 2002B:	
\$ 3,775	0.000%, 6/15/20 - MBIA Insured	6/17 at 101
2,950	0.000%, 6/15/21 - MBIA Insured	6/17 at 101
720	Tri-City Regional Port District, Illinois, Port and Terminal Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Dock 2 Enhancement	No Opt. C

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

	Project, Series 1998B, 5.875%, 7/01/08 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	
1,060	Tri-City Regional Port District, Illinois, Port and Terminal Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Delivery Network Project, Series 2003A, 4.900%, 7/01/14 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt. C
	Will County Community School District 161, Summit Hill, Illinois, Capital Appreciation School Bonds, Series 1999:	
720	0.000%, 1/01/18 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C
1,575	0.000%, 1/01/18 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C

	INDIANA - 4.7%	
10,000	Indiana Bond Bank, State Revolving Fund Program Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.375%, 2/01/19	2/13 at 101
2,000	Indiana Health Facility Financing Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Deaconess Hospital Inc., Series 2004A, 5.375%, 3/01/34 - AMBAC Insured	3/14 at 100
10,520	Indiana Health Facility Financing Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Sisters of St. Francis Health Services Inc., Series 1997A, 5.375%, 11/01/27 - MBIA Insured	11/07 at 102
17,105	Indiana Health Facility Financing Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Clarian Health Partners Inc., Series 1996A, 6.000%, 2/15/21	2/07 at 102
20,000	Indiana Transportation Finance Authority, Highway Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 6/01/28 - FSA Insured	6/13 at 100
	Indianapolis Local Public Improvement Bond Bank, Indiana, Series 1999E:	
12,500	0.000%, 2/01/21 - AMBAC Insured	No Opt. C
14,595	0.000%, 2/01/27 - AMBAC Insured	No Opt. C
5,000	Mooresville School Building Corporation, Morgan County, Indiana, First Mortgage Bonds, Series 1998, 5.000%, 7/15/15 - FSA Insured	1/09 at 102
13,100	Noblesville, Indiana, Revenue Bonds, Catholic High School Corporation, Series 2003, 5.750%, 7/01/22	7/13 at 101

	IOWA - 0.5%	
3,500	Iowa Higher Education Loan Authority, Private College Facility Revenue Bonds, Wartburg College, Series 2002, 5.500%, 10/01/33 - ACA Insured	10/12 at 100
6,160	Iowa Tobacco Settlement Authority, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Series 2001B, 5.600%, 6/01/35	6/11 at 101

	KANSAS - 0.5%	

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

10,000	Kansas Department of Transportation, Highway Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 3/01/22	3/14 at 100

KENTUCKY - 0.1%		
1,755	Greater Kentucky Housing Assistance Corporation, FHA-Insured Section 8 Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1997A, 6.100%, 1/01/24 - MBIA Insured	1/06 at 100

LOUISIANA - 2.2%		
1,000	East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Georgia Pacific Corporation Project, Series 1998, 5.350%, 9/01/11 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	3/08 at 102
5,150	Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Franciscan Missionaries of Our Lady Health System, Series 2005A, 5.250%, 8/15/32	8/15 at 100
13,385	Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Southern Baptist Hospital, Series 1986, 8.000%, 5/15/12	11/05 at 100
20,880	Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation, Louisiana, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2001B, 5.875%, 5/15/39	5/11 at 101

18

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO

MARYLAND - 0.7%		
\$ 4,585	Maryland Community Development Administration, Residential Revenue Bonds, Series 1997B, 5.875%, 9/01/25 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	3/07 at 101
3,500	Maryland Energy Financing Administration, Revenue Bonds, AES Warrior Run Project, Series 1995, 7.400%, 9/01/19 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/07 at 100
4,600	Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, MedStar Health, Series 2004, 5.500%, 8/15/33	8/14 at 100

MASSACHUSETTS - 3.0%		
10,000	Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Senior Sales Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.000%, 7/01/32	7/12 at 100
1,720	Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Resource Recovery Revenue Bonds, Ogden Haverhill Associates,	12/08 at 102

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Series 1998B, 5.100%, 12/01/12 (Alternative Minimum Tax)

4,340	Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Cape Cod Health Care Inc., Series 2001C, 5.250%, 11/15/31 - RAAI Insured	11/11 at 101
	Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Northern Berkshire Community Services Inc., Series 2004B:	
1,340	6.250%, 7/01/24	7/14 at 100
1,000	6.375%, 7/01/34	7/14 at 100
17,400	Massachusetts Turnpike Authority, Metropolitan Highway System Revenue Bonds, Senior Series 1997A, 5.000%, 1/01/37 - MBIA Insured	1/07 at 102
8,000	Massachusetts Turnpike Authority, Metropolitan Highway System Revenue Bonds, Subordinate Series 1997B, 5.125%, 1/01/37 - MBIA Insured	1/07 at 102
7,405	Massachusetts Turnpike Authority, Metropolitan Highway System Revenue Bonds, Subordinate Series 1999A, 5.000%, 1/01/39 - AMBAC Insured	1/09 at 101
	Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, Pooled Loan Program Bonds, Series 6:	
1,750	5.500%, 8/01/30 (Pre-refunded to 8/01/10)	8/10 at 101
4,250	5.500%, 8/01/30	8/10 at 101

MICHIGAN - 4.5%

6,000	Dearborn Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Oakwood Obligated Group, Series 1994A, 5.250%, 8/15/21 - MBIA Insured	2/06 at 101
10,390	Detroit Local Development Finance Authority, Michigan, Tax Increment Bonds, Series 1998A, 5.500%, 5/01/21	5/09 at 101
14,000	Detroit, Michigan, Second Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 7/01/35 - MBIA Insured	7/15 at 100
5,240	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving Fund Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%, 10/01/19	10/12 at 100
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Public School Academy Revenue Bonds, Detroit Academy of Arts and Sciences Charter School, Series 2001A:	
1,700	7.500%, 10/01/12	10/09 at 102
5,000	7.900%, 10/01/21	10/09 at 102
3,500	8.000%, 10/01/31	10/09 at 102
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Medical Center Obligated Group, Series 1993A:	
1,000	6.250%, 8/15/13	2/06 at 100
12,925	6.500%, 8/15/18	2/06 at 100
22,235	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Detroit Medical Center Obligated Group,	8/08 at 101

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Series 1998A, 5.250%, 8/15/28

7,200 Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Resource 12/12 at 100
 Recovery Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Edison Company,
 Series 2002D, 5.250%, 12/15/32 - XLCA Insured

 MINNESOTA - 0.1%

1,750 Breckenridge, Minnesota, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health 5/14 at 100
 Initiatives, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 5/01/30

19

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (NUV) (continued)
 Portfolio of INVESTMENTS October 31, 2005

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO

MINNESOTA (continued)		
\$ 465	Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, Rental Housing Bonds, Series 1995D, 5.900%, 8/01/15 - MBIA Insured	2/06 at 101

MISSOURI - 2.7%		
2,000	Missouri-Illinois Metropolitan District Bi-State Development Agency, Mass Transit Sales Tax Appropriation Bonds, Metrolink Cross County Extension Project, Series 2002B, 5.000%, 10/01/32 - FSA Insured	10/13 at 100
40,000	Missouri Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, BJC Health System, Series 2003, 5.250%, 5/15/32	5/13 at 100
4,000	Sugar Creek, Missouri, Industrial Development Revenue Bonds, Lafarge North America Inc., Series 2003A, 5.650%, 6/01/37 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/13 at 101
1,750	West Plains Industrial Development Authority, Missouri, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Ozark Medical Center, Series 1997: 5.500%, 11/15/12	11/07 at 101
1,000	5.600%, 11/15/17	11/07 at 101
3,075	West Plains Industrial Development Authority, Missouri, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Ozark Medical Center, Series 1999, 6.750%, 11/15/24	11/09 at 101

MONTANA - 0.2%		
3,750	Forsyth, Rosebud County, Montana, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Puget Sound Energy, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 3/01/31 - AMBAC Insured	3/13 at 101

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

NEVADA - 1.9%		
2,500	Carson City, Nevada, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Carson-Tahoe Hospital, Series 2003A, 5.125%, 9/01/29 - RAAI Insured	9/13 at 100
	Director of Nevada State Department of Business and Industry, Revenue Bonds, Las Vegas Monorail Project, First Tier, Series 2000:	
15,095	0.000%, 1/01/24 - AMBAC Insured	No Opt. C
11,000	0.000%, 1/01/25 - AMBAC Insured	No Opt. C
2,000	5.625%, 1/01/32 - AMBAC Insured	1/10 at 102
22,010	5.375%, 1/01/40 - AMBAC Insured	1/10 at 100

NEW JERSEY - 4.3%		
23,625	New Jersey Economic Development Authority, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds, Continental Airlines Inc., Series 1999, 6.250%, 9/15/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/09 at 101
9,000	New Jersey Economic Development Authority, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds, Continental Airlines Inc., Series 2000, 7.000%, 11/15/30 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/10 at 101
	New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Trinitas Hospital Obligated Group, Series 2000:	
250	7.375%, 7/01/15	7/10 at 101
11,200	7.500%, 7/01/30	7/10 at 101
7,500	New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, Transportation System Bonds, Series 2003C, 5.500%, 6/15/24 (Pre-refunded to 6/15/13)	6/13 at 100
	New Jersey Turnpike Authority, Revenue Bonds, Series 1991C:	
1,490	6.500%, 1/01/16 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
105	6.500%, 1/01/16 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
310	6.500%, 1/01/16 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
26,680	Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation, New Jersey, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2002, 6.125%, 6/01/42	6/12 at 100
7,015	Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation, New Jersey, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2003, 6.250%, 6/01/43	6/13 at 100

NEW MEXICO - 0.6%		
1,500	University of New Mexico, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992A, 6.000%, 6/01/21	No Opt. C
9,600	University of New Mexico, Subordinate Lien Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.000%, 6/01/32	6/12 at 100

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

20

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO
	NEW YORK - 15.2%	
\$ 5,360	East Rochester Housing Authority, New York, FHA-Insured Mortgage Revenue Bonds, St. John's Meadows Project, Series 1997A, 5.600%, 8/01/17 - MBIA Insured	8/07 at 102
11,000	Long Island Power Authority, New York, Electric System General Revenue Bonds, Series 1998A, 5.250%, 12/01/26 - MBIA Insured	6/08 at 101
15,500	Long Island Power Authority, New York, Electric System General Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.375%, 9/01/25	9/11 at 100
39,610	New York City, New York, General Obligation Bonds, Fiscal Series 1997G, 6.000%, 10/15/26 (Pre-refunded to 10/15/07)	10/07 at 101
	New York City, New York, General Obligation Bonds, Fiscal Series 1997E:	
8,720	6.000%, 8/01/16 (Pre-refunded to 8/01/06)	8/06 at 101
1,280	6.000%, 8/01/16	8/06 at 101
	New York City, New York, General Obligation Bonds, Fiscal Series 2003J:	
15,000	5.500%, 6/01/21	6/13 at 100
10,000	5.500%, 6/01/22	6/13 at 100
5,000	New York City, New York, General Obligation Bonds, Fiscal Series 1996G, 5.750%, 2/01/14 (Pre-refunded to 2/01/06)	2/06 at 101
	New York City, New York, General Obligation Bonds, Fiscal Series 2004C:	
8,000	5.250%, 8/15/24	8/14 at 100
6,000	5.250%, 8/15/25	8/14 at 100
	New York City, New York, General Obligation Bonds, Fiscal Series 1998D:	
4,075	5.500%, 8/01/10 (Pre-refunded to 8/01/07)	8/07 at 101
9,320	5.500%, 8/01/10	8/07 at 101
	New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, New York, Water and Sewerage System Revenue Bonds, Fiscal Series 1996B:	
5,280	5.750%, 6/15/26 (Pre-refunded to 6/15/06) - MBIA Insured	6/06 at 101
9,720	5.750%, 6/15/26 - MBIA Insured	6/06 at 101
5,500	New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, New York, Water and Sewerage System Revenue Bonds, Fiscal Series 2005B, 5.000%, 6/15/36 - FSA Insured	12/14 at 100
10,000	New York City Industrial Development Agency, New York, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds, JFK Airport - American Airlines Inc., Series 2002B, 8.500%, 8/01/28 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	8/12 at 101

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

5,200	Dormitory Authority of the State of New York, New York City, Lease Revenue Bonds, Court Facilities, Series 1999, 6.000%, 5/15/39 (Pre-refunded to 5/15/10)	5/10 at 101
2,625	Dormitory Authority of the State of New York, Revenue Bonds, Mount Sinai NYU Health, Series 2000C, 5.500%, 7/01/26	7/08 at 100
2,500	Dormitory Authority of the State of New York, Revenue Bonds, Mount Sinai NYU Health Obligated Group, Series 2000A, 5.500%, 7/01/26	7/08 at 100
8,500	Dormitory Authority of the State of New York, FHA-Insured Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Kaleida Health, Series 2004, 5.050%, 2/15/25	2/14 at 100
6,490	Dormitory Authority of the State of New York, Improvement Revenue Bonds, Mental Health Services Facilities, Series 1999D: 5.250%, 2/15/29 (Pre-refunded to 8/15/09)	8/09 at 101
65	5.250%, 2/15/29 (Pre-refunded to 8/15/09)	8/09 at 101
445	5.250%, 2/15/29	8/09 at 101
6,585	New York State Medical Care Facilities Finance Agency, FHA-Insured Mortgage Revenue Bonds, St. Luke's-Roosevelt Hospital Center, Series 1993A, 5.600%, 8/15/13	2/06 at 100
8,500	New York State Power Authority, General Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.250%, 11/15/40	11/10 at 100
2,500	Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, Special Project Bonds, JFK International Air Terminal LLC, Sixth Series 1997, 6.250%, 12/01/10 (Alternative Minimum Tax) - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
	New York State Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed and State Contingency Contract-Backed Bonds, Series 2003A-1:	
10,000	5.500%, 6/01/17	6/11 at 100
26,190	5.500%, 6/01/18	6/12 at 100
33,810	5.500%, 6/01/19	6/13 at 100

21

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (NUV) (continued)
Portfolio of INVESTMENTS October 31, 2005

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO

	NORTH CAROLINA - 0.9%	
\$ 1,500	Charlotte, North Carolina, Certificates of Participation, Governmental Facilities Projects, Series 2003G, 5.000%, 6/01/33	6/13 at 100
2,500	North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, Power System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2003D, 5.125%, 1/01/26	1/13 at 100
1,105	North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, Power System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1996A,	1/07 at 102

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

	5.700%, 1/01/13 - MBIA Insured	
10,000	North Carolina Municipal Power Agency 1, Catawba Electric Revenue Bonds, Series 1999B, 6.500%, 1/01/20	1/10 at 100
1,500	North Carolina Infrastructure Finance Corporation, Certificates of Participation, Correctional Facilities, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 2/01/20	2/14 at 100
OHIO - 0.2%		
3,000	Columbus City School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 12/01/31 - FGIC Insured	6/13 at 100
OKLAHOMA - 1.0%		
4,450	Central Oklahoma Transportation and Parking Authority, Oklahoma City, Parking System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1996, 5.250%, 7/01/16 (Pre-refunded to 7/01/06) - FSA Insured	7/06 at 100
15,000	Oklahoma Development Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, St. John Health System, Series 2004, 5.125%, 2/15/31	2/14 at 100
OREGON - 0.1%		
2,500	Clackamas County Hospital Facility Authority, Oregon, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Legacy Health System, Series 2001, 5.250%, 5/01/21	5/11 at 100
PENNSYLVANIA - 1.5%		
4,500	Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Pennsylvania, Series 1998, 4.500%, 7/15/21	7/08 at 100
6,500	Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.500%, 12/01/31 - AMBAC Insured	12/14 at 100
8,000	Philadelphia School District, Pennsylvania, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004D, 5.125%, 6/01/34 - FGIC Insured	6/14 at 100
10,075	State Public School Building Authority, Pennsylvania, Lease Revenue Bonds, Philadelphia School District, Series 2003, 5.000%, 6/01/33 - FSA Insured	6/13 at 100
PUERTO RICO - 1.1%		
5,000	Puerto Rico, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000B, 5.625%, 7/01/19 - MBIA Insured	7/10 at 100

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

10,000	Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, Special Obligation Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.500%, 10/01/40	10/10 at 101
5,450	Puerto Rico Industrial, Tourist, Educational, Medical and Environmental Control Facilities Financing Authority, Co-Generation Facility Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 6.625%, 6/01/26 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/10 at 101

RHODE ISLAND - 1.2%		
6,250	Rhode Island Health and Educational Building Corporation, Hospital Financing Revenue Bonds, Lifespan Obligated Group, Series 1996, 5.250%, 5/15/26 - MBIA Insured	5/07 at 102
16,000	Rhode Island Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2002A, 6.250%, 6/01/42	6/12 at 100

SOUTH CAROLINA - 2.7%		
7,000	Dorchester County School District 2, South Carolina, Installment Purchase Revenue Bonds, GROWTH, Series 2004, 5.250%, 12/01/29	12/14 at 100
3,000	Myrtle Beach, South Carolina, Hospitality and Accommodation Fee Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 6/01/36 - FGIC Insured	6/14 at 100
8,475	Piedmont Municipal Power Agency, South Carolina, Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1986, 5.000%, 1/01/25	No Opt. C

22

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO

SOUTH CAROLINA (continued)		
\$ 20,750	South Carolina JOBS Economic Development Authority, Economic Development Revenue Bonds, Bon Secours Health System Inc., Series 2002A, 5.625%, 11/15/30	11/12 at 100
8,000	South Carolina JOBS Economic Development Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Palmetto Health Alliance, Series 2000A, 7.375%, 12/15/21 (Pre-refunded to 12/15/10)	12/10 at 102
4,215	Spartanburg Sanitary Sewer District, South Carolina, Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 2003B, 5.000%, 3/01/38 - MBIA Insured	3/14 at 100
110	Tobacco Settlement Revenue Management Authority, South Carolina, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2001B, 6.000%, 5/15/22	5/11 at 101

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

 TENNESSEE - 0.3%

	Knox County Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, Tennessee, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Baptist Health System of East Tennessee Inc., Series 2002:		
3,000	6.375%, 4/15/22		4/12 at 101
2,500	6.500%, 4/15/31		4/12 at 101

 TEXAS - 6.0%

13,000	Alliance Airport Authority, Texas, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds, American Airlines Inc., Series 1990, 7.500%, 12/01/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax)		12/05 at 100
1,900	Alliance Airport Authority, Texas, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds, FedEx Inc., Series 1996, 6.375%, 4/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax)		4/06 at 102
	Austin, Texas, Combined Utility System Revenue Bonds, Series 1992A:		
5,440	12.500%, 11/15/07 - MBIA Insured		No Opt. C
18,825	12.500%, 11/15/07 - MBIA Insured		No Opt. C
5,110	Brazos River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, TXU Electric Company, Series 1999C, 7.700%, 3/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax)		4/13 at 101
4,000	Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, Travis and Williamson Counties, Toll Road Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 1/01/35 - FGIC Insured		1/15 at 100
2,700	Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Texas, Senior Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2001G, 5.250%, 11/15/30 - MBIA Insured		11/11 at 100
10,045	Houston, Texas, Subordinate Lien Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.875%, 7/01/16 (Alternative Minimum Tax) - FSA Insured		7/10 at 100
23,875	Houston, Texas, Hotel Occupancy Tax and Special Revenue Bonds, Convention and Entertainment Project, Series 2001B, 0.000%, 9/01/29 - AMBAC Insured		No Opt. C
	Irving Independent School District, Texas, Unlimited Tax School Building Bonds, Series 1997:		
5,685	0.000%, 2/15/10		No Opt. C
3,470	0.000%, 2/15/11		No Opt. C
5,000	Kerrville Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Sid Peterson Memorial Hospital Project, Series 2005, 5.375%, 8/15/35		No Opt. C
22,060	Leander Independent School District, Williamson and Travis Counties, Texas, Unlimited Tax School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 2000, 0.000%, 8/15/27		8/09 at 31
6,000	Matagorda County Navigation District 1, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Reliant Energy Inc., Series 1999C, 8.000%, 5/01/29		4/08 at 102

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

(Mandatory put 4/01/08)

3,250	Midland, Texas, Tax and Limited Pledge Revenue Bonds, Certificates of Obligation, Series 2000, 6.100%, 3/01/27 - FGIC Insured	3/10 at 100
5,000	Port Corpus Christi Industrial Development Corporation, Texas, Environmental Facilities Revenue Bonds, Citgo Petroleum Corporation, Series 2003, 8.250%, 11/01/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/07 at 102
5,000	Port Corpus Christi Industrial Development Corporation, Texas, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Valero Refining and Marketing Company, Series 1997A, 5.400%, 4/01/18	4/08 at 102
5,000	Richardson Hospital Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Richardson Regional Medical Center, Series 2004, 6.000%, 12/01/34	12/13 at 100
2,000	Sabine River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, TXU Electric Company, Series 2003A, 5.800%, 7/01/22	7/13 at 101

23

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (NUV) (continued)
Portfolio of INVESTMENTS October 31, 2005

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO

	UTAH - 1.4%	
\$ 12,000	Intermountain Power Agency, Utah, Power Supply Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1996D, 5.000%, 7/01/21	7/06 at 102
	Intermountain Power Agency, Utah, Power Supply Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1997B:	
1,655	5.750%, 7/01/19 (Pre-refunded to 7/01/07) - MBIA Insured	7/07 at 102
3,345	5.750%, 7/01/19 - MBIA Insured	7/07 at 102
3,700	Utah State Board of Regents, Utah State University, Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 4/01/35 - MBIA Insured	4/14 at 100
2,250	Utah Housing Finance Agency, Single Family Mortgage Bonds, Series 1998G-2, Class I, 5.200%, 7/01/30 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/10 at 101
3,810	Utah Water Finance Agency, Revenue Bonds, Pooled Loan Financing Program, Series 2002C, 5.250%, 10/01/28 - AMBAC Insured	10/12 at 100

	VIRGIN ISLANDS - 0.1%	
2,500	Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Refinery Project - Hovensa LLC, Series 2003,	1/14 at 100

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

6.125%, 7/01/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax)

VIRGINIA - 1.3%		
4,125	Metropolitan Washington D.C. Airports Authority, Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.750%, 10/01/16 (Alternative Minimum Tax) - FGIC Insured	10/12 at 100
15,000	Pocahontas Parkway Association, Virginia, Senior Lien Revenue Bonds, Route 895 Connector Toll Road, Series 1998A, 0.000%, 8/15/30	8/08 at 28
19,400	Pocahontas Parkway Association, Virginia, Senior Lien Revenue Bonds, Route 895 Connector Toll Road, Series 1998B: 0.000%, 8/15/33	8/08 at 23
60,500	0.000%, 8/15/35	8/08 at 20
3,245	Virginia Housing Development Authority, Multifamily Housing Bonds, Series 1997E, 5.600%, 11/01/17 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/08 at 102

WASHINGTON - 2.1%		
6,400	Cowlitz County Public Utilities District 1, Washington, Electric Production Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 9/01/34 - FGIC Insured	9/14 at 100
12,500	Energy Northwest, Washington, Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, Columbia Generating Station - Nuclear Project 2, Series 2002B, 6.000%, 7/01/18 - AMBAC Insured	7/12 at 100
4,000	Energy Northwest, Washington, Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, Nuclear Project 3, Series 2003A, 5.500%, 7/01/17 - XLCA Insured	7/13 at 100
3,700	Washington State Tobacco Settlement Authority, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Series 2002, 6.625%, 6/01/32	6/13 at 100
9,000	Washington, Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002-03C: 0.000%, 6/01/29 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
16,195	0.000%, 6/01/30 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
8,200	Washington Public Power Supply System, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Nuclear Project 3, Series 1989B, 0.000%, 7/01/14	No Opt. C

WISCONSIN - 2.5%		
8,205	Badger Tobacco Asset Securitization Corporation, Wisconsin, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2002: 6.125%, 6/01/27	6/12 at 100
11,385	6.375%, 6/01/32	6/12 at 100
6,000	Milwaukee Redevelopment Authority, Wisconsin, Lease Revenue Bonds, Public Schools, Series 2003A,	8/13 at 100

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

5.125%, 8/01/22 - AMBAC Insured

12,180	Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Aurora Healthcare Inc., Series 1997, 5.250%, 8/15/27 - MBIA Insured	8/07 at 102
3,750	Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Wheaton Franciscan Services Inc., Series 2002, 5.750%, 8/15/30	2/12 at 101

24

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO
WISCONSIN (continued)		
\$ 6,000	Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Franciscan Sisters of Christian Charity Healthcare Ministry, Series 2003A, 5.875%, 9/01/33	9/13 at 100
\$ 2,233,309	Total Long-Term Investments (cost \$1,803,684,101) - 97.8%	
Other Assets Less Liabilities - 2.2%		
Net Assets - 100%		

* Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.

** Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating.

*** Securities are backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensures the timely payment of principal and interest. Such securities are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.

N/R Investment is not rated.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

25

Nuveen Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (NMI)
Portfolio of
INVESTMENTS October 31, 2005

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO

	ALABAMA - 0.8%	
\$ 690	Phenix City Industrial Development Board, Alabama, Environmental Improvement Revenue Bonds, MeadWestvaco Corporation, Series 2002A, 6.350%, 5/15/35 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/12 at 100

	CALIFORNIA - 18.4%	
5,530	Adelanto School District, San Bernardino County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1997A, 0.000%, 9/01/22 - MBIA Insured	No Opt. C
	Brea Olinda Unified School District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1999A:	
2,000	0.000%, 8/01/21 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C
2,070	0.000%, 8/01/22 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C
2,120	0.000%, 8/01/23 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C
500	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.200%, 4/01/26	4/14 at 100
3,000	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, Department of Mental Health, Coalinga State Hospital, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 6/01/25	6/14 at 100
1,000	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Daughters of Charity Health System, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 7/01/39	7/15 at 100
1,150	Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, California, Toll Road Revenue Bonds, Series 1995A, 6.000%, 1/01/34 (Pre-refunded to 1/01/07)	1/07 at 100
3,000	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2003A-1, 6.750%, 6/01/39	6/13 at 100
500	Lake Elsinore Public Financing Authority, California, Local Agency Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2003H, 6.375%, 10/01/33	10/13 at 102
1,000	Vernon, California, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Malburg Generating Station Project, Series 2003C, 5.375%, 4/01/18 (Pre-refunded to 4/01/08)	4/08 at 100

	COLORADO - 5.3%	
480	Colorado Educational and Cultural Facilities Authority, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Peak-to-Peak Charter School, Series 2001, 7.500%, 8/15/21 (Pre-refunded to 8/15/11)	8/11 at 100

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

1,000	Colorado Educational and Cultural Facilities Authority, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Weld County School District 6 - Frontier Academy, Series 2001, 7.375%, 6/01/31	6/11 at 100
870	Colorado Educational and Cultural Facilities Authority, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Douglas County School District RE-1 - DCS Montessori School, Series 2002A, 6.000%, 7/15/22	7/12 at 100
2,000	Denver City and County, Colorado, Airport System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2000A, 6.000%, 11/15/16 (Alternative Minimum Tax) - AMBAC Insured	11/10 at 100

CONNECTICUT - 5.8%

1,480	Capitol Region Education Council, Connecticut, Revenue Bonds, Series 1995, 6.750%, 10/15/15	4/06 at 102
2,000	Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of New Haven, Series 1996D, 6.700%, 7/01/26 (Pre-refunded to 7/01/06)	7/06 at 102
500	Eastern Connecticut Resource Recovery Authority, Solid Waste Revenue Bonds, Wheelabrator Lisbon Project, Series 1993A, 5.500%, 1/01/14 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/06 at 100
950	Willimantic Housing Authority, Connecticut, GNMA Collateralized Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Village Heights Apartments, Series 1995A, 8.000%, 10/20/30	4/06 at 105

FLORIDA - 2.3%

175	Dade County Industrial Development Authority, Florida, Revenue Bonds, Miami Cerebral Palsy Residential Services Inc., Series 1995, 8.000%, 6/01/22	12/05 at 102
1,250	Martin County Industrial Development Authority, Florida, Industrial Development Revenue Bonds, Indiantown Cogeneration LP, Series 1994A, 7.875%, 12/15/25 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/05 at 101

26

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO

	FLORIDA (continued)	
\$ 600	Martin County Industrial Development Authority, Florida, Industrial Development Revenue Refunding Bonds, Indiantown Cogeneration LP, Series 1995B, 8.050%, 12/15/25 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/05 at 101

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

ILLINOIS - 8.4%		
1,485	Chicago, Illinois, Tax Increment Allocation Bonds, Irving/Cicero Redevelopment Project, Series 1998, 7.000%, 1/01/14	1/09 at 100
	Illinois Development Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Chicago Charter School Foundation, Series 2002A:	
500	6.125%, 12/01/22	12/12 at 100
1,000	6.250%, 12/01/32	12/21 at 100
1,000	Illinois Educational Facilities Authority, Student Housing Revenue Bonds, Educational Advancement Foundation Fund, University Center Project, Series 2002, 6.250%, 5/01/34	5/12 at 101
1,765	Joliet Regional Port District, Illinois, Airport Facilities Revenue Bonds, Lewis University Airport, Series 1997A, 7.250%, 7/01/18 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (Pre-refunded to 7/01/07)	7/07 at 103
1,305	North Chicago, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 11/01/25 - FGIC Insured	11/15 at 100

INDIANA - 4.0%		
2,000	Indiana Health Facility Financing Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Riverview Hospital, Series 2002, 6.125%, 8/01/31	8/12 at 101
1,305	Whitley County, Indiana, Solid Waste and Sewerage Disposal Revenue Bonds, Steel Dynamics Inc., Series 1998, 7.250%, 11/01/18 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/10 at 102

LOUISIANA - 2.7%		
1,880	Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, Extended Care Facilities Revenue Bonds, Comm-Care Corporation Project, Series 1994, 11.000%, 2/01/14	No Opt. C

MARYLAND - 1.2%		
1,000	Maryland Energy Financing Administration, Revenue Bonds, AES Warrior Run Project, Series 1995, 7.400%, 9/01/19 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/07 at 100

MASSACHUSETTS - 2.1%		
500	Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Resource Recovery Revenue Bonds, Ogden Haverhill Associates, Series 1999A, 6.700%, 12/01/14 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/09 at 102
1,000	Massachusetts Industrial Finance Agency, Resource Recovery Remarketed Revenue Refunding Bonds, Ogden Haverhill Project, Series 1992A, 4.850%, 12/01/05	No Opt. C

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

270	Massachusetts Industrial Finance Agency, Resource Recovery Revenue Refunding Bonds, Ogden Haverhill Project, Series 1998A, 5.450%, 12/01/12 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/08 at 102
-----	---	--------------

MICHIGAN - 3.7%

1,000	Delta County Economic Development Corporation, Michigan, Environmental Improvement Revenue Refunding Bonds, MeadWestvaco Corporation - Escanaba Paper Company, Series 2002B, 6.450%, 4/15/23 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (Pre-refunded to 4/15/12)	4/12 at 100
2,150	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sinai Hospital, Series 1995, 6.625%, 1/01/16	1/06 at 102

MONTANA - 1.4%

1,200	Montana Board of Investments, Exempt Facility Revenue Bonds, Stillwater Mining Company, Series 2000, 8.000%, 7/01/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/10 at 101
-------	--	-------------

NEBRASKA - 1.2%

1,000	Washington County, Nebraska, Wastewater Facilities Revenue Bonds, Cargill Inc., Series 2002, 5.900%, 11/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/12 at 101
-------	---	--------------

27

Nuveen Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (NMI) (continued)
Portfolio of INVESTMENTS October 31, 2005

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO
---------------------------	-------------	------------------------

NEW HAMPSHIRE - 0.8%

New Hampshire Higher Educational and Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, New Hampshire College, Series 1997:		
\$ 100	6.375%, 1/01/27 (Pre-refunded to 1/01/07)	1/07 at 102
200	6.375%, 1/01/27 (Pre-refunded to 1/01/07)	1/07 at 102
400	6.375%, 1/01/27	1/07 at 102

NEW YORK - 6.3%

1,000	Dormitory Authority of the State of New York, Revenue Bonds, Brooklyn Law School, Series 2003A, 5.500%, 7/01/15 - RAAI Insured	7/13 at 100
4,190	Yates County Industrial Development Agency, New York,	2/11 at 101

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

FHA-Insured Civic Facility Mortgage Revenue Bonds,
Soldiers and Sailors Memorial Hospital, Series 2000A,
6.000%, 2/01/41

OHIO - 3.3%		
400	Ohio Water Development Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, BHP Steel LLC, Series 1995, 6.300%, 9/01/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	3/06 at 102
2,400	Ohio Water Development Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, Bay Shore Power, Series 1998B, 6.625%, 9/01/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/09 at 102

PENNSYLVANIA - 2.9%		
1,080	Allegheny County Hospital Development Authority, Pennsylvania, Revenue Bonds, West Penn Allegheny Health System, Series 2000B, 9.250%, 11/15/30	11/10 at 102
1,165	Carbon County Industrial Development Authority, Pennsylvania, Resource Recovery Revenue Refunding Bonds, Panther Creek Partners Project, Series 2000, 6.650%, 5/01/10 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt. C

RHODE ISLAND - 0.6%		
500	Rhode Island Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2002A, 6.250%, 6/01/42	6/12 at 100

SOUTH CAROLINA - 5.0%		
2,500	Greenville County School District, South Carolina, Installment Purchase Revenue Bonds, Series 2002, 5.500%, 12/01/13	12/12 at 101
475	Piedmont Municipal Power Agency, South Carolina, Electric Revenue Bonds, Series 1991, 6.750%, 1/01/19 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. C
1,000	Tobacco Settlement Revenue Management Authority, South Carolina, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2001B, 6.000%, 5/15/22	5/11 at 101

TENNESSEE - 2.5%		
1,000	Knox County Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, Tennessee, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Baptist Health System of East Tennessee Inc., Series 2002, 6.375%, 4/15/22	4/12 at 101
375	Shelby County Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, Tennessee, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Methodist Healthcare, Series 2002, 6.500%, 9/01/26 (Pre-refunded	9/12 at 100

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

to 9/01/12)

625	Shelby County Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, Tennessee, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Methodist Healthcare, Series 2002, 6.500%, 9/01/26 (Pre-refunded to 9/01/12)	9/12 at 100
-----	---	-------------

TEXAS - 7.4%

2,000	Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority, Texas, Sewerage and Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, Anheuser Busch Company, Series 2002, 5.900%, 4/01/36 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	4/12 at 100
2,000	Matagorda County Navigation District 1, Texas, Collateralized Revenue Refunding Bonds, Houston Light and Power Company, Series 1995, 4.000%, 10/15/15 - MBIA Insured	10/13 at 101
2,000	Weslaco Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Knapp Medical Center, Series 2002, 6.250%, 6/01/25	6/12 at 100
1,000	West Texas Independent School District, McLennan and Hill Counties, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1998, 0.000%, 8/15/25	8/13 at 51

VIRGIN ISLANDS - 3.1%

2,545	Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Senior Lien Matching Fund Loan Note, Series 2004A, 5.250%, 10/01/19	10/14 at 100
-------	--	--------------

28

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000)	DESCRIPTION	OPTIONAL C PROVISIO
---------------------------	-------------	------------------------

VIRGINIA - 3.1%

\$ 1,000	Chesterfield County Industrial Development Authority, Virginia, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, Virginia Electric and Power Company, Series 1987A, 5.875%, 6/01/17	11/10 at 102
1,500	Mecklenburg County Industrial Development Authority, Virginia, Revenue Bonds, UAE Mecklenburg Cogeneration LP, Series 2002, 6.500%, 10/15/17 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	10/12 at 100

WEST VIRGINIA - 2.4%

2,050	Mason County, West Virginia, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, Appalachian Power Company, Series 2003L, 5.500%, 10/01/22	10/11 at 100
-------	---	--------------

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

		WISCONSIN - 1.2%	
	1,000	Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Carroll College Inc., Series 2001, 6.250%, 10/01/21	10/11 at 100
\$	86,730	Total Long-Term Investments (cost \$79,117,145) - 95.9%	
<hr/>			
		Other Assets Less Liabilities - 4.1%	
<hr/>			
		Net Assets - 100%	
<hr/>			

- * Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
 - ** Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard & Poor's or Moody's rating.
 - *** Securities are backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensures the timely payment of principal and interest. Such securities are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- N/R Investment is not rated.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Statement of
ASSETS AND LIABILITIES October 31, 2005

ASSETS	
Investments, at market value (cost \$1,803,684,101 and \$79,117,145, respectively)	
Cash	
Receivables:	
Interest	
Investments sold	
Other assets	
<hr/>	
Total assets	
<hr/>	
LIABILITIES	
Cash overdraft	
Payable for investments purchased	
Accrued expenses:	

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Management fees
Other

Total liabilities

Net assets
=====

Shares outstanding
=====

Net asset value per share outstanding (net assets
divided by shares outstanding)
=====

NET ASSETS CONSIST OF:

Shares, \$.01 par value per share
Paid-in surplus
Undistributed net investment income
Accumulated net realized gain (loss) from investments
Net unrealized appreciation of investments

Net assets
=====

Authorized shares
=====

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

30

Statement of
OPERATIONS Year Ended October 31, 2005

INVESTMENT INCOME

EXPENSES

Management fees
Shareholders' servicing agent fees and expenses
Custodian's fees and expenses
Directors' fees and expenses
Professional fees
Shareholders' reports - printing and mailing expenses
Stock exchange listing fees
Investor relations expense
Other expenses

Total expenses before custodian fee credit
Custodian fee credit

Net expenses

Net investment income

REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS)

Net realized gain (loss) from investments

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments

Net realized and unrealized gain

Net increase in net assets from operations

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

31

Statement of CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

	MUNICIPAL VALUE (NUV)	
	YEAR ENDED 10/31/05	YEAR ENDED 10/31/04
<hr/>		
OPERATIONS		
Net investment income	\$ 92,574,829	\$ 93,827,419
Net realized gain (loss) from investments	3,892,592	10,588,040
Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments	14,371,253	41,903,003
<hr/>		
Net increase in net assets from operations	110,838,674	146,318,462
<hr/>		
DISTRIBUTIONS TO SHAREHOLDERS		
From net investment income	(92,469,274)	(96,249,057)
From accumulated net realized gains from investments	(10,898,238)	(12,577,331)
<hr/>		
Decrease in net assets from distributions to shareholders	(103,367,512)	(108,826,388)
<hr/>		
Net increase in net assets	7,471,162	37,492,074
Net assets at the beginning of year	1,971,924,998	1,934,432,924
<hr/>		
Net assets at the end of year	\$1,979,396,160	\$1,971,924,998
<hr/>		
Undistributed (Over-distribution of) net investment income at the end of year	\$ 4,460,954	\$ 4,444,078
<hr/>		

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

32

Notes to FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. GENERAL INFORMATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

The funds (the "Funds") covered in this report and their corresponding New York Stock Exchange symbols are Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (NUV) and Nuveen Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (NMI). The Funds are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as closed-end, diversified management investment companies.

Each Fund seeks to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax by investing primarily in a diversified portfolio of municipal obligations issued by state and local government authorities or certain U.S. territories.

Effective January 1, 2005, Nuveen Advisory Corp. ("NAC"), the Funds' previous Adviser, and its affiliate, Nuveen Institutional Advisory Corp. ("NIAC"), were merged into Nuveen Asset Management ("NAM"), each wholly owned subsidiaries of Nuveen Investments, Inc. ("Nuveen"). As a result of the merger, NAM is now the Adviser to all funds previously advised by either NAC or NIAC.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Funds in the preparation of their financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Investment Valuation

The prices of municipal bonds in each Fund's investment portfolio are provided by a pricing service approved by the Fund's Board of Directors. When market price quotes are not readily available (which is usually the case for municipal securities), the pricing service or, in the absence of a pricing service for a particular security, the Board of Directors of the Funds, or its designee, may establish fair market value using a wide variety of market data including yields or prices of municipal bonds of comparable quality, type of issue, coupon, maturity and rating, market quotes or indications of value from securities dealers, evaluations of anticipated cash flows or collateral, general market conditions and other information and analysis, including the obligor's credit characteristics considered relevant by the pricing service or the Board of Directors' designee. Temporary investments in securities that have variable rate and demand features qualifying them as short-term investments are valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value.

Investment Transactions

Investment transactions are recorded on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses from transactions are determined on the specific identification method. Investments purchased on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis may have extended settlement periods. Any investments so purchased are subject to market fluctuation during this period. The Funds have instructed the custodian to segregate assets with a current value at least equal to the amount of the when-issued and delayed delivery purchase commitments. At October 31, 2005, there were no such outstanding purchase commitments in either of the funds.

Investment Income

Interest income, which includes the amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts for financial reporting purposes, is recorded on an accrual basis. Investment income also includes paydown gains and losses, if any.

Professional Fees

Professional fees presented in the Statement of Operations consist of legal fees incurred in the normal course of operations, audit fees, tax consulting fees and, in some cases, workout expenditures. Workout expenditures are incurred in an attempt to protect or enhance an investment, or to pursue other claims or legal actions on behalf of Fund shareholders.

Notes to
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Federal Income Taxes

Each Fund is a separate taxpayer for federal income tax purposes. Each Fund intends to distribute substantially all net investment income and net capital gains to shareholders and to otherwise comply with the requirements of Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies. Therefore, no federal income tax provision is required. Furthermore, each Fund intends to satisfy conditions which will enable interest from municipal securities, which is exempt from regular federal income tax, to retain such tax-exempt status when distributed to shareholders of the Funds. All monthly tax-exempt income dividends paid during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2005, have been designated Exempt Interest Dividends. Net realized capital gains and ordinary income distributions paid by the Funds are subject to federal taxation.

Dividends and Distributions to Shareholders

Dividends from tax-exempt net investment income are declared monthly. Net realized capital gains and/or market discount from investment transactions, if any, are distributed to shareholders not less frequently than annually. Furthermore, capital gains are distributed only to the extent they exceed available capital loss carryforwards.

Distributions to shareholders of tax-exempt net investment income, net realized capital gains and/or market discount, if any, are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The amount and timing of distributions are determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations, which may differ from U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Funds may invest in certain derivative financial instruments including futures, forward, swap and option contracts, and other financial instruments with similar characteristics. Although the Funds are authorized to invest in such financial instruments, and may do so in the future, they did not make any such investments during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2005.

Custodian Fee Credit

Each Fund has an arrangement with the custodian bank whereby certain custodian fees and expenses are reduced by credits earned on each Fund's cash on deposit with the bank. Such deposit arrangements are an alternative to overnight investments.

Indemnifications

Under the Funds' organizational documents, their Officers and Directors are indemnified against certain liabilities arising out of the performance of their duties to the Funds. In addition, in the normal course of business, the Funds enter into contracts that provide general indemnifications to other parties. The Funds' maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

involve future claims that may be made against the Funds that have not yet occurred. However, the Funds have not had prior claims or losses pursuant to these contracts and expect the risk of loss to be remote.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of increases and decreases in net assets from operations during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

2. FUND SHARES

Neither of the Funds engaged in transactions in their own shares during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2005, nor during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004.

34

3. SECURITIES TRANSACTIONS

Purchases and sales (including maturities) of investments in long-term municipal securities during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2005, were as follows:

	MUNICIPAL VALUE (NUV)	MUNICIPAL INCOME (NMI)
Purchases	\$148,551,090	\$5,836,445
Sales and maturities	172,423,260	8,361,413

4. INCOME TAX INFORMATION

The following information is presented on an income tax basis. Differences between amounts for financial statement and federal income tax purposes are primarily due to the treatment of paydown gains and losses, timing differences in recognizing taxable market discount and timing differences in recognizing certain gains and losses on investments transactions.

At October 31, 2005, the cost of investments was as follows:

	MUNICIPAL VALUE (NUV)	MUNICIPAL INCOME (NMI)
Cost of investments	\$1,800,191,314	\$78,987,217

Gross unrealized appreciation and gross unrealized depreciation of investments at October 31, 2005, were as follows:

	MUNICIPAL VALUE (NUV)	MUNICIPAL INCOME (NMI)
Gross unrealized:		
Appreciation	\$143,585,491	\$5,738,748
Depreciation	(8,773,619)	(196,126)
Net unrealized appreciation of investments	\$134,811,872	\$5,542,622

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

The tax components of undistributed net investment income and net realized gains at October 31, 2005, were as follows:

	MUNICIPAL VALUE (NUV)	MUNICIPAL INCOME (NMI)
Undistributed net tax-exempt income *	\$7,818,624	\$241,511
Undistributed net ordinary income **	752,964	13,932
Undistributed net long-term capital gains	3,970,072	--

* Undistributed net tax-exempt income (on a tax basis) has not been reduced for the dividend declared on October 3, 2005, paid on November 1, 2005.

** Net ordinary income consists of taxable market discount income and net short-term capital gains, if any.

35

Notes to FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

The tax character of distributions paid during the fiscal years ended October 31, 2005 and October 31, 2004, was designated for purposes of the dividends paid deduction as follows:

	MUNICIPAL VALUE (NUV)	MUNICIPAL INCOME (NMI)
2005		
Distributions from net tax-exempt income	\$91,241,029	\$4,284,126
Distributions from net ordinary income **	1,228,245	1,623
Distributions from net long-term capital gains	10,898,238	--
2004		
Distributions from net tax-exempt income	\$92,800,716	\$4,324,697
Distributions from net ordinary income **	3,643,302	--
Distributions from net long-term capital gains	12,577,331	--

** Net ordinary income consists of taxable market discount income and net short-term capital gains, if any.

At October 31, 2005, Municipal Income (NMI) had an unused capital loss carryforward of \$8,204,024, available to be applied against future capital gains, if any. If not applied, \$116,138, \$7,005,363, \$916,759 and \$165,764 of the carryforward will expire in the years 2008, 2011, 2012 and 2013 respectively.

5. MANAGEMENT FEES AND OTHER TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES

Each Fund's management fee is separated into two components - a complex-level component, based on the aggregate amount of all fund assets managed by the

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Adviser, and a specific fund-level component, based only on the amount of assets within each individual Fund. This pricing structure enables Nuveen fund shareholders to benefit from growth in the assets within each individual fund as well as from growth in the amount of complex-wide assets managed by the Adviser.

Municipal Value's (NUV) annual fund-level fee, payable monthly, at the rates set forth below, which are based upon the average daily net assets of the Fund as follows:

AVERAGE DAILY NET ASSETS	MUNICIPAL VALUE (NUV) FUND-LEVEL FEE RATE
For the first \$500 million	.1500%
For the next \$500 million	.1250
For net assets over \$1 billion	.1000

In addition, Municipal Value (NUV) pays an annual management fee, payable monthly, based on gross interest income as follows:

GROSS INTEREST INCOME	MUNICIPAL VALUE (NUV) GROSS INCOME FEE RATE
For the first \$50 million	4.125%
For the next \$50 million	4.000
For gross income over \$100 million	3.875

36

Municipal Income's (NMI) annual fund-level fee, payable monthly, at the rates set forth below, which are based upon the average daily net assets of the Fund as follows:

AVERAGE DAILY NET ASSETS	MUNICIPAL INCOME (NMI) FUND-LEVEL FEE RATE
For the first \$125 million	.4500%
For the next \$125 million	.4375
For the next \$250 million	.4250
For the next \$500 million	.4125
For the next \$1 billion	.4000
For the next \$3 billion	.3875
For net assets over \$5 billion	.3750

The annual complex-level fee, payable monthly, which is additive to the fund-level fee, for all Nuveen sponsored funds in the U.S., is based on the aggregate amount of total fund assets managed as stated in the table below. As of October 31, 2005, the complex-level fee rate was .1905%.

COMPLEX-LEVEL ASSETS(1)	COMPLEX-LEVEL FEE RATE
For the first \$55 billion	.2000%
For the next \$1 billion	.1800
For the next \$1 billion	.1600
For the next \$3 billion	.1425
For the next \$3 billion	.1325

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

For the next \$3 billion	.1250
For the next \$5 billion	.1200
For the next \$5 billion	.1175
For the next \$15 billion	.1150
For Managed Assets over \$91 billion(2)	.1400

- (1) The complex-level fee component of the management fee for the funds is calculated based upon the aggregate Managed Assets ("Managed Assets" means the average daily net assets of each fund including assets attributable to all types of leverage used by the Nuveen funds) of Nuveen-sponsored funds in the U.S.

- (2) With respect to the complex-wide Managed Assets over \$91 billion, the fee rate or rates that will apply to such assets will be determined at a later date. In the unlikely event that complex-wide Managed Assets reach \$91 billion prior to a determination of the complex-level fee rate or rates to be applied to Managed Assets in excess of \$91 billion, the complex-level fee rate for such complex-wide Managed Assets shall be .1400% until such time as a different rate or rates is determined.

The management fee compensates the Adviser for overall investment advisory and administrative services and general office facilities. The Funds pay no compensation directly to their Directors who are affiliated with the Adviser or to their Officers, all of whom receive remuneration for their services to the Funds from the Adviser or its affiliates. The Board of Directors has adopted a deferred compensation plan for independent Directors that enables Directors to elect to defer receipt of all or a portion of the annual compensation they are entitled to receive from certain Nuveen advised funds. Under the plan, deferred amounts are treated as though equal dollar amounts had been invested in shares of select Nuveen advised Funds.

Notes to
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. ANNOUNCEMENT REGARDING PARENT COMPANY OF ADVISER

In early April, 2005, The St. Paul Travelers Companies, Inc. ("St. Paul Travelers"), which owned 79% of Nuveen, (A) completed a public offering of a substantial portion of its equity stake in Nuveen, (B) sold Nuveen \$200 million of its Nuveen shares, (C) entered into an agreement with Nuveen to sell an additional \$400 million of its Nuveen shares on a "forward" basis with payment for and settlement of these shares delayed for several months, and (D) entered into agreements with two unaffiliated investment banking firms to sell an amount equal to most or all of its remaining Nuveen shares for current payment but for future settlement. Transactions (C) and (D) above were settled in late July, which effectively reduced St. Paul Travelers' controlling stake in Nuveen and was deemed an "assignment" (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the investment management agreements between the Funds and the Adviser, which resulted in the automatic termination of each agreement under the 1940 Act. In anticipation of such deemed assignment, the Board of Directors had approved new ongoing investment management agreements for each Fund and the submission of those agreements for approval by each respective Fund's shareholders, which shareholder approval was received prior to the settlement of transactions (C) and (D). The new ongoing management agreements took effect upon such settlement.

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

7. SUBSEQUENT EVENT - DISTRIBUTIONS TO SHAREHOLDERS

The Funds declared dividend distributions from their tax-exempt net investment income which were paid on December 1, 2005, to shareholders of record on November 15, 2005, as follows:

	MUNICIPAL VALUE (NUV)	MUNICIPAL INCOME (NMI)
Dividend per share	\$.0390	\$.0440

38

Financial
HIGHLIGHTS

39

Financial
HIGHLIGHTS

Selected data for a share outstanding throughout each period:

	Beginning Net Asset Value	Investment Operations			Net Investment Income
		Net Investment Income	Net Realized/ Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Total	
MUNICIPAL VALUE (NUV)					
Year Ended 10/31:					
2005	\$10.11	\$.47	\$.10	\$.57	\$ (.47)
2004	9.92	.48	.26	.74	(.49)
2003	9.98	.49	(.01)	.48	(.50)
2002	10.17	.51	(.18)	.33	(.51)
2001	9.77	.51	.42	.93	(.51)
MUNICIPAL INCOME (NMI)					
Year Ended 10/31:					
2005	10.76	.54	.09	.63	(.53)
2004	10.41	.56	.32	.88	(.53)
2003	10.61	.54	(.15)	.39	(.59)
2002	10.92	.61	(.30)	.31	(.62)
2001	11.01	.67	(.06)	.61	(.70)

Ending Market Value	Total Returns	
	Based on Market Value+	Based on Net Asset Value+

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

MUNICIPAL VALUE (NUV)

Year Ended 10/31:

2005	\$ 9.58	8.25%	5.73%
2004	9.36	9.01	7.77
2003	9.12	3.66	4.90
2002	9.32	3.80	3.32
2001	9.48	17.32	9.77

MUNICIPAL INCOME (NMI)

Year Ended 10/31:

2005	10.56	10.21	5.93
2004	10.08	10.34	8.69
2003	9.64	3.02	3.71
2002	9.90	(11.93)	2.87
2001	11.90	12.24	5.68

Ratios/Supplemental Data

	Before Credit		After Credit*	
	Ending Net Assets (000)	Ratio of Expenses to Average Net Assets	Ratio of Investment Income to Average Net Assets	Ratio of Expenses to Average Net Assets

MUNICIPAL VALUE (NUV)

Year Ended 10/31:

2005	\$1,979,396	.60%	4.64%	.60%
2004	1,971,925	.62	4.83	.61
2003	1,934,433	.64	4.97	.64
2002	1,946,407	.65	5.07	.65
2001	1,982,139	.65	5.09	.64

MUNICIPAL INCOME (NMI)

Year Ended 10/31:

2005	88,147	.78	4.99	.77
2004	87,324	.82	5.28	.81
2003	84,491	1.12	5.14	1.12
2002	85,897	.91	5.62	.90
2001	88,089	.83	6.14	.83

* After custodian fee credit, where applicable.

+ Total Investment Return on Market Value is the combination of changes in the market price per share and the effect of reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at the average price paid per share at the time of reinvestment. Total Return on Net Asset Value is the combination of changes in net asset value, reinvested dividend income at net asset value and reinvested capital gains distributions at net asset value, if any. Total returns are not annualized.

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

40-41 SPREAD

Board Members
AND OFFICERS

The management of the Funds, including general supervision of the duties performed for the Funds by the Adviser, is the responsibility of the Board Members of the Funds. The number of board members of the Fund is currently set at nine. None of the board members who are not "interested" persons of the Funds has ever been a director or employee of, or consultant to, Nuveen or its affiliates. The names and business addresses of the board members and officers of the Funds, their principal occupations and other affiliations during the past five years, the number of portfolios each oversees and other directorships they hold are set forth below.

NAME, BIRTHDATE AND ADDRESS	POSITION(S) HELD WITH THE FUNDS	YEAR FIRST ELECTED OR APPOINTED (2)	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION(S) INCLUDING OTHER DIRECTORSHIPS DURING PAST 5 YEARS
--------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

BOARD MEMBER WHO IS AN INTERESTED PERSON OF THE FUNDS:

Timothy R. Schwertfeger(1) 3/28/49 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Chairman of the Board and Trustee	1994	Chairman (since 1996) and Director of N Inc., Nuveen Investments, LLC, Nuveen A Nuveen Institutional Advisory Corp.(3); of Institutional Capital Corporation; C (since 1997) of Nuveen Asset Management Director of Rittenhouse Asset Management Chairman of Nuveen Investments Advisers
---	---	------	--

BOARD MEMBERS WHO ARE NOT INTERESTED PERSONS OF THE FUNDS:

Robert P. Bremner 8/22/40 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Board member	1997	Private Investor and Management Consult
--	--------------	------	---

Lawrence H. Brown 7/29/34 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Board member	1993	Retired (since 1989) as Senior Vice Pre Northern Trust Company; Director (since Advisory Board for Highland Park and Hi Way of the North Shore.
--	--------------	------	--

Jack B. Evans 10/22/48 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Board member	1999	President, The Hall-Perrine Foundation, corporation (since 1996); Director and Fire Group, a publicly held company; Ad University of Iowa; Director, Gazette C of Coe College; Director, Iowa College Director, Alliant Energy; formerly, Dir Bank of Chicago; formerly, President an SCI Financial Group, Inc., a regional f
---	--------------	------	--

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

William C. Hunter 3/6/48 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Board member	2004	Dean and Distinguished Professor of Financial Business at the University of Connecticut; previously, Senior Vice President and Director at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (since 1997), Credit Research Center at Director (since 2004) of Xerox Corporation
---	--------------	------	---

David J. Kundert 10/28/42 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Board member	2005	Retired (since 2004) as Chairman, JPMorgan Management, President and CEO, Banc One Advisors Corporation, and President, Open Funds; prior thereto, Executive Vice President Corporation and Chairman and CEO, Banc Management Group; Board of Regents, Lut currently a member of the American and Associations.
--	--------------	------	---

42

NAME, BIRTHDATE AND ADDRESS	POSITION(S) HELD WITH THE FUNDS	YEAR FIRST ELECTED OR APPOINTED (2)	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION(S) INCLUDING OTHER DIRECTORSHIPS DURING PAST 5 YEARS
--------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

BOARD MEMBERS WHO ARE NOT INTERESTED PERSONS OF THE FUNDS (CONTINUED):

William J. Schneider 9/24/44 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Board member	1997	Chairman of Miller-Valentine Partners L investment company; formerly, Senior Pa Operating Officer (retired, December 20 Group; formerly, Vice President, Miller construction company; Board Member, Cha and member of the Audit Committee of Pr not-for-profit company of Miami Valley Dayton Philharmonic Orchestra Associati Leaders Forum, which promotes cooperati issues; Director, Dayton Development Co Community Advisory Board, National City Business Advisory Council, Cleveland Fe
---	--------------	------	--

Judith M. Stockdale 12/29/47 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Board member	1997	Executive Director, Gaylord and Dorothy Foundation (since 1994); prior thereto, Great Lakes Protection Fund (from 1990
---	--------------	------	--

Eugene S. Sunshine 1/22/50 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Board member	2005	Senior Vice President for Business and Northwestern University (since 1997); D Chicago Board Options Exchange; Directo National Mentor Holdings, a privately-h of home and community-based services; C 1997), Board of Directors, Rubicon, a p company owned by Northwestern Universit 1997), Evanston Chamber of Commerce and
---	--------------	------	--

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Inventure, a business development organ

NAME, BIRTHDATE AND ADDRESS	POSITION(S) HELD WITH THE FUNDS	YEAR FIRST ELECTED OR APPOINTED (4)	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION(S) DURING PAST 5 YEARS
--------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	--

OFFICERS OF THE FUND:

Gifford R. Zimmerman 9/9/56 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Chief Administrative Officer	1988	Managing Director (since 2002), Assistant Associate General Counsel, formerly, Vice Assistant General Counsel, of Nuveen In Managing Director (2002-2004), General and Assistant Secretary, formerly, Vice Advisory Corp. and Nuveen Institutional Managing Director (since 2002) and Assi Associate General Counsel, formerly, Vi 1997), of Nuveen Asset Management; Mana (since 2004) and Assistant Secretary (s Investments, Inc.; Assistant Secretary Management Company, LLC. (since 2002); Assistant Secretary of Nuveen Investmen (since 2002); Managing Director, Associ and Assistant Secretary of Rittenhouse Inc. (since 2003); Chartered Financial
--	------------------------------------	------	---

43

Board Members
AND OFFICERS (CONTINUED)

NAME, BIRTHDATE AND ADDRESS	POSITION(S) HELD WITH THE FUNDS	YEAR FIRST ELECTED OR APPOINTED (4)	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION(S) DURING PAST 5 YEARS
--------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	--

OFFICERS OF THE FUNDS (CONTINUED):

Julia L. Antonatos 9/22/63 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	2004	Managing Director (since 2005), formerl (since 2002); formerly, Assistant Vice of Nuveen Investments, LLC; Chartered F
---	----------------	------	--

Michael T. Atkinson 2/3/66 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President and Assistant Secretary	2000	Vice President (since 2002), formerly, President (since 2000) of Nuveen Invest
---	--	------	---

Peter H. D'Arrigo 11/28/67 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President and Treasurer	1999	Vice President of Nuveen Investments, L President and Treasurer (since 1999) of Inc.; Vice President and Treasurer (199 Advisory Corp. and Nuveen Institutional Vice President and Treasurer of Nuveen (since 2002) and of Nuveen Investments
---	---------------------------------	------	--

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

2002); Assistant Treasurer of NWQ Inves Company, LLC. (since 2002); Vice Presid Nuveen Rittenhouse Asset Management, In Chartered Financial Analyst.

John N. Desmond 8/24/61 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	2005	Vice President, Director of Investment Investments, LLC (since January 2005); Business Manager, Deutsche Asset Manage Director, Business Development and Tran Trust Bank Japan (2002-2003); previousl of Investment Operations and Systems, S (2000-2002), Senior Vice President, Hea Participant Services, Scudder Investmen
--	----------------	------	---

Jessica R. Droeger 9/24/64 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President and Secretary	1998	Vice President (since 2002), Assistant Assistant General Counsel (since 1998) Vice President (since 1998) of Nuveen I Vice President (2002-2004) and Assistan formerly, Assistant Vice President of N and Nuveen Institutional Advisory Corp. Assistant Secretary (since 2005) of Nuv
---	---------------------------------	------	---

Lorna C. Ferguson 10/24/45 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	1998	Managing Director (since 2004), formerl Nuveen Investments, LLC, Managing Direc Vice President (1998-2004) of Nuveen Ad Nuveen Institutional Advisory Corp.(3); (since 2005) of Nuveen Asset Management
---	----------------	------	---

William M. Fitzgerald 3/2/64 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	1995	Managing Director (since 2002), formerl Nuveen Investments; Managing Director (Nuveen Advisory Corp. and Nuveen Instit Corp.(3); Managing Director of Nuveen A (since 2001); Vice President of Nuveen Inc. (since 2002); Chartered Financial
---	----------------	------	---

NAME, BIRTHDATE AND ADDRESS	POSITION(S) HELD WITH THE FUNDS	YEAR FIRST ELECTED OR APPOINTED (4)	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION(S) DURING PAST 5 YEARS
--------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	--

OFFICERS OF THE FUNDS (CONTINUED):

Stephen D. Foy 5/31/54 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President and Controller	1998	Vice President (since 1993) and Funds C of Nuveen Investments, LLC; formerly, V Funds Controller (1998-2004) of Nuveen Certified Public Accountant.
---	----------------------------------	------	---

James D. Grassi 4/13/56 333 W. Wacker Drive	Vice President and Chief Compliance	2004	Vice President and Deputy Director of C of Nuveen Investments, LLC, Nuveen Inve Nuveen Asset Management and Rittenhouse
---	---	------	---

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Chicago, IL 60606	Officer	Inc.; previously, Vice President and De (2004) of Nuveen Advisory Corp. and Nuve Corp.(3); formerly, Senior Attorney (19 Trust Company.
-------------------	---------	---

David J. Lamb 3/22/63 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	2000	Vice President (since 2000) of Nuveen I LLC; Certified Public Accountant.
--	----------------	------	---

Tina M. Lazar 8/27/61 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President	2002	Vice President of Nuveen Investments, L
--	----------------	------	---

Larry W. Martin 7/27/51 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President and Assistant Secretary	1988	Vice President, Assistant Secretary and Counsel of Nuveen Investments, LLC; Vic Assistant Secretary of Nuveen Advisory Institutional Advisory Corp.(3); Vice P and Assistant Secretary of Nuveen Inves President (since 2005) and Assistant Se of Nuveen Asset Management; Vice Presid Assistant Secretary and Assistant Gener 1998) of Rittenhouse Asset Management, and Assistant Secretary of Nuveen Inves (since 2002); Assistant Secretary of NW Management Company, LLC (since 2002).
--	--	------	---

- (1) Mr. Schwertfeger is an "interested person" of the Funds, as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, because he is an officer and board member of the Adviser.
- (2) Board members serve an indefinite term until his/her successor is elected. The year first elected or appointed represents the year in which the board member was first elected or appointed to any fund in the Nuveen Complex.
- (3) Nuveen Advisory Corp. and Nuveen Institutional Advisory Corp. were reorganized into Nuveen Asset Management, effective January 1, 2005.
- (4) Officers serve one year terms through July of each year. The year first elected or appointed represents the year in which the Officer was first elected or appointed to any fund in the Nuveen Complex.

ANNUAL INVESTMENT
MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT
APPROVAL PROCESS

At a meeting held on May 10-12, 2005, the Board of Trustees of the Funds, including the independent Trustees, unanimously approved the Investment Management Agreement between each Fund and NAM.

THE APPROVAL PROCESS

To assist the Board in its evaluation of an advisory contract with NAM, the independent Trustees received a report in adequate time in advance of their

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

meeting which outlined, among other things, the services provided by NAM; the organization of NAM, including the responsibilities of various departments and key personnel; the Fund's past performance as well as the Fund's performance compared to funds of similar investment objectives compiled by an independent third party (a "Peer Group"); the profitability of NAM and certain industry profitability analyses for advisers to unaffiliated investment companies; the expenses of NAM in providing the various services; the management fees of NAM, including comparisons of such fees with the management fees of comparable funds in its Peer Group as well as comparisons of NAM's management fees with the fees NAM assesses to other types of investment products or accounts, if any; the soft dollar practices of NAM; and the expenses of each Fund, including comparisons of the Fund's expense ratios (after any fee waivers) with the expense ratios of its Peer Group. This information supplements that received by the Board throughout the year regarding Fund performance, expense ratios, portfolio composition, trade execution and sales activity.

In addition to the foregoing materials, independent legal counsel to the independent Trustees provided, in advance of the meeting, a legal memorandum outlining, among other things, the duties of the Trustees under the 1940 Act as well as the general principles of relevant state law in reviewing and approving advisory contracts; the requirements of the 1940 Act in such matters; an adviser's fiduciary duty with respect to advisory agreements and compensation; the standards used by courts in determining whether investment company boards of directors have fulfilled their duties and factors to be considered by the board in voting on advisory agreements.

At the Board meeting, NAM made a presentation to and responded to questions from the Board. After the presentations and after reviewing the written materials, the independent Trustees met privately with their legal counsel to review the Board's duties in reviewing advisory contracts and consider the renewal of the advisory contracts. It is with this background that the Trustees considered each Investment Management Agreement with NAM. The independent Trustees, in consultation with independent counsel, reviewed the factors set out in judicial decisions and SEC directives relating to the renewal of advisory contracts. As outlined in more detail below, the Trustees considered all factors they believed relevant with respect to each Fund, including the following: (a) the nature, extent and quality of the services to be provided by NAM; (b) the investment performance of the Fund and NAM; (c) the costs of the services to be provided and profits to be realized by NAM and its affiliates from the relationship with the Fund; (d) the extent to which economies of scale would be realized as the Fund grows; and (e) whether fee levels reflect these economies of scale for the benefit of Fund investors.

A. NATURE, EXTENT AND QUALITY OF SERVICES

In evaluating the nature, extent and quality of NAM's services, the Trustees reviewed information concerning the types of services that NAM or its affiliates provide and are expected to provide to the Nuveen Funds; narrative and statistical information concerning the Fund's performance record and how such performance compares to the Fund's Peer Group; information describing NAM's organization and its various departments, the experience and responsibilities of key personnel, and available resources. In the discussion of key personnel, the Trustees received materials regarding the changes or additions in personnel of NAM. The Trustees further noted the willingness of the personnel of NAM to engage in open, candid discussions with the Board. The Trustees further considered the quality of NAM's investment process in making portfolio management decisions, including any refinements or improvements to the portfolio management processes, enhancements to technology and systems that are available to portfolio managers, and any additions of new personnel which may strengthen or expand the research and investment capabilities of NAM. In their review of the advisory contracts for the fixed income funds, such as the Funds, the Trustees also noted that Nuveen won the Lipper Award for Best Fund Family: Fixed Income-Large Asset Class, for 2004. Given the Trustees' experience with the

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Funds, other Nuveen funds and NAM, the Trustees noted that they were familiar with and continue to have a good understanding of the organization, operations and personnel of NAM.

46

In addition to advisory services, the independent Trustees considered the quality of the administrative or non-advisory services provided. In this regard, NAM provides the Funds with such administrative and other services (exclusive of, and in addition to, any such services provided by others for the Funds) and officers and other personnel as are necessary for the operations of the respective Fund. In addition to investment management services, NAM and its affiliates provide each Fund with a wide range of services, including: preparing shareholder reports; providing daily accounting; providing quarterly financial statements; overseeing and coordinating the activities of other service providers; administering and organizing Board meetings and preparing the Board materials for such meetings; providing legal support (such as helping to prepare registration statements, amendments thereto and proxy statements and responding to regulatory inquiries); and performing other Fund administrative tasks necessary for the operation of the respective Fund (such as tax reporting and fulfilling regulatory filing requirements). In addition, in evaluating the administrative services, the Trustees considered, in particular, NAM's policies and procedures for assuring compliance with applicable laws and regulations in light of the new SEC regulations governing compliance. The Trustees noted NAM's focus on compliance and its compliance systems. In their review, the Trustees considered, among other things, the additions of experienced personnel to NAM's compliance group and modifications and other enhancements to NAM's computer systems. In addition to the foregoing, the Trustees also noted that NAM outsources certain services that cannot be replicated without significant costs or at the same level of expertise. Such outsourcing has been a beneficial and efficient use of resources by keeping expenses low while obtaining quality services.

In addition to the above, in reviewing the variety of additional services that NAM or its affiliates must provide to closed-end funds, such as the Funds, the independent Trustees determined that Nuveen's commitment to supporting the secondary market for the common shares of its closed-end funds is particularly noteworthy. In this regard, the Trustees noted Nuveen's efforts to sponsor numerous forums for analysts and specialists regarding the various Nuveen closed-end funds, its creation of a new senior position dedicated to providing secondary market support services and enhancing communications with investors and analysts, and its advertising and media relations efforts designed to raise investor and analyst awareness of the closed-end funds.

With respect to services provided to municipal funds, including the Funds, the Trustees also noted, among other things, the enhancements NAM implemented to its municipal portfolio management processes (e.g., the increased use of benchmarks to guide and assess the performance of its portfolio managers); the implementation of a risk management program; and the various initiatives being undertaken to enhance or modify NAM's computer systems as necessary to support the innovations of the municipal investment team (such as, the ability to assess certain historical data in order to create customized benchmarks, perform attribution analysis and facilitate the use of derivatives as hedging instruments). With respect to certain of the Nuveen funds with a less seasoned portfolio, the Trustees also noted the hedging program implemented for such funds and the team responsible for developing, implementing and monitoring the hedging procedures. The hedging program was designed to help maintain the applicable fund's duration with certain benchmarks.

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Based on their review, the Trustees concluded that, overall, they were satisfied with the nature, extent and quality of services provided (and expected to be provided) to the Funds under the Investment Management Agreements.

B. THE INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE OF THE FUND AND ADVISER

As previously noted, the Board received a myriad of performance information regarding each Fund and its Peer Group. Among other things, the Board received materials reflecting a Fund's historic performance and the Fund's performance compared to its Peer Group. In evaluating the performance information, in certain limited instances, the Trustees noted that the closest Peer Group for a Fund still would not adequately reflect such Fund's investment objectives and strategies, thereby limiting the usefulness of the comparisons of such Fund's performance with that of the Peer Group.

As noted above, the performance data included, among other things, the respective Fund's performance relative to its peers. More specifically, a Fund's one-, three- and five-year total returns (as applicable) for the periods ending December 31, 2004 were evaluated relative to the unaffiliated funds in its respective Peer Group (including the returns of individual peers as well as the Peer Group average) as well as additional performance information with respect to all funds in the Peer Group. Based on their review, the Trustees determined that the respective Fund's absolute and relative investment performance over time had been satisfactory.

C. FEES, EXPENSES AND PROFITABILITY

1. FEES AND EXPENSES

In evaluating the management fees and expenses that a Fund is expected to bear, the Trustees considered the respective Fund's current management fee structure and the Fund's expected expense ratios in absolute terms as well as compared with the fees and expense ratios of the unaffiliated funds in its Peer Group. The Trustees reviewed the financial information of NAM, including its respective revenues, expenses and profitability. In reviewing fees, the Trustees, among other things, reviewed comparisons of the Fund's gross management fees (fees after fund-level and complex-wide level breakpoints but before reimbursement and fee waivers), net management fees (after breakpoints and reimbursements and fee waivers) and total expense ratios (before and after waivers) with those of the unaffiliated funds in the Peer Group and peer averages. In this regard, the Trustees noted that the relative ranking of the Nuveen funds on fees and expenses was aided by the significant level of fee reductions provided by the fund-level and complex-wide breakpoint schedules, and the fee waivers and reimbursements provided by Nuveen for certain funds launched since 1999. The complex-wide breakpoint schedule was instituted in 2004 and is described in further detail below in Section D entitled "Economies of Scale and Whether Fee Levels Reflect these Economies of Scale." In their review of the fee and expense information provided, including, in particular, the expense ratios of the unaffiliated funds in the respective Peer Group, the Trustees determined that each Fund's net total expense ratio was within an acceptable range compared to such peers.

47

ANNUAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT
AGREEMENT APPROVAL PROCESS (continued)

2. COMPARISONS WITH THE FEES OF OTHER CLIENTS

The Trustees further compared the fees of NAM to the fees NAM assessed for other types of clients investing in municipal funds (such as municipal managed accounts). With respect to such separately managed accounts, the

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

advisory fees for such accounts are generally lower than those charged to the comparable Funds. The Trustees noted, however, the additional services that are provided and the costs incurred by Nuveen in managing and operating registered investment companies, such as the Funds, compared to individually managed separate accounts. For instance, as described above, NAM and its affiliates provide numerous services to the Funds including, but not limited to, preparing shareholder reports; providing daily accounting; preparing quarterly financial statements; overseeing and coordinating the activities of other service providers; administering and organizing Board meetings and preparing the Board materials for such meetings; providing legal support; and administering all other aspects of the Fund's operations. Further, the Trustees noted the increased compliance requirements for funds in light of new SEC regulations and other legislation. These services are generally not required to the same extent, if at all, for separate accounts. In addition to the differences in services, the Trustees also considered, among other things, the differences in product distribution, investment policies, investor profiles and account sizes. Accordingly, the Trustees believe that the nature and number of services provided to operate a Fund merit the higher fees than those to separate managed accounts.

3. PROFITABILITY OF NAM

In conjunction with its review of fees, the Trustees also considered NAM's profitability. The Trustees reviewed NAM's revenues, expenses and profitability margins (on both a pre-tax and after-tax basis). In reviewing profitability, the Trustees recognized that one of the most difficult issues in determining profitability is establishing a method of allocating expenses. Accordingly, the Trustees reviewed NAM's assumptions and methodology of allocating expenses. In this regard, the methods of allocation used appeared reasonable but the Board noted the inherent limitations in allocating costs among various advisory products. The Trustees also recognized that individual fund or product line profitability of other advisers is generally not publicly available. Further, profitability may be affected by numerous factors including the types of funds managed, expense allocations, business mix, etc. and therefore comparability of profitability is somewhat limited. Nevertheless, to the extent available, the Trustees considered NAM's profit margin compared to the profitability of various publicly-traded investment management companies and/or investment management companies that publicly disclose some or all of their financial results compiled by three independent third-party service providers. The Trustees also reviewed the revenues, expenses and profit margins of various unaffiliated advisory firms with similar amounts of assets under management for the last year prepared by NAM. Based on their review, the Trustees were satisfied that NAM's level of profitability from its relationship with each Fund was reasonable in light of the services provided.

In evaluating the reasonableness of the compensation, the Trustees also considered any other revenues paid to NAM as well as any indirect benefits (such as soft dollar arrangements, if any) NAM and its affiliates are expected to receive that are directly attributable to their management of the Funds, if any. See Section E below for additional information. Based on their review of the overall fee arrangements of the applicable Fund, the Trustees determined that the advisory fees and expenses of the respective Fund were reasonable.

D. ECONOMIES OF SCALE AND WHETHER FEE LEVELS REFLECT THESE ECONOMIES OF SCALE

In reviewing the compensation, the Trustees have long understood the benefits of economies of scale as the assets of a fund grow and have sought to ensure that shareholders share in these benefits. One method for shareholders to share in economies of scale is to include breakpoints in the advisory fee schedules that reduce fees as fund assets grow. Accordingly, the Trustees received and reviewed the schedules of advisory fees for each Fund, including fund-level breakpoints thereto. In addition, after lengthy negotiations with management, the Board in

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

May, 2004 approved a complex-wide fee arrangement pursuant to which fees of the funds in the Nuveen complex, including the Funds, are reduced as the assets in the fund complex reach certain levels. The complex-wide fee arrangement was introduced on August 1, 2004 and the Trustees reviewed data regarding the reductions of fees for the Nuveen funds for the period of August 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004. In evaluating the complex-wide fee arrangement, the Trustees considered, among other things, the historic and expected fee savings to shareholders as assets grow, the amount of fee reductions at various asset levels, and that the arrangement would extend to all funds in the Nuveen complex. The Trustees also considered the impact, if any, the complex-wide fee arrangement may have on the level of services provided. Based on their review, the Trustees concluded that the breakpoint schedule and complex-wide fee arrangement currently were acceptable and desirable in providing benefits from economies of scale to shareholders.

48

E. INDIRECT BENEFITS

In evaluating fees, the Trustees also considered any indirect benefits or profits NAM or its affiliates may receive as a result of its relationship with each Fund. In this regard, the Trustees considered any benefits from soft dollar arrangements. The Trustees noted that although NAM manages a large amount of assets, it has very little, if any, brokerage to allocate. This is due to the fact that NAM typically manages the portfolios of the municipal funds in the Nuveen complex and municipal bonds generally trade on a principal basis. Accordingly, NAM does not currently have any soft dollar arrangements and does not pay excess brokerage commissions (or spreads on principal transactions) in order to receive research services. In addition to soft dollar arrangements, the Trustees also considered any other revenues, if any, received by NAM or its affiliates. In this regard, the Trustees considered revenues received by Nuveen for serving as agent for broker-dealers at its preferred trading desk and for acting as co-manager in the initial public offering of new closed-end exchange-traded funds.

F. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Nuveen, until recently, was a majority-owned subsidiary of St. Paul Travelers Companies, Inc. ("St. Paul"). As noted, St. Paul earlier this year announced its intention to divest its equity stake in Nuveen. Nuveen is the parent of NAM. Pursuant to a series of transactions, St. Paul had begun to reduce its interest in Nuveen which will ultimately result in a change of control of Nuveen and therefore NAM. As mandated by the 1940 Act, such a change in control would result in an assignment of the Investment Management Agreements with NAM and the automatic termination of such agreements. Accordingly, the Board also considered the approval of a New Investment Management Agreement with each Fund in light of, and which would take effect upon, the anticipated change of control. More specifically, the Board considered for each Fund a New Investment Management Agreement on substantially identical terms to the existing Investment Management Agreement, to take effect after the change of control has occurred and the contract has been approved by Fund shareholders. In its review, the Board considered whether the various transactions necessary to divest St. Paul's interest will have an impact on the various factors they considered in approving NAM, such as the scope and quality of services to be provided following the change of control. In reviewing the St. Paul transactions, the Board considered, among other things, the impact, if any, on the operations and organizational structure of NAM; the possible benefits and costs of the transactions to the respective Fund; the potential implications of any arrangements used by Nuveen to finance certain of the transactions; the ability of NAM to perform its duties after the transactions; whether a fee structure or expense ratio would change; any changes to the current practices of the respective Fund; any changes to the

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

terms of the advisory agreement; and any anticipated changes to the respective operations of NAM. Based on its review, the Board determined that St. Paul's divestiture would not affect the nature and quality of services provided by NAM, the terms of the respective Investment Management Agreement, including the fees thereunder, and would not materially affect the organization or operations of NAM. Accordingly, the Board determined that their analysis of the various factors regarding their approval of NAM would continue to apply after the change of control.

G. APPROVAL

The Trustees did not identify any single factor discussed previously as all-important or controlling. The Trustees, including a majority of independent Trustees, concluded that the terms of the Investment Management Agreements were fair and reasonable, that NAM's fees are reasonable in light of the services provided to each Fund, that the renewal of the NAM Investment Management Agreements should be approved and that the new, post-change of control NAM Investment Managements Agreement be approved and recommended to shareholders.

49

Reinvest Automatically
EASILY AND CONVENIENTLY

Sidebar text: NUVEEN MAKES REINVESTING EASY. A PHONE CALL IS ALL IT TAKES TO SET UP YOUR REINVESTMENT ACCOUNT.

NUVEEN EXCHANGE-TRADED CLOSED-END FUNDS
DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN

Your Nuveen Exchange-Traded Closed-End Fund allows you to conveniently reinvest dividends and/or capital gains distributions in additional fund shares.

By choosing to reinvest, you'll be able to invest money regularly and automatically, and watch your investment grow through the power of tax-free compounding. Just like dividends or distributions in cash, there may be times when income or capital gains taxes may be payable on dividends or distributions that are reinvested.

It is important to note that an automatic reinvestment plan does not ensure a profit, nor does it protect you against loss in a declining market.

EASY AND CONVENIENT

To make recordkeeping easy and convenient, each month you'll receive a statement showing your total dividends and distributions, the date of investment, the shares acquired and the price per share, and the total number of shares you own.

HOW SHARES ARE PURCHASED

The shares you acquire by reinvesting will either be purchased on the open market or newly issued by the Fund. If the shares are trading at or above net asset value at the time of valuation, the Fund will issue new shares at the then-current market price. If the shares are trading at less than net asset value, shares for your account will be purchased on the open market. Dividends and distributions received to purchase shares in the open market will normally be invested shortly after the dividend payment date. No interest will be paid on dividends and distributions awaiting reinvestment. Because the market price of the shares may increase before purchases are completed, the average purchase

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

price per share may exceed the market price at the time of valuation, resulting in the acquisition of fewer shares than if the dividend or distribution had been paid in shares issued by the Fund. A pro rata portion of any applicable brokerage commissions on open market purchases will be paid by Plan participants. These commissions usually will be lower than those charged on individual transactions.

FLEXIBLE

You may change your distribution option or withdraw from the Plan at any time, should your needs or situation change. Should you withdraw, you can receive a certificate for all whole shares credited to your reinvestment account and cash payment for fractional shares, or cash payment for all reinvestment account shares, less brokerage commissions and a \$2.50 service fee.

You can reinvest whether your shares are registered in your name, or in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee. Ask your investment advisor if his or her firm will participate on your behalf. Participants whose shares are registered in the name of one firm may not be able to transfer the shares to another firm and continue to participate in the Plan.

The Fund reserves the right to amend or terminate the Plan at any time. Although the Fund reserves the right to amend the Plan to include a service charge payable by the participants, there is no direct service charge to participants in the Plan at this time.

CALL TODAY TO START REINVESTING DIVIDENDS AND/OR DISTRIBUTIONS

For more information on the Nuveen Automatic Reinvestment Plan or to enroll in or withdraw from the Plan, speak with your financial advisor or call us at (800) 257-8787.

50

Other Useful INFORMATION

In April, 2005, The St. Paul Travelers Companies, Inc. ("St. Paul Travelers") sold the majority of its controlling equity interest in Nuveen Investments, Inc. ("Nuveen") to the general public. Nuveen is the parent of Nuveen Asset Management ("NAM"), which is each Fund's investment manager. This sale was deemed to be an "assignment" of the investment management agreement between each Fund and NAM and, if applicable, of the sub-advisory agreement between NAM and the Fund's sub-adviser. As required by law, the shareholders of each Fund were asked to approve a new investment management agreement and, if applicable, a new subadvisory agreement that reflected this change in ownership. The shareholders of each Fund voted this approval at a Shareholders' Meeting on July 26, 2005. There were no changes to the investment objectives or management of any Fund as a result of these actions.

QUARTERLY PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS AND PROXY VOTING INFORMATION

Each Fund's (i) quarterly portfolio of investments, (ii) information regarding how the Funds voted proxies relating to portfolio securities held during the 12-month period ended June 30, 2005, and (iii) a description of the policies and procedures that the Funds used to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities are available without charge, upon request, by calling Nuveen Investments toll-free at (800) 257-8787 or on Nuveen's website at www.nuveen.com.

You may also obtain this and other Fund information directly from the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The SEC may charge a copying fee for this

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

information. Visit the SEC on-line at <http://www.sec.gov> or in person at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C. Call the SEC at 1-202-942-8090 for room hours and operation. You may also request Fund information by sending an e-mail request to publicinfo@sec.gov or by writing to the SEC's Public References Section at 450 Fifth Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20549.

CEO CERTIFICATION DISCLOSURE

Each Fund's Chief Executive Officer has submitted to the New York Stock Exchange the annual CEO certification as required by Section 303A.12(a) of the NYSE Listed Company Manual.

Each Fund has filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the certification of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS USED IN THIS REPORT

AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN: This is a commonly used method to express an investment's performance over a particular, usually multi-year time period. It expresses the return that would have been necessary each year to equal the investment's actual cumulative performance (including change in NAV or market price and reinvested dividends and capital gains distributions, if any) over the time period being considered.

AVERAGE EFFECTIVE MATURITY: The average of all the maturities of the bonds in a Fund's portfolio, computed by weighting each maturity date (the date the security comes due) by the market value of the security. This figure does not account for the likelihood of prepayments or the exercise of call provisions.

MODIFIED DURATION: Duration is a measure of the expected period over which a bond's principal and interest will be paid, and consequently is a measure of the sensitivity of a bond's or bond Fund's value to changes when market interest rates change. Generally, the longer a bond's or Fund's duration, the more the price of the bond or Fund will change as interest rates change.

MARKET YIELD (ALSO KNOWN AS DIVIDEND YIELD OR CURRENT YIELD): An investment's current annualized dividend divided by its current market price.

NET ASSET VALUE (NAV): A Fund's common share NAV per share is calculated by subtracting the liabilities of the Fund (including any MuniPreferred shares issued in order to leverage the Fund) from its total assets and then dividing the remainder by the number of shares outstanding. Fund NAVs are calculated at the end of each business day.

TAXABLE-EQUIVALENT YIELD: The yield necessary from a fully taxable investment to equal, on an after-tax basis, the yield of a municipal bond investment.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Robert P. Bremner
Lawrence H. Brown
Jack B. Evans
William C. Hunter
David J. Kundert
William J. Schneider
Timothy R. Schwertfeger
Judith M. Stockdale
Eugene S. Sunshine

FUND MANAGER

Nuveen Asset Management
333 West Wacker Drive

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Chicago, IL 60606

CUSTODIAN
State Street Bank & Trust
Boston, MA

TRANSFER AGENT AND SHAREHOLDER SERVICES
State Street Bank & Trust
Nuveen Funds
P.O. Box 43071
Providence, RI 02940-3071

(800) 257-8787

LEGAL COUNSEL
Chapman and Cutler LLP
Chicago, IL

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM
Ernst & Young LLP
Chicago, IL

Each Fund intends to repurchase shares of its own common stock in the future at such times and in such amounts as is deemed advisable. No shares were repurchased during the period covered by this report. Any future repurchases will be reported to shareholders in the next annual or semiannual report.

51

Nuveen Investments:
SERVING Investors
For GENERATIONS

Photo of: 2 women looking at a photo album.

Since 1898, financial advisors and their clients have relied on Nuveen Investments to provide dependable investment solutions. For the past century, Nuveen Investments has adhered to the belief that the best approach to investing is to apply conservative risk-management principles to help minimize volatility.

Building on this tradition, we today offer a range of high quality equity and fixed-income solutions that are integral to a well-diversified core portfolio. Our clients have come to appreciate this diversity, as well as our continued adherence to proven, long-term investing principles.

WE OFFER MANY DIFFERENT INVESTING SOLUTIONS FOR OUR CLIENTS' DIFFERENT NEEDS.

Managing more than \$131 billion in assets, Nuveen Investments offers access to a number of different asset classes and investing solutions through a variety of products. Nuveen Investments markets its capabilities under four distinct brands: Nuveen, a leader in fixed-income investments; NWQ, a leader in value-style equities; Rittenhouse, a leader in growth-style equities; and Symphony, a leading institutional manager of market-neutral alternative investment portfolios.

FIND OUT HOW WE CAN HELP YOU REACH YOUR FINANCIAL GOALS.

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

To learn more about the products and services Nuveen Investments offers, talk to your financial advisor, or call us at (800) 257-8787. Please read the information provided carefully before you invest.

Be sure to obtain a prospectus, where applicable. Investors should consider the investment objective and policies, risk considerations, charges and expenses of the Fund carefully before investing. The prospectus contains this and other information relevant to an investment in the Fund. For a prospectus, please contact your securities representative or Nuveen Investments, 333 W. Wacker Dr., Chicago, IL 60606. Please read the prospectus carefully before you invest or send money.

Learn more
about Nuveen Funds at
WWW.NUVEEN.COM/ETF

- o Share prices
- o Fund details
- o Daily financial news
- o Investor education
- o Interactive planning tools

Logo: NUVEEN Investments

EAN-A-1005D

ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the registrant has adopted a code of ethics that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. There were no amendments to or waivers from the Code during the period covered by this report. The registrant has posted the code of ethics on its website at www.nuveen.com/etf. (To view the code, click on the Investor Resources drop down menu box, click on Fund Governance and then click on Code of Conduct.)

ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

The registrant's Board of Directors or Trustees determined that the registrant has at least one "audit committee financial expert" (as defined in Item 3 of Form N-CSR) serving on its Audit Committee. The registrant's audit committee financial expert is Jack B. Evans, Chairman of the Audit Committee, who is "independent" for purposes of Item 3 of Form N-CSR.

Mr. Evans was formerly President and Chief Operating Officer of SCI Financial Group, Inc., a full service registered broker-dealer and registered investment adviser ("SCI"). As part of his role as President and Chief Operating Officer, Mr. Evans actively supervised the Chief Financial Officer (the "CFO") and actively supervised the CFO's preparation of financial statements and other filings with various regulatory authorities. In such capacity, Mr. Evans was actively involved in the preparation of SCI's financial statements and the resolution of issues raised in connection therewith. Mr. Evans has also served on the audit committee of various reporting companies. At such companies, Mr. Evans was involved in the oversight of audits, audit plans, and the preparation of financial statements. Mr. Evans also formerly chaired the audit committee of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago.

ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc.

The following tables show the amount of fees that Ernst & Young LLP, the Fund's auditor, billed to the Fund during the Fund's last two full fiscal years. For engagements with Ernst & Young LLP entered into on or after May 6, 2003, the Audit Committee approved in advance all audit services and non-audit services that Ernst & Young LLP provided to the Fund, except for those non-audit services that were subject to the pre-approval exception under Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X (the "pre-approval exception"). The pre-approval exception for services provided directly to the Fund waives the pre-approval requirement for services other than audit, review or attest services if: (A) the aggregate amount of all such services provided constitutes no more than 5% of the total amount of revenues paid by the Fund to its accountant during the fiscal year in which the services are provided; (B) the Fund did not recognize the services as non-audit services at the time of the engagement; and (C) the services are promptly brought to the Audit Committee's attention, and the Committee (or its delegate) approves the services before the audit is completed.

The Audit Committee has delegated certain pre-approval responsibilities to its Chairman (or, in his absence, any other member of the Audit Committee).

SERVICES THAT THE FUND'S AUDITOR BILLED TO THE FUND

FISCAL YEAR ENDED	AUDIT FEES BILLED TO FUND	AUDIT-RELATED FEES BILLED TO FUND
October 31, 2005	\$ 51,752	\$ 0
Percentage approved pursuant to pre-approval exception		0%
October 31, 2004	\$ 48,562	\$ 0
Percentage approved pursuant to pre-approval exception		0%

The above "Tax Fees" were billed for professional services for tax advice, tax compliance, and tax planning.

SERVICES THAT THE FUND'S AUDITOR BILLED TO THE ADVISER AND AFFILIATED FUND SERVICE PROVIDERS

The following tables show the amount of fees billed by Ernst & Young LLP to Nuveen Asset Management ("NAM" or the "Adviser"), and any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with NAM ("Control Affiliate") that provides ongoing services to the Fund ("Affiliated Fund Service Provider"), for engagements directly related to the Fund's operations and financial reporting, during the Fund's last two full fiscal years.

The tables also show the percentage of fees subject to the pre-approval

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

exception. The pre-approval exception for services provided to the Adviser and any Affiliated Fund Service Provider (other than audit, review or attest services) waives the pre-approval requirement if: (A) the aggregate amount of all such services provided constitutes no more than 5% of the total amount of revenues paid to Ernst & Young LLP by the Fund, the Adviser and Affiliated Fund Service Providers during the fiscal year in which the services are provided that would have to be pre-approved by the Audit Committee; (B) the Fund did not recognize the services as non-audit services at the time of the engagement; and (C) the services are promptly brought to the Audit Committee's attention, and the Committee (or its delegate) approves the services before the Fund's audit is completed.

FISCAL YEAR ENDED	AUDIT-RELATED FEES BILLED TO ADVISER AND AFFILIATED FUND SERVICE PROVIDERS	TAX FEES BILLED TO ADVISED AND AFFILIATED FUND SERVICE PROVIDERS	BI AND SE
October 31, 2005	\$ 0	\$ 282,575	
Percentage approved pursuant to pre-approval exception	0%	0%	
October 31, 2004	\$ 0	\$ 0	
Percentage approved pursuant to pre-approval exception	0%	0%	

The above "Tax Fees" are primarily fees billed to the Adviser for Fund tax return preparation.

NON-AUDIT SERVICES

The following table shows the amount of fees that Ernst & Young LLP billed during the Fund's last two full fiscal years for non-audit services. For engagements entered into on or after May 6, 2003, the Audit Committee is required to pre-approve non-audit services that Ernst & Young LLP provides to the Adviser and any Affiliated Fund Services Provider, if the engagement related directly to the Fund's operations and financial reporting (except for those subject to the de minimis exception described above). The Audit Committee requested and received information from Ernst & Young LLP about any non-audit services that Ernst & Young LLP rendered during the Fund's last fiscal year to the Adviser and any Affiliated Fund Service Provider. The Committee considered this information in evaluating Ernst & Young LLP's independence.

FISCAL YEAR ENDED	TOTAL NON-AUDIT FEES BILLED TO ADVISER AND AFFILIATED FUND SERVICE PROVIDERS (ENGAGEMENTS RELATED DIRECTLY TO THE	TOT BILL AFFI

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

	TOTAL NON-AUDIT FEES BILLED TO FUND	OPERATIONS AND FINANCIAL REPORTING OF THE FUND)	PRO
October 31, 2005	\$ 619	\$ 282,575	
October 31, 2004	\$ 364	\$ 0	

The above "Non-Audit Fees billed to Adviser" for 2005 include "Tax-Fees" billed to Adviser in the amount of \$282,575 from previous table.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures. Generally, the audit committee must approve (i) all non-audit services to be performed for the Fund by the Fund's independent accountants and (ii) all audit and non-audit services to be performed by the Fund's independent accountants for the Affiliated Fund Service Providers with respect to operations and financial reporting of the Fund. Regarding tax and research projects conducted by the independent accountants for the Fund and Affiliated Fund Service Providers (with respect to operations and financial reports of the Fund) such engagements will be (i) pre-approved by the audit committee if they are expected to be for amounts greater than \$10,000; (ii) reported to the audit committee chairman for his verbal approval prior to engagement if they are expected to be for amounts under \$10,000 but greater than \$5,000; and (iii) reported to the audit committee at the next audit committee meeting if they are expected to be for an amount under \$5,000.

ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

The registrant's Board of Directors or Trustees has a separately designated audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(58)(A)). The members of the audit committee are Robert P. Bremner, Lawrence H. Brown, Jack B. Evans, William J. Schneider and Eugene S. Sunshine.

ITEM 6. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS.

See Portfolio of Investments in Item 1.

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

In the rare event that a municipal issuer held by the Fund were to issue a proxy or that the Fund were to receive a proxy issued by a cash management security, the Adviser would either engage an independent third party to determine how the proxy should be voted or vote the proxy with the consent, or based on the instructions, of the Fund's Board of Directors or Trustees or its representative. In the case of a conflict of interest, the proxy would be submitted to the applicable Fund's Board to determine how the proxy should be voted. A member of the Adviser's legal department would oversee the administration of the voting, and ensure that records were maintained in accordance with Rule 204-2(c)(2) under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (17 CFR 275.204-2(c)(2)), reports were filed with the SEC on Form N-PX, and the results were provided to the Board of Directors or Trustees and made available to shareholders as required by applicable rules.

ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

Not applicable at this time.

ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Not applicable.

ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the registrant's Board implemented after the registrant last provided disclosure in response to this Item.

ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

- (a) The registrant's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, have concluded that the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act") (17 CFR 270.30a-3(c))) are effective, as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this report that includes the disclosure required by this paragraph, based on their evaluation of the controls and procedures required by Rule 30a-3(b) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(b)) and Rules 13a-15(b) or 15d-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") (17 CFR 240.13a-15(b) or 240.15d-15(b)).
- (b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(d))) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 12. EXHIBITS.

File the exhibits listed below as part of this Form. Letter or number the exhibits in the sequence indicated.

(a)(1) Any code of ethics, or amendment thereto, that is the subject of the disclosure required by Item 2, to the extent that the registrant intends to satisfy the Item 2 requirements through filing of an exhibit: Not applicable because the code is posted on registrant's website at www.nuveen.com/etf and there were no amendments during the period covered by this report. (To view the code, click on the Investor Resources drop down menu box, click on Fund Governance and then Code of Conduct.)

(a)(2) A separate certification for each principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the registrant as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(a)) in the exact form set forth below: Ex-99.CERT Attached hereto.

(a)(3) Any written solicitation to purchase securities under Rule 23c-1 under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.23c-1) sent or given during the period covered by the report by or on behalf of the registrant to 10 or more persons. Not applicable.

(b) If the report is filed under Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, provide the certifications required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(b)); Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13a-14(b) or 240.15d-14(b)), and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350) as an exhibit. A certification furnished pursuant to this paragraph will not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78r), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC - Form N-CSR

reference. Ex-99.906 CERT attached hereto.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

(Registrant) Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc.

By (Signature and Title)* /s/ Jessica R. Droeger

Jessica R. Droeger
Vice President and Secretary

Date: January 6, 2006

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By (Signature and Title)* /s/ Gifford R. Zimmerman

Gifford R. Zimmerman
Chief Administrative Officer
(principal executive officer)

Date: January 6, 2006

By (Signature and Title)* /s/ Stephen D. Foy

Stephen D. Foy
Vice President and Controller
(principal financial officer)

Date: January 6, 2006

* Print the name and title of each signing officer under his or her signature.