SOUTHEAST AIRPORT GROUP

Form 20-F June 26, 2003

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 Form 20-F

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002

Commission File Number: 1-15132 Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Southeast Airport Group (Translation of registrant's name into English)

United Mexican States (Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

Blvd. Manuel Avila Camacho No. 40, 6th Floor Colonia Lomas de Chapultepec 11000 Mexico, D.F. Mexico

(Address of principal executive offices)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class:

Name of each exchange on which registered _____

Series B Shares, without par value, or shares New York Stock Exchange, Inc.*

American Depositary Shares, as evidenced by American Depositary Receipts, ADSs, each representing ten shares

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

Not for trading, but only in connection with the registration of American Depositary Shares, pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(q) of the Act:

None

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

N/A

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report: Series B Shares, without par value: 255,000,000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes X No Indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow: Item 17 Item 18 X ______ Item 1. Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable......1 Item 3. Selected Financial Data.....1 Exchange Rates.....6 Risk Factors......8 Forward Looking Statements......20 Ttem 4. Regulatory Framework......45 Property, Plant, And Equipment......61 Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects.......61 Item 6. Item 7. Major Shareholders.....85 Related Party Transactions.....89 Financial Information.......91 Ttem 8. Dividends......92 Item 9. The Offer and Listing......94 Trading on the Mexican Stock Exchange......95 Ttem 10. Additional Information......96 Exchange Controls......110

Item	11.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk115
Item	12.	Description of Securities Other Than Equity Securities115
Item	13.	Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies116
Item	14.	Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds
Item	15.	Controls and Procedures116
Item	16.	Reserved116
Item	17.	Financial Statements
Item	18.	Financial Statements
Ttem	19.	Exhibits

PART I

- Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers
 Not applicable.
- Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable

 Not applicable.
- Item 3. Key Information

Selected Financial Data

We publish our financial statements in Mexican pesos. Pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles in Mexico ("Mexican GAAP"), financial data for all periods in the financial statements included in Items 3, 5 and 8 and, unless otherwise indicated, throughout this Form 20-F have been restated in constant pesos as of December 31, 2002.

This Form 20-F contains translations of certain peso amounts into U.S. dollars at specified rates solely for the convenience of the reader. These translations should not be construed as representations that the peso amounts actually represent such U.S. dollar amounts or could be converted into U.S. dollars at the rate indicated. Unless otherwise indicated, U.S. dollar amounts have been translated from Mexican pesos at an exchange rate of Ps. 10.4393 to U.S.\$1.00, the exchange rate for pesos on December 31, 2002 as published by the Mexican Ministry of Finance. On June 16, 2003 the Federal Reserve Bank of New York's noon buying rate for Mexican pesos was Ps. 10.4750 to U.S.\$1.00.

The following table presents our summary consolidated financial information and that of our subsidiaries for each of the periods indicated. This information should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, our financial statements, including the notes thereto. Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in Mexico, or Mexican GAAP, which differ in certain significant respects from generally accepted accounting principles in the United

States, or U.S. GAAP. A reconciliation to U.S. GAAP of our net income and total stockholders' equity is provided in this summary financial data. Note 15 to our financial statements provides a description of the principal differences between Mexican GAAP and U.S. GAAP as they relate to our business.

Mexican GAAP provides for the recognition of certain effects of inflation by restating non-monetary assets and non-monetary liabilities using the Mexican Consumer Price Index, restating the components of stockholders' equity using the Mexican Consumer Price Index and recording gains or losses in purchasing power from holding monetary liabilities or assets. Mexican GAAP requires the restatement of all financial statements to constant Mexican pesos as of the date of the more recent balance sheet presented. Our audited financial statements and all other financial information contained herein are accordingly presented in constant pesos with purchasing power as of December 31, 2002 unless otherwise noted.

Unless otherwise specified, all share data presented throughout this Form 20-F have been adjusted to reflect a reverse stock split of ASUR's capital stock in which one new share was issued for each outstanding 25.89092035667 shares. This reverse stock split became effective October 12, 1999.

References in this annual report on Form 20-F to "dollars," "U.S. dollars" or "U.S.\$" are to the lawful currency of the United States of America. References in this annual report on Form 20-F to "pesos" or "Ps." are to the lawful currency of Mexico. We publish our financial statements in pesos.

The summary financial and other information set forth below reflects the following classifications of information:

- ASUR Information. The information in the following tables under the heading "ASUR" reflects our financial condition, results of operations and certain operating data since we commenced operations under our concessions on November 1, 1998.
- O Combined Information. The information in the following tables under the heading "Combined" reflects the sum of (i) our predecessor's results of operations and certain operating data for the ten months ended October 31, 1998 and (ii) our results of operations and certain operating data for the two months ended December 31, 1998. Combined results of operations for 1998 are unaudited and do not reflect any pro forma adjustments. The combined results of operations and operating data for us and our predecessor for 1998 do not necessarily represent the results that would have been achieved for 1998 had our business been operated by us under our concessions for the entire year.

Combined (1) ASUR

Year ended December 31,

Year ended Dece

	1998	1999	2000	2001
	(Unaudited) (thousands of pesos)(2)	(thousands of pesos) (2)	(thousands of pesos) (2)	(thousan
Income statement data				
Mexican GAAP:				
Revenues:				
Aeronautical services(4)	•	Ps. 913,101	Ps.1,091,016	Ps.1,04
Non-aeronautical services(5)	·	153 , 616	188,122	18
Total revenues	898,069	1,066,717	1,279,138	1,23
Operating expenses:				
Costs of services	` '	(246,042)	(298,083)	(30
General and administrative expenses		(122,022)	(111,633)	(10
Technical assistance fee(6)		(63 , 964)	(57,948)	(4
Concession fee(7)		(53,914)	(63,914)	(6
Usage fee(8)		0	0	
Special employee termination expenses		0	0	
Depreciation and amortization		(289 , 927)		(32
Operating income		290,848	426 , 976	39
Net comprehensive financing (cost) income. Income before income taxes and employees'	(4,377)	16,893	(15,793)	3
statutory profit sharing and extraordinary items	258 , 972	307,741	411,183	43
excladidinary idems	230,312	307,741	411,103	4.0
Benefit from (provision for) income taxes				
and employees' statutory profit sharing	71,607	(130,056)	(179,901)	(16
Income before extraordinary items		177,685	231,282	27
Extraordinary items		0	0	_ (
Net income		177,685	231,282	26
Basic and diluted earnings per share	·	0.59	0.77	20
Basic and diluted earnings per ADS	14/11	0.33	0.77	
(unaudited) (9)	N/M	5.92	7.71	
U.S. GAAP:	11/11	3.72	7 • 7 ±	
Revenues	898,069	1,066,716	1,279,138	1,23
Operating income	302,007	415,468	528,766	4 9
Net income		337 , 895	315 , 886	31
Basic and diluted earnings per share		1.13	1.05	
Basic and diluted earnings per ADS				
(unaudited) (9)	10.74	11.26	10.53	
Dividends per share6				
Other Operating Data (Unaudited):				
Total passengers (thousands of				
passengers) (10)	8,187.3	9,597.9	11,448.1	11,
Total air traffic movements (thousands	,	,	•	,
of movements)	198.1	208.1	207.6	
Total revenues per passenger (in pesos	-		· · ·	
or dollars) (10)	109.7	111.1	111.7	
, ,,,,	-00.1	± ± ± ±	±±±•/	

ASUR

As of and for

	ended December 3	31,	Year	ended De
	1998	1999	2000	2001
	(Unaudited) (thousands of pesos)(2)	(thousands of pesos) (2)	(thousands of pesos) (2)	(thousan
Balance Sheet Data:				
Mexican GAAP:				
Cash and marketable securities	.Ps. 56,551	Ps. 391,356	Ps. 617,802	Ps. 92
Total current assets(11)	. 1,706,234	584,613	743,845	1,12
Airport concessions, net	. 8,017,100	8,236,221	8,024,615	7,81
Rights to use airport facilities, net	. 2,372,301	2,346,211	2,264,010	2,18
Total assets	. 12,238,774	11,258,399	11,330,374	11 , 75
Total liabilities(11)	. 1,635,748	477 , 687	318,381	48
Net equity/stockholders' equity U.S. GAAP:	. 10,603,026	10,780,712	11,011,993	11 , 27
Cash and cash equivalents	. 56,551	147,285	617,797	58
Total current assets		584,613	743,845	1,13
Airport concessions, net	•	380,008	329,301	27
Rights to use airport facilities		1,820,196	1,763,766	1,70
Total assets		6,605,184	6,570,523	6 , 88
Total liabilities			83,582	8
Net equity/stockholders' equity	, ,	·	•	6 , 79
Cash Flow Data: Mexican GAAP:				
Resources provided by operating activities	. 69,423	514,630	785,874	67
Resources provided by (used in) financing				
activities	. 10,489,387	326 , 097	(326,100)	
Resources used in investing activities	. (10,502,259)	(505, 923)	(233, 328)	(36
Increase (decrease) in cash and marketable				
securities	. 56,551	334,804	226,446	31
Cash flow provided by operating activities	. 48,658	533,695	820,650	71
Cash flow used in financing activities	. 0	0	(326,100)	
Cash flow (used in) provided by investing				
activities Effect of inflation on cash and cash	. (1,657)	(429,800)	10,741	(70
equivalents	. 9,550	(13,161)	(34,779)	(3
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	. 56,551	90,734	470,512	(2

On May 30, 2002 we paid an ordinary and extraordinary cash dividend for fiscal year 2001 totaling Ps. 469.3 million (Ps. 1.48 per share) of our Series B and Series BB capital stock outstanding. ADR holders of record received U.S.\$1.50 per ADS (reflecting the exchange rate in effect on the dividend payment date).

⁽¹⁾ Combined results reflect the sum of (i) our predecessor's results of operations and certain operating data for the ten months ended October 31, 1998 and (ii) ASUR's results of operations and certain operating data for the two months ended December 31, 1998. Combined results of operations and operating data for 1998 are unaudited and do not reflect any pro forma adjustments. The combined results of operations and operating data of ASUR and its predecessor for 1998

do not necessarily represent the results that would have been achieved for 1998 had our business been operated by us under our concessions for the entire year.

- (2) Expressed in constant pesos with purchasing power as of December 31, 2002. Per share peso amounts are expressed in pesos (not thousands of pesos).
- (3) Translated into dollars at the rate of Ps. 10.4393 per U.S. dollar, the Mexican Ministry of Finance exchange rate for Mexican pesos at December 31, 2002. Per share dollar amounts are expressed in dollars (not thousands of dollars).
- (4) Revenues from aeronautical services include those earned from passenger charges, landing charges, aircraft parking charges, charges for airport security services and charges for use of passenger walkways.
- (5) Revenues from non-aeronautical services are earned from the leasing of space in our airports, access fees collected from third parties providing services at our airports and miscellaneous other sources.
- (6) Since April 19, 1999, we have paid ITA a technical assistance fee under the technical assistance agreement entered into in connection with ITA's purchase of its series BB shares. This fee is described in "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions--Related Party Transactions--Arrangements with ITA."
- (7) Since November 1, 1998, each of our subsidiary concession holders is required to pay a concession fee to the Mexican government under the Mexican Federal Duties Law. The concession fee is currently 5% of each concession holder's gross annual revenues from the use of public domain assets pursuant to the terms of its concession.
- (8) Prior to November 1, 1998, in lieu of income or asset taxes, our predecessor was required to pay the Mexican government a usage fee equal to 5.8% of its period-end assets.
- (9) Based on the ratio of 10 series B shares per ADS.
- (10) Passenger data excludes passengers arriving in or departing from the charter terminal in Cancun International Airport prior to July 1, 1999. We began operating this terminal directly on July 1, 1999. For further information relating to the acquisition of this business, see "Item 4. Information on the Company--Business Overview--Acquisition of Businesses."
- (11) Total current assets and total liabilities at December 31, 1998 reflect a recoverable value added tax receivable and payable to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation of Ps.1,491 million..
- N/M The income statement of our predecessor was derived from the results of operations of the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency. As a result, the presentation of earnings per share for our predecessor is not meaningful, and is omitted from this summary financial information.

Mexico has had a free market for foreign exchange since 1991. Prior to December 1994, the Mexican central bank, Banco de Mexico, kept the peso-U.S. dollar exchange rate within a range prescribed by the Mexican government through intervention in the foreign exchange market. In December 1994, the Mexican government suspended intervention by Banco de Mexico and allowed the peso to float freely against the U.S. dollar. The peso declined sharply in December 1994 and continued to fall under conditions of high volatility in 1995. Volatility in the exchange rate market has gradually declined since 1995, when the exchange rate fluctuated between Ps.5.00 and Ps.8.14 per U.S. dollar. In 1996 and most of 1997, the peso fell more slowly and was less volatile. In the last quarter of 1997 and for much of 1998, the foreign exchange markets were volatile as a result of financial crises in Asia and Russia and financial turmoil in countries including Brazil and Venezuela. The peso declined during this period, but was relatively stable in 1999, 2000, 2001 and in the first three quarters of 2002. Between 1999 and 2001, the exchange rate fluctuated between Ps.8.95 and Ps.10.60 per U.S. dollar. In 2002, the exchange rate fluctuated between Ps.9.00 and Ps.10.46 per U.S. dollar. There can be no assurance that the Mexican government will maintain its current policies with regard to the peso or that the peso will not further depreciate or appreciate significantly in the future.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high, low, average and period-end noon buying rate in New York City for cable transfers in pesos published by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, expressed in pesos per U.S. dollar. The rates have not been restated in constant currency units.

Year Ended December 31,	Period End	Average(1)	High	
1998	9.90	9.24	10.20	8
1999	9.48	9.56	10.60	ē
2000	9.62	9.47	10.09	Ĉ
2001	9.16	9.34	9.97	Š
2002	10.43	9.75	10.43	(
2003 (through May 31)	10.34	10.67	11.24	10

(1) Average of month-end rates.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low exchange rate for the purchase of U.S. dollars, expressed in pesos per U.S. dollar.

Exchange	Rate
Excitation	rate

Month in 2002	High	Low
December	10.43	10.10
Month in 2003 January February March April May	10.98 11.06 11.24 10.77 10.42	10.32 10.77 10.66 10.33 10.11

For a discussion of the effects of fluctuations in the exchange rates between the peso and the U.S. dollar, see "Item 10. Additional Information--Exchange Controls."

Risk Factors

Risks Related to Our Operations

The September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, international conflict and health epidemics have had a severe impact on the international air travel industry and have adversely affected our business and may continue to do so in the future.

Impact on Passenger Traffic of the Events of September 11, 2001

The terrorist attacks on the United States on September 11, 2001 have had a severe adverse impact on the air travel industry, particularly on U.S. carriers and carriers operating international service to and from the United States. Airline traffic in the United States fell precipitously after the attacks. In Mexico, airline and passenger traffic decreased substantially, although the decrease was less severe than in the United States. Our airports experienced a significant decline in passenger traffic following September 11, 2001 as illustrated in the following chart. Any future terrorists attacks, whether or not involving aircraft, will adversely affect our business, results of operations, prospects and financial condition.

The following table sets forth passenger traffic volume in our airports, for the periods indicated, and the decrease, as the case may be, as compared to the corresponding period in the prior year.

Passenger Traffic(1)
 (in thousands)

	For	the)
three	mont	hs	ended

	CI	December 31,		2000 to 2001	2001 to 2002	
	2000	2001	2002	%Change	%Change 	2001
Domestic traffic	1,145.7	1,094.1	1,126.8	(4.50)	2.99	4,606
International traffic	1,400.7	1,139.6	1,353.8	(18.64)	18.84	6,634
Total	2,546.4	2,233.7	2,480.6	(12.28)	11.05	11,240 =====

(1) Passenger figures exclude transit and general aviation passengers.

Other Events Affecting International Air Travel

Historically, a substantial majority of our revenues have been

aeronautical services, and our principal source of aeronautical revenues is passenger charges. Passenger charges are charges collected from airlines for each passenger (other than diplomats, infants, transfer and transit passengers) departing from the airport terminals we operate. In 2002, passenger charges represented 59.7% of our total revenues. Events such as the recent invasion of Iraq by a coalition of forces led by the United States and the United Kingdom and public health crises such as Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (or "SARS") have negatively affected the frequency and pattern of air travel worldwide. Because our revenues are largely dependent on the level of passenger traffic in our airports, any general increase of hostilities relating to reprisals against terrorist organizations, further conflict in the Middle East, outbreaks of health epidemics such as SARS or other events of general international concern (and any related economic impact of such events) could result in decreased passenger traffic and increased costs to the air travel industry and, as a result, could cause a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, prospects and financial condition.

Impact on Operations

The air travel business is susceptible to increased costs resulting from enhanced security and higher insurance and fuel costs. Following the events of September 11, we reinforced security at our airports. For a description of the security measures adopted by us, see "Item 4. Information on the Company--Business Overview--Non-Aeronautical Services--Airport Security." While enhanced security at our airports has not resulted in a significant increase in our operating costs to date, we may be required to adopt additional security measures in the future. In addition, our general liability insurance premiums for 2002 increased more than three-fold relative to our 2001 premiums and may continue to rise in the future. Since October 2001, we carry a U.S.\$50 million insurance policy covering liabilities resulting from terrorist acts. Since our insurance policies do not cover losses resulting from war in any amount or from terrorism for amounts greater than U.S.\$50 million, we could incur significant costs if we were to be directly affected by events of this nature. An increase in our operating costs will have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Similarly, the users of airports, principally airlines, have been subject to increased costs. Airlines have been required to adopt additional security measures following the September 11 events and may be required to comply with more rigorous security guidelines in the future. Premiums for aviation insurance have increased substantially and could escalate further. While governments in other countries have agreed to indemnify airlines for liabilities they might incur resulting from terrorist attacks, the Mexican government has given no indication of an intention to do the same. In addition, fuel prices and supplies, which constitute a significant cost for airlines using our airports, may be subject to increases resulting from any future terrorist attacks, a general increase in international hostilities or a reduction in output of fuel, voluntary or otherwise, by oil producing countries. Such increases in airlines' costs have resulted in higher airline ticket prices and decreased demand for air travel generally, thereby having an adverse effect on our revenues and results of operations.

Our business is highly dependent upon revenues from Cancun International Airport.

In 2001 and 2002, Ps. 864.5 million and Ps. 896.2 million, respectively, or 70.3% and 72.2%, respectively, of our revenues were derived from operations at Cancun International Airport. During 2001 and 2002, Cancun International Airport represented 68.0% and 70.2%, respectively, of our passenger traffic and 41.5% and 42.5%, respectively, of our air traffic movements. The desirability of Cancun as a tourist destination and the level of tourism to the area is dependent on a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. We cannot assure you that tourism to Cancun will not decline

in the future. Any event or condition affecting Cancun International Airport or the areas that it serves could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, prospects and financial condition.

We may be restricted from increasing prices or required to reduce prices because a significant portion of our revenues is regulated.

In 2001 and 2002, approximately 90.8% and 86.1%, respectively, of our total revenues were earned from regulated sources of revenues. As a result of the price regulation system applicable to our airports, our flexibility to set or raise prices with respect to services that generate substantially all of our revenues may be limited. We may also be required to reduce prices on services that are subject to regulation. In addition, there can be no assurance that this price regulation system will not be amended in a manner that would cause additional sources of our revenues to be regulated. For a discussion of sources of revenue subject to price regulation, see "Item 4. Information on the Company—Regulatory Framework—Price Regulation."

Our flexibility in managing our business is limited by the regulatory environment in which we operate.

Our airports, like airports in other countries, are highly regulated. These regulations may limit our flexibility in operating our business, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, prospects and financial condition.

We cannot predict how the regulations governing our business will be applied.

Many of the laws, regulations and instruments that regulate our business only recently were adopted or became effective, and there is only a limited history that would allow us to predict the impact of these legal requirements on our future operations. In addition, although Mexican law establishes ranges of sanctions that might be imposed should we fail to comply with the terms of one of our concessions, the Mexican Airport Law and its regulations or other applicable law, we cannot predict the sanctions that are likely to be assessed for a given violation within these ranges. We cannot assure you that we will not encounter difficulties in complying with these laws, regulations and instruments. Moreover, there can be no assurance that the laws and regulations governing our business will not change.

The Ministry of Communications and Transportation has announced that it intends to establish a new, independent regulatory agency to supervise the operation of our airports, as well as those of other airports that have been opened to private investment. For further information on this agency, see "Item 4. Information on the Company--Regulatory Framework--New Regulatory Agency." We cannot predict whether or when this new agency will be organized, the scope of its authority, the actions that it will take in the future or the effect of any such actions on our business.

We may inadvertently exceed our maximum rates.

We intend to charge prices for regulated services at each airport that are as close as possible to that airport's maximum chargeable rates. Our prices are based on management's projections of passenger and cargo traffic volume and other variables. See "Item 4. Information on the Company--Regulatory Framework." These projections may differ from an airport's actual results of operations, which may cause us to exceed our maximum rates. To avoid exceeding our maximum rates at year end, we may be required to take actions, including reducing our prices during the latter part of the year or issuing credits or discounts to customers, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

If we exceed the maximum rate at any airport at the end of any year, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation may assess a fine and may reduce the maximum rate at that airport in the subsequent year. The imposition of sanctions for violations of certain terms of a concession, including for exceeding the airport's maximum rates, can result in termination of the concession if the relevant term has been violated and sanctions have been imposed at least three times. In the event that any one of our concessions is terminated, our other concessions may also be terminated.

A devaluation of the peso may cause us to exceed our maximum rates.

Our tariffs for the services that we provide to international flights or international passengers are generally denominated in U.S. dollars, but are paid in Mexican pesos based on the average exchange rate for the month prior to each flight. We generally collect passenger charges from airlines 30 to 60 days following the date of each flight. We intend to charge prices that are as close as possible to our maximum chargeable rates. Because we generally are entitled to adjust our specific prices only once every six months (or earlier upon a cumulative increase of 5% in the Mexican producer price index (excluding petroleum)), a devaluation of the peso, particularly late in the year, could cause us to exceed the maximum rates at one or more of our airports which could lead to the termination of one of our concessions. In the event that any one of our concessions is terminated, our other concessions may also be terminated.

The price regulatory system applicable to our airports does not guarantee that our consolidated results of operations, or that the results of operations of any airport, will be profitable.

The system of price regulation applicable to our airports establishes an annual maximum rate for each airport, which is the maximum annual amount of revenues per work load unit (which is equal to one passenger or 100 kilograms (220 pounds) of cargo) that we may earn at that airport from services subject to price regulation. The maximum rates for our airports have been determined for each year through December 31, 2003. For a discussion of the framework for establishing our maximum rates and the application of these rates, see "Item 4. Information on the Company--Regulatory Framework--Price Regulation." Under the terms of our concessions, there is no guarantee that the results of operations of any airport will be profitable.

Our concessions provide that an airport's maximum rates will be adjusted periodically for inflation. Although we are entitled to request additional adjustments to an airport's maximum rates under certain circumstances, our concessions provide that such a request will be approved only if the Ministry of Communications and Transportation determines that certain events specified in our concessions have occurred. The circumstances under which we are entitled to an adjustment are described under "Item 4. Information on the Company--Regulatory Framework--Price Regulation--Special Adjustments to Maximum Rates." Therefore, there can be no assurance that any such request would be granted.

Our concessions may be terminated under various circumstances, some of which are beyond our control.

We operate each of our airports under a 50-year concession granted as of November 1, 1998 by the Mexican government. A concession may be terminated for a variety of reasons. For example, a concession may be terminated if we fail to make the committed investments required by the terms of that concession. In addition, in the event that we exceed the applicable maximum rate at an airport in any year, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation is entitled to reduce the applicable maximum rate at that airport for the subsequent year and assess a penalty. Violations of certain terms of a concession (including violations for exceeding the applicable maximum rate) can result in termination

only if sanctions have been imposed for violation of the relevant term at least three times. Violations of other terms of a concession can result in the immediate termination of the concession. We would face similar sanctions for violations of the Mexican Airport Law or its regulations. Although we believe we are currently complying with the principal requirements of the Mexican Airport Law and its regulations, we are not in compliance with certain requirements under the regulations. These violations could result in fines or other sanctions being assessed by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, and are among the violations that could result in termination of a concession if they occur three or more times. For a description of the consequences that may result from the violation of various terms of our concessions, the Mexican Airport Law or its regulations, see "Item 4. Information on the Company--Regulatory Framework--Penalties and Termination and Revocation of Concessions and Concession Assets." Under applicable Mexican law and the terms of our concessions, our concessions may also be made subject to additional conditions, which we may be unable to meet. Failure to meet these conditions may also result in fines, other sanctions and the termination of the concessions.

In addition, the Mexican government may terminate one or more of our concessions at any time through reversion, if, in accordance with applicable Mexican law, it determines that it is in the public interest to do so. In the event of a reversion of the public domain assets that are the subject of our concessions, the Mexican government under Mexican law is required to compensate us for the value of the concessions based on the results of an audit performed by appraisers. There can be no assurance that we will receive compensation equivalent to the value of our investment in our concessions and related assets in the event of such a revocation.

In the event that any one of our concessions is terminated, whether through revocation or otherwise, our other concessions may also be terminated. Thus, the loss of any concession would have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. For a discussion of events which may lead to a termination of a concession, see "Item 4. Information on the Company--Regulatory Framework--Penalties and Termination and Revocation of Concessions and Concession Assets." Moreover, we are required to continue operating each of our nine airports for the duration of our concessions, even if one or more of them are unprofitable.

Competition from other tourist destinations could adversely affect our business.

One of the principal factors affecting our results of operations and business is the number of passengers using our airports. The number of passengers using our airports may vary as a result of factors beyond our control, including the level of tourism in Mexico. In addition, our passenger traffic volume may be adversely affected by the attractiveness, affordability and accessibility of competing tourist destinations in Mexico, such as Acapulco, Puerto Vallarta and Los Cabos, or elsewhere, such as Puerto Rico, Florida, Cuba, Jamaica, the Dominican Republic and other Caribbean island and Central American destinations. The attractiveness of the destinations we serve is also likely to be affected by perceptions of travelers as to the safety and political and social stability of Mexico. There can be no assurance that tourism levels in the future will match or exceed current levels.

The loss of one or more of our key customers could result in a loss of a significant amount of our revenues.

Airlines and other entities controlled by Cintra, S.A. de C.V., a holding company of the Mexican government, collectively accounted for approximately 29.4%, 29.5% and 26.7% of the revenues generated by our airports in 2000, 2001 and 2002, respectively. After a period of study, the Mexican Congress has approved the separate privatization of several of these Cintra-controlled companies through a competitive bidding process. No date has

yet been set for this sale process. In addition, in recent years American Airlines and Continental Airlines have accounted for a significant portion of the revenues generated by our airports (5.0% and 6.0%, respectively, in 2001 and 6.4% and 5.6%, respectively, in 2002). The global airline industry continues to experience significant financial difficulties, marked by the filing for bankruptcy protection of several major carriers in the U.S. Our business and results of operations could be adversely affected if we do not continue to generate comparable portions of our revenue from our key customers. We do not have contracts with any airlines that obligate them to continue providing service to our airports. We can offer no assurance that competing airlines would seek to increase their flight schedules if any of our key customers reduced their use of our airports. We expect that we will continue to generate a significant portion of our revenues from a relatively small number of airlines in the foreseeable future.

In addition, Mexican law prohibits an international airline from transporting passengers from one Mexican location to another (unless the flight originated outside Mexico), which limits the number of airlines providing domestic service in Mexico. Accordingly, we expect to continue to generate a significant portion of our revenues from domestic travel from a limited number of airlines.

Our results of operations may be adversely affected by required efficiency adjustments to our maximum rates.

Our maximum rates are subject to annual efficiency adjustments, which have the effect of reducing the maximum rates for each year to reflect projected efficiency improvements. For the initial five-year term ending December 31, 2003, an annual efficiency adjustment factor of 1% has been established by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. Future annual efficiency adjustments will be determined by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation in connection with the setting of each airport's maximum rates every five years. For a description of these efficiency adjustments, see "Item 4. Information on the Company—Regulatory Framework—Price Regulation—Methodology for Determining Future Maximum Rates." We cannot assure you that we will achieve efficiency improvements sufficient to allow us to maintain or increase our operating income as a result of the progressive decrease in each airport's maximum rate.

The operations of our airports may be disrupted due to the actions of third parties, which are beyond our control.

As is the case with most airports, the operation of our airports is largely dependent on the services of third parties, such as air traffic control authorities and airlines. We are also dependent upon the Mexican government or entities of the government for provision of services such as energy, supply of fuel to aircraft at our airports and immigration services for our international passengers. We are not responsible for and cannot control the services provided by these parties. Any disruption in or adverse consequence resulting from their services, including a work stoppage or other similar event, may have a material adverse effect on the operation of our airports and on our results of operations.

The Mexican government could grant new concessions that compete with our airports.

The Mexican government could grant additional concessions to operate existing government-managed airports, or authorize the construction of new airports, which could compete directly with our airports. For example, in March 2000, a new airport opened in Chichen Itza, which also serves popular tourist destinations in southeast Mexico and may compete with several of our airports. Any competition from other such airports could have a material adverse

effect on our business and results of operations. Under certain circumstances, the grant of a concession for a new or existing airport is required to be made pursuant to a public bidding process. In the event that a competing concession is offered in a public bidding process, we cannot assure you that we would participate in such process, or that we would be successful if we did participate.

Natural disasters could adversely affect our business.

From time to time, the southeast region of Mexico, like other Caribbean destinations, experiences hurricanes, particularly during the third quarter of each year. Portions of the southeast region also experience earthquakes from time to time. Natural disasters may impede operations, damage infrastructure necessary to our operations or adversely affect the destinations served by our airports. Any of these events could reduce our passenger traffic volume. The occurrence of natural disasters in the destinations we serve could adversely affect our business, results of operations, prospects and financial condition. We have insured the physical facilities at our airports against damage caused by natural disasters, accidents or other similar events, but do not have insurance covering losses due to resulting business interruption.

Moreover, should losses occur, there can be no assurance that losses caused by damages to the physical facilities will not exceed the pre-established limits on the policies.

In September 2002, hurricane Isidore caused significant damages to the state of Yucatan and to our airport in Merida, which was closed to commercial traffic for 27 hours, resulting in the cancellation of 100 flights. Additionally, the airport sustained property damage of Ps. 8.9 million, of which Ps. 5.4 million was covered by insurance.

Our business could be adversely affected by a downturn in the U.S. economy.

In 2001 and 2002, 68.4% and 69.2%, respectively, of the international passengers served by our airports arrived or departed on flights originating in or departing to the United States. Thus, our business is dependent on the condition of the U.S. economy, and is particularly influenced by trends in the United States relating to leisure travel, consumer spending and international tourism. Events and conditions affecting the U.S. economy may adversely affect our business, results of operations, prospects and financial condition.

We cannot predict what future effect the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, any future terrorist attacks or threatened attacks on the United States or the retaliatory measures taken by the United States in response to these events may have on the U.S economy. The current economic downturn in the United States may continue to negatively affect our results of operations and a prolonged economic crisis in the United States will likely have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

NAFIN and ITA have substantial influence over our management and their interests may differ from those of other stockholders.

NAFIN, a Mexican national credit institution and development bank controlled by the Mexican government, continues to hold 33,260,870 series B shares, representing 11.1% of our capital stock, and votes these shares based on the instructions of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. NAFIN, for its own account and not for the Ministry of Communications and Transportation account, has also entered into an agreement that may result in its acquisition of the 25.5% interest in ITA currently held by Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V., as described under "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions."

ITA continues to hold series BB shares representing 15.0% of our capital stock, which provide it with special management rights. For example, ITA is allowed to appoint and remove our chief executive officer and at least half of our other executive officers (currently two of four) and to elect two members of our board of directors. ITA also has the right to veto certain actions requiring approval of our stockholders. Our bylaws also provide ITA veto rights with respect to certain corporate actions so long as its series BB shares represent at least 7.65% of our capital stock. Special rights granted to ITA are more fully discussed in "Item 10. Additional Information" and "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions." As described under "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions--Major Shareholders--ITA Trust and Shareholders Agreement," the shareholders of ITA have entered into an agreement suspending certain veto rights previously corresponding to Triturados y Basalticos Derivados, S.A. de C.V. The suspension of these rights has the effect of increasing the influence of Copenhagen Airports A/S in our management and business policies. Copenhagen Airports A/S owns 750,000 ADSs representing 7,500,000 series B shares. As a result, Copenhagen Airports A/S directly holds series B shares representing 2.9% of our series B shares and 2.5% of our total capital stock.

As a result, NAFIN, in its individual capacity and as representative of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, and ITA, as our principal stockholder, are likely to substantially influence our management and matters requiring the approval of our stockholders. The interests of NAFIN and ITA may differ from those of our other stockholders, and there can be no assurance that NAFIN and ITA would exercise their rights in ways that favor the interests of our other stockholders.

Our operations are at greater risk of disruption due to the dependence of most of our airports on a single commercial runway.

As is the case with many other domestic and international airports around the world, most of our airports, including Cancun International Airport, have only one commercial aviation runway. While we seek to keep our runways in good working order and to conduct scheduled maintenance during off-peak hours, we cannot assure you that the operation of our runways will not be disrupted due to required maintenance or repairs. In addition, our runways may require unscheduled repair or maintenance due to natural disasters, aircraft accidents and other factors that are beyond our control. The closure of any runway for a significant period of time could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, prospects and financial condition.

Risks Related to Mexico

Economic developments in Mexico may adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Although a substantial portion of our revenues is derived from foreign tourism, Mexican passengers in recent years have represented approximately half of the passenger traffic volume in our airports. In addition, all of our assets are located, and all of our operations are conducted, in Mexico. As a result, our business, financial condition and results of operation could be adversely affected by the general condition of the Mexican economy, by a devaluation of the peso, by inflation and high interest rates in Mexico, or by political developments in Mexico.

Mexico has experienced adverse economic conditions.

Mexico has experienced adverse economic conditions, including high levels of inflation. From 1982 to 1987, Mexico experienced periods of slow or negative growth, high inflation, large devaluations of the peso and limited availability of foreign currency. In the late 1980s and early 1990s, Mexico's

growth rate increased, the inflation rate declined and the U.S. dollar/peso exchange rate was relatively stable. Beginning in December 1994 and continuing through 1995, Mexico experienced an economic crisis characterized by the following:

- o exchange rate instability,
- o devaluation of the peso,
- o high inflation,
- o high domestic interest rates,
- o negative economic growth,
- o reduced consumer purchasing power, and
- o high unemployment.

The economic crisis occurred in the context of a series of internal disruptions and political events, including:

- o a large current account deficit,
- o civil unrest in the southern state of Chiapas (in which one of our airports is located),
- o the assassination of two prominent political figures,
- o a substantial outflow of capital, and
- o a significant devaluation of the peso.

In response, the Mexican government implemented a broad economic reform program. Economic conditions in Mexico improved in 1996 and 1997. However, a combination of factors led to a slowdown in Mexico's economic growth in 1998. Notably, the decline in the international price of oil resulted in a reduction of federal revenues, approximately one—third of which are derived from petroleum taxes and duties. In addition, the economic crises in Asia and Russia and the financial turmoil in Argentina, Brazil, Venezuela and elsewhere produced greater volatility in the international financial markets, which further slowed Mexico's economic growth. The Mexican government has reported that real GDP grew by 6.6% in 2000 and decreased by 0.3% in 2001. The Mexican government estimates that GDP growth in 2002 was approximately 0.9%. In 2002, inflation in Mexico was 5.7%, interest rates on 28-day Mexican government treasury securities averaged 7.09% and the peso depreciated by 13.5% (in nominal terms) against the U.S. dollar.

We cannot assure you that similar events will not occur, or that any recurrence of these or similar events will not adversely affect our business, results of operations, prospects and financial condition.

Depreciation or fluctuation of the peso relative to the U.S. dollar could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Following the devaluation of the peso in December 1994, the aggregate passenger traffic volume in our airports in 1995 decreased as compared to the prior year, reflecting a decrease in domestic passenger traffic volume which more than offset an increase in international passenger traffic volume. Any future depreciation of the peso is likely to reduce our aggregate passenger traffic volume, which may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. In addition, we cannot assure you that any future devaluation would

result in an increase in international passenger traffic.

Devaluation or depreciation of the peso against the U.S. dollar may adversely affect the dollar value of an investment in the ADSs and the series B shares, as well as the dollar value of any dividend or other distributions that we may make.

As of December 31, 2002 less than one percent of our liabilities (U.S.\$0.377 million) were dollar-denominated. Although we currently intend to fund the investments required by our business strategy through cash flow from operations, we may incur dollar-denominated debt to finance all or a portion of these investments. A devaluation of the peso would increase the debt service cost of any dollar-denominated indebtedness that we may incur and result in foreign exchange losses.

Severe devaluation or depreciation of the peso may also result in the disruption of the international foreign exchange markets and may limit our ability to transfer or to convert pesos into U.S. dollars and other currencies.

Political conditions in Mexico could materially and adversely affect Mexican economic policy and, in turn, ASUR's operations.

The national elections held on July 2, 2000 ended 71 years of rule by the Institutional Revolutionary Party ("PRI") with the election of President Vicente Fox Quesada, a member of the National Action Party ("PAN") and resulted in the increased representation of opposition parties in the Mexican Congress and in mayoral and gubernatorial positions. Neither the PRI nor the PAN currently has a majority in the Congress or Senate. This shift in political power has transformed Mexico from a one-party state to a pluralist democracy. Although there have not yet been any material adverse repercussions resulting from this political change, multiparty rule is still relatively new in Mexico and could result in economic or political conditions that could materially and adversely affect our operations. The lack of a majority party in the legislature and the lack of alignment between the legislature and the President could result in deadlock and prevent the timely implementation of economic reforms, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on the Mexican economy and on our business.

Developments in other countries may affect us.

The market value of securities of Mexican companies may be, to varying degrees, affected by economic and market conditions in other countries. Although economic conditions in these countries may differ significantly from economic conditions in Mexico, investors' reactions to developments in any of these other countries may have an adverse effect on the market value of securities of Mexican issuers. In past years, prices of both Mexican debt and equity securities have been adversely affected by the sharp drop in Asian securities markets and the economic crises in Russia, Brazil, Argentina and Venezuela. There can be no assurance that the market value of our securities will not be adversely affected by events elsewhere.

You may not be entitled to participate in future preemptive rights offerings.

Under Mexican law, if we issue new shares for cash as part of a capital increase, we generally must grant our shareholders the right to purchase a sufficient number of shares to maintain their existing ownership percentage in ASUR. Rights to purchase shares in these circumstances are known as preemptive rights. We may not legally be permitted to allow holders of ADSs in the United States to exercise any preemptive rights in any future capital increase unless we file a registration statement with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, with respect to that future issuance of shares, or the offering qualifies for an exemption from the registration requirements of the

Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

At the time of any future capital increase, we will evaluate the costs and potential liabilities associated with filing a registration statement with the SEC and any other factors that we consider important to determine whether we will file such a registration statement.

We cannot assure you that we will file a registration statement with the SEC to allow holders of ADSs in the United States to participate in a preemptive rights offering. In addition, under current Mexican law, sales by the depository of preemptive rights and distribution of the proceeds from such sales to you, the ADS holders, is not possible. As a result, your equity interest in ASUR may be diluted proportionately.

Corporate disclosure.

There may be less or different publicly available information about issuers of securities in Mexico than is regularly published by or about issuers of securities in certain countries with highly developed capital markets. In addition, differences in accounting and other reporting principles and standards may cause our results to differ substantially from those results that would have been obtained using other principles and standards, such as U.S. GAAP.

Forward Looking Statements

This Form 20-F contains forward-looking statements. We may from time to time make forward-looking statements in our periodic reports to the Securities and Exchange Commission on Forms 20-F and 6-K, in our annual report to shareholders, in offering circulars and prospectuses, in press releases and other written materials, and in oral statements made by our officers, directors or employees to analysts, institutional investors, representatives of the media and others. Examples of such forward-looking statements include:

- o projections of operating revenues, net income (loss), net income (loss) per share, capital expenditures, dividends, capital structure or other financial items or ratios,
- o statements of our plans, objectives or goals,
- o statements about our future economic performance or that of Mexico or other countries in which we operate, and
- o statements of assumptions underlying such statements.

Words such as "believe," "anticipate," "plan," "expect," "intend," "target," "estimate," "project," "predict," "forecast," "guideline," "should" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements.

Forward-looking statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties. We caution you that a number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from the plans, objectives, expectations, estimates and intentions expressed in such forward-looking statements. These factors, some of which are discussed above under "Risk Factors," include cancellations of significant construction projects included in backlog, material changes in the performance or terms of our concessions, developments in legal proceedings, limitations on our access to sources of financing on competitive

terms, economic and political conditions and government policies in Mexico or elsewhere, inflation rates, exchange rates, regulatory developments, customer demand and competition. We caution you that the foregoing list of factors is not exclusive and that other risks and uncertainties may cause actual results to differ materially from those in forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and we do not undertake any obligation to update them in light of new information or future developments.

Item 4. Information on the Company

History and Development of the Company

Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V., or ASUR, is a corporation (sociedad anonima de capital variable) organized under the laws of Mexico. We were incorporated in 1998 as part of the Mexican government's program for the opening of Mexico's airports to private-sector investment. We are a holding company and conduct all of our operations through our subsidiaries. The terms "ASUR," "we" and "our" in this annual report refer both to Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V. as well as Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V. together with its subsidiaries. Our registered office is located at Boulevard Manuel Avila Camacho No. 40, 6th Floor, Col. Lomas de Chapultepec, 11000 Mexico, D.F., Mexico, telephone 011-52-555-284-0400.

Investment by ITA

As part of the opening of Mexico's airports to investment, in 1998 the Mexican government sold a 15% equity interest in ASUR to ITA pursuant to a public bidding process. ITA's stockholders include Copenhagen Airports A/S, which owns a 25.5% interest in ITA. Copenhagen Airports A/S is among the world's leading airport operators and has won several international awards, including the 1999 IATA award for world's best airport shopping facilities, as well as the IATA 1999 award for world's best airport for overall passenger satisfaction. In 2002, Copenhagen Airport was ranked first by IATA in twelve out of twenty-two categories, including overall passenger satisfaction. Copenhagen Airport was named Europe's best and the world's second best airport in the 15-25 million passenger category in May 2003 by IATA. In 2002, approximately 18.3 million passengers were served at airports operated by Copenhagen Airports A/S. ITA's other stockholders currently are Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V., VINCI, S.A. and Ferrovial Aeropuertos, S.A. Ferrovial Aeropuertos, S.A. became a stockholder of ITA during 2002 after it acquired the interest in ITA that was previously owned by another subsidiary of the Spanish group Ferrovial. Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V. has entered into an agreement that may result in its transfer of its interest in ITA to one of its creditors, NAFIN. Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V. has also entered into conflicting agreements purporting to transfer to an affiliate these same shares of ITA. See "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions--Major Shareholders--ITA Trust and Shareholders' Agreement" for a discussion of the dispute relating to these agreements.

ITA paid the Mexican government a total of Ps.1,165.1 million (nominal pesos, excluding interest) (U.S.\$120.0 million based on the exchange rates in effect on the dates of payment) in exchange for:

- o 45,000,000 series BB shares representing 15% of our outstanding capital stock,
- o three options to subscribe for newly issued series B shares. These options allow ITA to subscribe for 2%, 2% and 1% of our capital stock outstanding at the time of each exercise, each determined on a fully diluted basis, at a price per-share equal to the per-share

purchase price of ITA's 15% interest plus a premium accruing at an annual rate of 5%, and

o the right and obligation to enter into various agreements with us and the Mexican government, including a participation agreement, a technical assistance agreement and a shareholders' agreement under terms established during the public bidding process. These agreements are described in greater detail under "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions--Related Party Transactions."

Under the technical assistance agreement, ITA provides management and consulting services and transfers industry "know-how" and technology to ASUR in exchange for a technical assistance fee. This agreement is more fully described in "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions--Related Party Transactions." The agreement provides us a perpetual and exclusive license in Mexico to use all technical assistance and "know-how" transferred to us by ITA or its stockholders during the term of the agreement. The agreement has an initial fifteen-year term, and is automatically renewed for successive five-year terms, unless one party provides the other a notice of termination within a specified period prior to a scheduled expiration date. ITA provides us assistance in various areas, including: development of our commercial activities, preparation of marketing studies focusing on increasing passenger traffic volume at our airports, assistance with the preparation of the master development plans that we are required to submit to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation with respect to each of our airports and the improvement of our airport operations.

The technical assistance fee is equal to the greater of a fixed dollar amount or 5% of ASUR's annual consolidated earnings before comprehensive financing cost, income taxes and depreciation and amortization (determined in accordance with Mexican GAAP and calculated prior to deducting the technical assistance fee under this agreement). The fixed dollar amount decreases during the agreement's initial five years. We believe that this structure creates an incentive for ITA to increase our annual consolidated earnings before net comprehensive financing cost, income and asset taxes and depreciation and amortization. The fixed dollar amount is U.S.\$5.0 million in 1999 and 2000, U.S.\$3.0 million in 2001 and 2002 and U.S.\$2.0 million for each subsequent year. These amounts are adjusted annually for inflation (measured by the U.S. consumer price index) as from the first anniversary of the technical assistance agreement. ITA is also entitled to reimbursement for the out-of-pocket expenses it incurs in its provision of services under the agreement. Under Mexican tax law, companies may not deduct fees that are determined by reference to their profitability (as defined under Mexican tax law).

The technical assistance agreement allows ITA, its stockholders and their affiliates to render additional services to ASUR only if the Acquisitions and Contracts Committee of our board of directors determines that these related persons have submitted the most favorable bid in a public bidding process involving at least three unrelated parties. For a description of this committee, see "Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees--Committees."

Under our bylaws, the participation agreement and the technical assistance agreement, ITA has the right to elect two members of our board of directors (which currently consists of seven members) and to appoint and remove our chief executive officer and half of our executive officers (currently two of four). As the holder of the series BB shares, ITA's consent is also required to approve certain corporate matters so long as ITA's series BB shares represent at least 7.65% of our capital stock. In addition, our bylaws, the participation agreement and the technical assistance agreement contain certain provisions designed to avoid conflicts of interest between ASUR and ITA. The rights of ITA in our management are explained in "Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and

Employees--Committees." ITA's stockholders have entered into an agreement regarding the exercise of ITA's rights and performance of its obligations under our bylaws, the participation agreement, the technical assistance agreement and the option agreement. The ITA shareholder's agreement is described in "Principal Stockholders and NAFIN Trust."

The remaining 85% of ASUR's outstanding capital stock, consisting of 255,000,000 series B shares, was sold by the Mexican government to a Mexican trust established by NAFIN, a Mexican national credit institution and development bank controlled by the Mexican government. This trust was the selling stockholder in the global offering.

Our bylaws provide that ITA may not transfer any of its series BB shares until September 28, 2003. From the end of this no-sale period until December 18, 2008, ITA may transfer up to 49% of its series BB shares without restriction. After December 18, 2008, ITA may sell in any year up to 20% of its remaining 51% ownership interest in ASUR represented by series BB shares. Our bylaws provide that series BB shares must be converted into series B shares prior to transfer. For a more detailed discussion of ITA's rights to transfer its stock, see "Item 10. Additional Information—Registration and Transfer."

As required under the participation agreement entered into in connection with the Mexican government's sale of the Series BB shares of ASUR to ITA, ITA has transferred its series BB shares to a trust, the trustee of which is Banco Nacional de Comercio Exterior, S.N.C. Under the terms of the participation agreement and the trust agreement, ITA's key partners, currently Copenhagen Airports A/S and Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V., may not transfer their current 25.5% ownership interest in ITA prior to December 18, 2014 without the consent of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. To the extent that a key partner acquires shares of ITA in excess of its current 25.5% interest, this additional interest may be sold without restriction after September 28, 2003. See "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions--Major Shareholders--ITA Trust and Shareholders' Agreement" for a further description of these provisions and a discussion of the uncertainty surrounding the 25.5% interest in ITA currently held by Triturados Basalticos y Derivados. There can be no assurance that the terms of the participation agreement or the trust would not be amended to reduce or eliminate these ownership commitments. If ITA or any of its stockholders defaults on any obligation contained in the trust agreement, or if ITA defaults on any obligation contained in the participation agreement or the technical assistance agreement, after specified notice and cure provisions, the trust agreement provides that the trustee may sell 5% of the shares held in the trust and pay the proceeds of such sale to ASUR as liquidated damages.

Pursuant to the terms of the trust, ITA may direct the trustee to vote only shares representing up to 10% of ASUR's capital stock. Any shares in excess of 10% are voted by the trustee in accordance with the vote of the majority of series B shares. The trust does not affect the veto and other special rights granted to the holders of series BB shares described in "Item 10. Additional Information."

Master Development Programs

Under the terms of our concessions, each of our subsidiary concession holders is required to submit an updated master development plan for approval by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation every five years. Each master development plan covers a fifteen-year period and includes investment commitments (including capital expenditures and improvements) of the concession holder for the succeeding five-year period and investment projections (including capital expenditures and improvements) for the remaining ten years. Once approved by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, these commitments become binding obligations under the terms of our concessions. On

July 28, 2000, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation approved each of our current master development plans. The current terms of the master development plans will be in effect until December 31, 2003. We expect to submit our next master development plans to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation for its review and approval by July 15, 2003, and upon approval these plans are scheduled to go into effect on January 1, 2004.

The following table sets forth our committed investments for each airport pursuant to the terms of our current master development plans for the periods presented.

Committed Investments

		Yea	ar ended Decembe	r 31,	
	2000(1)	2001	2002	2003	
		(tł	housands of peso	s)	
Cancun	Ps. 295,394	Ps. 161,220	Ps. 60,617	Ps. 16,834	Ps.
Merida	60,901	2,765	6,805	19,105	I
Cozumel	75,999	32,206	15,798	3,723	ļ
Villahermosa	22,660	2,276	23,738	7,845	
Oaxaca	46,725	570	8,710	7,838	
Veracruz	17 , 269	28,656	20,635	11,458	
Huatulco	11,334	14,950	38,801	4,172	
Tapachula	10,604	18,596	3,061	2,205	
Minatitlan	12,862	1,469	8,940	2,449	
Total	Ps. 553,748	Ps. 262,708	Ps. 187,105	Ps. 75,629	Ps. 1

 $\,$ The following table sets forth our historical capital expenditures, in the periods indicated.

Capital Expenditures

Year ended December 31,	(thous	sands of pesos)
1998	Ps.	235,317
1999		44,854
2000		233,328
2001		362,914
2002		265,071

We expect to fund our operations and capital expenditures in the short-term and long-term through cash flow from operations. Although we may incur indebtedness from time to time, we do not currently anticipate that we will be required to incur indebtedness to satisfy our commitments under our master development plans or to fund our other capital expenditures.

⁽¹⁾ Reflects committed investments for the period from May 1, 1999 to December 31, 2000.

Business Overview

We hold concessions to operate, maintain and develop nine airports in the southeast region of Mexico for fifty years from November 1, 1998. As operators of these airports, we charge airlines, passengers and other users fees for the use of the airports' facilities. We also derive rental and other income from commercial activities conducted at our airports, such as the leasing of space to restaurants and retailers. Our concessions include the concession for Cancun International Airport, the second busiest airport in Mexico in 2002 in terms of passenger traffic volume and the busiest in terms of international passengers volume, according to the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency. We also hold concessions to operate the airports in Cozumel, Huatulco, Merida, Minatitlan, Oaxaca, Tapachula, Veracruz and Villahermosa.

Mexico is one of the main tourist destinations in the world. Mexico has historically ranked in the top ten countries worldwide in terms of foreign visitors, with 19.7 million visitors in 2002, according to the Mexican Ministry of Tourism. Within Latin America and the Caribbean, Mexico ranked first in 2002 in terms of number of foreign visitors and income from tourism, according to the World Tourism Organization. The tourism industry is one of the largest generators of foreign exchange in the Mexican economy, contributing U.S.\$8.9 billion in 2002, according to the Mexican Ministry of Tourism. Within Mexico, the southeast region (where our airports are located) is a principal tourist destination due to its beaches and cultural and archeological sites, which are served by numerous hotels and resorts.

Cancun and its surroundings was the most visited international tourism destination in Mexico in 2002, according to the Mexican Ministry of Tourism. Cancun International Airport represented 68.0% and 70.2% of our passenger traffic volume and 70.3% and 72.2% of our revenues in 2001 and 2002, respectively. At December 31, 2002, Cancun had approximately 26,074 hotel rooms, according to the Mexican Ministry of Tourism. We believe that Cancun International Airport is positioned to benefit from its proximity to the Mayan Riviera, a 129-kilometer (80-mile) stretch of coastal resorts and hotels that is among Mexico's most rapidly developing tourism areas. According to the Mexican National Trust for Tourism Development, the Mayan Riviera had approximately 17,705 rooms as of December 31, 2002.

Our airports served approximately 11.2 million passengers in 2001 and approximately 11.0 million passengers in 2002. For year-by-year passenger figures, see "--Our Airports."

The United States currently is a significant source of passenger traffic volume in our airports. In 2001 and 2002, international passengers represented 59.02% and 58.30%, respectively, of the total passenger traffic volume in our airports. In 2001 and 2002, 68.4% and 69.2%, respectively, of the international passengers in our airports traveled on flights originating in or departing to the United States. As of December 31, 2002, 16 Mexican and 45 international airlines, including US based airlines such as American Airlines and Continental Airlines, were operating directly or through code-sharing arrangements (where one aircraft has two or more flight numbers of different, allied airlines) in our airports.

On July 1, 1999, we began operating three businesses previously operated by third parties in two of our airports, including the charter terminal in Cancun International Airport. We acquired the right to operate these

businesses for an aggregate purchase price of U.S.\$39.6 million. The charter terminal in Cancun International Airport served 2.3 million passengers in 2000, 1.9 million passengers during 2001, and 1.9 million passengers in 2002. Including the passengers served by the main terminal, Cancun International Airport served a total of 7.7 million passengers in 2000, 7.6 million passengers in 2001 and 7.7 million passengers in 2002. Our operating figures for periods prior to July 1, 1999 do not reflect these acquired businesses. For a discussion of how these acquired businesses are reflected in our financial statements, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of the acquired businesses." For a description of the acquired businesses, see "--Acquisition of Businesses."

Aeronautical Services

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{The}}$ following table sets forth our revenues for the period presented.

		2000		2001
			(thousa	nds of pesos)
Revenues:				
Aeronautical Services	Ps.	1,091,016	Ps.	1,045,033
Non-Aeronautical Services		188,122		185 , 593
Total	Ps.	1,279,138	Ps.	1,230,626
	=====		======	

Aeronautical services represent the most significant source of our revenues. In 2000, 2001 and 2002, aeronautical revenues represented approximately 85.3%, 84.9% and 80.7% of our total revenues, respectively. All of our revenues from aeronautical services are regulated under the "dual-till" price regulation system applicable to our airports.

Our revenues from aeronautical services are derived from: passenger charges, landing charges, aircraft parking charges, charges for the use of passenger walkways and charges for the provision of airport security services. Charges for aeronautical services generally are designed to compensate an airport operator for its infrastructure investment and maintenance expense. Aeronautical revenues are principally dependent on three factors: passenger traffic volume, the number of air traffic movements and the weight of the aircraft.

Passenger Charges

We collect a passenger charge for each departing passenger on an aircraft (other than diplomats, infants and transfer and transit passengers). We do not collect passenger charges from arriving passengers. Passenger charges are automatically included in the cost of a passenger's ticket and collected twice monthly from each airline. Since June 11, 2001, the charge for international passengers is U.S.\$14.40 and the charge for domestic passengers is Ps.125.7 (nominal pesos). International passenger charges are currently dollar-denominated, but generally collected in pesos based on the average exchange rate during the month prior to the flight. Domestic passenger charges are peso-denominated. In each of 2001 and 2002, passenger charges represented 73.9% of our aeronautical revenues and 62.7% and 59.6%, respectively, of our total revenues. From time to time we have offered discounts on passenger charges at certain of our airports.

Aircraft Landing and Parking Charges, Passenger Walkway Charges and Airport Security Charges

We collect various charges from carriers for the use of our facilities by their aircraft and passengers. For each aircraft's arrival, we collect a landing charge that is based on the aircraft's maximum takeoff weight. We also collect aircraft parking charges based on the time an aircraft is at an airport's gate or parking position. Each of these charges varies based on the time of day or night that the relevant service is provided (with higher fees generally charged during peak usage periods and at night). We collect aircraft parking charges the entire time an aircraft is on our aprons. Airlines are also assessed charges for the connection of their aircraft to our terminals through a passenger walkway. We also assess an airport security charge, which is collected from each airline based on the number of its departing passengers. We provide airport security services at our airports through third-party contractors. We also provide firefighting and rescue services at our airports.

 $\,$ In 2002, these charges contributed the following amounts to our revenues:

- o landing charges represented 10.04% of our aeronautical revenues and 8.10% of our total revenues;
- o aircraft parking charges represented 11.94% of our aeronautical revenues and 9.64% of our total revenues;
- airport security charges represented 1.64% of our aeronautical revenue and 1.32% of our total revenues; and
- o passenger walkway charges represented 2.48% of our aeronautical revenues and 2.01% of our total revenues.

As of the beginning of June 2001, we adjusted our rates for certain airport services. We implemented this adjustment in airport charges after approval and registration at the rate increases with the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, which included a procedure for the consideration of comments by the airline industry against the proposed adjustment. Certain airlines oppose the adjustment and have refused to pay the differential, which we estimate to be between nine and twelve percent of the adjusted rates. On January 23, 2002, we were notified that Compania Mexicana de Aviacion, S.A. de C.V., Aerovias de Mexico, S.A. de C.V., Aerovias Caribe, S.A. de C.V., Aerolitoral, S.A. de C.V. and Transportes Aeromar, S.A. de C.V. have initiated a lawsuit against the Ministry of Communications and Transportation requesting that these adjusted rates be declared void. In addition, in April 2003 ASUR was notified that Compania Mexicana de Aviacion, S.A. de C.V., Aerovias de Mexico, S.A. de C.V., Aerovias Caribe, S.A. de C.V., Aerolitoral, S.A. de C.V. and Transportes Aeromar, S.A. de C.V. initiated a lawsuit against the Ministry of Communications and Transportation seeking that Annex 7 to ASUR's concessions be declared null and void. Annex 7 contains the bases for the tariff regulation imposed on each concession holder by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. In the event that the plaintiffs obtain a ruling in their favor, Annex 7 to each of ASUR's concessions would be revoked and ASUR's subsidiary concession holders would have no regulatory framework for regulated airport and complementary services until a new framework were issued. If the existing maximum tariff rates applicable to our airports were to be rescinded, there can be no assurance that any new tariff regime that is subsequently adopted would be similar to the current regime. For more information, see "Item 8. Financial Information--Legal Proceedings."

Non-aeronautical Services

General

Non-aeronautical services have historically generated a proportionately smaller portion of our revenues. Our revenues from non-aeronautical services are derived from commercial activities (such as the leasing of space in our airports to retailers, restaurants, airlines and other commercial tenants) and access fees charged to providers of complementary services in our airports (such as catering, handling and ground transport). In 2001 and 2002 revenues from non-aeronautical services represented 15.08% and 19.28% respectively, of our total revenues, of which 41.8% and 62.3%, respectively, were derived from access fees and 53.3% and 33.9% respectively, were derived from commercial revenues as defined under the Mexican Airport Law. Prior to July 1, 1999, our revenues from non-aeronautical services also included access fees generated by three businesses that we acquired and began operating as of July 1, 1999.

Currently, the leasing of space in our airports to airlines and other commercial tenants represents the most significant source of our revenues from non-aeronautical services. Although certain of our revenues from non-aeronautical services are regulated under our "dual-till" price regulation system, our revenues from commercial activities (other than the lease of space to airlines and other airport service providers that is considered essential to an airport) are not regulated.

Commercial Activities

Leading international airports generally generate an important portion of their revenues from commercial activities. An airport's revenues from commercial activities is largely dependent on passenger traffic, its passengers' level of spending, terminal design, the mix of commercial tenants and the basis of fees charged to businesses operating in the airport. Revenues from commercial activities also depend substantially on the percentage of traffic represented by international passengers due to the revenues generated from duty-free shopping.

In early November 2001, we opened a new commercial center at the Cancun airport, which includes duty free shops, a food court, a full service restaurant, a business lounge, a convenience store and a clothing shop. In December 2001, we opened a new commercial center at each of our Merida and Cozumel airports. In 2002, we opened 40 new commercial spaces in our other six airports, including new duty-free shops, restaurants, bank and foreign exchange services, and convenience stores. In addition, we also developed 109 new advertising spaces in our airports in 2002.

We estimate that revenues from commercial activities in our terminals historically have accounted for less than 15% of the total revenues generated by our airports. In contrast, we believe that revenues from commercial activities account for 30% or more of the consolidated revenues of many leading international airports. Accordingly, a significant part of our business strategy is focused on increasing our revenues from commercial activities in our airports.

Within our nine airports, we leased approximately 176 commercial premises as of December 31, 2002, including restaurants, banks, retail outlets (including duty-free stores), currency exchange bureaus and car rental agencies. Our most important tenant in terms of occupied space in 2002 was Mera Aeropuertos, S.A. de C.V.. We generally charge a fixed monthly fee for the lease of commercial space in our terminals, although the operators of the duty-free stores in three of our airports (Cancun, Cozumel and Merida) pay the higher of a monthly fee based on passenger traffic in the airport in which the store is located or a monthly fee based on a percentage of their revenues.

We are currently involved in several lease proceedings in which we

are seeking a confirmation of our right to terminate certain lease agreements upon the expiration of their term. These proceedings include litigation involving the duty-free stores in Cancun, Cozumel and Merida. Although we cannot predict when these proceedings will end, we expect that they will ultimately be resolved in our favor.

In October 1999, we awarded a contract to install and operate public telephones in all nine of our airports to Telefonos de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. and BBg Comunicaciones, S.A. de C.V. These telephones are equipped to handle payments in Mexican and U.S. currency, as well as credit card and operator assisted calls. We currently estimate that approximately 90% of the telephones have been installed.

In May 2000, we offered for competitive bids the rights to develop car rental services at our nine airports. In Cancun, we awarded eight bidders (out of fifteen car rental agencies that operated at the airport prior to the bidding process) the right to operate car rental services. All eight of the winning bidders have signed new agreements with us which provide for the payment of increased rent compared to previous levels. Rent under these agreements will increase further once the last of the original car rental agencies that were not awarded operating licenses have vacated their airport facilities. As of the date of this annual report, we were still negotiating with the four holdover agencies that have refused to vacate the facilities. However, we do not expect that these holdover agencies will vacate the airport absent a court order, which we are seeking. As of April 2002, we are no longer recognizing revenues from these holdover agencies.

In December 2000, we awarded the food and beverages concession for the Cancun, Cozumel and Merida airports to Controladora Mera, S.A. de C.V., a Mexican company that specializes in operating restaurants. The contract began in July 2001, includes eleven food and beverage units, one business lounge, vending machines, and coffee/snack trolleys, and expires in 2013.

In May 2001, we awarded the convenience store concession for all of our nine airports to Cenca Comercializadora, S.A. de C.V. The convenience retail business line includes offerings such as newspapers, magazines, candy, cigarettes, non-prescription pharmaceutical items, and other similar merchandise. The six-year contract commenced in September 2001, and includes a total of 15 stores at the nine airports.

In June 2001, we awarded airport advertising concessions to a consortium formed by the French and Mexican advertising companies, JCDecaux and UDC, as the result of a bidding process. The eight-year contract began in September 2001, and covers all advertising units at the nine airports. These include the external areas outside the airports (billboards, clock advertising and light-boxes), as well the public areas inside the terminal.

Also in June 2001, we awarded the retail concession for the Cancun, Merida and Cozumel airports to the consortium formed by the Spanish company Aldeasa S.A. The ten-year contract commenced in September 2001 and covers ten duty free stores and a cigar store. In November 2001, we entered into a similar ten-year contract with the Mexican retailer, Tiendas Tropicales, S.A. de C.V. covering six Mexican handicraft stores in the same airports.

Access Charges

At each of our airports, we earn revenues from charging access fees to various third-party providers of complementary services, including luggage check-in, sorting and handling, aircraft servicing at our gates, aircraft cleaning, cargo handling, aircraft catering services and assistance with passenger boarding and deplaning. Our revenues from access charges are regulated under our "dual-till" price regulation system. Under current regulations, each

of these services may be provided by the holder of an airport concession, by a carrier or by a third party hired by a concession-holder or a carrier. Typically, these services are provided by third parties, whom we charge an access fee based on a percentage of revenues that they earn at our airports. Six different contractors provide handling services at our nine airports.

Prior to July 1, 1999, our revenues from non-aeronautical services also included access fees generated by three businesses that we began operating as of July 1, 1999. Beginning July 1, 1999, our revenues from the aeronautical services related to these businesses are recorded as part of our revenues from aeronautical services, and the balance of the revenues from these businesses will be recorded as part of our revenues from non-aeronautical services.

Mexico's two largest airlines, Aeromexico and Mexicana, participate in a joint venture (Servicios de Apoyo en Tierra or "SEAT") that provides certain complementary services, such as baggage handling, to various carriers at airports throughout Mexico. SEAT operated at our airports prior to our commencement of operations under our concessions and continues to do so. Under the Mexican Airport Law, third-party providers of complementary services are required to enter into agreements with the respective concession holder at that airport, which we did as of December 27, 2000.

Under the Mexican Airport Law, we are required to provide complementary services at each of our airports if there is no third party providing such services. SEAT is currently the sole provider of baggage handling services at five of our airports. If SEAT ceased to provide such services directly, we could be required to provide these services or find a third party to provide such services.

Automobile Parking and Ground Transport

Each of our airports has public car parking facilities consisting of open-air parking lots. Over the last three years we have taken over the operation of the parking lots at all of our airports that were formerly operated by third parties under license agreements. The only airport at which we do not charge parking fees is Cozumel. As a result of the change from third-parties to ourselves as operators of the lots, revenues from parking lot fees have increased significantly from Ps.2.4 million in 1999. In 2000, 2001 and 2002, our revenues form parking lot fees were Ps.8.9 million, Ps.12.9 million and Ps.15.2 million, respectively. Revenues from parking at our airports currently are not regulated, although they could become regulated upon a finding by the Mexican Antitrust Commission that there are no competing alternatives.

We collect revenues from various commercial vehicle operators, including taxi, bus and other ground transport operators. Our revenues from permanent providers of ground transport services, such as access fees charged to taxis, are subject to price regulation, while our revenues from non-permanent providers of ground transport services, such as access fees charged to charter buses, are not subject to price regulation.

Airport Security

The General Office of Civil Aviation, Mexico's federal authority on aviation, and the Office of Public Security issue guidelines for airport security in Mexico. At each of our airports, security services are provided by independent security companies that we hire. In 2001 and 2002 we undertook various measures to improve our security standards at our airports. These measures included increasing the responsibilities of the private security companies that we hire, the modernization of our carry-on luggage scanning and security equipment, the implementation of strict access control procedures to the restricted areas of our airports and the installation of a closed-circuit television monitoring system in some of our airports.

In response to the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks in the United States, we have taken additional steps to increase security at our airports. At the request of the Transport Security Administration of the United States, the General Office of Civil Aviation issued directives in October 2001 establishing new rules and procedures to be adopted at our airports. Under these directives, these rules and procedures were to be implemented immediately and for an indefinite period of time.

To comply with these directives, we have reinforced security by:

- o increasing and improving the security training of airport personnel,
- o increasing the supervision and responsibilities of both our security personnel and airline security personnel that operate in our airports,
- o issuing new electronic identification cards to airport personnel,
- o reinforcing control of different access areas of our airports, and
- o physically changing the access points to several of the restricted areas of our airports.

Airlines have also contributed to the enhanced security at our airports as they have adopted new procedures and rules issued by the General Office of Civil Aviation applicable to airlines. Some measures adopted by the airlines include adding more points for verification of passenger identification, inspecting luggage prior to check-in and reinforcing controls over access to airplanes by various service providers (such as baggage handlers and food service providers).

Fuel

All airport property and installations related to the supply of aircraft fuel were retained by the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency in connection with the opening of Mexico's airports to investment. Pursuant to our concessions, the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency has entered into agreements obligating it to pay each of our subsidiary concession holders a fee for access to our facilities equivalent to 1% of the service charge for fuel supply. In the event that the Mexican government were to privatize fuel supply activities in the future, the terms of our concessions provide that it will do so through a competitive bidding process.

Acquisition of Businesses

When we assumed operation of our nine airports on November 1, 1998, our predecessor, the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency, transferred to ASUR its rights to receive payment under three agreements that it had previously entered into with three different companies. These agreements provided for the development of certain businesses within specified areas of Cancun International Airport and Merida International Airport. A general description of these agreements and the parties to each are as follows:

Cancun Air, S.A. de C.V. was required to construct and entitled to operate and develop the charter terminal and parking facilities in Cancun International Airport through 2006. In exchange, Cancun Air agreed to pay our predecessor 12% of its total revenue from passenger charges from the charter air terminal and parking facilities through December 31, 2001 and 13% of its total revenue from passenger charges from the charter air terminal and parking facilities from January 1, 2002 through December 19, 2006.

- o Dicas, S.A. was granted the right to construct and maintain the satellite wing and general aviation building in Cancun International Airport in exchange for the right to collect revenues from commercial activities and passenger walkway charges generated by that wing through 2009. In addition, Dicas was required to pay our predecessor 20% of its passenger walkway fees and a percentage of its profits in excess of a specified rate of return. During the term of the lease, Dicas' rate of return never exceeded the amount that would have required it to pay a portion of its profits to our predecessor.
- o Aeropremier de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. was granted the right to construct and operate a general aviation terminal, a first-class lounge, a tourism office and other commercial areas in Merida International Airport. The access fees earned from Aeropremier were not material.

On November 25, 1998, we acquired the rights and obligations of Cancun Air, Dicas and Aeropremier under these agreements effective June 30, 1999 for an aggregate purchase price of U.S.\$39.6 million. Although these businesses were acquired through the termination of outstanding lease agreements, under Mexican tax law we could be considered the successor to these businesses and thus could be jointly and severally liable for any tax liabilities related to the operation of these businesses prior to our acquisition, up to the value of the acquired businesses and until five years following the date the liability initially should have been incurred. We are not able to determine the likelihood of such tax liability, if any. Under the terms of the agreement by which we acquired these businesses, we are entitled to indemnification from the prior operators of these businesses in the event that ASUR were required to pay any such tax liability.

Our Airports

In 2001, our airports served a total of 11.2 million passengers, approximately 59.0% of which were international passengers. In 2002, our airports served a total of 11.0 million passengers, approximately 58.3% of which were international passengers. In 2001 and 2002 Cancun International Airport accounted for 68.0% and 70.2% of the passenger traffic volume and 70.3% and 72.2% of revenues respectively from our nine airports.

All of our airports other than Minatitlan Airport are designated as international airports under Mexican law, which indicates that they are equipped to receive international flights and have customs and immigration facilities.

The following table sets forth the total traffic volume and air traffic movements in our nine airports for the periods presented:

Airport Traffic
(in thousands)

Year ended December 31,

1998 1999 2000 2001

Passengers: (1)				
Total	8,187.3	9,597.9	11,448.1	11,240.3
10ta1	0,107.3	9,391.9	11,440.1	11,240.3
	======	======	=======	=======
Air traffic movements: (2)				
Total	198.1	208.1	207.6	194.9
	======	======	=======	=======

Source: The Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency for periods through October 31, 1998; ASUR for periods since November 1, 1998.

- Passenger data prior to July 1, 1999 do not include the Cancun (1)charter terminal, because neither ASUR nor our predecessor earned passenger charges from passengers using the Cancun charter terminal prior to that date (although access fees equal to 12% of the passenger charges from passengers using the Cancun charter terminal were collected prior to that date).
- Includes landings and departures, in thousands. Air traffic (2) movement data include the Cancun charter terminal for all periods, because ASUR and our predecessor earned landing fees from all landings regardless of the terminal used.

The following table sets forth the passenger traffic volume for each of our airports during the periods indicated:

> Passenger Traffic(1) (in thousands)

	1998	1999	2000	2001(1)	2002			
Cancun Main Terminal	4,614.2	4,993.9	5,450.6	5,771.3	5,860.			
Cancun Charter Terminal(2)	1,584.0	1,975.9	2,295.5	1,868.7	1,857.			
Total Cancun	6,198.2	6,969.8	7,746.1	7,640.0	7,718.			
Merida	842.3	940.6	903.3	919.4	849.			
Cozumel	585.1	526.8	600.3	565.2	445.			
Villahermosa	500.4	521.7	528.3	533.2	499.			

467.4

477.1

Year ended December 31.

459.8

440.2

					======
Total excluding Cancun Charter Terminal	8,187.3	8,713.9	9,152.6	9,371.7	9,139.
	======	=======	=======		
Total	9,771.3	10,689.8	11,448.1	11,240.3	10,996.
Minatitlan	165.1	158.2	150.4	131.2	126.
Tapachula	279.1	289.7	234.4	190.4	176.
Huatulco	323.5	338.3	331.4	317.3	268.
Veracruz	410.2	467.6	494.1	503.4	479.

Oaxaca

433.

Source: The Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency for periods through October 31, 1998; ASUR for periods since November 1, 1998.

- (1) The increase and decrease in Cancun's main terminal and charter terminal traffic, respectively, in 2001 is partially due to the occasional diversion of charter flights to the main terminal. See "--Cancun International Airport" below.
- (2) The charter terminal in Cancun International Airport began operating in March 1996. We began operating the terminal on July 1, 1999.

Air Traffic Movements by Airport(1)

Year ended December 31,

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002		
Cancun (2)	81,253	79 , 787	83 , 587	80,900	82 , 730		
Merida	25 , 711	27,702	25 , 680	23,627	22,827		
Cozumel	20,115	17,150	17,670	15,225	14,015		
Villahermosa	17,480	20,854	21,142	19,058	18,244		
Oaxaca	15 , 590	18,742	16,052	14,428	15,479		
Veracruz	16,057	19,269	19,103	18,705	19,034		
Huatulco	7,038	7,229	5 , 988	6,213	5 , 922		
Tapachula	10,039	12,114	13,219	12,317	12,032		
Minatitlan	4,773	5,261	5,200	4,431	4,602		
Total	198,056	208,108	207,641	194,904	194,885		
	======	======	======	======	======		

Source: The Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency for periods through October 31, 1998; ASUR for periods since November 1, 1998.

- (1) Includes departures and landings.
- (2) Includes the Cancun charter terminal for all periods, because ASUR and our predecessor earned landing fees from all landings regardless of the terminal used.

The following table sets forth the air traffic movements in our airports for the periods indicated in terms of commercial, charter and general aviation:

Air Traffic Movements by Aviation Category (1)

Year	ended	December	31.
ICAL	enaea	December	$\cup \perp$

	1998	1999	2000	2001
Commercial Aviation	141,311	147,961	143,630	137,019

Charter Aviation	24,438	23,344	27,312	24,565
General Aviation(2)	32,307	36,803	36 , 699	33,320
Total	198,056	208,108	207,641	194,904
	======	======	======	======

Source: The Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency for periods through October 31, 1998; ASUR for periods since November 1, 1998.

- (1) Includes departures and landings for all nine airports and includes the Cancun charter terminal.
- (2) General aviation generally consists of small private aircraft.

The following table sets forth the revenues for each of our airports during the periods indicated:

Revenues by Airport

	Year ended December 31,
2000	2001

	2000				2001				
(the	ousands of pesos)	•	ands of lars)(1)	(thou	pesos)	,	ands of lars)(1)	 (th	
CancunPs	899 , 708	U.S.\$	86,184	Ps.	864 , 567	U.S.\$	82,818	Ps.	
Merida	96,646		9,257		95 , 769		9,173		
Cozumel	61,267		5 , 868		59 , 499		5 , 699		
Villahermosa	52 , 717		5,049		52 , 662		5,044		
Oaxaca	43,607		4,177		41,716		3 , 996		
Veracruz	49,373		4,729		50,270		4,815		
Huatulco	32,676		3,130		30,671		2,938		
Tapachula	27,167		2,606		21,839		2,091		
Minatitlan	15 , 977		1,530		13,633		1,305		
Total	1,279,138		122,526		1,230,626		117,879		
===				=====				===	

Source: ASUR.

(1) Translated into dollars at the rate of Ps. 10.4393 per U.S. dollar, the Mexican Ministry of Finance exchange rate for Mexican pesos at December 31, 2002.

Cancun International Airport

Cancun International Airport is our most important airport in terms of passenger volume, air traffic movements and contribution to revenues. In 2002, Cancun International Airport was the second busiest airport in Mexico in terms of passenger traffic, according to the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary

Services Agency. The airport is located approximately 16 kilometers (ten miles) from the city of Cancun, which has a population of approximately 419,815. In 2001 and 2002, approximately 5.7 million and 5.9 million passengers, respectively, traveled through Cancun International Airport's main terminal. Of these passengers, in 2001 and 2002, 77.3% and 75.6%, respectively, were international passengers. A substantial majority of the airport's international passengers (60.1% in 2001 and 69.2% in 2002) began or ended their travel in the United States. The airport's most important points of origin and destination are Mexico City, Miami, Houston, Dallas and New York. Due to the airport's significant number of passengers from the United States, its traffic volume and results of operations are substantially dependent on economic conditions in the United States. See "Item 3. Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Operations—Our business could be adversely affected by a downturn in the U.S. economy."

Prior to June 30, 1999, the charter terminal, the general aviation building that handles private aircraft and the commercial operations in the satellite wing of the main terminal were operated by third parties. As of June 30, 1999, we acquired the rights to operate these businesses. During 2002, approximately 1.9 million passengers traveled through the charter terminal in Cancun International Airport. Combined with the 5.8 million passengers that traveled through the main terminal in that year, a total of 7.7 million passengers were served by Cancun International Airport in 2002. We believe this acquisition has given us greater operational flexibility as a result of our operation of both terminals at Cancun International Airport.

Cancun is located in the state of Quintana Roo. Cancun and its surroundings was the most visited international tourism destination in Mexico in 2002, according to the Mexican Ministry of Tourism. According to the Mexican National Trust for Tourist Development, the Cancun area had approximately 26,074 hotel rooms as of December 31, 2002. The Mexican National Trust for Tourist Development estimates that Cancun will be fully developed in 2010 with approximately 30,000 rooms. Although Cancun may be reached by land, sea or air, we believe most tourists arrive by air through Cancun International Airport. Cancun is between one and a half and six hours by air from all major cities in the United States and 10 to 13 hours by air from most major European cities.

Cancun is located near beaches, coral reefs, ecological parks and Mayan archeological sites. Cancun International Airport serves travelers visiting the Mayan Riviera, which stretches from Cancun south to the Mayan ruins at Tulum, and includes coastal hotels and resorts in the towns of Playa del Carmen, Tulum and Akumal. According to the Mexican National Trust for Tourism Development, the greater Cancun area (including the Mayan Riviera) was estimated to have an aggregate of approximately 49,030 hotel rooms as of December 31, 2002, with an additional 4,459 rooms opened in 2002.

Since most of the airport's passengers are tourists, the airport's traffic volume and results of operations are influenced by the perceived attractiveness of Cancun as a tourist destination. See "Item 3. Key Information--Risk Factors--Risks Related to Our Operations-- Our business could be adversely affected by a downturn in the U.S. economy." We are seeking to establish joint-marketing arrangements with local tourism organizations and Mexican government tourism agencies.

As part of our commercial strategy, in the fourth quarter of 2001 we completed an expansion of 8,114 square meters (approximately 87,300 square feet) and a remodeling of 32,400 square meters (approximately 348,700 square feet), giving us a main terminal building with a total of 40,514 square meters (436,100 square feet) of which 7,489 square meters (89,600 square feet) are for commercial use in Cancun International Airport's main terminal. While all of the commercial space in the terminal is leased, we are currently pursuing the eviction of a commercial tenant that occupies a small part of this area. We are

also currently studying the possibility of developing cargo facilities at the airport.

The airport has one runway with a length of 3,500 meters (2.2 miles). The airport's facilities include a main terminal (which includes a wing referred to as the satellite wing), a charter terminal and a general aviation building that handles private aircraft. The airport has twenty-one gates, ten of which are accessible by passenger walkways. The main terminal has nine gates accessible by passenger walkways, and the charter terminal has one gate that is accessible by a passenger walkway.

The airport's main terminal (including the satellite terminal wing) has a total area of approximately 40,514 square meters (approximately 436,100 square feet). The charter terminal in Cancun International Airport, which we acquired on June 30, 1999, has an additional 20,500 square meters (approximately 220,500 square feet).

In April 2002, we entered into an agreement with Mera Aeropuertos S.A. de C.V. under which Mera agreed to acquire from Opredi S.A. de C.V. certain contractual rights to provide food and beverage services in several locations in our Cancun airport. Mera successfully acquired the rights in 2002 and, through its subsidiary Hoteleria Inmobiliaria S.A de C.V has since been operating these locations in exchange for a fee that it pays to us which is partially based on its sales and passenger traffic in the airport.

We have been unsuccessful in uniformly enforcing the Ps.10 access fee that we charge taxis and passenger vans upon entering the airport. While we are currently in negotiations with the van and taxi companies regarding this matter, we cannot predict when we will begin collecting the access fee from all livery vehicles.

Merida International Airport

Merida International Airport serves the inland city of Merida, which has a population of approximately 705,055, and surrounding areas in the state of Yucatan. Merida International Airport was our second ranking airport during 2002 in terms of passenger traffic and contribution to revenues. During 2001 and 2002, Merida International Airport served 919,365 and 849,610 passengers, respectively, the substantial majority of which were domestic. The airport's most important point of origin and destination is Mexico City.

Merida International Airport attracts a mix of both business travelers and tourists. The city of Merida is an established urban area with numerous small and medium-sized businesses. The city is approximately 120 kilometers (75 miles) by highway from Chichen Itza, and approximately 80 kilometers (50 miles) from Uxmal, pre-Columbian archeological sites that attract a significant number of tourists. Because the airport's passengers are predominantly domestic, its passenger traffic and results of operations are affected by Mexican economic conditions. For example, the airport's passenger traffic decreased by 26.4% in 1995 as compared to the prior year following the December 1994 peso devaluation.

The airport has two runways, one with a length of 3,200 meters (2.0 miles) and another with a length of 2,300 meters (1.4 miles). The airport has one main terminal, with four gates accessible by passenger walkways and six remote boarding positions. In 1996, one of the airport's two runways was refurbished.

Prior to June 30, 1999, certain auxiliary facilities at Merida International Airport, including a general aviation terminal, a first-class lounge, a tourism office and other commercial areas, were operated by Aeropremier de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. As of June 30, 1999, we acquired the rights

to operate these businesses. For a description of this business, see "--Acquisition of Businesses" above. As part of our commercial strategy, we remodeled the entire 7,110 square meter (76,400 square foot) terminal, of which 962 square meters (approximately 10,350 square feet) are for commercial use. This remodeled area was opened in December 2001.

In 2001 and 2002, approximately 18,826 and 20,144 metric tons of cargo, respectively, were transported through Merida International Airport, making it our leading airport in terms of cargo volume. During these two periods, Merida represented approximately 46.3% and 50.1%, respectively, of our total cargo volume. We have considered opportunities for developing the Merida cargo facilities, but we have no plans to pursue such opportunities at this time.

There is currently one business operating under a long-term lease in Merida International Airport with Grupo de Desarrollo del Sureste, S.A. de C.V. ("GDS"), which will terminate on January 1, 2009. This lease was entered into in 1994 by our predecessor and allowed GDS to construct and develop the airport's air cargo terminal. We are in negotiations with our predecessor regarding the possible assignment of the lease to us. Our concession provides us the right to collect landing charges and parking charges for aircraft using the cargo terminal.

In September 2002, hurricane Isidore caused significant damages to the state of Yucatan and to our airport in Merida, which was closed to commercial traffic for 27 hours, resulting in the cancellation of 100 flights. Additionally, the airport sustained property damage of Ps. 8.9 million, of which Ps. 5.4 million was covered by insurance.

Cozumel International Airport

Cozumel International Airport is located on the island of Cozumel in the state of Quintana Roo. The airport primarily serves foreign tourists. During 2001 and 2002, 565,165 and 445,886 passengers, respectively, traveled through Cozumel International Airport, most of which were international passengers. Cozumel is the most visited destination for cruise ships in Mexico, hosting approximately 2.3 million cruise ship visitors in 2002. Cozumel has one of the world's largest coral reserves, and many passengers traveling to Cozumel are divers. The airport's most important points of origin and destination Houston, Dallas and Cancun. The island of Cozumel has a population of approximately 60,091.

As part of our commercial strategy, at Cozumel International Airport's main terminal we completed an expansion of 2,218 square meters (approximately 23,900 square feet) and a remodeling of 1,132 square meters (approximately 12,200 square feet), giving us a main terminal building with a total of 7,258 square meters (78,100 square feet) of which 610 square meters (6,600 square feet) are for commercial use. The remodeled commercial center was inaugurated on December 27, 2001.

The airport has two runways, a commercial runway with a length of 2,700 meters (1.7 miles) and a military runway with a length of 2,500 meters (1.6 miles). The airport has one main commercial terminal, with four remote boarding positions. The airport also has a general aviation building for small private aircraft.

Villahermosa International Airport

Villahermosa International Airport is located in the state of Tabasco, approximately 75 kilometers (46.9 miles) from Palenque, a Mayan archeological site. The city of Villahermosa has a population of approximately 330,846. Oil exploration is the principal business activity in the Villahermosa

area, and most of the airport's passengers are businesspeople working in the oil industry. During 2001 and 2002, the airport served 533,248 and 499,117 passengers, respectively, substantially all of which arrived on domestic flights. The airport's most important point of origin and destination is Mexico City.

The airport has one runway with a length of 2,200 meters (1.4 miles). The airport's main terminal has three remote parking positions.

Oaxaca International Airport

Oaxaca International Airport serves the city of Oaxaca, which is the capital of the state of Oaxaca. The city of Oaxaca, located 390 kilometers (243.8 miles) from the Pacific coast, has a population of approximately 251,846. The airport served 440,187 and 433,296 passengers in 2001 and 2002, respectively, most of which were domestic. The airport's passengers are primarily Mexican businesspeople and tourists, thus its passenger volume and results of operations are dependent on Mexican economic conditions. Oaxaca is a picturesque colonial city located near several tourist attractions, including the archeological ruins of Monte Alban and Mitla. The airport's most important point of origin and destination is Mexico City.

The airport has one runway with a length of 2,450 meters (1.5 miles) and a main terminal building with five remote positions. The airport also includes a general aviation building for small private airplanes with 20 positions.

Veracruz International Airport

Veracruz International Airport is located in the city of Veracruz along the Gulf of Mexico. The city of Veracruz has a population of approximately 457,377. Veracruz is the busiest port in Mexico in terms of commercial traffic, and is the location of the country's largest container terminal. According to the Mexican Bureau of Ports, Veracruz accounted for 22.4% of all waterborne cargo handled by Mexican ports in 2002. In 2001 and 2002, the airport served 503,465 and 479,574 passengers, respectively. Because the airport's passengers are primarily Mexican business people, its passenger volume and results of operations are dependent on Mexican economic conditions. The airport's most important point of origin and destination is Mexico City.

The airport has two runways, one with a length of 2,400 meters (1.5 miles) and another with a length of 1,523 meters (1.0 miles). The airport has one main commercial terminal. The airport also has a general aviation building for small private aircraft with 23 positions.

Due to Veracruz's proximity to Mexico City, we believe Veracruz could be an attractive location for developing cargo activities. In January 2002, we entered into a contract with Alianz Aviation Group to allow Alianz to operate a cargo hub at Veracruz.

Huatulco International Airport

Huatulco International Airport serves the Huatulco resort area in the state of Oaxaca on Mexico's Pacific coast. Huatulco has a population of approximately 28,327. Huatulco was developed as a tourist resort in the late 1980s. The airport served 317,301 and 268,354 passengers in 2001 and 2002, respectively, most of which were domestic. The substantial majority of the airport's passengers are international tourists, although many arrive through domestic flights and are thus classified as domestic. The airport's most important points of origin and destination are Mexico City, Monterrey and Oaxaca.

The airport has one runway with a length of 2,700 meters (1.7 miles). The airport's main terminal has three remote positions. The airport has a general aviation building for small private airplanes with 8 positions.

In 2002, Club Med closed its Huatulco Village resort and operations on the property were taken over by Brisas Hotels and Resorts, which partially opened Las Brisas Hotel to the public in December of 2002.

We intend to capitalize on the seclusion and natural beauty of the area and its numerous resorts by promoting flights to Huatulco from our other airports.

Tapachula International Airport

Tapachula International Airport serves the city of Tapachula, which has a population of approximately 271,674, and the state of Chiapas. In 2001 and 2002, the airport served 190,375 and 176,793 passengers, respectively, substantially all of which were domestic. The airport's passenger volume and results of operations are dependent on Mexican economic conditions since virtually all of its passengers are domestic. The airport's most important point of origin and destination is Mexico City.

The airport has one runway with a length of 2,000 meters (1.3 miles). The airport has one main terminal with three remote boarding positions. The airport also has a general aviation building for small private aircraft with 24 boarding positions.

Minatitlan Airport

Minatitlan Airport is located near the Gulf of Mexico, 13 kilometers (8.1 miles) from the city of Coatzacoalcos, 11 kilometers (6.9 miles) from the city of Cosoleacaque and 26 kilometers (16.2 miles) from the city of Minatitlan. The metropolitan area comprised of these three cities has a population of approximately 476,417. In 2001 and 2002, the airport served 131,229 and 126,009 passengers, respectively. In recent years, the airport's passenger traffic has decreased due to lower oil and petrochemical industry activity in Coatzacoalcos and Cosoleacaque. The airport's passengers are principally domestic business people drawn by the area's petrochemical and agriculture businesses. Because the airport's passengers are primarily Mexican travelers, its passenger volume and results of operations are dependent on Mexican economic conditions. The airport's most important point of origin and destination is Mexico City.

The airport has one runway with a length of 2,100 meters (1.3 miles). The airport's main terminal has three remote parking positions. The airport has a general aviation building for small private airplanes with 30 boarding positions.

Principal Air Traffic Customers

As of December 31, 2002, 45 international airlines and 16 Mexican airlines operated flights at our nine airports (including airlines operating in the charter terminal in Cancun International Airport and airlines operating solely on a code share basis). A code share arrangement means that airlines that do not fly their own aircraft into our airports arrange to share the passenger space in another airline's aircraft, with both airlines booking passengers through the same code.

Mexicana operates the most flights at our airports, with Aeromexico providing the second highest number of flights. The following chart sets forth total revenues from Mexicana and Aeromexico for 2001 and 2002 for passenger fees, airport and commercial services (including VIP lounges) and security.

Revenues from Principal Air Traffic Customers by Category of Service (in thousands)

	Mexi	cana	Aer		
	2001	2002	2001		
Passenger Fees	Ps. 143,353.1	Ps. 128,547.1	Ps. 91,041.0		
Airport and Commercial Services (including VIP lounges)	34,902.5	29,037.6	27,280.4		
Security	2,838.8	2,748.7	1,802.8		
Total	181,094.4	160,333.4	120,124.2		

Airlines and other entities controlled by Cintra, S.A. de C.V., a holding company of the Mexican government, collectively accounted for approximately 29.4%, 29.5% and 26.7% of the revenues generated by our airports in 2000, 2001 and 2002, respectively. Aeromexico and Mexicana are both owned by Cintra, S.A. de C.V. The Mexican government directly owns approximately 10% of the capital stock of Cintra, S.A. de C.V., and approximately 36% of the capital stock of Cintra, S.A. de C.V. is owned by the Institution for the Protection of Bank Savings, a decentralized entity of the Mexican federal government. Cintra, S.A. de C.V. also controls several other airlines operating in our airports, including Aerocaribe, Aerocozumel, Aerolitoral, as well as the largest provider of baggage and ramp handling services at our airports, SEAT. After a period of study, the Mexican Congress has approved the separate privatization of several of these Cintra-controlled companies through a competitive bidding process. No date has yet been set for this sale process. The Institution for the Protection of Bank Savings is required by law to transfer all holdings, including its shares of Cintra, S.A. de C.V., by January 19, 2004, and the Mexican government has announced that it intends to sell its shares of Cintra, S.A. de C.V. Further information regarding Cintra, S.A. de C.V.-controlled entities may be found in "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions--Related Party Transactions--Agreements with Entities Controlled by the Mexican Government."

Among foreign airlines, American Airlines and Continental Airlines operate the greatest number of flights to and from our airports. In 2001, American Airlines and Continental Airlines accounted for 5.0% and 6.0%, respectively, of our total revenues. In 2002, American Airlines and Continental Airlines accounted for 6.4% and 5.6%, respectively, of our revenues.

The following table sets forth our principal air traffic customers based on the percentage of revenues they represented for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2002:

Principal Air Traffic Customers

		Year ended December 31,	
	2001		20
Customer			
Compania Mexicana de Aviacion, S.A. de C.V.* (Mexicana)	14.6%		13
Aerovias de Mexico, S.A. de C.V.* (Aeromexico)	10.0%		8
American Airlines	5.0%		6
Continental Airlines	6.0%		5
Aerovias Caribe, S.A. de C.V.* (Aerocaribe)	4.5%		4
Aviation Support S.A. de C.V	0.7%		4
Lineas Aereas Allegro, S.A. de C.V	4.3%		3
Consorcio Aviacsa, S.A. de C.V	3.4%		3
Comercializadora de Productos en Aeropuertos	0.3%		2
Aviacion Comercial Especializada S.A. de C.V	4.8%		2
Air Routing International Corporation	5.0%		2
American Trans Air	2.7%		2
Petroservicios de Mexico, S.A. de C.V	2.7%		1
Other	36.0%		38
Total	100.0%		100

*Denotes airline controlled by the Mexican holding company Cintra, S.A. de C.V.

Aerolineas Azteca is a new airline that has received a passenger air carrier license from the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. Currently, Aerolineas Azteca provides regularly scheduled service to our Cancun airport and may operate at our other airports in the near future.

Competition

Since our business is substantially dependent on international tourists, our principal competition is from competing tourist destinations. We believe that the main competitors to Cancun are vacation destinations in Mexico, such as Acapulco, Puerto Vallarta and Los Cabos, and elsewhere such as Puerto Rico, Florida, Cuba, Jamaica, the Dominican Republic and other Caribbean island and Central American resorts. In March 2000, a new airport opened in Chichen Itza. This airport is operated by the former operator of the charter terminal in Cancun International Airport.

The relative attractiveness of the locations we serve is dependent on many factors, some of which are beyond our control. These factors include promotional activities and pricing policies of hotel and resort operators, weather conditions, natural disasters (such as hurricanes) and the development of new resorts that may be considered more attractive. There can be no assurance that the locations we serve will continue to attract the same level of passenger traffic in the future.

Excluding Cancun International Airport, our airports generally do not face significant competition. The Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency currently operates seven small airports in Mexico's southeast region. The Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency estimates that its airports collectively account for less than 10% of the passengers traffic in the region.

Regulatory Framework

Sources of Regulation

The following are the principal laws, regulations and instruments that govern our business and the operation of our airports:

- o the Mexican Airport Law, enacted December 22, 1995,
- o the regulations to the Mexican Airport Law, enacted February 17, 2000,
- o the Mexican Communications Law, enacted February 19, 1940,
- o the Mexican Civil Aviation Law, enacted May 12, 1995,
- o the Mexican Federal Duties Law, enacted December 31, 1981,
- o the Mexican National Assets Law, enacted January 8, 1982, and
- o the concessions that entitle our subsidiaries to operate our nine airports, which were granted June 29, 1998 and amended on March 19, 1999.

The Mexican Airport Law and the regulations to the Mexican Airport Law establish the general framework regulating the construction, operation, maintenance and development of Mexican airport facilities. The Mexican Airport Law's stated intent is to promote the expansion, development and modernization of Mexico's airport infrastructure by encouraging investment and competition.

Under the Mexican Airport Law, a concession granted by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation is required to construct, operate, maintain or develop a public service airport in Mexico. A concession generally must be granted pursuant to a public bidding process, except for: (i) concessions granted to (a) entities considered part of "the federal public administration" as defined under Mexican law and (b) private companies whose principal stockholder may be a state or municipal government; (ii) concessions granted to operators of private airports (who have operated privately for five or more years) wishing to begin operating their facilities as public service airports; and (iii) complementary concessions granted to existing concession holders. Complementary concessions may be granted only under certain limited circumstances, such as where an existing concession holder can demonstrate, among other things, that the award of the complementary concession is necessary to satisfy passenger demand. On June 29, 1998, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation granted nine concessions to operate, maintain and develop the nine principal airports in Mexico's southeast region to our subsidiaries. Because our subsidiaries were considered entities of the federal public administration at the time the concessions were granted, the concessions were awarded without a public bidding process. Each of our concessions was amended on March 19, 1999 in order, among other things, to incorporate each airport's maximum rates and certain other terms as part of the concession.

On February 17, 2000 the regulations to the Mexican Airport Law were issued. Although we believe we are currently complying with the principal requirements of the Mexican Airport Law and its regulations, we are not in compliance with certain requirements under the regulations. These violations could result in fines or other sanctions being assessed by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, and are among the violations that could result in termination of a concession if they occur three or more times.

Role of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation

The Ministry of Communications and Transportation is the principal regulator of airports in Mexico and is authorized by the Mexican Airport Law to perform the following functions:

- o grant, modify and revoke concessions for the operation of airports,
- o establish air transit rules and rules regulating take-off and landing schedules through the Mexican air traffic control authority,
- o take all necessary action to create an efficient, competitive and non-discriminatory market for airport-related services,
- o approve any transaction or transactions that directly or indirectly may result in a change of control of a concession holder,
- o approve the master development plans prepared by each concession holder every five years,
- o determine each airport's maximum rates,
- o approve any agreements entered into between a concession holder and a third party providing airport or complementary services at its airport,
- o establish safety regulations,
- o monitor airport facilities to determine their compliance with the Mexican Airport Law, other applicable laws and the terms of the concessions, and
- o impose penalties for failure to observe and perform the rules under the Mexican Airport Law, the Mexican Airport Law regulations and the concessions.

In addition, under the Mexican Organic Law of the Federal Public Administration, the Mexican Airport Law and the Mexican Civil Aviation Law, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation is required to provide air traffic control, radio assistance and aeronautical communications at Mexico's airports. The Ministry of Communications and Transportation provides these services through SENEAM, the Mexican air traffic control authority, which is a division of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. Since 1978, the Mexican air traffic control authority has provided air traffic control for Mexico's airports.

New Regulatory Agency

The Ministry of Communications and Transportation has announced that it intends to establish a new regulatory agency. This new agency is expected to be authorized to monitor our activities and those of the other new airport groups, to enforce applicable regulations and to propose amendments to concessions, to set maximum rates, to resolve disputes between concession holders and airport users (such as airlines) and to collect and distribute information relating to the airport sector. No date for the establishment of this new regulatory agency has been publicly announced.

Scope of Concessions and General Obligations of Concession Holders

As authorized under the Mexican Airport Law, each of the concessions held by our subsidiaries is for an initial 50-year term. This initial term of each of our concessions may be renewed in one or more terms for up to an additional 50 years, subject to the concession holder's acceptance of any new conditions imposed by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation and to its compliance with the terms of its concession.

The concessions held by our subsidiary concession holders allow the relevant concession holder, during the term of the concession, to: (i) operate, maintain and develop its airport and carry out any necessary construction in order to render airport, complementary and commercial services as provided under the Mexican Airport Law and the Mexican Airport Law regulations; and (ii) use and develop the assets that comprise the airport that is the subject of the concession (consisting of the airport's real estate and improvements but excluding assets used in connection with fuel supply and storage). These assets are government-owned assets, subject to the Mexican National Assets Law. Upon expiration of a concession, these assets automatically revert to the Mexican government.

Substantially all of contracts entered into by the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency with respect to each of our airports have been assigned to the relevant concession holder for each airport. As part of this assignment, each concession holder agreed to indemnify the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency for any loss suffered by the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency due to the concession holder's breach of its obligations under an assigned agreement.

Under the Mexican Federal Duties Law, each of our subsidiary concession holders is required to pay the Mexican government a concession fee based on its gross annual revenues from the use of public domain assets pursuant to the terms of its concession. Currently, this concession fee is set at a rate of 5% and may be revised annually by the Mexican Congress. Our concessions provide that we may request an amendment of our maximum rates if there is a change in this concession fee.

Concession holders are required to provide airport security. If public order or national security is endangered, the competent federal authorities are authorized to act to protect the safety of aircraft, passengers, cargo, mail, installations and equipment.

Each concession holder and any third party providing services at an airport is required to carry specified insurance in amounts and covering specified risks, such as damage to persons and property at the airport, in each case as specified by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. To date the Ministry of Communications and Transportation has not specified the required amounts of insurance. We cannot assure you that we will not be required to obtain additional insurance once these amounts are specified.

ASUR and our subsidiary concession holders are jointly and severally liable to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation for the performance of all obligations under the concessions held by our subsidiaries. Each of our subsidiary concession holders is responsible for the performance of the obligations set forth in its concession, including the obligations arising from third-party contracts, as well as for any damages to the Mexican government-owned assets which they use and to third-party airport users. In the event of a breach of one concession, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation is authorized to revoke all of the concessions held by our subsidiaries.

The shares of a concession holder and the rights under a concession may be subject to a lien only with the approval of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. No agreement documenting liens approved by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation may allow the beneficiary of a pledge to become a concession holder under any circumstances.

A concession holder may not assign any of its rights or obligations under its concession without the authorization of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. The Ministry of Communications and Transportation is

authorized to consent to an assignment only if the proposed assignee satisfies the requirements to be a concession holder under the Mexican Airport Law, undertakes to comply with the obligations under the relevant concession and agrees to any other conditions that the Ministry may require.

Classification of Services Provided at Airports

The Mexican Airport Law and the Mexican Airport Law regulations classify the services that may be rendered at an airport into the following three categories:

- Airport Services. Airport services may be rendered only by the holder of a concession or a third party that has entered into an agreement with the concession holder to provide such services. These services include:—the use of airport runways, taxiways and aprons for landing, aircraft parking and departure,—the use of hangars, passenger walkways, transport buses and automobile parking facilities,—the provision of airport security services, rescue and firefighting services, ground traffic control, lighting and visual aids,—the general use of terminal space and other infrastructure by aircraft, passengers and cargo, and—the provision of access to an airport to third parties providing complementary services (as defined in the Mexican Airport Law) and third parties providing permanent ground transport services (such as taxis).
- O Complementary Services. Complementary services may be rendered by an airline, by the airport operator or by a third party under agreements with airlines or the airport operator. These services include: --ramp and handling services, --passenger check-in, and --aircraft security, catering, cleaning, maintenance, repair and fuel supply and related activities that provide support to air carriers.
- o Commercial Services. Commercial services involve services that are not considered essential to the operation of an airport or aircraft, and include:—the leasing of space to retailers, restaurants and banks, and—advertising.

Third parties rendering airport, complementary or commercial services are required to do so pursuant to a written agreement with the relevant concession holder. All agreements relating to airport or complementary services are required to be approved by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. The Mexican Airport Law provides that the concession holder is jointly liable with these third parties for compliance with the terms of the relevant concession with respect to the services provided by such third parties. All third-party service providers of complementary services are required to be corporations incorporated under Mexican law.

Airport and complementary services are required to be provided to all users in a uniform and regular manner, without discrimination as to quality, access or price. Concession holders are required to provide airport and complementary services on a priority basis to military aircraft, disaster support aircraft and aircraft experiencing emergencies. Airport and complementary services are required to be provided at no cost to military aircraft and aircraft performing national security activities.

In the event of force majeure, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation may impose additional regulations governing the provision of services at airports, but only to the extent necessary to address the force majeure event. The Mexican Airport Law allows the airport administrator appointed by a concession holder to suspend the provision of airport services in

the event of force majeure.

A concession holder is also required to take all necessary measures to create a competitive market for complementary services. Due to space, efficiency and safety considerations, a concession holder may limit the number of providers of complementary services in its airport. If the number of complementary service providers must be limited due to these considerations, contracts for the provision of complementary services must be awarded through a competitive bidding process.

Master Development Plans

Concession holders are also required to submit to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation a master development plan describing, among other things, the concession holder's construction and maintenance plans.

Each master development plan is required to be updated every five years and resubmitted for approval to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. Upon such approval, the master development plan is deemed to constitute a part of the relevant concession. Any major construction, renovation or expansion of an airport may only be made pursuant to a concession holder's master development plan or upon approval by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. Information required to be presented in the master development plan includes:

- o airport growth and development expectancies,
- o 15-year projections for air traffic demand (including passenger, cargo and operations),
- o construction, conservation, maintenance, expansion and modernization programs for infrastructure, facilities and equipment,
- o five-year detailed investment program and planned major investments for the following ten years,
- o probable sources of financing,
- o descriptive airport plans, and
- o environmental protection measures.

The concessions require the concession holder to engage recognized independent consultants to conduct polls among airport users with respect to current and expected quality standards, and to prepare air traffic projections and investment requirements. The concession holder must submit a draft of the master development plan to airport users for their review and comments. Further, the concession holder must submit the master development plan to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation prior to the expiration of the five-year term. The Ministry of Communications and Transportation may request additional information or clarification as well as seek further comments from airport users.

Changes to a master development plan and investment program require the approval of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, except for emergency repairs and minor works that do not adversely affect an airport's operations.

Each of our subsidiary concession holders submitted its master development plan for approval in September 1999. On February 28, 2000, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation approved the aggregate amount of

each of our investment programs and requested that we resubmit our master development plans to reflect certain additional information. On July 28, 2000, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation approved our resubmitted master development plans. The current terms of the master development plans will be in effect until December 31, 2003. We expect to submit our next master development plans to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation for its review and approval by July 15, 2003, and upon approval these plans are scheduled to go into effect on January 1, 2004.

The following table sets forth our committed investments for each airport pursuant to the terms of our current master development plans for the periods presented.

Committed Investments (1)

					Year en	ded December 31	L,	
	2000(1)			2001		2002		
					(thousands of pesos)			
Cancun	Ps.	295,394	Ps.	161,220	Ps.	60,617	Ps.	
Merida		60,901		2,765		6,805		
Cozumel		75,999		32,206		15,798		
Villahermosa		22,660		2,276		23,738		
Oaxaca		46,725		570		8,710		
Veracruz		17,269		28,656		20,635		
Huatulco		11,334		14,950		38,801		
Tapachula		10,604		18,596		3,061		
Minatitlan		12,862		1,469		8,940		
Total	Ps.	553,748	Ps.	262,708	Ps.	187,105	Ps.	

(1) Reflects committed investments for the period from May 1, 1999 to December 31, 2000.

Price Regulation

The Mexican Airport Law provides that the Ministry of Communications and Transportation may establish price regulations for services for which the Antitrust Commission determines that a competitive market does not exist. On March 9, 1999, the Antitrust Commission issued a ruling stating that competitive markets generally do not exist for airport services and airport access provided to third parties rendering complementary services. This ruling authorized the Ministry of Communications and Transportation to establish regulations governing the prices that may be charged for airport services and access fees that may be charged to providers of complementary services in our airports. On March 19, 1999, a new regulation, the Rate Regulation, was incorporated within the terms of each of our concessions. The Rate Regulation, which became effective May 1, 1999, establishes the annual maximum rates for each of our concession holders, which is the maximum amount of revenue per work load unit (one passenger or 100 kilograms (220 pounds) of cargo) in a given year that the concession holder may earn at its airports from all regulated revenue sources.

On February 18, 2000, the Ministry of Communications and

Transportation issued an official communication stating that it had finalized its review of the compliance of our subsidiary concession holders with their maximum rates for 1999. In this communication, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation found that, through no fault of our subsidiary concession holders, certain variables and information initially used to determine our maximum rates were not properly reflected in the Rate Regulation. In a subsequent official communication dated February 28, 2000, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, pursuant to the Mexican Airport Law and its regulations, amended the maximum rates of our subsidiary concession holders from 2000 to 2003 to properly reflect these variables and information. References to the Rate Regulation in this discussion refer to the Rate Regulation as amended by these official communications.

Regulated Revenues

The Rate Regulation establishes a "dual-till" system of price regulation under which certain of our revenues, such as passenger charges, landing charges, aircraft parking charges and access fees from third parties providing complementary services at our airports, are regulated, while the revenues that we earn from commercial activities in our terminals, such as the leasing of space to duty-free stores, retailers, restaurants, car rental companies and banks, are not regulated.

The Rate Regulation provides that the following sources of revenues are regulated under this "dual-till" system:

- o revenues from airport services (as defined under the Mexican Airport Law), other than automobile parking, and
- o access fees earned from third parties providing complementary services, other than those related to the establishment of administrative quarters that the Ministry of Communications and Transportation determines to be non-essential.

All other sources of revenues at our airports are not regulated. Approximately 90.8% and 86.1% of our revenues in 2001 and 2002, respectively, were derived from regulated sources of revenue.

Each concession holder is entitled to determine the prices charged for each regulated service and is required to register such prices with the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. Once registered, those prices are deemed part of its concession, and may only be changed every six months or earlier if there has been a cumulative increase of at least 5% in the Mexican producer price index (excluding petroleum) as published by the Mexican Central Bank since the date of the last adjustment and in other specific circumstances. See "--Special Adjustments to Maximum Rates."

Current Maximum Rates

Each airport's maximum rates from May 1, 1999 to December 31, 2003 were set by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation in connection with the process for the opening of Mexico's airports to investment. These initial maximum rates are set forth in the concession for each airport.

The following table sets forth the maximum rates for each of our airports for the periods indicated. These maximum rates are subject to adjustment only under the limited circumstances described below under "Special Adjustments to Maximum Rates."

Maximum Rates (1) (2) (3) Year ended December 31,

	19) 99 	2	2000	2	001	2(002
Cancun	Dc	101.66	Ps.	100.64	Ps	99.63	Ps.	98.64
	rs.		rs.		rs		rs.	
Merida		77.87		77.10		76.33		75.57
Cozumel		97.27		96.31		95.35		94.38
Villahermosa		88.70		87.81		86.93		86.07
Oaxaca		84.58		83.72		82.89		82.06
Veracruz		88.82		87.92		87.05		86.18
Huatulco		89.40		88.51		87.63		86.75
Tapachula		104.64		103.60		102.57		101.52
Minatitlan		85.16		84.32		83.47		82.64

- -----
- (1) Expressed in adjusted pesos as of December 31, 2002 based on the Mexican producer price index (excluding petroleum).
- (2) Reflects restatement of maximum rates pursuant to official communication from the Ministry of Communications and Transportation dated February 28, 2000. Maximum rates for 1999 were revised for reference only.
- (3) Our concessions provide that each airport's maximum rate may be adjusted annually to take account of projected improvements in efficiency. For the five-year period ending December 31, 2003, the maximum rates applicable to our airports reflect a projected annual efficiency improvement of 1%.

Methodology For Determining Future Maximum Rates

The Rate Regulation provides that each airport's annual maximum rates are to be determined in five-year intervals based on the following variables:

- o Projections for the fifteen-year period of work load units (each of which is equivalent to one passenger or 100 kilograms (220 pounds) of cargo), operating costs and expenses (excluding amortization and depreciation) related to services subject to price regulation.
- o Projections for the fifteen-year period of capital expenditures related to regulated services, based on air traffic forecasts and quality of standards for services to be derived from the master development plans.
- Reference values, which were established in the concessions and are designed to reflect the net present value of the regulated revenues minus the corresponding regulated operating costs and expenses (excluding amortization and depreciation), and capital expenditures related to the provision of regulated services plus a terminal value. New reference values were issued in the second quarter of 2001 based on the master development plans that were approved by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation on July 28, 2000.
- o A discount rate to be determined by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. The concessions provide that the discount rate shall reflect the cost of capital to Mexican and international companies in the airport industry

(on a pre-tax basis), as well as Mexican economic conditions. The concessions provide that the discount rate shall be at least equal to the average yield of long-term Mexican government debt securities quoted in the international markets during the prior 24 months plus a risk premium to be determined by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation based on the inherent risk of the airport business in Mexico.

Our concessions specify a discounted cash flow formula to be used to determine the maximum rates that, given the projected pre-tax earnings, capital expenditures and discount rate, would result in a net present value equal to the reference values established in connection with the last determination of maximum rates.

Our concessions provide that each airport's maximum rate may be adjusted annually to take account of projected improvements in efficiency. For the five-year period ending December 31, 2003, the maximum rates applicable to our airports reflect a projected annual efficiency improvement of 1%.

The concessions provide that each airport's reference values, discount rate and the other variables used in calculating the maximum rates are not guarantees and do not in any manner represent an undertaking by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation or the Mexican government as to the performance of any concession holder. To the extent that the revenues from services subject to price regulation in any period are less than an airport's maximum rate multiplied by the work load units processed for such period, no adjustment will be made to compensate for this shortfall.

To the extent that such aggregate revenues per work load unit exceed the relevant maximum rate, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation may proportionately reduce the maximum rate in the immediately subsequent year and assess penalties equivalent to 1,000 to 50,000 times the general minimum wage in the Federal District (Mexico City). On December 31, 2002, the daily minimum wage in Mexico City was Ps.42.15. As a result, the maximum penalty at such date could have been Ps.2.0 million (U.S.\$201,000). In the event that a concession holder fails to comply with certain terms of its concession, or violates certain other terms of its concession after having been sanctioned at least three times for violation of that concession, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation is entitled to revoke its concession. We would face similar sanctions for any violations of the Mexican Airport Law or its regulations. A full discussion of circumstances which might lead to a revocation of a concession may be found below at "Penalties and Termination and Revocation of Concessions and Concession Assets."

Our concessions provide that during 1999 and 2000 our calculation of work load units (one passenger or 100 kilograms (220 pounds) of cargo) did not include transit passengers. There is a possibility that in the future our work load units may include transit passengers and the Ministry of Communications and Transportation will decrease our maximum rates to reflect this higher passenger base. Although there can be no assurance, we do not expect this change to occur in the short term or have a material adverse effect on our revenues if and when it happens.

Special Adjustments to Maximum Rates

Once determined, each airport's maximum rates are subject to special adjustment only under the following circumstances:

O Change in law or natural disasters. A concession holder may request an adjustment in its maximum rates if a change in law with respect to quality standards or safety and environmental

protection results in operating costs or capital expenditures that were not contemplated when its maximum rates were determined. In addition, a concession holder may also request an adjustment in its maximum rates if a natural disaster affects demand or requires unanticipated capital expenditures. There can be no assurance that any request on these grounds would be approved.

- Macroeconomic conditions. A concession holder may also request an adjustment in its maximum rates if, as a result of a decrease of at least 5% in Mexican gross domestic product in a 12-month period, the work load units processed in the concession holder's airport are less than that projected when its maximum rates were determined. To grant an adjustment under these circumstances, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation must have already allowed the concession holder to decrease its projected capital improvements as a result of the decline in passenger traffic volume. There can be no assurance that any request on these grounds would be approved.
- o Increase in concession fee under Mexican Federal Duties Law. An increase in duty payable by a concession holder under the Mexican Federal Duties Law entitles the concession holder to request an adjustment in its maximum rates. There can be no assurance that any request on these grounds would be approved.
- o Failure to make required investments or improvements. The Ministry of Communications and Transportation annually is required to review each concession holder's compliance with its master development plan (including the provision of services and the making of capital investments). If a concession holder fails to satisfy any of the investment commitments contained in its master development plan, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation is entitled to decrease the concession holder's maximum rates and assess penalties.
- o Excess revenues. In the event that revenues subject to price regulation per work load unit in any year exceed the applicable maximum rate, the maximum rate for the following year will be decreased to compensate airport users for overpayment in the previous year. Under these circumstances, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation is also entitled to assess penalties against the concession holder.

Ownership Commitments and Restrictions

The concessions require us to retain a 51% direct ownership interest in each of our nine concession holders throughout the term of these concessions. Any acquisition by us or one of our concession holders of any additional airport concessions or of a beneficial interest of 30% or more of another concession holder requires the consent of the Antitrust Commission. In addition, the concessions prohibit us and our concession holders, collectively or individually, from acquiring more than one concession for the operation of an airport along each of Mexico's southern and northern borders.

Air carriers are prohibited under the Mexican Airport Law from controlling or beneficially owning 5% or more of the shares of a holder of an airport concession. We, and each of our subsidiaries, are similarly restricted from owning 5% or more of the shares of any air carrier.

Foreign governments acting in a sovereign capacity are prohibited

from owning any direct or indirect equity interest in a holder of an airport concession.

Reporting, Information and Consent Requirements

Concession holders and third parties providing services at airports are required to provide the Ministry of Communications and Transportation access to all airport facilities and information relating to an airport's construction, operation, maintenance and development. Each concession holder is obligated to maintain statistical records of operations and air traffic movements in its airport and to provide the Ministry of Communications and Transportation with any information that it may request. Each concession holder is also required to publish its annual audited consolidated financial statements in a principal Mexican newspaper within the first four months of each year.

The Mexican Airport Law provides that any person or group directly or indirectly acquiring control of a concession holder is required to obtain the consent of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation to such control acquisition. For purposes of this requirement, control is deemed to be acquired in the following circumstances:

- o if a person acquires 35% or more of the shares of a concession holder,
- o if a person has the ability to control the outcome of meetings of the stockholders of a concession holder,
- o if a person has the ability to appoint a majority of the members of the board of directors of a concession holder, and
- o if a person by any other means acquires control of an airport.

Under the regulations to the Mexican Airport Law, any company acquiring control of a concession holder is deemed to be jointly and severally liable with the concession holder for the performance of the terms and conditions of the concession.

The Ministry of Communications and Transportation is required to be notified upon any change in a concession holder's chief executive officer, board of directors or management. A concession holder is also required to notify the Ministry of Communications and Transportation at least 90 days prior to the adoption of any amendment to its bylaws concerning the dissolution, corporate purpose, merger, transformation or spin-off of the concession holder.

Penalties and Termination and Revocation of Concessions and Concession Assets

The Mexican Airport Law provides that sanctions of up to 400,000 times the minimum daily wage in the Federal District (Mexico City) may be assessed for failures to comply with the terms of a concession. On December 31. 2002, the daily minimum wage in Mexico City was Ps.42.15. As a result, the maximum penalty at such date could have been Ps.16.9 million (U.S.\$1.7 million).

Under the Mexican Airport Law and the terms of the concessions, a concession may be terminated upon any of the following events:

- o expiration of its term,
- o surrender by the concession holder,
- o revocation of the concession by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation,

- o reversion of the Mexican government-owned assets that are the subject of the concession (principally real estate, improvements and other infrastructure),
- o inability to achieve the purpose of the concession, except in the event of force majeure, or
- o dissolution, liquidation or bankruptcy of the concession holder.

Following a concession's termination, the concession holder remains liable for the performance of its obligations during the term of the concession.

Upon termination, whether as a result of expiration or revocation, the real estate and fixtures that were the subject of the concession automatically revert to the Mexican government. In addition, upon termination the Mexican federal government has a preemptive right to acquire all other assets used by the concession holder to provide services under the concession at prices determined by expert appraisers appointed by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. Alternatively, the Mexican government may elect to lease these assets for up to five years at fair market rates as determined by expert appraisers appointed by the Mexican government and the concession holder. In the event of a discrepancy between appraisals, a third expert appraiser must be jointly appointed by the Mexican government and the concession holder. If the concession holder does not appoint an expert appraiser, or if such appraiser fails to determine a price, the determination of the appraiser appointed by the Mexican government will be conclusive. If the Mexican government chooses to lease the assets, it may thereafter purchase the assets at their fair market value, as determined by an expert appraiser jointly appointed by the Mexican government and the concession holder.

The Mexican Communications Law, however, provides that upon expiration, termination or revocation of a concession, all assets necessary to operate the airports will revert to the Mexican government, at no cost, and free of any liens or other encumbrances. There is substantial doubt as to whether the provisions of our concessions would prevail over those of the Mexican Communications Law. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that upon expiration or termination of our concessions the assets used by our subsidiary concession holders to provide services at our airports will not revert to the Mexican government, free of charge, together with government-owned assets and improvements permanently attached thereto.

A concession may be revoked by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation under certain conditions, including:

- o the failure by a concession holder to begin operating, maintaining and developing an airport pursuant to the terms established in the concession,
- o the failure by a concession holder to maintain insurance as required under the Mexican Airport Law,
- o the assignment, encumbrance, transfer or sale of a concession, any of the rights thereunder or the assets underlying the concession in violation of the Mexican Airport Law,
- o any alteration of the nature or condition of an airport's facilities without the authorization of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation,
- o use, with a concession holder's consent or without the approval of air traffic control authorities, of an airport by

any aircraft that does not comply with the requirements of the Mexican Civil Aviation Law, that has not been authorized by the Mexican air traffic control authority, or that is involved in the commission of a felony,

- o knowingly appointing a chief executive officer or board member of a concession holder that is not qualified to perform his functions under the law as a result of having violated criminal laws,
- o a violation of the safety regulations established in the Mexican Airport Law and other applicable laws,
- o a total or partial interruption of the operation of an airport or its airport or complementary services without justified cause,
- o the failure of ASUR to be the beneficial owner of at least 51% of the capital stock of its subsidiary concession holders,
- o the failure to maintain the airport's facilities,
- o the provision of unauthorized services,
- o the failure to indemnify a third party for damages caused by the provision of services by the concession holder or a third-party service provider,
- o charging prices higher than those registered with the Ministry of Communications and Transportation for regulated services or exceeding the applicable maximum rate,
- o any act or omission that impedes the ability of other service providers or authorities to carry out their functions within the airport, or
- o any other failure to comply with the Mexican Airport Law, its regulations and the terms of a concession.

The Ministry of Communications and Transportation is entitled to revoke a concession without prior notice as a result of the first six events described above. In the case of other violations, a concession may be revoked as a result of a violation only if sanctions have been imposed at least three times with respect to the same violation.

According to the Mexican National Assets Law, Mexico's national patrimony consists of private and government-owned assets of the Federation. The surface area of our airports and improvements on such space are considered government-owned assets. A concession concerning government-owned assets may be "rescued," or revert to the Mexican government prior to the concession's expiration, when considered necessary for the public interest. In exchange, the Mexican government is required to pay compensation as determined by expert appraisers. Following a declaration of "rescue," or reversion, the assets that were subject to the concession are automatically returned to the Mexican government.

In the event of war, public disturbances or threats to national security, the Mexican government may requisition any airport, airport and complementary services as well as any other airport assets. Such government action may exist only during the duration of the emergency. Except in the case of war, the Mexican federal government is required to compensate all affected parties for any damages or losses suffered as a result of such government

action. If the Mexican government and a concession holder cannot agree as to the appropriate amount of damages or losses, the amount of damages shall be determined by experts jointly appointed by both parties and the amount of losses shall be determined based on the average net income of the concession holder during the previous year.

Environmental Matters

Our operations are subject to Mexican federal and state laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. The principal environmental laws include the General Law of Ecological Balance and Environmental Protection, or the Ecological Law, which is administered by the Federal Attorney's Office for the Protection of the Environment, the enforcement arm of the Ministry of the Environment, Natural Resources and Fishing, and the Law of National Waters and its regulations, which are administered by the National Water Commission. Under the Ecological Law, regulations have been promulgated concerning air pollution, environmental impact studies, noise control and hazardous wastes. The Ecological Law also regulates vibrations, thermal energy, soil pollution and visual pollution that result from construction, although the Mexican government has not yet issued specific enforcement standards on these issues. Pursuant to the Law of National Waters, companies that discharge waste water must comply with maximum allowable contaminant levels in order to preserve water quality. The Ecological Law also provides that companies that contaminate the soil are responsible for clean-up. Promulgated pursuant to the Ecological Law, Mexican Official Norms, which are technical regulations issued by a competent regulatory authority, establish standards relating to air emissions, discharges of pollution and waste water and the handling of hazardous waste. Mexican Official Norms also regulate noise pollution. The Federal Attorney's Office for the Protection of the Environment can bring administrative, civil and criminal proceedings against companies that violate environmental laws, and it also has the power to close non-complying facilities. Every company in Mexico is required to provide the National Institute of Ecology, the regulatory arm of the Ministry of the Environment, Natural Resources and Fishing, with periodic reports regarding compliance with the Ecological Law and the regulations thereunder.

Prior to the opening of Mexico's airports to investment, the Federal Attorney's Office for the Protection of the Environment required that environmental audits be performed at each of our airports. Based on the results of these audits, our predecessor entered into agreements with this agency for each of our airports in which it undertook to make specified improvements and take other corrective actions. In connection with the transfer of the management of the southeast airports from our predecessor, we assumed the obligations under these environmental agreements. In April 1999, we entered into amended agreements with this agency revising the actions required to be taken and the schedule for completion of these actions. While we had previously reflected environmental liabilities related to these assumed obligations on our balance sheet in past years, we believe that we have satisfactorily completed the actions we were required to take. Accordingly, our balance sheets as of December 31, 2001 and 2002 do not reflect any such environmental liabilities. Additionally, under the terms of our concessions, the Mexican government has agreed to indemnify us for any environmental liabilities arising prior to March 19, 1998 and for any failure by the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency prior to November 1, 1998 to comply with its agreements with Mexican environmental authorities. Although there can be no assurance, we believe that we are entitled to be indemnified for the amounts related to the actions our predecessor was required to perform under these agreements. For further information regarding these liabilities, see Note 13 to our financial statements.

The level of environmental regulation in Mexico has increased in recent years, and the enforcement of the law is becoming more stringent. We

expect this trend to continue and to be stimulated by international agreements between Mexico and the United States. We do not expect that compliance with Mexican environmental laws or Mexican state environmental laws will have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations. There can be no assurance, however, that environmental regulations or the enforcement thereof will not change in a manner that could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, prospects or financial condition.

The Procuraduria Federal de Proteccion Ambiental (PROFEPA) has issued "clean industry" certificates for all of our airports. These certificates certify compliance with applicable Mexican environmental law regulations.

Organizational Structure

The following table sets forth our consolidated subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002, including the ownership interest:

Subsidiary	Ownership Interest
Aeropuerto de Cancun, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Cozumel, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Merida, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Huatulco, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Oaxaca, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Veracruz, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Villahermosa, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Tapachula, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Minatitlan, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Servicios Aeroportuarios del Sureste, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%

Property, Plant, And Equipment

Pursuant to the Mexican General Law of National Assets, all real estate and fixtures in our airports are owned by the Mexican nation. Each of our concessions is scheduled to terminate in 2048, although each concession may be extended one or more times for up to an aggregate of an additional fifty years. The option to extend a concession is subject to our acceptance of any changes to such concession that may be imposed by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation and our compliance with the terms of our current concessions. Upon expiration of our concessions, these assets automatically revert to the Mexican nation, including improvements we may have made during the terms of the concessions, free and clear of any liens and/or encumbrances, and we will be required to indemnify the Mexican government for damages to these assets, except for those caused by normal wear and tear.

Our corporate headquarters are located in Mexico City.

We maintain comprehensive insurance coverage that covers the principal assets of our airports and other property, subject to customary limits, against damage due to natural disasters, accidents or similar events. We do not maintain business interruption insurance.

Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects

The following discussion is derived from our financial statements, which are presented in Item 18 of this Form 20-F. This discussion does not include all of the information included in these financial statements. You should read these financial statements to gain a better understanding of our business and our historical results of operations and those of our predecessor.

Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Mexican GAAP, which differ in certain respects from U.S. GAAP. See Note 15 to

our financial statements for a description of the principal differences between Mexican GAAP and U.S. GAAP as they relate to us.

Changes Resulting from Opening of Mexican Airport Sector to Private Investment

Prior to November 1, 1998, the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency operated our nine airports as part of a single network that included substantially all of Mexico's principal airports. On November 1, 1998, the operations of our nine airports were transferred to us, although the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency continued to manage our airports through April 19, 1999 under a management services agreement. During the transition from management of our business by our predecessor to our management, our business experienced several significant changes which affected the combined results of operations of our nine airports.

First, prior to November 1, 1998, our predecessor recorded depreciation expense for the infrastructure assets related to our nine airports. We began operating the nine southeast region airports on November 1, 1998 pursuant to concessions held by our subsidiaries. Under the terms of these concessions, our subsidiaries are entitled to operate, maintain and develop our nine airports, although the infrastructure relating to these airports continues to be owned by the Mexican nation. We have allocated the costs incurred to acquire our concessions to the rights to use airport facilities, based on the results of an independent appraisal, and to certain environmental liabilities assumed. The excess cost was allocated to airport concessions. Note 2(e) to our financial statements provides a more detailed discussion of this allocation. Beginning November 1, 1998, we began amortizing our investment in the nine concessions for financial reporting purposes on a straight-line basis over the initial fifty-year term of the concessions. The amount allocated to the rights to use the airport facilities is being amortized over the remaining estimated useful lives of the assets.

Second, the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency was required to pay the Mexican government a usage fee. This fee was assessed at an annual rate of 5.8% of each airport's consolidated assets at period-end. This fee ceased to apply to the nine southeast region airports upon their transfer to us on November 1, 1998. Beginning November 1, 1998, we became subject to the Mexican Federal Duties Law, which requires each of our subsidiary concession holders to pay a concession fee to the Mexican government, which is currently equal to 5% of the gross annual revenues of each concession holder obtained from the use of public domain assets pursuant to the terms of its concession.

Third, the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency was not required to pay income taxes, while we and each of our subsidiaries are subject to the tax regime applicable to Mexican corporations. Mexican companies are generally required to pay the higher of their income tax liability (determined at a rate of 35% for 1999 through 2002, 34% for 2003, 33% for 2004 and 32% thereafter) or their asset tax liability (determined at a rate of 1.8% of the average tax value of virtually all of their assets (including, in our case, our concessions), less the average tax value of certain liabilities (basically liabilities with Mexican residents excluding those with financial institutions or their intermediaries)). To the extent a company is required to pay the asset tax in any year, the portion of that tax that exceeds the company's income tax liability may be credited against the company's income tax liability in subsequent years. We are amortizing our investment in our concessions for tax purposes at rates ranging from 6% to 10%. We expect this accelerated depreciation to allow us to reduce our current income tax and employee statutory profit sharing payments. We will continue to record a deferred tax provision in our financial statements with respect to these amounts. Mexican companies are generally exempt from the asset tax during the first three full fiscal years following the commencement of operations (which in our case occurred on November 1, 1998). Accordingly, we were exempt from the asset tax until December 31,

2001. In 2002, we and each of our subsidiaries paid an aggregate of Ps.155.9 million in asset taxes. On January 1, 2000, we became subject to the mandatory employee statutory profit sharing regime established under the Mexican federal labor law. Under this regime, 10% of each unconsolidated company's annual profits (as calculated for tax purposes) must be distributed among its employees, other than its chief executive officer.

Fourth, beginning April 19, 1999 our results of operations reflect the accrual of a technical assistance fee to ITA under the technical assistance agreement. This fee is explained in Item 4. "Information on the Company—History and Development of the Company—Investment by ITA."

Passenger Traffic Volume and Composition

To date, a substantial majority of the revenues generated from our nine airports have been earned from aeronautical services. For example, in 2001 and 2002, 84.9% and 80.7%, respectively, of our revenues were derived from aeronautical services and 15.1% and 19.3%, respectively, of our revenues were derived from non-aeronautical services.

Our principal source of revenues is passenger charges, which are charges collected from airlines for each passenger (other than diplomats, infants and transfer and transit passengers) departing from the airport terminals that we operate. In each of 2001 and 2002, passenger charges represented 73.9% of our aeronautical revenues and 62.7% and 59.6%, respectively, of our consolidated revenues. Passenger charges in the past have represented at least half of the total revenues earned at our nine airports. Thus, the principal factor affecting our results of operations is the number of passengers using our airports.

In recent years, the aggregate passenger traffic volume in our airports has been divided between domestic and international passengers at fairly constant levels. In each of 2001 and 2002, for example, approximately 59.0% and 58.3%, respectively, of the passengers using our airports were international and the remaining 41.0% and 41.7%, respectively, were domestic. During 2001 and 2002, 40.0% and 37.4%, of our total revenues were derived from passenger charges collected from international passengers.

Of the international passengers traveling through our airports, a majority historically have traveled on flights originating in or departing to the United States. In 2001 and 2002, for example, approximately 40.4% and 40.4%, respectively, of the total passengers and approximately 68.4% and 69.2%, respectively, of the international passengers in our airports arrived or departed on flights originating in or departing to the United States. Accordingly, our results of operations are substantially influenced by U.S. economic conditions, particularly trends affecting leisure travel and consumer spending. Many of these factors affecting the passenger traffic volume and the mix of passenger traffic in our airports are beyond our control.

Passenger Traffic Following September 11, 2001

The terrorist attacks on the United States on September 11, 2001 have had a severe adverse impact on the global air transport industry. At our airports, air passenger traffic decreased substantially following the attacks. The following chart reflects the impact of the decrease in passenger traffic after September 11 on an airport-by-airport basis as compared to prior periods.

Passenger Traffic(1)
(In thousands of passengers, except percentages)

	Ser	ptember	October-December				Janua
	2000	2001	change	2000	2001	change 	2001
Cancun	494.4	414.6	(16.1)	1,670.0	1,450.6	(13.1)	7,640.0
Merida	62.9	57.5	(8.6)	225.2	206.9	(8.1)	919.4
Cozumel	30.9	22.0	(28.8)	107.4	83.8	(22.0)	565.2
Villahermosa	42.9	39.5	(7.9)	136.4	126.5	(7.3)	533.2
Oaxaca	32.0	29.7	(7.1)	117.1	107.4	(8.3)	440.2
Veracruz	38.9	36.8	(5.4)	126.2	117.7	(6.7)	503.4
Huatulco	17.4	15.8	(9.2)	77.7	63.6	(18.1)	317.3
Tapachula	16.3	14.3	(12.3)	51.3	44.5	(13.2)	190.4
Minatitlan	12.4	10.7	(13.7)	36.4	32.0	(12.1)	131.2
Total	748.1	640.9	(14.3)	2,547.7	2,233.0	(12.4)	11,240.3

(1) Passenger figures exclude transit and general aviation passengers.

Classification of Revenues and Price Regulation

For financial reporting purposes, we classify our revenues into two categories: revenues from aeronautical services and revenues from non-aeronautical services. Our revenues from aeronautical services are earned from passenger charges, landing charges, aircraft parking charges, charges for airport security services and for the use of passenger walkways. Our revenues from non-aeronautical services are earned from the leasing of space in our airports to airlines, retailers and other commercial tenants, access fees collected from third parties providing complementary services at our airports and related miscellaneous sources.

On May 1, 1999, revenues from our airports became subject to a "dual-till" price regulation system. Under this system, a substantial portion of our revenues, such as revenues from passenger charges, landing charges, aircraft parking charges and access fees from third parties providing services at our airports, are regulated. Based on our classification of our revenues for financial reporting purposes, all of our revenues from aeronautical services and certain of our revenues from non-aeronautical services are regulated. The system of price regulation applicable to our airports establishes an annual maximum rate for each airport, which is the maximum annual amount of revenues per work load unit (which is equal to one passenger or 100 kilograms (220 pounds) of cargo) that we may earn at that airport from services subject to price regulation. The maximum rates for our airports have been determined for each year through December 31, 2003. In 2001 and 2002, approximately 90.8% and 86.1%, respectively, of our total revenues and approximately 39.3% and 28.2%, respectively, of our revenues from non-aeronautical services were earned from regulated sources of revenues. Revenues from our leasing of space in our terminals (other than space leased to airlines and other space deemed essential to our airports by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation) are currently not regulated under this price regulation system.

The following table sets forth our revenues for the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000, 2001 and 2002, based on the categories of services established under the Mexican Airport Law.

			Year ended Dec	cen
	2000		2001	
	Amount		Amount	KCE P
Regulated Revenues:				
Airport Services(1) Ps	s. 1,164,818	91.1%	Ps. 1,117,991	
Non-regulated Revenues:				
Access fees from non-permanent ground				
transportation	14,972	1.1%	3,144	
Car parking and related access fees	8,899	0.7%	12,906	
Other fees	1,039	0.1%	949	
Complementary Services(1)	953	0.1%	0	
Commercial Services	84,186	6.6%	88 , 519	
Other Services	4,271		7,117	
Total Ps	s. 1,279,138		Ps. 1,230,626	
==		=====	==========	

Access fees charged to third parties providing complementary services in our airports are recorded under regulated airport services.

Effects of Devaluation and Inflation

The following table sets forth, for the periods presented:

- o the percentage that the Mexican peso devalued or appreciated against the U.S. dollar,
- o the Mexican inflation rate,
- o the U.S. inflation rate, and
- o the percentage that Mexican gross domestic product, or GDP, changed as compared to the previous period.

	Year	ended December 31,
	2000	2001 2
Depreciation (appreciation) of the Mexican Peso as compared to the U.S. dollar(1)	0.61% 8.9% 3.4% 6.9%	(4.2)% 4.4% 1.6% (0.3)%

(1) Based on changes in the rates for calculating foreign exchange liabilities, as reported by Banco de Mexico, the Mexican Central Bank, at the end of each period, which were as follows: Ps.9.5143 per U.S. dollar as of December 31, 1999, Ps.9.5722 per U.S. dollar as of December 31,

2000, Ps.9.1695 per U.S. dollar as of December 31, 2001 and Ps. 10.4393 per U.S. dollar as of December 31, 2002.

- (2) Based on changes in the Mexican consumer price index from the previous period, as reported by the Banco de Mexico. The Mexican consumer price index at year end was: 308.9 in 1999, 336.6 in 2000, and 351.4 in 2001 and 371.4 in 2002.
- (3) As reported by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Statistics.
- (4) In real terms, as reported by the Mexican National Statistical, Geographic and Information Institute (INEGI).

The general condition of the Mexican economy, the devaluation of the peso as compared to the dollar, inflation and high interest rates have in the past adversely affected, and may in the future adversely affect, our:

- Depreciation and amortization expense--We restate our non-monetary Mexican and foreign assets to give effect to inflation. The restatement of these assets in periods of high inflation increases the carrying value of these assets in pesos, which in turn increases the related depreciation expense.
- Passenger charges—Passenger charges for international passengers are currently denominated in dollars, while passenger charges for domestic passengers are denominated in pesos. Because Mexican GAAP requires Mexican companies to restate their results of operations in prior periods in constant pesos as of the most recent balance sheet date, when the rate of inflation in a period exceeds the devaluation rate for that period, the peso value of dollar-denominated or dollar-linked revenues in the prior period will be higher than those of the current period. This effect may occur despite the fact that the amount of such revenues in dollar terms may have been greater in the current period.
- o Comprehensive financing cost--As required by Mexican GAAP, our comprehensive financing cost reflects gains or losses from foreign exchange and gains or losses from monetary position.
- Maximum rates—Our tariffs for the services we provide to international flights or international passengers are denominated in U.S. dollars, but are generally paid in Mexican pesos based on the average exchange rate for the month prior to each flight. We generally collect passenger charges from airlines 30 to 60 days following the date of each flight. We intend to charge prices that are as close as possible to the maximum rates that we can charge. Because we generally are entitled to adjust our specific prices only once every six months (or earlier upon a cumulative increase of 5% in the Mexican producer price index (excluding petroleum)), a devaluation of the peso, particularly late in the year, could cause us to exceed the maximum rates at one or more of our airports which could lead to the termination of one of our concessions. In the event that any one of our concessions is terminated, our other concessions may also be terminated.

Revenues from Aeronautical Services and Non-aeronautical Services

The following table sets forth our revenues from aeronautical services and non-aeronautical services for the periods presented.

Revenues

Year Ended December 31,

	2000			2001		
				ons of pesos)		
Aeronautical Services:						
Passenger charges	Ps	825.6	Ps.	772.0	Ps.	
Landing charges		104.2		104.6		
Aircraft parking charges		124.7		130.1		
Airport security charges		15.4		16.0		
Passenger walkway charges		21.1		22.3		
Total		1,091.0		1,045.0		1
Non-aeronautical Services:						
Leasing of space		84.2		98.9		
Access fees from catering		17.1		14.9		
Access fees from ground transport		17.7		20.9		
Other access fees		52.0		41.6		
Other		17.1		9.3		
Total		188.1		185.6		
Total Revenues:	Ps.	1,279.1	Ps	1,230.6	Ps.	1

Operating Results by Airport

 $\,$ The following table sets forth our results of operations for the periods presented.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2000		2		
	Airport Operating Resul			 ts	
			(millions		
Cancun:					
Revenues:					
Aeronautical services	Ps.	766.7	Ps.	737.6	Рs
Non-aeronautical services		133.0		126.9	
Total revenues		899.7		864.5	
Operating income		409.7		384.2	
Merida:					
Revenues:					
Aeronautical services		78.2		77.7	
Non-aeronautical services		18.4		18.1	
Total revenues		96.6		95.8	
Operating income		9.7		14.5	
Cozumel:					
Revenues:					
Aeronautical services		52.0		48.8	
Non-aeronautical services		9.3		10.7	

Total revenues	61.3	59.5
Operating (loss) income	5.1	8.5
Other: (1)		
Revenues:		
Aeronautical services	194.1	180.9
Non-aeronautical services	27.4	29.9
Total revenues	221.5	210.8
Operating (loss) income	2.5	(8.5)
Total:		
Revenues:		
Aeronautical services	1,091.0	1,045.0
Non-aeronautical services	188.1	185.6
Total revenues	1,279.1	1,230.6
Operating income	427.0	398.7

(1) Reflects the results of operations of Servicios Aeroportuarios del Sureste, S.A. de C.V. (our administrative services subsidiary), our parent holding company, our airports located in Veracruz, Minatitlan, Oaxaca, Huatulco, Villahermosa and Tapachula and consolidation adjustments.

Summary Historical Results of Operations

 $\,$ The following table sets forth our consolidated results of operations for the periods presented.

			Consolida
			Year E
		2000	
			(tho
Revenues:			
Aeronautical services	Ps.	1,091,016 188,122 1,279,138	Ps.
Operating Expenses: Cost of services General and administrative expenses. Technical assistance(1). Concession fee(2). Depreciation and amortization. Total operating expenses. Operating income		(298,083) (111,633) (57,948) (63,914) (320,584) (852,162) 426,976	
Comprehensive Financing Cost: Interest income, net Exchange gains (losses), net		40,771 (3,495) (53,069) (15,793)	

Income before income taxes and employees' statutory profit sharing Benefit from (provision for) income taxes and employees'	411,183
statutory profit sharing	(179 , 901)
Extraordinary item	0
Net income	231,282
Other Operating Data (Unaudited): Operating margin(3)	33.4%
Net margin(4)	18.1%

- (1) Beginning April 19, 1999, we are required to pay ITA a technical assistance fee based on the technical assistance agreement. This fee is described in "History and Development of the Company--Investment by ITA" under Item 4.
- (2) Beginning November 1, 1998, each of our subsidiary concession holders is required to pay a concession fee to the Mexican government under the Mexican Federal Duties Law. The concession fee is currently 5% of each concession holder's gross annual revenues from the use of public domain assets pursuant to the terms of its concession.
- (3) Operating income divided by total revenues, expressed as a percentage. (4) Net income divided by total revenues, expressed as a percentage.

Results of Operations for the Year ended December 31, 2002 Compared to the Year ended December 31, 2001

Revenues

Total revenues for 2002 were Ps.1,240.6 million, 0.8% higher than the Ps.1,230.6 million recorded in 2001. The increase in total revenues resulted primarily from an increase in commercial revenues resulting from the opening of new, improved commercial spaces at the Cancun, Merida and Cozumel airports in the last quarter of 2001, which was partially offset by a decrease in revenues from aeronautical services resulting from a decrease in operations and international passenger traffic following the events of September 11, 2001.

Our revenues from aeronautical services, net of rebates, decreased 4.2% to Ps.1,001.4 million in 2002 from Ps.1,045.0 million in 2001, primarily as a result of a decrease in operations and international passenger traffic. Revenues from passenger charges decreased 4.1% to Ps. 740.0 million in 2002 (73.9% of our aeronautical revenues during the period) from Ps.772.0 million in 2001 (73.9% of our aeronautical revenues during the period). Other sources of aeronautical revenues were substantially the same in both periods.

Revenues from non-aeronautical services increased 28.9% to Ps. 239.2 million in 2002 from Ps.185.6 million in 2001, principally due to the opening of new, improved commercial spaces at the Cancun, Merida and Cozumel airports in the last quarter of 2001.

Our revenues from regulated sources of revenues in 2002 were Ps.1,068.7 million, a 4.4% decrease compared to Ps.1,118.0 million in 2001, mainly reflecting the decrease in passenger traffic volume described above. During 2002, Ps.171.9 million of our revenues were from non-regulated sources of revenues, 52.6% more than the Ps.112.7 million of revenues from non-regulated sources of revenues in 2001. This increase was primarily due to increased commercial revenues from the new commercial spaces opened at the airports in

Cancun, Merida and Cozumel in the last quarter of 2001.

Operating Expenses and Operating Income

Total operating expenses were Ps.885.4 million in 2002, a 6.4% increase from the Ps. 831.9 million recorded as operating expenses in 2001. As a percentage of total revenues, operating expenses increased to 71.4% of total revenues in 2002 from 67.6% of total revenues in 2001. The increase in total operating expenses resulted primarily from an increase in the cost of services, which was partially offset by a decline in technical assistance fees for the period, as explained below.

Cost of services increased 12.9% to Ps.343.9 million in 2002 from Ps.304.6 million in 2001. The increase was principally due to the cost of renewing third party liability insurance and acquiring additional insurance coverage against terrorist acts. Additionally, the cost of services for the year was impacted by increases in maintenance and energy costs, as new commercial areas opened in the fourth quarter of 2001.

General and administrative expenses increased 1.6% to Ps.107.0 million in 2002 from Ps.105.3 million in 2001. This increase was primarily attributable to a wage increase granted to non-unionized employees during the second quarter of 2002.

Technical assistance fees paid by ASUR decreased by 7.2% to Ps.37.4 million in 2002 from Ps. 40.3 million in 2001 and concession fees paid by ASUR increased by 0.8% to Ps. 62.0 million in 2002 from Ps. 61.5 million in 2001. Technical assistance fees decreased in 2002 because these fees are based on operating results, which decreased by 3.8%. Under the technical assistance agreement, ITA provides management and consulting services and transfers industry "know-how" and technology to ASUR in exchange for a technical assistance fee. This agreement is more fully described in "Related Party Transactions." Technical assistance fees are calculated by the greater of a fixed dollar amount or 5% of ASUR's annual consolidated earnings before comprehensive financing cost, income taxes and depreciation and amortization (determined in accordance with Mexican GAAP and calculated prior to deducting the technical assistance fee). The fixed dollar amount decreases during the agreement's initial five years. For further information regarding the calculation of the technical assistance fees and its minimum annual level, see "Item 4. Information on the Company--History and Development of the Company--Investment by ITA." The increase in concession fees is principally due to the year-to-date increase in overall revenues. Under the Mexican Federal Duties Law, each of our subsidiary concession holders is required to pay the Mexican government a concession fee based on its gross annual revenues from the use of public domain assets pursuant to the terms of its concession. Currently, this concession fee is set at a rate of 5% and may be revised annually by the Mexican Congress.

Depreciation and amortization costs increased by 4.6% to Ps. 335.1 million in 2002 from Ps. 320.2 million in 2001. This increase was principally due to additional depreciation of new commercial space at our three largest airports during the fourth quarter of 2001.

Operating income decreased 10.9% to Ps.355.2 million in 2002 from Ps.398.8 million in 2001. This decrease in operating income was primarily a result of increases in costs and expenses for the year, as discussed above.

Operating income for Cancun International Airport decreased by 0.7% to Ps. 381.3 million in 2002 from Ps.384.2 million in 2001. Operating income for our eight other airports, on an aggregate basis, changed to an operating loss of Ps. 17.8 million in 2002 from an operating income of Ps. 25.4 million in 2001. During 2002, revenues and passenger traffic volume in those eight airports

decreased 5.9% and 8.9%, respectively, from 2001. We believe that these declines resulted from a decline in domestic and international passenger traffic due to the events of September 11, 2001 in the United States. Cozumel airport was hit the hardest by the decline in international traffic due to a decrease in North American tourists who represent the great majority of travelers to this resort island. In addition, domestic passenger traffic to Cozumel airport was impacted by the suspension of flights to Cozumel by Aeroferinco, a Mexican airline. We cannot predict whether these trends are likely to continue in the future.

Comprehensive Financing Result

Net comprehensive financing result decreased 26.3% to income of Ps.27.2 million in 2002 as compared to income of Ps.36.9 million in 2001, primarily due to a decrease in net interest income from a lower average cash balance in 2002 due to dividends paid in the second quarter of 2002.

Income Taxes, Employees' Statutory Profit Sharing and Asset Tax

Provision for income taxes and employees' statutory profit sharing (all of which represented deferred income taxes and deferred employees' statutory profit sharing) decreased by 6.1% to Ps.151.5 million in 2002 to a Ps.161.4 million provision in 2001, primarily due to the tax rate reduction for future periods effective January 1, 2002, which was partially off-set by the asset tax of 155.6 million that we were subject to in 2002. Ps. 31.2 million of this asset tax was expensed and Ps. 124.4 million was capitalized as a recoverable asset tax.

Net Income

Net income decreased 17.5% from Ps.267.2 million in 2001 to Ps.220.3 million in 2002, principally as a result of an increase in operating expenses.

Results of Operations for the Year ended December 31, 2001 Compared to the Year ended December 31, 2000

Revenues

Total revenues for 2001 were Ps.1,230.6 million, 3.8% less than the Ps.1,279.1 million recorded in 2000. This decrease in total revenues resulted primarily from a 4.2% decrease in revenues from aeronautical services in 2001 as compared to 2000, which principally reflected the decline in passenger traffic following September 11, 2001. To a lesser extent, the decrease in total revenues reflected the impact of a 1.0% decrease in non-aeronautical revenues over the same period.

Our revenues from aeronautical services, net of rebates, decreased 4.2% to Ps.1,045.0 million in 2001 from Ps.1,091.0 million in 2000, primarily as a result of decreased revenues from passenger charges. Revenues from passenger charges decreased 6.5% from Ps.825.6 million in 2000 (75.7% of our aeronautical revenues during the period) to Ps.772.0 million in 2001 (73.9 % of our aeronautical revenues during the period). The decrease in aeronautical revenues was partially offset by higher revenues from aircraft parking charges, which increased to Ps.130.1 million during 2001 from Ps.124.7 million during 2000, principally as a result of longer airplane parking periods, which was offset by the decrease in air traffic volume. Other sources of aeronautical revenues were substantially the same in both periods.

Revenues from non-aeronautical services decreased 1.3% to Ps. 185.6 million in 2001 from Ps.188.1 million in 2000, mostly due to a decline in other access fees.

Our revenues from regulated sources of revenues in 2001 were Ps.1,118.0 million, as compared to Ps.1,164.8 million in 2000, mainly reflecting the decrease in passenger traffic volume described above. During 2001, Ps.112.6 million of our revenues were from non-regulated sources of revenues, 1.5% less than the Ps.114.3 million of revenues from non-regulated sources of revenues in 2000.

Operating Expenses and Operating Income

Total operating expenses were Ps.831.9 million in 2001, a 2.4% decrease from the Ps.852.2 million recorded as operating expenses in 2000. As a percentage of total revenues, operating expenses increased from 66.6% of total revenues in 2000 to 67.6% of total revenues in 2001. The decrease in total operating expenses in absolute terms principally resulted from concession fees and general administrative expenses, which more than offset an increase in cost of services.

Cost of services increased 2.2% to Ps.304.6 million in 2001 from Ps.298.1 million in 2000. The increase principally reflected higher expenses related to security services in 2001, as these services are now provided by external personnel. The increase was partially offset by a 17.4% year-over-year decline in personnel costs.

General and administrative expenses decreased to Ps.105.3 million in 2001 from Ps.111.6 million in 2000. This decrease was primarily attributable to the outsourcing of security services discussed above.

Technical assistance fees decreased by 30.5% and concession fees decreased by 3.7% from 2000 to 2001. The decrease in concession fees is principally due to lower revenues in 2001. The decrease in technical assistance fees was mainly due to the scheduled reduction in the minimum fixed dollar amount of the fee in 2001, as compared to 2000. Because of this decrease, technical assistance fees in 2001 were based on operating results. For further explanation of the calculation of the technical assistance fees and its minimum annual level, see "Item 4. Information on the Company—History and Development of the Company—Investment by ITA."

Depreciation and amortization costs were substantially the same in 2001 as they were in 2000, at Ps.320.5 million.

Operating income decreased 6.6% to Ps.398.7 million in 2001 from Ps.427.0 million in 2000. This decrease in operating income was primarily a result of the 3.8% decrease in revenue from 2000 to 2001, which more than offset the 2.4% decrease in total operating expenses during the same period.

Operating income in Cancun International Airport decreased by 6.2% during 2001 as compared to 2000. Operating income in each of our eight other airports declined or remained substantially the same during 2001 as compared to 2000. During 2001, revenues and passenger traffic volume in those eight airports generally were less than or substantially the same as the corresponding figures during 2000. We believe that the lack of income growth at those eight airports was due to a decrease in domestic passenger traffic volume that reflected local conditions, including decreased local economic activity at Coatzacoalcos, higher costs of travel due to less local carrier competition, the closing of a major resort facility at Huatulco and decreased domestic tourism as Mexicans took advantage of the strong peso and traveled abroad. We cannot predict whether these trends are likely to continue in the future.

Comprehensive Financing Cost

Comprehensive financing cost produced net income of Ps.36.9 million in 2001 as compared to a net expense of Ps.15.8 million recorded in 2000,

primarily due to an increase in net interest income principally reflecting higher cash balances in 2001.

Income Taxes and Employees' Statutory Profit Sharing

We recorded a Ps.161.4 million provision for income taxes and employees' statutory profit sharing (all of which represented deferred income taxes and deferred employees' statutory profit sharing) in 2001, as compared to a Ps.180.0 million provision (all of which represented deferred income taxes and deferred employees' statutory profit sharing) in 2000.

Net Income

Net income increased 15.5% from Ps.231.3 million in 2000 to Ps.267.2 million in 2001, principally as a result of our comprehensive financing income in 2001.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, our operations have been funded through cash flow from operations. The cash flow generated from our operations has generally been used to fund operating expenses, to increase our cash balances and to fund the acquisition cost of three businesses that we began operating July 1, 1999. In addition, in 2002 we used Ps.469.3 million for the payment of dividends. These three businesses, which were previously operated by third parties in two of our airports, were acquired for an aggregate purchase price of U.S.\$39.6 million (U.S.\$11.9 million of which was paid in cash at June 30, 1999 and U.S.\$27.7 million of which was paid on June 30, 2000 upon the maturity of the notes issued in connection with the acquisitions). These acquisitions and their impact on our results of operations are more fully described in Note 6 to our financial statements.

In 2002, we generated Ps.561.2 million in resources from operating activities. Our resources used in financing activities were Ps.728.2 million, reflecting the payment of dividends in the second quarter of 2002 and our resources used in investing activities were Ps.265.1 million in 2002 for the acquisition of fixed assets.

In 2001, we generated Ps.674.0 million in resources from operating activities. During the same period there was no financing activity and therefore no financing resources were generated or used. Resources used in investing activities were Ps.362.9 million, reflecting the expansion and remodeling of the main terminals at Cancun, Cozumel and Merida airports and the purchase of machinery, furniture and equipment.

In 2000, we generated Ps.785.9 million in resources from operating activities. During that year, our resources used in financing activities were Ps.326.1 million, reflecting the payment at maturity on June 30, 2000 of the notes incurred to finance a portion of the acquisition of the three businesses acquired on June 30, 1999. Resources used in investing activities were Ps.233.3 million, reflecting purchases of machinery, furniture and equipment.

Under the terms of our concessions, each of our subsidiary concession holders is required to present a master development plan for approval by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation every five years. Each master development plan includes investment commitments (including capital expenditures and improvements) of the concession holder for the succeeding five-year period. Once approved by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, these commitments become binding obligations under the terms of our concessions. On July 28, 2000, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation approved each of our master development plans. The current terms of the master development plans will be in effect until December 31, 2003. We

expect to submit our next master development plans to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation for its review and approval by July 15, 2003. Upon approval, these plans are scheduled to go into effect on January 1, 2004.

The following table sets forth our committed investments for each airport pursuant to the terms of our current master development plans for the periods presented. There can be no assurance as to the level of committed investments we will be required to undertake under our new master development plans.

Committed Investments

					Year end	led Decembe	er 31,
	2000(1)		2001 2002				
					(thous	ands of pe	esos)
Cancun	Ps.	295,394	Ps.	161,220	Ps.	60,617	Ps.
Merida		60,901		2,765		6,805	
Cozumel		75 , 999		32,206		15 , 798	
Villahermosa		22,660		2,276		23,738	
Oaxaca		46,725		570		8,710	
Veracruz		17,269		28,656		20,635	
Huatulco		11,334		14,950		38,801	
Tapachula		10,604		18,596		3,061	
Minatitlan		12,862		1,469		8,940	
Total	Ps.	553,748	Ps.	262,708	Ps.	187 , 105	Ps.
	=====	========	=====		=====		= ===

(1) Reflects committed investments for the peri from May 1, 1999 to December 31, 2000.

 $\,$ The following table sets forth our historical capital expenditures, in the periods indicated.

Capital Expenditures

Year ended December 31,	(thousands of pesos)(1)		
1998	Ps.	235,317 44,854 233,328 362,914 265,071	

(1) Expressed in constant pesos with purchasing power as of December 31, 2002.

We expect to fund our operations and capital expenditures in the short-term and long-term through cash flow from operations. We may also incur indebtedness from time to time.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses generated during the reporting period. There can be no assurance that actual results will not differ from those estimates. The impact and any associated risks related to such policies on our business operations are addressed where such policies affect our reported and expected financial results throughout our discussion of our results of operation in this Item 5. Some of these policies are discussed below. Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are both important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results that require us to exercise significant judgment. Our most critical accounting policies are described briefly below. For a detailed discussion of the application of these and other accounting policies, see notes 2 and 15 our financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

Our regulated revenues are subject to a maximum chargeable rate at each airport established by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. To avoid exceeding our maximum rates at year end, we may be required to take actions, including reducing prices during the latter part of the year or issuing credits or discounts to customers. These actions are recorded against revenues. If we exceed the maximum rate at any of our airports at the end of the year, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation may assess a fine and may reduce the maximum rate at that airport in the subsequent year. The imposition of sanctions for exceeding an airport's maximum rate can also result in termination of the concession if the maximum rate has been exceeded and sanctions have been imposed three times. In the event that any one of our concessions is terminated, our other concessions may also be terminated.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers and adjust credit limits based upon the customer's payment history and current creditworthiness. We continuously monitor collections and payments from our customers and maintain a provision for estimated credit losses based upon our historical experience and any specific customer collection issues that we have identified. While such credit losses have historically been within our expectations and the established allowance we have created to provide for such losses, we cannot guarantee that we will continue to experience the same credit loss rates that we have in the past. Since our accounts receivable are concentrated in the hands of a few large customers, a significant change in the liquidity or financial position of any one of these customers could have a material adverse impact on the collection of our accounts receivables and our future operating results.

Valuation of Rights to Use Airport Facilities and Airport Concessions

We periodically review the carrying value of our rights to use airport facilities and airport concessions for continued appropriateness. This review is based upon our projections of anticipated future cash flows over the life of the asset or our concessions, as appropriate. Since our airport concessions expire in 2047, significant management judgment is required in estimating these future cash flows. While we believe that our estimates of future cash flows are reasonable, different assumptions regarding such cash flows could materially affect our evaluations. Further, in analyzing the carrying value of our airport concessions we compare the aggregate carrying value of all nine of our airport concessions to the net cash flows derived from all of the airports, as permitted by accounting literature. The aggregate net cash flows from all of our airports exceed the carrying value of the airport concessions. Accordingly, because we analyze our valuation estimates on an aggregate level, we have not recognized any impairment loss in the carrying

value of an individual airport concession where the carrying value of the individual airport concession exceeds the net cash flows of that airport.

Deferred Income Tax, Employees' Statutory Profit Sharing and Asset Tax

Our income tax expense, employees' statutory profit sharing and asset tax is comprised of current expenses and deferred expenses. Deferred income tax represents future receivables or payables resulting from the temporary differences generated from the differences in the accounting and tax treatment of balance sheet items, such as our airport concessions, rights to use airport facilities, and from tax loss carry-forwards and credits. Deferred employees' statutory profit sharing is calculated in a similar manner. These temporary differences and tax loss carry-forwards and credits are accounted for as deferred tax assets or liabilities on our balance sheet. The corresponding change in the balances of the recognized deferred tax assets and liabilities is recorded in earnings. Asset tax is a minimum tax that is calculated as 1.8% of the average tax value of virtually all of our assets less the average tax value of certain liabilities. In 2002, we were subject to the asset tax, which can be credited against taxable income for a period of ten years. Deferred tax assets, deferred employees' statutory profit sharing assets and recoverable asset tax are subject to valuation allowances if we estimate that there is a high probability that the assets will be able to be realized. We have recognized valuation allowances against deferred tax assets, deferred employees' statutory profit sharing and recoverable asset tax for some of our airport subsidiaries. We have not recognized valuation allowances against tax loss carry-forwards generated by our other airport subsidiaries because under current tax law these tax carry-forwards can be carried forward through the term of the airport concessions or a period of ten years. As our airport concessions expire in 2047, significant management judgment is required in determining any valuation allowance.

Contingent Liabilities

We are a party to a number of legal proceedings. In addition, certain legal proceedings have been initiated against the Ministry of Transportation and Communication which may have a negative impact on our financial condition. Under generally accepted accounting principles, liabilities are recognized in the financial statements when a loss is both estimable and probable. If the loss is neither probable nor estimable or if the likelihood of a loss is remote, no amounts are recognized in the financial statements. Based on legal advice we have received from our Mexican counsel and other information available to us, we have not recognized any losses in the financial statements as a result of these proceedings.

Differences between Mexican GAAP and U.S. GAAP

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with Mexican GAAP, which differ in certain respects from U.S. GAAP. See Note 15 to our financial statements. Net income (loss) under U.S. GAAP was Ps.315.9 million, Ps.311.7 million and Ps.(345.9) million for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002, respectively.

The difference in net income from 2001 to 2002 under U.S. GAAP resulted principally from amendments to the Mexican Income Tax Law effective January 1, 2002. Under these amendments, the income tax of 35% in 2002 will gradually be reduced by 1% per year until it reaches 32% in 2005. As a result, ASUR recognized an adjustment to deferred taxes and took a charge to income of Ps. 286.4 million. In addition, under U.S. GAAP we recognized a charge for taxes on dividends paid of Ps. 258.9 million.

The principal differences between Mexican GAAP and U.S. GAAP as they relate to us are deferred income taxes, employees' statutory profit

sharing, tax on dividends paid, the treatment of our investments in our concessions and the rights to use airport facilities and the treatment of ITA's options, which are accounted for as a deferred technical assistance fee under U.S. GAAP. Each of these differences affects both net income and stockholders' equity. See Note 15 to our financial statements for a discussion of these differences and the effect on our results of operation.

Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees

Directors

The board of directors is responsible for the management of our business. Pursuant to our bylaws, the board of directors must consist of an uneven number of directors determined at an ordinary general meeting of stockholders and is required to have at least seven, but not more than eleven, members. Currently, the board of directors consists of seven directors, each of whom is elected at the annual stockholders' meeting for a term of one year or until a successor has been appointed.

Our bylaws provide that the holders of series BB shares are entitled to elect two members and their alternates to the board of directors. Our remaining directors are elected by the holders of our series B shares. Under our bylaws, each stockholder or group of stockholders owning at least 10% of our capital stock in the form of series B shares is entitled to elect one member to the board of directors for each 10% interest that it owns. The other directors to be elected by the holders of our series B shares are elected by majority vote of all holders of series B shares present at the stockholders' meeting (including stockholders that individually or as part of a group elected a director as a result of their 10% stake). On February 28, 2001 the stockholders voted to eliminate alternate members of the board of directors with respect to those directors elected by holders of series B shares.

The following table lists our directors as of the date of this annual report, their title and date of appointment:

Kjeld Binger(1)	.Director and Chairman (also President
	and Acting Chief Executive Officer)
Aaron Dychter Poltolarek	.Director
Martha Miller de Lombera	.Director
Fernando Gutierrez Ochoa(2)	.Director
Ricardo Guajardo Touche	.Director
George Vojta	.Director
Francisco Garza Zambrano	.Director

Title

Name

- (1) Elected by ITA as holder of series BB shares, with Michael Olsen as ${\tt Alternate}$.
- (2) Elected by ITA as holder of series BB shares, with Luis Sanchez Salmeron as Alternate.

Dire

Marc

Marc Febr Apri Febr Apri Febr

Si

Kjeld Binger. Mr. Binger is a member of our board of directors and was appointed Chairman of the Board on March 20, 2001. He is also currently serving as our President and Acting Chief Executive Officer. He has been a Vice-President of Copenhagen Airports A/S since 1996. Previously, Mr. Binger was Director of Planning and Projects of Copenhagen Airports A/S, Vice-President of project development of Hojgaad & Schultz A/S and Project Director of Hoffman & Sonner A/S. Mr. Binger has been involved in several international bidding processes regarding privatization of airports. Currently, Mr. Binger is a member of the board of directors of Copenhagen Airport Development International A/S, and a member of the management committee of Copenhagen Airports A/S. He is 48 years old.

Aaron Dychter Poltolarek. Mr. Dychter is a member of our board of directors and has been Under-Secretary of Transportation of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation since December, 1994. Previously, Mr. Dychter was Chief of the Investment, Energy and Industry Unit of the Under-Secretary of Expenditures of the Ministry of Finance and Public Credit, Chief of the Investment Unit of the Under-Secretary of Budget Control of the Ministry of Finance and Public Credit, Coordinator of Advisors to the Under-Secretary of Budget of the Ministry of Budget and Director General of Energy Policy of the Ministry of Energy, Mining and Industry. Currently, Mr. Dychter is a member of the board of directors of Grupo Aeroportuario del Pacifico, S.A. de C.V., Grupo Aeroportuario del Centro Norte, S.A. de C.V. and Grupo Aeroportuario de la Ciudad de Mexico, S.A. de C.V., as well as of the subsidiaries of last two of these companies. He is 52 years old.

Martha Miller de Lombera. Ms. Miller is a member of our board of directors and was Vice-President and General Manager of Procter & Gamble Latin America North until her retirement in April 2001. She currently serves on the board of directors of United Way International and was previously a board member of the American Chamber of Commerce of Mexico City. She is 55 years old.

Fernando Gutierrez Ochoa. Mr. Gutierrez is a member of our board of directors and has been the Corporate Director of Construction of Grupo Tribasa since 1991. Mr. Gutierrez was a founding partner of the construction company COGUTSA, S.A. de C.V., where he also served as director from 1989 to 1991. He is a member of the board of directors of the Association of Mining Engineers, Metallurgists and Geologists of Mexico and is an active member in several other professional and academic organizations. He is 36 years old.

Ricardo Guajardo Touche. Mr. Guajardo is a member of our board of directors and has been President of Grupo Financiero BBVA Bancomer, S.A. since 2000. He was a President and General Director of Grupo Financiero BBVA Bancomer, S.A. from 1991 to 2000, and General Director of Grupo Vamsa since 1989. He has served on the board of directors of Instituto Tecnologico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey (ITESM), Fomento Economico Mexicano (FEMSA), Grupo Valores de Monterrey (VAMSA), Transportacion Maritima Mexicana (TMM), Alfa, El Puerto de Liverpool and Centro de Estudios Economicos del Sector Privado (CEESP). He is 55 years old.

George Vojta. Mr. Vojta is a member of our board of directors and has been Director of the Financial Services Forum since 1999. Previously, Mr. Vojta was Vice Chairman to the Board of Bankers Trust, President of Deak & Company, Chief Financial Officer of Phibro-Salomon Inc. and Vice Chairman of Citigroup. Currently, Mr. Vojta is Chairman of Caux Roundtable, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Westchester Group LLC and Chairman of Wharton Financial Institutions Center. He is 67 years old.

Francisco Garza Zambrano. Mr. Garza is a member of our board of directors and he has served as President of Cementos Mexicanos of Norteamerica y Trading (his current position), as President of Cementos Mexicanos Mexica, as President of Cementos Mexicanos Venezuela, and as

President of Cementos Mexicanos E.U.A. He was formerly on the board of directors of Control Administrative Mexicano S.A. de C.V., Vitro Plano, S.A. de C.V., Universidad de Monterrey, Camara Nacional del Cemento (CANACEM), Club Industrial, A.C. and Fundacion Mexicana para la Salud. He is 48 years old.

Executive Officers

Pursuant to our bylaws, the holders of series BB shares are entitled to appoint and remove our chief executive officer and one half of the executive officers reporting directly to the chief executive officer. Currently, four executive officers report directly to the chief executive officer, one of whom was appointed by ITA as holder of the BB shares.

As of June 2, 2003, Frantz Guns resigned from his position as Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Guns was named Chief Executive Officer of ASUR in March of 2000. Kjeld Binger, our director and Chairman, was named by the Board of Directors to serve as acting Chief Executive Officer. We have begun a search for a new Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Guns will remain employed by ASUR in a consulting capacity until June 30, 2003 to assist with the transition.

As of January 25, 2002, Ricardo Sanchez resigned from his position as Director of Commercial Activities. We have begun our search for a new Director. Until we hire a new Director, Manuel Gutierrez Sola is acting as Director of Commercial Activities.

As of January 25, 2003, Maria Felisa Perez Luengo resigned from her position as Director of Operations. We have begun our search for a new Director of Operations. Until we hire a new Director, Hector Navarrete Munoz is serving in the role of Acting Director of Operations. Currently, the position of Director of Operations remains vacant.

The following table lists our executive officers, their current position and their year of appointment as an executive officer:

Name	Principal occupation	Executive Officer since
Kjeld Binger*	President and Acting Director General (acting chief executive officer)	June 2, 2003
Adolfo Castro Rivas*	Director of Finance (chief financial officer)	January 24, 2000
Hector Navarrete Munoz	Acting Director of Operations (acting chief operating officer)	January 15, 2003
Claudio Gongora Morales Manuel Gutierrez Sola	General Counsel Acting Director of Commercial Activities	April 19, 1999 October 31, 2002

^{*}Appointed by ITA, as holder of series BB shares.

Kjeld Binger. Mr. Binger is our President and Acting Chief Executive Officer. He is also a member of our board of directors and was appointed Chairman of the Board on March 20, 2001. He has been a Vice-President of Copenhagen Airports A/S since 1996. Previously, Mr. Binger was Director of Planning and Projects of Copenhagen Airports A/S, Vice-President of project development of Hojgaad & Schultz A/S and Project Director of Hoffman & Sonner A/S. Mr. Binger has been involved in several international bidding processes regarding privatization of airports. Currently, Mr. Binger is a member of the board of directors of Copenhagen Airport Development International A/S, and a member of the management

committee of Copenhagen Airports A/S. He is 48 years old.

Adolfo Castro Rivas. Mr. Castro has been our Director of Finance since January, 2000. Prior to joining ASUR, Mr. Castro was Director of Finance and Administration of Ferrocarril del Sureste S.A. de C.V. Mr. Castro was also Chief Financial Officer of Netcapital S.A. de C.V., and Director of Finance of Grupo Mexicano de Desarrollo S.A. de C.V., Finance Manager of Grupo ICA S.A. and an auditor and consultant with Coopers & Lybrand. He is 39 years old.

Hector Navarrete Munoz. Mr. Navarrete is the Acting Director of Operations of ASUR. Previously, Mr. Navarrete is also the Administrator of the Merida International Airport, Director of the Board of Culture and Tourism of the State of Yucatan and Coordinator of the Mayan Cultural Project in San Antonio, Texas. He is 46 years old.

Caudio Gongora Morales. Mr. Gongora has been General Counsel since April 25, 2001. Previously, he was Sub-Director of Asur (starting on April 19, 1999). Mr. Gongora also served as Legal Director of Azufrera Panamericana, S.A. de C.V., alternating as Legal Advisor for Compania Exploradora del Istmo, S.A. de C.V. He has also been Legal Sub-Director of Commission de Fomento Minero, Legal Chief Consultant for Grafito de Mexico, S.A. de C.V., Terrenos para Industrias, S.A. de C.V., Terrenos de Jaltipan, S.A. de C.V., Macocozac, S.,A. de C.V., Pasco Terminals, Inc. and Pasco International, Ltd. He is 51 years old.

Manuel Gutierrez Sola. Mr. Gutierrez has been our Acting Director of Commercial Activities since October, 2002. Prior to joining ASUR, Mr. Gutierrez was Chief Operation Officer of G. Accion S.A. de C.V. and Machinery and Equipment Manager of Gutsa Construcciones, S.A. de C.V. He is 40 years old.

Statutory Auditor

Our bylaws provide for two or more statutory auditors who report to the stockholders at the ordinary general stockholders' meeting on the accuracy of the financial information presented by the board of directors and generally review the affairs of ASUR. Our bylaws provide that each stockholder or group of stockholders owning at least 10% of our shares is entitled to appoint a statutory auditor and an alternate. The statutory auditors are authorized to: (i) call ordinary or extraordinary stockholders' meetings; (ii) place items on the agenda for meetings of stockholders or the board of directors; and (iii) attend, but not vote at, meetings of stockholders, the board of directors and our management committees. The current statutory auditors are Emilio Carrera Cortes, with Miguel Angel Rubio Bravo as alternate, who were proposed by NAFIN, and Rafael Maya Urosa, with Manuel Leyva Vega as alternate, who are both partners at PricewaterhouseCoopers and who were appointed by ITA, as holder of series BB shares, as well as by our Series B shareholders.

Compensation of Directors and Executive Officers

For the year ended December 31, 2002 the aggregate compensation earned by our executive officers was approximately Ps. 10.2 million. Directors received Ps.3.6 million in aggregate compensation for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Committees

Our bylaws provide for four committees to assist the board of directors with the management of our business: an Operating Committee, an Audit Committee, an Acquisitions and Contracts Committee and a Nominations and Compensation Committee.

The Operating Committee, which currently has five members, is responsible for proposing and approving certain plans and policies relating to

our business, investments and administration, including approval of the master development plans of our subsidiary concession holders, our dividend policy and investments of less than U.S.\$2 million, that are not provided for in our annual budget. Pursuant to our bylaws, the board of directors is authorized to appoint six members of the Operating Committee. Board members elected by the holders of series BB shares have the right to appoint three of the committee members, one of whom is required to be the chief executive officer. The consent of the series BB directors is also required to select the members of the Operating Committee that are not members of our board or officers of our company. The current members of the Operating Committee are Martha Miller de Lombera, Michael Olsen, Samuel Podolsky, Francisco Garza Zambrano and Kjeld Binger. One position on the Operating Committee remains open. A secretary has also been appointed who is not a member of the committee.

The Audit Committee, which currently has three members, is responsible for ensuring that our board of directors, our officers and the officers of our subsidiaries comply with the bylaws, applicable law and general guidelines required to be prepared under the bylaws. The Audit Committee is also responsible for monitoring transactions with affiliates, including ITA and its stockholders. Our bylaws provide that a stockholders' meeting shall determine the number of members of the Audit Committee, which is required to be comprised of a majority of members of the board of directors. The members of the board of directors elected by the holders of series BB shares are entitled to appoint one member to the committee. The committee members elect a president, who does not have a tie-breaking vote, and a secretary, who is not required to be a committee member. The committee also appoints a special delegate who may not be a person appointed by the holders of series BB shares nor be related to them. The special delegate is charged with ensuring that ITA complies with its obligations under the technical assistance agreement with us. We intend to fully comply with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the rules issued thereunder by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to the composition and functions of our Audit Committee within the timeframe provided. The current members of the Audit Committee are Ricardo Guajardo Touche, Luis Sanchez Salmeron and George Vojta. A secretary has also been appointed who is not a member of the committee.

The Acquisitions and Contracts Committee, composed of three members, is responsible for ensuring compliance with our procurement policies set forth in our bylaws. Among other things, these policies require that the Acquisitions and Contracts Committee approve any transaction or series of related transactions between us and a third party involving consideration in excess of U.S.\$400,000 and that any contract between us, on the one hand, and ITA or any of its related persons (as defined under "Description of Capital Stock"), on the other hand, be awarded pursuant to a bidding process involving at least three other bidders. Our bylaws provide that a stockholders' meeting will determine the number of members of the Acquisitions and Contracts Committee, which is required to be comprised primarily of members of the board of directors. The members of the board of directors elected by the holders of series BB shares are entitled to appoint one member to the committee. The current members of the Acquisitions and Contracts Committee are Miguel Alvarez, Kjeld Binger and Adrian F. Esteve Tarraga. A secretary has also been appointed who is not a member of the committee.

The Nominations and Compensation Committee was formed on October 12, 1999. The duties of the committee include the proposal, removal and compensation of candidates for election to the board of directors and for appointment as executive officers. Our bylaws provide that a stockholders' meeting will determine the number of members of the committee. The holders of the series B and series BB shares, acting as a class, are each entitled to name one member of the Nominations and Compensation Committee. The remaining members of the committee are to be named by these two initial members. Members of the committee each have a term of one year. At each annual stockholders' meeting

after a public offering of our shares, the Nominations and Compensation Committee is required to present a list of at least seven candidates for election as directors for the vote of the series B stockholders. At an ordinary stockholders' meeting held February 28, 2001, our stockholders resolved that the Nominations and Compensation Committee be comprised of three members. The three current members of the Nominations and Compensation Committee are Kjeld Binger, Martha Miller de Lombera and Samuel Podolsky. A secretary has also been appointed who is not a member of the committee.

Employees

The following table sets forth the number of employees in various positions as of the end of 2000, 2001 and 2002.

	As of December 31, 2000	As of December 31,
Administrative Employees		
Mexico City	105	123
Cancun Airport	52	70
Cozumel Airport	10	12
Huatulco Airport	11	12
	2.6	34
Merida Airport	12	13
Minatitlan Airport	11	13
Oaxaca Airport		
Tapachula Airport	13	15
Veracruz Airport	13	16
Villahermosa Airport	10	10
Total Administrative Employees	 263	318
	=====	=====
Unionized Employees Mexico City	0	0
Cancun Airport	124	118
Cozumel Airport	26	25
Huatulco Airport	20	18
Merida Airport	54	45
Minatitlan Airport	16	16
Oaxaca Airport	22	20
Tapachula Airport	18	17
Veracruz Airport	26	25
Villahermosa Airport	23	23
Total Union Employees	329	307
TOTAL OUTTON DUNPLOYEES	329	=====

As of December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2002, we had approximately 625 and 626 employees, respectively.

Approximately 48.4% of our employees on December 31, 2002 were members of labor unions. A significant portion of the services rendered in our airports is provided by personnel employed by third parties. Approximately 19.6% of our employees are employed by Servicios Aeroportuarios del Sureste, S.A. de C.V., a wholly-owned subsidiary that provides us with administrative and personnel services, while the remainder, including all unionized personnel, are employed by our nine subsidiary operating companies.

All of our unionized employees are members of local chapters of the Mexican National Union of Airport Workers. Labor relations with our employees are governed by nine separate collective labor agreements, each relating to one

, 2001

of our nine airports, and negotiated by the local chapter of the union. As is typical in Mexico, wages are renegotiated every year, while other terms and conditions of employment are renegotiated every two years. We began renegotiating our collective bargaining agreements with our unionized employees in August 2002 and reached final agreements with the unions in October 2002. We believe that our relations with our employees are good.

As part of the opening of Mexico's airports to investment, personnel employed by our predecessor at our airports were terminated on October 31, 1998 and rehired by us on November 1, 1998 free of any labor liability for their prior employment. In connection with the change in management, we have undertaken a number of personnel initiatives, including:

- o substantially reducing overtime,
- o creating recruiting standards,
- implementing general training programs,
- o emphasizing customer service, and
- o implementing a management decentralization program.

Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions

Major Shareholders

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the ownership of outstanding Shares as of December 31, 2002.

	Number	Percentage of share capit	
Identity of stockholder	B Shares	BB Shares	B Shares
NAFIN(1)	33,260,870		11.1%
ITA(1)(2)		45,000,000	
Copenhagen Airports A/S(2)	7,500,000		2.5%
Public	214,239,130		71.4%

- (1) In addition to the shares of series B shares held by the NAFIN trust, NAFIN (as trustee) has also entered into an agreement that may result in its acquisition of the 25.5% interest in ITA currently owned by Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, as described below.
- (2) Copenhagen Airports A/S also owns 25.5% of the capital stock of ITA.

ITA has options through December 18, 2005 to subscribe for newly issued B shares. These options allow ITA to subscribe for 2%, 2% and 1% of our capital stock outstanding at the time of each exercise, determined on a fully diluted basis, from December 18, 2001 through December 18, 2005. ITA may exercise its options only if it has complied with its obligations under the technical assistance agreement and the stock ownership restrictions set forth in ASUR's bylaws. These options are described in "Related Party Transactions."

ITA Trust and Shareholders' Agreement

The rules governing the sale of our series BB shares to ITA required that ITA place all of its series BB shares in trust in order to quarantee ITA's performance of its obligations under the technical assistance agreement and ITA's commitment to maintain its interest in ASUR for a specified period. Accordingly, ITA has placed its shares in trust with Bancomext. This trust provides that ITA may instruct Bancomext with respect to the voting of the shares held in trust that represent up to 10% of ASUR's capital stock; the remaining 5% is required to be voted in the same manner as the majority of all shares voted at the relevant stockholders' meeting. Under our bylaws and the trust, ITA is required to retain all of its series BB shares until September 28, 2003 and thereafter until December 18, 2008 may transfer up to 49% of the series BB shares without restriction. After December 18, 2008, ITA may sell in any year up to 20% of its remaining interest in series BB shares. The term of the trust will be extended for an additional 15 years if, at the end of the initial 15-year term, ITA holds shares representing more than 10% of our capital stock. ITA may terminate the trust before the second 15 year term begins if: (i) ITA holds less than 10% of our capital stock at the end of the initial term; and (ii) the technical services agreement has been terminated. ITA is required to deposit in the trust any additional shares of our capital stock that it acquires.

ITA's stockholders have entered into a shareholders' agreement which provides that most matters relating to ITA's participation in our management are to be decided by a qualified majority consisting of at least three of ITA's four stockholders. The agreement among ITA's stockholders also provides that the qualified majority must include Copenhagen Airports A/S and Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V. with respect to certain matters, including the appointment and removal of ASUR's chief executive officer and the election of the members of our board of directors to be elected by the series BB stockholders. Copenhagen Airports A/S is also required to be included in the qualified majority with respect to the adoption or amendment of our master development plans, business plans and investment plans.

The agreement among ITA's stockholders also permits either Ferrovial Aeropuertos, S.A. or VINCI, S.A. to require ITA to dispose of 49% of ITA's shares of our capital stock at any time after September 28, 2003 and if such stockholder has been unable to transfer all of its shares of ITA's capital stock.

Under the agreement among ITA's stockholders, ITA's decision to exercise its options to purchase additional shares of our capital stock requires the unanimous consent of each stockholder of ITA. However, in the event that ITA's stockholders do not unanimously agree to exercise an option, the ITA stockholder or stockholders in favor of exercising that option are permitted to cause ITA to transfer the option to such stockholder or stockholders at the fair value of such option as agreed among the stockholders or determined through an appraisal. These options are described in "--Related Party Transactions--Arrangements with ITA."

Under the terms of the participation agreement and the trust agreement, ITA's key partners, currently Copenhagen Airports A/S and Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V., are required to maintain their current 25.5% ownership interest in ITA until December 18, 2014. To the extent that a key partner acquires shares of ITA in excess of its current 25.5% interest, this additional interest may be sold without restriction after September 28, 2003. There can be no assurance that the terms of the participation agreement or the trust would not be amended to reduce or eliminate these ownership commitments. If ITA or any of its stockholders defaults on any obligation contained in the trust agreement, or if ITA defaults on any obligation contained in the

participation agreement or the technical assistance agreement, after specified notice and cure provisions, the trust agreement provides that the trustee may sell 5% of the shares held in the trust and pay the proceeds of such sale to ASUR as liquidated damages.

Triturados Basalticos y Derivados currently owns 25.5% of ITA's capital stock and is the Mexican key partner in ITA. However, there is uncertainty concerning the future ownership of the shares of ITA currently owned by Triturados Basalticos y Derivados. Recently, Triturados Basalticos y Derivados has been experiencing financial difficulties and as of April 23, 2002, it entered into financial restructuring proceedings under Mexican law (concurso mercantil) that could involve a sale of its shares of ITA. The financial restructuring proceedings of Triturados Basalticos y Derivados remain ongoing.

In July 2000, an affiliate of Triturados Basalticos y Derivados notified the Ministry of Communications and Transportation that Triturados Basalticos y Derivados had entered into an agreement purporting to transfer to the affiliate the right to vote the shares of ITA owned by Triturados Basalticos y Derivados. Triturados Basalticos y Derivados has advised us that it simultaneously agreed to transfer its shares of ITA to this affiliate, subject to the condition that a mandate previously given to NAFIN for the sale of these shares of ITA be either rescinded or found to be impossible to execute or without legal effect. As described below, NAFIN and Triturados Basalticos y Derivados have entered into an agreement to transfer these shares to Banco Nacional de Obras y Servicios Publicos, S.N.C. pursuant to this mandate. Triturados Basalticos y Derivados has advised us that it believes that the transfer of shares to the affiliate cannot be consummated because the conditions to the transfer to the affiliate cannot be satisfied. It has also advised us that it believes the transfer of voting rights to the affiliate cannot be consummated independently of the transfer of the shares themselves.

To minimize any adverse effect on ITA or us due to the uncertainty of the ownership and voting of these shares of ITA, in September 2000 the shareholders of ITA amended the ITA shareholders' agreement and ITA's by-laws to suspend the veto rights of Triturados Basalticos y Derivados until a court has issued a final judgment confirming the ownership of the shares of ITA that had been registered in the name of Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, or until any third party claiming an interest in the disputed shares enters into an agreement waiving all rights to such shares.

On September 20, 2000, Triturados Basalticos y Derivados entered into an agreement with one of its creditors, NAFIN, under which Triturados Basalticos y Derivados agreed to transfer its 25.5% interest in ITA to Banco Nacional de Obras y Servicios Publicos, S.N.C., a Mexican development bank. This agreement was entered into pursuant to the mandate previously granted to NAFIN by Triturados Basalticos y Derivados for the sale of its interest in ITA. The agreement provides that Banco Nacional de Obras y Servicios Publicos will hold these shares in trust and, at the election of NAFIN, transfer them to NAFIN in satisfaction of certain indebtedness owed by Triturados Basalticos y Derivados to NAFIN or sell them to a third party and pay the proceeds to NAFIN. NAFIN entered into this agreement for its own account and not in its capacity as trustee.

Prior to any sale of its ITA shares to a third party, Triturados Basalticos y Derivados is entitled under the agreement to match the terms of the sale and retain its current 25.5% interest in ITA. The agreement is subject to several conditions, including the payment of U.S\$3.0 million by Triturados Basalticos y Derivados to NAFIN and the approval of the transaction by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation and by the board of directors of ITA. In the event that any of these conditions fails to occur, or in the event that Triturados Basalticos y Derivados matches the terms of any sale to a third party, the 25.5% interest in ITA would be returned to Triturados Basalticos y

Derivados subject to the rights, if any, of its subsidiary pursuant to the agreement transferring voting rights to the subsidiary. Therefore, we cannot assure you that Triturados Basalticos y Derivados will not continue to retain its 25.5% interest in ITA.

We cannot assure you that a third party having an interest in the assets of Triturados Basalticos y Derivados will not seek to have this transfer to NAFIN rescinded. There also can be no assurance that the affiliate, or any party having an interest in it or its assets, would not seek to enforce the agreement that purported to transfer to it the voting rights to these shares, as well as the shares themselves. Under the amendment to the ITA shareholders' agreement, the suspension of the veto rights of Triturados Basalticos y Derivados described above would remain in effect until the ownership of the 25.5% interest in ITA has been established as described above. We cannot assure you whether or when these veto rights would be subsequently restored, either through an amendment of the ITA shareholders' agreement or otherwise.

Any transfer of ITA shares by a key partner prior to 2014 requires the consent of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. The subsequent transfer to NAFIN or sale to a third party would require the approval of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation and would be subject to a right of first refusal of the shareholders of ITA. We can provide no assurance as to the timing of this sale or as to the identity of the potential acquirer of this 25.5% interest in ITA.

In addition, any transfer prior to 2014 at any time that NAFIN holds less than a majority of our capital stock would also require the consent of the holders of a majority of our capital stock. We cannot assure you that our shareholders would approve any transferee to whom Banco Nacional de Obras y Servicios Publicos proposes to sell the 25.5% interest in ITA. We also cannot assure you that any transferee would make the same contribution to ITA that to date has been made by Triturados Basalticos y Derivados. In the event that a transfer were to be made without the approval of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation or of our shareholders, ASUR would be entitled to liquidated damages equal to the proceeds of 5% of the series BB shares held in trust, as described above.

As of January 1, 2000, Vinci S.A. merged with Groupe GTM, S.A. in an all-stock offer. Vinci is currently a 49% shareholder of the strategic investor of the Central North Group, one of Mexico's airport groups that is being opened to private investment. Neither Vinci nor Groupe GTM is a key shareholder in either airport group. It is unclear whether under Mexican antitrust law Vinci will be permitted to retain its ownership interest in the two airport groups. In response to antitrust concerns raised by the Mexican Federal Competition Commission, Vinci has announced its intention to dispose of its holdings in one of the two airport groups, which could result in the transfer of Vinci's interest in ITA.

Related Party Transactions

Arrangements with ITA

The rules for the sale of the Series BB shares required ITA, ASUR and the Ministry of Communications and Transportation to enter into a participation agreement, which established the framework for the option agreement, the technical assistance agreement and the Banco Nacional de Comercio Exterior, S.N.C., or Bancomext, trust agreement.

Pursuant to the technical assistance agreement and the participation agreement, ITA and its stockholders agreed to provide management and consulting services and transfer industry "know-how" related to the operation of airports to us. These agreements entitle ITA to name our chief

executive officer, half our other executive officers and two members of our board of directors. These agreements also grant us a perpetual and exclusive license in Mexico to use all technical assistance and know-how transferred to us by ITA or its stockholders during the term of the agreement. The technical assistance agreement has a fifteen-year term and is automatically renewed for additional five-year terms, unless one party provides notice of its intent not to renew within a specified period. We are required under this agreement to pay ITA an annual fee equal to the greater of a fixed dollar amount or 5% of our annual consolidated earnings before comprehensive financing cost, income taxes and depreciation and amortization (determined in accordance with Mexican GAAP and calculated prior to deducting the technical assistance fee under this agreement). The fixed dollar amount decreases during the initial five years of the agreement in order to create an incentive for ITA to increase ASUR's earnings before comprehensive financing cost, income taxes and depreciation and amortization. ITA is also entitled to reimbursement for the out-of-pocket expenses it incurs in its provision of services under the agreement. The agreement allows ITA, its stockholders and their affiliates to render additional services to us only if our Acquisitions and Contracts Committee determines that these related persons have submitted the most favorable bid in a bidding process. This process is described in "Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees--Committees." In 1999, 2000, 2001 and 2002 we recognized expenses of U.S.\$5.0 million, U.S.\$5.0, U.S.\$3.8 million and U.S.\$3.2 million, respectively, pursuant to the technical assistance agreement plus additional expenses of approximately U.S.\$0.6 million, U.S.\$0.3 million, and U.S.\$0.3 million and U.S.\$2.4 million, respectively.

Under the option agreement, ITA has options to subscribe for newly issued series B shares. These options allow ITA to subscribe for 2%, 2% and 1% of our capital stock outstanding at the time of each exercise, determined on a fully diluted basis, from December 18, 2001 through December 18, 2005, provided that ITA has complied with its obligations under the technical assistance agreement and the stock ownership restrictions set forth in our bylaws. The option exercise price is U.S.\$2.64559301 per share (the per share purchase price paid by ITA for its series BB shares) plus an accrued annual premium of 5% from December 18, 1998. The option agreement provides that the exercise price will be adjusted in the event of increases or decreases in capital or certain dividend payments.

Stock Option Exercise Periods

Percentage of the fully diluted o

2%

2%

1%

First exercise period Dec. 18, 2001 to Dec. 18, 2003 Second exercise period Dec. 18, 2002 to Dec. 18, 2004 Third exercise period Dec. 18, 2003 to Dec. 18, 2005

ITA is entitled to exercise these three options immediately upon the earlier to occur of: (i) the acquisition by any stockholder of at least 35% of ASUR's capital stock (the acquisition of more than 10% of our capital stock

by any person other than ITA, NAFIN or the Mexican government would require an amendment to our bylaws); (ii) a stockholders' meeting approving a merger involving us that dilutes the holdings of our stockholders by more than 35%; or (iii) our price per share on a stock exchange is at least U.S.\$5.29118602 (twice the option exercise price). ITA is authorized to transfer or assign its option to any of its stockholders or their related companies prior to the start of the first exercise period of the option, that is prior to December 18, 2001. After the first exercise period, ITA or any holder of the option is entitled to transfer its option to any party that is entitled to be a stockholder of a concession holder under the Mexican Airport Law and our bylaws. The relevant restrictions are described in "Item 4. Information on the Company--Regulatory Framework--Scope of Concessions and General Obligations of Concession Holders."

ITA's stockholders have entered into an agreement under which ITA's decision to exercise any of its options requires the unanimous consent of each stockholder of ITA. However, in the event that ITA's stockholders do not unanimously agree to exercise an option, the ITA stockholder or stockholders in favor of exercising that option are permitted to cause ITA to transfer the option to such stockholder or stockholders at the fair value of such option as agreed among the stockholders or determined through an appraisal.

Arrangements with Entities Controlled by the Mexican Government

In the ordinary course of its business, we enter into transactions with various entities controlled by the Mexican government, including the provision of services to various airlines controlled by the Mexican holding company Cintra, S.A. de C.V. and the purchase of electricity from the Mexican Federal Electricity Commission.

Airlines and other entities controlled by Cintra, S.A. de C.V. accounted for approximately 29.5% and 27.2% of the revenues generated by our airports in 2001 and 2002, respectively. Of our accounts receivable, these entities accounted for 40.4% and 40.4% as of December 31, 2001 and 2002, respectively. These airlines include Aeromexico, Mexicana, Aerocaribe, Aerocozumel and Aerolitoral. Through Aeromexico and Mexicana, Cintra, S.A. de C.V. also controls SEAT, the principal provider of baggage and ramp handling services in our airports. A majority of the capital stock of Cintra, S.A. de C.V. is owned by the Institution for the Protection of Bank Savings, a decentralized entity of the Mexican federal government, and by the Mexican government. The Institution for the Protection of Bank Savings is required by law to transfer all holdings, including its shares of Cintra, S.A. de C.V., by January 19, 2004. For details of revenues earned from related parties, see "Item 4. Information on the Company—Business Overview—Principal Air Traffic Customers" and Note 12 to our financial statements.

In addition to the revenues earned from Cintra, we recorded revenues from several Mexican federal and state government agencies. Revenues from related public sector entities (excluding Cintra) were Ps.6.9 million and Ps.2.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2002, respectively.

During the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2002 we recorded expenses of Ps.53.7 million and Ps.53.6 million, respectively, for electricity, waste disposal, water and other services obtained from entities or agencies of the Mexican government. Also, during the years ended December 31, 1999 and 2000, we granted construction contracts for the Cancun, Merida, Cozumel, and Oaxaca airports totaling Ps.64.8 million and Ps.14.5 million, respectively, to Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V., a shareholder of ITA. As of December 31, 2000, the Company had made advance payments of Ps. 2.8 million related to these construction projects. These construction projects were concluded during the years ended December 31, 2000 and 2001.

Item 8. Financial Information

See "Item 18. Financial Statements" beginning on page F-1.

Legal Proceedings

We are involved in certain legal proceedings from time to time that are incidental to the normal conduct of our business. We are currently involved in several legal proceedings in which we are seeking a confirmation of our right to terminate certain lease agreements upon the expiration of their term. These proceedings include litigation involving the duty-free stores in Cancun, Cozumel and Merida. Although we cannot predict when these proceedings will end, we expect that they will ultimately be resolved in our favor.

The municipalities of Cancun, Cozumel, Merida, Minatitlan and Veracruz have given us notice requesting that we pay property tax (predial) based upon the property on which the Cancun and Cozumel Airports are located. However, we believe that the request to pay this tax is not in accordance with applicable law relating to property in the public domain, which includes the airports we currently operate under concessions. In April 2001, we filed a protective action in court against the attempt to collect the tax by the municipal treasuries of Cancun and Cozumel. We won this preliminary action in May 2002, but further procedures and judgments are required before we will receive a final legal disposition by the courts regarding the applicability of the property tax. We have been advised by our external Mexican legal counsel that under the state law of Quintana Roo (Ley de Hacienda de los Municipios del Estado de Quintana Roo), we are not required to pay this property tax.

The Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency is currently engaged in several legal proceedings related to our airports, none of which is expected to have a material adverse effect on our business. Claims have been asserted against us by the municipalities of Cancun and Cozumel for the payment of property taxes in respect of the land comprising the airports in those locations. Based on the opinion of our outside counsel, we believe that there is no legal basis for these claims and intend to take legal action seeking to have them dismissed.

In August 2001, the Mexican Federal Competition Commission announced that we are being investigated following a complaint alleging that we have engaged in monopolistic practices in connection with the leasing of commercial space in Cancun and Cozumel airports as well as in the Merida airport. In May 2002, the Mexican Federal Competition Commission decided that there was insufficient evidence to continue investigating the complaint, however, this decision may be appealed. A successful appeal could result in the re-opening of the investigation.

In January 2002, five Mexican airlines (Compania Mexicana de Aviacion, S.A. de C.V., Aerovias de Mexico, S.A. de C.V., Aerovias Caribe, S.A. de C.V., Aerolitoral, S.A. de C.V. and Transportes Aeromar, S.A. de C.V.) filed a lawsuit against the Ministry of Communications and Transportation challenging the tariff increase that we imposed on these airlines after obtaining approval by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. We filed our formal response to this suit in April 2002. Although we cannot predict when these proceedings will end or whether they will ultimately be decided in our favor, a decision against us could result in changes to the procedure for establishing our maximum rates.

In April 2003, five Mexican airlines (Compania Mexicana de Aviacion, S.A. de C.V., Aerovias de Mexico, S.A. de C.V., Aerovias Caribe, S.A. de C.V., Aerolitoral, S.A. de C.V. and Transportes Aeromar, S.A. de C.V.) filed a lawsuit against the Ministry of Communications and Transportation seeking that Annex 7 to our concessions be declared null and void. Annex 7 contains the bases

for the tariff regulation imposed on each concession holder by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. In the event that the plaintiffs obtain a ruling in their favor, Annex 7 to each of our concessions would be revoked and our subsidiary concession holders would have no regulatory framework for regulated airport and complementary services until a new framework were issued. If the existing maximum tariff rates applicable to our airports were to be rescinded, there can be no assurance that any new tariff regime that is subsequently adopted would be similar to the current regime.

We do not believe that liabilities related to any of these claims and proceedings against us are likely to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Dividends

The declaration, amount and payment of dividends are determined by a majority vote of the stockholders present at a stockholders' meeting and generally, but not necessarily, on the recommendation of the board of directors. So long as the series BB shares represent at least 7.65% of our capital stock, the declaration and payment of dividends will require the approval of the holders of a majority of the series BB shares.

At our Ordinary and Extraordinary Stockholders' Meeting held on April 25, 2002, our stockholders approved an ordinary and extraordinary cash dividend totaling Ps.469.3 million (composed of an ordinary dividend, in nominal pesos of 0.45 per share and an extraordinary dividend in nominal pesos of 1.03 per each share of our Series B and Series BB capital stock outstanding.) This dividend was paid on May 30, 2002, and is the first dividend that we have paid since our company was formed in 1998. In the absence of attractive investment opportunities, we intend to continue paying yearly ordinary dividends out of our annual net retained earnings, however we do not necessarily plan to pay extraordinary dividends in the future. We do not currently intend to implement a stock repurchase program.

Mexican law requires that at least 5% of a company's net income (on a non-consolidated basis) each year (after profit sharing and other deductions required by Mexican law) be allocated to a legal reserve fund until such fund reaches an amount equal to at least 20% of its capital stock (without adjustment for inflation). Mexican companies may pay dividends only out of earnings (including retained earnings after all losses have been absorbed or paid up) and only after such allocation to the legal reserve fund. The reserve fund is required to be funded on a stand-alone basis for each company, rather than on a consolidated basis. The level of earnings available for the payment of dividends is determined under Mexican GAAP. The legal reserve of our holding company, Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V., is Ps.38.7 million (which includes the required allocation corresponding to year 2002 net income).

Our subsidiaries are required to allocate earnings to their respective legal reserve funds prior to paying dividends to Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V.

On April 27, 2001, the stockholders approved the application of 5% and 20% of income to the legal and share repurchase reserves, respectively. The stockholders allocated Ps. 11,378 (5% of net income for fiscal year 2000) to a legal reserve fund in compliance with Mexican law and allocated Ps.45,513 (20% of net income for fiscal year 2000) to the constitution of a share repurchase reserve. On April 25, 2002, the stockholders approved the allocation of Ps.13,358 (5% of net income for fiscal year 2001) to the legal reserve as required under Mexican law and decided to cancel the share repurchase reserve account, transferring its balance into the company's general profit account. No amounts will be set aside for a share repurchase reserve in 2002 and we do not

intend to initiate a stock repurchase program at this time.

Dividends paid to non-resident holders with respect to ASUR's series B shares and ADSs are not subject to Mexican withholding tax. Dividends that are paid from a company's distributable earnings that have not been subject to corporate income tax will be subject to a corporate-level dividend tax that is currently set to decrease over time according to the following schedule of rates: 53.8475% in 2002, 51.5168% in 2003, 49.2525% in 2004 and 47.0592% thereafter. Distributable earnings that have not been subject to the corporate income tax may arise, for example, when a company's earnings are recognized for accounting purposes before they are recognized for tax purposes. This corporate-level dividend income tax on the distribution of earnings may be applied as a credit against Mexican corporate income tax corresponding to the fiscal year in which the dividend was paid or against the Mexican corporate income tax of the two fiscal years following the date in which the dividend was paid. In the case of dividends paid in 2002, the credit would be applicable against the Mexican corporate income tax of the following three fiscal years. Dividends paid from a company's distributable earnings that have been subject to corporate income tax are not subject to this corporate-level dividend income tax.

As of December 31, 2002, we had no distributable earnings that were subject to corporate income tax. We do not expect to generate such after-tax earnings in the near future. Until we generate such earnings subject to corporate income tax, dividends paid by us to non-resident holders of series B shares and ADSs will be subject to both the corporate-level dividend tax income discussed above.

We will declare any future dividends in pesos. In the case of series B shares represented by ADSs, cash dividends are paid to the depositary and, subject to the terms of the Deposit Agreement, converted into and paid in U.S. dollars at the prevailing exchange rate, net of conversion expenses of the depositary. Fluctuations in exchange rates affect the amount of dividends that ADS holders receive. For a more detailed discussion, see "Item 10. Additional Information."

At the general stockholders' meeting on April 28, 2003, ASUR's stockholders agreed to pay net dividends after income tax of Ps. 150 million (in nominal terms) or Ps. 0.50 (in nominal terms) per share. Because this dividend payment was not taken from the after-tax earnings account, it gave rise to an income tax of Ps. 77,275 (in nominal terms).

Item 9. The Offer and Listing

Stock Price History

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low closing prices for (i) our common shares on the Mexican Stock Exchange in pesos and (ii) the ADSs on the New York Stock Exchange in U.S. dollars. For more information, see "Item 10. Additional Information—Exchange Controls" for the exchange rates applicable during the periods set forth below. The information set forth in the table below reflects actual historical amounts at the trade dates and has not been restated in constant pesos.

	Pesos per	Series B Share	U.S.\$	S per ADR(1)
Years ended December 31,	Low	High	Low	High
2001				
First Quarter	14.96	18.70	15.19	20.46
Second Quarter	15.15	18.20	16.50	21.20
Third Quarter	8.50	17.40	8.75	19.00

Fourth Quarter	8.90	13.88	9.25	15.40
2002				
First Quarter	12.00	14.03	12.85	15.57
Second Quarter(2)	11.90	16.45	11.59	17.50
Third Quarter	11.00	14.40	10.40	14.90
Fourth Quarter	9.79	12.00	9.50	12.10
2003				
First Quarter	11.30	12.86	9.95	11.24
Monthly Prices				
January, 2003	11.38	12.86	9.82	12.55
February, 2003	11.35	12.25	9.95	11.24
March, 2003	11.30	12.20	10.00	11.59
April, 2003	12.00	12.00	10.99	12.95
May, 2003	13.12	13.80	12.45	13.88

(2) Dividend paid

Sources: Mexican Stock Exchange and the New York Stock Exchange.

Trading on the Mexican Stock Exchange

The Mexican Stock Exchange, located in Mexico City, is the only stock exchange in Mexico. Founded in 1894, it ceased operations in the early 1900s, and was reestablished in 1907. The Mexican Stock Exchange is organized as a corporation whose shares are held by brokerage firms. These firms are exclusively authorized to trade on the floor of the Exchange. Trading on the Mexican Stock Exchange takes place exclusively through an automated inter-dealer quotation system known as SENTRA, which is open between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Mexico City time, each business day. Each trading day is divided into six trading sessions with ten-minute periods separating each session. Trades in securities listed on the Mexican Stock Exchange can, subject to certain requirements, also be effected off the Exchange. Due primarily to tax considerations, however, most transactions in listed Mexican securities are effected through the Exchange. The Mexican Stock Exchange operates a system of automatic suspension of trading in shares of a particular issuer as a means of controlling excessive price volatility. The suspension procedures will not apply to shares that are directly or indirectly (through ADSs or CPOs) quoted on a stock exchange outside Mexico.

Settlement is effected two business days after a share transaction on the Mexican Stock Exchange. Deferred settlement, even if by mutual agreement, is not permitted without the approval of the CNBV. Most securities traded on the Mexican Stock Exchange are on deposit with S.D. Indeval, S.A. de C.V., Instituto para el Deposito de Valores, a privately-owned central securities depositary that acts as a clearing house, depositary, custodian and registrar for Mexican Stock Exchange transactions, eliminating the need for the physical transfer of shares.

The Mexican Stock Exchange is one of Latin America's largest exchanges in terms of market capitalization, but it remains relatively small and illiquid compared to major world markets, and therefore subject to greater volatility.

As of December 31, 2002, 169 Mexican companies, excluding mutual funds, had equity listed on the Mexican Stock Exchange. In 2002, the ten most actively traded equity issues (excluding banks) represented approximately 69% of the total volume of equity issues traded on the Mexican Stock Exchange. Although

^{(1) 10} Series B shares per ADR.

the public participates in the trading of securities, a major part of the activity of the Mexican Stock Exchange reflects transactions by institutional investors. There is no formal over-the-counter market for securities in Mexico.

The market value of securities of Mexican companies is, to varying degrees, affected by economic and market conditions in other emerging market countries. In late October 1997, prices of both Mexican debt securities and Mexican equity securities dropped substantially following declines earlier in the year in the Asian, Russian and Brazilian securities markets.

Item 10. Additional Information

Bylaws

This section summarizes certain provisions of Mexican law and our estatutos sociales (bylaws), a copy of which is attached to this Form 20-F as Exhibit 1.1.

At our Extraordinary Stockholders' Meeting held on April 25, 2002, several changes to our bylaws were approved in order to comply with the Mexican Securities and Exchange Law. Our restated bylaws that include these recent amendments were duly registered with the Federal District Public Registry of Commerce on June 20, 2002 under file number 237,658. Our corporate purpose is defined in Article 2 of our bylaws and includes the management and operation of airports as well as a wide range of other commercial activities.

Directors

Our bylaws provide that our board of directors will have at least seven but not more than eleven members. All directors can be elected at one meeting.

At each stockholders' meeting for the election of directors, the holders of series BB shares are entitled to elect two directors. The remaining members of the board of directors are to be elected by the holders of the series B shares.

Each person (or group of persons acting together) holding 10% of our capital stock in the form of series B shares is entitled to elect one director. The remaining positions on the board of directors will be filled based on the vote of all holders of series B shares, including those series B holders that were entitled to elect a director by virtue of their owning 10% of our capital stock. The candidates to be considered for election as directors by the series B stockholders will be proposed to the stockholders' meeting by the Nominations and Compensation Committee. All directors are elected based on a simple majority of the votes cast at the relevant stockholders' meeting. Our bylaws do not currently require mandatory retirement of directors after they reach a certain age. The compensation of our directors is proposed by the Nominations and Compensation Committee to all of our stockholders at stockholders' meetings for their approval.

The number of directors to be elected by the holders of series B shares is to be determined based on the number of directors elected by persons holding series B shares representing 10% (individually or as a group) of our capital stock and by the holders of the series BB shares. If less than seven directors are elected by 10% stockholders exercising their right to elect one director and by the holders of the series BB shares, the total number of directors to be elected by the series B holders will be such number as is required to reach seven. If seven directors are elected by 10% stockholders exercising their right to elect one director and by the holders of the series BB shares, the series B stockholders will be entitled to elect two directors in addition to those elected by 10% stockholders. If more than seven directors are

elected by 10% stockholders exercising their right to elect one director and the holders of the series BB shares, the series B stockholders will be entitled to elect one or two directors in addition to the directors elected by 10% stockholders (individually or as a group) (depending on which number will result in an odd number of directors).

Authority of the Board of Directors

The board of directors is our legal representative. The powers of the board include, without limitation, the power:

- o to participate in our strategic planning decisions,
- o to authorize changes in our policies regarding financial structure, products, market development and organization,
- o to oversee compliance with general corporate practices, our bylaws and the minority rights set forth thereunder,
- o to call for stockholders' meetings and act on their resolutions,
- o to create special committees and grant them the powers and authority it sees fit, provided that said committees will not be vested with the authorities which by law or under our bylaws are expressly reserved for the stockholders or the board of directors,
- o to determine how to vote the shares held by us in our subsidiaries in matters related to the appointment of: (i) our chief executive officer; and (ii) the officers determined by the board of directors other than those whose designation is reserved for the series BB directors or the Operating Committee,
- o to approve, upon proposal by the Operating Committee: (i) our annual budget and that of our subsidiaries; and (ii) the master development plan and any amendments thereto for each of the airports to be submitted to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation,
- o to determine how we will vote our shares in subsidiaries when the Operating Committee does not timely do so, and
- o to exercise non-assignable authority to approve: (i) operations outside the ordinary course of business between the Company and related parties; (ii) the purchase or sale of 10% or more of our assets; (iii) the granting of guarantees in an amount greater than 30% of the value of our assets; and (iv) operations, other than those already listed, that are outside the ordinary course of our business for amounts greater than 1% of the value of our assets.

Meetings of the board of directors will be validly convened and held if a majority of its members are present. Resolutions at said meetings will be valid if approved by a majority of the members of the board of directors, unless our bylaws require a higher number. The chairman does not have a tie-breaking vote.

Resolutions at board meetings with respect to any of the issues listed below will be valid only if approved by the members of the board of directors elected by the holders of the series BB shares:

- o approval of our financial statements and those of our subsidiaries and their submission to the stockholders' meeting,
- o approval of the 5-year master development plans for each of the airports operated by our subsidiaries,
- annual approval of the business plan and the investment budget,
- o approval of capital investments not considered in the approved annual budget for each fiscal year,
- o approval of any sale of fixed assets having, individually or jointly, a value greater than U.S.\$2.0 million,
- o determine the manner in which the Company shall vote its shares at the shareholders meeting of its subsidiaries, taking into consideration the proposal of the Operating Committee,
- o proposal to increase our capital or that of our subsidiaries,
- o approval of any sale of shares of the capital stock of our subsidiaries,
- o approval of any transfer by us of shares in our subsidiaries,
- o purchase of shares or interests in any company,
- o approval or amendment of our management structure,
- o creation of new committees, delegation of powers to the same, and changes to the powers of any existing committee,
- o incurrence of any indebtedness in an amount greater than U.S.\$5.0 million during any calendar year or in excess of the debt level set forth in the annual business plan, which must not exceed a 50% debt to capital ratio, and
- o approval of our dividend policy and its submission to the stockholders' meeting.

Powers of Series BB Directors

The Series BB directors are entitled to:

- o appoint and remove our chief executive officer and half of our executive officers;
- o appoint three members of the Operating Committee, one of which must be the chief executive officer;
- o appoint at least one member of the Audit Committee and the Acquisitions and Contracts Committee; and
- o determine the composition of our Operating Committee with respect to those members who are not affiliated with ASUR or our corporate group.

Our Capital Stock

The following table sets forth our authorized capital stock and our issued and outstanding capital stock at December 31, 2002:

Capital Stock

	Authorized	Issued and outstanding
Fixed capital stock:		
Series B shares	255,000,000	255,000,000
Series BB shares	45,000,000	45,000,000
Variable capital stock:		
Series B shares	15,789,474	
Series BB shares	0	

All ordinary shares confer equal rights and obligations to holders within each series. The series BB shares have the voting and other rights described below.

Our bylaws provide that our shares have the following characteristics:

- o Series B. Series B shares currently represent 85% of our capital. Series B shares may be held by any Mexican or foreign natural person, company or entity.
- o Series BB. Series BB shares currently represent 15% of our capital. Series BB shares may be held by any Mexican or foreign natural person, company or entity.

Under the Mexican Airport Law and the Mexican Foreign Investments Law, foreign persons may not directly or indirectly own more than 49% of the capital stock of a holder of an airport concession unless an authorization from the Mexican Commission of Foreign Investments is obtained. We obtained this authorization on September 7, 1999 and as a consequence these restrictions do not apply to our series B or series BB shares.

Voting Rights and Stockholders' Meetings

Each series B share and series BB share entitles the holder to one vote at any general meeting of our stockholders. Holders of series BB shares are entitled to elect two members of our board of directors and holders of series B shares are entitled to name the remaining members of the board of directors.

Under Mexican law and our bylaws, we may hold three types of stockholders' meetings: ordinary, extraordinary, and special. Ordinary stockholders' meetings are those called to discuss any issue not reserved for extraordinary stockholders' meeting. An annual ordinary stockholders' meeting must be convened and held within the first four months following the end of each fiscal year to discuss, among other things, the report prepared by the Board on our financial statements, the appointment of members of the Board and statutory auditors and the determination of compensation for members of the Board and statutory auditors.

 $\mbox{\sc Extraordinary stockholders'}$ meetings are those called to consider any of the following matters:

- extension of a company's duration or voluntary dissolution,
- o an increase or decrease in a company's minimum fixed capital,
- o change in corporate purpose or nationality,
- o any transformation, merger or spin-off involving the company,

- o any stock redemption or issuance of preferred stock or bonds,
- o the cancellation of the listing of our shares with the National Registry of Securities or on any stock exchange,
- o amendments to a company's bylaws, and
- o any other matters for which applicable Mexican law or the bylaws specifically require an extraordinary meeting.

Special stockholders' meetings are those called and held by stockholders of the same series or class to consider any matter particularly affecting the relevant series or class of shares.

Stockholders' meetings are required to be held in our corporate domicile, which is Mexico City. Calls for stockholders' meetings must be made by the Chairman, the Secretary, any two members of the board of directors or the statutory auditors. Any stockholder or group of stockholders representing at least 10% of our capital stock has the right to request that the board of directors or the statutory auditors call a stockholders' meeting to discuss the matters indicated in the relevant request. If the board of directors or the statutory auditors fail to call a meeting within 15 calendar days following receipt of the request, the stockholder or group of stockholders representing at least 10% of our capital stock may request that the call be made by a competent court.

Calls for stockholders' meetings must be published in the official gazette of the federation or in one newspaper of general circulation in Mexico at least 15 calendar days prior to the date of the meeting. Each call must set forth the place, date and time of the meeting and the matters to be addressed. Calls must be signed by whomever makes them, provided that calls made by the board of directors must be signed by the Chairman, the Secretary or a special delegate appointed by the board of directors for that purpose. Stockholders' meetings will be validly held and convened without the need of a prior call or publication whenever all the shares representing our capital are duly represented.

To be admitted to any stockholders' meeting, stockholders must: (i) be registered in our share registry; and (ii) at least 24 hours prior to the commencement of the meeting submit (a) an admission ticket issued by us for that purpose, and (b) a certificate of deposit of the relevant stock certificates issued by the Secretary or by a securities deposit institution, a Mexican or foreign bank or securities dealer in accordance with the Mexican Securities Market Law. The share registry will be closed three days prior to the date of the meeting. Stockholders may be represented at any stockholders' meeting by one or more attorneys-in-fact who may not be either directors or statutory auditors of ASUR. Representation at stockholders' meetings may be substantiated pursuant to general or special powers of attorney or by a proxy executed before two witnesses.

Promptly following the publication of any call for a stockholders' meeting, we will provide copies of the publication to the depositary for distribution to the holders of ADSs. Holders of ADSs are entitled to instruct the depositary as to the exercise of voting rights pertaining to the series B shares.

Quorums

Ordinary meetings are regarded as legally convened pursuant to a first call when at least 50% of the shares representing our capital are present or duly represented. Resolutions at ordinary meetings of stockholders are valid

when approved by a majority of the shares present at the meeting. Any number of shares represented at an ordinary meeting of stockholders convened pursuant to a second or subsequent call constitutes a quorum. Resolutions at ordinary meetings of stockholders convened in this manner are valid when approved by a majority of the shares present at the meeting.

Extraordinary stockholders' meetings are regarded as legally convened pursuant to a first or subsequent call when at least 75% of the shares representing our capital are present or duly represented. Resolutions at extraordinary meetings of stockholders are valid if taken by the favorable vote of shares representing more that 50% of our capital.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, resolutions at extraordinary meetings of stockholders called to discuss any of the issues listed below are valid only if approved by a vote of shares representing at least 75% of our capital:

- o any amendment to our bylaws which: (i) changes or deletes the authorities of our committees; or (ii) changes or deletes the rights of minority stockholders,
- o any actions resulting in the cancellation of the concessions granted to us or our subsidiaries by the Mexican government or any assignment of rights arising therefrom,
- o termination of the participation agreement that was entered into by ITA and the Mexican government in connection with the Mexican government's sale of the series BB shares to ITA,
- o the cancellation of our registration in the Mexican Securities Registry or in any stock market,
- o a merger by us with an entity the business of which is not related to the business of us or our subsidiaries, and
- o a spin-off, dissolution or liquidation of ASUR.

Our bylaws also establish the following voting requirements:

- o the amendment of the restrictions in our bylaws on ownership of shares of our capital stock requires the vote of holders of 85% of our capital stock;
- o a delisting of our shares requires the vote of holders of 95% of our capital stock; and
- o the amendment of the provisions in our bylaws requiring that a stockholder seeking to obtain control carry out a tender offer requires the vote of holders of 85% of our capital stock.

Right of Withdrawal

Any stockholder having voted against a resolution validly adopted at a meeting of our stockholders with respect to (i) a change in our corporate purpose or nationality, (ii) a change of corporate form, (iii) a merger involving us in which we are not the surviving entity or the dilution of its capital stock by more than 10%, or (iv) a spin-off, may request redemption of its shares, provided that the relevant request is filed with us within fifteen days following the holding of the relevant stockholders' meeting. The redemption of the stockholders' shares will be effected at the lower of (a) 95% of the average trading price determined based on the average of the closing prices of our shares on the 30 (thirty) days on which the shares may have been quoted

prior to the date of the meeting, or (b) the book value of the shares in accordance with the most recent audited financial statements approved by our stockholders' meeting.

Pursuant to our bylaws, our stockholders have waived the right to redeem their variable capital contributions provided in the Mexican General Law of Business Corporations.

Veto Rights of Holders of Series BB Shares

So long as the series BB shares represent at least 7.65% of our capital stock, resolutions adopted at stockholders' meetings with respect to any of the issues listed below will only be valid if approved by a vote of a majority of the series BB shares:

- o approval of our financial statements,
- o liquidation or dissolution,
- o capital increases or decreases,
- o declaration and payment of dividends,
- o amendment to our bylaws,
- o mergers, spin-offs or share-splits,
- o grant or amendment of special rights to series of shares, and
- o any decision amending or nullifying a resolution validly taken by the board of directors with respect to (i) appointment of our chief executive officer or the other members of management to be designated by the holders of our series BB shares, (ii) appointment of the three members of our Operating Committee to be designated by the holders of the series BB shares, and (iii) appointment of the members of the Operating Committee whose appointment requires the consent of the holders of the series BB shares.

Dividends and Distributions

At our annual ordinary general stockholders' meeting, the board of directors will submit to the stockholders for their approval our financial statements for the preceding fiscal year. Five percent of our net income (after profit sharing and other deductions required by Mexican law) must be allocated to a legal reserve fund until the legal reserve fund reaches an amount equal to at least 20% of our capital stock (without adjustment for inflation). Additional amounts may be allocated to other reserve funds as the stockholders may from time to time determine including a reserve to repurchase shares. The remaining balance, if any, of net earnings may be distributed as dividends on the shares of common stock. A full discussion of our dividend policy may be found in "Item 8. Financial Information—Dividends."

Registration and Transfer

Our shares are registered with the Mexican Securities Registry, as required under the Securities Market Law and regulations issued by the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission. If we wish to cancel our registration, or if it is cancelled by the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission, the stockholders having "control" (see below) of ASUR at that time will be required to make a public offer to purchase all outstanding shares, prior to such cancellation. Unless the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission authorizes

otherwise, the price of the offer to purchase will be the higher of: (i) the average of the closing price during the prior thirty days on which the shares may have been quoted prior to the date of the offer; or (ii) the book value of the shares in accordance with the most recent quarterly report submitted to the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission and to the Mexican Stock Exchange. Any amendments to the foregoing provisions included in our bylaws require the prior approval of the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission and the resolution of the extraordinary stockholders' meeting adopted by a minimum voting quorum of 95% of our outstanding capital stock.

Series BB shares may only be transferred after conversion into series B shares, and are subject to the following rules:

- o After September 28, 2003, ITA may sell up to 49% of its series BB shares. ITA is required to retain its remaining 51% interest through December 18, 2008.
- o After December 18, 2008, ITA continues to be free to sell 49% of its initial ownership interest without restriction. In addition, ITA may sell in any year up to 20% of its other 51% interest in series BB shares.
- o If ITA owns series BB shares that represent less than 7.65% of our capital stock after December 18, 2013, those remaining series BB shares will be automatically converted into freely transferable series B shares.
- o If ITA owns series BB shares representing at least 7.65% of our capital stock after December 18, 2013, those series BB shares may be converted into series B shares, provided the holders of at least 51% of series B shares (other than shares held by ITA and any of its "related persons") approve such conversion and vote against renewal of the technical assistance agreement.
- o If upon such conversion any stockholder exceeds the individual ownership limitations set forth in our bylaws, such stockholder will be required to transfer the excess stock to a third party within thirty calendar days. If the stockholder fails to effect such transfer within the thirty calendar day period, we may thereafter redeem such excess stock at book value in accordance with the latest financial statements approved by the stockholders' meeting.

For purposes of our bylaws, a "related person" means, with respect to any person:

- o any person, directly or indirectly, controlling, controlled by, or under common control with such person
- o any person having the ability to determine the business policies of such person
- o in the case of an individual, an individual having a blood or civil kinship in a direct line (ascending or descending) within and including the fourth grade with such person
- o in the case of ASUR, ITA, and
- o in the case of ITA, its stockholders and their related persons.

For purposes of our bylaws, "control" of a person, with respect to any person, is defined as:

- o the ownership, directly or indirectly of 20% or more of the capital stock or voting rights of such person,
- o the ability to elect the majority of the members of the board of directors or managers of the person,
- o the ability to veto resolutions that could otherwise be adopted by the person's stockholders (except with respect to matters required to be approved by an extraordinary stockholders' meeting under Mexican law), either by agreement or by ownership of a special series of shares, or
- o existence of commercial relations representing more than 15% of the total annual consolidated income of such person.

Stockholder Ownership Restrictions and Antitakeover Protection

Holders of our shares are subject to the following restrictions:

- o holders of series B shares, either individually or together with their related persons, may not directly or indirectly own more than 10% of our capital stock,
- o series BB shares may represent no more than 15% of our outstanding capital stock,
- o holders of series BB shares may also own series B shares, provided that as long as they hold series BB shares, their total beneficial ownership may not exceed 20% of our outstanding capital stock,
- o no more than 5% of our outstanding capital stock may be owned by air carriers, and
- o foreign governments acting in a sovereign capacity may not directly or indirectly own any portion of our capital stock.

A person exceeding the 10% threshold described above due solely to our repurchase of our shares is required to reduce its interest below 10% within one year of such repurchase.

The foregoing ownership restrictions do not apply to:

- o NAFIN, including in its capacity as trustee,
- o Institutions that act as depositaries for securities, and
- o Financial and other authorized institutions that hold securities for the account of beneficial owners, provided that such beneficial owners are not exempt from the ownership restrictions.

Any amendment to the ownership restrictions described above requires the vote of shares representing 85% of our capital stock.

If our bylaws are amended to eliminate the share ownership restrictions described above, any stockholder seeking to acquire "control" of ASUR (as defined above) is required to obtain the consent of the board of directors prior to acquiring shares in excess of the amount permitted to be

acquired prior to any such amendment. Any such consent granted by the board of directors shall be conditioned on a tender offer being conducted within 30 days by the person acquiring "control." The tender offer price is required to be the higher of: (i) the average of the closing price during the prior 30 (thirty) days on which the shares may have been quoted prior to the date of the offer; or (ii) the book value of the shares in accordance with the most recent quarterly report submitted to the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission and to the Mexican Stock Exchange. Any amendment of this tender offer requirement requires the vote of the holders of 95% of our capital stock.

Air carriers and their subsidiaries and affiliates are not permitted, directly or indirectly, to "control" ASUR or any of our subsidiary concession holders.

Under the Mexican Airport Law, any control takeover requires the prior consent of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. See "Item 4. Information on the Company--Regulatory Framework--Reporting, Information and Consent Requirements."

For purposes of these provisions, "related person" and "control" are defined above under "--Registration and Transfer."

Changes in Capital Stock

Increases and reductions of our minimum fixed capital must be approved at an extraordinary stockholders' meeting, subject to the provisions of our bylaws and the Mexican General Law of Business Corporations. Increases or reductions of the variable capital must be approved at an ordinary stockholders' meeting in compliance with the voting requirements of our bylaws.

Shares issued under Article 81 of the Securities Market Law (which are those held in treasury to be delivered upon their subscription) may be offered for subscription and payment by the board of directors, provided that:

- o the issuance is made to effect a public offering in accordance with the Securities Market Law, and
- o the Company shall obtain authorization from the National Banking and Securities Commission,
- o the shares that are not subscribed and paid within the period set forth by the National Banking and Securities Commission shall be considered null and void and be cancelled, and
- o to facilitate the public offer, at the extraordinary stockholders' meeting where the issuance of non-subscribed shares is approved, an express waiver of preemptive rights is made.

If the holders of at least 25% of our capital stock vote against the issuance of non-subscribed shares, said issuance may not take place.

Subject to the individual ownership limitations set forth in our bylaws, in the event of an increase of our capital stock our stockholders will have a preemptive right to subscribe and pay for new stock issued as a result of such increase in proportion to their stockholder interest at that time, unless: (i) the capital increase is made under the provisions of Article 81 of the Securities Market Law; or (ii) the capital increase relates to the issuance of shares upon the conversion of debentures. Said preemptive right shall be exercised by subscription and payment of the relevant stock within fifteen business days after the date of publication of the corresponding notice to our stockholders in the official gazette of the federation and in one of the

newspapers or greater circulation in Mexico, provided that if at the corresponding meeting all of our shares are duly represented, the fifteen business day period shall commence on the date of the meeting.

Our capital stock may be reduced by resolution of a stockholders' meeting taken pursuant to the rules applicable to capital increases. Our capital stock may also be reduced upon withdrawal of a stockholder (See "--Voting Rights and Stockholders' Meetings--Right of Withdrawal") or by repurchase of our own stock in accordance with the Securities Market Law (See "--Share Repurchases").

Share Repurchases

We may choose to acquire our own shares through the Mexican Stock Exchange and the New York Stock Exchange on the following terms and conditions:

- o the acquisition must be made at the market price charged against the capital stock and, when applicable, against a reserve created with funds from net profits,
- o the ordinary stockholders' meeting shall determine the amount of capital and, if applicable, the amount of the reserve that we may use to repurchase our shares. The acquisition may be effected by resolution of our board of directors,
- o the acquisition must be made subject to the provisions of applicable law, including the Securities Market Law and carried out, reported and disclosed in the manner established by the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission,
- o as a consequence of the purchase, the corporate capital and the reserve will be reduced, converting the acquired shares into treasury shares, and
- o the shares may be resold out of the treasury, thereby increasing the corporate capital and the reserve.

Ownership of Capital Stock by Subsidiaries

Our subsidiaries, may not, directly or indirectly, invest in our shares, except for shares of our capital stock acquired as part of an employee stock option plan, which may not exceed 15% of our capital stock.

Liquidation

Upon our dissolution, one or more liquidators must be appointed at an extraordinary stockholders' meeting to wind up our affairs. All fully paid and outstanding shares will be entitled to participate equally in any distribution upon liquidation. Partially paid shares participate in any distribution in the same proportion that such shares have been paid at the time of the distribution.

Other Provisions

Liabilities of the members of the Board of Directors

As in any other Mexican corporation and due to the provisions of the Mexican General Law on Business Corporations, any stockholder or group of stockholders holding at least 10% of our capital stock may directly file a civil liability action under Mexican law against the members of the board of directors and statutory auditors.

In addition to the foregoing, our bylaws provide that a member of

the board of directors will be liable to us and our stockholders in the following circumstances:

- o negligence resulting in the loss of more than two-thirds of our capital stock,
- o fraud resulting in our bankruptcy,
- exceeding board authority or breach of duties under our bylaws,
- o participation in the resolution of issues where a conflict of interest exists that results in damages to us,
- o negligence resulting in company obligations or agreements violating legal or statutory provisions, and
- o failure to report irregularities in actions of former board members.

The members of the board are liable to our stockholders only for the loss of net worth suffered as a consequence of disloyal acts carried out in excess of their authority or in violation of our bylaws.

Information to Stockholders

The Mexican General Law on Business Corporations establishes that companies, acting through their boards of directors, must annually present a report at a stockholder's meeting that includes:

- o a report of the directors on the operations of the company during the preceding year, as well as on the policies followed by the directors and on the principal existing projects,
- o a report explaining the principal accounting and information policies and criteria followed in the preparation of the financial information,
- o a statement of the financial condition of the company at the end of the fiscal year,
- o a statement showing the results of operations of the company during the preceding year, as well as changes in the company's financial condition and capital stock during the preceding year,
- o $\,$ the notes which are required to complete or clarify the above mentioned information, and
- o the report prepared by the statutory auditors with respect to the accuracy and reasonability of the above mentioned information presented by the board of directors.

In addition to the foregoing, our bylaws provide that the board of directors should also prepare the information referred to above with respect to any subsidiary that represents at least 20% of our net worth (based on the financial statements most recently available).

Duration

The duration of our corporate existence is one hundred years.

Stockholders' Conflict of Interest

Under Mexican law, any stockholder that has a conflict of interest with respect to any transaction must abstain from voting on such a transaction at the relevant stockholders' meeting. A stockholder that votes on a transaction in which its interest conflicts with that of ASUR may be liable for damages in the event the relevant transaction would not have been approved without such stockholder's vote.

Directors' Conflict of Interest

Under Mexican law, any director who has a conflict of interest with ASUR in any transaction must disclose the conflict to the other directors and abstain from voting. Any director who violates such provision will be liable to us for any resulting damages or losses. Additionally, our directors and statutory auditors may not represent stockholders in the stockholders' meetings.

Material Contracts

Our subsidiaries are parties to the airport concessions granted by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation under which we are required to construct, operate, maintain and develop the airports in exchange for certain benefits. See "--Sources of Regulation" and "--Scope of Concessions and General Obligations of Concession Holders" under "Regulatory Framework" in Item 4.

We are a party to a participation agreement with ITA and the Ministry of Communications and Transportation which establishes the framework for several other agreements to which we are a party. See "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions--Related Party Transactions--Arrangements with ITA".

We have entered into a technical assistance agreement and option agreement with ITA providing for management and consulting services and the option to subscribe for newly issued series B shares. See "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions--Related Party Transactions--Arrangements with ITA."

Exchange Controls

Mexico has had free market for foreign exchange since 1991 and the government has allowed the peso to float freely against the U.S. dollar since December 1994. There can be no assurance that the government will maintain its current foreign exchange policies. See "Item 3. Key Information--Exchange Rates."

Taxation

The following summary contains a description of the material anticipated U.S. and Mexican federal income tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and disposition of our series B shares or ADSs by a holder that is a citizen or resident of the United States or a U.S. domestic corporation or that otherwise will be subject to U.S. federal income tax on a net income basis in respect of our series B shares or ADSs and that is a "non-Mexican holder" (as defined below) (a "U.S. holder"), but it does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all of the tax considerations that may be relevant to a decision to purchase our series B shares or ADSs. In particular, the summary deals only with U.S. holders that will hold our series B shares or ADSs as capital assets and does not address the tax treatment of a U.S. holder that owns or is treated as owning 10% or more of our outstanding voting shares. In addition, the summary does not address any U.S. or Mexican state or local tax considerations that may be relevant to a U.S. holder.

The summary is based upon the federal income tax laws of the United States and Mexico as in effect on the date of this Form 20-F, including the provisions of the income tax treaty between the United States and Mexico and protocol thereto (the "Tax Treaty"), all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect in the case of U.S. federal income tax law. Prospective investors in our series B shares or ADSs should consult their own tax advisors as to the US, Mexican or other tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and disposition of the series B shares or ADSs, including, in particular, the effect of any foreign, state or local tax laws and their entitlement to the benefits, if any, afforded by the Tax Treaty.

For purposes of this summary, the term "non-Mexican holder" shall mean a holder that is not a resident of Mexico and that will not hold the series B shares or ADSs or a beneficial interest therein in connection with the conduct of a trade or business through a permanent establishment or fixed base in Mexico.

For purposes of Mexican taxation, an individual is a resident of Mexico if he has established his home in Mexico, unless he has resided in another country for more than 183 days during a calendar year, whether consecutive or not, and can demonstrate that he has become a resident of that country for tax purposes, and a legal entity is a resident of Mexico either if it was established under Mexican law or if it has its principal place of business or its place of effective management in Mexico. If a non-resident of Mexico is deemed to have a permanent establishment in Mexico for tax purposes, all income attributable to such permanent establishment will be subject to Mexican taxes, in accordance with applicable tax laws.

In general, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, holders of ADSs will be treated as the beneficial owners of the series B shares represented by those ADSs.

Taxation of Dividends

Mexican Tax Considerations

Under Mexican Income Tax Law provisions, dividends paid to non-Mexican holders with respect to our series B shares or ADSs are not subject to any Mexican withholding tax.

U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations

The gross amount of any distributions paid with respect to the series B shares or ADSs, to the extent paid out of our current or accumulated earnings and profits, as determined for U.S. federal income tax purposes, generally will be includible in the gross income of a U.S. holder as ordinary income on the date on which the distributions are received by the depositary and will not be eligible for the dividends received deduction allowed to certain corporations under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. To the extent that a distribution exceeds our current and accumulated earnings and profits, it will be treated as a non-taxable return of basis to the extent thereof, and thereafter as capital gain from the sale of series B shares or ADSs. Distributions, which will be made in pesos, will be includible in the income of a U.S. holder in a U.S. dollar amount calculated by reference to the exchange rate in effect on the date they are received by the depositary whether or not they are converted into U.S. dollars. If such distributions are converted into U.S. dollars on the date of receipt, a U.S. holder generally should not be required to recognize foreign currency gain or loss in respect of the distributions. Subject to certain exceptions for short-term and hedged positions, the U.S. dollar amount of dividends received by an individual U.S. holder in respect of series B shares or ADSs after December 31, 2002 and before January 1, 2009 is subject to taxation at a maximum rate of 15%. U.S. holders

should consult their own tax advisors regarding the availability of this reduced dividends tax rate in light of their own particular circumstances. U.S. holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the treatment of foreign currency gain or loss, if any, on any pesos received that are converted into U.S. dollars on a date subsequent to receipt.

Distributions of additional series B shares to holders of series B shares or ADSs that are made as part of a pro rata distribution to all of our stockholders generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax.

Taxation of Dispositions of Shares or ADSs

Mexican Tax Considerations

Gain on the sale or other disposition of ADSs by a non-Mexican holder will not be subject to any Mexican tax. Deposits and withdrawals of our series B shares in exchange for ADSs will not give rise to Mexican tax or transfer duties.

Gain on the sale of our series B shares by a non-Mexican holder will not be subject to any Mexican tax if the transaction is carried out through the Mexican Stock Exchange or other securities markets approved by the Mexican Ministry of Finance, and provided certain requirements set forth by the Mexican Income Tax Law are complied with. Sales or other dispositions of series B shares made in other circumstances generally would be subject to Mexican tax, except to the extent that a holder is eligible for benefits under an income tax treaty to which Mexico is a party. Under the Tax Treaty, a holder that is eligible to claim the benefits of the Tax Treaty will be exempt from Mexican tax on gains realized on a sale or other disposition of the series B shares in a transaction that is not carried out through the Mexican Stock Exchange or such other approved securities markets, so long as the holder did not own, directly or indirectly, 25% or more of our capital stock (including ADSs) within the 12-month period preceding such sale or other disposition.

For non-Mexican holders that do not meet the requirements referred to above, gross income realized on the sale of the series B shares will be subject to a 5% Mexican withholding tax if the transaction is carried out through the Mexican Stock Exchange. Alternatively, a non-Mexican holder can choose to be subject to a 20% withholding rate on the net gain obtained, as calculated pursuant to Mexican Income Tax Law provisions.

The Mexican tax rules governing the taxation of gains of non-Mexican holders on dispositions of their shares of ADSs were amended during 2002. Non-Mexican holders who disposed of their series B shares or ADSs during 2002 should consult their own Mexican tax advisors on the Mexican tax treatment of such dispositions.

U.S. Tax Considerations

Upon the sale or other disposition of the series B shares or ADSs, a U.S. holder generally will recognize capital gain or loss in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realized on the sale or other disposition and such U.S. holder's tax basis in the series B shares or ADSs. Gain or loss recognized by a U.S. holder on such sale or other disposition generally will be long-term capital gain or loss if, at the time of the sale or other disposition, the series B shares or ADSs have been held for more than one year. Long-term capital gain recognized by a U.S. holder that is an individual is subject to lower rates of federal income taxation than ordinary income or short-term capital gain. The deduction of a capital loss is subject to limitations for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Deposits and withdrawals of series B shares by U.S. holders in exchange for ADSs will not result in the realization of gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Gain, if any, realized by a U.S. holder on the sale or other disposition of the series B shares or ADSs generally will be treated as U.S. source income for U.S. foreign tax credit purposes. Consequently, if a Mexican withholding tax is imposed on the sale or disposition of the series B shares, a U.S. holder that does not receive significant foreign source income from other sources may not be able to derive effective U.S. foreign tax credit benefits in respect of these Mexican taxes. U.S. holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the application of the foreign tax credit rules to their investment in, and disposition of, series B shares.

Other Mexican Taxes

There are no Mexican inheritance, gift, succession or value added taxes applicable to the ownership, transfer or disposition of the series B shares or ADSs by non-Mexican holders; provided, however, that gratuitous transfers of the series B shares or ADSs may in certain circumstances cause a Mexican federal tax to be imposed upon the recipient. There are no Mexican stamp, issue, registration or similar taxes or duties payable by non-Mexican holders of the series B shares or ADSs.

U.S. Backup Withholding Tax and Information Reporting Requirements

In general, information reporting requirements will apply to payments by a paying agent within the United States to a non-corporate (or other non-exempt) U.S. holder of dividends in respect of the series B shares or ADSs or the proceeds received on the sale or other disposition of the series B shares or ADSs, and a backup withholding tax may apply to such amounts if the U.S. holder fails to provide an accurate taxpayer identification number to the paying agent. Amounts withheld as backup withholding tax will be creditable against the U.S. holder's U.S. federal income tax liability, provided that the required information is furnished to the U.S. Internal Revenue Service.

DOCUMENTS ON DISPLAY

The materials included in this annual report on Form 20-F, and exhibits hereto, may be viewed at the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's public reference room in Washington, D.C. Please call the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the public reference rooms. The Securities and Exchange Commission maintains a World Wide Web site on the Internet at http://www.sec.gov that contains reports and information statements and other information regarding us. The reports and information statements and other information about us can be downloaded from the Securities and Exchange Commission's website.

Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to market risk from changes in currency exchange rates.

Foreign Currency Risk

Our principal exchange rate risk involves changes in the value of the peso relative to the dollar. Historically, a significant portion of the revenues generated by our airports (principally derived from passenger charges for international passengers) has been denominated in or linked to the U.S. dollar, although such revenues are collected in pesos based on the average exchange rate for the prior month. In 2001 and 2002 approximately 40.0% and 37.4%, respectively, of our consolidated revenues were derived from passenger charges for international passengers. Substantially all of our other revenues are denominated in pesos. We estimate that substantially all of our consolidated costs and expenses are denominated in pesos (other than the salaries of our executive officers and the technical assistance fee, to the extent paid based on the fixed minimum annual payment).

We did not have any foreign currency indebtedness at December 31, 2001 and 2002. As of December 31, 2001 and 2002, 30.4% and 2.8%, respectively, of our cash and marketable securities were denominated in dollars. In the event that we incur foreign currency denominated indebtedness in the future, decreases in the value of the peso relative to the dollar will increase the cost in pesos of servicing such indebtedness. A depreciation of the peso relative to the dollar would also result in foreign exchange losses as the peso value of our foreign currency denominated indebtedness is increased. At December 31, 2001 and 2002, we did not have any outstanding forward foreign exchange contracts. Prior to the maturity of the U.S.\$27.7 million of notes due June 30, 2000, we entered into several forward foreign exchange contracts to manage the exchange rate risk associated with this debt.

Item 12. Description of Securities Other Than Equity Securities

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies

Not applicable.

Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

Item 15. Controls and Procedures

Within 90 days prior to the date of this Form 20-F, we carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our acting Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives. Based upon and as of the date of our evaluation, our acting Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are

effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file and submit under the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as and when required.

There were no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation.

Item 16. Reserved

Item 17. Financial Statements

The Registrant has responded to Item 18 in lieu of this Item.

PART III

See pages F-1 through F-44, incorporated herein by reference. The

Item 18. Financial Statements

following is an index to the financial statements:

Consolidated Financial Statements for Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de

C.V. and Subsidiaries Page

Report of Independent Accountants..... F-1

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2001 and 2002..... F-3

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

for the Years Ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002..... F-5

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Financial Position for the Years Ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002..... F-6

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements..... F-7

Item 19. Exhibits

Documents filed as exhibits to this annual report:

Exhibit No. Description

- 1.1** Amended and Restated Bylaws (Estatutos Sociales) of the Company, together with an English translation.
- 2.1** Deposit Agreement among the Company, The Bank of New York, and all registered holders from time to time of any American Depositary Receipts, including the form of American Depositary Receipt (incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-6 (File No. 333-12486) filed on September 7, 2000).
- 3.1** Trust Agreement among the Company, ITA, and Bancomext, together with an English translation.
- 4.1** Amended and Restated Cancun Airport Concession Agreement and annexes thereto, together with an English translation and a schedule highlighting the differences between this concession and the Company's other concessions.
- 4.2** Participation Agreement among the Company, the Mexican Federal Government through the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, Nacional Financiera, S.N.C. ("NAFIN"), Servicios Aeroportuarios del Sureste, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Cancun, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Cozumel, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Huatulco, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Merida, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Minatitlan, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Oaxaca, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Tapachula, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Veracruz, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Villahermosa, S.A. de C.V., Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V., Copenhagen Airports A/S, Cintra Concesiones de Infraestructuras de Transporte, S.A., Groupe GTM, S.A., Inversiones y Tecnicas Aeroportuarias, S.A. de C.V. ("ITA"), Banco Nacional de Comercio Exterior, S.N.C. ("Bancomext"), and Aeropuertos y Servicios Auxiliares ("ASA"), together with an English translation.
- Amendment to the Participation Agreement, the Shareholders Agreement and the Technical Assistance Agreement among the Mexican Federal Government through the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, NAFIN, Bancomext, the Company, Servicios Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Cancun, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Cozumel, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Huatulco, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Merida, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Minatitlan, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Cozumento de
- 4.4** Technical Assistance and Transfer of Technology Agreement among the Company, Servicios Aeroportuarios del Sureste, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Cancun, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Cozumel, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Huatulco, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Merida, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Minatitlan, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Oaxaca, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Tapachula, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Veracruz, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Villahermosa, S.A. de C.V., Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V., Copenhagen Airports A/S, Cintra Concesiones de Infraestructuras de Transporte, S.A.,

VINCI, S.A. and ITA, together with an English translation.

- 4.5** Stock Option Agreement between the Registrant and ITA, together with an English translation.
- 4.6** Shareholders' Agreement among the Company, NAFIN, ITA,
 Bancomext, and the Mexican Federal Government through the
 Ministry of Communications and Transportation, together with
 an English translation.
- 4.7** Indemnity Agreement between the Company and the Mexican Federal Government through the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, dated September 28, 2000, together with an English translation (previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as Exhibit 4.7 on Form 20-F dated June 28, 2001 and incorporated by reference herein).
- 8.1** List of subsidiaries of the Company.
- 99.0 Certifications pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this registration statement on its behalf.

Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V.

By: /s/ ADOLFO CASTRO RIVAS

Name: Adolfo Castro Rivas
Title: Chief Financial Officer

Dated: June 25, 2003

Certification Required

by Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

- I, Adolfo Castro Rivas, certify that:
- I have reviewed this annual report on Form 20-F of Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect

^{**} Incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-12486) filed on September 7, 2000.

to the period covered by this annual report;

- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
 - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this annual report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
 - c) presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
- The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this annual report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: June 25, 2003

/s/ ADOLFO CASTRO RIVAS
------Adolfo Castro Rivas

Chief Financial Officer

by Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

- I, Kjeld Binger, certify that:
- I have reviewed this annual report on Form 20-F of Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
- 5. designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
- 6. evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this annual report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
- 7. presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
- 8. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
- 9. all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
- 10. any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
- 11. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this annual report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: June 25, 2003

/s/ KJELD BINGER
-----Kjeld Binger

President and Acting Chief Executive Officer

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Stockholders of Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S. A. de C. V. and Subsidiaries:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S. A. de C. V. and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2001 and 2002, and the related consolidated statements of income, of changes in stockholders' equity and of changes in financial position for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002, which, as described in Note 2, have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in Mexico. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards in Mexico and the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S. A. de C. V. and Subsidiaries as of December 31,

2001 and 2002, and the consolidated results of their operations, the consolidated changes in their stockholders' equity and the consolidated changes in their financial position for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in Mexico.

Generally accepted accounting principles in Mexico vary in certain significant respects from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The application of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America would have affected

the determination of consolidated net income for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002, and the determination of consolidated stockholders' equity as of December 31, 2001 and 2002, to the extent summarized in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements.

PricewaterhouseCoopers

/s/ Luis Moiron Llosa
-----Luis Moiron Llosa, C.P.

Mexico, City February 14, 2003

GRUPO AEROPORTUARIO DEL SURESTE, S. A. DE C. V. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2001 AND 2002

(Expressed in thousands of Mexican Pesos in purchasing power as of December 31, 2002)

		2001
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and marketable securities	Ps.	928 , 876
Trade receivables, net		136,727
Recoverable taxes and other current assets		63,075
Total current assets		1,128,678
Machinery, furniture and equipment, net of accumulated		
depreciation of Ps. 76,972 and Ps. 117,696, respectively		634,342
Airport concessions, net of accumulated amortization		
of Ps. 635,396 and Ps. 847,685, respectively		7,813,807
Rights to use airport facilities, net of accumulated amortization		
of Ps. 258,513 and Ps. 339,953, respectively		2,182,567

Total assets	11,759,394
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities: Trade accounts payable Accrued expenses and other payables	1,354 83,951
Total current liabilities	85 , 305
Seniority premiums Deferred income tax and employees' statutory profit sharing	 407 394,527
Total liabilities	 480,239
Commitments and contingencies	
Stockholders' equity: Capital stock Legal reserve Reserve for repurchase of stock Retained earnings	 10,489,386 25,323 45,513 718,933
Total stockholders' equity	 11,279,155
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	11,759,394

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GRUPO AEROPORTUARIO DEL SURESTE, S. A. DE C. V. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000, 2001 AND 2002

(Expressed in thousands of Mexican Pesos in purchasing power as of December 31, 2002, except per share amounts)

For the years ended December 31,

REVENUES:

Aeronautical services Non-aeronautical services	Ps.1,091,016 188,122	Ps. 1,045,033 185,593
Total revenues	1,279,138	1,230,626
OPERATING EXPENSES:		
Cost of services	298,083	304,621
Technical assistance	57 , 948	40,256
Concession fee	63,914	61,522
General and administrative expenses	111,633	105,269
Depreciation and amortization	320 , 584	320,208
Total operating expenses	852 , 162	831 , 876
Operating income	426 , 976	398 , 750
COMPREHENSIVE FINANCING RESULT:		
Interest income	58,201	83,542
Interest expense	(17,430)	(1,448)
Exchange losses, net	(3,495)	(5,482)
Loss from monetary position	(53 , 069)	(39,730)
Net comprehensive financing		
(cost) income	(15,793)	36 , 882
Income before income taxes, employees'		
statutory profit sharing and extraordinary items Provisions for:	411,183	435,632
Asset tax Income tax and employees' statutory profit sharing	(179,901)	(161,402)
Income before extraordinary items	231,282	274,230
Contract termination fee		(7,071)
Loss on natural disaster		
		-
Net income	Ps. 231,282	Ps. 267,159
Earnings per share	Ps. 0.77	Ps. 0.89
	=========	=========

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GRUPO AEROPORTUARIO DEL SURESTE, S. A. DE C. V. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000, 2001 AND 2002

(Expressed in thousands of Mexican Pesos in purchasing power as of December 31, 2002)

	Capital stock 	_	Reserve for repurchase of stock	Retaine earning
Balance at December 31, 1999	Ps.10,489,386	Ps. 2,398		Ps. 288,9
Transfer to legal reserve Comprehensive income		11 , 547		(11,5 231,2
Balance at December 31, 2000	10,489,386	13,945	-	508,6
Transfer to legal reserve		11,378		(11,3
Transfer to reserve for repurchase of stock Comprehensive income			Ps. 45,513	(45,5 267,15
Balance at December 31, 2001	10,489,386	25,323	45,513	718,9
Transfer to legal reserve Cancellation of reserve for repurchase of stock Dividends paid Income tax paid on dividends Comprehensive income		13,358	(45,513)	(13,3 45,5 (469,3 (258,8 220,2
Balance at December 31, 2002	Ps.10,489,386	·		Ps. 243,1

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GRUPO AEROPORTUARIO DEL SURESTE, S. A. DE C. V. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN FINANCIAL POSITION FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000, 2001 AND 2002

(Expressed in thousands of Mexican Pesos in purchasing power as of December 31, 2002)

		For the years ended December 31,
	2000	2001
Operating activities: Net income before extraordinaries items Adjustments to reconcile net income to resources provided by (used in) operating activities:	Ps. 231,282	Ps. 274,230
Depreciation and amortization Deferred income tax and employees'	320,584	320,208
statutory profit sharing Change in deferred income tax resulting from inflation effects on	179,901	161,402
monetary deferred tax balances Other	11,349	(1,674)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities: Trade receivables Recoverable taxes and other current assets Recoverable asset tax	18,479 48,731	(31,452) (43,747)
Trade accounts payable Accrued expenses and other liabilities	4,799 (29,251)	(11,286) 13,378
Resources provided by operating activities before extraordinary items Contract termination fee Loss on natural disaster	785 , 874	681,059 (7,071)
Resources provided by operating activities	785 , 874	673 , 988
Financing activities: Dividends paid Tax on dividends paid		
Notes payable and accrued interest thereon	(326,100)	
Resources used in financing activities	(326,100)	
Investing activities: Purchase of machinery, furniture and equipment	(233,328)	(362,914)
Resources used in investing activities	(233, 328)	(362,914)

Increase (decrease) in cash and marketable		
securities	226,446	311,074
Cash and marketable securities, beginning		
of period	391,356	617,802
Cash and marketable securities, end of		
period	Ps. 617,802	Ps. 928,876
		========

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GRUPO AEROPORTUARIO DEL SURESTE, S. A. DE C. V. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of Mexican Pesos in purchasing power as of December 31, 2002, except per share and share amounts)

1. Formation and Description of Business

Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S. A. de C. V. ("ASUR"), a Mexican company, was incorporated in April 1998, as a wholly-owned entity of the Mexican government to operate, maintain and develop nine airports in the Southeast region of Mexico. The nine airports are located in the following cities: Cancun, Cozumel, Merida, Huatulco, Oaxaca, Veracruz, Villahermosa, Tapachula and Minatitlan. ASUR and its subsidiaries are collectively referred to as the "Company".

The Company was formed as part of the Mexican government's plans to open the Mexican airport system to investment under a two-stage program. Under guidelines issued by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, 35 of Mexico's 58 principal public airports were selected for the program and divided into four groups: the Southeast group (consisting of the Company's nine airports), the Mexico City group (currently consisting of one airport), the Pacific group (consisting of 12 airports) and the Central-North group (consisting of 13 airports). In the first stage of the program, an investor for each airport group would be selected through a series of public bidding processes. The investor would be awarded an equity interest in the airport group and the right and obligation to enter into several agreements, including an agreement to provide certain technical assistance, on terms established during the public bidding process. In the second stage of the program all or a portion of the remaining equity interest in each airport group would be offered for sale to the public.

In June 1998, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation granted to subsidiaries of ASUR the concessions to operate, maintain and develop the nine airports of the Southeast group for a period of 50 years commencing on November 1, 1998, for Ps. 10,416,953 (December 31, 2002 pesos), excluding value added tax. The concession period may be extended by the parties under certain circumstances. The acquisition cost of the airport concessions was paid through the issuance of capital stock of ASUR (see Note 7). The cost of the airport concessions was determined by the Mexican government with reference to the price paid by Inversiones y Tecnicas Aeroportuarias, S. A. de C. V. ("ITA") for its investment in ASUR (see below). Beginning November 1, 1998, the Company is also required to pay the Mexican government annual concession fees currently equal to 5% of each concession holder's gross annual revenues from the use of public

domain assets pursuant to the terms of its concessions. Payments against the concession fees are made every two months.

Notwithstanding the Company's rights to operate, maintain and develop the nine airports, pursuant to the Mexican General Law of National Assets, all the permanent fixed assets in the airports are owned by the Mexican nation. Upon expiration of the Company's concessions, these assets, including any improvements made during the term of the concessions, automatically revert to the Mexican nation.

In December 1998 and in March 1999, the Mexican government sold an aggregate 15% equity interest in ASUR to ITA, pursuant to a public bidding process. ITA paid the Mexican government an aggregate of Ps.1,165,076 (nominal), excluding interest, in exchange for: (i) 45,000,000 Class I Series BB shares (see Note 7) representing 15% of ASUR's capital stock; (ii) options to purchase newly issued shares representing 2%, 2% and 1% of total shares outstanding at the time of exercise, each determined on a fully diluted basis, from the Company; and (iii) the right and obligation to enter into several agreements, including a technical assistance agreement, under terms established during the bidding process. ITA is a consortium consisting of Copenhagen Airports A/S (25.5%), Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S. A. de C. V. (25.5%), Groupe Vinci S. A. (24.5%) and Cintra Concesiones de Infraestructura de Transporte, S.A. (24.5%). In 2002, Cintra Concesiones de Infraestructura de Transporte, S. A. transferred its 24.5% ownership in ITA to Ferrovial Aeropuertos, S. L. ITA's Series "BB" shares provide it with certain rights including the right to elect two members of the Company's board of directors and veto rights with respect to certain corporate actions. The technical assistance agreement provides ITA with certain rights including the right to appoint and remove the Company's chief executive officer and half of its most senior members of management.

On October 3, 2000, the Mexican government sold 18,539,350 Series "B" shares and 20,319,978 American Depositary Shares, each of which represents ten Series "B" shares, of the Company's common stock to public investors. Subsequent to this sale, the Mexican government's direct interest in the Company was approximately 11.1%. The Company's Series "B" shares and American Depositary Shares are traded on the Mexican Stock Exchange and the New York Stock Exchange, respectively.

2. Summary of significant accounting policies

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in Mexico ("Mexican GAAP") as promulgated by the Mexican Institute of Public Accountants ("MIPA").

The principal accounting policies followed by the Company are as follows:

a) Basis of presentation

All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. The consolidated subsidiaries of the Company are:

Subsidiary	Ownership interest
Aeropuerto de Cancun, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Cozumel, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Merida, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Huatulco, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Oaxaca, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Veracruz, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Villahermosa, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Tapachula, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Minatitlan, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%

Servicios Aeroportuarios del Sureste, S. A. de C. V.

99.99%

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

b) Recognition of the effects of inflation

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Bulletin B-10, "Recognition of the Effects of Inflation on Financial Information" ("Bulletin B-10") issued by the MIPA, and determined as follows:

- The statements of income and changes in stockholders' equity were restated applying Mexican National Consumer Price Index ("Mexican CPI") factors from the periods in which the transactions occurred. The financial statements of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 2001 have been restated for comparability purposes to December 31, 2002 purchasing power, by applying the restatement factors of 1.1040 and 1.0570, respectively.
- O The statements of changes in financial position present, in constant Mexican pesos, the resources provided by or used in operating, financing and investing activities.

The methodology for the restatement of the individual financial statement items is as follows:Restatement of non-monetary assets:

Machinery, furniture and equipment, net are recorded at acquisition cost, restated using Mexican CPI factors from the date the asset was purchased to the date of the financial statements. Depreciation expense is based on the restated carrying value of the assets.

The rights to use the airport facilities, net and the airport concessions, net were recorded based on the allocation of the purchase cost of the airport concessions and the acquisition cost of the rights of Cancun Air, Dicas and Aeropremier to the assets and liabilities acquired (see Notes 2(e), 5, and 6) and are restated using Mexican CPI factors. Amortization expense is computed on the restated carrying values of the rights to use the airport facilities and the airport concessions.

Restatement of stockholders' equity:

The restatement of the Company's capital stock, contributed capital, legal reserve, reserve for the repurchase of stock and retained earnings is determined by applying Mexican CPI factors from the dates on which capital was contributed and earnings were generated and reflects the amounts necessary to maintain the stockholders' investment at the purchasing power of the original amounts.

Loss from monetary position:

Loss from monetary position represents the inflationary effect, measured by the Mexican CPI, on the monetary assets and liabilities.

c) Cash and marketable securities

Cash and marketable securities includes cash, temporary investments and marketable securities expected to be held less than one year. As of December 31, 2001 and 2002, cash and marketable securities consisted primarily of money market accounts and short-term Mexican government bonds.

d) Machinery, furniture and equipment, net

Depreciation of machinery, furniture and equipment is based upon the restated

carrying value of the assets and is recognized using the straight-line method over the estimated remaining useful lives of the assets. The useful lives of the Company's machinery, furniture and equipment is as follows:

	Years
Improvements to concessioned assets	50
Machinery and equipment	2 and 10
Office furniture and equipment	10
Computer equipment	3
Automotive equipment	5
Other	various

When assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, the restated cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is recorded in results of operations.

e) Rights to use airport facilities, environmental liabilities and airport concessions Rights to use airport facilities and airport concessions include the acquisition of the nine airport concessions and the rights acquired from Cancun Air, Dicas and Aeropremier. Although the Company has, through its concessions, the rights to operate, maintain and develop the nine airports, all the permanent fixed assets in the airports are owned by the Mexican nation. Upon termination of the Company's concessions, these assets, including any improvements made during the term of the concessions automatically revert to the Mexican nation.

The acquisition cost of the nine airport concessions of Ps.10,416,953 (December 31, 2002 pesos) was allocated to the rights to use the airport facilities (Ps.2,386,102) and to certain environmental liabilities assumed (Ps.12,726) with the excess acquisition cost recorded as airport concessions (Ps.8,043,577). The amounts allocated to the rights to use the airport facilities were based on the depreciated replacement cost of the assets as determined by an independent appraiser. The amounts allocated to the environmental liabilities assumed are based on management's best estimate of the actual costs to be incurred and reflect the terms of an agreement with the environmental authorities (see Note 13)

The acquisition cost of the rights acquired from Cancun Air, Dicas and Aeropremier of Ps. 460,604 was allocated to the rights to use the airport facilities (Ps.54,978) with the excess acquisition cost recorded as airport concessions (Ps.405,626). The amounts allocated to the rights to use the airport facilities were based on the depreciated replacement cost of the assets as determined by an independent appraiser.

The rights to use the airport facilities are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated remaining useful lives of the underlying assets. The amounts allocated to the airport concessions are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of the concessions and the rights acquired.

f) Review of the book value of long - lived assets
The Company estimates the recoverable value of the rights to use airport
facilities, airport concessions and improvements to concessioned assets to be
the estimated discounted future net cash flows from the nine airport concessions
in the aggregate. If the carrying value of the assets exceeds the recoverable
value an impairment loss is recognized. At December 31, 2001 and 2002, the
recoverable value exceeds the net book value.

g) Seniority premiums

Seniority premiums to which employees are entitled after 15 years of service are recorded as cost in the years in which the services are rendered, as required by

Statement D-3, "Labor Obligations", issued by the MIPA.

h) Revenue recognition

Revenues are obtained from aeronautical services, which generally relate to the use of airport infrastructure by air carriers and passengers, and from non-aeronautical services.

Aeronautical services revenues consist of a passenger charge for each departing passenger (excluding diplomats, infants, and transfer and transit passengers), a landing charge based on the average between aircraft's maximum takeoff weight and the zero-fuel weight and hour of arrival, aircraft parking charges based on the time an aircraft is on the ground and hour of arrival, passenger walkway charges for the connection of aircraft to the terminal, based on hour of arrival, and airport security charges for each departing passenger. Aeronautical services revenue is recognized as passengers depart, at the time of landings and as services are provided, as the case may be.

Non-aeronautical services revenues consist primarily of the leasing of space in the airport terminals, access fees received from third parties providing handling, catering and other services at the airports and miscellaneous other revenues.

Through June 30, 1999, non-aeronautical services revenues includes access fees earned from Cancun Air, Dicas and Aeropremier, the former operators of the satellite and charter air terminal and certain other facilities at Cancun International Airport and the operation of the cargo terminal and other facilities at Merida International Airport. Beginning July 1, 1999, the Company does not receive access fees from these businesses, but recognizes aeronautical and non-aeronautical revenues from directly operating these facilities.

Terminal space is leased through operating leases with either fixed monthly rental fees or fees based on the greater of a minimum monthly fee or a specified percentage of the lessee's monthly revenues. Access fees and other services revenues are recognized as services are provided.

Under the Airport Law and its regulations, the Company's revenues are classified as Airport Services, Complementary Services or Commercial Services. Airport Services consist primarily of the use of runways, taxiways and aprons for landings and departures, aircraft parking, the use of passenger walkways, security services, hangars, automobile parking facilities as well as the general use of terminal space and other infrastructure by aircraft, passengers and cargo, including the lease of space essential for the operation of airlines and complementary service providers. Complementary Services consist primarily of ramp and handling services, catering, maintenance and repair and related activities that provide support to air carriers. Revenues from access fees charged to third parties providing complementary services are classified as Airport Services. Commercial Services consist of services that are not considered essential to the operation of an

airport, such as the lease of space to retailers, restaurants and banks. The following table presents the Company's revenues for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002, using the classifications established under the Airport Law and its regulations (see below for discussion of revenue regulation):

Year ended December 31,

		2000		2001	
Regulated services:					
Airport services	Ps.	1,164,818	Ps.	1,117,991	Ps.
Non-regulated services:					
Airport services:					
Access fees from non-permanent					
ground transportation		14,972		3,144	
Car parking lots and related access fees		8,899		12,906	
Other access fees		1,039		949	
Complementary services		953			
Commercial services		84,186		88 , 519	
Other services		4,271		7,117	
Total non-regulated services		114,320		112,635	
	Ps.	1,279,138	Ps.	1,230,626	Ps.
	=====		====	========	

Effective May 1, 1999, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation established rate regulations for the Company's airports governing the maximum prices that may be charged for certain services. The regulations were incorporated within the terms of each of the Company's airport concessions. The regulations specify a maximum rate, which is the maximum amount of revenue that the concession holder may earn each year from regulated sources of revenue at each airport for each "work load unit" that it processes. A work load unit is equivalent to one passenger or 100 kilograms of cargo. The fee charged for an individual service generally is not subject to price regulation. The regulations establish the annual maximum rate for each airport from May 1, 1999 through December 31, 2003. Under the terms of the airport concessions, revenues from Airport Services (which include access fees earned from providers of Complementary Services) are regulated. A significant portion of the Company's revenues are regulated, including all revenues from Airport Services, except for revenues from automobile parking and certain ground transportation providers.

Beginning 2004 each airport's maximum rate will be determined by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation based on projections of work load units, operating expenses and pre-tax earnings from services subject to price regulation, capital expenditures, reference amounts established in the concessions and a discount rate to be determined by the Ministry of

Communications and Transportation. The projections are to be derived from each airport's approved Master Development Plan for five-year periods. Once determined, each airport's maximum rates may only be changed every six months or earlier if there has been a cumulative increase of at least 5% in the Mexican producer price index (excluding petroleum) or if a special adjustment event has occurred. In determining the maximum rates for 1999 through 2003, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation set the rates to include a 1% efficiency factor reduction (in real terms) each year.

The Company intends to charge prices for regulated services at each airport so as to be as close as possible to that airport's maximum rates. Prices are based on management's projections and estimates of passenger and cargo traffic volume and other variables. These projections and estimates may differ from an airport's actual results of operations, which may cause the Company to exceed the maximum rate at an airport. To avoid exceeding the maximum rates at year end, the Company may be required to take actions, including reducing prices during the latter part of the year or discounts to customers.

In the event that revenues subject to price regulation per work load unit in any year exceed the applicable maximum rate, the maximum rate for the following year may be decreased to compensate airport users for overpayment in the previous year and the Ministry of Communications and Transportation may also assess penalties against the concession holder. If the Company exceeds an airport's maximum rate three times, the concession for that airport may be terminated by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation.

On February 18, 2000, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation issued an official communication stating that it had finalized its review of the Company's compliance with the maximum rates for 1999. In this communication, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation found that, through no fault of the Company, certain variables and information initially used to determine the maximum rates was not properly reflected in the rate regulations. In a subsequent official communication dated February 28, 2000, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, pursuant to the Mexican Airport Law and its regulations, amended the maximum rates of the Company's subsidiary concession holders from 2000 to 2003 to properly reflect these variables and information.

During the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2002, the Company issued credits to select customers of certain airports in order to comply with the maximum rates established by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation applicable to each airport. The Company received an official communication from the Ministry of Communications and Transportation indicating compliance with the maximum rates at each airport for the years ended December 31, 2001 during 2002 and expects to receive an official communication indicating compliance with the maximum rates at each airport for the years ended December 31, 2002 during 2003.

i) Transactions in foreign currency and exchange rate differences

Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated into Mexican pesos at the exchange rates in effect as of the balance sheet dates. Currency exchange fluctuations are included in income for the period and reflected in comprehensive financing cost.

j) Deferred income tax, employees' statutory profit sharing and tax on dividendsDeferred income tax is recorded using the full-scope method of assets and liabilities, which consist of determining deferred income tax by applying the corresponding tax rate to the differences between the book and tax values of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements.

Deferred employees' statutory profit sharing is calculated based on nonrecurring temporary differences between the book profit and the profit subject to employees' statutory profit sharing.

Deferred income tax and employees' statutory profit sharing assets are reduced, if necessary, by the amount of any tax benefits for which evidence does not indicate that there is a high probability of future taxable income to realize the assets.

Tax on dividends is recorded against retained earnings in accordance with Circular 35 issued by the MIPA.

k) Comprehensive income

As of January 1, 2001 Bulletin B-4 "Comprehensive Income", became effective. This bulletin requires that the various item-making up the capital gains (losses) during the year be shown in the statements of stockholders' equity under the heading of Comprehensive Income (loss). In the case of the Company, there is no difference between net income for the year and comprehensive income and is reported as comprehensive income in the income statements as well as the

statements of stockholders' equity.

1) Earnings per share

Basic earnings per share were computed by dividing income available to stockholders by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding (see Note 7). Weighted-average shares outstanding for calculating diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if dilutive securities and other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into shares, using the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, proceeds received from the assumed exercise of the stock options would be used to repurchase the Company's shares at the average market price during the period.

The weighted average shares outstanding for calculating both basic and diluted earnings per share was 300 million shares for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002. Options to purchase newly issued shares representing 2%, 2% and 1% of total shares outstanding, at the time of exercise, each determined on a fully diluted basis, were outstanding during the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002 but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the assumed exercise would be antidilutive.

m) Concentrations

Trade receivables consist primarily of receivables from major domestic and international airlines. Approximately 40% and 48% of trade receivables as of December 31, 2001 and 2002, respectively, were receivable from air carriers and other entities controlled by Cintra S. A. de C. V. ("Cintra") including Mexicana, Aeromexico, Aerocaribe, Aerocozumel and Aerolitoral. A majority of Cintra's capital stock is owned by the Institute for the Protection of Bank Savings, a decentralized entity within the Mexican federal public administration, and by the Mexican government.

In addition, a significant portion of revenues is generated from services provided to a small number of customers. Approximately 29%, 30% and 27% of total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002, respectively, were generated from services provided to the air carriers and other entities controlled by Cintra.

Further, approximately 70%, 70% and 70% of revenues during the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002, respectively, were generated from operations at the Cancun International Airport.

n) Recently issued accounting standards:

In November 2001, the MIPA issued revised Bulletin C-9 "Liabilities, Provisions, Contingent Assets and Liabilities and Commitments" ("Bulletin C-9"), which supersedes existing Bulletin C-9, "Liabilites" and Bulletin C-12, "Contingencies and Commitments". Bulletin C-9 establishes a methodology for the valuation, presentation and disclosure of liabilities and provisions, as well as for the valuation and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, and for disclosure of commitments. Among other things, the Bulletin establishes quidelines for the recognition of liabilities and derecognition of liabilities in the event of extinguishments, restructurings or conversion to equity. In addition, in the case of provisions, it introduces the concept of discounting long-term provisions. With respect to contingent liabilities, Bulletin C-9 states that all contingent liabilities that have a probable realization must be accounted for and disclosed in the financial statements, contingent liabilities that have a possible realization cannot be accounted for in the financial statements, but must be disclosed, and contingent liabilities that have a remote realization cannot be accounted for in the financial statements and are not required to be disclosed. Bulletin C-9 requires disclosure of committed amounts when they represent significant fixed asset additions, contracted services and

goods that exceeds the company's immediate needs or if the commitment is considered a contracted obligation. The provisions of Bulletin C-9 are required to be applied beginning on January 1, 2003, although early adoption is recommended. The adoption of Bulletin C-9 on January 1, 2003, is not expected to have an impact on the Company's financial statements.

In December 2001, the MIPA issued Bulletin C-8, "Intangible Assets" ("Bulletin C-8"), which defines intangible assets as costs incurred and rights or privileges acquired that will generate a future economic benefit. Bulletin C-8 provides a clear definition of research and development costs, requiring that only development costs could be deferred to a future period. Furthermore, Bulletin C-8 states that preoperating costs should be expensed as a period cost, unless they could be classified as development costs. Bulletin C-8 requires that goodwill and intangible assets, including previously existing goodwill and intangible assets, with indefinite useful lives should not be amortized, but should be tested for impairment annually. Goodwill and intangible assets with finite useful lives should be amortized over its useful life. The provisions of Bulletin C-8 are required to be applied beginning on January 1, 2003, although early adoption is recommended. The adoption of Bulletin C-8 on January 1, 2003, is not expected to have an impact on the Company's financial statements.

In 2002, the MIPA issued Statement C-15 "Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Their Disposal" ("Statement C-15"), which will be effective as of January 1, 2004, although early adoption is recommended. Statement C-15 provides specific criteria in determining when there is an impairment in the value of long-lived assets, for both tangible and intangible assets. Furthermore, Statement C-15 establishes a methodology for calculating and recording losses arising from the impairment of assets and their reversal. Also, Statement C-15 provides guidance for presentation and disclosure in the case that there is subsequent reversal of the impairment. In addition, Statement C-15 provides guidance for the accounting, presentation and disclosure for discontinued operations. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of Statement C-15 will have on the consolidated financial statements.

3. Trade receivables, net

As of December 31, 2001 and 2002, trade receivables, net consist of the following:

	December 31,					
	2001			2002		
Trade receivables Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	Ps.	143,063 (6,336)	Ps.	172,129 (5,915)		
	Ps.	136,727	Ps.	166,214		

The following table presents the roll forward of the allowance for doubtful accounts for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002:

			D	December 31,			
	2000		2	001	200	2	
Balance at the beginning of the period	(Ps.	819)	(Ps.	6,616)	(Ps.	6,336)	

Balance at the end of the period	(Ps.	6,616)	(Ps.	6,336)	(Ps.	5,915)
Briedes of initiation						J42
Effects of inflation		69		280		342
Write-offs						79
Increase in the allowance		(5,866)				

4. Machinery, furniture and equipment

As of December 31, 2001 and 2002, machinery, furniture and equipment, net consists of the following:

	-	Decembe	er 31,		
		2001		2002	
Machinery and equipment Office furniture and equipment Automotive equipment Improvements to concessioned assets (a) Construction in progress and other	Ps.	40,284 38,311 72,040 367,923 192,756	Ps.	39,713 42,812 77,165 623,682 192,366	
Total Less: accumulated depreciation		711,314 (76,972)		975,738 (117,696)	
	Ps.	634,342	Ps.	858,042	

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002 was Ps.26,852, Ps.26,479 and Ps.41,371, respectively.

(a) Improvements to concessioned assets as of December 31, 2001 and 2002 were comprised of the following: December 31,

		2001		2002		
Buildings Air side Land side Technical installations Machinery and equipment Security equipment Others	Ps.	156,562 161,012 12,918 31,604 2,973 2,854	Ps	282,629 202,925 60,670 54,654 6,719 9,067 7,018		
	Ps.	367,923	Ps.	623 , 682		
	====	=======	=====	=======		

5. Airport concessions

As stated in Note 1, in June 1998, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation granted to the Company the concessions to operate, maintain and develop nine airports in the Southeast region of Mexico for Ps.10,416,953 (December 31, 2002 pesos). The total cost of the airport concessions, at the acquisition date, were allocated to the rights to use the airport facilities based on the assets' depreciated replacement cost, as determined by an

independent appraiser, and to certain environmental liabilities assumed based on management's best estimate of the actual costs to be incurred, with the excess acquisition cost allocated to the airport concessions as follows:

			Estimated useful life
			(years)
Acquisition cost		10,416,953	
allocated to:	====	========	
Rights to use airport facilities:			
Runways, taxiways, aprons	Ps.	1,296,906	47-49
Buildings		419,485	24-50
Other infrastructure		108,556	5-31
Land		561,155	50
		2,386,102	
Environmental liabilities		(12,726)	
Airport concessions		8,043,577	50
Total	Ps.	10,416,953	
	====	=======	

Total amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002 was Ps.241,665, Ps.241,665, and Ps.241,665, respectively.

Each of the Company's airport concessions contain the following basic terms and conditions:

- The concession holder has the right to administer, operate, maintain and use the airport facilities and undertake the construction, improvement or maintenance of the facilities in accordance with its Master Development Plan. The concession holder was required to submit, for approval, its Master Development Plan to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation by September 30, 1999, and is required to update the plan every five years. Each concession requires the Company to make minimum levels of investments at each airport through 2003 (see Note 13).
- o The concession holder may only use the airport facilities for the purposes specified in the concession and must provide services in accordance with all applicable law and regulations and is subject to statutory oversight by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation .
- o The concession holder must pay a concession fee (currently 5% of each concession holder's gross annual revenues from the use of public domain assets pursuant to the terms of its concessions) as required by applicable law.
- O The concession holder assumes the rights and obligations of the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency under contracts with third parties relating to its airport. Each concession holder agreed to indemnify the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency for any loss that may be suffered by the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency due to the concession holders' breach of its obligations under an assigned agreement.
- o Fuel services and supply are to be provided by the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency.
- o The concession holder must grant access to and the use of specific areas of the airport to government agencies to perform their activities inside the

airports.

- The concession may be terminated for non-performance if the concession holder fails to comply with certain of the obligations imposed by the concession as established in Article 27 of the Airport Law or for the reasons specified in Article 26 of the Airport Law and in the concession. Violations of certain terms of a concession can result in the immediate termination of a concession. Violations of other terms of a concession can result in the termination only if the relevant term has been violated at least three times. The terms of the concessions provide that all of the concessions may be revoked if any one of the nine concessions is revoked.
- o The terms and conditions of the regulations governing the operations of the Company may be modified by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation.

6. Other rights acquired

Effective June 30, 1999, the Company acquired the rights of Cancun Air and Dicas to provide certain services at Cancun International Airport, the rights of Aeropremier to provide certain services at Merida International Airport and certain related machinery, furniture and equipment for cash and promissory notes of approximately US\$39.6 million.

Previously, the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency granted Cancun Air the right to construct, operate, maintain and develop the charter air terminal and certain auxiliary facilities at Cancun International Airport through December 19, 2006, for which Cancun Air was required to pay the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency fees equal to 12% of the charter air terminal's passenger charges through December 31, 2001 and 13% of Cancun Air's total revenues from the charter air terminal and certain auxiliary facilities from January 1, 2002 through December 19, 2006.

The Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency also granted Dicas the right to construct, maintain and collect the revenues from the commercial activities and passenger walkway charges generated by the satellite wing of the main terminal building at the Cancun International Airport through 2010. Under the terms of the agreement, Dicas would pay the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency a percentage of its passenger walkway fees and a percentage of its profits in excess of a specified rate of return.

In December 1991, the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency granted Aeropremier the right to construct and operate a general aviation terminal, a first class lounge, a tourism office and other commercial areas at Merida International Airport. The access fees earned from Aeropremier were not material.

In accordance with the terms of the concessions for Cancun International Airport and Merida International Airport, on November 1, 1998, the Company assumed the rights and obligations of the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency under the above agreements.

Effective with the acquisition of the rights of Cancun Air, Dicas and Aeropremier, the Company assumed the rights and obligations of Cancun Air, Dicas and Aeropremier under their agreements with third parties.

The acquisition cost of the rights has been allocated to the rights to use the underlying facilities based on the assets' depreciated replacement cost, as determined by an independent appraiser, with the excess allocated to airport concessions as follows:

Estimated

			useful lives
			(years)
Acquisition cost	Ps.	460,604	
allocated to: Rights to use:			
Buildings	Ps.	48,038	27-50
Other infrastructure		6,940	12-17
		54 , 978	
Airport concessions		405,626	7.5-11
Total	Ps.	460,604	
	=====	=======	

Amortization of the rights to use the underlying facilities is recorded on a straight-line basis over the estimated remaining useful lives of the assets. Amortization of amounts allocated to airport concessions is recorded over the term of the rights acquired. Amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002 was Ps. 52,064, Ps. 52,064 and Ps. 52,064, respectively.

7. Stockholders' equity

ASUR, a corporation with variable capital, was incorporated on April 1, 1998, through the issuance of 1,000,000 Class I Series "A" common shares representing the fixed capital stock. In December 1998, the Company issued three series of Class II variable capital stock comprised of 3,960,310,815 Series "A" shares, 2,640,873,876 Series "B" shares and 1,165,091,416 Series "BB" shares in exchange for the cancellation of the aggregate liabilities of Ps. 10,487,475 (Ps. 7,766,276 nominal) incurred in connection with the acquisition of the airport concessions and machinery, furniture and equipment from the Mexican government. For accounting purposes, the capitalization and share issuance has been given effect as of November 1, 1998.

On October 12, 1999, the Company's stockholders: 1) authorized the issuance of 6,602,184,691 fixed capital Class I series B shares in exchange for the 1,000,000 fixed capital Class I Series "A" shares, the 3,960,310,815 variable capital Class II Series "A" shares and the 2,640,873,876 variable capital Class II Series "B" shares then outstanding; 2) authorized the issuance of 1,165,091,416 fixed capital Class I Series "BB" shares for the 1,165,091,416 variable capital Class II Series "BB" shares; and 3) declared a one for 25.89092035667 reverse stock split effective as of such date. The share exchange results in the reclassification of all of the Company's variable capital stock to fixed capital stock. The reverse split adjusted number of shares outstanding as of December 31, 1999 and 2000 is 255,000,000 Class I Series "B" shares and 45,000,000 Class I Series "BB" shares. Basic and diluted earnings per share amounts have been adjusted retroactively to give effect to the one for 25.89092035667 reverse stock split.

As of December 31, 2001 and 2002, capital stock was restated as follows:

	1	Nominal				Restated
	value		Restatement		value	
Capital stock:						
Fixed	Ps.	7,767,276		Ps.	2,722,110	Ps.10,489,386
	=====			=====		=========

Each of ASUR and its subsidiaries are legally required to allocate at least 5%

of their unconsolidated annual net income to a legal equity reserve fund. This allocation must be continued until the equity reserve is equal to 20% of the issued and outstanding capital stock of the relevant company. Mexican corporations may pay dividends only out of earnings after such allocation to the reserve fund. As of December 31, 2001 and 2002, the consolidated reserve fund balance was Ps25,323 and Ps.38,681, respectively.

At the April 27, 2001 general stockholders' meeting, the shareholders agreed to apply 20% of net income generated in 2000 to establish a reserve within stockholders' equity for the repurchase of shares amounting to Ps.45,513 (Ps.41,016 nominal).

At the April 25, 2002 general stockholders' meeting, the Company's stockholders agreed to pay net dividends after income tax of Ps.469,308 (Ps.444,000 (nominal), or Ps.1.48 (nominal) per share), thus giving rise to an income tax of Ps.258,874 (Ps.244,907 nominal), since they were not from the After-tax Earnings Account (See Note 10).

At the December 25, 2002 general stockholders' meeting, the shareholders agreed to reverse the repurchase of shares reserve amounting to Ps 45,513, against retained earnings.

Stock Options

In connection with the sale of the 15% equity interest in the Company to ITA, the Company issued to ITA options to purchase newly issued Series "B" shares representing 2%, 2% and 1% of total shares outstanding at the time of each exercise, determined on a fully diluted basis, from the Company during three exercise periods provided that ITA has complied with its obligations under the technical assistance agreement and the stock ownership restrictions set forth in ASUR's bylaws. The exercise periods and the percentage of equity that can be acquired are as shown as follow:

	Percentage of then outstanding
	capital stock each determined
Exercise periods	on a fully diluted basis
December 18, 2001 to December 18, 2003	2%
December 18, 2002 to December 18, 2004	2%
December 18, 2003 to December 18, 2005	1%

The exercise price of the options will equal US\$2.64559301 on a split adjusted basis per share, plus a premium of 5% per annum, starting from the grant date (December 18, 1998). If for any reason the number of shares representing the capital stock are modified without an increase or decrease to the capital stock, as in the case of a stock split, the exercise price will be modified proportionally. In addition the exercise price will be adjusted for any cash dividends paid.

ITA is entitled to exercise all the options immediately if: i) any other stockholder or group of related stockholders acquires at least 35% of ASUR's capital stock; ii) a merger is approved which dilutes the holdings of ASUR's stockholders by more than 35%, or iii) the price per share of ASUR's Series "B" shares is at least US\$5.29118603 on a split adjusted basis.

ITA is authorized to transfer or assign its options to any of its stockholders or their related companies prior to the start of the first exercise period. After the first exercise period, ITA or any holder of the options is entitled to transfer its options to any party that is entitled to be a stockholder of a concession holder under the Airport Law.

Dividends

See Note 10.

8. Rentals under operating leases

The Company leases commercial space inside and outside the terminals to third parties under operating leases. The following is a schedule by years of minimum future rentals on noncancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2002 including minimum secured commercial lease agreements per passenger:

Period ending December 31:

2006 2007 Thereafter		259,178 275,788 1,242,493
	Ps.	2,443,577

9. Foreign currency balances and transactions

The foreign currency position of monetary items at December 31, 2001 and 2002, were as follows:

			Period end exchange rate	Mexican pesos		
	(thou	sands)		(thousands)		
December 31, 2001 Assets:						
Cash and marketable securities Prepaids Liabilities: Accrued expenses			Ps. 9.169 9.169			
and other payables	2,196		oles 2,196 9		9.169	20,135
	amo	unts	Period end exchange rate	Mexican pesos		
	(thou	sands)		(thousands)		
December 31, 2002 Assets:						
Cash and marketable securities	US\$	1,342	Ps. 10.439	Ps.14,010		
Prepaids Deposits Liabilities: Accrued expenses		3,685 104	10.439 10.439	38,469 1,086		
and other payables		377	10.439	3,936		

The principal foreign currency transactions during the year ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002, were as follows:

	Foreign currency amounts (thousands)	Average exchange rate
Year ended December 31, 2000 Income statement: Technical assistance fees and related costs Interest expense Professional services expenses Other	US\$ 5,000 1,390 788 111	Ps. 9.933 9.878 9.836 9.892
	Foreign currency amounts (thousands)	Average exchange rate
Year ended December 31, 2001 Income statement: Technical assistance fees and Related costs Professional services expenses Other	US\$ 3,319 404 1,340	Ps. 9.323 9.460 9.323
Year ended December 31, 2002 Income statement: Technical assistance fees and Related costs Professional services expenses Other	US\$ 3,234 487 1,027	Ps.10.043 9.762 9.820

The prevailing exchange rate between the Mexican Peso and the US dollar at December 31, 2001 and 2002 was Ps.9.1695 and Ps.10.3125, per US dollar, respectively. The exchange rate was Ps.10.9832 per US dollar on February 14, 2003.

10. Income tax, asset tax and employees' statutory profit sharing

The Company does not currently prepare a consolidated tax return.

Under current Mexican Income Tax Law, ASUR and its subsidiaries must pay the higher of the income tax or the asset tax. The asset tax is a minimum tax, which is calculated as 1.8% of the average tax value of virtually all of the Company's assets (including the airport concessions), less the average tax value of certain liabilities (basically liabilities with Mexican residents excluding those with financial institutions or their intermediaries). The average tax value of each asset or liability is calculated differently depending on its classification under the tax law. The Company's subsidiaries are exempt from the asset tax through 2001, since they commenced operations in 1998. In 2002, the Company incurred Ps.155,895 in asset taxes of which Ps.31,525 was directly charged to income for the year, since there was no certainty of its recoverability in the future. The remaining asset taxes is estimated to be recovered in the following ten years, when income tax incurred exceeds asset tax in any of those years, and may be restated by applying factors derived from the

NCPI.

Employees' statutory profit sharing in Mexico is determined for each subsidiary, rather than on a consolidated basis. Under Mexican law, the Company became subject to the employees' statutory profit sharing beginning January 1, 2000.

The components of income tax and employees' statutory profit sharing expense for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002 are as follows:

				r the years December 3 		
		2000	2001		2	002
Income tax deferred	(Ps.	140,168)	(Ps.	161,402)	(Ps.	118,499)
<pre>Employees' statutory profit sharing:</pre>						
Deferred		(39,733)		_		(1,490)
Provision for income tax and employees' statutory						
profit sharing	(Ps.	179 , 901)	(Ps.	161,402) ======	(Ps.	119 , 989)

The following items represent the principal differences between income tax computed at the statutory tax rate and the Company's provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002:

	For the years ended December 31,				
	2000	2001	2002		
Tax at statutory rate Change in tax rate Non-deductible items and other	(35%)	(35%)	(35%)		
permanent differences	2%	(2%)	(1%)		
Increase in valuation allowance Change in income tax rate	(1%)	(1%)	(7%) 12%		
Provision for income taxes	(34%)	(38%)	(31%)		

Under the amendments to the Income Tax Law in effect beginning January 1, 2002, the income tax rate will be 35% in 2002 and will be gradually reduced by 1% a year beginning in 2003 until it reaches 32% in 2005. As a result of the tax rate reduction, the Company reduced its deferred tax liability by Ps.44,817 with a corresponding credit to income.

The tax and employee's statutory profit sharing effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant deferred tax and employee's statutory profit sharing assets and liabilities at December 31, 2001 and 2002, are as follows:

	December 31,			
		2001		2002
Deferred income tax Deferred tax assets: Tax loss carryforwards Other Valuation allowance	Ps.	297,391 11,720 (12,731)		371,283 7,412 (37,516)
Deferred tax liabilities:		296,380		
Airport concessions and rights to use airport facilities Other		(652,726) (121)		(813,961) (2,801)
		(652,847) 		(816,762)
Net deferred tax liabilities before recoverable asset tax		(356,467)		(475,583)
Recoverable asset tax, net of valuation allowance of Ps.31,525		-		124 , 370
Net deferred tax liabilities		356,467) ======		
Deferred employees' statutory profit sharing: Net deferred employees' statutory profit sharing liabilities recognized in respect of all the non recurring temporary differences generated during the period, between the tax and the book basis	(Ps.	38,060)	(Ps.	37,496)
Net deferred income tax and employees' statutory profit sharing liabilities		394,527)		388,709)

Based on the weight of available evidence as of December 31, 2001 and 2002, valuation allowances were recognized for the amount of the net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2001 and 2002, for which evidence does not indicate that there is a high probability of future taxable income to realize the assets.

The change in deferred income tax assets (liabilities) for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002 were as follows:

For the years ended December 31

		2000	2001	2	002
Beginning balance Deferred income tax expense Change in deferred income taxes resulting from inflation effects on	(Ps.	43,548) (140,168)	(Ps. 195,065) (161,402)	(Ps.	356,467) (119,989)
monetary deferred tax balances Deferred asset tax balance		(11,349) - 	- - 		873 124 , 370
Ending balance	(Ps.	195 , 065) ======	(Ps. 356,467)	(Ps.	351 , 213)

For tax purposes, the Company is currently amortizing the value of its airport concessions at rates ranging from 6% to 10%. Prior to 2002, tax losses (including those generated from the tax amortization of the airport concessions) may be carried forward until the expiration of the initial term of the concessions. Beginning in 2002, tax losses incurred may be amortized over the following ten years. As of December 31, 2001 and 2002, the Company had tax loss carryforwards of approximately Ps.849,689 and Ps.1,160,259, respectively.

Dividends paid from retained earnings are exempt from income tax provided they arise from the After-tax Earnings Account, and any excess is subject to 34% on the result of multiplying dividends paid by the factor of 1.5152. The respective tax is payable by the Company and may be credited against income tax for the same year in which the dividends are paid, or against income tax of the following two years. Dividends paid are not subject to tax withholding. In the event of a capital reduction, the amount exceeding capital contributions, restated as provided in the Income Tax Law, is accorded the same tax treatment as dividends, as required by the Income Tax Law. Through December 31, 2002, the Company has generated minimal after tax earnings.

Substantially all of the Company's consolidated retained earnings were generated by its subsidiaries. Retained earnings may be distributed to the Company's shareholders to the extent the Company's subsidiaries have distributed earnings to ASUR.

11. Technical assistance agreement

In connection with the sale of the Series "BB" shares to ITA, ASUR entered into a technical assistance agreement with ITA in which ITA and its stockholders agreed to provide management and consulting services and transfer industry expertise and technology to ASUR in exchange for a technical assistance fee. The agreement has an initial fifteen-year term and is automatically renewed for successive five-year terms, unless one party provides the other a notice of termination within a specified period prior to a scheduled expiration date. The Company may only exercise its termination right pursuant to a stockholder's resolution. ITA began providing assistance under the agreement on April 19,

Under the agreement, the Company agreed to pay an annual fee equal to the greater of a fixed fee or 5% of the Company's earnings prior to deducting the technical assistance fee and before comprehensive financing cost, income taxes and depreciation and amortization, determined in accordance with Mexican GAAP. For the years 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002 and 2003 and thereafter the fixed fee is equal to US\$5 million, US\$5 million, US\$5 million, US\$3 million and US\$2 million, respectively. Each year the fixed fee will be increased by the rate of inflation in the US. ASUR must also pay the value-added tax on the payment

amount.

ITA is also entitled to reimbursement for the out-of-pocket expenses it incurs in its provision of services under the agreement.

ITA's Series "BB" shares were placed in a trust to, among other things, ensure performance under the technical assistance agreement.

12. Related party transactions

In addition to the revenues earned from Cintra, the Company recorded revenues from several Mexican federal and state government agencies. Revenues from related parties excluding Cintra were Ps.8,527, Ps.6,882 and Ps.2,718 for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002, respectively.

During the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002, the Company recorded expenses of Ps.47,452, Ps.53,696 and Ps.53,562, respectively, for electricity, waste disposal, water and other services obtained from entities or agencies of the Mexican government. Also, during the years ended December 31, 1999 and 2000, the Company granted construction contracts for the Cancun, Merida, Cozumel and Oaxaca airports totaling Ps.64,771 and Ps.14,471, respectively, to Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S. A. de C. V., a shareholder of ITA. As of December 31, 2000, the Company had advance payments of Ps.2,771 related to these construction projects. These construction projects were concluded during the years ended December 31, 2000 and 2001.

Also, see Notes $2\,(\mathrm{m})$, 7 and 11 for disclosures concerning certain other transactions with related parties.

13. Commitments and contingencies

a) In December 2001, the Company entered into a new 12 month operating lease for monthly payments of US\$28,993. In September 2002, the Company entered in to a 12 month operating lease for monthly payments of US\$29,460.

Rental expense was approximately Ps. 5,822, Ps. 3,508 and Ps. 3,527 for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002, respectively.

b) On September 30, 1999, the Company submitted its Master Development Plans for each of the nine airports to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation for approval. These plans were approved by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation on July 28, 2000. Based on the Master Development Plans ("MDP"), the Company has committed to make aggregate improvements of Ps.1,079,190 from 1999 to 2003 as follows:

Period	riod Amount				
	_				
May 1, 1999 to December 31,	2000 Ps.	553 , 748			
2001		262,708			
2002		187,105			
2003		75,629			
Total	Ps.	1,079,190			
	====	========			

Pursuant to the approval of the Company's investment programs, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation authorized the Company to complete by December 31, 2000 any projects which were required to have been completed prior to December 31, 1999 under the terms of the concessions.

On November 30, 2000, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation issued a communication informing the Company that it considers the

investment program for the years 1999-2000 to have been complied with, in view of the fact that the Company signed agreements for 100% of the aggregate improvement amounts required during the periods. At the meeting held on October 26, 2001 with Aeronautica Civil ("DGAC") and ASUR, it was agreed that in 2001, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation will evaluate compliance of MDP based on the contracted investment. In subsequent years, the annual review of compliance with MDP will be made by the verification of the contracted investments and by the development and conclusion of the investments engaged in previous years. The approved investment programs replace the expenditure requirements of the Company's concessions. The DGAC filed a lawsuit against Aeropuerto de Cancun, S. A. de C. V. for supposed failure to comply with the 2001 investment plan. The Company addressed all inquiries by the authorities in time and form and submitted evidence supporting the fact that there has been no such failure to comply with the plan. No resolution has been issued to date.

As of December 31, 2001 and 2002, the Company has capital expenditure commitments under the Master Development Plans of Ps.123,626 and Ps130,818, respectively for contracted investments.

- c) The operations of the Company are subject to Mexican federal and state laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. Under these laws, regulations have been issued concerning water and air pollution, environmental impact studies, noise control and hazardous wastes. The Ministry of the Environment, Natural Resources and Fishing can bring administrative, civil and criminal proceedings against companies that violate environmental laws and has the power to close non-complying facilities.
- d) On June 30, 1999, the Company obtained the rights to operate the businesses of Cancun Air, Dicas and Aeropremier through the early termination of their agreements with the Company. Under Mexican tax law, the Company could be interpreted to be the successor to these businesses and thus could be jointly and severally liable for any tax contingencies relating to periods prior to June 30, 1999, up to the value of these businesses and until five years following the date the liability initially should have occurred. The Company is not able to determine the likelihood of any potential tax liability. The Company is entitled to indemnification from the prior operators of these businesses in the event that the Company is held responsible for any such tax liability.
- e) Claims have been asserted against the Company by the municipalities of Cancun, Cozumel, Merida, Minatitlan, Villahermosa and Veracruz for the payment of property taxes in respect of the land comprising the airports in those communities. Based on the opinion of outside counsel, management believes that there is no legal basis for these claims and the Company intends to take legal action to have the claims dismissed. Management does not believe that any liabilities relating to these claims are likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.
- f) On January 23, 2002, the Company was informed by Mexican judicial authorities that Mexicana, Aeromexico and Aerolitoral, three Mexican-based airlines controlled by Cintra, and Aeromar have initiated a lawsuit against the Ministry of Communications and Transportation requesting that the registration of specific tariffs filed by the Company in the second quarter of 2001 with effect from June 1, 2001 be declared void. The new tariff rates include certain increases from the old tariff rates for inflation as provided for by the rate regulations, and are the Company's first rate increases since the rate regulations went into effect. The lawsuit against the Ministry of Communications and Transportation alleges that certain procedural and other violations were made by the Ministry of Communications

and Transportation during the establishment of the airport rate regulations. As a result of the lawsuit, the four airlines have been making payments to the Company based on the old tariff rates. As of December 31, 2001 and 2002, the Company has outstanding receivables of approximately Ps.9,000 and Ps.3,600, respectively, that are subject to the dispute. As of the date of the financial statements, management is unable to determine the likely outcome of the lawsuit as the lawsuit has been filed against the Ministry of Communications and Transportation.

g) On March 12, 2002, the Company was informed by the Ministry of Finance and Public Credit of claims for the payment of employees' statutory profit sharing for the year ended December 31, 1999 of approximately Ps. 20.6 million (nominal figures) to employees of the Cancun Airport. Management believes that there is no legal basis for these claims and the Company management filed an appeal against said resolution, but no reply has been received yet.

14. Segment information

The Company evaluates and assesses its performance on an airport-by-airport basis prior to the allocation of employee and other costs from Servicios Aeroportuarios del Sureste, S.A. de C.V. ("Servicios"), the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary which employs certain of the Company's employees. The performance of Servicios is evaluated and assessed separately by management. All of the airports provide substantially the same services to their customers. Summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments including Cancun International Airport ("Cancun"), Cozumel Airport ("Cozumel"), Merida International Airport ("Merida") and Servicios is shown in the following table. The financial information of the remaining six airports and that of the parent holding company (including ASUR's investment in its subsidiaries) have been aggregated and included as "Other". The elimination of ASUR's investment in its subsidiaries is included in the consolidation adjustments column.

Year ended December 31, 2000	Cancun	Cozumel	Merida	Servicios	Other	Consolidat adjustmen
Total revenues	Ps. 899,701	Ps.61,267	Ps.96,643	Ps.165,107	Ps.221,527	(Ps.165,1
Operating						
income (loss)	409,661	5,048	9,760	(6,313)	175 , 143	(166,3
Total assets	7,313,004	628,282	934,866	43,680	13,426,202	(11,015,7
Capital						
expenditures	109,673	27,665	33 , 777	194	62,019	
Depreciation and						
amortization	199,706	16,335	27,088	2,588	74,867	
Year ended						Consolid
December 31, 2001	Cancun	Cozumel	Merida	Servicios	Other	adjustm
Total revenues	Ps.864,569	Ps.59,499	Ps.95,769	Ps.140,333	Ps.210,789	(Ps.140,3
Operating income						
(loss)	384,236	8,472	14,535	(876)	133,592	(141,2
Total assets	7,734,967	647,400	952 , 535	28,247	13,769,692	(11,373,4
Capital expenditures	215,080	40,537	31,060	726	75,511	
Depreciation and						
amortization	200,218	16,670	26,591	2,589	74,140	
Year ended						Consolid
December 31, 2002	Cancun	Cozumel	Merida	Servicios	Other	adjustm

Total revenues	Ps.896,225	Ps.49,439	Ps.93,966	Ps.163,722	Ps.200,971	(Ps.163,7
Operating income						·
(loss)	381,287	(4,702)	8,195	3,701	130,411	(163,7
Total assets	7,386,007	681,610	915,716	41,207	13,201,256	(10,942,3
Capital expenditures	155,799	46,385	20,930	1,994	39,963	
Depreciation and						
amortization	209,081	15,855	26,887	2,560	80,717	

The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those described in Note 2.

15. Differences between Mexican GAAP and US GAAP

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with Mexican GAAP, which differ in certain significant respects from Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America ("US GAAP"). The Mexican GAAP consolidated financial statements include the effects of inflation as provided for under Bulletin B-10 and its amendments (see Note 2), whereas financial statements prepared in accordance with US GAAP are presented on a historical cost basis. The reconciliation does not include the reversal of adjustments to the financial statements for the effects of inflation required under Mexican GAAP because the application of Bulletin B-10 represents a comprehensive measure of the effects of price level changes in the inflationary Mexican economy and, as such, is considered a more meaningful presentation than historical cost-based financial reporting for both Mexican and US accounting purposes.

The principal differences between Mexican GAAP and US GAAP and the effect on the Company's net income and stockholders' equity are presented below with an explanation of the adjustments:

For the year ended December 31,

		2000		2001		2002
Reconciliation of net income: Net income as reported under						
Mexican GAAP	Ps.	231,282	Ps.	267,159	Ps.	220 , 285
US GAAP adjustments:						
Amortization of airport concessions Amortization of rights to use airpor		160,892		160,892		160,892
facilities		25 , 769		25,681		21,330
Depreciation of machinery, furniture and equipment		1,826		4,972		5 , 389
Deferred technical assistance fees Deferred employees' statutory profit		(27,145)		(26,745)		(14,746)
sharing		(19,818)		(62,593)		(93 , 168)
Deferred income taxes, net of inflation effects Tax on dividends		(56 , 920)		(57,678)		(386,961) (258,874)
Total US GAAP adjustments		84,604		44,529		(566,138)

Net income (loss) under US GAAP		315 , 886			(Ps. 345,853	
Basic and diluted earnings per share					(Ps. 1.15	
			As of D	ecember	31,	
		2001			2002	
Reconciliation of stockholders' equity:						
Total stockholders' equity reported und Mexican GAAP	der	11,2	?79 , 155	Ps.	10,771,258	
US GAAP adjustments: Airport concessions Rights to use airport facilities Machinery, furniture and equipment Deferred technical assistance fees Deferred employees' statutory profit sharing		7	(9,644) (9,253) (33,653)		(7,373,495) (453,231) (4,255) 4,507	
Deferred income taxes		2,7	85 , 129	2,398,168		
Total US GAAP adjustments					(4,787,821)	
Total stockholders' equity under US GAA					5,983,437 	
A summary of the Company's statement of balances determined under US GAAP are a			ockhold	ers' eqı	uity with	
Balance at December 31, 1999 Net income	P -	s. 6,	171,024 315,886			
Balance at December 31, 2000 Net income	-	6 ,	486,910 311,688			
Balance at December 31, 2001 Net income Dividends paid	_	(798,598 (345,853 (469,308			
Balance at December 31, 2002		s. 5,				
The following tables on present the con						

The following tables on present the condensed balance sheets and statements of income of the Company, including all US GAAP adjustments, as of December 31, 2001 and 2002, and for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002.

	As	of	December	31,
-				
2001				2002

Assets Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	Ps.	588,219	Ps.	439,739
Other current assets		541 , 892		283,008
Total current assets		1,130,111		722,747
Deferred technical assistance fee		19,253		4,507
Machinery, furniture and equipment - net		624,693		853 , 787
Airport concessions - net		278 , 645		229,401
Rights to use airport facilities - net Deferred employees' statutory profit		1,707,257		1,647,897
sharing		695 , 594		600 , 937
Deferred income taxes		2,428,720		2,047,629
Total assets		6,884,273 ======		6,106,905 ======
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity				
Seniority premiums	Ps.	407	Ps.	652
Other current liabilities		85 , 268		122,816
Total liabilities		85 , 675		123,468
Capital		5,727,957		5 , 727 , 957
Legal reserve		25 , 323		38,681
Stock repurchase reserve		45,513		_
Retained earnings		999 , 805		216,799
Total stockholders' equity		6,798,598		5,983,437
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity		6,884,273 =======		6,106,905

	For	s ended Decembe	er 31,		
	2000		2001		2002
Net revenues	1,279,138		1,230,626		1,240,601
Cost of services General and administrative	(357,630)		(374,287)		(449,123)
expenses	(138,777)		(132,014)		(121,735)
Depreciation and amortization Other expenses	 (132,093) (121,872)		(128,661) (101,778)		(147,489) (99,418)
Operating expenses	 (750 , 362)		(736,740)		(817 , 765)

Operating income		528,766		493,886		422,836
Net comprehensive financing						
(cost) income		(4,443)		36 , 882		27,170
Income tax expense		(208,437)		(219,080)		(795,859)
Not ingome (ownerse)	Ps.	315,886	Do	211 600	(De	245 052)
Net income (expense)	rs.	313,000	Ps.	311,688	(Ps.	345,853)
	=====		======		=====	=======

Cash and marketable securities

Under Mexican GAAP, temporary investments and marketable securities, expected to be held less than one year, are considered to be cash equivalents.

Under US GAAP, temporary investments and marketable securities with original maturities greater than 90 days are considered to be short-term investments and, accordingly, are shown separately from cash in the balance sheet and cash flow statement.

Airport concessions, rights to use airport facilities and environmental liabilities

Under Mexican GAAP, the acquisition cost of the airport concessions was allocated to the rights to use the airport facilities and to the environmental liabilities assumed, with the remainder allocated to airport concessions. The amount allocated to the rights to use the airport facilities was based on the results of an independent appraisal. The fair values of the environmental liabilities assumed are based on management's best estimate of the actual costs to be incurred and reflect the terms of a new agreement with the environmental authorities.

The rights to use the airport facilities, environmental liabilities and the airport concessions were transferred between entities under common control. Under US GAAP, the rights to use the airport facilities and the environmental liabilities were recorded equal to their historicalbook value (Ps.1,731,101 and Ps.22,572, respectively, at November 1, 1998) and no value was assigned to the airport concessions.

Machinery, furniture and equipment

Under Mexican GAAP, the value assigned to the machinery, furniture and equipment acquired from the Mexican government was equal to the purchase cost. The purchase cost was fully paid through the issuance of shares in the Company.

Under US GAAP, the value assigned to the machinery, furniture and equipment was equal to the historical cost of the assets as recorded by the predecessor.

Deferred technical assistance fee

Under Mexican GAAP, the fair value of stock based compensation is not recognized in the financial statements.

Under US GAAP, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS 123") requires that all transactions with non-employees in which goods or services are received for the issuance of equity instruments must be accounted for based on the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instrument issued, whichever is more reliably measurable.

As disclosed in Note 7, ASUR granted ITA stock options to acquire additional shares in ASUR provided that ITA has complied with its obligations under the technical assistance agreement. Under US GAAP, the fair value of the options is recognized as deferred technical assistance fee with a corresponding increase to stockholders' equity. The deferred technical assistance fee is recognized as additional compensation expense beginning from the date of grant through the dates the options become exercisable. The estimated fair value of the options was Ps.101,200 at the date of grant. The fair value was based on an independent appraisal and determined using the Black-Scholes model. During the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002, the Company recognized additional compensation expense of Ps.27,145, Ps.26,745 and Ps.14,746, respectively.

Under US GAAP, in the event the stock options are exercised prior to the date they become exercisable, the unamortized deferred technical assistance fee associated with those options would be recognized immediately as part of operating income.

Deferred income taxes

Accounting for income taxes in accordance with Bulletin D-4 is similar to accounting for income taxes in accordance with US GAAP, SFAS No. 109 ("SFAS 109"), "Accounting for Income Taxes" as they relate to the Company.

Bulletin D-4 requires that the change in net deferred income taxes during the period resulting from inflation on monetary deferred tax assets and liabilities be recorded against the gain or loss on monetary position. Under US GAAP, the Company has chosen to reflect the change in net deferred income taxes during the period resulting from inflation as a component of income tax (expense) benefit.

The deferred tax adjustments required to reconcile stockholders' equity and net income under Mexican GAAP to US GAAP as of and for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002, result from the differences in accounting for the airport concessions, the rights to use airport facilities, the deferred technical assistance fee, the machinery, furniture and equipment and the difference in presenting the effects of inflation.

For US GAAP purposes, the transfer of the airport concessions to ASUR's subsidiaries generated an aggregate net deferred tax asset of Ps. 2,911,322, for the difference between the tax value and the book value of the airport concessions at the transfer date. The net deferred tax asset was recorded as a contribution to stockholders' equity.

The components of income tax expense, prepared after considering the impact of US GAAP adjustments, for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002 are as follows:

For the years ended

			Decemb	oer 31,		
	:	2000		2001	2002	
Asset tax Tax on dividends Deferred	Ps (208,437)		Ps.	- (219,080)	(Ps. 155,894 (258,874 (381,091	
Income tax expense		208,437)	,	219,080)	,	795 , 859)

As a result of the tax rate reduction, the Company reduced its deferred tax

asset by Ps.193,494 with a corresponding charge to income.

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant deferred tax assets and liabilities, prepared after considering the impact of US GAAP adjustments, at December 31, 2001 and 2002 are as follows:

	December 31,			
		2001		2002
Deferred tax assets: Airport concessions, rights to use airport facilities and machinery	,			
furniture and equipment Tax loss carryforwards	Ps.	2,154,281 297,389	Ps.	371,283
Recoverable asset tax Recoverable tax on dividends Other		- - 11 , 142		155,894 258,874 4,215
Valuation allowance		(27,354)		(434,105)
Deferred tax liabilities:		2,435,458		2,049,071
Deferred technical assistance fees		(6,738)		(1,442)
Net deferred income tax assets		2,428,720		2,047,629

Based on the weight of available evidence as of December 31, 2001 and 2002, valuation allowances were recognized for the amount of the net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2001 and 2002, that more likely than not will not be realized. In 2002, the Company recognized valuation allowances for the deferred tax assets generated by the Huatulco and Tapachula airports as well as it subsidiaries Servicios Aeroportuarios del Sureste, S. A. de C. V. and Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S. A. de C. V. In addition, the Company recognized valuation allowances for the asset tax and tax on dividends for the amounts estimated that will not be realized.

Employees' Statutory Profit Sharing

As stated in Note 10, the Company became subject to the employees' statutory profit sharing beginning January 1, 2000.

Under Mexican GAAP, Bulletin D-4 requires the recognition of employees' statutory profit sharing for all nonrecurring temporary differences generated during the period. Bulletin D-4, did not permit the recognition of deferred assets or liabilities for temporary differences generated before Bulletin D-4 became effective.

Under US GAAP, employees' statutory profit sharing is recognized in accordance with the requirements of SFAS 109. Under this method, employees' statutory profit sharing is recognized in respect of all temporary differences in the period in which the asset or liability arose. In addition, under US GAAP the benefit or expense recognized during the period is recorded in operating earnings.

For US GAAP purposes, the Company recognized a deferred employees' statutory profit sharing asset of Ps. 856,271, for the difference between the tax value and the book value of the airport concessions at the transfer date. The net deferred employees' statutory profit sharing asset was recorded as a

contribution to stockholders' equity.

The components of employees' statutory profit sharing expense, prepared after considering the impact of US GAAP adjustments, for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002 are as follows:

	For the years ended December 31,						
	2000		2	2001		2002	
Deferred	(Ps.	59,549)	(Ps.	62 , 593)	(Ps.	94,657)	
	•	59,549)		62 , 593)	·	94 , 657)	

The effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant deferred employees' statutory profit sharing assets and liabilities, prepared after considering the impact of US GAAP adjustments, at December 31, 2001 and 2002 are as follows:

		December 31,		
	:	2001	2002	
Deferred assets: Airport concessions, rights to use airport facilities and machinery, furnitur	e			
and equipment Tax loss carryforwards Other Valuation allowance	Ps.	615,509 84,968 4,858 (7,815)		528,953 116,026 1,317 (44,908)
Deferred liabilities: Deferred technical assistance fees		(1,926)		(451)
Net deferred employees' statutory profit sharing assets		695 , 594 ======	Ps.	600,937

Tax on dividends

Under Mexican GAAP, tax on dividends is recorded as a reduction of retained earnings. Under US GAAP, tax on dividends is recorded as a tax expense since in accordance with Mexican Tax Law it can be used to reduce future taxable income in the year incurred and the following two years.

Comprehensive Income

The Company has adopted SFAS No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income" ("SFAS 130"), for US GAAP purposes. SFAS 130 establishes rules for the reporting and display of comprehensive income and its components in a full set of general purpose financial statements. SFAS 130 requires that all items that are recognized under accounting standards as components of comprehensive income, such as unrealized holding gains and foreign currency translation adjustments,

be reported in a financial statement that is displayed with the same prominence as other financial statements. The adoption of this statement has not resulted in any adjustment to US GAAP reported income.

Contract termination fee and loss on natural disaster

Under Mexican GAAP, the contract termination fee and loss on natural disaster were charged against the results of operations as an extraordinary item. Under US GAAP, the contract termination fee would be considered an operating expense. The contract termination fee and loss on natural disaster have been reclassified as an operating expense in the USGAAP condensed income statement.

Concentrations As of December 31, 2001 and 2002, the Company maintained its cash and marketable securities with a major Mexican brokerage firm and other Mexican financial institutions. The Company would be adversely affected in the event of non-performance by any of these institutions. Management does not anticipate non-performance.

Supplemental Cash Flow InformationMexican GAAP Bulletin B-12, "Statements of Changes in Financial Position" ("Bulletin B-12"), specifies the appropriate presentation of the statement of changes in financial position. Under Bulletin B-12, the sources and uses of resources are determined based upon differences between beginning and ending financial statement balances in constant pesos. Under US GAAP, a statement of cash flows is required, which presents only cash movements and excludes non-cash items.

Presented below are statements of cash flows of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002, prepared after considering the impact of US GAAP adjustments. The cash flow statements present nominal cash flows during the periods, adjusted to December 31, 2002, purchasing power.

		For the years ende December 31,		
		2000		2001
Operating activities:				
Net income (loss) under US GAAP Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash flows provided by operating activities:	Ps.	315,886	Ps.	311,688
Loss from monetary position Asset tax, tax on dividends and deferred		41,720		39 , 730
income taxes		208,437		219,080
Deferred employees' statutory profit sharing		59 , 549		62,593
Depreciation and amortization		132,093		128,661
Other expenses		33,010		26,745
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Trade receivables		2,443		(35,890)
Recoverable taxes and other current assets		43,013		(44,622)
Trade accounts payable		5,444		(10,754)
Accrued expenses and other payables Payment of asset tax		(20,948)		16 , 350
Cash flows provided by operating activities		820 , 647		713,581
Investing activities:				
Short-term investments		244,069		(340,646)

Purchase of other rights and machinery furniture and equipment	(233, 328)	(362,914)
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities	10,741	(703,560)
Financing activities: Repayment of notes payable Payment of dividends Payment of tax on dividends	(326,097) - - -	- - - -
Cash flows used in financing activities	(326,097)	-
Effects of inflation on cash and cash equivalents	(34,779)	(39,599)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	470,512	(29,578)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	•	617,797
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	Ps. 617,797	•
Interest paid	Ps. 14,767	Ps

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In July 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 140") which supersedes APB Opinion No. 16, "Business Combinations" and amends or supersedes a number of related interpretations of APB 16. The statement is effective for all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 and for all business combinations accounted for by the purchase method that are completed after June 30, 2001. SFAS 141 addresses financial accounting and reporting for business combinations, eliminates the pooling-of-interests method of accounting for business combinations, and prescribes the initial recognition and measurement of goodwill and other intangible assets, accounting for negative goodwill and the required disclosures in respects of business combinations. The adoption of SFAS1 did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Also in July 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS 142") which supersedes APB Opinion No. 17, "Intangible Assets". SFAS 142 addresses how intangible assets that are acquired individually or with a group of other assets (but not those acquired in a business acquisition) should be accounted for in financial statements upon their acquisition. SFAS 142 also addresses how goodwill and other intangible assets should be accounted for after they have been initially recognized in the financial statements. The provisions of SFAS 142 are required to be applied starting with fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. SFAS 142 is required to be applied at the beginning of an entity's fiscal year and to be applied to all goodwill and other intangible assets recognized in its financial statements at that date. The adoption of SFAS 142 did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement

Obligations" ("SFAS 143"). SFAS 143 requires the recognition of a liability for the legal obligations associated with the retirement of a tangible long-lived asset that results from the acquisition, construction and (or) normal operation of the asset. The liability is recognized at fair value in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. A corresponding asset retirement cost is added to the carrying value of the long-lived asset and is depreciated to expense using a systematic and rational method over its useful life. SFAS 143 is effective for fiscal year beginning after June 15, 2002. Upon initial adoption, a liability is recognized for existing asset retirement obligations and the associated asset retirement cost is capitalized as an increase to the carrying value of the asset. The recognized liability and asset are adjusted for cumulative accretion and accumulated depreciation, respectively, from the time period when the asset retirement obligation would have originally been recognized had this statement been in effect to the date of initial adoption. The cumulative effect of initial adoption of SFAS 143 is recorded as a change in accounting principle. Management is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of SFAS 143 will have on the consolidated financial statement.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" ("SFAS 144"). SFAS 144 supersedes SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairnment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed of ${}^{\shortparallel}$ and the accounting and reporting provisions of APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations - Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions". SFAS 144 retains the fundamental provisions of SFAS 121 for recognition and measurement of the impairment of long-lived assets to be held and used, but resolves a number of implementation issues and establishes a single accounting model for assets to be disposed of. SFAS 144 also retains the requirements to report discontinued operations separately from continuing operations and extends that reporting to a component of an entity that either has been disposed of by sale, abandonment or distribution to owners or is classified as held for sale. The provisions of SFAS 144 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 and their interim periods. The provisions of SFAS 144 for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale or otherwise are effective for disposal activities initiated after the effective date of SFAS 144 or after its initial application. The adoption of SFAS 144 did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In April 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 145, "Rescission of SFAS Nos. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of SFAS No. 13, and Technical Corrections as of April 2002" ("SFAS 145"), SFAS 145 rescinds SFAS No. 4, "Reporting Gains and Losses from Extinguishment of Debt," SFAS No. 44, "Accounting for Intangible Assets of Motor Carriers," and SFAS No. 64, "Extinguishments of Debt Made to Satisfy Sinking-Fund Requirements." As a result, gains and losses from extinguishment of debt will no longer be classified as extraordinary items unless they meet the criteria of unusual or infrequent as described in Accounting Principles Boards Opinion 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations -Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions." In addition, SFAS 145 amends SFAS No. 13, "Accounting for Leases," to eliminate an inconsistency between the required accounting for sale-leaseback transactions and the required accounting for certain lease modifications that have economic effects that are similar to sale-leaseback transactions. SFAS 145 also amends other existing authoritative pronouncements to make various technical corrections, clarify meanings, or describe their applicability under changed conditions. SFAS 145 is effective for fiscal years beginning after May 15, 2002. Management does not expect the adoption of SFAS 145 will have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated

with Exit or Disposal Activities" ("SFAS 146"). The issuance of SFAS 146 nullifies the former guidance provided by the Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring" ("EITF 94-3"). SFAS 146 requires the recognition of a liability for costs associated with exit or disposal activity when the liability is incurred, rather than on the date commitment to an exit or disposal plan. SFAS 146 is effective for liabilities, related to exit or disposal activities, which are incurred after December 31, 2002, while earlier application is encouraged. Management is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of SFAS 146 will have on the consolidated financial statements.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure - an amendment of FAS 123" ("SFAS 148"). SFAS 148 continues to permit entities to apply the intrinsic method of APB 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", however, SFAS 148 is intended to encourage companies to adopt the accounting provisions of SFAS 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" SFAS 148 provides three transition methods for companies who choose to adopt the provisions of SFAS 123, the prospective method, the modified prospective method and the retroactive restatement method. In addition, SFAS 148 mandates certain new disclosures. SFAS 148 is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002, with early adoption permitted. Management is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of SFAS 148 will have on the consolidated financial statements.

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45 ("FIN 45"), "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (an interpretation of FASB Statements No. 5, 57, and 107 and rescission of Interpretation No. 34)." FIN 45 clarifies the requirements of SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies, relating to a quarantor's accounting for, and disclosure of, the issuance of certain types of quarantees. FIN 45 requires that upon issuance of a guarantee, the guarantor must recognize a liability for the fair value of the obligation it assumes under that guarantee. FIN 45's provisions for initial recognition and measurement should be applied on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002, irrespective of the quarantor's fiscal year-end. The quarantor's previous accounting for quarantees that were issued before the date of FIN 45's initial application may not be revised or restated to reflect the effect of the recognition and measurement provisions of the Interpretation. The disclosure requirements are effective for financial statements of both interim and annual periods that end after December 15, 2002. The adoption of FIN 45 did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46 ("FIN 46"), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of ARB 51." The primary objectives of FIN 46 are to provide guidance on the identification of entities for which control is achieved through means other than through voting rights ("variable interest entities" or "VIEs") and how to determine when and which business enterprise should consolidate the VIE (the "primary beneficiary"). This new model for consolidation applies to an entity which either (1) the equity investors (if any) do not have a controlling financial interest or (2) the equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance that entity's activities without receiving additional subordinated financial support from other parties. In addition, FIN 46 requires that both the primary beneficiary and all other enterprises with a significant variable interest in a VIE make additional disclosures. FIN 46 applies immediately to variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003, and to variable interest entities in which an enterprise obtains an interest after that date. It applies in the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after June 15, 2003, to variable interest entities in which an enterprise holds a variable interest that it acquired before February 1, 2003. FIN 46 applies to public enterprises as of the beginning of the applicable interim or annual period, and it applies to

nonpublic enterprises as of the end of the applicable annual period. Management is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of FIN $46\ \text{will}$ have on the consolidated financial statements.