

BANCORP RHODE ISLAND INC

Form 10-K

March 16, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.
FORM 10-K
(Annual Report Under Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009
Commission File No. 001-16101
BANCORP RHODE ISLAND, INC.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)**

Rhode Island

05-0509802

(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

ONE TURKS HEAD PLACE, PROVIDENCE, RI 02903

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(401) 456-5000

(Issuer's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statement incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting
company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2009, the aggregate market value of the voting common equity of the Registrant held by non-affiliates of the Registrant, based on the closing price on the Nasdaq Global Select Market SM was \$72,380,323.

As of February 28, 2010, there were 4,617,594 shares of common stock (par value \$0.01 per share) of the Registrant issued and outstanding.

Documents incorporated by reference:

Portions of Bancorp Rhode Island's Definitive Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference into Parts II and III of this Form 10-K.

See pages 57 to 59 for the exhibit index.

Bancorp Rhode Island, Inc.
Annual Report on Form 10-K
Table of Contents

Description	Page Number
<u>Part I</u>	
<u>Item 1 Business</u>	1
<u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u>	16
<u>Item 1B Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	23
<u>Item 2 Properties</u>	24
<u>Item 3 Legal Proceedings</u>	24
<u>Part II</u>	
<u>Item 5 Market for the Company's Common Stock, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	25
<u>Item 6 Selected Consolidated Financial Data</u>	26
<u>Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	28
<u>Item 7A Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	52
<u>Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	53
<u>Item 9 Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	53
<u>Item 9A Controls and Procedures</u>	54
<u>Item 9B Other Information</u>	54
<u>Part III</u>	
<u>Item 10 Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	55
<u>Item 11 Executive Compensation</u>	56
<u>Item 12 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	56
<u>Item 13 Certain Relationships, Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>	56
<u>Item 14 Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	57

Part IV

Item 15 Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

57

Signatures

60

Exhibit 12.1

Exhibit 12.2

Exhibit 21

Exhibit 23

Exhibit 31.1

Exhibit 31.2

Exhibit 32.1

Exhibit 32.2

Table of Contents

PART I

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

We make certain forward looking statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and in other documents that we incorporate by reference into this report that are based upon our current expectations and projections about future events. We intend these forward looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and we are including this statement for purposes of these safe harbor provisions. You can identify these statements by reference to a future period or periods by our use of the words estimate, project, may, believe, intend, anticipate, plan, seek, expect and similar terms or variations thereof.

These forward looking statements include:

- statements of our goals, intentions and expectations;
- statements regarding our business plans and prospects and growth and operating strategies;
- statements regarding the quality of our products and our loan and investment portfolios; and
- estimates of our risks and future costs and benefits.

Actual results may differ materially from those set forth in forward looking statements as a result of these and other risks and uncertainties, including those detailed herein under Item 1A, Risk Factors, and from time to time in other filings with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). We have included important factors in the cautionary statements included or incorporated in this document, particularly under Item 1A, Risk Factors, that we believe could cause actual results or events to differ materially from the forward looking statements that we make. Our forward looking statements do not reflect the potential impact of any future acquisitions, mergers, dispositions, joint ventures or investments we may make. We do not assume any obligation to update any forward looking statements.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Introduction

Bancorp Rhode Island, Inc. (we or the Company), a Rhode Island corporation, is the holding company for Bank Rhode Island (the Bank). The Company has no significant assets other than the common stock of the Bank. For this reason, substantially all of the discussion in this document relates to the operations of the Bank and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, which include BRI Investment Corp. (a Rhode Island passive investment company), Macrolease Corporation (an equipment financing company), Acorn Insurance Agency, Inc. (a licensed insurance agency) and BRI Realty Corp. (a real estate holding company).

The Bank is a commercial bank chartered as a financial institution in the State of Rhode Island and was formed in 1996 as a result of the acquisition of certain assets and liabilities divested in connection with the merger of Fleet Financial Group, Inc. and Shawmut National Corporation. Headquartered in Providence, Rhode Island, the Bank conducts business through 16 full-service branches, with 12 located in Providence County, 3 located in Kent County and 1 located in Washington County. The Bank augments its branch network through online banking services and automatic teller machines (ATMs), both owned and leased, located throughout Rhode Island.

The Bank provides a community banking alternative in the greater Providence market which is dominated by three large banking institutions, two national and one regional. Based on total deposits as of June 30, 2009 (excluding one bank that draws its deposits primarily from the internet), the Bank is the fifth largest bank in Rhode Island and the only mid-sized commercially focused bank headquartered in Providence, the State's capital. The Bank offers its customers a wide range of business, commercial real estate, consumer and residential loans, commercial leases, deposit products, nondeposit investment products, cash management and online banking services, private banking and other banking products and services designed to meet the financial needs of individuals and small- to mid-sized businesses. As a full-service community bank, the Bank seeks to differentiate itself from its large bank competitors through superior personal service, responsiveness and local decision-making. The Bank's deposits are insured by the FDIC, subject to regulatory limits.

Table of Contents

The Company's headquarters and executive management are located at One Turks Head Place, Providence, Rhode Island 02903 and its telephone number is (401) 456-5000. The Bank also maintains an internet website at <http://www.bankri.com>.

The Company makes available free of charge through its website at <http://www.bankri.com> all reports it electronically files with, or furnishes to, the SEC, including its Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K, as well as any amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after those documents are filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. These filings are also accessible on the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Overview

The Company, through the Bank, concentrates its business efforts in three main areas. First, the Bank emphasizes commercial lending. The high concentration of small to mid-size businesses in the Bank's predominately urban franchise makes deployment of funds in the commercial lending area practicable. Moreover, the Bank believes it can attract commercial customers from larger competitors through a higher level of service and its ability to set policies and procedures, as well as make decisions, locally. Second, the Bank has sought to grow its demand deposit, savings and other transaction-based accounts, collectively referred to as core deposits. The Bank has stressed development of full relationships with customers, including its commercial customers, who tend to be more relationship oriented than those who are seeking stand-alone or single transaction products. Third, the Bank seeks to leverage its knowledge and customer base to develop related lines of business. Since inception, the Bank has grown its consumer loan portfolio, acquired an equipment financing company, added sales of investment products and begun a private banking group. In March 2009, the Bank marked its thirteenth year in business. During the past thirteen years, the Company has grown its assets, deposits and customer base significantly and has expanded the depth and breadth of its management team and staff. Also, the Bank has substantially enlarged and improved its branch network and enhanced its operating systems and infrastructure. The Bank was named the U.S. Small Business Administration's (SBA) No. 1 lender in Rhode Island as of the SBA's September 30, 2009 fiscal year end.

The Company continues to transition from a young, high growth *de novo* bank into a more mature institution, which seeks to better leverage the footprint it has built and investments it has made. The Company continued to achieve double-digit commercial loan and lease growth in 2009, with commercial outstandings increasing 11.2% from \$658.4 million at the prior year-end to \$732.4 million at December 31, 2009. Residential mortgages and consumer loans declined compared to 2008 as the Company continued its strategic conversion to a more commercially-oriented balance sheet.

During the year, the Company added \$9.9 million to its allowance for loan and lease losses. The provision exceeded net charge-offs by \$1.9 million. The increased provision served to strengthen the ratio of the allowance to loans and leases to 1.49 percent at December 31, 2009, up from 1.36 percent at December 31, 2008. Nonperforming loans and leases at December 31, 2009 totaled \$18.3 million, up from \$14.4 million a year ago. As a percentage of total loans and leases, nonperforming loans and leases ended 2009 at 1.65 percent, compared to 1.33 percent at the end of the year in 2008. The Company believes its net charge-offs and nonperforming loan and lease ratio continue to compare favorably to its peer group, reflecting a culture of prudence and diligence in its risk management practices and business approach.

Competition for deposits remained strong in the Bank's primary market area. In 2009, the Bank's core deposits increased by \$92.4 million, or 14.9%, which was offset by a decrease in certificate of deposit accounts of \$36.3 million, or 8.6%. Overall, the Bank increased its total deposits by \$56.1 million, or 5.4%, year-over-year. The increase in total deposits reflects the Bank's strategic efforts to expand its commercial deposit relationships with existing and new customers and sales of retail deposit products through its branch network and in conjunction with consumer lending programs.

The Bank's North Kingstown, East Greenwich, Lincoln and Pawtucket branches all continue to make progress. Each of the branches, opened from 2004 to 2007, realized deposit growth in 2009. In the aggregate, the new branches increased their deposits by \$15.8 million, or 14.3%, to \$110.3 million during 2009. The Lincoln branch experienced the largest increase in deposit balances (\$6.2 million, or 20.9%, year over year) while the North Kingstown branch, whose deposits aggregated \$48.9 million, had the least deposit growth of the new branches (\$2.8 million, or 6.0%,

compared to December 31, 2008).

The Company continued to proactively manage its balance sheet, resulting in a 4 basis point increase year over year despite declining rates of interest-earning assets. During 2009, the Company realized \$61,000 in gains on sales of mortgage-backed securities. Additionally, the Company maintained its quarterly dividend of \$0.17 per share throughout 2009.

Noninterest income declined \$1.4 million, or 13.6%, to \$9.2 million in 2009 as compared to 2008. Deposit service charges continue to account for over half of the Company's noninterest income, slightly increasing to 58.7% in 2009 from 53.8% in 2008.

Table of Contents

While the Company's efficiency ratio increased from 67.68% in 2008 to 68.76% in 2009, management's focus on expense control limited the noninterest expense increase to only \$1.6 million, or 4.3%, despite increases in FDIC insurance costs of \$1.8 million.

During 2009, the Company continued to add breadth and depth to its senior management team with the addition of in-house counsel and senior vice presidents responsible for loan origination and retail banking. The Company also expanded its business development team after successfully adding a position in 2008. The Company believes that these management changes will improve its overall administration as well as promote business development.

Capital Strength and Exit from the U.S. Treasury's Capital Purchase Program

In December 2008, the Company became a participant in the U.S. Treasury Department's Capital Purchase Program (CPP) and issued the U.S. Treasury 30,000 shares of Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, with a liquidation value of \$1,000 per share and a warrant to purchase 192,967 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$23.32 per share.

On August 5, 2009, the Company repurchased the preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury for \$30.0 million plus accrued dividends through the date of repurchase of \$333,000 and exited the CPP. The repurchase of the preferred stock resulted in the recognition of \$1.3 million in prepayment charges on the discount associated with its issuance.

On September 30, 2009, the Company repurchased the warrant for \$1.4 million.

While the Company was not required to raise additional capital in order to repay the CPP funds, the Company's Board of Directors (the Board) believed it was prudent to assure access to capital on reasonable terms should economic conditions continue or worsen. Also, a commitment for additional capital would provide the Company with increased flexibility in responding to market developments. For these reasons, the Company entered into a Standby Commitment Letter Agreement on August 5, 2009 with a trust of which Malcolm G. Chace, the Company's Chairman of the Board and owner of more than 10% of the Company's outstanding common stock, is a trustee and beneficiary.

Pursuant to this commitment, the Company will have the right, exercisable at any time through February 5, 2011, to require the Chace Trust to purchase up to \$8.0 million of trust preferred securities to be issued by a trust subsidiary of the Company. The terms of the commitment and trust preferred securities are more fully described under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Capital Resources. As consideration for the commitment, the Company paid a \$320,000 commitment fee, representing 4% of the maximum commitment.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company and the Bank remained well-capitalized by the standards established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB) and FDIC. The Company's Tier 1 Capital Ratio, Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio and Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio were 7.65%, 10.71% and 11.97%, respectively, as of December 31, 2009. The Bank's Tier 1 Capital Ratio, Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio and Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio were 7.54%, 10.55% and 11.81%, respectively, as of December 31, 2009. These capital ratios and requirements are further discussed at *Regulatory Capital Requirements* on pages 11 and 12. The Company's tangible common equity ratios of 6.87% and 7.15% at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, also demonstrate the Company's capital strength.

Lending Activities

The Bank's business strategy has been to grow its commercial and consumer loan and lease portfolios while allowing its residential mortgage loan portfolio to decline gradually as a percent of total loans and leases. The Bank has allocated substantial resources to its commercial and consumer lending functions to facilitate and promote such growth. From December 31, 2004 through December 31, 2009, commercial loan and lease outstandings have increased \$329.6 million, or 81.8%, and represent 65.9% of total loans and leases at December 31, 2009 compared to 45.4% at December 31, 2004. Consumer loan outstandings have increased \$38.8 million, or 23.2%, from December 31, 2004 through December 31, 2009, but have remained fairly consistent as a percentage of total loans and leases. Consumer loans decreased slightly from 18.9% of total loans and leases at December 31, 2004 to 18.5% of total loans and leases at December 31, 2009. Meanwhile, residential mortgage loans decreased from 35.7% of total loans and leases at December 31, 2004 to 15.6% of total loans and leases at December 31, 2009.

The Bank offers a variety of loan facilities to serve both commercial and consumer borrowers primarily within the State of Rhode Island and nearby areas of Massachusetts. Approximately 66% of Rhode Island businesses, 76% of

Rhode Island jobs and 76% of the Rhode Island population are located in Providence and Kent Counties. More than 98% of Rhode Island businesses have fewer than 100 employees. The Bank believes the financing needs of these businesses generally match the Bank's lending profile and that the Bank's branches are well positioned to facilitate the generation of loans from this customer base.

Table of Contents

The Bank's commercial lending function is organized into two groups. The business lending group originates business loans and leases, often referred to as commercial and industrial loans and leases, including owner-occupied commercial real estate loans, term loans, revolving lines of credit and equipment leases (through the Bank's subsidiary, Macrolease). The commercial real estate group originates nonowner-occupied commercial real estate, multi-family residential real estate and construction loans.

The Bank's branch network and business development team also play a role in business lending relationships under \$1.0 million. Underwriting, processing and monitoring the bulk of business credit relationships under \$1.0 million are supported by the Bank's lending services group. The lending services group also processes and monitors consumer loans. The creation of the lending services group has enhanced the Bank's ability to reach more borrowers with the same number of personnel as well as achieve more efficient processing and monitoring of these credits.

The Bank also satisfies a variety of consumer credit needs by providing home equity term loans, home equity lines of credit, direct automobile loans, savings secured loans and personal loans, in addition to residential mortgage loans.

The Bank has tiered lending authorities. Certain senior executives have lending approval authority up to \$3.0 million. Extensions of credit to a customer relationship greater than established authority levels (up to the Bank's house lending limit of \$10.0 million) require the approval of the Credit Committee, which consists of members of the Bank's senior management and one outside director. Exceptions to the Bank's house lending limit require the approval of a committee of the Board of Directors. Other officers have limited lending authorities that can be exercised subject to lending policy guidelines to facilitate production volume and process flow.

The Bank issues loan commitments to prospective borrowers subject to various conditions. Commitments generally are issued in conjunction with commercial loans and residential mortgage loans and typically are for periods up to 90 days. The proportion of the total value of commitments derived from any particular category of loan varies from time to time and depends upon market conditions. At December 31, 2009, the Bank had \$219.7 million of aggregate commitments outstanding to fund loans and leases.

Overall, loans and leases produced total interest income of \$59.8 million, or 79.4% of total interest and dividend income, in 2009 and \$63.0 million, or 78.5% of total interest and dividend income, during 2008.

Commercial Real Estate and Multi-Family Loans The Bank originates loans secured by mortgages on owner-occupied and nonowner-occupied commercial and multi-family residential properties. At December 31, 2009, owner-occupied commercial real estate loans totaled \$167.9 million, or 15.1% of the total loan and lease portfolio. Many of these customers have other commercial borrowing relationships with the Bank, as the Bank finances their other business needs. Generally these customer relationships are handled in the Bank's business lending group. Nonowner-occupied commercial real estate loans totaled \$170.1 million, or 15.3% of the total loan and lease portfolio, and multi-family residential loans totaled \$66.4 million, or 6.0% of the total loan and lease portfolio, and are generally handled in the Bank's commercial real estate group. These real estate secured commercial loans are offered as both fixed and adjustable rate products. The Bank typically charges higher interest rates on these loans than those charged on adjustable rate loans secured by one- to four-family residential units. Additionally, the Bank may charge origination fees on these loans.

The Bank's underwriting practices for permanent commercial real estate and multi-family residential loans are intended to assure that the property securing these loans will generate a positive cash flow after operating expenses and debt service payments. The Bank requires appraisals before making a loan and generally requires the personal guarantee of the borrower. Permanent loans on commercial real estate and multi-family properties generally are made at a loan-to-value ratio of no more than 80%.

Loans secured by nonowner-occupied commercial real estate and multi-family properties involve greater risks than owner-occupied properties because repayment generally depends on the rental income generated by the property. In addition, because the payment experience on loans secured by nonowner-occupied properties is often dependent on successful operation and management of the property, repayment of the loan is usually more subject to adverse conditions in the real estate market or the general economy than is the case with owner-occupied real estate loans. Also, the nonowner-occupied commercial real estate and multi-family residential business is cyclical and subject to downturns, over-building and local economic conditions. See discussion regarding the Bank's construction lending activities below.

Commercial and Industrial Loans The Bank originates non-real estate commercial loans that, in most instances, are secured by equipment, accounts receivable or inventory, as well as the personal guarantees of the principal owners of the borrower. Unlike many community banks, the Bank is able to offer asset-based commercial loan facilities that monitor advances against receivables and inventories on a formula basis. A number of commercial and industrial loans are granted in conjunction with the U.S. Small Business Administration's (SBA) loan guaranty programs and include some form of SBA credit enhancement. The Bank utilizes credit scoring in evaluating business loans of up to \$750,000. Commercial lending activities are supported by noncredit products and services, such as letters of credit and cash management services, which are responsive to the needs of the Bank's commercial customers.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2009, commercial and industrial loans totaled \$178.8 million, or 16.1% of the total loan and lease portfolio. Macrolease-generated equipment loans accounted for \$43.1 million of the commercial and industrial portfolio. Generally, commercial and industrial loans have relatively shorter maturities than residential and commercial real estate loans, or are at adjustable rates without interest rate caps. Unlike residential and commercial real estate loans, which generally are based on the borrower's ability to make repayment from employment and rental income and which are secured by real property whose value tends to be relatively easily ascertainable, commercial and industrial loans are typically made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from the cash flow of the business and are generally secured by business assets, such as accounts receivable, equipment and inventory. As a result, the availability of funds for the repayment of commercial and industrial loans may be significantly dependent on the success of the business itself. Further, the collateral securing the loans may be difficult to value, may fluctuate in value based on the success of the business and may deteriorate over time.

Leases At December 31, 2009, leases comprised 6.1% of the total loan and lease portfolio. In May 2005, the Bank, through its Macrolease subsidiary, purchased substantially all of the operating assets of Macrolease International Corporation, a privately held national equipment financing company based on Long Island in Plainview, New York. With the Macrolease platform, the Bank originates equipment leases for its own portfolio, as well as originating leases for third parties as a source of noninterest income. From time to time, Macrolease purchases leases from third parties. Macrolease-generated leases were \$54.5 million at December 31, 2009. Leases sold during 2009 totaled \$11.1 million, which generated \$326,000 of noninterest income.

In addition to the Macrolease platform, the Bank purchases equipment leases from originators outside of the Bank. The U.S. Government and its agencies are the principal lessees on the purchased leases. These government leases generally have maturities of five years or less and are not made dependent on residual collateral values. At December 31, 2009, the commercial loan and lease portfolio included \$12.9 million of purchased government leases.

Small Business Loans The Bank utilizes the term "small business loans" to describe business lending relationships of approximately \$500,000 or less which it originates through business development officers and its branch network. These loans are generally secured by the assets of the business, as well as the personal guarantees of the business principal owners. A number of these loans are granted in conjunction with the SBA's Low-Doc and Express programs and include some form of SBA credit enhancement. At December 31, 2009, small business loans totaled \$56.1 million, or 5.1% of the total loan and lease portfolio. Generally, small business loans are granted at higher rates than commercial and industrial loans. These loans have relatively short-term maturities or are at adjustable rates without interest rate caps.

The Bank's underwriting practices for small business loans are designed to provide quick turn-around and minimize the fees and expenses to the customer. Accordingly, the Bank utilizes a credit scoring process to assist in evaluating potential borrowers. The Bank distinguishes itself from larger financial institutions by providing personalized service through a branch manager or business development officer assigned to the customer relationships. Lending to small businesses may involve additional risks as a result of their more limited financial and personnel resources.

Construction Loans The Bank originates residential construction loans to builders to construct one- to four-family residential units for resale. The Bank also makes construction loans for the purpose of constructing multi-family or commercial properties. At December 31, 2009, outstanding construction loans totaled \$23.4 million, or 2.1% of the total loan and lease portfolio. During the construction period, these loans are generally on an interest-only basis.

The Bank's underwriting practices for construction loans are similar to those for commercial real estate loans, but they also are intended to assure completion of the project and take into account the feasibility of the project, among other things. As a matter of practice, the Bank generally lends an amount sufficient to pay a percentage of the property's acquisition costs and a majority of the construction costs but requires that the borrower have equity in the project. The Bank requires property appraisals and generally the personal guarantee of the borrower, as is the case with commercial real estate loans.

The risks associated with construction lending are greater than those with commercial real estate lending and multi-family lending on existing properties for a variety of reasons. The Bank seeks to minimize these risks by, among other things, often using the inspection services of a consulting engineer for commercial construction loans, advancing money during stages of completion and generally lending for construction of properties within its market area to

borrowers who are experienced in the type of construction for which the loan is made, as well as by adhering to the lending standards described above. The Bank generally requires from the borrower evidence of either pre-sale or pre-lease commitments on certain percentages of the construction project for which the loan is made.

Table of Contents

Residential Mortgage Loans The Bank's one- to four-family residential mortgage loan portfolio consists primarily of whole loans purchased from other financial institutions. In past years, the Bank purchased fixed- and adjustable-rate (ARM) mortgage whole loans from other financial institutions both in New England and elsewhere in the country. The Bank performed due diligence procedures when purchasing these mortgages considering the loan characteristics such as debt to income ratio, loan to value ratio, credit score, property type and the level of credit enhancement. Although the Bank has not purchased any mortgages since 2007, the Bank anticipates continuing to purchase residential mortgage loans to the extent its commercial and consumer loan originations are not sufficient to fully utilize available cash flows. With the exception of approximately \$29.0 million of purchased mortgages, servicing rights related to the whole loan mortgage portfolio are retained by the mortgage servicing companies. The Bank pays a servicing fee ranging from .25% to .375% to the mortgage servicing companies for administration of the loan portfolios. As of December 31, 2009, approximately 34% of the residential mortgage loan portfolio consisted of loans secured by real estate outside of New England.

Additionally, largely as an accommodation to the Bank's customers, fixed- and variable-rate mortgages are offered throughout the Bank's branch network. The majority of these mortgages are transferred to the Bank's correspondent third parties under precommitments to fund these transactions. However, the Bank does retain a portion of these residential mortgages for its own portfolio. In 2009, fees from these loans originated for third parties decreased to \$83,000 from \$100,000 in the prior year. Overall, the Bank anticipates that its residential mortgage loan portfolio will decline long-term as it continues to focus its resources on commercial and consumer lending.

At December 31, 2009, one- to four-family residential mortgage loans totaled \$173.3 million, or 15.6% of the total loan and lease portfolio. The fixed rate portion of this portfolio totaled \$56.7 million and had original maturities of 15 to 30 years. The adjustable rate portion of this portfolio totaled \$115.9 and generally had original maturities of 30 years. Interest rates on adjustable rate loans are set for an initial period of one, three, five, seven or ten years with annual adjustments for the remainder of the loan. These loans have periodic rate adjustment caps of primarily 2% and lifetime rate adjustment caps of either 5% or 6%. There are no prepayment penalties for the one- to four-family residential mortgage loans.

Although adjustable rate mortgage loans allow the Bank to increase the sensitivity of its assets to changes in market interest rates, the terms of such loans include limitations on upward and downward rate adjustments. These limitations increase the likelihood of prepayments due to refinancings during periods of falling interest rates, particularly if rate adjustment caps keep the loan rate above market rates. Additionally, these limitations could keep the market value of the portfolio below market during periods of rising interest rates, particularly if rate adjustment caps keep the loan rate below market rates.

Consumer and Other Loans The Bank originates a variety of term loans and lines of credit for consumers. At December 31, 2009, the consumer loan portfolio totaled \$206.2 million, or 18.5% of the total loan and lease portfolio. Over the past 5 years, consumer loans have increased by \$38.8 million, or 23.2%. Compared to the prior year-end, consumer loans have decreased by \$499,000, or 0.2%. The slight decrease in consumer and other loans from 2008 to 2009 reflects the runoff of existing consumer loans exceeding new originations.

Home equity term loans and home equity lines of credit comprised 98.8% of the consumer loan portfolio at December 31, 2009. These loans and lines of credit are generally offered for up to 80% of the appraised value of the borrower's home, less the amount of the remaining balance of the borrower's first mortgage. The Bank also offers direct automobile loans, savings secured loans and personal loans.

Asset Quality

The continued weak economy resulted in increased nonperforming assets and net charge-offs in 2009. At December 31, 2009, the Company had nonperforming assets of \$20.0 million, or 1.26% of total assets, compared to \$15.2 million, or 1.00% of total assets, at December 31, 2008. The Bank made additions to the allowance for loan and lease losses of \$9.9 million and \$4.5 million during 2009 and 2008 and experienced net charge-offs of \$8.0 million and \$2.5 million, respectively. At December 31, 2009, the allowance for loan and lease losses was \$16.5 million and represented 1.49% of total loans and leases outstanding. This compares to an allowance for loan and lease losses of \$14.7 million, representing 1.36% of total loans and leases outstanding at December 31, 2008. If current economic conditions continue or worsen, management believes that the level of nonperforming assets will increase, as will its

level of charged-off loans and leases.

Table of Contents**Investment Activities**

Investments, an important component of the Company's diversified asset structure, are a source of earnings in the form of interest and dividends, and provide a source of liquidity to meet lending demands and fluctuations in deposit flows. Overall, the portfolio, comprised primarily of overnight investments, government sponsored enterprise (GSE) obligations, U.S Treasury obligations, mortgage-backed securities (MBSs), collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs) and Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (FHLB) stock, represents \$400.1 million, or 25.2% of total assets, as of December 31, 2009. The majority of these securities are rated investment grade by at least one major rating agency. Loans and leases generally provide a better return than investments, and accordingly, the Company seeks to emphasize their generation rather than increasing its investment portfolio. The investments are managed by the Bank's Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, subject to the supervision and review of the Asset/Liability Committee and are made in compliance with the Investment Policy approved by the Bank's Board of Directors. Overall, in 2009, investments produced total interest and dividend income of \$15.5 million, or 20.6%, of total interest and dividend income compared to \$17.3 million, or 21.5% of total interest and dividend income, during 2008.

Deposits and Service Charges on Deposit Accounts

Deposits are the principal source of funds for use in lending and for other general business purposes. The Bank attracts deposits from businesses, non-profit entities, governmental entities and the general public by offering a variety of deposit products ranging in maturity from demand-type accounts to certificates of deposit (CDs). The Bank relies mainly on quality customer service and diversified products, as well as competitive pricing policies and advertising, to attract and retain deposits. The Bank emphasizes retail deposits obtained locally.

The Bank seeks to develop relationships with its customers in order to become their primary bank. In order to achieve this, the Bank has stressed growing its core deposit account base. Core deposits increased \$92.4 million, or 14.9%, compared to the prior year. Within core deposits, demand deposit accounts increased to \$204.3 million at December 31, 2009 from \$176.5 million at December 31, 2008. Within total deposit growth, the balance sheet mix shifted from certificate of deposits to core deposit accounts. Savings balances declined to \$367.2 million at December 31, 2009, a decrease of \$13.9 million, or 3.6%, while certificate of deposit accounts decreased \$36.3 million, or 8.6%, to \$387.1 million at December 31, 2009. Core deposits as a percentage of total deposits increased to 64.8% at December 31, 2009 from 59.4% at December 31, 2008. Overall, total deposits increased \$56.1 million, or 5.4%, at December 31, 2009 as compared to the prior year.

As a by-product of the Bank's emphasis on checking account growth, as well as deposit fee enhancement programs, service charges on deposit accounts, which include nonsufficient funds (NSF) fees, have grown over the years and represent the largest source of noninterest income for the Company. Service charges on deposit accounts decreased by \$334,000, or 5.8%, from \$5.7 million for 2008, to \$5.4 million for 2009. Management believes the decline reflects a national trend driven by consumers' aversion to unnecessary spending. If this trend continues or worsens, noninterest income may remain at or further decline from levels previously experienced. Additionally, in 2009, the FRB finalized changes to its consumer electronic funds transfer regulation (Regulation E). The changes limit the ability of financial institutions to charge NSF fees in certain circumstances. These changes to Regulation E will be effective July 1, 2010 and will require financial institutions to obtain consumer consent, or "opt-in", before charging a consumer for paying overdrafts on automated teller machine and one-time debit card transactions. Management believes these changes will have a negative impact on noninterest income. There is also legislation pending in the U.S. Senate to further restrict NSF fees by limiting the number of overdrafts for which a financial institution may charge a consumer to one per month with an annual limit of six overdraft fees. If enacted, these proposed changes are likely to have a negative effect on the Bank's noninterest income.

The Bank generally charges early withdrawal penalties on its CDs in an amount equal to three months' interest on accounts with original maturities of one year or less and six months' interest on accounts with original maturities longer than one year. Interest credited to an account during any term may be withdrawn without penalty at any time during the term. Upon renewal of a CD, only interest credited during the renewal term may be withdrawn without penalty during the renewal term. The Bank's withdrawal penalties are intended to offset the potentially adverse effects of the withdrawal of funds during periods of rising interest rates.

As a general policy, the Bank reviews the deposit accounts it offers to determine whether the accounts continue to meet customers' needs and the Bank's asset/liability management goals. This review is the responsibility of the Pricing Committee, which meets weekly to determine, implement and monitor pricing policies and practices consistent with the Bank's Asset and Liability Committee's strategy, as well as overall earnings and growth goals. The Pricing Committee analyzes the cost of funds and also reviews the pricing of deposit related fees and charges.

Table of Contents

Borrowings and Liquidity

The Bank derives cash flows from several sources, including loan and lease repayments, deposit inflows and outflows, sales of available for sale securities and FHLB and other borrowings. Loan and lease repayments and deposit inflows and outflows are significantly influenced by prevailing interest rates, competition and general economic conditions. To broaden its liquidity sources, the Bank uses such resources as brokered deposits, repurchase agreements and lines at the FRB.

The Bank utilizes borrowings on both a short- and long-term basis to compensate for reductions in normal sources of funds on a daily basis and as opportunities present themselves. The Bank will utilize borrowings and invest excess cash as part of its overall strategy to manage interest rate risk. At December 31, 2009, total borrowings were \$350.8 million compared to \$320.0 million at December 31, 2008.

The Bank has taken notice of the concerns that have been expressed about the FHLB and its ability to continue to repurchase member stock and discontinued dividends on its stock. As a member of the FHLB, the Bank is required to purchase FHLB stock in association with the Bank's outstanding advances. This stock is classified as a restricted investment and carried at cost. The FHLB is currently operating with retained earnings below its targeted level and has suspended its quarterly dividend and excess stock repurchases. The Bank will continue to monitor the credit quality of its funding sources, including the FHLB, and the related impact on its FHLB stock.

Nondeposit Investment Products and Services

Since January 2001, the Bank has managed a nondeposit investment program through which it makes available to its customers a variety of mutual funds, fixed- and variable-annuities, stocks, bonds and other fee-based products. These investment products are primarily offered through an arrangement with Commonwealth Equity Services, Inc., of Waltham, Massachusetts (Commonwealth). Commissions on nondeposit investment products for the years ending December 31, 2009 and 2008 were \$776,000 and \$745,000, respectively.

Employees

At December 31, 2009, the Company had 240 full-time and 26 part-time employees. The Company's employees are not represented by any collective bargaining unit, and the Company believes its employee relations are good. The Company maintains a benefit program that includes health and dental insurance, life and long-term disability insurance and a 401(k) plan.

Supervision and Regulation

Overview The Company and the Bank are subject to extensive governmental regulation and supervision. Federal and state laws and regulations govern numerous matters affecting the Bank and/or the Company, including changes in the ownership or control, maintenance of adequate capital, financial condition, permissible types, amounts and terms of extensions of credit and investments, permissible non-banking activities, the level of reserves against deposits and restrictions on dividend payments. These regulations are intended primarily for the protection of depositors and customers, rather than for the benefit of shareholders. Compliance with such regulation involves significant costs to the Company and the Bank and may restrict their activities. In addition, the passage of new or amended federal and state legislation could result in additional regulation of, and restrictions on, the operations of the Company and/or the Bank. The Company cannot predict whether any legislation currently under consideration will be adopted or how such legislation or any other legislation that might be enacted in the future would affect the business of either the Company or the Bank. The following descriptions of applicable statutes and regulations are not intended to be complete descriptions of these provisions or their effects on the Company and the Bank, but are brief summaries which are qualified in their entirety by reference to such statutes and regulations.

The Company and the Bank are subject to extensive periodic reporting requirements concerning financial and other information. In addition, the Bank and the Company must file such additional reports as the regulatory and supervisory authorities may require. The Company also is subject to the reporting and other dictates of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Since 2002, changes to SEC rules have accelerated the reporting of numerous internal events and increased the Company's filing obligations and related costs.

The Company is a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (BHC Act). As a bank holding company, the Company is regulated by the FRB, and also is subject to certain laws of

the State of Rhode Island.

Table of Contents

The Bank is a Rhode Island chartered non-member bank of the Federal Reserve System. The Bank's deposits are insured by the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) of the FDIC. Accordingly, the Bank is subject to the supervision and regulation of the FDIC and the Rhode Island Department of Business Regulation (Department of Business Regulation).

Rhode Island Regulation

As a state chartered financial institution, the Bank is subject to the continued regulation and supervision and periodic examination by the Department of Business Regulation. Rhode Island law also imposes reporting requirements on the Bank. Rhode Island statutes and regulations govern among other things, investment powers, deposit activity, trust powers and borrowings. The approval of the Department of Business Regulation is required to establish, close or relocate a branch, merge with other banks, amend the Bank's Charter or By-laws and undertake certain other enumerated activities.

If it appears to the Department of Business Regulation that a Rhode Island bank has violated its charter, or any law or regulation, or is conducting its business in an unauthorized or unsafe manner, or that the bank has been notified by its federal insurer of such insurer's intent to terminate deposit insurance, the Director of the Department of Business Regulation (Director) may, under certain circumstances, restrict the withdrawal of deposits, order any person to cease violating any Rhode Island statutes or rules and regulations or cease engaging in any unsafe, unsound or deceptive banking practice, order that capital be restored, or suspend or remove directors, committee members, officers or employees who have violated the Rhode Island banking statutes, or a rule or regulation or order thereunder, or who are reckless or incompetent in the conduct of the bank's business.

Rhode Island law also requires any person or persons desiring to acquire control, as defined in the BHC Act, of any Rhode Island financial institution to file an extensive application with the Director. The application requires detailed information concerning the bank, the transaction and the principals involved. The Director may disapprove the acquisition if the proposed transaction would result in a monopoly, the financial stability of the institution would be jeopardized, the proposed management lacks competence, or the acquisition would not promote public convenience and advantage. The Company is also subject to the Rhode Island Business Combination Act.

In addition, whenever the Department of Business Regulation considers it advisable, the Department may conduct an examination of a Rhode Island bank holding company, such as the Company. Every Rhode Island bank holding company also must file an annual financial report with the Department of Business Regulation.

Federal Supervision: FDIC

Overview The FDIC issues rules and regulations, conducts periodic inspections, requires the filing of certain reports and generally supervises the operations of its insured state chartered banks that, like the Bank, are not members of the Federal Reserve System. The FDIC's powers have been enhanced in the past two decades by federal legislation. With the passage of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989, the Crime Control Act of 1990, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA), federal bank regulatory agencies, including the FDIC, were granted substantial additional enforcement powers to restrict the activities of financial institutions and to impose or seek the imposition of increased civil and/or criminal penalties upon financial institutions and the individuals who manage or control such institutions.

The Bank is subject to the FDIC regulatory capital requirements described below under Regulatory Capital Requirements. An FDIC-insured bank also must conform to certain standards, limitations, and collateral requirements with respect to certain transactions with affiliates such as the Company. Further, an FDIC-insured bank is subject to laws and regulations that limit the amount of, and establish required approval procedures, reporting requirements and credit standards with respect to, loans and other extensions of credit to officers, directors and principal shareholders of the Company, the Bank, and any subsidiary of the Bank, and to their related interests. FDIC approval also is required prior to the Bank's redemption of any stock. The prior approval of the FDIC or, in some circumstances, another regulatory agency, is required for mergers and consolidations. In addition, notice to the FDIC is required prior to the closing of any branch office, and the approval of the FDIC is required in order to establish or relocate a branch facility.

Proceedings may be instituted against any FDIC-insured bank, or any officer or director or employee of such bank and any other institution affiliated parties who engage in unsafe and unsound practices, breaches of any fiduciary duty, or

violations of applicable laws, regulations, regulatory orders and agreements. The FDIC has the authority to terminate insurance of accounts, to issue orders to cease and desist, to remove officers, directors and other institution affiliated parties, and to impose substantial civil money penalties.

Deposit Insurance The Bank's deposits are insured by the DIF of the FDIC to the legal maximum for each separately insured depositor. The Federal Deposit Insurance Act, as amended (FDI Act), provides that the FDIC shall set deposit insurance assessment rates on a semiannual basis and requires the FDIC to increase deposit insurance assessments whenever the ratio of DIF reserves to insured deposits in the DIF is less than 1.25%.

Table of Contents

The FDIC has established a risk-based bank assessment system, the rates of which are determined on the basis of a particular institution's supervisory rating and capital level. Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005, which became law in 2006, the Bank received a one-time assessment credit of \$585,000 that could be applied against premiums, subject to certain limitations. The Bank paid a minimum assessment of \$2,000 in 2007, largely through the utilization of this one-time credit. In 2008, the Bank fully utilized the remainder of this credit. On May 22, 2009, the FDIC imposed a 5 basis point special assessment on the assets less Tier 1 Capital as of June 30, 2009 of all FDIC-insured institutions. The FDIC is authorized to levy an additional 5 basis points in special assessments. In addition to the special assessment, FDIC regular assessments increased for 2009. During 2008, financial institutions were assessed rates ranging from 5 basis points per \$100 of deposits for institutions in Risk Category I to 43 basis points for institutions assigned to Risk Category IV. In 2009, rates ranged from 12 to 50 basis points per \$100 of deposits.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, the FDIC voted to require insured institutions to prepay thirteen quarters of estimated insurance assessments. The estimated quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for all of 2010, 2011, and 2012 were paid on December 30, 2009. Unlike the special assessment, the pre-payment allows the FDIC to strengthen the cash position of the DIF immediately without immediately impacting bank earnings.

The Emergency Economic Stabilization Act became law on October 3, 2008 and provides for a temporary increase in the basic limit on federal deposit insurance coverage from \$100,000 to \$250,000 per depositor. The basic deposit insurance limit will return to \$100,000 on December 31, 2013. In addition, on October 14, 2008, the FDIC instituted a Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program that provided for temporary unlimited FDIC coverage of non-interest-bearing deposit transaction accounts, low interest NOW accounts (NOW accounts that cannot earn more than 0.50% interest) and IOLTA accounts (transaction accounts). Institutions were automatically covered, without cost, under these programs for 30 days (later extended until December 5, 2008); however, after the specified deadline (December 5, 2008), institutions were required to opt-out of these programs if they did not wish to participate and incur fees thereunder. The Company elected to participate in the Transaction Account Guarantee Program (TAG program), which was extended to June 30, 2010. The initial TAG program expired on December 31, 2009 unless the institution elected to opt out of the extended TAG program. Under the TAG program in effect through December 31, 2009, an institution could provide full coverage on transaction accounts for an annual assessment of 10 basis points of any deposit amounts exceeding the \$250,000 deposit insurance limit, in addition to the normal risk-based assessment. An institution that did not elect to opt out of the extended TAG program can provide full coverage on transaction accounts through June 30, 2010 for the annual assessment ranging from 15 basis points to 25 basis points, depending on the institution's Risk Category. The Company elected to participate in the extended TAG program. The expiration of the TAG program on June 30, 2010 could have an adverse impact on the deposit levels of customers that are sensitive to full FDIC insurance coverage.

The FDIC may terminate the deposit insurance of any insured depository institution if the FDIC determines that the institution had engaged in or is engaging in unsafe or unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations, or has violated any applicable law, regulation, order or any condition imposed by the FDIC.

Safety and Soundness Standards The FDI Act also directs each federal banking agency to prescribe standards for safety and soundness for insured depository institutions and their holding companies relating to operations, management, asset quality, earnings and stock valuation.

Examination The FDIC requires that nearly all insured depository institutions have annual, on-site regulatory examinations and annual audits by an independent public accountant. Management must prepare an annual report, attested to by the independent public accountant, confirming management's responsibility in preparing financial statements, maintaining internal controls for financial reporting and complying with safety and soundness standards. The audit process must be overseen by an independent audit committee composed of outside directors, provided that the federal banking agencies may permit the committee to include inside directors if the bank is unable to find competent outside directors, so long as outside directors comprise a majority of the committee.

Federal Supervision: FRB

The BHC Act mandates that the prior approval of the FRB must be obtained in order for the Company to engage in certain activities such as acquiring or establishing additional banks or non-banking subsidiaries or merging with other

institutions and imposes capital adequacy requirements as described below under Regulatory Capital Requirements.

Table of Contents**Regulatory Capital Requirements**

FDIC Requirements FDIC-insured institutions must meet specified minimal capital requirements and are subject to varying regulatory restrictions based upon their capital levels. All banks are subject to restrictions on capital distributions (such as dividends, stock repurchases and redemptions) and payment of management fees if, after making such distributions or payment, the institution would be undercapitalized. FDIC-insured banks that have the highest regulatory rating and are not anticipating or experiencing significant growth are required to maintain a capital ratio calculated using Tier 1 capital (as defined below) to total assets (Tier 1 Leverage Ratio) of at least 3.0%. All other banks are required to maintain a minimum leverage capital ratio of 1.0% to 2.0% above 3.0%, with a minimum of 4.0%.

In addition, the FDIC has adopted capital guidelines based upon ratios of a bank's capital to total assets adjusted for risk, which require FDIC-insured banks to maintain capital-to-risk weighted asset ratios based on Tier 1 capital (Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio) of at least 4.0% and on total capital (Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio) of at least 8.0%. The guidelines provide a general framework for assigning assets and off-balance sheet items (such as standby letters of credit) to broad risk categories and provide procedures for the calculation of the Risk-Based Capital Ratio. Tier 1 (sometimes referred to as core) capital consists of common shareholders' equity, qualifying, non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, and minority interests in the equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries. Supplementary or Tier 2 capital includes perpetual debt, mandatory convertible debt securities, a limited amount of subordinated debt, other preferred stock, and a limited amount of loan loss reserves. Certain intangible assets are deducted in computing the Capital Ratios.

Prompt Corrective Action Provisions In order to resolve the problems of undercapitalized institutions, FDICIA established a system known as prompt corrective action. Under prompt corrective action provisions and implementing regulations, every institution is classified into one of five categories reflecting the institution's capitalization. These categories are the following: well-capitalized, adequately-capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. For an institution to be well-capitalized, it must have a Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio of at least 10%, a Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio of at least 6% and a Tier 1 Leverage Ratio of at least 5% and not be subject to any specific capital order or directive. In contrast, an institution will be deemed to be significantly undercapitalized if it has a Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio that is less than 6%, or a Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio that is less than 3%, or a leverage ratio that is less than 3%, and will be deemed to be critically undercapitalized if the bank has a ratio of tangible equity to total assets that is equal to or less than 2%.

As of December 31, 2009, the Bank's Tier 1 Leverage Ratio was 7.54%, its Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio was 10.55% and its Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio was 11.81%. Based upon the above ratios, the Bank is considered well-capitalized for regulatory capital purposes.

The activities in which a depository institution may engage and the remedies available to federal regulators vary depending upon the category described above into which an institution's level of capital falls. At each successive downward capital level, institutions are subject to more restrictions on their activities. For example, only well-capitalized institutions may accept brokered deposits without prior regulatory approval (brokered deposits are defined to include deposits with an interest rate which is 75 basis points (bps) above prevailing rates paid on similar deposits in an institution's normal market area).

The FDIC has broad powers to take prompt corrective action to resolve problems of insured depository institutions, depending upon a particular institution's level of capital. For example, a bank which does not meet applicable minimum capital requirements or is deemed to be in a troubled condition may be subject to additional restrictions, including a requirement of written notice to federal regulatory authorities prior to certain proposed changes in senior management or directors of the institution. Undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized institutions also are subject to a number of other requirements and restrictions.

FRB Requirements A bank holding company is required by the FRB to adhere to certain capital adequacy standards. It is the position of the FRB that a bank holding company, such as the Company, should be a source of financial strength to its subsidiary banks such as the Bank. In general, the FRB has adopted substantially identical capital adequacy guidelines as the FDIC. Such standards are applicable to bank holding companies and their bank subsidiaries on a consolidated basis for holding companies, like the Company, with consolidated assets in excess of

\$150 million. If a bank holding company's capital levels fall below the minimum requirements established by the capital adequacy guidelines, the holding company will be expected to develop and implement a plan, acceptable to the FRB, to achieve adequate levels of capital within a reasonable time. Until such capital levels are achieved, the holding company may be denied approval by the FRB for certain activities such as those described in the preceding paragraph. As of December 31, 2009, on a consolidated basis, the Company's Tier 1 Leverage Ratio was 7.65%, its Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio was 10.71% and its Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio was 11.97%. Based upon the above ratios, the Company is considered well-capitalized for regulatory capital purposes.

Table of Contents

Basel Accord U.S. bank regulatory authorities and international bank supervisory organizations, principally the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (*Basel Committee*), continue to consider and to make changes to the risk-based capital adequacy framework, which could affect the appropriate capital guidelines to which the Company and the Bank are subject.

In 2005, the federal banking agencies issued an advance notice of proposed rulemaking concerning potential changes in the risk-based capital rules (*Basel 1-A*) that are designed to apply to and potentially reduce the risk capital requirements of bank holding companies, such as the Company, that are not among the *core 20* or so largest U.S. bank holding companies (*Core Banks*). In December 2006, the FDIC issued a revised Interagency Notice of Proposed Rulemaking concerning Basel 1-A, which would allow banks and bank holding companies that are not among the Core Banks to either adopt Basel 1-A or remain subject to the existing risk-based capital rules. In July 2007, an interagency press release stated that the federal banking agencies have agreed to issue a proposed rule that would provide non-Core Banks with the option to adopt an approach consistent with the standardized approach of Basel II. This proposal would replace Basel 1-A. In December 2007, the federal banking agencies issued the final regulation that will implement Basel II for the Core Banks, permitting only the advanced approach. The final rule implementing Basel II reiterated that non-Core Banks would have the option to take the standardized approach. The rule also allows a banking organization's primary Federal supervisor to determine whether the application of the rule would not be appropriate in light of the bank's asset size, level of complexity, risk profile or scope of operations. The Bank is currently not required to comply with Basel II.

In July 2008, the federal banking agencies issued a proposed rule that would provide banking organizations that do not use the advanced approaches with the option to implement a new risk-based capital framework. This framework would adopt the standardized approach of Basel II for credit risk, the basic indicator approach of Basel II for operational risk and related disclosure requirements. While this proposed rule generally parallels the relevant approaches under Basel II, it diverges where United States markets have unique characteristics and risk profiles, most notably with respect to risk weighting residential mortgage exposures. Comments on the proposed rule were due to the agencies by October 27, 2008, but a definitive final rule had not been issued as of December 31, 2009. The proposed rule, if adopted, will replace the agencies' earlier proposed amendments to existing risk-based capital guidelines to make them more risk sensitive (formerly referred to as the *Basel I-A* approach).

In December 2009, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision released for comment a proposal to strengthen global capital regulations. The key elements of the proposal include raising the quality, consistency and transparency of the capital base, strengthening the risk coverage of the capital framework, introducing a leverage ratio that is different from the U.S. leverage ratio measures and promoting the build-up of capital buffers. The U.S. banking agencies are expected to issue a similar version of the proposal later this year. Although any U.S. proposal would apply to banking organizations subject to the Basel II regime to which the Company is not currently subject, the proposal might also impact the Company and other banking organizations. Additional proposals addressing these issues are expected in 2010.

Restrictions on Transactions with Affiliates and Insiders

The Bank is subject to certain federal statutes limiting transactions with non-banking affiliates and insiders. Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act limits loans or other extensions of credit to asset purchases with, and investments in, affiliates of the Bank, such as the Company, to ten percent (10%) of the Bank's capital and surplus. Further, such loans and extensions of credit, as well as certain other transactions, are required to be secured in specified amounts. Section 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, among other things, requires that certain transactions between the Bank and its affiliates must be on terms substantially the same, or at least as favorable to the Bank, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with or involving other nonaffiliated persons. In the absence of comparable transactions, any transaction between the Bank and its affiliates must be on terms and under circumstances, including credit standards, that in good faith would be offered to or would apply to nonaffiliated persons.

The restrictions on loans to officers, directors, principal shareholders and their related interests (collectively referred to herein as *insiders*) contained in the Federal Reserve Act and Regulation O apply to all institutions and their subsidiaries. These restrictions include limits on loans to one borrower and conditions that must be met before such

loans can be made. Loans made to insiders and their related interests cannot exceed the institution's total unimpaired capital and surplus. Insiders are subject to enforcement actions for knowingly accepting loans in violation of applicable restrictions. All extensions of credit by the Bank to its insiders are in compliance with these restrictions and limitations.

Loans outstanding to executive officers and directors of the Bank, including their immediate families and affiliated companies (related parties), aggregated \$8.4 million at December 31, 2009 and \$9.8 million at December 31, 2008. Loans to related parties are made in the ordinary course of business under normal credit terms, including interest rates and collateral, prevailing at the time of origination for comparable transactions with other unaffiliated persons, and do not represent more than normal credit risk.

Table of Contents**Interstate Banking**

The Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 facilitated the interstate expansion and consolidation of banking organizations by permitting (i) bank holding companies such as the Company, that are adequately capitalized and managed, to acquire banks located in states outside their home states regardless of whether such acquisitions are authorized under the law of the host state, (ii) the interstate merger of banks after June 1, 1997, subject to the right of individual states to opt in early or opt out of this authority prior to such date, (iii) banks to establish new branches on an interstate basis provided that such action is specifically authorized by the law of the host state, (iv) foreign banks to establish, with approval of the appropriate regulators in the United States, branches outside their home states to the same extent that national or state banks located in such state would be authorized to do so and (v) banks to receive deposits, renew time deposits, close loans and receive payments on loans and other obligations as agent for any bank or thrift affiliate, whether the affiliate is located in the same or different state. Rhode Island adopted opt in legislation, which permits full interstate banking acquisition and branching.

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act

In late 1999, Congress enacted the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (G-L-B Act), which repealed provisions of the 1933 Glass-Steagall Act that required separation of the commercial and investment banking industries. The G-L-B Act expands the range of non-banking activities that certain bank holding companies may engage in while preserving existing authority for bank holding companies to engage in activities that are closely related to banking. In order to engage in these new non-banking activities, a bank holding company must qualify and register with the FRB as a financial holding company by demonstrating that each of its banking subsidiaries is well-capitalized and well-managed and has a rating of Satisfactory or better under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977.

Under the G-L-B Act and its implementing regulations, financial holding companies may engage in any activity that (i) is financial in nature or incidental to a financial activity under the G-L-B Act or (ii) is complementary to a financial activity and does not impose a substantial risk to the safety and soundness of depository institutions or the financial system generally. The G-L-B Act and its accompanying regulations specify certain activities that are financial in nature such as acting as principal, agent or broker for insurance; underwriting, dealing in or making a market in securities; and providing financial and investment advice. The new financial activities authorized by the G-L-B Act may also be engaged in by a financial subsidiary of a national or state bank, except for insurance or annuity underwriting, insurance company portfolio investments, real estate investments and development and merchant banking, which must be conducted in a financial holding company. The FRB and the Secretary of the Treasury have the authority to decide whether other activities are also financial in nature or incidental thereto, taking into account changes in technology, changes in the banking marketplace, competition for banking services and other pertinent factors. Although the Company may meet the qualifications to become a financial holding company, it has no current plans to elect such status.

The G-L-B Act also establishes a system of functional regulation, under which the federal banking agencies will regulate the banking activities of financial holding companies and banks financial subsidiaries, the SEC will regulate their securities activities and state insurance regulators will regulate their insurance activities. In addition, the G-L-B Act provides protection against the transfer and use by financial institutions of consumers nonpublic, personal information. The G-L-B Act contains a variety of additional provisions, which, among others, impose additional regulatory requirements on certain depository institutions and reduce certain other regulatory burdens, modify the laws governing the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977, and address a variety of other legal and regulatory issues affecting both day-to-day operations and long-term activities of financial institutions.

In granting other types of financial institutions more flexibility, the G-L-B Act has increased the number and type of institutions engaging in the same or similar activities as those of the Company and the Bank, thereby creating a more competitive atmosphere.

Other Aspects of Federal and State Laws

Community Reinvestment Act The Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (CRA) and the regulations issued thereunder are intended to encourage banks to help meet the credit needs of their service area, including low and moderate income neighborhoods, consistent with the safe and sound operations of the banks. Under CRA, banks are rated on their performance in meeting these credit needs and the rating of a bank s performance is public. In connection

with the filing of an application to conduct certain transactions, the CRA performance record of the banks involved are reviewed. Under the Bank's last CRA examination, the Bank received a Satisfactory rating.

Table of Contents

USA PATRIOT Act The USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 (the Patriot Act), designed to deny terrorists and others the ability to obtain anonymous access to the United States financial system, has significant implications for depository institutions, brokers, dealers and other businesses involved in the transfer of money. The Patriot Act requires financial institutions to implement additional policies and procedures with respect to, or additional measures designed to address, the following matters, among others: money laundering; suspicious activities and currency transaction reporting; and currency crimes.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 In July 2002, Congress enacted the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Sarbanes-Oxley) which imposed significant additional requirements and restrictions on publicly-held companies, such as the Company. These provisions include requirements governing the independence, composition and responsibilities of audit committees, financial disclosures and reporting and restrictions on personal loans to directors and officers. Sarbanes-Oxley, among other things, mandates chief executive and chief financial officer certifications of periodic financial reports, additional financial disclosures concerning off-balance sheet items, and speedier transaction reporting requirements for executive officers, directors and 10% shareholders. Rules promulgated by the SEC pursuant to Sarbanes-Oxley impose obligations and restrictions on auditors and audit committees intended to enhance their independence from management. In addition, penalties for non-compliance with the Exchange Act are heightened. The Company has not experienced any significant difficulties in complying with this legislation. However, the Company has incurred, and expects to continue to incur, costs in connection with its compliance with Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley which requires management to undertake an assessment of the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company s internal controls over financial reporting and requires the Company s auditors to attest to, and report on, the operating effectiveness of these controls.

Insurance Sales Rhode Island legislation enacted in 1996 permits financial institutions to participate in the sale of insurance products, subject to certain restrictions and license requirements. The regulatory approvals required from the Department of Business Regulation and the FDIC depend upon the form and structure used to engage in such activities.

Miscellaneous The Company and/or the Bank also are subject to federal and state statutory and regulatory provisions covering, among other things, reserve requirements, security procedures, currency and foreign transactions reporting, insider and affiliated party transactions, management interlocks, sales of non-deposit investment products, loan interest rate limitations, truth-in-lending, electronic funds transfers, funds availability, truth-in-savings, home mortgage disclosure and equal credit opportunity.

Recent Regulatory Developments

Financial Stability Plan On February 10, 2009, the Treasury announced the Financial Stability Plan (FS Plan), a comprehensive set of measures intended to shore up the U.S. financial system. The core elements of the plan include making bank capital injections, creating a public-private investment fund to buy troubled assets, establishing guidelines for loan modification programs and expanding the FRB lending program. The U.S. Treasury has indicated more details regarding the FS Plan are to be announced at a future date.

Temporary Debt Guaranty Program The FDIC s Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program announce on October 14, 2008 also provided for FDIC guarantees of unsecured debt of depository institutions and certain holding companies. The Company elected to participate in the temporary debt guaranty program. Under the terms of this program, the Company was eligible to issue prior to June 30, 2009 up to \$27.5 million of senior unsecured debt guaranteed by the FDIC until the earlier of the maturity of such debt or June 30, 2012. Such guaranteed debt would be subject to an annual assessment amount ranging from 50 to 100 basis points depending on its maturity date. The Company did not issue debt in 2009 and was not subject to an additional assessment under the temporary debt guarantee program.

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 - On February 17, 2009, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) was enacted. ARRA is intended to provide a stimulus to the U.S. economy in light of the significant economic downturn. ARRA includes federal tax cuts, expansion of unemployment benefits and other social welfare provisions, and domestic spending in education, healthcare, and infrastructure, including the energy structure. ARRA also includes numerous non-economic recovery related items, including a limitation on executive compensation in federally aided financial institutions. Under ARRA, an institution will be subject to a number of restrictions and standards through-out the period in which any obligation arising from financial assistance provided

under TARP remains outstanding.

Table of Contents

Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan On February 18, 2009, President Obama announced the Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan (HASP). HASP is intended to support a recovery in the housing market and ensure that workers can continue to pay off their mortgages through the following elements:

Provide access to low-cost refinancing for responsible homeowners suffering from falling home prices.

A \$75.0 billion homeowner stability initiative to prevent foreclosure and help responsible families stay in their homes.

Support low mortgage rates by strengthening confidence in Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

Overdraft Protection On November 12, 2009, the FRB amended Regulation E, to limit the ability to assess overdraft fees for paying ATM and one-time debit card transactions that overdraw a consumer s account, unless the consumer opts into such payment of overdrafts. The new rule does not apply to overdraft services with respect to checks, ACH transactions, or recurring debit card transactions, or to the payment of overdrafts pursuant to a line of credit or a service that transfers funds from another account. We are required to provide to customers written notice describing our overdraft service, fees imposed, and other information, and to provide customers with a reasonable opportunity to opt in to the service. Before we may assess fees for paying discretionary overdrafts, a customer must affirmatively opt in, which could negatively impact our noninterest income.

Proposed Legislation and Regulatory Action

Financial regulatory reform continues to be a top priority for the Obama Administration. The U.S. House of Representatives (the House) passed the Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act on Dec. 11, 2009. The U.S. Senate has not yet enacted legislation in this area. The Senate Banking Committee draft bill, Restoring American Financial Stability Act of 2009 is still in draft form and currently under discussion. Both legislative products focus on measures to improve financial stability, provide for more effective bank supervision, enhance the regulation of consumer financial products and services through the establishment of a Consumer Financial Protection Agency and allow for better coordination between regulatory agencies. The House s bill would establish a Systemic Dissolution Fund to help wind down financial institutions when necessary. The fund would be pre-funded by FDIC assessments on large financial companies with assets exceeding \$50.0 billion, to pay for the resolution of a bank holding company, a systemically important financial company, an insurance company or any other financial company. The Senate Banking Committee s draft proposal has a similar resolution mechanism and sets the threshold at \$10 billion or more. New regulations and statutes are regularly proposed that contain wide-ranging proposals for altering the structures, regulations and competitive relationships of the nation s financial institutions. The Company cannot predict whether or in what form any proposed regulation or statute will be adopted or the extent to which the business may be affected by any new regulation or statute. In the current environment, the nature and extent of future legislative and regulatory changes affecting financial institutions are very unpredictable at this time.

Effect of Governmental Policy

The Company s revenues consist of cash dividends paid to it by the Bank. Such payments are restricted pursuant to various state and federal regulatory limitations. Banking is a business that depends heavily on interest rate differentials. One of the most significant factors affecting the Bank s earnings is the difference between the interest rates paid by the Bank on its deposits and its other borrowings, on the one hand, and, on the other hand, the interest rates received by the Bank on loans extended to its customers and on securities held in the Bank s portfolio. The value and yields of its assets and the rates paid on its liabilities are sensitive to changes in prevailing market rates of interest. Thus, the earnings and growth of the Bank will be influenced by general economic conditions, the monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government, and policies of regulatory agencies, particularly the FRB, which implements national monetary policy. Management cannot predict the nature or impact of future changes in monetary and fiscal policies.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Overview

Investing in our common stock involves a degree of risk. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties may also impair our business operations. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations would likely suffer.

Risks Related to Our Business

Recent negative developments in the financial services industry and U.S. and global credit markets may adversely impact our operations and results.

During 2008 and the first half of 2009, capital and credit markets experienced unprecedented levels of volatility and disruption. These negative developments in the capital markets have resulted in uncertainty in the financial markets in general and a significant economic downturn in 2009 which has continued into 2010. Loan portfolio performances have deteriorated at most institutions, including the Bank, resulting from, among other factors, a weak economy and a decline in the value of the collateral supporting their loans. The competition for our deposits has increased significantly due to liquidity concerns at many of these same institutions. Stock prices of bank holding companies, like ours, have been negatively affected by the current condition of the financial markets, as has our ability, if needed, to raise capital or borrow in the debt markets compared to recent years. Additionally, there is a potential for new federal or state laws and regulations regarding lending and funding practices and liquidity standards, and financial institution regulatory agencies have been and are expected to continue to be aggressive in responding to concerns and trends identified in examinations, including the issuance of many formal enforcement actions. Negative developments in the financial services industry and the impact of new legislation in response to those developments have, and may continue to negatively impact our operations by restricting our business operations and imposing increased costs, and adversely impact our financial performance.

The continuation of adverse market conditions in the U.S. economy and the markets in which we operate could adversely impact us.

The continued deterioration of overall market conditions adversely affected our financial performance in 2009. A continued economic downturn or prolonged economic stagnation in the U.S. markets and our markets may have further negative impacts on our business. The failure of the U.S. economy in general and the economy in areas where we lend (or previously provided real estate financings) to improve could result in, among other things, a further deterioration in credit quality or a continued reduced demand for credit, including a resultant adverse effect on our loan and lease portfolios and provision for loan and lease losses. Negative conditions in our market could adversely affect our borrowers' ability to repay their loans and leases and the value of the underlying collateral, which in turn, may negatively impact our financial results.

Current regional and local economic conditions could adversely affect our profitability.

Rhode Island, like many other states in New England and across the country, is facing a mix of growing budget deficits, increasing foreclosures and decreasing home prices. Furthermore, Rhode Island's unemployment rate continues to exceed the national average and is currently the third highest unemployment rate in the United States.

In order to address the precarious circumstances facing Rhode Island and estimated budget shortfalls in the coming years, the state legislature is grappling with decisions over deep spending cuts in welfare programs and other social services, reductions in the state's employee workforce and severe cutbacks in state aid to cities and towns. It is also possible that tax increases on both individuals and businesses will be needed in the near future to close the budget gap. These measures, combined with rising unemployment and the general slowdown in the national economy, could negatively impact the operations and financial condition of the Bank's customers, and thus the quality of the Bank's assets, as well as the Bank's ability to originate new business. Additionally, Rhode Island businesses, like many companies throughout the United States, are being forced to deal with ever-increasing health care costs, which may adversely affect the earnings and growth potential for such companies, which may in turn negatively impact Rhode Island's ability to attract and retain businesses in the state.

Our borrowers' ability to honor their repayment commitments is generally dependent upon the level of economic activity and general health of the regional and local economy. Furthermore, economic conditions beyond our control, such as the strength of credit demand by customers and changes in the general levels of interest rates, may have a

significant impact on our operations, including decreases in the value of collateral securing loans. The economic recession has caused significant increases in nonperforming assets, thereby reducing operating profits or causing operating losses. Continued deterioration of the local, national and global economies could result in further increases in nonperforming assets, which could reduce profits or cause operating losses, impair liquidity and erode capital.

Table of Contents

Competition with other financial institutions could adversely affect our franchise growth and profitability.

We face significant competition from a variety of traditional and nontraditional financial service providers both within and outside of Rhode Island, both in making loans and generating deposits. Our most significant competition comes from two national banking institutions and one large regional banking institution that have significant market share positions in Rhode Island. These large banks have well-established, broad distribution networks and greater financial resources than we do, which have enabled them to market their products and services extensively, offer access to a greater number of locations and products, and price competitively.

We also face competition from a number of local financial institutions with branches in Rhode Island and in nearby Massachusetts, some of which have been acquired by both local and out-of-state service providers. Additionally, we face competition from out-of-state financial institutions which have established loan production offices in our marketplace, a variety of competitors who seek deposits over the internet and non-bank competitors.

Competition for deposits also comes from short-term money market funds, other corporate and government securities funds and non-bank financial service providers such as mutual fund companies, brokerage firms, insurance companies and credit unions. Many of our non-bank competitors have fewer regulatory constraints as those imposed on federally insured state chartered banks, which gives these competitors an advantage over us in providing certain services. Such competition may limit our growth and profitability in the future.

Fluctuations in interest rates could adversely impact our net interest margin.

Our earnings and cash flows are heavily dependent on net interest margin, which is the difference between interest income that we earn on loans and investments and the interest expense paid on deposits and other borrowings. When maturities of assets and liabilities are not balanced, a rapid increase or decrease in interest rates could have an adverse effect on our net interest margin and results of operation. Interest rates are highly sensitive to factors that are beyond our control, including general economic conditions, inflation rates, flattening or inversion of the yield curve, business activity levels, money supply and the policies of various government and regulatory authorities. For example, decreases in the discount rate by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System usually lead to falling interest rates, which affects interest income and interest expense. Falling interest rates have an immediate impact on the Company's variable-rate assets, while the Company is generally unable to bring deposit and borrowing costs down as quickly. Changes in market interest rates, or changes in the relationships between short-term and long-term market interest rates, or changes in the relationships between different interest rate indices, can affect the interest rates charged on interest-earning assets differently than the interest rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities. This difference could result in an increase in interest expense relative to interest income, or a decrease in our interest rate spread. The nature, timing and effect of any future changes in interest rates on us and our future results of operations are not predictable.

Increases in FDIC insurance premiums may adversely affect our net income and profitability.

During 2008 and continuing in 2009, higher levels of bank failures have dramatically increased resolution costs of the FDIC and depleted the deposit insurance fund. In addition, the FDIC instituted two temporary programs to further insure customer deposits at FDIC insured banks: deposit accounts are now insured up to \$250,000 per customer (up from \$100,000) and noninterest-bearing transactional accounts are currently fully insured (unlimited coverage). These programs have placed additional stress on the deposit insurance fund. In order to maintain a strong funding position and restore reserve ratios of the deposit insurance fund, the FDIC has increased assessment rates of insured institutions. In addition, on November 12, 2009, the FDIC adopted a rule requiring banks to prepay three years' worth of estimated deposit insurance premiums by December 31, 2009. We are generally unable to control the amount of premiums that the Bank is required to pay for FDIC insurance. If there are additional bank or financial institution failures, or the cost of resolving prior failures exceeds expectations, we may be required to pay even higher FDIC premiums than the recently increased levels. These announced increases and any future increases or required prepayments of FDIC insurance premiums may adversely impact our earnings and financial condition.

Changes in customer behavior could adversely affect our profitability.

Changes in customer behavior regarding use of deposit accounts could result in lower fee revenue, higher borrowing costs and higher operational costs for the Company. We obtain a large portion of our fee revenue from service charges on our deposit accounts and depend on low-interest cost deposits as a significant source of funds. In recent years,

customers have demonstrated improved cash management, which has reduced the amount of service charges they incur. In addition, competition from other financial institutions could result in higher numbers of closed accounts and increased account acquisition costs. We actively monitor customer behavior and try to adjust policies and marketing efforts accordingly to attract new and retain existing deposit account customers, but there can be no assurance that such efforts will be successful.

Table of Contents

Our focus on commercial lending may result in greater risk of losses.

At December 31, 2009, 65.9% of our loan and lease portfolio consisted of commercial real estate, business and construction loans and leases, an increase from 61.1% of our loan and lease portfolio at December 31, 2008. We intend to continue to emphasize the origination of these types of loans and leases. Historically, these loans have had a greater risk of nonpayment and loss than residential mortgage loans because repayment of these types of loans often depends on the successful business operation and income stream of the borrowers. Such loans typically involve larger loan balances to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers than do individual one- to four-family residential loans. Consequently, an adverse development with respect to one loan or one credit relationship can expose us to a significantly greater risk of loss compared to an adverse development with respect to a single one- to four-family residential mortgage loan. Additionally, the primary focus of our business strategy is to serve small to medium-sized businesses and most of our commercial customers are small to medium-sized firms. During periods of economic weakness, small to medium-sized businesses may be impacted more severely and more quickly than larger businesses. Consequently, the ability of such businesses to repay their loans may deteriorate, and in some cases this deterioration may occur quickly, which would adversely impact our results of operations and financial condition.

Our allowance for loan and lease losses may be insufficient to cover actual loan and lease losses.

The risk of loan and lease losses varies with, among other things, business and economic conditions, the character and size of the portfolio, loan growth, delinquency trends, industry loss experience, nonperforming loan trends, the creditworthiness of borrowers and, in the case of a collateralized loan, the value of the collateral. Based upon such factors, our management arrives at an appropriate allowance for loan and lease losses by maintaining a risk rating system that classifies all loans and leases into varying categories by degree of credit risk, and establishes a level of allowance associated with each category. As part of our ongoing evaluation process, including a formal quarterly analysis of allowances, we make various subjective judgments as to the appropriate level of allowance with respect to each category, judgments as to the categorization of any individual loan or lease, as well as additional subjective judgments in ascertaining the probability and extent of any potential losses. If our subjective judgments prove to be incorrect, our allowance for loan and lease losses may not cover inherent losses in our loan and lease portfolio, or if bank regulatory officials or changes in economic conditions require us to increase the allowance for loan and lease losses, earnings could be significantly and adversely affected. Material additions to our allowance would materially decrease net income. At December 31, 2009, the allowance for loan and lease losses totaled \$16.5 million, representing 1.49% of total loans and leases. There can be no assurance that, in the current environment, credit performance will not be materially worse than anticipated and, as a result, materially and adversely affect the Company's business, financial position and results of operation.

We may be required to record an impairment charge for goodwill related to acquisitions.

We have acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities through acquisitions. Further, as part of our long-term business strategy, we may continue to pursue acquisitions of other companies or asset portfolios. In connection with prior acquisitions, we have accounted for the portion of the purchase price paid in excess of the book value of the assets acquired as goodwill and we may be required to account for similar premiums paid on future acquisitions in the same manner.

Under the applicable accounting rules, goodwill is not amortized and is carried on our books at its original value, subject to periodic review and evaluation for impairment. Our common stock traded below both our book value and tangible book value per common share at times during 2009. If our common stock continues to trade at levels below our book value and tangible book value per share, we will continue to conduct quarterly impairment reviews. If, as a result of our periodic review and evaluation of our goodwill for potential impairment, we determine that changes in the business itself, the economic environment including business valuation levels and trends, or the legislative or regulatory environment have adversely affected the fair value of the business, we may be required to record an impairment charge to the extent that the carrying value of our goodwill exceeds the fair value of the business. If market and economic conditions deteriorate further, this could increase the likelihood that we will need to record additional impairment charges.

Table of Contents

Our operations and profitability could be adversely affected by continued deterioration of the Federal Home Loan Bank System.

In addition to the traditional core deposits, such as demand deposit accounts, interest checking, money market savings and certificates of deposit, we utilize several non-core funding sources, such as FHLB advances, wholesale repurchase agreements, brokered certificates of deposit and other sources. The availability of these non-core funding sources are subject to broad economic conditions and, as such, the pricing on these sources may fluctuate significantly and/or be restricted at any point in time, thus impacting our net interest income, our immediate liquidity and/or our access to additional liquidity. The nation's Federal Home Loan Bank System (the FHLB System) is under stress due to deterioration in the financial markets, particularly in relation to valuation of mortgage securities. Several Federal Home Loan Banks have announced impairment charges of these and other assets and as such their capital positions have deteriorated to the point that several have suspended or reduced their dividends, or eliminated the ability of members to redeem capital stock. These institutions obtain their funding primarily through issuance of consolidated obligations of the FHLB System. The U.S. Government does not guarantee these obligations and each of the 12 Federal Home Loan Banks is generally jointly and severally liable for repayment of each other's debt. We are a member of the FHLB-Boston which in February 2009 announced that, while it meets all of its regulatory capital requirements, it has suspended its quarterly dividend and will continue its moratorium on excess stock repurchase. The FHLB Boston is currently operating with retained earnings below its targeted level. Should financial conditions continue to weaken, the FHLB System (including FHLB-Boston) in the future may have to curtail advances to member institutions like us. Should the FHLB System deteriorate to the point of not being able to fund future advances to banks, including the Bank, this would place increased pressure on other wholesale funding sources. Furthermore, we are required to invest in FHLB stock in order to borrow from the FHLB System and our investment in the FHLB Boston could be adversely impacted if the financial health of the FHLB System worsens.

We may experience a decline in the market value of our available for sale securities.

A decline in the market value of our investment securities may require us to recognize an other-than-temporary impairment against such securities under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) if we were to determine that, with respect to any securities in unrealized loss positions, we do not have the ability and intent to hold such securities to maturity or for a period of time sufficient to allow for recovery to the amortized cost of such assets. If such a determination were to be made, we would recognize unrealized losses through earnings and write down the amortized cost of such assets to a new cost basis, based on the fair value of such assets on the date they are considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired. Such impairment charges reflect non-cash losses at the time of recognition; subsequent disposition or sale of such assets could further affect our future losses or gains, as they are based on the difference between the sale price received and adjusted amortized cost of such assets at the time of sale.

The current economic environment and recent volatility of financial markets increase the difficulty of assessing investment securities impairment and the same influences increase the risk of potential impairment on these assets. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we incurred losses for other-than-temporarily impairment of securities of \$384,000. We believe we have adequately reviewed our investment securities for impairment and that our investment securities are carried at fair value. However, over time, the economic and market environment may provide additional insight regarding the fair value of certain securities, which could change our judgment regarding impairment. This could result in realized losses relating to other-than-temporary declines being charged against future earnings. Given the current market conditions and the significant judgments involved, there is continuing risk that further declines in fair value may occur and additional other-than-temporary impairments may be charged to earnings in future periods, resulting in realized losses.

The soundness of other financial institutions could adversely affect us.

Since mid-2007, the financial services industry as a whole, as well as the securities markets generally, have been materially and adversely affected by very significant declines in the values of nearly all asset classes and by a very serious lack of liquidity. Financial institutions in particular have been subject to increased volatility and an overall loss in investor confidence.

Our ability to engage in routine funding transactions could be adversely affected by the actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions. Financial services companies are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing,

counterparty, or other relationships. We have exposure to many different industries and counterparties, and we routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment banks, mutual and hedge funds, and other institutional clients. As a result, defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, one or more financial services companies, or the financial services industry generally, have led to market-wide liquidity problems and could lead to losses or defaults by us or by other institutions. Many of these transactions expose us to credit risk in the event of default of our counterparty or client. In addition, our credit risk may be exacerbated when the collateral held by us cannot be realized or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the loan or derivative exposure due us. There is no assurance that any such losses would not materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents

There can be no assurance that the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 and other government programs will stabilize the U.S. financial system.

On October 3, 2008, Congress enacted the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (the EESA). The U.S. Treasury and banking regulators implemented a number of continuing programs under this legislation and otherwise to address capital and liquidity issues in the banking system, including the TARP Capital Purchase Program, the FDIC Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program, Transaction Account Guarantee Program and an increase to FDIC insurance coverage for most accounts, among other programs.

There can also be no assurance as to the actual impact that the EESA and other programs will continue to have on the financial markets, including credit availability. The failure of the EESA and other programs to stabilize the financial markets and a continuation or worsening of current financial market conditions could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, access to credit or the trading price of our common stock.

Mortgage loan modification programs and future legislative action may adversely affect the value of, and the returns, on the investment securities that we own.

During 2008, the U.S. Government, through the Federal Housing Authority and the FDIC, commenced implementation of programs designed to provide homeowners with assistance in avoiding residential mortgage loan foreclosures. The programs may involve, among other things, the modification of mortgage loans to reduce the principal amount of the loans or the rate of interest payable on the loans, or to extend the payment terms of the loans. In addition, the Obama Administration and members of the U.S. Congress have indicated support for additional legislative relief for homeowners, including an amendment of the bankruptcy laws to permit the modification of mortgage loans in bankruptcy proceedings. These loan modification programs, as well as future legislative or regulatory actions, including amendments to the bankruptcy laws, that result in the modification of outstanding mortgage loans may adversely affect the value of, and the returns on, the mortgage-backed securities, collateralized mortgage obligations and other securities that we own. Additionally, we may experience an increased level of restructured loans in our residential mortgage portfolio.

We are exposed to risk of environmental liabilities with respect to properties to which we take title.

In the course of our business, we may own or foreclose and take title to real estate, and could be subject to environmental liabilities with respect to these properties. We may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage, personal injury, investigation and clean-up costs incurred by these parties in connection with environmental contamination, or may be required to investigate or clean up hazardous or toxic substances, or chemical releases at a property. The costs associated with investigation or remediation activities could be substantial. In addition, as the owner or former owner of a contaminated site, we may be subject to common law claims by third parties based on damages and costs resulting from environmental contamination emanating from the property. If we ever become subject to significant environmental liabilities, our business, financial condition, cash flows, liquidity and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Expanding the franchise may limit increases in profitability.

We have sought to increase the size of our franchise by pursuing business development opportunities and have grown substantially since inception. To the extent additional branches are opened, we are likely to experience higher operating expenses relative to operating income from the new branches, which may limit increases in profitability. The ability to increase profitability by establishing new branches is dependent on our ability to identify advantageous branch locations and generate new deposits and loans from those locations and an attractive mix of deposits that will create an acceptable level of net income. In recent years, low interest rates and significant competitive deposit pricing pressures in our market have extended the average timeframe for a new branch to achieve profitability, which has adversely affected our earnings. There can be no assurance that any new and/or relocated branches will generate an acceptable level of net income or that we will be able to successfully establish new branch locations in the future. In addition, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in developing new business lines or that any new products or services introduced will be profitable.

Our growth is substantially dependent on our management team.

Our future success and profitability are substantially dependent upon the management and banking abilities of our senior executives, who have substantial background and experience in banking and financial services, as well as

personal contacts, in the Rhode Island market and the region generally. Competition for such personnel is intense, and there is no assurance we will be successful in retaining such personnel. Loss of key personnel may be disruptive to business and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Our operating history is not necessarily indicative of future operating results.

The Company, as the holding company of the Bank, has no significant assets other than the common stock of the Bank. While we have operated profitably since the first full quarter of operations, future operating results may be affected by many factors, including regional and local economic conditions, interest rate fluctuations and other factors that may affect banks in general, all of which factors may limit or reduce our growth and profitability. For example, the yield curve has been flat-to-inverted during parts of the last four years. Nonperforming asset levels and loan and lease losses have significantly increased since the economic downturn. If weak economic conditions, levels of high unemployment and decreased consumer spending continue or worsen, our operations could be negatively affected through higher credit losses, lower transaction related revenues and lower average deposit balances.

Our controls and procedures may fail or be circumvented.

Management regularly reviews and updates our internal controls, disclosure controls and procedures and corporate governance policies and procedures. Systems of controls are based upon certain assumptions and can only provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that system objectives are met. Potential failure or circumvention of our controls and procedures or failure to comply with regulations related to controls and procedures could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We face various technological risks.

We rely heavily on communication and information systems to conduct business. Potential failures, interruptions or breaches in system security could result in disruptions or failures in our key systems, such as general ledger, deposit or loan systems. We have developed policies and procedures aimed at preventing and limiting the effect of failure, interruption or security breaches of information systems; however, there can be no assurance that these incidences will not occur, or if they do occur, that they will be appropriately addressed. The occurrence of any failures, interruptions or security breaches of our information systems could damage our reputation, result in the loss of business, subject us to increased regulatory scrutiny or subject us to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could have an adverse effect on our results of operation and financial condition.

We encounter technological change continually.

The financial services industry continually undergoes technological change. Effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables banks and financial services institutions to better serve customers and reduce costs. Our future success depends, in part, upon our ability to meet the needs of customers by effectively using technology to provide the products and services that satisfy customer demands, as well as create operational efficiencies. Additionally, many of our competitors have greater resources to invest in technological improvements. Inability to keep pace with technological change affecting the financial services industry could have an adverse impact on our business and as a result, our financial condition and results of operation.

Severe weather, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism and other external events could significantly impact our business.

Severe weather, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism and other adverse external events could have a significant impact on our ability to conduct business. Such events could affect the stability of our deposit base, impair the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans, impair the value of collateral securing loans, cause significant property damage, result in loss of revenue and/or cause us to incur additional expenses. Although management has established disaster recovery policies and procedures, the occurrence of any such event could have a material adverse effect on our business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Extensive government regulation and supervision have a significant impact on our operations.

We operate in a highly regulated industry and are subject to examination, supervision and comprehensive regulation by various regulatory agencies. These regulations are intended primarily for the protection of depositors and customers, rather than for the benefit of investors. Our compliance with these regulations is costly and restricts certain activities, including payment of dividends, mergers and acquisitions, investments, loans and interest rates charged, interest rates paid on deposits, fees charged to customers and locations of offices. We are also subject to capitalization guidelines established by regulators, which require maintenance of adequate capital to support growth. Furthermore, the addition of new branches requires the approval of the FDIC as well as state banking authorities in Rhode Island.

Table of Contents

The EESA and ARRA are relatively new initiatives and, as such, are subject to change and evolving interpretation. There can be no assurances as to the effects that any further changes will have on the effectiveness of the government's efforts to stabilize the credit markets or on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The laws and regulations applicable to the banking industry could change at any time. There is no way to predict the effects of these changes on our business and profitability. Because government regulation greatly affects the business and financial results of all commercial banks and bank holding companies, the cost of compliance with new laws and regulations applicable to the banking industry could adversely affect operations and profitability.

Risks Related to the Company's Common Stock

Our common stock has limited liquidity.

Even though our common stock is currently traded on the Nasdaq Stock Market's Global Select MarketSM, it has less liquidity than the average stock quoted on a national securities exchange. Because of this limited liquidity, it may be more difficult for investors to sell a substantial number of shares and any such sales may adversely affect the stock price.

We cannot predict the effect, if any, that future equity offerings, issuance of common stock in acquisition transactions, or the availability of shares of common stock for sale in the market, will have on the market price of our common stock. We cannot give assurance that sales of substantial amounts of common stock in the market, or the potential for large amounts of sales in the market, would not cause the price of our common stock to decline or impair future ability to raise capital through sales of common stock.

Fluctuations in the price of our stock could adversely impact your investment.

The market price of our common stock may be subject to significant fluctuations in response to variations in the quarterly operating results, changes in management, announcements of new products or services by us or competitors, legislative or regulatory changes, general trends in the industry and other events or factors unrelated to our performance. The stock market has experienced price and volume fluctuations which have affected the market price of the common stock of many companies for reasons frequently unrelated to the operating performance of these companies, thereby adversely affecting the market price of these companies' common stock. Stock prices of bank holding companies, like ours, have been negatively affected by the current condition of the financial markets. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the market price of our common stock will not decline.

There are limitations on our ability to pay dividends.

Our ability to pay dividends is subject to the financial condition of the Bank, as well as other business considerations. Payment of dividends by the Company is also restricted by statutory limitations. These limitations could have the effect of reducing the amount of dividends we can declare.

Certain Anti-Takeover measures affect the ability of shareholders to effect takeover transactions.

We are subject to the Rhode Island Business Combination Act which, subject to certain exceptions, prohibits business combinations involving certain shareholders of publicly held corporations for a period of five years after such shareholders acquire 10% or more of the outstanding voting stock of the corporation. In addition, our Articles of Incorporation and By-laws, among other things, provide that, in addition to any vote required by law, the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the holders of our voting stock, voting as a single class, is required for approval of all business combinations.

Our Board also has the authority, without further action by shareholders, to issue additional preferred stock in one or more series and to fix by resolution the rights, preferences and privileges of such series to the extent permitted by law. Our Board could designate certain rights and privileges for such preferred stock which would discourage unsolicited tender offers or takeover proposals or have anti-takeover effects. Our Articles also provide for three classes of directors to be elected for staggered three year terms, which make it more difficult to change the composition of our Board. All of these provisions may make it more difficult to effect a takeover transaction.

Table of Contents

Directors and executive officers own a significant portion of our common stock.

Our directors and executive officers, as a group, beneficially owned approximately 27.3% of our outstanding common stock (including presently exercisable options) as of December 31, 2009. As a result of their ownership, the directors and executive officers would have the ability, if they vote their shares in a like manner, to significantly influence the outcome of all matters submitted to shareholders for approval, including the election of directors.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

The Bank presently has a network of 16 branch offices located in Providence, Kent and Washington Counties. Eight of these branch office facilities are owned and eight are leased. Facilities are generally leased for a period of one to 20 years with renewal options. The termination of any short-term lease would not have a material adverse effect on the operations of the Bank. The Company's offices are in good physical condition and are considered appropriate to meet the banking needs of the Bank's customers.

The following are the locations of the Bank's offices:

Location	Size (Square feet)	Year Opened or Acquired	Owned or Leased	Lease Expiration Date
Branch offices:				
1047 Park Avenue, Cranston, RI	4,700	1996	Owned	Not Applicable
383 Atwood Avenue, Cranston, RI	4,700	1996	Owned	Not Applicable
1269 South County Trail, East Greenwich, RI	2,600	2005	Leased	5/31/25
999 South Broadway, East Providence, RI	3,200	1996	Leased	11/30/12
195 Taunton Avenue, East Providence, RI	3,100	1996	Leased	12/31/10
1440 Hartford Avenue, Johnston, RI	4,700	1996	Land Leased	12/31/12
625 G. Washington Highway, Lincoln, RI	1,000	2005	Owned	Not Applicable
1140 Ten Rod Road, North Kingstown, RI	4,000	2004	Land Leased	6/30/18
499 Smithfield Avenue, Pawtucket, RI	3,500	2007	Land Leased	5/31/21
One Turks Head Place, Providence, RI	5,000	1996	Leased	4/30/14
165 Pitman Street, Providence, RI	3,300	1998	Leased	10/31/13
445 Putnam Pike, Smithfield, RI	3,500	1996	Leased	7/31/19
1062 Centerville Road, Warwick, RI	2,600	1996	Owned	Not Applicable
1300 Warwick Avenue, Warwick, RI	4,200	1996	Leased	6/30/14
2975 West Shore Road, Warwick, RI	3,500	2000	Leased	3/31/14
1175 Cumberland Hill Road, Woonsocket, RI	3,300	1998	Owned	Not Applicable
Administrative and operational offices:				
2104 Plainfield Pike, Cranston, RI	700	2002	Owned	Not Applicable
625 G. Washington Highway, Lincoln, RI	23,600	2003	Owned	Not Applicable
One Turks Head Place, Providence, RI	20,600	1999	Leased	6/30/14
One Ames Court, Plainview, NY	4,400	2005	Leased	1/31/13
Planned branch offices:				
40 Newport Avenue, East Providence, RI	(A)	Not Applicable	Leased	12/31/17

(A) Facility currently under construction or in planning.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is involved only in routine litigation incidental to the business of banking, none of which the Company's management expects to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE COMPANY'S COMMON STOCK, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Common Stock Prices and Dividends Our common stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market SM under the symbol BARI. The following table sets forth certain information regarding our common stock for the periods indicated.

	Stock Price		Dividend Paid
	High	Low	
2008:			
First Quarter	\$ 37.15	\$ 30.70	\$ 0.16
Second Quarter	38.13	28.05	0.16
Third Quarter	32.00	26.00	0.17
Fourth Quarter	30.00	19.05	0.17
2009:			
First Quarter	\$ 21.88	\$ 15.44	\$ 0.17
Second Quarter	21.97	17.50	0.17
Third Quarter	27.00	19.40	0.17
Fourth Quarter	27.00	24.50	0.17

As of February 28, 2010, there were 100 holders of record of our common stock (which does not reflect shareholders with beneficial ownership in shares held in nominee name).

Stock Repurchase Program The Company has maintained a stock repurchase program authorized by the Company's board of directors, which has enabled the Company to proactively manage its capital position. The program, which was initially approved on April 18, 2006, authorized the Company to repurchase up to 245,000 shares of its common stock from time to time through open market or privately negotiated purchases. On November 26, 2007, the Company expanded the stock repurchase program to 345,000 shares and also adopted a written purchase plan pursuant to Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The Company concluded its repurchase program during the first quarter of 2008.

In February 2008 and January 2009, the Company's Chief Executive Officer delivered 7,450 and 12,500 shares, respectively, of the Company's common stock to satisfy the exercise price for 20,000 stock options exercised each in 2008 and 2009. The shares delivered were valued at \$33.30 and \$20.30 per share, respectively. The Chief Executive Officer paid the balance of the exercise price and all taxes in cash. The delivered shares are included with treasury stock in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Table of Contents

The following graph and table show changes in the value of \$100 invested on December 31, 2004 through December 31, 2009 in our common stock, the SNL Bank \$1 Billion to \$5 Billion Index and the Russell 3000 Index. The investment values are based on share price appreciation plus dividends paid in cash, assuming that dividends were reinvested on the date they were paid.

<i>Index</i>	<i>Period Ending</i>					
	12/31/04	12/31/05	12/31/06	12/31/07	12/31/08	12/31/09
Bancorp Rhode Island, Inc.	100.00	85.39	112.71	90.42	57.39	71.64
Russell 3000	100.00	106.12	122.80	129.11	80.94	103.88
SNL Bank \$1B-\$5B Index	100.00	98.29	113.74	82.85	68.72	49.26

ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table represents selected consolidated financial data as of and for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006 and 2005. The selected consolidated financial data set forth below does not purport to be complete and should be read in conjunction with, and are qualified in their entirety by, the more detailed information, including the Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, appearing elsewhere herein.

Table of Contents**As of and for the
year ended December 31,**

	2009	2008 (b)	2007 (b)	2006 (b)	2005 (b)
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)				
Statements of operations data:					
Interest income	\$ 75,277	\$ 80,298	\$ 86,070	\$ 81,202	\$ 69,520
Interest expense	26,955	34,930	44,826	38,974	26,619
Net interest income	48,322	45,368	41,244	42,228	42,901
Provision for loan and lease losses	9,917	4,520	700	1,202	1,423
Noninterest income	9,165	10,609	10,785	8,988	9,274
Noninterest expense	39,529	37,886	38,025	38,727	36,343
Income before taxes	8,041	13,571	13,304	11,287	14,409
Income taxes	2,502	4,427	4,259	3,576	4,840
Net income	5,539	9,144	9,045	7,711	9,569
Preferred stock dividends	(892)	(50)			
Prepayment charges and accretion of preferred stock discount	(1,405)	(8)			
Net income applicable to common shares	\$ 3,242	\$ 9,086	\$ 9,045	\$ 7,711	\$ 9,569
Per share data:					
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.71	\$ 1.99	\$ 1.89	\$ 1.62	\$ 2.14
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.70	\$ 1.96	\$ 1.84	\$ 1.57	\$ 2.04
Dividends per common share	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.62	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.60
Dividend pay-out ratio	97.1%	33.7%	33.7%	38.2%	29.4%
Book value per share of common stock	\$ 26.16	\$ 26.34	\$ 24.68	\$ 23.28	\$ 22.11
Tangible book value per share of common stock	\$ 23.50	\$ 23.71	\$ 22.10	\$ 20.92	\$ 19.72
Average common shares outstanding basic	4,604,308	4,561,396	4,793,055	4,766,854	4,478,081
Average common shares outstanding diluted	4,626,434	4,631,208	4,918,763	4,920,569	4,697,134
Balance sheet data:					
Total assets	\$ 1,589,946	\$ 1,528,178	\$ 1,476,323	\$ 1,478,303	\$ 1,441,986
Available for sale securities	381,839	326,406	335,181	343,887	385,817
Total loans and leases receivable	1,111,847	1,077,742	1,038,132	1,004,292	950,806

Edgar Filing: BANCORP RHODE ISLAND INC - Form 10-K

Allowance for loan and lease losses	16,536	14,664	12,619	12,377	11,665
Goodwill, net	12,239	12,019	11,772	11,317	11,234
Deposits	1,098,284	1,042,192	1,014,780	1,016,423	980,969
Borrowings	350,757	320,015	331,703	337,097	344,769
Total shareholders' equity	120,661	149,090	112,593	111,570	104,317
Common shareholders' equity	120,661	120,495	112,593	111,570	104,317
Average balance sheet data:					
Total assets	\$ 1,558,278	\$ 1,484,071	\$ 1,468,778	\$ 1,451,163	\$ 1,346,714
Available for sale securities	362,706	335,132	342,333	372,433	340,715
Total loans and leases receivable	1,107,640	1,052,552	1,014,951	980,598	916,273
Allowance for loan and lease losses	16,159	13,350	12,503	12,002	11,560
Goodwill, net	12,055	11,982	11,318	11,290	11,067
Deposits	1,073,366	1,018,510	1,010,162	965,194	928,374
Borrowings	333,866	332,602	326,398	362,721	306,344
Total shareholders' equity	139,551	115,977	114,357	106,359	95,407
Common shareholders' equity	121,911	113,668	114,357	106,359	95,407
Operating ratios:					
Interest rate spread	2.85%	2.72%	2.29%	2.50%	2.92%
Net interest margin	3.25%	3.21%	2.96%	3.06%	3.35%
Efficiency ratio (a)	68.76%	67.68%	73.08%	75.62%	69.66%
Return on assets	0.36%	0.62%	0.62%	0.53%	0.71%
Return on common equity	2.66%	7.99%	7.91%	7.25%	10.03%
Tangible common equity ratio	6.87%	7.15%	6.88%	6.83%	6.51%
Asset quality ratios:					
Nonperforming loans and leases to total loans and leases	1.65%	1.33%	0.40%	0.14%	0.04%
Nonperforming assets to total assets	1.26%	1.00%	0.28%	0.10%	0.03%
Allowance for loan and lease losses to nonperforming loans and leases	90.29%	102.05%	304.15%	875.94%	2,810.84%
Allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans and leases	1.49%	1.36%	1.22%	1.23%	1.23%
Net loans and leases charged-off to average loans and leases	0.73%	0.24%	0.05%	0.05%	0.13%

Table of Contents

	As of and for the year ended December 31,				
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)				
Capital ratios:					
Average common shareholders equity to average total assets	7.82%	7.66%	7.79%	7.33%	7.08%
Tier I leverage ratio	7.65%	10.04%	7.87%	8.37%	8.21%
Tier I risk-based capital ratio	10.71%	14.23%	11.06%	12.05%	12.62%
Total risk-based capital ratio	11.97%	15.48%	12.28%	13.27%	13.87%
(a) Calculated by dividing total noninterest expenses by net interest income plus noninterest income.					
(b) December 31, 2008, 2007, 2006 and 2005 balances reflect an immaterial correction of an error recorded as of January 1, 2005 related to income taxes. The correction reduced retained earnings by \$515,000, prepaid expenses and other assets by \$796,000 and other liabilities by \$281,000.					

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**Introduction**

Bancorp Rhode Island, Inc., a Rhode Island corporation, is the holding company for Bank Rhode Island. The Company has no significant assets other than the common stock of the Bank. For this reason, substantially all of the discussion in this document relates to the operations of the Bank and its subsidiaries.

The Bank is a commercial bank chartered as a financial institution in the State of Rhode Island. The Bank pursues a community banking mission and is principally engaged in providing banking products and services to businesses and individuals in Rhode Island and nearby areas of Massachusetts. The Bank is subject to competition from a variety of

traditional and nontraditional financial service providers both within and outside of Rhode Island. The Bank offers its customers a wide range of business, commercial real estate, consumer and residential loans and leases, deposit products, nondeposit investment products, cash management, private banking and other banking products and services designed to meet the financial needs of individuals and small- to mid-sized businesses. The Bank also offers both commercial and consumer on-line banking products and maintains a web site at <http://www.bankri.com>. The Company and Bank are subject to the regulations of certain federal and state agencies and undergo periodic examinations by those regulatory authorities. The Bank's deposits are insured by the FDIC, subject to regulatory limits. The Bank is also a member of the FHLB.

Overview

In 2009, the Company continued its balance sheet conversion to a more commercial profile. The Company increased its commercial loan and lease portfolio by 11.2% and improved its net interest income. As a result of higher operating costs, including provisions to the loan and leases loss reserve, FDIC insurance costs and the Company's participation in the CPP program, diluted EPS decreased to \$0.70 in 2009 from \$1.96 in 2008. For a fuller narrative commentary on these matters, refer to Item 1, Business.

The primary drivers of the Company's operating income are net interest income, which is strongly affected by the net yield on interest-earning assets (net interest margin) and the quality of the Company's assets.

The Company's net interest income represents the difference between its interest income and its cost of funds. Interest income depends on the amount of interest-earning assets outstanding during the year and the interest rates earned thereon. Cost of funds is a function of the average amount of deposits and borrowed money outstanding during the year and the interest rates paid thereon. Net interest spread is the difference between the average rate earned on interest-earning assets and the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest margin generally exceeds the net interest spread as a portion of interest-earning assets are funded by various noninterest-bearing sources (primarily noninterest-bearing deposits and shareholders' equity). The increases (decreases) in the components of interest income and interest expense, expressed in terms of fluctuation in average volume and rate, are summarized in the Rate/Volume Analysis table shown on page 33. Information as to the components of interest income and interest expense and average rates is provided under *Average Balances, Yields and Costs* on page 32.

Because the Company's assets are not identical in duration and in repricing dates to its liabilities, the spread between the two is vulnerable to changes in market interest rates as well as the overall shape of the yield curve. These vulnerabilities are inherent to the business of banking and are commonly referred to as interest rate risk. How to measure interest rate risk and, once measured, how much risk to take are based on numerous assumptions and other subjective judgments. See discussion under *Asset and Liability Management* on page 52.

Table of Contents

The quality of the Company's assets also influences its earnings. Loans and leases that are not being paid on a timely basis and exhibit other weaknesses can result in the loss of principal and/or loss of interest income. Additionally, the Company must make timely provisions to its allowance for loan and lease losses based on estimates of probable losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio; these additions, which are charged against earnings, are necessarily greater when greater probable losses are expected. Further, the Company will incur expenses as a result of resolving troubled assets. All of these form the credit risk that the Company takes on in the ordinary course of its business and is further discussed under *Financial Condition Asset Quality* on page 41.

The Company's business strategy has been to concentrate its asset generation efforts on commercial and consumer loans and its deposit generation efforts on checking and savings accounts. These deposit accounts are commonly referred to as core deposit accounts. This strategy is based on the Company's belief that it can distinguish itself from its larger competitors, and indeed attract customers from them, through a higher level of service and through its ability to set policies and procedures, as well as make decisions, locally. The loan and deposit products referenced also tend to be geared more toward customers who are relationship oriented than those who are seeking stand-alone or single transaction products. The Company believes that its service-oriented approach enables it to compete successfully for relationship-oriented customers. Additionally, the Company is predominantly an urban franchise with a high concentration of businesses making deployment of funds in the commercial lending area practicable. Commercial loans are attractive, among other reasons, because of their higher yields. Similarly, core deposits are attractive because of their generally lower interest cost and potential for fee income.

The deposit market in Rhode Island is highly concentrated. The State's three largest banks have an aggregate market share of 84% (based upon June 2009 FDIC statistics, excluding one bank that draws its deposits primarily from the internet) in Providence and Kent Counties, the Bank's primary marketplace. Competition for loans and deposits remains intense. This competition has resulted in considerable advertising and promotional product offerings by competitors, including print, radio and television media.

The Company also seeks to leverage business opportunities presented by its customer base, franchise footprint and resources. In 2005, the Bank completed the acquisition of an equipment financing company located in Long Island, New York (Macrolease) and formed a private banking division. Historically, the Bank has used the Macrolease platform to generate additional income by originating equipment loans and leases for third parties and to grow the loan and lease portfolio. Due to the lack of purchasers in the market for these loans and leases, the Macrolease portfolio has grown to approximately \$100.0 million as of December 31, 2009. Currently, the Bank seeks to maintain the level of Macrolease-generated loans and leases, as well as to generate additional income by originating equipment loans and leases for third parties as opportunities arise.

In 2009, approximately 84.1% of the Company's total revenues (defined as net interest income plus noninterest income) were derived from its net interest income compared to 81.0% in 2008. In a continuing effort to diversify its sources of revenue, the Company has sought to expand its sources of noninterest income (primarily fees and charges for products and services the Bank offers). Service charges on deposit accounts remain the largest component of noninterest income.

In 2009, the Bank experienced an overall increase in net interest margin, as the 2009 net interest margin of 3.25% was 4 basis points (bps) higher than the 2008 net interest margin of 3.21%.

The future operating results of the Company will depend on the ability to maintain net interest margin, while minimizing exposure to credit risk, along with increasing sources of noninterest income, while controlling the growth of noninterest or operating expenses.

Critical Accounting Policies

Accounting policies involving significant judgments and assumptions by management, which have, or could have, a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets or net income, are considered critical accounting policies. The Company considers the following to be its critical accounting policies: allowance for loan and lease losses, review of goodwill for impairment, valuation of available for sale securities and income taxes. There have been no significant changes in the methods or assumptions used in accounting policies that require material estimates or assumptions.

Table of Contents*Allowance for loan and lease losses*

Arriving at an appropriate level of allowance for loan and lease losses necessarily involves a significant degree of judgment. First and foremost in arriving at an appropriate allowance is the creation and maintenance of a risk rating system that accurately classifies all loans, leases and commitments into varying categories by degree of credit risk. Such a system also establishes a level of allowance associated with each category of loans and requires early identification and reclassification of deteriorating credits. Besides numerous subjective judgments as to the number of categories, appropriate level of allowance with respect to each category and judgments as to categorization of any individual loan or lease, additional subjective judgments are involved when ascertaining the probability as well as the extent of any probable losses. The Company's ongoing evaluation process includes a formal analysis of the allowance each quarter, which considers, among other factors, the character and size of the loan and lease portfolio, business and economic conditions, loan growth, delinquency trends, nonperforming loan trends, charge-off experience and other asset quality factors. These factors are based on observable information, as well as subjective assessment and interpretation.

Nonperforming commercial, commercial real estate and small business loans and leases in excess of a specified dollar amount are deemed to be impaired. The estimated reserves necessary for each of these credits is determined by reviewing the fair value of the collateral if collateral dependent, the present value of expected future cash flows, or where available, the observable market price of the loans. Provisions for losses on the remaining commercial, commercial real estate, small business, residential mortgage and consumer loans and leases are based on pools of similar loans or leases using a combination of payment status, historical loss experience, industry loss experience, market economic factors, delinquency rates and qualitative adjustments.

While management evaluates currently available information in establishing the allowance for loan and lease losses, future additions to the allowance may be necessary if conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making evaluations. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review a financial institution's allowance for loan and lease losses and carrying amounts of other real estate owned. Such agencies may require the financial institution to recognize adjustments to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination.

Review of goodwill for impairment

In March 1996, the Bank acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities from Fleet Financial Group, Inc. and related entities. This acquisition was accounted for utilizing the purchase method of accounting and generated \$17.5 million of goodwill. This goodwill was amortized in the years prior to 2002, resulting in a net balance of \$10.8 million on the Company's balance sheet as of December 31, 2001. Effective January 1, 2002, in accordance with newly issued U.S. GAAP requirements, the Company ceased amortizing this goodwill and currently reviews it at least annually for impairment.

On May 1, 2005, the Bank acquired certain operating assets from Macrolease International Corporation. This acquisition was accounted for utilizing the purchase method of accounting and has generated \$1.5 million of goodwill through December 31, 2009.

The Company evaluates goodwill for impairment by comparing the fair value of the Company to its carrying value, including goodwill. If the fair value of the Company exceeds the carrying value, goodwill is not deemed to be impaired. If the fair value is less than the carrying value, a further analysis is required to determine the amount of impairment, if any. The fair value of the Company was determined using market value comparisons for similar institutions, such as price to earnings multiples, price to book value multiples and price to tangible book value multiples. The fair value determined was compared to the Company's market capitalization as an assessment of the appropriateness of the fair value estimates. The comparison indicated a control premium (the premium a market participant would pay to own an entire company rather than a piece of a company) of less than 10.0%, which is within the range of control premiums observed in the market place. The Company's valuation technique utilizes verifiable market multiples, as well as subjective assessment and interpretation. The application of different market multiples, or changes in judgment as to which market transactions are reflective of the Company's specific characteristics, could affect the conclusions reached regarding possible impairment. In the event that the Company was to determine that its goodwill was impaired, the recognition of an impairment charge could have an adverse impact on its results of

operations in the period that the impairment occurred or on its financial position.

Valuation of available for sale securities

Debt securities can be classified as trading, available for sale or held-to-maturity. Securities are classified as trading and carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included in earnings, if they are bought and held principally for the purpose of selling in the near term. Debt securities are classified as held-to-maturity and carried at amortized cost only if the Company has the positive intent and the ability to hold these securities to maturity. Securities not classified as either held-to-maturity or trading are classified as available for sale and reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of shareholders' equity, net of estimated income taxes. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, all of the Company's investment securities were classified as available for sale.

Table of Contents

The Company performs regular analysis on the available for sale securities portfolio to determine whether a decline in fair value indicates that an investment is other-than-temporarily impaired. Management considers various factors in making these determinations including the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost, projected future cash flows, credit subordination and the creditworthiness, capital adequacy and near-term prospects of the issuers. Management also considers capital adequacy, interest rate risk, liquidity and business plans in assessing whether it is more likely than not that the Company will sell or be required to sell the securities before recovery.

If the Company determines that a decline in fair value is other-than-temporary and that it is more likely than not that the Company will not sell or be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost, the credit portion of the impairment loss is recognized in earnings and the noncredit portion is recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income. The credit portion of the other-than-temporary impairment represents the difference between the amortized cost and the present value of the expected future cash flows of the security. If the Company determines that a decline in fair value is other-than-temporary and it is more likely than not that the Company will sell or be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost, the entire difference between the amortized cost and the fair value of the security will be recognized in earnings. Continued adverse or further deteriorated economic and market conditions could result in additional losses from other-than-temporary impairment.

Income taxes

Certain areas of accounting for income taxes require management's judgment, including determining the expected realization of deferred tax assets and the adequacy of liabilities for uncertain tax positions. Judgments are made regarding various tax positions, which are often subjective and involve assumptions about items that are inherently uncertain. If actual factors and conditions differ materially from estimates made by management, the actual realization of the net deferred tax assets or liabilities for uncertain tax positions could vary materially from the amounts previously recorded.

Deferred tax assets arise from items that may be used as a tax deduction or credit in future income tax returns, for which a financial statement tax benefit has already been recognized. The realization of the net deferred tax asset generally depends upon future levels of taxable income and the existence of prior years' taxable income to which refund claims could be carried back. Valuation allowances are recorded against those deferred tax assets determined not likely to be realized. Deferred tax liabilities represent items that will require a future tax payment. They generally represent tax expense recognized in the Company's financial statements for which payment has been deferred, or a deduction taken on the Company's tax return but not yet recognized as an expense in the Company's financial statements. Deferred tax liabilities are also recognized for certain non-cash items such as goodwill.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations***Net Interest Income*

Net interest income for 2009 was \$48.3 million, compared to \$45.4 million for 2008 and \$41.2 million for 2007. The net interest margin increased in 2009 to 3.25%, compared to 3.21% in 2008. The net interest margin in 2007 was 2.96%. The increase in net interest income of \$3.0 million, or 6.5%, during 2009 was primarily attributable to achieving a lower cost of funding, despite increased levels of average earning assets at lower average yields. Average earning assets increased \$75.8 million, or 5.4%, and average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$42.4 million, or 3.6%, during 2009, compared to 2008.

Average Balances, Yields and Costs

The following table sets forth certain information relating to the Company's average balance sheet and reflects the average yield on assets and average cost of liabilities for the years indicated. Such yields and costs are derived by dividing income or expense by the average balance of assets or liabilities. Average balances are derived from daily balances and include nonperforming loans. Available for sale securities are stated at amortized cost.

	Year ended December 31,									
	2009			2008			2007			
	Average balance	Interest earned/ paid	Average yield	Average balance	Interest earned/ paid	Average yield	Average balance	Interest earned/ paid	Average yield	
(Dollars in thousands)										
Assets										
Earning assets:										
Overnight investments	\$ 1,456	\$ 10	0.78%	\$ 8,577	\$ 264	3.07%	\$ 21,030	\$ 1,103	5.24%	
Investment securities	78,762	2,157	2.74%	60,972	2,767	4.54%	112,461	5,707	5.07%	
Mortgage-backed securities	283,944	13,357	4.70%	274,160	13,655	4.98%	229,872	11,166	4.86%	
Stock in the FHLB	15,912		0.00%	15,671	610	3.89%	15,723	1,056	6.72%	
Loans receivable:										
Commercial loans and leases	703,982	40,823	5.80%	617,254	39,709	6.43%	540,383	39,657	7.34%	
Residential mortgage loans	192,853	9,486	4.92%	226,483	12,095	5.34%	255,442	13,768	5.39%	
Consumer and other loans	210,805	9,444	4.48%	208,815	11,198	5.36%	219,126	13,613	6.21%	
Total earning assets	1,487,714	75,277	5.06%	1,411,932	80,298	5.69%	1,394,037	86,070	6.17%	
Cash and due from banks	18,186			23,062			24,178			
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(16,159)			(13,350)			(12,503)			
Premises and equipment	12,512			13,195			14,458			
Goodwill, net	12,055			11,982			11,318			
Accrued interest receivable	4,252			4,888			5,865			
	29,323			25,033			23,627			

Edgar Filing: BANCORP RHODE ISLAND INC - Form 10-K

Bank-owned life insurance									
Prepaid expenses and other assets	10,395			7,329			7,798		
Total assets	\$ 1,558,278			\$ 1,484,071			\$ 1,468,778		
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity									
Interest-bearing liabilities:									
Deposits:									
NOW accounts	\$ 65,471	60	0.09%	\$ 60,438	162	0.27%	\$ 62,327	391	0.63%
Money market accounts	31,157	367	1.18%	5,249	69	1.31%	6,285	135	2.15%
Savings accounts	377,755	3,380	0.89%	388,060	7,042	1.81%	376,746	11,028	2.93%
Certificate of deposit accounts	409,564	11,061	2.70%	389,021	14,306	3.68%	382,711	17,676	4.62%
Overnight and short-term borrowings	44,298	86	0.19%	54,878	902	1.64%	57,117	2,717	4.76%
Wholesale repurchase agreements	13,699	551	3.97%	10,000	540	5.32%	11,425	602	5.27%
FHLB borrowings	262,466	10,720	4.03%	254,321	10,960	4.31%	240,668	10,768	4.47%
Subordinated deferrable interest debentures	13,403	730	5.45%	13,403	949	7.08%	17,188	1,509	8.78%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,217,813	26,955	2.21%	1,175,370	34,930	2.97%	1,154,467	44,826	3.88%
Noninterest-bearing deposits	189,419			175,742			182,093		
Other liabilities	11,495			16,982			17,861		
Total liabilities	1,418,727			1,368,094			1,354,421		
Shareholders equity	139,551			115,977			114,357		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 1,558,278			\$ 1,484,071			\$ 1,468,778		
Net interest income	\$ 48,322			\$ 45,368			\$ 41,244		
Net interest rate spread			2.85%			2.72%			2.29%
Net interest rate margin			3.25%			3.21%			2.96%

Table of Contents*Rate/Volume Analysis*

The following table sets forth certain information regarding changes in the Company's interest income and interest expense for the periods indicated. For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to (i) changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by old average balance) and (ii) changes in volume (changes in average balances multiplied by old rate). The net change attributable to the combined impact of rate and volume was allocated proportionally to the individual rate and volume changes.

	Year ended December 31,					
	2009 vs. 2008			2008 vs. 2007		
	Increase/(decrease) due to			Increase/(decrease) due to		
	Rate	Volume	Total	Rate	Volume	Total
	(In thousands)					
Interest income:						
Overnight investments	\$ (120)	\$ (134)	\$ (254)	\$ (346)	\$ (493)	\$ (839)
Investment securities	(1,042)	432	(610)	(532)	(2,408)	(2,940)
Mortgage-backed securities	(749)	451	(298)	280	2,209	2,489
Stock in the FHLB	(619)	9	(610)	(443)	(3)	(446)
Commercial loans and leases	(5,064)	6,178	1,114	(5,555)	5,607	52
Residential mortgage loans	(923)	(1,686)	(2,609)	(127)	(1,546)	(1,673)
Consumer and other loans	(1,528)	(226)	(1,754)	(1,860)	(555)	(2,415)
Total interest income	(10,045)	5,024	(5,021)	(8,583)	2,811	(5,772)
Interest expense:						
NOW accounts	(114)	12	(102)	(217)	(12)	(229)
Money market accounts	(8)	306	298	(46)	(20)	(66)
Savings accounts	(3,479)	(183)	(3,662)	(4,308)	322	(3,986)
Certificate of deposit accounts	(3,960)	715	(3,245)	(3,645)	275	(3,370)
Overnight & short-term borrowings	(669)	(147)	(816)	(1,711)	(104)	(1,815)
Wholesale repurchase agreements	(156)	167	11	6	(68)	(62)
FHLB borrowings	(623)	383	(240)	(422)	614	192
Capital trust and other subordinated securities	(219)		(219)	(254)	(306)	(560)
Total interest expense	(9,228)	1,253	(7,975)	(10,597)	701	(9,896)
Net interest income	\$ (817)	\$ 3,771	\$ 2,954	\$ 2,014	\$ 2,110	\$ 4,124

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008*General*

Net income for 2009 decreased \$3.6 million, or 39.4%, to \$5.5 million from \$9.1 million for 2008. Earnings per diluted common share (EPS) decreased from \$1.96 for 2008 to \$0.70 for 2009. The 2009 earnings represented a return on assets of 0.36% and a return on common equity of 2.66% for 2009, as compared to a return on assets of 0.62% and

a return on common equity of 7.99% for 2008.

Net Interest Income

For 2009, net interest income was \$48.3 million, compared to \$45.4 million for 2008. The net interest margin for 2009 was 3.25% compared to a net interest margin of 3.21% for the prior year. Although the yield on the Company's interest-earning assets declined by 63 bps compared to 2008, net interest income increased \$3.0 million, or 6.5%, as a result of cost of funds on interest-bearing liabilities declining by 76 bps.

Interest Income Investments

Total investment income (consisting of interest on overnight investments, available for sale securities and dividends on FHLB stock) was \$15.5 million for 2009 compared to \$17.3 million for 2008. This decrease in total investment income of \$1.8 million, or 10.2%, was attributable to a 73 basis point decrease in the overall yield on investments, from 4.81% in 2008 to 4.08% in 2009, along with an increase in the average balance of investments of approximately \$20.7 million.

Table of Contents*Interest Income – Loans and Leases*

Interest from loans and leases was \$59.8 million for 2009, and represented a yield on total loans and leases of 5.39%. This compares to \$63.0 million of interest and a yield of 5.99% for 2008. Increased interest income resulting from growth in the average balance of loans and leases of \$55.1 million, or 5.2%, was counteracted by a decrease in the yield on loans and leases of 60 bps.

The average balance of the various components of the loan and lease portfolio changed as follows: commercial loans and leases increased \$86.7 million, or 14.1%; consumer and other loans increased \$2.0 million, or 1.0%; and residential mortgage loans decreased \$33.6 million, or 14.8%. The yield on the various components of the loan and lease portfolio changed as follows: commercial loans and leases decreased 63 bps, to 5.80%; consumer and other loans decreased 88 bps, to 4.48%; and residential mortgage loans decreased 42 bps, to 4.92%. The yields on loans and leases declined primarily from lower yields on new originations and repricing of existing variable rate assets.

Interest Expense – Deposits and Borrowings

Interest paid on deposits and borrowings decreased by \$8.0 million, or 22.8%, due to lower market interest rates during 2009. The overall average cost for interest-bearing liabilities decreased 76 bps from 2.97% for 2008 to 2.21% for 2009. The average balance of total interest-bearing liabilities increased \$42.4 million, or 3.6%, to \$1.22 billion for 2009.

The growth in deposit average balances of \$41.2 million, or 4.9%, during 2009 was centered primarily in money market accounts (up \$25.9 million, or 493.6%) and CD accounts (up \$20.5 million, or 5.3%). These increases were partially offset by a decrease in savings accounts (down \$10.3 million, or 2.7%). The cost of deposits in total decreased 88 bps in 2009 to 1.68%, compared to 2.56% in the prior year.

The average balance of borrowings increased as compared to the prior year, with increases in FHLB funding (up \$8.1 million, or 3.2%) and increases in wholesale repurchase agreements (up \$3.7 million, or 37.0%) offset by decreases in short term borrowings (down \$10.6 million, or 19.3%). Overall, the cost of nondeposit borrowings decreased 39 bps in 2009 to 3.62%, compared to 4.01% in the prior year, reflecting the market interest rate declines experienced in 2009.

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The provision for loan and lease losses was \$9.9 million for 2009, compared to \$4.5 million for 2008. Additions were made to the provision in 2009 in response to increased nonperforming and classified loans and leases, increased levels of charge-offs, weakened economic conditions and growth in the commercial loan portfolio. The increased provision served to improve the ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses to 1.49% as of December 31, 2009, compared to 1.36% at the prior year-end. The allowance for loan and lease losses expressed as a percentage of nonperforming loans and leases was 90.29% at December 31, 2009 and 102.05% at December 31, 2008. Net charge-offs for 2009 were \$8.0 million compared to \$2.5 million for 2008.

Management evaluates several factors including new loan and lease originations, actual and estimated charge-offs and the risk characteristics of the loan and lease portfolio and general economic conditions when determining the provision for loan and lease losses. If the current weak economic or market conditions continue or worsen, management believes it is likely that the level of adversely classified assets would increase. This in turn may necessitate further increases to the provision for loan and lease losses in future periods. Also see discussion under

*Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses.**Noninterest Income*

Total noninterest income decreased by \$1.4 million, or 13.6%, from \$10.6 million for 2008 to \$9.2 million for 2009. The following table sets forth the components of noninterest income:

	Year ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
	(In thousands)	
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 5,377	\$ 5,711
Income from bank-owned life insurance	1,245	1,080

Edgar Filing: BANCORP RHODE ISLAND INC - Form 10-K

Loan related fees	869	803
Commissions on nondeposit investment products	776	745
Net gains on lease sales and commissions on loans originated for others	408	454
Impairment of available for sale securities	(384)	(219)
Gain on sale of available for sale securities	61	725
Other income	813	1,310
Total noninterest income	\$ 9,165	\$ 10,609

Table of Contents

Deposit account service charges continue to represent the largest source of noninterest income for the Company even though this account did not produce growth in 2009. Service charges on deposit accounts were down \$334,000 or 5.8%. Additionally, gains on the sale of available for sale securities decreased \$664,000 or 91.6%. Other income also decreased \$497,000 or 37.9% and losses on impairment of available for sale securities increased \$165,000, or 75.3%. Net gains on lease sales and loan commissions were down \$46,000 or 10.1%. These decreases were offset by increases in income from bank-owned life insurance (BOLI) (up \$165,000, or 15.3%) and loan related fees (up \$66,000, or 8.2%) primarily as a result of a newly available interest rate swap product discussed below. An increase in the volume of nondeposit investment product activity provided additional noninterest income of \$31,000, or 4.2%.

In the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company began offering interest rate swaps with commercial loan borrowers to aid them in managing their interest rate risk. The interest rate swap contracts with commercial loan borrowers allow them to convert floating rate loan payments to fixed rate loan payments. The Company concurrently enters into a mirroring swap with a third party financial institution. The third party financial institution exchanges the client's fixed rate loan payments for floating rate loan payments. The Company retains the risks associated with the potential failure of counterparties and inherent in making loans.

The interest rate swap contracts are carried at fair value with changes recorded as a component of loan related fees in other noninterest income. For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, net gains on these interest rate swap contracts, which include fee income and adjustments for credit valuation, amounted to approximately \$230,000 and \$250,000, respectively.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expenses for 2009 increased a total of \$1.6 million, or 4.3%, to \$39.5 million. The following table sets forth the components of noninterest expense:

	Year ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
	(In thousands)	
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 20,573	\$ 20,091
Occupancy and equipment	4,553	4,578
Data processing	2,640	2,816
FDIC insurance	2,527	694
Professional services	2,612	2,968
Marketing	1,318	1,607
Loan workout and other real estate owned	688	543
Loan servicing	665	643
Other expenses	3,953	3,946
Total noninterest expense	\$ 39,529	\$ 37,886

FDIC insurance increased \$1.8 million, or 264.1%, due to the special assessment imposed by the FDIC on financial institutions during the second quarter of 2009 and an increase in assessment rates for 2009. On May 22, 2009, the FDIC imposed a 5 basis point special assessment on assets less Tier 1 capital as of June 30, 2009 on all FDIC-insured financial institutions. The Bank incurred a charge of \$733,000 as a result of the special assessment levied. The FDIC has authority to levy an additional 5 basis points in special assessments after September 30, 2009. In addition to the special assessment, FDIC regular assessments increased for 2009. During 2008, financial institutions were assessed rates ranging from 5 basis points per \$100 of deposits for institutions in Risk Category I to 43 basis points for institutions assigned to Risk Category IV. In 2009, rates ranged from 12 to 50 basis points per \$100 of deposits.

Additionally, salaries and benefits increased \$482,000, or 2.4%, and loan workout and other real estate owned expenses increased \$145,000, or 26.7%. These increases were partially offset by decreases in professional services

(down \$356,000, or 12.0%), marketing (down \$289,000, or 18.0%) and data processing (down \$176,000, or 6.3%). Overall, with the decrease in noninterest income and the increase in noninterest expense, the Company's efficiency ratio was 68.76% for 2009, compared to 67.68% for 2008.

Table of Contents*Income Tax Expense*

The Company recorded income tax expense of \$2.5 million for 2009, compared to \$4.4 million for 2008. This represented total effective tax rates of 31.1% and 32.6%, respectively. Tax-favored income from BOLI, along with the utilization of a Rhode Island passive investment company, has reduced the Company's effective tax rate from the 40.9% combined statutory federal and state tax rates.

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007*General*

Net income for 2008 increased \$99,000, or 1.1%, to \$9.1 million from \$9.0 million for 2007. Earnings per diluted common share (EPS) increased from \$1.84 for 2007 to \$1.96 for 2008. The 2008 earnings represented a return on assets of 0.62% and a return on common equity of 7.99% for 2008, as compared to a return on assets of 0.62% and a return on common equity of 7.91% for 2007.

Net Interest Income

For 2008, net interest income was \$45.4 million, compared to \$41.2 million for 2007. The net interest margin for 2008 was 3.21% compared to a net interest margin of 2.96% for 2007. Although the yield on the Company's interest-earning assets declined by 49 bps compared to 2007, net interest income increased \$4.1 million, or 10.0%. The increase in net interest income is a result of the cost of funds on interest-bearing liabilities declining 91 bps compared to the prior year.

Interest Income Investments

Total investment income (consisting of interest on overnight investments, available for sale securities and dividends on FHLB stock) was \$17.3 million for 2008, compared to \$19.0 million for 2007. This decrease in total investment income of \$1.7 million, or 9.1%, was attributable to a 21 basis point decrease in the overall yield on investments, from 5.02% in 2007 to 4.81% in 2008, along with a decrease in the average balance of investments of approximately \$19.7 million.

Interest Income Loans and Leases

Interest from loans and leases was \$63.0 million for 2008, and represented a yield on total loans and leases of 5.99%. This compares to \$67.0 million of interest, and a yield of 6.61%, for 2007. Increased interest income resulting from growth in the average balance of loans of \$37.6 million, or 3.7%, was counteracted by a decrease in the yield on loans and leases of 62 bps.

The average balance of the various components of the loan and lease portfolio changed as follows: commercial loans and leases increased \$76.9 million, or 14.2%; consumer and other loans decreased \$10.3 million, or 4.7%; and residential mortgage loans decreased \$29.0 million, or 11.3%. The yield on the various components of the loan portfolio changed as follows: commercial loans and leases decreased 91 bps, to 6.43%; consumer and other loans decreased 85 bps, to 5.36%; and residential mortgage loans decreased 5 bps, to 5.34%. The yields on loans and leases declined primarily from lower yields on new originations and repricing of existing variable rate assets.

Interest Expense Deposits and Borrowings

Interest paid on deposits and borrowings decreased by \$9.9 million, or 22.1%, due to lower market interest rates during 2008. The overall average cost for interest-bearing liabilities decreased 91 bps from 3.88% for 2007 to 2.97% for 2008. The average balance of total interest-bearing liabilities increased \$20.9 million, or 1.8%, to \$1.18 billion for 2008.

The growth in deposit average balances of \$14.7 million, or 1.8%, during 2008 was centered primarily in savings accounts (up \$11.3 million, or 3.0%) and CD accounts (up \$10.0 million, or 2.8%). These increases were partially offset by a decrease in brokered CDs (down \$3.6 million, or 15.8%). The cost of deposits in total decreased 97 bps in 2008 to 2.56%, compared to 3.53% in the prior year.

The average balance of borrowings increased as compared to the prior year, with increases in FHLB funding (up \$13.7 million, or 5.7%) slightly offset by decreases in subordinated debentures (down \$3.8 million, or 22.0%) and decreases in short term and other borrowings (down \$3.7 million, or 5.3%). Overall, the cost of nondeposit borrowings decreased 77 bps in 2008 to 4.01%, compared to 4.78% in the prior year, reflecting the market interest rate declines experienced in 2008.

Table of Contents

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The provision for loan and lease losses was \$4.5 million for 2008, compared to \$700,000 for 2007. Additions were made to the provision in 2008 in response to increased nonperforming and classified loans and leases, increased levels of charge-offs, weakened economic conditions and growth in the commercial loan and lease portfolio. The increased provision served to improve the ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses to 1.36% as of December 31, 2008, compared to 1.22% at the prior year-end. The allowance for loan and lease losses expressed as a percentage of nonperforming loans and leases was 102.05% at December 31, 2008 and 304.2% at December 31, 2007. Net charge-offs for 2008 were \$2.5 million compared to \$458,000 for 2007.

Management evaluates several factors includin