

ARGAN INC  
Form 10-K  
April 14, 2010

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-K**

**ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.**

**For the Fiscal Year Ended January 31, 2010**

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number **001-31756**

**ARGAN, INC.**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware

13-1947195

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

One Church Street, Suite 201, Rockville, Maryland

20850

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(Zip Code)

(301) 315-0027

(Issuer's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Stock, \$0.15 par value

NYSE Amex

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements

Edgar Filing: ARGAN INC - Form 10-K

incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendments to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting  
company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was approximately \$49,591,174 on July 31, 2009 (the last business day of the Registrant's second fiscal quarter), based upon the closing price on the NYSE Amex stock exchange as reported for that date. Shares of common stock held by each officer and director and by each person who owns 5% or more of the outstanding common shares have been excluded because such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. The determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

Number of shares of common stock outstanding as of April 9, 2010: 13,587,494 shares

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on June 23, 2010 are incorporated by reference in Part III.

**ARGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**2010 ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K**  
**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>PAGE</b>
<u><b>PART I</b></u>	
<u>ITEM 1. BUSINESS</u>	- 3 -
<u>ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS</u>	- 10 -
<u>ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	- 21 -
<u>ITEM 2. PROPERTIES</u>	- 22 -
<u>ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	- 22 -
<u>ITEM 4. [REMOVED AND RESERVED]</u>	- 22 -
<u><b>PART II</b></u>	
<u>ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	- 23 -
<u>ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	- 26 -
<u>ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	- 27 -
<u>ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	- 39 -
<u>ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>	- 39 -
<u>ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>	- 39 -
<u>ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	- 39 -
<u>ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	- 42 -
<u><b>PART III</b></u>	
<u>ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	- 42 -
<u>ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	- 42 -
<u>ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	- 42 -

<u>ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	- 42 -
---	--------

<u>ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES</u>	- 42 -
--	--------

PART IV

<u>ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULE</u>	- 42 -
---	--------

<u>SIGNATURES</u>	- 45 -
-------------------	--------

- Exhibit 21
- Exhibit 23.1
- Exhibit 31.1
- Exhibit 31.2
- Exhibit 32.1
- Exhibit 32.2

**Table of Contents****PART I****ITEM 1. BUSINESS.**

Argan, Inc. ( Argan ) conducts operations through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Gemma Power Systems, LLC and affiliates ( GPS ) that were acquired in December 2006, Vitarich Laboratories, Inc. ( VLI ) that was acquired in August 2004, and Southern Maryland Cable, Inc. ( SMC ) that was acquired in July 2003 (together referred to as the Company, we, us, or our ). Through GPS, we provide a full range of development, consulting, engineering, procurement, construction, commissioning, operations and maintenance services to the power generation and renewable energy markets for a wide range of customers including public utilities, independent power project owners, municipalities, public institutions and private industry. Through VLI, we develop, manufacture and distribute premium nutritional products. Through SMC, we provide telecommunications infrastructure services including project management, construction and maintenance to the Federal Government, telecommunications and broadband service providers as well as electric utilities. Each of the wholly-owned subsidiaries represents a separate reportable segment power industry services, nutritional products and telecommunications infrastructure services, respectively. The net revenues of GPS, VLI and SMC represented approximately 90.3%, 6.0% and 3.7%, respectively, of our consolidated net revenues for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2010.

**Holding Company Structure**

Argan was organized as a Delaware corporation in May 1961. We intend to make additional acquisitions and/or investments by identifying companies with significant potential for profitable growth that may occur internally or through acquisitions. We may have more than one industrial focus. We expect that companies acquired in each of these industrial groups will be held in separate subsidiaries that will be operated in a manner that best provides cash flows for the Company and value for our stockholders. Argan is a holding company with no operations other than its investments in GPS, SMC and VLI. At January 31, 2010, there were no restrictions with respect to inter-company payments from GPS, SMC and VLI to Argan.

**Acquisition of Gemma Power Systems, LLC and its Affiliates**

Pursuant to Agreements and Plans of Merger, Argan acquired GPS on December 8, 2006. The results of operations of GPS have been included in our consolidated financial statements since the date of the acquisition.

The acquisition purchase price was \$33.1 million, consisting of \$12.9 million in cash and \$20.2 million from the issuance of approximately 3,667,000 shares of common stock of Argan. The purchase price was funded, in part, by an \$8.0 million, secured, 4-year term loan. In addition, we raised \$10.7 million through the private offering of approximately 2,853,000 shares of common stock of Argan at a purchase price of \$3.75 per share as discussed below. Pursuant to the acquisition agreement, \$12.0 million was deposited into an escrow account. Of this amount, \$10.0 million secured a letter of credit supporting the issuance of bonding (as discussed below). During the year ended January 31, 2010, \$5.0 million was released from escrow to us as the bonding company reduced its security requirements. The remaining amount of \$2.0 million was deposited at the closing of the acquisition with payment to the former owners of GPS dependent on the financial performance of GPS for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007. During the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009, payment of the remaining \$2.0 million was made to the former owners as the earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ( EBITDA ) of GPS for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, as defined in the acquisition agreement, was more than the required amount of \$12.0 million.

**Private Sales of Common Stock**

In July 2008, we completed a private placement sale of 2.2 million shares of our common stock to investors at a price of \$12.00 per share that provided net proceeds of approximately \$25 million. The proceeds provide cash in support of our current and future energy plant construction projects and may be required to provide additional collateral for bonding associated with future projects. Some portion of the proceeds may also be used in the financing of future acquisitions. On December 8, 2006, we completed a private offering of approximately 2,853,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$3.75 per share for aggregate proceeds of \$10.7 million. The proceeds were used in the purchase of GPS. On May 4, 2006, we completed a private offering of 760,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$2.50 per share for aggregate proceeds of \$1.9 million. We used \$1.8 million of the proceeds to pay down an equal amount of the subordinated note due Kevin Thomas, the former owner of VLI. The remainder of the proceeds was used for

general corporate purposes.

**Table of Contents**

**Financing Arrangements**

We have financing arrangements with the Bank of America (the Bank). The financing arrangements, as amended, provided a 4-year term loan used in the acquisition of GPS in the amount of \$8.0 million (\$2.0 million of this loan was deposited into an escrow account at the Bank as discussed above), with interest at LIBOR plus 3.25%, and a revolving loan with a maximum borrowing amount of \$4.25 million that is available until May 31, 2011, with interest at LIBOR plus 3.25%. The amended financing arrangements also covered a 3-year term loan in the amount of \$1.5 million with interest at LIBOR plus 3.25% that was repaid during the year ended January 31, 2010. In August 2006, we used the \$1.5 million in borrowed funds to pay the remaining principal and interest due on the subordinated note with Mr. Thomas.

We may obtain standby letters of credit from the Bank in the ordinary course of business not to exceed \$10.0 million for surety bonding. The Company has pledged \$5.0 million to the Bank which secures a standby letter of credit issued by the Bank on behalf of Argan for the benefit of Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America in connection with the bonding facility provided to GPS.

The financing arrangements require that the Company comply with certain financial covenants at its fiscal year-end and at each of its fiscal quarter-ends (using a rolling 12-month period) including covenants that (1) the ratio of total funded debt to EBITDA not exceed 2 to 1, (2) the fixed charge coverage ratio be not less than 1.25 to 1, and (3) the ratio of senior funded debt to EBITDA not exceed 1.50 to 1. The Bank's consent is required for acquisitions and divestitures. The Company has pledged the majority of the Company's assets to secure the financing arrangements.

The amended financing arrangements contain an acceleration clause which allows the Bank to declare outstanding borrowed amounts due and payable if it determines in good faith that a material adverse change has occurred in the financial condition of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. We believe that the Company will continue to comply with its financial covenants under the financing arrangements. If the Company's performance does not result in compliance with any of its financial covenants, or if the Bank seeks to exercise its rights under the acceleration clause referred to above, we would seek to modify the financing arrangements. However, there can be no assurance that the Bank would not exercise its rights and remedies under the financing arrangements including accelerating the payment of all outstanding senior debt. At January 31, 2010, the Company was in compliance with the financial covenants of its amended financing arrangements.

**Power Industry Services**

The extensive design, construction, start-up and operating experience of our power industry services business has grown with the completion of projects for more than 70 facilities representing over 9,000 megawatts ( MW ) of power-generating capacity. Power projects have included combined-cycle cogeneration facilities, emergency peaking plants, boiler plant construction and renovation efforts, and utility system maintenance. We have also broadened our experience into the rapidly growing renewable energy industry by providing engineering, procurement and construction services to the owners of wind plants and other alternative power energy facilities. The durations of our construction projects are usually one to three years. During the past three years, we completed construction of four biodiesel production plants in Texas, the construction of a natural gas-fired power plant in California, the construction of an electricity peaking facility in Connecticut, and the expansion of a wind-energy farm in Illinois. The net revenues of GPS, which represent our power industry services business segment, were \$209.8 million for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2010, or 90.3% of our consolidated net revenues for the year.

In May 2008, we announced that GPS signed an engineering, procurement and construction agreement with Pacific Gas & Electric Company ( PG&E ) in the amount of \$340 million for the design and construction of a natural gas-fired power plant in Colusa, California. This energy plant is designed to be a 640 megawatt combined cycle facility and construction is expected to be completed during the year ending January 31, 2011.

In October 2008, we announced that GPS signed an engineering, procurement and construction agreement and received a limited notice to proceed from Competitive Power Ventures Inc. ( CPV ) to design and build the Sentinel Power Project. This project, valued at \$211 million, consists of eight simple cycle gas-fired peaking plants with a total power rating of 800 megawatts to be located in southern California. The project is currently expected to be completed during the fiscal year ending January 31, 2013. CPV has a power supply agreement with Southern California Edison.



We anticipate sustained demand for engineering and construction services related to the development of new gas-fired power plants because these facilities are more efficient and produce fewer emissions than coal-fired power plants. In addition, climate change and foreign oil dependency concerns are driving an increase in renewable energy legislation, government incentives and commercialization. Certain states in the U.S. are requiring that upwards of 20% of future energy be produced from renewable energy sources in efforts to reduce carbon dioxide emissions that are blamed, in part, for global warming. Very large corporations as well as venture capital and other investment firms have directed funds to the renewable energy sector.

**Table of Contents**

In June 2008, the Company announced that GPS had entered into a business partnership with Invenergy Wind Management, LLC for the design and construction of wind-energy farms located in the United States and Canada. The business partners each owned 50% of the new company, Gemma Renewable Power, LLC ( GRP ). GRP provides engineering, procurement and construction services for new wind farms generating electrical power including the design and construction of roads, foundations, and electrical collection systems, as well as the erection of towers, turbines and blades. During the year ended January 31, 2010, GRP completed a wind-farm expansion project in Illinois with a contract value of approximately \$47 million and was awarded a contract for the design, engineering and construction of a 90 megawatt wind energy project in Kittitas County, Washington, including the installation of sixty (60) wind turbines with a value of approximately \$33 million. We have received a limited notice to proceed with this project. In December 2009, we acquired the remaining 50% ownership interest and GRP became a wholly-owned subsidiary of GPS.

**Materials**

In connection with the engineering and construction of traditional power energy systems, biodiesel plants, ethanol production facilities and other power energy systems, we procure materials on behalf of our customers. We are not dependent upon any one source for materials that we use to complete a particular project, and we are not currently experiencing difficulties in procuring the necessary materials for our contracted projects. However, we cannot guarantee that in the future there will not be unscheduled delays in the delivery of ordered materials and equipment.

**Competition**

GPS competes with numerous large and well capitalized private and public firms in the construction and engineering services industry. These competitors include SNC-Lavalin Group, Inc., a diversified Canadian construction and engineering firm with over 21,000 employees generating over \$5.7 billion in annual revenues; CH2M HILL Companies, Ltd., a worldwide professional engineering services firm with approximately 24,000 employees and with annual revenues of approximately \$5.5 billion; Foster Wheeler AG., an international provider of engineering and construction services and steam generation products with over 13,000 employees and with annual revenues exceeding \$5.0 billion; Shaw Group Inc., a diversified firm with approximately 28,000 employees providing consulting, engineering, construction and facilities management services and with annual revenues of approximately \$7.2 billion; and Fluor Corporation, an international engineering, procurement, construction and maintenance company with over 36,000 employees and approximately \$22.0 billion in annual revenues. Other large competitors in this industry include Granite Construction Incorporated and business units of URS Corporation and EMCOR Group, Inc. GPS also may compete with regional construction services companies in the markets where projects are located.

In order to compete with these firms, we intend to emphasize our expertise in the alternative fuel industry as well as our proven track record developing facilities and services for traditional power energy systems. We believe that we are uniquely positioned to assist in the development and delivery of innovative renewable energy solutions as world energy needs grow and efforts to combat global warming increase.

**Customers**

GPS recognized approximately 96.5% and 54.3% of its net revenues under contracts with PG&E for the fiscal years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The annual net revenues related to PG&E represented approximately 87.2% and 49.7% of our consolidated net revenues for the fiscal years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. One other significant customer of the power industry services business for the year ended January 31, 2009 represented approximately 43.9% of the net revenues of this business segment for the year, and represented approximately 40.2% of our consolidated net revenues for the year. In the aggregate, four significant customers of the power industry services business represented approximately 90.7% of its net revenues for the year ended January 31, 2008. Individually, the four customers represented approximately 30.0%, 25.4%, 20.1% and 15.3% of the net revenues of this business segment for the year ended January 31, 2008, respectively, and they represented approximately 26.2%, 22.1%, 17.5% and 13.3% of our consolidated net revenues for the year, respectively.

**Contract Backlog**

Contract backlog represents the total accumulated value of projects awarded less the amount of net revenue recognized to date on contracts at a specific point in time. We believe contract backlog is an indicator of future net revenues and earnings potential. Although contract backlog reflects business that we consider to be firm, cancellations

or reductions may occur and may reduce contract backlog and the future revenues of GPS. At January 31, 2010, the Company had power industry service contracts for the construction of three facilities, representing a total contract backlog of \$300 million compared to a total contract backlog of \$456 million at January 31, 2009.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Regulation**

Our power industry service operations are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations including: licensing for contractors; building codes; permitting and inspection requirements applicable to construction projects; regulations relating to worker safety and environmental protection; and special bidding, procurement and security clearance requirements on government projects. Many state and local regulations governing construction require permits and licenses to be held by individuals who have passed an examination or met other requirements. We believe that we have all the licenses required to conduct our operations and that we are in substantial compliance with applicable regulatory requirements. Our failure to comply with applicable regulations could result in substantial fines or revocation of our operating licenses.

### **Telecommunications Infrastructure Services**

Through SMC, we provide telecommunications infrastructure services to our regional customers. The services include the structuring, cabling, terminations and connectivity that provide the physical transport for high speed data, voice, video and security networks. We provide both inside plant and outside plant cabling services. The net revenues of SMC, which represent our telecommunications infrastructure services business segment, were \$8.5 million for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2010, or 3.7% of our consolidated net revenues for the year.

The wide range of inside plant and premises wiring services that we provide to our customers include AutoCAD design; cable installation; equipment room and telecom closet design and build-out; data rack and cabinet installation; raceway design and installation; and cable identification, testing, labeling and documentation. These services are provided primarily to federal government facilities on a direct and subcontract basis. Such facilities typically require regular upgrades to their wiring systems in order to accommodate improvements in security, telecommunications and network capabilities.

Services provided to our outside premises customers include trenchless directional boring and other underground services, aerial cabling services, and the installation of buried cable and wire communication and electric lines. Our sophisticated directional boring system is electronically guided and can place underground networks of various sizes with little or no restoration required. We use our equipment and experienced personnel to perform trenching, plowing and back-hoeing for underground communication and power networks, to install a variety of network structures, and to restore work sites. We utilize aerial bucket trucks, digger derrick trucks and experienced personnel to complete a variety of aerial projects. These services are primarily provided to regional communications service providers, electric utilities and other commercial customers.

SMC may have seasonally weaker results in the first and fourth quarters of the fiscal year, and may produce stronger results in the second and third fiscal quarters. This seasonality is due to the effect of winter weather on construction and outside plant activities as well as reduced daylight hours and customer budgetary constraints. Certain customers tend to complete budgeted capital expenditures before the end of the calendar year, and postpone additional expenditures until the subsequent fiscal period.

### **Raw Materials**

Generally, our telecommunications infrastructure services customers supply most or all of the materials required for a particular job and we provide the personnel, tools and equipment to perform the installation services. However, with respect to a portion of our contracts, we may supply part or all of the materials required. In these instances, we are not dependent upon any one source for the materials that we customarily utilize to complete the project. We are not presently experiencing, nor do we anticipate experiencing, any difficulties in procuring an adequate supply of materials.

### **Competition**

SMC operates in the fragmented and competitive telecommunication and infrastructure services industry. We compete with service providers ranging from small regional companies, which service a single market, to larger firms servicing multiple regions, as well as large national and multi-national contractors. We believe that we compete favorably with the other companies in the telecommunication and utility infrastructure services industry.

We intend to emphasize our high quality reputation, outstanding customer base and highly motivated work force in competing for larger and more diverse contracts. We believe that our high quality and well maintained fleet of vehicles and construction machinery and equipment is essential to meet customers' needs for high quality and on-time

service. We are committed to invest in our repair and maintenance capabilities to maintain the quality and life of our equipment. Additionally, we invest annually in new vehicles and equipment.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Customers**

The most significant customers of SMC for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2010 were Electronic Data Systems Corporation ( EDS ), Southern Maryland Electrical Cooperative ( SMECO ) and Verizon Communications, Inc. ( Verizon ). In total, SMC recognized approximately 69.2% of its net revenues for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2010 under contracts with these customers. However, none of SMC 's customers accounted for net revenues in excess of 10% of our consolidated net revenues for the fiscal years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 or 2008.

### **Contract Backlog**

A major share of SMC 's revenue-producing activity is performed pursuant to work orders authorized by customers under master agreements. For example, a substantial number of the projects completed for EDS, SMECO and Verizon are completed under the terms of master agreements that include pre-negotiated labor rates or line item prices. At January, 31, 2010 and 2009, the values of unfulfilled work orders, customer purchase orders and the values of projects expected to be completed under current contracts were approximately \$1.9 million and \$5.0 million, respectively.

### **Regulation**

Our telecommunications infrastructure services operations are also subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations including: licensing for contractors; building codes; permitting and inspection requirements applicable to construction projects; regulations relating to worker safety and environmental protection; and special bidding, procurement and security clearance requirements on government projects. Many state and local regulations governing construction require permits and licenses to be held by individuals who have passed an examination or met other requirements. We believe that SMC has all the licenses required to conduct its operations and that we are in substantial compliance with applicable regulatory requirements. Our failure to comply with applicable regulations could result in substantial fines or revocation of our operating licenses.

### **Nutritional Products**

Through VLI, we provide research, development and contract manufacturing services focused on producing premium nutritional supplements, vitamins, and whole-food dietary supplements. These products, included in a separate category of foodstuffs called nutraceuticals, provide health benefits beyond standard nutrition such as positive physiological effects or the prevention or amelioration of chronic disease. Net revenues of the nutritional products business segment were approximately \$14.0 million for the year ended January 31, 2010, representing 6.0% of consolidated net revenues.

VLI has received an A rating from the Natural Products Association ( NPA ) for its compliance with Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), a certification that has been awarded to less than 1% of the 7,500 members of NPA. Our manufacturing capabilities include primarily full liquid production and powder production and blending. We typically arrange for the production of softgel and sublingual supplements with third parties. We believe that we are also one of the few vitamin manufacturers to offer homeopathic manufacturing and pasteurization. Our quality assurance program extends to all of our manufacturing processes including raw material selection, testing, FDA label compliance, and the maintenance of clinical lab conditions and advanced climate control. Quality control practices include a variety of techniques including in-process sampling, finished product inspections, stability studies and certified ingredient analyses. VLI strives to respond quickly and ably to new or changing customer product requirements. It is dedicated to the timely delivery of superior, high quality nutraceutical products.

### **Competition**

Our direct competition consists primarily of publicly and privately owned companies which tend to be highly fragmented in terms of both geographical market coverage and product categories. These companies compete with us on different levels in the development, manufacture, and marketing of nutritional supplements. Many of these companies have broader product lines and larger sales volume, are significantly larger than we are, have greater name recognition, financial, personnel, distribution, and other resources than we do, and may be better able to withstand volatile market conditions. There can be no assurance that our customers and potential customers will regard our products and services as sufficiently distinguishable from those of competitors. Our inability to compete successfully would have a material adverse effect on our business.

We believe our competitive advantages include our highly rated manufacturing processes, our capability to produce products in a variety of forms, our record of delivering quality products with minimum lead times, and our ability to

assist the customer with product research, development and design; the evaluation of packaging options; and marketing. We also believe that we are an efficient manufacturer of the products that are ordered. However, the market for nutritional products is highly competitive. As a result, we often encounter customers making buy decisions that are based, in large part, on price thus creating strong adverse pressure on VLI's gross margin percentages.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Customers**

VLI is primarily a contract manufacturer of nutritional products. The ability to quickly replace lost customers or to increase the product offerings sold to existing customers is hampered by the long sales cycle inherent in our type of business. The length of time between the beginning of contract negotiation and the first sale to a new customer could exceed six months including extended periods of product testing and acceptance.

Customers include brand merchandisers; network marketers; and catalog, internet, and infomercial distributors. These customers market VLI's products under various brand names directly to consumers, distributor networks or through vitamin/health food stores, pharmacies, mass merchandisers, and major retailers. Net revenues from the sale of products to the five largest customers of VLI represented approximately 74.4% of VLI's net revenues for the current year. The loss of any one of these customers could have a material adverse effect on this business. However, none of VLI's customers represented net revenues in excess of 10% of our consolidated net revenues for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 or 2008.

### **Raw Materials**

Raw materials used in VLI's products consist of herbal botanicals, minerals, nutrients, adaptogen extracts, and flavorings in dry powder and/or liquid form, capsules, finished pills and tablets and packaging components necessary for distribution of finished products. We purchase the raw materials and components from manufacturers in the United States and foreign countries. Although we purchase materials from reputable suppliers, we continuously evaluate and test samples, obtain certificates of analysis, material safety data sheets, and supporting research and documentation of active and inactive ingredients. We have not experienced difficulty in obtaining adequate sources of supply, and generally a number of suppliers are available for most raw materials. Although we cannot assure that adequate sources will continue to be available, we believe we should be able to secure sufficient raw materials in the future.

### **Order Backlog**

Customers submit purchase orders to VLI that schedule the delivery of certain quantities of specified products at pre-negotiated prices. Typically, the product deliveries are scheduled for dates that are within 3 to 4 months from the date of the order. At January, 31, 2010 and 2009, the values of unfulfilled purchase orders that we believe to be firm were approximately \$2.3 million and \$1.5 million, respectively.

### **Regulation**

The formulation, manufacturing, packaging, labeling, advertising, distribution and sale of our nutraceutical products are subject to regulation by one or more federal agencies, including the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the Federal Trade Commission (FTC), the Consumer Product Safety Commission, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and also by various agencies of the states, localities and foreign countries in which our products are sold. In particular, the FDA, pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FDCA), regulates the formulation, manufacturing, packaging, labeling, distribution and sale of dietary supplements, including vitamins, minerals and herbs, and of over-the-counter (OTC) drugs, while the FTC has jurisdiction to regulate advertising of these products, and the Postal Service regulates advertising claims with respect to such products sold by mail order. The FDCA has been amended several times with respect to dietary supplements, most recently by the Nutrition Labeling and Education Act of 1990 and the Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act of 1994. Our inability to comply with these federal regulations may result in, among other things, injunctions, product withdrawals, recalls, product seizures, fines, and criminal prosecutions.

In addition, our nutraceutical products are also subject to regulations under various state and local laws that include provisions governing, among other things, the formulation, manufacturing, packaging, labeling, advertising, and distribution of dietary supplements and OTC drugs.



**Table of Contents**

**Safety, Risk Management, Insurance and Performance Bonds**

We are committed to ensuring that the employees of each of our businesses perform their work in a safe environment. We regularly communicate with our employees to promote safety and to instill safe work habits. GPS and SMC each have an experienced full time safety director committed to ensuring a safe work place, as well as compliance with applicable contracts, insurance and local and environmental laws.

Contracts in the power and telecommunication infrastructure services industries may require performance bonds or other means of financial assurance to secure contractual performance. If we are unable to obtain surety bonds or letters of credit in sufficient amounts or at acceptable rates, we might be precluded from entering into additional contracts with certain of our customers. We have a \$5.0 million irrevocable letter of credit in place as collateral to support a bonding commitment.

**Employees**

The total number of personnel employed by us is subject to seasonal fluctuations, the volume of construction in progress and the relative amount of work performed by subcontractors. In addition, for the completion of specific construction projects, we may employ union craft workers. At January 31, 2010, we had approximately 947 employees, all of whom were full-time including approximately 680 union members. We believe that our employee relations are good.

**Materials Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission**

The public may read any materials that we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) at the SEC's public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the public reference room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC, including us, at <http://www.sec.gov>. We maintain a website on the Internet at [www.arganinc.com](http://www.arganinc.com). Information on our website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Copies of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, our Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such materials with, or furnish them to, the SEC without charge upon written request to:

Argan, Inc.

Attention: Corporate Secretary

One Church Street, Suite 201

Rockville, Maryland 20850

(301) 315-0027

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.**

Investing in our securities involves a high degree of risk. Our business, financial position and future results of operations may be impacted in a materially adverse manner by risks associated with the execution of our strategic plan and the creation of a profitable and cash-flow positive business in a challenging economic environment, our ability to obtain capital or to obtain capital on terms acceptable to us, the successful integration of acquired companies into our consolidated operations, our ability to successfully manage diverse operations remotely located, our ability to successfully compete in highly competitive industries, the successful resolution of ongoing litigation, our dependence upon key managers and employees and our ability to retain them, and potential fluctuations in quarterly operating results, among other risks. Before investing in our securities, please consider the risks summarized in this paragraph and those risks discussed below. Our future results may also be impacted by other risk factors listed from time to time in our future filings with the SEC, including, but not limited to, our Annual Reports on Form 10-K and our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

**General Risks Relating to Our Company**

**The weak economic recovery may result in reduced demand for our products and services, and may cause our financial position to deteriorate.**

Our customers may be impacted by the weak economic recovery in the U.S. from a depressed housing market, constraints in the credit market and high unemployment. They may delay, curtail or cancel proposed and existing projects; thus decreasing the overall demand for our products and services and adversely impacting our liquidity. In addition, project owners may continue to experience difficulty in raising capital for the construction of power-generation plants and renewable fuel production facilities due to substantial limitations on the availability of credit and other uncertainties in the credit markets. Customers may be reluctant to establish new supply relationships as the condition of the economy causes demand for their products to be weak. In general, if overall economic conditions do not improve steadily, the demand for our products and services may be adversely affected. In addition, certain customers may find it increasingly difficult to pay invoices for our products and services on a timely basis, which could lead to an increase in our accounts receivable and/or to increased write-offs of uncollectible invoices. Any inability to collect our invoices when due could have adverse impacts on our future results of operations and liquidity.

**We have incurred losses in the past; we may experience additional losses in the future.**

The Company has incurred losses in the past. Although we reported consolidated net income of approximately \$7.0 million and \$10.0 million for our fiscal years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, we incurred a net loss of approximately \$3.2 million for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2008. Additional future losses may occur in one or more segments of our business. If net losses were to recur, we could experience cash flow and liquidity shortfalls having adverse affects on our ability to successfully execute our business plans.

**Our dependence on one or a few customers could adversely affect us.**

The size of the energy plant construction projects of our power industry services segment frequently results in one or a few project owners contributing a substantial portion of our consolidated net revenues as described in Note 18 to our consolidated financial statements. In addition, our telecommunications infrastructure business has been based to a significant degree on our relationships with three primary customers and the net sales of our nutritional products business are derived from orders placed by a few key customers. Similarly, our backlog of business at any time frequently reflects contracts and unfilled purchase orders received from only a few major customers. Should we fail to replace projects that are completed by GPS with new projects or should we lose any one of the few key customers of SMC or VLI, future net revenues and profits may be adversely affected.

**Our dependence on large construction contracts may result in uneven quarterly financial results.**

Our power industry service activities in any one fiscal quarter are typically concentrated on a few large construction projects for which we use the percentage-of-completion accounting method to determine contract revenues. To a substantial extent, construction contract revenues are recognized as services are provided as measured by the amount of costs incurred. As the timing of equipment purchases, subcontractor services and other contract events may not be evenly distributed over the lives of our contracts, the amount of total contract costs may vary from quarter to quarter, creating uneven amounts of quarterly contract net revenues. In addition, the timing of contract commencements and

completions may exacerbate the uneven pattern. As a result of the foregoing, future amounts of consolidated net revenues, cash flow from operations, net income and earnings per share reported on a quarterly basis may vary in an uneven pattern and may not be indicative of the operating results expected for any other quarter or for an entire fiscal year, thus rendering consecutive quarter comparisons of our consolidated operating results a less meaningful way to assess the growth of our business.

**Table of Contents**

**Lawsuits could adversely affect our business.**

From time to time, we, our directors and/or certain of our current officers are named as a party to lawsuits. A discussion of our material lawsuits appears in Item 3 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements. It is not possible at this time to predict the likely outcome of these actions with certainty, and an adverse result in any of these lawsuits could have a material adverse effect on us. Litigation can involve complex factual and legal questions and its outcome is uncertain. Any claim that is successfully asserted against us could result in significant damage claims and other losses. Even if we were to prevail, any litigation could be costly and time-consuming and would divert the attention of our management and key personnel from our business operations, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**We may be unsuccessful at generating internal growth which could result in an overall decline in our business.**

Our ability to expand by achieving profitable organic growth of the Company will be affected by, among other factors, our success in:

- expanding the range of services and products we offer to customers in order to address their evolving needs;
- attracting new customers;
- hiring and retaining employees; and
- controlling operating and overhead expenses.

Many of the factors affecting our ability to generate internal growth may be beyond our control. Our strategies may not be successful and we may not be able to generate cash flow sufficient to fund our operations and to support internal growth. Our inability to achieve internal growth could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

**Future acquisitions and/or investments may not occur which could limit the growth of our business.**

We are a holding company with no operations other than our investments in GPS, SMC, and VLI. The successful execution of our overall business plan could be based, in part, on our making additional acquisitions and/or investments that would provide positive cash flow to us and value to our stockholders. Additional companies meeting these criterion, and that provide products and/or services to growth industries and are available for purchase at attractive prices may be difficult to find. Further, efforts to conduct due diligence investigations of attractive target companies and to negotiate acquisition and related agreements may not be successful.

For example, during the current year, we announced that we had signed a nonbinding letter of intent to purchase United American Steel Constructors, Inc. ( UNAMSCO ), a private company operating affiliated construction companies specializing in the erection of steel structures. UNAMSCO reported annual revenues of approximately \$84 million for its fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 with solid margins. However, after a multi-month period of due diligence and negotiation, the discussions were discontinued and the parties mutually terminated the related nonbinding letter of intent on February 16, 2010.

We cannot readily predict the timing or size of our acquisition efforts and therefore the capital we will need for these efforts. However, it is likely that any potential future acquisition or strategic investment transaction would require the use of cash and/or shares of our common stock as components of the purchase price. Using cash for acquisitions may limit our financial flexibility and make us more likely to seek additional capital through future debt or equity financings. Our ability to obtain such additional financing in the future may depend upon prevailing capital market conditions, the strength of our future operating results and financial condition as well as conditions in our business; and those factors may affect our efforts to arrange additional financing on terms that are acceptable to us. Our ability to use shares of our common stock as future acquisition consideration may be limited by a variety of factors, including the future market price of shares of our common stock and a potential seller's assessment of the liquidity of our common stock. If adequate funds or the use of our common stock are not available to us, or are not available on acceptable terms, we may not be able to take advantage of acquisitions or other opportunities, to make future investments, or to respond to competitive challenges.

We have pledged the majority of our assets to secure our financing arrangements with Bank of America (the Bank ). The Bank's consent is required for acquisitions, divestitures, the participation in joint ventures and certain other investments. There can be no assurance that our Bank will consent to future transactions. If we are unable to obtain such consents, our ability to consummate acquisitions, to make investments or to enter into other arrangements for the

purpose of growing our business may be limited.

**Table of Contents**

**We may not be able to comply with certain of our debt covenants which may interfere with our ability to successfully execute our business plan.**

The financing arrangements with our Bank require that we maintain compliance with certain financial covenants at each fiscal quarter-end and include an acceleration clause which allows the Bank to declare amounts outstanding under the debt arrangements due and payable if it determines in good faith that a material adverse change has occurred in our financial condition or that of any of our subsidiaries.

We are currently in compliance with our debt covenants, but there can be no assurance that we will continue to be in compliance. If our performance does not result in compliance with any of our financial covenants, or if the Bank seeks to exercise its rights under the acceleration clause referred to above, we would seek to modify the financing arrangements, but there can be no assurance that the Bank would not exercise its rights and remedies under the debt arrangements, including accelerating payments of all outstanding senior debt. These payments would have a significantly adverse impact on our liquidity and our ability to obtain additional capital thereby jeopardizing our ability to successfully execute our business plan.

**The integration of acquired companies may not be successful.**

Even if we do complete acquisitions in the future, we may not be able to successfully integrate such acquired companies with our other operations without substantial costs, delays or other operational or financial problems. Integrating acquired companies involves a number of special risks which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations, including:

- failure of acquired companies to achieve the results we expect;
- diversion of management's attention from operational matters;
- difficulties integrating the operations and personnel of acquired companies;
- inability to retain key personnel of acquired companies;
- risks associated with unanticipated events or liabilities;
- the potential disruption of our business; and
- the difficulties of maintaining uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies.

If one of our acquired companies suffers customer dissatisfaction or performance problems, the reputation of our entire company could be materially and adversely affected. In addition, future acquisitions could result in issuances of equity securities that would reduce our stockholders' ownership interest, the incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities, deferred stock-based compensation or expenses related to the valuation of goodwill or other intangible assets and the incurrence of large, immediate write-offs.

**Our results of operations could be adversely affected as a result of additional impairment losses related to goodwill and other purchased intangible assets.**

When we acquire a business, we record goodwill equal to the excess amount paid for the business, including liabilities assumed, over the fair value of the net assets of the acquired business. Generally accepted accounting principles require that all business combinations be accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and that certain intangible assets acquired in a business combination be recognized as assets apart from goodwill. The balances of goodwill and other intangible assets that have indefinite useful lives are not amortized, but instead must be tested at least annually for impairment. The amounts of intangible assets that do have finite lives are amortized over their useful lives. However, should poor performance or other conditions indicate that the carrying value of a business or long-lived asset may have suffered impairment, a determination of fair value is required to be performed in the period that such conditions are noted. If the carrying value of a business or of an individual purchased intangible asset is found to exceed the corresponding fair value, an impairment loss is recorded. The aggregate carrying amount of goodwill, other purchased intangible assets with indefinite lives and long lived purchased intangible assets included in our consolidated balance sheet as of January 31, 2010 was approximately \$21.7 million, or approximately 18.1% of total consolidated assets and 24.6% of consolidated net assets.

We perform annual impairment assessments of the carrying values of goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets as of November 1. Assessments of these assets as well as our long-lived assets may be conducted more frequently if we identify indications of impairment. We completed a series of impairment assessments at different points over the last three years and recorded impairment losses reflecting the declining financial performances of VLI

and SMC. The aggregate impairment losses relating to goodwill, other purchased intangible assets and the fixed assets of these businesses were \$3.1 million and \$6.8 million in the fiscal years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These losses were reflected in the reported consolidated operating results for the corresponding fiscal years and essentially eliminated the carrying values of the corresponding assets. Should the operating results of GPS or any future acquired company experience unexpected deterioration, we could be required to record additional significant impairment losses related to purchased intangible assets. Impairment losses, if any, would be recognized as operating expenses and would adversely affect future profitability.

**Table of Contents**

**Our business growth could outpace the capabilities of our senior management which could adversely affect our ability to complete the execution of our business plan.**

We cannot be certain that our current management team will be adequate to support our operations as they expand. Future growth could impose significant additional responsibilities on members of our senior management, including the need to recruit and integrate new senior level managers and executives. We cannot be certain that we can recruit and retain such additional managers and executives. To the extent that we are unable to attract and retain additional qualified management members in order to manage our growth effectively, we may not be able to expand our operations or execute our business plan. Our financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected as a result.

**Loss of key personnel could prevent us from effectively managing our business.**

Our future success is substantially dependent on the continued service and performance of our current executive team and the senior management members of our businesses. We cannot be certain that any such individual will continue in such capacity or continue to perform at a high level for any particular period of time. Our ability to operate productively and profitably, particularly in the power services industry, may also be limited by our ability to attract, employ, retain and train skilled personnel necessary to meet our future requirements. We cannot be certain that we will be able to maintain management teams and an adequate skilled labor force necessary to operate efficiently and to support our growth strategy or that our labor expenses will not increase as a result of a shortage in the supply of these skilled personnel. Labor shortages or increased labor costs could impair our ability to maintain our business or grow our net revenues. The loss of key personnel, or the inability to hire and retain qualified employees in the future, could negatively impact our ability to manage our business.

**Our actual business and financial results could differ from the estimates and assumptions that we use to prepare our consolidated financial statements, which may reduce our profits.**

To prepare consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, we are required to make estimates and assumptions as of the date of the financial statements, which affect the reported values of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. For example, we may recognize revenue over the life of a contract based on the proportion of costs incurred to date compared to the total costs estimated to be incurred for the entire project. Areas requiring significant estimates by our management include:

the application of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting and revenue recognition on contracts, change orders and contract claims;

the valuation of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in connection with business combinations;

the value of goodwill and recoverability of other purchased intangible assets;

provisions for income taxes and related valuation allowances;

accruals for estimated liabilities, including litigation reserves;

provisions for uncollectible receivables, obsolete and overstocked inventories, and recoveries of costs from subcontractors, vendors and others; and

the valuation of stock-based compensation expense.

Our actual business and financial results could differ from those estimates, which may reduce our profits.

**Our employees work on projects that are inherently dangerous and a failure to maintain a safe work site could result in significant losses.**

We often work on large-scale and complex projects, frequently in geographically remote locations. Our project sites can place our employees and others near large and/or mechanized equipment, moving vehicles, dangerous processes or highly regulated materials, and in challenging environments. Safety is a primary focus of our business and is



critical to our reputation. Often, we are responsible for safety on the project sites where we work. Many of our clients require that we meet certain safety criteria to be eligible to bid on contracts. We maintain programs with the primary purpose of implementing effective health, safety and environmental procedures throughout our Company. If we fail to implement appropriate safety procedures and/or if our procedures fail, our employees or others may suffer injuries. The failure to comply with such procedures, client contracts or applicable regulations could subject us to losses and liability, and adversely impact our ability to obtain projects in the future.

**Table of Contents**

**If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results or prevent fraud. As a result, investors could lose confidence in our financial reporting, which would harm our business and the trading price of our common stock.**

Effective internal controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and prevent fraud. If we cannot provide reliable financial reports or prevent fraud, our operating results could be harmed. We devote significant attention to establishing and maintaining effective internal controls. Implementing changes to our internal controls required compliance training of our officers and employees. Over the last two years, substantial costs have been incurred and significant efforts have been expended in order to evaluate, test and remediate our internal controls over financial reporting. We cannot be certain that these measures and future measures will ensure that we will successfully implement and maintain adequate controls over our financial reporting processes and related reporting requirements. Any failure to implement required new or improved controls or difficulties encountered in their implementation could affect our operating results or cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations and could result in a breach of a covenant in our Bank financing arrangements in future periods. Ineffective internal controls could also cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, which could have a negative effect on the market price of our common stock.

**We rely on information systems to conduct our business, and failure to protect these systems against security breaches could adversely affect our business and results of operations. Additionally, if these systems fail or become unavailable for any significant period of time, our business could be harmed.**

The efficient operation of our business is dependent on computer hardware and software systems. Information systems are vulnerable to operational malfunctions and security breaches by computer hackers and cyber terrorists. We rely on industry accepted security measures and technology to securely maintain confidential and proprietary information maintained on our information systems. However, these measures and technology may not adequately prevent unanticipated downtime or security breaches. The unavailability of the information systems or the failure of these systems to perform as anticipated for any reason could disrupt our business and could result in decreased performance and increased overhead costs, causing our business and results of operations to suffer. Any significant interruption or failure of our information systems or any significant breach of security could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

**Specific Risks Relating to Our Power Industry Services**

**Failure to successfully operate our power industry services business will adversely affect us.**

The operations of our power industry services business conducted by GPS represent a significant portion of our net revenues and profits. The net revenues of this business segment were \$209.8 million for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2010, representing 90.3% of consolidated net revenues. Income from these operations for the current fiscal year was \$14.4 million. Consolidated income from operations for the current year was \$8.6 million, reflecting the operating loss incurred by our nutritional products business and corporate expenses. Our inability to successfully manage and grow our power industry services business will adversely affect our consolidated operating results and financial condition.

**Intense competition in the engineering and construction industry could reduce our market share and profits.**

We serve markets that are highly competitive and in which a large number of multinational companies compete such as Fluor Corporation, The Shaw Group Inc., URS Corporation (the Washington Division), SNC Lavalin Group, Inc., Foster Wheeler AG, CH2M HILL Companies, Ltd., and EMCOR Group, Inc. In particular, the engineering and construction markets are highly competitive and require substantial resources and capital investment in equipment, technology and skilled personnel. Competition also places downward pressure on our contract prices and profit margins. Intense competition is expected to continue in these markets, presenting us with significant challenges in our ability to maintain strong growth rates and acceptable profit margins. If we are unable to meet these competitive challenges and replace completed projects with new customers or projects, we could lose market share to our competitors and our business could be materially adversely affected.

**Interruption of power plant construction projects could adversely affect future results of operations.**

At any time, GPS has a limited number of construction contracts. For example, one customer represented approximately 96.5% of the net revenues of the power industry services business for the fiscal year ended January 31,

2010. Should any unexpected suspension, termination or delay of the work under such contracts occur, our results of operations may be materially and adversely affected.

**Table of Contents****Our backlog is subject to unexpected adjustments, delays and cancellations, and may be an uncertain indicator of future net revenues.**

As of January 31, 2010, our construction contract backlog was approximately \$300 million including approximately \$210 million related to the Sentinel Project that was awarded to GPS by CPV in July 2008. We expect that our performance of the work contemplated by the contract backlog of GPS will earn a substantial portion of this potential source of net revenues in the fiscal year ending January 31, 2011. However, GPS has received only a limited notice to proceed on the Sentinel Project. Projects may remain included in our backlog for an extended period of time. In addition, project cancellations or scope adjustments may occur with respect to contracts reflected in our backlog that could reduce the dollar amount of our backlog and the net revenues and profits that we actually earn. We cannot guarantee that the net revenues projected based on our backlog at January 31, 2010 will be realized or profitable.

**The nature of our engineering and construction business exposes us to potential liability claims and contract disputes which may reduce our profits.**

We engage in engineering and construction activities for large energy plant facilities where design, construction or systems failures can result in substantial injury or damage to third parties. In addition, the nature of our business results in clients, subcontractors and vendors occasionally presenting claims against us for recovery of cost they incurred in excess of what they expected to incur, or for which they believe they are not contractually liable. We have been and may in the future be named as a defendant in legal proceedings where parties may make a claim for damages or other remedies with respect to our projects or other matters. These claims generally arise in the normal course of our business.

Note 12 to our accompanying consolidated financial statements describes a matter which includes a claim in the approximate amount of \$6.8 million that a subcontractor has made against a payment bond issued on our behalf related to a cancelled construction project. Assurance cannot be provided that we will be successful in defending against this claim. It is reasonably possible that resolution of the matters discussed above could occur in a manner or with a decision unfavorable to us. Any resulting loss could have a material negative affect on our consolidated results of operations in a future reporting period. No provision for loss, if any, has been recorded in the consolidated financial statements related to this matter as of January 31, 2010. If new facts become known in the future indicating that it is probable that a loss has been incurred by us and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated by us, the impact of the change will be reflected in the consolidated financial statements at that time.

In accordance with customary industry practices, we maintain insurance coverage against some, but not all, potential losses in order to protect against the risks we face. When it is determined that we have liability, we may not be covered by insurance or, if covered, the dollar amount of any liability may exceed our policy limits. Further, we may elect not to carry insurance if our management believes that the cost of available insurance is excessive relative to the risks presented. In addition, we cannot insure fully against pollution and environmental risks. Our professional liability coverage is on a claims-made basis covering only claims actually made during the policy period currently in effect. In addition, even where insurance is maintained for such exposures, the policies have deductibles resulting in our assuming exposure for a layer of coverage with respect to any such claims. Any liability not covered by our insurance, in excess of our insurance limits or, if covered by insurance but subject to a high deductible, could result in a significant loss for us, which claims may reduce our future profits and cash available for operations.

In the future, we may bring claims against project owners for additional costs exceeding the contract price or for amounts not included in the original contract price. These types of claims occur due to matters such as owner-caused delays or changes from the initial project scope, both of which may result in additional cost. Often, these claims can be the subject of lengthy arbitration or litigation proceedings, and it is difficult to accurately predict when these claims will be fully resolved. When these types of events occur and unresolved claims are pending, we have used working capital in projects to cover cost overruns pending the resolution of the relevant claims. A failure to promptly recover on these types of claims could have a negative impact on our liquidity and profitability.

**If we guarantee the timely completion or performance standards of a project, we could incur additional costs to cover our guarantee obligations.**

In some instances and in many of our fixed price contracts, we guarantee a customer that we will complete a project by a scheduled date. We sometimes provide that the project, when completed, will also achieve certain performance

standards. If we subsequently fail to complete the project as scheduled, or if the project subsequently fails to meet guaranteed performance standards, we may be held responsible for cost impacts to the customer resulting from any delay or modifications to the plant in order to achieve the performance standards, generally in the form of contractually agreed-upon liquidated damages. If these events would occur, the total costs of the project would exceed our original estimate, and we could experience reduced profits or a loss for that project.

**Table of Contents**

**If financing for new energy plants is unavailable, construction of such plants may not occur.**

Traditional gas-fired power plants have been constructed typically by large utility companies. However, to a large extent, the construction of new energy plants, including alternative and renewable energy facilities, is conducted by private investment groups. For example, investors in the ownership of certain biodiesel plants constructed by us included The Carlyle Group and Goldman Sachs. The owner of the Sentinel project described above is Competitive Power Ventures, Inc. which is owned by Warburg Pincus, certain individual investors and members of its management team. The challenge for these types of project owners to secure and maintain financing in the midst of the current credit crisis continues to be significant. Should debt financing for the construction of new energy facilities, including alternative or renewable energy plants, not be available, investors may not be able to invest in such projects, thereby adversely affecting the likelihood that GPS or GRP will obtain contracts to construct such plants.

**The inability of our customers to receive or to avoid delay in receiving the applicable regulatory and environmental approvals relating to projects may result in lost of postponed net revenues for us.**

The commencement and/or execution of many of the construction projects performed by our power industry services segment are subject to numerous regulatory permitting processes. Applications for permits may be opposed by individuals or environmental groups, resulting in delays and possible non-issuance of the permits. There are no assurances that our customers will obtain the necessary permits for these projects, or that the necessary permits will be obtained in order to allow construction work to proceed as scheduled. Failure to commence or complete construction work as anticipated could have material adverse impacts on our future net revenues, profits and cash flows from operations.

**Our use of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting could result in a reduction or reversal of previously recorded net revenues or profits.**

Under our accounting procedures, we measure and recognize a large portion of our net revenues under the percentage-of-completion accounting methodology. This methodology allows us to recognize revenues and contract profits ratably over the life of a contract by comparing the amount of the costs incurred to date against the total amount of costs expected to be incurred. The effects of revisions to revenues and estimated costs are recorded when the amounts are known and can be reasonably estimated, and these revisions can occur at any time and could be material. Given the uncertainties associated with these types of contracts, it is possible for actual costs to vary from estimates previously made, which may result in reductions or reversals of previously recorded net revenues and profits.

**Future bonding requirements may adversely affect our ability to compete for new energy plant construction projects.**

Our construction contracts frequently require that we obtain payment and performance bonds from surety companies on behalf of our customers as a condition to the award of such contracts. Surety market conditions have in the last few years become more difficult as a result of significant losses incurred by many surety companies, both in the construction industry as well as in certain large corporate bankruptcies. Consequently, less overall bonding capacity is available in the market than in the past, and surety bonds have become more expensive and restrictive. Historically, we have had a strong bonding capacity but, under standard terms in the surety market, surety companies issue bonds on a project-by-project basis and can decline to issue bonds at any time or require the posting of additional collateral as a condition to issuing any bonds.

Current or future market conditions, changes in our surety's assessment of its own operating and financial risk or larger future projects could cause our surety company to decline to issue, or substantially reduce the amount of, bonds for our work and could increase our bonding costs. These actions can be taken on short notice. If our surety company were to limit or eliminate our access to bonding, our alternatives would include seeking bonding capacity from other surety companies, joint venturing with other construction firms, increasing business with clients that do not require bonds and posting other forms of collateral for project performance, such as letters of credit, or cash. We may be unable to make alternative arrangements in a timely manner, on acceptable terms, or at all. Accordingly, if we were to experience an interruption, reduction or other alteration in the availability of bonding capacity, we may be unable to compete for or work on certain projects.



**Table of Contents**

**As we bear the risk of cost overruns in the completion of our construction contracts, we may experience reduced profits or, in some cases, losses under these contracts if actual costs exceed our estimates.**

We conduct our business under various types of contractual arrangements including fixed price contracts. We bear a significant portion of the risk for cost overruns on these types of contracts where contract prices are established in part on cost and scheduling estimates. Our estimates may be based on a number of assumptions about future economic conditions and the future prices and availability of labor, equipment and materials, and other exigencies. From time to time, we may also assume a project's technical risk, which means that we may have to satisfy certain technical requirements of a project despite the fact that at the time of project award, we may not have previously produced the system or product in question. Unexpected or increased costs may occur due to the following factors among others:

- shortages of skilled labor, materials and energy plant equipment including power turbines;
- unanticipated escalation in the price of construction commodities;
- unscheduled delays in the delivery of ordered materials and equipment;
- engineering problems, including those relating to the commissioning of newly designed equipment;
- declines in the productivity of construction workers;
- work stoppages;
- weather interference;
- inability to develop or non-acceptance of new technologies to produce alternative fuel sources; and
- the difficulty in obtaining necessary permits or approvals.

If our estimates prove inaccurate, or circumstances change, cost overruns may occur and we could experience reduced profits, or in some cases, incur a loss on a particular project.

**If we are unable to collect amounts billed to project owners as scheduled, our cash flows may be materially and adversely affected.**

Many of our contracts require us to satisfy specified design, engineering, procurement or construction milestones in order to receive payment for work completed or equipment or supplies procured prior to achievement of the applicable contract milestone. As a result, under these types of arrangements, we may incur significant costs or perform significant amounts of services prior to receipt of payment. If the customer determines not to proceed with the completion of the project, delays in making payment of billed amounts or defaults on its payment obligations, we may face delays or other difficulties in collecting payment of amounts due to us for the costs previously incurred or for the amounts previously expended to purchase equipment or supplies. Such problems may impact the planned cash flows of affected projects and result in unanticipated reductions in the amounts of future cash flows from operations.

**Our dependence upon third parties to complete many of our contracts may adversely affect our performance under future energy plant construction contracts.**

Much of the work performed under our energy plant construction contracts is actually performed by third-party subcontractors we hire. We also rely on third-party equipment manufacturers or suppliers to provide much of the equipment used for our energy projects. If we are unable to hire qualified subcontractors or find qualified equipment manufacturers or suppliers, our ability to successfully complete a project could be impaired. If the amount we are required to pay for subcontractors or equipment and supplies exceeds what we have estimated, especially when we are operating under a lump sum or a fixed-price type construction contract, we may suffer losses on these contracts. If a supplier, manufacturer or subcontractor fails to provide supplies, equipment or services as required under a negotiated contract for any reason, we may be required to source these supplies, equipment or services on a delayed basis or at a higher price than anticipated which could impact contract profitability in an adverse manner.

**If the development of renewable energy sources does not occur, the demand for our construction services could decline.**

There are many provisions included in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 intended to benefit renewable energy. In addition, over half of the states in the U.S. have passed legislation requiring that utilities include a percentage of renewable energy in the mix of power they generate and buy. These future percentages may be as high as 20%, and the requirements are contributing to the increased momentum of efforts to develop sources of alternative renewable energy, including wind, solar, water, geothermal and biofuels. Should these government requirements fail to be extended or should they be repealed, the pace of the development of alternative renewable energy sources may



slow, thereby reducing the future opportunities for GPS to construct such plants.

**We could be subject to compliance with environmental, health and safety laws and regulations that would add costs to our business.**

Our operations are subject to compliance with federal, state and local environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, including those relating to discharges to air, water and land, the handling and disposal of solid and hazardous waste, and the cleanup of properties affected by hazardous substances. Certain environmental laws impose substantial penalties for non-compliance and others, such as the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, impose strict, retroactive, joint and several liability upon persons responsible for releases of hazardous substances. We continually evaluate whether we must take additional steps to ensure compliance with environmental laws, however, there can be no assurance that these requirements will not change and that compliance will not adversely affect our operations in the future.

**Table of Contents**

**Specific Risks Relating to Our Telecommunications Infrastructure Services Business**

**Loss of a significant customer could adversely affect our SMC business.**

Our largest customers assign work to us on a project-by-project basis under master service agreements. Under these agreements, the customers often have no obligation to assign work to us. Furthermore, a customer typically may cancel their contract on short notice, usually 30 to 90 days, even if we are not in default under the contract. The failure to replace any unexpected reduction in work performed for our largest customers or the loss of any one of them as a significant customer could have a material adverse effect on our business, unless the loss is offset by the addition of a new customer or an increase in the amount of services provided to other customers.

**If we fail to compete successfully against current or future competitors, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.**

We operate in highly competitive markets. We compete with service providers ranging from small regional companies which service a single market, to larger firms servicing multiple regions, as well as large national and multi-national entities. In addition, there are few barriers to entry in the telecommunications infrastructure industry. As a result, any organization that has adequate financial resources and access to technical expertise may become one of our competitors.

Competition in the telecommunications infrastructure industry depends on a number of factors, including price. Certain of our competitors may have lower overhead cost structures than we do and may, therefore, be able to provide their services at lower rates than we can. In addition, some of our competitors are larger and have significantly greater financial resources than we do. Our competitors may develop the expertise, experience and resources to provide services that are superior in price and quality to our services. Similarly, we may not be able to maintain or enhance our competitive position within our industry. We may also face competition from the in-house service organizations of our existing or prospective customers.

A significant portion of our business involves providing services, directly or indirectly as a subcontractor, to the federal government under government contracts. The federal government may limit the competitive bidding on any contract under a small business or minority set-aside, in which bidding is limited to companies meeting the criteria for a small business or minority business, respectively. We are currently qualified as a small business concern, but not a minority business.

We may not be able to compete successfully against our competitors in the future. If we fail to compete successfully against our current or future competitors, our business, financial condition, and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

**Rapid technological change and/or customer consolidations could reduce the demand for the telecommunication services we provide.**

The telecommunications infrastructure industry is undergoing rapid change as a result of technological advances that could in certain cases reduce the demand for our services or otherwise negatively impact our business. New or developing technologies could displace the wireline systems used for voice, video and data transmissions, and improvements in existing technology may allow telecommunications companies to significantly improve their networks without physically upgrading them. In addition, consolidation, competition or capital constraints in the utility, telecommunications or computer networking industries may result in reduced spending or the loss of one or more of our customers.

**Our substantial dependence upon fixed price contracts may expose us to losses in the event that we fail to accurately estimate the costs that we will incur to complete such projects.**

We currently generate, and expect to continue to generate, a significant portion of our net revenues under fixed price contracts. We must estimate the costs of completing a particular project to bid for these fixed price contracts. Although historically we have been able to estimate costs accurately, the cost of labor and materials may, from time to time, vary from costs originally estimated. These variations, along with other risks inherent in performing fixed price contracts, may cause actual net revenues and gross profits for a project to differ from those we originally estimated and could result in reduced profitability or losses on projects. Depending upon the size of a particular project, variations from the estimated contract costs could have a significant impact on our operating results for any fiscal quarter or year.

**Compliance with government regulations may increase the costs of our operations and expose us to substantial civil and criminal penalties in the event that we violate applicable law.**

We provide, either directly as a contractor or indirectly as a sub-contractor, products and services to the federal government under government contracts. United States government contracts and related customer orders subject us to various laws and regulations governing federal government contractors and subcontractors, which generally are more restrictive than for non-government contractors. These include subjecting us to examinations by government auditors and investigators, from time to time, to ensure compliance and to review costs. Violations may result in costs disallowed, and substantial civil or criminal liabilities (including, in severe cases, denial of future contracts). A loss or interruption in our ability to perform work for the federal government would have a material adverse effect on our business.

**Table of Contents**

**Specific Risks Relating To Our Nutritional Products Business**

**The inability to replace lost customer business will continue to adversely affect operating results and financial condition.**

VLI is primarily a contract manufacturer of nutritional products. The ability to quickly replace lost customers or to increase the product offerings sold to existing customers is hampered by the long sales cycle inherent in our type of business. The length of time between the beginning of contract negotiation and the first sale to a new customer could exceed six months including extended periods of product testing and acceptance. Accordingly, we do not expect this business to recover quickly despite the existence of new business prospects. Further, the loss of any existing customers or unexpected reductions in the levels of sales to such customers would exacerbate the negative and material effects of the business reductions experienced since fiscal year 2006.

**Negative publicity about us, our products and/or our industry could cause our business to suffer.**

Our business depends, in part, upon the public's belief in the safety and quality of our products. Although many of the ingredients in our products are vitamins, minerals, herbs and other substances for which there is a long history of human consumption, some of our products contain innovative ingredients or combinations of ingredients. Although we test the formulation and production of our products and we believe that all of our products are safe when used as directed, there may be little long-term experience with human consumption of certain of these product ingredients or combinations thereof. Further, we have not sponsored or conducted clinical studies on the effects of human consumption. Any adverse publicity about the safety or quality of our products or our competitors' products, whether or not accurate, could negatively affect the public's perception of us, our products, and/or our industry, resulting in a significant decline in the demand for our products and our future operating results. Our business and products could be adversely affected by negative publicity regarding, among other things:

- the nutritional supplements industry;
- competitors;
- the safety and quality of our products and ingredients; and
- regulatory investigations of our products or competitors' products.

**Our inability to respond to changing consumer demands and preferences could adversely affect our business.**

The nutritional industry is subject to rapidly changing consumer demands and preferences. There can be no assurance that customers will continue to favor the products provided and manufactured by us. In addition, products that gain wide acceptance with consumers may result in a greater number of competitors entering the market which could result in downward price pressure which could adversely impact our financial results. We believe that any growth of this business will be materially dependent upon our ability to develop new techniques and processes necessary to meet the needs of our current customers and potential new customers. Our inability to anticipate and respond to these rapidly changing demands could have an adverse effect on our business operations.

**Failure to perform effectively in an intensely competitive industry will harm our business.**

The market for nutritional products is highly competitive. Our direct competition consists primarily of publicly and privately owned companies, which tend to be highly fragmented in terms of both geographical market coverage and product categories. These companies compete with us on different levels in the development, manufacture and marketing of nutritional supplements. Many of these companies have broader product lines and larger sales volume, are significantly larger than us, have greater name recognition, financial personnel, distribution and other resources than we do and may be better able to withstand volatile market conditions. There can be no assurance that our customers and potential customers will regard our products as sufficiently distinguishable from competitive products. Our inability to compete successfully would have a material adverse effect on our business.

**The successful fulfillment of customer orders depends on our ability to obtain the necessary raw materials in a timely manner.**

Although we believe that there are adequate sources of supply for all of our principal raw materials we require, there can be no assurance that our sources of supply for our principal raw materials will be adequate in all circumstances. In the event that such sources are not adequate, we will have to find alternate sources. As a result we may experience delays in locating and establishing relationships with alternate sources which could result in product shortages and backorders for our products, with a resulting loss of revenues for us.



## **Table of Contents**

### **Future product liability claims may expose us to unexpected damages and expenses which could adversely affect our results of operation and financial condition.**

We could face financial liability due to product liability claims if the use of our products results in significant loss or injury. To date, we have not been the subject of any product liability claims. However, we can make no assurances that we will not be exposed to future product liability claims. Such claims may include that our products contain contaminants, that we provide consumers with inadequate instructions regarding product use, or that we provide inadequate warnings concerning side effects or interactions of our products with other substances. We believe that we maintain adequate product liability insurance coverage. However, a product liability claim could exceed the amount of our insurance coverage or a product claim could be excluded under the terms of our existing insurance policy, which could adversely affect our future results of operations and financial condition.

### **A violation of government regulations or our inability to obtain necessary government approvals for our products could harm our business.**

The formulation, manufacturing, packaging, labeling, advertising, distribution and sale of our products are subject to regulation by one or more federal agencies, including the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the Federal Trade Commission (FTC), the Consumer Product Safety Commission, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and also by various agencies of the states, localities and foreign countries in which our products are sold. In particular, the FDA, pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FDCA), regulates the formulation, manufacturing, packaging, labeling, distribution and sale of dietary supplements, including vitamins, minerals and herbs, and of over-the-counter (OTC) drugs, while the FTC has jurisdiction to regulate advertising of these products, and the US Postal Service regulates advertising claims with respect to such products sold by mail order. The FDCA has been amended several times with respect to dietary supplements, most recently by the Nutrition Labeling and Education Act of 1990 and the Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act of 1994. In addition, our products are also subject to regulations under various state and local laws that include provisions governing, among other things, the formulation, manufacturing, packaging, labeling, advertising and distribution of dietary supplements and OTC drugs. Our inability to comply with these numerous regulations could harm our business, resulting in, among other things, injunctions, product withdrawals, recalls, product seizures, fines and criminal prosecutions.

In the future, we may become subject to additional laws or regulations administered by the FDA or by other federal, state, local or foreign regulatory authorities, to the repeal of laws or regulations that we consider favorable, or to more stringent interpretations of current laws or regulations. We can neither predict the nature of such future laws, regulations, repeals or interpretations, nor can we predict what effect additional governmental regulation, when and if it occurs, would have on our business. These regulations could, however, require the reformation of certain products to meet new standards, the recall or discontinuance of certain products not able to be reformulated, additional record-keeping requirements, increased documentation of the properties of certain products, additional or different labeling, additional scientific substantiation or other new requirements. Any of these developments could result in sales reductions and/or unanticipated expenses having material adverse effects on our business.

### **Our inability to adequately protect our products from replication by competitors could have a material adverse effect on our business.**

We own proprietary formulas for certain of our nutritional products. We regard our proprietary formulas as valuable assets and believe they have significant value in the marketing of our products. Because we do not have patents or trademarks on our products, there can be no assurance that another company will not replicate and market one or more of our products, thereby causing us to lose business.

## **Risks Relating to Our Securities**

### **Our acquisition strategy may result in dilution to our stockholders.**

Our business strategy calls for the strategic acquisition of other businesses. In connection with our acquisitions of GPS and VLI, among other consideration, we issued approximately 3,667,000 and 1,785,000 shares of our common stock, respectively, to the sellers of the businesses. In addition, we issued approximately 2,853,000 shares of our common stock in our December 2006 private placement. The proceeds from this offering were used in funding the cash consideration portion of the purchase price of GPS. In July 2008, we issued 2,200,000 shares in a private placement

transaction. In the aggregate, the number of shares issued pursuant to these transactions represents approximately 77% of our outstanding shares of common stock as of January 31, 2010. We anticipate that future acquisitions will require cash and issuances of our capital stock, including our common stock. To the extent we are required to pay cash for any acquisition, we anticipate that we would be required to obtain additional equity and/or debt financing. Equity financing would result in dilution for our then current stockholders. Stock issuances and financing, if obtained, may not be on terms favorable to us and could result in substantial dilution to our stockholders at the time(s) of these stock issuances and financings.

**Table of Contents**

**Our officers, directors and certain key employees have substantial control over Argan, Inc.**

As of January 31, 2010, our executive officers and directors as a group owned approximately 14.6% of our voting shares (giving effect to an aggregate of 358,224 shares of common stock that may be purchased upon the exercise of warrants and stock options held by our executive officers and directors (and deemed exercisable at January 31, 2010) and 1,323,270 shares beneficially held in the name of MSR Advisors, Inc. and affiliates for which one of our directors is President). In addition, another 57.9% of our voting shares were owned by William F. Griffin, Jr. (a former owner of GPS), by Allen & Company entities and by three unaffiliated stockholders. Therefore, this small group of stockholders may have significant influence over corporate actions such as an amendment to our certificate of incorporation, the consummation of any merger, or the sale of all or substantially all of our assets, and may substantially influence the election of directors and other actions requiring stockholder approval.

**As our common stock is thinly traded, the stock price may be volatile and investors may have difficulty disposing of their investments at prevailing market prices.**

In August 2007, our common stock was approved for listing on the NYSE Amex stock exchange (formerly the American Stock Exchange) and commenced trading under the symbol AGX. Until August 2007, our common stock traded over-the-counter under the symbol AGAX.OB. Despite the new listing on the larger stock exchange, our common stock remains thinly and sporadically traded and no assurances can be given that a larger market will ever develop, or if developed, that it will be maintained.

**Availability of significant amounts of our common stock for sale could adversely affect its market price.**

Since February 1, 2007, we have registered significant amounts of our common stock for issuance and resale including 2,400,000 shares of our common stock registered on Form S-3 in July 2008. If our stockholders sell substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, including shares registered under any registration statement on Form S-3, the market price of our common stock could fall.

**We may issue preferred stock with rights that are superior to our common stock.**

Our certificate of incorporation, as amended, permits our Board of Directors to authorize the issuance of shares of preferred stock and to designate the terms of the preferred stock. The issuance of shares of preferred stock by us could adversely affect the rights of holders of common stock by, among other factors, establishing dividend rights, liquidation rights and voting rights that are superior to the rights of the holders of the common stock.

**Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and Delaware law could deter takeover attempts.**

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and Delaware law could delay, prevent, or make more difficult a merger, tender offer or proxy contest involving us. Among other things, under our certificate of incorporation, our board of directors may issue up to 500,000 shares of our preferred stock and may determine the price, rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions, including voting and conversion rights, of these shares of preferred stock. In addition, Delaware law limits transactions between us and persons that acquire significant amounts of our stock without approval of our board of directors.

**We do not expect to pay cash dividends for the foreseeable future.**

We have not paid cash dividends on our common stock since our inception and intend to retain earnings, if any, to finance the development and expansion of our business. As a result, we do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Payment of cash dividends, if any, will depend on our future earnings, capital requirements and financial position, plans for expansion, general economic conditions and other pertinent factors.

**ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.**

None.



**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.**

We occupy our corporate headquarters in Rockville, Maryland, under a lease that expires on February 28, 2014 covering 2,521 square feet of office space. The headquarters of GPS, located in Glastonbury, Connecticut, is occupied pursuant to a lease that expires in October 2012 and that covers 8,304 square feet of office space. The offices of GRP, a wholly-owned subsidiary of GPS, are occupied pursuant to a lease that expires in September 2011 covering 4,412 square feet of office space located in Rocky Hill, Connecticut. The operations of VLI are located in Naples, Florida, and occupy four leased facilities, three pursuant to leases with terms that will expire on February 28, 2012, and one under a monthly lease. The four buildings of VLI include approximately 26,000 square feet of warehouse space; approximately 10,000 square feet of manufacturing space; approximately 8,000 square feet of office space; and approximately 1,000 square feet of laboratory space. SMC is located in Tracys Landing, Maryland, occupying facilities under a lease that expires on December 31, 2011 and that includes extension options available through December 31, 2019. The SMC facility includes approximately four acres of land, a 2,400 square foot maintenance facility and approximately 3,900 square feet of office space. SMC also utilizes one storage and staging lot in St. Mary's County, Maryland, under a lease that expires in December 2011, and another similar lot in Charles County, Maryland, under a lease with a remaining term of less than one year.

The operations of GPS and SMC in the field may require us to occupy facilities on customer premises or job sites. Accordingly, we may rent local construction offices and equipment storage yards under arrangements that are temporary in nature. These costs are expensed as incurred and are included in cost of revenues.

**ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.**

Included in Note 12 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of Part II of this Annual Report on Form 10-K is a discussion of specific legal proceedings for the year ended January 31, 2010.

In the normal course of business, the Company may have other pending claims and legal proceedings. It is our opinion, based on information available at this time, that any other current claim or proceeding will not have a material effect on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

**ITEM 4. [REMOVED AND RESERVED]**

**Table of Contents****PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.**

In August 2007, our common stock was approved for listing on the NYSE Amex stock exchange (formerly the American Stock Exchange) and commenced trading under the symbol AGX. Prior to the listing on the American Stock Exchange, the common stock traded over-the-counter under the symbol AGAX.OB.

The following table sets forth the high and low bid quotations for our common stock for the periods indicated. These quotations represent inter-dealer prices and do not include retail markups, markdowns or commissions and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

	<b>High Bid</b>	<b>Low Bid</b>
Fiscal Year Ended January 31, 2008		
1st Quarter	\$ 7.20	\$ 6.00
2nd Quarter	8.50	6.20
3rd Quarter (through August 21, 2007)	7.75	7.16

The table below sets forth the high and low closing prices for our common stock on the NYSE Amex stock exchange for our fiscal quarters commencing with the third quarter ended October 31, 2007.

	<b>High Close</b>	<b>Low Close</b>
Fiscal Year Ended January 31, 2008		
3rd Quarter (commencing August 22, 2007)	\$ 10.25	\$ 7.55
4th Quarter	13.39	9.94
Fiscal Year Ended January 31, 2009		
1st Quarter	\$ 14.65	\$ 11.35
2nd Quarter	18.01	11.95
3rd Quarter	17.16	11.64
4th Quarter	12.52	8.50
Fiscal Year Ended January 31, 2010		
1st Quarter	\$ 15.15	\$ 11.37
2nd Quarter	16.00	11.65
3rd Quarter	14.88	12.11
4th Quarter	14.70	12.00
Fiscal Year Ending January 31, 2011		
1st Quarter (through April 9, 2010)	\$ 16.10	\$ 13.00

As of April 9, 2010, we had approximately 149 stockholders of record.

To date, we have not declared or paid cash dividends to our stockholders. We have no plans to declare and pay cash dividends in the near future as we plan to use the Company's working capital on growing our business operations.

**Table of Contents****Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table sets forth certain information, as of January 31, 2010, concerning securities authorized for issuance under warrants and options to purchase our common stock.

	<b>Number of Securities Issuable under Outstanding Warrants and Options</b>	<b>Weighted- Average Exercise  Price of Outstanding Warrants and Options</b>	<b>Number of Securities  Remaining Available for Future Issuance (2)</b>
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by the Stockholders (1)	497,224	\$ 10.27	412,250
Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by the Stockholders			
Totals	497,224	\$ 10.27	412,250

(1) Approved plans include the Company's 2001 Stock Option Plan (the Option Plan). As of January 31, 2010, a total of 1,150,000 shares of our common stock had been authorized for issuance under the Option Plan by the stockholders.

(2) Excludes the number of securities reflected in the first column of this table.

**Stock Options and Warrants**

The Company's 2001 Stock Option Plan was established in August 2001 (the "Option Plan"). Under the Option Plan, our Board of Directors may grant stock options to officers, directors and key employees. The Option Plan was amended in June 2008 in order to authorize the grant of options for up to 1,150,000 shares of common stock. Stock options that are granted may be Incentive Stock Options ("ISOs") or nonqualified stock options ("NSOs"). ISOs granted under the Option Plan have an exercise price per share at least equal to the common stock's fair market value per share at the date of grant, a ten-year term, and typically become fully exercisable one year from the date of grant. NSOs may be granted at an exercise price per share that differs from the common stock's fair market value per share at the date of grant, may have up to a ten-year term, and become exercisable as determined by the Board of Directors.

In connection with the Company's private placement offering of our common stock that occurred in April 2003, we also issued warrants to purchase 230,000 shares of common stock to various parties. Included were (1) warrants to purchase an aggregate of 180,000 shares of common stock that were issued to three individuals (including the current CEO and CFO) who became executive officers of the Company upon completion of the offering, and (2) warrants to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock that were issued to MSR Advisors, Inc. (one of the members of our Board of Directors is the President of MSR Advisors, Inc.). The purchase price per share of common stock under all of these warrants is \$7.75 and the warrants expire in December 2012. As of January 31, 2010, warrants to purchase 166,000 shares of common stock were outstanding.

**Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities**

None.

**Table of Contents****Stock Price Performance Graph**

The following graph compares the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock from January 31, 2005 through January 31, 2010, with the cumulative return on (i) the Russell 2000 Stock Index which includes us and (ii) the Dow Jones US Heavy Construction TSM Index which includes other power industry construction service providers. The historical information set forth below is not necessarily indicative of future performance.

The cumulative return amounts over the five-year period ended January 31, 2010 reflected in the stock price performance graph presented above are as follows:

	<b>Fiscal Year Ended January 31,</b>					
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Argan, Inc.	\$ 100.00	\$ 41.59	\$ 109.73	\$ 200.71	\$ 198.94	\$ 251.33
Dow Jones US Heavy Construction TSM	\$ 100.00	\$ 173.21	\$ 186.66	\$ 282.76	\$ 142.90	\$ 168.22
Russell 2000	\$ 100.00	\$ 118.89	\$ 131.31	\$ 118.45	\$ 74.81	\$ 103.10

The information included under the heading **Stock Price Performance Graph** in Item 5 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K is furnished and not filed and shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or subject to Regulation 14A, shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.**

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, the Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes thereto, and the other financial information appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

<b>Statement of Operations Data (1)</b>	<b>Years Ended January 31,</b>				
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
Net revenues	\$ 232,330,000	\$ 220,926,000	\$ 206,776,000	\$ 68,867,000	\$ 28,452,000
Gross profit	\$ 23,481,000	\$ 32,885,000	\$ 21,585,000	\$ 10,250,000	\$ 6,067,000
Selling, general and administrative expenses	14,867,000	14,858,000	18,983,000	9,863,000	7,469,000
Impairment losses	43,000	3,134,000	6,826,000		6,497,000
Income (loss) from operations	8,571,000	14,893,000	(4,224,000)	387,000	(7,899,000)
Equity in the earnings of GRP (2)	1,288,000	507,000			
Gain from bargain purchase (2)	877,000				
Other (expense) income, net	(76,000)	1,345,000	2,612,000	(411,000)	(2,531,000)
Income (loss) from operations before income taxes	\$ 10,660,000	\$ 16,745,000	\$ (1,612,000)	\$ (24,000)	\$ (10,430,000)
Net income (loss)	\$ 7,040,000	\$ 10,019,000	\$ (3,205,000)	\$ (113,000)	\$ (9,508,000)
Net income (loss) per common share					
Basic	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.80	\$ (0.29)	\$ (0.02)	\$ (2.76)
Diluted	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.78	\$ (0.29)	\$ (0.02)	\$ (2.76)
<b>Balance Sheet Data</b>	<b>As of January 31,</b>				
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
<b>Assets</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 66,009,000	\$ 74,666,000	\$ 66,827,000	\$ 25,393,000	\$ 5,000
Total current assets	\$ 95,231,000	\$ 107,752,000	\$ 116,250,000	\$ 81,334,000	\$ 8,356,000
Total noncurrent assets	25,042,000	27,412,000	29,613,000	40,205,000	15,266,000
Total assets	\$ 120,273,000	\$ 135,164,000	\$ 145,863,000	\$ 121,539,000	\$ 23,622,000
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders Equity</b>					
	\$ 1,833,000	\$ 2,301,000	\$ 2,581,000	\$ 2,586,000	\$ 421,000

Edgar Filing: ARGAN INC - Form 10-K

Current portion, long-term debt					
Other current liabilities	30,034,000	51,902,000	97,166,000	65,833,000	6,419,000
Total current liabilities	31,867,000	54,203,000	99,747,000	68,419,000	6,840,000
Long term debt, less current portion		1,833,000	4,134,000	6,715,000	3,468,000
Other noncurrent liabilities	38,000	22,000	116,000	1,894,000	1,628,000
Total liabilities	31,905,000	56,058,000	103,997,000	77,028,000	11,936,000
Total stockholders equity	88,368,000	79,106,000	41,866,000	44,511,000	11,686,000
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 120,273,000	\$ 135,164,000	\$ 145,863,000	\$ 121,539,000	\$ 23,622,000

(1) Includes the results of operations of acquired companies following their respective dates of acquisition (see Note 1 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements).

(2) Represents income related to our investment in Gemma Renewable Power, LLC (see Note 8 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements).

**Table of Contents****ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION.**

The following discussion summarizes the financial position of Argan, Inc. and its subsidiaries as of January 31, 2010, and the results of operations for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward Looking Statements**

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a "safe harbor" for certain forward-looking statements. We have made statements in this Item 7 and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K that may constitute forward-looking statements. The words believe, expect, anticipate, plan, intend, foresee, should, other similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and beliefs concerning future developments and their potential effects on us. There can be no assurance that future developments affecting us will be those that we anticipate. All comments concerning our expectations for future net revenues and operating results are based on our forecasts for our existing operations and do not include the potential impact of any future acquisitions. These forward-looking statements involve significant risks and uncertainties (some of which are beyond our control) and assumptions. They are subject to change based upon various factors including, but not limited to, the risks and uncertainties described in Item 1A of this 2010 Annual Report. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should any of our assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary in material respects from those projected in the forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

**Introduction**

Argan, Inc. (the Company, we, us, or our) conducts operations through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, Gemma Power Systems, LLC and affiliates (GPS) that we acquired in December 2006, Vitarich Laboratories, Inc. (VLI) that we acquired in August 2004, and Southern Maryland Cable, Inc. (SMC) that we acquired in July 2003. Through GPS, we provide a full range of development, consulting, engineering, procurement, construction, commissioning, operations and maintenance services to the power generation and renewable energy markets for a wide range of customers including public utilities, independent power project owners, municipalities, public institutions and private industry. Through VLI, we develop, manufacture and distribute premium nutritional products. Through SMC, we provide telecommunications infrastructure services including project management, construction and maintenance to the federal government, telecommunications and broadband service providers as well as electric utilities. Each of the wholly-owned subsidiaries represents a separate reportable segment—power industry services, nutritional products and telecommunications infrastructure services, respectively.

**Overview and Outlook**

For the fiscal year ended January 31, 2010, consolidated net revenues were \$232.3 million which represented an increase of \$11.4 million, or 5.2%, over net revenues of \$220.9 million for the prior year. Net income for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2010 was \$7.0 million, or \$0.51 per diluted share. We reported net income of \$10.0 million, or \$0.78 per diluted share, for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009.

The increase in net revenues between years was due primarily to increases in the net revenues of the power industry services and nutritional products businesses, which represented 90.3% and 6.0% of consolidated net revenues for the current fiscal year, respectively. Primarily due to the completion of a substantial portion of the contract to construct a power generation facility in California, the contract backlog of GPS decreased to \$300 million at January 31, 2010 from \$456 million at January 31, 2009.

Income from operations decreased by \$6.3 million in the fiscal year ended January 31, 2010 to \$8.6 million from \$14.9 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 reflecting a \$12.4 million decline in the gross profit of the power industry services business partially offset by improvements in the gross profit of the nutritional products and telecommunications infrastructure services businesses which totaled approximately \$2.7 million. Income from operations for the current year results also benefited from a \$3.1 million decrease between years in impairment losses.



Income before income taxes decreased by \$6.0 million in the current year to \$10.7 million from \$16.7 million last year due primarily to the \$6.3 million decrease in income from operations discussed above and a \$1.6 million reduction in income earned from the investment of cash balances. Late in the current year, we acquired the remaining 50% ownership interest in Gemma Renewable Power, LLC ( GRP ) and GRP became our wholly-owned subsidiary. During the current year, we benefited from a \$781,000 increase in the amount of our equity in the earnings of GRP and a gain on the bargain purchase of the net assets of GRP in the amount of \$877,000.

**Table of Contents**

Cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$8.7 million during the current year to \$66.0 million at January 31, 2010. Despite reporting net income of \$7.0 million for the year ended January 31, 2010, our operating activities used \$13.0 million cash. We also used cash to reduce our long-term debt by \$2.3 million to a balance of \$1.8 million. This long-term debt amount represented only 2.1% and 1.5% of total stockholders' equity and consolidated total assets as of January 31, 2010, respectively. Our business is not capital equipment intensive. Although our businesses made capital expenditures totaling \$199,000 in the current year, the balance of net fixed assets represented only 1.3% of consolidated total assets at January 31, 2010. The acquisition of GRP provided net cash of approximately \$6.0 million for the current year. We also received total cash proceeds of \$737,000 during the year ended January 31, 2010 from the sale of common stock pursuant to the exercise of stock options and warrants.

Including the performance of work on projects included in the contract backlog of GPS at January 31, 2010 and expected to be awarded during the year ending January 31, 2011, we expect to continue the growth of the Company's consolidated net revenues in the next fiscal year and to report operating results that are profitable and that include net cash provided by operations.

However, current economic conditions in the U.S., which reflect a weak recovery from last year's recession and continued disruptions in the credit markets, could adversely affect our results of operations in future periods, particularly if the depressed state of the construction industry is prolonged or if the continuing government efforts to stabilize financial institutions, to restore order to credit markets, to stimulate spending and to reduce high unemployment are not effective. The current instability in the financial markets may make it difficult for certain of our customers, particularly for projects funded by private investment, to access the credit markets to obtain financing for new construction projects on satisfactory terms or at all. As a result, we may encounter increased levels of deferrals and delays related to new construction projects in the future. Difficulty in obtaining adequate financing due to the unprecedented disruption in the credit markets may significantly increase the rate at which our customers defer, delay or cancel proposed new construction projects. The deferral, delay or cancellation of existing or proposed projects could result in a decrease in the overall demand for our services, adversely impacting our results of operations and weakening our financial condition.

We anticipate that the increased political focus on energy independence and the negative environmental impact of fossil fuels may spur the development of alternative and renewable power facilities which should result in new power facility opportunities for us in the future. More than half of the states have adopted formal green-energy goals and federal support for infrastructure spending remains strong. An energy infrastructure renewal program was included in the U.S. Government economic stimulus package, making funds available for water and energy projects and including tax incentives to encourage capital investment in renewable energy sources.

Moreover, we continue to observe renewed interest in gas-fired generation as electric utilities and independent power producers look to diversify their generation options. We believe that the initiatives in many states to reduce emissions of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases, and utilities' desire to fill demand for additional power prior to the completion of more sizeable or controversial projects, will stimulate renewed demand for gas-fired power plants. As described above, both the Colusa and Sentinel power projects are gas-fired electricity-generation plants. While it is unclear what the impact of current economic conditions might have on the timing or financing of such future projects, we expect that gas-fired power plants will continue to be an important component of long-term power generation development in the U.S. and believe our capabilities and expertise will position us as a market leader for these projects.

In summary, it is uncertain what impacts the general economic conditions and the aftereffects of the financial/credit crisis in the U.S. may have on our business. We are continuously alert for effects that may be impacting our business currently and any new developments that may affect us going forward. Moreover, the uncertain economic conditions may impair our visibility to an unusual degree.

Nevertheless, we remain cautiously optimistic about our long-term growth opportunities. We are focused on expanding our position in the growing power markets where we expect investments to be made based on forecasts of increasing electricity demand covering decades into the future. We believe that our expectations are reasonable and that our future plans are based on reasonable assumptions. However, such forward-looking statements, by their nature, involve risks and uncertainties, and they should be considered in conjunction with the risk factors included in Item 1A

of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Table of Contents****Comparison of the Results of Operations for the Years Ended January 31, 2010 and 2009**

The following schedule compares the results of our operations for the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009. Except where noted, the percentage amounts represent the percentage of net revenues for the corresponding year. As analyzed below the schedule, we reported net income of \$7.0 million for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2010, or \$0.51 per diluted share. For the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009, we reported net income of \$10.0 million, or \$0.78 per diluted share.

	<b>2010</b>		<b>2009</b>	
Net revenues				
Power industry services	\$ 209,814,000	90.3%	\$ 202,298,000	91.6%
Nutritional products	13,999,000	6.0%	10,075,000	4.5%
Telecommunications infrastructure services	8,517,000	3.7%	8,553,000	3.9%
Net revenues	232,330,000	100.0%	220,926,000	100.0%
Cost of revenues **				
Power industry services	188,983,000	90.1%	169,046,000	83.6%
Nutritional products	13,237,000	94.6%	11,868,000	117.8%
Telecommunications infrastructure services	6,629,000	77.8%	7,127,000	83.3%
Cost of revenues	208,849,000	89.9%	188,041,000	85.1%
Gross profit	23,481,000	10.1%	32,885,000	14.9%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	14,867,000	6.4%	14,858,000	6.7%
Impairment losses	43,000	*	3,134,000	1.5%
Income (loss) from operations	8,571,000	3.7%	14,893,000	6.7%
Interest expense	(184,000)	*	(410,000)	*
Investment income	108,000	*	1,755,000	*
Equity in the earnings of an unconsolidated subsidiary	1,288,000	*	507,000	*
Gain from bargain purchase	877,000	*		
Income from operations before income taxes	10,660,000	4.6%	16,745,000	7.6%
Income tax expense	(3,620,000)	(1.6)%	(6,726,000)	(3.1)%
Net income	\$ 7,040,000	3.0%	\$ 10,019,000	4.5%

\* Less than 1%.

\*\* The cost of revenues percentage amounts represent the percentage of net revenues of

the applicable  
segment.

**Net Revenues**

***Power Industry Services***

The net revenues of the power industry services business increased by \$7.5 million, or 3.7%, to \$209.8 million for the year ended January 31, 2010 compared with net revenues of \$202.3 million for the prior year due to increased construction activity related to a natural gas-fired combined cycle power plant in California. The net revenues of this business represented 90.3% of consolidated net revenues for the year ended January 31, 2010. This business represented 91.6% of consolidated net revenues for the year ended January 31, 2009. Our energy-plant construction contract backlog was \$300 million at January 31, 2010. The comparable construction contract backlog amount was \$456 million at January 31, 2009.

The most significant customer of the power industry services business for the year ended January 31, 2010 was a large utility company that represented approximately 96.5% of the net revenues of this business segment for the current year, and represented approximately 87.2% of our consolidated net revenues for the current year. We are constructing the California plant for this customer; construction is expected to be completed within the next twelve months. This customer represented 54.3% of the net revenues of this business segment for the year ended January 31, 2009, and represented 49.7% of our consolidated net revenues for the prior year. The other significant customer of the power industry services business for the year ended January 31, 2009 represented approximately 43.9% of the net revenues of this business and 40.2% of consolidated net revenues for the prior year, respectively. For this customer, we constructed two biofuels refineries located in Texas, one of which was completed in the fourth quarter last year and the other was completed in the current year.

**Table of Contents*****Nutritional Products***

The net revenues of the nutritional products business increased by \$3.9 million, or 38.9%, to \$14.0 million for the year ended January 31, 2010 compared with net revenues of \$10.1 million for the prior year. The net revenues of this business represented 6.0% of consolidated net revenues for the year ended January 31, 2010. This business represented 4.5% of consolidated net revenues for the year ended January 31, 2009.

The increase in net revenues between years was primarily due to the sale of products to both new and continuing customers. The total prior year amount of net revenues related to sales of product to lost customers was only \$482,000. VLI is primarily a contract manufacturer of nutritional products. The ability to quickly replace lost customers or to increase the product offerings sold to existing customers is hampered by the long sales cycle inherent in our type of business. The length of time between the beginning of contract negotiation and the first sale to a new customer could exceed nine months including extended periods of product testing and acceptance. Business development efforts conducted by VLI have resulted in the addition of the new customers during the current year. The value of unfilled sales orders that we believe to be firm at January 31, 2010 was \$2.3 million compared with a value of \$1.5 million at January 31, 2009.

***Telecommunications Infrastructure Services***

The net revenues of the telecommunications infrastructure services business declined slightly to \$8.5 million for the year ended January 31, 2010 compared with net revenues of \$8.6 million for the prior year. The net revenues of this business represented 3.7% of consolidated net revenues for the year ended January 31, 2010 and 3.9% of consolidated net revenues for the year ended January 31, 2009. Between years, the mix of SMC's business has changed. Inside premises net revenues represented 52.8% of this segment's business for the current year representing primarily services provided to government-sector customers. A year ago, inside premises net revenues represented 47.5% of SMC's business. On the other hand, net revenues provided by outside premises customers, primarily utility firms, declined to 47.2% of this segment's business for the current year. Last year, outside premises net revenues were approximately 52.5% of SMC's business.

The range of wiring services that we provide to our inside premises customers includes cable and data rack installation; equipment room and telecom closet design and build-out; raceway design and installation; and cable identification, testing, labeling and documentation. Services provided to our outside plant customers include trenchless directional boring and other underground services, aerial cabling services, and the installation of buried cable and wire communication and electric lines.

***Cost of Revenues***

Despite the increase in net revenues between years, our overall gross profit declined to \$23.5 million for the year ended January 31, 2010 from \$32.9 million for the year ended January 31, 2009. As a result, our overall gross profit percentage declined to 10.1% for the current year from 14.9% for the prior year. Most significantly, our gross profit in the prior year was favorably affected by the adjustment to cost of revenues in the net amount of \$7.1 million that is discussed below and the recognition in net revenues of incentive fees, totaling approximately \$3.2 million, that were earned from the performance of construction services for the biofuels customer discussed above. Gross profit amounts contributed by both VLI and SMC increased between years, by \$2.6 million and \$462,000, respectively, to \$762,000 and \$1.9 million, respectively, for the year ended January 31, 2010.

The cost of revenues for the power industry services business of GPS increased in the year ended January 31, 2010 to \$189.0 million from \$169.0 million for the year ended January 31, 2009 primarily due to the increase in net revenues between the years and the change in the mix of projects under construction. However, the cost of revenues as a percentage of corresponding net revenues also increased to 90.1% for the current year from 83.6% for last year. During the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009, GPS recorded favorable adjustments related to the settlement of accrued amounts on a terminated construction contract that are discussed in Note 17 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The adjustments reduced cost of revenues for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009 by approximately \$7.1 million, net of related expenses.

The cost of revenues for the nutritional products business of VLI increased in the year ended January 31, 2010 to \$13.2 million from \$11.9 million for the year ended January 31, 2009 due primarily to the increase in net revenues. However, the cost of revenues percentage decreased to 94.6% of net revenues for the current year from a percentage

of 117.8% for the prior year. Last year, VLI's net revenues declined substantially compared with the prior year resulting in substantial quantities of overstocked and obsolete inventory. VLI recorded a provision for excess and obsolete inventory of approximately \$1.6 million in the year ended January 31, 2009. The inventory obsolescence provision for the current year was \$518,000.

**Table of Contents**

Although the net revenues of the telecommunications infrastructure services business declined by only \$36,000 between the years, the cost of revenues declined by \$498,000 to \$6.6 million in the current year from \$7.1 million in the prior year. Accordingly, the cost of revenues percentage for the year ended January 31, 2010 declined to 77.8% for the current year from a percentage of 83.3% last year, with the improvement primarily relating to the efficient completion of both inside and outside premises projects during the current year.

**Selling, General and Administrative Expenses**

The amount of selling, general and administrative expenses was \$14.9 million for both the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009. Amortization expense related to purchased intangible assets decreased by approximately \$1.0 million in the current year compared with the prior year as the amortization expense related to the contractual customer relationships of GPS was completed last year and the scheduled amortization of the intangible assets of VLI and SMC was eliminated with the recording of impairment losses related to these assets last year. In addition, legal fees and other costs decreased by approximately \$809,000 in the current year compared with the prior year reflecting the settlement of the Thomas litigation as discussed in Note 12 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements. However, total compensation related to salaries, benefits and incentive pay increased between years by approximately \$1.5 million, and bad debt expense increased by \$165,000 to \$294,000 for the current year from \$129,000 for the prior year.

**Impairment Losses**

As discussed in Note 9 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements, we recorded impairment losses last year related to purchased intangible and fixed assets of VLI in the aggregate amount of \$2.0 million and related to purchased intangible assets of SMC in the amount of \$1.1 million. These amounts were included in the statement of operations for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009. Impairment analyses performed during the current year resulted in an impairment loss of \$43,000 recorded by SMC related to the carrying value of its trade name.

**Other Income and Expense**

We reported investment income of only \$108,000 for the year ended January 31, 2010 compared with investment income of \$1.8 million for the year ended January 31, 2009. Our excess cash balances are invested in money market funds. Investment returns have declined as short-term rates of return have dropped substantially over the last year. In addition, the balance of cash and cash equivalents declined as a series of construction projects were completed and work progressed on the substantial project in California during the current year. Interest expense decreased to \$184,000 for the year ended January 31, 2010 from \$410,000 for the year ended January 31, 2009 as the overall level of debt between the years was reduced.

Last year, GPS formed GRP, a business partnership, which began a construction project to expand a wind farm in LaSalle County, Illinois, with the addition of 74 wind turbines. The project was completed during the current year. The business partners each owned 50% of the new company. Our shares of the earnings of GRP were \$1.3 million and \$507,000 for the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In December 2009, we acquired the remaining 50% ownership interest and GRP became a wholly-owned subsidiary of GPS. We recorded a gain of approximately \$877,000 in connection with the bargain purchase of the net assets of GRP.

**Income Tax Expense**

For the year ended January 31, 2010, we incurred income tax expense of \$3.6 million reflecting an annual effective income tax rate of approximately 34.0%. The unfavorable effect of state income tax expense was offset by the favorable income tax effects of permanent differences related primarily to the bargain purchase of GRP and the domestic manufacturing deduction.

For the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009, we incurred income tax expense of \$6.7 million representing an effective income tax rate of 40.2%. Last year's effective rate differed from the expected federal income tax rate of 34% due primarily to the effect of state income taxes and the unfavorable net effect of permanent differences, in particular the impairment losses of approximately \$1.9 million related to the goodwill of VLI and SMC that were not deductible for income tax reporting purposes.





**Table of Contents****Comparison of the Results of Operations for the Years Ended January 31, 2009 and 2008**

The following schedule compares the results of our operations for the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008. Except where noted, the percentage amounts represent the percentage of net revenues for the corresponding year. As analyzed below the schedule, we reported net income of \$10.0 million for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009, or \$0.78 per diluted share. For the fiscal year ended January 31, 2008, we reported a net loss of \$3.2 million, or \$(0.29) per diluted share.

	<b>2009</b>		<b>2008</b>	
Net revenues				
Power industry services	\$ 202,298,000	91.6%	\$ 180,414,000	87.2%
Nutritional products	10,075,000	4.5%	16,669,000	8.1%
Telecommunications infrastructure services	8,553,000	3.9%	9,693,000	4.7%
Net revenues	220,926,000	100.0%	206,776,000	100.0%
Cost of revenues **				
Power industry services	169,046,000	83.6%	162,418,000	90.0%
Nutritional products	11,868,000	117.8%	14,714,000	88.3%
Telecommunications infrastructure services	7,127,000	83.3%	8,059,000	83.1%
Cost of revenues	188,041,000	85.1%	185,191,000	89.6%
Gross profit	32,885,000	14.9%	21,585,000	10.4%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	14,858,000	6.7%	18,983,000	9.2%
Impairment losses	3,134,000	1.5%	6,826,000	3.2%
Income (loss) from operations	14,893,000	6.7%	(4,224,000)	(2.0)%
Interest expense	(410,000)	*	(699,000)	*
Investment income	1,755,000	*	3,311,000	1.6%
Equity in the earnings of an unconsolidated subsidiary	507,000	*		
Income (loss) from operations before income taxes	16,745,000	7.6%	(1,612,000)	*
Income tax expense	(6,726,000)	(3.1)%	(1,593,000)	*
Net income (loss)	\$ 10,019,000	4.5%	\$ (3,205,000)	(1.5)%

\* Less than 1%.

\*\* The cost of revenues percentage amounts represent the percentage of

net revenues of  
the applicable  
segment.

**Net Revenues**

***Power Industry Services***

Net revenues of power industry services were \$202.3 million for the year ended January 31, 2009, and represented 91.6% of consolidated net revenues. For the fiscal year ended January 31, 2008, the net revenues of the power industry services business were \$180.4 million, which represented 87.2% of consolidated net revenues.

Our energy-plant construction contract backlog was \$456 million at January 31, 2009. The comparable construction contract backlog was \$122 million at January 31, 2008. In May 2008, we announced that GPS signed an engineering, procurement and construction agreement with a large utility company in the amount of \$340 million for the design and construction of a natural gas-fired power plant in northern California. This energy plant is planned to be a 640 megawatt combined cycle facility and construction is expected to be completed during fiscal year 2011. We announced the receipt of a full notice to proceed on this project in October 2008. GPS commenced activity on this project in the fourth quarter ended January 31, 2008 under an interim notice to proceed that it received in December 2007. In October 2008, we also announced that GPS signed an engineering, procurement and construction agreement and received a limited notice to proceed from Competitive Power Ventures Inc. ( CPV ) to design and build the Sentinel Power Project. This project, valued at \$211 million, consists of eight simple cycle gas-fired peaking plants with a total power rating of 800 megawatts to be located in southern California. The project is currently expected to be completed in fiscal year 2013. CPV has a power supply agreement with Southern California Edison.

**Table of Contents**

Two significant customers of the power industry services business for the year ended January 31, 2009 represented approximately 54.3% and 43.9% of the net revenues of this business segment for the year, respectively, and represented approximately 49.7% and 40.2% of our consolidated net revenues for the year, respectively. In the aggregate, four significant customers of the power industry services business represented approximately 90.7% of its net revenues for the year ended January 31, 2008. Individually, the four customers represented approximately 30.0%, 25.4%, 20.1% and 15.3% of the net revenues of this business segment for the year ended January 31, 2008, respectively, and they represented approximately 26.2%, 22.1%, 17.5% and 13.3% of our consolidated net revenues for the year, respectively. The projects for three of these four customers were completed and one project was terminated during the year ended January 31, 2009 as discussed in Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements.

***Telecommunications Infrastructure Services***

Net revenues of telecommunications infrastructure services were approximately \$8.6 million for the year ended January 31, 2009 compared with \$9.7 million for the year ended January 31, 2008, representing a decrease in the net revenues of telecommunications infrastructure services between years of approximately \$1.1 million, or 11.8%. The net revenues of telecommunications services for the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008 were 3.9% and 4.7% of consolidated net revenues for the corresponding years, respectively.

Net revenues related to inside premises customers increased by approximately 31.0% for the year ended January 31, 2009 compared with the prior year due to increases in revenues related to EDS and other customers. However, this strong performance was more than offset by a 31.9% reduction between years in the net revenues related to outside plant customers. Although SMC signed a new annual contract with Verizon during the year and net revenues related to this customer recovered gradually during the year ended January 31, 2009, the level of business from this customer declined between years. Work performed for SMC's other large outside plant customer also decreased between years.

***Nutritional Products***

The net revenues from the sale of nutritional products by VLI were \$10.1 million for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009, and represented 4.5% of consolidated net revenues. The net revenues from the sale of nutritional products were \$16.7 million for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2008. This amount represented 8.1% of consolidated net revenues for the prior year. The decrease in the net revenues of nutritional products was \$6.6 million, or 39.6%. The decrease primarily was due to the loss of several large customers and lower than expected sales of products, in the aggregate, to VLI's largest continuing customers during the year, resulting in net revenue declines between fiscal years of \$5.5 million and \$1.4 million, respectively.

***Cost of Revenues***

On a consolidated basis and expressed as a percentage of net revenues, our cost of revenues decreased to 85.1% for the year ended January 31, 2009 compared with 89.6% for the prior year. Our overall gross profit increased by \$11.3 million, or 52.4%, to \$32.9 million for the year ended January 31, 2009 from \$21.6 for the prior year. Our gross profit percentage increased to 14.9% for the year ended January 31, 2009 from 10.4% for the prior year. The gross profit improvements were due to the strong performance of GPS.

The cost of revenues for the power industry services business of GPS increased in the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009 to \$169.0 million from \$162.4 million in the fiscal year ended January 31, 2008. The cost of revenues as a percentage of corresponding net revenues was 83.6% for year ended January 31, 2009 compared with 90.0% for the prior year. The gross profit of GPS for the year ended January 31, 2009 was favorably affected by the adjustments to cost of revenues in the net amount of \$7.1 million that are discussed above and by the recognition in net revenues of earned incentive fees related to construction services that totaled approximately \$3.2 million.

Cost of revenues for the telecommunication infrastructure services business of SMC decreased by \$932,000, or approximately 11.6%, in the year ended January 31, 2009 compared with the prior year, but increased slightly as a percentage of corresponding net revenues to 83.3% in the year ended January 31, 2009 from 83.1% for the prior year. On an overall basis, direct labor and related costs were reduced between the years by \$1.2 million. Despite increased inside plant work causing increases of \$321,000 and \$222,000 between fiscal years in costs incurred for subcontractors and job supplies, respectively, the profitability of the inside plant work improved between the years. On the other hand, the effects of reduced net revenues and competitive pricing pressures decreased the profit of the outside plant work between the years.

Although the cost of revenues for the nutritional products business of VLI decreased in the year ended January 31, 2009 by \$2.8 million to \$11.9 million from \$14.7 million in the year ended January 31, 2008, the cost of revenues expressed as a percentage of corresponding net revenues increased to 117.8% in the year ended January 31, 2009 from a percentage of 88.3% for the prior year. The cost of revenues for the year ended January 31, 2009 included a total provision for obsolete and overstocked inventory of \$1.6 million which represented 16.2% of the year's net revenues. The comparable provision amount for the prior fiscal year was \$434,000. In addition, the declining sales and competitive product pricing pressures continued to squeeze gross margins and increased the recurring cost of excess production capacity. Direct labor and related manufacturing overhead costs were reduced between years by \$338,000 and \$277,000, or 18% and 15%, respectively. However, the reductions did not occur in proportion to the 39.6% reduction in net revenues between fiscal years.

**Table of Contents****Selling, General and Administrative Expenses**

These expenses decreased to \$14.9 million, or 6.7% of consolidated net revenues, for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009 from \$19.0 million, or 9.2% of consolidated net revenues, for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2008, a reduction of \$4.1 million, or 21.7%.

Amortization expense related to purchased intangible assets decreased by approximately \$4.8 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 compared with the prior year as the amortization expense related to contractual and other customer relationships decreased between years by approximately \$4.2 million. Most of this decrease was scheduled and attributable to backlog for construction contracts completed by GPS. The impairment losses recorded by VLI during the year ended January 31, 2008 served to reduce its amortization expense related to customer relationships and the noncompete agreement prospectively, and the amortization of propriety formulas was completed in the prior year. Partially offsetting the favorable effects of the amortization expense reductions in the year ended January 31, 2009 and reductions in expenses at GPS and VLI were increases in certain corporate expenses. Stock option compensation expense increased between years by \$635,000 and legal costs and fees, related primarily to the Western Filter Corporation and Kevin Thomas matters (see Note 12 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements), increased by \$351,000 between years.

**Impairment Losses**

As discussed in Note 9 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements, we recorded impairment losses in the year ended January 31, 2009 related to purchased intangible and fixed assets of VLI in the aggregate amount of \$2.0 million and related to purchased intangible assets of SMC in the amount of \$1.1 million.

The statement of operations for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2008 included impairment losses related to the goodwill of VLI and other purchased intangible assets in the aggregate amount of approximately \$6.8 million. Through scheduled depreciation and amortization for the long-lived assets and the impairment losses recorded by VLI during the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, the carrying values of the goodwill, other purchased intangible assets and fixed assets of VLI have been substantially eliminated. Likewise, the carrying values of goodwill and the contractual customer relationships of SMC were eliminated by the impairment losses recorded in the year ended January 31, 2009.

**Other Income and Expense**

Our investment income included primarily amounts received monthly on excess cash balances invested in liquid collective funds offered by the Bank. We reported investment income of \$1.8 million for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009 compared to investment income of \$3.3 million for the year ended January 31, 2008, reflecting the significant decline in short-term investment returns over the year. Interest expense, which related primarily to two term loans, declined to \$410,000 in the year from \$699,000 in the prior year due to the overall reduction in the level of debt between years. Our share of the net income of GRP, formed in June 2008, for the current fiscal year was approximately \$507,000.

**Income Tax Expense**

For the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009, we incurred income tax expense of \$6.7 million representing an effective income tax rate of 40.2%. The effective tax rate for the year differed from the expected federal income tax rate of 34% due primarily to the effect of state income taxes and the unfavorable net effect of permanent differences, in particular the impairment losses of approximately \$1.9 million related to the goodwill of VLI and SMC that were not deductible for income tax reporting purposes. In addition, we established a valuation allowance during the year ended January 31, 2009 related to the deferred state taxes of VLI in the amount of \$206,000. The unfavorable effect of these factors was offset partially in the year by the favorable effect of a credit to the deferred tax provision in the approximate amount of \$122,000 reflecting changes in the state income tax rates applied to our deferred tax items.

Despite reporting a loss before income taxes of \$1.6 million for the year ended January 31, 2008, we incurred income tax expense of approximately \$1.6 million for the year. The prior year goodwill impairment loss related to VLI of approximately \$5.6 million was not deductible for income tax reporting purposes, and represented a permanent difference between financial and income tax reporting. In addition, we were adversely impacted by our inability to utilize certain current operating losses for state income tax reporting purposes.



**Table of Contents****Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The balance of cash and cash equivalents was approximately \$66.0 million as of January 31, 2010 compared to a balance of \$74.7 million as of January 31, 2009, representing a decrease of \$8.7 million during the current year. However, the Company's consolidated working capital increased during the current year from approximately \$53.5 million as of January 31, 2009 to approximately \$63.4 million as of January 31, 2010. We also have an available balance of \$4.25 million under our revolving line of credit financing arrangement with Bank of America (the Bank). In March 2010, the Bank agreed to extend the expiration date of the line of credit to May 2011. Last year, the balance of cash and cash equivalents increased by approximately \$7.8 million.

Although we reported net income of approximately \$7.0 million for the year ended January 31, 2010, we used net cash of \$13.0 million in operations. During the year ended January 31, 2010, we experienced changes in the amounts of several operating asset and liability accounts that represented uses of cash due to the timing of cash receipts and disbursements on construction projects. During the current year, the increase in costs and earnings in excess of billings represented a \$6.6 million use of cash as construction activity on the California power plant project increased. Substantially all of the balance at January 31, 2010 was invoiced after month-end and paid by the customer in February. The completion of the two biodiesel production facilities in Texas has caused billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings to decline representing a use of cash in the amount of \$3.4 million for the current year. We also have used cash during the current year to make payments reducing the balance of accounts payable and accrued liabilities by approximately \$20.5 million. Providing cash during the current year, accounts receivable decreased by \$7.7 million and the amount of escrowed cash was reduced by \$5.0 million. The total amount of non-cash adjustments to net income for the current year represented a net source of cash of approximately \$638,000. Most significantly, stock compensation expense of \$1.0 million, total depreciation and amortization of \$971,000, the provision for inventory obsolescence of \$518,000 and the provision for bad debts of \$294,000 were substantially offset by the equity in the earnings of GRP in the amount of \$1.3 million and the gain from the bargain purchase of GRP of \$877,000.

Last year, we used net cash of \$11.5 million in operations although we reported net income of approximately \$10.0 million for the year ended January 31, 2009, and our net non-cash expenses were approximately \$5.9 million. We experienced unfavorable changes between years in the amounts of several operating asset and liability accounts. A decrease in the amount of billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings represented a \$25.0 million use of cash as we approached completion of the two biodiesel plants in Texas. The \$6.1 million increase in the amount of costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings during the prior year was due to the ramp-up in activity related to the construction of the gas-fired power plant in California. Additionally, an increase in accounts receivable during the prior year used \$5.1 million in cash with most of this amount provided by an increase in the amount of outstanding contract accounts receivable of GPS. Cash was provided during the prior year as \$4.4 million was released from escrow accounts. In addition, an increase in the combined amount of accounts payable and accrued expenses provided approximately \$4.1 million in cash. The significant items included in our non-cash expenses for the prior year were impairment losses of \$3.1 million, amortization expense related to purchased intangible assets of \$1.4 million, stock option compensation expense of \$1.2 million and depreciation and other amortization of \$992,000.

During the year ended January 31, 2010, net cash was also used in connection with financing activities in the amount of \$1.6 million. We used cash to make principal payments on long-term debt of \$2.3 million. We received cash proceeds totaling \$737,000 related to the issuance of approximately 149,000 shares of our common stock pursuant to the exercise of stock warrants and options.

Current year investing activities provided net cash in the amount of \$5.9 million. The acquisition of the remaining 50% ownership interest in GRP resulted in the net addition of \$6.0 million in cash. Capital expenditures during the current year used \$199,000 in cash. We did receive total cash proceeds of \$79,000 from several sales of excess equipment.

Last year, investing activities used net cash of \$3.9 million, but financing activities provided net cash of \$23.2 million. During the year ended January 31, 2009, investing activities consisted of the payment of \$2,000,000 in contingent acquisition price to the former owners of GPS and the capital contribution of \$1,600,000 made to GRP in connection with the formation and start-up of this unconsolidated subsidiary. We also purchased equipment for a net cost of



\$370,000 during the prior year. We completed the private placement sale of 2.2 million shares of our common stock in July 2009, providing net cash proceeds of approximately \$25.0 million to financing activities, and issued approximately 124,000 shares of our common stock in connection with the exercise of stock options and warrants, providing net cash proceeds of approximately \$823,000. We used cash to make debt principal payments of \$2.6 million.

The financing arrangements with the Bank provide for the measurement at our fiscal year-end and at each of our fiscal period-ends (using a rolling 12-month period) of certain financial covenants, determined on a consolidated basis, including requirements that the ratio of total funded debt to EBITDA (as defined) not exceed 2 to 1, that the ratio of senior funded debt to EBITDA (as defined) not exceed 1.50 to 1, and that the fixed charge coverage ratio not be less than 1.25 to 1. At the end of the fiscal year and at the end of the most recent fiscal quarter, the Company was in compliance with each of these financial covenants. The Bank's consent is required for acquisitions and divestitures. The Company has pledged the majority of the Company's assets to secure the financing arrangements. The amended financing arrangement contains an acceleration clause which allows the Bank to declare amounts outstanding under the financing arrangements due and payable if it determines in good faith that a material adverse change has occurred in the financial condition of any of our companies. We believe that the Company will continue to comply with its financial covenants under the financing arrangement. If the Company's performance results in our noncompliance with any of the financial covenants, or if the Bank seeks to exercise its rights under the acceleration clause referred to above, we would seek to modify the financing arrangement, but there can be no assurance that the Bank would not exercise its rights and remedies under the financing arrangement including accelerating payment of all outstanding senior debt due and payable.

**Table of Contents**

At January 31, 2010, substantially all of the balances of cash, cash equivalents and escrowed cash were invested in money market funds sponsored by an investment division of the Bank. Our operating bank accounts are maintained with the Bank. We believe that cash on hand, cash generated from our future operations and funds available under our line of credit will be adequate to meet our operating cash needs in the foreseeable future. However, any future acquisitions, or other significant unplanned cost or cash requirement may require us to raise additional funds through the issuance of debt and/or equity securities. There can be no assurance that such financing will be available on terms acceptable to us, or at all. If additional funds are raised by issuing equity securities, significant dilution to the existing stockholders may result.

**Contractual Obligations**

Contractual obligations outstanding as of January 31, 2010 are summarized below:

<b>Contractual Obligation</b>	<b>Amount of Commitment Expiration per Period</b>				<b>Total Amount Committed</b>
	<b>Less than 1 Year</b>	<b>1-3 Years</b>	<b>4-5 Years</b>	<b>Over 5 Years</b>	
Long-term debt	\$ 1,833,000	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,833,000
Interest on long-term debt (1)	33,000				33,000
Operating leases	785,000	1,065,000	262,000	426,000	2,538,000
Purchase commitments (2)	11,108,000				11,108,000
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$ 13,759,000</b>	<b>\$ 1,065,000</b>	<b>\$ 262,000</b>	<b>\$ 426,000</b>	<b>\$ 15,512,000</b>

(1) Interest for the next five years is determined based on the current outstanding balance of our term loan and current payment schedule at the interest rate in effect at January 31, 2010.

(2) Purchase obligations for the next five years include the unfulfilled amounts of open purchase orders and subcontracts as of January 31,

2010 as well as additional estimated minimum amounts we are obligated to purchase for goods and services pursuant to purchase contract agreements.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We maintain a variety of commercial commitments that are generally made available to provide support for various commercial provisions in the engineering, procurement and construction contracts. In the ordinary course of business, our customers may request that we obtain surety bonds in connection with construction contract performance obligations that are not required to be recorded in our consolidated balance sheets. We would be obligated to reimburse the issuer of our surety bonds for any payments made. Each of our commitments under performance bonds generally ends concurrently with the expiration of the related contractual obligation. The Bank has issued a \$5.0 million letter of credit in order to support a bonding commitment made to GPS by a major insurance company. We have pledged \$5.0 million in escrowed cash to the Bank in order to secure the letter of credit. We utilize several providers to meet our insurance and surety needs. The financial crisis associated with last year's recession has not disrupted our insurance or surety programs or limited our ability to access needed insurance or surety capacity. We have a line of credit committed by the Bank in the amount of \$4.25 million for general purposes. From time to time, we provide guarantees related to our services or work. If our services under a guaranteed project would be determined to have resulted in a material defect or other material deficiency, then we may be responsible for monetary damages or other legal remedies. When sufficient information about claims on guaranteed projects would be available and monetary damages or other costs or losses would be determined to be probable, we would record such guarantee losses.

**Table of Contents****Earnings before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization (Non-GAAP Measurement)**

We believe that Earnings before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization ( EBITDA ) is a meaningful presentation that enables us to assess and compare our operating cash flow performance on a consistent basis by removing from our operating results the impacts of our capital structure, the effects of the accounting methods used to compute depreciation and amortization and the effects of operating in different income tax jurisdictions. Further, we believe that EBITDA is widely used by investors and analysts as a measure of performance.

As EBITDA is not a measure of performance calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ( US GAAP ), we do not believe that this measure should be considered in isolation from, or as a substitute for, the results of our operations presented in accordance with US GAAP that are included in our consolidated financial statements. In addition, our EBITDA does not necessarily represent funds available for discretionary use and is not necessarily a measure of our ability to fund our cash needs.

The following table presents the determinations of EBITDA for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

	<b>EBITDA</b>		
	<b>Years Ended January 31,</b>		
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Net income (loss), as reported	\$ 7,040,000	\$ 10,019,000	\$ (3,205,000)
Interest expense	184,000	410,000	699,000
Income tax expense	3,620,000	6,726,000	1,593,000
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	354,000	1,404,000	6,184,000
Depreciation and other amortization	617,000	992,000	1,277,000
 EBITDA	 \$ 11,815,000	 \$ 19,551,000	 \$ 6,548,000

As we believe that our net cash flow from operations is the most directly comparable performance measure determined in accordance with US GAAP, the following table reconciles the amounts of EBITDA for the applicable years, as presented above, to the corresponding amounts of net cash flows (used in) provided by operating activities that are presented in our consolidated statements of cash flows.

	<b>Reconciliations of EBITDA</b>		
	<b>Years Ended January 31,</b>		
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
EBITDA	\$ 11,815,000	\$ 19,551,000	\$ 6,548,000
Current income tax expense	(3,607,000)	(8,895,000)	(4,298,000)
Interest expense	(184,000)	(410,000)	(699,000)
Impairment losses	43,000	3,134,000	6,826,000
Non-cash stock option compensation expense	1,040,000	1,196,000	561,000
Provision for inventory obsolescence	518,000	1,637,000	434,000
Equity in the earnings of an unconsolidated subsidiary	(1,288,000)	(507,000)	
Gain from bargain purchase	(877,000)		
Decrease in escrowed cash	4,998,000	4,398,000	633,000
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable	7,713,000	(5,095,000)	(7,099,000)
Change related to the timing of scheduled billings	(10,055,000)	(31,075,000)	48,369,000
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(20,455,000)	4,066,000	(7,278,000)
Other, net	(2,615,000)	532,000	(1,497,000)

Net cash (used in) provided by operations	\$ (12,954,000)	\$ (11,468,000)	\$ 42,500,000
---	-----------------	-----------------	---------------

**Inflation**

Our monetary assets, consisting primarily of cash, cash equivalents and accounts receivables, and our non-monetary assets, consisting primarily of goodwill and other purchased intangible assets, are not affected significantly by inflation. We believe that replacement costs of equipment, furniture, and leasehold improvements will not materially affect our operations. However, the rate of inflation affects our costs and expenses, such as those for employee compensation and benefits and commodities used in construction projects, which may not be readily recoverable in the price of services offered by us.

**Table of Contents****Critical Accounting Policies**

We consider the accounting policies related to revenue recognition on long-term construction contracts, the valuation of goodwill and long-lived assets, income tax reporting and the reporting of legal matters to be most critical to the understanding of our financial position and results of operations. Critical accounting policies are those related to the areas where we have made what we consider to be particularly subjective or complex judgments in making estimates and where these estimates can significantly impact our financial results under different assumptions and conditions. These estimates, judgments, and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities and equity and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. We base our estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets, liabilities and equity that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results and outcomes could differ from these estimates and assumptions.

We recognize a significant portion of revenues in connection with performance under long-term construction contracts in accordance with current authoritative guidance. The types of contracts may vary and include agreements under which revenues are based on a fixed price basis or cost-plus-fee. Revenues from cost-plus-fee construction agreements are recognized on the basis of costs incurred during the period plus the fee earned, measured using the cost-to-cost method. Revenues from fixed price construction agreements, including portions of estimated profit, are recognized as services are provided, based on costs incurred and estimated total contract costs using the percentage of completion method. Therefore, changes to the total estimated contract cost of a fixed price contract may affect the amount of profit or the extent of loss. The effect of the change on profit or loss is recorded in the period when the change in estimated total contract cost is determined. We review the estimates of total cost on each significant contract monthly. In connection with the acquisitions of GPS, VLI and SMC, we recorded substantial amounts of goodwill and other purchased intangible assets including contractual and other customer relationships, proprietary formulas, non-compete agreements and trade names. Other than goodwill, most of our purchased intangible assets are determined to have finite useful lives. At February 1, 2009, the beginning of our most recent fiscal year, goodwill and other purchased intangible assets together represented approximately 16.4% of consolidated total assets. We review goodwill for impairment at least annually. Goodwill and the other purchased intangible assets are assessed more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset value might be impaired. We utilize the assistance of professional appraisal firms in the initial determination of the fair value of these intangible assets using various techniques. Certain techniques require us to make estimates and assumptions about the future financial performance of the acquired businesses that may change in the future. The declining sales and the operating losses experienced by VLI during the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008 indicated that the carrying values of VLI's goodwill and long-lived assets were impaired. We performed assessments of the carrying values and determined that the net unadjusted carrying values of these assets exceeded the current fair values. Accordingly, we recorded asset impairment losses related to VLI in the total amounts of \$2.0 million and \$6.8 million for the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

As of January 31, 2010 and 2009, our consolidated balance sheets included net deferred tax assets in the total amounts of \$3.2 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, resulting from our future deductible temporary differences. In assessing whether deferred tax assets may be realizable, we consider whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Our ability to realize our deferred tax assets depends primarily upon the generation of sufficient future taxable income to allow for the utilization of our deductible temporary differences and tax planning strategies. If such estimates and assumptions change in the future, we may be required to record additional valuation allowances against some or all of the deferred tax assets resulting in additional income tax expense in our consolidated statement of operations. At this time, based substantially on the strong earnings performance of our power industry services business segment, we believe that it is more likely than not that we will realize the benefit of our net deferred tax assets.

As discussed in Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements, we are involved in several legal matters where litigation has been initiated or claims have been made against us. We intend to vigorously defend ourselves in each case. At this time, management does not believe that a material loss is probable related to either one of the current

matters discussed therein. However, we do maintain accrued expense balances for the estimated amounts of legal costs expected to be billed related to each matter. Should our assessments of the outcomes of these legal matters change, significant losses or additional costs may be recorded.

In addition to evaluating estimates relating to the items discussed above, we also consider other estimates and judgments, including, but not limited to, those related to our allowances for doubtful accounts and inventory obsolescence. A description of the Company's significant accounting policies, including those discussed above, is included in Note 2 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Table of Contents****Adopted and Other Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**

Included in Note 3 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K are discussions of accounting pronouncements adopted by us during the year ended January 31, 2010 that we consider relevant to our consolidated financial statements and recently issued accounting pronouncements that have not yet been adopted.

**ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.**

We are exposed to changes in interest rates as a result of borrowings under our financing arrangement with Bank of America (the Bank), including a term loan with a total outstanding amount of approximately \$1.8 million at January 31, 2010, that bears interest at a floating rate. We had a floating-for-fixed interest rate swap agreement covering a portion of the term loan amount and hedging against changes in the floating interest rate that expired on December 31, 2009. As such, we are now exposed to increasing or decreasing market interest rates related to the term loan. Based on the scheduled outstanding indebtedness of our term loan, if market rates used to calculate interest expense were to average 1% higher in the next twelve months, our interest expense would increase by an amount not material to our consolidated results of operations. This analysis takes into account the current outstanding balance of our term loan with the Bank, assumed interest rates, and the current term-loan payment schedule. The result of this analysis would change if the underlying assumptions were modified.

**ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.**

See the Index to the Consolidated Financial Statements on page 46 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.**

None.

**ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.**

Attached as exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K are certifications of our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), which are required in accordance with Rule 13a-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). This Controls and Procedures section includes information concerning the controls and controls evaluation referred to in the certifications. Part II, Item 8, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K sets forth the report of Grant Thornton LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, regarding its audit of our internal control over financial reporting and of our assessment of internal control over financial reporting set forth below in this section. This section should be read in conjunction with the certifications and the report of Grant Thornton LLP for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (Disclosure Controls) as of the end of the year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The controls evaluation was conducted under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our CEO and CFO. Disclosure Controls are controls and procedures designed to reasonably assure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act, such as this Annual Report on Form 10-K, is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure Controls are also designed to reasonably assure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our quarterly evaluation of Disclosure Controls includes an evaluation of some components of our internal control over financial reporting, and internal control over financial reporting is also separately evaluated on an annual basis for purposes of providing the management report, which is set forth below.

Based on the controls evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that, as of the end of the year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Disclosure Controls, were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC, and the material information related to Argan, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries is made known to management, including the CEO and CFO, particularly during the period when our periodic reports are being prepared.





**Table of Contents**

**Management Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention of timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management assessed our internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2010, the end of the fiscal year. Management based its assessment on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Management's assessment included evaluation of elements such as the design and operating effectiveness of key financial reporting controls, process documentation, accounting policies, and our overall control environment.

Based on our assessment, management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of the end of the fiscal year to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. We reviewed the results of management's assessment with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors. In addition, on a quarterly basis we will evaluate any changes to our internal control over financial reporting to determine if material change occurred.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Grant Thornton LLP, has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting which is included below.

**Changes in Internal Controls**

No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the fiscal quarter ended January 31, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls**

The Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that our Disclosure Controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Projections of any evaluation of controls effectiveness to future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions of deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

**Table of Contents**

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Argan, Inc.

We have audited Argan, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) and subsidiaries (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ( COSO ). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by COSO.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of the Company as of January 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 31, 2010 and our report dated April 14, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

Baltimore, Maryland

April 14, 2010

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.**

Not Applicable.

**PART III**

**ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.**

The information required by this item will be incorporated by reference to our 2010 Proxy Statement relating to the election of directors and other matters, which is expected to be filed by us pursuant to Regulation 14A, within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

**ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.**

The information required by this item will be incorporated by reference to our 2010 Proxy Statement relating to the election of directors and other matters, which is expected to be filed by us pursuant to Regulation 14A, within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

**ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.**

The information required by this item will be incorporated by reference to our 2010 Proxy Statement relating to the election of directors and other matters, which is expected to be filed by us pursuant to Regulation 14A, within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

**ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.**

The information required by this item will be incorporated by reference to our 2010 Proxy Statement relating to the election of directors and other matters, which is expected to be filed by us pursuant to Regulation 14A, within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

**ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.**

The information required by this item will be incorporated by reference to our 2010 Proxy Statement relating to the election of directors and other matters which is expected to be filed by us pursuant to Regulation 14A, within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

**ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULE.**

The following exhibits are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

Exhibit No.	Description
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation, as amended. Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10-KSB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 27, 2004.
3.2	Bylaws. Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 14, 2009.
4.1	Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of May 4, 2006 between Argan, Inc. and the purchasers identified on Schedule A attached thereto. <sup>(a)</sup>
4.2	Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of December 8, 2006 by and among Argan, Inc. and the purchasers identified on Schedule A attached thereto. <sup>(b)</sup>
4.3	Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of December 8, 2006 by and between Argan, Inc. and Argan Investments LLC. <sup>(b)</sup>
4.4	Registration Rights Agreement dated December 8, 2006 by and between Argan, Inc. and Argan Investments LLC. <sup>(b)</sup>
4.5	

Edgar Filing: ARGAN INC - Form 10-K

Form of Subscription and Investment Agreement, relating to a private placement of 2.2 million shares of the Company's common stock completed July 2, 2008. Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 7, 2008.

- 42 -

---

**Table of Contents**

Exhibit No.	Description
10.1	2001 Incentive Stock Option Plan. Incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement filed on Schedule 14A with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 6, 2001.
10.2	Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant dated April 29, 2003. Incorporated by reference to Company's Form 10-KSB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 27, 2004.
10.3	Employment Agreement dated as of January 3, 2005 by and between Argan, Inc. and Rainer H. Bosselmann. Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 3, 2005, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 5, 2005.
10.4	Employment Agreement dated as of January 3, 2005 by and between Argan, Inc. and Arthur F. Trudel, Jr. Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 3, 2005, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 5, 2005.
10.5	Membership Interest Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 6, 2006, by and among, Argan, Inc., Gemma Power Systems, LLC, Gemma Power, Inc., Gemma Power Systems California, William F. Griffin, Jr. and Joel M. Canino. <sup>(b)</sup>
10.6	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 8, 2006, by and among Argan, Inc., Gemma Power Systems, LLC, Gemma Power, Inc., Gemma Power Systems California, William F. Griffin, Jr. and Joel M. Canino. <sup>(b)</sup>
10.7	Employment Agreement dated as of December 8, 2006 by and between Gemma Power Systems, LLC and William M. Griffin, Jr. <sup>(b)</sup>
10.8	First Amendment to the Employment Agreement of William F. Griffin, dated February 29, 2008. Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 5, 2008.
10.9	Second Amendment to the Employment Agreement of William F. Griffin, dated March 5, 2009. Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2009.
10.10	Second Amended and Restated Financing and Security Agreement dated December 11, 2006 by and among Argan, Inc., Southern Maryland Cable, Inc., Vitarich Laboratories, Inc., Gemma Power Systems, LLC, Gemma Power, Inc., Gemma Power Systems California, Gemma Power Hartford, LLC and Bank of America, N.A. <sup>(b)</sup>
10.11	Fourth Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Note dated December 11, 2006, issued by Argan, Inc., Southern Maryland Cable, Inc., Vitarich Laboratories, Inc., Gemma Power Systems, LLC, Gemma Power, Inc., Gemma Power Systems California and Gemma Power Hartford, LLC in favor of Bank of America, N.A. <sup>(b)</sup>
10.12	

Edgar Filing: ARGAN INC - Form 10-K

Acquisition Term Note dated December 11, 2006, issued by Argan, Inc., Southern Maryland Cable, Inc., Vitarich Laboratories, Inc., Gemma Power Systems, LLC, Gemma Power, Inc., Gemma Power Systems California and Gemma Power Hartford, LLC in favor of Bank of America, N.A. <sup>(b)</sup>

- 10.13 Pledge, Assignment and Security Agreement dated as of December 8, 2006 by Argan, Inc. (on behalf of Southern Maryland Cable, Inc.) in favor of Bank of America, N.A. <sup>(b)</sup>
- 10.14 Pledge, Assignment and Security Agreement dated as of December 8, 2006 by Argan, Inc. (on behalf of Vitarich Laboratories, Inc.) in favor of Bank of America, N.A. <sup>(b)</sup>
- 10.15 Pledge, Assignment and Security Agreement dated as of December 8, 2006 by Argan, Inc. (on behalf of Gemma Power Systems, LLC) in favor of Bank of America, N.A. <sup>(b)</sup>
- 10.16 Pledge, Assignment and Security Agreement dated as of December 8, 2006 by Argan, Inc. (on behalf of Gemma Power, Inc.) in favor of Bank of America, N.A. <sup>(b)</sup>
- 10.17 Pledge, Assignment and Security Agreement dated as of December 8, 2006 by Argan, Inc. (on behalf of Gemma Power Systems California) in favor of Bank of America, N.A. <sup>(b)</sup>

**Table of Contents**

Exhibit No.	Description
10.18	Pledge, Assignment and Security Agreement dated as of December 8, 2006 by Gemma Power Systems, LLC (on behalf of Gemma Power Hartford, LLC) in favor of Bank of America, N.A. <sup>(b)</sup>
10.19	Pledge and Assignment Agreement dated as of December 8, 2006 by Argan, Inc. in favor of Bank of America, N.A. for the benefit of Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America. <sup>(b)</sup>
10.20	First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Financing and Security Agreement, dated March 28, 2008, by and among Argan, Inc., Southern Maryland Cable, Inc., Vitarich Laboratories, Inc., Gemma Power Systems, LLC, Gemma Power, Inc., Gemma Power Systems California, Inc., Gemma Power Hartford, LLC and Bank of America, N.A. Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 24, 2008.
10.21	Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Financing and Security Agreement, dated June 3, 2008, by and among Argan, Inc., Southern Maryland Cable, Inc., Vitarich Laboratories, Inc., Gemma Power Systems, LLC, Gemma Power, Inc., Gemma Power Systems California, Inc., Gemma Power Hartford, LLC and Bank of America, N.A. Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 14, 2009.
14.1	Code of Ethics. Incorporated by reference to Company's Form 10-KSB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 27, 2004.
14.2	Argan, Inc. Code of Conduct (Amended January 2007). Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10-KSB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 26, 2007.
21	Subsidiaries of the Company. <sup>(c)</sup>
23.1	Consent of Grant Thornton LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. <sup>(c)</sup>
31.1	Certification of CEO required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. <sup>(c)</sup>
31.2	Certification of CFO required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. <sup>(c)</sup>
32.1	Certification of CEO required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. <sup>(c)</sup>
32.2	Certification of CFO required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. <sup>(c)</sup>

(a) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K, dated



May 4, 2006,  
filed with the  
Securities and  
Exchange  
Commission on  
May 9, 2006.

(b) Incorporated by  
reference to the  
Company's Form  
8-K, dated  
December 8,  
2006, filed with  
the Securities  
and Exchange  
Commission on  
December 14,  
2006.

(c) Filed herewith.

**Table of Contents****SIGNATURES**

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the Registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**ARGAN, INC.**

By: */s/ Rainer H. Bosselmann*

Rainer H. Bosselmann

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive  
Officer

Dated: April 14, 2010

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name	Title	Date
<i>/s/ Rainer H. Bosselmann</i> Rainer H. Bosselmann	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	April 14, 2010
<i>/s/ Arthur F. Trudel</i> Arthur F. Trudel	Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary (Principal Accounting and Financial Officer)	April 14, 2010
<i>/s/ Henry A. Crumpton</i> Henry A. Crumpton	Director	April 14, 2010
<i>/s/ Cynthia A. Flanders</i> Cynthia A. Flanders	Director	April 14, 2010
<i>/s/ DeSoto S. Jordan</i> DeSoto S. Jordan	Director	April 14, 2010
<i>/s/ William F. Leimkuhler</i> William F. Leimkuhler	Director	April 14, 2010
<i>/s/ Daniel A. Levinson</i> Daniel A. Levinson	Director	April 14, 2010
<i>/s/ W. G. Champion Mitchell</i> W. G. Champion Mitchell	Director	April 14, 2010
<i>/s/ James W. Quinn</i>	Director	April 14, 2010

James W. Quinn

- 45 -

---

**Table of Contents**

**ARGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULE  
JANUARY 31, 2010**

**The following financial statements and schedule (including the notes thereto and the Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm with respect thereto), are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.**

	Page No.
<u>Report of Grant Thornton LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	47
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at January 31, 2010 and 2009</u>	48
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	49
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	50
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	51
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	52
<u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u>	74

**Table of Contents**

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Argan, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Argan, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) and subsidiaries (the Company) as of January 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 31, 2010. Our audits of the basic financial statements included the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2). These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Argan, Inc. and subsidiaries as of January 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 31, 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated April 14, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

Baltimore, Maryland

April 14, 2010

**Table of Contents**

**ARGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
JANUARY 31,**

	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 66,009,000	\$ 74,666,000
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	4,979,000	12,986,000
Escrowed cash	5,002,000	10,000,000
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings	12,931,000	6,325,000
Inventories, net of obsolescence reserve	2,010,000	1,347,000
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,697,000	768,000
Deferred income tax assets	1,603,000	1,660,000
<b>TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS</b>	<b>95,231,000</b>	<b>107,752,000</b>
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	1,540,000	1,214,000
Goodwill	18,476,000	18,476,000
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization and impairment losses	3,258,000	3,655,000
Investment in unconsolidated subsidiary		2,107,000
Deferred income tax assets	1,628,000	1,743,000
Other assets	140,000	217,000
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 120,273,000</b>	<b>\$ 135,164,000</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 17,906,000	\$ 31,808,000
Accrued expenses	10,254,000	14,992,000
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	1,874,000	5,102,000
Current portion of long-term debt	1,833,000	2,301,000
<b>TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>	<b>31,867,000</b>	<b>54,203,000</b>
Long-term debt		1,833,000
Other liabilities	38,000	22,000
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>31,905,000</b>	<b>56,058,000</b>
<b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Notes 11 and 12)</b>		
<b>STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:</b>		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.10 per share - 500,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding		
Common stock, par value \$0.15 per share - 30,000,000 shares authorized; 13,585,727 and 13,437,684 shares issued at 1/31/10 and 1/31/09, and 13,582,494	2,038,000	2,015,000

Edgar Filing: ARGAN INC - Form 10-K

and 13,434,451 shares outstanding at 1/31/10 and 1/31/09

Warrants outstanding	613,000	738,000
Additional paid-in capital	87,048,000	84,786,000
Accumulated other comprehensive losses	(1,000)	(63,000)
Accumulated deficit	(1,297,000)	(8,337,000)
Treasury stock at cost - 3,233 shares at both 1/31/10 and 1/31/09	(33,000)	(33,000)
<b>TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>	<b>88,368,000</b>	<b>79,106,000</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>	<b>\$ 120,273,000</b>	<b>\$ 135,164,000</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**ARGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
**FOR THE YEARS ENDED JANUARY 31,**

	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>Net revenues</b>			
Power industry services	\$ 209,814,000	\$ 202,298,000	\$ 180,414,000
Nutritional products	13,999,000	10,075,000	16,669,000
Telecommunications infrastructure services	8,517,000	8,553,000	9,693,000
<b>Net revenues</b>	<b>232,330,000</b>	<b>220,926,000</b>	<b>206,776,000</b>
<b>Cost of revenues</b>			
Power industry services	188,983,000	169,046,000	162,418,000
Nutritional products	13,237,000	11,868,000	14,714,000
Telecommunications infrastructure services	6,629,000	7,127,000	8,059,000
<b>Cost of revenues</b>	<b>208,849,000</b>	<b>188,041,000</b>	<b>185,191,000</b>
<b>Gross profit</b>	<b>23,481,000</b>	<b>32,885,000</b>	<b>21,585,000</b>
Selling, general and administrative expenses	14,867,000	14,858,000	18,983,000
Impairment losses	43,000	3,134,000	6,826,000
<b>Income (loss) from operations</b>	<b>8,571,000</b>	<b>14,893,000</b>	<b>(4,224,000)</b>
Interest expense	(184,000)	(410,000)	(699,000)
Investment income	108,000	1,755,000	3,311,000
Equity in the earnings of an unconsolidated subsidiary	1,288,000	507,000	
Gain from bargain purchase	877,000		
<b>Income (loss) from operations before income taxes</b>	<b>10,660,000</b>	<b>16,745,000</b>	<b>(1,612,000)</b>
Income tax expense	(3,620,000)	(6,726,000)	(1,593,000)
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ 7,040,000</b>	<b>\$ 10,019,000</b>	<b>\$ (3,205,000)</b>
<b>Earnings per share:</b>			
Basic	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.80	\$ (0.29)
Diluted	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.78	\$ (0.29)
<b>Weighted average number of shares outstanding:</b>			
Basic	13,525,000	12,465,000	11,097,000
Diluted	13,766,000	12,779,000	11,097,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.





Table of Contents

**ARGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**  
**FOR THE YEARS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008**

	Common Stock Outstanding Shares	Common Stock Par Value	Warrants	Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensiv Losses	Accumulated Deficit	Treasury Stock	Totals
<b>Balance, February 1, 2007</b>	11,094,012	\$ 1,664,000	\$ 849,000	\$ 57,190,000	\$ (8,000)	\$ (15,151,000)	\$ (33,000)	\$ 44,511,000
Net loss						(3,205,000)		(3,205,000)
Other comprehensive loss					(99,000)			(99,000)
Total comprehensive loss								(3,304,000)
Exercise of stock options	12,500	2,000		44,000				46,000
Exercise of stock warrants	4,000	1,000	(15,000)	45,000				31,000
Stock option vesting				561,000				561,000
Other	(211)			21,000				21,000
<b>Balance, January 31, 2008</b>	11,110,301	1,667,000	834,000	57,861,000	(107,000)	(18,356,000)	(33,000)	41,866,000
Net income						10,019,000		10,019,000
Other comprehensive income					44,000			44,000
Total comprehensive income								10,063,000
Sale of common stock, net of offering costs of \$1,424,000	2,200,000	330,000		24,646,000				24,976,000

Edgar Filing: ARGAN INC - Form 10-K

Exercise of stock options	98,150	14,000		608,000				622,000
Exercise of stock warrants	26,000	4,000	(96,000)	293,000				201,000
Stock option vesting				1,196,000				1,196,000
Excess tax benefit on stock option exercises				182,000				182,000
<b>Balance, January 31, 2009</b>	13,434,451	2,015,000	738,000	84,786,000	(63,000)	(8,337,000)	(33,000)	79,106,000
Net income						7,040,000		7,040,000
Other comprehensive income					62,000			62,000
Total comprehensive income								7,102,000
Exercise of stock options	114,876	17,000		457,000				474,000
Exercise of stock warrants	34,000	5,000	(125,000)	383,000				263,000
Stock option vesting				1,040,000				1,040,000
Excess tax benefit on stock option exercises				383,000				383,000
Other	(833)	1,000		(1,000)				
<b>Balance, January 31, 2010</b>	13,582,494	\$ 2,038,000	\$ 613,000	\$ 87,048,000	\$ (1,000)	\$ (1,297,000)	\$ (33,000)	\$ 88,368,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**ARGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**FOR THE YEARS ENDED JANUARY 31,**

	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Net income (loss)	\$ 7,040,000	\$ 10,019,000	\$ (3,205,000)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Impairment losses on goodwill and other assets	43,000	3,134,000	6,826,000
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	354,000	1,404,000	6,184,000
Depreciation and other amortization	617,000	992,000	1,277,000
Deferred income taxes	13,000	(2,169,000)	(2,705,000)
Non-cash stock option compensation expense	1,040,000	1,196,000	561,000
Provision for inventory obsolescence	518,000	1,637,000	434,000
Equity in the earnings of an unconsolidated subsidiary	(1,288,000)	(507,000)	
Gain from bargain purchase	(877,000)		
(Gain) loss on sale of assets	(76,000)	108,000	74,000
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	294,000	129,000	45,000
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable, net	7,713,000	(5,095,000)	(7,099,000)
Escrowed cash	4,998,000	4,398,000	633,000
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings	(6,606,000)	(6,083,000)	11,761,000
Inventories, net	(1,074,000)	(176,000)	(855,000)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(1,769,000)	458,000	(791,000)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(20,455,000)	4,066,000	(7,278,000)
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	(3,449,000)	(24,992,000)	36,608,000
Other	10,000	13,000	30,000
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(12,954,000)	(11,468,000)	42,500,000
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Net cash provided in connection with the acquisition of GRP	5,981,000		
Payment of contingent acquisition price		(2,000,000)	
Investment in unconsolidated subsidiary		(1,600,000)	
Purchase of investments			(19,997,000)
Proceeds from the sale of investments			22,268,000
Purchases of property and equipment	(199,000)	(370,000)	(873,000)
Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment	79,000	59,000	45,000
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	5,861,000	(3,911,000)	1,443,000
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Principal payments on long-term debt	(2,301,000)	(2,581,000)	(2,586,000)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options and warrants	737,000	823,000	77,000
Net proceeds from the sale of common stock		24,976,000	

Edgar Filing: ARGAN INC - Form 10-K

Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(1,564,000)	23,218,000	(2,509,000)
<b>NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	<b>(8,657,000)</b>	<b>7,839,000</b>	<b>41,434,000</b>
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF YEAR</b>	<b>74,666,000</b>	<b>66,827,000</b>	<b>25,393,000</b>
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF YEAR</b>	<b>\$ 66,009,000</b>	<b>\$ 74,666,000</b>	<b>\$ 66,827,000</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**ARGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**JANUARY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008**

**NOTE 1 DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS**

Argan, Inc. ( Argan ) conducts its operations through its wholly owned subsidiaries, Gemma Power Systems, LLC and affiliates ( GPS ) which were acquired in December 2006 and which provide approximately 90% of consolidated net revenues, Vitarich Laboratories, Inc. ( VLI ) which was acquired in August 2004, and Southern Maryland Cable, Inc. ( SMC ) which was acquired in July 2003. Argan and its consolidated wholly-owned subsidiaries are hereinafter referred to as the Company. Through GPS, the Company provides a full range of engineering, procurement, construction, commissioning, maintenance and consulting services to the power generation and renewable energy markets for a wide range of customers including public utilities and independent power project owners. Through VLI, the Company develops and manufactures premium nutritional supplements, whole-food dietary supplements and personal care products. Through SMC, the Company provides telecommunications infrastructure services including project management, construction, installation and maintenance to the federal government, telecommunications and broadband service providers, and electric utilities primarily in the Mid-Atlantic region. Each of the wholly-owned subsidiaries represents a separate reportable segment.

In June 2008, the Company announced that GPS entered into a business partnership with Invenergy Wind Management, LLC ( Invenergy ) for the design and construction of wind-energy farms located in the United States and Canada. Originally, the partners each owned 50% of the new company, Gemma Renewable Power, LLC ( GRP ). In December 2009, the Company acquired Invenergy s ownership and GRP became a wholly-owned subsidiary of GPS (see Note 8).

**NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

*Basis of Presentation* The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Argan and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. The Company s fiscal year ends on January 31. The results of companies acquired during a reporting period are included in the consolidated financial statements from the effective date of the acquisition. All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The Company accounted for its 50% ownership investment in GRP using the equity method. In Note 18, the Company has provided certain financial information relating to the operating results and assets of its industry segments based on the manner in which management disaggregates the Company s financial reporting for purposes of making internal operating decisions. Certain amounts in the prior year consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform with the presentation in the current year consolidated financial statements.

*Use of Estimates* The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( US GAAP ) requires management to make use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, net revenues, expenses, and certain financial statement disclosures including those contained in Note 12. Management believes that the estimates, judgments and assumptions upon which it relies are reasonable based upon information available to it at the time that these estimates, judgments and assumptions are made. Estimates are used for, but not limited to, the Company s accounting for revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts, inventory valuation, long-lived assets, assets with indefinite lives including goodwill and certain other intangible assets, contingent obligations, and deferred taxes. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

*Codification of US GAAP* On June 30, 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB ) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* ( SFAS No. 168 ) in order to establish the *FASB Accounting Standards Codification* (the Codification or ASC ), which officially launched July 1, 2009, as the sole source of authoritative generally accepted accounting principles in the United States for nongovernmental entities, except for guidance issued by the SEC. SFAS No. 168, which was primarily codified into ASC Topic 105, *Generally Accepted Accounting Standards*, replaced the four-tiered US GAAP hierarchy described in SFAS No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, with a two-level hierarchy consisting only of authoritative and nonauthoritative guidance. The Codification superseded all existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other

non-grandfathered, non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification became nonauthoritative. The Company adopted SFAS No. 168 for its consolidated financial statements for the current year. Accordingly, all relevant references to authoritative literature reflect the newly adopted Codification.

*Fair Value of Financial Instruments* The carrying value amounts of the Company's cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and other current liabilities are reasonable estimates of their fair values due to the short-term nature of these instruments. The carrying value amount of the Company's term loan approximates its fair value because the applicable interest rate is variable.

**Table of Contents**

The Company adopted the provisions of ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, during the first quarter of the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009 which resulted in no material impact on the consolidated financial statements. These requirements apply to all assets and liabilities that are being measured and reported on a fair value basis with the exception of nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date in the principal or most advantageous market. The requirements prescribe a fair value hierarchy that has three levels of inputs, both observable and unobservable, with use of the lowest possible level of input to determine fair value. Level 1 inputs include quoted market prices in an active market or the price of an identical asset or liability. The Company currently has no assets or liabilities for which it utilizes Level 1 inputs. Level 2 inputs are market data other than Level 1 that are observable either directly or indirectly including quoted market prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted market prices in an inactive market, and other observable information that can be corroborated by market data. The Company's assets and liabilities that utilized Level 2 inputs included the interest rate swap liability associated with its long term debt. Level 3 inputs are unobservable and corroborated by little or no market data. The Company's fair value measurements that utilize Level 3 inputs consist primarily of nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities for which the guidance has been deferred.

*Derivative Financial Instruments* The Company has used interest rate swap agreements to hedge the fluctuations in variable interest rates related to long term debt. The Company recognizes these derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet and they are carried at fair value. An amount of \$62,000 was included in accrued liabilities at January 31, 2009 related to two swap agreements that expired in the current year. As the interest rate swap agreements were designated as cash flow hedging instruments and were effective as hedges, changes in the fair value amounts of the interest rate swap agreements were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income/loss. Any instrument that would not qualify for hedge accounting would be marked to market with changes recorded in current earnings.

*Inventories* Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market (i.e., net realizable value). Cost is determined on the first-in first-out (FIFO) method and includes material, labor and overhead costs. Fixed overhead is allocated to inventory based on the normal capacity of the Company's production facilities. Any costs related to idle facilities, excess spoilage, excess freight or re-handling are expensed currently as period costs. Appropriate consideration is given to obsolescence, excessive inventory levels, product deterioration and other factors (i.e. lot expiration dates, the ability to recertify or test for extended expiration dates, the number of products that can be produced using the available raw materials and the market acceptance or regulatory issues surrounding certain materials) in evaluating net realizable value.

*Property and Equipment* Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is determined using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which are generally from five to twenty years. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the related asset or the lease term, whichever is shorter. The costs of maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred and major improvements are capitalized. When assets are sold or retired, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and the resulting gain or loss is included in earnings.

*Goodwill and Other Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets* The Company reviews for impairment, at least annually, the carrying values of goodwill and other purchased intangible assets deemed to have an indefinite life. The Company tests for impairment of goodwill and these other intangible assets more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset value might be impaired. Goodwill impairment is determined using a two-step process. The first step of the goodwill impairment test is to identify a potential impairment by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. The estimate of fair value of a reporting unit, generally a Company's operating segment, is determined using various valuation techniques, with the principal techniques being a discounted cash flow analysis and market multiple valuation. A discounted cash flow analysis requires making various judgmental assumptions, including assumptions about future cash flows, growth rates and discount rates. After taking into consideration industry and Company trends, if the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is not deemed impaired and the second step of the impairment test is not performed. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step of



the goodwill impairment test is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The second step of the goodwill impairment test compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in the same manner as the amount of goodwill recognized in a business combination. Accordingly, the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to all of the assets and liabilities of that reporting unit (including any unrecognized intangible assets) as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination and the fair value of the reporting unit was the purchase price paid to acquire the reporting unit.

**Table of Contents**

*Long-Lived Assets* Long-lived assets, consisting primarily of purchased intangible assets with definite lives and property and equipment are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that a carrying amount should be assessed. The Company determines whether any impairment exists by comparing the carrying value of a long-lived asset to the undiscounted future cash flows expected to result from the use of the assets. In the event the Company determines that an impairment of carrying value exists, a loss would be recognized based on the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the asset, which is generally determined by using quoted market prices or valuation techniques such as the present value of expected future cash flows, appraisals, or other pricing models as appropriate. The useful lives and amortization of purchased intangible assets are described in Note 9.

*Revenue Recognition Power Industry Services* Net revenues are recognized under various construction agreements, including agreements under which net revenues are based on a fixed price basis or cost-plus-fee, with typical durations of one to three years. Net revenues from cost-plus-fee construction agreements are recognized on the basis of costs incurred during the period plus the fee earned, measured using the cost-to-cost method. Net revenues from fixed price construction agreements, including a portion of estimated profit, are recognized as services are provided, based on costs incurred and estimated total contract costs using the percentage of completion method. Changes to total estimated contract costs or losses, if any, are recognized in the period in which they are determined. Unapproved change orders, which approximated \$4.2 million at January 31, 2010, are accounted for in net revenues and costs when it is probable that costs will be recovered through a change in the contract price. In circumstances where recovery is considered probable but the net revenues cannot be reliably estimated, costs attributable to change orders are deferred pending determination of contract price. Construction agreements may contain incentive fees that provide for increasing the Company's total fee on a particular contract based on the actual amount of costs incurred in relation to an agreed upon target cost. The Company includes such fees in the determination of total estimated net revenues when management believes that it is probable that such fees have been earned, which is typically near the end of the contract performance period.

*Revenue Recognition Nutritional Products* Pursuant to purchase orders received from customers, net revenues from the sale of products are recognized at the time that title passes, typically upon shipment, and the amount due from the customer is fixed and the collection of the amount is reasonably assured. Revenues are recognized on a net basis which reflect reductions for certain product returns and discounts. All shipping and handling fees and related costs are recorded as components of cost of revenues.

*Revenue Recognition Telecommunications Infrastructure Services* This business segment generates net revenues under various arrangements, including contracts under which net revenues are based on a fixed price basis and on a time and materials basis. Net revenues from time and materials contracts are recognized when the related services are provided to the customer. Net revenues from fixed price contracts, including portions of estimated profit, are recognized as services are provided, based on costs incurred and estimated amounts of total contract costs using the percentage of completion method. Many of the contracts include multiple deliverables. Because these projects are fully integrated undertakings, the Company cannot separate the services provided into individual components. Losses on contracts, if any, are recognized in the periods in which they become known.

*Income Taxes* Accounting for income taxes requires that deferred tax assets and liabilities be recognized using enacted tax rates for the effects of temporary differences between the book and tax bases of recorded assets and liabilities. US GAAP also requires that a deferred tax asset be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The Company adopted the guidance for uncertainty in income taxes included in Subtopic 10 of ASC 740, *Income Taxes*. This section of ASC 740 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements, and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement process for financial statement recognition and measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. It also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. There was no material effect on the consolidated financial statements as the result of adopting these requirements.

*Stock-Based Compensation* In accordance with the requirements of ASC 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation*, the Company measures and recognizes compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees

and directors using a fair value based option pricing model. The compensation expense is recognized over the requisite service period.

*Comprehensive Income (Loss)* Unrealized gains and on the Company's interest rate swap agreements, deemed to be effective cash flow hedges, have been included in comprehensive income (loss) and excluded from the determination of net income (loss).

**Table of Contents****NOTE 3 ADOPTED AND OTHER RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS****Accounting Pronouncements Adopted During the Year Ended January 31, 2010**

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141R which was codified in ASC 805, *Business Combinations*. This accounting guidance provides greater consistency in the accounting and financial reporting of business combinations. It requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize all assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction, establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed, establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures any non-controlling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired, and requires the acquirer to disclose the nature and financial effect of the business combination. Among other changes, this guidance also requires that negative goodwill be recognized in earnings as a gain attributable to the acquisition, that acquisition-related costs be recognized separately from the acquisition and expensed as incurred and that any deferred tax benefits resulting from a business combination be recognized in income from continuing operations in the period of the combination. In general, this pronouncement became effective for the Company for business combinations occurring subsequent to January 31, 2009. These requirements were applied in the Company's accounting for the acquisition of GRP that included a gain on the bargain purchase of approximately \$877,000 (see Note 8). The accounting for future acquisitions, if any, may be affected by this pronouncement and will be evaluated by the Company at that time.

In November 2008, the Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) of the FASB reached consensus on Issue 08-6, *Equity Method Investment Accounting Considerations*. This issue clarifies the accounting for some transactions and impairment considerations involving all investments accounted for under the equity method. Guidance is provided regarding (1) how the initial carrying value of an equity investment should be determined, (2) how an impairment assessment of an underlying indefinite-lived intangible asset of an equity-method investment should be performed, (3) how an equity-method investee's issuance of shares should be accounted for and, (4) how to account for a change in an investment from the equity method to the cost method. This guidance became effective for the Company's interim and annual consolidated financial statements on February 1, 2009, and its adoption did not have an impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In November 2008, the EITF also reached consensus on Issue No. 08-7, *Accounting for Defensive Intangible Assets*. Defensive assets are assets acquired in a business combination that the acquirer (1) does not intend to use or (2) intends to use in a way other than the assets' highest and best use as determined by an evaluation of market participant assumptions. Defensive assets also are referred to as locked-up assets because while the asset is not being actively used, it is likely contributing to an increase in the value of other assets owned by the acquiring entity. This issue addresses the accounting for defensive intangible assets subsequent to initial recognition and became effective for intangible assets that would be acquired by the Company subsequent to January 31, 2009. Adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 165 ( SFAS No. 165 ) which was codified in ASC 855, *Subsequent Events*. In February 2010, the FASB amended this pronouncement with Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) 2010-09, *Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements*. This guidance, as amended, establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financials statements are issued or are available to be issued. This requires (1) recognition in the financial statements of the effects of all subsequent events that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet, including the estimates inherent in the process of preparing financial statements, and (2) disclosure of the nature of any non-recognized subsequent event and the related estimate of the financial effects to keep the financial statements from being misleading, or a statement that such an estimate cannot be made. Entities that are filers with the Securities and Exchange Commission are required to evaluate subsequent events through the date that the financial statements are issued. This guidance applies only to the accounting for and disclosure of subsequent events not addressed in other US GAAP. The Company adopted SFAS No. 165 on July 31, 2009. ASU 2010-09 was adopted upon its issuance. Adoption did not have an impact on the consolidated financial statements other than the inclusion of the required disclosure in Note 21.



**Table of Contents****Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements That Have Not Been Adopted**

In October 2009, the FASB issued two Accounting Standards Updates in order to codify the guidance in consensus reached by the EITF at its September meeting ASU 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force*; and ASU 2009-14, *Certain Arrangements That Include Software Elements a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force*. The objective of ASU 2009-13 is to address the accounting for multiple-deliverable arrangements that enables vendors to account for products or services (deliverables) separately rather than as a combined unit. The update establishes the accounting and reporting guidance for arrangements under which a vendor will perform multiple revenue-generating activities. As a result, these arrangements will be separated in more circumstances than permitted by U.S GAAP currently. The amendments in ASU 2009-14 change the accounting model for revenue arrangements that include both tangible products and software elements. Under this new guidance, accounting for the revenue associated with tangible products containing software components and non-software components that function together to deliver the product's essential functionality will no longer be governed by the software revenue-recognition rules. As a result, the accounting for the elements will now be within the scope of ASU 2009-13. The guidance in these ASUs will be effective at the beginning of the Company's fiscal year commencing February 1, 2011 and will apply to the Company's interim and fiscal year consolidated financial statements thereafter. The Company does not expect that the adoption of these updates will have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**NOTE 4 CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS AND CASH IN ESCROW**

The Company holds cash on deposit in excess of federally insured limits and liquid mutual fund investments at Bank of America (the Bank). Management does not believe that the risks associated with keeping deposits in excess of federal deposit limits or holding investments in liquid mutual funds represent material risks currently. The Company considers all liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents.

Pursuant to the agreement covering the acquisition of GPS, the Company deposited \$10.0 million into an escrow account with the Bank in December 2006 which secured a letter of credit that was issued in support of a bonding commitment. In August 2009, the letter of credit was amended with the amount required by the surety reduced to \$5.0 million. Accordingly, approximately \$5.0 million was released from the escrow account during the current year. For certain construction projects, cash may be held in escrow as a substitute for retainage. However, no amount of cash related to construction projects was held in escrow as of January 31, 2010 or 2009.

In 2003, Argan completed the sale of Puroflow Incorporated (Puroflow), a wholly-owned subsidiary, to Western Filter Corporation (WFC). Proceeds in the amount of \$300,000 were placed in escrow in order to indemnify WFC from any damages resulting from any breach of representations and warranties under the stock purchase agreement. This escrow fund was liquidated in December 2008 in connection with the settlement of the litigation with WFC (see Note 12).

**NOTE 5 ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE; COSTS AND ESTIMATED EARNINGS IN EXCESS OF BILLINGS**

Both accounts receivable and costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings represent amounts due from customers for services rendered or products delivered. The timing of billings to customers under construction-type contracts varies based on individual contracts and often differs from the periods in which net revenues are recognized. The amount of costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings at January 31, 2010 was approximately \$12.9 million; this amount is expected to be billed and collected in the normal course of business. The comparable amount of costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings at January 31, 2009 was \$6.3 million. Retainages included in accounts receivable represent amounts withheld by construction customers until a defined phase of a contract or project has been completed and accepted by the customer. The retainage amount included in accounts receivable at January 31, 2010 was \$260,000; there were no outstanding retainages as of January 31, 2009. The length of retainage periods may vary, but they are typically between six months and two years.

The Company conducts business and may extend credit to customers based on an evaluation of the customers financial condition, generally without requiring collateral. Exposure to losses on accounts receivable is expected to differ by customer due to the varying financial condition of each customer. The Company monitors its exposure to credit losses and maintains allowances for anticipated losses considered necessary under the circumstances based on historical experience with uncollected accounts and a review of its currently outstanding accounts receivable. The

amount of the allowance for doubtful accounts at January 31, 2010 was \$5.8 million. At January 31, 2009 the balance was \$22.4 million. Last year, the allowance was increased by \$22.2 million which was offset by the elimination of a corresponding amount of billings in excess of cost and estimated earnings. During the current year, a substantial portion of the accounts receivable from the owner of a partially completed construction project was written down against the allowance, without any effect on the statement of operations for the current year, to \$5.5 million, the amount of the net proceeds remaining from a public auction of the facility. The amount of the Company's share of the auction proceeds, if any, is not known at this time. The amounts of provision for accounts receivable losses for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$294,000, \$129,000 and \$45,000, respectively.

**Table of Contents****NOTE 6 INVENTORIES**

Inventories consisted of the following amounts at January 31:

	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
Raw materials	\$ 3,586,000	\$ 2,748,000
Work-in-process	54,000	118,000
Finished goods	270,000	171,000
	3,910,000	3,037,000
Less reserves	(1,900,000)	(1,690,000)
Inventories, net	\$ 2,010,000	\$ 1,347,000

The amounts expensed for inventory obsolescence were approximately \$518,000, \$1.6 million and \$434,000, respectively, during the fiscal years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008. The amounts of costs expensed during the fiscal years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 related to excess manufacturing capacity at VLI were approximately \$840,000, \$1.4 million and \$327,000, respectively.

**NOTE 7 PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

Property and equipment consisted of the following at January 31:

	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
Leasehold improvements	\$ 806,000	\$ 829,000
Machinery and equipment	2,990,000	2,738,000
Trucks and other vehicles	1,769,000	1,263,000
	5,565,000	4,830,000
Less accumulated depreciation	(4,025,000)	(3,616,000)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 1,540,000	\$ 1,214,000

Depreciation expense amounts for property and equipment, including assets under capital leases, for the fiscal years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were approximately \$478,000, \$845,000 and \$1,120,000, respectively. The costs of maintenance and repairs were \$564,000, \$490,000 and \$545,000 for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. During the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009, the Company recorded an impairment loss in the amount of approximately \$1.0 million related to the fixed assets of VLI as described in Note 9. Since then, the costs of fixed asset purchases at VLI have been expensed; such costs amounted to approximately \$379,000 and \$194,000 for the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

**NOTE 8 ACQUISITION OF GEMMA RENEWABLE POWER, LLC**

On December 17, 2009, the Company acquired 50% of the outstanding membership interests (the Membership Acquisition ) of GRP, a Delaware limited liability company, from Invenergy. The acquisition was completed pursuant to the terms and conditions of a Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated as of December 16, 2009 (the Purchase Agreement ). As a result of the Membership Acquisition, GRP became a wholly-owned subsidiary of GPS.

GPS entered into the business partnership in June 2008 for the design and construction of wind-energy farms located in the United States and Canada. Prior to the acquisition, GPS made cash investments in GRP totaling \$1,600,000 and recorded its share of the undistributed earnings of GRP since its formation in the amount of \$1,794,000 for a total investment in GRP of \$3,394,000. The investment amount included in the Company's consolidated balance sheet as of January 31, 2009 was \$2,107,000. GPS completed this acquisition in order for GRP to have the right to pursue wind-farm construction projects developed by a variety of project owners.





**Table of Contents**

The purchase price for the remaining 50% ownership interest in GRP was \$3,183,000 (the Consideration ) which the Company believes to be less than the fair value of the net assets received on the acquisition date. This cash amount is payable and conditioned upon the following:

- (i) \$1,583,000 of the Consideration was paid in January 2010 upon the award to GRP by Invenergy of a certain wind farm construction project as identified and described in the Purchase Agreement and the satisfaction of certain other conditions;
- (ii) \$800,000 of the Consideration shall be paid upon the award to GRP by Invenergy of a second wind farm construction project as set forth in the Purchase Agreement (amount included in accrued liabilities at January 31, 2010); and
- (iii) \$800,000 of the Consideration shall be paid on or before the four-month anniversary of the award of the second project provided that the construction of such project has not been delayed as fully described in the Purchase Agreement (amount included in accrued liabilities at January 31, 2010).

GRP has a right of first offer, as described in the Purchase Agreement, on Invenergy's subsequent wind farm projects until GRP is awarded a second project. If GRP has not been awarded a project by March 31, 2010, then GRP shall receive a monthly reduction (maximum monthly reduction is \$75,000) of the remaining \$1,600,000 of Consideration payable by GPS pursuant to a documented formula until either GRP is awarded a second project or such monthly reductions reach a total amount of \$1,600,000.

The following table summarizes the allocation of the purchase price to the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date. The Company's allocation was based on an evaluation by management of the appropriate fair values. The transactions costs were not significant. The allocation was completed as follows:

Cash	\$ 7,563,000
Construction equipment	608,000
Other assets	329,000
<b>Total assets acquired</b>	<b>8,500,000</b>
Due to Invenergy	(3,183,000)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(667,000)
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	(220,000)
Deferred taxes	(159,000)
<b>Total liabilities assumed</b>	<b>(4,229,000)</b>
Net assets acquired	4,271,000
Less Investment in GRP	(3,394,000)
Gain on Bargain Purchase	\$ 877,000

The Membership Acquisition resulted in a bargain purchase for the net assets of GRP primarily due to the fair value adjustment of certain acquired assets and liabilities.

The following amounts present the results of GRP that were included in our consolidated statements of operations from the effective date of the Membership Acquisition, December 17, 2009, through January 31, 2010:

	<b>Forty-Six Days Ended January 31, 2010</b>
Net revenues	\$ 2,026,000
Gross profit	304,000
Income from operations	156,000

- 58 -

---

**Table of Contents**

The following unaudited pro forma information assumes that the Membership Acquisition had occurred on June 3, 2008, the formation date of GRP. The pro forma information, as presented below, is not indicative of the results that would have been obtained had the transaction occurred on June 3, 2008, nor is it indicative of the Company's future results.

	<b>Year Ended January 31,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
Pro forma net revenues	\$ 263,825,000	\$ 236,158,000
Pro forma net income	\$ 7,851,000	\$ 10,324,000
Pro forma net income per share:		
Basic	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.83
Diluted	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.81

Prior to the Membership Acquisition and under an agreement with GRP, GPS incurred certain costs on behalf of GRP. In addition, GPS provided administrative and accounting services for GRP. The total amount of such reimbursable costs incurred by GPS in the fiscal years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009 were approximately \$1,059,000 and \$1,502,000, respectively.

**NOTE 9 PURCHASED INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

In connection with the acquisitions of GPS, VLI and SMC, the Company recorded substantial amounts of goodwill and other purchased intangible assets including contractual and other customer relationships, non-compete agreements and trade names.

**Impairment Losses SMC**

Last year, the operating results of SMC declined. Net revenues decreased by approximately 12% from the prior year. Income before income taxes for this business declined to a loss before impairment losses and income taxes of approximately \$112,000 for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009. Primarily, the operating results were adversely impacted by reductions in the amounts of outside plant work performed for both of SMC's largest customers in this area. In light of these results and the depressed state of residential and commercial construction activity, the Company reduced its estimates of future operating results for this business. The impairment analysis conducted last year using the reduced estimates of future income and considering the reduced market values of comparable companies indicated that the net carrying value of this business exceeded its fair value. In addition, it was determined that the net carrying value of SMC's purchased intangible asset relating to contractual customer relationships exceeded its fair value based on discounted estimated future cash flows. As a result, SMC recorded impairment losses for these excess carrying values of goodwill and contractual customer relationships in the amounts of \$940,000 and \$151,000, respectively, which were included in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended January 31, 2009. An updated estimate of the fair value of SMC's trade name, an indefinite-lived intangible asset, performed during the fourth quarter of the current year indicated that its carrying value of \$224,000 exceeded the fair value of this asset by \$43,000. An impairment loss for this excess amount was recorded by SMC and was included in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended January 31, 2010.

**Impairment Losses VLI**

During the fiscal years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, VLI reported operating results that were consistently below expected results. The loss of major customers and the reduction in the amounts of orders received from major customers caused net revenues to decline and this business to operate at a loss. As a result, management conducted a series of analyses in order to determine whether impairment losses had occurred related to the goodwill and the long-lived assets of VLI. Using the income and market approaches, assessment analyses conducted in each year indicated that the carrying value of the business exceeded its fair value. VLI recorded impairment losses for the carrying value excess amounts of \$921,000 and approximately \$5.6 million, respectively, during the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008. These losses were included in the consolidated statement of operations for the corresponding year and wrote-off the carrying value of VLI's goodwill.

In addition, based on comparisons to estimated amounts of future undiscounted cash flows, it was determined that the carrying values of VLI's long-lived assets were not recoverable and that the carrying values of these assets exceeded their corresponding fair values based on estimated amounts of discounted cash flows. As a result, VLI recorded impairment losses related to its other purchased intangible assets and its fixed assets in the total approximate amounts of \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, during the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008. These impairment losses, which wrote-off most of the carrying value of the applicable assets, were included in the consolidated statement of operations for the corresponding year.

**Table of Contents****Goodwill**

Additional purchase price of \$2.0 million in cash became payable to the former owners of GPS during the year ended January 31, 2008 as the earnings of GPS before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ( EBITDA ) adjusted for Argan s corporate overhead charge, exceeded the \$12.0 million adjusted EBITDA target amount established and defined in the merger agreement for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007. The amount of this additional purchase price amount was recorded as goodwill. The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended January 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 were as follows:

	<b>SMC</b>	<b>VLI</b>	<b>GPS</b>	<b>Total</b>
Balances, February 1, 2007	\$ 940,000	\$ 6,565,000	\$ 16,476,000	\$ 23,981,000
Impairment losses		(5,644,000)		(5,644,000)
Acquisition of GPS			2,000,000	2,000,000
Balances, January 31, 2008	940,000	921,000	18,476,000	20,337,000
Impairment losses	(940,000)	(921,000)		(1,861,000)
Balances, January 31, 2009			18,476,000	18,476,000
Impairment losses				
Balances, January 31, 2010	\$	\$	\$ 18,476,000	\$ 18,476,000

For income tax reporting purposes, goodwill allocated to GPS in the approximate amount of \$12.3 million (including \$2.0 million added in the year ended January 31, 2008) is being amortized on a straight-line basis over periods of 15 years. The remaining amounts of the Company s goodwill are not amortizable for income tax reporting purposes.

**Other Purchased Intangible Assets Descriptions**

The Company s other purchased intangible assets consisted of the following assets at January 31, 2010 and 2009:

*Trade Names* The Company determined the fair values of the GPS and SMC Trade Names using a relief-from-royalty methodology. The Company also considered recognition by potential customers of a trade name such as GPS. The Company believes that the useful life of the GPS Trade Name is fifteen years, the period over which the Trade Name is expected to contribute to future cash flows. Management concluded that the useful life of the SMC Trade Name was indefinite since it is expected to contribute directly to future cash flows in perpetuity. While SMC is not a nationally recognized trade name, it is a recognized name in the Mid-Atlantic region, SMC s primary area of operations. The Company uses the relief-from-royalty method described above to test the SMC Trade Name for impairment annually on November 1 and on an interim basis if events or changes in circumstances between annual tests indicate the SMC Trade Name might be impaired. An impairment loss of \$43,000 was recorded by SMC related to the trade name intangible asset during the year ended January 31, 2010.

*Non-Compete Agreements* The fair value amounts of three non-compete agreements with the former owners of acquired businesses were determined at the time of the acquisitions by discounting the estimated reductions in the cash flows that would be expected if the key employees were to leave the Company. These key employees signed non-compete agreements prohibiting them from competing directly or indirectly for five years. The estimated reduced cash flows were discounted based on a rate that reflected the perceived risk of the applicable non-compete agreement, the estimated weighted average cost of capital and the asset mix of the acquired company. The Company is amortizing fair value amounts ascribed to the non-compete agreements over five years, the contractual length of the non-compete agreements. VLI recorded an impairment loss related to this asset of \$603,000 during the fiscal year ended January 31, 2008.

In connection with the acquisitions, the Company also recorded intangible assets based on the estimated fair value amounts associated with customer contracts and other longstanding customer relationships. The estimated amounts were based on the discounted future cash flows expected from these contracts and relationships. The amounts of estimated cash flows reflected the evaluation of historical levels of business, existing orders and contracts, anticipated

future projects and general economic conditions. Discount factors reflected various factors including the long-term nature of certain customer relationships and the inherent difficulty in completing certain projects. The amortization periods established for these assets ranged from eight months to seven years. As a result of scheduled amortization and impairment losses recorded by VLI and SMC, the carrying value amounts for these intangible assets were written-off during the year ended January 31, 2009. The fair value of the proprietary formulas was determined and recorded at the time of the acquisition of VLI. Cash flow forecasts were developed based on employing a technology contribution approach in order to determine the amounts of net revenues associated with existing proprietary formulations. The amortization of the carrying value amount was completed during the year ended January 31, 2008.

**Table of Contents****Other Purchased Intangible Assets Changes in Asset Carrying Values**

The changes in the carrying amounts of other purchased intangible assets for the years ended January 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 were as follows.

Description	Estimated	Balance	Additions	Impairment	Amortization	Balance
	Useful Lives	February 1, 2007		Charges		January 31, 2008
Contractual customer relationships -						
- SMC	7 years	\$ 358,000	\$	\$	\$ (104,000)	\$ 254,000
- VLI	5 years	1,033,000		(578,000)	(330,000)	125,000
Customer relationships - GPS	1-2 years	5,722,000			(4,818,000)	904,000
Proprietary formulas - VLI	3 years	268,000			(268,000)	
Non-compete agreements -						
- VLI	5 years	930,000		(603,000)	(315,000)	12,000
- GPS	5 years	518,000			(106,000)	412,000
Trade name - GPS	15 years	3,608,000			(243,000)	3,365,000
Trade name - SMC	Indefinite	224,000				224,000
Totals		\$ 12,661,000	\$	\$ (1,181,000)	\$ (6,184,000)	\$ 5,296,000

Description	Estimated	Balance	Additions	Impairment	Amortization	Balance
	Useful Lives	January 31, 2008		Charges		January 31, 2009
Contractual customer relationships -						
- SMC	7 years	\$ 254,000	\$	\$ (151,000)	\$ (103,000)	\$
- VLI	5 years	125,000		(86,000)	(39,000)	
Customer relationships - GPS	1-2 years	904,000			(904,000)	
Non-compete agreements -						
- VLI	5 years	12,000			(8,000)	4,000
- GPS	5 years	412,000			(107,000)	305,000
Trade name - GPS	15 years	3,365,000			(243,000)	3,122,000
Trade name - SMC	Indefinite	224,000				224,000



Edgar Filing: ARGAN INC - Form 10-K

Totals \$ 5,296,000 \$ \$ (237,000) \$ (1,404,000) \$ 3,655,000

<b>Description</b>	<b>Estimated Useful Lives</b>	<b>Balance January 31, 2009</b>	<b>Additions</b>	<b>Impairment Charges</b>	<b>Amortization</b>	<b>Balance January 31, 2010</b>
Non-compete agreements -						
- VLI	5 years	\$ 4,000	\$	\$	\$ (4,000)	\$
- GPS	5 years	305,000			(107,000)	198,000
Trade name - GPS	15 years	3,122,000			(243,000)	2,879,000
Trade name - SMC	Indefinite	224,000		(43,000)		181,000
Totals		\$ 3,655,000	\$	\$ (43,000)	\$ (354,000)	\$ 3,258,000

**Table of Contents****Other Purchased Intangible Assets Future Amortization Expense**

The estimated amounts of amortization expense related to the purchased intangible assets (other than goodwill) for the next five fiscal years are presented below:

2011	\$ 350,000
2012	334,000
2013	243,000
2014	243,000
2015	243,000
Thereafter	1,664,000
 Total	 \$ 3,077,000

**NOTE 10 DEBT**

At January 31, 2010 and 2009, debt consisted of the following:

	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>Stated Interest Rate</b>
Bank term loan, due December 2010	\$ 1,833,000	\$ 3,833,000	3.48%
Bank term loan, due August 2009		292,000	N/A
Capital leases		9,000	
	1,833,000	4,134,000	
Less: current portion	1,833,000	2,301,000	
 Debt, long-term portion	 \$	 \$ 1,833,000	
 Revolving credit facility	 \$	 \$	

The financing arrangements with the Bank cover a 4-year installment term loan with a current balance of \$1.8 million that provided the Company with \$8.0 million in proceeds used in the acquisition of GPS, including \$2.0 million in funds that were placed in escrow with the Bank for the subsequent payment of contingent purchase price. The Company makes monthly installment payments of principal on this loan that bear interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 3.25%. The Bank financing arrangements also provide a revolving loan facility with a maximum borrowing amount of \$4.25 million that expires on May 31, 2011. Amounts borrowed under the revolving loan facility would also bear interest at LIBOR plus 3.25%. The financing arrangements also covered a 3-year installment term loan related to VLI that bore interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 3.25% and that was repaid during the current year.

The Company had interest rate swap agreements with a total initial notional amount of \$5,125,000 that expired during the current year. Under the swap agreements, the Company agreed to exchange each month the difference between fixed and floating LIBOR interest rate amounts calculated by reference to the current notional principal balance. At January 31, 2009, the Company carried an accrued liability amount of \$62,000 in order to recognize the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements that expired during the current year.

The stated interest rate presented in the table above is the floating interest rate as of January 31, 2010. This is not necessarily an indication of future interest rates. Interest expense amounts related to the term loans and the corresponding interest rate swap agreements discussed above were \$184,000, \$410,000 and \$699,000 for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The Company may obtain standby letters of credit from the Bank in the ordinary course of business in amounts not to exceed \$10.0 million in the aggregate. The Company has pledged \$5.0 million in cash to the Bank in order to secure a standby letter of credit that was issued by the Bank for the benefit of a major insurance company in connection with its providing a bonding commitment to GPS (see Note 4).

**Table of Contents**

The Bank financing arrangements require compliance with certain financial covenants at the Company's fiscal year end and at each of the Company's fiscal quarter ends (using a rolling 12-month period), including requirements that the ratio of total funded debt to EBITDA, as defined therein, not exceed 2 to 1, that the fixed charge coverage ratio be not less than 1.25 to 1, and that the ratio of senior funded debt to EBITDA, as defined, not exceed 1.50 to 1. The Bank's consent continues to be required for acquisitions and divestitures. The Company continues to pledge the majority of the Company's assets to secure the financing arrangements. The amended financing arrangements contain an acceleration clause which allows the Bank to declare amounts outstanding under the financing arrangements due and payable if it determines in good faith that a material adverse change has occurred in the financial condition of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. The Company believes that it will continue to comply with its financial covenants under the financing arrangements. If the Company's performance does not result in compliance with any of its financial covenants, or if the Bank seeks to exercise its rights under the acceleration clause referred to above, the Company would seek to modify its financing arrangements, but there can be no assurance that the Bank would not exercise its rights and remedies under the financing arrangements including accelerating payments of all outstanding senior debt due and payable. At January 31, 2010, the Company was in compliance with the covenants of its amended financing arrangements.

**NOTE 11 COMMITMENTS**

The Company leases office, warehouse and manufacturing facilities under non-cancelable operating leases expiring on various dates through February 2014. In addition, as it is management's intention to continue to occupy the headquarters facility of SMC, the future minimum lease payment amounts presented below include the payment amounts associated with five remaining two-year option terms. None of the Company's leases include significant amounts for incentives, rent holidays, penalties, or price escalations. Under the lease agreements, the Company is obligated to pay property taxes, insurance, and maintenance costs. Certain other leases contain renewal options. Total rent expense amounts for all operating leases and other rental agreements were \$6.5 million, \$2.9 million and \$5.1 million for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The following is a schedule of future minimum lease payments for operating leases that had initial or remaining non-cancelable lease terms in excess of one year as of January 31, 2010:

2011	\$ 785,000
2012	733,000
2013	332,000
2014	171,000
2015	91,000
Thereafter	426,000
Total	 \$ 2,538,000

**NOTE 12 LEGAL CONTINGENCIES**

In the normal course of business, the Company has pending claims and legal proceedings. It is the opinion of the Company's management, based on information available at this time, that none of current claims and proceedings could have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements other than the matters discussed below. The material amounts of any legal fees expected to be incurred in connection with these matters are accrued when such amounts are estimable.

**Delta-T Matters**

GPS was the contractor for engineering, procurement and construction services related to an anhydrous ethanol plant in Carleton, Nebraska (the Project). The Project owner was ALTRA Nebraska, LLC (Altra). In November 2007, GPS and Altra agreed to a suspension of the Project while Altra sought to obtain financing to complete the Project. By March 2008, financing had not been arranged which terminated the construction contract prior to completion of the Project. In March 2008, GPS filed a mechanic's lien against the Project in the approximate amount of \$23.8 million,

which amount also included all sums owed to the subcontractors/suppliers of GPS and their subcontractors/suppliers. In August 2009, Altra filed for bankruptcy protection. Proceedings resulted in a court-ordered liquidation of Altra's assets. The incomplete plant was sold at auction in October 2009. Remaining net proceeds of approximately \$5.5 million are being held by the bankruptcy court and have not been distributed to Altra's creditors. Delta-T Corporation (Delta-T) was a major subcontractor to GPS on the Project. In January 2009, GPS and Delta-T executed a Project Close Out Agreement (the Close Out) which settled all contract claims between the parties and included a settlement payment in the amount of \$3.5 million that GPS made to Delta-T. In the Close Out, Delta-T also agreed to prosecute any lien claims against Altra, to assign to GPS the first \$3.5 million of any resulting proceeds and to indemnify and defend any claims against GPS related to the Project. In addition, GPS received a guarantee from Delta-T's parent company in support of the indemnification commitment.

**Table of Contents**

In April 2009, one of the subcontractors to Delta-T received an arbitration award in its favor against Delta-T in the amount of approximately \$6.8 million, including approximately \$662,000 in interest and \$2.3 million identified in the award as amounts applied to other projects (the Judgment Award). In April 2009, the subcontractor also filed suit in the District Court of Thayer County, Nebraska, in order to recover its claimed amount of \$3.6 million unpaid by Delta-T on the Altra project from a payment bond issued to Altra on behalf of GPS. In December 2009, the Judgment Award was confirmed in federal district court in Florida. In February 2010, the amount of the suit in Nebraska was amended by the subcontractor to \$6.8 million, plus interest, to match the amount of the Judgment Award. Delta-T has not paid or satisfied any portion of the award. We understand that Delta-T has abandoned its defense of the surety company.

The Company vigorously intends to pursue its lien claim against the Altra project as well as defend this matter for the surety company, to investigate the inclusion of the \$2.3 million applied to other projects in the Judgment Award, to demand that Delta-T satisfy its obligations under the Close Out, and/or to enforce the guarantee provided to GPS by Delta-T's parent company. However, assurance cannot be provided by the Company that it will be successful in these efforts. It is reasonably possible that resolution of the matters discussed above could result in a loss with a material negative affect on the Company's consolidated operating results in a future reporting period. No provision for loss has been recorded in the consolidated financial statements as of January 31, 2010 related to these matters. If new facts become known in the future indicating that it is probable that a loss has been incurred by GPS and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated by GPS, the impact of the change will be reflected in the consolidated financial statements at that time.

**Tampa Bay Nutraceutical Company**

On or about September 19, 2007, Tampa Bay Nutraceutical Company, Inc. ( Tampa Bay ) filed a civil action in the Circuit Court of Florida for Collier County against VLI. The current causes of action relate to an order for product issued by Tampa Bay to VLI in June 2007 and sound in (1) breach of contract; (2) promissory estoppel; (3) fraudulent misrepresentation; (4) negligent misrepresentation; (5) breach of express warranty; (6) breach of implied warranty of merchantability; (7) breach of implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose; and (8) non-conforming goods. Tampa Bay alleges compensatory damages in excess of \$42,000,000. Depositions are ongoing. The Company is vigorously defending this litigation. Although the Company believes it has meritorious defenses, it is impracticable to assess the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome of a trial or to estimate a likely range of potential damages, if any, at this state of the litigation. The ultimate resolution of the litigation with Tampa Bay could result in a material adverse effect on the results of operations of the Company for a future reporting period.

**Kevin Thomas Litigation**

On August 27, 2007, Kevin Thomas, the former owner of VLI, filed a lawsuit against the Company, VLI and the Company's Chief Executive Officer (the CEO) in the Circuit Court of Florida for Collier County alleging that the Company, VLI and the CEO breached various agreements regarding his compensation and employment package that arose from the acquisition of VLI. The Company, VLI and the CEO denied that any breach of contract or tortious conduct occurred on their part. The Company and VLI also asserted four counterclaims against Mr. Thomas for breach of the merger agreement, breach of his employment agreement, breach of fiduciary duty and tortious interference with contractual relations because Mr. Thomas violated his non-solicitation, confidentiality, and non-compete obligations after he left VLI. On March 4, 2008, a pair of lawsuits was filed against VLI and its current president in the Circuit Court of Florida for Collier County by Vitarich Farms, Inc. ( VFI ) and Mr. Thomas, respectively. VFI, which is owned by Kevin Thomas, alleged that VLI breached a supply agreement with VFI by acquiring organic products from a different supplier. VFI also asserted a claim for defamation against VLI's president alleging that he made false statements regarding VFI's organic certification to one of VLI's customers. Mr. Thomas alleged that VLI's president made false statements to third parties regarding Mr. Thomas' conduct leading up to the lawsuit against the Company discussed above and that these statements caused damage to his business reputation. VLI and its president denied that VLI breached any contract and that its president defamed VFI or Mr. Thomas.

Pursuant to a mediation agreement executed by the parties on September 29, 2009, each of the lawsuits against the Company, VLI, the CEO and VLI's president was settled; VLI made a cash settlement payment to Mr. Thomas in the amount of \$60,000; and the parties exchanged general releases from any further obligation or liability to the other.

**Western Filter Corporation Litigation**

On March 22, 2005, WFC filed a civil action against the Company and its executive officers. The suit was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles. WFC purchased the capital stock of the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Puroflow, pursuant to the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement dated October 31, 2003. WFC alleged that the Company and its executive officers breached the Stock Purchase Agreement between WFC and the Company and engaged in misrepresentations and negligent conduct with respect to the Stock Purchase Agreement. Although the Company maintained its belief that the plaintiff's claims were without merit, the parties agreed to an out-of-court settlement of this litigation. Pursuant to the corresponding agreement between the parties, the Company made a payment to WFC in the amount of \$750,000 in December 2008 in order to settle the lawsuit. This payment was funded, in part, with \$300,000 previously held in escrow related to the sale of WFC. The Company also received reimbursement from its insurance company in the amount of \$250,000 related to the settlement.

**Table of Contents****NOTE 13 PRIVATE OFFERING OF COMMON STOCK**

In July 2008, the Company completed a private placement sale of 2.2 million shares of common stock to investors at a price of \$12.00 per share that provided net proceeds of approximately \$25 million. Management expected that the proceeds would provide resources to support GPS's cash investment requirements relating to the wind-power construction subsidiary described in Note 8 and the bonding requirements associated with future energy plant construction projects. Allen & Company LLC ( Allen ), a firm considered to be a related party, served as placement agent for the stock offering and was paid a fee of approximately \$1.3 million for its services by the Company. One of the members of our Board of Directors is a managing director of Allen.

**NOTE 14 STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION**

The Company has a stock option plan that was established in August 2001 (the Option Plan ). Under the Option Plan, the Company's Board of Directors may grant stock options to officers, directors and key employees. The Option Plan was amended in June 2008 in order to authorize the grant of options for up to 1,150,000 shares of common stock. Stock options that are granted may be Incentive Stock Options ( ISOs ) or nonqualified stock options ( NSOs ). ISOs granted under the Option Plan have an exercise price per share at least equal to the common stock's fair market value per share at the date of grant, a ten-year term, and typically become fully exercisable one year from the date of grant. NSOs may be granted at an exercise price per share that differs from the common stock's fair market value per share at the date of grant, may have up to a ten-year term, and become exercisable as determined by the Board.

At January 31, 2010, there were 1,075,000 shares of the Company's common stock reserved for issuance upon the exercise of stock options and warrants (see discussion of warrants below).

A summary of stock option activity under the Option Plan for the years ended January 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 is presented below:

<b>Options</b>	<b>Shares</b>	<b>Weighted Average Exercise Price</b>	<b>Weighted Average Remaining Contract Term (years)</b>	<b>Weighted Average Fair Value</b>
Outstanding, February 1, 2007	244,000	\$ 4.20		
Granted	212,000	\$ 8.18		
Exercised	(13,000)	\$ 3.70		
Forfeited or expired	(18,000)	\$ 7.07		
Outstanding, January 31, 2008	425,000	\$ 6.07	6.91	\$ 3.61
Granted	235,000	\$ 11.95		
Exercised	(98,000)	\$ 6.35		
Forfeited or expired	(50,000)	\$ 10.13		
Outstanding, January 31, 2009	512,000	\$ 8.31	6.48	\$ 4.34
Granted	123,000	\$ 12.77		
Exercised	(115,000)	\$ 4.12		
Forfeited or expired	(23,000)	\$ 11.00		
Outstanding, January 31, 2010	497,000	\$ 10.27	6.47	\$ 5.45
Exercisable, January 31, 2010	374,000	\$ 9.44	5.65	\$ 4.87

The total intrinsic value amounts for the stock options exercised during the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$1,186,000, \$804,000 and \$83,000, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value amounts for outstanding and



exercisable stock options at January 31, 2010 were \$1,956,000 and \$1,779,000, respectively.

**Table of Contents**

A summary of the change in the number of shares of common stock subject to non-vested options to purchase such shares for the years ended January 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 is present below:

	<b>Shares</b>	<b>Weighted- Average Fair Value</b>
Nonvested, February 1, 2007	16,000	\$ 3.72
Granted	212,000	\$ 5.30
Vested	(33,000)	\$ 4.43
Forfeited	(5,000)	\$ 3.72
Nonvested, January 31, 2008	190,000	\$ 5.36
Granted	235,000	\$ 5.96
Vested	(140,000)	\$ 4.81
Forfeited	(50,000)	\$ 6.16
Nonvested, January 31, 2009	235,000	\$ 5.96
Granted	123,000	\$ 7.21
Vested	(235,000)	\$ 5.83
Nonvested, January 31, 2010	123,000	\$ 7.21

The total fair value amounts for shares vested during the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$1,370,000, \$673,000 and \$144,000, respectively. Compensation expense amounts recorded in the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$1,040,000, \$1,196,000 and \$561,000, respectively. At January 31, 2010, there was \$328,000 in unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options granted under the Option Plan. The end of the period over which the compensation expense for these awards is expected to be recognized is December 2010.

The Company estimates the weighted average fair value of stock options on the date of award using a Black-Scholes option pricing model, which was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. Option valuation models require the input of certain assumptions for each stock option that is awarded. In December 2007, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 110 ( SAB 110 ), which became effective January 1, 2008. SAB 110 amends SAB 107 with regards to the use of a simplified method in developing an estimate of expected term of plain-vanilla share options. SAB 110 states that under certain circumstances, including a company having historical stock option exercise experience that is insufficient to provide a reasonable basis upon which to estimate expected terms, the SEC's staff will continue to accept the simplified method beyond December 31, 2007. The Company utilizes the simplified method to estimate the expected terms of its stock option awards.

The fair value amounts per share of options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock awarded during the fiscal years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were determined at the dates of grant using the following weighted-average assumptions:

	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Risk-free interest rate	3.19%	3.87%	5.00%
Expected volatility	62.74%	61.46%	67.00%
Expected life	5.28 years	4.28 years	5.00 years
Dividend yield	%	%	%

The Company also has outstanding warrants to purchase 166,000 shares of the Company's common stock as of January 31, 2010, exercisable at a per share price of \$7.75, that were issued in connection with the Company's private

placement of common stock in April 2003 to three individuals who became the executive officers of the Company upon completion of the offering and also to an investment advisory firm. A director of the Company is the chief executive officer of the investment advisory firm and related party, MSR Advisors, Inc. The fair value of the issued warrants of \$849,000 was recognized as offering costs. All warrants are exercisable and expire in December 2012. The Company also has a 401(k) Savings Plan covering all of its employees pursuant to which the Company makes discretionary contributions for its eligible and participating employees. The Company's expense for this defined contribution plan totaled approximately \$41,000, \$33,000 and \$36,000 for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

**Table of Contents****NOTE 15 INCOME TAXES**

The components of the Company's income tax expense for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are presented below:

	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Current:			
Federal	\$ 2,935,000	\$ 7,226,000	\$ 3,254,000
State	672,000	1,669,000	1,044,000
	3,607,000	8,895,000	4,298,000
Deferred:			
Federal	50,000	(1,864,000)	(2,570,000)
State	(37,000)	(305,000)	(135,000)
	13,000	(2,169,000)	(2,705,000)
Total income tax expense	\$ 3,620,000	\$ 6,726,000	\$ 1,593,000

The actual income tax expense amounts for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 differed from the expected tax amounts computed by applying the U.S. Federal corporate income tax rate of 34% to income (loss) from operations before income taxes as presented below:

	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Computed expected income tax (benefit)	\$ 3,624,000	\$ 5,693,000	\$ (548,000)
Increase (decrease) resulting from:			
State income taxes, net	407,000	797,000	383,000
Permanent differences	(411,000)	236,000	1,758,000
Total income tax expense	\$ 3,620,000	\$ 6,726,000	\$ 1,593,000

The favorable net tax effect of permanent items for the year ended January 31, 2010 reflects primarily the gain on the bargain purchase of the net assets of GRP in the amount of \$877,000, which is not reportable for income tax reporting purposes, and the estimated domestic manufacturing deduction of approximately \$552,000.

The unfavorable net tax effect of permanent items for the year ended January 31, 2009 reflected primarily the impairment losses of approximately \$1.9 million recorded in the prior year related to the goodwill of VLI, net of the amount of domestic manufacturing deduction of approximately \$1.3 million estimated for the prior year. The impairment losses were not deductible for income tax reporting purposes.

Despite reporting a loss from operations before income taxes of \$1.6 million for the year ended January 31, 2008, the Company incurred income tax expense of \$1.6 million for the year. The goodwill impairment loss of \$5.6 million that is discussed in Note 9 was not deductible for income tax reporting purposes, and represented a permanent difference between financial and income tax reporting. In addition, the Company was adversely impacted by its inability to utilize certain current operating losses for state income tax reporting purposes.

As of January 31, 2010, other current assets included refundable income taxes of approximately \$2.0 million. As of January 31, 2009, accrued expenses included income tax amounts payable of approximately \$2.9 million. The Company's consolidated balance sheets as of January 31, 2010 and 2009 included net deferred tax assets in the amounts of \$3.2 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, resulting from future deductible temporary differences. The Company's ability to realize its deferred tax assets depends primarily upon the generation of sufficient future taxable income to allow for the utilization of the Company's deductible temporary differences and tax planning strategies. If

such estimates and assumptions change in the future, the Company may be required to record additional valuation allowances against some or all of the deferred tax assets resulting in additional income tax expense in the consolidated statement of operations. The Company's income tax expense amounts for the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009 included adjustments to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance in the net amounts of \$66,000 and \$206,000, respectively. At this time, based substantially on the strong earnings performance of the Company's power industry services business segment, management believes that it is more likely than not that the Company will realize benefit for its deferred tax assets except for the state portion of the deferred tax assets of VLI.

- 67 -

---

**Table of Contents**

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities at January 31, 2010 and 2009 are presented below:

	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
Assets:		
Purchased intangibles	\$ 1,862,000	\$ 1,968,000
Inventory and accounts receivable reserves	997,000	713,000
Accrued incentive compensation	363,000	656,000
Stock options	938,000	614,000
Property and equipment	140,000	291,000
Accrued legal fees	192,000	242,000
Net operating losses (certain states)	195,000	120,000
Accrued vacation	66,000	51,000
Other	191,000	236,000
	4,944,000	4,891,000
Liabilities:		
Purchased intangibles	(1,403,000)	(1,082,000)
Other	(38,000)	(200,000)
	(1,441,000)	(1,282,000)
Valuation allowance	(272,000)	(206,000)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 3,231,000	\$ 3,403,000

The Company is subject to income taxes in the United States and in various state jurisdictions. Tax regulations within each jurisdiction are subject to the interpretation of the related tax laws and regulations and require significant judgment to apply. In the current year, the Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) conducted an audit of the Company's federal consolidated income tax return for the tax year ended January 31, 2007, the Company's fiscal year 2007. There were no changes to the Company's income tax return required. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to federal, state and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for its fiscal years ended on or before January 31, 2007.

**NOTE 16 NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE**

Basic income (loss) per share amounts for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, were computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of shares of common stock that were outstanding during the applicable year.

Diluted income per share amounts for the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009 were computed by dividing the net income amounts by the weighted average number of outstanding common shares for the applicable year plus 241,000 shares and 314,000 shares representing the total dilutive effects of outstanding stock options and warrants during the years, respectively. The diluted weighted average number of shares outstanding for the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009 excluded the effects of options to purchase approximately 68,000 and 40,000 shares of common stock, respectively, because such anti-dilutive common stock equivalents had exercise prices that were in excess of the average market price of the Company's common stock during the applicable year.

Diluted loss per share for the year ended January 31, 2008 was computed by dividing the net loss amount by the weighted average number of outstanding common shares for the year. The effects of outstanding options and warrants to purchase 651,000 shares of common stock were not included as the Company's net loss made these common stock

equivalents anti-dilutive for the year.

**Table of Contents****NOTE 17 TERMINATED CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT**

Pursuant to an amended agreement between GPS and a customer covering engineering, procurement and construction services (the EPC Agreement), the deadline date for the customer to obtain financing for the completion of the project lapsed during the year ended January 31, 2009. Financing was not obtained and the EPC Agreement was terminated. Attempts by the customer to sell the partially completed plant were unsuccessful. Construction activity on this project was suspended in November 2007. In order to reflect the termination of the EPC Agreement and the exhaustion of the customer's efforts to finance or to sell the plant, the Company established a reserve against the balance of accounts receivable from this customer and eliminated the related balance from billings in excess of cost and earnings last year resulting in a net increase to consolidated net revenues of approximately \$505,000. During the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009, GPS recorded favorable adjustments related to the settlement of accrued amounts on the terminated construction contract. The adjustments reduced cost of revenues for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009 by approximately \$7.1 million, net of related expenses.

**NOTE 18 SEGMENT REPORTING**

Presented below are summarized operating results and certain financial position data of the Company's reportable business segments for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008—power industry services, nutritional products and telecommunications infrastructure services. Segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and assessing performance. The Company's reportable segments are organized in separate business units with different management teams, customers, technologies and services. The business operations of each segment are conducted primarily by the Company's wholly-owned subsidiaries—GPS, VLI and SMC, respectively. The Other column includes the Company's corporate and unallocated expenses.

**Fiscal Year Ended January 31, 2010**

	<b>Power Industry Services</b>	<b>Nutritional Products</b>	<b>Telecom Infrastructure Services</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Consolidated</b>
Net revenues	\$ 209,814,000	\$ 13,999,000	\$ 8,517,000	\$	\$ 232,330,000
Cost of revenues	188,983,000	13,237,000	6,629,000		208,849,000
Gross profit	20,831,000	762,000	1,888,000		23,481,000
Selling, general and administrative expenses	6,410,000	2,912,000	1,660,000	3,885,000	14,867,000
Impairment losses			43,000		43,000
Income (loss) from operations	14,421,000	(2,150,000)	185,000	(3,885,000)	8,571,000
Interest expense	(173,000)	(11,000)			(184,000)
Investment income	80,000			28,000	108,000
Equity in the earnings of GRP	1,288,000				1,288,000
Gain from bargain purchase	877,000				877,000
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 16,493,000	\$ (2,161,000)	\$ 185,000	\$ (3,857,000)	10,660,000
Income tax expense					(3,620,000)
Net income					\$ 7,040,000



Edgar Filing: ARGAN INC - Form 10-K

Amortization of purchased intangible assets	\$ 350,000	\$ 4,000	\$	\$	\$ 354,000
Depreciation and other amortization	\$ 200,000	\$	\$ 411,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 617,000
Fixed asset additions	\$ 21,000	\$	\$ 167,000	\$ 11,000	\$ 199,000
Goodwill	\$ 18,476,000	\$	\$	\$	\$ 18,476,000
Total assets	\$ 77,914,000	\$ 5,905,000	\$ 2,864,000	\$ 33,590,000	\$ 120,273,000

- 69 -

---

**Table of Contents****Fiscal Year Ended January 31, 2009**

	<b>Power Industry Services</b>	<b>Nutritional Products</b>	<b>Telecom Infrastructure Services</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Consolidated</b>
Net revenues	\$ 202,298,000	\$ 10,075,000	\$ 8,553,000	\$	\$ 220,926,000
Cost of revenues	169,046,000	11,868,000	7,127,000		188,041,000
Gross profit	33,252,000	(1,793,000)	1,426,000		32,885,000
Selling, general and administrative expenses	5,543,000	3,025,000	1,538,000	4,752,000	14,858,000
Impairment losses		2,043,000	1,091,000		3,134,000
Income (loss) from operations	27,709,000	(6,861,000)	(1,203,000)	(4,752,000)	14,893,000
Interest expense	(348,000)	(56,000)		(6,000)	(410,000)
Investment income	1,519,000			236,000	1,755,000
Equity in the earnings of GRP	507,000				507,000
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 29,387,000	\$ (6,917,000)	\$ (1,203,000)	\$ (4,522,000)	16,745,000
Income tax expense					(6,726,000)
Net income					\$ 10,019,000
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	\$ 1,254,000	\$ 47,000	\$ 103,000	\$	\$ 1,404,000
Depreciation and other amortization	\$ 199,000	\$ 297,000	\$ 488,000	\$ 8,000	\$ 992,000
Fixed asset additions	\$ 153,000	\$ 131,000	\$ 86,000	\$	\$ 370,000
Goodwill	\$ 18,476,000	\$	\$	\$	\$ 18,476,000
Total assets	\$ 96,091,000	\$ 4,171,000	\$ 2,896,000	\$ 32,006,000	\$ 135,164,000

**Table of Contents****Fiscal Year Ended January 31, 2008**

	<b>Power Industry Services</b>	<b>Nutritional Products</b>	<b>Telecom Infrastructure Services</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Consolidated</b>
Net revenues	\$ 180,414,000	\$ 16,669,000	\$ 9,693,000	\$	\$ 206,776,000
Cost of revenues	162,418,000	14,714,000	8,059,000		185,191,000
Gross profit	17,996,000	1,955,000	1,634,000		21,585,000
Selling, general and administrative expenses	9,880,000	3,947,000	1,340,000	3,816,000	18,983,000
Impairment losses of VLI		6,826,000			6,826,000
Income (loss) from operations	8,116,000	(8,818,000)	294,000	(3,816,000)	(4,224,000)
Interest expense	(588,000)	(110,000)	(1,000)		(699,000)
Investment income	3,301,000		10,000		3,311,000
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 10,829,000	\$ (8,928,000)	\$ 303,000	\$ (3,816,000)	(1,612,000)
Income tax expense					(1,593,000)
Net loss					\$ (3,205,000)
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	\$ 5,168,000	\$ 913,000	\$ 103,000	\$	\$ 6,184,000
Depreciation and other amortization	\$ 181,000	\$ 558,000	\$ 522,000	\$ 16,000	\$ 1,277,000
Fixed asset additions	\$ 58,000	\$ 324,000	\$ 491,000	\$	\$ 873,000
Goodwill	\$ 18,476,000	\$ 921,000	\$ 940,000	\$	\$ 20,337,000
Total assets	\$ 119,026,000	\$ 7,632,000	\$ 4,731,000	\$ 14,474,000	\$ 145,863,000

During the year ended January 31, 2010, the majority of the Company's net revenues related to engineering, procurement and construction services that were provided by GPS to the power industry. Net revenues from power industry services accounted for approximately 90.3% of consolidated net revenues for the year. The Company's most significant current year customer relationship included one power industry service customer which accounted for approximately 87.2% of consolidated net revenues for the year. VLI, which provides nutritional and whole-food supplements as well as personal care products to customers in the global nutrition industry, accounted for approximately 6.0% of consolidated net revenues for the year. SMC, which provides infrastructure services to telecommunications and utility customers as well as to the federal government, accounted for approximately 3.7% of consolidated net revenues for the year.

Net revenues from power industry services accounted for approximately 91.6% of consolidated net revenues for the year ended January 31, 2009. The Company's most significant customer relationships included two power industry service customers which accounted for approximately 49.7% and 40.2%, respectively, of consolidated net revenues

for the year. VLI accounted for approximately 4.5% of consolidated net revenues for the year. SMC accounted for approximately 3.9% of consolidated net revenues for the year. Net revenues from power industry services accounted for approximately 87.3% of consolidated net revenues for the year ended January 31, 2008. The Company's most significant prior year customer relationships included four power industry service customers, which accounted for approximately 26.2%, 22.1%, 17.5% and 13.3%, respectively, of consolidated net revenues for the year. The operations of VLI represented approximately 8.1% of consolidated net revenues for the year. SMC accounted for approximately 4.7% of consolidated net revenues for the year.

**Table of Contents****NOTE 19 QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (unaudited):**

Certain unaudited quarterly financial information for the quarters ended April 30, July 31, October 31, and January 31 included in the fiscal years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 is presented below:

<b>2010</b>	<b>April</b>	<b>July</b>	<b>October</b>	<b>January</b>	<b>Full Year</b>
Net revenues	\$ 63,110,000	\$ 65,455,000	\$ 60,667,000	\$ 43,098,000	\$ 232,330,000
Gross profit	7,428,000	6,956,000	6,847,000	2,250,000	23,481,000
Income (loss) from operations	4,214,000	3,768,000	2,832,000	(2,243,000)	8,571,000
Net income (loss)	2,967,000	2,685,000	1,964,000	(576,000)	7,040,000
Income (loss) per share:					
Basic	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.14	\$ (0.04)	\$ 0.52
Diluted	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.14	\$ (0.04)	\$ 0.51

<b>2009</b>	<b>April</b>	<b>July</b>	<b>October</b>	<b>January</b>	<b>Full Year</b>
Net revenues	\$ 48,406,000	\$ 75,098,000	\$ 41,387,000	\$ 56,035,000	\$ 220,926,000
Gross profit	5,733,000	7,720,000	6,838,000	12,594,000	32,885,000
Income from operations	1,722,000	1,758,000	3,748,000	7,665,000	14,893,000
Net income	1,555,000	806,000	2,624,000	5,034,000	10,019,000
Income per share:					
Basic	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.80
Diluted	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.78

<b>2008</b>	<b>April</b>	<b>July</b>	<b>October</b>	<b>January</b>	<b>Full Year</b>
Net revenues	\$ 50,432,000	\$ 53,136,000	\$ 49,263,000	\$ 53,945,000	\$ 206,776,000
Gross profit	1,178,000	6,568,000	7,446,000	6,393,000	21,585,000
Income (loss) from operations	(3,383,000)	1,795,000	(1,601,000)	(1,035,000)	(4,224,000)
Net income (loss)	(2,016,000)	1,333,000	(1,957,000)	(565,000)	(3,205,000)
Income (loss) per share:					
Basic	\$ (0.18)	\$ 0.12	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.29)
Diluted	\$ (0.18)	\$ 0.12	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.29)

The sum of the net income (loss) per share amounts for each quarter may not agree with the calculated net income (loss) per share amount for the full year as per share amounts for each quarter and the full year are computed independently.

Income from operations for the fourth quarter ended January 31, 2010 was impacted by unfavorable construction contract adjustments that reduced gross profit by approximately \$3.9 million and an unfavorable adjustment to the reserve for inventory obsolescence in the amount of \$588,000. Net income for the quarter was favorably affected by the gain on the bargain purchase of GRP in the amount of \$877,000.

Income from operations for the fourth quarter ended January 31, 2009 was impacted by the favorable adjustments to cost of revenues in the approximate net amount of \$7.1 million (see Note 17) offset partially by impairment losses totaling \$1.2 million and the provision for inventory obsolescence in the amount of \$825,000.

The operating results for the quarter ended July 31, 2008 (the second quarter of the year ended January 31, 2009) were adversely impacted by impairment losses totaling \$1.9 million that related to goodwill, other purchased intangible assets and fixed assets.

**Table of Contents**

The operating results for the quarters ended April 30, 2007, July 31, 2007 and October 31, 2007 (the first three quarters of the fiscal year ended January 31, 2008) were adversely impacted by losses related to one power plant project in the amounts of approximately \$5.3 million, \$4.1 million and \$2.3 million, respectively.

The operating results for the quarters ended October 31, 2007 and January 31, 2008 (the third and fourth quarters of the fiscal year ended January 31, 2008) were adversely impacted by impairment losses of \$4.7 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, that related to goodwill and other purchased intangible assets.

**NOTE 20 SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

The amounts of cash paid for interest and income taxes for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are presented below:

	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Income taxes	\$ 8,097,000	\$ 6,882,000	\$ 4,358,000
Interest	\$ 184,000	\$ 410,000	\$ 693,000

The amounts attributable to significant non-cash transactions for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are presented below:

	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Reductions in accounts receivable and billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	\$	\$ 22,219,000	\$
Net (increase) decrease in the fair value of interest rate swaps	\$ (62,000)	\$ (44,000)	\$ 99,000

Certain sales-type taxes that are assessed by government authorities and collected from customers are included in cost of revenues. Accordingly, these amounts are considered contract costs in the performance of percentage-of-completion calculations and the determination of net revenues. The amounts of such costs were \$7.5 million, \$5.9 million and \$1.4 million for the fiscal years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Accrued liabilities as of January 31, 2010 included accrued incentive cash compensation, accrued payroll and other costs, and accrued sales taxes in the amounts of \$2.5 million, \$1.6 million and \$110,000, respectively. As of January 31, 2009, accrued liabilities included comparable amounts of \$4.0 million, \$1.0 million and \$4.6 million, respectively.

**NOTE 21 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The Company evaluated subsequent events for adjustment to or disclosure in the consolidated financial statements through the date of their issuance.

In August 2009, the Company announced that it had signed a nonbinding letter of intent to purchase United American Steel Constructors, Inc. ( UNAMSCO ), a private construction company operating National Steel Constructors, LLC, a majority-owned subsidiary, and Peterson Beckner Industries, a company under common control. On February 16, 2010, the Company issued a press release announcing that the Company and UNAMSCO had discontinued merger negotiations and mutually terminated the related nonbinding letter of intent.

**Table of Contents****SCHEDULE II****ARGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS**

<b>Description</b>	<b>Balance, Beginning of Year</b>	<b>Charged To Costs and Expenses</b>	<b>Write-offs</b>	<b>Other (1)</b>	<b>Balance, End of Year</b>
<b>Allowance for uncollectible accounts receivable</b>					
Year ended January 31, 2010	\$ 22,379,000	\$ 294,000	\$ 16,851,000	\$	\$ 5,822,000
Year ended January 31, 2009	75,000	129,000	44,000	22,219,000	22,379,000
Year ended January 31, 2008	137,000	45,000	107,000		75,000
<b>Allowance for overstocked and obsolete inventory</b>					
Year ended January 31, 2010	\$ 1,690,000	\$ 518,000	\$ 308,000	\$	\$ 1,900,000
Year ended January 31, 2009	225,000	1,637,000	172,000		1,690,000
Year ended January 31, 2008	104,000	434,000	313,000		225,000

(1) During the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009, the allowance for uncollectible accounts receivable was increased by \$22.2 million which was offset by the elimination of a corresponding amount of billings in excess of cost and estimated earnings.