

Huron Consulting Group Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
July 28, 2011

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10 Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011**

**OR**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**Commission file number: 000-50976**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

**Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)**

**01-0666114  
(IRS Employer  
Identification Number)**

**550 West Van Buren Street  
Chicago, Illinois  
60607**

**(Address of principal executive offices)  
(Zip Code)**

**(312) 583-8700**

**(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.(Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting  
company

(Do not check if a smaller  
reporting company)

Edgar Filing: Huron Consulting Group Inc. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

As of July 19, 2011, 22,645,665 shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, were outstanding.

---

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.  
INDEX**

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>Part I Financial Information</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	4
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	5
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	19
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	37
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	37
<b><u>Part II Other Information</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	38
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	40
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	42
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	42
<u>Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]</u>	42
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	42
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	43
<b><u>Signature</u></b>	45
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32.1</u>	
<u>EX-32.2</u>	
<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	

**Table of Contents**

**PART I <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> FINANCIAL INFORMATION**  
**ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>June 30, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,258	\$ 6,271
Receivables from clients, net	86,045	91,389
Unbilled services, net	49,335	33,076
Income tax receivable	8,514	4,896
Deferred income taxes	10,223	19,853
Insurance recovery receivable		27,000
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	13,623	15,653
Current assets of discontinued operations		2,476
Total current assets	170,998	200,614
Property and equipment, net	33,222	32,935
Deferred income taxes	7,176	12,440
Other non-current assets	13,968	10,575
Intangible assets, net	21,890	26,205
Goodwill	506,764	506,214
Total assets	\$ 754,018	\$ 788,983
<b>Liabilities and stockholders equity</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 10,320	\$ 8,310
Accrued expenses	33,299	28,849
Accrued payroll and related benefits	32,816	45,184
Accrued consideration for business acquisitions, current portion	2,914	25,013
Accrued litigation settlement		39,552
Income tax payable	112	451
Deferred revenues	22,771	18,069
Current portion of capital lease obligations	25	32
Current liabilities of discontinued operations		699
Total current liabilities	102,257	166,159
Non-current liabilities:		
Deferred compensation and other liabilities	5,476	6,282
Accrued consideration for business acquisitions, net of current portion	2,914	3,847
Capital lease obligations, net of current portion	5	

Edgar Filing: Huron Consulting Group Inc. - Form 10-Q

Bank borrowings	254,000	257,000
Deferred lease incentives	6,562	7,323
Total non-current liabilities	268,957	274,452
<b>Stockholders equity</b>		
Common stock; \$0.01 par value; 500,000,000 shares authorized; 24,178,734 and 23,221,287 shares issued at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	232	222
Treasury stock, at cost, 1,547,025 and 1,343,201 shares at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	(72,388)	(65,675)
Additional paid-in capital	390,102	363,402
Retained earnings	65,908	52,383
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,050)	(1,960)
Total stockholders equity	382,804	348,372
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 754,018	\$ 788,983

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.*

Table of Contents

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
(In thousands, except per share amounts)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>June 30,</b>		<b>June 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Revenues and reimbursable expenses:</b>				
Revenues	\$ 159,035	\$ 135,654	\$ 302,020	\$ 263,396
Reimbursable expenses	14,470	12,490	27,572	23,989
Total revenues and reimbursable expenses	173,505	148,144	329,592	287,385
<b>Direct costs and reimbursable expenses</b> (exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown in operating expenses):				
Direct costs	95,503	83,033	188,562	167,944
Intangible assets amortization	1,369	887	2,802	1,773
Reimbursable expenses	14,573	12,443	27,815	23,995
Total direct costs and reimbursable expenses	111,445	96,363	219,179	193,712
<b>Operating expenses:</b>				
Selling, general and administrative	32,086	29,024	62,144	58,092
Restructuring charge	461	1,165	985	1,165
Restatement related expenses	1,785	2,428	3,025	3,187
Litigation settlement, net	508	4,764	1,096	4,764
Depreciation and amortization	4,394	4,839	8,699	9,466
Total operating expenses	39,234	42,220	75,949	76,674
Operating income	22,826	9,561	34,464	16,999
<b>Other income (expense):</b>				
Interest (expense), net of interest income	(3,535)	(3,553)	(7,107)	(6,508)
Other income (expense)	(65)	(464)	39	(218)
Total other expense	(3,600)	(4,017)	(7,068)	(6,726)
Income from continuing operations before income tax expense	19,226	5,544	27,396	10,273
Income tax expense	9,760	2,030	13,969	4,078
Net income from continuing operations	9,466	3,514	13,427	6,195
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	3	(1,139)	98	(1,306)
Net income	\$ 9,469	\$ 2,375	\$ 13,525	\$ 4,889

Edgar Filing: Huron Consulting Group Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net earnings (loss) per basic share:								
Income from continuing operations	\$	0.45	\$	0.17	\$	0.64	\$	0.30
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$		\$	(0.05)	\$		\$	(0.06)
Net income	\$	0.45	\$	0.12	\$	0.64	\$	0.24
Net earnings (loss) per diluted share:								
Income from continuing operations	\$	0.44	\$	0.17	\$	0.63	\$	0.30
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$		\$	(0.06)	\$		\$	(0.06)
Net income	\$	0.44	\$	0.11	\$	0.63	\$	0.24
Weighted average shares used in calculating earnings (loss) per share:								
Basic		21,190		20,534		21,058		20,416
Diluted		21,476		20,756		21,316		20,627

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.*

2

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**  
(In thousands, except share amounts)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>Common Stock</b>		<b>Treasury</b>	<b>Additional</b>	<b>Retained</b>	<b>Accumulated</b>	<b>Stockholders</b>
	<b>Shares</b>	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Stock</b>	<b>Paid-In</b>	<b>Earnings</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Equity</b>
				<b>Capital</b>		<b>Comprehensive</b>	
						<b>Income</b>	
						<b>(Loss)</b>	
Balance at December 31, 2010	22,241,429	\$ 222	\$ (65,675)	\$ 363,402	\$ 52,383	\$ (1,960)	\$ 348,372
Comprehensive income:							
Net income					13,525		13,525
Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax						603	603
Unrealized gain on cash flow hedging instrument, net of tax						307	307
Total comprehensive income							14,435
Issuance of common stock in connection with:							
Restricted stock awards, net of cancellations	375,427	4	(4,182)	4,178			
Exercise of stock options	27,425	1		218			219
Settlement of class action lawsuit	474,547	5		13,643			13,648
Share-based compensation				10,126			10,126
Shares redeemed for employee tax withholdings			(2,531)				(2,531)
Income tax deficit on share-based compensation				(1,465)			(1,465)
Balance at June 30, 2011	23,118,828	\$ 232	\$ (72,388)	\$ 390,102	\$ 65,908	\$ (1,050)	\$ 382,804

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.*

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(In thousands)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>June 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 13,525	\$ 4,889
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	11,501	11,341
Share-based compensation	9,694	12,060
Allowances for doubtful accounts and unbilled services	1,685	481
Deferred income taxes	13,023	737
Gain on disposal of property and equipment	(46)	
Non-cash portion of litigation settlement	1,096	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of businesses acquired:		
Decrease in receivables from clients	7,941	6,397
Increase in unbilled services	(18,933)	(7,459)
(Increase) decrease in current income tax receivable, net	(4,247)	6,737
Decrease (increase) in other assets	717	(1,742)
Increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	516	911
Decrease in accrued payroll and related benefits	(9,122)	(47,728)
Increase (decrease) in deferred revenues	4,700	(624)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	32,050	(14,000)
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Purchases of property and equipment, net	(6,193)	(2,489)
Net (investment in) surrender of life insurance policies	(618)	651
Purchases of businesses	(23,881)	(63,229)
Sale of business		3,692
Net cash used in investing activities	(30,692)	(61,375)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	219	39
Shares redeemed for employee tax withholdings	(2,531)	(1,299)
Tax benefit from share-based compensation	200	360
Proceeds from borrowings under credit facility	168,500	232,000
Repayments on credit facility	(171,500)	(158,000)
Payments of capital lease obligations	(45)	(148)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(5,157)	72,952

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	710	(63)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(3,089)	(2,486)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period <sup>(1)</sup>	6,347	6,459
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 3,258	\$ 3,973

**Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:**

Non-cash financing activities:

Issuance of common stock in connection with settlement of class action lawsuit	\$ 13,648	\$
--	-----------	----

(1) Cash and cash equivalents presented herein includes \$0.1 million and \$0.7 million of cash and cash equivalents classified as discontinued operations as of December 31, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

(2) Cash and cash equivalents presented herein includes \$0 million and \$0.9 million of cash and cash equivalents classified as discontinued operations as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.*

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

**1. Description of Business**

We are a leading provider of operational and financial consulting services. We help clients in diverse industries improve performance, comply with complex regulations, reduce costs, recover from distress, leverage technology, and stimulate growth. We team with our clients to deliver sustainable and measurable results. Our professionals employ their expertise in healthcare administration, accounting, finance and operations to provide our clients with specialized analyses and customized advice and solutions that are tailored to address each client's particular challenges and opportunities. We provide consulting services to a wide variety of both financially sound and distressed organizations, including healthcare organizations, leading academic institutions, governmental entities, Fortune 500 companies, medium-sized businesses, and the law firms that represent these various organizations.

**2. Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements reflect the financial position, results of operations and cash flows as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) for Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. Accordingly, these financial statements do not include all of the information and note disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ) for annual financial statements. In the opinion of management, these financial statements reflect all adjustments of a normal, recurring nature necessary for the fair presentation of our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented in conformity with GAAP. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2010 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2011.

Certain amounts reported in the previous year have been reclassified to conform to the 2011 presentation. Our results for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year or any other interim period.

The Company has evaluated events and transactions subsequent to the balance sheet date. Based on this evaluation, the Company is not aware of any events or transactions that occurred subsequent to the balance sheet date but prior to filing that would require recognition or disclosure in its Consolidated Financial Statements.

**3. Restatement of Previously-Issued Financial Statements**

As previously disclosed, on August 17, 2009, we restated our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, as well as the three months ended March 31, 2009:

Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A, filed with the SEC on August 17, 2009, to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, originally filed on February 24, 2009.

Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-Q/A, filed with the SEC on August 17, 2009, to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2009, originally filed on April 30, 2009.

The restatement related to the accounting for certain acquisition-related payments received by the selling shareholders of four acquired businesses (the Acquired Businesses ). Pursuant to the purchase agreements for each of these acquisitions, payments were made by us to the selling shareholders (1) upon closing of the transaction, (2) in some cases, upon the Acquired Businesses achieving specific financial performance targets over a number of years ( earn-outs ), and (3) in one case, upon the buyout of an obligation to make earn-out payments. These payments are collectively referred to as acquisition-related payments. Certain acquisition-related payments were subsequently redistributed by such selling shareholders among themselves in amounts that were not consistent with their ownership interests on the date we acquired the businesses (the Shareholder Payments ) and to other select client-serving and administrative Company employees (the Employee Payments ) based, in part, on continuing employment with the Company or the achievement of personal performance measures. The restatement was necessary because we failed to account for the Shareholder Payments and the Employee Payments in accordance with GAAP. The Shareholder Payments and the Employee Payments were required to be reflected as non-cash compensation expense of Huron, and

the selling shareholders were deemed to have made a capital contribution to Huron. The payments were made directly by the selling shareholders from the acquisition proceeds they received from us and, accordingly, the correction of these errors had no effect on our net cash flows. The acquisition-

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

related payments made by us to the selling shareholders represented purchase consideration. As such, these payments, to the extent that they exceeded the net of the fair value assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed, were properly recorded as goodwill, in accordance with GAAP.

Effective August 1, 2009, the selling shareholders of two of the Acquired Businesses each amended certain agreements related to the earn-outs to provide that future earn-outs will be distributed only to the applicable selling shareholders and only in accordance with their equity interests on the date we acquired the business with no required continuing employment, and no further Shareholder Payments or Employee Payments will be made. Accordingly, all earn-out payments related to such Acquired Businesses made on or after August 1, 2009, have been, and will continue to be, accounted for as additional purchase consideration and not also as non-cash compensation expense. Additional earn-out payment obligations, payable through December 31, 2011, currently remain with respect to only one Acquired Business.

The SEC is conducting an investigation with respect to the restatement. As often happens in these circumstances, the United States Attorney's Office ( USAO ) for the Northern District of Illinois made a telephonic request of our counsel for copies of certain documents that we previously provided to the SEC, which we then voluntarily provided.

In addition, several purported private shareholder class action lawsuits and federal and state derivative lawsuits have been filed in respect of the restatement. The purported class action lawsuits have been settled and the federal derivative lawsuits have been dismissed with prejudice and can no longer be appealed. The state derivative lawsuits, which have been consolidated, were dismissed by the court with prejudice last year but the plaintiffs have filed a notice of appeal which remains outstanding. See note 14. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees for a discussion of the SEC investigations, the USAO's request for certain documents, and the purported private shareholder class action lawsuit and derivative lawsuits that occurred as a result of the restatement.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, expenses incurred in connection with the restatement totaled \$1.8 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, expenses incurred in connection with the restatement totaled \$2.4 million and \$3.2 million, respectively. In both the 2011 and 2010 periods, restatement related expenses were primarily comprised of legal fees.

**4. New Accounting Pronouncements**

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income, which amends current comprehensive income guidance. This accounting update eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of shareholders' equity. Instead, the Company must report comprehensive income in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income which contains two sections, net income and other comprehensive income, or in two separate but consecutive statements. This guidance will be effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of 2012. The Company does not expect the guidance to impact its consolidated financial statements, as it only requires a change in the format of presentation.

In May 2011, the FASB issued updated guidance to improve the comparability of fair value measurements between GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. This update amends the accounting rules for fair value measurements and disclosure. The amendments are of two types: (i) those that clarify FASB's intent about the application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements and (ii) those that change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The update is effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of 2012. The Company does not believe the adoption of this update will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued additional authoritative guidance related to fair value measurements and disclosures. The guidance requires disclosure of details of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements. The guidance also clarifies the existing disclosure requirements for the level of disaggregation of fair value measurements and the disclosures on inputs and valuation techniques. We adopted these provisions

effective January 1, 2010. In addition, the guidance also requires the presentation of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements within Level 3 on a gross basis rather than a net basis. We adopted this additional guidance pertaining to Level 3 fair value measurements effective January 1, 2011. The adoption did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

In October 2009, the FASB issued new guidance regarding revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. This new guidance requires companies to allocate revenue in arrangements involving multiple deliverables based on the estimated selling price of each deliverable, even though such deliverables are not sold separately either by the company or by other vendors. This new guidance is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. We adopted this pronouncement effective January 1, 2011. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements.

**5. Discontinued Operations**

Since December 31, 2009, we have undertaken several separate initiatives to divest certain practices within the Financial Consulting segment in order to enable us to devote more of our resources to the remaining businesses of the Company where we have a more substantial market presence. On September 30, 2010, we completed a sale of a portion of the Disputes and Investigations ( D&I ) practice and wound down the remaining practice operations as of that same date. Additionally, during the third quarter of 2010 we exited the utilities consulting ( Utilities ) practice. In December 2009, our Board approved a plan to divest the businesses that included the international operations of our Japan office ( Japan ) and the strategy business MS Galt & Co. LLC ( Galt ), which we acquired in April 2006. We exited Galt with the December 31, 2009 sale of the business back to its three original principals. We exited Japan effective June 30, 2010 via a wind down of the business.

As a result of these actions, the operating results of D&I, Utilities, Japan, and Galt are reported as discontinued operations. All other operations of the business are considered continuing operations. Amounts previously reported have been reclassified to conform to this presentation in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 205 Presentation of Financial Statements to allow for meaningful comparison of continuing operations. The Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 aggregate amounts associated with the discontinued operations as described above.

Summarized operating results of discontinued operations are presented in the following table:

	<b>Three months ended June 30,</b>		<b>Six months ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Revenues	\$342	\$ 9,068	\$387	\$22,382
Income (loss) from discontinued operations before provision for income taxes	\$ 4	\$(2,519)	\$ 62	\$(3,017)
Net income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ 3	\$(1,139)	\$ 98	\$(1,306)

The revenues recognized for discontinued operations during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 are primarily attributable to the collection of certain receivables that were previously fully reserved.

The carrying amounts of the major classes of assets and liabilities aggregated in discontinued operations in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2010 are presented in the following table. There were no significant assets or liabilities aggregated in discontinued operations in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2011.

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

		<b>December 31, 2010</b>
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash	\$	76
Receivables from clients, net		940
Other current assets		1,460
Total current assets		2,476
Other non-current assets		
Total assets	\$	2,476
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Accrued payroll and related benefits	\$	70
Income tax payable		301
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities		328
Total current liabilities		699
Other non-current liabilities		
Total liabilities	\$	699

**6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets**

The table below sets forth the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by segment for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

	<b>Health and Education Consulting</b>	<b>Legal Consulting</b>	<b>Financial Consulting</b>	<b>Total</b>
Balance as of December 31, 2010:				
Goodwill	\$ 418,652	\$ 33,013	\$ 160,549	\$ 612,214
Accumulated impairment losses			(106,000)	(106,000)
Goodwill, net as of December 31, 2010	418,652	33,013	54,549	506,214
Goodwill recorded in connection with business combinations	66	175		241
Foreign currency translation		309		309
Goodwill, net as of June 30, 2011	\$ 418,718	\$ 33,497	\$ 54,549	\$ 506,764

Intangible assets as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Customer contracts	\$ 885	\$ 716	\$ 885	\$ 309
Customer relationships	18,283	6,121	18,213	4,781
Non-competition agreements	11,271	7,391	11,271	6,320
Trade names	3,717	3,579	3,717	3,409
Technology and software	11,949	6,408	11,949	5,011
Total	\$ 46,105	\$ 24,215	\$ 46,035	\$ 19,830

Identifiable intangible assets with finite lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives. Customer contracts are amortized on a straight-line basis over relatively short lives due to the short-term nature of the services provided under these contracts. The majority of customer relationships are amortized on an accelerated basis to correspond to the cash flows expected to be derived from the relationships. All other customer relationships, non-competition agreements, trade names, and technology and software are amortized on a straight-line basis.

Intangible assets amortization expense was \$2.1 million and \$4.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. Intangible assets amortization expense was \$1.9 million and \$3.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. Estimated annual intangible assets amortization expense is \$8.4 million for 2011, \$5.9 million for 2012, \$3.6 million for 2013, \$2.5 million for 2014, \$1.7 million for 2015 and \$0.9 million for 2016. Actual future amortization expense could differ from these estimated amounts as a result of future acquisitions and other factors.

#### 7. Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Basic earnings per share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period, excluding unvested restricted common stock. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential reduction in earnings per share that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

exercised or converted into common stock under the treasury stock method. Earnings per share under the basic and diluted computations are as follows:

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>June 30,</b>		<b>June 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Net income from continuing operations	\$ 9,466	\$ 3,514	\$ 13,427	\$ 6,195
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	3	(1,139)	98	(1,306)
Net income	\$ 9,469	\$ 2,375	\$ 13,525	\$ 4,889
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	21,190	20,534	21,058	20,416
Weighted average common stock equivalents	286	222	258	211
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	21,476	20,756	21,316	20,627
Net earnings (loss) per basic share:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.30
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax		(0.05)		(0.06)
Net income	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.24
Net earnings (loss) per diluted share:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.30
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax		(0.06)		(0.06)
Net income	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.24

The computation of diluted earnings per share excludes outstanding options and other common stock equivalents in periods where inclusion of such potential common stock instruments would be anti-dilutive in the periods presented. The weighted average common stock equivalents presented above do not include the anti-dilutive effect of approximately 298,500 and 696,700 potentially dilutive common stock equivalents for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and approximately 322,900 and 743,500 potentially dilutive common stock equivalents for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

**8. Borrowings**

On April 14, 2011, the Company and certain of the Company's subsidiaries as guarantors entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Agreement") with various financial institutions including Bank of America, N.A., as lender, administrative agent and collateral agent for the lenders; JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as lender and syndication agent; PNC Bank, National Association, Harris N.A. and KeyBank National Association as lenders and Co-Documentation Agents; Fifth Third Bank, The Northern Trust Company, RBS Citizens, N.A., The PrivateBank and Trust Company, FirstMerit Bank, N.A., and Northbrook Bank & Trust Company as lenders (collectively the "Lenders"); and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, as joint lead

arrangers and joint book managers. The Agreement replaces the Credit Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2006, and all subsequent amendments thereto, by and among the Company and the lenders therein.

The Agreement consists of a senior secured credit facility in an aggregate principal amount of \$350.0 million comprised of a five-year revolving credit facility ( Revolver ) under which the Company may borrow from time to time up to \$150.0 million and a \$200.0 million five-year term loan facility ( Term Loan ) which was funded in a single advance on the closing date. The Agreement provides for the option to increase the revolving credit facility in an aggregate amount of up to \$50 million subject to certain requirements as defined in the Agreement. The proceeds of the senior secured credit facility were used to refinance existing indebtedness and will continue to be used for working capital, capital expenditures, and other lawful corporate purposes.

The obligations under the Agreement are secured pursuant to a Security Agreement with Bank of America as Administrative Agent. The Security Agreement grants Bank of America, for the ratable benefit of the lenders under the Agreement, a first-priority lien, subject to permitted liens, on substantially all of the personal property assets of the

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

Company and the subsidiary grantors. The Revolver and Term Loan are also secured by a pledge of 100% of the voting stock or other equity interests in our domestic subsidiaries and 65% of the voting stock or other equity interests in our foreign subsidiaries.

Fees and interest on borrowings vary based on our total debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ( EBITDA ) ratio as set forth in the Agreement. Interest is based on a spread over the London Interbank Offered Rate ( LIBOR ) or a spread over the base rate, as selected by the Company. The base rate is the greater of (a) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.5%, (b) the Prime Rate and (c) except during a Eurodollar Unavailability Period, the Eurodollar Rate plus 1.0%.

The Term Loan is subject to scheduled quarterly amortization payments equal to 7.5% of the original principal balance in year one, 10.0% in year two, 12.5% in years three and four, and 57.5% in year five, as set forth in the Agreement. The maturity date for the Term Loan is April 14, 2016, at which time the outstanding principal balance and all accrued interest will be due and payable in full. All outstanding borrowings under the Revolver will be due upon expiration of the Agreement on April 14, 2016.

Under the Agreement, dividends are restricted to an amount up to 50% of consolidated net income (adjusted for non-cash share-based compensation expense) for such fiscal year, plus 50% of net cash proceeds during such fiscal year with respect to any issuance of capital securities. In addition, certain acquisitions and similar transactions need to be approved by the lenders.

The Agreement contains quarterly financial covenants that require us to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 2.25 to 1.00 and a maximum leverage ratio of 3.00 to 1.00 with step-downs in subsequent periods, as those ratios are defined therein, as well as a minimum net worth greater than \$150 million. At June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with these financial covenants with a fixed charge coverage ratio of 3.01 to 1.00, a leverage ratio of 2.04 to 1.00, and net worth greater than \$150 million. In addition, based upon projected operating results, management believes it is probable that we will meet the financial debt covenants of the Agreement discussed above at future covenant measurement dates. Accordingly, pursuant to the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 470, Debt , all amounts not due within the next twelve months under the amended loan terms have been classified as long-term liabilities.

The borrowing capacity under the Agreement is reduced by any outstanding letters of credit and payments under the Term Loan. At June 30, 2011, outstanding letters of credit totaled \$5.9 million and are primarily used as security deposits for our office facilities. As of June 30, 2011, the borrowing capacity under the Agreement was \$86.3 million. Borrowings outstanding under this credit facility at June 30, 2011 totaled \$254 million. These borrowings carried a weighted-average interest rate of 3.6%, including the effect of the interest rate swap described below in note 10. Derivative Instrument and Hedging Activity . All of the borrowings outstanding under the Agreement are classified as long-term on our Consolidated Balance Sheet as the principal under the Revolver is not due until 2016 and we intend to fund scheduled quarterly payments under the Term Loan with availability under the Revolver. Borrowings outstanding at December 31, 2010 were \$257.0 million and carried a weighted-average interest rate of 4.5%. At December 31, 2010, we were in compliance with our financial debt covenants.

**9. Restructuring Charges**

During the second quarter of 2011, we incurred a \$0.5 million pre-tax restructuring charge, primarily consisting of severance expense, as the result of actions taken to better align our resources with market demand. This restructuring reserve balance was \$0.4 million as of June 30, 2011.

During the first quarter of 2011, we incurred a \$0.5 million pre-tax restructuring charge related to the consolidation of office space within our Chicago office. The \$0.5 million charge was primarily comprised of the discounted future cash flows of rent expenses we are obligated to pay under the lease agreement, partially offset by future sublease income which we calculated based on certain sublease assumptions. This restructuring reserve balance was \$0.4 million as of June 30, 2011.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we incurred a \$2.6 million pre-tax restructuring charge related to the exit of our San Francisco office space due to excess capacity and the virtual nature of the employees in this geographic region. This

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

restructuring charge was primarily comprised of the discounted future cash flows of rent expenses we are obligated to pay under the lease agreement, which were partially offset by estimated sublease income we expect to receive based on a sublease agreement executed in the fourth quarter of 2010. This restructuring reserve balance was \$2.1 million as of June 30, 2011.

During the third quarter of 2010, we incurred a \$0.3 million pre-tax restructuring charge related to the exit of excess office space, as well as severance for certain corporate personnel related to the disposition of the D&I practice discussed above in note 5. Discontinued Operations. This restructuring reserve balance was \$0.1 million as of June 30, 2011.

During the second quarter of 2010, we consolidated two of our offices into one existing location and incurred a \$1.2 million pre-tax restructuring charge related to the exit of the office space. The restructuring charge was primarily comprised of the discounted future cash flows of rent expenses we are obligated to pay under the lease agreement. There is no sublease income assumed in the restructuring charge due to the short term nature of the remaining lease term. This restructuring reserve balance was \$0.3 million as of June 30, 2011.

**10. Derivative Instrument and Hedging Activity**

On March 20, 2009, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement for a notional amount of \$100.0 million effective on March 31, 2009 and ending on February 23, 2012. We entered into this derivative instrument to hedge against the risk of changes in future cash flows related to changes in interest rates on \$100.0 million of the total variable-rate borrowings outstanding described above in note 8. Borrowings. Under the terms of the interest rate swap agreement, we receive from the counterparty interest on the \$100.0 million notional amount based on one-month LIBOR and we pay to the counterparty a fixed rate of 1.715%. This swap effectively converted \$100.0 million of our variable-rate borrowings to fixed-rate borrowings beginning on March 31, 2009 and through February 23, 2012.

FASB ASC Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, requires companies to recognize all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities at fair value on the balance sheet. In accordance with ASC Topic 815, we have designated this derivative instrument as a cash flow hedge. As such, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income (OCI) to the extent of effectiveness. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivative instrument is recognized in interest expense. All derivative gains and losses included in OCI will be reclassified into earnings within the next 12 months. At this time, there is no ineffectiveness to record on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations resulting from the derivative instrument.

The tables below set forth additional information relating to this interest rate swap designated as a hedging instrument as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Value (Derivative Liability)</b>	
	<b>June 30,</b>	<b>December 31,</b>
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Accrued expenses	\$ 948	\$
Deferred compensation and other liabilities	\$	\$ 1,459
	<b>Amount of Gain (Loss), Net of Tax, Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income</b>	
	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	<b>Six Months</b>
	<b>June 30,</b>	<b>Ended June 30,</b>

<b>Derivative</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Interest rate swap	\$175	\$(169)	\$307	\$(536)

We do not use derivative instruments for trading or other speculative purposes and we did not have any other derivative instruments or hedging activities as of June 30, 2011.

11

---

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

**11. Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

Cash and cash equivalents are stated at cost, which approximates fair market value. The carrying values for receivables from clients, unbilled services, accounts payable, deferred revenues and other accrued liabilities reasonably approximate fair market value due to the nature of the financial instrument and the short-term maturity of these items.

Certain of our assets and liabilities are measured at fair value. FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. ASC Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value and requires companies to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The fair value hierarchy consists of three levels based on the objectivity of the inputs as follows:

- Level 1 Inputs Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.
- Level 2 Inputs Quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.
- Level 3 Inputs Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability.

The table below sets forth our fair value hierarchy for our financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

	<b>Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)</b>	<b>Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)</b>	<b>Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>June 30, 2011</b>				
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Interest rate swap	\$	\$ 948	\$	\$ 948
<b>December 31, 2010</b>				
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Settlement Shares	\$ 12,552	\$	\$	\$ 12,552
Interest rate swap	\$	\$ 1,459	\$	\$ 1,459

The fair value of the interest rate swap was derived using estimates to settle the interest rate swap agreement, which is based on the net present value of expected future cash flows on each leg of the swap utilizing market-based inputs and discount rates reflecting the risks involved.

See note 14. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees for more information about the Settlement Shares.



**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

**12. Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

The tables below set forth the components of comprehensive income (loss) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2011</b>			<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2010</b>		
	<b>Before Taxes</b>	<b>Tax (Expense) Benefit</b>	<b>Net of Taxes</b>	<b>Before Taxes</b>	<b>Tax (Expense) Benefit</b>	<b>Net of Taxes</b>
Net income			\$ 9,469			\$ 2,375
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Foreign currency translation adjustment	\$ 289	\$ 2	291	\$ 317	\$	317
Unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedging instrument	292	(117)	175	(282)	113	(169)
Other comprehensive income	\$ 581	\$ (115)	466	\$ 35	\$ 113	148
Comprehensive income			\$ 9,935			\$ 2,523

	<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2011</b>			<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2010</b>		
	<b>Before Taxes</b>	<b>Tax (Expense) Benefit</b>	<b>Net of Taxes</b>	<b>Before Taxes</b>	<b>Tax (Expense) Benefit</b>	<b>Net of Taxes</b>
Net income			\$ 13,525			\$ 4,889
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Foreign currency translation adjustment	\$ 660	\$ (57)	603	\$ (366)	\$	(366)
Unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedging instrument	511	(204)	307	(894)	358	(536)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 1,171	\$ (261)	910	\$ (1,260)	\$ 358	(902)
Comprehensive income			\$ 14,435			\$ 3,987

**13. Income Taxes**

The Company's effective income tax rates for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were 50.8% and 36.6%, respectively. The Company's effective income tax rates for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were 51.0% and 39.7%, respectively. The effective tax rates for both periods in 2011 were higher than the statutory rate, inclusive of state income taxes, primarily due to an increase in foreign operating losses with no tax benefit and an increase to

the valuation allowance. In the second quarter of 2011, the Company recorded additional tax expense of \$0.4 million to establish a valuation allowance on certain foreign deferred tax assets after it was determined during the quarter that it was more likely than not that these tax benefits would not be realized. The effective tax rates for both periods in 2010 were lower than the statutory rate, inclusive of state income taxes, primarily due to a true up of certain accruals related to uncertain tax positions and deferred tax liabilities based on updated information obtained in the second quarter.

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

**14. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees**

**Litigation**

In August 2009, the SEC commenced an investigation with respect to the restatement and an investigation into the allocation of time within a certain practice group. We also conducted a separate inquiry, in response to the initial inquiry from the SEC, into the allocation of time within that certain practice group. This matter had no impact on billings to our clients, but could have impacted the timing of when revenue was recognized. Based on our internal inquiry, which is complete, we have concluded that an adjustment to our historical financial statements is not required with respect to this matter. The SEC investigations with respect to the restatement and the allocation of time within a certain practice group are ongoing. We are cooperating fully with the SEC in its investigations. As often happens in these circumstances, the USAO for the Northern District of Illinois has contacted our counsel. The USAO made a telephonic request for copies of certain documents that we previously provided to the SEC, which we have voluntarily provided to the USAO.

In addition, the following purported shareholder class action complaints were filed in connection with our restatement in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois: (1) a complaint in the matter of Jason Hughes v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren and Gary L. Burge, filed on August 4, 2009; (2) a complaint in the matter of Dorothy DeAngelis v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on August 5, 2009; (3) a complaint in the matter of Noel M. Parsons v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on August 5, 2009; (4) a complaint in the matter of Adam Liebman v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge and Wayne Lipski, filed on August 5, 2009; (5) a complaint in the matter of Gerald Tobin v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on August 7, 2009; (6) a complaint in the matter of Gary Austin v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge and Wayne Lipski, filed on August 7, 2009; and (7) a complaint in the matter of Thomas Fisher v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on September 3, 2009. On October 6, 2009, plaintiff Thomas Fisher voluntarily dismissed his complaint. On November 16, 2009, the remaining suits were consolidated and the Public School Teachers Pension & Retirement Fund of Chicago, the Arkansas Public Employees Retirement System, the City of Boston Retirement Board, the Cambridge Retirement System and the Bristol County Retirement System were appointed Lead Plaintiffs. Lead Plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint on January 29, 2010. The consolidated complaint asserts claims under Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and SEC Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder against Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary Holdren and Gary Burge and claims under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act against Gary Holdren, Gary Burge and Wayne Lipski. The consolidated complaint contends that the Company and the individual defendants issued false and misleading statements regarding the Company's financial results and compliance with GAAP. Lead Plaintiffs request that the action be declared a class action, and seek unspecified damages, equitable and injunctive relief, and reimbursement for fees and expenses incurred in connection with the action, including attorneys' fees. On March 30, 2010, Huron, Gary Burge, Gary Holdren and Wayne Lipski jointly filed a motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint. On August 6, 2010, the Court denied the motion to dismiss. On December 6, 2010, we reached an agreement in principle with Lead Plaintiffs to settle the litigation (the Class Action Settlement), pursuant to which the plaintiffs will receive total consideration of approximately \$39.6 million, comprised of \$27.0 million in cash and the issuance by the Company of 474,547 shares of our common stock (the Settlement Shares). The proposed Class Action Settlement received final Court approval and the case was terminated on May 6, 2011. The Settlement Shares were issued on June 6, 2011. The settlement contained no admission of wrongdoing.

The Settlement Shares had an aggregate value of approximately \$12.6 million based on the closing market price of our common stock on December 31, 2010. As a result of the Class Action Settlement, we recorded a non-cash charge to earnings in the fourth quarter of 2010 of \$12.6 million representing the fair value of the Settlement Shares and a

corresponding settlement liability. During the first six months of 2011, we recorded an additional \$1.1 million non-cash charge related to the Settlement Shares to reflect the change in fair value of the Settlement Shares through June 6, 2011, the date of issuance, resulting in a cumulative non-cash charge of \$13.7 million. In accordance with the proposed settlement, in the fourth quarter of 2010 we also recorded a receivable for the cash portion of the consideration, which was funded into escrow in its entirety by our insurance carriers in the first quarter of 2011, and a corresponding settlement liability. There was no impact to our Consolidated Statement of Operations for the cash consideration as we concluded that a right of setoff existed in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification Topic 210-20-45, Other Presentation Matters . The total

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

amount of insurance coverage under the related policy was \$35.0 million, and the insurers had previously paid out approximately \$8.0 million in claims prior to the final \$27.0 million payment discussed above. As a result of the final payment by the insurance carriers, we will not receive any further contributions from our insurance carriers for the reimbursement of legal fees expended on the finalization of the Class Action Settlement or any amounts (including any damages, settlement costs or legal fees) with respect to the SEC investigation with respect to the restatement, the USAO's request for certain documents and the purported private shareholder class action lawsuit and derivative lawsuits in respect of the restatement (collectively, the restatement matters).

The Company also has been named as a nominal defendant in two state derivative suits filed in connection with the Company's restatement, since consolidated in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, Chancery Division on September 21, 2009: (1) a complaint in the matter of Curtis Peters, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski, each of the members of the Board of Directors and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on August 28, 2009 (the Peters suit) and; (2) a complaint in the matter of Brian Hacias, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge and Wayne Lipski, filed on August 28, 2009 (the Hacias suit). The consolidated cases are captioned In Re Huron Consulting Group, Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation. On March 8, 2010, plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint. The consolidated complaint asserts claims for breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, abuse of control, gross mismanagement and waste of corporate assets. The consolidated complaint also alleges claims for professional negligence and breach of contract against PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's independent auditors. Plaintiffs seek to recoup for the Company unspecified damages allegedly sustained by the Company resulting from the restatement and related matters, disgorgement and reimbursement for fees and expenses incurred in connection with the suits, including attorneys' fees. Huron filed a motion to dismiss plaintiffs' consolidated complaint on April 22, 2010. On October 25, 2010, the Court granted Huron's motion to dismiss and dismissed plaintiffs' consolidated complaint with prejudice. On November 19, 2010, plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal of the dismissal to the Appellate Court of Illinois.

The Company has also been named as a nominal defendant in three Federal derivative suits filed in connection with the Company's restatement, since consolidated in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on November 23, 2009: (1) a complaint in the matter of Oakland County Employees' Retirement System, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski and each of the members of the Board of Directors, filed on October 7, 2009 (the Oakland suit); (2) a complaint in the matter of Philip R. Wilmore, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski, David M. Shade, and each of the members of the Board of Directors, filed on October 12, 2009 (the Wilmore suit); and (3) a complaint in the matter of Lawrence J. Goelz, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski, David M. Shade, and each of the members of the Board of Directors, filed on October 12, 2009 (the Goelz suit). Oakland County Employees' Retirement System, Philip R. Wilmore and Lawrence J. Goelz have been named Lead Plaintiffs. Lead Plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint on January 15, 2010. The consolidated complaint asserts claims under Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act and for breach of fiduciary duty, waste of corporate assets and unjust enrichment. Lead Plaintiffs seek to recoup for the Company unspecified damages allegedly sustained by the Company resulting from the restatement and related matters, restitution from all defendants and disgorgement of all profits, benefits or other compensation obtained by the defendants and reimbursement for fees and expenses incurred in connection with the suit, including attorneys' fees. On April 7, 2010, the Court denied Huron's motion to stay the Federal derivative suits. On April 8, 2010, Huron filed a motion to stay discovery proceedings in the derivative suits, pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act, pending the resolution of Huron's motion to dismiss plaintiffs' consolidated complaint. The Court granted Huron's motion to stay discovery proceedings in the derivative suits on April 12, 2010. Huron filed a motion to dismiss plaintiffs' consolidated complaint on April 27, 2010. Huron's motion to dismiss was granted, judgment entered and the case closed on September 7, 2010. On October 5, 2010, plaintiffs moved for relief from judgment and for leave to file a first

amended complaint. The Court granted plaintiffs' motion on October 12, 2010, and plaintiffs filed their amended complaint that same day. Defendants moved to dismiss plaintiffs' amended complaint on November 5, 2010. On March 22, 2011, the Court granted defendants' motion to dismiss and dismissed plaintiffs' amended complaint with prejudice. Plaintiffs did not appeal the Court's dismissal.

Given the uncertain nature of the restatement matters, and the uncertainties related to the incurrence and amount of loss, including with respect to the imposition of fines, penalties, damages, administrative remedies and liabilities for additional amounts, with respect to the restatement matters, we are unable to predict the ultimate outcome of the restatement matters,

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

determine whether a liability has been incurred or make a reasonable estimate of the liability that could result from an unfavorable outcome in the restatement matters. Any such liability could be material.

On December 9, 2009, plaintiff, Associates Against Outlier Fraud, filed a first amended *qui tam* complaint against Huron Consulting Group Inc., and others under the Federal and New York state False Claims Act ( FCA ) in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The Federal and state FCA authorize private individuals (known as relators ) to sue on behalf of the government (known as *qui tam* actions) alleging that false or fraudulent claims were knowingly submitted to the government. Once a *qui tam* action is filed, the government may elect to intervene in the action. If the government declines to intervene, the relator may proceed with the action. Under the Federal and state FCA, the government may recover treble damages and civil penalties (civil penalties of up to \$11,000 per violation under the Federal FCA and \$12,000 per violation under the state FCA). On January 6, 2010, the United States declined to intervene in the lawsuit. After the Court granted Huron's motion to dismiss without prejudice, on September 29, 2010, relator filed a second amended complaint alleging that Huron and others caused St. Vincent Catholic Medical Center to receive more than \$30 million in inflated outlier payments under the Medicare and Medicaid programs in violation of the federal and state FCA and is also seeking to recover an unspecified amount of civil penalties. On June 20, 2011, Huron filed a motion to dismiss under the FCA public disclosure jurisdictional bar, contending that relator's action is barred because it is based upon publicly disclosed information and relator cannot qualify as an original source. The motion to dismiss is currently pending. The suit is in the pre-trial stage and no trial date has been set. We believe that the claims are without merit and intend to vigorously defend ourselves in this matter.

From time to time, we are involved in legal proceedings and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. As of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we are not a party to or threatened with any other litigation or legal proceeding that, in the current opinion of management, could have a material adverse effect individually or in the aggregate on our financial position or results of operations, except for the matters discussed above. However, due to the risks and uncertainties inherent in legal proceedings, actual results could differ from current expected results.

**Guarantees**

Guarantees in the form of letters of credit totaling \$5.9 million and \$6.3 million were outstanding at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, to support certain office lease obligations as well as Middle East performance and bid bonds.

In connection with certain business acquisitions, we are required to pay additional purchase consideration to the sellers if specific performance targets and conditions are met over a number of years as specified in the related purchase agreements. These amounts are calculated and payable at the end of each year based on full year financial results. There is no limitation to the maximum amount of additional purchase consideration and the aggregate amount that potentially may be paid could be significant. Additional purchase consideration under these arrangements earned by certain sellers totaled \$22.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

To the extent permitted by law, our bylaws and articles of incorporation require that we indemnify our officers and directors against judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement, including attorneys' fees, incurred in connection with civil or criminal action or proceedings, as it relates to their services to us if such person acted in good faith. Although there is no limit on the amount of indemnification, we may have recourse against our insurance carrier for certain payments made.

**15. Segment Information**

Segments are defined by FASB ASC Topic 280, Segment Reporting, as components of a company in which separate financial information is available and is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Our chief operating decision maker manages the business under three operating segments: Health and Education Consulting, Legal Consulting, and Financial Consulting.

**Health and Education Consulting.** Our Health and Education Consulting segment provides consulting services to hospitals, health systems, physicians, managed care organizations, academic medical centers, colleges, universities, and pharmaceutical and medical device manufacturers. This segment's professionals develop and implement solutions to help clients address financial management, strategy, operational and organizational effectiveness,

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

research administration, and regulatory compliance. This segment also provides consulting services related to hospital or healthcare organization performance improvement, revenue cycle improvement, turnarounds, merger or affiliation strategies, labor productivity, non-labor cost management, information technology, patient flow improvement, physician practice management, interim management, clinical quality and medical management, and governance and board development.

**Legal Consulting.** Our Legal Consulting segment provides advisory and business services to assist law departments and law firms with their strategy, organizational design and development, operational efficiency, and cost effectiveness. These results-driven services add value to organizations by helping reduce legal spend and enhance client service. Our expertise focuses on strategic and management consulting, cost management, and technology and information management including matter management, records, document review and discovery services. Included in this segment's offerings are our V3locity solution, which delivers streamlined e-discovery process resulting in more affordable and predictable discovery costs and our IMPACT solution, which delivers sustainable cost reductions.

**Financial Consulting.** Our Financial Consulting segment assists corporations with complex accounting and financial reporting matters, and provides financial analysis in restructuring and turnaround situations. We have an array of services that are flexible and responsive to event- and transaction-based needs across industries. Our professionals consist of certified public accountants, certified insolvency and restructuring advisors, certified turnaround professionals, and chartered financial analysts who serve attorneys, corporations, and financial institutions as advisors and consultants. We also consult with companies in the areas of corporate governance, Sarbanes-Oxley compliance, and internal audit, and helps companies with critical finance and accounting department projects utilizing on-demand resources.

Segment operating income consists of the revenues generated by a segment, less the direct costs of revenue and selling, general and administrative costs that are incurred directly by the segment. Unallocated corporate costs include costs related to administrative functions that are performed in a centralized manner that are not attributable to a particular segment. These administrative function costs include costs for corporate office support, certain office facility costs, costs relating to accounting and finance, human resources, legal, marketing, information technology and Company-wide business development functions, as well as costs related to overall corporate management. The table below sets forth information about our operating segments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, along with the items necessary to reconcile the segment information to the totals reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>June 30,</b>		<b>June 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Health and Education Consulting:</b>				
Revenues	\$ 105,860	\$ 83,782	\$ 196,891	\$ 160,696
Operating income	\$ 37,764	\$ 28,799	\$ 64,131	\$ 49,865
Segment operating income as a percent of segment revenues	35.7%	34.4%	32.6%	31.0%
<b>Legal Consulting:</b>				
Revenues	\$ 39,972	\$ 33,951	\$ 77,289	\$ 67,056
Operating income	\$ 9,629	\$ 9,302	\$ 19,224	\$ 16,721
Segment operating income as a percent of segment revenues	24.1%	27.4%	24.9%	24.9%

**Financial Consulting:**

Revenues	\$ 13,203	\$ 17,921	\$ 27,840	\$ 35,644
Operating income	\$ 2,454	\$ 4,961	\$ 5,829	\$ 9,479
Segment operating income as a percent of segment revenues	18.6%	27.7%	20.9%	26.6%

**Total Company:**

Revenues	\$ 159,035	\$ 135,654	\$ 302,020	\$ 263,396
Reimbursable expenses	14,470	12,490	27,572	23,989
Total revenues and reimbursable expenses	\$ 173,505	\$ 148,144	\$ 329,592	\$ 287,385

**Table of Contents**

**HURON CONSULTING GROUP INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**  
**(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)**  
**(Unaudited)**

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>June 30,</b>		<b>June 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Statement of operations reconciliation:</b>				
Segment operating income	\$ 49,847	\$ 43,062	\$ 89,184	\$ 76,065
Charges not allocated at the segment level:				
Other selling, general and administrative expenses	22,627	28,662	46,021	49,600
Depreciation and amortization expense	4,394	4,839	8,699	9,466
Other expense, net	3,600	4,017	7,068	6,726
Income from continuing operations before income tax expense	\$ 19,226	\$ 5,544	\$ 27,396	\$ 10,273

**Table of Contents****ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

In this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, unless the context otherwise requires, the terms "Huron," "Company," "we," "us" and "our" refer to Huron Consulting Group Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including the information incorporated by reference herein, that are not historical in nature, including those concerning the Company's current expectations about its future requirements and needs, are forward-looking statements as defined in Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are identified by words such as "may," "should," "expects," "provides," "anticipates," "assumes," "can," "may intend," "might," "predicts," "seeks," "would," "believes," "estimates" or "continues." Risks, uncertainties and assumptions could impact the Company's forward-looking statements relate, among other things, to (i) the restatement, (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission investigation with respect to the restatement and the related purported private shareholder class action lawsuit and derivative lawsuits, and (iii) the request by the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Illinois for certain documents. In addition, these forward-looking statements reflect our current expectation about our future requirements and needs, results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements, including, without limitation, that our business continues to grow at the current expectations with respect to, among other factors, utilization rates, billing rates, and the number of revenue-generating professionals; that we are able to expand our service offerings; that we successfully integrate the businesses we acquire; and that existing market conditions continue to trend upward. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, including, among others, those described under "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the full year ended December 31, 2010 and in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2011 that may cause actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any anticipated results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements.

**OVERVIEW****Our Business**

We are a leading provider of operational and financial consulting services. We help clients in diverse industries improve performance, comply with complex regulations, reduce costs, recover from distress, leverage technology, and stimulate growth. We team with our clients to deliver sustainable and measurable results. Our professionals employ their expertise in healthcare administration, accounting, finance and operations to provide our clients with specialized analyses and customized advice and solutions that are tailored to address each client's particular challenges and opportunities. We provide consulting services to a wide variety of both financially sound and distressed organizations, including healthcare organizations, leading academic institutions, governmental entities, Fortune 500 companies, medium-sized businesses, and the law firms that represent these various organizations.

We provide our services through three operating segments: Health and Education Consulting, Legal Consulting and Financial Consulting.

**Health and Education Consulting**

Our Health and Education Consulting segment provides consulting services to hospitals, health systems, physicians, managed care organizations, academic medical centers, colleges, universities, and pharmaceutical and medical device manufacturers. This segment's professionals develop and implement solutions to help clients address challenges relating to financial management, strategy, operational and organizational effectiveness, research administration, and regulatory compliance. This segment also provides consulting services related to hospital or healthcare organization performance improvement, revenue cycle improvement, turnarounds, merger or affiliation strategies, labor productivity, non-labor cost management, information technology, patient flow improvement, physician practice management, interim management, clinical quality and medical management, and governance and board development.

**Legal Consulting**

Our Legal Consulting segment provides advisory and business services to assist law departments and law firms with their strategy, organizational design and development, operational efficiency, and cost effectiveness. These results-driven services add value to organizations by helping reduce the amounts they spend on legal services and enhance client service. Our expertise focuses on strategic and management consulting, cost management, and technology and information management including matter management, records, document review and discovery

## **Table of Contents**

services. Included in this segment's offerings are our V3locity® solution, which delivers streamlined e-discovery process resulting in more affordable and predictable discovery costs and our IMPACT solution, which delivers sustainable cost reductions.

### **Financial Consulting**

Our Financial Consulting segment assists corporations with complex accounting and financial reporting matters, and provides financial analysis in restructuring and turnaround situations. We have an array of services that are flexible and responsive to event- and transaction-based needs across industries. Our professionals consist of certified public accountants, certified insolvency and restructuring advisors, certified turnaround professionals, and chartered financial analysts who serve attorneys, corporations, and financial institutions as advisors and consultants. We also consult with companies in the areas of corporate governance, Sarbanes-Oxley compliance, and internal audit, and helps companies with critical finance and accounting department projects utilizing on-demand resources.

### **How We Generate Revenues**

A large portion of our revenues is generated by our full-time consultants who provide consulting services to our clients and are billable to our clients based on the number of hours worked. A smaller portion of our revenues is generated by our other professionals, also referred to as full-time equivalents, consisting of finance and accounting consultants, specialized operational consultants and contract reviewers, all of whom work variable schedules as needed by our clients. Other professionals also include our document review and electronic data discovery groups, as well as full-time employees who provide software support and maintenance services to our clients. Our document review and electronic data discovery groups generate revenues primarily based on number of hours worked and units produced, such as pages reviewed or amount of data processed. We translate the hours that these other professionals work on client engagements into a full-time equivalent measure that we use to manage our business. From time to time, our full-time consultants may provide software support and maintenance or document review and electronic data discovery services based on demand for such services and the availability of our full-time consultants. We refer to our full-time consultants and other professionals collectively as revenue generating professionals.

Revenues generated by our full-time consultants are primarily driven by the number of consultants we employ and their utilization rates, as well as the billing rates we charge our clients. Revenues generated by our other professionals, or full-time equivalents, are largely dependent on the number of consultants we employ, their hours worked and billing rates charged, as well as the number of pages reviewed and amount of data processed in the case of our document review and electronic data discovery groups, respectively.

We generate the majority of our revenues from providing professional services under three types of billing arrangements: time-and-expense, fixed-fee, and performance-based.

Time-and-expense billing arrangements require the client to pay based on either the number of hours worked, the number of pages reviewed, or the amount of data processed by our revenue generating professionals at agreed upon rates. We recognize revenues under time-and-expense billing arrangements as the related services are rendered. Time-and-expense engagements represented 44.0% and 49.7% of our revenues in the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Time-and-expense engagements represented 45.5% and 50.7% of our revenues in the first half of 2011 and 2010, respectively.

In fixed-fee billing arrangements, we agree to a pre-established fee in exchange for a pre-determined set of professional services. We set the fees based on our estimates of the costs and timing for completing the engagements. It is the client's expectation in these engagements that the pre-established fee will not be exceeded except in mutually agreed upon circumstances. We recognize revenues under fixed-fee billing arrangements using a percentage-of-completion approach, which is based on our estimates of work completed to-date versus the total services to be provided under the engagement. For the quarter ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, fixed-fee engagements represented approximately 32.1% and 36.0%, respectively, of our revenues. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, fixed-fee engagements represented approximately 36.1% and 35.8%, respectively, of our revenues.

In performance-based fee billing arrangements, fees are tied to the attainment of contractually defined objectives. We enter into performance-based engagements in essentially two forms. First, we generally earn fees that are directly

related to the savings formally acknowledged by the client as a result of adopting our recommendations for improving operational and cost effectiveness in the areas we review. Second, we have performance-based engagements in which we earn a success fee when and if certain pre-defined outcomes occur. Often, success fees supplement our time-and-expense or fixed-fee engagements. We do not recognize revenues under performance-based billing arrangements until all related performance

## **Table of Contents**

criteria are met. Performance-based fee revenues represented 21.4% and 12.3% of our revenues in the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Performance-based fee revenues represented 15.9% and 11.4% of our revenues in the first half of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Performance-based fee engagements may cause significant variations in quarterly revenues and operating results due to the timing of achieving the performance-based criteria.

We also generate revenues from licensing two types of proprietary software to clients. License revenue from our research administration and compliance software is recognized in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 985-605, generally in the month in which the software is delivered. License revenue from our revenue cycle management software is sold only as a component of our consulting projects and the services we provide are essential to the functionality of the software. Therefore, revenues from these software licenses are recognized over the term of the related consulting services contract in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 605-35. Clients that have purchased one of our software licenses can pay an annual fee for software support and maintenance. Annual support and maintenance fee revenue is recognized ratably over the support period, which is generally one year. These fees are billed in advance and included in deferred revenues until recognized. Support and maintenance revenues represented 2.5% and 2.0% of our revenues in the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Support and maintenance revenues represented 2.5% and 2.1% of our revenues in the first half of 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Our quarterly results are impacted principally by our full-time consultants' utilization rate, the number of business days in each quarter and the number of our revenue generating professionals who are available to work. Our utilization rate can be negatively affected by increased hiring because there is generally a transition period for new professionals that results in a temporary drop in our utilization rate. Our utilization rate can also be affected by seasonal variations in the demand for our services from our clients. For example, during the third and fourth quarters of the year, vacations taken by our clients can result in the deferral of activity on existing and new engagements, which would negatively affect our utilization rate. The number of business work days is also affected by the number of vacation days taken by our consultants and holidays in each quarter. We typically have fewer business work days available in the fourth quarter of the year, which can impact revenues during that period.

Time-and-expense engagements do not provide us with a high degree of predictability as to performance in future periods. Unexpected changes in the demand for our services can result in significant variations in utilization and revenues and present a challenge to optimal hiring and staffing. Moreover, our clients typically retain us on an engagement-by-engagement basis, rather than under long-term recurring contracts. The volume of work performed for any particular client can vary widely from period to period.

### **Business Strategy, Opportunities and Challenges**

Our primary strategy is to meet the needs of our clients by providing a balanced portfolio of service offerings and capabilities, so that we can adapt quickly and effectively to emerging opportunities in the marketplace. To achieve this, we have entered into select acquisitions of complementary businesses and continue to hire highly qualified professionals.

To expand our business, we will remain focused on growing our existing relationships and developing new relationships, execute the new managing director compensation plan implemented in 2010 to attract and retain senior practitioners, continue to promote and provide an integrated approach to service delivery, broaden the scope of our existing services, and acquire complementary businesses. We will regularly evaluate the performance of our practices to ensure our investments meet these objectives. Furthermore, we intend to enhance our visibility in the marketplace by refining our overarching messaging and value propositions for the organization as well as each practice. The launch of our Huron Legal, Huron Healthcare, Huron Education and Huron Life Sciences brand identities during the first half of 2011 is a major step in clearly articulating the benefits we offer our clients. We will continue to focus on reaching our client base through clear, concise, endorsed messages.

### **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. We review our financial reporting and disclosure practices and accounting policies to ensure that our financial reporting and disclosures provide accurate information relative to the current economic and business environment. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make assessments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported

amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Critical accounting policies are those policies that we believe present the most complex or subjective measurements and have the most potential to impact our

**Table of Contents**

financial position and operating results. While all decisions regarding accounting policies are important, we believe that there are four accounting policies that could be considered critical. These critical accounting policies relate to revenue recognition, allowances for doubtful accounts and unbilled services, carrying values of goodwill and other intangible assets, and valuation of net deferred tax assets. For a detailed discussion of these critical accounting policies, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Critical Accounting Policies in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Below is an update to our critical accounting policy relating to the carrying values of goodwill and other intangible assets. There have been no material changes to our other critical accounting policies during the first half of 2011.

**Carrying Values of Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets**

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of an acquired business over the net of the amounts assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Pursuant to the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 350, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other, goodwill is required to be tested at the reporting unit level for impairment annually or whenever indications of impairment arise. Pursuant to our policy, we performed the annual goodwill impairment test as of April 30, 2011 and determined that no impairment of goodwill existed as of that date.

In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 350, we aggregate our business components into reporting units and test for goodwill impairment. Goodwill impairment is determined using a two-step process. The first step of the goodwill impairment test is to identify potential impairment by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its net book value (or carrying amount), including goodwill. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not to be impaired and the second step of the impairment test is unnecessary. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step of the goodwill impairment test is performed to measure the amount of the impairment loss, if any. The second step of the goodwill impairment test compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in the same manner as the amount of goodwill recognized in a business combination. That is, the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to all of the assets and liabilities of that unit (including any unrecognized intangible assets) as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination and the fair value of the reporting unit was the purchase price paid to acquire the reporting unit.

Based on the result of the first step of the goodwill impairment analysis, we determined that the fair values of our Health and Education Consulting, Legal Consulting, and Financial Consulting reporting units exceeded their carrying values by 53%, 48%, and 11%, respectively. Since the fair value of all reporting units exceeded their carrying values, the second step of the goodwill impairment test was not necessary.

Determining the fair value of a reporting unit requires our management to make significant judgments, estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions could have a significant impact on whether or not an impairment charge is recognized and also the magnitude of any such charge.

In estimating the fair value of our reporting units, we considered the income approach, the market approach and the cost approach. The income approach recognizes that the value of an asset is premised upon the expected receipt of future economic benefits. This approach involves projecting the cash flows the asset is expected to generate. Fair value indications are developed in the income approach by discounting expected future cash flows available to the investor at a rate which reflects the risk inherent in the investment. The market approach is primarily comprised of the guideline company and the guideline transaction methods. The guideline company method compares the subject company to selected reasonably similar companies whose securities are actively traded in the public markets. The guideline transaction method gives consideration to the prices paid in recent transactions that have occurred in the subject company's industry. The cost approach estimates the fair value of an asset based on the current cost to purchase or replace the asset.

In estimating the fair value of our reporting units, we used the income approach. For companies providing services, such as us, the income approach will generally provide the most reliable indications of value because the value of such companies is more dependent on their ability to generate earnings than on the value of the assets used in the production process. The income approach takes into account the future earnings potential of our reporting units.

In applying the income approach, we utilized a discounted cash flow analysis, which involved estimating the expected after-tax cash flows that will be generated by each of the reporting units and then discounting these cash flows to present value reflecting the relevant risks associated with the reporting units and the time value of money. This approach requires the use of significant estimates and assumptions, including long-term projections of future cash flows, market conditions, discount rates reflecting the risk inherent in future cash flows, revenue growth, perpetual growth rates and profitability, among others. In estimating future cash flows for each of our reporting units, we relied on internally generated six-year forecasts and a three percent long-term assumed annual revenue growth rate for periods after the six-year forecast. Our

**Table of Contents**

forecasts are based on our historical experience, current backlog, expected market demand, and other industry information. We used a 13.7% discount rate for each of our Health and Education Consulting, Legal Consulting, and Financial Consulting reporting units.

While we believe that our estimates and assumptions underlying the valuation methodology are reasonable, different estimates and assumptions could result in different outcomes. The table below presents the decrease in the fair value of each of our reporting units given a one percent increase in the discount rate or a one percent decrease in the long-term assumed annual revenue growth rate.

(in thousands)	Decrease in Fair Value of the Reporting Unit		
	Health and Education Consulting	Legal Consulting	Financial Consulting
Discount Rate Increase by 1%	\$60,800	\$11,400	\$6,400
Long-term Growth Rate Decrease by 1%	\$44,700	\$10,100	\$4,500

As described above, a goodwill impairment analysis requires significant judgments, estimates and assumptions. The results of this impairment analysis are as of a point in time. There is no assurance that the actual future earnings or cash flows of our reporting units will not decline significantly from our projections. We will monitor any changes to our assumptions and will evaluate goodwill as deemed warranted during future periods. Any significant decline in our operations could result in goodwill impairment charges.

The carrying values of goodwill for each of our reporting units as of June 30, 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

	Health and Education Consulting	Legal Consulting	Financial Consulting	Total
Carrying Value of Goodwill	\$418,718	\$33,497	\$54,549	\$506,764

Intangible assets represent purchased assets that lack physical substance but can be distinguished from goodwill. Our intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization, totaled \$21.9 million at June 30, 2011 and consist of customer contracts, customer relationships, non-competition agreements, trade names, as well as technology and software. We use valuation techniques in estimating the initial fair value of acquired intangible assets. These valuations are primarily based on the present value of the estimated net cash flows expected to be derived from the intangible assets, discounted for assumptions such as future customer attrition. We evaluate our intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. Therefore, changes such as higher or earlier-than-expected customer attrition or obsolescence of technology or software may result in higher future amortization charges or an impairment charge for intangible assets.

**RESTATEMENT OF PREVIOUSLY-ISSUED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

As previously disclosed, on August 17, 2009, we restated our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, as well as the three months ended March 31, 2009:

Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A, filed with the SEC on August 17, 2009, to our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, originally filed on February 24, 2009.

Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-Q/A, filed with the SEC on August 17, 2009, to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2009, originally filed on April 30, 2009.

The restatement related to the redistribution of certain payments related to four acquired businesses by the selling shareholders among themselves in amounts that were not consistent with their ownership interests on the date we acquired the businesses (the Shareholder Payments) and to other select client-serving and administrative Company employees (the Employee Payments) based, in part, on continuing employment with the Company or the achievement of personal performance measures. The restatement was necessary because we failed to account for the Shareholder Payments and the Employee Payments in accordance with GAAP. The Shareholder Payments and the Employee

Payments were required to

23

---

**Table of Contents**

be reflected as non-cash compensation expense of Huron, and the selling shareholders were deemed to have made a capital contribution to Huron. Effective August 1, 2009, the selling shareholders of two of the acquired businesses each amended certain agreements related to the earn-outs to provide that future earn-outs will be distributed only to the applicable selling shareholders and only in accordance with their equity interests on the date we acquired the business with no required continuing employment, and no further Shareholder Payments or Employee Payments will be made. Accordingly, all earn-out payments related to such acquired businesses made on or after August 1, 2009, have been, and will continue to be, accounted for as additional purchase consideration and not also as non-cash compensation expense. Additional earn-out payment obligations, payable through December 31, 2011, currently remain with respect to only one acquired business.

For additional information about the restatement, see Note 3. Restatement of Previously-Issued Financial Statements , as well as our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. See Part II, Item 1. Legal Proceedings and note 14. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees for a discussion of the SEC investigations, the USAO s request for certain documents, and the purported private shareholder class action lawsuit and derivative lawsuits that occurred as a result of the restatement.

**Table of Contents****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, selected segment and consolidated operating results and other operating data. Segment operating income consists of the revenues generated by a segment, less the direct costs of revenue and selling, general and administrative costs that are incurred directly by the segment. Unallocated costs include corporate costs related to administrative functions that are performed in a centralized manner that are not attributable to a particular segment. See note 5. Discontinued Operations of this Quarterly Report for information related to our discontinued operations.

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30,</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Segment and Consolidated Operating Results</b>				
<b>(in thousands):</b>				
<b>Revenues and reimbursable expenses:</b>				
Health and Education Consulting	\$ 105,860	\$ 83,782	\$ 196,891	\$ 160,696
Legal Consulting	39,972	33,951	77,289	67,056
Financial Consulting	13,203	17,921	27,840	35,644
Total revenues	159,035	135,654	302,020	263,396
Total reimbursable expenses	14,470	12,490	27,572	23,989
<b>Total revenues and reimbursable expenses</b>	<b>\$ 173,505</b>	<b>\$ 148,144</b>	<b>\$ 329,592</b>	<b>\$ 287,385</b>
<b>Operating income:</b>				
Health and Education Consulting	\$ 37,764	\$ 28,799	\$ 64,131	\$ 49,865
Legal Consulting	9,629	9,302	19,224	16,721
Financial Consulting	2,454	4,961	5,829	9,479
Total segment operating income	49,847	43,062	89,184	76,065
Operating expenses not allocated to segments	27,021	33,501	54,720	59,066
<b>Total operating income</b>	<b>\$ 22,826</b>	<b>\$ 9,561</b>	<b>\$ 34,464</b>	<b>\$ 16,999</b>
<b>Other Operating Data:</b>				
<b>Number of full-time billable consultants (at period end) <sup>(1)</sup>:</b>				
Health and Education Consulting	977	826	977	826
Legal Consulting	115	127	115	127
Financial Consulting	80	82	80	82
Total	1,172	1,035	1,172	1,035
<b>Average number of full-time billable consultants (for the period) <sup>(1)</sup>:</b>				
Health and Education Consulting	966	835	949	841
Legal Consulting	123	128	120	133
Financial Consulting	82	83	84	82
Total	1,171	1,046	1,153	1,056

**Full-time billable consultant utilization rate <sup>(2)</sup>:**

Health and Education Consulting	73.7%	74.3%	77.3%	71.2%
Legal Consulting	54.9%	63.3%	55.4%	59.1%
Financial Consulting	73.2%	73.9%	73.3%	70.8%
Total	71.9%	73.0%	74.9%	69.7%

25

---

**Table of Contents**

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>Other Operating Data (Continued):</b>				
<b>Full-time billable consultant average billing rate per hour <sup>(3)</sup>:</b>				
Health and Education Consulting	\$ 269	\$ 240	\$ 241	\$ 238
Legal Consulting	\$ 231	\$ 208	\$ 233	\$ 200
Financial Consulting	\$ 307	\$ 303	\$ 317	\$ 300
Total	\$ 269	\$ 243	\$ 246	\$ 240
<b>Revenue per full-time billable consultant (in thousands):</b>				
Health and Education Consulting	\$ 97	\$ 85	\$ 181	\$ 162
Legal Consulting	\$ 55	\$ 59	\$ 110	\$ 104
Financial Consulting	\$ 108	\$ 125	\$ 230	\$ 241
Total	\$ 94	\$ 85	\$ 177	\$ 160
<b>Average number of full-time equivalents (for the period) <sup>(4)</sup>:</b>				
Health and Education Consulting	146	157	148	149
Legal Consulting	973	676	919	671
Financial Consulting	76	108	71	116
Total	1,195	941	1,138	936
<b>Revenue per full-time equivalents (in thousands):</b>				
Health and Education Consulting	\$ 82	\$ 83	\$ 169	\$ 167
Legal Consulting	\$ 34	\$ 39	\$ 70	\$ 79
Financial Consulting	\$ 57	\$ 70	\$ 120	\$ 137
Total	\$ 41	\$ 50	\$ 86	\$ 100

- (1) Consists of our full-time professionals who provide consulting services and generate revenues based on the number of hours worked.
- (2) Utilization rate for our full-time billable consultants is calculated by dividing the number of hours of all our full-time billable consultants worked on client assignments during a period by the total available working hours for all of these consultants during the same period, assuming a forty-hour work week, less paid holidays and vacation days.
- (3) Average billing rate per hour for our full-time billable consultants is calculated by dividing revenues for a period by the number of hours worked on client assignments during the same period.
- (4) Consists of consultants who work variable schedules as needed by our clients, as well as contract reviewers and other professionals who generate revenues primarily based on number of hours worked and units produced, such as pages reviewed and data processed. Also includes full-time employees who provide software support and maintenance services to our clients.

**Non-GAAP Measures**

We also assess our results of operations using certain non-GAAP financial measures. These non-GAAP financial measures differ from GAAP because the non-GAAP financial measures we calculate to measure adjusted EBITDA, adjusted net income from continuing operations and adjusted diluted earnings per share exclude a number of items

required by GAAP, each discussed below. These non-GAAP financial measures should be considered in addition to, and not as a substitute for or superior to, any measure of performance, cash flows or liquidity prepared in accordance with GAAP. Our non-GAAP financial measures may be defined differently from time to time and may be defined differently than similar terms used by other companies, and accordingly, care should be exercised in understanding how we define our non-GAAP financial measures.

Our management uses the non-GAAP financial measures to gain an understanding of our comparative operating performance, for example when comparing such results with previous periods or forecasts. These non-GAAP financial measures are used by management in their financial and operating decision-making because management believes they reflect our ongoing business in a manner that allows for meaningful period-to-period comparisons. Management also uses these non-GAAP financial measures when publicly providing our business outlook, for internal management purposes, and as a basis for evaluating potential acquisitions and dispositions. We believe that these non-GAAP financial measures provide useful information to investors and others (a) in understanding and evaluating Huron's current operating performance and future prospects in the same manner as management does, (b) in comparing in a consistent manner

**Table of Contents**

Huron's current financial results with Huron's past financial results and (c) in understanding the Company's ability to generate cash flows from operations that are available for taxes, capital expenditures, and debt repayment.

The reconciliations of these non-GAAP financial measures from GAAP to non-GAAP are as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Three months ended</b>		<b>Six months ended</b>	
	<b>June 30,</b>		<b>June 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Revenues</b>	\$ 159,035	\$ 135,654	\$ 302,020	\$ 263,396
Net income from continuing operations	\$ 9,466	\$ 3,514	\$ 13,427	\$ 6,195
Add back:				
Income tax expense	9,760	2,030	13,969	4,078
Interest and other expenses	3,600	4,017	7,068	6,726
Depreciation and amortization	5,763	5,726	11,501	11,239
<b>Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA)</b>	<b>28,589</b>	<b>15,287</b>	<b>45,965</b>	<b>28,238</b>
Add back:				
Restatement related expenses	1,785	2,428	3,025	3,187
Restructuring charge	461	1,165	985	1,165
Litigation settlement	508	4,764	1,096	4,764
<b>Adjusted EBITDA</b>	<b>\$ 31,343</b>	<b>\$ 23,644</b>	<b>\$ 51,071</b>	<b>\$ 37,354</b>
<b>Adjusted EBITDA as a percentage of revenues</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>14.2%</b>
	<b>Three months ended</b>		<b>Six months ended</b>	
	<b>June 30,</b>		<b>June 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Net income from continuing operations</b>	\$ 9,466	\$ 3,514	\$ 13,427	\$ 6,195
<b>Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations</b>	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.30
Add back:				
Amortization of intangible assets	2,125	1,879	4,401	3,757
Restatement related expenses	1,785	2,428	3,025	3,187
Restructuring charge	461	1,165	985	1,165
Litigation settlement	508	4,764	1,096	4,764
Tax effect	(1,952)	(4,094)	(3,803)	(5,149)
Total adjustments, net of tax	2,927	6,142	5,704	7,724
<b>Adjusted net income from continuing operations</b>	<b>\$ 12,393</b>	<b>\$ 9,656</b>	<b>\$ 19,131</b>	<b>\$ 13,919</b>
<b>Adjusted diluted earnings per share from continuing operations</b>	<b>\$ 0.58</b>	<b>\$ 0.47</b>	<b>\$ 0.90</b>	<b>\$ 0.67</b>

These non-GAAP financial measures include adjustments for the following items:

*Restatement related expenses:* We have incurred significant expenses related to our financial statement restatement. We have excluded the effect of these restatement related expenses from our non-GAAP measures due to the nonrecurring nature of the event as a means to provide comparability with periods that were not impacted by the restatement related expenses.

*Restructuring charges:* We have incurred charges due to the restructuring of various parts of our business. These restructuring charges have primarily consisted of severance charges and office space reductions. We have excluded the effect of the restructuring charges from our non-GAAP measures as a means to provide comparability with periods that were not impacted by a restructuring charge. Additionally, the amount of each restructuring charge is significantly affected by the timing and size of the restructured business or component of a business.

**Table of Contents**

*Litigation settlement:* We have excluded the one-time effects of the litigation settlements in 2011 and 2010 from our non-GAAP measures because they are infrequent events and their exclusion permits comparability with periods that were not impacted by these charges.

*Amortization of intangible assets:* We have excluded the effect of amortization of intangible assets from the non-GAAP measures presented above. Amortization of intangibles is inconsistent in its amount and frequency and is significantly affected by the timing and size of our acquisitions.

*Tax effect:* The non-GAAP income tax adjustment reflects the incremental tax rate in which the non-GAAP adjustment occurs.

*Income tax expense, Interest and other expenses, Depreciation and Amortization:* We have excluded the effects of income tax expense, interest and other expenses and depreciation and amortization in the calculation of EBITDA as these are customary exclusions as defined by the calculation of EBITDA to arrive at a meaningful earnings from core operations excluding the effect of such items.

**Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2010****Revenues**

Revenues increased \$23.3 million, or 17.2%, to \$159.0 million for the second quarter of 2011 from \$135.7 million for the second quarter of 2010.

Of the overall \$23.3 million increase in revenues, \$20.9 million was attributable to our full-time billable consultants and \$2.4 million was attributable to our full-time equivalents. The \$20.9 million increase in full-time billable consultant revenues was primarily attributable to an increase in the demand for our services in the Health and Education Consulting segment. Revenue per full-time billable consultant increased in the quarter compared to same period in the prior year due to a higher average billing rate, offset slightly by lower utilization. The \$2.4 million increase in full-time equivalent revenues resulted from increased use of contractors within our Legal Consulting segment, partially offset by decreased use of contractors in our Health and Education Consulting segment and a decrease in demand for our variable, on-demand consultants in the Financial Consulting segment.

**Total Direct Costs**

Our direct costs increased \$12.5 million, or 15.0%, to \$95.5 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 from \$83.0 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010. The increase was primarily related to an \$8.9 million increase in salaries, benefit and bonus costs for our revenue generating professionals compared to the same period in the prior year. Additionally, a \$1.8 million increase in contractor expense and a \$0.8 million increase in technology expense also contributed to the increase in direct costs. Share-based compensation expense was \$3.4 million in both the second quarter of 2011 and the second quarter of 2010.

Total direct costs for the three months ended June 30, 2011 included \$1.4 million of intangible assets amortization expense, primarily representing customer-related assets and software. This was an increase of \$0.5 million compared to the same period in the prior year. This increase was related to the amortization of intangible assets acquired as part of business combinations during the fourth quarter of 2010.

**Operating Expenses**

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$3.1 million, or 10.5%, to \$32.1 million in the second quarter of 2011 from \$29.0 million in the second quarter of 2010. This increase was primarily related to a \$1.5 million increase in salaries, benefits and bonus expense for our non-revenue generating professionals, a \$1.0 million increase in expenses for practice administration and meetings, a \$0.6 million increase in training, a \$0.5 million increase in promotions and marketing, and an overall increase in other miscellaneous business expenses. These increases were partially offset by a \$1.0 million decrease in legal expenses and a \$0.6 million decrease in share-based compensation expense for our non-revenue generating professionals.

In the second quarter of 2011, we recorded \$0.5 million in restructuring expense, primarily consisting of severance charges, as the result of actions taken to better align our resources with market demand for our services.

Expenses incurred in connection with our restatement, discussed above under Restatement of Previously-Issued Financial Statements, decreased by \$0.6 million, or 26.5%, to \$1.8 million in the second quarter of 2011 from \$2.4 million in the second quarter of 2010. These expenses primarily consist of legal fees.



**Table of Contents**

Litigation settlement expense was \$0.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. In the fourth quarter of 2010, we entered into a proposed Class Action Settlement and recorded a non-cash charge of \$12.6 million representing the fair value of the Settlement Shares based on the closing market price of our common stock on December 31, 2010. The \$0.5 million non-cash charge recorded in the second quarter of 2011 represents the change in fair value of the Settlement Shares during the quarter through the date of share issuance on June 6, 2011. See note 14, Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees for a full description of the litigation matter and related settlement. In the second quarter of 2010 we settled an unrelated litigation matter, which resulted in a litigation settlement charge of \$4.8 million during that quarter.

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased by \$0.4 million, or 9.2%, to \$4.4 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 from \$4.8 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010. This decrease was primarily attributable to a reduction in amortization expense for certain intangible assets that became fully amortized prior to the second quarter of 2011. Non-direct intangible assets amortization relate to customer relationships, non-competition agreements and trade names acquired in connection with our acquisitions.

**Operating Income**

Operating income increased \$13.4 million, or greater than 100%, to \$22.8 million in the second quarter of 2011 from \$9.6 million in the second quarter of 2010. Operating margin, which is defined as operating income expressed as a percentage of revenues, increased to 14.4% in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 7.0% in the three months ended June 30, 2010. The increase in operating margin was primarily attributable to the decreases in direct costs and operating expenses as a percentage of revenues, as well as decreases in litigation settlement, restructuring, and restatement expenses.

**Other Expense**

Other expense decreased by \$0.4 million, or 10.4%, to \$3.6 million in the second quarter of 2011 from \$4.0 million in the second quarter of 2010. The \$0.4 million decrease in expense was primarily due to improved performance of the investments that are used to fund our deferred compensation liability. Interest expense decreased slightly to \$3.5 million during the second quarter of 2011 from \$3.6 million during the second quarter of 2010. This slight decrease is the result of a decreased average interest rate during the period partially offset by a \$0.5 million write-off of debt issue costs related to the credit facility that we recently refinanced.

**Income Tax Expense**

For the second quarter of 2011, we recognized income tax expense from continuing operations of \$9.8 million on income from continuing operations of \$19.2 million. For the second quarter of 2010, we recognized income tax expense from continuing operations of \$2.0 million on income from continuing operations of \$5.5 million. Our effective income tax rate increased to 50.8% in the second quarter of 2011 from 36.6% in the same period last year. The effective tax rate in the second quarter of 2011 was higher than the statutory rate, inclusive of state income taxes, due primarily to an increase in foreign losses with no tax benefit and an increase to the valuation allowance of \$0.4 million, after it was determined during the quarter that it was more likely than not that these tax benefits would not be realized. The effective tax rate in the second quarter of 2010 was lower than the statutory rate, inclusive of state income taxes, due primarily to a true up of certain accruals related to uncertain tax positions and deferred tax liabilities based on updated information obtained in the second quarter.

**Net Income from Continuing Operations**

Net income from continuing operations was \$9.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to net income from continuing operations of \$3.5 million for the same period last year. The increase in net income from continuing operations was primarily due to the \$23.3 million increase in revenues, the \$4.3 million decrease in litigation settlement expense, and decreases in restructuring and restatement expenses, partially offset by the increases in direct costs, selling, general and administrative costs, and income tax expense. As a result of the increase in net income from continuing operations, diluted earnings per share from continuing operations for the second quarter of 2011 was \$0.44 compared to diluted earnings per share from continuing operations of \$0.17 for the second quarter of 2010.

**Discontinued Operations**

Since December 31, 2009, we have undertaken several separate initiatives to divest practices within the Financial Consulting segment in order to enable us to devote more of our resources to the remaining businesses of the Company where we have a more substantial market presence. On September 30, 2010, we completed a sale of a portion of the D&I practice and wound down the remaining practice operations as of that same date. Additionally, during the third quarter of 2010 we exited the Utilities practice. In December 2009, our Board approved a plan to divest the businesses that included

**Table of Contents**

the international operations of our Japan office and the Galt strategy business, which we acquired in April 2006. We exited Galt with the December 31, 2009 sale of the business back to its three original principals. We exited Japan effective June 30, 2010 via a wind down of the business after discussions with a prospective buyer during the second quarter of 2010 ended without a sale of the operations. As a result of these actions, the operating results of D&I, Utilities, Japan, and Galt are reported as discontinued operations.

Net income from discontinued operations was less than \$0.1 million in the second quarter of 2011, compared to a net loss from discontinued operations of \$1.1 million in the second quarter of 2010. See note 5. Discontinued Operations of this Quarterly Report for further information about our discontinued operations.

**Segment Results****Health and Education Consulting****Revenues**

Health and Education Consulting segment revenues increased \$22.1 million, or 26.4%, to \$105.9 million for the second quarter of 2011 from \$83.8 million for the second quarter of 2010. Revenues from time-and-expense engagements, fixed-fee engagements, performance-based engagements and software support and maintenance arrangements represented 19.2%, 45.1%, 32.0% and 3.7% of this segment's revenues during the three months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to 23.5%, 53.2%, 20.0% and 3.3%, respectively, for the comparable period in 2010.

Of the overall \$22.1 million increase in revenues, \$23.2 million was attributable to our full-time billable consultants, partially offset by a decrease of \$1.1 million related to our full-time equivalents. The increase in revenues reflected an increase in the overall demand for our services. Comparing the second quarter of 2011 to the second quarter of 2010, the Health and Education Consulting segment experienced an increase in the number of consultants, as well as increases in the average billing rate per hour and revenue per billable consultant. These increases were slightly offset by a small decrease in consultant utilization rate. Overall, performance-based revenues recognized in the period upon meeting performance criteria on several healthcare engagements represented \$16.9 million of the increase in revenues. Performance-based fee engagements may cause significant variations in quarterly revenues, operating results and average billing rates due to the timing of achieving the performance-based criteria.

**Operating Income**

Health and Education Consulting segment operating income increased \$9.0 million, or 31.1%, to \$37.8 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 from \$28.8 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010. The Health and Education Consulting segment operating margin, defined as segment operating income expressed as a percentage of segment revenues, increased to 35.7% for the second quarter of 2011 from 34.4% in the same period last year. The increase in this segment's operating margin was primarily attributable to decreases in direct costs as a percentage of revenues, offset by a slight increase in selling, general and administrative costs as a percentage of revenues.

**Legal Consulting****Revenues**

Legal Consulting segment revenues increased \$6.0 million, or 17.7%, to \$40.0 million for the second quarter of 2011 from \$34.0 million for the second quarter of 2010. Revenues from time-and-expense engagements and fixed-fee engagements represented 94.7% and 5.3% of this segment's revenues during the three months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to 90.4% and 9.6%, respectively, for the comparable period in 2010.

Of the overall \$6.0 million increase in revenues, \$6.8 million was attributable to our full-time equivalents, partially offset by a decrease of \$0.8 million attributable to our full-time billable consultants. The increase in revenues reflected an increase in demand, primarily for our document review and E-Discovery services. The number of full-time equivalents increased by 43.9% to 973 during the second quarter of 2011 compared to 676 during the second quarter of 2010. Revenue related to this increase was partially offset by a decrease in revenue per full-time equivalent. The full-time billable consultant average billing rate increased during the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010. However, this increase was more than offset by a decrease in utilization rate.

**Table of Contents****Operating Income**

Legal Consulting segment operating income increased \$0.3 million, or 3.5%, to \$9.6 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 from \$9.3 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010. Segment operating margin decreased to 24.1% for the second quarter of 2011 from 27.4% in the same period last year. The decrease in this segment's operating margin was attributable to higher direct costs as a percentage of segment revenues, primarily related to higher expenses for our full-time equivalents. This increase in direct costs was partially offset by a decrease in sponsorship expense and a decrease in the salaries and related expenses of our support personnel as a percentage of segment revenues.

**Financial Consulting****Revenues**

Financial Consulting segment revenues decreased \$4.7 million, or 26.3%, to \$13.2 million for the second quarter of 2011 from \$17.9 million for the second quarter of 2010. Revenues from time-and-expense engagements, fixed-fee engagements, and performance-based arrangements represented 88.8%, 9.1% and 2.1% of this segment's revenues during the second quarter of 2011, respectively. For the second quarter of 2010, time-and-expense engagements and fixed-fee engagements represented 94.6% and 5.4% of this segment's revenues, respectively.

Of the overall \$4.7 million decrease in revenues, \$3.2 million was attributable to a decrease in revenues from our full-time equivalents and \$1.5 million was attributable to a decrease in revenues from our full-time billable consultants. The \$3.2 million decrease in full-time equivalent revenues was due to a decrease in demand for our variable, on-demand consultants.

**Operating Income**

Financial Consulting segment operating income decreased by \$2.5 million, or 50.5%, to \$2.5 million in the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$5.0 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010. Segment operating margin decreased to 18.6% for the second quarter of 2011 from 27.7% in the same period last year. This segment's operating margin decreased primarily because of higher severance, contractor and technology expenses.

**Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2010****Revenues**

Revenues increased \$38.6 million, or 14.7%, to \$302.0 million for the first half of 2011 from \$263.4 million for the first half of 2010.

Of the overall \$38.6 million increase in revenues, \$34.9 million was attributable to our full-time billable consultants and \$3.7 million was attributable to our full-time equivalents. The \$34.9 million increase in full-time billable consultant revenues was primarily attributable to an increase in the demand for our services in our Health and Education Consulting segment. Our utilization, average billing rate and revenue per full-time billable consultant increased in the first half of 2011 compared to same period in 2010. The \$3.7 million increase in full-time equivalent revenues resulted from increased use of contractors primarily within our Legal Consulting segment, partially offset by a decrease in demand for variable, on-demand consultants in our Financial Consulting segment.

**Total Direct Costs**

Our direct costs increased \$20.7 million, or 12.3%, to \$188.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 from \$167.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010. The increase was primarily related to a \$14.8 million increase in salaries, benefit and bonus costs for our revenue generating professionals compared to the same period in the prior year. Additionally, a \$1.9 million increase in contractor expenses, a \$1.7 million increase in technology expenses, a \$0.9 million increase in our provision for unbillable costs, and a \$0.4 million increase in share-based compensation expense for our revenue generating professionals also contributed to the increase in direct costs. Share-based compensation expense increased to \$7.1 million in the first half of 2011 compared to \$6.7 million in the first half of 2010.

Total direct costs for the six months ended June 30, 2011 included \$2.8 million of intangible assets amortization expense, primarily representing customer-related assets and software. This was an increase of \$1.0 million compared to the same period in the prior year.

**Table of Contents****Operating Expenses**

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$4.0 million, or 7.0%, to \$62.1 million in the first half of 2011 from \$58.1 million in the first half of 2010. The increase in selling, general and administrative expense in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in the prior year was primarily related to a \$2.2 million increase in salaries, benefits and bonus expense for our non-revenue generating professionals, a \$1.4 million increase in promotion and sponsorships, a \$1.1 million increase in expenses related to practice administration and meetings, a \$0.8 million increase in recruiting, a \$0.8 million increase in training and an overall increase in miscellaneous business expenses, partially offset by a \$2.9 million decrease in legal expenses and a \$0.3 million decrease in share-based compensation expense for our non-revenue generating professionals.

In the first half of 2011, we recorded \$1.0 million of restructuring expenses related to the exit of excess office space in Chicago, as well as severance charges related to actions taken to better align our resources with market demand. The charge related to excess office space was primarily comprised of the discounted future cash flows of rent expenses we are obligated to pay under the lease agreement, partially offset by future sublease income which we calculated based on certain sublease assumptions.

Expenses incurred in connection with our restatement, discussed above under Restatement of Previously-Issued Financial Statements, decreased by \$0.2 million to \$3.0 million in the first half of 2011 from \$3.2 million in the same period in 2010. These expenses primarily consisted of legal fees.

Litigation settlement expense was \$1.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. In the fourth quarter of 2010, we entered into a proposed Class Action Settlement and recorded a non-cash charge of \$12.6 million representing the fair value of the Settlement Shares based on the closing market price of our common stock on December 31, 2010.

The \$1.1 million non-cash charge recorded in the first half of 2011 represents the change in fair value of the Settlement Shares during the period through the date of share issuance on June 6, 2011. See note 14. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees for a full description of the litigation matter and related settlement. In the first half of 2010 we settled an unrelated litigation matter, which resulted in a litigation settlement charge of \$4.8 million during that period.

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased by \$0.8 million, or 8.1%, to \$8.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 from \$9.5 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010. This decrease was primarily attributable to a reduction in amortization expense for certain intangible assets that became fully amortized prior to the first half of 2011. Non-direct intangible assets amortization relates to customer relationships, non-competition agreements and trade names acquired in connection with our acquisitions.

**Operating Income**

Operating income increased \$17.5 million, or greater than 100%, to \$34.5 million in the first half of 2011 from \$17.0 million in the first half of 2010. Operating margin, which is defined as operating income expressed as a percentage of revenues, increased to 11.4% in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 6.5% in the six months ended June 30, 2010. The increase in operating margin was primarily attributable to decreases in direct costs, operating expenses, and litigation settlement expense as a percentage of revenues.

**Other Expense**

Other expense increased \$0.4 million, or 5.1%, to \$7.1 million in the first half of 2011 from \$6.7 million in the first half of 2010. The \$0.4 million increase was primarily attributable a \$0.6 million increase in interest expense to \$7.1 million for the first six months of 2011 from \$6.5 million for the same period in 2010, due primarily to a \$0.5 million write-off of debt issue costs related to the credit facility that we recently refinanced, offset by a \$0.2 million decrease in other expense. The \$0.2 million decrease in other expense was primarily attributable to improved performance of the investments that are used to fund our deferred compensation liability.

**Income Tax Expense**

For the first half of 2011, we recognized income tax expense from continuing operations of \$14.0 million on income from continuing operations of \$27.4 million. For the first half of 2010, we recognized income tax expense from continuing operations of \$4.1 million on income from continuing operations of \$10.3 million. Our effective income tax rate increased to 51.0% in the first half of 2011 from 39.7% in the same period last year. The effective tax rate in the first half of 2011 was higher than the statutory rate, inclusive of state income taxes, due primarily to an increase in

foreign losses with no tax benefit and an increase to the valuation allowance of \$0.4 million, after it was determined during the period that it was more likely than not that

**Table of Contents**

these tax benefits would not be realized. The effective tax rate in the first half of 2010 was lower than the statutory rate, inclusive of state income taxes, due primarily to a true up of certain accruals related to uncertain tax positions and deferred tax liabilities based on updated information obtained in the second quarter.

**Net Income from Continuing Operations**

Net income from continuing operations was \$13.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to net income from continuing operations of \$6.2 million for the same period last year. The \$7.2 million increase in net income from continuing operations was primarily due to the \$38.6 million increase in revenues and \$3.7 million decrease in litigation settlement expense, partially offset by increases in direct costs, operating expenses and income tax expense, as discussed above. As a result of the increase in net income from continuing operations, diluted earnings per share from continuing operations for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$0.63 compared to diluted earnings per share of \$0.30 for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

**Discontinued Operations**

Since December 31, 2009, we have undertaken several separate initiatives to divest practices within the Financial Consulting segment in order to enable us to devote more of our resources to the remaining businesses of the Company where we have a more substantial market presence. On September 30, 2010, we completed a sale of a portion of the D&I practice and wound down the remaining practice operations as of that same date. Additionally, during the third quarter of 2010 we exited the Utilities practice. In December 2009, our Board approved a plan to divest the businesses that included the international operations of our Japan office and the Galt strategy business, which we acquired in April 2006. We exited Galt with the December 31, 2009 sale of the business back to its three original principals. We exited Japan effective June 30, 2010 via a wind down of the business after discussions with a prospective buyer during the second quarter of 2010 ended without a sale of the operations. As a result of these actions, the operating results of D&I, Utilities, Japan, and Galt are reported as discontinued operations.

Net income from discontinued operations was \$0.1 million in the first half of 2011, compared to a net loss from discontinued operations of \$1.3 million in the first half of 2010. See note 5. Discontinued Operations of this Quarterly Report for further information about our discontinued operations.

**Segment Results****Health and Education Consulting****Revenues**

Health and Education Consulting segment revenues increased \$36.2 million, or 22.5%, to \$196.9 million for the first half of 2011 from \$160.7 million for the first half of 2010. Revenues from time-and-expense engagements, fixed-fee engagements, performance-based engagements and software support and maintenance arrangements represented 20.7%, 51.3%, 24.2% and 3.8% of this segment's revenues during the six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to 23.5%, 54.4%, 18.7% and 3.4%, respectively, for the comparable period in 2010.

The \$36.2 million increase in revenues was almost entirely attributable to our full-time billable consultants. The increase in revenues reflected an increase in the overall demand for our services. The Health and Education Consulting segment experienced an increase in the number of consultants, average billing rate and utilization during the six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. Performance-based revenues recognized in the period upon meeting performance criteria on several healthcare engagements represented \$17.6 million of the increase in revenues. Performance-based fee engagements may cause significant variations in quarterly revenues and operating results due to the timing of achieving the performance-based criteria.

**Operating Income**

Health and Education Consulting segment operating income increased \$14.2 million, or 28.6%, to \$64.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 from \$49.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010. The Health and Education Consulting segment operating margin, defined as segment operating income expressed as a percentage of segment revenues, increased to 32.6% for the first half of 2011 from 31.0% in the same period last year. The increase in this segment's operating margin was attributable to decreases in direct costs as a percentage of segment revenues, offset slightly by an increase in selling, general and administrative costs as a percentage of segment revenues.

**Table of Contents****Legal Consulting Revenues**

Legal Consulting segment revenues increased by \$10.2 million, or 15.3%, to \$77.3 million for the first half of 2011 from \$67.1 million for the first half of 2010. Revenues from time-and-expense engagements and fixed-fee engagements represented 92.5% and 7.5% of this segment's revenues during the six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to 91.6% and 8.4%, respectively, for the comparable period in 2010.

Of the overall \$10.2 million increase in revenues, \$10.8 million was attributable to our full-time equivalents, offset by a \$0.6 million decrease in revenues attributable to our full-time billable consultants. The increase in revenues reflected an increase in demand primarily for our document review and E-Discovery services. The average number of full-time equivalents increased by 37% to 919 during the first half of 2011 compared to 671 during the corresponding period in 2010. Revenue related to this increase was partially offset by a decrease in revenue per full-time equivalent. In the first half of 2011, the average billing rate and revenue per full-time billable consultant increased for this segment, while the utilization rate decreased compared to the same period in the prior year.

**Operating Income**

Legal Consulting segment operating income increased \$2.5 million, or 15.0%, to \$19.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 from \$16.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010. Segment operating margin was 24.9% in both the first half of 2011 and 2010. Both direct costs and selling, general and administrative costs remained consistent as a percentage of segment revenues when comparing the first half of 2011 to the same period in 2010.

**Financial Consulting Revenues**

Financial Consulting segment revenues decreased \$7.8 million, or 21.9%, to \$27.8 million for the first half of 2011 from \$35.6 million for the first half of 2010. Revenues from time-and-expense engagements, fixed-fee engagements, and performance-based arrangements represented 91.1%, 7.7% and 1.2% of this segment's revenues during the six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, time-and-expense engagements and fixed-fee engagements represented 96.4% and 3.6% of this segment's revenues, respectively.

Of the overall \$7.8 million decrease in revenues, \$7.3 million was attributable to our full-time equivalents and \$0.5 million was attributable to our full-time billable consultants. The \$7.3 million decrease in full-time equivalent revenues was primarily due to a decrease in demand for our variable, on-demand consultants.

**Operating Income**

Financial Consulting segment operating income decreased by \$3.7 million, or 38.5%, to \$5.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$9.5 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010. Segment operating margin decreased to 20.9% for the first half of 2011 from 26.6% in the same period last year. The decrease in this segment's operating margin was attributable to increases in severance, contractor and technology expenses.

**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$3.0 million, from \$6.3 million at December 31, 2010 to \$3.3 million at June 30, 2011. Our primary sources of liquidity are cash flows from operations and debt capacity available under our credit facility.

Cash flows provided by operating activities totaled \$32.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to cash used in operating activities of \$14.0 million for the same period last year. Our operating assets and liabilities consist primarily of receivables from billed and unbilled services, accounts payable and accrued expenses, and accrued payroll and related benefits. The volume of services rendered and the related billings and timing of collections on those billings, as well as payments of our accounts payable and salaries, bonuses and benefits to employees affect these account balances. The increase in cash provided by operations in the first six months of 2011 compared to cash used in operations for the first six months of 2010 was primarily attributable to higher net income and a decrease in the amount paid for annual performance bonuses during the first quarter of 2011 as compared to the same period in the prior year, partially offset by an increase in unbilled services.

**Table of Contents**

Cash used in investing activities was \$30.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and \$61.4 million for the same period in the prior year. The use of cash in both periods primarily consisted of payments for acquired businesses totaling \$23.9 million and \$63.2 million in the first six months of 2011 and 2010, respectively. These payments for acquired businesses were primarily comprised of additional purchase consideration earned by the selling shareholders of businesses that we acquired. The use of cash in the first six months of 2011 and 2010 also included purchases of property and equipment needed to meet the ongoing needs relating to the hiring of additional employees and supporting our operations. We estimate that the cash utilized for capital expenditures in 2011 will be approximately \$15.0 million, primarily for information technology related equipment and software and leasehold improvements. We also expect to continue to invest in capital expenditures related to our document review and processing business for information technology related equipment and software.

On April 14, 2011, the Company and certain of the Company's subsidiaries as guarantors entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Agreement") with various financial institutions including Bank of America, N.A., as lender, administrative agent and collateral agent for the lenders; JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as lender and syndication agent; PNC Bank, National Association, Harris N.A. and KeyBank National Association as lenders and Co-Documentation Agents; Fifth Third Bank, The Northern Trust Company, RBS Citizens, N.A., The PrivateBank and Trust Company, FirstMerit Bank, N.A., and Northbrook Bank & Trust Company as lenders (collectively the "Lenders"); and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, as joint lead arrangers and joint book managers. The Agreement replaces the Credit Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2006, and all subsequent amendments thereto, by and among the Company and the lenders therein.

The Agreement consists of a senior secured credit facility in an aggregate principal amount of \$350.0 million comprised of a five-year revolving credit facility ("Revolver") under which the Company may borrow from time to time up to \$150.0 million and a \$200.0 million five-year term loan facility ("Term Loan") which was funded in a single advance on the closing date. The Agreement provides for the option to increase the revolving credit facility in an aggregate amount of up to \$50 million subject to certain requirements as defined in the Agreement. The proceeds of the senior secured credit facility were used to refinance existing indebtedness, and will continue to be used for working capital, capital expenditures, and other lawful corporate purposes.

As discussed under note 8. Borrowings, the obligations under the Agreement are secured pursuant to a Security Agreement and a pledge of 100% of the voting stock or other equity interests in our domestic subsidiaries and 65% of the voting stock or other equity interests in our foreign subsidiaries.

The borrowing capacity under the Agreement is reduced by any outstanding letters of credit and payments under the Term Loan. At June 30, 2011, outstanding letters of credit totaled \$5.9 million and are primarily used as security deposits for our office facilities. As of June 30, 2011, the borrowing capacity under the Agreement was \$86.3 million. Fees and interest on borrowings vary based on the Company's total debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ratio as set forth in the Agreement and will be based on a spread over LIBOR or a spread over the base rate, as selected by the Company. The base rate is the greater of (a) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.5%, (b) the Prime Rate and (c) except during a Eurodollar Unavailability Period, the Eurodollar Rate plus 1.0%.

The Term Loan is subject to scheduled quarterly amortization payments equal to 7.5% of the original principal balance in year one, 10.0% in year two, 12.5% in years three and four, and 57.5% in year five, as set forth in the Agreement. The maturity date for the Term Loan is April 14, 2016, at which time the outstanding principal balance and all accrued interest will be due and payable in full. All outstanding borrowings under the Revolver will be due upon expiration of the Agreement on April 14, 2016.

Under the Agreement, dividends are restricted to an amount up to 50% of consolidated net income (adjusted for non-cash share-based compensation expense) for such fiscal year, plus 50% of net cash proceeds during such fiscal year with respect to any issuance of capital securities. In addition, certain acquisitions and similar transactions need to be approved by the lenders.

The Agreement contains quarterly financial covenants that require us to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 2.25 to 1.00 and a maximum leverage ratio of 3.00 to 1.00 with step-downs in subsequent periods, as those ratios are defined therein, as well as a minimum net worth greater than \$150 million. At June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with these financial covenants with a fixed charge coverage ratio of 3.01 to 1.00, a leverage ratio of 2.04

to 1.00, and net worth greater than \$150 million. In addition, based upon projected operating results, management believes it is probable that we will meet the financial debt covenants of the Agreement discussed above at future covenant measurement dates.

## **Table of Contents**

During the first six months of 2011, we made borrowings to pay bonuses and additional purchase consideration earned by selling shareholders of businesses that we acquired. We also made borrowings to fund our daily operations, including costs related to the restatement matters. During the first six months of 2011, the average daily outstanding balance under our credit facility was \$270.7 million. Borrowings outstanding under this credit facility at June 30, 2011 totaled \$254.0 million, all of which are classified as long-term on our consolidated balance sheet as the principal under the Revolver is not due until 2016 and we intend to fund scheduled quarterly payments under the Term Loan with availability under the Revolver. These borrowings carried a weighted-average interest rate of 3.6% including the effect of the interest rate swap described below in Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk. Borrowings outstanding at December 31, 2010 totaled \$257.0 million and carried a weighted average interest rate of 4.5% including the effect of the interest rate swap.

See Risk Factors in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion of certain risks and uncertainties related to the Credit Agreement.

### **Future Needs**

Our primary financing need has been to fund our growth. Our growth strategy is to expand our service offerings, which may require investments in new hires, acquisitions of complementary businesses, possible expansion into other geographic areas, and related capital expenditures. In connection with our past business acquisitions, we are required under earn-out provisions to pay additional purchase consideration to the sellers if specific financial performance targets are met. We also have cash needs to service our credit facility and repay our Term Loan. Because we expect that our future annual growth rate in revenues and related percentage increases in working capital balances will moderate, we believe our internally generated liquidity, together with the borrowing capacity available under our revolving credit facility and access to external capital resources, will be adequate to fund our long-term growth and capital needs arising from earn-out provisions, cash commitments and debt service obligations. Our ability to secure short-term and long-term financing in the future will depend on several factors, including our future profitability, the quality of our accounts receivable and unbilled services, our relative levels of debt and equity, and the overall condition of the credit markets.

### **CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS**

For a summary of our commitments to make future payments under contractual obligations, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Contractual Obligations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. There have been no significant changes in our contractual obligations since December 31, 2010 except as described below:

In connection with certain business acquisitions, we are required to pay additional purchase consideration to the sellers if specific performance targets are met over a number of years as specified in the related purchase agreements. These amounts are calculated and payable at the end of each year based on full year financial results. There is no limitation to the maximum amount of additional purchase consideration, and the aggregate amount that potentially may be paid could be significant. During the first six months of 2011, we paid additional purchase consideration to selling shareholders of businesses that we acquired as financial performance targets were met in 2010. The aggregate purchase consideration paid related to the achievement of financial performance targets in 2010 totaled \$22.1 million. Based on current and projected financial performance, we anticipate aggregate additional purchase consideration that will be earned by certain sellers to be approximately \$30 million for the year ending December 31, 2011.

During the first six months of 2011, our long-term borrowings decreased from \$257.0 million as of December 31, 2010 to \$254.0 million as of June 30, 2011.

### **OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

Except for operating leases, we have not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements.

### **NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income, which amends current comprehensive income guidance. This accounting update eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of shareholders' equity. Instead, the Company must report comprehensive income in

either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income which contains two sections, net income and other comprehensive income, or in two separate but consecutive statements. This guidance will be effective for the Company beginning in the first

**Table of Contents**

quarter of 2012. The Company does not expect the guidance to impact its consolidated financial statements, as it only requires a change in the format of presentation.

In May 2011, the FASB issued updated guidance to improve the comparability of fair value measurements between GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. This update amends the accounting rules for fair value measurements and disclosure. The amendments are of two types: (i) those that clarify FASB's intent about the application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements and (ii) those that change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The update is effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of 2012. The Company does not believe the adoption of this update will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued additional authoritative guidance related to fair value measurements and disclosures. The guidance requires disclosure of details of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements. The guidance also clarifies the existing disclosure requirements for the level of disaggregation of fair value measurements and the disclosures on inputs and valuation techniques. We adopted these provisions effective January 1, 2010. In addition, the guidance also requires the presentation of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements within Level 3 on a gross basis rather than a net basis. We adopted this additional guidance pertaining to Level 3 fair value measurements effective January 1, 2011. The adoption did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued new guidance regarding revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. This new guidance requires companies to allocate revenue in arrangements involving multiple deliverables based on the estimated selling price of each deliverable, even though such deliverables are not sold separately either by the company or by other vendors. This new guidance is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. We adopted this pronouncement effective January 1, 2011. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements.

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

We are exposed to market risks primarily from changes in interest rates and changes in the market value of our investments.

Our exposure to changes in interest rates is limited to borrowings under our bank credit facility, which has variable interest rates tied to the LIBOR, Federal Funds Rate, Prime Rate, or Eurodollar Rate. At June 30, 2011, we had borrowings outstanding totaling \$254.0 million that carried a weighted-average interest rate of 3.6%. A hypothetical one percent change in this interest rate would have a \$2.5 million effect on our pre-tax income.

On March 20, 2009, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement for a notional amount of \$100.0 million effective on March 31, 2009 and ending on February 23, 2012. We entered into this interest rate swap to hedge against the risk of changes in future cash flows related to changes in interest rate on \$100.0 million of the total variable-rate borrowings outstanding under our credit facility. Under the terms of the agreement, we receive from the counterparty interest on the \$100.0 million notional amount based on one-month LIBOR and we pay to the counterparty a fixed rate of 1.715%. This swap effectively fixed our LIBOR-based rate for \$100.0 million of our debt beginning on March 31, 2009 and through February 23, 2012. Including the impact of the swap, the effective interest rate on \$100.0 million of our debt was 4.5% as of June 30, 2011.

We have not entered into any other interest rate swaps, caps or collars or other hedging instruments as of June 30, 2011.

From time to time, we invest excess cash in marketable securities. These investments principally consist of overnight sweep accounts. Due to the short maturity of our investments, we have concluded that we do not have material market risk exposure.

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES***Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

Our management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of June 30, 2011. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of June 30, 2011, our

disclosure controls and procedures were effective in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act and such information is accumulated and communicated to management as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

**Table of Contents***Changes in Control over Financial Reporting*

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act ) that occurred during the three months ended June 30, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION****ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

In August 2009, the SEC commenced an investigation with respect to the restatement and an investigation into the allocation of time within a certain practice group. We also conducted a separate inquiry, in response to the initial inquiry from the SEC, into the allocation of time within a certain practice group. This matter had no impact on billings to our clients, but could have impacted the timing of when revenue was recognized. Based on our internal inquiry, which is complete, we have concluded that an adjustment to our historical financial statements is not required with respect to this matter. The SEC investigations with respect to the restatement and the allocation of time within a certain practice group are ongoing. We are cooperating fully with the SEC in its investigations. As often happens in these circumstances, the USAO for the Northern District of Illinois has contacted our counsel. The USAO made a telephonic request for copies of certain documents that we previously provided to the SEC, which we have voluntarily provided to the USAO.

In addition, the following purported shareholder class action complaints were filed in connection with our restatement in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois: (1) a complaint in the matter of Jason Hughes v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren and Gary L. Burge, filed on August 4, 2009; (2) a complaint in the matter of Dorothy DeAngelis v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on August 5, 2009; (3) a complaint in the matter of Noel M. Parsons v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on August 5, 2009; (4) a complaint in the matter of Adam Liebman v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge and Wayne Lipski, filed on August 5, 2009; (5) a complaint in the matter of Gerald Tobin v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on August 7, 2009; (6) a complaint in the matter of Gary Austin v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge and Wayne Lipski, filed on August 7, 2009; and (7) a complaint in the matter of Thomas Fisher v. Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on September 3, 2009. On October 6, 2009, plaintiff Thomas Fisher voluntarily dismissed his complaint. On November 16, 2009, the remaining suits were consolidated and the Public School Teachers Pension & Retirement Fund of Chicago, the Arkansas Public Employees Retirement System, the City of Boston Retirement Board, the Cambridge Retirement System and the Bristol County Retirement System were appointed Lead Plaintiffs. Lead Plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint on January 29, 2010. The consolidated complaint asserts claims under Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and SEC Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder against Huron Consulting Group Inc., Gary Holdren and Gary Burge and claims under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act against Gary Holdren, Gary Burge and Wayne Lipski. The consolidated complaint contends that the Company and the individual defendants issued false and misleading statements regarding the Company's financial results and compliance with GAAP. Lead Plaintiffs request that the action be declared a class action, and seek unspecified damages, equitable and injunctive relief, and reimbursement for fees and expenses incurred in connection with the action, including attorneys' fees. On March 30, 2010, Huron, Gary Burge, Gary Holdren and Wayne Lipski jointly filed a motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint. On August 6, 2010, the Court denied the motion to dismiss. On December 6, 2010, we reached an agreement in principle with Lead Plaintiffs to settle the litigation (the Class Action Settlement), pursuant to which the plaintiffs will receive total consideration of approximately \$39.6 million, comprised of \$27.0 million in cash and the issuance by the Company of 474,547 shares of our common stock (the Settlement Shares). The proposed Class Action Settlement received final Court approval and the case was terminated on May 6, 2011. The Settlement Shares were issued on June 6, 2011. The settlement contained no admission of wrongdoing.

The Settlement Shares had an aggregate value of approximately \$12.6 million based on the closing market price of our common stock on December 31, 2010. As a result of the Class Action Settlement, we recorded a non-cash charge to earnings in the fourth quarter of 2010 of \$12.6 million representing the fair value of the Settlement Shares and a

corresponding settlement liability. During the first six months of 2011, we recorded an additional \$1.1 million non-cash charge related to the Settlement Shares to reflect the change in fair value of the Settlement Shares through June 6, 2011, the date of issuance, resulting in a cumulative non-cash charge of \$13.7 million. In accordance with the proposed settlement, in the fourth quarter of 2010 we also recorded a receivable for the cash portion of the consideration, which was funded into escrow in its entirety by our insurance carriers in the first quarter of 2011, and a corresponding settlement liability. There was no impact to our Consolidated Statement of Operations for the cash consideration as we concluded that a right of setoff

**Table of Contents**

existed in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification Topic 210-20-45, Other Presentation Matters . The total amount of insurance coverage under the related policy was \$35.0 million, and the insurers had previously paid out approximately \$8.0 million in claims prior to the final \$27.0 million payment discussed above. As a result of the final payment by the insurance carriers, we will not receive any further contributions from our insurance carriers for the reimbursement of legal fees expended on the finalization of the Class Action Settlement or any amounts (including any damages, settlement costs or legal fees) with respect to the SEC investigation with respect to the restatement, the USAO s request for certain documents and the purported private shareholder class action lawsuit and derivative lawsuits in respect of the restatement (collectively, the restatement matters ).

The Company also has been named as a nominal defendant in two state derivative suits filed in connection with the Company s restatement, since consolidated in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, Chancery Division on September 21, 2009: (1) a complaint in the matter of Curtis Peters, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski, each of the members of the Board of Directors and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, filed on August 28, 2009 (the Peters suit ) and; (2) a complaint in the matter of Brian Hacias, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge and Wayne Lipski, filed on August 28, 2009 (the Hacias suit ). The consolidated cases are captioned In Re Huron Consulting Group, Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation . On March 8, 2010, plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint. The consolidated complaint asserts claims for breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, abuse of control, gross mismanagement and waste of corporate assets. The consolidated complaint also alleges claims for professional negligence and breach of contract against PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company s independent auditors. Plaintiffs seek to recoup for the Company unspecified damages allegedly sustained by the Company resulting from the restatement and related matters, disgorgement and reimbursement for fees and expenses incurred in connection with the suits, including attorneys fees. Huron filed a motion to dismiss plaintiffs consolidated complaint on April 22, 2010. On October 25, 2010, the Court granted Huron s motion to dismiss and dismissed plaintiffs consolidated complaint with prejudice. On November 19, 2010, plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal of the dismissal to the Appellate Court of Illinois.

The Company has also been named as a nominal defendant in three Federal derivative suits filed in connection with the Company s restatement, since consolidated in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on November 23, 2009: (1) a complaint in the matter of Oakland County Employees Retirement System, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski and each of the members of the Board of Directors, filed on October 7, 2009 (the Oakland suit ); (2) a complaint in the matter of Philip R. Wilmore, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski, David M. Shade, and each of the members of the Board of Directors, filed on October 12, 2009 (the Wilmore suit ); and (3) a complaint in the matter of Lawrence J. Goelz, derivatively on behalf of Huron Consulting Group Inc. v. Gary E. Holdren, Gary L. Burge, Wayne Lipski, David M. Shade, and each of the members of the Board of Directors, filed on October 12, 2009 (the Goelz suit ). Oakland County Employees Retirement System, Philip R. Wilmore and Lawrence J. Goelz have been named Lead Plaintiffs. Lead Plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint on January 15, 2010. The consolidated complaint asserts claims under Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act and for breach of fiduciary duty, waste of corporate assets and unjust enrichment. Lead Plaintiffs seek to recoup for the Company unspecified damages allegedly sustained by the Company resulting from the restatement and related matters, restitution from all defendants and disgorgement of all profits, benefits or other compensation obtained by the defendants and reimbursement for fees and expenses incurred in connection with the suit, including attorneys fees. On April 7, 2010, the Court denied Huron s motion to stay the Federal derivative suits. On April 8, 2010, Huron filed a motion to stay discovery proceedings in the derivative suits, pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act, pending the resolution of Huron s motion to dismiss plaintiffs consolidated complaint. The Court granted Huron s motion to stay discovery proceedings in the derivative suits on April 12, 2010. Huron filed a motion to dismiss plaintiffs consolidated complaint on April 27, 2010. Huron s motion to dismiss was granted, judgment entered and the case closed on September 7, 2010. On October 5, 2010, plaintiffs moved for relief from judgment and for leave to file a first amended complaint. The Court granted plaintiffs motion on October 12, 2010, and plaintiffs filed their amended complaint that same day. Defendants moved to dismiss plaintiffs amended complaint on November 5, 2010. On March 22, 2011, the Court granted defendants motion to dismiss and dismissed plaintiffs amended complaint with

prejudice. Plaintiffs did not appeal the Court's dismissal.

Given the uncertain nature of the restatement matters, and the uncertainties related to the incurrence and amount of loss, including with respect to the imposition of fines, penalties, damages, administrative remedies and liabilities for additional amounts, with respect to the restatement matters, we are unable to predict the ultimate outcome of the restatement matters, determine whether a liability has been incurred or make a reasonable estimate of the liability that could result from an unfavorable outcome in the restatement matters. Any such liability could be material.

**Table of Contents**

On December 9, 2009, plaintiff, Associates Against Outlier Fraud, filed a first amended *qui tam* complaint against Huron Consulting Group Inc., and others under the Federal and New York state False Claims Act ( FCA ) in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The Federal and state FCA authorize private individuals (known as relators ) to sue on behalf of the government (known as *qui tam* actions) alleging that false or fraudulent claims were knowingly submitted to the government. Once a *qui tam* action is filed, the government may elect to intervene in the action. If the government declines to intervene, the relator may proceed with the action. Under the Federal and state FCA, the government may recover treble damages and civil penalties (civil penalties of up to \$11,000 per violation under the Federal FCA and \$12,000 per violation under the state FCA). On January 6, 2010, the United States declined to intervene in the lawsuit. After the Court granted Huron's motion to dismiss without prejudice, on September 29, 2010, relator filed a second amended complaint alleging that Huron and others caused St. Vincent Catholic Medical Center to receive more than \$30 million in inflated outlier payments under the Medicare and Medicaid programs in violation of the federal and state FCA and is also seeking to recover an unspecified amount of civil penalties. On June 20, 2011, Huron filed a motion to dismiss under the FCA public disclosure jurisdictional bar, contending that relator's action is barred because it is based upon publicly disclosed information and relator cannot qualify as an original source. The motion to dismiss is currently pending. The suit is in the pre-trial stage and no trial date has been set. We believe that the claims are without merit and intend to vigorously defend ourselves in this matter.

From time to time, we are involved in legal proceedings and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. As of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we are not a party to or threatened with any other litigation or legal proceeding that, in the current opinion of management, could have a material adverse effect individually or in the aggregate on our financial position or results of operations, except for the matters discussed above. However, due to the risks and uncertainties inherent in legal proceedings, actual results could differ from current expected results.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

In addition to the risk factors described below, see Risk Factors in our 2010 annual report on Form 10-K for a complete description of the material risks we face.

**On August 17, 2009, we restated our financial statements for the years ended 2006, 2007 and 2008 and the first quarter of 2009. Thereafter, the SEC commenced an investigation into the facts and circumstances of the restatement, the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Illinois ( USAO ) requested certain documents related to the restatement and private plaintiffs brought a purported shareholder class action litigation, which has now been settled, and derivative litigation with respect to the restatement (the restatement matters ). The remaining restatement matters, together with the reputational issues raised by the restatement, could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, cash flow, overall liquidity, results of operations or financial condition.**

We restated certain of our previously-issued financial statements to correct our accounting for certain acquisition-related payments received by the selling shareholders of specific businesses we acquired that were subsequently redistributed by the selling shareholders among themselves and to other select Company employees. The restatement and the restatement matters have raised reputational issues for our businesses and may adversely impact our ability to:

- retain our senior management team, our practice leaders and our other managing directors;
- hire and retain talented people in an industry where there is great competition for talent;
- maintain our existing business practices and revenues given our clients' ability to terminate their engagement agreements with little or no notice and without penalty;
- attract new business in the highly competitive consulting services industry; and
- continue our growth strategy by hiring individuals or groups of individuals and by acquiring complementary businesses.

The SEC is conducting an investigation with respect to the restatement. In addition, as often happens in these circumstances, shortly after the filing of our restated financial statements, the USAO contacted our counsel and made a telephonic request for copies of certain documents that we previously provided to the SEC. Further, several purported private shareholder class action lawsuits and federal and state derivative lawsuits have been filed in respect

of the restatement. The purported class action lawsuits have been settled and the federal derivative lawsuits have been dismissed

**Table of Contents**

with prejudice and can no longer be appealed. The state derivative lawsuits, which have been consolidated, were dismissed by the court with prejudice last year but the plaintiffs have filed a notice of appeal which remains outstanding.

The settlement of the purported class action received final approval from the court and the case was terminated on May 6, 2011. Pursuant to the settlement, plaintiffs received total consideration of approximately \$39.6 million, comprised of \$27.0 million in cash and the issuance by the Company of 474,547 shares of our common stock. The cash portion of the consideration was funded in its entirety by our insurance carriers. The total amount of insurance coverage under the related policy was \$35.0 million, and the insurers had previously paid out approximately \$8.0 million in claims prior to the final \$27.0 million payment in the settlement. As a result of the final payment by the insurance carriers, we will not receive any further contributions from our insurance carriers for the reimbursement of legal fees expended on the remaining restatement matters.

While we are fully cooperating with the SEC in its investigation with respect to the restatement, have voluntarily provided to the USAO the requested documents, and intend to vigorously defend the remaining derivative lawsuit, these remaining restatement matters subject us to a number of additional risks, including:

the diversion of management's time, attention and resources from managing and marketing our Company;

increased costs and expenses to address the remaining restatement matters and the imposition of fines, penalties, damages, administrative remedies and liabilities for additional amounts resulting from actions or findings by the SEC or the USAO or pursuant to rulings, orders or judgments by the courts with jurisdiction over the remaining derivative lawsuit, none of which will be covered by our insurance carriers; and

additional damage to our reputation as these matters are concluded that may further heighten the risks described above.

Given the uncertain nature of the remaining restatement matters and the uncertainties related to the incurrence and amount of loss, including with respect to the imposition of fines, penalties, damages, administrative remedies and liabilities for additional amounts, with respect to the remaining restatement matters, we are unable to predict the ultimate outcome of the remaining restatement matters, determine whether any additional liability other than those described above has been incurred or make a reasonable estimate of the liability that could result from an unfavorable outcome in the remaining restatement matters. Any such additional liability could be material.

The failure to successfully address any one or more of these risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, cash flow, overall liquidity, results of operations or financial condition.

**Our obligations under the Credit Agreement are secured by a pledge of certain of the equity interests in our subsidiaries and a lien on substantially all of our assets and those of our subsidiary grantors. If we default on these obligations, our lenders may foreclose on our assets, including our pledged equity interest in our subsidiaries.**

On April 14, 2011, we entered into the Security Agreement in connection with our entry into the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 14, 2011 (the "Credit Agreement"). Pursuant to the Security Agreement and to secure our obligations under the Credit Agreement, we granted our lenders a first-priority lien, subject to permitted liens, on substantially all of the personal property assets that we and the subsidiary grantors own. This first-priority lien is in addition to the existing pledge (the "Equity Pledge") that we previously granted to our lenders of 100% of the voting stock or other equity interests in our domestic subsidiaries and 65% of the voting stock or other equity interests in our foreign subsidiaries. If we default on our obligations under the Credit Agreement, our lenders could accelerate our indebtedness and may be able to exercise their liens on the equity interests subject to the Equity Pledge and on their liens on substantially all of our assets and the assets of our subsidiary grantors, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, operations, financial condition and liquidity.

**Our substantial indebtedness could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations and obligations, expose us to interest rate risk to the extent of our variable rate debt, and could adversely affect our financial results.**

At June 30, 2011, we had outstanding borrowings totaling \$254.0 million compared to \$257.0 million at December 31, 2010. Our substantial indebtedness could have meaningful consequences for us, including:  
exposing us to the risk of increased interest rates because our borrowings are at variable interest rates;

**Table of Contents**

requiring us to dedicate a larger portion of our cash from operations to service our indebtedness and thus reducing the level of cash for other purposes such as funding working capital, strategic acquisitions, capital expenditures, and other general corporate purposes; and

limiting our ability to obtain additional financing.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

Our 2004 Omnibus Stock Plan permits the netting of common stock upon vesting of restricted stock awards to satisfy individual tax withholding requirements. During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, we re-acquired 3,285 shares of common stock with a weighted-average fair market value of \$28.40 as a result of such tax withholdings as presented in the table below.

<b>Period</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Redeemed  to Satisfy Employee Tax  Withholding Requirements</b>	<b>Weighted- Average Fair Market Value  Per Share Redeemed</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs</b>	<b>Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under  the Plans or Programs</b>
April 2011	1,176	\$ 27.69	N/A	N/A
May 2011	2,109	\$ 28.80	N/A	N/A
June 2011		\$	N/A	N/A
Total	3,285	\$ 28.40	N/A	N/A

N/A Not applicable.

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

None.

**ITEM 4.**

[Removed and Reserved]

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

(a) The following exhibits are filed as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Filed here-with	Incorporated by Reference		Filing Date
			Form	Period Ending	
3.1	Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Huron Consulting Group Inc.		10-K	12/31/04	3.1 2/16/05
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Huron Consulting Group Inc.		8-K		3.1 04/14/11
4.1	Specimen Stock Certificate.		S-1 (File No. 333-115434)		4.1 10/5/04
10.1	Senior Management Agreement by and between Huron Consulting Group Inc. and Diane E. Ratekin.		8-K		10.1 3/22/11
10.2	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 14, 2011, among Huron Consulting Group Inc., as the Company, certain subsidiaries as Guarantors, the Lenders Party Hereto and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Syndication Agent, PNC Bank, Harris Bank and Key Bank National Association as Co-Documentation Agents, and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Managers.		8-K		10.1 4/19/11
10.3	Amended and Restated Security Agreement, dated as of April 14, 2011.		8-K		10.2 4/19/11
10.4	Amended and Restated Pledge Agreement, dated as of April 14, 2011.		8-K		10.3 4/19/11
10.5	Senior Management Agreement by and between Huron Consulting Group Inc. and C. Mark Hussey.		8-K		10.1 7/19/11
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	X			

31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	X
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	X
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	X

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Description</b>	<b>Filed here- with</b>	<b>Incorporated by Reference</b>		
			<b>Form</b>	<b>Period Ending</b>	<b>Exhibit Date</b>
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document	X			
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	X			
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document	X			
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document	X			
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document	X			
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document	X			

\* XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Huron Consulting Group Inc.

(Registrant)

Date: July 28, 2011

/s/ C. Mark Hussey

C. Mark Hussey  
Executive Vice President, Chief  
Financial  
Officer and Treasurer