

ITC Holdings Corp.
Form 10-Q
July 29, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 001-32576

ITC HOLDINGS CORP.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Michigan

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

32-0058047

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

27175 Energy Way

Novi, MI 48377

(Address Of Principal Executive Offices, Including Zip Code)

(248) 946-3000

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, without par value, outstanding as of July 22, 2011 was 51,296,413.

ITC Holdings Corp.
Form 10-Q for the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2011
INDEX

	Page
<u>Part I. Financial Information</u>	4
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position (Unaudited)</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)</u>	6
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	7
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	18
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	29
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	29
<u>Part II. Other Information</u>	30
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	30
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	30
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	31
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	32
<u>Signatures</u>	33
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	
<u>EX-4.28</u>	
<u>EX-4.29</u>	
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32</u>	
<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	

Table of Contents

DEFINITIONS

Unless otherwise noted or the context requires, all references in this report to:

ITC Holdings Corp. and its subsidiaries

ITC Great Plains are references to ITC Great Plains, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ITC Grid Development, LLC;

ITC Grid Development are references to ITC Grid Development, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ITC Holdings;

Green Power Express are references to Green Power Express LP, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of ITC Holdings;

ITC Holdings are references to ITC Holdings Corp. and not any of its subsidiaries;

ITC Midwest are references to ITC Midwest LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ITC Holdings;

ITCTransmission are references to International Transmission Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ITC Holdings;

METC are references to Michigan Electric Transmission Company, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of MTH;

MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are references to ITCTransmission, METC and ITC Midwest together;

MTH are references to Michigan Transco Holdings, Limited Partnership, the sole member of METC and an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of ITC Holdings;

Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are references to ITCTransmission, METC, ITC Midwest and ITC Great Plains together; and

We, our and us are references to ITC Holdings together with all of its subsidiaries.

Other definitions

Consumers Energy are references to Consumers Energy Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of CMS Energy Corporation;

Detroit Edison are references to The Detroit Edison Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of DTE Energy;

DTE Energy are references to DTE Energy Company;

FERC are references to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission;

IP&L are references to Interstate Power and Light Company, an Alliant Energy Corporation subsidiary;

KCC are references to the Kansas Corporation Commission;

kV are references to kilovolts (one kilovolt equaling 1,000 volts);

kW are references to kilowatts (one kilowatt equaling 1,000 watts);

Edgar Filing: ITC Holdings Corp. - Form 10-Q

MISO are references to the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., a FERC-approved RTO which oversees the operation of the bulk power transmission system for a substantial portion of the Midwestern United States and Manitoba, Canada, and of which ITC Transmission, METC and ITC Midwest are members;

MPSC are references to the Michigan Public Service Commission;

MW are references to megawatts (one megawatt equaling 1,000,000 watts);

NERC are references to the North American Electric Reliability Corporation;

RTO are references to Regional Transmission Organizations; and

SPP are references to Southwest Power Pool, Inc., a FERC-approved RTO which oversees the operation of the bulk power transmission system for a substantial portion of the South Central United States, and of which ITC Great Plains is a member.

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****ITC HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION (UNAUDITED)**

(in thousands, except share data)	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 81,235	\$ 95,109
Accounts receivable	93,177	80,417
Inventory	39,749	42,286
Deferred income taxes	9,529	
Regulatory assets revenue accrual, including accrued interest	16,341	28,637
Other	6,895	5,293
Total current assets	246,926	251,742
Property, plant and equipment (net of accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$1,158,062 and \$1,129,669, respectively)	3,097,923	2,872,277
Other assets		
Goodwill	950,163	950,163
Intangible assets (net of accumulated amortization of \$13,726 and \$12,176, respectively)	48,435	49,985
Other regulatory assets	148,757	138,152
Deferred financing fees (net of accumulated amortization of \$12,845 and \$11,750, respectively)	22,096	19,949
Other	30,034	25,605
Total other assets	1,199,485	1,183,854
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 4,544,334	\$ 4,307,873
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 98,187	\$ 66,953
Accrued payroll	12,141	18,606
Accrued interest	43,029	42,725
Accrued taxes	29,753	19,461
Regulatory liabilities revenue deferral, including accrued interest	30,543	17,658
Refundable deposits from generators for transmission network upgrades	25,372	10,492
Other	4,102	6,509
Total current liabilities	243,127	182,404
Accrued pension and postretirement liabilities	37,863	35,811
Deferred income taxes	367,803	314,979
Regulatory liabilities revenue deferral, including accrued interest	36,038	43,202
Regulatory liabilities accrued asset removal costs	88,601	90,987
Refundable deposits from generators for transmission network upgrades	3,812	14,515
Other	11,169	11,646

Long-term debt	2,565,769	2,496,896
Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note 12)		
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Common stock, without par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 51,291,683 and 50,715,805 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	909,669	886,808
Retained earnings	280,246	229,437
Accumulated other comprehensive income	237	1,188
Total stockholders equity	1,190,152	1,117,433
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 4,544,334	\$ 4,307,873

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents**ITC HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)**

(in thousands, except per share data)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
OPERATING REVENUES	\$ 185,098	\$ 168,468	\$ 364,484	\$ 329,756
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Operation and maintenance	28,837	28,494	55,121	52,223
General and administrative	19,289	17,413	35,869	35,194
Depreciation and amortization	23,352	22,567	46,440	44,682
Taxes other than income taxes	13,556	11,626	27,164	23,934
Other operating (income) and expense net	(167)	(530)	(316)	(523)
Total operating expenses	84,867	79,570	164,278	155,510
OPERATING INCOME	100,231	88,898	200,206	174,246
OTHER EXPENSES (INCOME)				
Interest expense	36,484	35,333	72,754	70,362
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(4,099)	(3,435)	(7,609)	(6,578)
Other income	(497)	(1,154)	(718)	(1,672)
Other expense	1,594	755	2,269	1,031
Total other expenses (income)	33,482	31,499	66,696	63,143
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	66,749	57,399	133,510	111,103
INCOME TAX PROVISION	23,753	21,098	48,512	40,598
NET INCOME	\$ 42,996	\$ 36,301	\$ 84,998	\$ 70,505
Basic earnings per common share (Note 8)	\$ 0.84	\$ 0.72	\$ 1.67	\$ 1.40
Diluted earnings per common share (Note 8)	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.71	\$ 1.64	\$ 1.38
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.335	\$ 0.320	\$ 0.670	\$ 0.640

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents**ITC HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)**

(in thousands)	Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 84,998	\$ 70,505
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization expense	46,440	44,682
Recognition of and refund and collection of revenue accruals and deferrals including accrued interest	18,116	46,676
Deferred income tax expense	31,421	35,191
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(7,609)	(6,578)
Other	7,554	5,937
Changes in assets and liabilities, exclusive of changes shown separately:		
Accounts receivable	(16,036)	(13,911)
Inventory	2,537	(2,283)
Other current assets	(1,602)	(4,711)
Accounts payable	969	(1,410)
Accrued payroll	(5,143)	(3,421)
Accrued interest	304	5,420
Accrued taxes	10,292	5,996
Other current liabilities	(2,012)	681
Other non-current assets and liabilities, net	(2,444)	624
Net cash provided by operating activities	167,785	183,398
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Expenditures for property, plant and equipment	(228,028)	(162,585)
Proceeds from sale of securities	3,809	14,576
Purchases of securities	(7,160)	(14,587)
Other	578	(78)
Net cash used in investing activities	(230,801)	(162,674)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Issuance of long-term debt		90,000
Borrowings under revolving credit agreements	377,415	213,129
Repayments of revolving credit agreements	(308,775)	(279,985)
Issuance of common stock	15,025	1,165
Dividends on common stock	(34,189)	(32,121)
Refundable deposits from generators for transmission network upgrades	9,054	11,439
Repayment of refundable deposits from generators for transmission network upgrades	(4,876)	(16,778)
Other	(4,512)	(987)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	49,142	(14,138)
NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(13,874)	6,586
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS Beginning of period	95,109	74,853

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	End of period	\$ 81,235	\$ 81,439
----------------------------------	----------------------	-----------	-----------

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

6

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)****1. GENERAL**

These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the notes to the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 included in ITC Holdings' annual report on Form 10-K for such period.

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Regulation S-X as they apply to interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. These accounting principles require us to use estimates and assumptions that impact the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from our estimates.

The condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited, but in our opinion include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim period. The interim financial results are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for any other interim period or the fiscal year.

Supplementary Cash Flows Information

(in thousands)	Six months ended	
	June 30,	
	2011	2010
Supplementary cash flows information:		
Interest paid (net of interest capitalized)	\$ 71,168	\$ 63,398
Income taxes paid	14,196	6,882
Supplementary non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Additions to property, plant and equipment (a)	\$ 73,282	\$ 47,605
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	7,609	6,578

(a) Amounts consist of current liabilities for construction labor and materials that have not been included in investing activities. These amounts have not been paid for as of June 30, 2011 or 2010, respectively, but have been or will be included as a cash outflow from investing activities for expenditures for property, plant and equipment when paid.

2. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**Presentation of Comprehensive Income**

The guidance set forth by the Financial Accounting Standards Board for the presentation of comprehensive income in financial statements was revised to require entities to report components of comprehensive income in either a continuous statement of comprehensive income or two separate but consecutive statements. This revision is effective for our annual consolidated financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2011.

3. REGULATORY MATTERS**ITC Great Plains**

In March 2011, we recorded \$2.0 million of regulatory assets for development expenses and pre-construction costs relating to the Kansas V-Plan Project incurred by ITC Great Plains that are probable of recovery and recorded a corresponding \$2.0 million reduction to operating expenses, primarily to general and administrative expense. As of June 30, 2011, the regulatory asset related to the Kansas V-Plan Project totaled \$3.1 million. Recovery of the Kansas V-Plan Project regulatory asset requires FERC authorization upon ITC Great Plains making an additional filing under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act to demonstrate that the costs to be recovered are just and reasonable. Subsequent to FERC authorization, ITC Great Plains will include the Kansas V-Plan Project regulatory asset in its rate base and begin amortizing it over a ten-year period upon the in-service date of the Kansas V-Plan Project. The amortization expense will be recovered through ITC Great Plains' cost-based formula rate template beginning in that

year.

Table of Contents**ITC Midwest Depreciation Study**

Effective January 1, 2010, the FERC authorized the implementation of the depreciation study filed by ITC Midwest which revised its depreciation rates. This change in accounting estimate resulted in lower composite depreciation rates for ITC Midwest primarily due to the revision of asset service lives and cost of removal values.

For ratemaking purposes, the impact of ITC Midwest's revised depreciation rates was initially reflected in ITC Midwest's 2010 revenue requirement. The revised depreciation rates resulted in a reduction of depreciation expense of \$3.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the amount of depreciation expense that would have been recognized under the previous depreciation rates utilized by ITC Midwest. Because of the inclusion of depreciation expense as a component of net revenue requirement under ITC Midwest's cost-based formula rate, the offsetting effect on revenues and expenses from the change in depreciation rates had an immaterial effect on net income and earnings per share amounts for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Cost-Based Formula Rates with True-Up Mechanism

The transmission rates at our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are set annually and remain in effect for a one-year period. By completing their formula rate templates on an annual basis, our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are able to adjust their transmission rates to reflect changing operational data and financial performance, including the amount of network load on their transmission systems (for our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries), operating expenses and additions to property, plant and equipment when placed in service, among other items. The FERC-approved formula rates do not require further action or FERC filings for the calculated joint zone rates to go into effect, although the rates are subject to legal challenge at the FERC. Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries will continue to use formula rates to calculate their respective annual revenue requirements unless the FERC determines the rates to be unjust and unreasonable or another mechanism is determined by the FERC to be just and reasonable.

Our cost-based formula rate templates include a true-up mechanism, whereby our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries compare their actual revenue requirements to their billed revenues for each year to determine any over- or under-collection of revenue requirements. The over- or under-collection typically results from differences between the projected revenue requirement used to establish the billing rate and actual revenue requirement at each of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries, or from differences between actual and projected monthly peak loads at our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries. Revenue is recognized for services provided during each reporting period based on actual revenue requirements calculated using the formula rate templates. Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries accrue or defer revenues to the extent that the actual revenue requirement for the reporting period is higher or lower, respectively, than the amounts billed relating to that reporting period. The amount of accrued or deferred revenues is reflected in customer bills within two years under the provisions of the formula rate templates.

The changes in regulatory assets and liabilities (net) associated with our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' formula rate revenue accruals and deferrals, including accrued interest, were as follows during the six months ended June 30, 2011:

(in thousands)	ITC Transmission	METC	ITC Midwest	ITC Great Plains	Total
Balance as of December 31, 2010	\$ (33,054)	\$ (17,236)	\$ 21,388	\$ 626	\$ (28,276)
Net refunds (collections) of 2009 revenue deferrals and accruals, including interest	1,907	3,859	(10,840)	(314)	(5,388)
Net revenue deferrals for the six months ended June 30, 2011	(5,422)	(3,898)	(488)	(2,047)	(11,855)
Net accrued interest payables for the six months ended June 30, 2011	(550)	(288)	(16)	(19)	(873)
Balance as of June 30, 2011	\$ (37,119)	\$ (17,563)	\$ 10,044	\$ (1,754)	\$ (46,392)

Table of Contents

Regulatory assets and liabilities associated with our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries formula rate revenue accruals and deferrals are recorded in our condensed consolidated statement of financial position as follows:

(in thousands)	ITC Transmission	METC	ITC Midwest	ITC Great Plains	Total
Current assets	\$ 957	\$ 1,040	\$ 13,652	\$ 692	\$ 16,341
Non-current assets other			2,476	1,372	3,848
Current liabilities	(17,698)	(9,501)	(3,023)	(321)	(30,543)
Non-current liabilities	(20,378)	(9,102)	(3,061)	(3,497)	(36,038)
Balance as of June 30, 2011	\$ (37,119)	\$ (17,563)	\$ 10,044	\$ (1,754)	\$ (46,392)

4. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

We have recorded intangible assets as a result of the METC acquisition in 2006. The carrying value of these assets was \$44.7 million (net of accumulated amortization of \$13.7 million) as of June 30, 2011.

We have also recorded intangible assets for payments made by ITC Great Plains to certain transmission owners to acquire rights which are required under the SPP tariff to designate ITC Great Plains to build, own and operate projects within the SPP region, including the KETA Project and the Kansas V-Plan Project. The carrying amount of these intangible assets was \$3.7 million (net of accumulated amortization of \$0.1 million) as of June 30, 2011.

During the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, we recognized \$0.8 million of amortization expense of our intangible assets and \$1.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. For each of the next five years, we expect the annual amortization of our intangible assets that have been recorded as of June 30, 2011 to be \$3.1 million per year.

5. LONG-TERM DEBT**Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities**

We use derivative financial instruments, including interest rate swap contracts, to manage our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. The use of these financial instruments mitigates exposure to these risks and the variability of our operating results. We are not a party to leveraged derivatives and do not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. On September 27, 2010, ITC Holdings entered into a 10-year forward starting interest rate swap agreement (the September 2010 swap) with a notional amount of \$50.0 million. On March 16, 2011, ITC Holdings entered into two 10-year forward starting interest rate swap agreements (the March 2011 swaps), each with a notional amount of \$25.0 million. On May 17, 2011, ITC Holdings entered into a 10-year forward starting interest rate swap agreement (the May 2011 swap) with a notional amount of \$25.0 million. These interest rate swaps manage interest rate risk of a total notional amount of \$125.0 million associated with the forecasted future issuance of fixed-rate debt related to the expected refinancing of the maturing \$267.0 million ITC Holdings 5.25% Senior Notes due July 15, 2013.

The interest rate swaps call for ITC Holdings to receive interest quarterly at a variable rate equal to LIBOR and to pay interest semi-annually at a fixed rate of 3.60% for the September 2010 swap, a fixed rate of 4.45% for the March 2011 swaps and a fixed rate of 4.20% for the May 2011 swap, effective for the ten-year period beginning July 15, 2013. The agreements will be terminated no later than the effective date of the interest rate swaps of July 15, 2013. The interest rate swaps have been determined to be highly effective at offsetting changes in the fair value of the forecasted interest cash flows associated with the expected debt issuance attributable to changes in benchmark interest rates from the trade date of the interest rate swaps to the issuance date of the debt obligation. As of June 30, 2011, there has been no ineffectiveness recorded in the condensed consolidated statement of operations. The interest rate swaps qualify for hedge accounting treatment, whereby any pre-tax gain or loss recognized from the trade date to the effective date for the effective portion of the hedge is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. These amounts will be accumulated and amortized as a component of interest expense over the life of the forecasted debt. As of June 30, 2011, the fair value of the derivative instruments was an asset of \$1.5 million recorded to other non-current assets. Refer to Note 10 for additional information.

Table of Contents**Revolving Credit Agreements**

At June 30, 2011, ITC Holdings and its Regulated Operating Subsidiaries had the following revolving credit facilities available:

(in millions)	Total Available Capacity	Outstanding Balance (a)	Unused Capacity	Original Term	Date of Maturity
ITC Holdings Revolving Credit Agreement	\$ 200.0	\$	\$ 200.0	Five years	May 2016
ITCTransmission Revolving Credit Agreement	100.0	11.1	88.9	Five years	May 2016
METC Revolving Credit Agreement	100.0	19.6	80.4	Five years	May 2016
2008 ITC Midwest Revolving Credit Agreement	41.0	37.9	3.1	Five years	January 2013
2011 ITC Midwest Revolving Credit Agreement	75.0	26.5	48.5	Two years	February 2013
ITC Great Plains Revolving Credit Agreement	150.0	26.9	123.1	Four years	February 2015
Total	\$ 666.0	\$ 122.0	\$ 544.0		

(a) Included within long-term debt

ITC Holdings and its Regulated Operating Subsidiaries had the following weighted-average interest rates on borrowings outstanding at June 30, 2011:

	Weighted-Average Interest Rate
ITC Holdings Revolving Credit Agreement	n/a
ITCTransmission Revolving Credit Agreement	1.5%
METC Revolving Credit Agreement	2.3%
2008 ITC Midwest Revolving Credit Agreement	0.5%
2011 ITC Midwest Revolving Credit Agreement	1.9%
ITC Great Plains Revolving Credit Agreement	2.0%

ITC Holdings Revolving Credit Agreement

On May 17, 2011, ITC Holdings entered into a new unsecured, unguaranteed revolving credit agreement (the ITC Holdings Revolving Credit Agreement) under which ITC Holdings may borrow up to \$200.0 million. Loans under the ITC Holdings Revolving Credit Agreement will bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 1.75% or at a base rate, which is defined as the higher of the prime rate at the administrative agent's principal office in New York, New York, 0.50% above the federal funds rate or 1% above LIBOR for a one month interest period on such day, plus an applicable margin of 0.75%, subject to adjustments based on ITC Holdings' credit rating. The ITC Holdings Revolving Credit Agreement also provides for the payment to the lenders of a commitment fee on the average daily unused commitments at a rate of 0.25%, subject to adjustments based on ITC Holdings' credit rating. The new revolving credit agreement replaced the existing revolving credit agreement, which was scheduled to mature on March 29, 2012.

ITCTransmission Revolving Credit Agreement and METC Revolving Credit Agreement

On May 17, 2011, ITCTransmission and METC each entered into new separate unsecured, unguaranteed revolving credit agreements with various banks, financial institutions and other institutional lenders, which replaced their

existing revolving credit agreement, dated as of March 29, 2007, which was scheduled to mature on March 29, 2012.

Loans made under ITCTransmission's new revolving credit agreement (the ITCTransmission Revolving Credit Agreement) under which ITCTransmission may borrow up to \$100.0 million, bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 1.25% or at a base rate, which is defined as the higher of the prime rate at the administrative agent's principal office in New York, New York, 0.50% above the federal funds rate or 1% above the one month LIBOR, plus an applicable margin of 0.25%, subject to adjustments based on credit rating. The ITCTransmission Revolving Credit Agreement also provides for the payment to the lenders of a commitment fee on the average daily unused commitments at a rate of 0.15%, subject to adjustments based on ITCTransmission's credit rating.

Loans made under METC's new revolving credit agreement (the METC Revolving Credit Agreement) under which METC may borrow up to \$100.0 million, bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 1.25% or at a base rate, which is defined as the higher of the prime rate at the administrative agent's principal office in New York, New York, 0.50% above the federal

Table of Contents

funds rate or 1% above the one month LIBOR, plus an applicable margin of 0.25%, subject to adjustments based on credit rating. The METC Revolving Credit Agreement also provides for the payment to the lenders of a commitment fee on the average daily unused commitments at a rate of 0.15%, subject to adjustments based on METC's credit rating.

ITC Midwest Revolving Credit Agreement

On February 11, 2011, ITC Midwest entered into a new unsecured, unguaranteed revolving credit agreement (the 2011 ITC Midwest Revolving Credit Agreement) under which ITC Midwest may borrow up to \$75.0 million, in addition to the existing revolving credit agreement, dated January 28, 2008 (the 2008 Revolving Credit Agreement). Loans made under the 2011 ITC Midwest Revolving Credit Agreement bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 1.375% or at a base rate, which is defined as the higher of prime rate at the administrative agent's principal office in New York, New York, 0.50% above over the federal funds rate or 1% above the one month LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 0.375%, subject to adjustments based on ITC Midwest's credit rating. The 2011 ITC Midwest Revolving Credit Agreement also provides for the payment to the lenders of a commitment fee on the average daily unused commitments at a rate of 0.15%, subject to adjustments based on ITC Midwest's credit rating.

ITC Great Plains Revolving Credit Agreement

On February 16, 2011, ITC Great Plains entered into an unsecured, unguaranteed revolving credit agreement (the ITC Great Plains Revolving Credit Agreement) under which ITC Great Plains may borrow and issue letters of credit up to \$150.0 million. Loans made under the ITC Great Plains Revolving Credit Agreement will bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 1.75%, or at a base rate, which is defined as the higher of prime rate at the administrative agent's principal office in New York, New York, 0.50% above the federal funds rate or 1.00% above the one month LIBOR rate plus an applicable margin of 0.75%, subject to adjustments based on ITC Great Plains credit rating. The ITC Great Plains Revolving Credit Agreement also provides for the payment to the lenders of a commitment fee on the average daily unused commitments at a rate of 0.30%, subject to adjustments based on ITC Great Plains' credit rating.

Covenants

Our debt instruments described above contain numerous financial and operating covenants that place significant restrictions on certain transactions, such as incurring additional indebtedness, engaging in sale and lease-back transactions, creating liens or other encumbrances, entering into mergers, consolidations, liquidations or dissolutions, creating or acquiring subsidiaries, selling or otherwise disposing of all or substantially all of our assets and paying dividends. In addition, the covenants require us to meet certain financial ratios, such as maintaining certain debt to capitalization ratios and maintaining certain interest coverage ratios. We are currently in compliance with all debt covenants.

6. STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY***ITC Holdings Sales Agency Financing Agreement***

On July 27, 2011, ITC Holdings entered into a Sales Agency Financing Agreement (the SAFA) with Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. (DBS). Under the terms of the SAFA, ITC Holdings may issue and sell shares of common stock, without par value, from time to time, up to an aggregate sales proceeds amount of \$250.0 million. The term of the SAFA is up to July 2014. DBS will act as ITC Holdings' agent in connection with any offerings of shares under the SAFA. The shares of common stock may be offered in one or more selling periods. Any shares of common stock sold under the SAFA will be offered at market prices prevailing at the time of sale. Moreover, ITC Holdings will specify to DBS (i) the aggregate selling price of the shares of common stock to be sold during each selling period, and (ii) the minimum price below which sales may not be made. ITC Holdings will pay DBS a commission equal to a mutually agreed upon rate, not to exceed 2% of the sales price of all shares of common stock sold through it as agent under the SAFA, plus expenses. The shares we would issue under the SAFA have been registered under ITC Holdings' shelf registration statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-163716) filed on December 14, 2009 with the SEC. The prior ITC Holdings Sales Agency Financing Agreement with BNY Mellon Capital Markets, LLC expired on June 27, 2011. As of July 28, 2011, no shares have been issued under either of these agreements.

Table of Contents**7. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION****Long-Term Incentive Plan Grants**

On May 25, 2011, pursuant to the Second Amended and Restated 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan, we granted 212,818 options to purchase shares of our common stock. The options vest in three equal annual installments with the first installment vesting on May 25, 2012 and have an exercise price of \$72.15 per share, which was the closing share price of our common stock on the date of grant. In addition, on May 25, 2011, we granted 95,862 shares of restricted stock at a fair value of \$72.15 per share. Holders of restricted stock have all the rights of a holder of common stock of ITC Holdings, including dividend and voting rights. The restricted stock becomes vested three years after the grant date. The holder of the restricted stock may not sell, transfer or pledge their shares of restricted stock until vesting occurs.

Stock Option Exercises

We issued 455,250 and 464,264 shares of our common stock during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010, respectively, due to the exercise of stock options.

8. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is presented in the following table:

(in thousands, except share, per share data and percentages)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Numerator:				
Net income	\$ 42,996	\$ 36,301	\$ 84,998	\$ 70,505
Less: dividends declared common shares, restricted shares and deferred stock units (a)	(17,182)	(16,089)	(34,189)	(32,125)
Undistributed earnings	25,814	20,212	50,809	38,380
Percentage allocated to common shares (b)	98.2%	98.4%	98.2%	98.4%
Undistributed earnings common shares	25,349	19,889	49,894	37,766
Add: dividends declared common shares	16,857	15,805	33,571	31,598
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per common share	\$ 42,206	\$ 35,694	\$ 83,465	\$ 69,364
Denominator:				
Denominator for basic earnings per common share weighted-average common shares	50,236,721	49,387,462	50,082,531	49,370,143
Incremental shares for stock options and employee stock purchase plan	784,659	899,478	787,643	901,120
Denominator for diluted earnings per common share adjusted weighted-average shares and assumed conversion	51,021,380	50,286,940	50,870,174	50,271,263
Per common share net income:				
Basic	\$ 0.84	\$ 0.72	\$ 1.67	\$ 1.40
Diluted	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.71	\$ 1.64	\$ 1.38

(a) Includes dividends paid in the form of shares for deferred stock units

Edgar Filing: ITC Holdings Corp. - Form 10-Q

(b) Weighted-average common shares outstanding	50,236,721	49,387,462	50,082,531	49,370,143
Weighted-average restricted shares and deferred stock units (participating securities)	911,487	824,996	896,276	794,189
Total	51,148,208	50,212,458	50,978,807	50,164,332
Percentage allocated to common shares	98.2%	98.4%	98.2%	98.4%

Our restricted stock and deferred stock units contain rights to receive nonforfeitable dividends and thus, are participating securities requiring the two-class method of computing earnings per share.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2011 and 2010, we had 2,188,814 and 2,849,198 outstanding stock options, respectively. Stock options are included in the diluted earnings per share calculation using the treasury stock method, unless the effect of including the stock options would be anti-dilutive. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, 218,135 and 476,853 anti-dilutive stock options were excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculations, respectively.

9. RETIREMENT BENEFITS AND ASSETS HELD IN TRUST**Retirement Plan Benefits**

We have a qualified retirement plan for eligible employees, comprised of a traditional final average pay plan and a cash balance plan. The traditional final average pay plan is noncontributory, covers select employees, and provides retirement benefits based on the employees' years of benefit service, average final compensation and age at retirement. The cash balance plan is also noncontributory, covers substantially all employees, and provides retirement benefits based on eligible compensation and interest credits. While we are obligated to fund the retirement plan by contributing the minimum amount required by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, it is our practice to contribute the maximum allowable amount as defined by section 404 of the Internal Revenue Code. We contributed \$3.6 million to the defined benefit retirement plan relating to the 2010 plan year in June 2011. There will be no additional contributions in 2011.

We have also established two supplemental nonqualified, noncontributory, retirement benefit plans for selected management employees. The plans provide for benefits that supplement those provided by our other retirement plans. We contributed \$3.1 million to these supplemental nonqualified, noncontributory, retirement benefit plans relating to the 2010 plan year in June 2011. There will be no additional contributions in 2011.

Net pension cost includes the following components:

(in thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Service cost	\$ 894	\$ 724	\$ 1,792	\$ 1,434
Interest cost	604	603	1,229	1,111
Expected return on plan assets	(474)	(339)	(948)	(694)
Amortization of prior service cost	(11)	(10)	(21)	(21)
Amortization of unrecognized loss	591	595	1,304	862
Net pension cost	\$ 1,604	\$ 1,573	\$ 3,356	\$ 2,692

Other Postretirement Benefits

We provide certain postretirement health care, dental, and life insurance benefits for employees who may become eligible for these benefits. We contributed \$0.9 million to the postretirement benefit plan relating to the 2010 plan year in June 2011. We expect to contribute up to an additional \$2.6 million to the postretirement benefit plan relating to the 2010 plan year in December 2011.

Net postretirement cost includes the following components:

(in thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Service cost	\$ 857	\$ 647	\$ 1,715	\$ 1,404
Interest cost	322	237	643	492
Expected return on plan assets	(185)	(116)	(369)	(234)
Amortization of prior service cost	79	78	157	157
Amortization of unrecognized loss	56		110	

Net postretirement cost	\$ 1,129	\$ 846	\$ 2,256	\$ 1,819
-------------------------	----------	--------	----------	----------

Defined Contribution Plan

We also sponsor a defined contribution retirement savings plan. Participation in this plan is available to substantially all employees. We match employee contributions up to certain predefined limits based upon eligible compensation and the employee's contribution rate. The cost of this plan was \$0.5 million for both the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 and \$1.7 million and \$1.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents**10. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

The measurement of fair value is based on a three-tier hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

Our assets measured at fair value subject to the three-tier hierarchy at June 30, 2011, were as follows:

(in thousands)	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Financial assets measured on a recurring basis:			
Cash and cash equivalents cash equivalents	\$ 14,520	\$ 60,508	\$
Mutual funds fixed income securities	13,724		
Mutual funds equity securities	1,134		
Interest rate swap derivative		1,487	
Total	\$ 29,378	\$ 61,995	\$

Our assets measured at fair value subject to the three-tier hierarchy at December 31, 2010, were as follows:

(in thousands)	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Financial assets measured on a recurring basis:			
Cash and cash equivalents cash equivalents	\$ 10	\$ 84,726	\$
Mutual funds fixed income securities	10,479		
Mutual funds equity securities	876		
Interest rate swap derivative		3,099	
Total	\$ 11,365	\$ 87,825	\$

As of June 30, 2011, we held certain assets that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. These consist of investments recorded within cash and cash equivalents and other long-term assets, including investments held in trust associated with our nonqualified, noncontributory, supplemental retirement benefit plans for selected management and employees that are classified as trading securities and our interest rate swaps discussed in Note 5. Our investments included in cash equivalents consist of common and collective trusts that are administered

similar to money market funds recorded at cost plus accrued interest to approximate fair value. Our investments classified as trading securities consist primarily of mutual funds that are publicly traded and for which market prices are readily available. Changes in the observed trading prices and liquidity of money market funds are monitored as additional support for determining fair value, and losses are recorded in earnings if fair value falls below recorded cost. The fair value of our interest rate swap derivatives as of June 30, 2011 is determined based on a discounted cash flow method.

We also held non-financial assets that are required to be measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis. These consist of goodwill and intangible assets. We did not record any impairment charges on long-lived assets and no other significant events requiring non-financial assets and liabilities to be measured at fair value occurred (subsequent to initial recognition) during the six months ended June 30, 2011. For additional information on our goodwill and intangible assets please refer to the notes to the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 included in our Form 10-K for such period and Note 4 of this Form 10-Q.

Fair Value of Financial Assets and Liabilities

Fixed Rate Long-Term Debt

Based on the borrowing rates currently available for bank loans with similar terms and average maturities, the fair value of our consolidated long-term debt, excluding revolving credit agreements, was \$2,691.3 million and \$2,747.2 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The total book value of our consolidated long-term debt, excluding revolving credit agreements, was \$2,443.7 million and \$2,443.5 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents***Revolving Credit Agreements***

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had a consolidated total of \$122.0 million and \$53.4 million, respectively, outstanding under our revolving credit agreements, which are variable rate loans. The fair value of these loans approximates book value.

Trade Accounts Receivables and Payables

As of June 30, 2011, our accounts receivable and accounts payable balances approximate fair value due to their short term nature.

11. MICHIGAN CORPORATE INCOME TAX

On May 25, 2011, the Michigan Business Tax (MBT) was repealed and replaced with the Michigan Corporate Income Tax (CIT), effective January 1, 2012. Under the CIT, corporations such as ITC Holdings will be taxed at a rate of 6.0% on federal taxable income apportioned to Michigan, subject to certain adjustments. In addition to the traditional income tax, the MBT had also included a modified gross receipts tax which allowed for deductions and credits for certain activities, none of which are part of the CIT. The change in Michigan tax law required us to remove new deferred income tax balances recognized under the MBT and establish deferred tax balances under the CIT in the second quarter of 2011. The change did not have a material impact on our results of operations. Under our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries cost-based formula rates with true-up mechanisms, the future taxes receivable that are expected to be recovered from customers in future rates have resulted in the recognition of regulatory assets.

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES**Litigation**

We are involved in certain legal proceedings before various courts, governmental agencies and mediation panels concerning matters arising in the ordinary course of business. These proceedings include certain contract disputes, regulatory matters and pending judicial matters. We cannot predict the final disposition of such proceedings. We regularly review legal matters and record provisions for claims that are considered probable of loss. The resolution of pending proceedings is not expected to have a material effect on our operations or consolidated financial statements in the period in which they are resolved.

Michigan Sales and Use Tax Audit

The Michigan Department of Treasury conducted a sales and use tax audit of ITCTransmission for the audit period April 1, 2005 through June 30, 2008 and has denied ITCTransmission's use of the industrial processing exemption from use tax it has taken beginning January 1, 2007. ITCTransmission has certain administrative and judicial appeal rights.

ITCTransmission believes that its utilization of the industrial processing exemption is appropriate and intends to defend itself against the denial of such exemption. However, it is reasonably possible that the assessment of additional use tax could be sustained after all administrative appeals and litigation have been exhausted.

The amount of use tax liability associated with the exemptions taken by ITCTransmission through June 30, 2011 is estimated to be approximately \$7.9 million, which includes approximately \$3.5 million assessed for the audit period April 1, 2005 through June 30, 2008, including interest. In the event it becomes appropriate to record additional use tax liability relating to this matter, ITCTransmission would record the additional use tax primarily as an increase to the cost of property, plant and equipment, as the majority of purchases for which the exemption was taken relate to equipment purchases associated with capital projects. METC has also taken the industrial processing exemption, estimated to be approximately \$10.2 million for periods still subject to audit since 2006. These higher use tax expenses would be passed on to ITCTransmission's and METC's customers as the amounts are included as components of net revenue requirements and resulting rates.

FERC audit of ITC Midwest

The staff of the FERC has conducted an audit of ITC Midwest's compliance with certain of the FERC's regulations and the conditions established in the 2007 FERC order approving the acquisition of the transmission assets of IP&L by ITC Midwest. On June 20, 2011, FERC staff provided a revised draft audit report to us for review and comment. The draft audit report contains certain

Table of Contents

proposed findings and recommendations relating to specific aspects of the accounting treatment for the acquisition which, if finalized and approved by FERC, have the potential to result in adjustments to ITC Midwest's revenue requirement calculations for 2008 through 2010. ITC Transmission and METC have applied accounting similar to ITC Midwest for their respective acquisitions. Adjustments to ITC Midwest's, ITC Transmission's and METC's historical revenue requirements could result in refunds and have a negative effect on our results of operations. We intend to both vigorously defend our position and seek an agreed-upon resolution of the audit findings. We believe an unfavorable outcome is reasonably possible, but do not believe the range of potential loss would be material to our results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

ITC Midwest Project Commitment

In the Minnesota regulatory proceeding to approve ITC Midwest's December 2007 acquisition of the transmission assets of IP&L, ITC Midwest agreed to build a certain project in Iowa, the 345 kV Salem-Hazelton line and made a commitment to use commercially reasonable best efforts to complete the project prior to December 31, 2011. In the event ITC Midwest is found to have failed to meet this commitment, the allowed 12.38% rate of return on the actual equity portion of its capital structure would be reduced to 10.39% until such time as ITC Midwest completes the project, and ITC Midwest would refund with interest any amounts collected since the close date of the transaction that exceeded what would have been collected if the 10.39% return on equity had been used. To complete this project, the Iowa Utilities Board (the IUB) must provide certain regulatory approvals. In the second quarter of 2011, the IUB granted the necessary regulatory approvals. Given the timing of receipt of these regulatory approvals, we do not expect the project to be completed by December 31, 2011. ITC Midwest believes it has made commercially reasonable best efforts toward completion of the project by the stipulated deadlines and will continue to do so and, therefore, we believe the likelihood of any material adverse effect from this matter is remote.

Complaint of IP&L

On November 18, 2008, IP&L filed a complaint with the FERC against ITC Midwest under Section 206 of the Federal Power Act. The complaint alleged that: (1) the operations and maintenance expenses and administrative and general expenses projected in the 2009 ITC Midwest rate appeared excessive; (2) the true-up amount related to ITC Midwest's posted network rate for the period through December 31, 2008 will cause ITC Midwest to charge an excessive rate in future years; and (3) the methodology of allocating administrative and general expenses among ITC Holdings' operating companies was changed, resulting in such additional expenses being allocated to ITC Midwest. Among other things, IP&L's complaint sought investigative action by the FERC relating to ITC Midwest's transmission service charges reflected in its 2009 rate, as well as hearings regarding the justness and reasonableness of the 2009 rate (with the ultimate goal of reducing such rate).

On April 16, 2009, the FERC dismissed the IP&L complaint, citing that IP&L failed to meet its burden as the complainant to establish that the current rate is unjust and unreasonable and to establish that IP&L's alternative rate proposal is just and reasonable. IP&L and other parties subsequently filed for rehearing. On May 19, 2011, FERC denied the requests for rehearing and motion to reopen the record and, as a result, this matter is now closed.

Table of Contents**13. SEGMENT INFORMATION**

We identify reportable segments based on the criteria set forth by the FASB regarding disclosures about segments of an enterprise, including the regulatory environment of our subsidiaries and the business activities performed to earn revenues and incur expenses. The following tables show our financial information by reportable segment:

OPERATING REVENUES:

(in thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Regulated Operating Subsidiaries	\$ 185,115	\$ 168,479	\$ 364,518	\$ 329,777
ITC Holdings and other	91	105	192	212
Intercompany eliminations	(108)	(116)	(226)	(233)
Total Operating Revenues	\$ 185,098	\$ 168,468	\$ 364,484	\$ 329,756

INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES:

(in thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Regulated Operating Subsidiaries	\$ 89,424	\$ 82,227	\$ 179,939	\$ 159,619
ITC Holdings and other	(22,675)	(24,828)	(46,429)	(48,516)
Total Income Before Income Taxes	\$ 66,749	\$ 57,399	\$ 133,510	\$ 111,103

NET INCOME:

(in thousands)	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Regulated Operating Subsidiaries (a)	\$ 61,924	\$ 56,711	\$ 124,853	\$ 110,170
ITC Holdings and other	42,996	36,301	84,998	70,505
Intercompany eliminations	(61,924)	(56,711)	(124,853)	(110,170)
Total Net Income	\$ 42,996	\$ 36,301	\$ 84,998	\$ 70,505

TOTAL ASSETS:

(in thousands)	June 30,	December 31,
	2011	2010
Regulated Operating Subsidiaries	\$ 4,399,933	\$ 4,180,485
ITC Holdings and other	2,854,545	2,762,210
Reconciliations (b)	14,624	(11,878)
Intercompany eliminations	(2,724,768)	(2,622,944)
Total Assets	\$ 4,544,334	\$ 4,307,873

(a)

Income tax provision and net income for our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries do not include any allocation of taxes for METC. METC is organized as a single-member limited liability company that is a disregarded entity for federal income tax purposes. METC is treated as a branch of MTH, which is taxed as a multiple-partner limited partnership for federal income tax purposes. Since METC and MTH, its immediate parent, file as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, they are exempt from federal income taxes. As a result, METC does not record a provision for federal income taxes in its statements of operations or record amounts for federal deferred income tax assets or liabilities on its statements of financial position. For FERC regulatory reporting, however, METC computes theoretical federal income taxes as well as the associated deferred income taxes and includes an annual allowance for income taxes in its net revenue requirement used to determine its rates.

- (b) Reconciliation of total assets results primarily from differences in the netting of deferred tax assets and liabilities at our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries as compared to the classification in our condensed consolidated statements of financial position.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
SAFE HARBOR STATEMENT UNDER THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

Our reports, filings and other public announcements contain certain statements that describe our management's beliefs concerning future business conditions, plans and prospects, growth opportunities and the outlook for our business and the electric transmission industry based upon information currently available. Such statements are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Wherever possible, we have identified these forward-looking statements by words such as will, may, anticipates, believes, intends, estimates, expects, projects and similar phrases. These forward-looking statements are based upon assumptions our management believes are reasonable. Such forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties which could cause our actual results, performance and achievements to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, these statements, including, among others, the risks and uncertainties listed in Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors of our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 and the following:

Certain elements of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' cost recovery through rates can be challenged, which could result in lowered rates and/or refunds of amounts previously collected and thus have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. We have also made certain commitments to federal and state regulators with respect to, among other things, our rates in connection with recent acquisitions (including ITC Midwest's acquisition of IP&L's electric transmission assets) that could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' actual capital expenditures may be lower than planned, which would decrease expected rate base and therefore our revenues and earnings. In addition, we expect to invest in strategic development opportunities to improve the efficiency and reliability of the transmission grid, but we cannot assure you that we will be able to initiate or complete any of these investments.

The regulations to which we are subject may limit our ability to raise capital and/or pursue acquisitions, development opportunities or other transactions or may subject us to liabilities.

Changes in federal energy laws, regulations or policies could impact cash flows and could reduce the dividends we may be able to pay our stockholders.

If the amounts billed for transmission service for our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' transmission systems are lower than expected, the timing of collection of our revenues would be delayed.

Each of our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries depends on its primary customer for a substantial portion of its revenues, and any material failure by those primary customers to make payments for transmission services would adversely affect our revenues and our ability to service our debt obligations and affect our ability to pay dividends.

A significant amount of the land on which our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' assets are located is subject to easements, mineral rights and other similar encumbrances. As a result, our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries must comply with the provisions of various easements, mineral rights and other similar encumbrances, which may adversely impact their ability to complete construction projects in a timely manner.

If ITC Midwest's operating agreement with IP&L is terminated early, ITC Midwest may face a shortage of labor or replacement contractors to provide the services formerly provided by IP&L.

Hazards associated with high-voltage electricity transmission may result in suspension of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' operations or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are subject to environmental regulations and to laws that can give rise to substantial liabilities from environmental contamination.

Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are subject to various regulatory requirements, including reliability standards. Violations of these requirements, whether intentional or unintentional, may result in penalties that, under some circumstances, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Acts of war, terrorist attacks and threats or the escalation of military activity in response to such attacks or otherwise may negatively affect our business, financial condition and cash flows.

Table of Contents

ITC Holdings is a holding company with no operations, and unless we receive dividends or other payments from our subsidiaries, we may be unable to pay dividends and fulfill our other cash obligations.

We are highly leveraged and our dependence on debt may limit our ability to fulfill our debt obligations and/or to obtain additional financing.

Certain provisions in our debt instruments limit our financial flexibility.

Adverse changes in our credit ratings may negatively affect us.

The amount of our federal net operating loss carryforwards for income taxes that we may use to reduce our tax liability in any given period is limited.

Provisions in our Articles of Incorporation and bylaws, Michigan corporate law and our debt agreements may impede efforts by our shareholders to change the direction or management of our company.

Provisions in our Articles of Incorporation restrict market participants from voting or owning 5% or more of the outstanding shares of our capital stock.

Other risk factors discussed herein and listed from time to time in our public filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Because our forward-looking statements are based on estimates and assumptions that are subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control or are subject to change, actual results could be materially different and any or all of our forward-looking statements may turn out to be wrong. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date made and can be affected by assumptions we might make or by known or unknown risks and uncertainties. Many factors mentioned in our discussion in this report will be important in determining future results. Consequently, we cannot assure you that our expectations or forecasts expressed in such forward-looking statements will be achieved. Actual future results may vary materially. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update any of our forward-looking or other statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

OVERVIEW

Through our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries, we operate high-voltage systems in Michigan's Lower Peninsula and portions of Iowa, Minnesota, Illinois, Missouri and Kansas that transmit electricity from generating stations to local distribution facilities connected to our systems. Our business strategy is to operate, maintain and invest in transmission infrastructure in order to enhance system integrity and reliability, to reduce transmission constraints and to allow new generating resources to interconnect to our transmission systems. We also are pursuing development projects not within our existing systems, which are also intended to improve overall grid reliability, reduce transmission constraints and facilitate interconnections of new generating resources, as well as enhance competitive wholesale electricity markets.

As electric transmission utilities with rates regulated by the FERC, our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries earn revenues through tariff rates charged for the use of their electric transmission systems by our customers, which include investor-owned utilities, municipalities, cooperatives, power marketers and alternative energy suppliers. As independent transmission companies, our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are subject to rate regulation only by the FERC. The rates charged by our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are established using cost-based formula rate templates as discussed in Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements under Cost-Based Formula Rates with True-Up Mechanism.

Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' primary operating responsibilities include maintaining, improving and expanding their transmission systems to meet their customers' ongoing needs, scheduling outages on system elements to allow for maintenance and construction, maintaining appropriate system voltages and monitoring flows over

transmission lines and other facilities to ensure physical limits are not exceeded.

We derive nearly all of our revenues from providing network transmission service, point-to-point transmission service and other related services over our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' transmission systems to investor-owned utilities such as Detroit Edison, Consumers Energy, IP&L and to other entities such as alternative electricity suppliers, power marketers and other wholesale customers that provide electricity to end-use consumers and from transaction-based capacity reservations on our transmission systems.

Table of Contents

Significant recent matters that influenced our financial position and results of operations and cash flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 or may affect future results include:

Our capital investment of \$271.6 million at our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries (\$36.5 million, \$66.0 million, \$133.8 million and \$35.3 million at ITCTransmission, METC, ITC Midwest and ITC Great Plains, respectively) for the six months ended June 30, 2011, resulting primarily from our focus on improving system reliability and interconnecting new generating resources;

Debt issuances and borrowings under our revolving credit agreements in 2011 to fund capital investment at our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries, resulting in higher interest expense; and

Final recognition of revenues for the ITCTransmission rate freeze revenue deferral in May 2011, described below under Cost-Based Formula Rates with True-Up Mechanism ITCTransmission's Rate Freeze Revenue Deferral. There will be a \$6.9 million reduction in network revenues in 2011 relating to the collection of the revenue accrual for the period from January through May 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, which is expected to result in a reduction to after-tax net income of approximately \$4.3 million in 2011 compared to 2010.

These items are discussed in more detail throughout Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Capital Project Updates and Other Recent Developments

ITC Great Plains

KETA Project

The KETA Project is a 225 mile transmission line that will run between Spearville, Kansas and Axtell, Nebraska. Through June 30, 2011, ITC Great Plains has recorded construction work in progress of \$43.8 million for the KETA Project. We estimate that the cost for ITC Great Plains' portion of the KETA Project will be approximately \$203 million.

Kansas V-Plan Project

The Kansas V-Plan Project is a 200 mile long transmission line that will run between Spearville and Wichita, Kansas. The portion of the transmission line that ITC Great Plains is responsible for constructing from Spearville to Medicine Lodge, Kansas will run approximately 120 miles. The Kansas Corporation Commission approved ITC Great Plains' request for a transmission line siting permit on July 12, 2011. ITC Great Plains is in the process of obtaining the remaining permits necessary to begin construction related activities for the project. We estimate that ITC Great Plains will invest approximately \$300 million to construct its portion of the project.

Regulatory Assets

As of June 30, 2011, we have recorded a total of \$13.6 million of regulatory assets for start-up and development expenses incurred by ITC Great Plains, which include certain costs incurred for the KETA Project and the Kansas V-Plan Project prior to construction. In March 2011, we recognized the Kansas V-Plan regulatory asset of \$2.0 million and corresponding reduction to operating expenses, which resulted in net income of \$1.3 million. Subsequent to the initial recognition of the Kansas V-Plan regulatory asset in March 2011, we recorded an additional \$1.1 million of cost incurred for the Kansas V-Plan Project during the quarter ended June 30, 2011 directly to regulatory assets. Based on ITC Great Plains' application and the related FERC order, ITC Great Plains will be required to make an additional filing with the FERC under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act in order to recover these start-up, development and pre-construction expenses in future rates.

Green Power Express

The Green Power Express project consists of transmission line segments that would facilitate the movement of power from the wind-abundant areas in the Dakotas, Minnesota and Iowa to Midwest load centers that demand clean, renewable energy. The FERC issued an order authorizing certain transmission investment incentives, including the establishment of a regulatory asset for start-up and development costs of Green Power Express and certain pre-construction costs for the project to be recovered pursuant to a future FERC filing. Further, the FERC order conditionally accepted Green Power Express' proposed formula rate tariff sheets, subject to refund, and set them for

hearing and settlement procedures. On February 22, 2010, Green Power Express filed an Offer of Settlement
20

Table of Contents

that intended to resolve all of the issues set for hearing. On May 19, 2011, the FERC approved the proposed settlement of the formula rate and related issues that had been pending since the February 2010 offer. The amount of any future capital expenditures on this project is currently unknown.

The total development expenses through June 30, 2011 that may be recoverable through regulatory assets were approximately \$5.5 million, which have been recorded to expenses in the periods in which they were incurred. If in a future reporting period it becomes probable that future revenues will result from the authorization to recover these development expenses, we will recognize the regulatory assets. No regulatory assets or construction work in progress for Green Power Express has been recorded as of June 30, 2011.

Thumb Loop Project

In 2010, we received MISO approval of the Thumb Loop Project primarily located in ITC Transmission's region with a total expected capital investment of \$510 million. The Thumb Loop Project consists of a 140-mile, double-circuit 345 kV transmission line and related substations that will serve as the backbone of the transmission system needed to accommodate future wind development projects in the Michigan counties of Tuscola, Huron, Sanilac and St. Clair. Siting approval was requested from the MPSC in August 2010 and granted by the MPSC in February 2011. Certain parties have filed an appeal of the MPSC approval, but the MPSC decision remains valid during the appeal process. Significant capital investments for this project are expected to occur beginning in 2012.

Cost-Based Formula Rates with True-Up Mechanism

Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries calculate their revenue requirements using cost-based formula rate templates and are effective without the need to file rate cases with the FERC, although the rates are subject to legal challenge at the FERC. Under these formula rate templates, our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries recover expenses and earn a return on and recover investments in property, plant and equipment on a current rather than a lagging basis. The formula rate templates utilize forecasted expenses, property, plant and equipment, point-to-point revenues, network load and other items for the upcoming calendar year to establish projected revenue requirements for each of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries that are used as the basis for billing for service on their systems from January 1 to December 31 of that year. Our cost-based formula rate templates include a true-up mechanism, whereby our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries compare their actual revenue requirements to their billed revenues for each year to determine any over- or under-collection of revenue. The over- or under-collection typically results from differences between the projected revenue requirement used as the basis for billing and actual revenue requirement at each of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries, or from differences between actual and projected monthly peak loads at our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries. In the event billed revenues in a given year are more or less than actual revenue requirements, which are calculated primarily using information from that year's FERC Form No. 1, our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries will refund or collect additional revenues, with interest, within a two-year period such that customers pay only the amounts that correspond to actual revenue requirements for that given period. This annual true-up ensures that our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries recover their allowed costs and earn their allowed returns.

ITC Transmission's Rate Freeze Revenue Deferral

ITC Transmission's rate freeze revenue deferral resulted from the regulatory authority to bill and collect certain revenue requirements calculated for historical periods. This revenue deferral resulted from the difference between the revenue ITC Transmission would have collected under its cost based formula rate and the actual revenue ITC Transmission received based on the frozen rate of \$1.075 kW/month for the period from February 28, 2003 through December 31, 2004. The cumulative revenue deferral at the end of the rate freeze was \$59.7 million (\$38.8 million net of tax). The revenue deferral was amortized for ratemaking on a straight-line basis for five years from June 2006 through May 2011 and was included in ITC Transmission's revenue requirement for those periods. Revenues of \$11.9 million were recognized in 2010 relating to the rate freeze revenue deferral and revenues of \$5.0 million were recognized in January through May 2011. The \$6.9 million reduction in revenues is also expected to result in a reduction to after-tax net income of approximately \$4.3 million in 2011 compared to 2010.

Revenue Accruals - Effects of Monthly Peak Loads

For our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries, monthly peak loads are used for billing network revenues, which currently is the largest component of our operating revenues. One of the primary factors that impacts the revenue accrual/deferral at our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries is actual monthly peak loads experienced as compared

to those forecasted in establishing the annual network transmission rate. Under their formula rates that contain a true-up mechanism our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries accrue or

Table of Contents

defer revenues to the extent that their actual revenue requirement for the reporting period is higher or lower, respectively, than the amounts billed relating to that reporting period. For example, to the extent that amounts billed are less than revenue requirement for a reporting period, a revenue accrual is recorded for the difference. To the extent that amounts billed are more than revenue requirement for a reporting period, a revenue deferral is recorded for the difference. Although monthly peak loads do not impact operating revenues recognized, network load affects cash flows from transmission service. The monthly peak load of our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries is affected by many variables, but is generally impacted by weather and economic conditions and is seasonally shaped with higher load in the summer months when cooling demand is higher.

The following table sets forth the monthly peak loads during the last three calendar years.

Monthly Peak Load (in MW) (a)

	2011			2010			2009		
	ITC	Transmission	METC	ITC	Transmission	METC	ITC	Transmission	METC
January	7,326	6,041	2,777	7,255	5,947	2,838	7,314	6,009	2,952
February	7,261	6,056	2,854	6,998	5,800	2,782	7,176	5,818	2,816
March	6,946	5,712	2,520	6,620	5,376	2,517	7,070	5,548	2,696
April	6,483	5,296	2,389	6,501	5,112	2,425	6,761	5,112	2,428
May	10,119	7,036	2,775	9,412	7,240	3,052	6,801	5,296	2,421
June	11,488	8,088	3,432	9,722	7,128	3,207	10,392	8,063	3,385
July				11,451	8,498	3,422	8,751	6,523	2,843
August				11,082	8,422	3,399	9,823	7,181	3,103
September				10,817	7,353	2,804	8,049	5,919	2,596
October				6,725	5,414	2,447	6,456	5,258	2,494
November				6,926	5,734	2,674	6,996	5,778	2,634
December				7,824	6,526	2,928	7,661	6,192	2,856
Total				101,333	78,550	34,495	93,250	72,697	33,224

(a) Our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are each part of a joint rate zone. The load data presented is for all transmission owners in the respective joint rate zone and is used for billing network revenues. Each of our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries makes up the most significant portion of the rates or revenue requirement billed to network load within their respective joint rate zone.

Capital Investment Forecasts and Operating Results Trends

We expect a general trend of increases in revenues and earnings for our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries over the long term. The primary factor that is expected to continue to increase our actual revenue requirements in future years is our anticipated capital investment in excess of depreciation as a result of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries long-term capital investment programs to improve reliability and interconnect new generating resources. In addition, our capital investment efforts relating to development initiatives are based on establishing an ongoing pipeline of projects that will position us for long-term growth. Investments in property, plant and equipment, when placed in service upon completion of a capital project, are added to the rate base of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries.

Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries strive for high reliability of their systems and to improve accessibility to generation sources of choice, including renewable sources. The Energy Policy Act of 2005 requires the FERC to implement mandatory electric transmission reliability standards to be enforced by an Electric Reliability Organization. Effective June 2007, the FERC approved mandatory adoption of certain reliability standards and approved enforcement actions for violators, including fines of up to \$1.0 million per day. The NERC was assigned the responsibility of developing and enforcing these mandatory reliability standards. We continually assess our transmission systems against standards established by the NERC, as well as the standards of applicable regional

entities under the NERC that have been delegated certain authority for the purpose of proposing and enforcing reliability standards. We believe we meet the applicable standards in all material respects, although further capital investment in our transmission systems and an increase in maintenance activities will likely be needed to maintain compliance, improve reliability and address any new standards that may be promulgated.

We also assess our transmission systems against our own planning criteria that are filed annually with the FERC. Based on our planning studies, we see needs to make capital investments to (1) rebuild existing property, plant and equipment; (2) upgrade the

Table of Contents

system to address demographic changes that have impacted transmission load and the changing role that transmission plays in meeting the needs of the wholesale market, including accommodating the siting of new generation or to increase import capacity to meet changes in peak electrical demand; (3) relieve congestion in the transmission systems; and (4) achieve state and federal policy goals such as renewable generation portfolio standards. The following table shows our expected and actual capital investment for each of the Regulated Operating Subsidiaries:

(in millions)	Five-Year Capital Investment Program 2011-2015	Actual Capital Investment for the six months ended June 30, 2011 (a)	Forecasted Capital Investment for the year ending December 31, 2011
Operating Subsidiary			
ITC Transmission	\$ 796	\$ 36.5	\$ 70 80
METC	682	66.0	155 165
ITC Midwest	1,087	133.8	255 270
ITC Great Plains	1,058	35.3	120 130
Other (b)	306		
Total	\$ 3,929	\$ 271.6	\$ 600 645

(a) Capital investment amounts differ from cash expenditures for property, plant and equipment included in our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows due in part to differences in construction costs incurred compared to cash paid during that period, as well as payments for major equipment inventory that are included in cash expenditures but not included in capital investment until transferred to construction work in progress, among other factors.

(b) Includes Green Power Express and other development initiatives.

Investments in property, plant and equipment could vary due to, among other things, the impact of actual loads, forecasted loads, regional economic conditions, weather conditions, union strikes, labor shortages, material and equipment prices and availability, our ability to obtain financing for such expenditures, if necessary, limitations on the amount of construction that can be undertaken on our systems at any one time, regulatory approvals for reasons relating to rate construct, environmental, siting, regional planning, cost recovery or other issues or as a result of legal proceedings and variances between estimated and actual costs of construction contracts awarded. In addition, investments in transmission network upgrades for generator interconnection projects could change from prior estimates significantly due to changes in the MISO queue for generation projects, the generator's potential failure to meet the various criteria of Attachment FF of the MISO tariff for the project to qualify as a refundable network upgrade, and other factors beyond our control.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Results of Operations and Variances**

(in thousands)	Three months ended		Percentage		Six months ended		Percentage	
	2011	June 30, 2010	Increase (decrease)	increase (decrease)	2011	June 30, 2010	Increase (decrease)	increase (decrease)
OPERATING REVENUES	\$ 185,098	\$ 168,468	\$ 16,630	9.9%	\$ 364,484	\$ 329,756	\$ 34,728	10.5%
OPERATING EXPENSES								
Operation and maintenance	28,837	28,494	343	1.2%	55,121	52,223	2,898	5.5%
General and administrative	19,289	17,413	1,876	10.8%	35,869	35,194	675	1.9%
Depreciation and amortization	23,352	22,567	785	3.5%	46,440	44,682	1,758	3.9%
Taxes other than income taxes	13,556	11,626	1,930	16.6%	27,164	23,934	3,230	13.5%
Other operating (income) and expenses net	(167)	(530)	363	(68.5)%	(316)	(523)	207	(39.6)%
Total operating expenses	84,867	79,570	5,297	6.7%	164,278	155,510	8,768	5.6%
OPERATING INCOME	100,231	88,898	11,333	12.7%	200,206	174,246	25,960	14.9%
OTHER EXPENSES (INCOME)								
Interest expense	36,484	35,333	1,151	3.3%	72,754	70,362	2,392	3.4%
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(4,099)	(3,435)	(664)	19.3%	(7,609)	(6,578)	(1,031)	15.7%
Other income	(497)	(1,154)	657	(56.9)%	(718)	(1,672)	954	(57.1)%
Other expense	1,594	755	839	111.1%	2,269	1,031	1,238	120.1%
Total other expenses (income)	33,482	31,499	1,983	6.3%	66,696	63,143	3,553	5.6%
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	66,749	57,399	9,350	16.3%	133,510	111,103	22,407	20.2%
INCOME TAX PROVISION	23,753	21,098	2,655	12.6%	48,512	40,598	7,914	19.5%

NET INCOME \$ 42,996 \$ 36,301 \$ 6,695 18.4% \$ 84,998 \$ 70,505 \$ 14,493 20.6%

Operating Revenues

Three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to three months ended June 30, 2010

The following table sets forth the components of and changes in operating revenues:

(in thousands)	2011		2010		Increase (decrease)	Percentage increase (decrease)
	Amount	Percentage	Amount	Percentage		
Network revenues	\$ 153,947	83.2%	\$ 143,670	85.3%	\$ 10,277	7.2%
Regional cost sharing revenues	20,433	11.0%	15,695	9.3%	4,738	30.2%
Point-to-point	3,990	2.1%	4,787	2.8%	(797)	(16.6)%
Scheduling, control and dispatch	3,838	2.1%	3,615	2.2%	223	6.2%
Other	2,890	1.6%	701	0.4%	2,189	312.3%
Total	\$ 185,098	100.0%	\$ 168,468	100.0%	\$ 16,630	9.9%

Network revenues increased due primarily to higher revenue requirements at our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries during the three months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. Higher net revenue requirements were due primarily to higher rate bases associated with higher balances of property, plant and equipment in-service.

Regional cost sharing revenues increased due primarily to additional capital projects that have been identified by MISO as eligible for regional cost sharing. We expect to continue to receive regional cost sharing revenues and the amounts could increase in the near future, including revenues associated with projects that have been or are expected to be approved for regional cost sharing.

Other revenues increased due primarily to revenue recognized at METC for utilization of its jointly-owned lines under its transmission ownership and operating agreements.

Table of Contents

Six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to six months ended June 30, 2010

The following table sets forth the components of and changes in operating revenues:

(in thousands)	2011		2010		Increase (decrease)	Percentage increase (decrease)
	Amount	Percentage	Amount	Percentage		
Network revenues	\$ 306,427	84.1%	\$ 283,967	86.1%	\$ 22,460	7.9%
Regional cost sharing revenues	40,039	11.0%	26,871	8.1%	13,168	49.0%
Point-to-point Scheduling, control and dispatch	7,711	2.1%	9,505	2.9%	(1,794)	(18.9)%
Other	6,828	1.9%	6,854	2.1%	(26)	(0.4)%
	3,479	0.9%	2,559	0.8%	920	36.0%
Total	\$ 364,484	100.0%	\$ 329,756	100.0%	\$ 34,728	10.5%

Network revenues increased due primarily to higher net revenue requirements at our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries during the six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. Higher net revenue requirements were due primarily to higher rate base associated with higher balances of property, plant and equipment in-service and higher recoverable expenses due to higher operating expenses.

Regional cost sharing revenues increased due primarily to additional capital projects placed in-service that have been identified by MISO as eligible for regional cost sharing.

Operating revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2011 include the network revenue accruals (deferrals) and regional cost sharing revenue accruals (deferrals) as calculated below:

Line	Item (in thousands)	ITC Transmission	METC	ITC Midwest	ITC Great Plains	Total net revenue deferrals
1	Estimated net revenue requirement (network revenues recognized) (a)	\$ 120,139	\$ 87,356	\$ 97,568	\$ 1,364	
2	Network revenues billed (b)	125,270	91,218	98,926	359	
3	Network revenue accruals (deferrals) (line 1 - line 2)	(5,131)	(3,862)	(1,358)	1,005	
4	Regional cost sharing revenue accruals (deferrals) (c)	(291)	(36)	870	(3,052)	
5	Total net revenue deferrals (line 3 + line 4)	\$ (5,422)	\$ (3,898)	\$ (488)	\$ (2,047)	\$ (11,855)

(a)

The calculation of net revenue requirement for our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries is described in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 under Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Cost-Based Formula Rates with True-Up Mechanism Net Revenue Requirement Calculation. The amount is estimated for each reporting period until such time as FERC Form No. 1's are completed for our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries.

- (b) Network revenues billed at our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are calculated based on the joint zone monthly network peak load multiplied by our effective monthly network rates for 2011 of \$2.495 per kW/month, \$2.331 per kW/month and \$6.694 per kW/month applicable to ITC Transmission, METC and ITC Midwest, respectively, adjusted for the actual number of days in the month less amounts recovered or refunded associated with our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries 2009 true-up adjustment. The rates for 2011 include amounts for the collection and refund of the 2009 revenue accruals and deferrals and related accrued interest and the revenues billed in 2011 associated with the 2009 revenue accruals and deferrals are not included in these amounts. Our rates at ITC Great Plains are billed ratably each month based on its annual projected net revenue requirement.
- (c) Regional cost sharing revenues are subject to a separate true-up mechanism whereby our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries accrue or defer revenues for any over- or under-recovery. The related revenue accruals or deferrals associated with regional cost sharing revenues are included in the regional cost sharing revenue amounts.

Table of Contents

Operating Expenses

Operation and maintenance expenses

Three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to three months ended June 30, 2010

Operation and maintenance expenses were consistent compared to the prior period.

Six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to six months ended June 30, 2010

Operation and maintenance expenses increased by \$1.6 million due to higher vehicles and equipment expenses, due in part to higher fuel costs, by \$1.2 million due to higher relay work due to the acceleration of certain preventative maintenance activities within the first half of 2011 that had initially been planned for later in 2011, and by \$1.2 million due to higher operating and training expenses, partially offset by \$1.4 million due to lower material costs.

General and administrative expenses

Three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to three months ended June 30, 2010

General and administrative expenses increased due to general business expenses, primarily due to increased information technology support, in addition to higher professional services.

Six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to six months ended June 30, 2010

General and administrative expenses increased by \$1.2 million due to higher general business expenses, primarily due to increased information technology support, by \$0.6 million due to higher compensation expenses and by \$0.6 million due to higher outside services. These increases were partially offset by the reduction of expenses in the first quarter of 2011 of \$1.9 million (of which \$1.4 million were incurred in periods prior to 2011) in connection with the recognition of the Kansas V-Plan Project regulatory asset.

Depreciation and amortization expenses

Three and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to three and six months ended June 30, 2010

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased due primarily to a higher depreciable base resulting from property, plant and equipment additions.

Taxes other than income taxes

Three and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to three and six months ended June 30, 2010

Taxes other than income taxes increased due to higher property tax expenses due primarily to our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' 2010 capital additions, which are included in the assessments for 2011 personal property taxes.

Other Expenses (Income)

Three and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to three and six months ended June 30, 2010

Interest expense increased due primarily to additional interest expense associated with an increase in borrowing levels under our revolving credit agreements.

Income Tax Provision

Three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to three months ended June 30, 2010

Our effective tax rates for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are 35.6% and 36.8%, respectively. Our effective tax rate differs from our 35% statutory federal income tax rate due primarily to state income taxes as well as the tax effects of Allowance for Equity Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC equity) which reduces the effective tax rate. We recorded a state income tax

Table of Contents

provision of \$1.4 million (net of federal deductibility) during the three months ended June 30, 2011 which includes the effects of the Michigan Corporate Income Tax described in Note 11 of the condensed consolidated financial statements, compared to state income tax provision of \$1.7 million (net of federal deductibility) for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to six months ended June 30, 2010

Our effective tax rates for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are 36.3% and 36.5%, respectively. Our effective tax rate differs from our 35% statutory federal income tax rate due primarily to state income taxes as well as the tax effects of AFUDC equity which reduces the effective tax rate. We recorded a state income tax provision of \$3.6 million (net of federal deductibility) during the six months ended June 30, 2011 for state income taxes, which includes the effect of the Michigan Corporate Income Tax, compared to state income tax provision of \$3.7 million (net of federal deductibility) for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We expect to fund our future capital requirements with cash from operations, our existing cash and cash equivalents and amounts available under our revolving credit agreements (described in Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financial statements). In addition, we may from time to time secure debt and equity funding in the capital markets, although we can provide no assurance that we will be able to obtain financing on favorable terms or at all. We expect that our capital requirements will arise principally from our need to:

Fund capital expenditures at our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries. Our plans with regard to property, plant and equipment investments are described in detail above under Capital Investment Forecasts and Operating Results Trends.

Fund business development expenses and related capital expenditures. We are pursuing development activities at Green Power Express and ITC Grid Development that will continue to result in the incurrence of development expenses and could result in significant capital expenditures.

Fund working capital requirements.

Fund our debt service requirements. We expect our interest payments to increase each year as a result of additional debt we expect to incur to fund our capital expenditures.

Fund dividends to holders of our common stock.

Fund contributions to our retirement plans, as described in Note 9 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

In addition to the expected capital requirements above, any adverse determinations relating to the contingencies described in Note 12 to the condensed consolidated financial statements would result in additional capital requirements.

We believe that we have sufficient capital resources to meet our currently anticipated short-term needs. We rely on both internal and external sources of liquidity to provide working capital and to fund capital investments. We expect to continue to utilize our revolving credit agreements and our cash and cash equivalents as needed to meet our short-term cash requirements. During 2011, we entered into new revolving credit agreements at ITC Holdings, ITC Great Plains, ITC Transmission and METC in the amount of \$200.0 million, \$150.0 million, \$100.0 million and \$100.0 million, respectively, and an additional revolving credit agreement at ITC Midwest for \$75.0 million as described in Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financial statements. As of June 30, 2011, we had consolidated indebtedness under our revolving credit agreements of \$122.0 million, with unused capacity under the agreements of \$544.0 million.

For our long-term capital requirements, we expect that we will need to obtain additional debt and equity financing. Certain of our capital projects could be delayed in the event we experience difficulties in accessing capital. We expect to be able to obtain such additional financing as needed in amounts and upon terms that will be reasonably satisfactory

to us.

Table of Contents**Credit Ratings**

Credit ratings by nationally recognized statistical rating agencies are an important component of our liquidity profile. Credit ratings relate to our ability to issue debt securities and the cost to borrow money, and should not be viewed as an indication of future stock performance or a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold securities. Ratings are subject to revision or withdrawal at any time and each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating. Our current credit ratings are displayed in the following table. An explanation of these ratings may be obtained from the respective rating agency.

Issuer	Issuance	Standard and Poor's Ratings Services(a)	Moody's Investor Service, Inc.(b)
ITC Holdings	Senior Notes	BBB-	Baa2
ITC Transmission	First Mortgage Bonds	A-	A1
METC	Senior Secured Notes	A-	A1
ITC Midwest	First Mortgage Bonds	A-	A1
ITC Great Plains	Unsecured Credit Facility	BBB	Baa1

(a) All of the Standard and Poor's Rating Services ratings have a positive outlook.

(b) Moody's Investor Service, Inc. updated their credit opinions on April 20, 2011 and made no changes to the credit ratings. All of the ratings have a stable outlook.

Covenants

Our debt instruments include senior notes, secured notes, first mortgage bonds and revolving credit agreements containing numerous financial and operating covenants that place significant restrictions as described in Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financial statements and in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010. We are currently in compliance with all debt covenants and in the event of a downgrade in our credit ratings, none of the covenants would be directly impacted.

Cash Flows From Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$167.8 million and \$183.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities was due primarily to higher income taxes paid of \$7.3 million, \$7.8 million of additional interest payments (net of interest capitalized) and \$7.6 million of additional funding to the benefit plans during the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in cash received from operating revenues of \$6.3 million.

Cash Flows From Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$230.8 million and \$162.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase in cash used in investing activities was due primarily to higher investments in property, plant and equipment as we executed our capital investment plan described under [Overview](#) [Capital Investment Forecasts](#) and [Operating Results Trends](#).

Cash Flows From Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$49.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$14.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The increase in cash provided by financing activities was due primarily to the net increase of \$135.5 million in amounts outstanding under our revolving credit agreements, an increase of \$13.8 million due to the issuance of common stock upon exercise of outstanding options, as well as an increase in net proceeds associated with refundable deposits for transmission network upgrades of \$9.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. This increase was partially offset by proceeds of \$40.0 million from the closing of ITC Midwest's 4.60% First Mortgage Bonds, Series D, and proceeds of \$50.0 million received from the issuance of METC's 5.64% Senior Secured Notes during 2010.

Table of Contents**CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS**

Our contractual obligations are described in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. There have been no material changes to that information during the six months ended June 30, 2011, other than amounts borrowed under our revolving credit agreements and other debt transactions as described in Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements requires the application of appropriate technical accounting rules and guidance, as well as the use of estimates. The application of these policies necessarily involves judgments regarding future events. These estimates and judgments, in and of themselves, could materially impact the condensed consolidated financial statements and disclosures based on varying assumptions, as future events rarely develop exactly as forecasted, and even the best estimates routinely require adjustment. The accounting policies discussed in Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 are considered by management to be the most important to an understanding of the consolidated financial statements because of their significance to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations or because their application places the most significant demands on management's judgment and estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. There have been no material changes to that information during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

See Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**Fixed Rate Long-Term Debt**

Based on the borrowing rates currently available for bank loans with similar terms and average maturities, the fair value of our consolidated long-term debt, excluding revolving credit agreements, was \$2,691.3 million at June 30, 2011. The total book value of our consolidated long-term debt, excluding revolving credit agreements, was \$2,443.7 million at June 30, 2011. We performed an analysis calculating the impact of changes in interest rates on the fair value of long-term debt, excluding revolving credit agreements, at June 30, 2011. An increase in interest rates of 10% (from 7.0% to 7.7%, for example) at June 30, 2011 would decrease the fair value of debt by \$79.7 million, and a decrease in interest rates of 10% at June 30, 2011 would increase the fair value of debt by \$85.9 million at that date.

Revolving Credit Agreements

At June 30, 2011, we had a consolidated total of \$122.0 million outstanding under our revolving credit agreements, which are variable rate loans and fair value approximates book value. A 10% increase or decrease in borrowing rates under the revolving credit agreements compared to the weighted average rates in effect at June 30, 2011 would increase or decrease the total interest expense by \$0.2 million, respectively, for an annual period on a constant borrowing level of \$122.0 million.

Other

As described in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, we are subject to commodity price risk from market price fluctuations, and to credit risk primarily with Detroit Edison, Consumers Energy and IP&L, our primary customers. There has been no material changes in these risks during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that material information required to be disclosed in our reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such

Table of Contents

information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required financial disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that a control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, with a company have been detected.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 of the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective, at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended June 30, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

See Note 12 to the condensed consolidated financial statements under **Complaint of IP&L** for a description of developments in that case.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Other than as set forth below, there have been no material changes to the risk factors set forth in Item 1A of our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

On November 18, 2008, IP&L filed a complaint with the FERC against ITC Midwest under Section 206 of the Federal Power Act. On April 16, 2009, the FERC dismissed the IP&L complaint, citing that IP&L failed to meet its burden as the complainant to establish that the current rate is unjust and unreasonable and to establish that IP&L's alternative rate proposal is just and reasonable. IP&L and other parties subsequently filed for rehearing. On May 19, 2011, FERC denied the requests for rehearing and motion to reopen the record, and as a result, this matter is now closed. Due to these and other factual developments affecting the relevant risk factor, we are amending and restating the relevant risk factor set forth in Item 1A of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 to read as follows:

Certain elements of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' cost recovery through rates can be challenged, which could result in lowered rates and/or refunds of amounts previously collected and thus have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. We have also made certain commitments to federal and state regulators with respect to, among other things, our rates in connection with recent acquisitions (including ITC Midwest's acquisition of IP&L's electric transmission assets) that could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries provide transmission service under rates regulated by the FERC. The FERC has approved the cost-based formula rate templates used by our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries, but it has not expressly approved the amount of actual capital and operating expenditures to be used in the formula rates. All aspects of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' rates approved by the FERC, including the formula rate templates, ITCTransmission's, METC's, ITC Midwest's and ITC Great Plains' respective allowed 13.88%, 13.38%, 12.38% and 12.16% rates of return on the actual equity portion of their respective capital structures, and the data inputs provided by our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries for calculation of each year's rate, are subject to challenge by interested parties at the FERC in a proceeding under Section 206 of the FPA. If a challenger can establish that any of these aspects are unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory or preferential, then the FERC will make appropriate prospective adjustments to them and/or disallow any of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' inclusion of those aspects in the rate setting formula. This could result in lowered rates and/or refunds of amounts collected after the date that a Section 206 challenge is filed.

Table of Contents

The FERC's order approving our acquisition of METC was conditioned upon ITC Transmission and METC not recovering merger-related costs in their rates, as described in the order, unless a separate informational filing is submitted to the FERC. The informational filing, which could be challenged by interested parties, would need to identify those costs and show that such costs are outweighed by the benefits of the acquisition. Determinations by ITC Transmission or METC that expenses included in their formula rate template for recovery are not acquisition related costs are also subject to challenge by interested parties at the FERC. If challenged at the FERC and ITC Transmission or METC fail to show that costs included for recovery are not merger-related, this also could result in lowered rates and/or refunds of amounts collected. We have not sought recovery of merger-related costs at ITC Transmission or METC.

Under the FERC's order approving ITC Midwest's asset acquisition, ITC Midwest agreed to a hold harmless commitment in which no acquisition premium will be recovered in rates, nor will ITC Midwest recover through transmission rates any transaction-related costs that exceed demonstrated transaction-related savings for a period of five years. If during the five year period ITC Midwest seeks to recover transaction-related costs through its formula rate, ITC Midwest must make an informational filing at the FERC that identifies the transaction-related costs sought to be recovered and demonstrates that those costs are exceeded by transaction-related savings. If challenged at the FERC and ITC Midwest fails to show that transaction-related costs included for recovery do not exceed transaction-related savings, ITC Midwest could be subject to lowered rates and/or refunds of amounts previously collected. Additionally, in Iowa and Minnesota, as part of the regulatory approval process, ITC Midwest committed not to recover the first \$15.0 million in transaction-related costs under any circumstances. We have not sought recovery of transaction-related costs at ITC Midwest.

In the Minnesota regulatory proceeding, ITC Midwest also agreed to build two transmission projects intended to improve the reliability and efficiency of our electric transmission system. Specifically, ITC Midwest made commitments to use commercially reasonable best efforts to complete these projects prior to December 31, 2009 and 2011, respectively. In the event ITC Midwest is found to have failed to meet these commitments, the allowed 12.38% rate of return on the actual equity portion of ITC Midwest's capital structure would be reduced to 10.39% until such time as ITC Midwest completes these projects, and ITC Midwest would refund with interest any amounts collected since the close date of the transaction that exceeded what would have been collected if the 10.39% return on equity had been used. The project that was required to be completed prior to December 31, 2009 was completed by that deadline. With respect to the second project, the 345 kV Salem-Hazelton line, certain regulatory approvals were needed from the IUB before the project could commence. In the second quarter of 2011, the IUB granted the necessary regulatory approvals. Given the timing of receipt of these regulatory approvals, we do not expect the project to be completed by December 31, 2011. While we believe we have used commercially reasonable best efforts to meet the deadline, any of the events described above could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALE OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table sets forth the repurchases of common stock for the quarter ended June 30, 2011:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan or Program(2)	Maximum Number or Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(2)

Edgar Filing: ITC Holdings Corp. - Form 10-Q

April 2011	692	\$	69.55
May 2011			
June 2011			
Total	692	\$	69.55

(1) Shares acquired were delivered to us by employees as payment of tax withholding obligations due to us upon the vesting of restricted stock.

(2) We do not have a publicly announced share repurchase plan.

31

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are filed as part of this report (unless otherwise noted to be previously filed, and therefore incorporated herein by reference). Our SEC file number is 001-32576.

Exhibit No.	Description of Document
4.28	Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 15, 2008, between ITC Midwest LLC and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A.), as trustee
4.29	Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 15, 2011, between ITC Midwest LLC and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A.), as trustee
10.94	Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of May 17, 2011, among ITC Holdings Corp., as the borrower, various financial institutions and other persons from time to time parties hereto, as the lenders, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and Barclays Capital, as joint lead arrangers and joint bookrunners, and Barclays Capital, as syndication agent (filed with Registrant's Form 8-K on May 19, 2011)
10.95	Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of May 17, 2011, among International Transmission Company, as the borrower, various financial institutions and other persons from time to time parties hereto, as the lenders, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and Barclays Capital, as joint lead arrangers and joint bookrunners, and Barclays Capital, as syndication agent (filed with Registrant's Form 8-K on May 19, 2011)
10.96	Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of May 17, 2011, among Michigan Electric Transmission Company, LLC, as the borrower, various financial institutions and other persons from time to time parties hereto, as the lenders, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and Barclays Capital, as joint lead arrangers and joint bookrunners, and Barclays Capital, as syndication agent (filed with Registrant's Form 8-K on May 19, 2011)
10.97	Second Amended and Restated 2006 Long Term Incentive Plan effective May 26, 2011 (filed with Registrant's Form 8-K on June 1, 2011)
10.98	ITC Holdings Corp. Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended and restated May 26, 2011 (filed with Registrant's Form 8-K on June 1, 2011)
10.99	Sales Agency Financing Agreement, dated July 27, 2011, between Registrant and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. (filed with Registrant's Form 8-K filed on July 27, 2011)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101.INS* XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema

101.CAL* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase

101.DEF* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Database

101.LAB* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase

101.PRE* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

* XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed herewith, is not a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: July 28, 2011

ITC HOLDINGS CORP.

By: /s/ Joseph L. Welch
Joseph L. Welch
President and Chief Executive Officer
(principal executive officer)

By: /s/ Cameron M. Bready
Cameron M. Bready
Executive Vice President, Treasurer and
Chief Financial Officer
(principal financial officer and
principal accounting officer)