UNITED FIRE & CASUALTY CO Form 10-K405 March 05, 2002 **Table of Contents**

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

X Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from to

Commission File Number 2-39621

UNITED FIRE & CASUALTY COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Iowa (State of Incorporation)

118 Second Avenue, S.E. Cedar Rapids, Iowa (Address of principal executive offices)

42-0644327 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

> 52407-3909 (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (319) 399-5700

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES X NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of the Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. Х

As of February 1, 2002, 10,036,819 shares of common stock were outstanding. The aggregate market value of voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of February 1, 2002, was approximately \$187,166,190.

FORM 10-K TABLE OF CONTENTS

| PART I: | | |
|-----------|---|----|
| Item 1. | Business | 1 |
| Item 2. | Properties | 7 |
| Item 3. | Legal Proceedings | 7 |
| Item 4. | Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 7 |
| PART II: | | |
| Item 5. | Market for Registrant s Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters | 8 |
| Item 6. | Selected Financial Data | 8 |
| Item 7. | Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 9 |
| Item 7A. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 17 |
| Item 8. | Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 19 |
| Item 9. | Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 49 |
| PART III: | | |
| Item 10. | Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant | 49 |
| Item 11. | Executive Compensation | 51 |
| Item 12. | Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management | 55 |
| Item 13. | Certain Relationships and Related Transactions | 56 |
| PART IV: | | |
| Item 14. | Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K | 57 |
| | Signatures | 58 |
| | | |

PART I.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

The terms United Fire, we, us, or our refer to United Fire & Casualty Company or United Fire & Casualty Company and its consolidated subsidiaries and affiliate, as the context requires. We are engaged in the business of writing property and casualty insurance and life insurance. We are an Iowa corporation incorporated in January 1946. Our principal executive office is located at 118 Second Avenue SE, P.O. Box 73909, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52407-3909. Telephone: 319-399-5700.

Our property and casualty segment includes the following companies:

Addison Insurance Company, an Illinois property and casualty insurer; Lafayette Insurance Company, a Louisiana property and casualty insurer; and American Indemnity Financial Corporation, a Delaware holding company, all of which are wholly owned by United Fire & Casualty Company.

American Indemnity Financial Corporation owns substantially all of American Indemnity Company, a Texas property and casualty insurer. American Indemnity Company has two wholly owned insurance subsidiaries, Texas General Indemnity Company, a Colorado property and casualty insurer, and United Fire & Indemnity Company, a Texas property and casualty insurer. United Fire Lloyds, a Texas property and casualty insurer, is an affiliate of and operationally and financially controlled by American Indemnity Company.

Addison Insurance Company is the sole owner of Addison Insurance Agency, an Illinois general agency.

Lafayette Insurance Company is the sole owner of Insurance Brokers & Managers, Inc., a Louisiana general agency.

Our life insurance segment consists of United Life Insurance Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of United Fire & Casualty Company.

A table reflecting premiums, operating results and assets attributable to our segments is included in Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. As of December 31, 2001, we employed 719 full-time employees.

MARKETING

We market our products principally through our home office in Cedar Rapids, Iowa and in four regional locations: Westminster, Colorado, a suburb of Denver; Lincoln, Nebraska; New Orleans, Louisiana; and Galveston, Texas.

We are licensed as a property and casualty insurer in 40 states, primarily in the Midwest, West and South. Approximately 1,220 independent agencies represent United Fire and our property and casualty subsidiaries. Our life insurance subsidiary is licensed in 25 states, primarily in the Midwest and West, and is represented by approximately 1,470 independent agencies.

Our regional offices are staffed with underwriting, claims and marketing representatives and administrative technicians, all of whom provide support and assistance to the independent agencies. Also, home office staff technicians and specialists provide support to the subsidiaries, regional offices and independent agencies. We use management reports to monitor subsidiary and regional offices for overall results and conformity to our policy.

We compete in the United States property and casualty insurance market with more than 3,400 other insurers. The industry is highly competitive, with insurers competing on the basis of service, price and coverage. Because we rely heavily on independent agencies, we utilize a profit-sharing plan as an incentive to place high-quality property and casualty business with us. In 2002, we estimate property and casualty agencies will receive profit-sharing commissions of \$8,423,000 based on business the agencies did in 2001.

Our life insurance segment also operates in a highly competitive industry. We encounter significant competition in all lines of business from other life insurance companies and from other providers of financial services. The life segment utilizes competitive commission rates and other sales incentives to attract and maintain its relationship with independent agencies.

To enhance our ability to compete, we utilize technology in a variety of ways to assist our agents and improve the delivery of service to our policyholders. For example, on our public access Web site that provides general company and product information, we provide a section accessible exclusively to our agents where they can receive quotes, report claims on-line, make online applications and receive policy approval.

Our agents can also use the agent-only portion of our Web site to access detailed information about our products, order sales literature, and download applications, questionnaires and other forms. Our life agents can view the status of clients' applications and access detailed information on our annuity, universal life, term life and whole life policies. We electronically scan and store our documents, allowing them to be easily retrieved and viewed by multiple users simultaneously. Additionally, for our policyholders, we provide secure online access to their account information. We believe our investment in technology allows us to provide enhanced service to our agents and policyholders.

In 2001, direct premium writings on a statutory basis by state were as follows.

| | | Property and Casualty Insurance Segment | | and Health Segment, nuities (1) |
|--------------|------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| | Amount | Percent of Total | Amount | Percent of Total |
| | | (Dollars in Tho | usands) | |
| Alabama | \$ 4,190 | 1.0% | | |
| Arkansas | 8,031 | 2.1 | | |
| California | 7,404 | 2.0 | | |
| Colorado | 27,141 | 7.2 | \$ 5,147 | 2.6% |
| Florida | 7,753 | 2.1 | | |
| Idaho | 2,714 | 0.7 | | |
| Illinois | 29,888 | 8.0 | 13,195 | 6.7 |
| Indiana | 3,636 | 1.0 | | |
| Iowa | 50,807 | 13.5 | 82,904 | 42.0 |
| Kansas | 15,851 | 4.2 | 6,971 | 3.5 |
| Louisiana | 43,599 | 11.6 | | |
| Michigan | 2,151 | 0.6 | 5,941 | 3.0 |
| Minnesota | 21,419 | 5.7 | 19,992 | 10.1 |
| Mississippi | 8,751 | 2.3 | | |
| Missouri | 31,939 | 8.5 | 5,486 | 2.8 |
| Nebraska | 17,558 | 4.7 | 11,632 | 5.9 |
| Oklahoma | | | 6,971 | 3.4 |
| New Mexico | 1,361 | 0.4 | | |
| North Dakota | 4,260 | 1.1 | 9,988 | 5.1 |
| South Dakota | 11,609 | 3.1 | 3,353 | 1.7 |
| Texas | 52,489 | 14.2 | 6,151 | 3.1 |
| Utah | 2,785 | 0.7 | | |
| Wisconsin | 12,206 | 3.2 | 15,118 | 7.7 |
| Wyoming | 4,534 | 1.2 | 1,167 | 0.6 |
| Other | 3,521 | 0.9 | 3,600 | 1.8 |
| | \$ 375,597 | 100.0% | \$ 197,616 | 100.0% |
| | | | , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | |

(1) Under statutory accounting principles, deposits from policyholders for universal life and annuity products are recognized as premiums when they are collected. Under generally accepted accounting principles, the deposits are reflected as a liability with the profits earned over the lives of the contracts.

PRODUCTS

Property and casualty insurance segment

We write both commercial and personal lines of property and casualty insurance. We focus on our commercial lines, which represented approximately 82 percent of our direct property and casualty premiums written for the year ended December 31, 2001. Our primary commercial lines are tailored business packages that include the following coverages: fire and allied lines, automobile, workers compensation and fidelity and surety. We also write multiple peril, inland marine and specialty lines for our commercial policyholders.

Our personal lines, which represented approximately 18 percent of our direct property and casualty premiums written for the year ended December 31, 2001, primarily consist of automobile and fire and allied lines coverage. Additionally, we write policies covering recreational vehicles and watercraft.

The table on the following page shows the apportionment of our property and casualty direct premiums written by major category.

Table of Contents

| Years Ended December 31 | cember 31 2001 | | 2000 | | 1999 | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|-------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|---------------------|--|
| | Percent of Total | | | Percent f Total | | Percent of Total | |
| | | | (Dollars in The | ousands) | | | |
| Commercial lines: | | | | | | | |
| Fire and allied lines (1) | \$ 104,370 | 27.8% | \$ 83,846 | 26.0% | \$ 55,911 | 22.8% | |
| Other liability (2) | 77,525 | 20.6 | 64,962 | 20.2 | 47,142 | 19.2 | |
| Automobile | 70,788 | 18.9 | 59,620 | 18.5 | 39,188 | 16.0 | |
| Workers compensation | 29,528 | 7.9 | 27,755 | 8.6 | 21,710 | 8.9 | |
| Fidelity and surety | 25,146 | 6.7 | 20,776 | 6.4 | 19,751 | 8.1 | |
| Miscellaneous | 845 | 0.2 | 682 | 0.2 | 488 | 0.2 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total commercial lines | \$ 308,202 | 82.1% | \$ 257,641 | 79.9% | \$ 184,190 | 75.2% | |
| | | _ | | | | _ | |
| Personal lines: | | | | | | | |
| Automobile | \$ 36,056 | 9.6% | \$ 32,906 | 10.2% | \$ 30,013 | 12.3% | |
| Fire and allied lines (3) | 30,576 | 8.1 | 30,893 | 9.6 | 29,881 | 12.2 | |
| Miscellaneous | 763 | 0.2 | 838 | 0.3 | 789 | 0.3 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total personal lines | \$ 67,395 | 17.9% | \$ 64,637 | 20.1% | \$ 60,683 | 24.8% | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 375,597 | | \$ 322,278 | | \$ 244,873 | | |
| | | | | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Fire and allied lines includes fire, allied lines, commercial multiple peril and inland marine.

The following table shows loss ratios, expense ratios and combined ratios for the periods indicated for us and for the property and casualty industry. The ratios have been prepared on a statutory basis. The industry figures, determined on a statutory basis, in the following table were obtained from A.M. Best Company.

| Years Ended December 31 | 2001 | | 2000 | | 1999 | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | Industry (1) | | Industry | | Industry |
| Loss ratio Expense ratio (2) | 74.4% 30.3 | 90.1% 26.9 | 74.2% 31.9 | 81.2% 28.9 | 75.6% 33.6 | 78.6% 29.2 |
| Combined ratio | 104.7% | 117.0% | 106.1% | 110.1% | 109.2% | 107.8% |

(1) A.M. Best Company estimate.

(2) Adjusted for policyholder dividends.

⁽²⁾ Other liability is business insurance covering bodily injury and property damage arising from general business operations, accidents on the insured s premises and products manufactured or sold.

⁽³⁾ Fire and allied lines includes fire, allied lines, homeowners and inland marine.

Life insurance segment

United Life Insurance Company underwrites all of our life insurance business. Our principal life insurance products are single premium annuities and universal life products. For the year ended December 31, 2001, single premium annuities accounted for approximately 83 percent of our life insurance premium revenues determined on the basis of statutory accounting principles and universal life products accounted for approximately 7 percent of that revenue. Under statutory accounting principles, deposits for policyholders for universal life and annuity products are recognized as premiums when they are collected. Under generally accepted accounting principles, the deposits are earned over the life of the contracts. We also underwrite and market single premium whole life insurance, term life insurance, credit life insurance and disability insurance products. Additionally, we offer an individual disability income rider that may be attached to our life insurance products.

Total life insurance in force, before reinsurance, is \$4,066,238,000 as of December 31, 2001. Universal life insurance represents 45 percent of insurance in force at December 31, 2001, compared to 47 percent at December 31, 2000.

REINSURANCE

Property and casualty insurance segment

Our property and casualty segment follows the industry practice of reinsuring a portion of its exposure by ceding to reinsurers a portion of the premium received and a portion of the risk under the policies reinsured. Reinsurance is purchased to reduce the net liability on individual risks to predetermined limits and to protect against catastrophic losses from a single catastrophe, such as a hurricane or tornado. Catastrophe protection is purchased on both direct and assumed business.

We use many reinsurers, both domestic and foreign; this helps us to avoid concentrations of credit risk associated with our reinsurance. Our principal reinsurers include Employers Reinsurance Corporation, AXA Reassurance, Continental Casualty Company, Hanover Re and Partner Reinsurance Company of the U.S.

Because catastrophe losses are by their nature unpredictable, the frequency and severity of catastrophic losses experienced in any year could potentially be material to our results of operations and financial position. Typical catastrophes experienced by our policyholders include windstorms, hailstorms, tornados and hurricanes. Other catastrophes include earthquakes, wildfires and terrorist acts. The severity of a particular catastrophe for us is a function of various factors, including how many policies we have written in the area of the catastrophe and the severity of the event. We continually assess and improve how we manage our exposure to catastrophe losses; we do this through individual risk selection, by limiting the concentration of insurance written in certain areas and through the purchase of catastrophe reinsurance.

Historically, we have acted as a reinsurer, assuming both property and casualty reinsurance from other insurance or reinsurance companies. Most of the business we have assumed is property reinsurance with an emphasis on catastrophe coverage. During the second quarter of 2000, we began to significantly reduce our assumed reinsurance business. Most of our reinsurance business expired on or before December 31, 2000. We have reduced our assumed business by limiting our reinsurance contracts to a very limited number of brokers. We will continue to have exposure related to the assumed reinsurance contracts that we have elected to continue to write.

Our property and casualty insurance segment limits the direct risk that it retains by reinsuring direct risks in excess of our retention limits. For our property and casualty lines of business, our retention for 2001 was \$1,000,000, which means we have reinsurance for any single claim over \$1,000,000. Our loss retention was \$1,000,000 for losses that pertain to years 1995 through 2001 and \$750,000 or less for losses that pertain to years prior to 1995. We also have reinsurance that limits the total loss we may incur from a single catastrophe. Currently, the total loss we may incur from a single catastrophe, after reinsurance, is \$5,000,000 for years 1993 through 2001.

The ceding of reinsurance does not legally discharge us from primary liability under our policies, and we must pay the loss if the reinsurer fails to meet its obligation. We monitor the financial condition of our reinsurers. At December 31, 2001 and 2000 there are no uncollectable reinsurance balances that would result in a material impact on our financial statements. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and industry practice, we account for insurance written and losses incurred net of reinsurance ceded.

The table below sets forth the aggregate direct and assumed premiums written, ceded reinsurance and net premiums written for the three years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999.

Years ended December 31

| | Percent | | Percent | | Percent |
|------|----------|------|----------|------|----------|
| 2001 | of total | 2000 | of total | 1999 | of total |
| | | | | | |

(Dollars in Thousands)

| Fire and allied lines (1) | \$ 134,275 | 36.7% | \$116,429 | 35.8% | \$ 87,594 | 34.5% |
|---|------------|--------|------------|--------|------------|--------|
| Automobile | 106,863 | 29.2 | 90,747 | 27.9 | 69,557 | 27.4 |
| Other liability (2) | 78,288 | 21.4 | 65,801 | 20.2 | 48,157 | 18.9 |
| Workers compensation | 30,662 | 8.4 | 28,385 | 8.7 | 22,192 | 8.7 |
| Fidelity and surety | 25,146 | 6.9 | 20,776 | 6.4 | 19,751 | 7.8 |
| Reinsurance assumed | 14,021 | 3.8 | 24,179 | 7.4 | 29,950 | 11.8 |
| Miscellaneous | 2,050 | 0.6 | 1,483 | 0.5 | 1,044 | 0.4 |
| | | | | | | |
| Aggregate direct and assumed premiums written | \$ 391,305 | 107.0% | \$ 347,800 | 106.9% | \$ 278,245 | 109.5% |
| Reinsurance ceded | 25,167 | 7.0 | 22,748 | 6.9 | 24,031 | 9.5 |
| | | | | | | |
| Net premiums written | \$ 366,138 | 100.0% | \$ 325,052 | 100.0% | \$ 254,214 | 100.0% |
| | | | | | | |

(1) Fire and allied lines in this table includes fire, allied lines, homeowners, commercial multiple peril and inland marine.

⁽²⁾ Other liability is business insurance covering bodily injury and property damage arising from general business operations, accidents on the insured s premises and products manufactured or sold.

Life insurance segment

United Life Insurance Company follows the industry practice of reinsuring a portion of its exposure by ceding to reinsurers a portion of the premium received and risk under the policies reinsured. Reinsurance is purchased to reduce the net liability on individual risks. United Life Insurance Company's maximum retention is \$200,000 per life, and it reinsures the remaining liability.

The ceding of reinsurance does not legally discharge United Life Insurance Company from primary liability under its policies. United Life Insurance Company must pay the loss if the reinsurer fails to meet its obligations. United Life Insurance Company's primary reinsurance companies are ERC Reinsurance Company, RGA Reinsurance Company and Business Men's Assurance Company of America. These companies insure both life and disability risks.

At December 31, 2001 and 2000, there are no uncollectable reinsurance balances that would result in a material impact on our financial statements.

RESERVES

Property and casualty insurance segment

We and our property and casualty subsidiaries are required by applicable insurance laws to maintain reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses with respect to both reported and unreported losses. Loss reserves are estimates at a given time of the ultimate amount expected to be paid on losses that are, in fact, incurred. Reserves for loss adjustment expenses are intended to cover the actual cost of investigating losses and defending lawsuits arising from losses. These reserves are continuously revised based on historical analysis and management's expectations. Estimates of losses are based on facts and circumstances known when the estimates are made.

Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves have two components: reported reserves, which are reserves for reported losses, and reserves for incurred but not reported events. We estimate reserves for reported losses in one of two ways. For some classes of reported losses under \$5,000, reserves are set based upon a schedule determined by averaging similar claims paid over a 13-month period. All other reserves for reported losses are established on an individual case basis. Our claims personnel establish reported reserves based on a variety of factors, including the type of each claim, our knowledge of the circumstances surrounding each loss, the policy provisions relating to the type of loss, trends in the legal system and other factors.

For incurred but not reported losses, we estimate the amount of reserves for each line of business on the basis of historical and statistical information. We consider historical patterns of paid and reported claims, industry data and the probable number and nature of losses arising from occurrences which have not yet been reported.

The process of estimating loss reserves and loss adjustment expense reserves involves a considerable degree of judgment by our claims personnel. Because reserves are estimates of the amount expected to be paid based on facts and circumstances known at any given time, we continuously review our loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. During the claims settlement period, which may extend over a long period of time, our claims personnel may become aware of additional facts regarding claims and trends which cause us to refine and adjust our estimates of ultimate liability. Consequently, actual loss adjustment expenses may deviate from estimates reflected in our Consolidated Financial Statements. Such deviations may be significant.

We do not discount reserves based on the time value of money. We implicitly provide for inflation in the reserving process by reviewing cost trends and historical reserving results and projecting future economic conditions.

The following table shows the calendar year development of net loss and loss adjustment expense reserve liabilities and payments for us and our property and casualty subsidiaries for the years 1992 through 2001. The top line of the table shows the estimated liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses recorded at the end of each of the indicated years. This liability represents the estimated amount of losses and loss adjustment expenses for losses arising in all prior years that are unpaid at the end of each year, including losses that had been incurred but not yet reported, net of applicable ceded reinsurance. The upper portion of the table shows the re-estimated amount of the previously recorded liability based on experience as of the end of each succeeding year. The estimate is increased or decreased as more information becomes known about the losses for individual years. Conditions and trends that have affected development of the liability in the past may not necessarily occur in the future. Accordingly, it may not be appropriate to extrapolate future redundancies or deficiencies based on this table. The second half of the table displays cumulative losses paid and loss adjustment expenses paid for each of the years indicated on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles.

| | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | | (Dollars in | Thousands) | | | | |
| Net Liability for | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unpaid Losses and | | | | | | | | | | |
| LAE | \$ 158,825 | \$ 170,798 | \$ 180,653 | \$ 188,700 | \$ 209,876 | \$ 218,912 | \$ 243,006 | \$ 310,637 | \$ 320,506 | \$ 326,910 |
| Liability | | | | | | | | | | |
| re-estimated as of: | | | | | | | | | | |
| One year later | 154,572 | 153,691 | 160,776 | 159,571 | 176,332 | 192,297 | 213,047 | 273,706 | 273,469 | |
| Two years later | 148,507 | 142,572 | 172,546 | 145,486 | 169,348 | 185,700 | 233,325 | 261,217 | | |
| Three years later | 144,159 | 158,312 | 164,133 | 142,877 | 164,030 | 198,298 | 226,353 | | | |
| Four years later | 134,309 | 155,313 | 161,961 | 140,639 | 172,366 | 198,931 | | | | |
| Five years later | 132,075 | 154,849 | 162,424 | 147,412 | 176,411 | | | | | |
| Six years later | 132,747 | 157,005 | 169,472 | 152,134 | | | | | | |
| Seven years later | 135,559 | 161,898 | 172,807 | | | | | | | |
| Eight years later | 140,038 | 164,591 | | | | | | | | |
| Nine years later | 142,576 | | | | | | | | | |
| Redundancy | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Deficiency) | \$ 16,249 | \$ 6,207 | \$ 7,846 | \$ 36,566 | \$ 33,465 | \$ 19,981 | \$ 16,653 | \$ 49,420 | \$ 47,037 | |
| Cumulative amount | | | | | | | | | | |
| of liability paid | | | | | | | | | | |
| through: | | | | | | | | | | |
| One year later | \$ 54,291 | \$ 51.550 | \$ 80.246 | \$ 56,618 | \$ 61.694 | \$ 62.988 | \$ 71,251 | \$ 97.021 | \$ 110.516 | |
| Two years later | 84,074 | 102,637 | 109,281 | 83,071 | 93,599 | 97,142 | 123,965 | 154,886 | +, | |
| Three years later | 96,976 | 119,349 | 123,469 | 97,763 | 110,531 | 122,818 | 155,622 | | | |
| Four years later | 107,420 | 127,333 | 132,414 | 106,770 | 122,413 | 143,216 | , | | | |
| Five years later | 112,360 | 133,531 | 137,597 | 112,456 | 134,193 | ., | | | | |
| Six years later | 116,929 | 137,295 | 141,524 | 119,400 | ., | | | | | |
| Seven years later | 119,657 | 140,127 | 145,170 | | | | | | | |
| Eight years later | 121,861 | 143,080 | | | | | | | | |
| Nine years later | 124,071 | | | | | | | | | |

Years Ended December 31

We believe the reserves for our property and casualty segment at December 31, 2001 are appropriate. However, the determination of property and casualty insurance and reinsurance reserves, particularly those relating to liability lines, reflects significant judgment factors. If, during the course of our regular monitoring of reserves, we determine that coverages previously written were incurring higher than expected losses with respect to either reported losses or losses incurred but not yet reported, we would take action which could include increasing the related reserves. Any adjustments we make to reserves are reflected in operating results in the year in which we make those adjustments. As required by state law, we engage an independent actuary to render an opinion as to the adequacy of the statutory reserves we establish. The actuarial opinion is filed in those states where we are licensed. There are no material differences between our statutory loss reserves and those established under generally accepted accounting principles.

Life insurance segment

Reserves for the life insurance segment are based upon applicable Iowa insurance laws. Our life insurance subsidiary's reserves meet, or exceed, the minimum statutory requirements. The reserves reflected in our Consolidated Financial Statements are calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Reserves determined on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles are based upon our best estimates of mortality and morbidity, persistency, expenses and investment income. Reserves determined for statutory purposes are based upon mortality rates and interest rates specified by state law. All of our reserves are developed and analyzed annually by independent consulting actuaries.

INVESTMENTS

We must comply with state insurance laws that prescribe the kind, quality and concentration of investments that may be made by insurance companies. We determine the mix of our investment portfolio based upon these state laws, liquidity needs, tax position and general market conditions. We also consider the timing of our obligations, as cash must be available when obligations are due to be paid. We make modifications to our investment portfolio as the conditions listed above change. We manage internally all but a small portion of our investment portfolio.

Assets relating to the property and casualty segment are invested to meet liquidity needs and maximize after-tax returns with appropriate risk diversification. Assets relating to the life insurance segment are invested to meet liquidity needs, maximize the investment return and achieve a matching of assets and liabilities.

Investment results for the periods indicated are summarized in the following table.

| | Years Ended I | December 31 | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Average Invested Assets (1) | Investment Income, Net (2) | Annualized Yield on Average Invested Assets | |
| | (Dollars in T | 'housands) | |
| \$ 1,481,999 | \$ 98,909 | 6.7% | |
| 1,316,906 | 86,867 | 6.6 | |
| 1,157,414 | 75,317 | 6.5 | |

(1) Average of amounts at beginning and end of year.

(2) Investment income after deduction of investment expenses, but before applicable income tax. Realized gains and losses are excluded.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We own two buildings and related parking facilities in Cedar Rapids, Iowa, which we use as our home office. We occupy all of a five-story office building and the top seven floors of an eight-story office building in which the first floor is leased to tenants. The two buildings are connected by a skywalk. We also lease additional adjacent space in Cedar Rapids.

Lafayette Insurance Company owns and occupies a two-story building in New Orleans, Louisiana, which serves as its home office.

American Indemnity Company, a subsidiary of American Indemnity Financial Corporation, owns two adjacent and connected buildings in Galveston, Texas, which serve as its home office. One building is seven stories and the other is three stories. These buildings are occupied primarily by American Indemnity Company with a small amount of office space leased to tenants.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

All pending litigation of the registrant is considered to be ordinary, routine and incidental to its business.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

There were no matters submitted to a vote of the shareholders during the fourth quarter of 2001.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

United Fire s common stock is traded on the Nasdaq National Market System under the symbol UFCS. On February 1, 2002, there were 891 holders of record of United Fire common stock. The following table sets forth, for the calendar periods indicated, the high and low bid quotations for the common stock and cash dividends declared. These quotations reflect inter-dealer prices without retail markups, markdowns or commissions and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

Our policy has been to pay quarterly cash dividends, and we intend to continue that policy. The table set forth below shows the quarterly dividends paid in 2000 and 2001.

Payments of any future dividends and the amounts of such dividends, however, will depend upon factors such as net income, financial condition, capital requirements and general business conditions. We have paid dividends every quarter since March 1968.

State law permits the payment of dividends only from statutory accumulated earned profits arising from business. Furthermore, under Iowa law, we may pay dividends only if after giving effect to the payment, either we are able to pay our debts as they become due in the usual course of business or our total assets would be equal to or more than the sum of our total liabilities. Our subsidiaries are also subject to state law restrictions on dividends. See Note 8 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of these restrictions.

| | Share | Price | Cash |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|-----------------------|
| | High | Low | Dividends Declared |
| 2001 | | | |
| Quarter Ended | | | |
| March 31 | \$ 25.00 | \$ 19.25 | \$0.18 |
| June 30 | 34.51 | 19.50 | 0.18 |
| September 30 | 31.85 | 19.00 | 0.18 |
| December 31 | 31.42 | 24.58 | 0.18 |
| 2000 Quarter Ended | | | |
| March 31 | \$ 23.31 | \$ 17.38 | \$0.17 |
| | | | |
| June 30 | 19.69 | 15.50 | 0.18 |
| September 30 | 20.50 | 15.50 | 0.18 |
| December 31 | 20.63 | 16.19 | 0.18 |

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

| | Years Ended December 31 | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 | 1997 | | | | |
| | (Dollars in Thousands Except Per Share Data) | | | | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 1,851,839 | \$ 1,674,109 | \$ 1,467,716 | \$ 1,250,594 | \$ 1,157,922 | | | | |
| Operating revenues | | | | | | | | | |
| Net premiums earned | 372,019 | 333,365 | 273,051 | 245,727 | 244,939 | | | | |
| Investment income, net | 98,909 | 86,867 | 75,317 | 67,928 | 61,686 | | | | |
| Realized investment gains (losses) and other income | (84) | (1,825) | 2,936 | 22,796 | 2,676 | | | | |
| Commission and policy fee income | 2,108 | 2,172 | 1,912 | 1,815 | 1,829 | | | | |
| Net income | 24,093 | 15,527 | 15,384 | 23,677 | 28,732 | | | | |
| Basic and diluted earnings per common share | 2.40 | 1.55 | 1.53 | 2.28 | 2.68 | | | | |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | 0.72 | 0.71 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.63 | | | | |

The selected financial data herein has been derived from the financial statements of United Fire and its subsidiaries. The data should be read in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes .

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This discussion contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which are not historical facts and which involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expected and projected. Such forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about the industry in which we operate, management's beliefs, and assumptions made by management. Words such as "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "believes," "continues," "seeks," "estimates," "predicts," "should," "could," "may," "will continue," "might" and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Therefore, actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed in such forward-looking statements. Among the factors that could cause our actual outcomes and results to differ are the following: uncertainties with respect to loss reserving; the occurrence of catastrophic events or other insured or reinsured events with a frequency or severity exceeding our estimates; the actual amount of new and renewal business and demand for our products and services; the competitive environment in which we operate, including price, product and service competition; developments in domestic and global financial markets that could affect our investment portfolio and financing plans; estimates of the financial statement impact of regulatory actions; uncertainties relating to government and regulatory policies; legal developments; changing rates of inflation, interest rates and other economic conditions; the impact of mergers and acquisitions, including the ability to successfully integrate acquired businesses and achieve cost savings; a continuation of the global economic slowdown or a broad downturn in the economy in general; our relationship with our agencies; the valuation of invested assets; the recovery of deferred acquisition costs; or our relationship with our reinsurers. These are representative of the risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could cause actual outcomes and results to differ materially from what is expressed in forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of their dates. Except as required under the federal securities laws and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, we do not have any intention or obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001, COMPARED TO THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000

For the year ended December 31, 2001, our consolidated net operating income, which excludes net realized gains and losses on securities, was \$24,214,000, or \$2.41 per share, compared to \$16,713,000, or \$1.67 per share, for the year ended December 31, 2000. The results of our property and casualty segment improved, due primarily to an increase in premiums earned. Our life insurance segment also contributed to our earnings growth, as annuity deposits drove an increase in net investment income.

During the third quarter of 2001, we began a review of our exposure to the events of September 11, 2001. Because we do not write direct premiums in the eastern United States, we knew that we did not have any material direct exposure as a result of these events. However, we did have assumed reinsurance claims related to the terrorist attacks, resulting in after-tax charges in 2001 of \$4,479,000, or \$0.45 per share.

We recorded reserves for the September 11 events based upon the one event theory. If the insurance industry or the judicial system determines that the events of September 11 were multiple events, our reserves for the September 11 catastrophe could be inadequate, requiring us to increase these reserves.

The rates we pay for reinsurance increased on our reinsurance contracts that renewed on January 1, 2002, and those contracts now limit or exclude coverage for terrorist activities. We will utilize terrorist exclusions on our direct policies, as such exclusions are approved by state regulators. We expect price increases to occur in the property and casualty insurance industry due to the anticipated industry-wide increase in reinsurance rates, and we have already begun our own price increases.

On a consolidated basis, net income, which is net operating income plus net realized gains and losses on securities, was \$24,093,000, or \$2.40 per share in 2001, compared to \$15,527,000, or \$1.55 per share, in 2000. We recorded net realized after-tax losses of \$121,000 in 2001 and \$1,186,000 in 2000. In both years, other-than-temporary impairments on a small number of fixed income securities contributed to realized losses.

Property and Casualty Insurance Segment

Our property and casualty insurance segment recorded net income of \$15,559,000 in 2001, compared to net income of \$9,810,000 in 2000. Net premiums earned grew by \$39,311,000, or 13 percent, to \$346,582,000. Much of the net premium written growth was generated by premium rate increases throughout a majority of our lines of business. In 2001, Texas became our largest state in terms of direct premium volume, with direct premiums written of \$52,489,000. Iowa was our second largest state, with direct premiums written of \$50,807,000.

We utilize premiums collected to pay policyholder claims and expenses. As a measure of our underwriting profitability, we calculate a statutory combined ratio, which is the sum of two ratios, the loss ratio and the expense ratio. The loss ratio is calculated by dividing net losses and net loss adjustment expenses incurred by net premiums earned. The expense ratio is calculated by dividing underwriting expenses incurred by net premiums written, adjusting for policyholder dividends. Generally, if the statutory combined ratio is below 100 percent, an underwriting profit

exists; if the statutory combined ratio is above 100 percent, an underwriting loss is reported. In 2001, our statutory combined ratio was 104.7 percent, which compares favorably with the industry statutory combined ratio of 117.0 percent, as estimated by A.M. Best Company, a leading insurance industry rating agency and data provider. Our statutory combined ratio was 106.1 percent in 2000. After-tax charges in 2001 for catastrophes, including the September 11 events, were \$17,524,000, or \$1.75 per share, compared to \$15,778,000, or \$1.57 per share, in 2000. Without the effect of catastrophes, our statutory combined ratio was 97.0 percent in 2001 and 98.2 percent in 2000.

We calculated our statutory combined ratios using financial statements prepared according to statutory accounting principles. We are required to use statutory

accounting principles when preparing and reporting our financial results to the state insurance departments in those states where we are domiciled. Statutory accounting principles focus on policyholders surplus and solvency of an insurer and are generally more conservative than generally accepted accounting principles.

Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred represent actual payments made and changes in estimated future payments to be made, including expenses required to settle both reported and unreported losses. For reported losses, we establish reserves based upon policy provisions, accident facts, injury or damage exposure, trends in the legal system, historical results and other factors. For unreported losses, we establish reserves for each line of business based on the probable number and nature of losses, determined on the basis of historical and statistical information. Once we have established reserves, we closely monitor and adjust them as losses develop. We regularly review our reserve calculations and, as required by state law, we engage an independent actuary to render opinions as to the adequacy of the statutory reserves we establish. We file the actuarial opinions in those states where we are licensed. There are no material differences between our statutory reserves and those established under generally accepted accounting principles.

To establish loss and loss adjustment expense reserves, we make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses reported in our financial statements. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. The estimate of these reserves is subjective and complex and requires us to make estimates about the future payout of claims, which is inherently uncertain. When we establish and adjust reserves, we do so given our knowledge of the circumstances and claim facts. Historically, we have over-estimated our reserves for losses on an aggregate basis. We attribute this over-estimation to our diligent approach to reserving and our rigorous claims adjusting and settlement processes. To the extent that we have over- or under-estimated our loss and loss adjustment expense reserves, we adjust the reserves in the period the over- or under-estimated.

In 2001, our statutory loss ratio was 74.4 percent, compared to 74.2 percent in 2000. The pure loss ratio, which is net losses incurred without loss adjustment expenses incurred divided by net premiums earned, was 61.4 percent in 2001 and 59.9 percent in 2000. We use the pure loss ratio to measure our profitability by line and make pricing and underwriting decisions based upon these results. In the following table, we present the pure loss ratio for each of the last three years for each of our lines of business.

| | Ye | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|--------------------------|----------------|----|--------|--|
| | 2001 | | 2000 | | 1999 | |
| | | (Dollar | s in thousands | 5) | | |
| Fire and allied lines (1) | | | | | | |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 111,367 | \$ |) | \$ | , | |
| Net losses incurred | 80,914 | | 60,076 | | 40,176 | |
| Pure loss ratio | 72.79 | 70 | 62.0% | | 52.5% | |
| | | - | | - | | |
| Automobile | | | | | | |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 98.215 | \$ | 85,323 | \$ | 64,558 | |
| Net losses incurred | 60,220 | | 53,412 | | 44,824 | |
| Pure loss ratio | 61.39 | 70 | 62.6% | | 69.4% | |
| | | _ | | _ | | |
| Other liability (2) | | | | | | |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 68,434 | \$ | 57,720 | \$ | 38,922 | |
| Net losses incurred | 24,806 | | 18,667 | | 17,266 | |
| Pure loss ratio | 36.29 | % | 32.3% | | 44.4% | |
| | | _ | | - | | |
| Workers compensation | | | | | | |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 29,475 | \$ | 25,858 | \$ | 20,524 | |
| Net losses incurred | 21,338 | | 12,567 | | 15,119 | |
| Pure loss ratio | 72.49 | % | 48.6% | | 73.7% | |
| | | _ | | - | | |
| Fidelity and surety | | | | | | |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 20,481 | \$ | 18,087 | \$ | 18,129 | |
| Net losses incurred | 2,879 | | 2,138 | | 387 | |
| Pure loss ratio | 14.19 | % | 11.8% | | 2.1% | |
| | | _ | | | | |

| Reinsurance | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|---------|------------|------------|
| Net premiums earned | \$ | 17,504 | \$ 22,539 | \$ 27,739 |
| Net losses incurred | | 22,291 | 36,547 | 34,003 |
| Pure loss ratio | | 127.3% | 162.2% | 122.6% |
| | <u> </u> | | | |
| Miscellaneous | | | | |
| Net premiums earned | \$ | 1,106 | \$ 850 | \$ 625 |
| Net losses incurred | | 449 | 712 | 66 |
| Pure loss ratio | | 40.6% | 83.8% | 10.6% |
| | — | | | |
| Total property and casualty | | | | |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 1 | 346,582 | \$ 307,271 | \$ 247,054 |
| Net losses incurred | | 212,897 | 184,119 | 151,841 |
| Pure loss ratio | | 61.4% | 59.9% | 61.5% |
| | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Fire and allied lines in this table includes fire, allied lines, homeowners, commercial multiple peril and inland marine.

⁽²⁾ Other liability is business insurance covering bodily injury and property damage arising from general business operations, accidents on the insured s premises and products manufactured or sold.

¹⁰

Improvement in our commercial automobile business more than offset deterioration in our personal automobile business. In our commercial automobile business, we have imposed stricter underwriting guidelines and aggressively pursued rate increases. We also continue to increase rates and tighten eligibilities for our personal automobile business.

Our reinsurance line of business improved in 2001 with a pure loss ratio of 127.3 percent, compared to 162.2 percent in 2000. The impact from the September 11 events is included in the 2001 results. While reserves related to the September 11 events increased the pure loss ratio in this line of business, a decrease in assumed loss reserves partially offset these charges. We decreased assumed loss reserves due to our reduced number of contracts and exposure in assumed reinsurance business. We continue to have exposure, primarily catastrophe coverages, related to assumed reinsurance contracts written prior to 2001. We believe that as of December 31, 2001 our loss reserves established for the assumed reinsurance business are appropriate. We anticipate that we will decrease the assumed loss reserves each year as the non-renewed assumed reinsurance contracts are further into the run-off period.

Other liability insurance covers businesses for liability for bodily injury and property damage arising from general business operations, accidents on their premises and products manufactured or sold. Our pure loss ratio in the other liability line of business has been favorable when compared with our other lines of business. Our pure loss ratio was 36.2 percent in 2001 and 32.3 percent in 2000. Appropriate pricing, and restrictive underwriting guidelines have contributed to the favorable results in the other liability line of business.

The pure loss ratio deteriorated (increased) to 72.7 percent in 2001 compared to 62.0 percent in 2000 in the fire and allied lines business, which includes fire, allied lines, homeowners, commercial multiple peril and inland marine. In 2001, we experienced a greater number of fire losses than in 2000. In 2000, our homeowners business was negatively affected by a hailstorm that swept through the New Orleans area in January 2000.

In 2001, Texas homeowners claims related to mold increased. While mold is a potential problem in several states, Texas is at the forefront of mold insurance issues. Texas is unlike many states because it does not permit insurance companies to exclude mold coverage from homeowners policies. In response, some property and casualty insurers no longer write homeowners insurance in Texas. In 2001, we responded to the mold issue by implementing more stringent underwriting guidelines, new claims handling procedures and price increases. We also plan to implement any coverage reforms permitted by the Texas Department of Insurance that would enable us to reduce our exposure in Texas to claims related to mold. Due to the uncertainty of potential changes in Texas regulation, we cannot estimate our future probable liability for mold claims.

The pure loss ratio for our workers compensation line of business deteriorated to 72.4 percent in 2001, from 48.6 percent in 2000. Results in 2000 were unusually favorable because we settled many workers compensation cases favorably, which led to lower payments than were reserved. In 2001, the frequency and severity of the claims reported to us increased, and many of our workers compensation cases have not settled favorably. We carefully continue to underwrite this line of business and have further tightened our eligibility guidelines.

Our fidelity and surety bond business had some deterioration in 2001 when compared to 2000. The pure loss ratio was 14.1 percent in 2001 and 11.8 percent in 2000. This line continues to be our most profitable. However, for the past several years a soft surety insurance market and competitive pressures have contributed to depressed rates for this line of business. We have recently initiated rate increases and stricter underwriting guidelines to address the conditions in this line.

The second component of the statutory combined ratio is the expense ratio. In 2001, our expense ratio improved to 30.3 percent, compared to 31.9 percent in 2000. Through a consolidation of functions we have been able to reduce underwriting expenses incurred relative to premiums written.

Life Insurance Segment

Our life insurance segment s earnings are derived primarily from premium revenues plus investment results, including net realized gains and losses, reduced by interest credited, benefits to policyholders and expenses. In 2001, our life insurance segment recorded net income of \$8,534,000, compared to net income of \$5,717,000 in 2000. The results were driven in both years primarily by investment results. Net investment income earned in 2001 was \$70,682,000, compared to \$61,468,000 in 2000. Annuity deposits increased our life segment s investment portfolio, leading to higher investment earnings. In 2001 and 2000, a small number of fixed income securities held by the life segment were written down as a result of other-than-temporary declines in market value. These write-downs were the primary reason for net realized losses, after-tax, of \$1,346,000 recorded in 2001 and \$3,089,000 recorded in 2000.

After intercompany eliminations, net premiums earned by the life segment in 2001 totaled \$25,437,000, compared to \$26,094,000 in 2000. Annuity deposits collected are not reflected in net premiums earned. Rather, revenues for annuities consist of policy surrender charges and investment income earned. Annuity deposits are invested and recorded as liabilities for future policy benefits. In 2001, annuity deposits were \$163,115,000, compared to \$165,181,000 in 2000.

In 2001, we credited interest of \$48,213,000 to

annuity and universal life policyholder accounts, compared to \$42,410,000 in 2000. We establish our interest crediting rates based upon current market conditions and maintain a favorable spread because our average crediting rates on our policyholder account balances are less than the ratio of net investment income to average invested assets. We decreased interest crediting rates during 2001 for new deposits. The increase in our expense for interest on policyholders accounts for the year was primarily a result of the interest credited on existing account balances. We believe that annuity growth continues to be driven by our ability to distribute and service this product as well as by general market conditions during the current year, as private investors shift their focus from variable to non-variable annuity products.

Investment Results

Premium rate increases and new annuity deposits resulted in additional funds to be invested in 2001. This led to growth in our investment portfolio and resulted in an increase in net investment income earned during the year. In 2001, net investment income was \$98,909,000, compared to \$86,867,000 in 2000, an increase of 14 percent. More than 90 percent of our investment income originates from interest on fixed income securities. Our remaining investment income is derived from dividends on equity securities, interest on other long-term investments, interest on policy loans and rent earned from tenants in our home office. The investment yield, which is investment income divided by average invested assets, was 6.7 percent in 2001 and 6.6 percent in 2000.

Our accounting policy for impairment recognition requires other-than-temporary impairment charges to be recorded when we determine that we are unable to recover our cost basis in an investment. Impairment charges on investments are included in net realized gains and losses. Factors considered in evaluating whether a decline in value is other-than-temporary include: the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost; the financial conditions and near-term prospects of the issuer; and our intent and ability to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery.

In 2001 and 2000, we wrote down a small amount of holdings in our fixed income portfolio as a result of other-than-temporary declines in market value and recognized a net realized loss, before tax, of \$3,841,000 in 2001 and \$2,932,000 in 2000. We continue to review the other-than-temporarily impaired securities for appropriate valuation on an ongoing basis. Based on the existing status and condition of these securities, we do not currently anticipate additional losses, but continued credit deterioration of some securities is possible, which may result in further write-downs.

Although we do have loss exposure to below investment grade fixed income securities, we are committed to minimizing credit risks and maintaining a high quality portfolio. As of December 31, 2001, 89 percent of our fixed income securities were investment grade, as defined by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners Securities Valuation Office, and had ratings of Class 1 or Class 2.

The composition of our investment portfolio at December 31, 2001 is presented in the following table:

| | • | Property & Casualty Segment | | ment | Total | | |
|-----------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| | | Percent of Total | | Percent of Total | | Percent of Total | |
| | | | (Dollars in t | , | | | |
| Fixed income securities (1) | \$ 376,433 | 71.0% | \$ 1,007,797 | 97.0% | \$ 1,384,230 | 88.0% | |
| Equity securities | 104,715 | 20.0 | 5,642 | 1.0 | 110,357 | 7.0 | |
| Policy loans | | | 8,201 | 1.0 | 8,201 | 1.0 | |
| Short-term | 37,333 | 7.0 | 10,675 | 1.0 | 48,008 | 3.0 | |
| Other | 10,166 | 2.0 | | | 10,166 | 1.0 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 528,647 | 100.0% | \$ 1,032,315 | 100.0% | \$ 1,560,962 | 100.0% | |
| | | | | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Available-for-sale fixed income securities are carried at fair value, while held-to-maturity fixed income securities are carried at amortized cost.

Federal Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rate of 16 percent was less than the applicable federal tax rate of 35 percent due primarily to our portfolio of tax-deductible securities and a reduction in deferred tax liabilities.

In 2001, we eliminated a deferred tax liability of \$1,143,000 which we had established in connection with a Revenue Agent Review and other tax contingencies related to the 1999 purchase of American Indemnity Financial Corporation. The Revenue Agent Review has been settled, and we believe that the reserve for other tax contingencies is unnecessary at December 31, 2001. The effect of the elimination was a reduction of deferred tax liabilities and a reduction in federal income tax expense of \$1,143,000.

At December 31, 2001, we had \$26,605,000 of net operating loss carryforwards acquired in the purchase of American Indemnity Financial Corporation in August 1999. The utilization of these net operating losses is limited by the Internal Revenue Code. The net operating losses began to expire prior to our purchase of American Indemnity Financial Corporation and will continue to expire in various future years through 2019. Realization of the deferred tax asset associated with the net operating loss carryforwards is dependent on generating sufficient taxable income to utilize the net operating losses prior to their expiration.

Due to uncertainty of the realizable value of the deferred tax asset, we recorded a valuation allowance of \$8,934,000. The valuation allowance recorded on our deferred tax asset decreased \$2,436,000 from 2000, due primarily to the utilization of net operating loss carryforwards. In the future, if we can use the net operating losses acquired in the purchase of American Indemnity Financial Corporation, the related reduction in the valuation allowance will be recorded as a reduction to goodwill until goodwill has been eliminated, at which point the reduction in the allowance will reduce federal income tax expense.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000, COMPARED TO THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999

For the year ended December 31, 2000, net income was \$15,527,000, or \$1.55 per share, compared to \$15,384,000, or \$1.53 per share, for 1999. A hailstorm occurring in New Orleans on January 23, 2000 contributed \$3,829,000 of after-tax net losses to the 2000 results. Net operating income, which is after-tax net income, excluding realized investment gains (losses) and other income, improved in 2000 to \$16,713,000, or \$1.67 per share, from \$13,476,000, or \$1.34 per share, in the prior year. This improvement resulted primarily from increased premium revenue and a reduction of operating expenses due to the consolidation of certain of our functions. However, after-tax realized investment losses and other income of \$(1,186,000) in 2000, compared to after-tax realized investment gains and other income of \$1,908,000 in 1999, weakened net income for the year ended December 31, 2000. In August 1999, we acquired American Indemnity Financial Corporation, a holding company that owns four property and casualty insurance companies. The year 2000 results included in our Consolidated Financial Statements include twelve months of results of operations of American Indemnity Financial Corporation. The 1999 results include five months of results of operations.

Property and Casualty Insurance Segment

For the year 2000, our property and casualty segment recorded net income of \$9,810,000, compared to net income of \$6,062,000 for 1999. Despite the New Orleans hailstorm catastrophe, our property and casualty results improved in 2000 in several lines of business. The pure loss ratio decreased (showed improvement) in our automobile, other liability and workers compensation lines of business. Improvements in our underwriting function and a decrease in the severity of claims led to enhanced profitability in these lines.

Three lines of business deteriorated in 2000, compared to 1999:

A) Fire and allied lines business, with a pure loss ratio of 62.0 percent in 2000, compared to 52.5 percent in 1999, was negatively affected by the New Orleans hailstorm.

B) The fidelity and surety line of business had a pure loss ratio of 11.8 percent in 2000, compared to 2.1 percent in 1999. Despite this increase, our results in the fidelity and surety line were considerably better in 2000 than those reported for the fidelity and surety industry by A.M. Best Company. The 2000 pure loss ratio for the fidelity and surety industry was 31.3 percent. The continued growth of construction projects, coupled with shortages in the construction labor market, contributed to increased losses in these lines, for us as well as for the industry as a whole.

C) Our reinsurance line of business also deteriorated, with a pure loss ratio of 162.2 percent in 2000, compared to 122.6 percent in 1999. The bulk of the business we assumed was property reinsurance, with an emphasis on catastrophe coverage. In response to the tighter margins in this particular line, we decided to significantly reduce our writings in assumed reinsurance business. A majority of the business expired in 2000; however, we renewed certain of these contracts to write assumed reinsurance business with

a very limited number of brokers. We will continue to have exposure, primarily with respect to catastrophe coverage, related to the assumed reinsurance contracts that we previously wrote. We believe that as of December 31, 2000, the loss reserves established for the assumed reinsurance business were appropriate. The assumed reinsurance reserves will be adjusted as additional facts become known.

Net premiums, which are direct premiums plus assumed reinsurance less ceded reinsurance, written by the property and casualty segment increased by \$70,838,000 to \$325,052,000 between 2000 and 1999, due to price increases, new and renewal business and twelve months of business from American Indemnity Company. Net premiums written increased in every line of business, with the exception of reinsurance. The largest dollar growth in net premiums written was reported in fire and allied lines, which increased from \$77,270,000 in 1999 to \$103,385,000 in 2000. The largest percentage growth was in other liability, with a 43 percent increase in net premiums written, due in part to the stabilization of prices in the commercial lines of business.

In 2000, direct premiums written by the property and casualty segment increased \$77,405,000, or 32 percent, over 1999. Iowa was the segment s largest volume state, with direct premiums of \$44,533,000. In 2000, as a result of our acquisition of American Indemnity Financial Corporation, Texas became our third largest volume state, with direct premiums of \$40,596,000, compared to \$13,730,000 in 1999.

In 2000, the segment s statutory combined ratio was 106.1 percent compared to 109.2 percent in 1999. The improvement resulted from the growth in premiums and a lower expense ratio, due in part to the consolidation of functions and the closing of the branch offices of the American Indemnity group of companies. Catastrophes, including the New Orleans hailstorm, negatively affected the statutory combined ratio, adding 7.9 percent to the ratio in 2000 and 6.0 percent in 1999, and resulted in after-tax net incurred losses and expenses of \$15,778,000, or \$1.57 per share, in 2000, compared to \$9,561,000, or \$0.95 per share, in 1999.

Life Insurance Segment

Our life insurance segment reported net income of \$5,717,000 for 2000, compared to \$9,322,000 for 1999. During the third quarter of 2000, write-downs on two fixed income securities contributed significantly to the segment s realized investment gains (losses) and other income of \$(3,089,000), net of tax. Net premiums earned by the life segment after intercompany eliminations in 2000 totaled \$26,094,000, compared to \$25,997,000 in 1999. On a statutory basis, annuity deposits increased to \$165,181,000, compared to \$145,810,000 in 1999. Premium revenue reported on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles does not reflect annuity deposits. Revenues for annuities determined on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles consist of policy surrender charges and investment income earned.

Our life segment s largest expenditure is interest credited to annuities and universal life policies. In 2000, two primary factors, growth in new and existing account balances and higher interest rates, contributed to the increase in interest credited of \$42,410,000, which was a 31 percent increase from \$32,286,000 in 1999.

Investment Results

We reported net investment income of \$86,867,000 in 2000, compared to \$75,317,000 in 1999, primarily as a result of growth in our investment portfolio. The portfolio balance grew by \$124,761,000. Over 90 percent of our net investment income originated in 2000 from interest on fixed income securities. We derived the remaining amount from dividends on equity securities, interest on other long-term investments, interest on policy loans and rent earned from tenants in our home office. The investment yield, which is investment income divided by average invested assets, was 6.6 percent in 2000 and 6.5 percent in 1999.

Our realized investment gains (losses) and other income, after-tax, was \$(1,186,000) in 2000, compared to \$1,908,000 in 1999. Losses we recognized on the sale of securities held by the American Indemnity group of companies and two security write-downs were the major factors of the decline in 2000. We included as other income interest income of \$257,000 in 2000 and \$632,000 in 1999. This interest income related to a refund in connection with a federal income tax Revenue Agent Review for previous tax years.

Federal Income Taxes

Our provision for Federal income taxes was \$1,822,000 for 2000 and \$1,834,000 for 1999. Pre-tax income was very similar between the two years, as were the components of federal income tax expense. At December 31, 2000, we had \$29,709,000 of net operating loss carryforwards, the utilization of which is limited by the Internal Revenue Code. These net operating losses were acquired in the purchase of American Indemnity Financial Corporation in August 1999 and will expire in various future years through 2019. We recorded a net deferred tax liability of \$12,245,000 at December 31, 2000 and \$7,430,000 at December 31, 1999. The deferred tax liability increased primarily due to net unrealized appreciation on investment securities. We had a valuation allowance of \$11,370,000 as of December 31, 2000 related to American Indemnity Financial Corporation net operating losses. The valuation allowance

recorded on our deferred tax asset decreased \$3,769,000 between years, due primarily to the utilization of net operating loss carryforwards. If we determine that the benefit of the American Indemnity Financial Corporation net operating losses can be realized in the future, the related reduction in the deferred tax asset valuation allowance will be recorded as a reduction to goodwill.

Financial Condition

As of December 31, 2001, when compared to December 31, 2000, our assets increased 11 percent, our liabilities increased 11 percent and our stockholders equity increased 8 percent. Invested assets, primarily fixed income securities, increased \$157,927,000, or 11 percent, from 2000. Of this growth, \$18,314,000 was attributable to changes in the market prices of our securities classified as available-for-sale and other invested assets, both of which are reported at fair value. The unrealized appreciation from these investments is reported net of tax as a separate component of stockholders equity.

As of December 31, 2001, 89 percent of our fixed income securities were investment grade, as defined by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners Securities Valuation Office, and had ratings of Class 1 or Class 2. We are able to hold a majority of our fixed income securities to maturity, but we have moved toward an increased concentration of available-for-sale fixed income securities to take advantage of constantly changing market conditions. At December 31, 2001, \$1,142,614,000, or 83 percent, of our fixed income securities are classified as available-for-sale, compared to \$928,947,000, or 77 percent, at December 31, 2000. Our remaining fixed income securities are classified as held-to-maturity and are reported at amortized cost. We did not have securities classified as trading securities at December 31, 2001 or December 31, 2000.

We defer and capitalize, to the extent recoverable, commissions and other costs of underwriting insurance, which vary with and are primarily related to the production of our property and casualty lines of business. To attain a matching of revenue to expense, the deferred acquisition costs asset is amortized over the life of the insurance policies written. Growth in premiums written will typically result in an increase of the deferred acquisition costs asset. However, the deferred acquisition costs asset is limited by unprofitability in individual lines of business. Therefore, if a line of business is unprofitable, we are limited in the underwriting expenses, if any, that we may capitalize and amortize for that line of business. In addition, a premium deficiency will be recognized if the expected loss ratio for a line of business exceeds 100 percent. This deficiency is charged against unamortized deferred acquisition costs to the extent necessary to eliminate the deficiency.

Deferral of underwriting expenses involves the use of estimates and assumptions that effect the assets and expenses reported in our financial statements. Actual results could differ materially from our estimates. Although some variability is inherent in these estimates, we believe the deferred acquisition costs asset provided is appropriate. Our property and casualty insurance segment s deferred acquisition costs asset increased to \$29,313,000 at December 31, 2001, an increase of \$5,928,000, or 25 percent, from the deferred acquisition costs asset at December 31, 2000. The growth was attributable to the increase in premiums written and reduced premium deficiency in unprofitable lines of business.

Deferred policy acquisition costs related to traditional life insurance policies are amortized over the premium paying period of the related policies in proportion to the ratio of the present value of annual expected premium income to the present value of total expected premium income. Adjustments are made each year to recognize actual experience as compared to assumptions used for the current period.

Deferred policy acquisition costs related to investment contracts and universal life contracts sold by our life segment are deferred and amortized using the retrospective deposit method. Under the retrospective deposit method, acquisition costs are amortized in proportion to the present value of expected gross profits from investment, mortality and expense margins and surrender charges. Actual gross profits can vary from our estimates, resulting in increases or decreases in the rate of amortization. We periodically review these estimates and evaluate the recoverability of the deferred acquisition costs asset. When appropriate, we revise our assumptions on the estimated gross profits of these contracts and the cumulative amortization for the books of business are re-estimated and adjusted by a cumulative charge or credit to income.

One component of our life segment s estimate of the deferred acquisition costs asset related to universal life and annuity business is the impact of unrealized gains and losses resulting from certain available-for-sale securities in our investment portfolio. In 2001, the unrealized loss component of our life segment s deferred acquisition costs calculation contributed a decrease of \$10,253,000 in the reported deferred acquisition costs asset. This unrealized loss component of deferred acquisition costs was partially offset by an increase in the life segment s net deferred expenses of \$8,629,000.

Our life insurance segment s deferred acquisition costs asset decreased between December 31, 2000 and December 31, 2001 by \$1,624,000, or 2 percent. To date, our experience has generally been consistent or favorable to the assumptions used in determining

deferred acquisition costs amortization. However, if we were to experience a material adverse deviation in certain critical assumptions, including surrender rates, mortality experience, or investment performance, there could be a negative affect to our reported earnings and stockholders equity.

Cash flow and liquidity is primarily derived from operating cash flows. We invest premiums and annuity deposits in assets maturing at regular intervals in order to meet our obligations to pay policy benefits, claims and claim adjusting expenses. Net cash provided by our operating activities was \$24,612,000 through December 31, 2001, compared to \$42,543,000 through December 31, 2000. This variance is attributed to timing differences in the recognition of certain accrued expenses between years. We also have significant cash flows from sales and scheduled and unscheduled investment security maturities, redemptions and prepayments. These cash flows totaled \$205,739,000 in 2001 and \$168,615,000 in 2000. If our operating and investment cash flows are not sufficient to support our operations, we have short-term investments which we could utilize for that purpose. We may also borrow up to \$20 million on a bank line of credit.

Funds we have available for short-term cash needs are invested primarily in money market accounts and fixed income securities. At December 31, 2001, our consolidated invested assets included \$48,008,000 of short-term investments. We did not utilize our line of credit during 2001 or 2000. Under the terms of our credit agreement, interest on outstanding notes is payable at the lender s prevailing prime rate, minus 1 percent.

In order to support the continued growth of our operations, we are in the process of registering an offering of cumulative convertible, redeemable preferred stock. We expect to use a portion of the net proceeds to provide capital to our life subsidiary for general corporate purposes and to increase the amount of insurance business it can write. The exact amount of net proceeds we will provide to our life subsidiary may vary depending upon the life subsidiary's need for capital. We expect to use the balance of the net proceeds for general corporate purposes and to increase our ability to write property and casualty insurance.

Stockholders equity increased from \$257,429,000 at December 31, 2000 to \$278,988,000 at December 31, 2001, an increase of 8 percent. Increases to equity included net income of \$24,093,000 and net unrealized appreciation of \$5,048,000, after-tax. Stockholder dividends of \$7,225,000 decreased stockholders equity, as did a minimum pension liability of \$357,000. The minimum pension liability resulted from a decrease in the discount rate and a less than expected return on pension assets. Book value per share at December 31, 2001 was \$27.80, reflecting an 8 percent increase for the year. As of December 31, 2001, we had authorization granted by the board of directors to repurchase 89,210 shares of our common stock. In 2001, we repurchased 580 shares of our common stock, all of which were distributed to employees as awards. We did not retire any shares of our common stock in 2001.

Regulation

The insurance industry is governed by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners and individual state insurance departments. All of the insurance departments of the states in which we are domiciled have adopted the codification of insurance statutory accounting principles, effective January 1, 2001. Previously, these principles were prescribed in a variety of publications, as well as state laws, regulations and general administrative rules. The effect on our statutory financial statements as of January 1, 2001 was an increase to statutory policyholders surplus of \$10,300,000. This change does not affect our Consolidated Financial Statements, which are based on generally accepted accounting principles. Pursuant to codification rules, we may use permitted statutory accounting practices with approval from the insurance departments in our states of domicile; however we do not use any statutory permitted practices. The National Association of Insurance Commissioners annually calculates a number of financial ratios to assist state insurance regulators in monitoring the financial condition of insurance companies. A usual range of results for each ratio is used as a benchmark. Departure from the usual range on four or more of the ratios could lead to inquiries from individual state insurance departments as to certain aspects of a company s business. None of our insurance companies had four or more ratios outside of the usual range.

To comply with the National Association of Insurance Commissioners and state insurance departments solvency regulations, we are required to calculate a minimum capital requirement based on insurance risk factors. The risk-based capital results are used to identify companies that merit regulatory attention or the initiation of regulatory action. At December 31, 2001, both our life segment and our property and casualty segment had capital well in excess of the required levels. We are not aware of any other current recommendations by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners or other regulatory authorities in the states in which we conduct business that, if or when implemented, would have a material effect on our liquidity, capital resources or operations.

Subsequent Events

In February 2002, we notified our employees that we will be consolidating the operations of our branch office in Lincoln, Nebraska with our Cedar Rapids, Iowa home office. The consolidation will be completed by the end of the third quarter of 2002. We expect the consolidation will result in processing efficiencies and cost savings.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our main objectives in managing our investment portfolio are to maximize after-tax investment income and total investment returns. We develop our investment strategies based on a number of factors, including estimated duration of reserve liabilities, short and long-term liquidity needs, projected tax status, general economic conditions, expected rates of inflation and regulatory requirements. We manage our portfolio based on investment guidelines approved by our management.

Our investment portfolio is subject to market risk arising from potential changes in the value of the securities we hold in our portfolio. Market risk includes, interest rate risk, liquidity risk, foreign exchange risk, credit risk and equity price risk. Our primary market risk is exposure to interest rate risk. Interest rate risk is the price sensitivity of a fixed income security or portfolio to changes in interest rates. We also have limited exposure to equity price risk and foreign exchange risk.

The active management of market risk is integral to our operations. Potential changes in the value of our investment portfolio due to the market risk factors noted above are analyzed within the overall context of asset and liability management. A technique we use in the management of our investment and reserve portfolio is the calculation of duration. Our actuaries estimate the payout pattern of our reserve liabilities to determine their duration, which is the present value of the weighted average payments expressed in years. A target duration is then established for our investment portfolio so that at any given point in time the estimated cash flowing into the investment portfolio will match the estimated cash flowing out of the reserve portfolio. Our chief investment officer then structures the investment portfolio to meet the target duration to achieve the required cash flow based on liquidity and market risk factors.

Duration relates primarily to our life insurance segment because the long-term nature of the segment 's reserve liabilities increases the importance of projecting estimated cash flows over an extended time frame. At December 31, 2001, our life segment had \$749,899,000 in deferred annuity liabilities that are specifically allocated to fixed income securities. We manage the life segment investments by focusing on matching the duration of the investments to that of the deferred annuity obligations. The duration for the investment portfolio must take into consideration interest rate risk. This is accomplished through the use of sensitivity analysis, which measures the price sensitivity of the fixed income securities to changes in interest rates. The alternative valuations of the investment portfolio given the various hypothetical interest rate changes utilized by the sensitivity analysis allow management to revalue the potential cash flow from the investment portfolio under varying market interest rate scenarios. Duration can then be recalculated at the differing levels of projected cash inflows.

Amounts set forth in Table 1 detail the material impact of hypothetical interest rate changes on the fair value of certain core fixed income investments held at December 31, 2001. The sensitivity analysis measures the change in fair values arising from immediate changes in selected interest rate scenarios. We employed hypothetical parallel shifts in the yield curve of plus or minus 100 and 200 basis points in the simulations. Additionally, based upon the yield curve shifts, we employ in the simulation estimates of prepayment speeds for mortgage related products and the likelihood of call or put options being exercised. According to this analysis, at current levels of interest rates, the duration of the investments supporting the deferred annuity liabilities is 0.37 years shorter than the projected duration of the liabilities. If interest rates increase by 100 basis points, this difference would be expected to narrow to 0.34 years. The selection of a 100-basis-point increase in interest rates should not be construed as a prediction by our management of future market events, but rather as an illustration of the potential impact of an event.

Table 1 Sensitivity Analysis Interest Rate Risk

| | -200 Basis Points | -100 Basis Points | +100 Basis Base Points | | +200 Basis Points |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) Asset | | | | | |
| Estimated fair value of fixed income securities | \$ 1,499,643 | \$ 1,435,314 | \$ 1,374,309 | \$ 1,310,497 | \$ 1,248,201 |

Table 2 details the effect on fair value for a positive and negative 10 percent price change on our equity portfolio.

Table 2 Sensitivity Analysis Equity Price Risk

| | -10% | Base | +10% |
|---|-----------|------------|------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Asset | | | |
| Estimated fair value of equity securities | \$ 99,321 | \$ 110,357 | \$ 121,393 |

To the extent actual results differ from the assumptions utilized, our duration and rate increase measures could be significantly affected. Additionally, our calculation assumes that the current relationship between short-term and long-term interest rates will remain constant over time. As a result, these calculations may not fully capture the impact of non-parallel changes in the relationship between short-term and long-term interest rates.

Foreign currency exchange rate risk arises from the possibility that changes in foreign currency exchange rates will affect the fair value of financial instruments. We have limited foreign currency exchange rate risk in our transactions with foreign reinsurers relating to the settlement of amounts due to or from foreign reinsurers in the normal course of business. We consider this risk to be immaterial to our operations.

Equity price risk is the potential loss arising from changes in the fair value of equity securities. Our exposure to this risk relates to our equity securities portfolio and covered call options we have written to generate additional portfolio income. We do not utilize the options, or any other derivatives for hedging purposes. We minimize the market risk associated with our covered call options by writing covered call options on common stocks that are held in our investment portfolio and that are out of the money, which means we write the options above the stock s market value at the time the option is written. If the market price of the underlying common stock were to decline, it would be unusual for the option to be exercised since the exercise price would be higher than the market price. At December 31, 2001, we had no open covered call options.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA Consolidated Balance Sheets December 31, 2001 and 2000

| | | 2001 | | 2000 |
|---|---|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in Thou Per Share Data a Share | | | Number of |
| ASSETS | | | | |
| Investments (Notes 2, 3 and 4) | | | | |
| Fixed income | | | | |
| Held-to-maturity, at amortized cost (market value \$252,481 in 2001 and \$292,857 in 2000) | \$ | , | \$ | 283,431 |
| Available-for-sale, at market (amortized cost \$1,142,669 in 2001 and \$952,949 in 2000) | | 1,142,614 | | 928,947 |
| Equity securities, at market (cost \$35,151 in 2001 and \$30,667 in 2000) | | 110,357 | | 111,132 |
| Policy loans | | 8,201 | | 8,437 |
| Other long-term investments, at market (cost \$10,002 in 2001 and \$12,326 in 2000) | | 10,166 | | 12,864 |
| Short-term investments | | 48,008 | _ | 58,224 |
| | \$ | 1,560,962 | \$ | 1,403,035 |
| | Ψ | 1,000,502 | ¢ | 1,100,000 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | \$ | 150 | \$ | |
| Accrued Investment Income (Note 4) | | 25,723 | | 22,578 |
| Accounts Receivable, (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$615 in 2001 and \$1,173 in 2000) | | 88,380 | | 75,636 |
| Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs | | 102,703 | | 98,399 |
| Property and Equipment, primarily land and buildings, at cost, less accumulated depreciation of \$29,389 in | | | | |
| 2001 and \$27,172 in 2000 | | 15,233 | | 16,732 |
| Reinsurance Receivables (Note 6) | | 45,656 | | 41,487 |
| Prepaid Reinsurance Premiums | | 4,050 | | 2,846 |
| Intangibles | | 3,177 | | 6,459 |
| Income Taxes Receivable (Note 9) | | 368 | | 658 |
| Other Assets | | 5,437 | _ | 6,279 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 1,851,839 | \$ | 1,674,109 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | | | |
| Liabilities Future policy benefits and losses, claims and settlement expenses (Notes 6 and 7) | | | | |
| Property and casualty insurance | \$ | 366,519 | \$ | 358,032 |
| Life insurance (Note 4) | Ψ | 956,797 | Ψ | 822,158 |
| Unearned premiums | | 187,787 | | 165,212 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | | 35,139 | | 45,918 |
| Employee benefit obligations (Note 10) | | 13,950 | | 13,115 |
| Deferred income taxes (Note 9) | | 12,659 | | 12,245 |
| | ¢ | 1 570 051 | ¢ | 1 416 600 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | 1,572,851 |) | 1,416,680 |
| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | | | |
| Common stock, \$3.33 1/3 par value; authorized 20,000,000 shares 10,035,819 shares issued and outstanding | | | | |
| in 2001 and 2000 (Note 13) | \$ | 33,453 | \$ | 33,453 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 6,912 | | 6,912 |
| Retained earnings (Note 8) | | 189,214 | | 172,346 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax | | 49,409 | | 44,718 |
| | | | | |

| TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | \$ | 278,988 | \$ | 257,429 |
|---|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| | _ | | | |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | \$ | 1,851,839 | \$ | 1,674,109 |
| | _ | | _ | |

The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements

Consolidated Statements of Income

Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999

| | | 2001 | | 2000 | | 1999 | | | |
|--|--|------------|----|------------|----|-----------|--|--|--|
| | (Dollars in Thousands Except Per Share Data and Number of Shares) | | | | | | | | |
| Revenues | | | | | | | | | |
| Net premiums earned (Note 6) | \$ | 372,019 | \$ | 333,365 | \$ | 273,051 | | | |
| Investment income, net (Note 2) | | 98,909 | | 86,867 | | 75,317 | | | |
| Realized investment gains (losses) and other income (Note 2) | | (84) | | (1,825) | | 2,936 | | | |
| Commission and policy fee income | | 2,108 | | 2,172 | | 1,912 | | | |
| | \$ | 472,952 | \$ | 420,579 | \$ | 353,216 | | | |
| Benefits, Losses and Expenses | | | | | | | | | |
| Losses and settlement expenses | \$ | 270,329 | \$ | 236,807 | \$ | 197,291 | | | |
| Increase in liability for future policy benefits | | 5,236 | | 6,241 | | 5,157 | | | |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | | 67,502 | | 58,394 | | 49,863 | | | |
| Other underwriting expenses | | 53,042 | | 59,378 | | 51,401 | | | |
| Interest on policyholders accounts | | 48,213 | _ | 42,410 | | 32,286 | | | |
| | \$ | 444,322 | \$ | 403,230 | \$ | 335,998 | | | |
| Income before income taxes | \$ | 28,630 | \$ | 17,349 | \$ | 17,218 | | | |
| Federal income taxes (Note 9) | | 4,537 | | 1,822 | | 1,834 | | | |
| Net Income | \$ | 24,093 | \$ | 15,527 | \$ | 15,384 | | | |
| | - | | - | | - | 15.001 | | | |
| Earnings available to common shareholders (Note 13) | \$ | 24,093 | \$ | 15,527 | \$ | 15,384 | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding (Note 13) | _ | 10,035,819 | | 10,047,248 |] | 0,079,563 | | | |
| Basic and diluted earnings per common share (Note 13) | \$ | 2.40 | \$ | 1.55 | \$ | 1.53 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999

| | Common Stock | Additional Paid-In Capital | | Paid-In | | Paid-In | | Retained Earnings | Con | cumulated Other nprehensive Income, let of Tax | Total |
|---|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------|------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----|--|-------|
| | (Dolla | rs in [| Thousands 1 | Except Per Share | Data a | nd Number of S | Shares) | | | | |
| Balances, December 31, 1998 | \$ 33,639 | \$ | 7,927 | \$ 155,421 | \$ | 59,295 | \$ 256,282 | | | | |
| Transition adjustment for the effect of a change in | | | | | | | | | | | |
| accounting principle, net of tax (Note 1) | | | | | | 6,013 | 6,013 | | | | |
| Net income | | | | 15,384 | | | 15,384 | | | | |
| Change in net unrealized depreciation (1) | | | | | _ | (32,254) | (32,254) | | | | |
| Total comprehensive loss (Note 14) | | | | | | | (10,857) | | | | |
| Cash dividend declared on common stock, \$.68 per share | | | | (6,852) | | | (6,852) | | | | |
| Purchase and retirement of 31,637 shares of common stock | (105) | | (675) | | | | (780) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Balances, December 31, 1999 | \$ 33,534 | \$ | 7,252 | \$ 163,953 | \$ | 33,054 | \$ 237,793 | | | | |
| | | _ | , | | _ | , | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | 15,527 | | | 15,527 | | | | |
| Change in net unrealized appreciation (1) | | | | | | 11,664 | 11,664 | | | | |
| | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| Total comprehensive income (Note 14) | | | | | | | 27,191 | | | | |
| Cash dividend declared on common stock, \$.71 per share | | | | (7,134) | | | (7,134) | | | | |
| Purchase and retirement of 24,265 shares of common stock | (81) | | (340) | | | | (421) | | | | |
| | | _ | | | | | | | | | |
| Balances, December 31, 2000 | \$ 33,453 | \$ | 6,912 | \$ 172,346 | \$ | 44,718 | \$ 257,429 | | | | |
| NT / ' | | | | 24.002 | | | 24.002 | | | | |
| Net income | | | | 24,093 | | 5.048 | 24,093 5.048 | | | | |
| Change in net unrealized appreciation (1) Minimum pension liability adjustment (Note 10) | | | | | | - / | -) | | | | |
| Minimum pension habinty adjustment (Note 10) | | _ | | | _ | (357) | (357) | | | | |
| Total comprehensive income (Note 14) | | | | | | | 28,784 | | | | |
| Cash dividend declared on common stock, \$.72 per share | | | | (7,225) | | | (7,225) | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | _ | | <·/ | | | | | | | |
| Balances, December 31, 2001 | \$ 33,453 | \$ | 6,912 | \$ 189,214 | \$ | 49,409 | \$ 278,988 | | | | |
| | | | | | _ | | | | | | |

(1) The change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) is net of reclassification adjustments and income taxes (see Note 14).

The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999

| | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | (D | ls) | |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities | | | |
| Net Income | \$ 24,093 | \$ 15,527 | \$ 15,384 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities | | | |
| Net bond discount accretion | (1,139) | (370) | 88 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,053 | 4,452 | 3,078 |
| Realized net investment losses (gains) | 186 | 2,082 | (2,303) |
| Changes in: | | | |
| Accrued investment income | (3,145) | (2,721) | (2,795) |
| Accounts receivable | (12,744) | (12,651) | 6,338 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | (4,304) | (8,325) | (18,092) |
| Reinsurance receivables | (4,169) | (11,772) | 5,493 |
| Prepaid reinsurance premiums | (1,204) | 173 | 3,174 |
| Income taxes receivable | 290 | 511 | 2,588 |
| Other assets | 842 | 932 | (1,372) |
| Future policy benefits and losses, claims and settlement expenses | 19,994 | 25,969 | 19,300 |
| Unearned premiums | 22,575 | 16,740 | 3,275 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | (10,779) | 12,260 | (14,214) |
| Employee benefit obligations | 286 | 730 | 2,572 |
| Deferred income taxes | (2,408) | (1,465) | (1,293) |
| Other, net | (7,815) | 471 | 13,231 |
| Total adjustments | \$ 519 | \$ 27,016 | \$ 19,068 |
| | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 24,612 | \$ 42,543 | \$ 34,452 |
| | | | |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities | | | |
| Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale investments | \$ 74,921 | \$ 68,963 | \$ 35,653 |
| Proceeds from sale of available for sale investments Proceeds from call and maturity of held-to-maturity investments | 43,702 | 31,614 | 35,398 |
| Proceeds from call and maturity of available-for-sale investments | 87,116 | 68,038 | 95,762 |
| Proceeds from sale of short-term and other investments | 270,597 | 126,035 | 102,256 |
| Purchase of held-to-maturity investments | (1,397) | (3,482) | (1,682) |
| Purchase of available-for-sale investments | (355,658) | (284,116) | (295,670) |
| Purchase of short-term and other investments | (257,941) | (163,036) | (86,856) |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | (237,711) | 104 | 1,469 |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (1,709) | (3,485) | (1,429) |
| Acquisition of property and casualty company, net of cash acquired | (1,707) | (0,100) | (22,249) |
| | | | (22,2 :>) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | \$ (140,369) | \$ (159,365) | \$ (137,348) |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities | | | |
| Policyholders account balances | | | |
| Deposits to investment and universal life contracts | \$ 225,771 | \$ 218,951 | \$ 189,715 |
| Withdrawals from investment and universal life contracts | (102,639) | (104,323) | (69,432) |
| Purchase and retirement of common stock | | (421) | (780) |
| Payment of cash dividends | (7,225) | (7,134) | (6,858) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | \$ 115,907 | \$ 107,073 | \$ 112,645 |

| \$ 150 | \$ (9,749) 9,749 | \$ | 9,749 |
|-----------|------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2,712 | | |
| \$ 150 | \$ | \$ | 9,749 |
| \$ \$ | | 9,749 | 9,749 |

The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of operations, principles of consolidation and basis of reporting

The Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles, which differ in some respects from those followed in preparing our statutory reports to insurance regulatory authorities. Our stand-alone statutory financial statements are presented on the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Insurance Departments of the states in which we are domiciled (statutory accounting practices). Effective January 1, 2001, these states have adopted the National Association of Insurance Commissioners codified statutory accounting practices. Refer to Note 8 for further discussion.

We are engaged in the business of writing property and casualty insurance and life insurance.

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements include: United Fire & Casualty Company, its wholly owned subsidiaries; United Life Insurance Company (United Life), Lafayette Insurance Company, Insurance Brokers & Managers, Inc., Addison Insurance Company, Addison Insurance Agency, UFC Premium Finance Company, American Indemnity Financial Corporation, American Indemnity Company, United Fire & Indemnity Company, Texas General Indemnity Company, American Computing Company; and the affiliate United Fire Lloyds, which is financially and operationally controlled by American Indemnity Company. All material intercompany items have been eliminated in consolidation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The financial statement captions that are most dependent on management estimates and assumptions include investments, deferred policy acquisition costs and future policy benefits and losses, claims and settlement expenses.

Certain amounts included in the Consolidated Financial Statements for prior years have been reclassified to conform with the 2001 financial statement presentation.

Property and casualty segment

Premiums are reflected in income on a daily pro rata basis over the terms of the respective policies. Unearned premium reserves are established for the portion of premiums written applicable to the unexpired term of policies in force.

Certain costs of underwriting new business, principally commissions, premium taxes and variable underwriting and policy issue expenses, have been deferred. Such costs are being amortized as premium revenue is being recognized. The method followed in computing deferred policy acquisition costs limits the amount of such deferred costs to their estimated realizable value, which gives effect to the premium to be earned, losses and expenses incurred, and certain other costs expected to be incurred as the premium is earned.

To establish loss and loss adjustment expense reserves, we make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses reported in our financial statements. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. The estimate of these reserves is subjective and complex and requires us to make estimates about the future payout of claims, which is inherently uncertain. When we establish and adjust reserves, we do so given our knowledge of the circumstances and claim facts. Historically, we have over-estimated our reserves for losses on an aggregate basis. We attribute this over-estimation to our diligent approach to reserving and our rigorous claims adjusting and settlement processes. To the extent that we have over- or under-estimated our loss and loss adjustment expense reserves, we adjust the reserves in the period the over- or under-estimated.

Life segment

On whole life and term insurance (traditional business), premiums are reported as earned when due, and benefits and expenses are associated with premium income so as to result in the recognition of profits over the lives of the related contracts. On universal life and annuity (nontraditional) business, income and expenses are reported as charged and credited to policyholder account balances through the use of the retrospective deposit method. This method results in the recognition of profits over the lives of the related contracts, which is accomplished by means of a provision for future policy benefits and the deferral and subsequent amortization of life policy acquisition costs.

The costs of acquiring new life business, principally commissions and certain variable underwriting, agency and policy issue expenses, have been deferred. These costs are being amortized to income over the premium paying period of the related traditional policies in proportion to the ratio of the expected annual premium revenue to the expected total premium revenue, and over the anticipated lives of nontraditional policies in proportion to the ratio of the ratio of the expected annual gross margins to the expected total gross margins. The expected premium revenue and gross

margins are based upon the same mortality and withdrawal assumptions used in determining future policy benefits. For nontraditional policies, changes in the amount or timing of expected gross margins will result in adjustment to the cumulative amortization of these costs.

The effect on the amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs for revisions to estimated gross

profits is reflected in earnings in the period such estimated gross profits are revised. The effect on the deferred policy acquisition costs that would result from realization of unrealized gains (losses) is recognized with an offset to accumulated other comprehensive income in the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity as of the balance sheet date. As of December 31, 2001, an adjustment to decrease deferred policy acquisition costs by \$10,253,000 was made with a corresponding decrease to accumulated other comprehensive income. In 2000, the adjustment was to increase deferred policy acquisition costs by \$336,000.

Liabilities for future policy benefits are computed by the net level premium method using interest assumptions ranging from 4.5 percent to 8.0 percent and withdrawal, mortality and morbidity assumptions appropriate at the time the policies were issued. Accident and health reserves are stated at amounts determined by estimates on individual cases and estimates of unreported claims based on past experience. Liabilities for universal life and investment contracts are stated at policyholder account values before surrender charges. Liabilities for traditional immediate annuities are based primarily upon statutory reserves.

Policy claim liabilities are determined using actuarial estimates. These estimates are based on historical information, along with certain assumptions about future events. Changes in assumptions for such things as medical costs, environmental hazards and legal actions, as well as changes in actual experience, could cause these estimates to change in the near term.

Investments

Investments in held-to-maturity fixed income securities are recorded at amortized cost. We have the ability to hold these investments until maturity. Available-for-sale fixed income securities, equity securities and other long-term investments are recorded at fair value. Policy loans and short-term investments are recorded at cost. Included in investments at December 31, 2001 and 2000, are securities on deposit with various regulatory authorities, as required by law, with carrying values of \$1,042,341,000 and \$896,059,000, respectively.

Realized gains or losses on disposition of investments are included in the computation of net income. Cost of investments sold is determined by the specific identification method. Changes in unrealized appreciation and depreciation, resulting from available-for-sale fixed income securities, equity securities, other long-term investments and certain life deferred policy acquisition costs, are reported as direct increases or decreases in stockholders' equity, less applicable income taxes.

Our accounting policy for impairment recognition requires other-than-temporary impairment charges to be recorded when we determine that we are unable to recover our cost basis in an investment. Impairment charges on investments are included in net realized gains and losses. Factors considered in evaluating whether a decline in value is other-than-temporary include: the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost; the financial conditions and near-term prospects of the issuer; and our intent and ability to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery.

In 2001 and 2000, we wrote down a small amount of holdings in our fixed income portfolio as a result of other-than-temporary declines in market value and recognized a net realized loss, before tax, of \$3,841,000 in 2001 and \$2,932,000 in 2000. We continue to review the other-than-temporarily impaired securities for appropriate valuation on an ongoing basis.

Reinsurance

Premiums earned and losses and settlement expenses incurred are reported net of reinsurance ceded and are accounted for on a basis consistent with those used in accounting for the original policies issued and the terms of the reinsurance contracts.

Cash and cash equivalents

For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash and non-negotiable certificates of deposit with original maturities of three months or less. Negative cash balances are included in accrued expenses and other liabilities. Net income taxes paid during 2001, 2000 and 1999 were \$4,280,000, \$2,088,000 and \$505,000, respectively. Through December 31, 2000, tax and interest payments received in connection with the settlement of a federal income tax RAR were \$1,160,000 and \$889,000, respectively. There were no significant payments of interest other than interest credited to policyholders accounts in 2001, 2000 or 1999.

Property, equipment and depreciation

Property and equipment is carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed primarily by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the underlying assets. Depreciation expense totaled \$3,208,000, \$3,512,000, and \$2,458,000 for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Amortization of intangibles

Intangibles, including goodwill and agency relationships, are being amortized by the straight-line method over periods of up to 10 years. The carrying value of goodwill and other intangibles is reviewed regularly for impairment in the recoverability of the underlying asset. Any impairment of goodwill would be charged to operations in the period that the impairment was recognized. We did not take an impairment write-down of goodwill or other intangibles in 2001, 2000 or 1999.

Amortization expense totaled \$845,000, \$940,000, and \$620,000 for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. We reduced goodwill by \$2,437,000 and \$645,000 in 2001 and 2000, respectively, as a result of an adjustment to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance related to the acquisition of American Indemnity Financial Corporation. Refer to Note 9 for further discussion.

Income taxes

We file a consolidated federal income tax return. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined at the end of each period, based on differences between the financial statement bases of assets and liabilities and the tax bases of those same assets and liabilities, using the currently enacted statutory tax rates. Deferred income tax expense is measured by the change in the net deferred income tax asset or liability during the year.

Contingent liabilities

We are a defendant in legal actions arising from normal business activities. Management, after consultation with legal counsel, is of the opinion that any liability resulting from these actions will not have a material impact on our financial condition and operating results.

Accounting changes

In June 1998, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. In June 1999, SFAS No.133 was amended by SFAS No. 137, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities Deferral of the Effective Date of FASB No. 133 an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133. SFAS No. 133 is now effective for all fiscal quarters of fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2000. A company may also implement SFAS No. 133 as of the beginning of any fiscal quarter after issuance. SFAS No. 133 cannot be applied retroactively. The new statement requires all derivatives (including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts) to be recorded on the balance sheet as either an asset or a liability at fair value and establishes special accounting for certain types of hedges. We have had limited involvement with derivative financial instruments, and do not engage in the derivative market for hedging purposes. Effective January 1, 1999, we early adopted SFAS No. 133. As part of the implementation of SFAS No. 133, we were allowed to reassess our held-to-maturity portfolio without tainting the remaining securities classified as held-to-maturity. The impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements due to the reclassification from held-to-maturity to available-for-sale, effective January 1, 1999, increased the carrying value of available-for-sale fixed income securities by approximately \$9,250,000 and other comprehensive income by approximately \$6,013,000, net of deferred income taxes. This is shown as a change in accounting principle in the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity. There was no other material effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements. Refer to Note 3 for further discussion.

In June 2000, the FASB issued SFAS No. 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133, which was effective for all fiscal quarters beginning after June 15, 2000, due to our early adoption of SFAS No. 133. This statement amends the accounting and reporting standards of SFAS No. 133 for certain derivative instruments and certain hedging activities. Because we have limited involvement with derivative financial instruments, and do not engage in the derivative market for hedging purposes, the adoption of SFAS No. 138 did not have a material effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Effective January 1, 2000, we adopted Statement of Position (SOP) 98-7, Deposit Accounting: Accounting for Insurance and Reinsurance Contracts That Do Not Transfer Insurance Risk. The SOP provides guidance on accounting for insurance and reinsurance contracts that do not transfer insurance risk. All of our reinsurance agreements are risk-transferring arrangements, accounted for according to SFAS No. 113, Accounting and Reporting for Reinsurance of Short-Duration and Long-Duration Contracts. The adoption of SOP 98-7 had no effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Effective July 1, 2000, we adopted FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 44, Accounting for Certain Transactions Including Stock Compensation (an Interpretation of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25). FIN No. 44 clarifies the application of APB Opinion No. 25 for only certain issues, such as: (a) the definition of employee for purposes of applying APB Opinion No. 25; (b) the criteria for determining whether a plan qualifies as a noncompensatory plan; (c) the accounting consequence of various modifications to the terms of a previously fixed stock option or award; and (d) the accounting for an exchange of stock compensation awards in a business combination. The adoption of FIN No. 44 had no impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Effective December 31, 2000, we adopted Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 101, Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements. The SAB summarizes the SEC staff s views on applying

²⁵

generally accepted accounting principles to the recognition of revenue in financial statements. The adoption of SAB No. 101 had no effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In July 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations, which becomes effective January 1, 2002. SFAS No. 141 requires all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001, to be accounted for using the purchase method. Additionally, an acquired intangible asset should be recognized separately from goodwill if the benefit of the intangible asset is obtained through contractual or other legal rights, or if the intangible asset can be sold, transferred, licensed, rented, or exchanged, regardless of the acquirer s intent to do so. Recognized intangible assets (other than those with an indefinite life) will then be amortized over their estimated useful lives. The adoption of SFAS No. 141 will have no effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In July 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, which becomes effective January 1, 2002. SFAS No. 142 eliminates the amortization of goodwill over its estimated useful life, but requires goodwill to be subject to at least an annual assessment for impairment by applying a fair-value-based test. We are currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of SFAS No. 142 will have on our results of operations and financial position.

In July 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, which becomes effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. SFAS No. 143 addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible, long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. We have not yet determined the impact that the adoption of this statement will have on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In October 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, which becomes effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001, and interim periods within those fiscal years. SFAS No. 144 revises and clarifies the existing professional guidance addressing: (a) recognition and measurement of the impairment of long-lived assets to be held and used; (b) the measurement of long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale; and (c) the reporting of discontinued operations and components of an entity that either has been disposed of (by sale, by abandonment, or in a distribution to owners) or is classified as held for sale. We have not yet determined the impact that the adoption of this statement will have on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Note 2. Summary of Investments

A reconciliation of the amortized cost (cost for equity securities) to fair values of investments in held-to-maturity and available-for-sale fixed income securities, equity securities and other long-term investments as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 is as follows.

| | Year Ended December 31, 2001 | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------|----|-------------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|----|-----------|--|
| Type of Investment | | Amortized Cost | | Gross Unrealized Appreciation | | Gross nrealized preciation | Fa | air Value | |
| | | | | (Dollars in | Thousa | ands) | | | |
| Held-to-maturity | | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed income securities | | | | | | | | | |
| Bonds | | | | | | | | | |
| United States | | | | | | | | | |
| Government, government agencies and authorities | | | | | | | | | |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | \$ | 15,156 | \$ | 497 | \$ | | \$ | 15,653 | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | 5,663 | | 581 | | | | 6,244 | |
| All others | | 1,774 | | 305 | | | | 2,079 | |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | 1 | 50,525 | | 7,227 | | 535 | | 157,217 | |
| Foreign | | 3,011 | | 219 | | | | 3,230 | |
| Public utilities | | 15,950 | | 493 | | | | 16,443 | |
| Corporate bonds | | | | | | | | | |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | | 6,074 | | 126 | | | | 6,200 | |
| All other corporate bonds | | 43,463 | | 1,954 | | 2 | | 45,415 | |
| Total held-to-maturity | \$ 2 | 41,616 | \$ | 11,402 | \$ | 537 | \$ | 252,481 | |
| Available-for-sale | | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed income securities | | | | | | | | | |
| Bonds | | | | | | | | | |
| United States | | | | | | | | | |
| Government, government agencies and authorities | | | | | | | | | |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | \$ | 32,902 | \$ | 1,039 | \$ | 95 | \$ | 33,846 | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | 10 | | 1 | | | | 11 | |
| All others | | 37,397 | | 1,437 | | 35 | | 38,799 | |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | | 82,275 | | 1,695 | | 434 | | 83,536 | |
| All foreign bonds | | 37,435 | | 1,306 | | 1,659 | | 37,082 | |
| Public utilities | 2 | 55,606 | | 7,166 | | 4,741 | | 258,031 | |
| Corporate bonds | | | | | | | | | |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | | 33,347 | | 880 | | 439 | | 33,788 | |
| All other corporate bonds | 6 | 63,697 | | 16,481 | | 22,657 | | | |