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## Safe Harbor Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements about U.S. Bancorp. Statements that are not historical or current facts, including statements about beliefs and expectations, are forward-looking statements. These statements often include the words may, could, would, should, believes, expects, anticipates, estimates, targets, potentially, probably, projects, outlook or similar expressions. These forward-looking statements cover, among other things, anticipated future revenue and expenses and the future plans and prospects of U.S. Bancorp. Forward-looking statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties, and important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. A continuation of the recent turbulence in the global financial markets, particularly if it worsens, could impact U.S. Bancorp s performance, both directly by affecting its revenues and the value of its assets and liabilities, and indirectly by affecting its counterparties and the economy generally. Dramatic declines in the housing market in the past year have resulted in significant write-downs of asset values by financial institutions. Concerns about the stability of the financial markets generally have reduced the availability of funding to certain financial institutions, leading to a tightening of credit, reduction of business activity, and increased market volatility. There can be no assurance that any governmental program or legislation will help to stabilize the
U.S. financial system or alleviate the industry or economic factors that may adversely impact U.S. Bancorp s business. In addition, U.S. Bancorp s business and financial performance could be impacted as the financial industry restructures in the current environment, by increased regulation of financial institutions or other effects of recently enacted legislation, by changes in the creditworthiness and performance of its counterparties, and by changes in the competitive landscape. U.S. Bancorp s results could also be adversely affected by continued deterioration in general business and economic conditions; changes in interest rates; deterioration in the credit quality of its loan portfolios or in the value of the collateral securing those loans; deterioration in the value of securities held in its investment securities portfolio; legal and regulatory developments; increased competition from both banks and non-banks; changes in customer behavior and preferences; effects of mergers and acquisitions and related integration; effects of critical accounting policies and judgments; and management s ability to effectively manage credit risk, market risk, operational risk, legal risk, and regulatory and compliance risk.

For discussion of these and other risks that may cause actual results to differ from expectations, refer to U.S. Bancorp s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the sections entitled Risk Factors and Corporate Risk Profile, and all subsequent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission under Sections 13(a), 13(c), 14 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and U.S. Bancorp undertakes no obligation to update them in light of new information or future events.
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Table 1 Selected Financial Data
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| Short-term borrowings | 32,217 | 35,890 | $(10.2)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-term debt | 37,784 | 39,822 | $(5.1)$ |
| Total U.S. Bancorp shareholders | equity | 26,819 | 21,479 |


|  |  | March 31, $2009$ |  | mber 31, 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period End Balances |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans | \$ | 184,442 | \$ | 185,229 | (.4)\% |
| Allowance for credit losses |  | 4,105 |  | 3,639 | 12.8 |
| Investment securities |  | 39,266 |  | 39,521 | (.6) |
| Assets |  | 263,624 |  | 265,912 | (.9) |
| Deposits |  | 162,566 |  | 159,350 | 2.0 |
| Long-term debt |  | 38,825 |  | 38,359 | 1.2 |
| Total U.S. Bancorp shareholders equity |  | 27,223 |  | 26,300 | 3.5 |
| Capital ratios |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1 capital |  | 10.9\% |  | 10.6\% |  |
| Total risk-based capital |  | 14.4 |  | 14.3 |  |
| Leverage |  | 9.8 |  | 9.8 |  |
| Tangible common equity (c) |  | 3.7 |  | 3.2 |  |
| Tangible common equity, excluding accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (d) |  | 4.8 |  | 4.5 |  |
| Tangible common equity to risk-weighted assets (e) |  | 4.0 |  | 3.5 |  |

* Not meaningful.
(a) Presented on a fully taxable-equivalent basis utilizing a tax rate of 35 percent.
(b) Computed as noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income on a taxable-equivalent basis and noninterest income excluding securities gains (losses), net.
(c) Computed as tangible common equity divided by tangible assets, where tangible common equity equals total equity less preferred stock, goodwill, intangible assets other than mortgage servicing rights and deferred tax assets, and tangible assets equals total assets less goodwill, intangible assets other than mortgage servicing rights and deferred tax assets. See Non-GAAP Financial Measures on page 24.
(d) Computed as in (c), except the numerator is increased by the amount of net accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). See Non-GAAP Financial Measures on page 24.
(e) Computed as tangible common equity divided by risk-weighted assets, where tangible common equity is computed as in (c) and risk-weighted assets are determined in accordance with prescribed regulatory instructions and totaled $\$ 232$ billion and $\$ 257$ billion at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. See Non-GAAP Financial Measures on page 24.
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Management s Discussion and Analysis

## OVERVIEW

Earnings Summary U.S. Bancorp and its subsidiaries (the Company ) reported net income attributable to U.S. Bancorp of $\$ 529$ million for the first quarter of 2009 or $\$ .24$ per diluted common share, compared with $\$ 1,090$ million, or $\$ .62$ per diluted common share for the first quarter of 2008. Return on average assets and return on average common equity were .81 percent and 9.0 percent, respectively, for the first quarter of 2009, compared with 1.85 percent and 21.2 percent, respectively, for the first quarter of 2008 . As a result of the current economic environment, the Company increased the allowance for credit losses by recording $\$ 530$ million of provision for credit losses in excess of net charge-offs. Additional significant items in the first quarter of 2009 results included $\$ 198$ million of net securities losses, principally related to impairment of investments in perpetual preferred stock of a large financial institution downgraded during the quarter and a $\$ 92$ million gain from a corporate real estate transaction. The first quarter of 2008 also included several significant items, including a $\$ 492$ million gain related to the Company s ownership position in Visa, Inc. ( Visa Gain ), $\$ 192$ million of provision for credit losses in excess of net charge-offs and $\$ 253$ million of impairment charges on structured investment securities.
Total net revenue, on a taxable-equivalent basis, for the first quarter of 2009 was $\$ 9$ million ( .2 percent) higher than the first quarter of 2008, reflecting a 14.5 percent increase in net interest income and a 12.5 percent decrease in noninterest income. The increase in net interest income from a year ago was a result of growth in average earning assets and an increase in net interest margin. The net interest margin increased from 3.55 percent in the first quarter of 2008 to 3.59 percent in the first quarter of 2009 , because of growth in higher-spread loans and the Company s interest rate sensitivity position which benefited from declining market rates. Noninterest income declined from a year ago as payment products revenue, merchant processing services, trust and investment management fees and deposit service charges were affected by the impact of the slowing economy on equity markets and customer spending. In addition, noninterest income decreased due to the Visa Gain in the first quarter of 2008, higher retail lease residual losses and lower income from equity investments. These revenue declines were partially offset by higher mortgage banking revenue, a lower level of net securities losses and a $\$ 92$ million corporate real estate gain related to acquiring a controlling interest in an entity that owns an office building in which the Company leases office space.
Total noninterest expense in the first quarter of 2009 was $\$ 92$ million ( 5.2 percent) higher than in the first quarter of 2008, principally due to costs associated with businesses acquired in 2008, partially offset by focused reductions in costs from implementation of the Company s cost containment plan in the first quarter of 2009. Operating expense in the first quarter of 2009 also included higher pension and credit collection costs.
The provision for credit losses for the first quarter of 2009 increased $\$ 833$ million over the first quarter of 2008. The increase in the provision for credit losses reflected continuing stress in residential real estate markets, driven by declining home prices in most geographic regions, as well as deteriorating economic conditions and the corresponding impact on the commercial and consumer loan portfolios. Net charge-offs in the first quarter of 2009 were $\$ 788$ million, compared with net charge-offs of $\$ 293$ million in the first quarter of 2008. At March 31, 2009, $\$ 11.1$ billion of the Company s assets were covered by loss sharing agreements with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ) ( covered assets ). Refer to Corporate Risk Profile for further information on the provision for credit losses, net charge-offs, nonperforming assets and factors considered by the Company in assessing the credit quality of the loan portfolio and establishing the allowance for credit losses.

## STATEMENT OF INCOME ANALYSIS

Net Interest Income Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, was $\$ 2,095$ million in the first quarter of 2009, compared with $\$ 1,830$ million in the first quarter of 2008 . The $\$ 265$ million ( 14.5 percent) increase was due to growth in average earning assets, as well as a higher net interest margin percentage. Average earning assets were $\$ 28.3$ billion ( 13.7 percent) higher in the first quarter of 2009 than the first quarter of 2008, primarily driven by an increase in average loans. During the first quarter of 2009, the net interest margin increased to 3.59 percent, compared
with 3.55 percent in the first quarter of 2008. The net interest margin increased because of growth in higher-spread loans and asset/liability re-pricing in a declining interest rate
U.S. Bancorp
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environment. Refer to the Consolidated Daily Average Balance Sheet and Related Yields and Rates table for further information on net interest income.
Total average loans for the first quarter of 2009 were $\$ 30.5$ billion ( 19.6 percent) higher than the first quarter of 2008, driven by growth in most loan categories. This included growth in average retail loans of $\$ 9.9$ billion (19.4 percent), commercial loans of $\$ 4.4$ billion ( 8.6 percent), commercial real estate loans of $\$ 3.9$ billion ( 13.1 percent) and residential mortgages of $\$ .9$ billion (4.1 percent). Retail loan growth, year-over-year, included a $\$ 4.7$ billion increase in federally guaranteed student loan balances resulting from the transfer of loans held for sale to held for investment, a portfolio purchase and production growth. Retail loans also experienced strong growth in credit card and home equity loan balances. The increase in commercial loans was principally a result of growth in corporate and commercial banking balances as new and existing business customers used bank credit facilities to fund business growth and liquidity requirements. The growth in commercial real estate loans reflected new business growth driven by capital market conditions and an acquisition in the second quarter of 2008. The increase in residential mortgages reflected increased origination activity as a result of current market interest rate declines. Average covered assets related to the fourth quarter of 2008 acquisitions of Downey Savings and Loan Association, F.A. and PFF Bank and Trust ( Downey and PFF , respectively) were $\$ 11.3$ billion in the first quarter of 2009 .
Average investment securities in the first quarter of 2009 were $\$ 1.6$ billion ( 3.6 percent) lower than the first quarter of 2008, principally a result of prepayments and sales. The composition of the Company s investment portfolio remained essentially unchanged from a year ago.
Average total deposits for the first quarter of 2009 increased $\$ 29.7$ billion ( 22.7 percent) over the first quarter of 2008. Excluding deposits from 2008 acquisitions, average total deposits increased $\$ 16.1$ billion ( 12.3 percent) over the first quarter of 2008. Average noninterest-bearing deposits increased $\$ 8.9$ billion ( 32.8 percent) year-over-year, primarily due to growth in the Wealth Management \& Securities Services and Wholesale Banking business lines and the impact of acquisitions. Average total savings deposits increased year-over-year by $\$ 9.3$ billion ( 15.2 percent) primarily because of an increase in average savings accounts of $\$ 5.2$ billion, primarily in Consumer Banking. The increase was also due to a $\$ 1.7$ billion ( 5.7 percent) increase in average interest checking balances, the result of higher Consumer Banking and state and municipal government-related balances, and a $\$ 2.3$ billion ( 9.1 percent) increase in average money market savings balances driven by higher balances from broker-dealer and institutional trust customers and the impact of acquisitions. Average time certificates of deposit less than $\$ 100,000$ were higher year-over-year by $\$ 4.5$ billion ( 33.3 percent), primarily due to acquisitions. Average time deposits greater than $\$ 100,000$ increased by $\$ 7.0$ billion ( 23.9 percent) year-over-year as a result of the business lines ability to attract larger customer deposits given current market conditions and the impact of acquisitions.

Provision for Credit Losses The provision for credit losses for the first quarter of 2009 increased $\$ 833$ million over the first quarter of 2008. The provision for credit losses exceeded net charge-offs by $\$ 530$ million in the first quarter of 2009 and $\$ 192$ million in the first quarter of 2008. The increases in the provision and allowance for credit losses reflected continuing stress in residential real estate markets, driven by declining home prices in most geographic regions. The increases also reflected deteriorating economic conditions and the corresponding impact on the commercial and consumer loan portfolios. Net charge-offs in the first quarter of 2009 were $\$ 788$ million, compared with net charge-offs of $\$ 293$ million in the first quarter of 2008. Refer to Corporate Risk Profile for further information on the provision for credit losses, net charge-offs, nonperforming assets and factors considered by the Company in assessing the credit quality of the loan portfolio and establishing the allowance for credit losses.

Noninterest Income Noninterest income in the first quarter of 2009 was $\$ 1,788$ million, compared with $\$ 2,044$ million in the first quarter of 2008. The $\$ 256$ million ( 12.5 percent) decrease in the first quarter of 2009 from the first quarter of 2008 was principally due to the $\$ 492$ million Visa Gain included in the first quarter of 2008. Offsetting this item was a significant increase in mortgage banking revenue due to an increase in gains on the sales of mortgage loans brought on by improving margins and higher production levels, the result of the current refinancing activities, given the lower rate environment. Other increases in noninterest income included higher ATM processing services related to growth in transaction volumes and business expansion, higher treasury management fees as
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Table 2 Noninterest Income

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | March 31, |  |  |
| (Dollars in Millions) |  |  | Percent |
| Credit and debit card revenue | 2009 | 2008 | Change |
| Corporate payment products revenue | 256 | $\$$ | 248 |
| ATM processing services | 154 | 164 | $(6.2 \%$ |
| Merchant processing services | 102 | 84 | 21.4 |
| Trust and investment management fees | 258 | 271 | $(4.8)$ |
| Deposit service charges | 294 | 335 | $(12.2)$ |
| Treasury management fees | 226 | 257 | $(12.1)$ |
| Commercial products revenue | 137 | 124 | 10.5 |
| Mortgage banking revenue | 129 | 112 | 15.2 |
| Investment products fees and commissions | 233 | 105 | $*$ |
| Securities gains (losses), net | 28 | 36 | $(22.2)$ |
| Other | $(198)$ | $(251)$ | 21.1 |
|  | 169 | 559 | $(69.8)$ |
| Total noninterest income |  | 1,788 | $\$ 2,044$ |

## * Not meaningful.

behavior. Corporate payment products revenue and merchant processing services revenue decreased because transaction volumes declined. Deposit service charges decreased primarily due to lower overdraft fees, with a decrease in the volume of overdraft incidences more than offsetting account growth. Trust and investment management fees declined, as did investment product fees and commissions, reflecting a decline in equity market conditions. Other income decreased, primarily due to the net impact of the 2008 Visa Gain, offset by a $\$ 62$ million reduction in income in 2008 from the adoption of an accounting standard and the corporate real estate gain in the current quarter. Net securities losses were lower than a year ago because the Company sold certain fixed-rate securities for gains in the first quarter of 2009. Impairment charges on securities were $\$ 254$ million in the first quarter of 2009, approximately the same as recorded in the first quarter of 2008.

Noninterest Expense Noninterest expense was $\$ 1,871$ million in the first quarter of 2009, an increase of $\$ 92$ million ( 5.2 percent) from the first quarter of 2008. Compensation expense increased primarily due to businesses acquired in 2008. Employee benefits expense increased partially due to acquired businesses, but also because of increased pension costs associated with previous period declines in the value of pension assets. Net occupancy and equipment expense, and technology and communications expense increased over the first quarter of 2008, primarily due to acquisitions, as well as branch-based and other business expansion initiatives. Other expense increased year-over-year as a result of increased costs for other real estate owned, mortgage servicing, tax-advantaged projects and acquisition integration. Marketing and business development expense decreased year-over-year due to a contribution to the U.S. Bancorp Foundation in the first quarter of 2008.

Income Tax Expense The provision for income taxes was $\$ 101$ million (an effective rate of 15.6 percent) for the first quarter of 2009, compared with $\$ 476$ million (an effective rate of 30.1 percent) for the first quarter of 2008 . The
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decline in the effective tax rate from the first quarter of 2008 reflected the impact of the decline in pre-tax earnings and the relative level of tax-advantaged investments. For further information on income taxes, refer to Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS

Loans The Company s total loan portfolio was $\$ 184.4$ billion at March 31, 2009, compared with $\$ 185.2$ billion at December 31, 2008, a decrease of $\$ .8$ billion (. 4 percent). The decrease was driven by a decrease in commercial loans and covered assets, partially offset by growth in retail loans, residential mortgages and commercial real estate loans. The $\$ 1.7$ billion ( 3.0 percent) decrease in commercial loans was primarily driven by business customers lower capital spending and utilization of bank credit facilities to fund business growth and liquidity requirements. Commercial real estate loans increased $\$ .4$ billion (1.3 percent) at March 31, 2009, compared with December 31, 2008, reflecting new business growth, as current market conditions have limited borrower access to capital markets. Residential mortgages held in the loan portfolio increased $\$ .4$ billion (1.9 percent) at March 31, 2009, compared with December 31, 2008, reflecting an increase in mortgage banking origination activity as a
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Table 3 Noninterest Expense

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | March 31, |  |  |
| Percent |  |  |  |
| (Dollars in Millions) |  |  | 2009 |
| Change |  |  |  |
| Compensation | $\$ 86$ | $\$$ | 745 |
| Employee benefits | 155 | 137 | $13.5 \%$ |
| Net occupancy and equipment | 211 | 190 | 11.1 |
| Professional services | 52 | 47 | 10.6 |
| Marketing and business development | 56 | 79 | $(29.1)$ |
| Technology and communications | 155 | 140 | 10.7 |
| Postage, printing and supplies | 74 | 71 | 4.2 |
| Other intangibles | 91 | 87 | 4.6 |
| Other | 291 | 283 | 2.8 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total noninterest expense | $\$ 1,871$ | $\$ 1,779$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| Efficiency ratio | $45.8 \%$ | $43.1 \%$ |  |

result of current market interest rate declines. Most loans retained in the portfolio are to customers with prime or near-prime credit characteristics at the date of origination.
Total retail loans outstanding, which include credit card, retail leasing, home equity and second mortgages and other retail loans, increased $\$ .4$ billion (. 7 percent) at March 31, 2009, compared with December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily driven by growth in student loan and credit card balances, partially offset by a decrease in installment loan balances.

Loans Held for Sale Loans held for sale, consisting primarily of residential mortgages and student loans to be sold in the secondary market, were $\$ 4.7$ billion at March 31, 2009, compared with $\$ 3.2$ billion at December 31, 2008. The increase in loans held for sale was principally due to an increase in mortgage loan origination activity due to a decline in rates and seasonal loan originations during the first quarter of 2009.

Investment Securities Investment securities, including available-for-sale and held-to-maturity, totaled $\$ 39.3$ billion at March 31, 2009, compared with $\$ 39.5$ billion at December 31, 2008. At March 31, 2009, adjustable-rate financial instruments comprised 44 percent of the investment securities portfolio, compared with 40 percent at December 31, 2008.

The Company conducts a regular assessment of its investment securities to determine whether any securities are other-than-temporarily impaired. On April 9, 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 ( FSP 115-2 ), Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments , which the Company adopted effective January 1, 2009. FSP 115-2 provides guidance for the measurement and recognition of other-than-temporary impairment for debt securities, and requires the portion of other-than-temporary impairment related to factors other than credit losses be recognized in other comprehensive income (loss), rather than earnings. The effect of the adoption of FSP 115-2 was not significant.

Net unrealized losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) were $\$ 2.3$ billion at March 31, 2009, compared with $\$ 2.8$ billion at December 31, 2008. The decrease in unrealized losses was primarily due to amounts recognized as other-than-temporary impairment, and an increase in fair value of agency mortgage-backed securities and obligations of state and political subdivisions. Many of the state and political subdivision obligations are supported by mono-line insurers. As a result, management monitors the underlying credit quality of the issuers and the support of the mono-line insurers.
As of March 31, 2009, approximately 1 percent of the available-for-sale securities portfolio consisted of perpetual preferred securities, primarily issued by financial institutions. The unrealized losses for these securities were $\$ 274$ million at March 31, 2009, compared to $\$ 387$ million at December 31, 2008. The decrease was principally a result of impairment recognized on the perpetual preferred stock of a large domestic bank downgraded during the first quarter of 2009.
There is limited market activity for the remaining structured investment security and certain non-agency mortgage-backed securities held by the Company. As a result the Company estimates the fair value of these securities using estimates of expected cash flows, discount rates and management $s$ assessment of various market factors, which are judgmental in nature. The Company recorded $\$ 56$ million of impairment charges on non-agency mortgage-backed and structured investment vehicle related securities during the first
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Table 4 Investment Securities

(a) Information related to asset and mortgage-backed securities included above is presented based upon weighted-average maturities anticipating future prepayments.
(b) Information related to obligations of state and politcal subdivisions is presented based upon yield to first optional call date if the security is purchased at a premium, yield to maturity if purchased at par or a discount.
(c) Average yields are presented on a fully-taxable equivalent basis under a tax rate of 35 percent. Yields on available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities are computed based on historical cost balances. Average yield and maturity calculations exclude equity securities that have no stated yield or maturity.
quarter of 2009. These impairment charges were a result of changes in expected cash flows resulting from the continuing decline in housing prices and an increase in foreclosure activity. Further adverse changes in market conditions may result in additional impairment charges in future periods. Refer to Note 3 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on investment securities.

Deposits Total deposits were $\$ 162.6$ billion at March 31, 2009, compared with $\$ 159.3$ billion at December 31, 2008, an increase of $\$ 3.2$ billion ( 2.0 percent). The increase in total deposits was primarily the result of increases in savings accounts, interest checking accounts and noninterest-bearing deposit balances, partially offset by a decrease in time deposits greater than $\$ 100,000$. The $\$ 2.7$ billion ( 29.3 percent) increase in savings account balances was due primarily to strong participation in a new savings product offered by Consumer Banking and higher broker-dealer balances. The $\$ 2.1$ billion ( 6.5 percent) increase in interest checking balances was due to higher government, broker-dealer and branch-based balances. The $\$ 1.2$ billion ( 3.2 percent) increase in noninterest-bearing deposits was primarily due to increases in broker-dealer and commercial banking balances, partially offset by the seasonal decline in corporate trust deposits. Time deposits greater than $\$ 100,000$ decreased $\$ 2.8$ billion ( 7.8 percent) at March 31, 2009, compared with December 31, 2008. Time deposits greater than $\$ 100,000$ are managed as an alternative to other funding sources, such as wholesale borrowing, based largely on relative pricing.

Borrowings The Company utilizes both short-term and long-term borrowings to fund growth of assets in excess of deposit growth. Short-term borrowings, which include federal funds purchased, commercial paper, repurchase agreements, borrowings secured by high-grade assets and other short-term borrowings, were $\$ 26.0$ billion at March 31, 2009, compared with $\$ 34.0$ billion at December 31, 2008. The decrease reflected continued increases in deposits due to customer flight to quality, as well as asset/liability management decisions to fund balance sheet growth with other funding sources, such as deposits and long-term debt.
Long-term debt was $\$ 38.8$ billion at March 31, 2009, compared with $\$ 38.4$ billion at December 31, 2008, primarily reflecting issuances of $\$ 1.6$ billion of medium-term notes, partially offset by $\$ .6$ billion of medium-term note maturities and the net decrease of $\$ .5$ billion of Federal Home Loan Bank Advances in the first quarter of 2009. The $\$ .5$ billion ( 1.2 percent) increase in long-term debt reflected wholesale funding associated with the Company s asset growth and asset/liability management activities. Refer to the Liquidity Risk Management section for discussion of liquidity management of the Company.
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## CORPORATE RISK PROFILE

Overview Managing risks is an essential part of successfully operating a financial services company. The most prominent risk exposures are credit, residual value, operational, interest rate, market and liquidity risk. Credit risk is the risk of not collecting the interest and/or the principal balance of a loan or investment when it is due. Residual value risk is the potential reduction in the end-of-term value of leased assets. Operational risk includes risks related to fraud, legal and compliance risk, processing errors, technology, breaches of internal controls and business continuation and disaster recovery risk. Interest rate risk is the potential reduction of net interest income as a result of changes in interest rates, which can affect the re-pricing of assets and liabilities differently. Market risk arises from fluctuations in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and security prices that may result in changes in the values of financial instruments, such as trading and available-for-sale securities that are accounted for on a mark-to-market basis. Liquidity risk is the possible inability to fund obligations to depositors, investors or borrowers. In addition, corporate strategic decisions, as well as the risks described above, could give rise to reputation risk. Reputation risk is the risk that negative publicity or press, whether true or not, could result in costly litigation or cause a decline in the Company s stock value, customer base, funding sources or revenue.

Credit Risk Management The Company s strategy for credit risk management includes well-defined, centralized credit policies, uniform underwriting criteria, and ongoing risk monitoring and review processes for all commercial and consumer credit exposures. In evaluating its credit risk, the Company considers changes, if any, in underwriting activities, the loan portfolio composition (including product mix and geographic, industry or customer-specific concentrations), trends in loan performance, the level of allowance coverage relative to similar banking institutions and macroeconomic factors. Refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis Credit Risk Management in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, for a more detailed discussion on credit risk management processes.
The Company manages its credit risk, in part through diversification of its loan portfolio. As part of its normal business activities, the Company offers a broad array of commercial and retail lending products. The Company s retail lending business utilizes several distinct business processes and channels to originate retail credit, including traditional branch lending, indirect lending, portfolio acquisitions and a consumer finance division. Generally, loans managed by the Company s consumer finance division exhibit higher credit risk characteristics, but are priced commensurate with the differing risk profile. With respect to residential mortgages originated through these channels, the Company may either retain the loans on its balance sheet or sell its interest in the balances into the secondary market while retaining the servicing rights and customer relationships. For residential mortgages that are retained in the Company s portfolio and for home equity and second mortgages, credit risk is also diversified by geography and managed by monitoring loan-to-values during the underwriting process.

The following tables provide summary information of the loan-to-values of residential mortgages and home equity and second mortgages by distribution channel and type at March 31, 2009 (excluding covered assets):

Residential mortgages
(Dollars in Millions)

Interest Only

Amortizing
Total
Percent
of Total

## Consumer Finance

Less than or equal to $80 \%$
Over 80\% through $90 \%$
Over $90 \%$ through $100 \%$
\$ 1,035 \$ 2,872 \$ 3,907 $699 \quad 1,538 \quad 2,237$ $721 \quad 2,829 \quad 3,550$
36.1


Note: Loan-to-values determined as of the date of origination and adjusted for cumulative principal payments, and consider mortgage insurance, as applicable.
U.S. Bancorp
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Home equity and second mortgages

(Dollars in Millions) Lines Loans Total | Percent |
| ---: |
| of Total |

Consumer Finance (a)

| Less than or equal to $80 \%$ | $\$$ | 691 | $\$$ | 198 | $\$$ | 889 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Over $80 \%$ through $90 \%$ | 340 |  | 189 |  | 529 | 21.7 |
| Over $90 \%$ through $100 \%$ |  | 405 | 422 | 827 | 34.0 |  |
| Over $100 \%$ | 67 | 124 | 191 | 7.8 |  |  |


| Total | $\$$ | 1,503 | $\$$ | 933 | $\$$ | 2,436 | $100.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than or equal to $80 \%$ | $\$$ | 11,416 | $\$$ | 1,662 | $\$$ | 13,078 | $78.0 \%$ |
| Over $80 \%$ through $90 \%$ |  | 4611 |  | 462 |  | 2,273 | 13.6 |
| Over $90 \%$ through $100 \%$ | 940 |  | 400 | 1,340 | 8.0 |  |  |
| Over 100\% | 53 | 21 | 74 | .4 |  |  |  |


| Total | $\$$ | 14,220 | $\$$ | 2,545 | $\$$ | 16,765 | $100.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Company | $\$$ | 12,107 | $\$$ | 1,860 | $\$$ | 13,967 | $72.7 \%$ |
| Less than or equal to $80 \%$ |  | 2,151 |  | 651 |  | 2,802 | 14.6 |
| Over $80 \%$ through $90 \%$ | 1,345 |  | 822 |  | 2,167 | 11.3 |  |
| Over $90 \%$ through $100 \%$ | 120 |  | 145 |  | 265 | 1.4 |  |
| Over 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$$ | 15,723 | $\$$ | 3,478 | $\$$ | 19,201 | $100.0 \%$ |

(a) Consumer finance category included credit originated and managed by the consumer finance division, as well as the majority of home equity and second mortgages with a loan-to-value greater than 100 percent that were originated in the branches.
Note: Loan-to-values determined on original appraisal value of collateral and the current amortized loan balance, or maximum of current commitment or current balance on lines.

Within the consumer finance division, at March 31, 2009, approximately $\$ 2.8$ billion of residential mortgages were to customers that may be defined as sub-prime borrowers based on credit scores from independent credit rating agencies at loan origination, compared with $\$ 2.9$ billion at December 31, 2008.

The following table provides further information on residential mortgages for the consumer finance division:

(Dollars in Millions) \begin{tabular}{ccc}
Interest <br>
Only

$\quad$ Amortizing $\quad$ Total 

Percent of <br>
Division
\end{tabular}

## Sub-Prime Borrowers

| Less than or equal to $80 \%$ | $\$$ | 4 | $\$$ | 1,076 | $\$$ | 1,080 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Over $80 \%$ through $90 \%$ |  | 6 | 675 | 681 | $11.0 \%$ |  |
| Over $90 \%$ through $100 \%$ |  | 18 | 942 | 960 | 9.9 |  |
| Over $100 \%$ |  | 81 | 81 | .8 |  |  |


| Total | $\$$ | 28 | $\$$ | 2,774 | $\$$ | 2,802 | $28.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other Borrowers <br> Less than or equal to $80 \%$ | $\$$ | 1,031 | $\$$ | 1,796 | $\$$ | 2,827 | $28.7 \%$ |
| Over $80 \%$ through $90 \%$ |  | 693 |  | 863 |  | 1,556 | 15.8 |
| Over $90 \%$ through $100 \%$ | 703 |  | 1,887 | 2,590 | 26.3 |  |  |
| Over 100\% |  | 67 | 67 | .7 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$$ | 2,427 | $\$$ | 4,613 | $\$$ | 7,040 | $71.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Consumer Finance | $\$$ | 2,455 | $\$$ | 7,387 | $\$$ | 9,842 | $100.0 \%$ |

In addition to residential mortgages, at March 31, 2009, the consumer finance division had $\$ .7$ billion of home equity and second mortgage loans to customers that may be defined as sub-prime borrowers, unchanged from December 31, 2008.

The following table provides further information on home equity and second mortgages for the consumer finance division:

(Dollars in Millions) Lines Loans Total | Percent |
| :---: |
| of Total |

## Sub-Prime Borrowers

| Less than or equal to $80 \%$ | $\$$ | 25 | $\$$ | 130 | $\$$ | 155 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Over $80 \%$ through $90 \%$ | 30 | 123 |  | 153 | $6.4 \%$ |  |
| Over $90 \%$ through $100 \%$ |  | 2 | 264 | 266 | 10.9 |  |
| Over $100 \%$ |  | 44 | 90 | 134 | 5.5 |  |


| Total | $\$$ | 101 | $\$$ | 607 | $\$$ | 708 | $29.1 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other Borrowers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than or equal to $80 \%$ | 666 | $\$$ | 68 | $\$$ | 734 | $30.1 \%$ |  |
| Over 80\% through $90 \%$ |  | 310 |  | 66 |  | 376 | 15.4 |
| Over $90 \%$ through $100 \%$ | 403 | 158 |  | 561 | 23.0 |  |  |
| Over 100\% | 23 |  | 34 |  | 57 | 2.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$$ | 1,402 | $\$$ | 326 | $\$$ | 1,728 | $70.9 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Consumer Finance | $\$$ | 1,503 | $\$$ | 933 | $\$$ | 2,436 | $100.0 \%$ |

Including residential mortgages, and home equity and second mortgage loans, the total amount of loans, other than covered assets, to customers that may be defined as sub-prime borrowers represented only 1.3 percent of total assets at March 31, 2009, compared with 1.4 percent at December 31, 2008. Covered assets include $\$ 3.1$ billion in loans with negative-amortization payment options at March 31, 2009, compared with $\$ 3.3$ billion at December 31, 2008. Other than covered assets, the Company does not have any residential mortgages with payment schedules that would cause balances to increase over time.
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Table 5 Delinquent Loan Ratios as a Percent of Ending Loan Balances
90 days or more past due excluding nonperforming loans 2009 ..... 2008
March 31, December 31,
Commercial
Commercial .....  $22 \%$ ..... 15\%
Lease financing
Total commercial ..... 19 ..... 13
Commercial Real Estate
Commercial mortgages
Construction and development ..... 23 ..... 36
Total commercial real estate .....  07 ..... 11
Residential Mortgages ..... 2.03 ..... 1.55
Retail
Credit card ..... 2.56 ..... 2.20
Retail leasing ..... 16
Other retail ..... 50 ..... 45
Total retail ..... 94 ..... 82
Total loans, excluding covered assets ..... 68 ..... 56
Covered Assets ..... 6.76 ..... 5.13
Total loans $1.05 \%$ ..... $.84 \%$
90 days or more past due including nonperforming loans 2009 ..... 2008
March 31, December 31,
Commercial 1.59\% ..... $.82 \%$
Commercial real estate ..... 3.87 ..... 3.34
Residential mortgages (a) ..... 3.02 ..... 2.44
Retail (b) 1.16 .....  9724
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Total loans, excluding covered assets } & 2.08 & 1.57\end{array}$

Covered assets

Total loans
(a) Delinquent loan ratios exclude advances made pursuant to servicing agreements to Government National Mortgage Association ( GNMA ) mortgage pools whose repayments are insured by the Federal Housing Administration or guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs. Including the guaranteed amounts, the ratio of residential mortgages 90 days or more past due including nonperforming loans was 9.90 percent at March 31, 2009, and 6.95 percent at December 31, 2008.
(b) Delinquent loan ratios exclude student loans that are guaranteed by the federal government. Including the guaranteed amounts, the ratio of retail loans 90 days or more past due including nonperforming loans was 1.29 percent at March 31, 2009, and 1.10 percent at December 31, 2008.

Loan Delinquencies Trends in delinquency ratios are one indicator, among other considerations, of credit risk within the Company s loan portfolios. The Company measures delinquencies, both including and excluding nonperforming loans, to enable comparability with other companies. Accruing loans 90 days or more past due totaled $\$ 1,932$ million ( $\$ 1,185$ million excluding covered assets) at March 31, 2009, compared with $\$ 1,554$ million ( $\$ 967$ million excluding covered assets) at December 31, 2008. The increase in 90 day delinquent loans was primarily related to residential mortgages, commercial loans, credit cards, home equity loans and covered assets. These loans are not included in nonperforming assets and continue to accrue interest because they are adequately secured by collateral, and/or are in the process of collection and are reasonably expected to result in repayment or restoration to current status. The ratio of accruing loans 90 days or more past due to total loans was 1.05 percent (. 68 percent excluding covered assets) at March 31, 2009, compared with .84 percent ( .56 percent excluding covered assets) at December 31, 2008. The Company expects delinquencies to continue to increase as difficult economic conditions affect more borrowers, both consumer and commercial.
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The following table provides summary delinquency information for residential mortgages and retail loans, excluding covered assets:


## Retail

Credit card

| 30-89 days | \$ | 359 | \$ | 369 | 2.62\% | 2.73\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90 days or more |  | 352 |  | 297 | 2.56 | 2.20 |
| Nonperforming |  | 90 |  | 67 | . 66 | . 49 |
| Total | \$ | 801 | \$ | 733 | 5.84\% | 5.42\% |
| Retail leasing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-89 days | \$ | 43 | \$ | 49 | .85\% | .95\% |
| 90 days or more |  | 7 |  | 8 | . 14 | . 16 |

Nonperforming

| Total | \$ | 50 | \$ | 57 | . $99 \%$ | 1.11\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home equity and second mortgages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-89 days | \$ | 160 | \$ | 170 | .83\% | .89\% |
| 90 days or more |  | 122 |  | 106 | . 63 | . 55 |
| Nonperforming |  | 30 |  | 14 | . 16 | . 07 |
| Total | \$ | 312 | \$ | 290 | 1.62\% | 1.51\% |
| Other retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-89 days | \$ | 228 | \$ | 255 | 1.00\% | 1.13\% |
| 90 days or more |  | 89 |  | 81 | . 39 | . 36 |
| Nonperforming |  | 15 |  | 11 | . 07 | . 05 |
| Total | \$ | 332 | \$ | 347 | 1.46\% | 1.54\% |

Within these product categories, the following table provides information on delinquent and nonperforming loans as a percent of ending loan balances, by channel:


## Residential mortgages

| 30-89 days | 3.15\% | 3.96\% | 1.18\% | 1.06\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90 days or more | 3.24 | 2.61 | 1.18 | . 79 |
| Nonperforming | 1.76 | 1.60 | . 47 | . 38 |
| Total | 8.15\% | 8.17\% | 2.83\% | 2.23\% |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |
| Credit card |  |  |  |  |
| 30-89 days | \% | \% | 2.62\% | 2.73\% |
| 90 days or more |  |  | 2.56 | 2.20 |
| Nonperforming |  |  | . 66 | . 49 |
| Total | \% | \% | 5.84\% | 5.42\% |
| Retail leasing |  |  |  |  |
| 30-89 days | \% | \% | .85\% | .95\% |
| 90 days or more |  |  | . 14 | . 16 |
| Nonperforming |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \% | \% | .99\% | 1.11\% |
| Home equity and second mortgages |  |  |  |  |
| 30-89 days | 2.18\% | 3.24\% | .64\% | . $59 \%$ |
| 90 days or more | 2.26 | 2.36 | . 40 | . 32 |
| Nonperforming | . 45 | . 14 | . 11 | . 07 |
| Total | 4.89\% | 5.74\% | 1.15\% | . $98 \%$ |
| Other retail |  |  |  |  |
| 30-89 days | 4.80\% | 6.91\% | .91\% | 1.00\% |
| 90 days or more | 1.29 | 1.98 | . 37 | . 32 |
| Nonperforming | . 18 |  | . 06 | . 05 |
| Total | 6.27\% | 8.89\% | 1.34\% | 1.37\% |

(a) Consumer finance category included credit originated and managed by the consumer finance division, as well as the majority of home equity and second mortgages with a loan-to-value greater than 100 percent that were originated in the branches.
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Within the consumer finance division at March 31, 2009, approximately $\$ 426$ million and $\$ 96$ million of these delinquent and nonperforming residential mortgages and other retail loans, respectively, were with customers that may be defined as sub-prime borrowers, compared with $\$ 467$ million and $\$ 121$ million, respectively, at December 31, 2008.

The following table provides summary delinquency information for covered assets:


Restructured Loans Accruing Interest In certain circumstances, management may modify the terms of a loan to maximize the collection of the loan balance. In most cases, the modification is either a reduction in interest rate, extension of the maturity date or a reduction in the principal balance. Restructured loans, except those where the principal balance has been reduced, accrue interest as long as the borrower complies with the revised terms and conditions and has demonstrated repayment performance at a level commensurate with the modified terms over several payment cycles.

The following table provides a summary of restructured loans, excluding covered assets, that are performing in accordance with modified terms, and therefore continue to accrue interest:

|  | Amount |  |  |  | As a Percent of Ending Loan Balances |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ch 31, |  | December 31, | March 31, | December 31, |
| (Dollars in Millions) |  | 2009 |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Commercial | \$ | 47 | \$ | 35 | .09\% | .06\% |
| Commercial real estate |  | 128 |  | 138 | . 38 | . 42 |
| Residential mortgages |  | 1,129 |  | 813 | 4.70 | 3.45 |
| Credit card |  | 509 |  | 450 | 3.71 | 3.33 |
| Other retail |  | 88 |  | 73 | . 19 | . 16 |
| Total loans | \$ | 1,901 | \$ | 1,509 | 1.03\% | .81\% |

Restructured loans, excluding covered assets, were $\$ 392$ million higher at March 31, 2009, compared with December 31, 2008, reflecting the impact of restructurings for certain residential mortgage customers in light of current economic conditions. The Company expects this trend to continue as the Company assists borrowers who are having financial difficulties.

The Company has also modified certain covered loans in accordance with the terms of agreements with the FDIC in connection with the acquisitions of Downey and PFF. Losses associated with modifications on covered assets, including the economic impact of interest rate reductions, are generally eligible for credit loss protection under the loss sharing agreements.

Nonperforming Assets The level of nonperforming assets represents another indicator of the potential for future credit losses. At March 31, 2009, total nonperforming assets were $\$ 3,410$ million, compared with $\$ 2,624$ million at December 31, 2008. Nonperforming assets at March 31, 2009 included $\$ 702$ million of covered assets, compared with $\$ 643$ million at December 31, 2008. The ratio of total nonperforming assets to total loans and other real estate was 1.85 percent ( 1.56 percent excluding covered assets) at March 31, 2009, compared with 1.42 percent ( 1.14 percent excluding covered assets) at December 31, 2008. The increase in nonperforming assets was driven primarily by the residential construction portfolio and related industries, as well as the residential mortgage portfolio, an increase in foreclosed residential properties and the impact of the economic slowdown on other commercial customers. Included in nonperforming loans were restructured loans that are not accruing interest, of $\$ 169$ million at March 31, 2009, compared with $\$ 151$ million at December 31, 2008.
Other real estate, excluding covered assets, was $\$ 257$ million at March 31, 2009, compared with $\$ 190$ million at December 31, 2008, and was primarily related to foreclosed properties that previously secured residential mortgages, home equity and second mortgage loan balances. The increase in other real estate assets reflected continuing stress in residential construction and related supplier industries and higher residential mortgage loan foreclosures.
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Table 6 Nonperforming Assets (a)

| (Dollars in Millions) | March 31,$2009$ |  | December 31,2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ | 651 | \$ | 290 |
| Lease financing |  | 119 |  | 102 |
| Total commercial |  | 770 |  | 392 |
| Commercial Real Estate |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial mortgages |  | 392 |  | 294 |
| Construction and development |  | 887 |  | 780 |
| Total commercial real estate |  | 1,279 |  | 1,074 |
| Residential Mortgages |  | 239 |  | 210 |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |
| Credit card |  | 90 |  | 67 |
| Retail leasing |  |  |  |  |
| Other retail |  | 45 |  | 25 |
| Total retail |  | 135 |  | 92 |
| Total nonperforming loans, excluding covered assets |  | 2,423 |  | 1,768 |
| Covered Assets |  | 702 |  | 643 |
| Total nonperforming loans |  | 3,125 |  | 2,411 |
| Other Real Estate (b) |  | 257 |  | 190 |
| Other Assets |  | 28 |  | 23 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ | 3,410 | \$ | 2,624 |
| Accruing loans 90 days or more past due, excluding covered assets | \$ | 1,185 | \$ | 967 |
| Accruing loans 90 days or more past due | \$ | 1,932 | \$ | 1,554 |
| Nonperforming loans to total loans, excluding covered assets |  | 1.40\% |  | 1.02\% |
| Nonperforming loans to total loans |  | 1.69\% |  | 1.30\% |
| Nonperforming assets to total loans plus other real estate, excluding covered assets (b) |  | 1.56\% |  | 1.14\% |
| Nonperforming assets to total loans plus other real estate (b) |  | 1.85\% |  | 1.42\% |

## Changes in Nonperforming Assets

| Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) |  | Real Estate | Mortgages (d) |  | Total |  |
| Balance December 31, 2008 | \$ | 1,896 | \$ | 728 | \$ | 2,624 |
| Additions to nonperforming assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New nonaccrual loans and foreclosed properties |  | 1,100 |  | 298 |  | 1,398 |
| Advances on loans |  | 27 |  |  |  | 27 |
| Total additions |  | 1,127 |  | 298 |  | 1,425 |
| Reductions in nonperforming assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paydowns, payoffs |  | (67) |  | (138) |  | (205) |
| Net sales |  | (8) |  |  |  | (8) |
| Return to performing status |  | (53) |  | (4) |  | (57) |
| Charge-offs (c) |  | (312) |  | (57) |  | (369) |
| Total reductions |  | (440) |  | (199) |  | (639) |
| Net additions to nonperforming assets |  | 687 |  | 99 |  | 786 |
| Balance March 31, 2009 | \$ | 2,583 | \$ | 827 | \$ | 3,410 |
| (a) Throughout this document, nonperforming assets and related ratios do not include accruing loans 90 days or more past due. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) Excludes $\$ 237$ million and $\$ 209$ million at March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, respectively of foreclose GNMA loans which continue to accrue interest. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) Charge-offs exclude actions for certain card products and loan sales that were not classified as nonperforming at the time the charge-off occurred. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) Residential mortgage information excludes | den | tial mortgage | serv | by oth |  |  |

The following table provides an analysis of other real estate owned ( OREO ) excluding covered assets, as a percent of their related loan balances, including further detail for residential mortgages and home equity and second mortgage loan balances by geographical location:

|  | Amount |  |  | As a Percent of Ending Loan Balances |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31, | Dec | ber 31, | March 31, | December 31, |
| (Dollars in Millions) | 2009 |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minnesota | \$ 20 | \$ | 18 | .37\% | . $34 \%$ |
| California | 18 |  | 13 | . 39 | . 29 |
| Michigan | 12 |  | 12 | 2.49 | 2.39 |
| Missouri | 9 |  | 7 | . 34 | . 26 |
| Florida | 9 |  | 9 | 1.24 | 1.20 |
| All other states | 98 |  | 86 | . 33 | . 30 |
| Total residential | 166 |  | 145 | . 38 | . 34 |
| Commercial | 91 |  | 45 | . 27 | . 14 |
| Total OREO | \$ 257 | \$ | 190 | .14\% | .10\% |
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Table 7 Net Charge-offs as a Percent of Average Loans Outstanding
Three Months Ended
March 31,
2009

Commercial

| Commercial | $.92 \%$ | $.34 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Lease financing | 3.29 | 1.03 |

Total commercial 1.21 . 43
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Commercial Real Estate } \\ \text { Commercial mortgages } & .22\end{array}$
Construction and development 4.82 . 35
Total commercial real estate $\quad 1.58$. 16
Residential Mortgages $\quad 1.54$. 46
Retail
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Credit card } & 6.32 & 3.93\end{array}$
Retail leasing $\quad 1.03$. 49
Home equity and second mortgages $\quad 1.48$. 73
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Other retail } & 1.75 & 1.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Total retail } & 2.62 & 1.58\end{array}$
Total loans, excluding covered assets $\quad 1.82$. 76
Covered Assets . 21

Total loans $\quad 1.72 \% \quad .76 \%$

The Company expects nonperforming assets, including OREO, to continue to increase as difficult economic conditions affect more borrowers, both consumer and commercial.

Analysis of Loan Net Charge-Offs Total loan net charge-offs were $\$ 788$ million for the first quarter of 2009, compared with net charge-offs of $\$ 293$ million for the first quarter of 2008. The ratio of total loan net charge-offs to average loans outstanding on an annualized basis for the first quarter of 2009 was 1.72 percent, compared with .76 percent, for the first quarter of 2008. The year-over-year increase in total net charge-offs was driven by factors affecting the residential housing markets, including homebuilding and related industries, and credit costs associated with credit card and other consumer loans as the economy weakened. Given current economic conditions and the continuing weakness in home prices and the economy in general, the Company expects net charge-offs will remain elevated during 2009.
Commercial and commercial real estate loan net charge-offs for the first quarter of 2009 increased to $\$ 297$ million ( 1.35 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis), compared with $\$ 67$ million (. 33 percent of average
loans outstanding on an annualized basis) for the first quarter of 2008. The year-over-year increase in net charge-offs reflected continuing stress in housing, especially residential homebuilding and related industry sectors.
Residential mortgage loan net charge-offs for the first quarter of 2009 were $\$ 91$ million ( 1.54 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis), compared with $\$ 26$ million (. 46 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis) for the first quarter of 2008. Total retail loan net charge-offs for the first quarter of 2009 were $\$ 394$ million ( 2.62 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis), compared with $\$ 200$ million ( 1.58 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis) for the first quarter of 2008. The increased residential mortgage and retail loan net charge-offs reflected the adverse impact of current economic conditions on consumers.
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The following table provides an analysis of net charge-offs as a percent of average loans outstanding managed by the consumer finance division, compared with other retail related loans:

|  | Three Months Ended March 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Loans |  |  |  | Percent of Average Loans |  |
| (Dollars in Millions) |  | 2009 |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Consumer Finance (a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgages | \$ | 9,898 | \$ | 9,898 | 2.99\% | .85\% |
| Home equity and second mortgages |  | 2,417 |  | 1,873 | 6.21 | 4.29 |
| Other retail |  | 525 |  | 429 | 7.72 | 5.63 |
| Other Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgages | \$ | 14,017 | \$ | 13,080 | . $52 \%$ | .15\% |
| Home equity and second mortgages |  | 16,798 |  | 14,654 | . 80 | . 27 |
| Other retail |  | 22,462 |  | 17,202 | 1.61 | 1.15 |
| Total Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgages | \$ | 23,915 | \$ | 22,978 | 1.54\% | . $46 \%$ |
| Home equity and second mortgages |  | 19,215 |  | 16,527 | 1.48 | . 73 |
| Other retail |  | 22,987 |  | 17,631 | 1.75 | 1.25 |

(a) Consumer finance category included credit originated and managed by the consumer finance division, as well as the majority of home equity and second mortgages with a loan-to-value greater than 100 percent that were originated in the branches.
The following table provides further information on net charge-offs as a percent of average loans outstanding for the consumer finance division:

|  | Three Months Ended March 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Loans |  |  |  | Average Loans |  |
| (Dollars in Millions) |  | 2009 |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Residential mortgages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-prime borrowers | \$ | 2,838 | \$ | 3,220 | 5.00\% | 1.62\% |
| Other borrowers |  | 7,060 |  | 6,678 | 2.18 | . 48 |
| Total | \$ | 9,898 | \$ | 9,898 | 2.99\% | . 85 |
| Home equity and second mortgages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-prime borrowers | \$ | 713 | \$ |  | 10.81\% | 6.59\% |
| Other borrowers |  | 1,704 |  | 1,019 | 4.28 | 2.37 |
| Total | \$ | 2,417 | \$ | 1,873 | 6.21\% | 4.29\% |

Analysis and Determination of the Allowance for Credit Losses The allowance for loan losses reserves for probable and estimable losses incurred in the Company s loan and lease portfolio, and considers credit loss protection from loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. Management evaluates the allowance each quarter to ensure it is sufficient to cover incurred losses. Several factors were taken into consideration in evaluating the allowance for credit losses at March 31, 2009, including the risk profile of the portfolios, net charge-offs during the period, the level of
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nonperforming assets, accruing loans 90 days or more past due, delinquency ratios and changes in restructured loan balances. Management also considered the uncertainty related to certain industry sectors, and the extent of credit exposure to specific borrowers within the portfolio. In addition, concentration risks associated with commercial real estate and the mix of loans, including credit cards, loans originated through the consumer finance division and residential mortgage balances, and their relative credit risks, were evaluated. Finally, the Company considered current economic conditions that might impact the portfolio.
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Table 8 Summary of Allowance for Credit Losses
Three Months Ended March 31,(Dollars in Millions)20092008
Balance at beginning of period ..... \$ 3,639 ..... \$ 2,260
Charge-offs
Commercial
Commercial ..... 117 ..... 46
Lease financing ..... 63 ..... 22
Total commercial ..... 180 ..... 68
Commercial real estate
Commercial mortgages ..... 14
Construction and development ..... 117
Total commercial real estate ..... 131 ..... 12
Residential mortgages ..... 93 ..... 26
Retail
Credit card ..... 225 ..... 131
Retail leasing ..... 15 ..... 8
Home equity and second mortgages ..... 72 ..... 32
Other retail ..... 118
Total retail ..... 430 ..... 242
Covered assets ..... 6
Total charge-offs ..... 840 ..... 348
Recoveries
Commercial
Commercial ..... 5 ..... 7
Lease financing ..... 8 ..... 6
Total commercial ..... 13 ..... 13
Commercial real estateCommercial mortgages1
Construction and development
Total commercial real estate ..... 1
Residential mortgages ..... 2
Retail
Credit card ..... 13 ..... 23
Retail leasing ..... 1
Home equity and second mortgages ..... 2
Other retail ..... 19 ..... 16
Total retail ..... 36 ..... 42
Covered assets
Total recoveries ..... 52 ..... 55
Net Charge-offs
Commercial
Commercial ..... 112 ..... 39
Lease financing ..... 55 ..... 16
Total commercial ..... 167 ..... 55
Commercial real estate
Commercial mortgages ..... 13 ..... 4
Construction and development ..... 117
Total commercial real estate ..... 130 ..... 12
Residential mortgages ..... 91 ..... 26
Retail
Credit card ..... 212 ..... 108
Retail leasing ..... 13 ..... 7
Home equity and second mortgages ..... 70 ..... 30
Other retail ..... 99 ..... 55
Total retail ..... 394 ..... 200
Covered assets ..... 6
Total net charge-offs ..... 788 ..... 293
Provision for credit losses ..... 1,318 ..... 485
Acquisitions and other changes ..... (64) ..... (17)
Balance at end of period ..... \$ 4,105 ..... \$ 2,435
Components
Allowance for loan losses\$ 3,947 \$ 2,251
Liability for unfunded credit commitments ..... 158 ..... 184
Total allowance for credit losses ..... \$ 4,105 ..... \$ 2,435
Allowance for credit losses as a percentage of
Period-end loans, excluding covered assets ..... 2.37\% ..... 1.54\%
Nonperforming loans, excluding covered assets ..... 169 ..... 358
Nonperforming assets, excluding covered assets ..... 152 ..... 288
Annualized net charge-offs, excluding covered assets ..... 129 ..... 207
Period-end loans ..... 2.23\% ..... 1.54\%
Nonperforming loans ..... 131 ..... 358
Nonperforming assets ..... 120 ..... 288
Annualized net charge-offs ..... 128 ..... 207
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At March 31, 2009, the allowance for credit losses was $\$ 4,105$ million ( 2.23 percent of total loans and 2.37 percent of loans excluding covered assets), compared with an allowance of $\$ 3,639$ million ( 1.96 percent of total loans and 2.09 percent of loans excluding covered assets) at December 31, 2008. The ratio of the allowance for credit losses to nonperforming loans was 131 percent ( 169 percent excluding covered assets) at March 31, 2009, compared with 151 percent ( 206 percent excluding covered assets) at December 31, 2008. The ratio of the allowance for credit losses to annualized loan net charge-offs was 128 percent ( 129 percent excluding covered assets) at March 31, 2009, compared with 200 percent ( 201 percent excluding covered assets for full year 2008 net charge-offs) at December 31, 2008.

## Residual Value Risk Management

The Company manages its risk to changes in the residual value of leased assets through disciplined residual valuation setting at the inception of a lease, diversification of its leased assets, regular residual asset valuation reviews and monitoring of residual value gains or losses upon the disposition of assets. As of March 31, 2009, no significant change in the amount of residuals or concentration of the portfolios has occurred since December 31, 2008. Refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis Residual Value Risk Management in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, for further discussion on residual value risk management.

## Operational Risk Management

The Company manages operational risk through a risk management framework and its internal control processes. Within this framework, the Corporate Risk Committee ( Risk Committee ) provides oversight and assesses the most significant operational risks facing the Company within its business lines. Under the guidance of the Risk Committee, enterprise risk management personnel establish policies and interact with business lines to monitor significant operating risks on a regular basis. Business lines have direct and primary responsibility and accountability for identifying, controlling, and monitoring operational risks embedded in their business activities. Refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis Operational Risk Management in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, for further discussion on operational risk management.

## Interest Rate Risk Management

In the banking industry, changes in interest rates are a significant risk that can impact earnings, market valuations and safety and soundness of an entity. To minimize the volatility of net interest income and the market value of assets and liabilities, the Company manages its exposure to changes in interest rates through asset and liability management activities within guidelines established by its Asset Liability Policy Committee ( ALPC ) and approved by the Board of Directors. ALPC has the responsibility for approving and ensuring compliance with the ALPC management policies, including interest rate risk exposure. The Company uses net interest income simulation analysis and market value of equity modeling for measuring and analyzing consolidated interest rate risk.

Net Interest Income Simulation Analysis Management estimates the impact on net interest income of changes in market interest rates under a number of scenarios, including gradual shifts, immediate and sustained parallel shifts, and flattening or steepening of the yield curve. The table below summarizes the projected impact to net interest income over the next 12 months of various potential interest rate changes. The ALPC policy limits the estimated change in net interest income to a 4.0 percent decline of forecasted net interest income over the next 12 months. At March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, the Company was within policy. Refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis Net Interest Income Simulation Analysis in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, for further discussion on net interest income simulation analysis.

Market Value of Equity Modeling The Company also manages interest rate sensitivity by utilizing market value of equity modeling, which measures the degree to which the market values of the Company s assets and liabilities and off-balance sheet instruments will change given a change in interest rates. The ALPC policy limits the change in
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market value of equity in a 200 basis point parallel rate shock to a 15.0 percent decline of the market value of equity assuming interest rates at

Sensitivity of Net Interest Income

| March 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Down |  | Down |  | Down | Down |  |  |  |
| 50 | Up 50 | 200 | Up 200 | 50 | Up 50 | 200 | Up 200 |  |
| Immediate | Immediate Gradual* | Graduanmediate |  | Immediate | Gradual | Gradual |  |  |

Immediate Immediate Gradual* Graduanmediate Immediate Gradual Gradual

Net interest income $* \quad .63 \% \quad * \quad 1.10 \% \quad * \quad .37 \% \quad 1.05 \%$

* Given the current level of interest rates, a downward rate scenario can not be computed.
U.S. Bancorp


## Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

## Table of Contents

March 31, 2009. The up 200 basis point scenario resulted in a 6.5 percent decrease in the market value of equity at March 31, 2009, compared with a 7.6 percent decrease at December 31, 2008. The down 200 basis point scenario resulted in a 2.5 percent decrease in the market value of equity at March 31, 2009, compared with a 2.8 percent decrease at December 31, 2008. At March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, the Company was within policy. The Company also uses duration of equity as a measure of interest rate risk. The duration of equity is a measure of the net market value sensitivity of the assets, liabilities and derivative positions of the Company. At March 31, 2009, the duration of assets, liabilities and equity was 1.6 years, 1.7 years and 1.1 years, respectively, compared with 1.6 years, 1.7 years and 1.2 years, respectively, at December 31, 2008. Refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis Market Value of Equity Modeling in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, for further discussion on market value of equity modeling.

Use of Derivatives to Manage Interest Rate and Other Risks To reduce the sensitivity of earnings to interest rate, prepayment, credit, price and foreign currency fluctuations ( asset and liability management positions ), the Company enters into derivative transactions. The Company uses derivatives for asset and liability management purposes primarily in the following ways:

To convert fixed-rate debt, issued to finance the Company, from fixed-rate payments to floating-rate payments; To convert the cash flows associated with floating-rate debt, issued to finance the Company, from floating-rate payments to fixed-rate payments; and
To mitigate changes in value of the Company s mortgage origination pipeline, funded mortgage loans and mortgage servicing rights ( MSRs ).
To manage these risks, the Company may enter into exchange-traded and over-the-counter derivative contracts including interest rate swaps, swaptions, futures, forwards and options. In addition, the Company enters into interest rate and foreign exchange derivative contracts to accommodate the business requirements of its customers ( customer-related positions ). The Company minimizes the market and liquidity risks of customer-related positions by entering into similar offsetting positions with broker-dealers. The Company does not utilize derivatives for speculative purposes.
The Company does not designate all of the derivatives that it enters into for risk management purposes as accounting hedges because of the inefficiency of applying the accounting requirements. In particular, the Company enters into U.S. Treasury futures, options on U.S. Treasury futures contracts and forward commitments to buy residential mortgage loans to mitigate fluctuations in the value of its MSRs, but does not designate those derivatives as accounting hedges.
Additionally, the Company uses forward commitments to sell residential mortgage loans at specified prices to economically hedge the interest rate risk in its residential mortgage loan production activities. At March 31, 2009, the Company had $\$ 11.8$ billion of forward commitments to sell mortgage loans hedging $\$ 4.1$ billion of mortgage loans held for sale and $\$ 12.6$ billion of unfunded mortgage loan commitments. The forward commitments to sell and the unfunded mortgage loan commitments are derivatives in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133 Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedge Activities, and the Company has elected the fair value option for the mortgage loans held for sale.
Derivatives are subject to credit risk associated with counterparties to the contracts. Credit risk associated with derivatives is measured by the Company based on the probability of counterparty default. The Company manages the credit risk of its derivative positions by diversifying its positions among various counterparties, entering into master netting agreements with its counterparties, requiring collateral agreements with credit-rating thresholds and, in certain cases, though insignificant, transferring the counterparty credit risk related to interest rate swaps to third-parties through the use of risk participation agreements.
For additional information on derivatives and hedging activities, refer to Note 11 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Market Risk Management

In addition to interest rate risk, the Company is exposed to other forms of market risk as a consequence of conducting normal trading activities. These trading activities principally support the risk management processes of the Company s customers including their management of foreign currency and interest rate risks. The Company also manages market risk of non-trading business activities, including its MSRs and loans held-for-sale. The Company uses a Value at Risk ( VaR ) approach to measure general market risk. Theoretically, VaR represents the amount the Company has at risk of loss to adverse market movements. The Company measures VaR at the ninety-ninth percentile using distributions derived from past market data. On average, the Company expects the one
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day VaR to be exceeded two to three times per year. The Company monitors the effectiveness of its risk program by back-testing the performance of its VaR models, regularly updating the historical data used by the VaR models and stress testing. As part of its market risk management approach, the Company sets and monitors VaR limits for each trading portfolio. The Company strading VaR did not exceed $\$ 1$ million during the first quarter of 2009 or the first quarter of 2008.

## Liquidity Risk Management

The ALPC establishes policies and guidelines, as well as analyzes and manages liquidity, to ensure that adequate funds are available to meet normal operating requirements in addition to unexpected customer demands for funds in a timely and cost-effective manner. Liquidity management is viewed from long-term and short-term perspectives, as well as from an asset and liability perspective. Management monitors liquidity through a regular review of maturity profiles, funding sources, and loan and deposit forecasts to minimize funding risk.
During the past several quarters, the financial markets have been challenging for many financial institutions. As a result of these market conditions, liquidity premiums have widened and many banks have experienced liquidity constraints, substantially increased pricing to retain deposits or utilized the Federal Reserve System discount window to secure adequate funding. The Company s profitable operations, sound credit quality and strong balance sheet have enabled it to develop a large and reliable base of core deposit funding within its market areas and in domestic and global capital markets. This has allowed the Company to experience strong liquidity, as depositors and investors in the wholesale funding markets seek strong financial institutions. Refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis Liquidity Risk Management in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, for further discussion on liquidity risk management.
At March 31, 2009, parent company long-term debt outstanding was $\$ 12.3$ billion, compared with $\$ 10.8$ billion at December 31, 2008. The $\$ 1.5$ billion increase was primarily due to the issuance of $\$ 1.6$ billion of medium-term notes during the first three months of 2009. As of March 31, 2009, $\$ 1.0$ billion of parent company debt was scheduled to mature during the remainder of 2009.
Federal banking laws regulate the amount of dividends that may be paid by banking subsidiaries without prior approval. The amount of dividends available to the parent company from its banking subsidiaries after meeting the regulatory capital requirements for well-capitalized banks was approximately $\$ 1.8$ billion at March 31, 2009.

## Capital Management

The Company is committed to managing capital for maximum shareholder benefit and maintaining strong protection for depositors and creditors. The Company also manages its capital to exceed regulatory capital requirements for well-capitalized bank holding companies. During the first quarter of 2009, the Company reduced its quarterly common dividend to $\$ .05$ per common share. This reduction preserved common equity and had a positive impact on the Company s capital ratios. Table 9 provides a summary of capital ratios as of March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008. All regulatory ratios exceeded regulatory well-capitalized requirements. Total U.S. Bancorp shareholders equity was $\$ 27.2$ billion at March 31, 2009, compared with $\$ 26.3$ billion at December 31, 2008. The increase was the result of corporate earnings, partially offset by dividends.
On May 7, 2009, the Federal Reserve completed its assessment of the capital adequacy of the nineteen largest domestic bank holding companies. The assessment involved each institution s performance under projected market conditions, including various macroeconomic and credit loss assumptions over a two-year period ending
December 31, 2010.
The Federal Reserve s analysis was completed based on projected conditions under two scenarios a baseline scenario representing the consensus forecast of economic conditions from numerous economists, and a more adverse scenario. The Federal Reserve projected each bank s capital at December 31, 2010 under these scenarios based on each Company s operating performance considering their fundamental business and the quality of the Company s securities and credit portfolios. Based on the results of their capital

Table 9 Capital Ratios

|  | March 31, | December 31, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | 2009 | 2008 |
| Tier 1 capital | $\$ 25,284$ | $\$ 24,426$ |
| As a percent of risk-weighted assets | $10.9 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| As a percent of adjusted quarterly average assets (leverage ratio) | $9.8 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| Total risk-based capital | $\$ 33,504$ | $\$ 32,897$ |
| As a percent of risk-weighted assets | $14.4 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ |

U.S. Bancorp
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adequacy assessment, the Federal Reserve projected the Company s capital would be sufficient under either scenario, and as such, it would not require the Company to raise additional capital.
The capital projections were based on assumptions developed by the Federal Reserve and cover, among other things, factors that may affect anticipated revenues and expenses, potential credit losses and other uncertainties. Important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those estimated by the Federal Reserve, which were based on a certain set of assumptions about future macroeconomic conditions and credit losses. Investors are cautioned against placing undue reliance on the Federal Reserve s projections.
The Company s tangible common equity as a percent of risk-weighted assets calculated in accordance with regulatory guidelines was 4.0 percent at March 31, 2009, compared with 3.5 percent at December 31, 2008. The Company s tangible common equity divided by tangible assets was 3.7 percent at March 31, 2009, compared with 3.2 percent at December 31, 2008.
On December 9, 2008, the Company announced its Board of Directors had approved an authorization to repurchase 20 million shares of common stock through December 31, 2010.
All shares repurchased during the first quarter of 2009 were repurchased under this authorization. The following table provides a detailed analysis of all shares repurchased during the first quarter of 2009:

|  | Total Number <br> of Shares <br> Purchased as <br> Part of the | Average <br> Price Paid | Maximum Number <br> of Shares that May <br> Yet Be Purchased |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Program | per Share | Under the |  |
| Time Period | 26,439 | $\$$ Program |  |
| January | 236,456 | 17.32 | $19,972,283$ |
| February | 583 |  | 13.49 |
| March |  |  | $19,735,827$ |
|  |  |  | $19,735,244$ |
| Total | 263,478 | $\$$ | 13.21 |

## LINE OF BUSINESS FINANCIAL REVIEW

The Company s major lines of business are Wholesale Banking, Consumer Banking, Wealth Management \& Securities Services, Payment Services, and Treasury and Corporate Support. These operating segments are components of the Company about which financial information is prepared and is evaluated regularly by management in deciding how to allocate resources and assess performance.

Basis for Financial Presentation Business line results are derived from the Company s business unit profitability reporting systems by specifically attributing managed balance sheet assets, deposits and other liabilities and their related income or expense. Refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis Line of Business Financial Review in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, for further discussion on the business lines basis for financial presentation.
Designations, assignments and allocations change from time to time as management systems are enhanced, methods of evaluating performance or product lines change or business segments are realigned to better respond to the Company s diverse customer base. During 2009, business line results were restated and presented on a comparable basis for organization and methodology changes to more closely align capital allocation with Basel II requirements and to allocate the provision for credit losses based on net charge-offs and changes in the risks of specific loan portfolios. Previously the provision in excess of net charge-offs remained in Treasury and Corporate Support, and the other lines of business results included only the portion of the provision for credit losses equal to net charge-offs.
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Wholesale Banking Wholesale Banking offers lending, equipment finance and small-ticket leasing, depository, treasury management, capital markets, foreign exchange, international trade services and other financial services to middle market, large corporate, commercial real estate and public sector clients. Wholesale Banking contributed $\$ 26$ million of the Company s net income in the first quarter of 2009, or a decrease of $\$ 231$ million ( 89.9 percent), compared with the first quarter of 2008. The decrease was primarily driven by an increase in the provision for credit losses and higher noninterest expense partially offset by higher net revenue.
Total net revenue increased $\$ 89$ million (13.1 percent) in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008. Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, increased $\$ 67$ million ( 13.8 percent) in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008, driven by growth in earning assets and deposits, partially offset by declining margins in the loan portfolio and a decrease in the margin benefit of deposits. Noninterest income increased $\$ 22$ million ( 11.5 percent) in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008. The increase was primarily due to higher treasury management fees, capital markets fees and foreign exchange revenue in the first quarter of 2009 and market related valuation losses in the first quarter of 2008. These favorable items were partially offset by lower earnings from equity investments.
Total noninterest expense increased $\$ 11$ million ( 4.3 percent) in the first quarter of 2009 compared with the first quarter of 2008, primarily due to higher compensation and employee benefits expense related to expanding the business line s national corporate banking presence, investments to enhance customer relationship management, and an acquisition in the second quarter of
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2008. The provision for credit losses increased $\$ 442$ million in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008. The unfavorable change was primarily due to continued credit deterioration in the homebuilding and commercial home supplier industries. Nonperforming assets were $\$ 1,376$ million at March 31, 2009, $\$ 1,251$ million at December 31, 2008, and $\$ 423$ million at March 31, 2008. Nonperforming assets as a percentage of period-end loans were 2.16 percent at March 31, 2009, 1.95 percent at December 31, 2008, and .74 percent at March 31, 2008. Refer to the Corporate Risk Profile section for further information on factors impacting the credit quality of the loan portfolios.

Consumer Banking Consumer Banking delivers products and services through banking offices, telephone servicing and sales, on-line services, direct mail and ATM processing. It encompasses community banking, metropolitan banking, in-store banking, small business banking, consumer lending, mortgage banking, consumer finance, workplace banking, student banking and 24 -hour banking. Consumer Banking contributed $\$ 205$ million of the Company s net income in the first quarter of 2009, or a decrease of $\$ 124$ million ( 37.7 percent), compared with the first quarter of 2008. Within Consumer Banking, the retail banking division contributed $\$ 77$ million of the total net income in the first quarter of 2009 , or a decrease of $\$ 209$ million ( 73.1 percent) from the same period in the prior year. Mortgage banking contributed $\$ 128$ million of the business line $s$ net income in the first quarter of 2009 , or an increase of $\$ 85$ million over the same period in the prior year.
Total net revenue increased $\$ 130$ million ( 8.6 percent) in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008. Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, increased $\$ 50$ million ( 5.3 percent) in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008. The year-over-year increase in net interest income was due to an increase in average loan and deposit balances, offset by a decline in the margin benefit of deposits, given the declining interest rate environment. The increase in average loan balances reflected core growth in most loan categories, with the largest increases in retail loans and residential mortgages. In addition, average loan balances increased due to the Downey and PFF acquisitions in the fourth quarter of 2008, reflected primarily in covered assets. The favorable change in retail loans was principally driven by an increase in installment products, home equity lines and federally guaranteed student loan balances due to both the transfer of balances from loans held for sale and a portfolio purchase in the second quarter of 2008. The year-over-year increase in average deposits reflected core increases primarily within savings and time deposits. In addition, average deposit balances increased due to the Downey and PFF acquisitions in the fourth quarter of 2008 . Fee-based noninterest income increased $\$ 80$ million ( 14.2 percent) in the first quarter of 2009 , compared with the first quarter of 2008 . The year-over-year increase in fee-based revenue was driven by higher mortgage banking and ATM revenue partially offset by lower deposit service charges and retail product fees.
Total noninterest expense increased $\$ 121$ million ( 15.7 percent) in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008. The increase included the net addition, including the impact of fourth quarter 2008 acquisitions, of 192 in-store branches, 126 traditional branches and 7 on-site branches at March 31, 2009, compared with March 31, 2008. In addition, the increase was primarily attributable to higher mortgage and ATM volume-related expenses, and higher credit related costs associated with other real estate owned and foreclosures.
The provision for credit losses increased $\$ 204$ million ( 93.2 percent) in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008. The increase reflected portfolio growth and credit deterioration in residential mortgages, home equity and other installment and consumer loan portfolios from a year ago. As a percentage of average loans outstanding, net charge-offs increased to 1.31 percent in the first quarter of 2009 , compared with .64 percent in the first quarter of 2008. Commercial and commercial real estate loan net charge-offs increased $\$ 35$ million and retail loan and residential mortgage net charge-offs increased $\$ 148$ million in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008. In addition, there were $\$ 6$ million of net charge-offs in the first quarter of 2009 related to covered assets. Nonperforming assets were $\$ 2,615$ million at March 31, 2009, $\$ 1,919$ million at December 31, 2008, and $\$ 371$ million at March 31, 2008. Nonperforming assets as a percentage of period-end loans were 2.83 percent at March 31, 2009, 2.08 percent at December 31, 2008, and 49 percent at March 31, 2008. Refer to the Corporate Risk Profile section for further information on factors impacting the credit quality of the loan portfolios.

Wealth Management \& Securities Services Wealth Management \& Securities Services provides trust, private banking, financial advisory, investment management, retail brokerage services, insurance, custody and mutual fund servicing through five businesses: Wealth Management, Corporate Trust, FAF Advisors, Institutional Trust \& Custody and Fund Services. Wealth Management \& Securities Services contributed
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Table 10 Line of Business Financial Performance

| Three Months Ended March 31 (Dollars in Millions) | Wholesale Banking |  |  | Consumer <br> Banking |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 | Percent Change |  | 2009 |  | 2008 | Percent Change |
| Condensed Income Statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income (taxable-equivalent basis) | \$ 553 | \$ 486 | 13.8\% | \$ | 993 | \$ | 943 | 5.3\% |
| Noninterest income | 216 | 191 | 13.1 |  | 643 |  | 563 | 14.2 |
| Securities gains (losses), net | (3) |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total net revenue | 766 | 677 | 13.1 |  | 1,636 |  | 1,506 | 8.6 |
| Noninterest expense | 261 | 253 | 3.2 |  | 867 |  | 754 | 15.0 |
| Other intangibles | 6 | 3 | * |  | 23 |  | 15 | 53.3 |
| Total noninterest expense | 267 | 256 | 4.3 |  | 890 |  | 769 | 15.7 |
| Income before provision and income taxes | 499 | 421 | 18.5 |  | 746 |  | 737 | 1.2 |
| Provision for credit losses | 460 | 18 | * |  | 423 |  | 219 | 93.2 |
| Income before income taxes | 39 | 403 | (90.3) |  | 323 |  | 518 | (37.6) |
| Income taxes and taxable-equivalent adjustment | 14 | 147 | (90.5) |  | 118 |  | 189 | (37.6) |
| Net income | 25 | 256 | (90.2) |  | 205 |  | 329 | (37.7) |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income attributable to U.S. Bancorp | \$ 26 | \$ 257 | (89.9) | \$ | 205 | \$ | 329 | (37.7) |
| Average Balance Sheet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ 43,034 | \$ 38,690 | 11.2\% | \$ | 6,347 | \$ | 6,483 | (2.1)\% |
| Commercial real estate | 21,309 | 17,694 | 20.4 |  | 11,481 |  | 11,178 | 2.7 |
| Residential mortgages | 91 | 94 | (3.2) |  | 23,361 |  | 22,450 | 4.1 |
| Retail | 72 | 72 |  |  | 43,971 |  | 36,789 | 19.5 |
| Total loans, excluding covered assets | 64,506 | 56,550 | 14.1 |  | 85,160 |  | 76,900 | 10.7 |
| Covered assets |  |  |  |  | 11,344 |  |  | * |
| Total loans | 64,506 | 56,550 | 14.1 |  | 96,504 |  | 76,900 | 25.5 |
| Goodwill | 1,475 | 1,329 | 11.0 |  | 3,230 |  | 2,420 | 33.5 |
| Other intangible assets | 101 | 29 | * |  | 1,483 |  | 1,510 | (1.8) |
| Assets | 69,824 | 61,646 | 13.3 |  | 109,713 |  | 88,935 | 23.4 |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | 16,254 | 10,312 | 57.6 |  | 13,648 |  | 11,515 | 18.5 |
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| Interest checking | 8,552 | 8,043 | 6.3 | 19,313 | 17,859 | 8.1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Savings products | 7,816 | 5,825 | 34.2 | 23,762 | 19,322 | 23.0 |
| Time deposits | 15,323 | 14,404 | 6.4 | 26,709 | 18,801 | 42.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total deposits | 47,945 | 38,584 | 24.3 | 83,432 | 67,497 | 23.6 |
| Total U.S. Bancorp shareholders equity | 6,978 | 6,211 | 12.3 | 8,185 | 6,799 | 20.4 |

## * Not meaningful

$\$ 117$ million of the Company s net income in the first quarter of 2009, or a decrease of $\$ 29$ million (19.9 percent), compared with the first quarter of 2008. The decrease was attributable to unfavorable equity market conditions relative to a year ago.
Total net revenue decreased $\$ 52$ million (10.7 percent) in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008. Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, decreased $\$ 7$ million ( 5.9 percent) in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008. The decrease in net interest income was primarily due to the reduction in the margin benefit of deposits partially offset by higher deposit volumes. Noninterest income decreased $\$ 45$ million ( 12.2 percent) in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008, primarily driven by unfavorable equity market conditions.
Total noninterest expense decreased $\$ 7$ million ( 2.7 percent) in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008. The decrease in noninterest expense was primarily due to lower employee compensation benefit expense and intangibles expense.

Payment Services Payment Services includes consumer and business credit cards, stored-value cards, debit cards, corporate and purchasing card services, consumer lines of credit and merchant processing. Payment Services offerings are highly inter-related with banking products and services of the other lines of business and rely on access to the bank subsidiary s settlement network, lower cost funding available to the Company, cross-selling opportunities and operating efficiencies. Payment Services contributed $\$ 98$ million of the Company s net income in the first quarter of 2009 , or a decrease of $\$ 109$ million ( 52.7 percent), compared with the first quarter of 2008 . The decrease was due to a higher provision for credit losses partially offset by higher net revenue.
Total net revenue increased $\$ 13$ million (1.4 percent) in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008. Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, increased $\$ 23$ million ( 9.1 percent) in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008, primarily due to growth in credit card loan balances. Noninterest income decreased
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| 3,776 | 74.3 |  | 2 | $*$ | 5,590 | 5,729 | $(2.4)$ | 54,203 |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 18,205 | 23.0 | 669 | 520 | 28.7 | 6,098 | 6,052 | .8 | 160,528 |
| 2,395 | $(3.7)$ | 5,153 | 4,699 | 9.7 | 4,197 | 1,375 | $*$ | 26,819 |

$\$ 10$ million (1.4 percent) in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008, as decreases in fee-based revenue were driven by lower transaction volumes.
Total noninterest expense decreased $\$ 1$ million (.3 percent) in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008, as lower employee compensation and intangibles expense offset higher marketing expense.
The provision for credit losses increased $\$ 185$ million ( 75.5 percent) in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008, due to higher net charge-offs, which reflected average retail credit card portfolio growth, higher delinquency rates and changing economic conditions from a year ago. As a percentage of average loans outstanding, net charge-offs were 5.58 percent in the first quarter of 2009 , compared with 3.28 percent in the first quarter of 2008 .

Treasury and Corporate Support Treasury and Corporate Support includes the Company s investment portfolios, funding, capital management, asset securitization, interest rate risk management, the net effect of transfer pricing related to average balances and the residual aggregate of those expenses associated with corporate activities that are managed on a consolidated basis. Treasury and Corporate Support recorded net income of $\$ 83$ million in the first quarter of 2009, compared with $\$ 151$ million in the first quarter of 2008.
Total net revenue decreased $\$ 171$ million ( 67.6 percent) in the first quarter of 2009 , compared with the first quarter of 2008. Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, increased $\$ 132$ million in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008 , reflecting the impact of the declining rate environment, wholesale funding decisions and the Company s asset/liability position. Noninterest income decreased $\$ 303$ million in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008, primarily due to the net impact of the 2008 Visa Gain, offset by a reduction in net income in 2008 from the adoption of an accounting standard and a corporate real estate gain and gains on the sales of securities in the first quarter of 2009.
Total noninterest expense decreased $\$ 32$ million ( 25.2 percent) in the first quarter of 2009, compared with the first quarter of 2008. The decrease in
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## Table of Contents

noninterest expense was driven by a higher charitable contribution made to the U.S. Bancorp Foundation in the first quarter of 2008 and lower litigation expenses.
Income taxes are assessed to each line of business at a managerial tax rate of 36.4 percent with the residual tax expense or benefit to arrive at the consolidated effective tax rate included in Treasury and Corporate Support. The consolidated effective tax rate of the Company was 15.6 percent in the first quarter of 2009, compared with 30.1 percent in the first quarter of 2008. The year-over-year decrease in the effective tax rate reflected the marginal impact of lower pre-tax income.

## NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

In addition to capital ratios defined by banking regulators, the Company considers various measures when evaluating capital utilization and adequacy, including: tangible common equity to tangible assets, tangible common equity excluding the impact of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to tangible assets, and tangible common equity to risk-weighted assets.
The Company believes these measures are important because they reflect the level of capital available to withstand unexpected market conditions. Additionally, presentation of these measures allows readers to compare certain aspects of the Company s capitalization to other organizations. These ratios differ from capital measures defined by banking regulators principally in that the numerator excludes shareholders equity associated with preferred securities, the nature and extent of which varies across organizations. Additionally, these measures present capital adequacy inclusive and exclusive of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). These calculations are intended to complement the capital ratios defined by banking regulators for both absolute and comparative purposes. Because generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) do not include capital ratio measures, the Company believes there are no comparable GAAP financial measures to these tangible common equity ratios. The following table reconciles the Company s calculation of these measures to amounts reported under GAAP. Despite the importance of these measures to the Company, there are no standardized definitions for them and, as a result, the Company s calculations may not be comparable with other organizations. Also there may be limits in the usefulness of these measures to investors. As a result, the Company encourages readers to consider its consolidated financial statements in their entirety and not to rely on any single financial measure.

|  | March 31, <br> (Dollars in Millions) | December 31, <br>  <br>  <br> Total equity |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Preferred stock | $\$$ | 27,942 | $\$$ |
| Noncontrolling interests | $(7,939)$ | 27,033 |  |
| Goodwill | $(719)$ | $(7,931)$ |  |
| Intangible assets, other than mortgage servicing rights | $(8,419)$ | $(8,571)$ |  |
|  | $(1,516)$ | $(1,640)$ |  |
| Tangible common equity (a) |  |  |  |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | 9,349 | 8,158 |  |
|  | 2,949 | 3,363 |  |
| Tangible common equity, excluding accumulated other comprehensive |  |  |  |
| income (loss) (b) | 12,298 | 11,521 |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{lcc}\text { Total assets } & \$ & 263,624 \\ \text { Goodwill } & \$ & 265,912 \\ \text { Intangible assets, other than mortgage servicing rights } & (8,419) & (8,571) \\ & & \\ \text { Tangible assets (c) } & 253,689\end{array}\right)$

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company comply with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and conform to general practices within the banking industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions. The Company s financial position and results of operations can be affected by these estimates and assumptions, which are integral to understanding the Company s financial statements. Critical accounting policies are those policies management believes are the most important to the portrayal of the Company sfinancial condition and results, and require management to make estimates that are difficult, subjective or complex. Most accounting policies are not considered by management to be critical accounting policies. Those policies considered to be critical accounting policies relate to the allowance for credit losses, fair value estimates, MSRs, goodwill and other intangibles and income taxes. Management has discussed the development and the selection of critical accounting policies with the Company s Audit Committee. These accounting policies are discussed in detail in Management s Discussion and Analysis Critical Accounting Policies and the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company s
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Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

## CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, the Company has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act )). Based upon this evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective.
During the most recently completed fiscal quarter, there was no change made in the Company s internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.
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U.S. Bancorp<br>Consolidated Balance Sheet

| (Dollars in Millions) | March 31, 2009 |  | December 31, 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | naudited) |  |  |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 6,154 | \$ | 6,859 |
| Investment securities |  |  |  |  |
| Held-to-maturity (fair value \$52 and \$54, respectively) |  | 51 |  | 53 |
| Available-for-sale |  | 39,215 |  | 39,468 |
| Loans held for sale (included \$4,085 and \$2,728 of mortgage loans carried at fair value, respectively) |  | 4,656 |  | 3,210 |
| Loans |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | 54,923 |  | 56,618 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 33,630 |  | 33,213 |
| Residential mortgages |  | 24,022 |  | 23,580 |
| Retail |  | 60,814 |  | 60,368 |
| Total loans, excluding covered assets |  | 173,389 |  | 173,779 |
| Covered assets |  | 11,053 |  | 11,450 |
| Total loans |  | 184,442 |  | 185,229 |
| Less allowance for loan losses |  | $(3,947)$ |  | $(3,514)$ |
| Net loans |  | 180,495 |  | 181,715 |
| Premises and equipment |  | 2,057 |  | 1,790 |
| Goodwill |  | 8,419 |  | 8,571 |
| Other intangible assets |  | 2,698 |  | 2,834 |
| Other assets |  | 19,879 |  | 21,412 |
| Total assets | \$ | 263,624 | \$ | 265,912 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders Equity |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ | 38,704 | \$ | 37,494 |
| Interest-bearing |  | 90,689 |  | 85,886 |
| Time deposits greater than \$100,000 |  | 33,173 |  | 35,970 |
| Total deposits |  | 162,566 |  | 159,350 |
| Table of Contents |  |  |  | 57 |


| Short-term borrowings |  | 26,007 |  | 33,983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-term debt |  | 38,825 |  | 38,359 |
| Other liabilities |  | 8,284 |  | 7,187 |
| Total liabilities |  | 235,682 |  | 238,879 |
| Shareholders equity |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock |  | 7,939 |  | 7,931 |
| Common stock, par value $\$ 0.01$ a share authorized: 4,000,000,000 shares; issued: |  |  |  |  |
| 3/31/09 and 12/31/08 1,972,643,007 shares |  | 20 |  | 20 |
| Capital surplus |  | 5,744 |  | 5,830 |
| Retained earnings |  | 23,015 |  | 22,541 |
| Less cost of common stock in treasury: 3/31/09 214,062,612 shares; 12/31/08 |  |  |  |  |
| 217,610,679 shares |  | $(6,546)$ |  | $(6,659)$ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) |  | $(2,949)$ |  | $(3,363)$ |
| Total U.S. Bancorp shareholders equity |  | 27,223 |  | 26,300 |
| Noncontrolling interests |  | 719 |  | 733 |
| Total equity |  | 27,942 |  | 27,033 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ | 263,624 | \$ | 265,912 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | U.S. Bancorp |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |
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U.S. Bancorp

Consolidated Statement of Income
Three MonthsEndedMarch 31,
(Dollars and Shares in Millions, Except Per Share Data)(Unaudited)20092008
Interest Income
Loans ..... \$ 2,350 ..... \$ 2,560
Loans held for sale ..... 63 ..... 73
Investment securities ..... 434 ..... 535
Other interest income ..... 20 ..... 37
Total interest income ..... 2,867 ..... 3,205
Interest Expense
Deposits ..... 324 ..... 606
Short-term borrowings ..... 143 ..... 322
Long-term debt ..... 353 ..... 474
Total interest expense ..... 820 ..... 1,402
Net interest income ..... 2,047 ..... 1,803
Provision for credit losses ..... 1,318 ..... 485
Net interest income after provision for credit losses ..... 729 ..... 1,318
Noninterest Income
Credit and debit card revenue ..... 256 ..... 248
Corporate payment products revenue ..... 164
ATM processing services ..... 84
Merchant processing services ..... 271
Trust and investment management fees ..... 335
Deposit service charges ..... 257
Treasury management fees ..... 124
Commercial products revenue ..... 112
Mortgage banking revenue ..... 105
Investment products fees and commissions ..... 36
Securities gains (losses), netRealized gains (losses), net562
Change in fair value of other-than-temporarily impaired securities ..... (353)(253)
Less change in fair value of impaired securities recognized in other comprehensive income ..... 99
Other ..... 169 ..... 559
Total noninterest income ..... 1,788 ..... 2,044
Noninterest Expense
Compensation ..... 786 ..... 745
Employee benefits ..... 137
Net occupancy and equipment ..... 190
Professional services ..... 47
Marketing and business development ..... 79
Technology and communications ..... 140
Postage, printing and supplies ..... 71
Other intangibles ..... 87
Other ..... 291 ..... 283
Total noninterest expense ..... $1,871 \quad 1,779$
Income before income taxes ..... 646 ..... 1,583
Applicable income taxes ..... 101 ..... 476
Net income ..... 545 ..... 1,107
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests ..... (16) ..... (17)
Net income attributable to U.S. Bancorp ..... \$ 529 \$ 1,090
Net income applicable to U.S. Bancorp common shareholders ..... \$ 419 \$ 1,077
Earnings per common share ..... \$ . 24 \$ . 62
Diluted earnings per common share ..... \$ . 24 \$ . 62
Dividends declared per common share ..... \$ . 050 \$ ..... 425
Average common shares outstanding ..... 1,754 ..... 1,731
Average diluted common shares outstanding ..... 1,760See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.27
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U.S. Bancorp

Consolidated Statement of Shareholders Equity



See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
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U.S. Bancorp
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

| (Dollars in Millions) | March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Unaudited) | 2009 | 2008 |
| Operating Activities |  |  |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$1,847 | \$1,138 |
| Investing Activities |  |  |
| Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale investment securities | 3,132 | 369 |
| Proceeds from maturities of investment securities | 1,417 | 1,334 |
| Purchases of investment securities | $(2,861)$ | $(1,082)$ |
| Net increase in loans outstanding | (223) | $(3,462)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of loans | 605 | 38 |
| Purchases of loans | (497) | $(1,401)$ |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired |  | (70) |
| Other, net | 975 | $(1,289)$ |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 2,548 | $(5,563)$ |
| Financing Activities |  |  |
| Net increase in deposits | 3,216 | 6,825 |
| Net increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings | $(7,976)$ | 3,483 |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | 2,597 | 1,302 |
| Principal payments or redemption of long-term debt | $(2,084)$ | $(8,731)$ |
| Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock |  | 492 |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock |  | 242 |
| Cash dividends paid on preferred stock | (107) | (15) |
| Cash dividends paid on common stock | (746) | (734) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | $(5,100)$ | 2,864 |
| Change in cash and due from banks | (705) | $(1,561)$ |
| Cash and due from banks at beginning of period | 6,859 | 8,884 |
| Cash and due from banks at end of period | \$6,154 | \$7,323 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
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Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

## Note 1 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form $10-\mathrm{Q}$ and, therefore, do not include all information and notes necessary for a complete presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flow activity required in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. In the opinion of management of U.S. Bancorp (the Company ), all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair statement of results for the interim periods have been made. These financial statements and notes should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. Certain amounts in prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.
Accounting policies for the lines of business are generally the same as those used in preparation of the consolidated financial statements with respect to activities specifically attributable to each business line. However, the preparation of business line results requires management to establish methodologies to allocate funding costs, expenses and other financial elements to each line of business. Table 10 Line of Business Financial Performance included in Management s Discussion and Analysis provides details of segment results. This information is incorporated by reference into these Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Note 2 Accounting Changes

Fair Value Measurements On April 9, 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued FASB Staff Position No. 157-4 ( FSP 157-4 ), Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly , which the Company adopted effective January 1, 2009. FSP 157-4 provides guidance for determining fair value if there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability in relation to normal market activity. In that circumstance, transactions or quoted prices may not be determinative of fair value. Significant adjustments may be necessary to quoted prices or alternative valuation techniques may be required in order to determine the fair value of the asset or liability under current market conditions. The adoption of FSP 157-4 resulted in the use of valuation techniques other than quoted prices for the valuation of the Company s non-agency mortgage-backed securities, but the effect was not significant. For additional information on the fair value of certain financial assets and liabilities, refer to Note 12.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments Also on April 9, 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 ( FSP 115-2 ), Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments , which the Company adopted effective January 1, 2009. FSP 115-2 provides guidance for the measurement and recognition of other-than-temporary impairment for debt securities. If an entity does not intend to sell, and it is more likely than not that the entity will not be required to sell, a debt security before recovery of its cost basis, other-than-temporary impairment should be separated into (a) the amount representing credit loss and (b) the amount related to all other factors. The amount of other-than-temporary impairment related to credit loss is recognized in earnings and other-than-temporary impairment related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income (loss). To determine the amount related to credit loss, the Company applied a method similar to that described by FASB Statement No. 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan. The Company s adoption of FSP 115-2 resulted in the recognition of a cumulative-effect adjustment to January 1, 2009 retained earnings with a corresponding adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income, of $\$ 141$ million. For additional information
on investment securities, refer to Note 3 .
Business Combinations FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 (revised 2007) ( SFAS 141R ), Business Combinations , became effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2009. SFAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for the acquirer in a business combination, including the recognition and measurement of the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in the acquired entity as of the
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acquisition date; the recognition and measurement of the goodwill acquired in the business combination or gain from a bargain purchase as of the acquisition date; and the determination of additional disclosures needed to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. Under SFAS 141R, nearly all acquired assets and liabilities assumed are required to be recorded at fair value at the acquisition date, including loans. SFAS 141R eliminated recognition at the acquisition date of an allowance for loan losses on acquired loans; rather, credit-related factors are now incorporated directly into the fair value of the loans. Other significant changes include recognizing transaction costs and most restructuring costs as expenses when incurred. The accounting requirements of SFAS 141R are applied on a prospective basis for all transactions completed after the effective date and early adoption was not permitted. As a result of applying SFAS 141R, the Company recognized a $\$ 92$ million gain associated with the increase in value of a partnership interest in a commercial office building upon the purchase by the Company of the other partner $s$ interest.

Noncontrolling Interests FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160 ( SFAS 160 ), Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51 , became effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2009. SFAS 160 changes the accounting and reporting for minority interests, which are re-characterized as noncontrolling interests and classified as a component of equity, separate from the U.S. Bancorp s own equity, in the consolidated balance sheet. SFAS 160 also requires the amount of net income attributable to the entity and to the noncontrolling interests to be shown separately on the consolidated statement of income. Upon adoption of SFAS 160, the Company reclassified $\$ 733$ million in noncontrolling interests from other liabilities to equity and reclassified noncontrolling interests share of net income from other noninterest expense to income attributable to noncontrolling interests.

## Note 3 Investment Securities

The amortized cost, gross unrealized holding gains and losses, and fair value of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities was as follows:

| (Dollars in Millions) | March 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AmortizeUnrealized |  |  |  | Unrealized Losses |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fair } \\ & \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ | AmortizeUnrealized |  |  |  | Unrealized Losses |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \end{array}$ |
|  |  | Cost |  | ains |  |  |  |  |  | Cost |  | ains |  |  |  |  |
| Held-to-maturity (a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ | 5 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 5 | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 5 |
| Obligations of state and |  | 36 |  | 2 |  | (1) |  | 37 |  | 38 |  | 2 |  | (1) |  | 39 |
| Other debt securities |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| Total held-to-maturity securities | \$ | 51 | \$ | 2 | \$ | (1) | \$ | 52 | \$ | \$ 53 | \$ | 2 | \$ | (1) | \$ | 54 |
| Available-for-sale (b) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$ | 750 | \$ | 14 | \$ |  | \$ | 764 | \$ | \$ 664 | \$ | 18 | \$ |  | \$ | 682 |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agency |  | 25,976 |  | 487 |  | (127) |  | 26,336 |  | 26,512 |  | 425 |  | (410) |  | 26,527 |
| Non-agency |  | 4,768 |  | 8 |  | $(1,043)$ |  | 3,733 |  | 4,754 |  | , |  | $(1,152)$ |  | 3,605 |
| Asset-backed securities |  | 679 |  | 19 |  | (117) |  | 581 |  | 616 |  | 8 |  | (14) |  | 610 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions |  | 6,992 |  | 2 |  | (616) |  | 6,378 |  | 7,220 |  | 4 |  | (808) |  | 6,416 |

## Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q


(a) Held-to-maturity securities are carried at historical cost adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts.
(b) Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value with unrealized net gains or losses reported within accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders equity.
The weighted-average maturity of the available-for-sale investment securities was 7.0 years at March 31, 2009, compared with 7.7 years at December 31, 2008. The corresponding weighted-average yields were 4.25 percent and 4.56 percent, respectively. The weighted-average maturity of the held-to-maturity investment securities was 8.4 years at March 31, 2009, and 8.5 years at December 31, 2008. The corresponding weighted-average yields were 5.66 percent and 5.78 percent, respectively.

For amortized cost, fair value and yield by maturity date of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities outstanding at March 31, 2009, refer to Table 4 included in Management s Discussion and Analysis which is incorporated by reference into these Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
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Securities carried at $\$ 31.2$ billion at March 31, 2009, and $\$ 33.4$ billion at December 31, 2008, were pledged to secure public, private and trust deposits, repurchase agreements and for other purposes required by law. Included in these amounts were securities sold under agreements to repurchase where the buyer/lender has the right to sell or pledge the securities and which were collateralized by securities with a carrying amount of $\$ 8.2$ billion at March 31, 2009, and $\$ 9.5$ billion at December 31, 2008, respectively.

The following table provides information about the amount of interest income from taxable and non-taxable investment securities:

| Three Months Ended March 31 (Dollars in Millions) | 2009 | 2008 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Taxable | $\$ 356$ | $\$ 456$ |
| Non-taxable | 78 | 79 |
| Total interest income from investment securities | $\$ 434$ | $\$ 535$ |

The following table provides information as to the amount of gross gains and losses realized through the sales of available-for-sale investment securities:

Three Months Ended March 31 (Dollars in Millions) 20092008
Realized gains $\quad \$ \quad 57 \quad \$ \quad 2$
Realized losses
(1)

Net realized gains (losses) $\quad \$ \quad 56$
Income tax (benefit) on realized gains (losses) $\quad \$ \quad 21 \begin{array}{lll}\$ & 1\end{array}$
Included in available-for-sale investment securities are structured investment vehicle and related securities ( SIVs ) purchased in the fourth quarter of 2007 from certain money market funds managed by FAF Advisors, Inc., an affiliate of the Company. Subsequent to the initial purchase, the Company exchanged its interest in certain SIVs for a pro rata portion of the underlying investments securities according to the applicable restructuring agreements. The SIVs and the investment securities received are collectively referred to as SIV-related investments. Some of these securities evidenced credit deterioration at the time of acquisition by the Company. Changes in the carrying amount and accretable yield of these securities subject to SOP 03-3 were as follows:

|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying |  |  | Carrying Amount |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | of of |  |  |  |
|  | Accretable | Debt | cretable | Debt |
| Three Months Ended March 31 (Dollars in Millions) | Yield | Securities | Yield | Securities |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 349 | 508 | \$ 105 | \$ 2,427 |
| Adjustment for SFAS 115-2 |  | 124 |  |  |
| Adjusted balance at beginning of period | 349 | 632 | 105 | 2,427 |
| Purchases (a) |  |  | 19 | 80 |


| Payments received | (13) |  |  |  |  |  | (42) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impairment writedowns |  |  | (9) |  | 195 |  | (253) |
| Accretion | (1) |  | 1 |  | (6) |  | 6 |
| Transfers out (b) |  |  |  |  | (10) |  | (548) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 348 | \$ | 611 | \$ | 303 | \$ | 1,670 |

(a) Represents the fair value of the securities at acquisition.
(b) Represents investment securities not subject to SOP 03-3 received in exchange for SIVs.

The Company conducts a regular assessment of its investment securities to determine whether securities are other-than-temporarily impaired considering, among other factors, the nature of the securities, credit ratings or financial condition of the issuer, the extent and duration of the unrealized loss, expected cash flows of underlying collateral, market conditions and the Company s ability to hold the securities through the anticipated recovery period. To determine whether trust preferred and perpetual preferred securities are other-than-temporarily impaired, the Company considers the issuers credit rating, historical financial performance and strength, the ability to sustain earnings, and other factors such as market presence and management experience. Based on certain rating downgrades which occurred in the first quarter of 2009 and considering other factors, the Company recorded other-than-temporary impairment of $\$ 198$ million on perpetual preferred securities during the first quarter of 2009.
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During the first quarter of 2009, the Company, also recorded $\$ 56$ million of other-than-temporary impairment on certain non-agency mortgage-backed securities, including SIV-related investments. The Company determined this other-than-temporary impairment by estimating the future cash flows of each individual security using market information, where available, for prepayment and default rates. For those non-agency mortgage-backed securities which were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired, estimated prepayment rates ranged from 3 percent to 14 percent with an average prepayment rate of 8 percent. The estimated probability of default rates ranged from less than 1 percent to 35 percent with an average rate of 6 percent. Loss severities ranged from 43 percent to 70 percent with an average rate of 48 percent. Projected cash flows were discounted at the original effective rate for each security. If the discounted cash flows was less than the amortized cost of the security, the difference was attributed to credit losses and the security was determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

Changes in the amount of unrealized losses on non-agency mortgage-backed securities, including SIV-related investments, attributed to credit losses are summarized as follows:

|  | Three Months <br> Ended |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | $\$$March 31, 2009 <br> Balance at beginning of period <br> Credit losses not previously recognized <br> Charge in expected cash flows <br> Balance at end of period | 52 |
| \$ |  | 4 |

At March 31, 2009, certain investment securities had a fair value below amortized cost. The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value of the Company s investments with unrealized losses, aggregated by investment category and length of time the individual securities have been in continuous unrealized loss positions, at March 31, 2009:


| Perpetual preferred securities | 76 |  | $(43)$ | 140 |  | $(231)$ | 216 | $(274)$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other investments | 45 | $(29)$ | 571 | $(607)$ | 616 | $(636)$ |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The Company does not consider these unrealized losses to be other-than-temporary at March 31, 2009. The unrealized losses within each investment category have occurred as a result of changes in interest rates and market spreads subsequent to purchase. A substantial portion of securities that have unrealized losses are either obligations of state and political subdivisions or non-agency mortgage-backed securities issued with high investment grade credit ratings and limited credit exposure. In general, the issuers of the investment securities are contractually prohibited from prepayment at less than par and the Company did not have significant purchase premiums. The Company has no plan to sell securities with unrealized losses.
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## Note 4 Loans

The composition of the loan portfolio was as follows:


Loans are presented net of unearned interest and deferred fees and costs, which amounted to $\$ 1.5$ billion at March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008.

Covered assets represent assets acquired from the FDIC subject to loss sharing agreements and included expected reimbursements from the FDIC of approximately $\$ 2.3$ billion at March 31, 2009, and $\$ 2.4$ billion at December 31, 2008. The carrying amount of the covered assets consisted of loans accounted for in accordance with SOP 03-3
( SOP 03-3 loans ), loans not subject to SOP 03-3 ( Non SOP 03-3 loans ) and other assets as shown in the following table:


At March 31, 2009, $\$ 401$ million of the SOP 03-3 loans in covered assets were classified as nonperforming assets, compared with $\$ 298$ million at December 31, 2008, because the expected cash flows are primarily based on the liquidation of underlying collateral and the timing and amount of the cash flows could not be reasonably estimated. Interest income is recognized on the remaining SOP 03-3 loans through accretion of the difference between the carrying amount of the loans and the expected cash flows. The allowance for credit losses related to SOP 03-3 loans was not significant at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 because the loans were recorded at fair value at acquisition, including expected credit losses. The Company has also classified approximately $\$ .1$ billion of loans not subject to loss sharing agreements as SOP 03-3 loans.
U.S. Bancorp
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Changes in the accretable yield for SOP 03-3 loans were as follows for the three months ended March 31, 2009:

|  | Accretable |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yield |  |
| (Dollars in Millions) | 2,719 |
| Balance at beginning of period | $(96)$ |
| Accretion | $(11)$ |
| Disposals | $(2)$ |
| Reclassifications to/from nonaccretable difference | $(205)$ |
| Other, including purchase accounting adjustments | $\$ \quad 2,405$ |

Note 5 Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities

## FINANCIAL ASSET SALES

When the Company sells financial assets, it may retain servicing rights and/or other beneficial interests in the transferred financial assets. The gain or loss on sale depends, in part, on the previous carrying amount of the transferred financial assets and the consideration other than beneficial interests in the transferred assets received in exchange. Upon transfer, any servicing assets are initially recognized at fair value. The remaining carrying amount of the transferred financial asset is allocated between the assets sold and any interest(s) that continues to be held by the Company based on the relative fair values as of the date of transfer.

Conduit and Securitization The Company sponsors an off-balance sheet conduit to which it transferred high-grade investment securities, initially funded by the conduit $s$ issuance of commercial paper. These investment securities include primarily (i) private label asset-backed securities, which are guaranteed by third-party insurers, and (ii) collateralized mortgage obligations. The conduit held assets of $\$ .8$ billion at March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008. During 2008, the conduit ceased issuing commercial paper and began to draw upon a Company-provided liquidity facility to replace outstanding commercial paper as it matured. The draws upon the liquidity facility resulted in the conduit becoming a non-qualifying special purpose entity. The Company has determined the liquidity facility does not absorb the majority of the variability of the conduit $s$ cash flows or fair value. As a result, the Company is not the primary beneficiary of the conduit and, therefore, does not consolidate the conduit. At March 31, 2009, the amount advanced to the conduit under the liquidity facility was $\$ .8$ billion, compared with $\$ .9$ billion at December 31, 2008, and was recorded on the Company s balance sheet in commercial loans. Proceeds from the conduit s investment securities will be used to repay draws on the liquidity facility. The Company believes there is sufficient collateral to repay all liquidity facility advances.

## VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

The Company is involved in various entities that are considered to be variable interest entities ( VIEs ) as defined in Financial Interpretation No. 46R, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities . Generally, a VIE is a corporation, partnership, trust or any other legal structure that does not have equity investors with substantive voting rights or has equity investors that do not provide sufficient financial resources for the entity to support its activities. The Company s investments in VIEs primarily represent private investment funds that make equity investments, provide debt financing or partnerships to support community-based investments in affordable housing, development entities that
provide capital for communities located in low-income districts and for historic rehabilitation projects that may enable the Company to ensure regulatory compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act.
The Company consolidates VIEs in which it is the primary beneficiary. At March 31, 2009, approximately $\$ 447$ million of total assets related to various VIEs were consolidated by the Company in its financial statements, compared with $\$ 479$ million at December 31, 2008. Creditors of these VIEs have no recourse to the general credit of the Company. The Company is not required to consolidate other VIEs as it is not the primary beneficiary. In such cases, the Company does not absorb the majority of the entities expected losses nor does it receive a majority of the entities expected residual returns. The Company s investments in unconsolidated VIEs ranged from less than $\$ 1$ million to $\$ 53$ million, with an aggregate amount of approximately $\$ 2.2$ billion at March 31, 2009 and from less than $\$ 1$ million to $\$ 55$ million, with an aggregate amount of $\$ 2.1$ billion, at December 31, 2008. While the Company
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believes potential losses from these investments is remote, the Company s maximum exposure to these unconsolidated VIEs, including any tax implications, was approximately $\$ 4.0$ billion at March 31, 2009, compared with $\$ 3.9$ billion at December 31, 2008, if all of the separate investments within the individual private funds were to become worthless and the community-based business and housing projects and related tax credits completely failed and did not meet certain government compliance requirements.

## Note 6 Mortgage Servicing Rights

The Company serviced $\$ 126.7$ billion of residential mortgage loans for others at March 31, 2009, and $\$ 120.3$ billion at December 31, 2008. The net impact of assumption changes on the fair value of mortgage servicing rights ( MSRs ), and fair value changes of derivatives used to offset MSR value changes included in mortgage banking revenue and net interest income was a gain of $\$ 2$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared with a net loss of $\$ 11$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. Loan servicing fees, not including valuation changes included in mortgage banking revenue, were $\$ 117$ million and $\$ 95$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Changes in fair value of capitalized MSRs are summarized as follows:

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ | 1,194 | \$ | 1,462 |
| Rights purchased |  | 33 |  | 4 |
| Rights capitalized |  | 193 |  | 143 |
| Changes in fair value of MSRs: |  |  |  |  |
| Due to change in valuation assumptions (a) |  | (135) |  | (159) |
| Other changes in fair value (b) |  | (103) |  | (60) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ | 1,182 | \$ | 1,390 |

(a) Principally reflects changes in discount rates and prepayment speed assumptions, primarily arising from interest rate changes.
(b) Primarily represents changes due to collection/realization of expected cash flows over time (decay).

The estimated sensitivity to changes in interest rates of the fair value of the MSRs portfolio and the related derivative instruments at March 31, 2009, was as follows:

|  | Down Scenario |  | Up Scenario |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | 50 bps | 25 bps | 25 bps | 50 bps |
| Net fair value | $\$(12)$ | $\$(3)$ | $\$(8)$ | $\$(27)$ |

## Note 7 Preferred Stock

At March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company had authority to issue 50 million shares of preferred stock. The number of shares issued and outstanding and the carrying amount of each outstanding series of the Company s
preferred stock was as follows:

|  | March 31, 2009Shares |  |  | December 31, 2008 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Shares |  |  |
|  | Issued | Carrying |  | Issued and |  | Carrying |
| (Dollars in Millions) | Outstanding |  | Amount | Outstanding |  | Amount |
| Series B | 40,000 | \$ | 1,000 | 40,000 |  | 1,000 |
| Series D | 20,000 |  | 500 |  |  |  |

