

Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST  
Form N-CSR  
January 09, 2006

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF  
REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-5912

-----  
MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST

-----  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

500 Boylston Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02116

-----  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Susan S. Newton  
Massachusetts Financial Services Company  
500 Boylston Street  
Boston, Massachusetts 02116

-----  
(Name and address of agents for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (617) 954-5000

-----  
Date of fiscal year end: October 31

-----  
Date of reporting period: October 31, 2005

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

MFS(R) Mutual Funds 10/31/05

ANNUAL REPORT

MFS(R) SPECIAL VALUE  
TRUST

A path for pursuing opportunity

[logo] M F S(SM)  
INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (R)

[graphic omitted]



## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

the long term and keep your assets diversified across different investment categories. Still, it is surprising how often we forget these basic lessons. Certainly, the dot-com euphoria that overtook Wall Street in the late 1990s had many people hoping they could become millionaires overnight. But the market decline that started in the spring of 2000 taught everyone how misguided those hopes had been.

Now, less than five years after the market taught a harsh lesson in the follies of speculative investing, we are seeing a number of trends that suggest many investors, including professionals, are hoping for overnight miracles again. We see a steady stream of market "gurus" on television news shows, promising to teach amateur investors the strategies that will allow them to get rich quick. Hedge funds - which by their nature move in and out of investments rapidly - have soared in popularity over the past five years. We are reminded every day that we live in a "what have you done for me lately?" world, but this mindset should not influence how we invest and manage our money. In fact, investors often fall short of their goals because they trade in and out of investments too frequently and at inopportune times.

Throughout our entire 80-year history, MFS' money management process has focused on long-term investment opportunities. We firmly believe that one of the best ways to realize long-term financial goals - be it a college education, a comfortable retirement, or a secure family legacy - is to follow a three-pronged approach that focuses on longer time horizons. Allocate holdings across the major asset classes - including stocks, bonds, and cash. Diversify within each class to take advantage of different market segments and investing styles. And rebalance assets regularly to maintain a desired asset allocation.\*

This long-term approach requires diligence and patience, two traits that in our experience are essential to capitalizing on the many opportunities the financial markets can offer.

Respectfully,

/s/ Robert J. Manning

Robert J. Manning  
Chief Executive Officer and Chief Investment Officer  
MFS Investment Management (R)

December 15, 2005

\* Asset allocation, diversification, and rebalancing does not guarantee a profit or protect against a loss. The opinions expressed in this letter are those of MFS, and no forecasts can be guaranteed.

### PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION

#### PORTFOLIO STRUCTURE\*

Bonds	65.3%
Stocks	28.3%
Preferred Stocks	3.6%
Convertible Preferred Stocks	0.3%
Cash & Other Net Assets	2.5%

#### MARKET SECTORS\*

High Yield Corporates	56.4%
-----------------------	-------

-----

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

U.S. Equities	29.2%
-----	
Emerging Market Bonds	4.4%
-----	
Non U.S. Equities	2.7%
-----	
Cash & Other Net Assets	2.5%
-----	
Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities	2.2%
-----	
High Grade Corporates	1.2%
-----	
Asset Backed Securities	1.1%
-----	
U.S. Convertibles	0.3%
-----	

### CREDIT QUALITY OF BONDS\*\*

AAA	0.3%
-----	
A	0.1%
-----	
BBB	2.3%
-----	
BB	19.8%
-----	
B	39.2%
-----	
CCC	30.3%
-----	
CC	3.1%
-----	
D	0.9%
-----	
Not Rated	4.0%
-----	

### PORTFOLIO FACTS

Average Duration*****	4.6
-----	
Average Life***	8.4 yrs.
-----	
Average Maturity***	9.2 yrs.
-----	
Average Credit Quality of Rated Securities****	B
-----	
Average Short Term Quality	A-1
-----	

\* For purposes of this graphical presentation, the bond component includes both accrued interest amounts and the equivalent exposure from any derivative holdings, if applicable.

\*\* Each security is assigned a rating from Moody's Investors Service. If not rated by Moody's, the rating will be that assigned by Standard & Poor's. Likewise, if not assigned a rating by Standard & Poor's, it will be based on the rating assigned by Fitch, Inc. For those portfolios that hold a security which is not rated by any of the three agencies, the

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

security is considered Not Rated. Holdings in U.S. Treasuries and government agency mortgage-backed securities, if any, are included in a "AAA"-rating category. Percentages are based on the total market value of investments as of 10/31/05.

\*\*\* The average maturity shown is calculated using the final stated maturity on the portfolio's holdings without taking into account any holdings which have been pre-refunded to an earlier date or which have a mandatory put date prior to the stated maturity. The average life shown takes into account these earlier dates.

\*\*\*\* The Average Credit Quality of Rated Securities is based upon a market weighted average of portfolio holdings that are rated by public rating agencies.

\*\*\*\*\* Duration is a measure of how much a bond fund's price is likely to fluctuate with general changes in interest rates, e.g., if rates rise 1.00%, a fund with a 5-year duration is likely to lose about 5.00% of its value.

Percentages are based on net assets as of 10/31/05, unless otherwise noted.

The portfolio is actively managed, and current holdings may be different.

### MANAGEMENT REVIEW

#### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

For the twelve months ended October 31, 2005, the MFS Special Value Trust provided a total return of 4.89%, at net asset value. In comparison, the trust's equity benchmark, the Russell 1000 Value Index, returned 11.86% and its fixed income benchmark, the Lehman Brothers U.S. High-Yield Corporate Index (the Lehman index), returned 4.08%.

#### DETRACTORS FROM PERFORMANCE

While the overall trust outperformed its fixed income benchmark, there were some negative factors which hurt relative performance. The trust's credit exposure to "BB"-rated bonds and lower-rated "CCC" bonds held back results. (Bonds rated "BBB" or higher are considered investment-grade; bonds rated "BB" or lower are considered non-investment grade.) Relative detractors, at the security level, included Pliant, INTERMET, JSG Funding, and Worldspan.

In the equity portion of the portfolio, the principal source of underperformance relative to the trust's equity benchmark was stock selection in the health care, technology, and transportation sectors. In health care, our holdings of poor-performing Tenet Healthcare were among the trust's top detractors for the period.

In technology, our positioning in telecommunications equipment provider Nortel Networks, which is not a constituent of the index, held back performance as the stock delivered disappointing results for the period. Not holding strong-performing Hewlett-Packard was also a negative. In the transportation sector, our holdings in Southwest Airlines detracted from relative returns.

Stocks in other sectors that dampened investment results included our position in cable services provider Comcast and electric utility firm Calpine, which are not benchmark constituents, as well as our overweighted position in package manufacturer Owens-Illinois. Not holding strong-performing oil and gas company ConocoPhillips or tobacco company Altria Group also held back performance.

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

### CONTRIBUTORS TO PERFORMANCE

For the fixed income portion of the portfolio, security selection was the principal contributor to the trust's performance relative to the Lehman index. Continental Airlines, Safilo, designer of eyeglass frames, consumer products company Revlon, and chemical company Rhodia were among the trust's top individual contributors. Our exposure to securities with higher yields, relative to those in the index, also delivered strong results.

On the equity side, top contributing sectors relative to the equity benchmark included energy, financial services, and industrial goods & services. In energy, positive stock selection and our heavily overweighted position in this strong-performing sector helped boost the trust's relative performance. Our holdings in drilling rig operator GlobalSantaFe, offshore drilling company Noble, and oilfield services provider BJ Services (none of which are in the benchmark) were among the trust's top individual contributors. Our overweighting in oil and gas equipment manufacturer Cooper Cameron, which posted strong gains for the period, also helped relative results.

Our avoidance of the financial services sector had a positive impact on relative performance. Not owning index constituents JPMorgan Chase, Bank of America, and Citigroup, all of which underperformed the broad benchmark, aided results.

In the industrial goods & services sector, not holding General Electric, a benchmark constituent that underperformed the overall index, added to relative returns. Not holding telecom services provider Verizon Communications and pharmaceutical company Pfizer also helped lift relative performance as both stocks delivered disappointing results for the period.

Respectfully,

John F. Addeo  
Portfolio Manager

Scott B. Richards  
Portfolio Manager

The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio managers only through the end of the period of the report, as stated on the cover, and do not necessarily reflect the views of MFS or any other person in the MFS organization. These views are subject to change at any time based on market and other conditions, and MFS disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice or as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any MFS fund. References to specific securities are not recommendations of such securities and may not be representative of any MFS fund's current or future investments.

### PORTFOLIO MANAGERS' PROFILES

John F. Addeo, CFA, is Vice President and Associate Director of Fixed Income Research of MFS Investment Management (R) (MFS(R)) and a portfolio manager of the high-yield bond portfolios for our mutual funds, variable annuities, offshore accounts and closed-end funds. John joined MFS as a research analyst in 1998 and became portfolio manager in 2001. He was named Associate Director of Fixed Income Research in 2004. He received a Bachelor of Science degree from Siena College in 1984. He holds the Chartered Financial Analyst (CFA) designation.

Scott B. Richards, CFA, is Vice President of MFS Investment Management (R) (MFS(R)) and a portfolio manager for high-yield portfolios of our mutual funds, variable annuities, closed end funds and offshore accounts. Scott joined MFS in May 2004 with more than 24 years experience as a high-yield bond

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

portfolio manager and research director at several leading investment management firms. He earned a Master of Business Administration degree from the Amos Tuck School at Dartmouth College in 1984 and a bachelor's degree in applied economics from Cornell University in 1981. He holds the Chartered Financial Analyst (CFA) designation and is a member of the Boston Security Analyst Society.

### PERFORMANCE SUMMARY THROUGH 10/31/05

All results are historical. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate, and shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. More recent returns may be more or less than those shown. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

### PRICE SUMMARY

-----  
Year ended 10/31/05  
-----

	Date	Price
Net asset value per share	10/31/05	\$9.66
	10/31/04	\$10.12
New York Stock Exchange Price	10/31/05	\$10.85
	2/03/05 (high)*	\$12.20
	4/20/05 (low)*	\$10.42
	10/31/04	\$11.60

-----

\* For the period November 1, 2004 through October 31, 2005.

### TOTAL RETURNS VS BENCHMARKS

-----  
Year ended 10/31/05  
-----

New York Stock Exchange price**	2.77%
Net asset value**	4.89%
Lehman Brothers U.S. High-Yield Corporate Index#	4.08%
Russell 1000 Value Index#	11.86%

-----

\*\* Includes reinvestment of dividends and capital gain distributions.

# Source: Standard & Poor's Micropal, Inc.

### INDEX DEFINITIONS

Lehman Brothers U.S. High-Yield Corporate Index - measures the universe of non-investment grade, fixed rate debt. Eurobonds and debt issues from countries designated as emerging markets (e.g., Argentina, Brazil, Venezuela, etc.) are excluded.

Russell 1000 Value Index - is constructed to provide a comprehensive barometer for the value securities in the large-cap segment of the U.S. equity universe. Companies in this index generally have lower price-to-book ratios and lower

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

forecasted growth values.

It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

### NOTES TO PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

The trust's shares may trade at a discount or premium to net asset value. Shareholders do not have the right to cause the trust to repurchase their shares at net asset value.

When trust shares trade at a premium, buyers pay more than the net asset value underlying trust shares, and shares purchased at a premium would receive less than the amount paid for them in the event of the trust's liquidation. As a result, the total return that is calculated based on the net asset value and New York Stock Exchange price can be different.

The trust's monthly distributions may include a return of capital to shareholders. Distributions that are treated for federal income tax purposes as a return of capital will reduce each shareholder's basis in his or her shares and, to the extent the return of capital exceeds such basis, will be treated as gain to the shareholder from a sale of shares. Returns of shareholder capital have the effect of reducing the trust's assets and increasing the trust's expense ratio.

The trust's target annual distribution rate is calculated based on the trust's average daily net asset value, not a fixed share price, and the trust's dividend amount will fluctuate with changes in the trust's average daily net asset value.

From time to time the trust may receive proceeds from litigation settlements, without which performance would be lower.

### KEY RISK CONSIDERATIONS

The portfolio may invest in derivative securities, which may include futures, options and swaps. These types of instruments can increase price fluctuation. The portfolio may invest in government guaranteed securities. These guarantees apply to the underlying securities only and not to the prices and yields of the portfolio. The portfolio invests in high yield or lower-rated securities, which may provide greater returns but are subject to greater-than-average risk. Because the portfolio invests in a limited number of companies, a change in one security's value may have a more significant effect on the portfolio's value. The trust limits investment in securities of foreign issuers which are not traded on a U.S. exchange (excluding American Depository Receipts) to 10% of its total assets. For purposes of determining this investment limitation, foreign securities traded in U.S. markets which are well established and liquid (such as Rule 144A and Yankee Bond markets) are considered as being equivalent to U.S. exchange-traded securities, and therefore are not subject to this 10% limitation. Foreign and/or emerging market securities are more susceptible to risks relating to interest rates, currency exchange rates and economic and political risks.

These risks may increase share price volatility.

In accordance with Section 23(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, the trust hereby gives notice that it may from time to time repurchase shares of the trust in the open market at the option of the Board of Trustees and on such terms as the Trustees shall determine.

### DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT AND CASH PURCHASE PLAN



## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

The trust offers a Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plan that allows you to reinvest either all of the distributions paid by the trust or only the long-term capital gains. Purchases are made at the market price unless that price exceeds the net asset value (the shares are trading at a premium). If the shares are trading at a premium, purchases will be made at a discounted price of either the net asset value or 95% of the market price, whichever is greater. Twice each year you can also buy shares. Investments may be made in any amount over \$100 in January and July on the 15th of the month or shortly thereafter.

If your shares are in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you can ask the firm or nominee to participate in the plan on your behalf. If the nominee does not offer the plan, you may wish to request that your shares be re-registered in your own name so that you can participate.

There is no service charge to reinvest distributions, nor are there brokerage charges for shares issued directly by the trust. However, when shares are bought on the New York Stock Exchange or otherwise on the open market, each participant pays a pro rata share of the commissions. The automatic reinvestment of distributions does not relieve you of any income tax that may be payable (or required to be withheld) on the distributions.

To enroll in or withdraw from the plan, or if you have any questions, call 1-800-637-2304 any business day from 8 a.m. to 8 p.m. Eastern time. Please have available the name of the trust and your account and Social Security numbers. For certain types of registrations, such as corporate accounts, instructions must be submitted in writing. Please call for additional details. When you withdraw from the plan, you can receive the value of the reinvested shares in one of two ways: a check for the value of the full and fractional shares, or a certificate for the full shares and a check for the fractional shares.

### PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS - 10/31/05

The Portfolio of Investments is a complete list of all securities owned by your trust. It is categorized by broad-based asset classes.

#### Bonds - 63.8%

ISSUER	PAR AMOUNT	\$ VALUE
<b>Advertising &amp; Broadcasting - 4.2%</b>		
Allbritton Communications Co., 7.75%, 2012	\$ 350,000	\$ 345,625
Directv Holdings, 6.375%, 2015	90,000	88,312
EchoStar DBS Corp., 6.375%, 2011	315,000	306,337
Granite Broadcasting Corp., 9.75%, 2010	210,000	196,350
Inmarsat Finance II PLC, 0% to 2008, 10.375% to 2012	360,000	294,300
Intelsat Ltd., 8.625%, 2015##	135,000	136,687
Intelsat Ltd., 0% to 2010, 9.25% to 2015##	180,000	118,350
LBI Media Holdings, Inc., 0% to 2008, 11% to 2013	875,000	640,937
Panamsat Holding Corp., 0% to 2009, 10.375% to 2014	640,000	436,800
Sirius Satellite Radio, Inc., 9.625%, 2013##	185,000	175,056
		\$ 2,738,754

#### Airlines - 0.8%

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

Continental Airlines, Inc., 8.307%, 2018	\$	215,952	\$	188,895
Continental Airlines, Inc., 6.795%, 2018		331,351		285,519
Continental Airlines, Inc., 7.566%, 2020		56,616		50,418
				-----
			\$	524,832
-----				
Apparel Manufacturers - 1.1%				
-----				
Levi Strauss & Co., 9.75%, 2015	\$	345,000	\$	348,450
Propex Fabrics, Inc., 10%, 2012		395,000		343,156
				-----
			\$	691,606
-----				
Asset Backed & Securitized - 3.3%				
-----				
Anthracite CDO Ltd., 6%, 2037##	\$	450,000	\$	392,355
Asset Securitization Corp., FRN, 8.4971%, 2029##		700,000		632,516
Falcon Franchise Loan LLC, FRN, 3.7867%, 2025^^		656,797		108,858
GMAC Commercial Mortgage Securities, Inc., 6.02%, 2033		250,000		236,138
Morgan Stanley Capital I, Inc., FRN, 1.4835%, 2039^^##		697,506		48,553
Preferred Term Securities XII Ltd., 9.8%, 2033##(S)(S)(S)		225,000		219,510
Preferred Term Securities XVI Ltd., 14%, 2034##(S)(S)(S)		300,000		311,580
Preferred Term Securities XVII Ltd., 9.3%, 2035##(S)(S)(S)		187,000		185,392
				-----
			\$	2,134,902
-----				
Automotive - 4.0%				
-----				
Advanced Accessory Systems LLC, 10.75%, 2011	\$	60,000	\$	51,000
Affinia Group, Inc., 9%, 2014##		130,000		100,750
Delphi Corp., 6.55%, 2006**		320,000		221,600
Delphi Corp., 6.5%, 2013**		190,000		131,575
Ford Motor Credit Co., 6.625%, 2008		133,000		127,541
Ford Motor Credit Co., 5.8%, 2009		90,000		82,427
Ford Motor Credit Co., 8.625%, 2010		125,000		122,812
Ford Motor Credit Co., 7%, 2013		92,000		84,204
General Motors Acceptance Corp., 5.85%, 2009		130,000		124,278
General Motors Acceptance Corp., 6.75%, 2014		571,000		546,010
General Motors Corp., 8.375%, 2033		314,000		232,752
INTERMET Corp., 9.75%, 2009**		205,000		32,800
Lear Corp., 8.11%, 2009		440,000		413,600
Lear Corp., 5.75%, 2014		125,000		100,625
Metaldyne Corp., 11%, 2013##		280,000		252,000
TRW Automotive, Inc., 11%, 2013		13,000		14,528
				-----
			\$	2,638,502
-----				
Banks & Credit Companies - 0.4%				
-----				
ATF Bank JSC, 9.25%, 2012##	\$	279,000	\$	285,975
-----				
Basic Industry - 0.1%				
-----				
Trimas Corp., 9.875%, 2012	\$	105,000	\$	85,050
-----				
Broadcast & Cable TV - 4.4%				
-----				
Cablevision Systems Corp., 8%, 2012	\$	180,000	\$	171,900
CCH I Holdings LLC, 9.92%, 2014		358,000		232,700
CCH I Holdings LLC, 11%, 2015##		378,000		342,090
Charter Communications, Inc., 8.625%, 2009		48,000		39,360
CSC Holdings, Inc., 8.125%, 2009		155,000		158,487

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

CSC Holdings, Inc., 6.75%, 2012##	110,000	106,150
FrontierVision Holdings LP, 11.875%, 2007**	300,000	369,000
FrontierVision Operating Partners LP, 11%, 2006**	115,000	156,400
Kabel Deutschland, 10.625%, 2014##	230,000	247,537
Mediacom Broadband LLC, 9.5%, 2013	285,000	278,588
Mediacom Broadband LLC, 11%, 2013	150,000	160,500
Telenet Group Holdings N.V., 0% to 2008, 11.5% to 2014##	780,000	620,100

-----  
\$ 2,882,812

### Building - 1.8%

Goodman Global Holdings, Inc., 7.875%, 2012##	\$ 355,000	\$ 333,700
Interface, Inc., 10.375%, 2010	180,000	193,950
Interface, Inc., 9.5%, 2014	150,000	149,250
Nortek Holdings, Inc., 8.5%, 2014	65,000	62,075
Nortek Holdings, Inc., 0% to 2009, 10.75% to 2014	632,000	379,200
Texas Industries, Inc., 7.25%, 2013##	70,000	72,800

-----  
\$ 1,190,975

### Business Services - 0.6%

Lucent Technologies, Inc., 6.45%, 2029	\$ 100,000	\$ 85,500
Northern Telecom Corp., 6.875%, 2023	75,000	68,625
Northern Telecom Corp., 7.875%, 2026	45,000	44,100
Sungard Data Systems, Inc., 10.25%, 2015##	165,000	163,556

-----  
\$ 361,781

### Chemicals - 4.6%

ARCO Chemical Co., 9.8%, 2020	\$ 160,000	\$ 178,400
Crystal U.S. Holdings LLC, "A", 0% to 2009, 10% to 2014	36,000	25,290
Crystal U.S. Holdings LLC, "B", 0% to 2009, 10.5% to 2014	390,000	270,075
Equistar Chemicals LP, 10.625%, 2011	80,000	87,200
Huntsman International LLC, 10.125%, 2009	1,000	1,029
Huntsman International LLC, 7.375%, 2015##	55,000	52,250
IMC Global, Inc., 10.875%, 2013	70,000	81,200
KI Holdings, Inc., 0% to 2009, 9.875% to 2014	547,000	367,174
Lyondell Chemical Co., 11.125%, 2012	340,000	379,100
Nalco Co., 8.875%, 2013	65,000	66,381
Nell AF S.a.r.L., 8.375%, 2015##	225,000	216,000
NOVA Chemicals Corp., 6.5%, 2012	125,000	119,375
Polypore, Inc., 8.75%, 2012	195,000	171,600
Resolution Performance Products LLC, 13.5%, 2010	340,000	358,275
Rhodia S.A., 8.875%, 2011	415,000	392,175
Rockwood Specialties Group, Inc., 10.625%, 2011	219,000	234,330

-----  
\$ 2,999,854

### Consumer Goods & Services - 2.4%

Acco Brands Corp., 7.625%, 2015##	\$ 30,000	\$ 28,500
Church & Dwight Co., Inc., 6%, 2012	75,000	72,750
GEO Group, Inc., 8.25%, 2013	65,000	62,887
Integrated Electrical Services, Inc., 9.375%, 2009	215,000	154,800
Revlon Consumer Products Corp., 9.5%, 2011	465,000	435,938
Safilo Capital International S.A., 9.625%, 2013##EUR	400,000	538,194
Worldspan LP, 10.04%, 2011##	\$ 330,000	268,950

-----  
\$ 1,562,019

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

---

### Containers - 0.6%

Crown European Holdings S.A., 10.875%, 2013	\$	190,000		\$	223,725
Huntsman Packaging Corp., 13%, 2010**		85,000			12,325
Owens-Illinois, Inc., 7.8%, 2018		90,000			86,400
Pliant Corp., 13%, 2010**		425,000			61,625
				-----	
				\$	384,075

---

### Defense Electronics - 0.2%

L-3 Communications Holdings, Inc., 6.125%, 2014	\$	130,000		\$	127,075
---	----	---------	--	----	---------

---

### Electronics - 0%

Magnachip Semiconductor S.A., 8%, 2014	\$	20,000		\$	18,300
--	----	--------	--	----	--------

---

### Emerging Market Quasi-Sovereign - 0.7%

Gazprom OAO, 9.625%, 2013##	\$	150,000		\$	180,000
Gazprom OAO, 9.625%, 2013		60,000			72,000
Pemex Project Funding Master Trust, 8.625%, 2022		143,000			170,885
				-----	
				\$	422,885

---

### Emerging Market Sovereign - 1.9%

Federal Republic of Brazil, 8.875%, 2019	\$	483,000		\$	509,565
Federal Republic of Brazil, 5.1875%, 2024		54,000			51,435
Republic of Argentina, 5.83%, 2033**ARS		851,667			358,686
Republic of Panama, 9.375%, 2023	\$	43,000			52,245
Republic of Panama, 9.375%, 2029		185,000			226,163
United Mexican States, 8.3%, 2031		41,000			50,225
				-----	
				\$	1,248,319

---

### Energy - Independent - 0.9%

Belden & Blake Corp., 8.75%, 2012	\$	280,000		\$	288,400
Chesapeake Energy Corp., 7%, 2014		52,000			54,080
Chesapeake Energy Corp., 6.875%, 2016		130,000			131,625
Clayton Williams Energy, Inc., 7.75%, 2013##		80,000			77,200
Pogo Producing Co., 6.875%, 2017##		40,000			39,600
				-----	
				\$	590,905

---

### Entertainment - 1.6%

Loews Cineplex Entertainment Corp., 9%, 2014	\$	130,000		\$	125,125
Marquee Holdings, Inc., 0% to 2009, 12% to 2014		415,000			250,038
Six Flags, Inc., 9.75%, 2013		415,000			412,925
Universal City, Florida Holding Co., 8.375%, 2010		240,000			246,000
				-----	
				\$	1,034,088

---

### Food & Non-Alcoholic Beverages - 0.7%

Chaoda Modern Agriculture Holdings, 7.75%, 2010##	\$	359,000		\$	348,230
Doane Petcare Co., 10.625%, 2015##		135,000			136,687
				-----	
				\$	484,917

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

---

### Forest & Paper Products - 2.7%

---

Georgia-Pacific Corp., 7.75%, 2029	\$ 485,000	\$ 497,125
Graphic Packaging International Corp., 9.5%, 2013	345,000	309,637
Jefferson Smurfit Corp., 8.25%, 2012	140,000	131,950
JSG Funding LLC, 11.5%, 2015###EUR	476,666	495,108
Newark Group, Inc., 9.75%, 2014	\$ 155,000	133,300
Norske Skog Canada Ltd., 7.375%, 2014	125,000	111,250
Sino-Forest Corp., 9.125%, 2011##	12,000	12,750
Stone Container Corp., 7.375%, 2014	90,000	79,875
		-----
		\$ 1,770,995

---

### Gaming & Lodging - 2.4%

---

Chukchansi Economic Development Authority, 8%, 2013##	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000
GTECH Holdings Corp., 5.25%, 2014	265,000	223,747
NCL Corp., 11.625%, 2014##	360,000	369,900
Penn National Gaming, Inc., 6.75%, 2015	95,000	91,200
Pinnacle Entertainment, Inc., 8.25%, 2012	195,000	193,538
Resorts International Hotel & Casino, Inc., 11.5%, 2009	400,000	445,500
Station Casinos, Inc., 6.875%, 2016##	25,000	25,188
Wynn Las Vegas LLC, 6.625%, 2014	215,000	204,788
		-----
		\$ 1,583,861

---

### Industrial - 1.7%

---

Amsted Industries, Inc., 10.25%, 2011##	\$ 195,000	\$ 208,650
Da-Lite Screen Co., Inc., 9.5%, 2011	120,000	126,600
JohnsonDiversey Holdings, Inc., 0% to 2007, 10.67% to 2013	335,000	241,200
Knowledge Learning Corp., 7.75%, 2015##	85,000	79,050
Milacron Escrow Corp., 11.5%, 2011	390,000	335,400
Wesco Distribution, Inc., 7.5%, 2017##	105,000	104,869
		-----
		\$ 1,095,769

---

### Machinery & Tools - 0.8%

---

Case New Holland, Inc., 9.25%, 2011	\$ 205,000	\$ 215,762
United Rentals, Inc., 7.75%, 2013	335,000	318,250
		-----
		\$ 534,012

---

### Medical & Health Technology & Services - 2.2%

---

CDRV Investors, Inc., 0% to 2010, 9.625% to 2015	\$ 370,000	\$ 205,350
DaVita, Inc., 6.625%, 2013	80,000	81,000
DaVita, Inc., 7.25%, 2015	95,000	95,950
Fisher Scientific International, Inc., 6.125%, 2015##	210,000	208,425
Healthsouth Corp., 8.5%, 2008	150,000	147,000
Lifecare Holdings, Inc., 9.25%, 2013##	185,000	136,900
Psychiatric Solutions, Inc., 7.75%, 2015	55,000	56,650
Select Medical Corp., 7.625%, 2015	115,000	106,663
U.S. Oncology, Inc., 10.75%, 2014	275,000	303,188
Universal Hospital Services, Inc., 10.125%, 2011	105,000	105,263
		-----
		\$ 1,446,389

---

### Medical Equipment - 0.5%

---

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

Warner Chilcott Corp., 8.75%, 2015##	\$	375,000	\$	345,000
<hr/>				
Natural Gas - Pipeline - 1.3%				
<hr/>				
ANR Pipeline Co., 9.625%, 2021	\$	105,000	\$	127,198
Colorado Interstate Gas Co., 5.95%, 2015		95,000		90,226
El Paso Energy Corp., 7%, 2011		300,000		295,500
El Paso Energy Corp., 7.75%, 2013		235,000		242,050
Markwest Energy Partners LP, 6.875%, 2014##		90,000		86,400
			<hr/>	
			\$	841,374
<hr/>				
Network & Telecom - 5.0%				
<hr/>				
Axtel S.A. de C.V., 11%, 2013	\$	565,000	\$	629,975
Cincinnati Bell, Inc., 8.375%, 2014		155,000		150,737
Citizens Communications Co., 9.25%, 2011		170,000		184,025
Citizens Communications Co., 6.25%, 2013		305,000		288,987
Global Crossing UK Finance, 10.75%, 2014		80,000		70,800
Hawaiian Telecom Communications, Inc., 9.75%, 2013##		130,000		129,350
Hawaiian Telecom Communications, Inc., 12.5%, 2015##		190,000		185,725
MCI, Inc., 6.908%, 2007		100,000		101,000
MCI, Inc., 7.688%, 2009		110,000		113,987
Qwest Capital Funding, Inc., 7.25%, 2011		175,000		167,125
Qwest Corp., 7.875%, 2011		180,000		188,550
Qwest Corp., 8.875%, 2012		175,000		192,063
Qwest Services Corp., 13.5%, 2010		475,000		542,688
Time Warner Telecom Holdings, Inc., 9.25%, 2014		335,000		335,000
			<hr/>	
			\$	3,280,012
<hr/>				
Oil Services - 0.2%				
<hr/>				
Hanover Compressor Co., 9%, 2014	\$	125,000	\$	136,562
<hr/>				
Precious Metals & Minerals - 0.4%				
<hr/>				
Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold, Inc., 6.875%, 2014	\$	254,000	\$	250,190
<hr/>				
Printing & Publishing - 2.8%				
<hr/>				
American Media Operations, Inc., 8.875%, 2011	\$	260,000	\$	222,300
Dex Media, Inc., 0% to 2008, 9% to 2013		840,000		651,000
Dex Media, Inc., 0% to 2008, 9% to 2013		135,000		104,625
Hollinger, Inc., 12.875%, 2011##		50,000		52,812
Houghton Mifflin Co., 0% to 2008, 11.5% to 2013		385,000		279,125
Lighthouse International Co. S.A., 8%, 2014##EUR		160,000		201,373
WDAC Subsidiary Corp., 8.375%, 2014##	\$	365,000		344,013
			<hr/>	
			\$	1,855,248
<hr/>				
Railroad & Shipping - 0.4%				
<hr/>				
TFM S.A. de C.V., 9.375%, 2012##	\$	241,000	\$	261,485
<hr/>				
Restaurants - 0.5%				
<hr/>				
Carrols Holdings Corp., 9%, 2013##	\$	130,000	\$	128,700
Uno Restaurant Corp., 10%, 2011##		195,000		173,550
			<hr/>	
			\$	302,250
<hr/>				

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

### Retailers - 1.6%

Buhrmann U.S., Inc., 7.875%, 2015	\$ 95,000	\$ 93,812
Couche-Tard, Inc., 7.5%, 2013	170,000	172,550
Eye Care Centers of America, Inc., 10.75%, 2015##	165,000	154,687
Finlay Fine Jewelry Corp., 8.375%, 2012	100,000	85,000
GSC Holdings Corp., 8%, 2012##	70,000	68,075
Mothers Work, Inc., 11.25%, 2010	145,000	124,700
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., 9%, 2015##	165,000	162,113
Rite Aid Corp., 8.125%, 2010	130,000	130,000
Rite Aid Corp., 7.7%, 2027	95,000	72,200
		-----
		\$ 1,063,137

### Specialty Stores - 0.2%

Payless ShoeSource, Inc., 8.25%, 2013	\$ 125,000	\$ 126,875
---------------------------------------	------------	------------

### Steel - 0.6%

AK Steel Holding Corp., 7.75%, 2012	\$ 355,000	\$ 319,500
Chaparral Steel Co., 10%, 2013##	60,000	62,100
Northwestern Steel & Wire Co., 9.5%, 2049**	300,000	0
		-----
		\$ 381,600

### Telecommunications - Wireless - 1.7%

Alamosa Holdings, Inc., 12%, 2009	\$ 129,000	\$ 141,255
American Towers, Inc., 7.25%, 2011	85,000	88,825
Centennial Communications Corp., 10.125%, 2013	140,000	156,450
Dobson Cellular Systems, Inc., 9.875%, 2012	35,000	37,800
Dolphin Telecom PLC, 11.5%, 2008**	750,000	0
IWO Holdings, Inc., 7.9%, 2012	15,000	15,600
Rogers Wireless, Inc., 7.5%, 2015	65,000	69,713
Rural Cellular Corp., 9.75%, 2010	508,000	505,460
U.S. Unwired, Inc., 10%, 2012	100,000	113,750
		-----
		\$ 1,128,853

### Tire & Rubber - 1.0%

Cooper Standard Automotive, Inc., 8.375%, 2014	\$ 265,000	\$ 198,750
Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., 9%, 2015##	480,000	463,200
		-----
		\$ 661,950

### Tobacco - 0.5%

R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Holdings, Inc., 7.3%, 2015##	\$ 340,000	\$ 338,300
--	------------	------------

### Utilities - Electric Power - 3.0%

Allegheny Energy Supply Co., LLC, 8.25%, 2012##	\$ 70,000	\$ 78,225
FirstEnergy Corp., 6.45%, 2011	99,000	104,139
Midwest Generation LLC, 8.75%, 2034	145,000	158,775
Mission Energy Holding Co., 13.5%, 2008	250,000	289,375
NGC Corp. Capital Trust, 8.316%, 2027	275,000	239,250
NRG Energy, Inc., 8%, 2013	169,000	184,210
Reliant Energy, Inc., 6.75%, 2014	60,000	55,800
Reliant Resources, Inc., 9.25%, 2010	200,000	210,000
Sierra Pacific Resources, 6.75%, 2017##	335,000	333,325

Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

Texas Genco LLC, 6.875%, 2014##	120,000	128,400
TXU Corp., 5.55%, 2014	220,000	202,228
		\$ 1,983,727
-----		
Total Bonds (Identified Cost, \$42,363,877)		\$ 41,795,215
-----		
Stocks - 28.3%		
-----		
ISSUER	SHARES	\$ VALUE
-----		
Airlines - 1.5%		
Southwest Airlines Co.^	60,500	\$ 968,605
-----		
Automotive - 1.0%		
Magna International, Inc., "A"^	9,500	\$ 662,340
-----		
Broadcast & Cable TV - 2.8%		
Comcast Corp., "Special A"^*	43,100	\$ 1,181,371
NTL, Inc.^*	6,812	417,712
Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc., "A"^	30,000	248,700
		\$ 1,847,783
-----		
Business Services - 0.3%		
Anacomp, Inc., "B"^*	30	\$ 318
Brink's Co.^	4,500	176,715
		\$ 177,033
-----		
Containers - 2.8%		
Owens-Illinois, Inc.^*	97,000	\$ 1,846,880
-----		
Forest & Paper Products - 0%		
Corporacion Durango S.A. de C.V.*	8,698	\$ 5,405
-----		
Medical & Health Technology & Services - 1.4%		
Tenet Healthcare Corp.^*	110,500	\$ 930,410
-----		
Metals & Mining - 0%		
International Utility Structures Inc.*	254,700	\$ 0
-----		
Natural Gas - Distribution - 0.7%		
Ferrell Gas Partners LP	6,088	\$ 131,318
Northwestern Corp.^	10,109	300,743
		\$ 432,061
-----		
Network & Telecom - 4.8%		
Nokia Corp., ADR^	100,200	\$ 1,685,364
Nortel Networks Corp.^*	439,300	1,427,725
		\$ 1,427,725
-----		



Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

\$ 3,113,089

Oil Services - 7.2%

BJ Services Co.	29,000	\$ 1,007,750
Cooper Cameron Corp.^*	16,530	1,218,757
GlobalSantaFe Corp.^	36,830	1,640,777
Noble Corp.^	13,390	862,048
		\$ 4,729,332

Pharmaceuticals - 1.8%

Merck & Co., Inc.^	3,900	\$ 110,058
Wyeth	24,600	1,096,176
		\$ 1,206,234

Printing & Publishing - 0%

Golden Books Family Entertainment, Inc.*	53,266	\$ 0
--	--------	------

Specialty Chemicals - 0%

Sterling Chemicals, Inc.*	55	\$ 1,265
---------------------------	----	----------

Telecommunications - Wireless - 0.2%

Vodafone Group PLC, ADR^	4,432	\$ 116,384
--------------------------	-------	------------

Telephone Services - 2.2%

Adelphia Business Solutions, Inc.*	40,000	\$ 0
Sprint Nextel Corp.^	62,300	1,452,213
		\$ 1,452,213

Utilities - Electric Power - 1.6%

Calpine Corp.*	331,670	\$ 789,375
NRG Energy, Inc.*	6,073	261,200
		\$ 1,050,575

Total Stocks (Identified Cost, \$19,066,307)

\$ 18,539,609

Convertible Preferred Stock - 0.3%

Automotive - 0.3%

General Motors Corp., 5.25% (Identified Cost, \$204,661)	11,530	\$ 190,245
--	--------	------------

Preferred Stocks - 3.6%

Broadcast & Cable TV - 3.6%

Paxson Communications Corp., 14.25%^	229	\$ 1,562,925
Spanish Broadcasting Systems, Inc., "B", 10.75%	775	829,250
		\$ 2,392,175

Consumer Goods & Services - 0%

Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

Renaissance Cosmetics, Inc., 14%#*	1,266	\$	0
Real Estate - 0%			
HRPT Properties Trust, "B", 8.75%	200	\$	5,194
Telephone Services - 0%			
PTV, Inc., "A", 10%^	8	\$	12
Total Preferred Stocks (Identified Cost, \$2,543,908)		\$	2,397,381

Portfolio of Investments - continued  
Warrants - 0%

ISSUER	STRIKE PRICE	FIRST EXERCISE	SHARES	\$ VALUE
Anacomp, Inc. (Business Services)*	\$61.54	12/10/01	5,841	\$ 263
Metricom, Inc. (Network & Telecom)*	87.00	8/15/00	775	0
Ono Finance (Broadcast & Cable TV)*	0.00	5/31/09	1,000	0
Renaissance Cosmetics, Inc. (Consumer Goods & Services)*	0.01	8/08/96	1,024	0
Sirius Satellite Radio, Inc. (Advertising & Broadcasting)*	24.92	8/13/99	2,700	0
Sterling Chemicals, Inc. (Specialty Chemicals)*	52.00	12/31/02	90	90
Thermadyne Holdings Corp. (Machinery & Tools)*	20.78	5/29/03	3,330	500
Total Warrants (Identified Cost, \$38,821)				\$ 853

Collateral for Securities Loaned - 15.5%

Navigator Securities Lending Prime Portfolio, at Cost and Net Asset Value	10,133,045	\$	10,133,045
---	------------	----	------------

Repurchase Agreement - 3.5%

ISSUER	PAR AMOUNT	\$ VALUE
Merrill Lynch & Co., 4.01%, dated 10/31/05, due 11/01/05, total to be received \$2,274,253 (secured by various U.S. Treasury and Federal Agency obligations in a jointly traded account), at Cost	\$ 2,274,000	\$ 2,274,000
Total Investments (Identified Cost, \$76,624,619)@		\$ 75,330,348

Other Assets, Less Liabilities - (15.0)% (9,852,782)

Net Assets - 100.0% \$ 65,477,566

\* Non-income producing security.

\*\* Non income producing security - in default.

@ As of October 31, 2005, the trust had 11 securities representing \$32,800 and less than 0.1% of net assets that were fair valued in accordance with the

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

policies adopted by the Board of Trustees.

- ^ All or a portion of this security is on loan.
- ^^ Interest only security for which the trust receives interest on notional principal (Par amount). Par amount shown is the notional principal and does not reflect the cost of the security.
- # Payment-in-kind security.
- ## SEC Rule 144A restriction.

(S) (S) (S) The rate shown represents a current effective yield.

The following abbreviations are used in the Portfolio of Investments and are defined:

ADR American Depository Receipt  
 FRN Floating Rate Note. The interest rate is the rate in effect as of period end.

Abbreviations indicate amounts shown in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar. All amounts are stated in U.S. Dollars unless otherwise indicated. A list of abbreviations is shown below:

ARS Argentine Peso  
 EUR Euro

### FORWARD FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE CONTRACTS

Sales and Purchases in the table below are netted by currency.

	CONTRACTS TO DELIVER/RECEIVE	SETTLEMENT DATE	IN EXCHANGE FOR	CONTRACTS AT VALUE	NET UNREALIZED APPRECIATION (DEPRECIATION)
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>					
SALES					
EUR	1,805,282	11/22/05	\$2,178,578	\$2,166,467	\$12,111
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>					
PURCHASES					
EUR	101,715	11/22/05	\$123,647	\$122,065	\$(1,582)
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>					

At October 31, 2005, forward foreign currency purchases and sales under master netting agreements excluded above amounted to a net payable of \$1,314 with Merrill Lynch International.

At October 31, 2005, the trust had sufficient cash and/or securities to cover any commitments under all derivative contracts.

SEE NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Statement of Assets and Liabilities

This statement represents your trust's balance sheet, which details the assets and liabilities composing the total value of the trust.

AT 10/31/05

### ASSETS

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

Investments, at value, including \$9,873,627 of securities on loan (identified cost, \$76,624,619)	\$75,330,348
Receivable for forward foreign currency exchange contracts	12,111
Receivable for investments sold	580,567
Interest and dividends receivable	946,543
Other assets	10,825

---

Total assets	\$76,88
--------------	---------

### LIABILITIES

---

Payable to custodian	\$132,883
Payable to dividend disbursing agent	568,438
Payable for forward foreign currency exchange contracts	1,582
Payable for forward foreign currency exchange contracts subject to master netting agreements	1,314
Payable for investments purchased	348,657
Collateral for securities loaned, at value	10,133,045
Payable to affiliates	
Management fee	4,890
Transfer agent and dividend disbursing costs	2,080
Administrative services fee	125
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	209,814

---

Total liabilities	\$11,40
-------------------	---------

---

Net assets	\$65,47
------------	---------

### NET ASSETS CONSIST OF:

---

Paid-in capital	\$71,758,912
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments and translation of assets and liabilities in foreign currencies	(1,285,417)
Accumulated net realized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency transactions	(4,646,096)
Accumulated distributions in excess of net investment income	(349,833)

---

Net assets	\$65,47
------------	---------

---

Shares of beneficial interest outstanding (7,031,788 issued, less 256,600 treasury shares)	6,77
--	------

---

Net asset value per share (net assets of \$65,477,566 / 6,775,188 shares of beneficial interest outstanding)

SEE NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### Statement of Operations

This statement describes how much your trust received in investment income and paid in expenses. It also describes any gains and/or losses generated by trust operations.

YEAR ENDED 10/31/05

NET INVESTMENT INCOME

Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

Income		
Interest	\$4,510,241	
Dividends	473,249	
Foreign taxes withheld	(8,691)	
<hr/>		
Total investment income		\$4,9
<hr/>		
Expenses		
Management fee	\$636,991	
Transfer agent and dividend disbursing costs	26,443	
Administrative services fee	10,694	
Independent trustees' compensation	14,102	
Stock exchange fee	19,957	
Custodian fee	49,944	
Printing	23,583	
Postage	46,382	
Auditing fees	75,924	
Legal fees	3,030	
Shareholder solicitation expenses	1,946	
Miscellaneous	29,000	
<hr/>		
Total expenses		\$9
<hr/>		
Fees paid indirectly	(27,784)	
Reduction of expenses by investment adviser	(246)	
<hr/>		
Net expenses		\$9
<hr/>		
Net investment income		\$4,0
<hr/>		
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) ON INVESTMENTS		
<hr/>		
Realized gain (loss) (identified cost basis)		
Investment transactions	\$741,343	
Foreign currency transactions	(41,106)	
<hr/>		
Net realized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency transactions		\$7
<hr/>		
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)		
Investments	\$(1,196,161)	
Translation of assets and liabilities in foreign currencies	191,407	
<hr/>		
Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency translation		\$(1,0
<hr/>		
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency		\$(3
<hr/>		
Change in net assets from operations		\$3,7
<hr/>		

SEE NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

This statement describes the increases and/or decreases in net assets resulting from operations, any distributions, and any shareholder transactions.

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

FOR YEARS ENDED 10/31

2005

2004

### CHANGE IN NET ASSETS

#### FROM OPERATIONS

Net investment income	\$4,064,833	\$3,93
Net realized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency transactions	700,237	(87
Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency translation	(1,004,754)	5,78
Change in net assets from operations	\$3,760,316	\$8,84

#### DISTRIBUTIONS DECLARED TO SHAREHOLDERS

From net investment income	\$ (4,852,320)	\$ (3,87
From paid-in capital	(2,004,062)	(2,87
Total distributions declared to shareholders	\$ (6,856,382)	\$ (6,74
Change in net assets from trust share transactions	\$634,182	\$64
Total change in net assets	\$ (2,461,884)	\$2,74

#### NET ASSETS

At beginning of period	\$67,939,450	\$65,19
At end of period (including accumulated distributions in excess of net investment income of \$349,833 and \$323,492, respectively)	\$65,477,566	\$67,93

SEE NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Financial Highlights

The financial highlights table is intended to help you understand the trust's financial performance. Certain information reflects financial results for a single trust share. The total returns in the table are the total returns by which an investor would have earned (or lost) on an investment in the trust share class (assuming reinvestment of all distributions) held for the entire period. This information has been audited by the trust's independent accounting firm, whose report, together with the trust's financial statements, are included in the trust's annual report.

	YEARS ENDED 10/31		
	2005	2004	2003
Net asset value, beginning of period	\$10.12	\$9.80	\$7.50
<b>INCOME (LOSS) FROM INVESTMENT OPERATIONS(S)</b>			
Net investment income#	\$0.60	\$0.59	\$0.59
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on			

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

investments and foreign currency	(0.04)	0.74	2.56
<hr/>			
Total from investment operations	\$0.56	\$1.33	\$3.15
<hr/>			
LESS DISTRIBUTIONS DECLARED TO SHAREHOLDERS			
<hr/>			
From net investment income	\$(0.72)	\$(0.58)	\$(0.57)
From net realized gain on investments and foreign currency transactions	--	--	(0.28)
From paid-in capital	(0.30)	(0.43)	--
<hr/>			
Total distributions declared to shareholders	\$(1.02)	\$(1.01)	\$(0.85)
<hr/>			
Net asset value, end of period	\$9.66	\$10.12	\$9.80
<hr/>			
Per share market value, end of period	\$10.85	\$11.60	\$10.40
<hr/>			
Total return at market value(%)&***	2.77	22.56+	58.07
<hr/>			
RATIOS (%) (TO AVERAGE NET ASSETS) AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
<hr/>			
Expenses before expense reductions##	1.37	1.28	1.34
Expenses after expense reductions##	1.37	1.28	1.34
Net investment income(S)	5.95	5.82	6.75
Portfolio turnover	47	72	94
Net assets at end of period (000 Omitted)	\$65,478	\$67,939	\$65,195
<hr/>			

\*\*\* Certain expenses have been reduced without which performance would have been lower.

# Per share data are based on average shares outstanding.

## Ratios do not reflect reductions from fees paid indirectly.

(S) Effective November 1, 2001, the trust adopted the provisions of the AICPA Audit and Accounting Companies and began amortizing and accreting all premiums and discounts on debt securities. P for periods prior to November 1, 2001 have not been restated to reflect this change.

& From time to time the trust may receive proceeds from litigation settlements, without which p lower.

+ The trust's net asset value and total return calculation include a non-recurring accrual reco administrative proceeding regarding disclosure of brokerage allocation practices in connectio non-recurring accrual did not have a material impact on the net asset value per share based o on the day the proceeds were recorded.

SEE NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### (1) BUSINESS AND ORGANIZATION

MFS Special Value Trust (the trust) is a non-diversified trust that is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a closed-end management investment company.

### (2) SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

GENERAL - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The trust can invest in high-yield securities rated below investment grade. Investments in high-yield securities involve greater degrees of credit and market risk than investments in higher-rated securities and tend to be more sensitive to economic conditions. The trust can invest in foreign securities, including securities of emerging market issuers. Investments in foreign securities are vulnerable to the effects of changes in the relative values of the local currency and the U.S. dollar and to the effects of changes in each country's legal, political, and economic environment. The markets of emerging markets countries are generally more volatile than the markets of developed countries with more mature economies. All of the risks of investing in foreign securities previously described are heightened when investing in emerging markets countries.

INVESTMENT VALUATIONS - Bonds and other fixed income securities, including restricted fixed income securities, (other than short-term obligations) in the trust's portfolio are valued at an evaluated bid price as reported by an independent pricing service, or to the extent a valuation is not reported by a pricing service, such securities are valued on the basis of quotes from brokers and dealers. Prices obtained from pricing services utilize both dealer-supplied valuations and electronic data processing techniques which take into account appropriate factors such as institutional-size trading in similar groups of securities, yield, quality, coupon rate, maturity, type of issue, trading characteristics and other market data without exclusive reliance upon quoted prices or exchange or over-the-counter prices, since such valuations are believed to reflect more accurately the fair value of such securities. Equity securities, including restricted equity securities, in the trust's portfolio for which market quotations are available are valued at the last sale or official closing price as reported by an independent pricing service on the primary market or exchange on which they are primarily traded, or at the last quoted bid price for securities in which there were no sales during the day. Equity securities traded over the counter are valued at the last sales price traded each day as reported by an independent pricing service, or to the extent there are no sales reported, such securities are valued on the basis of quotations obtained from brokers and dealers. Forward foreign currency contracts are valued using spot rates and forward points as reported by an independent pricing source. Short-term obligations with a remaining maturity in excess of 60 days will be valued upon dealer-supplied valuations. All other short-term obligations in the trust's portfolio are valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value as determined by the Board of Trustees. Money market mutual funds are valued at net asset value. Investment valuations, other assets, and liabilities initially expressed in foreign currencies are converted each business day into U.S. dollars based upon current exchange rates. When pricing service information or market quotations are not readily available, securities are priced at fair value as determined under the direction of the Board of Trustees. For example, significant events (such as movement in the U.S. securities market, or other regional and local developments) may occur between the time that foreign markets close (where the security is principally traded) and the time that the trust calculates its net asset value (generally, the close of the NYSE) that may impact the value of securities traded in these foreign markets. In these cases, the trust may utilize information from an external vendor or other sources to adjust closing market quotations of foreign equity securities to reflect what it believes to be the fair value of the securities as of the trust's valuation time. Because the frequency of significant events is not predictable, fair valuation of foreign equity securities may occur on a frequent basis.

REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS - The trust may enter into repurchase agreements with institutions that the trust's investment adviser has determined are creditworthy. Each repurchase agreement is recorded at cost. The trust requires that the securities collateral in a repurchase transaction be



## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

transferred to the custodian in a manner sufficient to enable the trust to obtain those securities in the event of a default under the repurchase agreement. The trust monitors, on a daily basis, the value of the collateral to ensure that its value, including accrued interest, is greater than amounts owed to the trust under each such repurchase agreement. The trust, along with other affiliated entities of Massachusetts Financial Services Company (MFS), may utilize a joint trading account for the purpose of entering into one or more repurchase agreements.

**FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSLATION** - Purchases and sales of foreign investments, income, and expenses are converted into U.S. dollars based upon currency exchange rates prevailing on the respective dates of such transactions. Gains and losses attributable to foreign currency exchange rates on sales of securities are recorded for financial statement purposes as net realized gains and losses on investments. Gains and losses attributable to foreign exchange rate movements on income and expenses are recorded for financial statement purposes as foreign currency transaction gains and losses. That portion of both realized and unrealized gains and losses on investments that results from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates is not separately disclosed.

**DERIVATIVE RISK** - The trust may invest in derivatives for hedging or non-hedging purposes. While hedging can reduce or eliminate losses, it can also reduce or eliminate gains. When the trust uses derivatives as an investment to gain market exposure, or for hedging purposes, gains and losses from derivative instruments may be substantially greater than the derivative's original cost. Derivative instruments include forward foreign currency exchange contracts.

**FORWARD FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE CONTRACTS** - The trust may enter into forward foreign currency exchange contracts for the purchase or sale of a specific foreign currency at a fixed price on a future date. Risks may arise upon entering into these contracts from the potential inability of counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts and from unanticipated movements in the value of the contract. The trust may enter into forward foreign currency exchange contracts for hedging purposes as well as for non-hedging purposes. For hedging purposes, the trust may enter into contracts to deliver or receive foreign currency it will receive from or require for its normal investment activities. The trust may also use contracts in a manner intended to protect foreign currency denominated securities from declines in value due to unfavorable exchange rate movements. For non-hedging purposes, the trust may enter into contracts with the intent of changing the relative exposure of the trust's portfolio of securities to different currencies to take advantage of anticipated changes. The forward foreign currency exchange contracts are adjusted by the daily exchange rate of the underlying currency and any gains or losses are recorded as unrealized until the contract settlement date. On contract settlement date, the gains or losses are recorded as realized gains or losses on foreign currency transactions.

**SECURITY LOANS** - State Street Bank and Trust Company ("State Street"), as lending agent, may loan the securities of the trust to certain qualified institutions (the "Borrowers") approved by the trust. The loans are collateralized at all times by cash and/or U.S. Treasury securities in an amount at least equal to the market value of the securities loaned. State Street provides the trust with indemnification against Borrower default. The trust bears the risk of loss with respect to the investment of cash collateral. On loans collateralized by cash, the cash collateral is invested in a money market fund or short-term securities. A portion of the income generated upon investment of the collateral is remitted to the Borrowers, and the remainder is allocated between the trust and the lending agent. On loans collateralized by U.S. Treasury securities, a fee is received from the Borrower, and is allocated between the trust and the lending agent. Income from securities lending is included in interest income on the Statement of

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

Operations. The dividend and interest income earned on the securities loaned is accounted for in the same manner as other dividend and interest income.

**INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS AND INCOME** - Investment transactions are recorded on the trade date. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis. All premium and discount is amortized or accreted for financial statement purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. All discount is accreted for tax reporting purposes as required by federal income tax regulations. Dividends received in cash are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Dividend and interest payments received in additional securities are recorded on the ex-dividend or ex-interest date in an amount equal to the value of the security on such date. The trust may receive proceeds from litigation settlements involving its portfolio holdings. Any proceeds received are reflected in realized gain/loss in the Statement of Operations, or in unrealized gain/loss if the security is still held by the trust. Legal fees and other related expenses incurred to preserve and protect the value of a security owned are added to the cost of the security; other legal fees are expensed. Capital infusions made directly to the security issuer, which are generally non-recurring, incurred to protect or enhance the value of high-yield debt securities, are reported as additions to the cost basis of the security. Costs that are incurred to negotiate the terms or conditions of capital infusions or that are expected to result in a plan of reorganization are reported as realized losses. Ongoing costs incurred to protect or enhance an investment, or costs incurred to pursue other claims or legal actions, are expensed.

**FEES PAID INDIRECTLY** - The trust's custody fee is reduced according to an arrangement that measures the value of cash deposited with the custodian by the trust. During the year ended October 31, 2005, the trust's custodian fees were reduced by \$27,710 under this arrangement. The trust has entered into a commission recapture agreement, under which certain brokers will credit the trust a portion of the commissions generated, to offset certain expenses of the trust. For the year ended October 31, 2005, the trust's miscellaneous expenses were reduced by \$74 under this agreement. These amounts are shown as a reduction of total expenses on the Statement of Operations.

**TAX MATTERS AND DISTRIBUTIONS** - The trust's policy is to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code) applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute to shareholders all of its net taxable income, including any net realized gain on investments. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is provided.

Distributions to shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The trust pays monthly distributions based on an annual rate of 10% of the trust's average daily net asset value. As a result, distributions may exceed actual earnings which may result in a return of capital. The trust distinguishes between distributions on a tax basis and a financial reporting basis and only distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits are reported in the financial statements as distributions from paid-in capital. Differences in the recognition or classification of income between the financial statements and tax earnings and profits, which result in temporary over-distributions for financial statement purposes, are classified as distributions in excess of net investment income or net realized gains. Common types of book and tax differences that could occur include differences in accounting for foreign currency transactions, amortization and accretion on debt securities, derivatives, defaulted bonds, wash sales, and passive foreign investment companies.

The tax character of distributions declared for the years ended October 31, 2005 and October 31, 2004 was as follows:

10/31/05

10/31/04

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

Distributions declared from:		
Ordinary income	\$4,852,320	\$3,870,737
Tax return of capital	2,004,062	2,877,470
-----		
Total distributions declared	\$6,856,382	\$6,748,207

During the year ended October 31, 2005, accumulated distributions in excess of net investment income decreased by \$761,146, accumulated net realized loss on investments and foreign currency transactions increased by \$642,837, and paid-in capital decreased by \$118,309 due to differences between book and tax accounting for foreign currency transactions, amortization and accretion on debt securities, and defaulted bonds. This change had no effect on the net assets or net asset value per share.

As of October 31, 2005, the components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) on a tax basis were as follows:

Capital loss carryforward	\$ (1,466,084)
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	(4,485,863)
Other temporary differences	(329,399)

For federal income tax purposes, the capital loss carryforward may be applied against any net taxable realized gains of each succeeding year until the earlier of its utilization or expiration on October 31, 2012, (\$322,473), and October 31, 2013, (\$1,143,611).

### (3) TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES

**INVESTMENT ADVISER** - The trust has an investment advisory agreement with Massachusetts Financial Services Company (MFS) to provide overall investment advisory and administrative services, and general office facilities.

The management fee is computed daily and paid monthly at an annual rate of 0.68% of the trust's average daily net assets and 3.40% of gross investment income. The management fee, from net assets and gross investment income, incurred for the year ended October 31, 2005 was equivalent to an annual effective rate of 0.93% of the trust's average daily net assets.

**TRANSFER AGENT** - The trust pays a portion of transfer agent and dividend-disbursing costs to MFS Service Center, Inc. (MFSC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of MFS. MFSC receives a fee from the trust, for its services as registrar and dividend-disbursing agent. The agreement provides that the trust will pay MFSC an account maintenance fee of no more than \$9.00 and a dividend services fee of \$0.75 per reinvestment. For the year ended October 31, 2005, these fees amounted to \$14,750. MFSC also receives payment from the trust for out-of-pocket expenses paid by MFSC on behalf of the trust. For the year ended October 31, 2005, these costs amounted to \$9,690.

**ADMINISTRATOR** - MFS provides certain financial, legal, shareholder communications, compliance, and other administrative services to certain funds for which MFS acts as investment adviser. Under an administrative services agreement, the funds may partially reimburse MFS the costs incurred to provide these services, subject to review and approval by the Board of Trustees. Each fund is charged a fixed amount plus a fee based on calendar year average net assets. Effective July 1, 2005, the fund's annual fixed amount is \$10,000. The administrative services fee incurred for the year ended October 31, 2005 was equivalent to an annual effective rate of 0.0156% of the trust's average daily net assets.

**TRUSTEES' AND OFFICERS' COMPENSATION** - The trust pays compensation to Independent Trustees in the form of a retainer, attendance fees, and additional compensation to Board and Committee chairpersons. The trust does

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

not pay compensation directly to Trustees who are officers of the investment adviser, or to officers of the trust, all of whom receive remuneration for their services to the trust from MFS. Certain officers and Trustees of the trust are officers or directors of MFS and MFSC. The trust has an unfunded, defined benefit plan for retired Independent Trustees which resulted in a pension expense of \$6,934. The fund also has an unfunded retirement benefit deferral plan for certain current Independent Trustees which resulted in a net decrease of \$2,300. Both amounts are included in Independent trustees' compensation for the year ended October 31, 2005.

DEFERRED TRUSTEE COMPENSATION - Under a Deferred Compensation Plan (the Plan) Independent Trustees previously were allowed to elect to defer receipt of all or a portion of their annual compensation. Trustees are no longer allowed to defer compensation under the Plan. Amounts previously deferred are treated as though equivalent dollar amounts had been invested in shares of the trust or other MFS funds selected by the Trustee. Deferred amounts represent an unsecured obligation of the trust until distributed in accordance with the Plan. Included in other assets, and accrued expenses and other liabilities, is \$10,241 of Deferred Trustees' Compensation.

OTHER - This trust and certain other MFS funds (the funds) have entered into a services agreement (the Agreement) which provides for payment of fees by the funds to Tarantino LLC in return for the provision of services of an Independent Chief Compliance Officer (ICCO) for the funds. The ICCO is an officer of the funds and the sole member of Tarantino LLC. MFS has agreed to reimburse the fund for a portion of the payments made by the funds to Tarantino LLC in the amount of \$246, which is shown as a reduction of total expenses in the Statement of Operations. Additionally, MFS has agreed to bear all expenses associated with office space, other administrative support, and supplies provided to the ICCO. The funds can terminate the Agreement with Tarantino LLC at any time under the terms of the Agreement.

The trust's investment adviser, MFS, was the subject of an administrative proceeding regarding disclosure of brokerage allocation practices in connection with fund sales. As a result, proceeds in the amount of \$441 were paid to the trust on February 16, 2005.

#### (4) PORTFOLIO SECURITIES

Purchases and sales of investments, other than U.S. government securities, purchased option transactions, and short-term obligations, aggregated \$30,882,757 and \$33,700,011, respectively.

The cost and unrealized appreciation and depreciation in the value of the investments owned by the trust, as computed on a federal income tax basis, are as follows:

Aggregate cost	\$79,816,211
-----	
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$5,493,988
Gross unrealized depreciation	(9,979,851)
-----	
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$(4,485,863)

#### (5) SHARES OF BENEFICIAL INTEREST

The trust's Declaration of Trust permits the Trustees to issue an unlimited number of full and fractional shares of beneficial interest. The Trustees have authorized the repurchase by the trust of up to 10% annually of its own shares of beneficial interest. During the year ended October 31, 2005, the trust did not repurchase any shares. Transactions in trust shares were as follows:

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

	Year ended 10/31/05		Year ended 10/31/04	
	SHARES	AMOUNT	SHARES	AMOUNT
Shares issued to shareholders in reinvestment of distributions	58,705	\$634,182	61,069	\$645,801

### (6) LINE OF CREDIT

The trust and other affiliated funds participate in a \$1 billion unsecured line of credit provided by a syndication of banks under a credit agreement. Borrowings may be made for temporary financing needs. Interest is charged to each fund, based on its borrowings, at a rate equal to the Federal Reserve funds rate plus 0.35%. In addition, a commitment fee, based on the average daily, unused portion of the line of credit, is allocated among the participating funds at the end of each calendar quarter. The commitment fee allocated to the trust for the year ended October 31, 2005 was \$427, and is included in miscellaneous expense. The trust had no significant borrowings during the year ended October 31, 2005.

### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Trustees and Shareholders of MFS Special Value Trust:

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities of MFS Special Value Trust (the Fund), including the portfolio of investments, as of October 31, 2005, and the related statement of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of October 31, 2005, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers or by other appropriate auditing procedures where replies from brokers were not received. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of MFS Special Value Trust at October 31, 2005, the results of its operations for the year then ended, the changes in its net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and its financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

Boston, Massachusetts  
December 13, 2005

### TRUSTEES AND OFFICERS -- IDENTIFICATION AND BACKGROUND

The Trustees and officers of the Trust, as of December 2, 2005, are listed below, together with their principal occupations during the past five years. (Their titles may have varied during that period.) The address of each Trustee and officer is 500 Boylston Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02116.

NAME, DATE OF BIRTH -----	POSITION(S) HELD WITH FUND -----	TRUSTEE/OFFICER SINCE(1) -----	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATIONS D THE PAST FIVE YEARS OTHER DIRECTORSHIPS (
<b>INTERESTED TRUSTEES</b>			
Robert J. Manning(3) (born 10/20/63)	Trustee	February 2004	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Chief Executive O President, Chief Investmen Officer and Director
Robert C. Pozen(3) (born 08/08/46)	Trustee	February 2004	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Chairman (since F 2004); Harvard Law School (education), John Olin Vis Professor (since July 2002 Secretary of Economic Affa Commonwealth of Massachuse (January 2002 to December Fidelity Investments, Vice Chairman (June 2000 to Dec 2001); Fidelity Management Research Company (investme adviser), President (March July 2001); Bell Canada Enterprises (telecommunica Director; Medtronic, Inc. technology), Director; Tel (satellite communications) Director
<b>INDEPENDENT TRUSTEES</b>			
J. Atwood Ives (born 05/01/36)	Trustee and Chair of Trustees	February 1992	Private investor; Eastern Enterprises (diversified s company), Chairman, Trustee Chief Executive Officer (u November 2000)
Lawrence H. Cohn, M.D. (born 03/11/37)	Trustee	August 1993	Brigham and Women's Hospit Senior Cardiac Surgeon, Ch Cardiac Surgery (until 200 Harvard Medical School, Pr of Surgery; Brigham and Wo Hospital Physicians' Organ Chair (2000 to 2004)
David H. Gunning	Trustee	January 2004	Cleveland-Cliffs Inc. (min

Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

(born 05/30/42)

products and service provi  
Vice Chairman/Director (si  
April 2001); Encinitos Ven  
(private investment compan  
Principal (1997 to April 2  
Lincoln Electric Holdings,  
(welding equipment manufac  
Director

William R. Gutow  
(born 09/27/41)

Trustee

December 1993

Private investor and real  
consultant; Capitol Entert  
Management Company (video  
franchise), Vice Chairman

Michael Hegarty  
(born 12/21/44)

Trustee

December 2004

Retired; AXA Financial (fi  
services and insurance), V  
Chairman and Chief Operati  
Officer (until May 2001);  
Equitable Life Assurance S  
(insurance), President and  
Operating Officer (until M

Amy B. Lane  
(born 02/08/53)

Trustee

January 2004

Retired; Merrill Lynch & C  
Inc., Managing Director,  
Investment Banking Group (F  
February 2001); Borders Gr  
Inc. (book and music retail  
Director; Federal Realty  
Investment Trust (real est  
investment trust), Trustee

Lawrence T. Perera  
(born 06/23/35)

Trustee

July 1981

Hemenway & Barnes (attorne  
Partner

J. Dale Sherratt  
(born 09/23/38)

Trustee

August 1993

Insight Resources, Inc.  
(acquisition planning  
specialists), President; W  
Investments (investor in h  
care companies), Managing  
Partner (since 1993); Camb  
Nutraceuticals (profession  
nutritional products), Chi  
Executive Officer (until M

Laurie J. Thomsen  
(born 08/05/57)

Trustee

March 2005

Private investor; Prism Ve  
Partners (venture capital)  
founder and General Partne  
June 2004); St. Paul Trave  
Companies (commercial prop  
liability insurance), Dire

OFFICERS

Maria F. Dwyer(3)  
(born 12/01/58)

President

November 2005

Massachusetts Financial Se  
Company, Executive Vice Pr  
and Chief Regulatory Offic  
(since March 2004); Fideli  
Management & Research Comp  
Vice President (prior to M  
2004); Fidelity Group of F  
President and Treasurer (p  
March 2004)

Tracy Atkinson(3)

Treasurer

September 2005

Massachusetts Financial Se

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

(born 12/30/64)			Company, Senior Vice President (since September 2004); PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Partner (prior to September 2004)
Christopher R. Bohane(3) (born 1/18/74)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	July 2005	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice President and Counsel (since April 2003) Kirkpatrick & Lockhart LLP (law firm), Associate (prior to 2003); Nvest Services Company, Assistant Vice President and Associate Counsel (prior to January 2001)
Jeffrey N. Carp(3) (born 12/19/56)	Secretary and Clerk	September 2004	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary (since April 2004); Hale and Dorr LLP (law firm), Partner (prior to April 2004)
Ethan D. Corey(3) (born 11/21/63)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	July 2005	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Special Counsel (since December 2004); Dechert LLP (law firm), Counsel (prior to December 2004)
David L. DiLorenzo(3) (born 8/10/68)	Assistant Treasurer	July 2005	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice President (since June 2005); JP Morgan Investment Services, Vice President (from 2001 to June 2005); State Street Bank, Vice President and Chief Audit Manager (prior to January 2001)
Timothy M. Fagan(3) (born 7/10/68)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	September 2005	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice President and Counsel (since September 2005); John Hancock Advisers, LLC, President and Chief Compliance Officer (September 2004 to September 2005), Senior Attorney (prior to September 2004); John Hancock Group of Funds, Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer (September 2004 to December 2004)
Mark D. Fischer(3) (born 10/27/70)	Assistant Treasurer	July 2005	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice President (since July 2005); JP Morgan Investment Management Company, Vice President (prior to May 2005)
Brian T. Hourihan(3) (born 11/11/64)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	September 2004	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice President, Special Counsel and Assistant Secretary (since June 2004); Affiliated Managers Group, Inc., Chief Compliance Officer/Centralized Compliance Program (January to April 2004)



## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

			Fidelity Research & Manage Company, Assistant General (prior to January 2004)
Ellen Moynihan(3) (born 11/13/57)	Assistant Treasurer	April 1997	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Vice President
Susan S. Newton(3) (born 03/07/50)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	May 2005	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Senior Vice Presi Associate General Counsel April 2005); John Hancock Advisers, LLC, Senior Vice President, Secretary and C Legal Officer (prior to Ap 2005); John Hancock Group Funds, Senior Vice Preside Secretary and Chief Legal (prior to April 2005)
Susan A. Pereira(3) (born 11/05/70)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	July 2005	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Vice President an Counsel (since June 2004); McCutchen LLP (law firm), Associate (January 2001 to 2004); Preti, Flaherty, Be Pachios & Haley, LLC, Asso (prior to January 2001)
Frank L. Tarantino (born 03/07/44)	Independent Chief Compliance Officer	June 2004	Tarantino LLC (provider of compliance services), Prin (since June 2004); CRA Bus Strategies Group (consulti services), Executive Vice President (April 2003 to J 2004); David L. Babson & C (investment adviser), Mana Director, Chief Administra Officer and Director (Febr 1997 to March 2003)
James O. Yost(3) (born 06/12/60)	Assistant Treasurer	September 1990	Massachusetts Financial Se Company, Senior Vice Presi

- 
- (1) Date first appointed to serve as Trustee/officer of an MFS fund. Each Trustee has served continuously since appointment unless indicated otherwise.
  - (2) Directorships or trusteeships of companies required to report to the Securities and Exchange Commission (i.e., "public companies").
  - (3) "Interested person" of the Trust within the meaning of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (referred to as the 1940 Act), which is the principal federal law governing investment companies like the fund, as a result of position with MFS. The address of MFS is 500 Boylston Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02116.

The Trust holds annual shareholder meetings for the purpose of electing Trustees, and Trustees are elected for fixed terms. The Board of Trustees is currently divided into three classes, each having a term of three years.

Each year the term of one class expires. Each Trustee's term of office expires on the date of the third annual meeting following the election to office of the Trustee's class. Each Trustee has been elected by shareholders and each Trustee and officer will serve until next elected or his or her earlier death, resignation, retirement or removal.

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

Messrs. Ives and Sherratt and Mses. Lane and Thomsen are members of the Trust's Audit Committee.

Each of the Trust's Trustees and officers holds comparable positions with certain other funds of which MFS or a subsidiary is the investment adviser or distributor, and, in the case of the officers, with certain affiliates of MFS. As of December 31, 2004, each Trustee served as a board member of 99 funds within the MFS Family of Funds.

The Statement of Additional Information for the Trust and further information about the Trustees available without charge upon request by calling 1-800-225-2606.

On April 20, 2005, Robert J. Manning, as Chief Executive Officer of the Trust, certified to the New York Stock Exchange that as of the date of his certification he was not aware of any violation by the Trust of the corporate governance listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange.

The Trust filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the certifications of its principal executive officer and principal financial officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2003 as an exhibit to the Trust's Form N-CSR for the period covered by this report.

-----  
INVESTMENT ADVISER  
Massachusetts Financial Services Company  
500 Boylston Street, Boston, MA  
02116-3741

PORTFOLIO MANAGERS  
John F. Addeo  
Scott B. Richards

CUSTODIANS  
State Street Bank and Trust Company  
225 Franklin Street, Boston, MA 02110

JP Morgan Chase Bank  
One Chase Manhattan Plaza  
New York, New York 10081

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC  
ACCOUNTING FIRM  
Ernst & Young LLP  
200 Clarendon Street, Boston, MA 02116

### BOARD REVIEW OF INVESTMENT ADVISORY AGREEMENT

The Investment Company Act of 1940 requires that both the full Board of Trustees and a majority of the non-interested ("independent") Trustees, voting separately, annually approve the continuation of the MFS Special Value Trust's (the "Fund's") investment advisory agreement with MFS. The Trustees consider matters bearing on the Fund and its advisory arrangements at their meetings throughout the year, including a review of performance data at each regular meeting. In addition, the independent Trustees met several times over the course of three months beginning in May and ending in July, 2005 ("contract review meetings") for the specific purpose of considering whether to approve the continuation of the investment advisory agreement for the Fund and the other investment companies that the Board oversees (the "MFS Funds"). The independent Trustees were assisted in their evaluation of the Fund's investment advisory agreement by independent legal counsel, from whom they received separate legal advice and with whom they met separately from MFS during various contract review meetings. The independent Trustees were also assisted in this process by the MFS Funds' Independent Chief Compliance Officer, a full-time senior officer appointed by and reporting to the independent Trustees.

In connection with their deliberations regarding the continuation of the investment advisory agreement, the Trustees, including the independent Trustees, considered such information and factors as they believed, in light of the legal advice furnished to them and their own business judgment, to be relevant. The investment advisory agreement for the Fund was considered

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

separately, although the Trustees also took into account the common interests of all MFS Funds in their review. As described below, the Trustees considered the nature, quality, and extent of the various investment advisory, administrative, and shareholder services performed by MFS under the existing investment advisory agreement and other arrangements with the Fund.

In connection with their contract review meetings, the Trustees received and relied upon materials which included, among other items: (i) information provided by Lipper Inc. on the investment performance (based on net asset value) of the Fund for various time periods ended December 31, 2004 and the investment performance (based on net asset value) of a group of funds with substantially similar investment classifications/objectives (the "Lipper performance universe"), as well as the investment performance (based on net asset value) of a group of funds identified by objective criteria suggested by MFS ("peer funds"), (ii) information provided by Lipper Inc. on the Fund's advisory fees and other expenses and the advisory fees and other expenses of comparable funds identified by Lipper (the "Lipper expense group"), as well as the advisory fees and other expenses of peer funds identified by objective criteria suggested by MFS, (iii) information provided by MFS on the advisory fees of comparable portfolios of other clients of MFS, including institutional separate account and other clients, (iv) information as to whether and to what extent applicable expense waivers, reimbursements or fee "breakpoints" are observed for the Fund, (v) information regarding MFS' financial results and financial condition, including MFS' and certain of its affiliates' estimated profitability from services performed for the Fund and the MFS Funds as a whole, (vi) MFS' views regarding the outlook for the mutual fund industry and the strategic business plans of MFS, (vii) descriptions of various functions performed by MFS for the Funds, such as compliance monitoring and portfolio trading practices, and (viii) information regarding the overall organization of MFS, including information about MFS' senior management and other personnel providing investment advisory, administrative and other services to the Fund and the other MFS Funds. The comparative performance, fee and expense information prepared and provided by Lipper Inc. was not independently verified and the independent Trustees did not independently verify any information provided to them by MFS.

The Trustees' conclusion as to the continuation of the investment advisory agreement was based on a comprehensive consideration of all information provided to the Trustees and not the result of any single factor. Some of the factors that figured particularly in the Trustees' deliberations are described below, although individual Trustees may have evaluated the information presented differently from one another, giving different weights to various factors. It is also important to recognize that the fee arrangements for the Fund and other MFS Funds are the result of years of review and discussion between the independent Trustees and MFS, that certain aspects of such arrangements may receive greater scrutiny in some years than others, and that the Trustees' conclusions may be based, in part, on their consideration of these same arrangements during the course of the year and in prior years.

Based on information provided by Lipper Inc. and MFS, the Trustees reviewed the Fund's total return investment performance as well as the performance of peer groups of funds over various time periods. The Trustees placed particular emphasis on the total return performance of the Fund's common shares in comparison to the performance of funds in its Lipper performance universe over the three-year period ended December 31, 2004, which the Trustees believed was a long enough period to reflect differing market conditions. The Fund's performance was in the 29th percentile relative to the other funds in the universe for this three-year period (the 1st percentile being the best performers and the 100th percentile being the worst performers). The total return performance of the Fund's common shares was in the 64th percentile for the one-year period and the 58th percentile for the five-year period ended December 31, 2004 relative to the Lipper performance universe. Because

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

of the passage of time, these performance results are likely to differ from the performance results for more recent periods, including those shown elsewhere in this report.

In the course of their deliberations, the Trustees took into account information provided by MFS in connection with the contract review meetings, as well as during investment review meetings conducted with portfolio management personnel during the course of the year regarding the Fund's performance. After reviewing these and related factors, the Trustees concluded, within the context of their overall conclusions regarding the investment advisory agreement, that they were satisfied with MFS' responses and efforts relating to investment performance.

In assessing the reasonableness of the Fund's advisory fee, the Trustees considered, among other information, the Fund's advisory fee and the total expense ratio of the Fund's common shares as a percentage of average net assets and the advisory fee and total expense ratios of peer groups of funds based on information provided by Lipper Inc. and MFS. The Trustees considered whether the Fund was subject to any fee waivers or reductions or expense limitations. The Trustees also considered that, according to the Lipper data, the Fund's effective advisory fee rate and total expense ratio each were at the Lipper expense group median.

The Trustees also considered the advisory fees charged by MFS to institutional accounts. In comparing these fees, the Trustees considered information provided by MFS as to the generally broader scope of services provided by MFS to the Fund in comparison to institutional accounts and the impact on MFS and expenses associated with the more extensive regulatory regime to which the Fund is subject in comparison to institutional accounts.

The Trustees considered that, as a closed-end fund, the Fund is unlikely to experience meaningful asset growth. As a result, the Trustees did not view the potential for realization of economies of scale as the Fund's assets grow to be a material factor in their deliberations. The Trustees noted that they would consider economies of scale in the future in the event the Fund experiences significant asset growth, such as through an offering of preferred shares (which is not currently contemplated) or a material increase in the market value of the Fund's portfolio securities.

The Trustees also considered information prepared by MFS relating to MFS' costs and profits with respect to the Fund, the MFS Funds considered as a group, and other investment companies and accounts advised by MFS, as well as MFS' methodologies used to determine and allocate its costs to the MFS Funds, the Fund and other accounts and products for purposes of estimating profitability.

After reviewing these and other factors described herein, the Trustees concluded, within the context of their overall conclusions regarding the investment advisory agreement, that the advisory fees charged to the Fund represent reasonable compensation in light of the nature and quality of the services being provided by MFS to the Fund.

In addition, the Trustees considered MFS' resources and related efforts to continue to retain, attract and motivate capable personnel to serve the Fund. The Trustees also considered current and developing conditions in the financial services industry, including the entry into the industry of large and well-capitalized companies which are spending, and appear to be prepared to continue to spend, substantial sums to engage personnel and to provide services to competing investment companies. In this regard, the Trustees also considered the financial resources of MFS and its ultimate parent, Sun Life Financial Inc. The Trustees also considered the advantages and possible disadvantages to the Fund of having an adviser which also serves other

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

investment companies as well as other accounts.

The Trustees also considered the nature, quality, cost, and extent of administrative services provided to the Fund by MFS under agreements other than the investment advisory agreement. The Trustees also considered the nature, extent and quality of certain other services MFS performs or arranges for on the Fund's behalf, including securities lending programs, directed expense payment programs, class action recovery programs, and MFS' interaction with third-party service providers, principally custodians and sub-custodians. The Trustees concluded that the various non-advisory services provided by MFS and its affiliates on behalf of the Funds were satisfactory.

The Trustees also considered benefits to MFS from the use of the Fund's portfolio brokerage commissions to pay for research and other similar services (including MFS' general policy to pay directly for third-party research), and various other factors. Additionally, the Trustees considered so-called "fall-out benefits" to MFS such as reputational value derived from serving as investment manager to the Fund.

Based on their evaluation of factors that they deemed to be material, including those factors described above, the Board of Trustees, including a majority of the independent Trustees, concluded that the Fund's investment advisory agreement with MFS should be continued for an additional one-year period, commencing August 1, 2005.

A discussion regarding the Board's most recent review and renewal of the Fund's investment advisory agreement will be available on or before December 1, 2005 by visiting the Closed-End section of the MFS Web site ([mfs.com](http://mfs.com)).

### PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND INFORMATION

A general description of the MFS funds' proxy voting policies and procedures is available without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-225-2606, by visiting the Proxy Voting section of [mfs.com](http://mfs.com) or by visiting the SEC's Web site at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Information regarding how the fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent twelve-month period ended June 30 is available without charge by visiting the Proxy Voting section of [mfs.com](http://mfs.com) or by visiting the SEC's Web site at <http://www.sec.gov>.

### QUARTERLY PORTFOLIO DISCLOSURE

The fund will file a complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission) for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The fund's Form N-Q may be reviewed and copied at the:

Public Reference Room  
Securities and Exchange Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20549-0102

Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. The fund's Form N-Q is available on the EDGAR database on the Commission's Internet Web site at <http://www.sec.gov>, and copies of this information may be obtained, upon payment of a duplicating fee, by electronic request at the following e-mail address: [publicinfo@sec.gov](mailto:publicinfo@sec.gov) or by writing the Public Reference Section at the above address.

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

A shareholder can also obtain the quarterly portfolio holdings report at mfs.com.

### FEDERAL TAX INFORMATION (unaudited)

In January 2006, shareholders will be mailed a Form 1099-DIV reporting the federal tax status of all distributions paid during the calendar year 2005.

For the year ended October 31, 2005, the amount of distributions from income eligible for the 70% dividends received deductions for corporations is 6.71%.

The trust hereby designates the maximum amount allowable as qualified dividend income eligible for a maximum tax rate of 15%, as provided for by the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003. Complete information will be reported in conjunction with your 2005 Form 1099-DIV.

### CONTACT INFORMATION AND NUMBER OF SHAREHOLDERS

#### INVESTOR INFORMATION

Transfer Agent, Registrar and Dividend Disbursing Agent

Call 1-800-637-2304 any business day from 8 a.m. to 8 p.m. Eastern time

Write to: State Street Bank and Trust Company  
c/o MFS Service Center, Inc.  
P.O. Box 55024  
Boston, MA 02205-5024

#### NUMBER OF SHAREHOLDERS

As of October 31, 2005, our records indicate that there are 572 registered shareholders and approximately 5,290 shareholders owning trust shares in "street" name, such as through brokers, banks, and other financial intermediaries.

If you are a "street" name shareholder and wish to directly receive our reports, which contain important information about the trust, please write or call:

State Street Bank and Trust Company  
c/o MFS Service Center, Inc.  
P.O. Box 55024  
Boston, MA 02205-5024  
1-800-637-2304

M F S (SM)

INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (R)

(C) 2005 MFS Investment Management (R)  
500 Boylston Street, Boston, MA 02116.

MFV-ANN-10/05 14M

#### ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

The Registrant has adopted a Code of Ethics pursuant to Section 406 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and as defined in Form N-CSR that applies to the Registrant's principal executive officer and principal financial and accounting officer. The Registrant has amended its Code of Ethics to reflect that the Registrant's Principal Financial Officer and Principal Executive Officer have

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

changed.

### ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

Mr. J. Atwood Ives and Meses. Amy B. Lane and Laurie J. Thomsen, members of the Audit Committee, have been determined by the Board of Trustees in their reasonable business judgment to meet the definition of "audit committee financial expert" as such term is defined in Form N-CSR. In addition, Mr. Ives and Meses. Lane and Thomsen are "independent" members of the Audit Committee as defined in Form N-CSR.

### ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

#### ITEMS 4(a) THROUGH 4(d) AND 4(g):

The Board of Trustees has appointed Ernst & Young LLP ("E&Y") to serve as independent accountants to the Registrant (hereinafter the "Registrant" or the "Fund"). The tables below set forth the audit fees billed to the Fund as well as fees for non-audit services provided to the Fund and/or to the Fund's investment adviser, Massachusetts Financial Services Company ("MFS") and to various entities either controlling, controlled by, or under common control with MFS that provide ongoing services to the Fund ("MFS Related Entities").

For the fiscal years ended October 31, 2005 and 2004, audit fees billed to the Fund by E&Y were as follows:

FEES BILLED BY E&Y:	Audit Fees	
	2005	2004
	----	----
MFS Special Value Trust	\$37,375	\$34,050
TOTAL		

For the fiscal years ended October 31, 2005 and 2004, fees billed by E&Y for audit-related, tax and other services provided to the Funds and for audit-related, tax and other services provided to MFS and MFS Related Entities were as follows:

FEES BILLED BY E&Y:	Audit-Related Fees (1)		Tax Fees (2)	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
	----	----	----	----
To MFS Special Value Trust	\$20,000	\$0	\$9,795	\$11,040
To MFS and MFS Related Entities of MFS Special Value Trust*	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### AGGREGATE FEES FOR NON-AUDIT SERVICES:

	2005	2004
	----	----
To MFS Special Value Trust, MFS and MFS Related Entities#	\$747,218	\$38,040

\* This amount reflects the fees billed to MFS and MFS Related Entities for non-audit services r

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

operations and financial reporting of the Fund (portions of which services also related to the financial reporting of other funds within the MFS Funds complex).

# This amount reflects the aggregate fees billed by E&Y for non-audit services rendered to the services rendered to MFS and the MFS Related Entities.

- (1) The fees included under "Audit-Related Fees" are fees related to assurance and related services related to the performance of the audit or review of financial statements, but not reported under including accounting consultations, agreed-upon procedure reports, attestation reports, comfort control reviews.
- (2) The fees included under "Tax Fees" are fees associated with tax compliance, tax advice and tax services relating to the filing or amendment of federal, state or local income tax returns, non-company qualification reviews and tax distribution and analysis.
- (3) The fees included under "All Other Fees" are fees for products and services provided by E&Y not reported under "Audit Fees," "Audit-Related Fees" and "Tax Fees", including fees for services for fund administrative expenses, compliance program and records management projects.

For periods prior to May 6, 2003, the amounts shown above under "Audit-Related Fees," "Tax Fees" and "All Other Fees" relate to permitted non-audit services that would have been subject to pre-approval if the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules relating to pre-approval of non-audit services had been in effect.

### ITEM 4(e) (1) :

Set forth below are the policies and procedures established by the Audit Committee of the Board of Trustees relating to the pre-approval of audit and non-audit related services: To the extent required by applicable law, pre-approval by the Audit Committee of the Board is needed for all audit and permissible non-audit services rendered to the Funds and all permissible non-audit services rendered to MFS or MFS Related Entities if the services relate directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Registrant. Pre-approval is currently on an engagement-by-engagement basis. In the event pre-approval of such services is necessary between regular meetings of the Audit Committee and it is not practical to wait to seek pre-approval at the next regular meeting of the Audit Committee, pre-approval of such services may be referred to the Chair of the Audit Committee for approval; provided that the Chair may not pre-approve any individual engagement for such services exceeding \$50,000 or multiple engagements for such services in the aggregate exceeding \$100,000 between such regular meetings of the Audit Committee. Any engagement pre-approved by the Chair between regular meetings of the Audit Committee shall be presented for ratification by the entire Audit Committee at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

### ITEM 4(e) (2) :

None, or 0%, of the services relating to the Audit-Related Fees, Tax Fees and All Other Fees paid by the Fund and MFS and MFS Related Entities relating directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Registrant disclosed above were approved by the audit committee pursuant to paragraphs (c) (7) (i) (C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X (which permits audit committee approval after the start of the engagement with respect to services other than audit, review or attest services, if certain conditions are satisfied).

ITEM 4(f): Not applicable.

ITEM 4(h): The Registrant's Audit Committee has considered whether the provision by a Registrant's independent registered public accounting firm of non-audit services to MFS and MFS Related Entities that were not pre-approved by the Committee (because such services were provided prior to the effectiveness of SEC rules requiring pre-approval or because such services did not relate directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Registrant) was compatible with maintaining the independence of the independent registered public accounting firm as the Registrant's principal auditors



## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

### ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

The Registrant has an Audit Committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The members of the Audit Committee are Messrs. J. Atwood Ives and J. Dale Sherratt and Meses. Amy B. Lane and Laurie J. Thomsen.

### ITEM 6. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

A schedule of investments of the Registrant is included as part of the report to shareholders of the Registrant under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

### ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

The Board of Trustees and the Board of Managers of the investment companies (the "MFS Funds") advised by Massachusetts Financial Services Company ("MFS") have delegated to MFS the right and obligation to vote proxies for shares that are owned by the MFS Funds, in accordance with MFS' proxy voting policies and procedures (the "MFS Proxy Policies"). The MFS Proxy Policies are set forth below:

#### MASSACHUSETTS FINANCIAL SERVICES COMPANY

#### PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

SEPTEMBER 17, 2003, AS REVISED ON SEPTEMBER 20, 2004 AND MARCH 15, 2005

Massachusetts Financial Services Company, MFS Institutional Advisors, Inc. and MFS' other investment adviser subsidiaries (collectively, "MFS") have adopted proxy voting policies and procedures, as set forth below, with respect to securities owned by the clients for which MFS serves as investment adviser and has the power to vote proxies, including the registered investment companies sponsored by MFS, other than the MFS Union Standard Equity Fund (the "MFS Funds"). References to "clients" in these policies and procedures include the MFS Funds and other clients of MFS, such as funds organized offshore, sub-advised funds and separate account clients, to the extent these clients have delegated to MFS the responsibility to vote proxies on their behalf under MFS' proxy and voting policies.

These policies and procedures include:

- A. Voting Guidelines;
- B. Administrative Procedures;
- C. Monitoring System;
- D. Records Retention; and
- E. Reports.

#### A. VOTING GUIDELINES

##### 1. GENERAL POLICY; POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

MFS' policy is that proxy voting decisions are made in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of MFS' clients, and not in the interests of any other party or in MFS' corporate interests, including interests such as the distribution of MFS Fund shares, administration of 401(k) plans, and institutional relationships.

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

MFS has carefully reviewed matters that in recent years have been presented for shareholder vote by either management or shareholders of public companies. Based on the overall principle that all votes cast by MFS on behalf of its clients must be in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of such clients, MFS has adopted proxy voting guidelines, set forth below, that govern how MFS generally plans to vote on specific matters presented for shareholder vote. In all cases, MFS will exercise its discretion in voting on these matters in accordance with this overall principle. In other words, the underlying guidelines are simply that - guidelines. Proxy items of significance are often considered on a case-by-case basis, in light of all relevant facts and circumstances, and in certain cases MFS may vote proxies in a manner different from these guidelines.

As a general matter, MFS maintains a consistent voting position on similar proxy proposals with respect to various issuers. In addition, MFS generally votes consistently on the same matter when securities of an issuer are held by multiple client accounts. However, MFS recognizes that there are gradations in certain types of proposals that might result in different voting positions being taken with respect to different proxy statements. There also may be situations involving matters presented for shareholder vote that are not clearly governed by the guidelines, such as proposed mergers and acquisitions. Some items that otherwise would be acceptable will be voted against the proponent when it is seeking extremely broad flexibility without offering a valid explanation. MFS reserves the right to override the guidelines with respect to a particular shareholder vote when such an override is, in MFS' best judgment, consistent with the overall principle of voting proxies in the best long-term economic interests of MFS' clients.

From time to time, MFS receives comments on these guidelines as well as regarding particular voting issues from its clients and corporate issuers. These comments are carefully considered by MFS, when it reviews these guidelines each year and revises them as appropriate.

These policies and procedures are intended to address any potential material conflicts of interest on the part of MFS or its affiliates that are likely to arise in connection with the voting of proxies on behalf of MFS' clients. If such potential conflicts of interest do arise, MFS will analyze, document and report on such potential conflicts (see Sections B.2 and E below), and shall ultimately vote these proxies in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of its clients. The MFS Proxy Review Group is responsible for monitoring and reporting with respect to such potential conflicts of interest.

### 2. MFS' POLICY ON SPECIFIC ISSUES

#### ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

MFS believes that good governance should be based on a board with a majority of directors who are "independent" of management, and whose key committees (e.g. compensation, nominating, and audit committees) are comprised entirely of "independent" directors. While MFS generally supports the board's nominees in uncontested elections, we will withhold our vote for a nominee for a board of a U.S. issuer if, as a result of such nominee being elected to the board, the board would be comprised of a majority of members who are not "independent" or, alternatively, the compensation, nominating or audit committees would include members who are not "independent." MFS will also withhold its vote for a nominee to the board if he or she failed to attend at least 75% of the board meetings in the previous year without a valid reason. In addition, MFS will withhold its vote for all nominees standing for election to a board of a U.S. issuer: (1) if, since the last annual meeting of shareholders and without shareholder approval, the board or its compensation committee has reprimed

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

underwater options; or (2) if, within the last year, shareholders approved by majority vote a resolution recommending that the board rescind a "poison pill" and the board has failed to take responsive action to that resolution. Responsive action would include the rescission of the "poison pill" (without a broad reservation to reinstate the "poison pill" in the event of a hostile tender offer), or public assurances that the terms of the "poison pill" would be put to a binding shareholder vote within the next five to seven years.

MFS evaluates a contested election of directors on a case-by-case basis considering the long-term financial performance of the company relative to its industry, management's track record, the qualifications of the nominees for both slates and an evaluation of what each side is offering shareholders.

### CLASSIFIED BOARDS

MFS opposes proposals to classify a board (e.g., a board in which only one-third of board members are elected each year). MFS supports proposals to declassify a board.

### NON-SALARY COMPENSATION PROGRAMS

Restricted stock plans are supposed to reward results rather than tenure, so the issuance of restricted stock at bargain prices is not favored. In some cases, restricted stock is granted to the recipient at deep discounts to fair market value, sometimes at par value. The holder cannot sell for a period of years, but in the meantime the holder is able to vote and receive dividends. Eventually the restrictions lapse and the stock can be sold by the holder.

MFS votes against stock option programs for officers, employees or non-employee directors that do not require an investment by the optionee, that give "free rides" on the stock price, or that permit grants of stock options with an exercise price below fair market value on the date the options are granted.

MFS opposes stock option programs that allow the board or the compensation committee, without shareholder approval, to reprice underwater options or to automatically replenish shares (i.e., evergreen plans). MFS will consider on a case-by-case basis proposals to exchange existing options for newly issued options (taking into account such factors as whether there is a reasonable value-for-value exchange).

MFS opposes stock option and restricted stock plans that provide unduly generous compensation for officers, directors or employees, or could result in excessive dilution to other shareholders. As a general guideline, MFS votes against stock option and restricted stock plans if all such plans for a particular company involve potential dilution, in the aggregate, of more than 15%. However, MFS may accept a higher percentage (up to 20%) in the case of startup or small companies which cannot afford to pay large salaries to executives, or in the case where MFS, based upon the issuer's public disclosures, believes that the issuer has been responsible with respect to its recent compensation practices, including the mix of the issuance of restricted stock and options.

MFS votes in favor of stock option or restricted stock plans for non-employee directors as long as they satisfy the requirements set forth above with respect to stock option and restricted stock plans for company executives.

### EXPENSING OF STOCK OPTIONS

While we acknowledge that there is no agreement on a uniform methodology for expensing stock options, MFS supports shareholder proposals to

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

expense stock options because we believe that the expensing of options presents a more accurate picture of the company's financial results to investors. We also believe that companies are likely to be more disciplined when granting options if the value of stock options were treated as an expense item on the company's income statements.

### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

MFS believes that competitive compensation packages are necessary to attract, motivate and retain executives. Therefore, MFS opposes shareholder proposals that seek to set limits on executive compensation. Shareholder proposals seeking to set limits on executive compensation tend to specify arbitrary compensation criteria. MFS also opposes shareholder requests for disclosure on executive compensation beyond regulatory requirements because we believe that current regulatory requirements for disclosure of executive compensation are appropriate and that additional disclosure is often unwarranted and costly. Although we support linking executive stock option grants to a company's stock performance, MFS opposes shareholder proposals that mandate a link of performance-based options to a specific industry or peer group index. MFS believes that compensation committees should retain the flexibility to propose the appropriate index or other criteria by which performance-based options should be measured. MFS evaluates other executive compensation restrictions (e.g., terminating the company's stock option or restricted stock programs, freezing executive pay during periods of large layoffs, and establishing a maximum ratio between the highest paid executive and lowest paid employee) based on whether such proposals are in the best long-term economic interests of our clients.

### EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLANS

MFS supports the use of a broad-based employee stock purchase plans to increase company stock ownership by employees, provided that shares purchased under the plan are acquired for no less than 85% of their market value and do not result in excessive dilution.

### "GOLDEN PARACHUTES"

From time to time, shareholders of companies have submitted proxy proposals that would require shareholder approval of severance packages for executive officers that exceed certain predetermined thresholds. MFS votes in favor of such shareholder proposals when they would require shareholder approval of any severance package for an executive officer that exceeds a certain multiple of such officer's annual compensation that is not determined in MFS' judgment to be excessive.

### ANTI-TAKEOVER MEASURES

In general, MFS votes against any measure that inhibits capital appreciation in a stock, including proposals that protect management from action by shareholders. These types of proposals take many forms, ranging from "poison pills" and "shark repellents" to super-majority requirements.

MFS will vote for proposals to rescind existing "poison pills" and proposals that would require shareholder approval to adopt prospective "poison pills." Nevertheless, MFS will consider supporting the adoption of a prospective "poison pill" or the continuation of an existing "poison pill" if the following two conditions are met: (1) the "poison pill" allows MFS clients to hold an aggregate position of up to 15% of a company's total voting securities (and of any class of voting securities); and (2) either (a) the "poison pill" has a term of not longer than five years, provided that MFS will consider voting in favor of the "poison pill" if the term does not exceed seven years and the "poison pill" is linked to a business strategy or purpose that

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

MFS believes is likely to result in greater value for shareholders; or (b) the terms of the "poison pill" allow MFS clients the opportunity to accept a fairly structured and attractively priced tender offer (e.g., a "chewable poison pill" that automatically dissolves in the event of an all cash, all shares tender offer at a premium price).

MFS will consider on a case-by-case basis proposals designed to prevent tenders which are disadvantageous to shareholders such as tenders at below market prices and tenders for substantially less than all shares of an issuer.

### REINCORPORATION AND REORGANIZATION PROPOSALS

When presented with a proposal to reincorporate a company under the laws of a different state, or to effect some other type of corporate reorganization, MFS considers the underlying purpose and ultimate effect of such a proposal in determining whether or not to support such a measure. While MFS generally votes in favor of management proposals that it believes are in the best long-term economic interests of its clients, MFS may oppose such a measure if, for example, the intent or effect would be to create additional inappropriate impediments to possible acquisitions or takeovers.

### ISSUANCE OF STOCK

There are many legitimate reasons for issuance of stock. Nevertheless, as noted above under "Non-Salary Compensation Programs", when a stock option plan (either individually or when aggregated with other plans of the same company) would substantially dilute the existing equity (e.g., by approximately 15% or more), MFS generally votes against the plan. In addition, MFS votes against proposals where management is asking for authorization to issue common or preferred stock with no reason stated (a "blank check") because the unexplained authorization could work as a potential anti-takeover device.

### REPURCHASE PROGRAMS

MFS supports proposals to institute share repurchase plans in which all shareholders have the opportunity to participate on an equal basis. Such plans may include a company acquiring its own shares on the open market, or a company making a tender offer to its own shareholders.

### CONFIDENTIAL VOTING

MFS votes in favor of proposals to ensure that shareholder voting results are kept confidential. For example, MFS supports proposals that would prevent management from having access to shareholder voting information that is compiled by an independent proxy tabulation firm.

### CUMULATIVE VOTING

MFS opposes proposals that seek to introduce cumulative voting and for proposals that seek to eliminate cumulative voting. In either case, MFS will consider whether cumulative voting is likely to enhance the interests of MFS' clients as minority shareholders. In our view, shareholders should provide names of qualified candidates to a company's nominating committee, which now for the first time (for U.S. listed companies) must be comprised solely of "independent" directors.

### WRITTEN CONSENT AND SPECIAL MEETINGS

Because the shareholder right to act by written consent (without calling a formal meeting of shareholders) can be a powerful tool for shareholders, MFS generally opposes proposals that would prevent shareholders

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

from taking action without a formal meeting or would take away a shareholder's right to call a special meeting of company shareholders.

### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

MFS believes that the appointment of auditors is best left to the board of directors of the company and therefore supports the ratification of the board's selection of an auditor for the company. Recently, some shareholder groups have submitted proposals to limit the non-audit activities of a company's audit firm. Some proposals would prohibit the provision of any non-audit services by a company's auditors to that company. MFS opposes proposals recommending the prohibition or limitation of the performance of non-audit services by an auditor, and proposals recommending the removal of a company's auditor due to the performance of non-audit work for the company by its auditor. MFS believes that the board, or its audit committee, should have the discretion to hire the company's auditor for specific pieces of non-audit work in the limited situations permitted under current law.

### BEST PRACTICES STANDARDS

Best practices standards are rapidly developing in the corporate governance areas as a result of recent corporate scandals, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and revised listing standards on major stock exchanges. MFS generally support these developments. However, many issuers are not publicly registered, are not subject to these enhanced listing standards, or are not operating in an environment that is comparable to that in the United States. In reviewing proxy proposals under these circumstances, MFS votes for proposals that enhance standards of corporate governance so long as we believe that - given the circumstances or the environment within which the issuers operate - the proposal is consistent with the best long-term economic interests of our clients.

### FOREIGN ISSUERS - SHARE BLOCKING

In accordance with local law or business practices, many foreign companies prevent the sales of shares that have been voted for a certain period beginning prior to the shareholder meeting and ending on the day following the meeting ("share blocking"). Depending on the country in which a company is domiciled, the blocking period may begin a stated number of days prior to the meeting (e.g., one, three or five days) or on a date established by the company. While practices vary, in many countries the block period can be continued for a longer period if the shareholder meeting is adjourned and postponed to a later date. Similarly, practices vary widely as to the ability of a shareholder to have the "block" restriction lifted early (e.g., in some countries shares generally can be "unblocked" up to two days prior to the meeting whereas in other countries the removal of the block appears to be discretionary with the issuer's transfer agent). Due to these restrictions, MFS must balance the benefits to its clients of voting proxies against the potentially serious portfolio management consequences of a reduced flexibility to sell the underlying shares at the most advantageous time. For companies in countries with potentially long block periods, the disadvantage of being unable to sell the stock regardless of changing conditions generally outweighs the advantages of voting at the shareholder meeting for routine items. Accordingly, MFS generally will not vote those proxies in the absence of an unusual, significant vote. Conversely, for companies domiciled in countries with very short block periods, MFS generally will continue to cast votes in accordance with these policies and procedures.

### SOCIAL ISSUES

There are many groups advocating social change, and many have chosen the publicly-held corporation as a vehicle for advancing their agenda. Common

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

among these are resolutions requiring the corporation to refrain from investing or conducting business in certain countries, to adhere to some list of goals or principles (e.g., environmental standards) or to promulgate special reports on various activities. MFS votes against such proposals unless their shareholder-oriented benefits will outweigh any costs or disruptions to the business, including those that use corporate resources to further a particular social objective outside the business of the company or when no discernible shareholder economic advantage is evident.

The laws of various states may regulate how the interests of certain clients subject to those laws (e.g., state pension plans) are voted with respect to social issues. Thus, it may be necessary to cast ballots differently for certain clients than MFS might normally do for other clients.

### B. ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES

#### 1. MFS PROXY REVIEW GROUP

The administration of these policies and procedures is overseen by the MFS Proxy Review Group, which includes senior MFS Legal Department officers and MFS' Proxy Consultant. The MFS Proxy Review Group:

- a. Reviews these policies and procedures at least annually and recommends any amendments considered to be necessary or advisable;
- b. Determines whether any material conflicts of interest exist with respect to instances in which (i) MFS seeks to override these guidelines and (ii) votes not clearly governed by these guidelines; and
- c. Considers special proxy issues as they may arise from time to time.

The current MFS Proxy Consultant is an independent proxy consultant who performs these services exclusively for MFS.

#### 2. POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The MFS Proxy Review Group is responsible for monitoring potential material conflicts of interest on the part of MFS or its affiliates that could arise in connection with the voting of proxies on behalf of MFS' clients. Any significant attempt to influence MFS' voting on a particular proxy matter should be reported to the MFS Proxy Review Group. The MFS Proxy Consultant will assist the MFS Proxy Review Group in carrying out these monitoring responsibilities.

In cases where proxies are voted in accordance with these policies and guidelines, no conflict of interest will be deemed to exist. In cases where (i) MFS is considering overriding these policies and guidelines, or (ii) matters presented for vote are not clearly governed by these policies and guidelines, the MFS Proxy Review Group and the MFS Proxy Consultant will follow these procedures:

- a. Compare the name of the issuer of such proxy against a list of significant current and potential (i) distributors of MFS Fund shares, (ii) retirement plans administered by MFS, and (iii) MFS institutional clients (the "MFS Significant Client List");
- b. If the name of the issuer does not appear on the MFS Significant Client List, then no material conflict of interest will be deemed to exist, and the proxy will be voted as otherwise determined by

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

the MFS Proxy Review Group;

- c. If the name of the issuer appears on the MFS Significant Client List, then at least one member of the MFS Proxy Review Group will carefully evaluate the proposed votes in order to ensure that the proxy ultimately is voted in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of MFS' clients, and not in MFS' corporate interests; and
- d. For all potential material conflicts of interest identified under clause (c) above, the MFS Proxy Review Group will document: the name of the issuer, the issuer's relationship to MFS, the analysis of the matters submitted for proxy vote, and the basis for the determination that the votes ultimately were cast in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of MFS' clients, and not in MFS' corporate interests. A copy of the foregoing documentation will be provided to the MFS' Conflicts Officer.

The members of the MFS Proxy Review Group other than the Proxy Consultant are responsible for creating and maintaining the MFS Significant Client List, in consultation with MFS' distribution, retirement plan administration and institutional business units. The MFS Significant Client List will be reviewed and updated periodically as appropriate.

### 3. GATHERING PROXIES

Most proxies received by MFS and its clients originate at Automatic Data Processing Corp. ("ADP") although a few proxies are transmitted to investors by corporate issuers through their custodians or depositories. ADP and issuers send proxies and related material directly to the record holders of the shares beneficially owned by MFS' clients, usually to the client's custodian or, less commonly, to the client itself. This material will include proxy cards, reflecting the proper shareholdings of Funds and of clients on the record dates for such shareholder meetings, as well as proxy statements with the issuer's explanation of the items to be voted upon.

MFS, on behalf of itself and the Funds, has entered into an agreement with an independent proxy administration firm, Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc. (the "Proxy Administrator"), pursuant to which the Proxy Administrator performs various proxy vote processing and recordkeeping functions for MFS' Fund and institutional client accounts. The Proxy Administrator does not make recommendations to MFS as to how to vote any particular item. The Proxy Administrator receives proxy statements and proxy cards directly or indirectly from various custodians, logs these materials into its database and matches upcoming meetings with MFS Fund and client portfolio holdings, which are input into the Proxy Administrator's system by an MFS holdings datafeed. Through the use of the Proxy Administrator system, ballots and proxy material summaries for the upcoming shareholders' meetings of over 10,000 corporations are available on-line to certain MFS employees, the MFS Proxy Consultant and the MFS Proxy Review Group.

### 4. ANALYZING PROXIES

After input into the Proxy Administrator system, proxies which are deemed to be routine and which do not require the exercise of judgment under these guidelines (e.g., those involving only uncontested elections of directors and the appointment of auditors)(1) are automatically voted in favor by the Proxy Administrator without being sent to either the MFS Proxy Consultant or the MFS Proxy Review Group for further review. All proxies that are reviewed by either the MFS Proxy Consultant or a portfolio manager or analyst (e.g., those that involve merger or acquisition proposals) are then forwarded with the



## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

corresponding recommendation to the MFS Proxy Review Group.(2)

-----

- (1) Proxies for foreign companies often contain significantly more voting items than those of U.S. companies. Many of these items on foreign proxies involve repetitive, non-controversial matters that are mandated by local law. Accordingly, the items that are generally deemed routine and which do not require the exercise of judgment under these guidelines (and therefore automatically voted in favor) for foreign issuers include the following:
  - (i) receiving financial statements or other reports from the board;
  - (ii) approval of declarations of dividends;
  - (iii) appointment of shareholders to sign board meeting minutes;
  - (iv) discharge of management and supervisory boards;
  - (v) approval of share repurchase programs;
  - (vi) election of directors in uncontested elections and
  - (vii) appointment of auditors.
- (2) From time to time, due to travel schedules and other commitments, an appropriate portfolio manager or research analyst is not available to provide a recommendation on a merger or acquisition proposal. If such a recommendation cannot be obtained within a few business days prior to the shareholder meeting, the MFS Proxy Review Group may determine the vote in what it believes to be the best long-term economic interests of MFS' clients.

Recommendations with respect to voting on non-routine issues are generally made by the MFS Proxy Consultant in accordance with the policies summarized under "Voting Guidelines," and other relevant materials. His or her recommendation as to how each proxy proposal should be voted, including his or her rationale on significant items, is indicated on copies of proxy cards. These cards are then forwarded to the MFS Proxy Review Group.

As a general matter, portfolio managers and investment analysts have little or no involvement in specific votes taken by MFS. This is designed to promote consistency in the application of MFS' voting guidelines, to promote consistency in voting on the same or similar issues (for the same or for multiple issuers) across all client accounts, and to minimize the potential that proxy solicitors, issuers, or third parties might attempt to exert inappropriate influence on the vote. In limited types of votes (e.g., mergers and acquisitions), the MFS Proxy Consultant or the MFS Proxy Review Group may consult with or seek recommendations from portfolio managers or analysts. But, the MFS Proxy Review Group would ultimately determine the manner in which all proxies are voted.

As noted above, MFS reserves the right to override the guidelines when such an override is, in MFS' best judgment, consistent with the overall principle of voting proxies in the best long-term economic interests of MFS' clients. Any such override of the guidelines shall be analyzed, documented and reported in accordance with the procedures set forth in these policies.

### 5. VOTING PROXIES

After the proxy card copies are reviewed, they are voted electronically through the Proxy Administrator's system. In accordance with its contract with MFS, the Proxy Administrator also generates a variety of reports for the MFS Proxy Consultant and the MFS Proxy Review Group, and makes available on-line various other types of information so that the MFS Proxy Review Group and the MFS Proxy Consultant may monitor the votes cast by the Proxy Administrator on behalf of MFS' clients.

### C. MONITORING SYSTEM

It is the responsibility of the Proxy Administrator and MFS' Proxy Consultant to monitor the proxy voting process. As noted above, when proxy materials for clients are received, they are forwarded to the Proxy

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

Administrator and are input into the Proxy Administrator's system. Additionally, through an interface with the portfolio holdings database of MFS, the Proxy Administrator matches a list of all MFS Funds and clients who hold shares of a company's stock and the number of shares held on the record date with the Proxy Administrator's listing of any upcoming shareholder's meeting of that company.

When the Proxy Administrator's system "tickler" shows that the date of a shareholders' meeting is approaching, a Proxy Administrator representative checks that the vote for MFS Funds and clients holding that security has been recorded in the computer system. If a proxy card has not been received from the client's custodian, the Proxy Administrator calls the custodian requesting that the materials be forward immediately. If it is not possible to receive the proxy card from the custodian in time to be voted at the meeting, MFS may instruct the custodian to cast the vote in the manner specified and to mail the proxy directly to the issuer.

### D. RECORDS RETENTION

MFS will retain copies of these policies and procedures in effect from time to time and will retain all proxy voting reports submitted to the Board of Trustees and Board of Managers of the MFS Funds for the period required by applicable law. Proxy solicitation materials, including electronic versions of the proxy cards completed by the MFS Proxy Consultant and the MFS Proxy Review Group, together with their respective notes and comments, are maintained in an electronic format by the Proxy Administrator and are accessible on-line by the MFS Proxy Consultant and the MFS Proxy Review Group. All proxy voting materials and supporting documentation, including records generated by the Proxy Administrator's system as to proxies processed, the dates when proxies were received and returned, and the votes on each company's proxy issues, are retained as required by applicable law.

### E. REPORTS

#### MFS FUNDS

Annually, MFS will report the results of its voting to the Board of Trustees and Board of Managers of the MFS Funds. These reports will include: (i) a summary of how votes were cast; (ii) a review of situations where MFS did not vote in accordance with the guidelines and the rationale therefor; (iii) a review of the procedures used by MFS to identify material conflicts of interest; and (iv) a review of these policies and the guidelines and, as necessary or appropriate, any proposed modifications thereto to reflect new developments in corporate governance and other issues. Based on these reviews, the Trustees and Managers of the MFS Funds will consider possible modifications to these policies to the extent necessary or advisable.

#### ALL MFS ADVISORY CLIENTS

At any time, a report can be printed by MFS for each client who has requested that MFS furnish a record of votes cast. The report specifies the proxy issues which have been voted for the client during the year and the position taken with respect to each issue.

Generally, MFS will not divulge actual voting practices to any party other than the client or its representatives (unless required by applicable law) because we consider that information to be confidential and proprietary to the client.

### ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

GENERAL. Information regarding the portfolio manager(s) of the MFS Special

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

Value Trust (the "Fund") is set forth below.

PORTFOLIO MANAGER -----	PRIMARY ROLE -----	SINCE -----	TITLE AND FIVE YEAR HISTORY -----
John F. Addeo	Portfolio Manager	2002	Senior Vice President of MFS; employed in the investment management area of MFS since 1998.
Scott B. Richards	Portfolio Manager	2004	Vice President of MFS; employed in the investment management area of MFS since 2004; Head of the High Yield Group at Columbia Management Group from 1999 to 2003.

COMPENSATION. Portfolio manager total cash compensation is a combination of base salary and performance bonus:

- o Base Salary - Base salary represents a relatively smaller percentage of portfolio manager total cash compensation (generally below 33%) than incentive compensation.
- o Performance Bonus - Generally, incentive compensation represents a majority of portfolio manager total cash compensation. The performance bonus is based on a combination of quantitative and qualitative factors, with more weight given to the former (generally over 60 %) and less weight given to the latter.

>> The quantitative portion is based on pre-tax performance of all of the accounts managed by the portfolio manager (which includes the Fund and any other accounts managed by the portfolio manager) over a one-, three- and five-year period relative to the appropriate Lipper peer group universe and/or one or more benchmark indices with respect to each account. The primary weight is given to portfolio performance over a three-year time period with lesser consideration given to portfolio performance over one- and five-year periods (adjusted as appropriate if the portfolio manager has served for shorter periods).

>> The qualitative portion is based on the results of an annual internal peer review process (conducted by other portfolio managers, analysts and traders) and management's assessment of overall portfolio manager contributions to the investment process (distinct from portfolio performance).

Portfolio managers also typically benefit from the opportunity to participate in the MFS Equity Plan. Equity interests in MFS or its parent company are awarded by management, on a discretionary basis, taking into account tenure at MFS, contribution to the investment process and other factors.

Finally, portfolio managers are provided with a benefits package including a defined contribution plan, health coverage and other insurance, which are available to other employees of MFS on substantially similar terms. The percentage of compensation provided by these benefits depends upon the length of the individual's tenure at MFS and salary level as well as other factors.

OWNERSHIP OF FUND SHARES. The following table shows the dollar range of equity securities of the Fund beneficially owned by the Fund's portfolio manager as of the Fund's fiscal year ended October 31, 2005. The following dollar ranges apply:

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

- N. None
- A. \$1 - \$10,000
- B. \$10,001 - \$50,000
- C. \$50,001 - \$100,000
- D. \$100,001 - \$500,000
- E. \$500,001 - \$1,000,000
- F. Over \$1,000,000

NAME OF PORTFOLIO MANAGER	DOLLAR RANGE OF EQUITY SECURITIES IN FUND
John F. Addeo	N
Scott B. Richards	N

OTHER ACCOUNTS. In addition to the Fund, the Fund's portfolio manager is responsible (either individually or jointly) for the day-to-day management of certain other accounts, the number and total assets of which as of the Fund's fiscal year ended October 31, 2005 were as follows:

NAME	REGISTERED INVESTMENT COMPANIES	OTHER POOLED INVESTMENT VEHICLES	OTHER ACC
-----	NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS*	TOTAL ASSETS*	NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS
John F. Addeo	9	\$3.8 billion	1
Scott B. Richards	12	\$4.3 billion	2

\* Includes the Fund.

Advisory fees are not based upon performance of any of the accounts identified in the table above.

POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST. MFS seek to identify potential conflicts of interest resulting from a portfolio manager's management of both the Fund and other accounts and has adopted policies and procedures designed to address such potential conflicts.

In certain instances there may be securities which are suitable for the Fund's portfolio as well as for accounts with similar investment objectives of the Adviser or subsidiary of the Adviser. Securities transactions for the Fund and other accounts with similar investment objectives are generally executed on the same day, or the next day. Nevertheless, it may develop that a particular security is bought or sold for only one client even though it might be held by, or bought or sold for, other clients. Likewise, a particular security may be bought for one or more clients when one or more other clients are selling that same security.

When two or more clients are simultaneously engaged in the purchase or sale of the same security, the securities are allocated among clients in a manner believed by MFS to be fair and equitable to each. It is recognized that in some cases this system could have a detrimental effect on the price or volume of the security as far as the Fund is concerned. In most cases, however, MFS believes that the Fund's ability to participate in volume transactions will produce better executions for the Fund.

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

MFS does not receive a performance fee for its management of the Fund. MFS and/or a portfolio manager may have an incentive to allocate favorable or limited opportunity investments or structure the timing of investments to favor accounts other than the Fund--for instance, those that pay a higher advisory fee and/or have a performance fee.

### ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.

MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST				
PERIOD	(A) TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED	(B) AVERAGE PRICE PAID PER SHARE	(C) TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED AS PART OF PUBLICLY ANNOUNCED PLANS OR PROGRAMS	(D) (C) DO SH BE THE
11/1/04-11/30/04	0	n/a	0	
12/1/04-12/31/04	0	n/a	0	
1/1/05-1/31/05	0	n/a	0	
2/1/05-2/28/05	0	n/a	0	
3/1/05-3/31/05	0	n/a	0	
4/1/05-4/30/05	0	n/a	0	
05/01/05-05/31/05	0	n/a	0	
06/01/05-06/30/05	0	n/a	0	
07/01/05-07/31/05	0	n/a	0	
08/01/05-08/31/05	0	n/a	0	
09/01/05-09/30/05	0	n/a	0	
10/01/05-10/31/05	0	n/a	0	
Total	0	n/a	0	

Note: The Board of Trustees approves procedures to repurchase shares annually. The notification to shareholders of the program is part of the semi-annual and annual reports sent to shareholders. These annual programs begin on March 1st of each year. The programs conform to the conditions of Rule 10b-18 of the securities Exchange Act of 1934 and limit the aggregate number of shares that may be purchased in each annual period (March 1 through the following February 28) to 10% of the Registrant's outstanding shares as of the first day of the plan year (March 1). The aggregate number of shares available for purchase for the March 1, 2005 plan year are 673,558.

### ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

## Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

There were no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may send recommendations to the Board for nominees to the Registrant's Board since the Registrant last provided disclosure as to such procedures in response to the requirements of Item 7(d)(2)(ii)(G) of Schedule 14A.

### ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

- (a) Based upon their evaluation of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act")) as conducted within 90 days of the filing date of this Form N-CSR, the registrant's principal financial officer and principal executive officer have concluded that those disclosure controls and procedures provide reasonable assurance that the material information required to be disclosed by the registrant on this report is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.
- (b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the Act) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter covered by the report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

### ITEM 12. EXHIBITS.

- (a) File the exhibits listed below as part of this Form. Letter or number the exhibits in the sequence indicated.
  - (1) Any code of ethics, or amendment thereto, that is the subject of the disclosure required by Item 2, to the extent that the registrant intends to satisfy the Item 2 requirements through filing of an exhibit: Code of Ethics attached hereto.
  - (2) A separate certification for each principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the registrant as required by Rule 30a-2 under the Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2): Attached hereto.
  - (3) Any written solicitation to purchase securities under Rule 23c-1 under the Act sent or given during the period covered by the report by or on behalf of the Registrant to 10 or more persons. Not applicable.
- (b) If the report is filed under Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, provide the certifications required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(b)), Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13a-14(b) or 240.15d-14(b)) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350) as an exhibit. A certification furnished pursuant to this paragraph will not be deemed "filed" for the purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78r), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference: Attached hereto.

### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Registrant MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST  
-----

Edgar Filing: MFS SPECIAL VALUE TRUST - Form N-CSR

By (Signature and Title)\* MARIA F. DWYER

-----  
Maria F. Dwyer, President

Date: December 22, 2005  
-----

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By (Signature and Title)\* MARIA F. DWYER

-----  
Maria F. Dwyer, President (Principal Executive Officer)

Date: December 22, 2005  
-----

By (Signature and Title)\* TRACY ATKINSON

-----  
Tracy Atkinson, Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer and Accounting Officer)

Date: December 22, 2005  
-----

\* Print name and title of each signing officer under his or her signature.