

TIFFANY & CO  
Form 8-K  
March 04, 2015

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT

Pursuant to Section 13 OR 15(d) of  
The Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
Date of Report: March 4, 2015

TIFFANY & CO.  
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction  
of incorporation)

1-9494  
(Commission  
File Number)

13-3228013  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

200 Fifth Avenue, New York, New  
York  
(Address of principal executive offices)

10010  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (212) 755-8000

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

- Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
  - Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
  - Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
  - Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))
-

Item 8.01. Other Events.

As previously disclosed by Registrant, Registrant and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Tiffany and Company and Tiffany (NJ) Inc. (Registrant and such subsidiaries, together, the “Tiffany Parties”) have taken action in the courts of the Netherlands seeking to annul the arbitration award issued on December 21, 2013 (the “Arbitration Award”) in favor of the Swatch Group Ltd. (“Swatch”) and its wholly owned subsidiary Tiffany Watch Co. (together with Swatch, the “Swatch Parties”). The Arbitration Award was issued by a three-member arbitral panel convened in the Netherlands pursuant to the Arbitration Rules of the Netherlands Arbitration Institute, and reflected the panel’s ruling with respect to certain claims and counterclaims pending among the Swatch Parties and the Tiffany Parties under the agreements entered into by and among the Swatch Parties and the Tiffany Parties that came into effect in December 2007 (the “Agreements”).

Generally, arbitration awards are final; however, Dutch law does provide for limited grounds on which arbitral awards may be set aside. On March 31, 2014, the Tiffany Parties petitioned to annul the Arbitration Award on these statutory grounds in the District Court of Amsterdam. A three-judge panel presided over the annulment hearing on January 19, 2015, and, on March 4, 2015, issued a decision in favor of the Tiffany Parties.

Under this decision, the Arbitration Award is set aside. However, the Swatch Parties have the right to file an appeal of the District Court’s decision, and the Arbitration Award may ultimately be upheld by the courts of the Netherlands. If the Swatch Parties assert their right to appeal, Registrant’s management expects that the annulment action will not be ultimately resolved for at least 18 months.

If the Arbitration Award is finally annulled, management anticipates that the claims and counterclaims that formed the basis of the arbitration, and potentially additional claims and counterclaims, will be litigated in court proceedings between and among the Swatch Parties and the Tiffany Parties. The identity and location of the courts that would hear such actions cannot be determined at this time. Management also anticipates that the Tiffany Parties would seek the return of the damages paid by them under the Arbitration Award in court proceedings.

In any litigation regarding the claims and counterclaims that formed the basis of the arbitration, issues of liability and damages will be pled and determined without regard to the findings of the arbitral panel. As such, it is possible that the court could find that the Swatch Parties were in material breach of their obligations under the Agreements, that the Tiffany Parties were in material breach of their obligations under the Agreements or that neither the Swatch Parties nor the Tiffany Parties were in material breach. If the Swatch Parties’ claims of liability were accepted by the court, the damages award cannot be reasonably estimated at this time, but could exceed the damages paid by the Tiffany Parties under the Arbitration Award and could have a material adverse effect on the Registrant’s consolidated financial statements or liquidity.

In the arbitration, the Swatch Parties sought damages based on alternate theories ranging from CHF 73,000,000 to CHF 3,800,000,000, and the Tiffany Parties’ counterclaims sought damages based on alternate theories ranging from CHF 120,000,000 to approximately CHF 540,000,000. Pursuant to the Arbitration Award, the Tiffany Parties paid the Swatch Parties damages of CHF 402,737,000, as well as interest from June 30, 2012 to the date of payment, two-thirds of the cost of the arbitration, and two-thirds of the Swatch Parties’ legal fees, expenses and costs. These amounts were paid in full in January 2014.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TIFFANY & CO.  
(Registrant)

By: /s/ Leigh M. Harlan  
Leigh M. Harlan  
Senior Vice President, Secretary  
and General Counsel

Date: March 4, 2015